Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Form 10-Q August 09, 2013 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

x Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

or

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-34582

# NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

#### Maryland

27-0950358

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 Liberty Street, Warren, Pennsylvania

(Address of principal executive offices)

**16365** (Zip Code)

(814) 726-2140

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer v Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Common Stock (\$0.01 par value) 94,093,857 shares outstanding as of August 1, 2013

# NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

# **INDEX**

		PAGE
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	1
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the quarter ended and six months ended June, 2013 and 2012	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the quarter ended and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	3
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders	4
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Unaudited	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	53
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	72
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	73
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	74
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	74
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	74
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	74
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	75
Item 5.	Other information	75
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	75
	<u>Signature</u>	76
	Certifications	

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(in thousands, except share data)

Assets			
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions		359,997	362,794
Marketable securities available-for-sale (amortized cost of \$1,136,792 and \$1,053,122)		1,144,953	1,079,074
Total cash and investments		1,726,547	1,685,859
Personal Banking:		-,,,	-,000,000
Residential mortgage loans		2,414,347	2,400,208
Other consumer loans		223,514	235,367
Business Banking:			
Commercial loans		397,308	388,994
Total loans		5,691,407	5,702,480
Total loans, net		5,618,817	5,629,261
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost		48,240	46.834
		-,	
Real estate owned, net		21,269	26,165
Bank owned life insurance		139,210	137,044
Other intangible assets		2,832	3,529
Total assets	\$	7,963,814	7,942,600
Liabilities and Shareholders equity			
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$	796,137	755 420
	φ		755,429
Savings deposits		2,337,183	2,271,311
Total deposits		5,783,092	5,764,600

Edgar Filing: Northwest Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Borrowed funds	857,344	860,047
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	33,260	23,325
Other liabilities	57,748	62,177
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value: 50,000,000 authorized, no shares issued		
Paid-in capital	613,520	613,249
Unallocated common stock of employee stock ownership plan	(23,743)	(24,525)
Total shareholders equity	1,128,233	1,128,469

See accompanying notes to unaaudited consolidated financial statements

1

### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

		Quarter ended June 30,		Six	months ended June 30,
	2013		2012	2013	2012
Interest income:					
Loans receivable	\$	71,987	77,173	144,960	,
Mortgage-backed securities		3,308	4,409	6,749	,
Taxable investment securities		1,034	435	1,939	
Tax-free investment securities		2,094	2,318	4,157	
Interest-earning deposits		340	473	591	
Total interest income		78,763	84,808	158,396	5 170,842
Interest expense:					
Deposits		7,404	11,184	15,218	
Borrowed funds		8,032	7,912	15,863	15,811
Total interest expense		15,436	19,096	31,081	39,939
Net interest income		63,327	65,712	127,315	130,903
Provision for loan losses		5,405	4,963	12,563	3 11,250
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		57,922	60,749	114,752	119,653
Noninterest income:					
Impairment losses on securities					(545)
Noncredit related losses on securities not expected to					
be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)					307
Net impairment losses					(238)
Gain/ (loss) on sale of investments, net		19	(44)	120	)
Service charges and fees		9,037	8,951	17,728	17,591
Trust and other financial services income		2,263	2,018	4,467	
Insurance commission income		2,190	1,603	4,485	3,321
Loss on real estate owned, net		(2,285)	(582)	(2,415	5) (1,652)
Income from bank owned life insurance		1,088	1,107	2,173	3,224
Mortgage banking income		236	789	1,192	1,320
Other operating income		865	1,244	2,041	•
Total noninterest income		13,413	15,086	29,791	28,941
Noninterest expense:					
Compensation and employee benefits		28,156	27,416	56,086	55,254
Premises and occupancy costs		5,744	5,483	11,897	11,231
Office operations		3,866	3,340	7,134	
Processing expenses		7,390	6,059	13,243	
Marketing expenses		2,093	3,829	3,993	5,865
Federal deposit insurance premiums		1,424	1,418	2,862	- ,
Professional services		1,199	1,500	2,892	
Amortization of other intangible assets		349	279	697	
Real estate owned expense		600	571	1,199	,
Other expenses		1,985	2,071	4,274	
Total noninterest expense		52,806	51,966	104,277	103,242

Edgar Filing: Northwest Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income before income taxes		18,529	23,869	40,266	45,352
Federal and state income taxes		5,051	7,508	11,490	13,810
N-4 :	¢	,	16.261	ĺ	·
Net income	Ъ	13,478	16,361	28,776	31,542
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.15	0.17	0.32	0.33
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.15	0.17	0.32	0.33

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands)

	Quarter ended June 30, 2013	2012	Six months en June 30, 2013	nded 2012
Net Income	\$ 13,478	16,361	28,776	31,542
Other comprehensive income net of tax:	-,	- ,	7,	- /-
Net unrealized holding gains/ (losses) on marketable				
securities:				
Unrealized holding gains/ (losses) net of tax of				
\$7,276, \$(572), \$6,841 and \$(1,473), respectively	(11,399)	894	(10,729)	2,255
Other-than-temporary impairment on securities				
included in net income, net of tax of \$0, \$0, \$0 and				
\$(93), respectively				145
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net				
income, net of tax of \$44, \$91, \$87 and \$122	(60)		400	(400)
respectively	(68)	(141)	(134)	(190)
Net unrealized holding gains/ (losses) on marketable	(11.467)	750	(10.060)	2.210
securities	(11,467)	753	(10,863)	2,210
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax				
of \$(874), \$410, \$(1,241) and \$48, respectively	1,626	(641)	2.306	(90)
οι φ(87+), φ+10, φ(1,2+1) and φ+6, respectively	1,020	(041)	2,300	(90)
Defined benefit plan:				
Reclassification adjustment for prior period service				
costs included in net income, net of tax of \$(123),				
\$(232), \$(246) and \$(463), respectively	229	431	458	862
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Other comprehensive income/ (loss)	(9,612)	543	(8,099)	2,982
Total comprehensive income	\$ 3,866	16,904	20,677	34,524

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, expect per share data)

Accumulated Other

Unallocated

Total

Quarter ended June 30, 2012	Common Stock Shares Amount			Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	Unallocated common stock of ESOP	Total Shareholders Equity
Beginning balance at March 31, 2012	97,593,396	\$	976	660,933	547,352	(20,787)	(25,568)	1,162,906
Comprehensive income:								
Net income					16,361			16,361
Other comprehensive income, net of								
tax of \$(303)						543		543
Total comprehensive income					16,361	543		16,904
					10,501	545		10,704
Exercise of stock options	24,101			209				209
Stock compensation expense	263,377		3	1,041			376	1,420
Dividends paid (\$0.12 per share)					(11,435)			(11,435)
Dividends paid (\$0.12 per share)					(11,433)			(11,433)
Ending balance at June 30, 2012	97,880,874	\$	979	662,183	552,278	(20,244)	(25,192)	1,170,004
						Accumulated		
						Other	Unallocated	Total
	Commo	n Stock		Paid-in	Retained			Shareholders
Quarter ended June 30, 2013	Common Shares		nount	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings			
Quarter ended June 30, 2013 Beginning balance at March 31, 2013	Shares		nount 938			Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013		Aı		Capital	Earnings	Comprehensive	common stock	Shareholders
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income:	Shares	Aı		Capital	<b>Earnings</b> 565,594	Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity 1,147,580
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income	Shares	Aı		Capital	Earnings	Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of	Shares	Aı		Capital	<b>Earnings</b> 565,594	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity 1,147,580 13,478
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350	Shares	Aı		Capital	565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of	Shares	Aı		Capital	<b>Earnings</b> 565,594	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity 1,147,580 13,478
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350	Shares	Aı		Capital	565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350  Total comprehensive income  Exercise of stock options	Shares 93,802,335 174,992	Aı	938	Capital 615,160	565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP (24,137)	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)  3,866  1,683
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350  Total comprehensive income	Shares 93,802,335	Aı	938	<b>Capital</b> 615,160	565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)  3,866
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350  Total comprehensive income  Exercise of stock options	Shares 93,802,335 174,992	Aı	938	Capital 615,160	565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP (24,137)	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)  3,866  1,683
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350  Total comprehensive income  Exercise of stock options  Stock compensation expense	93,802,335 174,992 269,320	Aı	2 3	Capital 615,160  1,681 1,134	565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP (24,137)	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)  3,866  1,683  1,531
Beginning balance at March 31, 2013  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$6,350  Total comprehensive income  Exercise of stock options  Stock compensation expense  Share repurchases	93,802,335 174,992 269,320	Aı	2 3	Capital 615,160  1,681 1,134	Earnings 565,594 13,478	Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (9,975) (9,612)	common stock of ESOP (24,137)	Shareholders Equity  1,147,580  13,478  (9,612)  3,866  1,683  1,531  (4,459)

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, expect per share data)

	G	G. I		<b>D</b>	D. C.	Accumulated Other	Unallocated	Total
Six months ended June 30, 2012	Shares	n Stock Am	ount	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	of ESOP	Equity Equity
Beginning balance at December 31, 2011	97,493,046	\$	975	659,523	543,598	(23,226)	(25,966)	1,154,904
Comprehensive income: Net income					31,542			31,542
Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$(1,859)						2,982		2,982
Total comprehensive income					31,542	2,982		34,524
Exercise of stock options	124,451		1	994				995
Stock-based compensation expense	263,377		3	1,666			774	2,443
Dividends paid (\$0.24 per share)					(22,862)			(22,862)
Ending balance at June 30, 2012	97,880,874	\$	979	662,183	552,278	(20,244)	(25,192)	1,170,004
	a	a				Accumulated Other	Unallocated	Total
Six months ended June 30, 2013	Commo Shares		ount	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Other Comprehensive	common stock	Shareholders
Six months ended June 30, 2013 Beginning balance at December 31, 2012	Commo Shares 93,652,960		ount 937	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Other		Shareholders Equity
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012	Shares	Am		Capital	Earnings	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity
Beginning balance at December 31,	Shares	Am		Capital	Earnings	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income:	Shares	Am		Capital	<b>Earnings</b> 550,296	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity 1,128,469
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	Shares	Am		Capital	<b>Earnings</b> 550,296	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (11,488)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity 1,128,469 28,776
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$5,441  Total comprehensive income	Shares 93,652,960	Am	937	Capital 613,249	Earnings 550,296 28,776	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (11,488) (8,099)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity  1,128,469  28,776  (8,099)  20,677
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$5,441	Shares	Am		Capital	Earnings 550,296 28,776	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (11,488) (8,099)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity  1,128,469  28,776  (8,099)
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$5,441  Total comprehensive income	Shares 93,652,960	Am	937	Capital 613,249	Earnings 550,296 28,776	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (11,488) (8,099)	common stock of ESOP	Shareholders Equity  1,128,469  28,776  (8,099)  20,677
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$5,441  Total comprehensive income  Exercise of stock options	Shares 93,652,960 324,367	Am	937	Capital 613,249 2,898	Earnings 550,296 28,776	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (11,488) (8,099)	common stock of ESOP (24,525)	Shareholders Equity  1,128,469  28,776  (8,099)  20,677  2,901
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012  Comprehensive income: Net income  Other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$5,441  Total comprehensive income  Exercise of stock options  Stock-based compensation expense	Shares 93,652,960 324,367 269,320	Am	937 3 3	Capital 613,249  2,898 1,828	Earnings 550,296 28,776	Other Comprehensive Income/ (loss) (11,488) (8,099)	common stock of ESOP (24,525)	Shareholders Equity  1,128,469  28,776  (8,099)  20,677  2,901  2,613

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

# NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

### (in thousands)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net Income	\$ 28,776	31,542
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	12,563	11,250
Net (gain)/ loss on sale of assets	(584)	832
Net depreciation, amortization and accretion	4,708	3,691
Decrease in other assets	11,200	15,464
Increase/ (decrease) in other liabilities	(21)	6,428
Net amortization/ (accretion) on marketable securities	59	(19)
Noncash impairment losses on investment securities		238
Noncash write-down of real estate owned	2,971	886
Origination of loans held for sale	(35,991)	(106,900)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	51,673	95,315
Noncash compensation expense related to stock benefit plans	2,613	2,443
Net cash provided by operating activities	77,967	61,170
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of marketable securities available-for-sale	(227,595)	(144,666)
Proceeds from maturities and principal reductions of marketable securities		
available-for-sale	143,939	155,891
Proceeds from maturities and principal reductions of marketable securities held-to-maturity	21,690	51,170
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities available-for-sale		
Loan originations	(984,068)	(1,030,529)
Proceeds from loan maturities and principal reductions	962,424	902,818
Redemption/ (purchase) of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	(1,406)	2,101
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned	8,969	6,329
Sale of real estate owned for investment, net	228	228
Purchase of premises and equipment	(7,895)	(8,561)
Net cash used in investing activities	(83,714)	(65,219)

### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (continued)

#### (in thousands)

		Six months ended June 30,	
		2013	2012
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Increase in deposits, net	\$	18,492	25,545
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		30,000	
Repayments of long-term borrowings		(34)	(34)
Net increase/ (decrease) in short-term borrowings		(32,669)	25,223
Increase in advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance		9,935	8,753
Cash dividends paid		(21,968)	(22,862)
Purchase of common stock for retirement		(4,459)	
Proceeds from stock options exercised		2,901	995
Net cash provided by financing activities		2,198	37,620
Net increase/ (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$	(3,549)	33,571
· ·			
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$	451,704	688,297
Net increase/ (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(3,549)	33,571
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	448,155	721,868
•		,	,
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Cash and due from banks	\$	87,524	82,295
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions	•	359,997	638,940
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments		634	633
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	448,155	721,868
			,
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest on deposits and borrowings (including interest credited to deposit accounts of			
\$13,707 and \$20,736, respectively)	\$	30,926	39,801
Income taxes	\$	15,960	4,292
	Ψ	15,500	.,_>_
Non-cash activities:			
Loans foreclosures and repossessions	\$	7.019	12.038
Sale of real estate owned financed by the Company	\$	296	380
Sale of real estate owned infanced by the Company	Ψ	290	360

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Unaudited

#### (1) <u>Basis of Presentation and Informational Disclosures</u>

Northwest Bancshares, Inc. (the Company ) or ( NWBI ), a Maryland corporation headquartered in Warren, Pennsylvania, is a savings and loan holding company regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. The Company was incorporated to be the successor to Northwest Bancorp, Inc. upon the completion of the mutual-to-stock conversion of Northwest Bancorp, MHC in December 2009. The primary activity of the Company is the ownership of all of the issued and outstanding common stock of Northwest Savings Bank, a Pennsylvania-chartered savings bank ( Northwest ). Northwest is regulated by the FDIC and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking. At June 30, 2013, Northwest operated 166 community-banking offices throughout Pennsylvania, western New York, eastern Ohio and Maryland.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiary, Northwest, and Northwest subsidiaries Northwest Settlement Agency, LLC, Northwest Consumer Discount Company, Northwest Financial Services, Inc., Northwest Advisors, Inc., Northwest Capital Group, Inc., Boetger & Associates, Inc., Allegheny Services, Inc., Great Northwest Corporation, Veracity Benefit Designs, Inc. and The Bert Company. The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required for complete annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of the Company s financial position and results of operations have been included. The consolidated statements have been prepared using the accounting policies described in the financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 updated, as required, for any new pronouncements or changes. The following sections of our **Summary of Significant Accounting Principals** have been updated since the filing of our form 10K and are included herein.

#### Investment Securities

We classify marketable securities at the time of purchase as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading securities. Securities for which management has the intent and we have the ability to hold until their maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on a level yield basis (amortized cost). If it is management s intent at the time of purchase to hold securities for an indefinite period of time and/or to use such securities as part of its asset/liability management strategy, the securities are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as accumulated other comprehensive income/ (loss), a separate component of shareholders—equity, net of tax. Securities classified as available-for-sale include securities that may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, resultant prepayment risk, or other market factors. Securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading and are reported at fair value, with changes in fair value included in earnings. The cost of securities sold is determined on a specific identification basis. We held no securities classified as trading at or for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

On at least a quarterly basis, we review our investments that are in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). An investment security is deemed impaired if the fair value of the investment is less than its amortized cost. If an investment security is determined to be impaired, we evaluate whether the decline in value is other-than-temporary. We also consider whether or not we expect to receive all of the contractual cash flows from the investment security based on factors that include, but are not limited to: the credit worthiness of the issuer and the historical and projected performance of the underlying collateral. Also, we may evaluate the business and financial outlook of the issuer, as well as broader economic performance indicators. We consider our intent to sell the investment securities and the

#### Table of Contents

likelihood that we will not have to sell the investment securities before recovery of their cost basis during our evaluation. Declines in fair value of investment securities that are deemed credit related are recognized in earnings while declines in fair value of investment securities deemed noncredit related are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, if we do not intend to sell and it is not likely we will be required to sell. If we intend to sell the security or if it s more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security the entire unrealized loss is recorded in earnings.

Federal law requires a member institution of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) system to hold stock of its district FHLB according to a predetermined formula. This stock is recorded at cost. Quarterly, we evaluate our investment in the FHLB of Pittsburgh for impairment. We evaluate recent and long-term operating performance, liquidity, funding and capital positions, stock repurchase history, dividend history and impact of legislative and regulatory changes. Based on our most recent evaluation, we have determined that no impairment write-downs are currently required.

#### Loans Receivable

Our loan portfolio segments consist of Personal Banking loans and Business Banking loans. Personal Banking loans include the following classes: residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and other consumer loans. Business Banking loans include the following classes: commercial real estate loans and commercial loans. All classes of loans are carried at their unpaid principal balance net of any deferred origination fees or costs and the allowance for estimated loan losses. Interest income on loans is credited to income as earned. Interest earned on loans for which no payments were received during the month is accrued at month end. Accrued interest on loans more than 90 days delinquent is reversed, and such loans are placed on nonaccrual.

All classes of loans are placed on nonaccrual when principal or interest is 90 days or more delinquent, or when there is reasonable doubt that interest or principal will not be collected in accordance with the contractual terms. Interest receipts on all classes of nonaccrual and impaired loans are recognized as interest revenue when it has been determined that all principal and interest will be collected or are applied to principal when collectability of principal is in doubt. Nonaccrual loans generally are restored to an accrual basis when principal and interest become current and a period of performance has been established in accordance with the contractual terms, typically six months.

A loan (from any class) is considered to be a trouble-debt restructured loan (TDR) when the restructuring constitutes a concession and the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties. TDRs may include certain modifications of terms of loans, receipts of assets from borrowers in partial or full satisfaction of loans, or a combination thereof. TDRs are impaired loans and are measured for impairment until the loan has performed in accordance with its modified terms for a reasonable period of time, generally six consecutive months. A modified loan is determined to be a TDR based on the contractual terms as specified by the original loan agreements of the most recent modification. Once classified a TDR, a loan is only removed from such classification under three circumstances: (1) the loan is paid off, (2) the loan is charged off, or (3) if, at the beginning of the current fiscal year, the loan has performed in accordance with the modified terms for a minimum of six consecutive months and at the time of modification the loan s interest rate represented a then current market interest rate for a loan of similar risk.

For all classes of loans, delinquency is measured based on the number of days since the payment due date. For all classes of loans, past due status is measured using the loan s contractual maturity date.

#### Table of Contents

Loan fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred, and the net deferred fee or cost is then recognized using the level-yield method over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to interest income.

The results of operations for the quarter ended and six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013, or any other period.

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

On May 15, 2013, we awarded employees 511,100 stock options and directors 79,200 stock options with an exercise price of \$12.44 and a grant date fair value of \$1.03 per stock option. On May 15, 2013, we also awarded employees 240,700 restricted common shares and directors 29,700 restricted common shares with a grant date fair value of \$12.55. Awarded stock options and common shares vest over a ten-year period with the first vesting occurring on the grant date. Stock-based compensation expense of \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$2.6 million and \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, was recognized in compensation expense relating to our stock benefit plans. At June 30, 2013 there was compensation expense of \$5.6 million to be recognized for awarded but unvested stock options and \$16.2 million for unvested common shares.

#### **Income Taxes- Uncertain Tax Positions**

Accounting standards prescribe a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. A tax benefit from an uncertain position may be recognized only if it is more likely than not that the position is sustainable, based on its technical merits. The tax benefit of a qualifying position is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. As of June 30, 2013 we had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

We recognize interest accrued related to: (1) unrecognized tax benefits in federal and state income taxes and (2) refund claims in other operating income. We recognize penalties (if any) in federal and state income taxes. There is no amount accrued for the payment of interest or penalties at June 30, 2013. We are subject to audit by the Internal Revenue Service and any state in which we conduct business for the tax periods ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

#### (2) <u>Business Segments</u>

We operate in two reportable business segments: Community Banking and Consumer Finance. The Community Banking segment provides services traditionally offered by full-service community banks, including business and personal deposit accounts and business and personal loans, as well as insurance, brokerage and investment management and trust services. The Consumer Finance segment, which is comprised of Northwest Consumer Discount Company, a subsidiary of Northwest, operates 52 offices in Pennsylvania and offers personal installment loans for a variety of consumer and real estate products. This activity is funded primarily through an intercompany borrowing relationship with Allegheny Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Northwest. Net income is the primary measure used by management to measure segment performance.

The following tables provide financial information for these reportable segments. The All Other column represents the parent company and elimination entries necessary to reconcile to the consolidated amounts presented in the financial statements.

At or for the quarter ended:

June 30, 2013 (\$ in 000 s)	Community Banking	Consumer Finance	All other (1)	Consolidated
External interest income	\$ 73,236	5,218	309	78,763
Intersegment interest income	676		(676)	
Interest expense	14,162	676	598	15,436
Provision for loan losses	4,700	705		5,405
Noninterest income	12,986	414	13	13,413
Noninterest expense	49,447	3,144	215	52,806
Income tax expense (benefit)	5,024	459	(432)	5,051
Net income	13,565	648	(735)	13,478
Total assets	\$ 7,811,048	111,102	41,664	7,963,814

	Community	Consumer		
June 30, 2012 (\$ in 000 s)	Banking	Finance	All other (1)	Consolidated
External interest income	\$ 79,041	5,512	255	84,808
Intersegment interest income	738		(738)	
Interest expense	17,785	738	573	19,096
Provision for loan losses	4,250	713		4,963
Noninterest income	14,490	581	15	15,086
Noninterest expense	48,587	3,180	199	51,966
Income tax expense (benefit)	7,304	647	(443)	7,508
Net income	16,343	815	(797)	16,361
Total assets	\$ 7,881,277	116,262	40,457	8,037,996

<sup>(1)</sup> Eliminations consist of intercompany loans, interest income and interest expense.

At or for the six months ended:

Y 20 2012 /6 1 000	Community	Consumer	AB - 41 745	G
June 30, 2013 (\$ in 000 s)	Banking	Finance	All other (1)	Consolidated
External interest income	\$ 147,330	10,419	647	158,396
Intersegment interest income	1,376		(1,376)	
Interest expense	28,556	1,376	1,149	31,081
Provision for loan losses	11,006	1,557		12,563
Noninterest income	29,010	733	48	29,791
Noninterest expense	97,559	6,288	430	104,277
Income tax expense (benefit)	11,535	801	(846)	11,490
Net income	29,060	1,130	(1,414)	28,776
Total assets	\$ 7,811,048	111,102	41,664	7,963,814

	Community	Consumer		
June 30, 2012 (\$ in 000 s)	Banking	Finance	All other (1)	Consolidated
External interest income	\$ 159,231	11,043	568	170,842
Intersegment interest income	1,486		(1,486)	
Interest expense	37,325	1,486	1,128	39,939
Provision for loan losses	9,750	1,500		11,250
Noninterest income	27,787	1,092	62	28,941
Noninterest expense	96,465	6,376	401	103,242
Income tax expense (benefit)	13,525	1,151	(866)	13,810
Net income	31,439	1,622	(1,519)	31,542
Total assets	\$ 7,881,277	116,262	40,457	8,037,996

<sup>(1)</sup> Eliminations consist of intercompany loans, interest income and interest expense.

# (3) <u>Investment securities and impairment of investment securities</u>

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities available-for-sale at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Debt issued by the U.S. government and agencies:				
Due in one year or less	\$ 36			36
Debt issued by government sponsored enterprises:				
Due in one year or less	1,999	2		2,001
Due in one year - five years	207,441	160	(4,645)	202,956
Due in five years - ten years	112,750	162	(2,473)	110,439
Equity securities	15,527	11,999	(79)	27,447
Municipal securities:				
Due in one year - five years	9,676	178		9,854
Due in five years - ten years	16,250	414		16,664
Due after ten years	87,878	2,124	(182)	89,820
Corporate debt issues:				
Due after ten years	22,176	609	(2,273)	20,512
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	95,476	3,589	(1,226)	97,839
Variable rate pass-through	90,974	4,414	(11)	95,377
Fixed rate non-agency CMOs	4,576	545		5,121
Fixed rate agency CMOs	296,311	1,845	(7,477)	290,679
Variable rate non-agency CMOs	718		(24)	694
Variable rate agency CMOs	175,004	767	(257)	175,514
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	663,059	11,160	(8,995)	665,224
Total marketable securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,136,792	26,808	(18,647)	1,144,953

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Debt issued by the U.S. government and agencies:				
Due in one year or less	\$ 40			40
Debt issued by government sponsored enterprises:				
Due in one year or less	1,999	5		2,004
Due in one year - five years	140,352	183	(22)	140,513
Due in five years - ten years	95,602	460	(265)	95,797
Equity securities	13,301	6,025	(22)	19,304
Municipal securities:				
Due in one year - five years	9,629	233		9,862
Due in five years - ten years	17,355	668		18,023
Due after ten years	100,644	5,679		106,323
Corporate debt issues:				
Due after ten years	24,911	483	(2,691)	22,703
Residential mortgage-backed securities:	05.124	(266		01.400
Fixed rate pass-through	85,134	6,266	(6)	91,400
Variable rate pass-through	104,591	5,314	(6)	109,899
Fixed rate non-agency CMOs	5,700	156	(236)	5,620
Fixed rate agency CMOs	227,608	3,462	(744)	230,326
Variable rate non-agency CMOs	873		(20)	853
Variable rate agency CMOs	225,383	1,345	(321)	226,407
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	649,289	16,543	(1,327)	664,505
Total marketable securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,053,122	30,279	(4,327)	1,079,074

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities held-to-maturity at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Municipal securities:				
Due in five years - ten years	\$ 5,712	170		5,882
Due after ten years	63,591	1,922		65,513
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	12,791	526		13,317
Variable rate pass-through	5,745	155		5,900
Fixed rate agency CMOs	42,875	1,495		44,370
Variable rate agency CMOs	2,725	26		2,751
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	64,136	2,202		66,338
Total marketable securities held-to-maturity	\$ 133,439	4,294		137,733

#### Table of Contents

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities held-to-maturity at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Municipal securities:	Cost	Sums	105565	varue
Due in five years - ten years	\$ 3,679	160		3,839
Due after ten years	65,596	3,743		69,339
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	16,369	912		17,281
Variable rate pass-through	6,548		(14)	6,534
Fixed rate agency CMOs	56,713	2,006		58,719
Variable rate agency CMOs	6,176	81		6,257
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	85,806	2,999	(14)	88,791
Total marketable securities held-to-maturity	\$ 155,081	6,902	(14)	161,969

We review our investment portfolio on a quarterly basis for indications of impairment. This review includes analyzing the length of time and the extent to which amortized cost has exceeded fair values, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer, and the intent to hold the investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in value. Certain investments are evaluated using our best estimate of future cash flows. If the estimate of cash flows indicates that an adverse change has occurred, other-than-temporary impairment would be recognized for the amount of the unrealized loss that was deemed credit related.

The following table shows the fair value of and gross unrealized losses on investment securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 moi	nths or more		Total
	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	Unrealized loss
U.S. government and						
agencies	\$ 280,143	(7,118)			280,143	(7,118)
Municipal securities	4,969	(182)			4,969	(182)
Corporate issues	870	(21)	4,034	(2,252)	4,904	(2,273)
Equity securities	543	(79)			543	(79)
Residential mortgage-						
backed securities -						
non-agency			694	(24)	694	(24)
Residential mortgage-						
backed securities - agency	327,653	(8,809)	34,333	(162)	361,986	(8,971)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 614,178	(16,209)	39,061	(2,438)	653,239	(18,647)

The following table shows the fair value of and gross unrealized losses on investment securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 mont	hs or more Unrealized		Total Unrealized
	Fair value	Unrealized loss	Fair value	loss	Fair value	loss
U.S. government and						
agencies	\$ 70,128	(286)	6,537	(1)	76,665	(287)
Corporate debt issues	850	(39)	12,095	(2,652)	12,945	(2,691)
Equity securities	601	(21)	17	(1)	618	(22)
Residential mortgage- backed securities -						
non-agency			4,357	(256)	4,357	(256)
Residential mortgage-						
backed securities - agency	167,294	(1,055)	14,231	(30)	181,525	(1,085)
Total temporarily impaired						
securities	\$ 238,873	(1,401)	37,237	(2,940)	276,110	(4,341)

#### Corporate issues

At June 30, 2013, we had five investments with a total amortized cost of \$6.3 million and total fair value of \$4.0 million, where the amortized cost exceeded the carrying value for more than 12 months. These investments were three single issuer trust preferred investments and two pooled trust preferred investments. The single issuer trust preferred investments were evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment by determining the strength of the underlying issuer. In all cases, the underlying issuer was well-capitalized for regulatory purposes. None of the issuers have deferred interest payments or announced the intention to defer interest payments. We believe the decline in fair value is related to the spread over three month LIBOR, on which the quarterly interest payments are based, as the spread over LIBOR is significantly lower than current market spreads on similar investments. We concluded the impairment of these three investments was considered noncredit related. In making that determination, we also considered the duration and the severity of the losses and whether we intend to hold these securities until the value is recovered, the securities are redeemed or maturity. The pooled trust preferred investments were evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment by considering the duration and severity of the losses, actual cash flows, projected cash flows, performing collateral, the class of investment owned and the amount of additional defaults the structure could withstand prior to the investment experiencing a disruption in cash flows. Neither of the investments experienced a cash flow disruption or are projecting a cash flow disruption. We concluded, based on all facts evaluated, the impairment of these two investments was noncredit related. Management asserts that we do not have the intent to sell these investments and that it is more likely than not, we will not have to sell the investments before recovery of their cost basis.

The following table provides class, amortized cost, fair value and ratings information for our portfolio of corporate securities that have an unrealized loss at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

			Total		
Description	Class	Amortized cost	Fair value	Unrealized Moody s losses rating	
Bank Boston Capital Trust (1)	N/A	\$ 989	786	(203) Ba2/BB+	
Huntington Capital Trust	N/A	1,428	1,059	(369) Baa3/BB	
Commercebank Capital Trust	N/A	891	870	(21) Not rated	
Ocean Shore Capital Trust	N/A	869	830	(39) Not rated	
I-PreTSL I	Mezzanine	1,500	591	(909) Not rated/	CCC
I-PreTSL II	Mezzanine	1,500	768	(732) Not rated/	В
		\$ 7,177	4,904	(2,273)	

<sup>(1)</sup> Bank Boston was acquired by Bank of America.

The following table provides collateral information on the pooled trust preferred securities included in the previous table at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Total	Current deferrals	Performing	Additional immediate defaults before causing an interest
Description	collateral	and defaults	collateral	shortfall
I-PreTSL I	\$ 188,500	32,500	156,000	100,845
I-PreTSL II	325,500	24,500	301,000	165,965

#### **Mortgage-backed securities**

Mortgage-backed securities include agency (FNMA, FHLMC, GNMA and SBA) mortgage-backed securities and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations ( CMOs ). We review our portfolio of mortgage-backed securities quarterly for impairment. As of June 30, 2013, we believe the impairment within our portfolio of agency mortgage-backed securities is noncredit related. As of June 30, 2013, we had seven non-agency CMOs with a total amortized cost of \$5.3 million and a total fair value of \$5.8 million. Included in this total was one non-agency CMO with an amortized cost of \$718,000 and a fair value of \$694,000, where the amortized cost exceeded the fair value for more than 12 months. During the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013, we did not recognize other-than-temporary credit related impairment on this security. We determined the impairment was noncredit related by analyzing cash flow estimates, estimated prepayment speeds, loss severity and conditional default rates. We considered the discounted cash flow analysis as our primary evidence when we determined that the impairment on this security was noncredit related.

#### Table of Contents

The following table shows issuer specific information, amortized cost, fair value, unrealized gain or loss and other-than-temporary impairment recorded in earnings for the portfolio of non-agency CMOs at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Description	Amortized cost	Fair value	Unrealized gain/ (loss)	Impairment recorded in current period earnings	Total impairment recorded in prior period earnings
AMAC 2003-6 2A2	\$ 150	154	4		
AMAC 2003-6 2A8	311	319	8		
BOAMS 2005-11 1A8	135	280	145		(146)
CWALT 2005-J14 A3	3,432	3,815	383		(1,007)
CFSB 2003-17 2A2	324	325	1		
WAMU 2003-S2 A4	224	228	4		
WFMBS 2003-B A2	718	694	(24)		
	\$ 5,294	5,815	521		(1,153)

#### **Municipal Securities**

We review our portfolio of municipal securities quarterly for impairment. We initially evaluate municipal securities for other-than-temporary impairment by comparing the fair value, provided to us by a third party pricing source using quoted prices for similar assets that are actively traded, to the carrying value. When an investment s fair value is below 80% of the amortized cost we then assess the stated interest rate and compare the stated interest rate to current market interest rates to determine if the decline in fair value is considered to be attributable to interest rates. If the stated interest rate approximates current interest rates for similar securities, we determine if the investment is rated and if so, if the rating has changed in the current period. If the rating has not changed during the current period, we review publicly available information to determine if there has been any negative change in the underlying municipality. As of June 30, 2013, none of the investments in our municipal securities portfolio had an amortized cost that exceeded the fair value for more than twelve months.

Credit related other-than-temporary impairment on all debt securities is recognized in earnings while noncredit related other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale debt securities, not expected to be sold, is recognized in other comprehensive income.

### Table of Contents

The table below shows a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized in earnings for debt securities held and not intended to be sold for the quarter ended (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Beginning balance at April 1, (1)	\$ 9,744	10,560
Credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously		
recognized		
Reduction for losses realized during the quarter	(47)	(664)
Additional credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was		
previously recognized		
Ending balance at June 30,	\$ 9,697	9,896

<sup>(1)</sup> The beginning balance represents credit losses included in other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized on debt securities in prior periods.

The table below shows a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized in earnings for debt securities held and not intended to be sold for the six months ended (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Beginning balance at January 1, (1)	\$ 9,811	11,633
Credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized		
Reduction for losses realized during the year	(114)	(1,975)
Additional credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was	(11.)	(1,5 / 5)
previously recognized		238
Ending balance at June 30,	\$ 9,697	9,896

<sup>(1)</sup> The beginning balance represents credit losses included in other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized on debt securities in prior periods.

# (4) <u>Loans receivable</u>

The following table shows a summary of our loans receivable at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Personal Banking:		
Loans held for sale	\$ 296	15,441
Residential mortgage loans	2,424,478	2,416,419
Home equity loans	1,064,716	1,076,637
Other consumer loans	223,514	235,367
Total Personal Banking	3,713,004	3,743,864
Business Banking:		
Commercial real estate	1,650,635	1,615,701
Commercial loans	441,519	432,944
Total Business Banking	2,092,154	2,048,645
Total loans receivable, gross	5,805,158	5,792,509
Deferred loan fees	493	(1,624)
Allowance for loan losses	(72,590)	(73,219)
Undisbursed loan proceeds:		
Residential mortgage loans	(10,624)	(14,587)
Commercial real estate	(59,409)	(29,868)
Commercial loans	(44,211)	(43,950)
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 5,618,817	5,629,261

20

### Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2013		Current period provision Charge-offs		Recoveries	Balance March 31, 2013
Personal Banking:			_			
Residental mortgage loans	\$	7,859	583	(777)	175	7,878
Home equity loans		8,350	914	(805)	30	8,211
Other consumer loans		4,823	963	(1,280)	280	4,860
Total Personal Banking		21,032	2,460	(2,862)	485	20,949
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans		35,558	2,500	(2,696)	194	35,560
Commercial loans		11,786	258	(542)	597	11,473
Total Business Banking		47,344	2,758	(3,238)	791	47,033
Unallocated		4,214	187			4,027
Total	\$	72,590	5,405	(6,100)	1,276	72,009

The following table provides information related to the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2012	Current period provision	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Balance March 31, 2012
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 7,997	1,250	(1,219)	102	7,864
Home equity loans	8,634	1,240	(589)	36	7,947
Other consumer loans	4,665	1,339	(1,504)	241	4,589
Total Personal Banking	21,296	3,829	(3,312)	379	20,400
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	34,781	1,774	(2,959)	675	35,291
Commercial loans	9,431	(712)	(2,719)	142	12,720
Total Business Banking	44,212	1,062	(5,678)	817	48,011
Unallocated	4,602	72			4,530
Total	\$ 70,110	4,963	(8,990)	1,196	72,941
	 21				

### Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2013		Current period provision Charge-offs		Recoveries	Balance December 31, 2012
Personal Banking:						
Residental mortgage loans	\$	7,859	1,035	(1,456)	278	8,002
Home equity loans		8,350	1,138	(1,175)	93	8,294
Other consumer loans		4,823	1,759	(2,684)	592	5,156
Total Personal Banking		21,032	3,932	(5,315)	963	21,452
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans		35,558	7,357	(6,686)	388	34,499
Commercial loans		11,786	1,086	(3,222)	680	13,242
Total Business Banking		47,344	8,443	(9,908)	1,068	47,741
Unallocated		4,214	188			4,026
Total	\$	72,590	12,563	(15,223)	2,031	73,219

The following table provides information related to the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the six months ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2012	Current period provision	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Balance December 31, 2011
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 7,997	1,577	(2,262)	200	8,482
Home equity loans	8,634	1,368	(1,481)	60	8,687
Other consumer loans	4,665	1,546	(2,791)	585	5,325
Total Personal Banking	21,296	4,491	(6,534)	845	22,494
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	34,781	6,093	(4,432)	972	32,148
Commercial loans	9,431	480	(3,368)	239	12,080
Total Business Banking	44,212	6,573	(7,800)	1,211	44,228
Unallocated	4,602	186			4,416
Total	\$ 70,110	11,250	(14,334)	2,056	71,138
	22				

### Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the loan portfolio by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Recorded investment in loans receivable	Allowance for loan losses	Recorded investment in loans on nonaccrual	Recorded investment in loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	TDRs	Allowance related to TDRs	Additional commitments to customers with loans classified as TDRs
Personal Banking:							
Residental mortgage							
loans	\$ 2,414,643	7,859	27,276		4,385	849	
Home equity loans	1,064,716	8,350	9,745		1,981	362	
Other consumer loans	223,514	4,823	1,849				
Total Personal Banking	3,702,873	21,032	38,870		6,366	1,211	
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate							
loans	1,591,226	35,558	55,138		49,084	7,060	482
Commercial loans	397,308	11,786	26,558		31,067	3,517	3,656
Total Business Banking	1,988,534	47,344	81,696		80,151	10,577	4,138
S	. ,	ĺ	,		,	ĺ	Í
Total	\$ 5,691,407	68,376	120,566		86,517	11,788	4,138

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$42.0 million of nonaccrual TDRs.

The following table provides information related to the loan portfolio by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

ommitments o customers with loans classified as TDRs
391
2,596
2,987
c

Edgar Filing: Northwest Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total Business Banking

C							
Total	\$ 5,702,480	69,193	120,212	1,698	89,444	12,774	2,987

(1) Includes \$41.2 million of nonaccrual TDRS.

## Table of Contents

The following table provides geographical and delinquency information related to the loan portfolio by portfolio segment and class of financing receivable at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Pe	nnsylvania	New York	Ohio	Maryland	Other	Total
Recorded investment in loans							
receivable:							
Personal Banking:							
Residential mortgage loans	\$	2,039,800	156,543	18,441	144,707	55,152	2,414,643
Home equity loans		909,012	111,977	10,107	27,656	5,964	1,064,716
Other consumer loans		206,322	9,914	2,896	1,247	3,135	223,514
Total Personal Banking		3,155,134	278,434	31,444	173,610	64,251	3,702,873
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans		886,468	463,079	27,302	137,357	77,020	1,591,226
Commercial loans		282,764	61,133	17,488	25,496	10,427	397,308
Total Business Banking		1,169,232	524,212	44,790	162,853	87,447	1,988,534
-							
Total	\$	4,324,366	802,646	76,234	336,463	151,698	5,691,407
Percentage of total loans in							
geographic area		76.0%	14.1%	1.3%	5.9%	2.7%	100.0%

	Pe	nnsylvania	New York	Ohio	Maryland	Other	Total
Loans 90 or more days delinquent:							
Personal Banking:							
Residential mortgage loans	\$	15,725	1,663	230	3,503	2,609	23,730
Home equity loans		5,546	1,505	87	1,287	182	8,607
Other consumer loans		1,664	21	4	4	13	1,706
Total Personal Banking		22,935	3,189	321	4,794	2,804	34,043
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans		15,865	381		2,483	2,143	20,872
Commercial loans		4,394	2,718		861	622	8,595
Total Business Banking		20,259	3,099		3,344	2,765	29,467
Total	\$	43,194	6,288	321	8,138	5,569	63,510
Percentage of loans 90 or more days							
delinquent in geographic area.		1.0%	0.8%	0.4%	2.4%	3.7%	1.1%

## Table of Contents

The following table provides geographical and delinquency information related to the loan portfolio by portfolio segment and class of financing receivable at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Pennsylvania	New York	Ohio	Maryland	Other	Total
Recorded investment in loans						
receivable:						
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 2,024,520	158,090	19,290	152,676	61,073	2,415,649
Home equity loans	917,645	111,461	10,828	29,734	6,969	1,076,637
Other consumer loans	213,604	10,235	3,066	1,291	7,171	235,367
Total Personal Banking	3,155,769	279,786	33,184	183,701	75,213	3,727,653
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	853,290	443,940	34,261	136,600	117,742	1,585,833
Commercial loans	269,415	55,517	12,878	25,497	25,687	388,994
Total Business Banking	1,122,705	499,457	47,139	162,097	143,429	1,974,827
Total	\$ 4,278,474	779,243	80,323	345,798	218,642	5,702,480
Percentage of total loans in						
geographic area	75.0%	6 13.7%	1.4%	6.1%	3.8%	100.0%

	Per	ınsylvania	New York	Ohio	Maryland	Other	Total
Loans 90 or more days delinquent:							
Personal Banking:							
Residential mortgage loans	\$	15,694	1,430	231	3,932	2,999	24,286
Home equity loans		5,096	1,515	132	1,428	308	8,479
Other consumer loans		1,861	69		5	1	1,936
Total Personal Banking		22,651	3,014	363	5,365	3,308	34,701
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans		17,406	706		4,298	2,140	24,550
Commercial loans		3,493	7		2,678	2,918	9,096
Total Business Banking		20,899	713		6,976	5,058	33,646
Total	\$	43,550	3,727	363	12,341	8,366	68,347
Percentage of loans 90 or more days							
delinquent in geographic area.		1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	3.6%	3.8%	1.2%

## Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the composition of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Nonaccrual loans 90 or more days delinquent	Nonaccrual loans less than 90 days delinquent	Loans less than 90 days delinquent reviewed for impairment	TDRs less than 90 days delinquent not included elsewhere	Total impaired loans	Average recorded investment in impaired loans	Interest income recognized on impaired loans
Personal Banking:							
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 23,730	3,546		3,090	30,366	30,378	362
Home equity loans	8,607	1,138		1,526	11,271	10,439	183
Other consumer loans Total Personal	1,706	143		4.616	1,849	1,857	21
Banking	34,043	4,827		4,616	43,486	42,674	566
Business Banking:							
Commercial real	20.972	24.266	25 200	10.211	100.047	97.722	1 707
estate loans Commercial loans	20,872 8,595	34,266 17,963	35,398 4,375	12,311 5,884	102,847 36,817	86,633 44,591	1,797 578
Total Business Banking	29,467	52,229	39,773	18,195	139,664	131,224	2,375
<u> </u>							
Total	\$ 63,510	57,056	39,773	22,811	183,150	173,898	2,941

The following table provides information related to the composition of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at and for the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Nonaccrual loans 90 or more days delinquent	Nonaccrual loans less than 90 days delinquent	Loans less than 90 days delinquent reviewed for impairment	TDRs less than 90 days delinquent not included elsewhere	Total impaired loans	Average recorded investment in impaired loans	Interest income recognized on impaired loans
Personal Banking:							
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 24,286	797		3,011	28,094	28,078	683
Home equity loans	8,479	635		1,352	10,466	10,535	342
Other consumer loans	1,936	44			1,980	1,841	35
Total Personal Banking	34,701	1,476		4,363	40,540	40,454	1,060
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans	24,550	33,311	33,282	16,274	107,417	98,891	3,636
Commercial loans	9,096	17,078		10,180	36,354	51,131	1,828
Total Business Banking	33,646	50,389	33,282	26,454	143,771	150,022	5,464

Total	\$	68,347	51,865	33,282	30,817	184,311	190,476	6,524
	·	,	,	,	,	,	,	ŕ
				26				
				26				

## Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the evaluation of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

			Loans individually		
	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	evaluated for impairment for which there is a related impairment reserve	Related impairment reserve	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is no related reserve
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 2,409,954	4,689	4,689	850	
Home equity loans	1,062,649	2,067	2,067	362	
Other consumer loans	223,394	120	120	1	
Total Personal Banking	3,695,997	6,876	6,876	1,213	
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	1,513,176	78,050	58,040	9,153	20,010
Commercial loans	363,314	33,994	31,690	4,773	2,304
Total Business Banking	1,876,490	112,044	89,730	13,926	22,314
Total	\$ 5,572,487	118,920	96,606	15,139	22,314

The following table provides information related to the evaluation of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	,	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is a related impairment reserve	Related impairment reserve	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is no related reserve
Personal Banking:						
Residental mortgage loans	\$	2,411,932	3,717	3,717	992	
Home equity loans		1,076,012	625	625	189	
Other consumer loans		235,367				
Total Personal Banking		3,723,311	4,342	4,342	1,181	
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans		1,501,032	84,801	61,136	9,789	23,665
Commercial loans		352,752	36,242	35,622	5,637	620
Total Business Banking		1,853,784	121,043	96,758	15,426	24,285
Total	\$	5,577,095	125,385	101,100	16,607	24,285

#### **Table of Contents**

Our loan portfolios include certain loans that have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring (TDR), where concessions have been granted to borrowers who have experienced financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from our loss mitigation activities and could include: extending the note s maturity date, permitting interest only payments, reducing the interest rate to a rate lower than current market rates for new debt with similar risk, reducing the principal payment, principal forbearance or other actions. These concessions are applicable to all loan segments and classes. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructuring and may be returned to performing status after considering the borrower s sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period of at least six months.

When we modify loans in a TDR, we evaluate any possible impairment similar to other impaired loans based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the contractual interest rate of the original loan agreement, the loan s observable market price or the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, for collateral dependent loans. If we determine that the value of the modified loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (net of previous charge-offs, deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount), impairment is recognized through an allowance estimate or a charge-off to the allowance. In periods subsequent to modification, we evaluate all TDRs, including those that have payment defaults, for possible impairment, using ASC 310-10. As a result, loans modified in a TDR may have the financial effect of increasing the specific allowance associated with the loan.

Loans modified in a TDR are closely monitored for delinquency as an early indicator of possible future default. If loans modified in a TDR subsequently default, we evaluate the loan for possible further impairment. The allowance may be increased, adjustments may be made in the allocation of the allowance, partial charge-offs may be taken to further write-down the carrying value of the loan, or the loan may be charged-off completely.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, four home equity loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$99,000, two residential mortgage loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$172,000, four commercial real estate loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$1.1 million and two commercial loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$17,000 were charged off. Additionally, two home equity loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$7,000, one residential mortgage loan TDR with a balance of \$109,000, five commercial real estate loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$851,000 and ten commercial loan TDRs with a combined balance of \$2.0 million were paid off.

The following table provides a roll forward of troubled debt restructurings for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	For the six months e June 30,	nded	For the year ended December 31,
	2013	2012	2012
Beginning TDR balance:	\$ 89,444	69,429	69,429
New TDRs (1)	9,333	23,170	56,845
Net paydowns	(7,924)	(12,728)	(25,205)
Charge-offs	(1,351)	(554)	(2,704)
Paid-off loans	(2,985)	(846)	(8,921)
Ending TDR balance:	\$ 86,517	78,471	89,444
_			
Accruing TDRs	\$ 44,474	50,129	48,278
Non-accrual TDRs	42,043	28,342	41,166

(1) For December 31, 2012, includes \$3.0 million of loans added in accordance with recent regulatory guidance requiring loans discharged under bankruptcy proceedings and not reaffirmed by the borrower to be charged-off to their collateral value and to be considered TDRs regardless of their payment delinquency status.

The following table provides information related to troubled debt restructurings by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable during the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

		For Recor	June 30	rter ended , 2013				or the six mo June 30 ecorded		
	Number of contracts	invest at the t	ment ime of	Current recorded investment	Current allowance	Number of contracts	inv at tl	vestment he time of dification	Current recorded investment	Current allowance
Troubled debt										
restructurings: Personal Banking:										
Residential										
mortgage loans		\$				2	\$	179	161	26
Home equity loans	1		4	3		4		291	285	133
Other consumer loans										
Total Personal										
Banking	1		4	3		6		470	446	159
Business Banking:										
Commercial real estate loans	2		3,665	3,616	47	35		7,082	6,004	306
Commercial loans	3		53	3,010	5	24		1,781	1,658	220
Total Business	,		33	30	3	2.		1,701	1,030	220
Banking	5		3,718	3,654	52	59		8,863	7,662	526
Total	6	\$	3,722	3,657	52	65	\$	9,333	8,108	685
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted: Personal Banking:										
Residential										
mortgage loans	1	\$	60	60	16	1	\$	60	60	16
Home equity loans	1		53	58	33	2		237	188	140
Other consumer loans										
Total Personal Banking	2		113	118	49	3		297	248	156
Business Banking:										
Commercial real	1		211	208	50	4		1 705	1.620	107
estate loans Commercial loans	1 2		211 2,487	2,636	59 146	6		1,785 5,413	1,630 3,713	127 304
Total Business			4,401	2,030	140	O		3,413	3,713	304
Banking	3		2,698	2,844	205	10		7,198	5,343	431
Total	5	\$	2,811	2,962	254	13	\$	7,495	5,591	587

## Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to troubled debt restructurings by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable during the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

		R	For the quan June 30, Recorded			For the six months ended June 30, 2012 Recorded					
	Number of contracts	in at t	vestment the time of odification	Current recorded investment	Current allowance	Number of contracts	in at t	vestment the time of odification	Current recorded investment	Current allowance	
Troubled debt											
restructurings: Personal Banking:											
Residential											
mortgage loans		\$					\$				
Home equity loans											
Other consumer loans											
Total Personal Banking											
S											
Business Banking:											
Commercial real											
estate loans	7		2,887	2,881	250	10		4,155	3,745	276	
Commercial loans	11		17,752	17,377	391	13		19,015	18,485	441	
Total Business	10		20,720	20.250	641	22		22 170	22 220	717	
Banking	18		20,639	20,258	641	23		23,170	22,230	717	
Total	18	\$	20,639	20,258	641	23	\$	23,170	22,230	717	
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted:											
Personal Banking:											
Residential											
mortgage loans		\$				1	\$	449	361		
Home equity loans Other consumer loans											
Total Personal											
Banking						1		449	361		
Business Banking:											
Commercial real											
estate loans	2		686	576	58	3		1,261	1,091	58	
Commercial loans											
Total Business											
Banking	2		686	576	58	3		1,261	1,091	58	
Total	2	\$	686	576	58	4	\$	1,710	1,452	58	

## Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to re-modified troubled debt restructurings by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Personal Banking:			
Residental mortgage loans	\$		
Home equity loans			
Other consumer loans			
Total Personal Banking			
Business Banking:			
Commercial real estate loans	2	3,616	3,616
Commercial loans	1	10	10
Total Business Banking	3	3,626	3,626
Total	3 \$	3,626	3,626

No TDRs were re-modified during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table provides information related to loan delinquencies at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	30-59 Days delinquent	60-89 Days delinquent	90 Days or greater delinquent	Total delinquency	Current	Recorded investment in loans receivable
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 3,741	8,516	23,730	35,987	2,378,656	2,414,643
Home equity loans	4,993	1,361	8,607	14,961	1,049,755	1,064,716
Other consumer loans	4,334	1,470	1,706	7,510	216,004	223,514
Total Personal Banking	13,068	11,347	34,043	58,458	3,644,415	3,702,873
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	7,982	3,170	20,872	32,024	1,559,202	1,591,226
Commercial loans	952	2,389	8,595	11,936	385,372	397,308
Total Business Banking	8,934	5,559	29,467	43,960	1,944,574	1,988,534
Total	\$ 22,002	16,906	63,510	102,418	5,588,989	5,691,407
		31				

The following table provides information related to loan delinquencies at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	30-59 Days delinquent	60-89 Days delinquent	90 Days or greater delinquent	Total delinquency	Current	Recorded investment in loans receivable
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 32,921	9,387	24,286	66,594	2,349,055	2,415,649
Home equity loans	6,534	1,977	8,479	16,990	1,059,647	1,076,637
Other consumer loans	5,456	1,830	1,936	9,222	226,145	235,367
Total Personal Banking	44,911	13,194	34,701	92,806	3,634,847	3,727,653
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	13,001	4,596	24,550	42,147	1,543,686	1,585,833
Commercial loans	3,233	10,158	9,096	22,487	366,507	388,994
Total Business Banking	16,234	14,754	33,646	64,634	1,910,193	1,974,827
Total	\$ 61,145	27,948	68,347	157,440	5,545,040	5,702,480

<u>Credit quality indicators:</u> We categorize loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We analyze loans individually by classifying the loans by credit risk. Credit relationships greater than or equal to \$1.0 million classified as special mention or substandard are reviewed quarterly for further deterioration or improvement to determine if the loan is appropriately classified. We use the following definitions for risk ratings other than pass:

Special mention Loans designated as special mention have specific, well-defined risk issues, which create a high level of uncertainty regarding the long-term viability of the business. Loans in this class are considered to have high-risk characteristics. A special mention loan exhibits material negative financial trends due to company-specific or systemic conditions. If these potential weaknesses are not mitigated, they threaten the borrower s capacity to meet its debt obligations. Special mention loans still demonstrate sufficient financial flexibility to react to and positively address the root cause of the adverse financial trends without significant deviations from their current business strategy. Their potential weaknesses deserve our close attention and warrant enhanced monitoring.

<u>Substandard</u> Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and payment capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful** Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard. In addition, those weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable. A loan classified as doubtful exhibits discernible loss potential, but a complete loss seems very unlikely. The possibility of a loss on a doubtful loan is high, but because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors that may strengthen the loan, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until a more exact status can be determined.

## Table of Contents

<u>Loss</u> Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such value that the continuance as a loan is not warranted. A loss classification does not mean that the loan has no recovery or salvage value; instead, it means that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off all or a portion of a basically worthless loan even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

The following table sets forth information about credit quality indicators, which were updated during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Pass	Special mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Recorded investment in loans receivable
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 2,396,268		17,089		1,286	2,414,643
Home equity loans	1,056,154		8,562			1,064,716
Other consumer loans	222,365		1,149			223,514
Total Personal Banking	3,674,787		26,800		1,286	3,702,873
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	1,382,750	60,700	145,052	2,724		1,591,226
Commercial loans	329,619	13,089	52,382	2,218		397,308
Total Business Banking	1,712,369	73,789	197,434	4,942		1,988,534
Total	\$ 5,387,156	73,789	224,234	4,942	1,286	5,691,407

The following table sets forth information about credit quality indicators, which were updated during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Pass	Special mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Recorded investment in loans receivable
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 2,395,809		18,743	48	1,049	2,415,649
Home equity loans	1,068,183		8,454			1,076,637
Other consumer loans	234,106		1,261			235,367
Total Personal Banking	3,698,098		28,458	48	1,049	3,727,653
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	1,352,118	68,130	163,751	1,834		1,585,833
Commercial loans	320,228	13,077	52,742	2,947		388,994
Total Business Banking	1,672,346	81,207	216,493	4,781		1,974,827
Ç						
Total	\$ 5,370,444	81,207	244,951	4,829	1,049	5,702,480
	, ,	,	,	,	,	, ,
		33				

## (5) <u>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</u>

The following table provides information for intangible assets subject to amortization at the dates indicated (in thousands):

		June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Amortizable intangible assets:			
Core deposit intangibles gross		\$ 30,578	30,578
Acquisitions			
Less: accumulated amortization		(30,388)	(30,181)
Core deposit intangibles net		190	397
Customer and Contract intangible assets	gross	6,197	3,779
Acquisition of The Bert Company			2,418
Less: accumulated amortization		(3,555)	(3,065)
Customer and Contract intangible assets	net	\$ 2,642	3,132

The following table shows the actual aggregate amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, as well as the estimated aggregate amortization expense, based upon current levels of intangible assets, for the current fiscal year and each of the five succeeding fiscal years (in thousands):

For the quarter ended June 30, 2013	\$ 349
For the quarter ended June 30, 2012	279
For the six months ended June 30, 2013	697
For the six months ended June 30, 2012	574
For the year ending December 31, 2013	1,210
For the year ending December 31, 2014	814
For the year ending December 31, 2015	571
For the year ending December 31, 2016	415
For the year ending December 31, 2017	259
For the year ending December 31, 2018	173

The following table provides information for the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill (in thousands):

	Community Banks	Consumer Finance	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 170,269	1,613	171,882
Goodwill acquired	2,579		2,579
Impairment losses			
Balance at December 31, 2012	172,848	1,613	174,461
Goodwill acquired	2		2
Impairment losses			
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 172,850	1,613	174,463

We performed our annual goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2013 and concluded that goodwill was not impaired. See the Overview of Critical Accounting Policies Involving Estimates section for a description of our testing procedures.

#### (6) <u>Guarantees</u>

We issue standby letters of credit in the normal course of business. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party. We are required to perform under a standby letter of credit when drawn upon by the guaranteed third party in the case of nonperformance by our customer. The credit risk associated with standby letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to normal loan underwriting procedures. Collateral may be obtained based on management scredit assessment of the customer. At June 30, 2013, the maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these standby letters of credit was \$43.5 million, of which \$42.9 million is fully collateralized. At June 30, 2013, we had a liability, which represents deferred income, of \$868,000 related to the standby letters of credit. There are no recourse provisions that would enable us to recover any amounts from third parties.

#### (7) <u>Earnings Per Share</u>

Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period, without considering any dilutive items. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company. All stock options outstanding during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2013 were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the stock options exercise price was less than the average market price of the common shares of \$12.45 and \$12.51, respectively. Stock options to purchase 3,211,267 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$12.28 per share were outstanding during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for these periods because the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares of \$11.91 and \$12.27, respectively.

#### Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share follows (in thousands, except share data and per share amounts):

	Quarter ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
		2013	2012	2013	2012	
Reported net income	\$	13,478	16,361	28,776	31,542	
-						
Weighted average common shares outstanding		90,423,717	94,294,956	90,413,780	94,205,239	
Dilutive potential shares due to effect of stock						
options		493,419	205,921	484,258	377,866	
Total weighted average common shares and						
dilutive potential shares		90,917,136	94,500,877	90,898,038	94,583,105	
•						
Basic earnings per share:	\$	0.15	0.17	0.32	0.33	
2 1	•					
Diluted earnings per share:	\$	0.15	0.17	0.32	0.33	

### (8) <u>Pension and Other Post-retirement Benefits</u>

The defined benefit pension plan was amended to lock-in all benefits earned through March 31, 2013 based on the plan formula using years of service and average monthly compensation as of March 31, 2013. The amendments also provide that, for service commencing January 1, 2013, additional benefits will be earned equal to 1% of career average pay for each year that a participant completes at least 1,000 hours of service. Also, effective April 1, 2013, participants who are eligible to receive required minimum distributions due to attaining age 70 ½ will be required to begin payment of benefits even though they may remain employed by us.

The following table sets forth the net periodic costs for the defined benefit pension plans and post-retirement healthcare plans for the periods indicated (in thousands):

#### Components of net periodic benefit cost

	Quarter ended June 30,						
		Pension benefits		Other post-retirement benefits			
		2013	2012	2013	2012		
Service cost	\$	1,138	1,857				
Interest cost		1,301	1,433	16	16		
Expected return on plan assets		(2,138)	(1,948)				
Amortization of prior service cost		(580)	(40)				
Amortization of the net loss		919	690	13	13		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	640	1,992	29	29		

Components of net periodic benefit cost

	Six months ended June 30,					
		Pension benefit	S	Other post-retirement benefits		
		2013	2012	2013	2012	
Service cost	\$	2,276	3,715			
Interest cost		2,602	2,865	32	33	
Expected return on plan assets		(4,276)	(3,896)			
Amortization of prior service cost		(1,160)	(80)			
Amortization of the net loss		1,838	1,380	26	25	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	1,280	3,984	58	58	

#### Table of Contents

We made no contribution to our pension or other post-retirement benefit plans during the six months ended June 30, 2013. While we are not required to make a minimum contribution, we anticipate making a tax-deductible contribution of approximately \$4.5 million to our defined benefit pension plan for the year ending December 31, 2013.

#### (9) <u>Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments</u>

Fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the consolidated statement of financial condition, is required to be disclosed. These requirements exclude certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

Financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis and certain financial assets and liabilities on a non-recurring basis are accounted for using a three-level hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. This hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices with readily available independent data in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable market inputs (Level 3). When various inputs for measurement fall within different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the lowest level input that has a significant impact on fair value measurement is used.

Financial assets and liabilities are categorized based upon the following characteristics or inputs to the valuation techniques:

- Level 1 Financial assets and liabilities for which inputs are observable and are obtained from reliable quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in actively traded markets. This is the most reliable fair value measurement and includes, for example, active exchange-traded equity securities.
- Level 2 Financial assets and liabilities for which values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which values are based on similar assets or liabilities that are actively traded. Level 2 also includes pricing models in which the inputs are corroborated by market data, for example, matrix pricing.
- Level 3 Financial assets and liabilities for which values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Level 3 inputs include the following:
- Quotes from brokers or other external sources that are not considered binding;
- Quotes from brokers or other external sources where it cannot be determined that market participants would in fact transact for the asset or liability at the quoted price;
- Quotes and other information from brokers or other external sources where the inputs are not deemed observable.

We are responsible for the valuation process and as part of this process may use data from outside sources in establishing fair value. We perform due diligence to understand the inputs used or how the data was calculated or derived. We also corroborate the reasonableness of

external inputs in the valuation process.

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated statement of financial condition approximate fair value for the following financial instruments: cash on hand, interest-earning deposits in other institutions, federal funds sold and other short-term investments, accrued interest receivable, accrued interest payable, and marketable securities available-for-sale.

Tabl	00	٠f (	$^{\gamma}$	1te	nte

#### **Marketable Securities**

Where available, market values are based on quoted market prices, dealer quotes, and prices obtained from independent pricing services.

<u>Debt securities</u> <u>available for sale</u> - Generally, debt securities are valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs. The valuation for most debt securities is classified as Level 2. Securities within Level 2 include corporate bonds, municipal bonds, mortgage-backed securities and US government obligations. Certain corporate debt securities do not have an active market and as such the broker pricing received uses alternative methods. The fair value of these corporate debt securities is determined by using a discounted cash flow model using market assumptions, which generally include cash flow, collateral and other market assumptions. As such, these securities are included herein as Level 3 assets.

**Equity securities** available for sale Level 1 securities include publicly traded securities valued using quoted market prices. We consider the financial condition of the issuer to determine if the securities have indicators of impairment.

<u>Debt securities</u> <u>held to maturity</u> The fair value of debt securities held to maturity is determined in the same manner as debt securities available for sale.

#### **Loans Receivable**

Loans with comparable characteristics including collateral and re-pricing structures are segregated for valuation purposes. Each loan pool is separately valued utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis. Projected monthly cash flows are discounted to present value using a market rate for comparable loans, which is not considered an exit price. Characteristics of comparable loans included remaining term, coupon interest, and estimated prepayment speeds. Delinquent loans are separately evaluated given the impact delinquency has on the projected future cash flow of the loan and the approximate discount or market rate.

#### **FHLB Stock**

Due to the restrictions placed on the transferability of FHLB stock it is not practical to determine the fair value.

#### **Deposit Liabilities**

The estimated fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, which includes demand deposits, money market, and other savings accounts, is the amount payable on demand. Although market premiums paid for depository institutions reflect an additional value for these low-cost deposits, adjusting fair value for any value expected to be derived from retaining those deposits for a future period of time or from the benefit that results from the ability to fund interest-earning assets with these deposit liabilities is prohibited. The fair value estimates of deposit liabilities do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by these deposits compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market. Fair values for time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies contractual cost currently being offered in the existing portfolio to current market rates being offered locally for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The valuation adjustment for the portfolio consists of the present value of the difference of these two cash flows, discounted at the assumed market rate of the corresponding maturity.

#### **Borrowed Funds**

Fixed rate advances are valued by comparing their contractual cost to the prevailing market cost. The carrying amount of repurchase agreements approximates the fair value.

#### **Junior Subordinated Debentures**

The fair value of junior subordinated debentures is calculated using the discounted cash flows at the prevailing rate of interest.

#### <u>Cash flow hedges</u> <u>Interest rate swap agreements ( swaps )</u>

The fair value of the swaps is the amount we would expect to pay to terminate the agreements and is based upon the present value of the expected future cash flows using the LIBOR swap curve, the basis for the underlying interest rate.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments**

These financial instruments generally are not sold or traded, and estimated fair values are not readily available. However, the fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. Commitments to extend credit are generally short-term in nature and, if drawn upon, are issued under current market terms. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there was no significant unrealized appreciation or depreciation on these financial instruments.

The following table sets forth the carrying amount and estimated fair value of our financial instruments included in the consolidated statement of financial condition at June 30, 2013:

Level 3
11 245
11 245
11 245
11,345
5,853,334
5,864,679
1,800,768
751,455
112,552
2,664,775

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the carrying amount and estimated fair value of our financial instruments included in the consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2012:

	Carrying	Estimated			
	amount	fair value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 451,704	451,704	451,704		
Securities available-for-sale	1,079,074	1,079,074	19,304	1,048,651	11,119
Securities held-to-maturity	155,081	161,969		161,969	
Loans receivable, net	5,629,261	5,952,688	15,441		5,937,247
Accrued interest receivable	23,313	23,313	23,313		
FHLB Stock	46,834	46,834			
Total financial assets	\$ 7,385,267	7,715,582	509,762	1,210,620	5,948,366
Financial liabilities:					
Savings and checking accounts	\$ 3,878,511	3,878,511	3,878,511		
Time deposits	1,886,089	1,927,844			1,927,844
Borrowed funds	860,047	935,384	164,531		770,853
Junior subordinated debentures	103,094	116,066			116,066
Cash flow hedges - swaps	12,932	12,932		12,932	
Accrued interest payable	888	888	888		
Total financial liabilities	\$ 6,741,561	6,871,625	4,043,930	12,932	2,814,763

Fair value estimates are made at a point-in-time, based on relevant market data and information about the instrument. The methods and assumptions detailed above were used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. There were no transfers of financial instruments between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

## Table of Contents

The following table represents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Equity securities	\$ 27,447			27,447
Debt securities:				
U.S. government and agencies		36		36
Government sponsored enterprises		315,396		315,396
States and political subdivisions		116,338		116,338
Corporate		9,167	11,345	20,512
Total debt securities		440,937	11,345	452,282
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
GNMA		35,966		35,966
FNMA		97,215		97,215
FHLMC		59,354		59,354
Non-agency		681		681
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
GNMA		13,914		13,914
FNMA		193,401		193,401
FHLMC		245,275		245,275
SBA		13,603		13,603
Non-agency		5,815		5,815
Total mortgage-backed securities		665,224		665,224
Interest rate swaps		(9,385)		(9,385)
Total assets	\$ 27,447	1,096,776	11,345	1,135,568

## Table of Contents

The following table represents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Equity securities	\$ 19,304			19,304
Debt securities:				
U.S. government and agencies		40		40
Government sponsored enterprises		238,314		238,314
States and political subdivisions		134,208		134,208
Corporate		11,584	11,119	22,703
Total debt securities		384,146	11,119	395,265
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
GNMA		41,182		41,182
FNMA		106,863		106,863
FHLMC		52,559		52,559
Non-agency		695		695
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
GNMA		22,963		22,963
FNMA		189,364		189,364
FHLMC		228,631		228,631
SBA		15,775		15,775
Non-agency		6,473		6,473
Total mortgage-backed securities		664,505		664,505
Interest rate swaps		(12,932)		(12,932)
Total assets	\$ 19,304	1,035,719	11,119	1,066,142

## Table of Contents

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Quarter ended			Six months ended		
		June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	
Beginning balance	\$	11,070	8,290	11,119	9,657	
Total net realized investment gains/ (losses) and net change in unrealized appreciation/ (depreciation):						
Included in net income as OTTI						
Included in other comprehensive income		275	6	226	(1,361)	
Purchases						
Sales						
Transfers in to Level 3						
Transfers out of Level 3						
Ending balance	\$	11,345	8,296	11,345	8,296	

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition such as loans measured for impairment and real estate owned. The following table represents the fair value measurement for nonrecurring assets at June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Loans measured for impairment	\$		81,467	81,467
Real estate owned			21,269	21,269
Total assets	\$		102,736	102,736

#### Table of Contents

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition such as loans measured for impairment and real estate owned. The following table represents the fair value measurement for nonrecurring assets at December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Loans measured for impairment	\$		84,493	84,493
Real estate owned			26,165	26,165
Total assets	\$		110,658	110,658

**Impaired loans** A loan is considered to be impaired as described in the Overview of Critical Accounting Policies Involving Estimates, Allowance for Loan Losses section. We classify loans individually evaluated for impairment that require a specific or TDR reserve as nonrecurring Level 3.

**Real Estate Owned** Real estate owned is comprised of property acquired through foreclosure or voluntarily conveyed by delinquent borrowers. These assets are recorded on the date acquired at the lower of the related loan balance or fair value, less estimated disposition costs, with the fair value being determined by appraisal. Subsequently, foreclosed assets are valued at the lower of the amount recorded at acquisition date or fair value, less estimated disposition costs. We classify all real estate owned as nonrecurring Level 3.

The table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis and for which we have utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value at June 30, 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Fair value	Valuation techniques	Significant unobservable inputs	Range (weighted average)
Debt securities	\$ 11,345	Discounted cash flow	Discount margin Default rates Prepayment speeds	0.35% to 2.1% (0.67)% 1.00% 1.00% annually
Loans measured for impairment	81,467	Appraisal value (1)	Estimated cost to sell	10%
Real estate owned	21,269	Appraisal value (1)	Estimated cost to sell	10%

<sup>(1)</sup> Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which may include level 3 inputs that are not identifiable, or by using the discounted cash flow method if the loan is not collateral dependent.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our debt securities are discount margins, default rates and prepayment speeds. Significant increases in any of those rates would result in a significantly lower fair value measurement.

#### (10) Mortgage Loan Servicing

Mortgage servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when, through loan originations and purchases, the underlying loan is subsequently sold. Upon sale, the mortgage servicing right (MSR) is established, which represents the then-fair value of future net cash flows expected to be realized for performing the servicing activities. The fair value of the MSRs are estimated by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. In determining the fair value of the MSRs, stochastic modeling is performed using variables such as the forward yield curve, prepayment rates, annual service cost, average life expectancy and option adjusted spreads. MSRs are amortized against mortgage banking income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying mortgage loans. MSRs are recorded in other assets on the consolidated statements of financial condition.

Capitalized MSRs are evaluated quarterly for impairment based on the estimated fair value of those rights. The MSRs are stratified by certain risk characteristics, primarily loan term and note rate. If impairment exists within a risk stratification tranche, a valuation allowance is established through a charge to income equal to the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value. If it is later determined all or a portion of the temporary impairment no longer exists for a particular tranche, the valuation allowance is reduced or eliminated. We do not directly hedge against realized or potential future impairment losses on our MSRs.

The following table shows changes in MSRs at and for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Servicing rights	Valuation allowance	Net carrying value and fair value
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 3,082		3,082
Additions/ (reductions)	69		69
Amortization	(481)		(481)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 2,670		2,670

The following table shows changes in MSRs at and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Servicing rights	Valuation allowance	Net carrying value and fair value
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 3,291		3,291
Additions/ (reductions)	451		451
Amortization	(1,072)		(1,072)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 2,670		2,670

The following table shows changes in MSRs at and for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Servicing rights	Valuation allowance	Net carrying value and fair value
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 3,434		3,434
Additions/ (reductions)	842	(84)	758
Amortization	(773)		(773)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 3,503	(84)	3,419

The following table shows changes in MSRs at and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Servicing rights	Valuation allowance	Net carrying value and fair value
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 3,655		3,655
Additions/ (reductions)	1,342	(84)	1,258
Amortization	(1,494)		(1,494)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 3,503	(84)	3,419

The following table presents additional information about the inputs used to determine the fair value of our MSRs at the periods indicated:

	June 30,		June 30,	
		2013		2012
	(Weighted average)			
Forward yield curve (5 year LIBOR swap)		1.24%		1.03%
Prepayment rates		13.0%		21.9%
Annual service cost per loan	\$	70	\$	69
Average life expectancy (months)		74		47
Option adjusted spread (basis points)		800		700

# (11) <u>Guaranteed Preferred Beneficial Interests in the Company</u> <u>s Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures</u> (<u>Trust Preferred Securities</u>) and <u>Interest Rate Swaps</u>

We have two statutory business trusts: Northwest Bancorp Capital Trust III, a Delaware statutory business trust and Northwest Bancorp Statutory Trust IV, a Connecticut statutory business trust ( Trusts ). These trusts exist solely to issue preferred securities to third parties for cash, issue common securities to the Company in exchange for capitalization of the Trusts, invest the proceeds from the sale of the trust securities in an equivalent amount of debentures of the Company, and engage in other activities that are incidental to those previously listed.

#### Table of Contents

Northwest Bancorp Capital Trust III (Trust III) issued 50,000 cumulative trust preferred securities in a private transaction to a pooled investment vehicle on December 5, 2006 (liquidation value of \$1,000 per preferred security or \$50,000,000) with a stated maturity of December 30, 2035. These securities carry a floating interest rate, which is reset quarterly, equal to three-month LIBOR plus 1.38%. Northwest Bancorp Statutory Trust IV (Trust IV) issued 50,000 cumulative trust preferred securities in a private transaction to a pooled investment vehicle on December 15, 2006 (liquidation value of \$1,000 per preferred security or \$50,000,000) with a stated maturity of December 15, 2035. These securities carry a floating interest rate, which is reset quarterly, equal to three-month LIBOR plus 1.38%. The Trusts have invested the proceeds of the offerings in junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Company. The structure of these debentures mirrors the structure of the trust-preferred securities. Trust III holds \$51,547,000 of the Company s junior subordinated debentures. These subordinated debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts. Cash distributions on the trust securities are made on a quarterly basis to the extent interest on the debentures is received by the Trusts. We have the right to defer payment of interest on the subordinated debentures at any time, or from time-to-time, for periods not exceeding five years. If interest payments on the subordinated debentures are deferred, the distributions on the trust preferred securities are also deferred. Interest on the subordinated debentures and distributions on the trust securities is cumulative. To date, there have been no interest deferrals. Our obligation constitutes a full, irrevocable, and unconditional guarantee on a subordinated basis of the obligations of the trust under the preferred securities.

We entered into four interest rate swap agreements (swaps), designating the swaps as cash flow hedges. The swaps are intended to protect against the variability of cash flows associated with Trust III and Trust IV. The first two swaps modify the re-pricing characteristics of Trust III, wherein (i) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 4.20% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and (ii) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 4.61% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The terms of these two swaps are five years and ten years, which expire September 2013 and September 2018, respectively. The second two swaps modify the re-pricing characteristics of Trust IV, wherein (i) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 3.85% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and (ii) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 4.09% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The terms of these two swaps are seven years and ten years, which expire September 2015 and September 2018, respectively. The swap agreements were entered into with a counterparty that met our credit standards and the agreements contain collateral provisions protecting the at-risk party. We believe that the credit risk inherent in the contracts is not significant. At June 30, 2013, \$9.8 million of cash was pledged as collateral to the counterparty.

At June 30, 2013, the fair value of the swap agreements was \$(9.4) million and was the amount we would have expected to pay if the contracts were terminated. There was no material hedge ineffectiveness for these swaps.

The following table shows liability derivatives, included in other liabilities, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Fair value	\$ 9,385	12,932
Notional amount	100,000	100,000
Collateral posted	9,805	13,505

#### (12) <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

We establish accruals for legal proceedings when information related to the loss contingencies represented by those matters indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. As of June 30, 2013 we have accrued \$2.2 million. This amount is based on our analysis of currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions and uncertainties. Any such accruals are adjusted thereafter as appropriate to reflect changes in circumstances. Due to the inherent subjectivity of assessments and unpredictability of outcomes of legal proceedings, any amounts accrued may not represent the ultimate loss to us from legal proceedings.

#### Daly v. Northwest Savings Bank

On July 11, 2011, we were named as a defendant in an alleged class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, captioned as Daly v. Northwest Savings Bank, No. 2:11-cv-00911-DSC. The Complaint challenges the credit disclosures provided to residential mortgage loan applicants and the policies related to the residential mortgage loan application process and the prequalification request process. The Complaint asserts statutory claims under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. 1681g(g), and seeks statutory damages and attorneys fees. We filed a motion for summary judgment and the plaintiff filed a motion for class certification, which we opposed. By way of Opinion and Order dated March 20, 2013, the Court denied our motion for summary judgment as to plaintiff s individual claims, but also denied plaintiff s motion for class certification. Following plaintiff s filing of a renewed motion for class certification, a settlement conference was held with the trial court in which a resolution to the case was reached. An Order dismissing the plaintiff s Complaint, with prejudice, was signed by the Court on July 19, 2013 and the matter has been formally concluded.

### Toth v. Northwest Savings Bank

On May 7, 2012, we were named as a defendant in an alleged class action lawsuit filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, captioned as Toth v. Northwest Savings Bank, No. GD-12-8014. The Complaint challenges the manner in which debit card transaction overdraft fees were charged and the policies related to the posting order of debit card transactions. The Complaint asserts various claims under state law and seeks compensatory damages and attorneys fees. We filed preliminary objections seeking dismissal of the case on June 29, 2012. In response, the plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint on September 6, 2012. On November 5, 2012, we filed preliminary objections to the Amended Complaint. Plaintiff filed her opposition to our preliminary objections on December 6, 2012, and we filed our reply in support of the preliminary objections on January 3, 2013. On June 25, 2013, the Court entered an Opinion and Order sustaining our preliminary objections to several counts of plaintiff s First Amended Complaint, but overruling objections to plaintiff s asserted violation of Pennsylvania s Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law ( UTPCPL ). We have filed an Answer and New Matter to plaintiff s First Amended Complaint and Application to the Court to Amend the June 25, 2013 Opinion and Order to permit immediate appeal of the decision pertaining to plaintiff s UTPCPL claim. We intend to vigorously defend against the plaintiff s claims and to oppose any effort to certify a class in

### Table of Contents

the lawsuit, it is not yet possible to estimate potential losses, if any. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management after consultation with legal counsel, currently does not anticipate that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of this proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, or cash flows; although, at the present time, we are not in a position to determine whether such proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any future quarterly reporting period.

#### American Equity Rentals One, LLC v. Northwest Savings Bank

On August 3, 2012, we were named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, Maryland, No. 03-C-12-00797807 by American Equity Rentals One, LLC, and others, obligors against whom we obtained confessed judgments, upon the borrowers default on several related credit facilities. The obligor-plaintiffs allege tort claims against us and one of our loan officers arising out of the lending relationship. The Court has denied a motion to dismiss and we are in the midst of discovery. We intend to vigorously defend against plaintiff s tort claims. At this stage of the lawsuit, it is not yet possible to estimate potential losses, if any. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management, after consultation with legal counsel, currently does not anticipate that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of this proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, or cash flows; although, at the present time, we are not in a position to determine whether such proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any future quarterly reporting period.

#### USDA v. Kathleen M. Schwab and Brian G. Schwab v. Northwest Savings Bank

On December 19, 2012, The Schwabs filed a Complaint against us in the Court of Common Pleas of Clarion County, Pennsylvania, No. 409-2012 to join us as an additional defendant alleging that if it is determined that the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) is entitled to relief (the mortgages are reformed and corrected by the Court so as to add Brian G. Schwab's name to the mortgages), then we are solely liable to the USDA or we are jointly liable with the Schwabs, or liable over the Schwabs, with regard to the mortgages held by the USDA. On February 1, 2013, we filed Preliminary Objections to the Complaint joining us on the grounds that the USDA's lawsuit does not involve any claim for money. We await a decision on our Preliminary Objections. At this stage of the lawsuit, it is not yet possible to estimate potential losses, if any. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management, after consultation with legal counsel, currently does not anticipate that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of this proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, or cash flows; although, at the present time, we are not in a position to determine whether such proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any future quarterly reporting period.

#### Northwest Savings Bank v. Albert S. Marshal and Boca Rio Townhome Association, Inc.

On July 8, 2011, we initiated a mortgage foreclosure lawsuit filed in the Court of Common Pleas, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania, captioned as Northwest Savings Bank v. Albert S. Marshal and Boca Rio Townhome Association, Inc. (Boca Rio), No. 2011 CV 6719 MF. The Complaint seeks to foreclose on two mortgages with respect to the real property located at 920 West Areba Avenue, Hershey, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania 17033 (the Property). Defendant Marshal was the mortgager of the two mortgages, and Boca Rio is the party to whom the Property was transferred without the mortgages having been paid in full. In response, on August 8, 2011, Defendant Boca Rio filed its Answer with New Matter and Counterclaim. In the Counterclaim, Defendant Boca Rio alleges that we violated the Mortgage Satisfaction Act, 21 P.S. §721-1 et. seq., because we did not satisfy the mortgages that Defendant Boca Rio alleges they paid in full. On August 29, 2011, we filed Preliminary Objections to Defendant Boca Rio s Counterclaim, seeking dismissal of the Counterclaim because Defendant Boca Rio was not a mortgagor under the Mortgage Satisfaction Act and therefore, did not have standing to bring a claim. On September 15, 2011,

### Table of Contents

Defendant Boca Rio filed an Answer with New Matter and Amended Counterclaim. On September 30, 2011, we filed Preliminary Objections to Defendant Boca Rio s Amended Counterclaim. On October 11, 2011, Defendant Boca Rio filed its Answer to our Preliminary Objections. The Court denied our Preliminary Objections on March 6, 2012. Once discovery is complete, we intend to file a motion for summary judgment, seeking dismissal of the Counterclaim and intend to continue to vigorously defend against Defendant Boca Rio s claims asserted in the Counterclaim as well as continue to prosecute the mortgage foreclosure action. At this stage of the lawsuit, it is not yet possible to estimate potential losses, if any. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate resolution or financial liability with respect to this litigation, management, after consultation with legal counsel, currently does not anticipate that the aggregate liability, if any, arising out of this proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, or cash flows; although, at the present time, we are not in a position to determine whether such proceeding will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any future quarterly reporting period.

### Table of Contents

### (13) <u>Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</u>

The following table shows the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	For the quarter ended June 30, 2013 Unrealized						
		gains and losses on securities available- for-sale	Change in fair value of interest rate swaps	Change in defined benefit pension plans	Total		
Balance as of March 31, 2013	\$	16,457	(7,725)	(18,707)	(9,975)		
Other comprehensive income before reclassification adjustments Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive		(11,399)	1,626		(9,773)		
income (1), (2)		(68)		229	161		
Net other comprehensive income		(11,467)	1,626	229	(9,612)		
Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$	4,990	(6,099)	(18,478)	(19,587)		

		or the quarter ended J		
	Unrealized gains and losses on securities available- for-sale	Change in  fair value of interest rate swaps	Change in defined benefit pension plans	Total
Balance as of March 31, 2012	\$ 15,503	(8,313)	(27,977)	(20,787)
Other comprehensive income before reclassification adjustments Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive	894	(641)		253
income (3), (4)	(141)		431	290
Net other comprehensive income	753	(641)	431	543
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$ 16,256	(8,954)	(27,546)	(20,244)

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of realized gains on securities (gain on sales of investments, net) of \$112, net of tax (income tax expense) of \$(44).

<sup>(2)</sup> Consists of amortization of prior service cost (compensation and employee benefits) of \$580 and amortization of net loss (compensation and employee benefits) of \$(932), net of tax (income tax expense) of \$123. See note 8.

<sup>(3)</sup> Consists of realized gains on securities (gain on sales of investments, net) of \$232, net of tax (income tax expense) of \$91.

(4) Consists of amortization of prior service cost (compensation and employee benefits) of \$40 and amortization of net loss (compensation and employee benefits) of \$(703), net of tax (income tax expense) of \$232. See note 8.

### Table of Contents

The following table shows the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	For the six months ended June 30, 2013						
		Unrealized gains and losses on securities available- for-sale	Change in fair value of interest rate swaps	Change in defined benefit pension plans	Total		
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$	15,853	(8,405)	(18,936)	(11,488)		
Other comprehensive income before reclassification adjustments Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive		(10,729)	2,306		(8,423)		
income (1), (2)		(134)		458	324		
Net other comprehensive income		(10,863)	2,306	458	(8,099)		
Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$	4,990	(6,099)	(18,478)	(19,587)		

	For the six months ended June 30, 2012					
		Unrealized gains and losses on securities available- for-sale	Change in fair value of interest rate swaps	Change in defined benefit pension plans	Total	
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$	14,046	(8,864)	(28,408)	(23,226)	
Other comprehensive income before reclassification adjustments Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive		2,255	(90)		2,165	
income (3), (4)		(45)		862	817	
Net other comprehensive income		2,210	(90)	862	2,982	
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$	16,256	(8,954)	(27,546)	(20,244)	

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of realized gains on securities (gain on sales of investments, net) of \$221, net of tax (income tax expense) of \$(87).

<sup>(2)</sup> Consists of amortization of prior service cost (compensation and employee benefits) of \$1,160 and amortization of net loss (compensation and employee benefits) of \$(1,864), net of tax (income tax expense) of \$246. See note 8.

<sup>(3)</sup> Consists of realized gains on securities (gain on sales of investments, net) of \$312 and other-than-temporary-impairment losses (net impairment losses) of \$(238), net of tax (income tax expense) of \$(29).

<sup>(4)</sup> Consists of amortization of prior service cost (compensation and employee benefits) of \$80 and amortization of net loss (compensation and employee benefits) of \$(1,405), net of tax (income tax expense) of \$463. See note 8.

### Table of Contents

# ITEM 2. OF OPERATIONS

#### MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS

#### **Forward-Looking Statements:**

In addition to historical information, this document may contain certain forward-looking statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements contained herein are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, as they reflect management s analysis only as of the date of this report. We have no obligation to revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this report.

Important factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to:

- changes in laws or government regulations or accounting policies affecting financial institutions, including the Dodd-Frank Act, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, the capital ratios of Basel III as adopted by the federal banking authorities, changes in regulatory fees and FDIC insurance costs;
- general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected;
- competition among depository and other financial institutions;
- inflation and changes in the interest rate environment that reduce our margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments;
- adverse changes in the securities markets;
- our ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities;
- changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
- our ability to continue to increase and manage our business and personal loans;
- possible impairments of securities held by us, including those issued by government entities and government sponsored enterprises;
- the impact of the economy on our loan portfolio (including cash flow and collateral values), investment portfolio, customers and capital market activities;
- the impact of the current governmental effort to restructure the U.S. financial and regulatory system;
- changes in the financial performance and/or condition of our borrowers; and

• the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters.

### **Overview of Critical Accounting Policies Involving Estimates**

Critical accounting policies involve accounting estimates that: a) require assumptions about highly uncertain matters, and b) could vary sufficiently enough to have a material effect on our financial condition and/ or results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses - Provisions for estimated loan losses and the amount of the allowance for loan losses are based on losses inherent in the loan portfolio that are both probable and can be reasonably estimated at the date of the financial statements. We believe, to the best of our knowledge, that all known losses as of the statement of condition dates have been recorded.

For all classes of loans, we consider a loan to be impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. In evaluating whether

### Table of Contents

a loan is impaired, we consider not only the amount that we expect to collect but also the timing of collection. Generally, if a delay in payment is insignificant (e.g., less than 30 days), a loan is not deemed to be impaired.

When a loan is considered to be impaired, the amount of impairment is measured in one of three ways, the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the loan s market price, or fair value of the collateral, less estimated cost to sell, if the loan is collateral dependent and it is possible we would foreclose on the property. Business Banking loans greater than or equal to \$1.0 million are reviewed to determine if they should be individually evaluated for impairment. Smaller balance, homogeneous loans (e.g., primarily residential mortgage and consumer loans) are evaluated collectively for impairment. Impairment losses are included in the allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans are charged-off or charged down when we believe that the ultimate collectability of a loan is not likely or the collateral value no longer supports the carrying value of the loan.

Interest income on non-performing loans is recognized using the cash basis method. For non-performing loans interest collected is credited to income in the period of recovery or applied to reduce principal if there is sufficient doubt about the collectability of principal.

The allowance for loan losses is shown as a valuation allowance to loans. The accounting policy for the determination of the adequacy of the allowance by portfolio segment requires us to make numerous complex and subjective estimates and assumptions relating to amounts which are inherently uncertain. The allowance for loan losses is maintained to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the statement of condition dates. The methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses is designed to provide procedural discipline in assessing the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses. Losses are charged against, and recoveries are added to, the allowance for loan losses.

For Business Banking loans the allowance for loan losses consists of:

- An allowance for impaired loans;
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on historical losses; and
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on environmental factors.

The allowance for impaired loans is based on individual analysis of all nonperforming loans greater than or equal to \$1.0 million. The allowance is measured by the difference between the recorded value of impaired loans and their impaired value. The impaired value is either the present value of the expected future cash flows from the borrower, the market value of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral, less estimated cost to sell.

The allowance for homogeneous loans based on historical factors is a rolling three-year average of actual losses incurred, adjusted for a loss realization period (the period of time from the event of loss to loss realization), applied to homogeneous pools of loans categorized by similar risk characteristics, not including loans evaluated individually for impairment.

The allowance for homogeneous loans based on environmental factors augments the historical loss factors for changes in: economic conditions, lending policies and procedures, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, management, delinquency trends, loan administration, underlying collateral values and concentrations of credit.

#### **Table of Contents**

For Personal Banking loans the allowance for loa	in losses consists of:
--	------------------------

- An allowance for loans 90 days or more delinquent;
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on historical losses; and
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on environmental factors.

The allowance for loans 90 days or more delinquent is based on the loss history of loans that have become 90 days or more delinquent. We apply a historical loss factor to homogeneous pools of loans that are 90 days or more delinquent.

The allowance for homogeneous loans based on historical losses is a rolling three-year average of actual losses incurred, adjusted for a loss realization period (the period of time from the event of loss to loss realization), applied to homogeneous pools of loans categorized by similar risk characteristics, not including loans that are 90 days or more delinquent.

The allowance for homogeneous loans based on environmental factors augments the historical loss factors for changes in: economic conditions, lending policies and procedures, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, management, delinquency trends, loan administration, underlying collateral values and concentrations of credit.

We also have an unallocated allowance which is based on our judgment regarding economic conditions, collateral values and industry conditions.

The allocation of the allowance for loan losses is inherently judgmental, and the entire allowance for loan losses is available to absorb loan losses regardless of the nature of the loss.

Personal Banking loans are charged-off or charged down when they become 180 days delinquent, unless the borrower has filed for bankruptcy. Business Banking loans are charged-off or charged down when, in our opinion, they are no longer collectible, or when it has been determined that the collateral value no longer supports the carrying value of the loan, for loans that are collateral dependent.

We have not made any material changes to our methodology for the calculation of the allowance for loan losses during the current year.

<u>Valuation of Investment Securities</u> - Unrealized gains or losses, net of deferred taxes, on available for sale securities are reported on the statement of condition as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income/ (loss) and on the statement of comprehensive income. In

general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices of identical assets, when available. Semi-annually (as of May 31 and November 30) we receive quoted market prices from a second independent pricing service. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon valuation models that use cash flow, security structure and other observable information. Where sufficient data is not available to produce a fair valuation, fair value is based on broker quotes for similar assets. Broker quotes may be adjusted to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. Adjustments may include unobservable parameters.

On at least a quarterly basis, we review our investments that are in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). An investment security is deemed impaired if the fair value of the investment is less than its amortized cost. If an investment security is determined to be impaired, we evaluate whether the decline in value is other-than-temporary. We also consider whether or not we expect to receive all of the contractual cash flows from the investment security based on factors that include, but are not limited to: the credit worthiness of the issuer and the historical and projected performance of the underlying collateral. Also, we may evaluate the business and financial outlook of the issuer, as well as

#### **Table of Contents**

broader economic performance indicators. In addition, we consider our intent to sell the investment securities and the likelihood that we will not have to sell the investment securities before recovery of their cost basis. Declines in fair value of investment securities that are deemed credit related are recognized in earnings while declines in fair value of investment securities deemed noncredit related are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, if we do not intend to sell and it is not likely we will be required to sell. If we intend to sell the security or if it s more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security, the entire unrealized loss is recorded in earnings.

Goodwill - Goodwill is not subject to amortization but must be evaluated for impairment at least annually and possibly more frequently if certain events or changes in circumstances arise. Under a quantitative approach, impairment testing requires that the fair value of each reporting unit be compared to its carrying amount, including goodwill. Reporting units are identified based upon analyzing each of our individual operating segments. A reporting unit is defined as any distinct, separately identifiable component of an operating segment for which complete, discrete financial information is available that management regularly reviews. Determining the fair value of a reporting unit requires a high degree of subjective management judgment. We have established June 30th of each year as the date for conducting the annual goodwill impairment assessment. As of June 30, 2013, we, through the assistance of an external third party, performed an impairment test on goodwill. We valued each reporting unit by using a weighted average of four valuation methodologies; comparable transaction approach, control premium approach, public market peers approach and discounted cash flow approach. Declines in fair value could result in impairment being identified. As of June 30, 2013, we did not identify any individual reporting unit where the fair value was less than the carrying value. Future changes in the economic environment or the operations of the operating units could cause changes to the variables used, which could give rise to declines in the estimated fair value of the reporting units.

Deferred Income Taxes - We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Using this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information raises doubt as to the realization of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be applied to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Management exercises significant judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting tax liabilities and assets. These judgments require us to make projections of future taxable income. The judgments and estimates made in determining our deferred tax assets, which are inherently subjective, are reviewed on an ongoing basis as regulatory and business factors change. A reduction in estimated future taxable income could require us to record a valuation allowance. Changes in levels of valuation allowances could result in increased income tax expense, and could negatively affect earnings.

Other Intangible Assets - Using the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, we are required to record the assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, and liabilities assumed at their fair values. Through the assistance of an independent third party, we analyze and prepare a core deposit study for all bank acquisitions or another identifiable intangible asset study, such as customer lists, for all non-bank acquisitions. The core deposit study reflects the cumulative present value benefit of acquiring deposits versus an alternative source of funding. The other identifiable intangible asset study reflects the cumulative present value benefit of acquiring the income stream from an existing customer base versus developing new business relationships. Based upon analysis, the amount of the premium related to the core deposits or other identifiable intangibles of the business purchased is calculated along with the estimated life of the intangible. The intangible, which is recorded in other intangible assets, is then amortized to expense on an accelerated basis over an approximate life of seven years. If it is subsequently

### Table of Contents

determined that the period of economic benefit has decreased or no longer exists, accelerated amortization or impairment may occur.

#### **Executive Summary and Comparison of Financial Condition**

Total assets at June 30, 2013 were \$7.964 billion, an increase of \$21.2 million, or 0.3%, from \$7.943 billion at December 31, 2012. This increase in assets was due to an increase in marketable securities available-for-sale of \$65.9 million, which was partially offset by decreases in total loans receivable of \$11.1 million and marketable securities held-to-maturity of \$21.6 million. The net increase in total assets was funded by increases in deposits and advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance of \$18.5 million and \$9.9 million, respectively.

Total loans receivable decreased by \$11.1 million, or 0.2%, to \$5.691 billion at June 30, 2013, from \$5.702 billion at December 31, 2012. Loan originations during the six months ended June 30, 2013, of \$1.020 billion was offset by loan maturities and principal repayments of \$962.4 million and mortgage loan sales of \$51.7 million. Our business banking loan portfolio increased by \$13.7 million, or 0.7%, to \$1.989 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$1.975 billion at December 31, 2012, as we continue to emphasize retaining and attracting quality business banking relationships. Our personal banking loan portfolio decreased by \$24.8 million, or 0.7%, to \$3.703 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$3.728 billion at December 31, 2012. This decrease is attributed to residential mortgage loan sales of \$51.7 million and sluggish consumer loan demand, partly due to the recent increase in long term interest rates.

Deposit balances continue to increase across all product types with the exception of time deposits. Total deposits increased by \$18.5 million, or 0.3%, to \$5.783 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$5.765 billion at December 31, 2012. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$40.7 million, or 5.4%, to \$796.1 million at June 30, 2013 from \$755.4 million at December 31, 2012. Interest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$35.6 million, or 4.2%, to \$887.4 million at June 30, 2013 from \$851.8 million at December 31, 2012. Savings deposits, including insured money fund accounts, increased by \$65.9 million, or 2.9%, to \$2.337 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$2.271 billion at December 31, 2012. Time deposits decreased by \$123.7 million, or 6.6%, to \$1.762 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$1.886 billion at December 31, 2012. We believe this continued movement of funds from time deposits to more liquid types of deposit accounts reflects depositors reluctance to lock-in time deposit rates and their positioning for higher interest rates in the future.

Borrowed funds decreased by \$2.7 million to \$857.3 million at June 30, 2013, from \$860.0 million at December 31, 2012 due to a decrease in repurchase agreements. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 we borrowed \$30.0 million from the FHLB with an average maturity of 8.5 years and an average interest rate of approximately 2.00% in order to secure long-term funding at favorable interest rates. None of our FHLB advances matured during the quarter and the next scheduled maturity is in 2015.

Total shareholders equity at June 30, 2013 was \$1.128 billion, or \$12.02 per share, unchanged from \$1.128 billion, or \$12.05 per share, at December 31, 2012. This was primarily attributable to net income of \$28.8 million which was offset by cash dividend payments of \$22.0 million and a decrease in other comprehensive income of \$8.1 million.

Financial institutions and their holding companies are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by the regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on a company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, financial institutions must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative

measures of its assets, liabilities and certain off-

### Table of Contents

balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments made by the regulators about components, risk-weighting and other factors.

Quantitative measures, established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy, require financial institutions to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital to total assets (as defined). Capital ratios are presented in the tables below. Dollar amounts in the accompanying tables are in thousands.

	Actual Amount	Ratio	At June 30, 2 Minimum o requiremen Amount	capital	Well capitalized requirements (1) Amount Ratio	
Total capital (to risk weighted assts)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	\$ 1,129,608	22.15%				
Northwest Savings Bank	908,051	17.90%	405,853	8.00%	507,316	10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	1,060,400	20.79%				
Northwest Savings Bank	844,028	16.64%	202,927	4.00%	304,390	6.00%
Tier I capital (leverage) (to average assets)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	1,060,400	13.61%				
Northwest Savings Bank	844,028	10.83%	311,688	4.00%	389,610	5.00%
	Actual Amount	Ratio	At December 3: Minimum o requirement	capital	Well capit: requiremer Amount	nts (1)
Total capital (to risk weighted assts)					imount	Ratio
,					rinount	Kauo
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	\$ 1,117,979	21.53%				Kauo
,	\$ 1,117,979 875,676	21.53% 16.94%	413,424	8.00%	516,780	10.00%
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	\$		413,424	8.00%		
Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Northwest Savings Bank  Tier I capital (to risk weighted	\$		413,424	8.00%		
Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Northwest Savings Bank  Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 875,676	16.94%	413,424 206,712	8.00%		
Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Northwest Savings Bank  Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets) Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Northwest Savings Bank  Tier I capital (leverage) (to average assets)	\$ 875,676 1,050,261 810,727	16.94% 20.22% 15.69%	,		516,780	10.00%
Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Northwest Savings Bank  Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets) Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Northwest Savings Bank  Tier I capital (leverage) (to	\$ 875,676 1,050,261	16.94%	,		516,780	10.00%

<sup>(1)</sup> The Federal Reserve does not yet have formal capital requirements established for savings and loan holding companies.

In July 2013, the FDIC and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their leverage and risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from 4% to 6% of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also requires unrealized gains and losses on certain available-for-sale securities holdings to be included for purposes of calculating regulatory capital requirements unless a one-time opt-in

#### **Table of Contents**

or opt-out is exercised. The rule limits a banking organization s capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a capital conservation buffer consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements.

The final rule becomes effective for Northwest on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 and ending January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer requirement will be effective. The final rule also implements consolidated capital requirements for savings and loan holding companies, such as the Company, effective January 1, 2015.

The following table shows the Basel III regulatory capital levels that must be maintained to avoid limitations on capital distributions and discretionary bonus payments for the periods indicated:

	Basel III Reggulatory Capital Requirements					
	Current	January 1, 2015	January 1, 2016	January 1, 2017	January 1, 2018	January 1, 2019
Tier 1 common equity ratio plus capital conservation						
buffer		4.50%	5.125%	5.75%	6.375%	7.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	4.00%					
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio plus capital conservation buffer		6.00%	6.625%	7.25%	7.875%	8,50%
Total risk-based capital ratio	8.00%	0.00 //	0.023 //	1.23 /0	1.01370	0.5070
Total risk-based capital ratio	0.0070					
plus capital conservation buffer		8.00%	8.625%	9.25%	9.875%	10.50%

We are required to maintain a sufficient level of liquid assets, as determined by management and reviewed for adequacy by the FDIC and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking during their regular examinations. Northwest monitors its liquidity position primarily using the ratio of unencumbered available-for-sale liquid assets as a percentage of deposits and borrowings (liquidity ratio ). Northwest sliquidity ratio at June 30, 2013 was 14.7%. We adjust liquidity levels in order to meet funding needs for deposit outflows, payment of real estate taxes and insurance on mortgage loan escrow accounts, repayment of borrowings and loan commitments. At June 30, 2013 Northwest had \$1.805 billion of additional borrowing capacity available with the FHLB, including \$150.0 million on an overnight line of credit, as well as \$200.1 million of borrowing capacity available with the Federal Reserve Bank and \$80.0 million with two correspondent banks.

We paid \$22.0 million and \$11.4 million in cash dividends during the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$22.0 million and \$22.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As was previously announced, we paid our regular dividend and a special dividend in the second quarter of 2013. The common stock dividend payout ratio (dividends declared per share divided by net income per share) was 160.0% and 70.6% for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, on dividends of \$0.24 per share and \$0.12 per share, respectively. The common stock dividend payout ratio for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was 75.0% and 72.7%, respectively, on dividends of \$0.24 and \$0.24 per share, respectively. On July 17, 2013, the Board of Directors declared a regular dividend of \$0.13 per share payable on August 15, 2013 to shareholders of record as of August 1, 2013. This represents the 75th consecutive quarter we have paid a cash dividend.

### Table of Contents

### **Nonperforming Assets**

The following table sets forth information with respect to nonperforming assets. Nonaccrual loans are those loans on which the accrual of interest has ceased. Generally, when a loan is 90 days past due, we fully reverse all accrued interest thereon and cease to accrue interest thereafter. Exceptions are made for loans that have contractually matured, are in the process of being modified to extend the maturity date and are otherwise current as to principal and interest, and well secured loans that are in process of collection. Loans may also be placed on nonaccrual before they reach 90 days past due if other conditions exist. Other nonperforming assets represent property acquired through foreclosure or repossession. Foreclosed property is carried at the lower of its fair value less estimated costs to sell, or the principal balance of the related loan.

	June 30, 2013 (Dollars in th	:	mber 31, 2012
Loans 90 days or more past due:	`	ĺ	
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 23,730	\$	24,286
Home equity loans	8,607		8,479
Other consumer loans	1,706		1,936
Commercial real estate loans	20,872		26,248
Commercial loans	8,595		9,096
Total loans 90 days or more past due	\$ 63,510	\$	70,045
Total real estate owned (REO)	21,269		26,165
Total loans 90 days or more past due and REO	84,779		96,210
Total loans 90 days or more past due to net loans receivable	1.13%		1.21%
Total loans 90 days or more past due and REO to total assets	1.06%		1.19%
Nonperforming assets:			
Nonaccrual loans - loans 90 days or more past due	\$ 63,510		68,347
Nonaccrual loans - loans less than 90 days past due	57,056		51,865
Loans 90 days or more past due still accruing			1,698
Total nonperforming loans	120,566		121,910
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 141,835		148,075
Nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans *	\$ 42,043		41,166
Accruing troubled debt restructured loans	44,474		48,278
Total troubled debt restructured loans	\$ 86,517		89,444

<sup>\*</sup> Included in nonaccurual loans above.

A loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement including both contractual principal and interest payments. The amount of impairment is required to be measured using one of three methods: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate; (2) the loan s observable market price; or (3) the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, a specific allowance is allocated for the impairment. Impaired loans at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were \$183.2 million and \$184.3 million, respectively.

### **Allowance for Loan Losses**

Our Board of Directors has adopted an Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALL) policy designed to provide management with a systematic methodology for determining and documenting the ALL each reporting period. This methodology was developed to provide a consistent process and review

60

#### **Table of Contents**

procedure to ensure that the ALL is in conformity with GAAP, our policies and procedures and other supervisory and regulatory guidelines.

On an ongoing basis, the Credit Administration department, as well as loan officers, branch managers and department heads, review and monitor the loan portfolio for problem loans. This portfolio monitoring includes a review of the monthly delinquency reports as well as historical comparisons and trend analysis. In addition, a meeting is held every quarter with each region to monitor the performance and status of loans on an internal watch list. On an on-going basis the loan officer in conjunction with a portfolio manager grades or classifies problem loans or potential problem loans based upon their knowledge of the lending relationship and other information previously accumulated. This rating is also reviewed independently by our Loan Review department on a periodic basis. Our loan grading system for problem loans is consistent with industry regulatory guidelines which classify loans as substandard, doubtful or loss. Loans that do not expose us to risk sufficient to warrant classification in one of the previous categories, but which possess some weaknesses, are designated as special mention. A substandard loan is any loan that is more than 90 days contractually delinquent or is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions or values, highly questionable and improbable. Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible so that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss allowance is not warranted.

Credit relationships that have been classified as substandard or doubtful and are greater than or equal to \$1.0 million are reviewed by the Credit Administration department for possible impairment. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including both contractual principal and interest payments.

If an individual loan is deemed to be impaired, the Credit Administration department determines the proper measure of impairment for each loan based on one of three methods: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate; (2) the loan s observable market price; or (3) the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measurement of the impaired loan is more or less than the recorded investment in the loan, the Credit Administration department adjusts the specific allowance associated with that individual loan accordingly.

If a substandard or doubtful loan is not considered individually for impairment, it is grouped with other loans that possess common characteristics for impairment evaluation and analysis. This segmentation is accomplished by grouping loans of similar product types, risk characteristics and industry concentration into homogeneous pools. Historical loss ratios are analyzed and adjusted based on delinquency trends as well as the current economic, political, regulatory and interest rate environment and used to estimate the current measure of impairment.

The individual impairment measures along with the estimated loss for each homogeneous pool are consolidated into one summary document. This summary schedule along with the support documentation used to establish this schedule is presented to the Credit Committee on a quarterly basis. The Credit Committee reviews the processes and documentation presented, reviews the concentration of credit by industry and customer, lending products, activity, competition and collateral values, as well as economic conditions in general and in each of our market areas. Based on this review and discussion, the appropriate amount of ALL is estimated and any adjustments to reconcile the actual ALL with this estimate are determined. In addition, the Credit Committee considers if any changes to the methodology are needed.

### Table of Contents

The Credit Committee also reviews and discusses delinquency trends, nonperforming asset amounts and ALL levels and ratios compared to our peer group as well as state and national statistics. Similarly, following the Credit Committee s review and approval, a review is performed by the Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis.

In addition to the reviews by management s Credit Committee and the Board of Directors Risk Management Committee, regulators from either the FDIC or the Pennsylvania Department of Banking perform an extensive review on an annual basis for the adequacy of the ALL and its conformity with regulatory guidelines and pronouncements. Any recommendations or enhancements from these independent parties are considered by management and the Credit Committee and implemented accordingly.

We acknowledge that this is a dynamic process and consists of factors, many of which are external and out of our control that can change often, rapidly and substantially. The adequacy of the ALL is based upon estimates using all the information previously discussed as well as current and known circumstances and events. There is no assurance that actual portfolio losses will not be substantially different than those that were estimated.

We utilize a consistent methodology each period when analyzing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. As part of the analysis as of June 30, 2013, we considered the economic conditions in our markets, such as the unemployment and bankruptcy levels as well as changes in real estate collateral values. In addition, we considered the overall trends in asset quality, specific reserves already established for criticized loans, historical loss rates and collateral valuations. As a result of this analysis, the allowance for loan losses decreased \$629,000, or 0.9%, to \$72.6 million, or 1.28% of total loans, at June 30, 2013 from \$73.2 million, or 1.28% of total loans, at December 31, 2012. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease in classified loans of \$20.3 million, or 8.1%, to \$230.5 million at June 30, 2013 from \$250.8 million at December 31, 2012 and a decrease in our total loan portfolio of \$11.1 million, or 0.2%, compared to December 31, 2012.

We also consider how the level of nonperforming loans and historical charge-offs have influenced the required amount of allowance for loan losses. Nonperforming loans of \$120.6 million or 2.12% of total loans, at June 30, 2013 increased by \$354,000, or 0.3%, from \$120.2 million, or 2.11% of total loans, at December 31, 2012. As a percentage of average loans, annualized net charge-offs increased to 0.47% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 0.43% for the year ended December 31, 2012. We believe, to the best of our knowledge, all known losses as of the balance sheet dates have been recorded.

#### Comparison of Operating Results for the Quarters Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 was \$13.5 million, or \$0.15 per diluted share, a decrease of \$2.9 million, or 17.6%, from \$16.4 million, or \$0.17 per diluted share, for the same quarter last year. The decrease in net income resulted from decreases in net interest income of \$2.4 million and noninterest income of \$1.7 million as well as an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$442,000 and an increase in noninterest expense of \$840,000. Partially offsetting these factors was a decrease in income tax expense of \$2.5 million. Annualized, net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 represents a 4.79% and 0.68% return on average equity and return on average assets, respectively, compared to 5.63% and 0.82% for the same quarter last year. A discussion of significant changes follows.

#### **Interest Income**

Total interest income decreased by \$6.0 million, or 7.1%, to \$78.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 due to a decrease in the average yield earned on interest earning assets. The average yield on interest earning assets decreased to 4.23% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from 4.57% for the

### Table of Contents

quarter ended June 30, 2012. The average yield on all categories of interest earning assets decreased when compared to the prior year period, with the exception of Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh stock (FHLB). Partially offsetting this decrease was an increase in the average balance of interest earning assets, which increased by \$17.9 million, or 0.2%, to \$7.444 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$7.426 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Interest income on loans receivable decreased \$5.2 million, or 6.7%, to \$72.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$77.2 for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease in interest income on loans receivable can be attributed to a decline in the average yield which decreased to 5.12% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from 5.49% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The continued decline in average yield is due primarily to our variable rate loans adjusting downward as re-pricing dates occur, the historically low level of market interest rates in general and continued pricing pressure for new, as well as existing, credit relationships. Additionally, the average balance of loans receivable decreased by \$3.6 million, or 0.1%, to \$5.624 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$5.627 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in average balance is primarily attributed to a decrease in our personal banking loan portfolio, which has decreased by \$24.8 million during the year and \$72.6 million from June 30, 2012.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$1.1 million, or 25.0%, to \$3.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$4.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is the result of decreases in both the average balance and average yield. The average balance of mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$35.0 million, or 4.6%, to \$726.6 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$761.6 for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 due primarily to redirecting cash flows to fund the purchase of government agency securities with a shorter duration. The average yield on mortgage-backed securities decreased to 1.82% for the quarter ending June 30, 2013 from 2.32% for the quarter ending June 30, 2012. The decrease in average yield resulted primarily from variable rate securities re-pricing downward and the purchase of mortgage-backed securities at generally lower interest rates than the existing portfolio yield.

Interest income on investment securities increased by \$375,000, or 13.6%, to \$3.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$2.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This increase is due to an increase in the average balance of investment securities of \$220.7 million, or 70.9%, to \$531.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$311.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This increase resulted from utilizing excess cash flow to purchase government agency securities, with an average duration of approximately 3.5 years, in an effort to maintain our net interest margin while minimizing maturity extension risk. Partially offsetting this increase was a decrease in the average yield of investment securities to 2.35% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from 3.54% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is primarily the result of higher rate municipal securities maturing or being called and being replaced by lower yielding, shorter duration government agency securities.

During the second quarter of 2012 the FHLB resumed dividend payments, which had been suspended in 2008. For the quarter ended June 30, 2013 we received dividends on FHLB stock of \$34,000 on an average balance of \$48.1 million, resulting in a yield of 0.28%, compared to dividends of \$25,000 on an average balance of \$46.9 million, resulting in a yield of 0.21% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Interest income on interest-earning deposits decreased by \$133,000, or 28.1%, to \$340,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$473,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is due primarily to a decrease in the average balance. The average balance of interest-earning deposits decreased by \$165.3 million, or 24.3%, to \$513.9 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$679.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, due to the utilization of cash for the repurchase of common stock and for

### Table of Contents

the purchase of investment securities. Additionally, the average yield on interest-earning deposits decreased to 0.26% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from 0.28% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

#### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense decreased by \$3.7 million, or 19.2%, to \$15.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$19.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease in interest expense was due to a decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, which decreased to 1.04% from 1.27%, and a decrease in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$72.0 million, or 1.2%, to \$5.966 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$6.038 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in the cost of funds resulted primarily from the current level of market interest rates which enabled us to reduce the rate of interest paid on all deposit products. The decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities resulted from a reduction in average time deposits of \$297.5 million, or 14.2%, compared to last year. However, we continue to grow our low cost checking, savings and insured money market accounts, the average balance of which increased by \$205.3 million, or 6.8%, compared to the average for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

#### **Net Interest Income**

Net interest income decreased by \$2.4 million, or 3.6%, to \$63.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$65.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is attributable to the factors discussed above. Competitive pricing pressure reduced the interest rates on our new loan originations and lower market rates reduced the yield on our investment purchases at a faster pace than we were able to reduce our cost of funds, resulting in compression of both our net interest spread and margin. Our net interest rate spread decreased to 3.19% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from 3.30% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and our net interest margin decreased to 3.40% at June 30, 2013 from 3.54% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

### **Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses increased by \$442,000, or 8.9%, to \$5.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$5.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This increase is due primarily to increases in historical loss factors, a \$3.3 million, or 2.8%, increase in nonaccrual loans compared to the prior year and the downgrade of two commercial real estate loans requiring additional reserves of \$483,000. Partially offsetting these factors was a decrease in criticized loans of \$28.1 million, or 8.5%, to \$304.3 million at June 30, 2013 from \$332.4 million at June 30, 2012.

In determining the amount of the current period provision, we considered current economic conditions, including unemployment levels and bankruptcy filings, and changes in real estate values and the impact of these factors on the quality of our loan portfolio and historical loss factors. Net charge-offs for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were \$4.8 million compared to \$7.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Annualized net charge-offs to average loans decreased to 0.34% for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from 0.55% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. We analyze the allowance for loan losses as described in the section entitled Allowance for Loan Losses. The provision that is recorded is sufficient, in our judgment, to bring this reserve to a level that reflects the losses inherent in our loan portfolio relative to loan mix, economic conditions and historical loss experience. We believe, to the best of our knowledge, that all known losses as of the balance sheet dates have been recorded.

### **Noninterest Income**

Noninterest income decreased by \$1.7 million, or 11.1%, to \$13.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$15.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The decrease is primarily attributable to an increase in loss on real estate owned and a decrease in mortgage banking income. Loss on real estate owned increased by \$1.7 million, to \$2.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from

64

### Table of Contents

\$582,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This increase was due primarily to a decrease in the appraised value of our largest REO property. Mortgage banking income decreased by \$553,000, or 70.1%, to \$236,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$789,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease resulted from fewer sales of residential mortgage loans to the secondary market during the current quarter compared to the same period last year. Partially offsetting these factors were increases in insurance commission income and trust and other financial services income. Insurance commission income increased by \$587,000, or 36.6%, to \$2.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$1.6 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 as a result of our acquisition of the Bert Company on December 31, 2012. Trust and other financial services income increased by \$245,000, or 12.1% to \$2.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$2.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 as a result of increases in assets under management.

#### **Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense increased by \$840,000, or 1.6%, to \$52.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$52.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily the result of increases in processing expense, compensation and employee benefits and office operations. Processing expense increased by \$1.3 million, or 22.0%, to \$7.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$6.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily the result of an incremental expense related to upgrading and replacing our ATM network. Compensation and employee benefits increased by \$740,000, or 2.7% to \$28.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$27.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 due primarily to the addition of 38 full-time equivalent employees compared to last year and increased health insurance costs. Office operations increased by \$526,000, or 15.7%, to \$3.9 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$3.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, due to increased collections costs. These increases were partially offset by decreases in marketing expense and professional services. Marketing expense decreased by \$1.7 million, or 45.3%, to \$2.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$3.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to the timing of various campaigns. Professional services decreased by \$301,000, or 20.1%, to \$1.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 from \$1.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, as a result of compliance projects that were performed in 2012.

### **Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 decreased by \$2.5 million, or 32.7%, to \$5.1 million, compared to the same period last year. This decrease in income tax expense is primarily a result of a decrease in income before income taxes of \$5.3 million, or 22.4%, and the availability of expanded Pennsylvania tax credits. Our effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 was 27.3% compared to 31.5% for the prior year period. We anticipate our effective tax rate to be approximately 29% for the year.

### Comparison of operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$28.8 million, or \$0.32 per diluted share, a decrease of \$2.7 million, or 8.8%, from \$31.5 million, or \$0.33 per diluted share, for the same period last year. The decrease in net income resulted primarily from a decrease in net interest income of \$4.1 million as well as an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$1.3 million and an increase in the noninterest expense of \$1.0 million. These changes were partially offset by an increase in noninterest income of \$850,000 and a decrease in income tax expense of \$2.3 million. Annualized, net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 represents a 5.12% and 0.73% return on average equity and return on average assets, respectively, compared to 5.44% and 0.79% for the same period last year. A discussion of significant changes follows.

### Table of Contents

#### **Interest Income**

Total interest income decreased by \$12.4 million, or 7.3%, to \$158.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$170.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due to both a decrease in the average yield earned on interest earning assets and a decrease in the average balance of interest earning assets. The average yield on interest earning assets decreased to 4.30% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 4.62% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The average yield on all categories of interest earning assets decreased compared to the same period last year with the exception of interest-earning deposits and dividends on FHLB stock. Average interest earning assets decreased by \$37.8 million, or 0.5%, to \$7.363 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$7.401 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Interest income on loans receivable decreased by \$10.1 million, or 6.5%, to \$145.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$155.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The average yield on loans receivable decreased to 5.15% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 5.54% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in average yield is primarily attributable to the interest rates on variable rate loans adjusting downward, as well as the origination of new loans in a lower interest rate and highly competitive environment. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in the average balance of loans receivable of \$27.8 million, or 0.5%, to \$5.631 billion at June 30, 2013 from \$5.603 billion at June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily attributable to our continued emphasis on building business banking loan relationships.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$2.4 million, or 25.8%, to \$6.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$9.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is the result of decreases in both the average balance and average yield. The average balance of mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$28.2 million, or 3.7%, to \$726.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$754.3 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due primarily to redirecting cash flows to purchase government agency securities with a shorter duration. The average yield on mortgage-backed securities decreased to 1.86% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 2.41% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in average yield resulted from the purchase and re-pricing of mortgage-backed securities during this period of historically low market interest rates.

Interest income on investment securities increased by \$324,000, or 5.6%, to \$6.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$5.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was the result of an increase in the average balance of investment securities of \$173.8 million, or 53.1%, to \$500.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$327.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due to deploying excess cash flow to minimize net interest margin compression while minimizing duration. Partially offsetting this increase was a decrease in the average yield which decreased to 2.43% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 3.53% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, as a result of higher rate municipal bonds maturing or being called and replaced with lower yielding government agency bonds.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 we received dividends on FHLB stock of \$71,000 on an average balance of \$47.5 million, resulting in a yield of 0.30%, compared to dividends of \$25,000 on an average balance of \$47.6 million, resulting in a yield of 0.11% for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Interest income on interest-earning deposits decreased by \$262,000, or 30.7%, to \$591,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$853,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease is due primarily to the average balance decreasing by \$211.1 million, or 31.6%, to \$457.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$669.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The average balance decreased due to the repurchase of common stock during 2012 and the purchase of government

### Table of Contents

agency securities. The average yield on interest-earning deposits increased slightly to 0.26% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 0.25% for the same period in the prior year.

#### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense decreased by \$8.8 million, or 22.2%, to \$31.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$39.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease in interest expense was due to a decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities of 0.28%, to 1.05% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 1.33% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. In addition, the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$86.7 million, or 1.4%, to \$5.950 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$6.037 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in the cost of funds was primarily due to a decrease in the level of market interest rates resulting in a decrease in the rates on all deposit products. The decrease in interest-bearing liabilities is the result of a decrease in the average balance of time deposits of \$342.4 million, or 15.8%, as we believe consumers continue to prefer more liquid deposit accounts as protection against possible higher interest rates in the future.

#### **Net Interest Income**

Net interest income decreased by \$3.6 million, or 2.7%, to \$127.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$130.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease in net interest income was attributable to the factors discussed above. Our net interest rate spread decreased to 3.25% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 3.29% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and our net interest margin decreased to 3.46% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from 3.54% for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

#### **Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses increased by \$1.3 million, or 11.7%, to \$12.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$11.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is due primarily to an increase in historical loss factors and an increase in TDRs of \$8.0 million, or 10.3%, to \$86.5 million at June 30, 2013 from \$78.5 at June 30, 2012. Additionally, three commercial real estate loans were downgraded during the six months ended June 30, 2013 resulting in a provision of \$1.3 million not previously reserved. Partially offsetting these factors was a decrease in classified loans of \$20.4 million, or 8.1%, to \$230.5 at June 30, 2013 from \$250.8 million at December 31, 2012.

In determining the amount of the current period provision, we considered economic conditions, including unemployment levels, bankruptcy filings and changes in real estate values and the impact of these factors on the quality of our loan portfolio and historical loss factors. We also considered net charge-offs which for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$13.2 million, compared to \$12.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Annualized net charge-offs to average loans was 0.47% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 0.44% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. We analyze the allowance for loan losses as described in the section entitled Allowance for Loan Losses. The provision that is recorded is sufficient, in our judgment, to bring this reserve to a level that reflects the losses inherent in our loan portfolio relative to loan mix, economic conditions and historical loss experience. We believe, to the best of our knowledge, that all known losses as of the balance sheet dates have been recorded.

### **Noninterest Income**

Noninterest income increased by \$850,000, or 2.9%, to \$29.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$28.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to increases in insurance commission income, trust and other financial services income and a decrease in net impairment losses. Insurance commission income increased by \$1.2 million, or 35.0%, to \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$3.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to the acquisition of the Bert

67

#### **Table of Contents**

Company on December 31, 2012. Trust and other financial services income increased by \$333,000, or 8.1% to \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$4.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is the result of our efforts to grow nontraditional revenue streams to mitigate decreasing net interest margins. Net impairment losses decreased by \$238,000, as there were no impairment losses during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Partially offsetting these improvements was an increase in loss on real estate owned of \$763,000, or 46.2%, to \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily the result of actively managing our \$21.3 million REO portfolio which decreased by \$9.2 million, or 30.2%, since June 30, 2012. Additionally, mortgage banking income decreased by \$128,000, or 9.7%, to \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to our decision to hold most of the residential mortgages originated in 2013 in an effort to keep that portfolio from shrinking.

#### **Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense increased by \$1.1 million, or 1.0%, to \$104.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$103.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily attributable to increases in processing expense, compensation and employee benefits and premises and occupancy costs. Processing expense increased by \$1.0 million, or 8.5%, to \$13.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$12.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This increase is primarily the result of an incremental expense related to upgrading and replacing our ATM network. Compensation and employee benefits increased by \$832,000, or 1.5% to \$56.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$55.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to the addition of the Bert Company employees in our insurance area. Premises and occupancy costs increased by \$666,000, or 5.9%, to \$11.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$11.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to increased snow removal costs in the first quarter. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in marketing expense of \$1.9 million, or 31.9%, to \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to the timing of various campaigns. Additionally, professional services decreased by \$305,000, or 9.5%, to \$2.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 from \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to reduced internal audit expenses.

#### **Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2013 decreased by \$2.3 million, or 16.8%, to \$11.5 million from \$13.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease in income tax expense is primarily a result of a decrease in income before income taxes of \$5.1 million, or 11.2% and the availability of expanded Pennsylvania tax credits. Our effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was 28.5% compared to 30.5% experienced in the same period last year. We do not anticipate our effective tax rate to change significantly during the year.

68

#### **Average Balance Sheet**

(Dollars in thousands)

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company s average balance sheet and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented. Average balances are calculated using daily averages.

			2013	Quarter ended	narter ended June 30,		
		Average balance	Interest	Avg. yield/ cost (f)	Average balance	Interest	Avg. yield/ cost (f)
Assets:							
Interest-earning assets:							
Loans receivable (a) (b) (includes							
FTE adjustments of \$561 and \$559,							
respectively)	\$	5,623,784	72,548	5.16%	5,627,459	77,732	5.53%
Mortgage-backed securities (c)		726,583	3,308	1.82%	761,616	4,409	2.32%
Investment securities (c) (includes							
FTE adjustments of \$1,127 and							
\$1,248, respectively)		531,802	4,255	3.20%	311,087	4,001	5.14%
FHLB stock		48,110	34	0.28%	46,916	25	0.21%
Other interest-earning deposits		513,930	340	0.26%	679,217	473	0.28%
Total interest-earning assets (includes FTE adjustments of \$1,688 and							
\$1,807, respectively)		7,444,209	80,485	4.32%	7,426,295	86,640	4.67%
1 27		, ,	,		, ,	,	
Noninterest earning assets (d)		531,771			592,004		
,		ŕ			·		
Total assets	\$	7,975,980			8,018,299		
Liabilities and shareholders equity:							
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Savings accounts	\$	1,209,783	900	0.30%	1,146,989	1,034	0.36%
Interest-bearing demand accounts		873,804	149	0.07%	831,414	240	0.12%
Money market accounts		1,121,579	748	0.27%	1,021,485	878	0.35%
Certificate accounts		1,791,030	5,607	1.26%	2,088,538	9,032	1.74%
Borrowed funds (e)		866,476	6,612	3.06%	846,244	6,490	3.08%
Junior subordinated debentures		103,094	1,420	5.45%	103,094	1,422	5.46%
			, -		,	,	
Total interest-bearing liabilities		5,965,766	15,436	1.04%	6,037,764	19,096	1.27%
		-,,,,,,,,,	,		2,027,7727	,	-,-,-
Noninterest bearing checking		778,468			710.085		
Noninterest bearing liabilities		102,191			107,033		
rounterest bearing nationales		102,171			107,033		
Total liabilities		6,846,425			6,854,882		
2 Cur Indilities		3,010,123			3,00 1,002		
Shareholders equity		1,129,555			1,163,417		
Z		1,127,000			-,100,.17		
	\$	7,975,980			8,018,299		
	7	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			-,J,=//		

Total liabilities and shareholders equity						
Net interest income/ Interest rate spread		65,049	3.28%		67,544	3.40%
Net interest-earning assets/ Net interest margin	\$ 1,478,443		3.50%	1,388,531		3.64%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	1.25X			1.23X		

- (a) Average gross loans includes loans held as available-for-sale and loans placed on nonaccrual status.
- (b) Interest income includes accretion/ amortization of deferred loan fees/ expenses, which were not material.
- (c) Average balances do not include the effect of unrealized gains or losses on securities held as available-for-sale.
- (d) Average balances include the effect of unrealized gains or losses on securities held as available-for-sale.
- (e) Average balances include FHLB borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.
- (f) Annualized. Shown on a fully tax-equivalent basis (FTE). The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory rate of 35% for each period presented. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts. GAAP basis yields were: Loans 5.12% and 5.49%, respectively; Investment securities 2.35% and 3.54%, respectively; interest-earning assets 4.23% and 4.57%, respectively. GAAP basis net interest rate spreads were 3.19% and 3.30%, respectively; and GAAP basis net interest margins were 3.40% and 3.54%, respectively.

#### Rate/ Volume Analysis

(Dollars in Thousands)

The following table represents the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), (ii) changes attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), and (iii) net change. Changes that cannot be attributed to either rate or volume have been allocated to both rate and volume.

#### Quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	Rate	Volume	Net Change
Interest earning assets:			J
Loans	\$ (5,125)	(58)	(5,183)
Mortgage-backed securities	(924)	(177)	(1,101)
Investment securities	(2,567)	2,821	254
FHLB stock	7	1	8
Other interest-earning deposits	25	(158)	(133)
Total interest-earning assets	(8,584)	2,429	(6,155)
Interest-bearing liabilities:			
Savings accounts	(190)	56	(134)
Interest-bearing demand accounts	(102)	12	(90)
Money market accounts	(234)	104	(130)
Certificate accounts	(2,246)	(1,180)	(3,426)
Borrowed funds	6	116	122
Debentures	(2)		(2)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(2,768)	(892)	(3,660)
Net change in net interest income	\$ (5,816)	3,321	(2,495)
	70		

#### **Average Balance Sheet**

(Dollars in thousands)

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company s average balance sheet and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented. Average balances are calculated using daily averages.

			2013	Six months ended	d June 30,	2012	
		Average balance	Interest	Avg. yield/ cost (f)	Average balance	Interest	Avg. yield/ cost (f)
Assets:							
Interest-earning assets:							
Loans receivable (a) (b) (includes							
FTE adjustments of \$1,115 and							
\$1,092, respectively)	\$	5,631,110	146,075	5.19%	5,603,311	156,209	5.58%
Mortgage-backed securities (c)		726,057	6,749	1.86%	754,285	9,100	2.41%
Investment securities (c) (includes							
FTE adjustments of \$2,238 and							
\$2,565, respectively)		500,881	8,334	3.33%	327,074	8,337	5.10%
FHLB stock		47,492	71	0.30%	47,581	25	0.11%
Other interest-earning deposits		457,871	591	0.26%	668,982	853	0.25%
•							
Total interest-earning assets							
(includes FTE adjustments of							
\$3,353 and \$3,657, respectively)		7,363,411	161,820	4.40%	7,401,233	174,524	4.72%
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,	,		, ,	,	
Noninterest earning assets (d)		584,030			603,822		
		·			,		
Total assets	\$	7,947,441			8,005,055		
		, ,					
Liabilities and shareholders equity	y:						
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Savings accounts	\$	1,195,216	1,794	0.30%	1,123,651	2,140	0.38%
Interest-bearing demand accounts		857,121	289	0.07%	808,675	467	0.12%
Money market accounts		1,114,553	1,490	0.27%	998,840	1,843	0.37%
Certificate accounts		1,820,244	11,645	1.29%	2,162,679	19,678	1.83%
Borrowed funds (e)		860,017	13,038	3.06%	840,043	12,967	3.10%
Junior subordinated debentures		103,094	2,825	5.45%	103,094	2,844	5.46%
Total interest-bearing liabilities		5,950,245	31,081	1.05%	6,036,982	39,939	1.33%
		-,,,-,,-,,-	2 2,002		-,,,,,,,,		
Noninterest bearing checking		766,578			701,492		
Noninterest bearing liabilities		96,297			107,646		
		, ,,_, ,			201,010		
Total liabilities		6,813,120			6,846,120		
		0,012,120			0,010,120		
Shareholders equity		1,134,321			1,158,935		
Zama zama za		1,10.,021			1,100,700		
	\$	7,947,441			8,005,055		
	Ŧ	.,,			.,,		

Total liabilities and shareholders equity						
Net interest income/ Interest rate spread		130,739	3.35%		134,585	3.39%
Net interest-earning assets/ Net interest margin	\$ 1,413,166		3.55%	1,364,251		3.64%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	1.24X			1.23X		

- (a) Average gross loans includes loans held as available-for-sale and loans placed on nonaccrual status.
- (b) Interest income includes accretion/ amortization of deferred loan fees/ expenses, which were not material.
- (c) Average balances do not include the effect of unrealized gains or losses on securities held as available-for-sale.
- (d) Average balances include the effect of unrealized gains or losses on securities held as available-for-sale.
- (e) Average balances include FHLB borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.
- (f) Annualized. Shown on a fully tax-equivalent basis (FTE). The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory rate of 35% for each period presented. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts. GAAP basis yields were: Loans 5.15% and 5.54%, respectively; Investment securities 2.43% and 3.53%, respectively; interest-earning assets 4.30% and 4.62%, respectively. GAAP basis net interest rate spreads were 3.25% and 3.29%, respectively; and GAAP basis net interest margins were 3.46% and 3.54%, respectively.

#### Rate/ Volume Analysis

(Dollars in Thousands)

The following table represents the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), (ii) changes attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), and (iii) net change. Changes that cannot be attributed to either rate or volume have been allocated to both rate and volume.

#### Six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

	Rate	Volume	Net Change
Interest earning assets:			J
Loans	\$ (10,909)	775	(10,134)
Mortgage-backed securities	(2,039)	(312)	(2,351)
Investment securities	(4,433)	4,430	(3)
FHLB stock	45	1	46
Other interest-earning deposits	10	(272)	(262)
Total interest-earning assets	(17,326)	4,622	(12,704)
Interest-bearing liabilities:			
Savings accounts	(482)	136	(346)
Interest-bearing demand accounts	(206)	28	(178)
Money market accounts	(566)	213	(353)
Certificate accounts	(5,226)	(2,807)	(8,033)
Borrowed funds	(196)	267	71
Debentures	(19)		(19)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(6,695)	(2,163)	(8,858)
Net change in net interest income	\$ (10,631)	6,785	(3,846)

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As the holding company for a savings bank, one of our primary market risks is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of net interest income to variations in interest rates over a specified time period. The sensitivity results from differences in the time periods in which interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities mature or re-price. We attempt to control interest rate risk by matching, within acceptable limits, the re-pricing periods of assets and liabilities. We have attempted to limit our exposure to interest sensitivity by increasing core deposits, enticing customers to extend certificates of deposit maturities, borrowing funds with fixed-rates and longer maturities and by shortening the maturities of our assets by emphasizing the origination of more short-term fixed rate loans and adjustable rate loans. We also continue to sell a portion of the long-term, fixed-rate mortgage loans that we originate. In addition, we purchase shorter term or adjustable-rate investment securities and mortgage-backed securities.

We have an Asset/ Liability Committee consisting of several members of management which meets monthly to review market interest rates, economic conditions, the pricing of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the balance sheet structure. On a quarterly basis, this Committee also reviews the interest rate risk position and cash flow projections.

#### **Table of Contents**

The Board of Directors has a Risk Management Committee which meets quarterly and reviews interest rate risk and trends, our interest sensitivity position, the liquidity position and the market risk inherent in the investment portfolio.

In an effort to assess interest rate risk and market risk, we utilize a simulation model to determine the effect of immediate incremental increases and decreases in interest rates on net income and the market value of equity. Certain assumptions are made regarding loan prepayments and decay rates of savings and interest-bearing demand accounts. Because it is difficult to accurately project the market reaction of depositors and borrowers, the effect of actual changes in interest rates on these assumptions may differ from simulated results. We have established the following guidelines for assessing interest rate risk:

Net income simulation. Given a non-parallel shift of 100 basis points (bps), 200 bps and 300 bps in interest rates, the estimated net income may not decrease by more than 10%, 20% and 30%, respectively, within a one-year period.

*Market value of equity simulation.* The market value of equity is the present value of assets and liabilities. Given a non-parallel shift of 100 bps, 200 bps and 300 bps in interest rates, the market value of equity may not decrease by more than 15%, 30% and 35%, respectively, of total shareholders equity.

The following table illustrates the simulated impact of a 100 bps, 200 bps or 300 bps upward or a 100 bps downward movement in interest rates on net income, return on average equity, earnings per share and market value of equity. This analysis was prepared assuming that interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability levels at June 30, 2013 remain constant. The impact of the rate movements was computed by simulating the effect of an immediate and sustained shift in interest rates over a twelve-month period from June 30, 2013 levels.

Non-parallel shift in interest rates over the next 12	-	-	<u>-</u>	-
months				
Projected increase/ (decrease) in return on average				
equity	2.7%	6.9%	6.5%	(7.6)%
D. i - 4- d				
Projected percentage increase/ (decrease) in market	(2.7)(7	(11.0)07	(10.2)07	(2.9)0/
value of equity	(2.7)%	(11.0)%	(18.3)%	(2.8)%

The figures included in the table above represent projections that were computed based upon certain assumptions including prepayment rates and decay rates. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, cannot precisely predict the impact of changes in interest rates. Actual results may differ significantly due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon that evaluation, the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

#### **Table of Contents**

There were no changes in the internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by this report or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are subject to a number of asserted and unasserted claims encountered in the normal course of business. We believe that any additional liability, other than that which has already been accrued, that may result from such potential litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the financial statements. However, we cannot presently determine whether or not any claims against us will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in any future reporting period. See note 12.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors as previously discussed in Item 1A, to Part I of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- a.) Not applicable.
- b.) Not applicable.
- c.) The following table discloses information regarding the repurchase of shares of common stock during the quarter ending June 30, 2013:

Month	Number of shares purchased		Average price paid per share		Total number of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced repurchase plan (1)	Maximum number of shares yet to be purchased under the plan (1)	
April	74,600	\$	12.0	1	74,600	1,343,389	
May	294,200		12.1	1	294,200	1,049,189	

June			1,049,189
3	368,800 \$	12.09	

Month	Number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced repurchase plan (2)	Maximum number of shares yet to be purchased under the plan (2)
April		\$		5,000,000
May				5,000,000
June				5,000,000
		\$		

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects the program for 4,750,000 shares announced September 26, 2011.

# **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

Not applicable.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects the program for 5,000,000 shares announced December 13, 2012.

Table of Contents
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.
Item 5. Other Information
Not applicable.
Item 6. Exhibits
31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, a adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

### Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2013 By: /s/ William J. Wagner

William J. Wagner

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Duly Authorized Officer)

Date: August 9, 2013 By: /s/ Gerald J. Ritzert

Gerald J. Ritzert

Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer of the

Registrant)

76