# SENIOR HOUSING PROPERTIES TRUST Form 8-K

February 19, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): February 13, 2002

SENIOR HOUSING PROPERTIES TRUST (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

MARYLAND 001-15319 04-3445278 (State or other jurisdiction (Commission (I.R.S. employer of incorporation) file number) identification number)

400 CENTRE STREET, NEWTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02458 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 617-796-8350

THIS REPORT CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995 INCLUDING THOSE RELATING TO THE SETTLEMENT OF THE COMMON SHARES, OUR ABILITY TO MAKE FUTURE DISTRIBUTIONS, OUR TAX STATUS AS A REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST OR IMPLICATIONS ARISING FROM SUCH STATEMENTS. THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE BASED UPON OUR PRESENT EXPECTATIONS, BUT THESE STATEMENTS AND THE IMPLICATIONS OF THESE STATEMENTS ARE NOT GUARANTEED. READERS ARE CAUTIONED THAT ANY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE NOT GUARANTEES OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE AND INVOLVE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES. ACTUAL RESULTS MAY DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE CONTAINED IN OR IMPLIED BY THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AS A RESULT OF VARIOUS FACTORS. SUCH FACTORS INCLUDE, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE STATUS OF THE ECONOMY AND THE CAPITAL MARKETS (INCLUDING PREVAILING INTEREST RATES), COMPETITION WITHIN THE HEALTHCARE AND SENIOR LIVING INDUSTRIES, AND CHANGES IN FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL LEGISLATION. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN OUR ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR OUR FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 INCLUDING UNDER THE HEADINGS "BUSINESS" AND "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, " IDENTIFIES OTHER IMPORTANT FACTORS THAT COULD CAUSE SUCH DIFFERENCES.

ITEM 5. OTHER EVENTS.

SALE OF COMMON SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST. Α.

On February 15, 2002, we priced an underwritten public offering of

15 million common shares of beneficial interest. We expect to issue and deliver these 15 million shares on or about February 21, 2002. The public offering price was \$13.72 per share. We expect to use the \$195 million of net proceeds (after estimated expenses and underwriters' commissions) of the offering to repay our \$25 million 10% unsecured promissory note issued to the seller of the 31 senior living communities we acquired, to repay \$170 million of borrowings outstanding under our revolving bank credit facility and for general business purposes. We also granted the underwriters an option to purchase an additional 2,250,000 common shares to cover over-allotments. The net proceeds (after estimated expenses and underwriters' commissions) to us will be \$225 million if the underwriters' over-allotment option is exercised in full.

#### B. AMENDMENT TO DECLARATION OF TRUST.

On February 13, 2002 we filed Articles of Amendment to our Declaration of Trust increasing the number of our authorized shares from 50,000,000 to 62,000,000. All of our authorized shares are currently classified as common shares of beneficial interest.

#### C. FEDERAL INCOME TAX AND ERISA CONSIDERATIONS.

The following is a summary of federal income tax and ERISA considerations relating to the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our shares giving effect to our spin-off of Five Star

Quality Care, Inc. and our acquisition of 31 senior living communities on January 11, 2002. This summary updates the description of these matters in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 as supplemented by the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 21, 2001.

The following summary of federal income tax consequences is based on existing law, and is limited to investors who own our shares as investment assets rather than as inventory or as property used in a trade or business. The summary does not discuss the particular tax consequences that might be relevant to you if you are subject to special rules under the federal income tax law, for example if you are:

- o a bank, life insurance company, regulated investment company, or other financial institution,
- o  $\,$  a broker or dealer in securities or foreign currency,
- o  $\,$  a person who has a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar,
- o a person who acquires our shares in connection with employment or other performance of services,
- o a person subject to alternative minimum tax,
- o a person who owns our shares as part of a straddle, hedging transaction, constructive sale transaction, or conversion transaction, or
- o except as specifically described in the following summary, a tax-exempt entity or a foreign person.

The sections of the Internal Revenue Code that govern the federal income tax qualification and treatment of a REIT and its shareholders are complex. This presentation is a summary of applicable Internal Revenue Code provisions,

related rules and regulations and administrative and judicial interpretations, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. Future legislative, judicial, or administrative actions or decisions could affect the accuracy of statements made in this summary. We have not received a ruling from the IRS with respect to any matter described in this summary, and we cannot assure you that the IRS or a court will agree with the statements made in this summary. In addition, the following summary is not exhaustive of all possible tax consequences, and does not discuss any estate, gift, state, local, or foreign tax consequences. For all these reasons, we urge you and any prospective acquiror of our shares to

-2-

consult with a tax advisor about the federal income tax and other tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our shares.

Your federal income tax consequences may differ depending on whether or not you are a "U.S. shareholder." For purposes of this summary, a "U.S. shareholder" for federal income tax purposes is:

- o a citizen or resident of the United States, including an alien individual who is a lawful permanent resident of the United States or meets the substantial presence residency test under the federal income tax laws,
- o a corporation, partnership or other entity treated as a corporation or partnership for federal income tax purposes, that is created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia, unless otherwise provided by Treasury regulations,
- o an estate the income of which is subject to federal income taxation regardless of its source, or
- o a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, or electing trusts in existence on August 20, 1996 to the extent provided in Treasury regulations,

whose status as a U.S. shareholder is not overridden by an applicable tax treaty. Conversely, a "non-U.S. shareholder" is a beneficial owner of our shares who is not a U.S. shareholder.

#### TAXATION AS A REIT

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 1999. Our REIT election, assuming continuing compliance with the qualification tests summarized below, continues in effect for subsequent taxable years. Although no assurance can be given, we believe that we are organized, have operated, and will continue to operate in a manner that qualifies us to be taxed under the Internal Revenue Code as a REIT.

As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our net income distributed as dividends to our shareholders. Distributions to our shareholders generally will be includable in their income as dividends to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits. A portion of these dividends may be treated as capital gain dividends, as explained below.

-3-

No portion of any dividends will be eligible for the dividends received deduction for corporate shareholders. Distributions in excess of current or accumulated earnings and profits generally will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a return of capital to the extent of a recipient shareholder's basis in our shares, and will reduce this basis. Our current or accumulated earnings and profits will generally be allocated first to distributions made on our preferred shares, if any, and thereafter to distributions made on our common shares.

Our counsel, Sullivan & Worcester LLP, has opined that we have been organized and have qualified as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code for our 1999 through 2001 taxable years, and that our current investments and plan of operation will enable us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Our actual qualification and taxation as a REIT will depend upon our ability to meet the various qualification tests imposed under the Internal Revenue Code and summarized below. While we believe that we will operate in a manner to satisfy the various REIT qualification tests, our counsel has not reviewed and will not review compliance with these tests on a continuing basis. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any year, we will be subject to federal income taxation as if we were a C corporation, and our shareholders will be taxed like shareholders of C corporations. In this event, we could be subject to significant tax liabilities, and the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders may be reduced or eliminated.

If we qualify as a REIT and meet the annual distribution tests described below, we generally will not be subject to federal income taxes on the amounts we distribute. However, even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to federal tax in the following circumstances:

- o We will be taxed at regular corporate rates on any undistributed "real estate investment trust taxable income," including our undistributed net capital gains.
- o If our alternative minimum taxable income exceeds our taxable income, we may be subject to the corporate alternative minimum tax on our items of tax preference.
- o If we have net income from the sale or other disposition of "foreclosure property" that is held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business or other nonqualifying income from foreclosure property, we will be subject to tax on this net income from foreclosure property at the highest regular corporate rate, which is currently 35%. We expect to have little or no net income from foreclosure property in 2001 or 2002.
- o If we have net income from prohibited transactions, including sales or other dispositions of inventory or property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business other than foreclosure property, we will be subject to tax on this income at a 100% rate.

-4-

o If we fail to satisfy the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test discussed below, but nonetheless maintain our qualification as a REIT, we will be subject to tax at a 100% rate on the greater of the amount by which we fail the 75% or the 95% test, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability.

- o If we fail to distribute for any calendar year at least the sum of 85% of our REIT ordinary income for that year, 95% of our REIT capital gain net income for that year, and any undistributed taxable income from prior periods, we will be subject to a 4% excise tax on the excess of the required distribution over the amounts actually distributed.
- o If we acquire an asset from a corporation in a transaction in which our basis in the asset is determined by reference to the basis of the asset in the hands of a present or former C corporation, and if we subsequently recognize gain on the disposition of this asset during the ten-year period beginning on the date on which the asset ceased to be owned by the C corporation, then we will pay tax at the highest regular corporate tax rate, which is currently 35%, on the lesser of the excess of the fair market value of the asset over the C corporation's basis in the asset on the date the asset ceased to be owned by the C corporation, or the gain recognized in the disposition.
- o If we have succeeded to undistributed earnings and profits from an acquired C corporation, to preserve our status as a REIT we must generally distribute all of these undistributed earnings and profits not later than the end of the taxable year of the acquisition. However, if we fail to do so, relief provisions would allow us to maintain our status as a REIT provided we distribute any subsequently discovered C corporation earnings and profits and pay an interest charge in respect of the period of delayed distribution. As discussed below, we acquired several C corporations on January 11, 2002 as part of our acquisition of 31 senior living facilities. Some of these C corporations had operated for several years as subsidiaries of different parent companies. Our investigation of these C corporations indicates that they do not have retained earnings and profits that will jeopardize our status as a REIT. However, upon review or audit, the IRS may disagree with our conclusion.
- o As explained below, we are permitted within limits to own stock and securities of a "taxable REIT subsidiary." A taxable REIT subsidiary of ours will be separately taxed on its net income as a C corporation, and will be subject to limitations on the deductibility of interest expense paid to us. In addition, we will be subject to a 100% tax on redetermined rents, redetermined deductions, and excess interest expense, in order to ensure that transactions between and among us, our tenants, and our taxable REIT subsidiaries are at arm's length.

If we invest in properties in foreign countries, our profits from those investments will generally be subject to tax in the countries where those properties are located. The nature and

-5-

amount of this taxation will depend on the laws of the countries where the properties are located. If we operate as we currently intend, then we will distribute our taxable income to our shareholders and we will generally not pay federal income tax, and thus we generally cannot recover the cost of foreign taxes imposed on our foreign investments by claiming foreign tax credits against our federal income tax liability. Also, we cannot pass through to our shareholders any foreign tax credits.

If we fail to qualify or elect not to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, then we will be subject to federal income tax in the same manner as a C corporation. Any distributions to our shareholders in a year in which we fail to qualify as a REIT will not be deductible, nor will these distributions be required under the Internal Revenue Code. In that event, to the extent of our

current and accumulated earnings and profits, any distributions to our shareholders will be taxable as ordinary dividends and, subject to limitations in the Internal Revenue Code, will be eligible for the dividends received deduction for corporate recipients. Also, we will generally be disqualified from federal income taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following disqualification. Failure to qualify for federal income taxation as a REIT for even one year could result in reduction or elimination of distributions to our shareholders, or in our incurring substantial indebtedness or liquidating substantial investments in order to pay the resulting corporate—level taxes.

#### REIT QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS. Section 856(a) of the Internal Revenue Code defines a REIT as a corporation, trust or association:

- (1) that is managed by one or more trustees or directors;
- (2) the beneficial ownership of which is evidenced by transferable shares or by transferable certificates of beneficial interest;
- (3) that would be taxable, but for Sections 856 through 859 of the Internal Revenue Code, as a C corporation;
- (4) that is not a financial institution or an insurance company subject to special provisions of the Internal Revenue Code;
- (5) the beneficial ownership of which is held by 100 or more persons;
- (6) that is not "closely held" as defined under the personal holding company stock ownership test, as described below; and

-6-

(7) that meets other tests regarding income, assets and distributions, all as described below.

Section 856(b) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that conditions (1) to (4), inclusive, must be met during the entire taxable year and that condition (5) must be met during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months, or during a pro rata part of a taxable year of less than 12 months. Section 856(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that neither condition (5) nor (6) need be met for our first taxable year as a REIT. We believe that we have satisfied conditions (1) to (6), inclusive, during each of the requisite periods ending on or before December 31, 2001, and that we will continue to satisfy those conditions in future taxable years. There can, however, be no assurance in this regard.

By reason of condition (6) above, we will fail to qualify as a REIT for a taxable year if at any time during the last half of the year more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares is owned directly or indirectly by five or fewer individuals. To help comply with condition (6), our declaration of trust restricts transfers of our shares. In addition, if we comply with applicable Treasury regulations to ascertain the ownership of our shares and do not know, or by exercising reasonable diligence would not have known, that we failed condition (6), then we will be treated as satisfying condition (6). However, our failure to comply with these regulations for ascertaining ownership may result in a penalty of \$25,000, or \$50,000 for intentional violations. Accordingly, we intend to comply with these regulations, and to request annually from record holders of significant percentages of our shares information regarding the ownership of our shares. Under our declaration of trust, our shareholders are required to respond to these requests for information.

For purposes of condition (6) above, REIT shares held by a pension trust are treated as held directly by the pension trust's beneficiaries in proportion to their actuarial interests in the pension trust. Consequently, five or fewer pension trusts could own more than 50% of the interests in an entity without jeopardizing that entity's federal income tax qualification as a REIT. However, as discussed below, if a REIT is a "pension-held REIT," each pension trust owning more than 10% of the REIT's shares by value generally may be taxed on a portion of the dividends it receives from the REIT.

OUR WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARIES AND OUR INVESTMENTS THROUGH PARTNERSHIPS. Except in respect of taxable REIT subsidiaries as discussed below, Section 856(i) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that any corporation, 100% of whose stock is held by a REIT, is a qualified REIT subsidiary and shall not be treated as a separate corporation. The assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit of a qualified REIT subsidiary are treated as the REIT's. We believe that each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, other than the taxable REIT subsidiaries discussed below, will either be a qualified REIT subsidiary within the meaning of Section 856(i) of the Internal Revenue Code, or a noncorporate entity that for federal income tax purposes is not treated as separate from its owner under regulations issued under Section 7701 of the Internal Revenue Code. Thus, except for the taxable REIT subsidiaries discussed below, in applying all the federal income tax REIT qualification requirements described in this summary, all

-7-

assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit of our direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries are treated as ours.

We may invest in real estate through one or more limited or general partnerships or limited liability companies that are treated as partnerships for federal income tax purposes. In the case of a REIT that is a partner in a partnership, regulations under the Internal Revenue Code provide that, for purposes of the REIT qualification requirements regarding income and assets discussed below, the REIT is deemed to own its proportionate share of the assets of the partnership corresponding to the REIT's proportionate capital interest in the partnership and is deemed to be entitled to the income of the partnership attributable to this proportionate share. In addition, for these purposes, the character of the assets and gross income of the partnership generally retain the same character in the hands of the REIT. Accordingly, our proportionate share of the assets, liabilities, and items of income of each partnership in which we are a partner is treated as ours for purposes of the income tests and asset tests discussed below. In contrast, for purposes of the distribution requirement discussed below, we must take into account as a partner our share of the partnership's income as determined under the general federal income tax rules governing partners and partnerships under Sections 701 through 777 of the Internal Revenue Code.

TAXABLE REIT SUBSIDIARIES. We are permitted to own any or all of the securities of a "taxable REIT subsidiary" as defined in Section 856(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, provided that no more than 20% of our assets, at the close of each quarter of our taxable year, is comprised of our investments in the stock or securities of our taxable REIT subsidiaries. Among other requirements, a taxable REIT subsidiary must:

- (1) be a non-REIT corporation for federal income tax purposes in which we directly or indirectly own shares,
  - (2) join with us in making a taxable REIT subsidiary election,

- (3) not directly or indirectly operate or manage a lodging facility or a health care facility, and
- (4) not directly or indirectly provide to any person, under a franchise, license, or otherwise, rights to any brand name under which any lodging facility or health care facility is operated, except that in limited circumstances a subfranchise, sublicense or similar right can be granted to an independent contractor to operate or manage a lodging facility.

In addition, a corporation other than a REIT in which a taxable REIT subsidiary directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value will automatically be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Subject to the discussion below, we believe that we and each of our taxable REIT subsidiaries have complied with, and will continue to comply with, the requirements

-8-

for taxable REIT subsidiary status during all times each subsidiary's taxable REIT subsidiary election remains in effect, and we believe that the same will be true for any taxable REIT subsidiary that we later form or acquire.

Our ownership of stock and securities in taxable REIT subsidiaries is exempt from the 10% and 5% REIT asset tests discussed below. Also, as discussed below, taxable REIT subsidiaries can perform services for our tenants without disqualifying the rents we receive from those tenants under the 75% or 95% gross income tests discussed below. Moreover, because taxable REIT subsidiaries are taxed as C corporations that are separate from us, their assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit are not imputed to us for purposes of the REIT qualification requirements described in this summary. Therefore, taxable REIT subsidiaries can generally undertake third-party management and development activities and activities not related to real estate.

Restrictions are imposed on taxable REIT subsidiaries to ensure that they will be subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, a taxable REIT subsidiary may not deduct interest paid in any year to an affiliated REIT to the extent that the interest payments exceed, generally, 50% of the taxable REIT subsidiary's adjusted taxable income for that year. However, the taxable REIT subsidiary may carry forward the disallowed interest expense to a succeeding year, and deduct the interest in that later year subject to that year's 50% adjusted taxable income limitation. In addition, if a taxable REIT subsidiary pays interest, rent, or other amounts to its affiliated REIT in an amount that exceeds what an unrelated third party would have paid in an arm's length transaction, then the REIT generally will be subject to an excise tax equal to 100% of the excessive portion of the payment. Finally, if in comparison to an arm's length transaction, a tenant has overpaid rent to the REIT in exchange for underpaying the taxable REIT subsidiary for services rendered, then the REIT may be subject to an excise tax equal to 100% of the overpayment. There can be no assurance that arrangements involving our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not result in the imposition of one or more of these deduction limitations or excise taxes, but we do not believe that we are or will be subject to these impositions.

In our 2000 taxable year, we took title to several healthcare facilities, valued in the aggregate at less than \$9 million, through corporate subsidiaries in which we owned 99% of the outstanding common stock, all of which was nonvoting, and in which individual shareholders owned 1% of the outstanding common stock, all of which was voting. We could not take direct title to these particular facilities and operate them under the "foreclosure property" rules discussed below, because the facilities were not leased by or mortgaged to us at the time of our tenant-mortgagor's default with respect to other facilities, nor could we lease these facilities on suitable terms because of market conditions

at that time. Accordingly, our 99% subsidiaries took title to these particular facilities and retained an independent contractor to operate and manage the facilities. Although there can be no assurance in this regard, we believe that these 99% subsidiaries' ownership and operational structure during our 2000 taxable year satisfied the then applicable REIT asset tests discussed below, because we did not own more than 10% of the voting securities of the 99% subsidiaries. As of January 1, 2001, we acquired 100% ownership of the formerly 99% owned corporate subsidiaries, and filed a taxable REIT subsidiary election with each

-9-

of these subsidiaries effective January 1, 2001. These elections were revoked early in taxable year 2002, in connection with the spin-off of Five Star Quality Care, Inc. and our diminished ownership of these subsidiaries. We have received an opinion of counsel that, although the matter is not free from doubt, it is more likely than not that these subsidiaries were taxable REIT subsidiaries from January 1, 2001 until the revocation of the taxable REIT subsidiary elections. We had submitted a private letter ruling request to the IRS to confirm that these subsidiaries complied with the requirement that prohibits the direct or indirect operation or management of a healthcare facility by a taxable REIT subsidiary, but withdrew this request before any IRS ruling was issued. If it is determined that these subsidiaries were ineligible for taxable REIT subsidiary status, we believe that the subsidiaries would instead have been qualified REIT subsidiaries under Section 856(i) of the Internal Revenue Code because we owned 100% of them and they were not properly classified as taxable REIT subsidiaries. As our qualified REIT subsidiaries, the gross income from the subsidiaries' healthcare facilities would be treated as our own, and as a general matter would be nonqualifying income for purposes of the 75% and 95% gross income tests discussed below. We expect to take steps to qualify for the 75% and 95% gross income tests under the relief provision described below, including for example attaching an applicable schedule of gross income to our 2001 federal income tax return as required by Section 856(c)(6)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code. Thus, even if the IRS or a court ultimately determines that these subsidiaries failed to qualify as our taxable REIT subsidiaries, and that this failure thereby implicated our compliance with the 75% and 95% gross income tests discussed below, we expect we would qualify for the gross income tests' relief provision and thereby preserve our qualification as a REIT. If this relief provision were to apply to us, we would be subject to tax at a 100% rate on the greater of the amount by which we failed the 75% or the 95% gross income test, with adjustments, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability for the taxable year; however, we would expect to owe little or no tax in these circumstances.

INCOME TESTS. There are two gross income requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code:

- o At least 75% of our gross income, excluding gross income from sales or other dispositions of property held primarily for sale, must be derived from investments relating to real property, including "rents from real property" as defined under Section 856 of the Internal Revenue Code, mortgages on real property, or shares in other REITs. When we receive new capital in exchange for our shares or in a public offering of five-year or longer debt instruments, income attributable to the temporary investment of this new capital in stock or a debt instrument, if received or accrued within one year of our receipt of the new capital, is generally also qualifying income under the 75% test.
- o At least 95% of our gross income, excluding gross income from sales or other dispositions of property held primarily for sale, must be derived from a combination of items of real property income that satisfy the 75%

test described above, dividends, interest, payments under interest rate swap or cap agreements, options, futures contracts, forward rate agreements, or similar financial instruments, and gains from the sale or disposition of stock, securities, or real property.

-10-

For purposes of these two requirements, income derived from a "shared appreciation provision" in a mortgage loan is generally treated as gain recognized on the sale of the property to which it relates. Although we will use our best efforts to ensure that the income generated by our investments will be of a type which satisfies both the 75% and 95% gross income tests, there can be no assurance in this regard.

In order to qualify as "rents from real property" under Section 856 of the Internal Revenue Code, several requirements must be met:

- o The amount of rent received generally must not be based on the income or profits of any person, but may be based on receipts or sales.
- o Rents do not qualify if the REIT owns 10% or more by vote or value of the tenant, whether directly or after application of attribution rules. While we intend not to lease property to any party if rents from that property would not qualify as rents from real property, application of the 10% ownership rule is dependent upon complex attribution rules and circumstances that may be beyond our control. For example, an unaffiliated third party's ownership directly or by attribution of 10% or more by value of our shares, or 10% or more by value of HRPT Properties Trust's shares for so long as HRPT Properties Trust owns 10% or more by value of us, as well as 10% or more by vote or value of the stock of one of our tenants, would result in that tenant's rents not qualifying as rents from real property. Our declaration of trust disallows transfers or purported acquisitions, directly or by attribution, of our shares that could result in disqualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code and permits our trustees to repurchase the shares to the extent necessary to maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that these provisions in our declaration of trust will be effective to prevent REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code from being jeopardized under the 10% affiliated tenant rule. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to monitor and enforce these restrictions, nor will our shareholders necessarily be aware of ownership of shares attributed to them under the Internal Revenue Code's attribution rules.
- o For our 2001 taxable year and thereafter, there is a limited exception to the above prohibition on earning "rents from real property" from a 10% affiliated tenant, if the tenant is a taxable REIT subsidiary. If at least 90% of the leased space of a property is leased to tenants other than taxable REIT subsidiaries and 10% affiliated tenants, and if the taxable REIT subsidiary's rent for space at that property is substantially comparable to the rents paid by nonaffiliated tenants for comparable space at the property, then otherwise qualifying rents paid by the taxable REIT subsidiary to the REIT will not be disqualified on account of the rule prohibiting 10% affiliated tenants.
- o In order for rents to qualify, we generally must not manage the property or furnish or render services to the tenants of the property, except through an independent contractor from whom we derive no income or, for our 2001 taxable year and thereafter, through one

-11-

of our taxable REIT subsidiaries. There is an exception to this rule permitting a REIT to perform customary tenant services of the sort which a tax-exempt organization could perform without being considered in receipt of "unrelated business taxable income" as defined in Section 512(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. In addition, a DE MINIMIS amount of noncustomary services will not disqualify income as "rents from real property" so long as the value of the impermissible services does not exceed 1% of the gross income from the property.

o If rent attributable to personal property leased in connection with a lease of real property is 15% or less of the total rent received under the lease, then the rent attributable to personal property will qualify as "rents from real property", if this 15% threshold is exceeded, the rent attributable to personal property will not so qualify. For our taxable years through December 31, 2000, the portion of rental income treated as attributable to personal property is determined according to the ratio of the tax basis of the personal property to the total tax basis of the real and personal property which is rented. For our 2001 taxable year and thereafter, the ratio will be determined by reference to fair market values rather than tax bases.

We believe that all or substantially all our rents have qualified and will qualify as rents from real property for purposes of Section 856 of the Internal Revenue Code.

In order to qualify as mortgage interest on real property for purposes of the 75% test, interest must derive from a mortgage loan secured by real property with a fair market value, at the time the loan is made, at least equal to the amount of the loan. If the amount of the loan exceeds the fair market value of the real property, the interest will be treated as interest on a mortgage loan in a ratio equal to the ratio of the fair market value of the real property to the total amount of the mortgage loan.

In our 2000 taxable year, we reduced to possession several healthcare facilities, including both the real property and the incidental personal property at these facilities, in each case after a default or imminent default on either a loan secured by the facility or a lease of the facility. As of and subsequent to December 31, 2001, these facilities are leased to Five Star Quality Care, Inc., and we believe the rents from these facilities qualify as "rents from real property". For periods before we began leasing these facilities to Five Star Quality Care, Inc., gross operating income from the facilities would not have qualified under the 75% and 95% gross income tests in the absence of "foreclosure property" treatment under Section 856(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, and would likely have disqualified us from being a REIT. As foreclosure property, however, gross operating income from our repossessed facilities qualified under the 75% and 95% gross income tests. Further, any gain we recognized on the sale of foreclosure property, plus any income we received from foreclosure property that would not qualify under the 75% gross income test in the absence of foreclosure property treatment, reduced by our expenses directly connected with the production of those items of income, was subject to tax at the maximum corporate rate of 35%.

-12-

We believe that we were eligible, pursuant to Section 856(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, to treat our repossessed facilities as "foreclosure property," and we made an election to that effect with our 2000 federal income tax return. However, a repossessed facility's status as foreclosure property

would have ceased upon the earlier of:

- o the date we began to lease the facility on terms that gave rise to income that did not qualify under the 75% gross income test, or the date we began to receive or accrue income pursuant to a lease, directly or indirectly, that did not qualify under the 75% gross income test,
- o the first day after repossession on which construction took place, other than completion of a building or other improvement where more than 10% of the construction was completed before our tenant's or debtor's default became imminent, or
- o the first day more than 90 days after repossession that we did not retain an independent contractor, from whom we did not derive or receive any income, to operate the facility on our behalf.

We do not believe that foreclosure property status for the repossessed facilities terminated at any point before our lease of these properties to Five Star Quality Care, Inc. began. We retained an independent contractor from whom we did not derive or receive any income to oversee the day-to-day operation of our repossessed facilities, and although there can be no assurance in this regard, we believe that our repossessed facilities qualified as foreclosure property under Section 856(e) of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, we believe that gross operating income from these repossessed facilities qualified under the 75% and 95% gross income tests.

Other than sales of foreclosure property, any gain we realize on the sale of property held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business will be treated as income from a prohibited transaction that is subject to a penalty tax at a 100% rate. This prohibited transaction income also may adversely affect our ability to satisfy the 75% and 95% gross income tests for federal income tax qualification as a REIT. We cannot provide assurances as to whether or not the IRS might successfully assert that one or more of our dispositions is subject to the 100% penalty tax. However, we believe that dispositions of assets that we might make will not be subject to the 100% penalty tax, because we intend to:

- o own our assets for investment with a view to long-term income production and capital appreciation;
- o engage in the business of developing, owning and operating our existing properties and acquiring, developing, owning and operating new properties; and

-13-

o  $\mbox{make occasional dispositions of our assets consistent with our long-term investment objectives.}$ 

If we fail to satisfy one or both of the 75% or 95% gross income tests for any taxable year, we may nevertheless qualify as a REIT for that year if:

- o our failure to meet the test was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect;
- o we report the nature and amount of each item of our income included in the 75% or 95% gross income tests for that taxable year on a schedule attached to our tax return; and
- o any incorrect information on the schedule was not due to fraud with intent to evade tax.

It is impossible to state whether in all circumstances we would be entitled to the benefit of this relief provision for the 75% and 95% gross income tests. Even if this relief provision did apply, a special tax equal to 100% is imposed upon the greater of the amount by which we failed the 75% test or the 95% test with certain adjustments, multiplied by a fraction intended to reflect our profitability.

ASSET TESTS. At the close of each quarter of each taxable year, we must also satisfy these asset percentage tests in order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes:

- o At least 75% of our total assets must consist of real estate assets, cash and cash items, shares in other REITs, government securities, and stock or debt instruments purchased with proceeds of a stock offering or an offering of our debt with a term of at least five years, but only for the one-year period commencing with our receipt of the offering proceeds.
- o Not more than 25% of our total assets may be represented by securities other than those securities that count favorably toward the preceding 75% asset test.
- o Of the investments included in the preceding 25% asset class, the value of any one non-REIT issuer's securities that we own may not exceed 5% of the value of our total assets, and we may not own more than 10% of any one non-REIT issuer's outstanding voting securities. For our 2001 taxable year and thereafter, we may not own more than 10% of the vote or value of any one non-REIT issuer's outstanding securities, unless that issuer is our taxable REIT subsidiary or the securities are straight debt securities.
- o For our 2001 taxable year and thereafter, our stock and securities in a taxable REIT subsidiary are exempted from the preceding 10% and 5% asset tests. However, no more

-14-

than 20% of our total assets may be represented by stock or securities of taxable REIT subsidiaries.

When a failure to satisfy the above asset tests results from an acquisition of securities or other property during a quarter, the failure can be cured by disposition of sufficient nonqualifying assets within 30 days after the close of that quarter. We intend to maintain records of the value of our assets to document our compliance with the above asset tests, and to take actions as may be required to cure any failure to satisfy the tests within 30 days after the close of any quarter.

OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH FIVE STAR. In 2001, we and HRPT Properties Trust spun off substantially all of our Five Star Quality Care, Inc. common shares. In addition, our leases with Five Star, Five Star's charter and bylaws, and the transaction agreement governing the spin-off collectively contain restrictions upon the ownership of Five Star common shares and require Five Star to refrain from taking any actions that may jeopardize our qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, including actions which would result in our or our principal shareholder, HRPT Properties Trust, obtaining actual or constructive ownership of 10% or more of the Five Star common shares. Accordingly, commencing with our 2002 taxable year, we expect that the rental income we receive from Five Star and its subsidiaries will be "rents from real property," and thus qualifying income under the 75% and 95% gross income tests described above.

ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION REQUIREMENTS. In order to qualify for taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we are required to make annual distributions other than capital gain dividends to our shareholders in an amount at least equal to the excess of:

- (A) the sum of 90% of our "real estate investment trust taxable income," as defined in Section 857 of the Internal Revenue Code, computed by excluding any net capital gain and before taking into account any dividends paid deduction for which we are eligible, and 90% of our net income after tax, if any, from property received in foreclosure, over
- (B) the sum of our qualifying noncash income, E.G., imputed rental income or income from transactions inadvertently failing to qualify as like-kind exchanges.

Prior to our 2001 taxable year, the preceding 90% percentages were 95%. The distributions must be paid in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year if declared before we timely file our tax return for the earlier taxable year and if paid on or before the first regular distribution payment after that declaration. If a dividend is declared in October, November, or December to shareholders of record during one of those months, and if the dividend is paid during the following January, then for federal income tax purposes the dividend will be treated as having been both paid and received on December 31 of the prior taxable year. A distribution which is not pro rata within a class of our beneficial interests entitled to a distribution, or which is not consistent with the rights to distributions among our classes of beneficial interests, is a preferential distribution that is not taken into consideration for purposes of the distribution requirements, and

-15-

accordingly the payment of a preferential distribution could affect our ability to meet the distribution requirements. Taking into account our distribution policies, including the dividend reinvestment plan we have adopted, we expect that we will not make any preferential distributions. The distribution requirements may be waived by the IRS if a REIT establishes that it failed to meet them by reason of distributions previously made to meet the requirements of the 4% excise tax discussed below. To the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gain and all of our real estate investment trust taxable income, as adjusted, we will be subject to tax on undistributed amounts.

In addition, we will be subject to a 4% excise tax to the extent we fail within a calendar year to make required distributions to our shareholders of 85% of our ordinary income and 95% of our capital gain net income plus the excess, if any, of the "grossed up required distribution" for the preceding calendar year over the amount treated as distributed for that preceding calendar year. For this purpose, the term "grossed up required distribution" for any calendar year is the sum of our taxable income for the calendar year without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and all amounts from earlier years that are not treated as having been distributed under the provision.

If we do not have enough cash or other liquid assets to meet the 90% distribution requirements, we may find it necessary to arrange for new debt or equity financing to provide funds for required distributions, or else our REIT status for federal income tax purposes could be jeopardized. We can provide no assurance that financing would be available for these purposes on favorable terms.

If we fail to distribute sufficient dividends for any year, we may be able to rectify this failure by paying "deficiency dividends" to shareholders in

a later year. These deficiency dividends may be included in our deduction for dividends paid for the earlier year, but an interest charge would be imposed upon us for the delay in distribution. Although we may be able to avoid being taxed on amounts distributed as deficiency dividends, we will remain liable for the 4% excise tax discussed above.

In addition to the other distribution requirements above, to preserve our status as a REIT we are required to timely distribute earnings and profits that we inherit from acquired C corporations, for example, the subsidiaries we acquired on January 11, 2002. However, as explained below, our investigation indicates that we did not inherit earnings and profits from these subsidiaries that will jeopardize our status as a REIT.

THE ACQUISITION OF 31 SENIOR LIVING COMMUNITIES

On January 11, 2002, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of a subsidiary of a domestic C corporation. At the time of that acquisition, this subsidiary directly or indirectly

-16-

owned all of the outstanding equity interests in lower tier, corporate and noncorporate subsidiaries. Upon our acquisition, each of the acquired entities became either our qualified REIT subsidiary under Section 856(i) of the Internal Revenue Code or a disregarded entity under Treasury regulations issued under Section 7701 of the Internal Revenue Code. Thus, after the acquisition, all assets, liabilities and items of income, deduction and credit of wholly-owned subsidiaries have been treated as ours for purposes of the various REIT qualification tests described above. In addition, we generally are treated as the successor to the acquired subsidiaries' federal income tax attributes, such as those entities' adjusted tax bases in their assets and their depreciation schedules; we are also treated as the successor to the acquired corporate subsidiaries' earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes, if any.

BUILT-IN GAINS FROM C CORPORATIONS. As described above, notwithstanding our qualification and taxation as a REIT, we may still be subject to corporate taxation in particular circumstances. Specifically, if we acquire an asset from a C corporation in a transaction in which our adjusted tax basis in the asset is determined by reference to the adjusted tax basis of that asset in the hands of the C corporation, and if we subsequently recognize gain on the disposition of that asset during the ten year period following the acquisition, then we will generally pay tax at the highest regular corporate tax rate, currently 35%, on the lesser of (1) the excess at the time we acquired the asset, if any, of the asset's fair market value over its then adjusted tax basis, or (2) our gain recognized in the disposition. Accordingly, any taxable disposition of an asset acquired in the January 11, 2002 transaction during the ten-year period commencing on that date could be subject to tax under these rules. However, except as described below, we have not disposed, and have no present plan or intent to dispose, of any assets acquired in this transaction.

Also on January 11, 2002, we conveyed to Five Star and its subsidiaries operating assets that were of a type that are typically owned by the tenant of a senior living facility. In exchange, Five Star and its subsidiaries assumed related operating liabilities. The aggregate adjusted tax basis in the transferred operating assets was less than the related liabilities assumed, and Five Star and its subsidiaries have received a cash payment from us in the amount of the estimated difference. We believe that the fair market value of these conveyed operating assets will equal their adjusted tax bases, and we and Five Star agreed to do our respective tax return reporting to that effect. Accordingly, although Sullivan & Worcester LLP is unable to render an opinion on factual determinations such as assets' fair market value, we expect to report no

gain or loss, and therefore to owe no corporate level tax under the rules for dispositions of former C corporation assets, in respect of this conveyance of operating assets to Five Star.

EARNINGS AND PROFITS. A REIT may not at the end of any taxable year have any undistributed earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes that are attributable to a C corporation. Upon the closing of the January 11, 2002 transaction, we succeeded to the undistributed earnings and profits, if any, of the acquired corporate subsidiaries. Thus, we need

-17-

to distribute all of these earnings and profits no later than December 31, 2002. If we fail to do so, we will not qualify as a REIT for 2002 and thereafter unless a relief provision applies.

Although Sullivan & Worcester LLP is unable to render an opinion on factual determinations such as the amount of undistributed earnings and profits, we have made a preliminary investigation of the amount of undistributed earnings and profits that we inherited in the January 11, 2002 transaction. At present, we believe that we did not acquire any undistributed earnings and profits in this transaction that will remain undistributed on December 31, 2002 after taking into account our anticipated distributions for 2002. However, there can be no assurance that the IRS would not, upon subsequent examination, propose adjustments to the undistributed earnings and profits that we inherited as a result of the January 11, 2002 transaction. In examining the calculation of undistributed earnings and profits that we inherited, the IRS might consider all taxable years of the acquired subsidiaries as open for review for purposes of its proposed adjustments.

If we discover that we have inherited undistributed earnings and profits in the January 11, 2002 transaction that would not be eliminated by December 31, 2002 through our distributions made during 2002, we may choose to preserve our qualification and taxation as a REIT by making a special distribution for our 2002 taxable year. If, despite these best efforts during our 2002 taxable year, it is subsequently determined that we had undistributed earnings and profits from the January 11, 2002 transaction at December 31, 2002, we may be eligible for a relief provision similar to the "deficiency dividends" procedure described above. To utilize this relief provision, we would have to pay an interest charge for the delay in distributing the undistributed earnings and profits; in addition, we would be required to distribute to our shareholders, in addition to our other REIT distribution requirements, the amount of the undistributed earnings and profits less the interest charge paid.

#### DEPRECIATION AND FEDERAL INCOME TAX TREATMENT OF LEASES

Our initial tax bases in our assets will generally be our acquisition cost. We will generally depreciate our real property on a straight-line basis over 40 years and our personal property over 12 years. These depreciation schedules may vary for properties that we acquire through tax-free or carryover basis acquisitions.

The initial tax bases and depreciation schedules for our assets we held immediately after we were spun off from HRPT Properties Trust depends upon whether the deemed exchange that resulted from that spin-off was an exchange under Section 351(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. We believe that Section 351(a) treatment was appropriate. Therefore, we carried over HRPT Properties Trust's tax basis and depreciation schedule in each of the assets, and to the extent that

HRPT Properties Trust recognized gain on an asset in the deemed exchange, we obtained additional tax basis in that asset which we depreciate in the same manner as we depreciate newly purchased assets. In contrast, if Section 351(a) treatment was not appropriate for the deemed exchange, then we will be treated as though we acquired all our assets at the time of the spin-off in a fully taxable acquisition, thereby acquiring aggregate tax bases in these assets equal to the aggregate amount realized by HRPT Properties Trust in the deemed exchange, and it would then be appropriate to depreciate these tax bases in the same manner as we depreciate newly purchased assets. We believe, and Sullivan & Worcester LLP has opined, that it is likely that the deemed exchange was an exchange under Section 351(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and we will perform all our tax reporting accordingly. We may be required to amend these tax reports, including those sent to our shareholders, if the IRS successfully challenges our position that the deemed exchange is an exchange under Section 351(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. We intend to comply with the annual REIT distribution requirements regardless of whether the deemed exchange was an exchange under Section 351(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

We will be entitled to depreciation deductions from our facilities only if we are treated for federal income tax purposes as the owner of the facilities. This means that the leases of the facilities must be classified for federal income tax purposes as true leases, rather than as sales or financing arrangements, and we believe this to be the case. In the case of sale-leaseback arrangements, the IRS could assert that we realized prepaid rental income in the year of purchase to the extent that the value of a leased property, at the time of purchase, exceeded the purchase price for that property. While we believe that the value of leased property at the time of purchase did not exceed purchase prices, because of the lack of clear precedent we cannot provide assurances as to whether the IRS might successfully assert the existence of prepaid rental income in any of our sale-leaseback transactions.

#### TAXATION OF U.S. SHAREHOLDERS

As long as we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, a distribution to our U.S. shareholders that we do not designate as a capital gain dividend will be treated as an ordinary income dividend to the extent that it is made out of current or accumulated earnings and profits. Distributions made out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits that we properly designate as capital gain dividends will be taxed as long-term capital gains, as discussed below, to the extent they do not exceed our actual net capital gain for the taxable year. However, corporate shareholders may be required to treat up to 20% of any capital gain dividend as ordinary income under Section 291 of the Internal Revenue Code.

In addition, we may elect to retain net capital gain income and treat it as constructively distributed. In that case:

(1) we will be taxed at regular corporate capital gains tax rates on retained amounts,

-19-

- (2) each U.S. shareholder will be taxed on its designated proportionate share of our retained net capital gains as though that amount were distributed and designated a capital gain dividend,
- (3) each U.S. shareholder will receive a credit for its designated proportionate share of the tax that we pay,

- (4) each U.S. shareholder will increase its adjusted basis in our shares by the excess of the amount of its proportionate share of these retained net capital gains over its proportionate share of this tax that we pay, and
- (5) both we and our corporate shareholders will make commensurate adjustments in our respective earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes.

If we elect to retain our net capital gains in this fashion, we will notify our U.S. shareholders of the relevant tax information within 60 days after the close of the affected taxable year.

For noncorporate U.S. shareholders, long-term capital gains are generally taxed at maximum rates of 20% or 25%, depending upon the type of property disposed of and the previously claimed depreciation with respect to this property. If for any taxable year we designate as capital gain dividends any portion of the dividends paid or made available for the year to our U.S. shareholders, including our retained capital gains treated as capital gain dividends, then the portion of the capital gain dividends so designated that will be allocated to the holders of a particular class of shares will on a percentage basis equal the ratio of the amount of the total dividends paid or made available for the year to the holders of that class of shares to the total dividends paid or made available for the year to holders of all classes of our shares. We will similarly designate the portion of any capital gain dividend that is to be taxed to noncorporate U.S. shareholders at the maximum rates of 20% or 25% so that the designations will be proportionate among all classes of our shares.

Distributions in excess of current or accumulated earnings and profits will not be taxable to a U.S. shareholder to the extent that they do not exceed the shareholder's adjusted basis in the shareholder's shares, but will reduce the shareholder's basis in those shares. To the extent that these excess distributions exceed the adjusted basis of a U.S. shareholder's shares, they will be included in income as capital gain, with long-term gain generally taxed to noncorporate U.S. shareholders at a maximum rate of 20%. No U.S. shareholder may include on his federal income tax return any of our net operating losses or any of our capital losses.

Dividends that we declare in October, November or December of a taxable year to U.S. shareholders of record on a date in those months will be deemed to have been received by shareholders on December 31 of that taxable year, provided we actually pay these dividends during

-20-

the following January. Also, items that are treated differently for regular and alternative minimum tax purposes are to be allocated between a REIT and its shareholders under Treasury regulations which are to be prescribed. It is possible that these Treasury regulations will require tax preference items to be allocated to our shareholders with respect to any accelerated depreciation or other tax preference items that we claim.

A U.S. shareholder's sale or exchange of our shares will result in recognition of gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized and the shareholder's adjusted basis in the shares sold or exchanged. This gain or loss will be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the shareholder's holding period in the shares exceeds one year. In addition, any loss upon a sale or exchange of our shares held for six months or less will generally be treated as a long-term capital loss to the extent of our long-term capital gain dividends during the holding period.

Noncorporate U.S. shareholders who borrow funds to finance their acquisition of our shares could be limited in the amount of deductions allowed for the interest paid on the indebtedness incurred. Under Section 163(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, interest paid or accrued on indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase or carry property held for investment is generally deductible only to the extent of the investor's net investment income. A U.S. shareholder's net investment income will include ordinary income dividend distributions received from us and, if an appropriate election is made by the shareholder, capital gain dividend distributions received from us; however, distributions treated as a nontaxable return of the shareholder's basis will not enter into the computation of net investment income.

#### TAXATION OF TAX-EXEMPT SHAREHOLDERS

In Revenue Ruling 66-106, the IRS ruled that amounts distributed by a REIT to a tax-exempt employees' pension trust did not constitute "unrelated business taxable income," even though the REIT may have financed some its activities with acquisition indebtedness. Although revenue rulings are interpretive in nature and subject to revocation or modification by the IRS, based upon the analysis and conclusion of Revenue Ruling 66-106, our distributions made to shareholders that are tax-exempt pension plans, individual retirement accounts, or other qualifying tax-exempt entities should not constitute unrelated business taxable income, unless the shareholder has financed its acquisition of our shares with "acquisition indebtedness" within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code.

Special rules apply to tax-exempt pension trusts, including so-called 401(k) plans but excluding individual retirement accounts or government pension plans, that own more than 10% by value of a "pension-held REIT" at any time during a taxable year. The pension trust may be required to treat a percentage of all dividends received from the pension-held REIT during the year as unrelated business taxable income. This percentage is equal to the ratio of:

-21-

- (1) the pension-held REIT's gross income derived from the conduct of unrelated trades or businesses, determined as if the pension-held REIT were a tax-exempt pension fund, less direct expenses related to that income, to
- (2) the pension-held REIT's gross income from all sources, less direct expenses related to that income,

except that this percentage shall be deemed to be zero unless it would otherwise equal or exceed 5%. A REIT is a pension-held REIT if:

- o the REIT is "predominantly held" by tax-exempt pension trusts, and
- o the REIT would otherwise fail to satisfy the "closely held" ownership requirement discussed above if the stock or beneficial interests in the REIT held by tax-exempt pension trusts were viewed as held by tax-exempt pension trusts rather than by their respective beneficiaries.

A REIT is predominantly held by tax-exempt pension trusts if at least one tax-exempt pension trust owns more than 25% by value of the REIT's stock or beneficial interests, or if one or more tax-exempt pension trusts, each owning more than 10% by value of the REIT's stock or beneficial interests, own in the aggregate more than 50% by value of the REIT's stock or beneficial interests. Because of the restrictions in our declaration of trust regarding the ownership concentration of our shares, we believe that we are not and will not be a pension-held REIT. However, because our shares are publicly traded, we cannot completely control whether or not we are or will become a pension-held REIT.

TAXATION OF NON-U.S. SHAREHOLDERS

The rules governing the United States federal income taxation of non-U.S. shareholders are complex, and the following discussion is intended only as a summary of these rules. If you are a non-U.S. shareholder, we urge you to consult with your own tax advisor to determine the impact of United States federal, state, local, and foreign tax laws, including any tax return filing and other reporting requirements, with respect to your investment in our shares.

In general, a non-U.S. shareholder will be subject to regular United States federal income tax in the same manner as a U.S. shareholder with respect to its investment in our shares if that investment is effectively connected with the non-U.S. shareholder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. In addition, a corporate non-U.S. shareholder that receives income that is or is deemed effectively connected with a trade or business in the United States may also be subject to the 30% branch profits tax under Section 884 of the Internal Revenue Code, which is payable in

-22-

addition to regular United States federal corporate income tax. The balance of this discussion of the United States federal income taxation of non-U.S. shareholders addresses only those non-U.S. shareholders whose investment in our shares is not effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States.

A distribution by us to a non-U.S. shareholder that is not attributable to gain from the sale or exchange of a United States real property interest and that is not designated as a capital gain dividend will be treated as an ordinary income dividend to the extent that it is made out of current or accumulated earnings and profits. A distribution of this type will generally be subject to United States federal income tax and withholding at the rate of 30%, or the lower rate that may be specified by a tax treaty if the non-U.S. shareholder has in the manner prescribed by the IRS demonstrated its entitlement to benefits under a tax treaty. Because we cannot determine our current and accumulated earnings and profits until the end of the taxable year, withholding at the rate of 30% or applicable lower treaty rate will generally be imposed on the gross amount of any distribution to a non-U.S. shareholder that we make and do not designate a capital gain dividend. Notwithstanding this withholding on distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, these distributions are a nontaxable return of capital to the extent that they do not exceed the non-U.S. shareholder's adjusted basis in our shares, and the nontaxable return of capital will reduce the adjusted basis in these shares. To the extent that distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits exceed the non-U.S. shareholder's adjusted basis in our shares, the distributions will give rise to tax liability if the non-U.S. shareholder would otherwise be subject to tax on any gain from the sale or exchange of these shares, as discussed below. A non-U.S. shareholder may seek a refund from the IRS of amounts withheld on distributions to him in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits.

For any year in which we qualify as a REIT, distributions that are attributable to gain from the sale or exchange of a United States real property interest are taxed to a non-U.S. shareholder as if these distributions were gains effectively connected with a trade or business in the United States conducted by the non-U.S. shareholder. Accordingly, a non-U.S. shareholder will be taxed on these amounts at the normal capital gain rates applicable to a U.S. shareholder, subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax and to a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals; the non-U.S. shareholder will be required to file a United States federal income tax

return reporting these amounts, even if applicable withholding is imposed as described below; and corporate non-U.S. shareholders may owe the 30% branch profits tax under Section 884 of the Internal Revenue Code in respect of these amounts. We will be required to withhold from distributions to non-U.S. shareholders, and remit to the IRS, 35% of the maximum amount of any distribution that could be designated as a capital gain dividend. In addition, for purposes of this withholding rule, if we designate prior distributions as capital gain dividends, then subsequent distributions up to the amount of the designated prior distributions will be treated as capital gain dividends. The amount of any tax withheld is creditable against the non-U.S. shareholder's United States federal income tax liability, and any amount of tax withheld in excess of that tax liability may be refunded if an appropriate claim for refund is filed with the IRS. If for any taxable year we designate as capital gain dividends any portion of the dividends paid or made available for the year to our shareholders, including our retained capital gains treated as capital gain dividends, then the portion of the capital gain dividends so designated that will be allocated to

-23-

the holders of a particular class of shares will on a percentage basis equal the ratio of the amount of the total dividends paid or made available for the year to the holders of that class of shares to the total dividends paid or made available for the year to holders of all classes of our shares.

Tax treaties may reduce the withholding obligations on our distributions. Under some treaties, however, rates below 30% that are applicable to ordinary income dividends from United States corporations may not apply to ordinary income dividends from a REIT. You must generally use an applicable IRS Form W-8, or substantially similar form, to claim tax treaty benefits. If the amount of tax withheld by us with respect to a distribution to a non-U.S. shareholder exceeds the shareholder's United States federal income tax liability with respect to the distribution, the non-U.S. shareholder may file for a refund of the excess from the IRS. In this regard, note that the 35% withholding tax rate on capital gain dividends corresponds to the maximum income tax rate applicable to corporate non-U.S. shareholders but is higher than the 20% and 25% maximum rates on capital gains generally applicable to noncorporate non-U.S. shareholders. Treasury regulations also provide special rules to determine whether, for purposes of determining the applicability of a tax treaty, our distributions to a non-U.S. shareholder that is an entity should be treated as paid to the entity or to those owning an interest in that entity, and whether the entity or its owners are entitled to benefits under the tax treaty. These Treasury regulations require the use of the IRS Forms W-8 series.

If our shares are not "United States real property interests" within the meaning of Section 897 of the Internal Revenue Code, a non-U.S. shareholder's gain on sale of these shares generally will not be subject to United States federal income taxation, except that a nonresident alien individual who was present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year will be subject to a 30% tax on this gain. Our shares will not constitute a United States real property interest if we are a "domestically controlled REIT." A domestically controlled REIT is a REIT in which at all times during the preceding five-year period less than 50% in value of its shares is held directly or indirectly by foreign persons. We believe that we are and will be a domestically controlled REIT and thus a non-U.S. shareholder's gain on sale of our shares will not be subject to United States federal income taxation. However, because our shares are publicly traded, we can provide no assurance that we will be a domestically controlled REIT. If we are not a domestically controlled REIT, a non-U.S. shareholder's gain on sale of our shares will not be subject to United States federal income taxation as a sale of a United States real property interest, if that class of shares is "regularly traded," as

defined by applicable Treasury regulations, on an established securities market like the New York Stock Exchange, and the non-U.S. shareholder has at all times during the preceding five years owned 5% or less by value of that class of shares. If the gain on the sale of our shares were subject to United States federal income taxation, the non-U.S. shareholder will generally be subject to the same treatment as a U.S. shareholder with respect to its gain, will be required to file a United States federal income tax return reporting that gain, and in the case of corporate non-U.S. shareholders might owe branch profits tax under Section 884 of the Internal Revenue Code. A purchaser of our shares from a non-U.S. shareholder will not be required to withhold on the purchase price if the purchased shares are regularly traded on an established securities market or if we are a domestically controlled REIT. Otherwise, a purchaser of our shares from a non-U.S. shareholder may be required to withhold 10% of the purchase price paid to the non-U.S. shareholder and to remit the withheld amount to the IRS.

-24-

#### BACKUP WITHHOLDING AND INFORMATION REPORTING

Information reporting and backup withholding may apply to distributions or proceeds paid to our shareholders under the circumstances discussed below. The backup withholding rate is currently 30%, but this rate will fall to 28% over the next several years. Amounts withheld under backup withholding are generally not an additional tax and may be refunded or credited against the REIT shareholder's federal income tax liability.

A U.S. shareholder will be subject to backup withholding when it receives distributions on our shares or proceeds upon the sale, exchange, redemption, retirement or other disposition of our shares, unless the U.S. shareholder properly executes under penalties of perjury an IRS Form W-9 or substantially similar form that:

- o provides the U.S. shareholder's correct taxpayer identification number; and
- o certifies that the U.S. shareholder is exempt from backup withholding because it is a corporation or comes within another exempt category, it has not been notified by the IRS that it is subject to backup withholding, or it has been notified by the IRS that it is no longer subject to backup withholding.

If the U.S. shareholder does not provide its correct taxpayer identification number on the IRS Form W-9 or substantially similar form, it may be subject to penalties imposed by the IRS and the REIT or other withholding agent may have to withhold a portion of any capital gain distributions paid to it. Unless the U.S. shareholder has established on a properly executed IRS Form W-9 or substantially similar form that it is a corporation or comes within another exempt category, distributions on our shares paid to it during the calendar year, and the amount of tax withheld, if any, will be reported to it and to the IRS.

Distributions on our shares to a non-U.S. shareholder during each calendar year and the amount of tax withheld, if any, will generally be reported to the non-U.S. shareholder and to the IRS. This information reporting requirement applies regardless of whether the non-U.S. shareholder is subject to withholding on distributions on our shares or whether the withholding was reduced or eliminated by an applicable tax treaty. Also, distributions paid to a non-U.S. shareholder on our shares may be subject to backup withholding, unless the non-U.S. shareholder properly certifies its non-U.S. shareholder status on an IRS Form W-8 or substantially similar form in the manner described above. Similarly, information reporting and backup withholding will not apply to

proceeds a non-U.S. shareholder receives upon the sale, exchange, redemption, retirement or other disposition of our shares, if the non-U.S. shareholder properly certifies its non-U.S. shareholder status on an IRS Form W-8 or substantially similar form. Even without having executed an IRS Form W-8 or substantially similar form, however, in some cases information reporting and backup withholding will not apply to proceeds that a non-U.S. shareholder receives upon the sale, exchange, redemption, retirement or other disposition of our shares if the non-U.S. shareholder receives those proceeds through a broker's foreign office.

-25-

#### OTHER TAX CONSEQUENCES

You should recognize that our and our shareholders' federal income tax treatment may be modified by legislative, judicial, or administrative actions at any time, which actions may be retroactive in effect. The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by the Congress, the IRS and the Treasury Department, and statutory changes, new regulations, revisions to existing regulations, and revised interpretations of established concepts are issued frequently. No prediction can be made as to the likelihood of passage of new tax legislation or other provisions either directly or indirectly affecting us and our shareholders. Revisions in federal income tax laws and interpretations of these laws could adversely affect the tax consequences of an investment in our shares. We and our shareholders may also be subject to state or local taxation in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which we or our shareholders transact business or reside. State and local tax consequences may not be comparable to the federal income tax consequences discussed above. For example, if a state has not updated its REIT taxation provisions to permit taxable REIT subsidiaries, then our use of a taxable REIT subsidiary may disqualify us from favorable taxation as a REIT in that state.

#### ERISA PLANS, KEOGH PLANS AND INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS

GENERAL FIDUCIARY OBLIGATIONS. Fiduciaries of a pension, profit-sharing or other employee benefit plan subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, ERISA, must consider whether:

- o their investment in our shares satisfies the diversification requirements of ERISA;
- o the investment is prudent in light of possible limitations on the marketability of our shares;
- o they have authority to acquire our shares under the applicable governing instrument and Title I of ERISA; and
- o the investment is otherwise consistent with their fiduciary responsibilities.

Trustees and other fiduciaries of an ERISA plan may incur personal liability for any loss suffered by the plan on account of a violation of their fiduciary responsibilities. In addition, these fiduciaries may be subject to a civil penalty of up to 20% of any amount recovered by the plan on account of a violation. Fiduciaries of any IRA, Roth IRA, Keogh Plan or other qualified retirement plan not subject to Title I of ERISA, referred to as "non-ERISA plans," should consider that a plan may only make investments that are authorized by the appropriate governing instrument. Fiduciary shareholders should consult their own legal advisors if they have any concern as to whether the investment is consistent with the foregoing criteria.

-2.6-

PROHIBITED TRANSACTIONS. Fiduciaries of ERISA plans and persons making the investment decision for an IRA or other non-ERISA plan should consider the application of the prohibited transaction provisions of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code in making their investment decision. Sales and other transactions between an ERISA or non-ERISA plan, and persons related to it, are prohibited transactions. The particular facts concerning the sponsorship, operations and other investments of an ERISA plan or non-ERISA plan may cause a wide range of other persons to be treated as disqualified persons or parties in interest with respect to it. A prohibited transaction, in addition to imposing potential personal liability upon fiduciaries of ERISA plans, may also result in the imposition of an excise tax under the Internal Revenue Code or a penalty under ERISA upon the disqualified person or party in interest with respect to the plan. If the disqualified person who engages in the transaction is the individual on behalf of whom an IRA or Roth IRA is maintained or his beneficiary, the IRA or Roth IRA may lose its tax-exempt status and its assets may be deemed to have been distributed to the individual in a taxable distribution on account of the prohibited transaction, but no excise tax will be imposed. Fiduciary shareholders should consult their own legal advisors as to whether the ownership of our shares involves a prohibited transaction.

SPECIAL FIDUCIARY AND PROHIBITED TRANSACTIONS CONSEQUENCES. The Department of Labor, which has administrative responsibility over ERISA plans as well as non-ERISA plans, has issued a regulation defining "plan assets." The regulation generally provides that when an ERISA or non-ERISA plan acquires a security that is an equity interest in an entity and that security is neither a "publicly offered security" nor a security issued by an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the ERISA plan's or non-ERISA plan's assets include both the equity interest and an undivided interest in each of the underlying assets of the entity, unless it is established either that the entity is an operating company or that equity participation in the entity by benefit plan investors is not significant.

Each class of our shares, that is, our common shares and any class of preferred shares that we may issue, must be analyzed separately to ascertain whether it is a publicly offered security. The regulation defines a publicly offered security as a security that is "widely held," "freely transferable" and either part of a class of securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or sold under an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, provided the securities are registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year of the issuer during which the offering occurred. All our outstanding shares have been registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The regulation provides that a security is "widely held" only if it is part of a class of securities that is owned by 100 or more investors independent of the issuer and of one another. However, a security will not fail to be "widely held" because the number of independent investors falls below 100 subsequent to the initial public offering as a result of events beyond the issuer's control. Our common shares have been widely held and we expect our common shares to continue to be widely held. We expect the same to be true of any class of preferred stock that we may issue, but we can give no assurance in that regard.

-27-

The regulation provides that whether a security is "freely transferable" is a factual question to be determined on the basis of all relevant facts and circumstances. The regulation further provides that, where a

security is part of an offering in which the minimum investment is \$10,000 or less, some restrictions on transfer ordinarily will not, alone or in combination, affect a finding that these securities are freely transferable. The restrictions on transfer enumerated in the regulation as not affecting that finding include:

- o any restriction on or prohibition against any transfer or assignment which would result in a termination or reclassification for federal or state tax purposes, or would otherwise violate any state or federal law or court order;
- o any requirement that advance notice of a transfer or assignment be given to the issuer and any requirement that either the transferor or transferee, or both, execute documentation setting forth representations as to compliance with any restrictions on transfer which are among those enumerated in the regulation as not affecting free transferability, including those described in the preceding clause of this sentence;
- o any administrative procedure which establishes an effective date, or an event prior to which a transfer or assignment will not be effective; and
- o any limitation or restriction on transfer or assignment which is not imposed by the issuer or a person acting on behalf of the issuer.

We believe that the restrictions imposed under our declaration of trust on the transfer of shares do not result in the failure of our shares to be "freely transferable." Furthermore, we believe that at present there exist no other facts or circumstances limiting the transferability of our shares which are not included among those enumerated as not affecting their free transferability under the regulation, and we do not expect or intend to impose in the future, or to permit any person to impose on our behalf, any limitations or restrictions on transfer which would not be among the enumerated permissible limitations or restrictions.

Assuming that each class of our shares will be "widely held" and that no other facts and circumstances exist which restrict transferability of these shares, we have received an opinion of our counsel Sullivan & Worcester LLP that our shares will not fail to be "freely transferable" for purposes of the regulation due to the restrictions on transfer of the shares under our declaration of trust and that under the regulation the shares are publicly offered securities and our assets will not be deemed to be "plan assets" of any ERISA plan or non-ERISA plan that invests in our shares.

-28-

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND EXHIBITS.

- (c) Exhibits
- 1.1 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of February 15, 2002, between Senior Housing Properties Trust and the underwriters named therein relating to the sale of 15,000,000 common shares of beneficial interest.
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment to the Declaration of Trust of Senior Housing Properties Trust dated February 13, 2002.
- 4.1 Supplemental Indenture No. 1 by and between Senior Housing Properties Trust and State Street Bank and Trust Company as of December 20, 2001 relating to 8 5/8% Senior Notes due 2012.

- 4.2 Supplemental Indenture No. 2 by and between Senior Housing Properties Trust and State Street Bank and Trust Company as of December 28, 2001 relating to 8 5/8% Senior Notes due 2012.
- 8.1 Legal Opinion of Sullivan & Worcester LLP as to tax matters.
- 23.1 Consent of Sullivan & Worcester LLP (contained in Exhibit 8.1).

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

SENIOR HOUSING PROPERTIES TRUST

By: /s/ David J. Hegarty

\_\_\_\_\_

Name: David J. Hegarty

Title: President, Chief Operating

Officer and Secretary

Date: February 19, 2002