

KEMPER Corp  
Form 10-Q  
November 06, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

---

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2014

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 001-18298

---

Kemper Corporation  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

Delaware 95-4255452  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

One East Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)  
(312) 661-4600  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller Reporting Company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

52,665,615 shares of common stock, \$0.10 par value, were outstanding as of October 31, 2014.



KEMPER CORPORATION  
INDEX

	Page
<u>Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
<b>PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Nine and Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Nine and Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2013</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>7</u>
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>53</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>55</u>
<b>PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>55</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>55</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>59</u>
Exhibit Index	<u>E-1</u>

### Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including, but not limited to, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”), Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, Risk Factors and the accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (including the notes thereto) of Kemper Corporation (“Kemper”) and its subsidiaries (individually and collectively referred to herein as the “Company”) may contain or incorporate by reference information that includes or is based on forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements give expectations or forecasts of future events. The reader can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They use words such as “believe(s),” “goal(s),” “target(s),” “estimate(s),” “anticipate(s),” “forecast(s),” “project(s),” “plan(s),” “intend(s),” “expect(s),” “might,” “may” and other words and terms of similar meaning in connection with a discussion of future operating performance, financial performance or financial condition. Forward-looking statements, in particular, include statements relating to future actions, prospective services or products, future performance or results of current and anticipated services or products, sales efforts, expenses, the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings, trends in operations and financial results. Any or all forward-looking statements may turn out to be wrong, and, accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. These statements are based on current expectations and the current economic environment. They involve a number of risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict. These statements are not guarantees of future performance; actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Many such factors will be important in determining the Company’s actual future results and financial condition. The reader should consider the following list of general factors that could affect the Company’s future results and financial condition, as well as those discussed under Item 1A., Risk Factors, of Part I of Kemper’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), for the year ended December 31, 2013 (the “2013 Annual Report”) as updated by Item 1A. of Part II of subsequently-filed Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, including this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Among the general factors that could cause actual results and financial condition to differ materially from estimated results and financial condition are:

- Factors related to the legal and regulatory environment in which Kemper and its subsidiaries operate
- Developments in, and outcomes of, initiatives by state officials that could result in significant changes to unclaimed property laws and claims handling practices with respect to life insurance policies, especially to the extent that such initiatives result in retroactive application of new requirements to existing life insurance policy contracts;
- Adverse outcomes in litigation or other legal or regulatory proceedings involving Kemper or its subsidiaries or affiliates;
- Governmental actions, including, but not limited to, implementation of the provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the “Health Care Acts”), the Dodd-Frank Act (the “DFA”), the Risk Management and Own Risk and Solvency Assessment Model Act (“RMORSA”) and other new laws, regulations or court decisions interpreting existing laws and regulations or policy provisions;
- Uncertainties related to regulatory approval of insurance rates, policy forms, license applications and similar matters;
- Factors relating to insurance claims and related reserves in the Company’s insurance businesses
- The incidence, frequency, and severity of catastrophes occurring in any particular reporting period or geographic area, including natural disasters, pandemics and terrorist attacks or other man-made events;
- The number and severity of insurance claims (including those associated with catastrophe losses) and their impact on the adequacy of loss reserves;
- Changes in facts and circumstances affecting assumptions used in determining loss and loss adjustment expenses (“LAE”) reserves;
- The impact of inflation on insurance claims, including, but not limited to, the effects attributed to scarcity of resources available to rebuild damaged structures, including labor and materials and the amount of salvage value recovered for

damaged property;

1

---

Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements (continued)

Developments related to insurance policy claims and coverage issues, including, but not limited to, interpretations or decisions by courts or regulators that may govern or influence losses incurred in connection with hurricanes and other catastrophes;

Orders, interpretations or other actions by regulators that impact the reporting, adjustment and payment of claims;

Changes in the pricing or availability of reinsurance, or in the financial condition of reinsurers and amounts recoverable therefrom;

Factors related to the Company's ability to compete

Changes in the ratings by rating agencies of Kemper and/or its insurance company subsidiaries with regard to credit, financial strength, claims paying ability and other areas on which the Company is rated;

The level of success and costs incurred in realizing economies of scale and implementing significant business consolidations, reorganizations and technology initiatives;

Absolute and relative performance of the Company's products or services;

Factors relating to the business environment in which Kemper and its subsidiaries operate

Changes in general economic conditions, including performance of financial markets, interest rates, unemployment rates and fluctuating values of particular investments held by the Company;

Absolute and relative performance of investments held by the Company;

Heightened competition, including, with respect to pricing, entry of new competitors, introduction of new technologies, refinements of existing products and the development of new products by new and existing competitors;

Changes in industry trends and significant industry developments;

Changes in capital requirements, including the calculations thereof, used by regulators and rating agencies;

Regulatory, accounting or tax changes that may affect the cost of, or demand for, the Company's products or services or after-tax returns from the Company's investments;

The impact of required participation in windpools and joint underwriting associations, residual market assessments and assessments for insurance industry insolvencies;

Changes in distribution channels, methods or costs resulting from changes in laws or regulations, lawsuits or market forces;

Increased costs and risks related to data security; and

Other risks and uncertainties described from time to time in Kemper's filings with the SEC.

No assurances can be given that the results contemplated in any forward-looking statements will be achieved or will be achieved in any particular timetable. The Company assumes no obligation to publicly correct or update any forward-looking statements as a result of events or developments subsequent to the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The reader is advised, however, to consult any further disclosures Kemper makes on related subjects in its filings with the SEC.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Revenues:				
Earned Premiums	\$1,410.7	\$1,530.2	\$462.8	\$507.5
Net Investment Income	216.0	237.8	72.3	82.4
Other Income	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.1
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	18.1	78.3	8.0	49.1
Other-than-temporary Impairment Losses:				
Total Other-than-temporary Impairment Losses	(8.7 )	(8.2 )	(3.8 )	(3.5 )
Portion of Losses Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income	—	1.9	—	0.1
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(8.7 )	(6.3 )	(3.8 )	(3.4 )
Total Revenues	1,636.9	1,840.5	539.8	635.7
Expenses:				
Policyholders' Benefits and Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses	975.9	1,041.7	300.5	338.3
Insurance Expenses	472.0	491.5	158.6	170.1
Write-off of Long-lived Asset	54.6	—	54.6	—
Interest and Other Expenses	67.3	74.3	22.1	25.3
Total Expenses	1,569.8	1,607.5	535.8	533.7
Income from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	67.1	233.0	4.0	102.0
Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	(17.8 )	(73.3 )	0.8	(33.4 )
Income from Continuing Operations	49.3	159.7	4.8	68.6
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations	(0.2 )	2.8	(0.1 )	1.5
Net Income	\$49.1	\$162.5	\$4.7	\$70.1
Income from Continuing Operations Per Unrestricted Share:				
Basic	\$0.90	\$2.77	\$0.09	\$1.21
Diluted	\$0.90	\$2.76	\$0.09	\$1.21
Net Income Per Unrestricted Share:				
Basic	\$0.90	\$2.82	\$0.09	\$1.24
Diluted	\$0.90	\$2.81	\$0.09	\$1.23
Dividends Paid to Shareholders Per Share	\$0.72	\$0.72	\$0.24	\$0.24

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
 (Dollars in millions)  
 (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30,	Sep 30,	Sep 30,	Sep 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net Income	\$49.1	\$162.5	\$4.7	\$70.1
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes:				
Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses)	220.5	(316.4)	2.9	(33.4)
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	(0.7)	0.1	(0.5)	0.2
Amortization of Unrecognized Postretirement Benefit Costs	5.9	18.4	2.0	6.3
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	225.7	(297.9)	4.4	(26.9)
Other Comprehensive Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	(79.6)	106.3	(1.6)	9.5
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	146.1	(191.6)	2.8	(17.4)
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)	\$195.2	\$(29.1)	\$7.5	\$52.7

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
Assets:		
Investments:		
Fixed Maturities at Fair Value (Amortized Cost: 2014 - \$4,318.5; 2013 - \$4,370.5)	\$4,697.2	\$4,575.0
Equity Securities at Fair Value (Cost: 2014 - \$547.3; 2013 - \$530.0)	661.4	598.5
Equity Method Limited Liability Investments at Cost Plus Cumulative Undistributed Earnings	222.8	245.1
Fair Value Option Investments	50.6	—
Short-term Investments at Cost which Approximates Fair Value	316.2	284.7
Other Investments	450.5	448.0
Total Investments	6,398.7	6,151.3
Cash	93.1	66.5
Receivables from Policyholders	314.4	331.6
Other Receivables	247.5	193.1
Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	306.7	302.9
Goodwill	311.8	311.8
Current and Deferred Income Tax Assets	4.3	31.8
Other Assets	228.8	267.4
Total Assets	\$7,905.3	\$7,656.4
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:		
Insurance Reserves:		
Life and Health	\$3,260.8	\$3,217.5
Property and Casualty	780.9	843.5
Total Insurance Reserves	4,041.7	4,061.0
Unearned Premiums	568.2	598.9
Liabilities for Income Taxes	48.7	8.3
Debt at Amortized Cost (Fair Value: 2014 - \$811.7; 2013 - \$667.1)	751.9	606.9
Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities	390.3	329.8
Total Liabilities	5,800.8	5,604.9
Shareholders' Equity:		
Common Stock, \$0.10 Par Value, 100 Million Shares Authorized; 52,665,615 Shares Issued and Outstanding at September 30, 2014 and 55,653,437 Shares Issued and Outstanding at December 31, 2013	5.3	5.6
Paid-in Capital	662.2	694.8
Retained Earnings	1,155.6	1,215.8
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	281.4	135.3
Total Shareholders' Equity	2,104.5	2,051.5
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$7,905.3	\$7,656.4

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 30,	Sep 30,
	2014	2013
Operating Activities:		
Net Income	\$49.1	\$162.5
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Increase in Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	(3.8	) (5.1 )
Amortization of Life Insurance in Force Acquired and Customer Relationships Acquired	5.4	6.3
Equity in Earnings of Equity Method Limited Liability Investments	(9.7	) (19.9 )
Distribution of Accumulated Earnings of Equity Method Limited Liability Investments	14.4	15.1
Appreciation on Fair Value Option Investments	(0.6	) —
Amortization of Investment Securities and Depreciation of Investment Real Estate	11.3	12.4
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	(18.1	) (78.3 )
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	8.7	6.3
Depreciation of Property and Equipment	13.0	12.7
Write-off of Long-lived Asset	54.6	—
Decrease in Receivables	21.0	13.5
Decrease in Insurance Reserves	(20.1	) (47.3 )
Decrease in Unearned Premiums	(30.7	) (15.6 )
Change in Income Taxes	(12.9	) 34.3
Increase (Decrease) in Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities	0.1	(36.2 )
Other, Net	17.7	32.2
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	99.4	92.9
Investing Activities:		
Sales, Paydowns and Maturities of Fixed Maturities	454.1	578.5
Purchases of Fixed Maturities	(404.3	) (667.4 )
Sales of Equity Securities	84.4	107.3
Purchases of Equity Securities	(95.1	) (136.0 )
Sales of and Return of Investment of Equity Method Limited Liability Investments	37.4	26.5
Acquisitions of Equity Method Limited Liability Investments	(19.8	) (8.3 )
Sales of Fair Value Option Investments	2.9	—
Purchases of Fair Value Option Investments	(52.9	) —
Decrease (Increase) in Short-term Investments	(34.1	) 39.7
Improvements of Investment Real Estate	(1.9	) (4.9 )
Sales of Investment Real Estate	0.9	102.5
Increase in Other Investments	(5.4	) (6.5 )
Purchase of Corporate-owned Life Insurance	(33.5	) —
Acquisition of Software	(8.5	) (12.5 )
Disposition of Business, Net of Cash Disposed	8.9	3.8
Other, Net	(5.4	) (8.5 )
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities	(72.3	) 14.2
Financing Activities:		
Net Proceeds from Issuance of Subordinated Debentures	144.2	—
Repayments of Debt	—	(5.5 )
Common Stock Repurchases	(106.5	) (82.9 )

Edgar Filing: KEMPER Corp - Form 10-Q

Dividends and Dividend Equivalents Paid	(39.2	)	(41.5	)
Cash Exercise of Stock Options	—		0.6	
Other, Net	1.0		2.0	
Net Cash Used by Financing Activities	(0.5	)	(127.3	)
Increase (Decrease) in Cash	26.6		(20.2	)
Cash, Beginning of Year	66.5		96.3	
Cash, End of Period	\$93.1		\$76.1	

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (Unaudited)

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC and include the accounts of Kemper Corporation (“Kemper”) and its subsidiaries (individually and collectively referred to herein as the “Company”) and are unaudited. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company realigned its property and casualty insurance businesses. As a result of the realignment, the property and casualty insurance businesses are being reported as a single business segment named the Property & Casualty Insurance segment. The Company has reclassified certain prior-year amounts in its segment results in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Certain financial information that is normally included in annual financial statements, including certain financial statement footnote disclosures, prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) is not required by the rules and regulations of the SEC for interim financial reporting and has been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of the Company’s management, the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. The preparation of interim financial statements relies heavily on estimates. This factor and other factors, such as the seasonal nature of some portions of the insurance business, as well as market conditions, call for caution in drawing specific conclusions from interim results. The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in the 2013 Annual Report.

Note 2 - Investments

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of the Company’s Investments in Fixed Maturities at September 30, 2014 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Amortized	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses	
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities	\$320.4	\$28.5	\$(5.4)	) \$343.5
States and Political Subdivisions	1,336.0	107.5	(4.3)	) 1,439.2
Corporate Securities:				
Bonds and Notes	2,589.4	268.0	(18.4)	) 2,839.0
Redeemable Preferred Stocks	6.2	1.2	—	) 7.4
Collateralized Loan Obligations	62.5	0.4	(0.4)	) 62.5
Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	4.0	1.6	—	) 5.6
Investments in Fixed Maturities	\$4,318.5	\$407.2	\$(28.5)	) \$4,697.2

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of the Company’s Investments in Fixed Maturities at December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Amortized	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
	Cost	Gains	Losses	
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities	\$351.1	\$22.8	\$(11.7)	) \$362.2
States and Political Subdivisions	1,327.4	53.8	(20.2)	) 1,361.0
Corporate Securities:				
Bonds and Notes	2,636.4	205.0	(47.7)	) 2,793.7
Redeemable Preferred Stocks	6.6	0.8	—	) 7.4
Collateralized Loan Obligations	44.2	0.5	—	) 44.7
Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	4.8	1.3	(0.1)	) 6.0
Investments in Fixed Maturities	\$4,370.5	\$284.2	\$(79.7)	) \$4,575.0

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 2 - Investments (continued)

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of the Company's Investments in Fixed Maturities at September 30, 2014 by contractual maturity were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in One Year or Less	\$46.6	\$47.9
Due after One Year to Five Years	728.5	777.4
Due after Five Years to Ten Years	1,329.0	1,378.5
Due after Ten Years	2,009.5	2,277.1
Asset-backed Securities Not Due at a Single Maturity Date	204.9	216.3
Investments in Fixed Maturities	\$4,318.5	\$4,697.2

The expected maturities of the Company's Investments in Fixed Maturities may differ from the contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Investments in Asset-backed Securities Not Due at a Single Maturity Date at September 30, 2014 consisted of securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association with a fair value of \$138.3 million, securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association with a fair value of \$9.6 million, securities issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation with a fair value of \$0.3 million and securities of other non-governmental issuers with a fair value of \$68.1 million.

There were no unsettled sales of Investments in Fixed Maturities at September 30, 2014. Other Receivables included unsettled sales of Investments in Fixed Maturities of \$2.5 million at December 31, 2013, all of which settled in the following month. Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities included unsettled purchases of Investments in Fixed Maturities of \$6.8 million at September 30, 2014, all of which settled in the following month. There were no unsettled purchases of Investments in Fixed Maturities at December 31, 2013.

Other Receivables included unsettled sales of Investments in Equity Securities of \$53.4 million at September 30, 2014, all of which settled in the following month. There were no unsettled sales of Investments in Equity Securities at December 31, 2013. Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities included unsettled purchases of Investments in Equity Securities of \$51.3 million at September 30, 2014, all of which settled in the following month. There were no unsettled purchases of Investments in Equity Securities at December 31, 2013.

Gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses on the Company's Investments in Equity Securities at September 30, 2014 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Preferred Stocks:				
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	\$85.5	\$4.9	\$(1.0)	\$89.4
Other Industries	20.2	5.6	(0.1)	25.7
Common Stocks:				
Manufacturing	84.8	25.9	(1.1)	109.6
Other Industries	67.3	22.6	(0.7)	89.2
Other Equity Interests:				
Exchange Traded Funds	125.2	5.2	(0.6)	129.8
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	164.3	55.7	(2.3)	217.7
Investments in Equity Securities	\$547.3	\$119.9	\$(5.8)	\$661.4

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

## Note 2 - Investments (continued)

Gross unrealized gains and gross unrealized losses on the Company's Investments in Equity Securities at December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Cost	Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Preferred Stocks:				
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	\$85.4	\$2.9	\$(2.5)	) \$85.8
Other Industries	20.1	4.4	(0.1)	) 24.4
Common Stocks:				
Manufacturing	83.4	21.3	(0.1)	) 104.6
Other Industries	68.8	17.0	(0.9)	) 84.9
Other Equity Interests:				
Exchange Traded Funds	122.0	3.9	(1.0)	) 124.9
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	150.3	25.2	(1.6)	) 173.9
Investments in Equity Securities	\$530.0	\$74.7	\$(6.2)	) \$598.5

An aging of unrealized losses on the Company's Investments in Fixed Maturities and Equity Securities at September 30, 2014 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	Unrealized
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Losses
Fixed Maturities:						
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities	\$24.3	\$(1.4)	) \$65.3	\$(4.0)	) \$89.6	\$(5.4)
States and Political Subdivisions	50.1	(0.1)	) 154.8	(4.2)	) 204.9	(4.3)
Corporate Securities:						
Bonds and Notes	250.8	(2.8)	) 409.4	(15.6)	) 660.2	(18.4)
Collateralized Loan Obligations	36.2	(0.3)	) 2.2	(0.1)	) 38.4	(0.4)
Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	—	—	) 0.7	—	) 0.7	—
Total Fixed Maturities	361.4	(4.6)	) 632.4	(23.9)	) 993.8	(28.5)
Equity Securities:						
Preferred Stocks:						
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	5.0	—	) 12.1	(1.0)	) 17.1	(1.0)
Other Industries	0.7	(0.1)	) 0.7	—	) 1.4	(0.1)
Common Stocks:						
Manufacturing	30.8	(1.1)	) 0.3	—	) 31.1	(1.1)
Other Industries	12.0	(0.7)	) —	—	) 12.0	(0.7)
Other Equity Interests:						
Exchange Traded Funds	51.2	(0.2)	) 14.5	(0.4)	) 65.7	(0.6)
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	74.8	(2.0)	) 2.4	(0.3)	) 77.2	(2.3)
Total Equity Securities	174.5	(4.1)	) 30.0	(1.7)	) 204.5	(5.8)
Total	\$535.9	\$(8.7)	) \$662.4	\$(25.6)	) \$1,198.3	\$(34.3)

The Company regularly reviews its investment portfolio for factors that may indicate that a decline in fair value of an investment is other than temporary. The portions of the declines in the fair values of investments that are determined to be other than temporary are reported as losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income in the periods

when such determinations are made.

9

---

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 2 - Investments (continued)

Unrealized losses on fixed maturities, which the Company has determined to be temporary at September 30, 2014, were \$28.5 million, of which \$23.9 million was related to fixed maturities that were in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer. There were no unrealized losses at September 30, 2014 related to securities for which the Company has recognized credit losses in earnings in the preceding table under the heading "Less Than 12 Months." Included in the preceding table under the heading "12 Months or Longer" were unrealized losses of \$0.3 million related to securities for which the Company has recognized credit losses in earnings. Investment-grade fixed maturity investments comprised \$24.7 million and below-investment-grade fixed maturity investments comprised \$3.8 million of the unrealized losses on investments in fixed maturities at September 30, 2014. For below-investment-grade fixed maturity investments in an unrealized loss position, the unrealized loss amount, on average, was less than 4% of the amortized cost basis of the investment. At September 30, 2014, the Company did not have the intent to sell these investments and it was not more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell these investments before it recovered the amortized cost of such investments, which may be at maturity. Based on the Company's evaluation at September 30, 2014 of the prospects of the issuers, including, but not limited to, the credit ratings of the issuers of the investments in the fixed maturities, and the Company's intention to not sell and its determination that it would not be required to sell before it recovered the amortized cost of such investments, the Company concluded that the declines in the fair values of the Company's investments in fixed maturities presented in the preceding table were temporary at the evaluation date.

For equity securities, the Company considers various factors when determining whether a decline in the fair value is other than temporary, including, but not limited to:

- The financial condition and prospects of the issuer;
- The length of time and magnitude of the unrealized loss;
- The volatility of the investment;
- Analyst recommendations and near term price targets;
- Opinions of the Company's external investment managers;
- Market liquidity;
- Debt-like characteristics of perpetual preferred stocks and issuer ratings; and
- The Company's intentions to sell or ability to hold the investments until recovery.

With respect to Investments in Equity Securities, the Company concluded that the unrealized losses on its investments in preferred and common stocks at September 30, 2014 were temporary based on various factors, including the relative short length and magnitude of the losses and overall market volatility. The Company's investments in other equity interests include investments in limited liability companies and limited partnerships that primarily invest in mezzanine debt, distressed debt, and secondary transactions. By the nature of their underlying investments, the Company believes that its investments in the limited liability companies and limited partnerships exhibit debt-like characteristics which, among other factors, the Company also considers when evaluating these investments for impairment. Based on evaluations of the factors in the preceding paragraph, the Company concluded that the declines in the fair values of the Company's investments in equity securities presented in the preceding table were temporary at September 30, 2014.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 2 - Investments (continued)

An aging of unrealized losses on the Company's Investments in Fixed Maturities and Equity Securities at December 31, 2013 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>Fixed Maturities:</b>						
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities	\$95.8	\$(10.9)	\$4.4	\$(0.8)	\$100.2	\$(11.7)
States and Political Subdivisions	222.9	(20.1)	2.0	(0.1)	224.9	(20.2)
<b>Corporate Securities:</b>						
Bonds and Notes	699.8	(39.4)	103.2	(8.3)	803.0	(47.7)
Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	13.9	(0.1)	1.1	—	15.0	(0.1)
<b>Total Fixed Maturities</b>	<b>1,032.4</b>	<b>(70.5)</b>	<b>110.7</b>	<b>(9.2)</b>	<b>1,143.1</b>	<b>(79.7)</b>
<b>Equity Securities:</b>						
<b>Preferred Stocks:</b>						
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	22.5	(2.5)	2.5	—	25.0	(2.5)
Other Industries	4.3	(0.1)	0.7	—	5.0	(0.1)
<b>Common Stocks:</b>						
Manufacturing	5.0	(0.1)	0.2	—	5.2	(0.1)
Other Industries	14.2	(0.9)	0.5	—	14.7	(0.9)
<b>Other Equity Interests:</b>						
Exchange Traded Funds	67.6	(1.0)	—	—	67.6	(1.0)
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	53.1	(0.9)	5.0	(0.7)	58.1	(1.6)
<b>Total Equity Securities</b>	<b>166.7</b>	<b>(5.5)</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>(0.7)</b>	<b>175.6</b>	<b>(6.2)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,199.1</b>	<b>\$(76.0)</b>	<b>\$119.6</b>	<b>\$(9.9)</b>	<b>\$1,318.7</b>	<b>\$(85.9)</b>

Unrealized losses on fixed maturities, which the Company has determined to be temporary at December 31, 2013, were \$79.7 million, of which \$9.2 million was related to fixed maturities that were in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer. There were no unrealized losses at December 31, 2013 related to securities for which the Company has recognized credit losses in earnings in the preceding table under the heading "Less Than 12 Months." Included in the preceding table under the heading "12 Months or Longer" there were unrealized losses of \$0.3 million at December 31, 2013 related to securities for which the Company has recognized credit losses in earnings.

Investment-grade fixed maturity investments comprised \$74.2 million and below-investment-grade fixed maturity investments comprised \$5.5 million of the unrealized losses on investments in fixed maturities at December 31, 2013. For below-investment-grade fixed maturity investments in an unrealized loss position, the unrealized loss amount, on average, was less than 5% of the amortized cost basis of the investment. At December 31, 2013, the Company did not have the intent to sell these investments and it was not more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell these investments before it recovered the amortized cost of such investments, which may be at maturity. Based on the Company's evaluation at December 31, 2013 of the prospects of the issuers, including, but not limited to, the credit ratings of the issuers of the investments in the fixed maturities, and the Company's intention to not sell and its determination that it would not be required to sell before it recovered the amortized cost of such investments, the Company concluded that the declines in the fair values of the Company's investments in fixed maturities presented in the preceding table were temporary at the evaluation date.

With respect to Investments in Equity Securities, the Company concluded that the unrealized losses on its investments in preferred and common stocks at December 31, 2013 were temporary based on various factors, including the relative short length and magnitude of the losses and overall market volatility. The Company's investments in other equity interests include investments in limited liability companies and limited partnerships that primarily invest in distressed debt, mezzanine debt and secondary transactions. By the nature of their underlying investments, the Company believes that its investments in limited liability companies and limited partnerships also exhibit debt-like characteristics which, among other factors, the Company also

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 2 - Investments (continued)

considers when evaluating these investments for impairment. Based on the Company's evaluations, it concluded that the declines in the fair values of the Company's investments in equity securities in the preceding table were temporary at December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth the pre-tax amount of other-than-temporary-impairment ("OTTI") credit losses, recognized in Retained Earnings for Investments in Fixed Maturities held by the Company as of the dates indicated, for which the portion of the OTTI loss related to factors other than credit has been recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, and the corresponding changes in such amounts.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$9.9	\$4.6	\$12.9	\$4.4
Additions for Previously Unrecognized OTTI Credit Losses	2.4	1.8	—	0.8
Increases to Previously Recognized OTTI Credit Losses	0.6	1.3	—	1.3
Reductions for Change in Impairment Status:				
From Status of Credit Loss to Status of Intent-to-sell or Required-to-sell	(2.4 )	(3.2 )	(2.4 )	(2.1 )
Reductions for Investments Sold During Period	—	(0.1 )	—	—
Balance at End of Period	\$10.5	\$4.4	\$10.5	\$4.4

Gross gains and losses on sales of investments in fixed maturities and equity securities for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Fixed Maturities:				
Gains on Sales	\$5.0	\$29.6	\$0.2	\$2.5
Equity Securities:				
Gains on Sales	11.7	1.9	7.9	0.7
Losses on Sales	—	(0.3 )	—	(0.2 )

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, Kemper purchased and sold \$52.9 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, of investments in certain hedge funds. The Company has elected the fair value option ("FVO") to account for such investments. Under the FVO method of accounting, the Company reports changes in the fair value of such investments in Net Investment Income in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income. The hedge funds are designed to preserve liquidity, while providing higher returns than Kemper would otherwise expect to earn had it invested in other short-term investments. The fair value of such investments reported as Fair Value Option Investments in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$50.6 million at September 30, 2014.

The carrying values of the Company's Other Investments at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
Loans to Policyholders at Unpaid Principal	\$280.8	\$275.4
Real Estate at Depreciated Cost	164.3	167.1
Trading Securities at Fair Value	5.0	5.0
Other	0.4	0.5
Total	\$450.5	\$448.0



KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 3 - Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves

Property and casualty insurance reserve activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves:		
Gross of Reinsurance at Beginning of Year	\$843.5	\$970.6
Less Reinsurance Recoverables at Beginning of Year	63.4	66.2
Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves - Net of Reinsurance at Beginning of Year	780.1	904.4
Incurred Losses and LAE Related to:		
Current Year:		
Continuing Operations	756.9	811.1
Prior Years:		
Continuing Operations	(44.7	) (43.2
Discontinued Operations	(0.2	) (4.5
Total Incurred Losses and LAE Related to Prior Years	(44.9	) (47.7
Total Incurred Losses and LAE	712.0	763.4
Paid Losses and LAE Related to:		
Current Year:		
Continuing Operations	460.3	485.8
Prior Years:		
Continuing Operations	302.6	354.4
Discontinued Operations	6.5	9.8
Total Paid Losses and LAE Related to Prior Years	309.1	364.2
Total Paid Losses and LAE	769.4	850.0
Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves - Net of Reinsurance at End of Period	722.7	817.8
Plus Reinsurance Recoverables at End of Period	58.2	66.8
Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves - Gross of Reinsurance at End of Period	\$780.9	\$884.6

Property and casualty insurance reserves are estimated based on historical experience patterns and current economic trends. Actual loss experience and loss trends are likely to differ from these historical experience patterns and economic conditions. Loss experience and loss trends emerge over several years from the dates of loss inception. The Company monitors such emerging loss trends on a quarterly basis. Changes in such estimates are included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income in the period of change.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company reduced its property and casualty insurance reserves by \$44.9 million to recognize favorable development of losses and LAE from prior accident years. Personal lines insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$44.0 million, and commercial lines insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$0.9 million. Personal automobile insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$26.9 million, homeowners insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$13.3 million, and other personal lines losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$3.8 million. The personal lines insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably due primarily to the emergence of more favorable loss patterns than expected for the three most recent accident years.

## KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

## Note 3 - Property and Casualty Insurance Reserves (continued)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company reduced its property and casualty insurance reserves by \$47.7 million to recognize favorable development of losses and LAE from prior accident years. Personal lines insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$41.2 million, and commercial lines insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$6.5 million. The commercial lines insurance losses and LAE reserves included favorable development of \$2.0 million from continuing operations and \$4.5 million from discontinued operations. Personal automobile insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$22.6 million, homeowners insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$13.3 million, and other personal lines losses and LAE reserves developed favorably by \$5.3 million. The personal lines insurance losses and LAE reserves developed favorably due primarily to the emergence of more favorable loss patterns than expected for the three most recent accident years. The Company cannot predict whether losses and LAE will develop favorably or unfavorably from the amounts reported in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company believes that any such development will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated shareholders' equity, but could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial results for a given period.

## Note 4 - Debt

The amortized cost of debt outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
Senior Notes:		
6.00% Senior Notes due November 30, 2015	\$249.4	\$249.0
6.00% Senior Notes due May 15, 2017	358.3	357.9
7.375% Subordinated Debentures due February 27, 2054	144.2	—
Total Debt Outstanding	\$751.9	\$606.9

Kemper has a four-year, \$225.0 million, unsecured, revolving credit agreement, expiring March 7, 2016, with a group of financial institutions. The credit agreement provides for fixed and floating rate advances for periods up to six months at various interest rates. The credit agreement contains various financial covenants, including limits on total debt to total capitalization, consolidated net worth and minimum risk-based capital ratios for Kemper's largest insurance subsidiaries, United Insurance Company of America ("United Insurance") and Trinity Universal Insurance Company ("Trinity"). Proceeds from advances under the credit agreement may be used for general corporate purposes, including repayment of existing indebtedness. There were no outstanding borrowings under the credit agreement at either September 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

United Insurance and Trinity are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Chicago and Dallas, respectively. The FHLB memberships provide United Insurance and Trinity with access to additional sources of liquidity. Effective December 31, 2013, Trinity and the FHLB of Dallas entered into agreements pursuant to which Trinity may obtain advances from the FHLB of Dallas. Effective March 18, 2014, United Insurance and the FHLB of Chicago entered into agreements pursuant to which United Insurance may obtain advances from the FHLB of Chicago. Advances from the FHLB of Dallas and Chicago are subject to collateral requirements as specified in the respective agreements with Trinity and United Insurance. There were no advances from the FHLB of Dallas or Chicago outstanding at either September 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

On February 27, 2014, Kemper issued \$150.0 million of its 7.375% subordinated debentures due February 27, 2054 (the "2054 Subordinated Debentures"). The net proceeds of the issuance were \$144.2 million, net of discount and transaction costs, for an effective yield of 7.69%. The 2054 Subordinated Debentures are unsecured and are subordinated and junior to the senior indebtedness of Kemper. Interest on the 2054 Subordinated Debentures is payable quarterly. As long as no event of default has occurred, Kemper may defer interest payments on the 2054 Subordinated Debentures for up to five consecutive years without giving rise to an event of default. During a deferral

period, interest will continue to accrue at the stated interest rate compounded quarterly. Kemper is permitted to redeem some or all of the 2054 Subordinated Debentures on or after February 27, 2019, at a redemption price that is equal to their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Kemper is permitted to redeem the 2054 Subordinated Debentures in whole, but not in part, at any time prior to February 27, 2019, within 90 days of the occurrence of certain tax events or rating agency events, at specified redemption prices.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 4 - Debt (Continued)

Interest Expense, including facility fees, accretion of discount and amortization of issuance costs, for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Notes Payable under Revolving Credit Agreement	\$0.6	\$0.9	\$0.2	\$0.3
Senior Notes Payable:				
6.00% Senior Notes due November 30, 2015	11.6	11.6	3.9	3.9
6.00% Senior Notes due May 15, 2017	16.6	16.6	5.5	5.5
7.375% Subordinated Debentures due February 27, 2054	6.6	—	2.8	—
Mortgage Note Payable	—	0.2	—	—
Interest Expense before Capitalization of Interest	35.4	29.3	12.4	9.7
Capitalization of Interest	(0.8 )	(0.7 )	(0.3 )	(0.2 )
Total Interest Expense	\$34.6	\$28.6	\$12.1	\$9.5

Interest paid, including facility fees, for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Notes Payable under Revolving Credit Agreement	\$0.5	\$0.6	\$0.2	\$0.4
Senior Notes Payable:				
6.00% Senior Notes due November 30, 2015	7.5	7.5	—	—
6.00% Senior Notes due May 15, 2017	10.8	10.8	—	—
7.375% Subordinated Debentures due February 27, 2054	5.5	—	2.7	—
Mortgage Note Payable	—	0.3	—	0.1
Total Interest Paid	\$24.3	\$19.2	\$2.9	\$0.5

Note 5 - Long-term Equity-based Compensation Plans

As of September 30, 2014, there were 8,187,286 common shares available for future grants under Kemper's long-term equity-based compensation plan, of which 538,050 shares were reserved for future grants based on the performance level attained under the terms of outstanding performance-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock unit ("RSU") awards. Equity-based compensation expense was \$5.8 million and \$4.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Total unamortized compensation expense related to nonvested awards at September 30, 2014 was \$5.6 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

Outstanding equity-based compensation awards at December 31, 2013 consisted of tandem stock option and stock appreciation rights ("Tandem Awards"), time-vested restricted stock, performance-based restricted stock and deferred stock units ("DSUs"). Effective February 4, 2014, the Company began issuing time-based and performance-based RSUs. Recipients of restricted stock receive full dividend and voting rights on the same basis as all other outstanding shares of Kemper common stock. Recipients of RSUs and DSUs receive full dividend equivalents on the same basis as all other outstanding shares of Kemper common stock, but do not receive voting rights until such shares are issued. Except for equity-based compensation awards granted to each member of the Board of Directors who is not employed by the Company ("Non-employee Directors"), all outstanding awards are subject to forfeiture until certain restrictions have lapsed.



KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 5 - Long-term Equity-based Compensation Plans (continued)

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of each Tandem Award on the date of grant. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes pricing model for Tandem Awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Range of Valuation Assumptions	Nine Months Ended		Sep 30, 2013		
	Sep 30, 2014		Sep 30, 2013		
Expected Volatility	25.76	%- 44.43	% 39.10	%- 48.23	%
Risk-free Interest Rate	1.07	- 2.14	0.62	- 1.38	
Expected Dividend Yield	2.53	- 2.60	2.83	- 3.00	
Weighted-Average Expected Life in Years					
Employee Grants	4	- 7	4	- 7	
Director Grants	6		6		

Tandem Award activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is presented below.

	Shares Subject to Award	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share (\$)	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$ in Millions)
Outstanding at Beginning of the Year	2,543,673	\$41.37		
Granted	289,000	36.59		
Exercised	(26,375 )	28.76		
Forfeited or Expired	(492,248 )	47.56		
Outstanding at September 30, 2014	2,314,050	\$39.61	4.20	\$ 3.1
Vested and Expected to Vest at September 30, 2014	2,289,388	\$39.67	4.16	\$ 3.1
Exercisable at September 30, 2014	1,970,046	\$40.49	3.41	\$ 2.8

The weighted-average grant-date fair values of Tandem Awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$10.49 per option and \$10.20 per option, respectively. Total intrinsic value of Tandem Awards exercised was \$0.3 million and \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The total tax benefit realized for tax deductions from exercises of Tandem Awards was \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cash received from exercises of Tandem Awards was insignificant for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Cash received from exercises of Tandem Awards was \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 5 - Long-term Equity-based Compensation Plans (continued)

Information pertaining to Tandem Awards outstanding at September 30, 2014 is presented below.

Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding			Exercisable		
	Shares Subject to Award	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share (\$)	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Shares Subject to Tandem Award	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share (\$)	
\$ 10.00 - \$ 15.00	16,750	\$ 13.55	2.70	16,750	\$ 13.55	
15.01 - 20.00	8,000	16.48	4.60	8,000	16.48	
20.01 - 25.00	30,750	23.38	4.18	30,750	23.38	
25.01 - 30.00	389,000	28.85	6.71	339,248	28.74	
30.01 - 35.00	243,750	33.18	8.29	140,500	32.98	
35.01 - 40.00	586,625	36.90	6.26	395,623	37.11	
40.01 - 45.00	185,902	43.10	0.34	185,902	43.10	
45.01 - 50.00	796,505	48.59	1.41	796,505	48.59	
50.01 - 55.00	56,768	51.16	0.35	56,768	51.16	
10.00 - 55.00	2,314,050	39.61	4.20	1,970,046	40.49	

The grant-date fair values of time-based restricted stock and time-based RSU awards are determined using the closing price of Kemper common stock on the date of grant. Activity related to nonvested time-based restricted stock and nonvested time-based RSUs for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Time-based Restricted Stock Awards		Time-based RSU Awards	
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share	Number of RSUs	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per RSU
Nonvested Balance at Beginning of the Year	101,627	\$ 31.48	—	\$ —
Granted	2,000	38.59	41,925	36.56
Vested	(25,568 )	30.56	(9,351 )	36.47
Forfeited	(21,789 )	31.00	(1,500 )	36.47
Nonvested Balance at End of Period	56,270	32.33	31,074	36.60

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 5 - Long-term Equity-based Compensation Plans (continued)

The grant-date fair values of the performance-based restricted stock and performance-based RSU awards are determined using the Monte Carlo simulation method. Activity related to nonvested performance-based restricted stock and nonvested performance-based RSUs for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Performance-based Restricted Stock Awards		Performance-based RSU Awards	
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share	Number of RSUs	Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per RSU
Nonvested Balance at Beginning of the Year	176,800	\$ 39.54	—	\$ —
Granted	—	—	66,575	40.50
Vested	(54,934 )	39.83	—	—
Forfeited	(7,691 )	40.12	(1,400 )	40.50
Nonvested Balance at End of Period	114,175	39.37	65,175	40.50

The initial number of shares or RSUs awarded to each participant of a performance-based award represents the shares that would vest, or, in the case of a RSU, that would vest and would be issued, if the performance level attained were to be at the “target” performance level. For performance above the target level, each participant would receive a grant of additional shares of stock up to a maximum of 100% of the initial number of shares or RSUs awarded to the participant. The final payout of these awards will be determined based on Kemper’s total shareholder return over a three-year performance period relative to a peer group comprised of all the companies in the S&P Supercomposite Insurance Index. The number of additional shares that would be granted if the Company were to meet or exceed the maximum performance levels related to the outstanding performance-based awards for the 2014, 2013 and 2012 three-year performance periods was 65,175 common shares, 56,400 common shares and 57,775 common shares, respectively, at September 30, 2014. For the 2011 three-year performance period, the Company exceeded target performance levels with a total payout percentage, including the target payout percentage, of 118%. Accordingly, an additional 9,014 shares of stock were issued to award recipients on February 1, 2014 (the “2011 Additional Shares”). The total fair value of the shares of restricted stock and RSUs that vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the 2011 Additional Shares that were issued was \$3.6 million and the tax benefits for tax deductions realized from such shares was \$1.2 million. The total fair value of the shares of restricted stock that vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the additional shares that were issued in connection with the 2010 performance-based restricted stock awards was \$4.0 million and the tax benefits for tax deductions realized from such shares was \$1.4 million.

The grant-date fair values of DSU awards granted to Non-employee Directors are determined using the closing price of Kemper common stock on the date of grant. DSU awards granted to Non-employee Directors are fully vested on the date of grant. Activity related to DSU awards for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was as follows:

	Number of DSUs	Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per DSU
Vested Balance at Beginning of the Year	4,000	\$31.50
Granted and Vested	4,000	37.53

Vested Balance at End of Period	8,000	34.52
---------------------------------	-------	-------

18

---

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 6 - Income from Continuing Operations Per Unrestricted Share

The Company's awards of restricted stock contain rights to receive non-forfeitable dividends and participate in the undistributed earnings with common shareholders. The Company's awards of RSUs and DSUs contain rights to receive non-forfeitable dividend equivalents and participate in the undistributed earnings with common shareholders. Accordingly, the Company is required to apply the two-class method of computing basic and diluted earnings per share. A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of Basic Income from Continuing Operations Per Unrestricted Share and Diluted Income from Continuing Operations Per Unrestricted Share for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
(Dollars in Millions)				
Income from Continuing Operations	\$49.3	\$159.7	\$4.8	\$68.6
Less Income from Continuing Operations Attributed to Participating Awards	0.2	0.8	—	0.3
Income from Continuing Operations Attributed to Unrestricted Shares	49.1	158.9	4.8	68.3
Dilutive Effect on Income of Equity-based Compensation Equivalent Shares	—	—	—	—
Diluted Income from Continuing Operations Attributed to Unrestricted Shares	\$49.1	\$158.9	\$4.8	\$68.3
(Number of Shares in Thousands)				
Weighted-average Unrestricted Shares Outstanding	54,245.7	57,374.4	52,605.3	56,365.8
Equity-based Compensation Equivalent Shares	110.5	118.8	94.6	135.7
Weighted-average Unrestricted Shares and Equivalent Shares Outstanding Assuming Dilution	54,356.2	57,493.2	52,699.9	56,501.5
(Per Unrestricted Share in Whole Dollars)				
Basic Income from Continuing Operations Per Unrestricted Share	\$0.90	\$2.77	\$0.09	\$1.21
Diluted Income from Continuing Operations Per Unrestricted Share	\$0.90	\$2.76	\$0.09	\$1.21

The number of shares of Kemper common stock that were excluded from the calculations of Equity-based Compensation Equivalent Shares and Weighted-average Unrestricted Shares and Equivalent Shares Outstanding Assuming Dilution for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 because the exercise prices for the options exceeded the average market price is presented below.

	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
(Number of Shares in Thousands)				
Equity-based Compensation Equivalent Shares	1,540.6	2,194.0	1,830.5	2,125.8
Weighted-average Unrestricted Shares and Equivalent Shares Outstanding Assuming Dilution	1,540.6	2,194.0	1,830.5	2,125.8

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 7 - Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The components of Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes:				
Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses) Arising During the Period Before Reclassification Adjustment	\$228.5	\$(291.5 )	\$7.2	\$(33.8 )
Reclassification Adjustment for Amounts Included in Net Income	(8.0 )	(24.9 )	(4.3 )	0.4
Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses)	220.5	(316.4 )	2.9	(33.4 )
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	(0.7 )	0.1	(0.5 )	0.2
Amortization of Unrecognized Postretirement Benefit Costs	5.9	18.4	2.0	6.3
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	\$225.7	\$(297.9 )	\$4.4	\$(26.9 )

The components of Other Comprehensive Income Tax Benefit (Expense) for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Other Comprehensive Income Tax Benefit (Expense):				
Unrealized Holding Gains and Losses Arising During the Period Before Reclassification Adjustment	\$(80.6 )	\$104.1	\$(2.6 )	\$11.9
Reclassification Adjustment for Amounts Included in Net Income	2.8	8.7	1.5	(0.1 )
Unrealized Holding Gains and Losses	(77.8 )	112.8	(1.1 )	11.8
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	0.3	—	0.2	—
Amortization of Unrecognized Postretirement Benefit Costs	(2.1 )	(6.5 )	(0.7 )	(2.3 )
Other Comprehensive Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	\$(79.6 )	\$106.3	\$(1.6 )	\$9.5

The components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI") at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
Net Unrealized Gains on Investments, Net of Income Taxes:		
Available for Sale Fixed Maturities with Portion of OTTI Recognized in Earnings	\$1.0	\$0.3
Other Net Unrealized Gains on Investments	317.5	175.5
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments, Net of Income Taxes	0.4	0.8
Net Unrecognized Postretirement Benefit Costs, Net of Income Taxes	(37.5 )	(41.3 )
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	\$281.4	\$135.3

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 7 - Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (continued)  
Components of AOCI were reclassified to the following lines of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Reclassification of AOCI from Net Unrealized Gains on Investments to:				
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	\$16.7	\$31.2	\$8.1	\$3.0
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(8.7 )	(6.3 )	(3.8 )	(3.4 )
Total Before Income Taxes	8.0	24.9	4.3	(0.4 )
Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	(2.8 )	(8.7 )	(1.5 )	0.1
Reclassification from AOCI, Net of Income Taxes	5.2	16.2	2.8	(0.3 )
Reclassification of AOCI from Amortization of Unrecognized Postretirement Benefit Costs to:				
Interest and Other Expenses	(5.9 )	(18.4 )	(2.0 )	(6.3 )
Income Tax Benefit	2.1	6.5	0.7	2.3
Reclassification from AOCI, Net of Income Taxes	(3.8 )	(11.9 )	(1.3 )	(4.0 )
Total Reclassification from AOCI to Net Income	\$1.4	\$4.3	\$1.5	\$(4.3 )

Note 8 - Income Taxes

Current and Deferred Income Tax Assets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
Current Income Tax Assets	\$4.3	\$—
Deferred Income Tax Assets	—	31.8
Current and Deferred Income Tax Assets	\$4.3	\$31.8

The components of Liabilities for Income Taxes at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
Current Income Tax Liabilities	\$—	\$1.5
Deferred Income Tax Liabilities	41.7	—
Unrecognized Tax Benefits	7.0	6.8
Liabilities for Income Taxes	\$48.7	\$8.3

Income taxes paid were \$30.1 million and \$39.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

In July 2014, the Company extended the federal statute of limitations related to its 2007 through 2010 tax years to July 31, 2015. The extension was requested by the Internal Revenue Service to provide it with additional time to prepare the necessary documentation for the Joint Committee of Taxation's review required by statute. The Company does not anticipate a material modification to the filed returns or the related refunds that have been received.

During the second quarter of 2014, the Illinois Department of Revenue finalized its examinations of the Company's 2009 and 2010 tax returns with no material change in the Company's tax liability.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 9 - Pension Benefits and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

The components of Pension Expense for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Service Cost Earned	\$6.5	\$8.1	\$2.1	\$2.7
Interest Cost on Projected Benefit Obligation	18.6	16.8	6.2	5.6
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(26.2 )	(22.3 )	(8.7 )	(7.4 )
Amortization of Accumulated Unrecognized Actuarial Loss	7.3	19.7	2.5	6.6
Total Pension Expense Recognized	\$6.2	\$22.3	\$2.1	\$7.5

The components of Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions Expense for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Service Cost on Benefits Earned	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$—	\$—
Interest Cost on Projected Benefit Obligation	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.3
Amortization of Accumulated Unrecognized Actuarial Gain	(1.4 )	(0.9 )	(0.5 )	(0.3 )
Total Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions Expense	\$(0.4 )	\$0.1	\$(0.2 )	\$—

Note 10 - Business Segments

The Company is engaged, through its subsidiaries, in the property and casualty insurance and life and health insurance businesses. The Company conducts its operations through two operating segments: Property & Casualty Insurance and Life & Health Insurance.

The Property & Casualty Insurance segment's principal products are personal automobile insurance, both standard and non-standard risk, homeowners insurance, other personal insurance and commercial automobile insurance. These products are primarily distributed through independent agents, employer-sponsored voluntary benefit programs and other affinity relationships. The Life & Health Insurance segment's principal products are individual life, accident, health and property insurance. These products are distributed by career agents employed by the Company as well as exclusive and non-exclusive independent agents.

Earned Premiums by product line for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Personal Automobile	\$633.4	\$729.4	\$205.1	\$239.1
Homeowners	236.6	244.8	77.9	82.3
Other Personal Property and Casualty Insurance	96.2	101.2	31.8	33.5
Commercial Automobile	40.8	38.7	14.1	13.5
Life	291.6	294.9	96.8	98.4
Accident and Health	112.1	121.2	37.1	40.7
Total Earned Premiums	\$1,410.7	\$1,530.2	\$462.8	\$507.5

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 10 - Business Segments (continued)

Segment Revenues, including a reconciliation to Total Revenues, for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Revenues:				
Property & Casualty Insurance:				
Earned Premiums	\$949.5	\$1,054.7	\$309.7	\$348.7
Net Investment Income	55.6	67.9	18.5	21.3
Other Income	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1
Total Property & Casualty Insurance	1,005.5	1,123.0	328.3	370.1
Life & Health Insurance:				
Earned Premiums	461.2	475.5	153.1	158.8
Net Investment Income	147.4	159.3	49.2	56.5
Other Income	0.4	0.1	0.4	—
Total Life & Health Insurance	609.0	634.9	202.7	215.3
Total Segment Revenues	1,614.5	1,757.9	531.0	585.4
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	18.1	78.3	8.0	49.1
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(8.7 )	(6.3 )	(3.8 )	(3.4 )
Other	13.0	10.6	4.6	4.6
Total Revenues	\$1,636.9	\$1,840.5	\$539.8	\$635.7

Segment Operating Profit, including a reconciliation to Income from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes, for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Segment Operating Profit (Loss):				
Property & Casualty Insurance	\$(8.9 )	\$98.0	\$(23.9 )	\$32.2
Life & Health Insurance	90.7	98.3	31.4	35.2
Total Segment Operating Profit	81.8	196.3	7.5	67.4
Corporate and Other Operating Loss	(24.1 )	(35.3 )	(7.7 )	(11.1 )
Total Operating Profit (Loss)	57.7	161.0	(0.2 )	56.3
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	18.1	78.3	8.0	49.1
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(8.7 )	(6.3 )	(3.8 )	(3.4 )
Income from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	\$67.1	\$233.0	\$4.0	\$102.0

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 10 - Business Segments (continued)

Segment Net Operating Income, including a reconciliation to Income from Continuing Operations, for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Segment Net Operating Income (Loss):				
Property & Casualty Insurance	\$(0.4	) \$71.5	\$(13.6	) \$23.4
Life & Health Insurance	58.3	64.4	20.3	22.9
Total Segment Net Operating Income	57.9	135.9	6.7	46.3
Corporate and Other Net Operating Loss	(14.7	) (23.0	) (4.6	) (7.4
Consolidated Net Operating Income	43.2	112.9	2.1	38.9
Net Income (Loss) From:				
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	11.8	50.9	5.2	31.9
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(5.7	) (4.1	) (2.5	) (2.2
Income from Continuing Operations	\$49.3	\$159.7	\$4.8	\$68.6

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 11 - Fair Value Measurements

The Company classifies its investments in Fixed Maturities and Equity Securities as available for sale and reports these investments at fair value. The Company classifies certain investments in mutual funds included in Other Investments as trading securities and reports these investments at fair value. The Company has no material liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value.

The valuation of assets measured at fair value in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at September 30, 2014 is summarized below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Fair Value Measurements			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Fixed Maturities:				
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities	\$124.4	\$219.1	\$—	\$343.5
States and Political Subdivisions	—	1,439.2	—	1,439.2
Corporate Securities:				
Bonds and Notes	—	2,498.0	341.0	2,839.0
Redeemable Preferred Stocks	—	—	7.4	7.4
Collateralized Loan Obligations	—	—	62.5	62.5
Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	—	1.6	4.0	5.6
Total Investments in Fixed Maturities	124.4	4,157.9	414.9	4,697.2
Equity Securities:				
Preferred Stocks:				
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	—	84.4	5.0	89.4
Other Industries	—	11.0	14.7	25.7
Common Stocks:				
Manufacturing	101.0	7.5	1.1	109.6
Other Industries	72.5	2.3	14.4	89.2
Other Equity Interests:				
Exchange Traded Funds	129.8	—	—	129.8
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	—	—	217.7	217.7
Total Investments in Equity Securities	303.3	105.2	252.9	661.4
Fair Value Option Investments:				
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	—	—	50.6	50.6
Other Investments:				
Trading Securities	5.0	—	—	5.0
Total	\$432.7	\$4,263.1	\$718.4	\$5,414.2

At September 30, 2014, the Company had unfunded commitments to invest an additional \$108.9 million in certain limited liability investment companies and limited partnerships that will be included in Other Equity Interests when funded.



KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 11 - Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The valuation of assets measured at fair value in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2013 is summarized below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Fair Value Measurements			Total Fair Value
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Fixed Maturities:				
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities	\$121.2	\$241.0	\$—	\$362.2
States and Political Subdivisions	—	1,361.0	—	1,361.0
Corporate Securities:				
Bonds and Notes	—	2,429.6	364.1	2,793.7
Redeemable Preferred Stocks	—	—	7.4	7.4
Collateralized Loan Obligations	—	—	44.7	44.7
Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	—	1.5	4.5	6.0
Total Investments in Fixed Maturities	121.2	4,033.1	420.7	4,575.0
Equity Securities:				
Preferred Stocks:				
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	—	80.8	5.0	85.8
Other Industries	—	10.5	13.9	24.4
Common Stocks:				
Manufacturing	95.1	7.3	2.2	104.6
Other Industries	71.7	2.2	11.0	84.9
Other Equity Interests:				
Exchange Traded Funds	124.9	—	—	124.9
Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	—	—	173.9	173.9
Total Investments in Equity Securities	291.7	100.8	206.0	598.5
Other Investments:				
Trading Securities	5.0	—	—	5.0
Total	\$417.9	\$4,133.9	\$626.7	\$5,178.5

The Company's investments in Fixed Maturities that are classified as Level 1 in the two preceding tables primarily consist of U.S. Treasury Bonds and Notes. The Company's investments in Equity Securities that are classified as Level 1 in the two preceding tables consist of either investments in publicly-traded common stocks or exchange traded funds. The Company's investments in Fixed Maturities that are classified as Level 2 in the two preceding tables primarily consist of investments in corporate bonds, obligations of states and political subdivisions, and bonds and mortgage-backed securities of U.S. government agencies. The Company's investments in Equity Securities that are classified as Level 2 in the two preceding tables primarily consist of investments in preferred stocks. The Company uses a leading, nationally recognized provider of market data and analytics to price the vast majority of the Company's Level 2 measurements. The provider utilizes evaluated pricing models that vary by asset class and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information. Because many fixed maturity securities do not trade on a daily basis, the provider's evaluated pricing applications apply available information through processes such as benchmark

curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing to prepare evaluations. In addition, the provider uses model processes to develop prepayment and interest rate scenarios. The pricing provider's models and processes also take into account market convention. For each asset class, teams of its evaluators gather information from market sources and integrate relevant credit information, perceived market movements and sector news into the evaluated pricing applications and models. The Company generally validates the measurements obtained from its primary

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 11 - Fair Value Measurements (continued)

pricing provider by comparing them with measurements obtained from one additional pricing provider that provides either prices from recent market transactions, quotes in inactive markets or evaluations based on its own proprietary models.

The Company investigates significant differences related to the values provided. On completion of its investigation, management exercises judgment to determine the price selected and whether adjustments, if any, to the price obtained from the Company's primary pricing provider would warrant classification of the price as Level 3. In instances where a measurement cannot be obtained from either pricing provider, the Company generally will evaluate bid prices from one or more binding quotes obtained from market makers to value investments in inactive markets and classified by the Company as Level 2. The Company generally classifies securities when it receives non-binding quotes or indications as Level 3 securities unless the Company can validate the quote or indication against recent transactions in the market. For securities classified as Level 3, the Company either uses valuations provided by third party fund managers, third party appraisers, the Company's own internal valuations or net asset values provided for Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships and Fair Value Option Investments. These valuations typically employ various valuation techniques, including earnings multiples based on comparable public securities, comparable market yields as well as industry-specific non-earnings based multiples or discounted cash flow models. Valuations classified as Level 3 by the Company generally consist of investments in various private placement securities of non-rated entities. In rare cases, if the private placement security has only been outstanding for a short amount of time, the Company, after considering the initial assumptions used in acquiring an investment, considers the original purchase price as representative of the fair value.

The majority of Investments in Fixed Maturities that are classified as Level 3 are priced using a market yield approach. A market yield approach uses a risk-free rate plus a credit spread depending on the underlying credit profile of the security. For floating rate securities, the risk-free rate used in the market yield is the contractual floating rate of the security. For each individual security, the Company or the Company's third party appraiser gathers information from market sources, relevant credit information, perceived market movements and sector news and determines an appropriate market yield for each security. The market yield selected is then used to discount the estimated future cash flows of the security to determine the fair value. The Company separately evaluates market yields based upon asset class to assess the reasonableness of the recorded fair value. For non-investment-grade Investments in Fixed Maturities that are classified as Level 3, the two primary asset classes are senior debt and junior debt. Senior debt includes those securities that receive first priority in a liquidation and junior debt includes any fixed maturity security with other than first priority in a liquidation.

The table below presents quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs utilized by the Company in determining fair values for fixed maturity investments in corporate securities classified as Level 3 at September 30, 2014.

(Dollars in Millions)	Unobservable Input	Total Fair Value	Range of Unobservable Inputs			Weighted Average Yield
Investment-grade	Market Yield	\$ 113.7	0.7	%-	5.9	% 3.9
Non-investment-grade:						
Senior Debt	Market Yield	87.3	3.4	-	15.5	9.8
Junior Debt	Market Yield	129.9	5.4	-	25.9	13.3
Collateralized Loan Obligations	Market Yield	62.5	2.0	-	7.5	4.7
Other	Various	21.5				
Total Fixed Maturity Investments in Corporate Securities		\$414.9				



KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

## Note 11 - Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The table below presents quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs utilized by the Company in determining fair values for fixed maturity investments in corporate securities classified as Level 3 at December 31, 2013.

DOLLARS IN MILLIONS	Unobservable Input	Total Fair Value	Range of Unobservable Inputs			Weighted Average Yield		
Investment-grade	Market Yield	\$ 108.5	1.0	%-	6.0	%	4.3	%
Non-investment-grade:								
Senior Debt	Market Yield	93.9	4.2	-	15.6		8.6	
Junior Debt	Market Yield	153.5	8.8	-	26.6		14.2	
Collateralized Loan Obligations	Market Yield	44.7	3.2	-	6.8		4.3	
Other Debt	Various	20.1						
Total Fixed Maturity Investments in Corporate Securities		\$420.7						

For an investment in a fixed maturity security, an increase in the yield used to determine the fair value of the security will decrease the fair value of the security. A decrease in the yield used to determine fair value will increase the fair value of the security, but the fair value increase is generally limited to par if the security is currently callable.

Information by security type pertaining to the changes in the fair value of the Company's investments classified as Level 3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Fixed Maturities				Equity Securities			Fair Value Option	Total
	Corporate Bonds and Notes	Redeemable Preferred Stocks	Collateralized Loan Obligations	Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	Preferred and Common Stocks	Other Equity Interests			
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$364.1	\$ 7.4	\$ 44.7	\$ 4.5	\$32.1	\$173.9	\$—	\$626.7	
Total Gains (Losses):									
Included in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income	(4.1	) —	0.1	0.1	3.1	—	0.6	(0.2 )	
Included in Other Comprehensive Income	4.0	0.4	(0.4 )	0.2	3.0	29.7	—	36.9	
Purchases	117.6	—	18.1	—	2.8	38.6	50.0	227.1	
Settlements	(92.4 )	(0.4 )	—	(0.8 )	(3.6 )	(21.8 )	—	(119.0 )	
Sales	(47.6 )	—	—	—	(2.2 )	(2.7 )	—	(52.5 )	
Transfers out of Level 3	(0.6 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.6 )	
Balance at End of Period	\$341.0	\$ 7.4	\$ 62.5	\$ 4.0	\$35.2	\$217.7	\$50.6	\$718.4	

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 11 - Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Information by security type pertaining to the changes in the fair value of the Company's investments classified as Level 3 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Fixed Maturities				Equity Securities			Fair Value Option	Total
	Corporate Bonds and Notes	Redeemable Preferred Stocks	Collateralized Loan Obligations	Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	Preferred and Common Stocks	Other Equity Interests			
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$ 384.8	\$ 7.1	\$ 49.5	\$ 3.9	\$ 36.3	\$ 194.4	\$ 40.3	\$ 716.3	
Total Gains (Losses):									
Included in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income	(1.8 )	—	—	—	0.8	0.3	0.3	(0.4 )	
Included in Other Comprehensive Income	(1.4 )	0.5	(0.3 )	0.1	1.0	19.2	—	19.1	
Purchases	31.7	—	13.3	—	0.1	12.3	10.0	67.4	
Settlements	(41.6 )	(0.2 )	—	—	(0.8 )	(8.2 )	—	(50.8 )	
Sales	(30.7 )	—	—	—	(2.2 )	(0.3 )	—	(33.2 )	
Balance at End of Period	\$ 341.0	\$ 7.4	\$ 62.5	\$ 4.0	\$ 35.2	\$ 217.7	\$ 50.6	\$ 718.4	

The Company's policy is to recognize transfers between levels as of the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between Levels 1 and 2 or Levels 1 and 3 for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014. The transfers out of Level 3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs. There were no transfers into Level 3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. There were no transfers into or out of Level 3 for the three months ended September 30, 2014.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
 (Unaudited)

Note 11 - Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Information by security type pertaining to the changes in the fair value of the Company's investments classified as Level 3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Fixed Maturities				Equity Securities		Total
	Corporate Bonds and Notes	Redeemable Preferred Stocks	Collateralized Loan Obligations	Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	Preferred and Common Stocks	Other Equity Interests	
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$361.0	\$4.7	\$ —	\$0.1	\$13.3	\$141.3	\$520.4
Total Gains (Losses):							
Included in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income	(2.7 )	(0.4 )	0.1	—	(1.0 )	0.1	(3.9 )
Included in Other Comprehensive Income	(7.2 )	(0.1 )	—	(0.3 )	3.9	(1.6 )	(5.3 )
Purchases	92.9	5.1	41.2	4.6	0.6	34.5	178.9
Settlements	(94.1 )	(0.1 )	—	(0.1 )	—	(20.3 )	(114.6 )
Sales	(0.5 )	—	—	—	(0.7 )	—	(1.2 )
Transfers into Level 3	5.8	—	—	2.4	—	—	8.2
Transfers out of Level 3	(5.8 )	—	—	—	—	—	(5.8 )
Balance at End of Period	\$349.4	\$9.2	\$41.3	\$6.7	\$16.1	\$154.0	\$576.7

Information by security type pertaining to the changes in the fair value of the Company's investments classified as Level 3 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Fixed Maturities				Equity Securities		Total
	Corporate Bonds and Notes	Redeemable Preferred Stocks	Collateralized Loan Obligations	Other Mortgage- and Asset-backed	Preferred and Common Stocks	Other Equity Interests	
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$351.5	\$4.3	\$29.8	\$6.7	\$16.6	\$147.3	\$556.2
Total Gains (Losses):							
Included in Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income	(1.8 )	—	—	—	(0.9 )	0.3	(2.4 )
Included in Other Comprehensive Income	0.9	(0.1 )	0.4	(0.1 )	0.8	(0.8 )	1.1
Purchases	17.3	5.1	11.1	0.1	—	16.5	50.1
Settlements	(21.0 )	(0.1 )	—	—	—	(9.3 )	(30.4 )
Sales	(0.5 )	—	—	—	(0.4 )	—	(0.9 )
Transfers into Level 3	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	3.0
Balance at End of Period	\$349.4	\$9.2	\$41.3	\$6.7	\$16.1	\$154.0	\$576.7

There were no transfers between Levels 1 and 2 or Levels 1 and 3 for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2013. Transfers into and out of Level 3 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs. The transfers into Level 3 for the three months ended September 30, 2013

were due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs. There were no transfers out of Level 3 for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

The fair value of Debt is estimated using quoted prices for similar liabilities in markets that are not active. The inputs used in the valuation are considered Level 2 measurements. The fair value of Short-term Investments is estimated using inputs that are considered either Level 1 or Level 2 measurements.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 12 - Contingencies

In the ordinary course of its businesses, the Company is involved in legal proceedings, including lawsuits, regulatory examinations and inquiries. Except with regard to the matters discussed below, based on currently available information, the Company does not believe that it is reasonably possible that any of its pending legal proceedings will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Over the last several years, certain state insurance regulators, legislators, treasurers/controllers, and their respective agents have been involved in an array of initiatives that seek, in various ways, to impose new duties on life insurance companies to proactively search for deaths of their insureds and contact the insureds' beneficiaries even though such beneficiaries may not have submitted claims, including due proof of death, as required under the terms of state-approved life insurance policy forms.

Legislation has been enacted in Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, New York, North Dakota, Rhode Island and Vermont, with varying effective dates (the "DMF Statutes"), that requires life insurance companies to compare on a regular basis their records for all in-force policies (including those policies issued prior to the effective dates of the legislation) against the database of reported deaths maintained by the Social Security Administration or a comparable database (a "Death Master File"). In contrast, New Mexico and Tennessee have enacted legislation that also requires such comparisons, but exempts life insurance companies, like Kemper's life insurance subsidiaries (the "Life Companies"), that have not previously utilized a Death Master File, and instead only requires that such companies conduct Death Master File comparisons for life insurance policies issued and delivered in each of those states after the legislation's effective date. Likewise, Alabama, Georgia and Mississippi have enacted statutes that require such comparisons, but only with respect to policies issued on or after their respective effective dates, without regard to prior Death Master File use. With respect to those DMF Statutes that apply retroactively and which would likely have an adverse effect on the Company's operations and financial position, the Life Companies have filed declaratory judgment actions in applicable state courts challenging the application of such statutes to policies issued prior to the subject statute's effective date:

In November 2012, certain of the Life Companies filed a declaratory judgment action in Kentucky state court, asking the court to construe the Kentucky DMF Statute to apply only prospectively, i.e., only with respect to those life insurance policies issued in Kentucky on or after the effective date of the Kentucky DMF Statute, consistent with what the Life Companies believe are the requirements of applicable Kentucky statutory law, the Kentucky Constitution and the Contract Clause of the United States Constitution. In April 2013, the trial court denied the subject Life Companies' motion for summary judgment and held that the requirements of the Kentucky DMF Statute apply to life insurance policies issued before the statute's January 1, 2013 effective date. The subject Life Companies appealed the trial court's decision and in August 2014, in a unanimous opinion, the Kentucky Court of Appeals reversed the trial court and held that the Kentucky DMF statute fell within Kentucky's statutory presumption against retroactive legislation. Therefore, the Court ruled, the Kentucky DMF Statute can only apply to policies issued on and after January 1, 2013. In September 2014, the Kentucky Department of Insurance filed a motion asking for the Kentucky Supreme Court to undertake a discretionary review of the Court of Appeal's ruling. A decision by the Kentucky Supreme Court on whether to review the Court of Appeals' decision is expected by the third quarter of 2015.

In July 2013, certain of the Life Companies filed a declaratory judgment action in state court in Maryland, asking the court to construe the Maryland DMF Statute to apply only prospectively, i.e., only to policies issued in Maryland after the effective date of the Maryland DMF Statute, consistent with what the Life Companies believe are the requirements of the Maryland Constitution and the Contract Clause of the United States Constitution. The Maryland Insurance Administration filed a motion to dismiss the action, contending that the subject Life Companies were required to exhaust their administrative remedies before filing their action in the trial court. In March 2014, the trial court granted the Maryland Insurance Administration's motion. The Life Companies have appealed the trial court's ruling. The Maryland appellate courts have declined to stay enforcement of the Maryland DMF Statute pending the appeal, and

the Life Companies are complying with the Maryland DMF Statute while they continue to pursue their appeal. Oral argument on the Life Companies' appeal before the Maryland Court of Special Appeals has been scheduled for December 2014. A decision by the Maryland Court of Special Appeals is unlikely before the second quarter of 2015. In August 2014, certain of the Life Companies filed a declaratory judgment action in Indiana state court, asking the court to construe the Indiana DMF Statute to apply only prospectively, i.e., only with respect to those life insurance policies issued in Indiana on or after the effective date of the Indiana DMF Statute, consistent with what the Life Companies believe are the requirements of applicable Indiana statutory law, the Indiana Constitution and the Contract Clause of the United States Constitution. The Indiana Department of Insurance filed an answer in response to the subject Life Companies' complaint in October 2014.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)  
(Unaudited)

Note 12 - Contingencies (continued)

The Life Companies are the subject of an unclaimed property compliance audit (the “Treasurers’ Audit”) being conducted by a private audit firm retained by the treasurers/controllers of 38 states (the “Audit Firm”). In July 2013, the California State Controller (the “CA Controller”) filed a complaint for injunctive relief against the Life Companies in state court in California, seeking an order requiring the Life Companies to produce all of their in-force policy records to the Audit Firm to enable the firm to perform a comparison of such records against a Death Master File and to ascertain whether any of the insureds under such policies may be deceased. In December 2013, the CA Controller filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking the same injunctive relief. A hearing on that motion was continued by the court until November 2014 or until the California Court of Appeals rules on a preliminary injunction order issued in a related case involving an unaffiliated life insurance company, entitled *Chiang v. American National Insurance Company* (the “ANICO Appeal”), whichever is later. In July 2014, the court granted a motion by the CA Controller to stay the litigation in its entirety, including all discovery, pending a decision in the ANICO Appeal. As described below, the Life Companies have filed a counterclaim in this case against the CA Controller. The current stay of the Controller’s case against the Life Companies means that no substantive ruling is likely to occur until sometime in 2015. Pending the outcome of this litigation, the Life Companies have not produced any of their in-force policy records to the CA Controller.

The Life Companies are the subject of a multi-state market conduct examination by six state insurance regulators that is focused on the Life Companies’ claim settlement and policy administration practices, and specifically their compliance with state unclaimed property statutes (the “Multi-State Exam”). The Multi-State Exam was originated in June 2012 as a single-state examination by the Illinois Director of Insurance. Insurance regulators from five additional states - California, Florida, Pennsylvania, New Hampshire and North Dakota - joined the examination in May 2013. In August 2014, New Hampshire withdrew from participation in the Multi-State Exam. In July 2013, the Life Companies received requests from the Illinois Department of Insurance, as the managing lead state for the Multi-State Exam, for a significant volume of information beyond that which the Company had already produced, including their records of all in-force policies and other information of the type previously requested by the Audit Firm as part of the Treasurers’ Audit and which is the subject of the CA Controller’s complaint. This request prompted the Illinois litigation noted below. The Multi-State Exam was dormant for almost one year. Then in August 2014, the Illinois Department of Insurance appointed a law firm to act as an additional examiner in the Multi-State Exam. In October 2014, this new examiner sent a letter to the Life Companies seeking requests for interviews, site visits and additional information and documents. The Life Companies are currently in the process of evaluating these requests.

In September 2013, certain of the Life Companies filed declaratory judgment actions against the insurance regulators in the states of California, Florida, Illinois and Pennsylvania, asking the courts in those states to declare that applicable law does not require life insurers to search a Death Master File to ascertain whether insureds are deceased. The subject Life Companies are also asking the courts to declare that regulators in those states do not have the legal authority to (i) obtain life insurers’ policy records for the purpose of comparing those records against a Death Master File, and/or (ii) impose payment obligations on life insurers before a claim and due proof of death have been submitted by policy beneficiaries. The declaratory judgment action in California referenced above was filed as a cross-complaint to the CA Controller’s complaint, joining the California Insurance Commissioner and the Audit Firm as parties to the cross-complaint. The CA Controller has filed a motion to dismiss the Life Companies’ cross-complaint, contending that the Life Companies’ request for a declaratory judgment is premature and not ripe for adjudication. A hearing on that motion has been continued until November 2014 or until the Court of Appeals issues its decision in the ANICO Appeal, whichever is later. No date has been set for oral argument in the ANICO Appeal. In the meantime, the CA Controller filed a petition for coordination of the five unclaimed property lawsuits pending in CA to have them all heard by the same court. That petition has not yet been set for hearing. The Life Companies are currently evaluating their response to this petition.

In response to the Illinois declaratory judgment action, the Illinois Department of Insurance and its Director (collectively, the "IDOI") filed a motion to dismiss, and in July 2014, the court in Illinois denied, in part, such motion. Specifically, the court denied the IDOI's motion to dismiss the Life Companies' claim seeking a declaration that the IDOI cannot compel the Life Companies to provide their policy records to the IDOI or persons acting on the IDOI's behalf so as to permit a comparison against the DMF for purposes of identifying deceased insureds, as well as the Life Companies' associated claim for injunctive relief. The court dismissed with prejudice the other counts in the complaint. In August 2014, the IDOI filed its answer to the counts of the complaint that the Illinois court allowed to stand, and in October 2014, the Life Companies filed a motion for summary judgment with respect to those remaining counts. Formal briefing and a hearing schedule on the summary judgment motion are expected to be set by the court at a November 2014 status conference. The actions against the insurance regulators in the states of Florida and Pennsylvania have been stayed by agreement of the parties pending the outcome of the Illinois action.

KEMPER CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 12 - Contingencies (continued)

The results of the aforementioned legislative actions, Treasurers' Audit, Multi-State Exam and the foregoing litigation matters cannot currently be predicted. The Life Companies continue to maintain that states lack the legal authority to establish new requirements that have the effect of changing the terms of existing life insurance contracts with regard to basic claims handling obligations and processes. If these state officials are able to apply such new requirements retroactively to the Life Companies' existing life insurance policies, it will fundamentally alter the nature and timing of their responsibilities under such policies by effectively eliminating contractual terms that condition claim settlement and payment on the receipt of a claim, including "due proof of death" of an insured. The outcomes of the various state initiatives and related litigation could have a significant effect on, including acceleration of, the Life Companies' payment and/or escheatment of policy benefits, and significantly increase their claims handling costs. Due to the complexity and multi-jurisdictional nature of this issue, as well as the indeterminate number of potential outcomes and their uncertain effects on the Life Companies' business, Kemper cannot reasonably estimate the amount of loss that it would recognize if the Life Companies were subjected to requirements of the types described in this Note on a retroactive basis.

Note 13 - Related Parties

Mr. Christopher B. Sarofim, a director of Kemper, is Vice Chairman of Faye Sarofim & Co. ("FS&C"), a registered investment advisory firm. Kemper's subsidiary, Trinity, had \$165.8 million in assets managed by FS&C at September 30, 2014 under an agreement with FS&C whereby FS&C provides investment management services with respect to certain assets of Trinity. Investment expenses incurred in connection with such agreement were \$0.3 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

FS&C also provides investment management services with respect to certain funds of the Company's defined benefit pension plan. The Company's defined benefit pension plan had \$157.8 million in assets managed by FS&C at September 30, 2014 under an agreement with FS&C whereby FS&C provides investment management services. Investment expenses incurred in connection with such agreement were \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

With respect to the Company's defined contribution plans, one of the alternative investment choices afforded to participating employees is the Dreyfus Appreciation Fund, an open-end, diversified managed investment fund. FS&C provides investment management services to the Dreyfus Appreciation Fund as a sub-investment advisor. The Company does not compensate FS&C for services provided to the Dreyfus Appreciation Fund. Participants in the Company's defined contribution plans had allocated \$22.6 million for investment in the Dreyfus Appreciation Fund at September 30, 2014, representing 6.4% of the total amount invested in the Company's defined contribution plans at September 30, 2014.

The Company believes that the services described above have been provided on terms no less favorable to the Company than could have been negotiated with non-affiliated third parties.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Summary of Results

## Net Income

Net Income was \$49.1 million (\$0.90 per unrestricted common share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$162.5 million (\$2.82 per unrestricted common share) for the same period in 2013. Net Income was \$4.7 million (\$0.09 per unrestricted common share) for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$70.1 million (\$1.24 per unrestricted common share) for the same period in 2013.

Income from Continuing Operations was \$49.3 million (\$0.90 per unrestricted common share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$159.7 million (\$2.77 per unrestricted common share) for the same period in 2013. Income from Continuing Operations was \$4.8 million (\$0.09 per unrestricted common share) for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$68.6 million (\$1.21 per unrestricted common share) for the same period in 2013.

A reconciliation of Segment Net Operating Income to Net Income for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended			Three Months Ended		
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Increase (Decrease)	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Increase (Decrease)
Segment Net Operating Income (Loss):						
Property & Casualty Insurance	\$(0.4 )	\$71.5	\$(71.9 )	\$(13.6 )	\$23.4	\$(37.0 )
Life & Health Insurance	58.3	64.4	(6.1 )	20.3	22.9	(2.6 )
Total Segment Net Operating Income	57.9	135.9	(78.0 )	6.7	46.3	(39.6 )
Corporate and Other Net Operating Loss	(14.7 )	(23.0 )	8.3	(4.6 )	(7.4 )	2.8
Consolidated Net Operating Income	43.2	112.9	(69.7 )	2.1	38.9	(36.8 )
Net Income (Loss) From:						
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	11.8	50.9	(39.1 )	5.2	31.9	(26.7 )
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(5.7 )	(4.1 )	(1.6 )	(2.5 )	(2.2 )	(0.3 )
Income from Continuing Operations	49.3	159.7	(110.4 )	4.8	68.6	(63.8 )
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations	(0.2 )	2.8	(3.0 )	(0.1 )	1.5	(1.6 )
Net Income	\$49.1	\$162.5	\$(113.4 )	\$4.7	\$70.1	\$(65.4 )

## Revenues

Earned Premiums were \$1,410.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$1,530.2 million for the same period in 2013, a decrease of \$119.5 million. Earned Premiums for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 decreased by \$105.2 million and \$14.3 million in the Property & Casualty Insurance and Life & Health Insurance segments, respectively. Earned Premiums were \$462.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$507.5 million for the same period in 2013, a decrease of \$44.7 million. Earned Premiums for the three months ended September 30, 2014 decreased by \$39.0 million and \$5.7 million in the Property & Casualty Insurance and Life & Health Insurance segments, respectively.

Net Investment Income decreased by \$21.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. Net investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments, Fixed Maturities, Equity Securities and Real Estate decreased by \$10.2 million, \$7.2 million, \$3.3 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. Net Investment Income decreased by \$10.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. Net Investment Income from Equity Securities, Fixed Maturities and Equity Method Limited Liability Investments decreased by \$3.6 million, \$3.4 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013.

Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments were \$18.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$78.3 million for the same period in 2013. Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments were \$8.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$49.1 million for the same period in 2013. Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings were \$8.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$6.3 million for the same period in

## Summary of Results (continued)

2013. Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings were \$3.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$3.4 million for the same period in 2013. The Company cannot predict if or when similar investment gains or losses may occur in the future.

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

## Underlying Losses and LAE and Underlying Combined Ratio

The following discussion for the Property & Casualty Insurance segment uses the non-GAAP financial measures of (i) Underlying Losses and LAE and (ii) Underlying Combined Ratio. Underlying Losses and LAE (also referred to in the discussion as “Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE”) exclude the impact of catastrophe losses, and loss and LAE reserve development from prior years from the Company’s Incurred Losses and LAE, which is the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. The Underlying Combined Ratio is computed by adding the Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio with the Insurance Expense (including write-offs of long-lived assets) Ratio. The most directly comparable GAAP financial measure is the combined ratio, which uses total incurred losses and LAE, including the impact of catastrophe losses, and loss and LAE reserve development from prior years. The Company believes Underlying Losses and LAE and the Underlying Combined Ratio are useful to investors and are used by management to reveal the trends in the Company’s Property & Casualty Insurance segment that may be obscured by catastrophe losses and prior year reserve development. These catastrophe losses may cause the Company’s loss trends to vary significantly between periods as a result of their incidence of occurrence and magnitude and can have a significant impact on incurred losses and LAE and the combined ratio. Prior-year reserve developments are caused by unexpected loss development on historical reserves. Because reserve development relates to the re-estimation of losses from earlier periods, it has no bearing on the performance of the Company’s insurance products in the current period. The Company believes it is useful for investors to evaluate these components separately and in the aggregate when reviewing the Company’s underwriting performance.

## Consolidated Net Operating Income

Consolidated Net Operating Income is an after-tax, non-GAAP financial measure and is computed by excluding from Income from Continuing Operations the after-tax impact of (i) Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments, (ii) Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings related to investments and (iii) significant non-recurring or infrequent items that may not be indicative of ongoing operations. Significant non-recurring items are excluded when (a) the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably unlikely to recur within two years, and (b) there has been no similar charge or gain within the prior two years. The most directly comparable GAAP financial measure is Income from Continuing Operations.

The Company believes that Consolidated Net Operating Income provides investors with a valuable measure of its ongoing performance because it reveals underlying operational performance trends that otherwise might be less apparent if the items were not excluded. Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments and Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings related to investments included in the Company’s results may vary significantly between periods and are generally driven by business decisions and external economic developments such as capital market conditions that impact the values of the Company’s investments, the timing of which is unrelated to the insurance underwriting process. Significant non-recurring items are excluded because, by their nature, they are not indicative of the Company’s business or economic trends.

A reconciliation of Consolidated Net Operating Income to Income from Continuing Operations for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is presented below.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Consolidated Net Operating Income	\$43.2	\$112.9	\$2.1	\$38.9
Net Income (Loss) From:				
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	11.8	50.9	5.2	31.9
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(5.7	) (4.1	) (2.5	) (2.2
Income from Continuing Operations	\$49.3	\$159.7	\$4.8	\$68.6

There were no applicable significant non-recurring items that the Company excluded from the calculation of Consolidated Net Operating Income for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 or 2013. The preceding non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered a substitute for the comparable GAAP financial measures, as they do not fully recognize the overall profitability of the Company's businesses.

## Property &amp; Casualty Insurance

Selected financial information for the Property &amp; Casualty Insurance segment follows.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended		
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	
Net Premiums Written	\$920.0	\$1,039.3	\$307.0	\$345.0	
Earned Premiums	\$949.5	\$1,054.7	\$309.7	\$348.7	
Net Investment Income	55.6	67.9	18.5	21.3	
Other Income	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	
Total Revenues	1,005.5	1,123.0	328.3	370.1	
Incurred Losses and LAE related to:					
Current Year:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	646.6	742.5	206.8	245.1	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	92.1	45.0	14.2	10.4	
Prior Years:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	(30.5 )	(34.4 )	(3.3 )	(10.2 )	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	(15.0 )	(8.4 )	(7.2 )	(1.7 )	
Total Incurred Losses and LAE	693.2	744.7	210.5	243.6	
Insurance Expenses, Excluding Write-off of Long-lived Asset	266.6	280.3	87.1	94.3	
Write-off of Long-lived Asset	54.6	—	54.6	—	
Operating Profit (Loss)	(8.9 )	98.0	(23.9 )	32.2	
Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	8.5	(26.5 )	10.3	(8.8 )	
Segment Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$(0.4 )	\$71.5	\$(13.6 )	\$23.4	
Ratios Based On Earned Premiums					
Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	68.1	% 70.4	% 66.8	% 70.3	%
Current Year Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	9.7	4.3	4.6	3.0	
Prior Years Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(3.2 )	(3.3 )	(1.1 )	(2.9 )	
Prior Years Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(1.6 )	(0.8 )	(2.3 )	(0.5 )	
Total Incurred Loss and LAE Ratio	73.0	70.6	68.0	69.9	
Insurance Expense Ratio, Excluding Write-off of Long-lived Asset	28.1	26.6	28.1	27.0	
Impact on Ratio from Write-off of Long-lived Asset	5.8	—	17.6	—	
Combined Ratio	106.9	% 97.2	% 113.7	% 96.9	%
Underlying Combined Ratio					
Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	68.1	% 70.4	% 66.8	% 70.3	%
Insurance Expense Ratio, Excluding Write-off of Long-lived Asset	28.1	26.6	28.1	27.0	
Impact on Ratio from Write-off of Long-lived Asset	5.8	—	17.6	—	
Underlying Combined Ratio	102.0	% 97.0	% 112.5	% 97.3	%
Non-GAAP Measure Reconciliation					
Underlying Combined Ratio	102.0	% 97.0	% 112.5	% 97.3	%
Current Year Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	9.7	4.3	4.6	3.0	
Prior Years Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(3.2 )	(3.3 )	(1.1 )	(2.9 )	
Prior Years Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(1.6 )	(0.8 )	(2.3 )	(0.5 )	
Combined Ratio as Reported	106.9	% 97.2	% 113.7	% 96.9	%

Property & Casualty Insurance (continued)  
Catastrophe Frequency and Severity

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended			
	Sep 30, 2014		Sep 30, 2013	
	Number of	Losses and	Number of	Losses and
	Events	LAE	Events	LAE
Range of Losses and LAE Per Event:				
Below \$5	21	\$26.0	25	\$45.0
\$5 - \$10	3	19.9	—	—
\$10 - \$15	1	12.7	—	—
\$15 - \$20	—	—	—	—
\$20 - \$25	—	—	—	—
Greater Than \$25	1	33.5	—	—
Total	26	\$92.1	25	\$45.0

Insurance Reserves

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30,	Dec 31,
	2014	2013
Insurance Reserves:		
Automobile	\$524.2	\$595.1
Homeowners	118.8	99.9
Other	47.5	47.6
Insurance Reserves	\$690.5	\$742.6
Insurance Reserves:		
Loss Reserves:		
Case	\$447.6	\$471.2
Incurred But Not Reported	148.9	169.0
Total Loss Reserves	596.5	640.2
LAE Reserves	94.0	102.4
Insurance Reserves	\$690.5	\$742.6
Overall		

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company realigned its property and casualty insurance businesses. As a result of the realignment, the property and casualty insurance businesses are being reported as a single business segment named the Property & Casualty Insurance segment. The Company has reclassified certain prior-year amounts in its segment results in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements to conform to the current year presentation.

See MD&A, "Critical Accounting Estimates," of the 2013 Annual Report for additional information pertaining to the Company's process of estimating property and casualty insurance reserves for losses and LAE, development of property and casualty insurance losses and LAE from prior accident years, also referred to as "reserve development" in the discussion of segment results, estimated variability of property and casualty insurance reserves for losses and LAE, and a discussion of some of the variables that may impact development of property and casualty insurance losses and LAE and the estimated variability of property and casualty insurance reserves for losses and LAE.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned Premiums in the Property & Casualty Insurance segment decreased by \$105.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. The decrease was driven primarily by personal automobile insurance, which decreased by \$96.0 million.

## Property &amp; Casualty Insurance (continued)

Net Investment Income in the Property & Casualty Insurance segment decreased by \$12.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013, due primarily to lower yields on fixed maturities and equity securities, lower investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments and lower levels of investments resulting from a decline in the scale of the business. The Property & Casualty Insurance segment reported net investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments of \$8.9 million in 2014, compared to \$11.5 million in 2013.

The Property & Casualty Insurance segment reported Segment Net Operating Loss of \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to Segment Net Operating Income of \$71.5 million for the same period in 2013. Segment net operating results decreased by \$71.9 million due primarily to the write-off of certain internal use software, higher incurred catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development), higher insurance expenses as a percentage of earned premiums and lower net investment income, partially offset by lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums. See MD&A, "Write-off of Long-lived Asset," for more information related to the internal use software write-off. Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 68.1% in 2014, a decrease of 2.3 percentage points compared to 2013, as personal automobile insurance, homeowners insurance and commercial automobile insurance improved, while other personal insurance deteriorated. Underlying incurred losses and LAE exclude the impact of catastrophes and loss and LAE reserve development. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$92.1 million in 2014, compared to \$45.0 million in 2013, which was an increase of \$47.1 million due primarily to one catastrophe event that exceeded \$25.0 million of losses and LAE and four other catastrophe events that each exceeded \$5.0 million of losses and LAE in 2014, compared to no such events in 2013. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development (including catastrophe reserve development) was \$45.5 million in 2014, compared to \$42.8 million in 2013. Insurance expenses, excluding the write-off of the long-lived software asset, decreased by \$13.7 million in 2014, compared to 2013, but increased as a percentage of earned premiums from 26.6% in 2013 to 28.1% in 2014 due primarily to lower earned premiums to absorb fixed costs. The Property & Casualty Insurance segment's effective income tax rate differs from the federal statutory income tax rate due primarily to tax-exempt investment income and dividends received deductions. Tax-exempt investment income and dividends received deductions were \$16.1 million in 2014, compared to \$21.2 million in 2013.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned Premiums in the Property & Casualty Insurance segment decreased by \$39.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. The decrease was driven primarily by personal automobile insurance, which decreased by \$34.0 million.

Net Investment Income in the Property & Casualty Insurance segment decreased by \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013, due primarily to lower yields on fixed maturities and equity securities and lower levels of investments resulting from a decline in the scale of the business, partially offset by higher investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments. The Property & Casualty Insurance segment reported net investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments of \$4.3 million in 2014, compared to \$3.4 million in 2013.

The Property & Casualty Insurance segment reported Segment Net Operating Loss of \$13.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to Segment Net Operating Income of \$23.4 million for the same period in 2013. Segment net operating results decreased by \$37.0 million due primarily to the write-off of internal use software, higher incurred catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) and higher insurance expenses as a percentage of earned premiums, partially offset by lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums. Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 66.8% in 2014, a decrease of 3.5 percentage points compared to 2013, as personal automobile insurance and homeowners insurance improved, while other personal insurance and commercial automobile insurance deteriorated. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$14.2 million in 2014, compared to \$10.4 million in 2013, which was an increase of \$3.8 million due primarily to the recognition of additional losses and LAE during the three months ended September 30, 2014 on catastrophes occurring in the first two quarters of 2014, partially offset by a decrease in the frequency of catastrophes occurring in the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013.

Favorable loss and LAE reserve development (including catastrophe reserve development) was \$10.5 million in 2014, compared to \$11.9 million in 2013. Insurance expenses, excluding the write-off of the long-lived software asset, decreased by \$7.2 million in 2014 compared to 2013, but increased as a percentage of earned premiums from 27.0% in 2013 to 28.1% in 2014 due primarily to lower earned premiums to absorb fixed costs. The Property & Casualty Insurance segment's effective income tax rate differs from the federal statutory income tax rate due primarily to tax-exempt investment income and dividends received deductions. Tax-exempt investment income and dividends received deductions were \$5.6 million in 2014, compared to \$6.5 million in 2013.

## Property &amp; Casualty Insurance (continued)

## Personal Automobile Insurance

Selected financial information for the personal automobile insurance product line follows.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended		
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	
Net Premiums Written	\$609.9	\$710.0	\$202.1	\$232.9	
Earned Premiums	\$633.4	\$729.4	\$205.1	\$239.1	
Incurred Losses and LAE related to:					
Current Year:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	\$466.0	\$552.2	\$149.8	\$181.3	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	12.3	7.5	1.6	0.8	
Prior Years:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	(26.3 )	(22.3 )	(3.1 )	(6.9 )	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	(0.6 )	(0.3 )	(0.3 )	(0.1 )	
Total Incurred Losses and LAE	\$451.4	\$537.1	\$148.0	\$175.1	
Ratios Based On Earned Premiums					
Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	73.7	% 75.7	% 73.0	% 75.8	%
Current Year Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	1.9	1.0	0.8	0.3	
Prior Years Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(4.2 )	(3.1 )	(1.5 )	(2.9 )	
Prior Years Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(0.1 )	—	(0.1 )	—	
Total Incurred Loss and LAE Ratio	71.3	% 73.6	% 72.2	% 73.2	%

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums on personal automobile insurance decreased by \$96.0 million as lower volume accounted for a decrease of \$127.6 million, while higher average premium accounted for an increase of \$31.6 million. The run-off of the direct-to-consumer business accounted for approximately 21% of the decrease in earned premiums attributed to lower volume. Incurred losses and LAE were \$451.4 million, or 71.3% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$537.1 million, or 73.6% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums decreased due to lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums and a higher level of favorable loss and LAE reserve development, partially offset by higher incurred catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development). Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 73.7% in 2014, compared to 75.7% in 2013, which is an improvement of 2.0 percentage points due primarily to the impact of rate actions taken by the Company on average premium, lower frequency of claims across all liability coverages and lower severity of bodily injury losses, partially offset by higher severity of losses on all coverages except bodily injury. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$26.9 million in 2014, compared to \$22.6 million in 2013. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$12.3 million in 2014, compared to \$7.5 million in 2013.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums on personal automobile insurance decreased by \$34.0 million as lower volume accounted for a decrease of \$43.0 million, while higher average premium accounted for an increase of \$9.0 million. The run-off of the direct-to-consumer business accounted for approximately 19% of the decrease in earned premiums attributed to lower volume. Incurred losses and LAE were \$148.0 million, or 72.2% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$175.1 million, or 73.2% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums decreased due to lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums, partially offset by a lower level of favorable loss and LAE reserve development and higher incurred catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development). Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 73.0% in 2014,

compared to 75.8% in 2013, which is an improvement of 2.8 percentage points due primarily to the impact of rate actions taken by the Company on average premium, lower severity of bodily injury losses and lower frequency of claims across most coverages, partially offset by higher severity of losses on most other coverages, particularly collision and property damage, and higher frequency of bodily injury claims. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was

39

---

## Property &amp; Casualty Insurance (continued)

\$3.4 million in 2014, compared to \$7.0 million in 2013. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$1.6 million in 2014, compared to \$0.8 million in 2013.

## Homeowners Insurance

Selected financial information for the homeowners insurance product line follows.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended		
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	
Net Premiums Written	\$230.0	\$246.7	\$78.6	\$85.4	
Earned Premiums	\$236.6	\$244.8	\$77.9	\$82.3	
Incurred Losses and LAE related to:					
Current Year:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	\$125.1	\$139.5	\$38.1	\$47.6	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	77.0	36.0	12.3	8.8	
Prior Years:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	(0.8 )	(5.9 )	1.5	(0.3 )	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	(12.5 )	(7.4 )	(6.0 )	(1.6 )	
Total Incurred Losses and LAE	\$188.8	\$162.2	\$45.9	\$54.5	
Ratios Based On Earned Premiums					
Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	52.9	% 57.0	% 48.9	% 57.8	%
Current Year Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	32.5	14.7	15.8	10.7	
Prior Years Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(0.3 )	(2.4 )	1.9	(0.4 )	)
Prior Years Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(5.3 )	(3.0 )	(7.7 )	(1.9 )	)
Total Incurred Loss and LAE Ratio	79.8	% 66.3	% 58.9	% 66.2	%

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums in homeowners insurance decreased by \$8.2 million as lower volume accounted for a decrease of \$30.3 million, while higher average premium accounted for an increase of \$22.1 million. Incurred losses and LAE were \$188.8 million, or 79.8% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$162.2 million, or 66.3% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums increased due to higher incurred catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development), partially offset by lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$77.0 million in 2014, compared to \$36.0 million in 2013. Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 52.9% in 2014, compared to 57.0% in 2013, which is an improvement of 4.1 percentage points due primarily to the impact of rate actions taken by the Company on average premium, partially offset by higher frequency of claims. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$13.3 million in 2014 and 2013.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums in homeowners insurance decreased by \$4.4 million as lower volume accounted for a decrease of \$10.4 million, while higher average premium accounted for an increase of \$6.0 million. Incurred losses and LAE were \$45.9 million, or 58.9% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$54.5 million, or 66.2% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums decreased due to lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums and a higher level of favorable loss and LAE reserve development, partially offset by higher incurred catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development). Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 48.9% in 2014, compared to 57.8% in 2013, which is an improvement of 8.9 percentage points due to the impact of rate actions taken by the Company on average premium, lower severity of losses and lower frequency of claims. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$4.5 million in 2014, compared to \$1.9 million in 2013. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve



## Property &amp; Casualty Insurance (continued)

development) were \$12.3 million in 2014, compared to \$8.8 million in 2013. Catastrophe losses and LAE in the three months ended September 30, 2014 included the recognition of additional losses and LAE of \$6.5 million related to catastrophes that occurred in the first half of 2014.

## Commercial Automobile Insurance

Selected financial information for the commercial automobile insurance product line follows.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended		
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	
Net Premiums Written	\$43.3	\$41.4	\$13.7	\$12.7	
Earned Premiums	\$40.8	\$38.7	\$14.1	\$13.5	
Incurred Losses and LAE related to:					
Current Year:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	\$34.2	\$33.1	\$11.5	\$10.9	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	
Prior Years:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	(0.7 )	(2.0 )	(0.6 )	(2.0 )	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	—	—	—	—	
Total Incurred Losses and LAE	\$33.7	\$31.3	\$11.0	\$9.0	
Ratios Based On Earned Premiums					
Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	83.8	% 85.6	% 81.6	% 80.8	%
Current Year Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	
Prior Years Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(1.7 )	(5.2 )	(4.3 )	(14.8 )	
Prior Years Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	—	—	—	—	
Total Incurred Loss and LAE Ratio	82.6	% 80.9	% 78.0	% 66.7	%

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums in commercial automobile insurance increased by \$2.1 million as higher volume accounted for an increase of \$2.9 million, while lower average premium due to a mix shift toward lower weight class vehicles and lower limit policies accounted for a decrease of \$0.8 million. Incurred losses and LAE were \$33.7 million, or 82.6% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$31.3 million, or 80.9% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums increased due to a lower level of favorable loss and LAE reserve development, partially offset by lower underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$0.7 million in 2014, compared to \$2.0 million in 2013. Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 83.8% in 2014, compared to 85.6% in 2013, which is an improvement of 1.8 percentage points due primarily to lower severity of bodily injury and collision losses and lower frequency of bodily injury claims, partially offset by lower average earned premium due to a mix shift to lower weight class vehicles and lower limit policies.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums in commercial automobile insurance increased by \$0.6 million as higher volume accounted for an increase of \$0.7 million, while lower average premium due to a mix shift toward lower weight class vehicles and lower limit policies accounted for a decrease of \$0.1 million. Incurred losses and LAE were \$11.0 million, or 78.0% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$9.0 million, or 66.7% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums increased due primarily to a lower level of favorable loss and LAE reserve development. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$0.6 million in 2014, compared to \$2.0 million in 2013. Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were relatively flat at 81.6% in 2014, compared to 80.8% in 2013.



## Property &amp; Casualty Insurance (continued)

## Other Personal Insurance

Selected financial information for other personal insurance product lines follows.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended		
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	
Net Premiums Written	\$36.8	\$41.2	\$12.6	\$14.0	
Earned Premiums	\$38.7	\$41.8	\$12.6	\$13.8	
Incurred Losses and LAE related to:					
Current Year:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	\$21.3	\$17.7	\$7.4	\$5.3	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	2.6	1.3	0.2	0.7	
Prior Years:					
Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE	(2.7 )	(4.2 )	(1.1 )	(1.0 )	
Catastrophe Losses and LAE	(1.9 )	(0.7 )	(0.9 )	—	
Total Incurred Losses and LAE	\$19.3	\$14.1	\$5.6	\$5.0	
Ratios Based On Earned Premiums					
Current Year Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	55.1	% 42.3	% 58.6	% 38.3	%
Current Year Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	6.7	3.1	1.6	5.1	
Prior Years Non-catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(7.0 )	(10.0 )	(8.7 )	(7.2 )	
Prior Years Catastrophe Losses and LAE Ratio	(4.9 )	(1.7 )	(7.1 )	—	
Total Incurred Loss and LAE Ratio	49.9	% 33.7	% 44.4	% 36.2	%

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums in other personal insurance decreased by \$3.1 million as lower volume accounted for a decrease of \$4.3 million, while higher average premium accounted for an increase of \$1.2 million. Incurred losses and LAE were \$19.3 million, or 49.9% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$14.1 million, or 33.7% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums increased due to higher underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums and higher catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development).

Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 55.1% in 2014, compared to 42.3% in 2013, which is an increase of 12.8 percentage points due primarily to higher severity of losses across most coverages.

Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$2.6 million in 2014, compared to \$1.3 million in 2013. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$4.6 million in 2014, compared to \$4.9 million in 2013.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned premiums in other personal insurance decreased by \$1.2 million as lower volume accounted for a decrease of \$1.7 million, while higher average premium accounted for an increase of \$0.5 million. Incurred losses and LAE were \$5.6 million, or 44.4% of earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$5.0 million, or 36.2% of earned premiums, in 2013. Incurred losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums increased due to higher underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums, partially offset by a higher level of favorable loss and LAE reserve development and lower catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development). Underlying losses and LAE as a percentage of earned premiums were 58.6% in 2014, compared to 38.3% in 2013, which is an increase of 20.3 percentage points due primarily to higher severity of losses across most coverages, partially offset by lower frequency of umbrella insurance claims. Favorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$2.0 million in 2014, compared to \$1.0 million in 2013. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding reserve development) were \$0.2 million in 2014, compared to \$0.7 million in 2013.



## Life &amp; Health Insurance

Selected financial information for the Life & Health Insurance segment follows.

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Earned Premiums:				
Life	\$291.6	\$294.9	\$96.8	\$98.4
Accident and Health	112.1	121.2	37.1	40.7
Property	57.5	59.4	19.2	19.7
Total Earned Premiums	461.2	475.5	153.1	158.8
Net Investment Income	147.4	159.3	49.2	56.5
Other Income	0.4	0.1	0.4	—
Total Revenues	609.0	634.9	202.7	215.3
Policyholders' Benefits and Incurred Losses and LAE	282.7	296.9	89.9	94.6
Insurance Expenses	235.6	239.7	81.4	85.5
Operating Profit	90.7	98.3	31.4	35.2
Income Tax Expense	(32.4 )	(33.9 )	(11.1 )	(12.3 )
Segment Net Operating Income	\$58.3	\$64.4	\$20.3	\$22.9
Insurance Reserves			Sep 30, 2014	Dec 31, 2013
(Dollars in Millions)				
Insurance Reserves:				
Future Policyholder Benefits			\$3,202.5	\$3,157.7
Incurred Losses and LAE Reserves:				
Life			38.7	37.6
Accident and Health			19.6	22.2
Property			4.3	5.3
Total Incurred Losses and LAE Reserves			62.6	65.1
Insurance Reserves			\$3,265.1	\$3,222.8

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned Premiums in the Life & Health Insurance segment decreased by \$14.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. Earned premiums on life insurance decreased by \$3.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013, as a decrease of \$9.6 million from life insurance products offered by the Kemper Home Service Companies ("KHSC") was offset by an increase of \$6.3 million from life insurance products offered by Reserve National Insurance Company ("Reserve National"), largely due to the expansion of its distribution channels. Earned premiums on accident and health insurance decreased by \$9.1 million in 2014, compared to 2013, due primarily to lower volume of insurance resulting from the run-off of certain health insurance products largely due to the impact of the Health Care Acts, partially offset by higher volume of supplemental health insurance products. Earned premiums on property insurance decreased by \$1.9 million in 2014, compared to 2013, due primarily to lower volume of insurance.

Net Investment Income decreased by \$11.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013, due primarily to lower investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments, lower investment income from other equity interests and lower book yields on fixed maturities. Net investment loss from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments was \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to net investment income of \$7.2 million for the same period in 2013.

## Life &amp; Health Insurance (continued)

Operating Profit in the Life & Health Insurance segment was \$90.7 million before taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$98.3 million for the same period in 2013. Policyholders' Benefits and Incurred Losses and LAE decreased by \$14.2 million in 2014 due primarily to lower incurred accident and health insurance losses, lower underlying losses on property insurance, lower catastrophe losses and LAE and lower policyholders' benefits on life insurance, partially offset by an unfavorable impact of property insurance reserve development.

Incurred accident and health insurance losses were \$61.0 million, or 54.4% of accident and health insurance earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$69.6 million, or 57.4% of accident and health insurance earned premiums, in 2013 and decreased due primarily to a change in business mix from the run-off of certain health insurance products.

Incurred losses and LAE on property insurance were \$19.0 million, or 33.0% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$23.1 million, or 38.9% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2013. Underlying losses and LAE on property insurance were \$16.6 million, or 28.9% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$20.1 million, or 33.8% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2013 and decreased due primarily to lower frequency and severity of insurance losses. Catastrophe losses and LAE (excluding development) were \$1.6 million in 2014, compared to \$3.4 million in 2013. Unfavorable loss and LAE reserve development was \$0.8 million in 2014, compared to favorable loss and LAE reserve development of \$0.4 million in 2013. Policyholders' benefits on life insurance were \$202.7 million in 2014, compared to \$204.2 million in 2013, a decrease of \$1.5 million. Policyholders' benefits on life insurance decreased due primarily to a lower provision for future policyholders' benefits and lower death claims related to insurance policies issued by KHSC, partially offset by the higher volume of insurance from policies issued by Reserve National. Insurance Expenses in the Life & Health Insurance segment decreased by \$4.1 million in 2014, compared to 2013, due primarily to lower non-deferrable career agent incentive compensation and service commissions and lower legal costs, partially offset by higher commissions and other expenses resulting from the expansion of Reserve National's distribution channels. Segment Net Operating Income in the Life & Health Insurance segment was \$58.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$64.4 million in 2013.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

Earned Premiums in the Life & Health Insurance segment decreased by \$5.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. Earned premiums on life insurance decreased by \$1.6 million in 2014, compared to 2013, as a decrease of \$3.4 million from life insurance products offered by KHSC was offset by an increase of \$1.8 million from life insurance products offered by Reserve National. Earned premiums on accident and health insurance decreased by \$3.6 million in 2014, compared to 2013, due primarily to lower volume of insurance resulting from the run-off of certain health insurance products, partially offset by higher volume of supplemental health insurance products. Earned premiums on property insurance decreased by \$0.5 million in 2014, compared to 2013, due primarily to lower volume of insurance.

Net Investment Income decreased by \$7.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013, due primarily to lower investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments, lower net investment income from other equity interests and lower book yields on fixed maturities. Net investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments was \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$3.8 million for the same period in 2013.

Operating Profit in the Life & Health Insurance segment was \$31.4 million before taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$35.2 million for the same period in 2013. Policyholders' Benefits and Incurred Losses and LAE decreased by \$4.7 million in 2014 due primarily to lower incurred accident and health insurance losses and lower underlying losses on property insurance. Incurred accident and health insurance losses were \$19.4 million, or 52.3% of accident and health insurance earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$21.7 million, or 53.3% of accident and health insurance earned premiums, in 2013 and decreased due primarily to the run-off of certain health insurance products. Incurred losses and LAE on property insurance were \$4.6 million, or 24.0% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$6.1 million, or 31.0% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2013.

Underlying losses and LAE on property insurance were \$4.7 million, or 24.5% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2014, compared to \$6.4 million, or 32.5% of property insurance earned premiums, in 2013 and

decreased due primarily to lower frequency and severity of insurance losses. Policyholders' benefits on life insurance were \$65.9 million in 2014, compared to \$66.8 million in 2013, a decrease of \$0.9 million. Policyholders' benefits on life insurance decreased due primarily to a lower provision for future policyholders' benefits and lower death claims related to insurance policies issued by KHSC, partially offset by higher volume of insurance from policies issued by Reserve National. Insurance Expenses in the Life & Health Insurance segment decreased by \$4.1 million in 2014, compared to 2013, due primarily to lower legal costs and lower non-deferrable career agent incentive compensation and service commissions, partially offset by higher commissions and other expenses resulting from the expansion of Reserve National's distribution channels.

## Life &amp; Health Insurance (continued)

Segment Net Operating Income in the Life & Health Insurance segment was \$20.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$22.9 million in 2013.

## Unclaimed Property

Certain state insurance regulators, legislators and treasurers/controllers are involved in an array of initiatives that could result in significant changes to the application of unclaimed property laws and related claims handling practices with respect to life insurance policies. These initiatives seek, in various ways, to impose a new duty on the part of life insurers to proactively search for deaths of their insureds. It is the Company's position that state officials lack the legal authority to impose new requirements where such requirements have the effect of changing the terms of existing life insurance contracts. See the Unclaimed Property Risk Factor in Item 1A., "Risk Factors," of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Note 12, "Contingencies," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and MD&A, "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for additional information about these matters.

## Investment Results

## Investment Income

Net Investment Income for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Investment Income:				
Interest and Dividends on Fixed Maturities	\$170.0	\$177.2	\$56.1	\$59.5
Dividends on Equity Securities	25.8	29.1	7.7	11.3
Short-term Investments	0.5	—	0.1	—
Loans to Policyholders	15.3	14.7	5.2	5.0
Real Estate	9.2	17.7	3.1	3.7
Equity Method Limited Liability Investments	9.7	19.9	4.9	7.7
Fair Value Option Investments	0.6	—	0.3	—
Total Investment Income	231.1	258.6	77.4	87.2
Investment Expenses:				
Real Estate	8.6	15.3	3.1	3.0
Other Investment Expenses	6.5	5.5	2.0	1.8
Total Investment Expenses	15.1	20.8	5.1	4.8
Net Investment Income	\$216.0	\$237.8	\$72.3	\$82.4

Net Investment Income was \$216.0 million and \$237.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net Investment Income decreased by \$21.8 million in 2014 due primarily to lower net investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments, lower Interest and Dividends on Fixed Maturities, lower net investment income from Dividends on Equity Securities and lower net investment income from real estate.

Investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments decreased by \$10.2 million due to lower investment returns. Interest and Dividends on Fixed Maturities decreased by \$7.2 million due primarily to lower yields and, to a lesser extent, lower levels of investments. Dividends on Equity Securities decreased by \$3.3 million due primarily to lower distributions from investments in limited liability companies and limited partnerships classified as Other Equity Interests. Net investment income from real estate decreased by \$1.8 million. Net investment income from real estate in 2013 included income from the early termination of one tenant's lease in 2013.

Net Investment Income was \$72.3 million and \$82.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net Investment Income decreased by \$10.1 million in 2014 due primarily to lower Dividends on Equity Securities, lower Interest and Dividends on Fixed Maturities and lower investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments. Dividends on Equity Securities decreased by \$3.6 million due primarily to lower distributions from investments in limited liability companies and limited partnerships classified as Other Equity Interests. Interest and Dividends on Fixed Maturities decreased by \$3.4 million due to lower yields and lower levels of investments. Investment income from Equity Method Limited Liability Investments decreased by \$2.8 million due to

lower investment returns.

45

---

Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments

The components of Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
Fixed Maturities:				
Gains on Sales	\$5.0	\$29.6	\$0.2	\$2.5
Equity Securities:				
Gains on Sales	11.7	1.9	7.9	0.7
Losses on Sales	—	(0.3 )	—	(0.2 )
Equity Method Limited Liability Investments:				
Gains on Sales	—	2.5	—	2.3
Real Estate:				
Gains on Sales	—	44.2	—	43.6
Losses on Sales	(0.2 )	—	—	—
Other:				
Gain on Sale of Subsidiary	1.6	—	—	—
Gains on Sales	—	0.1	—	—
Losses on Sales	(0.1 )	(0.1 )	—	—
Net Gains (Losses) on Trading Securities	0.1	0.4	(0.1 )	0.2
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	\$18.1	\$78.3	\$8.0	\$49.1
Gross Gains on Sales	\$18.3	\$78.3	\$8.1	\$49.1
Gross Losses on Sales	(0.3 )	(0.4 )	—	(0.2 )
Net Gains on Trading Securities	0.1	0.4	(0.1 )	0.2
Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments	\$18.1	\$78.3	\$8.0	\$49.1

In the third quarter of 2013, the Company sold the building where Kemper's corporate offices are headquartered and recognized a realized gain of \$43.6 million. In the first quarter of 2013, the Company sold \$138.5 million of Corporate Bonds and Notes in conjunction with a comprehensive review of the prospects of each issuer in the Company's publicly-traded corporate bond portfolio. Realized Gains on Sales of Fixed Maturities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 include realized gains of \$24.8 million from such sales.

Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings

The Company regularly reviews its investment portfolio for factors that may indicate that a decline in the fair value of an investment is other-than-temporary. Losses arising from other-than-temporary declines in fair values are reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income in the period that the declines are determined to be other-than-temporary. The components of Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended				Three Months Ended			
	Sep 30, 2014		Sep 30, 2013		Sep 30, 2014		Sep 30, 2013	
	Amount	Number of Issuers	Amount	Number of Issuers	Amount	Number of Issuers	Amount	Number of Issuers
Fixed Maturities	\$(5.6 )	20	\$(4.4 )	5	\$(2.2 )	10	\$(2.2 )	2
Equity Securities	(3.1 )	13	(1.9 )	6	(1.6 )	3	(1.2 )	3
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	\$(8.7 )	33	\$(6.3 )	11	\$(3.8 )	13	\$(3.4 )	5



## Investment Results (continued)

## Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses)

Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses) are comprised of Net Realized Gains on Sales of Investments and Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and unrealized investment gains and losses that are not reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, but rather are reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). The components of Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses) for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Nine Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013	Sep 30, 2014	Sep 30, 2013
<b>Fixed Maturities:</b>				
Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:				
Gains on Sales	\$5.0	\$29.6	\$0.2	\$2.5
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(5.6)	(4.4)	(2.2)	(2.2)
Total Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	(0.6)	25.2	(2.0)	0.3
Recognized in Other Comprehensive Gains (Losses)	174.2	(322.8)	(6.5)	(31.1)
Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses) on Fixed Maturities	173.6	(297.6)	(8.5)	(30.8)
<b>Equity Securities:</b>				
Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:				
Gains on Sales	11.7	1.9	7.9	0.7
Losses on Sales	—	(0.3)	—	(0.2)
Net Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings	(3.1)	(1.9)	(1.6)	(1.2)
Total Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	8.6	(0.3)	6.3	(0.7)
Recognized in Other Comprehensive Gains (Losses)	45.6	6.5	8.9	(2.1)
Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses) on Equity Securities	54.2	6.2	15.2	(2.8)
<b>Equity Method Limited Liability Investments:</b>				
Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:				
Gains on Sales	—	2.5	—	2.3
<b>Real Estate:</b>				
Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:				
Gains on Sales	—	44.2	—	43.6
Losses on Sales	(0.2)	—	—	—
Total Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	(0.2)	44.2	—	43.6
<b>Other:</b>				
Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:				
Gain on Sale of Subsidiary	1.6	—	—	—
Gains on Sales	—	0.1	—	—
Losses on Sales	(0.1)	(0.1)	—	—
Net Gains (Losses) on Trading Securities	0.1	0.4	(0.1)	0.2
Total Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	1.6	0.4	(0.1)	0.2
Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses)	\$229.2	\$(244.3)	\$6.6	\$12.5
Recognized in Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	\$9.4	\$72.0	\$4.2	\$45.7

Edgar Filing: KEMPER Corp - Form 10-Q

Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	219.8	(316.3	) 2.4	(33.2	)
Total Comprehensive Investment Gains (Losses)	\$229.2	\$(244.3	) \$6.6	\$12.5	

47

---

## Investment Quality and Concentrations

The Company's fixed maturity investment portfolio is comprised primarily of high-grade municipal, corporate and agency bonds. At September 30, 2014, 93% of the Company's fixed maturity investment portfolio was rated investment-grade, which is defined as a security having a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from Standard & Poor's ("S&P"); a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"); a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"); or a rating from the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") of 1 or 2. The following table summarizes the credit quality of the Company's fixed maturity investment portfolio at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

NAIC Rating	S&P Equivalent Rating	Sep 30, 2014		Dec 31, 2013	
		Fair Value in Millions	Percentage of Total	Fair Value in Millions	Percentage of Total
1	AAA, AA, A	\$3,199.9	68.1 %	\$3,128.1	68.4 %
2	BBB	1,160.6	24.7	1,119.9	24.5
3-4	BB, B	163.6	3.5	144.6	3.1
5-6	CCC or Lower	173.1	3.7	182.4	4.0
Total Investments in Fixed Maturities		\$4,697.2	100.0 %	\$4,575.0	100.0 %

Gross unrealized losses on the Company's investments in below-investment-grade fixed maturities were \$3.8 million and \$5.5 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company's investments in governmental fixed maturities at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014		Dec 31, 2013	
	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments
U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities States and Political Subdivisions:	\$343.5	5.4 %	\$362.2	5.9 %
Pre-refunded with U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities Held in Trust	67.1	1.0	162.6	2.6
States	663.7	10.4	629.1	10.2
Political Subdivisions	142.9	2.2	128.1	2.1
Revenue Bonds	565.5	8.8	441.2	7.2
Total Investments in Governmental Fixed Maturities	\$1,782.7	27.8 %	\$1,723.2	28.0 %

The Company's short-term investments primarily consist of overnight repurchase agreements, money market funds and U.S. Treasuries. At September 30, 2014, the Company had \$207.1 million invested in overnight repurchase agreements primarily collateralized by securities issued by the U.S. government, \$92.0 million invested in money market funds which primarily invest in U.S. Treasury securities and \$1.0 million of U.S. Treasury bills. At the time of borrowing, the repurchase agreements generally require the borrower to provide collateral to the Company at least equal to the amount borrowed from the Company. The Company bears some investment risk in the event that a borrower defaults and the value of collateral falls below the amount borrowed.

## Investment Quality and Concentrations (continued)

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company's investments in non-governmental fixed maturities by industry at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in Millions)	Sep 30, 2014		Dec 31, 2013	
	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments
Manufacturing	\$1,216.0	19.0 %	\$1,196.9	19.5 %
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	785.6	12.3	767.9	12.5
Transportation, Communication and Utilities	313.7	4.9	306.7	5.0
Services	289.8	4.5	277.5	4.5
Mining	142.9	2.2	143.1	2.3
Retail Trade	79.3	1.2	75.6	1.2
Wholesale Trade	67.1	1.0	60.7	1.0
Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing	15.5	0.2	18.8	0.3
Other	4.6	0.1	4.6	0.1
Total Investments in Non-governmental Fixed Maturities	\$2,914.5	45.4 %	\$2,851.8	46.4 %

Seventy-nine companies comprised more than 75% of the Company's fixed maturity exposure to the Manufacturing industry at September 30, 2014, with the largest single exposure, Merck & Co., comprising 2.6%, or \$31.6 million, of the Company's fixed maturity exposure to such industry. Forty companies comprised more than 75% of the Company's exposure to the Finance, Insurance and Real Estate industry at September 30, 2014, with the largest single exposure, Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company, comprising 4.5%, or \$35.4 million, of the Company's exposure to such industry.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company's ten largest investment exposures, excluding investments in U.S. Government and Government Agencies and Authorities, pre-refunded municipal bonds and Short-term Investments, at September 30, 2014:

(Dollars in Millions)	Fair Value	Percentage of Total Investments
Fixed Maturities:		
States and Political Subdivisions:		
Texas	\$94.5	1.5 %
Ohio	78.6	1.2
Michigan	64.4	1.0
Florida	63.2	1.0
Georgia	63.0	1.0
Wisconsin	59.4	0.9
Colorado	58.6	0.9
Washington	56.6	0.9
Louisiana	56.5	0.9
Equity Method Limited Liability Investments:		
Tennenbaum Opportunities Fund V, LLC	69.3	1.1
Total	\$664.1	10.4 %

## Investments in Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships

The Company owns investments in various limited liability investment companies and limited partnerships that primarily invest in distressed debt, mezzanine debt and secondary transactions. The Company's investments in these limited liability investment companies and limited partnerships are reported either as Equity Method Limited Liability Investments, Other Equity Interests and included in Equity Securities, or Fair Value Option Investments depending on the accounting method used to report the investment. Additional information pertaining to these investments at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is presented below.

Asset Class	Unfunded	Reported Value	
	Commitment	Sep 30,	Dec 31,
	Sep 30,	2014	2013
Reported as Equity Method Limited Liability Investments at Cost Plus Cumulative Undistributed Earnings:			
Distressed Debt	\$—	\$ 105.4	\$ 126.1
Secondary Transactions	17.7	54.5	54.0
Mezzanine Debt	16.3	46.6	46.0
Growth Equity	—	5.3	7.8
Senior Debt	5.0	5.0	7.5
Leveraged Buyout	0.1	4.2	3.7
Other	—	1.8	—
Total Equity Method Limited Liability Investments	39.1	222.8	245.1
Reported as Other Equity Interests at Fair Value:			
Mezzanine Debt	68.1	68.3	63.8
Senior Debt	20.4	20.4	15.0
Distressed Debt	3.2	17.9	14.4
Secondary Transactions	13.0	15.7	13.7
Hedge Fund	—	12.3	12.5
Leveraged Buyout	2.7	7.8	9.9
Real Estate	—	6.9	6.3
Other	1.5	68.4	38.3
Total Reported as Other Equity Interests at Fair Value	108.9	217.7	173.9
Reported as Fair Value Option Investments:			
Hedge Fund	—	50.6	—
Total Investments in Limited Liability Companies and Limited Partnerships	\$ 148.0	\$ 491.1	\$ 419.0

The Company expects that it will be required to fund its commitments over the next several years. The Company expects that the proceeds from distributions from these investments will be the primary source of funding of such commitments.

## Write-off of Long-lived Asset

In September 2014, certain members of management of the Company participated in discussions with the product leadership team of a software vendor that is developing computer software for the Company's Property & Casualty Insurance segment. Over the course of these meetings, the vendor's team signaled likely changes in the strategic direction for the vendor's product offerings in the property and casualty insurance market, including the software being developed for the Company, as well as the vendor's related marketing strategy. The Company determined on September 30, 2014 that it is no longer probable that certain software will be completed and/or fully implemented. Accordingly, the Company recorded a charge of \$54.6 million before taxes to write off such software.

## Interest and Other Expenses

Interest and Other Expenses was \$67.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$74.3 million for the same period in 2013. Interest and Other Expenses decreased by \$7.0 million in 2014 due primarily to lower postretirement benefit costs, partially offset by higher interest expense.

Interest and Other Expenses (continued)

Interest and Other Expenses was \$22.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$25.3 million for the same period in 2013. Interest and Other Expenses decreased by \$3.2 million in 2014 due primarily to lower postretirement benefit costs and lower compensation expense, partially offset by higher interest expense.

Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate from continuing operations differs from the Federal statutory income tax rate due primarily to the effects of tax-exempt investment income and dividends received deductions. Tax-exempt investment income and dividends received deductions were \$18.7 million and \$6.2 million for the nine and three months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, compared to \$23.6 million and \$7.4 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2013.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

All recently issued accounting pronouncements with effective dates prior to October 1, 2014 have been adopted by the Company. There were no adoptions in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 that had a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Refer to Note 2 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Kemper's 2013 Annual Report for additional discussion of recently adopted accounting pronouncements. All other recently issued accounting pronouncements with effective dates after September 30, 2014 are not expected to have a material impact on the Company.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Kemper has a four-year, \$225.0 million, unsecured, revolving credit agreement, expiring March 7, 2016. The credit agreement provides for fixed and floating rate advances for periods up to six months at various interest rates. The credit agreement contains various financial covenants, including limits on total debt to total capitalization, consolidated net worth and minimum risk-based capital ratios for Kemper's largest insurance subsidiaries, United Insurance and Trinity. Proceeds from advances under the credit agreement may be used for general corporate purposes, including repayment of existing indebtedness. There were no outstanding borrowings at September 30, 2014 under the credit agreement.

In December 2013, United Insurance and Trinity became members of the FHLB of Chicago and Dallas, respectively. The FHLB memberships provide United Insurance and Trinity with access to additional sources of liquidity. Effective December 31, 2013, Trinity and the FHLB of Dallas entered into agreements pursuant to which Trinity may obtain advances from the FHLB of Dallas. On March 18, 2014, United Insurance and the FHLB of Chicago entered into agreements pursuant to which United Insurance may obtain advances from the FHLB of Chicago. Advances from the FHLB of Dallas and Chicago are subject to collateral requirements as specified in the respective agreements with Trinity and United Insurance. There were no advances from the FHLB of Dallas or Chicago outstanding at either September 30, 2014 or December 31, 2013.

On February 27, 2014, Kemper issued \$150.0 million of its 7.375% subordinated debentures due February 27, 2054. Kemper issued the 2054 Subordinated Debentures for proceeds of \$144.2 million, net of transaction costs, for an effective yield of 7.69%. See Note 4, "Debt," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company intends to use the net proceeds from the sale of the debentures for working capital and other general corporate purposes, which may include retirement of a portion of the Company's existing debt on or before its scheduled maturity date.

Various state insurance laws restrict the ability of Kemper's insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends without regulatory approval. Such insurance laws generally restrict the amount of dividends paid in an annual period to the greater of statutory net income from the previous year or 10% of statutory capital and surplus. Kemper's direct insurance subsidiaries paid dividends of \$154.0 million to Kemper during the first nine months of 2014. Kemper estimates that its insurance subsidiaries would be able to pay an additional \$63.0 million in dividends to Kemper during the remainder of 2014 without prior regulatory approval, of which Kemper estimates the insurance subsidiaries will pay at least \$25 million.

On February 2, 2011, the Board of Directors approved a common stock repurchase program (the "2011 Repurchase Program"). Under the 2011 Repurchase Program, Kemper was authorized to repurchase up to \$300 million worth of its common stock. During the first nine months of 2014, Kemper repurchased 3.0 million shares of its common stock at

an aggregate cost of \$106.5 million in open market transactions substantially completing the 2011 Repurchase Program. On August 6, 2014, the Board of Directors terminated the Company's remaining authorization under the 2011 Repurchase Program and approved a new repurchase program under which Kemper is authorized to repurchase up to \$300 million worth of its common stock.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources (continued)

Kemper paid a quarterly dividend to shareholders of \$0.24 per common share for each of the first three quarters of 2014. Dividends and dividend equivalents paid were \$39.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Kemper directly held cash and investments totaling \$302.4 million at September 30, 2014, compared to \$156.7 million at December 31, 2013. Sources available for the repayment of indebtedness, repurchases of common stock, future shareholder dividend payments and the payment of interest on Kemper's senior notes and subordinated debentures include cash and investments directly held by Kemper, receipt of dividends from Kemper's insurance subsidiaries and borrowings under the credit agreement.

The primary sources of funds for Kemper's insurance subsidiaries are premiums, investment income and proceeds from the sales and maturity of investments. The primary uses of funds are the payment of policyholder benefits under life insurance contracts, claims under property and casualty insurance contracts and accident and health insurance contracts, the payment of commissions and general expenses and the purchase of investments. Generally, there is a time lag between when premiums are collected and when policyholder benefits and insurance claims are paid.

Changes in the legal environment relative to application of state unclaimed property laws and related insurance claims handling practices could result in changes in the manner in which Kemper's life insurance companies administer life insurance death benefits and escheat unclaimed benefits to the states, and could have a significant effect on, including decreasing such time lag due to an acceleration of, the payment and/or remittance of such benefits to the states under their unclaimed property laws relative to what is currently contemplated by Kemper. See the Company's Risk Factor set forth in Item 1A. of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Note 12, "Contingencies," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the section of this MD&A entitled "Life & Health Insurance" for additional information on these matters. During periods of growth, insurance companies typically experience positive operating cash flows and are able to invest a portion of their operating cash flows to fund future policyholder benefits and claims. During periods in which premium revenues decline, insurance companies may experience negative cash flows from operations and may need to sell investments to fund payments to policyholders and claimants. In addition, if the Company's property and casualty insurance subsidiaries experience several significant catastrophic events over a relatively short period of time, investments may have to be sold in advance of their maturity dates to fund payments, which could result in either investment gains or losses. Management believes that its property and casualty insurance subsidiaries maintain adequate levels of liquidity in the event that they were to experience several future catastrophic events over a relatively short period of time.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities was \$99.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$92.9 million for the same period in 2013.

Net Cash Used by Financing Activities decreased by \$126.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the same period in 2013, due primarily to net proceeds of \$144.2 million related to the issuance of the 2054 Subordinated Debentures. Kemper used \$106.5 million of cash during the first nine months of 2014 to repurchase shares of its common stock, compared to \$82.9 million of cash used to repurchase shares of its common stock in the same period of 2013. Kemper used \$39.2 million of cash to pay dividends for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$41.5 million of cash used to pay dividends in the same period of 2013. The quarterly dividend rate was \$0.24 per common share for each of the first three quarters of 2014 and each quarter of 2013.

Cash available for investment activities in total is dependent on cash flow from Operating Activities and Financing Activities and the level of cash the Company elects to maintain. Net Cash Used by Investing Activities was \$72.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities of \$14.2 million for the same period in 2013. Net cash used by acquisitions of short-term investments was \$34.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to net cash of \$39.7 million provided by dispositions of short-term investments for the same period in 2013. Sales of Fixed Maturities exceeded Purchases of Fixed Maturities by \$49.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Purchases of Fixed Maturities exceeded Sales of Fixed Maturities by \$88.9 million for the same period in 2013. Purchases of Equity Securities exceeded Sales of Equity Securities by \$10.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Purchases of Equity Securities exceeded Sales of Equity Securities by \$28.7 million for the same period in 2013. Purchases of Fair Value Option

Investments exceeded Sales of Fair Value Option Investments by \$50.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. There were no purchases or sales of Fair Value Option Investments for the same period in 2013. Purchases of Corporate-owned Life Insurance was \$33.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. There were no purchases or sales of Corporate-owned Life Insurance for the same period in 2013. Cash provided by the sales of investment real estate was \$0.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$102.5 million for the same period in 2013.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

Kemper's subsidiaries conduct their operations in two industries: property and casualty insurance and life and health insurance. Accordingly, the Company is subject to several industry-specific accounting principles under GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The process of estimation is inherently uncertain. Accordingly, actual results could ultimately differ materially from the estimated amounts reported in a company's financial statements. Different assumptions are likely to result in different estimates of reported amounts.

The Company's critical accounting policies most sensitive to estimates include the valuation of investments, the valuation of reserves for property and casualty insurance incurred losses and LAE, the assessment of recoverability of goodwill, the valuation of pension benefit obligations and the valuation of postretirement benefit obligations other than pensions. The Company's critical accounting policies are described in the MD&A included in the 2013 Annual Report. There has been no material change, subsequent to December 31, 2013, to the information previously disclosed in the 2013 Annual Report with respect to these critical accounting estimates and the Company's critical accounting policies.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, the Company is required to provide the following disclosures about Market Risk.

#### Quantitative Information About Market Risk

Financial instruments subject to material market risk disclosures required by the SEC were reported on the following lines of the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

- 1) Investments in Fixed Maturities;
- 2) Investments in Equity Securities;
- 3) Fair Value Option Investments; and
- 4) Debt.

Investments in Fixed Maturities and Debt are subject to material interest rate risk. The Company's Investments in Equity Securities include common and preferred stocks and, accordingly, are subject to material equity price risk and interest rate risk, respectively. The Company's Fair Value Option Investments include hedge funds that are subject to material equity price risk.

For purposes of this disclosure, market risk sensitive financial instruments are divided into two categories: financial instruments acquired for trading purposes and financial instruments acquired for purposes other than trading. The Company's market risk sensitive financial instruments are generally classified as held for purposes other than trading. The Company has no significant holdings of financial instruments acquired for trading purposes. The Company has no significant holdings of derivatives.

The Company measures its sensitivity to market risk by evaluating the change in its financial assets and liabilities relative to fluctuations in interest rates and equity prices. The evaluation is made using instantaneous changes in interest rates and equity prices on a static balance sheet to determine the effect such changes would have on the Company's market value at risk and the resulting pre-tax effect on Shareholders' Equity. The changes chosen represent the Company's view of adverse changes which are reasonably possible over a one-year period. The selection of the changes chosen should not be construed as the Company's prediction of future market events, but rather an illustration of the impact of such possible events.

For the interest rate sensitivity analysis presented below, the Company assumed an adverse and instantaneous increase of 100 basis points in the yield curve at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 for Investments in Fixed Maturities. Such 100 basis point increase in the yield curve may not necessarily result in a corresponding 100 basis point increase in the interest rate for all investments in fixed maturities. For example, a 100 basis point increase in the yield curve for risk-free, taxable investments in fixed maturities may not result in a 100 basis point increase for tax-exempt investments in fixed maturities. For Investments in Fixed Maturities, the Company also anticipated changes in cash flows due to changes in the likelihood that investments would be called or pre-paid prior to their

contractual maturity. All other variables were held constant. For preferred stock equity securities, the Company assumed an adverse and instantaneous increase of 100 basis points in market interest rates from their levels at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. All other variables were held constant. For Debt, the Company assumed an adverse and instantaneous decrease of 100 basis points in market interest rates from their levels at both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. All other variables were held constant.

## Quantitative Information About Market Risk (continued)

The Company measured equity price sensitivity assuming an adverse and instantaneous 30% decrease in the Standard and Poor's Stock Index (the "S&P 500") from its levels at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, with all other variables held constant. The Company's investments in common stock equity securities were correlated with the S&P 500 using the common stock portfolio's weighted-average beta of 0.95 and 0.94 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Beta measures a stock's relative volatility in relation to the rest of the stock market, with the S&P 500 having a beta coefficient of 1.00. The common stock portfolio's weighted-average beta was calculated using each security's beta for the five-year periods ended September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and weighted on the fair value of such securities at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. For equity securities without observable market inputs, the Company assumed a beta of 1.00 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The Company's Fair Value Option Investments were correlated with the S&P 500 using such portfolio's weighted-average beta of 0.18 at September 30, 2014, which was calculated for each hedge fund in the portfolio and weighted on the fair value of the hedge funds.

The estimated adverse effects on the fair values of the Company's financial instruments using these assumptions were:

(Dollars in Millions)	Fair Value	Pro Forma Increase (Decrease)		
		Interest Rate Risk	Equity Price Risk	Total Market Risk
September 30, 2014				
Assets:				
Investments in Fixed Maturities	\$4,697.2	\$(315.5 )	\$—	\$(315.5 )
Investments in Equity Securities	661.4	(9.5 )	(153.7 )	(163.2 )
Fair Value Option Investments	50.6	—	(2.8 )	(2.8 )
Liabilities:				
Debt	811.7	25.2	—	25.2
December 31, 2013				
Assets:				
Investments in Fixed Maturities	\$4,575.0	\$(300.0 )	\$—	\$(300.0 )
Investments in Equity Securities	598.5	(13.0 )	(119.7 )	(132.7 )
Liabilities:				
Debt	667.1	17.4	—	17.4

The market risk sensitivity analysis assumes that the composition of the Company's interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities, including, but not limited to, credit quality, and the equity price sensitive assets existing at the beginning of the period remains constant over the period being measured. It also assumes that a particular change in interest rates is uniform across the yield curve regardless of the time to maturity. Interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Also, any future correlation, either in the near term or the long term, between the Company's common stock equity securities portfolio and the S&P 500 may differ from the historical correlation as represented by the weighted-average historical beta of the common stock equity securities portfolio. Accordingly, the market risk sensitivity analysis may not be indicative of, is not intended to provide, and does not provide, a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market rates on the Company's income or shareholders' equity. Further, the computations do not contemplate any actions the Company may undertake in response to changes in interest rates or equity prices.

To the extent that any adverse 100 basis point change occurs in increments over a period of time instead of instantaneously, the adverse impact on fair values would be partially mitigated because some of the underlying financial instruments would have matured. For example, proceeds from any maturing assets could be reinvested and any new liabilities would be incurred at the then current interest rates.

#### Qualitative Information About Market Risk

Market risk is a broad term related to economic losses due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument and is inherent to all financial instruments. SEC disclosure rules focus on only one element of market risk - price risk. Price risk relates to changes in the level of prices due to changes in interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates or other factors that relate to market volatility of the rate, index or price underlying the financial instrument. The Company's primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates and equity prices.

The Company manages its interest rate exposures with respect to Investments in Fixed Maturities by investing primarily in investment-grade securities of moderate effective duration.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The Company's management, with the participation of Kemper's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, Kemper's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by Kemper in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, and accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including Kemper's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

##### (b) Changes in internal controls.

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Items not listed here have been omitted because they are inapplicable or the answer is negative.

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information concerning pending legal proceedings is incorporated herein by reference to Note 12, "Contingencies," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) in Part I of this Form 10-Q.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no significant changes in the risk factors included in Item 1A. of Part I of the 2013 Annual Report, except for the following risk factor, which is amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

Changes in the application of state unclaimed property laws and related insurance claims handling practices, particularly attempts by state officials to apply such changes retroactively to existing insurance policies through new laws and regulations, examinations and audits, could result in new requirements that would have a significant effect on (including an acceleration of) the payment and/or escheatment of life insurance death benefits and significantly increase claims handling costs relative to what is currently contemplated by Kemper. If attempts by state officials to impose such new requirements on existing insurance policies are successful on a wide scale, there is a potential for their collective effects to be materially adverse to the Company's profitability, financial position and cash flows (the "Unclaimed Property Risk Factor").

In recent years, many states have begun to aggressively expand the application of their unclaimed property laws as they relate to life insurance proceeds. The treasurers or controllers (collectively, "Treasurers") of a large majority of states have engaged private audit firms to examine the practices and procedures of life insurance companies with respect to the reporting and remittance of proceeds associated with life insurance policies, annuity contracts and retained asset accounts under state unclaimed property laws. Certain related measures are also being taken or considered by state insurance regulators, both individually and collectively through the auspices of the NAIC. Some state insurance regulators have held administrative hearings and/or have initiated market conduct examinations focused on claims handling and escheatment practices of life insurance companies.



As a result of these audits and examinations, a number of large life insurance companies have agreed to alter historic practices that were previously considered to be lawful and appropriate relative to claims handling and the reporting and remittance of life insurance policy proceeds to the states under state unclaimed property laws. Based on published reports, at least thirteen life insurance companies have entered into settlement agreements with state insurance regulators and eighteen with Treasurers. Under the terms of these agreements, the settling insurance companies typically have agreed to establish a practice of periodically searching for deceased insureds, even prior to the receipt of a death claim, by comparing their in-force policy records against a database of reported deaths maintained by the Social Security Administration or a comparable database (collectively, a “Death Master File” or “DMF”). The settlements typically apply to policies that were in force at any time since January 1, 1992. In conducting these data comparisons against a Death Master File, the insurers are required to use complex “fuzzy” matching criteria which in many cases result in numerous potential matches for any given insured. In such cases, the insurer must either assume a costly and administratively burdensome process of disproving any such ambiguous matches which may, in some cases, necessitate a review of older records that are not in electronic form or accept such matches as valid and escheat the related policy benefits to the states if the beneficiaries cannot be found. All settlements to date with insurance regulators have involved payment of monetary penalties (involving amounts ranging from about \$1.9 million up to \$40 million), while settlements with Treasurers have required payment of interest on sums remitted to the Treasurers dating from the date of death of the insured (rather than from a more recent date linked to the insurer’s first awareness of death) and extending as far back as January 1, 1995. As hereafter described, Kemper’s life insurance subsidiaries (the “Life Companies”) have thus far resisted attempts by certain state officials and their agents to mandate changes to the Life Companies’ claims handling and escheatment practices of the sort embodied in the foregoing settlements and have challenged through legal proceedings the authority of such officials to require such changes. There can be no assurances that the Life Companies will ultimately be successful in resisting such attempts or any that may arise in the future.

Separately, the National Conference of Insurance Legislators (“NCOIL”) has adopted model legislation which, if enacted by states as proposed, would require life insurance companies to compare their in-force life insurance policy records against a Death Master File for the purpose of proactively identifying potentially deceased insureds for whom the subject life insurance company has not yet received a claim, including due proof of death. Ten states have enacted legislation of this type, with varying effective dates (the “DMF Statutes”). Such statutes, if construed to apply to life insurance policies in force on each respective statute’s effective date, could have a significant effect on, including an acceleration of, the payment of life insurance benefits to beneficiaries or, in instances where beneficiaries could not be located, the remittance of such benefits to the states under their unclaimed property laws. In contrast, New Mexico and Tennessee have enacted a version of the model legislation that applies only prospectively to life insurance companies, like Kemper’s Life Companies, that have not previously used a Death Master File. Similarly, Georgia, Mississippi and Alabama have enacted statutes that apply only to policies issued on or after January 1, 2015, July 1, 2015 and January 1, 2016, respectively, without regard to prior Death Master File use. Various forms of DMF legislation remain pending in three states whose 2014 legislatures are still in session and Kemper believes that it is likely that a number of other states will introduce (or re-introduce) legislation of this sort in future legislative sessions. Kemper cannot presently predict whether any of such pending or future legislation will be enacted or, if enacted, exactly what form such legislation will take.

In November 2012, certain of the Life Companies filed a declaratory judgment action in Kentucky state court challenging the validity of the Kentucky DMF Statute on statutory and constitutional grounds, insofar as it purports to impose new requirements with respect to existing, previously issued life insurance policies. The trial court in that case denied the subject Life Companies’ motion for summary judgment and held that the requirements of the Kentucky DMF Statute apply to life insurance policies issued before the Kentucky DMF Statute’s January 1, 2013 effective date. The Life Companies appealed the trial court decision to the Kentucky Court of Appeals, and in August 2014, in a unanimous opinion, the Kentucky Court of Appeals reversed the trial court and held that the Kentucky DMF statute fell within Kentucky’s statutory presumption against retroactivity. As a result, the Court ruled, the Kentucky DMF Statute can only apply to policies issued on and after January 1, 2013. In September 2014, the Kentucky Department of Insurance filed a motion asking for the Kentucky Supreme Court to undertake a discretionary review of the Court

of Appeals' ruling. A decision by the Kentucky Supreme Court on whether to review the Court of Appeals' decision is expected by the third quarter of 2015.

In July 2013, certain of the Life Companies filed a declaratory judgment action, similar to the Kentucky proceeding, in Maryland state court challenging the validity of the Maryland DMF Statute on constitutional and common law grounds, insofar as it purports to impose new requirements on life insurance policies issued prior to the Maryland DMF statute's October 1, 2013 effective date. The Maryland Insurance Administration filed a motion to dismiss the action on jurisdictional grounds, contending that the Life Companies failed to first exhaust their administrative remedies. In March 2014, the trial court granted the Maryland Insurance Administration's motion. The Life Companies appealed the trial court's ruling to the Maryland Court of Special Appeals. The Maryland appellate courts have declined to stay enforcement of the Maryland DMF Statute pending the appeal and the Life Companies are complying with the Maryland DMF Statute while they continue to pursue their appeal. Oral

argument on the Life Companies' appeal before the Maryland Court of Special Appeals has been scheduled for December 2014. A decision by the Maryland Court of Special Appeals is unlikely before the second quarter of 2015. In August 2014, certain of the Life Companies filed a declaratory judgment action in Indiana state court, asking the court to construe the Indiana DMF Statute to apply only prospectively, i.e., only with respect to those life insurance policies issued in Indiana on or after the effective date of the Indiana DMF Statute, consistent with what the Life Companies believe are the requirements of applicable Indiana statutory law, the Indiana Constitution and the Contract Clause of the United States Constitution. The Indiana Department of Insurance filed an answer in response to the subject Life Companies' complaint on October 28, 2014.

The Life Companies are the subject of an unclaimed property compliance audit (the "Treasurers' Audit") by a private audit firm retained by the Treasurers of 38 states (the "Audit Firm"). In July 2013, the California State Controller (the "CA Controller") filed a complaint for injunctive relief against the Life Companies in state court in California, seeking an order requiring the Life Companies to produce all of their in-force insurance policy records to the Audit Firm to enable the firm to perform a comparison of such records against a Death Master File and to ascertain whether any of the insureds under such policies may be deceased. In December 2013, the CA Controller filed a motion for preliminary injunction seeking the same injunctive relief. A hearing on that motion was continued until November 2014 or until the California Court of Appeals rules on a preliminary injunction order issued in a related case involving an unaffiliated life insurance company, entitled *Chiang v. American National Insurance Company* (the "ANICO Appeal"), whichever is later. The Life Companies have filed a cross-complaint in this case against the CA Controller, seeking a declaration that there is no obligation under California's unclaimed property law to perform a Death Master File comparison and that the Audit Firm cannot obtain the Life Companies' records for the purpose of performing such a comparison. The CA Controller has filed a motion to dismiss the cross-complaint, contending that the Life Companies' request for a declaratory judgment of their rights and obligations under California's unclaimed property law is premature and not ripe for adjudication. A hearing on that motion has also been continued by the court until November 2014 or until the Court of Appeals issues its decision in the ANICO Appeal, whichever is later. In July 2014, the court granted a motion by the CA Controller to stay the litigation in its entirety, including all discovery, pending a decision by the Court of Appeals in the ANICO Appeal. No date has been set for oral argument in the ANICO Appeal. The current stay of the Controller's case against the Life Companies means that no substantive ruling is likely to occur until sometime in 2015. In the meantime, the CA Controller filed a petition for coordination of the five unclaimed property lawsuits pending in CA to have them all heard by the same court. That petition has not yet been set for hearing. Pending the outcome of this litigation, the Life Companies have not produced any of their in-force policy records to the CA Controller.

The Life Companies are the subject of a multi-state market conduct examination by six state insurance regulators that is focused on the Life Companies' claim settlement and policy administration practices, and specifically their compliance with state unclaimed property statutes (the "Multi-State Exam"). In July 2013, the Life Companies received requests from the Illinois Department of Insurance, as the managing lead state for the Multi-State Exam, for a significant volume of information beyond that which the Life Companies had already produced, including all of the subject Life Companies' records of in-force policies and other information of the type requested by the Audit Firm as part of the Treasurers' Audit and which is the subject of the CA Controller's complaint. This request prompted the Illinois litigation noted below. The Multi-State Exam was dormant for almost one year. Then in August 2014, the Illinois Department of Insurance appointed a law firm to act as an additional examiner in the Multi-State Exam. In October 2014, this new examiner sent a letter to the Life Companies seeking requests for interviews, site visits and additional information and documents. The Life Companies are currently in the process of evaluating these requests. In September 2013, certain of the Life Companies filed declaratory judgment actions against the insurance regulators in four states participating in the Multi-State Exam (Illinois, California, Pennsylvania and Florida), asking the courts in those states to declare that applicable insurance laws do not require life insurers to search a Death Master File to ascertain whether insureds are deceased, and to further declare that the regulators in those states do not have legal authority to (i) obtain life insurers' policy records for the purpose of comparing data from those records against a Death Master File, and/or (ii) impose payment obligations on life insurers before a claim and due proof of death have been submitted by policy beneficiaries. In response to the Illinois declaratory judgment action, the Illinois Department of

Insurance and its Director (collectively, the “IDOI”) filed a motion to dismiss, and in July 2014, the court in Illinois denied, in part, such motion. Specifically, the court allowed to stand the count in the Life Companies’ complaint that the IDOI cannot compel the Life Companies to provide their policy records to the IDOI or persons acting on the IDOI’s behalf so as to permit a comparison against the DMF for purposes of identifying deceased insureds, as well as the associated claim for injunctive relief. The court dismissed with prejudice the other counts in the complaint. In August 2014, the IDOI filed its answer to the counts of the complaint that the Illinois court allowed to stand, and in October 2014, the Life Companies filed a motion for summary judgment with respect to those remaining counts. Formal briefing and a hearing schedule on the summary judgment motion are expected to be set by the court at a November 2014 status conference. The Life Companies’ actions against regulators in Pennsylvania and Florida remain stayed by agreement of the

parties pending the outcome of the Illinois action. The action against regulators in California, which was filed as part of a counterclaim in the CA Controller litigation, is stayed as described above.

Should these various efforts by state officials succeed in retroactively imposing new claims handling and escheatment requirements with regard to previously issued life insurance policies, they could have a material adverse effect on the Company's profitability, financial position and cash flows. The Company's stance in opposition to the aforementioned actions by state legislators, Treasurers and insurance regulators, including the Company's initiation of the litigation described above, also creates a risk of reputational damage to the Company among various constituencies (including its principal insurance regulators, rating agencies, investors, insurance agents and policyholders) if the Company's position is not ultimately vindicated.

See Note 12, "Contingencies," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the sections of the MD&A entitled "Life & Health Insurance" and "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for additional information on the litigation and regulatory proceedings and other matters described above.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Information pertaining to purchases of Kemper common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2014 follows.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (Dollars in Millions) (1)
July 1 - July 31	822,366	\$36.50	819,510	\$5.0
August 1 - August 31	8,479	\$34.70	—	\$300.0
September 1 - September 30	—	N/A	—	\$300.0

(1) On February 2, 2011, Kemper's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$300 million of Kemper's common stock. On August 6, 2014, the Board of Directors terminated the Company's remaining authorization under the 2011 Repurchase Program and approved a new repurchase program under which Kemper is authorized to repurchase up to \$300 million worth of its common stock. See MD&A, "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

During the quarter ended September 30, 2014, 11,335 shares were withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards under Kemper's long-term equity-based compensation plans.

#### Item 6. Exhibits

An Exhibit Index has been filed as part of this report on page E-1. Exhibit numbers correspond to the numbering system in Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Kemper Corporation

Date: November 6, 2014

/S/ DONALD G. SOUTHWELL  
Donald G. Southwell  
Chairman, President and  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 6, 2014

/S/ FRANK J. SODARO  
Frank J. Sodaro  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 6, 2014

/S/ RICHARD ROESKE  
Richard Roeske  
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

## Exhibit Index

The following exhibits are either filed as a part hereof or are incorporated by reference. Exhibit numbers followed by an asterisk (\*) indicate exhibits that are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference				Filed or Furnished Herewith
		Form	File Number	Exhibit	Filing Date	
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation	8-K	001-18298	3.2	August 8, 2014	
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws	8-K	001-18298	3.3	August 8, 2014	
4.1	Form of Certificate Representing Shares of Kemper Corporation Common Stock	8-K	001-18298	4.1	August 8, 2014	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14(a)					X
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14(a)					X
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished pursuant to Item 601(b)(32) of Regulation S-K)					X
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished pursuant to Item 601(b)(32) of Regulation S-K)					X
101.1	XBRL Instance					X
101.2	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					X
101.3	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					X
101.4	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document					X
101.5	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X
101.6	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document					X