

Approach Resources Inc
Form DEF 14A
April 24, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Approach Resources Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: Approach Resources Inc - Form DEF 14A

- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- 5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- 1) Amount Previously Paid:

- 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

- 3) Filing Party:

- 4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

One Ridgmar Centre
6500 West Freeway, Suite 800
Fort Worth, Texas 76116

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

The 2013 annual meeting of stockholders of Approach Resources Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held at the offices of Approach Resources Inc., located at One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800 in Fort Worth, Texas, on Thursday, May 30, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. Central Time, for the following purposes:

To elect two directors, J. Ross Craft and Bryan H. Lawrence, to the class of directors whose term expires in 2016;

To hold an advisory vote to approve executive compensation;

To ratify the appointment of Hein & Associates LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and

To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

You may vote if you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on April 12, 2013. To ensure that your vote is properly recorded, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting. You may still vote in person if you attend the annual meeting. For further details about voting, please see **General Matters** beginning on page 1 of this proxy statement.

If your shares are held in street name in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you must provide your broker with instructions on how to vote your shares in order for your shares to be voted on important matters presented at the annual meeting. If you do not instruct your broker on how to vote in the election of directors or the advisory vote to approve executive compensation, your shares will not

**Notice of 2013
Annual Meeting of
Stockholders
and
Proxy Statement**

Thursday, May 30, 2013
10:00 a.m. Central Time

One Ridgmar Centre
6500 West Freeway,

Suite 800

Fort Worth, Texas

be voted on these matters.

This notice and proxy statement and enclosed proxy card are first being sent to stockholders on or about April 24, 2013. Our 2012 annual report to stockholders is being sent with this notice and proxy statement.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

J. Ross Craft, P.E.

President and Chief Executive Officer

April 24, 2013

Fort Worth, Texas

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL MATTERS</u>	1
<u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	3
<u>Nomination and Election of Directors</u>	3
<u>Directors</u>	4
<u>Vote Required</u>	7
<u>Board Recommendation</u>	7
<u>PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	8
<u>Vote Required</u>	9
<u>Board Recommendation</u>	9
<u>PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	9
<u>Vote Required</u>	10
<u>Board Recommendation</u>	10
<u>BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BOARD MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES</u>	10
<u>Board Structure and Meetings</u>	10
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	10
<u>Committee Composition and Meetings</u>	11
<u>Audit Committee</u>	12
<u>Compensation and Nominating Committee</u>	13
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	15
<u>Corporate Governance Policies and Practices</u>	15
<u>Code of Conduct</u>	16
<u>Board Independence</u>	16
<u>Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors</u>	16
<u>Communications with the Board</u>	17
<u>Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders</u>	17
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP MATTERS</u>	17
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	17
<u>Security Ownership of Management and Certain Beneficial Owners</u>	18
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	19
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	21
<u>Introduction and Overview</u>	21
<u>Executive Summary</u>	21
<u>Corporate Governance Matters and Compensation Program Changes and Highlights</u>	24
<u>Compensation Program Objectives and Methodology</u>	25
<u>Pay for Performance in 2012</u>	27
<u>Setting Executive Officer Compensation</u>	29
<u>Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions</u>	30
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	46
<u>COMPENSATION PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO RISK MANAGEMENT</u>	46
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	46

Table of Contents

<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	47
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	47
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Year Ended December 31, 2012</u>	48
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End</u>	50
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	51
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	52
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation</u>	52
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	52
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	59
<u>Annual Retainer and Meeting Fees</u>	59
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	60
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS</u>	60
<u>Audit Fees</u>	61
<u>Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures</u>	61
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	61
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	63
<u>Other Proposals at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	63
<u>Submission of Stockholder Proposals and Other Deadlines for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	63
<u>2012 Annual Report to Stockholders</u>	64
<u>Stockholder List</u>	64
<u>Additional Information About Approach Resources Inc.</u>	64

Table of Contents

APPROACH RESOURCES INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

May 30, 2013

These proxy materials are being furnished to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Approach Resources Inc. for use at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting. We refer to our Board of Directors as the Board and to Approach Resources Inc. as Approach, the Company, we, us or our. The annual meeting will be held at the of the Company, One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800 in Fort Worth, Texas, on Thursday, May 30, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. Central Time.

The items to be considered are summarized in the notice of annual meeting of stockholders and more fully described in this proxy statement. The notice of annual meeting, this proxy statement, the enclosed proxy card and our 2012 annual report to stockholders are first being mailed on or about April 24, 2013, to all record holders of our common stock as of April 12, 2013. Shares of our common stock represented by proxies will be voted as described below or as specified by each stockholder.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials

for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 30, 2013

The notice of annual meeting, this proxy statement, the form of proxy card and our 2012 annual report to stockholders are available at www.amstock.com/ProxyServices/ViewMaterial.asp?CoNumber=15649. On this site, you will be able to access these materials and any amendments or supplements to these materials that are required to be furnished to stockholders. Information contained on or connected to this website is not incorporated by reference into this proxy statement and should not be considered a part of this proxy statement.

GENERAL MATTERS

Record date. The record date for the annual meeting is April 12, 2013. On the record date, there were 38,839,401 shares of our common stock outstanding and there were no outstanding shares of any other class of stock. Our stockholders are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock that is owned on the record date, April 12, 2013, on all matters considered at the annual meeting.

Quorum. In order for us to hold our annual meeting, holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock as of April 12, 2013, must be present in person or by proxy at the meeting. Proxy cards or voting instruction forms that reflect abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted as shares present to determine whether a quorum exists to hold the 2013 annual meeting.

Shares Held of Record. If your shares are held in your name, you may vote your shares either in person or by proxy. To vote by proxy, you may vote by telephone by using the toll-free number listed on the proxy card, by the Internet at the website for Internet voting listed on the proxy card or you may mark, date, sign and mail the enclosed proxy card in the prepaid envelope. If you vote by granting a proxy, the proxy holders will vote the shares according to your instructions. If you submit a proxy without giving specific voting instructions, the proxy holders will vote those shares as recommended by our Board. If you plan to vote in person at the annual meeting, please bring proof of identification.

Table of Contents

Even if you currently plan to attend the annual meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy as described above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the annual meeting.

Shares Held in Street Name. If your shares are held in street name by your broker, bank or other nominee, you will receive a voting instruction form with this proxy statement. Like shares held of record, you may vote your shares held in street name in person at the annual meeting if you have obtained a legal proxy from the holder of record for your shares or you may sign and date the enclosed voting instruction form and return it in the enclosed, postage-paid envelope. You may also vote by telephone or through the Internet if your broker, bank or other nominee makes these methods available, in which case your broker, bank or other nominee has provided applicable instructions to do so. If your shares are held in street name in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you must provide your broker with instructions on how to vote your shares in order for your shares to be voted on Proposals 1 and 2. If you do not instruct your broker on how to vote these proposals, your shares will not be voted on these matters.

Revoking Your Proxy. If your shares are held of record, even after you have returned your proxy card or voted by telephone or via the Internet, you may revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised by (i) submitting a written notice of revocation to our Corporate Secretary by mail to Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116 or by facsimile at (817) 989-9001, (ii) mailing in a new proxy card with a later date, (iii) submitting a proxy with new voting instructions using the telephone or Internet voting system, or (iv) attending the annual meeting and voting in person, which suspends the powers of the proxy holder. If your shares are held in street name, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, bank or other nominee in accordance with such broker's, bank's or other nominee's procedures.

Vote Required. For Proposal 1, the election of two directors, you may vote **FOR ALL NOMINEES**, **WITHHOLD AUTHORITY FOR ALL NOMINEES** or **FOR ALL EXCEPT**. A plurality of the votes of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors is required for the election of directors. This means that the two director nominees receiving the highest number of affirmative votes of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors will be elected to our Board. Broker non-votes and votes marked **WITHHOLD AUTHORITY FOR ALL NOMINEES** will have no legal effect on the outcome of the election of directors. With respect to votes marked **FOR ALL EXCEPT**, votes for director nominees that are withheld will have no legal effect on the outcome of the election of directors, while votes for all other director nominees will count toward a plurality.

For each of Proposals 2 and 3, you may vote **FOR**, **AGAINST** or **ABSTAIN**. The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote is required to approve Proposals 2 and 3. As such, abstentions will have the effect of a vote against the matters to be voted on in Proposals 2 and 3. Brokers will not have discretionary authority to vote on Proposal 2, and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of such vote. Brokers will have discretionary authority to vote on Proposal 3.

Costs of Solicitation. We will bear all costs incurred in the solicitation of proxies, including the preparation, printing and mailing of these proxy materials. In addition to solicitation by mail, our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies personally or by telephone, email, facsimile or

Table of Contents

other means, without additional compensation. We may also make arrangements with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for the forwarding of solicitation material to the beneficial owners of shares of common stock held by such persons, and we may reimburse those brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred in connection therewith. To assist in the solicitation of proxies, we have engaged Okapi Partners LLC, which will receive a fee of approximately \$7,500, plus out-of-pocket expenses.

If you have any questions about this proxy statement or the annual meeting, please contact our Corporate Secretary at Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116, or by telephone at (817) 989-9000.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nomination and Election of Directors

Under our certificate of incorporation, the members of our Board are divided into three classes with staggered, three-year terms. The terms of two Class III directors expire at the 2013 annual meeting.

The Board has nominated J. Ross Craft and Bryan H. Lawrence for re-election as directors. Upon election, Mr. Craft and Mr. Lawrence will serve for terms expiring at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders or, in each case, until their successors are elected and qualified.

Each of the nominees has agreed to serve if elected. If either of them becomes unavailable to serve as a director, the Board may designate a substitute nominee. In that case, the persons named as proxies will vote for the substitute nominee designated by the Board. The Board may also reduce by resolution the number of directors. The Board does not presently expect that either of the nominees will become unavailable for election.

In making these nominations, the Compensation and Nominating Committee (Compensation Committee) reviewed the background of the nominees and recommended nomination to the full Board consistent with the Compensation Committee s guidelines for identifying and evaluating nominees for directors. Please see Corporate Governance Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors for more information on the Compensation Committee s guidelines for identifying and nominating director nominees. In addition, information on each nominee is set forth below.

Table of Contents**Directors**

The Board believes that each nominee and director has valuable individual skills and experiences that, taken together, provide us with the knowledge, judgment and strategic vision necessary to provide effective oversight of the Company. The biographies below reflect the particular experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led the Board to conclude that each nominee and director should serve on the Board, including:

Nominee/Director	Qualifications and Experience
Mr. Bell, Mr. Craft, Mr. Crain, Mr. Lubar and Mr. Whyte Mr. Craft	Experience in executive management and operations in exploration and production (E&P), or oilfield service companies Detailed, technical understanding of the Company s operations, reserves, drilling and completion techniques
Mr. Bell, Mr. Brandi, Mr. Craft, Mr. Crain, Mr. Lawrence, Mr. Lubar and Mr. Whyte Mr. Bell, Mr. Brandi, Mr. Crain, Mr. Lawrence, Mr. Lubar and Mr. Whyte	Deep history and knowledge of asset acquisitions, divestitures and evaluations in the E&P and broader energy sector Expertise in public and private capital markets in the E&P and broader energy sector Oversight of E&P, midstream, oilfield services and other energy companies through other public boards of directors
Mr. Bell and Mr. Crain Mr. Crain and Mr. Lubar Mr. Bell, Mr. Brandi and Mr. Lawrence Mr. Lubar	Board independence An advanced degree or license in public accounting An advanced degree in law or the practice of oil and gas law An advanced degree in business Government service in the executive branch

The Board believes that these skills and experiences qualify the nominees and directors to serve on the Board of the Company.

The principal occupation and other information about our directors is set forth below. All of our directors are members of the National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD).

Name	Age	Director Since	Term Expires	Class
Alan D. Bell	67	2010	2014	Class I
James H. Brandi	64	2007	2015	Class II
J. Ross Craft, P.E.	56	2002	2013	Class III
James C. Crain	64	2007	2015	Class II
Bryan H. Lawrence	70	2002	2013	Class III
Sheldon B. Lubar	83	2007	2014	Class I
Christopher J. Whyte	56	2007	2014	Class I

Table of Contents

Alan D. Bell was appointed to our Board in August 2010 and is Chairman of our Audit Committee and a member of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Bell's prior experience includes 33 years in various capacities at Ernst & Young LLP from 1973 until his retirement in 2006, when he was Director of Ernst & Young's Energy Practice in the Southwest United States. Before joining Ernst & Young, Mr. Bell was a production engineer with Chevron Oil Company in the Gulf of Mexico. During the past five years, Mr. Bell has also been a director of Toreador Resources Corporation, an independent energy company, and Chairman of the Board of Dune Energy Inc., an independent energy company based in Houston. In 2009, Mr. Bell served as the Chief Restructuring Officer of Energy Partners Ltd., a New Orleans-based exploration and development company that emerged from Chapter 11 in September 2009. Mr. Bell also serves on the Board of Directors of the North Texas chapter of the NACD. Mr. Bell earned a degree in Petroleum Engineering from the Colorado School of Mines and an M.B.A. from Tulane University. He is a current member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in Texas. Mr. Bell is also a member of the Institute of Certified Management Accountants, Association of Certified Fraud Examiners and the Society of Petroleum Engineers and is a NACD Board Leadership Fellow. Mr. Bell is also an Eagle Scout.

James H. Brandi joined us as a director in June 2007 and is Chairman of our Compensation Committee and a member of our Audit Committee. Mr. Brandi's prior work experience includes serving as Managing Director in investment banking at BNP Paribas, a global bank and financial services company, from May 2010, when BNP Paribas acquired Hill Street Capital, until November 2011. From November 2005 to May 2010, Mr. Brandi was a partner at Hill Street Capital, a financial advisory and private investment firm. From 2000 until November 2005, Mr. Brandi was a Managing Director at UBS Securities, LLC, where he was the Deputy Global Head of the Energy and Power Groups. Before 2000, Mr. Brandi was a Managing Director at Dillon, Read & Co. Inc. and later its successor firm, UBS Warburg, concentrating on transactions in the energy and consumer goods areas. Mr. Brandi is a director of OGE Energy Corp., which operates electric utility and midstream natural gas businesses, and Chairman of the Board of Carbon Natural Gas Company, an independent oil and gas company. During the past five years, Mr. Brandi also has been a director of Energy East Corp., a utility holding company. Mr. Brandi is a trustee of The Kenyon Review and a former trustee of Kenyon College. Mr. Brandi holds a B.A. in History from Yale University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School and attended Columbia Law School as a Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar.

J. Ross Craft, P.E. has been our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board since our inception in September 2002. Before Approach, Mr. Craft co-founded Athanor Resources Inc., an international exploration and production company with operations in the United States and Tunisia, in 1998 and was its Executive Vice President from 1998 until its merger with Nuevo Energy Company in September 2002. From 1988 to 1997, Mr. Craft served in various positions with American Cometra Inc., an independent exploration and production company with operations in the United States, including as Vice President - Operations from 1995 to 1997. American Cometra was sold in two parts, to Range Resources in 1995 and Pioneer Natural Resources in 1997. Mr. Craft has over 30 years of experience in the oil and gas industry. Mr. Craft holds a B.S. in Petroleum Engineering from Texas A&M University and is a registered Professional Engineer licensed in Texas. Mr. Craft is a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers, the Texas Oil & Gas Association, the Permian Basin Petroleum Association and the Independent Petroleum Association of America. Mr. Craft has served on the Board of the Fort Worth Chapter of the Society of Petroleum Engineers and on the Board of the Fort Worth Petroleum Engineers Club, where his last position was President. Mr. Craft is also an Eagle Scout. Mr. Craft is the brother-in-law of J. Curtis Henderson, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

Table of Contents

James C. Crain joined us as a director in June 2007 and is a member of our Audit Committee and our Compensation Committee. Mr. Crain has been in the energy industry for over 30 years, both as an attorney and as an executive officer. Since 1984, Mr. Crain has been an officer of Marsh Operating Company, an investment management company focusing on energy investing, including his current position as President, which he has held since 1989. Mr. Crain has served as general partner of Valmora Partners, L.P., a private investment partnership that invests in the oil and gas sector, among others, since 1997. Before joining Marsh in 1984, Mr. Crain was a partner in the law firm of Jenkins & Gilchrist, where he headed the firm's energy section. Mr. Crain is a director of Crosstex Energy, Inc., a midstream natural gas company, and GeoMet, Inc., a natural gas exploration and production company. During the past five years, Mr. Crain has also been a director of Crosstex Energy GP, LLC, the general partner of a midstream natural gas company, and Crusader Energy Group Inc., an oil and gas exploration and production company. Mr. Crain holds a B.B.A., M.P.A. and J.D. from the University of Texas at Austin.

Bryan H. Lawrence has been a member of our Board since 2002 and is the Chairman of our Board. Mr. Lawrence is a founder and Senior Manager of Yorktown Partners LLC, the manager of the Yorktown group of investment partnerships, which make investments in companies in the energy industry. The Yorktown group of investment partnerships was formerly affiliated with the investment firm of Dillon, Read & Co. Inc., where Mr. Lawrence had been employed since 1966, serving as a Managing Director until the merger of Dillon Read with SBC Warburg in 1997. Mr. Lawrence is a director of Crosstex Energy, Inc. and Crosstex Energy GP, LLC, midstream natural gas companies, Hallador Energy Company, an independent company engaged in the production of coal and the exploration and production of oil and gas, Carbon Natural Gas Company, an independent oil and gas company, the general partner of Star Gas Partners, L.P., a home heating oil distributor and services provider, Winstar Resources Ltd., a Canadian oil and gas company, and certain non-public companies in the energy industry in which the Yorktown group of investment partnerships holds equity interests. During the past five years, Mr. Lawrence has also been a director of Compass Petroleum, Ltd., a Canadian oil and gas company. Mr. Lawrence is a graduate of Hamilton College and holds an M.B.A. from Columbia University.

Sheldon B. Lubar joined us as a director in June 2007 and is a member of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Lubar has been Chairman of the Board of Lubar & Co. Incorporated, a private investment and venture capital firm he founded, since 1977. He was Chairman of the Board of Christiana Companies, Inc., a logistics and manufacturing company, from 1987 until its merger with Weatherford International in 1995. Mr. Lubar is a director of Hallador Energy Company, an independent company engaged in the production of coal and the exploration and production of oil and gas, and the general partner of Star Gas Partners, L.P., a home heating oil distributor and services provider. During the past five years, Mr. Lubar has also been a director of Crosstex Energy, Inc. and Crosstex Energy GP, LLC, midstream natural gas companies, and Grant Prideco, a provider of drill pipe and drill bits. Mr. Lubar previously held governmental appointments under three United States Presidents, including Commissioner of the White House Conference on Small Business from 1979 to 1980 under President Carter, Assistant Secretary, Housing Production and Mortgage Credit, Department of Housing and Urban Development and Commissioner of the Federal Housing Administration and Director of the Federal National Mortgage Association from 1973 to 1974 under Presidents Nixon and Ford. Mr. Lubar is a past president of the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System. Mr. Lubar holds a B.B.A., J.D. and honorary Doctor of Humane Letters degree from the University of Wisconsin - Madison, an honorary Doctor of Commercial Science degree from the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee and an Honorary Doctors degree from the Medical College of Wisconsin.

Table of Contents

Christopher J. Whyte joined our Board in June 2007 and is a member of our Audit Committee. Mr. Whyte has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of PetroSantander Inc., which owns and operates oil and gas producing properties in the United States, Colombia, Romania and Brazil, since 1995. Mr. Whyte is a director of Winstar Resources Ltd., a public Canadian oil and gas company. During the past five years, Mr. Whyte has also been a director of Compass Petroleum, Ltd., a Canadian oil and gas company. Mr. Whyte holds a B.A. from the University of Pittsburgh. Mr. Whyte has over 25 years of experience in various operating, executive and finance positions, including as a Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer, in the E&P and energy businesses.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting and entitled to vote is required for the election of directors. A properly-executed proxy marked **WITHHOLD AUTHORITY FOR ALL NOMINEES** or **FOR ALL EXCEPT** with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Board Recommendation

The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the election of each of the nominees.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), requires that we provide our stockholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement according to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

As described in detail below in this proxy statement under Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we seek to (i) pay our executive officers for performance, (ii) closely align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders, and (iii) attract, retain and motivate top talent. Below is a summary of how we have structured our executive compensation program to achieve these goals:

How Our Current Executive Compensation Program**Objective****Achieves This Objective**

In 2012, 78% of total compensation for our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), and at least 73% of total compensation for our executive vice presidents, was tied to performance. Due to a one-time equity retention grant in 2012, 38% of total compensation for our Chief Operating Officer (COO) was tied to performance. Excluding this retention grant, 70% of total compensation for our COO was tied to performance.

Pay for Performance

Our 2012 and 2013 short-term incentive plans (STIPs) are tied to Company performance (75% of total opportunity) as well as individual performance (25% of total opportunity).

Seventy-five percent (75%) of the base award value of our 2012 and 2013 long-term incentive plans (LTIPs) is tied to an initial Company performance trigger in the award year. The remaining 25% is tied to three-year relative total stockholder return (TSR) performance.

Our compensation program provides our executives with equity ownership to give them the opportunity to acquire a meaningful interest in our operations and future success.

Align Executive**Interests with****Stockholder Interests**

Our 2012 and 2013 STIPs and LTIPs combine performance measures of both growth and cost control to encourage growth but avoid excessive risk-taking. In addition, a portion of our 2012 and 2013 LTIPs incorporate TSR over a three-year period to incentivize our executive officers to build long-term stockholder value.

We believe the performance measures in both our STIPs and LTIPs are strongly correlated to building stockholder value and increasing TSR.

After TSR of 27% in 2011, and a review of oil and gas industry salary information as well as individual contributions to 2011 results, we raised base salaries for 2012.

Attract, Retain and**Motivate Top Talent**

Our 2012 and 2013 LTIPs include service-based vesting requirements for three years after the award year to retain top executives over the long term.

Table of Contents

The vote on this resolution is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the overall compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement according to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC. The vote is advisory, which means that the vote is not binding on the Company, our Board or the Compensation Committee. If there are significant votes against our named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address the concerns of stockholders. The Company intends to hold this vote annually, and the next vote will occur in 2014.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote is required to approve this Proposal 2.

The text of the resolution to be voted on is as follows:

RESOLVED, that the Company's stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the Company's proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure.

Board Recommendation

The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

**PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed Hein & Associates LLP (Hein) as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, and our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. Hein has served as our independent registered public accounting firm since 2005 and has provided us audit-related services during that time. Hein also provided us tax services through 2008. Representatives of Hein are expected to be present at the annual meeting to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders and will be given the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so.

The submission of this matter for approval by stockholders is not legally required; however, the Board and Audit Committee believe that this submission is consistent with best practices in corporate governance and is an opportunity for stockholders to provide direct feedback to the Board and Audit Committee on an important issue of corporate governance. If the appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee will consider whether it should select another independent registered public accounting firm, although the results of the vote are not binding on the Audit Committee.

Table of Contents

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of our common stock present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is required for the ratification of the appointment of Hein & Associates LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2013.

Board Recommendation

The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of Hein & Associates LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2013.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BOARD MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES

Board Structure and Meetings

Our Board has seven directors and two committees, the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee. Our Board is classified into three classes of directors, each serving staggered, three-year terms. As a result, stockholders will elect a portion of our Board each year. The current terms of Class I, Class II and Class III directors expire at the annual meeting of stockholders in 2014, 2015 and 2013, respectively. Our bylaws provide that the Board will consist of at least three but not more than nine directors, and the exact number of directors that make up the Board will be fixed from time to time by resolution of the Board. No decrease in the number of directors may shorten the term of any incumbent director.

Board Leadership

Our Board currently separates the roles of Chairman of the Board and CEO. The Board believes that the functions of the Chairman of the Board are distinct from those of the CEO. The Board believes that, although these functions may be fulfilled by a single individual, separation of the positions currently serves to enhance the Board's oversight of, and independence from, management. Our Board does not have a written policy regarding the separation of the positions of Chairman and CEO, and may modify this structure in the future to best address circumstances as appropriate.

Meetings

During 2012, our Board held 12 meetings. In addition, the independent directors meet regularly in executive session. Each director attended more than 75% of the total Board meetings and meetings of Board committees of which he was a member in 2012.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Assessing and managing risk is the responsibility of the management of the Company. However, the Board has an active role, as a whole, and also at the committee level, in overseeing management of the Company's risks. The Board regularly reviews information regarding the Company's credit, liquidity and operations, as well as the risks associated with each.

Under its charter, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses with management the Company's major financial and other risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control

Table of Contents

such exposures, including the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies. In addition, the Audit Committee oversees risks related to the Company's financial statements, the financial reporting process, accounting, tax and legal matters as well as liquidity risks and guidelines, policies and procedures for monitoring and mitigating risks.

The Audit Committee meets regularly in executive session without the Company's independent public accounting firm and without management. Members of the Audit Committee routinely attend meetings of the Company's Disclosure Committee, which meets before the Company files quarterly and annual financial reports with the SEC. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses with management and the Company's independent public accounting firm any major issues as to the adequacy of the Company's internal controls, any special steps adopted in light of material control deficiencies and the adequacy of disclosures about changes in internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee also meets with our internal controls, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance and enterprise risk management consultants, and, if applicable, reviews related-party transactions for potential conflicts of interest. Regarding enterprise risk management during 2011 and 2012, the Company performed an enterprise risk assessment to identify key risks and assess procedures for managing, monitoring and mitigating risks. The Audit Committee met with management and the Company's enterprise risk management consultant regarding this process. Finally, the Audit Committee oversees the reserves estimation process and meets with our CEO, key management and the independent engineering firm to review the processes used to prepare our proved reserves reports.

The Compensation Committee oversees the management of risks associated with executive compensation and the independence of the Board, and meets regularly in executive session without management. See *Compensation Practices as They Relate to Risk Management* for additional discussion of the Compensation Committee's oversight of risks relating to executive compensation. While each committee is responsible for overseeing the management of certain risks, the entire Board is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks.

Committee Composition and Meetings

Committee membership during the last fiscal year, number of meetings and the function of each of the committees are described below. Each of the committees operates under a written charter adopted by our Board. Each of the committee charters is available under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.approachresources.com and is available in printed form upon request by any stockholder.

Name of Director	Audit	Compensation and Nominating	Board of Directors
Alan D. Bell(1)	Chair	Member	Member
James H. Brandi	Member	Chair	Member
J. Ross Craft			Member
James C. Crain	Member	Member	Member
Bryan H. Lawrence			Chair
Sheldon B. Lubar		Member	Member
Christopher J. Whyte	Member		Member
Number of meetings in 2012	8	6	12

(1) Mr. Bell was appointed to the Compensation Committee in May 2012.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee

We have an audit committee established according to Section 10A-3 of the Exchange Act. Our Audit Committee is made up of Alan Bell, Chairman, James Brandi, James Crain and Christopher Whyte. Our Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent Audit Committee members under SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations. Additionally, the Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee has accounting and related financial management expertise under NASDAQ rules. The Board has determined that Alan Bell, James Crain and Christopher Whyte are each audit committee financial experts as described in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. In addition to regularly-scheduled meetings, Audit Committee members communicate with each other concerning audit matters outside of meetings as necessary. As Chairman of the Audit Committee, Mr. Bell regularly reports to the full Board regarding audit matters.

The Audit Committee oversees the annual audit and recommends to our Board the independent public accountants who audit our financial statements. The Audit Committee also approves any other services provided by public accounting firms. The Audit Committee assists our Board in fulfilling the Board's oversight responsibility to the stockholders, the investment community and others relating to the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence. The Audit Committee oversees our system of disclosure controls and procedures and system of internal controls regarding financial, accounting, legal compliance and ethics that management and our Board have established. In doing so, it is the responsibility of the Audit Committee to maintain free and open communication between the Audit Committee, our independent registered public accounting firm and our management. For ease of reference in this proxy statement we may refer to the independent registered public accounting firm as our accounting firm.

Principal responsibilities of the Audit Committee under its charter include the following:

Appoint, determine funding for and oversee our accounting firm;

Pre-approve all auditing services, internal control-related services and permitted non-audit services (including fees and terms) to be performed for us by our accounting firm;

Review and discuss with management and our accounting firm our quarterly and annual financial statements;

Review and discuss quarterly reports from our accounting firm on critical accounting policies to be used, any alternative treatments of financial information within U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that have been discussed with management and other material written communications between the accounting firm and management;

Discuss with management our earnings press releases, including the use of pro forma or adjusted non-GAAP information, as well as financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts;

Discuss with management our major financial and other risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures;

Review and discuss with management and our accounting firm our internal controls report and our accounting firm's attestation of our internal controls before the filing of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K;

Table of Contents

Review and evaluate the lead partner of our accounting firm audit team; and

Establish policies and procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters or alleged breaches of our Code of Conduct.

Compensation and Nominating Committee

The Compensation and Nominating Committee, which we also refer to as our Compensation Committee or the Committee, oversees our executive and director compensation and the Board nominees for election by stockholders. Our Compensation Committee is made up of James Brandi, Chairman, Alan Bell, James Crain and Sheldon Lubar. Our Board has determined that all members of the Compensation Committee are independent Compensation Committee members under applicable SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations.

Principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee under its charter include the following:

Review and approve corporate goals and objectives relating to compensation for our CEO, evaluate the CEO's performance in light of these goals and objectives and recommend to the Board the CEO's annual compensation;

Review and approve the evaluation process and compensation structure for our executive officers and key employees and, in consultation with the CEO, recommend to the Board the annual compensation for such officers and key employees;

Review and administer our incentive compensation and stock-based plans;

Review director compensation and recommend to the Board the form and amount of director compensation;

Meet with management to review and discuss the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by the SEC's rules and regulations;

Establish procedures for evaluating the suitability of potential director nominees;

Recommend to the Board the director nominees for election by the stockholders or appointment by the Board, as applicable;

Review the suitability for continued service as a director of each Board member; and

Review periodically the size and composition of the Board and recommend to the Board any appropriate changes, subject to our bylaws.

Role of the Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for the approval, evaluation and oversight of all of our executive officer, director and stock incentive compensation plans, policies and programs. The Compensation Committee also reviews total annual base salaries and bonuses for non-executive employees as recommended by our CEO. In addition to regularly-scheduled meetings, Compensation Committee members communicate with each other concerning compensation matters outside of meetings as necessary. As Chairman of the Compensation Committee, Mr. Brandi regularly reports to the full Board regarding compensation matters.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee meets outside the presence of all of our executive officers to consider the appropriate compensation for our CEO. The Compensation Committee analyzes the performance of our CEO and determines his base salary, individual performance portions of our annual STIP program and any grant of LTIP or other equity-based awards. For all other executive officers, the Compensation Committee meets outside the presence of all executive officers, except our CEO. Our CEO annually reviews the performance of each executive officer with the Committee and makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee on the appropriate base salary, payments to be made under any individual performance portion of our annual STIP program and any grant of LTIP or other equity-based awards. Our CEO has no role in determining his own compensation.

Based on these recommendations from our CEO for non-CEO executive officers, and the other considerations discussed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis below, the Compensation Committee recommends to the Board the annual compensation package of each of our executive officers, including our CEO. Input or suggestions applicable to group or individual compensation from other executive officers may be solicited by the Compensation Committee.

To the extent permitted by applicable law and regulations, the Compensation Committee may delegate any of its authority to subcommittees or members of the Compensation Committee, as it deems appropriate.

Role of Compensation Consultant

In 2012, the Compensation Committee retained Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian) as its independent compensation consultant. Meridian reports directly and exclusively to the Compensation Committee; however, at the Compensation Committee's direction, Meridian works with management to review or prepare materials for the Committee's consideration. Meridian attended seven Compensation Committee meetings from November 2011 through December 2012. The Compensation Committee did not engage any consultant other than Meridian during 2012 to provide executive or director compensation consulting services. The Compensation Committee's engagement of Meridian includes the following services:

Consult with the Compensation Committee on executive compensation matters to align the Committee's actions with stockholder interests, the Company's business strategy and pay philosophy, prevailing market practices and relevant legal and regulatory requirements;

Provide comprehensive, competitive market data for the Compensation Committee to consider in determining executive base salary and short and long-term incentive awards, including advice regarding the design of the Company's STIP and LTIP;

Review other parts of our compensation and benefit program, including executive benefits and perquisites, change-in-control protections, severance policies and stock ownership requirements;

Provide advice on proposed compensation actions;

Assist the Compensation Committee in the design and level of outside director compensation;

Provide regular updates of changes in executive compensation and governance policies of proxy advisory services and evaluate how the Company's program compares against those policies;

Provide periodic Monte Carlo evaluations for TSR performance share awards; and

Attend and participate in Compensation Committee meetings as the Committee deems appropriate.

Table of Contents

In December 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the independence of Meridian in light of new SEC rules and proposed NASDAQ listing standards. The Compensation Committee requested and received a letter from Meridian addressing the consulting firm's independence, including the following factors:

Other services provided to us by Meridian;

Fees paid by us as a percentage of Meridian's total revenue;

Policies or procedures maintained by Meridian that are designed to prevent a conflict of interest;

Any business or personal relationships between the individual consultants involved in the engagement and members of the Compensation Committee;

Any Company stock owned by the individual consultants involved in the engagement; and

Any business or personal relationships between our executive officers and Meridian or the individual consultants involved in the engagement.

The Compensation Committee discussed these considerations and concluded that the work of Meridian did not raise any conflict of interest.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We are committed to sound corporate governance principles. Following such principles is essential to running our business efficiently and maintaining our integrity in the marketplace. Our corporate governance documents are available under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.approachresources.com, and are available in print upon request by any stockholder to our Corporate Secretary, Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116. The information on our website is not part of this proxy statement.

Corporate Governance Policies and Practices

The Company is subject to SEC rules and regulations and NASDAQ listing standards and is not required to adopt additional corporate governance guidelines, as are New York Stock Exchange-listed companies. However, the corporate governance matters listed below and discussed in this proxy statement summarize the Board's authority and policies and practices in place to review and evaluate the Company's business and to make decisions that are independent of the Company's management:

Board structure and meetings, including executive sessions;

Board's role in risk oversight;

Qualifications, independence, responsibilities and compensation of directors;

Edgar Filing: Approach Resources Inc - Form DEF 14A

Committee functions and independence of committee members;

Performance evaluation of our executive officers and directors;

Adherence to a Code of Conduct; and

Review and approval of related-party transactions.

Table of Contents

Code of Conduct

We have adopted a Code of Conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Conduct is available under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.approachresources.com. Any change to or waiver from our Code of Conduct may be made only by the Board or, in the case of any change in or waiver for any of our officers, by our independent directors. All changes and waivers will be disclosed as required by applicable SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations.

Board Independence

The Board has determined that, except for our CEO, all members of our Board, including our Chairman, are independent under SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations. Furthermore, the Board has determined that all of the current members of both the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee are independent under SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors

The policy of the Compensation Committee is to consider properly submitted nominations for candidates for membership on the Board. The Compensation Committee and Board seek individuals who are of high ethical character and who share our values. The Compensation Committee and the Board also seek individuals with a diversity of professional experiences, including chief executive officers and other operating executives, investment and finance professionals, attorneys and individuals with experience or advanced degrees in public accounting. Other criteria that the Committee will use to evaluate director nominees are:

A candidate's strength of character, independence of opinion and sound business judgment;

The proportion of Board members who meet the criteria for independence required by NASDAQ;

A candidate's broad understanding of business, financial affairs and the complexities of a business organization;

A candidate's ability to work with our other directors and executives in accomplishing our objectives and representing stockholders;

A candidate's ability to devote sufficient time to effectively administer our affairs; and

A candidate's educational background and expertise in areas significant to our operations.

The Compensation Committee has no specific policy on diversity. However, the Committee does not discriminate on the basis of race, gender, age or cultural background in identifying and nominating nominees for director. For purposes of consideration of diversity, the Compensation Committee and the Board include members with differences of viewpoint, professional experience, education, skills and other individual qualities and attributes.

The Compensation Committee may consider suggestions from many sources regarding possible candidates for nomination to the Board, including suggestions from management, directors and stockholders. For the deadlines for stockholder suggestions to the Compensation Committee of individuals to be considered for nomination as candidates to be elected at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, see [Submission of Stockholder Proposals and Other Deadlines for the 2014 Annual](#)

Table of Contents

Meeting of Stockholders. Any such suggestion should be sent to the Compensation Committee, c/o our Corporate Secretary, Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116. The information should include the name and address of the stockholder recommending the individual, the number of shares owned beneficially and of record by the stockholder, the suggested individual's name and address, a description of all arrangements or understandings (if any) between the stockholder and the individual being suggested for the Committee's consideration, the information about the individual being suggested that would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed with the SEC and an indication of the individual's willingness to be named as a nominee and to serve as a director if nominated by the Committee and the Board. Possible candidates who have been suggested by stockholders are evaluated by the Committee in the same manner as are other possible candidates. The Committee has not retained a third-party search firm to identify candidates at this time but may do so in the future in its discretion.

Communications with the Board

Stockholders may send written communications to the Board, c/o our Corporate Secretary, Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116. These communications will be compiled by the Corporate Secretary and promptly forwarded to the Board.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings of Stockholders

Our Board expects directors to attend the annual meetings of our stockholders. We have formalized this expectation in a written policy that has been approved by the Compensation Committee and the Board. All directors attended the last annual meeting of stockholders either in person or by telephone.

STOCK OWNERSHIP MATTERS

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock to file initial reports of ownership on Form 3 and reports of changes of ownership on Forms 4 and 5 with the SEC. These officers, directors and 10% beneficial owners are also required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. Specific due dates for these reports have been established by regulation, and we are required to report in this proxy statement any failure to file by these dates in 2012.

We are aware that a Form 4 was not timely filed on behalf of James H. Brandi related to 400 shares purchased for the account of Mr. Brandi's wife on January 9, 2012, by an independent financial advisor. A Form 4 for Mr. Brandi was filed on January 17, 2012. Based solely on our review of reports and written representations that we have received during the year ended December 31, 2012, we believe that all other required reports were timely filed.

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Management and Certain Beneficial Owners**

The following table sets forth, as of April 12, 2013, beneficial ownership of our common stock by our directors, the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, all directors and executive officers as a group and all persons who were known to us to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of our outstanding shares:

Name	Number of Shares of Common Stock Owned (1)	Percent (2)
Directors and Executive Officers:		
J. Ross Craft (3)	948,807	2.4 %
Steven P. Smart (3)	344,724	*
J. Curtis Henderson (3)	317,775	*
Ralph P. Manoushagian (3)	268,426	*
Qingming Yang (3)	258,863	*
Bryan H. Lawrence (4)(5)	693,450	1.8 %
Alan D. Bell (3)	15,846	*
James H. Brandi (3)(6)	41,139	*
James C. Crain (3)	38,258	*
Sheldon B. Lubar (3)(7)	997,209	2.6 %
Christopher J. Whyte (3)	40,447	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons)	3,964,944	10.2 %
Other Beneficial Owners:		
First Manhattan Co. (8)	4,276,671	11.0 %
BlackRock, Inc. (9)	2,580,416	6.6 %
The Vanguard Group (10)	2,095,566	5.4 %
Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P. (11)	2,095,482	5.4 %

* Less than one percent.

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, all shares of stock are held directly with sole voting and investment power.

(2) Based on 38,839,401 shares of our common stock outstanding at April 12, 2013.

(3) C/o Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116.

(4) Has a principal business address of 410 Park Avenue, 19th Floor, New York, New York 10022.

(5) Includes attribution of shares held by Yorktown Energy Partners V, L.P., Yorktown Energy Partners VI, L.P. and Yorktown Energy Partners, VII L.P. Mr. Lawrence disclaims beneficial ownership of these securities except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

(6) Includes 400 shares owned by Mr. Brandi's wife.

(7) Includes attribution of shares held by Lubar Equity Fund, LLC and Lubar Nominees. Mr. Lubar disclaims beneficial ownership of these securities except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

(8) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed February 7, 2013, reporting ownership as of January 25, 2013. First Manhattan Co. (First Manhattan), as an investment adviser, has the sole power to vote and dispose of 571,300 shares, the shared power to vote 2,966,038 shares and the shared power to dispose of 3,705,371 shares. First Manhattan's principal business address is 437 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

(9) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed February 6, 2013, reporting ownership as of December 31, 2012. BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock), as a parent holding company or control person, has the sole power to vote and dispose of 2,580,416 shares. BlackRock's principal business address is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.

(10) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed February 22, 2013, reporting ownership as of December 31, 2012. The Vanguard Group (Vanguard), as an investment adviser, has the sole power to vote 42,530 shares, the sole power to dispose of 2,055,336 shares and the shared power to dispose of 40,230 shares. Vanguard's principal business address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355.

(11) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed February 14, 2013, reporting ownership as of December 31, 2012. Goldman Sachs Asset Management, L.P. (together with GS Investment Strategies, LLC, Goldman Sachs Asset Management), as an investment adviser, has the shared power to vote 1,977,798 shares and the shared power to dispose of 2,095,482 shares. Goldman Sachs Asset Management's principal business address is 200 West Street, New York, New York 10282.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table sets forth the names, ages and positions of our executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
J. Ross Craft, P.E.	56	President, Chief Executive Officer and Class III Director
Qingming Yang, PhD	50	Chief Operating Officer
J. Curtis Henderson	50	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Steven P. Smart	58	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Ralph P. Manoushagian	61	Executive Vice President Land

J. Ross Craft, P.E. has been our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board since our inception in September 2002. For Mr. Craft's biographical information, please see Proposal 1 Election of Directors Directors.

Qingming Yang, PhD joined us in July 2009 as Vice President Exploration. In November 2010, Dr. Yang was named Executive Vice President Business Development and Geosciences and, in December 2012, Dr. Yang was named Chief Operating Officer. Dr. Yang has over 25 years of domestic and international exploration, technical and operating experience in the oil and gas industry. Before joining Approach, Dr. Yang was employed by Pioneer Natural Resources for 12 years in a variety of positions, including Exploration Manager for Worldwide Exploration and Business Development, Geosciences Advisor and Technical Lead for Pioneer's Eagle Ford Shale team. Dr. Yang is a member of American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG) and served as an Associate Editor for the AAPG Bulletin from 2003-2009. In addition, Dr. Yang was Chairman of Dallas Geological Society International Committee in 2002. Dr. Yang earned his B.S. in Petroleum Geology from Chengdu University of Technology in the People's Republic of China, his M.A. in Geology from George Washington University and his Ph.D. in Structural Geology from the University of Texas at Dallas.

J. Curtis Henderson joined us in February 2007 as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Henderson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Coterie Capital Partners, Ltd., a private equity partnership in Dallas, Texas. From 1996 to 2005, Mr. Henderson served as General Counsel of Nucentrix Broadband Networks, Inc., a public, broadband wireless telecommunications company based in Dallas. While he was at Nucentrix, Mr. Henderson oversaw its sale to an affiliate of Nextel Communications Inc. under Section 363 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in 2004. Mr. Henderson began his career as a lawyer in the corporate and securities section of Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell (formerly Locke Purnell Rain Harrell). Mr. Henderson has over 25 years of experience in public and private securities, mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance and regulatory affairs. Mr. Henderson holds a B.A. in Political Science from Austin College and a J.D. from Washington and Lee University School of Law, where he served as Articles Editor of the Washington and Lee Law Review. Mr. Henderson is the brother-in-law of J. Ross Craft, our CEO and President.

Steven P. Smart joined us as Treasurer at our inception in September 2002. Mr. Smart was named Vice President Finance in August 2005, and promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) in June 2007. From 2000 to 2002, Mr. Smart was Controller and Treasurer of Prize Energy Corp., a public exploration and production company. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Smart was a Senior Manager in the Energy Industry group at Arthur Andersen LLP. Prior to 1998, Mr. Smart served in senior executive financial positions with several public and private oil and gas companies,

Table of Contents

including Magnum Hunter Resources Inc. and Saxon Oil Co. Mr. Smart began his career in public accounting with Deloitte & Touche (formerly Touche Ross). Mr. Smart has over 30 years of experience with both public and private companies in the oil and gas industry. Mr. Smart holds a B.B.A. in Accounting from Angelo State University and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant in the State of Texas.

Ralph P. Manoushagian joined us in February 2004 as Land Manager. Mr. Manoushagian was named Senior Vice President Land in June 2007 and Executive Vice President Land in June 2008. In 2003, Mr. Manoushagian worked as an independent landman. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Manoushagian was the President of Hudco Fuels, a privately-owned fuel distributorship. Mr. Manoushagian has been an active landman and oil and gas operator for over 30 years. Mr. Manoushagian holds a B.B.A. in Finance from the University of North Texas and has been a Certified Professional Landman since 1988. Mr. Manoushagian is a director of the First Financial Bank of Southlake, Texas. He previously served as a director and Vice President of the Texas Independent Producers and Royalty Owners and as a director of the Texas Alliance of Energy Producers.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) contains statements regarding future Company performance goals and measures. These goals and measures are disclosed in the limited context of the Company's incentive compensation program and are not statements of management's expectations or estimates of results or other guidance. The Company specifically cautions investors not to apply these statements to other contexts. Throughout this proxy statement, the individuals who serve as our CEO and CFO as well as the other three most highly compensated individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table, are referred to as our named executive officers or executive officers. Specifically for 2012, our named executive officers were:

J. Ross Craft, P.E. President and CEO

Qingming Yang, PhD COO (before December 4, 2012, Dr. Yang served as Executive Vice President Business Development and Geosciences)

J. Curtis Henderson Executive Vice President and General Counsel

Steven P. Smart Executive Vice President and CFO

Ralph P. Manoushagian Executive Vice President Land

Introduction and Overview

The following discussion and analysis is intended to assist you in understanding our compensation program for our executive offices. It is intended to cover all the elements of compensation paid to our executive officers and the reasoning used by the Compensation Committee in structuring our executive compensation program.

We believe our success depends on the continued contributions of our executive officers. Our executive compensation program is designed with the philosophy of attracting, motivating and retaining experienced and qualified executive officers and directors with compensation that is competitive with comparable public companies and that recognizes both overall business and individual performance. Our policies are also intended to support the achievement of our strategic objectives by aligning the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders through operational and financial performance goals and the use of equity-based compensation.

Executive Summary

We seek to pay our executive officers for performance, closely align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders and attract, retain and motivate top executive talent. Below is a summary of our key performance results, achievements and compensation decisions in 2012. In reporting our financial and operating results, natural gas is converted at a rate of six thousand cubic feet of gas to one barrel of oil equivalent (Boe), and natural gas liquids (NGLs) are converted at a rate of one barrel of NGLs to one Boe.

Our Performance in 2012

We achieved strong results in many areas during 2012, and our executive officers were critical in achieving these results. Significantly, we doubled our proved oil reserves and oil production. We also strengthened our balance sheet by raising over \$150 million in a public equity offering to pay outstanding long-term debt and fund ongoing drilling and development of the Wolfcamp oil shale play.

Table of Contents

In addition, under the leadership of our executive team, we continued to make critical progress in developing our Wolfcamp oil shale resource play. In 2012, we drilled 26 horizontal Wolfcamp wells, replaced over 1,300% of our 2012 production with additional proved reserves and substantially completed over \$50 million in infrastructure projects to prepare our development project for full-scale development, including a midstream joint venture to build and operate an oil pipeline to provide takeaway capacity and reduce transportation costs. As a result of these efforts, we have established many years of drilling inventory at current levels. Our drilling inventory stands at over 2,000 identified horizontal locations targeting the Wolfcamp shale, a 300% increase over 2011. In all, our development activities in 2012 more than doubled the gross resource potential of the Company to over 1 billion Boe.

While there were many positives in 2012, we also experienced higher costs as we transition from a natural gas producer to an oil producer. Further, we compete locally for strong technical staff, which, along with increased salaries and share-based compensation for a growing employee base, contributed to higher general and administrative expenses. As a result, we fell short of achieving our targets for lease operating expense and general and administrative expense per Boe and EBITDAX per share growth.

Key financial and operational results for 2012 and stockholder returns that were important in our compensation decisions for 2012 are summarized below. Target or excellent performance goals as they relate to our 2012 incentive plans are also highlighted, where applicable.

Category	Key Results Achieved
	Increased proved reserves 24% (target was 10% to 20%)
Proved Reserves	Increased proved oil reserves 106%
	Replaced over 1,300% of 2012 production with additional proved reserves
	Increased total production 24% (target was 25%)
Production	
	Increased oil production 101%
Cost of Finding and	
Developing Resources	Drill-bit F&D cost totaled \$7.45 per Boe (target was \$12.00 per Boe)
(F&D Cost)(1)	F&D cost, excluding price revisions, totaled \$12.50 per Boe (target was \$15.00 per Boe)
Drilling Inventory and	De-risked 107,000 gross acres prospective for the Wolfcamp shale resource play
Resource Potential	Tripled horizontal Wolfcamp drilling inventory to more than 2,000 identified locations
	Doubled gross resource potential to 1 billion Boe
Financial Strength(1)	Long-term debt-to-EBITDAX at December 31, 2012 was 1.3x (excellent was no more than 2.75x)
Stockholder Returns	Strengthened balance sheet by raising \$154.4 million in a public equity offering
	Total stockholder returns in 2012 were below 2011 but slightly above our 2012 peer group median

- (1) EBITDAX, drill-bit F&D costs and F&D costs excluding price revisions are non-GAAP financial measures. Reconciliation to our audited financial statements and other information on non-GAAP financial measures used in this proxy statement can be found following our annual report on Form 10-K. See Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP) 2012 STIP Targets and Performance Categories and Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards for a description of how we calculate EBITDAX, drill-bit F&D costs and F&D costs excluding price revisions from our audited financial statements and related notes.

Table of Contents

TSR Performance

Our compensation policies are designed to focus our executive officers on creating long-term stockholder value through the achievement of both short-term operational and financial goals and long-term TSR growth. The following graph compares the cumulative return on a \$100 investment in our common stock from December 31, 2007, through December 31, 2012, to that of the cumulative, average return on a \$100 investment in the Standard & Poor's 500 (S&P 500) index, the Dow Jones U.S. Exploration & Production Total Stock Market index and our 2012 compensation peer group. For these purposes, the peer group excludes Brigham Exploration Company, which was acquired by Statoil ASA and ceased to be a public company in December 2011. See [Setting Executive Officer Compensation](#) [Use of Peer Group Comparisons](#) for additional discussion of our peer group.

In calculating the cumulative return, reinvestment of dividends, if any, is assumed. This graph is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing. This historic stock performance is not indicative of future stock performance.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance Matters and Compensation Program Changes and Highlights

We believe sound corporate governance principles and compensation programs are interrelated. Listed below is a summary of some highlights and changes regarding corporate governance matters and our compensation program:

Focus	Description
	<p><i>Independence of Chairman of the Board.</i> Our Chairman is independent under SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations.</p> <p><i>Independence of other Board members.</i> All Board members except our CEO are independent under SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations.</p>
Board Structure	<p><i>Independence of committee members.</i> All Board committee members are independent under SEC and NASDAQ rules and regulations.</p> <p><i>Related-party transactions.</i> Our Audit Committee is required to review and approve related-party transactions. There were none in 2012.</p> <p><i>Total stockholder return in LTIP.</i> We modified the LTIP in 2012 to include a three-year, relative TSR performance award (the TSR stock awards) with threshold, target and excellent performance levels. TSR is measured against our peer group. TSR stock awards are 25% of the total 2012 LTIP.</p>
Pay for Performance	<p><i>Performance-based LTIP.</i> We kept our performance-based LTIP awards with time-vesting restrictions (the performance stock awards). Performance stock awards are 75% of the total 2012 LTIP.</p>
Use of Equity	<p><i>Target goals for STIP.</i> We modified the STIP in 2012 to provide for additional pay for performance opportunity and to better align with industry practices by incorporating a target level of performance in addition to threshold and excellent levels.</p> <p><i>Elimination of evergreen provision in 2007 Plan.</i> In 2012, we eliminated the evergreen provision in our 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan or Plan), which reloaded the shares authorized for future issuance each year to 10% of our outstanding shares. The maximum number of shares available for issuance under the 2007 Plan after May 31, 2012, is now 2,100,000 shares.</p> <p><i>Limits on share recycling in 2007 Plan.</i> In 2012, we also eliminated the reuse or recycling of shares used for stock option exercises and withholding taxes.</p> <p><i>Stock ownership guidelines.</i> In 2012, we adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers and outside directors.</p>

Policy prohibiting hedging and other transactions. Our Insider Trading Policy prohibits employees, including our executive officers, and members of the Board from engaging in short-term or speculative transactions in our stock, including short sales, options or other derivatives, and from holding our stock in margin accounts or pledging our stock as collateral for loans.

Table of Contents

Underwater options. Under our 2007 Plan, we may not re-price, replace or re-grant stock options or other stock awards without stockholder approval if the effect would be to reduce the exercise price of the award, except in the case of adjustments for recapitalizations or reorganizations. Also, we may not cancel an underwater option and replace it with another award. Although our 2007 Plan does not specifically prohibit cash buyouts of underwater options, our Compensation Committee's current policy is to treat such a buyout as a cancellation and exchange for cash that would require stockholder approval.

Recovery of compensation and clawbacks. We will adopt a formal clawback policy in compliance with SEC and NASDAQ rules when NASDAQ adopts its expected rules. In the meantime, all of our performance stock awards and TSR stock awards contain a clawback provision.

Termination and

Change in

Control

Change in control provisions. Four out of our five executive officers have double-trigger severance provisions upon a change in control in their respective employment agreements. The Compensation Committee's current policy is to approve only double-trigger severance provisions in any future employment agreements. The employment agreement for our CEO provides for a higher level of severance benefits and retains a single-trigger payment provision upon a change in control, which has been part of the CEO's employment agreement since 2003.

No tax gross-up provisions. We do not provide tax gross-ups on a change in control and our Compensation Committee's current policy is not to approve any future tax gross-ups.

Compensation Program Objectives and Methodology

As discussed above, the primary objectives of our executive compensation program are as follows:

Pay for performance, in which Company and individual performance substantially influence an executive officer's total compensation opportunity;

Align the interests of our executive officers and stockholders by motivating executive officers to increase stockholder value and rewarding executive officers when stockholder value increases; and

Attract, retain and motivate talented and experienced executives in the highly competitive oil and gas industry, particularly in the Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas area.

To accomplish these objectives, we intend to provide a competitive total compensation package. Base salaries and total compensation are intended to be competitive with our industry peers, considering individual performance and experience, to ensure that each executive officer is appropriately compensated.

Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program

The three principal elements of our current executive compensation program are annual base salary, short-term or annual incentive awards (STIPs) and long-term equity incentives (LTIPs) in

Table of Contents

the form of stock-based awards under our 2007 Plan. Base salary pays for skill and experience and is required for market competitiveness. Our STIPs are annual, cash performance awards for achievement of then-current business goals. Our LTIPs are stock-based awards that provide a competitive, long-term incentive in direct alignment with stockholder interests. We also have employment agreements with our executive officers that contain employment terms, severance and change in control provisions. We believe these agreements are important to keep qualified executives in a competitive market for executive talent.

Element	Objective and Basis
	Competitive for each role and respective responsibilities as well as with industry peers, particularly in the Dallas-Fort Worth area
Base Salary	<p>Designed to attract and retain our executive officers with the goal of growing long-term stockholder value</p> <p>Reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee with input from our CEO (except for the CEO's salary) and taking level of responsibility and individual performance into consideration</p> <p>Designed to drive strong annual Company performance and cost control, as well as individual performance</p>
Performance-Based STIP	<p>Target award opportunity set as a percent of each executive officer's base salary</p>
Performance-Based LTIP	<p>Performance measures reviewed annually</p> <p>Payout determined by Company and individual performance and certified by the Compensation Committee</p> <p>Designed to link executives' pay to performance and align their interests with our stockholders</p>
Performance-Based LTIP	<p>Initial vesting of 2012 performance stock awards based on Company achievement of annual performance measures</p> <p>Additional, service-based vesting of these awards over the following three years encourages retention of key executive officers</p> <p>2012 TSR stock awards vest between 50% and 150% of the target shares at the end of 2014, subject to continued service, based on relative TSR compared to peer companies to create additional alignment with stockholder interests</p>

Table of Contents**Pay for Performance in 2012****CEO Compensation**

In early 2012, the Compensation Committee approved the total direct compensation opportunity for our CEO, which was made up of base salary, STIP bonus opportunity and LTIP grant opportunity (including both performance stock awards and TSR stock awards). Below is a table illustrating the difference between our CEO's 2012 approved maximum opportunity and the actual realizable value of the compensation at December 31, 2012.

Component	2012 Maximum Opportunity (1)	Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012 (2)
2012 Base salary	\$ 480,000	\$ 480,000
2012 STIP	840,000	379,872
2012 LTIP		
Performance shares(3)	1,115,637	758,003
TSR Performance shares(4)	557,819	189,507
Total	\$ 2,993,456	\$ 1,807,382

Percent difference: 2012 Maximum Opportunity vs. Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012

(40)%

- (1) Assumes grant date value (NASDAQ closing price) of performance shares and TSR shares (assuming maximum potential payout of 150% of target).
- (2) Assumes December 31, 2012, value (NASDAQ closing price) of actual performance shares earned for 2012 performance and the value of TSR shares that would be payable based on the Company's peer group TSR ranking at December 31, 2012 (75% of target).
- (3) Performance shares remain subject to a second trigger of time-vesting conditions through December 31, 2015, described below under Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards.
- (4) TSR shares remain subject to achievement of TSR performance and continued employment with the Company at the end of the performance period, which ends December 31, 2014, described below under Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP TSR Stock Awards.

For 2012, our TSR was (15)%, compared to our peer group median of (16)%. Based on our financial, operating and share price performance for 2012, our CEO's actual realizable pay at December 31, 2012, was significantly less than the approved maximum opportunity value determined at the beginning of 2012, which reinforces our program's alignment with Company performance.

Performance-Based STIP and LTIP Other Executive Officers

Consistent with our executive compensation program's emphasis on pay for performance, the compensation awarded to our executive officers other than our CEO for 2012 also reflected our results in 2012.

Performance-Based STIP. While we produced strong results in 2012 on certain operating and financial measures and in the development of our core asset base, we exceeded our initial forecasts of operating and capital costs in 2012. As a result, each executive officer received below-target payout under our 2012 performance-based STIP. Based on the Company's 2012 financial and operating results

Table of Contents

and individual performance of the executive officers for 2012, our executive officers' total STIP award, compared to their maximum achievable STIP award, was as follows:

Performance-Based STIP	2012 Maximum STIP Opportunity (%)	2012 Maximum STIP Opportunity (\$)	Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012 (%)	Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012 (\$)	Percent Difference:
					2012 Maximum Opportunity vs. Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012
Other Executive Officers					
Mr. Yang	125%	\$ 387,500	61%	\$ 190,402	(51)%
Mr. Henderson	125%	\$ 368,750	61%	\$ 181,189	(51)%
Mr. Smart	125%	\$ 368,750	54%	\$ 160,539	(56)%
Mr. Manoushagian	125%	\$ 287,500	56%	\$ 129,766	(55)%

See Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP) 2012 STIP Awards for additional discussion of our 2012 STIP awards.

Performance-Based LTIP. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the total value of the 2012 LTIP was allocated to performance stock awards. Each executive officer was granted a performance stock award in 2012, subject to our 2012 financial and operating results and satisfaction of certain performance measures. In addition, 25% of the total value of the 2012 LTIP was allocated to TSR stock awards. Below is a table showing the difference between the 2012 maximum LTIP opportunity compared to the actual realizable value of the LTIP at December 31, 2012, for our executive officers as a group, excluding our CEO.

Performance-Based LTIP	Other Executive Officers	2012 Maximum Opportunity	Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012
		(1)	(2)
2012 LTIP			
Performance shares(3)		\$ 2,071,814	\$ 1,407,663
TSR Performance shares(4)		1,035,981	351,891
Total		\$ 3,107,795	\$ 1,759,554

Percent difference: 2012 Maximum Opportunity vs. Actual Realizable at 12/31/2012

(43)%

- (1) Assumes grant date value (NASDAQ closing price) of performance shares and TSR shares (assuming maximum potential payout of 150% of target).
- (2) Assumes December 31, 2012, value (NASDAQ closing price) of actual performance shares earned for 2012 performance and the value of TSR shares that would be payable based on the Company's peer group TSR ranking at December 31, 2012 (75% of target).
- (3) Performance shares remain subject to a second trigger of time-vesting conditions through December 31, 2015, described below under Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards.
- (4) TSR shares remain subject to achievement of TSR performance measures and continued employment with the Company at the end of the performance period, which ends December 31, 2014, described below under Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP TSR Stock Awards.

See Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Awards for additional discussion of our 2012 LTIP awards.

Table of Contents**Setting Executive Officer Compensation**

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for the approval, evaluation and oversight of all of our executive officer, director and stock incentive compensation plans, policies and programs. See Board of Directors, Board Meetings and Committees Compensation and Nominating Committee for additional information regarding the role of the Compensation Committee in determining executive officer and director compensation.

Compensation Consultant

In 2012, the Compensation Committee retained Meridian as its independent compensation consultant. In determining executive compensation for 2012 and 2013, the Committee considered information from Meridian regarding market data, peer group composition and compensation, STIP and LTIP design and proxy advisory services. See Board of Directors, Board Meetings and Committees Compensation and Nominating Committee Role of Compensation Consultant for more information about the Compensation Committee's engagement of Meridian.

Use of Oil and Gas E&P Compensation Survey Information

In considering executive compensation matters for 2012 and 2013, the Compensation Committee also consulted the Oil and Gas E&P 2011 and 2012 Compensation Surveys, prepared by Effective Compensation, Inc. (ECI). The 2011 ECI survey contains compensation information from 120 public and private E&P companies in the United States from 2010 and 2011. The 2012 ECI survey contains compensation information from 123 public and private E&P companies in the United States from 2011 and 2012. The 2011 and 2012 ECI surveys provide specific data on an aggregated basis within subcategories based on whether companies are public or private, revenues, exploration and production budget and geographic location, among others. In addition to the individual experience and performance of our executive officers, the Compensation Committee considered compensation information in the 2011 and 2012 ECI surveys from public, independent oil and gas companies and public and private oil and gas companies that are headquartered in Texas, in making decisions regarding 2012 and 2013 compensation for our executive officers. The Committee considers ECI survey data relevant to, but not determinative of, the Committee's consideration of overall executive compensation matters.

Use of Peer Group Comparisons

For 2012 executive compensation matters, the Committee considered compensation data from the peer companies listed below. Based on consultations with Meridian, the Committee made no changes from the 2011 peer group:

2012 Peer Companies

Abraxas Petroleum Corporation	Oasis Petroleum Inc.
Brigham Exploration Company	PDC Energy, Inc.
Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc.	PetroQuest Energy, Inc.
Comstock Resources Inc.	Resolute Energy Corporation
GMX Resources Inc.	Rex Energy Corporation
Goodrich Petroleum Corporation	Rosetta Resources Inc.
Gulfport Energy Corporation	Stone Energy Corporation
Kodiak Oil & Gas Corp.	

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee reviews the peer group annually. In determining the peer group companies, the Compensation Committee selected companies within the oil and gas industry that most closely resemble us in size (according to assets, market value and revenue), scope and nature of business operations (including position in the upstream E&P sector, production and reserve base, and areas of operation). Our industry peer group contains companies in our industry that are both larger and smaller in size and scope and that may operate in different geological basins than we do. The table below summarizes the median and range of asset size, market capitalization and annual revenues of the compensation peer group used for 2012 executive compensation decisions (in millions):

	Market		
	Assets	Capitalization	Revenues
Median	\$ 809	\$ 1,444	\$ 148
Range (Low High)	\$ 195 - \$1,814	\$ 293 - \$3,753	\$ 25 - \$654

We compete with these companies for talent and believe the selected companies are currently the most appropriate for executive compensation comparison. The Committee considers the differences and similarities between us and the companies in our industry peer group when reviewing peer group data for executive compensation decisions. The Committee considers peer group data relevant to, but not determinative of, the Committee's consideration of overall executive compensation matters.

For 2013 executive compensation matters, based on consultation with Meridian, in 2012 the Compensation Committee replaced Brigham Exploration Company with Laredo Petroleum Holdings, Inc. in our compensation peer group. Brigham was acquired by Statoil ASA in December 2011 and ceased to be a public company.

Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions

Base Salary

We provide our executive officers with an annual base salary to compensate them for their services during the year. Although we have no written policies or guidelines for setting the base salaries of our executive officers within a specified range of the compensation levels of our industry peers, our executive officer salaries are intended to be competitive with our industry peers. Our Compensation Committee recognizes that a substantial amount of competition exists in the oil and gas industry for attracting and retaining qualified management teams, particularly in the Dallas - Fort Worth, Texas area. Our philosophy is to set our executive officers' base salaries at levels that we believe will enable us to retain them, with the goal of growing stockholder value going forward.

We review salary ranges and individual salaries for our executive officers annually. We establish the base salary for each executive officer based on pay levels of industry peers and business requirements for certain skills, individual experience and contributions, the roles and responsibilities of the executive and other factors. We believe competitive base salaries are necessary to attract and retain an executive management team with the appropriate abilities and experience required to lead us.

2012 Base Salary

For 2012 base salaries, the Committee considered peer data provided by Meridian and from the 2011 ECI survey and determined that an overall increase in the base salaries of our executive officers was appropriate to achieve the Committee's objective of retaining top executive talent in a competitive

Table of Contents

industry and geographic region. The Committee also considered the Company's revenues, assets and market capitalization relative to its peer group, past salary adjustments for the executive officers since 2008, stockholder returns in 2011, the Company's 2011 financial and operational results, the contributions of individual executive officers to those results and the recommendations of the CEO for non-CEO executive officers. The Committee determined that the salaries of Mr. Craft, Mr. Yang and Mr. Manoushagian required the largest percentage increases to move their salary levels to more competitive industry levels and to reflect their contributions to the Company's 2011 results.

Accordingly, the Committee recommended, and the Board approved, the following 2012 base salaries for the Company's executive officers:

Executive Officer	2012 Base Salary	Salary Increase
Mr. Craft	\$ 480,000	20%
Mr. Yang	\$ 310,000	13%
Mr. Henderson	\$ 295,000	7%
Mr. Smart	\$ 295,000	7%
Mr. Manoushagian	\$ 230,000	15%

2013 Base Salary

For 2013 base salaries, the Compensation Committee considered peer data provided by Meridian and from the 2012 ECI survey, the Company's revenues, assets and market capitalization relative to its peer group, as well as the other factors described above for the evaluation of 2012 base salaries. The Committee determined that an overall increase in the base salaries of our executive officers was appropriate to achieve the Committee's objective of retaining top executive talent in a competitive industry and geographic region, and that the salaries of Mr. Craft and Mr. Yang required the largest percentage increases to move their 2013 salary levels to more competitive industry levels, as a result of their contributions to the Company's 2012 results and, in Mr. Yang's case, his promotion to COO in December 2012.

Accordingly, the Committee recommended, and the Board approved, the following 2013 base salaries for the Company's executive officers:

Executive Officer	2013 Base Salary	Salary Increase
Mr. Craft	\$ 525,000	9%
Mr. Yang	\$ 340,000	10%
Mr. Henderson	\$ 310,000	5%
Mr. Smart	\$ 300,000	2%
Mr. Manoushagian	\$ 240,000	4%

Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP)

A core component of our executive compensation philosophy is that pay should be linked directly to performance and that a significant portion of total annual compensation should be placed at risk. In 2008, we began providing the opportunity for our executive officers to earn an annual, performance-based, cash incentive award, or STIP award. We plan to continue to provide this opportunity to attract and retain an appropriate caliber of talent for these positions and to motivate executives to achieve our annual business goals. We review STIP awards for our executive officers annually in the first 60 days

Table of Contents

of our fiscal year to determine award payments for the most recently completed fiscal year, and to establish award opportunities for the then-current fiscal year. The Committee's general policy is to determine any payout amounts for STIP awards before March 1 of the following year based on our performance against the performance measures established by the Committee and the Committee's determinations of the individual performance component of the awards.

2012 STIP Overview

Consistent with our philosophy of linking pay directly to performance, our Compensation Committee adopted, and our Board ratified, a performance-based STIP for 2012 pursuant to which cash performance awards were made under our 2007 Plan.

The Compensation Committee considered six performance categories, relative weighting among the performance categories and targets to be used for the 2012 STIP for the executive officers, and reviewed them with our CEO, CFO and General Counsel, except for incentive targets for our CEO, which the Committee determines independent from the CEO and other executive officers. The Committee adopted the applicable metrics in February 2012. The 2012 STIP award opportunities for each executive officer were expressed as a percentage of the executive officer's annual base salary, and awards are ultimately paid to the executive officers based on the achievement of specified Company performance targets, as well as the executive officer's individual performance, including overall duties, responsibilities, expertise and contributions to Company results, as determined in the Committee's discretion.

The Committee established a minimum, or threshold, and maximum, or excellent, performance target for each performance category. In addition, as part of its annual review of executive compensation and in consultation with Meridian, the Committee modified the STIP program for 2012 to provide for additional pay for performance opportunity and to better align with industry practices by incorporating a target level of performance between the threshold and excellent levels.

The Company is required to reach the threshold level in a performance category before an executive officer receives any credit for such category in the calculation of his STIP award, except for the individual performance category, for which the Committee may award less than a threshold level, but not more than an excellent level. If the Company exceeds the threshold level for a performance category, the amount of the STIP award attributable to that category is capped at the excellent level. If actual results fall between the threshold and target or target and excellent performance levels, the percentile performance used to determine the payout percentage is proportionally adjusted on a linear, pro-rata basis between the appropriate levels according to actual results achieved. The scale of potential payout as a percentage of annual base salary among the performance levels was set by the Committee with input from Meridian so that the payout potential accelerates as the target and excellent performance levels are achieved to incentivize our executive officers to reach or exceed these stretch goals. In connection with the addition of the target level of performance, the Compensation Committee increased the amount of compensation that could be earned by the executive officers by achieving the excellent performance level, but also lowered the percentage of base salary that would be earned by executive officers other than the CEO by achieving the threshold level of performance.

The Committee cannot increase payout amounts above the excellent level under performance categories that depend on the achievement of specific Company targets, as payments related to performance categories that are tied to the achievement of specific targets are capped once the highest

Table of Contents

target level is achieved. The Committee can, in its reasonable discretion, reduce the payout amounts for these performance categories after taking into account special or unusual factors that may have contributed to the achievement of target performance measures such as acquisitions, commodity prices or other factors considered appropriate by the Committee.

In addition to the performance categories tied to specific Company targets, the Committee approved an individual performance category for 2012 that allows the Committee, in its discretion, to determine a portion of an officer's STIP award based on the officer's individual performance, including overall duties, responsibilities, expertise and contribution to the Company's results for the year.

2012 STIP Targets and Performance Categories

For 2012, the Committee approved, after consultation with our CEO, a 2012 STIP with annual incentive targets (expressed as a percentage of an executive's annual salary) for each of our executive officers except for our CEO, for whom the Committee determined incentive targets without consultation with the CEO. Consistent with prior years, the Committee attempted to ensure that the potential payouts provide a meaningful incentive to each of our executive officers. For 2012, the annual incentive opportunities for our executive officers are as set forth in the following table. These incentive opportunities reflect the amount that would be earned for the awards assuming that the threshold, target or excellent performance levels, as applicable, are met for each of the six performance categories discussed below:

Position	2012 STIP Award as a		
	Threshold	Target	Excellent
President and CEO	50.0%	100.0%	175.0%
COO and Executive Vice Presidents	37.5%	75.0%	125.0%

The six performance categories for the 2012 STIP are shown in the table below, together with the target levels of achievement, weight given to each category and actual results achieved in 2012 in each category. Five of the performance categories are Company-wide performance measures and the sixth performance category, individual performance, is personal to each executive officer.

Performance Category	Weight	2012 Performance Targets			2012 Results
		Threshold	Target	Excellent	
1. Production growth	15.00%	15.0%	25.0%	35.0%	23.52%
2. Reserve volume growth	15.00%	15.0%	20.0%	25.0%	24.00%
3. EBITDAX per share growth	15.00%	15.0%	25.0%	40.0%	(13.54)%
4. LOE and G&A per Boe	15.00%	\$ 13.00	\$ 12.25	\$ 11.50	\$ 15.20
5. Drill-bit F&D costs per Boe	15.00%	\$ 14.00	\$ 12.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 7.45
6. Individual performance (1)	25.00%	9.38 - 12.50%	18.75 - 25.00%	31.25 - 43.75%	8.00 - 15.00%
	100.00%				

(1) Threshold, target and excellent percentiles for individual performance are expressed as a percentage of annual base salary and fall within the ranges reflected in the table above.

Seventy-five percent (75%) of the amount of potential 2012 STIP awards was determined by objective, Company performance results. However, growth and cost performance targets are based on forecasts that are subject to ongoing change depending on external factors such as commodity prices

Table of Contents

and oilfield service costs. Therefore, the Committee believes it was appropriate to also include a discretionary, individual performance measure representing 25% of the executive officers' STIP opportunity. Each of the performance measures is described in greater detail below.

Production and reserve volume growth are essential measurements of our performance. Production and reserves used in the calculation of these criteria are based on results we report in our annual report on Form 10-K. For 2012, the Committee increased the threshold and excellent production growth measure from 10% and 20% in 2011, to 15% and 35%, respectively, to incentivize higher production growth. In addition, the Committee increased the excellent reserve growth measure from 20% to 25% for 2012, to incentivize stronger proved reserves growth.

We define EBITDAX per share as net income (loss) plus (i) exploration expense, (ii) impairment expense, (iii) depletion, depreciation and amortization expense, (iv) share-based compensation expense, (v) unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives, (vi) interest expense, and (vii) income taxes, divided by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the applicable year, each as set forth in the audited financial statements of our annual report on Form 10-K. The Committee has determined that EBITDAX per share is appropriate because it is widely accepted by the investment community as a financial indicator of a company's ability to internally fund development and exploration activities and it reflects a company's ability to adapt to the impact of changing commodity prices as well as oilfield service costs. For 2012, the Committee increased the threshold and excellent EBITDAX per share growth measures from 12.5% and 22.5% in 2011, to 15% and 40%, respectively, to incentivize higher EBITDAX per share growth.

LOE and G&A per Boe is the sum of our annual lease operating expense (LOE), plus general and administrative expense (G&A), divided by our annual production as measured in Boe. LOE and G&A are two financial measures under GAAP from our audited financial statements. Our Committee believes that, in addition to performance measures for growth, it is critical to incentivize management to control costs. For 2012, the Committee raised the excellent LOE and G&A measure from \$9.75 per Boe to \$11.50 per Boe, to reflect higher operating costs as the Company transitions its production and reserves mix from natural gas to oil and NGLs, as well as higher salaries and share-based compensation expense necessary to attract and retain top talent.

Drill-bit F&D costs per Boe are calculated by dividing the sum of annual exploration and development costs by the total of reserve extensions and discoveries for the applicable year end, each as set forth in the notes to the audited financial statements of our annual report on Form 10-K. The Committee believes this measure is important to evaluate how efficiently we can add proved reserves through our own drilling program.

The Committee believes that these performance categories and targets, taken together, are objective indicators of our overall performance. The Committee designed the specified goals for each performance category for 2012 to be challenging while recognizing uncertainties inherent to the oil and gas E&P industry.

The individual performance category allows the Committee to use discretion in assessing individual officers' performance. In determining the individual performance part of the STIP, the Committee considers specific measures, such as achievement of strategic objectives, successful supervision of significant company projects, cost reductions, demonstrated departmental leadership, individual contributions to Company results and TSR and other contributions to the Company. The

Table of Contents

Committee is in regular contact with our CEO, is knowledgeable about Company operations and believes that it is in a position to accurately and fairly judge individual performance, with the specific recommendations of the CEO for the executive officers other than the CEO, after year end.

2012 STIP Awards

For 2012, the STIP award amount paid to each executive officer was based on the Company's performance in the five, Company-wide performance categories and the individual performance of each executive officer. As set forth above in the 2012 Results column of the table entitled 2012 Performance Targets, the Company achieved mixed results across the performance categories, reaching excellent or near excellent results for reserves growth and drill-bit F&D, but not reaching the threshold level for LOE and G&A costs or EBITDAX per share growth. For individual performance, the Committee awarded less than the target level of achievement to each of the executive officers. In making this determination, the Committee exercised its discretion and considered each of the executive officer's overall duties, responsibilities, expertise and individual contributions to the Company's achievements in 2012 discussed above in Our Performance in 2012, as well as the Company's mixed results for the year, particularly for operating expenses and negative TSR.

After reviewing the above considerations, the Company's 2012 results, performance of the CEO and the CEO's recommendations on the performance of the other executive officers, the Committee approved the 2012 STIP payments in the table below. The 2012 award percent is the sum of the percentage performance results for each performance category including individual performance. The 2012 award payments are also included in the Summary Compensation Table below under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

Executive Officer and Position	2012 STIP Award Percent (1)(2)	2012 STIP Award Payment (\$)
<i>President and CEO</i>		
Mr. Craft	79%	\$ 379,872
<i>COO and Executive Vice Presidents</i>		
Mr. Yang	61%	\$ 190,402
Mr. Henderson	61%	\$ 181,189
Mr. Smart	54%	\$ 160,539
Mr. Manoushagian	56%	\$ 129,766

(1) As a percent of 2012 base salary.

(2) Individual performance as a percent of 2012 base salary was 15% for Mr. Craft, Mr. Yang and Mr. Henderson, 8% for Mr. Smart and 10% for Mr. Manoushagian.

Table of Contents*2013 STIP*

As part of its annual review of executive compensation and in consultation with Meridian, the Committee modified the STIP program for 2013 to provide for additional pay for performance opportunity to Mr. Yang to reflect his promotion to COO. The potential payout as a percent of annual base salary for our executive officers other than our COO did not change from 2012:

Position	2013 STIP Award as Percent of		
	Threshold	Annual Base Salary Target	Excellent
President and CEO	50.00%	100.00%	175.00%
COO	43.75%	87.50%	150.00%
Executive Vice Presidents	37.50%	75.00%	125.00%

The six performance categories and relative weighting among the categories for 2013 are the same as 2012, except for relative weighting of performance categories for Mr. Yang. The Committee left unchanged the threshold, target and excellent levels for the three growth performance categories, to continue to incentivize strong growth. The Committee raised the cost levels for LOE and G&A per Boe to reflect the Company's transition to an oil and liquids production base, but still incentivize a competitive cost structure. Finally, the Committee lowered the cost levels for drill-bit F&D in recognition of the Company's historical results and to continue to challenge management to lower F&D costs.

Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)

We use annual LTIP equity grants to attract, retain and motivate our executive officers as part of our total compensation package. Stock incentive awards are granted under our 2007 Plan. The 2007 Plan allows for the grant of restricted stock, stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, stock awards and other incentive awards. The primary purpose of the 2007 Plan is to attract and retain highly qualified officers, directors, key employees and other persons, and to motivate these persons to improve our business results by providing an opportunity to acquire or increase a direct, proprietary interest in our operations and future success. We expense stock awards under FASB ASC Topic 718 (ASC 718).

Since our initial public offering in 2007, the Committee has generally used restricted stock that vests over three to four years to align the compensation of our executive officers with an increase in long-term stockholder value. We believe awards of restricted stock can effectively balance our objectives of focusing the recipient on delivering long-term value to our stockholders and providing value to the recipient. Restricted stock awards offer recipients the opportunity to receive shares of our common stock that are subject to a risk of forfeiture and other restrictions until certain specified service or performance requirements are satisfied. In this regard, we believe that restricted stock serves both to reward and retain the recipients. Restricted stock awards also, in many cases, allow the Company to budget for charges to earnings under ASC 718 with greater certainty than other types of awards such as stock options. Recipients of restricted stock awards are entitled to receive and retain all cash dividends that may be paid with respect to the shares. The Committee does not make, nor has the Committee in the past made, incentive stock grants in coordination with the release of material, non-public information. Instead, the Committee will grant equity awards at the time or times dictated by our normal compensation process as that process is developed by the Committee.

Table of Contents*2012 LTIP Awards*

As part of its annual review of executive compensation and in consultation with Meridian, the Committee modified the LTIP program for 2012 to include a three-year, relative TSR performance award with threshold, target and excellent performance levels, in addition to the performance-based awards with service-based vesting restrictions that were also granted under the 2011 LTIP. The Committee believes that relative TSR over a three-year performance period provides an objective and appropriate measure for a portion of the 2012 LTIP that generally reflects the Company's performance and provides another means for aligning the executive team's interests with our stockholders. For 2012, the Committee recommended, and the Board approved in February 2012, a LTIP in the form of performance stock awards (with a combination of performance measures and time-vesting restrictions) and TSR stock awards. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the total 2012 LTIP award to each executive officer was made up of performance stock awards and the remaining 25% of the total 2012 LTIP award to each executive officer was comprised of TSR stock awards (approximately 33% if maximum TSR is achieved).

In determining the number of shares to be awarded under the 2012 LTIP, the Committee considered the value for long-term incentive compensation at approximately the 50th percentile among our peer group, stock ownership and award data from the 2011 ECI survey, Committee members' own experience and knowledge of executive compensation matters at other public E&P companies, the potential dilutive effect of stock awards, the charge to Company earnings under ASC 718, internal pay equity among our executives and then-current economic and market environment. The Committee further considered existing stock ownership of our executive officers and the importance of retention and alignment of objectives with stockholders' interests as goals of any effective long-term incentive stock award grant. The Committee also reviewed the number of shares available for grant under the 2007 Plan and the Company's annual burn rate, or percentage of weighted average shares outstanding granted under the 2007 Plan in prior years. At the time of the 2012 LTIP grant in February 2012, there were approximately 1,627,180 shares available for grant under the 2007 Plan and the Company's three-year average annual burn rate was 1.64%. The Committee also gave significant weight to the individual contributions of each of the executive officers to strong TSR in 2011.

After considering all of the factors noted above, the Committee decided on a 2012 LTIP award of approximately 130,000 shares of restricted stock in the aggregate to our executive officers. This number of total aggregate shares assumes that the maximum performance level applicable to the TSR stock awards is achieved and remains subject to the achievement of the performance and service-based conditions applicable to each portion of the awards. The grant date value of the base number of total shares subject to the 2012 LTIP (approximately 115,000 shares, assuming 100% of target TSR is achieved) is approximately \$4.25 million. This represents a decrease in grant date value of approximately 35% from the 2011 LTIP awards, and reflects the Committee's consideration of all of the factors discussed in the preceding paragraph. In addition, the Committee increased our CEO's percentage of the total 2012 LTIP award pool from 30% in 2011 to 35% in 2012 to move Mr. Craft's total compensation to a more competitive industry level and as a result of his specific contributions to the Company's strong 2011 operating results and TSR.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the number of restricted shares subject to LTIP awards made to each of our executive officers for 2012, as allocated among performance stock awards and TSR stock awards. The aggregate grant date fair value of the awards under ASC 718 is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table under the column Stock Awards.

Executive Officer	2012 LTIP Award	Allocation Among Awards	
		Performance Shares	TSR Shares (150%)(1)
Mr. Craft	45,462	30,308	15,154
Mr. Yang	21,107	14,071	7,036
Mr. Smart	21,107	14,071	7,036
Mr. Henderson	21,107	14,071	7,036
Mr. Manoushagian	21,107	14,071	7,036

- (1) TSR shares are calculated based on the maximum shares potentially earned as a percent of target, or 150%. Each executive officer's target TSR shares (100%) is as follows:

Executive Officer	2012 Target TSR Shares (100%)
Mr. Craft	10,103
Mr. Yang	4,690
Mr. Smart	4,690
Mr. Henderson	4,690
Mr. Manoushagian	4,690

2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards

First Trigger. The Committee believes that performance stock awards provide incentives for achieving results that strongly correlate with our long-term business objectives and increasing stockholder value. The Committee determined the measures for the performance stock awards to incentivize a balanced achievement of growth, cost control and debt control, and to build stockholder value while preserving a sustainable capital structure. In order for any of the awarded restricted shares to become eligible to vest, three performance conditions must be satisfied. If one of the performance measures is not satisfied during the applicable performance period (fiscal year 2012), the entire award is forfeited and the award shares are returned to the 2007 Plan. The table below summarizes the performance measures required to be achieved for the 2012 performance stock awards and 2012 actual results.

2012 Performance Stock Awards	
Performance Measures	2012 Actual Results
Company proved reserves increase a minimum of 10%	24% Increase
F&D costs must not exceed \$15.00 per Boe	\$12.50 per Boe
Long-term debt must not exceed 2.75x EBITDAX	1.3x EBITDAX

For these purposes, proved reserves are estimated proved reserves as determined by the Company's independent reserve engineering firm. F&D costs are calculated by dividing the sum of property acquisition, exploration and development costs by extensions, discoveries, acquisitions and revisions (excluding price revisions), each as set forth in the notes to the audited financial statements of our annual report on Form 10-K. The Committee excludes price revisions from F&D cost to mitigate the risk of volatile commodity price swings, which are outside the executives control. Long-term debt is calculated under GAAP and included in the Company's balance sheet. See Short-Term Incentive

Table of Contents

Plan (STIP) 2012 STIP Targets and Performance Categories for a description of our calculation of EBITDAX. The Committee may also include other non-recurring items that the Committee in its sole discretion deems appropriate to be added back to GAAP net income.

The Committee certified the results in early 2013. Each of the three performance measures applicable to the 2012 performance stock awards was achieved, and the awards became eligible to vest in accordance with the service-based vesting conditions described below.

Second Trigger. Because the applicable performance measures were satisfied, the 2012 LTIP awards will now ratably vest in one-third increments on December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2015, provided the executive officer is employed by the Company on the applicable vesting dates.

Acceleration of Awards. The performance stock awards granted in 2012 will vest in full upon a Change in Control, Disability (each as defined in the 2007 Plan) or death of the executive, and all performance criteria and other conditions will be deemed to be achieved.

2012 LTIP TSR Stock Awards

The 2012 TSR stock awards are earned based on the Company's relative TSR performance against the Company's 2012 compensation peer group, except for Brigham Exploration Company (which was acquired by Statoil ASA in December 2011 and ceased to be a public company), plus two alternates. See *Setting Executive Officer Compensation Use of Peer Group Comparisons* for a discussion of our 2012 peer group. The scale of payout ranges between maximum, or 150% of target, and threshold, or 50% of target, as set forth below, to incentivize our executive officers to maximize stockholder value.

TSR		Percentage of Target
Company	Percentile Ranking	TSR Shares Earned
Company 1	100%	150%
Company 2	93%	150%
Company 3	86%	140%
Company 4	79%	130%
Company 5	71%	120%
Company 6	64%	110%
Company 7	57%	100%
Company 8	50%	75%
Company 9	43%	50%
Company 10	36%	0%
Company 11	29%	0%
Company 12	21%	0%
Company 13	14%	0%
Company 14	7%	0%
Company 15	0%	0%

Table of Contents

To the extent that TSR performance measures are met and the TSR shares become earned, the TSR shares will cliff vest at the end of the three-year performance period, or December 31, 2014, provided the executive officer remains employed on that date.

If any of the peer companies undergoes a change in corporate capitalization or a corporate transaction (including a going-private transaction, bankruptcy, liquidation, merger, consolidation, etc.) during the performance period, the Committee will determine whether such peer company will be replaced. The Committee pre-approved Laredo Petroleum Holdings, Inc. and Forest Oil Corporation as replacement companies (in that order). If the Company's TSR for the performance period is negative, then the awards are capped at the target level.

If an executive officer's employment is terminated due to death or disability, then the executive officer will be deemed, at the time of termination, to have earned the target number of TSR shares. If a change in control occurs during the performance period, we will determine the number of TSR shares earned by each executive officer assuming the performance period ends on the date of the change in control.

2013 LTIP Awards and Performance Measures

For 2013, the Compensation Committee again granted LTIP awards, 75% in the form of performance stock awards and 25% in the form of TSR stock awards (approximately 33% if maximum TSR is achieved).

In determining the number of shares to be awarded under the 2013 LTIP, the Committee considered total and long-term incentive compensation at approximately the 50th percentile among our peer group and 2012 ECI survey data. The Committee also considered each of the other factors described above regarding the Committee's determination of 2012 LTIP award amounts. After considering these factors, the Committee decided on a total pool of approximately 184,000 shares of restricted stock to be awarded to our executive officers as a group under the 2013 LTIP. This number of total aggregate shares assumes that the maximum performance level applicable to the TSR portion of the award is achieved and remains subject to the achievement of the performance and service-based conditions applicable to each portion of the awards. The grant date value of the base number of total shares subject to the 2013 LTIP (approximately 163,000 shares, assuming 100% of target TSR is achieved) is approximately \$4 million. This represents a decrease in grant date value of approximately 6% from 2012 and reflects the Committee's consideration of all of the factors discussed above under 2012 LTIP Awards, plus the Company's mixed results and negative TSR in 2012. In addition, our executive officers' percentage of the total 2013 LTIP award pool was adjusted from 2012 as follows: Mr. Craft 36%, Mr. Yang 22%, Mr. Henderson 18%, Mr. Smart 14% and Mr. Manoushagian 10%. The Committee made these adjustments to better align individual officers' total compensation to competitive industry levels and to reflect each officer's specific contributions to the Company's 2012 results and TSR. Adjustments to allocations for Mr. Henderson, Mr. Smart and Mr. Manoushagian were determined by our CEO based on individual performance and contributions to 2012 results and approved by the Committee.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the number of restricted shares subject to LTIP stock awards, as allocated among performance stock awards and TSR stock awards, made to each of our executive officers for 2013.

Executive Officer	2013 LTIP Award	Allocation Among Awards	
		Performance Shares	TSR Shares (150%)(1)
Mr. Craft	66,122	44,081	22,041
Mr. Yang	40,408	26,939	13,469
Mr. Henderson	33,061	22,041	11,020
Mr. Smart	25,714	17,143	8,571
Mr. Manoushagian	18,367	12,245	6,122

- (1) TSR shares are calculated based on the maximum shares potentially earned as a percent of target, or 150%. Each executive officer's target TSR shares (100%) is as follows:

Executive Officer	2013 Target TSR Shares (100%)
Mr. Craft	14,694
Mr. Yang	8,980
Mr. Henderson	7,347
Mr. Smart	5,714
Mr. Manoushagian	4,082

For the 2013 performance shares, the performance measures are the same as the 2012 LTIP awards. If all of the performance measures are met during the performance period (fiscal year 2013), then one-third of each individual's performance shares will ratably vest on December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016, provided, the executive officer remains employed by the Company on the applicable vesting dates.

The TSR component of the 2013 LTIP is earned based on the Company's relative TSR performance against the Company's 2013 compensation peer group. For purposes of the 2013 TSR stock award, the TSR peer group is the same as 2012, except that the Committee added Laredo Petroleum Holdings, Inc. and removed GMX Resources Inc.

Like the 2012 awards, the scale of payout ranges between maximum, or 150% of target, and threshold, or 50% of target, to incentivize our executive officers to maximize stockholder value, and the same percentile rankings apply for purposes of determining the amount of payout. To the extent that TSR performance measures are met and the TSR shares become earned, the TSR shares will cliff vest at the end of the three-year performance period, or December 31, 2015, provided the executive officer remains employed by the Company on that date.

If any of the peer companies undergoes a change in corporate capitalization or a corporate transaction (including a going-private transaction, bankruptcy, liquidation, merger, consolidation, etc.) during the performance period, the Committee will determine whether such peer company will be replaced. If the Company's TSR for the performance period is negative, then the awards are capped at the target level.

Special Equity Awards

In certain circumstances, we grant discretionary equity awards to retain key executives, recognize expanded roles and responsibilities and reward exceptional performance. In January 2012, our

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee authorized the grant of two additional equity awards under the 2007 Plan to Mr. Yang. The first equity award of 14,000 shares of fully vested common stock was in recognition of Mr. Yang's past contributions and performance, and specifically his contributions to 2011 results, including his leadership in developing our Wolfcamp oil shale resource play. The second equity award of 54,000 shares of restricted stock was primarily a retention award that reflects the importance of Mr. Yang's increasing contributions, valuable leadership role on the executive team and our desire to retain Mr. Yang in a competitive market for executive and technical talent. The shares of restricted stock are subject to service-based vesting conditions and will vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant of the award, as long as Mr. Yang remains employed by the Company through the applicable vesting dates. In determining the size of the awards, the Compensation Committee strongly considered the recommendation of our CEO. Regarding the performance award, the Committee sought to provide an award that was meaningful in value relative to Mr. Yang's overall compensation. The Committee's intent in granting the retention award was to provide an award with a strong retention component and increase Mr. Yang's equity ownership to achieve a more internally-balanced level of equity ownership among our executive officers.

To date in 2013, the Compensation Committee has not made any grants of special equity awards to our executive officers.

Stock Ownership Guidelines and Additional Holding Periods

The Committee believes that meaningful stock ownership by our officers and directors is critical in aligning management's interests with the interests of our stockholders. Therefore, in January 2012, the Committee established minimum stock ownership requirements for our named executive officers and directors. The Company's CEO is expected to own shares of the Company's common stock having a market value of at least five times his base salary, and each of the Company's other named executive officers is expected to own shares of the Company's common stock having a market value of at least three times his base salary. Executives have up to five years to meet the stock ownership guideline. Each named executive officer currently satisfies those guidelines. Because of our adoption of these ownership guidelines, our executives' current level of stock ownership, and three to four-year vesting on LTIP stock awards, we have not adopted additional holding periods on equity awards after they become vested. Please see [Director Compensation](#) for a description of stock ownership guidelines for our outside directors.

Prior Compensation

In general, prior compensation, such as gains from prior stock options or stock awards, is not taken into account in setting other elements of compensation, such as base pay or STIP awards. However, the Committee will generally consider prior stock purchases by, and prior stock and stock option awards to, our named executive officers when considering additional stock award grants. For new executive officers, we expect to take into account their prior base salary, annual cash incentives, the value of any equity compensation or other benefits that the new officer would forfeit to accept employment with us, as well as the contributions expected to be made by the new officer, our business needs and the role of the officer with us.

Say-On-Pay Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At our 2012 Annual Meeting, we provided stockholders a say-on-pay advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers under Section 14A of the Exchange Act. Our

Table of Contents

stockholders expressed overwhelming support for the compensation of our executive officers, with approximately 96% of the votes cast for approval of the say-on-pay advisory vote on executive compensation. As we evaluated our compensation practices and objectives for 2012 and 2013, we were mindful of the strong support of our stockholders for our compensation design and philosophy of linking pay to performance and building long-term stockholder value. As a result, the Committee decided to retain our general approach to executive compensation, with an emphasis on short-term and long-term incentive compensation programs that encourage, reward and retain our key executives when they deliver strong financial and operational results as well as value for our stockholders.

Employment Agreements

In January 2011, the Company entered into amended and restated employment agreements with Mr. Craft and Mr. Smart and new employment agreements with Mr. Henderson, Mr. Yang and Mr. Manoushagian. The agreements provide for potential severance payments upon the termination of the officers' employment in certain situations. The agreements were designed so that the officers would all have employment agreements with the same employment term and similar severance and change in control provisions.

After the initial term of the agreements, which ended January 1, 2013, the agreement with each executive officer was automatically renewed for an additional one year term. Currently, the term of each executive officer's employment agreement ends on January 1, 2014; however, at the end of the current term, the agreements will be automatically renewed for additional one year terms unless either party elects not to renew an agreement or an agreement is otherwise terminated according to its terms. The agreements provide, among other things, for a minimum level of annual base salary for each executive officer, subject to annual review and adjustment by the Board; provided, that for Mr. Craft, once established at an increased amount, his base salary will not be reduced below that increased amount during the term. The employment agreements also provide that the executive officer is eligible to receive annual performance-based bonuses each year during the employment term, has the opportunity to participate in the employee benefit arrangements offered to similarly situated executives and may periodically receive LTIP grants.

The severance and change in control provisions contained in the employment agreements are described in greater detail below under Executive Compensation Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control. The agreements are intended to retain qualified executives in a competitive market for executive talent. The employment agreements do not contain any tax gross-up provisions in the event any named executive officer receives potential parachute payments under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. The Committee believes that the severance and change in control arrangements in these agreements provide important protection to the Company's executive officers, are consistent with the practices of peer companies and are appropriate for the retention of executive talent.

Health and Welfare Benefits

Named executive officers receive the same health and welfare benefits, including medical, prescription drug, dental and vision, that are offered to all employees. The same contribution amounts and plan design provisions apply to all employees, including executive officers. The named executive officers also participate in the same qualified 401(k) plan as other employees. Under the plan, the Company currently matches 100% of an employee's elective deferrals up to 3% of annual base salary, plus an additional 50% of elective deferrals on the next 2% of annual base salary. All matching contributions are subject to applicable federal limitations under the Internal Revenue Code and U.S.

Table of Contents

Treasury regulations. These benefits are part of our overall pay program and are designed to encourage continuity in employment and executive leadership and to remain competitive in the market for talent and experience in the E&P business. We do not provide any supplemental executive retirement benefits to our executive officers.

Perquisites

We do not provide any perquisites or personal benefits to our executive officers except for a long-term disability plan for Mr. Craft, for which we pay a monthly premium of approximately \$355 per month, and reimbursement of Mr. Craft's club membership dues and expenses. Please see the Summary Compensation Table for all compensation received by our named executive officers.

Policy on Recovery of Compensation and Clawbacks

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, NASDAQ is required to adopt rules that will require the Company to adopt a policy providing that, in the event of an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance with reporting requirements under securities laws, the Company will recover from executive officers incentive-based compensation in excess of what would have been paid to the executive officer under the accounting restatement for the three-year period before the restatement. Upon NASDAQ's adoption of these rules, the Board will adopt a formal policy regarding the recovery of compensation. Pending adoption of final rules, all of our performance stock awards and TSR stock awards with our executive officers contain a provision which provides that (i) the Company will not be required to comply with any term of the agreement if and to the extent prohibited by applicable law, (ii) the officer shall reimburse the Company for incentive-based or equity-based compensation and profits realized from the sale of award shares covered by the agreement as required by applicable law, including but not limited to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Sarbanes-Oxley") and the Dodd-Frank Act, and (iii) the officer agrees that the Company is not required to comply with any term of the agreement to the extent that doing so would require that the officer reimburse the Company for such amounts under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or Dodd-Frank Act.

Indemnification Agreements

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers. Each indemnification agreement requires the Company to indemnify each indemnitee to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. This means, among other things, that the Company must indemnify the director or executive officer against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement that are actually and reasonably incurred in a legal proceeding because the person was a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, officer, employee or agent of another entity if the indemnitee meets the standard of conduct provided under Delaware law. Also as permitted under Delaware law, the indemnification agreements require the Company to advance expenses in defending such an action, provided that the director or executive officer undertakes to repay the amounts if the person ultimately is determined not to be entitled to indemnification from the Company. The Company will also make the indemnitee whole for taxes imposed on the indemnification payments and for costs in any action to establish the indemnitee's right to indemnification, whether or not wholly successful. The Company also maintains customary directors' and officers' insurance coverage.

Table of Contents

Policy Prohibiting Hedging Transactions and Pledging Company Shares

Our Insider Trading Policy prohibits Company employees, including our named executive officers and Board, from engaging in short-term or speculative transactions in the Company's securities, including short sales, options and other derivatives, and from holding Company securities in a margin account or pledging securities as collateral for a loan.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, as clarified by the Internal Revenue Service and applicable regulations, generally disallows a federal income tax deduction to public companies for compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid for any fiscal year to each of the company's covered employees (within the meaning of Section 162(m)) as of the end of any fiscal year. However, the regulations currently exempt qualified, performance-based compensation from the \$1,000,000 deduction limit if certain requirements are met.

Our policy is to have a compensation program that recognizes and rewards performance that increases stockholder value, and, to the extent consistent with this policy, to seek to maintain the favorable tax treatment of that compensation. We believe, however, that under some circumstances, such as to attract or retain key executives or to recognize outstanding performance, it is in the Company's and our stockholders' best interests to provide compensation to selected executives even if it is not deductible.

We designed our STIP incentive award program for executive officers for 2012 so that incentive compensation paid based on our company-wide performance measures may be exempt from the Section 162(m) \$1,000,000 deduction limitation as qualified performance-based compensation. Incentive compensation based on individual performance, however, will not be exempt from the \$1,000,000 deduction limitation as qualified performance-based compensation. Therefore, if the \$1,000,000 deduction limitation is exceeded, the tax deductibility of incentive compensation based on individual performance will be limited. We also intend all of our LTIP awards to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) and hence to be exempt from the deduction limitation.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the CD&A with our management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Respectfully submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board,

James H. Brandi, Chairman

Alan D. Bell

James C. Crain

Sheldon B. Lubar

COMPENSATION PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO RISK MANAGEMENT

The Compensation Committee believes that an appropriate part of total compensation is fixed for our executive officers, while another, equally appropriate portion is variable and linked to performance. Although the majority of the compensation provided to the named executive officers is performance-based, we believe our compensation program does not encourage excessive and unnecessary risk taking by our executive officers (or other employees) because this program is designed to encourage our officers and other employees to remain focused on both our near- and long-term operational and financial goals.

While STIP awards play an appropriate role in our executive compensation program, the Committee believes that awards should be determined based on Company performance on a wide variety of measures, including both growth and cost control measures, which the Committee believes mitigates excessive risk-taking that could produce unsustainable gains in one area of performance at the expense of our overall long-term interests. In addition, the Committee sets performance goals that it believes are reasonable in light of our past performance, then-current business projections and market conditions. Additionally, our STIP includes maximum payout caps to limit the amount of incentive compensation that may be earned by the named executive officers.

A portion of the variable compensation we provide is made up of restricted stock awards that are subject to service-based vesting conditions, which awards can retain value even in a depressed market, so executives are less likely to take unreasonable risks. Similar to our STIP cash incentive awards, the Committee believes that a portion of the LTIP restricted stock awards, which are also initially subject to performance-based conditions that must be met in the year of grant, should be subject to measures of both growth and debt control, to build stockholder value while preserving a sustainable capital structure. In addition, a portion of the LTIP restricted stock awards are earned contingent upon our relative TSR at the end of a three-year performance period. We believe our adoption of meaningful stock ownership guidelines further reduces the likelihood of unnecessary risk taking because our executive officers are required to own a significant amount of company stock.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of our Board or Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

As explained in our CD&A, set forth below is the compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our named executive officers for services rendered for 2010, 2011 and 2012 in all capacities, consisting of base salaries, restricted stock awards, non-equity plan compensation and other compensation.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Stock Awards (\$)(1) (e)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total (\$) (j)
				(\$)(2) (g)	(\$)(3) (i)	
J. Ross Craft <i>Director, President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2012	480,000	1,505,108	379,872	39,078	2,404,058
	2011	400,000	1,947,996	510,000	19,380	2,877,376
	2010	312,500	810,000	187,500	19,163	1,329,163
Qingming Yang <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	2012	310,000	3,032,514	190,402	29,302	3,562,218
	2011	275,000	1,136,331	233,750	9,800	1,654,881
	2010	220,000	665,050	66,000	9,800	960,850
J. Curtis Henderson <i>Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary</i>	2012	295,000	698,754	181,189	29,302	1,204,245
	2011	275,000	1,136,331	233,750	9,800	1,654,881
	2010	257,500	540,000	103,000	9,800	910,300
Steven P. Smart <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2012	295,000	698,754	160,539	21,668	1,175,961
	2011	275,000	1,136,331	233,750	9,800	1,654,881
	2010	263,500	540,000	105,400	9,800	918,700
Ralph P. Manoushagian <i>Executive Vice President Land</i>	2012	230,000	698,754	129,766	23,199	1,081,719
	2011	200,000	1,136,331	170,000	9,800	1,516,131
	2010	187,000	405,000	56,100		648,100

- (1) Stock awards represent the aggregate grant date fair value for restricted stock awards granted under the 2007 Plan, calculated according to ASC 718 based on the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ on the grant date. The amounts are consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period for accounting purposes for the awards, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures, and do not necessarily correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the named executive officers. Additional information on the assumptions used in the computation of our share-based compensation is included in Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. For 2012, the amounts in the Stock Awards column reflect the grant of performance stock awards and TSR stock awards under the LTIP program covering an aggregate of 129,890 shares of common stock, based on the probability of achievement of the applicable conditions with respect to the TSR portion of the awards. See Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Awards, 2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards and 2012 LTIP TSR Stock Awards for additional information

Table of Contents

regarding the 2012 equity awards. In addition, for Mr. Yang, the amounts in the Stock Awards column reflect the grant of special equity awards of 14,000 shares of common stock and 54,000 shares of restricted stock in January 2012. See Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Special Equity Awards for additional information regarding these awards.

- (2) Represents awards paid under the 2012 STIP. These amounts were paid to the named executive officers in the first quarter of 2013. The 2012 STIP awards are described in more detail in Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP) 2012 STIP Overview, 2012 STIP Targets and Performance Criteria and 2012 STIP Awards.
- (3) All Other Compensation includes (i) Company matching contributions to the 401(k) retirement accounts of all of the named executive officers, and (ii) for Mr. Craft only, disability insurance premiums and reimbursement for club dues.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Year Ended December 31, 2012

The table below sets forth the range of potential STIP awards for 2012 performance as a dollar amount for each of the named executive officers under the 2012 STIP. The table also sets forth (i) the number of shares and grant date fair value of performance stock awards granted during 2012 to the named executive officers under the 2007 Plan, (ii) the range of potential payouts for the TSR stock awards granted during 2012 to the named executive officers under the 2007 Plan, and (iii) any special equity grants made to the named executive officers during 2012.

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			Non-Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards \$(4)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum		
		(\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	(\$) (e)	(#) (f)	(#) (g)	(#) (h)		
Mr. Craft	2/16/12	240,000	480,000	840,000					
	2/21/12				5,052	10,103	15,154		\$ 389,471
	2/21/12							30,308	\$ 1,115,637
Mr. Yang	1/24/12							14,000(5)	\$ 480,480
	1/24/12							54,000(5)	\$ 1,853,280
	2/16/12	116,250	232,500	387,500					
	2/21/12				2,345	4,690	7,036		\$ 180,800
	2/21/12							14,071	\$ 517,954
Mr. Henderson	2/16/12	110,625	221,250	368,750					
	2/21/12				2,345	4,690	7,036		\$ 180,800
	2/21/12							14,071	\$ 517,954
Mr. Smart	2/16/12	110,625	221,250	368,750					
	2/21/12				2,345	4,690	7,036		\$ 180,800
	2/21/12							14,071	\$ 517,954
Mr. Manoushagian	2/16/12	86,250	172,500	287,500					
	2/21/12				2,345	4,690	7,036		\$ 180,800
	2/21/12							14,071	\$ 517,954

Table of Contents

- (1) These columns show the range of potential values for the payout of the STIP awards for 2012 performance for each named executive officer, including the portion of the STIP award attributable to individual performance. The actual payout is determined 75% by Company performance and 25% by individual performance. Amounts included in the threshold column assume that the threshold level of all Company and individual performance measures were met under the 2012 STIP. Amounts included in the target column assume that the target levels of all Company and individual performance measures were met, and amounts included in the maximum column assume that the excellent levels of all Company and individual performance measures were met under the 2012 STIP. The actual amount of the annual cash incentive award paid to each named executive officer for 2012 performance is set forth above in the Summary Compensation Table in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column for 2012. For a detailed description of the 2012 STIP, see Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Short-Term Incentive Plan (STIP).
- (2) These columns show the potential number of shares that may be earned pursuant to the TSR stock awards granted as part of the 2012 LTIP. The number of units paid at the end of the performance period may vary from the target amount, based on our TSR achievement relative to the TSR of the specified peer companies. The awards are described in greater detail above under Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Awards and 2012 LTIP TSR Stock Awards.
- (3) These performance stock awards were subject to performance conditions in 2012, the year of grant. All of the applicable performance measures were achieved as of December 31, 2012; however, these awards remain subject to time-based vesting restrictions through December 31, 2015. See Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Awards and 2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards for a more detailed discussion of these awards.
- (4) This column represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the 2012 LTIP grant, including the performance stock awards and the TSR stock awards, and the discretionary equity awards granted to Mr. Yang in 2012, in each case calculated according to ASC 718. The amounts are consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period for accounting purposes with respect to the awards, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures, and do not necessarily correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the named executive officers. See footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table for additional information about the assumptions used in calculating these amounts.
- (5) Reflect discretionary equity awards granted to Mr. Yang in January 2012. The first equity award of 14,000 shares of fully vested common stock was in recognition of Mr. Yang's past contributions, specifically his contributions to 2011 results. The second equity award of 54,000 shares of restricted stock was primarily a retention award. The shares of restricted stock are subject to service-based vesting conditions and will vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant of the award, as long as Mr. Yang remains employed by the Company through the applicable vesting dates.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End**

The following table summarizes the number of securities underlying outstanding equity plan awards under the 2007 Plan for each named executive officer as of December 31, 2012. On March 13, 2012, the named executive officers exercised all of their outstanding stock options and, as a result, there are no outstanding stock options listed in the table below.

Name	Stock Awards			
	Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)	Market Value of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)
(a)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Mr. Craft	151,108	3,779,211	10,103	252,676
Mr. Yang	143,538	3,589,885	4,690	117,297
Mr. Henderson	91,205	2,281,037	4,690	117,297
Mr. Smart	91,205	2,281,037	4,690	117,297
Mr. Manoushagian	77,871	1,947,554	4,690	117,297

- (1) These restricted stock awards remain subject to service-based vesting conditions. The treatment of all of these awards under certain termination and change in control events is described below under Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control. For each executive officer, the number of shares of stock that have not vested is made up of:

Name	Grant Date	Number of Shares of Stock
		That Have Not Vested
Mr. Craft	August 6, 2010 (b)	80,000
	March 7, 2011 (b)	40,800
	February 21, 2012 (c)	30,308
Mr. Yang	May 7, 2010 (a)	11,667
	August 6, 2010 (b)	40,000
	March 7, 2011 (b)	23,800
	January 24, 2012 (d)	54,000
	February 21, 2012 (c)	14,071
Mr. Henderson	August 6, 2010 (b)	53,334
	March 7, 2011 (b)	23,800
	February 21, 2012 (c)	14,071
Mr. Smart	August 6, 2010 (b)	53,334
	March 7, 2011 (b)	23,800
	February 21, 2012 (c)	14,071
Mr. Manoushagian	August 6, 2010 (b)	40,000
	March 7, 2011 (b)	23,800
	February 21, 2012 (c)	14,071

Edgar Filing: Approach Resources Inc - Form DEF 14A

- (a) Awards granted on May 7, 2010, vest in one-third annual increments on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant of the awards.

Table of Contents

- (b) Awards granted on August 2, 2010, and March 7, 2011, vest on December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2014.
- (c) The service-based vesting schedule applicable to the awards granted on February 21, 2012, is described above under Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Performance Stock Awards.
- (d) The award granted to Mr. Yang on January 24, 2012, vests in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant of the award, as long as Mr. Yang remains employed by the Company through the applicable vesting dates.
- (2) Based on the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ of \$25.01 per share on December 31, 2012.
- (3) Represents 100% of the target number of TSR stock awards granted to the each of the named executive officers on February 21, 2012. Vesting of the TSR stock awards is contingent on continuous active employment with us at the end of the performance period, which ends December 31, 2014, and our relative TSR at the end of the performance period compared to the TSR of the specified peer companies. See Analysis of Executive Compensation Decisions Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) 2012 LTIP Awards and 2012 LTIP TSR Stock Awards above for more information.
- (4) Based on the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ of \$25.01 per share on December 31, 2012, multiplied by 100% of the target number of TSR stock awards granted to the each of the named executive officers on February 21, 2012.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table reflects stock options that were exercised by, and stock awards that vested for, each of our named executive officers during 2012.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting
(a)	(#) (b)	\$(1) (c)	(#) (d)	\$(2) (e)
Mr. Craft	152,892	4,987,337	79,491	2,000,479
Mr. Yang			53,567	1,440,496
Mr. Henderson	28,845	940,924	49,475	1,244,461
Mr. Smart			49,475	1,244,461
Mr. Manoushagian	28,845	940,924	37,355	937,794

- (1) Based on the difference between the exercise price of the options (\$3.33) and the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ of \$35.95 per share on March 13, 2012, the date of exercise.
- (2) Based on the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ on the date of vesting, as follows:
- (a) For Mr. Craft, Mr. Smart, Mr. Henderson and Mr. Manoushagian, the following shares vested on June 3, 2012: (i) 19,091 shares for Mr. Craft, (ii) 10,909 shares for Mr. Smart, (iii) 10,909 shares for Mr. Henderson, and (iv) 5,455 shares for Mr. Manoushagian. June 3, 2012 was not a trading day and the closing price of our common stock was \$25.66 per share on June 1, 2012, which was the last trading day preceding the vesting date.
- (b) For Mr. Yang, (i) 10,000 shares vested on July 27, 2012, when the closing price of our common stock was \$26.70 per share, and (ii) 11,667 shares vested on May 7, 2012, when the closing price of our common stock was \$32.20 per share.
- (c) The remaining shares reported for each of the named executive officers vested on December 31, 2012, when the closing price of our common stock was \$25.01 per share.

Table of Contents

Pension Benefits

We do not have any plan that provides for payments or other benefits at, following or in connection with retirement, other than our 401(k) plan.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

We do not have any plan that provides for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax qualified.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Employment Agreements

We have employment agreements with each of our named executive officers that provide, among other things, certain severance benefits in the event an executive officer's employment is terminated under specified circumstances. As discussed in more detail below, the terms of our employment agreement with Mr. Craft are somewhat different than the terms of our employment agreements with the other named executive officers. For example, Mr. Craft's employment agreement provides for a higher level of severance benefits and single-trigger payments upon a change in control rather than double-trigger severance, which is provided in the employment agreements with our other named executive officers. The differences in Mr. Craft's employment agreement are the result of the Committee's competitiveness review of Mr. Craft's prior employment agreement. The Committee considered the level of severance benefits provided to similarly situated CEOs in the oil and gas industry and determined that the level of severance benefits in Mr. Craft's prior employment agreement was not competitive for our industry. In addition to adjusting Mr. Craft's severance benefits in the amended employment agreement, the Committee determined that it was in the best interest of stockholders to retain the single-trigger payment provision contained in Mr. Craft's prior employment agreement. The single-trigger provision in the prior employment agreement was the result of good faith negotiations by the Company and Mr. Craft, and after significant arms-length discussions regarding potential terms of a new agreement in 2010, both parties agreed to keep the provision. None of our other named executive officers has a single-trigger payment upon a change in control, none is contemplated by the Committee and the Committee does not expect to approve any additional, single-trigger payment provisions in the future.

Severance Payments and Benefits under the Employment Agreements

The employment agreements with our named executive officers provide for potential severance payments upon the termination of a named executive officer's employment in certain situations, including in connection with a Change in Control, without Cause by the Company or for Good Reason by the executive officer, due to nonrenewal by the Company and upon the death or Permanent Disability of the executive officer.

Termination for Cause, without Good Reason, or due to Executive's Nonrenewal. In the event of an executive's termination by the Company for Cause, by the executive without Good Reason, or by the executive's proper notice of nonrenewal of the agreement, the Company shall have no severance obligation to the executive other than payment of accrued obligations (the "Accrued Obligations"), which are (i) earned but unpaid base salary through the date of termination, (ii) any employee benefits to which the executive has a vested entitlement as of the date of termination, (iii) accrued but unused vacation, and (iv) approved but unreimbursed eligible business expenses.

Table of Contents

Death or Permanent Disability. Under the terms of the employment agreements, in the event of an executive's death or if he becomes subject to a Permanent Disability, the agreements will terminate and we will pay the executive or his estate the Accrued Obligations and a lump sum severance payment, payable between 20 and 60 days after the date of termination following the executive's proper execution of a release of claims in favor of the Company, equal to (i) 100% of the executive's then-current annual base salary, and (ii) 100% of the average of any bonuses received by the executive in the two years immediately before the separation from service.

Termination without Cause, for Good Reason or due to Company's Nonrenewal. If the agreements are terminated by the Company without Cause, by the executive for Good Reason, or by the Company's proper notice of nonrenewal of the agreement, the Company will pay each named executive officer (i) the Accrued Obligations, (ii) a lump sum severance payment, payable between 20 and 60 days after the date of termination following the executive's proper execution of a release of claims in favor of the Company, equal to 150% (or 200%, in the case of Mr. Craft) of the greater of (A) the executive officer's then-current base salary or (B) the executive officer's base salary at any time within two years immediately before the separation from service, and (iii) the bonus that the named executive officer would have received based on actual achievement of applicable performance goals in the year of termination (prorated for any partial year of service except, for Mr. Craft, in the case of a termination without Cause or for Good Reason), payable on the date that annual bonuses are paid to the named executive officers still employed by the Company. The Company will also reimburse the named executive officers for certain premiums paid under the Consolidated Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1985 (COBRA), for up to 18 months (or up to 24 months, in the case of Mr. Craft; in the case of all executives, up to 12 months if an executive terminates his employment for Good Reason), depending on the executive's eligibility for continuation of coverage under COBRA.

Change in Control. If Mr. Craft is employed by the Company at the time of a Change in Control, Mr. Craft's employment agreement will automatically terminate, without regard to whether Mr. Craft experiences a separation from service, and Mr. Craft will receive the Accrued Obligations and a lump sum cash payment, payable between 20 and 60 days after the date the Change in Control occurs following Mr. Craft's proper execution of a release of claims in favor of the Company, equal to (i) 200% of the greater of Mr. Craft's then-current base salary and Mr. Craft's base salary at any time within the two years before the Change in Control, and (ii) 200% of the average of any bonuses received by Mr. Craft in the two years before the Change in Control. We will also reimburse Mr. Craft for certain premiums paid under COBRA for up to 24 months following his separation from service, subject to Mr. Craft's eligibility for continuation of coverage under COBRA. These payments and benefits are in lieu of any severance amounts that may otherwise become due under the agreement.

If one of the other named executive officers is employed by us at the time of a Change in Control and his agreement is terminated without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason within one year of the Change in Control, the executive will receive a lump sum cash payment, payable between 20 and 60 days after the date of termination following the executive's proper execution of a release of claims in favor of the Company, equal to (i) 150% of the greater of the executive's then-current base salary and his base salary at any time within the two years immediately before the Change in Control, and (ii) 100% of the average of bonuses received by the executive in the two years before the Change in Control. We will also reimburse the executive for certain premiums paid under COBRA for a period of up to 18 months, depending on the executive's eligibility for continuation of coverage under COBRA.

If a named executive officer is terminated by the Company without Cause or if he terminates his employment for Good Reason, in either case, within 120 days before the occurrence of a Change in

Table of Contents

Control, then the named executive officer will be entitled to receive, in addition to the benefits described above for termination without Cause or for Good Reason, a lump sum equal to the excess, if any, of the severance benefits he would have received if he had been employed on the date of the Change in Control over the severance benefits he actually received in connection with his termination of employment.

Conditions to Receipt of Severance

All payments and benefits due under the employment agreements are conditioned upon the execution and non-revocation by the executive officer of a release for the Company's benefit. Under the agreements, the executive officers have also agreed to certain confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation covenants with respect to the Company. The confidentiality covenants apply during the term of the agreement and for a one year period following the executive officer's termination of employment. The non-competition and non-solicitation covenants apply during the term of the agreement and for one year following the executive officer's termination of employment (or for six months following termination of employment in the case of (i) Mr. Craft, in the event of his termination from employment for Good Reason or in connection with a Change in Control, or (ii) the other named executive officers, if an executive officer is terminated without Cause or for Good Reason within one year following a Change in Control). Violation of any restrictive covenant entitles the Company to complete relief, which includes restitution. In addition, in the event of the breach of a restrictive covenant during an executive officer's employment with us, the executive could be terminated for Cause (provided that the breach constituted a material violation of the employment agreement). The employment agreements do not prohibit the Company from waiving a breach of a restrictive covenant.

Section 280G

If amounts payable to an executive officer under his employment agreement (together with any other amounts that are payable by us as a result of a change in ownership or control) (collectively, the Payments) exceed the amount allowed under Section 280G of the Code for such executive (thereby subjecting the executive to an excise tax), then the Payments due to the executive under the employment agreement will either (i) be reduced so that the present value of the Payments is \$1.00 less than the amount which would cause the executive to incur an excise tax under Section 4999, or (ii) be paid in full, whichever produces the better, net, after-tax result for the executive (taking into account any applicable excise or income taxes). We do not provide any of our named executive officers with gross up payments to cover Section 280G excise taxes.

Employment Agreement Definitions

For purposes of the employment agreements, the following terms have been given the meanings set forth below:

Change in Control is generally defined as (i) any consolidation or merger of the Company in which the Company is not the continuing or surviving corporation or under which shares of the Company's common stock would be converted into cash, securities or other property, other than a merger of the Company in which the holders of the Company's common stock immediately before the merger have the same proportionate ownership of common stock of the surviving corporation immediately after the merger, (ii) any sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all, of the assets of the Company and the its

Table of Contents

subsidiaries to any other person or entity (other than an affiliate of the Company), (iii) the stockholders of the Company approve any plan or proposal for liquidation or dissolution of the Company, (iv) any person or entity (other than Yorktown Energy Partners V, L.P., or any of its affiliated funds), including a group as contemplated by Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, acquires or gains ownership or control (including, without limitation, power to vote) of more than 50% of the outstanding shares of the Company's voting stock (based on voting power), or (v) as a result of or in connection with a contested election of directors, the persons who were directors of the Company before such election shall cease to constitute a majority of the Board. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Change in Control does not include a public offering of the Company's common stock or a transaction with its sole purpose to change the state of the Company's incorporation or to create a holding company that will be owned in substantially the same proportions by the persons who held the Company's securities immediately before such transaction.

Cause is generally defined as (i) the willful and continued failure by the executive substantially to perform his duties, responsibilities or authorities (other than any such failure resulting from the executive becoming Permanently Disabled), (ii) the willful engaging by the executive in misconduct that is materially injurious to the Company, (iii) any misconduct by the executive in the course and scope of the executive's employment, including but not limited to dishonesty, disloyalty, disorderly conduct, insubordination, harassment of other employees or third parties, abuse of alcohol or controlled substances or other violations of the Company's personnel policies, rules or Code of Conduct, (iv) any material violation by the executive of his employment agreement, or (v) any violation by the executive of any fiduciary duty owed by the executive to the Company or its affiliates. For these purposes, no act, or failure to act, on the executive's part shall be considered willful unless done, or omitted to be done, by him not in good faith and without reasonable belief that his action or omission was in the best interest of the Company.

Good Reason is generally defined as, without the executive's consent, (i) a material diminution in the executive's annual base salary, duties, responsibilities or authorities, (ii) a requirement that the executive report to an officer or employee other than the Board (or, in the case of executive officers other than Mr. Craft, to an officer or employee other than the president or the Board), (iii) a material relocation of the executive's primary work location more than 50 miles from the Company's corporate headquarters (or 25 miles, in the case of Mr. Craft), or (iv) any other material breach by the Company of its obligations under the employment agreement.

An executive will be deemed to have become Permanently Disabled when (i) he receives disability benefits under either Social Security or the Company's long-term disability plan, if any, (ii) the Board, upon the written report of a qualified physician designated by the Board or its insurers, shall have determined (after a complete physical examination of the executive at any time after he has been absent from the Company for a total period of 180 or more calendar days in any 12-month period) that the executive has become physically and/or mentally incapable of performing his essential job functions with or without reasonable accommodation as required by law, or (iii) he is otherwise unable for a continuous period of 90 calendar days to perform his essential job functions with or without reasonable accommodation as required by law due to injury, illness or other incapacity (or 120 days, in the case of Mr. Craft).

Table of Contents

Table of Severance Benefits. The following table summarizes the potential severance payments payable to our executive officers under their employment agreements. The table is only intended to summarize various terms of the employment agreements and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the actual agreements, copies of which are on file with the SEC.

Executive Officer	Change in Control (CIC) (1)	By Company			Death or Permanent Disability
		Without Cause or by Executive For Good Reason	Notice of Nonrenewal by Company		
Mr. Craft	(a) 200% of base salary, (b) 200% of average bonus during two years before CIC, and (c) COBRA premiums for up to 24 months.	(a) 200% of base salary, (b) 100% of bonus, and (c) COBRA premiums for up to 24 months (12 months if executive terminates for Good Reason).	(a) 200% of base salary, (b) 100% of bonus, prorated for partial year of service, and (c) COBRA premiums for up to 24 months.	(a) 100% of base salary, and (b) 100% of average bonus during two years before separation of service.	
Mr. Yang	(a) 150% of base salary, (b) 100% of average bonus during two years before CIC, and (c) COBRA premiums for up to 18 months.	(a) 150% of base salary, (b) 100% of bonus, prorated for partial year of service, and (c) COBRA premiums for up to 18 months (12 months if executive terminates for Good Reason).	(a) 150% of base salary, (b) 100% of bonus, prorated for partial year of service, and (c) COBRA premiums for up to 18 months.	(a) 100% of base salary, and (b) 100% of average bonus during two years before separation of service.	
Mr. Smart	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	
Mr. Henderson	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	
Mr. Manoushagian	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	Same as Mr. Yang.	

(1) Change in Control must be followed by termination of employment by the Company without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason, each within one year of the Change in Control, for severance to be payable to the named executive officers, except for Mr. Craft. Amounts due to Mr. Craft are automatically payable upon a Change in Control regardless of termination of employment.

Stock Incentive Plan

In addition to potential severance payments under the executive employment agreements discussed above, certain restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers under the 2007 Plan are subject to accelerated vesting in the event of a Change in Control or certain termination events.

Performance Stock Awards

The form of restricted stock award agreements we used to grant performance stock awards to our named executive officers after August 1, 2010, provide that unvested restricted shares will automatically vest in full upon termination of employment by reason of death or Disability. In addition, in accordance with the terms of the 2007 Plan, unvested restricted shares will automatically vest in full upon the occurrence of a Change in Control.

Table of Contents

TSR Stock Awards

The form of restricted stock award agreements we used to grant TSR stock awards provide that, if an executive officer's employment is terminated due to death or Disability, then the executive officer will be deemed, at the time of termination, to have earned the target number of TSR shares. If a Change in Control occurs during the performance period, we will determine the number of TSR shares earned by each executive officer assuming the performance period ends on the date of the Change in Control.

The definition of Change in Control under the 2007 Plan and the restricted stock award agreements is the same definition as under the employment agreements, and is discussed above under Employment Agreement Definitions. Under the 2007 Plan and the restricted stock award agreements, Disability is generally defined as (i) the inability of an executive to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, or (ii) an executive's receipt of income replacement benefits for a period of not less than three months under an accident or health plan covering employees of the Company or an affiliate, due to any medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.

Quantification of Payments

The table below summarizes the dollar amounts of potential payments to each named executive officer upon a qualifying termination of employment or Change in Control assuming that each of the events described in the table below occurred on December 31, 2012, when the closing price of the Company's common stock was \$25.01. The values below are our best estimate of the severance payments and benefits the executives would receive upon a termination of employment or a Change in Control as of December 31, 2012, and we believe the amounts below have been calculated using reasonable assumptions. All amounts are before taxes, which would reduce amounts ultimately due to our named executive officers. Any actual payments that may be made under the agreements described above depend on various factors, which may or may not exist at the time a Change in Control actually occurs and/or the named executive officer is actually terminated. Therefore, such amounts and disclosures should be considered forward looking statements.

Table of Contents

Executive Officer	Change in Control or CIC(1)	By Company Without Cause or by Executive For Good Reason	Notice of Nonrenewal by Company	Death or Permanent Disability
Mr. Craft				
Salary	\$ 960,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 480,000
Bonus	697,500	379,872	379,872	348,750
Accelerated Equity	3,968,718			4,031,887
Continued Medical (COBRA)(2)	46,389	46,389	46,389	
Total (3)	\$ 5,672,607	\$ 1,386,261	\$ 1,386,261	\$ 4,860,637
Mr. Yang				
Salary	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 465,000	\$ 310,000
Bonus	149,875	190,402	190,402	149,875
Accelerated Equity	3,677,858			3,707,182
Continued Medical (COBRA)(2)	28,402	28,402	28,402	
Total (3)	\$ 4,321,135	\$ 683,804	\$ 683,804	\$ 4,167,057
Mr. Henderson				
Salary	\$ 442,500	\$ 442,500	\$ 442,500	\$ 295,000
Bonus	168,375	181,189	181,189	168,375
Accelerated Equity	2,369,010			2,398,334
Continued Medical (COBRA)(2)	28,402	28,402	28,402	
Total (3)	\$ 3,008,287	\$ 652,091	\$ 652,091	\$ 2,861,709
Mr. Smart				
Salary	\$ 442,500	\$ 442,500	\$ 442,500	\$ 295,000
Bonus	169,575	160,539	160,539	169,575
Accelerated Equity	2,369,010			2,398,334
Continued Medical (COBRA)(2)	16,748	16,748	16,748	
Total (3)	\$ 2,997,833	\$ 619,787	\$ 619,787	\$ 2,862,909
Mr. Manoushagian				
Salary	\$ 345,000	\$ 345,000	\$ 345,000	\$ 230,000
Bonus	113,050	129,766	129,766	113,050
Accelerated Equity	2,035,526			2,064,851
Continued Medical (COBRA)(2)	19,120	19,120	19,120	
Total (3)	\$ 2,512,696	\$ 493,886	\$ 493,886	\$ 2,407,901

(1) As described above, for the named executive officers other than Mr. Craft, the Change in Control must be followed by a termination of employment by the Company without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason, in either case within one year of the Change in Control, in order for the Salary, Bonus and Continued Medical (COBRA) amounts reported above to become payable. Accelerated vesting of equity awards and all payments due to Mr. Craft are triggered upon the occurrence of a Change in Control regardless of termination of employment.

Edgar Filing: Approach Resources Inc - Form DEF 14A

- (2) Based on assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under GAAP. In the event of a termination by the executive for Good Reason, the duration of continued medical benefits would only last up to 12 months; however, for purposes of quantifying amounts in this table, the By Company Without Cause or by Executive For Good Reason column reports up to 18 months of continued coverage (or 24 months, in the case of Mr. Craft) in the event of a termination without Cause or for Good Reason, which is what would be provided in the event of a termination without Cause by the Company.
- (3) Total amounts are calculated without regard to the potential 280G reduction described above under Employment Agreements Section 280G. The amount of any potential reduction would be determined at the time of payment.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****Annual Retainer and Meeting Fees**

Each of our directors received an annual retainer of \$70,000 in fully vested common stock for services rendered in 2012, under our director compensation plan. Each of our directors also received an annual retainer of \$40,000 in cash, stock or a combination of both, at the election of each director, payable in four equal installments on the first trading day of each quarter. These annual retainer amounts were increased from the retainer amounts paid in 2011 based on the advice of Meridian. In determining whether to raise the annual retainer, the Compensation Committee considered director compensation data from the Company's 2012 peer group provided by Meridian, the increasing time spent on Board and Committee matters, the complexity of issues discussed, ongoing corporate governance matters, and that the amount of the total annual retainer for outside Board members had not changed from \$85,000 since the Company's initial public offering in 2007. At the time of consideration, the Company's director compensation was below the 25th percentile of the Company's peer group. Following consideration on these matters, the Committee agreed that a gradual, upward adjustment in annual retainer amount would be appropriate.

The Committee also approved an increase in Board meeting fees from \$1,000 to \$1,500 per meeting. Each committee member receives cash meeting fees of \$1,000 for each Audit Committee meeting attended and \$1,000 for each Compensation Committee meeting attended. In addition, each Chairman of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee received \$15,000 and \$7,500, respectively, in cash, stock or a combination of both, at the election of each Chairman, and payable in four equal installments on the first trading day of each quarter. At the time of consideration, the increases for 2012 placed the Company's outside director compensation at approximately the 30th percentile of the Company's peer group. For a discussion of the Company's peer group, please see "Setting Executive Officer Compensation Use of Peer Group Comparisons."

Additionally, each director is reimbursed for travel and miscellaneous expenses incurred to attend meetings and activities of the Board or its committees, as well as travel and miscellaneous expenses related to such director's participation in general education and programs for directors.

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our directors in 2012. The amounts shown under the "Stock Awards" column reflect the grant date fair value of the common stock received by each director and were calculated in accordance with ASC 718, based on the NASDAQ closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Neither Mr. Craft, who is a full-time employee, nor Mr. Lawrence, who is affiliated with Yorktown Energy Partners, receives compensation for serving as directors.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock	
		Awards	Total
(a)	(\$) (b)	(\$) (c)	(\$) (h)
Mr. Bell	28,000	125,000	153,000
Mr. Brandi	57,000	92,500	149,500
Mr. Crain	32,000	110,000	142,000
Mr. Lubar	19,000	110,000	129,000
Mr. Whyte	39,750	96,250	136,000

Table of Contents

Director Compensation Changes Following Fiscal Year-End

In December 2012, the Compensation Committee considered an increase in the Board's annual retainer amount based on the advice of Meridian. In determining whether to raise the annual retainer, the Committee considered director compensation data from the Company's peer group provided by Meridian, the increasing time spent on Board and committee matters, the complexity of issues discussed and ongoing corporate governance matters. At the time of consideration, the Company's director compensation was at approximately the 25th percentile of the Company's peer group. Following consideration of these matters, and consistent with its consideration of the issue in January 2012, the Committee agreed to an upward adjustment in annual retainer to \$80,000 in stock and \$50,000 in cash, stock or a combination of both. At the time of consideration, these increases placed the Company's outside director compensation at approximately the 38th percentile of the Company's peer group. For a discussion of the Company's peer group, please see "Setting Executive Officer Compensation" Use of Peer Group Comparisons.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee believes that meaningful stock ownership by our directors is important in aligning a director's interests more closely with those of the Company's stockholders. Therefore, in January 2012, the Compensation Committee established director stock ownership guidelines under which directors who are not also named executive officers of the Company are expected to own shares of the Company's common stock having a market value of five times the portion of the annual retainer payable in cash, common stock or a combination of both, which is currently set at \$50,000 for 2013. Directors have up to five years to meet the stock ownership guideline. Each non-employee director currently satisfies the guidelines.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Our Board has approved a written policy that requires our Audit Committee to review on an annual basis all transactions with related parties, or in which a related party has a direct or indirect interest, and to determine whether to ratify or approve the transaction after consideration of the related party's interest in the transaction. For these purposes, a related-party transaction is a transaction between the Company and any related party, such as an officer, director or 5% stockholder of the Company, other than transactions available to all employees generally or transactions involving less than \$5,000 when combined with all similar transactions. We had no related-party transactions in 2012.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Audit Committee has appointed Hein & Associates LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting as of and for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. Stockholders are being asked to ratify the appointment of Hein at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, under Proposal 3.

Representatives of Hein are expected to be present at the annual meeting. Hein representatives will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions at the annual meeting.

Table of Contents**Audit Fees**

Our independent registered public accounting firm for 2012 and 2011 was Hein & Associates LLP. The fees billed to us by Hein are shown in the table below.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Audit fees	\$ 472,755	\$ 402,402
Audit-related fees		24,965
Total	\$ 472,755	\$ 427,367

Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services for the audit of our annual financial statements, reviews of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings, and the audit of our internal control over financial reporting. For 2012 and 2011, these services include the review of our prospectuses for equity offerings.

Audit-related fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees. These services consisted of consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards.

Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures

The Audit Committee must give prior approval to any management request for any amount or type of service (audit, audit-related and tax services or to the extent permitted by law, non-audit services) our independent registered public accounting firm provides. All audit and audit-related services rendered by Hein in 2012 and 2011 were approved by the Audit Committee before Hein was engaged for such services. No services of any kind were approved pursuant to a waiver permitted under 17 CFR 210.2-01(c)(7)(i)(C).

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The following statement is furnished by our Audit Committee and is not incorporated by reference into any document that we file with the SEC.

This statement is being provided to inform stockholders of the Audit Committee's oversight with respect to our financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, and related notes with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61,

Communications with Audit Committees as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (the PCAOB) in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee discussed with our independent registered public accounting firm the independence of such firm from our management, including a review of audit and

Table of Contents

non-audit fees, and received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee has also discussed with our management and the independent registered public accounting firm such other matters and received such assurance from them, as the Audit Committee deemed appropriate.

Management is responsible for the preparation and presentation of the Company's audited financial statements, the establishment and maintenance of our disclosure controls and procedures and the establishment, maintenance and evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of our financial statements and internal control over financial reporting according to the standards of the PCAOB and issuing reports thereon. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee this process.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, and relying thereon, we have recommended to the Company and the Board the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, for filing with the SEC.

The members of the Audit Committee are not professionally engaged in the practice of auditing or accounting for the Company and are not experts in auditor independence standards. Members of the Audit Committee rely without independent verification on the information provided to them and on the representations made by management and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Accordingly, the Audit Committee's oversight does not provide an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the Audit Committee's considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of the Company's financial statements and internal control over financial reporting has been carried out according to the standards of the PCAOB, that the financial statements are presented according to GAAP standards, or that Hein & Associates LLP is in fact independent.

Respectfully submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board,

Alan D. Bell, Chairman

James H. Brandi

James C. Crain

Christopher J. Whyte

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

Other Proposals at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Our Board does not know of any other matters that are to be presented for action at the annual meeting. However, if any other matters properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) thereof, it is intended that the enclosed proxy will be voted according to the judgment of the persons voting the proxy.

The information contained in this proxy statement in the sections entitled "Compensation Committee Report" and "Audit Committee Report" shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filings with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Submission of Stockholder Proposals and Other Deadlines for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, some stockholder proposals may be eligible for inclusion in our 2014 proxy statement. Under the SEC's rules and regulations, stockholders interested in submitting proposals in our proxy materials and for presentation at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders may do so by following the procedures set forth in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. In general, stockholder proposals must be received by our Corporate Secretary at Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116 no later than December 25, 2013, to be eligible for inclusion in our proxy materials.

Alternatively, as more specifically provided for in our bylaws, a stockholder making a nomination for election to our Board or a proposal of business (other than proposals to be included in our proxy statement and proxy as discussed in the previous paragraph) for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders must deliver proper notice to our Corporate Secretary at Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116 not less than 90 and no more than 120 calendar days before the one year anniversary of the date of this proxy statement. As a result, for a stockholder nomination for election to our Board or a proposal of business to be considered at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, it must be properly submitted to our Corporate Secretary no earlier than December 25, 2013, and no later than January 24, 2014.

Pursuant to Rule 14a-4(c) of the Exchange Act, our Board may exercise discretionary voting authority under proxies solicited by it with respect to any matter properly presented by a stockholder at the 2014 annual meeting that the stockholder does not seek to have included in our proxy statement if (except as described in the following sentence) the proxy statement discloses the nature of the matter and how our Board intends to exercise its discretion to vote on such matter, unless we are notified of the proposal on or before January 24, 2014, and the stockholder satisfies the other requirements of Rule 14a-4(c)(2). If we first receive notice of such matter after January 24, 2014, and the matter nonetheless is permitted to be presented at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, our Board may exercise discretionary voting authority with respect to any such matter without including any discussion of the matter in the proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders. We reserve the right to reject, rule out of order or take other appropriate action with respect to any proposal that does not comply with the requirements described above and other applicable requirements.

Table of Contents

For each individual that a stockholder proposes to nominate as a director and for each matter of business proposed to be considered, the stockholder must provide notice to our Corporate Secretary within the time limits described above for delivering notice of such stockholder proposal and comply with the information requirements in our bylaws relating to stockholder nominations. See **Corporate Governance - Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors** for additional information about stockholder nominations.

Detailed information for submitting stockholder proposals is available upon written request to our Corporate Secretary at Approach Resources Inc., One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116. These requirements are separate from, and in addition to, the SEC's rules and regulations that a stockholder must meet to have a stockholder proposal included in our proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

2012 Annual Report to Stockholders

Our 2012 annual report to stockholders accompanies this proxy statement. The 2012 annual report to stockholders is not a part of the proxy soliciting material.

Stockholder List

In accordance with the Delaware General Corporation Law, we will maintain at our corporate offices in Fort Worth, Texas a list of the stockholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting. The list will be open to the examination of any stockholder, for purposes relevant to the annual meeting, during ordinary business hours for 10 days before the annual meeting.

Additional Information About Approach Resources Inc.

If you would like to receive information about Approach Resources Inc., please visit our website at www.approachresources.com. A link to our investor relations site can be found at <http://ir.approachresources.com/>. Our investor relations site contains, among other things, management presentations, financial information, stock quotes and links to our filings with the SEC.

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, including the financial statements and the financial statement schedules, if any, but not including exhibits, will be provided at no charge to each person to whom this proxy statement is delivered upon the written request of such person addressed to Approach Resources Inc., Attn: Investor Relations, One Ridgmar Centre, 6500 West Freeway, Suite 800, Fort Worth, Texas 76116, or by contacting Investor Relations at (817) 989-9000 or via our website at <http://ir.approachresources.com/>.

You may read without charge, and copy at prescribed rates, all or any portion of the proxy statement or any reports, statements or other information in the files at the public reference facilities of the SEC's principal office at Room 1580, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20549. You can request copies of these documents upon payment of a duplicating fee by writing to the SEC. You may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of its public reference rooms. Our filings are also available to you on the Internet web site maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

In this proxy statement, we state that information and documents are available on our web site. These references are merely intended to suggest where our stockholders may obtain additional information. The materials and other information presented on our web site are not incorporated in and should not otherwise be considered part of this proxy statement.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

J. Curtis Henderson

Executive Vice President, General Counsel

and Secretary

Fort Worth, Texas

April 24, 2013

Table of Contents

Table of Contents