

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC

Form 10-Q

May 08, 2015

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2015

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 001-35839

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE (State or other jurisdiction of	2834 (Primary Standard Industrial	04-3205099 (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Classification Code Number) 500 Arsenal Street	Identification Number)

Watertown, Massachusetts 02472

(617) 607-0800

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files): Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of April 30, 2015, was 18,692,026 shares.

Table of Contents

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

FORM 10-Q Quarterly Report

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	5
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	6
<u>Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	27
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	27
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	29
Item 2. <u>Use of Proceeds</u>	53
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	54
<u>Signatures</u>	55
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	56

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(unaudited)****(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2014
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 25,751	\$ 30,699
Short-term marketable securities	139,721	60,065
Accounts receivable	7,081	1,724
Unbilled receivables	1,550	2,770
Deferred tax assets	1,451	11,123
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,230	1,594
Total current assets	177,784	107,975
Property and equipment, net	2,295	1,803
Long-term marketable securities	61,330	41,003
Deferred tax assets	3,867	4,198
Restricted cash	608	436
Total assets	\$ 245,884	\$ 155,415
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,343	\$ 1,874
Accrued expenses	2,910	2,872
Income taxes payable	14,537	
Total current liabilities	19,790	4,746
Warrant liability	1,442	1,584
Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock	185	202
Other long-term liabilities	252	229
Total liabilities	21,669	6,761

Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014; 18,899,211 and 18,803,390 shares issued, and 18,690,395 and 18,594,574 shares outstanding, at March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively	189	188
Additional paid-in capital	226,295	221,580
Treasury stock, at par value; 208,816 shares at March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively	(2)	(2)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(17)	(100)
Accumulated deficit	(2,250)	(73,012)
Total stockholders' equity	224,215	148,654
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 245,884	\$ 155,415

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Six Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenue	\$ 57,367	\$ 2,160	\$ 134,865	\$ 3,053
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	5,368	4,722	9,887	8,985
General and administrative	3,438	2,565	6,207	4,652
Total operating expenses	8,806	7,287	16,094	13,637
Income (loss) from operations	48,561	(5,127)	118,771	(10,584)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	229	114	356	223
Interest expense	(2)	(4)	(4)	(9)
Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock	(17)	(186)	159	(203)
Total other income (expense), net	210	(76)	511	11
Net income (loss) before income taxes	48,771	(5,203)	119,282	(10,573)
Income tax expense	(20,018)		(48,520)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 28,753	\$ (5,203)	\$ 70,762	\$ (10,573)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 1.54	\$ (0.28)	\$ 3.80	\$ (0.58)
Diluted	\$ 1.49	\$ (0.28)	\$ 3.67	\$ (0.58)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	18,679,898	18,353,628	18,641,060	18,149,330
Diluted	19,268,565	18,353,628	19,275,969	18,149,330

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****(unaudited)****(in thousands)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Six Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	\$ 28,753	\$ (5,203)	\$ 70,762	\$ (10,573)
Other comprehensive income:				
Net unrealized gains on marketable securities, net of tax of \$55, \$0, \$58 and \$0	78	29	83	63
Total other comprehensive income	78	29	83	63
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 28,831	\$ (5,174)	\$ 70,845	\$ (10,510)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income (loss)	\$ 70,762	\$ (10,573)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	270	154
Non-cash interest expense	4	9
Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock	(159)	203
Stock-based compensation expense	2,457	1,111
Gain on sale of marketable securities	(1)	
Premium on marketable securities	(1,621)	(473)
Amortization of premium on marketable securities	1,032	1,202
Deferred income taxes	11,864	
Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(1,919)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(5,357)	(190)
Unbilled receivables	1,220	(820)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	42	(293)
Accounts payable	488	316
Accrued expenses	(391)	(718)
Income taxes payable	14,537	
Deferred revenue		41
Other long-term liabilities	23	30
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	93,251	(10,001)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(356)	(165)
Increase in restricted cash	(172)	
Purchases of marketable securities	(135,832)	(24,572)
Sales of marketable securities	2,210	7,413
Maturities of marketable securities	33,692	35,921
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(100,458)	18,597
Cash flows from financing activities		

Edgar Filing: ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from exercise of stock options	340	646
Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options	1,919	
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,259	646
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(4,948)	9,242
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	30,699	8,859
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 25,751	\$ 18,101

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 22,066	\$
----------------------------	-----------	----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these interim consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

1. Nature of the Business and Basis of Presentation

Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the Company), incorporated in Delaware in 1995, is a research and development-focused biotechnology company that uses its chemistry-driven approach and drug discovery capabilities to create small molecule drugs for the treatment of viral infections and liver diseases. The Company has programs to develop novel protease, NS5A, cyclophilin and nucleotide polymerase inhibitors targeted against the hepatitis C virus (HCV) and also recently announced a new focus area in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Additionally, the Company has programs to discover new chemical entities for the treatment of other diseases.

The Company is subject to risks common to companies in the biotechnology industry including, but not limited to, the uncertainties of research and development, competition from technological innovations of others, dependence on collaborative arrangements, protection of proprietary technology, dependence on key personnel, compliance with government regulations and the need for financial resources to fund research and development activities. Product candidates currently under development will require significant additional research and development efforts, including extensive preclinical and clinical testing and regulatory approval, prior to commercialization. These efforts require significant amounts of additional capital, adequate personnel infrastructure and extensive compliance reporting capabilities.

Unaudited Interim Financial Information

The consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2014 was derived from the audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2015 and for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 have been prepared by the Company, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim financial statements. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements and the notes thereto for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for that fiscal year.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2015 and results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 and cash flows for the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 have been made. The results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for subsequent quarters or the year ending September 30, 2015.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP. All dollar amounts in the consolidated financial statements and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, except share and per share amounts, are in thousands unless otherwise indicated.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions reflected in these consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, valuation of warrants, Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock and stock-based awards; the useful lives of property and equipment; and the accounting for income taxes, including uncertain tax positions. Estimates are periodically reviewed in light of changes in circumstances, facts and experience. Actual results could differ from the Company's estimates.

Table of Contents**Revenue Recognition**

The Company's revenue has been generated primarily through collaborative research and license agreements. The terms of these agreements contain multiple deliverables, which may include (i) licenses, (ii) research and development activities, and (iii) participation in joint research and development steering committees. The terms of these agreements may include nonrefundable upfront license fees, payments for research and development activities, payments based upon the achievement of certain milestones, and royalty payments based on product sales derived from the collaboration. In all instances, revenue is recognized only when the price is fixed or determinable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or the services have been rendered, collectibility of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured, and the Company has fulfilled its performance obligations under the contract.

For agreements entered into prior to October 1, 2011, the Company evaluated license agreements with multiple deliverables to determine if the deliverable elements could be recognized separately by considering (i) if the delivered elements (typically the license) had standalone value to the customer, (ii) if the fair value of any undelivered elements (typically the research and development services and the steering committee activities) could be determined based on vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or vendor objective evidence (VOE), and (iii) if the arrangement included a general right of return relative to the delivered item, the delivery or performance of the undelivered item was considered probable and substantially within the control of the Company. VSOE of fair value was based on the consistent price of a deliverable when the Company regularly sold it on a standalone basis. Alternatively, VOE was based upon third-party objective evidence of fair value. If the delivered elements had value on a standalone basis and the fair value of the undelivered elements could be determined based on VSOE or VOE, revenues of such elements were then accounted for separately as delivered with arrangement consideration allocated to the delivered elements based on the residual value method. If either (i) the delivered elements were considered to not have standalone value or (ii) VSOE or VOE of fair value for any of the undelivered elements could not be determined, the arrangement was accounted for as a single unit of accounting and all payments received were recognized as revenue over the estimated period of performance of the entire arrangement.

On October 1, 2011, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* (ASU 2009-13). This guidance, which applies to multiple-element arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after October 1, 2011, amends the criteria for separating and allocating consideration in a multiple-element arrangement by modifying the fair value requirements for revenue recognition and eliminating the use of the residual value method. The selling prices of deliverables under the arrangement may be derived using third-party evidence (TPE) or a best estimate of selling price (BESP), if VSOE is not available. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the element within the license agreement was sold on a standalone basis. Establishing BESP involves management's judgment and considers multiple factors, including market conditions and company-specific factors including those factors contemplated in negotiating the agreements as well as internally developed models that include assumptions related to market opportunity, discounted cash flows, estimated development costs, probability of success, and the time needed to commercialize a product candidate pursuant to the license. In validating the Company's BESP, the Company considers whether changes in key assumptions used to determine the BESP will have a significant effect on the allocation of the arrangement consideration between the multiple deliverables. Deliverables under the arrangement are separate units of accounting if (i) the delivered item has value to the customer on a standalone basis, and (ii) if the arrangement includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered item is considered probable and substantially within the control of the Company. The arrangement consideration that is fixed or determinable at the inception of the arrangement is allocated to the separate units of accounting based on their relative selling prices. The appropriate revenue recognition model is applied to each element, and revenue is accordingly recognized as each element is delivered. The Company may exercise significant judgment in determining whether a deliverable is a separate unit of accounting. The Company elected to adopt ASU 2009-13 prospectively as of October 1, 2011.

In determining the separate units of accounting, the Company evaluates whether the license has standalone value to the collaborator based on consideration of the relevant facts and circumstances for each arrangement. Factors considered in this determination include the research and development capabilities of the collaborator and the availability of relevant research expertise in the marketplace. In addition, the Company considers whether or not (i) the collaborator can use the license for its intended purpose without the receipt of the remaining deliverables, (ii) the value of the license is dependent on the undelivered items, and (iii) the collaborator or other vendors can provide the undelivered items.

Under a collaborative research and license agreement, a steering committee is typically responsible for overseeing the general working relationships, determining the protocols to be followed in the research and development performed, and evaluating the results from the continued development of the product in order to determine the clinical studies to be performed. The Company evaluates whether its participation in joint research and development steering committees is a substantive obligation or whether the services are considered inconsequential or perfunctory. The Company's participation on a steering committee is considered participatory and therefore accounted for as a separate element when the collaborator requires the participation of the Company to ensure all elements of an

Table of Contents

arrangement are maximized. Steering committee services that are considered participatory are combined with other research services or performance obligations required under an arrangement, if any, in determining the level of effort required in an arrangement and the period over which the Company expects to complete its aggregate performance obligations. Alternatively, the Company's participation on a steering committee is considered protective and therefore not accounted for as a separate element in a case where the Company can exercise or control when to be involved at its own discretion. Factors the Company considers in determining if its participation in a joint steering committee is participating or protective include: (i) which party negotiated or requested the steering committee, (ii) how frequently the steering committee meets, (iii) whether or not there are any penalties or other recourse if the Company does not attend the steering committee meetings, (iv) which party has decision making authority on the steering committee, and (v) whether or not the collaborator has the requisite experience and expertise associated with the research and development of the licensed intellectual property.

For all periods presented, whenever the Company determines that an element is delivered over a period of time, revenue is recognized using either a proportional performance model or a straight-line model over the period of performance, which is typically the research and development term. Full-time equivalents (FTEs) are typically used as the measure of performance. At each reporting period, the Company reassesses its cumulative measure of performance and makes appropriate adjustments, if necessary. The Company recognizes revenue using the proportional performance model whenever the Company can make reasonably reliable estimates of the level of effort required to complete its performance obligations under an arrangement. Revenue recognized under the proportional performance model at each reporting period is determined by multiplying the total expected payments under the contract (excluding royalties and payments contingent upon achievement of milestones) by the ratio of the level of effort incurred to date to the estimated total level of effort required to complete the performance obligations under the arrangement. Revenue is limited to the lesser of the cumulative amount of payments received or the cumulative amount of revenue earned, as determined using the proportional performance model as of each reporting period. Alternatively, if the Company cannot make reasonably reliable estimates of the level of effort required to complete its performance obligations under an arrangement, then revenue under the arrangement is recognized on a straight-line basis over the period expected to complete the Company's performance obligations. If and when a contingent milestone payment is earned, the additional consideration to be received is allocated to the separate units of accounting in the arrangement based on their relative selling prices at the inception of the arrangement. Revenue is limited to the lesser of the cumulative amount of payments received or the cumulative amount of revenue earned, as determined on a straight-line basis as of the period end date. If the Company cannot reasonably estimate when its performance obligation period ends, then revenue is deferred until the Company can reasonably estimate when the performance obligation period ends.

Royalty revenue is recognized based on contractual terms when reported sales are reliably measurable and collectibility is reasonably assured, provided that there are no performance obligations then remaining.

During the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company also generated revenue from a government contract, under which the Company is reimbursed for certain allowable costs for the funded project. Revenue from the government contract is recognized when the related service is performed. The related costs incurred by the Company under the government contract are included in research and development expenses in the statements of operations.

Amounts received prior to satisfying all revenue recognition criteria are recorded as deferred revenue in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Amounts not expected to be recognized as revenue within the next twelve months of the consolidated balance sheet date are classified as long-term deferred revenue.

In the event that a collaborative research and license agreement is terminated and the Company then has no further performance obligations, the Company recognizes as revenue any amounts that had not previously been recorded as

revenue but were classified as deferred revenue at the date of such termination.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (Topic 606), which supersedes all existing revenue recognition requirements, including most industry-specific guidance. The new standard requires a company to recognize revenue when it transfers goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration that the company expects to receive for those goods or services. The new standard will be effective for the Company on October 1, 2017; however there is a pending proposal to delay the effective period by one year. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that Topic 606 may have on its financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents**3. Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities**

The following tables present information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were subject to fair value measurement on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014 and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation inputs utilized to determine such fair value:

	Fair Value Measurements as of March 31, 2015 Using:			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Money market fund	\$ 22,364	\$	\$	\$ 22,364
Commercial paper		12,484		12,484
Corporate bonds		156,003		156,003
U.S. Agency bonds		32,564		32,564
	\$ 22,364	\$ 201,051	\$	\$ 223,415
Liabilities:				
Warrant liability	\$	\$	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,442
Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock			185	185
	\$	\$	\$ 1,627	\$ 1,627

	Fair Value Measurements as of September 30, 2014 Using:			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Money market fund	\$ 30,239	\$	\$	\$ 30,239
Commercial paper		7,499		7,499
Corporate bonds		88,056		88,056
U.S. Agency bonds		5,513		5,513
	\$ 30,239	\$ 101,068	\$	\$ 131,307
Liabilities:				
Warrant liability	\$	\$	\$ 1,584	\$ 1,584
Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock			202	202
	\$	\$	\$ 1,786	\$ 1,786

During the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3.

As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the warrant liability was comprised of the values of warrants for the purchase of Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock measured at fair value. The outstanding Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock was also measured at fair value. The fair value of both of these instruments was based on significant inputs not observable in the market, which represented a Level 3 measurement within the fair value hierarchy. The Company utilized a probability-weighted valuation model which takes into consideration various outcomes that may

require the Company to transfer assets upon exercise. The fair value of warrants to purchase our Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock was \$1,442 and \$1,584, at March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively. The fair value of Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock was \$185 and \$202 as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively. Changes in the fair value of the warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations.

The recurring Level 3 fair value measurements of the Company's warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock using probability-weighted discounted cash flow include the following significant unobservable inputs:

	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
Warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock	Probabilities of payout	10% - 55%
	Periods in which payout is expected to occur	2016 - 2017
	Discount rate	4.25%

Table of Contents

The following table provides a rollforward of the aggregate fair values of the Company's warrants for the purchase of Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock and the outstanding Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock for which fair value is determined by Level 3 inputs:

	Warrant liability	Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$ 1,584	\$ 202
Decrease in fair value	(142)	(17)
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$ 1,442	\$ 185

4. Marketable Securities

As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the fair value of available-for-sale marketable securities by type of security was as follows:

	March 31, 2015			
	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Commercial paper	\$ 12,484	\$	\$	\$ 12,484
Corporate bonds	155,984	98	(79)	156,003
U.S. Agency bonds	32,542	22		32,564
	\$ 201,010	\$ 120	\$ (79)	\$ 201,051

	September 30, 2014			
	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Commercial paper	\$ 7,499	\$	\$	\$ 7,499
Corporate bonds	88,156	14	(114)	88,056
U.S. Agency bonds	5,513			5,513
	\$ 101,168	\$ 14	\$ (114)	\$ 101,068

As of March 31, 2015, marketable securities consisted of investments that mature within one year, with the exception of certain corporate bonds, which have maturities within two years and an aggregate fair value of \$61,330.

As of September 30, 2014, marketable securities consisted of investments that mature within one year, with the exception of certain corporate bonds and U.S. Agency bonds, which have maturities within three years and an aggregate fair value of \$41,003.

5. Accrued Expenses and Other Long-Term Liabilities

Accrued expenses (current) and other long-term liabilities consisted of the following as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014:

	March 31, 2015	September 30, 2014
Accrued expenses:		
Accrued payroll and related expenses	\$ 683	\$ 1,275
Accrued preclinical and clinical expenses	517	493
Accrued vendor manufacturing expenses	563	116
Accrued third-party license fees	196	240
Accrued professional fees	328	436
Accrued other	623	312
	\$ 2,910	\$ 2,872
Other long-term liabilities:		
Accrued rent expense	\$ 161	\$ 153
Asset retirement obligation	91	76
	\$ 252	\$ 229

Table of Contents**6. Collaboration Agreements****AbbVie Collaboration**

On November 27, 2006, the Company entered into a Collaborative Development and License Agreement (the AbbVie Agreement) with Abbott Laboratories to identify, develop and commercialize HCV NS3 and NS3/4A protease inhibitor compounds, including paritaprevir (previously known as ABT-450). The agreement, which was amended in January and December 2009, was assigned by Abbott to AbbVie Inc. on January 1, 2013 in connection with Abbott's transfer of its research-based pharmaceuticals business to AbbVie. The agreement was subsequently amended further in October 2014 and March 2015.

Under the terms of the AbbVie Agreement, as amended, AbbVie paid to the Company upfront license payments and FTE reimbursements to fund research activities. The Company is also eligible to receive milestone payments for the successful development by AbbVie of one or more HCV compounds as well as annually tiered royalties per product ranging from the low double digits up to twenty percent, or on a blended basis from the low double digits up to the high teens, on net sales by AbbVie allocated to the collaboration's protease inhibitors. Under the terms of the agreement, as amended in October 2014, 30% of net sales of a 3-DAA regimen containing paritaprevir will be allocated to paritaprevir, and 45% of net sales of a 2-DAA regimen containing paritaprevir will be allocated to paritaprevir. For ABT-493, 50% of net sales of a 2-DAA regimen containing ABT-493 will be allocated to ABT-493, and 33 1/3% of net sales of a 3-DAA regimen containing ABT-493 will be allocated to ABT-493. If there is any active ingredient other than DAA's in any ABT-493-containing regimen sold by AbbVie, there will be a further adjustment to net sales based on the relative value of the non-DAA ingredient.

Deliverables under the AbbVie Agreement included a license, research services and participation on a steering committee. The Company concluded that all deliverables under the AbbVie Agreement should be treated as a single unit of accounting. Accordingly, revenue was recognized using the proportional performance model over the period during which the Company performed research services. The Company completed all remaining service obligations under the agreement as of June 2011. All milestone payments received after June 2011 are recognized as revenue when the respective milestone is achieved by AbbVie.

Through September 30, 2014, the Company had received upfront license payments, proceeds from a sale of preferred stock, research funding payment, and milestone payments totaling \$160,000 from AbbVie.

In December 2014, the Company earned and recognized as revenue a \$75,000 milestone amount due from AbbVie as a result of U.S. regulatory approval by the FDA for AbbVie's first treatment regimen containing a collaboration compound. In January 2015, the Company earned and recognized as revenue a \$50,000 milestone payment from AbbVie upon commercialization regulatory approval of VIEKIRAX in Europe.

As a result, during the three and six months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recognized milestone revenue of \$50,000 and \$125,000, respectively. The Company's first product, paritaprevir, was a part of AbbVie's new treatment regimen for HCV approved by the FDA on December 19, 2014. During the three and six months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recognized royalty revenue of \$6,961 and \$8,353, respectively.

As of March 31, 2015, the Company was eligible to receive additional milestone payments totaling up to \$30,000 upon AbbVie's achievement of commercialization regulatory approval of a paritaprevir-containing regimen in Japan. The Company is also eligible to receive additional milestone payments totaling up to \$80,000 upon AbbVie's achievement of similar commercialization regulatory approval milestones in the U.S. and other selected world markets for each additional protease inhibitor commercialized by AbbVie.

Novartis Collaboration

On February 16, 2012, the Company entered into a license and collaboration agreement with Novartis (the Novartis Agreement) for the development, manufacture and commercialization of its lead development candidate, EDP-239, from its NS5A HCV inhibitor program.

On September 30, 2014 the Company entered into an amendment to its 2012 collaboration and license agreement with Novartis to return to the Company full rights to its NS5A inhibitor program, including EDP-239, and to transition the proof-of-concept study to the Company. The Company owes no future payments to Novartis in connection with this transfer except for any unused drug product or ingredients that the Company may choose to buy from Novartis.

NIAID Contract

On September 30, 2011, the Company entered into a contract with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), a division of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), which contract provided for up to \$42,700 in potential

Table of Contents

development funding to the Company over a five-year period. Under this contract NIAID has funded the preclinical and clinical development of a bridged bicyclic antibiotic to be used as a medical countermeasure against multiple biodefense Category A and B bacteria.

Funding originally paid or committed by NIAID has totaled approximately \$23,500. In December 2014, the company communicated to NIAID its strategic decision not to continue commercial development of the antibiotic candidate for non-biodefense indications. In February 2015, NIAID and the Company amended the contract to decrease the total committed funding to \$21,000 and its completion date to August 2015 upon the Company's delivery of the study report for the Phase 1 clinical study.

The Company recognizes revenue under this agreement as development services are performed in accordance with the funding agreement. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, \$406 and \$2,160 of revenue, respectively, was recognized under this agreement. During the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized revenue of \$1,512 and \$3,053, respectively.

7. Warrants to Purchase Series 1 Nonconvertible Preferred Stock and Series 1 Nonconvertible Preferred Stock

In October and November 2010, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to a total of 1,999,989 shares of Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock, which expire on October 4, 2017. As these warrants are free-standing financial instruments that may require the Company to transfer assets upon exercise, these warrants are classified as liabilities. The Company is required to remeasure the fair value of these preferred stock warrants at each reporting date, with any adjustments recorded within the change in fair value of warrant liability included in other income (expense) in the consolidated statement of operations. On February 5, 2014, 225,408 warrants were exercised resulting in the net issuance of 223,153 shares of Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock. As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the total fair value of the Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock was \$185 and \$202, respectively. As of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, the total fair value of the Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock warrants was \$1,442 and \$1,584, respectively.

8. Stock-Based Awards 2012 Equity Incentive Plan

The Company's 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan") permits the Company to sell or issue common stock or restricted common stock or to grant incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options for the purchase of common stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights or other cash incentive awards, to employees, members of the board of directors and consultants of the Company. The number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2012 Plan is subject to increase by the number of shares forfeited under any options terminated and not exercised under the 2012 Plan or the previous plan, known as the 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, as well as by a number of additional shares automatically on the first day of each fiscal year equal to the lowest amount among the following: (i) 3% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock as of that date, (ii) 2,088,167 shares of common stock, or (iii) a lower amount determined by the board of directors. On October 1, 2014, the number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2012 Plan was increased by 557,863. As of March 31, 2015, 529,176 shares remained available for future grant.

Stock Option Valuation

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. To date the Company lacks sufficient company-specific historical volatility information. Therefore, it estimates its expected stock volatility based on the historical volatility of a selected group of publicly traded peer companies and expects to continue to do so until such time as it has adequate historical data regarding the volatility of its own traded stock price. The expected term of the Company's stock options has been determined utilizing the simplified method for awards that qualify as plain-vanilla options. The risk-free interest rate is determined by reference to the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant of the award for time periods approximately equal to the expected term of the award. Expected dividend yield is based on the fact that the Company has never paid cash dividends and does not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The relevant data used to determine the value of the stock option grants is as follows, presented on a weighted average basis:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Six Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Risk-free interest rate	1.65%	1.93%	1.85%	1.90%
Expected term (in years)	5.73	6.07	6.01	6.09
Expected volatility	72%	77%	75%	74%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table of Contents

The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of awards that are expected to vest. In developing a forfeiture rate estimate, the Company has considered its historical experience to estimate pre-vesting forfeitures for service-based awards. The impact of a forfeiture rate adjustment will be recognized in full in the period of adjustment, and if the actual forfeiture rate is materially different from the Company's estimate, the Company may be required to record adjustments to stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

As required by the 2012 Plan, the exercise price for awards granted is not to be less than the fair value of common shares as estimated by the Company as of the date of grant. For the periods prior to the March 2013 IPO, the Company valued its common stock by taking into consideration its most recently available valuation of common shares performed by management and the board of directors as well as additional factors which may have changed since the date of the most recent contemporaneous valuation through the date of grant. For the periods after the IPO, the Company based fair value of its common stock on the quoted market price.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the six months ended March 31, 2015:

	Shares Issuable Under Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding as of September 30, 2014	1,389,437	\$ 15.39	7.2	\$ 33,573
Granted	408,455	42.24		
Exercised	(95,821)	3.54		
Expired	(8,625)	20.73		
Outstanding as of March 31, 2015	1,693,446	\$ 22.51	7.5	\$ 19,109
Options vested and expected to vest as of March 31, 2015	1,507,790	\$ 23.26	7.5	\$ 11,787
Options exercisable as of March 31, 2015	729,603	\$ 11.04	5.6	\$ 14,658

In March 2013, the Company granted certain executives a total of 167,052 options that vest upon the achievement of certain performance-based targets. The grant date fair value of these options was \$2,479. During the three and six months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recorded no compensation expense related to these options as none of the remaining performance-based targets became probable of being achieved during these periods. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, one performance-based target was achieved and the Company recorded compensation expense of \$206 related to that target.

Market and Performance-based Stock Awards

In February 2015, the Company awarded certain executive officers a total of 41,800 share units consisting of 20,900 performance share units, or PSUs, and 20,900 relative total shareholder return units, or rTSRUs. The number of units represents the target number of shares of common stock that may be earned; however, the actual number of shares that may be earned ranges from 0% to 200% of the target number.

The PSUs will vest and result in issuance, or settlement, of common shares, based upon continued employment and achievement of specified research and development milestones on or before December 16, 2016. The aggregate grant date fair value of the 20,900 PSUs ranges between \$0 and \$1,501. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recorded no compensation expense related to the PSU awards as none of the performance-based targets was probable of being achieved during this period.

The rTSRUs will vest and result in the issuance of common stock based on continuing employment and the relative ranking of the total shareholder return, or TSR, of the Company's common stock in relation to the TSR of the component companies in the NASDAQ Biotech Index over a two-year period based on a comparison of average closing stock prices in December 2014 and December 2016. The number of market-based rTSRUs awarded represents the target number of shares of common stock that may be earned; however, the actual number of shares that may be earned ranges from 0% to 200% of the target number.

Table of Contents

The Company used a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate that the grant-date fair value of the rTSRUs was \$554. The table below sets forth the assumptions used to value the awards and the estimated grant-date fair value:

Risk-free interest rate	0.61%
Dividend yield	0%
Expected volatility	55.66%
Remaining performance period (years)	1.86
Estimated fair value per share of rTSRUs granted	\$ 26.51

The fair value related to the rTSRUs will be recorded as compensation expense over the period from date of grant to December 2016 regardless of whether the target relative total shareholder returns are reached.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 in the following expense categories:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Research and development	\$ 396	\$ 228	\$ 637	\$ 366
General and administrative	1,034	524	1,820	745
	\$ 1,430	\$ 752	\$ 2,457	\$ 1,111

As of March 31, 2015, the Company had an aggregate of \$18,488 of unrecognized stock-based compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.1 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP), a total of 185,614 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance. As of March 31, 2015, the Company has not commenced any offering under the ESPP and no shares have been issued.

9. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders was calculated as follows for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Basic net income (loss) per share:				
Numerator:				

Edgar Filing: ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss)	\$ 28,753	\$ (5,203)	\$ 70,762	\$ (10,573)
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	18,679,898	18,353,628	18,641,060	18,149,330
Net income (loss) per share basic	\$ 1.54	\$ (0.28)	\$ 3.80	\$ (0.58)
Diluted net income (loss) per share:				
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$ 28,753	\$ (5,203)	\$ 70,762	\$ (10,573)
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	18,679,898	18,353,628	18,641,060	18,149,330
Dilutive effect of common stock equivalents	588,667		634,909	
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	19,268,565	18,353,628	19,275,969	18,149,330
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 1.49	\$ (0.28)	\$ 3.67	\$ (0.58)

Table of Contents

Stock options for the purchase of 696,445 and 1,505,947 weighted average shares were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, respectively, because those options had an anti-dilutive impact due to either the net loss attributable to common stockholders incurred for the period or to the assumed proceeds per share using the treasury stock method being greater than the average fair value of the Company's common shares for those periods.

Stock options for the purchase of 489,123 and 1,583,885 weighted average shares were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share for the six months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, respectively, because those options had an anti-dilutive impact due to either the net loss attributable to common stockholders incurred for the period or to the assumed proceeds per share using the treasury stock method being greater than the average fair value of the Company's common shares for those periods.

10. Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, the Company recorded an income tax provisions of \$20,018 and \$0, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 41.0% and 0.0%, respectively. The income tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was attributable to the federal and state taxes provided on the earnings of the Company's operations, all of which are domestic. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the gross deferred tax assets decreased by \$434 primarily as a result of the utilization of net operating losses due to income before income taxes generated during the period. No provision for income tax was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2014, as the Company maintained a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance was released during the three months ended June 30, 2014.

For the six months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$48,520 and \$0, respectively. During the six months ended March 31, 2015, the gross deferred tax assets decreased by \$10,002 primarily as a result of the utilization of net operating losses due to income before income taxes generated during the period. No provision for income tax was recorded for the six months ended March 31, 2014, as the Company maintained a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets.

The Company files tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by federal and state jurisdictions, where applicable. There are currently no pending income tax examinations. The Company's tax years are still open under statute from 2007 to the present. Earlier years may be examined to the extent that tax credit or net operating loss carryforwards are used in future periods.

The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2015 or September 30, 2014. Unrecognized tax benefits represent tax positions for which reserves have been established. The Company's policy is to record interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of its income tax provision.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Leases

In March 2015 the Company amended its lease of office and laboratory space to expand the rented space and extend the lease term beginning in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2015. As amended, the lease expires in September 2022. Payment escalation as specified in the lease agreement is accrued such that rent expense is recognized on a

straight-line basis over the term of occupancy. The Company recorded rent expense of \$243 and \$237 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$480 and \$474 for the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

Future minimum lease payments for operating leases as of March 31, 2015 are as follows:

Year ending September 30,	
2015	\$ 637
2016	1,958
2017	2,010
2018	2,062
2019	2,117
Thereafter	6,493
Total	\$ 15,277

In connection with the lease, the Company has outstanding a \$608 and \$436 letter of credit, collateralized by a money market account, as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014, respectively. The Company classified such amounts as restricted cash.

Intellectual Property Licenses

The Company has a non-exclusive intellectual property license agreement with a third party, under which the Company is required to pay \$200 in fiscal 2015 and (1) annual maintenance fees of \$105 for each year that the agreement remains in effect, commencing on the first anniversary of the agreement, in order to maintain the right to use the license, and (2) a one-time fee of \$50 in each circumstance in which the Company provides the licensed intellectual property to one of its collaborators with the prior consent of the licensor.

The Company also has a non-exclusive license with respect to patents it uses in its HCV research. Under the license, the Company is obligated to pay milestones totaling up to \$5,000, plus low single digit royalties, for the development and regulatory approval of each HCV product outside of the Company's collaboration with AbbVie and any other collaboration it may enter into in the future with a partner that has already licensed these patents.

Litigation and Contingencies Related to Use of Intellectual Property

From time to time, the Company may become subject to legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company currently is not a party to any threatened or pending litigation. However, third parties might allege that the Company or its collaborators are infringing their patent rights or that the Company is otherwise violating their intellectual property rights. Such third parties may resort to litigation against the Company or its collaborators, which the Company has agreed to indemnify. With respect to some of these patents, the Company expects that it will be required to obtain licenses and could be required to pay license fees or royalties, or both. These licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. A costly license, or inability to obtain a necessary license, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. The Company accrues contingent liabilities when it is probable that future expenditures will be made and such expenditures can be reasonably estimated.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for our fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for that fiscal year. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. These statements are often identified by the use of words such as may, will, expect, believe, anticipate, intend, could, should, estimate, or continue, and similar expressions or variations. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results and the timing of certain events to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the section titled Risk Factors, set forth in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q represent our views as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We anticipate that subsequent events and developments will cause our views to change. However, while we may elect to update these forward-looking statements at some point in the future, we have no current intention of doing so except to the extent required by applicable law. You should, therefore, not rely on these forward-looking statements as representing our views as of any date subsequent to the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are a research and development-focused biotechnology company that uses its robust chemistry-driven approach and drug discovery capabilities to create small molecule drugs for the treatment of viral infections and liver diseases. We are discovering and developing inhibitors designed for use against the hepatitis C virus, referred to as HCV. We believe that a successful approach to a complete cure for HCV in most patients will likely continue to require treatment with a combination of drugs that attack different mechanisms necessary for replication and survival of HCV. Our development of inhibitors for validated HCV target mechanisms, as well as our collaboration with AbbVie (which name refers to Abbott Laboratories for all periods before January 1, 2013), should allow us to participate in multiple drug combinations as we seek competitive combination therapies for HCV in its various forms, either through collaborations or on our own. The reported worldwide sales of the newest therapies for HCV (sofosbuvir, sofosbuvir/ledipasvir, simeprevir, daclatasvir/asunaprevir, ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir/dasabuvir) in 2014 totaled approximately \$15 billion. We believe that annual worldwide sales of these therapies and future approved therapies could increase during the next few years. In addition to our HCV programs, we are using our internal research capabilities to advance several early stage programs to discover new chemical entities for the treatment of other diseases with significant unmet medical need, including our recently announced new focus area in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, or NASH. We have utilized our internal chemistry and drug discovery capabilities and our collaborations to generate all of our development-stage programs, and we have active research efforts to broaden our drug pipeline.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our product development pipeline in HCV antivirals:

Our HCV portfolio includes inhibitors of four fundamental, validated HCV targets. Our first product, paritaprevir, previously known as ABT-450, is a protease inhibitor that was discovered through our collaboration with AbbVie. During the six months ended March 31, 2015, we earned and recognized as revenue milestone payments from AbbVie totaling \$125.0 million as a result of regulatory approvals in the U.S. and E.U. for the first regimens containing paritaprevir. Additional regulatory reviews for paritaprevir-containing regimens are ongoing, including priority review in the U.S. of an NDA filed for the treatment of genotype 4 HCV patients, which has been designated a breakthrough therapy, as well priority review in Japan for a ribavirin-free regimen for genotype 1 HCV patients in that country. To date AbbVie has funded all research and development of our protease inhibitors since we entered into the collaboration in November 2006, and is responsible for obtaining regulatory approvals and commercializing paritaprevir, ABT-493 and any other follow-on products worldwide.

We discovered our lead NS5A product candidate, EDP-239, internally at Enanta and entered into a collaboration agreement with Novartis in February 2012. Under the agreement we received a total of \$48.2 million in upfront fees, research funding and a milestone payment from Novartis. As a result of a corporate decision that HCV would no longer be a strategic focus for Novartis, on September 30, 2014, we entered into an agreement with Novartis to return to us full rights to this program, including transitioning the proof-of-concept study to us. We owe no future payments to Novartis in connection with this transfer except for any unused drug product or ingredients that we may choose to buy from Novartis.

Our independent HCV research activities are focused on our newly returned NS5A inhibitor assets and our cyclophilin inhibitor program, which is in preclinical development. We also have a small-molecule drug discovery effort underway for nucleotide polymerase inhibitors. We are currently funding all research and development for our NS5A inhibitor, cyclophilin inhibitor and nucleotide polymerase inhibitor programs. We expect to incur substantially greater expenses if we advance these programs into clinical development.

Table of Contents

In addition to our HCV programs, we used our internal research capabilities to discover a new class of antibiotics called Bicyclolides, which we were developing for the treatment of multi-drug resistant bacteria. For the periods included in this report this program has been funded under a September 2011 contract with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, or NIAID, a division of the National Institutes of Health, an agency of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, to develop our lead bicyclolide for biodefense purposes. In December 2014 we communicated to NIAID our strategic decision to discontinue commercial development of this antibiotic candidate for non-biodefense indications. In February 2015, we and NIAID amended our contract to change its completion date to August 2015 upon our delivery of the study report for our Phase 1 study. Accordingly we do not expect to earn any significant additional revenue under this contract.

Since commencing our operations in 1995, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to the discovery and development of novel inhibitors for the treatment of infectious diseases. For the periods included in this report we have funded our operations primarily through payments received under our collaborations and a government contract, as well as net proceeds of approximately \$59.9 million that we received from our March 2013 IPO, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions.

As of March 31, 2015, we had \$226.8 million in cash and short-term and long-term marketable securities.

Our revenue from our collaboration agreements has resulted in our reporting net income in each of our past five fiscal years and in the six months ended March 31, 2015. We expect that our revenue in the near term will continue to be substantially dependent on our collaboration with AbbVie, including its commercialization of paritaprevir and its continued advancement of the related development programs for paritaprevir and ABT-493. Given the schedule of potential milestone payments and the uncertainties due to the nature and timing of clinical development and regulatory approval and market acceptance of AbbVie's regimen, we cannot be certain as to the extent of royalty payments related to paritaprevir or when or whether we will receive further milestone payments under this collaboration or whether we will report continuing net income in future years.

Financial Operations Overview***Revenue***

For the periods included in this report, our revenue has been derived from two primary sources: collaboration agreements with pharmaceutical companies and one government research and development contract. For the six months ended March 31, 2015, we generated royalty revenue from AbbVie's net sales allocable to paritaprevir, which was part of AbbVie's new treatment for HCV launched in the United States after its approval by the FDA on December 19, 2014. We have entered into three significant collaboration agreements since 2006 when we entered into a collaboration agreement with AbbVie. Our second collaboration was with Novartis, from February 2012 through September 2014, and since September 2011, we have had a contract with NIAID, which has funded the preclinical and early clinical development of our bicyclolide antibiotic product candidate since that time.

The following table is a summary of revenue recognized from our collaboration agreements and government contract for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
March 31,		March 31,	
2015	2014	2015	2014

(in thousands)

AbbVie agreement:				
Milestone payments	\$ 50,000	\$	\$ 125,000	\$
Royalties	6,961		8,353	
NIAID contract	406	2,160	1,512	3,053
Total revenue	\$ 57,367	\$ 2,160	\$ 134,865	\$ 3,053

AbbVie Agreement

Since all of our research obligations under the AbbVie agreement were concluded by June 30, 2011, each milestone payment received since then, has been recognized as revenue upon achievement of the milestone by AbbVie. During the year ended September 30, 2013, we earned and recognized as revenue a \$15.0 million milestone payment based on AbbVie's initiation of dosing in a Phase 3 clinical trial that included paritaprevir. During the year ended September 30, 2014, we earned and recognized as revenue a total of \$40.0 million in milestone payments as a result of regulatory filings by AbbVie with the FDA and the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, for the first protease inhibitor product resulting from our collaboration with AbbVie. During the six months ended March 31,

Table of Contents

2015, we earned and recognized as revenue a \$75.0 million milestone payment related to AbbVie's FDA approval of a combination containing paritaprevir. We also earned and recognized as revenue a \$50.0 million milestone payment from AbbVie upon commercialization regulatory approval of a similar regimen in Europe. Under the terms of the AbbVie agreement, we are eligible to receive additional future milestone payments totaling up to \$30 million related to the successful commercialization regulatory approval by AbbVie in Japan of the first HCV treatment regimen incorporating one of our collaboration's protease inhibitors. We are also eligible to receive annually tiered, double-digit royalties per product on AbbVie's net sales, if any, allocable to any one of our collaboration's protease inhibitors. Under the terms of our agreement, as amended in October 2014, 30% of net sales of a 3-DAA regimen containing paritaprevir will be allocated to paritaprevir, and 45% of net sales of a 2-DAA regimen containing paritaprevir will be allocated to paritaprevir. For ABT-493, 50% of net sales of a 2-DAA regimen containing ABT-493 will be allocated to ABT-493, and 33 1/3% of net sales of a 3-DAA regimen containing ABT-493 will be allocated to ABT-493. If there is any active ingredient other than DAA's in any ABT-493-containing regimen sold by AbbVie, there will be a further adjustment to net sales based on the relative value of the non-DAA ingredient.

NIAID Contract

Funding originally paid or committed by NIAID has totaled approximately \$23.5 million. In December 2014, we communicated to NIAID our strategic decision not to continue commercial development of the antibiotic candidate for non-biodefense indications. In February 2015 we and NIAID amended our contract to decrease the total committed funding to \$21.0 million and to change the completion date to August 2015 upon our delivery of the study report for the Phase 1 clinical study.

We recognize revenue under this contract as research and development services are performed. We recognized revenue of \$0.4 million and \$2.2 million under this contract during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$1.5 million and \$3.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Operating Expenses

The following table summarizes our operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Six Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(in thousands)			
Research and development	\$ 5,368	\$ 4,722	\$ 9,887	\$ 8,985
General and administrative	3,438	2,565	6,207	4,652
Total operating expenses	\$ 8,806	\$ 7,287	\$ 16,094	\$ 13,637

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist of costs incurred to conduct basic research, such as the discovery and development of novel small molecules as therapeutics. We expense all costs of research and development as incurred. These expenses consist primarily of:

personnel costs, including salaries, related benefits and stock-based compensation for employees engaged in scientific research and development functions;

third-party contract costs relating to research, formulation, manufacturing, preclinical study and clinical trial activities;

third-party license fees;

laboratory consumables; and

allocated facility-related costs.

Project-specific expenses reflect costs directly attributable to our clinical development candidates and preclinical candidates nominated and selected for further development. Remaining research and development expenses are reflected in research and drug discovery, which represents early-stage drug discovery programs. At any given time, we typically have several active early stage research and drug discovery projects. Our internal resources, employees and infrastructure are not directly tied to any individual research or drug discovery project and are typically deployed across multiple projects. As such, we do not maintain information regarding costs incurred for our early-stage research and drug discovery programs on a project-specific basis.

Table of Contents

We expect that our research and development expenses will increase in the future as we advance our research and development efforts in HCV, NASH and other areas.

Our research and drug discovery programs are at an early stage; therefore, the successful development of our product candidates is highly uncertain and may not result in approved products. Completion dates and completion costs can vary significantly for each product candidate and are difficult to predict. Given the uncertainty associated with clinical trial enrollments and the risks inherent in the development process, we are unable to determine the duration and completion costs of the current or future clinical trials of our product candidates or if, or to what extent, we will generate revenue from the commercialization and sale of any of our product candidates. We anticipate that we will make determinations as to which development programs to pursue and how much funding to direct to each program on an ongoing basis in response to the preclinical and clinical success of each product candidate, as well as ongoing assessments of the commercial potential of each product candidate.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, which include salaries, related benefits and stock-based compensation, of our executive, finance, business and corporate development and other administrative functions. General and administrative expenses also include travel expenses, allocated facility-related costs not otherwise included in research and development expenses, and professional fees for auditing, tax and legal services, including legal expenses to pursue patent protection of our intellectual property.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest income. Interest income consists of interest earned on our marketable securities balances.

Interest expense. Interest expense consists of non-cash interest expense which is being accreted to the value of accrued third-party license fees over the term of the obligation.

Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock. We have issued warrants for the purchase of our Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock and we have issued Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock, both of which we believe are financial instruments that may require a transfer of assets because of the liquidation preference features of the underlying stock. Therefore, we have classified these warrants and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock as liabilities that we remeasure to fair value at each reporting period and we record the changes in the fair value of the warrants and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock as a component of other income (expense).

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense is based on our best estimate of applicable rates applied to pre-tax profit reported during the period.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenue, costs and expenses, and related disclosures. We believe that the estimates and assumptions involved in the accounting policies described below may have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements and, therefore, consider these to be our critical accounting policies. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. Our actual results

may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions. See also Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 (referred to as our 2014 Form 10-K) for information about these accounting policies as well as a description of our other significant accounting policies. We believe that of our significant accounting policies, the following accounting policies involve the most judgment and complexity:

Revenue recognition;

Income taxes;

Stock-based compensation; and

Fair value of warrants

Table of Contents

Accordingly, we believe the policies set forth above are critical to fully understanding and evaluating our financial condition and results of operations. If actual results or events differ materially from the estimates, judgments and assumptions used by us in applying these policies, our reported financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected.

There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies since September 30, 2014. For further information, please see the discussion of critical accounting policies included in our Form 10-K.

Results of Operations***Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014***

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
Revenue	\$ 57,367	\$ 2,160
Research and development expenses	5,368	4,722
General and administrative expenses	3,438	2,565
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	229	114
Interest expense	(2)	(4)
Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 preferred stock	(17)	(186)

Revenue.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
AbbVie agreement:		
Milestone payments	\$ 50,000	\$
Royalties	6,961	
NIAID contract	406	2,160
Total revenue	\$ 57,367	\$ 2,160

We recognized revenue of \$57.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$2.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, revenue consisted of a \$50.0 million milestone payment from AbbVie upon commercialization regulatory approval of paritaprevir-containing regimens in Europe, \$7.0 million in royalties on the portion of AbbVie's net sales of its HCV treatment regimen allocable to paritaprevir, and \$0.4 million under our contract with NIAID. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 we did not earn or recognize any revenue related to AbbVie collaboration. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, revenue related to our performance of services under our contract with NIAID was

\$2.1 million. The decrease is due to the nearing completion of the contract in August 2015.

Research and development expenses.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
Development programs:		
Antibiotic	\$ 299	\$ 1,586
Research and drug discovery	5,069	3,136
Total research and development expenses	\$ 5,368	\$ 4,722

Table of Contents

Research and development expenses were \$5.4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$4.7 million for the same period in 2014. The \$0.7 million increase was due primarily to an increase in expenses related to our early stage drug discovery programs of \$2.0 million partially offset by a decrease in our NIAID program of \$1.3 million. We incurred increased research expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2015 as compared to the 2014 quarter in our early stage drug discovery programs due to an increase in internal spending related to these programs.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$0.8 million from \$2.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2014 to \$3.4 million for the same period in 2015. The increase was primarily due to increased stock-based compensation expense related to additional employee stock options and a higher value of our common stock, as well as additional expense to support our expanding operations.

Other income (expense). Changes in components of other income (expense) were as follows:

Interest income. The increase in interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, was due to higher average investment balances in the second fiscal quarter of 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily due to the receipt of \$75.0 million and \$50.0 million of milestone payments from AbbVie in the second fiscal quarter of 2015.

Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we recorded an immaterial expense due to an increase in the fair value of our warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock as a result of the remeasurement of our warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock.

Income tax expense. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, we recorded an income tax provision of \$20.0 million and \$0, respectively, representing an effective tax rate of 41.0% and 0.0%, respectively. The income tax provision for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily attributable to the tax provision on the earnings of our domestic operations. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the gross deferred tax assets decreased by \$434 primarily as a result of the utilization of net operating losses due to income before income taxes generated during the period. No provision for income tax was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2014, as we maintained a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance was released during the three months ended June 30, 2014.

Comparison of Six Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014

	Six Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
Revenue	\$ 134,865	\$ 3,053
Research and development expenses	9,887	8,985
General and administrative expenses	6,207	4,652
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	356	223
Interest expense	(4)	(9)
Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 preferred stock	159	(203)

Revenue.

	Six Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
AbbVie agreement:		
Milestone payments	\$ 125,000	\$
Royalties	8,353	
NIAID contract	1,512	3,053
Total revenue	\$ 134,865	\$ 3,053

Table of Contents

We recognized revenue of \$134.9 million during the six months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$3.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2014. During the six months ended March 31, 2015, we earned and recognized as revenue \$125.0 million in milestone payments under our collaboration with AbbVie as a result of U.S. and EU regulatory approvals for AbbVie's paritaprevir-containing regimens. We did not earn or recognize any revenue related to the AbbVie collaboration during the same period in 2014. Government contract revenue was \$1.5 million and \$3.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, under our contract with NIAID.

Research and development expenses.

	Six Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
Development programs:		
Antibiotic	\$ 1,134	\$ 2,374
Research and drug discovery	8,753	6,611
Total research and development expenses	\$ 9,887	\$ 8,985

Research and development expenses were \$9.9 million in the six months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$9.0 million for the same period in 2014. The increase of \$0.9 million from 2014 to 2015 was due primarily to a \$2.1 million increase in preclinical expenses for our early stage drug discovery programs partially offset by a decrease of \$1.2 million in our expenses for our NIAID program. We incurred increased research expenses in our early stage drug discovery programs due to an increase in internal spending related to these programs.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses were \$6.2 million during the six months ended March 31, 2015 and \$4.7 million during the six months ended March 31, 2014. The increase of \$1.5 million during the six months ended March 31, 2015 was related primarily to an increase in stock-based compensation expense related to amortization of additional stock option grants to employees and a higher Black-Scholes value of these options included in the later period value of our common stock as well as to an increase in insurance expense as well as additional expense to support our expanding operations.

Other income (expense). Changes in components of other income (expense) were as follows:

Interest income. The increase in interest income for the six months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the six months ended March 31, 2014, was due to higher average investment balances in the second fiscal quarter of 2015 as compared to 2014 primarily due to the receipt of \$125.0 million in milestone payments from AbbVie in the second fiscal quarter of 2015.

Change in fair value of warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock. We account for our outstanding warrants for our Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock and our Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock as liabilities held at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded as a component of other income (expense). During the six months ended March 31, 2014, we recorded income due to a decrease in the fair value of our warrant liability during the six months ended March 31, 2014 due to the remeasurement of the fair value of warrants for Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock. During the six months ended March 31, 2015 we recorded an expense of \$0.2 million due to an increase in the fair value of our warrant liability and Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock. In February

2014, 225,408 warrants were exercised resulting in net issuance of 223,153 shares of Series 1 nonconvertible preferred stock.

Income tax expense. For the six months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, we recorded income tax provisions of \$48.5 million and \$0, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 41.0% and 0.0%, respectively. The income tax provision for the six months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily attributable to the tax provision on the earnings of our operations, all of which are domestic. During the six months ended March 31, 2015, the gross deferred tax assets decreased by \$10,002 primarily as a result of the utilization of net operating losses due to income before income taxes generated during the period. No provision for income tax was recorded for the six months ended March 31, 2014, as we maintained a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance was released during the three months ended June 30, 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2015, our principal sources of liquidity were cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term marketable securities totaling \$226.8 million.

Table of Contents

During the six months ended March 31, 2015, we generated \$93.3 million in cash from our operating activities. The following table shows a summary of our cash flows for the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Six Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands)	
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 93,251	\$ (10,001)
Investing activities	\$ (100,458)	\$ 18,597
Financing activities	\$ 2,259	\$ 646

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities

During the six months ended March 31, 2015, operating activities provided \$93.3 million of cash. Cash provided by operating activities primarily resulted from our net income of \$70.8 million and net non-cash charges of \$11.9 million, partially offset by the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$10.4 million. Our net income in the period was primarily due to normal operating expenses and revenue consisting of \$125.0 million in milestone payments received and \$8.4 million in royalties from AbbVie and \$1.5 million of reimbursement under our NIAID contract. Our net non-cash charges in the period primarily consisted of change in deferred tax assets of \$11.9 million, \$2.5 million of stock-based compensation expense and \$1.0 million related to amortization of the premium on our marketable securities, which were partially offset by \$1.9 million income tax benefit from exercise of stock options and premium on marketable securities of \$1.6 million. The \$4.1 million increase in accounts receivable and unbilled receivables was due to timing of our billings under the NIAID contract and royalty payments from AbbVie. The \$14.5 million increase in accrued taxes payable is a result of the current tax provision on pretax income during the period offset by the use of deferred tax assets. The \$0.5 million increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses was primarily due to the timing of payments made by us to vendors.

During the six months ended March 31, 2014, operating activities used \$10.0 million of cash primarily due to our net loss of \$10.6 million and net uses of cash of \$1.6 million from changes in our operating assets and liabilities. Our net loss in the period was primarily due to normal operating activities. Net uses of cash from changes in our operating assets and liabilities during the six months ended March 31, 2014 consisted primarily of a \$1.0 million increase in accounts receivable and unbilled receivables as well as a \$0.3 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets offset by stock-based compensation of \$1.1 million and amortization of premium on marketable securities of \$1.2 million. The increase in accounts receivable and unbilled receivables was due to the timing of our billings under the NIAID contract.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities

During the six months ended March 31, 2015, net cash used in investing activities was \$100.5 million. Net cash used in investing activities during the period consisted primarily of \$135.8 million used to purchase marketable securities offset by cash received from sales of marketable securities of \$2.2 million and from maturities of marketable securities of \$33.7 million.

During the six months ended March 31, 2014, net cash provided by investing activities was \$18.6 million. Net cash provided by investing activities during the period consisted primarily of \$35.9 million and \$7.4 million of cash provided by maturities and sales, respectively, of marketable securities, partially offset by \$24.6 million of cash used

to purchase marketable securities.

Net cash provided by financing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended March 31, 2015 was \$2.3 million and consisted of income tax benefit from exercise of stock options of \$1.9 million and proceeds from exercise of stock options of \$0.3 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended March 31, 2014 consisted of proceeds received from the exercise of stock options.

Funding requirements

As of March 31, 2015, we had \$226.8 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term marketable securities. We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of March 31, 2015, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for the foreseeable future. However, our forecast of the period of time through which our financial resources will be adequate to support our operations is a forward-looking statement that involves risks and uncertainties, and actual results could vary materially.

Our future capital requirements are difficult to forecast and will depend on many factors, including:

the number and characteristics of the future product candidates we pursue;

the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing any of our future product candidates on our own, and conducting preclinical research and clinical trials;

Table of Contents

whether we in-license or otherwise acquire additional assets for development;

whether our existing collaboration generates significant royalties and potential milestone payments to us;

the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for any future product candidates we develop independently;

the cost of commercialization activities, if any, of any future product candidates we develop independently that are approved for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs;

the cost of manufacturing our future product candidates and any products we successfully commercialize independently;

our ability to maintain existing collaborations and to establish new collaborations, licensing or other arrangements and the financial terms of such agreements;

the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patents, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation; and

the timing, receipt and amount of sales of, or royalties on, paritaprevir, ABT-493 and our future product candidates, if any.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not engage in any off-balance sheet financing activities. We do not have any interest in entities referred to as variable interest entities, which include special purpose entities and other structured finance entities.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

In our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2014, Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations, under the heading "Contractual Obligations and Commitments", we have described our commitments and contingencies. There were no material changes in our commitments and contingencies during the six months ended March 31, 2015 except for those related to our amended lease agreement as disclosed in Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Future minimum lease payments for operating leases as of March 31, 2015 are as follows:

Year ending September 30,

2015	\$ 637
2016	1,958
2017	2,010
2018	2,062
2019	2,117
Thereafter	6,493
Total	\$ 15,277

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (Topic 606), which supersedes all existing revenue recognition requirements, including most industry-specific guidance. The new standard requires a company to recognize revenue when it transfers goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration that the company expects to receive for those goods or services. The new standard will be effective for us on October 1, 2017; however, there is a pending proposal to delay the effective period by one year. We are currently evaluating the potential impact that Topic 606 may have on our financial position and results of operations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We had cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term marketable securities of \$226.8 million at March 31, 2015, which consisted of cash, money market funds, commercial paper, corporate bonds and government securities. Interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates; however, due to the nature of these investments, we do not believe that we have any material exposure to changes in the fair value of our investment portfolio as a result of changes in interest rates. We had no debt outstanding as of March 31, 2015.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

a) Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Our management, with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective and designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the requisite time periods.

Table of Contents

b) *Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.*

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) identified in connection with the evaluation of our internal control performed during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS
RISK FACTORS

Our business faces significant risks and uncertainties. Certain factors may have a material adverse effect on our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations, and you should carefully consider them. Accordingly, in evaluating our business, we encourage you to consider the following discussion of risk factors, in its entirety, in addition to other information contained in or incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our other public filings with the SEC. Other events that we do not currently anticipate or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our financial prospects for the next several years are substantially dependent upon the development and commercialization efforts of AbbVie for combination therapies incorporating paritaprevir (formerly ABT-450) or ABT-493 for the treatment of HCV. AbbVie may act in its best interest rather than in our best interest, which could adversely affect our business.

We rely on AbbVie to fund and conduct the clinical development and commercialization of paritaprevir, ABT-493 (a next-generation protease inhibitor in clinical development) and any other protease inhibitors we develop, over which AbbVie has complete control. Our ability to generate significant revenue in the near term will depend primarily on the successful development, regulatory approval, marketing and commercialization by AbbVie of combination therapies incorporating paritaprevir or ABT-493. Such success is subject to significant uncertainty, and we have no control over the resources, time and effort that AbbVie may devote to paritaprevir or ABT-493. Any of several events or factors could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate revenue from AbbVie's potential commercialization of paritaprevir or ABT-493 in combination therapies. For example, AbbVie:

may not achieve satisfactory levels of market acceptance and reimbursement by physicians, patients and third-party payors for combination therapies incorporating one of our protease inhibitor product candidates;

may not compete successfully with any such combination therapies against alternative products and therapies for HCV;

may have to comply with additional requests and recommendations from the FDA, including additional clinical trials for paritaprevir or ABT-493;

may not make all regulatory filings and obtain all necessary approvals from the FDA and similar foreign regulatory agencies and all necessary reimbursement approvals;

may be unable to successfully complete the clinical development of an ABT-493-containing regimen;

may not commit sufficient resources to the development or regulatory approval of ABT-493 or to the marketing and distribution of a regimen containing paritaprevir or ABT-493, whether for competitive or strategic reasons or otherwise due to a change in business priorities;

may cease to perform its obligations under the terms of our collaboration agreement;

may unilaterally terminate our collaboration agreement on specified prior notice without any reason and without any further commitment to continue development of any of our product candidates;

may not be able to manufacture our product candidates in compliance with requirements of the FDA and similar foreign regulatory agencies and in commercial quantities sufficient to meet market demand; and

may independently develop products that compete with our product candidate in the treatment of HCV. We do not have access to all information regarding the products being developed and potentially commercialized by AbbVie, including information about clinical trial design and execution, safety reports from clinical trials, spontaneous safety reports for any marketed product, regulatory affairs, process development, manufacturing, marketing, sales and other areas known by AbbVie. Thus, our ability to keep our stockholders informed about the status of products and product candidates under our collaboration will be limited by the degree to which AbbVie keeps us informed. If AbbVie does not perform in the manner we expect or fulfill its responsibilities in a timely manner, or at all, the clinical development, regulatory approval and commercialization efforts related to paritaprevir or ABT-493 could be delayed or terminated or be commercially unsuccessful. In addition, AbbVie has the right to make decisions regarding the development and commercialization of product candidates without consulting us, and may make decisions with which we do not agree. Conflicts between us and AbbVie may arise if there is a dispute about the progress of the clinical development of a product candidate, the achievement and payment of a milestone amount, the relative values allocated to the pharmaceutically active ingredients, or the ownership of intellectual property developed during the course of our collaboration agreement. If AbbVie acts in a manner that is not in our best interest, then it could adversely affect our business and prospects.

Table of Contents

We and AbbVie face substantial competition in the markets for HCV drugs, and there are many companies developing potential therapies for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), as well as other liver and viral diseases, which may result in others discovering, developing or commercializing products before ours or doing so more successfully than we or our collaborators.

The pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries are intensely competitive and rapidly changing. Many large pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations are commercializing or pursuing the development of products that target HCV, NASH and other infectious diseases or liver diseases that we may target in the future.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater commercial infrastructure and greater financial, technical and personnel resources than we have, as well as drug candidates in late-stage clinical development.

Our competitors may succeed in developing competing products and obtaining regulatory approval before we or our collaborator does with our product candidates, or they may gain acceptance for the same markets that we are targeting. If we are not first to market with one of our product candidates in one or more disease indications, our competitive position could be compromised because it may be more difficult for us to obtain marketing approval for that product candidate and successfully market that product candidate as a second competitor. In addition, any new product that competes with an approved product must demonstrate compelling advantages in efficacy, convenience, tolerability and safety in order to overcome price competition and to be commercially successful.

We expect our current and future product candidates to face intense and increasing competition as new products enter the HCV and antiviral markets and advanced technologies become available, particularly in the case of HCV in combinations with existing products and other new products. First generation protease inhibitors, Incivek (telaprevir) of Vertex and Victrelis (boceprevir) of Merck, were approved in 2011 by the FDA for the treatment of HCV in combination with interferon and ribavirin, which in combination were the previous standard of care. However, by mid-2014 Vertex informed health care providers that it would discontinue the sale and distribution of Incivek in the U.S. by October 16, 2014, noting competing treatments and diminishing market demand. Merck made a similar announcement regarding Victrelis in January 2015. A third protease inhibitor, simeprevir (Olysio) from Janssen Therapeutics was approved by the FDA in November 2013 for use in genotype 1 HCV patients only when used in combination with pegylated interferon and ribavirin. The evolving competitive landscape in HCV intensified in December 2013, when the FDA approved sofosbuvir (Sovaldi), a nucleotide analogue inhibitor of the HCV NS5B polymerase enzyme from Gilead for patients with genotype 2 or 3 HCV and no requirement for interferon (also approved for patients with genotypes 1 or 4 when combined with pegylated interferon and ribavirin). On July 9, 2014, Bristol-Myers Squibb gained approval in Japan for the NS5A/protease inhibitor combination daclatasvir/asunaprevir. In October 2014 the FDA approved Gilead's interferon-free Harvoni, a combination of sofosbuvir and ledipasvir (a NS5A inhibitor) for patients with genotype 1 HCV. Also in November 2014 the FDA approved an interferon-free combination therapy of simeprevir and sofosbuvir for genotype 1 HCV patients. In December 2014, our paritaprevir-containing regimen from AbbVie was approved by the FDA as well. Other all-oral treatment regimens are under development and may obtain regulatory approvals in other settings for the treatment of HCV. These other potential new treatment regimens may render our HCV product candidates noncompetitive. In particular, regimens containing our HCV product candidates may not be able to compete successfully with other products and regimens in development involving multiple classes of inhibitors of HCV, including protease inhibitors, polymerase inhibitors (nucleoside and non-nucleoside), NS5A inhibitors, cyclophilin inhibitors and others, under development by companies such as Achillion, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Johnson & Johnson, Medivir, Merck, Presidio, Regulus and Roche and Theravance Biopharma, as well as by our collaborator AbbVie.

Competitive products in the form of other treatment methods or a vaccine for HCV may render our product candidates licensed to others, as well as any future product candidates we may develop ourselves, obsolete or noncompetitive. Even if successfully developed and subsequently approved by the FDA, our product candidates will face competition based on their safety and effectiveness, the timing and scope of the regulatory approvals, the availability and cost of supply, marketing and sales capabilities, reimbursement coverage, price, patent position and other factors. If the product candidates developed under our collaboration agreement with AbbVie face competition from generic products, the collaboration agreement provides that the royalty rate applicable to such product candidates is reduced significantly by a specified percentage on a product-by-product, country-by-country basis. If we and our collaborator are not able to compete effectively against our current and future competitors, our business will not grow and our financial condition, operations and stock price will suffer.

Though there is currently no approved treatment for NASH, we expect significant competition from other companies in the development of new treatments for NASH and related conditions. We are aware of several companies with programs that are significantly more advanced than ours, including companies with compounds in Phase 2 or later stage clinical trials for NASH or related conditions. These companies include Alberio, Conatus, Galectin, Galmed, Genfit, Gilead, GSK, Intercept, NGM, Raptor, Tobira and Shire. A significant number of other companies are conducting earlier clinical trials that may be applicable in NASH and other cholestatic diseases, including Boehringer Ingelheim, Cymabay, Durect, Islet, Medicnova, Nimbus, and Viking, and there are additional companies conducting preclinical studies in these disease areas.

Table of Contents

We have not developed independently any approved products and we have limited clinical development experience, which makes it difficult to assess our ability to develop and commercialize our future product candidates.

To date, AbbVie has been and will continue to be responsible for all of the clinical development of our paritaprevir and ABT-493 protease inhibitor product candidates. We have not yet demonstrated an ability to successfully address many of the risks and uncertainties associated with late stage clinical development, regulatory approval and commercialization of therapeutic products such as the ones we plan to develop independently. For example, to execute our business plan for development of our independent programs for any combinations of any of our NS5A inhibitors, cyclophilin inhibitors and nucleotide polymerase inhibitors for HCV, we will need to successfully:

execute clinical development of our future product candidates;

obtain required regulatory approvals for the development and commercialization of our future product candidates;

develop and maintain any future collaborations we may enter into for any of these programs;

build and maintain robust sales, distribution and marketing capabilities, either independently or in collaboration with future collaborators;

gain market acceptance for our future product candidates; and

manage our spending as costs and expenses increase due to clinical trials, regulatory approvals and commercialization.

If we are unsuccessful in accomplishing these objectives, we may not be able to successfully develop and commercialize our future product candidates and expand our business or continue our operations.

If we are not successful in discovering further product candidates in addition to paritaprevir, ABT-493 and EDP-239 our ability to expand our business and achieve our strategic objectives may be impaired.

Research programs designed to identify product candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources, whether or not any product candidates are ultimately identified. Our research programs may initially show promise in identifying additional potential product candidates, yet fail to yield product candidates for clinical development or commercialization for many reasons, including the following:

the research methodology used may not be successful in identifying additional potential product candidates;

competitors may develop alternatives that render our future product candidates obsolete;

competitors may obtain intellectual property protection that effectively prevents us from developing a potential product candidate;

a future product candidate may, on further study, be shown to have harmful side effects or other characteristics that indicate it is unlikely to be effective or otherwise does not meet applicable regulatory criteria; and

a future product candidate may not be capable of being produced in commercial quantities at an acceptable cost, or at all.

If we are unable to identify additional compounds suitable for preclinical and clinical development, we may not be able to obtain sufficient product revenue in future periods, which likely would result in significant harm to our financial position and adversely impact our stock price.

If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop our product candidates, conduct our clinical trials and commercialize our product candidates.

Our success depends in part on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified management, clinical and scientific personnel. We are highly dependent upon our senior management, particularly Jay R. Luly, Ph.D., our Chief Executive Officer and President, and Yat Sun Or, Ph.D., our Senior Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Scientific Officer, as well as other employees and consultants. Although none of these individuals has informed us to date that he intends to retire or resign in the near future, the loss of services of any of these individuals or one or more of our other members of senior management could delay or prevent the successful development of our future product candidates.

Table of Contents

Although we have not historically experienced unique difficulties attracting and retaining qualified employees, we could experience such problems in the future. For example, competition for qualified personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical fields is intense. In addition, we will need to hire additional personnel as we expand our clinical development and commercial activities. We may not be able to attract and retain quality personnel on acceptable terms.

We may encounter difficulties in managing our growth and expanding our operations successfully.

As we seek to advance our product candidates through clinical trials, we will need to expand our development, regulatory, manufacturing, marketing and sales capabilities or contract with third parties to provide these capabilities for us. As our operations expand, we expect that we will need to manage additional relationships with various strategic partners, suppliers and other third parties. Future growth will impose significant added responsibilities on members of management. Our future financial performance and our ability to commercialize our product candidates and to compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to manage any future growth effectively. To that end, we must be able to manage our development efforts and clinical trials effectively and hire, train and integrate additional management, administrative and sales and marketing personnel. We may not be able to accomplish these tasks, and our failure to accomplish any of them could prevent us from successfully growing our company.

To date, our principal sources of revenue have been our collaboration agreements, including our current agreement with AbbVie and our prior agreement with Novartis, and future milestone payments and the level of royalties under the AbbVie agreement are uncertain. We have had no products approved for commercial sale by us. Therefore, it is possible that we may incur operating losses in one or more years in the future, and our ability to achieve sustained profitability is unproven.

In each of our 2012, 2013 and 2014 fiscal years, our net income resulted primarily from license payments, including milestone payments we earned from AbbVie and/or Novartis. There is no assurance, however, that we will report net income in subsequent years. To date, we have not commercialized any products ourselves and we have not yet generated substantial revenue from product sales by AbbVie.

Our principal source of revenue has been our collaboration agreements, including our current agreement with AbbVie. Future milestone payments are uncertain because AbbVie may choose not to continue research or development activities for our ABT-493 product candidate. For example, under our previous collaboration with Novartis for the development of our NS5A inhibitor, Novartis decided in September 2014 not to pursue further development of the licensed product candidate in light of its decision that HCV was no longer a strategic focus of Novartis, which resulted in the NS5A inhibitor being transferred back to us and our collaboration being terminated. In addition, we may not achieve the specified milestones, our product candidates may not be approved by the FDA or other regulatory authorities or, if they are approved, may not be accepted in the market. If we are unable to develop and commercialize any more of our product candidates, either alone or with our collaborators, or if any such product candidate or paritaprevir does not achieve market acceptance, we may never generate sufficient product royalties or product sales. In addition, for any of our product candidates other than paritaprevir or ABT-493 included in a treatment regimen with more than one active compound, it would be uncertain what portion of net sales of the regimen would be allocated to our product candidate. The existence of multiple active compounds in the regimen or an unfavorable allocation to our product candidate could adversely affect our royalty revenue. Even if we do generate significant product royalties or product sales, we may not be able to sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to sustain profitability would depress the market price of our common stock and ultimately could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, diversify our product offerings or continue our operations. A decline in the market price of our common stock also could cause you to lose all or a part of your investment.

We may require substantial additional financing in the longer term to achieve our goals if the further commercialization of paritaprevir is delayed or curtailed or if the development of ABT-493 is terminated. A failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate some or all of our product development efforts.

Since our inception, most of our resources have been dedicated to the discovery and preclinical development of our product candidates. In particular, we have expended, and believe that we will continue to expend for the foreseeable future, substantial resources discovering and developing our proprietary product candidates. These expenditures will include costs associated with research and development, preclinical manufacturing of product candidates, conducting preclinical experiments and clinical trials and obtaining regulatory approvals, as well as commercializing any products later approved for sale. For the foreseeable future, we expect to incur substantial additional costs associated with research and development for our internally developed programs, exclusive of costs incurred by our collaborator in developing our licensed product candidates paritaprevir and ABT-493. In addition, we may seek opportunities to in-license or otherwise acquire new therapeutic candidates and therapies.

Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including:

the number and characteristics of the future product candidates we pursue;

Table of Contents

the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing any of our future product candidates on our own, including conducting preclinical research and clinical trials;

the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for any future product candidates we develop independently;

the cost of commercialization activities, if any, of any future product candidates we develop independently that are approved for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs;

the cost of manufacturing our future product candidates and any products we successfully commercialize independently, including manufacturing for clinical development;

the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patents, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation;

the level of future sales of paritaprevir-containing regimens and the resulting levels of annually tiered royalties on paritaprevir, as well as for sales, if any, of ABT-493 .

Additional funds may not be available if and when we need them, on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. Our ability to raise funds will depend on financial, economic and market conditions and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. If adequate funds are not available to us on a timely basis, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate preclinical studies, clinical trials or other research and development activities for one or more of our product candidates.

Our government funded contract for our antibiotic program, which is being concluded in fiscal 2015, is subject to audit and adjustments that could affect our previously reported revenues.

Our contract with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, or NIAID, a division of the National Institutes of Health, an agency of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, to support our antibiotic program, is scheduled to be completed in fiscal 2015. Our contract related costs and fees, including allocated indirect costs, are subject to audits and adjustments by negotiation between us and the U.S. government. As part of the audit process, the government audit agency verifies that all charges made by a contractor against a contract are legitimate and appropriate. Audits may result in recalculation of contract revenues and non-reimbursement of some contract costs and fees. Any audits of our contract related costs and fees could result in material adjustments to our reported revenue.

Risks Related to Development, Clinical Testing and Regulatory Approval of Our Product Candidates

Clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process with uncertain timelines and uncertain outcomes. If clinical trials are prolonged or delayed, we or our collaborators may be unable to commercialize our product candidates on a timely basis.

Clinical testing is expensive and, depending on the stage of development, can take a substantial time period to complete. Its outcome is inherently uncertain, and failure can occur at any time during clinical development. None of

our product candidates in our pipeline other than paritaprevir has yet advanced beyond Phase 2 clinical trials. Any future clinical trials of our other product candidates may fail to demonstrate sufficient safety and efficacy. Moreover, regulatory and administrative delays may adversely affect our or our collaborators' clinical development plans and jeopardize our or our collaborators' ability to attain product approval, commence product sales, compete successfully against other HCV therapies and generate revenues.

Clinical trials can be delayed for a variety of reasons, including delays related to:

reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective contract research organizations, or CROs, and clinical trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;

failure of third-party contractors, such as CROs, or investigators to comply with regulatory requirements;

delay or failure in obtaining the necessary approvals from regulators or institutional review boards, or IRBs, in order to commence a clinical trial at a prospective trial site, or their suspension or termination of a clinical trial once commenced;

difficulty in recruiting suitable patients to participate in a trial;

difficulty in having patients complete a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up;

clinical sites deviating from trial protocol or dropping out of a trial;

problems with drug product or drug substance storage and distribution;

adding new clinical trial sites;

Table of Contents

our inability to manufacture, or obtain from third parties, adequate supply of drug product sufficient to complete our preclinical studies and clinical trials;

governmental or regulatory delays and changes in regulatory requirements, policy and guidelines; or

varying interpretations of data by the FDA, the EMA and similar foreign regulatory agencies.

The results of any Phase 3 clinical trial may not be adequate to support marketing approval. These clinical trials are lengthy and usually involve from many hundreds to thousands of patients. In addition, if the FDA or the EMA disagrees with our or our collaborator's choice of the key testing criteria, or primary endpoint, or the results for the primary endpoint are not robust or significant relative to the control group of patients not receiving the experimental therapy or are subject to confounding factors, or are not adequately supported by other study endpoints, the FDA or the EMA, or both, may refuse to approve our or our collaborator's product candidate. The FDA or the EMA also may require additional clinical trials as a condition for approving any of these product candidates. We estimate that it will likely be our fiscal 2018 before an NDA for one of our or a collaborator's product candidates other than paritaprevir could be approved by the FDA or the EMA.

We could also encounter delays if a clinical trial is suspended or terminated by us, by the IRBs of the institutions in which such trial is being conducted, by any Data Safety Monitoring Board, or DSMB, for such trial, or by the FDA, the EMA or other regulatory authorities. Such authorities may impose such a suspension or termination due to a number of factors, including failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols, inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial site by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold, unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit from using a drug, changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial. In addition, delays can occur due to safety concerns arising from trials or other clinical data regarding another company's product candidate in the same compound class as one of ours. If we or our collaborators experience delays in the completion of, or termination of, any clinical trial of one of our product candidates, the commercial prospects of the product candidate will be harmed, and our or our collaborators' ability to commence product sales and generate product revenues from the product candidate will be delayed. In addition, any delays in completing our clinical trials will increase our costs and slow down our product candidate development and approval process. Any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our product candidates.

Any product candidate in our current NASH program may have undesirable side effects which may delay or prevent marketing approval, or, if approval is received, require our product candidates to be taken off the market, require them to include safety warnings or otherwise limit their sales.

In our NASH program we are developing an agonist of the farnesoid X receptor, or FXR, that is designed to bind to that receptor and then trigger a response from it. With the exception of one drug approved to treat cholesterol gallstone dissolution and a rare lipid storage disease, there are no approved FXR agonists and the adverse effects from long-term exposure to this drug class are unknown. Unforeseen side effects from any of our product candidates could arise either during clinical development or, if approved, after the approved product has been marketed. The range and potential severity of possible side effects from systemic therapies like FXR agonists is significant.

In addition, our drug candidates for NASH will be developed as a potential treatment for a severe disease that commonly occurs in patients with other serious conditions, including metabolic syndrome and diabetes. Any clinical

trials in NASH will necessarily be conducted in patient population that will be more prone than the general population to exhibit certain disease states or adverse events. It may be difficult to discern whether certain events or symptoms observed during our trials were due to our drug candidates or placebo, resulting in our company and our development programs being negatively affected even if such events or symptoms are ultimately determined to be unlikely related to our drug candidates.

If any of our product candidates receives marketing approval and we or others later identify undesirable or unacceptable side effects caused by such products:

regulatory authorities may require the addition of labeling statements, specific warnings, a contraindication or field alerts to physicians and pharmacies;

we may be required to change instructions regarding the way the product is administered, conduct additional clinical trials or change the labeling of the product;

we may be subject to limitations on how we may promote the product;

sales of the product may decrease significantly;

Table of Contents

regulatory authorities may require us to take our approved product off the market;

we may be subject to litigation or product liability claims; and

our reputation may suffer.

Any of these events could prevent us or our potential future collaborators from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of the affected product or could substantially increase commercialization costs and expenses, which in turn could delay or prevent us from generating significant revenues from the sale of any product we develop for NASH.

If we or AbbVie are required to suspend or discontinue clinical trials due to side effects or other safety risks associated with our product candidates, or if we or AbbVie are required to conduct studies on the long-term effects associated with the use of our product candidates, efforts to commercialize our product candidates could be delayed or halted.

Clinical trials involving our product candidates may be suspended or terminated at any time for a number of safety-related reasons. For example, we or AbbVie may voluntarily suspend or terminate clinical trials if at any time one of our product candidates, or a combination therapy including any of them, presents an unacceptable safety risk to the clinical trial patients. In addition, IRBs or regulatory agencies may order the temporary discontinuation or termination of clinical trials at any time if they believe that the clinical trials are not being conducted in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements, including if they present an unacceptable safety risk to patients.

Administering any product candidate to humans may produce undesirable side effects. The existence of undesirable side effects resulting from any of our product candidates, or a combination therapy including any of them, could cause us, AbbVie or regulatory authorities, such as the FDA or EMA, to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials of our product candidates and could result in the FDA or EMA or other regulatory agencies denying further development or approval of our product candidates for any or all targeted indications. This, in turn, could prevent us or AbbVie from commercializing our product candidates.

Results of earlier clinical trials may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials.

The results of preclinical studies and early clinical trials of our product candidates, whether ABT-493, EDP-239 or any of our future product candidates, may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials. In addition, results of Phase 3 clinical trials in one or more ethnic groups are not necessarily indicative of results in other ethnic groups. Product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy results despite having progressed through preclinical studies and initial clinical trials. Many companies in the biopharmaceutical industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials due to adverse safety profiles or lack of efficacy, notwithstanding promising results in earlier studies. Similarly, future clinical trial results may not be successful for these or other reasons.

This product candidate development risk is heightened by any changes in the planned clinical trials compared to the completed clinical trials. As product candidates are developed through preclinical early and late stage clinical trials towards approval and commercialization, it is customary that various aspects of the development program, such as manufacturing and formulation, are altered along the way in an effort to optimize processes and results. Such changes carry the risk that they will not achieve these intended objectives. Any of these changes could make the results of planned clinical trials or other future clinical trials we or our collaborators may initiate less predictable and could cause our product candidates to perform differently, which could delay completion of clinical trials, delay approval of our product candidates and/or jeopardize our or our collaborators' ability to commence product sales and generate

revenues.

The regulatory approval processes of the FDA, the EMA and other comparable foreign authorities are lengthy, time-consuming and inherently unpredictable, and if we or our collaborators are ultimately unable to obtain timely regulatory approval for our product candidates, our business will be substantially harmed.

The regulatory approval process is expensive and, while the time required to gain FDA and foreign regulatory approval is uncertain, it may take years. Regulatory approvals are unpredictable and depend upon numerous factors, including the substantial discretion of the regulatory authorities. In addition, approval policies, regulations, or the type and amount of preclinical and clinical data necessary to gain approval may change during the course of a product candidate's clinical development and may vary among jurisdictions. We or our collaborators may be required to undertake and complete certain additional preclinical studies to generate toxicity and other data required to support the submission of a New Drug Application, or NDA, to the FDA or comparable application to other regulatory authorities. Neither we nor our collaborator have obtained regulatory approval for any of our product candidates and it is possible that none of our existing product candidates or any of our future product candidates will ever obtain regulatory approval. Furthermore, approval in the United States by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA.

Table of Contents

Our product candidates could fail to receive regulatory approval for many reasons, including the following:

the FDA, the EMA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with the design or implementation of our or our collaborators' clinical trials;

we or our collaborators may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, the EMA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities that a product candidate is safe and effective for its proposed indication;

the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the FDA, the EMA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities for approval;

we or our collaborators may be unable to demonstrate that a product candidate's clinical and other benefits outweigh its safety risks;

the FDA, the EMA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our or our collaborators' interpretation of data from preclinical studies or clinical trials;

the data collected from clinical trials of our product candidates may not be sufficient to support the submission of an NDA or other submissions or to obtain regulatory approval in the United States or elsewhere;

the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may fail to approve the manufacturing processes or facilities of third-party manufacturers with which we or our collaborators contract for clinical and commercial supplies of any of our product candidate; and

the approval policies or regulations of the FDA, the EMA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our or our collaborators' clinical data insufficient for approval.

We and our collaborators cannot be assured that after spending substantial time and resources, we or our collaborators will obtain regulatory approvals in any desired jurisdiction. Even if we or our collaborators were to obtain approval, regulatory authorities may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly post-marketing clinical trials, or may approve a product candidate with a label that does not include the labeling claims necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of that product candidate. Significant clinical trial delays could allow our competitors to obtain marketing approval before we or our collaborators do or could shorten the patent protection period during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize our product candidates. In addition, we or our collaborators may not be able to ultimately achieve the prices intended for our products. In many foreign countries, including those in the European union, a product candidate must be approved for reimbursement before it can be approved for sale in that country. Any of the foregoing scenarios could materially harm the commercial prospects for our product

candidates.

Even if we receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates we develop independently, we will be subject to ongoing FDA obligations and continued regulatory review in other jurisdictions, which may result in significant additional expense. Additionally, our product candidates, if approved, could be subject to labeling and other restrictions and market withdrawal and we may be subject to penalties if we or our collaborators fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our products.

Any regulatory approvals that we receive for our product candidates we develop independently may be subject to limitations on the approved indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or subject to certain conditions of approval, or may contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing, including Phase 4 clinical trials, and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product candidate.

In addition, if the FDA approves any of our product candidates, the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the product will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, as well as continued compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, and good clinical practices, or GCP, for any clinical trials that we or our collaborators conduct post-approval. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in, among other things:

restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, withdrawal of the product from the market or voluntary or mandatory product recalls;

fines, warning letters or holds on any post-approval clinical trials;

refusal by the FDA to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications filed by us or our collaborators, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals;

product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products; and

injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Table of Contents

We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we or our collaborators are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we or our collaborators are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, our product candidates may lose any marketing approval that may have been obtained and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business.

We, or only AbbVie in the case of paritaprevir or ABT-493, may delay or terminate the development of a product candidate at any time if we or AbbVie believe the perceived market or commercial opportunity does not justify further investment, which could materially harm our business.

Even though the results of preclinical studies and clinical trials that we or AbbVie have conducted or may conduct in the future may support further development of one or more of our product candidates, we, or only AbbVie in the case of paritaprevir or ABT-493, may delay, suspend or terminate the future development of a product candidate at any time for strategic, business, financial or other reasons, including the determination or belief that the emerging profile of the product candidate is such that it may not receive regulatory approvals in key markets, gain meaningful market acceptance, otherwise provide any competitive advantages in its intended indication or market or generate a significant return to stockholders. Such a delay, suspension or termination could materially harm our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, AbbVie may have the right to make decisions regarding the development and commercialization of product candidates without consulting us, and may make decisions with which we do not agree.

Our internal computer systems, or those of our collaborators, CROs or other contractors or consultants, may fail or suffer security breaches, which could result in a material disruption of development programs for our product candidates.

Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems and those of our collaborators, CROs, and other contractors and consultants are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. While we have not experienced any such system failure, accident or security breach to date, if such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a material disruption of our independent drug development programs or those of our collaborators. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from ongoing or future clinical trials for any of our product candidates could result in delays in regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of or damage to data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we or our collaborators could incur liability and the further development of our product candidates could be delayed.

Risks Related to Commercialization of Our Product Candidates

If, in the future, we are unable to establish our own sales, marketing and distribution capabilities or enter into licensing or collaboration agreements for these purposes, we may not be successful in commercializing any future product candidates.

We do not have a sales or marketing infrastructure and have no sales, marketing or distribution experience. We will seek to either build our own commercial infrastructure to commercialize any future products if and when they are approved, or enter into licensing or collaboration agreements where our collaborator is responsible for commercialization, like in the case of our collaboration with AbbVie, or where we have the right to assist in the future development and commercialization of such products.

To develop internal sales, distribution and marketing capabilities, we will have to invest significant amounts of financial and management resources, some of which will be committed prior to any confirmation that any of our proprietary product candidates will be approved. For product candidates for which we decide to perform sales, marketing and distribution functions ourselves, we could face a number of additional risks, including:

our inability to recruit and retain adequate numbers of effective sales and marketing personnel;

the inability of sales personnel to obtain access to physicians or persuade adequate numbers of physicians to prescribe any future products;

the lack of complementary products to be offered by sales personnel, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage relative to companies with more extensive product lines; and

unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating an independent sales and marketing organization.

Table of Contents

Where and when appropriate, we may elect to utilize contract sales forces or distribution partners to assist in the commercialization of our product candidates. If we enter into arrangements with third parties to perform sales, marketing and distribution services for our products, the resulting revenues or the profitability from these revenues to us are likely to be lower than if we had sold, marketed and distributed our products ourselves. In addition, we may not be successful in entering into arrangements with third parties to sell, market and distribute our product candidates or may be unable to do so on terms that are favorable to us. We likely will have little control over such third parties, and any of these third parties may fail to devote the necessary resources and attention to sell, market and distribute our products effectively.

If we do not establish sales, marketing and distribution capabilities successfully, either on our own or in collaboration with third parties, we will not be successful in commercializing our product candidates.

Our commercial success depends upon significant market acceptance among physicians, patients and healthcare payors of our paritaprevir or any other product candidates licensed to AbbVie, if approved, as well as of any future product candidates we plan to develop independently or in collaboration with others.

Paritaprevir, as well as ABT-493 or EDP-239 or any other product candidate that we may develop in the future that obtains regulatory approval, whether as part of a combination therapy or as a monotherapy, may not gain market acceptance among physicians, healthcare payors, patients and the medical community. For example, the standard of care in HCV is likely to evolve rapidly as many new product candidates are being developed and tested. The degree of market acceptance of any products for which we receive approval for commercial sale depends on a number of factors, including:

the efficacy and safety of treatment regimens containing one of our partnered product candidates, as demonstrated in clinical trials, and the degree to which these regimens represent a clinically meaningful improvement in care as compared with other available therapies;

the clinical indications for which any treatment regimen containing one of our product candidates become approved;

acceptance among physicians, major operators of clinics and patients of any treatment regimen containing one of our product candidates as safe, effective and preferred treatments;

the willingness of the target patient population to try new therapies and of physicians to prescribe these therapies;

the potential and perceived advantages of treatment regimens containing one of our product candidates over alternative treatments;

the cost of treatment in relation to alternative treatments;

the availability of adequate reimbursement and pricing by third parties and government authorities and the impact of any agreements among any of the foregoing and one or more of our competitors limiting access to our product in favor of competitor's product;

the continued growth of the HCV drug market;

the relative convenience and ease of administration of any treatment regimen containing one of our product candidates;

the prevalence and severity of adverse side effects, whether involving the use of our products candidates or similar, competitive products; and

the effectiveness of our or our collaborators' sales and marketing efforts.

If treatment regimens containing one of our product candidates are approved and then fail to achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to generate significant additional revenue. Further, if new, more favorably received therapies are introduced after any such regimen achieve market acceptance, then we may not be able to maintain that market acceptance over time.

Even if AbbVie successfully commercializes paritaprevir in its HCV treatment regimen, or even if we or AbbVie are able to commercialize any other treatment regimen containing one of our product candidates, the resulting products may become subject to unfavorable pricing regulations, third-party reimbursement practices or healthcare reform initiatives in the United States, which would harm our business.

The regulations that govern marketing approvals, pricing and reimbursement for new drug products vary widely from country to country. In the United States, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010, collectively referred to as the ACA, may significantly change the way healthcare is financed by both governmental and private insurers. While we cannot predict what impact on federal reimbursement policies this law will have in general or specifically on any product or regimen that we or any of our collaborators commercializes, the ACA may result in

Table of Contents

downward pressure on pharmaceutical reimbursement, which could negatively affect market acceptance of new products. In addition, although the United States Supreme Court has upheld the constitutionality of most of the ACA, some states have indicated that they intend not to implement certain sections of the ACA, and some members of the U.S. Congress are still working to repeal the ACA. We cannot predict whether these challenges will continue or other proposals will be made or adopted, or what impact these efforts may have on us or AbbVie. AbbVie or any collaborators' ability to commercialize any products successfully also will depend in part on the extent to which reimbursement for these products and related treatments will be available from government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other organizations. Government authorities and third-party payors, such as private health insurers and health maintenance organizations, decide which medications they will pay for and establish reimbursement levels. A primary trend in the U.S. healthcare industry is cost containment. Government authorities and third-party payors have attempted to control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular medications. Increasingly, third-party payors are requiring that drug companies provide them with predetermined discounts from list prices and are challenging the prices charged for medical products. We cannot be sure that reimbursement will be available for any product that we or any collaborator commercialize and, if reimbursement is available, the level of reimbursement. In addition, reimbursement may impact the demand for, or the price of, any product candidate for which we or a collaborator obtains marketing approval. If reimbursement is not available or is available only to limited levels, we or AbbVie may not be able to successfully commercialize any product candidate for which marketing approval is obtained.

There may be significant delays in obtaining reimbursement for newly approved drugs, and coverage may be more limited than the purposes for which the drug is approved by the FDA or comparable authorities in other jurisdictions. Moreover, eligibility for reimbursement does not imply that any drug will be paid for in all cases or at a rate that covers our costs, including research, development, manufacture, sale and distribution. Interim reimbursement levels for new drugs, if applicable, may also be insufficient to cover our and any collaborator's costs and may not be made permanent. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the drug and the clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on reimbursement levels already set for lower cost drugs and may be incorporated into existing payments for other services. Net prices for drugs may be reduced by mandatory discounts or rebates required by government healthcare programs or private payors and by any future relaxation of laws that presently restrict imports of drugs from countries where they may be sold at lower prices than in the United States. Third-party payors often rely upon Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement policies. Our or any collaborator's inability to promptly obtain coverage and profitable payment rates from both government-funded and private payors for any approved products that we or our collaborators develop could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, our ability to raise capital needed to commercialize products and our overall financial condition.

Foreign governments tend to impose strict price controls, which may adversely affect our future profitability.

In most foreign countries, particularly in the European Union, prescription drug pricing and/or reimbursement is subject to governmental control. In those countries that impose price controls, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we or our collaborators may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our product candidate to other available therapies.

Some countries require approval of the sale price of a drug before it can be marketed. In many countries, the pricing review period begins after marketing or product licensing approval is granted. In some foreign markets, prescription pharmaceutical pricing remains subject to continuing governmental control even after initial approval is granted. As a result, we or our collaborators might obtain marketing approval for a product in a particular country, but then be subject to price regulations that delay commercial launch of the product, possibly for lengthy time periods, and negatively impact the revenues that are generated from the sale of the product in that country. If reimbursement of our

products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, or if there is competition from lower priced cross-border sales, our profitability will be negatively affected.

Risks Related to Our Dependence on Third Parties

We may not be successful in establishing new product collaborations, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize one or more of our future product candidates. If we are unsuccessful in maintaining or forming alliances on favorable terms, our business may not succeed.

We may seek to enter into additional product collaborations in the future, including alliances with other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, to enhance and accelerate the development and commercialization of one or more of our future product candidates. We face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators and the negotiation process is time-consuming and complex. Moreover, we may not be successful in our efforts to establish other product collaborations or other alternative arrangements for any future product candidates and programs because our research and development pipeline may be insufficient, our product candidates and programs may be deemed to be at too early of a stage of development for collaborative effort and/or third parties may

Table of Contents

not view our product candidates and programs as having the requisite potential to demonstrate safety and efficacy. Even if we are successful in our efforts to establish product collaborations, the terms that we agree upon may not be favorable to us and we may not be able to maintain such product collaborations if, for example, development or approval of a product candidate is delayed or sales of an approved product are disappointing.

If our existing collaboration agreement with AbbVie is terminated, or if we determine that entering into other product collaborations is in our best interest but we either fail to enter into, delay in entering into or fail to maintain such collaborations:

the development of certain of our current or future product candidates may be terminated or delayed;

our cash expenditures related to development of certain of our future product candidates would increase significantly and we may need to seek additional financing;

we may be required to hire additional employees or otherwise develop expertise, such as clinical, regulatory, sales and marketing expertise, which we do not currently have;

we will bear all of the risk related to the development of any such product candidates; and

the competitiveness of any product candidate that is commercialized could be reduced.

Table of Contents

We intend to rely on third-party manufacturers to produce our clinical product candidate supplies and any commercial supplies of any approved future product candidates. Any failure by a third-party manufacturer to produce acceptable supplies for us may delay or impair our ability to initiate or complete our clinical trials or sell any resulting product.

We do not currently own or operate any manufacturing facilities. We plan to work with third-party contract manufacturers to produce sufficient quantities of any future product candidates for preclinical testing, clinical trials and commercialization. If we are unable to arrange for such a third-party manufacturing source, or fail to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we may not be able to successfully produce, develop and market our future product candidates, or we may be delayed in doing so.

Reliance on third-party manufacturers entails risks to which we would not be subject if we manufactured product candidates ourselves, including reliance on the third party for regulatory compliance and quality control and assurance, volume production, the possibility of breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party because of factors beyond our control (including a failure to synthesize and manufacture our product candidates in accordance with our product specifications) and the possibility of termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third party at a time that is costly or damaging to us. In addition, the FDA and other regulatory authorities require that our product candidates be manufactured according to cGMP and similar foreign standards. Pharmaceutical manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their facilities and/or products manufactured at the time of submission of the marketing application and then annually thereafter with the FDA and certain state and foreign agencies. They are also subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA, state and other foreign authorities. Any subsequent discovery of problems with a product, or a manufacturing or laboratory facility used by us or our collaborators, may result in restrictions on the product or on the manufacturing or laboratory facility, including marketed product recall, suspension of manufacturing, product seizure, or a voluntary withdrawal of the drug from the market. Any failure by our third-party manufacturers to comply with cGMP or failure to scale up manufacturing processes, including any failure to deliver sufficient quantities of product candidates in a timely manner, could lead to a delay in, or failure to obtain, regulatory approval of any of our product candidates.

We plan to rely on third-party manufacturers to purchase from third-party suppliers the materials necessary to produce our future product candidates for our clinical studies. There are a small number of suppliers for certain capital equipment and materials that we plan to use to manufacture our drugs. Such suppliers may not sell these materials to our manufacturers at the times we need them or on commercially reasonable terms. Moreover, we currently do not have any agreements for the production of these materials. Although we do not intend to begin a clinical trial unless we believe we have a sufficient supply of a product candidate to complete the clinical trial, any significant delay in the supply of a product candidate or the material components thereof for an ongoing clinical trial due to the need to replace a third-party manufacturer could considerably delay completion of our clinical studies, product testing and potential regulatory approval of our product candidates. If our manufacturers or we are unable to purchase these materials after regulatory approval has been obtained for our product candidates, the commercial launch of our product candidates would be delayed or there would be a shortage in supply, which would impair our ability to generate revenue from the sale of our product candidates.

Contract manufacturers may not be able to manufacture our product candidates at a cost or in quantities or in a timely manner necessary to develop and commercialize them. If we successfully commercialize any of our product candidates, to meet our projected needs we may need to find third parties that will increase their scale of production, or we may have to establish or access large-scale commercial manufacturing capabilities. We may require additional funds, personnel and other resources to build, lease or operate any manufacturing facility.

Because a portion of our manufacturing of certain key intermediates used in the manufacture of the active pharmaceutical ingredients for our future product candidates is expected to take place in China through third-party manufacturers, a significant disruption in the operation of those manufacturers or political unrest in China could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Although manufacturing for our lead product candidates paritaprevir and ABT-493 is being conducted by AbbVie, we have relied on third parties located in China to manufacture and supply certain key intermediates used in the manufacture of our active pharmaceutical ingredients, or API, for our research product candidates, and we expect to continue to use such third party manufacturers for such intermediates for any future product candidates we develop independently. Any disruption in production or inability of our manufacturers in China to produce adequate quantities to meet our needs, whether as a result of a natural disaster or other causes, could impair our ability to operate our business on a day-to-day basis and to continue our research and development of our future product candidates. Furthermore, since these manufacturers are located in China, we are exposed to the possibility of product supply disruption and increased costs in the event of changes in the policies of the Chinese government, political unrest or unstable economic conditions in China. Any of these matters could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations. Any recall of the manufacturing lots or similar action regarding our API used in clinical trials could delay the trials or detract from the integrity of the trial data and its potential use in future regulatory filings. In addition, manufacturing interruptions or failure to comply with regulatory requirements by any of these manufacturers could significantly delay clinical development of

Table of Contents

potential products and reduce third-party or clinical researcher interest and support of proposed trials. These interruptions or failures could also impede commercialization of our future product candidates and impair our competitive position. Further, we may be exposed to fluctuations in the value of the local currency in China. Future appreciation of the local currency could increase our costs. In addition, our labor costs could continue to rise as wage rates increase due to increased demand for skilled laborers and the availability of skilled labor declines in China.

We will rely on third parties to monitor, support, conduct and/or oversee clinical trials of our future product candidates that we develop independently and, in some cases, to maintain regulatory files for those product candidates. If we are not able to maintain or secure agreements with such third parties on acceptable terms, if these third parties do not perform their services as required, or if these third parties fail to timely transfer any regulatory information held by them to us, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for, or commercialize, our product candidates.

We will rely on academic institutions, CROs, hospitals, clinics and other third-party collaborators who are outside our control to monitor, support, conduct and/or oversee preclinical and clinical studies of our future product candidates. We will also rely on third parties to perform clinical trials on our future product candidates when they reach that stage. As a result, we have less control over the timing and cost of these studies and the ability to recruit trial subjects than if we conducted these trials wholly by ourselves. If we are unable to maintain or enter into agreements with these third parties on acceptable terms, or if any such engagement is terminated, we may be unable to enroll patients on a timely basis or otherwise conduct our trials in the manner we anticipate. In addition, there is no guarantee that these third parties will devote adequate time and resources to our studies or perform as required by a contract or in accordance with regulatory requirements, including maintenance of clinical trial information regarding our future product candidates. If these third parties fail to meet expected deadlines, fail to timely transfer to us any regulatory information, fail to adhere to protocols or fail to act in accordance with regulatory requirements or our agreements with them, or if they otherwise perform in a substandard manner or in a way that compromises the quality or accuracy of their activities or the data they obtain, then clinical trials of our future product candidates may be extended, delayed or terminated, or our data may be rejected by the FDA or regulatory agencies.

To the extent we elect to enter into additional licensing or collaboration agreements to partner our future product candidates, our dependence on such relationships may adversely affect our business.

Our commercialization strategy for some of our future product candidates may depend on our ability to enter into collaboration agreements with other companies to obtain assistance and funding for the development and potential commercialization of these product candidates, similar to what we have done with AbbVie. Supporting diligence activities conducted by potential collaborators and negotiating the financial and other terms of a collaboration agreement are long and complex processes with uncertain results. Even if we are successful in entering into one or more additional collaboration agreements, collaborations can involve greater uncertainty for us, as we may have limited or no control over certain aspects of our collaborative programs. We may determine that continuing a collaboration under the terms provided is not in our best interest, and we may terminate the collaboration. Our collaborators could delay or terminate their agreements with us, and our product candidates subject to collaborative arrangements may never be successfully commercialized.

Further, our collaborators may develop alternative products or pursue alternative technologies either on their own or in collaboration with others, including our competitors, and the priorities or focus of our collaborators may shift such that our programs receive less attention or resources than we would like, or they may be terminated altogether. Any such actions by our collaborators may adversely affect our business prospects and ability to earn revenue. In addition, we could have disputes with our collaborators, such as the interpretation of terms in our agreements. Any such disagreements could lead to delays in the development or commercialization of any potential products or could result

in time-consuming and expensive litigation or arbitration, which may not be resolved in our favor.

Even with respect to programs that we intend to commercialize ourselves, we may enter into agreements with collaborators to share in the burden of conducting clinical trials, manufacturing and marketing our product candidates or products. In addition, our ability to apply our proprietary technologies to develop proprietary compounds will depend on our ability to establish and maintain licensing arrangements or other collaborative arrangements with the holders of proprietary rights to such compounds. We may not be able to establish such arrangements on favorable terms or at all, and our collaborative arrangements may not be successful.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property Rights

We could be unsuccessful in obtaining or maintaining adequate patent protection for one or more of our product candidates.

Our commercial success will depend, in large part, on our ability to obtain and maintain patent and other intellectual property protection with respect to our product candidates. We cannot be certain that patents will be issued or granted with respect to our patent applications that are currently pending, or that issued or granted patents will not later be found to be invalid and/or unenforceable, be interpreted in a manner that does not adequately protect our products, or otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies is generally uncertain because it involves complex legal and factual considerations. The standards applied by the United States Patent and Trademark Office and foreign patent offices in granting patents are not always applied uniformly or predictably. For example, there is no uniform worldwide policy regarding patentable subject matter or the scope of claims allowable in biotechnology and pharmaceutical patents. Consequently, patents may not issue from our pending patent applications. As such, we do not know the degree of future protection that we will have on our proprietary products and technology, if any, and a failure to obtain adequate intellectual property protection with respect to our product candidates and proprietary technology could have a material adverse impact on our business.

In addition, certain of our activities have been funded, and may in the future be funded, by the United States federal government. For example, the preclinical and early clinical development of our lead antibiotic candidate, which we are no longer planning to develop ourselves, for non-biodefense indications has been funded under a contract with NIAID, an entity of the United States federal government. When new technologies are developed with United States federal government funding, the government obtains certain rights in any resulting patents, including a nonexclusive license authorizing the government to use the invention for non-commercial purposes. These rights may permit the government to disclose our confidential information to third parties and to exercise march-in rights to use or allow third parties to use our patented technology. The government can exercise its march-in rights if it determines that action is necessary because we fail to achieve practical application of the United States government-funded technology, or because action is necessary to alleviate health or safety needs, to meet requirements of federal regulations or to give preference to United States industry. In addition, United States government-funded inventions must be reported to the government and United States government funding must be disclosed in any resulting patent applications. In addition, our rights in such inventions are subject to certain requirements to manufacture products in the United States.

Issued patents covering one or more of our product candidates could be found invalid or unenforceable if challenged in court.

Despite measures we take to obtain patent and other intellectual property protection with respect to our product candidates and proprietary technology, any of our intellectual property rights could be challenged or invalidated. For example, if we were to initiate legal proceedings against a third party to enforce a patent covering one of our product candidates, the defendant could counterclaim that our patent is invalid and/or unenforceable. In patent litigation in the United States and in some other jurisdictions, defendant counterclaims alleging invalidity and/or unenforceability are commonplace. Grounds for a validity challenge could be an alleged failure to meet any of several statutory requirements, for example, lack of novelty, obviousness or non-enablement. Grounds for an unenforceability assertion could be an allegation that someone connected with prosecution of the patent withheld relevant information from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the applicable foreign counterpart, or made a misleading statement, during prosecution. Although we believe that we have conducted our patent prosecution in accordance with the duty of candor and in good faith, the outcome following legal assertions of invalidity and unenforceability during patent litigation is unpredictable. With respect to the validity question, for example, we cannot be certain that there is no

invalidating prior art, of which we and the patent examiner were unaware during prosecution. If a defendant were to prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity and/or unenforceability, we would lose at least part, and perhaps all, of the patent protection on a product candidate. Even if a defendant does not prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity and/or unenforceability, our patent claims may be construed in a manner that would limit our ability to enforce such claims against the defendant and others. Any loss of patent protection could have a material adverse impact on one or more of our product candidates and our business.

Enforcing our intellectual property rights against third parties may also cause such third parties to file other counterclaims against us, which could be costly to defend and could require us to pay substantial damages, cease the sale of certain products or enter into a license agreement and pay royalties (which may not be possible on commercially reasonable terms or at all). Any efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights are also likely to be costly and may divert the efforts of our scientific and management personnel.

Table of Contents

Claims that our product candidates or the sale or use of our future products infringe the patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties could result in costly litigation or could require substantial time and money to resolve, even if litigation is avoided.

Our commercial success depends upon our ability to develop, manufacture, market and sell our product candidates and use our proprietary technology without infringing the intellectual property rights of others. We cannot guarantee that our product candidates or any uses of our product candidates do not and will not in the future infringe third-party patents or other intellectual property rights. Third parties might allege that we or our collaborators are infringing their patent rights or that we have misappropriated their trade secrets, or that we are otherwise violating their intellectual property rights, whether with respect to the manner in which we have conducted our research or to the composition, use or manufacture of the compounds we have developed or are developing with our collaborators. Such third parties might resort to litigation against us or other parties we have agreed to indemnify, which litigation could be based on either existing intellectual property or intellectual property that arises in the future.

It is also possible that we failed to identify, or may in the future fail to identify, relevant patents or patent applications held by third parties that cover our product candidates. For example, applications filed before November 29, 2000 and certain applications filed after that date that will not be filed outside the United States remain confidential until patents issue. Other patent applications in the United States and several other jurisdictions are published approximately 18 months after the earliest filing for which priority is claimed, with such earliest filing date being commonly referred to as the priority date. Furthermore, publication of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature often lags behind actual discoveries. Therefore, we cannot be certain that we or our collaborators were the first to invent, or the first to file patent applications on, our product candidates or for their uses, or that our product candidates will not infringe patents that are currently issued or that are issued in the future. In the event that a third party has also filed a patent application covering one of our product candidates or a similar invention, we may have to participate in an adversarial proceeding, known as an interference, declared by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or its foreign counterpart to determine priority of invention. Additionally, pending patent applications which have been published can, subject to certain limitations, be later amended in a manner that could cover our products or their use.

In order to avoid or settle potential claims with respect to any patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties, we may choose or be required to seek a license from a third party and be required to pay license fees or royalties or both, which could be substantial. These licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Even if we or any future collaborators were able to obtain a license, the rights may be nonexclusive, which could result in our competitors gaining access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product, or be forced, by court order or otherwise, to cease some or all aspects of our business operations, if, as a result of actual or threatened patent or other intellectual property claims, we are unable to enter into licenses on acceptable terms. Further, we could be found liable for significant monetary damages as a result of claims of intellectual property infringement. For example, we have received, and may in the future receive, offers to license and demands to license from third parties claiming that we are infringing their intellectual property or owe license fees and, even if such claims are without merit, there can be no assurance that we will successfully avoid or settle such claims.

In addition, if AbbVie licenses or otherwise acquires rights to intellectual property controlled by a third party in various circumstances, for example, where a product could not be legally developed or commercialized in a country without the third-party intellectual property right, it is entitled under our collaboration agreement to decrease payments payable to us on a product-by-product basis and, in certain cases, on a country-by-country basis. Any of the foregoing events could harm our business significantly.

Defending against claims of patent infringement, misappropriation of trade secrets or other violations of intellectual property rights could be costly and time consuming, regardless of the outcome. Thus, even if we were to ultimately prevail, or to settle at an early stage, such litigation could burden us with substantial unanticipated costs. In addition, litigation or threatened litigation could result in significant demands on the time and attention of our management team, distracting them from the pursuit of other company business. Claims that our product candidates or the sale or use of our future products infringe, misappropriate or otherwise violate third-party intellectual property rights could therefore have a material adverse impact on our business.

Unfavorable outcomes in intellectual property litigation could limit our research and development activities and/or our ability to commercialize certain products.

If third parties successfully assert their intellectual property rights against us, we might be barred from using certain aspects of our technology, or barred from developing and commercializing certain products. Prohibitions against using certain technologies, or prohibitions against commercializing certain products, could be imposed by a court or by a settlement agreement between us and a plaintiff. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in defending against allegations that we have infringed, misappropriated or otherwise violated patent or other intellectual property rights of others, we may be forced to pay substantial damage awards to the plaintiff. There is inevitable uncertainty in any litigation, including intellectual property litigation. There can be no assurance that we would prevail in any intellectual property litigation, even if the case against us is weak or flawed. If litigation leads to an outcome

Table of Contents

unfavorable to us, we may be required to obtain a license from the intellectual property owner in order to continue our research and development programs or to market any resulting product. It is possible that the necessary license will not be available to us on commercially acceptable terms, or at all. Alternatively, we may be required to modify or redesign our products in order to avoid infringing or otherwise violating third-party intellectual property rights. This may not be technically or commercially feasible, may render our products less competitive, or may delay or prevent the entry of our products to the market. Any of the foregoing could limit our research and development activities, our ability to commercialize one or more product candidates, or both.

Most of our competitors are larger than we are and have substantially greater resources. They are, therefore, likely to be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation longer than we could. In addition, the uncertainties associated with litigation could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise the funds necessary to conduct our clinical trials, continue our internal research programs, in-license needed technology, or enter into strategic partnerships that would help us bring our product candidates to market.

In addition, any future intellectual property litigation, interference or other administrative proceedings will result in additional expense and distraction of our personnel. An adverse outcome in such litigation or proceedings may expose us or any future strategic partners to loss of our proprietary position, expose us to significant liabilities, or require us to seek licenses that may not be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Intellectual property litigation may lead to unfavorable publicity that harms our reputation and causes the market price of our common stock to decline.

During the course of any intellectual property litigation, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, rulings on motions, and other interim proceedings in the litigation. If securities analysts or investors regard these announcements as negative, the perceived value of our products, programs or intellectual property could be diminished. Accordingly, the market price of our common stock may decline. Such announcements could also harm our reputation or the market for our future products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Confidentiality agreements with employees and third parties may not prevent unauthorized disclosure of trade secrets and other proprietary information.

In addition to patents, we rely on trade secrets, technical know-how and proprietary information concerning our business strategy and product candidates in order to protect our competitive position in the field of HCV, other antivirals and liver disease. In the course of our research and development activities and our business activities, we often rely on confidentiality agreements to protect our proprietary information. Such confidentiality agreements are used, for example, when we talk to vendors of laboratory or clinical development services or potential strategic partners. In addition, each of our employees is required to sign a confidentiality agreement and invention assignment agreement upon joining our company. We take steps to protect our proprietary information, and our confidentiality agreements and invention assignment agreements are carefully drafted to protect our proprietary interests. Nevertheless, there can be no guarantee that an employee or an outside party will not make an unauthorized disclosure of our proprietary confidential information. This might happen intentionally or inadvertently. It is possible that a competitor will make use of such information, and that our competitive position will be compromised, in spite of any legal action we might take against persons making such unauthorized disclosures. In addition, to the extent that our employees, consultants or contractors use intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in related or resulting know-how and inventions.

Trade secrets are difficult to protect. Although we use reasonable efforts to protect our trade secrets, our employees, consultants, contractors, business partners or outside scientific collaborators might intentionally or inadvertently disclose our trade secret information to competitors or our trade secrets may otherwise be misappropriated. Enforcing a claim that a third party illegally obtained and is using any of our trade secrets is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States sometimes are less willing than United States courts to protect trade secrets. Moreover, our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge, methods and know-how.

Our collaborators may have rights to publish data and other information to which we have rights. In addition, we sometimes engage individuals or entities to conduct research relevant to our business. The ability of these individuals or entities to publish or otherwise publicly disclose data and other information generated during the course of their research is subject to certain contractual limitations. These contractual provisions may be insufficient or inadequate to protect our confidential information. If we do not apply for patent protection prior to such publication, or if we cannot otherwise maintain the confidentiality of our proprietary technology and other confidential information, then our ability to obtain patent protection or to protect our trade secret information may be jeopardized, which could adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

Intellectual property rights do not necessarily protect us from all potential threats to our competitive advantage.

The degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have limitations, and may not adequately protect our business, or permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. The following examples are illustrative:

others may be able to make compounds that are similar to our product candidates but that are not covered by the claims of the patents that we or our collaborators own or have exclusively licensed;

we or our collaborators or any future collaborators might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by the issued patents or pending patent applications that we own or may in the future exclusively license, which could result in the patent applications not issuing or being invalidated after issuing;

we or our collaborators or any future collaborators might not have been the first to file patent applications covering certain of our inventions, which could result in the patent applications not issuing or being invalidated after issuing;

the ownership of the intellectual property arising out of our collaborations is subject to complex legal and factual issues, and in certain circumstances our collaborators may own or jointly own important intellectual property relating to our product candidates. Although we have rights to such intellectual property under our collaboration agreements, such rights could potentially be lost or diminished if the applicable collaboration agreement is terminated, which could affect our ability to commercialize our product candidates;

others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing our intellectual property rights;

it is possible that our pending patent applications will not lead to issued patents;

issued patents that we own or have exclusively licensed may not provide us with any competitive advantages, or may be held invalid or unenforceable, as a result of legal challenges by our competitors; we may obtain patents for certain compounds many years before we obtain marketing approval for products containing such compounds, and because patents have a limited life, which may begin to run prior to the commercial sale of the related product, the commercial value of our patents may be limited;

our competitors might conduct research and development activities in countries where we do not have patent rights and then use the information learned from such activities to develop competitive products

for sale in our major commercial markets;

we may fail to develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable;

the laws of certain foreign countries may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States, or we may fail to apply for or obtain adequate intellectual property protection in all the jurisdictions in which we operate; and

the patents of others may have an adverse effect on our business, for example by preventing us from marketing one or more of our product candidates for one or more indications.

Any of the aforementioned threats to our competitive advantage could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Changes in patent law could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products.

As is the case with many other biopharmaceutical companies, our success is heavily dependent on intellectual property, particularly patents. Obtaining, maintaining and enforcing patents in the biopharmaceutical industry involves both technological complexity and legal complexity. Therefore, obtaining, maintaining and enforcing biopharmaceutical patents is costly, time-consuming and inherently uncertain. In addition, recent legislative and judicial developments in the United States and elsewhere have in some cases narrowed the protection afforded to patent owners, made patents more difficult to obtain, or increased the uncertainty regarding the ability to obtain, maintain and enforce patents. For example, Congress recently passed patent reform legislation, and may pass patent reform legislation in the future. The United States Supreme Court has ruled on several patent cases in recent years, and in certain circumstances has narrowed the scope of patent protection available or otherwise weakened the rights of patent owners. In addition to increasing uncertainty with regard to our ability to obtain patents in the future, this combination of events has created uncertainty with respect to the value of patents, once obtained. Depending on decisions and actions by the United States Congress, the federal courts, the United States Patent and Trademark Office, and their respective foreign counterparts, the laws and regulations governing patents could change in unpredictable ways that could weaken our ability to obtain new patents or to maintain and enforce our existing patents and patents that we might obtain in the future.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Industry

Unstable market and economic conditions may have serious adverse consequences on our business, financial condition and stock price.

As has been widely reported, global credit and financial markets have been experiencing extreme disruptions over the past several years, including declines in consumer confidence, declines in economic growth, and uncertainty about economic stability. There can be no assurance that deterioration in credit and financial markets and confidence in economic conditions will not occur. Our general business strategy may be adversely affected by the volatile business environment and continued unpredictable and unstable market conditions, particularly for securities of biotechnology companies such as our common stock. There is a possibility that our stock price may decline, due in part to the volatility of the stock market and any general economic downturn. If the current equity and credit markets become more volatile, deteriorate or do not improve, it may make any necessary debt or equity financing more difficult to secure, more costly and/or more dilutive. Failure to secure any necessary financing in a timely manner and on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our growth strategy, financial performance and stock price and could require us to delay or abandon our business and clinical development plans. In addition, there is a risk that one or more of our current service providers, manufacturers and other partners may not survive these difficult economic times, which could directly affect our ability to attain our operating goals on schedule and on budget.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of our product candidates.

We face an inherent risk of product liability as a result of the clinical testing of our product candidates, and we will face an even greater risk if we commercialize any product candidates. For example, we may be sued if any of our product candidates, including any that are developed in combination therapies, allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability and a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our product candidates. Even successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. There is also risk that third parties we have agreed to indemnify could incur liability.

Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for our product candidates or any resulting products;

injury to our reputation;

withdrawal of clinical trial participants;

costs to defend the related litigation;

a diversion of management's time and our resources;

substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;

product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions;

loss of revenue;

the inability to commercialize our product candidates; and

a decline in our stock price.

Our inability to obtain and retain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of products we develop. We currently carry product liability insurance covering our clinical studies in the amount of \$5.0 million in the aggregate. Although we maintain such insurance, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. We will have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts.

Table of Contents

Our relationships with customers and third-party payors in the United States and elsewhere will be subject to applicable anti-kickback, fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations, which could expose us to criminal sanctions, civil penalties, contractual damages, reputational harm and diminished profits and future earnings.

Healthcare providers, physicians and third-party payors in the United States and elsewhere play a primary role in the recommendation and prescription of any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. Our future arrangements with third-party payors and customers may expose us to broadly applicable fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations that may constrain the business or financial arrangements and relationships through which we market, sell and distribute our products for which we obtain marketing approval. Restrictions under applicable federal, state and foreign healthcare laws and regulations include the following:

the federal healthcare anti-kickback statute prohibits, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce or reward either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any good or service for which payment may be made under federal and state healthcare programs such as Medicare and Medicaid;

the federal False Claims Act imposes criminal and civil penalties, including civil whistleblower or *qui tam* actions, against individuals or entities for knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, to the federal government, claims for payment that are false or fraudulent or making a false statement to avoid, decrease or conceal an obligation to pay money to the federal government;

the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, imposes criminal and civil liability for executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program and also imposes obligations, including mandatory contractual terms, with respect to safeguarding the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;

the federal false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services;

the federal transparency requirements under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 requires manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologics and medical supplies to report to the Department of Health and Human Services information related to physician payments and other transfers of value and physician ownership and investment interests;

analogous state laws and regulations, such as state anti-kickback and false claims laws, may apply to sales or marketing arrangements and claims involving healthcare items or services reimbursed by non-governmental third-party payors, including private insurers, and some state laws require

pharmaceutical companies to comply with the pharmaceutical industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government in addition to requiring drug manufacturers to report information related to payments to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures; and

analogous anti-kickback, fraud and abuse and healthcare laws and regulations in foreign countries. Efforts to ensure that our business arrangements with third parties will comply with applicable healthcare laws and regulations will involve substantial costs. It is possible that governmental authorities will conclude that our business practices may not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law involving applicable fraud and abuse or other healthcare laws and regulations. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of these laws or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us, we may be subject to significant civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from government funded healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. If any of the physicians or other providers or entities with whom we expect to do business, including our collaborators, are found not to be in compliance with applicable laws, they may be subject to criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including exclusions from government funded healthcare programs, which could also materially affect our business.

If we fail to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, we could become subject to fines or penalties or incur costs that could have a material adverse effect on the success of our business.

We are subject to numerous environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing laboratory procedures and the handling, use, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes. Our operations involve the use of hazardous and flammable materials, including chemicals. Our operations also produce hazardous waste products. We generally contract with third parties for the disposal of these materials and wastes. We cannot eliminate the risk of contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of contamination or injury resulting from our use of hazardous materials or our or third parties' disposal of hazardous materials, we could be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed our resources. We also could incur significant costs associated with civil or criminal fines and penalties.

Table of Contents

We may incur substantial costs in order to comply with current or future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. These current or future laws and regulations may impair our research, development or production efforts. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations also may result in substantial fines, penalties or other sanctions.

We maintain workers' compensation insurance to cover us for costs and expenses we may incur due to injuries to our employees resulting from the use of hazardous materials. This insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. We do not maintain insurance for environmental liability or toxic tort claims that may be asserted against us in connection with our storage or disposal of hazardous or radioactive materials.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our stock price has been, and is likely to continue to be, volatile, and thus our stockholders could incur substantial losses.

Our stock price has been volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control. Since our initial public offering in March 2013, the price of our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Select Market has ranged from \$17.18 to \$52.58. The stock market in general and the market for biopharmaceutical companies, and for those developing potential therapies for HCV in particular, have experienced extreme volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. As a result of this volatility, you may not be able to sell your common stock at or above your purchase price, if at all. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

actions by any of our collaborators regarding our product candidates they are developing, including announcements regarding clinical or regulatory developments or our collaboration;

results from or delays of clinical trials of our product candidates, as well as results of regulatory reviews relating to the approval of our product candidates;

new products, product candidates or new uses for existing products or technologies introduced or announced by our competitors and the timing of these introductions or announcements;

our or our collaborator's decision to initiate a clinical trial, not to initiate a clinical trial or to terminate an existing clinical trial;

the results of our efforts to discover or develop additional product candidates;

our dependence on third parties, including our collaborators, CROs, clinical trial sponsors and clinical investigators;

regulatory or legal developments in the United States or other countries;

developments or disputes concerning patent applications, issued patents or other proprietary rights;

the recruitment or departure of key scientific or management personnel;

our ability to commercialize our future product candidates we develop independently, if approved;

the level of expenses related to any of our product candidates or clinical development programs;

actual or anticipated changes in estimates as to financial results, development timelines or recommendations by securities analysts;

variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;

sales of common stock by us or our stockholders in the future, as well as the overall trading volume of our common stock;

changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;

market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors;

general economic, industry and market conditions and other factors that may be unrelated to our operating performance or the operating performance of our competitors, including changes in market valuations of similar companies; and

the other factors described in this Risk Factors section.

Table of Contents

Provisions in our corporate charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our corporate charter and our bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control of us that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which they might otherwise receive a premium for their shares.

These provisions could also limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. In addition, because our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors. Among other things, these provisions:

establish a classified or staggered board of directors such that not all members of the board are elected at one time;

allow the authorized number of our directors to be changed only by resolution of our board of directors;

limit the manner in which stockholders can remove directors from the board;

establish advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals that can be acted on at stockholder meetings and nominations to our board of directors;

require that stockholder actions must be effected at a duly called stockholder meeting and prohibit actions by our stockholders by written consent;

limit who may call stockholder meetings;

provide our board of directors with the authority to designate the terms of and issue a new series of preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to institute a poison pill that would work to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer, effectively preventing acquisitions that have not been approved by our board of directors; and

require the approval of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of the votes that all our stockholders would be entitled to cast to amend or repeal certain provisions of our charter or bylaws.

Moreover, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibit a person who owns 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock

from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Any provision in our corporate charter or our bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock.

Our employment agreements with our named executive officers may require us to pay severance benefits to any of those persons who are terminated in connection with a change of control of us, which could harm our financial condition or results.

Our named executive officers are parties to employment agreements that provide for aggregate cash payments of up to approximately \$3.3 million for severance and other non-equity-based benefits in the event of a termination of employment in connection with a change of control of our company. In addition, based on the March 31, 2015 closing price of our common stock at \$30.62 per share, the aggregate intrinsic value of unvested stock options and other equity awards subject to accelerated vesting upon these events was \$4.3 million as of March 31, 2015. The accelerated vesting of options could result in dilution to our stockholders and harm the market price of our common stock. The payment of these severance benefits could harm our company's financial condition and results. In addition, these potential severance payments may discourage or prevent third parties from seeking a business combination with us.

We are an emerging growth company, and we cannot be certain if the reduced reporting requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an emerging growth company, as defined in the JOBS Act. For as long as we continue to be an emerging growth company, we may take advantage of exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies, including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation

Table of Contents

and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. As an emerging growth company we are required to report periodic financial results and selected financial data related to two fiscal years compared to three and five years, respectively, for comparable data required to be reported by other public companies in selected SEC reports. We may take advantage of these exemptions until we are no longer an emerging growth company. We could be an emerging growth company until September 30, 2018, although circumstances could cause us to lose that status earlier, including if the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of any March 31 (the end of our second fiscal quarter) before that time, in which case we would no longer be an emerging growth company as of the following September 30 (our fiscal year end). We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

Under the JOBS Act, emerging growth companies can also delay adopting new or revised accounting standards until such time as those standards apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption to delay the adoption of new or revised accounting standards and, therefore, will be subject to adopting new or revised accounting standards at the same time as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, stockholders could lose confidence in our financial and other public reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our common stock.

Effective internal controls over financial reporting are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and, together with adequate disclosure controls and procedures, are designed to prevent fraud. Any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation could cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. In addition, any testing by us conducted in connection with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or any subsequent testing by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses or that may require prospective or retroactive changes to our financial statements or identify other areas for further attention or improvement. Inferior internal controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the trading price of our common stock.

We are required to disclose changes made in our internal controls and procedures on a quarterly basis and our management is required to assess the effectiveness of these controls annually. However, for as long as we are an emerging growth company under the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404. We could be an emerging growth company until September 30, 2018. An independent assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls could detect problems that our management's assessment might not. Undetected material weaknesses in our internal controls could lead to financial statement restatements and require us to incur the expense of remediation.

Because we do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future, investors in our common stock may never receive a return on their investment.

You should not rely on an investment in our common stock to provide dividend income. We do not anticipate that we will pay any cash dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Instead, we plan to retain any earnings to maintain and expand our existing operations.

Accordingly, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any return on their investment. As a result, investors seeking cash dividends should not invest in our common stock.

A sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing well.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could occur at any time. These sales, or the perception in the market that the holders of a large number of shares intend to sell shares, could reduce the market price of our common stock. As of March 31, 2015, we had outstanding 18,690,395 shares of common stock. In addition, 2,264,422 shares of common stock that are either subject to outstanding options or reserved for future issuance under our equity plans are eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting schedules, and Rules 144 and 701 under the Securities Act. If these additional shares of common stock are sold, or it is perceived that they will be sold, in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Table of Contents

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research, or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research, about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will depend in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If too few securities or industry analysts cover our company, the trading price for our stock would likely be negatively impacted. In the event that one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which might cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None.

Use of Proceeds from the Sale of Registered Securities

In March 2013, we completed our IPO of 4,600,000 shares of our common stock at a public offering price of \$14.00 per share. The offer and sale of the shares in the offering were registered pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-184779), which was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2013.

As of March 31, 2015, we have used approximately \$27.4 million of the net proceeds from the IPO to fund our programs for the development of a cyclophilin inhibitor candidate and the development of a nucleotide polymerase inhibitor candidate and to fund new research and development activities. None of the net proceeds has been paid directly or indirectly to any of our directors or officers (or their associates) or persons owning ten percent or more of any class of our equity securities or to any other affiliates. The balance of the net proceeds from the offering has been invested in cash and cash equivalents and in short-term and long-term marketable securities, consisting of investment grade, interest bearing instruments and U.S. government securities, with maturities of no longer than 38 months. These investments are reflected in cash and cash equivalents, short-term marketable securities and long-term marketable securities on our balance sheet at March 31, 2015. There has been no material change in the expected use of the net proceeds from our IPO as described in our registration statement on Form S-1.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference				Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	File Number	
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	8-K	03/28/2013	3.1	001-35839	
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	8-K	03/28/2013	3.2	001-35839	
10.1	Fourth Amendment to Collaborative Development and License Agreement between the Company and AbbVie dated as of March 3, 2015.					X
10.2	First Amendment to Lease Agreement made as of March 5, 2015 between the Company and ARE-500 Arsenal Street LLC.					X
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.					X
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.					X
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
101	The following materials from the Quarterly Report of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014 of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., (ii) Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., (iii) Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of Enanta					X

Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Table of Contents

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Date: May 8, 2015

/s/ Paul J. Mellett
Paul J. Mellett

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents**ENANTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference				
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	File Number	Filed Herewith
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	8-K	03/28/2013	3.1	001-35839	
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	8-K	03/28/2013	3.2	001-35839	
10.1	Fourth Amendment to Collaborative Development and License Agreement between the Company and AbbVie dated as of March 3, 2015.					X
10.2	First Amendment to Lease Agreement made as of March 5, 2015 between the Company and ARE-500 Arsenal Street LLC.					X
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.					X
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.					X
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
101	The following materials from the Quarterly Report of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2015 and September 30, 2014 of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., (ii) Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 of Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., (iii) Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended					X

March 31, 2015 and 2014 of Enanta
Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and (iv) Notes to
Consolidated Financial Statements of Enanta
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.