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## Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## FRED'S, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except for number of shares)

| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 21,585 | \$ | 8,129 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of $\$ 2,125$ and $\$ 1,489$, respectively |  | 36,772 |  | 35,943 |
| Inventories |  | 385,552 |  | 353,266 |
| Other non-trade receivables |  | 33,531 |  | 33,273 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 15,442 |  | 13,134 |
| Total current assets |  | 492,882 |  | 443,745 |
| Property and equipment, at depreciated cost |  | 155,856 |  | 158,394 |
| Equipment under capital leases, less accumulated amortization of \$5,103 and $\$ 5,077$, respectively |  | 37 |  | 63 |
| Intangibles |  | 44,801 |  | 41,873 |
| Other noncurrent assets, net |  | 3,258 |  | 3,078 |
| Total assets | \$ | 696,834 | \$ | 647,153 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 145,278 | \$ | 115,830 |
| Current portion of indebtedness |  | 1,130 |  | 1,263 |
| Accrued expenses and other |  | 52,740 |  | 44,000 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 24,980 |  | 24,234 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 224,128 |  | 185,327 |
| Long-term portion of indebtedness |  | 4,158 |  | 12,241 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 1,454 |  | 4,732 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities |  | 17,466 |  | 13,581 |
| Total liabilities |  | 247,206 |  | 215,881 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 9 - Legal Contingencies) |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, nonvoting, no par value, $10,000,000$ shares authorized, none outstanding |  | - |  | - |
| Preferred stock, Series A junior participating nonvoting, no par value, 224,594 shares authorized, none outstanding |  | - |  | - |
| Common stock, Class A voting, no par value, $60,000,000$ shares authorized, $36,788,891$ and $36,680,060$ shares issued and outstanding, respectively |  | 102,271 |  | 99,342 |
| Common stock, Class B nonvoting, no par value, 11,500,000 shares authorized, none outstanding |  | - |  | - |
| Retained earnings |  | 346,563 |  | 331,136 |


| Accumulated other comprehensive income |  | 794 | 794 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 449,628 |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | $\$$ | 696,834 | $\$$ |
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FRED'S, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended |  |  |  | Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | November 2, 2013 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October 27, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { November 2, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October 27, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Net sales | \$ | 460,542 | \$ | 450,574 | \$ | 1,444,213 | \$ | 1,421,895 |
| Cost of goods sold |  | 320,305 |  | 312,441 |  | 1,016,972 |  | 1,004,162 |
| Gross profit |  | 140,237 |  | 138,133 |  | 427,241 |  | 417,733 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 10,631 |  | 9,880 |  | 31,459 |  | 28,718 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | 118,970 |  | 118,133 |  | 362,149 |  | 358,428 |
| Operating income |  | 10,636 |  | 10,120 |  | 33,633 |  | 30,587 |
| Interest expense |  | 113 |  | 129 |  | 377 |  | 402 |
| Income before income taxes |  | 10,523 |  | 9,991 |  | 33,256 |  | 30,185 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 3,215 |  | 3,430 |  | 11,207 |  | 7,112 |
| Net income | \$ | 7,308 | \$ | 6,561 | \$ | 22,049 | \$ | 23,073 |
| Net income per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.60 | \$ | 0.63 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.60 | \$ | 0.63 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 36,558 |  | 36,443 |  | 36,532 |  | 36,626 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options |  | 165 |  | 140 |  | 147 |  | 135 |
| Diluted |  | 36,723 |  | 36,583 |  | 36,679 |  | 36,761 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.18 |
| FRED'S, INC.CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME(unaudited)(in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thirteen Weeks Ended |  |  |  | Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended |  |  |  |
|  | November 2, 2013 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October 27, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | November 2, 2013 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October 27, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Net income |  | \$ 7,308 |  | \$ 6,561 | \$ | 22,049 | \$ | 23,073 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax postretirement plan adjustment |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Comprehensive income |  | \$ 7,308 |  | \$ 6,561 | \$ | 22,049 | \$ | 23,073 |

[^1]FRED'S, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)
(in thousands)

Cash flows from operating activities:
Net income
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:
Depreciation and amortization
Net gain on asset disposition
Recovery for store closures and asset impairment
Stock-based compensation
Provision for uncollectible receivables
LIFO reserve increase
Deferred income tax benefit
Income tax benefit upon exercise of stock options
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:
Trade and non-trade receivables
Insurance receivables
Inventories
Other assets
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:
Accounts payable and accrued expenses
Income taxes payable
Other noncurrent liabilities
Net cash provided by operating activities
Cash flows from investing activities:
Capital expenditures
Proceeds from asset dispositions
Insurance recoveries for replacement assets
Asset acquisition (primarily intangibles)
Net cash used in investing activities
Cash flows from financing activities:
Payments of indebtedness and capital lease obligations $\quad(1,229)$
Proceeds from revolving line of credit
Payments on revolving line of credit
Excess tax charges from stock-based compensation
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plan
Repurchase of shares
Cash dividends paid
Net cash used in financing activities
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents
13,456
Cash and cash equivalents:
Beginning of year
31,459
$(1,446)$
(45)

1,944
(586)

4,122
57,509
$(6,621)$

Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended November 2, 2013

October 27, 2012
\$ 22,049 \$ 23,073

28,718
(21)
(57)

1,442
$636 \quad 352$
1,904 2,974
$(2,970) \quad 559$
$20 \quad 30$
$(1,612) \quad 663$
298 (492)
$(34,144) \quad(63,558)$
$(2,308) \quad 807$
38,188 44,983

- $\quad(9,176)$

| End of period | $\$$ | 21,585 | $\$$ | 16,119 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid | $\$$ | 377 | $\$$ | 402 |
| Income taxes paid | $\$$ | 14,784 | $\$$ | 12,644 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

 (unaudited)
## NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Fred's, Inc. and subsidiaries ("Fred's", "We", "Our", "Us" or "Company") operates, as of November 2, 2013, 701 discount general merchandise stores, including 21 franchised Fred's stores, in 15 states in the southeastern United States. There are 354 full service pharmacy departments located within our discount general merchandise stores.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q, and therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with GAAP. The statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position in conformity with GAAP. The statements should be read in conjunction with the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 incorporated into Our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The results of operations for the thirteen week and thirty-nine week periods ended November 2, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

## NOTE 2: INVENTORIES

Merchandise inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the retail first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for goods in our stores and the cost FIFO method for goods in our distribution centers. The retail inventory method is a reverse mark-up, averaging method which has been widely used in the retail industry for many years. This method calculates a cost-to-retail ratio that is applied to the retail value of inventory to determine the cost value of inventory and the resulting cost of goods sold and gross margin. The assumptions that the retail inventory method provides for valuation at lower of cost or market and the inherent uncertainties therein are discussed in the following paragraphs. In order to assure valuation at the lower of cost or market, the retail value of our inventory is adjusted on a consistent basis to reflect current market conditions. These adjustments include increases to the retail value of inventory for initial markups to set the selling price of goods or additional markups to adjust pricing for inflation and decreases to the retail value of inventory for markdowns associated with promotional, seasonal or other declines in the market value. Because these adjustments are made on a consistent basis and are based on current prevailing market conditions, they approximate the carrying value of the inventory at net realizable value (market value). Therefore, after applying the cost to retail ratio, the cost value of our inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market as is prescribed by GAAP.

Because the approximation of net realizable value (market value) under the retail inventory method is based on estimates such as markups, markdowns and inventory losses (shrink), there exists an inherent uncertainty in the final determination of inventory cost and gross margin. In order to mitigate that uncertainty, the Company has a formal review by product class which considers such variables as current market trends, seasonality, weather patterns and age of merchandise to ensure that markdowns are taken currently, or a markdown reserve is established to cover future anticipated markdowns. This review also considers current pricing trends and inflation to ensure that markups are taken if necessary. The estimation of inventory losses (shrink) is a significant element in approximating the carrying value of inventory at net realizable value, and as such the following paragraph describes our estimation method as well as the steps we take to mitigate the risk of this estimate in the determination of the cost value of inventory.

The Company calculates inventory losses (shrink) based on actual inventory losses occurring as a result of physical inventory counts during each fiscal period and estimated inventory losses occurring between yearly physical inventory counts. The estimate for shrink occurring in the interim period between physical counts is calculated on a store-specific basis and is based on history, as well as performance on the most recent physical count. It is calculated by multiplying each store's shrink rate, which is based on the previously mentioned factors, by the interim period's sales for each store. Additionally, the overall estimate for shrink is adjusted at the corporate level to a three-year historical average to ensure that the overall shrink estimate is the most accurate approximation of shrink based on the Company's overall history of shrink. The three-year historical estimate is calculated by dividing the "book to physical" inventory adjustments for the trailing 36 months by the related sales for the same period. In order to reduce the uncertainty inherent in the shrink calculation, the Company first performs the calculation at the lowest practical level (by store) using the most current performance indicators. This ensures a more reliable number, as opposed to using a higher level aggregation or percentage method. The second portion of the calculation ensures that the extreme negative or positive performance of any particular store or group of stores does not skew the overall estimation of shrink. This portion of the calculation removes additional uncertainty by eliminating short-term peaks and valleys that could otherwise cause the underlying carrying cost of inventory to fluctuate unnecessarily. The methodology that we have applied in estimating shrink has resulted in variability that is not material to our financial statements.
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Management believes that the Company's retail inventory method provides an inventory valuation which reasonably approximates cost and results in carrying inventory at the lower of cost or market. For pharmacy inventories, which were approximately $\$ 37.0$ million and $\$ 33.8$ million at November 2, 2013 and February 2, 2013, respectively, cost was determined using the retail last-in, first-out (LIFO) method in which inventory cost is maintained using the retail inventory method, then adjusted by application of the Producer Price Index published by the U.S. Department of Labor for the cumulative annual periods. The current cost of inventories exceeded the LIFO cost by approximately $\$ 32.6$ million at November 2, 2013 and $\$ 30.7$ million at February 2, 2013.

The Company has historically included an estimate of inbound freight and certain general and administrative costs in merchandise inventory as prescribed by GAAP. These costs include activities surrounding the procurement and storage of merchandise inventory such as merchandise planning and buying, warehousing, accounting, information technology and human resources, as well as inbound freight. The total amount of procurement and storage costs and inbound freight included in merchandise inventory at November 2, 2013 is $\$ 23.0$ million, with the corresponding amount of $\$ 21.6$ million at February 2, 2013.

## NOTE 3: STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718 "Compensation Stock Compensation". Under FASB ASC 718, stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, and therefore has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant based on the Company's historical forfeiture experience and will be revised in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

FASB ASC 718 also requires the benefits of income tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required prior to FASB ASC 718. A summary of the Company's stock-based compensation (a component of selling, general and administrative expenses) and related income tax benefit is as follows (in thousands):

Thirteen Weeks Ended Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended
November 2, 2013October 27, 201®ovember 2, 2013 October 27, 2012

| Stock option expense | $\$$ | 147 | $\$$ | 256 | $\$$ | 464 | $\$$ | 424 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Restricted stock expense |  | 531 |  | 74 |  | 1,324 |  | 882 |
| ESPP expense | $\$$ | 52 |  | 46 |  | 156 |  | 136 |
| Total stock-based compensation |  |  | $\$$ | 376 | $\$$ | 1,944 | $\$$ | 1,442 |
|  | $\$$ | 218 | $\$$ | 94 | $\$$ | 560 | $\$$ | 398 |

The fair value of each option granted during the thirteen and thirty-nine week periods ended November 2, 2013 and October 27, 2012 is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

## Thirteen Weeks Ended Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended

November 2, 20 Occtober 27, 2012November 2, 20 Occtober 27, 2012

## Stock Options

Expected volatility
Risk-free interest rate
Expected option life (in years)
Expected dividend yield
Weighted average fair value at grant date
Employee Stock Purchase Plan
Expected volatility
Risk-free interest rate
Expected option life (in years)
Expected dividend yield

Weighted average fair value at grant date

| 38.4 | $\%$ |  | 39.7 | $\%$ | 38.9 | $\%$ |  | 39.7 | $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | $\%$ |  | 0.5 | $\%$ | 1.0 | $\%$ |  | 0.5 | $\%$ |  |
| 5.84 |  |  | 4.00 |  | 5.84 |  |  | 4.06 |  |  |
| 1.41 | $\%$ |  | 1.27 | $\%$ | 1.30 | $\%$ |  | 1.26 | $\%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 4.81 |  | $\$$ | 3.86 |  | $\$$ | 4.64 |  | $\$$ | 3.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.5 | $\%$ |  | 33.0 | $\%$ | 29.4 | $\%$ |  | 33.7 | $\%$ |
|  | 0.2 | $\%$ |  | 0.1 | $\%$ | 0.2 | $\%$ |  | 0.1 | $\%$ |
|  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.75 |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.50 |  |
|  | 1.35 | $\%$ |  | 1.18 | $\%$ | 0.90 | $\%$ |  | 0.78 | $\%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 3.42 |  | $\$$ | 3.76 |  | $\$$ | 3.15 |  | $\$$ | 3.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following is a summary of the methodology applied to develop each assumption:
Expected Volatility - This is a measure of the amount by which a price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. The Company uses actual historical changes in the market value of our stock to calculate expected price volatility because management believes that this is the best indicator of future volatility. The Company calculates weekly market value changes from the date of grant over a past period representative of the expected life of the options to determine volatility. An increase in the expected volatility will increase compensation expense.

Risk-free Interest Rate - This is the yield of a U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bond issue effective at the grant date with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the option. An increase in the risk-free interest rate will increase compensation expense.

Expected Lives - This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding and is based on historical experience. Options granted have a maximum term of seven and one-half years. An increase in the expected life will increase compensation expense.

Dividend Yield This is based on the historical yield for a period equivalent to the expected life of the option. An increase in the dividend yield will decrease compensation expense.

Forfeiture Rate - This is the estimated percentage of options granted that are expected to be forfeited or cancelled before becoming fully vested. This estimate is based on historical experience. An increase in the forfeiture rate will decrease compensation expense.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "2004 Plan"), which was approved by Fred's shareholders, permits eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions at the lower of $85 \%$ of the fair market value of the stock at the time of grant or $85 \%$ of the fair market value at the time of exercise. There were 46,172 shares issued during the thirty-nine weeks ended November 2, 2013. There are $1,410,928$ shares approved to be issued under the 2004 Plan and as of November 2, 2013, there were 873,305 shares available.
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## Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the thirty-nine weeks ended November 2, 2013:

|  | Options | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise Price |  | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value <br> (Thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at February 2, 2013 | 1,145,655 | \$ | 12.18 | 3.2 | \$ | 1,467 |
| Granted | 83,859 | \$ | 14.05 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited / Cancelled | $(111,519)$ | \$ | 13.31 |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(54,780)$ | \$ | 12.09 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at November 2, 2013 | 1,063,215 | \$ | 12.21 | 3.0 | \$ | 4,199 |
| Exercisable at November 2, 2013 | 475,037 | \$ | 10.78 | 1.7 | \$ | 2,555 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between Fred's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period ended November 2, 2013 and the exercise price of the option multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on that date. As of November 2, 2013, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures related to non-vested stock options was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 3.1 years. The total fair value of options vested during the thirty-nine weeks ended November 2, 2013 was $\$ 308.1$ thousand.

## Restricted Stock

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity during the thirty-nine weeks ended November 2, 2013:

| Non-vested Restricted Stock at February 2, | 621,009 | $\$$ | 13.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 108,943 | $\$$ | 14.60 |
| Granted | $(91,996)$ | $\$$ | 13.28 |
| Forfeited / Cancelled | $(35,160)$ | $\$$ | 12.52 |
| Vested | 602,796 | $\$$ | 13.37 |
| Non-vested Restricted Stock at November 2, |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |

The aggregate pre-tax intrinsic value of restricted stock outstanding as of November 2, 2013 is $\$ 9.7$ million with a weighted average remaining contractual life of 5.4 years. The unrecognized compensation expense net of estimated forfeitures, related to the outstanding stock is approximately $\$ 4.4$ million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 6.5 years. The total fair value of restricted stock awards that vested during the thirty-nine weeks ended November 2, 2013 was $\$ 440.0$ thousand.

## NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy, as defined below, gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs.

Level 1, defined as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity can access at the measurement date.

Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.


#### Abstract

Due to their short-term nature, the Company's financial instruments, which include cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable, are presented on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at a reasonable estimate of their fair value as of November 2, 2013 and February 2, 2013. No borrowings on the revolving line of credit existed at the balance sheet date. The fair value of our mortgage loans are estimated using Level 2 inputs based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for comparable borrowing arrangements.


The table below details the fair value and carrying values for the mortgage loans as of the following dates:

November 2, 2013
(in thousands)
Mortgage loans on land \& buildings

Carrying Value Fair Value 5,398 5,719

February 2, 2013
Carrying Value Fair Value 6,628 6,849

## NOTE 5: PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and Equipment are carried at cost. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Improvements to leased premises are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the initial term of the lease or the useful life of the improvement. Leasehold improvements added late in the lease term are amortized over the shorter of the remaining term of the lease (including the upcoming renewal option, if the renewal is reasonably assured) or the useful life of the improvement. Assets under capital leases are amortized in accordance with the Company's normal depreciation policy for owned assets or over the lease term (regardless of renewal options), if shorter, and the charge to earnings is included in depreciation expense in the consolidated financial statements. Gains or losses on the sale of assets are recorded as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses.

The following illustrates the breakdown of the major categories within Property and Equipment (in thousands):
November 2, 2013 February 2, 2013
Property and equipment, at cost:

| Buildings and building improvements | $\$$ | 114,395 | $\$$ | 113,164 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leasehold improvements |  | 77,770 | 74,552 |  |
| Automobiles and vehicles |  | 5,491 | 5,601 |  |
| Airplane |  | 4,697 | 4,697 |  |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 265,412 | 263,079 |  |  |
|  |  | 467,765 | 461,093 |  |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | $(324,135)$ | $(315,175)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 143,630 | 145,918 |  |
| Construction in progress |  | 3,622 | 3,872 |  |
| Land | $\$$ | 8,604 | 8,604 |  |
| Total Property and equipment, at depreciated cost | $\$ 155,856$ | $\$$ | 158,394 |  |

## NOTE 6: EXIT AND DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES

Lease Termination
A lease obligation still exists for some store closures that occurred in 2008. We record the estimated future liability associated with the rental obligation on the cease use date (when the stores were closed). The lease obligations are established at the cease use date for the present value of any remaining operating lease obligations, net of estimated sublease income, and at the communication date for severance and other exit costs, as prescribed by FASB ASC 420, "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations". Key assumptions in calculating the liability include the timeframe expected to terminate lease agreements, estimates related to the sublease potential of closed locations, and estimates of other related exit costs. If
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actual timing and potential termination costs or realization of sublease income differ from our estimates, the resulting liabilities could vary from recorded amounts. These liabilities are reviewed periodically and adjusted when necessary.
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During the first nine months of fiscal 2013, we utilized $\$ 0.1$ million of the remaining lease liability for the fiscal 2008 store closures, leaving $\$ 0.1$ million in the reserve at November 2, 2013.

The following table illustrates the exit and disposal activity related to the store closures discussed in the previous paragraph (in millions):

|  | Balance at <br> February 2, 2013 Additions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Utilization | Ending Balance |
| :--- |
| November 2, 2013 |

## NOTE 7: ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income consists of two components, net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to gains and losses that under GAAP are recorded as an element of shareholders' equity but are excluded from net income. The Company's accumulated other comprehensive income includes the unrecognized prior service costs, transition obligations and actuarial gains/losses associated with our postretirement benefit plan.

The following table illustrates the activity in accumulated other comprehensive income:

## (in thousands)

## Thirteen Weeks Ended

Year Ended
November 2, 2013 October 27, 2012 February 2, 2013

| Accumulated other comprehensive income | $\$$ | 794 | $\$$ | 864 | $\$$ | 864 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amortization of postretirement benefit |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Ending balance | $\$$ | 794 | $\$$ | 864 | $\$$ | 794 |

## NOTE 8: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Atlantic Retail Investors, LLC, which is partially owned by Michael J. Hayes, a director of the Company and Chairman of the Board, owns the land and buildings occupied by three Fred's stores. The terms and conditions regarding the leases on these locations are consistent in all material respects with other stores leases of the Company with unrelated landlords. The total rental payments related to related party leases were $\$ 225.7$ thousand for both of the thirty-nine weeks ended November 2, 2013 and October 27, 2012.

## NOTE 9: LEGAL CONTINGENCIES

In July 2008, a lawsuit styled Jessica Chapman, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, v. Fred's Stores of Tennessee, Inc. was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division, in which the plaintiff alleges that she and other female assistant store managers are paid less than comparable males and seeks compensable damages, liquidated damages, attorney fees and court costs. The plaintiff filed a motion seeking collective action. On or about March 15, 2013, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation that the case be conditionally certified as a collective action, which the District Court Judge affirmed. As a result, notice of a collective action was sent to the appropriate class as required by the Court. Approximately 194 plaintiffs have opted into the suit. The Company believes that all of its assistant managers have been properly paid and that the matter is not appropriate for collective action treatment. The Company is and will continue to vigorously defend this matter; however, it is not possible to predict whether Chapman will ultimately be able to proceed collectively and no assurances can be given that the Company will be successful in the defense of the action on the merits or otherwise. In accordance with FASB ASC 450, "Contingencies", the Company does not believe that a loss in this matter is probable at this time. For these reasons, the Company is unable to estimate any potential loss or range of loss in the matter. The Company has tendered the matter to its Employment Practices Liability Insurance ("EPLI") carrier for coverage under its EPLI policy. At this time, the Company expects that the EPLI carrier will participate in the defense or resolution of part or all of the potential claims.

In addition to the matters disclosed above, the Company is party to several pending legal proceedings and claims arising in the normal course of business. Although the outcome of the proceedings and claims cannot be determined with certainty, management of the Company is of the opinion that these proceedings and claims should not have a material adverse effect on the financial statements as a whole. However, litigation involves an element of uncertainty. Future developments could cause these actions or claims, individually or in aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on the financial statements as a whole.
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## NOTE 10: CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF A CORRECTION OF AN ERROR IN THE CASH FLOW STATEMENT

In the second quarter of 2013, the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Cash Flows Statement) was changed to correct the presentation of proceeds received and net gain resulting from the sale of pharmacy department prescription files. From time-to-time, the Company closes an underperforming pharmacy department and sells the related prescription files. In previous filings, the proceeds received and gain resulting from the sale of pharmacy department prescription files were neither presented on the face of the Cash Flows Statement as an addition to cash flows provided by investing activities nor as a reduction to cash flows provided by operating activities. Going forward, the proceeds received from the sale of pharmacy prescription files will be shown on the face of the Cash Flows Statement as part of proceeds from asset dispositions in investing activities, and the net gain from the sale will be presented as a part of net loss (gain) on asset disposition in operating activities.

In accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin 99, quantifying the impact of the corrected presentation on our Cash Flows Statement, we have determined that the quantitative impact on the Cash Flows Statement was immaterial to both the net cash provided by operating activities and the net cash used by investing activities. In addition, qualitatively, this misstatement had no effect on the Consolidated Income Statements or Consolidated Balance Sheets presented in our filed Form 10-Qs or Form 10-Ks from fiscal year 2011 through the first quarter of 2013. Additionally, there was no impact on our debt covenant requirements or our previous Liquidity and Capital Resources disclosures.
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## Item 2:

## Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial <br> Condition and Results of Operations

## GENERAL

## Executive Overview

Fred's, Inc. and subsidiaries ("Fred's", "We", "Our", "Us" or "Company") operates, as of November 2, 2013, 701 discount general merchandise stores, including 21 franchised Fred's stores, in 15 states in the southeastern United States. There are currently 354 full service pharmacies in our stores.

In our press release filed November 26, 2013, we reported third quarter net income increased $11 \%$ to $\$ 7.3$ million from $\$ 6.6$ million in the same quarter of 2012 and earnings per diluted share also increased $11 \%$ to $\$ 0.20$ from $\$ 0.18$ in the same quarter of 2012. For the first nine months of 2013 , net income was $\$ 22.0$ million or $\$ 0.60$ per diluted share as compared to $\$ 23.1$ million or $\$ 0.63$ per diluted share in the prior year. As previously reported in our 2012 Form 10-K, the first nine months of 2012 was favorably impacted by $\$ 4.2$ million of tax credits primarily related to a settlement with the State of Tennessee in the second quarter of 2012, as well as other tax related adjustments. Excluding this favorable tax credit, net income for the first nine months of 2013 increased $18 \%$ to $\$ 22.0$ million from $\$ 18.9$ million in the prior year, and earnings per diluted share increased $18 \%$ to $\$ 0.60$ from $\$ 0.51$ in the prior year.

Sales for the third quarter of 2013 were $\$ 460.5$ million as compared to $\$ 450.6$ million in 2012, a $2 \%$ increase. On a comparable store basis, sales for the third quarter increased $1.4 \%$ in 2013 compared to a $2.5 \%$ decrease in 2012. Sales for the first nine months of 2013 were $\$ 1.444$ billion as compared to $\$ 1.422$ billion in 2012 , a $2 \%$ increase. On a comparable store basis, sales for the first nine months of the year increased $1.1 \%$ compared to a decrease of $0.8 \%$ in the prior year. For the year to date periods, we experienced both transaction and ticket increases over the prior year.

Our favorable earnings in the third quarter and year-to-date period, when compared to the prior year excluding the 2012 favorable, one-time tax credit, were primarily driven by gross profit improvement and the lower effective income tax rate over the same period last year. Gross profit for the third quarter of 2013 increased $1.5 \%$ to $\$ 140.2$ million or $30.5 \%$ of sales from $\$ 138.1$ million or $30.7 \%$ of sales in the third quarter of 2012. The gross margin deleveraging was driven by increased general merchandise markdowns and shrinkage. For the first nine months of 2013, gross profit increased $2.3 \%$ to $\$ 427.2$ million or $29.6 \%$ of sales from $\$ 417.7$ million or $29.4 \%$ of sales in the prior-year period. The gross margin leveraging for the year-to-date period was driven by higher pharmacy rebates. Operating expenses increased $\$ 1.6$ million in the third quarter of 2013 but leveraged 30 basis points as a percent of sales as compared to the same quarter of 2012. For the first nine months of 2013, operating expenses increased $\$ 6.5$ million and deleveraged 10 basis points as a percent of sales as compared to the same period last year. Operating margin for the third quarter and year-to-date period improved 10 basis points to $2.3 \%$ from $2.2 \%$ in the same period of the prior year.

Our pharmacy department is a key differentiating factor from other small-box discount retailers. Overall, the pharmacy department produced strong results in the quarter, with higher comparable prescription counts and increased gross margins despite continued sales pressure from the large brand-to-generic drug conversions that occurred throughout 2012 and 2013, as well as on-going challenges in third-party reimbursements. Although the sales impact of the brand-to-generic drug conversion is negative, the gross margin on generic drugs is typically higher than brand drugs. Total pharmacy department sales increased $8.3 \%$ and overall scripts increased $4.4 \%$ over last year, helping drive pharmacy department sales over $40 \%$ of total sales for the first time in the Company's history. During the third quarter of 2013, we opened eight new pharmacy departments and closed four pharmacy departments, bringing the total pharmacy departments at quarter end to 354 .

During the first quarter, we announced the launch of our three-year reconfiguration plan designed to regain the momentum we experienced in the prior three years in driving toward our 4\% operating margin goal. The plan has two fundamental principles: to aggressively accelerate our pharmacy department presence and to improve our general merchandise space efficiency and productivity.

Continuing to accelerate pharmacy department growth is a key component of our reconfiguration plan. Under the reconfiguration plan, we are focused on increasing our pharmacy department penetration to $65 \%$ to $70 \%$ of our store base over the next three years from the $50 \%$ penetration rate at the end of 2012. To achieve this goal, we are concentrating on adding pharmacies to existing stores without pharmacy departments, opening all new stores with a pharmacy department and making opportunistic acquisitions that will operate as Xpress pharmacy locations. As a result of these efforts, our pharmacy department penetration rate increased from $50 \%$ at the end of 2012 to $52 \%$ at the end of the third quarter of 2013. This growth in pharmacy department locations will position us to expand our specialty pharmacy program, the fastest-growing segment of the pharmacy industry, in 2013 and beyond. During 2012, we entered into an agreement with Diplomat Specialty Pharmacy to provide clinical and patient administration services necessary to manage our patients who are receiving specialty medications. Specialty medications are high cost drugs that are used to treat chronic or rare conditions such as cancer, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis and other complex diseases. We are pleased with the initial progress surrounding the execution of our specialty pharmacy initiative, including the on-going relationship with

Diplomat Specialty Pharmacy and the launch of our internal specialty pharmacy facility, EIRIS Health Services, which opened late in the third quarter of 2013.
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The reconfiguration plan in our general merchandise department is centered on expanding space in discretionary product lines. The three main areas of focus of our reconfiguration plan are (1) the expansion of our Hometown Auto and Hardware program, (2) expansion of seasonal space and (3) expansion of health and beauty aids in stores with pharmacy departments. In the third quarter of 2012, we began testing our reconfiguration plan by reallocating space in 78 stores to deploy our expanded Hometown Auto and Hardware program. Through the first nine months of 2013, an additional 245 stores have been reset with the expanded Hometown Auto and Hardware program bringing the total stores expanded to 323 , or $46 \%$ of the chain. Our expanded auto and hardware departments continued to perform well in the third quarter by delivering comparable store sales increases of $18 \%$ to $40 \%$, respectively, and outperforming on total comparable store sales above the remainder of the chain. An additional 150 to 175 stores have been identified to be reconfigured during the first quarter of 2014. In stores with pharmacies, our general merchandise product offerings will be tailored to appeal to the pharmacy customer and will include the expansion of health and beauty aids, cosmetics, eye care, vitamins, pain relief and durable medical equipment. Over the next three years, we will reconfigure $12 \%$ to $15 \%$ of our selling square feet from less productive categories on a sales-per-square foot and gross margin-per-square foot basis to more productive categories. Towards these efforts, we expanded the health and beauty aids and seasonal areas in 75 stores during the third quarter. The goal of these changes is to shift our general merchandise business to a healthier balance between higher gross margin discretionary product lines and lower margin consumable products lines while expanding our pharmacy department and healthcare services offerings.

Our Core 5 program was launched in 2010 and continues to be a long-term strategy designed to highlight key categories within our stores that differentiate us from our competition. The Core 5 categories are Pet, Household Supplies, Celebration, Home and Pharmacy and are strong trip driving departments in which Fred's has a clear and marketable advantage versus small box competitors. We continue to see improvement in stores that have been reformatted with the Core 5 layout, especially in the Pet, Household Supplies and Pharmacy Departments.

In addition to the launch of our three-year reconfiguration plan and our ongoing Core 5 program, other key initiatives in 2013 include our Discount Tobacco Shop, introduced in 2012, which continues to provide increased sales and customer traffic, and we expect the positive performance to continue throughout the year. In the first half of the year, we continued our efforts to broaden our food and beverage assortment by adding new and expanded coolers in our top 100 stores in order to add additional branded frozen and refrigerated products. We also plan to expand brands across a number of categories including softlines, toys, automotive and hardware and lawn and garden. Through the first nine months of the year, we introduced recognizable brands such as Dickies, Wrangler, Lee Rider, Fruit of the Loom, Huggies, Dixie, Brawny, Igloo and Barbie to our product offerings. In the second and third quarters of 2013, we provided additional advertising focusing around our discretionary product lines and will continue to do so throughout the fourth quarter. We will continue to focus on improving store productivity through the reconfiguration plan and on initiatives aimed at driving operating margin improvement.

Our fred's® brand initiative continues to be a key strategy for the Company in terms of building customer loyalty and increasing gross margin. As of November 26, 2013, our fred's ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand penetration rate was $18.9 \%$ of consumable product sales, which deleveraged 80 basis points over last year as total consumable sales outpaced the growth of our fred's® brand. The own brand deleveraging can be attributed to the addition and reintroduction of national brands to our product offering, such as Excedrin and Tylenol, and the mandated phase-out of incandescent light bulbs, a popular fred's® brand category. Our commitment to quality in our fred' $s ®$ brand products resonates with our customers, and they continue to make the switch to our fred's® brand. We are continuing to add new products to our own brand line on an ongoing basis and have high levels of penetration in our fred's® branded paper, pet, health aids and automotive categories.

The launch of the Fred's loyalty card in April 2012, called smartcard , rewards customers for qualifying purchases, primarily purchases of fred's® brand products and higher margin categories such as home products, auto and hardware. Since the launch of the smartcard , we've distributed approximately 2.1 million cards to customers with approximately $21 \%$ of those customers with enrolled accounts. The information gained from the usage of the smartcard will be used to grow our loyal customer base and to direct the use of promotional funds towards those customers.

As previously published in our third quarter press release filed November 26, 2013, the Company expects total sales in the fourth quarter to increase in the range of $1 \%$ to $3 \%$. Comparable store sales are expected to be approximately flat to up to $2 \%$. Fred's plans to open a total of 10 to 14 new stores and 25 to 30 new pharmacy departments in 2013 and close approximately 25 stores and 10 pharmacy departments. In 2013, the Company is planning capital expenditures, excluding the acquisition of prescription lists, of approximately $\$ 27.2$ million. Based on this outlook, the Company now expects this fiscal year's total earnings per diluted share to be in the range of $\$ 0.78$ to $\$ 0.83$, which represents an increase of $13 \%$ to $20 \%$ over last year, excluding the 2012 favorable tax credit.
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Key factors that will be critical to the Company's future success include the successful performance of our reconfiguration plan, as well as managing the strategy for opening new stores and pharmacies. The successful opening of new stores and pharmacies includes the ability to open and operate efficiently, maintaining high standards of customer service, maximizing efficiencies in the supply chain, controlling working capital needs through improved inventory turnover, managing the effects of inflation or deflation, controlling product mix, increasing operating margin through improved gross margin and leveraging operating costs and generating adequate cash flow to fund the Company's future needs.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's condensed financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The critical accounting matters that are particularly important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and require some of management's most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, are described in detail in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013. The preparation of condensed financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to inventories, income taxes, insurance reserves, contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Thirteen Weeks Ended November 2, 2013 and October 27, 2012

## Sales

Net sales for the third quarter of 2013 increased to $\$ 460.5$ million from $\$ 450.6$ million in 2012, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 9.9$ million or $2.2 \%$. On a comparable store basis, sales increased $1.4 \%$ compared with a $2.5 \%$ decrease in the same period last year.

General merchandise (non-pharmacy) sales decreased $1.5 \%$ to $\$ 265.8$ million from $\$ 269.8$ million in 2012. We experienced sales decreases in categories such as cleaning supplies, beauty aids, food and stationary which were partially offset by sales increases in tobacco, hardware, and lawn and garden.

The Company's pharmacy department sales were $40.5 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 186.5$ million) in 2013 compared to $38.2 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 172.3$ million) in the prior year and continue to rank as the largest department within the Company. The total sales in this department increased $8.3 \%$ over 2012 with third party prescription sales representing approximately $91 \%$ of total pharmacy sales, the same as in the prior year. The Company's pharmacy department continues to benefit from an ongoing program of purchasing prescription files from independent pharmacies as well as the addition of pharmacy departments in existing store locations.

There were 21 franchised locations as of November 2, 2013 and October 27, 2012. Sales to our franchised locations during 2013 were $\$ 8.2$ million ( $1.8 \%$ of sales) compared to $\$ 8.5$ million ( $1.9 \%$ of sales) in 2012. The Company does not intend to expand its franchise network.
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The following table illustrates the sales mix, unadjusted for deferred layaway sales:

| Pharmaceuticals | 40.1 | $\%$ | 37.9 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Household Goods | 19.4 | $\%$ | 19.9 | $\%$ |
| Food and Tobacco | 17.8 | $\%$ | 17.3 | $\%$ |
| Paper and Cleaning Supplies | 8.8 | $\%$ | 9.4 | $\%$ |
| Health and Beauty Aids | 7.0 | $\%$ | 7.7 | $\%$ |
| Apparel and Linens | 5.2 | $\%$ | 5.9 | $\%$ |
| Franchise | 1.7 | $\%$ | 1.9 | $\%$ |
|  | 100.0 | $\%$ | 100.0 | $\%$ |

For the quarter, comparable store customer traffic decreased $1.0 \%$ over last year while the average customer ticket increased $2.4 \%$ to $\$ 20.76$.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit for the third quarter increased to $\$ 140.2$ million in 2013 from $\$ 138.1$ million in 2012, an increase of $\$ 2.1$ million or $1.5 \%$. The increase in gross profit resulted from higher pharmacy department sales and rebates. Gross margin, measured as a percentage of sales, was $30.5 \%$ in 2013, a decrease of 20 basis points as compared to $30.7 \%$ in the same quarter last year. In the quarter, gross margin deleveraging was driven by higher general merchandise markdowns combined with an increase in general merchandise shrinkage.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses, including depreciation and amortization, increased to $\$ 129.6$ million in 2013 from $\$ 128.0$ million in 2012, but leveraged 30 basis points in 2013 ( $28.2 \%$ of sales) compared to 2012 ( $28.5 \%$ of sales). The 30 basis points leveraging in the third quarter was comprised of operating expense leveraging of approximately 50 basis points primarily due to favorable labor expense ( 40 basis points), insurance expense ( 13 basis points) and a gain on the sale of prescription files ( 7 basis points). This favorability was partially offset by expenses associated with occupancy costs ( 20 basis points) and depreciation and amortization expenses ( 12 basis points) resulting from new store and pharmacy growth.

## Operating Income

Operating income increased to $\$ 10.6$ million in 2013 ( $2.3 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 10.1$ million in 2012 ( $2.2 \%$ of sales). The $\$ 0.5$ million increase in operating income is attributable to the $\$ 2.1$ million increase in gross profit, which was driven by increased pharmacy department sales and rebates, and was partially offset by the $\$ 1.6$ million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as detailed in the Selling, General and Administrative Expenses section above.

## Interest Expense, Net

Net interest expense for the third quarter totaled $\$ 0.1$ million or less than $0.1 \%$ of sales, unchanged from the third quarter of 2012.

## Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased $\$ 0.2$ million to $\$ 3.2$ million in 2013 from $\$ 3.4$ million in 2012. The effective income tax rate was $30.6 \%$ in 2013 compared to $34.3 \%$ in 2012. The decrease in the effective income tax rate is due to the reinstatement of the federal Work Opportunity Tax Credits (WOTC), which have Congressional approval this year but were not approved last year until late in the fourth quarter.

## Net Income

Net income for the third quarter totaled $\$ 7.3$ million ( $\$ 0.20$ per diluted share) in 2013 as compared to $\$ 6.6$ million ( $\$ 0.18$ per diluted share) in 2012, an increase of $\$ 0.7$ million. The increase in net income was due to the $\$ 2.1$ million increase in gross profit as detailed in the Gross Profit section above and the $\$ 0.2$ million tax expense decrease, which was primarily the result of the lower effective tax rate as described in the Income Taxes section. The increase in gross profit more than offset the $\$ 1.6$ million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses resulting from new store and pharmacy growth.

## Thirtv-nine Weeks Ended November 2, 2013 and October 27, 2012

## Sales

Net sales for the first nine months of 2013 increased to $\$ 1.444$ billion from $\$ 1.422$ billion in 2012, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 22.3$ million or $1.6 \%$. On a comparable store basis, sales increased $1.1 \%$ compared with a $0.8 \%$ decrease in the same period last year.

Total general merchandise (non-pharmacy) sales increased $0.1 \%$ to $\$ 877.0$ million in 2013 from $\$ 876.1$ million in 2012. The increase resulted primarily from categories such as tobacco, hardware, beverage, and cosmetics, which were partially offset by sales decreases in beauty aids, cleaning supplies and home furnishings.

The Company's pharmacy department sales were $37.6 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 542.6$ million) in 2013 compared to $36.6 \%$ of total sales ( $\$ 519.9$ million) in the prior year and continue to rank as the largest department within the Company. The total sales in this department increased $4.4 \%$ over 2012 with third party prescription sales representing approximately $91 \%$ of total pharmacy sales, the same as in the prior year. The Company's pharmacy department continues to benefit from an ongoing program of purchasing prescription files from independent pharmacies as well as the addition of pharmacy departments in existing store locations, in line with our reconfiguration plan.

During 2013, there were no changes to franchised locations, with 21 franchised locations as of November 2, 2013, the same as October 27, 2012. Sales to our franchised locations during 2013 decreased to $\$ 24.7$ million ( $1.7 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 25.9$ million ( $1.8 \%$ of sales) in 2012. The Company does not intend to expand its franchise network.

The following table illustrates the sales mix, unadjusted for deferred layaway sales:

|  | Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | November 2, 2013 | October 27, 2012 |  |  |
| Pharmaceuticals | 37.4 | $\%$ | 36.4 | $\%$ |
| Household Goods | 21.6 | $\%$ | 22.3 | $\%$ |
| Food and Tobacco | 17.7 | $\%$ | 16.7 | $\%$ |
| Paper and Cleaning Supplies | 8.7 | $\%$ | 9.0 | $\%$ |
| Health and Beauty Aids | 7.1 | $\%$ | 7.5 | $\%$ |
| Apparel and Linens | 5.8 | $\%$ | 6.3 | $\%$ |
| Franchise | 1.7 | $\%$ | 1.8 | $\%$ |
|  | 100.0 | $\%$ | 100.0 | $\%$ |

For the first nine months, comparable store customer traffic increased $0.1 \%$ over last year and the average customer ticket increased $1.0 \%$ to \$20.79.

## Gross Profit

Gross profit for the first nine months of 2013 increased to $\$ 427.2$ million from $\$ 417.7$ million in 2012, a year-over-year increase of $\$ 9.5$ million or $2.3 \%$. Gross margin, measured as a percentage of sales was $29.6 \%$ in 2013 as compared to $29.4 \%$ last year. In the first nine months of 2013, the gross margin improvement was driven by increases in pharmacy department rebates.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses, including depreciation and amortization were $\$ 393.6$ million in the first nine months of 2013 ( $27.3 \%$ of sales) as compared to $\$ 387.1$ million in 2012 ( $27.2 \%$ of sales). The 10 basis points deleveraging in the first nine months of 2013 was comprised of approximately 20 basis points deleveraging primarily driven by increased depreciation and amortization expenses ( 16 basis points) and occupancy costs ( 6 basis points) associated with new store and pharmacy growth, partially offset by lower insurance expense ( 13 basis points).

## Operating Income

Operating income increased to $\$ 33.6$ million in 2013 ( $2.3 \%$ of sales) from $\$ 30.6$ million in 2012 ( $2.2 \%$ of sales). The year-over-year increase of $\$ 3.0$ million is attributable to the $\$ 9.5$ million increase in gross profit that was primarily driven by the increase in pharmacy department rebates, and was partially offset by the $\$ 6.5$ million of increased selling, general and administrative expenses as described in the Selling, General and Administrative section above.
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## Interest Expense, Net

Net interest expense for 2013 totaled $\$ 0.4$ million or less than $0.1 \%$ of sales, unchanged from 2012.

## Income Taxes

Income tax expense increased $\$ 4.1$ million to $\$ 11.2$ million in 2013 from $\$ 7.1$ million in 2012. The effective income tax rate was $33.7 \%$ in 2013 compared to $23.6 \%$ in 2012. During the second quarter of 2012, income tax expense was favorably impacted by $\$ 4.2$ million, or $\$ 0.12$ per diluted share, related to a state income tax settlement with the State of Tennessee and other tax related assumptions and estimates. Excluding the impact of these favorable tax credits, the effective income tax rate for the year-to-date period would have been $37.5 \%$ in 2012 . The lower effective tax rate in 2013 reflects the impact of the WOTC, which have Congressional approval this year but were not approved last year until late in the fourth quarter.

## Net Income

Net income decreased to $\$ 22.0$ million ( $\$ .60$ per diluted share) in 2013 from $\$ 23.1$ million ( $\$ .63$ per diluted share) in 2012, a decrease of $\$ 1.1$ million. The decrease in net income was due to the $\$ 6.5$ million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as detailed in the Selling, General and Administrative Expenses section above and the $\$ 4.1$ million tax expense, which was primarily driven by the 2012 favorable tax credit as described in the Income Taxes section. These increased expenses were partially offset by the $\$ 9.5$ million increase in gross profit that was primarily driven by higher pharmacy department vendor rebates. Excluding the impact of the favorable tax credit in 2012, net income for the third quarter increased $16.9 \%$ from $\$ 18.9$ million in the third quarter of 2012.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Due to the seasonality of our business and the increase in the number of stores and pharmacies, inventories are generally lower at year-end than at each quarter-end of the following year.

Cash provided by operating activities totaled $\$ 57.5$ million during the thirty-nine week period ended November 2, 2013 compared to $\$ 35.7$ million in the same period of the prior year. We generated operating cash flow primarily through $\$ 22.0$ million in year-to-date net income, an increase in operating liabilities of $\$ 41.7$ million and a $\$ 31.5$ million increase in depreciation and amortization, primarily resulting from our investment in new store and pharmacy growth. Also contributing to operating cash flow was an increase to stock based compensation of $\$ 1.9$ million and an increase to the LIFO reserve of $\$ 1.9$ million. Operating cash flows were partially offset by an inventory increase of $\$ 34.1$ million, an increase to all other operating assets of $\$ 3.6$ million, a deferred income tax benefit of $\$ 2.9$ million, and a gain on asset disposition of $\$ 1.4$ million.

Cash used in investing activities totaled $\$ 30.2$ million during the thirty-nine week period ended November 2, 2013, and consisted primarily of capital expenditures of $\$ 20.6$ million related to existing store and pharmacy expenditures ( $\$ 13.8$ million), technology and other corporate expenditures ( $\$ 4.6$ million) and new store and pharmacy expenditures ( $\$ 2.2$ million). In addition, the Company planned expenditures of approximately $\$ 18.8$ million in 2013 for the acquisition of prescription lists and other pharmacy related items of which $\$ 13.1$ million has been spent to date. During the first nine months of 2013, we opened 17 new store locations, consisting of 7 new stores and 10 new Xpress pharmacies. Fred's also closed 21 stores and 7 Xpress locations during this period. In 2013, the Company is planning capital expenditures, excluding the acquisition of prescription lists, of approximately $\$ 27.2$ million. Expenditures are planned totaling $\$ 18.0$ million for new and existing stores and pharmacies. Planned expenditures also include approximately $\$ 5.2$ million for technology upgrades and approximately $\$ 4.0$ million for distribution center equipment and other capital maintenance. Technology upgrades in 2013 will be made in the areas of IT software and hardware and store and pharmacy server upgrades. To date, the Company has spent $\$ 2.2$ million towards these improvements.

Cash used by financing activities totaled $\$ 13.9$ million during the thirty-nine week period ended November 2, 2013. During the first nine months of 2013, we borrowed $\$ 192.7$ million under the revolving line of credit and made payments of $\$ 199.6$ million on the revolving line of credit. We also paid cash dividends of $\$ 6.6$ million. There were $\$ 5.4$ million in borrowings outstanding at November 2, 2013 related to real estate mortgages compared to $\$ 6.6$ million at February 2, 2013. The decrease is attributable to $\$ 1.2$ million of payments on mortgage debt. There were no borrowings under the revolving line of credit at November 2, 2013.

We believe that sufficient capital resources are available in both the short-term and long-term through currently available cash and cash generated from future operations and, if necessary, the ability to obtain additional financing.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Other than statements based on historical facts, many of the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q relate to events which we expect or anticipate may occur in the future. Such statements are defined as "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act"), 15 U.S.C. Sections 77z-2 and 78u-5. The Reform Act created a safe harbor to protect companies from securities law liability in connection with forward-looking statements. We intend to qualify both our written and oral forward-looking statements for protection under the Reform Act and any other similar safe harbor provisions.
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The words "believe", "anticipate", "project", "plan", "expect", "estimate", "objective", "forecast", "goal", "intend", "will likely result", or "will conti expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain, and concern matters that involve risks and other factors that may cause the actual performance of the Company to differ materially from the performance expressed or implied by these statements. Therefore, forward-looking statements should be evaluated in the context of these uncertainties and risks, including but not limited to:

- Economic and weather conditions which affect buying patterns of our customers and supply chain efficiency.
- Changes in consumer spending and our ability to anticipate buying patterns and implement appropriate inventory strategies.
- Continued availability of capital and financing.
- Competitive factors.
- Changes in the merchandise supply chain.
- Changes in reimbursement practices for pharmaceuticals.
- Governmental regulation.
- Increases in fuel and utility rates.
- Potential adverse results in the litigation described under Legal Proceedings on page 20.
- Other factors affecting business beyond our control, including (but not limited to) those discussed under Part 1, ITEM 1A "Risk Factors" of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013.

Consequently, all forward-looking statements are qualified by this cautionary statement. Readers should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date on which it was made.

## Item 3.

## QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

We have no holdings of derivative financial or commodity instruments as of November 2, 2013. We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. We had no borrowings under our Revolving Loan and Credit Agreement, which bears interest at our option, on a sliding scale from $1.00 \%-1.625 \%$ plus LIBOR, or an alternative base rate. An increase in interest rates of 100 basis points would not significantly affect our income. All of our business is transacted in U.S. dollars and, accordingly, foreign exchange rate fluctuations have not had a significant impact on us, and they are not expected to in the foreseeable future.

## Item 4.

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Additionally, they concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that the Company is required to file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.
(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have been no changes during the quarter ended November 2, 2013 in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In July 2008, a lawsuit styled Jessica Chapman, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, v. Fred's Stores of Tennessee, Inc. was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division, in which the plaintiff alleges that she and other female assistant store managers are paid less than comparable males and seeks compensable damages, liquidated damages, attorney fees and court costs. The plaintiff filed a motion seeking collective action. On or about March 15, 2013, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation that the case be conditionally certified as a collective action, which the District Court Judge affirmed. As a result, notice of a collective action was sent to the appropriate class as required by the Court. Approximately 194 plaintiffs have opted into the suit. The Company believes that all of its assistant managers have been properly paid and that the matter is not appropriate for collective action treatment. The Company is and will continue to vigorously defend this matter, however; it is not possible to predict whether Chapman will ultimately be able to proceed collectively and no assurances can be given that the Company will be successful in the defense of the action on the merits or otherwise. In accordance with FASB ASC 450, "Contingencies", the Company does not believe that a loss in this matter is probable at this time. For these reasons, the Company is unable to estimate any potential loss or range of loss in the matter. The Company has tendered the matter to its Employment Practices Liability Insurance ("EPLI") carrier for coverage under its EPLI policy. At this time, the Company expects that the EPLI carrier will participate in the defense or resolution of part or all of the potential claims.

In addition to the matters disclosed above, the Company is party to several pending legal proceedings and claims arising in the normal course of business. Although the outcome of the proceedings and claims cannot be determined with certainty, management of the Company is of the opinion that these proceedings and claims should not have a material adverse effect on the financial statements as a whole. However, litigation involves an element of uncertainty. Future developments could cause these actions or claims, individually or in aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on the financial statements as a whole.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risk factors listed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013, should be considered with the information provided elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which could materially adversely affect the business, financial condition or results of operations. There have been no material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in such Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On August 27, 2007, the Board of Directors approved a plan that authorized stock repurchases of up to 4.0 million shares of the Company's common stock. Under the plan, the Company may repurchase its common stock in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions at such times and at such prices as determined to be in the Company's best interest. On February 16, 2012, Fred's Board authorized the expansion of the Company's existing stock repurchase program by increasing the authorization to repurchase an additional 3.6 million shares or approximately $10 \%$ of the current outstanding shares. These repurchases may be commenced or suspended without prior notice depending on then-existing business or market conditions and other factors. The following table sets forth the amounts of our common stock purchased by the Company through November 2, 2013 (amounts in thousands, except price data). The repurchased shares have been cancelled and returned to authorized but unissued shares.

|  | Total Number of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number | Average Prichares Purchased as | Authorized |
| of Shares | Average Priceart of Publicly | Share |
| Purchased | Announced Plans or | Expansion |

Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Program

| Balance at February 2, 2013 |  |  | $3,040.8$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| February 3, - March 2, 2013 |  |  | $3,040.8$ |  |
| March 4, - April 6, 2013 | - | - | - | $3,040.8$ |
| April 7, - May 4, 2013 | - | $\$$ | - | - |
| May 5, - June 1, 2013 | - | $\$$ | - | - |
| June 2, - July 6, 2013 | - | $\$-$ | - | $3,040.8$ |
| July 7, - August 3, 2013 | - | $\$$ | - | - |
| August 4, - August 31, 2013 - <br> September 1, - October 5, - | $\$-$ | - | $3,040.8$ |  |
| 2013 | $\$-$ | - | $3,040.8$ |  |
| October 6, - November 2, | - | $\$-$ | - | $3,040.8$ |
| 2013 |  |  | $3,040.8$ |  |

## Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13a 14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: December 12, 2013

Date: December 12, 2013

FRED'S, INC.
/s/ Bruce A. Efird
Bruce A. Efird
Chief Executive Officer and President
/s/ Jerry A. Shore
Jerry A. Shore
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
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[^0]:    See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

[^1]:    See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

