

CENTENE CORP  
Form 10-K  
February 19, 2013

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K  
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number: 001-31826

Centene Corporation  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)  
Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

42-1406317  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

7700 Forsyth Boulevard  
St. Louis, Missouri  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (314) 725-4477  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

63105  
(Zip Code)  
New York Stock Exchange  
Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, \$0.001 Par Value  
Title of Each Class  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None  
(Title of Each Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "small reporting

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the last reported sale price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 29, 2012, was \$1.6 billion.

As of February 1, 2013, the registrant had 52,333,516 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2013 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

---

---

CENTENE CORPORATION  
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Part I	
Item 1. Business	<u>1</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>20</u>
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>32</u>
Item 2. Properties	<u>32</u>
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	<u>32</u>
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>32</u>
Part II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>34</u>
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	<u>36</u>
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>38</u>
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>55</u>
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>57</u>
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>88</u>
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	<u>88</u>
Item 9B. Other Information	<u>89</u>
Part III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>90</u>
Item 11. Executive Compensation	<u>90</u>
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>90</u>
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>90</u>
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>90</u>
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>92</u>
Signatures	<u>94</u>

---

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than statements of current or historical fact, contained in this filing are forward-looking statements. We have attempted to identify these statements by terminology including “believe,” “anticipate,” “plan,” “expect,” “estimate,” “intend,” “seek,” “target,” “goal,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “can,” “continue” and other similar words or expressions in connection with, among other things, any discussion of future operating or financial performance. In particular, these statements include statements about our market opportunity, our growth strategy, competition, expected activities and future acquisitions, investments and the adequacy of our available cash resources. These statements may be found in the various sections of this filing, including those entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” Part I, Item 1A. “Risk Factors,” and Part I, Item 3 “Legal Proceedings.” Readers are cautioned that matters subject to forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including economic, regulatory, competitive and other factors that may cause our or our industry’s actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

All forward-looking statements included in this filing are based on information available to us on the date of this filing and we undertake no obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements included in this filing, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this filing. Actual results may differ from projections or estimates due to a variety of important factors, including:

- our ability to accurately predict and effectively manage health benefits and other operating expenses;
- competition;
- membership and revenue projections;
- timing of regulatory contract approval;
- changes in healthcare practices;
- changes in federal or state laws or regulations, including the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act and any regulations enacted thereunder;
- changes in expected contract start dates;
- changes in expected closing dates for acquisitions;
- inflation;
- provider and state contract changes;
- new technologies;
- reduction in provider payments by governmental payors;
- major epidemics;
- disasters and numerous other factors affecting the delivery and cost of healthcare;
- the expiration, cancellation or suspension of our Medicare or Medicaid managed care contracts by federal or state governments;
- availability of debt and equity financing, on terms that are favorable to us; and
- general economic and market conditions.

Item 1A “Risk Factors” of Part I of this filing contains a further discussion of these and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expectations. We disclaim any current intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Due to these important factors and risks, we cannot give assurances with respect to our future premium levels or our ability to control our future medical costs.



Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. Business.

OVERVIEW

We are a diversified, multi-line healthcare enterprise that provides programs and services to the rising number of under-insured and uninsured individuals. We provide member-focused services through locally based staff by assisting in accessing care, coordinating referrals to related health and social services and addressing member concerns and questions. We also provide education and outreach programs to inform and assist members in accessing quality, appropriate healthcare services. We believe our local approach to managing our health plans, including member and provider services, enables us to provide accessible, quality, culturally-sensitive healthcare coverage to our communities. Our health management, educational and other initiatives are designed to help members best utilize the healthcare system to ensure they receive appropriate, medically necessary services and effective management of routine, severe and chronic health problems, resulting in better health outcomes. We combine our decentralized local approach for care with a centralized infrastructure of support functions such as finance, information systems and claims processing.

We operate in two segments: Medicaid Managed Care and Specialty Services. Our Medicaid Managed Care segment provides Medicaid and Medicaid-related health plan coverage to individuals through government subsidized programs, including Medicaid, the State Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), long-term care (LTC), Foster Care, dual eligible individuals in Medicare Special Needs Plans and the Supplemental Security Income Program, also known as the Aged, Blind or Disabled Program, or collectively ABD. As of December 31, 2012, Medicaid accounted for 77% of our at-risk membership, while CHIP (also including Foster Care) and ABD (also including Medicare) accounted for 9% and 12%, respectively. Hybrid programs and long-term care represent the remaining 2% at-risk membership. Our Specialty Services segment offers products for behavioral health, care management software, health insurance exchanges, individual health insurance, life and health management, LTC programs, managed vision, telehealth services, and pharmacy benefits management to state programs, healthcare organizations, employer groups and other commercial organizations, as well as to our own subsidiaries. Our health plans in Arizona, operated by our long-term care company, and Massachusetts, operated by our individual health insurance provider, are included in the Specialty Services segment.

Our at-risk managed care membership totaled 2.6 million as of December 31, 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2012, our premium and service revenues and net earnings attributable to Centene were \$8.2 billion and \$1.9 million, respectively, and our total cash flow from operations was \$278.7 million.

Our initial health plan commenced operations in Wisconsin in 1984. We were organized in Wisconsin in 1993 as a holding company for our initial health plan and reincorporated in Delaware in 2001. Our corporate office is located at 7700 Forsyth Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63105, and our telephone number is (314) 725-4477. Our stock is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "CNC."

INDUSTRY

We provide our services to the uninsured primarily through Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care, ABD, Medicare and other state programs for the uninsured. The federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, estimated the total Medicaid and CHIP market was approximately \$401 billion in 2010, and estimate the market will grow to \$957 billion by 2021. According to the most recent information provided by the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, Medicaid spending increased by 2.0% in fiscal 2012 and states appropriated an increase of 3.8% for

Medicaid in fiscal 2013 budgets.

Established in 1965, Medicaid is the largest publicly funded program in the United States, and provides health insurance to low-income families and individuals with disabilities. Authorized by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, Medicaid is an entitlement program funded jointly by the federal and state governments and administered by the states. The majority of funding is provided at the federal level. Each state establishes its own eligibility standards, benefit packages, payment rates and program administration within federal standards. As a result, there are 56 Medicaid programs - one for each U.S. state, each U.S. territory and the District of Columbia. Eligibility is based on a combination of household income and assets, often determined by an income level relative to the federal poverty level. Historically, children have represented the largest eligibility group. Many states have selected Medicaid managed care as a means of delivering quality healthcare and controlling costs. We refer to these states as mandated managed care states.

## Table of Contents

Established in 1972, and authorized by Title XVI of the Social Security Act, ABD covers low-income persons with chronic physical disabilities or behavioral health impairments. ABD beneficiaries represent a growing portion of all Medicaid recipients. In addition, ABD recipients typically utilize more services because of their critical health issues.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 created CHIP to help states expand coverage primarily to children whose families earned too much to qualify for Medicaid, yet not enough to afford private health insurance. Some states include the parents of these children in their CHIP programs. CHIP is the single largest expansion of health insurance coverage for children since the enactment of Medicaid. Costs related to the largest eligibility group, children, are primarily composed of pediatrics and family care. These costs tend to be more predictable than other healthcare issues which predominantly affect the adult population.

A portion of Medicaid beneficiaries are dual eligibles, low-income seniors and people with disabilities who are enrolled in both Medicaid and Medicare. According to the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, there were approximately 9 million dual eligible enrollees in 2012. These dual eligibles may receive assistance from Medicaid for Medicaid benefits, such as nursing home care and/or assistance with Medicare premiums and cost sharing. Dual eligibles also use more services due to their tendency to have more chronic health issues. We serve dual eligibles through our ABD and LTC programs and through Medicare Special Needs Plans.

While Medicaid programs have directed funds to many individuals who cannot afford or otherwise maintain health insurance coverage, they did not initially address the inefficient and costly manner in which the Medicaid population tends to access healthcare. Medicaid recipients in non-managed care programs typically have not sought preventive care or routine treatment for chronic conditions, such as asthma and diabetes. Rather, they have sought healthcare in hospital emergency rooms, which tends to be more expensive. As a result, many states have found that the costs of providing Medicaid benefits have increased while the medical outcomes for the recipients remained unsatisfactory.

Since the early 1980s, increasing healthcare costs, combined with significant growth in the number of Medicaid recipients, have led many states to establish Medicaid managed care initiatives. Additionally, a number of states are designing programs to cover the rising number of uninsured Americans. The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured estimated that there were approximately 48 million non-elderly Americans in 2011 that lacked health insurance. We expect that continued pressure on state Medicaid budgets will cause public policy to recognize the value of managed care as a means of delivering improved health outcomes for Medicaid beneficiaries and effectively controlling costs. A growing number of states have mandated that their Medicaid recipients enroll in managed care plans. Other states are considering moving to a mandated managed care approach. As a result, a significant market opportunity exists for managed care organizations with operations and programs focused on the distinct socio-economic, cultural and healthcare needs of the uninsured population and the Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD populations.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the accompanying Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act collectively referred to as the Affordable Care Act (ACA), were enacted. While the constitutionality of the ACA was subsequently challenged in a number of legal actions, in June 2012, the Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the ACA, with one limited exception relating to the Medicaid expansion provision. The Supreme Court held that states could not be required to expand Medicaid and risk losing all federal money for their existing Medicaid programs. Under the ACA, Medicaid coverage will be expanded to all individuals under age 65 with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level beginning January 1, 2014, subject to the states' elections. The federal government will pay the entire costs for Medicaid coverage for newly eligible beneficiaries for 3 years, from 2014 through 2016. In 2017, the federal share declines to 95%; in 2018 it is 94%; in 2019 it is 93%; and it will be 90% in 2020 and subsequent years. States may delay Medicaid expansion after 2014 but the federal payment rates will be less.



Health insurance exchanges are a key component of the ACA, and the marketplace where individuals and small businesses will be able to obtain health insurance. States have the option of operating their own exchange or partnering with the federal government. States choosing neither option will default to a federally-facilitated exchange. All exchanges, regardless of how they are administered, must be ready to begin enrolling consumers on October 1, 2013 and must be fully operational on January 1, 2014. Premium and cost-sharing subsidies will be available to make coverage more affordable and access to exchanges will be limited to U.S. citizens and legal immigrants. Insurers will be required to offer a minimum level of benefits with four levels of coverage that vary based on premiums, out-of-pocket costs, and benefits beyond the minimum required, plus a catastrophic coverage plan. Premium subsidies will be provided to families without access to other coverage and with incomes between 100-400% of the federal poverty level to help them purchase insurance through the exchanges. These subsidies will be offered on a sliding scale basis. Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimates approximately 9 million individuals enrolling through exchanges by 2014 and the number increasing to 25 million by 2025.

Table of Contents

OUR COMPETITIVE STRENGTHS

Our multi-line managed care approach is based on the following key attributes:

**Strong Historic Operating Performance.** We have increased revenues as we have grown in existing markets, expanded into new markets and broadened our product offerings. We entered the Wisconsin market in 1984 as a single health plan and have grown to 15 health plans with at-risk membership totaling 2.6 million in 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we had premium and service revenues of \$8.2 billion, representing a five year Compound Annual Growth Rate, of 25.1% and generated total cash flow from operations of \$278.7 million.

**Innovative Technology and Scalable Systems.** The ability to access data and translate it into meaningful information is essential to operating across a multi-state service area in a cost-effective manner. Our centralized information systems support our core processing functions under a set of integrated databases and are designed to be both replicable and scalable to accommodate organic growth and growth from acquisitions. We continue to enhance our systems in order to leverage the platform we have developed for our existing states for configuration into new states or health plan acquisitions. Our predictive modeling technology enables our medical management operations to proactively case and disease manage specific high risk members. It can recommend medical care opportunities using a mix of company defined algorithms and evidence based medical guidelines. Interventions are determined by the clinical indicators, the ability to improve health outcomes, and the risk profile of members. Our integrated approach helps to assure that consistent sources of claim and member information are provided across all of our health plans. Our membership and claims processing system is capable of expanding to support additional members in an efficient manner.

**Medicaid Expertise.** For more than 25 years, we have developed a specialized Medicaid expertise that has helped us establish and maintain relationships with members, providers and state governments. We have implemented programs developed to achieve savings for state governments and improve medical outcomes for members by reducing inappropriate emergency room use, inpatient days and high cost interventions, as well as by managing care of chronic illnesses. We work with state agencies on redefining benefits and eligibility requirements in order to maximize the number of uninsured individuals covered through Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care, ABD and other state sponsored programs and expand the types of benefits offered. Our approach is to accomplish this while maintaining adequate levels of provider compensation and protecting our profitability.

**Diversified Business Lines.** We continue to broaden our service offerings to address areas that we believe have been traditionally under-served by Medicaid managed care organizations. In addition to our Medicaid and Medicaid-related managed care services, our service offerings include behavioral health, care management software, health insurance exchanges, individual health insurance, life and health management, LTC programs, managed vision, telehealth services and pharmacy benefits management. Through the utilization of a multi-business line approach, we are able to improve the quality of care, improve outcomes, diversify our revenues and help control our medical costs.

**Localized Approach with Centralized Support Infrastructure.** We take a localized approach to managing our subsidiaries, including provider and member services. This approach enables us to facilitate access by our members to high quality, culturally sensitive healthcare services. Our systems and procedures have been designed to address these community-specific challenges through outreach, education, transportation and other member support activities. For example, our community outreach programs work with our members and their communities to promote health and self-improvement through employment and education on how best to access care. We complement this localized approach with a centralized infrastructure of support functions such as finance, information systems and claims processing, which allows us to minimize general and administrative expenses and to integrate and realize synergies from acquisitions. We believe this combined approach allows us to efficiently integrate new business opportunities in both Medicaid and specialty services while maintaining our local accountability and improved access.

## OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our objective is to become the leading multi-line healthcare enterprise focusing on the uninsured and under-insured population and state funded healthcare initiatives. We intend to achieve this objective by implementing the following key components of our strategy:

3

---

## Table of Contents

**Increase Penetration of Existing State Markets.** We seek to continue to increase our Medicaid membership in states in which we currently operate through alliances with key providers, outreach efforts, development and implementation of community-specific products and acquisitions. For example, in 2010, we expanded our health plan in Florida into LTC through the acquisition of Citrus Health Care, Inc. In 2011, we expanded our health plan in Texas under an additional STAR+PLUS ABD contract in the Dallas service area and in 2012 under a new contract to serve additional members in several new service areas.

**Diversify Business Lines.** We seek to broaden our business lines into areas that complement our existing business to enable us to grow and diversify our revenue. We are constantly evaluating new opportunities for expansion both domestically and abroad. For instance, in July 2008, we completed the acquisition of Celtic Insurance Company, a nationwide individual health insurance provider. In 2010, we acquired a controlling ownership interest in Casenet, LLC, or Casenet, a care management software provider and in 2012, we acquired the remaining minority ownership interest. In 2013, we announced a definitive agreement to acquire AcariaHealth, one of the nation's largest, independent, comprehensive specialty pharmacy companies. We employ a disciplined acquisition strategy that is based on defined criteria including internal rate of return, accretion to earnings per share, market leadership and compatibility with our information systems. We engage our executives in the relevant operational units or functional areas to ensure consistency between the diligence and integration process.

**Address Emerging State Needs.** We work to assist the states in which we operate in addressing the operating challenges they face. We seek to assist the states in balancing premium rates, benefit levels, member eligibility, policies and practices, and provider compensation. For example, in 2008, we began operating under a new statewide program providing managed care services to participants in the Texas Foster Care program; in April 2010, we began offering an individual insurance product for residents of Massachusetts who do not qualify for other state funded insurance programs; in November 2010, we began operating under a new contract to provide affordable health plans for Texas small businesses under the new Healthy Texas initiative; and, in January 2011, we began operating under a new contract with the state of Indiana to provide affordable health plans for uninsured Indiana individuals under the new Healthy Indiana Plan. By helping states structure an appropriate level and range of Medicaid, CHIP and specialty services, we seek to ensure that we are able to continue to provide those services on terms that achieve targeted gross margins, provide an acceptable return and grow our business.

**Develop and Acquire Additional State Markets.** We continue to leverage our experience to identify and develop new markets by seeking both to acquire existing business and to build our own operations. We focus expansion in states where Medicaid recipients are mandated to enroll in managed care organizations because we believe member enrollment levels are more predictable in these states. In addition, we focus on states where managed care programs can help address states' financial needs. For example, in 2012, we began managing care for Medicaid members in Louisiana, Missouri and Washington and in 2013, began managing care for Medicaid members in Kansas.

**Leverage Established Infrastructure to Enhance Operating Efficiencies.** We intend to continue to invest in infrastructure to further drive efficiencies in operations and to add functionality to improve the service provided to members and other organizations at a low cost. Information technology, or IT, investments complement our overall efficiency goals by increasing the automated processing of transactions and growing the base of decision-making analytical tools. Our centralized functions and common systems enable us to add members and markets quickly and economically.

**Maintain Operational Discipline.** We seek to operate in markets that allow us to meet our internal metrics including membership growth, plan size, market leadership and operating efficiency. We use multiple techniques to monitor and reduce our medical costs, including on-site hospital review by staff nurses and involvement of medical management

in significant cases. Our executive dashboard is utilized to quickly identify cost drivers and medical trends. Our management team regularly evaluates the financial impact of proposed changes in provider relationships, contracts, changes in membership and mix of members, potential state rate changes and cost reduction initiatives. We may divest contracts or health plans in markets where the state's Medicaid environment, over a long-term basis, does not allow us to meet our targeted performance levels. For example, as a result of lower than anticipated financial performance, in October 2012, we notified the Cabinet for Health and Family Services that we were terminating our Kentucky Medicaid managed care contract with the Commonwealth of Kentucky effective July 5, 2013.

Table of Contents

We have regulated subsidiaries offering healthcare services in each state we serve. The table below provides summary data for the state markets we currently serve:

State	Local Health Plan Name	First Year of Operations Under the Company	Counties Served at December 31, 2012	Market Share <sup>(1)</sup>	At-risk Managed Care Membership at December 31, 2012
Arizona	Bridgeway Health Solutions	2006	9	11.9% <sup>(2)</sup>	23,500
Florida	Sunshine State Health Plan	2009	32	17.1% <sup>(3)</sup>	214,000
Georgia	Peach State Health Plan	2006	159	26.7%	313,700
Illinois	IlliniCare Health Plan	2011	7	50.0%	18,000
Indiana	Managed Health Services	1995	92	27.8%	204,000
Kentucky	Kentucky Spirit Health Plan	2011	104	24.9%	135,800
Louisiana	Louisiana Healthcare Connections	2012	64	19.0%	165,600
Massachusetts	CeltiCare Health Plan	2009	14	10.6%	21,500
Mississippi	Magnolia Health Plan	2011	82	55.7%	77,200
Missouri	Home State Health Plan	2012	54	14.3%	59,600
Ohio	Buckeye Community Health Plan	2004	88	10.1%	157,800
South Carolina	Absolute Total Care	2007	39	14.0%	90,100
Texas	Superior HealthPlan	1999	254	25.9%	949,900
Washington	Coordinated Care	2012	39	6.9%	57,200
Wisconsin	Managed Health Services	1984	46	13.1%	72,400
					2,560,300

<sup>(1)</sup> Market share represents the % of at-risk members in managed care.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects LTC membership. The Bridgeway Health Solutions Acute Care program has a market share of 1.5%.

<sup>(3)</sup> Reflects Medicaid, ABD and CHIP programs. The Sunshine State Health Plan LTC program has a market share of 10.9%.

Substantially all of our revenue is derived from operations within the United States and its territories, and all of the Company's long lived assets are based in the United States and its territories. We generally receive a fixed premium per member per month pursuant to our state contracts. Our medical costs have a seasonality component due to cyclical illness, for example cold and flu season, resulting in higher medical expenses beginning in the fourth quarter and continuing throughout the first quarter of the following year. Our managed care subsidiaries in Texas had revenues from the state government that represent 36% of our consolidated total revenues in 2012.

## MEDICAID MANAGED CARE

### Benefits to States

Our ability to establish and maintain a leadership position in the markets we serve results primarily from our demonstrated success in providing quality care while reducing and managing costs, and from our specialized programs in working with state governments. Among the benefits we are able to provide to the states with which we contract are:

• Significant cost savings and budget predictability compared to state paid reimbursement for services. We bring bottom-line management experience to our health plans. On the administrative and management side, we bring experience including quality of care improvement methods, utilization management procedures, an efficient claims payment system, and provider performance reporting, as well as managers and staff experienced in using these key elements to improve the quality of and access to care. We generally receive a contracted premium on a per member

basis and are responsible for the medical costs and as a result, provide budget predictability.

5

---

## Table of Contents

Data-driven approaches to balance cost and verify eligibility. We seek to ensure effective outreach procedures for new members, then educate them and ensure they receive needed services as quickly as possible. Our IT department has created mapping/translation programs for loading membership and linking membership eligibility status to all of Centene's subsystems. We utilize predictive modeling technology to proactively case and disease manage specific high risk members. In addition, we have developed Centelligence, our enterprise data warehouse system to provide a seamless flow of data across our organization, enabling providers and case managers to access information, apply analytical insight and make informed decisions.

Establishment of realistic and meaningful expectations for quality deliverables. We have collaborated with state agencies in redefining benefits, eligibility requirements and provider fee schedules with the goal of maximizing the number of individuals covered through Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD programs.

Managed care expertise in government subsidized programs. Our expertise in Medicaid has helped us establish and maintain strong relationships with our constituent communities of members, providers and state governments. We provide access to services through local providers and staff that focus on the cultural norms of their individual communities. To that end, systems and procedures have been designed to address community-specific challenges through outreach, education, transportation and other member support activities.

Improved quality and medical outcomes. We have implemented programs developed to improve the quality of healthcare delivered to our members including Smart Start for your Baby, Living Well With Sickle Cell and The CentAccount Program.

Timely payment of provider claims. We are committed to ensuring that our information systems and claims payment systems meet or exceed state requirements. We continuously endeavor to update our systems and processes to improve the timeliness of our provider payments.

Provider outreach and programs. Our health plans have adopted a physician-driven approach where network providers are actively engaged in developing and implementing healthcare delivery policies and strategies. We prepare provider comparisons on a severity adjusted basis. This approach is designed to eliminate unnecessary costs, improve services to members and simplify the administrative burdens placed on providers.

Responsible collection and dissemination of utilization data. We gather utilization data from multiple sources, allowing for an integrated view of our members' utilization of services. These sources include medical, vision and behavioral health claims and encounter data, pharmacy data, dental vendor claims and authorization data from the authorization and case management system utilized by us to coordinate care.

Timely and accurate reporting. Our information systems have reporting capabilities which have been instrumental in identifying the need for new and/or improved healthcare and specialty programs. For state agencies, our reporting capability is important in demonstrating an auditable program.

Fraud and abuse prevention. We have several systems in place to help identify, detect and investigate potential waste, abuse and fraud including pre and post payment review software. We collaborate with state and federal agencies and assist with investigation requests. We use nationally recognized standards to benchmark our processes.

## Member Programs and Services

We recognize the importance of member-focused delivery of quality managed care services. Our locally-based staff assists members in accessing care, coordinating referrals to related health and social services and addressing member



concerns and questions. While covered healthcare benefits vary from state to state, our health plans generally provide the following services:

- primary and specialty physician care
- inpatient and outpatient hospital care
- emergency and urgent care
- prenatal care
- laboratory and x-ray services
- home health and durable medical equipment
- behavioral health and substance abuse services
- 24-hour nurse advice line

- transportation assistance
- vision care
- dental care
- immunizations
  - prescriptions and limited over-the-counter drugs
- therapies
- social work services
- care coordination

## Table of Contents

We also provide the following education and outreach programs to inform, assist and incentivize members in accessing quality, appropriate healthcare services in an efficient manner:

Start Smart For Your Baby, or Smart Start, is our award winning prenatal and infant health program designed to increase the percentage of pregnant women receiving early prenatal care, reduce the incidence of low birth weight babies, identify high-risk pregnancies, increase participation in the federal Women, Infant and Children program, prevent hospital admissions in the first year of life and increase well-child visits. The program includes risk assessments, education through face-to-face meetings and materials, behavior modification plans, assistance in selecting a physician for the infant and scheduling newborn follow-up visits. These initiatives are supported by a statistically proven reduction in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) days as well as increased gestational birth weights. The program includes a proprietary Notification of Pregnancy process to identify pregnant women more quickly and enables us to help them gain access to prenatal medical care, give them education on their healthcare needs, assist with social needs and concerns, and coordinate referrals to appropriate specialists and the obstetrics (OB) case management program as needed. The Notification of Pregnancy also identifies women eligible for our high-risk OB management program, or 17P program, which aims to reduce the rate of recurrent pre-term delivery and neonatal intensive care admissions through the use of Progesterone.

Empowering members through educational materials specifically developed for our members is a key part of this program. Our "Your Pregnancy Guide" has received several awards including a National Health Information Awards Silver Medal in 2010, and The Web Health Awards Gold Medal in 2010 for the audio book. In addition, Start Smart has also co-written a book for the first year of life with the American Academy of Pediatrics, "A Guide to Your Baby's Care-The First Year." This book received a National Health Information Award Bronze Medal in 2011 as well as a Web Health Awards Merit Medical for the audio book.

Start Smart continues to be recognized by multiple organizations. In 2010, Start Smart won the Platinum Award for Consumer Empowerment at the URAC Quality Summit and was awarded the 2010 URAC / GKEN International Health Promotion Award for Community Health. Start Smart was recognized in NCQA Quality Profiles: Focus on Patient Engagement as a featured program in 2011. Start Smart won the Case-in Point Platinum Awards Women's/Children's category for the 17P program in 2010 and the Breastfeeding program in 2012. The program has been featured in several issues of the Medicaid Health Plans of America (MHPA) Best Practices Compendium, as well as a video feature on the MHPA website. The results of the Start Smart program have also been published in several peer reviewed articles. Our paper "Effects of a Pregnancy Management Program on Birth Outcomes in Managed Medicaid" published in Managed Care in April 2011 was presented at the Care Continuum Alliance as a finalist for the 2012 Population Health Award. In August 2012, the American Journal of Perinatology published the results of our 17P program, "Pregnancy Outcomes of Managed Medicaid Members Prescribed Home Administration of 17 Alpha-Hydroxyprogesterone Caproate."

Connections Plus is a cell phone program developed for high-risk members who have limited or no access to a safe, reliable telephone. The program puts free, preprogrammed cell phones into the hands of eligible members. This program seeks to eliminate lack of safe, reliable access to a telephone as a barrier to coordinating care, thus reducing avoidable adverse events such as inappropriate emergency room utilization, hospital admissions and premature birth. Members are identified through case management activities or through a referral. Connections Plus is available to high-risk members in all our health plans. Originally designed for pregnant women and ABD populations, this program has now been expanded to service members with mental health issues, and specific diseases, including sickle cell. In 2011, Connections Plus received a MHPA Best Practice Award in the Technology Division and in 2012, it was presented at the TripleTree iAwards as a finalist for Consumer Innovation.

AT&T / WellDoc Program is a pilot program introduced in Ohio in 2011 through a partnership with AT&T. This program provides smart phones to a limited group of high-risk members with diabetes, giving them access to DiabetesManager, the enterprise mHealth solution from AT&T and WellDoc. DiabetesManager enables patients to manage their diabetes by offering real-time tips and advice based on their individual data. It not only tracks food consumption and blood sugar levels, but also allows members to take better control of self-management of their Type 2 diabetes to support them in establishing long-term healthy habits and improved quality of life. This highly-secure technology also empowers our nurse case managers to monitor patients virtually, so they can more efficiently intervene when necessary.

7

---

## Table of Contents

MemberConnections is a community face-to-face outreach and education program designed to create a link between the member and the provider and help identify potential challenges or risk elements to a member's health, such as nutritional challenges and health education shortcomings. MemberConnections representatives contact new members by phone or mail to discuss managed care, the Medicaid program and our services. Our MemberConnections representatives make home visits, conduct educational programs and represent our health plans at community events such as health fairs.

Health Initiatives for Children is aimed at educating child members on a variety of health topics. In order to empower and educate children, we have partnered with a nationally recognized children's author to develop our own children's book series. Our character, Darby, focuses on obesity prevention and healthy eating, asthma, diabetes, foster care and the ills of smoking. Titles in development include bullying and healthy heart. In 2013, we are introducing our newly designed "Darby's Kids Club" which will include an interactive website, newsletters, school visits and contests. Our books have been recognized by the National Health Information Awards. Our asthma book for Children, "Adventures from Puffletown," received the National Health Information Award Silver Medal in 2010. Our children's cookbook, "Super Centeam 5 Cookbook," received the National Health Information Award Bronze Medal in 2011 and "Smokey Yuckpack!" which focuses on the dangers of smoking received the 2012 National Health Information Award Bronze Medal.

Health Initiatives for Teens is aimed at empowering, educating and reinforcing life skills with our teenage members. We have developed an educational series "Off the Chain" that addresses health issues, dealing with chronic diseases such as asthma and teen pregnancy. We have partnered with the National Urban League on a Teen Pregnancy program, including a book we co-wrote with the National Urban League, and an educational course for which the participant can receive high school credits. An interactive website and online course for this program will be launched in 2013. Our "Off the Chain" book has received a 2012 Hermes Gold Medal and a 2011 National Health Information Award Bronze Medal.

Living Well with Sickle Cell is our innovative program that assists with coordination of care for our sickle cell members. Our program targets adult members by ensuring that they have established a medical home and work on strategies to reduce unnecessary ER visits and prevent hospitalizations for pain crisis through proper treatment for control of symptoms and chronic complications and promoting self-management. Using our Centelligence systems, we have proactively identified candidates for the medication Hydroxyurea, which is used in certain subsets of sickle cell patients and has resulted in significantly fewer episodes of acute chest syndrome as well as fewer emergency department (ED) and inpatient visits. Our proactive identification has led to doubling of the number of patients taking the medication over the last 3 years. We have also used our CentAccount program to remove barriers for noncompliance by offering incentives to the members. Additionally we have developed an educational book for our members, "Living Well with Sickle Cell" which received a 2012 Hermes Award Honorable Mention and the audio book received 2012 Web Health Awards Silver Medal.

My Route for Health is our adult educational series used with our case management and disease management programs. Our Obesity Book has received a 2012 Gold Hermes Award and a 2011 National Health Information Award Gold Medal.

Nurtur Diabetes Program is an innovative program that is a collaboration with Nurtur Health, Inc., or Nurtur, and our health plans that targets diabetic patients and educates them on their disease state. This program received the 2012 MHPA Best Practice Award for Outreach.

Health Passport is a leading-edge, patient-centric electronic community health record for foster care children. Health Passport collects patient demographic data, clinician visit records, dispensed medications, vital sign history, lab

results, allergy charts, and immunization data. Providers can directly input additional or updated patient data and documentation into the Passport. All information is accessible anywhere, anytime to all authorized users, including health plan staff, greatly facilitating coordinated care among providers. In 2010, we expanded the Health Passport to our behavioral health program in Arizona.

The CentAccount Program encourages healthy behaviors by offering members financial incentives for performing certain healthy behaviors. The incentives are delivered through a restricted-use prepaid debit card redeemable for health-related items only. This incentive-based approach effectively increases the utilization of preventive services while strengthening the relationships between members and their primary care providers. In 2012, this program received the Case-in-Point Platinum Award for the Medicaid Case Management category.

Table of Contents

The Asthma Management Program integrates a hands-on approach with a flexible outreach methodology that can be customized to suit the different age groups and populations it serves that are affected by asthma. Working through Nurtur, we provide proactive identification of members, stratification into appropriate levels of intervention including home visits, culturally sensitive education, and robust outcome reporting. The program also includes aggressive care coordination to ensure patients have basic services such as transportation to the doctor, electricity to power the nebulizer, and a clean, safe home environment. During 2011, the Asthma Management Program was the recipient of the EPA National Environmental Leadership Award in Asthma Management. The program also received the Case-in-Point Platinum Award for the Disease Management/Population Health Category and the 2012 URAC Best Practice Platinum Award for Consumer Health.

Fluvention is an outreach program aimed at educating members on preventing the transmission of the influenza virus by encouraging members to get the seasonal influenza vaccines and take everyday precautions to prevent illness. We use an integrated communications approach including direct mail, phone calls, providing information via health plan websites and posting information in provider offices. The health plans also conduct general community awareness through public service announcements on television and radio. We target education efforts related to health hygiene, preventative care and the benefits of obtaining appropriate care for those at higher-risk for contracting the influenza viruses, including pregnant women, children from six months old up to 24-year-old adults, as well as adults with chronic health conditions.

EPSDT Case Management is a preventive care program designed to educate our members on the benefits of Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment, or EPSDT, services. We have a systematic program of communicating, tracking, outreach, reporting and follow-through that promotes state EPSDT programs.

Life and Health Management Programs are designed to help members understand their disease and treatment plan and improve their wellness in a cost effective manner. These programs address medical conditions that are common within the Medicaid population such as asthma, diabetes and pregnancy. Our Specialty Services segment manages many of our life and health management programs. Our ABD program uses a proprietary assessment tool that effectively identifies barriers to care, unmet functional needs, available social supports and the existence of behavioral health conditions that impede a member's ability to maintain a proper health status. Care coordinators develop individual care plans with the member and healthcare providers ensuring the full integration of behavioral, social and acute care services. These care plans, while specific to an ABD member, incorporate "Condition Specific" practices in collaboration with physician partners and community resources.

Providers

For each of our service areas, we establish a provider network consisting of primary and specialty care physicians, hospitals and ancillary providers. As of December 31, 2012, the health plans we currently operate contracted with the following number of physicians and hospitals:

Table of Contents

	Primary Care Physicians	Specialty Care Physicians	Hospitals
Arizona	1,026	4,844	26
Florida	2,746	8,675	157
Georgia	3,753	10,324	121
Illinois	2,373	5,467	62
Indiana	1,321	8,301	118
Kentucky	4,303	11,899	120
Louisiana	1,916	5,821	144
Massachusetts	2,348	9,021	41
Mississippi	1,497	4,159	91
Missouri	2,153	8,102	89
Ohio	3,470	18,077	198
South Carolina	1,935	5,565	35
Texas	10,207	30,333	492
Washington	3,352	8,514	120
Wisconsin	3,013	8,912	88
Total	45,413	148,014	1,902

Our network of primary care physicians is a critical component in care delivery, management of costs and the attraction and retention of new members. Primary care physicians include family and general practitioners, pediatricians, internal medicine physicians and obstetricians and gynecologists. Specialty care physicians provide medical care to members generally upon referral by the primary care physicians. Specialty care physicians include, but are not limited to, orthopedic surgeons, cardiologists and otolaryngologists. We also provide education and outreach programs to inform and assist members in accessing quality, appropriate healthcare services.

Our health plans facilitate access to healthcare services for our members primarily through contracts with our providers. Our contracts with primary and specialty care physicians and hospitals usually are for one to two-year periods and renew automatically for successive one-year terms, but generally are subject to termination by either party upon 90 to 120 days prior written notice. In the absence of a contract, we typically pay providers at state Medicaid reimbursement levels. We pay hospitals under a variety of methods, including fee-for-service, per diems, diagnostic related grouping and case rates. We pay physicians under a fee-for-service, capitation arrangement, or risk-sharing arrangement. In addition, we are governed by state prompt payment policies.

Under our fee-for-service contracts with physicians, particularly specialty care physicians, we pay a negotiated fee for covered services. This model is characterized as having no financial risk for the physician. In addition, this model requires management oversight because our total cost may increase as the units of services increase or as more expensive services replace less expensive services. We have prior authorization procedures in place that are intended to make sure that certain high cost diagnostic and other services are medically appropriate.

Under our capitated contracts, primary care physicians are paid a monthly fee for each of our members assigned to his or her practice for all ambulatory care. In return for this payment, these physicians provide all primary care and preventive services, including primary care office visits and EPSDT services, and are at risk for all costs associated with such services. If these physicians also provide non-capitated services to their assigned members, they may receive payment under fee-for-service arrangements at standard Medicaid rates.

Under risk-sharing arrangements, physicians are paid under a capitated or fee-for-service arrangement. The arrangement, however, contains provisions for additional bonus to the physicians or reimbursement from the physicians based upon cost and quality measures.

We work with physicians to help them operate efficiently by providing financial and utilization information, physician and patient educational programs and disease and medical management programs. Our programs are also designed to help the physicians coordinate care outside of their offices.

10

---



## Table of Contents

We believe our collaborative approach with physicians gives us a competitive advantage in entering new markets. Our physicians serve on local committees that assist us in implementing preventive care programs, managing costs and improving the overall quality of care delivered to our members, while also simplifying the administrative burdens on our providers. This approach has enabled us to strengthen our provider networks through improved physician recruitment and retention that, in turn, have helped to increase our membership base. The following are among the services we provide to support physicians:

- Customized Utilization Reports provide certain of our contracted physicians with information that enables them to run their practices more efficiently and focuses them on specific patient needs. For example, quarterly detail reports update physicians on their status within their risk pools. Equivalency reports provide physicians with financial comparisons of capitated versus fee-for-service arrangements.

Case Management Support helps the physician coordinate specialty care and ancillary services for patients with complex conditions and direct members to appropriate community resources to address both their health and socio-economic needs.

Web-based Claims and Eligibility Resources have been implemented to provide physicians with on-line access to perform claims and eligibility inquiries.

Our contracted physicians also benefit from several of the services offered to our members, including the MemberConnections, EPSDT case management and health management programs. For example, the MemberConnections staff facilitates doctor/patient relationships by connecting members with physicians, the EPSDT programs encourage routine checkups for children with their physicians and the health management programs assist physicians in managing their patients with chronic disease.

Where appropriate, our health plans contract with our specialty services organizations to provide services and programs such as behavioral health, life and health management, managed vision, telehealth services and pharmacy benefits management. When necessary, we also contract with third-party providers on a negotiated fee arrangement for physical therapy, home healthcare, diagnostic laboratory tests, x-ray examinations, ambulance services and durable medical equipment. Additionally, we contract with dental vendors in markets where dental care is a provided benefit.

## Quality Management

Our medical management programs focus on improving quality of care in areas that have the greatest impact on our members. We employ strategies, including health management and complex case management, that are adjusted for implementation in our individual markets by a system of physician committees chaired by local physician leaders. This process promotes physician participation and support, both critical factors in the success of any clinical quality improvement program.

We have implemented specialized information systems to support our medical quality management activities. Information is drawn from our data warehouse, clinical databases and our membership and claims processing system to identify opportunities to improve care and to track the outcomes of the interventions implemented to achieve those improvements. Some examples of these intervention programs include:

- appropriate leveling of care for neonatal intensive care unit hospital admissions, other inpatient hospital admissions, and observation admissions, in accordance with Interqual criteria

tightening of our pre-authorization list and more stringent review of durable medical equipment and injectibles

emergency department, or ED, program designed to collaboratively work with hospitals to steer non-emergency care away from the costly ED setting (through patient education, on-site alternative urgent care settings, etc.)

increase emphasis on case management and clinical rounding where case managers are nurses or social workers who are employed by the health plan to assist selected members with the coordination of healthcare services in order to meet a member's specific healthcare needs

incorporation of disease management, which is a comprehensive, multidisciplinary, collaborative approach to chronic illnesses such as asthma and diabetes

## Table of Contents

Start Smart For Your Baby, a prenatal case management program aimed at helping women with high-risk pregnancies deliver full-term, healthy infants

We provide reporting on a regular basis using our data warehouse. State and Health Employer Data and Information Set, or HEDIS, reporting constitutes the core of the information base that drives our clinical quality performance efforts. This reporting is monitored by Plan Quality Improvement Committees and our corporate medical management team.

In an effort to ensure the quality of our provider networks, we undertake to verify the credentials and background of our providers using standards that are supported by the National Committee for Quality Assurance, or NCQA.

It is our objective to provide access to the highest quality of care for our members. As a validation of that objective, we often pursue accreditation by independent organizations that have been established to promote health care quality. The NCQA Health Plan Accreditation and URAC Health Plan Accreditation programs provide unbiased, third party reviews to verify and publicly report results on specific quality care metrics. While we have achieved or are pursuing accreditation for all of our plans, accreditation is only one measure of our ability to provide access to quality care for our members. We currently have six health plans and three specialty companies with NCQA accreditation.

## SPECIALTY SERVICES

Our specialty services are a key component of our healthcare enterprise and complement our core Medicaid Managed Care business. Specialty services diversify our revenue stream, provide higher quality health outcomes to our membership and others, and assist in controlling costs. Our specialty services are provided primarily through the following businesses:

**Behavioral Health.** Cenpatico Behavioral Health, or Cenpatico, manages behavioral healthcare for members via a contracted network of providers. Cenpatico works with providers to determine the best services to help people overcome mental illness and lead productive lives. Our networks feature a full range of services and levels of care to help people with mental illness reach their recovery and wellness goals. In addition, we operate school-based programs in Arizona that focus on students with special needs and also provide speech and other therapy services.

**Individual and State Sponsored Health Insurance Exchanges.** Celtic Insurance Company, or Celtic, is a nationwide healthcare provider licensed in 49 states offering high-quality, affordable health insurance to individual customers and their families. Sold online and through independent insurance agents nationwide, Celtic's portfolio of major medical plans is designed to meet the diverse needs of the uninsured at all budget and benefit levels. Celtic also offers a standalone guaranteed-issue medical conversion program to self-funded employer groups, stop-loss and fully-insured group carriers, managed care plans, and HMO reinsurers.

**Life and Health Management.** Nurtur specializes in implementing life and health management programs that encourage healthy behaviors, promote healthier workplaces, improve workforce and societal productivity and reduce healthcare costs. Health risk appraisals, biometric screenings, online and telephonic wellness programs, disease management and work-life/employee assistance services are areas of focus. Nurtur uses telephonic health and work/life balance coaching, in-home and online interaction and informatics processes to deliver effective clinical outcomes, enhanced patient-provider satisfaction and lower overall healthcare cost.

**LTC and Acute Care.** Bridgeway Health Solutions, or Bridgeway, provides LTC services to the elderly and people with disabilities that meet income and resources requirements who are at risk of being or are institutionalized. Bridgeway participates with community groups to address situations that might be barriers to quality care and independent living. Acute care services include emergency and physician and hospitalization services, limited dental

and rehabilitative services and other maternal and child health services.

Managed Vision. OptiCare Managed Vision, Inc., or OptiCare, administers routine and medical surgical eye care benefits via its own contracted national network of eye care providers. OptiCare clients include Medicaid, Medicare, and commercial health plans, as well as employer groups. OptiCare has been providing vision network services for over 25 years and offers a variety of plan designs to meet the individual needs of its clients and members.

12

---

## Table of Contents

Telehealth Services. NurseWise LP and Nurse Response, Inc. provide a toll-free nurse triage line 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, 52 weeks per year. Our members call one number and reach bilingual customer service representatives and nursing staff who provide health education, triage advice and offer continuous access to health plan functions. Additionally, our representatives verify eligibility, confirm primary care provider assignments and provide benefit and network referral coordination for members and providers after business hours. Our staff can arrange for urgent pharmacy refills, transportation and qualified behavioral health professionals for crisis stabilization assessments.

Pharmacy Benefits Management. US Script, Inc., or US Script, offers progressive pharmacy benefits management services that are specifically designed to improve quality of care while containing costs. This is achieved through a lowest net cost strategy that helps optimize clients' pharmacy benefit. Services include claims processing, pharmacy network management, benefit design consultation, drug utilization review, formulary and rebate management, specialty and mail order pharmacy services, and patient and physician intervention.

In 2013, we announced a definitive agreement to acquire AcariaHealth, one of the nation's largest, independent, comprehensive specialty pharmacy companies. With this transaction, we will expand our specialized pharmacy benefit services for complex diseases, including Hepatitis C, Hemophilia, Multiple Sclerosis, Rheumatoid Arthritis and Oncology.

Care Management Software. Casenet, LLC, or Casenet, is a software provider of innovative care management solutions that automate the clinical, administrative and technical components of care management programs. During 2012, we acquired the remaining minority interest in Casenet and implemented this new software platform, which is available for sale to third parties, in our health plans.

## CORPORATE COMPLIANCE

Our Corporate Ethics and Compliance Program provides controls by which we further enhance operations, safeguard against fraud and abuse, improve access to quality care and help assure that our values are reflected in everything we do.

The two primary standards by which corporate compliance programs in the healthcare industry are measured are the Federal Organizational Sentencing Guidelines and Compliance Program Guidance series issued by the Department of Health and Human Services' Office of the Inspector General, or OIG. Our program contains each of the seven elements suggested by the Sentencing Guidelines and the OIG guidance. These key components are:

- written standards of conduct
- designation of a corporate compliance officer and compliance committee
- effective training and education
- effective lines for reporting and communication
  - enforcement of standards through disciplinary guidelines and actions
- internal monitoring and auditing
- prompt response to detected offenses and development of corrective action plans

The goal of the program is to build a culture of ethics and compliance, which is assessed periodically using a diagnostic survey to measure the integrity of the organization. Our internal Corporate Compliance intranet site, accessible to all employees, contains our Business Ethics and Conduct Policy (Code of Conduct), Compliance Program description and various resources for employees to report concerns or ask questions. If needed, employees have access to the contact information for the members of our Board of Directors' Audit Committee to report

concerns. Our Ethics and Compliance Helpline is a toll-free number and web-based reporting tool operated by a third party independent of the Company to allow employees or other persons to report suspected incidents of misconduct, fraud, abuse or other compliance violations. Furthermore, the Board of Directors reviews an ethics and compliance report on a quarterly basis.

## COMPETITION

We continue to face varying and increasing levels of competition as we expand in our existing service areas or enter new markets, as federal regulations require at least two competitors in each service area. Healthcare reform may cause a number of commercial managed care organizations to decide to enter or exit the Medicaid market.

In our business, our principal competitors for state contracts, members and providers consist of the following types of organizations:

13

---

## Table of Contents

Medicaid Managed Care Organizations focus on providing healthcare services to Medicaid recipients. These organizations consist of national and regional organizations, as well as not-for-profits and smaller organizations that operate in one city or state and are owned by providers, primarily hospitals.

National and Regional Commercial Managed Care Organizations have Medicaid members in addition to members in private commercial plans. Some of these organizations offer a range of specialty services including pharmacy benefits management, behavioral health management, health management, and nurse triage call support centers.

Primary Care Case Management Programs are programs established by the states through contracts with primary care providers. Under these programs, physicians provide primary care services to Medicaid recipients, as well as limited medical management oversight.

We compete with other managed care organizations and specialty companies for state contracts. In order to grant a contract, state governments consider many factors. These factors include quality of care, financial requirements, an ability to deliver services and establish provider networks and infrastructure. In addition, our specialty companies also compete with other providers, such as disease management companies, individual health insurance companies, and pharmacy benefits managers for non-governmental contracts.

We also compete to enroll new members and retain existing members. People who wish to enroll in a managed healthcare plan or to change healthcare plans typically choose a plan based on the quality of care and services offered, ease of access to services, a specific provider being part of the network and the availability of supplemental benefits.

We also compete with other managed care organizations to enter into contracts with physicians, physician groups and other providers. We believe the factors that providers consider in deciding whether to contract with us include existing and potential member volume, reimbursement rates, medical management programs, speed of reimbursement and administrative service capabilities. See “Risk Factors - Competition may limit our ability to increase penetration of the markets that we serve.”

## REGULATION

Our operations are regulated at both state and federal levels. Government regulation of the provision of healthcare products and services is a changing area of law that varies from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Regulatory agencies generally have discretion to issue regulations and interpret and enforce laws and rules. Changes in applicable laws and rules also may occur periodically.

Our regulated subsidiaries are licensed to operate as health maintenance organizations, third party administrators, utilization review and/or insurance companies in their respective states. In each of the jurisdictions in which we operate, we are regulated by the relevant insurance, health and/or human services departments that oversee the activities of managed care organizations providing or arranging to provide services to Medicaid or Medicare enrollees.

The process for obtaining authorization to operate as a managed care organization is complex and requires us to demonstrate to the regulators the adequacy of the health plan's organizational structure, financial resources, utilization review, quality assurance programs, complaint procedures, provider network and procedures for covering emergency medical conditions. Under both state managed care organization statutes and insurance laws, our health plan subsidiaries, as well as our applicable specialty companies, must comply with minimum statutory capital and other financial solvency requirements, such as deposit and surplus requirements. Insurance regulations may also require prior state approval of acquisitions of other managed care organization businesses and the payment of dividends, as

well as notice for loans or the transfer of funds. Our subsidiaries are also subject to periodic state and federal reporting requirements. In addition, each health plan and individual health insurance provider must meet criteria to secure the approval of state regulatory authorities before implementing operational changes, including the development of new product offerings and, in some states, the expansion of service areas.

States have adopted a number of regulations that may affect our business and results of operations. These regulations in certain states include:

- premium taxes or similar assessments
- stringent prompt payment laws
- disclosure requirements regarding provider fee schedules and coding procedures
- programs to monitor and supervise the activities and financial solvency of provider groups



Table of Contents

We are regulated as an insurance holding company and are subject to the insurance holding company acts of the states in which our insurance company and HMO subsidiaries are domiciled. These acts contain certain reporting requirements as well as restrictions on transactions between an insurer or HMO and its affiliates. These holding company laws and regulations generally require insurance companies and HMOs within an insurance holding company system to register with the insurance department of each state where they are domiciled and to file with those states' insurance departments reports describing capital structure, ownership, financial condition, intercompany transactions and general business operations. In addition, depending on the size and nature of the transaction, there are various notice and reporting requirements that generally apply to transactions between insurance companies and HMOs and their affiliates within an insurance holding company structure. Some insurance holding company laws and regulations require prior regulatory approval or, in certain circumstances, prior notice of certain material intercompany transfers of assets as well as certain transactions between insurance companies, HMOs, their parent holding companies and affiliates. Among other provisions, state insurance and HMO laws may restrict the ability of our regulated subsidiaries to pay dividends.

Additionally, the holding company acts of the states in which our subsidiaries are domiciled restrict the ability of any person to obtain control of an insurance company or HMO without prior regulatory approval. Under those statutes, without such approval (or an exemption), no person may acquire any voting security of an insurance holding company, which controls an insurance company or HMO, or merge with such a holding company, if as a result of such transaction such person would “control” the insurance holding company. “Control” is generally defined as the direct or indirect power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a company and is presumed to exist if a person directly or indirectly owns or controls 10% or more of the voting securities of a company.

State Contracts

In addition to being a licensed insurance company or health maintenance organization, in order to be a Medicaid Managed Care organization in each of the states in which we operate, we must operate under a contract with the state's Medicaid agency. States generally use either a formal proposal process, reviewing a number of bidders, or award individual contracts to qualified applicants that apply for entry to the program. We receive monthly payments based on specified capitation rates determined on an actuarial basis. These rates differ by membership category and by state depending on the specific benefits and policies adopted by each state.

Our state contracts and the regulatory provisions applicable to us generally set forth the requirements for operating in the Medicaid sector, including provisions relating to:

- eligibility, enrollment and dis-enrollment processes
- covered services
- eligible providers
- subcontractors
- record-keeping and record retention
- periodic financial and informational reporting
- quality assurance
- accreditation
  
- health education and wellness and prevention programs
- timeliness of claims payment
- financial standards
- safeguarding of member information
- fraud and abuse detection and reporting
- grievance procedures

Organization and administrative systems

A health plan or individual health insurance provider's compliance with these requirements is subject to monitoring by state regulators and by CMS. A health plan is also subject to periodic comprehensive quality assurance evaluations by a third-party reviewing organization and generally by the insurance department of the jurisdiction that licenses the health plan. A health plan or individual health insurance provider must also submit reports to various regulatory agencies, including quarterly and annual statutory financial statements and utilization reports.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the terms of our contracts and provides details regarding related renewal or extension and termination provisions. The contracts are subject to termination for cause, an event of default or lack of funding.

Contract	Expiration Date	Renewal or Extension
Arizona - Acute Care	September 30, 2013	Subject to renewal under the state's reprocurement process effective September 30, 2013.
Arizona - Behavioral Health	September 30, 2013	Renewable for two additional one-year terms.
Arizona - LTC	September 30, 2014	May be extended for up to two additional one-year terms.
Arizona - Special Needs Plan (Medicare)	December 31, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.
Florida - LTC <sup>(1)</sup>	August 31, 2013	Renewable through the state's recertification process.
Florida - Medicaid & ABD	August 31, 2015	Renewable through the state's recertification process.
Florida - CHIP	September 30, 2014	May be extended for up to two additional one-year terms.
Florida - Special Needs Plan (Medicare)	December 31, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.
Georgia - Medicaid & CHIP	June 30, 2013	Renewable for one additional one-year term.
Georgia - Special Needs Plan (Medicare)	December 31, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.
Illinois - ABD	April 30, 2016	May be extended for up to five additional years.
Indiana - Medicaid, CHIP & Hybrid (Healthy Indiana Plan)	December 31, 2014	Renewable for two additional one-year terms.
Kansas - Medicaid, ABD, CHIP, LTC & Foster Care	December 31, 2015	Renewable for two additional one-year terms.
Kentucky - Medicaid, Foster Care, & ABD <sup>(2)</sup>	July 5, 2013	
Louisiana - Medicaid, CHIP & ABD	January 31, 2015	Renewable for an additional two-year period through the state's recertification process.
Massachusetts - Hybrid (Commonwealth Care)	June 30, 2013	Renewable through the state's recertification process.

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

Missouri - Medicaid, CHIP & Foster Care	June 30, 2013	Renewable for two additional one-year terms.
Mississippi - Medicaid, ABD & Foster Care	December 31, 2013	Renewable through the state's reprocurement process.
New Hampshire - Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care & ABD	June 30, 2015	Renewable for one additional two-year term.
Ohio - Medicaid, CHIP & ABD <sup>(3)</sup>	June 30, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.
Ohio - Special Needs Plan (Medicare)	December 31, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.
South Carolina - Medicaid & ABD	December 31, 2013	Renewable through the state's recertification process.
Texas - ABD Dallas Expansion	August 31, 2013	May be extended for up to five additional years.
Texas - CHIP Rural Service Area	August 31, 2013	May be extended for up to five additional years.
Texas - Foster Care	August 31, 2015	May be extended for up to three and a half additional years.
Texas - Hybrid (Healthy Texas)	August 31, 2013	Renewable for two additional two-year terms.

Table of Contents

Texas - Medicaid, CHIP & ABD	August 31, 2015	May be extended for up to four and a half additional years.
Texas - Special Needs Plan (Medicare)	December 31, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.
Washington - Medicaid, CHIP, ABD, Foster Care & Hybrid	December 31, 2013	Renewable through the state's recertification process.
Wisconsin - Medicaid, CHIP & ABD	December 31, 2013	Renewable through the state's recertification process every two years.
Wisconsin - Network Health Plan Subcontract	December 31, 2016	Renews automatically for successive five-year terms.
Wisconsin - Special Needs Plan (Medicare)	December 31, 2013	Renewable annually for successive 12-month periods.

The current Florida LTC contract expires on August 31, 2013. In January 2013, our Florida subsidiary, Sunshine State Health Plan, was notified by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration it has been recommended for a contract award in 10 regions of the Medicaid Managed Care LTC program. Upon execution of a contract and regulatory approval, enrollment will be implemented out by region, beginning in August 2013 and continuing through March 2014.

In October 2012, we notified the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services that we were exercising a contractual right that we believe allows us to terminate our Medicaid managed care contract with the Commonwealth of Kentucky effective July 5, 2013. We have also filed a formal dispute with the Cabinet for damages incurred under the contract. In addition, we have filed a lawsuit in Franklin Circuit Court against the Commonwealth of Kentucky seeking declaratory relief as a result of the Commonwealth's failure to completely and accurately disclose material information.

The current Ohio Medicaid, CHIP & ABD contract expires on June 30, 2013. The recently awarded statewide Ohio Medicaid, CHIP & ABD contract commences July 1, 2013.

#### HIPAA and HITECH

In 1996, Congress enacted the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or HIPAA. HIPAA is designed to improve the portability and continuity of health insurance coverage, simplify the administration of health insurance transactions and ensure the privacy and security of individual health information. Among the main requirements of HIPAA are the Administrative Simplification provisions which include: standards for processing health insurance claims and related transactions (Transactions Standards); requirements for protecting the privacy and limiting the disclosure of medical records and other personal health information (Privacy Rule); and standards and specifications for safeguarding personal health information which is maintained, stored or transmitted in electronic format (Security Rule). The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, which was enacted as part of the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009, amended certain provisions of HIPAA and introduced new data security obligations for covered entities and their business associates. HITECH also mandated individual notifications in instances of a data breach, provided enhanced penalties for HIPAA violations, and granted enforcement authority to states' Attorneys General in addition to the HHS Office of Civil Rights.

The Privacy and Security Rules and HITECH enhancements establish requirements to protect the privacy of medical records and safeguard personal health information maintained and used by healthcare providers, health plans, healthcare clearinghouses, and their business associates. Other requirements of the privacy regulations include:

- limit certain uses and disclosures of health information, and require individual authorizations for some uses and disclosures of protected health information
- guarantee individuals the right to access their health information and to know who else has accessed it
  - limit most disclosure of health information to the minimum needed for the intended purpose
- establish procedures to ensure the protection of health information
- authorize access to records by researchers and others under certain circumstances
- establish requirements for information breach notifications
- impose criminal and civil sanctions for improper uses or disclosures of health information

The Security Rule requires healthcare providers, health plans, healthcare clearinghouses, and their business associates to implement administrative, physical and technical safeguards to ensure the privacy and confidentiality of health information when it is electronically stored, maintained or transmitted. The HITECH Act established a federal requirement for notification when the security of protected health information is breached. In addition, there is a patchwork of state laws that have been adopted to provide for, among other things, private rights of action for breaches of data security and mandatory notification to persons whose identifiable information is obtained without authorization.

## Table of Contents

The requirements of the Transactions Standards apply to certain healthcare related transactions conducted using “electronic media.” Since “electronic media” is defined broadly to include “transmissions that are physically moved from one location to another using magnetic tape, disk or compact disk media,” many communications are considered to be electronically transmitted. Under HIPAA, health plans are required to have the capacity to accept and send all covered transactions in a standardized electronic format. Penalties can be imposed for failure to comply with these requirements. The transaction standards have been modified to version 5010 to prepare for the implementation of the ICD10 coding system. We are planning for an expected transition to ICD10 in October 2014.

The preemption provisions of HIPAA provide that the federal standards will not preempt state laws that are more stringent than the related federal requirements. In addition, the Secretary of HHS may grant exceptions allowing state laws contrary to HIPAA to prevail if the Secretary determines that:

- the state law is necessary to prevent fraud and abuse associated with the provision of and payment for healthcare
- the state law is necessary to ensure appropriate state regulation of insurance and health plans
- the state law is necessary for state reporting on healthcare delivery or costs
- the state law addresses controlled substances

We have implemented processes, policies and procedures to comply with both HIPAA and HITECH, including administrative, technical and physical safeguards to prevent against electronic data breach. We provide education and training for employees specifically designed to help prevent any unauthorized use or access to health information and enhance the reporting of suspected breaches. In addition, our corporate privacy officer and health plan privacy officials handle privacy complaints and serve as resources to employees to address questions or concerns they may have.

### Other Fraud and Abuse Laws

Investigating and prosecuting healthcare fraud and abuse continues to be a top priority for state and federal law enforcement entities. The focus of these efforts has been directed at participants in public government healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The laws and regulations relating to fraud and abuse and the contractual requirements applicable to health plans participating in these programs are complex and regularly changing and compliance with them may require substantial resources. We are constantly looking for ways to improve our waste, fraud and abuse detection methods. While we have both prospective and retrospective processes to identify abusive patterns and fraudulent billing, we continue to increase our capabilities to proactively detect inappropriate billing prior to payment.

### EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately 6,800 employees. None of our employees are represented by a union. We believe our relationships with our employees are good.

Table of Contents

## EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers, including their ages, at February 8, 2013:

Name	Age	Position
Michael F. Neidorff	70	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
K. Rone Baldwin	54	Executive Vice President, Insurance Group Business Unit
Carol E. Goldman	55	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer
Jason M. Harrold	43	Executive Vice President, Specialty Company Business Unit
Robert T. Hitchcock	46	Executive Vice President, Health Plan Business Unit
Jesse N. Hunter	37	Executive Vice President, Chief Business Development Officer
Donald G. Imholz	60	Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer
Edmund E. Kroll	53	Senior Vice President, Finance and Investor Relations
C. David Minifie	42	Executive Vice President, Business Integration & Chief Marketing Officer
William N. Scheffel	59	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Jeffrey A. Schwaneke	37	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer
Keith H. Williamson	60	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Michael F. Neidorff. Mr. Neidorff has served as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since May 2004. From May 1996 to May 2004, Mr. Neidorff served as President, Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our Board of Directors. Mr. Neidorff also serves as a director of Brown Shoe Company, Inc., a publicly-traded footwear company with global operations.

K. Rone Baldwin. Mr. Baldwin has served as our Executive Vice President, Insurance Group Business Unit since December 2012. Prior to joining Centene, he served as Executive Vice President and Business Leader of Group Insurance Business, which included both group health and ancillary product lines, for Guardian Life Insurance Company, which he joined in 2006.

Carol E. Goldman. Ms. Goldman has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer since June 2007. Prior to this position, Ms. Goldman has held various positions of increasing responsibility since joining Centene in 2001.

Jason M. Harrold. Mr. Harrold has served as our Executive Vice President, Specialty Company Business Unit since April 2012. From August 2009 to April 2012, he served as our Senior Vice President, Specialty Business Unit. He served as President of OptiCare from July 2000 to August 2009.

Robert T. Hitchcock. Mr. Hitchcock has served as our Executive Vice President, Health Plan Officer, since October 2012. From March 1997 to October 2012, Mr. Hitchcock held various positions of increasing responsibility at Humana including Divisional Vice President, Western Division Medicare Operations.

Jesse N. Hunter. Mr. Hunter has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Business Development Officer since December 2012. From February 2012 to December 2012, he served as our Executive Vice President, Operations. He previously served as our Executive Vice President, Corporate Development from April 2008 to February 2012. He served as our Senior Vice President, Corporate Development from April 2007 to April 2008.

Donald G. Imholz. Mr. Imholz has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer since December 2009. Mr. Imholz served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer from September 2008 to December 2009. From January 2008 to September 2008, Mr. Imholz was an independent consultant working for



clients across a variety of industries.

Edmund E. Kroll. Mr. Kroll has served as our Senior Vice President, Finance and Investor Relations since May 2007.

C. David Minifie. Mr. Minifie has served as our Executive Vice President, Business Integration and Chief Marketing Officer since December 2012. He previously served as our Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer from April 2012 to December 2012. From 1999 to April 2012, Mr. Minifie held various positions of increasing responsibility at Procter & Gamble including Global Eukanuba Associate Marketing Director.

Table of Contents

William N. Scheffel. Mr. Scheffel has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since May 2009. He served as our Executive Vice President, Specialty Business Unit from June 2007 to May 2009.

Jeffrey A. Schwaneke. Mr. Schwaneke has served as our Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller since December 2011 and our Chief Accounting Officer since September 2008. He served as our Vice President, Corporate Controller from July 2008 to December 2011. He previously served as Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer at Novelis Inc. from October 2007 to July 2008.

Keith H. Williamson. Mr. Williamson has served as our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since November 2012. He served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel from November 2006 to November 2012. Mr. Williamson also serves as a director of PPL Corporation, a publicly-traded utility holding company.

Available Information

We are subject to the reporting and information requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) and, as a result, we file periodic reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. We make these filings available on our website free of charge, the URL of which is <http://www.centene.com>, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. The SEC maintains a website (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains our annual, quarterly and current reports and other information we file electronically with the SEC. You can read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1850, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Information on our website does not constitute part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS AND THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK

You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, in which case you could lose all or part of your investment. You should also refer to the other information in this filing, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes. The risks and uncertainties described below are those that we currently believe may materially affect our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of or that we currently deem immaterial also may become important factors that affect our Company.

Risks Related to Being a Regulated Entity

Reduction in Medicaid, CHIP and ABD funding could substantially reduce our profitability.

Most of our revenues come from Medicaid, CHIP and ABD premiums. The base premium rate paid by each state differs, depending on a combination of factors such as defined upper payment limits, a member's health status, age, gender, county or region, benefit mix and member eligibility categories. Since Medicaid was created in 1965, the federal government and the states have shared the costs, with the federal share currently averaging around 57%. Future levels of Medicaid, CHIP and ABD funding and premium rates may be affected by continuing government efforts to contain healthcare costs and may further be affected by state and federal budgetary constraints.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the accompanying Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act collectively referred to as the Affordable Care Act (ACA), were enacted. While the

constitutionality of the ACA was subsequently challenged in a number of legal actions, in June 2012, the Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the ACA, with one limited exception relating to the Medicaid expansion provision. The Court held that states could not be required to expand Medicaid and risk losing all federal money for their existing Medicaid programs. Under the ACA, Medicaid coverage will be expanded to all individuals under age 65 with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level beginning January 1, 2014, subject to the states' elections. The federal government will pay the entire costs for Medicaid coverage for newly eligible beneficiaries for 3 years, from 2014 through 2016. In 2017, the federal share declines to 95%; in 2018 it is 94%; in 2019 it is 93%; and it will be 90% in 2020 and subsequent years. States may delay Medicaid expansion after 2014 but the federal payment rates will be less. It is unknown as to what states will expand their Medicaid programs although certain states, including Florida, Louisiana, and Texas, have indicated that they will not do so.

Table of Contents

Although states are currently required by law to maintain current Medicaid eligibility standards until at least 2014, at least one state has filed a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality of the “maintenance of effort” (MOE) provision based on the Supreme Court’s decision. States may also seek to reduce reimbursement or benefits to enable them to afford to maintain their eligibility levels.

States periodically consider reducing or reallocating the amount of money they spend for Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD. The current adverse economic conditions have, and are expected to continue to, put pressures on state budgets as tax and other state revenues decrease while these eligible populations increase, creating more need for funding. We anticipate this will require government agencies with whom we contract to find funding alternatives, which may result in reductions in funding for current programs and program expansions, contraction of covered benefits, limited or no premium rate increases or premium decreases. In recent years, the majority of states have implemented measures to restrict Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD costs and eligibility. If any state in which we operate were to decrease premiums paid to us, or pay us less than the amount necessary to keep pace with our cost trends, it could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

Changes to Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD programs could reduce the number of persons enrolled in or eligible for these programs, reduce the amount of reimbursement or payment levels, or increase our administrative or healthcare costs under these programs, all of which could have a negative impact on our business. Recent legislation generally requires that eligibility levels be maintained, but this could cause states to reduce reimbursement or reduce benefits in order to afford to maintain eligibility levels. A number of states have requested waivers to the requirements to maintain eligibility levels and legislation has been introduced that would eliminate the requirement that eligibility levels be maintained. We believe that reductions in Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD payments could substantially reduce our profitability. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds.

If we are unable to participate in CHIP programs, our growth rate may be limited.

CHIP is a federal initiative designed to provide coverage for low-income children not otherwise covered by Medicaid or other insurance programs. The programs vary significantly from state to state. Participation in CHIP programs is an important part of our growth strategy. If states do not allow us to participate or if we fail to win bids to participate, our growth strategy may be materially and adversely affected.

If CHIP is not reauthorized or states face shortfalls, our business could suffer.

Federal support for CHIP has been authorized through 2019, with funding authorized through 2015. We cannot be certain that funding for CHIP will be reauthorized when current funding expires in 2015. Thus, we cannot predict the impact that reauthorization will have on our business.

States receive matching funds from the federal government to pay for their CHIP programs which have a per state annual cap. Because of funding caps, there is a risk that states could experience shortfalls in future years, which could have an impact on our ability to receive amounts owed to us from states in which we have CHIP contracts.

If any of our state contracts are terminated or are not renewed, our business will suffer.

We provide managed care programs and selected services to individuals receiving benefits under federal assistance programs, including Medicaid, CHIP and ABD. We provide those healthcare services under contracts with regulatory entities in the areas in which we operate. Our contracts with various states are generally intended to run for one or two years and may be extended for one or two additional years if the state or its agent elects to do so. Our current contracts are set to expire or renew between June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2016. When our contracts expire, they

may be opened for bidding by competing healthcare providers. There is no guarantee that our contracts will be renewed or extended. For example, on April 12, 2010, the Wisconsin Department of Health Services notified us that our Wisconsin subsidiary was not awarded a Southeast Wisconsin BadgerCare Plus Managed Care contract. While we will continue to serve other regions of the state, we transitioned the affected members to other plans by November 1, 2010. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds. For example, the Indiana contract under which we operate can be terminated by the State without cause. Our contracts could also be terminated if we fail to perform in accordance with the standards set by state regulatory agencies. If any of our contracts are terminated, not renewed, renewed on less favorable terms, or not renewed on a timely basis, our business will suffer, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows may be materially affected.

## Table of Contents

Changes in government regulations designed to protect the financial interests of providers and members rather than our investors could force us to change how we operate and could harm our business.

Our business is extensively regulated by the states in which we operate and by the federal government. The applicable laws and regulations are subject to frequent change and generally are intended to benefit and protect the financial interests of health plan providers and members rather than investors. The enactment of new laws and rules or changes to existing laws and rules or the interpretation of such laws and rules could, among other things:

- force us to restructure our relationships with providers within our network;
- require us to implement additional or different programs and systems;
- mandate minimum medical expense levels as a percentage of premium revenues;
- restrict revenue and enrollment growth;
- require us to develop plans to guard against the financial insolvency of our providers;
- increase our healthcare and administrative costs;
- impose additional capital and reserve requirements; and
- increase or change our liability to members in the event of malpractice by our providers.

The ACA also requires that proposed increases of 10% or more of premiums for most individual and small group insurance health insurance plans must be approved by state or federal officials (Rate Review Program).

Regulations may decrease the profitability of our health plans.

Certain states have enacted regulations which require us to maintain a minimum health benefits ratio, or establish limits on our profitability. Other states require us to meet certain performance and quality metrics in order to receive our full contractual revenue. In certain circumstances, our plans may be required to pay a rebate to the state in the event profits exceed established levels. These regulatory requirements, changes in these requirements or the adoption of similar requirements by other regulators may limit our ability to increase our overall profits as a percentage of revenues. Most states, including but not limited to Georgia, Indiana, Texas and Wisconsin have implemented prompt-payment laws and many states are enforcing penalty provisions for failure to pay claims in a timely manner. Failure to meet these requirements can result in financial fines and penalties. In addition, states may attempt to reduce their contract premium rates if regulators perceive our health benefits ratio as too low. Any of these regulatory actions could harm our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Certain states also impose marketing restrictions on us which may constrain our membership growth and our ability to increase our revenues.

If we fail to comply with Medicare laws and regulation, our growth rate could be limited.

We feel there are potential growth opportunities in dual eligible markets to fully integrate care for dual eligible beneficiaries who are enrolled in both Medicaid and Medicare. The dual eligible population represents a disproportionate amount of state and federal health care spending yet less than 15 percent of dual eligibles are in comprehensive, managed care. As a result, states and the federal government have put dual eligibles on the fast track to managed care and dual eligibles are an important part of our growth strategy.

Although we believe that we substantially comply with all existing Medicare statutes and regulations applicable to our business, different interpretations and enforcement policies of these laws and regulations could subject our current practices to allegations of impropriety or illegality or could require us to make significant changes to our operations. If we fail to comply with existing or future applicable Medicare laws and regulations, states may not allow us to continue to participate in dual eligible demonstration programs or we may fail to win bids to participate in such programs, and our growth strategy may be materially and adversely affected.

We face periodic reviews, audits and investigations under our contracts with state and federal government agencies, and these audits could have adverse findings, which may negatively impact our business.

We contract with various state and federal governmental agencies to provide managed healthcare services. Pursuant to these contracts, we are subject to various reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with the contracts and applicable laws and regulations. Any adverse review, audit or investigation could result in:

- cancellation of our contracts;
- refunding of amounts we have been paid pursuant to our contracts;
- imposition of fines, penalties and other sanctions on us;

## Table of Contents

- loss of our right to participate in various markets;
- increased difficulty in selling our products and services; or
- loss of one or more of our licenses.

Failure to comply with government regulations could subject us to civil and criminal penalties.

Federal and state governments have enacted fraud and abuse laws and other laws to protect patients' privacy and access to healthcare. In some states, we may be subject to regulation by more than one governmental authority, which may impose overlapping or inconsistent regulations. Violation of these and other laws or regulations governing our operations or the operations of our providers could result in the imposition of civil or criminal penalties, the cancellation of our contracts to provide services, the suspension or revocation of our licenses or our exclusion from participating in the Medicaid, CHIP, LTC, Foster Care and ABD programs. If we were to become subject to these penalties or exclusions as the result of our actions or omissions or our inability to monitor the compliance of our providers, it would negatively affect our ability to operate our business.

HIPAA broadened the scope of fraud and abuse laws applicable to healthcare companies. HIPAA created civil penalties for, among other things, billing for medically unnecessary goods or services. HIPAA established new enforcement mechanisms to combat fraud and abuse, including civil and, in some instances, criminal penalties for failure to comply with specific standards relating to the privacy, security and electronic transmission of protected health information. The HITECH Act expanded the scope of these provisions by mandating individual notification in instances of breaches of protected health information, providing enhanced penalties for HIPAA violations, and granting enforcement authority to states' Attorneys General in addition to the HHS Office of Civil Rights. It is possible that Congress may enact additional legislation in the future to increase penalties and to create a private right of action under HIPAA, which could entitle patients to seek monetary damages for violations of the privacy rules.

We may incur significant costs as a result of compliance with government regulations, and our management will be required to devote time to compliance.

Many aspects of our business are affected by government laws and regulations. The issuance of new regulations, or judicial or regulatory guidance regarding existing regulations, could require changes to many of the procedures we currently use to conduct our business, which may lead to additional costs that we have not yet identified. We do not know whether, or the extent to which, we will be able to recover from the states our costs of complying with these new regulations. The costs of any such future compliance efforts could have a material adverse effect on our business. We have already expended significant time, effort and financial resources to comply with the privacy and security requirements of HIPAA and will have to expend additional time and financial resources to comply with the HIPAA provisions contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act. We cannot predict whether states will enact stricter laws governing the privacy and security of electronic health information. If any new requirements are enacted at the state or federal level, compliance would likely require additional expenditures and management time.

Changes in healthcare law and benefits may reduce our profitability.

Changes in applicable laws and regulations are continually being considered, and interpretations of existing laws and rules may also change from time to time. We are unable to predict what regulatory changes may occur or what effect any particular change may have on our business. For example, these changes could reduce the number of persons enrolled or eligible to enroll in Medicaid, reduce the reimbursement or payment levels for medical services or reduce benefits included in Medicaid coverage. We are also unable to predict whether new laws or proposals will favor or hinder the growth of managed healthcare in general.



Beginning in 2014, the ACA requires that policies of health insurance offered in individual and small group markets as well as Medicaid benchmark plans provide coverage of designated items and services known as essential health benefits. These must include at least 10 legally defined benefit categories. HHS has granted states significant flexibility in establishing what constitutes essential health benefits in their states. The diversity of essential health benefits across states will increase the complexity in managing health plans and may affect payments.

Initiatives have begun in at least 26 states to more efficiently care for people who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid. As a result, hospitals are seeking higher Medicare reimbursement rates for these patients from insurers which could negatively impact profits.

## Table of Contents

The health care reform law and the implementation of that law could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

The ACA provides comprehensive changes to the U.S. health care system, which are being phased in at various stages through 2018. The legislation imposes an annual insurance industry assessment of \$8 billion starting in 2014, with increasing annual amounts thereafter. Such assessment may not be deductible for income tax purposes. If this federal premium tax is imposed as enacted, and if the cost of the federal premium tax is not included in the calculation of our rates, or if we are unable to otherwise adjust our business model to address this new tax, our results of operations, financial position and liquidity may be materially adversely affected.

There are numerous outstanding steps required to implement the legislation, including the promulgation of a substantial number of new and potentially more onerous federal regulations. Further, various health insurance reform proposals are also emerging at the state level. Because of the unsettled nature of these reforms and numerous steps required to implement them, we cannot predict what additional health insurance requirements will be implemented at the federal or state level, or the effect that any future legislation or regulation will have on our business or our growth opportunities.

Although we believe the legislation may provide us with significant opportunities to grow our business, the enacted reforms, as well as future regulations and legislative changes, may in fact have a material adverse affect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity. If we fail to effectively implement our operational and strategic initiatives with respect to the implementation of health care reform, or do not do so as effectively as our competitors, our business may be materially adversely affected.

If a state fails to renew a required federal waiver for mandated Medicaid enrollment into managed care or such application is denied, our membership in that state will likely decrease.

States may administer Medicaid managed care programs pursuant to demonstration programs or required waivers of federal Medicaid standards. Waivers and demonstration programs are generally approved for two year periods and can be renewed on an ongoing basis if the state applies. We have no control over this renewal process. If a state does not renew such a waiver or demonstration program or the Federal government denies a state's application for renewal, membership in our health plan in the state could decrease and our business could suffer.

Changes in federal funding mechanisms may reduce our profitability.

Changes in funding for Medicaid may affect our business. For example, on May 29, 2007, CMS issued a final rule that would reduce states' use of intergovernmental transfers for the states' share of Medicaid program funding. By restricting the use of intergovernmental transfers, this rule may restrict some states' funding for Medicaid, which could adversely affect our growth, operations and financial performance. On May 23, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia vacated the final rule as improperly promulgated. On November 30, 2010, CMS issued final regulations that remove these provisions and restore the regulatory language that was in place before the 2007 regulations were issued. While this rule has been removed, we cannot predict whether another similar rule or any other rule that changes funding mechanisms will be promulgated, and if any are, what impact they will have on our business.

Legislative changes in the Medicare program may also affect our business. For example, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 revised cost-sharing requirements for some beneficiaries and required states to reimburse the federal Medicare program for costs of prescription drug coverage provided to beneficiaries who are enrolled simultaneously in both the Medicaid and Medicare programs.

Medicaid spending by the federal government could be decreased as part of the spending cuts associated with the the debt ceiling.

The Sequestration Transparency Act of 2012 (P.L. 112-155) requires President Obama to submit to Congress a report on the potential sequestration triggered by the failure of the Joint Selective Committee on Deficit Reduction to propose, and Congress to enact, a plan to reduce the deficit by \$1.2 trillion, as required by the Budget Control Act of 2011. Under the sequestration, automatic spending cuts would have become effective beginning January 2, 2013. This would result in cuts of 2% (\$11.1 billion) to Medicare. Although Medicare is impacted, Medicaid programs are not subject to automatic spending cuts. However, on January 2, 2013, President Obama signed into law The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which among other things, delayed such automatic spending cuts until March 1, 2013.

## Table of Contents

We cannot predict whether Congress will take any action to change the automatic spending cuts. Further, we cannot predict how states will react to any changes that occur at the federal level.

If state regulatory agencies require a statutory capital level higher than the state regulations, we may be required to make additional capital contributions.

Our operations are conducted through our wholly owned subsidiaries, which include health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, and managed care organizations, or MCOs. HMOs and MCOs are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Additionally, state regulatory agencies may require, at their discretion, individual HMOs to maintain statutory capital levels higher than the state regulations. If this were to occur to one of our subsidiaries, we may be required to make additional capital contributions to the affected subsidiary. Any additional capital contribution made to one of the affected subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our ability to grow.

If state regulators do not approve payments of dividends and distributions by our subsidiaries to us, we may not have sufficient funds to implement our business strategy.

We principally operate through our health plan subsidiaries. If funds normally available to us become limited in the future, we may need to rely on dividends and distributions from our subsidiaries to fund our operations. These subsidiaries are subject to regulations that limit the amount of dividends and distributions that can be paid to us without prior approval of, or notification to, state regulators. If these regulators were to deny our subsidiaries' request to pay dividends to us, the funds available to us would be limited, which could harm our ability to implement our business strategy.

## Risks Related to Our Business

Ineffectiveness of state-operated systems and subcontractors could adversely affect our business.

Our health plans rely on other state-operated systems or sub-contractors to qualify, solicit, educate and assign eligible members into the health plans. The effectiveness of these state operations and sub-contractors can have a material effect on a health plan's enrollment in a particular month or over an extended period. When a state implements new programs to determine eligibility, new processes to assign or enroll eligible members into health plans, or chooses new contractors, there is an increased potential for an unanticipated impact on the overall number of members assigned into the health plans.

Failure to accurately predict our medical expenses could negatively affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our medical expense includes claims reported but not yet paid, or inventory, estimates for claims incurred but not reported, or IBNR, and estimates for the costs necessary to process unpaid claims at the end of each period. Our development of the medical claims liability estimate is a continuous process which we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as claims receipts and payment information becomes available. As more complete information becomes available, we adjust the amount of the estimate, and include the changes in estimates in medical expense in the period in which the changes are identified.

While we utilize our predictive modeling technology and our executive dashboard, we still cannot be sure that our medical claims liability estimates are adequate or that adjustments to those estimates will not unfavorably impact our results of operations. For example, in the three months ended June 30, 2006 we adjusted medical expense by \$9.7 million for adverse medical costs development from the first quarter of 2006.

Additionally, when we commence operations in a new state or region, we have limited information with which to estimate our medical claims liability. For example, we commenced operations in Kentucky in November 2011, in Louisiana in February 2012, in Missouri and Washington in July 2012 and expanded in Texas in March 2012. For a period of time after the inception of business in these states, we base our estimates on state-provided historical actuarial data and limited actual incurred and received claims. The addition of new categories of individuals who are eligible for Medicaid under new legislation may pose the same difficulty in estimating our medical claims liability and utilization patterns.

From time to time in the past, our actual results have varied from our estimates, particularly in times of significant changes in the number of our members. The accuracy of our medical claims liability estimate may also affect our ability to take timely corrective actions, further harming our results.

Table of Contents

Assumptions and estimates are utilized in establishing premium deficiency reserves. In October 2012, we notified the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services that we were exercising a contractual right that we believe allows Kentucky Spirit to terminate its Medicaid managed care contract with the Commonwealth of Kentucky effective July 5, 2013. As a result, we recorded a premium deficiency reserve included in Medical costs expense of \$41.5 million for the Kentucky contract in the year ended December 31, 2012. The premium deficiency reserve encompasses the contract period from January 1, 2013 through July 5, 2013. If our assumptions are inaccurate, our reserves may be inadequate to pay medical costs and there could be a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition. In addition, if the contract is not terminated effective July 5, 2013, we may be required to increase our premium deficiency reserve and there could be a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition.

Receipt of inadequate or significantly delayed premiums would negatively affect our revenues, profitability or cash flows.

Our premium revenues consist of fixed monthly payments per member and supplemental payments for other services such as maternity deliveries. These premiums are fixed by contract, and we are obligated during the contract periods to provide healthcare services as established by the state governments. We use a large portion of our revenues to pay the costs of healthcare services delivered to our members. If premiums do not increase when expenses related to medical services rise, our earnings will be affected negatively. In addition, our actual medical services costs may exceed our estimates, which would cause our health benefits ratio, or our expenses related to medical services as a percentage of premium revenue, to increase and our profits to decline. In addition, it is possible for a state to increase the rates payable to certain providers without granting a corresponding increase in premiums to us. If this were to occur in one or more of the states in which we operate, our profitability would be harmed. In addition, if there is a significant delay in our receipt of premiums to offset previously incurred health benefits costs, our cash flows or earnings could be negatively impacted.

In some instances, our base premiums are subject to an adjustment, or risk score, based on the acuity of our membership. Generally, the risk score is determined by the State analyzing encounter submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's Medicaid membership. The risk score is dependent on several factors including our providers' completeness and quality of claims submission, our processing of the claim, submission of the processed claims in the form of encounters to the states' encounter systems and the states' acceptance and analysis of the encounter data. If the risk scores assigned to our premiums that are risk adjusted are not adequate or do not appropriately reflect the acuity of our membership, our earnings will be affected negatively.

Failure to effectively manage our medical costs or related administrative costs or uncontrollable epidemic or pandemic costs would reduce our profitability.

Our profitability depends, to a significant degree, on our ability to predict and effectively manage expenses related to health benefits. We have less control over the costs related to medical services than we do over our general and administrative expenses. Because of the narrow margins of our health plan business, relatively small changes in our health benefits ratio can create significant changes in our financial results. Changes in healthcare regulations and practices, the level of use of healthcare services, hospital costs, pharmaceutical costs, major epidemics or pandemics, new medical technologies and other external factors, including general economic conditions such as inflation levels, are beyond our control and could reduce our ability to predict and effectively control the costs of providing health benefits. In 2009, the H1N1 influenza pandemic resulted in heightened costs due to increased physician visits and increased utilization of hospital emergency rooms and pharmaceutical costs. We cannot predict what impact an epidemic or pandemic will have on our costs in the future. Additionally, we may not be able to manage costs effectively in the future. If our costs related to health benefits increase, our profits could be reduced or we may not

remain profitable.

Our investment portfolio may suffer losses from changes in market interest rates and changes in market conditions which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity.

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$983.1 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$649.5 million of long-term investments and restricted deposits. We maintain an investment portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments in a variety of securities which may include asset backed securities, bank deposits, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, money market funds, municipal bonds, corporate bonds, instruments of the U.S. Treasury and other government corporations and agencies, insurance contracts and equity securities. These investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. Substantially all of these securities are subject to interest rate and credit risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase or one of the issuers' credit ratings is reduced. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity of our investments, which may have a negative adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

26

---

Table of Contents

Our investments in state, municipal and corporate securities are not guaranteed by the United States government which could materially and adversely affect our results of operation, liquidity or financial condition.

As of December 31, 2012, we had \$539.7 million of investments in state, municipal and corporate securities. These securities are not guaranteed by the United States government. State and municipal securities are subject to additional credit risk based upon each local municipality's tax revenues and financial stability. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity of our investments, which may have a negative adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Difficulties in executing our acquisition strategy could adversely affect our business.

Historically, the acquisition of Medicaid and specialty services businesses, contract rights and related assets of other health plans both in our existing service areas and in new markets has accounted for a significant amount of our growth. Many of the other potential purchasers have greater financial resources than we have. In addition, many of the sellers are interested either in (a) selling, along with their Medicaid assets, other assets in which we do not have an interest or (b) selling their companies, including their liabilities, as opposed to the assets of their ongoing businesses.

We generally are required to obtain regulatory approval from one or more state agencies when making acquisitions. In the case of an acquisition of a business located in a state in which we do not currently operate, we would be required to obtain the necessary licenses to operate in that state. In addition, even if we already operate in a state in which we acquire a new business, we would be required to obtain additional regulatory approval if the acquisition would result in our operating in an area of the state in which we did not operate previously, and we could be required to renegotiate provider contracts of the acquired business. We cannot provide any assurance that we would be able to comply with these regulatory requirements for an acquisition in a timely manner, or at all. In deciding whether to approve a proposed acquisition, state regulators may consider a number of factors outside our control, including giving preference to competing offers made by locally owned entities or by not-for-profit entities.

We also may be unable to obtain sufficient additional capital resources for future acquisitions. If we are unable to effectively execute our acquisition strategy, our future growth will suffer and our results of operations could be harmed.

Execution of our growth strategy may increase costs or liabilities, or create disruptions in our business.

We pursue acquisitions of other companies or businesses from time to time. Although we review the records of companies or businesses we plan to acquire, even an in-depth review of records may not reveal existing or potential problems or permit us to become familiar enough with a business to assess fully its capabilities and deficiencies. As a result, we may assume unanticipated liabilities or adverse operating conditions, or an acquisition may not perform as well as expected. We face the risk that the returns on acquisitions will not support the expenditures or indebtedness incurred to acquire such businesses, or the capital expenditures needed to develop such businesses. We also face the risk that we will not be able to integrate acquisitions into our existing operations effectively without substantial expense, delay or other operational or financial problems. Integration may be hindered by, among other things, differing procedures, including internal controls, business practices and technology systems. We may need to divert more management resources to integration than we planned, which may adversely affect our ability to pursue other profitable activities.

In addition to the difficulties we may face in identifying and consummating acquisitions, we will also be required to integrate and consolidate any acquired business or assets with our existing operations. This may include the integration of:



- additional personnel who are not familiar with our operations and corporate culture;
- provider networks that may operate on different terms than our existing networks;
- existing members, who may decide to switch to another healthcare plan; or
- disparate administrative, accounting and finance, and information systems.

Additionally, our growth strategy includes start-up operations in new markets or new products in existing markets. We may incur significant expenses prior to commencement of operations and the receipt of revenue. As a result, these start-up operations may decrease our profitability. We also face the risk that we will not be able to integrate start-up operations into our existing operations effectively without substantial expense, delay or other operational or financial problems. In the event we pursue any opportunity to diversify our business internationally, we would become subject to additional risks, including, but not limited to, political risk, an unfamiliar regulatory regime, currency exchange risk and exchange controls, cultural and language differences, foreign tax issues, and different labor laws and practices.

Table of Contents

Accordingly, we may be unable to identify, consummate and integrate future acquisitions or start-up operations successfully or operate acquired or new businesses profitably.

Acquisitions of unfamiliar new businesses could negatively impact our business.

We are subject to the expenditures and risks associated with entering into any new line of business. Our failure to properly manage these expenditures and risks could have a negative impact on our overall business. For example, effective July 2008, we completed the previously announced acquisition of Celtic Group, Inc., the parent company of Celtic Insurance Company, or Celtic. Celtic is a national individual health insurance provider that provides health insurance to individual customers and their families. While we believed that the addition of Celtic would be complementary to our business, we had not previously operated in the individual health care industry.

If competing managed care programs are unwilling to purchase specialty services from us, we may not be able to successfully implement our strategy of diversifying our business lines.

We are seeking to diversify our business lines into areas that complement our Medicaid business in order to grow our revenue stream and balance our dependence on Medicaid risk reimbursement. In order to diversify our business, we must succeed in selling the services of our specialty subsidiaries not only to our managed care plans, but to programs operated by third-parties. Some of these third-party programs may compete with us in some markets, and they therefore may be unwilling to purchase specialty services from us. In any event, the offering of these services will require marketing activities that differ significantly from the manner in which we seek to increase revenues from our Medicaid programs. Our inability to market specialty services to other programs may impair our ability to execute our business strategy.

Failure to achieve timely profitability in any business would negatively affect our results of operations.

Business expansion costs associated with a new business can be substantial. For example, in order to obtain a certificate of authority in most jurisdictions, we must first establish a provider network, have systems in place and demonstrate our ability to obtain a state contract and process claims. If we were unsuccessful in obtaining the necessary license, winning the bid to provide service or attracting members in numbers sufficient to cover our costs, any new business of ours would fail. We also could be obligated by the state to continue to provide services for some period of time without sufficient revenue to cover our ongoing costs or recover business expansion costs. The expenses associated with starting up a new business could have a significant impact on our results of operations if we are unable to achieve profitable operations in a timely fashion.

Adverse credit market conditions may have a material adverse affect on our liquidity or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms.

The securities and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption over the past several years. The availability of credit, from virtually all types of lenders, has been restricted. Such conditions may persist during 2013 and beyond. In the event we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay capital expenditures, or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant, particularly if we are unable to access our existing credit facility.

Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as prevailing economic and credit market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, and perceptions of our financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if

regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all. We believe that if credit could be obtained, the terms and costs of such credit could be significantly less favorable to us than what was obtained in our most recent financings.

## Table of Contents

We derive a majority of our premium revenues from operations in a small number of states, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows would be materially affected by a decrease in premium revenues or profitability in any one of those states.

Operations in a few states have accounted for most of our premium revenues to date. If we were unable to continue to operate in any of our current states or if our current operations in any portion of one of those states were significantly curtailed, our revenues could decrease materially. Our reliance on operations in a limited number of states could cause our revenue and profitability to change suddenly and unexpectedly depending on legislative or other governmental or regulatory actions and decisions, economic conditions and similar factors in those states. For example, states we currently serve may bid out their Medicaid program through a Request for Proposal, or RFP, process. Our inability to continue to operate in any of the states in which we operate would harm our business.

Competition may limit our ability to increase penetration of the markets that we serve.

We compete for members principally on the basis of size and quality of provider network, benefits provided and quality of service. We compete with numerous types of competitors, including other health plans and traditional state Medicaid programs that reimburse providers as care is provided. In addition, the impact of health care reform and potential growth in our segment may attract new competitors. Subject to limited exceptions by federally approved state applications, the federal government requires that there be choices for Medicaid recipients among managed care programs. Voluntary programs, increases in the number of competitors and mandated competition may limit our ability to increase our market share.

Some of the health plans with which we compete have greater financial and other resources and offer a broader scope of products than we do. In addition, significant merger and acquisition activity has occurred in the managed care industry, as well as in industries that act as suppliers to us, such as the hospital, physician, pharmaceutical, medical device and health information systems businesses. To the extent that competition intensifies in any market that we serve, our ability to retain or increase members and providers, or maintain or increase our revenue growth, pricing flexibility and control over medical cost trends may be adversely affected.

In addition, in order to increase our membership in the markets we currently serve, we believe that we must continue to develop and implement community-specific products, alliances with key providers and localized outreach and educational programs. If we are unable to develop and implement these initiatives, or if our competitors are more successful than we are in doing so, we may not be able to further penetrate our existing markets.

If we are unable to maintain relationships with our provider networks, our profitability may be harmed.

Our profitability depends, in large part, upon our ability to contract favorably with hospitals, physicians and other healthcare providers. Our provider arrangements with our primary care physicians, specialists and hospitals generally may be canceled by either party without cause upon 90 to 120 days prior written notice. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to continue to renew our existing contracts or enter into new contracts enabling us to service our members profitably.

From time to time providers assert or threaten to assert claims seeking to terminate non-cancelable agreements due to alleged actions or inactions by us. Even if these allegations represent attempts to avoid or renegotiate contractual terms that have become economically disadvantageous to the providers, it is possible that in the future a provider may pursue such a claim successfully. In addition, we are aware that other managed care organizations have been subject to class action suits by physicians with respect to claim payment procedures, and we may be subject to similar claims. Regardless of whether any claims brought against us are successful or have merit, they will still be time-consuming and costly and could distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant

expenses and may be unable to operate our business effectively.

We will be required to establish acceptable provider networks prior to entering new markets. We may be unable to enter into agreements with providers in new markets on a timely basis or under favorable terms. If we are unable to retain our current provider contracts or enter into new provider contracts timely or on favorable terms, our profitability will be harmed.

## Table of Contents

We may be unable to attract and retain key personnel.

We are highly dependent on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel to operate and expand our business. If we lose one or more members of our senior management team, including our chief executive officer, Michael F. Neidorff, who has been instrumental in developing our business strategy and forging our business relationships, our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be harmed. Our ability to replace any departed members of our senior management or other key employees may be difficult and may take an extended period of time because of the limited number of individuals in the Medicaid managed care and specialty services industry with the breadth of skills and experience required to operate and successfully expand a business such as ours. Competition to hire from this limited pool is intense, and we may be unable to hire, train, retain or motivate these personnel.

Negative publicity regarding the managed care industry may harm our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The managed care industry has received negative publicity. This publicity has led to increased legislation, regulation, review of industry practices and private litigation in the commercial sector. These factors may adversely affect our ability to market our services, require us to change our services, and increase the regulatory burdens under which we operate. Any of these factors may increase the costs of doing business and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Claims relating to medical malpractice could cause us to incur significant expenses.

Our providers and employees involved in medical care decisions may be subject to medical malpractice claims. In addition, some states have adopted legislation that permits managed care organizations to be held liable for negligent treatment decisions, credentialing or benefits coverage determinations. Claims of this nature, if successful, could result in substantial damage awards against us and our providers that could exceed the limits of any applicable insurance coverage. Therefore, successful malpractice or tort claims asserted against us, our providers or our employees could adversely affect our financial condition and profitability. Even if any claims brought against us are unsuccessful or without merit, they would still be time consuming and costly and could distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant expenses and may be unable to operate our business effectively.

Loss of providers due to increased insurance costs could adversely affect our business.

Our providers routinely purchase insurance to help protect themselves against medical malpractice claims. In recent years, the costs of maintaining commercially reasonable levels of such insurance have increased dramatically, and these costs are expected to increase to even greater levels in the future. As a result of the level of these costs, providers may decide to leave the practice of medicine or to limit their practice to certain areas, which may not address the needs of Medicaid participants. We rely on retaining a sufficient number of providers in order to maintain a certain level of service. If a significant number of our providers exit our provider networks or the practice of medicine generally, we may be unable to replace them in a timely manner, if at all, and our business could be adversely affected.

Growth in the number of Medicaid-eligible persons could cause our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer if state and federal budgets decrease or do not increase.

Less favorable economic conditions may cause our membership to increase as more people become eligible to receive Medicaid benefits. During such economic downturns, however, state and federal budgets could decrease, causing

states to attempt to cut healthcare programs, benefits and rates. Additionally, the number of individuals eligible for Medicaid managed care will likely increase as a result of the health care reform legislation. We cannot predict the impact of changes in the United States economic environment or other economic or political events, including acts of terrorism or related military action, on federal or state funding of healthcare programs or on the size of the population eligible for the programs we operate. If federal or state funding decreases or remains unchanged while our membership increases, our results of operations will suffer.

## Table of Contents

Growth in the number of Medicaid-eligible persons may be countercyclical, which could cause our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer when general economic conditions are improving.

Historically, the number of persons eligible to receive Medicaid benefits has increased more rapidly during periods of rising unemployment, corresponding to less favorable general economic conditions. Conversely, this number may grow more slowly or even decline if economic conditions improve. Therefore, improvements in general economic conditions may cause our membership levels to decrease, thereby causing our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer, which could lead to decreases in our stock price during periods in which stock prices in general are increasing.

If we are unable to integrate and manage our information systems effectively, our operations could be disrupted.

Our operations depend significantly on effective information systems. The information gathered and processed by our information systems assists us in, among other things, monitoring utilization and other cost factors, processing provider claims, and providing data to our regulators. Our providers also depend upon our information systems for membership verifications, claims status and other information.

Our information systems and applications require continual maintenance, upgrading and enhancement to meet our operational needs and regulatory requirements. Moreover, our acquisition activity requires frequent transitions to or from, and the integration of, various information systems. We regularly upgrade and expand our information systems' capabilities. If we experience difficulties with the transition to or from information systems or are unable to properly maintain or expand our information systems, we could suffer, among other things, from operational disruptions, loss of existing members and difficulty in attracting new members, regulatory problems and increases in administrative expenses. In addition, our ability to integrate and manage our information systems may be impaired as the result of events outside our control, including acts of nature, such as earthquakes or fires, or acts of terrorists.

We rely on the accuracy of eligibility lists provided by state governments. Inaccuracies in those lists would negatively affect our results of operations.

Premium payments to us are based upon eligibility lists produced by state governments. From time to time, states require us to reimburse them for premiums paid to us based on an eligibility list that a state later discovers contains individuals who are not in fact eligible for a government sponsored program or are eligible for a different premium category or a different program. Alternatively, a state could fail to pay us for members for whom we are entitled to payment. Our results of operations would be adversely affected as a result of such reimbursement to the state if we had made related payments to providers and were unable to recoup such payments from the providers.

We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate insurance.

We maintain liability insurance, subject to limits and deductibles, for claims that could result from providing or failing to provide managed care and related services. These claims could be substantial. We believe that our present insurance coverage and reserves are adequate to cover currently estimated exposures. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage in the future at acceptable costs or that we will not incur significant liabilities in excess of policy limits.

From time to time, we may become involved in costly and time-consuming litigation and other regulatory proceedings, which require significant attention from our management.

We are a defendant from time to time in lawsuits and regulatory actions relating to our business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and regulatory proceedings, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of any such



proceedings. An unfavorable outcome could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, regardless of the outcome of any litigation or regulatory proceedings, such proceedings are costly and time consuming and require significant attention from our management. For example, we have been named in a recently-filed securities lawsuit seeking class action and we have in the past, or may be subject to in the future, IRS examinations, securities class action lawsuits or similar regulatory actions. Any such matters could harm our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## Table of Contents

An impairment charge with respect to our recorded goodwill and intangible assets could have a material impact on our results of operations.

Goodwill and other intangible assets were \$276.6 million as of December 31, 2012. We periodically evaluate our goodwill and other intangible assets to determine whether all or a portion of their carrying values may be impaired, in which case a charge to earnings may be necessary. Changes in business strategy, government regulations or economic or market conditions have resulted and may result in impairments of our goodwill and other intangible assets at any time in the future. Our judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators are based on, among other things, legal factors, market conditions, and operational performance. For example, the non-renewal of our health plan contracts with the state in which they operate may be an indicator of impairment.

If an event or events occur that would cause us to revise our estimates and assumptions used in analyzing the value of our goodwill and other intangible assets, such revision could result in a non-cash impairment charge that could have a material impact on our results of operations in the period in which the impairment occurs.

An unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential member information could have an adverse effect on our business.

As part of our normal operations, we collect, process and retain confidential member information. We are subject to various federal and state laws and rules regarding the use and disclosure of confidential member information, including HIPAA and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 further expands the coverage of HIPAA by, among other things, extending the privacy and security provisions, requiring new disclosures if a data breach occurs, mandating new regulations around electronic medical records, expanding enforcement mechanisms, allowing the state Attorneys General to bring enforcement actions and increasing penalties for violations. Despite the security measures we have in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and rules, our facilities and systems, and those of our third party service providers, may be vulnerable to security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses, misplaced or lost data, programming and/or human errors or other similar events. Any security breach involving the misappropriation, loss or other unauthorized disclosure or use of confidential member information, whether by us or a third party, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

### Item 2. Properties

We own our corporate office headquarters buildings and land located in St. Louis, Missouri. During 2010, our capital expenditures included costs for the construction of a new real estate development on our property, which has accommodated our growing business. During 2011, our capital expenditures also included costs for the construction of a new datacenter.

We generally lease space in the states where our health plans, specialty companies and claims processing facilities operate. We are required by various insurance and regulatory authorities to have offices in the service areas where we provide benefits. We believe our current facilities are adequate to meet our operational needs for the foreseeable future.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

In October 2012, the Company notified the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services that it was exercising a contractual right that it believes allows the Company to terminate its Medicaid managed care contract with the Commonwealth of Kentucky effective July 5, 2013. The Company has also filed a formal dispute with the Cabinet for

damages incurred under the contract. That dispute is currently on appeal to the Finance and Administration Cabinet. In addition, the Company has filed a lawsuit in Franklin Circuit Court against the Commonwealth of Kentucky seeking declaratory relief as a result of the Commonwealth's failure to completely and accurately disclose material information. On January 23, 2013, the Franklin Circuit Court denied the Commonwealth's Motion to Dismiss and retained jurisdiction of the lawsuit, but stayed the proceedings pending a formal, written determination by the Finance and Administration Cabinet.

The Company is routinely subjected to legal proceedings in the normal course of business. While the ultimate resolution of such matters is uncertain, the Company does not expect the results of any of these matters individually, or in the aggregate, to have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

33

---

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Market for Common Stock; Dividends

Our common stock has been traded and quoted on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "CNC" since October 16, 2003. The high and low prices, as reported by the NYSE, are set forth below for the periods indicated.

	2013 Stock Price (through February 13, 2013)		2012 Stock Price		2011 Stock Price	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$47.28	\$40.57	\$50.36	\$38.97	\$32.99	\$25.08
Second Quarter			50.98	24.26	39.25	31.34
Third Quarter			42.46	28.86	39.35	25.64
Fourth Quarter			46.44	34.73	40.81	25.28

As of February 1, 2013, there were 52 holders of record of our common stock.

We have never declared any cash dividends on our capital stock and currently anticipate that we will retain any future earnings for the development, operation and expansion of our business.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On October 26, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors extended the Company's stock repurchase program. The program authorizes the repurchase of up to 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock from time to time on the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. We have 1,667,724 available shares remaining under the program for repurchases as of December 31, 2012. No duration has been placed on the repurchase program. The Company reserves the right to discontinue the repurchase program at any time. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we did not repurchase any shares through this publicly announced program.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Fourth Quarter 2012

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>1</sup>	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs <sup>2</sup>
October 1 – October 31, 2012	5,561	\$38.35	—	1,667,724
November 1 – November 30, 2012	7,805	41.61	—	1,667,724
December 1 – December 31, 2012	223,639	44.88	—	1,667,724
Total	237,005	\$44.62	—	1,667,724

(1) Shares acquired represent shares relinquished to the Company by certain employees for payment of taxes or option cost upon vesting of restricted stock units or option exercise.

(2) Our Board of Directors adopted a stock repurchase program of up to 4,000,000 shares. No duration has been placed on the repurchase program.



Table of Contents

## Stock Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock for the period from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2012 with the cumulative total return of the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index and the Morgan Stanley Health Care Payor Index over the same period. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2007 in our common stock (at the last reported sale price on such day), the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index and the Morgan Stanley Health Care Payor Index and assumes the reinvestment of any dividends.

	December 31,						
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Centene Corporation	\$ 100.00	\$ 71.83	\$ 77.15	\$ 92.35	\$ 144.28	\$ 149.42	
New York Stock Exchange Composite Index	100.00	59.11	73.77	81.76	76.76	86.69	
MS Health Care Payor Index	100.00	45.19	69.33	79.64	107.39	118.34	
Centene Corporation closing stock price	\$ 27.44	\$ 19.71	\$ 21.17	\$ 25.34	\$ 39.59	\$ 41.00	
Centene Corporation annual shareholder return	11.7	% (28.2	)% 7.4	% 19.7	% 56.2	% 3.6	%

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes and “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. The assets, liabilities and results of operations of FirstGuard and University Health Plans have been classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. The data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are derived from consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this filing. The data for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are derived from consolidated financial statements not included in this filing.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except share data)				
<b>Revenues:</b>					
Premium	\$8,126,205	\$5,077,242	\$4,192,172	\$3,786,525	\$3,199,360
Service	112,742	103,765	91,661	91,758	74,953
Premium and service revenues	8,238,947	5,181,007	4,283,833	3,878,283	3,274,313
Premium tax	428,665	159,575	164,490	224,581	90,202
Total revenues	8,667,612	5,340,582	4,448,323	4,102,864	3,364,515
<b>Expenses:</b>					
Medical costs	7,446,037	4,324,746	3,584,452	3,230,131	2,704,647
Cost of services	87,705	78,114	63,919	60,789	56,920
General and administrative expenses	704,604	587,004	477,765	447,921	380,421
Premium tax expense	428,354	160,394	165,118	225,888	90,966
Impairment loss	28,033	—	—	—	—
Total operating expenses	8,694,733	5,150,258	4,291,254	3,964,729	3,232,954
Earnings (loss) from operations	(27,121 )	190,324	157,069	138,135	131,561
<b>Other income (expense):</b>					
Investment and other income	35,957	13,369	15,205	15,691	21,728
Debt extinguishment costs	—	(8,488 )	—	—	—
Interest expense	(20,460 )	(20,320 )	(17,992 )	(16,318 )	(16,673 )
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, before income tax expense	(11,624 )	174,885	154,282	137,508	136,616
Income tax expense (benefit)	(329 )	66,522	59,900	48,841	52,435
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, net of income tax expense	(11,295 )	108,363	94,382	88,667	84,181
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$0, \$0, \$4,388, \$(1,204), and \$(281), respectively	—	—	3,889	(2,422 )	(684 )
Net earnings (loss)	(11,295 )	108,363	98,271	86,245	83,497
Noncontrolling interest	(13,154 )	(2,855 )	3,435	2,574	—
Net earnings attributable to Centene Corporation	\$1,859	\$111,218	\$94,836	\$83,671	\$83,497
<b>Amounts attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders:</b>					
Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense	\$1,859	\$111,218	\$90,947	\$86,093	\$84,181
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit)	—	—	3,889	(2,422 )	(684 )
Net earnings	\$1,859	\$111,218	\$94,836	\$83,671	\$83,497



Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

Net earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Centene Corporation:

Basic:

Continuing operations	\$0.04	\$2.22	\$1.87	\$2.00	\$1.95
Discontinued operations	—	—	0.08	(0.06)	(0.02)
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.04	\$2.22	\$1.95	\$1.94	\$1.93

Diluted:

Continuing operations	\$0.03	\$2.12	\$1.80	\$1.94	\$1.90
Discontinued operations	—	—	0.08	(0.05)	(0.02)
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.03	\$2.12	\$1.88	\$1.89	\$1.88

Weighted average number of common shares  
outstanding:

Basic	51,509,366	50,198,954	48,754,947	43,034,791	43,275,187
Diluted	53,714,375	52,474,238	50,447,888	44,316,467	44,398,955

Table of Contents

	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$843,952	\$573,698	\$434,166	\$403,752	\$379,099
Investments and restricted deposits	788,634	663,457	639,983	585,183	451,058
Total assets	2,741,682	2,190,336	1,943,882	1,702,364	1,451,152
Medical claims liability	926,302	607,985	456,765	470,932	384,360
Long-term debt	535,481	348,344	327,824	307,085	264,637
Total stockholders' equity	953,767	936,419	797,055	619,427	501,272

37

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this filing. The discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including those set forth under Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of this Form 10-K.

OVERVIEW

Our financial performance for 2012 is summarized as follows:

- Year-end at-risk managed care membership of 2,560,300, an increase of 744,300 members, or 41.0% year over year.
- Premium and service revenues of \$8.2 billion, representing 59.0% growth year over year.
- Health Benefits Ratio of 91.6%, compared to 85.2% in 2011.
- General and Administrative expense ratio of 8.6%, compared to 11.3% in 2011.
- Total operating cash flows of \$278.7 million.
- Diluted net earnings per share of \$0.03.

Included in the year ended December 31, 2012, results are the following significant items: (1) a \$142.2 million pre-tax operating loss in our Kentucky health plan, including a \$41.5 million pre-tax premium deficiency reserve for our Kentucky health plan contract covering the period from January 1, 2013 through July 5, 2013; (2) a pre-tax impairment loss of \$28.0 million for the write down of goodwill and intangible assets in the Celtic reporting unit; (3) a \$19.4 million pre-tax gain on the sale of investments; and (4) a \$5.8 million state income tax benefit. These items are discussed in further detail below.

The following items contributed to our revenue and membership growth over the last two years:

• **Arizona.** In October 2011, Bridgeway Health Solutions began operating under an expanded contract to deliver LTC services in three geographic service areas of Arizona.

• **Illinois.** In May 2011, our subsidiary, IlliniCare Health Plan, began providing managed care services for older adults and adults with disabilities under the Integrated Care Program in six counties.

• **Kentucky.** In November 2011, our subsidiary, Kentucky Spirit Health Plan, began providing managed care services under a contract with the Kentucky Finance and Administration Cabinet to serve Medicaid beneficiaries.

• **Louisiana.** In February 2012, our joint venture subsidiary, Louisiana Healthcare Connections (LHC), began operating under a new contract in Louisiana to provide healthcare services to Medicaid enrollees participating in the Bayou Health program. LHC completed its three-phase membership roll-out for the three geographical service areas during the second quarter of 2012. In November 2012, the covered services provided by LHC expanded to include pharmacy benefits. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we acquired the ownership interest of our joint venture partner, bringing our ownership to 100%.

• **Mississippi.** In December 2012, our subsidiary, Magnolia Health Plan, began operating under an expanded contract to provide managed care services statewide to Medicaid members as well as providing behavioral health services.

• **Missouri.** In July 2012, our joint venture subsidiary, Home State Health Plan, began operating under a new contract with the Office of Administration for Missouri to serve Medicaid beneficiaries in the Eastern, Central, and Western Managed Care Regions of the state.

Ohio. In October 2011, Buckeye Community Health Plan, or Buckeye, began operating under an amended contract with the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services which included the management of the pharmacy benefits for Buckeye's members.

38

---

Table of Contents

Texas. In February 2011, we began operating under an additional STAR+PLUS ABD contract in the Dallas service area and in September 2011, we added additional membership through the contiguous county expansion. In March 2012, the Company began operating under contracts in Texas that expanded its operations through new service areas including the 10 county Hidalgo Service Area and the Medicaid Rural Service Areas of West Texas, Central Texas and North-East Texas, as well as the addition of STAR+PLUS in the Lubbock Service Area. The expansion also added the management of outpatient pharmacy benefits in all service areas and products, as well as inpatient facility services for the STAR+PLUS program.

Washington. In July 2012, we began operating under a new contract with the Washington Health Care Authority to serve Medicaid beneficiaries in the state, operating as Coordinated Care.

We expect the following items to contribute to our future growth potential:

We expect to realize the full year benefit in 2013 of business commenced during 2012 in Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Texas and Washington as discussed above.

In January 2013, our Kansas subsidiary, Sunflower State Health Plan, began operating under a statewide contract to serve members in the state's KanCare program, which includes TANF, ABD (dual and non-dual), foster care, LTC and CHIP beneficiaries.

In January 2013, our Florida subsidiary, Sunshine State Health Plan, was notified by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration it has been recommended for a contract award in 10 of 11 regions of the Medicaid Managed Care Long Term Care program. Upon execution of a contract and regulatory approval, enrollment will be implemented by region, beginning in August 2013 and continuing through March 2014.

In January 2013, we signed a definitive agreement to acquire AcariaHealth, a comprehensive specialty pharmacy company, for \$152.0 million. The transaction consideration is anticipated to be financed through a combination of Centene common stock, cash on hand and existing credit facilities. The acquisition is expected to close in the first quarter of 2013, subject to regulatory approval and other customary conditions.

In November 2012, our Illinois subsidiary, IlliniCare Health Plan, was selected to serve dual-eligible members in Cook, DuPage, Lake, Kane, Kankakee and Will counties (Greater Chicago region) as part of the Illinois Medicare-Medicaid Alignment Initiative. Enrollment is expected to begin in late 2013.

In August 2012, we were notified by the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) that Buckeye Community Health Plan (Buckeye), our Ohio subsidiary, was selected to serve Medicaid members in a dual-eligible demonstration program in three of Ohio's pre-determined seven regions: Northeast (Cleveland), Northwest (Toledo) and West Central (Dayton). This three-year program, which is part of the state of Ohio's Integrated Care Delivery System (ICDS) expansion, will serve those who have both Medicare and Medicaid eligibility. Enrollment is expected to begin in the second half of 2013.

In June 2012, we were notified by the ODJFS that Buckeye was selected to be awarded a new and expanded contract to serve Medicaid members in Ohio. Under the new state contract, Buckeye will operate statewide through Ohio's three newly aligned regions (West, Central/Southeast, and Northeast). Enrollment is expected to begin in July 2013.

In May 2012, we announced that the Governor and Executive Council of New Hampshire had given approval for the Department of Health and Human Services to contract with our subsidiary, Granite State Health Plan, to serve

Medicaid beneficiaries in New Hampshire. Operations are currently expected to commence in the second half of 2013.

39

---

Table of Contents

In October 2012, we announced that our subsidiary, Kentucky Spirit Health Plan (Kentucky Spirit), notified the Cabinet for Health and Family Services that it is exercising a contractual right that it believes allows Kentucky Spirit to terminate its Medicaid managed care contract with the Commonwealth of Kentucky effective July 5, 2013. Kentucky Spirit has also filed a formal dispute with the Cabinet for damages incurred under the contract. That dispute is currently on appeal to the Finance and Administration Cabinet. In addition, we have filed a lawsuit in Franklin Circuit Court against the Commonwealth of Kentucky seeking declaratory relief as a result of the Commonwealth's failure to completely and accurately disclose material information. On January 23, 2013, the Franklin Circuit Court denied the Commonwealth's Motion to Dismiss and retained jurisdiction of the lawsuit, but stayed the proceedings pending a formal, written determination by the Finance and Administration Cabinet.

## MEMBERSHIP

From December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2012, we increased our at-risk managed care membership by 1,026,800, or 67.0%. The following table sets forth our membership by state for our managed care organizations:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Arizona	23,500	23,700	22,400
Florida	214,000	198,300	194,900
Georgia	313,700	298,200	305,800
Illinois	18,000	16,300	—
Indiana	204,000	206,900	215,800
Kentucky	135,800	180,700	—
Louisiana	165,600	—	—
Massachusetts	21,500	35,700	36,200
Mississippi	77,200	31,600	—
Missouri	59,600	—	—
Ohio	157,800	159,900	160,100
South Carolina	90,100	82,900	90,300
Texas	949,900	503,800	433,100
Washington	57,200	—	—
Wisconsin	72,400	78,000	74,900
Total at-risk membership	2,560,300	1,816,000	1,533,500
Non-risk membership	—	4,900	4,200
Total	2,560,300	1,820,900	1,537,700

The following table sets forth our membership by line of business:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Medicaid	1,977,200	1,336,800	1,177,100
CHIP & Foster Care	237,700	213,900	210,500
ABD & Medicare	307,800	218,000	104,600
Hybrid Programs	29,100	40,500	36,200
LTC	8,500	6,800	5,100
Total at-risk membership	2,560,300	1,816,000	1,533,500
Non-risk membership	—	4,900	4,200
Total	2,560,300	1,820,900	1,537,700

The following table identifies the Company's dual eligible membership by line of business. The membership tables above include these members.

40

---



Table of Contents

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
ABD	72,800	45,400	22,200
LTC	7,700	6,200	4,600
Medicare	5,100	3,200	2,700
Total	85,600	54,800	29,500

The following table provides supplemental information of other membership categories:

	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cenpatico Behavioral Health:			
Arizona	157,900	168,900	174,600
Kansas <sup>(1)</sup>	49,800	46,200	39,200

<sup>(1)</sup> Effective January 1, 2013, Cenpatico Behavioral Health's contract in Kansas was discontinued and members began receiving benefits under the statewide KanCare program.

From December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 our membership increased as a result of:

- operations commenced in Louisiana, Missouri and Washington
- contract awards and geographic expansion in Texas

From December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011 our membership increased as a result of:

- operations commenced in Illinois, Kentucky and Mississippi
- contract awards and geographic expansion in Texas
- expanded contract awards in Arizona

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is based on our consolidated statements of operations, which reflect our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

Table of Contents

Summarized comparative financial data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (\$ in millions):

	2012	2011	2010	% Change 2011-2012	% Change 2010-2011	
Premium	\$8,126.2	\$5,077.2	\$4,192.2	60.1	% 21.1	%
Service	112.7	103.8	91.6	8.7	% 13.2	%
Premium and service revenues	8,238.9	5,181.0	4,283.8	59.0	% 20.9	%
Premium tax	428.7	159.6	164.5	168.6	% (3.0)	)%
Total revenues	8,667.6	5,340.6	4,448.3	62.3	% 20.1	%
Medical costs	7,446.0	4,324.8	3,584.5	72.2	% 20.7	%
Cost of services	87.7	78.1	63.9	12.3	% 22.2	%
General and administrative expenses	704.6	587.0	477.7	20.0	% 22.9	%
Premium tax expense	428.4	160.4	165.1	167.1	% (2.9)	)%
Impairment loss	28.0	—	—	—	% —	%
Earnings (loss) from operations	(27.1	) 190.3	157.1	(114.2	)% 21.2	%
Investment and other income, net	15.5	(15.4	) (2.8	) (200.4	)% 454.0	%
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, before income tax expense	(11.6	) 174.9	154.3	(106.6	)% 13.4	%
Income tax expense (benefit)	(0.3	) 66.5	59.9	(100.5	)% 11.1	%
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, net of income tax	(11.3	) 108.4	94.4	(110.4	)% 14.8	%
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense of \$0, \$0, and \$4.4 respectively	—	—	3.9	—	% (100.0)	)%
Net earnings (loss)	(11.3	) 108.4	98.3	(110.4	)% 10.3	%
Noncontrolling interest	(13.2	) (2.8	) 3.5	360.7	% (183.1)	)%
Net earnings attributable to Centene Corporation	\$1.9	\$111.2	\$94.8	(98.3	)% 17.3	%
Amounts attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders:						
Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense	\$1.9	\$111.2	\$90.9	(98.3	)% 22.3	%
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense	—	—	3.9	—	(100.0)	)%
Net earnings	\$1.9	\$111.2	\$94.8	(98.3	)% 17.3	%
Diluted earnings per common share attributable to Centene Corporation:						
Continuing operations	\$0.03	\$2.12	\$1.80	(98.6	)% 17.8	%
Discontinued operations	—	—	0.08	—	% (100.0)	)%
Total diluted earnings per common share	\$0.03	\$2.12	\$1.88	(98.6	)% 12.8	%

## Revenues and Revenue Recognition

Our health plans generate revenues primarily from premiums we receive from the states in which we operate. We generally receive a fixed premium per member per month pursuant to our state contracts. We generally receive premium payments and recognize premium revenue during the month in which we are obligated to provide services to our members. In some instances, our base premiums are subject to an adjustment, or risk score, based on the acuity of our membership. Generally, the risk score is determined by the state analyzing submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's membership. Some contracts allow for

additional premiums associated with certain supplemental services provided such as maternity deliveries. For performance-based contracts, we do not recognize revenue subject to refund until data is sufficient to measure performance.

Revenues are recorded based on membership and eligibility data provided by the states, which is adjusted on a monthly basis by the states for retroactive additions or deletions to membership data. These eligibility adjustments are estimated monthly and subsequently adjusted in the period known. We continuously review and update those estimates as new information becomes available. It is possible that new information could require us to make additional adjustments, which could be significant, to these estimates.

## Table of Contents

Our specialty services generate revenues under contracts with state programs, healthcare organizations, and other commercial organizations, as well as from our own subsidiaries. Revenues are recognized when the related services are provided or as ratably earned over the covered period of services.

Premium and service revenues collected in advance are recorded as unearned revenue. Premium and service revenues due to us are recorded as premium and related receivables and are recorded net of an allowance based on historical trends and our management's judgment on the collectibility of these accounts. As we generally receive payments during the month in which services are provided, the allowance is typically not significant in comparison to total revenues and does not have a material impact on the presentation of our financial condition or results of operations.

Some states enact premium taxes, similar assessments and provider and hospital pass-through payments, collectively, premium taxes, and these taxes are recorded as a component of revenues as well as operating expenses. We exclude premium taxes from our key ratios as we believe the premium tax is a pass-through of costs and not indicative of our operating performance.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) deploys a risk adjustment model that retroactively apportions Medicare premiums paid according to health severity and certain demographic factors. The model pays more for members whose medical history indicates they have certain medical conditions. Under this risk adjustment methodology, CMS calculates the risk adjusted premium payment using diagnosis data from hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient, physician treatment settings as well as prescription drug events. The Company estimates the amount of risk adjustment based upon the diagnosis and pharmacy data submitted and expected to be submitted to CMS and records revenues on a risk adjusted basis.

## Operating Expenses

### Medical Costs

Medical costs include payments to physicians, hospitals, and other providers for healthcare and specialty services claims. Medical costs also include estimates of medical expenses incurred but not yet reported, or IBNR, and estimates of the cost to process unpaid claims. We use our judgment to determine the assumptions to be used in the calculation of the required IBNR estimate. The assumptions we consider include, without limitation, claims receipt and payment experience (and variations in that experience), changes in membership, provider billing practices, healthcare service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, known outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness such as influenza, provider contract changes, changes to Medicaid fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims.

Our development of the IBNR estimate is a continuous process which we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as claims receipts and payment information becomes available. As more complete information becomes available, we adjust the amount of the estimate, and include the changes in estimates in medical expense in the period in which the changes are identified.

Additionally, we contract with independent actuaries to review our estimates on a quarterly basis. The independent actuaries provide us with a review letter that includes the results of their analysis of our medical claims liability. We do not solely rely on their report to adjust our claims liability. We utilize their calculation of our claims liability only as additional information, together with management's judgment, to determine the assumptions to be used in the calculation of our liability for medical costs.

While we believe our IBNR estimate is appropriate, it is possible future events could require us to make significant adjustments for revisions to these estimates. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that medical costs will not materially differ from our estimates.

Results of operations depend on our ability to manage expenses associated with health benefits and to accurately predict costs incurred. The health benefits ratio, or HBR, represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenues (excluding premium taxes) and reflects the direct relationship between the premium received and the medical services provided.

#### Cost of Services

Cost of services expense includes the pharmaceutical costs associated with our pharmacy benefit manager's external revenues and certain direct costs to support the functions responsible for generation of our service revenues. These expenses consist of the salaries and wages of the professionals who provide the services and associated expenses.

## Table of Contents

### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses, or G&A, primarily reflect wages and benefits, including stock compensation expense, and other administrative costs associated with our health plans, specialty companies and centralized functions that support all of our business units. Our major centralized functions are finance, information systems and claims processing. G&A expenses also include business expansion costs, such as wages and benefits for administrative personnel, contracting costs, and information technology buildouts, incurred prior to the commencement of a new contract or health plan.

The G&A expense ratio represents G&A expenses as a percentage of premium and service revenues, and reflects the relationship between revenues earned and the costs necessary to earn those revenues.

### Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) consists principally of investment income from cash and investments, earnings in equity method investments, and interest expense on debt.

### Discontinued Operations

In November 2008, we announced our intention to sell certain assets of UHP, our New Jersey health plan. Accordingly, the results of operations for UHP are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented. We completed the sale in the first quarter of 2010.

### Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

#### Premium and Service Revenues

Premium and service revenues increased 59.0% in the year ended December 31, 2012 over the corresponding period in 2011 as a result of the additional revenue from our Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri and Washington contracts, Texas and Arizona expansions, pharmacy carve-ins in Texas and Ohio, and organic membership growth. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we received premium rate adjustments which yielded a net 2.5% composite increase across all of our markets.

The State of Georgia maintains a reconciliation process associated with membership eligibility and has continued to reconcile membership from previous periods as far back as 2006. The amount of any reduction to revenue related to this review is subject to consideration of rate adequacy calculations, as part of actuarially sound standards, for the appropriate periods. We have estimated the revenue impact related to reconciliation adjustments to the retroactive eligibility reductions due to the state and have adjusted our accrual in our consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance that future adjustment of amounts related to membership reconciliations will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

#### Premium Tax Revenue

Premium tax revenue increased 168.6% in the year ended December 31, 2012 over the corresponding period in 2011 as a result of one of our states paying us approximately \$180 million during the year to immediately pass through to specified providers.

#### Operating Expenses

Medical Costs

The table below depicts the HBR for our membership by member category for the year ended December 31:

	2012	2011	
Medicaid and CHIP	91.2	% 82.4	%
ABD and Medicare	92.1	89.8	
Specialty Services	92.5	89.1	
Total	91.6	85.2	

44

---

Table of Contents

The consolidated HBR for the year ended December 31, 2012, of 91.6% was an increase of 640 basis points over the comparable period in 2011. The increase compared to last year primarily reflects (1) the continued high level of medical costs in Kentucky including a \$41.5 million premium deficiency reserve for for the contract period January 1, 2013 through July 5, 2013, (2) a high level of medical costs in the March 1, 2012 expansion areas in Texas, (3) a high level of medical costs in our individual health business, especially for policies issued to members who converted in the first quarter of 2012 and (4) a high level of flu costs during the fourth quarter of 2012. Excluding our Kentucky operations, the HBR for the year ended December 31, 2012, was 89.6% compared to 84.7% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## General &amp; Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses, or G&A, increased by \$117.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the corresponding period in 2011. This was primarily due to expenses for additional staff and facilities to support our membership growth, partially offset by a reduction in performance based compensation expense in 2012.

The consolidated G&A expense ratio for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was 8.6% and 11.3% respectively. The year over year decrease in the G&A expense ratio reflects the leveraging of expenses over higher revenues in 2012 and a reduction in performance based compensation expense in 2012 which lowered the G&A expense ratio by approximately 60 basis points.

## Impairment Loss

During 2011, the Company completed its annual goodwill and intangible asset impairment testing and concluded that the fair value of all reporting units with material amounts of goodwill was substantially in excess of the carrying value as of our impairment testing date. Specifically, the Company tested its Celtic reporting unit under a quantitative model which included anticipated financial performance for new business to be converted in 2012. Under the quantitative model, the testing revealed that the carrying value exceeded fair value of the Celtic reporting unit by approximately 190%.

During the second quarter of 2012, our subsidiary, Celtic Insurance Company, experienced a high level of medical costs for individual health policies, especially for recently issued policies related to members converted from another insurer during the first quarter of 2012. Additionally, in June 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. The Affordable Care Act, among other things, limits the profitability of the individual health insurance business because of minimum medical loss ratios, guaranteed issue policies, and increased competition in the exchange market. As a result of these factors, our expectations for future growth and profitability were lower than previous estimates and we conducted an impairment analysis of the identifiable intangible assets and goodwill of the Celtic reporting unit. The impairment analysis resulted in goodwill and intangible asset impairments of \$28.0 million, recorded as an impairment loss in the consolidated statement of operations. The impaired identifiable intangible assets of \$2.3 million and goodwill of \$25.7 million were reported under the Specialty Services segment; \$26.6 million of the impairment loss is not deductible for income tax purposes.

## Other Income (Expense)

The following table summarizes the components of other income (expense) for the year ended December 31, (\$ in millions):

	2012	2011
Investment income	\$ 16.6	\$ 13.1
Gain on sale of investments	1.5	0.3



Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

Gain on sale of investment in convertible note	17.9	—	
Debt extinguishment costs	—	(8.5	)
Interest expense	(20.5	)	(20.3
Other income (expense), net	\$15.5	\$	(15.4

Investment income. The increase in investment income in 2012 primarily reflects higher investment balances in 2012.

Gain on sale of investments. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized \$1.5 million in net gains primarily as a result of the liquidation of \$75.5 million of investments held by the Georgia health plan in order to meet short-term liquidity needs due to delays in premium receipts from the state.

Table of Contents

Gain on sale of investment in convertible note. Between July 2008 and October 2011, we made an investment of \$30.0 million in secured notes receivable to a third party as part of an investment in certain Medicaid and Medicare related businesses. The notes included a feature to convert the note balance into an equity ownership in the underlying businesses. In September 2012, we executed an agreement with the borrower whereby the borrower agreed to pay us total consideration of \$50.0 million for retirement of the outstanding notes and equity ownership conversion feature. As a result, during the third quarter of 2012, we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$17.9 million in other income representing the fair value of the total consideration in excess of the carrying value of the loans on the balance sheet.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased during the year ended December 31, 2012 by \$0.2 million reflecting the issuance of an additional \$175 million in Senior Notes in November 2012, partially offset by the refinancing of our \$250 million Senior Notes and execution of the associated interest rate swap agreement in May 2011.

## Income Tax Expense

In the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a tax benefit of \$0.3 million compared to tax expense of \$66.5 million in the corresponding period in 2011. The tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily resulted from decreased earnings in 2012 and a tax benefit resulting from the clarification by a state taxing authority regarding a state income tax calculation. Accordingly, we reversed the reserve associated with the uncertain tax position and recognized a net tax benefit of \$5.8 million. These tax benefits were partially offset by Celtic's non-deductible goodwill impairment recorded in the second quarter of 2012.

## Noncontrolling Interest

In the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a \$13.2 million add back of expense attributable to noncontrolling interest in our Louisiana and Missouri health plans and Casenet. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we acquired the remaining ownership interest in Casenet and our Louisiana health plan, bringing our ownership to 100%. In the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded a \$2.9 million reduction in earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Casenet.

## Segment Results

The following table summarizes our operating results by segment for the year ended December 31, (in millions):

	2012	2011	% Change 2011-2012	
Premium and Service Revenues				
Medicaid Managed Care	\$7,483.3	\$4,515.5	65.7	%
Specialty Services	2,550.9	1,484.3	71.9	%
Eliminations	(1,795.3)	(818.8)	119.3	%
Consolidated Total	\$8,238.9	\$5,181.0	59.0	%
Earnings (Loss) from Operations				
Medicaid Managed Care	\$(83.2)	\$153.0	(154.4)	%
Specialty Services	56.1	37.3	50.2	%
Consolidated Total	\$(27.1)	\$190.3	(114.2)	%

## Medicaid Managed Care

Premium and service revenues increased 65.7% in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to the addition of our Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri and Washington contracts, Texas expansion, pharmacy carve-ins

in Texas and Ohio, and organic membership growth. Earnings from operations decreased \$236.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to higher medical costs in our Texas health plan specifically in the expansion areas, a premium deficiency reserve of \$41.5 million recorded for our Kentucky health plan contract, an operating loss in our Kentucky health plan and increased flu costs during the fourth quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

## Specialty Services

Premium and service revenues increased 71.9% in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to (1) the carve-in of pharmacy services in Texas and Ohio, (2) Specialty Company revenue related to the growth in our Medicaid segment and the associated specialty services provided to this increased membership and (3) the Arizona expansion. Earnings from operations increased \$18.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, reflecting growth in our pharmacy business and the associated specialty services provided to our increased Medicaid membership, partially offset by the impairment loss of \$28.0 million recorded in the second quarter of 2012 and a high level of medical costs in Celtic Insurance Company, especially for members converted in the first quarter of 2012.

## Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

## Revenues

Premium and service revenues increased 20.9% in 2011 over 2010 as a result of membership growth discussed under the heading "Membership". The premium rates specified in our state contracts are generally updated on an annual basis through contract amendments. In 2011, we received premium rate adjustments which yielded a net 0.9% composite decrease across all of our markets.

## Operating Expenses

## Medical Costs

The table below depicts the HBR for our membership by member category for the year ended December 31,:

	2011	2010		
Medicaid and CHIP	82.4	% 85.0	%	
ABD and Medicare	89.8	87.1		
Specialty Services	89.1	86.2		
Total	85.2	85.5		

The consolidated HBR of 85.2% for 2011 represented a 0.3% decrease from the 2010 consolidated HBR of 85.5%. The decrease is primarily due to lower levels of utilization and contract enhancements.

## General and Administrative Expenses

The consolidated G&A expense ratio for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 11.3% and 11.2%, respectively. The increase in the ratio in 2011 primarily reflects increased business expansion costs to support new business in Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana and Texas, partially offset by the leveraging of our expenses over higher revenues.

## Investment and Other Income, Net

The following table summarizes the components of other income (expense) for the year ended December 31, (\$ in millions):

	2011	2010	
Investment income	\$13.1	\$14.9	
Gain on sale of investments	0.3	2.5	
Impairment of investment	—	(5.5)	)

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

Gain on Reserve Primary Fund distributions	—	3.3	
Debt extinguishment costs	(8.5	) —	
Interest expense	(20.3	) (18.0	)
Other income (expense), net	\$(15.4	) \$(2.8	)

Investment income. The decrease in investment income in 2011 reflects the continued low market interest rates, partially offset by an increase in investment balances.

Net gain on sale of investments. As a result of tightening our investment criteria for municipal securities, we sold municipal securities resulting in net gains of \$2.5 million during 2010.

Table of Contents

Impairment of investment. During 2010, we determined we had an other-than-temporary impairment of our cost method investment in Casenet, LLC, and recorded an impairment charge of \$5.5 million.

Gain on Reserve Primary Fund distributions. In 2010, we received distributions from the Reserve Primary Fund of \$5.7 million resulting in a gain of \$3.3 million recorded for the distributions received in excess of our adjusted basis.

Debt extinguishment costs. In May 2011, the Company redeemed its \$175.0 million 7.25% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014 at 103.625% and wrote off unamortized debt issuance costs. Debt extinguishment costs totaled \$8.5 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share.

Interest expense. Interest expense for 2011 increased by \$2.3 million from 2010 primarily due to borrowings on the mortgage loan associated with the real estate development including our corporate headquarters. The real estate development was placed in service in the third quarter of 2010 and, accordingly, we ceased capitalizing interest on the project. The increase in interest expense was partially offset by reduced interest expense reflecting the refinancing of our Senior Notes and lower interest rate as a result of the execution of the associated interest rate swap agreements in 2011.

## Income Tax Expense

Excluding the amounts attributable to noncontrolling interest, our effective tax rate in 2011 was 37.4% compared to 39.7% in 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate was driven by a higher rate in 2010 resulting from legislation enacted in May 2010 in the state of Georgia which replaced the state income tax with a premium tax for Medicaid managed care organizations effective July 1, 2010. Accordingly, a deferred tax asset of \$1.7 million related to Georgia state net operating loss carry forwards was written off during 2010. Additionally, the higher effective tax rate in 2010 was also related to a decrease in tax exempt interest and an increase in state income taxes.

## Segment Results

The following table summarizes our operating results by segment for the year ended December 31, (in millions):

	2011	2010	% Change 2010-2011	
Premium and Service Revenues				
Medicaid Managed Care	\$4,515.5	\$3,740.5	20.7	%
Specialty Services	1,484.3	1,112.1	33.5	%
Eliminations	(818.8)	(568.8)	44.0	%
Consolidated Total	\$5,181.0	\$4,283.8	20.9	%
Earnings from Operations				
Medicaid Managed Care	\$153.0	\$117.1	30.6	%
Specialty Services	37.3	40.0	(6.6)	)%
Consolidated Total	\$190.3	\$157.1	21.1	%

## Medicaid Managed Care

Premium and service revenues increased 20.7% in 2011 due to the addition of the Mississippi, Illinois and Kentucky contracts, the Texas market expansion, and overall membership growth. Earnings from operations increased 30.6% in 2011 reflecting overall growth in our membership, reduced HBR and leveraging of our general and administrative expenses.

Specialty Services

Premium and service revenues increased 33.5% in 2011 primarily due to the growth in our Medicaid segment and the associated specialty services provided to this increased membership. Earnings from operations decreased 6.6% in 2011 reflecting the addition of the care management software business which operates at a loss and lower earnings in our individual health insurance business.

Table of Contents

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shown below is a condensed schedule of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, used in the discussion of liquidity and capital resources (\$ in millions).

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$278.7	\$261.7	\$168.9
Net cash used in investing activities	(187.9	) (129.1	) (210.6
Net cash provided by financing activities	179.5	6.9	72.1
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$270.3	\$139.5	\$30.4

## Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities

Normal operations are funded primarily through operating cash flows and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Operating activities provided cash of \$278.7 million in 2012, compared to \$261.7 million in 2011 and \$168.9 million in 2010.

Cash flows from operations in each year were impacted by the timing of payments we receive from our states. States may prepay the following month premium payment, which we record as unearned revenue, or they may delay our premium payment, which we record as a receivable. We typically receive capitation payments monthly, however the states in which we operate may decide to adjust their payment schedules which could positively or negatively impact our reported cash flows from operating activities in any given period. The table below details the impact to cash flows from operations from the timing of payments from our states (\$ in millions).

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Premium and related receivables	\$(116.6	) \$(11.3	) \$(23.4
Unearned revenue	24.7	(109.1	) 25.7
Net (decrease) increase in operating cash flow	\$(91.9	) \$(120.4	) \$2.3

The cash provided by operations in 2012 was primarily related to an increase in medical claims liabilities related to the start up of our Louisiana, Missouri and Washington plans and the expansion of our Texas health plan as well as pre-payment of premiums in one of our states at December 31, 2012, partially offset by increases in premium and related receivables resulting from our health plan growth in 2012.

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2011 was negatively impacted by the timing of payments from our states by \$120.4 million. As of December 31, 2011, we had received all December 2011 capitation payments from our states and had not received any prepayments of January 2012 capitation. This was offset by an increase in medical claims liabilities related to the start up of our Mississippi, Illinois and Kentucky health plans, as well as expansion of our Texas health plan in 2011.

Net cash provided by operating activities benefited in 2010 as a result of prepayments from several of our states. Cash flows from operations in 2010 also reflected an increase in premium and related receivables and medical claims liability primarily due to increased business in Florida, Massachusetts and South Carolina.

## Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities

Investing activities used cash of \$187.9 million in 2012, \$129.1 million in 2011 and \$210.6 million in 2010. Cash flows from investing activities in 2012 and 2011 primarily consisted of additions to the investment portfolio of our regulated subsidiaries, including transfers from cash and cash equivalents to long-term investments, and capital



expenditures.

Our investment policies are designed to provide liquidity, preserve capital and maximize total return on invested assets within our guidelines. Net cash provided by and used in investing activities will fluctuate from year to year due to the timing of investment purchases, sales and maturities. As of December 31, 2012, our investment portfolio consisted primarily of fixed-income securities with an average duration of 2.6 years. These securities generally are actively traded in secondary markets and the reported fair market value is determined based on recent trading activity, recent trading activity in similar securities and other observable inputs. Our investment guidelines comply with the regulatory restrictions enacted in each state. We had unregulated cash and investments of \$37.3 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$38.3 million at December 31, 2011.

## Table of Contents

We spent \$82.1 million, \$73.7 million and \$118.6 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010 respectively, on capital expenditures for system enhancements, a new datacenter and market expansions including \$20.9 million in 2012 for land in close proximity to our corporate headquarters to support future growth and \$55.3 million in 2010 for a real estate development that included the Company's corporate headquarters. We anticipate spending approximately \$75 million on capital expenditures in 2013 primarily associated with system enhancements and market expansions.

### Cash Flows Provided by Financing Activities

Our financing activities provided cash of \$179.5 million, \$6.9 million and \$72.1 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010 respectively. Financing activities in 2012, 2011 and 2010 are discussed below.

2012. In November 2012, pursuant to a shelf registration statement, we issued an additional \$175 million of non-callable 5.75% Senior Notes due June 1, 2017 (\$175 million Add-on Notes) at a premium to yield 4.29%. The indenture governing the \$175 million Add-on Notes contains non-financial and financial covenants, including requirements of a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. Interest is paid semi-annually in June and December. We used the net proceeds from the offering to make capital contributions to our regulated subsidiaries.

2011. In January 2011, we replaced our \$300 million revolving credit agreement with a new \$350 million revolving credit facility, or the revolver. The revolver is unsecured and has a five-year maturity with non-financial and financial covenants, including requirements of minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, maximum debt to EBITDA ratios and minimum net worth. Borrowings under the revolver will bear interest based upon LIBOR rates, the Federal funds rate, or the prime rate. There is a commitment fee on the unused portion of the agreement that ranges from 0.25% to 0.50% depending on the total debt to EBITDA ratio.

In May 2011, we exercised our option to redeem the \$175 million 7.25% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014 (\$175 million Notes). We redeemed the \$175 million Notes at 103.625% and wrote off unamortized debt issuance costs, resulting in a pre-tax expense of \$8.5 million.

In May 2011, pursuant to a shelf registration statement, we issued \$250 million of non-callable 5.75% Senior Notes due June 1, 2017 (\$250 million Notes) at a discount to yield 6%. The indenture governing the \$250 million Notes contains non-financial and financial covenants, including requirements of a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. Interest is paid semi-annually in June and December. We used a portion of the net proceeds from the offering to repay the \$175 million Notes and call premium and to repay approximately \$50 million outstanding on our revolving credit facility. The additional proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. In connection with the issuance, we entered into \$250 million notional amount of interest rate swap agreements (Swap Agreements) that are scheduled to expire June 1, 2017. Under the Swap Agreements, we receive a fixed rate of 5.75% and pay a variable rate of LIBOR plus 3.5% adjusted quarterly, which allows us to adjust the \$250 million Notes to a floating rate. We do not hold or issue any derivative instrument for trading or speculative purposes.

2010. During the first quarter of 2010, we completed the sale of 5.75 million shares of common stock for \$19.25 per share. Net proceeds from the sale of the shares were approximately \$104.5 million. A portion of the net proceeds was used to repay the outstanding indebtedness under our \$300 million revolving credit loan facility (\$84.0 million as of December 31, 2009). The remaining net proceeds were used to fund our acquisition in South Carolina as well as capital expenditures.

### Liquidity Metrics

As of December 31, 2012, we had no borrowings outstanding under our \$350 million revolving credit facility, leaving availability of \$350.0 million, and we were in compliance with all covenants. We had outstanding letters of credit of \$17.3 million as of December 31, 2012, which are not part of our revolving credit facility. The letters of credit bore interest at 1.07% as of December 31, 2012.

Under the terms of our revolving credit facility debt covenants at December 31, 2012, we were required to maintain a maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio of 2.75. As of December 31, 2012, our borrowing availability under our revolving credit facility would have been limited as a result of this ratio. In February 2013, we amended our \$350 million revolving credit facility to add an additional pricing tier, increase the maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio during the first three quarters of 2013 to provide for increased borrowing availability and change the maximum total debt to EBITDA ratio to 3.0 as of December 31, 2013 and thereafter.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2012, we had working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, of \$176.5 million, as compared to \$102.4 million at December 31, 2011. We manage our short-term and long-term investments with the goal of ensuring that a sufficient portion is held in investments that are highly liquid and can be sold to fund short-term requirements as needed.

At December 31, 2012, our debt to capital ratio, defined as total debt divided by the sum of total debt and total equity, was 36.1%, compared to 27.3% at December 31, 2011. Excluding the \$75.4 million non-recourse mortgage note, our debt to capital ratio is 32.7%, compared to 22.6% at December 31, 2011. We utilize the debt to capital ratio as a measure, among others, of our leverage and financial flexibility.

We have a stock repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to four million shares of common stock from time to time on the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. No duration has been placed on the repurchase program. We reserve the right to discontinue the repurchase program at any time. We did not make any repurchases under this plan during 2012 or 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we received dividends of \$29.0 million, \$69.1 million, \$67.9 million, respectively, from our regulated subsidiaries.

## 2013 Expectations

In January 2013, we signed a definitive agreement to acquire AcariaHealth, one of the nation's largest, independent, comprehensive specialty pharmacy companies for \$152.0 million. The transaction consideration is anticipated to be financed through a combination of approximately 60% Centene common stock with the remainder from cash on hand and existing credit facilities. The acquisition is expected to close in the first quarter of 2013, subject to regulatory approval and other customary conditions.

We expect to make capital contributions to our insurance subsidiaries of approximately \$450 million during 2013 associated with our growth. These capital contributions are expected to be funded by unregulated cash flow generation in 2013 and borrowings on our revolving credit facility.

Based on our operating plan, we expect that our available cash, cash equivalents and investments, cash from our operations and cash available under our credit facility, along with the issuance of shares of Centene common stock in connection with the acquisition of AcariaHealth discussed above will be sufficient to finance our general operations, planned acquisition of AcariaHealth and capital expenditures for at least 12 months from the date of this filing.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes future contractual obligations. These obligations contain estimates and are subject to revision under a number of circumstances. Our debt consists of borrowings from our senior notes, credit facility, mortgages and capital leases. The purchase obligations consist primarily of software purchase and maintenance contracts. The contractual obligations and estimated period of payment over the next five years and beyond are as follows (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Medical claims liability	\$926,302	\$926,302	\$—	\$—	\$—
Debt and interest	665,669	31,893	70,750	475,109	87,917
Operating lease obligations	102,941	22,053	38,398	28,595	13,895

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

Purchase obligations	46,716	20,184	25,536	832	164
Other long-term liabilities <sup>1</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$1,741,628	\$1,000,432	\$134,684	\$504,536	\$101,976

---

<sup>1</sup> Our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012 includes \$55,344 of other long-term liabilities. This consists primarily of long-term deferred income taxes, liabilities under our deferred compensation plan, and reserves for uncertain tax positions. These liabilities have been excluded from the table above as the timing and/or amount of any cash payment is uncertain. As of December 31, 2012, reserves for uncertain tax positions totaled \$7,870. See the "Income Taxes" footnote for additional information regarding our deferred tax positions and accruals for uncertain tax positions. Other long-term liabilities also includes \$7,487 separate account liabilities from third party reinsurance that will not be settled in cash.

## Table of Contents

### REGULATORY CAPITAL AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Our operations are conducted through our subsidiaries. As managed care organizations, these subsidiaries are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state, and restrict the timing, payment and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us. Generally, the amount of dividend distributions that may be paid by a regulated subsidiary without prior approval by state regulatory authorities is limited based on the entity's level of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus.

Our subsidiaries are required to maintain minimum capital requirements prescribed by various regulatory authorities in each of the states in which we operate. As of December 31, 2012, our subsidiaries had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of \$990.3 million, compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus requirements of \$617.0 million. Excluding our Kentucky health plan, we estimate our Risk Based Capital, or RBC, percentage to be in excess of 350% of the Authorized Control Level.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners has adopted rules which set minimum risk-based capital requirements for insurance companies, managed care organizations and other entities bearing risk for healthcare coverage. As of December 31, 2012, each of our health plans was in compliance with the risk-based capital requirements enacted in those states.

### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For this information, refer to Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financials Statements, included herein.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein. Our accounting policies regarding medical claims liability and intangible assets are particularly important to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations and require the application of significant judgment by our management. As a result, they are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. We have reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

#### Medical claims liability

Our medical claims liability includes claims reported but not yet paid, or inventory, estimates for claims incurred but not reported, or IBNR, and estimates for the costs necessary to process unpaid claims at the end of each period. We estimate our medical claims liability using actuarial methods that are commonly used by health insurance actuaries and meet Actuarial Standards of Practice. These actuarial methods consider factors such as historical data for payment patterns, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, utilization of healthcare services and other relevant factors.

Actuarial Standards of Practice generally require that the medical claims liability estimates be adequate to cover obligations under moderately adverse conditions. Moderately adverse conditions are situations in which the actual claims are expected to be higher than the otherwise estimated value of such claims at the time of estimate. In many situations, the claims amounts ultimately settled will be different than the estimate that satisfies the Actuarial Standards of Practice. We include in our IBNR an estimate for medical claims liability under moderately adverse

conditions which represents the risk of adverse deviation of the estimates in our actuarial method of reserving.

We use our judgment to determine the assumptions to be used in the calculation of the required estimates. The assumptions we consider when estimating IBNR include, without limitation, claims receipt and payment experience (and variations in that experience), changes in membership, provider billing practices, healthcare service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, known outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness such as influenza, provider contract changes, changes to fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims.

Table of Contents

We apply various estimation methods depending on the claim type and the period for which claims are being estimated. For more recent periods, incurred non-inpatient claims are estimated based on historical per member per month claims experience adjusted for known factors. Incurred hospital inpatient claims are estimated based on known inpatient utilization data and prior claims experience adjusted for known factors. For older periods, we utilize an estimated completion factor based on our historical experience to develop IBNR estimates. The completion factor is an actuarial estimate of the percentage of claims incurred during a given period that have been received or adjudicated as of the reporting period to the estimate of the total ultimate incurred costs. When we commence operations in a new state or region, we have limited information with which to estimate our medical claims liability. See “Risk Factors - Failure to accurately predict our medical expenses could negatively affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.” These approaches are consistently applied to each period presented.

Additionally, we contract with independent actuaries to review our estimates on a quarterly basis. The independent actuaries provide us with a review letter that includes the results of their analysis of our medical claims liability. We do not solely rely on their report to adjust our claims liability. We utilize their calculation of our claims liability only as additional information, together with management's judgment, to determine the assumptions to be used in the calculation of our liability for claims.

Our development of the medical claims liability estimate is a continuous process which we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as additional claims receipts and payment information becomes available. As more complete claim information becomes available, we adjust the amount of the estimates, and include the changes in estimates in medical costs in the period in which the changes are identified. In every reporting period, our operating results include the effects of more completely developed medical claims liability estimates associated with previously reported periods. We consistently apply our reserving methodology from period to period. As additional information becomes known to us, we adjust our actuarial models accordingly to establish medical claims liability estimates.

The paid and received completion factors, claims per member per month and per diem cost trend factors are the most significant factors affecting the IBNR estimate. The following table illustrates the sensitivity of these factors and the estimated potential impact on our operating results caused by changes in these factors based on December 31, 2012 data:

Completion Factors (1):		Cost Trend Factors (2):		
(Decrease) Increase in Factors	Increase (Decrease) in Medical Claims Liabilities (in thousands)	(Decrease) Increase in Factors	Increase (Decrease) in Medical Claims Liabilities (in thousands)	
(2.0	)% \$107,600	(2.0	)% \$(31,700	
(1.5	) 80,300	(1.5	) (23,900	
(1.0	) 53,300	(1.0	) (15,900	
(0.5	) 26,500	(0.5	) (8,100	
0.5	(26,300	)	0.5	8,100
1.0	(52,200	)	1.0	16,000
1.5	(77,900	)	1.5	24,200
2.0	(103,300	)	2.0	32,200

(1) Reflects estimated potential changes in medical claims liability caused by changes in completion factors.

(2) Reflects estimated potential changes in medical claims liability caused by changes in cost trend factors for the most recent periods.



While we believe our estimates are appropriate, it is possible future events could require us to make significant adjustments for revisions to these estimates. For example, a 1% increase or decrease in our estimated medical claims liability would have affected net earnings by \$5.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The estimates are based on our historical experience, terms of existing contracts, our observance of trends in the industry, information provided by our providers and information available from other outside sources.

Table of Contents

The change in medical claims liability is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Balance, January 1,	\$607,985	\$456,765	\$470,932
Incurring related to:			
Current year	7,499,437	4,390,123	3,652,521
Prior years <sup>1</sup>	(53,400	) (65,377	) (68,069
Total incurred	7,446,037	4,324,746	3,584,452
Paid related to:			
Current year	6,535,537	3,788,808	3,203,585
Prior years	550,708	384,718	395,034
Total paid	7,086,245	4,173,526	3,598,619
Less: Premium deficiency reserve	41,475	—	—
Balance, December 31,	\$926,302	\$607,985	\$456,765
Claims inventory, December 31	641,000	495,500	434,900
Days in claims payable <sup>2</sup>	41.1	45.3	44.7

<sup>1</sup> Excluding the impact of the medical costs related to the retroactive assignment of members in our Kentucky health plan, the amount of "Incurred related to: Prior years" shown for 2012 in the table above would have been \$(61.7) million.

<sup>2</sup> Days in claims payable is a calculation of medical claims liability at the end of the period divided by average expense per calendar day for the fourth quarter of each year, excluding the Kentucky premium deficiency reserve liability.

Medical claims are usually paid within a few months of the member receiving service from the physician or other healthcare provider. As a result, the liability generally is described as having a "short-tail," which causes less than 5% of our medical claims liability as of the end of any given year to be outstanding the following year. We believe that substantially all the development of the estimate of medical claims liability as of December 31, 2012 will be known by the end of 2013.

Changes in estimates of incurred claims for prior years are primarily attributable to reserving under moderately adverse conditions. In addition, claims processing initiatives yielded increased claim payment recoveries and coordination of benefits related to prior year dates of service. Changes in medical utilization and cost trends and the effect of medical management initiatives may also contribute to changes in medical claim liability estimates. While we have evidence that medical management initiatives are effective on a case by case basis, medical management initiatives primarily focus on events and behaviors prior to the incurrence of the medical event and generation of a claim. Accordingly, any change in behavior, leveling of care, or coordination of treatment occurs prior to claim generation and as a result, the costs prior to the medical management initiative are not known by us. Additionally, certain medical management initiatives are focused on member and provider education with the intent of influencing behavior to appropriately align the medical services provided with the member's acuity. In these cases, determining whether the medical management initiative changed the behavior cannot be determined. Because of the complexity of our business, the number of states in which we operate, and the volume of claims that we process, we are unable to practically quantify the impact of these initiatives on our changes in estimates of IBNR.

The following are examples of medical management initiatives that may have contributed to the favorable development through lower medical utilization and cost trends:

• Appropriate leveling of care for neonatal intensive care unit hospital admissions, other inpatient hospital admissions, and observation admissions, in accordance with Interqual criteria.

• Tightening of our pre-authorization list and more stringent review of durable medical equipment and injectibles.

• Emergency department, or ED, program designed to collaboratively work with hospitals to steer non-emergency care away from the costly ED setting (through patient education, on-site alternative urgent care settings, etc.)

• Increase emphasis on case management and clinical rounding where case managers are nurses or social workers who are employed by the health plan to assist selected patients with the coordination of healthcare services in order to meet a patient's specific healthcare needs.

• Incorporation of disease management which is a comprehensive, multidisciplinary, collaborative approach to chronic illnesses such as asthma.

Table of Contents

Prenatal and infant health programs utilized in our Start Smart For Your Baby outreach service.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

We have made several acquisitions that have resulted in our recording of intangible assets. These intangible assets primarily consist of customer relationships, purchased contract rights, provider contracts, trade names and goodwill. At December 31, 2012, we had \$256.3 million of goodwill and \$20.3 million of other intangible assets.

Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over the following periods:

Intangible Asset	Amortization Period
Purchased contract rights	5 - 15 years
Provider contracts	7 - 10 years
Customer relationships	5 - 15 years
Trade names	7 - 20 years

Our management evaluates whether events or circumstances have occurred that may affect the estimated useful life or the recoverability of the remaining balance of goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets. If the events or circumstances indicate that the remaining balance of the intangible asset or goodwill may be impaired, the potential impairment will be measured based upon the difference between the carrying amount of the intangible asset or goodwill and the fair value of such asset. Our management must make assumptions and estimates, such as the discount factor, future utility and other internal and external factors, in determining the estimated fair values. While we believe these assumptions and estimates are appropriate, other assumptions and estimates could be applied and might produce significantly different results.

Goodwill is reviewed annually during the fourth quarter for impairment. In addition, an impairment analysis of intangible assets would be performed based on other factors. These factors include significant changes in membership, state funding, medical contracts and provider networks and contracts. The fair value of all reporting units with material amounts of goodwill was substantially in excess of the carrying value as of our annual impairment testing date.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

INVESTMENTS AND DEBT

As of December 31, 2012, we had short-term investments of \$139.1 million and long-term investments of \$649.5 million, including restricted deposits of \$34.8 million. The short-term investments generally consist of highly liquid securities with maturities between three and 12 months. The long-term investments consist of municipal, corporate and U.S. Treasury securities, government sponsored obligations, life insurance contracts, asset backed securities and equity securities and have maturities greater than one year. Restricted deposits consist of investments required by various state statutes to be deposited or pledged to state agencies. Due to the nature of the states' requirements, these investments are classified as long-term regardless of the contractual maturity date. Our investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. Assuming a hypothetical and immediate 1% increase in market interest rates at December 31, 2012, the fair value of our fixed income investments would decrease by approximately \$17.8 million. Declines in interest rates over time will reduce our investment income.

We entered into interest rate swap agreements with creditworthy financial institutions to manage the impact of market interest rates on interest expense. Our swap agreements convert a portion of our interest expense from fixed to variable rates to better match the impact of changes in market rates on our variable rate cash equivalent investments. As a result, the fair value of our \$250 million Senior Note debt varies with market interest rates. Assuming a hypothetical and immediate 1% increase in market interest rates at December 31, 2012, the fair value of our debt would decrease by approximately \$11.3 million. An increase in interest rates decreases the fair value of the debt and conversely, a decrease in interest rates increases the value.

For a discussion of the interest rate risk that our investments are subject to, see "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—Our investment portfolio may suffer losses from reductions in market interest rates and changes in market conditions which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity."

INFLATION

The inflation rate for medical care costs has been higher than the inflation rate for all items. We use various strategies to mitigate the negative effects of healthcare cost inflation. Specifically, our health plans try to control medical and hospital costs through our state savings initiatives and contracts with independent providers of healthcare services. Through these contracted care providers, our health plans emphasize preventive healthcare and appropriate use of specialty and hospital services. Additionally, our contracts with states require actuarially sound premiums that include health care cost trend.

While we currently believe our strategies to mitigate healthcare cost inflation will continue to be successful, competitive pressures, new healthcare and pharmaceutical product introductions, demands from healthcare providers and customers, applicable regulations or other factors may affect our ability to control the impact of healthcare cost increases.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Centene Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Centene Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Centene Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Centene Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 18, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

St. Louis, Missouri  
February 18, 2013

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$843,952	\$573,698
Premium and related receivables	263,452	157,450
Short-term investments	139,118	130,499
Other current assets	127,080	78,363
Total current assets	1,373,602	940,010
Long-term investments	614,723	506,140
Restricted deposits	34,793	26,818
Property, software and equipment, net	377,726	349,622
Goodwill	256,288	281,981
Intangible assets, net	20,268	27,430
Other long-term assets	64,282	58,335
Total assets	\$2,741,682	\$2,190,336
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Medical claims liability	\$926,302	\$607,985
Premium deficiency reserve	41,475	—
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	191,343	216,504
Unearned revenue	34,597	9,890
Current portion of long-term debt	3,373	3,234
Total current liabilities	1,197,090	837,613
Long-term debt	535,481	348,344
Other long-term liabilities	55,344	67,960
Total liabilities	1,787,915	1,253,917
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 55,339,160 issued and 52,329,248 outstanding at December 31, 2012, and 53,586,726 issued and 50,864,618 outstanding at December 31, 2011	55	54
Additional paid-in capital	450,856	421,981
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax	5,189	5,761
Retained earnings	566,820	564,961
Treasury stock, at cost (3,009,912 and 2,722,108 shares, respectively)	(69,864)	(57,123)
Total Centene stockholders' equity	953,056	935,634
Noncontrolling interest	711	785
Total stockholders' equity	953,767	936,419
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$2,741,682	\$2,190,336

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.





Table of ContentsCENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenues:			
Premium	\$8,126,205	\$5,077,242	\$4,192,172
Service	112,742	103,765	91,661
Premium and service revenues	8,238,947	5,181,007	4,283,833
Premium tax	428,665	159,575	164,490
Total revenues	8,667,612	5,340,582	4,448,323
Expenses:			
Medical costs	7,446,037	4,324,746	3,584,452
Cost of services	87,705	78,114	63,919
General and administrative expenses	704,604	587,004	477,765
Premium tax expense	428,354	160,394	165,118
Impairment loss	28,033	—	—
Total operating expenses	8,694,733	5,150,258	4,291,254
Earnings (loss) from operations	(27,121 )	190,324	157,069
Other income (expense):			
Investment and other income	35,957	13,369	15,205
Debt extinguishment costs	—	(8,488 )	—
Interest expense	(20,460 )	(20,320 )	(17,992 )
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, before income tax expense	(11,624 )	174,885	154,282
Income tax expense (benefit)	(329 )	66,522	59,900
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, net of income tax expense	(11,295 )	108,363	94,382
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense of \$0, \$0, and \$4,388, respectively	—	—	3,889
Net earnings (loss)	(11,295 )	108,363	98,271
Noncontrolling interest	(13,154 )	(2,855 )	3,435
Net earnings attributable to Centene Corporation	\$1,859	\$111,218	\$94,836
Amounts attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders:			
Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense	\$1,859	\$111,218	\$90,947
Discontinued operations, net of income tax expense	—	—	3,889
Net earnings	\$1,859	\$111,218	\$94,836
Net earnings per common share attributable to Centene Corporation:			
Basic:			
Continuing operations	\$0.04	\$2.22	\$1.87
Discontinued operations	—	—	0.08
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.04	\$2.22	\$1.95
Diluted:			
Continuing operations	\$0.03	\$2.12	\$1.80
Discontinued operations	—	—	0.08
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.03	\$2.12	\$1.88

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-K

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

Basic	51,509,366	50,198,954	48,754,947
Diluted	53,714,375	52,474,238	50,447,888

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

59

---

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS  
 (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net earnings (loss)	\$(11,295 )	\$108,363	\$98,271
Reclassification adjustment, net of tax	(1,789 )	(549 )	(1,660 )
Change in unrealized gains on investments, net of tax	1,217	(114 )	736
Other comprehensive earnings (loss)	(572 )	(663 )	(924 )
Comprehensive earnings (loss)	(11,867 )	107,700	97,347
Comprehensive earnings (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(13,154 )	(2,855 )	3,435
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Centene Corporation	\$1,287	\$110,555	\$93,912

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Table of ContentsCENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share data)

	Centene Stockholders' Equity					Treasury Stock		Non controlling Interest	Total
	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Retained Earnings				
	\$.001 Par Value Shares	Amt						\$.001 Par Value Shares	Amt
Balance, December 31, 2009	45,593,383	\$46	\$281,806	\$7,348	\$358,907	2,414,010	\$(47,262)	\$18,582	\$619,427
Consolidation of noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,104	3,104
Comprehensive Earnings:									
Net earnings	—	—	—	—	94,836	—	—	3,435	98,271
Change in unrealized investment gains, net of \$(511) tax	—	—	—	(924)	—	—	—	—	(924)
Total comprehensive earnings									97,347
Common stock issued for stock offering	5,750,000	6	104,528	—	—				