

BALCHEM CORP
Form DEF 14A
April 27, 2015

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a 6(e) (2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 14a-12

BALCHEM CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

N/A

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

N/A

5) Total fee paid:

N/A

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid: N/A
 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: N/A
 - 3) Filing Party: N/A
 - 4) Date Filed: N/A
-
-

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JUNE 17, 2015

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting” or the “Meeting”) of Balchem Corporation (the “Company”) will be held at the Park Ridge Marriott, 300 Brae Boulevard, Park Ridge, NJ 07656, on Wednesday, June 17, 2015 at 11:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two Class 2 directors to the Board of Directors to serve until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2018 and thereafter until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015;
3. To hold an advisory (non-binding) vote on the Company’s executive compensation (“Say on Pay”);
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Information with respect to the above matters is set forth in the Proxy Statement, which accompanies this Notice.

The Board of Directors has set April ----22, 2015 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. This means that only stockholders of record at the close of business on that date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

We hope that all stockholders who can conveniently do so will attend the Meeting. Stockholders who do not expect to be able to attend the Meeting are requested to complete, date and sign the enclosed proxy and promptly return the same in the stamped, self-addressed envelope enclosed for your convenience. Stockholders may also submit a proxy over the internet or by phone. Stockholders who are present at the Meeting may withdraw their proxies and vote in person, if they so desire.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Dated: May __, 2015 Dino A. Rossi, Chairman, President & CEO

New Hampton, New York 10958 Tel: 845-326-5600 Fax: 845-326-5702

PROXY STATEMENT

BALCHEM CORPORATION

GENERAL

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors (the “Board of Directors” or the “Board”) of Balchem Corporation (the “Company”) to be voted at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting” or the “Meeting”) in the Park Ridge Marriott, 300 Brae Boulevard, Park Ridge, NJ 07656, on Wednesday, June 17, 2015 at 11:00 a.m., local time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. This Proxy Statement and a proxy card are expected to be sent to stockholders beginning on or about May 6, 2015.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 22, 2015 as the record date (the “Record Date”) for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to consider and vote upon the following matters:

- The election of two Class 2 directors to the Board of Directors to serve until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2018 and thereafter until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
- Ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015;
- Approval on an advisory (non-binding) basis of the Company’s executive compensation (“Say on Pay”); and
- Such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

You can ensure that your shares are voted at the Annual Meeting by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card in the envelope provided. Sending in a signed proxy will not affect your right to attend the Meeting and vote. A stockholder who gives a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by voting in person at the Annual Meeting, by submitting another proxy bearing a later date or by notifying the Inspectors of Election or the Secretary of the Company of such revocation, in writing, prior to the Annual Meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to attend and vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain from the record holder a proxy issued in your name.

If your shares are registered in your name with our transfer agent, you may vote either over the internet or by telephone. Specific instructions for voting in this manner are set forth on the enclosed proxy card. These procedures are designed to authenticate each stockholder’s identity and to allow stockholders to vote their shares and confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded. If your shares are registered in the name of a bank or brokerage firm, you may also be able to vote your shares over the internet or by telephone. A large number of banks and brokerage firms are participating in online programs that allow eligible stockholders to vote over the internet or by telephone. If your bank or brokerage firm is participating in such a program, your voting form will provide instructions. If your voting form does not contain internet or telephone voting information, please complete and return the paper voting form in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided by your bank or brokerage firm.

If you properly specify how a proxy is to be voted, it will be voted accordingly. If you sign a proxy card or voting form but do not provide voting instructions, it will be voted FOR the director nominees, FOR ratification of the appointment of the auditors, FOR approval of the Company’s executive compensation, and at the discretion of the proxy holders with regard to any other matter that may come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Broker non-votes are shares held by brokers or nominees that are present in person or represented by proxy, but are not voted on a particular matter because instructions have not been received from the beneficial owner and the broker or nominee does not have discretion to vote without such instructions. Brokers and nominees generally do not have such discretion when the matter is deemed by the broker voting rules to be “non-routine.” The ratification of the independent registered public accounting firm is considered to be a “routine” matter with respect to which brokers and

nominees have discretion to vote shares held by them in street-name in their discretion absent

2

any instructions received from the beneficial owners of such shares. Brokers and nominees do not have such discretion with respect to the election of directors or Say on Pay.

Proxies may be solicited, without additional compensation, by directors, officers and other regular employees of the Company by telephone, email, fax or in person. All expenses incurred in connection with this solicitation will be borne by the Company. Brokers, nominees, fiduciaries and other custodians have been requested to forward soliciting material to the beneficial owners of Common Stock held of record by them, and such custodians will be reimbursed for their reasonable expenses.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

The Company's Proxy Statement and Annual Report to stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2014 are available at <http://proxymaterials.balchem.com>.

PROPOSAL NO. 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's Bylaws provide for a staggered term Board of Directors consisting of six (6) members, with the classification of the Board of Directors into three classes (Class 1, Class 2 and Class 3). The term of the two current Class 2 directors will expire at the Annual Meeting. The Class 1 and Class 3 directors will remain in office until their terms expire, at the annual meetings of stockholders to be held in the years 2016 and 2017, respectively.

Accordingly, at the 2015 Annual Meeting, two Class 2 directors are to be elected to hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2018 and thereafter until their successors have been elected and qualified. The nominees are listed below with brief biographies and are currently directors and have been nominated for election after due consideration by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board. The Board is not aware of any reason why any such nominee may be unable to serve as a director. If any, some or all of such nominees are unable to serve, the shares represented by all valid proxies will be voted for the election of such other person or persons, as the case may be, as the Board may recommend, or the Board may amend the Company's Bylaws to reduce the size of the Board.

Vote Required to Elect Directors

Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), boxes and a designated blank space are provided on the form of proxy for stockholders to mark if they wish to vote in favor of or withhold authority to vote for the Company's nominees for director.

A director nominee must receive a plurality of the votes cast at the Meeting, assuming a quorum is present. This means that a broker non-vote or a vote withheld from a particular nominee will not affect the outcome of the election of directors. However, we have adopted a majority vote policy, as described below.

If for any reason any such named nominee should not be available as a candidate for director, the proxies will be voted in accordance with the authority conferred in the proxy for such other candidate as may be nominated by the Company's Board of Directors.

Majority Vote Policy

In 2012, the Board of Directors amended the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and adopted a Director Resignation Policy. This policy provides that if a nominee for director in an uncontested election receives a greater number of "withhold" votes for election than "for" votes ("Majority of Withhold"), that director shall promptly tender to the Board his or her resignation from the Board of Directors. Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will then make a recommendation to the Board whether to accept or reject the resignation tendered by such director or whether other action is necessary.

Our Board will act on the tendered resignation, taking into account the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee as well as other potentially relevant factors, within 90 days from the date of the certification of the election results. The director whose resignation is under consideration is not permitted to participate in the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee or deliberations of the Board with respect to his or her resignation. If a director's resignation is accepted by our Board, the Board may fill the resulting vacancy or may amend the Company's Bylaws to decrease the size of the Board.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.balchem.com.

Nominees for Election as Director

Paul D. Coombs, age 59, a Class 2 director whose current term expires in 2015, was appointed to our Board of Directors in September 2010. From April 2005 until his retirement in June 2007, Mr. Coombs served as the Executive Vice President of Strategic Initiatives for Tetra Technologies, Inc. (NYSE), an oil and gas services company, and from May 2001 to April 2005, as its Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. From January 1994 to May 2001, Mr. Coombs served as Tetra's Executive Vice President – Oil & Gas. Mr. Coombs is a director of Tetra and is a member of its Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Coombs also serves as a director of CSI Compressco GP Inc. and the general partner of CSI Compressco LP (NASDAQ), a publicly traded limited partnership, both of which are subsidiaries of Tetra. Mr. Coombs has thirty years of experience in the oil and gas service and exploration industries, which, together with his entrepreneurial approach to management, provides the Board of Directors with essential counsel and insight into this area.

Edward L. McMillan, age 69, a Class 2 director whose current term expires in 2015, has been a director of the Company since February 2003. Mr. McMillan owns and manages McMillan, LLC, a transaction-consulting firm that provides strategic consulting services and facilitates mergers and/or acquisitions predominantly to the food and agribusiness industry sectors. From 1988 to 1996, he was President and CEO of Purina Mills, Inc., where he was involved for approximately 28 years in various senior level positions in marketing, strategic planning, and business segment management. Mr. McMillan is also Chair of the Board of Trustees for the University of Illinois, which has campuses in Champaign-Urbana, Chicago, and Springfield, Illinois, and is also Chair of the University of Illinois Research Park, L.L.C. in Champaign, Illinois. Mr. McMillan's background, experience and continued involvement in the agribusiness industry are of particular value to our Board of Directors.

UPON RECOMMENDATION BY THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND NOMINATING COMMITTEE, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY RECOMMENDS A VOTE 'FOR' THE ELECTION OF THE ABOVE NOMINEES AS DIRECTORS.

Directors Not Standing For Election

David B. Fischer, age 52, a Class 3 director whose current term expires in 2017, was appointed as a director of the Company in September 2010. He is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Greif, Inc. (NYSE), a supplier of industrial packing systems, and has been in this position since November 2011. He has also been a member of the Greif Board of Directors since November 2011. From 2007 to 2011, Mr. Fischer was the President and Chief Operating Officer of Greif, and from 2004 to 2007, Mr. Fischer served as Greif's Senior Vice President and Divisional President, Industrial Packaging & Services - Americas. He is currently a member of the Board of Directors of Ingredion Incorporated (NASDAQ). Mr. Fischer holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Purdue University. Mr. Fischer's management and leadership skills, developed over years of responsibility for complex, global manufacturing operations, and his intimate knowledge of mergers and acquisitions, position him as a critical component of our Board of Directors, as we look to grow both organically and by acquisition.

Perry W. Premdas, age 62, a Class 3 director whose current term expires in 2017, was appointed as a director of the Company in January 2008. He is currently retired. From 1999 to 2004, Mr. Premdas was Chief Financial Officer of Celanese AG, a chemical and plastics business spun-off by Hoechst AG and listed on the Frankfurt stock exchange and the NYSE. He was Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Centeon LLC from 1997 to 1998. Over his career, he has led treasury, finance, audit and investor relations functions of US and international companies and had general manager, executive and director roles in various wholly-owned and joint venture operations. Mr. Premdas holds a BA from Brown University and an MBA from the Harvard University Graduate School of Business. He is currently a member of the Board of Directors of Compass Minerals International, Inc. (NYSE). During the last five years, he also served as a director of Fresenius Kabi Pharmaceuticals Holding, Inc. (NASDAQ) and Ferro Corporation (NYSE). Mr. Premdas has been our Audit Committee Chairman and the Board of Director's audit committee financial expert since 2008. The Company's financial compliance programs and policies

benefit from Mr. Premdas' particular input and skilled guidance. Mr. Premdas' combination of financial and international business management experience make him a valuable member of our Board of Directors.

5

Dr. John Y. Televantos, age 62, a Class 3 director whose current term expires in 2017, has been a director since February 2005, and lead director since August 2010. Dr. Televantos is a Partner at Arsenal Capital Partners, Inc., a private equity investment firm, where he leads the Chemicals and Materials practice of the firm. Dr. Televantos was formerly with Hercules, Inc., a chemical manufacturing company, as President of the Aqualon Division and as Vice President of Hercules, Inc. from April 2002 through February 2005. Dr. Televantos holds B.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Chemical Engineering from the University of London, United Kingdom. In addition to Dr. Televantos' experience in the chemical manufacturing industry and management of publicly traded chemical manufacturing entities, Dr. Televantos is also significantly involved in private equity markets and processes involving chemical manufacturing companies. Collectively, these make Dr. Televantos a valuable member of the Board of Directors.

Dino A. Rossi, age 60, a Class 1 director whose current term expires in 2016, has been a director of the Company since 1997 and Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors since February 2007. Mr. Rossi has been President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since October 1997, Chief Financial Officer of the Company from April 1996 to January 2004 and Treasurer of the Company from June 1996 to June 2003. He was Vice President, Finance and Administration of Norit Americas Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Norit N.V., a Dutch chemicals company, from January 1994 to February 1996, and Vice President, Finance and Administration of Oakite Products Inc., a specialty chemicals company, from 1987 to 1993. Mr. Rossi served as a director of Scientific Learning Corporation (NASDAQ) from February 2010 to August 2012. Mr. Rossi's years of experience as the primary source of corporate and operational leadership for the Company and his experience with other manufacturing entities make him a valuable member of our Board of Directors.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors has made an affirmative determination that each of the Company's directors, other than Mr. Rossi, is independent, as such term is defined under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

Meeting Attendance

During fiscal 2014, the Board of Directors held five regular meetings and four special meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board held when he was a director and of the meetings of those Committees of the Board on which he served.

The Company has a policy to strongly encourage directors to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. Historically, attendance has been excellent. Five members of the Board of Directors attended the Company's 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Company's Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee, Executive Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Board of Directors appoints the members of each Committee. In 2014, the Audit Committee held three regular meetings and four telephonic or special meetings and each of the Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee held three meetings. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2014.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is directly responsible for appointing, compensating and overseeing the work of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the Company's financial reporting, internal controls and procedures, and audit functions. The primary duties and responsibilities of the Audit Committee are to (i) monitor the integrity of the Company's financial reporting process and systems of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, and legal compliance, (ii) monitor the independence, qualifications and performance of the Company's independent auditors, and (iii) provide an avenue of communication among the independent auditors, management

and the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee also monitors and, if

6

necessary, investigates, reports made to the Company's hotline dedicated for the notification of potential financial fraud under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Responsibilities, activities and independence of the Audit Committee are discussed in greater detail under the section of this Proxy Statement entitled "Audit Committee Report."

The Board of Directors of the Company has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.balchem.com. The current members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Premdas (Chair), Coombs, Fischer and McMillan. The Board of Directors of the Company has determined that the Audit Committee Chairman, Mr. Premdas, qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert," as defined by SEC rules, and that all members of the Audit Committee are "independent" under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules and SEC independence requirements applicable to audit committee members.

Compensation Committee. The duties of the Compensation Committee are, among other things, to (i) review, approve and recommend to the Board of Directors for approval a compensation program, including incentives, for the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and senior executives of the Company (the CEO may not be present during deliberations or voting on his compensation), (ii) recommend to the Board of Directors for approval the compensation of directors, and (iii) administer the Company's equity compensation plans, including the 1999 Stock Plan, as amended on June 20, 2013, for officers, directors, directors emeritus and employees of and consultants to the Company and its subsidiaries (referred to in this Proxy Statement as the "1999 Stock Plan" or the "Stock Plan").

The Board of Directors of the Company has adopted a written charter for the Compensation Committee, which is available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.balchem.com. The current members of the Compensation Committee are Dr. Televantos (Chair) and Messrs. Fischer and McMillan, each of whom is independent, as such term is defined under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The duties of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are, among other things, to (i) consider and make recommendations to the Board concerning the appropriate size, function and needs of the Board, (ii) determine the criteria for Board membership, oversee searches and evaluate and recommend candidates for election to the Board, (iii) evaluate and recommend to the Board responsibilities of the Board committees, (iv) annually review and assess the adequacy of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and recommend any changes to the Board for adoption, (v) annually evaluate its own performance as well as oversee an annual self-evaluation of the Board and other Board Committees, (vi) oversee compliance with the Company's Stock Ownership Policies, (vii) consider matters of corporate social responsibility and corporate public affairs related to the Company's employees and stockholders, (viii) recruit and evaluate new candidates for nomination by the full Board for election as directors, (ix) prepare and update an orientation program for new directors, (x) evaluate the performance of current directors in connection with the expiration of their term in office providing advice to the full Board as to nomination for reelection, and (xi) annually review and recommend policies on director retirement age. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee's role with respect to the Company's risk oversight is discussed under the section, "Board Role in Risk Oversight" below.

The Board of Directors of the Company has adopted a written charter for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, which is available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.balchem.com. The current members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are Messrs. McMillan (Chair), Premdas and Coombs and Dr. Televantos, each of whom is independent, as such term is defined under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is authorized to exercise all the powers of the Board of Directors in the interim between meetings of the Board, subject to the limitations imposed by Maryland law. The Executive Committee is also responsible for: (i) the recruitment, evaluation and selection of suitable candidates for the position of CEO, for approval by the full Board; (ii) the preparation, together with the Compensation Committee, of objective criteria for the evaluation of the performance of the CEO; and (iii) reviewing the CEO's plan of succession for key executives of the Company. The current members of the Executive Committee are Dr. Televantos (Chair), Mr.

Fischer and Mr. McMillan.

7

Nominations of Directors

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee considers re-nominating incumbent directors who continue to satisfy the Company's criteria for membership on the Board; whom the Board believes will continue to make contributions to the Board; and who consent to continue their service on the Board. If the incumbent directors are not nominated for re-election or if there is otherwise a vacancy on the Board, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will solicit recommendations for nominees from persons that they believe are likely to be familiar with qualified candidates, including Board members and members of management. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee may also determine to engage a professional search firm to assist in identifying qualified candidates. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee also considers independent director candidates recommended by one or more substantial, long-term stockholders. Generally, stockholders who individually or as a group hold 5% or more of the Company's common stock and have continued to do so for over one year will be considered substantial, long-term stockholders. In order to be considered by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, the names of such nominees, accompanied by relevant biographical information, must be properly submitted, in writing, to the Secretary of the Company by the deadline for including shareholder proposals in the Company's proxy materials as set forth below in "Stockholder Proposals for 2016 Annual Meeting." Stockholder nominations that comply with these procedures and that meet the criteria outlined above will receive the same consideration that other candidates receive.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board have adopted guidelines for identifying or evaluating nominees for directors, including incumbent directors and nominees recommended by stockholders. The Company's current policy is to require that a majority of the Board of Directors be independent; at least four of the directors have the financial literacy necessary for service on the audit committee and at least one of these directors qualifies as an audit committee financial expert. In addition, directors may not serve on the boards of more than three other public companies without the approval of the Board of Directors and directors must satisfy the Company's age limit policy for directors, which require that a director retire at the conclusion of his or her term in which he or she reaches the age of 70. The guidelines for nomination for a position on the Board of Directors provide for the selection of nominees based on the nominee's skills, achievements and knowledge, and also contemplate that the following will be considered, among other things, in selecting nominees: experience and skills in areas critical to understanding the Company and its business; personal characteristics, such as integrity and judgment; and the candidate's ability to commit to the Board of Directors of the Company. Members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (and/or the Board) also meet personally with each nominee to evaluate the candidate's ability to work effectively with other members of the Board, while also exercising independent judgment. Although the Board does not have a formal diversity policy, the Board endeavors to comprise itself of members with a broad mix of professional and personal backgrounds. Further, in considering nominations, the Committee takes into account how a candidate's professional background would fit into the mix of experiences represented by the then-current Board.

Lead Director

The Board of Directors has had a Lead Director since 2005. Dr. Televantos has been the Lead Director since August 2010. The Lead Director functions, in general, to reinforce the independence of the Board of Directors of the Company, and is appointed on a rotating basis from the independent directors. The Lead Director serves at the pleasure of the Board and, in any event, only so long as that person shall be an independent director of the Company. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews annually the functions of the Lead Director and recommends to the Board any changes that it considers appropriate. The Lead Director provides a source of Board leadership complementary to that of the Chairman of the Board. The Lead Director is responsible for, among other things, (i) working with the Chairman and other directors to set agendas for Board meetings; (ii) providing leadership in times of crisis together with the Executive Committee; (iii) reviewing the individual performance of each of the directors with the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee; (iv) chairing regular meetings of independent Board members without management present (executive sessions); (v) acting as liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman; and (vi) chairing Board meetings when the Chairman is not in attendance.

Current Board Leadership Structure

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews the functioning of the Board and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the CEO, Chairman of the Board and Lead Director, in the manner in which it determines to be in the best interests of our stockholders, which is consistent with the Corporate Governance Guidelines adopted by the Company. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.balchem.com. Since 2007, the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO have been held by the same person. The Board and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee currently believe that the Company and its stockholders are best served by having Mr. Rossi serve in both positions. He is most familiar with our business and the unique challenges the Company faces in the current environment and is best situated to lead and focus discussions on those critical matters affecting the Company, which eliminates ineffective and unproductive meetings. In addition, the combination of the Chairman and the CEO position succeeds because of the engaged, knowledgeable involvement of our Board of Directors in combination with our culture of open communication with the CEO and senior management, enabling the CEO to be an effective conduit between management and the Board. This structure's effectiveness is dependent upon the active function of the Lead Director, who provides and confirms the necessary independence in the functioning of the Board.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

While our Board provides direct risk oversight, responsibility for risk oversight is primarily administered through the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and, to a certain extent, through the Audit Committee. The Board and both of these Committees regularly discuss with management our major risk exposures, their potential financial impact on the Company and the management thereof. In particular, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee receives, or arranges for the Board of Directors to receive, periodic reports from management on areas of material risk to the Company, including operational, financial, legal, regulatory and strategic risks, with the Audit Committee focusing on areas of financial risk. The Company does not have a chief risk officer; therefore, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Audit Committee receive these reports from the member of management tasked with the responsibility to understand, manage and mitigate the particular risks. The Chairman of the relevant Committee reports on the discussion to the full Board during the Committee reports portion of the next Board meeting, which enables the Board and its Committees to coordinate the risk oversight role, particularly with respect to cross-discipline risks and interrelated risks. The Company believes that our Board leadership structure is not related to how the Board addresses risk oversight. The Compensation Committee also evaluates risk, as such relates to our compensation program. Please refer to the discussion in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the section "Risk Considerations in our Compensation Program".

Communicating With the Board of Directors

Members of the Board and executive officers are accessible by mail in care of the Company. Any matter intended for the Board, or for any individual member or members of the Board, should be directed to the General Counsel with a request to forward the communication to the intended recipient. In the alternative, stockholders can direct correspondence to the Board via the Chairman, or to the attention of the Lead Director, in care of the Company at the Company's principal executive office address, 52 Sunrise Park Road, New Hampton, NY 10958. The Company will forward such communications, unless of an obviously inappropriate nature, to the intended recipient.

Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors

The Company's independent directors meet regularly in executive sessions following each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors. These executive sessions are presided over by the Lead Director. The independent directors presently consist of all current directors except Mr. Rossi.

Executive Officers

Set forth below is certain information concerning the executive officers of the Company (other than Mr. Rossi, whose background is described above under the caption "Directors Not Standing For Election").

William A. Backus, CPA, age 49, has been Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since June 2014. He was Chief Accounting Officer and Assistant Treasurer of the Company since June 2011, and was Controller of the Company from January 2006 to June 2011. He was Controller of Stewart EFI, LLC, a precision metal component manufacturer, from 1999 through 2005.

Frank J. Fitzpatrick, CPA, age 54, has been Vice President, Administration since June 2014. He was Chief Financial Officer of the Company from January 2004 to June 2014 and Treasurer of the Company from June 2003 to June 2014, and was Controller of the Company from April 1997 to January 2004. He was Director of Financial Operations/Controller of Alliance Pharmaceutical Corp., a pharmaceuticals company, from September 1989 through March 1997.

Matthew D. Houston, age 51, has been General Counsel of the Company since January 2005 and Secretary since June 2005. He was General Counsel and Secretary of Eximias Pharmaceutical Corporation, a privately held corporation, from 2001 to 2004. Mr. Houston also held several internal counsel positions at BASF Corporation, from 1994 to 2001. Mr. Houston received a Juris Doctor degree from Saint Louis University.

David F. Ludwig, age 57, has been Vice President and General Manager, Specialty Products since July 1999 and an executive officer of the Company since June 2000. He was Vice President and General Manager of Scott Specialty Gases, a manufacturer of high purity gas products and specialty gas blends, from September 1997 to June 1999. From 1986 to 1997 he held various international and domestic sales and marketing positions with Engelhard Corporation's Pigments and Additives Division.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer, Treasurer and Corporate Controller. The Company has also adopted a Business Ethics Policy applicable to its employees and a further Policy Statement which confirms that, as and when appropriate, the Business Ethics Policy and the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers are applicable to the Company's directors and officers. Any waiver of any provision in the Code of Ethics or Business Ethics Policy in favor of members of the Board or in favor of executive officers may be made only by the Board. Any such waiver, and any amendment to such Code, will be publicly disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K. The Code of Ethics and Business Ethics Policy and further Policy Statement are available on the Corporate Governance page in the Investor Relations section of the Company's website, www.balchem.com.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's directors and executive officers and holders of more than 10% of the Company's Common Stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of any subsequent changes in ownership of Common Stock and other equity securities of the Company. Specific due dates for these reports have been established and the Company is required to disclose any failure to file by these dates.

Based upon a review of such reports furnished to the Company, or written representations that no reports were required, the Company believes that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, its officers and directors and holders of more than 10% of the Company's Common Stock timely complied with Section 16(a) filing date requirements with respect to transactions during such year.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Messrs. Fischer and McMillan and Dr. Televantos, each of whom is a director of the Company, served as the members of the Compensation Committee during 2014. None of Messrs. Fischer or McMillan or Dr. Televantos (i) was, during the last completed fiscal year, an officer or employee of the Company, (ii) was formerly an officer of the Company or (iii) had any relationship requiring disclosure by the Company under Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. During 2014, there were no interlocking relationships between the Company's Board of Directors or Compensation Committee, or the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company that are required to be disclosed under Item 407 of Regulation S-K.

Compensation Committee and Processes

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, our Compensation Committee held primary responsibility for determining executive compensation levels. The Compensation Committee is composed of three independent directors. The Compensation Committee solicits, receives and analyzes compensation recommendations from Company management and consultants to determine each facet of the compensation for our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also administers our 1999 Stock Plan. The Compensation Committee solicits input from our CEO with respect to the performance of our executive officers and their compensation levels no less than once per calendar year, usually in the first quarter.

The members of our Compensation Committee have extensive and varied experience with various public and private corporations - as investors and stockholders, as senior executives, and as directors charged with the oversight of management and the setting of executive compensation levels. In addition to the extensive experience and expertise of the Compensation Committee's members and their familiarity with the Company's performance and the performance of our executive officers, the Compensation Committee is able to draw on the experience of other directors and on various legal and accounting executives employed by the Company, and the Compensation Committee has access to readily available public information regarding executive compensation structure and the establishment of appropriate compensation levels.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

General Compensation Objectives and Guidelines

The Company's overall compensation philosophy has been to offer competitive salaries, cash incentives, equity awards and benefit plans consistent with peer entities, while considering the Company's financial performance. Rewarding key employees who contribute to the continued success of the Company through cash compensation and equity participation are key elements of the Company's compensation policy. The Company's executive compensation policy is to attract and retain key executives necessary for the Company's short and long-term success by establishing a direct link between executive compensation and the performance of the Company, by rewarding individual initiative and the achievement of annual corporate goals through salary and cash bonus awards, and by providing equity awards, wherein executives are incentivized to generate enhanced stockholder value. To effectuate this philosophy, the Compensation Committee favors a "pay for performance" approach. As a result, our compensation program contains a mix of stable and at risk compensation components, where a significant percentage of executive compensation is tied to individual and corporate performance.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2014, amongst other proposals, our stockholders overwhelmingly approved (on a non-binding basis) our compensation program for the Named Executive Officers as was presented in the 2014 Proxy Statement. As stated in our 2014 Proxy Statement, we will continue to hold annual non-binding votes of our stockholders regarding the approval of our executive compensation program.

Compensation Committee Methodology

The CEO recommends to the Compensation Committee the amount of total annual compensation for each of the other Named Executive Officers. The CEO completes an annual performance assessment for each of the other Named Executive Officers, which is reviewed and considered by the Compensation Committee in its deliberations of compensation amounts. The Compensation Committee conducts an annual performance appraisal of the CEO based on evaluation information solicited from each of the independent members of the Board of Directors, and recommends to the Board of Directors the annual compensation package for the CEO. In determining the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers for 2014, including the compensation of the CEO, the Compensation Committee considered a number of quantitative and qualitative performance factors. The Committee's considerations consisted of, but were not limited to, analysis of the following factors: financial performance of the Company, including return on equity, return on assets, growth of the Company, management of assets, liabilities, capital, liquidity and risk. The Compensation Committee endeavors to balance short-term and long-term performance of the Company and cumulative shareholder value when establishing performance criteria for each of the Named Executive Officers and for the management team as a group. In formulating total compensation, the Committee also considers intangible factors such as: the scope of responsibility of the executive; leadership within the Company, the community and the applicable industries in which the Company engages; and the enhancement of shareholder value. All of these factors are considered in the context of the market for the Company's products and services, and the complexity and difficulty of managing business risks in the prevailing economic conditions and regulatory environment. The analysis is conducted with respect to each of the Named Executive Officers, including the CEO. The Compensation Committee believes that the total compensation provided to the Company's Named Executive Officers is competitive and has been demonstrated as effective. Details regarding the compensation of each of the Named Executive Officers are set forth in the tables that follow.

Compensation Consultants

The Compensation Committee has authority to engage attorneys, accountants and consultants, including executive compensation consultants, to solicit input concerning compensation matters, and to delegate any of its responsibilities

to one or more directors or members of management, where it deems such delegation appropriate and permitted under applicable law.

12

In 2014, the Compensation Committee retained Towers Watson to provide survey data and advice on market trends in executive compensation. This work enabled the Compensation Committee to: (1) confirm that the Company's executive compensation program is competitive, and (2) discuss alternative program designs. With respect to the engagement of Towers Watson, the Compensation Committee considered each of the six independence factors adopted by the SEC and NASDAQ under Exchange Act Rule 10C-1 and concluded that Towers Watson was independent and that its services to the Compensation Committee did not raise any conflict of interest. Towers Watson's work in 2014 focused on an analysis of the overall competitiveness of our executive compensation program. In prior years, we have reviewed compensation data for an industry peer group, but in 2014 the Committee reviewed only published compensation survey data.

Towers Watson's 2014 benchmarking of our compensation program related to the following pay elements: base salary, annual incentives, total cash compensation, equity-based compensation, and total direct compensation. Benchmarking data was compiled from general industry data from Towers Watson's Top Management Compensation Survey, which was adjusted to our revenue size. The Company believes that the survey data is representative for executive compensation benchmarking purposes. As a general rule, from time to time, we intend to retain outside compensation consultants that will provide benchmarking data, which will continue to include published survey data and may include "peer group" data.

In 2014, the Compensation Committee also retained the Mercer Group, Inc. to review and provide consulting expertise regarding the Company's Long Term Compensation Program.

Benchmarks

While compensation survey data and benchmarking are useful guides for comparative purposes, we believe that a successful compensation program also requires the application of judgment and subjective determinations, particularly with respect to individual performance. Accordingly, our Compensation Committee applies its judgment to adjust and align each individual element of our compensation program with the broader objectives of the program. For example, we consider other factors, including, but not limited to, the Company's historical compensation trends; recommendations of the CEO; the performance of the Company, its operating units and their respective executives; market factors such as the health of the economy and of the industries served by the Company; the availability of executive talent; executives' length of service; and internal assessments and recommendations regarding particular executives. The compensation survey analysis for 2014 was not aimed at establishing exact benchmarks for our compensation program, but rather to provide a point of reference and a "reality check" to obtain a general understanding of the current compensation levels of companies of approximately our size in industries in which we operate.

The results of the analysis of the compensation survey, as well as the other sources consulted, showed that the Company's executive base compensation is below the market median, and the Company's total compensation levels are consistent with the market median compensation levels giving consideration to equity awards and at-risk/performance compensation. In addition, Towers Watson's assessment confirmed that the relationship of the total compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Named Executive Officers is within standards identified by prominent proxy advisors and credit organizations as appropriate.

Base Salary

Base salary represents the fixed component of the executive compensation program. The base annual salaries we provide to our executive officers are intended as compensation for each executive officer's ongoing contributions to the performance of the area(s) for which they are responsible. Base salary also impacts annual incentive cash bonus amounts and long term compensation, because they are based on a percentage of base salary.

In keeping with our compensation philosophy to attract and retain individuals of high quality, executive officer base salaries have been set to be competitive with base salaries paid to executive officers of comparable companies as

referenced above. The Compensation Committee also considers: experience and industry knowledge of the Named Executive Officers; the quality and effectiveness of their leadership at the Company; performance relative to total compensation; internal pay equity among the Named Executive Officers and other Company senior

executives; historical considerations; company strategy; retention factors and input from our CEO regarding individual performance.

The base annual salary levels of each of our executive officers are reviewed annually and adjusted from time to time to recognize individual performance, promotions, competitive compensation levels, retention requirements, internal pay equity, overall budgetary considerations and other qualitative factors. As shown below in “Executive Compensation - Summary Compensation Table,” in 2014, the Compensation Committee increased the base salaries of the Named Executive Officers as a result of overall Company and individual performance in 2013.

Cash Based Incentives

Bonuses represent a variable, at-risk, component of the executive compensation program that is tied to both Company performance and individual achievement. The Company’s policy is to base a meaningful portion of its executive officers’ cash compensation on bonus opportunities. In determining bonuses, the Company considers factors such as the individual’s contribution to the Company’s performance and the relative performance of the Company during the year.

At the end of each calendar year, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approves an Incentive Compensation Program for the succeeding calendar year (the “ICP”). The ICP provides for the awarding of cash bonus compensation to executive officers and certain other employees, based upon objective levels of achievement of specific goals established for the particular officer or employee, and for the weighting of those goals to determine the amount of the bonus. The goals require an individual to stretch beyond his or her defined job description responsibilities.

The process of establishing applicable goals requires a well-defined annual business plan and targets defined therein from which most ICP goals are measured. Our annual business plan evolves from our corporate strategic plan and is approved by the Board of Directors each December for the following fiscal year. Individual goals under the ICP are a composite of certain corporate goals and key segment/individual objectives; however, no bonuses, cash or otherwise, are required to be paid under the ICP unless the Company attains at least 90% of a target minimum consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”). The Compensation Committee established such target level of EBITDA for the 2014 calendar year as part of the approval of the ICP for that year, based, amongst other things, upon the Company’s preliminary results of operations for the 2013 calendar year and did not contemplate any 2014 acquisition activity. The Company’s 2014 target EBITDA was set at \$81,500,000, which was nearly a 7% improvement over 2013 estimated EBITDA. In addition, notwithstanding the general requirement that 90% of a target minimum EBITDA must be attained for any bonuses to be paid under the ICP, the Compensation Committee, in its discretion, set the target minimum level at which any bonuses would be paid under the ICP at 95% for 2014.

In addition to the EBITDA target goal, each ICP participant typically has 4-6 ICP goals, each of which constitutes a portion of the individual’s target ICP bonus. ICP target bonuses are based upon a percentage of each executive officer’s base yearly salary. The ICP target bonus for Mr. Rossi is 100% of his annual base salary; for Mr. Backus, 40% of his annual base salary; for Mr. Fitzpatrick, 45% of his annual base salary; for Mr. Ludwig, 35% of his annual base salary; and for Mr. Houston, 25% of his annual base salary. These percentages were selected because the Compensation Committee believes that they are consistent with the custom and practice of industry peers and are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent. The Compensation Committee may, in its discretion, approve cash based bonuses when ICP goals are not met, if it believes there has nevertheless been exceptional segment or individual performance.

Each ICP goal is weighted as determined by the Compensation Committee. The value or weight placed on each individual ICP goal depends heavily upon the degree to which the goal will help us meet our annual plan; the relative degree of difficulty, creativity or involvement required to achieve the goal; the intrinsic value of the goal, i.e., magnitude of income enhancement or cost savings; and/or milestones for certain longer term strategic objectives. The

Compensation Committee identifies a range of completion for each ICP goal: a target performance; a minimum or threshold performance; a “stretch” performance; and a maximum or over-achievement performance. Achievement of the target goal, or target performance, entitles the executive to 100% of that portion of the target ICP bonus, determined by the weight ascribed to the particular ICP goal. Minimum or threshold performance

Edgar Filing: BALCHEM CORP - Form DEF 14A

entitles the executive to 50% of that portion of the target bonus. "Stretch" performance entitles the executive to 130% of that portion of the target bonus, while achievement of the maximum or over-achievement performance entitles the executive to 200% of the applicable portion of the ICP target bonus. Bonus amounts are interpolated for performance between these amounts.

The following table sets forth the individual ICP goals for bonus cash compensation for each of the Named Executive Officers, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, together with the corresponding percentage weight of each goal as such related to total ICP bonus for each individual, the performance level necessary to attain each payout level and the total ICP cash bonus earned by the individual. A discussion of the extent to which each executive met each of his ICP goals follows the table.

Named Executive Officers' 2014 ICP Goals and Performance

NEO	ICP Goal	Weight	Performance Goals				2014 ICP Award
			Thresh.	Target	Stretch	Max	
Dino A. Rossi	Corporate EBITDA	45 %	\$77.7M	\$ 81.5 M	\$ 88.0 M	\$94.0 M	\$580,028
	Acquisition Strategy	55 %	1	2	3	4	
William A. Backus	Corporate EBITDA	20 %	\$77.7M	\$ 81.5 M	\$ 88.0 M	\$94.0 M	\$71,566
	Cash Flow	15 %	\$45.9M	\$ 48.5 M	\$ 52.9 M	\$57.0 M	
	Acquisition Strategy	20 %	1	2	3	4	
	EPS	10 %	\$1.47	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.66	\$1.79	
	Return on Assets (Consolidated)	15 %	33.5%	34.0 %	37.1 %	40.0 %	
	Budget Solution	20 %	8/1/14	7/1/14	6/1/14	5/1/14	
Francis J. Fitzpatrick	Corporate EBITDA	30 %	\$77.7M	\$ 81.5 M	\$ 88.0 M	\$94.0 M	\$105,929
	Cash Flow	15 %	\$45.9M	\$ 48.5 M	\$ 52.9 M	\$57.0 M	
	Acquisition Strategy	45 %	1	2	3	4	
	Return on Assets (Consolidated)	10 %	33.5%	34.0 %	37.1 %	40.0 %	
David F. Ludwig	Corporate EBITDA	10 %	\$77.7M	\$ 81.5 M	\$ 88.0 M	\$94.0 M	\$69,796
	ARC Sales	20 %	\$52.0M	\$ 53.1 M	\$ 57.1 M	\$60.1 M	
	ARC NIBIT	25 %	\$20.6M	\$ 21.1 M	\$ 22.6 M	\$24.0 M	
	ARC Return on Assets	15 %	90.0%	92.1 %	99.0 %	106.0 %	
	*	15 %	7/1/14	6/1/14	4/1/14	2/15/14	
	*	15 %	N/ A	11/30/14	10/31/14	9/30/14	
Matthew D. Houston	Corporate EBITDA	30 %	\$77.7M	\$ 81.5 M	\$ 88.0 M	\$94.0 M	\$49,953
	Control Outside Legal Expenses	15 %	\$130 K	\$ 120 K	\$ 110 K	-	
	Strategic Legal Transaction	25 %	1	2	3	4	
	Social Media Policy	15 %	10/1/14	7/1/14	6/1/14	-	
	Complete Critical Manufacturing Agreement and Related Requirements	15 %	N/ A	12/31/14	N/ A	-	

* Omitted as not material and in any event disclosure would likely cause competitive harm to the Company. The omitted target was deemed difficult to achieve in light of the comparison thereof with historical and projected metrics.

2014 ICP Discussion

As set forth in the table above, based on the overall assessment of the performance of the Company and the named executive officer against ICP goals, the Compensation Committee made the following determinations for fiscal year 2014:

15

Corporate EBITDA. The Compensation Committee determined that the Company achieved EBITDA of \$82.8M (excludes the EBITDA contribution from the acquisition of SensoryEffects on May 7, 2014). The Compensation Committee therefore determined that each of the Named Executive Officers earned 122.5% of that portion of their ICP target bonus and satisfied the minimum level for payment of ICP bonuses.

Cash Flow. The Compensation Committee determined that the amount of free cash generated on a consolidated basis, including assumptions, was \$50.3M. The Compensation Committee therefore determined that Mr. Backus and Mr. Fitzpatrick earned 112.3% of that portion of their ICP target bonus.

Mr. Rossi. The Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Rossi earned the following percentages of his ICP goals for 2014: (i) acquisition strategy - 50%.

Mr. Backus. The Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Backus earned the following percentages of his ICP goals for 2014: (i) acquisition strategy – 50%; (ii) EPS – 113.8%; (iii) (return on assets (consolidated) – 124%; and (iv) budget solution – 0%.

Mr. Fitzpatrick. The Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Fitzpatrick earned the following percentages of his ICP goals for 2014: (i) acquisition strategy - 50%; (ii) return on assets (consolidated) – 124%.

Mr. Ludwig. The Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Ludwig earned the following percentages of his ICP goals for 2014: (i) ARC sales - 107%; (ii) ARC NIBIT – 111%; (iii) ARC return on assets – 127%; (iv) * - 0%; and (v) * - 0%.

Mr. Houston. The Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Houston earned the following percentages of his ICP goals for 2014: (i) control legal expenses - 75%; (ii) strategic legal transaction - 50%; (iii) social media policy - 100%; and (iv) critical manufacturing agreement and related requirements - 100%.

Equity Based Compensation

The Compensation Committee believes that one important goal of the executive compensation program should be to provide executives, key employees — who have significant responsibility for the management, growth and future success of the Company, and directors — with an opportunity for investment in the Company and the incentive advantages inherent in stock ownership in the Company. The goal of this approach is that the interests of the stockholders, executives, employees and directors will be closely aligned.

The Equity Compensation Program, or Long Term Compensation Program (LTCP), is a complementary compensation program to the ICP and awards under the LTCP are determined based upon the relative achievement of individual ICP goals. In general, the LTCP program provides the executive officers the opportunity to earn certain amounts of: (1) options to purchase the Company's common stock, and (2) restricted shares of common stock. The particular amount of equity subject to grant is determined by the level of performance of the individual executive relative to performance of ICP goals. The timing of the grant of equity awards is coordinated with the determination of the cash component of our compensation program under the ICP, which occurs sometime during the first quarter of the calendar year. When equity is granted under the LTCP, it is anticipated that the grant will be approximately 50% stock options and 50% restricted stock, in each case based on the values of the awards. Stock options vest incrementally over three years, and restrictions applicable to restricted stock awards lapse, with respect to shares covered by the award, after four years. The Compensation Committee authorizes equity grants under the LTCP. As with the ICP, equity will not be awarded to LTCP participants if the Company fails to achieve at least 90% (95% in 2014) of its target EBITDA. Please refer to the Cash Based Incentives section above for the discussion of the Company's target EBITDA.

LTCP Process

As set forth above in the Cash Based Incentives section, in January of each calendar year, 4-6 ICP goals are established for each LTCP participant, in accordance with the ICP. Percentage attainment of ICP goals is used in the same manner to determine the amount of equity compensation granted to the LTCP participant under the LTCP. In

addition to the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee reserves the right to designate other key executive employees to be eligible participants in the LTCP, upon the recommendation of the CEO.

The Compensation Committee establishes each LTCP participant's "Target Equity Value", which is the dollar amount of equity the executive can earn upon attainment of the ICP goals at target level performance. The Compensation Committee, having reviewed the "peer group" data, has established "Target Equity Multipliers" (as a percentage of base salary) as set forth below with respect to the positions to which each Target Equity Multiplier corresponds. The Target Equity Multiplier is based upon the Equity Award Level determined by the Compensation Committee, which is related to the individual participant's position in the Company.

Executive	Target Equity Multipliers (of Base Salary)
President & CEO (Dino A. Rossi)	1.50
CFO (William A. Backus)	1.00
VP Administration (Francis J. Fitzpatrick)	1.00
VP/GM Specialty Products (David F. Ludwig)	1.00
General Counsel & Secretary (Matthew D. Houston)	0.70

The applicable Target Equity Multiplier is multiplied by the respective individual LTCP participant's annual base salary to arrive at the Target Equity Value in dollars, which is subject to be earned in equity (50% options and 50% restricted shares) pursuant to the performance of each participant's ICP goals as defined in their ICP. Under the LTCP and in a similar manner to the ICP, the Compensation Committee identifies a range of completion for each ICP goal as applicable to the LTCP: a target performance; a minimum or threshold performance; and a maximum or over-achievement performance. Achievement of the target goal, or target performance, entitles the executive to 100% of that portion of the Target Equity Value, determined by the weight ascribed to the particular ICP goal. Minimum or threshold performance entitles the executive to 50% of that portion of the Target Equity Value, while achievement of the maximum or over-achievement performance entitles the executive to 150% of the applicable portion of the Target Equity Value. Target Equity Value amounts are interpolated for performance between these amounts.

The actual grant of equity occurs in the following February or March (i.e. in 2015 with respect to 2014 ICP goals). The conversion of the Equity Value earned from dollar value to equity in the case of options will be calculated by dividing 50% of the Equity Value earned by the fair value (Black-Scholes) of the Company's common stock on the day of grant. For restricted shares, the remaining 50% of the Equity Value earned is converted to a number of shares based upon the fair value of the common stock on the day of grant (i.e. the day the Compensation Committee approves the individual LTCP equity awards). The Company issued equity awards for 2014 performance on February 19, 2015 under the LTCP as follows in the table below.

Name	Number of Shares of Restricted Stock (#) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Underlying Options (#) ⁽¹⁾	Exercise Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)
Dino A. Rossi	7,467	23,724	\$ 58.52
William A. Backus	1,315	4,178	\$ 58.52
Francis J. Fitzpatrick	2,000	6,354	\$ 58.52
David F. Ludwig	1,695	5,385	\$ 58.52
Matthew D. Houston	1,192	3,787	\$ 58.52

⁽¹⁾Because these equity awards were granted in 2015, the restricted shares and options in this table are not included in the Summary Compensation Table below as 2014 compensation and will be included in the Summary Compensation Table in next year's proxy statement as 2015 compensation.

Stock Ownership Requirements

In 2008, the Company adopted formal stock ownership requirements for its directors and executive officers. According to the policy, directors are required to own shares of the Company's Common Stock at least equal to five times their annual cash retainer and executive officers must own such shares as determined by a multiple of their annual base salary as follows: (1) CEO, three times; (2) Chief Financial Officer, one and one half times; and (3) Vice President/Officer, one times. Both directors and executive officers have five years from the later of the date of the adoption of this policy or from the date of hire or commencement of service as a director, as applicable, to attain the required level of ownership. All directors and officers are currently in compliance with this policy. The Company provides in its insider trading policy that directors and executive officers may not sell Company securities short and may not sell puts, calls or other similar derivative securities tied to our Common Stock.

Employment Agreements

The Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Rossi in 2001. Except for Mr. Rossi, there are no agreements or understandings between the Company and any executive officer which guarantee continued employment or guarantee any level of compensation, including incentive or bonus payments. The Company does not have a written policy regarding employment agreements.

401(k) Retirement/Profit Sharing Plan

The Company sponsors two 401(k) savings plans for eligible employees. The plans allows participants to make pretax contributions and the Company matches certain percentages of those pretax contributions. One of the plans has a discretionary profit sharing portion and the Company match is made with shares of the Company's Common Stock. All amounts contributed to the plan are deposited into a trust fund administered by independent trustees. The plans were merged in January 2015.

The profit-sharing portion of the 401(k) Plan is discretionary and non-contributory. Profit sharing contributions are restricted to non-union employees (including executive officers) who have completed 1,000 hours of service and are employed on the last day of a plan year. The Company has historically contributed, in cash, 3.55% of an eligible participant's base salary or year to date gross earnings, minus benefits, up to the IRS compensation limits. The amount of the Company's contribution to the 401(k) Plan for each of the named executive offices is shown in a footnote to the Summary Compensation Table.

Perquisites

Perquisites are granted to the executive officers occasionally and are generally de minimis and not a material component of compensation.

Mr. Rossi is entitled to the use of an automobile leased by the Company and to be reimbursed for a specified level of premiums for life and disability insurance. He is also entitled to the use of a financial planner, as well as participation in a country club membership for corporate business. The Company pays to insure and maintain Mr. Rossi's automobile, as well as reimburses Mr. Rossi for auto expenses to the extent related to Company business. Messrs. Backus, Fitzpatrick, Ludwig and Houston receive cash allowances associated with the use of their personal automobiles.

Risk Considerations in our Compensation Program

Our Compensation Committee has discussed the concept of risk as it relates to our compensation program and does not believe our compensation program encourages excessive or inappropriate risk taking for the following reasons:

Our compensation consists of both fixed and variable components. The fixed (or salary) portion of compensation is designed to provide a steady income regardless of our stock price performance so that executives do not feel pressured to focus exclusively on stock price performance to the detriment of other important business aspects. The variable (cash bonus and equity) portions of compensation are designed to reward both short and long-term corporate performance. For short-term performance, our cash bonus is awarded based on individual and corporate performance goals or targets. For long-term performance, our stock option awards generally incrementally vest over three years and are only valuable if our stock price increases over time. Our restricted stock grants generally “cliff vest” in four years. We feel that these variable elements of compensation are a sufficient percentage of overall compensation to motivate executives to produce superior short- and long-term corporate results, while the fixed element is also sufficiently high that the executives are not encouraged to take unnecessary or excessive risks in doing so.

Because consolidated Company EBITDA is the contingent factor upon which ICP cash incentive and LTCP equity compensation depends, we believe our executives are encouraged to take a balanced approach that focuses on corporate profitability, rather than other measures such as revenue targets, which may incentivize management to drive sales levels without regard to cost structure. If we are not sufficiently profitable, there are no payouts under the ICP or the LTCP programs.

Even though the same goals are used for both the ICP and LTCP, each executive has a number of goals, further encouraging a balanced approach.

Our ICP and LTCP awards are capped for each participant, which mitigates excessive risk taking. Even if the Company dramatically exceeds its EBITDA target, ICP and LTCP awards are limited. Conversely, there are no ICP or LTCP awards unless minimum performance levels of applicable goals are achieved.

We have stock ownership guidelines, which we believe provide a considerable incentive for management to consider the Company’s long-term interests because a portion of their personal investment portfolio consists of the Company’s stock. In addition, we prohibit all hedging transactions involving our stock so our executives cannot insulate themselves from the effects of poor Company stock price performance.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

In accordance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), the deductibility for federal corporate income tax purposes of compensation paid to certain of our individual executive officers in excess of \$1 million in any year may be restricted. The Compensation Committee considers the impact of Section 162(m) in establishing the structure, performance targets and timing of awards under the 1999 Stock Plan as well as the proportion of cash compensation attributable to base salary and performance based compensation. Although the Compensation Committee plans to evaluate and limit the impact of Section 162(m), it believes that the tax deduction is only one of several relevant considerations in setting compensation. Accordingly, where it is deemed necessary and in the best interests of the Company to attract and retain the best possible executive talent to compete successfully and to motivate such executives to achieve the goals inherent in our business strategy, the Compensation Committee may approve compensation to executive officers which exceeds the deductibility limits or otherwise may not qualify for deductibility. In this regard, certain portions of compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers may not be deductible for federal income tax purposes under Section 162(m) of the Code.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

We have reviewed and discussed the above “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” with management.

19

Based upon this review and discussion, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” be included in this Proxy Statement.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

John Y. Televantos (Chairman)

David B. Fischer

Edward L. McMillan

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the compensation earned by (i) our Chief Executive Officer (“Principal Executive Officer”), (ii) our Chief Financial Officer (“Principal Financial Officer”), and (iii) each of our other “Named Executive Officers” for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (1) (\$)	Option Awards (1) (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (2) (\$)	All Other Compensation (3) (\$)	Total (\$)
Dino A. Rossi Chairman, President & CEO	2014	\$702,000	\$286,690	\$332,750	\$ 827,080	\$ 40,154	(a) \$2,188,675
	2013	\$653,352	\$229,445	\$347,757	\$ 481,519	\$ 37,226	\$1,749,298
	2012	\$622,240	\$276,686	\$360,784	\$ 344,008	\$ 20,643	\$1,624,361
Richard A. Bendure COO	2014	\$446,000	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 13,436	(b) \$459,436
	2013	\$446,000	\$217,576	\$193,776	\$ 255,000	\$ 29,896	\$1,142,248
	2012	\$32,890	\$46,307	\$16,246	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$95,443
William A. Backus CFO and Treasurer	2014	\$220,000	\$95,740	\$170,891	\$ 96,566	\$ 22,735	(c) \$605,932
	2013	\$190,000	\$55,316	\$137,193	\$ 58,551	\$ 21,559	\$462,619
	2012	\$183,000	\$47,737	\$80,918	\$ 39,441	\$ 21,406	\$372,502
Francis J. Fitzpatrick Vice President Administration, Asst. Secretary	2014	\$266,000	\$114,087	\$125,999	\$ 132,929	\$ 27,631	(d) \$666,646
	2013	\$255,500	\$96,819	\$193,850	\$ 91,808	\$ 27,407	\$665,384
	2012	\$243,000	\$121,324	\$261,717	\$ 83,817	\$ 25,901	\$735,759
David F. Ludwig VP/GM Specialty Products	2014	\$252,960	\$85,575	\$93,592	\$ 69,796	\$ 29,071	(e) \$530,994
	2013	\$248,000	\$78,335	\$152,635	\$ 20,582	\$ 28,755	\$528,307
	2012	\$242,000	\$78,217	\$193,133	\$ 82,074	\$ 27,341	\$622,765
Matthew D. Houston General Counsel and Secretary	2014	\$212,000	\$48,793	\$55,513	\$ 74,953	\$ 25,484	(f) \$416,743
	2013	\$204,000	\$36,501	\$52,702	\$ 40,877	\$ 24,604	\$358,683
	2012	\$197,000	\$35,266	\$52,029	\$ 40,016	\$ 23,383	\$347,695

(1) The amounts included in the “Stock Awards” and “Option Awards” columns reflect the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for each reported fiscal year, in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718 adjusted to eliminate service-based forfeiture assumptions used for financial reporting purposes. A discussion of the assumptions used in valuation of stock and option awards may be found in “Note 3 – Stockholders’ Equity” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015.

- (2) Reflects the amount of cash incentive bonuses earned under our ICP, plus additional discretionary cash bonuses associated with the Company's acquisition of SensoryEffects for Messrs. Rossi, Backus, Fitzpatrick and Houston. The amounts reflected represent employer matching contributions and profit sharing contributions made under the Company's combined 401(k)/profit sharing plan, automobile allowance and the Company paid portion of life, health, and disability insurance benefits, in the following amounts for each Named Executive Officer for the indicated year:

(a) Mr. Rossi's other compensation for 2014 consists of \$15,355 for contributions under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, \$15,800 for use of a financial planner, \$8,207 for automobile allowance, and \$792 for life, health and disability insurance premiums.

(b) On May 14, 2014, Richard A. Bendure stepped down as COO in order to pursue other business endeavors following the mutual decision of Mr. Bendure and the Company. Mr. Bendure's other compensation for 2014 consists of \$6,125 for contributions under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, \$7,131 for automobile allowance, and \$180 for life, health and disability insurance benefits.

(c) Mr. Backus's other compensation for 2014 consists of \$15,355 for contributions under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, \$7,200 for automobile allowance, and \$180 for life, health and disability insurance benefits.

(d) Mr. Fitzpatrick's other compensation for 2014 consists of \$15,355 for contributions under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, \$12,000 for automobile allowance, and \$276 for life, health and disability insurance benefits.

(e) Mr. Ludwig's other compensation for 2014 consists of \$15,355 for contributions under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, \$13,200 for automobile allowance, and \$516 for life, health and disability insurance benefits.

(f) Mr. Houston's other compensation for 2014 consists of \$15,123 for contributions under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, \$10,085 for automobile allowance, and \$276 for life, health and disability insurance benefits.

Grants of Plan Based Awards in 2014

The following table provides information on restricted stock awards and options granted in 2014 to each of the Named Executive Officers and information on estimated possible payouts under our non-equity (ICP) and equity (LTCP) incentive plans for 2014.

Name	Estimated Possible Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)				Estimated Possible Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (2)		
	Threshold	Target	Stretch	Max	Threshold	Target	Max
Dino A. Rossi	\$351,000	\$702,000	\$912,600	\$1,404,000	\$315,900	\$1,053,000	\$1,368,900
Richard A. Bendure ⁽³⁾	\$167,500	\$334,500	\$434,850	\$669,000	\$200,700	\$669,000	\$869,700
William A. Backus	\$49,500	\$99,000	\$128,700	\$198,000	\$66,000	\$220,000	\$286,000
Francis J. Fitzpatrick	\$59,850	\$119,700	\$155,610	\$239,400	\$79,800	\$266,000	\$345,800
David F. Ludwig	\$44,268	\$88,536	\$115,097	\$177,072	\$75,888	\$252,960	\$328,848
Matthew D. Houston	\$26,500	\$53,000	\$68,900	\$106,000	\$44,520	\$148,400	\$192,920

- (1) Represents threshold, target, stretch and maximum payout levels under the ICP for 2014 performance. The actual amount of incentive bonus earned by each Named Executive Officer in 2014 is reported under the Non-

Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table. Additional information regarding the design of the ICP is included in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

- Represents threshold, target and maximum payout levels under the LTCP for 2014 performance. These were stated as dollar amounts, which will be converted to equity based on stock value in 2015. The actual amount of LTCP equity earned by each Named Executive Officer in 2014 will be reported under the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns in the Summary Compensation Table in next year's proxy statement. Additional information regarding the design of the LTCP, including the number of options and shares of restricted stock granted to each NEO, is included in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.
- (2) On May 14, 2014, Richard A. Bendure stepped down as COO in order to pursue other business endeavors following the mutual decision of Mr. Bendure and Balchem Corporation.
- (3)

Terms and Conditions of Awards

The Company's 1999 Stock Plan was adopted and approved by our stockholders in 1999 and was amended in 2003, 2008, 2011 and 2013. Under the 1999 Stock Plan, officers and other employees of the Company may be granted options to purchase Common Stock of the Company which qualify as "incentive stock options" ("ISO" or "ISOs") under Section 422(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"); directors, officers and employees may be granted options to purchase Common Stock which do not qualify as ISOs ("non-Qualified Option" or "Non-Qualified Options"); and directors, officers and employees may be granted the right to make direct purchases of Common Stock from the Company ("Purchases") and may also be granted restricted stock and performance award shares. Both ISOs and Non-Qualified Options are referred to in this Proxy Statement individually as an "Option" and collectively as "Options." The exercise price per share specified to each Option granted under the 1999 Stock Plan may not be less than the fair market value per share of Common Stock on the date of such grant.

Options granted vest as follows: 20% on the first anniversary of the grant date; 40% on the second anniversary of the grant date; and 40% on the third anniversary of the grant date. Options expire ten years after grant. All outstanding Options vest in this manner. The Company has not granted ISOs since 2006.

Our restricted shares vest in full, four years from grant, or upon an earlier change of control of the Company, provided the executive officer is employed by the Company on that date, but become fully vested upon death. In the event the grantee's employment with the Company is terminated for cause or upon the grantee's voluntary resignation from the Company's employ, prior to vesting in full, the restricted shares are forfeited. In the event of a major disability or significant illness, restricted shares will vest based upon the amount of time remaining until the vesting date. The Compensation Committee may accelerate the vesting of restricted stock in its discretion.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2014

The following table shows outstanding Option awards classified as exercisable and unexercisable as of December 31, 2014 for each Named Executive Officer. The table also discloses the number and value of unvested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2014.

Edgar Filing: BALCHEM CORP - Form DEF 14A

Name	Option Awards Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards Number of Shares of Stock that Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾ (\$)	
	Exercisable (1)	Un-Exercisable (1)				
Dino A. Rossi	24,200	-	\$ 17.28	12/10/2018		
	45,000	-	\$ 21.39	12/8/2019		
	34,800	-	\$ 32.21	12/6/2020		
	27,404	18,268	\$ 29.06	2/28/2022		
	4,414	17,655	\$ 38.10	2/19/2023		
	-	17,160	\$ 50.32	2/26/2024	26,182	\$ 1,744,768
William A. Backus	12,000	-	\$ 21.39	12/8/2019		
	14,000	-	\$ 32.21	12/6/2020		
	5,000	-	\$ 40.95	6/1/2021		
	7,200	4,800	\$ 29.06	2/28/2022		
	1,500	1,000	\$ 31.02	6/14/2022		
	2,800	11,200	\$ 38.10	2/19/2023		
	-	15,000	\$ 50.32	2/26/2024	10,497	\$ 699,520
Francis J. Fitzpatrick	40,250	-	\$ 21.39	12/8/2019		
	32,500	-	\$ 32.21	12/6/2020		
	8,961	5,974	\$ 29.06	2/28/2022		
	2,057	8,224	\$ 38.10	2/19/2023		
	-	6,404	\$ 50.32	2/26/2024	9,256	\$ 616,820
David F. Ludwig	25,500	-	\$ 11.87	12/8/2016		
	39,750	-	\$ 13.61	1/11/2018		
	37,500	-	\$ 17.28	12/10/2018		
	30,000	-	\$ 21.39	12/8/2019		
	23,200	-	\$ 32.21	12/6/2020		
	7,782	5,188	\$ 29.06	2/28/2022		
	1,827	7,307	\$ 38.10	2/19/2023		
	-	1,820	\$ 50.32	2/26/2024	7,800	\$ 519,792
Matthew D. Houston	5,300	-	\$ 32.21	12/6/2020		
	3,501	2,334	\$ 29.06	2/28/2022		
	870	3,476	\$ 38.10	2/19/2023		
	-	3,579	\$ 50.32	2/26/2024		

4,464 \$297,481

(1) Stock option awards have a term of ten years from the grant date and become exercisable 20% after 1 year, 60% after 2 years and 100% after 3 years beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.

Restricted stock vests four years from the date of grant . The following table provides information with respect to (2) the final vesting dates of each outstanding Restricted Stock award held by each Named Executive Officer as of December 31, 2014:

	Mr. Rossi	Mr. Backus	Mr. Fitzpatrick	Mr. Ludwig	Mr. Houston
11-Jun-15		1,000			
28-Feb-16	14,585	2,500	4,769	4,142	1,863
19-Feb-17	5,757	1,447	2,456	3,081	1,466
26-Feb-18	5,840	1,000	2,031	577	1,135
19-Jun-18		5,000			
	26,182	10,947	9,256	7,800	4,464

(3) Value is computed based on the closing price of our Common Stock on December 31, 2013, which was \$66.64 per share.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2014

The following table sets forth certain information regarding Options and stock awards exercised and vested, respectively, by each of our Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Dino A. Rossi	200,800	\$8,732,097	7,230	\$461,732
Richard A. Bendure ⁽²⁾	50,000	\$784,500	13,336	\$717,994
William A. Backus	-	\$-	1,030	\$65,779
Francis J. Fitzpatrick	192,552	\$8,436,204	3,920	\$250,344
David F. Ludwig	42,000	\$1,955,117	2,170	\$138,583
Matthew D. Houston	-	\$-	1,030	\$65,779

(1) Value realized represents the excess of the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price of the options.

(2) On May 14, 2014, Richard A. Bendure stepped down as COO in order to pursue other business endeavors following the mutual decision of Mr. Bendure and Balchem Corporation.

Termination of Employment and Change of Control Arrangements

Agreement with Dino A. Rossi. We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Rossi on January 1, 2001, which provides for automatic one-year extensions of the employment term unless either party provides written notice of its intention not to extend the agreement within 60 days of the end of the then-current term.

If we terminate the Employment Agreement other than for cause or in the event Mr. Rossi terminates his employment under certain limited circumstances effectively amounting to a constructive termination, he will be entitled to

severance payments of 150% of his then current annual salary, and all of his stock options would become fully vested and exercisable, plus a portion of the ICP bonus he would have received had he been employed by us through the end of the full fiscal year in which the termination occurred, to be determined by the Compensation Committee. If such termination by the Company occurs within two years after a change of control event, he would be entitled to severance payments equal to 200% of the sum of his then current annual salary plus the annual bonus earned by him for the fiscal year immediately preceding the year in which the change of control event occurred. If Mr. Rossi were to terminate his employment prior to the second anniversary of such a change of control event, he

would be entitled to severance payments equal to 100% of his then current annual salary. In the event of any termination by the Company entitling Mr. Rossi to severance payments, the Compensation Committee may accelerate the vesting of Mr. Rossi's restricted stock. Mr. Rossi's severance payments following a change of control would be reduced to the extent necessary to avoid such payments being considered an "excess parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

Under the employment agreement with Mr. Rossi, "Cause" means: habitual absence or lateness; gross insubordination; failure to devote full time to the Company's business; failure to comply with the obligations of confidentiality; any action which constitutes a violation of any applicable criminal statute; or any act which frustrates or violates the undivided duty of loyalty owed by Mr. Rossi to the Company. In addition, "Change in Control" means:

- (a) any person or group is or becomes (including by merger, consolidation or otherwise) the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 50% or more of the voting power of the total outstanding voting stock of Company;
- (b) during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who at the beginning of such period constituted the Board of Directors of the Company (together with any new directors whose election to the Board of Directors, or whose nomination for election by the stockholders of the Company, was approved by a vote of 75% of the directors then still in office who were either directors at the beginning of such period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved) cease to constitute a majority of the Board of Directors then in office; or
- (c) the sale or other disposition (other than by way of merger or consolidation) of all or substantially all of the capital stock or assets of Company to any person or group as an entirety or substantially as an entirety in one transaction or a series of related transactions, unless the ultimate beneficial owners of the voting stock of such person immediately after giving effect to such transaction own, directly or indirectly, more than 80% of the total voting power of the total outstanding voting stock of Company immediately prior to such transaction.

During the period of Mr. Rossi's employment (or, in the case of a voluntary termination by Mr. Rossi or a termination of his employment by the Company for cause, the balance of the term of the Employment Agreement before giving effect to such termination) and for a period of one year thereafter, the Employment Agreement imposes on Mr. Rossi certain non-competition and non-solicitation obligations regarding the Company and its customers and its employees.

The amount of compensation payable to Mr. Rossi in the event of termination of employment, assuming termination as of December 31, 2014, and a share price for the Company's common stock equal to the closing market price on the last trading day prior to that date, is set forth in the table below. Mr. Rossi's employment agreement does not obligate us to provide any compensation to Mr. Rossi in the case of a change in control that does not result in termination of employment; however, the 1999 Stock Plan provides for full vesting of all Options and restricted stock awards, upon a change in control as defined in such Plan.

Benefits and Payments upon Termination

	Severance	ICP Bonus(1)	Acceleration of Vesting of Options and Restricted Stock (2)	Total
Voluntary termination by Mr. Rossi or termination for Cause	\$0	\$702,000	\$5,584,744	\$6,286,744
Termination by Mr. Rossi within 12 months after demotion by Company or as a result of constructive termination	\$1,053,000	\$702,000	\$8,130,720	\$9,885,720
Termination by Company following a Change of Control, except for Cause(3)	\$2,367,038	\$702,000	\$8,130,720	\$11,199,758
Voluntary termination by Mr. Rossi following a Change of Control(3)	\$702,000	\$702,000	\$8,130,720	\$9,534,720
Termination by Company for any reason other than for Cause or after receipt of notice of termination from Mr. Rossi	\$1,053,000	\$702,000	\$8,130,720	\$9,885,720
Death	\$0	\$702,000	\$5,584,744	\$6,286,744

1. Represents the target bonus level under the ICP.

Amounts in this column are calculated by multiplying the number of shares subject to accelerated vesting by the
2. difference between \$66.64, which is the closing market price per share of our common stock on December 31, 2014,
and the per share exercise price of the applicable accelerated stock award or option.

3. Assumes the Change of Control occurred within the two year period prior to December 31, 2014.

The amounts shown in the table above do not include payments for accrued salary and vacation, or payments made
under the life insurance policy in the case of death. Amounts shown in the table are subject to reduction to the extent
necessary to avoid "excess parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code.

All of our Named Executive Officers other than Mr. Rossi, are employees-at-will and, as such, do not have
employment agreements, therefore, we are not obligated to provide them with any post-employment compensation or
benefits. However, upon a change of control, as defined in the 1999 Stock Plan, all unvested Option grants
immediately vest and become exercisable, and all restrictions, applicable to outstanding shares of restricted stock,
lapse. Assuming such a change of control occurred on December 31, 2014, based on the closing market price of the
Company's common stock on that date, the amount of compensation payable to the Named Executive Officers other
than Mr. Rossi, are as follows: Mr. Backus, \$3,067,281; Mr. Fitzpatrick, \$4,376,439; Mr. Ludwig, \$8,741,291; and
Mr. Houston, \$747,638.

Director Compensation

The Company pays each of its directors, other than Mr. Rossi, an annual retainer of \$30,000 and \$4,000 for each Board meeting attended, plus expenses. The Lead Director is paid an additional \$16,000 annual retainer. Each of the Chairman of the Audit Committee, the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is paid an additional \$8,000 annual retainer. The Company also pays to each of its directors serving on Committees a fee of \$1,000, plus expenses, for each Committee meeting attended.

The following table discloses the cash, equity awards, and other compensation earned, paid, or awarded, as the case may be, to each of the Company's directors (other than Mr. Rossi, whose compensation is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table above) during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in			All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
	Cash (\$)	(1)(2) (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)		
Paul Coombs	\$60,000	\$110,040		–	\$170,040
David Fischer	\$60,000	\$110,040		–	\$170,040
Edward McMillan	\$68,000	\$110,040		–	\$178,040
Perry Premdas	\$68,000	\$110,040		–	\$178,040
John Televantos	\$84,000	\$110,040		–	\$194,040

On December 18, 2014, each director, other than Mr. Rossi, was granted 1,664 shares of restricted stock. The shares are subject to restrictions on transfer until they vest after four years, in accordance with the provisions of the Restricted Stock Grant Agreement, dated December 18, 2014 between the Company and each such director. The amounts included in the "Stock Awards" column reflect the dollar amount to be recognized for financial statement (1) reporting purposes in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718 adjusted to eliminate service-based forfeiture assumptions used for financial reporting purposes. The grant date fair value per share of each award was \$66.13. A discussion of the assumptions used in valuation of stock and option awards may be found in "Note 3 – Stockholders' Equity" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015.

(2) The following table shows the aggregate number of options and stock awards outstanding for each outside director as of December 31, 2014:

Name	Aggregate Stock Options Outstanding as of	Aggregate Stock Awards Outstanding as of
	12/31/2014	12/31/2014
Paul Coombs	-	8,838
David Fischer	-	8,838
Edward McMillan	-	8,838
Perry Premdas	-	18,963
John Televantos	-	8,838

Under the director restricted stock grant agreements, restricted shares vest in full, four years from grant, or upon an earlier change of control of the Company, provided the grantee is a director of the Company on that date. The restricted shares will also vest in full upon the grantee's death. In the event of: (1) the grantee's retirement from the

Company's Board of Directors at or after age 70; (2) the grantee's major disability, or (3) the grantee's resignation from the Company's Board of Directors due to a conflict of interest or serious illness, the restricted stock

will vest based upon the amount of time remaining until the vesting date. Except as set forth above, unvested restricted stock will be forfeited at the time the director ceases to be a director of the Company.

Prior to 2011, directors entered into restricted stock purchase agreements with the Company to purchase the Company's Common Stock pursuant to the 1999 Stock Plan. These agreements replaced the stock option plan in which non-employee directors participated in prior years. Under the restricted stock purchase agreements, each of the directors purchased shares of the Company's Common Stock at the purchase price of \$.06-2/3 per share. The purchased stock is subject to a repurchase option in favor of the Company and to restrictions on transfer until it vests in accordance with the provisions of the restricted stock purchase agreements. The purchased stock will vest in full either in four years for certain restricted stock purchase agreements or in seven years for the balance of the agreements, provided the purchaser is still a director of the Company on that date. The purchased stock will also vest in full prior to the four or seven year vesting schedule upon: (1) the purchaser's retirement from the Company's Board of Directors at or after age 70; (2) the purchaser's death or major disability, (3) the purchaser's resignation from the Company's Board of Directors due to a conflict of interest or serious illness, and (4) a change of control of the Company (as defined in the restricted stock purchase agreements). The purchased shares will not vest and the Company may repurchase all of the purchased shares at a purchase price of \$.06-2/3 per share in the event of gross misconduct on the part of the purchaser in the performance of his or her duties as a director of the Company prior to vesting, as determined by majority vote of the Board of Directors. A prorated amount of the purchased shares may be repurchased by the Company at a purchase price of \$.06-2/3 per share in the event the purchaser ceases to be a director of the Company prior to vesting of the purchased shares for any reason other than gross misconduct.

The Company does not pay any other direct or indirect compensation to directors in their capacity as such.

Related Party Transactions

Other than the compensation and employment arrangements described above, since the beginning of 2014, we have not entered into any transactions in which any of our directors or executive officers or their immediate family members have a direct or indirect interest.

The Company has adopted a related party transaction policy. Under the related party transaction policy, our Audit Committee reviews and approves proposed transactions or courses of dealings with respect to which holders of 5% or more of our stock and/or our executive officers or directors or members of their immediate families have an interest. Before entering into any transaction, arrangement or relationship constituting an interested transaction, other than certain basic pre-approved transactions, all material facts are required to be reviewed by the Audit Committee, which has the authority to approve or disapprove the transaction based on appropriate factors, including whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available from an un-affiliated third party and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information, as of December 31, 2014, with respect to shares of the Company's Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to awards under the 1999 Stock Plan (described above) as well as under the Company's prior stock option plans, which plans were replaced by the 1999 Stock Plan. These plans are the Company's only equity compensation plans approved by security holders, and there are no equity compensation plans that have not been approved by security holders. It should be noted that shares of the Company's Common Stock may be allocated to, or purchased on behalf of, participants in the Company's 401(k)/Profit Sharing Plan (described above). Consistent with Securities and Exchange Commission regulations governing equity compensation plans, information relating to shares issuable or purchased under the Company's 401(k)/Profit Sharing Plan is not included in the table below.

	(a)	(b)	(c)
Plan Category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights ¹	Weighted-average exercise price per share of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding shares reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,479,892	\$ 27.17	4,064,576
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	1,479,892	\$ 27.17	4,064,576

(1) 54,315 shares of unvested restricted stock granted to non-employee directors and 72,318 shares of unvested restricted stock granted to NEOs are excluded from this table.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and of Management

The table below sets forth as of April 1, 2015, the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by (i) each director, (ii) each of the Named Executive Officers, (iii) each beneficial owner of, or institutional investment manager exercising investment discretion with respect to 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock known to the Company based upon filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and (iv) all current directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, and the percentage ownership of the outstanding Common Stock as of such date held by each such holder and group:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (2)
Brown Capital Management, LLC (3)*	3,098,009	10.08 %
BlackRock, Inc. (4)*	2,601,846	8.50 %
Neuberger Berman Group, LLC (5)*	2,086,807	6.79 %
The Vanguard Group (6)*	2,079,809	6.76 %
Dino A. Rossi (7)*	255,496	**
David F. Ludwig (8)*	177,733	**
Frank J. Fitzpatrick (9)*	126,283	**
William A. Backus (10)*	74,912	**
Perry W. Premdas (11)*	44,053	**
Matthew D. Houston (12)*	44,047	**
John Y. Televantos (13)*	34,761	**
Edward L. McMillan (14)*	31,326	**
Paul D. Coombs (15)*	17,914	**

Edgar Filing: BALCHEM CORP - Form DEF 14A

David B. Fischer (16)*	9,838	**
Totals Executive Officers/Directors (17)	819,439	2.6 %
Shares Outstanding April 1, 2015	31,037,899	

30

*Such person's address is c/o the Company, New Hampton, New York 10958.

** Indicates less than
1%.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. In accordance with SEC rules, shares which may be acquired upon exercise of stock options which are currently exercisable or which become (1) exercisable within 60 days after the date of the information in the table are deemed to be beneficially owned by the optionee. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to community property laws where applicable, to the Company's knowledge, the persons or entities named in the table above are believed to have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

For purposes of calculating the percentage of outstanding shares held by each person named above, any shares (2) which such person has the right to acquire within 60 days after the date of the information in the table are deemed to be outstanding, but not for the purpose of calculating the percentage ownership of any other person.

(3) Based upon information provided in a Schedule 13G/A for such entity filed with the SEC on February 5, 2015.

Such entity's address as reported in its Schedule 13G/A is 1201 N. Calvert Street, Baltimore, MD 21202.

(4) Based upon information provided in a Schedule 13G/A for such entity filed with the SEC on January 23, 2015.

Such entity's address as reported in its Schedule 13G/A is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.

(5) Based upon information provided in a Schedule 13G/A for such entity filed with the SEC on February 12, 2015.

Such entity's address as reported in its Schedule 13G/A is 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158.

(6) Based upon information provided in a Schedule 13G/A for such entity filed with the SEC on February 10, 2015.

Such entity's address as reported in its Schedule 13G/A is 100 Vanguard Blvd, Malvern, PA 19355.

(7) Consists of 112,946 shares such person has the right to acquire pursuant to stock options, 33,649 shares of restricted stock, 23,892 shares held in such person's Company 401(k)/profit sharing plan account, and 85,009 shares held directly.

(8) Consists of 144,765 shares such person has the right to acquire pursuant to stock options, 9,495 shares of restricted stock, 13,462 shares held in such person's Company 401(k)/profit sharing plan account, and 10,011 shares held directly.

(9) Consists of 53,135 shares such person has the right to acquire pursuant to stock options, 11,256 shares of restricted stock, 18,678 shares held in such person's Company 401(k)/profit sharing plan account, and 43,214 shares held directly.

(10) Consists of 55,900 shares such person has the right to acquire pursuant to stock options, 12,262 shares of restricted stock, 3,656 shares held in such person's Company 401(k)/profit sharing plan account, and 6,750 shares held directly.

(11) Consists of 8,838 shares of restricted stock and 35,215 shares held directly.

(12) Consists of 14,459 shares such person has the right to acquire pursuant to stock options, 5,656 shares of restricted stock, 2,743 shares held in such person's Company 401(k)/profit sharing plan account, and 21,189 shares held directly.

(13) Consists of 8,838 shares of restricted stock and 25,923 shares held directly.

(14) Consists of 8,838 shares of restricted stock and 22,488 shares held directly.

(15) Consists of 8,838 shares of restricted stock and 9,076 shares held directly.

(16) Consists of 8,838 shares of restricted stock and 4,076 shares held directly.

(17) Consists of options to purchase 381,205 shares, 116,508 shares of restricted stock, 62,431 shares in the accounts of five Named Executive Officers under the Company's 401(k)/profit sharing plan, and 259,295 shares held by individuals directly.

PROPOSAL NO. 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has selected McGladrey LLP (“McGladrey”) as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2015. The Company is submitting its selection of McGladrey for ratification by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting. McGladrey has audited the Company’s financial statements since 2005. Representatives of McGladrey will be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Company’s Bylaws do not require that the stockholders ratify the selection of McGladrey as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm. However, the Company is submitting the selection of McGladrey to stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. If stockholders do not ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain McGladrey. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may change the appointment at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Assuming a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of a majority of all votes cast on the proposal, in person or represented by proxy, is required for approval of this proposal. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast, and will have no effect on the vote. Brokers have discretionary authority to vote on this Proposal.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MCGLADREY AS THE COMPANY’S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

During 2014, the Company retained McGladrey to audit the consolidated financial statements for 2014. In addition, the Company also retained McGladrey to provide services relating to Management’s Assessment of Internal Controls as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as for other audit-related and tax-related services. The following table shows the fees paid or accrued by the Company for the audit and other professional services provided by McGladrey for 2014 and 2013:

	2014	2013
Audit fees (1)	\$916,253	\$564,259
Audit-related fees (2)	325,682	85,900
Tax fees (3)	32,224	43,753
Total fees	\$1,274,159	\$693,912

(1) Fees relating to audit of the annual consolidated financial statements and quarterly reviews.

Audit-related fees consist of: fees paid for the employee benefit plan audit; fees related to foreign statutory audit;

(2) fees paid for due diligence procedures related to the SensoryEffects acquisition; and fees for other accounting related questions.

(3) Tax fees consist of: fees for tax services related to payroll; fees for VAT returns; and fees for other tax related questions.

Policy on Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services

All audit and non-audit services provided to the Company by the independent accountants are pre-approved by the Audit Committee or in certain instances by one or more of its members pursuant to delegated authority. At the beginning of each year, the Audit Committee reviews and approves all known audit and non-audit services and fees to

be provided by and paid to the independent accountants. During the year, specific audit and non-audit

32

services or fees not previously approved by the Audit Committee are approved in advance by the Audit Committee or in certain instances by one or more of its members pursuant to delegated authority. In addition, during the year the Chief Financial Officer and the Audit Committee monitor actual fees to the independent accountants for audit and non-audit services.

Audit Committee Review

McGladrey advised the Company and the Audit Committee in 2014 that certain payroll tax services performed for the Company by a McGladrey associated entity in Spain and related to one employee of an immaterial subsidiary company of the Company, resulted in the McGladrey affiliated entity having temporary custody of Company funds. Such activity is prohibited and raised questions regarding McGladrey's independence under SEC auditor independence rules. These services, which were provided in 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011, have been discontinued. McGladrey advised the Company and the Audit Committee that the provision of these services did not affect its integrity and objectivity. The Audit Committee reviewed the events and services and determined that the provision of these services did not affect McGladrey's integrity and objectivity during the aforementioned years.

The Audit Committee has reviewed the services rendered by McGladrey during 2014 and has determined that the services rendered are compatible with maintaining the independence of McGladrey as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Audit Committee Report

The Board of Directors has appointed an Audit Committee consisting of four directors. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent as defined under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules and SEC independence requirements applicable to audit committee members. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter with respect to the Audit Committee's responsibilities. The Audit Committee oversees the Company's internal and independent auditors and assists the Board of Directors in overseeing matters relating to the Company's financial reporting process.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 with management and discussed the audit with McGladrey, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees). This included a discussion of the independent auditors' judgment as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles as applied to the Company's financial reporting, and such other matters that generally accepted auditing standards require to be discussed with the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also received from McGladrey the written disclosures and letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee discussed with McGladrey and management McGladrey's independence.

Management is responsible for maintaining internal controls over financial reporting and assessing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm's responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on their audit. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed the Company's assessment process of internal controls over financial reporting. The Audit Committee reviewed with the independent registered public accounting firm any deficiencies that had been identified during their engagement.

The Audit Committee also considered whether the provision of non-audit services by McGladrey to the Company is compatible with McGladrey's independence. McGladrey advised the Audit Committee that McGladrey was and continues to be independent with respect to the Company.

Based upon the reviews, discussions and considerations referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (and the Board has approved) that the audited financial statements be

included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee has also recommended that the Board of Directors approve the selection of McGladrey as the Company's independent auditors for 2015.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Perry W. Premdas (Chair)

Paul D. Coombs

David B. Fischer

Edward L. McMillan

being the members of the Audit

Committee of the Board of Directors

PROPOSAL NO. 3
ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Since 2011, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, the Company's stockholders were provided with an opportunity to vote to approve, on an advisory (nonbinding) basis, the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers. At our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, our stockholders overwhelmingly approved our "say-on-pay" resolution with more than 82% of the votes cast by the holders of Common Stock approving the executive compensation described in our 2014 Proxy Statement. In response to the voting results regarding the frequency of say-on-pay vote, this year, the Company again seeks your advisory vote and asks that you approve the compensation of the Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement.

Please refer to the sections entitled "Compensation Committee and Processes", "Compensation Discussion and Analysis", and the tables and narratives in the Executive Compensation portion of this Proxy Statement for the discussion and summary of the policies of the Compensation Committee which form the basis for the compensation of our Named Executive Officers and information on the amounts paid.

We are asking for shareholder approval of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with SEC rules, which includes the disclosures under the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the compensation tables and the narrative discussion accompanying the tables. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our Named Executive Officers and the policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Because this vote is advisory only, the vote is not binding; however, the Compensation Committee will consider the results of shareholder voting in making future compensation decisions regarding Named Executive Officers.

Assuming a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of a majority of all votes cast on the proposal, in person or represented by proxy, is required for approval of this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast, and will have no effect on the vote.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE RULES OF THE SEC.

MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

Quorum Required

Maryland law and the Company's Bylaws require the presence of a quorum for the Meeting, defined as the presence in person or represented by proxy of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the Meeting. Abstentions will be treated as "present" for purposes of determining whether a quorum has been reached.

Voting Securities

Stockholders of record on April 22, 2015 (the "Record Date") will be eligible to vote at the Meeting. The voting securities of the Company consist of its Common Stock, \$.06-2/3 par value, of which 31,087,101 shares were outstanding on the Record Date. Each share of Common Stock outstanding on the Record Date will be entitled to one vote.

Stockholder Proposals for 2016 Annual Meeting

From time to time, the stockholders of the Company may wish to submit proposals which they believe should be voted upon by the stockholders. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted regulations which govern the inclusion of such proposals in the Company's annual meeting proxy materials. In order for a proposal to be eligible for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for the 2016 annual meeting, it must be received by the Secretary of the Company at the Company's principal executive offices no later than January 7, 2016 and must satisfy the other requirements in the SEC regulations. With respect to any stockholder proposal intended to be presented at the 2016 annual meeting, but not submitted for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for that meeting, the proxy for such meeting will confer discretionary authority to vote on such proposal unless the Company is notified of such proposal not later than March 22, 2016 (45 days prior to the anniversary of the date this Proxy Statement is first being sent to stockholders).

Matters Not Determined at the Time of Solicitation

The Board of Directors is not aware of any matters to come before the Meeting other than as described above. If any matter other than as described above should come before the Meeting, then the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy will have discretionary authority to vote all proxies with respect thereto in accordance with their judgment.

Approval of any other matter that may come before the Annual Meeting is be determined by the affirmative vote of a majority of all votes cast on the matter, in person or represented by proxy. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast, and will have no effect on the vote.

New Hampton, New York

The Annual Report to Stockholders of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 is being mailed to stockholders with these proxy materials. The Annual Report does not form part of these proxy materials for the solicitation of proxies.

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

BALCHEM CORPORATION
52 SUNRISE PARK ROAD
NEW HAMPTON, NY 10958

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

Electronic Delivery of Future PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

<p>The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:</p> <p>1. Election of Directors Nominees</p> <p>01 Paul D. Coombs 02 Edward L. McMillan</p>	<p>For All</p> <p>Withhold All</p> <p>For All Except individual nominee(s), mark "For All Except" and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.</p>	<p>To withhold authority to vote for any</p> <p>For All</p> <p>Against All</p>
--	--	--

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3. For Against Abstain

² Ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2015.

³ Non-binding advisory approval of Named Executive Officers' compensation as described in the Proxy Statement.

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

For address change/comments, mark here.

(see reverse for instructions)

YesNo

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] Date Signature (Joint Owners) Date

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice & Proxy Statement, Annual Report is/ are available at www.proxyvote.com .

REVOCABLE PROXY

BALCHEM CORPORATION

PROXY SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING TO BE HELD JUNE 17, 2015

The undersigned hereby appoints Dino A. Rossi, Francis J. Fitzpatrick and David Ludwig, and each of them individually, as attorneys and proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Balchem Corporation scheduled to be held on June 17, 2015, and at any adjournment thereof, and to vote all shares of Common Stock of the Company which the undersigned is entitled to vote on all matters coming before said meeting. The undersigned hereby revokes all proxies previously given by the undersigned to vote at this meeting or any adjournment thereof.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein. If no direction is made, the proxies will vote: FOR the nominees for election as directors named on this proxy card; FOR the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP, as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2015; FOR approval of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers; and in their discretion on such other matter as may properly come before the meeting.

Address change/comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes and/or Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Continued and to be signed on reverse side
