NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORP

Form 20-F April 28, 2008

| Form | 20 | E |
|------|----|---|
| Form | 20 | н |

| | FOIM 20 F |
|--------|---|
| [] | Registration Statement pursuant to section 12(b) or (g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or |
| [X] | Annual Report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 or |
| [] | Transition Report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from to or |
| [] | Shell Company Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Date of event requiring this Shell Company Report from to |
| | NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) |
| | Canada |
| | (Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) |
| | 9900 Cavendish Blvd., Suite 306 |
| | St. Laurent, Quebec, Canada, H4M 2V2 |
| | (Address of principal executive offices) |
| | Contact person: Roy Wolvin |
| | Tel. 800-936-9669, e-mail: rwolvin@nymox.com , fax: 514-332-2227 |
| Securi | ties registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act. |
| | <u>Title of each class</u> <u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u> |
| | None Not Applicable |
| Securi | ties registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act |
| | Common Stock |
| Securi | ties for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act |
| | None |
| | te the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer s classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the report. |
| | 29,365,753 shares as of December 31, 2007 |
| Indica | te by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
| | Yes [] No [X] is a transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securitien nge Act of 1934 |
| | Yes [X] No [] |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject

Form 20 F

to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

| Yes [] Indicate by check mark whether the registrant accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in F | No [X] is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): | a non-accelerated filer. See definition of |
|--|---|---|
| Large accelerated filer [_] Accelerated filer | [X] Non-accelerated filer [_] | |
| Indicate by check mark which financial statem | ent item the registrant has elected to follow. | |
| Item 17 [_] Indicate by check mark which basis of account | Item 18 [X] ing the registrant has used to prepare the financial | l statements included in this filing: |
| | International Financial Reporting Standards [] as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. e previous question, indicate by check mark which | Other $[X]$ h financial statement item the registrant has elected |
| Item 17 [_] If this is an annual report, indicate by check ma | Item 18 [X] ark whether the registrant is a shell company (as c | defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). |
| Yes [] | No [X] | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 2 | |

In this annual report, the term Nymox refers to both Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and its subsidiaries, Nymox Corporation and Serex Inc., and, where applicable, a predecessor private corporation, DMS Pharmaceuticals Inc. Unless otherwise indicated all dollar amounts are in United States Dollars.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

You should be aware that this report contains forward-looking statements about, among other things, the anticipated operations, product development, financial condition and operating results of Nymox, proposed clinical trials and proposed transactions, including collaboration agreements.

By forward-looking statements, we mean any statements that are not statements of historical fact, including (but not limited to) statements preceded by or that include the words, believes, expects, anticipates, hopes, targets or similar expressions.

In connection with the safe harbor provisions in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are including this cautionary statement to identify some of the important factors that could cause Nymox s actual results or plans to differ materially from those projected in forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, Nymox. These factors, many of which are beyond the control of Nymox, include Nymox s ability to:

identify and capitalize on possible collaboration, strategic partnering or divestiture opportunities;

obtain suitable financing to support its operations and clinical trials;

manage its growth and the commercialization of its products;

achieve operating efficiencies as it progresses from a development-stage to a later-stage biotechnology company;

successfully compete in its markets;

realize the results it anticipates from the clinical trials of its products;

succeed in finding and retaining joint venture and collaboration partners to assist it in the successful marketing, distribution and commercialization of its products;

achieve regulatory clearances for its products;

obtain on commercially reasonable terms adequate product liability insurance for its commercialized products;

adequately protect its proprietary information and technology from competitors and avoid infringement of proprietary information and technology of its competitors;

assure that its products, if successfully developed and commercialized following regulatory approval, are not rendered obsolete by products or technologies of competitors; and

not encounter problems with third parties, including key personnel, upon whom it is dependent.

Although Nymox believes that the forward-looking statements contained in this annual report are reasonable, it cannot ensure that its expectations will be met. These statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in these statements. Factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Risk Factors.

3

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not Applicable

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not Applicable

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data for Nymox for the periods indicated, derived from financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). We prepare our basic financial statements in accordance with Canadian GAAP and include, as a note to the statements, a reconciliation of material differences to United States GAAP. The financial statements have been audited by KPMG LLP, Montreal, Canada as at and for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 and are reported in U.S. dollars. The data set forth below should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Part I, Item 8 of this report.

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Selected Consolidated Financial Data (In U.S. dollars)

| 2003 |
|--------------------|
| 747 (70 |
| 747,672 133,161 |
| |

Selected Financial Data 3

| | | Dec. 31, 2007 | Dec. 31, 2006 | Dec. 31, 2005 | Dec. 31, 2004 | Dec. 31, 2003 |
|---------------------------------|----|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | 2007 | 2000 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | | | | | | |
| Patents & Intellectual Property | | 3,712,682 | 3,477,819 | 3,310,129 | 3,271,599 | 3,034,529 |
| Total Assets | | 4,260,346 | 3,970,845 | 3,719,039 | 4,066,021 | 4,022,862 |
| Total Liabilities | | 2,094,745 | 2,144,312 | 2,506,902 | 2,053,634 | 1,724,164 |
| Share Capital | 4 | 50,155,147 | 44,443,350 | 39,488,350 | 36,553,350 | 32,503,600 |
| Shareholder s Equity | | 2,165,601 | 1,026,533 | 412,137 | 1,212,387 | 1,478,698 |
| Total Revenues | | 433,933 | 442,861 | 426,282 | 321,948 | 200,132 |
| Sales | | 412,923 | 437,440 | 424,506 | 321,895 | 199,217 |
| Research & Development | | | | | | |
| Expenditures(1) | | 2,729,862 | 2,541,096 | 1,828,516 | 1,851,881 | 2,477,032 |
| Net Loss | | 5,290,431 | 4,893,685 | 3,584,528 | 3,745,625 | 4,354,288 |
| Loss per Share (basic & | | | | | | |
| diluted) | \$ | 0.18 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.18 |
| Weighted Avg. No. of Common | | | | | | |
| Shares | 2 | 29,005,342 | 27,644,749 | 26,080,470 | 24,924,674 | 23,669,852 |
| U.S. GAAP(2) | | | | | | |
| Net Loss | \$ | 5,290,431 | \$ 4,893,685 | \$ 3,609,448 | \$ 3,770,545 | \$ 4,395,428 |
| Loss per Share | | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| Shareholder s Equity | \$ | 2,155,492 | \$ 1,016,424 | \$ 402,028 | \$ 1,202,278 | \$ 1,468,589 |

⁽¹⁾ We earn investment tax credits by making qualifying research and development expenditures. These amounts shown are net of investment tax credits.

4

Risk Factors

Investing in our securities involves a significant degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below, together with all of the other information in our publicly filed documents, before making an investment decision. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. In such an event, the trading price of our Common Shares could decline and shareholders may lose part or all of their investment in our securities.

Our Clinical Trials for our Therapeutic Products in Development, such as NX-1207, May not be Successful and We May Not Receive the Required Regulatory Approvals Necessary to Commercialize These Pproducts

Products requiring regulatory approval, such as NX-1207, will be approved for commercial sale only if governmental regulatory authorities are satisfied that our clinical trials are properly designed and conducted and that the results of those trials provide valid and acceptable evidence that the product is safe and effective for the conditions or diseases it is intended to treat. We do not know whether our pending or any future clinical trials will demonstrate sufficient safety and efficacy to obtain the requisite regulatory approvals or will result in marketable products. Clinical trials are lengthy, complex, expensive and uncertain processes and failure can occur at any stage of testing. Results attained in pre-clinical testing or in early clinical trials may not be indicative of results that are obtained in later studies. We may suffer significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after promising results in earlier studies. Based on results at any stage of clinical trials, we may decide to repeat or redesign a trial or discontinue development of one or more of our product candidates. If we fail to adequately demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our products under development, we will not be able to obtain the required regulatory approvals to commercialize our product candidates. Failure to obtain such approval could cause the price of our shares to decline and adversely affect our business, operations, product development programs and financial condition.

⁽²⁾ Reference is made to Note 13 of Nymox s audited financial statements as at and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 for a reconciliation of differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP.

Our Clinical Trials for our Therapeutic Products, such as NX-1207, May be Delayed, Making it Impossible to Achieve Anticipated Development or Commercialization Timelines

Delays in the initiation, conduct or completion of clinical trials are not uncommon. If one or more of our clinical trials is delayed, we may not unable to meet our anticipated development or commercialization timelines. Either circumstance could cause the price of our shares to decline, increase clinical trial and product development costs, and affect the company s business, operations, product development programs and financial condition.

The design, conduct and completion of clinical trials is a complex process involving many third parties, including governmental authorities, institutional review boards, contract manufacturers, contract research organizations (CROs), consultants, investigators, patients, and data monitoring committees. The initiation, progress, completion and success of a clinical trial is in part dependent on third parties providing necessary approvals, agreements and consents, performing necessary tasks in a timely, competent manner, and complying with protocols, good clinical practices and applicable laws, rules and regulations. Failure of a third party to perform as expected or agreed upon may result in delays or failure in initiating or completing a clinical trial.

Our clinical trials are subject to prior approvals and continuing oversight by governmental regulatory authorities and institutional review boards. We must meet and comply with their requirements in order to start, continue and successfully complete a clinical trial. We may not be able to comply with one or more of these requirements or there may be delays in doing so. A clinical trial may be put on hold or halted altogether due to concerns about patient safety. Governmental regulatory authorities may change approvals or requirements, resulting in changes to the design or conduct of a clinical trial or the need for new or further clinical trials.

5

Clinical trials for our product candidates require that we identify and enroll a large number of patients with the disorder under investigation. We may not be able to enroll a sufficient number of patients to complete our clinical trials in a timely manner. Patient enrollment is a function of many factors including:

design of the protocol; the size of the patient population; eligibility criteria for the study in question; perceived risks and benefits of the drug under study; availability of competing therapies; efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials; patient referral practices of physicians; and availability of clinical trial sites.

If we have difficulty enrolling a sufficient number of patients to conduct our clinical trials as planned, we may need to delay or terminate ongoing clinical trials.

A Setback in Any of our Clinical Trials Would Likely Cause a Drop in the Price of our Shares

We have successfully completed several Phase 1 and Phase 2 multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials, and follow-up studies, in the U.S. for NX-1207, our drug candidate for the treatment of enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH). The clinical testing of drug candidates is fraught with uncertainties and positive results from earlier clinical trials may not be repeated in later trials. As well, government regulators such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, may require additional testing or further documentation relating to the preclinical testing, clinical studies, manufacturing or other issues at any time. These requirements may result in substantial delays in obtaining regulatory approval or make obtaining such approval much more difficult. Setbacks in any phase of the clinical development of our product candidates could have a negative impact on our business, operations, product development programs and financial condition, could jeopardize FDA or other regulatory approval and would likely cause a drop in the price of our shares.

We May Not be Able to Make Adequate Arrangements with Third Parties for the Commercialization of our Product Candidates, such as NX-1207

In order to commercialize our product candidates successfully, we intend, on a product-by-product basis, either to make arrangements with third parties to perform some or all of these services or to expand our existing sales, marketing and distribution capabilities. We currently have limited sales and marketing capabilities and limited experience in developing, training or managing a large marketing or sales force. We currently rely primarily upon distributors for the sales of our existing products. The cost of establishing and maintaining a larger sales force would be substantial and may exceed its cost effectiveness. In addition, in marketing our products, we would likely compete with many companies that

We May Not be Able to Make Adequate Arrangements with Third Parties for the Commercialization of our Broduct (

currently have extensive and well-funded marketing and sales operations. Despite our marketing and sales efforts, we may be unable to compete successfully against these companies. We may make arrangements with third parties to market and sell some or all of our products under development in certain territories, rather than establish our own sales force. We may not be able to do so on favorable terms. If we contract with third parties for the sales and marketing of our products, our revenues will depend upon the efforts of these third parties, whose efforts may not be successful.

6

We anticipate entering into co-development and co-marketing agreements with one or more partners with established sales, marketing and regulatory capabilities in order to assist in the completion of the development and commercialization of NX-1207. We may not be able to do so on favorable terms. If we fail to establish or make adequate arrangements with third parties for such purposes, our business, operations, product development programs and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

We May Not Achieve our Projected Development Goals in the Time Frames We Announce and Expect

We make public statements regarding our estimates and projections for meeting milestones, such as the commencement and completion of clinical trials, anticipated regulatory submission and approval dates and time of product launch. The actual timing of these events can vary dramatically due to factors such as delays or failures in our clinical trials, the uncertainties inherent in the regulatory approval process and delays in achieving manufacturing or marketing arrangements sufficient to commercialize our products. There can be no assurance that our clinical trials will be completed, that we will make regulatory submissions or receive regulatory approvals as planned or that we will be able to adhere to our current schedule for the launch of any of our products. If we fail to achieve one or more of these milestones as planned, the price of our shares could decline.

Even If We Obtain Regulatory Approvals for our Product Candidates, We Will be Subject to Stringent Ongoing Government Regulation

Even if regulatory authorities approve any of our product candidates, the manufacture, marketing and sale of such products will be subject to strict and ongoing regulation. Compliance with such regulation will be expensive and consume substantial financial and management resources. For example, an approval for a product may be conditioned on our conducting costly post-marketing follow-up studies. In addition, if based on these studies, a regulatory authority does not believe that the product demonstrates a benefit to patients, such authority could limit the indications for which the product may be sold or revoke the product s regulatory approval.

We and our contract manufacturers will be required to comply with applicable current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) regulations for the manufacture of our products. These regulations include requirements relating to quality assurance, as well as the corresponding maintenance of records and documentation. Manufacturing facilities must be approved before we can use them in commercial manufacturing of our products and are subject to subsequent periodic inspection by regulatory authorities. In addition, material changes in the methods of manufacturing or changes in the suppliers of raw materials are subject to further regulatory review and approval.

If we or any marketing collaborators or contract manufacturers fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, we may be subject to sanctions including fines, product recalls or seizures, injunctions, total or partial suspension of production, civil penalties, withdrawals of previously granted regulatory approvals and criminal prosecution. Any of these penalties could delay or prevent the development, marketing or sale of our products.

It is Uncertain When, if Ever, We Will Make a Profit

We first began operations in 1995 and are only in the early stages of commercial marketing of our diagnostic products, AlzheimAlert $\,$, NicAlert and TobacAlert $\,$. We have never made a profit. We incurred a net loss of \$4.3 million in 2003, \$3.7 million in 2004, \$3.6 million in 2005, \$4.9 million in 2006 and \$5.3 million in 2007. As of December 31, 2007, Nymox $\,$ s accumulated deficit was \$50.5 million.

7

We cannot say when, if ever, Nymox will become profitable. Profitability will depend on our uncertain ability to generate revenues from the sale of our products and the licensing of our technology that will offset the significant expenditures required for us to advance our research, protect and extend our intellectual property and develop, manufacture, license, market, distribute and sell our technology and products successfully. Similar types of expenditures in the past have helped produce the net losses reported above.

We May Not Be Able to Raise Enough Capital to Develop and Market Our Products

Nymox has funded its operations primarily by selling shares of its common stock. Since late 1998, a small portion of the funds came from sales. However, sales have not been, and may not be in the foreseeable future, sufficient to meet our anticipated financial requirements.

We will continue to need to raise substantial amounts of capital for our business activities including our research and development programs, the conduct of clinical trials needed to obtain regulatory approvals and the marketing and sales of our products. We anticipate being able to fund our current total annual budgeted expenditures of approximately \$3.5 5 million per year over the next year through our current cash position and additional financing, including draw downs through our common stock private purchase agreement with Lorros-Greyse Investments, Inc. Clinical trials will substantially increase cash requirements. We anticipate being able to meet these requirements as they arise. We plan to raise capital either through a new round of financing and/or through partnering with a major pharmaceutical company. Additional financing may not be available when needed, or, if available, may not be available terms. If adequate funds on acceptable terms are not available, we may have to curtail or eliminate expenditures for research and development, testing, clinical trials, promotion and marketing for some or all of our products.

We Face Challenges in Developing, Manufacturing and Improving Our Products

Our success depends on our ability to develop or acquire rights to new products or to improve our existing products. We are still developing many of our products and have not yet brought them to market. We cannot assure you that we will be able to develop or acquire rights to such products and to market them successfully.

Developing a treatment for Alzheimer s disease is particularly challenging. Many pharmaceutical companies, institutions and researchers are working on many different approaches and treatments. There is no consensus among researchers about the cause of this fatal illness and no guarantee that our drug development programs in this area are targeting significant factors in its cause, progression or symptoms. It is difficult to design drug candidates that can cross from the bloodstream into the brain, where the damage from Alzheimer s disease is occurring. Clinical trials to establish efficacy for drugs that slow down the progression of Alzheimer s disease over a period of months or years often require that a large number of subjects be tracked over many months or years, making them very expensive to conduct. The potentially long period from discovery and patenting through development and regulatory approval to the market can significantly reduce the patent life of an Alzheimer s disease treatment. Any marketed treatment in this area may well eventually face competition from me-too drugs developed by other pharmaceutical companies based on our research. We will be under constant competitive pressure to improve our products and to develop new treatments in order to protect our position in the field.

Developing and improving our diagnostic products is also challenging. The science and technology of the detection and measurement of very small amounts of biochemicals in bodily fluids and tissue is evolving rapidly. We may need to make significant expenditures in research and development costs and licensing fees in order to take advantage of new technologies. If any major changes to our testing technologies used in our AlzheimAlert and NicAlert and TobacAlert tests are made, further validation studies will be required. Developing new diagnostic products is more challenging, requiring identification and validation of the biochemical marker being detected by the new product in the clinical context and the development and validation of the product designed to detect the marker.

8

We anticipate outsourcing at least some of the manufacturing required for new products we may develop in order to control start-up and operating costs and to take advantage of the existing manufacturing capabilities and capacity in the large contract manufacturing sectors in the pharmaceutical and diagnostic industries. There are risks associated with this strategy, including difficulties in the transfer of manufacturing, the possibility of production interruption due to causes beyond our control and the need to arrange alternative suppliers. We currently out-source some of the manufacturing services required for our NicAlert and TobacAlert products to a contract manufacturer. We do not anticipate any significant risk of long-term interruption of manufacture due to this arrangement. The services supplied are not unique or unduly complicated and other contract manufacturers are available to provide similar services. The manufacture of therapeutics is more challenging and capital-intensive and may require us to partner with a major pharmaceutical company or other partner in order to manufacture a therapeutic for market.

Our Products and Services May Not Receive Necessary Regulatory Approvals

Our diagnostic products, AlzheimAlert , NicAlert and TobacAlert , and our products in development, are subject to a wide range of government regulation governing laboratory standards, product safety and efficacy. The actual regulatory schemes in place vary from country to country and regulatory compliance can take several years and involve substantial expenditures.

We cannot be sure that we can obtain necessary regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all, for our products in development and all of the following could have a material adverse effect on our business:

failure to obtain or significant delays in obtaining requisite approvals; loss of or changes to previously obtained approvals; and failure to comply with existing or future regulatory requirements.

We currently market AlzheimAlert as a clinical reference laboratory service provided by our government inspected clinical reference laboratory in New Jersey. Physicians send us urine samples from their patients to our laboratory where the AlzheimAlert test is performed and the results are reported back to the physicians. A clinical laboratory test like AlzheimAlert does not require approval from the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Our laboratory is regulated by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) and is subject to inspection and certification. In addition, individual states like New York and Florida have their own requirements for reference laboratories like ours that offer diagnostic services. In addition, the FDA has its own regulations governing in vitro diagnostic products, including some of the reagents used in clinical reference laboratories. Any changes in CMS or state law requirements or in the FDA regulations could have a detrimental impact on our ability to offer or market any reference laboratory services and/or on our ability to obtain reimbursement from the Medicare and Medicaid programs and providers.

We have developed a diagnostic kit based on AlzheimAlert for sale to third parties. We will require prior approval from the FDA before we can market, distribute or sell this product in the United States. In July 2005, an FDA advisory panel voted 5-2 against approval of our for the kit, citing the need for further studies, such as long term follow-up and autopsy confirmation.

Similar requirements exist in many other countries. Obtaining these approvals and complying with the subsequent regulatory requirements can be both time-consuming and expensive. In November 2004, Nymox satisfactorily completed the testing and registration required by European regulatory, environmental and quality standards in order to obtain a CE Mark for the AlzheimAlert kit. The CE Mark makes the AlzheimAlert kit eligible for sale in the European Union and will allow European clinical and hospital laboratories to perform the AlzheimAlert test in their own facilities in Europe.

C

We currently sell NicAlert and TobacAlert as tests for tobacco product use and exposure and for research use. In October, 2002, we received 510(k) clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for our NicAlert product for medical uses. In January, 2006, we announced the certification of the urine-based version of NicAlert with a CE Mark making it eligible for sale in the European Union and in May, 2006 the certification of the saliva-based version of NicAlert with a CE Mark. In September, 2003, Nymox launched TobacAlert for nonmedical testing for second hand smoke exposure in the U.S.

In the United States, our drugs in development will require final FDA approval before their sale or distribution. Such approval comes only at the end of a lengthy, expensive and often arduous process. On September, 2006, we announced the successful completion of a multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 trial of NX-1207, our lead candidate for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), a common disorder of older men. The Company reported positive results in 2007 from a 2 year follow-up study of NX-1207 in 103 BPH patients. In February 2008, the Company reported positive results in a 32 site U.S. Phase 2 prospective randomized clinical trial, with statistically significant improvement compared to an approved BPH drug (finasteride). We cannot predict with any certainty the outcome of future trials, what further steps may be required in order to apply for final FDA approval for this drug or whether the FDA will ultimately grant us such approval. Similar requirements exist in many other countries.

We Face Significant and Growing Competition

The modern pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries are intensely competitive, particularly in the field of Alzheimer s disease where there is a large unmet need for an effective treatment. Currently there are five drugs with similar mechanisms of action approved for sale in the United States (Aricept®, Cognex®, Exelon®, Razadyne® and Namenda). These drugs offer some relatively short-term symptomatic relief, but do not treat the underlying causes of the illness. Over the past decade, there has been an intense research effort both in the non-profit sectors such as universities, government agencies and research institutes and in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry to develop new treatments for Alzheimer s disease. Treatment candidates under development include:

vaccines for Alzheimer s disease.

enzyme-blocking therapies intended to block the production of the protein found in the senile plaques characteristic of Alzheimer s disease. A number of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies including Amgen, Elan and Bristol-Myers Squibb are working on such therapies.

Drugs and antibodies aimed at reducing, blocking or clearing the aggregation or accumulation of the protein found in senile plaques. A number of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies including Wyeth, Elan, Baxter Healthcare, Neurochem,

Praecis Pharmaceuticals, and Prana Biotechnology are working on such therapies.

memory enhancing compounds from AstraZeneca, Targacept, Medivation, Neuro-Hitech, Cortex Pharmaceuticals, Memory Pharmaceuticals Helicon Therapeutics and Sention, among others.

Implantation of a shunt (COGNIShunt) developed by its maker, Eunoe Inc., and designed to drain cerebrospinal fluid from the patient s skull into his or her abdominal cavity.

10

There is also ongoing research into possible methods of preventing Alzheimer s disease such as taking certain cholesterol-lowering drugs called statins, estrogen replacement therapies, anti-oxidants such as vitamin E and ginkgo biloba or anti-inflammatory drugs such as ibuprofen (*e.g.*, Advil® or Motrin®). The successful development of a treatment or method of preventing Alzheimer s disease could significantly impact on our ability to develop or market a competing treatment for Alzheimer s disease.

Our treatments under development for enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH) face significant competition from existing products. There are seven drugs approved for treatment of BPH: three proprietary drugs (dutasteride (Avodart®), tamsulosin (Flomax®), and alfusozin (Uroxatral®)) and four generics (finasteride, terazozin, doxazozin, and prazosin). There are a number of thermal treatments on the market designed to shrink the enlarged prostate by heating its tissue with a device inserted through the urethra (the tube leading from the bladder through the penis through which men urinate) or through the abdomen. The devices on the market use microwave energy (Prostatron®, Targis Therapy® or TherMatrx®), low level radiowaves (TUNA System®), lasers (Indigo LaserOptic Treatment System® or Laserscope GreenLight PVP), direct heat, energy or hot water to heat or burn away prostate tissue. A variety of surgical procedures exist to surgically reduce or remove the prostate or to widen the urethra. These include procedures to cut away prostate tissue such as TURP (transurethral resection of the prostate) and using a resectoscope with an electrical loop inserted through the penis to cut the prostate tissue. A small device used to widen the constricted urethra called a prostatic stent can also be inserted.

The diagnostic testing industry is also highly competitive. In the area of Alzheimer's disease, Athena Diagnostics, Inc. markets diagnostic tests for different biochemical indicators found in blood and spinal fluid and for genetic predispositions for the illness. Other companies are attempting to develop and market other diagnostic products in this area. The introduction of other diagnostics products for Alzheimer's disease or tobacco product use that are cheaper, easier to perform, more accurate or otherwise more attractive to the physicians, health care payers or other potential customers would have a significant impact on the sales of our AlzheimAlert', NicAlert or TobacAlert products.

We May Not Be Able to Successfully Market Our Products

To increase our marketing, distribution and sales capabilities both in the United States and around the world, we will need to enter into licensing arrangements, contract sales agreements and co-marketing deals. We cannot assure you that we will be able to enter into agreements with other companies on terms acceptable to us, that any licensing arrangement will generate any revenue for the company or that the costs of engaging and retaining the services of a contract sales organization will not exceed the revenues generated.

Protecting Our Patents and Proprietary Information is Costly and Difficult

We believe that patent and trade secret protection is important to our business, and that our success will depend, in part, on our ability to obtain strong patents, to maintain trade secret protection and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others.

Obtaining and maintaining our patent position is costly. We pay for the filing, prosecution and fees of several hundred patents and patent applications in countries around the world, including the United States, Europe, Japan, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and South Korea. In the United States alone, Nymox has nineteen patents issued or allowed relating to its technology. Our subsidiary, Serex, Inc. has thirteen patents.

We believe that we have strong patent protection for the products we sell and for our product development programs and are in the process of extending that patent protection to cover more countries or new discoveries or products. We cannot assure you that additional patents covering new products or improvements will be issued or that any new or existing patents will be of commercial benefit or be valid and enforceable if challenged.

11

Many companies have patents covering various drugs, methods and discoveries in the fields of diagnostics and therapeutics for Alzheimer s disease and related conditions and of new anti-infective agents. We believe that the patents issued to date will not preclude Nymox from

developing and marketing our products; however, it is impossible to predict the extent to which licenses from third parties will be necessary. If Nymox were to need licenses from third parties there can be no assurance that we could obtain such licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

In the fields of diagnostic methods and diagnostic tests for common human diseases and conditions, where Serex has many of its patents, there are many patents issued covering many areas of diagnostic methods, tests and technologies. We believe that these patents issued to date to other companies will not preclude Serex from developing and marketing its products but you should be aware that it is often difficult to determine the nature, breadth and validity of competing patent claims in these fields, that there has been significant litigation in some of these areas (not involving Serex) and that, if and when Serex s products become more commercially successful, Serex s products or patents may become the subject matter of litigation. If Serex were to need licenses from third parties there can be no assurance that it could obtain such license on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

We are not currently involved in patent litigation. In the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry patent disputes are frequent and can preclude the commercialization of products. Patent litigation is costly and the outcome often difficult to predict. It can expose us to significant liabilities to third parties and may require us to obtain third-party licenses at a material cost or cease using the technology or product in dispute.

We Face Changing Market Conditions

The healthcare industry is in transition with a number of changes that affect the market for therapeutic and diagnostic test products. The U.S. Federal and various state governments have under consideration a number of proposals that may have the effect of directly or indirectly limiting drug prices in the U.S. markets. Such changes may adversely affect the prices we may charge for any therapeutic drug we develop. Funding changes and budgetary considerations can lead major health care payers and providers to make changes in reimbursement policies for our AlzheimAlert product. These changes can seriously impact the potential for growth for the market for AlzheimAlert , either favorably when the decision is to offer broad coverage for our test at a reasonable price or negatively when the decision is to deny coverage altogether. Changes in the healthcare delivery system have resulted in major consolidation among reference laboratories and in the formation of multi-hospital alliances, reducing the number of institutional customers for therapeutic and diagnostic test products. There can be no assurance that Nymox will be able to enter into and/or sustain contractual or other marketing or distribution arrangements on a satisfactory commercial basis with these institutional customers.

Health Care Plans May Not Cover or Adequately Pay for our Products and Services

Throughout the developed world, both public and private health care plans are under considerable financial and political pressure to contain their costs. The two principal methods of restricting expenditures on drugs and diagnostic products and services are to deny coverage or, if coverage is granted, to limit reimbursement. For single-payer government health care systems, a decision to deny coverage or to severely restrict reimbursement for one of our products can have an adverse effect on our business and revenues.

12

In the United States, where, to a significant degree, the patient population for our products is elderly, Medicare and Medicaid are sources of reimbursement. In general, any restriction on reimbursement, coverage or eligibility under either program could adversely affect reimbursement to Nymox for products and services provided to beneficiaries of the Medicare and/or Medicaid programs. Many elderly people are covered by a variety of private health care organizations either operating private health care plans or Medicare or Medicaid programs subject to government regulation. These organizations are also under considerable financial constraints and we may not be able to secure coverage or adequate reimbursement from these organizations. Without coverage, we will have to look to the patients themselves who may be unwilling or unable to pay for the product; in turn, doctors may be reluctant to order or prescribe our products in the absence of coverage of the product for the patient.

The Issuance of New Shares May Dilute Nymox s Stock

The issuance of further shares and the eligibility of issued shares for sale will dilute our common stock and may lower its share price. There were 29,616,407 common shares of Nymox issued and outstanding as of April 28, 2008. All of these shares are eligible for sale under Rule 144 or are otherwise freely tradable. In addition, 4,819,000 share options are outstanding, of which 2,300,250 are currently vested. Expiry dates for Nymox options range from 10 months to 9 years (see note 8(b) to our consolidated financial statements). These options have been granted to employees, officers, directors and consultants of the company. Moreover, Nymox may use its shares as currency in acquisitions.

We Face Potential Losses Due to Foreign Currency Exchange Risks

Nymox incurs certain expenses, principally relating to salaries and operating expenses at its Canadian head office, in Canadian dollars. All other expenses are derived in U.S. dollars. As a result, we are exposed to the risk of losses due to fluctuations in the exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the Canadian dollar. We protect ourselves against this risk by maintaining cash balances in both currencies. We do not currently

engage in hedging activities. We cannot say with any assurance that the Company will not suffer losses as a result of unfavorable fluctuations in the exchange rates between the United States dollar and Canadian dollar.

We Have Never Paid a Dividend and are Unlikely to do so in the Foreseeable Future

Nymox has never paid any dividends and does not expect to do so in the foreseeable future. We expect to retain any earnings or positive cash flow in order to finance and develop Nymox s business.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

History of the Company

Nymox was incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act in May, 1995 to acquire all of the common shares of DMS Pharmaceutical Inc., a private company which had been carrying on research and development since 1989 on diagnostics and drugs for brain disorders and diseases of the aged with an emphasis on Alzheimer's disease. Nymox has two subsidiaries: one wholly-owned subsidiary named Nymox Corporation and the other a majority owned subsidiary named Serex, Inc., acquired in 2000. Both subsidiaries are based in the same building in Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey. Nymox Corporation operates our certified clinical reference laboratory where our AlzheimAlert test is performed, and conducts some research and development, while Serex conducts research and development, and some of the manufacturing for NicAlert and TobacAlert.

13

Nymox s principal executive offices are located at:

Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation 9900 Cavendish Boulevard, Suite 306, St. Laurent, Quebec, Canada, H4M 2V2 Phone: (800) 936-9669 Fax: (514) 332-2227

Nymox s registered agent in the United States is:

CT Corporation System 111 Eighth Avenue, 13th Floor New York, NY, 10011

Nymox s two subsidiaries are located at:

Nymox Corporation 777 Terrace Avenue Hasbrouck Heights, NJ, USA 07604

Serex, Inc.

777 Terrace Avenue Hasbrouck Heights, NJ, USA 07604

Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation is a biopharmaceutical company with three unique proprietary products on the market, and a significant R&D pipeline of drug and diagnostic products in development for the treatment of such conditions and diseases as enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH), Alzheimer s disease (AD), *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination of food and drink products, and bacterial infections and for the diagnosis of AD and other indications. Nymox has also U.S. and global patent rights for the use of statin drugs for the treatment and prevention of Alzheimer s disease.

Acquisition of a Majority Interest in Serex, Inc.

In March 2000, we acquired a controlling interest in Serex, Inc., a privately held diagnostic company based in New Jersey and now own approximately 99% of its common stock.

Serex s patented diagnostic technologies include its particle valence technology, a unique, highly sensitive, new method to detect very small amounts of biochemical indicators in body fluids such as blood, urine and saliva. This technology can be adapted to detect a wide range of biochemical indicators for diseases, conditions and drug use. Our NicAlert and TobacAlert employ this technology to measure levels of one of the metabolic products of nicotine in human urine, in order to determine whether a person is using or has been exposed to a tobacco product. NicAlert and TobacAlert are currently being distributed by Nymox, drugstore.com and Jant Pharmacal Corporation.

Products

NicAlert for Tobacco Product Use and TobacAlert for Second-Hand Smoke Exposure

Nymox markets NicAlert and TobacAlert, which are inexpensive, simple-to-use test strips for determining whether a person is using tobacco products (NicAlert) or has been recently exposed to second-hand smoke (TobacAlert). Both NicAlert and TobacAlert employ Serex, Inc. s patented technology to provide an accurate read-out of levels of cotinine, a by-product of the body s breakdown of nicotine and generally regarded as the best indicator of tobacco exposure for smokers and nonsmokers. The technology can be used with saliva as well as urine samples in order to detect tobacco product use. NicAlert and TobacAlert do not require instruments or special training to use and offer a quick, convenient means to test on-site whether a person, such as a child, teenager, student athlete or insurance applicant, is using a tobacco product or has been exposed to second-hand smoke.

14

Smoking and other tobacco product use is a serious public health problem around the world. Smoking kills. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, cigarette smoking is responsible for more than 430,000 deaths per year in the United States alone. Smoking can cause cancer of the lung, mouth, bladder, larynx, esophagus and other organs, as well as heart disease and stroke and chronic lung disease. Every year, exposure to second-hand smoke (environmental tobacco smoke or ETS) causes an estimated 3,000 nonsmoking Americans to die of lung cancer and up to 300,000 American infants and small children to suffer from lower respiratory tract infections.

NicAlert received clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in October 2002 for medical use to determine if an individual has been exposed to tobacco products. In January, 2006, Nymox announced the certification of the urine-based version of NicAlert with a CE Mark making it eligible for sale in the European Union and in May, 2006 the certification of the saliva-based version of NicAlert with a CE Mark. In September, 2003, Nymox launched TobacAlert for nonmedical testing for second hand smoke exposure in the U.S.

We market the NicAlert and TobacAlert tests through our own marketing arm and distributors in North America, Europe and Asia. TobacAlert is also available online at www.drugstore.com. Nymox has entered into distribution and marketing agreements with companies and organizations in the U.S., the U.K., and Spain for these products.

Our NicAlert and TobacAlert products face competition from clinical laboratories such as LabCorp and Quest Diagnostics which provide off-site lab testing for cotinine, the by-product of the body s breakdown of nicotine measured by NicAlert and TobacAlert, and from assay suppliers, including immunoassay developers such as Orasure Techologies Inc. and Cozart Bioscience Ltd, and diagnostic system manufacturers such as Roche Diagnostics, Abbott and Diagnostic Products Corporation. NicAlert and TobacAlert also face competition from distributors who supply yes-no smoking status tests such as SmokeCheck, NicQuick, and QuickScreen, from NicCheck I, an FDA-cleared smoking status test being marketed by Mossman & Associates Ltd, from SmokeScreen, a chemical color-based tobacco test being marketed by Mermaid Diagnostics, Ltd. in the United Kingdom, and from carbon monoxide (CO) monitors such as SmokeCheck.

NicAlert and TobacAlert products are currently partly manufactured through out-sourcing arrangements with contract manufacturers. To date, we have not experienced any significant interruptions in the manufacture of these products and the cost of the manufacturing services has not been volatile. The manufacturing services supplied by our current contract manufacturers are not unique or unduly complicated and other contract manufacturers are available to provide similar services in the event that our current contract manufacturers fail to meet our needs.

The technology used in these products is covered by patents and patent applications held by Nymox s subsidiary, Serex, Inc., both in the U.S. and elsewhere in the world with expiry dates no earlier than 2012.

AlzheimAlert ; an Aid to the Diagnosis of Alzheimer s Disease

We offer AlzheimAlert , a proprietary urine assay that can aid physicians in the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease. The AlzheimAlert assay is available in the United States as a laboratory testing service through our government inspected clinical reference laboratory in New Jersey. The patient provides a first-morning urine sample for testing. The patient s doctor then forwards the sample to our laboratory where our technical staff performs the test. We then report the results to the doctor. The AlzheimAlert test is intended as an aid to diagnosis, to be considered together with patient history, physical examination and other relevant medical data. The test does not replace a physician s diagnosis.

15

We also offer a kit version of the AlzheimAlert assay for sale in Europe. The AlzheimAlert kit has the CE Mark. The kit allows clinical reference laboratories to perform the AlzheimAlert assay on site with urine samples sent directly to the laboratory.

The AlzheimAlert assay is based on pioneering research by researchers at the Massachusetts General Hospital and Brown University and on years of clinical studies to establish and confirm the accuracy of the assay technology as an aid to the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. In 1997, Nymox succeeded in developing a commercial assay that used spinal fluid samples. Subsequently, Nymox was able to develop an assay that used more easily obtained first morning urine samples. The AlzheimAlert assay represents the latest generation of development of this testing technology.

Recent publications in the peer-reviewed literature concerning the clinical utility of the assay in the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease include, for example, the *Journal of Clinical Investigation* (1997; 100: 3093-3104); *Journal of Contemporary Neurology* (1998; art. 4a); *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis* (1998; 12: 285-288) and (1998; 12: 223-226); *Alzheimer s Reports* (1999; 2: 327-332), (2000; 3: 177-184), (2001; 4: 61-65) and (2002; 5: 1-6); *Neurology* (2000; 54: 1498-1504) and (2000; 55: 1068); *Journal of Alzheimer s Disease* (2001; 3: 345-353) and (2004; 6(3): 231-42); *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* (2001; 58: 844-849) and (2003; 60: 2679-91); *Neurology and Clinical Neurophysiology* (2002; 1: 2-7); *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology* (2001; 60: 195-207) and (1996; 55: 1038-1050), *Frontiers in Bioscience* (2002; 7: d989-96), *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* (Jan 2007; 8:21-30), *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis* (Jan 2007; 21:24-33), and *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics* (January 2008; 8:21-28).

Nymox believes that its AlzheimAlert test can assist a physician faced with the task of diagnosing whether a patient has Alzheimer's disease. A recently published independent peer-reviewed double blind study from 8 prestigious centers across the U.S. found the level of accuracy of the AlzheimAlert urine test to be over 90% (Goodman I et al., A multi-center blinded prospective study of urine neural thread protein measurements in patients with suspected Alzheimer's disease, *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* Jan 2007; 8:21-30). This study confirmed earlier company funded trials of its NTP testing technology to date, involving over 500 clinical samples. There can be no assurance that further studies will repeat the same level of success experienced to date.

There is a large unmet need for a simple, non-invasive test that can aid in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's disease is the most common cause of dementia in persons 65 years of age and older and is the fourth leading cause of death among the elderly. There are an estimated 4.5 million people with Alzheimer's disease in the United States alone; by 2050 this number is projected to increase almost three times to 13.2 million. Worldwide estimates of the current number of people with Alzheimer's disease range from 15 to 20 million. The annual national direct and indirect costs of caring for Alzheimer patients in the U.S. alone are estimated at \$100 billion. The human toll on patients, families and caregivers is incalculable. Despite the need for an accurate clinical test, the definitive diagnosis of the disease is possible only after the death of the patient by expert, pathologic examination of brain tissue.

The U.S. Surgeon General s Report on Mental Health, released on December 13, 1999, identified the importance and the need for the early detection and diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease. The report described the current approach to Alzheimer s disease diagnosis, clinical examination and the exclusion of other common causes of its symptoms, as time- and labor-intensive, costly and largely dependent on the expertise of the examiner. As a result, the illness is currently under-recognized, especially in primary care settings, where most older patients seek care. The report joined other experts writing in the field in recognizing the need for a better, more reliable method for diagnosing the disease in living patients and in particular, the need of a simple, accurate and convenient test that could detect a biochemical change early in patients with Alzheimer s disease. We believe our AlzheimAlert product provides such a test.

16

The early diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease is important to physicians, patients and their families and enables them to make informed and early social, legal and medical decisions about treatment and care. Early diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease has become increasingly important with new improvements in drug treatment and care. Even a modest delay in institutionalization can mean substantial social and financial savings.

Conversely, any testing procedure that could rule out Alzheimer s disease would eliminate the tremendous uncertainty and anxiety patients and their families otherwise face and would allow physicians to focus on the other, often reversible, causes of cognitive changes.

Early diagnosis as facilitated by the AlzheimAlert test represents a potentially large cost-savings in the form of a reduced number of office visits, lab tests, scans and other procedures required by the traditional methods of diagnosis.

We currently market our AlzheimAlert test as a clinical reference laboratory service primarily in the United States. In November 2004, our AlzheimAlert test was certified with a CE Mark, making the device eligible for sale in the European Union. Nymox has signed distribution deals for AlzheimAlert with companies in Italy, Spain, Greece, U.K. and the Czech Republic.

In the field of Alzheimer s disease diagnosis, our AlzheimAlert test faces growing competition which could detrimentally impact on our ability to successfully market and sell our diagnostic test. Our competitors include:

Athena Diagnostics, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Thermo Fisher Scientific, which is currently marketing three tests claimed to aid in the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease: a genetic test for the rare cases of familial, early-onset Alzheimer s disease; a genetic test for a relatively common mutation of a gene said to increase the likelihood of a person with at least one of the genes contracting the disease; and a test for two proteins in the spinal fluid of patients.

Innogenetics NV which currently markets tests and kits for two proteins and a variant of one of these proteins in the spinal fluid of patients and a genetic test for a relatively common mutation of a gene said to increase the likelihood of a person developing the disease.

Applied NeuroSolutions, Inc. currently markets a research test for a variant of a protein in the spinal fluid of patients.

There are also a number of other proposed biochemical signs of the disease that could potentially be developed into a commercial diagnostic test as well as various scanning and imaging technologies which compete for a portion of the diagnostic market for Alzheimer s disease. In June 2004, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) approved limited coverage of a Positronic Emission Tomography (PET) imaging procedure for helping to more precisely distinguish Alzheimer s disease from a rarer type of dementia when clinical evaluation has been inconclusive. In October 2004, the National Institute on Aging in conjunction with other Federal agencies, private companies and organizations launched the Alzheimer s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, a \$60 million initiative to test whether various scanning and imaging technologies, biochemical markers, and clinical and neuropsychological testing can be combined to help diagnose early Alzheimer s disease. A number of companies, including GE, are actively working to develop imaging technologies for the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease.

17

We have developed a diagnostic kit version of the AlzheimAlert test, which would permit the testing of patient samples in a general purpose medical laboratory. The sale of such a kit is subject to any necessary regulatory approvals on a country-by-country basis. Approval of a diagnostic kit version of AlzheimAlert kit will increase the availability and acceptance of our test while lowering its cost to the patient or health care payor. In November 2004, Nymox satisfactorily completed the testing and registration required by European regulatory, environmental and quality standards in order to obtain a CE Mark for the AlzheimAlert kit. The CE Mark makes the AlzheimAlert kit eligible for sale in the European Union and allows European clinical and hospital laboratories to perform the AlzheimAlert test in their own facilities in Europe. Nymox has signed distribution deals for AlzheimAlert with companies in Italy, Spain, Greece, U.K. and the Czech Republic.

We filed a premarket approval (PMA) application for the diagnostic kit version of the AlzheimAlert test with the U.S. FDA in February 2004. On July 15, 2005, an FDA advisory panel voted 5-2 against approval of the kit, citing the need for further studies, such as long term follow-up and autopsy confirmation. AlzheimAlert remains approved for sale in the U.S. as a laboratory testing service through the Company s clinical reference laboratory in New Jersey.

Nymox provides its AlzheimAlert testing service through its government certified laboratory facilities in Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey. The Company also manufactures the AlzheimAlert kit there for sale in Europe and elsewhere.

Nymox licensed the technology that led to the development of the AlzheimAlert assay in 1997 from the Massachusetts General Hospital as part of a sponsored research and licensing agreement, under which Nymox sponsored the research of the principal investigators into the use of neural thread protein (NTP), its antibodies or genes for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. Nymox also paid the patent costs for the patent applications filed arising out of this research. In return, Nymox received an exclusive worldwide license of the patents to sell products and to use processes encompassed by them. Nymox is to pay the Massachusetts General Hospital a 4% royalty of the net sales price of any product developed and sold under the license. Nymox currently pays this royalty on its sales of its AlzheimAlert product. The license and the obligation to pay patent costs and royalties continue for the life of the patents, which run until November 2014 at the earliest. The Massachusetts General Hospital has the right to terminate the license in any country where, after the first commercial sale of the product in the country, there is a continuous two year period in which no product is sold in such country. There are eight issued U.S. patents under license and a correspondingly larger number of patents and patent applications in Europe, Japan, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and South Korea. The sponsored research portion of this agreement terminated in March 1999. Nymox retained the exclusive license to the rights to the AlzheimAlert -related patents owned by the Massachusetts General Hospital.

Effective March 1999, Nymox entered into a similar sponsored research and licensing agreement with Brown University and the Rhode Island Hospital. Under the terms of this agreement, Nymox continued to sponsor research into the uses of neural thread proteins, their antibodies or genes for diagnostic, therapeutic and research purposes and to pay the patent costs for any patent applications filed arising out of this research. In return, Nymox received an exclusive worldwide license of any such patents to sell products and to use processes encompassed by them. The Rhode Island Hospital has the right to terminate the license in any country where, after the first commercial sale of the product in the country, there is a continuous two year period in which no product is sold in such country. Nymox is to pay the Rhode Island Hospital a 4% royalty of the net sales price of any product developed and sold under the license. The sponsorship of this agreement expired in March 2005; however, Nymox

retains the exclusive license to patent rights on certain NTP-based technology including a license to an issued U.S. patent.

18

Products in Development:

NX-1207 for Enlarged Prostate (BPH)

We are developing treatments for enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH), using novel compounds. Our lead candidate is NX-1207, which successfully completed a multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 trial in September 2006. We cannot predict with any certainty the outcome of this trial, what further steps may be required in order to apply for final FDA approval for this drug or whether the FDA will ultimately grant us such approval.

There is a significant unmet need for an effective treatment for BPH. More than half of men in their sixties and as many as 90% of men in their seventies and eighties have some symptoms of BPH. Symptoms include more frequent urination (especially at night), difficulty urinating, incomplete emptying of the bladder and sometimes complete inability to urinate. More serious cases may require surgical intervention to reduce the size of the prostate. There is a need for a simple, effective treatment for BPH, particularly in cases where existing drug treatments have proven to be ineffective and where more intrusive procedures such as surgery may be inadvisable or bring unacceptable risks.

In September, 2006, Nymox announced positive efficacy and safety results from the completed multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of NX-1207. 43 clinical trial sites across the U.S. and 175 subjects participated in the Phase 2 trial.

Overall, patients treated with NX-1207 showed a total pooled mean improvement of 9.35 points in the primary outcome endpoint of AUA Symptom Score values, a standardized measurement of BPH symptoms used to evaluate the effectiveness of treatments for BPH. This total mean improvement for NX-1207 treatment reached statistical significance when compared with the placebo control (p=.017). The mean improvements in AUA Symptom Score for each of the 3 doses used in the trial ranged from 8.10 to 11.03 points with statistical significance measures of p=.0076 to 0.17. Published studies of currently approved drugs for BPH show AUA Symptom Score improvement in the 3.5 to 5 point range. The treated subjects also showed an overall significant reduction in mean prostate volume (secondary outcome) of 11.7% (6.84 grams; p=.02).

The results of the trial demonstrated an excellent safety and side effect profile for NX-1207. Subjects treated with NX-1207 had no serious side effects. In particular, patients given NX-1207 had no (0%) significant sexual side effects.

The Phase 2 study confirmed earlier positive and safety data for NX-1207 from earlier Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials in the U.S. In January, 2004, Nymox reported that the results of the first two Phase 1 and Phase 1-2 U.S. clinical trials of NX-1207 demonstrated the good safety profile of NX-1207. In July, 2004, Nymox released data from the Phase 1-2 U.S. clinical trials of NX-1207 showing a statistically significant improvement in symptom scores and shrinkage in prostate size in the 30 day studies following treatment with NX-1207 with no significant adverse side effects. In September, 2004 Nymox released one year follow-up results from Phase 2 testing of NX-1207 showing a statistically significant symptomatic improvement in the individuals treated with NX-1207 that exceeded results from the Phase 1-2 30 day study of NX-1207 reported earlier.

In February 2008, the Company reported statistically significant positive results in a new 32 site U.S. study of NX-1207. The mean improvement in this Phase 2 study (9.71 points in the BPH Symptom Score) was superior to the study comparator, which was finasteride, an approved drug for BPH (4.13 points) (p=.001). The study demonstrated a statistically significant greater improvement in patients given full dose NX-1207 compared to low dose NX-1207 (p=.033). Safety results in the clinical trial were excellent.

19

In 2007, Nymox also reported positive results from long-term outcome studies of patients treated with NX-1207 for BPH.

On March 9, Nymox announced positive results from a long-term blinded placebo-controlled outcome study of 116 unselected subjects at 26 clinical trial sites across the U.S. from its earlier Phase 2 study. The study assessed symptom scores and treatment outcome 8-19 months after a single NX-1207 treatment. Overall, without further NX-1207 treatment, patients initially treated with NX-1207 showed a total pooled mean improvement of 7.4 points in the primary outcome endpoint of AUA Symptom Score values, which reached statistical significance when compared with the placebo control (p=.28). In terms of treatment outcomes, patients treated with NX-1207 had significantly more (p=.02) favorable outcomes compared to placebo. No significant sexual side effects from NX-1207 treatment were reported.

On May 7, Nymox announced positive results from a long-term outcome study of NX-1207 for BPH evaluating the symptomatic progress of U.S. patients involved in the Company s Phase 1-2 studies initiated in 2003. Patients treated with NX-1207 were followed on an unselected and as available basis, and assessed for symptomatic improvement, treatment outcomes, and durability of efficacy 3 ½ years after treatment. Overall, patients treated with NX-1207 showed a mean improvement of 8.6 points in the primary outcome endpoint of AUA Symptom Score value 42 months after NX-1207 treatment. 50% of these patients reported no additional treatment for BPH during this period and had a mean improvement of 10.0 points in AUA Symptom Score. This sustained improvement in BPH symptom score after NX-1207 treatment compares favorably with currently approved BPH drugs which, unlike NX-1207 treatment, require ongoing daily administration to be effective.

On November 28, Nymox reported positive results from a further long-term outcome blinded, placebo controlled study of unselected subjects from its earlier Phase 2 study. 24 clinical trial sites across the U.S. and 103 subjects participated in the study which, assessed symptom scores and treatment outcome 2 years (range 16-27 months) after a single treatment with NX-1207 or placebo. At the time of follow-up, 52% of patients treated with NX-1207 were not on BPH medication and had not required surgical intervention for their BPH since their initial treatment with NX-1207; these patients had a mean improvement of 10.2 points in AUA BPH Symptom Score values. For patients with prostate size <70 grams, the results showed that a statistically significant percentage of patients initially treated once with NX-1207 were not on BPH medication and had not required surgical intervention as compared to patients who received placebo. In this important population, (which corresponds to the group typically used in most studies for comparison with other drugs), the results showed that 60% of patients who received NX-1207 required no other BPH treatment, and had maintained an improvement of 11.3 points in BPH Symptom Score (p<.05 versus placebo). There were no significant sexual side effects from NX-1207.

Our treatments under development for enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH) face significant competition from existing products. There are seven drugs approved for treatment of BPH: three proprietary drugs (dutasteride (Avodart®), tamsulosin (Flomax®), and alfusozin (Uroxatral®)) and four generics (finasteride, terazozin, doxazozin, and prazosin). There are a number of thermal treatments on the market designed to shrink the enlarged prostate by heating its tissue with a device inserted through the urethra (the tube leading from the bladder through the penis through which men urinate) or through the abdomen. The devices on the market use microwave energy (Prostatron®, Targis Therapy® or TherMatrx®), low level radiowaves (TUNA System®), lasers (Indigo LaserOptic Treatment System® or Laserscope GreenLight PVP), direct heat or hot water to heat or burn away prostate tissue. A variety of surgical procedures exist to surgically reduce or remove the prostate or to widen the urethra. These include procedures to cut away prostate tissue such as TURP (transurethral resection of the prostate) and using a resectoscope with an electrical loop inserted through the penis to cut the prostate tissue. A small device used to widen the constricted urethra called a prostatic stent can also be inserted.

20

NXC-4720 for E. coli Contamination of Meat

We are developing novel antibacterial agents for the treatment of *E. coli* O157:H7 bacterial contamination in hamburger meat and other food and drink products and for the treatment of urinary tract and other bacterial infections in humans which have proved highly resistant to conventional antibiotic treatments.

E. coli contamination of food and drink is a serious public health problem worldwide and a major concern for meat processors in particular. *E. coli* bacteria occur normally and usually harmlessly in the gastrointestinal tracts of humans, cows and other animals. However, one mutant variety of the E. coli bacteria, *E. coli* O157:H7, can cause life-threatening illness and has been implicated in cases of severe diarrhea, intestinal bleeding and kidney failure, leading, in some cases, to death in children and the elderly. *E. coli* contamination in hamburger meat and other food products and in drinking water affects about 70.000 people in the United States a year.

There is a well-recognized need in the beef industry to address the problem of *E. coli* contamination in meat processing and in livestock. *E. coli* contamination has triggered massive recalls of ground beef in the U.S. Cattle are a natural reservoir for the deadly strain of *E. coli*. Water contamination from cattle operations have led to public health tragedies.

Nymox developed a potent new antibacterial agent, NXC-4720. Tests of NXC-4720 show it to be highly effective against all known substrains of *E. coli* O157:H7, destroying the bacteria efficiently, rapidly and at a very low dose. In 1999, we began further laboratory trials for this agent as a treatment for food and drink contamination and entered into agreements with various collaborators. NXC-4720, which is being developed as a treatment of meat at the processing stage, has been shown to be capable of substantially reducing the level of potentially fatal *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination on fresh beef according to laboratory studies. Other projects in this area, such as treating *E. coli* O157:H7 infection in livestock, are in preliminary stages of development. Further pre-clinical testing and development is required before we can apply for regulatory approval for use of this agent on the processing of food and drink for human consumption.

The problem of *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination of hamburger meat and other food products is also well-known and a number of companies and researchers have been pursuing various potential solutions, including irradiation with x-rays, better detection of contamination, electronic pasteurization, vaccination and competitive exclusion of the pathogenic *E. coli* bacteria by harmless bacteria. The development of alternative solutions to the problem of E. coli infection may adversely affect the market for our treatment for *E.* coli O157:H7 infection in cattle and

contamination of food products.

Nymox has also developed three other novel antibacterial agents, NXB-4221 for the treatment of difficult chronic and persistent urinary tract infections; NXB-5886 for the treatment of streptococcal infection; and NXT-1021 for the treatment of staphylococcal infection. Urinary tract infections in women caused by bacteria such as *E. coli* are a common and significant infection often resistant to conventional antibiotic treatment. Some varieties of streptococcus and staphylococcus bacteria, a common source of infection in humans, have acquired a broad immunity to antibiotic treatments. Infections from these antibiotic resistant bacteria are difficult to treat and can be life threatening.

Nymox s three antibacterial agents for the treatment of infectious disease have all shown the ability to kill their bacterial targets in culture with no signs of toxicity. Further pre-clinical testing and development is required before we can apply for regulatory approval to begin initial testing in humans.

A similar competitive reality prevails in the field of novel anti-infectives. Over the past ten years, there has been an increasing awareness of the medical need and of emerging market opportunities for new treatments for antibiotic resistant bacterial infections. Many of the major pharmaceutical companies are developing anti-infective drugs that either modify their existing drugs or involve new anti-bacterial properties. Many biotechnology companies are developing new classes of anti-bacterial drugs. At least three major pharmaceutical companies have vaccines against bacterial infections in development. To the extent that these companies are able to develop drugs or vaccines that offer treatment for some or all of the indications for our anti-infectives, the market for our products may be adversely affected.

21

Nymox has patent rights to these and other antibacterial agents.

The Use of Statin Drugs for the Treatment or Prevention of Alzheimer s Disease

In October 2002, we were issued a United States patent for the use of statin drugs to treat, prevent or reduce the risk of the onset of Alzheimer s disease and have issued patents or pending patent applications elsewhere, including Europe, Japan, Canada and Australia. Statins are a class of commonly prescribed cholesterol lowering drugs that have a well-established safety record and are widely available. The potential of statin drugs for AD has been featured in a cover story in Newsweek, as well as in the New York Times, Fortune, Los Angeles Times, and The Wall Street Journal. Some of the recent scientific studies and reviews concerning the potential for statin drugs to treat or reduce the risk of AD or loss of cognitive function include Neurology. 2007; 69:1873-80; Expert Opinion on Ther Targets. 2007;11:1257-60; CNS Drugs. 2007;21:449-62; Neurosci Lett. 2007;416:279-84; Curr Med Chem. 2007;14:103-12; Neurol Res. 2006; 28:630-6, Acta Neurol Scand 2006; 114 (Suppl. 185); 78-86, Acta Neurol Scand 2006; 114 (Suppl. 185): 3 7, J.Neurochem. 2006; 97:716-723; Restor. Neurol. Neurosci 2006; 24:79-95; Neuromolecular Med. 2006; 8:319-328, Neurology 2005; 65:1388-1394, J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 2005; 76:1624-1629, The American Journal of Medicine 2005; 118: 48S-53S; The Lancet Neurology 2005; 4:841-852; Current Opinions in Lipidology 2005; 16: 619-623; The Lancet Neurology 2005; 4: 521-2, Arch Neurol 2005; 62:1047-51, Neurology 2005; 64:1531-8, Arch Neurol 2005; 62:753-7, J Neurol Sci 2005; 229-230:147-50, Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005; 62:217-24. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry (2004; 19:327-32), Neuroepidemiology (2004; 23:94-8); Neuron (2004; 41:7-10); Archives of Neurology (2000; 57:1439-1443); Lancet (2000; 356:1627-1631); Archives of Neurology (2002; 59:223-227); Journals of Gerontology: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences (2002; 57:M414-M418); and Journal of the American Geriatrics Society (2002;50:1852-1856). Some studies, however, have not found evidence that statins may help treat or prevent Alzheimer s disease and research in this area is ongoing. No statin drug has been approved for use in the treatment or prevention of Alzheimer s disease.

Research and Development of New Products

New Therapeutics for Alzheimer s Disease

Nymox has a number of proprietary drug development programs aimed at treatments for Alzheimer s disease and other indications. One program targets neural thread protein (NTP) and its role in the extensive brain cell loss associated with AD. Another program is based on spherons, which Nymox researchers regard as a source of senile plaques, the characteristic abnormality found in abundance in the brains of patients with AD and widely believed to play a major role in the cause and course of the illness. A third program is based on a novel drug candidate, NXD-5150, for neurodegenerative disease.

At present, there is no cure for Alzheimer s disease. There are five drugs approved by the FDA, tacrine (brand-name Cognex®), donepezil HCI (brand-name Aricept®), rivastigmine (brand-name Exelon®), galantamine hydrobromide (brand name Razadyne®) and memantine (brand name Namenda) for the treatment of Alzheimer s disease. However, at most these drugs offer symptomatic relief for the loss of mental function associated with the disease and possibly help to delay the progression. There is no consensus as to the cause of Alzheimer s disease or even whether it is one disease or many.

There is an urgent need for an effective treatment for the illness, caused in part by the rising health care, institutional and social costs for the treatment and care of Alzheimer's disease sufferers. The Surgeon General's Report on Mental Health released on December 13, 1999, put the direct health care costs for the illness in the United States at almost \$18 billion for 1996. In April 2002, the National Institute on Aging reported that the cost of care to family, caregivers and society in general was estimated to exceed \$100 billion per year.

These costs are expected to rise sharply as the baby boom generation ages and more people become at risk for the disease. According to the National Institute on Aging s *Progress Report on Alzheimer s Disease*, 2004-2005, by 2050, researchers estimate that 13.2 million Americans will have Alzheimer s disease if current population trends continue and no preventive treatments become available. The age group of Americans over the age of 85 is one of the fastest growing segments of the population. As people live longer, they become more at risk of developing Alzheimer s disease.

Nymox s research into drug treatments for Alzheimer s disease is aimed at compounds that could arrest the progression of the disease and therefore are targeted for long term use.

Drugs Targeting Spherons

We are a leader in research and development into drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer s disease that target spherons. Nymox researchers believe that spherons are a cause of senile plaques, the characteristic lesion found abundantly in the brains of patients with Alzheimer s disease and believed by many researchers to play a pivotal role in the fatal illness. Spherons are tiny balls of densely packed protein found in brain cells scattered throughout the brains of all humans from age one. Nymox researchers have found that as humans age the spherons grow up to a hundred times larger until they become too large for the cells that hold them. Once released from the cells, the researchers believe that the spherons burst, creating senile plaques, contributing to the cellular damage and biochemical changes pivotal to the symptoms and signs of Alzheimer s disease.

The substantial evidence linking spherons to senile plaques and Alzheimer s disease has been published in journals such as the *Journal of Alzheimer s Disease*, *Drug News & Perspectives* and *Alzheimer Reports*. There are 20 important criteria of validity which have been set forth correlating the disappearance of spherons in old age with the appearance of senile plaques and implicating spherons as a major cause in Alzheimer s disease. In 2000, Nymox researchers published important findings in *Alzheimer Reports* (2000; 3: 177-184) confirming that spherons contain key proteins that are also known to be in senile plaques and showing that, like senile plaques, spherons contain unusually old proteins in terms of the human body s metabolism, with an average age of 20 to 40 years. In 2003, Nymox announced the discovery that spherons contain toxic molecules termed spherotoxins which its researchers believe contribute significantly to the cell death and symptoms characteristic of Alzheimer s disease.

Nymox researchers believe that stopping or inhibiting the transformation of spherons into senile plaques will help stop or slow the progress of this illness. However, there is no consensus among researchers about the causes or possible treatments of Alzheimer s disease and not all researchers share this belief that spherons are a causative factor in Alzheimer s disease or are a target for the development of treatments for the disease.

Based on the research findings discussed above and the spheron-based approach to the treatment of the disease, we have developed novel, proprietary drug screening methods based on spherons and used them to discover, develop and test drug candidates to inhibit the formation of Alzheimer plaques from spherons. These candidates have the potential to slow or stop the progression of the disease.

23

We have two distinct new drug candidates, NXD-3109 and NXD-1191, neither of which demonstrate significant toxicity and both of which had positive animal testing results. These candidates are at the stage of pre-clinical testing.

Such drug candidates will require regulatory approval in order to begin clinical studies for humans, but there is no guarantee that any of these drug candidates will ever be approved for marketing as a treatment for Alzheimer's disease. Drug candidates that look promising in early studies in the laboratory or with animals often prove on further testing to be unsafe, ineffective or impractical to use with human patients. The cost of bringing a drug candidate through the necessary clinical trial and regulatory approvals is very high and may require us to seek substantial financing through various sources including the issuing of more stock, the borrowing of funds secured by financial instruments such as bonds or agreements with major pharmaceutical companies. We risk not being able to secure such funding in the necessary amounts or on sufficiently favorable terms.

Nymox holds global patent rights covering both methods for using spherons as targets for developing drugs and for the actual drug candidates discovered.

Neural Thread Protein Based Drugs

Nymox developed a unique drug screening system, based on the research that led to its AlzheimAlert test, to identify other potential drug candidates for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. There is a substantial body of evidence showing that NTP may play a key role in Alzheimer's disease, including such published studies as *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* (1996; 138: 26-35), *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology* (1996; 55: 1038-50) and (2001; 60: 195-207), *Journal of Clinical Investigation* (1997; 100: 3093-3104), *Alzheimer's Reports* (1999; 2: 327-332), *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* (2001; 3: 345-353) and (2005; 7(1): 45-61), and *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* (2001; 58: 844-849) and (2003; 60:2679-91).

Nymox licensed the NTP technology in 1997 from Harvard University and the Massachusetts General Hospital as part of a sponsored research and licensing agreement. Under the terms of this agreement, Nymox sponsored the research of the principal investigators into the use of neural thread protein, its antibodies or genes for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. Nymox also paid the patent costs for the patent applications filed arising out of this research. In return, Nymox received an exclusive worldwide license of the patents to sell products and to use processes encompassed by them. Nymox is to pay the Massachusetts General Hospital a 4% royalty of the net sales price of any product developed and sold under the license. Nymox currently pays this royalty on its sales of its AlzheimAlert product. The license and the obligation to pay patents costs and royalties continue for the life of the patents, which run until November, 2014 at the earliest. The Massachusetts General Hospital has the right to terminate the license in any country where, after the first commercial sale of the product in the country, there is a continuous two year period in which no product is sold in such country. There are eight issued U.S. patents under license and a correspondingly larger number of patents and patent applications in Europe, Japan, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and South Korea. The sponsored research portion of this agreement terminated in March, 1999. Nymox retained the exclusive license to the rights to the NTP-related patents owned by the Massachusetts General Hospital.

Effective March 1999, Nymox entered into a similar sponsored research and licensing agreement with Brown University and the Rhode Island Hospital. Under the terms of this agreement, Nymox continued to sponsor research into the uses of neural thread proteins, their antibodies or genes for diagnostic, therapeutic and research purposes and to pay the patent costs for any patent applications filed arising out of this research. In return, Nymox received an exclusive worldwide license of any such patents to sell products and to use processes encompassed by them. The Rhode Island Hospital has the right to terminate the license in any country where, after the first commercial sale of the product in the country, there is a continuous two year period in which no product is sold in such country. Nymox is to pay the Rhode Island Hospital a 4% royalty of the net sales price of any product developed and sold under the license. The sponsorship agreement expired in March 2005; however, Nymox retains the exclusive license to patent rights on certain NTP-based technology including a license to an issued U.S. patent.

24

Nymox has screened compounds for their ability to impede the process of premature cell death and thus potentially help slow or halt the loss of brain cells in the Alzheimer s disease brain. This screening process identified promising drug candidates. The Company has targeted the candidate, NXD-9062, for human trials. NXD-9062 has shown significant progress in key preclinical studies but successful completion of pre-clinical studies is necessary before it can move into formal regulatory studies.

The company s third program is based on a new drug candidate for neurodegenerative disease, NXD-5150, which successfully completed important pre-clinical milestones. Nymox has exclusive rights to two patent applications covering NXD-5150 as well as other related drug candidates for neurodegenerative disorders.

Nymox faces intense competition for the development of an effective treatment for Alzheimer's disease. The market conditions for an Alzheimer's disease drug strongly favor the entry of other corporations into the area. The current market for therapeutic drugs for Alzheimer's disease is an estimated \$2 billion. This market is expected to grow rapidly as new drugs enter the market and as the baby boom generation becomes more at risk for developing Alzheimer's disease. As a result, most of the major pharmaceutical companies and many biotechnology companies have ongoing research and development programs for drugs and treatments for Alzheimer's disease. Many of these companies have much greater scientific, financial and marketing resources than we have and may succeed in developing and introducing effective treatments for Alzheimer's disease before we can. At present, four drugs for Alzheimer's disease are being widely marketed in the United States, Aricept® by Pfizer, Exelon® by Novartis, Razadyne® by Janssen and Namenda by Forest. These four drugs only treat some of the symptoms of Alzheimer's disease by enhancing memory and other mental functions and not the underlying causes of the illness.

Oncology products

We are in the preclinical stage of developing therapeutic products for oncological indications based on technology licensed from the Massachusetts General Hospital. We cannot predict with any certainty whether any such product will successfully complete preclinical testing, whether government regulatory agencies, such as the FDA, will permit such products to proceed to human trials, or whether ultimately any such product will be granted approval for sale and marketing in the U.S., Canada, or elsewhere in the world.

Oncology products 19

New Diagnostic Products

Nymox has a number of proprietary diagnostic markers and technologies, including a patented platform for point-of-care testing, and has tests utilizing these technologies in the early stages of development. Nymox also has U.S. patents for a unique method and device for using saliva to determine cholesterol levels and for a method of testing for osteoporosis. The company also owns patent rights to several novel biochemical indicators for Alzheimer s disease.

25

Manufacturing Arrangements

Our NicAlert and TobacAlert products and AlzheimAlert kits are currently partly manufactured through out-sourcing arrangements with contract manufacturers. To date, we have not experienced any significant interruptions in the manufacture of these products and the cost of the manufacturing services has not been volatile. The manufacturing services supplied by our current contract manufacturer are not unique or unduly complicated and other contract manufacturers are available to provide similar services in the event that our current contract manufacturer fails to meet our needs.

Property, Plant And Equipment

Nymox and Serex laboratory facilities in Hasbrouck Heights, New Jersey comprise 4,799 square feet of leased space. That lease agreement expires August 31, 2010. Nymox office and research facilities in St. Laurent, Quebec, Canada comprise 6,923 square feet of leased space. The lease agreement expires on August 31, 2010. Nymox Pharmaceutical Corp. and its two US subsidiaries Nymox Corp. and Serex, Inc. own a full complement of equipment used in all aspects of their research and development work and the Nymox reference laboratory. Nymox believes that its facilities are adequate for its current needs and that additional space, if required, would be available on commercially reasonable terms.

Governmental Regulation

Our AlzheimAlert test which we provide as a service through our clinical reference laboratory in New Jersey is subject to extensive government regulation in the United States. Our clinical reference laboratory and its performance of the AlzheimAlert must be certified by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA), which establishes quality standards for the laboratory tests being performed to ensure the accuracy, reliability and timeliness of patient test results. In addition, some individual states such as New York, Florida and New Jersey have their own requirements for the inspection and certification of reference laboratories which offer diagnostic services for patients within the state. Finally, the FDA has its own regulations governing in vitro diagnostic products, including analyte-specific reagents used in clinical reference laboratories. Any changes in our current certification status, CMS or state law requirements or in the FDA regulations could have an impact on our future ability to offer or market any reference laboratory services and/or on our ability to obtain reimbursement from the Medicare and Medicaid programs and providers.

We have developed a diagnostic kit version of the AlzheimAlert test. We will need to obtain FDA approval before we can market or sell such a diagnostic kit version outside of the clinical reference laboratory setting in the United States. Such approval for this type of commercial development is necessary for all in vitro diagnostic kits.

In February 2004, we filed a premarket approval application (PMA) with the FDA for the AlzheimAlert kit version following the completion of clinical testing. In July 2004, we received a letter from the FDA raising issues of clinical study methodology and stating that the PMA was not approvable in its current form. We subsequently met with the FDA and submitted further data and analyses in October and December 2004 and March and April 2005. On July 15, 2005, an FDA advisory panel voted 5-2 against recommending approval of our PMA application for the kit, citing the need for further studies, such as long term follow-up and autopsy confirmation. Subsequently, the FDA advised us that our PMA application was not approvable. The Company filed further proposals with the FDA concerning meeting requirements for approval of the kit and continues to pursue kit development and approval. We cannot predict with any certainty when or if FDA approval will be forthcoming and we anticipate that more clinical testing or further documentation will be required before approval. If approved, the diagnostic kit would then be subject to postmarketing record and reporting obligations and manufacturing requirements.

26

Similar requirements exist in many other countries. In November 2004, Nymox satisfactorily completed the testing and registration required by European regulatory, environmental and quality standards in order to obtain a CE Mark for the AlzheimAlert kit. The CE Mark makes the AlzheimAlert kit eligible for sale in the European Union and will allow European clinical and hospital laboratories to perform the AlzheimAlert test in their own facilities in Europe.

The regulatory process leading to such approval can be time-consuming and expensive and can result in an outright denial or a very limited approval only. Our product will be subject to premarketing and postmarketing requirements applicable to such devices, including those governing:

clinical testing;

design control procedures;

prior FDA approval of a 510(k) application, where the FDA has determined that our diagnostic device is substantial equivalent to a marketed device, or a premarket approval application, where the FDA has been satisfied with clinical studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of our device;

postmarketing record and reporting obligations; and

good manufacturing practices.

The requirements for a premarket approval application are analogous to those for the approval of a new drug and include four categories of information: indications for use, device description and manufacturing methods, alternative practices and procedures for the diagnosis of the disease and clinical and nonclinical studies. The requirements for a 510(k) application are generally less onerous but still include indications for use, safety and effectiveness data as well as manufacturing and quality assurance data and information. There can be no assurance that the AlzheimAlert test or any other medical device that we may develop in the future will obtain the necessary approvals within a specified time framework, if ever. In addition, the FDA may impose certain postmarketing requirements that may significantly increase the regulatory costs associated with our product. The FDA has recourse to a wide range of administrative sanctions and civil and criminal penalties in order to enforce the applicable laws, rules and regulations.

Our therapeutic products under development by Nymox would also have to receive regulatory approval. This is a costly, lengthy and risky process. In the United States, in order for a product to be marketed, it must go through four distinct development and evaluation stages:

Product Evaluation

We must conduct preliminary studies of potential drug candidates using various screening methods to evaluate them for further testing, development and marketing.

Optimization of Product Formulation

The activities in this stage of development involve consultations between us and investigators and scientific personnel. Preliminary selection of screening candidates to become product candidates for further development and further evaluation of drug efficacy is based on a panel of research based biochemical measurements. Extensive formulation work and in vitro testing are conducted for each of various selected screening candidates and/or product candidates.

Clinical Screening and Evaluation

During this phase of development, portions of which may overlap with product evaluation and optimization of product formulation, initial clinical screening of product candidates is undertaken and full scale clinical trials commence. The FDA must approve any clinical testing on healthy subjects (Phase 1) and on patients (Phase 2 and 3).

27

Final Product Development

The activities to be undertaken in final product development include performing final clinical evaluations, conducting large-scale experiments to confirm the reproducibility of clinical responses, making clinical lots for any additional extensive clinical testing that may be required, performing any further safety studies required by the FDA, carrying out process development work to allow pilot scale production of the product, completing production demonstration runs for each potential product, filing new drug applications, product license applications, investigational device exemptions (and any necessary supplements or amendments) and undergoing comprehensive regulatory approval programs and processes.

We cannot assure you that we will successfully complete the development and commercialization of any therapeutic products.

In the United States, obtaining the necessary FDA approval for any drug is a lengthy, expensive and often arduous process. We cannot predict with any certainty the amount of time the FDA will take to approve one of our drugs or even whether any such approval will be forthcoming.

Similar requirements exist in many other countries.

In the United States, the FDA approval procedure is a two-step process. We must file an investigational new drug (IND) application for each product with the FDA before beginning the initial (Phase 1) clinical testing of the new drug in healthy subjects. If the FDA has not commented on or questioned the application within 30 days of its filing, initial clinical studies may begin. If, however, the FDA has comments or questions, the questions must be answered to the satisfaction of the FDA before initial clinical testing can begin. In some instances, this process could result in substantial delay and expense. Phase I studies are intended to demonstrate the functional characteristics and safety of a product.

After Phase 1 testing, we must conduct extensive clinical trials with patients in order to establish the efficacy and safety of our drug. Once we complete the required clinical testing, we expect to have to file a new drug application for FDA approval in order to market most, if not all, of our new drugs. The application is complicated and detailed and must include the results of extensive clinical and other testing, the cost of which is substantial. The FDA conducts an extensive and often lengthy review of such applications. The agency is required to review applications within 180 days of their filing, but, during the review, frequently requests that additional information be submitted. This starts the 180-day regulatory review period anew when the requested additional information is submitted and, as a result, can significantly extend the review period. Until the FDA actually approves the new drug application, there can be no assurance that the agency will consider the information requested and submitted to justify approval. The packaging and labeling of products are also subject to FDA regulation. Accordingly, it is impossible to anticipate when the FDA will approve a new drug application.

Our lead candidate is NX-1207, which successfully completed a multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 trial in September 2006. We cannot predict with any certainty the outcome of future trials, what further steps may be required in order to apply for final FDA approval for this drug or whether the FDA will ultimately grant us such approval.

We must also obtain approval for our drugs or diagnostic devices from the comparable regulatory authority in other countries before we can begin marketing our product in that country. The approval procedure varies from country to country and can involve additional testing. The time required may differ from that required for FDA approval. Although there are some procedures for unified filings for certain European countries, in general each country has its own procedures and requirements, many of which are time-consuming and expensive. Thus, there can be substantial delays in obtaining required approvals from both the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities after the relevant applications are filed.

28

After such approvals are obtained, further delays may be encountered before the products become commercially available. If, subsequent to approval, new information becomes available concerning the safety or effectiveness of any approved product, the regulatory authority may require the labeling for the affected product to be revised or the product to be withdrawn. Our manufacturing of any approved drug must conform with the FDA s good manufacturing practice regulations which govern the production of pharmaceutical products and be subject to inspections and compliance orders.

Government regulation also affects our ability to receive an appropriate level of reimbursement for our products. Throughout the developed world, both public and private health care plans are under considerable financial and political pressure to contain their costs. The two principal methods of restricting expenditures on drugs and diagnostic products and services are to deny coverage or, if coverage is granted, to limit reimbursement. For single-payer government health care systems, a decision to deny coverage or to severely restrict reimbursement for one of our products can have an adverse effect on our business and revenues.

In the United States, where, to a significant degree, the patient population for our products is elderly, Medicare and Medicaid are sources of reimbursement. In general, any restriction on reimbursement, coverage or eligibility under either program could adversely affect reimbursement to Nymox for products and services provided to beneficiaries of the Medicare and/or Medicaid programs. Many elderly people are covered by a variety of private health care organizations either operating private health care plans or Medicare or Medicaid programs subject to government regulation. These organizations are also under considerable financial constraints and we may not be able to secure coverage or adequate reimbursement from these organizations. Without coverage, we will have to look to the patients themselves who may be unwilling or unable to pay for the product; in turn, doctors may be reluctant to order or prescribe our products in the absence of coverage of the product for the patient.

In response to rising health care costs, there have been a number of legislative and administrative proposals in the U.S. for the reform of the heathcare system. In 1997 the U.S. Congress implemented sweeping changes to the U.S. Medicare and Medicaid systems. Under Part C: Medicare + Choice programs, beneficiaries can opt for a variety of health delivery models, including coordinated care plans, HMOs, preferred provider organizations and provider sponsored organizations, private fee-for-service plans and medical savings account plans. In addition, states have the option to require Medicaid recipients to enroll with managed health care plans without first obtaining a waiver, making it substantially easier for the states to meet their Medicaid obligations through private managed care organizations. All these health care delivery systems, including the original Medicare and Medicaid systems, are subject to funding formulas and spending caps and may compensate for these restrictions by limiting coverage, eligibility and/or payments. In 2003, the U.S. government added insurance coverage to help pay for prescription drugs to Medicare. Legislative proposals before Congress to change the pricing mechanism for the prescription drugs available through that program, if passed, may have the effect of reducing the prices and profitability of such drugs. The long-term impact of legislative

changes in terms of their efficiency, effectiveness and financial viability in delivering health care services to an aging population is uncertain at present. Any legislative or regulatory actions to reduce or contain federal spending under either the Medicare or Medicaid programs could adversely affect our ability to participate in either program as a provider or supplier of services or products and the amount of reimbursement under these programs potentially available to us.

Our AlzheimAlert test, and any of the new diagnostic and therapeutic products and services that we may develop, will be subject to coverage determinations by health care providers and payers. Federal and state regulations and law and internal coverage policies of health care organizations affect our ability to obtain payments for our products and services. The Medicare program will not pay for any expenses incurred for items or services that are not reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member. Historically, CMS interpreted this provision in order to exclude from Medicare coverage those medical and health care services that are not demonstrated to be safe and effective by acceptable clinical evidence. CMS recently revised both its national coverage policies and procedures in general and specifically its coverage of diagnostic laboratory tests and constituted a Medicare Coverage Advisory Committee to provide advice on the effectiveness and appropriateness of medical items and services that are eligible for coverage under Medicare. It is unknown how these changes will affect our ability to obtain Medicare coverage for its products and services. However, an adverse national coverage decision with respect to one of our products or services will make it impossible to receive reimbursement from Medicare for that product and more difficult to convince private health care organizations to provide coverage for it. Even if we receive a favorable coverage decision for one of our products or services, there is no guarantee that the level of reimbursement for it will be close to our retail price for it or commensurate with the costs of developing and marketing it.

29

Patents And Proprietary Information

We believe that patent and trade secret protection is important to our business, and that our success will depend, in part, on our ability to obtain strong patents, to maintain trade secret protection and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others.

The commercial success of products incorporating our technologies may depend, in part, upon our ability to obtain strong patent protection. We cannot assure you that additional patents covering new products or improvements will be issued or that any new or existing patents will be of commercial benefit or be valid and enforceable if challenged.

We pursue a policy of seeking patent protection for valuable patentable subject matter of our proprietary technology and require all employees, consultants and other persons who may have access to its proprietary technology to sign confidentiality agreements.

The Company currently owns or has licensed exclusive rights to several hundred patents and patent applications in the U.S. and other countries around the world in support of its proprietary product development programs. Nymox has nineteen U.S. patents issued or allowed and a corresponding larger number of patents and patent applications worldwide. Nymox has issued patents in the main European markets, including Great Britain, Germany, France, Italy, The Netherlands, Sweden and Spain among others and in other countries such as Japan, Canada and Australia. These patents and patent applications cover much of our current product development and technologies, including new drug candidates, proprietary screening technologies for finding drugs, promising diagnostic markers, new diagnostic assay methods, methods of treating meat and other food products; and anti-infective agents. The earliest expiry date for its issued patents is February, 2009 and the rest range from 2010 through 2021.

Nymox s subsidiary, Serex, has thirteen patents issued or allowed in the United States and a corresponding larger number of patents and patent applications worldwide. These patents and patent applications cover such areas as Serex s proprietary diagnostic technologies and methodologies. The expiry dates for its patents range from 2012 to 2017.

Nymox also has exclusive rights to twelve issued U.S. patents as well as a corresponding larger number of patents and patent applications worldwide through research and license agreements. The earliest of these patents expires in 2014.

Many companies have patents covering various drugs, methods and discoveries in the fields of diagnostics and therapeutics for Alzheimer s disease and related conditions and of new anti-infective agents. We believe that the patents issued to date will not preclude Nymox from developing and marketing our products; however, it is impossible to predict the extent to which licenses from third parties will be necessary. If Nymox were to need licenses from third parties there can be no assurance that we could obtain such licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

30

In the fields of diagnostic methods and diagnostic tests for common human diseases and conditions, where Serex has many of its patents, there are many patents issued covering many areas of diagnostic methods, tests and technologies. We believe that these patents issued to date to other

companies will not preclude Serex from developing and marketing its products but you should be aware that it is often difficult to determine the nature, breadth and validity of competing patent claims in these fields, that there has been significant litigation in some of these areas (not involving Serex) and that, if and when Serex s products become more commercially successful, Serex s products or patents may become the subject matter of litigation. If Serex were to need licenses from third parties there can be no assurance that it could obtain such license on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

Neither Nymox nor Serex are currently involved in litigation over patent and other intellectual property rights but significant litigation over these matters in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry is not uncommon. The validity and extent of patent rights can be very difficult to determine and involve complex legal, factual and scientific questions. Important legal issues about patent protection in the field of biotechnology have not been resolved. Patent litigation is costly and time-consuming and can consume substantial resources. An adverse decision can preclude the marketing of a product, expose us to significant liabilities or require us to obtain third party licenses, which may not be available at commercially reasonable prices.

We also rely upon trade secrets, know-how, and continuing technological advancement to develop and maintain our competitive position. We control the disclosure and use of our know-how and confidential information through agreements with the parties involved. In addition, we have confidentiality agreements with our key employees, consultants, officers and directors. There can be no assurance, however, that all confidentiality agreements will be honored, that others will not independently develop equivalent technology, that disputes will not arise as to the ownership of intellectual property, or that disclosure of our trade secrets will not occur. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that others have not obtained or will not obtain patent protection that will exclude us from using our trade secrets and confidential information. To the extent that consultants or research collaborators use intellectual property owned by others in their work with us, disputes may also arise as to the rights to related or resulting know-how or inventions.

Competition

Rapidly evolving technology and intense competition are the hallmarks of modern pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. Our competitors include:

major pharmaceutical, diagnostic, chemical and biotechnology companies, many of which have financial, technical and marketing resources significantly greater than ours;

biotechnology companies, either alone or in collaborations with large, established pharmaceutical companies to support research, development and commercialization of products that may be competitive with ours; and

academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations which are conducting research into Alzheimer s disease and which increasingly are patenting, licensing and commercializing their products either on their own or through joint ventures.

31

In the field of Alzheimer s disease diagnosis, our AlzheimAlert test faces growing competition which could detrimentally impact on our ability to successfully market and sell our diagnostic test. Our competitors include:

Athena Diagnostics, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Thermo Fischer Scientific, which is currently marketing three tests claimed to aid in the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease: a genetic test for the rare cases of familial, early-onset Alzheimer s disease; a genetic test for a relatively common mutation of a gene said to increase the likelihood of a person with at least one of the genes contracting the disease; and a test for two proteins in the spinal fluid of patients.

Innogenetics NV which currently markets tests and kits for two proteins and a variant of one of these proteins in the spinal fluid of patients and a genetic test for a relatively common mutation of a gene said to increase the likelihood of a person developing the disease.

Applied NeuroSolutions, Inc. currently markets a research test for a variant of a protein in the spinal fluid of patients.

There are also a number of other proposed biochemical signs of the disease that could potentially be developed into a commercial diagnostic test as well as various scanning and imaging technologies which compete for a portion of the diagnostic market for Alzheimer s disease. In June 2004, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) approved limited coverage of a Positronic Emission Tomography (PET) imaging procedure for helping to more precisely distinguish Alzheimer s disease from a rarer type of dementia when clinical evaluation has been inconclusive. In October 2004, the National Institute of Aging in conjunction with other Federal agencies, private companies and organizations launched the Alzheimer s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, a \$60 million initiative to test whether various scanning and imaging technologies,

Competition 24

biochemical markers, and clinical and neuropsychological testing can be combined to help diagnose early Alzheimer s disease. A number of companies, including GE, are actively working to develop imaging technologies for the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease.

Our NicAlert and TobacAlert products face competition from clinical laboratories such as LabCorp and Quest Diagnostics which provide off-site lab testing for cotinine, the by-product of the body s breakdown of nicotine measured by NicAlert and TobacAlert, and from assay suppliers, including immunoassay developers such as Orasure Techologies Inc. and Cozart Bioscience Ltd, and diagnostic system manufacturers such as Roche Diagnostics, Abbott and Diagnostic Products Corporation. NicAlert and TobacAlert also face competition from distributors who supply simple yes-no smoking status tests such as SmokeCheck, NicQuick, and QuickScreen, from NicCheck I, an FDA-cleared smoking status test being marketed by Mossman & Associates Ltd, from SmokeScreen, a chemical color-based tobacco test being marketed by Mermaid Diagnostics, Ltd. in the United Kingdom, and from carbon monoxide (CO) monitors such as SmokeCheck.

We also face intense competition for the development of an effective treatment for Alzheimer's disease. The market conditions for an Alzheimer's disease drug strongly favor the entry of other corporations into the area. The current market for therapeutic drugs for Alzheimer's disease is an estimated \$2 billion. This market is expected to grow rapidly as new drugs enter the market and as the baby boom generation becomes more at risk for developing Alzheimer's disease. As a result, most of the major pharmaceutical companies and many biotechnology companies have ongoing research and development programs for drugs and treatments for Alzheimer's disease. Many of these companies have much greater scientific, financial and marketing resources than we have and may succeed in developing and introducing effective treatments for Alzheimer's disease before we can. At present, four drugs for Alzheimer's disease are being widely marketed in the United States, Aricept® by Pfizer, Exelon® by Novartis, Razadyne® by Janssen Namenda by Forest. These four drugs only treat some of the symptoms of Alzheimer's disease by enhancing memory and other mental functions and not the underlying causes of the illness.

32

A similar competitive reality prevails in the field of novel anti-infectives. Over the past ten years, there has been an increasing awareness of the medical need and of emerging market opportunities for new treatments for antibiotic resistant bacterial infections. Many of the major pharmaceutical companies are developing anti-infective drugs that either modify their existing drugs or involve new anti-bacterial properties. Many biotechnology companies are developing new classes of anti-bacterial drugs. At least three major pharmaceutical companies have vaccines against bacterial infections in development. To the extent that these companies are able to develop drugs or vaccines that offer treatment for some or all of the indications for our anti-infectives, the market for our products may be adversely affected.

Our treatments under development for enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH) face significant competition from existing products. There are seven drugs approved for treatment of BPH: three proprietary drugs (dutasteride (Avodart®), tamsulosin (Flomax®), and alfusozin (Uroxatral®)) and four generics (finasteride, terazozin, doxazozin, and prazosin). There are a number of thermal treatments on the market designed to shrink the enlarged prostate by heating its tissue with a device inserted through the urethra (the tube leading from the bladder through the penis through which men urinate) or through the abdomen. The devices on the market use microwave energy (Prostatron®, Targis Therapy® or TherMatrx®), low level radiowaves (TUNA System®), lasers (Indigo LaserOptic Treatment System® or Laserscope GreenLight PVP), direct heat or hot water to heat or burn away prostate tissue. A variety of surgical procedures exist to surgically reduce or remove the prostate or to widen the urethra. These include procedures to cut away prostate tissue such as TURP (transurethral resection of the prostate) and using a resectoscope with an electrical loop inserted through the penis to cut the prostate tissue. A small device used to widen the constricted urethra called a prostatic stent can also be inserted.

The problem of *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination of hamburger meat and other food products is also well-known and a number of companies and researchers have been pursuing various potential solutions, including irradiation with x-rays, better detection of contamination, electronic pasteurization, vaccination and competitive exclusion of the pathogenic *E. coli* bacteria by harmless bacteria. The development of alternative solutions to the problem of E. coli infection may adversely affect the market for our treatment for *E.* coli O157:H7 infection in cattle and contamination of food products.

Marketing

We currently market our AlzheimAlert test as a clinical reference laboratory service primarily in the United States. In November 2004, our AlzheimAlert test was certified with a CE Mark, making the device eligible for sale in the European Union. Nymox has signed distribution agreements for AlzheimAlert in Italy, the Czech Republic, Spain, Greece, Italy and the United Kingdom.

At present, we do most of our marketing ourselves. To increase our marketing, distribution and sales capabilities both in the United States and around the world, we will need to enter into licensing arrangements, contract sales agreements and co-marketing deals. We cannot assure you that we will be able to enter into agreements with other companies on terms acceptable to us, that any licensing arrangement will generate any revenue for the company or that the costs of engaging and retaining the services of a contract sales organization will not exceed the revenues generated.

Marketing 25

If successfully developed and approved, we plan to market and sell our therapeutic and diagnostic products directly or through co-promotion arrangements or other licensing arrangements with third parties. In cases where we have sole or shared marketing rights, we plan to build a small, focused sales force if and when such products approach marketing approval in some markets, including Europe. Implementation of this strategy will depend on many factors, including the market potential of any products we develop as well as on our financial resources. To the extent we will enter into co-promotion or other licensing arrangements, any revenues received by us will be dependent on the efforts of third parties.

33

ITEM 4A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

General

Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation is a biopharmaceutical company with three unique proprietary products on the market, and an R&D pipeline of drug and diagnostic products in development.

We market the AlzheimAlert test as an aid to the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease. The test is available as a testing service through our clinical reference laboratory in New Jersey. The kit version of the AlzheimAlert test is also certified with a CE Mark, making the device eligible for sale in the European Union. AlzheimAlert is an improved version of our AD7C test, from which we began generating revenue from sales in 1997.

We also market NicAlert and TobacAlert, our two products, which determine a person s level of exposure to tobacco products. These products are also certified with a CE Mark, making the devices eligible for sale in the European Union.

We have under development therapeutic agents for the treatment of Alzheimer s disease, for the treatment of enlarged prostate (BPH) and of certain antibiotic-resistant infections as well as antibacterial agents for E. coli contamination of food and drink products.

We also have the rights to a U.S. patent for the use of statin drugs for the treatment or prevention of Alzheimer s Disease.

We have incurred operating losses throughout our history. Management believes that such operating losses will continue for the next few years. The costs relating to clinical trials for our potential therapeutic products will increase expenditures and delay profitability, despite anticipated increases in sales revenue in the coming years.

All figures are presented in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise stated.

History of Capital Funding

We fund our operations and projects primarily by selling shares of Nymox s common stock. However, since 1997, a small portion of our funding also comes from sales. This source of funding became more significant in late 1998, following the launch of our urinary version of the AD7C test. Since its incorporation in May, 1995, Nymox raised the capital necessary to fund its on-going research and development work and its marketing and sales operations primarily through private placements of its shares.

On December 1, 1997, our common shares began trading on the Nasdaq Stock Market. Nymox s common shares also traded on the Montreal Exchange from December 18, 1995 to November 19,1999.

Private placements completed by Nymox since December, 1995 are as follows:

December 1995, 1,578,635 common shares at a price of CAN\$2.00 (US\$1.38) per share for total proceeds of CAN\$3,157,270 (US\$2,187,536);

34

April 1996, 877,300 common shares at a price of CAN\$6.00 (US\$4.15) per share for total proceeds of CAN\$5,263,800 (US\$3,647,059);

May 1997, 696,491 common shares at a price of CAN\$6.50 (US\$4.50) and warrants exercisable at a price of CAN\$8.50 (US\$5.88) per share for total proceeds of CAN\$4,527,191 (US\$3,136,694). In 1998, all 696,491 of these warrants were exercised for additional proceeds to Nymox of CAN\$5,920,174 (US\$4,101,832);

May 1998, 231,630 common shares at a price of CAN\$8.50 (US\$5.88) for total proceeds of CAN\$1,968,855 (US\$1,364,134). A total of 110,000 warrants were issued as well, exercisable at a price of CAN\$8.50 (US\$5.88) per share (50,000) and CAN\$10.00 (US\$6.93) per share (60,000). These warrants have since expired;

December 1998, 135,000 common shares and January 1999, 55,000 common shares at CAN\$8.50 (US\$5.88) per share, for total proceeds of CAN\$1,615,000 (US\$1,118,963). A total of 95,000 warrants were issued as well, exercisable at the price of CAN\$10.00 (US\$6.93) per share. These warrants have since expired;

September 1999, 122,000 common shares at CAN\$5.00 (US\$3.46) per share, for total proceeds of CAN\$610,000 (US\$422,642).

March 2000, 821,637 common shares at an average price of \$4.87 per share, for total proceeds of \$4,000,000. A total of 93,334 warrants were issued as well, exercisable at a price of \$9.375 per share (66,667) and \$7.8125 per share (26,667). These warrants expired on March 6, 2004.

March, 2001, 200,000 common shares at \$2.06 per share, for total proceeds of \$412,000. A total of 100,000 warrants were issued as well, exercisable at a price of \$2.06. These warrants were exercised on February 17, 2003.

August 3, 2001, 80,000 common shares at \$2.50 per share for total proceeds of \$200,000.

August 22, 2001, 140,000 common shares at \$3.75 per share for total proceeds of \$525,000.

October 3, 2001, 110,000 common shares at \$3.75 per share for total proceeds of \$412,500.

November 14, 2001, 64,100 common shares at \$3.90 per share for total proceeds of \$250,000.

January 24, 2002, 74,074 common shares at \$4.05 per share for total proceeds of \$300,000.

March~18,~2002,~195,000~common~shares~at~\$4.20~per~share~for~total~proceeds~of~\$819,000.

June 18, 2002, 90,000 common shares at \$4.00 per share for total proceeds of \$360,000.

July 17, 2002, 86,000 common shares at \$4.68 per share for total proceeds of \$403,000. September 9, 2002, 91,000 common shares at \$4.40 per share for total proceeds of \$400,400.

November 27, 2002, 53,500 common shares at \$3.75 per share for total proceeds of \$200,625.

December 17, 2002, 125,000 common shares at \$4.10 per share for total proceeds of \$512,500.

February 17, 2003, 100,000 warrants were exercised at a price of \$2.06 per share for total proceeds of \$206,000.

From March 2000 to January 2003, we received a total of \$1,327,273 for the following sales of our shares pursuant to a common stock purchase agreement with an investment company.

August 16, 2000, 152,616 common shares at a volume weighted average price of \$3.2924 per share;

October 12, 2000, 137,889 common shares at a volume weighted average price of \$3.6261 per share;

February 7, 2001, 161,696 common shares at a volume weighted average price of \$2.0240 per share;

May 31, 2001, 56,108 common shares at a volume weighted average price of \$1.9466 per share.

This common stock purchase agreement expired in January 2003. As part of the agreement we issued to the investment company a stock purchase warrant, which expired November 30, 2004, permitting it to purchase up to 200,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$4.53 per share.

On January 27, 2003 we entered into a Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement with an investment company, Lorros-Greyse Investments, Ltd., for the future issuance and purchase of Nymox s common shares. In general, the agreement provided Nymox with a commitment from the investment company to purchase up to \$5 million of Nymox s common shares over the twenty-four month period beginning in January 2003.

Under the terms of this agreement, which has since been replaced annually by new agreements with the same investor, we may give notice to the investment company requiring it to purchase a specified dollar amount of our shares. The amount specified in any one notice may be up to \$500,000 but not less than \$100,000. The maximum amount can be higher if both parties agree. The number of shares Nymox will issue to the investment company in return for that money will be equal to the amount specified in the notice divided by 97% of the average market price of our common shares for the five trading days preceding the giving of the notice.

35

Under the agreement dated January 27, 2003, we received a total of \$2,360,000 for the following shares under this common stock private purchase agreement:

January 30, 2003, 107,382 common shares at a price of \$3.725 per share. March 3, 2003, 245,098 common shares at a price of \$4.08 per share.

June 6, 2003, 167,224 common shares at a price of \$2.99 per share. July 8, 2003, 80,128 common shares at a price of \$3.12 per share. August 8, 2003, 77,778 common shares at a price of \$2.70 per share.

On August 25, 2003, we signed a new Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement, whereby the same investor was committed to purchase up to \$12 million of Nymox s common shares over the twenty-four month period beginning in August 2003, subject to the same terms and conditions as before.

Under the agreement dated August 25, 2003, we received a total of \$4,350,000 for the following shares under this common stock private purchase agreement:

September 30, 2003, 204,918 common shares at a price of \$2.44 per share. October 21, 2003, 182,203 common shares at a price of \$2.36 per share. December 8, 2003, 106,383 common shares at a price of \$2.82 per share. December 22, 2003, 109,091 common shares at a price of \$2.75 per share. January 14, 2004, 102,041 common shares at a price of \$3.92 per share. February 27, 2004, 69,284 common shares at a price of \$4.33 per share. March 10, 2004, 100,402 common shares at a price of \$4.98 per share. April 30, 2004, 92,807 common shares at a price of \$4.31 per share. June 22, 2004, 69,444 common shares at a price of \$2.88 per share. July 7, 2004, 140,056 common shares at a price of \$3.57 per share. August 3, 2004, 130,990 common shares at a price of \$3.13 per share. September 27, 2004, 52,885 common shares at a price of \$2.08 per share.

On October 6, 2004, we signed a new Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement, whereby the same investor was committed to purchase up to \$13 million of Nymox s common shares over the twenty-four month period beginning in October 2004, subject to the same terms and conditions as before.

Under the agreement dated October 6, 2004, we received a total of \$3,485,000 for the following shares under this common stock private purchase agreement:

October 25, 2004, 95,238 common shares at a price of \$2.10 per share. December 14, 2004, 148,699 common shares at a price of \$2.69 per share. December 22, 2004, 78,616 common shares at a price of \$3.18 per share. February 9, 2005, 82,474 common shares at a price of \$2.91 per share. February 22, 2005, 50,676 common shares at a price of \$2.96 per share. March 17, 2005, 51,136 common shares at a price of \$2.64 per share. April 25, 2005, 127,119 common shares at a price of \$2.36 per share. May 24, 2005, 109,489 common shares at a price of \$2.74 per share. June 9, 2005, 95,339 common shares at a price of \$2.36 per share. June 17, 2005, 58,333 common shares at a price of \$2.40 per share. July 15, 2005, 92,437 common shares at a price of \$2.38 per share. August 2, 2005, 98,684 common shares at a price of \$2.28 per share. August 18, 2005, 83,333 common shares at a price of \$2.40 per share.

36

September 26, 2005, 110,619 common shares at a price of \$2.26 per share. October 11, 2005, 72,464 common shares at a price of \$2.07 per share. November 10, 2005, 49,020 common shares at a price of \$2.04 per share.

On October 21, 2005, we signed a new Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement, whereby the same investor was committed to purchase up to \$13 million of Nymox s common shares over the twenty-four month period beginning in October 2005, subject to the same terms and conditions as before.

Under this agreement dated October 21, 2005, we received a total of \$4,655,000 for the following shares under this common stock private purchase agreement:

November 18, 2005, 49,020 common shares at a price of \$2.04 per share.

December 8, 2005, 46,729 common shares at a price of \$2.14 per share.

December 14, 2006, 47,847 common shares at a price of \$2.09 per share.

January 10, 2006, 50,000 common shares at a price of \$2.00 per share.

January 18, 2006, 51,020 common shares at a price of \$1.96 per share.

January 24, 2006, 52,083 common shares at a price of \$1.92 per share.

February 3, 2006, 51,020 common shares at a price of \$1.96 per share.

February 10, 2006, 51,546 common shares at a price of \$1.94 per share.

February 25, 2006, 103,093 common shares at a price of \$1.94 per share.

March 6, 2006, 52,632 common shares at a price of \$1.90 per share.

March 16, 2006, 51,813 common shares at a price of \$1.93 per share.

March 27, 2006, 246,914 common shares at a price of \$4.05 per share.

April 12, 2006, 188,917 common shares at a price of \$3.97 per share.

May 2, 2006, 82,645 common shares at a price of \$3.63 per share.

July 25, 2006, 37,488 common shares were issued at a price of \$2.67 per share.

August 7, 2006, 37,879 common shares were issued at a price of \$2.64 per share.

August 24, 2006, 39,063 common shares were issued at a price of \$2.56 per share.

September 12, 2006, 40,000 common shares were issued at a price of \$2.50 per share.

September 26, 2006, 73,260 common shares were issued at a price of \$2.73 per share.

October 3, 2006, 56,022 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.57 per share.

October 18, 2006, 33,943 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.83 per share. October 25, 2006, 73,529 common shares were issued at a price of \$4.08 per share.

November 20, 2006, 43,103 common shares were issued at a price of \$4.06 per share.

On November 13, 2006, we signed a new Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement, whereby the same investor is committed to purchase up to \$13 million of Nymox s common shares over the twenty-four month period beginning in November 2006, subject to the same terms and conditions as before.

Under this agreement dated November 13, 2006, we have received to date a total of \$4,750,000 for the following shares under this common stock private purchase agreement:

December 6, 2006, 29,499 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.39 per share.

December 13, 2006, 56,818 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.52 per share.

December 20, 2006, 91,185 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.29 per share.

January 24, 2007, 121,294 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.71 per share.

February 14, 2007, 181,087 common shares were issued at a price of \$4.97 per share.

March 26, 2007, 67,869 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.89 per share.

April 26, 2007, 97,276 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.14 per share.

May 9, 2007, 286,145 common shares were issued at a price of \$6.64 per share.

September 6, 2007. 57,582 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.21 per share.

October 11, 2007. 77,042 common shares were issued at a price of \$6.49 per share.

December 4, 2007, 64,205 common shares were issued at a price of \$6.23 per share.

37

On November 16, 2007, we signed a new Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement, whereby the same investor is committed to purchase up to \$15 million of Nymox s common shares over the twenty-four month period beginning in November 2007, subject to the same terms and conditions as before.

Under this agreement dated November 16, 2007, we have received to date a total of \$1,280,000 for the following shares under this common stock private purchase agreement:

January 30, 2008, 50,917 common shares were issued at a price of \$4.91 per share. February 12, 2008, 84,980 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.06 per share.

March 4, 2008, 56,391 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.32 per share. March 28, 2008, 58,366 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.14 per share.

As of April 28, 2008, Nymox had approximately \$13.7 million of financing available under the facility. We expect this stock purchase agreement to provide sufficient financing to enable us to advance our research and product development for the next two years.

Also, the Company has received total proceeds of approximately \$1.03 million from the exercise of 346,400 options since 1995 as follows:

\$355,536 for 158,900 shares at a per share price of \$2.25 \$258,858 for 83,000 shares at a per share price of \$3.12 \$16,000 for 5,000 shares at a per share price of \$3.20 \$38,750 for 10,000 shares at a per share price of \$3.875 \$2,620 for 1,000 shares at a per share price of \$2.62 \$96,289.63 for 25,000 shares at a per share price of \$3.852 \$9,650 for 5,000 shares at a per share price of \$1.93 \$47,000 for 10,000 shares at a per share price of \$4.70 \$96,875 for 25,000 shares at a per share price of \$3.875 \$108,250 for 25,000 shares at a price per share of \$4.33

Pursuant to the share purchase agreement we entered into in March 2000 to acquire a controlling interest of Serex, Inc., a total of 257,607 additional shares and 158,526 warrants were issued in exchange for the shares of Serex. Since January 2004, 131,940 of these warrants have been exercised under a cashless exercise, whereby the warrant holder receives a number of shares equivalent in value to the net difference between the strike price on the warrant and the average market price on the day before the date of the cashless exercise, according to a formula contained in the warrant agreement. The net effect of these cashless exercises has been the issuance of 22,061 shares of Nymox common stock. Another 1,090 of these warrants were exercised resulting in the issuance of 1,090 shares of Nymox, for proceeds of \$4,033.

In total, Nymox has raised over \$50.5 million through the issuance of common stock or securities exercisable for shares of common stock, since its incorporation in May 1995.

We have no financial obligations of significance other than long-term lease commitments for our premises in the United States and Canada of \$21,441 per month in 2008. Total commitments in 2008 and beyond are summarized in note 9 to the consolidated financial statements.

The demand note payable by the Company to a third party of \$500,000, as at December 31, 2006 was paid in full in May 2007.

38

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (in US dollars)

This Management's discussion and analysis (MD&A) comments on the Company's operations, performance and financial condition as at and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. This MD&A should be read together with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes. This MD&A is dated April 28, 2008. All amounts in this report are in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise noted.

All financial information contained in this MD&A and in the Consolidated Financial Statements has been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The audited Consolidated Financial Statements and this MD&A were reviewed by the Company s Audit and Finance Committee and were approved by our Board of Directors.

Additional information about the Company can be obtained on EDGAR at www.sec.gov or on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Overview

Corporate Profile

Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation is a biopharmaceutical company with three unique proprietary products on the market, and a significant R&D pipeline of drug products in development. Nymox is developing NX-1207, a novel treatment for benign prostatic hyperplasia. NX-1207 has shown positive results in several Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials in the U.S. The Company successfully completed a 43 site randomized

Corporate Profile 30

prospective placebo controlled Phase 2 U.S. clinical trial of NX-1207 in 2006, which showed statistically significant efficacy and a good safety profile. Nymox also reported, in February 2008, positive results in a 32 site U.S. Phase 2 prospective randomized clinical trial, with statistically significant improvement compared to an approved BPH drug (finasteride). The Company reported positive results in 2007 from a 2 year follow-up study of NX-1207 in 103 BPH patients. The Company is developing new treatments for bacterial infections in humans and for the treatment of E. coli O157:H7 contamination in food products. Nymox has candidates which are under development as drug treatments aimed at the causes of Alzheimer s disease, and has several other drug candidates in development. Nymox has U.S. and global patent rights for the use of statin drugs for the treatment and prevention of Alzheimer s disease. Nymox developed and is currently offering its AlzheimAlert test, a nationally certified clinical reference laboratory urinary test that is the world s only accurate, non-invasive aid in the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease. The AlzheimAlert test is certified with a CE Mark, making the device eligible for sale in the European Union. Nymox has signed distribution deals for AlzheimAlert with several companies in Europe. Nymox also developed and markets NicAlert and TobacAlert; tests that use urine or saliva to detect use of and exposure to tobacco products. NicAlert has received clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and is also certified with a CE Mark in Europe. TobacAlert is the first test of its kind to accurately measure second hand smoke exposure in individuals.

Risk Factors

The business activities of the Company since inception have been devoted principally to research and development. Accordingly, the Company has had limited revenues from sales and has not been profitable to date. We refer to the Risk Factors section for a discussion of the management and investment issues that affect the Company and our industry. The risk factors that could have an impact on the Company s financial results are summarized as follows:

39

Our Clinical Trials for our Therapeutic Products in Development, such as NX-1207, May not be Successful and We May Not Receive the Required Regulatory Approvals Necessary to Commercialize These products

Our Clinical Trials for our Therapeutic Products, such as NX-1207, May be Delayed, Making it Impossible to Achieve Anticipated Development or Commercialization Timelines

A Setback in Any of our Clinical Trials Would Likely Cause a Drop in the Price of our Shares

We May Not be Able to Make Adequate Arrangements with Third Parties for the Commercialization of our Product Candidates, such as NX-1207

We May Not Achieve our Projected Development Goals in the Time Frames We Announce and Expect

Even If We Obtain Regulatory Approvals for our Product Candidates, We Will be Subject to Stringent Ongoing Government Regulation

It is Uncertain When, if Ever, We Will Make a Profit

We May Not Be Able to Raise Enough Capital to Develop and Market Our Products

We Face Challenges in Developing, Manufacturing and Improving Our Products

Our Products and Services May Not Receive Necessary Regulatory Approvals

We Face Significant and Growing Competition

We May Not Be Able to Successfully Market Our Products

Protecting Our Patents and Proprietary Information is Costly and Difficult

We Face Changing Market Conditions

Health Care Plans May Not Cover or Adequately Pay for our Products and Services

We Face Potential Losses Due to Foreign Currency Exchange Risks

Critical Accounting Policies

In December 2001, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) released Cautionary Advice Regarding Disclosure About Critical Accounting Policies . According to the SEC release, accounting policies are among the most critical if they are, in management s view, most important to the portrayal of the company s financial condition and most demanding on their calls for judgment.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared under Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and include a reconciliation to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (see Canadian/US reporting differences in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements). The Company s functional and reporting currency is the United States dollar. Our accounting policies are described in the notes to our annual audited consolidated financial statements. We consider the following policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our financial statements and the matters that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

The Company has generally derived its revenue from product sales, research contracts, license fees and interest. Revenue from product sales is recognized when the product or service has been delivered or obligations as defined in the agreement are performed. Revenue from research contracts is recognized at the time research activities are performed under the agreement. Revenue from license fees, royalties and milestone payments is recognized upon the fulfillment of all obligations under the terms of the related agreement. These agreements may include upfront payments to be received by the Company. Upfront payments are recognized as revenue on a systematic basis over the period that the related services or obligations as defined in the agreement are performed. Interest is recognized on an accrual basis. Deferred revenue presented in the balance sheet represents amounts billed to and received from customers in advance of revenue recognition. Revenues from agreements that include multiple elements are considered to be a revenue arrangement with multiple deliverables. Under this type of arrangement, the identification of separate units of accounting is required and revenue is recognized for each unit as described above.

40

The Company currently markets AlzheimAlert as a service provided by our CLIA certified reference laboratory in New Jersey. Physicians send urine samples taken from their patients to our laboratory where the AlzheimAlert test is performed. The results are then reported back to the physicians. We recognize the revenues when the test has been performed. The Company sometimes enters into bulk sales of its diagnostic services to customers under which it has a future obligation to perform related testing services at its laboratory. Although the Company receives non-refundable upfront payments under these agreements, revenue is recognized in the period that the Company fulfils its obligation or over the term of the arrangement. For research contracts and licensing revenues, the Company usually enters into an agreement specifying the terms and obligations of the parties. Revenues from these sources are only recognized when there are no longer any obligations to be performed by the Company under the terms of the agreement.

Valuation of Long-lived Assets

Property and equipment and intellectual property rights acquired are stated at cost and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives. The Company reviews the unamortized balance of property and equipment, intellectual property rights and patents on an annual basis and recognizes any impairment in carrying value when it is identified. Factors we consider important, which could trigger an impairment review include:

Significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business; and Significant negative industry or economic trends.

Impairment is assessed by comparing the carrying amount of an asset with its expected future net undiscounted cash flows from use together with its residual value (net recoverable value). If such assets are considered impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds its fair value. Management s judgment regarding the existence of impairment indicators is based on legal factors, market conditions and operating performances. Future events could cause management to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that the carrying values of the Company s property, equipment or intellectual property rights acquired are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on the Company s financial position and results of operations.

Valuation of Future Income Tax Assets

Management judgment is required in determining the valuation allowance recorded against net future tax assets. We have recorded a valuation allowance of \$14.2 million as of December 31, 2007, due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize all of our future tax assets, primarily consisting of net operating losses carried forward and other unclaimed deductions, before they expire. In assessing the realizability of future tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the future tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of future tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income and tax planning strategies. The generation of future taxable income is dependent on the successful commercialization of its products and technologies.

41

Results of Operations

| Selected Annual Information | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ 433,933 | \$ 442,861 | \$ 426,282 |
| Net loss | \$ (5,290,431) | \$ (4,893,685) | \$ (3,584,528) |

Results of Operations 32

| Loss per share (basic & diluted) | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.14) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total assets | \$ 4,260,346 | \$ 3,970,845 | \$ 3,719,039 |

| Quarterly Results 2007 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ 138,666 | \$ 87,412 | \$ 70,226 | \$ 137,629 |
| Net loss | \$ (1,132,520) | \$ (1,464,950) | \$ (1,386,084) | \$ (1,306,878) |
| Loss per share (basic & diluted) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.05) |

| Quarterly Results 2006 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ 96,009 | \$ 120,360 | \$ 141,817 | \$ 84,675 |
| Net loss | \$ (1,059,246) | \$ (1,360,621) | \$ (1,238,833) | \$ (1,234,985) |
| Loss per share (basic & diluted) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.04) |

All amounts are in U.S. dollars.

Results of Operations 2007 compared to 2006

Net losses were \$1,306,878 or \$0.05 per share, for the quarter and \$5,290,431 or \$0.18 per share for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$1,234,985, or \$0.04 per share for the quarter and \$4,893,685 or \$0.18 per share for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in net losses for both the quarter and the year is attributable to increased expenditures in research and development of products in the Company s pipeline and due to increased stock compensation expenses. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 29,005,342 compared to 27,644,749 for the same period in 2006.

There have been no material adjustments, nor extraordinary items during the quarter ended or during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Revenues

Revenues from sales amounted to \$135,002 for the quarter and \$412,923 for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared with \$83,478 for the quarter and \$437,440 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The variance for the quarter is due to timing differences in the orders of products in 2007 compared to 2006. The variance for the year is due to a decrease in sales to Europe (AlzheimAlert decrease of 33.2% and NicAlert/TobacAlert decrease of 53.9%). The development of therapeutic candidates and of moving therapeutic product candidates through clinical trials is a priority for the Company at this time. The growth of sales will become more of a priority once these candidates have reached the marketing stage. The Company expects that revenues will increase if and when product candidates pass clinical trials and are launched on the market.

Research and Development

42

Research and development expenditures were \$720,869 for the quarter and \$2,797,903 for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared with \$701,498 for the quarter and \$2,594,714 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Research and development expenditures include costs incurred in advancing Nymox s BPH product candidate NX-1207 through clinical trials, as well as costs related to its R&D pipeline in development. Management s decision to increase expenditures in 2007 relating to general research on therapeutic candidates in the Company pipeline explains the increase for the quarter and year-to-date. For the year-ended 2007, research tax credits amounted to \$68,041 compared to \$53,618 in 2006 as

Results of Operations 33

a result of additional expenditures claimed for refundable tax credits in 2007 compared to 2006. The Company expects that research and development expenditures will decrease as product candidates finish development and clinical trials. However, because of the early stage of development of the Company s R&D projects, it is impossible to outline the nature, timing or estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete these projects, nor the anticipated completion dates for these projects. The facts and circumstances indicating the uncertainties that preclude us from making a reasonable estimate of the costs and timing necessary to complete projects include the risks inherent in any field trials, the uncertainty as to the nature and extent of regulatory requirements both for safety and efficacy, and the ability to manufacture the products in accordance with current good manufacturing requirements (cGMP) and in sufficient quantities both for large scale trials and for commercial use. A drug candidate that shows efficacy can take a long period (7 years or more) to achieve regulatory approval. There is also uncertainty whether we will be able to successfully adapt our patented technologies or whether any new products we develop will pass proof-of-principle testing both in the laboratory and in clinical trials, and whether we will be able to manufacture such products at a commercially competitive price. In addition, given the very high costs of development of therapeutic products, we anticipate having to partner with larger pharmaceutical companies to bring therapeutic products to market. The terms of such partnership arrangements along with our related financial obligations cannot be determined at this time and the timing of completion of the approval of such products will likely not be within our sole control.

Marketing Expenses

Marketing expenditures amounted to \$66,517 for the quarter and \$236,395 for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared with \$66,513 for the quarter and \$236,054 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Expenditures in 2007 were consistent compared to the same period in 2006. The Company expects that marketing expenditures will increase if and when new products are launched on the market.

Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses amounted to \$247,882 for the quarter and \$970,919 for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared with \$192,723 for the quarter and \$954,397 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase for the quarter and the year is due to higher professional fees relating to compliance with United States securities laws, and in particular Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related regulations. The Company expects that general and administrative expenditures will increase as new product development leads to expanded operations.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock option grants using the fair value method, with compensation cost measured at the date of grant and amortized over the vesting period. The increase in stock-based compensation in 2007 relates to grants made in 2006 and 2007. In the first quarter of 2007, 10,000 fully-vested options were granted to a consultant. Under the fair value based method, the stock-based compensation cost of this grant, amounting to \$33,960, was recorded in the first quarter. In the third quarter of 2007, 40,000 fully-vested options were granted to directors of the Company. Under the fair value based method, the stock-based compensation cost of this grant, amounting to \$146,360, was recorded in the third quarter. In addition, in each quarter of 2007, stock-based compensation costs were recorded of \$204,680 (total \$818,720 in 2007) for the 3,565,500 options granted in 2006, which vest quarterly over six years, and of \$4,055 (total \$16,220 in 2007) for the 50,000 options granted in 2003 which vested annually over four years

43

Foreign Exchange

The Company incurs expenses in the local currency of the countries in which it operates, which include the United States and Canada. Approximately 72% of 2007 expenses (75% in 2006) were in U.S. dollars. Foreign exchange fluctuations had no meaningful impact on the Company s results in 2007 or 2006.

Inflation

The Company does not believe that inflation has had a significant impact on its results of operations.

Results of Operations 2006 compared to 2005

Net losses were \$1,234,985, or \$0.04 per share for the quarter and \$4,893,685, or \$0.18 per share, for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$821,088, or \$0.03 per share, and \$3,584,528, or \$0.14 per share, respectively, for the corresponding periods in 2005. The increase in net losses is attributable to stock-based compensation costs relating to an increase in grants of stock options in 2006 in comparison to 2005 and to an increase in expenditures in 2006 relating to moving the Company s NX-1207 candidate through clinical trials. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 27,644,749 compared to 26,080,470 for the same period in 2005

Results of Operations 34

Revenues

Revenues from sales amounted to \$83,478 for the quarter and \$437,440 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with \$106,082 for the quarter and \$424,506 for the year ended December 31, 2005. A large order of NicAlert by one client accounted for the increase for the year in 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. The variance for the quarter is due to timing differences in the orders of products in 2006 compared to 2005.

Research and Development

Research and development expenditures were \$701,498 for the quarter and \$2,594,714 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with \$350,476 for the quarter and \$1,831,591 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Increased expenses relating to moving the Company s NX-1207 product candidate through clinical trials explains the increase for the quarter and for the year. For the year-ended 2006, research tax credits amounted to \$53,618 compared to \$3,075 in 2005 as a result of additional expenditures claimed for refundable tax credits in 2006 compared to 2005.

Marketing Expenses

Marketing expenditures were \$66,514 for the quarter and \$236,054 for the year ended December 31, 2006, in comparison to expenditures of \$80,785 for the quarter and \$273,392 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Management s decision to lower expenditures for publicity accounts for the reduction in the quarter and for the year.

Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses amounted to \$192,723 for the quarter and \$954,397 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared with \$293,131 for the quarter and \$1,202,080 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The reduction in expenses in both the quarter and for the year is due to management s decision to lower expenditures in salaries (decrease of 17.6%), insurance (decrease of 37.9%) and shareholder relations (decrease of 35.6%).

44

Stock-based Compensation

The increase in stock-based compensation costs is due to the following stock option grants in 2007 and 2006. In the second quarter of 2006, 200,000 fully-vested options were granted, in replacement of an equal number of options which had expired, to option holders still associated with the Company. Under the fair value based method, the stock-based compensation cost of this grant, amounting to \$338,400, was recorded. Also in the second quarter of 2007, 40,000 fully-vested options were granted to directors of the Company. Under the fair value based method, the stock-based compensation cost of this grant, amounting to \$65,760, was recorded. In the third quarter of 2006, 3,565,500 options were granted to directors and employees of the Company, of which 194,250 were vested. Under the fair value based method, the stock-based compensation cost recorded in the third quarter for these options was \$278,008. In the fourth quarter of 2006, stock-based compensation costs were recorded of \$204,680 for the 3,565,500 options granted in the second quarter, which vest quarterly over six years. An amount of \$16,220 was also recorded in 2006 for the 50,000 options granted in 2003 which vest annually over four years

Contractual Obligations

Nymox has no financial obligations of significance other than long-term lease commitments for its premises in the United States and Canada of \$21,441 per month.

| Contractual Obligations | Total | Current | 2-4 years | 5+ years |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Rent | \$686,124 | \$257,296 | \$428,827 | \$0 |
| Operating Leases | \$40,028 | \$18,530 | \$21,499 | \$0 |
| Total Contractual Obligations | \$726,152 | \$275,826 | \$450,326 | \$0 |

The Company has no binding commitments for the purchase of property, equipment, patents or intellectual property. The Company has no commitments that are not reflected in the balance sheet except for operating leases.

Transactions with Related Parties

The Company had no transactions with related parties in 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Financial Position

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2007, cash totaled \$273,108 and receivables including tax credits totaled \$128,421. In November 2006, the Corporation signed a common stock private purchase agreement, whereby an investor is committed to purchase up to \$13 million of the Corporation s common shares over a twenty-four month period commencing November 13, 2006. As at December 31, 2007, 11 drawings were made under this purchase agreement, for total proceeds of \$5,950,000. On December 6, 2006, 29,499 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.39 per share. On December 13, 2006, 56,818 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.52 per share. On December 20, 2006, 91,185 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.29 per share. On January 24, 2007, 121,294 common shares were issued at a price of \$3.71 per share. On February 14, 2007, 181,087 common shares were issued at a price of \$4.97 per share. On March 26, 2007, 67,869 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.89 per share. On April 26, 2007, 97,276 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.14 per share. On May 9, 2007, 286,145 common shares were issued at a price of \$6.64 per share. On September 6, 2007, 57,582 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.21 per share. On October 11, 2007, 77,042 common shares were issued at a price of \$6.49 per share. On December 4, 2007, 64,205 common shares were issued at a price of \$6.23 per share.

45

The Company negotiated a new agreement with the same investor on November 16, 2007, under the same terms and conditions of the previous agreement. The Company can draw down \$15,000,000 over 24 months under the new agreement. At December 31, 2007, the Company can draw down \$15,000,000 over the remaining 22 months under the agreement. The Company intends to access financing under this agreement when appropriate to fund its research and development. The Company believes that funds from operations as well as from existing financing agreements will be sufficient to meet the Company s cash requirements for the next twelve months.

Subsequent Events

As at April 28, 2008, 4 drawings were made under the common stock private purchase agreement, for total proceeds of \$1,280,000. On January 30, 2008, 50,917 common shares were issued at a price of \$4.91 per share. On February 12, 2008, 84,980 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.06 per share. On March 4, 2008, 56,391 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.32 per share. On March 28, 2008, 58,366 common shares were issued at a price of \$5.14 per share.

Outstanding Share Data

As of April 28, 2008, there were 29,616,407 common shares of Nymox issued and outstanding. In addition, 4,819,000 share options are outstanding, of which 2,300,250 are currently vested. There are no warrants outstanding.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to senior management on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure. The Company s Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures. They are assisted in this responsibility by the Company s disclosure committee, which is composed of members of senior management. Based on an evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2007.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and

fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, management, with the assistance of outside experts, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as of December 31, 2007, based on the framework set forth in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its evaluation under this framework, management concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of that date.

46

Internal control over financial reporting has inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, which audited and reported on our financial statements in this Annual Report, has issued an unqualified attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes during fiscal 2007 in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes to Accounting Policy

Effective with the commencement of its 2007 fiscal year, the Corporation adopted the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Handbook Section 1530, Comprehensive Income, CICA Handbook Section 3251, Equity, CICA Handbook Section 3855, Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement, CICA Handbook Section 3861, Financial Instruments Disclosure and Presentation, and CICA Handbook Section 3865, Hedges. These new Handbook Sections provide comprehensive requirements for the recognition and measurement of financial instruments, as well as standards on when and how hedge accounting may be applied. Handbook Section 1530 also establishes standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income. Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity from transactions and other events from non-owner sources. Other comprehensive income refers to items recognized in comprehensive income, but that are excluded from net income calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Under these new standards, all financial instruments are classified into one of the following five categories: held-for-trading, held-to-maturity investments, loans and receivables, available-for-sale financial assets or other financial liabilities. All financial instruments, including derivatives, are included in the consolidated balance sheet and are measured at fair market value, with the exception of loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments and other financial liabilities, which are measured at amortized cost.

The standards also require derivative instruments to be recorded as either assets or liabilities measured at their fair value unless exempted from derivative treatment as a normal purchase and sale. Certain derivatives embedded in other contracts must also be measured at fair value. All changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in earnings unless specific hedge criteria are met, which requires that a company must formally document, designate and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting.

As a result of the adoption of these standards, the Corporation has classified its accounts receivable and long-term receivable as loans and receivables, and its accounts payable, accrued liabilities and notes payable as other financial liabilities. These classifications had no impact on the Corporation s financial position or results of operations. In addition, the adoption of standards of Sections 1530, 3251, 3855 and 3861 had no impact on the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007.

47

In December 2006, the CICA issued Section 1535, Capital Disclosures. This Section established standards for disclosing information about an entity s capital and how it is managed. This Section is effective for fiscal periods beginning on or after October 1, 2007. This new standard relates to disclosure only and will not impact our financial results.

In December 2006, the CICA issued Section 3862, Financial Instruments Disclosure, and Section 3863, Financial Instruments Presentation. These Sections are effective for fiscal periods beginning on or after October 1, 2007. These sections replace existing Section 3861, Financial Instruments Disclosure and Presentation. Disclosure standards are enhanced and expanded to complement the changes in accounting policy adopted in accordance with Section 3855, Financial Instruments Recognitions and Measurement. These new standards relate to disclosure and presentation only and will not impact our financial results.

Inventories

In June 2007, the CICA issued Section 3031, Inventories, which replaces Section 3030 and harmonizes the Canadian standards related to inventories with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This Section provides changes to the measurement and more extensive guidance on the determination of cost, including allocation of overhead; narrows the permitted cost formulas; requires impairment testing; and expands the disclosure requirements to increase transparency. This Section applies to interim and annual financial statements beginning on or after January 1, 2008. We have not yet determined what the impact of adopting this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Goodwill and intangible assets

In January 2008, the CICA issued Section 3064, *Goodwill and Intangible Assets*, which will replace Section 3062, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The standard provides guidance on the recognition of intangible assets in accordance with the definition of an asset and the criteria for asset recognition as well as clarifying the application of the concept of matching revenues and expenses, whether these assets are separately acquired or internally developed. This standard applies to interim and annual financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of adopting this standard.

International Financial Reporting Standards

In 2005 the Accounting Standards Board of Canada (AcSB) announced that accounting standards in Canada are to converge with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). In May 2007, the CICA published an updated version of its Implementation Plan for Incorporating International Financial Reporting Standards into Canadian GAAP . This plan includes an outline of the key decisions that the CICA will need to make as it implements the Strategic Plan for publicly accountable enterprises that will converge Canadian generally accepted accounting standards with IFRS. While IFRS uses a conceptual framework similar to Canadian GAAP, there are significant differences in accounting policy which must be addressed. These standards are effective January 1, 2011.

48

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements included in this MD&A may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. *Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995* and Canadian securities legislation and regulations, and are subject to important risks, uncertainties and assumptions. This forward-looking information includes amongst others, information with respect to our objectives and the strategies to achieve these objectives, as well as information with respect to our beliefs, plans, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may , will , expect , intend , estimate , anticipate , foresee , believe or continue or the negatives of these terms or variations of them or similar terminology. We refer you to the Company s filings with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as the Risk Factors section of this MD&A, for a discussion of the various factors that may affect the Company s future results. The results or events predicted in such forward-looking information may differ materially from actual results or events.

Forward-looking statements do not take into account the effect that transactions or non-recurring or other special items announced or occurring after the statements are made have on the Company s business. For example, they do not include the effect of business dispositions, acquisitions, other business transactions, asset writedowns or other charges announced or occurring after forward-looking statements are made. The financial impact of such transactions and non-recurring and other special items can be complex and necessarily depends on the facts particular to each of them.

We believe that the expectations represented by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, yet there can be no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Furthermore, the forward-looking statements contained in this report are made as of the date of this report,

and we do not undertake any obligation to update publicly or to revise any of the included forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise unless required by applicable legislation or regulation. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are expressly qualified by this cautionary statement.

Research and Development, Patents and Licensees

Nymox s research and development policies are targeted at the development of novel therapeutic and diagnostic proprietary products that are subject to patent rights either directly owned by the company or licensed to the company through exclusive licensing agreements of patent rights. Over the last three financial years, the company s major research and development activities were in the following program areas:

Diagnostic products for Alzheimer's disease. The major project in this area, the development and validation of a kit version of our AlzheimAlert product for sale to laboratories and hospitals was completed in 2004. We are currently marketing the kit in Europe under the CE mark. We also provide AlzheimAlert as a laboratory testing services through our CLIA-certified clinical reference laboratory in New Jersey. The FDA has not approved our kit version for sale in the U.S. We are continuing to pursue further kit development and regulatory approvals. At this time, we cannot provide an estimate of the costs and timing to obtain FDA approval for such a kit as it is uncertain at this stage the nature and extent of FDA requirements for approval based on discussions with us.

Therapeutic products for enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH). We have successfully completed several Phase 1 and Phase 2 multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials, and follow-up studies, in the U.S. for NX-1207, our drug candidate for the treatment of enlarged prostate (benign prostatic hyperplasia or BPH). We cannot predict with any certainty the outcome of any future trials nor estimate the costs of completing such trials, given the inherent uncertainties in conducting clinical trials, including as yet unknown response rates to our treatment candidate, unforeseeable safety issues, patient enrollment rates, manufacturing costs, and regulatory requirements. We anticipate starting a Phase 3 trial in the near future and subsequently filing a New Drug Application (NDA) with the FDA. Given the inherent uncertainties with any Phase 3 clinical trial, we cannot provide a more precise estimate of the costs and timing of the completion of this project. These uncertainties include the chances of success of any phase of the clinical trials, the nature and extent of FDA requirements to proceed with a Phase 3 and for filing an NDA, our ability to scale up manufacture in accordance with current good manufacturing requirements (cGMP) and in sufficient quantities for commercial use, and whether or when the FDA will ultimately grant us such approval.

49

Anti-infectives. Our anti-bacterial agent, NXC-4720, which is being developed as a treatment of meat at the processing stage, has shown to be capable of substantially reducing the level of potentially fatal *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination on fresh beef according to laboratory studies. Other projects in this area, such as treating *E. coli* O157:H7 infection in livestock and treating bacterial infections in humans, are in preliminary stages of development with more uncertain prospects and timing and course of development. Because of the early stage of development of this project, it is impossible to outline the nature, timing or estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete this project nor the anticipated completion dates for this project. The facts and circumstances indicating the uncertainties that preclude use from making a reasonable estimate of the costs and timing necessary to complete this project include the risks inherent in any field trials of NXC-4720, the uncertainty as to the nature and extent of regulatory requirements both for safety and efficacy, and the ability to manufacture NXC-4720 in accordance with current good manufacturing requirements (cGMP) and in sufficient quantities both for large scale trials and for commercial use. In addition, we anticipate that we may partner with a larger company in the food or agricultural sectors in order to finance and conduct field trials and to market any approved product; thus the timing of completion of the regulatory approval of such a product will not likely be within our sole control.

Tobacco exposure and other diagnostic tests. We developed and validated NicAlert , which is an FDA-cleared test for tobacco product use, and TobacAlert , which is an over-the-counter test for second-hand smoke exposure. These are completed projects with any further research and development costs being related to product improvement and obtaining regulatory approvals where required in order to expand the market for these products. The development of other new diagnostic tests using our patented diagnostic technologies are in early stage development. Because of the early stage of development of these projects, it is not possible to outline the nature, timing or estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete any of them nor their anticipated completion dates. The facts and circumstances indicating the uncertainties that preclude us from making a reasonable estimate include the uncertainty whether we will be able to successfully adapt our patented diagnostic technologies to these new diagnostic indicators, whether any new diagnostic tests we develop will pass proof-of-principle testing both in the laboratory and in clinical trials, and whether we will be able to manufacture such tests at a commercially competitive price.

Therapeutic products for Alzheimer s disease. We are conducting early stage research and development work into preclinical development of novel drug candidates and original research into the role spherons play in the Alzheimer s disease process in order

to pursue spheron-based therapeutics. Because of the early stage of development of this project, it is impossible to outline the nature, timing or estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete this project nor the anticipated completion dates for this project. The facts and circumstances indicating the uncertainties that preclude us from making a reasonable estimate include the inherent uncertainties in the pre-clinical and clinical development of therapeutic candidates. In addition, given the very high costs of development of a drug for Alzheimer s disease, we anticipate having to partner with a larger pharmaceutical company to conduct and finance clinical trials. The terms of such a partnership arrangement along with our related financial obligations cannot be determined at this time and the timing of completion of the approval of such a drug will likely not be within our sole control. Most pre-clinical drug candidates do not meet necessary milestones to enter clinical trials; of those which do, only a small percentage ultimately achieve regulatory approval and enter the marketplace. We also have global patent rights to the use of statins in the prevention or treatment of Alzheimer s disease. Various published epidemiological and other research studies have shown evidence that statins may help in the prevention or treatment of Alzheimer s disease; other studies have shown otherwise. Other companies and organizations are currently carrying out clinical trials into the use of statin drugs for Alzheimer s disease. The effect of the results of such trials on this program is uncertain.

50

Oncology products. We are in the early stages of developing therapeutic products for oncological indications. Because of the early stage of development of this project, it is impossible to outline the nature, timing or estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete this project nor its anticipated completion dates. The development of cancer therapeutics in particular is associated with high risks and many uncertainties and a drug candidate that shows efficacy can take a long period (7 years or more) to achieve regulatory approval.

Research and development expenses allocated to our major research and development programs are as follows:

| | Year ending Dec 31, 2007 | Year ending Dec.31, 2006 | Year ending Dec 31, 2005 | Year ending Dec 31, 2004 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Alzheimer s Disease: Diagnostics | \$ 467,367 | \$ 520,855 | \$ 488,361 | \$ 691,183 |
| Alzheimer s Disease: Therapeutics | \$ 91,398 | \$ 98,056 | \$ 2,866 | \$ 282,205 |
| Anti-Infectives | \$ 29,091 | \$ 25,899 | \$ 28,934 | \$ 22,224 |
| BPH (Enlarged Prostate) Therapeutics | \$1,824,073 | \$1,739,183 | \$1,018,266 | \$ 615,454 |
| Tobacco Exposure Tests: NicAlert and TobacAlert | \$ 64,251 | \$ 108,119 | \$ 67,164 | \$ 118,636 |
| Oncology | \$ 321,723 | \$ 102,602 | \$ 226,000 | \$ 131,537 |
| General Research (BPH Therapeutics Included) | | | | |
| Total | \$2,797,903 | \$2,594,714 | \$1,831,591 | \$1,861,239 |

| | Year ending Dec 31, 2003 | Year ending Dec 31, 2002 | Year ending Dec 31, 2001 | Year ending Dec 31, 2000 | Year ending Dec 31, 1999 | Total for Periods Presented |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Alzheimer s Disease: Diagnostics | \$ 779,305 | \$ 332,254 | \$ 491,051 | \$ 657,924 | \$ 253,015 | \$4,213,948 |

| Alzheimer s Disease: Therapeutics | \$ 496,658 | \$ 463,182 | \$ 528,230 | \$ 404,850 | \$ 501,685 | \$2,777,732 |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Anti-Infectives | \$ 24,793 | \$ 1,563 | \$ 134,098 | \$ 313,884 | \$ 195,427 | \$ 746,822 |
| BPH (Enlarged Prostate) Therapeutics | \$ 548,064 | \$ 523,926 | See General Research | See General Research | See General Research | \$4,444,893 |
| Tobacco Exposure Tests: NicAlert and TobacAlert | \$ 292,692 | \$ 308,161 | \$ 122,159 | \$ 116,872 | | \$1,133,803 |
| Oncology | \$ 368,539 | \$ 77,000 | | | | \$ 905,678 |
| General Research (BPH Therapeutics Included) | | | \$ 224,116 | \$ 590,702 | \$ 186,995 | \$1,001,813 |
| Total | \$2,510,051 | \$1,706,086 | \$1,499,654 | \$2,084,232 | \$1,137,122 | \$15,224,689 |

For the earlier periods from 1995 to 1998, the Company did not maintain a cost accounting system that tracked research and development costs on a project-by-project basis. During the initial discovery stages, research and development is more general in nature and cannot be specifically categorized. During the periods 1995 to 1998, the general research expenses included primarily work on the Alzheimer's diagnostic and therapeutic candidates. The breakdown of research and development costs for these periods is as follows: 1998: \$ 2,091,745; 1997 \$ 1,775,340; 1996 \$ 1,632,370; and 1995 \$ 395,770. The total research and development expenditure for the 1995 to 1998 period was \$ 6,323,696. Total research and development expenditures to date are \$ 24,346,288.

51

The Company expenses all research and development costs as incurred but does not currently maintain a cost accounting system to track, record and allocate staffing time on a specific project-by-project basis. We manage our ongoing research and development projects and programs in a dynamic, flexible manner. Our researchers, staff and management are typically involved in more than one of our research and development projects and the percentage of time an employee may be involved in a project varies according to the changing needs and progress of that project. As well, a significant portion of the Company s research and development expenses, such as laboratory supplies, travel, information systems and services and facilities costs, benefit multiple projects and are not necessarily individually tracked or allocated to a specific project when incurred. Research and development costs are allocated in reasonable and realistic proportion to the projects that benefited from those costs.

According to industry statistics, on average it takes 10 to 15 years to research, develop and bring to market a new prescription medicine in the United States. In light of the steps and complexities involved, the successful development of our product candidates is highly uncertain. Actual product timelines and costs are subject to enormous variability and are very difficult to predict. Accordingly, we cannot provide reliable estimates of the nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete our programs. This is particularly the case for our programs in early stage development. The risk of failure to complete any such program is high because of uncertain feasibility and commercial viability, long lead times to program completion and potentially high costs in relation to anticipated returns. We update and change our product development programs to reflect the most recent preclinical and clinical data and other relevant information. Many of our products under development require regulatory approval before being sold. The process of obtaining such approvals is often lengthy and uncertain and requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals could materially adversely affect our business. We cannot assure you that any such approvals required will be obtained on a timely basis, if at all.

Trend Information

The Company does not currently know of any material trends that would be material to our operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no existing off-balance sheet arrangements.

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

Directors and Senior Management

Paul Averback, M.D., D.A.B.P., 57, President and Director since September 1995 and Chairman since June of 2001, is the founder of Nymox and the inventor of much of its initial technology. Prior to founding Nymox, Dr. Averback served as President of Nymox s predecessor, DMS Pharmaceuticals Inc. He received his M.D. in 1975 and taught pathology at universities, including Cambridge University, England (1977-1980), during which time he initiated his research on Alzheimer s disease. He has practiced medicine in numerous institutions as well as in private practice. Dr. Averback has published extensively in the scientific and medical literature.

Randall Lanham, Esquire, 44, has been a director since June 8, 2006. He attained his Juris Doctor from Whittier College School of Law in 1991 and a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Delaware 1987. Mr. Lanham has vast experience in both domestic and international corporate legal matters. Currently Mr. Lanham manages his own law office specializing in corporate mergers and acquisitions. In addition, Mr. Lanham has a broad base of entrepreneurial experience and currently owns and operates several small entertainment companies.

52

Paul F. McDonald, 82, has been a director since June 8, 2006. A graduate in law of McGill University, he has had a long and varied career as a member of the Canadian investment industry. Mr. McDonald was previously Vice-President of the Montreal Exchange, and he was principal owner and president of a stock exchange firm. His principal focus has been in the financing and development of growth companies, in the high-tech and resources sectors, and he has had numerous appointments to corporate boards. He has devoted much time to committee work in the investment sector, as well as to public affairs, including a lengthy tenure as a director of the Quebec Industrial Development Corporation. Mr. McDonald currently works as a private consultant.

Professor David Morse, Ph.D., 51, has been a director since June 8, 2006. He is a world expert in the biochemistry, proteomics and genomics of cell function particularly as it relates to circadian regulation in single cell organisms. He received a Ph.D. from McGill University in 1984, completed a post-doctoral fellowship at Harvard University in 1989 and has been a Full Professor at the University of Montreal since 2001. He has published extensively in the peer-reviewed scientific literature, including papers in journals such as Science, Cell, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, Journal of Biological Chemistry, and Nature. Dr. Morse has previously collaborated with Nymox scientists in research and development projects.

Roger Guy, M.D., 57, has been a director since June 8, 2006. He received his B.Sc., M.Sc. and M.D degrees from Memorial University of Newfoundland. He is a highly experienced medical doctor who has served as a national examiner. Dr. Guy has broad human clinical trial and business experience.

Jack Gemmell, 56, has been a Director since June, 2001 and is Nymox s General Counsel and Chief Information Officer. He graduated from the Faculty of Law at the University of Toronto in 1977 and was called to the bar in 1979. He practiced in private practice primarily in the area of litigation for over 19 years before joining Nymox in July, 1998.

Roy M. Wolvin, 53, has been Secretary-Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer since September 1995. Prior to September 1995, Mr. Wolvin was Account Manager, private business, for a Canadian chartered bank. Mr. Wolvin holds a degree in Economics from the University of Western Ontario.

Brian Doyle, B.Sc., M.B.A., 53, has been Senior Manager Global Sales and Marketing since May 2003. He received his B.Sc. in Microbiology and Immunology from McGill University, in 1979. He worked in the Experimental Surgery department at McGill in cancer research, before completing his MBA at Concordia University, in 1983. He has wide sales, marketing and merchandising experience and spent the last 15 years at a technical sales representative firm, where he was National Sales Manager before joining Nymox.

Celine Dupuis, MD, CMSQ, DABP, 49, Chief Clinical Officer since October 2004, received her MD from Laval University in 1982, and completed her residency in Anatomical Pathology at McGill University and the University of Montreal in 1987. Dr. Dupuis has practiced family medicine, as well as pathology, managed medical and laboratory facilities, and has publications in the scientific and patent literature.

Compensation

The table below provides compensation information for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 for each executive officer of Nymox and for the directors and executive officers as a group.

Compensation 42

Edgar Filing: NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORP - Form 20-F

| Name and Principal | | Annual (| Compensation (exp | ressed in US\$) | Long Term Compensation | |
|---|------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus, SARs, LTIP, SSRRs (\$) | Other Annual Compensation (\$) | Securities under Option (#) | All Other Compensation |
| | 2007 | 46,625 | 0 | 2,567 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Paul Averback CEO and President | 2006 | 55,159 | 0 | 1,216 | 3,000,000 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 30,146 | 0 | 3,177 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2007 | 93,146 | 0 | 1,329 | 0 | 0 |
| Mr. Roy Wolvin CFO | 2006 | 88,176 | 0 | 1,817 | 150,000 | 0 |
| | 2005 | (2)103,376 | 0 | 2,247 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2007 | 111,742 | 0 | 1,488 | 0 | 0 |
| Mr. Jack Gemmell General Counsel | 2006 | 105,933 | 0 | 298 | 210,000 | 0 |
| | 2005 | ⁽²⁾ 161,269 | 0 | 1,218 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2007 | 141,511 | 0 | 1,329 | 0 | 0 |
| Mr. Brian Doyle Global Sales Manager | 2006 | 137,286 | 0 | 1,817 | 50,000 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 146,158 | 0 | 1,438 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2007 | 139,563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr. Celine Dupuis Chief Clinical Officer | 2006 | (1)80,828 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 27,512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Salaries are payable in Canadian dollars, but expressed above in US\$; fluctuations in exchange rates account, in part, for the variances from year-to-year.

During the financial year ended December 31, 2007, no executive officer received any long-term incentive plan (LTIP) awards (other than the options listed in the table above) nor any stock appreciation rights (SARs) nor any shares subject to resale restrictions (SSRRs) nor any repricings of any options, nor did any executive officer exercise any options.

Compensation 43

⁽¹⁾ Dr. Dupuis became a senior officer July 1, 2006.

⁽²⁾ Includes repayment of arrears in salaries.

Nymox does not have written employment contracts with any of the senior management named above except Brian Doyle. Directors of Nymox, with the exception of the President and our General Counsel, are paid \$10,000 annually plus a fee of \$1,000 for each board meeting attendance, \$500 for each committee meeting attendance and are reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with their office.

The Company does not have any pension plans or other type of plans providing retirement or similar benefits for senior management.

Board Practices

Directors are elected at each annual meeting for a term of office until the next annual meeting. Executive officers are appointed by the board of directors and serve at the pleasure of the board. Other than Dr. Averback, no other officer or director previously was affiliated with DMS Pharmaceuticals Inc.

Dr. Celine Dupuis is the wife of Dr. Paul Averback. Otherwise, there are no family relationships between any director or executive officer and any other director or executive officer.

54

Nymox does not have written contracts with any of the directors named above. We do not have any pension plans or other type of plans providing retirement or similar benefits for directors, nor any benefits upon termination of service as a director.

Nymox s Audit Committee consists of three directors appointed by the Board who are independent of management and who are generally knowledgeable in financial and auditing matters. The Chairman of the Audit Committee is Paul McDonald; the other members are Randall Lanham and Roger Guy.

The primary role of the Audit Committee is to provide independent oversight of the quality and integrity of the accounting, auditing, and reporting practices of Nymox with a particular focus on financial statements and financial reporting to shareholders.

The Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the public accounting firm engaged to prepare or issue an audit report on our financial statements. It oversees all relationships between Nymox and the auditor, including reviewing on an ongoing basis any non-audit services and special engagements that may impact the objectivity or independence of the auditors. The auditors report directly to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee reviews the scope and results of the audit with the independent auditors.

The Audit Committee meets at least four times a year to review with management and the independent auditors the company s interim and year-end financial condition and results of operations. Its review includes an assessment of the adequacy of the internal accounting, bookkeeping and control procedures of the company.

The Audit Committee also has the responsibility for reviewing on an ongoing basis all material transactions between Nymox and its affiliates and other related parties such as officers, directors, other key management personnel, major shareholders and their close family members, affiliated companies or associated enterprises.

The Audit Committee has the power to conduct or authorize investigations into any matters within the Committee s scope of responsibilities, including the power and authority to retain and determine funding for independent counsel, accountants, or other advisors as it determines necessary to carry out its duties.

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee consists of the independent directors of the Board. The Chairman of the Committee is Roger Guy; the other members are Randall Lanham and Paul McDonald.

The Committee establishes and reviews overall policy and structure with respect to compensation and employment matters, including the determination of compensation arrangements for directors, executive officers and key employees of the company. The Committee is also responsible for the administration and award of options to purchase shares pursuant to our option and share purchase plans.

The Corporate Governance Committee consists of the independent directors of the Board. The Chairman of the Committee is Randall Lanham; the other members are Paul McDonald and David Morse. This Committee has the general mandate of providing an independent and regular review of the management, business and affairs of Nymox, including our corporate governance. This Committee also reviews and approves director nominations to ensure each nominee meets the requisite requirements under applicable corporate and securities laws, rules and regulations and otherwise possesses the skills, judgment and independence appropriate for a director of a public corporation.

Board Practices 44

Employees

In addition to the employees in its Hasbrouck Heights and St.-Laurent laboratories and offices, Nymox carries out its work with the assistance of an extensive group of research collaborators, out-sourced manufacturing teams, research suppliers, research institutions, service providers and research consultants. To help carrying out its marketing, Nymox has independent medical representatives detailing its products.

In its Hasbrouck Heights and St.-Laurent laboratories and offices, for the year 2007, the Company employed on the average nineteen persons with fifteen in research and development and four in administration and marketing; for the year 2006, nineteen persons (fifteen in research and development and four in administration and marketing); and for the year 2005, sixteen persons (twelve in research and development and four in administration and marketing).

Share Ownership

As of April 28, 2008, the number of common shares owned or controlled by, and options granted to directors and senior officers of the Corporation were as follows:

| Name | Common Shares Owned and Controlled | Percentage of Common Shares Owned | Options Vested | Options Not Vested | Exercise Price | Expiry Date M/D/Y |
|---|--|---|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Paul Averback, M.D. | 13,115,395 | 44.3% | 500,000 875,000 | 0 2,125,000 | \$3.00 \$3.00 | 10/24/13 08/24/16 |
| Randall Lanham | 0 | * | 20,000 | 0 | \$2.75 | 07/17/16 |
| Paul McDonald | 0 | * | 20,000 | 0 | \$2.75 | 07/17/16 |
| David Morse, Ph.D. | 462 | * | 20,000 | 0 | \$2.75 | 07/17/16 |
| Roger Guy, MD | 51,979 | * | 20,000 | 0 | \$2.75 | 07/17/16 |
| Jack Gemmell | 13,725 | * | 50,000 | 0 | \$6.93 (C\$10.00) | 01/22/09 |
| | | | 25,000 | 0 | \$3.875 | 05/01/10 |
| | | | 25,000 | 0 | \$1.93 | 04/23/11 |
| | | | 20,000 | 0 | \$2.62 | 09/09/13 |
| | | | 61,250 | 148,750 | \$3.00 | 08/24/16 |
| Roy Wolvin | 9,966 | * | 20,000 | 0 | \$3.12 (C\$4.50) | 05/13/09 |
| | | | 5,000 | 0 | \$2.62 | 09/09/13 |
| | | | 50,000 | 0 | \$2.82 | 06/09/16 |
| | | | 43,750 | 106,250 | \$3.00 | 08/24/16 |
| Brian Doyle | 10,000 | * | 50,000 | 0 | \$3.75 | 04/28/13 |
| | | | 17,500 | 32,500 | \$3.00 | 08/24/16 |
| Celine Dupuis, MD * Denotes less than 1%. | 848,172 | * | 43,750 | 106,250 | \$3.00 | 08/24/16 |

Options

Nymox has created a stock option plan for its employees, officers and directors, and for consultants. The board of directors of Nymox administers the stock option plan and authorizes the granting of options in accordance with the terms of the plan. Each option gives the individual granted the option the right to purchase a common share of the Company at a fixed price during a specified period of no more than ten years. The board may also make all or a portion of the options granted effective only as of a specific future date or dates. The option price must not be less than the market price of the common shares when the option is granted. The total number of shares under option to any one individual may not exceed fifteen percent of the total number of issued common shares of the Company. The options may not be assigned, transferred or pledged, and expire within three months of the termination of employment or office with the Company and six months of the death

Options 45

of the individual.

56

No more than 5,500,000 common shares may be under option at any time and a maximum of 5,500,000 common shares are available to be issued under the stock option plan as the result of the exercise of options. Options that expire or terminate without being exercised become available to be granted again. Material changes to the stock option plan such as the number of shares available to be optioned require shareholder approval. On June 21, 2007, the shareholders approved amendments to the plan that included increasing the maximum number of shares that could be issued in total under the plan from 2,500,000 to 5,500,000, and to any one individual from 5% to 15% of the total number of issued shares. Since the inception of the stock option plan in 1995, 346,400 common shares have been issued as a result of the exercise of options granted under the plan.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Major Shareholders

The following table sets out as of April 28, 2008, the number of common shares owned and controlled by Dr. Paul Averback, the President and CEO of Nymox and a member of the Nymox board of directors, and by all directors and officers as a group.

| Name of Shareholder | Number of Common Shares owned by Shareholder | Percent of Class of Common Shares | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dr. Paul Averback | 13,115,395 | 44.3% | | | | | |
| All directors and officers as a group | 14,049,699 | 47.3% | | | | | |
| As of April 28, 2008, Dr. Celine Dupuis, Dr. Averback s wife, owned 848,172 common shares (2.9 %). | | | | | | | |

The above shareholders have the same voting rights as all other shareholders. There has been no significant change in ownership for any of the persons listed above over the past three years.

Southpoint Capital Advisors reported in a Feb.14, 2008 filing that it had dispositive power over 2,597,380 shares of Nymox or approximately 8.77 % of Nymox shares. Hal Pettigrew reported in a March 14, 2008 filing that he had dispositive power over 1,532,960 shares of Nymox or approximately 5.18 % of Nymox s shares.

Nymox does not know of any other shareholders that beneficially own or hold dispositive power over more than 5% of its shares.

According to information furnished to Nymox by the transfer agent for the common shares, as of April 28, 2008, total shares outstanding were 29,616,407. There were 202 holders of record of the common shares and 4,033 beneficial shareholders in total. Of these, 75 were holders of record of the common shares and 3,346 were beneficial shareholders with addresses in the United States and such holders owned an aggregate of 12,538,417 shares, representing approximately 42 % of the outstanding shares of common stock.

Related Party Transactions

The Company did not have any related party transactions for the year ended December 31, 2007.

57

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In 2007, sales by Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation s US company were \$349,337 and sales by its Canadian company were \$63,586. We refer to Note 14 of the financial statements below.

58

kpmg

Consolidated Financial Statements of

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

59

KPMG LLP Chartered Accountants 600 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West Suite 1500 Tour KPMG Montréal Québec H3A 03A Telephone (514) 840-2100 Fax (514) 840-2187 Internet www.kpmg.ca

kpmg 47

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation (the Corporation) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Canadian generally accepted accounting principles vary in certain respects from US generally accepted accounting principles. Information relating to the nature and effect of such differences is presented in note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 15, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

(signed) KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants

Montréal, Canada

February 15, 2008 (except for notes 16 (c) and 16 (d), which are as of March 4, 2008 and March 28, 2008, respectively)

KPMG LLP is a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International, a Swiss cooperative. KPMG Canada provides services to KPMG LLP.

60

KPMG LLP Chartered Accountants 600 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West Suite 1500 Tour KPMG Montréal Québec H3A 03A Telephone (514) 840-2100 Fax (514) 840-2187 Internet www.kpmg.ca

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation

We have audited Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation s (the Corporation) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Corporation s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as presented in the section entitled Internal Control over Financial Reporting included in the accompanying Management s Discussion and Analysis. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

KPMG LLP is a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International, a Swiss cooperative. KPMG Canada provides services to KPMG LLP.

61

In our opinion, the Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Corporation as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007, and our report dated February 15, 2008(except for notes 16 (c) and 16 (d), which are as of March 4, 2008 and March 28, 2008, respectively) expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

(signed) KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants

Montréal, Canada

February 15, 2008

62

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Financial Statements

| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 64 |
|---|----|
| Consolidated Statements of Operations | 65 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity | 66 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 67 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 68 |

63

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in US dollars)

| | 2007 | 2006 | |
|---|---|------|---------------------------------------|
| Assets Current assets: Cash Accounts receivable Research tax credits receivable Inventories | \$ 273,108 60,380 68,041 29,431 | \$ | 235,124 46,307 53,618 44,145 |

| | 430,960 | 379,194 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Long-term security deposit | 26,994 | 35,993 |
| Long-term receivables (note 7) | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Property and equipment (note 4) | 19,710 | 7,839 |
| Patents and intellectual property (note 5) | 3,712,682 | 3,477,819 |
| | \$ 4,260,346 | \$ 3,970,845 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,082,182 | \$ 1,430,987 |
| Accrued liabilities | 183,569 | 158,801 |
| Notes payable (note 6) | | 500,000 |
| Deferred lease inducement (note 9 (a)) | 9,623 | 9,623 |
| Deferred revenue | 3,333 | 15,907 |
| | 1,278,707 | 2,115,318 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | | 3,333 |
| Deferred lease inducement (note 9 (a)) | 16,038 | 25,661 |
| Non-controlling interest (note 7) | 800,000 | 800,000 |
| Shareholders equity: | | |
| Share capital (note 8) | 50,155,147 | 44,443,350 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,477,981 | 1,463,833 |
| Deficit | (50,467,527) | (44,880,650) |
| | 2,165,601 | 1,026,533 |
| Commitments and contingency (note 9) Subsequent events (note 16) | | |
| | \$ 4,260,346 | \$ 3,970,845 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

On behalf of the Board:

/s/ Paul Averback MD Director

/s/ Paul McDonald Director

64

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

| 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|------|------|------|

Revenues:

| Sales Interest | \$ 412,923 21,010 | \$ 437,440 5,421 | \$ 424,506 1,776 |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | 433,933 | 442,861 | 426,282 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Research and development Less research tax credits | 2,797,903 (68,041) | 2,594,714 (53,618) | 1,831,591 (3,075) |
| | 2,729,862 | 2,541,096 | 1,828,516 |
| General and administrative | 970,919 | 954,397 | 1,202,080 |
| Marketing | 236,395 | 236,054 | 273,392 |
| Cost of sales | 241,443 | 241,398 | 207,344 |
| Depreciation of property and equipment | 7,242 | 3,624 | 13,885 |
| Amortization of patents and intellectual | 702.710 | 1/2/12 | 107.740 |
| property | 503,549 | 462,642 | 425,562 |
| Stock-based compensation (note 8 (c)) | 1,015,260 | 837,308 | 16,220 |
| Interest and bank charges | 19,694 | 60,027 | 43,811 |
| | 5,724,364 | 5,336,546 | 4,010,810 |
| Net loss and comprehensive loss | \$ (5,290,431) | \$ (4,893,685) | \$ (3,584,528) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share (note 11) | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.14) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 29,005,342 | 27,644,749 | 26,080,470 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

65

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

| | Share | Share capital | | Additional | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------|----|------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Number | Dollars | | Warrants | paid-in capital | | Deficit | Total |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 25,504,062 | \$ 36,553,350 | \$ | 55,384 | \$ | 554,921 | \$ (35,951,268) | \$ 1,212,387 |
| Issuance of share capital | 1,224,719 | 2,935,000 | | | | | | 2,935,000 |
| Share issue costs | | | | | | | (166,942) | (166,942) |
| Expiry of warrants | | | | (55,384) | | 55,384 | | |

| Stock-based compensation | | | | 16,220 | | 16,220 |
|--|------------|------------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Net loss | | | | | (3,584,528) | (3,584,528) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 26,728,781 | 39,488,350 | | 626,525 | (39,702,738) | 412,137 |
| Issuance of share capital | 1,593,472 | 4,955,000 | | | | 4,955,000 |
| Share issue costs | | | | | (284,227) | (284,227) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | 837,308 | | 837,308 |
| Net loss | | | | | (4,893,685) | (4,893,685) |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 8,322,253 | 44,443,350 | | 1,463,833 | (44,880,650) | 1,026,533 |
| Issuance of share capital (note 8 (a)) | 952,500 | 5,350,000 | | | | 5,350,000 |
| Share issue costs | | | | | (296,446) | (296,446) |
| Exercise of stock options (note 8 (b)): Cash Ascribed value | 91,000 | 360,685 1,112 | | (1,112) | | 360,685 |
| | 91,000 | 361,797 | | (1,112) | | 360,685 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | 1,015,260 | | 1,015,260 |
| Net loss | | | | | (5,290,431) | (5,290,431) |
| Balance, December 31, 2007 | 29,365,753 | \$ 50,155,147 | \$ | \$ 2,477,981 | \$ (50,467,527) | \$ 2,165,601 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

66

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 |
|--|-------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (5,290,431) | \$ | (4,893,685) | \$ | (3,584,528) |
| Adjustments for: | | | | | |
| Depreciation of property and equipment | 7.242 | | 3,624 | | 13,885 |
| Amortization of patents and intellectual | , | | - /- | | - , |
| property | 503,549 | | 462,642 | | 425,562 |

| Stock-based compensation | | 1,015,260 | 837,308 | | 16,220 |
|--|----|-------------|---------------|----|-------------|
| Write-down of patent costs | | 61,224 | (0. (22) | | (2.104) |
| Amortization of lease inducement Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | (9,623) | (9,623) | | (3,194) |
| Accounts receivable | | (14,073) | 16,414 | | (11,304) |
| Research tax credits receivable | | (14,423) | (50,543) | | 39,302 |
| Inventories | | 14,714 | 30,037 | | (42,683) |
| Long-term security deposit | | 8,999 | | | 8,146 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | 46,300 | (577,356) | | 586,361 |
| Deferred revenue | | (15,907) | (32,962) | | 23,667 |
| | | (3,687,169) | (4,214,144) | | (2,528,566) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of share capital | | 5,710,685 | 4,955,000 | | 2,935,000 |
| Share issue costs | | (296,446) | (284,227) | | (166,942) |
| Repayment of notes payable | | (500,000) | | | (100,000) |
| Proceeds from lease inducement | | | | | 48,101 |
| | | 4,914,239 | 4,670,773 | | 2,716,159 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Additions to property and equipment | | (19,113) | | | |
| Additions to patent costs | | (1,169,973) | (372,981) | | (565,759) |
| | | (1,189,086) | (372,981) | | (565,759) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | | 37,984 | 83,648 | | (378,166) |
| Cash, beginning of year | | 235,124 | 151,476 | | 529,642 |
| Cash, end of year | \$ | 273,108 | \$ 235,124 | \$ | 151,476 |
| | | | | | |
| Supplemental disclosure to statements of cash flows: | _ | | | + | |
| (a) Interest paid | \$ | 40,276 | \$ 50,289 | \$ | 31,993 |
| (b) Non-cash transactions: | | | | | |
| Additions to patent costs included in | | | | | |
| accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | 010 515 | 502.054 | | 225 502 |
| at year-end | | 212,517 | 582,854 | | 325,503 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

67

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

1. Business activities:

Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation (the Corporation), incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act, including its subsidiaries, Nymox Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, and Serex Inc. of New Jersey, is a biopharmaceutical corporation, which specializes in the research and development of products for the aging population. The Corporation is currently marketing AlzheimAlertTM, a urinary test that aids physicians in the diagnosis of Alzheimer s disease. The Corporation also markets NicAleTM and

TobacAlertTM, tests that use urine or saliva to detect use of tobacco products. The Corporation is also developing therapeutics for the treatment of Alzheimer s disease, new treatments for benign prostate hyperplasia, and new anti-bacterial agents for the treatment of urinary tract and other bacterial infections in humans, including a treatment for E-coli O157:H7 bacterial contamination in meat and other food and drink products.

Since 1989, the Corporation s activities and resources have been primarily focused on developing certain pharmaceutical technologies. The Corporation is subject to a number of risks, including the successful development and marketing of its technologies. In order to achieve its business plan and the realization of its assets and liabilities in the normal course of operations, the Corporation anticipates the need to raise additional capital and/or achieve sales and other revenue generating activities. Management believes that funds from operations as well as existing financing facilities will be sufficient to meet the Corporation s requirements for the next year.

The Corporation is listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market.

2. Significant accounting policies:

(a) Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements of the Corporation have been prepared under Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and include the accounts of its US subsidiaries, Nymox Corporation and Serex Inc. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.

Consolidated financial statements prepared under US GAAP would differ in some respects from those prepared in Canada. A reconciliation of earnings and shareholders equity reported in accordance with Canadian GAAP and with US GAAP is presented in note 13.

(b) Inventories:

Inventories consist of finished goods and are carried at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Cost is determined on the basis of weighted average cost.

68

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued):

(c) Property and equipment, patents and intellectual property:

Property and equipment, patents and intellectual property are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided using the straight-line method at the following rates:

| Asset | Rate |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Laboratory equipment | 20% |
| Computer equipment | 20% |
| Office equipment and fixtures | 20% |
| Intellectual property rights acquired | 10% |

Direct costs incurred in connection with securing the patents are capitalized. Patents are being amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of their economic useful lives or their legal terms of existence ranging from 17 to 20 years.

(d) Impairment and disposal of long-lived assets:

Long-lived assets, consisting of property and equipment and intangible assets with definite useful lives, are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized for long-lived assets, when the carrying amount of an asset to be held and used exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected from its use and disposal; the impairment recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the net asset exceeds its fair value.

(e) Revenue recognition:

Revenue from product sales is recognized when the product or service has been delivered or obligations as defined in the agreement are performed. Revenue from research contracts is recognized at the time research activities are performed under the agreement. Revenue from license fees, royalties and milestone payments is recognized upon the fulfillment of all obligations under the terms of the related agreement. These agreements may include upfront payments to be received by the Corporation. Upfront payments are recognized as revenue on a systematic basis over the period that the related services or obligations as defined in the agreement are performed. Interest is recognized on an accrual basis.

Revenues from agreements that include multiple elements are considered to be a revenue arrangement with multiple deliverables. Under this type of arrangement, the identification of separate units of accounting is required and revenue is recognized for each unit as described above.

69

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued):

(e) Revenue recognition (continued):

Deferred revenue represents amounts billed to and received from customers in advance of revenue recognition.

(f) Research and development expenditures:

Research expenditures, net of research tax credits, are expensed as incurred. Development expenditures, net of tax credits, are expensed as incurred, except if they meet the criteria for deferral in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, no development expenditures have been deferred.

(g) Foreign currency translation:

The Corporation s measurement currency is the United States dollar. Monetary assets and liabilities of the Canadian and foreign operations denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar are translated at the rates of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet dates. Other assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar are translated at the exchange rates prevailing when the assets were acquired or the liabilities incurred. Revenues and expenses denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar are translated at the average exchange rate prevailing during the year, except for depreciation and amortization which are translated at the same rates as those used in the translation of the corresponding assets. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the translation are included in the determination of net earnings.

Foreign exchange gains included in the consolidated statements of operations for fiscal 2007 amounted to \$7,381 (2006 \$8,092; 2005 \$32,243).

(h) Stock-based compensation:

The Corporation records stock-based compensation relating to employee and non-employee stock options granted using the fair value based method estimated using the Black-Scholes model. Under this method, compensation cost is measured at the date of grant and is expensed over the award s vesting period.

(i) Income taxes:

The Corporation accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, future income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences (differences between the accounting basis and the tax basis of the assets and liabilities), and are measured using the currently enacted, or substantively enacted, tax rates and laws expected to apply when these differences reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded against any future income tax asset, if it is more likely than not that the asset will not be realized.

70

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued):

(j) Earnings per share:

Basic earnings per share are determined using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are computed in a manner consistent with basic earnings per share, except that the weighted average shares outstanding are increased to include additional shares from the assumed exercise of options and warrants, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that outstanding options were exercised, and that the proceeds from such exercises were used to acquire shares of common stock at the average market price during the reporting period.

(k) Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates include estimating the useful lives of long-lived assets, including property and equipment and intangible assets, as well as estimating the recoverability of research tax credits receivable and future tax assets. The reported amounts and note disclosure are determined to reflect the most probable set of economic conditions and planned courses of action. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

3. Changes in accounting policies:

(a) Accounting changes in 2007:

Effective with the commencement of its 2007 fiscal year, the Corporation adopted the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Handbook Section 1530, Comprehensive Income, CICA Handbook Section 3251, Equity, CICA Handbook Section 3855, Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement, CICA Handbook Section 3861, Financial Instruments Disclosure and Presentation, and CICA Handbook Section 3865, Hedges. These new Handbook Sections provide comprehensive requirements for the recognition and measurement of financial instruments, as well as standards on when and how hedge accounting may be applied. Handbook Section 1530 also establishes standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income. Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity from transactions and other events from non-owner sources.

Other comprehensive income refers to items recognized in comprehensive income, but that are excluded from net income calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

71

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

3. Changes in accounting policies (continued):

(a) Accounting changes in 2007 (continued):

Under these new standards, all financial instruments are classified into one of the following five categories: held-for-trading, held-to-maturity investments, loans and receivables, available-for-sale financial assets or other financial liabilities. All financial instruments, including derivatives, are included in the consolidated balance sheet and are measured at fair market value, with the exception of loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments and other financial liabilities, which are measured at amortized cost.

The standards also require derivative instruments to be recorded as either assets or liabilities measured at their fair value unless exempted from derivative treatment as a normal purchase and sale. Certain derivatives embedded in other contracts must also be measured at fair value. All changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in earnings unless specific hedge criteria are met, which require that a company must formally document, designate and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. The Company chose to review all contracts in place on January 1, 2007 that were entered into after January 1, 2003 for any embedded derivative needed to be accounted for separately at fair value from the base contract.

As a result of the adoption of these standards, the Corporation has classified its accounts receivable and long-term receivables as loans and receivables , and its accounts payable, accrued liabilities and notes payable as other financial liabilities . These classifications had no impact on the Corporation s financial position or results of operations. In addition, the adoption of standards of Sections 1530, 3251, 3855 and 3865 had no impact on the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007.

(b) Future accounting changes:

Capital Disclosures

In December 2006, the CICA issued Section 1535, *Capital Disclosures*. This Section established standards for disclosing information about an entity s capital and how it is managed. For the Corporation, this Section is effective for fiscal periods beginning on or after January 1, 2008. This new standard relates to disclosure only and will not impact the Corporation s financial results.

72

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

3. Changes in accounting policies (continued):

(b) Future accounting changes (continued):

In December 2006, the CICA issued Section 3862, *Financial Instruments Disclosure*, and Section 3863, *Financial Instruments Presentation*, which replace existing Section 3861, *Financial Instruments Disclosure and Presentation*. Disclosure standards are enhanced and expanded to complement the changes in accounting policy adopted in accordance with Section 3855, *Financial Instruments Recognitions and Measurement*. These new standards, which are effective January 1, 2008 for the Corporation, relate to disclosure and presentation only and will not impact its financial results.

<u>Inventories</u>

In June 2007, the CICA issued Section 3031, *Inventories*, which replaces Section 3030 and harmonizes the Canadian standards related to inventories with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This Section provides changes to the measurement and more extensive guidance on the determination of cost, including allocation of overhead; narrows the permitted cost formulas; requires impairment testing; and expands the disclosure requirements to increase transparency. This Section applies to interim and annual financial statements beginning on or after January 1, 2008. The Corporation has not yet determined what the impact of adopting this standard will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Goodwill and intangible assets

In January 2008, the CICA issued Section 3064, *Goodwill and Intangible Assets*, which will replace Section 3062, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The standard provides guidance on the recognition of intangible assets in accordance with the definition of an asset and the criteria for asset recognition as well as clarifying the application of the concept of matching revenues and expenses, whether these assets are separately acquired or internally developed. This standard applies to interim and annual financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of adopting this standard.

73

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

3. Changes in accounting policies (continued):

(b) Future accounting changes (continued):

International Financial Reporting Standards

In 2005 the Accounting Standards Board of Canada (AcSB) announced that accounting standards in Canada are to converge with IFRS. In May 2007, the CICA published an updated version of its Implementation Plan for Incorporating International Financial Reporting Standards into Canadian GAAP. This plan includes an outline of the key decisions that the CICA will need to make as it implements the Strategic Plan for publicly accountable enterprises that will converge Canadian generally accepted accounting standards with IFRS. While IFRS uses a conceptual framework similar to Canadian GAAP, there are significant differences in accounting policy which must be addressed. The CICA has confirmed the changeover date from current Canadian GAAP to IFRS

to be January 1, 2011.

4. Property and equipment:

| | | | | 2007 |
|---|-----------------------------------|----|--|-----------------------|
| | Cost | d | ecumulated epreciation mortization | Net book value |
| Laboratory equipment Computer equipment Office equipment and fixtures | \$ 435,322 17,623 88,170 | \$ | 418,882 14,353 88,170 | \$ 16,440 3,270 |
| | \$ 541,115 | \$ | 521,405 | \$ 19,710 |
| | | | | 2006 |
| | Cost | d | ecumulated epreciation mortization | Net book value |
| Laboratory equipment Computer equipment Office equipment and fixtures | \$ 416,208 18,602 88,560 | \$ | 413,819 13,152 88,560 | \$ 2,389 5,450 |
| | \$ 523,370 | \$ | 515,531 | \$ 7,839 |

74

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

5. Patents and intellectual property:

| | | | 2007 |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net book value |
| Patent costs | \$ 4,645,279 | \$ 1,374,305 | \$ 3,270,974 |

| Intellectual property rights acquired | 2,222,661 | 1,780,953 | 441,708 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | \$ 6,867,940 | \$ 3,155,258 | \$ 3,712,682 |
| | | | |
| | | | 2006 |
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net book value |
| Patent costs Intellectual property rights acquired | \$ 3,954,170 2,222,661 | \$ 1,138,915 1,560,097 | \$ 2,815,255 662,564 |
| | \$ 6,176,831 | \$ 2,699,012 | \$ 3,477,819 |

The estimated aggregate amortization expense for each of the next five years is approximately \$403,000 per year.

75

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

6. Notes payable:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Notes payable, bearing interest at the prime rate plus 2%, paid in 2007 | \$ | \$ 500,000 |

7. Non-controlling interest:

Non-controlling interest relates to redeemable, convertible preferred shares of Serex in the amount of \$800,000. Up to 50% of the preferred shares are redeemable at any time at the option of the preferred shareholders for their issue price, subject to holders with at least 51% of the face value of the preferred shares asking for redemption, and sufficient funds being available in Serex. The preferred shares are also convertible into common shares of Serex at a price of \$3.946 per share.

The long-term receivables are due from the preferred shareholders and will be settled when the preferred shares are redeemed.

8. Share capital:

| | 2007 | 2006 | |
|---|------------------|------|------------|
| Authorized: An unlimited number of common shares | | | |
| Issued and outstanding: 29,365,753 common shares (2006 - 28,322,253 shares) | \$ 50,155,147 | \$ | 44,443,350 |

(a) Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement:

In November 2006, the Corporation entered into a Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement with an investment company (the Purchaser) that established the terms and conditions for the purchase of common shares by the Purchaser. In November 2007, this agreement was terminated and a new agreement was concluded with the Purchaser. In general, the Corporation can, at its discretion, require the Purchaser to purchase up to \$15 million of common shares over a 24-month period based on notices given by the Corporation.

76

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

8. Share capital (continued):

(a) Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement (continued):

The number of shares to be issued in connection with each notice shall be equal to the amount specified in the notice, divided by 97% of the average price of the Corporation s common shares for the five days preceding the giving of the notice. The maximum amount of each notice is \$500,000 and the minimum amount is \$100,000. The Corporation may terminate the agreement before the 24-month term, if it has issued at least \$8 million of common shares under the agreement.

In 2007, the Corporation issued 952,500 (2006 1,593,472) common shares to the Purchaser for aggregate proceeds of \$5,350,000 (2006 \$4,955,000) under the agreements. At December 31, 2007, the Corporation can require the Purchaser to purchase up to \$15,000,000 of common shares over the remaining 22 months of the agreement.

(b) Stock options:

The Corporation has established a stock option plan (the Plan) for its key employees, its officers and directors, and certain consultants. The Plan is administered by the Board of Directors of the Corporation. The Board may from time to time designate individuals to whom options to purchase common shares of the Corporation may be granted, the number of shares to be optioned to each, and the option price per share. The option price per share cannot involve a discount to the market price at the time the option is granted. On June 21, 2007, the shareholders approved a resolution to increase the maximum number of shares which may be optioned under the stock option plan from 2,500,000 to 5,500,000 and increase the maximum number of shares which may be optioned to any one individual from 5% to 15% of the total issued and outstanding common shares. In addition, the grant of 2,965,000 options to senior executives at an exercise price of \$3 per share in August 2006, contingent on the approval of the above resolution, became effective. Options under the Plan expire ten years after the grant and vest either immediately or over periods up to five years.

77

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

8. Share capital (continued):

(b) Stock options (continued):

The following table provides the activity of stock option awards during the period and for options outstanding and exercisable at the end of the period, the weighted average exercise price, the weighted average years to expiration and the aggregate intrinsic value. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the pre-tax intrinsic value based on the Corporation s closing stock price at December 31, 2007 of \$5.76, which would have been received by option holders had they exercised their options at that date.

| | | | Options | soutstanding | | Non-vest | ted options | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|----|--|---|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---|--|
| | Number | 8 | eighted average xercise price | Weighted average years to expiration | Aggregate intrinsic value | Number | gra | eighted average ant date r value | |
| Balance, December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | 1,811,500 | \$ | 3.41 | | | 20,000 | \$ | 1.62 | |
| Granted | 3,805,500 | | 2.94 | | | 3,565,500 | | 3.00 | |
| Expired/cancelled | (450,000) | | 4.35 | | | | | | |
| Vested | | | | | | (313,000) | | 3.02 | |
| Outstanding, | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2006 | 5,167,000 | | 3.17 | | | 3,272,500 | | 3.00 | |
| Exercised | (91,000) | | 3.96 | | | | | | |
| Granted | 50,000 | | 5.86 | | | | | | |
| Expired | (307,000) | | 4.49 | | | | | | |
| Vested | | | | | | (605,000) | | 3.01 | |
| Outstanding, | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 | 4,819,000 | \$ | 3.11 | 7.8 | \$ 12,852,015 | 2,667,500 | \$ | 3.00 | |
| Options exercisable | 2,151,500 | \$ | 3.24 | 6.8 | \$ 5,489,715 | N/A | \$ | N/A | |

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

8. Share capital (continued):

(b) Stock options (continued):

At December 31, 2007, options outstanding and exercisable were as follows:

| Options outstanding | Options exercisable | Options exercisable Exercise price per share | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| 50,000 | 50,000 | \$ 6.93 | January 22, 2009 |
| 2,000 | 2,000 | 6.41 | March 23, 2009 |
| 20,000 | 20,000 | 3.12 | May 13, 2009 |
| 75,000 | 75,000 | 3.12 | June 1, 2009 |
| 125,000 | 125,000 | 3.88 | May 1, 2010 |
| 28,000 | 28,000 | 1.93 | April 23, 2011 |
| 1,500 | 1,500 | 4.20 | November 8, 2011 |
| 75,000 | 75,000 | 4.33 | November 13, 2011 |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 3.75 | April 28, 2013 |
| 37,000 | 37,000 | 2.62 | September 9, 2013 |
| 500,000 | 500,000 | 3.00 | October 24, 2013 |
| 200,000 | 200,000 | 2.82 | June 9, 2016 |
| 40,000 | 40,000 | 2.74 | July 17, 2016 |
| 3,565,500 | 898,000 | 3.00 | August 24, 2016 |
| 10,000 | 10,000 | 5.51 | March 1, 2017 |
| 40,000 | 40,000 | 5.95 | August 23, 2017 |
| 4,819,000 | 2,151,500 | \$ 3.11 | |

(c) Stock-based compensation:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Stock-based compensation pertaining to general and administrative | \$ 228,920 | \$ 360,840 | \$ |
| Stock-based compensation pertaining to marketing | 29,980 | 107,700 | 16,220 |
| Stock-based compensation pertaining to research and development | 756,360 | 368,768 | |
| | \$ 1,015,260 | \$ 837,308 | \$ 16,220 |

At December 31, 2007, the unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested awards was \$3,684,240 and the remaining weighted average recognition period is approximately 54 months.

79

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

8. Share capital (continued):

(c) Stock-based compensation (continued):

The fair value of the options granted during the year was determined using the Black-Scholes pricing model using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|------|
| | | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.23% | 4.14% | |
| Expected volatility | 70.83% | 66.04% | |
| Expected life in years | 5 | 5 | |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | |
| | | | |

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$3.61 per share (2006 \$1.47 per share). No options were granted by the Corporation in 2005.

Dividend yield was excluded from the calculation, since it is the present policy of the Corporation to retain all earnings to finance operations.

9. Commitments and contingency:

(a) Operating leases:

Minimum lease payments under operating leases that were entered into by the Corporation for the next three years are as follows:

| 2008 2009 2010 | \$ 276,000 271,000 179,000 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | \$ 726,000 |

In 2005, the Corporation entered into new operating lease agreements for its Canadian and US premises, both of which will expire on August 31, 2010. In connection with these agreements, the Corporation received lease inducements totaling \$48,101. These

amounts are being taken into income on a straight-line basis as a reduction of rental expense over the term of the leases. At December 31, 2007, the remaining deferred lease inducement was \$25,661 (2006 \$35,284), of which \$9,623 has been classified in current liabilities and \$16,038 (2006 \$25,661) has been classified as long-term.

80

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

9. Commitments and contingency (continued):

(b) Contingency:

In 2005 and 2006, the Corporation received proposed notices of assessments relating to its 2001, 2002 and 2003 taxation years from the Canadian taxation authorities reducing the Corporation's claim for research and development tax credits in those taxation years. The reductions include refundable tax credits totaling \$66,864, which were previously received by the Corporation, and non-refundable tax credits totaling \$122,121, which are available to reduce future federal income taxes payable over the carry forward period to 2013. The non-refundable credits were not previously recognized for financial statement purposes. The Corporation has filed a notice of objection to the assessments with the taxation authorities since it believes it meets the criteria for claiming the tax credits and that the taxation authorities erred in their assessments. The Corporation has not recorded a provision for this matter.

10. Income taxes:

Details of the components of income taxes are as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Loss before income taxes: | | | |
| Canadian operations US operations | \$ (4,691,371) \$ (599,060) | (4,316,579) \$ (577,106) | (3,094,941) (489,587) |
| | (5,290,431) | (4,893,685) | (3,584,528) |
| Basic income tax rate | 32% | 32% | 31% |
| Income tax recovery at statutory rates | (1,692,938) | (1,565,979) | (1,111,204) |
| Adjustments in income taxes resulting from: Non-recognition of losses and other unclaimed deductions | 1,368,055 | 1,442,041 | 1,106,176 |
| Effect of change in rates: | | | |
| (Decrease) increase in future tax assets | (1,155,509) | (964,000) | 552,000 |
| Decrease (increase) in valuation allowance Permanent differences | 1,155,509 324,883 | 964,000 123,938 | (552,000) 5,028 |
| Income taxes | \$ \$ | \$ | |

81

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

10. Income taxes (continued):

The income tax effect of temporary differences that give rise to the net future tax asset is presented below:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Future tax assets: | | |
| Non-capital losses | \$ 11,374,000 | \$ 11,227,000 |
| Scientific research and experimental development expenditures | 1,318,000 | 1,168,000 |
| Foreign exchange | 899,000 618,000 | 596,000 529,000 |
| Property and equipment and patents Share issue costs | 160,000 | 146,000 |
| | 14,369,000 | 13,666,000 |
| Less valuation allowance | (14,235,000) | (13,461,000) |
| | 134,000 | 205,000 |
| Future tax liabilities: Intellectual property rights | (134,000) | (205,000) |
| Net future tax asset | \$ | \$ |

In assessing the realizability of future tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the future tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of future tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income and tax planning strategies. The generation of future taxable income is dependent on the successful commercialization of the Corporation s products and technologies.

The Corporation has non-capital losses carried forward and accumulated scientific research and development expenditures, which are available to reduce future years taxable income. These expire as follows:

| | Federal | Provincial |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | |
| Non-capital losses: | | |
| 2008 | \$ 3,595,000 | \$ 3,523,000 |
| 2009 | 3,958,000 | 3,735,000 |
| 2010 | 4,117,000 | 4,058,000 |

| 2014 | 4,407,000 | 4,388,000 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| 2015 | 3,518,000 | 3,533,000 |
| 2026 | 3,796,000 | 3,733,000 |
| 2027 | 3,437,000 | 3,364,000 |
| Scientific research and development expenditures Indefinitely | 3,432,000 | 6,554,000 |

82

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

10. Income taxes (continued):

The Corporation also has investment tax credits available in the amount of approximately \$475,000 to reduce future years Canadian federal taxes payable. These credits expire as follows:

| 2008 | \$ | 5,000 |
|------|----|---------|
| 2009 | | 11,000 |
| 2010 | | 23,000 |
| 2011 | | 88,000 |
| 2012 | | 76,000 |
| 2013 | | 69,000 |
| 2014 | | 23,000 |
| 2015 | | 32,000 |
| 2026 | | 66,000 |
| 2027 | | 82,000 |
| | | |
| | \$ | 475,000 |

In addition, the Corporation s US subsidiaries have losses carried forward of approximately \$10,776,000 which expire as follows:

| 2010 | \$ 51,000 |
|------|--------------|
| 2011 | 1,035,000 |
| 2012 | 1,932,000 |
| 2018 | 2,781,000 |
| 2019 | 1,078,000 |
| 2020 | 813,000 |
| 2021 | 664,000 |
| 2022 | 522,000 |
| 2023 | 565,000 |
| 2024 | 353,000 |
| 2025 | 264,000 |
| 2026 | 355,000 |
| 2027 | 363,000 |
| | |

\$ 10,776,000

83

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

11. Earnings per share:

Diluted loss per share was not presented as the effect of options would have been dilutive because the Corporation incurred losses in each of the last three fiscal years. All outstanding options could potentially be dilutive in the future.

12. Financial instruments:

(a) Foreign currency risk management:

Effective January 1, 2000, the Corporation adopted the US dollar as its measurement currency because a substantial portion of revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities of its Canadian and US operations are denominated in US dollars. The Canadian operation also has transactions denominated in Canadian dollars, principally relating to salaries and rent. Fluctuations in the currency used for the payment of the Corporation s expenses denominated in currencies other than the US dollar could cause unanticipated fluctuations in the Corporation s operating results. The Corporation does not engage in the use of derivative financial instruments to manage its currency exposures.

(b) Fair value disclosure:

Fair value estimates are made as of a specific point in time using available information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and often cannot be determined with precision.

The Corporation has determined that the carrying value of its short-term financial assets and liabilities approximates their fair value due to the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The fair value of the long-term receivables cannot be determined because settlement is tied to the redemption of preferred shares held by non-controlling shareholders in a subsidiary. See note 7.

(c) Credit risk:

Credit risk results from the possibility that a loss may occur from the failure of another party to perform according to the terms of the contract. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Corporation to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and accounts receivable. Cash is maintained with a high-credit quality financial institution. For accounts receivable, the Corporation performs periodic credit evaluations and typically does not require collateral. Allowances are maintained for potential credit losses consistent with the credit risk, historical trends, general economic conditions and other information.

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

12. Financial instruments (continued):

(d) Interest rate risk:

The Corporation s exposure to interest rate risk is as follows:

Cash Fixed interest rate Notes payable Floating interest rate

13. Canadian/US reporting differences:

(a) Consolidated statements of earnings:

The reconciliation of earnings reported in accordance with Canadian GAAP and with US GAAP is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Net loss, Canadian GAAP | \$ (5,290,431) | \$ (4,893,685) | \$ (3,584,528) |
| Adjustments: Stock-based compensation - options granted to employees (b) (ii) Stock-based compensation - options granted to non-employees (b) (ii) | | | 16,220 (41,140) |
| Net loss, US GAAP | \$ (5,290,431) | \$ (4,893,685) | \$ (3,609,448) |
| Loss per share, US GAAP | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.14) |

The weighted average number of common shares outstanding for purposes of determining basic and diluted loss per share are the same amounts as those for Canadian GAAP purposes.

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

(b) Consolidated shareholders equity:

The reconciliation of shareholders equity reported in accordance with Canadian GAAP and with US GAAP is as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Shareholders equity, Canadian GAAP | \$ 2,165,601 | \$ 1,026,533 | \$ 412,137 |
| Adjustments: | | | |
| Stock-based compensation - options | | | |
| granted to non-employees (ii): | (1.405.142) | (1.405.142) | (1.425.142) |
| Cumulative compensation expense Additional paid-in capital | (1,425,143) 1,477,706 | (1,425,143) 1,477,706 | (1,425,143) 1,477,706 |
| Change in reporting currency (i) | (62,672) | (62,672) | (62,672) |
| | (10,109) | (10,109) | (10,109) |
| Shareholders equity, US GAAP | \$ 2,155,492 | \$ 1,016,424 | \$ 402,028 |

(i) Change in reporting currency:

The Corporation adopted the US dollar as its reporting currency effective January 1, 2000. For Canadian GAAP purposes, the financial information for 1999 was translated into US dollars at the December 31, 1999 exchange rate. For United States GAAP reporting purposes, assets and liabilities for all years presented have been translated into US dollars at the ending exchange rate for the respective year, and the statement of earnings, at the average exchange rate for the respective year.

(ii) Stock-based compensation:

For US GAAP purposes, the Corporation adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No-123R, *Share-Based Payments*, on January 1, 2006, which requires the expensing of all options issued, modified or settled based on the grant date fair value over the period during which the employee is required to provide services. The Corporation adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective approach, which requires application of the standard to all awards granted, modified or cancelled after January 1, 2006 and to all awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered at such date.

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (b) Consolidated shareholders equity (continued):
 - (ii) Stock-based compensation (continued):

Previously, the Corporation elected to follow the intrinsic value method of accounting under ABP 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, in accounting for stock options granted to employees and directors. Under the intrinsic value method, compensation cost is recognized for the difference between the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date and the amount the individual must pay to acquire the stock. In addition, in accordance with FAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, compensation related to the stock options granted to non-employees has been recorded in the accounts based on the fair value of the stock options at the measurement date.

For Canadian GAAP purposes, the Corporation has been applying the fair value based method since January 1, 2004 to account for employee stock options. Prior to January 1, 2004, the Corporation applied the fair value based method only to stock-based payments to non-employees and applied the settlement method of accounting for employee stock options. Under the settlement method, any consideration paid by employees on the exercise of stock options was credited to share capital and no compensation cost was recognized.

87

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (c) Additional US GAAP disclosures:
 - (i) Development stage company:

The Corporation is in the process of developing unique patented products, which are subject to approval by the regulatory authorities. The Corporation has had limited revenues to date on the sale of its products under development. Accordingly, the Corporation is a development stage company as defined in *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards* No. 7, and the following additional disclosures under US GAAP are provided:

| Cumulative— | Cumulative- |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| since the date of | since the date of |

| | inception of the Corporation to December 31, 2007 | | | inception of the Corporation o December 31, 2006 |
|---|--|--------------|----|---|
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Sales | \$ | 2,820,207 | \$ | 2,407,284 |
| Interest revenue | | 536,829 | | 515,819 |
| License revenue | | 97,403 | | 97,403 |
| Research contract | | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Gross research and development expenditures | | 24,346,288 | | 21,548,385 |
| Other expenses | | 27,642,895 | | 24,716,434 |
| Cash outflows | | (42,135,416) | | (38,448,247) |
| Investing activities | | (4,546,689) | | (3,357,603) |
| Financing activities | | 46,955,214 | | 42,040,975 |

88

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (c) Additional US GAAP disclosures (continued):
 - (i) Development stage company (continued):

The statement of shareholders equity since date of inception under US GAAP is presented below:

| | Number of shares | Consi- deration | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated deficit | Total |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Year ended July 31, 1990: Common shares issued Net loss | 2,500,000 | \$ 172,414 | \$ | \$ (109,241) | \$ 172,414 (109,241) |
| Balance, July 31, 1990 | 2,500,000 | 172.414 | | (109.241) | 63,173 |

| Year ended July 31, 1991: Net loss Cumulative translation adjustment | | 1,499 | | (21,588) (950) | (21,588) 549 |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance, July 31, 1991 | 2,500,000 | 173,913 | | (131,779) | 42,134 |
| Year ended July 31, 1992: Common shares issued Net loss Cumulative translation adjustment | 9,375 | 31,468 (6,086) | | (45,555) 5,598 | 31,468 (45,555) (488) |
| Balance, July 31, 1992 | 2,509,375 | 199,295 | | (171,736) | 27,559 |
| Year ended July 31, 1993: Common shares issued Common shares cancelled Net loss Cumulative translation adjustment- | 201,250 (500,000) (13,994) | 159,944 | 12,830 | (38,894) (1,164) | 159,944 (38,894) |
| Balance, July 31, 1993 | 2,210,625 | 345,245 | | (197,800) | 147,445 |
| Year ended July 31, 1994: Common shares issued Net loss Cumulative translation adjustment | 2,500 | 7,233 (25,173) | | (53,225) 15,808 | 7,233 (53,225) (9,365) |
| Balance, July 31, 1994 | 2,213,125 | 327,305 | | (235,217) | 92,088 |
| Year ended July 31, 1995: Common shares issued Net loss Cumulative translation adjustment | 78,078 | 303,380 5,196 | | (285,910) (7,221) | 303,380 (285,910) (2,025) |
| Balance, July 31, 1995 carried forward | 2,291,203 | 635,881 | | (528,348) | 107,533 |

89

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (c) Additional US GAAP disclosures (continued):
 - (i) Development stage company (continued):

The statement of shareholders equity since date of inception under US GAAP is presented below (continued):

| - | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|----|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of shares | Consi- deration | Ad | ditional paid-in capital | Accumulated deficit | Total |
| Balance, July 31, 1995 brought forward | 2,291,203 | \$ 635,881 | \$ | | \$ (528,348) | \$ 107,533 |
| Period ended December 31, 1995: Adjustment necessary to increase the number of | | | | | | |
| common shares | 12,708,797 | | | | | |
| Adjusted number of common shares | 15,000,000 | 635,881 | | | (528,348) | 107,533 |
| issued Net loss Share issue costs | 2,047,082 | 2,997,284 (153,810) | | | (1,194,226) | 2,997,284 (1,194,226) (153,810) |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | | 2,858 | | | (6,328) | (3,470) |
| Balance, December 31, 1995 | 17,047,082 | 3,482,213 | | | (1,728,902) | 1,753,311 |
| Year ended December 31, 1996: Common shares | | | | | | |
| Net loss Share issue costs | 882,300 | 3,852,364 (170,699) | | | (3,175,587) | 3,852,364 (3,175,587) (170,699) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 4 | 434,145 | | 434,145 |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | | (16,769) | | (2,217) | 24,544 | 5,558 |
| Balance, December 31, 1996 | 17,929,382 | 7,147,109 | 4 | 431,928 | (4,879,945) | 2,699,092 |
| Year ended December 31, 1997: Common shares issued Net loss | 703,491 | 3,180,666 | | | (3,755,409) | 3,180,666 (3,755,409) |

| Share issue costs | | (161,482) | | | (161,482) |
|--|------------|------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| Capital stock subscription Stock-based | | 352,324 | | | 352,324 |
| compensation Cumulative | | | 108,350 | | 108,350 |
| translation adjustment | | (299,275) | (21,578) | 325,364 | 4,511 |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 1997 | 18,632,873 | 10,219,342 | 518,700 | (8,309,990) | 2,428,052 |
| Year ended December 31, 1998: Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 1,095,031 | 5,644,638 | | | 5,644,638 |
| Net loss | | | | (4,979,562) | , , |
| Share issue costs Stock-based | | (54,131) | | | (54,131) |
| compensation Cumulative | | | 274,088 | | 274,088 |
| translation adjustment | | (685,156) | (43,750) | 720,173 | (8,733) |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 1998 | | | | | |
| carried forward | 19,727,904 | 15,124,693 | 749,038 | (12,569,379) | 3,304,352 |

90

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (c) Additional US GAAP disclosures (continued):
 - (i) Development stage company (continued):

The statement of shareholders equity since date of inception under US GAAP is presented below (continued):

| | Number of shares | Consi- deration | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated deficit | Total |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Balance, December 31, 1998 brought forward | 19,727,904 | \$ 15,124,693 | \$ 749,038 | \$ (12,569,379) | \$ 3,304,352 |
| Year ended December | | | | | |

Year ended December 31, 1999:

| Common shares | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| issued | 275,900 | 969,253 | | | 969,253 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,409,166) | (3,409,166) |
| Share issue costs | | (35,041) | | | (35,041) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 198,815 | | 198,815 |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | | 943,133 | 52,563 | (884,178) | 111,518 |
| translation adjustment | | 743,133 | 32,303 | (004,170) | 111,310 |
| Balance, December 31, 1999 | 20,003,804 | 17,002,038 | 1,000,416 | (16,862,723) | 1,139,731 |
| Year ended December 31, 2000: | | | | | |
| Common shares issued | 1,373,817 | 5,909,340 | | | 5,909,340 |
| Warrants and options | | 421 629 | | | 421,638 |
| Net loss | | 421,638 | | (4,272,308) | (4,272,308) |
| Share issue costs Stock-based | | (353,204) | | | (353,204) |
| compensation | | | 257,690 | | 257,690 |
| Balance, December 31, | | | | | |
| 2000 | 21,377,621 | 22,979,812 | 1,258,106 | (21,135,031) | 3,102,887 |
| Year ended December 31, 2001: Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 919,904 | 2,554,254 | | | 2,554,254 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,095,133) | (3,095,133) |
| Share issue costs Stock-based | | (120,944) | | | (120,944) |
| compensation | | | 55,040 | | 55,040 |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 22,297,525 | 25,413,122 | 1,313,146 | (24,230,164) | 2,496,104 |
| Year ended December 31, 2002: Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 723,429 | 3,031,043 | | | 3,031,043 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,453,749) | (3,453,749) |
| Share issue costs | | (166,842) | | | (166,842) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 41,140 | | 41,140 |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | 23,020,954 | 28,277,323 | 1,354,286 | (27,683,913) | 1,947,696 |
| Year ended December 31, 2003: | | | | | |
| Common shares issued | 1,380,205 | 4,096,000 | | | 4,096,000 |
| Net loss | | | | (4,395,428) | (4,395,428) |
| Share issue costs | | (220,819) | | | (220,819) |

| Stock-based compensation | | | 41,140 | | 41,140 |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 24,401,159 | 32,152,504 | 1,395,426 | (32,079,341) | 1,468,589 |
| Year ended December 31, 2004: Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 1,102,903 | 4,049,750 | (375,717) | | 3,674,033 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,770,545) | (3,770,545) |
| Share issue costs Stock-based | | (210,939) | | | (210,939) |
| compensation | | | 41,140 | | 41,140 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 carried forward | 25,504,062 | 35,991,315 | 1,060,849 | (35,849,886) | 1,202,278 |

91

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (c) Additional US GAAP disclosures (continued):
 - (i) Development stage company (continued):

The statement of shareholders equity since date of inception under US GAAP is presented below (continued):

| | Number of shares | Consi- deration | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated deficit | Total |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2004 brought forward | 25,504,062 | \$ 35,991,315 | \$ 1,060,849 | \$ (35,849,886) | \$ 1,202,278 |
| Year ended December 31, 2005: Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 1,224,719 | 2,935,000 | | | 2,935,000 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,609,448) | (3,609,448) |
| Share issue costs Stock-based | | (166,942) | | | (166,942) |
| compensation | | | 41,140 | | 41,140 |

| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 26,728,781 | 38,759,373 | 1,101,989 | (39,459,334) | 402,028 |
|---|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Year ended December 31, 2006: Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 1,593,472 | 4,955,000 | | | 4,955,000 |
| Net loss | | | | (4,893,685) | , , |
| Share issue costs | | (284,227) | | (1,023,003) | (284,227) |
| Stock-based | | (== -,==- /) | | | (== :,==:) |
| compensation | | | 837,308 | | 837,308 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, | | | | | |
| 2006 | 28,322,253 | 43,430,146 | 1,939,297 | (44,353,019) | 1,016,424 |
| Year ended December | | | | | |
| 31, 2007: | | | | | |
| Common shares | | | | | |
| issued | 1,043,500 | 5,710,685 | | | 5,710,685 |
| Net loss | | | | (5,290,431) | (5,290,431) |
| Share issue costs | | (296,446) | | | (296,446) |
| Stock-based | | | | | |
| compensation | | | 1,015,260 | | 1,015,260 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2007 | 29,365,753 | \$ 48,844,385 | \$ 2,954,557 | \$ (49,643,450) | \$ 2,155,492 |

(ii) FIN 48 Accounting for tax uncertainties:

For US GAAP purposes, the Corporation adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes recognized in a company s financial statements in accordance with FASB statement No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold for tax uncertainties. The adoption of FIN 48 resulted in no adjustment to the liability for unrecognized tax benefits. As of the date of adoption and December 31, 2007, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was nil.

92

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

(c) Additional US GAAP disclosures (continued):

(ii) FIN 48 Accounting for tax uncertainties (continued):

The Corporation files income tax returns with the federal and provincial tax authorities within Canada. The Corporation s subsidiaries file income tax returns in the United States. In general, the Corporation is subject to examination by taxing authorities for years after 2001.

(iii) Recent accounting pronouncements:

SFAS No. 157 Fair value measurements:

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. Under the standard, fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. The Corporation does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157 to materially impact its financial statements.

SFAS No. 159 Fair value option for financial assets and financial liabilities:

This statement permits entities the option to measure financial instruments at fair value (fair value option) thereby achieving an offsetting effect for accounting purposes for certain changes in fair value of certain related assets and liabilities without having to apply hedge accounting. The fair value option is applied on an instrument-by-instrument basis to the entire instrument. Items eligible for the fair value option are measured at fair value at specified election dates. The Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years after November 15, 2007. The Corporation does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 159 to materially impact its financial statements.

93

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

13. Canadian/US reporting differences (continued):

- (c) Additional US GAAP disclosures (continued):
 - (iii) Recent accounting pronouncements (continued):

SFAS No. 160 Non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements:

In December 2007, the FASB issued a revised standard on accounting for non-controlling interests and transactions with non-controlling interest holders in consolidated financial statements. This statement specifies that non-controlling interests are to be treated as a separate component of equity, not as a liability or other item outside of equity. Because non-controlling interests are an element of equity, increases and decreases in the parent s ownership interest that leave control intact are accounted for as capital transactions rather than as a step acquisition or dilution gains or losses. The carrying amount of the non-controlling interests is adjusted to reflect the change in ownership interests, and any difference between the amount by which the non-controlling interests are adjusted and the fair value of the consideration paid or

received is recognized directly in equity attributable to the controlling interest.

This standard requires net income and comprehensive income to be displayed for both the controlling and the non-controlling interests. Additional required disclosures and reconciliations include a separate schedule that shows the effects of any transactions with the non-controlling interests on the equity attributable to the controlling interest.

The statement is effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2008. SFAS 160 will be applied prospectively to all non-controlling interests, including any that arose before the effective date. The Corporation has not assessed the impact of adopting this statement on its financial statements.

14. Segment disclosures:

The Corporation operates in one reporting segment the research and development of products for the aging population. Geographic segment information is as follows:

| | Canada | United States | Europe and other |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| 2007 | \$ 34,410 | \$ 349,337 | \$ 50,186 |
| 2006 | 26,370 | 313,148 | 103,343 |
| 2005 | 79,667 | 346,615 | |
| Property and equipment, patents and | | | |
| intellectual property: | 2 101 001 | | |
| 2007 | 3,484,094 | 248,298 | |
| 2006 | 3,229,093 | 256,565 | |

94

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in US dollars)

14. Segment disclosures (continued):

Revenues are attributed to geographic locations based on location of customers.

Major customers:

Customers that accounted for greater than 10% of revenues were as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|------------|------|------|------|
| Customer A | 40% | 35% | 36% |

15. Comparative figures:

Certain of the comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to the presentation adopted in the current year.

16. Subsequent events:

- (a) On January 30, 2008, the Corporation issued 50,917 common shares for aggregate proceeds of \$250,000 under the Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement referred to in note 8 (a).
- (b) On February 12, 2008, the Corporation issued 84,980 common shares for aggregate proceeds of \$430,000 under the Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement referred to in note 8 (a).
- (c) On March 4, 2008, the Corporation issued 56,391 common shares for aggregate proceeds of \$300,000 under the Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement referred to in note 8 (a).
- (d) On March 28, 2008, the Corporation issued 58,366 common shares for aggregate proceeds of \$300,000 under the Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement referred to in note 8 (a).

95

ITEM 9. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS

Nymox s common shares trade on the NASDAQ Stock Market. Nymox s common shares traded on the NASDAQ National Market from December 1, 1997 until September 16, 1999 when they began trading on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market, now called the NASDAQ Capital Market. Nymox s common shares also traded on the Montreal Exchange from December 18, 1995 until November 19, 1999.

The following tables set out the high and low reported trading prices of the common shares on the NASDAQ Stock Market during the periods indicated.

Annual High and Low Market Prices Past Five Years

| <u>YEAR</u> | <u>ANNUAL HIGH</u> | ANNUAL LOW |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| 2003 | \$4.400 | \$2.360 |
| 2004 | \$5.250 | \$1.890 |
| 2005 | \$3.250 | \$1.550 |
| 2006 | \$5.950 | \$1.810 |
| 2007 | \$7.500 | \$3.370 |

Quarterly High and Low Market Prices Past Two Years

| YEAR | QUARTERLY PERIOD | HIGH SALES PRICE | LOW SALES PRICE |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 2006 | 1 st Quarter | \$5.950 | \$1.810 |
| | 2 nd Quarter | \$4.470 | \$2.240 |
| | 3 rd Quarter | \$4.580 | \$2.470 |
| | 4 th Quarter | \$4.770 | \$3.210 |
| 2007 | 1st Quarter | \$6.990 | \$3.370 |
| | 2 nd Quarter | \$7.500 | \$5.070 |
| | 3 rd Quarter | \$6.010 | \$4.250 |
| | 4th Quarter | \$7.000 | \$5.030 |

Monthly High and Low Market Prices Most Recent Six Months

| DATE | MONTHLY HIGH | MONTHLY LOW |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| November, 2007 | \$6.80 | \$5.03 |
| December, 2007 | \$6.70 | \$5.51 |
| January, 2008 | \$5.90 | \$4.78 |
| February, 2008 | \$5.89 | \$4.83 |
| March, 2008 | \$5.56 | \$4.95 |
| April, 2008 (up to and including | \$5.08 | \$4.43 |
| April 23, 2008) | | |

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Memorandum and Articles of Association

Bylaws And Articles Of Incorporation

The company s Articles of Incorporation as amended, which we refer to as our articles of incorporation, are on file with the Corporations Directorate of Industry Canada under Corporation Number 315235-9. Our articles of incorporation do not include a stated purpose and do not place any restrictions on the business that the company may carry on.

96

Directors

A director of our company need not be a shareholder. In accordance with our bylaws and the Canada Business Corporations Act, at least 25% of our directors must be residents of Canada. In order to serve as a director, a person must be a natural person at least 18 years of age, of sound mind and not bankrupt. Neither our articles of incorporation or by-laws, nor the Canada Business Corporations Act, impose any mandatory retirement requirements for directors.

Our bylaws and the Canada Business Corporations Act authorize the directors from time to time to determine the remuneration for their services. There is no requirement for an independent quorum.

A director who is a party to, or who is a director or officer of or has a material interest in any person who is a party to, a material contract or transaction or proposed material contract or transaction with our company must disclose to the company the nature and extent of his or her interest at the time and in the manner provided by the Canada Business Corporations Act. The Canada Business Corporations Act prohibits such a director from voting on any resolution to approve the contract or transaction unless the contract or transaction:

is an arrangement by way of security for money lent to or obligations undertaken by the director for the benefit of the Company or an affiliate:

relates primarily to his or her remuneration as a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company or an affiliate;

is for indemnity or insurance for director s liability as permitted by the Act; or

is with an affiliate.

Our board of directors may, on behalf of the Company and without authorization of our shareholders:

borrow money upon the credit of the Company;

issue, reissue, sell or pledge debt obligations of the Company;

give a guarantee on behalf of the Company to secure performance of an obligation of any person; and

mortgage, hypothecate, pledge or otherwise create a security interest in all or any property of the Company, owned or subsequently acquired, to secure any obligation of the Company.

The Canada Business Corporations Act prohibits the giving of a guarantee to any shareholder, director, officer or employee of the Company or of an affiliated corporation or to an associate of any such person for any purpose or to any person for the purpose of or in connection with a purchase of a share issued or to be issued by the Company or its affiliates, where there are reasonable grounds for believing that the Company is or, after giving the guarantee, would be unable to pay its liabilities as they become due, or the realizable value of the Company s assets in the form of assets pledged or encumbered to secure a guarantee, after giving the guarantee, would be less than the aggregate of the Company s liabilities and stated capital of all classes.

These borrowing powers may be varied by the Company s bylaws or its articles of incorporation. However, our bylaws and articles of incorporation do not contain any restrictions on or variations of these borrowing powers.

Common Shares

Our articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of an unlimited number of common shares. They do not authorize the issuance of any other class of shares.

97

The holders of the common shares of our Company are entitled to receive notice of and to attend all meetings of the shareholders of our Company and have one vote for each common share held at all meetings of the shareholders of our Company. Our directors are elected at each annual meeting of shareholders and do not stand for reelection at staggered intervals.

The holders of common shares are entitled to receive dividends and the Company will pay dividends, as and when declared by our board of directors, out of moneys properly applicable to the payment of dividends, in such amount and in such form as our board of directors may from time to time determine, and all dividends which our board of directors may declare on the common shares shall be declared and paid in equal amounts per share on all common shares at the time outstanding.

In the event of the dissolution, liquidation or winding-up of the Company, whether voluntary or involuntary, or any other distribution of assets of the Company among its shareholders for the purpose of winding up its affairs, the holders of the common shares will be entitled to receive the remaining property and assets of the Company.

There are no redemption provisions and no liability for further capital calls associated with the Company s common stock.

Action Necessary To Change Rights Of Shareholders

In order to change the rights of our shareholders, we would need to amend our articles of incorporation to effect the change. Such an amendment would require the approval of holders of two-thirds of the shares cast at a duly called special meeting. For certain amendments such as those creating of a class of preferred shares, a shareholder is entitled to dissent in respect of such a resolution amending our articles and, if the resolution is adopted and the Company implements such changes, demand payment of the fair value of its shares.

Meetings of Shareholders

An annual meeting of shareholders is held each year for the purpose of considering the financial statements and reports, electing directors, appointing auditors and for the transaction of other business as may be brought before the meeting. The board of directors has the power to call a special meeting of shareholders at any time.

Notice of the time and place of each meeting of shareholders must be given not less than 21 days, nor more than 60 days, before the date of each meeting to each director, to the auditor and to each shareholder who at the close of business on the record date for notice is entered in the securities register as the holder of one or more shares carrying the right to vote at the meeting. Notice of meeting of shareholders called for any other purpose other than consideration of the minutes of an earlier meeting, financial statements and auditor s report, election of directors and reappointment of the incumbent auditor, must state the nature of the business in sufficient detail to permit the shareholder to form a reasoned judgment on and must state the text of any special resolution or by-law to be submitted to the meeting.

The only persons entitled to be present at a meeting of shareholders are those entitled to vote, the directors of the Company and the auditor of the Company. Any other person may be admitted only on the invitation of the chairman of the meeting or with the consent of the meeting. In

circumstances where a court orders a meeting of shareholders, the court may direct how the meeting may be held, including who may attend the meeting.

Limitations On Right To Own Securities

98

Neither Canadian law nor our articles or by-laws limit the right of a nonresident to hold or vote our shares, other than as provided in the Investment Canada Act (the Investment Act), as amended by the World Trade Organization Agreement Implementation Act. The Investment Act generally prohibits implementation of a direct reviewable investment by an individual, government or agency thereof, corporation, partnership, trust or joint venture that is not a Canadian, as defined in the Investment Act (a non-Canadian), unless, after review, the minister responsible for the Investment Act is satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. An investment in our shares by a non-Canadian (other than a WTO Investor, as defined below) would be reviewable under the Investment Act if it were an investment to acquire direct control of our company, and the value of the assets of our company were CDN\$5.0 million or more (provided that immediately prior to the implementation of the investment the Company was not controlled by WTO Investors). An investment in our shares by a WTO Investor (or by a non-Canadian other than a WTO Investor if, immediately prior to the implementation of the investment the Company was controlled by WTO Investors) would be reviewable under the Investment Act if it were an investment to acquire direct control of the Company and the value of the assets of the Company equaled or exceeded a specified amount (the Review Threshold). The Review Threshold in 2005 was CDN\$250 million, in 2006 CDN\$265 million, in 2007 CDN\$281 million, and in 2008 CDN\$295 million. A non-Canadian, whether a WTO Investor or otherwise, would be deemed to acquire control of the Company for purposes of the Investment Act if he or she acquired a majority of our shares. The acquisition of less than a majority, but at least one-third of our shares, would be presumed to be an acquisition of control of the Company, unless it could be established that we were not controlled in fact by the acquirer through the ownership of our shares. In general, an individual is a WTO Investor if he or she is a national of a country (other than Canada) that is a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO Member) or has a right of permanent residence in a WTO Member. A corporation or other entity will be a WTO Investor if it is a WTO investor-controlled entity, pursuant to detailed rules set out in the Investment Act. The United States is a WTO Member. Certain transactions involving our shares would be exempt from the Investment Act, including:

- (a) an acquisition of our shares if the acquisition were made in the ordinary course of that person s business as a trader or dealer in securities;
- (b) an acquisition of control of the Company in connection with the foreclosure of a security interest granted for a loan or other assistance and not for any purpose related to the provisions the Investment Act; and
- (c) an acquisition of control of the Company by reason of an amalgamation, consolidation or corporate reorganization, following which the direct or indirect control in fact of the Company, through ownership of voting interests, remains unchanged.

Change of Control

There are no provisions of our bylaws or articles of incorporation that would have an effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company and that would operate only with respect to a merger, acquisition or corporate restructuring involving the Company. Our bylaws do not contain a provision governing the ownership threshold above which shareholder ownership must be disclosed.

Material Contracts

The following is a summary of the material contracts to which the Company is a party, for the two years ended April 28, 2008.

- 1. The Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited November 16, 2007. This agreement established a financing commitment for \$15 million over a twenty-four month period starting November 16, 2006. The terms and conditions of this commitment are further described in Liquidity and Capital Resources section in Item 5 of this report.
- 2. The Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited November 13, 2006. This agreement established a financing commitment for \$13 million over a twenty-four month period starting November 13, 2006. This agreement was replaced by the new agreement above on November 16, 2007.

99

Material Contracts 85

3. The Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited October 21, 2005. This agreement established a financing commitment for \$13 million over a twenty-four month period starting October 21, 2005. This agreement was replaced by the new agreement above on November 13, 2006.

Exchange Controls

Canada has no system of exchange controls. There are no exchange restrictions on borrowing from foreign countries or on the remittance of dividends, interest, royalties and similar payments, management fees, loan repayments, settlement of trade debts or the repatriation of capital.

There are no limitations on the rights of non-Canadians to exercise voting rights on their shares of Nymox.

Taxation

U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations for U.S. Persons

This section contains a summary of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations for U.S. Persons (as defined below) who hold common shares of Nymox. This summary is based upon the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), Treasury regulations, rulings of the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS), and judicial decisions in existence on the date hereof, all of which are subject to change. Any such change could apply retroactively and could have adverse consequences to Nymox and its shareholders. This summary is necessarily general and does not attempt to summarize all aspects of the federal tax laws (and does not attempt to summarize any state or local laws) that may affect an investor s acquisition of an interest in Nymox. No ruling from the IRS will be requested and no assurance can be given that the IRS will agree with the tax consequences described in this summary.

For purposes of this discussion, the term U.S. Person means (a) an individual who is a citizen of the United States or who is resident in the United States for United States federal income tax purposes, (b) a corporation or a partnership that is organized under the laws of the United States or any state thereof, (c) an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source, or (d) a trust (i) that is subject to the supervision of a court within the United States and is subject to the control of one or more United States persons as described in the Code, or (ii) that has a valid election in effect under applicable Treasury regulations to be treated as a United States person. The term U.S. Holder means a shareholder of Nymox who is a U.S. Person. The term foreign corporation means an entity that is classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes and that is not organized under the laws of the United States or any state thereof.

This summary does not discuss all United States federal income tax considerations that may be relevant to U.S. Holders in light of their particular circumstances or to certain holders that may be subject to special treatment under United States federal income tax law (for example, insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, financial institutions, dealers in securities, persons who hold shares as part of a straddle, hedging, constructive sale, or conversion transaction, U.S. Holders whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, and U.S. Holders who acquired shares through exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation for services). Furthermore, this summary does not address any aspects of state or local taxation.

The tax consequences of an investment in Nymox are complex and based on tax provisions that are subject to change. Prospective investors are urged to consult with, and must depend upon, their own tax advisors with specific reference to their own tax situations as to the income and other tax consequences of an investment in Nymox.

100

Dividends and gains on sale. Except as described below with respect to the passive foreign investment company rules, dividends paid by Nymox to a U.S. Holder, without reduction for Canadian withholding taxes, will be taxed for U.S. federal income tax purposes at recently enacted lower rates applicable to certain qualified dividends. Such dividends will not be eligible for the dividend-received deduction generally allowed under the Code to dividend recipients that are U.S. corporations. The amount of any distribution in excess of Nymox s current and accumulated earnings and profits will first be applied to reduce the U.S. Holder s tax basis in its Nymox common shares, and any amount in excess of tax basis will be treated as gain from the sale or exchange of the common shares. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002 and before January 1, 2009, a dividend paid by Nymox generally will be taxed at the preferential tax rates applicable to long-term capital gains (where the maximum federal rate is 15%) if (a) Nymox is a qualified foreign corporation as defined in Section 1(h)(11) of the Code, (a QFC), (b) the U.S. Holder receiving such dividend is an individual, estate, or trust, and (c) such dividend is paid on common shares that have been held by such U.S. Holder for at least 61 days during the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date (i.e., the first date that a purchaser of such common shares will not be entitled to receive such dividend). Nymox currently meets the definition of a QFC because its common shares are readily tradable on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market, an established securities market in the United States, provided that Nymox is not a passive foreign investment company (as described below) for the taxable year during which Nymox pays a dividend or for the preceding taxable year. If Nymox is not a QFC, a dividend paid by Nymox to a U.S. Holder that is an individual, estate, or trust generally will be taxed at ordinary income

tax rates (and not at the preferential tax rates applicable to long-term capital gains). The dividend rules are complex, and each U.S. Holder should consult its own financial advisor, legal counsel, or accountant regarding the dividend rules.

Except as described below with respect to the passive foreign investment company rules, any gain recognized by a U.S. Holder on a sale or exchange of Nymox common shares (or on a distribution treated as a sale or exchange) generally will be treated as capital gain. Capital gains of corporations are taxable at the same rate as ordinary income. With respect to non-corporate taxpayers, the excess of net long-term capital gain over net short term capital loss may be taxed at a substantially lower rate than is ordinary income. A capital gain or loss is long-term if the asset has been held for more than one year and short-term if held for one year or less. In addition, the distinction between capital gain or loss and ordinary income or loss is relevant for purposes of limitations on the deductibility of capital losses.

A U.S. Holder generally may claim a credit against its U.S. federal income tax liability for Canadian income tax withheld from dividends received on Nymox common shares. The amount of this credit is subject to several limitations under the Code.

Controlled foreign corporation rules. A foreign corporation generally is classified as a controlled foreign corporation (a CFC) if more than 50% of the corporation s shares (by vote or value) are owned, directly or indirectly, by 10% U.S. Shareholders. For this purpose, a 10% U.S. Shareholder is a U.S. Person that owns, directly or indirectly, shares possessing 10% or more of the voting power in the foreign corporation. Nymox believes that it is not a CFC at the present time. If Nymox were a CFC, each 10% U.S. Shareholder that owns, directly or indirectly through foreign entities, an interest in Nymox generally would be required to include in its gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes a pro-rata share of any Subpart F income earned by Nymox, whether or not such income is distributed by Nymox. Subpart F income generally includes interest, dividends, royalties, and gain on the sale of stock or securities.

Foreign personal holding company rules. In general, a foreign corporation is a foreign personal holding company (a FPHC) during a taxable year if (i) at any time during the taxable year, more than 50% of the shares (by vote or value) of the corporation are owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals who are U.S. Persons, and (ii) at least 50% of the gross income of the corporation for the taxable year consists of foreign personal holding company income (such as dividends, interest, royalties, and gains on the sale of stock or securities).

101

Nymox believes that it is not a FPHC at the present time. If Nymox were a FPHC, each U.S. Person that owns, directly or indirectly through foreign entities, an interest in Nymox generally would be required to recognize, as a dividend, the U.S. Person s share of the undistributed annual income of Nymox.

Passive foreign investment company rules. In general, a foreign corporation is a passive foreign investment company (a PFIC) during a taxable year if 75% or more of its gross income for the taxable year constitutes passive income or if 50% or more of its assets (by average fair market value) held during the taxable year produce, or are held for the production of, passive income. In general, any U.S. Person that owns, directly or indirectly, an interest in a foreign corporation will be subject to an interest charge (in addition to regular U.S. federal income tax) upon the disposition by the U.S. Person of, or receipt by the U.S. Person of excess distributions with respect to, any shares of the foreign corporation if: (i) the foreign corporation is a PFIC during the taxable year in which such income is realized by the U.S. Person; or (ii) the foreign corporation was a PFIC during any prior taxable year that is included in whole or in part in the U.S. Person s holding period (within the meaning of Section 1223 of the Code) with respect to its interest in the shares of the foreign corporation. Furthermore, the U.S. Person s share of such gain or excess distribution will be taxable as ordinary income. There exist several other adverse tax consequences that may apply to any U.S. Person that owns, directly or indirectly, an interest in a PFIC.

A U.S. Person that owns, directly or indirectly, an interest in a PFIC can elect to treat such PFIC as a qualified electing fund (a QEF) with respect to the U.S. Person. In general, the effect of a QEF election with respect to a PFIC is that, beginning with the first taxable year to which the election applies and in all succeeding taxable years during which the foreign corporation is a PFIC, the U.S. Person is required to include in its income its share of the ordinary earnings and net capital gains of the PFIC. The U.S. Person is not taxable with respect to any distribution by the PFIC from earnings that have been included previously in the U.S. Person s income under the QEF provisions. If the QEF election is made with respect to the first taxable year in which a U.S. Person owns, directly or indirectly, an interest in the particular PFIC, the adverse tax consequences described in the immediately preceding paragraph (including the interest charge and the treatment of gains as ordinary income) would not apply to the U.S. Person s interest in that PFIC. In order to make a QEF election, a U.S. Person is required to provide to the IRS certain information furnished by the PFIC.

Nymox believes that it has not been a PFIC during any taxable year ending on or before December 31, 2007. It is not possible to express an opinion as to whether or not Nymox is or will be a PFIC during its current taxable year or future taxable years. Nymox intends to notify its U.S. Holders within 45 days after the end of the taxable year for which Nymox believes it might be a PFIC. Nymox has further undertaken (i) to provide its U.S. Holders with timely and accurate information as to its status as a PFIC and the manner in which the QEF election can be made and (ii) to comply with all record-keeping, reporting and other requirements so that the U.S. Holders, at their option, may make a QEF election.

Each U.S. Person who owns, directly or indirectly, common shares of Nymox is urged to consult its own tax advisor with respect to the advantages and disadvantages of making a QEF election with respect to Nymox.

Backup withholding. Information reporting to the IRS may be required with respect to payments of dividends on the Nymox common shares to U.S. Holders, and with respect to proceeds received by U.S. Holders on the sale of Nymox common shares. A U.S. Holder may be subject to backup withholding at a 30% rate with respect to dividends received with respect to Nymox common shares, or proceeds received on the sale of Nymox common shares through a broker, unless the U.S. Holder (i) demonstrates that it qualifies for an applicable exemption (such as the exemption for holders that are corporations), or (ii) provides a taxpayer identification number and complies with certain other requirements. Any amount withheld from payment to a U.S. Holder under the backup withholding rules generally will be allowed as credit against the U.S. Holder s U.S. federal income tax liability, if any, and may entitle the U.S. Holder to a refund, provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS.

102

Canadian Federal Income Taxation

The following is, as of the date of this report, a summary of the principal Canadian federal income tax considerations generally applicable to shareholders who receive a dividend from Nymox and who, at all relevant times, for purposes of the Income Tax Act (Canada) the (Tax Act), hold and will hold Nymox common shares as capital property and deal with Nymox at arm s length.

Nymox s common shares will generally constitute capital property to a holder unless the holder holds such shares in the course of carrying on a business or the holder has acquired such shares in a transaction or transactions considered to be an adventure in the nature of trade. This summary is based on the current provisions of the Tax Act, the regulations under that act, counsel s understanding of current administrative and assessing policies of the Canada Customs and Revenue Agency and all specific proposals to amend the Tax Act publicly announced or released by or on behalf of the Minister of Finance (Canada) before the date of this report (Tax Proposals).

The Tax Act contains certain provisions relating to securities held by certain financial institutions (the Mark-to-Market Rules). This summary does not take into account these Mark-to-Market Rules or any amendments to them contained in the Tax Proposals and taxpayers that are financial institutions for purposes of those rules should consult their own tax advisors.

This summary is not exhaustive of all possible Canadian federal income tax considerations and, except for the Tax Proposals, does not take into account or anticipate any changes in law, whether by legislative, governmental or judicial action, nor does it take into account tax legislation of any province, territory or foreign jurisdiction. This summary is of a general nature only and is not intended to be, nor should it be construed as, legal or tax advice to any particular holder of Nymox common shares.

Canadian Residents

The following summary is relevant to a holder of Nymox common shares who, for purposes of the Tax Act and any applicable tax treaty or convention, is resident in Canada at all relevant times.

Tax Treatment of Capital Gains and Capital Losses for Canadian Residents

On a disposition or deemed disposition of a Nymox common share, the holder will realize a capital gain (or capital loss) equal to the amount by which the proceeds of disposition for the Nymox common share exceed (or are less than) the aggregate of any costs of disposition and the adjusted cost base to the holder of the Nymox common share immediately before the disposition.

Pursuant to the Tax Act and subject to certain transitional rules which apply in certain circumstances, a holder of Nymox common shares will be required to include in income one-half of the amount of any capital gain (a Taxable capital gain) and may deduct one-half of the amount of any capital loss (an Allowable capital loss) against Taxable capital gains realized by the holder in the year of the disposition. Allowable capital losses in excess of Taxable capital gains may be carried back and deducted in any of the three preceding years or carried forward and deducted in any following year against taxable capital gains realized in such years to the extent and under the circumstances described in the Tax Act.

A capital loss realized by a holder of Nymox common shares that is a corporation, a partnership of which a corporation is a member or a trust of which a corporation is a beneficiary may be reduced by the amount of dividends received in certain circumstances. Capital gains realized by an individual may give rise to a liability for alternative minimum tax.

103

Canadian Residents 88

Tax Treatment of Dividends Received by Canadian Residents

In the case of a holder of Nymox common shares who is an individual, any dividends received on the common shares will be included in computing his income and will be subject to the gross-up and dividend tax credit rules normally applicable to taxable dividends paid by taxable Canadian corporations. A holder that is a corporation may be liable to pay refundable tax under Part IV of the Tax Act. However, a public corporation which is not controlled, whether because of a beneficial interest in one or more trusts or otherwise, by or for the benefit of an individual (other than a trust) or a related group of individuals (other than trusts) will not be liable to pay refundable tax under Part IV of the Tax Act.

In the case of a holder of Nymox common shares that is a corporation, the amount of any capital loss otherwise determined resulting from the disposition of a Nymox common share may be reduced by the amount of dividends previously received or deemed to have been received thereon. Any such restriction will not occur where the corporate holder owned the Nymox common share for 365 days or longer and such holder (together with any persons with whom it did not deal at arm s length) did not own more than 5% of the shares of any class or series of Nymox at the time the relevant dividends were received or deemed to have been received. Analogous rules apply where a corporation is a member of a partnership or a beneficiary of a trust, which owns Nymox common shares.

Shareholders Who Are Not Residents of Canada

The following summary is relevant to a holder of Nymox common shares, who, at all relevant times, for purposes of the Tax Act and any applicable tax treaty or convention, is a non-resident or is deemed to be a non-resident of Canada and does not use and is not deemed to use or hold Nymox common shares in the course of carrying on a business in Canada. Special rules, which are not discussed below, may apply to a non-resident that is an insurer which carries on business in Canada and elsewhere.

Dividends Paid To Non-Residents of Canada

Under the Tax Act, dividends paid or credited to a non-resident are subject to withholding tax at the rate of 25% of the gross amount of the dividends. This withholding tax may be reduced or eliminated pursuant to the terms of an applicable tax treaty between Canada and the country of residence of the non-resident. For example, for persons who are resident in the United States for purposes of the Canada-United States Income Tax Convention, (the Convention) the rate of withholding tax on dividends is reduced to 15% generally and 5% when the United States resident is a company that beneficially owns at least 10% of the voting stock of the company paying the dividends.

Under the Convention, dividends paid to certain religious, scientific, charitable and other similar tax-exempt organizations and certain organizations that are resident in, and exempt from tax in, the United States are exempt from Canadian non-resident withholding tax. Provided that certain administrative procedures designed to establish with the Canadian tax authorities the right of such entities to benefit from this withholding tax exemption are complied with by the tax-exempt entities prior to the Distribution, Nymox would not be required to withhold such tax on such payment. Alternatively, the above-described tax-exempt entities may claim a refund of Canadian withholding tax otherwise withheld by Nymox on the distribution of dividends.

Tax Treatment of Capital Gains of Non-Residents of Canada

On a disposition or deemed disposition of a Nymox common share, a non-resident holder will realize a capital gain (or capital loss) equal to the amount by which the proceeds of disposition for the Nymox common share exceed (or are less than) the aggregate of any costs of disposition and the adjusted cost base to the non-resident holder of the Nymox common share immediately before the disposition.

104

A non-resident of Canada is liable for Canadian income tax on a capital gain realized on the disposition of property only where that property constitutes taxable Canadian property. Pursuant to the Tax Act and subject to certain transitional rules which apply in certain circumstances, one-half of any capital gain from the disposition of taxable Canadian property is subject to Canadian tax.

Under the Tax Act, shares of Nymox will not constitute taxable Canadian property unless, at any time, in the five years immediately preceding the disposition, the non-resident holder, persons with whom the non-resident holder did not deal at arms length, or the non-resident holder together with all such persons owned (or had a right to acquire) 25% or more of the shares of any class of Nymox. Even in circumstances where shares of Nymox are taxable Canadian property to a non-resident holder, the non-resident holder may be entitled to relief from Canadian tax on any capital gain realized on the disposition thereof pursuant to the terms of an applicable tax treaty between Canada and the country of residence of the non-resident. For example, the Convention provides that gains realized by a resident of the United States on the disposition or deemed disposition of shares of a company will generally not be subject to tax under the Tax Act, provided that the value of the shares is not derived principally from real property situated in Canada. Nymox believes that the value of its shares is not currently derived principally from real property situated in Canada and it does not expect this to change in the foreseeable future.

Provided that the Nymox common shares remain listed on a prescribed stock exchange, which includes the NASDAQ SmallCap Market System, a non-resident holder who disposes of Nymox common shares will not be required to comply with the Canadian notification procedures generally applicable to dispositions of taxable Canadian property.

Documents on Display

Nymox is subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. In accordance with these requirements, the company files reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These materials, including this Annual Report on Form 20-F and the exhibits hereto, may be inspected and copied at the Commission s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the materials may be obtained from the Commission s Public Reference Room at prescribed rates. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Commission maintains an internet site (http://www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including Nymox, that file electronically with the Commission.

We are required to file reports and other information with the securities commissions in all provinces of Canada. You also are invited to read and copy any reports, statements or other information, other than confidential filings, that we file with the provincial securities commissions. These filings are also electronically available from the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) (http://www.sedar.com), the Canadian equivalent of the SEC selectronic document gathering and retrieval system. This material includes our Management Information Circular for the most recent annual meeting, which provides information including directors and officers, remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans. Additional financial information is provided in our annual financial statements and our Management s Discussion and Analysis relating to these statements. These documents are also accessible on SEDAR (www.sedar.com).

We will provide without charge to each person, including any beneficial owner, on the written or oral request of such person, a copy of any or all documents referred to above which have been or may be incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 20-F (not including exhibits to such incorporated information that are not specifically incorporated by reference into such information). Requests for such copies should be directed to us at the following address: Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation, 9900 Cavendish Blvd. Suite 306, St.-Laurent, Quebec, Canada, H4M 2V2, Attention: Investor Relations. Telephone (800) 936-9669. Facsimile (514) 332-2227 EMAIL: info@nymox.com

105

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our principal exposure to market risk relates to changes in interest rates and foreign exchange. We had a cash flow exposure to the changing interest rates on notes payable, bearing interest at the prime rate plus 2%, in the amount of \$500,000 until these notes payable were paid in full in May 2007.

The Company s operational currency is the United States dollar. Based on the Company s foreign currency exposures, principally in Canadian dollars at December 31, 2007, a 5 percent strengthening of the US dollar would have increased the net loss by approximately \$20,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007, assuming that all other variables remained constant. An assumed 5 percent weakening of the US dollar would have had an equal but opposite effect on the basis that all other variables remained constant.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

None.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures. In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and President, and the Chief Financial Officer and Secretary-Treasurer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-14(c) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20-F and the Chief Executive Officer and President, and the Chief Financial Officer and Secretary-Treasurer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective.
- (b) Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. The Company s internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and our board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

The Company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

106

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. Based on management s assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2007, the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

 $KPMG\ LLP\ (\ KPMG\), the independent\ registered\ public\ accounting\ firm\ who\ also\ audited\ the\ Company\ s\ financial\ statements,\ issued\ an\ attestation\ report\ on\ the\ effectiveness\ of\ internal\ control\ over\ financial\ reporting\ as\ of\ December\ 31,\ 2007.$

- (c) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm. KPMG s attestation report on the Company s internal control over financial reporting appears on pages [61] and [62] of this report.
- (d) Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. There were no significant changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting or other factors during the period covered by this report that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Item 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Our board of directors has determined that Paul McDonald, the Chairman of our Audit Committee, is an audit committee financial expert and is an independent director under the applicable listing rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market.

Item 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a code of ethics that is applicable to our officers, directors and employees in general and our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and persons performing similar functions in particular. The code of ethics can be found on our website, www.nymox.com.

Item 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Our principal independent auditor is KPMG LLP.

Fees and Services

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we paid the following fees for professional services to KPMG LLP:

| | | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | (CAN\$ | 5) |
| Audit Services Audit-Related Services Tax Services Other Services | | 163,000 6,000 16,950 0 | 112,000 6,000 18,200 0 |
| Total | | 185,950 | 136,200 |
| | 107 | | |

Audit Services are defined as the standard audit work that needs to be performed each year in order to issue an opinion on our consolidated financial statements and to issue reports on our statutory financial statements. It also includes services that can only be provided by the auditor signing the audit report such as auditing of non-recurring transactions and application of new accounting policies, audits of significant and newly implemented system controls, pre-issuance reviews of quarterly financial results, consents and comfort letters and any other audit services required for U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or other regulatory filings.

Audit-Related Services include those other assurance services provided by auditors but not restricted to those that can only be provided by the auditor signing the audit report. They include amounts for services such as acquisition due diligence, audits of pension and benefit plans, contractual audits of third party arrangements, assurance services on corporate citizenship reporting, and consultation regarding new accounting pronouncements.

Tax Services represent tax compliance and other services and expatriate and executive tax return services.

Policy on Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

Our Audit Committee is responsible for the oversight of our independent auditor s work. Our Audit Committee s policy is to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided by KPMG. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee appoints the auditors and oversees and fixes the compensation for all such services. KPMG and our management report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services actually provided in accordance with the applicable pre-approval, and regarding the fees for the services performed. The Audit Committee approved 100% of the fees listed on the table above.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

None.

PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2007 are included in Item 8 of this report and are incorporated by reference in this item.

108

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are included with or incorporated by reference into this report.:

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| ' <u></u> | Articles of Incorporation, as amended. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission |
| 1(a) | December 9, 1996) |
| 1(b) | Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(a) | Memorandum of Agreement between Paul Averback and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(b) | Share Option Plan of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(c) | Research and License Agreement between the Massachusetts General Hospital Corporation and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(d) | Research and License Amendment between the Massachusetts General Hospital Corporation and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission February 21, 1997) |
| 4(e) | Common Stock Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Jaspas Investments Limited dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.0 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, |
| 4(f) | 2000) Registration Rights Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Jaspas Investments Limited dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |
| 4(g) | Escrow Agreement among Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation, Jaspas Investments Limited and Epstein, Becker & Green, P.C. dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |
| 4(h) | Stock Purchase Warrant to purchase common shares issued to Jaspas Investments Limited dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |
| 4(i) | Research and License Agreement between the Rhode Island Hospital Corporation and the Company dated May 14, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission May 15, 2000). |
| 4(j) | Research and License Amendment between the Rhode Island Hospital Corporation and the Company dated November 19, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission June 28, 2002). |
| 4(k) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated January 27, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.0 to the Company s F-3 Registration Statement filed with the Commission on March 12, 2003). |
| 4(1) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated August 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on November 13, 2003). |
| 4(m) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated October 6, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on November 15, 2004). |
| 4(n) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated October 21, 2005. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 20-F Report filed with the Commission on June 29, 2006). |
| 4(o) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated November 13, 2006. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on March 15, 2007). |
| 4(p) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated November 16, 2007. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on March 14, 2008). |
| 8 | List of Subsidiaries of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 8 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission June 30, 2004) |
| 11 | Code of Business Conduct for the Officers, Directors and Employees of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 11 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission June 30, 2004) |
| 12(a) | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) |
| 12(b) | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) |
| 13(a) | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 13(b) | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS 93

109

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

(Registrant)

/S/ Paul Averback
Paul Averback
Title: President

Date: April 28, 2008

4(i)

110

EXHIBIT INDEX NYMOX PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION

Form 20-F Annual Report

| Exhibit No. | <u>Description</u> |
|-------------|--|
| | Articles of Incorporation, as amended. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission |
| 1(a) | December 9, 1996) |
| 1(b) | Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(a) | Memorandum of Agreement between Paul Averback and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(b) | Share Option Plan of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(c) | Research and License Agreement between the Massachusetts General Hospital Corporation and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission December 9, 1996) |
| 4(d) | Research and License Amendment between the Massachusetts General Hospital Corporation and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission February 21, 1997) |
| 4(e) | Common Stock Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Jaspas Investments Limited dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.0 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |
| 4(f) | Registration Rights Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Jaspas Investments Limited dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |
| 4(g) | Escrow Agreement among Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation, Jaspas Investments Limited and Epstein, Becker & Green, P.C. dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company s Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |
| 4(h) | Stock Purchase Warrant to purchase common shares issued to Jaspas Investments Limited dated November 1, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Company, a Form F-1 Registration Statement filed with the Commission February 29, 2000) |

SIGNATURES 94

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------------|--|
| | Research and License Agreement between the Rhode Island Hospital Corporation and the Company dated May 14, 1999 (incorporated by |
| | reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission May 15, 2000). |
| 4(j) | Research and License Amendment between the Rhode Island Hospital Corporation and the Company dated November 19, 2001 |
| | (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission June 28, 2002). |
| 4(k) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated |
| | January 27, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.0 to the Company s F-3 Registration Statement filed with the Commission on |
| | March 12, 2003). |
| 4(1) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated |
| | August 25, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on November 13, |
| | 2003). |
| 4(m) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated |
| | October 6, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on November 15, |
| | 2004). |
| 4(n) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated |
| 44.5 | October 21, 2005. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 20-F Report filed with the Commission on June 29, 2006). |
| 4(o) | Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated |
| | November 13, 2006. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on March 15, |
| 1(n) | 2007). Common Stock Private Purchase Agreement between Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation and Lorros-Greyse Investments Limited dated |
| 4(p) | November 16, 2007. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company s 6-K Report filed with the Commission on March 14, |
| | 2008). |
| 8 | List of Subsidiaries of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 8 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with |
| O | the Commission June 30, 2004) |
| 11 | Code of Business Conduct for the Officers, Directors and Employees of Nymox Pharmaceutical Corporation (incorporated by reference to |
| 11 | Exhibit 11 to the Company s Form 20-F filed with the Commission June 30, 2004) |
| 12(a) | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) |
| 12(b) | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) |
| 13(a) | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of |
| | 2002 |
| 13(b) | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of |
| | 2002 |
| | |

111

SIGNATURES 95