CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC Form 10-Q May 08, 2014 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549** 

# **FORM 10-Q**

X	<b>Quarterly Report Pursuant</b>	To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
		FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2014

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

OR

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission	Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter		
File Number 1-14514	and principal office address and telephone number Consolidated Edison, Inc. 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003 (212) 460-4600	State of Incorporation New York	I.R.S. Employe ID. Number 13-3965100
1-1217	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.	New York	13-5009340

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

to such fining requirements for the past 50 days.

Consolidated Edison, Inc. (Con Edison)

Yes x No "

Consolidated Edison of New York, Inc. (CECONY)

(212) 460-4600

4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Con Edison Yes x No "
CECONY Yes x No "

## Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Con Edison

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

**CECONY** 

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Con Edison Yes " No x

CECONY Yes " No x

As of May 2, 2014, Con Edison had outstanding 292,894,192 Common Shares (\$.10 par value). All of the outstanding common equity of CECONY is held by Con Edison.

### **Filing Format**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is a combined report being filed separately by two different registrants: Consolidated Edison, Inc. (Con Edison) and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (CECONY). CECONY is a subsidiary of Con Edison and, as such, the information in this report about CECONY also applies to Con Edison. As used in this report, the term the Companies refers to Con Edison and CECONY. However, CECONY makes no representation as to the information contained in this report relating to Con Edison or the subsidiaries of Con Edison other than itself.

## **Glossary of Terms**

The following is a glossary of frequently used abbreviations or acronyms that are used in the Companies SEC reports:

### **Con Edison Companies**

Con Edison Consolidated Edison, Inc.

CECONY Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

Con Edison Development
Con Edison Development, Inc.
Con Edison Energy
Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc.
Con Edison Solutions
Consolidated Edison Solutions, Inc.
O&R
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.
Pike
Pike County Light & Power Company
RECO
Reckland Electric Company

RECO Rockland Electric Company
The Companies Con Edison and CECONY
The Utilities CECONY and O&R

Regulatory Agencies, Government Agencies, and Quasi-governmental Not-for-Profits

EPA U. S. Environmental Protection Agency FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

IRS Internal Revenue Service ISO-NE ISO New England Inc.

NJBPU New Jersey Board of Public Utilities

NJDEP New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

NYISO New York Independent System Operator

NYPA New York Power Authority
NYSAG New York State Attorney General

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSERDA New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

NYSPSC New York State Public Service Commission
NYSRC New York State Reliability Council, LLC
PAPUC Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

PJM Interconnection LLC

SEC U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Accounting

ABO Accumulated Benefit Obligation
ASU Accounting Standards Update
FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board

LILO Lease In/Lease Out

OCI Other Comprehensive Income

SFAS Statement of Financial Accounting Standards

VIE Variable interest entity

Environmental

 $\begin{array}{ccc} {\rm CO}_2 & & {\rm Carbon\ dioxide} \\ {\rm GHG} & & {\rm Greenhouse\ gases} \end{array}$ 

MGP Sites Manufactured gas plant sites PCBs Polychlorinated biphenyls PRP Potentially responsible party

SO<sub>2</sub> Sulfur dioxide

Superfund Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state

statutes

2

### **Units of Measure**

AC Alternating current
dths Dekatherms
kV Kilovolt
kWh Kilowatt-hour
mdths Thousand dekatherms
MMlbs Million pounds
MVA Megavolt ampere

MW Megawatt or thousand kilowatts

MWH Megawatt hour

Other

AFDC Allowance for funds used during construction

COSO Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission

EMF Electric and magnetic fields
ERRP East River Repowering Project

Fitch Fitch Ratings

First Quarter Form 10-Q The Companies combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31 of the

current year

Form 10-K The Companies combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013

LTIP Long Term Incentive Plan Moody s Moody s Investors Service

S&P Standard & Poor s Financial Services LLC

VaR Value-at-Risk

3


# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
PART I	Financial Information	
ITEM 1	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Con Edison	
	Consolidated Income Statement	6
	Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	7
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	8
	Consolidated Balance Sheet	9
	Consolidated Statement of Common Shareholders Equity	11
	CECONY	
	Consolidated Income Statement	12
	Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	13
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	14
	Consolidated Balance Sheet	15
	Consolidated Statement of Common Shareholder s Equity	17
	Notes to the Financial Statements (Unaudited)	18
ITEM 2	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38
ITEM 3	Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	54
ITEM 4	Controls and Procedures	54
PART II	Other Information	
ITEM 1	Legal Proceedings	55
ITEM 1A	Risk Factors	55
ITEM 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	56
ITEM 6	Exhibits	57
	<u>Signatures</u>	59

4

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements intended to qualify for the safe-harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are statements of future expectation and not facts. Words such as expects, estimates, anticipates, intends, believes, plans, will and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on information available at the time the statements are made, and accordingly speak only as of that time. Actual results or developments might differ materially from those included in the forward-looking statements because of various risks, including:



5

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT (UNAUDITED)

## For the Three Months

## Ended March 31,

	2014 (Millions of Dollars/E	2013 ecent Share Data)
OPERATING REVENUES	(Millions of Bottars/Es	ceept Share Bala)
Electric	\$2,237	\$1,958
Gas	882	742
Steam	341	332
Non-utility	329	152
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	3,789	3,184
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Purchased power	963	707
Fuel	156	147
Gas purchased for resale	400	250
Other operations and maintenance	825	830
Depreciation and amortization	261	251
Taxes, other than income taxes	499	473
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	3,104	2,658
OPERATING INCOME	685	526
OTHER INCOME (DEDUCTIONS)		
Investment and other income	12	4
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	1	1
Other deductions	(3)	(3)
TOTAL OTHER INCOME	10	2
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST AND INCOME TAX EXPENSE	695	528
INTEREST EXPENSE		
Interest on long-term debt	146	143
Other interest	(9)	136
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(1)	
NET INTEREST EXPENSE	136	279
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	559	249
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	198	57
NET INCOME FOR COMMON STOCK	\$ 361	\$ 192
Net income for common stock per common share basic	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.66
Net income for common stock per common share diluted	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.65
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK	\$0.630	\$0.615
AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC (IN MILLIONS)	292.9	292.9
AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED (IN MILLIONS)	294.1	294.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

6

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Ended Marc	
	2014 (Millions of D	2013 Pollars)
NET INCOME	\$ 361	\$ 192
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAXES		
Pension plan liability adjustments, net of \$2 taxes in 2014 and 2013	4	3
TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAXES	4	3
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR COMMON STOCK	\$ 365	\$ 195

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

7

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Fo the Three	
	Ended M	arch 31,
	2014 (Millions o	2013 of Dollars)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	<b>*</b> 261	
Net Income	\$ 361	\$ 192
PRINCIPAL NON-CASH CHARGES/(CREDITS) TO INCOME	261	251
Depreciation and amortization  Deferred income taxes	261 195	251 (87)
Rate case amortization and accruals	32	10
Common equity component of allowance for funds used during construction	(1)	(1)
Net derivative gains	(20)	(45)
Other non-cash items (net)	4	192
CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES		1,2
Accounts receivable customers, less allowance for uncollectibles	(315)	(135)
Special deposits	324	(438)
Materials and supplies, including fuel oil and gas in storage	60	60
Other receivables and other current assets	8	85
Prepayments	(353)	(263)
Accounts payable	113	(84)
Pensions and retiree benefits obligations	193	250
Pensions and retiree benefits contributions	(200)	(235)
Accrued taxes	(378)	(18)
Accrued interest	(39)	174
Superfund and environmental remediation costs (net)	9	
Deferred charges, noncurrent assets and other regulatory assets	(103)	12
Deferred credits and other regulatory liabilities	86	(5)
Other assets	27	10
Other liabilities	(40)	(9)
NET CASH FLOWS FROM/(USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	224	(84)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Utility construction expenditures	(498)	(538)
Cost of removal less salvage	(47)	(47)
Non-utility construction expenditures	(61)	(91)
Investments in solar energy projects	(80)	12
Proceeds from grants related to solar energy projects	36	13
Increase in restricted cash NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	16	(662)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(634)	(663)
Net proceeds of short-term debt	(621)	482
Issuance of long-term debt	850	700
Retirement of long-term debt	(200)	(509)
Debt issuance costs	(6)	(7)
Common stock dividends	(184)	(180)
Issuance of common shares for stock plans, net of repurchases	(1)	(1)
NET CASH FLOWS FROM/(USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(162)	485
CASH AND TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS:	· ´	
NET CHANGE FOR THE PERIOD	(572)	(262)
BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	674	394
BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 102	\$ 132
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 91	\$ 90
Income taxes	\$ 416	\$ 24
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

8

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2014 (Million	December 31, 2013 as of Dollars)
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and temporary cash investments	\$ 102	\$ 674
Special deposits	3	327
Accounts receivable customers, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$96 and \$93 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	1,566	1,251
Other receivables, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$10 in 2014 and 2013	210	240
Accrued unbilled revenue	456	514
Fuel oil, gas in storage, materials and supplies, at average cost	303	363
Prepayments	489	136
Regulatory assets	8	29
Deferred tax assets current	82	122
Other current assets	265	235
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	3,484	3,891
INVESTMENTS	547	461
UTILITY PLANT, AT ORIGINAL COST		
Electric	23,955	23,450
Gas	5,661	5,494
Steam	2,202	2,194
General	2,394	2,336
TOTAL	34,212	33,474
Less: Accumulated depreciation	7,208	7,072
Net	27,004	26,402
Construction work in progress	1,076	1,393
NET UTILITY PLANT	28,080	27,795
NON-UTILITY PLANT		
Non-utility property, less accumulated depreciation of \$97 and \$90 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	534	605
Construction work in progress	68	36
NET PLANT	28,682	28,436
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Goodwill	429	429
Intangible assets, less accumulated amortization of \$4 in 2014 and 2013	4	4
Regulatory assets	7,082	7,201
Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets	253	225
TOTAL OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	7,768	7,859
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 40,481	\$ 40,647

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

9

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2014 (Million	December 31, 2013 lions of Dollars)	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES	ф <b>20</b> 5	ф 40 <i>5</i>	
Long-term debt due within one year	\$ 285 830	\$ 485	
Notes payable		1,451	
Accounts payable	1,079 326	1,017 321	
Customer deposits Accrued taxes	98		
Accrued taxes Accrued interest	210	476 249	
Accrued miterest Accrued wages	97	92	
Fair value of derivative liabilities	4	13	
	243	13	
Regulatory liabilities Other current liabilities	404	478	
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	3.576	4,730	
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	3,370	4,730	
Obligations under capital leases	1	1	
Provision for injuries and damages	198	195	
Pensions and retiree benefits	1,502	1,727	
Superfund and other environmental costs	741	749	
Asset retirement obligations	144	143	
Fair value of derivative liabilities	4	5	
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	8,564	8.466	
Regulatory liabilities	1.800	1,728	
Other deferred credits and noncurrent liabilities	187	169	
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	13,141	13,183	
LONG-TERM DEBT	11,338	10,489	
COMMON SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (See Statement of Common Shareholders Equity)	12,426	12,245	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 40.481	\$ 40,647	
The committee of the first state	Ψ 10, τ01	Ψ +0,0+7	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

10

Consolidated Edison, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

	Common	Stocl	k	Ad	ditional			Treasury	Stock	Capital	Accumulated Other	
(Millions of Dollars/Except Share Data)	Shares	An	ount		aid-In apital		etained arnings	Shares	Amount		Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	Total
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012	292,871,896	\$	32	\$	4,991	\$	7,997	23,210,700	\$(1,037)	\$(61)	\$(53)	\$11,869
Net income for common stock							192					192
Common stock dividends							(180)					(180)
Issuance of common shares for stock plans,												
net of repurchases	95,468				(2)			(95,468)	7			5
Other comprehensive income											3	3
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2013	292,967,364	\$	32	\$	4,989	\$	8,009	23,115,232	\$(1,030)	\$(61)	\$(50)	\$11,889
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013	292,872,396	\$	32	\$	4,995	\$	8,338	23,210,200	\$(1,034)	\$(61)	\$(25)	\$12,245
Net income for common stock							361					361
Common stock dividends							(184)					(184)
Issuance of common shares for stock plans,												
net of repurchases	51,656				(2)			(51,656)	2			
Other comprehensive income											4	4
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2014	292,924,052	\$	32	\$	4,993	\$	8,515	23,158,544	\$(1,032)	\$(61)	\$(21)	\$12,426
	The accompany	ing 1	notes a	are a	n integra	l pa	rt of these	e financial state	ements.			

11

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT (UNAUDITED)

	Fo	or the Thr Ended M		
		2014 Millions o	_	2013
OPERATING REVENUES	,	viiiions o	ן שטו	urs)
Electric	\$	2,074	\$	1,814
Gas		789		660
Steam		341		332
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES		3,204		2,806
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Purchased power		617		455
Fuel		156		147
Gas purchased for resale		346		219
Other operations and maintenance		725		741
Depreciation and amortization		240		233
Taxes, other than income taxes		477		451
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES		2,561		2,246
OPERATING INCOME		643		560
OTHER INCOME (DEDUCTIONS)				
Investment and other income		7		3
Allowance for equity funds used during construction		1		
Other deductions		(2)		(2)
TOTAL OTHER INCOME		6		1
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST AND INCOME TAX EXPENSE		649		561
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Interest on long-term debt		128		127
Other interest		3		5
NET INTEREST EXPENSE		131		132
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE		518		429
INCOME TAX EXPENSE		184		152
NET INCOME FOR COMMON STOCK	\$	334	\$	277

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

12

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,
	2014 2013 (Millions of Dollars)
NET INCOME	\$ 334 \$ 277
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAXES	
Pension plan liability adjustments, net of \$- taxes in 2014 and 2013	1
TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAXES	1
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 335

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

13

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Mon Ended March 31			
	2	014 (Millio Dolla	ons of	2013
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$	334	\$	277
PRINCIPAL NON-CASH CHARGES/(CREDITS) TO INCOME				
Depreciation and amortization		240		233
Deferred income taxes		178		241
Rate case amortization and accruals		32		10
Common equity component of allowance for funds used during construction		(1)		(10)
Other non-cash items (net)		2		(10)
CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES  Accounts receivable customers, less allowance for uncollectibles		(265)		(102)
Materials and supplies, including fuel oil and gas in storage		(265)		49
Other receivables and other current assets		(49)		(15)
Prepayments		(295)		(310)
Accounts payable		12		(510)
Pensions and retiree benefits obligations		184		239
Pensions and retiree benefits contributions		(200)		(235)
Superfund and environmental remediation costs (net)		9		(233)
Accrued taxes		(214)		(79)
Accrued interest		40		46
Deferred charges, noncurrent assets and other regulatory assets		(115)		39
Deferred credits and other regulatory liabilities		105		(14)
Other liabilities		(32)		39
NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		11		350
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Utility construction expenditures		(464)		(515)
Cost of removal less salvage		(46)		(47)
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES		(510)		(562)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net proceeds of short-term debt		(541)		(108)
Issuance of long-term debt		850		700
Retirement of long-term debt		(200)		(505)
Debt issuance costs		(6)		(7)
Dividend to parent		(178)		(182)
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(75)		(102)
CASH AND TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS:				
NET CHANGE FOR THE PERIOD		(574)		(314)
BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD		633		353
BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD	\$	59	\$	39
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION				
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$	85	\$	84
Income taxes	\$	276	\$	45

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

14

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2014 (Million	ember 31, 2013 lars)
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and temporary cash investments	\$ 59	\$ 633
Special deposits	2	86
Accounts receivable customers, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$90 and \$87 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	1,388	1,123
Other receivables, less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$9 and \$8 in 2014 and 2013, respectively	112	127
Accrued unbilled revenue	336	405
Accounts receivable from affiliated companies	289	119
Fuel oil, gas in storage, materials and supplies, at average cost	254	300
Prepayments	397	102
Regulatory assets	5	26
Deferred tax assets current	61	100
Other current assets	66	55
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	2,969	3,076
INVESTMENTS	250	247
UTILITY PLANT AT ORIGINAL COST		
Electric	22,556	22,073
Gas	5,056	4,891
Steam	2,202	2,194
General	2,208	2,154
TOTAL	32,022	31,312
Less: Accumulated depreciation	6,595	6,469
Net	25,427	24,843
Construction work in progress	986	1,303
NET UTILITY PLANT	26,413	26,146
NON-UTILITY PROPERTY	·	·
Non-utility property, less accumulated depreciation of \$25 in 2014 and 2013	4	4
NET PLANT	26,417	26,150
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Regulatory assets	6,522	6,639
Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets	168	146
TOTAL OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	6,690	6,785
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 36,326	\$ 36,258
		,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

15

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	March 3: 2014 (M	1, Dec	cember 31, 2013 llars)
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Long-term debt due within one year	\$ 275	\$	475
Notes payable	669	)	1,210
Accounts payable	840	)	824
Accounts payable to affiliated companies	22	!	45
Customer deposits	314	1	308
Accrued taxes	35	i	46
Accrued taxes to affiliated companies	210	)	413
Accrued interest	179	)	139
Accrued wages	88	}	82
Fair value of derivative liabilities	1		12
Regulatory liabilities	199	)	107
Other current liabilities	344		385
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	3,176	,	4,046
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES			
Obligations under capital leases	1		1
Provision for injuries and damages	183	;	180
Pensions and retiree benefits	1,237	1	1,453
Superfund and other environmental costs	637	1	644
Asset retirement obligations	144		143
Fair value of derivative liabilities	2		3
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits	7,942	!	7,832
Regulatory liabilities	1,647	1	1,598
Other deferred credits and noncurrent liabilities	137	1	145
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	11,930	)	11,999
LONG-TERM DEBT	10,216	5	9,366
COMMON SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY (See Statement of Common Shareholder s Equity)	11,004		10,847
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY	\$ 36,326	\$	36,258

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

16

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMMON SHAREHOLDER S EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

	Common S	Stock	ζ.										mulated	
				Ad	ditional	Re	etained	•	urchased Con dison		pital tock		Other rehensive	
(Millions of Dollars/Except Share Data)	Shares	An	nount	_	aid-In Capital	Ea	arnings	S	Stock	Ex	pense	Incor	me/(Loss)	Total
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2012	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	4,234	\$	6,761	\$	(962)	\$	(61)	\$	(9)	\$ 10,552
Net income							277							277
Common stock dividend to parent							(182)							(182)
Other comprehensive income														
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2013	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	4,234	\$	6,856	\$	(962)	\$	(61)	\$	(9)	\$ 10,647
BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	4,234	\$	7,053	\$	(962)	\$	(61)	\$	(6)	\$ 10,847
Net income							334							334
Common stock dividend to parent							(178)							(178)
Other comprehensive income													1	1
BALANCE AS OF MARCH 31, 2014	235,488,094	\$	589	\$	4,234	\$	7,209	\$	(962)	\$	(61)	\$	(5)	\$ 11,004

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents 20

17

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### General

These combined notes accompany and form an integral part of the separate consolidated financial statements of each of the two separate registrants: Consolidated Edison, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Con Edison) and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. and its subsidiaries (CECONY). CECONY is a subsidiary of Con Edison and as such its financial condition and results of operations and cash flows, which are presented separately in the CECONY consolidated financial statements, are also consolidated, along with those of Con Edison s other utility subsidiary, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (O&R), and Con Edison s competitive energy businesses (discussed below) in Con Edison s consolidated financial statements. The term Utilities is used in these notes to refer to CECONY and O&R.

As used in these notes, the term Companies refers to Con Edison and CECONY and, except as otherwise noted, the information in these combined notes relates to each of the Companies. However, CECONY makes no representation as to information relating to Con Edison or the subsidiaries of Con Edison other than itself.

The separate interim consolidated financial statements of each of the Companies are unaudited but, in the opinion of their respective managements, reflect all adjustments (which include only normally recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. The Companies separate interim consolidated financial statements should be read together with their separate audited financial statements (including the combined notes thereto) included in Item 8 of their combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Con Edison has two regulated utility subsidiaries: CECONY and O&R. CECONY provides electric service and gas service in New York City and Westchester County. The company also provides steam service in parts of Manhattan. O&R, along with its regulated utility subsidiaries, provides electric service in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of northern New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania and gas service in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of eastern Pennsylvania. Con Edison has the following competitive energy businesses: Consolidated Edison Solutions, Inc. (Con Edison Solutions), a company which sells to retail customers electricity purchased in wholesale markets and enters into related hedging transactions and also provides energy-related products and services to retail customers; Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc. (Con Edison Energy), a company that provides energy-related products and services to wholesale customers; and Consolidated Edison Development, Inc. (Con Edison Development), a company that participates in infrastructure projects.

18

Note A Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## **Earnings Per Common Share**

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for Con Edison are calculated as follows:

(Millions of Dollars, except per share amounts/Shares in Millions)	2014	2013
Net income for common stock	\$ 361	\$ 192
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	292.9	292.9
Add: Incremental shares attributable to effect of potentially dilutive securities	1.2	1.3
Adjusted weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	294.1	294.2
Net Income for common stock per common share basic	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.66
Net Income for common stock per common share diluted	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.65

The computation of diluted EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2014 excludes immaterial amounts of performance share awards which were not included because of their anti-dilutive effect. No such exclusions were required for the computation of diluted EPS for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

## **Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income by Component**

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, changes to accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) for Con Edison and CECONY are as follows:

	1 nree	Months El	ided Marc	en 31,
	Con E	dison	CEC	ONY
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Beginning balance, accumulated OCI, net of taxes	\$ (25)	\$ (53)	\$ (6)	\$ (9)
OCI before reclassifications, net of tax of \$1 and \$1 for Con Edison and \$- and \$- for CECONY, respectively	2	1		
Amounts reclassified from accumulated OCI related to pension plan liabilities, net of tax of \$1 and \$1 for Con Edison				
and \$- and \$- for CECONY (a)(b)	2	2	1	
Current period total OCI, net of taxes	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$
Ending balance, accumulated OCI, net of taxes (b)	\$ (21)	\$ (50)	\$ (5)	\$ (9)

<sup>(</sup>a) For the portion of unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit costs relating to the regulated Utilities, costs are recorded into, and amortized out of, regulatory assets instead of OCI. The net actuarial losses and prior service costs recognized during the period are included in the computation of net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit cost. See Notes E and F.

19

TO 37 4 T 1 137 134

<sup>(</sup>b) Tax reclassified from accumulated OCI is reported in the income tax expense line item of the income statement.

#### Note B Regulatory Matters

## **Other Regulatory Matters**

In February 2009, the New York State Public Service Commission (NYSPSC) commenced a proceeding to examine the prudence of certain CECONY expenditures following the arrests of employees for accepting illegal payments from a construction contractor. Subsequently, additional employees were arrested for accepting illegal payments from materials suppliers and an engineering firm. The arrested employees were terminated by the company and have pled guilty or been convicted. Pursuant to NYSPSC orders, a portion of the company s revenues (currently, \$249 million, \$32 million and \$6 million on an annual basis for electric, gas and steam service, respectively) is being collected subject to potential refund to customers. The amount of electric revenues collected subject to refund, which was established in a different proceeding, and the amount of gas and steam revenues collected subject to refund were not established as indicative of the company s potential liability in this proceeding. At March 31, 2014, the company had collected an estimated \$1,462 million from customers subject to potential refund in connection with this proceeding. In January 2013, a NYSPSC consultant reported its estimate, with which the company does not agree, of \$208 million of overcharges with respect to a substantial portion of the company s construction expenditures from January 2000 to January 2009. The company is disputing the consultant s estimate, including its determinations as to overcharges regarding specific construction expenditures it selected to review and its methodology of extrapolating such determinations over a substantial portion of the construction expenditures during this period. The NYSPSC s consultant has not reviewed the company s other expenditures. The company and NYSPSC staff are exploring a settlement in this proceeding. In May 2014, the NYSPSC s Chief Administrative Law Judge appointed a settlement judge to assist the parties. There is no assurance that there will be a settlement, and any settlement would be subject to NYSPSC approval. At March 31, 2014, the company had a \$38 million regulatory liability relating to this matter. Included in the regulatory liability was \$16 million the company recovered from vendors, arrested employees and insurers relating to this matter. Pursuant to the current rate plans, the company is applying \$15 million of these recovered amounts for the benefit of customers to offset a like amount of regulatory assets. The company currently estimates that any additional amount the NYSPSC requires the company to refund to customers could range in amount from \$25 million up to an amount based on the NYSPSC consultant s \$208 million estimate of overcharges.

In late October 2012, Superstorm Sandy caused extensive damage to the Utilities electric distribution system and interrupted service to approximately 1.4 million customers. Superstorm Sandy also damaged CECONY s steam system and interrupted service to many of its steam customers. As of March 31, 2014, CECONY and O&R incurred response and restoration costs for Superstorm Sandy of \$490 million and \$93 million, respectively (including capital expenditures of \$149 million and \$15 million, respectively). Most of the costs that were not capitalized were deferred for recovery as a regulatory asset under the Utilities electric rate plans. See Regulatory Assets and Liabilities below. CECONY s current electric rate plan includes collection from customers of deferred storm costs (including for Superstorm Sandy), subject to refund following NYSPSC review of the costs. O&R expects to request recovery of deferred storm costs for its New York electric operations, which are also subject to NYSPSC review, when it next files with the NYSPSC for a new electric rate plan. In March 2013, the NJBPU established a proceeding to review the prudency of costs incurred by New Jersey utilities in response to major storm events in 2011 and 2012. In November 2013, RECO filed an electric rate request with the NJBPU which includes a proposal for recovery over a three-year period of its deferred storm costs of \$27 million. In May 2014, RECO, the NJBPU staff and the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel entered into a stipulation of settlement regarding RECO s deferred storm costs. The stipulation, which is subject to NJBPU approval, provides that RECO s deferred storm costs are deemed reasonable, prudent and eligible for recovery over a period to be determined in RECO s electric rate proceeding.

20

# Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

Regulatory assets and liabilities at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were comprised of the following items:

	Con Edison		CECONY		
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Regulatory assets					
Unrecognized pension and other postretirement costs	\$2,591	\$2,730	\$2,478	\$2,610	
Future income tax	2,183	2,145	2,070	2,030	
Environmental remediation costs	921	938	814	830	
Deferred storm costs	411	441	307	334	
Revenue taxes	216	207	204	196	
Pension and other postretirement benefits deferrals	191	237	163	211	
Surcharge for New York State assessment	144	78	136	74	
Net electric deferrals	78	83	78	83	
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	63	65	60	62	
O&R transition bond charges	31	33			
Preferred stock redemption	28	28	28	28	
Property tax reconciliation	25	22			
Workers compensation	12	12	12	12	
Deferred derivative losses noncurrent	6	8	5	7	
Other	182	174	167	162	
Regulatory assets noncurrent	7,082	7,201	6,522	6,639	
Deferred derivative losses current	7	25	5	22	
Recoverable energy costs current	1	4		4	
Regulatory assets current	8	29	5	26	
Total Regulatory Assets	\$7,090	\$7,230	\$6,527	\$6,665	
Regulatory liabilities					
Allowance for cost of removal less salvage	\$ 558	\$ 540	\$ 466	\$ 453	
Property tax reconciliation	299	322	299	322	
Property tax refunds	119	130	119	130	
Long-term interest rate reconciliation	99	105	99	105	
Carrying charges on repair allowance and bonus depreciation	81	88	80	87	
New York State income tax rate change	66		62		
Net unbilled revenue deferrals	61	133	61	133	
World Trade Center settlement proceeds	57	62	57	62	
Other postretirement benefit deferrals	51	50	47	50	
2014 rate plan base rate revenue deferral	50		50		
Prudence proceeding	38	40	38	40	
Unrecognized other postretirement benefits costs	32		23		
Carrying charges on T&D net plant electric and steam	27	28	21	20	
Electric excess earnings	22	22	18	18	
Other	240	208	207	178	
Regulatory liabilities noncurrent	1,800	1,728	1,647	1,598	
Refundable energy costs current	160	100	128	66	
Deferred derivative gains current	44	14	36	11	
Revenue decoupling mechanism	23	34	19	30	
Future income tax	16		16		
Regulatory liabilities current	243	148	199	107	
Total Regulatory Liabilities	\$2,043	\$1,876	\$1,846	\$1,705	

21

#### Note C Capitalization

In February 2014, CECONY redeemed at maturity \$200 million of 4.70 percent 10-year debentures. In March 2014, CECONY issued \$850 million aggregate principal amount of 4.45 percent 30-year debentures.

The carrying amounts and fair values of long-term debt are:

(Millions of Dollars)	March 3	31, 2014			
	Carrying		Carrying		
		Fair		Fair	
Long-Term Debt (including current portion)	Amount	Value	Amount	Value	
Con Edison	\$ 11,623	\$ 13,000	\$ 10,974	\$ 12,082	
CECONY	\$ 10,491	\$ 11,692	\$ 9,841	\$ 10,797	

Fair values of long-term debt have been estimated primarily using available market information. For Con Edison, \$12,364 million and \$636 million of the fair value of long-term debt at March 31, 2014 are classified as Level 2 and Level 3, respectively. For CECONY, \$11,056 million and \$636 million of the fair value of long-term debt at March 31, 2014 are classified as Level 2 and Level 3, respectively (see Note M). The \$636 million of long-term debt classified as Level 3 is CECONY s tax-exempt, auction-rate securities for which the market is highly illiquid and there is a lack of observable inputs.

### Note D Short-Term Borrowing

At March 31, 2014, Con Edison had \$830 million of commercial paper outstanding of which \$669 million was outstanding under CECONY s program. The weighted average interest rate was 0.2 percent for both Con Edison and CECONY. At December 31, 2013, Con Edison had \$1,451 million of commercial paper outstanding of which \$1,210 million was outstanding under CECONY s program. The weighted average interest rate was 0.2 percent for both Con Edison and CECONY.

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, no loans were outstanding under the Companies credit agreement and \$56 million (including \$11 million for CECONY) and \$26 million (including \$11 million for CECONY) of letters of credit were outstanding, respectively, under the credit agreement.

22

#### **Note E** Pension Benefits

## **Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

The components of the Companies net periodic benefit costs for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Con Edison		CECC	ONY
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Service cost including administrative expenses	\$ 57	\$ 67	\$ 53	\$ 62
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	143	134	134	126
Expected return on plan assets	(208)	(187)	(197)	(178)
Recognition of net actuarial loss	154	208	146	197
Recognition of prior service costs	1	1	1	1
NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST	\$ 147	\$ 223	\$ 137	\$ 208
Cost capitalized	(51)	(82)	(49)	(79)
Reconciliation to rate level	26	11	23	13
Cost charged to operating expenses	\$ 122	\$ 152	\$ 111	\$ 142

### **Expected Contributions**

Based on estimates as of March 31, 2014, the Companies expect to make contributions to the pension plan during 2014 of \$564 million (of which \$524 million is to be contributed by CECONY). The Companies policy is to fund their accounting cost to the extent tax deductible. During the first quarter of 2014, CECONY contributed \$200 million to the pension plan. The Companies expect to fund \$13 million for the non-qualified supplemental plans in 2014.

### **Note F** Other Postretirement Benefits

## **Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

The components of the Companies net periodic postretirement benefit costs for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Con Edis	on C	ECONY
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013 2014	2013
Service cost	\$ 5	\$ 6 \$ 4	4 \$ 5
Interest cost on accumulated other postretirement benefit obligation	15	14 13	3 12
Expected return on plan assets	(19)	(19) (17)	7) (17)
Recognition of net actuarial loss	14	16 13	3 14
Recognition of prior service cost	(5)	(7) (4	4) (6)
NET PERIODIC POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT COST	\$ 10	\$ 10 \$ 9	9 \$ 8
Cost capitalized	(4)	(3)	3) (2)
Reconciliation to rate level	3	12	11
Cost charged to operating expenses	\$ 9	\$ 19 \$ 6	5 \$ 17

23

### **Expected Contributions**

Based on estimates as of March 31, 2014, Con Edison expects to make a contribution of \$7 million, nearly all of which is for CECONY, to the other postretirement benefit plans in 2014.

### **Note G** Environmental Matters

### **Superfund Sites**

Hazardous substances, such as asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and coal tar, have been used or generated in the course of operations of the Utilities and their predecessors and are present at sites and in facilities and equipment they currently or previously owned, including sites at which gas was manufactured or stored.

The Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state statutes (Superfund) impose joint and several liability, regardless of fault, upon generators of hazardous substances for investigation and remediation costs (which include costs of demolition, removal, disposal, storage, replacement, containment, and monitoring) and natural resource damages. Liability under these laws can be material and may be imposed for contamination from past acts, even though such past acts may have been lawful at the time they occurred. The sites at which the Utilities have been asserted to have liability under these laws, including their manufactured gas plant sites and any neighboring areas to which contamination may have migrated, are referred to herein as Superfund Sites.

For Superfund Sites where there are other potentially responsible parties and the Utilities are not managing the site investigation and remediation, the accrued liability represents an estimate of the amount the Utilities will need to pay to investigate and, where determinable, discharge their related obligations. For Superfund Sites (including the manufactured gas plant sites) for which one of the Utilities is managing the investigation and remediation, the accrued liability represents an estimate of the company s share of undiscounted cost to investigate the sites and, for sites that have been investigated in whole or in part, the cost to remediate the sites, if remediation is necessary and if a reasonable estimate of such cost can be made. Remediation costs are estimated in light of the information available, applicable remediation standards, and experience with similar sites.

The accrued liabilities and regulatory assets related to Superfund Sites at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	Con E	dison	CECONY		
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Accrued Liabilities:					
Manufactured gas plant sites	\$663	\$665	\$560	\$562	
Other Superfund Sites	78	84	77	82	
Total	\$741	\$749	\$637	\$644	
Regulatory assets	\$921	\$938	\$814	\$830	

Most of the accrued Superfund Site liability relates to sites that have been investigated, in whole or in part. However, for some of the sites, the extent and associated cost of the required remediation has not yet been determined. As investigations progress and information pertaining to the required remediation becomes available, the Utilities expect that additional liability may be accrued, the amount of which is not presently determinable but may be material. Under their current rate plans, the Utilities are permitted to recover or defer as regulatory assets (for subsequent recovery through rates) certain site investigation and remediation costs.

24

Environmental remediation costs incurred and insurance recoveries received related to Superfund Sites for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Con I	Edison	CECONY		
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Remediation costs incurred	\$9	\$10	\$8	\$7	
Insurance recoveries received*	5		5		

<sup>\*</sup> Reduced amount deferred for recovery from customers

In 2013, CECONY estimated that for its manufactured gas plant sites, its aggregate undiscounted potential liability for the investigation and remediation of coal tar and/or other manufactured gas plant-related environmental contaminants could range up to \$2.4 billion. In 2013, O&R estimated that for its manufactured gas plant sites, each of which has been investigated, the aggregate undiscounted potential liability for the remediation of such contaminants could range up to \$167 million. These estimates were based on the assumption that there is contamination at all sites, including those that have not yet been fully investigated and additional assumptions about the extent of the contamination and the type and extent of the remediation that may be required. Actual experience may be materially different.

#### **Asbestos Proceedings**

Suits have been brought in New York State and federal courts against the Utilities and many other defendants, wherein a large number of plaintiffs sought large amounts of compensatory and punitive damages for deaths and injuries allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos at various premises of the Utilities. The suits that have been resolved, which are many, have been resolved without any payment by the Utilities, or for amounts that were not, in the aggregate, material to them. The amounts specified in all the remaining thousands of suits total billions of dollars; however, the Utilities believe that these amounts are greatly exaggerated, based on the disposition of previous claims. In 2013, Con Edison and CECONY estimated that their aggregate undiscounted potential liabilities for these suits and additional suits that may be brought over the next 15 years were \$8 million and \$7 million, respectively. The estimates were based upon a combination of modeling, historical data analysis and risk factor assessment. Actual experience may be materially different. In addition, certain current and former employees have claimed or are claiming workers—compensation benefits based on alleged disability from exposure to asbestos. Under its current rate plans, CECONY is permitted to defer as regulatory assets (for subsequent recovery through rates) costs incurred for its asbestos lawsuits and workers compensation claims. The accrued liability for asbestos suits and workers—compensation proceedings (including those related to asbestos exposure) and the amounts deferred as regulatory assets for the Companies at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	Con Eo	Con Edison		ONY	
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Accrued liability asbestos suits	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 7	\$ 7	
Regulatory assets asbestos suits	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 7	\$ 7	
Accrued liability workers compensation	\$ 87	\$ 87	\$ 82	\$ 82	
Regulatory assets workers compensation	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 12	
Note H Other Material Contingencies					

### Manhattan Steam Main Rupture

In July 2007, a CECONY steam main located in midtown Manhattan ruptured. It has been reported that one person died and others were injured as a result of the incident. Several buildings in the area were damaged. Debris from the incident included dirt and mud

25

containing asbestos. The response to the incident required the closing of several buildings and streets for various periods. Approximately 90 suits are pending against the company seeking generally unspecified compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages, for personal injury, property damage and business interruption. The company has notified its insurers of the incident and believes that the policies in force at the time of the incident will cover the company s costs to satisfy its liability to others in connection with the suits. At March 31, 2014, the company had accrued its estimated liability for the suits of \$50 million and an insurance receivable in the same amount.

### Manhattan Explosion and Fire

On March 12, 2014, two multi-use five-story tall buildings located on Park Avenue between 116<sup>th</sup> and 117<sup>th</sup> Street in Manhattan were destroyed by an explosion and fire. CECONY had delivered gas to the buildings through service lines from a distribution main located below ground on Park Avenue. Eight people died and more than 48 people were injured. Additional buildings were also damaged. The National Transportation Safety Board is investigating. The parties to the investigation include the company, the City of New York, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration and the NYSPSC (which is also conducting an investigation). Several suits are pending against the company seeking generally unspecified damages for personal injury and property damage. The company has notified its insurers of the incident and believes that the policies in force at the time of the incident will cover the company scosts, in excess of a required retention (the amount of which is not material), to satisfy any liability it may have for damages in connection with the incident. The company is unable to estimate the amount or range of its possible loss related to the incident. At March 31, 2014, the company had not accrued a liability for the incident.

#### Other Contingencies

See Other Regulatory Matters in Note B.

#### Guarantees

Con Edison and its subsidiaries enter into various agreements providing financial or performance assurance primarily to third parties on behalf of their subsidiaries. Maximum amounts guaranteed by Con Edison totaled \$1,500 million and \$1,331 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

A summary, by type and term, of Con Edison s total guarantees at March 31, 2014 is as follows:

Guarantee Type	0 3 years	4	10 years	> 10 years	Total
			(Millions	of Dollars)	
Energy transactions	\$ 785	\$	35	\$ 68	\$ 888
Solar energy projects	568		13		581
Other	31				31
Total	\$ 1 384	\$	48	\$ 68	\$ 1.500

**Energy Transactions** Con Edison guarantees payments on behalf of its competitive energy businesses in order to facilitate physical and financial transactions in gas, pipeline capacity, transportation, oil, electricity, renewable energy credits and energy services. To the extent that liabilities exist under the contracts subject to these guarantees, such liabilities are included in Con Edison s consolidated balance sheet.

**Solar Energy Projects** Con Edison and Con Edison Development guarantee payments associated with the investment in solar energy facilities on behalf

26

of their wholly-owned subsidiaries. In addition, Con Edison Development has entered into two guarantees (\$80 million maximum and \$208 million maximum, respectively) on behalf of two entities in which it has a 50 percent interest in connection with the construction of solar energy facilities. Con Edison Development also provided \$3 million in guarantees to Travelers Insurance Company for indemnity agreements for surety bonds in connection with the construction and operation of solar energy facilities performed by its subsidiaries.

**Other** Other guarantees primarily relate to guarantees provided by Con Edison to Travelers Insurance Company for indemnity agreements for surety bonds in connection with energy service projects performed by Con Edison Solutions (\$25 million). In addition, Con Edison issued a guarantee to the Public Utility Commission of Texas covering obligations of Con Edison Solutions as a retail electric provider. Con Edison s estimate of the maximum potential obligation for this guarantee is \$5 million as of March 31, 2014.

#### Note I Lease In/Lease Out Transactions

As a result of the January 2013 Court of Appeals decision, in March 2013, Con Edison recorded an after-tax charge of \$150 million to reflect, as required by the accounting rules for leveraged lease transactions, the recalculation of the accounting effect of the LILO transactions based on the revised after-tax cash flows projected from the inception of the leveraged leases as well as the interest on the potential tax liability resulting from the disallowance of federal and state income tax losses with respect to the LILO transactions. In the first quarter of 2014, the interest accrued on the liability was reduced by \$13 million (\$7 million, net of tax). See Uncertain Tax Positions in Note J.

The effect of the LILO transactions on Con Edison s consolidated income statement for the three months ended as of March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	1 of the 1 m	CC IVIOIICIIS
	Ended M	arch 31,
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013
Increase/(decrease) to non-utility operating revenues	\$	\$(121)
(Increase)/decrease to other interest expense	13	(131)
Income tax benefit/(expense)	(6)	102
Total increase/(decrease) in net income	\$7	\$(150)

In January 2013, to defray interest charges, the company deposited \$447 million with federal and state tax agencies relating primarily to the potential tax liability from the LILO transactions in past tax years and interest thereon. During 2013, \$125 million of the deposit was returned from the IRS at the company s request. Also in 2013, the deposit balance was reduced by an additional \$48 million, due to a \$10 million refund from the IRS and the application of \$38 million toward the settlement of tax and interest for certain tax years, primarily relating to tax liability from the LILO transactions. In the first quarter of 2014, Con Edison applied the remainder of the deposit against its federal and state tax liabilities, including interest. See Uncertain Tax Positions in Note J.

#### Note J Income Tax

In the first quarter of 2013, the IRS accepted on audit Con Edison s claim for manufacturing tax deductions. Accordingly, Con Edison s effective tax rate was favorably impacted by \$15 million. In addition, as a result of interest expense on the LILO disallowances and reduction to non-utility operating revenues (see Note I), income before income tax expense for the first quarter of 2013 was significantly lower than the first quarter of 2014. Other recurring tax rate reconciling items in the first quarter of 2014 and 2013 are comparable. However, as a result of lower income before income tax expense in 2013, Con Edison s effective tax rate was 23 percent for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to 35 percent for the same period in 2014.

27

For the Three Months

On March 31, 2014, tax legislation was enacted in the State of New York that reduces the corporate franchise tax rate from 7.1 percent to 6.5 percent, beginning January 1, 2016. The application of this legislation decreased Con Edison s accumulated deferred tax liabilities by \$77 million (\$72 million for CECONY), decreased Con Edison s regulatory asset for future income tax by \$11 million (\$10 million for CECONY) and increased Con Edison s regulatory liability by \$66 million (\$62 million for CECONY). The impact of this tax legislation on Con Edison s effective tax rate was immaterial and there was no impact on CECONY s effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

### **Uncertain Tax Positions**

During the first quarter of 2013, the IRS accepted Con Edison's deductions for repair costs to utility plant (the repair allowance deductions). As a result of this settlement, Con Edison and CECONY reduced their estimated liabilities for prior year uncertain tax positions by \$72 million and \$66 million, respectively, with a corresponding increase to accumulated deferred income tax liabilities. In addition, as a result of the January 2013 Court of Appeals decision (see Note I), Con Edison increased its estimated prior year liabilities for federal and state uncertain tax positions by \$249 million in the first quarter of 2013, with a corresponding reduction to accumulated deferred income tax liabilities. These changes to the Companies estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions had no impact on income tax expense in the first quarter of 2013. As a result of positions taken on the various amended state tax returns filed in the first quarter of 2014, Con Edison increased its estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions by \$22 million. The amended state tax returns contain uncertain tax positions unique to the states, and the returns remain open for examination. At March 31, 2014, the estimated liability for uncertain tax positions for Con Edison was \$31 million and was reflected as a noncurrent liability on its consolidated balance sheet. CECONY had no liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

The Companies recognize interest on liabilities for uncertain tax positions in interest expense and would recognize penalties, if any, in operating expenses in the Companies consolidated income statements. In the first quarter of 2014, Con Edison recognized an immaterial amount of interest expense and no penalties for uncertain tax positions in its consolidated income statements. In the first quarter of 2013, Con Edison recognized \$126 million of interest expense (\$131 million related to the LILO transactions, less a reduction of \$5 million in accrued interest expense primarily associated with repair allowance deductions). At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Con Edison recognized an immaterial amount of accrued interest on its consolidated balance sheets.

As of March 31, 2014, Con Edison reasonably expects to resolve approximately \$13 million (\$8 million, net of federal taxes) of its uncertainties related to certain tax matters within the next twelve months, of which the entire amount, if recognized, would affect Con Edison s effective tax rate. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, that would affect Con Edison s effective tax rate is \$31 million (\$20 million, net of federal taxes).

28

### Note K Financial Information by Business Segment

The financial data for the business segments are as follows:

	Oper		or the Thi	ree Mon	ths E	nded	Maı	rch 31,		ating
	•	nues	Inter-se rever	0	•	precia morti				ome
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	2014	2013	20	14	2	013	2014	2013
CECONY										
Electric	\$ 2,074	\$ 1,814	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$	189	\$	185	\$ 257	\$ 189
Gas	789	660	1	1		32		32	233	242
Steam	341	332	20	19		19		16	153	129
Consolidation adjustments			(25)	(24)						
Total CECONY	\$ 3,204	\$ 2,806	\$	\$	\$	240	\$	233	\$ 643	\$ 560
O&R										
Electric	\$ 163	\$ 145	\$	\$	\$	10	\$	10	\$ 12	\$ 20
Gas	93	82				4		4	27	27
Total O&R	\$ 256	\$ 227	\$	\$	\$	14	\$	14	\$ 39	\$ 47
Competitive energy businesses	\$ 329	\$ 152	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$	7	\$	4	\$ 2	\$ (82)
Other*		(1)	(3)	(2)					1	1
Total Con Edison	\$ 3,789	\$ 3,184	\$	\$	\$	261	\$	251	\$ 685	\$ 526

<sup>\*</sup> Parent company expenses, primarily interest, and consolidation adjustments. Other does not represent a business segment.

### Note L Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging, derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at fair value, unless an exception is available under the accounting rules. Certain qualifying derivative contracts have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts. These contracts are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules.

## **Energy Price Hedging**

Con Edison s subsidiaries hedge market price fluctuations associated with physical purchases and sales of electricity, natural gas, and steam by using derivative instruments including futures, forwards, basis swaps, options, transmission congestion contracts and financial transmission rights contracts.

The Companies enter into master agreements for their commodity derivatives. These agreements typically provide for setoff in the event of contract termination. In such case, generally the non-defaulting or non-affected party s payable will be set-off by the other party s payable. The non-defaulting party will customarily notify the defaulting party within a specific time period and come to an agreement on the early termination amount.

29

The fair values of the Companies commodity derivatives including the offsetting of assets and liabilities at March 31, 2014 were:

(Millions of Dollars)

(Mutons of Dottars)					Assets/(	mounts of Liabilities) ented in	Gross A No		
	G	ross	_	ross nounts	the S	tatement	Offset State		
Commodity Derivatives	Reco	unts of gnized Liabilities)	State	et in the ment of al Position		inancial sition	of Fina Posi Financial instruments		Net nount
Con Edison									
Derivative assets	\$	232	\$	(94)	\$	138(a)	\$	\$ \$	138(a)
Derivative liabilities		(95)		89		(6)			(6)
Net derivative assets/(liabilities)	\$	137	\$	(5)	\$	132(a)	\$	\$ \$	132(a)
CECONY									
Derivative assets	\$	83	\$	(34)	\$	49(a)	\$	\$ \$	49(a)
Derivative liabilities		(36)		33		(3)			(3)
Net derivative assets/(liabilities)	\$	47	\$	(1)	\$	46(a)	\$	\$ \$	46(a)

<sup>(</sup>a) At March 31, 2014, Con Edison and CECONY had margin deposits of \$25 million and \$14 million, respectively, classified as derivative assets in the balance sheet, but not included in the table. As required by an exchange, a margin is collateral, typically cash, that the holder of a derivative instrument has to deposit in order to transact on an exchange and to cover its potential losses with its broker or the exchange.

The fair values of the Companies commodity derivatives including the offsetting of assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013 were:

(Millions of Dollars)
-----------------------

()	Gross			Net A	mounts of	Gross Am	ounts Not		
	Amounts of Recognized	A Off	Gross mounts fset in the tement of	Pres the S	(Liabilities) sented in statement Sinancial	Offset in th	e Statement ancial	Ŋ	Net
<b>Commodity Derivatives</b>	Assets/(Liabilit		cial Position	P	osition	Posi		Am	ount
						Financial instruments	Cash collateral received		
Con Edison									
Derivative assets	\$ 166	\$	(101)	\$	65(a)	\$	\$	\$	65(a)
Derivative liabilities	(113)		98		(15)				(15)
Net derivative assets/(liabilities)	\$ 53	\$	(3)	\$	50(a)	\$	\$	\$	50(a)
CECONY									
Derivative assets	\$ 41	\$	(32)	\$	9(a)	\$	\$	\$	9(a)
Derivative liabilities	(51)		37		(14)				(14)
Net derivative assets/(liabilities)	\$ (10)	\$	5	\$	(5)(a)	) \$	\$	\$	(5)(a)

<sup>(</sup>a) At December 31, 2013, Con Edison and CECONY had margin deposits of \$17 million and \$16 million, respectively, classified as derivative assets in the balance sheet, but not included in the table. As required by an exchange, a margin is collateral, typically cash, that the holder of a derivative instrument has to deposit in order to transact on an exchange and to cover its potential losses with its broker or the exchange.

## **Credit Exposure**

# Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC - Form 10-Q

The Companies are exposed to credit risk related to transactions entered into primarily for the various energy supply and hedging activities by the Utilities and the competitive energy businesses. Credit risk relates to the loss that may result from a counterparty s nonperformance. The Companies use credit policies to manage this risk, including an established credit approval process, monitoring of counterparty limits, netting provisions within agreements, collateral or prepayment arrangements, credit insurance and credit default swaps. The Companies measure credit risk exposure as the replacement cost for open energy commodity and derivative positions plus amounts owed from counterparties for settled transactions. The replacement cost of open positions represents

30

unrealized gains, net of any unrealized losses where the Companies have a legally enforceable right of setoff.

At March 31, 2014, Con Edison and CECONY had \$236 million and \$48 million of credit exposure in connection with energy supply and hedging activities, net of collateral, respectively. Con Edison s net credit exposure consisted of \$96 million with independent system operators, \$78 million with commodity exchange brokers, \$60 million with investment-grade counterparties and \$2 million with non-investment grade/non-rated counterparties. CECONY s net credit exposure consisted of \$28 million with commodity exchange brokers and \$20 million with investment-grade counterparties.

### **Economic Hedges**

The Companies enter into certain derivative instruments that do not qualify or are not designated as hedges under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging. However, management believes these instruments represent economic hedges that mitigate exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices.

The fair values of the Companies commodity derivatives at March 31, 2014 were:

### Fair Value of Commodity Derivatives(a)

(Millions of Dollars)	Balance Sheet Location Derivative Assets	Con E	dison	CEC	ONY
Current	Other current assets	\$	193	\$	65
Long-term	Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets		39		18
Total derivative assets		\$	232	\$	83
Impact of netting			(69)		(20)
Net derivative assets		\$	163	\$	63
	Derivative Liabilities				
Current	Fair value of derivative liabilities	\$	68	\$	21
Long-term	Fair value of derivative liabilities		27		15
Total derivative liabilities		\$	95	\$	36
Impact of netting			(89)		(33)
Net derivative liabilities		\$	6	\$	3

<sup>(</sup>a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.

The fair values of the Companies commodity derivatives at December 31, 2013 were:

## Fair Value of Commodity Derivatives(a)

(Millions of Dollars)	Balance Sheet Location Derivative Assets	Con	Edison	CEC	CONY
Current	Other current assets	\$	134	\$	27
Long-term	Other deferred charges and noncurrent assets		32		14
Total derivative assets		\$	166	\$	41
Impact of netting			(84)		(16)
Net derivative assets		\$	82	\$	25
	Derivative Liabilities				
Current	Fair value of derivative liabilities	\$	82	\$	32
Long-term	Fair value of derivative liabilities		31		19
Total derivative liabilities		\$	113	\$	51
Impact of netting			(98)		(37)
Net derivative liabilities		\$	15	\$	14

# Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC - Form 10-Q

(a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.

The Utilities generally recover all of their prudently incurred fuel, purchased power and gas cost, including hedging gains and losses, in accordance with rate provisions approved by the applicable state utility commissions. In accordance with the accounting rules for regulated operations, the Utilities record a regulatory asset or liability to defer recognition of unrealized gains and losses on their electric and gas derivatives. As gains and losses are realized in future periods, they will be recognized as purchased power,

31

gas and fuel costs in the Companies consolidated income statements. Con Edison s competitive energy businesses record realized and unrealized gains and losses on their derivative contracts in earnings in the reporting period in which they occur.

The following table presents the changes in the fair values of commodity derivatives that have been deferred or recognized in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

# Realized and Unrealized Gains/(Losses) on Commodity Derivatives(a)

Deferred or Recogn	ized in Income for the Three Months Ended March 31,	2014			
(Millions of Dollars)	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	Con E	dison	CEC	CONY
Pre-tax gains/(losses) deferred in accordance with account	ting rules for regulated operations:				
Current	Deferred derivative gains	\$	30	\$	25
Long-term	Deferred derivative gains		4		4
Total deferred gains/(losses)		\$	34	\$	29
Current	Deferred derivative losses	\$	17	\$	17
Current	Recoverable energy costs		94		77
Long-term	Deferred derivative losses		2		2
Total deferred gains/(losses)		\$	113	\$	96
Net deferred gains/(losses)		\$	147	\$	125
-	Income Statement Location				
Pre-tax gain/(loss) recognized in income					
	Purchased power expense	\$	175(b)	\$	
	Gas purchased for resale		(14)		
	Non-utility revenue		(24)(b)		
Total pre-tax gain/(loss) recognized in income	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	137	\$	

<sup>(</sup>a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.

The following table presents the changes in the fair values of commodity derivatives that have been deferred or recognized in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

# $\label{lem:commodity} \textbf{Realized and Unrealized Gains/} (Losses) \ on \ Commodity \ Derivatives(a)$

Deferred or Recogn	nized in Income for the Three Months Ended March 3	1, 2013		
(Millions of Dollars)	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	Con Edison	CEC	CONY
Pre-tax gains/(losses) deferred in accordance with accoun	ting rules for regulated operations:			
Current	Deferred derivative gains	\$ 9	\$	8
Long-term	Regulatory liabilities	2		1
Total deferred gains/(losses)		\$ 11	\$	9
Current	Deferred derivative losses	\$ 38	\$	32
Current	Recoverable energy costs	11		10
Long-term	Deferred derivative losses	7		6
Total deferred gains/(losses)		\$ 56	\$	48
Net deferred gains/(losses)		\$ 67	\$	57
	<b>Income Statement Location</b>			
Pre-tax gain/(loss) recognized in income				
	Purchased power expense	\$ 67(1	) \$	
	Gas purchased for resale	(4)		
	Non-utility revenue	(1)(	b)	
Total pre-tax gain/(loss) recognized in income	·	\$ 62	\$	

<sup>(</sup>b) For the three months ended March 31, 2014, Con Edison recorded in purchased power expense an unrealized pre-tax gain of \$20 million.

- (a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.
- (b) For the three months ended March 31, 2013, Con Edison recorded in non-utility operating revenues and purchased power expense an unrealized pre-tax gain of \$1 million and \$45 million, respectively.

32

As of March 31, 2014, Con Edison had 1,165 contracts, including 538 CECONY contracts, which were considered to be derivatives under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging (excluding qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts). The following table presents the number of contracts by commodity type:

		Electr	ic Derivatives		Gas De		
	Number of Energy Contracts (a)	MWHs (b)	Number of Capacity Contracts (a)	MWs (b)	Number of Contracts (a)	Dths (b)	Total Number Of Contracts (a)
Con Edison	548	14.882.496	67	6.442	550	70.343.157	1,165
		, ,	07	- /		, ,	,
CECONY	87	3.198.875	4	1,200	447	64,560,000	538

- (a) Qualifying derivative contracts, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales contracts, are not reported at fair value under the accounting rules for derivatives and hedging and, therefore, are excluded from the table.
- (b) Volumes are reported net of long and short positions.

The Companies also enter into electric congestion and gas basis swap contracts to hedge the congestion and transportation charges which are associated with electric and gas contracts and hedged volumes.

The collateral requirements associated with, and settlement of, derivative transactions are included in net cash flows from operating activities in the Companies consolidated statement of cash flows. Most derivative instrument contracts contain provisions that may require the Companies to provide collateral on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The amount of collateral to be provided will depend on the fair value of the derivative instruments and the Companies credit ratings.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position and collateral posted at March 31, 2014, and the additional collateral that would have been required to be posted had the lowest applicable credit rating been reduced one level and to below investment grade were:

(Millions of Dollars)	Con Ed	ison (a)	CECO	NY (a)
Aggregate fair value net liabilities	\$	2	\$	1
Collateral posted	\$		\$	
Additional collateral(b) (downgrade one level from current ratings)	\$	2	\$	
Additional collateral(b) (downgrade to below investment grade from current ratings)	\$	4(c)	\$	2(c)

- (a) Non-derivative transactions for the purchase and sale of electricity and gas and qualifying derivative instruments, which have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales, are excluded from the table. These transactions primarily include purchases of electricity from independent system operators. In the event the Utilities and Con Edison's competitive energy businesses were no longer extended unsecured credit for such purchases, the Companies would be required to post collateral, which at March 31, 2014, would have amounted to an estimated \$29 million for Con Edison, including \$7 million for CECONY. For certain other such non-derivative transactions, the Companies could be required to post collateral under certain circumstances, including in the event counterparties had reasonable grounds for insecurity.
- (b) The Companies measure the collateral requirements by taking into consideration the fair value amounts of derivative instruments that contain credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liabilities position plus amounts owed to counterparties for settled transactions and amounts required by counterparties for minimum financial security. The fair value amounts represent unrealized losses, net of any unrealized gains where the Companies have a legally enforceable right of setoff.
- (c) Derivative instruments that are net assets have been excluded from the table. At March 31, 2014, if Con Edison had been downgraded to below investment grade, it would have been required to post additional collateral for such derivative instruments of \$64 million, including \$1 million for CECONY.

# **Interest Rate Swap**

O&R has an interest rate swap, which terminates in October 2014, pursuant to which it pays a fixed-rate of 6.09 percent and receives a LIBOR-based variable rate. The fair value of this interest rate swap at March 31, 2014 was an unrealized loss of \$2 million, which has been included in Con Edison s consolidated balance sheet as a current liability/fair value of derivative liabilities and a regulatory asset. The increase in the fair value of the swap for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was immaterial. In the event O&R s credit rating was downgraded to BBB-or lower by S&P or Baa3 or lower by Moody s, the swap counterparty could elect to terminate the agreement and, if it did so, the parties would then be required to settle the transaction.

# Note M Fair Value Measurements

The accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures define fair value as the price that would be

33

## **Table of Contents**

received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date in a principal or most advantageous market. Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on inputs, which refer broadly to assumptions that market participants use in pricing assets or liabilities. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable firm inputs. The Companies often make certain assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk, and the risks inherent in the inputs to valuation techniques. The Companies use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures established a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value in three broad levels. The rules require that assets and liabilities be classified in their entirety based on the level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Assessing the significance of a particular input may require judgment considering factors specific to the asset or liability, and may affect the valuation of the asset or liability and their placement within the fair value hierarchy. The Companies classify fair value balances based on the fair value hierarchy defined by the accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures as follows:

Level 1 Consists of assets or liabilities whose value is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets at the measurement date. An active market is one in which transactions for assets or liabilities occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. This category includes contracts traded on active exchange markets valued using unadjusted prices quoted directly from the exchange.

Level 2 Consists of assets or liabilities valued using industry standard models and based on prices, other than quoted prices within Level 1, that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the measurement date. The industry standard models consider observable assumptions including time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying commodities, in addition to other economic measures. This category includes contracts traded on active exchanges or in over-the-counter markets priced with industry standard models.

Level 3 Consists of assets or liabilities whose fair value is estimated based on internally developed models or methodologies using inputs that are generally less readily observable and supported by little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs are developed based on the best available information and subject to cost benefit constraints. This category includes contracts priced using models that are internally developed and contracts placed in illiquid markets. It also includes contracts that expire after the period of time for which quoted prices are available and internal models are used to determine a significant portion of the value.

34

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2014 are summarized below.

#### Netting

	Lo Con	evel 1		Lo Con	evel 2		L Con	evel 3		Adjust Con	men	ts (d)	Co		otal	
(Millions of Dollars)	Edison	CEC	ONY	Edison	CEC	CONY	Edison	CEC	ONY	Edison	CE	CONY	Edis		CEC	CONY
Derivative assets:																
Commodity (a)(e)(f)	\$ 8	\$	7	\$ 175	\$	46	\$ 25	\$	13	\$ (45)	\$	(3)	\$ 1	63	\$	63
Other assets $(c)(e)(f)$	142		135	115		105							2:	57		240
Total	\$ 150	\$	142	\$ 290	\$	151	\$ 25	\$	13	\$ (45)	\$	(3)	\$ 4	20	\$	303
Derivative liabilities:																
Commodity (a)(e)(f)	\$ 3	\$	3	\$ 67	\$	16	\$ 1	\$		\$ (65)	\$	(16)	\$	6	\$	3
Interest rate contract (b)(e)(f)				2										2		
Total	\$ 3	\$	3	\$ 69	\$	16	\$ 1	\$		\$ (65)	\$	(16)	\$	8	\$	3

- (a) A portion of the commodity derivatives categorized in Level 3 is valued using an internally developed model with observable inputs. The models also include some less readily observable inputs resulting in the classification of the entire contract as Level 3. See Note L.
- (b) See Note L.
- (c) Other assets are comprised of assets such as life insurance contracts within the deferred compensation plan and non-qualified retirement plans.
- (d) Amounts represent the impact of legally-enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Companies to net gain and loss positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.
- (e) The Companies policy is to recognize transfers into and transfers out of the levels at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between levels 1, 2, and 3 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.
- (f) Level 2 assets and liabilities include investments held in the deferred compensation plan and/or non-qualified retirement plans, interest rate swap, or exchange-traded contracts where there is insufficient market liquidity to warrant inclusion in Level 1, and certain over-the-counter derivative instruments for electricity and natural gas. Derivative instruments classified as Level 2 are valued using industry standard models that incorporate corroborated observable inputs; such as pricing services or prices from similar instruments that trade in liquid markets, time value, and volatility factors.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 are summarized below.

#### Netting

	L	evel 1		L	evel 2	2	L	evel 3	Adjus	tments (d)	7	Γotal	
	Con			Con			Con		Con		Con		
(Millions of Dollars)	Edison	CEC	CONY	Edison	CEC	CONY	Edison	CECONY	Edison	CECONY	Edison	CE	CONY
Derivative assets:													
Commodity (a)(e)(f)	\$ 3	\$	3	\$ 130	\$	13	\$ 11	\$ 6	\$(62)	\$3	\$ 82	\$	25
Other assets (c)(e)(f)	141		134	113		103					254		237
Total	\$ 144	\$	137	\$ 243	\$	116	\$ 11	\$ 6	\$(62)	\$3	\$ 336	\$	262
Derivative liabilities:													
Commodity (a)(e)(f)	\$ 5	\$	5	\$ 84	\$	27	\$ 2	\$	\$(76)	\$(18)	\$ 15	\$	14
Interest rate contract (b)(e)(f)				2							2		
Total	\$ 5	\$	5	\$ 86	\$	27	\$ 2	\$	\$(76)	\$(18)	\$ 17	\$	14

- (a) A portion of the commodity derivatives categorized in Level 3 is valued using an internally developed model with observable inputs. The models also include some less readily observable inputs resulting in the classification of the entire contract as Level 3. See Note L.
- (b) See Note L.
- (c) Other assets are comprised of assets such as life insurance contracts within the deferred compensation plan and non-qualified retirement plans.
- (d) Amounts represent the impact of legally-enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Companies to net gain and loss positions and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties.
- (e) The Companies policy is to recognize transfers into and transfers out of the levels at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between levels 1, 2, and 3 for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (f) Level 2 assets and liabilities include investments held in the deferred compensation plan and/or non-qualified retirement plans, interest rate swap, or exchange-traded contracts where there is insufficient market liquidity to warrant inclusion in Level 1, and certain over-the-counter derivative instruments for electricity and natural gas. Derivative instruments classified as Level 2 are valued using industry standard models that incorporate corroborated observable

inputs; such as pricing services or prices from similar instruments that trade in liquid markets, time value, and volatility factors.

The employees in the risk management groups of the Utilities and the competitive energy businesses develop and maintain the Companies valuation policies and procedures for, and verify pricing and fair value valuation of, commodity derivatives. Under the Companies policies and procedures, multiple independent sources of information are obtained for forward price curves used to value commodity derivatives. Fair value and changes in fair value of commodity derivatives are reported on a monthly basis to the Companies risk committees, comprised of officers and employees of the Companies that oversee energy hedging at the Utilities and the competitive energy businesses. The managers of the risk management groups report to the Companies Vice President and Treasurer.

35

Con Edison

Fair Value of Level 3 at March 31, 2014

Valuation

Con Edison Commodity	(Millions of Do	ollars)	Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Range
Electricity	\$	9	Discounted Cash Flow	Forward energy prices (a)	\$28.00-\$137.00 per MWH
			Discounted Cash Flow	Forward capacity prices (a)	\$10.00 per kW-month
Transmission Congestion Contracts / Financial Transmission Rights	1	5	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount to adjust auction prices for inter-zonal forward price curves (b) Discount to adjust auction prices for historical monthly realized settlements (b) Inter-zonal forward price curves adjusted for historical zonal losses (b)	(5.8)%-50.6% (236.2)%-49.1% \$(0.99)-\$12.00 per MWH
Total Con Edison Commodity CECONY Commodity	\$ 2	4			
Transmission Congestion Contracts	\$ 1	3	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount to adjust auction prices for inter-zonal forward price curves (b)  Discount to adjust auction prices for historical monthly realized settlements (b)	(5.8)%-50.6% (236.2)%-49.1%

<sup>(</sup>a) Generally, increases/(decreases) in this input in isolation would result in a higher/(lower) fair value measurement.

The table listed below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending net balances for assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of March 31, 2014 and 2013 and classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

# For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

Total Gains/(Losses) Realized and Unrealized

Included in Ending

	Beginning Balance as l	,		egulatory and	Assets	5						Transfer In/Out of Level	Balance	e as of
(Millions of Dollars)	January 1, 2	0 <b>E4</b> r	nings	Liabilit	ies	Purch	ases	Issuances	Sales	Settl	ements	3	March 3	1, 2014
Con Edison														
Derivatives:														
Commodity	\$ 9	\$	50	\$	4	\$	8	\$	\$	\$	(47)	\$	\$	24
CECONY														
Derivatives:														
Commodity	\$ 6	\$	11	\$	4	\$	7	\$	\$	\$	(15)	\$	\$	13

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Total Gains/(Losses)

# Realized and Unrealized

**Ending** Included in Transfer Balance as of **Beginning Regulatory Assets** In/Out of Balance as ofncluded in and Level (Millions of Dollars) January 1, 201Earnings Settlements Liabilities Purchases Issuances Sales 3 March 31,2013

<sup>(</sup>b) Generally, increases/(decreases) in this input in isolation would result in a lower/(higher) fair value measurement.

Edgar Filing: CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC - Form 10-Q

Derivatives:								
Commodity	\$ (5)	\$ 31	\$ 5	\$ 4	\$ \$	\$ (21)	\$ \$	14
CECONY								
Derivatives:								
Commodity	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ \$	\$ (14)	\$ \$	11

For the Utilities, realized gains and losses on Level 3 commodity derivative assets and liabilities are reported as part of purchased power, gas and fuel costs. The Utilities generally recover these costs in accordance with rate provisions approved by the applicable state public utilities commissions. Unrealized gains and losses for commodity derivatives are generally deferred on the consolidated balance sheet in accordance with the accounting rules for regulated operations.

36

For the competitive energy businesses, realized and unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 commodity derivative assets and liabilities are reported in non-utility revenues (immaterial and immaterial) and purchased power costs (\$39 million gain and \$19 million gain) on the consolidated income statement for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The change in fair value relating to Level 3 commodity derivative assets and liabilities held at March 31, 2014 and 2013 is included in non-utility revenues (immaterial and immaterial) and purchased power costs (\$8 million gain and \$16 million gain) on the consolidated income statement for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The accounting rules for fair value measurements and disclosures require consideration of the impact of nonperformance risk (including credit risk) from a market participant perspective in the measurement of the fair value of assets and liabilities. At March 31, 2014, the Companies determined that nonperformance risk would have no material impact on their financial position or results of operations. To assess nonperformance risk, the Companies considered information such as collateral requirements, master netting arrangements, letters of credit and parent company guarantees, and applied a historical default probability based on current credit ratings and a market-based method by using the counterparty (for an asset) or the Companies (for a liability) credit default swaps rates.

#### **Note N** Variable Interest Entities

In March 2014, Con Edison Development purchased a 50 percent membership interest in Copper Mountain Solar 3 Holdings, LLC (CMS 3). As a result, Con Edison has a variable interest in CMS 3, which is a non-consolidated entity. CMS 3 owns a project company that is developing a 250 MW (AC) solar energy project in Nevada. Electricity generated by the project is to be sold to the Southern California Public Power Authority pursuant to a long-term power purchase agreement. Con Edison is not the primary beneficiary since the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economics of CMS 3 is shared equally between Con Edison Development and a third party. At March 31, 2014, Con Edison s consolidated balance sheet includes \$80 million in investments (including earnings) related to CMS 3, which assessed in accordance with the accounting rules for variable interest entities, is Con Edison s current maximum exposure to loss in the entity. In addition, Con Edison and Con Edison Development have issued certain guarantees to third parties in connection with CMS 3. See Guarantees in Note H.

37

# Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This combined management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations relates to the consolidated financial statements (the First Quarter Financial Statements) included in this report of two separate registrants: Con Edison and CECONY and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto. As used in this report, the term the Companies refers to Con Edison and CECONY. CECONY is a subsidiary of Con Edison and, as such, information in this management s discussion and analysis about CECONY applies to Con Edison.

This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the First Quarter Financial Statements and the notes thereto and the MD&A in Item 7 of the Companies combined Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 (File Nos. 1-14514 and 1-1217, the Form 10-K).

Information in any item of this report referred to in this discussion and analysis is incorporated by reference herein. The use of terms such as see or refer to shall be deemed to incorporate by reference into this discussion and analysis the information to which reference is made.

Con Edison, incorporated in New York State in 1997, is a holding company which owns all of the outstanding common stock of CECONY, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (O&R) and the competitive energy businesses. As used in this report, the term the Utilities refers to CECONY and O&R.

CECONY s principal business operations are its regulated electric, gas and steam delivery businesses. O&R s principal business operations are its regulated electric and gas delivery businesses. The competitive energy businesses sell electricity to retail customers, provide energy-related products and services, and participate in energy infrastructure projects.

Con Edison s strategy is to provide reliable energy services, maintain public and employee safety, promote energy efficiency, and develop cost-effective ways of performing its business. Con Edison seeks to be a responsible steward of the environment and enhance its relationships with customers, regulators and members of the communities it serves.

38

#### **CECONY**

#### Electric

CECONY provides electric service to approximately 3.4 million customers in all of New York City (except a part of Queens) and most of Westchester County, an approximately 660 square mile service area with a population of more than nine million.

#### Gas

CECONY delivers gas to approximately 1.1 million customers in Manhattan, the Bronx and parts of Queens and Westchester County.

#### Steam

CECONY operates the largest steam distribution system in the United States by producing and delivering approximately 22,000 MMlbs of steam annually to 1,703 customers in parts of Manhattan.

## O&R

#### Electric

O&R and its utility subsidiaries, Rockland Electric Company (RECO) and Pike County Light & Power Company (Pike) (together referred to herein as O&R) provide electric service to approximately 0.3 million customers in southeastern New York and in adjacent areas of northern New Jersey and northeastern Pennsylvania, an approximately 1,350 square mile service area.

# Gas

O&R delivers gas to over 0.1 million customers in southeastern New York and adjacent areas of northeastern Pennsylvania.

## **Competitive Energy Businesses**

Con Edison pursues competitive energy opportunities through three wholly-owned subsidiaries: Con Edison Solutions, Con Edison Energy and Con Edison Development. These businesses sell to retail customers electricity purchased in wholesale markets and enter into related hedging transactions, provide energy-related products and services to wholesale and retail customers, and participate in energy infrastructure projects. At March 31, 2014, Con Edison s equity investment in its competitive energy businesses was \$503 million and their assets amounted to \$1,419 million.

In March 2014, Con Edison Development agreed to sell a 50 percent membership interest in its wholly-owned subsidiary, CED California Holdings Financing I, LLC (CCH). CCH owns project companies that operate 110 MW of solar energy projects in California. Electricity generated by the projects is sold to Pacific Gas and Electric Company pursuant to long-term power purchase agreements. At March 31, 2014, CCH had approximately \$374 million in net property, plant and equipment and \$217 million in long-term debt.

Certain financial data of Con Edison s businesses is presented below:

Three months ended March 31, 2014 At March 31, 2014

(Millions of Dollars, except
Operating

Net Income for Percentages)
Revenues Common Stock Assets

CECONY	\$3,204	85%	\$334	93%	\$36,326	90%
O&R	256	7%	21	6%	2,569	6%
Total Utilities	3,460	92%	355	99%	38,895	96%
Con Edison Solutions (a)	295	8%	(4)	(1)%	287	1%
Con Edison Energy	26	%	5	1%	119	%
Con Edison Development (b)	11	%	8	2%	936	2%
Other (c)	(3)	%	(3)	(1)%	244	1%
Total Con Edison	\$3,789	100%	\$361	100%	\$40,481	100%

<sup>(</sup>a) Net income from the competitive energy businesses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 includes \$11 million of net after-tax mark-to-market gains (Con Edison Solutions, \$11 million).

39

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes an after-tax benefit of \$7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 due primarily to lower than previously estimated interest on the tax liability from the lease in/lease out (LILO) transactions (see in Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements).

<sup>(</sup>c) Other includes parent company expenses, primarily interest, and consolidation adjustments. See Results of Operations, below.

Con Edison s net income for common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$361 million or \$1.23 a share (\$1.23 on a diluted basis) compared with \$192 million or \$0.66 a share (\$0.65 on a diluted basis) for the three months ended March 31, 2013. See Results of Operations Summary, below. For segment financial information, see Note K to the First Quarter Financial Statements and Results of Operations, below.

## Results of Operations Summary

Net income for common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013
CECONY	\$334	\$277
O&R	21	30
Competitive energy businesses (a)	9	(112)
Other (b)	(3)	(3)
Con Edison	\$361	\$192

- (a) Includes an after-tax benefit of \$7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014, and an after-tax charge of \$150 million in the three months ended March 31, 2013 relating to the LILO transactions (see Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements) and a tax benefit of \$15 million resulting from the acceptance by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) of the company s claim for manufacturing tax deductions in the three months ended March 31, 2013 (see Note J to the First Quarter Financial Statements). Also includes \$11 million and \$26 million of net after-tax mark-to-market gains in the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (b) Other includes parent company expenses, primarily interest, and consolidation adjustments.

The Companies results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014, as compared with the 2013 period reflect changes in the rate plans of Con Edison s utility subsidiaries, the weather impact on its steam delivery service, decreases in certain operations and maintenance expenses and increases in depreciation and property taxes, reflecting primarily the impact of higher utility plant balances. The results of operations also include the impact of the LILO transactions and the net mark-to-market effects of the competitive energy businesses.

Operations and maintenance expenses for CECONY primarily reflect a decrease in pension costs and lower surcharges for assessments and fees that are collected in revenues, offset in part by higher operating costs attributable to emergency response to weather related events.

The following table presents the estimated effect on earnings per share and net income for common stock for the 2014 period as compared with the 2013 period, resulting from these and other major factors:

	Earnings	Net Income for Common Stock Variation
	per Share Variation	(Millions of Dollars)
CECONY (a)		
Rate plans	\$0.15	\$43
Weather impact on steam revenues	0.04	13
Operations and maintenance expenses	0.03	10
Depreciation and property taxes	(0.05)	(15)
Other	0.02	6

Total CECONY	0.19	57
O&R (a)	(0.03)	(9)
Competitive energy businesses (b)	0.41	121
Other, including parent company expenses		
Total variations	\$0.57	\$169

- (a) Under the revenue decoupling mechanisms in the Utilities New York electric and gas rate plans and the weather-normalization clause applicable to their gas businesses, revenues are generally not affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved. Under the rate plans, pension and other postretirement costs and certain other costs are reconciled to amounts reflected in rates for such costs.
- (b) These variations include an after-tax benefit in the 2014 period of \$7 million or \$0.02 a share compared to an after-tax charge in the 2013 period of \$150 million or \$0.51 a share relating to the LILO transactions (see Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements). In addition, the variations include a tax benefit in the 2013 period of \$15 million or \$0.05 a share resulting from the acceptance by the IRS of the company s claim for manufacturing tax deductions (see Note J to the First Quarter Financial Statements). The variations also include after-tax net mark-to-market gains of \$11 million or \$0.04 a share in the 2014 period and after-tax net mark-to-market gains of \$26 million or \$0.09 a share in the 2013 period.

40

See Results of Operations below for further discussion and analysis of results of operations.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Companies liquidity reflects cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities, as shown on their respective consolidated statement of cash flows and as discussed below.

Changes in the Companies cash and temporary cash investments resulting from operating, investing and financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows:

#### Con Edison

(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Varian	ıce
Operating activities	\$ 224	\$ (84)	\$ 30	808
Investing activities	(634)	(663)	2	29
Financing activities	(162)	485	(64	647)
Net change	(572)	(262)	(3)	310)
Balance at beginning of period	674	394	28	280
Balance at end of period	\$ 102	\$ 132	\$ (3	(30)

#### CECONY

(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Variance
Operating activities	\$11	\$ 350	\$ (339)
Investing activities	(510)	(562)	52
Financing activities	(75)	(102)	27
Net change	(574)	(314)	(260)
Balance at beginning of period	633	353	280
Balance at end of period	\$ 59	\$ 39	\$ 20

## **Cash Flows from Operating Activities**

The Utilities cash flows from operating activities reflect principally their energy sales and deliveries and cost of operations. The volume of energy sales and deliveries is dependent primarily on factors external to the Utilities, such as growth of customer demand, weather, market prices for energy, economic conditions and measures that promote energy efficiency. Under the revenue decoupling mechanisms in CECONY s electric and gas rate plans and O&R s New York electric and gas rate plans, changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved may affect the timing of cash flows but not net income. The prices at which the Utilities provide energy to their customers are determined in accordance with their rate plans. In general, changes in the Utilities cost of purchased power, fuel and gas may affect the timing of cash flows but not net income because the costs are recovered in accordance with rate plans.

Net income is the result of cash and non-cash (or accrual) transactions. Only cash transactions affect the Companies cash flows from operating activities. Principal non-cash charges include depreciation and deferred income tax expense. Principal non-cash credits include amortizations of certain net regulatory liabilities. Non-cash charges or credits may also be accrued under the revenue decoupling and cost reconciliation mechanisms in the Utilities electric and gas rate plans in New York.

Net cash flows from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 for Con Edison and CECONY were \$308 million higher and \$339 million lower, respectively, than in 2013. The increase in net cash flows for Con Edison reflects the deposits made in 2013 with federal and state tax agencies primarily related to the LILO transactions (see Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements), offset in part by higher income tax payments (\$392 million) in 2014. The decrease in net cash for CECONY reflects primarily higher income tax payments (\$231 million) in 2014.

41

The change in net cash flows also reflects the timing of payments for and recovery of energy costs. This timing is reflected within changes to accounts receivable customers, recoverable energy costs and accounts payable balances.

The changes in regulatory assets principally reflect changes in deferred pension costs in accordance with the accounting rules for retirement benefits.

#### **Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities**

Net cash flows used in investing activities for Con Edison and CECONY were \$29 million and \$52 million lower, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. The changes for Con Edison and CECONY reflect decreased utility construction expenditures in 2014. In addition, for Con Edison, the change reflects increased investments in solar energy projects (see Note N to the First Quarter Financial Statements), offset in part by decreased non-utility construction expenditures and receipt of grants related to solar energy projects.

## **Cash Flows from Financing Activities**

Net cash flows from financing activities for Con Edison and CECONY were \$647 million lower and \$27 million higher, respectively, in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period.

In March 2014, CECONY issued \$850 million of 4.45 percent 30-year debentures, the net proceeds from the sale of which were used to repay short-term borrowings and for other general corporate purposes. In February 2014, CECONY redeemed at maturity \$200 million of 4.70 percent 10-year debentures.

In February 2013, CECONY issued \$700 million of 3.95 percent 30-year debentures, the net proceeds from the sale of which were used to repay short-term borrowings and for other general corporate purposes. In February 2013, CECONY redeemed at maturity \$500 million of 4.875 percent 10-year debentures.

Cash flows from financing activities of the Companies also reflect commercial paper issuance. The commercial paper amounts outstanding at March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the average daily balances for the three months ended 2014 and 2013 for Con Edison and CECONY were as follows:

	2014		20	13
	Outstanding	at Daily		
(Millions of Dollars, except Weighted Average Yield)	March 31	average	March 31	average
Con Edison	\$ 830	\$ 961	\$ 1,021	\$ 889
CECONY	\$ 669	\$ 777	\$ 313	\$ 188
Weighted average yield	0.2%	0.29	6 0.3%	0.3%

# Other Changes in Assets and Liabilities

The following table shows changes in certain assets and liabilities at March 31, 2014, compared with December 31, 2013.

Con Edison CECONY
2014 vs. 2013
Variance Variance

(Millions of Dollars)

Assets		
Prepayments	\$ 353	\$ 295
Special deposits	(324)	(84)
Regulatory asset Unrecognized pension costs	(139)	(132)
Liabilities		
Accrued taxes	(378)	(11)
Pension and retiree benefits	(225)	(216)

42

# **Prepayments**

The increase in prepayments for Con Edison and CECONY reflects primarily CECONY s January 2014 payment of its New York City semi-annual property taxes, offset by three months of amortization, while the December 2013 balance reflects the full amortization of the previous semi-annual payment.

#### **Special Deposits and Accrued Taxes**

The decreases in Con Edison s special deposits and accrued taxes reflect the deposits made in 2013 with federal and state tax agencies primarily relating to the LILO transactions. See Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

## Regulatory Asset for Unrecognized Pension Costs and Liability for Pension and Retiree Benefits

The decrease in the regulatory asset for unrecognized pension costs and the liability for pension and retiree benefits reflects the final actuarial valuation of the pension and other retiree benefit plans as measured at December 31, 2013, in accordance with the accounting rules for retirement benefits. The change in the regulatory asset also reflects the year s amortization of accounting costs. The decrease in the liability for pension and retiree benefits reflects in part contributions to the plans made by the Utilities in 2014. See Notes B, E and F to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

#### **Capital Requirements and Resources**

For each of the Companies, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges (Securities and Exchange Commission basis) for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 was:

# Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

	For the Three Months End	ed	
	March 31,	For the Three Months Ended	For the Twelve Months Ended
	2014	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2013
Con Edison (a)	4.8	1.9	3.0
CECONY	4.6	4.1	3.7

(a) Reflects after-tax benefit/(charge) to earnings relating to Con Edison Development s LILO transactions of \$7 million, \$(150) million and \$(95) million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and twelve months ended December 31, 2013, respectively. See Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements

For each of the Companies, the common equity ratio at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was:

#### **Common Equity Ratio**

# (Percent of total capitalization)

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Con Edison	52.3	53.9
CECONY	51.9	53.7

# Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Companies have no off-balance sheet arrangements other than two guarantees (\$80 million maximum and \$208 million maximum) issued by Con Edison Development on behalf of two entities in which it acquired a 50 percent interest in July 2013 and March 2014, respectively (see

Guarantees in Note H and Note N to the First Quarter Financial Statements). The entities were formed to develop, construct and operate photovoltaic solar energy facilities with a cumulative capacity of 400 MW (AC). Con Edison Development is not the primary beneficiary of these entities since the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economics of the facilities is shared equally between Con Edison Development and a third party. No payments have been made nor are any expected to be made under the guarantees.

# **Regulatory Matters**

In December 2013, the New York State Public Service Commission (NYSPSC) directed the NYSPSC staff to recommend, for commencement in the first quarter of 2014, a process that will result in timely decisions regarding the broad restructuring of distribution utility regulation, such that the post-2015 course of energy efficiency and other clean energy programs can be

43

determined in the context of these more sweeping changes. The NYSPSC articulated five core policy outcomes intended to better align the role and operations of utilities to enable market and customer-driven change: empowering customers; leveraging customer contributions; system-wide efficiency; fuel and resource diversity; and system reliability and resiliency. The NYSPSC requested that the scope of the proceeding be sufficiently broad to address the role of distribution utilities in enabling system-wide efficiency and market-based deployment of distributed energy resources and load management; changes that can and should be made in the current regulatory, tariff, and market design and incentive structure in New York to better align utility interest with achieving the NYSPSC s energy policy objectives; and further changes that need to be made to energy efficiency delivery including better alignment and definition of the roles and responsibilities of New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) and utilities.

In April 2014, following the issuance of a NYSPSC staff report and proposal that, among other things, recommended that the NYSPSC consider fundamental changes in the manner in which utilities provide service, the NYSPSC initiated its Reforming the Energy Vision proceeding to (1) improve system efficiency, empower customer choice, and encourage greater penetration of clean generation and energy efficiency technologies and practices; (2) examine how existing practices should be modified to establish Distributed System Platform Providers (DSPP), actively managing and coordinating distributed energy resources and providing a market enabling customers to optimize their energy priorities, provide system benefits, and be compensated for providing such system benefits; and (3) examine how the NYSPSC s regulatory practices should be modified to incent utility practices that best promote the NYSPSC s policies and objectives, including the promotion of energy efficiency, renewable energy, least cost energy supply, fuel diversity, system adequacy and reliability, demand elasticity, and customer empowerment. The NYSPSC indicated that its goal is to reach generic policy determinations with respect to DSPP and related issues and regulatory design and ratemaking issues by the end of 2014 and in the first quarter of 2015, respectively. The Utilities are not able to predict the outcome of the Reforming the Energy Vision proceeding or its impact on the Utilities.

## **Financial and Commodity Market Risks**

The Companies are subject to various risks and uncertainties associated with financial and commodity markets. The most significant market risks include interest rate risk, commodity price risk, credit risk and investment risk.

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

The interest rate risk relates primarily to variable rate debt and to new debt financing needed to fund capital requirements, including the construction expenditures of the Utilities and maturing debt securities. Con Edison and its businesses manage interest rate risk through the issuance of mostly fixed-rate debt with varying maturities and through opportunistic refinancing of debt. Con Edison and CECONY estimate that at March 31, 2014, a 10 percent variation in interest rates applicable to its variable rate debt would result in an increase in annual interest expense of \$1 million. Under CECONY s current gas, steam and electric rate plans, variations in actual variable rate tax-exempt debt interest expense are reconciled to levels reflected in rates. Under O&R s current New York rate plans, variations in actual tax-exempt (and under the gas rate plan, taxable) long-term debt interest expense are reconciled to the level set in rates.

In addition, from time to time, Con Edison and its businesses enter into derivative financial instruments to hedge interest rate risk on certain debt securities. See Interest Rate Swap in Note L to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

#### **Commodity Price Risk**

Con Edison s commodity price risk relates primarily to the purchase and sale of electricity, gas and related derivative instruments. The Utilities and Con Edison s competitive energy businesses apply risk management strategies to mitigate their related exposures. See Note L to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

44

Con Edison estimates that, as of March 31, 2014, a 10 percent decline in market prices would result in a decline in fair value of \$54 million for the derivative instruments used by the Utilities to hedge purchases of electricity and gas, of which \$46 million is for CECONY and \$8 million is for O&R. Con Edison expects that any such change in fair value would be largely offset by directionally opposite changes in the cost of the electricity and gas purchased. In accordance with provisions approved by state regulators, the Utilities generally recover from customers the costs they incur for energy purchased for their customers, including gains and losses on certain derivative instruments used to hedge energy purchased and related costs.

Con Edison s competitive energy businesses use a value-at-risk (VaR) model to assess the market price risk of their portfolio of electricity and gas commodity fixed-price purchase and sales commitments, physical forward contracts, generating assets and commodity derivative instruments. VaR represents the potential change in fair value of the portfolio due to changes in market prices, for a specified time period and confidence level. These businesses estimate VaR across their portfolio using a delta-normal variance/covariance model with a 95 percent confidence level. Since the VaR calculation involves complex methodologies and estimates and assumptions that are based on past experience, it is not necessarily indicative of future results. VaR for the portfolio, assuming a one-day holding period, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, was as follows:

95% Confidence Level, One-Day Holding Period	March 31, 2014	(Millions of Dollars)	December	31, 2013
Average for the period	\$ 2		\$	1
High	7			1
Low				

The competitive energy businesses compare the measured VaR results against performance due to actual prices and stress test the portfolio each quarter using an assumed 30 percent price change from forecast. The stress test includes an assessment of the impact of volume changes on the portfolio because the businesses generally commit to sell their customers their actual requirements, an amount which is estimated when the sales commitments are made. The businesses limit the volume of commodity derivative instruments entered into relative to their estimated sale commitments to maintain net market price exposures to their estimated sale commitments within a certain percentage of maximum and minimum exposures.

# Credit Risk

The Companies are exposed to credit risk related to transactions entered into primarily for the various energy supply and hedging activities by the Utilities and the competitive energy businesses. See Credit Exposure in Note L to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

# **Investment Risk**

The Companies investment risk relates to the investment of plan assets for their pension and other postretirement benefit plans. The Companies current investment policy for pension plan assets includes investment targets of 60 percent equities and 40 percent fixed income and other securities. At March 31, 2014, the pension plan investments consisted of 59 percent equity and 41 percent fixed income and other securities.

# **Material Contingencies**

For information concerning potential liabilities arising from the Companies material contingencies, see Notes B, G and H to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

#### **Results of Operations**

See Results of Operations Summary, above.

Results of operations reflect, among other things, the Companies accounting policies and rate plans that limit the rates the Utilities can charge their customers. Under the revenue decoupling mechanisms currently applicable to CECONY s electric and gas businesses and O&R s electric and gas businesses in New York, the Utilities delivery revenues generally will not be affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved. Revenues for CECONY s steam business and O&R s businesses in New Jersey and Pennsylvania are affected by changes in

45

delivery volumes resulting from weather, economic conditions and other factors.

In general, the Utilities recover on a current basis the fuel, gas purchased for resale and purchased power costs they incur in supplying energy to their full-service customers. Accordingly, such costs do not generally affect the Companies results of operations. Management uses the term net revenues (operating revenues less such costs) to identify changes in operating revenues that may affect the Companies results of operations. Management believes that, although net revenues may not be a measure determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the measure facilitates the analysis by management and investors of the Companies results of operations.

Con Edison s principal business segments are CECONY s regulated utility activities, O&R s regulated utility activities and Con Edison s competitive energy businesses. CECONY s principal business segments are its regulated electric, gas and steam utility activities. A discussion of the results of operations by principal business segment for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 follows. For additional business segment financial information, see Note K to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

## Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

The Companies results of operations (which were discussed above under Results of Operations Summary ) in 2014 compared with 2013 were:

	Competitive Energy							
	CECONY O&R				Businesses and Other(a) Con Edison(b			dison(b)
	Increases	Increases	Increases	Increases	Increases	Increases	Increases	Increases
	(Decreases	Decreases)	Decreases	Decreases)	(Decreases)	(Decreases)	Decreases	Decreases)
(Millions of Dollars)	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Operating revenues	\$ 398	14.2%	6 \$ 29	12.8%	\$ 178	Large	\$ 605	19.0%
Purchased power	162	35.6	19	38.0	75	37.1	256	36.2
Fuel	9	6.1					9	6.1
Gas purchased for resale	127	58.0	12	42.9	11	Large	150	60.0
Operating revenues less purchased power, fuel and gas purchased fo	r							
resale (net revenues)	100	5.0	(2)	(1.3)	92	Large	190	9.1
Other operations and maintenance	(16)	(2.2)	8	11.4	3	15.8	(5)	(0.6)
Depreciation and amortization	7	3.0			3	75.0	10	4.0
Taxes, other than income taxes	26	5.8	(2)	(11.1)	2	50.0	26	5.5
Operating income	83	14.8	(8)	(17.0)	84	Large	159	30.2
Other income less deductions	5	Large	1	Large	2	Large	8	Large
Net interest expense	(1)	(0.8)	(2)	(18.2)	(140)	Large	(143)	(51.3)
Income before income tax expense	89	20.7	(5)	(13.9)	226	Large	310	Large
Income tax expense	32	21.1	4	66.7	105	Large	141	Large
Net income for common stock	\$ 57	20.69	6 \$ (9)	(30.0)%	6 \$ 121	Large	\$ 169	88.0%

<sup>(</sup>a) Other includes parent company expenses, primarily interest, and consolidation adjustments.

46

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents the consolidated financial results of Con Edison and its businesses.

**CECONY** 

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014				Three Ma					
acu (D.II.)	T71	a	G,	2014	T71		G.	2013		4-2013
(Millions of Dollars)	Electric	Gas	Steam	Total	Electric	Gas	Steam	Total	Var	iation
Operating revenues	\$ 2,074	\$ 789	\$ 341	\$ 3,204	\$ 1,814	\$ 660	\$ 332	\$ 2,806	\$	398
Purchased power	598		19	617	441		14	455		162
Fuel	92		64	156	65		82	147		9
Gas purchased for resale		346		346		219		219		127
Net revenues	1,384	443	258	2,085	1,308	441	236	1,985		100
Operations and maintenance	569	104	52	725	579	100	62	741		(16)
Depreciation and amortization	189	32	19	240	185	32	16	233		7
Taxes, other than income taxes	369	74	34	477	355	67	29	451		26
Operating income	\$ 257	\$ 233	\$ 153	\$ 643	\$ 189	\$ 242	\$ 129	\$ 560	\$	83
Electric										

CECONY s results of electric operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period is as follows:

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended						
	March 31,	March 31,						
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Variation					
Operating revenues	\$ 2,074	\$ 1,814	\$ 260					
Purchased power	598	441	157					
Fuel	92	65	27					
Net revenues	1,384	1,308	76					
Operations and maintenance	569	579	(10)					
Depreciation and amortization	189	185	4					
Taxes, other than income taxes	369	355	14					
Electric operating income	\$ 257	<b>\$</b> 189	\$68					

CECONY s electric sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period were:

	Millions of kWhs Delivered Three Months Ended				Three Er			
Description	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013	Variation	Percent Variation	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013	Variation	Percent Variation
Residential/Religious <sup>(a)</sup>	2,416	2,382	34	1.4%		\$ 647	\$ 140	21.6%
Commercial/Industrial	2,461	2,394	67	2.8	618	479	139	29.0
Retail access customers	6,437	6,223	214	3.4	522	577	(55)	(9.5)
NYPA, Municipal Agency and other sales	2,582	2,561	21	0.8	133	131	2	1.5
Other operating revenues					14	(20)	34	Large
Total	13,896	13,560	336	2.5%	\$ 2,074	\$ 1,814	\$ 260	14.3%

<sup>(</sup>a) Residential/Religious generally includes single-family dwellings, individual apartments in multi-family dwellings, religious organizations and certain other not-for-profit organizations.

CECONY s electric operating revenues increased \$260 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to higher purchased power costs (\$157 million) and fuel costs (\$27 million), and recovery of certain expenses recognized in prior periods (\$30 million). CECONY s revenues from electric sales are subject to a revenue decoupling mechanism, as a result of which delivery

revenues generally are not affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved. Other electric operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the revenue decoupling mechanism and other provisions of the company s rate plans.

47

Electric delivery volumes in CECONY s service area increased 2.5 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. After adjusting for variations, principally weather and billing days, electric delivery volumes in CECONY s service area increased 0.5 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period.

CECONY s electric purchased power costs increased \$157 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 due to an increase in unit costs (\$146 million) and purchased volumes (\$11 million). Electric fuel costs increased \$27 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due to higher unit costs (\$25 million) and sendout volumes from the company s electric generating facilities (\$2 million).

CECONY s electric operating income increased \$68 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. The increase reflects primarily higher net revenues (\$76 million) and decreases in certain operations and maintenance expenses (\$10 million), offset in part by higher taxes other than income taxes (\$14 million, principally property taxes) and higher depreciation and amortization (\$4 million). Operations and maintenance expenses primarily reflect a decrease in pension costs (\$26 million) and lower surcharges for assessments and fees that are collected in revenues from customers (\$18 million), offset in part by higher operating costs attributable to emergency response due to weather related events (\$27 million) and higher support and maintenance of company underground facilities to accommodate municipal projects (\$7 million).

#### Gas

CECONY s results of gas operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period is as follows:

	Three Mon			
	March 31,	March 31,		
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Variation	
Operating revenues	\$ 789	\$ 660	\$ 129	
Gas purchased for resale	346	219	127	
Net revenues	443	441	2	
Operations and maintenance	104	100	4	
Depreciation and amortization	32	32		
Taxes, other than income taxes	74	67	7	
Gas operating income	\$ 233	\$ 242	\$ (9)	

CECONY s gas sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period were:

	Thousands of dths Delivered				Revenues in Millions			
	Three	Months			Three	Months		
	En	ded						
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent	March 31	,March 31,		Percent
Description	2014	2013	Variation	Variation	2014	2013	Variation	Variation
Residential	21,736	19,055	2,681	14.1%	\$362	\$302	\$60	19.9%
General	14,017	11,188	2,829	25.3	164	135	29	21.5
Firm transportation	29,011	25,694	3,317	12.9	180	167	13	7.8
Total firm sales and transportation	64,764	55,937	8,827	15.8	706	604	102	16.9
Interruptible sales (a)	5,124	2,897	2,227	76.9	60	22	38	Large
NYPA	11,468	9,633	1,835	19.0	1	1		
Generation plants	13,079	13,678	(599)	(4.4)	8	6	2	33.3
Other	7,342	7,609	(267)	(3.5)	12	16	(4)	(25.0)
Other operating revenues					2	11	(9)	(81.8)
Total	101,777	89,754	12,023	13.4%	\$789	\$660	\$129	19.5%

(a) Includes 3,531 and 936 thousands of dths for 2014 and 2013 periods, respectively, which are also reflected in firm transportation and other.

CECONY s gas operating revenues increased \$129 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to an increase in gas purchased for resale costs (\$127 million) and higher revenues from the recovery of certain costs (\$2 million). CECONY s revenues from gas sales are subject to a weather normalization clause and a revenue decoupling mechanism as a result of which delivery revenues are generally not affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were

48

approved. Other gas operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company s rate plans.

CECONY s sales and transportation volumes for firm customers increased 15.8 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. After adjusting for variations, principally weather and billing days, firm gas sales and transportation volumes in the company s service area increased 2.0 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014.

CECONY s purchased gas cost increased \$127 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due to higher unit costs (\$76 million) and sendout volumes (\$51 million).

CECONY s gas operating income decreased \$9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. The decrease reflects primarily higher taxes other than income taxes (\$7 million, principally local revenue taxes and property taxes) and higher operations and maintenance expense (\$4 million, due primarily to higher pension costs (\$4 million)).

## Steam

CECONY s results of steam operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period is as follows:

	Three Mo		
	March 31,	March 31,	
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Variation
Operating revenues	\$ 341	\$ 332	\$ 9
Purchased power	19	14	5
Fuel	64	82	(18)
Net revenues	258	236	22
Operations and maintenance	52	62	(10)
Depreciation and amortization	19	16	3
Taxes, other than income taxes	34	29	5
Steam operating income	\$ 153	<b>\$ 129</b>	\$ 24

CECONY s steam sales and deliveries for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period were:

	Millions of Pounds Delivered					Revenues in Millions				
	Three Mo	nths Ended				Months nded				
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent		March 31,		Percent		
Description	2014	2013	Variation	Variation	2014	2013	Variation	Variation		
General	380	309	71	23.0%	\$ 17	\$ 16	\$ 1	6.39		
Apartment house	2,901	2,541	360	14.2	88	89	(1)	(1.1)		
Annual power	7,010	5,852	1,158	19.8	247	238	9	3.8		
Other operating revenues					(11)	(11)	)			
Total	10,291	8,702	1,589	18.2%	\$ 341	\$ 332	\$ 9	2.7%		

CECONY s steam operating revenues increased \$9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to the weather impact on revenues (\$23 million), higher purchased power costs (\$5 million) and the net change in revenues from the recovery of certain costs (\$1 million), offset in part by lower fuel costs (\$18 million). Other steam operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company s rate plans.

Steam sales and delivery volumes increased 18.2 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. After adjusting for variations, principally weather and billing days, steam sales and deliveries increased 5.9 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014.

CECONY s steam purchased power costs increased \$5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due to an increase in unit costs (\$5 million). Steam fuel costs decreased \$18 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due to lower unit costs (\$28 million), offset by higher sendout volumes (\$10 million).

Steam operating income increased \$24 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. The increase reflects primarily higher

49

net revenues (\$22 million) and lower operations and maintenance expense (\$10 million, due primarily to lower pension expense (\$10 million)), offset in part by higher taxes other than income taxes (\$5 million, principally property taxes) and depreciation and amortization (\$3 million).

# **Income Tax Expense**

Income taxes increased \$32 million in 2014 compared with 2013 due primarily to higher income before income tax expense.

# O&R

	Three Ma	Mont rch 3					ree Moi March			20	013		
					2014							2014	
(Millions of Dollars)	Elect	ric	G	as	Total	$\mathbf{E}$	lectric	G	as	T	otal	Vari	ation
Operating revenues	\$ 1	163	\$	93	\$ 256	\$	145	\$	82	\$	227	\$	29
Purchased power		69			69		50				50		19
Gas purchased for resale				40	40				28		28		12
Net revenues		94		53	147		95		54		149		(2)
Operations and maintenance		61		17	78		53		17		70		8
Depreciation and amortization		10		4	14		10		4		14		
Taxes, other than income taxes		11		5	16		12		6		18		(2)
Operating income Electric	\$	12	\$	27	\$ 39	\$	20	\$	27	\$	47	\$	(8)

O&R s results of electric operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period is as follows:

	Three Months Ended				
	March 31,	March 31,			
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Variation		
Operating revenues	\$ 163	\$ 145	\$ 18		
Purchased power	69	50	19		
Net revenues	94	95	(1)		
Operations and maintenance	61	53	8		
Depreciation and amortization	10	10			
Taxes, other than income taxes	11	12	(1)		
Electric operating income	\$ 12	\$ 20	\$ (8)		

O&R s electric sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period were:

			Revenues in Millions						
	Three Mo	nths Ended	<b>Three Months Ended</b>						
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent	March 31,	Mai	rch 31,		Percent
Description	2014	2013	Variation	Variation	2014	2	2013	Variation	Variation
Residential/Religious(a)	376	368	8	2.2%	\$ 74	\$	65	\$ 9	13.8%
Commercial/Industrial	213	208	5	2.4	37		30	7	23.3
Retail access customers	784	733	51	7.0	45		41	4	9.8
Public authorities	25	26	(1)	(3.8)	5		3	2	66.7
Other operating revenues					2		6	(4)	(66.7)
Total	1.398	1.335	63	4.7%	\$ 163	\$	145	\$ 18	12.4%

(a) Residential/Religious generally includes single-family dwellings, individual apartments in multi-family dwellings, religious organizations and certain other not-for-profit organizations.

50

O&R s electric operating revenues increased \$18 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to higher purchased power costs (\$19 million). O&R s New York electric delivery revenues are subject to a revenue decoupling mechanism, as a result of which delivery revenues are generally not affected by changes in delivery volumes from levels assumed when rates were approved.

O&R s electric sales in New Jersey and Pennsylvania are not subject to a decoupling mechanism, and as a result, changes in such volumes do impact revenues. Other electric operating revenues generally reflect changes in regulatory assets and liabilities in accordance with the company s electric rate plan.

Electric delivery volumes in O&R s service area increased 4.7 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. After adjusting for weather and other variations, electric delivery volumes in O&R s service area increased 0.8 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period.

Electric operating income decreased \$8 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. The decrease reflects primarily higher operations and maintenance expenses (\$8 million, reflecting primarily certain regulatory credits in the 2013 period (\$3 million)).

#### Gas

O&R s results of gas operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period is as follows:

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,	March 31,		
(Millions of Dollars)	2014	2013	Variation	
Operating revenues	\$93	\$82	\$11	
Gas purchased for resale	40	28	12	
Net revenues	53	54	(1)	
Operations and maintenance	17	17		
Depreciation and amortization	4	4		
Taxes, other than income taxes	5	6	(1)	
Gas operating income	\$27	\$27	\$	

O&R s gas sales and deliveries, excluding off-system sales, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period were:

	Thousands of dths Delivered				Revenues in Millions					
					Three	Months				
	Three Mo	nths Ended			E	nded				
	March 31,	March 31,		Percent	March 31,	March 31,		Percent		
Description	2014	2013	Variation	Variation	2014	2013	Variation	Variation		
Residential	4,029	3,448	581	16.9%	\$ 49	\$ 42	\$ 7	16.7%		
General	910	745	165	22.1	10	8	2	25.0		
Firm transportation	6,176	5,425	751	13.8	33	33				
Total firm sales and transportation	11,115	9,618	1,497	15.6	92	83	9	10.8		
Interruptible sales	1,286	1,124	162	14.4	1	1				
Generation plants	2,457	239	2,218	Large						
Other	458	422	36	8.5						
Other gas revenues						(2)	2	Large		
Total	15,316	11,403	3,913	34.3%	\$ 93	\$ 82	\$11	13.4%		

51

O&R s gas operating revenues increased \$11 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to an increase in gas purchased for resale costs in 2013 (\$12 million), offset by the gas rate plan.

Sales and transportation volumes for firm customers increased 15.6 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period. After adjusting for weather and other variations, total firm sales and transportation volumes increased 1.3 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period.

Gas operating income was the same in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period.

#### **Income Tax Expense**

Income taxes increased \$4 million in three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to changes in estimates of accumulated deferred income taxes in the 2013 period.

#### **Competitive Energy Businesses**

The competitive energy businesses results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period is as follows:

Three Months Ended					
(Millions of Dollars)	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013	Variation		
Operating revenues	\$ 329	\$ 152	\$ 177		
Purchased power	277	202	75		
Gas purchased for resale	14	3	11		
Net revenues	38	(53)	91		
Operations and maintenance	23	20	3		
Depreciation and amortization	7	4	3		
Taxes, other than income taxes	6	5	1		
Operating income	<b>\$ 2</b>	\$ (82)	\$ 84		

The competitive energy businesses operating revenues increased \$177 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period, due primarily to the impact of the LILO transactions (\$121 million, see Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements) and higher electric retail revenues. Electric retail revenues increased \$46 million due to higher unit prices. Wholesale revenues increased \$8 million and energy services revenues increased \$2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period.

Purchased power costs increased \$75 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period, due primarily to higher unit prices (\$63 million) and changes in mark-to-market values (\$25 million), offset by lower volumes (\$12 million).

Operating income increased \$84 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to the impact of the LILO transactions (\$121 million), offset by net mark-to-market effects (\$25 million), lower gross margins (\$9 million) and increased depreciation (\$3 million).

## **Net Interest Expense**

Net interest expense decreased \$139 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the 2013 period due primarily to the impact of the LILO transactions in 2013. See Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements.

52

# **Income Tax Expense**

Income taxes increased \$105 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared with the 2013 period due primarily to the impact of the LILO transactions in 2013 (see Note I to the First Quarter Financial Statements), and a tax benefit in 2013 resulting from the acceptance by the IRS of the company s claim for manufacturing tax deductions (see Note J to the First Quarter Financial Statements).

# Other

For Con Edison, Other also includes inter-company eliminations relating to operating revenues and operating expenses.

53

## Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

For information about the Companies primary market risks associated with activities in derivative financial instruments, other financial instruments and derivative commodity instruments, see Financial and Commodity Market Risks, in Part I, Item 2 of this report, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **Item 4: Controls and Procedures**

The Companies maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that they submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to the issuer s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. For each of the Companies, its management, with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report and, based on such evaluation, has concluded that the controls and procedures are effective to provide such reasonable assurance. Reasonable assurance is not absolute assurance, however, and there can be no assurance that any design of controls or procedures would be effective under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

There was no change in the Companies internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Companies most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Companies internal control over financial reporting.

54

# **Part II Other Information**

# **Item 1: Legal Proceedings**

For information about certain legal proceedings affecting the Companies, see Notes B, G and H to the financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

## **Item 1A: Risk Factors**

There were no material changes in the Companies risk factors compared to those disclosed in Item 1A of the Form 10-K.

55

# Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

				Maximum Number
			Total	(or
				`
			Number	Appropriate
			of	Dollar
			Shares	Value) of
			(or	Shares (or
		Average	Units)	Units) that
		Price	Purchased	May Yet
	Total	Paid	as Part of	Be
	Number of	per	Publicly	Purchased
	Shares (or	Share	Announced	Under the
	Units)	(or	Plans or	Plans or
Period	Purchased*	Unit)	Programs	Programs
January 1, 2014 to January 31, 2014	108,160	\$53.78		
February 1, 2014 to February 28, 2014	81,536	54.13		
March 1, 2014 to March 31, 2014	83,955	54.94		
Total	273,651	\$54.24		

<sup>\*</sup> Represents Con Edison common shares purchased in open-market transactions. The number of shares purchased approximated the number of treasury shares used for the company s employee stock plans.

56

# **Item 6: Exhibits**

# CON EDISON

Exhibit 10.1	Form of Performance Unit Award for Certain Specified Officers under the Consolidated Edison, Inc. Long Term Incentive Plan.
Exhibit 12.1	Statement of computation of Con Edison s ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, and the 12-month period ended December 31, 2013.
Exhibit 31.1.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications Chief Executive Officer.
Exhibit 31.1.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications Chief Financial Officer.
Exhibit 32.1.1	Section 1350 Certifications Chief Executive Officer.
Exhibit 32.1.2	Section 1350 Certifications Chief Financial Officer.
Exhibit 101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
Exhibit 101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
Exhibit 101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
Exhibit 101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
Exhibit 101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
Exhibit 101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

Table of Contents 77

57

# **CECONY**

Exhibit 3.2	By-laws of CECONY, effective May 19, 2014.
Exhibit 4.2	Form of CECONY s 4.45% Debentures, Series 2014 A (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to CECONY s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 3, 2014 File No. 1-1217).
Exhibit 12.2	Statement of computation of CECONY s ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, and the 12-month period ended December 31, 2013.
Exhibit 31.2.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications Chief Executive Officer.
Exhibit 31.2.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications Chief Financial Officer.
Exhibit 32.2.1	Section 1350 Certifications Chief Executive Officer.
Exhibit 32.2.2	Section 1350 Certifications Chief Financial Officer.
Exhibit 101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
Exhibit 101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
Exhibit 101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
Exhibit 101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
Exhibit 101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
Exhibit 101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Con Edison s subsidiaries other than CECONY, the total amount of which does not exceed ten percent of the total assets of Con Edison and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, are not filed as exhibits to Con Edison s Form 10-K or Form 10-Q. Con Edison agrees to furnish to the SEC upon request a copy of any such instrument.

58

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CONSOLIDATED EDISON, INC. CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

DATE: May 8, 2014

By /s/ Robert Hoglund
Robert Hoglund

Senior Vice President, Chief

**Financial Officer and Duly** 

**Authorized Officer** 

59