

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP

Form 10-Q

October 29, 2004

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number: 0-6835

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Corporation as Specified in its Charter)

Indiana

35-1286807

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

500 Washington Street Columbus, Indiana

47201

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(812) 376-1909

www.irwinfinancial.com

(Corporation's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Web Site)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes **No**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)

Yes No

As of October 25, 2004, there were 28,350,990 outstanding common shares, no par value, of the Registrant.

Table of Contents

**FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | PAGE NO. |
|--|---------------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>61</u> |
| <u>Exhibits</u> | |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>64</u> |
| <u>302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u> | |
| <u>302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u> | |
| <u>906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u> | |
| <u>906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.****Item 1. Financial Statements.**

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 213,458 | \$ 140,810 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 58,450 | 81,166 |
| Residual interests | 70,968 | 71,491 |
| Investment securities- held-to-maturity (Market value: \$30,175 at September 30, 2004 and \$24,971 at December 31, 2003) | 30,152 | 24,956 |
| Investment securities- available-for-sale | 77,516 | 67,569 |
| Loans held for sale | 971,357 | 883,895 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income Note 2 | 3,401,643 | 3,161,054 |
| Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses Note 3 | (47,796) | (64,285) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | 3,353,847 | 3,096,769 |
| Servicing assets Note 4 | 389,171 | 380,123 |
| Accounts receivable | 84,018 | 62,045 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 16,208 | 15,502 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 31,444 | 32,208 |
| Other assets | 118,982 | 131,825 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total assets | \$5,415,571 | \$4,988,359 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity: | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 981,327 | \$ 850,529 |
| Interest-bearing | 1,777,900 | 1,352,763 |
| Certificates of deposit over \$100,000 | 727,230 | 696,370 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | 3,486,457 | 2,899,662 |
| Short-term borrowings Note 5 | 132,691 | 429,758 |
| Collateralized debt Note 6 | 667,797 | 590,131 |
| Other long-term debt Note 7 | 270,175 | 270,184 |
| Other liabilities | 372,104 | 366,364 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

| | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Total liabilities | <u>4,929,224</u> | <u>4,556,099</u> |
| Commitments and contingencies Note 11 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value authorized 4,000,000 shares; none issued | | |
| Common stock, no par value authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued 29,612,080 shares as of September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively; including 1,277,059 and 1,477,778, shares in treasury as of September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively | 112,000 | 112,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 557 | 1,264 |
| Deferred compensation | (516) | (504) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of deferred income tax expense of \$540 and \$120 as of September 30, 2004, and December 31, 2003, respectively | 810 | 182 |
| Retained earnings | <u>401,338</u> | <u>352,647</u> |
| | 514,189 | 465,589 |
| Less treasury stock, at cost | <u>(27,842)</u> | <u>(33,329)</u> |
| Total shareholders' equity | <u>486,347</u> | <u>432,260</u> |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | <u>\$5,415,571</u> | <u>\$4,988,359</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share) | |
| Interest income: | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ 62,088 | \$ 59,626 |
| Loans held for sale | 23,112 | 33,978 |
| Residual interests | 3,350 | 4,131 |
| Investment securities | 1,371 | 1,125 |
| Federal funds sold | 44 | 20 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest income | 89,965 | 98,880 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Deposits | 11,984 | 9,873 |
| Short-term borrowings | 2,796 | 3,383 |
| Collateralized debt | 3,782 | 4,045 |
| Other long-term debt - Note 7 | 5,743 | 419 |
| Preferred securities distribution - Note 7 | | 5,527 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest expense | 24,305 | 23,247 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net interest income | 65,660 | 75,633 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 1,898 | 14,778 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 63,762 | 60,855 |
| Other income: | | |
| Loan servicing fees | 34,423 | 28,523 |
| Amortization of servicing assets - Note 4 | (28,070) | (34,064) |
| (Impairment) recovery of servicing assets - Note 4 | (18,358) | 41,665 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net loan administration (loss) income | (12,005) | 36,124 |
| Gain from sales of loans | 48,626 | 91,569 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage servicing assets | 440 | 7 |
| Trading gains (losses) | 4,326 | (1,403) |
| Derivative gains (losses), net | 21,045 | (28,046) |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Other | 5,503 | 6,412 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | 67,935 | 104,663 |
| Other expense: | | |
| Salaries | 53,986 | 62,220 |
| Pension and other employee benefits | 12,127 | 9,573 |
| Office expense | 3,271 | 5,330 |
| Premises and equipment | 10,096 | 9,533 |
| Marketing and development | 3,103 | 3,400 |
| Professional fees | 5,132 | 2,980 |
| Other | 14,777 | 21,370 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | 102,492 | 114,406 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Income before income taxes | 29,205 | 51,112 |
| Provision for income taxes | 12,011 | 19,994 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net income | \$ 17,194 | \$ 31,118 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Earnings per share: - Note 9 | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.61 | \$ 1.11 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Diluted | \$ 0.57 | \$ 1.03 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Dividends per share | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.07 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)**

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share) | |
| Interest income: | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ 181,759 | \$ 179,460 |
| Loans held for sale | 59,397 | 86,800 |
| Residual interests | 9,893 | 17,100 |
| Investment securities | 3,733 | 3,134 |
| Federal funds sold | 82 | 119 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest income | 254,864 | 286,613 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Deposits | 31,532 | 32,679 |
| Short-term borrowings | 6,611 | 12,053 |
| Collateralized debt | 10,501 | 11,569 |
| Other long-term debt Note 7 | 17,101 | 1,745 |
| Preferred securities distribution Note 7 | | 16,581 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest expense | 65,745 | 74,627 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net interest income | 189,119 | 211,986 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 11,838 | 37,655 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 177,281 | 174,331 |
| Other income: | | |
| Loan servicing fees | 100,620 | 75,231 |
| Amortization of servicing assets Note 4 | (89,413) | (102,112) |
| Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets Note 4 | 6,210 | (1,966) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net loan administration income (loss) | 17,417 | (28,847) |
| Gain from sales of loans | 140,669 | 311,081 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage servicing assets | 8,857 | 7 |
| Trading gains (losses) | 15,668 | (52,323) |
| Derivative gains (losses), net | 25,869 | (15,904) |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Other | 17,917 | 16,982 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | 226,397 | 230,996 |
| Other expense: | | |
| Salaries | 158,602 | 176,851 |
| Pension and other employee benefits | 34,813 | 31,431 |
| Office expense | 12,950 | 16,200 |
| Premises and equipment | 30,890 | 28,751 |
| Marketing and development | 10,670 | 11,157 |
| Professional fees | 14,436 | 8,664 |
| Other | 48,325 | 40,646 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | 310,686 | 313,700 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Income before income taxes | 92,992 | 91,627 |
| Provision for income taxes | 37,513 | 35,505 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net income | \$ 55,479 | \$ 56,122 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Earnings per share: Note 9 | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.96 | \$ 2.01 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Diluted | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.89 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Dividends per share | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.21 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)
Three Months Ended September 30, 2004, and 2003

| | Total | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Deferred Compensation | Additional Paid in Capital | Common Stock | Treasury Stock |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Balance at July 1, 2004 | \$469,486 | \$386,410 | \$ 71 | \$ (538) | \$ 579 | \$112,000 | \$(29,036) |
| Net income | 17,194 | 17,194 | | | | | |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities net of \$142 tax liability | 212 | | 212 | | | | |
| Foreign currency adjustment net of \$352 tax liability | 527 | | 527 | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income | 17,933 | | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | 22 | | | 22 | | | |
| Cash dividends | (2,266) | (2,266) | | | | | |
| Tax benefit on stock option exercises | 100 | | | | 100 | | |
| Treasury stock: | | | | | | | |
| Purchase of 867 shares | (23) | | | | | | (23) |
| Sales of 52,342 shares | 1,095 | | | | (122) | | 1,217 |
| Balance September 30, 2004 | \$486,347 | \$401,338 | \$ 810 | \$ (516) | \$ 557 | \$112,000 | \$(27,842) |
| Balance at July 1, 2003 | \$384,835 | \$308,760 | \$ (58) | \$ (489) | \$2,422 | \$112,000 | \$(37,800) |
| Net income | 31,118 | 31,118 | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on investment securities net of \$57 tax benefit | (84) | | (84) | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate cap net of \$17 tax liability | 26 | | 26 | | | | |
| Foreign currency adjustment net of \$16 tax benefit | (24) | | (24) | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Total comprehensive income | 31,036 | | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | 68 | | | 68 | | | |
| Cash dividends | (1,959) | (1,959) | | | | | |
| Tax benefit on stock option exercises | 22 | | | | 22 | | |
| Treasury stock: | | | | | | | |
| Purchase of 1,321 shares | (33) | | | | | | (33) |
| Sales of 24,766 shares | 485 | | | | (31) | | 516 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Balance September 30, 2003 | \$414,454 | \$337,919 | \$ (140) | \$ (421) | \$2,413 | \$112,000 | \$(37,317) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004, and 2003

| | Total | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Deferred Compensation | Additional Paid in Capital | Common Stock | Treasury Stock |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2004 | \$432,260 | \$352,647 | \$ 182 | \$ (504) | \$ 1,264 | \$112,000 | \$(33,329) |
| Net income | 55,479 | 55,479 | | | | | |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities net of \$93 tax liability | 139 | | 139 | | | | |
| Unrealized gain on interest rate cap net of \$131 tax liability | 196 | | 196 | | | | |
| Foreign currency adjustment net of \$195 tax liability | 293 | | 293 | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income | 56,107 | | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | (12) | | | (12) | | | |
| Cash dividends | (6,788) | (6,788) | | | | | |
| Tax benefit on stock option exercises | 778 | | | | 778 | | |
| Treasury stock: | | | | | | | |
| Purchase of 11,307 shares | (370) | | | | | | (370) |
| Sales of 212,026 shares | 4,372 | | | | (1,485) | | 5,857 |
| Balance at September 30, 2004 | <u>\$486,347</u> | <u>\$401,338</u> | <u>\$ 810</u> | <u>\$ (516)</u> | <u>\$ 557</u> | <u>\$112,000</u> | <u>\$(27,842)</u> |
| Balance at January 1, 2003 | \$360,555 | \$287,662 | \$(1,142) | \$ (240) | \$ 3,606 | \$112,000 | \$(41,331) |
| Net income | 56,122 | 56,122 | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on investment securities net of \$45 tax benefit | (72) | | (72) | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on | (47) | | (47) | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| interest rate cap net of \$31 tax benefit | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency adjustment net of \$576 tax liability | 865 | | 865 | | | | |
| Minimum SERP liability net of \$170 tax liability | <u>256</u> | | <u>256</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total comprehensive income | 57,124 | | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | (181) | | (181) | | | | |
| Cash dividends | (5,865) | (5,865) | | | | | |
| Conversion of 1,700 trust preferred shares to 2,142 common shares | 43 | | | (1) | | | 44 |
| Tax benefit on stock option exercises | 1,031 | | | 1,031 | | | |
| Treasury stock: Purchase of 122,464 shares | (2,822) | | | | | | (2,822) |
| Sales of 330,666 shares | <u>4,569</u> | | | <u>(2,223)</u> | | | <u>6,792</u> |
| | | | | | | | |
| Balance at September 30, 2003 | <u>\$414,454</u> | <u>\$337,919</u> | <u>\$ (140)</u> | <u>\$ (421)</u> | <u>\$ 2,413</u> | <u>\$112,000</u> | <u>\$(37,317)</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Net income | \$ 55,479 | \$ 56,122 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided (used) by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, amortization, and accretion, net | 6,056 | 8,747 |
| Amortization and impairment of servicing assets | 83,203 | 104,078 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 11,838 | 37,655 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage servicing assets | (8,857) | (7) |
| Gain from sales of loans held for sale | (140,669) | (311,081) |
| Originations and purchases of loans held for sale | (10,934,035) | (21,131,799) |
| Proceeds from sales and repayments of loans held for sale | 10,873,116 | 21,590,397 |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage servicing assets | 29,494 | |
| Net decrease in residuals | 523 | 78,368 |
| Net (increase) decrease in accounts receivable | (21,973) | 16,554 |
| Other, net | 15,567 | 12,694 |
| | (30,258) | 461,728 |
| Lending and investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from maturities/calls of investment securities: | | |
| Held-to-maturity | 92,987 | 495 |
| Available-for-sale | 1,399 | 38,682 |
| Purchase of investment securities: | | |
| Held-to-maturity | (98,395) | (99) |
| Available-for-sale | (10,958) | (83,253) |
| Net decrease (increase) in interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 22,716 | (27,777) |
| Net increase in loans, excluding sales | (303,958) | (379,606) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 36,279 | 33,182 |
| Other, net | (4,857) | (6,125) |
| | (264,787) | (424,501) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 586,795 | 324,931 |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (297,067) | (550,724) |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (9) | (8) |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Proceeds from issuance of collateralized borrowings | 449,223 | 373,658 |
| Repayments of collateralized borrowings | (369,277) | (176,352) |
| Purchase of treasury stock for employee benefit plans | (370) | (2,822) |
| Proceeds from sale of stock for employee benefit plans | 5,150 | 5,600 |
| Dividends paid | (6,788) | (5,865) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | 367,657 | (31,582) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 36 | (144) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | 72,648 | 5,501 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 140,810 | 157,771 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 213,458 | \$ 163,272 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash flow during the period: | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 65,765 | \$ 78,052 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Income taxes (refund) paid | \$ (4,998) | \$ 42,928 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Noncash transactions: | | |
| Liability for loans held for sale eligible for repurchase Note 1 | \$ 67,658 | \$ 111,390 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Conversion of trust preferred stock to common stock | \$ | \$ 43 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation: Irwin Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Corporation) provide financial services throughout the United States and Canada. We are engaged in the mortgage banking, commercial banking, home equity lending, commercial finance, and venture capital lines of business. Our direct and indirect subsidiaries include Irwin Mortgage Corporation, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation and Irwin Ventures, LLC. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the financial statements reflect all material adjustments necessary for a fair presentation. The Corporation does not meet the criteria as primary beneficiary for our wholly-owned trusts holding our company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities established by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. As a result, these trusts are not consolidated.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Residual Interests: Residual interests are stated at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are included in earnings. Until the first quarter of 2002, whenever we sold receivables in securitizations of home equity loans and lines of credit, we sold assets and retained residual interests, one or more subordinated tranches, and in some cases a cash reserve account, all of which are retained interests in the securitized receivables in a manner that qualified for gain-on-sale under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 140. Gain or loss on the sale of the loans depended, in part, on the previous carrying amount of the financial assets involved in the transfer, allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests based on their relative fair value at the date of transfer.

To obtain fair value of residual interests, quoted market prices are used if available. However, quotes are generally not available for residual interests, so we generally estimate fair value based on the present value of expected cash flows using estimates of the key assumptions—prepayment speeds, credit losses, forward yield curves, and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved—that management believes market participants would use to value similar assets. Adjustments to carrying values are recorded as trading gains or losses.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Defined: For purposes of the statement of cash flows, we consider cash and due from banks and federal funds sold to be cash equivalents.

Loans Held for Sale: Included in loans held for sale at the mortgage line of business were loans for which we have the right, but not the obligation, to repurchase due to default, under the terms of the government servicing agency contracts. Upon default, we have the non-contingent right to repurchase these loans which causes repurchase accounting under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. The liability associated with these loans is reflected in other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation: We have two stock-based employee compensation plans. We use the intrinsic value method to account for our plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income for any of the periods presented, as all options granted under these plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. To date, the

Board of Directors has not chosen to expense stock options. The Board wishes to analyze new guidance from the FASB, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and other relevant authorities regarding the standardization of valuation methods. Accordingly, we plan to begin expensing options when the new requirements become effective or earlier should the Board of Directors determine it to be in the best interests of our stakeholders. We will continue to disclose the impact of expensing stock options, using our valuation method, which is based on a Black-Scholes model using assumptions management believes to be reasonable. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation:

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net income as reported | \$ 17,194 | \$ 31,118 | \$ 55,479 | \$ 56,122 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (670) | (699) | (1,969) | (2,187) |
| Pro forma net income | <u>\$ 16,524</u> | <u>\$ 30,419</u> | <u>\$ 53,510</u> | <u>\$ 53,935</u> |
| Basic earnings per share | | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.61 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.96 | \$ 2.01 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.58 | \$ 1.09 | \$ 1.89 | \$ 1.93 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.57 | \$ 1.03 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.89 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.55 | \$ 1.01 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.82 |

Recent Accounting Developments: We adopted FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities as of December 31, 2003 and as a result, deconsolidated IFC Capital Trust II, IFC Capital Trust III, IFC Capital Trust IV, IFC Capital Trust V, IFC Capital Trust VI, and IFC Statutory Trust VII (IFC Trusts). The sole assets of the IFC Trusts are subordinated debentures of Irwin Financial Corporation. The IFC Trusts' liabilities are represented by Trust Preferred Securities, which have previously been listed as Company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts on our consolidated balance sheets. Under FIN 46, the subordinated debentures, which are substantially equal in amount to the Trust Preferred Securities, cease to be eliminated in consolidation and are included in other long-term debt in our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004. Details about the IFC Trusts and debentures can be found in Note 7,

Other Long-Term Debt. The Trust Preferred Securities currently qualify as Tier 1 regulatory capital. In May 2004, the Federal Reserve Bank issued proposed rules that retain Tier 1 capital treatment of Trust Preferred Securities for bank holding companies.

Reclassifications: Certain amounts in the 2003 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2004 presentation. These changes had no impact on previously reported net income or shareholders' equity.

Table of Contents**Note 2 Loans and Leases**

Loans and leases are summarized as follows:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$1,614,983 | \$1,503,619 |
| Real estate-construction | 325,330 | 306,669 |
| Real estate-mortgage | 870,374 | 859,541 |
| Consumer | 30,979 | 27,370 |
| Direct financing leases | | |
| Domestic | 447,737 | 364,413 |
| Canadian | 242,572 | 207,355 |
| Unearned income | | |
| Domestic | (98,347) | (78,875) |
| Canadian | (31,985) | (29,038) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$3,401,643 | \$3,161,054 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

Note 3 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized below:

| | As of and For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 | As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2003 |
|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 64,285 | \$ 50,936 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 11,838 | 47,583 |
| Charge-offs | (21,040) | (37,312) |
| Recoveries | 3,951 | 3,420 |
| Reduction due to reclassification of loans to loans held for sale | (10,808) | (690) |
| Other | (430) | 348 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 47,796 | \$ 64,285 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

The 2004 provision and allowance for loan and lease losses reflects transactions related to the transfer and sale or pending sale of portfolio loans associated with two portfolio sales at our home equity lending line of business. We transferred \$355 million in loans to loans held for sale when the decisions were made to sell these portfolio loans. These loans had an associated allowance of \$20.6 million. The loans were transferred with an allowance of \$10.8 million to reduce their carrying value to fair market value. After the transfers, the remaining \$9.8 million of excess allowance was reversed through the provision for loan and lease losses.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Servicing Assets**

Included on the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003 are \$389.2 million and \$380.1 million, respectively, of capitalized servicing assets. These amounts relate to the mortgage and home equity loans serviced by us for investors. Changes in our capitalized servicing assets, net of valuation allowance, are shown below:

| | As of and For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 | As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2003 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Beginning balance | \$380,123 | \$ 174,935 |
| Additions | 112,890 | 296,551 |
| Amortization | (89,413) | (135,519) |
| Recovery of impairment | 6,210 | 44,516 |
| Reduction for servicing sales | (20,639) | (360) |
| | <u>\$389,171</u> | <u>\$ 380,123</u> |

We have established a valuation allowance to record servicing assets at their fair market value. Changes in the allowance are summarized below:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 76,869 | \$159,865 |
| Recovery of impairment | (6,210) | (44,516) |
| Reclass for sales of servicing | (18,204) | |
| Other than temporary impairment ⁽¹⁾ | (6,999) | (38,480) |
| | <u>\$ 45,456</u> | <u>\$ 76,869</u> |

⁽¹⁾ Other than temporary impairment was recorded to reflect our view that the originally recorded value of certain servicing rights and subsequent impairment associated with those rights is unlikely to be recovered in market value. There was no related direct impact on net income as this other than temporary impairment affected only balance sheet

accounts. However, the write-down will result in a reduction of amortization expense and potentially reduced recovery of impairment in future periods.

Note 5 Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings are summarized as follows:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | \$ 1,348 | \$286,000 |
| Drafts payable related to mortgage loan closings | 79,446 | 72,686 |
| Lines of credit and other | 51,897 | 1,057 |
| Federal funds | | 53,600 |
| Commercial paper | | 16,415 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | \$132,691 | \$429,758 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Weighted average interest rate | 1.25% | 1.61% |

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings are collateralized by loans and loans held for sale.

Drafts payable related to mortgage loan closings are related to mortgage closings that have not been presented to the banks for payment. When presented for payment, these borrowings will be funded internally or by borrowing from the lines of credit.

We have lines of credit available to fund loan originations and operations with variable rates ranging from 1.6% to 3.0% at September 30, 2004.

Note 6 Collateralized Debt

We pledge or sell loans structured as secured financings at our home equity and commercial finance lines of business. Sale treatment is precluded on these transactions because we fail the true-sale requirements of SFAS 140 as we maintain effective control over the loans and leases securitized. This type of structure results in cash being received (and subsequently used by us to pay-down short-term fundings), debt being recorded, and the establishment of an allowance for credit losses. The notes associated with these transactions are collateralized by \$0.7 billion in home equity loans, home equity lines of credit, and leases. The principal and interest on these debt securities are paid using the cash flows from the underlying loans and leases. Accordingly, the timing of the principal payments on these debt securities is dependent on the payments received on the underlying collateral. The interest rates on the bonds are at a floating rate. We have an interest only senior note on the securitization at the home equity lending line of business that as of September 30, 2004 had a notional balance of \$30.5 million (which does not represent the amount at risk), pays interest at 10%, and matures on September 25, 2005. The 2002-1 asset backed structure was sold during the second quarter resulting in the recognition of a \$2.5 million gain and the removal of \$205 million of loans and associated collateralized debt from our balance sheet. The 2003-1 structure will be sold in October. The \$150 million of loans in the 2003-1 structure were transferred from loans to loans held for sale as of September 30, resulting in a reversal of \$3.5 million in loan loss provision.

Collateralized debt is summarized as follows:

| | | Weighted Average Interest Rate at | September | September | December |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Maturity | September | September | September | December |
| | | 30, | 30, | 31, | 31, |
| | | 2004 | 2004 | 2003 | 2003 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Commercial finance line of business | | | | | |
| 2003 domestic asset backed note | 7/2010 | 2.70 | \$ 33,969 | | \$ 51,694 |
| 2003 Canadian asset backed note | 11/2008 | 4.07 | 103,107 | | 77,902 |
| Home equity line of business | | | | | |
| 2004-1 asset backed notes: | | | | | |
| Combined variable rate senior note | 12/2024-12/2034 | 1.89 | 365,196 | | |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|---------|------|-----------|-----------|
| Combined variable rate subordinate note | 12/2034 | 2.74 | 24,775 | |
| 2003-1 asset backed notes: | | | | |
| Combined variable rate senior note | 2/2028 | 2.15 | 69,099 | 160,874 |
| Combined variable rate subordinate note | 2/2028 | 3.92 | 68,625 | 61,763 |
| Unamortized premium/discount | | | 3,026 | 4,446 |
| 2002-1 asset backed notes: | | | | |
| Combined variable rate senior note | | | | 156,699 |
| Combined variable rate subordinate note | | | | 72,551 |
| Unamortized premium/discount | | | | 4,202 |
| | | | | |
| Total | | | \$667,797 | \$590,131 |

Note 7 -Other Long-Term Debt

At September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003 we had \$270 million of other long-term debt. Included in both years is \$30 million of subordinated debt with an interest rate of 7.58% and a maturity date of July 2014.

Table of Contents

We also have obligations represented by subordinated debentures at September 30, 2004 of \$240 million. These securities were issued by wholly-owned trusts of Irwin Financial Corporation that were created for the purpose of issuing cumulative trust preferred securities. In accordance with FIN 46 we are no longer consolidating these trusts. Refer to Note 1 for information regarding the accounting change for these securities implemented in 2003. These debentures are the sole assets of these trusts as of September 30, 2004. All debentures and securities are callable at par after five years from origination date although at this time we have no plans to call. The securities are all Tier 1 qualifying capital at September 30, 2004. Highlights about these debentures and the related trusts are listed below:

| Name | Origination Date | Interest Rate at September 30, 2004 | Maturity Date | Subordinated Debt | | Other |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| IFC Capital Trust II | Nov 2000 | 10.50% | Sep 2030 | \$ 53,351 | \$ 53,351 | |
| IFC Capital Trust III | Nov 2000 | 8.75 | Sep 2030 | 53,268 | 53,268 | initial conversion ratio of 1.261 shares of common stock to 1 convertible preferred security, currently callable at 10% premium |
| IFC Capital Trust IV | Jul 2001 | 10.25 | Jul 2031 | 15,464 | 15,464 | |
| IFC Capital Trust V | Nov 2001 | 9.95 | Nov 2031 | 30,928 | 30,928 | |
| IFC Capital Trust VI | Oct 2002 | 8.70 | Sep 2032 | 35,567 | 35,567 | |
| IFC Statutory Trust VII | Nov 2003 | 4.88 | Nov 2033 | 51,547 | 51,547 | rate changes quarterly at three month LIBOR plus 290 basis points |
| | | | | \$240,125 | \$240,125 | |

Table of Contents**Note 8 Employee Retirement Plans**

Components of net periodic cost of pension benefit are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Service | \$ 762 | \$ 396 |
| Interest | 479 | 413 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (395) | (314) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 3 | 3 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 10 | 10 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 204 | 193 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,063 | \$ 701 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Service | \$ 1,750 | \$ 1,188 |
| Interest | 1,390 | 1,238 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,204) | (939) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 8 | 8 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 29 | 29 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 555 | 578 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 2,528 | \$ 2,102 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

As of September 30, 2004, we have not made any contributions to our pension plan in 2004. We anticipate making a cash contribution of approximately \$3 million to this plan before year end.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Earnings Per Share**

Earnings per share calculations are summarized as follows:

| | Basic Earnings Per Share | Effect of Stock Options | Effect of Convertible Shares | Diluted Earnings Per Share |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Three months ended September 30, 2004 | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 17,194 | \$ | \$ 678 | \$ 17,872 |
| Shares | <u>28,293</u> | <u>366</u> | <u>2,607</u> | <u>31,266</u> |
| Per-Share amount | <u>\$ 0.61</u> | <u>\$ (0.01)</u> | <u>\$ (0.03)</u> | <u>\$ 0.57</u> |
| Three months ended September 30, 2003 | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 31,118 | \$ | \$ 679 | \$ 31,797 |
| Shares | <u>27,949</u> | <u>306</u> | <u>2,609</u> | <u>30,864</u> |
| Per-Share amount | <u>\$ 1.11</u> | <u>\$ (0.01)</u> | <u>\$ (0.07)</u> | <u>\$ 1.03</u> |
| Nine Months ended September 30, 2004 | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 55,479 | \$ | \$ 2,035 | \$ 57,514 |
| Shares | <u>28,244</u> | <u>405</u> | <u>2,607</u> | <u>31,256</u> |
| Per-Share amount | <u>\$ 1.96</u> | <u>\$ (0.02)</u> | <u>\$ (0.10)</u> | <u>\$ 1.84</u> |
| Nine Months ended September 30, 2003 | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 56,122 | \$ | \$ 2,037 | \$ 58,159 |
| Shares | <u>27,877</u> | <u>272</u> | <u>2,609</u> | <u>30,758</u> |
| Per-Share amount | <u>\$ 2.01</u> | <u>\$ (0.01)</u> | <u>\$ (0.11)</u> | <u>\$ 1.89</u> |

At September 30, 2004 and 2003, 94,044 and 705,482 shares, respectively, related to stock options were not included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation because they had exercise prices below the stock price as of the respective dates.

Note 10 Industry Segment Information

We have five principal segments that provide a broad range of financial services. The mortgage banking line of business originates, sells, and services residential first mortgage loans. The commercial banking line of business provides commercial banking services. The home equity lending line of business originates, sells and services home equity loans and lines of credit. The commercial finance line of business originates leases and loans against commercial equipment and real estate. The venture capital line of business invests in early-stage technology companies focusing on financial services. Our other segment primarily includes the parent company and eliminations.

The accounting policies of each segment are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Below is a summary of each segment's revenues, net income, and assets for three months and nine months ended September 30, 2004, and 2003:

Table of Contents

| | Mortgage Banking | Commercial Banking | Home Equity Lending | Commercial Finance | Venture Capital | Other | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 10,598 | \$ 23,133 | \$ 31,523 | \$ 7,058 | \$ 3 | \$(6,655) | \$ 65,660 |
| Intersegment interest | (396) | 234 | (5,156) | | (6) | 5,324 | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 67 | (607) | 232 | (1,589) | | (1) | (1,898) |
| Other revenue | 49,659 | 3,672 | 16,291 | 1,366 | (1) | (3,052) | 67,935 |
| Intersegment revenues | (6) | 217 | | | 150 | (361) | |
| Total net revenues | 59,922 | 26,649 | 42,890 | 6,835 | 146 | (4,745) | 131,697 |
| Other expense | 52,026 | 17,039 | 28,332 | 4,741 | 99 | 255 | 102,492 |
| Intersegment expenses | 882 | 374 | 718 | 173 | | (2,147) | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 7,014 | 9,236 | 13,840 | 1,921 | 47 | (2,853) | 29,205 |
| Income taxes | 2,963 | 3,719 | 5,581 | 810 | 18 | (1,080) | 12,011 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,051 | \$ 5,517 | \$ 8,259 | \$ 1,111 | \$ 29 | \$(1,773) | \$ 17,194 |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2003 | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 27,614 | \$ 21,011 | \$ 28,765 | \$ 5,822 | \$ (2) | \$(7,577) | \$ 75,633 |
| Intersegment interest | (3,288) | (895) | (2,110) | (137) | | 6,430 | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (191) | (1,500) | (10,728) | (2,388) | | 29 | (14,778) |
| Other revenue | 90,758 | 5,744 | 8,091 | 1,181 | 294 | (1,405) | 104,663 |
| Intersegment revenues | | | | | 150 | (150) | |
| Total net revenues | 114,893 | 24,360 | 24,018 | 4,478 | 442 | (2,673) | 165,518 |
| Other expense | 73,519 | 13,902 | 18,965 | 3,708 | 329 | 3,983 | 114,406 |
| Intersegment expenses | 691 | 414 | 691 | 121 | | (1,917) | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 40,683 | 10,044 | 4,362 | 649 | 113 | (4,739) | 51,112 |
| Income taxes | 15,648 | 4,071 | 1,745 | 603 | 48 | (2,121) | 19,994 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 25,035 | \$ 5,973 | \$ 2,617 | \$ 46 | \$ 65 | \$(2,618) | \$ 31,118 |

| | Mortgage Banking | Commercial Banking | Home Equity Lending | Commercial Finance | Venture Capital | Other | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Nine months Ended September 30, 2004 | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 31,593 | \$ 63,330 | \$ 88,807 | \$ 20,692 | \$ 9 | \$ (15,312) | \$ 189,119 |
| Intersegment interest | (947) | 1,774 | (11,586) | | (15) | 10,774 | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 457 | (2,557) | (4,961) | (4,777) | | | (11,838) |
| Other revenue | 163,374 | 13,299 | 48,781 | 4,437 | (314) | (3,180) | 226,397 |
| Intersegment revenues | (8) | 427 | | | 450 | (869) | |
| Total net revenues | 194,469 | 76,273 | 121,041 | 20,352 | 130 | (8,587) | 403,678 |
| Other expense | 159,464 | 47,015 | 79,127 | 13,658 | 345 | 11,077 | 310,686 |
| Intersegment expenses | 2,630 | 1,346 | 2,164 | 519 | | (6,659) | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 32,375 | 27,912 | 39,750 | 6,175 | (215) | (13,005) | 92,992 |
| Income taxes | 13,077 | 11,208 | 15,960 | 4,040 | (83) | (6,689) | 37,513 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 19,298 | \$ 16,704 | \$ 23,790 | \$ 2,135 | \$ (132) | \$ (6,316) | \$ 55,479 |
| Assets at September 30, 2004 | | | | | | | |
| | \$1,275,251 | \$2,611,473 | \$1,163,354 | \$569,419 | \$ 7,136 | \$(211,062) | \$5,415,571 |
| Nine months Ended September 30, 2003 | | | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 69,285 | \$ 63,346 | \$ 86,967 | \$ 16,413 | \$ 7 | \$ (24,032) | \$ 211,986 |
| Intersegment interest | (7,991) | (4,764) | (5,678) | (523) | | 18,956 | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (221) | (4,413) | (23,578) | (9,321) | (215) | 93 | (37,655) |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Other revenue | 260,566 | 16,517 | (29,383) | 4,678 | (2,133) | (19,249) | 230,996 |
| Intersegment revenues | | | | | 450 | (450) | |
| Total net revenues | 321,639 | 70,686 | 28,328 | 11,247 | (1,891) | (24,682) | 405,327 |
| Other expense | 209,224 | 40,982 | 61,730 | 10,811 | 440 | (9,487) | 313,700 |
| Intersegment expenses | 2,072 | 1,205 | 2,116 | 400 | | (5,793) | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 110,343 | 28,499 | (35,518) | 36 | (2,331) | (9,402) | 91,627 |
| Income taxes | 42,427 | 11,435 | (14,207) | 251 | (932) | (3,469) | 35,505 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 67,916 | \$ 17,064 | \$ (21,311) | \$ (215) | \$ (1,399) | \$ (5,933) | \$ 56,122 |
| Assets at September 30, 2003 | \$1,443,531 | \$2,195,135 | \$ 989,479 | \$425,483 | \$ 7,803 | \$ (2,248) | \$5,059,183 |

Table of Contents

Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies

Culpepper v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

Our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), is a defendant in a class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, filed in April 1996, alleging that Irwin Mortgage violated the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) relating to Irwin Mortgage's payment of broker fees to mortgage brokers. In June 2001, the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit upheld the district court's certification of a plaintiff class and the case was remanded for further proceedings in the federal district court.

In November 2001, by order of the district court, the parties filed supplemental briefs analyzing the impact of an October 18, 2001 policy statement issued by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that explicitly disagreed with the judicial interpretation of RESPA by the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit in its ruling upholding class certification in this case. In response to a motion from Irwin Mortgage, in March 2002, the district court granted Irwin Mortgage's motion to stay proceedings in this case until the 11th Circuit decided the three other RESPA cases originally argued before it with this case.

The 11th Circuit subsequently decided all of the RESPA cases pending in that court. In one of those cases, the 11th Circuit concluded that the trial court had abused its discretion in certifying a class action under RESPA. Further, in that decision, the 11th Circuit expressly recognized it was, in effect, overruling its previous decision upholding class certification in our case. In March 2003, Irwin Mortgage filed a motion to decertify the class and the plaintiffs filed a renewed motion for summary judgment. On October 2, 2003 the case was reassigned to another U.S. district court judge. In response to an order from the court, the parties met and submitted a joint status report at the end of October 2003. On June 14, 2004, at the court's request, the parties engaged in mediation, which was unsuccessful. The court then reassigned this case to a new judge.

If the class is not decertified and the district court finds that Irwin Mortgage violated RESPA, Irwin Mortgage could be liable for damages equal to three times the amount of that portion of payments made to the mortgage brokers that is ruled unlawful. Based on notices sent by the plaintiffs to date to potential class members and additional notices that might be sent in this case, we believe the class is not likely to exceed 32,000 borrowers who meet the class specifications.

As discussed in prior periodic reports, other cases filed against our mortgage subsidiary alleging RESPA and violations similar to those in this case were settled in 2003 for nonmaterial amounts. Irwin Mortgage intends to defend this lawsuit vigorously and believes it has numerous defenses to the alleged violations. Irwin Mortgage further believes that the 11th Circuit's RESPA rulings in the cases argued before it with this one provide grounds for reversal of the class certification in this case. We have no assurance, however, that Irwin Mortgage will be successful in defeating class certification or will ultimately prevail on the merits. However, we expect that an adverse outcome in this case could result in substantial monetary damages that could be material to our financial position. We have not established any reserves for this case and are unable at this stage of the litigation to form a reasonable estimate of potential loss that we could suffer.

United States ex rel. Paranich v. Sorgnard et al.

In January 2001, we and Irwin Leasing Corporation (formerly Affiliated Capital Corp.), our indirect subsidiary, and Irwin Equipment Finance Corporation, our direct subsidiary (together, the Irwin companies), were served as defendants in an action filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. The suit alleges that a manufacturer/importer of certain medical devices made misrepresentations to health care professionals

and to government officials to improperly obtain Medicare reimbursement for treatments using the devices, and that the Irwin companies, through Affiliated Capital's financing activities, aided in making the alleged misrepresentations. On August 10, 2001, the court dismissed Irwin Financial and Irwin Equipment Finance as defendants in the suit. The Irwin companies prevailed on a motion for summary judgment in the district court on October 8, 2003, and the plaintiff appealed. The Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit heard oral argument on plaintiff's appeal on September 27, 2004. We cannot predict whether we will prevail on appeal and have not established any reserves for this case.

McIntosh v. Irwin Home Equity Corporation

Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in a class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court in Massachusetts in July 2001. The case involves loans purchased by Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company from an

Table of Contents

unaffiliated third-party originator. The plaintiffs allege a failure to comply with certain disclosure provisions of the Truth in Lending Act relating to high-rate loans in making second mortgage home equity loans to the plaintiff borrowers. The complaint seeks rescission of the loans and other damages.

A limited class was certified. As originally specified, the plaintiff class included those borrowers who obtained a mortgage loan originated by the third-party originator with prepayment penalty provisions during the three-year period prior to the filing of the suit. Subsequently, the court further restricted the class to those borrowers with high-rate loans subject to the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act who refinanced their loans and paid a prepayment penalty. A preliminary analysis led us to conclude that fewer than 100 loans qualified for class membership.

In June 2004, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle this matter for a nonmaterial amount, which has been reserved. The settlement is contingent upon the negotiation of a final settlement agreement and is subject to the approval of the district court.

Stamper v. A Home of Your Own

Our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, is a defendant in a case filed in August 1998 in the Baltimore, Maryland, City Circuit Court. On January 25, 2002, a jury in this case awarded the plaintiffs damages of \$1.434 million jointly and severally against defendants, including Irwin Mortgage. The nine plaintiff borrowers alleged that a home rehabilitation company defrauded the plaintiffs by selling them defective homes at inflated prices and that Irwin Mortgage, which provided the plaintiff borrowers mortgage loans on the home purchases, participated in the fraud. Irwin Mortgage filed an appeal with the Maryland Court of Special Appeals and oral argument was held on January 7, 2003. On February 27, 2004, the Court of Special Appeals ruled against Irwin Mortgage and remanded the case to the trial court for a partial retrial on whether the plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages. The Maryland Court of Appeals agreed to hear Irwin Mortgage's appeal, and oral argument is scheduled for November 4, 2004. We have reserved for this case based upon the advice of our legal counsel.

Silke v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation

In April 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant in a class action lawsuit filed in the Marion County, Indiana, Superior Court. The complaint alleges that Irwin Mortgage charged a document preparation fee in violation of Indiana law for services performed by clerical personnel in completing legal documents related to mortgage loans. Irwin Mortgage filed an answer on June 11, 2003 and a motion for summary judgment on October 27, 2003. On June 18, 2004, the court certified a plaintiff class consisting of Indiana borrowers who were allegedly charged the fee by Irwin Mortgage any time after April 17, 1997. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Gutierrez v. Irwin Mortgage

In April 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant in an action filed in the District Court of Nueces County, Texas. The complaint alleges that Irwin Mortgage improperly charged borrowers fees for the services of third-party vendors in excess of Irwin Mortgage's costs, and charged certain fees to which plaintiffs did not agree. The plaintiffs seek to certify a class consisting of similarly situated borrowers. In August 2004, the plaintiffs amended their complaint to remove the allegations that Irwin Mortgage charged excess fees. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Cohens v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

In October 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), was named as a defendant, along with others, in an action filed in the Supreme Court of New York, County of Kings. The plaintiffs, a mother and two children, allege they were injured from lead contamination while living in premises allegedly owned by the defendants. The suit seeks approximately \$41 million in damages and alleges negligence, breach of implied warranty of habitability and fitness for intended use, loss of services and the cost of medical treatment. Because the case is in the early stages of litigation, we are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. The parties agreed to delay the filing of an answer in this case until December 10, 2004. We are attempting to obtain a voluntary dismissal based on our belief that there is insufficient nexus between the cause of the alleged injuries and Irwin Mortgage. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Table of Contents

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia

Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in *Hobson v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company*, an action filed on July 30, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (Community). As amended on August 30, 2004, the complaint, seeks certification of both a plaintiffs' and a defendants' class and alleges that defendants violated the Truth-in-Lending Act (TILA), the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), the Residential Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).

The plaintiffs claim that Community was allegedly engaged in a lending arrangement involving the use of its charter by certain third parties who charged high fees that were not representative of the services rendered and not properly disclosed as to the amount or recipient of the fees. The loans in question are allegedly high cost/high interest loans under Section 32 of HOEPA. Plaintiffs allege that Irwin was aware of Community's alleged arrangement when Irwin purchased the loans. Plaintiffs also allege illegal kickbacks and fee splitting and Irwin's participation in a RICO enterprise and conspiracy related to the loans. Because Irwin bought the loans from Community, the plaintiffs are alleging that Irwin has assignee liability under HOEPA.

The putative plaintiff class in *Hobson* excludes borrower plaintiffs who are members of a class certified in another case—a class action in Pennsylvania federal court that is a consolidation of cases filed against Community, Residential Funding Corporation (a subsidiary of GMAC), and Guaranty National Bank of Tallahassee, with allegations similar to those in *Hobson*.

If the *Hobson* plaintiffs are successful in establishing a class and prevailing at trial, possible damages could include TILA remedies, such as rescission, actual damages, statutory damages not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of the net worth of the creditor, and attorneys' fees and costs. Possible HOEPA remedies include the refunding of all closing costs, finance charges and fees paid by the borrower. RESPA remedies could include treble damages for each service for which there was an unearned fee, kickback or overvalued service. RICO remedies could include treble plaintiffs' actually proved damages. Under TILA, HOEPA, RESPA and RICO, statutory remedies include recovery of attorneys' fees and costs. In addition, plaintiffs are seeking unspecified punitive damages. Under the loan purchase agreement between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to demand repurchase of the mortgage loans and indemnification from Community for these claims. On October 12, 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss the claims as untimely filed and substantively defective.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is also a defendant, along with Community, in two individual actions filed on June 9, 2004 in the Circuit Court of Frederick County, Maryland, involving mortgage loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community. On July 16, 2004, both of these suits were removed to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The complaints allege that the plaintiffs did not receive disclosures required under HOEPA and TILA. The lawsuits also allege violations of Maryland law because the plaintiffs were allegedly charged or contracted for a prepayment penalty fee. Irwin believes the plaintiffs received the required disclosures and that Community, a Virginia-chartered bank, was permitted to charge prepayment fees to Maryland borrowers. Under the loan purchase agreements between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to seek indemnification from Community for the claims in these lawsuits.

On September 17, 2004, Irwin made demand for indemnification and a defense as to all three lawsuits. Community denied this request as premature. We have established a reserve for the Community litigation based upon the advice of legal counsel.

Litigation Related to NorVergence, Inc.

Irwin Business Finance, our indirect subsidiary, is involved on a national basis in equipment leasing finance and maintains a diverse portfolio of leases, including leases in the telecommunications field. A portion of Irwin's telecommunications portfolio involves leases of equipment acquired from NorVergence, Inc., a New Jersey-based telecommunications company. After assigning leases to Irwin and other lenders, NorVergence filed for protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court and is currently in dissolution. The sudden failure of NorVergence left many of its customers without telecommunications services and angry with representations they claim were made by NorVergence that remain unfulfilled.

Complaints by former NorVergence customers have led to investigations by the Attorneys General of several states and the filing of a number of lawsuits. Irwin Business Finance has been named as a defendant in several lawsuits connected with NorVergence. *Exquisite Caterers, LLC et al. v. Popular Leasing et al.* is a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, and was amended to include Irwin Business Finance and others on September 1, 2004. The *Exquisite Caterers* plaintiffs seek certification of a class of persons who leased network computer equipment from NorVergence, whose leases were assigned to defendants. The complaint alleges that NorVergence misrepresented the services and equipment provided, that the lessees were defrauded and the lease

Table of Contents

agreements should not be enforced. The action alleges violations of, among other things, the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act; the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty, and Notice Act; the FTC Holder Rule; the FTC Act; and breach of contract and implied warranties. The plaintiffs seek compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, and injunctive relief, including rescission of the leases and cessation of collections.

Irwin Business Finance is also a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Delanco Board of Education et al. v. IFC Credit Corporation*, a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County, Chancery Division, in October 2004 in connection with leases assigned to the lenders by NorVergence. (IFC Credit Corporation is not affiliated with Irwin Financial Corporation or Irwin Business Finance.) The suit involves more than one thousand plaintiffs and alleges fraud, misrepresentation and violations of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud law based on alleged conduct similar to that in *Exquisite Caterers*, with the addition of a count under the New Jersey RICO statute. Plaintiffs also allege unjust enrichment and conversion and seek rescission of the leases plus punitive and other damages.

Irwin Business Finance was also named as a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Sterling Asset & Equity Corp. et al. v. Preferred Capital, Inc. et al.*, an action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida in October 2004, seeking class certification on behalf of Florida persons or entities who leased equipment from NorVergence and whose agreement was assigned to one of the named lenders. The plaintiffs allege that NorVergence engaged in false, misleading and deceptive sales and billing practices. The complaint alleges violations of the FTC Holder Rule and the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, and breach of contract and warranties. Plaintiffs seek, among other relief, compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive and/or declaratory relief prohibiting enforcement of the leases, rescission, return of payments, interest, attorneys fees and costs.

In addition, Irwin Business Finance has been named in several individual lawsuits involving equipment and services leased from NorVergence, where the lease was assigned to Irwin Business Finance. The suits allege fraud and nonfunctional equipment and services. The plaintiffs request rescission, restitution and/or reformation of the leases and/or a declaration of unenforceability. These suits were filed in the following jurisdictions: two actions in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas (July 2004 and October 2004); one action in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego (August 2004); and one in the Superior Court of Massachusetts (September 2004).

In connection with investigations by various state Attorneys General, Irwin Business Finance and other lenders were asked to produce information about their relationships with NorVergence and to refrain from enforcing NorVergence leases. On October 21, 2004, the Attorney General of Florida filed a complaint against twelve lenders, including Irwin Business Finance, in the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida (*State of Florida v. Commerce Commercial Leasing, LLC et al.*). The complaint alleges that the agreements assigned by NorVergence to the lenders are unconscionable under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act. The suit seeks to prohibit collection activities by the lenders and asks for repayment of revenues, rescission of the agreements, restitution, recovery of actual damages, and civil money penalties.

We are unable to form a reasonable estimate of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Business Finance could suffer. We have established reserves we believe are appropriate in connection with credit risk on NorVergence-related leases, but have not established additional reserves in connection with NorVergence-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not

likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate under SFAS 5, based in part upon the advice of legal counsel.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

About Forward-looking Statements

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, footnotes, and tables. This discussion and other sections of this report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are including this statement for purposes of invoking these safe harbor provisions.

Forward-looking statements are based on management's expectations, estimates, projections, and assumptions. These statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict and are not guarantees of future performance. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. Words that convey our beliefs, views, expectations, assumptions, estimates, forecasts, outlook and projections or similar language, or that indicate events we believe could, would, should, may or will occur (or might not occur) or are likely (or unlikely) to occur, and similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These may include, among other things, statements and assumptions about:

- our projected revenues, earnings or earnings per share, as well as management's short-term and long-term performance goals;
- projected trends or potential changes in our asset quality, loan delinquencies, asset valuations, capital ratios or financial performance measures;
- our plans and strategies, including the expected results or impact of implementing such plans and strategies;
- potential litigation developments and the anticipated impact of potential outcomes of pending legal matters;
- the anticipated effects on results of operations or financial condition from recent developments or events; and
- any other projections or expressions that are not historical facts.

Actual future results may differ materially from what is projected due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

- potential changes in and volatility of interest rates, which may affect consumer demand for our products and the management and success of our interest rate risk management strategies;
- staffing and operating cost fluctuations in response to product demand;
- the valuation and management of our servicing and derivative portfolios, including short-term swings in valuation of such portfolios due to quarter-end secondary market interest rates and basis risk, which are inherently volatile;
- borrowers' refinancing opportunities, which may affect the prepayment assumptions used in our valuation estimates and which may affect loan demand;
- unanticipated deterioration in the credit quality of our assets;

unanticipated deterioration in the carrying value of our other assets, including securities;

difficulties in delivering products to the secondary market as planned;

difficulties in expanding our businesses and obtaining funding as needed;

competition from other financial service providers for experienced managers as well as for customers;

changes in the value of companies in which we invest;

changes in variable compensation plans related to the performance and valuation of lines of business where we tie compensation systems to line-of-business performance;

unanticipated outcomes in litigation;

legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in tax laws or regulations, changes in the interpretation of regulatory capital rules, changes in consumer or commercial lending rules or rules affecting corporate governance, and the availability of resources to address these rules;

changes in applicable accounting policies or principles or their application to our business; and

governmental changes in monetary or fiscal policies.

We undertake no obligation to update publicly any of these statements in light of future events, except as required in subsequent periodic reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Overview**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Net income (millions) | \$ 17.2 | \$ 31.1 | \$ 55.5 | \$ 56.1 |
| Basic earnings per share | 0.61 | 1.11 | 1.96 | 2.01 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.57 | 1.03 | 1.84 | 1.89 |
| Return on average equity | 14.1% | 30.4% | 15.9% | 19.6% |
| Return on average assets | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 |

We recorded net income of \$17.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004, down 45% from net income of \$31.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2003. Net income per share (diluted) was \$0.57 for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, down 45% from \$1.03 per share for the third quarter of 2003. Return on equity was 14.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 30.4% for the same period in 2003.

For the year to date, we recorded net income of \$55.5 million or \$1.84 per diluted share. This represents decreases of 1% and 3%, respectively, compared to the same period in 2003. Return on equity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2004 was 15.9% compared with 19.6% during the same period a year earlier.

Consolidated Income Statement Analysis*Net Interest Income*

Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$189.1 million, down 11% from the 2003 net interest income of \$212.0 million for the same period. Year to date net interest margin as of September 30, 2004 was 5.55% compared to 5.99% for the same period in 2003. The decline in margin from 2003 to 2004 was primarily due to the lower interest rate environment in 2004 relative to 2003 that caused yields on variable rate loans to decline at a more rapid pace than underlying funding sources, some of which (e.g., mortgage escrow deposits) have rates close to zero in any interest rate environment and, therefore, cannot reduce in a declining rate environment. In addition, the average balance on our high-yielding residual interests declined 39% in the first nine months of 2004 compared to the same period in 2003 as a result of unrealized trading losses (reflecting valuation impairment). The following table shows our daily average consolidated balance sheet, interest rates and yield at the dates indicated:

Table of Contents

| Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| 2004 | | | 2003 | | | |
| Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Annualized Yield/Rate | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits | | | | | | |
| with banks | \$ 88,208 | \$ 477 | 0.72% | \$ 70,927 | \$ 400 | 0.75% |
| Federal funds sold | 10,962 | 82 | 1.00% | 26,697 | 119 | 0.60% |
| Residual interests | 71,684 | 9,893 | 18.43% | 118,424 | 17,100 | 19.31% |
| Investment securities | 82,777 | 3,256 | 5.25% | 65,855 | 2,734 | 5.55% |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 1,033,421 | 59,397 | 7.68% | 1,366,826 | 86,800 | 8.49% |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income ⁽¹⁾ | 3,268,099 | 181,759 | 7.43% | 3,082,603 | 179,460 | 7.78% |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Total interest earning assets | 4,555,151 | \$254,864 | 7.47% | 4,731,332 | \$286,613 | 8.10% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 102,033 | | | 106,205 | | |
| Premises and equipment, net | 31,279 | | | 32,408 | | |
| Other assets | 582,250 | | | 405,184 | | |
| Less allowance for loan and lease losses | (58,913) | | | (55,066) | | |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$5,211,800 | | | \$5,220,063 | | |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Money market checking | \$ 298,014 | \$ 2,722 | 1.22% | \$ 162,789 | \$ 632 | 0.52% |
| Money market savings | 1,048,544 | 10,262 | 1.31% | 840,509 | 8,290 | 1.32% |
| Regular savings | 61,407 | 663 | 1.44% | 63,384 | 976 | 2.06% |
| Time deposits | 922,773 | 17,885 | 2.59% | 1,009,339 | 22,781 | 3.02% |
| Short-term borrowings | 333,143 | 6,611 | 2.65% | 635,265 | 12,053 | 2.54% |
| Collateralized debt | 502,610 | 10,501 | 2.79% | 585,861 | 11,569 | 2.64% |
| Other long-term debt | 270,180 | 17,101 | 8.45% | 30,066 | 1,745 | 7.76% |
| Preferred securities | | | | 232,983 | 16,581 | 9.52% |

distributions⁽²⁾

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$3,436,671 | \$ 65,745 | 2.56% | 3,560,196 | \$ 74,627 | 2.80% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 997,100 | | | 1,089,719 | | |
| Other liabilities | 311,964 | | | 187,695 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 466,065 | | | 382,453 | | |
| | _____ | | | _____ | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$5,211,800 | | | \$5,220,063 | | |
| | _____ | | | _____ | | |
| Net interest income | | \$189,119 | | | \$211,986 | |
| | | _____ | | | _____ | |
| Net interest income to average interest earning assets | | | 5.55% | | | 5.99% |
| | | | _____ | | | _____ |

(1) For purposes of these computations, nonaccrual loans are included in daily average loan amounts outstanding.

(2) Reclassed to other long-term debt in 2004

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The consolidated provision for loan and lease losses for the three months ended September 30, 2004 was \$1.9 million, compared to \$14.8 million for the same period in 2003. Year to date, the provision for 2004 was \$11.8 million, compared to \$37.7 million in 2003. More information on this subject is contained in the section on credit risk.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income during the three months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$67.9 million, compared to \$104.7 million for the same period of 2003. Noninterest income of \$226.4 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and \$231.0 million for the same period in 2003. The quarterly decrease in 2004 versus 2003 was primarily a result of a \$41.4 million decrease in gains on sale of loans at the mortgage banking line of business.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$102.5 million and \$310.7 million, respectively, compared to \$114.4 million and \$313.7 million for the same periods in 2003. The decrease in consolidated noninterest expense in 2004 is primarily related to lower personnel costs associated with our decreased production at the mortgage banking line of business. This decline was partially offset by higher personnel costs at the home equity line of business in connection with their improved performance in 2004.

Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis

Total assets increased to \$5.4 billion at September 30, 2004 compared to \$5.0 billion at December 31, 2003. The majority of the increase relates to the loan portfolios at the commercial banking and commercial finance lines of business. Average assets year to date for 2004 were \$5.2 billion, relatively unchanged from the average assets for 2003.

Loans Held For Sale

Loans held for sale totaled \$1.0 billion at September 30, 2004, an increase from a balance of \$0.9 billion at December 31, 2003. The increase occurred primarily at our home equity lending line of business where loans held for sale increased from \$0.2 billion at December 31, 2003 to \$0.3 billion at September 30, 2004.

Loans and Leases

Our commercial loans and leases are originated throughout the United States. Equipment loans and leases are also originated in Canada by our commercial finance line of business. At September 30, 2004, 94% of our loan and lease portfolio was associated with our U.S. operations. We also extend credit to consumers throughout the United States through mortgages, installment loans and revolving credit arrangements. The majority of the remaining portfolio consists of residential mortgage loans (1-4 family dwellings) and mortgage loans on commercial property. Loans by major category for the periods presented were as follows:

| September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <hr/> | <hr/> |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$1,614,983 | \$1,503,619 |
| Real estate-construction | 325,330 | 306,669 |
| Real estate-mortgage | 870,374 | 859,541 |
| Consumer | 30,979 | 27,370 |
| Direct financing leases | | |
| Domestic | 447,737 | 364,413 |
| Canadian | 242,572 | 207,355 |
| Unearned income | | |
| Domestic | (98,347) | (78,875) |
| Canadian | (31,985) | (29,038) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total loans and leases, net of unearned income | <u>\$3,401,643</u> | <u>\$3,161,054</u> |

Table of Contents*Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses*

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized below:

| | As of and For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 | As of and For the Year Ended December 31, 2003 |
|---|---|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 64,285 | \$ 50,936 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 11,838 | 47,583 |
| Charge-offs | (21,040) | (37,312) |
| Recoveries | 3,951 | 3,420 |
| Reduction due to reclassification of loans to loans held for sale | (10,808) | (690) |
| Other | (430) | 348 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 47,796 | \$ 64,285 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

The 2004 provision and allowance for loan and lease losses reflects transactions related to the transfer and sale or pending sale of portfolio loans associated with two portfolio sales at our home equity lending line of business. We transferred \$355 million in loans to loans held for sale when the decisions were made to sell these portfolio loans. These loans had an associated allowance of \$20.6 million. The loans were transferred with an allowance of \$10.8 million to reduce their carrying value to fair market value. After the transfers, the remaining \$9.8 million of excess allowance was reversed through the provision for loan and lease losses.

Investment Securities

The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| U.S. Treasury and government obligations | \$ 25,166 | \$ 20,994 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 5,859 | 3,960 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 9,821 | 2,039 |
| Federal Reserve stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other | 66,822 | 65,532 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

| | | |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total | \$ 107,668 | \$ 92,525 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Deposits

Total deposits as of September 30, 2004 averaged \$3.3 billion compared to deposits at December 31, 2003 that averaged \$3.1 billion for the year. Demand deposits averaged \$1.0 billion during both periods. A significant portion of demand deposits is related to deposits at Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, which are associated with escrow accounts held on loans in the servicing portfolio at the mortgage banking line of business. Year to date 2004, these escrow accounts averaged \$739.9 million compared to an average of \$826.2 million for the year 2003. The decrease in average escrow balances in 2004 relates to the decline in production and refinancing activity at the mortgage banking line of business. More than offsetting this decrease was an increase in core deposits. Core deposits, which exclude jumbo and brokered CDs and public funds, increased to \$2.2 billion at September 30, 2004 compared to \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2003.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust utilizes institutional broker-sourced deposits as funding to supplement deposits solicited through branches and other wholesale funding sources. At September 30, 2004, institutional broker-sourced deposits totaled \$338.0 million, relatively unchanged compared to a balance of \$339.4 million at December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents*Short-Term Borrowings*

Short-term borrowings decreased to \$132.7 million at September 30, 2004, compared to \$429.8 million at December 31, 2003. The decrease in short-term borrowings at the end of the third quarter relative to year-end relates primarily to a secured financing transaction completed during the third quarter at the home equity lending line of business totaling \$0.4 billion. The debt related to the loans is now classified as collateralized debt versus short-term borrowings.

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged \$198.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004, with an average rate of 1.48%. The ending balance was \$1.4 million at an interest rate of 1.85%. The maximum outstanding during any month end during 2004 was \$536.3 million. At December 31, 2003, Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged \$317.2 million, with an average rate of 1.46%. The ending balance was \$286.0 million at an interest rate of 1.34%. The maximum outstanding at any month end during 2003 was \$977.0 million.

Collateralized and Other Long-Term Debt

Collateralized borrowings totaled \$667.8 million at September 30, 2004, compared to \$590.1 million at December 31, 2003. The bulk of these borrowings have resulted from securitization structures that result in loans remaining as assets and debt being recorded on the balance sheet. The securitization debt represents match-term funding for these loans and leases. The increased debt relates to a \$0.4 billion new secured financing transaction completed during the third quarter. This was partially offset by the sale of portfolio loans at the home equity lending line of business during the second quarter and on-going run-off of the underlying loan portfolio. These transactions are discussed in more detail in the Home Equity Lending section of this document.

Other long-term debt totaled \$270.2 million at September 30, 2004, unchanged from December 31, 2003. We had obligations represented by subordinated debentures at September 30, 2004 totaling \$240.1 million with our wholly owned trusts that were created for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. The subordinated debentures were the sole assets of the trusts at September 30, 2004. In accordance with FIN 46, at the end of 2003 we began deconsolidating the wholly owned trusts that issued the trust preferred securities. As a result, these securities no longer are consolidated on our balance sheet. Instead, the subordinated debentures held by the trusts are disclosed on the balance sheet as other long-term debt.

Capital

Shareholders' equity averaged \$466.1 million year to date during 2004, up 18% compared to the average for the year 2003. Shareholders' equity balance of \$486.3 million at September 30, 2004 represented \$17.16 per common share, compared to \$15.36 per common share at December 31, 2003. We paid \$2.3 million and \$6.8 million in dividends for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, reflecting an increase of \$0.01 per share compared to a year ago.

The following table sets forth our regulatory capital and capital ratios at the dates indicated:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Tier 1 capital | \$ 621,127 | \$ 556,793 |
| Tier 2 capital | 150,802 | 183,738 |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 771,929 | \$ 740,531 |
| Risk-weighted assets | \$5,286,719 | \$4,917,622 |
| Risk-based ratios: | | |
| Tier 1 capital | 11.8% | 11.4% |
| Total capital | 14.6 | 15.1 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| Ending shareholders equity to assets | 9.0 | 8.7 |
| Average shareholders equity to assets | 9.0 | 7.6 |

At September 30, 2004, our total risk-adjusted capital ratio was 14.6% exceeding the 10.0% required to be considered well-capitalized by our state and federal banking regulators and our internal minimum target of 11.0%. At December 31, 2003, our total risk-adjusted capital ratio was 15.1%. Our ending equity to assets ratio at September 30, 2004 was 9.0% up from December 31, 2003 ratio of 8.7%. Our Tier 1 capital totaled \$621.1 million as of September 30, 2004, or 11.8% of risk-weighted assets.

Table of Contents

Cash Flow Analysis

Our cash and cash equivalents increased \$77.2 million year to date during 2004, compared to \$5.5 million during the same period in 2003. Cash flows from operating activities resulted in a use of \$30.3 million in cash and cash equivalents in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 compared to the same period in 2003 when our operations generated \$461.7 million in cash and cash equivalents. Changes in loans held for sale impact cash flows from operations. In a period in which loan production exceeds sales such as we had year to date in 2004, operating cash flows will decrease reflecting our investment in cash generating assets. During this same period in 2003, our loans held for sale increased \$87.5 million, thus increasing the cash used by operating activities.

Earnings Outlook

We do not provide quantitative earnings guidance as we do not believe it to be in the best interest of our stakeholders. However, as discussed before, our strategy is to seek opportunities for credit-worthy, profitable growth by serving niche markets and balancing the impact of changes in interest rates and economic conditions on our mortgage banking production with investments in mortgage servicing and in our credit retained portfolios. These investments will typically respond in an opposite and complementary manner. We continue to expect a higher proportion of 2004 and 2005 earnings to come from our commercial banking, home equity lending and commercial finance lines of business than was the case in 2003. We expect segment growth and earnings to be more in line with the long-term trends we have seen at Irwin Financial, rather than the disproportionate contribution provided by mortgage originations during 2002 and 2003. Currently, market conditions for our mortgage banking segment are very difficult. Origination margins are significantly below our long-term expectations and we are not seeing increases in the value of our servicing portfolio as we would typically expect when mortgage origination revenues are declining. In addition, we are subject to basis risk in the management of our servicing portfolio as discussed below. Currently, the basis risk is moving in a manner opposite to historic patterns, negatively affecting fourth quarter management of these assets. As a result, we expect our revenues and thus net income, will be lower in the fourth quarter of 2004 than in the third and our net income for the year to be below the results we produced in 2003. However, we believe we are in a transitory period for mortgage banking and, in light of the good growth we have had in our credit portfolios in 2004, we expect net income in 2005 to return to levels commensurate with our historic performance. These estimates are based on various factors and current assumptions management believes are reasonable, including current industry forecasts of a variety of economic and competitive factors. However, projections are inherently uncertain, and our actual earnings may differ significantly from this estimate due to uncertainties and risks related to our business such as fluctuations in interest rates and other factors mentioned above in the [About Forward-Looking Statements](#) section. Over periods of normal economic cycles we strive to meet or exceed our long-term targets of double-digit growth in earnings per share and a return on equity above our cost of capital.

A meaningful amount of our earnings comes from activities and mark-to-market accounting requirements tied directly or indirectly to capital market activities. For example, the valuation of our mortgage servicing portfolio is impacted by movements in the bond market. The impact of short-term movements in interest rates on the valuation of our mortgage servicing rights is mitigated by a combination of financial derivatives and changes in income from production of new mortgages likely to be driven by those same movements in interest rates. However, the correlation within short periods of time (such as a single quarter) between interest rate movements that impact the reported value of our mortgage servicing rights at quarter end and the production effects of those interest rate movements which may not be reflected until subsequent quarters can be low. In addition, accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) impose a lower-of-cost-or-market valuation cap on the value of our servicing asset, while we know of no financial derivatives available in the secondary market with similarly asymmetric value change characteristics. This anomaly in accounting for mortgage servicing assets makes it difficult at times to construct hedges with the desired GAAP accounting outcome, although the economic balance may still exist. Finally, while basis risk exhibits stability over time, over short periods of time there can be separation in the relative spreads of interest rates and indices used to

value mortgage servicing rights and the financial derivatives we use to hedge the change in value in mortgage servicing rights. At times this basis risk benefits us and other times, it does not. It is generally not possible to eliminate this basis risk. It is possible, therefore, that our balanced revenue strategy may be successful as measured over several quarters or years, but may have market-based variances if measured over short periods. We also have a large amount of income that is subject to assumptions and pricing for credit risks. We use a variety of methods for estimating the effects of and accounting for credit losses and interest rate changes, but ultimately, we need to make estimates based on imperfect knowledge of future events, which may cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. For example, if the pace of economic recovery in the U.S. is slower in 2004 and 2005 than currently anticipated by consensus estimates, our credit related costs may increase beyond our current estimates.

Table of Contents**Earnings by Line of Business**

Irwin Financial Corporation is composed of five principal lines of business:

Mortgage Banking

Commercial Banking

Home Equity Lending

Commercial Finance

Venture Capital

The following table summarizes our net income (loss) by line of business for the periods indicated. Details for each line of business follows.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|------------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net income (loss): | | | | |
| Mortgage Banking | \$ 4,051 | \$25,035 | \$19,298 | \$ 67,916 |
| Commercial Banking | 5,517 | 5,973 | 16,704 | 17,064 |
| Home Equity Lending | 8,259 | 2,617 | 23,790 | (21,311) |
| Commercial Finance | 1,111 | 46 | 2,135 | (215) |
| Venture Capital | 29 | 65 | (132) | (1,399) |
| Other (including consolidating entries) | (1,773) | (2,618) | (6,316) | (5,933) |
| | \$17,194 | \$31,118 | \$55,479 | \$ 56,122 |

Table of Contents**Mortgage Banking**

The following table shows selected financial information for our mortgage banking line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------|--|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Selected Income Statement Data: | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 10,202 | \$ 24,326 | \$ 30,646 | \$ 61,294 |
| Recovery of (provision for) loan loss | 67 | (191) | 457 | (221) |
| Other income | 49,653 | 90,758 | 163,366 | 260,566 |
| Total net revenue | 59,922 | 114,893 | 194,469 | 321,639 |
| Operating expense | (52,908) | (74,210) | (162,094) | (211,296) |
| Income before taxes | 7,014 | 40,683 | 32,375 | 110,343 |
| Income taxes | (2,963) | (15,648) | (13,077) | (42,427) |
| Net income | \$ 4,051 | \$ 25,035 | \$ 19,298 | \$ 67,916 |
| Selected Operating Data: | | | | |
| Mortgage loan originations | \$2,973,889 | \$7,049,363 | \$9,632,196 | \$19,764,326 |
| Servicing sold as a % of originations | 57.6% | 6.5% | 58.2% | 5.2% |

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period: | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,275,251 | \$ 1,258,641 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 670,361 | 679,360 |
| Mortgage servicing assets | 345,185 | 348,174 |
| Short-term borrowing | 104,350 | 214,877 |
| Shareholder s equity | 128,288 | 122,671 |
| Selected Operating Data: | | |
| Servicing portfolio | | |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Balance at end of period | \$28,531,292 | \$29,640,122 |
| Weighted average coupon rate | 5.70% | 5.83% |
| Weighted average servicing fee | 0.34 | 0.33 |

Overview

In our mortgage banking line of business, we originate, purchase, sell and service conventional and government agency-backed residential mortgage loans throughout the United States. We also engage in the business of mortgage reinsurance. Because most of our mortgage originations either are insured by an agency of the federal government, such as the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or the Veterans Administration (VA), or, in the case of conventional mortgages, meet requirements for sale to the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) or the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), we are able to remove substantially all of the credit risk associated with these loans from our balance sheet. While we securitize and sell mortgage loans to institutional and private investors, we may choose to retain the servicing rights. Loan origination demand and servicing values normally react in opposite directions to changes in interest rates, as explained below. We believe this balance between mortgage loan originations and mortgage loan servicing values assists in managing the risk from interest rate changes, which has helped stabilize our revenue stream.

Our channels for originating loans consist primarily of retail, wholesale, and correspondent lending. The retail channel originates loans through retail branches and identifies potential borrowers mainly through relationships maintained with housing intermediaries, such as realtors, homebuilders and brokers. Our wholesale and correspondent divisions purchase loans from third party sources. The wholesale division purchases primarily from mortgage loan brokers and issues loan proceeds directly to the borrower. The correspondent lending division purchases closed mortgage loans primarily from small mortgage banks and retail banks. We fund our mortgage loan originations using internal funding sources and through credit facilities provided by third parties. Generally within a 30-day period after funding, we sell our mortgage loan originations into the secondary mortgage market either by direct loan sales or by securitization. Our secondary market sources include government-sponsored mortgage entities, nationally sponsored mortgage conduits, and institutional and private investors.

Table of Contents

As mentioned, we believe there is a balance between mortgage loan originations and mortgage loan servicing that assists in managing the risk from interest rate changes and the impact of rate changes on each part of the business. In rising interest rate environments, originations typically decline, while the value of our mortgage servicing portfolio generally increases as prepayment expectations decline. In declining interest rate environments, servicing values typically decrease as prepayment expectations increase, while the economic value of our mortgage production franchise generally increases due to the potential for greater mortgage loan originations. However, the offsetting impact of changes in production income and servicing values may not always be recognized in the same quarter under generally accepted accounting principles due to the application of lower-of-cost-or-market treatment under generally accepted accounting principles to the mortgage servicing asset, with no accounting equivalent for the production franchise causing greater volatility in short-term results than is apparent in longer-term measurements such as annual income. We sell servicing rights periodically for many reasons, including income recognition, cash flow, capital management and servicing portfolio management. Servicing rights sales occur at the time the underlying loans are sold to an investor (in flow sales) or in pools from our seasoned servicing portfolio (in bulk sales). As interest rates have fluctuated in 2004, we have chosen to sell the servicing asset associated with a relatively higher percentage of our current originations due to the changed interest rate risk and expected total return profile of owning servicing on loans with current interest rates as well as to mitigate the growth of servicing assets as a percentage of our consolidated balance sheet, as opposed to our actions over the past several years to add to the portfolio as rates reached historic lows.

Net Income

Net income from mortgage banking for the three months ended September 30, 2004 was \$4.1 million, compared to \$25.0 million for the same period in 2003, a decrease of 84%. This net income decrease in 2004 primarily relates to a decline in mortgage originations and compressed margins as a result of an increasing interest rate environment that began in the latter half of 2003.

The following table shows the composition of our originations by loan categories for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------|--|--------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Total originations | \$2,973,889 | \$7,049,363 | \$9,632,196 | \$19,764,326 |
| Percent retail loans | 20% | 25% | 21% | 26% |
| Percent wholesale loans | 31 | 38 | 35 | 43 |
| Percent correspondent | 36 | 34 | 33 | 28 |
| Percent brokered ⁽¹⁾ | 13 | 3 | 11 | 3 |
| Percent refinances | 40 | 73 | 52 | 73 |

⁽¹⁾ Brokered loans are loans we originate for which we receive loan origination fees, but which are funded, closed and owned by unrelated third parties.

Mortgage loan originations for the three months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$3.0 billion, down 58% from the same period in 2003. For the year, originations totaled \$9.6 billion, down 51% from 2003. Refinanced loans accounted for 40% of loan production for the third quarter of 2004 and 52% year to date compared to 73% for both periods in 2003. The decreased originations and refinances as a percent of production in 2004 are a result of the

change in the interest rate environment.

Table of Contents*Net Revenue*

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Selected Income Statement Data: | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 10,202 | \$ 24,326 | \$ 30,646 | \$ 61,294 |
| Recovery of (provision for) loan losses | 67 | (191) | 457 | (221) |
| Gain on sales of loans | 39,351 | 80,775 | 117,003 | 283,514 |
| Servicing fees | 26,356 | 22,008 | 77,937 | 57,921 |
| Amortization expense | (22,773) | (29,291) | (74,281) | (89,760) |
| Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets | (17,662) | 41,838 | 5,474 | (779) |
| Gain (loss) on derivatives | 22,520 | (27,613) | 23,064 | 1,635 |
| Gain on sales of servicing assets | 440 | 7 | 8,857 | 7 |
| Other income | 1,421 | 3,034 | 5,312 | 8,028 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total net revenue | \$ 59,922 | \$ 114,893 | \$ 194,469 | \$ 321,639 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

Net interest income is generated from the interest earned on mortgage loans before they are sold to investors, less the interest expense incurred on borrowings to fund the loans. Net interest income for the third quarter in 2004 totaled \$10.2 million compared to \$24.3 million for the third quarter in 2003. Net interest income year to date decreased 50% to \$30.6 million. The decrease in net interest income in 2004 is a result of decreased production resulting in a lower average balance of mortgage loans held for sale on our balance sheet during the quarter and for the year.

Gain on sale of loans includes net revenues from three principal sources:

the valuation of newly-created mortgage servicing rights;

net loan origination fees, both of which are recognized when loans are pooled and sold into the secondary mortgage market; and,

changes in fair value of forward contracts and interest rate lock commitments.

Gain on sale of loans for the three months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$39.4 million, compared to \$80.8 million for the same period in 2003, a decline of 51%. Gain on sale of loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$117.0 million, compared to \$283.5 million for the same period in 2003, a decrease of 59%. This decrease is attributable to decreased originations and reduced secondary market margins as a result of the increasing interest rate environment that began in the latter half of 2003.

Servicing fee income is recognized by collecting fees, which normally range between 25 and 44 basis points annually on the principal amount of the underlying mortgages. Servicing fee income totaled \$26.4 million and \$77.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, an increase of 20% and 35% from the same periods

in 2003, primarily reflecting the growth in the servicing portfolio.

Amortization expense relates to mortgage servicing rights and is based on the proportion of current net servicing income to the total expected for the estimated lives of the underlying loans. Amortization expense totaled \$22.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004, compared to \$29.3 million during the third quarter of 2003. Year-to-date amortization expense totaled \$74.3 million and \$89.8 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively. The decrease in amortization expense in 2004 relates in part to an other-than-temporary impairment adjustment of \$7.0 million taken in the first quarter of 2004 and \$38.5 million taken in the fourth quarter of 2003 to reflect our view that the originally recorded value of certain servicing rights, net of amortization, and subsequent impairment associated with those rights is unlikely to be recovered in market value. There was no related direct impact on net income as this other than temporary impairment affected only balance sheet accounts. However, the adjustment has resulted in a reduction of amortization expense. Amortization expense year to date in 2004 has also decreased as a result of an increase in the expected servicing life and cash flows caused by higher interest rates.

Impairment expense is recorded when the book value of the mortgage servicing rights exceeds the fair value on a strata by strata basis. We determined fair value at September 30, 2004, through the use of independent valuations, valuation comparisons to actual servicing sale proceeds, and internal models. Impairment expense totaled \$17.7 million and recovery totaled \$5.5 million for three and

Table of Contents

nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to a recovery of \$41.8 million and expense of \$0.8 million during the same periods of 2003. The impairment expense in the third quarter of 2004 was a result of higher actual and expected prepayments due to decreasing interest rates during the quarter. To mitigate against the income effect of impairment of the portfolio, we purchase financial instruments designed to change in value in a manner opposite from the servicing asset. These instruments produced a gain of \$22.5 million and \$23.1 million for us in the third quarter and year to date 2004 compared to a loss of \$27.6 million and a gain of \$1.6 million in the same periods in 2003. As a result, impairment, net of derivative gains/losses, was a positive \$4.9 million and \$28.5 million during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2004, compared to \$14.2 million and \$0.9 million during the same periods in 2003. The third quarter 2004 net gain of \$4.9 million was achieved despite interest rates declining during the quarter, meaning that derivatives gains outpaced impairment losses. A key factor was the basis risk that exists between the mortgage servicing assets and the related derivatives contracts. This basis risk affected earnings positively this quarter, but has been a negative factor in other quarters.

At September 30, 2004, the mortgage line of business held \$5.0 billion notional amount of interest rate swaptions to manage the risk associated with our servicing assets. Notional amounts do not represent the amount at risk. The current risk management activities of the mortgage bank related to servicing assets do not satisfy the criteria for hedge accounting under SFAS 133. As a result, these derivatives are accounted for as other assets and other liabilities, and changes in fair value are adjusted through earnings as derivative gains (losses), while the underlying servicing asset is accounted for on a strata-by-strata basis at the lower of cost or market.

Our mortgage banking business maintains the flexibility either to sell servicing for current cash flow through bulk sales or to retain servicing for future cash flow through the retention of ongoing servicing fees. Total servicing sales represented 58% of loan originations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to 6% and 5% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003. The decision to sell or retain servicing is based on current market conditions for servicing assets, loan origination levels and production expenses, servicing portfolio management considerations, consolidated capital constraints and the general level of risk tolerance of the mortgage banking line of business and the Corporation. We sold \$37 million of bulk servicing during the third quarter and \$2.0 billion year to date in 2004, generating a \$0.4 million and \$8.9 million pre-tax gain, respectively. There were no bulk sales during these periods in 2003. Over the past few years, we have built our servicing portfolio in anticipation of rising interest rates that would result in lower mortgage loan production. We sold servicing this year to manage the size and composition of our investment in mortgage servicing assets.

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth operating expenses for our mortgage banking line of business for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 19,848 | \$ 21,445 | \$ 59,847 | \$ 60,176 |
| Incentive and commission pay | 11,110 | 23,794 | 32,293 | 70,882 |
| Other expenses | 21,950 | 28,971 | 69,954 | 80,238 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 52,908 | \$ 74,210 | \$ 162,094 | \$ 211,296 |

| | | | |
|--|--|-------|-------|
| Number of employees at period end (1) | | 1,871 | 2,307 |
|--|--|-------|-------|

(1) On a full time equivalent basis

Operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$52.9 million and \$162.1 million, a 29% and 23% decrease over the same periods in 2003. These decreases in operating expenses reflect significant decreases in production activities in 2004 versus 2003. However, the relative decreases in operating expenses is less than the relative decreases in production due to the fixed and semi-variable nature of certain operating expenses and the impact of investments in various process improvement initiatives.

Table of Contents*Mortgage Servicing*

The following table shows information about our managed mortgage servicing portfolio, including mortgage loans held for sale, for the periods indicated:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 | Year Ended December 31, 2003 |
|--|---|---|
| | (Portfolio in billions) | |
| Beginning servicing portfolio | \$ 29.6 | \$ 16.8 |
| Mortgage loan closings | 8.6 | 21.9 |
| Sales of servicing rights | (4.5) | (0.6) |
| Run-off ⁽¹⁾ | (5.2) | (8.5) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Ending servicing portfolio | \$ 28.5 | \$ 29.6 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Number of loans (end of period) | 222,261 | 229,983 |
| Average loan size | \$ 128,368 | \$ 128,880 |
| Weighted average coupon rate | 5.70% | 5.83% |
| Percent Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) and state housing programs | 27 | 26 |
| Percent conventional and other | 73 | 74 |
| Delinquency ratio | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| Mortgage servicing assets to related servicing portfolio ⁽²⁾ | 1.20 | 1.19 |

(1) Run-off is primarily the reduction in principal balance of the servicing portfolio due to regular principal payments made by mortgagees and early repayments of entire loans.

(2) For this calculation, deferred service release premiums on warehouse loans are excluded from mortgage servicing assets, and loans held for sale (i.e. warehouse loans) are excluded from the servicing portfolio.

We record originated mortgage servicing assets at allocated cost basis when the loans are sold and record purchased servicing assets at fair value. Thereafter, servicing rights are accounted for at the lower of their cost or fair value. We record a valuation allowance for any impairment on a disaggregated basis. We determine fair value on a monthly basis based on a discounted cash flow analysis. These cash flows are projected over the life of the servicing using prepayment, default, discount rate and cost to service assumptions that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. We then assess these modeled assumptions for reasonableness through independent third-party valuations, periodic servicing asset sales and through the use of industry surveys. At September 30, 2004, we estimated the fair value of these assets to be \$352.3 million in the aggregate, or \$7.1 million greater than the carrying value on the balance sheet. The difference between carrying value and market value is the result of a cap under generally accepted accounting principles at the lower of cost or market for these assets. At December 31, 2003, we estimated the fair value of these assets to be \$358.9 million in the aggregate, or \$10.7 million greater than the carrying value on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents**Commercial Banking**

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial banking line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Selected Income Statement Data: | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 33,245 | \$ 28,359 | \$ 91,237 | \$ 84,146 |
| Interest expense | (9,878) | (8,243) | (26,133) | (25,564) |
| Net interest income | 23,367 | 20,116 | 65,104 | 58,582 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (607) | (1,500) | (2,557) | (4,413) |
| Other income | 3,889 | 5,744 | 13,726 | 16,517 |
| Total net revenue | 26,649 | 24,360 | 76,273 | 70,686 |
| Operating expense | (17,413) | (14,316) | (48,361) | (42,187) |
| Income before taxes | 9,236 | 10,044 | 27,912 | 28,499 |
| Income taxes | (3,719) | (4,071) | (11,208) | (11,435) |
| Net income | \$ 5,517 | \$ 5,973 | \$ 16,704 | \$ 17,064 |

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period: | | |
| Assets | \$2,611,473 | \$2,203,965 |
| Securities and short-term investments ¹ | 358,109 | 107,668 |
| Loans and leases | 2,159,976 | 1,988,633 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (22,045) | (22,055) |
| Deposits | 2,410,275 | 1,964,274 |
| Shareholder's equity | 139,436 | 162,050 |
| Year to Date Daily Averages | | |
| Assets | \$2,416,025 | \$2,119,944 |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Securities and short-term investments | 276,411 | 131,137 |
| Loans and leases | 2,056,997 | 1,914,608 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (22,319) | (21,895) |
| Deposits | 2,193,693 | 1,894,406 |
| Shareholder's equity | 150,259 | 147,886 |
| Shareholder's equity to assets | 6.22% | 6.98% |

(1) Includes \$293 million of inter-company investments, the result of excess liquidity at the commercial banking line of business related to deposit growth in excess of their asset deployment needs. The funds have been redeployed in earning assets at our other lines of business. Earnings credited to the commercial banking line of business from these investments approximate alternative external investment rates.

Table of Contents*Overview*

Our commercial banking line of business focuses on providing credit, cash management and personal banking products to small businesses and business owners. We offer commercial banking services through our banking subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a federal savings bank. Earlier in the year, Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. sold two of its branches – Las Vegas, Nevada, and Salt Lake City, Utah – to Irwin Union Bank and Trust. On June 3, 2004, we established a new branch of Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. in Brookfield, Wisconsin (near Milwaukee). In October 2004, we submitted an application to the Office of Thrift Supervision to establish a branch of Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B. in Sacramento, California.

Net Income

Commercial banking net income of \$5.5 million during the third quarter of 2004 was slightly less than \$6.0 million for the same period in 2003. Year-to-date net income totaled \$16.7 million in 2004 compared to net income of \$17.1 million in 2003.

Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial banking line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 23,367 | \$ 20,116 | \$ 65,104 | \$ 58,582 |
| Average interest earning assets | 2,482,449 | 2,086,725 | 2,334,660 | 2,006,601 |
| Net interest margin | 3.74% | 3.82% | 3.72% | 3.90% |

Net interest income was \$23.4 million for the third quarter of 2004, an increase of 16% over third quarter of 2003. Net interest income year to date in 2004 also improved 11% over the same period in 2003. The 2004 improvement in net interest income resulted primarily from an increase in our commercial banking loan portfolio as a result of growth and expansion efforts. Net interest margin, the ratio of net interest income divided by average interest earning assets, for the three months ended September 30, 2004 was 3.74%, compared to 3.82% for the same period in 2003. Year-to-date net interest margin for 2004 was 3.72%, compared to 3.90% for 2003. The reduction in 2004 margin is due to slower than anticipated loan growth, which has led to greater than planned excess liquidity invested in lower yielding assets.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

Year to date provision for loan and lease losses declined to \$2.6 million during 2004, compared to a provision of \$4.4 million during the same period in 2003. The declining provision relates to a combination of improved overall credit quality, improving economic conditions and slower loan growth. See further discussion in the Credit Quality section below.

Table of Contents*Noninterest Income*

The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial banking line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Trust fees | \$ 438 | \$ 463 | \$ 1,433 | \$ 1,349 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,215 | 1,299 | 3,964 | 3,804 |
| Insurance commissions, fees and premiums | 482 | 446 | 1,568 | 1,589 |
| Gain from sales of loans | 616 | 2,454 | 2,323 | 7,127 |
| Loan servicing fees | 353 | 321 | 1,020 | 903 |
| Amortization of servicing assets | (323) | (964) | (1,204) | (2,340) |
| Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets | (247) | 326 | 341 | 394 |
| Brokerage fees | 299 | 336 | 1,148 | 883 |
| Other | 1,056 | 1,063 | 3,133 | 2,808 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total noninterest income | \$3,889 | \$5,744 | \$13,726 | \$16,517 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Noninterest income during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 decreased 32% and 17% over the same periods in 2003, respectively. This decrease was due primarily to lower gains from sales of loans related to decreased mortgage production, partially offset by lower servicing asset amortization. The commercial banking line of business has a first mortgage servicing portfolio totaling \$444.5 million at September 30, 2004, principally a result of mortgage loan production in its south-central Indiana markets. Servicing rights related to this portfolio are carried on the balance sheet at the lower of cost or market, estimated at September 30, 2004 to be \$3.6 million.

Operating Expenses

The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial banking line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$11,124 | \$ 8,498 | \$30,111 | \$26,139 |
| Other expenses | 6,289 | 5,818 | 18,250 | 16,048 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total operating expenses | \$17,413 | \$14,316 | \$48,361 | \$42,187 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Efficiency ratio | 63.9% | 55.4% | 61.4% | 56.2% |
| Number of employees at period end ⁽¹⁾ | | | 444 | 450 |

(1) On a full time equivalent basis.

Operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$17.4 million and \$48.4 million, an increase of 22% and 14% over the same periods in 2003, respectively. The increase in salaries and benefits is primarily attributable to higher incentive compensation driven by a higher return on equity at this line of business in 2004 and increased personnel costs related to new market expansion and support staff.

Balance Sheet

Total assets year to date through September 30, 2004 averaged \$2.4 billion compared to \$2.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2003. Year to date earning assets as of September 30, 2004 averaged \$2.3 billion compared to \$2.0 billion for the year 2003. The 2004 increase included commercial loans as a result of the commercial bank's continued growth and expansion efforts into new markets. Average core deposits (deposits less jumbo and brokered certificates of deposits and public funds) for the third quarter of 2004 totaled \$2.1 billion, an annualized increase of 8% over average core deposits in the second quarter 2004.

Table of Contents*Credit Quality*

Nonperforming assets to total assets and the allowance for loan losses to total loans both decreased at September 30, 2004, compared to December 31, 2003. The decline in nonperformings in 2004 was primarily the result of satisfactory management of delinquent loans and collections made to nonperforming relationships during the past nine months. Nonperforming loans are not significantly concentrated in any industry category. The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets in this line of business and our allowance for loan losses:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Nonperforming loans | \$19,691 | \$ 25,614 | | |
| Other real estate owned | 1,324 | 995 | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| Total nonperforming assets | <u>\$21,015</u> | <u>\$ 26,609</u> | | |
| | | | | |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.80% | 1.21% | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$22,045 | \$ 22,055 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.02% | 1.11% | | |
| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ 607 | \$1,500 | \$2,557 | \$4,413 |
| Net charge-offs | 611 | 994 | 2,567 | 3,107 |
| Annualized net charge-offs to average loans | 0.11% | 0.20% | 0.17% | 0.22% |

Table of Contents**Home Equity Lending**

The following table shows selected financial information for the home equity lending line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|------------------------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Selected Income Statement Data: | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 26,367 | \$ 26,655 | \$ 77,221 | \$ 81,289 |
| Recovery (provision) for loan and lease losses | 232 | (10,728) | (4,961) | (23,578) |
| Other income | 16,291 | 8,091 | 48,781 | (29,383) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total net revenue | 42,890 | 24,018 | 121,041 | 28,328 |
| Operating expense | (29,050) | (19,656) | (81,291) | (63,846) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 13,840 | 4,362 | 39,750 | (35,518) |
| Income taxes | (5,581) | (1,745) | (15,960) | 14,207 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net income (loss) | <u>\$ 8,259</u> | <u>\$ 2,617</u> | <u>\$ 23,790</u> | <u>\$ (21,311)</u> |
| Selected Operating Data: | | | | |
| Loan volume: | | | | |
| Lines of credit | \$ 172,724 | \$ 72,400 | \$ 402,361 | \$ 236,278 |
| Loans | 224,052 | 195,215 | 705,115 | 608,842 |
| Net home equity charge-offs to average managed portfolio | 1.42 | 4.22 | 2.56 | 4.13 |
| | | | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
| | | | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period: | | | | |
| Home equity loans and lines of credit | \$ 668,633 | | \$ 692,637 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (13,179) | | (29,251) | |
| Home equity loans held for sale | 300,171 | | 202,627 | |
| Residual interests | 68,584 | | 70,519 | |
| Mortgage servicing assets | 40,356 | | 28,425 | |
| Short-term borrowings | 343,188 | | 368,640 | |

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Collateralized debt | 530,722 | 460,535 |
| Shareholders' equity | 148,203 | 128,555 |
| Selected Operating Data at End of Period | | |
| Total managed portfolio balance | \$1,395,721 | \$1,513,289 |
| Delinquency ratio | 4.6% | 5.9% |
| Weighted average coupon rate: | | |
| Lines of credit | 8.77% | 10.21% |
| Loans | 12.15 | 12.89 |

Overview

Our home equity lending line of business originates, purchases, sells and services a variety of home equity lines of credit and fixed-rate home equity loan products nationwide to certain qualified borrowers. We market our home equity products (generally using second mortgage liens) through a combination of direct mail, brokers, the Internet, and correspondent channels. We target creditworthy homeowners who are active credit users. Customers are underwritten using proprietary models based on several criteria, including the customers' previous use of credit.

We offer home equity loans with combined loan-to-value (CLTV) ratios of up to 125% of their collateral value. Home equity loans are priced taking into account, among other factors, the credit history of our customer, the disposable income of the borrower, and the relative loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of the loan at origination. For example, all else being equal, those loans with loan-to-value ratios greater than 100% (high LTV, or HLTVs) are priced with higher coupons than home equity loans with loan-to-value ratios less than 100% to compensate for increased expected losses through default. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2004, HLTV home equity loans constituted 46% of our loan originations versus 51% during the same period in 2003. HLTVs constituted 48% of our managed portfolio at September 30, 2004 compared to 64% at December 31, 2003. In an effort to manage portfolio concentration

Table of Contents

risk and to comply with existing banking regulations, we have policies in place governing the size of our investment in loans secured by real estate where the LTV is greater than 90%. In accordance with regulatory guidance set forth in Supervision and Regulation Letter 01-4 and in consultation with our banking regulators, we made a risk-weighting adjustment in our regulatory Consolidated Report of Condition and Income, beginning with the third quarter of 2003. This adjustment reflects a risk weighting of 200 percent for certain HLTV assets (approximately \$112 million at September 30, 2004 compared with \$184 million at June 30) that are described in the guidance as subprime. The designation of certain loans as subprime and the additional capital requirement for those loans was a principal driver in our decision to respond to secondary market demand for seasoned home equity product and sell a portion of our portfolio (approximately \$205 million) in the second quarter. This is also the principal reason we plan to sell existing loans (approximately \$150 million) in the fourth quarter rather than selling exclusively from current production.

For most of our home equity product offerings, we offer customers the choice to accept an early repayment fee in exchange for a lower interest rate. A typical early repayment option provides for a fee equal to up to six months interest that is payable if the borrower chooses to repay the loan during the first three to five years of its term. Approximately 80%, or \$1.1 billion, of our home equity managed portfolio at September 30, 2004 have early repayment provisions, reflecting such customer choice.

The following table provides a breakdown of our home equity lending managed portfolio by product type, outstanding principal balance and weighted average coupon as of September 30, 2004:

| | <u>Amount</u> | <u>% of Total</u> | <u>Weighted Average Coupon</u> |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Home equity loans <= 100% CLTV | \$ 157,902 | 11.31% | 10.43% |
| Home equity lines of credit <= 100% CLTV | <u>521,944</u> | <u>37.40</u> | <u>7.26</u> |
| Total <= 100% CLTV | 679,846 | 48.71 | 8.00 |
| Home equity loans > 100% CLTV | 402,836 | 28.86 | 13.34 |
| Home equity lines of credit > 100% CLTV | <u>249,372</u> | <u>17.87</u> | <u>11.49</u> |
| Total > 100% CLTV | 652,208 | 46.73 | 12.63 |
| First mortgages | 42,756 | 3.06 | 7.40 |
| Other | <u>20,911</u> | <u>1.50</u> | <u>13.91</u> |
| Total | <u>\$1,395,721</u> | <u>100.00%</u> | <u>10.23%</u> |

Net Income

Our home equity lending business recorded net income of \$8.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2004, compared to net income for the same period in 2003 of \$2.6 million. Year to date income of \$23.8 million was recorded through September 30, 2004, compared to a net loss of \$21.3 million during the same period a year earlier. The most significant factor in the increased earnings during 2004 was improving credit quality that drove modest increases in the fair value of our residual interests recorded through trading gains/losses, instead of the significant write downs of these assets suffered in the first half of 2003.

Net Revenue

Net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$42.9 million and \$121.0 million, respectively, compared to net revenue for the same periods in 2003 of \$24.0 million and \$28.3 million, respectively. The increase in revenues is primarily a result of the change from trading losses to trading gains related to adjustments made in marking the residual interests to fair value in the respective periods, reflecting improved credit quality trends in the underlying loan portfolios represented by these residuals, and of the recognition of incentive servicing fees. Trading gains during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$4.3 million and \$15.6 million, compared to trading losses of \$1.4 million and \$52.3 million during the same periods in 2003. Incentive servicing fee gains during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$2.3 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, compared with no incentive servicing fee income during 2003.

During the third quarter of 2004, our home equity lending business produced \$396.8 million of home equity loans, compared to \$267.6 million during the same period in 2003. Our home equity lending business had \$969 million of net loans and loans held for sale at September 30, 2004, compared to \$895 million at December 31, 2003. Included in the loan and loan held for sale balances at September 30, 2004 were \$0.5 billion of collateralized loans as part of secured financings.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information regarding net revenue for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$26,367 | \$ 26,655 | \$ 77,221 | \$ 81,289 |
| Recovery of (provision for) loan losses | 232 | (10,728) | (4,961) | (23,578) |
| Gain on sales of loans | 8,438 | 8,108 | 20,163 | 18,358 |
| Loan servicing fees | 7,482 | 6,001 | 20,968 | 15,832 |
| Amortization of servicing assets | (4,975) | (3,808) | (13,927) | (10,013) |
| Recovery (impairment) of servicing assets | (449) | (499) | 394 | (1,581) |
| Trading gains (losses) | 4,310 | (1,376) | 15,640 | (52,296) |
| Other income | 1,485 | (335) | 5,543 | 317 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total net revenue | \$42,890 | \$ 24,018 | \$121,041 | \$ 28,328 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

Net interest income decreased to \$26.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004, compared to \$26.7 million for the same period in 2003. Year-to-date net interest income for 2004 was \$77.2 million, compared to \$81.3 million for 2003. This line of business earns interest income on loans held on the balance sheet and the accretion of the discount applied to its residual interests. Accretion totaled \$3.3 million and \$9.9 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, versus \$4.1 million and \$17.1 million for the same periods in 2003. The reduced accretion relates to the reduction in our residual interests in 2004 compared to the prior year. The year to date increase in the non-accretion interest income from \$64.2 million in 2003 to \$67.3 million in 2004 is a result of the buildup of our on-balance sheet loan portfolio, which grew from \$0.8 billion at September 30, 2003 to \$1.0 billion at September 30, 2004.

Year to date, the provision for loan losses decreased to \$5.0 million in 2004, compared to \$23.6 million in 2003. The decreased provision relates to improvements in the credit quality of the loan portfolio. In addition, we transferred \$355 million in loans to loans held for sale when the decisions were made to sell these portfolio loans. These loans had an associated allowance of \$20.6 million. The loans were transferred with an allowance of \$10.8 million to reduce their carrying value to fair market value. After the transfers, the remaining \$9.8 million of excess allowance was reversed through the provision for loan and lease losses.

Gains on sales of loans for the three months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$8.4 million, compared to \$8.1 million during the same period in 2003. The gain relative to the amount of loans sold was lower than we have seen in recent quarters due to product mix sold and the related loan yields. Gains on sales of loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$20.2 million, compared to \$18.4 million during the same period in 2003.

We do not record a residual interest as a result of whole loan sales as we do not retain a credit loss interest after the sale. These are cash sales for which we receive a premium, generally record a servicing asset, and recognize any points and fees at the time of sale. For certain sales, we have the right to an incentive servicing fee that will provide

cash payments to us if a pre-established return for the certificate holders and certain structure-specific loan credit and servicing performance metrics are met. These incentive servicing fee contracts are treated as derivatives and classified in other assets. At September 30, 2004, we recorded incentive fees for two transactions that had already met or were projected to meet these performance metrics within the next twelve months. Included in other income during nine months of 2004 was \$3.8 million related to these incentive-servicing fees based upon actual and projected performance of the underlying pools of loans.

Loan servicing fees totaled \$7.5 million during the third quarter of 2004, compared to \$6.0 million during the same period in 2003. Year to date, loan servicing fees totaled \$21.0 million, compared to \$15.8 million during the same period in 2003. The servicing portfolio underlying the mortgage servicing asset at our home equity lending line of business totaled \$2.2 billion and \$1.7 billion at September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The increase in loan servicing fees in 2004 relates to increased early repayment fees which totaled \$10.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to \$5.8 million during the same period in 2003.

Amortization and impairment of servicing assets includes amortization expenses and valuation adjustments relating to the carrying value of servicing assets. Our home equity lending business determines fair value of its servicing asset using discounted cash flows and assumptions as to estimated future servicing income and cost that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. In addition, we periodically assess these modeled assumptions for reasonableness through independent third-party valuations. At September 30, 2004, net servicing assets totaled \$40.4 million, compared to a balance of \$28.4 million at December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

Servicing asset amortization and impairment expense totaled \$13.5 million year to date during 2004, compared to \$11.6 million for the same period in 2003. The increased expense relates to the increased size of the underlying servicing asset.

Trading gains (losses) represent unrealized gains (losses) as a result of adjustments to the carrying values of our residual interests. Trading gains totaled \$4.3 million in the third quarter of 2004, compared to losses of \$1.4 million for the same period in 2003. Year-to-date trading gains totaled \$15.6 million for 2004, compared to losses of \$52.3 million for the same period in 2003. Losses on the loans underlying the residual assets occurred at an annualized rate of 3.19% during the third quarter of 2004, as compared to our June 30, 2004 projection for the third quarter of 7.62%. As a result, cash flow received from the residual assets during the third quarter totaled \$12.3 million compared to our previously modeled estimate of \$8.0 million. Residual interests had a balance of \$68.6 million at September 30, 2004 and \$70.5 million at December 31, 2003. The decrease reflects a combination of runoff, performance-based valuation adjustments and the second quarter repurchase of \$5.3 million of residuals we had sold between 1999 and 2001. Included in the valuation are assumptions for estimated prepayments, expected losses, and discount rates that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. To the extent our expectations of future loss rates, prepayment speeds and other factors change as we gather additional data over time, these residual valuations may be subject to additional adjustments in the future. These adjustments could have a material effect on our reported earnings. The increased unrealized trading gains in 2004 principally reflect lower actual loss rates than those previously modeled. These lower loss rates reflect lower credit losses and higher recoveries. Our forward loss assumptions are reevaluated monthly and, as such, our residual asset valuations are adjusted monthly to reflect changes in actual and expected loss rates in our portfolio.

Operating Expenses

The following table shows operating expenses for our home equity lending line of business for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$18,627 | \$12,593 | \$52,618 | \$38,318 |
| Other | 10,423 | 7,063 | 28,673 | 25,528 |
| | <u>\$29,050</u> | <u>\$19,656</u> | <u>\$81,291</u> | <u>\$63,846</u> |
| Number of employees at period end ⁽¹⁾ | | | 681 | 663 |

⁽¹⁾ On a full time equivalent basis.

Operating expenses were \$29.1 million and \$81.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to \$19.7 million and \$63.8 million for the same periods in 2003. Year to date, operating expenses in 2004 included \$6.9 million of compensation expense related to minority ownership interests at the home equity lending line of business which are accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. During the same period in 2003, we had a reversal of approximately \$37 thousand due to the decline in the value of the minority ownership interest during that period.

Home Equity Servicing

Our home equity lending business continues to service a majority of the loans it has securitized and sold. We earn a servicing fee of approximately 50 to 100 basis points of the outstanding principal balance of the loans treated as sales under generally accepted accounting principles. The total servicing portfolio was \$2.8 billion at September 30, 2004 and \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2003. For whole loans sold with servicing retained totaling \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2004 and \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2003, we capitalize servicing fees including rights to future early repayment fees. The servicing asset at September 30, 2004 was \$40.4 million up from \$28.4 million at December 31, 2004 reflecting additional secondary market sales and financings.

Our Managed Portfolio, representing that portion of the servicing portfolio on which we have retained credit risk, is separated into two categories: \$1.0 billion of loans originated, generally since 2002, and held on balance sheet either as loans held for investment or loans held for sale, and \$0.4 billion of loans and lines of credit securitized for which we retained a residual interest. Generally, these loans categorized as *Owned Residual* were originated prior to 2002 and treated as sold under SFAS 140 and have a reserve methodology that reflects life of account loss expectations; whereas our policy for on-balance sheet loans requires that we hold at a

Table of Contents

minimum, sufficient reserves for potential losses inherent in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. Such losses for on-balance sheet loans manifest themselves over a period which management believes approximates twelve months.

In addition, where applicable, we have the opportunity to earn additional future servicing incentive fees. Included below in the category Credit Risk Sold, Potential Incentive Servicing Fee Retained Portfolio are \$1.1 billion of loans at September 30, 2004 and \$0.8 billion at December 31, 2003 for which we have the opportunity to earn an incentive servicing fee. The following table sets forth certain information for each of these portfolios.

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Managed Portfolio | | |
| Total Loans | \$ 1,395,721 | \$ 1,513,289 |
| 30 days past due | 4.59% | 5.87% |
| 90 days past due | 1.78 | 2.43 |
| Annualized QTD Net Chargeoff Rate | 1.42 | 4.79 |
| Unsold Loans | | |
| Total Loans ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 970,271 | \$ 897,227 |
| 30 days past due | 1.87% | 2.91% |
| 90 days past due | 0.72 | 1.25 |
| Annualized QTD Net Chargeoff Rate | 0.68 | 3.03 |
| Loan Loss Reserve | \$ 13,179 | \$ 29,251 |
| Owned Residual | | |
| Total Loans | \$ 425,450 | \$ 616,062 |
| 30 days past due | 10.78% | 10.18% |
| 90 days past due | 4.20 | 4.15 |
| Annualized QTD Net Chargeoff Rate | 3.19 | 7.13 |
| Residual Undiscounted Losses | \$ 25,447 | \$ 64,598 |
| Credit Risk Sold, Potential Incentive Servicing Fee Retained Portfolio | | |
| Total Loans | \$ 1,131,250 | \$ 849,264 |
| 30 days past due | 2.38% | 2.44% |
| 90 days past due | 0.91 | 0.72 |

⁽¹⁾ Excludes deferred fees and costs.

The managed portfolio amounts listed above include those loans we service with credit risk retained. Delinquency rates and losses on our managed portfolio result from a variety of factors, including loan seasoning, portfolio mix, our servicing practices, and general economic conditions. The 30-day and greater delinquency ratio on our managed portfolio was 4.6% at September 30, 2004, and 5.9% at December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents**Commercial Finance**

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial finance line of business for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|--------------|--|-----------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Selected Income Statement Data: | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 7,058 | \$ 5,685 | \$ 20,692 | \$ 15,890 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (1,589) | (2,388) | (4,777) | (9,321) |
| Noninterest income | 1,366 | 1,181 | 4,437 | 4,678 |
| Total net revenue | 6,835 | 4,478 | 20,352 | 11,247 |
| Operating expense | (4,914) | (3,829) | (14,177) | (11,211) |
| Income before taxes | 1,921 | 649 | 6,175 | 36 |
| Income taxes | (810) | (603) | (4,040) | (251) |
| Net income (loss) | <u>\$ 1,111</u> | <u>\$ 46</u> | <u>\$ 2,135</u> | <u>\$ (215)</u> |
| Selected Operating Data: | | | | |
| Net charge-offs | \$ 1,958 | \$ 2,034 | \$ 4,303 | \$ 6,505 |
| Net interest margin | 5.25% | 5.44% | 5.53% | 5.45% |
| Total funding of loans and leases | \$90,966 | \$61,679 | \$251,204 | \$185,588 |

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period: | | |
| Total assets | \$569,419 | \$474,915 |
| Loans and leases | 559,801 | 463,423 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (11,488) | (11,445) |
| Shareholders' equity | 50,123 | 44,255 |

Overview

In our commercial finance line of business, we originate small-ticket equipment leases through established U.S. and Canadian relationships with vendors, manufacturers and third-party originators, and provide financing for U.S. franchisees of selected quick service and casual dining restaurant concepts. The majority of our leases are full payout (no residual), small-ticket assets secured by commercial equipment. We finance a variety of commercial, light industrial and office equipment types and try to limit the concentrations in our loan and lease portfolios. Within the franchise channel, the majority of our contracts are full payout loans with higher transaction sizes than in our small-ticket channel. The franchise channel may also finance real estate for select franchise systems.

Net Income

During the three months ended September 30, 2004, the commercial finance line of business recorded net income of \$1.1 million, compared to approximately break even for the same period in the prior year. Year to date, the commercial finance line of business earned \$2.1 million compared to a loss of \$0.2 for the same period in the prior year. Year to date, pre-tax earnings increased to \$6.2 million at September 30, 2004, compared to approximately break even on a pre-tax basis during the same period in 2003. The 2004 pre-tax improvement in earnings is attributable to portfolio growth, improvements in credit quality, and gains from the sale leases and whole loans without credit recourse. Year to date net income was negatively affected by a one-time income tax charge of \$1.7 million during the first quarter reflecting the cumulative impact of tax liabilities acquired, but not recorded on the books of the company at the time of our purchase of our Canadian operations from a now bankrupt seller.

Table of Contents*Net Interest Income*

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial finance line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 7,058 | \$ 5,685 | \$ 20,692 | \$ 15,890 |
| Average interest earning assets | 536,882 | 414,882 | 504,290 | 389,849 |
| Net interest margin | 5.23% | 5.44% | 5.48% | 5.45% |

Net interest income was \$7.1 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, an increase of 24% over 2003. Year to date net interest income was \$20.7 million, compared to \$15.9 million in 2003. The improvement in net interest income resulted primarily from an increase in our commercial finance portfolio. The total loan and lease portfolio has increased to \$559.8 million at September 30, 2004, an increase of 21% over year-end 2003 and an increase of 32% over September 30, 2003. This line of business originated \$91.0 million in loans and leases during the third quarter of 2004, compared to \$61.7 million during the same period of 2003.

Net interest margin for the third quarter of 2004 was 5.23%, compared to 5.44% in 2003 for the same period. The decrease in 2004 margin is due primarily to changes in yields and product mix.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$1.6 million during the third quarter of 2004 down from \$2.4 million during the same period in 2003. The provision for loan and lease losses decreased to \$4.8 million year to date during 2004 compared to \$9.3 million for the same period in 2003. The decreased provisioning levels relate primarily to improvements in credit quality in our lease portfolio. We did add \$1.7 million in specific reserves to the allowance during 2004 related to a potential loss on lease receivables on product manufactured and supplied to customers by a single vendor.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income during the three months ended September 30, 2004 increased 16% over the same period in 2003. Year to date, noninterest income was \$4.4 million, compared to \$4.7 million during the same period of 2003. This year-to-date decrease was due primarily to interest rate derivative losses in our Canadian operation related to asset-liability mismatches in our funding of that operation. We had no derivative losses for this line of business during the same period in 2003.

Operating Expenses

The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial finance line of business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | Nine Months Ended September 30, |
|--|---|--|
|--|---|--|

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | <u>2004</u> | <u>2003</u> | <u>2004</u> | <u>2003</u> |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$3,646 | \$2,808 | \$10,486 | \$ 8,266 |
| Other | <u>1,268</u> | <u>1,021</u> | <u>3,691</u> | <u>2,945</u> |
| | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | <u>\$4,914</u> | <u>\$3,829</u> | <u>\$14,177</u> | <u>\$11,211</u> |
| | | | | |
| Number of employees at period end ⁽¹⁾ | | | 156 | 131 |

⁽¹⁾ On a full time equivalent basis.

Table of Contents

Operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 totaled \$4.9 million and \$14.2 million, respectively, an increase of 28% and 26% over the same periods in 2003. The increased operating expenses relate to the continued growth in this business since its inception in 1999, including compensation costs related to higher originations and the achievement of pre-tax profitability.

Credit Quality

The commercial finance line of business had nonperforming loans and leases at September 30, 2004 of \$6.2 million compared to \$4.1 million as of December 31, 2003. The bulk of this increase relates to a credit relationship with a single vendor as noted above in the discussion on provision for loan and leases losses. Net charge-offs recorded by this line of business totaled \$1.9 million for the third quarter of 2004, compared to \$2.0 million for the third quarter of 2003. Included in the third quarter amount was a \$0.9 million charge-off related to a \$4.3 million impaired loan that was sold during the quarter. Net charge-offs year to date were \$4.3 million, improving from the \$6.5 million net charge-offs recorded in the same period of 2003. Our allowance for loan and lease losses at September 30, 2004 totaled \$11.5 million, representing 2.1% of loans and leases, compared to a balance at December 31, 2003 of \$11.4 million, representing 2.5% of loans and leases.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming loans and leases in this line of business and our allowance for loan and lease losses:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Nonperforming loans | \$ 6,208 | \$ 4,083 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 11,488 | 11,445 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 2.05% | 2.47% |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| For the Period Ended: | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ 1,589 | \$ 2,388 | \$ 4,777 | \$ 9,321 |
| Net charge-offs | 1,958 | 2,034 | 4,303 | 6,505 |
| Annualized net charge-offs to average loans | 1.47% | 1.97% | 1.16% | 2.25% |

The following table provides certain information about the loan and lease portfolio of our commercial finance line of business at the dates shown:

| September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|

| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Domestic franchise loans | \$211,447 | \$150,505 |
| Weighted average yield | 8.15% | 8.45% |
| Delinquency ratio | 0.46 | 0.45 |
| Domestic leases | \$137,766 | \$134,602 |
| Weighted average yield | 9.28% | 9.54% |
| Delinquency ratio | 1.15 | 1.32 |
| Canadian leases ⁽¹⁾ | \$210,588 | \$178,316 |
| Weighted average yield | 9.63% | 10.12% |
| Delinquency ratio | 1.31 | 0.89 |

⁽¹⁾ In U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents**Venture Capital**

The following table shows selected financial information for our venture capital line of business for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 | |
|--|--|--------------|---|------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2003 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Selected Income Statement Data: | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ (3) | \$ (2) | \$ (6) | \$ 7 |
| Mark-to-market adjustment on investments | | | (341) | (2,421) |
| Noninterest income | 149 | 444 | 477 | 523 |
| | <u>146</u> | <u>442</u> | <u>130</u> | <u>(1,891)</u> |
| Total net revenues | | | (345) | (440) |
| Operating expense | (99) | (329) | (345) | (440) |
| | <u>47</u> | <u>113</u> | <u>(215)</u> | <u>(2,331)</u> |
| Income (loss) before taxes | | | 83 | 932 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (18) | (48) | 83 | 932 |
| | <u>\$ 29</u> | <u>\$ 65</u> | <u>\$(132)</u> | <u>\$(1,399)</u> |
| Net income (loss) | | | | |

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Period: | | |
| Investment in portfolio companies (cost) | \$ 14,717 | \$ 14,601 |
| Mark-to-market adjustment | (11,418) | (11,077) |
| | <u>\$ 3,299</u> | <u>\$ 3,524</u> |
| Carrying value of portfolio companies | | |

Overview

In our venture capital line of business, we have made minority investments in early stage companies in the financial services industry and related fields that intend to use technology as a key component of their competitive strategy. We provide Irwin Ventures portfolio companies the benefit of our management experience in the financial services industry. In addition, we expect that contacts made through venture activities may benefit management of our other lines of business through the sharing of technologies and market opportunities. Our venture capital line of business had investments in seven private companies as of September 30, 2004, with an aggregate investment cost of \$14.7 million and a carrying value of \$3.3 million representing our interest in three companies. We have reduced the scope of our venture investment activities over the past two years. In the future, if we do make additional investments, we anticipate it will be this reduced pace.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, the venture capital line of business broke even and recorded a net loss of \$0.1 million, respectively, compared to income of \$0.1 million and a loss of \$1.4 million for the same periods in 2003. The losses relate to valuation adjustments to reflect the company's portfolio investments at market value.

Parent and Other

Results at the parent company and other businesses totaled a loss of \$1.8 million and \$6.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to a loss of \$2.6 million and \$5.9 million during the same periods in 2003. The losses at the parent company primarily relate to operating expenses in excess of management fees and direct cost allocations charged to the lines of business and interest income earned on intercompany loans. Included in parent and other expense were compensation charges related to key employee retention initiatives at the home equity lending line of business totaling \$2.9 million year to date during 2004. We recognized a \$1.7 million benefit with respect to this initiative during this period of 2003. Also included in parent company operating results are allocations to our subsidiaries of interest expense related to our interest-bearing capital obligations. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2004, we allocated \$7.6 million of these expenses to our subsidiaries, compared to \$10.4 million during the same period in 2003, reflecting reduced use of parent company-sourced capital funding for the subsidiaries.

Each subsidiary pays taxes to us at the statutory rate, with the exception of our Canadian subsidiary that pays taxes in Canada. Subsidiaries also pay fees to us to cover direct and indirect services. In addition, certain services are provided from one subsidiary to another. Intercompany income and expenses are calculated on an arm's-length, external market basis and are eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

Risk Management

We are engaged in businesses that involve the assumption of financial risks including:

Credit risk

Liquidity risk

Interest rate risk

Operational risk

Each line of business that assumes financial risk uses a formal process to manage this risk. In all cases, the objectives are to ensure that risk is contained within prudent levels and that we are adequately compensated for the level of risk assumed.

Our Chairman, Executive Vice President, Senior Vice Presidents (including the Chief Financial Officer), and Chief Risk Officer meet on a regularly-scheduled basis (or more frequently as appropriate) as an Enterprise-wide Risk Management Committee (ERMC), reporting to the Board of Directors Audit and Risk Management Committee. The ERMC and its subcommittees oversee all aspects of our financial, credit, and operational risks. The ERMC provides senior-level review and enhancement of line manager risk processes and oversight of our risk reporting, surveillance and model parameter changes.

Credit Risk

The assumption of credit risk is a key source of earnings for the home equity lending, commercial banking and commercial finance lines of business. The mortgage banking line of business assumes limited credit risk as its mortgages typically are insured and are sold within a short period of time after origination.

The credit risk in the loan portfolios of the home equity lending, commercial finance and commercial banking lines of business has the most potential for a significant effect on our consolidated financial performance. These lines of business each have a Chief Credit Officer specific to the product line and manage credit risk through various combinations of the use of lending policies, credit analysis and approval procedures, periodic loan reviews, servicing activities, and/or personal contact with borrowers. Commercial loans over a certain size, depending on the loan type and structure, are reviewed by a loan committee prior to approval. We perform independent loan review across the Corporation through a function that reports directly to the Audit and Risk Management Committee.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate based on our judgment applying the principles of SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies, SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures. The allowance is maintained at a level we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. We perform an assessment of the adequacy of the allowance no less frequently than on a quarterly basis.

Within the allowance, there are specific and expected loss components. The specific loss component is based on a regular analysis of all loans over a fixed-dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification. From this analysis we determine the loans that we believe to be impaired in accordance with SFAS 114. Management has defined impaired as nonaccrual loans. An allowance is established when the collateral value, observable market price or discounted cash flows of an impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. In addition to establishing allowance levels for specifically identified higher risk graded loans, management determines an allowance for all other loans in the portfolio for which historical or projected experience indicates that certain

losses exist. These loans are segregated by major product type, and in some instances, by aging, with an estimated loss ratio or migration pattern applied against each product type and aging category. For portfolios that are too new to have adequate historical experience on which to base a loss estimate, we use estimates derived from industry experience and management's judgment. The loss ratio or migration patterns are generally based upon historic loss experience or historic rate migration behaviors, respectively, for each loan type adjusted for certain environmental factors management believes to be relevant.

Table of Contents

Net charge-offs for the three months ended September 30, 2004 were \$4.5 million, or 0.5% of average loans, compared to \$8.5 million, or 1.0% of average loans during the same period in 2003. Year-to-date net charge-offs were \$17.1 million, compared to \$24.3 million during the same period in 2003. The decrease in charge-offs is a result of improvements in credit quality associated with the steadily improving economy. At September 30, 2004, the allowance for loan and lease losses was 1.4% of outstanding loans and leases, down from 2.0% at December 31, 2003, reflecting improvements in the credit quality of our portfolios as described in more detail below.

Total nonperforming loans and leases at September 30, 2004, were \$35.1 million, compared to \$44.4 million at December 31, 2003. Nonperforming loans and leases as a percent of total loans and leases at September 30, 2004 were 1.0%, compared to 1.4% at December 31, 2003. The 2004 decrease occurred primarily at the home equity lending line of business where nonperforming loans decreased from \$11.2 million at December 31, 2003 to \$6.9 million at September 30, 2004. Nonperforming loans at the commercial banking line of business decreased to \$19.7 million at September 30, 2004, compared to \$26.6 million at the end of 2003. Nonperforming loan and leases at the commercial finance line of business increased from \$4.1 million at December 31, 2003 to \$6.2 million at September 30, 2004.

Other real estate we owned totaled \$6.1 million at September 30, 2004, down from \$6.4 million at December 31, 2003. Total nonperforming assets at September 30, 2004 were \$42.9 million, or 0.79% of total assets compared to nonperforming assets at December 31, 2003, of \$52.5 million, or 1.1% of total assets.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets at the dates shown:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more: | | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural loans | \$ 32 | \$ 4,172 |
| Real estate mortgages | 193 | |
| Consumer loans | 88 | 226 |
| Lease financing: | | |
| Domestic | 65 | 159 |
| Canadian | 5 | 70 |
| | <u>383</u> | <u>4,627</u> |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases: | | |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural loans | 18,792 | 20,447 |
| Real estate mortgages | 9,571 | 14,663 |
| Consumer loans | 170 | 769 |
| Lease financing: | | |
| Domestic | 4,723 | 1,916 |
| Canadian | 1,415 | 1,943 |
| | <u>34,671</u> | <u>39,738</u> |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Total nonperforming loans and leases | 35,054 | 44,365 |
| Other real estate owned: | | |
| Nonperforming loans held for sale not guaranteed | 1,677 | 1,695 |
| Other real estate owned | 6,138 | 6,431 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$42,869 | \$ 52,491 |
| Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases | 1.0% | 1.4% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.8% | 1.1% |

For the periods presented, the balances of any restructured loans are reflected in the table above either in the amounts shown for accruing loans past due 90 days or more or in the amounts shown for nonaccrual loans and leases.

Table of Contents

Loans that are past due 90 days or more are placed on nonaccrual status unless, in management's opinion, there is sufficient collateral value to offset both principal and accrued interest. The nonperforming assets at September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003 were held at our lines of business as follows:

| | September 30, 2004 | December 31, 2003 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| Mortgage banking | \$ 7.3 | \$ 6.8 |
| Commercial banking | 21.0 | 26.6 |
| Home equity lending | 8.3 | 15.0 |
| Commercial finance | 6.2 | 4.1 |

Generally, the accrual of income is discontinued when the full collection of principal or interest is in doubt, or when the payment of principal or interest has become contractually 90 days past due unless the obligation is both well secured and in the process of collection.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity is the availability of funds to meet the daily requirements of our business. For financial institutions, demand for funds results principally from extensions of credit and withdrawal of deposits. Liquidity is provided through deposits and short-term and long-term borrowings, by asset maturities or sales, and through equity capital.

The objectives of liquidity management are to ensure that funds will be available to meet current and future demands and that funds are available at a reasonable cost. We manage liquidity centrally via daily interaction with the lines of business and periodic liquidity planning sessions. Since loans are less marketable than securities, the ratio of total loans to total deposits is a traditional measure of liquidity for banks and bank holding companies. At September 30, 2004, the ratio of loans and loans held for sale to total deposits was 125%. We are comfortable with this relatively high level due to our position in mortgage loans held for sale (\$1.0 billion) and second mortgage loans and leases financed through matched-term secured financing (\$0.7 billion). The mortgage loans carry an interest rate at or near current market rates and are generally sold within a short period after origination. Excluding these items, our loans to deposit ratio at September 30, 2004 was 77%.

Deposits consist of three primary types: non-maturity transaction account deposits, certificates of deposit (CDs), and escrow account deposits. Core deposits exclude jumbo CDs, brokered CDs and public funds. Core deposits totaled \$2.2 billion at September 30, 2004 compared to \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2003.

Non-maturity transaction account deposits are generated by our commercial banking line of business and include deposits placed into checking, savings, money market and other types of deposit accounts by our customers. These types of deposits have no contractual maturity date and may be withdrawn at any time. While these balances fluctuate daily, a large percentage typically remains for much longer. At September 30, 2004, these deposit types totaled \$1.9 billion, an increase of \$0.6 billion from December 31, 2003. We monitor overall deposit balances daily with particular attention given to larger accounts that have the potential for larger daily fluctuations and which are at greater risk to be withdrawn should there be an industry-wide or bank-specific event that might cause uninsured depositors to be concerned about the safety of their deposits. On a monthly basis we model and share with our Asset Liability Committee the expected impact on liquidity from moderate and severe liquidity stress scenarios as one of our tools to ensure that our liquidity is sufficient.

CDs differ from non-contractual maturity accounts in that they do have contractual maturity dates. We issue CDs both directly to customers and through brokers. As of September 30, 2004, CDs issued directly to customers totaled \$0.6 billion, unchanged from December 31, 2003. Brokered CDs are typically considered to have higher liquidity (renewal) risk than CDs issued directly to customers, since brokered CDs are often done in large blocks and since a direct relationship does not exist with the depositor. In recognition of this, we manage the size and maturity structure of brokered CDs closely. For example, the maturities of brokered CDs are laddered to mitigate liquidity risk. CDs issued through brokers totaled \$0.3 billion at September 30, 2004, and had an average remaining life of 14 months, as compared to \$0.3 billion outstanding with an 18 month average remaining life at December 31, 2003.

Escrow account deposits are related to the servicing of our originated first mortgage loans. When a first mortgage borrower makes a monthly mortgage payment, consisting of interest and principal due on the loan and often a real estate tax and insurance portion, we hold the payment on a non-interest earning basis, except where otherwise required by law, until the payment is remitted to the current owner of the loan or the proper tax authority and insurance carrier. Escrow deposits may also include proceeds from the payoff of loans in our servicing portfolio prior to the transmission of those proceeds to investors. At September 30, 2004, these escrow balances totaled \$0.7 billion, which was up \$0.1 billion from December 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

Short-term borrowings consist of borrowings from several sources. Our largest borrowing source is the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (FHLBI). We utilize their collateralized borrowing programs to help fund qualifying first mortgage, home equity and commercial real estate loans. As of September 30, 2004, there was only \$1.0 million in outstanding FHLBI borrowings, a \$0.3 billion decrease from December 31, 2003. We had sufficient collateral pledged to FHLBI at September 30, 2004 to borrow an additional \$0.7 billion, if needed.

In addition to borrowings from the FHLBI, we use other lines of credit as needed. At September 30, 2004, the amount of short-term borrowings outstanding on our major credit lines and the total amount of the borrowing lines were as follows:

Warehouse lines of credit to fund first mortgages and home equity loans: none outstanding on a \$300 million borrowing facility, of which \$150 million is committed

Warehouse borrowing facilities to fund first mortgage loans: none outstanding on a \$150 million committed borrowing facility

Lines of credit with correspondent banks, including fed funds lines: none outstanding out of \$165 million available but not committed

Line of credit with a correspondent bank collateralized by mortgage servicing rights: none outstanding out of \$75 million committed borrowing facility

Warehouse line of credit to fund Canadian sourced small ticket leases: \$49 million outstanding on a \$50 million borrowing facility

In addition to short-term borrowings from the aforementioned credit lines, sale facilities are used to effect sale of Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) conforming first mortgage loans before scheduled GSE settlement dates. The first two of these sale facilities listed below have specific dollar limits as noted. The size of the third facility is limited only by the amount of mortgage-backed securities we can package for purchase by the facility provider. At September 30, 2004, the amount unsettled by the GSE on these facilities and the total facility amount were as follows:

Committed warehouse sale facility: \$322 million unsettled on a \$600 million facility

Uncommitted warehouse sale facility: none unsettled on a \$150 million facility

Investor warehouse sale facility: none unsettled

Interest Rate Risk

Because all of our assets are not perfectly match funded with like-term liabilities, our earnings are affected by interest rate changes. Interest rate risk is measured by the sensitivity of both net interest income and fair market value of net interest sensitive assets to changes in interest rates.

An asset/liability management committee (ALMC) at each of our lines of business that has interest rate risk monitors the repricing structure of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items and uses a financial simulation model to measure interest rate risk over multiple interest rate scenarios. Our parent company ALMC oversees the interest rate risk profile of all of our lines of business as a whole and is represented on each of the line of business ALMCs. We incorporate many factors into the financial model, including prepayment speeds, deposit rate forecasts for non-maturity transaction accounts, fee income and a comprehensive mark-to-market valuation process. We reevaluate risk measures and assumptions regularly and enhance modeling tools as needed.

Our commercial banking, home equity lending, and commercial finance lines of business assume interest rate risk in the form of repricing structure mismatches between their loans and leases and funding sources. We manage this risk by adjusting the duration of their interest sensitive liabilities and through the use of hedging via financial derivatives.

Table of Contents

Our mortgage banking line of business assumes interest rate risk by entering into commitments to extend loans to borrowers at a fixed rate for a limited period of time. We hold closed loans only temporarily until a pool is formed and sold in a securitization or under a flow sale arrangement. To mitigate the risk that interest rates will rise between loan origination and sale, the mortgage bank buys commitments to deliver loans at a fixed price. Interest rate risk also exists for the mortgage pipeline period, which is the period starting when a rate lock commitment is made and ending at the time a loan originates or the rate lock expires. To mitigate this risk, the mortgage bank also buys commitments to deliver loans at a fixed rate for a portion of our pipeline.

Our mortgage, commercial banking and home equity lending lines of business also are exposed to the risk that interest rates will decline, increasing prepayment speeds on loans and decreasing the value of servicing assets and residual interests. Some offsets to these exposures exist in the form of strong production operations, selective sales of servicing rights, match-funded asset-backed securities sales and the use of financial instruments to manage the economic performance of the assets. Since there are accounting timing differences between the recognition of gains or losses on financial derivatives and the realization of economic gains or losses on certain offsetting exposures (e.g., strong production operations), our decisions on the degree to which we manage risk with derivative instruments to insulate against short-term price volatility depends on a variety of factors, including:

the type of risk we are trying to mitigate;

offsetting factors elsewhere in the Corporation;

the level of current capital above our target minimums;

time remaining in the quarter (i.e., days until quarter end);

current level of derivative gain or loss relative to accounting and economic basis;

basis risk: the degree to which the interest rates underlying our derivative instruments might not move parallel to the interest rate driving our asset valuation; and

convexity: the degree to which asset values, or risk management derivative instrument values, do not change in a linear fashion as interest rates change.

This strategy may, at times, result in variability in quarterly results that are not reflective of underlying trends for the Corporation.

The following tables reflect our estimate of the present value of interest sensitive assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items at September 30, 2004. In addition to showing the estimated fair market value at current rates, they also provide estimates of the fair market values of interest sensitive items based upon a hypothetical instantaneous move both up and down 100 and 200 basis points in the entire yield curve.

The first table is an economic analysis showing the present value impact of changes in interest rates, assuming a comprehensive mark-to-market environment. The second table is an accounting analysis showing the same net present value impact, adjusted for expected GAAP treatment. Neither analysis takes into account the book values of the noninterest sensitive assets and liabilities (such as cash, accounts receivable, and fixed assets), the values of which are not directly determined by interest rates.

The analyses are based on discounted cash flows over the remaining estimated lives of the financial instruments. The interest rate sensitivities apply only to transactions booked as of September 30, 2004, although certain accounts are normalized whereby the three- or six-month average balance is included rather than the quarter-end balance in

order to avoid having the analysis skewed by a significant increase or decrease to an account balance at quarter end.

The net asset value sensitivities do not necessarily represent the changes in the lines of business net asset value that would actually occur under the given interest rate scenarios, as sensitivities do not reflect changes in value of the companies as a going concern, nor consider potential rebalancing or other hedging actions that might be taken in the future under asset/liability management as interest rates change.

Specifically, the volume of derivative contracts entered into to manage the risk of mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) fluctuates from quarter to quarter and within a given quarter, depending upon market conditions, the size of our MSR portfolio and various additional factors. We monitor derivative positions frequently and rebalance them as needed. Therefore, our derivative positions, shown in the table below as of September 30, 2004, may or may not be representative of our risk position during the succeeding quarter. Additionally, it is unlikely that the volume of derivative positions would remain constant over large fluctuations in interest

Table of Contents

rates, although the tables below assume they do. MSR risk management derivative contracts appear under the category Interest Sensitive Financial Derivatives in the tables below.

Economic Value Change Method

**Present Value at September 30, 2004
Change in Interest Rates of:**

| | -2% | -1% | Current | +1% | +2% |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | (In Thousands) | | | | |
| Interest Sensitive Assets | | | | | |
| Loans and other assets | \$ 3,710,679 | \$ 3,672,049 | \$ 3,634,052 | \$ 3,596,728 | \$ 3,562,703 |
| Loans held for sale | 984,586 | 980,254 | 973,226 | 965,319 | 957,293 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 196,056 | 251,839 | 400,843 | 539,330 | 605,300 |
| Residual interests | 78,951 | 75,729 | 70,968 | 66,492 | 62,348 |
| Interest sensitive financial derivatives | 23,679 | 35,230 | 19,528 | 2,801 | 5,598 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest sensitive assets | 4,993,951 | 5,015,101 | 5,098,617 | 5,170,670 | 5,193,242 |
| Interest Sensitive Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits | (3,498,598) | (3,474,033) | (3,456,540) | (3,440,734) | (3,426,494) |
| Short-term borrowings ⁽¹⁾ | (261,816) | (261,652) | (261,480) | (261,311) | (261,142) |
| Long-term debt | (812,874) | (805,525) | (791,479) | (776,006) | (760,790) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest sensitive liabilities | (4,573,288) | (4,541,210) | (4,509,499) | (4,478,051) | (4,448,426) |
| Net market value as of September 30, 2004 | \$ 420,663 | \$ 473,891 | \$ 589,118 | \$ 692,619 | \$ 744,816 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Change from current | \$ (168,455) | \$ (115,227) | \$ | \$ 103,501 | \$ 155,698 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net market value as of June 30, 2004 | \$ 502,435 | \$ 512,973 | \$ 610,428 | \$ 696,300 | \$ 729,752 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Potential change | \$ (107,993) | \$ (97,455) | \$ | \$ 85,872 | \$ 119,324 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

⁽¹⁾ Includes certain debt which is categorized as collateralized borrowings in other sections of this document

GAAP-Based Value Change Method**Present Value at September 30, 2004****Change in Interest Rates of:**

| | -2% | -1% | Current | +1% | +2% |
|---|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | (In Thousands) | | | | |
| Interest Sensitive Assets | | | | | |
| Loans and other assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Loans held for sale | 971,357 | 971,357 | 971,357 | 963,450 | 955,424 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 191,560 | 246,579 | 389,171 | 418,482 | 426,902 |
| Residual interests | 78,951 | 75,729 | 70,968 | 66,492 | 62,348 |
| Interest sensitive financial derivatives | 23,679 | 35,230 | 19,528 | 2,801 | 5,598 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest sensitive assets | 1,265,547 | 1,328,895 | 1,451,024 | 1,451,225 | 1,450,272 |
| Interest Sensitive Liabilities | | | | | |
| Deposits ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total interest sensitive liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Net market value as of September 30, 2004 | \$1,265,547 | \$1,328,895 | \$1,451,024 | \$1,451,225 | \$1,450,272 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Potential change | \$ (185,477) | \$ (122,129) | \$ | \$ 201 | \$ (752) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net market value as of June 30, 2004 | \$1,551,649 | \$1,564,401 | \$1,619,926 | \$1,614,726 | \$1,602,217 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Potential change | \$ (68,277) | \$ (55,525) | \$ | \$ (5,200) | \$ (17,709) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

⁽¹⁾ Value does not change in GAAP presentation

Table of Contents

Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Irwin Financial, like other financial services organizations, is exposed to a variety of operational risks. These risks include regulatory, reputational and legal risks, as well as the potential for processing errors, internal or external fraud, failure of computer systems, and external events that are beyond the control of the Corporation, such as natural disasters.

Our Board of Directors has ultimate accountability for the level of operational risk assumed by us. The Board guides management by approving our business strategy and significant policies. Our management and Board have also established and continue to improve a control environment that encourages a high degree of awareness and proactivity in alerting senior management and the Board to potential control issues on a timely basis.

The Board has directed that primary responsibility for the management of operational risk rests with the managers of our business units, who are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control procedures that are appropriate for their operations. In 2002, we started implementing a multi-year program to provide a more integrated firm-wide approach for the identification, measurement, monitoring and mitigation of operational risk. As of May 2004, the enterprise-wide operational risk oversight function reports to the Chief Risk Officer, who in turn reports to the Audit and Risk Management Committee of our Board of Directors and chairs our Enterprise-Wide Risk Management Committee. We recently established an enterprise-wide compliance oversight function to devote increased attention and resources to banking regulatory compliance as we have grown more complex and as our home equity, commercial finance and mortgage banking businesses are now all being conducted under Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company. The compliance oversight function also reports to our Chief Risk Officer. We have developed Risk and Control Summaries (RACS) for our key business processes. Line of business and parent company managers use the RACS to assist in identifying operational and other risks for the purpose of monitoring and strengthening internal and disclosure controls. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Board of Directors, as well as the Boards of our subsidiaries, use the RACS to assist in overseeing and assessing the adequacy of our internal and disclosure controls.

The financial services business is highly regulated. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in substantial monetary or other damages that could be material to our financial position. Statutes and regulations may change in the future. We cannot predict what effect these changes, if made, will have on our operations. It should be noted that the supervision, regulation and examination of banks, thrifts and mortgage companies by regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors and other customers rather than shareholders of these institutions.

We are registered as a bank holding company with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the related regulations. We are subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve, and as part of this process we must file reports and additional information with the Federal Reserve. The regulation, supervision and examinations occur at the local, state and federal levels and involve, but are not limited to minimum capital requirements, consumer protection, community reinvestment, and deposit insurance.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments

In the normal course of our business as a provider of financial services, we are party to certain financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financial needs of our customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the consolidated balance sheet.

Our exposure to credit loss, in the form of nonperformance by the counterparty on commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Collateral pledged for standby letters of credit and commitments varies but may include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and residential real estate. Total outstanding commitments to extend credit at September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively, were \$587.5 million and \$546.5 million. We had \$32.0 million and \$30.8 million in irrevocable standby letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

Table of Contents**Derivative Financial Instruments**

Financial derivatives are used as part of the overall asset/liability risk management process. We use certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. These derivatives are classified as Other assets and Other liabilities and marked to market on the income statement. While we do not seek GAAP hedge accounting treatment for the assets that these instruments are hedging, the economic purpose of these instruments is to manage the risk inherent in existing exposures to either interest rate risk or foreign currency risk.

We entered into an interest rate swap that has a notional amount (which does not represent the amount at risk) of \$25 million as of September 30, 2004. Under the terms of the swap agreement, we receive a fixed rate of interest and pay a floating rate of interest based upon one-month LIBOR. We recognized a loss of \$0.1 million included in derivative gains (losses) during the nine month period ended September 30, 2004 related to this swap. By receiving a fixed rate of interest and paying a floating rate on the swap, we are converting the economics of a \$25 million fixed rate funding source into a floating rate funding source. Additionally, on September 29, 2004, we entered into an interest rate swap that will meet the criteria for the application of SFAS 133 hedge treatment accounting. This swap has a notional amount (which does not represent the amount of risk) of \$10 million to hedge a fixed rate certificate of deposit. Under the terms of the swap agreement, we receive a fixed rate of interest and pay a floating rate of interest based upon three-month LIBOR.

We enter into forward contracts to protect against interest rate fluctuations from the date of mortgage loan commitment until the loans are sold. A portion of the transactions hedging the closed mortgage loans qualifies for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. The notional amount of our forward contracts (which does not represent the amount at risk) totaled \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2004. The closed mortgage loans hedged by forward contracts qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. The basis of the hedged closed loans is adjusted for change in value associated with the risk being hedged. We value closed loan contracts at period end based upon the current secondary market value of securities with similar characteristics. The unrealized gain on our forward contracts at September 30, 2004 was \$1.3 million and the hedge ineffectiveness year to date was a loss of \$2.1 million. The effect of these hedging activities was recorded through earnings as a component of Gain from sale of loans.

We enter into commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Rate lock commitments on loans intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. We record changes in the fair value of these commitments based upon the current secondary market value of securities with similar characteristics. A year to date net decrease in fair value of these derivatives totaling \$5.1 million was recorded in Gain from sale of loans. At September 30, 2004, we had a notional amount of rate lock commitments outstanding totaling \$1.3 billion with a fair value of \$2.0 million. Notional amounts do not represent the amount of risk.

Our commercial finance line of business delivered fixed rate leases into conduits that fund them with floating rate commercial paper, which creates an interest rate risk mismatch.

In two instances, this funding mismatch is lessened by a combination of amortizing interest rate caps and Eurodollar futures contracts. The interest rate caps have a strike price of 5% and provide protection against a large increase in short-term interest rates. As of September 30, 2004, the notional value and year-to-date loss on the interest rate caps were \$30.7 million and \$40 thousand, respectively. As of September 30, 2004, the total notional amount and year-to-date loss on the Eurodollar futures were \$120.0 million and \$47 thousand, respectively.

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2003, on several occasions we have delivered fixed rate leases into a second commercial paper conduit. Although the leases and funding were in Canadian dollars (CAD), the interest rate

mismatch is similar in nature to that described above. To lessen the repricing mismatch between fixed rate CAD-denominated leases and floating rate CAD commercial paper, a series of four amortizing CAD interest rate swaps have been executed, although Irwin is only a counterparty on three of the swaps. The fourth swap is between the commercial paper conduit and an outside party, but affects the conduit funding cost that is charged to Irwin. For the first of the three swaps on Irwin's books, we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate. For the second and third swaps, we pay a floating rate of interest and receive a fixed rate. The U.S. dollar-equivalents of the CAD-based notional and year-to-date losses on these swaps at September 30, 2004 were \$188.6 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Certain of our home equity fixed rate residual interests are funded with floating rate liabilities. Starting in the first quarter of 2004, to manage such mismatches we began entering into Eurodollar futures contracts. The original positions taken are typically rebalanced quarterly. The current notional value outstanding is \$636 million with expiration dates ranging from December 2004 through September 2006. As of September 30, 2004, the fair value and year-to-date gain recorded on these contracts were \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Our home equity line of business originates loans that it regularly sells or securitizes. In the second quarter of 2004, we entered into two swaption agreements with a combined notional value of \$195 million to hedge economically the value of a portion of our fixed rate home equity loans. The instrument underlying both swaptions was the 3-year swap. These swaptions were not exercised and expired in the third quarter of 2004 with a cumulative net loss of \$0.5 million, of which \$0.2 million was recognized in the third quarter.

In connection with certain of our whole loan servicing retained second mortgage sales we have the opportunity to earn an additional incentive servicing fee (ISF) in the future. The whole loan sales have been to firms, (the buyers) who securitize the loans and contract with us to service the loans. We recognize a mortgage servicing asset or liability for these contracts. As a part of the buyers' securitizations, the buyers issue an Incentive Servicing Agreement to us as Master Servicer. Once a pre-established return for the certificate holders has been met, the ISF provides potential cash payments to us in the event certain structure-specific loan credit and servicing performance metrics are met. We have characterized these contracts as derivative instruments. Included in Derivative gains or losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 was a \$3.8 million gain related to these incentive servicing fees based upon actual and projected performance of the underlying pools of loans. Of the \$3.8 million recognized during the year, \$0.9 million was the result of cash collections and \$2.9 million represented the projected value of future cash payments, present valued using a discount rate of 30%. The pool of loans which we service underlying the derivative contract we valued was \$115.2 million. In total, we have sold \$1.1 billion in loans for which we hold such derivative contracts. Our accounting policy is to recognize a derivative asset on a mark-to-market basis at such time that the credit and prepayment performance models we use for valuing like pools of loans indicate that we are likely to receive cash payments on the derivatives. We use assumptions to value the ISFs that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. As of September 30, 2004, only two of six whole loan sales with this derivative have met this expected performance trigger.

We manage the interest rate risk associated with our mortgage servicing rights through the use of Eurodollar futures contracts and interest rate options. The financial instrument underlying the Eurodollar futures contracts is based on the three-month LIBOR rate. Year to date, we recorded losses of \$4.4 million on these derivatives. Both the futures contracts and options were marked-to-market and included in Other assets with changes in value recorded in the consolidated income statements as Derivative gains or losses. At September 30, 2004, we held open swaption positions with a notional value (which does not represent the amount at risk) totaling \$5.0 billion, with a final maturity of October 1, 2004. This position was settled on October 1 at a loss due to an increase in interest rates that day. Year to date, we recorded gains on swaptions, including premiums paid, totaling \$27.5 million. The size and mix of these positions change during the year, so period-end positions may not be indicative of our net risk exposure throughout the year. Notional amounts do not represent the amount at risk.

We own foreign currency forward contracts to protect the U.S. dollar value of intercompany loans made to Onset Capital Corporation that are denominated in Canadian dollars. We had a notional amount of \$38 million in forward contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2004. Year to date, we recognized losses on these contracts of \$1.4 million. These contracts are marked-to-market with gains and losses included in Derivative gains or losses on the consolidated income statements. The foreign currency transaction gain on the intercompany loans was \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

The quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are reported in the Interest Rate Risk section of Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations found on pages 51 through 53.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

In the ordinary course of internal process and control review by management and internal and external audit, we will periodically identify processes and controls that need improvement. When these are identified, we have procedures in place to bring the matter to the attention of the appropriate management and Board committees and to put into place project plans to address the improvement opportunity.

As of September 30, 2004, we performed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, our management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2004, to ensure that material information relating to the Corporation would be made known to them by others within the Corporation.

Based on an evaluation by management of our internal control over financial reporting, performed with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, there have been no changes during the third quarter of 2004 in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. Other Information.

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Since the time of filing our report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, we experienced developments as noted in the litigation described below:

United States ex rel. Paranich v. Sorgnard et al.

As described in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, we and Irwin Leasing Corporation (formerly Affiliated Capital Corp.), our indirect subsidiary, and Irwin Equipment Finance Corporation, our direct subsidiary (together, the Irwin companies), were served as defendants in January 2001 in an action in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. The suit alleges that a manufacturer/importer of certain medical devices (Matrix Biokinetics, Inc. and others) made misrepresentations to health care professionals and to government officials to improperly obtain Medicare reimbursement for treatments using the devices, and that the Irwin companies, through Affiliated Capital's financing activities, aided in making the alleged misrepresentations. On August 10, 2001, the court dismissed Irwin Financial and Irwin Equipment Finance as defendants in the suit. The Irwin companies prevailed on a motion for summary judgment in the district court on October 8, 2003, and the plaintiff appealed. The Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit heard oral argument on plaintiff's appeal on September 27, 2004. We cannot predict whether we will prevail on appeal and have not established any reserves for this case.

Stamper v. A Home of Your Own, Inc.

As described in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, 2004 and June 30, 2004, on January 25, 2002, a jury in this case awarded the plaintiffs damages of \$1.434 million, jointly and severally, against defendants, including our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation. The case, which was filed in August 1998 in the Baltimore, Maryland, City Circuit Court, alleged that a home rehabilitation company and its principal defrauded the plaintiffs by selling them defective homes at inflated prices and that Irwin Mortgage, which provided the plaintiff borrowers with mortgage loans, participated in the fraud. Irwin Mortgage filed an appeal with the Maryland Court of Special Appeals, and on February 27, 2004, the Court of Special Appeals ruled against Irwin Mortgage, remanding the case to the trial court for a partial retrial on whether the plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages. The Maryland Court of Appeals accepted Irwin Mortgage's appeal and oral argument is scheduled for November 4, 2004. We have reserved for this case based upon the advice of our legal counsel.

Gutierrez v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation

As described in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2004, in April 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant in an action filed in the District Court of Nueces County, Texas. The complaint alleges that Irwin Mortgage improperly charged borrowers fees for the services of third-party vendors in excess of Irwin Mortgage's costs, and charged certain fees to which plaintiffs did not agree. The plaintiffs seek to certify a class consisting of similarly situated borrowers. In August 2004, the plaintiffs amended their complaint to remove the allegations that Irwin Mortgage charged excess fees. We are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Cohens v. Inland Mortgage Company

As described in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), was named as a defendant, along with others, in an action filed in the Supreme Court of New York, County of Kings in October 2003. The plaintiffs, a mother and two children, allege they were injured from lead contamination while living in premises allegedly owned by the defendants. The suit seeks approximately \$41,000,000 in damages and alleges negligence, breach of implied warranty of habitability and fitness for intended use, loss of services and the cost of medical treatment. Because the case is in the early stages of litigation, we are unable at this time to form a reasonable estimate of the amount of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Mortgage could suffer. The parties agreed to delay the filing of an answer in this case until December 10, 2004. We are attempting to obtain a voluntary dismissal based on our belief that there is insufficient nexus between the cause of the alleged injuries and Irwin Mortgage. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Table of Contents

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia

Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in *Hobson v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company*, an action filed on July 30, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (Community). As amended on August 30, 2004, the complaint, seeks certification of both a plaintiffs' and a defendants' class and alleges that defendants violated the Truth-in-Lending Act (TILA), the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), the Residential Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).

The plaintiffs claim that Community was allegedly engaged in a lending arrangement involving the use of its charter by certain third parties who charged high fees that were not representative of the services rendered and not properly disclosed as to the amount or recipient of the fees. The loans in question are allegedly high cost/high interest loans under Section 32 of HOEPA. Plaintiffs allege that Irwin was aware of Community's alleged arrangement when Irwin purchased the loans. Plaintiffs also allege illegal kickbacks and fee splitting and Irwin's participation in a RICO enterprise and conspiracy related to the loans. Because Irwin bought the loans from Community, the plaintiffs are alleging that Irwin has assignee liability under HOEPA.

The putative plaintiff class in *Hobson* excludes borrower plaintiffs who are members of a class certified in another case *In re Community Bank of Northern Virginia and Guaranty National Bank Second Mortgage Loan Litigation*, a class action in Pennsylvania federal court that is a consolidation of cases filed against Community, Residential Funding Corporation (a subsidiary of GMAC), and Guaranty National Bank of Tallahassee, with allegations similar to those in *Hobson*.

If the *Hobson* plaintiffs are successful in establishing a class and prevailing at trial, possible damages could include TILA remedies, such as rescission, actual damages, statutory damages not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of the net worth of the creditor, and attorneys' fees and costs. Possible HOEPA remedies include the refunding of all closing costs, finance charges and fees paid by the borrower. RESPA remedies could include treble damages for each service for which there was an unearned fee, kickback or overvalued service. RICO remedies could include treble plaintiffs' actually proved damages. Under TILA, HOEPA, RESPA and RICO, statutory remedies include recovery of attorneys' fees and costs. In addition, plaintiffs are seeking unspecified punitive damages. Under the loan purchase agreement between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to demand repurchase of the mortgage loans and indemnification from Community for these claims. On October 12, 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss the claims as untimely filed and substantively defective.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is also a defendant, along with Community, in two individual actions filed on June 9, 2004 in the Circuit Court of Frederick County, Maryland: *Chatfield et al. v. Community Bank of Northern Virginia, et al.*, and *Ransom et al. v. Community Bank of Northern Virginia, et al.*, involving mortgage loans Irwin Union Bank and Trust purchased from Community. On July 16, 2004, both suits were removed to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The complaints allege that the plaintiffs did not receive disclosures required under HOEPA and TILA. The lawsuits also allege violations of Maryland law because the plaintiffs were allegedly charged or contracted for a prepayment penalty fee. Irwin believes the plaintiffs received the required disclosures and that Community, a Virginia-chartered bank, was permitted to charge prepayment fees to Maryland borrowers. Under the loan purchase agreements between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to seek indemnification from Community for the claims in these lawsuits.

On September 17, 2004, Irwin made demand for indemnification and a defense as to all three lawsuits. Community denied this request as premature. We have established a reserve for the Community litigation based upon the advice of legal counsel.

Litigation Related to NorVergence, Inc.

Irwin Business Finance, our indirect subsidiary, is involved on a national basis in equipment leasing finance and maintains a diverse portfolio of leases, including leases in the telecommunications field. A portion of Irwin's telecommunications portfolio involves leases of equipment acquired from NorVergence, Inc., a New Jersey-based telecommunications company. After assigning leases to Irwin and other lenders, NorVergence filed for protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court and is currently in dissolution. The sudden failure of NorVergence left many of its customers without telecommunications services and angry with representations they claim were made by NorVergence that remain unfulfilled.

Complaints by former NorVergence customers have led to investigations by the Attorneys General of several states and the filing of a number of lawsuits. Irwin Business Finance has been named as a defendant in several lawsuits connected with NorVergence. *Exquisite Caterers, LLC et al. v. Popular Leasing et al.* is a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County, and amended to include Irwin Business Finance and others on September 1, 2004. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class of persons

Table of Contents

who leased network computer equipment from NorVergence, whose leases were assigned to defendants. The complaint alleges that NorVergence misrepresented the services and equipment provided, that the lessees were defrauded and the lease agreements should not be enforced. The action alleges violations of, among other things, the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act; the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty, and Notice Act; the FTC Holder Rule; the FTC Act; and breach of contract and implied warranties. The plaintiffs seek compensatory, statutory and punitive damages, and injunctive relief, including rescission of the leases and cessation of collections.

Irwin Business Finance is also a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Delanco Board of Education et al. v. IFC Credit Corporation*, a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County, Chancery Division, in October 2004 in connection with leases assigned to the lenders by NorVergence. (IFC Credit Corp is not affiliated with Irwin Financial Corporation or Irwin Business Finance). The suit involves more than one thousand plaintiffs and alleges fraud, misrepresentation and violations of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud law based on alleged conduct similar to that in *Exquisite Caterers*, with the addition of a count under the New Jersey RICO statute. Plaintiffs also allege unjust enrichment and conversion and seek rescission of the leases plus punitive and other damages.

Irwin Business Finance was also named as a defendant, along with other lenders, in *Sterling Asset & Equity Corp. et al. v. Preferred Capital, Inc. et al.*, an action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida in October 2004, seeking class certification on behalf of Florida persons or entities who leased equipment from NorVergence and whose agreement was assigned to one of the named lenders. The plaintiffs allege that NorVergence engaged in false, misleading and deceptive sales and billing practices. The complaint alleges violations of the FTC Holder Rule and the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, and breach of contract and warranties. Plaintiffs seek, among other relief, compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive and/or declaratory relief prohibiting enforcement of the leases, rescission, return of payments, interest, attorneys fees and costs.

In addition, Irwin Business Finance has been named in several individual lawsuits involving equipment and services leased from NorVergence, where the lease was assigned to Irwin Business Finance. The suits allege fraud and nonfunctional equipment and services. The plaintiffs request rescission, restitution and/or reformation of the leases and/or a declaration of unenforceability. *C&W Services v. Irwin Business Finance* was filed in July 2004 in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas. *Thompson v. Irwin Business Finance* was filed in August 2004 in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego. *Palumbo v. Irwin Business Finance* was filed in September 2004 in the Superior Court of Massachusetts. *ARC Abatement, Inc. v. Irwin Business Finance* was filed in October 2004 in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas.

In connection with investigations by various state Attorneys General, Irwin Business Finance and other lenders were asked to produce information about their relationships with NorVergence and to refrain from enforcing NorVergence leases. On October 21, 2004, the Attorney General of Florida filed a complaint against twelve lenders, including Irwin Business Finance, in the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida (*State of Florida v. Commerce Commercial Leasing, LLC et al.*) The complaint alleges that the agreements assigned by NorVergence to the lenders are unconscionable under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act. The suit seeks to prohibit collection activities by the lenders and asks for repayment of revenues, rescission of the agreements, restitution, recovery of actual damages, and civil money penalties.

We are unable to form a reasonable estimate of potential loss, if any, that Irwin Business Finance could suffer. We have established reserves we believe are appropriate in connection with credit risk on NorVergence-related leases, but have not established additional reserves in connection with NorVergence-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, and other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and

our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate, based upon the advice of legal counsel.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits.**

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation of Irwin Financial Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 3.2 | Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of Irwin Financial Corporation dated March 2, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 3.3 | Code of By-laws of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended, dated April 30, 2004.(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Form 10-K report for year ended December 31, 1994, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 4.2 | Certain instruments defining the rights of the holders of long-term debt of Irwin Financial Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries, none of which authorize a total amount of indebtedness in excess of 10% of the total assets of the Corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, have not been filed as Exhibits. The Corporation hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any of these agreements to the Commission upon request. |
| 4.3 | Rights Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2001, between Irwin Financial Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-A filed March 2, 2001, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 4.4 | Appointment of Successor Rights Agent dated as of May 11, 2001 between Irwin Financial Corporation and National City Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form S-8 filed on September 7, 2001, File No. 333-69156.) |
| 10.1 | *Amended 1986 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.2 | *Irwin Financial Corporation 1992 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.3 | *Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 1994, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.4 | *Amendment to Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 1997, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.5 | *Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.) |
| 10.6 | |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

*Amended Irwin Financial Corporation Outside Directors Restricted Stock Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(g) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 0-06835.)

10.7 *Irwin Financial Corporation Outside Directors Restricted Stock Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 0-06835.)

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.8 | *Irwin Financial Corporation 1999 Outside Director Restricted Stock Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.) |
| 10.9 | *Irwin Financial Corporation Employees' Stock Purchase Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.10 | *Employee Stock Purchase Plan II. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1994, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.11 | *Employee Stock Purchase Plan III. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 1999, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.12 | *Long-Term Management Performance Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.13 | *Long-Term Incentive Plan-Summary of Terms. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.14 | *Inland Mortgage Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.15 | *Amended and Restated Management Bonus Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.16 | *Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q/A Report for period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.17 | *Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures Co-Investment Fund LLC, effective as of April 20, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.) |
| 10.18 | *Irwin Home Equity Corporation Shareholder Agreement and Amendments. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-Q/A Report for period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.19 | *Promissory Note dated January 30, 2002 from Elena Delgado to Irwin Financial Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.) |
| 10.20 | *Consumer Pledge Agreement dated January 30, 2002 between Elena Delgado and Irwin Financial Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.) |
| 10.21 | |

Edgar Filing: IRWIN FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

*Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.)

10.22 *Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.)

10.24 *Irwin Mortgage Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.)

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.25 | *Irwin Capital Holdings Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended March 31, 2002, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.26 | *Onset Capital Corporation Employment Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 2002, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.27 | *Irwin Financial Corporation Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Named Executives. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 2002, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.28 | *Irwin Financial Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Named Executives. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended June 30, 2002, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.29 | *Onset Capital Corporation Shareholders Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2002, File No. 0-06835.) |
| 10.30 | *Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.) |
| 10.31 | *Irwin Home Equity Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 5 to the Corporation's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004.) |
| 11.1 | Computation of earnings per share is included in the footnotes to the financial statements. |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

DATE: October 29, 2004

BY: /s/ Gregory F. Ehlinger

**GREGORY F. EHLINGER
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

BY: /s/ Jody A. Littrell

**JODY A. LITTRELL
CORPORATE CONTROLLER
(Chief Accounting Officer)**