TRI-CONTINENTAL CORP Form DEFA14A September 11, 2006

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 Filed by the Registrant |X| Filed by a party other than the Registrant |_| Check the appropriate box: 1_1 Preliminary proxy statement. Definitive proxy statement. 1_1 |X| Definitive additional materials. Soliciting material under Rule 14a-12. 1_1 Confidential, for use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 1_1 14a-6(e)(2). TRI-CONTINENTAL CORPORATION (Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (check the appropriate box):

- |X| No fee required.
- 1_1 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- (1)Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: (2)
- Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant (3) to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4)Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- Total fee paid: (5)
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. 1_1
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act 1_1 Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.
- Amount Previously Paid: (1)

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:

Debt Strategies Fund, Inc.

Diversified Income Strategies Portfolio, Inc. Floating Rate Income Strategies Fund, Inc.

(4) Date Filed:

The table below lists some of the registered management investment companies that are closed-end funds that have one-third or lower quorum requirements for stockholder meetings.

Name of Closed-End Fund	Source
Pioneer High Income Trust Pioneer Municipal High Income Trust Pioneer Municipal High Income Advantage Trust	Proxy Statement
Taiwan Greater China Fund	Amended and Restated Bylaws
SunAmerica Focused Alpha Large-Cap Fund, Inc.	Proxy Statement
The Asia Pacific Fund, Inc.	Form N-2 Amendment No. 21
The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc.	Amended and Restated Bylaws
Energy Income and Growth Fund First Trust Value Line(R) 100 Fund First Trust /Fiduciary Asset Management Covered Call Fund First Trust /Aberdeen Global Opportunity Income Fund First Trust /FIDAC Mortgage Income Fund First Trust Strategic High Income Fund	Joint Proxy Statement
The S&P 500(R)Protected Equity Fund, Inc. Muni Intermediate Duration Fund, Inc. Muni New York Intermediate Duration Fund. Inc.	Merrill Lynch Funds Joint Proxy Statement

Floating Rate Income Strategies Fund II, Inc. MuniHoldings Fund, Inc. MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. MuniHoldings Insured Fund, Inc. MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. MuniHoldings New York Insured Fund, Inc. Corporate High Yield Fund III, Inc. Corporate High Yield Fund V, Inc. Corporate High Yield Fund VI, Inc. MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. Capital And Income Strategies Fund, Inc. Preferred Income Strategies Fund, Inc. Preferred and Corporate Income Strategies Fund, Inc. Enhanced Equity Yield Fund, Inc. Enhanced Government Fund, Inc. Enhanced Government Fund, Inc.	
Name of Closed-End Fund	Source
The European Equity Fund, Inc.	Amended and Restated Bylaws
Federated Premier Intermediate Municipal Income Fund	Proxy Statement
Federated Premier Municipal Income Fund	Proxy Statement
Foxby Corp.	Proxy Statement
Intermediate Muni Fund, Inc.	 Proxy Statement
The New Germany Fund, Inc.	Amended and Restated Bylaws

Closed-End Investment
Companies
12th Annual Discount Review

Putnam Premier Income Trust

Proxy Statement

ΤY

Prepared by J. & W. Seligman & Co. Incorporated

March 2006

IDC INDEPE	NDENT DIRECTORS COUNCIL(TM)
	pice of mutual fund directors at the Investment Company Institute
	or Oversight of Dle Funds
_	endent Directors Council Porce Report 205
[LOGO]	INVESTMENT COMPANY INSTITUTE (R)
Suite	1 Street, NW 1200 ugton, DC 20005
	nications from the Institute or the Independent Directors Council do not tute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.
part o	ght (C) 2005 by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. No of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or mitted in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, ding, or otherwise without the express written permission of ICI.
	Table of Contents
	ive Summary
I.	Introduction
II.	Prevalent Fund and Board Structures 1
III.	Efficiencies of Board Oversight of Multiple Funds
	A. Common Regulatory Structure 3
	B. Common Personnel and Service Providers
	C. Complex-Wide Oversight Mechanisms 5

	D.	Enhanced Board Influence	6
IV.	Stra	tegies to Facilitate Oversight of Multiple Funds	6
	Α.	Organization and Composition of the Board	7
	В.	Frequency of Meetings	7
	C.	Good Governance Practices	7
	D.	Use of Committees	8
	Ε.	Professional Assistance for the Board	8
	F.	Transferring Information Outside of Meetings	8
V.	Impa	ct of Limiting the Number of Funds Overseen be Fund Directors	9
	Α.	Further Inefficiencies and Increased Costs	10
	В.	Other Possible Negative Consequences	10
VI.	Conc	lusion	11
APPEND	EX A		A-1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- o The unitary or cluster board structure, in which directors oversee all or many of the funds in a complex, is prevalent in the industry and is consistent with good governance.
- Neither Congress nor the Securities and Exchange Commission have found that imposing an arbitrary limit on the number of funds a director may oversee would be in the best interests of shareholders. Rather, the SEC has required that boards evaluate their performance in this regard as part of an annual self-assessment.
- o Mutual funds within a fund family share the same investment adviser and other key service providers and, as a result, significant efficiencies are realized when a single or limited number of boards oversees all of the funds.
- o Independent directors control who serves as an independent director and the amount of compensation for each director. Control of these processes by independent directors is a critical factor in assuring director independence.
- o Oversight of significant assets enhances a board's knowledge and expertise and its ability to influence fund management and key service providers and, as a result, enhances the board's effectiveness in serving the interests of shareholders.
- The tasks of a fund director involve a common regulatory structure, common personnel and service providers and oversight mechanisms that are complex-wide. These tasks contrast significantly with the role of a corporate director that, in many cases, involves oversight of multiple product lines, several business units, numerous business plans and management teams, and diverse regulatory structures and compliance issues.

- o Mutual fund boards have developed a number of techniques and strategies that address the challenges inherent in the oversight of multiple funds and that enable directors to meet their responsibilities to shareholders.
- o Limiting the number of funds a director may oversee is not only inefficient, it would result in significant additional management, service provider and director costs to shareholders.
- o Board annual assessments provide an opportunity for boards to periodically evaluate their ability to continue to provide appropriate oversight to the number of funds for which they are responsible. A board can take into account a number of relevant factors, including the types of funds involved, the support the board receives and the strategies the board uses to manage its workload.