

PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2011.

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Exchange Act

for the Transition Period from to .

No. 0-17077

(Commission File Number)

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

300 Market Street, P.O. Box 967 Williamsport, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

17703-0967
(Zip Code)

(570) 322-1111

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Small reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

On August 2, 2011 there were 3,836,245 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

| | Page Number |
|--|---|
| <u>Part I</u> | |
| | <u>Financial Information</u> |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited) as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |
| | 25 |
| | 39 |
| | 40 |
| <u>Part II</u> | <u>Other Information</u> |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 41 |
| <u>Exhibit Index and Exhibits</u> | 41 |
| | 43 |
| | 44 |

Table of Contents**Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(UNAUDITED)

| (In Thousands, Except Share Data) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing balances | \$ 9,765 | \$ 9,467 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 20,904 | 26 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 30,669 | 9,493 |
| Investment securities, available for sale, at fair value | 245,863 | 215,565 |
| Investment securities, held to maturity, (fair value of \$54 and \$83) | 54 | 83 |
| Loans held for sale | 6,393 | 6,658 |
| Loans | 419,161 | 415,557 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 5,764 | 6,035 |
| Loans, net | 413,397 | 409,522 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 7,520 | 7,658 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,803 | 3,765 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 15,776 | 15,436 |
| Investment in limited partnerships | 3,875 | 4,205 |
| Goodwill | 3,032 | 3,032 |
| Deferred tax asset | 9,638 | 11,897 |
| Other assets | 4,966 | 4,374 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 744,986 | \$ 691,688 |
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 469,729 | \$ 428,161 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 100,104 | 89,347 |
| Total deposits | 569,833 | 517,508 |
| Short-term borrowings | 17,007 | 27,299 |
| Long-term borrowings, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) | 71,778 | 71,778 |
| Accrued interest payable | 676 | 750 |
| Other liabilities | 11,786 | 7,733 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 671,080 | 625,068 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, par value \$8.33, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 4,016,686 and 4,015,753 shares issued | 33,472 | 33,464 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 18,090 | 18,064 |
| Retained earnings | 33,379 | 31,091 |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive loss:

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Net unrealized loss on available for sale securities | (2,312) | (7,276) |
| Defined benefit plan | (2,413) | (2,413) |
| Less: Treasury stock at cost, 180,596 shares | (6,310) | (6,310) |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | 73,906 | 66,620 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 744,986 | \$ 691,688 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

| (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME: | | | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 6,144 | \$ 6,398 | \$ 12,432 | \$ 12,728 |
| Investment securities: | | | | |
| Taxable | 1,411 | 1,405 | 2,786 | 2,754 |
| Tax-exempt | 1,272 | 1,270 | 2,539 | 2,528 |
| Dividend and other interest income | 57 | 51 | 109 | 103 |
| TOTAL INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME | 8,884 | 9,124 | 17,866 | 18,113 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE: | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,182 | 1,551 | 2,376 | 3,261 |
| Short-term borrowings | 42 | 56 | 99 | 120 |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 742 | 927 | 1,476 | 1,844 |
| TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE | 1,966 | 2,534 | 3,951 | 5,225 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 6,918 | 6,590 | 13,915 | 12,888 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 600 | 400 | 1,200 | 700 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 6,318 | 6,190 | 12,715 | 12,188 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME: | | | | |
| Service charges | 527 | 537 | 1,030 | 1,047 |
| Securities gains, net | 9 | 56 | 134 | 53 |
| Earnings on bank-owned life insurance | 139 | 128 | 313 | 299 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 242 | 330 | 491 | 512 |
| Insurance commissions | 180 | 273 | 389 | 537 |
| Other | 776 | 684 | 1,461 | 1,256 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST INCOME | 1,873 | 2,008 | 3,818 | 3,704 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE: | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,475 | 2,615 | 5,107 | 5,352 |
| Occupancy, net | 301 | 313 | 649 | 644 |
| Furniture and equipment | 349 | 322 | 657 | 626 |
| Pennsylvania shares tax | 172 | 169 | 344 | 338 |
| Amortization of investment in limited partnerships | 165 | 141 | 331 | 283 |
| Other | 1,394 | 1,430 | 2,756 | 2,733 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST EXPENSE | 4,856 | 4,990 | 9,844 | 9,976 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX PROVISION | 3,335 | 3,208 | 6,689 | 5,916 |
| INCOME TAX PROVISION | 371 | 436 | 872 | 696 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 2,964 | \$ 2,772 | \$ 5,817 | \$ 5,220 |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| NET INCOME PER SHARE - BASIC | \$ | 0.78 | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 1.52 | \$ | 1.36 |
| NET INCOME PER SHARE - DILUTED | \$ | 0.78 | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 1.52 | \$ | 1.36 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC | | 3,835,785 | | 3,834,164 | | 3,835,542 | | 3,834,230 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING - DILUTED | | 3,835,785 | | 3,834,291 | | 3,835,542 | | 3,834,370 |
| DIVIDENDS PER SHARE | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.92 | \$ | 0.92 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(UNAUDITED)

| (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | COMMON STOCK | | ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL | RETAINED EARNINGS | ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | TREASURY STOCK | TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |
|---|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|---|------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | SHARES | AMOUNT | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 4,013,142 | \$ 33,443 | \$ 18,008 | \$ 27,218 | \$ (5,489) | \$ (6,264) | \$ | 66,916 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 5,220 | | | | 5,220 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 2,008 | | | 2,008 |
| Dividends declared, (\$0.92 per share) | | | | (3,528) | | | | (3,528) |
| Common shares issued for employee stock purchase plan | 1,130 | 9 | 24 | | | | | 33 |
| Purchase of treasury stock (1,568 shares) | | | | | | (46) | | (46) |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | 4,014,272 | \$ 33,452 | \$ 18,032 | \$ 28,910 | \$ (3,481) | \$ (6,310) | \$ | 70,603 |

| (In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) | COMMON STOCK | | ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL | RETAINED EARNINGS | ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | TREASURY STOCK | TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |
|---|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------|---|------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | SHARES | AMOUNT | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 4,015,753 | \$ 33,464 | \$ 18,064 | \$ 31,091 | \$ (9,689) | \$ (6,310) | \$ | 66,620 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 5,817 | | | | 5,817 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 4,964 | | | 4,964 |
| Dividends declared, (\$0.92 per share) | | | | (3,529) | | | | (3,529) |
| Common shares issued for employee stock purchase plan | 933 | 8 | 26 | | | | | 34 |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | 4,016,686 | \$ 33,472 | \$ 18,090 | \$ 33,379 | \$ (4,725) | \$ (6,310) | \$ | 73,906 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

| (In Thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net Income | \$ 2,964 | \$ 2,772 | \$ 5,817 | \$ 5,220 |
| Other comprehensive income: | 5,604 | 2,557 | 7,655 | 3,095 |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Change in unrealized gain on available for sale securities | | | | | | |
| Net realized gain included in net income | 9 | 56 | 134 | 53 | | |
| Other comprehensive income before tax expense | 5,595 | 2,501 | 7,521 | 3,042 | | |
| Income tax expense related to other comprehensive income | 1,902 | 850 | 2,557 | 1,034 | | |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | 3,693 | 1,651 | 4,964 | 2,008 | |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 6,657 | \$ | 4,423 | \$ | 10,781 |
| | | | | | \$ | 7,228 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

| (In Thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 5,817 | \$ 5,220 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 353 | 385 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,200 | 700 |
| Accretion and amortization of investment security discounts and premiums | (908) | (1,088) |
| Securities gains, net | (134) | (53) |
| Originations of loans held for sale | (20,432) | (22,939) |
| Proceeds of loans held for sale | 21,188 | 21,930 |
| Gain on sale of loans | (491) | (512) |
| Earnings on bank-owned life insurance | (313) | (299) |
| Decrease in prepaid federal deposit insurance | 337 | 346 |
| Other, net | (803) | 319 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 5,814 | 4,009 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | |
| Proceeds from sales | 2,877 | 430 |
| Proceeds from calls and maturities | 4,339 | 10,573 |
| Purchases | (25,573) | (22,486) |
| Investment securities held to maturity: | | |
| Proceeds from sales | 4 | |
| Proceeds from calls and maturities | 25 | 26 |
| Net increase in loans | (5,663) | (6,773) |
| Acquisition of bank premises and equipment | (215) | (363) |
| Proceeds from the sale of foreclosed assets | 388 | 79 |
| Purchase of bank-owned life insurance | (32) | (32) |
| Proceeds from bank-owned life insurance death benefit | | 82 |
| Proceeds from redemption of regulatory stock | 674 | |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (23,176) | (18,464) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net increase in interest-bearing deposits | 41,568 | 24,614 |
| Net increase in noninterest-bearing deposits | 10,757 | 8,080 |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (10,292) | (4,145) |
| Dividends paid | (3,529) | (3,528) |
| Issuance of common stock | 34 | 33 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (46) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 38,538 | 25,008 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 21,176 | 10,553 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING | 9,493 | 13,788 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING | \$ 30,669 | \$ 24,341 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 4,025 | \$ 5,398 |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| Income taxes paid | 1,790 | 1,600 |
| Transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate | 588 | 32 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Woods Investment Company, Inc., Woods Real Estate Development Company, Inc., and Jersey Shore State Bank (the Bank) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, The M Group, Inc. D/B/A The Comprehensive Financial Group (The M Group). All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

The interim financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of results for such periods. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The accounting policies followed in the presentation of interim financial results are the same as those followed on an annual basis. These policies are presented on pages 39 through 46 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In reference to the attached financial statements, all adjustments are of a normal recurring nature pursuant to Rule 10-01(b) (8) of Regulation S-X.

Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October, 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-26, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts*. This ASU addresses the diversity in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral. The amendments are effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December, 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, *When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts*. This ASU modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance,

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

which requires that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. For public entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal year, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Nonpublic entities may early adopt the amendments using the effective date for public entities. This ASU did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-01, *Receivables (Topic 310): Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20*. The amendments in this update temporarily delay the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in update

Table of Contents

2010-20, enabling public-entity creditors to provide those disclosures after the FASB clarifies the guidance for determining what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The deferral in this update will result in more consistent disclosures about troubled debt restructurings. This amendment does not defer the effective date of the other disclosure requirements in Update 2010-20. In the proposed update for determining what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, the FASB proposed that the clarifications would be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011. For the new disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in Update 2010-20, those clarifications would be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the fiscal year in which the proposal is adopted. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, *Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*. The amendments in this update provide additional guidance or clarification to help creditors in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties for purposes of determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this update are effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning annual period of adoption. As a result of applying these amendments, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered impaired. For purposes of measuring impairment of those receivables, an entity should apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, *Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements*. The main objective in developing this update is to improve the accounting for repurchase agreements (repos) and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The amendments in this update remove from the assessment of effective control (1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The amendments in this update apply to all entities, both public and nonpublic. The amendments affect all entities that enter into agreements to transfer financial assets that both entitle and obligate the transferor to repurchase or redeem the financial assets before their maturity. The guidance in this update is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011 and should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*. The amendments in this update result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. Consequently, the amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in this update are to be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. The amendments in this update improve the comparability, clarity, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. To increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income and to facilitate convergence of U.S. GAAP and IFRS, the

Table of Contents

option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity was eliminated. The amendments require that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. All entities that report items of comprehensive income, in any period presented, will be affected by the changes in this update. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2012, and interim and annual periods thereafter. The amendments in this update should be applied retrospectively, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial statements.

Note 3. Per Share Data

There are no convertible securities which would affect the denominator in calculating basic and dilutive earnings per share. Net income as presented on the consolidated statement of income will be used as the numerator. The following table sets forth the composition of the weighted average common shares (denominator) used in the basic and dilutive earnings per share computation.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | 2010 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Weighted average common shares issued | 4,016,381 | 4,013,892 | 4,016,138 | 4,013,610 |
| Average treasury stock shares | (180,596) | (179,728) | (180,596) | (179,380) |
| Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate basic earnings per share | 3,835,785 | 3,834,164 | 3,835,542 | 3,834,230 |
| Additional common stock equivalents (stock options) used to calculate diluted earnings per share | | 127 | | 140 |
| Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate diluted earnings per share | 3,835,785 | 3,834,291 | 3,835,542 | 3,834,370 |

Options to purchase 990 shares of common stock at a strike price of \$24.72 were outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. The average market price of the Company's stock was \$31.46 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. There were no options outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Note 4. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Available for sale (AFS) | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ 28,889 | \$ 1,994 | \$ (8) | \$ 30,875 |
| State and political securities | 177,132 | 1,813 | (9,018) | 169,927 |
| Other debt securities | 30,914 | 404 | (156) | 31,162 |
| Total debt securities | 236,935 | 4,211 | (9,182) | 231,964 |
| Financial institution securities | 10,886 | 1,674 | (174) | 12,386 |
| Other equity securities | 1,545 | 17 | (49) | 1,513 |
| Total equity securities | 12,431 | 1,691 | (223) | 13,899 |
| Total investment securities AFS | \$ 249,366 | \$ 5,902 | \$ (9,405) | \$ 245,863 |
| Held to maturity (HTM) | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other debt securities | 54 | | | 54 |
| Total investment securities HTM | \$ 54 | \$ | \$ | \$ 54 |

| (In Thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Available for sale (AFS) | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ 24,759 | \$ 1,854 | \$ | \$ 26,613 |
| State and political securities | 169,844 | 282 | (15,339) | 154,787 |
| Other debt securities | 20,141 | 503 | (36) | 20,608 |
| Total debt securities | 214,744 | 2,639 | (15,375) | 202,008 |
| Financial institution securities | 11,549 | 1,686 | (44) | 13,191 |
| Other equity securities | 296 | 70 | | 366 |
| Total equity securities | 11,845 | 1,756 | (44) | 13,557 |
| Total investment securities AFS | \$ 226,589 | \$ 4,395 | \$ (15,419) | \$ 215,565 |
| Held to maturity (HTM) | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ 5 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5 |
| Other debt securities | 78 | | | 78 |
| Total investment securities HTM | \$ 83 | \$ | \$ | \$ 83 |

The following tables show the Company's gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time, that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | Less than Twelve Months | | June 30, 2011 Twelve Months or Greater | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ 992 | \$ 8 | \$ | \$ | \$ 992 | \$ 8 |
| State and political securities | 43,845 | 1,460 | 35,893 | 7,558 | 79,738 | 9,018 |
| Other debt securities | 10,487 | 155 | 49 | 1 | 10,536 | 156 |
| Total debt securities | 55,324 | 1,623 | 35,942 | 7,559 | 91,266 | 9,182 |
| Financial institution securities | 1,335 | 110 | 167 | 64 | 1,502 | 174 |
| Other equity securities | 346 | 49 | | | 346 | 49 |
| Total equity securities | 1,681 | 159 | 167 | 64 | 1,848 | 223 |
| Total | \$ 57,005 | \$ 1,782 | \$ 36,109 | \$ 7,623 | \$ 93,114 | \$ 9,405 |

| (In Thousands) | Less than Twelve Months | | December 31, 2010 Twelve Months or Greater | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| State and political securities | 105,826 | 5,883 | 32,847 | 9,456 | 138,673 | 15,339 |
| Other debt securities | 2,501 | 19 | 282 | 17 | 2,783 | 36 |
| Total debt securities | 108,327 | 5,902 | 33,129 | 9,473 | 141,456 | 15,375 |
| Financial institution securities | 859 | 41 | 59 | 3 | 918 | 44 |
| Other equity securities | | | | | | |
| Total equity securities | 859 | 41 | 59 | 3 | 918 | 44 |
| Total | \$ 109,186 | \$ 5,943 | \$ 33,188 | \$ 9,476 | \$ 142,374 | \$ 15,419 |

At June 30, 2011 there were a total of 92 and 77 individual securities that were in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months and greater than twelve months, respectively.

The Company reviews its position quarterly and has determined that, at June 30, 2011, the declines outlined in the above table represent temporary declines and the Company does not intend to sell and does not believe it will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be at maturity. There were 169 positions that were temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011. The Company has concluded that the unrealized losses disclosed above are not other than temporary but are the result of interest rate changes, sector credit ratings changes, or company-specific ratings changes that are not expected to result in the non-collection of principal and interest during the period.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2011, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities since borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| (In Thousands) | Available for Sale | | Held to Maturity | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 9,014 | \$ 9,298 | \$ 54 | \$ 54 |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----|---------|----|----|-------|
| Due after one year to five years | 22,695 | | 22,750 | | | |
| Due after five years to ten years | 10,228 | | 9,955 | | | |
| Due after ten years | 194,998 | | 189,961 | | | |
| Total | \$ 236,935 | \$ | 231,964 | \$ | 54 | \$ 54 |

Total gross proceeds from sales of securities available for sale were \$2,877,000 and \$430,000, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following table represents gross realized gains and losses on those transactions:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross realized gains: | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ 4 | \$ |
| State and political securities | 5 | |
| Other debt securities | 8 | 6 |
| Financial institutions securities | | 56 |
| Other equity securities | 131 | |
| Total gross realized gains | \$ 148 | \$ 62 |
| Gross realized losses: | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ | \$ |
| State and political securities | | |
| Other debt securities | 14 | 9 |
| Financial institutions securities | | |
| Other equity securities | | |
| Total gross realized losses | \$ 14 | \$ 9 |

There were no impairment charges included in gross realized losses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Note 5. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (the FHLB), which is one of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks. Each Federal Home Loan Bank serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region. It is funded primarily from funds deposited by member institutions and proceeds from the sale of consolidated obligations of the Federal Home Loan Bank System. It makes loans to members (i.e., advances) in accordance with policies and procedures established by the board of directors of the Federal Home Loan Bank. As a member, the Bank is required to purchase and maintain stock in the FHLB in an amount equal to the sum of 0.35% of the membership asset value at December 31, 2010, 4.60% of advances, and 1.60% of letters of credit. At June 30, 2011, the Bank held \$6,234,100 in stock of the FHLB, which was in compliance with this requirement.

The Company evaluated its holding of FHLB stock for impairment and deemed the stock to not be impaired due to the expected recoverability of the par value, which equals the value reflected within the Company's financial statements. The decision was based on several items ranging from the estimated true economic losses embedded within the FHLB's mortgage portfolio to the FHLB's liquidity position and credit rating.

The following factors were evaluated to determine the ultimate recoverability of the par value of the Company's FHLB stock holding; (i) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted; (ii) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB; (iii) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the FHLB; (iv) the liquidity position of the FHLB; and (v) whether a decline is temporary or whether it affects the ultimate recoverability of the FHLB stock based on (a) the materiality of the carrying amount to the member institution and (b) whether an assessment of the institution's operational needs for the foreseeable future allow management to dispose of the stock.

Table of Contents**Note 6. Credit Quality and Related Allowance for Loan Losses**

Management segments the Bank's loan portfolio to a level that enables risk and performance monitoring according to similar risk characteristics. Loans are segmented based on the underlying collateral characteristics. Categories include commercial and agricultural, real estate, and installment loans to individuals. Real estate loans are further segmented into three categories: residential, commercial and construction.

The following table presents the related aging categories of loans, by segment, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| | Current | Past Due 30 To 89 Days | Past Due 90 Days Or More & Still Accruing | Non- Accrual | Total |
| Commercial and agricultural | \$ 51,436 | \$ 122 | \$ | \$ | \$ 51,558 |
| Real estate mortgage: | | | | | |
| Residential | 171,280 | 355 | | 608 | 172,243 |
| Commercial | 158,313 | 2,556 | | 1,167 | 162,036 |
| Construction | 16,927 | 4 | | 9,136 | 26,067 |
| Installment loans to individuals | 8,860 | 74 | | | 8,934 |
| | 406,816 | \$ 3,111 | \$ | \$ 10,911 | 420,838 |
| Less: Net deferred loan fees and discounts | 1,677 | | | | 1,677 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 5,764 | | | | 5,764 |
| Loans, net | \$ 399,375 | | | | \$ 413,397 |

| (In Thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| | Current | Past Due 30 To 90 Days | Past Due 90 Days Or More & Still Accruing | Non- Accrual | Total |
| Commercial and agricultural | \$ 50,208 | \$ 426 | \$ 215 | \$ 4 | \$ 50,853 |
| Real estate mortgage: | | | | | |
| Residential | 166,354 | 6,356 | 259 | 609 | 173,578 |
| Commercial | 157,764 | 438 | 60 | 1,927 | 160,189 |
| Construction | 13,836 | 5,592 | | 3,117 | 22,545 |
| Installment loans to individuals | 9,199 | 209 | 23 | 1 | 9,432 |
| | 397,361 | \$ 13,021 | \$ 557 | \$ 5,658 | 416,597 |
| Less: Net deferred loan fees | 1,040 | | | | 1,040 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 6,035 | | | | 6,035 |
| Loans, net | \$ 390,286 | | | | \$ 409,522 |

If interest had been recorded based on the original loan agreement terms and rate of interest for non-accrual loans, interest income on non-accrual loans would have approximated \$115,000 and \$325,000, and \$155,000 and \$240,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest income on such loans amounted to approximately \$15,000 and \$21,000 and \$41,000 and \$87,000, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Impaired Loans

Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable the Bank will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Bank evaluates such loans for impairment individually and does not aggregate loans by major risk classifications. The definition of impaired loans is not the same as the definition of non-accrual loans, although the two categories overlap. The Bank may choose to place a loan on non-accrual status due to payment delinquency or uncertain collectability, while not classifying the loan as impaired. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status and collateral value. The amount of impairment for these types of loans is determined by the difference between the present value of the expected cash flows related to the loan, using the original interest rate, and its recorded value, or as a practical expedient in the case of collateralized loans, the difference between the fair value of the collateral and the recorded amount of the loan. When foreclosure is probable, impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral.

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment if the loan is greater than \$100,000 and if the loan is either on non-accrual status or has a risk rating of substandard. Management may also elect to measure an individual loan for impairment if less than \$100,000 on a case by case basis.

Mortgage loans on one-to-four family properties and all consumer loans are large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans and are measured for impairment collectively. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays, which are defined as 90 days or less, generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration all circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower including the length of the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Interest income for impaired loans is recorded consistent with the Bank's policy on nonaccrual loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment, unpaid principal balance, and related allowance of impaired loans by segment as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | Recorded Investment | June 30, 2011 Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | \$ 82 | \$ 82 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgages - residential | 866 | 866 | |
| Real estate mortgages - commercial | 1,889 | 1,889 | |
| Real estate mortgages - construction | 6,036 | 6,036 | |
| Installment loans to individuals | | | |
| | 8,873 | 8,873 | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | | | |
| Real estate mortgages - residential | 469 | 469 | 52 |
| Real estate mortgages - commercial | 1,737 | 1,737 | 143 |
| Real estate mortgages - construction | 5,113 | 6,881 | 2,148 |
| Installment loans to individuals | | | |
| | 7,319 | 9,087 | 2,343 |
| Total: | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | 82 | 82 | |
| Real estate mortgages - residential | 1,335 | 1,335 | 52 |
| Real estate mortgages - commercial | 3,626 | 3,626 | 143 |
| Real estate mortgages - construction | 11,149 | 12,917 | 2,148 |
| Installment loans to individuals | | | |
| | \$ 16,192 | \$ 17,960 | \$ 2,343 |

| (In Thousands) | Recorded Investment | December 31, 2010 Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------|
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | \$ 90 | \$ 90 | \$ |
| Real estate mortgages - residential | 888 | 888 | |
| Real estate mortgages - commercial | 2,498 | 2,498 | |
| Real estate mortgages - construction | 260 | 260 | |
| Installment loans to individuals | | | |
| | 3,736 | 3,736 | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | | | |
| Real estate mortgages - residential | 572 | 572 | 80 |
| Real estate mortgages - commercial | 1,889 | 1,889 | 158 |
| Real estate mortgages - construction | 9,860 | 10,128 | 2,518 |
| Installment loans to individuals | | | |
| | 12,321 | 12,589 | 2,756 |
| Total: | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | 90 | 90 | |
| Real estate mortgages - residential | 1,460 | 1,460 | 80 |
| Real estate mortgages - commercial | 4,387 | 4,387 | 158 |
| Real estate mortgages - construction | 10,120 | 10,388 | 2,518 |
| Installment loans to individuals | | | |
| | \$ 16,057 | \$ 16,325 | \$ 2,756 |

Table of Contents

Impaired loans which are troubled debt restructurings amounted to approximately \$5,098,000 and \$4,799,000 as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income recognized for the three and six months ended for June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| (In Thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Average investment in impaired loans | \$ 18,179 | \$ 8,746 | \$ 14,767 | \$ 8,460 |
| Interest income recognized on an accrual basis on impaired loans | 75 | 76 | 154 | 166 |
| Interest income recognized on a cash basis on impaired loans | 12 | 41 | 18 | 87 |

There is approximately \$405,000 committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans.

Internal Risk Ratings

Management uses a ten point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized, and are aggregated as Pass rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. Loans in the Doubtful category exhibit the same weaknesses found in the Substandard loans, however, the weaknesses are more pronounced. Such loans are static and collection in full is improbable. However, these loans are not yet rated as loss because certain events may occur which would salvage the debt. Loans classified Loss are considered uncollectible and charge-off is imminent.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Bank has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the pass category unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. An external annual loan review of all commercial relationships \$800,000 or greater is performed, as well as a sample of smaller transactions. Confirmation of the appropriate risk category is included in the review. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard, Doubtful, or Loss on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents the credit quality categories identified above as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

June 30, 2011

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| (In Thousands) | Commercial and Agricultural | Residential | Real Estate Mortgages | | Construction | Installment Loans to Individuals | Totals |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | | | Commercial | | | | |
| Pass | \$ 50,158 | \$ 171,032 | \$ 148,710 | \$ 14,879 | \$ 8,934 | \$ 393,713 | |
| Special Mention | 1,076 | 143 | 4,264 | | | 5,483 | |
| Substandard | 324 | 1,068 | 9,062 | 11,188 | | 21,642 | |
| Doubtful | | | | | | | |
| Loss | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 51,558 | \$ 172,243 | \$ 162,036 | \$ 26,067 | \$ 8,934 | \$ 420,838 | |

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | Totals |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------|
| | Commercial and Agricultural | Residential | Real Estate Mortgages | | Construction | Installment Loans to Individuals | | |
| Pass | \$ 49,702 | \$ 171,588 | \$ 145,887 | \$ 11,840 | \$ 9,408 | \$ 388,425 | | |
| Special Mention | 565 | 526 | 9,195 | | | 10,286 | | |
| Substandard | 586 | 1,464 | 5,107 | 10,705 | 24 | 17,886 | | |
| Doubtful | | | | | | | | |
| Loss | | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 50,853 | \$ 173,578 | \$ 160,189 | \$ 22,545 | \$ 9,432 | \$ 416,597 | | |

Allowance for Loan Losses

An allowance for loan losses (ALL) is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management's continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated future loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The Bank's methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually evaluated for impairment (previously discussed) and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Bank's ALL.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. Allowances are segmented based on collateral characteristics previously disclosed, and consistent with credit quality monitoring. Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are grouped into two classes for evaluation. A general allowance is determined for Pass rated credits, while a separate pool allowance is provided for Criticized rated credits that are not individually evaluated for impairment.

For the general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors. A historical charge-off factor is calculated utilizing a twelve quarter moving average. Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: national and local economic trends and conditions; levels of and trends in delinquency rates and non-accrual loans; trends in volumes and terms of loans; effects of changes in lending policies; experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; value of underlying collateral; and concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Loans in the criticized pools, which possess certain qualities or characteristics that may lead to collection and loss issues, are closely monitored by management and subject to additional qualitative factors. Management also monitors industry loss factors by loan segment for applicable adjustments to actual loss experience.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL.

Activity in the allowance is presented for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | | | Totals |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | Commercial and Agricultural | Residential | Real Estate Mortgages | | Construction | Installment Loans to Individuals | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 466 | \$ 980 | \$ 1,508 | \$ 2,893 | \$ 188 | \$ 6,035 | |
| Charge-offs | | (34) | | (1,500) | (35) | (1,569) | |
| Recoveries | 8 | 34 | 20 | 5 | 31 | 98 | |
| Provision | (70) | (154) | 177 | 1,270 | (23) | 1,200 | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 404 | \$ 826 | \$ 1,705 | \$ 2,668 | \$ 161 | \$ 5,764 | |

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2010 | | | | | | Totals |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | Commercial and Agricultural | Residential | Real Estate Mortgages | | Construction | Installment Loans to Individuals | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 569 | \$ 972 | \$ 1,491 | \$ 1,403 | \$ 222 | \$ 4,657 | |
| Charge-offs | (261) | (53) | | | (70) | (384) | |
| Recoveries | 1 | 5 | | 4 | 64 | 74 | |
| Provision | 239 | (60) | 2 | 510 | 9 | 700 | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 548 | \$ 864 | \$ 1,493 | \$ 1,917 | \$ 225 | \$ 5,047 | |

The Company has a concentration of loans to both owners of commercial and residential rental properties at June 30, 2011 and 2010 of 16.69% and 14.31% and 15.60% and 14.59% of total loans, respectively.

The Company grants commercial, industrial, residential, and installment loans to customers throughout north-central Pennsylvania. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio at June 30, 2011, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is dependent on the economic conditions within this region.

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | | | Totals |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | Commercial and Agricultural | Residential | Real Estate Mortgages | | Construction | Installment Loans to Individuals | |
| Allowance for Loan Losses: | | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ 52 | \$ 143 | \$ 2,148 | \$ | \$ 2,343 | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 404 | 774 | 1,562 | 520 | 161 | 3,421 | |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 404 | \$ 826 | \$ 1,705 | \$ 2,668 | \$ 161 | \$ 5,764 | |
| Loans: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 82 | \$ 1,335 | \$ 3,626 | \$ 11,149 | \$ | \$ 16,192 | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 51,476 | 170,908 | 158,410 | 14,918 | 8,934 | 404,646 | |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ 51,558 | \$ 172,243 | \$ 162,036 | \$ 26,067 | \$ 8,934 | \$ 420,838 | |

December 31, 2010

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| (In Thousands) | Commercial and Agricultural | Residential | Real Estate Mortgages | | Construction | Installment Loans to Individuals | Totals |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | | | Commercial | | | | |
| Allowance for Loan Losses: | | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ | 80 | \$ | 158 | \$ | \$ 2,756 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | | 466 | 900 | | 1,350 | 375 | 188 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ | 466 | \$ | 980 | \$ | 1,508 | \$ 2,893 |
| | | | | | | \$ | 188 |
| | | | | | | | \$ 6,035 |
| Loans: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 90 | \$ | 1,460 | \$ | 4,387 | \$ 10,120 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | | 50,636 | | 172,118 | | 155,930 | 12,424 |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ | 50,726 | \$ | 173,578 | \$ | 160,317 | \$ 22,544 |
| | | | | | | | \$ 9,432 |
| | | | | | | | \$ 416,597 |

Table of Contents**Note 7. Net Periodic Benefit Cost-Defined Benefit Plans**

For a detailed disclosure on the Company's pension and employee benefits plans, please refer to Note 12 of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following sets forth the components of the net periodic benefit cost of the domestic non-contributory defined benefit plan for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

| (In Thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ 106 | \$ 131 | \$ 212 | \$ 263 |
| Interest cost | 178 | 171 | 356 | 342 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (186) | (160) | (370) | (321) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | (1) | (1) | (2) | (2) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 7 | 7 | 13 | 13 |
| Amortization of net loss | 41 | 37 | 82 | 73 |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 145 | \$ 185 | \$ 291 | \$ 368 |

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy detailed in Note 10 (Fair Value Measurements), the Plan's assets at fair value as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Level I | Level II | Level III | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 248 | \$ | \$ | \$ 248 |
| Mutual funds - taxable fixed income | 3,490 | | | 3,490 |
| Mutual funds - domestic equity | 4,461 | | | 4,461 |
| Mutual funds - international equity | 1,463 | | | 1,463 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 9,662 | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,662 |

| (In Thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Level I | Level II | Level III | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 235 | \$ | \$ | \$ 235 |
| Mutual funds - taxable fixed income | 3,261 | | | 3,261 |
| Mutual funds - domestic equity | 4,200 | | | 4,200 |
| Mutual funds - international equity | 1,376 | | | 1,376 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 9,072 | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,072 |

Employer Contributions

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The Company previously disclosed in its consolidated financial statements, included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, that it expected to contribute a minimum of \$957,000 to its defined benefit plan in 2011. As of June 30, 2011, there were contributions of \$350,000 made to the plan.

Table of Contents**Note 8. Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

The Company maintains the Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Plan). The Plan is intended to encourage employee participation in the ownership and economic progress of the Company. The Plan allows for up to 1,000,000 shares to be purchased by employees. The purchase price of the shares is 95% of market value with an employee eligible to purchase up to the lesser of 15% of base compensation or \$12,000 in market value annually. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, there were 933 and 1,130 shares issued under the plan, respectively.

Note 9. Off Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are primarily comprised of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate, or liquidity risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract amounts of these instruments express the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss from nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company may require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with off-balance sheet credit risk.

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk are as follows at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 80,025 | \$ 82,124 |
| Standby letters of credit | 1,207 | 1,228 |

Commitments to extend credit are legally binding agreements to lend to customers. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of fees. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company, on an extension of credit is based on management's credit assessment of the counterparty.

Standby letters of credit represent conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These instruments are issued primarily to support bid or performance related contracts. The coverage period for these instruments is typically a one year period with an annual renewal option subject to prior approval by management. Fees earned from the issuance of these letters are recognized upon expiration of the coverage period. For secured letters of credit, the collateral is typically Bank deposit instruments or customer

business assets.

Note 10. Fair Value Measurements

The following disclosures show the hierarchal disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observations utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value.

Table of Contents

- Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.
- Level II: Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities include items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, and items that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.
- Level III: Assets and liabilities that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These items do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available.

The following table presents the assets reported on the balance sheet at their fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | Total |
|--|---------------|----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| | Level I | Level II | Level III | | |
| Assets measured on a recurring basis: | | | | | |
| Investment securities, available for sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ | \$ | 30,875 | \$ | \$ 30,875 |
| State and political securities | | | 169,927 | | 169,927 |
| Other debt securities | | | 31,162 | | 31,162 |
| Financial institution securities | | 12,386 | | | 12,386 |
| Other equity securities | | 1,513 | | | 1,513 |
| Total assets measured on a recurring basis | \$ | 13,899 | \$ | 231,964 | \$ 245,863 |

| (In Thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | | Total |
|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| | Level I | Level II | Level III | | |
| Assets measured on a recurring basis: | | | | | |
| Investment securities, available for sale: | | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ | \$ | 26,613 | \$ | \$ 26,613 |
| State and political securities | | | 154,787 | | 154,787 |
| Other debt securities | | | 20,608 | | 20,608 |
| Financial institution securities | | 13,191 | | | 13,191 |
| Other equity securities | | 366 | | | 366 |
| Total assets measured on a recurring basis | \$ | 13,557 | \$ | 202,008 | \$ 215,565 |

The following table presents the assets reported on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair value on a non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | Total |
|--|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Level I | Level II | Level III | | |
| Assets measured on a non-recurring basis: | | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | 13,800 | \$ 13,800 |
| Other real estate owned | | 823 | | | 823 |
| Total assets measured on a non-recurring basis | \$ | \$ | 823 | \$ 13,800 | \$ 14,623 |

| (In Thousands) | December 31, 2010 | | | | Total |
|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Level I | Level II | Level III | | |
| Assets measured on a non-recurring basis: | | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | 13,301 | \$ 13,301 |
| Other real estate owned | | 609 | | | 609 |
| Total assets measured on a non-recurring basis | \$ | \$ | 609 | \$ 13,301 | \$ 13,910 |

Note 11. Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company is required to disclose estimated fair values for its financial instruments. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Also, it is the Company's general practice and intention to hold most of its financial instruments to maturity and not to engage in trading or sales activities. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect the estimates.

Estimated fair values have been determined by the Company using historical data and an estimation methodology suitable for each category of financial instruments. The Company's fair value estimates, methods, and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's other financial instruments.

As certain assets and liabilities, such as deferred tax assets, premises and equipment, and many other operational elements of the Company, are not considered financial instruments but have value, this estimated fair value of financial instruments would not represent the full market value of the Company.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 30,669 | \$ 30,669 | \$ 9,493 | \$ 9,493 |
| Investment securities: | | | | |
| Available for sale | 245,863 | 245,863 | 215,565 | 215,565 |
| Held to maturity | 54 | 54 | 83 | 83 |
| Loans held for sale | 6,393 | 6,393 | 6,658 | 6,658 |
| Loans, net | 413,397 | 407,282 | 409,522 | 402,250 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 15,776 | 15,776 | 15,436 | 15,436 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,803 | 3,803 | 3,765 | 3,765 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 469,729 | \$ 457,509 | \$ 428,161 | \$ 419,058 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 100,104 | 100,104 | 89,347 | 89,347 |
| Short-term borrowings | 17,007 | 17,007 | 27,299 | 27,299 |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 71,778 | 75,176 | 71,778 | 75,790 |
| Accrued interest payable | 676 | 676 | 750 | 750 |

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Loans Held for Sale, Accrued Interest Receivable, Short-term Borrowings, and Accrued Interest Payable:

The fair value is equal to the carrying value.

Investment Securities:

The fair value of investment securities available for sale and held to maturity is equal to the available quoted market price. If no quoted market price is available, fair value is estimated using the quoted market price for similar securities. Regulatory stocks fair value is equal to the carrying value.

Loans:

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as commercial, commercial real estate, residential real estate, construction real estate, and installment loans to individuals. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by performing and nonperforming categories.

The fair value of performing loans is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. The estimate of maturity is based on the Company's historical experience with repayments for each loan classification, modified, as required, by an estimate of the effect of current economic and lending conditions.

Fair value for significant nonperforming loans is based on recent external appraisals. If appraisals are not available, estimated cash flows are discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows, and discounted rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance:

The fair value is equal to the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies.

Deposits:

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing demand deposits, savings, NOW, and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows.

Table of Contents

The fair value estimates above do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market, commonly referred to as the core deposit intangible.

Long Term Borrowings:

The fair value of long term borrowings is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows.

Commitments to Extend Credit, Standby Letters of Credit, and Financial Guarantees Written:

There is no material difference between the notional amount and the estimated fair value of off-balance sheet items. The contractual amounts of unfunded commitments and letters of credit are presented in Note 9 (Off Balance Sheet Risk).

Note 12. Reclassification of Comparative Amounts

Certain comparative amounts for the prior period have been reclassified to conform to current period presentations. Such reclassifications had no effect on net income or shareholders' equity.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE PRIVATE

SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Report contains certain forward-looking statements including statements concerning plans, objectives, future events or performance and assumptions and other statements which are other than statements of historical fact. The Company cautions readers that the following important factors, among others, may have affected and could in the future affect the Company's actual results and could cause the Company's actual results for subsequent periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by or on behalf of the Company herein: (i) the effect of changes in laws and regulations, including federal and state banking laws and regulations, with which the Company must comply, and the associated costs of compliance with such laws and regulations either currently or in the future as applicable; (ii) the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies as well as by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or of changes in the Company's organization, compensation and benefit plans; (iii) the effect on the Company's competitive position within its market area of the increasing consolidation within the banking and financial services industries, including the increased competition from larger regional and out-of-state banking organizations as well as non-bank providers of various financial services; (iv) the effect of changes in interest rates; and (v) the effect of changes in the business cycle and downturns in the local, regional or national economies.

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, even if subsequently made available by the Company on its website or otherwise. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise these statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

EARNINGS SUMMARY

Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Summary Results

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2,964,000 compared to \$2,772,000 for the same period of 2010 as after-tax securities gains decreased \$31,000 (from a gain of \$37,000 to a gain of \$6,000). Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$0.78 compared to \$0.72 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Return on average assets and return on average equity were 1.64% and 16.29% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 1.58% and 15.76% for the corresponding period of 2010. Net income from core operations (operating earnings) increased to \$2,958,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$2,735,000 for the same period of 2010. Operating earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$0.77 basic and dilutive compared to \$0.71 basic and dilutive for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

The six months ended June 30, 2011 generated net income of \$5,817,000 compared to \$5,220,000 for the same period of 2010. Comparable results were impacted by an increase in after-tax securities gains of \$53,000 (from a gain of \$35,000 for the 2010 period to a gain of \$88,000 for the 2011 period). Earnings per share, basic and dilutive, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were \$1.52 compared to \$1.36 for the comparable period of 2010. Return on average assets and return on average equity were 1.64% and 16.45% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 1.50% and 15.05% for the corresponding period of 2010. Operating earnings increased 10.5% to \$5,729,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$5,185,000 for the comparable period of 2010, resulting in basic and dilutive operating earnings per share increasing 10.4% to \$1.49 from \$1.35 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Management uses the non-GAAP measure of net income from core operations, or operating earnings, in its analysis of the Company's performance. This measure, as used by the Company, adjusts net income by excluding significant gains or losses that are unusual in nature. Because certain of these items and their impact on the Company's performance are difficult to predict, management believes the presentation of financial measures excluding the impact of such items provides useful supplemental information in evaluating the operating results of the Company's core businesses. For purposes of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, net income from core operations, or operating earnings, means net income adjusted to exclude after-tax net securities gains or losses. These disclosures should not be viewed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies.

Reconciliation of GAAP and Non-GAAP Income

**Three Months Ended
June 30,**

**Six Months Ended
June 30,**

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|--|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| GAAP net income | \$ | 2,964 | \$ | 2,772 | \$ | 5,817 | \$ | 5,220 |
| Less: net securities gains, net of tax | | 6 | | 37 | | 88 | | 35 |
| Non-GAAP operating earnings | \$ | 2,958 | \$ | 2,735 | \$ | 5,729 | \$ | 5,185 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Return on average assets (ROA) | 1.64% | 1.58% | 1.64% | 1.50% |
| Less: net securities gains, net of tax | 0.01% | 0.02% | 0.02% | 0.01% |
| Non-GAAP operating ROA | 1.63% | 1.56% | 1.62% | 1.49% |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Return on average equity (ROE) | 16.29% | 15.76% | 16.45% | 15.05% |
| Less: net securities gains, net of tax | 0.04% | 0.21% | 0.25% | 0.10% |
| Non-GAAP operating ROE | 16.25% | 15.55% | 16.20% | 14.95% |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Basic earnings per share (EPS) | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 1.52 | \$ 1.36 |
| Less: net securities gains, net of tax | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Non-GAAP basic operating EPS | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.71 | \$ 1.49 | \$ 1.35 |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Dilutive EPS | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 1.52 | \$ 1.36 |
| Less: net securities gains, net of tax | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Non-GAAP dilutive operating EPS | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.71 | \$ 1.49 | \$ 1.35 |

Interest and Dividend Income

Interest and dividend income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$240,000 to \$8,884,000 compared to \$9,124,000 for the same period of 2010. The decrease was the result of loan portfolio income decreasing as the impact of portfolio growth was more than offset by a yield that decreased by 28 basis points (bp) due to the competitive landscape and downward repricing of the variable rate segment of the loan portfolio. Investment portfolio interest remained flat due to growth in the average investment portfolio of \$13,059,000 primarily from the addition of short-term corporate and municipal bonds to the portfolio, which offset a decline in the average taxable equivalent yield of 50 bp.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, interest and dividend income was \$17,866,000, a decrease of \$247,000 over the same period in 2010. Interest income on the loan portfolio decreased as the growth in the portfolio was countered by a 21 bp decline in average yield. The investment portfolio interest income remained steady as the increase in portfolio size was offset by a decline in yield.

Interest and dividend income composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---|---------|----------|---------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Loans including fees | \$ 6,144 | 69.16% | \$ 6,398 | 70.12% | \$ (254) | (3.97)% |
| Investment securities: | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 1,411 | 15.88 | 1,405 | 15.40 | 6 | 0.43 |
| Tax-exempt | 1,272 | 14.32 | 1,270 | 13.92 | 2 | 0.16 |
| Dividend and other interest income | 57 | 0.64 | 51 | 0.56 | 6 | 11.76 |
| Total interest and dividend income | \$ 8,884 | 100.00% | \$ 9,124 | 100.00% | \$ (240) | (2.63)% |

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---|---------|----------|---------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Loans including fees | \$ 12,432 | 69.59% | \$ 12,728 | 70.27% | \$ (296) | (2.33)% |
| Investment securities: | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 2,786 | 15.59 | 2,754 | 15.20 | 32 | 1.16 |
| Tax-exempt | 2,539 | 14.21 | 2,528 | 13.96 | 11 | 0.44 |
| Dividend and other interest income | 109 | 0.61 | 103 | 0.57 | 6 | 5.83 |
| Total interest and dividend income | \$ 17,866 | 100.00% | \$ 18,113 | 100.00% | \$ (247) | (1.36)% |

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$568,000 to \$1,966,000 compared to \$2,534,000 for the same period of 2010. The substantial decrease associated with deposits is primarily the result of a reduction of 49 bp in the rate paid on time deposits and a shift from higher cost time deposits to core deposits, with emphasis on money market accounts. Factors that led to the rate decreases include, but are not limited to, Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) interest rate actions and campaigns conducted by the Company during the past two years to attract short-term CDs resulting in an increased repricing frequency. The time deposit portfolio is now being lengthened in order to offer protection when interest rates begin to increase with a focus on maturities of 36 months and greater. In addition, the Marcellus Shale natural gas exploration in north central Pennsylvania is creating opportunities to create new and build upon existing deposit relationships. Short-term borrowings interest expense decreased as the significant growth in deposits has allowed for a reduction in the average balance of short-term borrowings. Long-term borrowings interest expense decreased due to the maturities of \$15,000,000 since June 30, 2010.

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased 24.38% from the same period of 2010. The reasons noted for the decline in interest expense for the three month period comparison also apply to the six month period.

Interest expense composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|----------------|---------------|---------|---|---------|----------|----------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Deposits | \$ 1,182 | 60.12% | \$ 1,551 | 61.21% | \$ (369) | (23.79)% |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----|-------|---------|----|-------|---------|----|-------|----------|
| Short-term borrowings | | 42 | 2.14 | | 56 | 2.21 | | (14) | (25.00) |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | | 742 | 37.74 | | 927 | 36.58 | | (185) | (19.96) |
| Total interest expense | \$ | 1,966 | 100.00% | \$ | 2,534 | 100.00% | \$ | (568) | (22.42)% |

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------|---|---------|------------|----------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Deposits | \$ 2,376 | 60.13% | \$ 3,261 | 62.41% | \$ (885) | (27.14)% |
| Short-term borrowings | 99 | 2.51 | 120 | 2.30 | (21) | (17.50) |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 1,476 | 37.36 | 1,844 | 35.29 | (368) | (19.96) |
| Total interest expense | \$ 3,951 | 100.00% | \$ 5,225 | 100.00% | \$ (1,274) | (24.38)% |

Table of Contents

Net Interest Margin

The net interest margin (NIM) for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was 4.58% compared to 4.56% for the corresponding period of 2010. The increase in the NIM was driven by a 43 bp decline in the rate paid on interest bearing liabilities that more than compensated for a 37 bp decline in the yield on interest earning assets. The decrease in earning asset yield is due to the impact on the loan and investment portfolios of the current low rate environment. The decrease in the cost of interest bearing liabilities from 1.87% to 1.44% was driven by a reduction in the rate paid on time deposits of 49 bp. The reduction in the rate paid on time deposits was the result of a shortening of the time deposit portfolio that has resulted in an increasing repricing frequency during this period of low rates. In addition, a focus on increasing core deposits has resulted in significant growth in lower cost core deposits. The duration of the time deposit portfolio has started to be lengthened due to the apparent bottoming or near bottoming of deposit rates. The average rate on long-term borrowings declined due to the maturity of \$15,000,000 in FHLB borrowings that carried an average rate of 4.87%.

The NIM for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was 4.73% compared to 4.52% for the same period of 2010. The impact of the items mentioned in the three month discussion also applies to the six month period. A 54 bp decline in the rate paid on time deposits served as the foundation for a 42 bp decline in rate paid on deposits, while the FOMC and general market actions affected the yield on earning assets and cost of borrowings.

The following is a schedule of average balances and associated yields for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES | | | AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Tax-exempt loans | \$ 20,369 | \$ 306 | 6.03% | \$ 18,750 | \$ 312 | 6.67% |
| All other loans | 400,072 | 5,942 | 5.96% | 398,988 | 6,192 | 6.22% |
| Total loans | 420,441 | 6,248 | 5.96% | 417,738 | 6,504 | 6.24% |
| Taxable securities | 126,139 | 1,467 | 4.65% | 112,538 | 1,454 | 5.17% |
| Tax-exempt securities | 107,469 | 1,927 | 7.17% | 108,011 | 1,924 | 7.13% |
| Total securities | 233,608 | 3,394 | 5.81% | 220,549 | 3,378 | 6.13% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 17,860 | 1 | 0.02% | 8,938 | 2 | 0.09% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 671,909 | 9,643 | 5.75% | 647,225 | 9,884 | 6.12% |
| Other assets | 53,037 | | | 54,681 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 724,946 | | | \$ 701,906 | | |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity: | | | | | | |
| Savings | \$ 70,698 | 34 | 0.19% | \$ 65,483 | 45 | 0.28% |
| Super Now deposits | 82,483 | 107 | 0.52% | 64,931 | 92 | 0.57% |
| Money market deposits | 123,116 | 291 | 0.95% | 101,361 | 291 | 1.15% |
| Time deposits | 181,250 | 750 | 1.66% | 209,344 | 1,123 | 2.15% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 457,547 | 1,182 | 1.04% | 441,119 | 1,551 | 1.41% |
| Short-term borrowings | 14,623 | 42 | 1.15% | 12,306 | 56 | 1.82% |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 71,778 | 742 | 4.09% | 86,778 | 927 | 4.23% |
| Total borrowings | 86,401 | 784 | 3.59% | 99,084 | 983 | 3.92% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 543,948 | 1,966 | 1.44% | 540,203 | 2,534 | 1.87% |
| Demand deposits | 98,371 | | | 83,205 | | |
| Other liabilities | 9,832 | | | 8,150 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 72,795 | | | 70,348 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 724,946 | | | \$ 701,906 | | |
| Interest rate spread | | | 4.31% | | | 4.25% |
| Net interest income/margin | | \$ 7,677 | 4.58% | | \$ 7,350 | 4.56% |

- Information on this table has been calculated using average daily balance sheets to obtain average balances.
- Nonaccrual loans have been included with loans for the purpose of analyzing net interest earnings.
- Income and rates on a fully taxable equivalent basis include an adjustment for the difference between annual income from tax-exempt obligations and the taxable equivalent of such income at the standard 34% tax rate.

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES | | | AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Average Rate |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Tax-exempt loans | \$ 20,370 | \$ 614 | 6.08% | \$ 18,018 | \$ 604 | 6.76% |
| All other loans | 399,839 | 12,027 | 6.07% | 397,018 | 12,329 | 6.26% |
| Total loans | 420,209 | 12,641 | 6.07% | 415,036 | 12,933 | 6.28% |
| Taxable securities | 120,471 | 2,893 | 4.80% | 109,607 | 2,854 | 5.21% |
| Tax-exempt securities | 105,301 | 3,847 | 7.31% | 107,515 | 3,830 | 7.12% |
| Total securities | 225,772 | 6,740 | 5.97% | 217,122 | 6,684 | 6.16% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 9,975 | 2 | 0.04% | 8,257 | 3 | 0.07% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 655,956 | 19,383 | 5.94% | 640,415 | 19,620 | 6.16% |
| Other assets | 53,464 | | | 54,988 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 709,420 | | | \$ 695,403 | | |
| Liabilities and shareholders equity: | | | | | | |
| Savings | \$ 68,616 | 70 | 0.21% | \$ 63,891 | 97 | 0.31% |
| Super Now deposits | 75,867 | 190 | 0.51% | 63,994 | 201 | 0.63% |
| Money market deposits | 116,194 | 555 | 0.96% | 94,313 | 579 | 1.24% |
| Time deposits | 184,885 | 1,561 | 1.70% | 214,749 | 2,384 | 2.24% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 445,562 | 2,376 | 1.08% | 436,947 | 3,261 | 1.50% |
| Short-term borrowings | 16,902 | 99 | 1.18% | 13,518 | 120 | 1.79% |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 71,778 | 1,476 | 4.09% | 86,778 | 1,844 | 4.23% |
| Total borrowings | 88,680 | 1,575 | 3.54% | 100,296 | 1,964 | 3.90% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 534,242 | 3,951 | 1.48% | 537,243 | 5,225 | 1.95% |
| Demand deposits | 94,941 | | | 80,636 | | |
| Other liabilities | 9,502 | | | 8,142 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 70,735 | | | 69,382 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 709,420 | | | \$ 695,403 | | |
| Interest rate spread | | | 4.46% | | | 4.21% |
| Net interest income/margin | | \$ 15,432 | 4.73% | | \$ 14,395 | 4.52% |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the adjustment to convert net interest income to net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

| (In Thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|--|----------|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Total interest income | \$ 8,884 | \$ 9,124 | \$ 9,124 | \$ 17,866 | \$ 18,113 | \$ 18,113 |
| Total interest expense | 1,966 | 2,534 | 2,534 | 3,951 | 5,225 | 5,225 |
| Net interest income | 6,918 | 6,590 | 6,590 | 13,915 | 12,888 | 12,888 |
| Tax equivalent adjustment | 759 | 760 | 760 | 1,517 | 1,507 | 1,507 |
| Net interest income (fully taxable equivalent) | \$ 7,677 | \$ 7,350 | \$ 7,350 | \$ 15,432 | \$ 14,395 | \$ 14,395 |

The following table sets forth the respective impact that both volume and rate changes have had on net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| (In Thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 vs. 2010 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 vs. 2010 | | |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------|--|------------------------------------|----------|
| | Volume | Increase (Decrease) Due to Rate | Net | Volume | Increase (Decrease) Due to Rate | Net |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Loans, tax-exempt | \$ 26 | \$ (32) | \$ (6) | \$ 74 | \$ (64) | \$ 10 |
| Loans | 17 | (267) | (250) | 85 | (387) | (302) |
| Taxable investment securities | 166 | (153) | 13 | 122 | (83) | 39 |
| Tax-exempt investment securities | (10) | 13 | 3 | (78) | 95 | 17 |
| Interest bearing deposits | 2 | (3) | (1) | 1 | (2) | (1) |
| Total interest-earning assets | 201 | (442) | (241) | 204 | (441) | (237) |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | |
| Savings deposits | 4 | (15) | (11) | 7 | (34) | (27) |
| Super Now deposits | 23 | (8) | 15 | 33 | (44) | (11) |
| Money market deposits | 56 | (56) | | 120 | (144) | (24) |
| Time deposits | (138) | (235) | (373) | (220) | (603) | (823) |
| Short-term borrowings | 10 | (24) | (14) | 23 | (44) | (21) |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | (155) | (30) | (185) | (312) | (56) | (368) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | (200) | (368) | (568) | (349) | (925) | (1,274) |
| Change in net interest income | \$ 401 | \$ (74) | \$ 327 | \$ 553 | \$ 484 | \$ 1,037 |

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is based upon management's quarterly review of the loan portfolio. The purpose of the review is to assess loan quality, identify impaired loans, analyze delinquencies, ascertain loan growth, evaluate potential charge-offs and recoveries, and assess general economic conditions in the markets served. An external independent loan review is also performed annually for the Bank. Management remains committed to an aggressive program of problem loan identification and resolution.

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The allowance for loan losses is determined by applying loss factors to outstanding loans by type, excluding loans for which a specific allowance has been determined. Loss factors are based on management's consideration of the nature of the portfolio segments, changes in mix and volume of the loan portfolio, and historical loan loss experience. In addition, management considers industry standards and trends with respect to non-performing loans and its knowledge and experience with specific lending segments.

Table of Contents

Although management believes it uses the best information available to make such determinations and that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at June 30, 2011, future adjustments could be necessary if circumstances or economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the initial determinations. A downturn in the local economy, increased unemployment, and delays in receiving financial information from borrowers could result in increased levels of nonperforming assets, charge-offs, loan loss provisions, and reductions in income. Additionally, as an integral part of the examination process, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Bank's loan loss allowance. The banking agencies could require the recognition of additions to the loan loss allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

While determining the appropriate allowance level, management has attributed the allowance for loan losses to various portfolio segments; however, the allowance is available for the entire portfolio as needed.

The allowance for loan losses decreased from \$6,035,000 at December 31, 2010 to \$5,764,000 at June 30, 2011. The primary cause of the decrease in the allowance for loan losses was a \$1,500,000 partial charge-off of a real-estate development loan. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses to total loans was 1.38% and 1.45%, respectively.

The provision for loan losses totaled \$600,000 and \$1,200,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$400,000 and \$700,000 for the same periods in 2010. The amount of the increase in the provision was the result of several factors, including but not limited to, a ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans of 2.60% at June 30, 2011 and a ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans of 52.83% at June 30, 2011. Nonperforming loans increased to \$10,911,000 at June 30, 2011 from \$6,646,000 at June 30, 2010 due to an increase in commercial loan delinquencies. The increase is centered on several loans that are either in a secured position and have sureties with a strong underlying financial position or have a specific allocation for any impairment recorded within the allowance for loan losses. Internal loan review and analysis and the continued uncertainty surrounding the economy, coupled with the ratios noted previously, dictated an increase in the provision for loan losses. The increase did not equate to the change in nonperforming loans due to the economic situation and the collateral status of the nonperforming loans and overall loan portfolio in general, which limits the loan specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses.

Following is a table showing the changes in total nonperforming loans as of:

| (In Thousands) | Total Nonperforming Loans | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Nonaccrual | 90 Days Past Due | Total |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 10,911 | \$ | \$ 10,911 |
| March 31, 2011 | 12,797 | 103 | 12,900 |
| December 31, 2010 | 5,658 | 557 | 6,215 |
| September 30, 2010 | 5,601 | 1,317 | 6,918 |
| June 30, 2010 | 6,299 | 347 | 6,646 |

Non-interest Income

Total non-interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 decreased \$135,000 to \$1,873,000 due in part to a \$47,000 decrease in net securities gains, from a gain of \$56,000 to a gain of \$9,000. Excluding net securities gains, non-interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 would have decreased \$88,000 compared to the 2010 period. Gain on sale of loans decreased

as the level of real estate transactions has decreased over the past year. Other income increased as debit and credit card related income continues to build as debit cards continue to gain in popularity, while an increasing number of merchants utilize our merchant card services.

Table of Contents

Insurance commissions for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased due to a continued soft market. Management of The M Group continues to pursue new and build upon current relationships. The sales call program continues to expand to other financial institutions, which results in additional revenue for The M Group if an additional sales outlet is added. However, the addition of a sales outlet for The M Group can take up to a year or more to be completed.

Total non-interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 increased \$114,000. Excluding net securities gains, non-interest income would have increased \$33,000 compared to the 2010 period. The increase in non-interest income for the six month period is the result of the same items noted in the three month discussion.

Non-interest income composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------|---|---------|----------|---------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Deposit service charges | \$ 527 | 28.14% | \$ 537 | 26.74% | \$ (10) | (1.86)% |
| Securities gains, net | 9 | 0.48 | 56 | 2.79 | (47) | 83.93 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 139 | 7.42 | 128 | 6.37 | 11 | 8.59 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 242 | 12.92 | 330 | 16.43 | (88) | (26.67) |
| Insurance commissions | 180 | 9.61 | 273 | 13.60 | (93) | (34.07) |
| Other | 776 | 41.43 | 684 | 34.07 | 92 | 13.45 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 1,873 | 100.00% | \$ 2,008 | 100.00% | \$ (135) | (6.72)% |

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------|---|---------|---------|----------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Deposit service charges | \$ 1,030 | 26.98% | \$ 1,047 | 28.27% | \$ (17) | (1.62)% |
| Securities gains, net | 134 | 3.51 | 53 | 1.43 | 81 | (152.83) |
| Bank owned life insurance | 313 | 8.20 | 299 | 8.07 | 14 | 4.68 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 491 | 12.86 | 512 | 13.82 | (21) | (4.10) |
| Insurance commissions | 389 | 10.19 | 537 | 14.50 | (148) | (27.56) |
| Other | 1,461 | 38.26 | 1,256 | 33.91 | 205 | 16.32 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 3,818 | 100.00% | \$ 3,704 | 100.00% | \$ 114 | 3.08% |

Non-interest Expense

Total non-interest expense decreased \$134,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period of 2010. The decrease in salaries and employee benefits was attributable primarily to a reduction in expenses associated with health insurance and the defined benefit pension plan as the investment portfolio performance for the plan improved. Amortization of investment in limited partnerships increased as a new low income elderly housing partnership that provides federal tax credits was added to the portfolio. Other expenses decreased due to our continued emphasis on cost control.

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total non-interest expense decreased \$132,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period of 2010. The decrease in non-interest expense for the six month period is primarily the result of the same items noted in the three month discussion.

Non-interest expense composition for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|--|---------------|---------|---|---------|----------|---------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 2,475 | 50.97% | \$ 2,615 | 52.40% | \$ (140) | (5.35)% |
| Occupancy, net | 301 | 6.20 | 313 | 6.27 | (12) | (3.83) |
| Furniture and equipment | 349 | 7.19 | 322 | 6.45 | 27 | 8.39 |
| Pennsylvania shares tax | 172 | 3.54 | 169 | 3.39 | 3 | 1.78 |
| Amortization of investment in limited partnerships | 165 | 3.40 | 141 | 2.83 | 24 | 17.02 |
| Other | 1,394 | 28.70 | 1,430 | 28.66 | (36) | (2.52) |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 4,856 | 100.00% | \$ 4,990 | 100.00% | \$ (134) | (2.69)% |

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | Change | |
|--|---------------|---------|---|---------|----------|---------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 5,107 | 51.88% | \$ 5,352 | 53.63% | \$ (245) | (4.58)% |
| Occupancy, net | 649 | 6.59 | 644 | 6.46 | 5 | 0.78 |
| Furniture and equipment | 657 | 6.67 | 626 | 6.28 | 31 | 4.95 |
| Pennsylvania shares tax | 344 | 3.49 | 338 | 3.39 | 6 | 1.78 |
| Amortization of investment in limited partnerships | 331 | 3.36 | 283 | 2.84 | 48 | 16.96 |
| Other | 2,756 | 28.01 | 2,733 | 27.40 | 23 | 0.84 |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 9,844 | 100.00% | \$ 9,976 | 100.00% | \$ (132) | (1.32)% |

Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased \$65,000 and increased \$176,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010. The primary causes of the changes in tax expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 compared to 2010 is the impact of net securities gains and additional low income housing tax credits received in 2011. Excluding the impact of the net securities gains, the effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was 11.06% and 12.60% compared to 13.23% and 11.56% for the same period of 2010. The Company currently is in a deferred tax asset position due to the low income housing tax credits earned both currently and previously. Management has reviewed the deferred tax asset and has determined that the asset will be utilized within the appropriate carry forward period and therefore does not require a valuation allowance.

ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$21,176,000 from \$9,493,000 at December 31, 2010 to \$30,669,000 at June 30, 2011 primarily as a result of the following activities during the six months ended June 30, 2011:

Loans Held for Sale

Activity regarding loans held for sale resulted in loan originations trailing sale proceeds, less \$491,000 in realized gains, by \$265,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Loans

Gross loans increased \$3,604,000 since December 31, 2010 due primarily to an increase of construction and commercial real estate loans, which was partially offset by a decrease in residential real estate loans.

The allocation of the loan portfolio, by category, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is presented below:

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | Change | |
|--|---------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------|--------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Commercial and agricultural | \$ 51,558 | 12.30% | \$ 50,853 | 12.23% | \$ 705 | 1.39% |
| Real estate mortgage: | | | | | | |
| Residential | 172,243 | 41.09 | 173,578 | 41.77 | (1,335) | (0.77) |
| Commercial | 162,036 | 38.66 | 160,189 | 38.55 | 1,847 | 1.15 |
| Construction | 26,067 | 6.22 | 22,545 | 5.43 | 3,522 | 15.62 |
| Installment loans to individuals | 8,934 | 2.13 | 9,432 | 2.27 | (498) | (5.28) |
| Less: Net deferred loan fees and discounts | 1,677 | (0.40) | 1,040 | (0.25) | 637 | 61.25 |
| Gross loans | \$ 419,161 | 100.00% | \$ 415,557 | 100.00% | \$ 3,604 | 0.87% |

Investments

The fair value of the investment securities portfolio at June 30, 2011 has increased \$30,269,000 since December 31, 2010. The change is primarily due to purchases of short-term state and political and other debt securities coupled with a decrease in the level of net unrealized losses within the state and political securities. The unrealized losses within the debt securities portfolio are the result of market activity, not credit issues/ratings, as approximately 93% of the debt securities portfolio is currently rated A or higher by either S&P or Moody's.

The Company considers various factors, which include examples from applicable accounting guidance, when analyzing the available for sale portfolio for possible other than temporary impairment. The Company primarily considers the following factors in its analysis: length of time and severity of the market value being less than carrying value; reduction of dividend paid (equities); continued payment of dividend/interest, credit rating, and financial condition of an issuer; intent and ability to hold until anticipated recovery (which may be maturity); and general outlook for the economy, specific industry, and entity in question.

The bond portion of the portfolio review is conducted with emphases on several factors. Continued payment of principal and interest is given primary importance with credit rating and financial condition of the issuer following as the next most important. Credit ratings were reviewed with the ratings of the bonds being satisfactory. Those that were not currently rated were discussed with a third party and/or underwent an internal financial review. The Company also monitors whether each of the investments incurred a decline in market value from carrying value of at least 20% for twelve consecutive months or a similar decline of at least 50% for three consecutive months. Each bond is reviewed to determine whether it is a general obligation bond, which is backed by the credit and taxing power of the issuing jurisdiction, or revenue bond, which is only payable from specified revenues. Based on the review undertaken by the Company, the Company determined that the decline in value of the various bond holdings were temporary and were the result of the general market downturns and interest rate/yield curve changes, not credit issues. The fact that almost all of such bonds are general obligation bonds further solidified the Company's determination that the decline in the value of these bond holdings is temporary.

The fair value of the equity portfolio continues to fluctuate as the economic turbulence continues to impact financial sector stock pricing. The amortized cost of the equity securities portfolio has increased \$586,000 to \$12,431,000 at June 30, 2011 from \$11,845,000 at December 31, 2010 as the Company has been slowly building the portfolio balance, while continuing to diversify geographic and sector risk as seen in the growth of non-financial institution holdings.

The equity portion of the portfolio is reviewed for possible other than temporary impairment in a similar manner to the bond portfolio with greater emphasis placed on the length of time the market value has been less than the carrying value and financial sector outlook. The Company

also reviews dividend payment activities and, in the case of financial institutions, whether or not such issuer was participating in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The starting point for the equity analysis is the length and severity

Table of Contents

of a market price decline. The Company monitors two primary measures: 20% decline in market value from carrying value for twelve consecutive months and 50% decline for three consecutive months.

The distribution of credit ratings by amortized cost and fair values for the debt security portfolio at June 30, 2011 follows:

| (In Thousands) | A- to AAA | | B- to BBB+ | | C to CCC+ | | Not Rated | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Available for sale (AFS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ 28,889 | \$ 30,875 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 28,889 | \$ 30,875 |
| State and political securities | 162,012 | 157,008 | 6,927 | 5,558 | | | 8,193 | 7,361 | 177,132 | 169,927 |
| Other debt securities | 30,168 | 30,409 | 725 | 732 | | | 21 | 21 | 30,914 | 31,162 |
| Total debt securities AFS | \$ 221,069 | \$ 218,292 | \$ 7,652 | \$ 6,290 | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,214 | \$ 7,382 | \$ 236,935 | \$ 231,964 |
| Held to maturity (HTM) | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government and agency securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other debt securities | 54 | 54 | | | | | | | 54 | 54 |
| Total debt securities HTM | \$ 54 | \$ 54 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 54 | \$ 54 |

Financing Activities

Deposits

Total deposits increased \$52,325,000 from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The growth was led by an increase in NOW accounts and money market deposits from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 of 35.23% and 20.43%, respectively. The increase in core deposits (deposits less time deposits) has provided relationship driven funding for the loan and investment portfolios, while also reducing the utilization of FHLB borrowings. The increase in deposits is the result of our focus on building relationships with money market accounts being the key building block. Over the past year and through the first six months of 2011, time deposits have decreased as we have taken a position of using these accounts as complementary accounts to core deposits. To facilitate this strategy we are actively working single product time deposit relationships to create a solid relationship through the addition of other products to the customer's portfolio.

Deposit balances and their changes for the periods being discussed follow:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | | Change | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|----|-------------------|---------|-----------|--------|--|
| | Amount | % Total | | Amount | % Total | Amount | % | |
| Demand deposits | \$ 100,104 | 17.57% | \$ | \$ 89,347 | 17.27% | \$ 10,757 | 12.04% | |
| NOW accounts | 91,285 | 16.02 | | 67,505 | 13.04 | 23,780 | 35.23 | |
| Money market deposits | 129,004 | 22.64 | | 107,123 | 20.70 | 21,881 | 20.43 | |
| Savings deposits | 71,923 | 12.62 | | 64,258 | 12.42 | 7,665 | 11.93 | |
| Time deposits | 177,517 | 31.15 | | 189,275 | 36.57 | (11,758) | (6.21) | |

Edgar Filing: PENNS WOODS BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|---------|---------|----|---------|---------|----|--------|--------|
| Total deposits | \$ | 569,833 | 100.00% | \$ | 517,508 | 100.00% | \$ | 52,325 | 10.11% |
|----------------|----|---------|---------|----|---------|---------|----|--------|--------|

Borrowed Funds

Total borrowed funds decreased 10.39% or \$10,292,000 to \$88,785,000 at June 30, 2011 compared to \$99,077,000 at December 31, 2010. The decrease in borrowed funds is primarily the result of growth in deposits as the deposit growth provided loan portfolio funding and funds to reduce the level of total borrowings. FHLB repurchase agreements were utilized as their structure allowed for a reduction in interest expense, while providing the ability to reduce the borrowings at our discretion as deposit levels increased.

Table of Contents

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | | Change | |
|---|---------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | Amount | % Total | Amount | % Total | Amount | % |
| Short-term borrowings: | | | | | | |
| FHLB repurchase agreements | \$ | | % | 14,010 | 14.14% | \$ (14,010) (100.00)% |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 17,007 | 19.16 | 13,289 | 13.41 | 3,718 | 27.98 |
| Total short-term borrowings | 17,007 | 19.16 | 27,299 | 27.55 | (10,292) | (37.70) |
| Long-term borrowings, FHLB | 71,778 | 80.84 | 71,778 | 72.45 | | |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ 88,785 | 100.00% | \$ 99,077 | 100.00% | \$ (10,292) | (10.39)% |

Capital

The adequacy of the Company's capital is reviewed on an ongoing basis with reference to the size, composition, and quality of the Company's resources and regulatory guidelines. Management seeks to maintain a level of capital sufficient to support existing assets and anticipated asset growth, maintain favorable access to capital markets, and preserve high quality credit ratings.

Bank holding companies are required to comply with the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines. The risk-based capital rules are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Specifically, each is required to maintain certain minimum dollar amounts and ratios of total risk-based, tier I risk-based, and tier I leverage capital. In addition to the capital requirements, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvements Act (FDICIA) established five capital categories ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. To be classified as well capitalized, total risk-based, tier I risk-based, and tier I leverage capital ratios must be at least 10%, 6%, and 5%, respectively.

Capital ratios as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| (In Thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|---------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| <u>Total Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets)</u> | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 74,698 | 15.57% | \$ 72,855 | 15.95% |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 38,369 | 8.00 | 36,544 | 8.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 47,961 | 10.00 | 45,680 | 10.00 |
| <u>Tier I Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets)</u> | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 68,273 | 14.24% | \$ 66,371 | 14.53% |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 19,184 | 4.00 | 18,272 | 4.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 28,777 | 6.00 | 27,408 | 6.00 |
| <u>Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)</u> | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 68,273 | 9.48% | \$ 66,371 | 9.55% |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 28,797 | 4.00 | 27,790 | 4.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 35,996 | 5.00 | 34,738 | 5.00 |

Liquidity; Interest Rate Sensitivity and Market Risk

The asset/liability committee addresses the liquidity needs of the Company to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet credit demands and deposit withdrawals as well as to the placement of available funds in the investment portfolio. In assessing liquidity requirements, equal consideration is given to the current position as well as the future outlook.

The following liquidity measures are monitored for compliance and were within the limits cited at June 30, 2011:

Table of Contents

1. Net Loans to Total Assets, 85% maximum
2. Net Loans to Total Deposits, 100% maximum
3. Cumulative 90 day Maturity GAP %, +/- 20% maximum
4. Cumulative 1 Year Maturity GAP %, +/- 25% maximum

Fundamental objectives of the Company's asset/liability management process are to maintain adequate liquidity while minimizing interest rate risk. The maintenance of adequate liquidity provides the Company with the ability to meet its financial obligations to depositors, loan customers, and shareholders. Additionally, it provides funds for normal operating expenditures and business opportunities as they arise. The objective of interest rate sensitivity management is to increase net interest income by managing interest sensitive assets and liabilities in such a way that they can be repriced in response to changes in market interest rates.

The Bank, like other financial institutions, must have sufficient funds available to meet its liquidity needs for deposit withdrawals, loan commitments and originations, and expenses. In order to control cash flow, the Bank estimates future cash flows from deposits, loan payments, and investment security payments. The primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities, FHLB borrowings, and brokered deposits. Management believes the Bank has adequate resources to meet its normal funding requirements.

Management monitors the Company's liquidity on both a long and short-term basis, thereby providing management necessary information to react to current balance sheet trends. Cash flow needs are assessed and sources of funds are determined. Funding strategies consider both customer needs and economical cost. Both short and long-term funding needs are addressed by maturities and sales of available for sale investment securities, loan repayments and maturities, and liquidating money market investments such as federal funds sold. The use of these resources, in conjunction with access to credit provides core funding to satisfy depositor, borrower, and creditor needs.

Management monitors and determines the desirable level of liquidity. Consideration is given to loan demand, investment opportunities, deposit pricing and growth potential, as well as the current cost of borrowing funds. The Company has a current borrowing capacity at the FHLB of \$197,749,000. In addition to this credit arrangement, the Company has additional lines of credit with correspondent banks of \$12,884,000. Management believes it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy estimated short-term and long-term funding needs. FHLB borrowings totaled \$71,778,000 as of June 30, 2011.

Interest rate sensitivity, which is closely related to liquidity management, is a function of the repricing characteristics of the Company's portfolio of assets and liabilities. Asset/liability management strives to match maturities and rates between loan and investment security assets with the deposit liabilities and borrowings that fund them. Successful asset/liability management results in a balance sheet structure which can cope effectively with market rate fluctuations. The matching process is affected by segmenting both assets and liabilities into future time periods (usually 12 months, or less) based upon when repricing can be effected. Repriceable assets are subtracted from repriceable liabilities, for a specific time period to determine the gap, or difference. Once known, the gap is managed based on predictions about future market interest rates. Intentional mismatching, or gapping, can enhance net interest income if market rates move as predicted. However, if market rates behave in a manner contrary to predictions, net interest income will suffer. Gaps, therefore, contain an element of risk and must be prudently managed. In addition to gap management, the Company has an asset/liability management policy which incorporates a market value at risk calculation which is used to determine the effects of interest rate movements on shareholders' equity and a simulation analysis to monitor the effects of interest rate changes on the Company's balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The Company currently maintains a GAP position of being liability sensitive. The Company has strategically taken this position as it has decreased the duration of the time deposit portfolio, while continuing to maintain a primarily fixed rate earning asset portfolio with a duration greater than the liabilities utilized to fund earning assets. Lengthening of the liability portfolio coupled with the addition of limited short-term assets is being undertaken. These actions are expected to reduce, but not eliminate, the liability sensitive structure of the balance sheet.

A market value at risk calculation is utilized to monitor the effects of interest rate changes on the Company's balance sheet and more specifically shareholders' equity. The Company does not manage the balance sheet structure in order to maintain compliance with this calculation. The calculation serves as a guideline with greater emphases placed on interest rate sensitivity. Changes to calculation results from period to period are reviewed as changes in results could be a signal of future events. As of the most recent analysis, the results of the market value at risk calculation were outside of established guidelines due to the strategic direction being taken.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

In this analysis the Company examines the result of a 100, 200, 300, and 400 basis point change in market interest rates and the effect on net interest income. It is assumed that the change is instantaneous and that all rates move in a parallel manner. Assumptions are also made concerning prepayment speeds on mortgage loans and mortgage securities.

The following is a rate shock forecast for the twelve month period ending June 30, 2012 assuming a static balance sheet as of June 30, 2011.

| (In Thousands) | Parallel Rate Shock in Basis Points | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | -200 | -100 | Static | +100 | +200 | +300 | +400 |
| Net interest income | \$ 26,257 | \$ 26,878 | \$ 26,962 | \$ 26,524 | \$ 26,074 | \$ 25,519 | \$ 24,785 |
| Change from static | (705) | (84) | | (438) | (888) | (1,443) | (2,177) |
| Percent change from static | -2.61% | -0.31% | | -1.62% | -3.29% | -5.35% | -8.07% |

The model utilized to create the report presented above makes various estimates at each level of interest rate change regarding cash flow from principal repayment on loans and mortgage-backed securities and/or call activity on investment securities. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates which would result in significant differences in the calculated projected change. In addition, the limits stated above do not necessarily represent the level of change under which management would undertake specific measures to realign its portfolio in order to reduce the projected level of change. Generally, management believes the Company is well positioned to respond expeditiously when the market interest rate outlook changes.

Inflation

The asset and liability structure of a financial institution is primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, interest rates rather than inflation have a more significant impact on the Company's performance. Interest rates are not always affected in the same direction or magnitude as prices of other goods and services, but are reflective of fiscal policy initiatives or economic factors which are not measured by a price index.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk for the Company is comprised primarily of interest rate risk exposure and liquidity risk. Interest rate risk and liquidity risk management is performed at the Bank level as well as the Company level. The Company's interest rate sensitivity is monitored by management through selected interest rate risk measures produced by an independent third party. There have been no substantial changes in the

Table of Contents

Company's gap analyses or simulation analyses compared to the information provided in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2010. Additional information and details are provided in the Liquidity and Interest Rate Sensitivity section of Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Generally, management believes the Company is well positioned to respond in a timely manner when the market interest rate outlook changes.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An analysis was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2011. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**Part II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

None.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to the Company's business.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

| Period | Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share (or Units) Purchased | Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Month #1 (April 1 - April 30, 2011) | | \$ | | 76,776 |
| Month #2 (May 1 - May 31, 2011) | | | | 76,776 |
| Month #3 (June 1 - June 30, 2011) | | | | 76,776 |

On April 26, 2011, the Board of Directors extended the previously approved authorization to repurchase up to 197,000 shares, or approximately 5%, of the outstanding shares of the Company for an additional year to April 30, 2012. To date, there have been 120,224 shares repurchased under this plan.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

- (3) (i) Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, as presently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
- (3) (ii) Bylaws of the Registrant as presently in effect (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 17, 2005).
- (31) (i) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- (31) (ii) Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- (32) (i) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- (32) (ii) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 101 Interactive data file containing the following financial statements formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010; (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three

Table of Contents

and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (v) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; and, (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this interactive data file shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not be deemed "filed" or part of any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 under the Securities Act of 1933, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PENNS WOODS BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2011

/s/ Richard A. Grafmyre
Richard A. Grafmyre, President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 9, 2011

/s/ Brian L. Knepp
Brian L. Knepp, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Exhibit 31(i) | Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| Exhibit 31(ii) | Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| Exhibit 32(i) | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| Exhibit 32(ii) | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| Exhibit 101 | Interactive data file containing the following financial statements formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010; (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (v) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; and, (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this interactive data file shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not be deemed filed or part of any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 under the Securities Act of 1933, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections. |