MICROPAC INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-K February 14, 2013

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

WASHINGTON, D.C.20549

## FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

b Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange

Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012

or

"Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 000-5109

Micropac Industries, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Delaware 75-1225149

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification

incorporation or organization) No.)

905 E. Walnut Street, Garland, 75040 972/272-3571

TX

(Address of principal executive (Zip Code) (Telephone No.)

offices)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of each exchange on which registered

Title of each class which regis

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share (Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes. No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No b

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of May 26, 2012, representing the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was approximately \$3,201,000, The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.10 par value, outstanding as of February 14, 2013 was 2,578,315.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the registrant's Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be held March 12, 2013, is incorporated by reference in Part III to the extent described therein.

# Table of Contents

		Page
Part I		
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	5
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	7
Item 2.	Properties	7
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	7
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosure	8
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	9
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	9
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	12
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	12
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on	21
	Accounting and Financial Disclosure	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	21
Item 9B.	Other Information	22
Part III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	22
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	24
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	26
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	26
Part IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	27
	Signatures	28
2		

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

#### INTRODUCTION

Micropac Industries, Inc. (the "Company" or "Micropac"), a Delaware corporation, manufactures and distributes various types of hybrid microelectronic circuits, solid state relays, power operational amplifiers, and optoelectronic components and assemblies. The Company's products are used as components in a broad range of military, space and industrial systems, including aircraft instrumentation and navigation systems, power supplies, electronic controls, computers, medical devices, and high-temperature (2000 C) products.

The Company's facilities are certified and qualified by Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) to MIL-PRF-38534 (class K-space level); MIL-PRF-19500 JANS (space level), MIL-PRF-28750 (class K-space level), and is certified to ISO 9001-2002. Micropac is a National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) core supplier, and is registered to AS9100-Aerospace Industry standard for supplier certification.

The business of the Company was started in 1963 as a sole proprietorship. On March 3, 1969, the Company was incorporated under the name of "Micropac Industries, Inc." in the state of Delaware. The stock was publicly held by 482 shareholders on November 30, 2012.

#### PRODUCTS AND TECHNOLOGIES

The Company's products are either custom (being application-specific circuits designed and manufactured to meet the particular requirements of a single customer) or standard proprietary components. Custom-designed components accounted for approximately 36% of the Company's sales for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, and were 34% for fiscal 2011. Standard components accounted for approximately 64% of the Company's sales for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, and were 66% for fiscal 2011.

The Company provides microelectronic and optoelectronic components and assemblies along with contract electronic manufacturing services and offers a wide range of products sold to the industrial, medical, military, aerospace and space markets.

The microcircuits product line, including custom microcircuits, solid state relays, power operational amplifiers, and regulators accounted for 30% of the Company's business in 2012, and the optoelectronics product line accounted for 70% of the Company's business in 2012, compared to 38% and 62% in 2011, respectively.

The Company's core technology is the packaging and interconnects of miniature electronic components, utilizing thick film substrates and forming microelectronics circuits. Other technologies include light emitting and light sensitive materials and products, including light emitting diodes and silicon phototransistors used in the Company's optoelectronic components, and assemblies. The Company's basic products and technologies include:

- Custom design hybrid microelectronic circuits
  - Solid state relays and power controllers
- Custom optoelectronic assemblies and components
  - Optocouplers
  - Light-emitting diodes
  - Hall-Effect devices
    - Displays

- Power operational amplifiers
- Fiber optic components and assemblies
  - High temperature (200° C) products

Micropac's products are primarily sold to original equipment manufacturers (OEM's) who serve the following major markets:

- Military/Aerospace aircraft instrumentation, guidance and navigations systems, control circuitry, power supplies, laser positioning
  - Space control circuitry, power monitoring and sensing
    - Industrial power control equipment, robotics

The Company has no patents, licenses, franchises or labor contracts. The Company's trademark "Mii" is registered with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Sales of our products internationally are subject to government regulations, including export control regulations of the U.S. Department of State and Department of Commerce. Violation of these regulations by the Company could result in monetary penalties and denial of export privileges. We are not aware of any violations of export control regulations or any other applicable government regulations.

Five of the Company's principal product families require government approval. Further, a significant portion of our business is military and is dependent on maintaining our facility certifications to MIL-PRF-38534, MIL-PRF-19500 and MIL-PRF-28750. In addition, several customers require the Company maintain ISO 9001-2002 certifications. We expect to maintain these certifications and qualifications; however, the loss of any of these certifications would have a significant negative impact on our business.

Government regulations impose certain controls on chemicals used in electronics and semiconductor manufacturing. Micropac has obtained all the necessary environmental permits, and routinely monitors and reports the wastewater stream results to the local governing agency. Micropac is classified as a small generator of hazardous waste, and the annual cost of complying with the regulations is minimal.

In 2012, the Company's investment in technology through research and development, which was expensed, totaled approximately \$574,000 (\$610,000 in 2011). The Company's research and development expenditures were directed primarily toward long-term specific customer requirements, some of which have future potential as Micropac proprietary products, and product development and improvement associated with the Company's space level and other high reliability programs.

In addition to the Company's investment in research and development, various customers paid the Company approximately \$220,000 in non-recurring engineering revenue with costs recorded within cost of goods sold associated with the development of custom products for specific applications.

The Company provides a one year warranty from the date of shipment to the original purchaser. The Company is obligated under this warranty to either replace or repair defective goods or refund the purchase price paid by the buyer.

#### **CUSTOMERS**

The Company's products are marketed throughout the United States and in Western Europe, through a direct technical sales staff, independent representatives and independent stocking distributors. Approximately 18% of the sales for fiscal year 2012 (21% in 2011) were to international customers. Sales to Western European customers are made by independent representatives under the coordination of the Company's office in Bremen, Germany.

Sales through the Company's distribution channels were \$5,127,000 in 2012 compared to \$3,790,000 in 2011, or 29% and 19% of sales, respectively.

The Company's major customers include contractors to the United States government. Sales to these customers for the Department of Defense (DOD) and NASA contracts accounted for approximately 65% of the Company's revenues in 2012 compared to 62% in 2011.

The Company's major customers are Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Boeing, Rockwell, Goodrich, Raytheon, Rockwell Int'l, and NASA. Two of the Company's distributors accounted for 13% and 10% of the Company's sales

during 2012 and no customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company's sales during 2011.

#### **BACKLOG**

At November 30, 2012, the Company had a backlog of unfilled orders totaling approximately \$9,850,000 compared to approximately \$6,231,000 at November 30, 2011. The Company expects to complete and ship most of its November 30, 2012 backlog during fiscal 2013.

### **EMPLOYEES**

At November 30, 2012, the Company had 120 full-time employees (compared to 125 at November 30, 2011), of which 26 were executive and managerial employees, 32 were engineers and quality-control personnel, 21 were clerical and administrative employees, and 41 were production personnel. None of the Company's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements.

The Company is an equal opportunity employer. It is the Company's policy to recruit, hire, train and promote personnel in all job classifications, without regard to race, religion, color, national origin, sex or age. Above and beyond non-discrimination, we are committed to an Affirmative Action Program, dedicated to the hiring, training, and advancement within the Company of minority group members, women, veterans, and handicapped individuals.

#### **COMPETITION**

The Company competes with two or more companies with respect to each of its major products. Some of these competitors are larger and have greater capital resources than the Company. Management believes the Company's competitive position is favorable with regard to our product reliability and integrity, past performance, customer service and responsiveness, timely delivery and pricing; however, no assurance can be given that the Company can compete successfully in the future.

There are approximately 46 independent manufacturing companies who are certified to supply microcircuits to MIL-PRF-38534 or supply semiconductors to MIL-PRF-19500, in addition to OEM's, who manufacture hybrid microcircuits for their internal needs. Micropac may compete with all of these for hybrid microcircuit business. Some of the Company's primary competitors are Teledyne Industries, Inc., MS Kennedy, Honeywell, Avago, and International Rectifier.

### SUPPLY CHAIN

The parts and raw materials for the Company's products are generally available from more than one source. Except for certain optoelectronic products, the Company does not manufacture the basic parts or materials used in production of its products. From time to time, the Company has experienced difficulty in obtaining certain materials when needed. The Company's inability to secure materials for any reason could have adverse effects on the Company's ability to deliver products on a timely basis and could result in loss of customers or sales. The Company uses capacitors, active semiconductor devices (primarily in chip form), hermetic packages, ceramic substrates, resistor inks, conductor pastes, precious metals and other materials in its manufacturing operations. However, the Company has not been materially affected by such shortages. The Company's delivery commitments to customers allow for adequate lead times for production of the products including lead time for order and receipt from the supply chain.

Some of the Company's primary suppliers are International Rectifier, NTK Technologies, Electrovac, Schott Glass, Semi-Dice, Colonial Circuits, Cirexx, and Micross Components.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially. Investors are warned that forward-looking statements involve risks and unknown factors including, but not limited to, customer cancellation or rescheduling of orders, problems affecting delivery of vendor-supplied raw materials and components, unanticipated manufacturing problems and availability of direct labor resources.

The Company produces silicon phototransistors and light emitting diode die for use in certain military, standard and custom products. Fabrication efforts sometimes may not result in successful results, limiting the availability of these components. Competitors offer commercial level alternatives and our customers may purchase our competitors' products if the Company is not able to manufacture the products using these technologies to meet the customer demands.

The Company disclaims any responsibility to update the forward-looking statements contained herein, except as may be required by law.

Majority shareholder ability to control the election of the Board of Directors

The Company's majority shareholder, Mr. Heinz-Werner Hempel, established a partnership organized under the laws of Germany, which owns 1,952,577 shares or 75.7% of the outstanding voting shares. Mr. Hempel, through the partnership, has the ability to control the election of the Company's Board of Directors and elect individuals who may be more sympathetic to such majority shareholder's desires and not necessarily sympathetic to the desires of minority shareholders as to the policies and directions of the Company. However, the ability to control the election of the Board of Directors does not modify the fiduciary duties of the Board of Directors to represent the interests of all shareholders.

Availability of public shares for purchase and sale

A small number of shares are available for public purchase and sale. As a result, the Company's reported share price may be subject to extreme fluctuations due in part to the small number of shares traded at any time.

Pricing pressures from customers for reduction in selling prices

The Company continues to experience pricing pressures from some of its OEM customers. In some cases, the Company's customers request the review of pricing for possible reduction in selling price on future orders. This requires the Company to improve its productivity and to request similar price reductions from its supplier chain. If one or both of the approaches by the Company does not succeed, the Company could be required to reduce the selling price on future orders, reducing the product gross margins and affecting the Company's net earnings in order to receive future orders from the customer. However, the Company has no agreement that requires a reduction in the selling price on any current customer order. All contracts are firm fixed pricing.

Insurance coverage and exposure to substantial claims or liabilities

The Company operates manufacturing facilities in Garland, Texas and subcontracts portions of the Company's manufacturing to a contract manufacturer in Juarez, Mexico. These facilities use industrial machines and chemicals that could provide risks of personal injury and/or property damage. There is no assurance that accidents will not occur. If accidents do occur, the Company could be exposed to substantial liability. The Company maintains worker's compensation insurance and general liability insurance for protection of its employees and for protection of the Company's assets in Garland, Texas and for equipment and inventory located at the contract manufacturer in Juarez, Mexico. In addition to the basic policies mentioned, the Company maintains an umbrella insurance policy. The Company reviews all insurance coverage on an annual basis, and makes any necessary adjustments based on risk assessment and changes in its business. In the opinion of the Company's management, and its insurance advisors, the Company is adequately insured; however, the Company's financial position could be materially affected by claims not covered or exceeding coverage currently carried by the Company.

The Company is subject to numerous environmental regulations and changes in government policy

The Company is subject to governmental regulations pertaining to the use, storage, handling and disposal of hazardous substances used in connection with its manufacturing activities. Failure of the Company to control all activities dealing with hazardous chemicals could subject the Company to significant liabilities or could cause the Company to cease its manufacturing activities.

The Company could be adversely affected by changes in laws and regulations made by U.S. and non U.S. governments and agencies dealing with foreign shipments. Changes by regulatory agencies dealing with environmental issues could affect the cost of the Company's products and make it hard for the Company to be competitive with larger companies.

The Company is subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"), which generally prohibits U.S. companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Any violation of the FCPA or similar laws and regulations could result in significant expenses, divert management attention, have a material adverse effect on our business, our financial condition and our results of operations and otherwise have a negative impact on the Company and its reputation.

Product liability claims

The use of the Company's products in commercial or government applications may subject the Company to product liability claims. Although the Company has not experienced any product liability claims, the sale of any product may provide risk of such claims. Product liability claims brought against the Company could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating results and financial condition.

Component shortages from suppliers could affect ability to manufacture products or delay shipments to customers

The Company relies on suppliers to deliver quality raw materials in a timely and cost effective manner. Most of the materials and components are generally available from multiple sources; however, from time to time vendors do not deliver the product as needed due to manufacturing problems or a decision to discontinue that product. Such interruption of supply or price increases could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations; however, the Company is not currently impacted by materials shortages.

The ability to develop new products and technologies used in the military, space or aerospace markets

The Company's base products and technologies generally have long life cycles. The Company's products are primarily used in military, space or aerospace applications, which also have long life cycles. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to define, develop and market new products and technologies on a timely and cost effective basis. Failure to respond to customer's requirements and to competitors' progress in technological changes could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business.

#### General economic downturn

The Company cannot assure you that our business will not be adversely affected as a result of an industry or general economic downturn. If the Company's supply chain is adversely affected by the current economic downturn, this could result in the Company's inability to secure materials and could have adverse effects on the Company's ability to deliver products on a timely basis.

The Company has potential warranty obligations

The Company provides a one year warranty from the date of shipment to the original purchaser. The Company is obligated under this warranty to either replace or repair defective goods or refund the purchase price paid by the buyer. An unexpected number of warranty claims could negatively impact the profitability of the Company.

The Company may default on its line of credit

The Company currently has an existing line of credit with a Texas banking institution. In connection therewith, the Company is obligated to maintain certain minimum financial requirements in order to receive advances therefrom. The Company is currently in compliance with such financial requirements, but there is no guarantee that the Company will remain in compliance. If the Company does not maintain compliance with each of the requirements, its ability to receive advances from the line of credit will be impaired.

The Company is heavily dependent on a few major customers

The Company's major customers include contractors to the United States government. Sales to these customers accounted for approximately 65% of the Company's revenues in 2012 and 62% in 2011. The Company's major customers are Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Boeing, Rockwell, Goodrich, Raytheon, and NASA. Two of the Company's distributors accounted for 13% and 10% of the Company's sales during 2012 and no customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company's sales during 2011.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

The Company occupies approximately 36,000 square feet of manufacturing, engineering and office space in Garland, Texas. The Company owns 31,200 square feet of that space and leases an additional 4,800 square feet. The Company considers its facilities adequate for its current level of operations.

The Company also subcontracts some manufacturing to Inmobiliaria San Jose De Ciuddad Juarez S.A. DE C.V., a maquila contract manufacturer in Juarez, Mexico. The Company owns all equipment and inventory with temporary importation into Mexico under the maquila rules of Mexico. The Company does not lease or own any real property in Mexico.

The Company employs a sales manager in Bremen, Germany who coordinates sales to Western European customers made by independent representatives. The sales manager maintains an office in a private residence. The Company does not lease or own any real property in Germany, or any other foreign country.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is not involved in any material current or pending legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure None

#### PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information, Holders and Dividends

On February 14, 2013, there were 482 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock. The stock of the Company is closely held; and, therefore, certain shareholders have the ability to significantly influence decisions. The Company's common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "MPAD.OB". The following sets forth the high and low sell price for each quarter during the last two fiscal years:

	HIGH I	HIGH LOW		
Fiscal Year Ended				
November 30, 2012	PRICE	PRICE		
Fourth Quarter	\$5.90	\$5.51		
Third Quarter	\$5.95	\$5.20		
Second Quarter	\$5.90	\$5.21		
First Quarter	\$5.50	\$4.84		
Fiscal Year Ended				
November 30, 2011				
Fourth Quarter	\$5.75	\$4.69		
Third Quarter	\$9.07	\$4.15		
Second Quarter	\$12.01	\$6.70		
First Quarter	\$7.00	\$6.50		

During the three month period ended November 30, 2012, approximately 51,600 shares of the Company's common stock were traded in the over-the-counter market at a price range of \$5.51 to \$5.90 per share. For the two year period ending November 30, 2012, approximately 620,000 shares of the Company's common stock were traded in the over-the-counter market at prices ranging from a low of \$4.15 to a high of \$12.01. Due to this average monthly volume of approximately 26,000 shares of common stock being publicly bought and sold during this two year period, the Company does not believe this share trading volume results in prices which represent the market value of the Company's common stock held by non-affiliates.

The Company's stock prices quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board over-the-counter market quotations and reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

On December 16, 2010, the Board of Directors of Micropac Industries, Inc. approved the payment of a special dividend of \$0.10 per share for shareholders of record as of January 18, 2011. The dividend payment was paid to the Company's shareholders on February 10, 2011.

On December 12, 2011, the Board of Directors of Micropac Industries, Inc. approved the payment of a special dividend of \$0.10 per share for shareholders of record as of January 18, 2012. The dividend was paid to the Company's shareholders on February 14, 2012.

On December 12, 2012, the Board of Directors of Micropac Industries, Inc. approved the payment of a \$.10 per share special dividend to all shareholders of record as of January 15, 2013. The dividend will be paid to shareholders on or about February 14, 2013.

Securities Issued under Equity Compensation Plan

In January 2001, the Company adopted the 2001 Employee Stock Option Plan. To date, no options have been granted under the Plan. The Stock Option Plan expired on January 1, 2011.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data Not applicable.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

	Twelve 11/30/1		11/30/11	
Net Sales	100.0	%	100.0	%
Cost of sales	71.1	%	65.8	%
Research and Development	3.2	%	3.0	%
Selling, General, and Administrative	21.7	%	19.2	%
Total Cost & Expenses	96.0	%	88.0	%
Operating Income	4.0	%	12.0	%
Other and Interest Income	.4	%	.5	%
Income before Income Taxes	4.4	%	12.4	%
Provision for taxes	1.8	%	4.3	%
Net Income	2.6	%	8.1	%

The Company manufactures and distributes various types of hybrid microelectronic circuits, solid state relays, power operational amplifiers, and optoelectronic components and assemblies. The Company's products are used as components in a broad range of military, space and industrial systems, including aircraft instrumentation and navigation systems, power supplies, electronic controls, computers, medical devices, and high-temperature (2000 C) products.

The Company's products are either custom (being application-specific circuits designed and manufactured to meet the particular requirements of a single customer) or standard proprietary components. Custom-designed components accounted for approximately 36% of the Company's sales for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, and were 34%

for fiscal 2011. Standard components accounted for approximately 64% of the Company's sales for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, and were 66% for fiscal 2011.

The Company provides microelectronic and optoelectronic components and assemblies along with contract electronic manufacturing services and offers a wide range of products sold to the industrial, medical, military, aerospace and space markets.

The microcircuits product line, including custom microcircuits, solid state relays, power operational amplifiers, and regulators accounted for 30% of the Company's business in 2012, and the optoelectronics product line accounted for 70% of the Company's business in 2012, compared to 38% and 62% in 2011, respectively.

Sales in 2012 were approximately \$17,699,000, a decrease of 12.4% or \$2,506,000 compared to 2011 sales. The major decrease in sales was in microcircuits products with a delay or decrease in new orders in the space industry.

Sales through the Company's distribution channels were \$5,127,000 in 2012 compared to \$3,790,000 in 2011 or 29% and 19% of sales, respectively.

Approximately 18% of the sales for fiscal year 2012 (21% in 2011) were to international customers.

The Company's major customers include contractors to the United States government. Sales to these customers for the Department of Defense (DOD) and NASA contracts accounted for approximately 65% of the Company's revenues in 2012 compared to 62% in 2011.

The Company's major customers are Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Boeing, Rockwell, Goodrich, Raytheon, Rockwell Int'l, and NASA. Two of the Company's distributors accounted for 13% and 10% of the Company's sales during 2012 and no customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company's sales during 2011.

New orders for fiscal year 2012 totaled \$21,240,000 compared to \$15,538,000 for fiscal 2011. Approximately \$11,797,000 of the new orders received in 2012 were delivered to customers in 2012, along with approximately \$5,801,000 of the Company's \$6,231,000 backlog of orders at November 30, 2011 resulting in a total billing of \$17,598,000. In addition, the Company recognized \$99,000 in deferred revenue from advance billings and \$2,000 in other revenue for total revenue of \$17,699,000 in 2012. The Company, with agreement from the customer, closed several orders prior to completion totaling approximately \$23,000.

At November 30, 2012, the Company had a backlog of unfilled orders totaling approximately \$9,850,000 compared to approximately \$6,231,000 at November 30, 2011. The Company expects to complete and ship most of its November 30, 2012 backlog during fiscal 2013.

Cost of sales, as a percentage of net sales, was 71.1% in 2012 compared to 65.8% in 2011. The increase of 5.3% as a percent of sales is attributable to a decrease of \$2,500,000 in sales of several microcircuit space level products in 2012 which generate overall higher margins. In actual dollars, cost of sales decreased \$715,000 for 2012 versus 2011.

In 2012, the Company's investment in technology through research and development, which was expensed, totaled approximately \$574,000 (\$610,000 in 2011). The Company's research and development expenditures were directed primarily toward long-term specific customer requirements, some of which have future potential as Micropac proprietary products, and product development and improvement associated with the Company's space level and other high reliability programs.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses totaled 21.7% of net sales in 2012, compared to 19.2% in 2011, based on lower sales in 2012. In dollars expensed, selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$3,836,000 in 2012 as compared to \$3,878,000 in 2011, a decrease of \$42,000. The majority of the decrease was associated with lower commission expense associated with the lower sales in 2012.

Interest and other income for fiscal 2012 totaled \$79,000 compared to \$95,000 for fiscal 2011. The other income is related to the reclamation of precious metals, such as gold and silver (from scrap and obsolete material).

Income before taxes for fiscal 2012 was approximately \$782,000, or 4.4% of net sales, compared to \$2,511,000, or 12.4% of net sales in fiscal 2011. The decrease in income was associated with the lower product sales.

Provisions for income tax for fiscal 2012 totaled \$326,000 compared to \$868,000 for fiscal 2011. The Company's effective income tax rate was 42% for the year ended November 30, 2012 and was 35% for the year ended November 30, 2011. The increase in the effective rate was an increase in the Texas Franchise Tax that is based on margins as opposed to net income before taxes. As a percent of income before taxes, the Texas franchise tax was 7.7% in 2012 compared to 2.5% in 2011.

Net income totaled approximately \$456,000 or \$0.18 per share in 2012 versus 2011 net income of \$1,643,000 or \$0.64 per share.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

On June 1, 2011, the Company renewed a \$6,000,000 revolving line of credit agreement with a Texas banking institution for a term of two years. The interest rate is equal to the prime rate. The line of credit requires that the Company maintain a quick ratio of at least 1:1, maintain a tangible net worth of \$10,000,000 and maintain a total liabilities to tangible net worth of less than 1.25:1. The Company has not, to date, used any of the available line of credit.

The Company used \$403,000 of cash from operations in 2012, primarily from a decrease in net income which totaled \$470,000 offset by a net change in working capital. The Company used \$408,000 in cash for investment in additional manufacturing equipment and improvements to buildings in 2012 compared to \$807,000 in 2011.

The Company issued a dividend payment of \$.10 per share to all shareholders of record for each of the last two years. The total dividend payment was \$258,000 per year.

As of November 30, 2012, the Company had \$7,415,000 in cash and cash equivalents compared to \$8,488,000 in cash and cash equivalents on November 30, 2011. The Company held \$2,004,000 in short term investments at November 30, 2012 and \$2,000,000 at November 30, 2011.

The Company continues on-going investigations for the use of cumulative cash for business expansion and improvements, such as operational improvements, new product expansion, facility upgrades, and acquisition opportunities.

Company management believes it will meet its 2013 capital requirements through the use of cash derived from operations for the year and/or usage of the Company's cash and cash equivalents. There were no significant outstanding commitments for equipment purchases or improvements at November 30, 2012.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements** 

The Company has no significant off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

# Revenue Recognition

Revenues are recorded as shipments are made based upon contract prices. Any losses anticipated on fixed price contracts are provided for currently. Sales are recorded net of sales returns, allowances and discounts.

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 605-10-S99, Revenue Recognition (ASC 605-10-S99). ASC 605-10-S99 requires that four basic criteria must be met before revenues can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) shipment has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the fee is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market value and include material, labor and manufacturing overhead. All inventories are valued using the FIFO (first-in, first-out) method of inventory valuation. The Company determines the need to write inventory down below its cost via an analysis based on the usage of inventory over a three year period

and projected usage based on current backlog.

### **Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method the Company records deferred income taxes for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. The resulting deferred tax liabilities and assets are adjusted to reflect changes in tax law or rates in the period that includes the enactment date.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax-planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

### Page No.

- Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 14 Balance Sheets as of November 30, 2012 and 2011
- 15 Statements of Income for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011
- Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011
- 17 Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011
- 18-22 Notes to Financial Statements as of and for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011

### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Micropac Industries, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Micropac Industries, Inc. as of November 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended November 30, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Micropac Industries, Inc. as of November 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended November 30, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

**KPMG LLP** 

Dallas, TX February 14, 2013

# MICROPAC INDUSTRIES, INC.

# BALANCE SHEETS NOVEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Dollars in thousands except share data)

ASSETS CURRENT ASSETS:	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$7,415	\$8,488
Short-term investments	2,004	2,000
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	2,004	2,000
of \$2 in 2012 and \$0 in 2011	2,498	1,911
Inventories	2,490	1,911
Raw materials and supplies	3,601	2,803
Work-in-process	2,384	2,475
Total inventories	5,985	5,278
Total inventories	3,763	3,270
Deferred income taxes	659	720
Prepaid income tax	349	474
Prepaid expenses and other assets	121	145
Total current assets	19,031	19,016
PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT, at cost:		
Land	80	80
Buildings	498	498
Facility improvements	1,074	1,059
Machinery and equipment	7,914	7,526
Furniture and fixtures	677	672
Total property, plant, and equipment	10,243	9,835
Less- accumulated depreciation	(8,220	) (7,901 )
Net property, plant, and equipment	2,023	1,934
Total assets	\$21,054	\$20,950
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES AND SHARLHOLDERS LQUIT I		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$501	\$359
Accrued compensation	511	570
Income taxes payable	112	98
Property taxes	85	82
Deferred revenue	189	175
Other accrued liabilities	130	389
Total current liabilities	1,528	1,673
	-,	,
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	471	420

# SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Common stock, \$.10 par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares 3,078,315 issued and 2,578,315 outstanding at November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 308 308 Additional paid-in capital 885 885 Treasury stock, at cost, 500,000 shares (1,250 (1,250 Retained earnings 19,112 18,914 Total shareholders' equity 19,055 18,857 Total liabilities and shareholders' equity \$21,054 \$20,950

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# MICROPAC INDUSTRIES, INC. STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Dollars in thousands except share data)

	2012	2011
NET SALES	\$17,699	\$20,205
COSTS AND EXPENSES: Cost of sales Research and development Selling, general, and administrative expenses	12,586 574 3,836	13,301 610 3,878
Total costs and expenses	16,996	17,789
OPERATING INCOME	703	2,416
Other income Interest income	75 4	95 -
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	782	2,511
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES: Current Deferred  Total provision for income taxes	214 112 326	532 336 868
NET INCOME	\$456	\$1,643
BASIC AND DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$0.18	\$0.64
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES, basic and diluted	2,578,315	2,578,315

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# MICROPAC INDUSTRIES, INC. STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Dollars in thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Total
BALANCE, November 30, 2010	308	885	(1,250	) 17,529	17,472
Dividend Net income	- -	-	-	(258 1,643	) (258 ) 1,643
BALANCE, November 30, 2011	\$308	\$885	\$(1,250	\$18,914	\$18,857
Dividend Net income	-	-	-	(258 456	) (258 ) 456
BALANCE, November 30, 2012	\$308	\$885	\$(1,250	) \$19,112	\$19,055

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# MICROPAC INDUSTRIES, INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011 (Dollars in thousands)

	2012		2011	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	<b></b>		<b>41.640</b>	
Net income	\$456		\$1,643	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to				
net cash (used in) provided by operating activities-	210		210	
Depreciation and amortization	319		319	
Deferred tax expense	112		336	
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities-				
(Increase) decrease in receivables, net	(587	)	982	
Increase in inventories	(707	)	(157	)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid income taxes	125		(474	)
Decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	24		94	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	142		(341	)
Decrease in accrued compensation	(59	)	(196	)
Increase in income taxes payable	14		23	
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue	14		(659	)
Decrease in all other accrued liabilities	(256	)	(102	)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(403	)	1,468	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchase and maturity of short term investment, net	(4	)	(1,000	)
Additions to property, plant, and equipment	(408	)	(807	)
Net cash used in investing activities	(412	)	(1,807	)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Dividends paid	(258	)	(258	)
Net cash used in financing activities	(258	)	(258	)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,073	)	(597	)
	(1,070	,	(0)	,
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	\$8,488		\$9,085	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$7,415		\$8,488	
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES:				
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds received	\$75		\$983	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# MICROPAC INDUSTRIES, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOVEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011

#### 1. BUSINESS DESCRIPTION:

Micropac Industries, Inc. (the Company), a Delaware corporation, manufactures and distributes various types of hybrid microelectronic circuits, solid state relays, power operational amplifiers, and optoelectronic components and assemblies. The Company's products are used as components in a broad range of military, space and industrial systems, including aircraft instrumentation and navigation systems, power supplies, electronic controls, computers, medical devices, and high-temperature (2000 C) products.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenues are recorded as shipments are made based upon contract prices. Any losses anticipated on fixed price contracts are provided for currently. Sales are recorded net of sales returns, allowances and discounts.

Deferred Revenue represents prepayments from customers and will be recognized as revenue when the products are shipped per the terms of the contract.

#### **Short-Term Investments**

The Company has \$2,004,000 in short term investments at November 30, 2012. Short-term investments consist of certificates of deposits with maturities greater than 90 days. These investments are reported at historical cost, which approximates fair value. All highly liquid investments with maturities of 90 days or less are classified as cash equivalents. All short-term investments are securities which the Company has the ability and intent to hold to maturity and mature within one year.

# Inventories

Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market value and include material, labor and manufacturing overhead. All inventories are valued using the FIFO (first-in, first-out) method of inventory valuation. The Company determines the need to write inventory down below its cost via an analysis based on the usage of inventory over a three year period and projected usage based on current backlog.

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method the Company records deferred income taxes for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled. The resulting deferred tax liabilities and assets are adjusted to reflect changes in tax law or rates in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company previously adopted the provisions for uncertainties in income taxes where a liability for an unrecognized tax benefit is recorded for a tax position that does not meet the "more likely than not" criteria. The Company did not record any uncertain tax positions meeting the more likely than not criteria as of November 30, 2012

# and 2011.

# Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are carried at cost, and depreciation is provided using the straight-line method at rates based upon the following estimated useful lives (in years) of the assets:

Buildings	15
Facility improvements	8-15
Machinery and equipment	5-10
Furniture and fixtures	5-8

The Company assesses long-lived assets for impairment in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) ASC 360-10-35, Property, Plant and Equipment – Subsequent Measurement. When events or circumstances indicate that an asset may be impaired, an assessment is performed. The estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset are compared to the asset's net book value to determine if a write down to market value less cost to sell is required.

Repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Improvements which extend the useful lives of property, plant, and equipment are capitalized.

Research and Development Costs

Costs for the design and development of new products are expensed as incurred.

Comprehensive Income

The Company has no assets or liabilities whose changes in value are recorded within comprehensive income; as such, comprehensive income and net income are the same amount.

Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed based upon the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares. During 2012 and 2011, the Company had no dilutive potential common stock.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### 3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

The Company had no financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of November 30, 2012 and 2011. The fair value of financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, short term investments, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate their carrying amount based on the short maturity of these instruments. There were no nonfinancial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at November 30, 2012 and 2011.

#### 4. NOTES PAYABLE TO BANKS:

On June 1, 2011, the Company renewed a \$6,000,000 revolving line of credit agreement with a Texas banking institution for a term of two years. The interest rate is equal to the prime rate. The line of credit requires that the Company maintain a quick ratio of at least 1:1, maintain a tangible net worth of \$10,000,000 and maintain a total liabilities to tangible net worth of less than 1.25:1. The Company has not, to date, used any of the available line of credit. The Company is currently in compliance with such financial requirements.

#### 5. RELATED PARTIES:

Mr. Eugene Robinson, a director and member of the Company's board of directors and audit committee, provides advisory services to the Company and was paid \$3,600 in 2012 and \$3,600 in 2011 in addition to fees received as a director and audit committee member.

### 6. PRODUCT WARRANTIES:

In general, the Company warrants that its products, when delivered, will be free from defects in material workmanship under normal use and service. The obligations are limited to replacing, repairing or giving credit for, at the option of the Company, any products that are returned within one year after the date of shipment. The Company does not provide extended warranties.

The Company reserves for potential warranty costs based on historical warranty claims experience. While management considers the process to be adequate to effectively quantify its exposure to warranty claims based on historical performance, changes in warranty claims on a specific or cumulative basis may require management to adjust its reserve for potential warranty costs.

Warranty expense was \$172,000 and \$197,600 in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### 7. LEASE COMMITMENTS:

Rent expense for each of the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$46,000.

The Company has no future minimum lease payments under non-cancellable operating leases for office and manufacturing space with remaining terms in excess of one year.

### 8. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS:

The Company sponsors an Employees' Profit Sharing Plan and Trust (the Plan). Pursuant to section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Plan is available to substantially all employees of the Company. Employee contributions to the Plan are matched by the Company at amounts up to 6% of the participant's salary. Contributions made by the Company were expensed and totaled approximately \$262,000 in 2012 and \$239,000 in 2011. Employees become vested in Company contributions at 20% after two years, 40% after three years, 60% after four years, 80% after five years and 100% after six years. If the employee leaves the Company prior to being fully vested, the unvested portion of the Company contributions are forfeited and such forfeitures are used to lower future Company contributions. The Company does not offer other post-retirement benefits to its employees at this time.

#### 9. INCOME TAXES:

The income tax provision consisted of the following for the years ended November 30:

	20	12	20	11
Current Provision:				
Federal	\$	122,000	\$	439,000
State		92,000		93,000
		214,000		532,000
Deferred federal tax expense		112,000		336,000
Total	\$	326,000	\$	868,000

The provision for income taxes differs from that computed at the federal statutory corporate tax rate as follows:

	20	12	20	11
Tax at 34% statutory rate State income taxes, net of federal benefit Section 199 Adjustment Permanent differences and other	\$	266,000 61,000 (13,000) 12,000	·	854,000 62,000 (50,000) 2,000
Income tax provision	\$	326,000	\$	868,000

The components of deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

2012 2011

**Current Deferred Taxes** 

Inventory Deferred revenue Other accrued liabilities Current deferred tax asset	440,000 73,000 146,000 659,000	397,000 60,000 263,000 720,000
Non-current Deferred Taxes Depreciation Net deferred taxes	\$ (471,000) 188,000 \$	(420,000) 300,000

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax-planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences.

#### 10. SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMER INFORMATION:

The Company's primary line of business relates to the design, manufacture, and sale of hybrid microcircuits and optoelectronic components and assemblies. The Company's major customers include contractors to the United States government with fixed price contracts. Sales to these customers for the Department of Defense (DOD) and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) contracts accounted for approximately 65% of the Company's sales in 2012 compared to 62% in 2011. Two of the Company's distributors accounted for 13% and 10% of the Company's sales during 2012 and no customer accounted for 10% or more of the Company's sales during 2011.

### 11. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

On December 16, 2010, the Board of Directors of Micropac Industries, Inc. approved the payment of a special dividend of \$0.10 per share for shareholders of record as of January 18, 2011. The dividend payment was paid to the Company's shareholders on February 10, 2011.

On December 12, 2011, the Board of Directors of Micropac Industries, Inc. approved the payment of a special dividend of \$0.10 per share for shareholders of record as of January 18, 2012. The dividend was paid to the Company's shareholders on February 14, 2012.

### 12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On December 12, 2012, the Board of Directors of Micropac Industries, Inc. approved the payment of a \$.10 per share special dividend to all shareholders of record as of January 15, 2013. The dividend will be paid to shareholders on or about February 14, 2013.

On January 23, 2013, Micropac Industries, Inc. entered into a Loan Agreement with a Texas banking institution. The Loan Agreement replaces the Company's current revolving line of credit with the Texas banking institution entered into on June 1, 2011. The Loan Agreement provides for revolving credit loans, on a revolving basis, amounts not to exceed a total principal balance of \$6,000,000.00 and specific advance loans to the Company with an aggregate amount not to exceed \$7,500,000.00 in a single advance or in multiple advances. The Loan Agreement also contains financial covenants to maintain at all times (i) minimum working capital of not less than \$4,000,000.00, (ii) a ratio of senior funded debt, minus the Company's balance sheet cash on hand to the extent in excess of \$2,000,000.00, to EBITDA of not more than 3.0 to 1.0, and (iii) a ratio of free cash flow to debt service of not less than 1.2 to 1.0.

Management has evaluated subsequent events after the balance sheet date, through the issuance of the financial statements, for appropriate accounting and disclosure.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (the Certifying Officers) are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Company. The Certifying Officers have designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information is made known to them, particularly during the period in which this report was prepared. The Certifying Officers have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) (the Rules) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (or Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report and believe that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective based on the required evaluation.

#### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Micropac is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in the Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and the Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer), the Company's management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2012 as required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule13a-15(c). In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth in the framework in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the evaluation conducted under the framework in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework," the Company's management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of November 30, 2012.

During our most recent fiscal quarter, there has not occurred any change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered independent public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered independent public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

## **PART III**

In accordance with General Instruction G(3) of Form 10-K, the information required by this Part III is incorporated by reference to Micropac Industries, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement relating to its 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, as set forth below. The 2013 Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about February 14, 2013.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Name	Age	Position with the Company	Director Since
Mark King	58	CEO, President and Member of Audit Committee and Chairman of the Board	October 2005
H. Kent Hearn	76	Director and Member of Audit Committee	February 1983
Heinz-Werne Hempel	r84	Director and	
Tiemper		Member of Audit Committee	February 1997
Connie Wood	73	Secretary, Director and	

	•	•	
		Member of Audit Committee	March 2002
Eugene Robinson	73	Director and Member of Audit Committee	October 2008
Richard K. Hoesterey	70	Director and Member of Audit Committee	October 2010
Patrick S. Cefalu	55	CFO, Executive Vice President	N/A
22			

Mr. King is the current President and Chief Executive of the Company. Prior to November 2002, Mr. King was the President and Chief Operating Officer of Lucas Benning Power Electronics. Mr. King joined the Company in November of 2002, and was elected Chief Executive Officer, President and Director in October 2005.

Mr. Hearn retired in December 2006. Prior to his retirement, Mr. Hearn was a stockbroker with Milkie/Ferguson Investments, Inc. for 12 years. Mr. H. Kent Hearn announced his retirement as a Director of the Company effective March 12, 2013.

Mr. Hempel has served as the Chief Operating Officer of Hanseatische Waren-Gesellschaft MBH & Co, KG, Bremen, Germany for over 25 years.

Ms. Wood served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company from May 2002 until her retirement in October 2005. Prior to that, Ms. Wood was Corporate Vice President of Operations.

Mr. Robinson has 35 years of experience in the electronics industry, including 26 years with Texas Instruments, Inc. and later Raytheon through acquisition. During the past 10 years, Mr. Robinson has been actively engaged consulting with numerous high technology organizations. He has served on several advisory boards for high technology companies and universities.

Mr.Hoesterey is an experienced executive with over 35 years in general management and manufacturing operations management in a variety of industries including high tech electronics, industrial products, and power regulation. He served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Components Corporation of America from January 2000 to August 2009. In September 2009, he began serving as the President and Chief Executive Officer of R.K. Hoesterey & Associates.

Mr. Cefalu has over 34 years of experience in management, manufacturing and financial operations in a variety of industries. Mr. Cefalu has been the Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of the Company since September 2001.

#### **Board Meetings and Committees**

The Board of Directors held five (5) board meetings during the year ended November 2012. Directors received a fee of \$1,500 (other than Mr. King) for each meeting attended during the year ended November 2012. In addition, the Board agreed to pay an annual retainer of \$10,000 to Mr. Hearn, Mr. Robinson, Mr. Hoesterey and Ms. Wood. Ms. Wood, Mr. Hearn, and Mr. Robinson attended all of the meetings. Mr. Hoesterey attended four (4) meetings and Mr. Hempel attended one (1) of the meetings.

The Audit Committee held four (4) meetings during the year ended November 30, 2012. Members of the Audit Committee received a fee of \$750 for each meeting attended during the year ended November 2012. Mr. King did not receive any payments for attending meetings of the Audit Committee. Mrs. Wood and Messrs Robinson, Hoesterey and Hearn attended all of the meetings.

With the exception of Mr. Hearn, Mr. Hoesterey and Mr. Robinson, members of the Audit Committee are not considered independent members under applicable United States statutes.

The Board of Directors does not have nominating or compensation committee or committees performing similar functions. The Board of Directors formed an Audit Committee on May 13, 2002. The members of the Audit Committee operate pursuant to a charter developed by the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee has discussed with management and the independent auditors the quality and adequacy of the Company's internal controls. The Audit Committee has considered and reviewed with the independent auditors their audit plans, the scope of the audit, and the identification of audit risks. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and has discussed such financial statements with the independent auditors.

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the report from the independent accountant required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent accountant's the independent accountant's independence. Based upon the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Management has the responsibility for the preparation and integrity of the Company's financial statements and the independent auditors have the responsibility for the audit of those statements. It is not the duty of the Audit Committee to conduct audits to determine that the Company's financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In giving its recommendations, the Audit Committee considered (a) management's representation that such financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and (b) the report of the Company's independent auditors with respect to such financial statements.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Owner Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's directors, executive officers, and 10% stockholders to file reports of ownership and reports of change in ownership of the Company's equity securities with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Directors, executive officers, and 10% stockholders are required to furnish the Company with copies of all Section16(a) forms they file. Based on a review of the copies of such reports furnished, the Company believes that during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, the Company's directors, executive officers, and 10% stockholders filed on a timely basis all reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

#### Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the Company's chief executive officer and principal financial officer.

In addition, the Company has a code of conduct for all employees, officers and directors of the Company.

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information set forth in the 2013 Proxy Statement under the heading "Management Remuneration and Transactions" is incorporated herein.

The following table shows as of November 30, 2012, all cash compensation paid to, or accrued and vested for the account of Mr. Mark King, President and Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Patrick Cefalu, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. King and Mr. Cefalu received no non-cash compensation during 2012.

On January 15, 2001, the Board of Directors adopted the Micropac Industries, Inc. 2001 Employee Stock Option Plan. To date, no options have been granted under the Plan. The Stock Option Plan expired on January 1, 2011.

#### **Annual Compensation**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Salary	Bonus	All Other Compensation (a)	Total
Mark King, President and Chief Eventine Officer (1)	2012 2011	\$259,358 \$247,968 \$248,504	\$21,000 \$45,000	\$ 17,401 \$ 27,320 \$ 24,204	\$297,759 \$320,288
Chief Executive Officer (1) Patrick Cefalu,	<ul><li>2010</li><li>2012</li></ul>	\$248,504 \$152,054	\$3,000 \$21,000	\$ 24,204 \$ 9,705	\$275,708 \$182,759
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2011 2010	\$145,272 \$145,325	\$35,000 \$3,000	\$ 2,607 \$ 7,351	\$182,879 \$155,676

- (a) Reflects amounts contributed by Micropac Industries, Inc., under Micropac's 401(k) profit sharing plan; unused vacation pay; life insurance premiums paid; and reimbursement for medical expenses under Micropac's Family Medical Reimbursement Plan.
- (1) Effective November 2005, Mr. King's existing employment agreement was revised to provide that Mr. King would serve as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, and a member on the Board of Directors and Audit Committee at a base salary of \$186,400 for a term of three (3) years. In December 2005, the Company and Mr. King amended his employment agreement to increase his annual base salary to \$225,000. In June 2009, the Company and Mr. King amended his employment agreement to increase his annual base salary to \$247,104 for renewable terms of three (3) years with annual increases to be determined by the Board of Directors. The June 2009 amendment also provides under certain events, either the Company or Mr. King can terminate the agreement upon a payment to Mr. King of 18 or 36 months 'salary as severance payments.

The Board of Directors reviews and approves the Company annual bonus payments structure. In 2011 the Directors approved a bonus payment paid on December 16, 2011 of \$21,000 to Mr. King and \$21,000 to Mr. Cefalu.

Amount included in all other compensation relating to employee benefit plans

The Company maintains a Family Medical Reimbursement Plan for the benefit of its executive officers and their dependents. The Plan is funded through a group insurance policy issued by an independent carrier and provides for reimbursement of 100% of all bona fide medical and dental expenses that are not covered by other medical insurance plans. During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, Mr. King received \$2,641 and Mr. Cefalu received \$2,293, which amounts are included in the "All Other Compensation" column shown in the preceding remuneration table.

In July 1984, the Company adopted a Salary Reduction Plan pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Plan's benefits are available to all Company employees who are at least 18 years of age and have completed at least six months of service to the Company as of the beginning of a Plan year. Plan participants may elect to defer up to 15% of their total compensation as their contributions, subject to the maximum allowed by the Internal Revenue code 401(k), and the Company matches their contributions up to a maximum of 6% of their total compensation. A participant's benefits vest to the extent of 20% after two years of eligible service and become fully vested at the end of six years. During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, the Company made contributions to the Plan for Mr. King in the amount of \$14,760 and for Mr. Cefalu in the amount of \$7,412, which amount is included in the "All Other Compensation" column shown in the preceding remuneration table.

Employment agreements of the Company's officers provide that they may elect to carry over any unused vacation time to subsequent periods or elect to be paid for such unused vacation time. In 2012, Mr. King and Mr. Cefalu did not receive any unused vacation pay.

During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, the Company paid life insurance premiums for the benefit of Mr. King and Mr. Cefalu valued at \$1,400 and \$830, respectively.

# Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table shows the number and percentage of shares of the Company's common stock beneficially owned (a) by each person known by the Company to own 5% or more of the outstanding common stock, (b) by each director and nominee, and (c) by all present officers and directors as a group, as of November 30, 2012.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner Patrick Cefalu	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned 0	Percent of Class(1) 0%
Heinz-Werner Hempel(2) (3) (4)	1,952,577	75.7%
H. Kent Hearn (3)	3,500	Less than .2%
Mark King (3)	13,200	Less than .5%
Richard K. Hoesterey (3)	0	0%
Eugene Robinson (3)	0	0%

Connie Wood (3)	6,000	Less than .2%
All officers and directors as a group (7 Persons)	1,975,277	76.7%

- (1) Calculated on the basis of the 2,578,315 outstanding shares. There are no options, warrants, or convertible securities outstanding.
  - (2) The Company and Mr. Heinz-Werner Hempel are parties to an Ancillary Agreement entered into in March 1987. The Ancillary Agreement primarily obligates the Company to register Mr. Hempel's stock and allows Mr. Hempel to participate in any sale of stock by the Company.
- (3) A director of the Company. Each incumbent director has been nominated for reelection at the Annual Meeting excluding Mr. Hearn who is retiring on March 12, 2013.
- (4) Effective October 10, 2007, Mr. Hempel transferred all of the shares of the Company's common stock owned by him and consisting of 1,952,577 shares, to a partnership organized under the laws of Germany. This partnership is composed of Mr. Hempel, his son, and his daughter. As the consideration for this transfer, Mr. Hempel received a 99.98% share in this partnership and received the sole voting and management control. His son and daughter each own a 0.01% ownership interest in this partnership.

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Mr. Eugene Robinson, a director and member of the Company's audit committee, provides advisory services to the Company and was paid \$3,600 in 2012 and \$3,600 in 2011 in addition to fees received as a director and audit committee member.

#### Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

KPMG LLP was selected as the independent accountants in 2002 and has been responsible for the Company's financial audit for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2002 through November 30, 2012.

Management anticipates that a representative from KPMG LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting and will be given the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so. It is also anticipated that such representative will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

#### **AUDIT FEES**

The fees for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements for each of the fiscal years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011, and the reviews of the financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q during those periods were \$127,000 and \$123,000, respectively.

#### TAX FEES

KPMG LLP fees for tax advisory and tax return preparation services were \$31,000 in 2012, and fees for tax return preparation services were \$31,000 in 2011.

# ALL OTHER FEES

KPMG LLP did not provide any other services.

The Audit Committee requests that KPMG LLP provide the committee with the anticipated charges of all accounting and tax related services to be performed by KPMG LLP in advance of performing such services. The Audit Committee approves all KPMG LLP services in advance of the performance of such services.

#### Part IV

#### Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) Exhibits
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U. S. C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002.
- 101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MICROPAC INDUSTRIES, INC.

By: /s/ Mark King Mark King President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Patrick Cefalu
Patrick Cefalu
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Dated: 02/14/2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on 02/14/2013.

/s/ Connie Wood /s/ H. K. Hearn

Connie Wood, Director H. Kent Hearn, Director

/s/ Richard Hoesterey /s/ Heinz-Werner Hempel

Richard Hoesterey, Director Heinz-Werner Hempel, Director

/s/ Eugene Robinson /s/ Mark King

Eugene Robinson, Director Mark King, Director

# DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS NOVEMBER 30, 2012

#### MARK KING

Chief Executive Officer Chairman of the Board Micropac Industries, Inc.

#### **CONNIE WOOD**

Retired Chief Executive Officer Micropac Industries, Inc.

# HEINZ-WERNER HEMPEL Chief Operating Officer

Hanseatishe Waren Handelsgesellschaft MBH & Co. KG, Bremen, Germany

H. KENT HEARN Retired Stockbroker Milkie-Ferguson, Dallas, Texas

RICHARD HOESTEREY Retired

EUGENE ROBINSON Retired

PATRICK CEFALU Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer Micropac Industries, Inc.

LEGAL COUNSEL Secore & Waller, L.L.P. Dallas, Texas TRANSFER AGENT & REGISTRAR Securities Transfer Frisco, Texas