

RAYTHEON CO/
Form 10-Q
October 26, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended October 1, 2017
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-13699

RAYTHEON COMPANY
(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 95-1778500
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)
(781) 522-3000
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of October 23, 2017 was 289,087,000.

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016</u>	8
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	9
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	33
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	34
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	61
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	62
PART II <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	63
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	63
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	63
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	64
<u>Signatures</u>	65

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws, including information regarding our financial outlook, future plans, objectives, business prospects, trends and anticipated financial performance, including with respect to: our liquidity and capital resources; our capital expenditures; our bookings and backlog; our expected tax payments; our pension expense and funding; the impact of new accounting pronouncements; our unrecognized tax benefits; our expectations regarding customer contracts; our international sales; our recognition of revenue on certain performance obligations; the impact of acquisitions, investments and other business arrangements and the tax deductibility of goodwill; our reclassifications of gains on cash flow hedges; the impact and outcome of audits and legal and administrative proceedings, claims, investigations and commitments and contingencies; and the impact of changes in foreign currency rates. You can identify these statements by the fact that they include words such as “will,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “estimate,” “intend,” “plan,” or variations of these words, similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are not statements of historical facts and represent only our current expectations regarding such matters. These statements inherently involve a wide range of known and unknown uncertainties. Our actual actions and results could differ materially from what is expressed or implied by these statements. Specific factors that could cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 1A. “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, and other important factors disclosed previously and from time to time in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Given these factors, as well as other variables that may affect our operating results, you should not rely on forward-looking statements, assume that past financial performance will be a reliable indicator of future performance, or use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods. We expressly disclaim any obligation or intention to provide updates to the forward-looking statements and the estimates and assumptions associated with them, except as required by law.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RAYTHEON COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

(In millions, except per share amounts)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,311	\$3,303
Short-term investments	—	100
Receivables, net	1,393	1,163
Contract assets	5,892	5,041
Inventories	693	608
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	489	670
Total current assets	10,778	10,885
Property, plant and equipment, net	2,248	2,166
Goodwill	14,878	14,788
Other assets, net	2,374	2,399
Total assets	\$30,278	\$30,238
Liabilities, Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest and Equity		
Current liabilities		
Commercial paper	\$300	\$—
Contract liabilities	2,519	2,646
Accounts payable	1,347	1,520
Accrued employee compensation	1,165	1,234
Other current liabilities	1,161	1,139
Total current liabilities	6,492	6,539
Accrued retiree benefits and other long-term liabilities	7,791	7,758
Long-term debt	4,749	5,335
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest (Note 8)	389	449
Equity		
Raytheon Company stockholders' equity		
Common stock, par value, \$0.01 per share, 1,450 shares authorized, 289 and 293 shares outstanding at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively	3	3
Additional paid-in capital	—	—
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,075)	(7,411)
Retained earnings	17,929	17,565
Total Raytheon Company stockholders' equity	10,857	10,157
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	—	—
Total equity	10,857	10,157
Total liabilities, redeemable noncontrolling interest and equity	\$30,278	\$30,238

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsRAYTHEON COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(In millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Ended Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net sales				
Products	\$5,305	\$5,061	\$15,656	\$14,990
Services	979	953	2,909	2,855
Total net sales	6,284	6,014	18,565	17,845
Operating expenses				
Cost of sales—products	3,872	3,705	11,531	10,948
Cost of sales—services	818	769	2,374	2,329
General and administrative expenses	736	710	2,212	2,153
Total operating expenses	5,426	5,184	16,117	15,430
Operating income	858	830	2,448	2,415
Non-operating (income) expense, net				
Interest expense	48	58	157	174
Interest income	(4) (4) (14) (12
Other (income) expense, net	(2) (4) 26	(7
Total non-operating (income) expense, net	42	50	169	155
Income from continuing operations before taxes	816	780	2,279	2,260
Federal and foreign income taxes	248	239	667	601
Income from continuing operations	568	541	1,612	1,659
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1) 1	2	1
Net income	567	542	1,614	1,660
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	(5) (2) (17) (29
Net income attributable to Raytheon Company	\$572	\$544	\$1,631	\$1,689
Basic earnings per share attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:				
Income from continuing operations	\$1.97	\$1.84	\$5.59	\$5.68
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	—	0.01	—
Net income	1.97	1.84	5.60	5.68
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:				
Income from continuing operations	\$1.97	\$1.84	\$5.59	\$5.67
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	—	0.01	—
Net income	1.97	1.84	5.60	5.68
Amounts attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:				
Income from continuing operations	\$573	\$543	\$1,629	\$1,688
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(1) 1	2	1
Net income	\$572	\$544	\$1,631	\$1,689
Dividends declared per share	\$0.7975	\$0.7325	\$2.3925	\$2.1975

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsRAYTHEON COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net income	\$567	\$542	\$1,614	\$1,660
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:				
Pension and other postretirement benefit plans, net:				
Net loss arising during period	(497)	(174)	(497)	(174)
Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic cost	1	—	3	2
Amortization of net actuarial loss included in net income	327	267	890	758
Loss due to settlements	—	1	—	4
Pension and other postretirement benefit plans, net	(169)	94	396	590
Foreign exchange translation	27	(32)	71	(78)
Cash flow hedges	3	8	11	16
Unrealized gains on investments and other, net	—	13	—	15
Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	(139)	83	478	543
Income tax benefit (expense) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss)	58	(40)	(142)	(223)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(81)	43	336	320
Total comprehensive income	486	585	1,950	1,980
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	(5)	(2)	(17)	(29)
Comprehensive income attributable to Raytheon Company	\$491	\$587	\$1,967	\$2,009

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsRAYTHEON COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016 (in millions)	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Retained earnings	Total Raytheon Company stockholders' equity	Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries ⁽¹⁾	Total equity
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ (7,411)	\$ 17,565	\$ 10,157	\$ —	\$ 10,157
Net income (loss)				1,631	1,631		1,631
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax			336		336		336
Adjustment of redeemable noncontrolling interest to redemption value				90	90		90
Distributions and other activity related to noncontrolling interests					—		—
Dividends declared		2		(697)	(695)		(695)
Common stock plans activity		122			122		122
Share repurchases		(124)		(660)	(784)		(784)
Balance at October 1, 2017	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ (7,075)	\$ 17,929	\$ 10,857	\$ —	\$ 10,857
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 3	\$ 398	\$ (7,176)	\$ 16,956	\$ 10,181	\$ 202	\$ 10,383
Net income (loss)				1,689	1,689	(15)	1,674
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax			320		320		320
Adjustment of redeemable noncontrolling interest to redemption value				(23)	(23)		(23)
Distributions and other activity related to noncontrolling interests				(205)	(205)	(187)	(392)
Dividends declared		3		(653)	(650)		(650)
Common stock plans activity		130			130		130
Share repurchases		(531)		(365)	(896)		(896)
Balance at October 2, 2016	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ (6,856)	\$ 17,399	\$ 10,546	\$ —	\$ 10,546

(1) Excludes redeemable noncontrolling interest which is not considered equity. See "Note 8: Forcepoint Joint Venture" for additional information.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsRAYTHEON COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$1,614	\$1,660
(Income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(2)	(1)
Income from continuing operations	1,612	1,659
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations, net of the effect of acquisitions and divestitures		
Depreciation and amortization	401	377
Stock-based compensation	127	120
Gain on sale of equity method investment	—	(158)
Loss on repayment of long-term debt	39	—
Deferred income taxes	(137)	(84)
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Receivables, net	(226)	(64)
Contract assets and contract liabilities	(962)	(925)
Inventories	(83)	(37)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	148	252
Income taxes receivable/payable	66	(78)
Accounts payable	(191)	52
Accrued employee compensation	(68)	(25)
Other current liabilities	35	(59)
Accrued retiree benefits	452	693
Other, net	(90)	(12)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	1,123	1,711
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations	(1)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,122	1,711
Cash flows from investing activities		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(323)	(344)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	31	25
Additions to capitalized internal use software	(49)	(47)
Purchases of short-term investments	(399)	(472)
Maturities of short-term investments	517	822
Payments for purchases of acquired companies, net of cash received	(93)	(57)
Other	(2)	(9)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(318)	(82)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Dividends paid	(679)	(635)
Net borrowings (payments) on commercial paper	300	—
Repayments of long-term debt	(591)	—
Loss on repayment of long-term debt	(38)	—
Repurchases of common stock under share repurchase programs	(700)	(801)
Repurchases of common stock to satisfy tax withholding obligations	(84)	(95)
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest in RCCS LLC	—	(90)
Contribution from noncontrolling interest in Forcepoint	8	11

Other	—	(5)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,784)	(1,615)
Net increase (decrease) in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(980)	14
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of the year	3,303	2,328
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of period	\$2,323	\$2,342

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

8

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

We prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Raytheon Company and all wholly-owned, majority-owned or otherwise controlled subsidiaries on the same basis as our annual audited financial statements. We condensed or omitted certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in our annual audited financial statements, which we prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Our quarterly financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. As used in this report, the terms “we,” “us,” “our,” “Raytheon” and the “Company” mean Raytheon Company and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates another meaning.

In the opinion of management, our financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for presentation of financial statements for interim periods in accordance with U.S. GAAP and with the instructions to Form 10-Q in Article 10 of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and any such differences may be material to our financial statements.

As previously announced, effective January 1, 2017, we elected to early adopt the requirements of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) using the full retrospective method as discussed below in "Note 2: Accounting Standards." All amounts and disclosures set forth in this Form 10-Q reflect these changes.

Note 2: Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which replaces numerous requirements in U.S. GAAP, including industry-specific requirements, and provides companies with a single revenue recognition model for recognizing revenue from contracts with customers. The core principle of the new standard is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The two permitted transition methods under the new standard are the full retrospective method, in which case the standard would be applied to each prior reporting period presented and the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the earliest period shown, or the modified retrospective method, in which case the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the date of initial application. In July 2015, the FASB approved the deferral of the new standard's effective date by one year. The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The FASB permits companies to adopt the new standard early, but not before the original effective date of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2017, we elected to early adopt the requirements of Topic 606 using the full retrospective method. The impact to our fiscal quarters and year-ended 2016 and year-ended 2015 income from continuing operations after taxes, net income and basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) was as follows:

(In millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended				Twelve Months Ended	
	Dec 31, 2016	Oct 2, 2016	Jul 3, 2016	Apr 3, 2016	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015
Income from continuing operations after taxes	\$12	\$18	\$9	\$	-\$39	\$40
Net income	12	18	9	—	39	40
Basic EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:						
Income from continuing operations after taxes	\$0.04	\$0.05	\$0.02	\$	-\$0.10	\$0.12
Net income	0.04	0.05	0.02	—	0.11	0.11
Diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:						
Income from continuing operations after taxes	\$0.03	\$0.05	\$0.03	\$	-\$0.11	\$0.12
Net income	0.04	0.05	0.03	—	0.11	0.11

In addition, the cumulative impact to our retained earnings at January 1, 2015 was \$13 million.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. This ASU is intended to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows by providing guidance on eight specific cash flow issues, including requirements that cash payments for debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs be classified as cash outflows for financing activities and proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies be classified as cash inflows from investing activities. The provisions of ASU 2016-15 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. We elected to early adopt the requirements of the new standard in the first quarter of 2017 using the retrospective transition method, as required by the new standard. The adoption of this ASU had an immaterial impact to our consolidated statements of cash flows.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash, which requires that restricted cash be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The provisions of ASU 2016-18 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. We elected to early adopt the requirements of the new standard in the first quarter of 2017 using the retrospective transition method, as required by the new standard. The adoption of this ASU had an immaterial impact to our consolidated statements of cash flows.

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash reported within the consolidated balance sheets that sum to the total of such amounts in the consolidated statements of cash flows:

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,311	\$3,303
Restricted cash	12	—
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows	\$2,323	\$3,303

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which requires lessees to recognize a right-of-use asset and lease liability for most lease arrangements. The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted, and must be adopted using the modified

retrospective approach. We are currently evaluating the potential changes from this ASU to our future financial reporting and disclosures. We expect the standard to have an impact of approximately \$1 billion on our assets and liabilities for the addition of right-of-use assets and lease liabilities, but we do not expect it to have a material impact to our results of operations or liquidity.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-07, Compensation - Retirement Benefits (Topic 715), which changes certain presentation and disclosure requirements for employers that sponsor defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit (PRB) plans.

Table of Contents

This requires the service cost component of the net benefit cost to be in the same line item as other compensation in operating income and the other components of net benefit cost to be presented outside of operating income on a retrospective basis. In addition, only the service cost component will be eligible for capitalization when applicable, on a prospective basis. The provisions of ASU 2017-07 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2017. We are currently evaluating the potential changes from this ASU to our future financial reporting and disclosures. We expect the standard to increase 2016 and 2017 operating income due to the removal of the non-service component of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) pension expense by \$601 million and an estimated \$900 million, respectively, and to decrease non-operating income by the same amount with zero impact to net income in both periods. We do not expect a material impact from the new requirement to only allow capitalization of the service cost component of net benefit cost.

Other new pronouncements issued but not effective until after October 1, 2017, are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Note 3: Significant Accounting Policies Update

Our significant accounting policies are detailed in "Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" within Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. Significant changes to our accounting policies as a result of adopting Topic 606 are discussed below:

Revenue Recognition—The vast majority of our revenues are from long-term contracts associated with the design, development, manufacture or modification of complex aerospace or defense equipment or related services. These contracts primarily are with the U.S. government (including foreign military sales contracted through the U.S. government). Our contracts with the U.S. government typically are subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and are priced based on estimated or actual costs of producing goods or providing services. The FAR provides guidance on the types of costs that are allowable in establishing prices for goods and services provided under U.S. government contracts. The pricing for non-U.S. government contracts is based on the specific negotiations with each customer.

Under the typical payment terms of our U.S. government fixed-price contracts, the customer pays us either performance-based payments (PBPs) or progress payments. PBPs are interim payments up to 90% of the contract price based on quantifiable measures of performance or on the achievement of specified events or milestones. Progress payments are interim payments up to 80% of costs incurred as the work progresses. Because the customer retains a small portion of the contract price until completion of the contract, our U.S. government fixed-price contracts generally result in revenue recognized in excess of billings which we present as contract assets on the balance sheet. Amounts billed and due from our customers are classified as receivables on the balance sheet. The portion of the payments retained by the customer until final contract settlement is not considered a significant financing component because the intent is to protect the customer. For our U.S. government cost-type contracts, the customer generally pays us for our actual costs incurred within a short period of time. For non-U.S. government contracts, we typically receive interim payments as work progresses, although for some contracts, we may be entitled to receive an advance payment. We recognize a liability for these advance payments in excess of revenue recognized and present it as contract liabilities on the balance sheet. The advance payment typically is not considered a significant financing component because it is used to meet working capital demands that can be higher in the early stages of a contract and to protect us from the other party failing to adequately complete some or all of its obligations under the contract.

To determine the proper revenue recognition method for contracts for complex aerospace or defense equipment or related services, we evaluate whether two or more contracts should be combined and accounted for as one single contract and whether the combined or single contract should be accounted for as more than one performance obligation. This evaluation requires significant judgment and the decision to combine a group of contracts or separate the combined or single contract into multiple performance obligations could change the amount of revenue and profit

recorded in a given period. For most of our contracts, the customer contracts with us to provide a significant service of integrating a complex set of tasks and components into a single project or capability (even if that single project results in the delivery of multiple units). Hence, the entire contract is accounted for as one performance obligation. Less commonly, however, we may promise to provide distinct goods or services within a contract in which case we separate the contract into more than one performance obligation. If a contract is separated into more than one performance obligation, we allocate the total transaction price to each performance obligation in an amount based on the estimated relative standalone selling prices of the promised goods or services underlying each performance obligation. We infrequently sell standard products with observable standalone sales. In cases where we do, the observable standalone sales are used to determine the standalone selling price. More frequently, we sell a customized customer specific solution, and in these cases we typically use the expected cost plus a margin approach to estimate the standalone selling price of each performance obligation.

We account for a contract when it has approval and commitment from both parties, the rights of the parties are identified, payment terms are identified, the contract has commercial substance and collectability of consideration is probable. For certain contracts that meet the foregoing requirements, primarily international direct commercial sale contracts, we are required to obtain certain

Table of Contents

regulatory approvals. In these cases, we recognize revenue based on the likelihood of obtaining regulatory approvals based upon all known facts and circumstances.

We generally recognize revenue over time as we perform because of continuous transfer of control to the customer. For U.S. government contracts, this continuous transfer of control to the customer is supported by clauses in the contract that allow the customer to unilaterally terminate the contract for convenience, pay us for costs incurred plus a reasonable profit and take control of any work in process. Similarly, for non-U.S. government contracts, the customer typically controls the work in process as evidenced either by contractual termination clauses or by our rights to payment for work performed to date plus a reasonable profit to deliver products or services that do not have an alternative use to the Company.

Because of control transferring over time, revenue is recognized based on the extent of progress towards completion of the performance obligation. The selection of the method to measure progress towards completion requires judgment and is based on the nature of the products or services to be provided. We generally use the cost-to-cost measure of progress for our contracts because it best depicts the transfer of control to the customer which occurs as we incur costs on our contracts. Under the cost-to-cost measure of progress, the extent of progress towards completion is measured based on the ratio of costs incurred to date to the total estimated costs at completion of the performance obligation. Revenues, including estimated fees or profits, are recorded proportionally as costs are incurred. Costs to fulfill include labor, materials and subcontractors' costs, other direct costs and an allocation of indirect costs including pension and any other postretirement benefit (PRB) expense under U.S. government Cost Accounting Standards (CAS).

Due to the nature of the work required to be performed on many of our performance obligations, the estimation of total revenue and cost at completion (the process described below in more detail) is complex, subject to many variables and requires significant judgment. It is common for our long-term contracts to contain award fees, incentive fees, or other provisions that can either increase or decrease the transaction price. These variable amounts generally are awarded upon achievement of certain performance metrics, program milestones or cost targets and can be based upon customer discretion. We estimate variable consideration at the most likely amount to which we expect to be entitled. We include estimated amounts in the transaction price to the extent it is probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is resolved. Our estimates of variable consideration and determination of whether to include estimated amounts in the transaction price are based largely on an assessment of our anticipated performance and all information (historical, current and forecasted) that is reasonably available to us.

Contracts are often modified to account for changes in contract specifications and requirements. We consider contract modifications to exist when the modification either creates new or changes the existing enforceable rights and obligations. Most of our contract modifications are for goods or services that are not distinct from the existing contract due to the significant integration service provided in the context of the contract and are accounted for as if they were part of that existing contract. The effect of a contract modification on the transaction price and our measure of progress for the performance obligation to which it relates, is recognized as an adjustment to revenue (either as an increase in or a reduction of revenue) on a cumulative catch-up basis.

We have a companywide standard and disciplined quarterly Estimate at Completion (EAC) process in which management reviews the progress and execution of our performance obligations. As part of this process, management reviews information including, but not limited to, any outstanding key contract matters, progress towards completion and the related program schedule, identified risks and opportunities and the related changes in estimates of revenues and costs. The risks and opportunities include management's judgment about the ability and cost to achieve the schedule (e.g., the number and type of milestone events), technical requirements (e.g., a newly-developed product versus a mature product) and other contract requirements. Management must make assumptions and estimates regarding labor productivity and availability, the complexity of the work to be performed, the availability of materials,

the length of time to complete the performance obligation (e.g., to estimate increases in wages and prices for materials and related support cost allocations), execution by our subcontractors, the availability and timing of funding from our customer and overhead cost rates, among other variables. These estimates also include the estimated cost of satisfying our industrial cooperation agreements, sometimes referred to as offset obligations, required under certain contracts.

Based on this analysis, any quarterly adjustments to net sales, cost of sales and the related impact to operating income are recognized as necessary in the period they become known. These adjustments may result from positive program performance, and may result in an increase in operating income during the performance of individual performance obligations, if we determine we will be successful in mitigating risks surrounding the technical, schedule and cost aspects of those performance obligations or realizing related opportunities. Likewise, these adjustments may result in a decrease in operating income if we determine we will not be successful in mitigating these risks or realizing related opportunities. Changes in estimates of net sales, cost of sales and the related impact to operating income are recognized quarterly on a cumulative catch-up basis, which recognizes in the current period the cumulative effect of the changes on current and prior periods based on a performance obligation's percentage of completion. A significant change in one or more of these estimates could affect the profitability of one or more of our performance obligations.

Table of Contents

When estimates of total costs to be incurred exceed total estimates of revenue to be earned, on a performance obligation related to complex aerospace or defense equipment or related services, or product maintenance or separately priced extended warranty, a provision for the entire loss on the performance obligation is recognized in the period the loss is recorded.

Net EAC adjustments had the following impact on our operating results:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions, except per share amounts)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Operating income	\$149	\$114	\$315	\$269
Income from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company	97	74	205	187
Diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company	\$0.33	\$0.25	\$0.70	\$0.63

In addition, net revenue recognized from our performance obligations satisfied in previous periods was \$184 million and \$125 million in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$398 million and \$317 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively. This primarily relates to EAC adjustments that impacted revenue.

We also sell security software through our Forcepoint™ segment. For the majority of these arrangements, we recognize revenue over the term of the agreement because the software requires continuous updates to provide the intended security functionality. To a lesser extent in all of our business segments, we enter into other types of contracts including service arrangements and non-subscription software and licensing agreements. We recognize revenue for these arrangements over time or at a point in time depending on our evaluation of when the customer obtains control of the promised goods or services. For software arrangements that include multiple performance obligations, including hardware, perpetual software licenses, subscriptions, term licenses and maintenance and/or services, we allocate revenue to each performance obligation based on estimates of the price that we would charge the customer for each promised product or service if it were sold on a standalone basis.

Receivables, Net—Receivables, net, include amounts billed and currently due from customers. The amounts due are stated at their net estimated realizable value. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts to provide for the estimated amount of receivables that will not be collected. The allowance is based upon an assessment of customer creditworthiness, historical payment experience, the age of outstanding receivables and collateral to the extent applicable.

Contract Assets—Contract assets include unbilled amounts typically resulting from sales under long-term contracts when the cost-to-cost method of revenue recognition is utilized and revenue recognized exceeds the amount billed to the customer, and right to payment is not just subject to the passage of time. Amounts may not exceed their net realizable value. Contract assets are generally classified as current.

Deferred Commissions—Our incremental direct costs of obtaining a contract, which consist of sales commissions primarily for our security software sales at Forcepoint, are deferred and amortized over the period of contract performance or a longer period, generally the estimated life of the customer relationship, if renewals are expected and the renewal commission is not commensurate with the initial commission. We classify deferred commissions as current or noncurrent based on the timing of when we expect to recognize the expense. The current and noncurrent portions of deferred commissions are included in prepaid expenses and other current assets and other assets, net, respectively, in our consolidated balance sheets. At October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, we had \$33 million and \$32 million of deferred commissions, respectively. We had \$7 million and \$3 million of amortization expense related to deferred commissions in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$17 million and \$8 million in the

first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Contract Liabilities—Our contract liabilities consist of advance payments and billings in excess of revenue recognized and deferred revenue. We may also receive up-front payments related to software license sales primarily for Forcepoint, which in most cases we recognize ratably over the license term. Our contract assets and liabilities are reported in a net position on a contract-by-contract basis at the end of each reporting period. We classify advance payments and billings in excess of revenue recognized as current, and deferred revenue as current or noncurrent based on the timing of when we expect to recognize revenue. The noncurrent portion of deferred revenue is included in accrued retiree benefits and other long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

In order to determine revenue recognized in the period from contract liabilities, we first allocate revenue to the individual contract liability balance outstanding at the beginning of the period until the revenue exceeds that balance. If additional advances are

13

Table of Contents

received on those contracts in subsequent periods, we assume all revenue recognized in the reporting period first applies to the beginning contract liability as opposed to a portion applying to the new advances for the period.

Remaining Performance Obligations—Remaining performance obligations represents the transaction price of firm orders for which work has not been performed and excludes unexercised contract options and potential orders under ordering-type contracts (e.g., indefinite-delivery, indefinite-quantity (IDIQ)). As of October 1, 2017, the aggregate amount of the transaction price allocated to remaining performance obligations was \$37 billion. The Company expects to recognize revenue on approximately half and three-quarters of the remaining performance obligations over the next 12 and 24 months, respectively, with the remainder recognized thereafter.

Note 4: Earnings Per Share (EPS)

We compute basic and diluted EPS using actual income from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders, income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders, net income attributable to Raytheon Company and our actual weighted-average shares outstanding rather than the numbers presented within our unaudited consolidated financial statements, which are rounded to the nearest million. As a result, it may not be possible to recalculate EPS as presented in our unaudited consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, it may not be possible to recalculate EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders by adjusting EPS from continuing operations by EPS from discontinued operations.

We include all unvested stock awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid, in the number of shares outstanding in our basic EPS calculation as they are considered participating securities. As a result, we have included all of our outstanding unvested restricted stock awards (RSAs), as well as restricted stock units (RSUs) and Long-term Performance Plan (LTPP) awards that meet the retirement eligible criteria in our calculation of basic EPS. We disclose EPS for common stock and unvested stock-based payment awards, and separately disclose distributed and undistributed earnings. Distributed earnings represent common stock dividends and dividends earned on unvested RSAs and stock-based payment awards of retirement eligible employees. Undistributed earnings represent earnings that were available for distribution but were not distributed. Common stock and unvested stock-based payment awards earn dividends equally.

As described in "Note 8: Forcepoint Joint Venture," we record redeemable noncontrolling interest related to Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint. We reflect the redemption value adjustments for redeemable noncontrolling interest in both the basic and diluted EPS calculation for the portion of redemption value that is in excess of the fair value of noncontrolling interest.

EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders and unvested stock-based payment awards was as follows:

	Three Months Ended Oct 1, 2017		Nine Months Ended Oct 1, 2016	
Basic EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:				
Distributed earnings	\$0.79	\$0.73	\$2.39	\$2.19
Undistributed earnings	1.18	1.11	3.20	3.49
Total	\$1.97	\$1.84	\$5.59	\$5.68
Diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:				
Distributed earnings	\$0.80	\$0.73	\$2.39	\$2.19
Undistributed earnings	1.17	1.11	3.20	3.48
Total	\$1.97	\$1.84	\$5.59	\$5.67

Basic and diluted EPS from discontinued operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders and unvested stock-based payment awards was a loss of less than \$0.01 and earnings of less than \$0.01 in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and earnings of \$0.01 and earnings of less than \$0.01 in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

Income attributable to participating securities was as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Income from continuing operations attributable to participating securities	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 20	\$ 23
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax attributable to participating securities ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—
Net income attributable to participating securities	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 20	\$ 23

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax attributable to participating securities was a loss of less than (1)\$1 million and income of less than \$1 million in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and income of less than \$1 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016.

The weighted-average shares outstanding for basic and diluted EPS were as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Shares for basic EPS ⁽¹⁾	290.7	295.2	291.6	297.2
Effect of dilutive securities	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Shares for diluted EPS	291.0	295.5	291.9	297.5

⁽¹⁾ Includes 3.2 million and 3.7 million participating securities in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and 3.5 million and 4.1 million participating securities in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Note 5: Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

(In millions)	Oct 1, Dec 31,	
	2017	2016
Materials and purchased parts	\$ 70	\$ 66
Work in process	608	532
Finished goods	15	10
Total	\$ 693	\$ 608

Precontract costs are costs incurred to fulfill a contract prior to contract award. Precontract costs, including general and administrative expenses that are specifically chargeable to the customer, are deferred in inventories if we determine that the costs are probable of recovery under a specific anticipated contract. All other precontract costs, including start-up costs, are expensed as incurred. Costs that are deferred are recognized as contract costs upon the receipt of the anticipated contract. We included deferred precontract costs of \$200 million and \$189 million in inventories as work in process at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Note 6: Contract Assets and Contract Liabilities

Our contract assets consist of unbilled amounts typically resulting from sales under long-term contracts when the cost-to-cost method of revenue recognition is utilized and revenue recognized exceeds the amount billed to the customer. Our contract liabilities consist of advance payments and billings in excess of costs incurred and deferred revenue. The noncurrent portion of deferred revenue is included in accrued retiree benefits and other long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Net contract assets (liabilities) consisted of the following:

(In millions, except percentages)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016	\$ Change	% Change
Contract assets	\$5,892	\$5,041	\$ 851	17 %
Contract liabilities—current	(2,519)	(2,646)	127	(5)%
Contract liabilities—noncurrent	(117)	(128)	11	(9)%
Net contract assets (liabilities)	\$3,256	\$2,267	\$ 989	44 %

The \$989 million increase in our net contract assets (liabilities) from December 31, 2016 to October 1, 2017 was due to an \$851 million increase in our contract assets, primarily due to timing of payments on certain international programs.

Table of Contents

In the third quarter and first nine months of 2017, we recognized revenue of \$247 million and \$1,199 million, respectively, related to our contract liabilities at January 1, 2017. In the third quarter and first nine months of 2016, we recognized revenue of \$294 million and \$1,252 million, respectively, related to our contract liabilities at January 1, 2016.

Impairment losses recognized on our receivables and contract assets were de minimis in the third quarters and first nine months of 2017 and 2016.

Note 7: Acquisitions and Goodwill

In pursuing our business strategies, we acquire and make investments in certain businesses that meet strategic and financial criteria.

In August 2017, our Forcepoint business acquired RedOwl Analytics Inc. (RedOwl), a security analytics business, for \$54 million in cash, net of cash received, and exclusive of retention payments. RedOwl will be integrated into our Forcepoint business to expand and enhance Forcepoint's strategy to deliver cybersecurity systems that help customers understand people's behaviors and intent as they interact with data and intellectual property wherever it may reside. In connection with this acquisition, we have preliminarily recorded \$50 million of goodwill, primarily related to expected synergies from combining operations and the value of the existing workforce, none of which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes, and \$6 million of intangible assets, primarily related to technology and customer relationships, with a weighted-average life of five years. We expect to complete the purchase price allocation process in the fourth quarter of 2017 when we receive final valuation results and complete our review.

In February 2017, our Forcepoint business acquired the Skyfence cloud access security broker business for \$39 million in cash, net of cash received, and exclusive of retention payments. Vista Equity Partners contributed 19.7% of the purchase price, which is reflected in contribution from noncontrolling interest in Forcepoint in our consolidated statements of cash flows. Skyfence solutions help companies to determine which cloud applications are in use by employees, analyze content in real-time to prevent malicious or unauthorized leakage and quickly identifies and blocks cyber-attacks. Skyfence was integrated into our Forcepoint business to expand and enhance Forcepoint's strategy to deliver cybersecurity systems that help customers understand people's behaviors and intent as they interact with data and intellectual property wherever it may reside, including in the fast-growing cloud. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$35 million of goodwill, primarily related to expected synergies from combining operations and the value of the existing workforce, all of which is expected to be deductible for tax purposes, and \$5 million of intangible assets, primarily related to technology, with a weighted-average life of six years.

Pro forma financial information and revenue from the date of acquisition has not been provided for these acquisitions as they are not material either individually or in the aggregate.

A rollforward of goodwill by segment was as follows:

(In millions)	Integrated Intelligence, Defense Systems	Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint ⁽¹⁾	Total
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 1,702	\$ 2,966	\$ 4,154	\$ 4,106	\$ 1,860	\$14,788
Acquisitions	—	—	—	—	85	85
Effect of foreign exchange rates and other	3	1	—	—	1	5
Balance at October 1, 2017	\$ 1,705	\$ 2,967	\$ 4,154	\$ 4,106	\$ 1,946	\$14,878

At October 1, 2017, Forcepoint's fair value is estimated to exceed its net book value by approximately \$630 (1) million. As discussed in "Note 8: Forcepoint Joint Venture," we are required to determine Forcepoint's fair value on a quarterly basis due to the accounting related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest.

Note 8: Forcepoint Joint Venture

In May 2015, we created Forcepoint, a new cybersecurity joint venture company (with Vista Equity Partners), through a series of transactions by which we acquired Websense from Vista Equity Partners and combined it with Raytheon Cyber Products, formerly part of our Intelligence, Information and Services (IIS) segment. We then sold 19.7% of the equity interest in the combined company to Vista Equity Partners for \$343 million.

The joint venture agreement between Raytheon and Vista Equity Partners provides Vista Equity Partners with certain rights to require Forcepoint to pursue an initial public offering at any time after four years and three months following the closing date of May 29, 2015, or pursue a sale of the company at any time after five years following the closing date. In either of these events, Raytheon has the option to purchase all (but not less than all) of Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint for cash at a price equal to fair value as determined under the joint venture agreement. Additionally, Vista Equity Partners has the ability to liquidate its ownership through a put option, which became exercisable on May 29, 2017. The put option allows Vista Equity Partners to

Table of Contents

require Raytheon to purchase all (but not less than all) of Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint for cash at a price equal to fair value as determined under the joint venture agreement. Lastly, at any time on or after three years following the closing date, Raytheon has the option to purchase all (but not less than all) of Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint at a price equal to fair value as determined under the joint venture agreement. The joint venture agreement provides for the process under which the parties would determine the fair value of the interest and could result in a payment by Raytheon shortly after the exercise of the put option; however, the ultimate timing will depend on the actions of the parties and other factors. The estimate of fair value for purposes of presenting the redeemable noncontrolling interest in our consolidated balance sheets could differ from the parties' determination of fair value for the put option under the joint venture agreement.

Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint is presented as redeemable noncontrolling interest, outside of stockholders' equity, in our consolidated balance sheets. The redeemable noncontrolling interest is recognized at the greater of the estimated redemption value as of the balance sheet date, which was \$389 million at October 1, 2017, or the carrying value, defined as the initial value adjusted for Vista Equity Partners' share of the cumulative impact of net income (loss), other changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and additional contributions, which was \$315 million at October 1, 2017. Vista Equity Partners' adjusted equity interest in the Forcepoint joint venture is 19.5% as of October 1, 2017. Adjustments to the redemption value over the period from the date of acquisition to the redemption date are immediately recorded to retained earnings.

A rollforward of redeemable noncontrolling interest was as follows:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Beginning balance	\$449	\$355
Net income (loss)	(17)	(14)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax ⁽¹⁾	—	—
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	8	11
Adjustment of noncontrolling interest to redemption value	(51)	14
Ending balance	\$389	\$366

(1) Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, was income of less than \$1 million for the first nine months of 2017 and a loss of less than \$1 million in the first nine months of 2016.

Note 9: Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments

Derivatives—Our primary market exposures are to foreign exchange rates and interest rates, and we use certain derivative financial instruments to help manage these exposures. We execute these instruments with financial institutions that we judge to be credit-worthy, and the majority of our foreign currency forward contracts are denominated in currencies of major industrial countries. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The fair value of asset derivatives included in other assets, net and liability derivatives included in other current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets related to foreign currency contracts were \$15 million and \$2 million, respectively, at October 1, 2017, and \$53 million and \$48 million, respectively, at December 31, 2016. The fair values of these derivatives are Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, because they are determined based on a market approach utilizing externally quoted forward rates for similar contracts.

We use foreign currency forward contracts to fix the functional currency value of specific commitments, payments and receipts. The aggregate notional amount of the outstanding foreign currency forward contracts was \$1,031 million and \$1,277 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The net notional exposure of these

contracts was approximately \$139 million and \$342 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Our foreign currency forward contracts contain offset or netting provisions to mitigate credit risk in the event of counterparty default, including payment default and cross default. At October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the fair value of our counterparty default exposure was less than \$1 million and spread across numerous highly rated counterparties.

There were no interest rate swaps outstanding at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Other Financial Instruments—We invest in marketable securities in accordance with our short-term investment policy and cash management strategy. These marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value as short-term investments in our consolidated balance sheets. These investments are deemed Level 2 assets under the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2016, as their fair value is determined under a market approach using valuation models that utilize observable inputs, including maturity date, issue date, settlement date and current rates. At October 1, 2017, we had no short-term investments. At

Table of Contents

December 31, 2016, we had short-term investments of \$100 million, consisting of highly rated bank certificates of deposit with a minimum long-term debt rating of A or A2 and a minimum short-term debt rating of A-1 and P-1. The amortized cost of these securities closely approximated their fair value at December 31, 2016. There were no securities deemed to have other than temporary declines in value in the third quarter of 2017. In the third quarter and first nine months of 2017, we recorded unrealized losses on short-term investments of less than \$1 million, net of tax, in accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL). In the third quarter and first nine months of 2016, we recorded unrealized losses on short-term investments of less than \$1 million, net of tax, in AOCL, and unrealized gains on short-term investments of less than \$1 million, net of tax, in AOCL, respectively. We did not have any sales of short-term investments in the third quarters and first nine months of 2017 and 2016. For purposes of computing realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, we determine cost on a specific identification basis.

In addition to the financial instruments discussed above, we hold other financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, notes receivable, commercial paper and long-term debt. The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, notes receivable and commercial paper approximated their fair values. The carrying value of long-term debt was recorded at amortized cost. The estimated fair value of long-term debt was determined based on quoted prices in inactive markets, which falls within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value and estimated fair value of long-term debt were as follows:

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Carrying value of long-term debt	\$4,749	\$5,335
Fair value of long-term debt	5,293	5,848

In addition, we did not have any transfers of assets or liabilities between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the first nine months of 2017.

In first nine months of 2017, we received net proceeds of \$300 million from the issuance of short-term commercial paper. The commercial paper notes outstanding have original maturities of not more than 90 days from the date of issuance. At October 1, 2017, short-term commercial paper borrowings outstanding were \$300 million, which had a weighted-average interest rate and original maturity period of 1.282% and 24 days, respectively. At December 31, 2016, there were no commercial paper borrowings outstanding.

In the second quarter of 2017, we exercised our call rights to repurchase, at prices based on fixed spreads to the U.S. Treasury rates, \$591 million of our long-term debt due March and December 2018 at a loss of \$39 million pretax, \$25 million after tax, which is included in other (income) expense, net in the first nine months of 2017.

Note 10: Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental Matters—We are involved in various stages of investigation and cleanup related to remediation of various environmental sites. Our estimate of the liability of total environmental remediation costs includes the use of a discount rate and takes into account that a portion of these costs is eligible for future recovery through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. government. We consider such recovery probable based on government contracting regulations and our long history of receiving reimbursement for such costs, and accordingly have recorded the estimated future recovery of these costs from the U.S. government within prepaid expenses and other current assets, in our consolidated balance sheets. Our estimates regarding remediation costs to be incurred were as follows:

(In millions, except percentages)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Total remediation costs—undiscounted	\$205	\$219
Weighted-average discount rate	5.2 %	5.2 %
Total remediation costs—discounted	\$141	\$147
Recoverable portion	92	92

We also lease certain government-owned properties and generally are not liable for remediation of preexisting environmental contamination at these sites. As a result, we generally do not provide for these costs in our consolidated financial statements.

Due to the complexity of environmental laws and regulations, the varying costs and effectiveness of alternative cleanup methods and technologies, the uncertainty of insurance coverage and the unresolved extent of our responsibility, it is difficult to determine the ultimate outcome of environmental matters. However, we do not expect any additional liability to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents

Financing Arrangements and Other—We issue guarantees, and banks and surety companies issue, on our behalf, letters of credit and surety bonds to meet various bid, performance, warranty, retention and advance payment obligations for us or our affiliates. These instruments expire on various dates through 2025. Additional guarantees of project performance for which there is no stated value also remain outstanding. The stated values outstanding consisted of the following:

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Guarantees	\$ 215	\$ 190
Letters of credit	2,646	2,345
Surety bonds	166	127

Included in guarantees and letters of credit described above were \$215 million and \$47 million, respectively, at October 1, 2017, and \$180 million and \$44 million, respectively, at December 31, 2016, related to our joint venture in Thales-Raytheon Systems Air and Missile Defense Command and Control S.A.S. (TRS AMDC2). We provide these guarantees and letters of credit to TRS AMDC2 and other affiliates to assist these entities in obtaining financing on more favorable terms, making bids on contracts and performing their contractual obligations. While we expect these entities to satisfy their loans and meet their project performance and other contractual obligations, their failure to do so may result in a future obligation to us. We periodically evaluate the risk of TRS AMDC2 and other affiliates failing to meet their obligations described above. At October 1, 2017, we believe the risk that TRS AMDC2 and other affiliates will not be able to meet their obligations is minimal for the foreseeable future based on their current financial condition. All obligations were current at October 1, 2017. We had an estimated liability of \$2 million and \$3 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to these guarantees and letters of credit.

As discussed in "Note 8: Forcepoint Joint Venture," under the joint venture agreement between Raytheon Company and Vista Equity Partners, Raytheon may be required to purchase Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint.

We have entered into industrial cooperation agreements, sometimes referred to as offset agreements, as a condition to obtaining orders for our products and services from certain customers in foreign countries. At October 1, 2017, the aggregate amount of our offset agreements, both agreed to and anticipated to be agreed to, had an outstanding notional value of approximately \$8.9 billion. These agreements are designed to return economic value to the foreign country by requiring us to engage in activities supporting local defense or commercial industries, promoting a balance of trade, developing in-country technology capabilities or addressing other local development priorities. Offset agreements may be satisfied through activities that do not require a direct cash payment, including transferring technology, providing manufacturing, training and other consulting support to in-country projects, and the purchase by third parties (e.g., our vendors) of supplies from in-country vendors. These agreements may also be satisfied through our use of cash for activities such as subcontracting with local partners, purchasing supplies from in-country vendors, providing financial support for in-country projects and making investments in local ventures. Such activities may also vary by country depending upon requirements as dictated by their governments. We typically do not commit to offset agreements until orders for our products or services are definitive. The amounts ultimately applied against our offset agreements are based on negotiations with the customers and typically require cash outlays that represent only a fraction of the notional value in the offset agreements. Offset programs usually extend over several or more years and may provide for penalties in the event we fail to perform in accordance with offset requirements. We have historically not been required to pay any such penalties.

As a U.S. government contractor, we are subject to many levels of audit and investigation by the U.S. government relating to our contract performance and compliance with applicable rules and regulations. Agencies that oversee contract performance include: the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA); the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA); the Inspectors General of the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and other departments and agencies; the Government Accountability Office (GAO); the Department of Justice (DoJ); and Congressional

Committees. From time to time, these and other agencies investigate or conduct audits to determine whether our operations are being conducted in accordance with applicable requirements. Such investigations and audits may be initiated due to a number of reasons, including as a result of a whistleblower complaint. Such investigations and audits could result in administrative, civil or criminal liabilities, including repayments, fines or penalties being imposed upon us, the suspension of government export licenses or the suspension or debarment from future U.S. government contracting. U.S. government investigations often take years to complete and many result in no adverse action against us. Our final allowable incurred costs for each year are also subject to audit and have, from time to time, resulted in disputes between us and the U.S. government, with litigation resulting at the Court of Federal Claims (COFC) or the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals (ASBCA) or their related courts of appeals. In addition, the DoJ has, from time to time, convened grand juries to investigate possible irregularities by us. We also provide products and services to customers outside of the U.S., and those sales are subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices. Our compliance with such local government regulations or any applicable U.S. government regulations (e.g., the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR)) may also be investigated or audited. Other than as specifically disclosed herein, we do not expect

Table of Contents

these audits, investigations or disputes to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity, either individually or in the aggregate.

In addition, various other claims and legal proceedings generally incidental to the normal course of business are pending or threatened against, or initiated by, us. We do not expect any of these proceedings to result in any additional liability or gains that would materially affect our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. In connection with certain of our legal matters, we may be entitled to insurance recovery for qualified legal costs or other incurred costs. We do not expect any insurance recovery to have a material impact on the financial exposure that could result from these matters.

Note 11: Stockholders' Equity

The changes in shares of our common stock outstanding were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
(In millions)		
Beginning balance	292.8	299.0
Stock plans activity	1.1	1.6
Share repurchases	(4.9)	(7.0)
Ending balance	289.0	293.6

From time to time, our Board of Directors authorizes the repurchase of shares of our common stock. In November 2015, our Board authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock. At October 1, 2017, we had approximately \$0.9 billion available under the 2015 repurchase program. Share repurchases will take place from time to time at management's discretion depending on market conditions.

Share repurchases also include shares surrendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with RSAs, RSUs and LTPP awards issued to employees.

Our share repurchases were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
(In millions)	\$ Shares	\$ Shares
Shares repurchased under our share repurchase programs	\$7004.4	\$8016.2
Shares repurchased to satisfy tax withholding obligations	84 0.5	95 0.8
Total share repurchases	\$7844.9	\$8967.0

In March 2017, our Board of Directors authorized an 8.9% increase to our annual dividend payout rate from \$2.93 to \$3.19 per share. Our Board of Directors also declared dividends of \$2.3925 per share during the first nine months of 2017, compared to dividends of \$2.1975 per share during the first nine months of 2016. Dividends are subject to quarterly approval by our Board of Directors.

Stock-based Compensation Plans

RSAs and RSUs—During the first nine months of 2017, we granted 1.0 million combined RSAs and RSUs with a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$152.86, calculated under the intrinsic value method. These awards generally vest in equal installments on each of the second, third and fourth anniversary dates of the award's grant date.

LTPP—During the first nine months of 2017, we granted RSUs subject to the 2017–2019 LTPP plan with an aggregate target award of 0.1 million units and a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$152.31. The performance goals for the 2017–2019 LTPP award are independent of each other and based on three metrics, as defined in the award agreements: return on invested capital (ROIC), weighted at 50%; total shareholder return (TSR) relative to a peer group, weighted at 25%; and cumulative free cash flow from continuing operations (CFCF), weighted at 25%. The ultimate award, which is determined at the end of the three-year cycle, can range from zero to 200% of the target award and includes dividend equivalents, which are not included in the aggregate target award numbers. The grant-date fair value is based upon the value determined under the intrinsic value method for the CFCF and ROIC portions of the award and the Monte Carlo simulation method for the TSR portion of the award.

Forcepoint Plans—In 2015, Forcepoint established long-term incentive plans that provide for awards of unit appreciation rights and profits interests in the joint venture to Forcepoint management and key employees. Awards are approved by the Board of Forcepoint. These awards vest over a specified period of time and settlement is subject to a liquidity event defined as either a

Table of Contents

change in control or an initial public offering of the joint venture. In certain limited circumstances other vesting conditions may apply and the expense attributable to these vesting conditions was \$3 million and \$4 million in the third quarter and first nine months of 2017, respectively. At October 1, 2017, there were 138 thousand combined units and/or profits interests authorized for award under these plans.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) includes gains and losses associated with pension and PRB, foreign exchange translation adjustments, the effective portion of gains and losses on derivative instruments qualified as cash flow hedges, and unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments. The computation of other comprehensive income (loss) and its components are presented in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

A rollforward of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was as follows:

(In millions)	Pension and PRB plans, net ⁽¹⁾	Foreign exchange translation	Cash flow hedges ⁽²⁾	Unrealized gains (losses) on investments and other, net ⁽³⁾	Total
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$(7,234)	\$ (175)	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$(7,411)
Before tax amount	396	71	11	—	478
Tax (expense) or benefit	(138)	—	(4)	—	(142)
Net of tax amount	258	71	7	—	336
Balance at October 1, 2017	\$(6,976)	\$ (104)	\$ 7	\$ (2)	\$(7,075)
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$(7,088)	\$ (60)	\$ (16)	\$ (12)	\$(7,176)
Before tax amount	590	(78)	16	15	543
Tax (expense) or benefit	(211)	—	(7)	(5)	(223)
Net of tax amount	379	(78)	9	10	320
Balance at October 2, 2016	\$(6,709)	\$ (138)	\$ (7)	\$ (2)	\$(6,856)

(1) Pension and PRB plans, net, is shown net of tax benefits of \$3,743 million and \$3,881 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

(2) Cash flow hedges are shown net of tax expense of \$3 million and tax benefit of \$1 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

(3) Unrealized gains (losses) on investments and other, net are shown net of tax expense of \$1 million at both October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Material amounts reclassified out of AOCL were related to amortization of net actuarial loss associated with our pension and PRB plans and were \$890 million and \$758 million before tax in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively. This component of AOCL is included in the calculation of net periodic pension expense (income) (see "Note 12: Pension and Other Employee Benefits" for additional details).

We expect \$7 million of after tax net unrealized gains on our cash flow hedges at October 1, 2017 to be reclassified into earnings at then-current values over the next 12 months as the underlying hedged transactions occur.

Note 12: Pension and Other Employee Benefits

We have pension plans covering the majority of our employees hired prior to January 1, 2007, including certain employees in foreign countries (Pension Benefits). Our primary pension obligations relate to our domestic Internal Revenue Service (IRS) qualified pension plans. In addition, we provide certain health care and life insurance benefits

to retired employees and to eligible employees upon retirement through PRB plans.

We also sponsor nonqualified defined benefit and defined contribution plans to provide benefits in excess of qualified plan limits. We have set aside certain assets in a separate trust, which we expect to be used to pay for trust obligations. The fair value of marketable securities held in trust, which are considered Level 1 assets under the fair value hierarchy, consisted of the following:

(In millions)	Oct 1, Dec 31,	
	2017	2016
Marketable securities held in trust	\$ 603	\$ 550

Included in marketable securities held in trust in the table above was \$386 million and \$354 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to the nonqualified defined contribution plans. The liabilities related to the nonqualified defined contribution plans were \$397 million and \$360 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic pension expense (income) were as follows:

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Service cost	\$122	\$116	\$355	\$362
Interest cost	281	274	815	819
Expected return on plan assets	(342)	(373)	(1,032)	(1,132)
Amounts reflected in net funded status	61	17	138	49
Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic pension expense	2	1	4	3
Recognized net actuarial loss	324	265	883	755
Loss due to settlements	—	—	—	3
Amounts reclassified during the period	326	266	887	761
Net periodic pension expense (income)	\$387	\$283	\$1,025	\$810

Net periodic pension expense (income) includes expense of less than \$1 million and income of \$2 million from foreign Pension Benefits plans in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and expense of \$1 million and income of \$4 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Net periodic PRB expense was \$7 million and \$5 million in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$18 million and \$12 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Long-term pension and PRB liabilities consisted of the following:

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Long-term pension liabilities	\$7,110	\$7,074
Long-term PRB liabilities	362	358
Total long-term pension and PRB liabilities	\$7,472	\$7,432

We made the following contributions to our pension and PRB plans:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Required pension contributions	\$574	\$112
PRB contributions	17	16

We did not make any discretionary contributions to our pension plans during the first nine months of 2017 and 2016; however, we periodically evaluate whether to make discretionary contributions.

On a periodic basis, generally planned annually in the third quarter, we update our actuarial estimate of the unfunded projected benefit obligation with final census and investment valuation data for the end of the prior year. As a result of this update, and related actuarial estimate changes in the third quarter of 2017, we recorded an increase to the unfunded projected benefit obligation for our pension of \$492 million and an increase to the unfunded projected benefit obligation for our PRB plans of \$5 million, with a corresponding net after-tax increase of \$324 million to AOCL.

Note 13: Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. We have participated in the IRS Compliance Assurance Process (CAP) program since 2011. All IRS examinations of our tax years prior to 2015 are closed. We continue to participate in the CAP program for the 2015, 2016 and 2017 tax years. We are also under audit by multiple state and foreign tax authorities.

There has been no material change in our unrecognized tax benefit since December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Note 14: Business Segment Reporting

Our reportable segments, organized based on capabilities and technologies, are: Integrated Defense Systems (IDS); Intelligence, Information and Services (IIS); Missile Systems (MS); Space and Airborne Systems (SAS); and Forcepoint. Segment total net sales and operating income include intersegment sales and profit generally recorded at cost-plus a specified fee, which may differ from what the selling entity would be able to obtain on sales to external customers. Eliminations includes intersegment sales and profit eliminations. Corporate operating income includes expenses that represent unallocated costs and certain other corporate costs not considered part of management's evaluation of reportable segment operating performance. Acquisition Accounting Adjustments include the adjustments to record acquired deferred revenue at fair value as part of our purchase price allocation process and the amortization of acquired intangible assets related to historical acquisitions.

As previously announced, effective January 1, 2017, we elected to early adopt the requirements of Topic 606 using the full retrospective method as discussed in "Note 2: Accounting Standards." The amounts and presentation of our business segments, including corporate and eliminations for intersegment activity, set forth in this Form 10-Q reflect these changes.

Segment financial results were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Total Net Sales (in millions)				
Integrated Defense Systems	\$1,391	\$1,334	\$4,251	\$4,069
Intelligence, Information and Services	1,543	1,534	4,605	4,653
Missile Systems	1,945	1,770	5,602	5,199
Space and Airborne Systems	1,597	1,590	4,760	4,582
Forcepoint	170	167	452	443
Eliminations	(355)	(364)	(1,077)	(1,037)
Total business segment sales	6,291	6,031	18,593	17,909
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	(7)	(17)	(28)	(64)
Total	\$6,284	\$6,014	\$18,565	\$17,845
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Intersegment Sales (in millions)				
Integrated Defense Systems	\$13	\$19	\$45	\$52
Intelligence, Information and Services	159	169	509	501
Missile Systems	36	34	101	102
Space and Airborne Systems	140	133	406	367
Forcepoint	7	9	16	15
Total	\$355	\$364	\$1,077	\$1,037

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Operating Income (in millions)				
Integrated Defense Systems	\$231	\$211	\$688	\$733
Intelligence, Information and Services	112	123	338	347
Missile Systems	280	235	732	660
Space and Airborne Systems	212	215	620	587
Forcepoint	23	41	41	69
Eliminations	(39)	(42)	(113)	(109)
Total business segment operating income	819	783	2,306	2,287
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	(39)	(46)	(123)	(155)
FAS/CAS Adjustment	78	104	295	318
Corporate	—	(11)	(30)	(35)
Total	\$858	\$830	\$2,448	\$2,415

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Intersegment Operating Income (in millions)				
Integrated Defense Systems	\$1	\$1	\$3	\$2
Intelligence, Information and Services	15	17	49	49
Missile Systems	4	3	10	9
Space and Airborne Systems	14	12	40	34
Forcepoint	5	9	11	15
Total	\$39	\$42	\$113	\$109

The FAS/CAS Adjustment, which is reported as a separate line in our segment results above, represents the difference between our pension and PRB expense or income under FAS in accordance with U.S. GAAP and our pension and PRB expense under U.S. government CAS. The results of each segment only include pension and PRB expense under CAS that we generally recover through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. government. The components of the FAS/CAS Adjustment were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
FAS/CAS Adjustment Income (Expense) (in millions)				
FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment	\$81	\$105	\$299	\$318
FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment	(3)	(1)	(4)	—
FAS/CAS Adjustment	\$78	\$104	\$295	\$318

Total assets for each of our business segments were as follows:

	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Total Assets (in millions)		
Integrated Defense Systems ⁽¹⁾	\$4,896	\$4,573
Intelligence, Information and Services ⁽¹⁾	4,251	4,315
Missile Systems ⁽¹⁾	7,821	6,970
Space and Airborne Systems ⁽¹⁾	6,674	6,564

Forcepoint ⁽¹⁾	2,550	2,548
Corporate	4,086	5,268
Total	\$30,278	\$30,238

(1) Total assets includes intangible assets. Related amortization expense is included in Acquisition Accounting Adjustments.

Table of Contents

We disaggregate our revenue from contracts with customers by geographic location, customer-type and contract-type for each of our segments, as we believe it best depicts how the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of our revenue and cash flows are affected by economic factors. See details in the tables below.

Disaggregation of Total Net Sales (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 1, 2017						Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Other	
United States							
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾							
Fixed-price contracts	\$ 165	\$ 278	\$ 744	\$ 562	\$ 45	\$—	\$ 1,794
Cost-type contracts	366	903	485	638	4	—	2,396
Direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales							
Fixed-price contracts	3	32	—	7	51	—	93
Cost-type contracts	—	1	—	1	—	—	2
Asia/Pacific							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	47	43	98	28	—	—	216
Cost-type contracts	32	11	15	5	—	—	63
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	140	50	78	64	14	—	346
Cost-type contracts	31	—	—	—	—	—	31
Middle East and North Africa							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	257	5	75	47	—	—	384
Cost-type contracts	39	—	6	10	—	—	55
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	245	5	281	50	6	—	587
Cost-type contracts	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
All other (principally Europe)							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	1	—	27	14	—	—	42
Cost-type contracts	5	—	21	1	—	—	27
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	45	49	78	30	36	—	238
Cost-type contracts	2	7	1	—	—	—	10
Total net sales	1,378	1,384	1,909	1,457	156	—	6,284
Intersegment sales	13	159	36	140	7	(355)	—
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	—	—	—	—	7	(7)	—
Reconciliation to business segment sales	\$ 1,391	\$ 1,543	\$ 1,945	\$ 1,597	\$ 170	\$(362)	\$ 6,284

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Table of Contents

Total Net Sales by Geographic Areas (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 1, 2017					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
United States	\$534	\$ 1,214	\$ 1,229	\$ 1,208	\$ 100	\$4,285
Asia/Pacific	250	104	191	97	14	656
Middle East and North Africa	541	10	362	107	6	1,026
All other (principally Europe)	53	56	127	45	36	317
Total net sales	\$1,378	\$ 1,384	\$ 1,909	\$ 1,457	\$ 156	\$6,284
Total Net Sales by Major Customers (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 1, 2017					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾	\$531	\$ 1,181	\$ 1,229	\$ 1,200	\$ 49	\$4,190
U.S. direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales	3	33	—	8	51	95
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government	381	59	242	105	—	787
Foreign direct commercial sales and other foreign sales ⁽¹⁾	463	111	438	144	56	1,212
Total net sales	\$1,378	\$ 1,384	\$ 1,909	\$ 1,457	\$ 156	\$6,284
(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.						
Total Net Sales by Contract Type (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 1, 2017					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Fixed-price contracts	\$903	\$ 462	\$ 1,381	\$ 802	\$ 152	\$3,700
Cost-type contracts	475	922	528	655	4	2,584
Total net sales	\$1,378	\$ 1,384	\$ 1,909	\$ 1,457	\$ 156	\$6,284

Table of Contents

Disaggregation of Total Net Sales (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 2, 2016						Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Other	
United States							
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾							
Fixed-price contracts	\$ 188	\$ 273	\$ 639	\$ 567	\$ 35	\$—	\$ 1,702
Cost-type contracts	365	829	487	614	5	—	2,300
Direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales							
Fixed-price contracts	4	37	1	3	48	—	93
Cost-type contracts	—	5	—	1	—	—	6
Asia/Pacific							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	42	54	90	27	—	—	213
Cost-type contracts	30	33	14	1	—	—	78
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	104	47	72	77	13	—	313
Cost-type contracts	37	—	—	—	—	—	37
Middle East and North Africa							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	203	17	106	36	—	—	362
Cost-type contracts	31	1	3	1	—	—	36
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	266	13	183	90	5	—	557
Cost-type contracts	1	—	—	—	—	—	1
All other (principally Europe)							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	1	—	23	12	—	—	36
Cost-type contracts	6	—	31	1	—	—	38
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	34	50	86	27	35	—	232
Cost-type contracts	3	6	1	—	—	—	10
Total net sales	1,315	1,365	1,736	1,457	141	—	6,014
Intersegment sales	19	169	34	133	9	(364)	—
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	—	—	—	—	17	(17)	—
Reconciliation to business segment sales	\$ 1,334	\$ 1,534	\$ 1,770	\$ 1,590	\$ 167	\$(381)	\$ 6,014

(1)Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Total Net Sales by Geographic Areas (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 2, 2016						Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Other	
United States	\$ 557	\$ 1,144	\$ 1,127	\$ 1,185	\$ 88	—	\$ 4,101
Asia/Pacific	213	134	176	105	13	—	641
Middle East and North Africa	501	31	292	127	5	—	956

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

All other (principally Europe)	44	56	141	40	35	316
Total net sales	\$1,315	\$ 1,365	\$ 1,736	\$ 1,457	\$ 141	\$6,014

27

Table of Contents

Total Net Sales by Major Customers (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 2, 2016					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾	\$553	\$ 1,102	\$ 1,126	\$ 1,181	\$ 40	\$4,002
U.S. direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales	4	42	1	4	48	99
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government	313	105	267	78	—	763
Foreign direct commercial sales and other foreign sales ⁽¹⁾	445	116	342	194	53	1,150
Total net sales	\$1,315	\$ 1,365	\$ 1,736	\$ 1,457	\$ 141	\$6,014

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Total Net Sales by Contract Type (in millions)	Three Months Ended October 2, 2016					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Fixed-price contracts	\$842	\$ 491	\$ 1,200	\$ 839	\$ 136	\$3,508
Cost-type contracts	473	874	536	618	5	2,506
Total net sales	\$1,315	\$ 1,365	\$ 1,736	\$ 1,457	\$ 141	\$6,014

Table of Contents

Disaggregation of Total Net Sales (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017						Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Other	
United States							
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾							
Fixed-price contracts	\$567	\$ 798	\$ 2,004	\$ 1,605	\$ 91	\$—	\$5,065
Cost-type contracts	1,123	2,676	1,475	2,018	10	—	7,302
Direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales							
Fixed-price contracts	6	102	1	19	147	—	275
Cost-type contracts	1	5	—	2	—	—	8
Asia/Pacific							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	131	131	285	70	—	—	617
Cost-type contracts	104	40	49	8	—	—	201
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	440	136	203	202	40	—	1,021
Cost-type contracts	112	—	1	—	—	—	113
Middle East and North Africa							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	785	14	268	143	—	—	1,210
Cost-type contracts	117	1	17	16	—	—	151
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	701	18	778	140	17	—	1,654
Cost-type contracts	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
All other (principally Europe)							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	3	3	89	34	—	—	129
Cost-type contracts	16	1	61	4	—	—	82
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	90	152	267	93	103	—	705
Cost-type contracts	10	19	3	—	—	—	32
Total net sales	4,206	4,096	5,501	4,354	408	—	18,565
Intersegment sales	45	509	101	406	16	(1,077)	—
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	—	—	—	—	28	(28)	—
Reconciliation to business segment sales	\$4,251	\$ 4,605	\$ 5,602	\$ 4,760	\$ 452	\$(1,105)	\$18,565

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Total Net Sales by Geographic Areas (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017					Forcepoint	Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint		

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

				Systems		
United States	\$1,697	\$ 3,581	\$ 3,480	\$ 3,644	\$ 248	\$12,650
Asia/Pacific	787	307	538	280	40	1,952
Middle East and North Africa	1,603	33	1,063	299	17	3,015
All other (principally Europe)	119	175	420	131	103	948
Total net sales	\$4,206	\$ 4,096	\$ 5,501	\$ 4,354	\$ 408	\$18,565

29

Table of Contents

Total Net Sales by Major Customers (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾	\$1,690	\$ 3,474	\$ 3,479	\$ 3,623	\$ 101	\$12,367
U.S. direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales	7	107	1	21	147	283
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government	1,156	190	769	275	—	2,390
Foreign direct commercial sales and other foreign sales ⁽¹⁾	1,353	325	1,252	435	160	3,525
Total net sales	\$4,206	\$ 4,096	\$ 5,501	\$ 4,354	\$ 408	\$18,565

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Total Net Sales by Contract Type (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 1, 2017					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Fixed-price contracts	\$2,723	\$ 1,354	\$ 3,895	\$ 2,306	\$ 398	\$10,676
Cost-type contracts	1,483	2,742	1,606	2,048	10	7,889
Total net sales	\$4,206	\$ 4,096	\$ 5,501	\$ 4,354	\$ 408	\$18,565

Table of Contents

Disaggregation of Total Net Sales (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 2, 2016						Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Other	
United States							
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾							
Fixed-price contracts	\$565	\$ 871	\$ 1,887	\$ 1,653	\$ 72	\$—	\$5,048
Cost-type contracts	1,099	2,523	1,468	1,757	12	—	6,859
Direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales							
Fixed-price contracts	10	130	1	20	140	—	301
Cost-type contracts	4	18	—	2	—	—	24
Asia/Pacific							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	103	138	236	86	—	—	563
Cost-type contracts	86	50	53	5	—	—	194
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	354	121	166	197	36	—	874
Cost-type contracts	128	—	1	—	—	—	129
Middle East and North Africa							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	595	53	290	119	—	—	1,057
Cost-type contracts	125	2	20	1	—	—	148
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	800	66	604	244	12	—	1,726
Cost-type contracts	1	—	—	—	—	—	1
All other (principally Europe)							
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government							
Fixed-price contracts	8	1	82	28	—	—	119
Cost-type contracts	18	—	78	4	—	—	100
Direct commercial sales and other foreign sales							
Fixed-price contracts	112	156	209	99	92	—	668
Cost-type contracts	9	23	2	—	—	—	34
Total net sales	4,017	4,152	5,097	4,215	364	—	17,845
Intersegment sales	52	501	102	367	15	(1,037)	—
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	—	—	—	—	64	(64)	—
Reconciliation to business segment sales	\$4,069	\$ 4,653	\$ 5,199	\$ 4,582	\$ 443	\$(1,101)	\$17,845

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Total Net Sales by Geographic Areas (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 2, 2016						Total
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Other	

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

United States	\$1,678	\$ 3,542	\$ 3,356	\$ 3,432	\$ 224	\$12,232
Asia/Pacific	671	309	456	288	36	1,760
Middle East and North Africa	1,521	121	914	364	12	2,932
All other (principally Europe)	147	180	371	131	92	921
Total net sales	\$4,017	\$ 4,152	\$ 5,097	\$ 4,215	\$ 364	\$17,845

31

Table of Contents

Total Net Sales by Major Customers (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 2, 2016					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾	\$1,664	\$ 3,394	\$ 3,355	\$ 3,410	\$ 84	\$11,907
U.S. direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales	14	148	1	22	140	325
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government	935	244	759	243	—	2,181
Foreign direct commercial sales and other foreign sales ⁽¹⁾	1,404	366	982	540	140	3,432
Total net sales	\$4,017	\$ 4,152	\$ 5,097	\$ 4,215	\$ 364	\$17,845

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

Total Net Sales by Contract Type (in millions)	Nine Months Ended October 2, 2016					
	Integrated Defense Systems	Intelligence, Information and Services	Missile Systems	Space and Airborne Systems	Forcepoint	Total
Fixed-price contracts	\$2,547	\$ 1,536	\$ 3,475	\$ 2,446	\$ 352	\$10,356
Cost-type contracts	1,470	2,616	1,622	1,769	12	7,489
Total net sales	\$4,017	\$ 4,152	\$ 5,097	\$ 4,215	\$ 364	\$17,845

Table of Contents

With respect to the unaudited consolidated financial information of Raytheon Company for the nine months ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PricewaterhouseCoopers) reported that it has applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. Its report dated October 26, 2017, appearing below, states that the firm did not audit and does not express an opinion on that unaudited consolidated financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on its report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act) for its report on the unaudited consolidated financial information because that report is not a “report” or a “part” of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Raytheon Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Raytheon Company and its subsidiaries as of October 1, 2017, and the related consolidated statements of operations and of comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016 and the consolidated statements of equity and of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended October 1, 2017 and October 2, 2016. This interim financial information is the responsibility of the Company’s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial information for it to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of comprehensive income, of equity, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 15, 2017, which included a paragraph describing a change in the manner of accounting for the income tax effects of share-based payment transactions, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. As discussed in Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated interim financial information, the Company changed its method of accounting for revenue transactions in 2017. The accompanying December 31, 2016 consolidated balance sheet reflects this change.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Boston, Massachusetts
October 26, 2017

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

We develop technologically advanced and integrated products, services and solutions in our core markets: sensing; effects; command, control, communications, computers, cyber and intelligence; mission support; and cybersecurity. We serve both domestic and international customers, primarily as a prime contractor or subcontractor on a broad portfolio of defense and related programs for government customers.

We operate in five segments: Integrated Defense Systems (IDS); Intelligence, Information and Services (IIS); Missile Systems (MS); Space and Airborne Systems (SAS); and Forcepoint. For a more detailed description of our segments, see "Business Segments" within Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

As previously announced, effective January 1, 2017, we elected to early adopt the requirements of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) using the full retrospective method as discussed in "Note 2: Accounting Standards" within Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. All amounts and disclosures set forth in this Form 10-Q reflect these changes.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 and our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES UPDATE

Our consolidated financial statements are based on the application of U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), which require us to make estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the amounts reported in our unaudited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. Our critical accounting estimates are detailed in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. Significant changes to our critical accounting estimates as a result of adopting Topic 606 are discussed below:

Revenue Recognition

We determine the appropriate revenue recognition for our contracts with customers by analyzing the type, terms and conditions of each contract or arrangement with a customer. We classify contract revenues as product or service according to the predominant attributes of the relevant underlying contracts unless the contract can clearly be split between product and service. We define service revenue as revenue from activities that are not associated with the design, development or production of tangible assets, the delivery of software code or a specific capability. Our service revenue is primarily related to our IIS business segment.

The following provides additional information about our contracts with customers, the judgments we make in accounting for those contracts, and the resulting amounts recognized in our financial statements.

Accounting for long-term contracts for complex aerospace or defense equipment (or related services)—To determine the proper revenue recognition method for contracts for complex aerospace or defense equipment or related services, we evaluate whether two or more contracts should be combined and accounted for as one single contract and whether the combined or single contract should be accounted for as more than one performance obligation. This evaluation requires significant judgment and the decision to combine a group of contracts or separate the combined or single contract into multiple performance obligations could change the amount of revenue and profit recorded in a given period. For most of our contracts, the customer contracts with us to provide a significant service of integrating a complex set of tasks and components into a single project or capability (even if that single project results in the delivery of multiple units). Hence, the entire contract is accounted for as one performance obligation. Less commonly,

however, we may promise to provide distinct goods or services within a contract in which case we separate the contract into more than one performance obligation. If a contract is separated into more than one performance obligation, we allocate the total transaction price to each performance obligation in an amount based on the estimated relative standalone selling prices of the promised goods or services underlying each performance obligation. We infrequently sell standard products with observable standalone sales. In cases where we do, the observable standalone sales are used to determine the standalone selling price. More frequently, we sell a customized customer specific solution, and in these cases we typically use the expected cost plus a margin approach to estimate the standalone selling price of each performance obligation.

We account for a contract when it has approval and commitment from both parties, the rights of the parties are identified, payment terms are identified, the contract has commercial substance and collectability of consideration is probable. For certain contracts that meet the foregoing requirements, primarily international direct commercial sale contracts, we are required to obtain certain regulatory approvals. In these cases, we recognize revenue based on the likelihood of obtaining regulatory approvals based upon all known facts and circumstances.

Table of Contents

We generally recognize revenue over time as we perform because of continuous transfer of control to the customer. For U.S. government contracts, this continuous transfer of control to the customer is supported by clauses in the contract that allow the customer to unilaterally terminate the contract for convenience, pay us for costs incurred plus a reasonable profit and take control of any work in process. Similarly, for non-U.S. government contracts, the customer typically controls the work in process as evidenced either by contractual termination clauses or by our rights to payment for work performed to date plus a reasonable profit to deliver products or services that do not have an alternative use to the Company.

Because of control transferring over time, revenue is recognized based on the extent of progress towards completion of the performance obligation. The selection of the method to measure progress towards completion requires judgment and is based on the nature of the products or services to be provided. We generally use the cost-to-cost measure of progress for our contracts because it best depicts the transfer of control to the customer which occurs as we incur costs on our contracts. Under the cost-to-cost measure of progress, the extent of progress towards completion is measured based on the ratio of costs incurred to date to the total estimated costs at completion of the performance obligation. Revenues, including estimated fees or profits, are recorded proportionally as costs are incurred. Costs to fulfill include labor, materials and subcontractors' costs, other direct costs and an allocation of indirect costs including pension and any other postretirement benefit (PRB) expense under U.S. government Cost Accounting Standards (CAS).

Due to the nature of the work required to be performed on many of our performance obligations, the estimation of total revenue and cost at completion (the process described below in more detail) is complex, subject to many variables and requires significant judgment. It is common for our long-term contracts to contain award fees, incentive fees, or other provisions that can either increase or decrease the transaction price. These variable amounts generally are awarded upon achievement of certain performance metrics, program milestones or cost targets and can be based upon customer discretion. We estimate variable consideration at the most likely amount to which we expect to be entitled. We include estimated amounts in the transaction price to the extent it is probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is resolved. Our estimates of variable consideration and determination of whether to include estimated amounts in the transaction price are based largely on an assessment of our anticipated performance and all information (historical, current and forecasted) that is reasonably available to us.

Contracts are often modified to account for changes in contract specifications and requirements. We consider contract modifications to exist when the modification either creates new or changes the existing enforceable rights and obligations. Most of our contract modifications are for goods or services that are not distinct from the existing contract due to the significant integration service provided in the context of the contract and are accounted for as if they were part of that existing contract. The effect of a contract modification on the transaction price and our measure of progress for the performance obligation to which it relates, is recognized as an adjustment to revenue (either as an increase in or a reduction of revenue) on a cumulative catch-up basis.

We have a companywide standard and disciplined quarterly Estimate at Completion (EAC) process in which management reviews the progress and execution of our performance obligations. As part of this process, management reviews information including, but not limited to, any outstanding key contract matters, progress towards completion and the related program schedule, identified risks and opportunities and the related changes in estimates of revenues and costs. The risks and opportunities include management's judgment about the ability and cost to achieve the schedule (e.g., the number and type of milestone events), technical requirements (e.g., a newly-developed product versus a mature product) and other contract requirements. Management must make assumptions and estimates regarding labor productivity and availability, the complexity of the work to be performed, the availability of materials, the length of time to complete the performance obligation (e.g., to estimate increases in wages and prices for materials and related support cost allocations), execution by our subcontractors, the availability and timing of funding from our customer and overhead cost rates, among other variables. These estimates also include the estimated cost of satisfying

our industrial cooperation agreements, sometimes referred to as offset obligations, required under certain contracts.

Based on this analysis, any quarterly adjustments to net sales, cost of sales and the related impact to operating income are recognized as necessary in the period they become known. These adjustments may result from positive program performance, and may result in an increase in operating income during the performance of individual performance obligations, if we determine we will be successful in mitigating risks surrounding the technical, schedule and cost aspects of those performance obligations or realizing related opportunities. Likewise, these adjustments may result in a decrease in operating income if we determine we will not be successful in mitigating these risks or realizing related opportunities. Changes in estimates of net sales, cost of sales and the related impact to operating income are recognized quarterly on a cumulative catch-up basis, which recognizes in the current period the cumulative effect of the changes on current and prior periods based on a performance obligation's percentage of completion. A significant change in one or more of these estimates could affect the profitability of one or more of our performance obligations. When estimates of total costs to be incurred exceed total estimates of revenue to be earned, on a performance obligation related to complex aerospace or defense equipment or related services, or product maintenance or separately priced extended warranty, a provision for the entire loss on the performance obligation is recognized in the period the loss is recorded.

Table of Contents

Net EAC adjustments had the following impact on our operating results:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
(In millions, except per share amounts)				
Operating income	\$149	\$114	\$315	\$269
Income from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company	97	74	205	187
Diluted earnings per share (EPS) from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company	\$0.33	\$0.25	\$0.70	\$0.63

In addition, net revenue recognized from our performance obligations satisfied in previous periods was \$184 million and \$125 million in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$398 million and \$317 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively. This primarily relates to EAC adjustments that impacted revenue.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As described in our "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" on page 3 of this Form 10-Q, our interim period results of operations and period-to-period comparisons of such results, particularly at a segment level, may not be indicative of our future operating results. Additionally, we use a fiscal calendar, which may result in differences in the number of work days in the current and comparable prior interim period and could affect period-to-period comparisons. The following discussions of comparative results among periods, including the discussion of segment results, should be viewed in this context.

Total Net Sales

The composition of external net sales by products and services for each segment in the third quarter and first nine months of 2017 was approximately the following:

(% of segment total external net sales)	IDS	IIS	MS	SAS	Forcepoint
Products	90 %	45 %	95 %	100 %	90 %
Services	10 %	55 %	5 %	— %	10 %

	Three Months Ended		% of Total Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
(In millions, except percentages)				
Net sales				
Products	\$5,305	\$5,061	84.4 %	84.2 %
Services	979	953	15.6 %	15.8 %
Total net sales	\$6,284	\$6,014	100.0 %	100.0 %

Total Net Sales - Third Quarter of 2017 vs. Third Quarter of 2016—The increase in total net sales of \$270 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher external net sales of \$173 million at MS primarily due to higher net sales on the Paveway™ program driven by reductions of expected costs to fulfill industrial cooperation agreements and higher net sales on the Excalibur® program due to the recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the third quarter of 2017.

Products and Services Net Sales - Third Quarter of 2017 vs. Third Quarter of 2016—The increase in products net sales of \$244 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher external products net sales of \$150 million at MS primarily due to the programs described above in Total Net Sales. The increase in services net sales of \$26 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher external services net sales of \$23 million at MS spread across numerous programs with no

individual or common significant driver.

(In millions, except percentages)	Nine Months Ended		% of Total Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	Net sales			
Products	\$15,656	\$14,990	84.3 %	84.0 %
Services	2,909	2,855	15.7 %	16.0 %
Total net sales	\$18,565	\$17,845	100.0%	100.0%

Total Net Sales - First Nine Months of 2017 vs. First Nine Months of 2016—The increase in total net sales of \$720 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to higher external net sales of \$404 million

Table of Contents

at MS and higher external net sales of \$189 million at IDS. The increase in external net sales at MS was primarily due to higher net sales on the Paveway program principally driven by international requirements, higher net sales on the Standard Missile-3 (SM-3®) program principally driven by planned increases in production, higher net sales on the Excalibur program due to recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the third quarter of 2017, higher net sales on the Standard Missile-2 (SM-2) program due to the recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the second quarter of 2017 and planned increases in production, and higher net sales on an international missile defense program due to planned increases in production, partially offset by lower net sales on the Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM®) program driven by the recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the first quarter of 2016 and lower net sales on the Exoatmospheric Kill Vehicle (EKV) program due to a planned decline in production. The increase in external net sales at IDS was primarily due to higher net sales on an international early warning radar program awarded in the first quarter of 2017 and on an international Patriot™ program driven by an award in the fourth quarter of 2016, partially offset by lower sales on an international air and missile defense system program due to the scheduled completion of certain production phases of the program.

Products and Services Net Sales - First Nine Months of 2017 vs. First Nine Months of 2016—The increase in products net sales of \$666 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to higher external products net sales of \$336 million at MS and \$224 million at IDS both primarily due to the programs described above in Total Net Sales. Services net sales in the first nine months of 2017 were relatively consistent with the first nine months of 2016.

Sales to Major Customers - Third Quarter of 2017 vs. Third Quarter of 2016 and First Nine Months of 2017 vs. First Nine Months of 2016

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months		% of Total	
	Ended		Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$4,190	\$4,002	67 %	67 %
U.S. direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales	95	99	2 %	1 %
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government	787	763	12 %	13 %
Foreign direct commercial sales and other foreign sales ⁽¹⁾	1,212	1,150	19 %	19 %
Total net sales	\$6,284	\$6,014	100 %	100 %

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

(2) Includes sales to the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) of \$4,035 million, or 64% of total net sales, in the third quarter of 2017 and \$3,848 million, or 64% of total net sales, in the third quarter of 2016.

(In millions, except percentages)	Nine Months		% of Total	
	Ended		Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Sales to the U.S. government ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$12,367	\$11,907	67 %	67 %
U.S. direct commercial sales and other U.S. sales	283	325	1 %	2 %
Foreign military sales through the U.S. government	2,390	2,181	13 %	12 %
Foreign direct commercial sales and other foreign sales ⁽¹⁾	3,525	3,432	19 %	19 %
Total net sales	\$18,565	\$17,845	100 %	100 %

(1) Excludes foreign military sales through the U.S. government.

(2) Includes sales to the U.S. DoD of \$11,841 million, or 64% of total net sales, in the first nine months of 2017 and \$11,352 million, or 64% of total net sales, in the first nine months of 2016.

Total Cost of Sales

Cost of sales, for both products and services, consists of labor, materials and subcontractors costs, as well as related allocated costs. For each of our contracts, we manage the nature and amount of direct costs at the contract level, and manage indirect costs through cost pools as required by government accounting regulations. The estimate of the actual amount of direct and indirect costs forms the basis for estimating our total costs at completion of the contract.

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months		% of Total	
	Ended		Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Cost of sales				
Products	\$3,872	\$3,705	61.6%	61.6%
Services	818	769	13.0%	12.8%
Total cost of sales	\$4,690	\$4,474	74.6%	74.4%

Table of Contents

Total Cost of Sales - Third Quarter of 2017 vs. Third Quarter of 2016—The increase in total cost of sales of \$216 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher external cost of sales at MS primarily due to the programs discussed above in Total Net Sales.

Products and Services Cost of Sales - Third Quarter of 2017 vs. Third Quarter of 2016—The increase in products cost of sales of \$167 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher external products cost of sales at MS principally due to the programs described above in Total Net Sales. The increase in services cost of sales of \$49 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher external services cost of sales at IIS driven principally by higher external service costs of sales on a classified contract due to increased scope on a follow-on contract and higher external service costs of sales on a classified contract awarded in the third quarter of 2017.

(In millions, except percentages)	Nine Months Ended		% of Total Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	Cost of sales			
Products	\$11,531	\$10,948	62.1 %	61.4 %
Services	2,374	2,329	12.8 %	13.1 %
Total cost of sales	\$13,905	\$13,277	74.9 %	74.5 %

Total Cost of Sales - First Nine Months of 2017 vs. First Nine Months of 2016—The increase in total cost of sales of \$628 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to higher external cost of sales at MS and IDS. The increase in external cost of sales at MS was primarily due to the programs described above in Total Net Sales with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The increase in external cost of sales at IDS was driven principally by the tax-free gain of \$158 million from the sale of our equity method investment in Thales-Raytheon Systems Company S.A.S. (TRS SAS) in the second quarter of 2016.

Products and Services Cost of Sales - First Nine Months of 2017 vs. First Nine Months of 2016—The increase in products cost of sales of \$583 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to higher external products cost of sales at MS and IDS. The increase in external products cost of sales at MS was primarily due to the programs described above in Total Net Sales with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The increase in external products cost of sales at IDS was principally driven by the tax-free gain of \$158 million from the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS in the second quarter of 2016. Services cost of sales in the first nine months of 2017 were relatively consistent with the first nine months of 2016.

General and Administrative Expenses

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended		% of Total Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	Administrative and selling expenses	\$549	\$531	8.7 %
Research and development expenses	187	179	3.0 %	3.0 %
Total general and administrative expenses	\$736	\$710	11.7 %	11.8 %

The increase in administrative and selling expenses of \$18 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to an increase in selling and marketing expenses of \$17 million principally driven

by increased selling and marketing expense of \$16 million at Forcepoint, primarily driven by higher costs for the sales organization due to increased salesforce staffing and higher amortization of deferred commissions.

Included in administrative and selling expenses is the provision for state income taxes, which generally can be recovered through the pricing of products and services to the U.S. government. Net state income taxes allocated to our contracts were \$9 million and \$8 million in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Research and development expenses in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016 in amount and as a percentage of total net sales.

Table of Contents

(In millions, except percentages)	Nine Months Ended		% of Total Net Sales	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	Administrative and selling expenses	\$1,654	\$1,594	8.9 %
Research and development expenses	558	559	3.0 %	3.1 %
Total general and administrative expenses	\$2,212	\$2,153	11.9 %	12.1 %

The increase in administrative and selling expenses of \$60 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to an increase in selling and marketing expenses of \$46 million principally driven by increased selling and marketing expense of \$34 million at Forcepoint, primarily driven by higher costs for the sales organization due to increased salesforce staffing and higher amortization of deferred commissions.

Net state income taxes allocated to our contracts were \$22 million and \$19 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Research and development expenses in the first nine months of 2017 were relatively consistent with the first nine months of 2016 in amount and as a percentage of total net sales. Included in the change in research and development expenses was lower research and development expenses of \$12 million at MS driven principally by lower independent research and development activity related to advanced technologies efforts that substantially completed in 2016 and higher research and development expenses of \$9 million at Forcepoint driven principally by the Skyfence acquisition in the first quarter of 2017.

Total Operating Expenses

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	Total operating expenses	\$5,426	\$5,184	\$16,117
% of Total Net Sales	86.3 %	86.2 %	86.8 %	86.5 %

The increase in total operating expenses of \$242 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the increase in total cost of sales of \$216 million, the primary drivers of which are described above in Total Cost of Sales.

The increase in total operating expenses of \$687 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was due to the increase in total cost of sales of \$628 million, the primary drivers of which are described above in Total Cost of Sales.

Operating Income

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	Operating income	\$858	\$830	\$2,448
% of Total Net Sales	13.7 %	13.8 %	13.2 %	13.5 %

The increase in operating income of \$28 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was due to the increase in total net sales of \$270 million, the primary drivers of which are described above in Total Net

Sales, partially offset by the increase in total operating expenses of \$242 million, the primary drivers of which are described above in Total Operating Expenses.

The increase in operating income of \$33 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was due to the increase in total net sales of \$720 million, the primary drivers of which are described above in Total Net Sales, partially offset by the increase in total operating expenses of \$687 million, the primary drivers of which are described above in Total Operating Expenses. Included in Operating Income in the first nine months of 2016 was the tax-free gain of \$158 million from the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS in the second quarter of 2016.

Table of Contents

Total Non-Operating (Income) Expense, Net

(In millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Non-operating (income) expense, net				
Interest expense	\$48	\$58	\$157	\$174
Interest income	(4)	(4)	(14)	(12)
Other (income) expense, net	(2)	(4)	26	(7)
Total non-operating (income) expense, net	\$42	\$50	\$169	\$155

The decrease in total non-operating (income) expense, net of \$8 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to a decrease in interest expense of \$10 million due to the repurchase of long-term debt in the second quarter of 2017.

The increase in total non-operating (income) expense, net of \$14 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the \$39 million pretax charge associated with the make-whole provision on the early repurchase of long-term debt in the second quarter of 2017, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense of \$17 million due to the repurchase of long-term debt and a \$7 million change in the mark-to-market of marketable securities held in trust associated with certain of our nonqualified deferred compensation and employee benefit plans, due to net gains of \$16 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to net gains of \$9 million in the first nine months of 2016.

Federal and Foreign Income Taxes

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Federal and foreign income taxes	\$248	\$239	\$667	\$601
Effective tax rate	30.4 %	30.6 %	29.3 %	26.6 %

Our effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2017 was 30.4% compared to 30.6% in the third quarter of 2016. The decrease of 0.2% was composed of various items which individually or collectively are not significant.

Our effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2017 was 4.6% lower than the statutory federal rate primarily due to the domestic manufacturing deduction, which decreased the rate by 2.5%, and the research and development (R&D) tax credit, which decreased the rate by 1.2%. The remaining decrease of 0.9% is composed of various items which individually or collectively are not significant.

Our effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2016 was 4.4% lower than the statutory federal rate primarily due to the domestic manufacturing deduction, which decreased the rate by 3.2%, and the R&D tax credit, which decreased the rate by 1.2%.

Our effective tax rate in the first nine months of 2017 was 29.3% compared to 26.6% in the first nine months of 2016. The increase of 2.7% was primarily due to the tax-free gain related to the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS in the second quarter of 2016, which decreased the 2016 rate by 2.5%. The remaining increase of 0.2% is composed of various items which individually or collectively are not significant.

Our effective tax rate in the first nine months of 2017 was 5.7% lower than the statutory federal rate primarily due to the domestic manufacturing deduction, which decreased the rate by 2.8%, the tax benefit recognized upon settlement of equity awards, which decreased the rate by 1.7%, and the R&D tax credit, which decreased the rate by 1.3%. The offsetting increase of 0.1% is composed of various items which individually or collectively are not significant.

Our effective tax rate in the first nine months of 2016 was 8.4% lower than the statutory federal rate primarily due to the domestic manufacturing deduction, which decreased the rate by 2.9%, the tax-free gain related to the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS, which decreased the rate by 2.5%, the tax benefit recognized upon settlement of equity awards which decreased the rate by 2.1%, and the R&D tax credit, which decreased the rate by 1.2%. The offsetting increase of 0.3% is composed of various items which individually or collectively are not significant.

Table of Contents

Income from Continuing Operations

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Income from continuing operations	\$568	\$541	\$1,612	\$1,659

The increase in income from continuing operations of \$27 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to an increase of \$28 million in operating income, the primary drivers of which are described above in Operating Income.

The decrease in income from continuing operations of \$47 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to an increase of \$66 million in federal and foreign income taxes principally driven by the tax-free gain of \$158 million related to the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS in the second quarter of 2016, partially offset by an increase of \$33 million in operating income, the primary drivers of which are described above in Operating Income.

Net Income

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net income	\$567	\$542	\$1,614	\$1,660

The increase in net income of \$25 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the \$27 million increase in income from continuing operations, the primary drivers of which are described above in Income from Continuing Operations.

The decrease in net income of \$46 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the \$47 million decrease in income from continuing operations, the primary drivers of which are described above in Income from Continuing Operations.

Diluted EPS from Continuing Operations Attributable to Raytheon Company Common Stockholders

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions, except per share amounts)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Income from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company	\$573	\$543	\$1,629	\$1,688
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	291.0	295.5	291.9	297.5
Diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company	\$1.97	\$1.84	\$5.59	\$5.67

The increase in diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders of \$0.13 in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the increase in income from continuing operations described above in Income from Continuing Operations and a decrease in weighted-average shares outstanding, which was affected by the common stock share activity shown in the table below.

The decrease in diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders of \$0.08 in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the decrease in income from continuing operations described above in Income from Continuing Operations, partially offset by a decrease in weighted-average shares outstanding, which was affected by the common stock share activity shown in the table below.

Our common stock share activity was as follows:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Beginning balance	290.1	295.1	292.8	299.0
Stock plans activity	—	—	1.1	1.6
Share repurchases	(1.1)	(1.5)	(4.9)	(7.0)
Ending balance	289.0	293.6	289.0	293.6

Table of Contents

Diluted EPS Attributable to Raytheon Company Common Stockholders	Three		Nine Months	
	Months		Months	
(In millions, except per share amounts)	Ended		Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net income attributable to Raytheon Company	\$572	\$544	\$1,631	\$1,689
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	291.0	295.5	291.9	297.5
Diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company	\$1.97	\$1.84	\$5.60	\$5.68

The increase in diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders of \$0.13 in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the \$0.13 increase in diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders described above in Diluted EPS from Continuing Operations Attributable to Raytheon Company Common Stockholders.

The decrease in diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders of \$0.08 in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the \$0.08 decrease in diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders described above in Diluted EPS from Continuing Operations Attributable to Raytheon Company Common Stockholders.

SEGMENT RESULTS

We report our results in the following segments: Integrated Defense Systems (IDS); Intelligence, Information and Services (IIS); Missile Systems (MS); Space and Airborne Systems (SAS); and Forcepoint.

As previously announced, effective January 1, 2017, we elected to early adopt the requirements of Topic 606 using the full retrospective method as discussed in "Note 2: Accounting Standards" within Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. The amounts and presentation of our business segments, including corporate and eliminations for intersegment activity, set forth in this Form 10-Q reflect these changes.

The following provides some context for viewing our segment performance through the eyes of management.

Given the nature of our business, bookings, total net sales and operating income (and the related operating margin percentage), which we disclose and discuss at the segment level, are most relevant to an understanding of management's view of our segment performance, and often these measures have significant interrelated effects, as described below. In addition, we disclose and discuss backlog, which represents future sales that we expect to recognize over the remaining contract period, which is generally several years. We also disclose total operating expenses and the components of total operating expenses within our segment disclosures.

Bookings—We disclose the amount of bookings and notable contract awards for each segment. Bookings generally represent the dollar value of new contracts awarded to us during the reporting period and include firm orders for which funding has not been appropriated. We believe bookings are an important measure of future performance and are an indicator of potential future changes in total net sales, because we cannot record revenues under a new contract without first having a booking in the current or a preceding period.

Bookings are impacted by the timing and amounts of awards in a given period, which are subject to numerous factors, including: the desired capability by the customer and urgency of customer needs; customer budgets and other fiscal constraints; political and economic and other environmental factors; the timing of customer negotiations; the timing of governmental approvals and notifications; and the timing of option exercises or increases in scope. In addition, due to these factors, quarterly bookings tend to fluctuate from period to period, particularly on a segment basis. As a result,

we believe comparing bookings on a quarterly basis or for periods less than one year is less meaningful than for longer periods and that shorter term changes in bookings may not necessarily indicate a material trend.

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended
Bookings (in millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Integrated Defense Systems	\$412	\$1,025	\$3,274	\$3,315
Intelligence, Information and Services	1,910	1,731	5,017	4,583
Missile Systems	2,501	1,932	5,999	5,455
Space and Airborne Systems	1,948	2,060	4,481	6,479
Forcepoint	186	175	406	395
Total	\$6,957	\$6,923	\$19,177	\$20,227

Table of Contents

Included in bookings were international bookings of \$886 million and \$1,427 million in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$5,043 million and \$5,293 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively, which included foreign military bookings through the U.S. government. International bookings amounted to 13% and 21% of total bookings in the third quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively, and 26% of total bookings in both the first nine months of 2017 and 2016.

We record bookings for not-to-exceed contract awards (e.g., undefinitized contract awards, binding letter agreements) based on reasonable estimates of expected contract definitization. We subsequently adjust bookings to reflect the actual amounts definitized, or prior to definitization when facts and circumstances indicate that our previously estimated amounts are no longer reasonable. The timing of awards that may cover multiple fiscal years influences the size of bookings in each year. Bookings exclude unexercised contract options and potential orders under ordering-type contracts (e.g., indefinite-delivery, indefinite-quantity (IDIQ) type contracts), and are reduced for contract cancellations and terminations of bookings recognized in the current year. We reflect contract cancellations and terminations from prior year bookings, as well as the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates, directly as an adjustment to backlog in the period in which the cancellation or termination occurs and the impact is determinable.

Backlog—We disclose period-end backlog for each segment, which is equivalent to our remaining performance obligations. Backlog represents the dollar value of firm orders for which work has not been performed. Backlog generally increases with bookings and generally converts into sales as we incur costs under the related contractual commitments. Therefore, we discuss changes in backlog, including any individually significant cancellations, for each of our segments, as we believe such discussion provides an understanding of the awarded but not executed portions of our contracts. Backlog excludes unexercised contract options and potential orders under ordering-type contracts (e.g., IDIQ). Backlog is affected by changes in foreign exchange rates.

Backlog (in millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Integrated Defense Systems	\$9,089	\$10,159
Intelligence, Information and Services	6,368	5,662
Missile Systems	11,943	11,568
Space and Airborne Systems	8,826	8,834
Forcepoint ⁽¹⁾	450	486
Total	\$36,676	\$36,709

Forcepoint backlog excludes the unfavorable impact of \$17 million and \$45 million at October 1, 2017 and (1) December 31, 2016, respectively, related to the Acquisition Accounting Adjustments to record acquired deferred revenue at fair value.

Total Net Sales—We generally express changes in total net sales in terms of volume. Volume generally refers to increases or decreases in revenues related to varying amounts of total operating expenses, which are comprised of cost of sales and general and administrative expenses, which include administrative and selling expenses (including bid and proposal costs) and research and development expenses, incurred on individual contracts (i.e., from performance against contractual commitments on our bookings related to engineering, production or service activity). Therefore, we discuss volume changes attributable principally to individual programs or product lines unless there is a discrete event (e.g., a major contract termination, natural disaster or major labor strike), or some other unusual item that has a material effect on changes in a segment's volume for a reported period. Due to the nature of our contracts, the amount of costs incurred and related revenues will naturally fluctuate over the lives of our contracts. As a result, in any reporting period, the changes in volume on numerous contracts are likely to be due to normal fluctuations in our engineering, production or service activities.

Table of Contents

Total net sales by segment were as follows:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended		Ended	
Total Net Sales (in millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Integrated Defense Systems	\$1,391	\$1,334	\$4,251	\$4,069
Intelligence, Information and Services	1,543	1,534	4,605	4,653
Missile Systems	1,945	1,770	5,602	5,199
Space and Airborne Systems	1,597	1,590	4,760	4,582
Forcepoint	170	167	452	443
Eliminations	(355)	(364)	(1,077)	(1,037)
Total business segment sales	6,291	6,031	18,593	17,909
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	(7)	(17)	(28)	(64)
Total	\$6,284	\$6,014	\$18,565	\$17,845

Total Operating Expenses—We generally disclose operating expenses for each segment in terms of the following: 1) cost of sales—labor; 2) cost of sales—materials and subcontractors; and 3) other costs of sales and other operating expenses. Included in cost of sales—labor is the incurred direct labor costs associated with the performance of contracts in the current period and any applicable overhead and fringe costs. Included in cost of sales—materials and subcontractors is the incurred direct materials costs, subcontractor costs (which could include effort performed by other Raytheon segments or locations) and applicable overhead allocations in the current period. Included in other cost of sales and other operating expenses is other direct costs not captured in labor or material and subcontractor costs, such as precontract costs previously deferred, costs previously deferred into inventory on contracts using commercial or units of delivery accounting, applicable overhead allocations, general and administrative expenses, which include administrative and selling expenses (including bid and proposal costs) and research and development expenses, other direct costs (such as ancillary services and travel expenses) and adjustments for loss contracts.

Operating Income (and the related operating margin percentage)—We generally express changes in segment operating income in terms of volume, net changes in EAC adjustments or changes in contract mix and other program performance.

The impact of changes in volume on operating income excludes the impact of net EAC adjustments and the impact of changes in contract mix and other program performance and is calculated based on changes in costs on individual programs at an overall margin for the segment.

Changes in net EAC adjustments typically relate to the current period impact of revisions to total estimated revenues and costs at completion. These changes reflect improved or deteriorated operating performance or award fee rates. For a full description of our EAC process, refer to Critical Accounting Estimates. Given that we have thousands of individual contracts and the types and complexity of the assumptions and estimates we must make on an on-going basis, we have both favorable and unfavorable EAC adjustments. We had the following aggregate EAC adjustments for the periods presented:

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended		Ended	
EAC Adjustments (in millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Gross favorable	\$321	\$221	\$786	\$628
Gross unfavorable	(172)	(107)	(471)	(359)
Total net EAC adjustments	\$149	\$114	\$315	\$269

Significant EAC adjustments in the third quarters and first nine months of 2017 and 2016 are discussed in the Operating Income and Margin section of each business segment's discussion below. The increase in net EAC adjustments of \$35 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the increase in net EAC adjustments at MS. The increase in net EAC adjustments of \$46 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to increases in net EAC adjustments at IDS and MS partially offset by the decrease in net EAC adjustments at SAS. Refer to the individual segment results for further information.

Changes in contract mix and other program performance refer to changes in operating margin due to a change in the relative volume of contracts with higher or lower fee rates such that the overall average margin rate for the segment changes, and other drivers of program performance including margin rate increases or decreases due to EAC adjustments in prior periods. A higher or lower expected fee rate at the initial award of a contract typically correlates to the contract's risk profile, which is often specifically driven by the type of customer and related procurement regulations, the type of contract (e.g., fixed-price vs. cost-plus), the maturity

Table of Contents

of the product or service and the scope of work. Changes in contract mix and other performance also include all other items which are not related to volume or EAC adjustments.

Because each segment has thousands of contracts in any reporting period, changes in operating income and margin are likely to be due to normal changes in volume, net EAC adjustments, and contract mix and other performance on many contracts with no single change, or series of related changes, materially driving a segment's change in operating income or operating margin percentage.

Operating income by segment was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Operating Income (in millions)				
Integrated Defense Systems	\$231	\$211	\$688	\$733
Intelligence, Information and Services	112	123	338	347
Missile Systems	280	235	732	660
Space and Airborne Systems	212	215	620	587
Forcepoint	23	41	41	69
Eliminations	(39)	(42)	(113)	(109)
Total business segment operating income	819	783	2,306	2,287
Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	(39)	(46)	(123)	(155)
FAS/CAS Adjustment	78	104	295	318
Corporate	—	(11)	(30)	(35)
Total	\$858	\$830	\$2,448	\$2,415

Integrated Defense Systems

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change
Total net sales	\$1,391	\$1,334	4.3 %	\$4,251	\$4,069	4.5 %
Total operating expenses						
Cost of sales—labor	532	487	9.2 %	1,597	1,494	6.9 %
Cost of sales—materials and subcontractors	420	436	(3.7)%	1,304	1,371	(4.9)%
Other cost of sales and other operating expenses	208	200	4.0 %	662	471	40.6 %
Total operating expenses	1,160	1,123	3.3 %	3,563	3,336	6.8 %
Operating income	\$231	\$211	9.5 %	\$688	\$733	(6.1)%
Operating margin	16.6 %	15.8 %		16.2 %	18.0 %	

Change in Operating Income (in millions)	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	Oct 1, 2017 Versus Three Months Ended Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017 Versus Nine Months Ended Oct 2, 2016
Volume	\$6	\$11
Net change in EAC adjustments	12	57
Mix and other performance	2	(113)
Total change in operating income	\$20	\$(45)

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	% Change	% Change

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016		Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	
Bookings	\$412	\$1,025	(59.8)%	\$3,274	\$3,315	(1.2)%

Total Net Sales—The increase in total net sales of \$57 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to higher net sales of \$64 million on an international early warning radar program awarded in the first quarter of 2017 and \$28 million on an international Patriot program driven by an award in the fourth quarter of 2016, partially offset by

Table of Contents

lower sales of \$38 million on an international air and missile defense system program due to the scheduled completion of certain production phases of the program.

The increase in total net sales of \$182 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to higher net sales of \$174 million on an international early warning radar program awarded in the first quarter of 2017 and \$88 million on an international Patriot program driven by an award in the fourth quarter of 2016, partially offset by lower sales of \$93 million on an international air and missile defense system program due to the scheduled completion of certain production phases of the program.

Total Operating Expenses—The increase in total operating expenses of \$37 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to an increase in labor costs of \$45 million, approximately half of which was due to activity on the international early warning radar program described above in Total Net Sales, with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver.

The increase in total operating expenses of \$227 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was due to an increase in other cost of sales and other operating expenses of \$191 million and an increase in labor costs of \$103 million. The increase in other cost of sales and other operating expenses was principally driven by the tax-free gain of \$158 million from the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS in the second quarter of 2016. The increase in labor costs was primarily due to activity on the international early warning radar program described above in Total Net Sales.

Operating Income and Margin—The increase in operating income of \$20 million and the related increase in operating margin in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to a net change in EAC adjustments of \$12 million primarily driven by labor and material production efficiencies on integrated air and missile defense programs. Included in the change in mix and other performance was an \$8 million gain on a real estate transaction in the third quarter of 2017 and a \$7 million gain on a real estate transaction in the third quarter of 2016.

The decrease in operating income of \$45 million and the related decrease in operating margin in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to a change in mix and other performance of \$113 million, partially offset by a net change in EAC adjustments of \$57 million. The change in mix and other performance was driven principally by the tax-free gain of \$158 million from the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS in the second quarter of 2016, partially offset by higher sales on the international Patriot program described above in Total Net Sales. Also included in the change in mix and other performance was an \$8 million gain on a real estate transaction in the third quarter of 2017 and a \$7 million gain on a real estate transaction in the third quarter of 2016. The net change in EAC adjustments was driven principally by an unfavorable profit adjustment of \$36 million in the first quarter of 2016 on an international command and control program driven by costs to replace or repair shelters which the subcontractor refused to remedy resulting in the subcontractor being terminated.

Backlog and Bookings—Backlog was \$9,089 million at October 1, 2017, compared to \$10,159 million at December 31, 2016. The decrease in backlog of \$1,070 million or 11% at October 1, 2017, compared to December 31, 2016 was primarily due to net sales in excess of bookings at our International Air and Missile Defense product line. Bookings decreased by \$613 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016. In the third quarter of 2016, IDS booked \$265 million to provide advanced Patriot air and missile defense capabilities for an international customer and \$92 million for the Engineering and Manufacturing Development phase on the competitively awarded Enterprise Air Surveillance Radar (EASR) program for the U.S. Navy.

Bookings decreased by \$41 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016. In the first nine months of 2017, IDS booked \$1,003 million for the Upgraded Early Warning Radar (UEWR) system for

Qatar, \$414 million on the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) program for the U.S. Navy, \$256 million to provide Patriot engineering services support for U.S. and international customers and \$180 million on the Multi-Function RF System (MFRFS) program for the U.S. Army. IDS also booked \$178 million on two international Patriot contracts. In addition to the bookings noted above, in the first nine months of 2016, IDS booked \$487 million to provide advanced Patriot air and missile defense capabilities for Kuwait, \$354 million on the Aegis weapon system for the U.S. Navy and international customers, \$191 million to provide Patriot engineering services support for U.S. and international customers, \$117 million for in-service support for the Collins class submarine for the Royal Australian Navy and \$84 million to provide advanced Patriot air and missile defense capability for the U.S. Army. IDS also booked \$198 million on a classified program.

Table of Contents

Intelligence, Information and Services

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change
Total net sales	\$1,543	\$1,534	0.6 %	\$4,605	\$4,653	(1.0)%
Total operating expenses						
Cost of sales—labor	663	622	6.6 %	1,970	1,914	2.9 %
Cost of sales—materials and subcontractors	578	581	(0.5)%	1,697	1,797	(5.6)%
Other cost of sales and other operating expenses	190	208	(8.7)%	600	595	0.8 %
Total operating expenses	1,431	1,411	1.4 %	4,267	4,306	(0.9)%
Operating income	\$112	\$123	(8.9)%	\$338	\$347	(2.6)%
Operating margin	7.3 %	8.0 %		7.3 %	7.5 %	
Change in Operating Income (in millions)	Three Months Ended Oct 1, 2017 Versus Three Months Ended Oct 2, 2016			Nine Months Ended Oct 1, 2017 Versus Nine Months Ended Oct 2, 2016		
Volume	\$1			\$(4)		
Net change in EAC adjustments	—			(4)		
Mix and other performance	(12)			(1)		
Total change in operating income	\$(11)			\$(9)		

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change
Bookings	\$1,910	\$1,731	10.3 %	\$5,017	\$4,583	9.5 %

Total Net Sales—Total net sales in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016.

Total net sales in the first nine months of 2017 were relatively consistent with the first nine months of 2016. Included in the change in total net sales was lower net sales of \$54 million on a program for the U.S. Army which substantially completed in 2016, lower net sales of \$45 million on a classified program for an international customer, which substantially completed in 2016, higher net sales of \$62 million on a U.S. Air Force program due to increased contract activities and higher net sales of \$57 million on programs in support of the U.S. Army's Warfighter FOCUS activities driven principally by customer determined activity levels.

Total Operating Expenses—Total operating expenses in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016. The increase in labor costs of \$41 million was spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The decrease in other cost of sales and other operating expenses of \$18 million was primarily due to the timing of costs applied to contracts through rates.

Total operating expenses in the first nine months of 2017 were relatively consistent with the first nine months of 2016. Over half of the decrease in material and subcontractors costs of \$100 million was driven principally by activity on the program for the U.S. Army and on the classified program for an international customer, both described above in Total Net Sales. This was partially offset by activity on the programs in support of the U.S. Army's Warfighter FOCUS activities described above in Total Net Sales, with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver.

Operating Income and Margin—The decrease in operating income of \$11 million and the related decrease in operating margin in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to a change in mix and other performance of \$12 million approximately half of which was due to a \$6 million gain related to the termination

and expected cost recovery of a pension plan for one of our joint ventures in the third quarter of 2016, with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. Also included in mix and other performance in the third quarter of 2016 was a \$2 million gain on a real estate transaction.

The decrease in operating income of \$9 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to a net change in EAC adjustments of \$4 million and a decrease in volume of \$4 million. The net change in EAC adjustments was primarily driven by higher than expected development costs of \$13 million for a classified program for an international customer, partially offset by lower adjustments for a munitions release capability program for the U.S. Air Force.

Table of Contents

The decrease in volume was spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. Included in mix and other performance in the first nine months of 2017 was a \$2 million gain on a real estate transaction in the first quarter of 2017. Included in mix and other performance in the first nine months of 2016 was a \$3 million net gain related to the termination and expected cost recovery for a pension plan in one of our joint ventures and a \$2 million gain on a real estate transaction in the third quarter of 2016. The decrease in operating margin in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the net change in EAC adjustments.

Backlog and Bookings—Backlog was \$6,368 million at October 1, 2017, compared to \$5,662 million at December 31, 2016. The increase in backlog of \$706 million or 12% at October 1, 2017, compared to December 31, 2016 was primarily due to the classified bookings and the U.S. Air Force program bookings described below. Bookings increased by \$179 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016. In the third quarter of 2017, IIS booked \$309 million on domestic training programs and \$160 million on foreign training programs in support of Warfighter FOCUS activities and \$104 million to provide intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) support for the U.S. Air Force. IIS also booked \$686 million on a number of classified contracts, including \$220 million on a multi-year award for a classified customer. In the third quarter of 2016, IIS booked \$255 million on the Joint Precision Approach and Landing System (JPALS) program for the U.S. Navy program, \$241 million on domestic training programs and \$45 million on foreign training programs in support of Warfighter FOCUS activities, \$107 million to provide intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) support for the U.S. Air Force, and \$101 million to provide a common ground station for unmanned vehicles for the U.S. Air Force. IIS also booked \$435 million on a number of classified contracts.

Bookings increased by \$434 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016. In addition to the bookings above, in the first nine months of 2017, IIS booked approximately \$1.3 billion on U.S. Air Force programs and \$339 million on domestic training programs and \$101 million on foreign training programs in support of Warfighter FOCUS activities. IIS also booked \$945 million on a number of classified contracts, including \$228 million on a multi-year award for a classified customer. In addition to the bookings above, in the first nine months of 2016, IIS booked \$479 million on domestic training programs and \$173 million on foreign training programs in support of Warfighter FOCUS activities. IIS also booked \$301 million for a U.S. Air Force program and \$1,038 million on a number of classified contracts.

Missile Systems

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change		Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	
Total net sales	\$1,945	\$1,770	9.9	%	\$5,602	\$5,199	7.8	%
Total operating expenses								
Cost of sales—labor	596	528	12.9	%	1,706	1,582	7.8	%
Cost of sales—materials and subcontractors	787	770	2.2	%	2,413	2,123	13.7	%
Other cost of sales and other operating expenses	282	237	19.0	%	751	834	(10.0)	%
Total operating expenses	1,665	1,535	8.5	%	4,870	4,539	7.3	%
Operating income	\$280	\$235	19.1	%	\$732	\$660	10.9	%
Operating margin	14.4	% 13.3	%		13.1	% 12.7	%	
Change in Operating Income (in millions)	Three Months Ended Oct 1, 2017 Versus Three Months Ended Oct 2, 2016				Nine Months Ended Oct 1, 2017 Versus Nine Months Ended Oct 2, 2016			
Volume	\$18				\$46			
Net change in EAC adjustments	25				23			

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

Mix and other performance	2	3
Total change in operating income	\$45	\$72

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change
Bookings	\$2,501	\$1,932	29.5 %	\$5,999	\$5,455	10.0 %

Table of Contents

Total Net Sales—The increase in total net sales of \$175 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to \$85 million of higher net sales on the Paveway program driven by reductions of expected costs to fulfill industrial cooperation agreements and \$60 million of higher net sales on the Excalibur program due to the recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the third quarter of 2017.

The increase in total net sales of \$403 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to \$161 million of higher net sales on the Paveway program principally driven by international requirements, \$108 million of higher net sales on the SM-3 program principally driven by planned increases in production, \$76 million of higher net sales on the Excalibur program due to recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the third quarter of 2017, \$74 million of higher net sales on the SM-2 program due to the recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the second quarter of 2017 and planned increases in production, and \$72 million of higher net sales on an international missile defense program due to planned increases in production, partially offset by \$150 million of lower net sales on the AMRAAM program driven by the recognition of previously deferred precontract costs based on a contract award in the first quarter of 2016 and \$101 million of lower net sales on the EKV program due to a planned decline in production.

Total Operating Expenses—The increase in total operating expenses of \$130 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to an increase in labor costs of \$68 million and an increase in other cost of sales and other operating expenses of \$45 million. The increase in labor costs was spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The increase in other cost of sales and other operating expenses was driven principally by international intercompany activity and the timing of costs applied to contracts through rates.

The increase in total operating expenses of \$331 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to an increase in materials and subcontractors costs of \$290 million and an increase in labor costs of \$124 million, partially offset by a decrease in other cost of sales and other operating expenses of \$83 million. The increase in materials and subcontractors costs was driven by activity on the Paveway and international missile defense programs described above in Total Net Sales and activity on the Phalanx® program due to program requirements. Included in the change in labor costs was lower activity on the EKV program described above in Total Net Sales and higher activity on the SM-3 program described above in Total Net Sales, with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The decrease in other cost of sales and other operating expenses was driven principally by the amount of previously deferred precontract costs based on contract awards, which had an impact of \$57 million.

Operating Income and Margin—The increase of \$45 million in operating income and the related increase in operating margin in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was due to a net change in EAC adjustments of \$25 million primarily driven by reductions of expected costs to fulfill industrial cooperation agreements for an international customer resulting in adjustments of \$37 million and \$36 million on two contracts due to a favorable change in requirements in the third quarter of 2017, partially offset by an unfavorable \$40 million adjustment on a \$1.4 billion contract, driven by the final contract modification in the third quarter of 2017 which was less than we anticipated based upon the previous contract price negotiations.

The increase in operating income of \$72 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was due to an increase in volume of \$46 million and a net change in EAC adjustments of \$23 million. The increase in volume was primarily due to activity on the programs described above in Total Net Sales with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The net change in EAC adjustments in the first nine months of 2017 was driven by reductions of expected costs to fulfill industrial

cooperation agreements for an international customer resulting in adjustments of \$37 million and \$36 million on two contracts due to a favorable change in requirements in the third quarter of 2017, partially offset by an unfavorable \$40 million adjustment on a \$1.4 billion contract, driven by the final contract modification in the third quarter of 2017 which was less than we anticipated based upon the previous contract price negotiations. The increase in operating margin in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily driven by the net change in EAC adjustments.

Backlog and Bookings—Backlog was \$11,943 million at October 1, 2017, compared to \$11,568 million at December 31, 2016. The increase in backlog of \$375 million or 3% at October 1, 2017, compared to December 31, 2016 was primarily due to bookings in excess of sales within our Advanced Missile Systems and Land Warfare Systems product lines, partially offset by sales in excess of bookings within the Air Warfare Systems product line. Bookings increased by \$569 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016. In the third quarter of 2017, MS booked \$492 million for the Redesigned Kill Vehicle (RKV) program for the Missile Defense Agency (MDA), \$348 million for Tube-launched, Optically-tracked, Wireless-guided (TOW®) missiles for the U.S. Army, U.S. Marine Corps and international customers, \$206 million for Paveway for the U.S. Air Force and international customers, \$145 million for Tomahawk for the U.S. Navy, \$136 million for Excalibur for the U.S. Army, \$102 million for SM-3 for the MDA, \$91 million for Javelin for the U.S. Army and international customers, and \$79 million for Horizontal Technology Integration (HTI) forward-looking infrared kits for the U.S. Army and an international customer. MS also booked \$427 million

Table of Contents

on classified contracts. In the third quarter of 2016, MS booked \$538 million for SM-3 for the MDA and an international customer, \$376 million for Phalanx weapon systems for the U.S. Navy and international customers and \$176 million for TOW missiles for the U.S. Army, U.S. Marine Corps and international customers.

Bookings increased by \$544 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016. In addition to the bookings above, in the first nine months of 2017, MS booked \$849 million for Paveway for international customers, \$619 million for SM-2 for the U.S. Navy and international customers, \$436 million for SM-3 for the MDA, \$316 million for AIM-9X Sidewinder™ short-range air-to-air missiles, \$116 million for the Long Range Precision Fires (LRPF) Missile system for the U.S. Army and \$90 million for AMRAAM. MS also booked \$290 million on classified contracts. In addition to the bookings above, in the first nine months of 2016, MS booked \$755 million for AMRAAM for the U.S. Air Force, U.S. Navy and international customers, \$517 million for Paveway for the U.S. Air Force and international customers, \$297 million for AIM-9X Sidewinder short-range air-to-air missiles for the U.S. Navy, U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army and international customers, \$272 million for Standard Missile-6 (SM-6®) for the U.S. Navy, \$217 million for SM-3 for the MDA and an international customer, \$186 million for the Woomera Mobile Range Upgrade program for the Royal Australian Air Force, \$122 million for the Miniature Air Launched Decoy (MALD®) for the U.S. Air Force and \$118 million for Evolved SeaSparrow Missiles (ESSM®) for the U.S. Navy and international customers. MS also booked \$149 million on a number of classified contracts.

Space and Airborne Systems

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change
Total net sales	\$1,597	\$1,590	0.4 %	\$4,760	\$4,582	3.9 %
Total operating expenses						
Cost of sales—labor	666	641	3.9 %	1,991	1,866	6.7 %
Cost of sales—materials and subcontractors	460	495	(7.1)%	1,380	1,349	2.3 %
Other cost of sales and other operating expenses	259	239	8.4 %	769	780	(1.4)%
Total operating expenses	1,385	1,375	0.7 %	4,140	3,995	3.6 %
Operating income	\$212	\$215	(1.4)%	\$620	\$587	5.6 %
Operating margin	13.3 %	13.5 %		13.0 %	12.8 %	

Change in Operating Income (in millions)	Three Months Ended Oct 1, 2017 Versus Three Months Ended Oct 2, 2016		Nine Months Ended Oct 1, 2017 Versus Nine Months Ended Oct 2, 2016	
	Volume	\$1		\$21
Net change in EAC adjustments	(2)		(30)	
Mix and other performance	(2)		42	
Total change in operating income	\$(3)		\$33	

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change
Bookings	\$1,948	\$2,060	(5.4)%	\$4,481	\$6,479	(30.8)%

Total Net Sales—Total net sales in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016. Included in the change in total net sales was lower net sales of \$42 million on an international classified program awarded in the first quarter of 2016 due to planned reduced schedule requirements. The remaining change in total net sales was spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver.

The increase in total net sales of \$178 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to higher net sales of \$79 million on a domestic classified program awarded in the third quarter of 2016 and higher net sales of \$78 million on the Next Generation Jammer (NGJ) program for the U.S. Navy, awarded in the second quarter of 2016, partially offset by lower net sales of \$104 million on an international classified program awarded in the first quarter of 2016 due to planned reduced schedule requirements. The remaining change in total net sales was spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver.

Table of Contents

Total Operating Expenses—Total operating expenses in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016. The increase in other costs of sales and other operating expenses of \$20 million was primarily due the timing of costs applied to contracts through rates with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The decrease in materials and subcontractors costs of \$35 million was primarily due to activity on the international classified program described above in Total Net Sales, partially offset by activity on the NGJ program due to planned increases in scheduled activities.

The increase in total operating expenses of \$145 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to an increase in labor costs of \$125 million primarily driven by activity on the domestic classified and NGJ programs described above in Total Net Sales, with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver.

Operating Income and Margin—Operating income and margin in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016.

The increase in operating income of \$33 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to a change in mix and other performance of \$42 million and an increase in volume of \$21 million, partially offset by a net change in EAC adjustments of \$30 million. Included in the change in mix and other performance was an increase due to a gain of \$15 million on a real estate transaction in the second quarter of 2017 and a decrease of \$19 million due to lower activity on two international tactical radar systems programs due to scheduled completion of certain production phases. The remaining change in mix and other performance was spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The increase in volume was driven by activity on the programs discussed above in Total Net Sales, with the remaining change spread across numerous programs with no individual or common significant driver. The net change in EAC adjustments was primarily driven by increased estimated labor and material production costs on the international classified program described above in Total Net Sales and on a protected communication systems production program. The increase in operating margin in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the change in mix and other performance, partially offset by the net change in EAC adjustments.

Backlog and Bookings—Backlog was \$8,826 million at October 1, 2017, compared to \$8,834 million at December 31, 2016. Bookings decreased by \$112 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016. In the third quarter of 2017, SAS booked approximately \$200 million on classified and unclassified space programs and \$84 million for radar components for the U.S. Navy and the Royal Australian Air Force. SAS also booked \$435 million on a number of classified contracts. In the third quarter of 2016, SAS booked \$164 million to provide integrated Sentinel support services for the U.K. Royal Air Force. SAS also booked \$922 million on a number of classified contracts, including \$525 million for a major classified contract.

Bookings decreased by \$1,998 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016. In addition to the bookings noted above, in the first nine months of 2017, SAS booked \$256 million for Active Electronically Scanned Array (AESA) radars for the U.S. Air Force, \$250 million on two contracts for international customers, one for military processors and one for radar warning receivers and \$91 million for radar components for the U.S. Navy. SAS also booked \$539 million on a number of classified contracts. In addition to the bookings noted above, in the first nine months of 2016, SAS booked \$992 million on the NGJ program for the U.S. Navy, over \$650 million on an international classified program, \$553 million on the Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) program for NASA, \$90 million on the next-generation Multi-Spectral Targeting System (MTS) for the U.S. Air Force and \$894 million on a number of classified contracts.

Table of Contents

Forcepoint

(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change		Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	
Total net sales	\$170	\$167	1.8	%	\$452	\$443	2.0	%
Total operating expenses								
Cost of sales	29	28	3.6	%	80	80	—	%
Selling and marketing	64	48	33.3	%	176	142	23.9	%
Research and development	38	32	18.8	%	105	96	9.4	%
General and administrative	16	18	(11.1))%	50	56	(10.7))%
Total operating expenses	147	126	16.7	%	411	374	9.9	%
Operating income (loss)	\$23	\$41	(43.9))%	\$41	\$69	(40.6))%
Operating margin	13.5	%	24.6	%	9.1	%	15.6	%
(In millions, except percentages)	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change		Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	% Change	
Bookings	\$186	\$175	6.3	%	\$406	\$395	2.8	%

Total Net Sales— Total net sales in the third quarter of 2017 were relatively consistent with the third quarter of 2016. Included in the change in total net sales was \$5 million of higher Network Security sales primarily due to new business growth. Total net sales excluded the unfavorable impact related to the deferred revenue acquisition accounting adjustments described below in Acquisition Accounting Adjustments.

The increase in total net sales of \$9 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily driven by \$13 million of higher Network Security sales due to new business growth and \$12 million of higher sales due to a higher mix of bookings with upfront sales recognition within Cloud Security and Data & Insider Threat Security, partially offset by \$16 million of lower sales related to filtering products within Cloud Security. Total net sales excluded the unfavorable impact related to the deferred revenue acquisition accounting adjustments described below in Acquisition Accounting Adjustments.

Total Operating Expenses—We disclose our operating expenses for the segment, which excludes amortization of acquired intangible assets and certain other acquisition and acquisition related expenses, in terms of the following:

- Cost of sales—labor and overhead costs associated with analytic and technical support services; infrastructure costs associated with maintaining our databases; and labor, materials and overhead costs associated with providing our product offerings.
- Selling and marketing—labor costs related to personnel engaged in selling and marketing and customer support functions; costs related to public relations, advertising, promotions and travel; and related overhead costs.
- Research and development—labor costs for the development and management of new and existing products; and related overhead costs.
- General and administrative expenses—labor costs for our executive, finance and administrative personnel; third party professional service fees; and related overhead costs.

Total operating expenses in the third quarter of 2017 increased \$21 million compared to the third quarter of 2016 primarily due to an increase in selling and marketing expense of \$16 million and an increase in research and development expense of \$6 million. The increase in selling and marketing expense was principally driven by higher costs for the sales organization due to increased salesforce staffing and higher amortization of deferred commissions. The increase in research and development expense was principally driven by the Skyfence acquisition in the first quarter of 2017. Total operating expenses excluded amortization of acquired intangible assets as described below in Acquisition Accounting Adjustments and certain unallocated costs which are included in Corporate.

Total operating expenses in the first nine months of 2017 increased \$37 million compared to the first nine months of 2016 primarily driven by an increase in selling and marketing expense of \$34 million, partially offset by a decrease in general and administrative expense of \$6 million. The increase in selling and marketing expense was principally driven by higher costs for the sales organization due to increased salesforce staffing and higher amortization of deferred commissions. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily driven by higher costs related to the integration of Stonesoft in the first quarter of 2016. Total operating expenses excluded amortization of acquired intangible assets as described below in Acquisition Accounting Adjustments and certain unallocated costs which are included in Corporate.

52

Table of Contents

Operating Income and Margin—The decrease in operating income of \$18 million and the related decrease in operating margin in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the increase in total operating expenses described above in Total Operating Expenses.

The decrease in operating income of \$28 million and the related decrease in operating margin in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the increase in total operating expenses described above in Total Operating Expenses, partially offset by the increase in total net sales described above in Total Net Sales.

Backlog and Bookings—Backlog was \$450 million at October 1, 2017, compared to \$486 million at December 31, 2016. The decrease in backlog of \$36 million or 7% at October 1, 2017, compared to December 31, 2016 was primarily due to net sales in excess of bookings at our Cloud Security and Data & Insider Threat Security product line due to the seasonality of products experiencing lower bookings in the first nine months of each year.

Bookings increased by \$11 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 primarily due to a \$7 million increase in Global Government bookings principally driven by new business growth.

Bookings increased by \$11 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 primarily due to a \$19 million increase in Data & Insider Threat Security bookings, a \$9 million increase in Network Security bookings and a \$6 million increase in Global Government bookings, partially offset by a \$22 million decrease in Cloud Security bookings principally driven by filtering products.

Acquisition Accounting Adjustments

Acquisition Accounting Adjustments include the adjustments to record acquired deferred revenue at fair value as part of our purchase price allocation process, referred to as the deferred revenue adjustment, and the amortization of acquired intangible assets related to historical acquisitions. These adjustments are not considered part of management's evaluation of segment results.

The components of Acquisition Accounting Adjustments were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Deferred revenue adjustment	\$(7)	\$(17)	\$(28)	\$(64)
Amortization of acquired intangibles	(32)	(29)	(95)	(91)
Total Acquisition Accounting Adjustments	\$(39)	\$(46)	\$(123)	\$(155)

The deferred revenue adjustment for the third quarters and first nine months of 2017 and 2016 relates to acquisitions in the Forcepoint segment.

Amortization of acquired intangibles related to acquisitions in the segments was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1 2017	Oct 2 2016	Oct 1 2017	Oct 2 2016
Integrated Defense Systems	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Intelligence, Information and Services	5	4	15	13

Missile Systems	—	—	1	1
Space and Airborne Systems	3	4	8	13
Forcepoint	24	21	71	64
Total	\$32	\$ 29	\$95	\$ 91

The change in our Acquisition Accounting Adjustments of \$7 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to a \$10 million decrease in the deferred revenue adjustment, principally driven by the acquisition of Websense in the second quarter of 2015. The increase in the amortization of acquired intangibles of \$3 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to the acquisition of Stonesoft in the first quarter of 2016.

Table of Contents

The change in our Acquisition Accounting Adjustments of \$32 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to a \$36 million decrease in the deferred revenue adjustment, principally driven by the acquisition of Websense in the second quarter of 2015. The increase in the amortization of acquired intangibles of \$4 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the acquisition of Stonesoft in the first quarter of 2016.

FAS/CAS Adjustment

The FAS/CAS Adjustment represents the difference between our pension and PRB expense or income under Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) requirements under U.S. GAAP and our pension and PRB expense under U.S. government Cost Accounting Standards (CAS). The results of each segment only include pension and PRB expense under CAS that we generally recover through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. government.

The components of the FAS/CAS Adjustment were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
FAS/CAS Adjustment Income (Expense) (in millions)				
FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment	\$81	\$105	\$299	\$318
FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment	(3)	(1)	(4)	—
FAS/CAS Adjustment	\$78	\$104	\$295	\$318

The components of the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
FAS (expense)	\$(387)	\$(283)	\$(1,025)	\$(810)
CAS expense	468	388	1,324	1,128
FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment	\$81	\$105	\$299	\$318

The change in our FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment of \$24 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was driven by a \$104 million increase in our FAS expense and an \$80 million increase in our CAS expense. The change in our FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment of \$19 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was driven by a \$215 million increase in our FAS expense and a \$196 million increase in our CAS expense. The increase in our FAS expense in the third quarter and first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to the decrease in our long-term return on assets (ROA) assumption as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 and the update of our actuarial estimate as described in "Note 12: Pension and Other Employee Benefits." The increase in our CAS expense in the third quarter and first nine months of 2017 was primarily due to the CAS Harmonization phased transition to the use of a discount rate based on high quality corporate bonds, consistent with the Pension Protection Act of 2006, to measure liabilities in determining the CAS pension expense.

As a result of the annual update of our actuarial estimate (as described in "Note 12: Pension and Other Employee Benefits"), our 2017 FAS/CAS Adjustment will change by an estimated \$39 million of decreased income, \$26 million of which was recorded in the third quarter of 2017 and \$13 million of which will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Corporate

Corporate operating income consists of unallocated costs and certain other corporate costs not considered part of management's evaluation of reportable segment operating performance.

Operating income related to Corporate was as follows:

	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended	Oct 2, Oct 1, Oct 2, 2016	2017	2016
(In millions)					
Corporate	\$-(11)	\$(30)	\$(35)		

The change in operating income related to Corporate of \$11 million in the third quarter of 2017 compared to the third quarter of 2016 was primarily due to adjustments to liabilities with respect to contractual, regulatory and operational risks as a result of the quarterly evaluation.

Table of Contents

Operating income related to Corporate in the first nine months of 2017 was relatively consistent with the first nine months of 2016.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

Overview

We pursue a capital deployment strategy that balances funding for growing our business, including: capital expenditures, acquisitions and research and development; prudently managing our balance sheet, including debt repayments and pension contributions; and returning cash to our shareholders, including dividend payments and share repurchases, as outlined below. Our need for, cost of and access to funds are dependent on future operating results, as well as other external conditions. We currently expect that cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities, cash flow from operations and other available financing resources will be sufficient to meet anticipated operating, capital expenditure, investment, debt service and other financing requirements during the next 12 months and for the foreseeable future.

In addition, the following table highlights selected measures of our liquidity and capital resources at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,311	\$3,303
Short-term investments	—	100
Working capital	4,286	4,346
Amount available under credit facilities	950	1,250

Operating Activities

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	\$1,123	\$1,711
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,122	1,711

The decrease in net cash provided by (used in) operating activities of \$589 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016, was primarily due to the increase in pension contributions and tax payments in the first nine months of 2017 as discussed below.

Pension Plan Contributions—We made the following contributions to our pension and PRB plans:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Required pension contributions	\$574	\$112
PRB contributions	17	16

Tax Payments and Refunds—We made or (received) the following net tax payments or (refunds):

Nine
Months
Ended

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Federal	\$520	\$460
Foreign	66	33
State	23	27

We expect full-year net federal, foreign and state tax payments to be approximately \$878 million in 2017.

Interest Payments—We made interest payments on our outstanding debt of \$141 million and \$149 million in the first nine months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

	Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$(318)	\$(82)

The change in net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of \$236 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to our short-term investments activity, which is described below in Short-term Investments Activity.

Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment and Capitalized Internal Use Software—Additions to property, plant and equipment and capitalized internal use software were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Additions to property, plant and equipment	\$ 323	\$ 344
Additions to capitalized internal use software	49	47

We expect full-year property, plant and equipment and internal use software expenditures to be between approximately \$555–\$585 million and \$95–\$110 million, respectively, in 2017, consistent with the anticipated needs of our business and for specific investments including capital assets and facility improvements.

Short-term Investments Activity—We invest in marketable securities in accordance with our short-term investment policy and cash management strategy. These marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value as short-term investments in our consolidated balance sheets. Activity related to short-term investments was as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Purchases of short-term investments	\$(399)	\$(472)
Maturities of short-term investments	517	822

Acquisitions—In pursuing our business strategies, we acquire and make investments in certain businesses that meet strategic and financial criteria. Payments for purchases of acquired companies, net of cash acquired, were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Payments for purchases of acquired companies, net of cash acquired	\$(93)	\$(57)

The increase of \$36 million in payments for purchases of acquired companies, net of cash acquired, in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was due to Forcepoint's acquisition of the Skyfence cloud access security broker business, in February 2017 and RedOwl Analytics Inc., in August 2017, offset by Forcepoint's acquisition of the Stonesoft next-generation firewall (NGFW) business, including the Sidewinder proxy firewall

technology, in January 2016.

Financing Activities

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$(1,784)	\$(1,615)

We generally use cash provided by operating activities and proceeds from the issuance of new debt as our primary source for the repayment of debt, payment of dividends, pension contributions and the repurchase of our common stock. The change in net cash provided by (used in) financing activities of \$169 million in the first nine months of 2017 compared to the first nine months of 2016 was primarily due to the \$591 million repayment of long-term debt in the second quarter of 2017, partially offset by the net proceeds from commercial paper issuance of \$300 million, the activity on our share repurchases as discussed below and the \$90 million net cash payment that we made to Thales S.A. in the second quarter of 2016 related to our acquisition of Thales S.A.'s noncontrolling interest in Raytheon Command and Control Solutions LLC (RCCS LLC) and the sale of our equity method investment in TRS SAS as a result of the amendment to the joint venture agreement.

Table of Contents

Commercial Paper—In the first nine months of 2017, we received net proceeds of \$300 million from the issuance of short-term commercial paper.

Debt—In the second quarter of 2017, we exercised our call rights to repurchase, at prices based on fixed spreads to the U.S. Treasury rates, \$591 million of our long-term debt due March and December 2018 at a loss of \$39 million pretax, \$25 million after tax, which is included in other (income) expense, net in the first nine months of 2017.

Share Repurchases—From time to time, our Board of Directors authorizes the repurchase of shares of our common stock. In November 2015, our Board authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock. At October 1, 2017, we had approximately \$0.9 billion available under the 2015 repurchase program. Share repurchases will take place from time to time at management's discretion depending on market conditions.

Share repurchases also include shares surrendered by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with restricted stock awards (RSAs), restricted stock units (RSUs) and Long-term Performance Plan (LTPP) awards issued to employees.

Our share repurchases were as follows:

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
	\$ Shares	\$ Shares
Shares repurchased under our share repurchase programs	\$7004.4	\$8016.2
Shares repurchased to satisfy tax withholding obligations	84 0.5	95 0.8
Total share repurchases	\$7844.9	\$8967.0

Cash Dividends—Our Board of Directors authorized the following cash dividends:

(In millions, except per share amounts)	Nine Months Ended	
	Oct 1, 2017	Oct 2, 2016
Cash dividends declared per share	\$2.3925	\$2.1975
Total dividends paid	679	635

In March 2017, our Board of Directors authorized an 8.9% increase to our annual dividend payout rate from \$2.93 to \$3.19 per share. Dividends are subject to quarterly approval by our Board of Directors.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total long-term debt was \$4.7 billion and \$5.3 billion at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Our outstanding debt bears contractual interest at fixed interest rates ranging from 2.5% to 7.2% and matures at various dates from 2020 through 2044.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments—Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$2.3 billion and \$3.4 billion at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. We may invest in: U.S. Treasuries; AAA/Aaa rated money market funds; certificates of deposit, time deposits and commercial paper of banks with a minimum long-term debt rating of A or A2 and minimum short-term debt rating of A-1 and P-1; and commercial paper of corporations with a minimum long-term debt rating of A- or A3 and minimum short-term debt rating of A-2 and P-2. Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments balances held at our foreign subsidiaries were approximately \$831 million and \$641 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. Earnings from our foreign subsidiaries are currently deemed to be indefinitely reinvested. We do not expect such reinvestment to affect our liquidity and capital resources, and we continuously evaluate our liquidity needs and ability to meet

global cash requirements as a part of our overall capital deployment strategy. Factors that affect our global capital deployment strategy include anticipated cash flows, the ability to repatriate cash in a tax efficient manner, funding requirements for operations and investment activities, acquisitions and divestitures and capital market conditions.

Commercial Paper—The Company may issue up to \$1.25 billion of unsecured commercial paper notes, as the commercial paper is backed by our credit facility. The commercial paper notes outstanding have original maturities of not more than 90 days from the date of issuance. At October 1, 2017, short-term commercial paper borrowings outstanding were \$300 million, which had a weighted-average interest rate and original maturity period of 1.282% and 24 days, respectively. The maximum amount of short-term commercial paper borrowings outstanding during the first nine months of 2017 was \$340 million. At December 31, 2016, there were no commercial paper borrowings outstanding.

Table of Contents

Credit Facilities—In November 2015, we entered into a \$1.25 billion revolving credit facility maturing in November 2020. Under the \$1.25 billion credit facility, we can borrow, issue letters of credit and backstop commercial paper. Borrowings under this facility bear interest at various rate options, including LIBOR plus a margin based on our credit ratings. Based on our credit ratings at October 1, 2017, borrowings would generally bear interest at LIBOR plus 80.5 basis points. The credit facility is composed of commitments from 20 separate highly rated lenders, each committing no more than 10% of the facility. As of October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, there were no borrowings outstanding under this credit facility and no outstanding letters of credit. At October 1, 2017, there was \$300 million of commercial paper outstanding which reduced the remaining amount available for borrowing under the credit facility to \$950 million.

Under the \$1.25 billion credit facility we must comply with certain covenants, including a ratio of total debt to total capitalization of no more than 60%. We were in compliance with the credit facility covenants as of October 1, 2017. Our ratio of total debt to total capitalization, as those terms are defined in the credit facility, was 31.7% at October 1, 2017. We are providing this ratio as this metric is used by our lenders to monitor our leverage and is also a threshold that could limit our ability to utilize this facility.

Shelf Registrations—We have an effective shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, filed in June 2016, which covers the registration of debt securities, common stock, preferred stock and warrants.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental Matters—We are involved in various stages of investigation and cleanup related to remediation of various environmental sites. Our estimate of the liability of total environmental remediation costs includes the use of a discount rate and takes into account that a portion of these costs is eligible for future recovery through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. government. We consider such recovery probable based on government contracting regulations and our long history of receiving reimbursement for such costs, and accordingly have recorded the estimated future recovery of these costs from the U.S. government within prepaid expenses and other current assets, in our consolidated balance sheets. Our estimates regarding remediation costs to be incurred were as follows:

(In millions, except percentages)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Total remediation costs—undiscounted	\$ 205	\$ 219
Weighted-average discount rate	5.2 %	5.2 %
Total remediation costs—discounted	\$ 141	\$ 147
Recoverable portion	92	92

We also lease certain government-owned properties and generally are not liable for remediation of preexisting environmental contamination at these sites. As a result, we generally do not provide for these costs in our consolidated financial statements.

Due to the complexity of environmental laws and regulations, the varying costs and effectiveness of alternative cleanup methods and technologies, the uncertainty of insurance coverage and the unresolved extent of our responsibility, it is difficult to determine the ultimate outcome of environmental matters. However, we do not expect any additional liability to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Financing Arrangements and Other—We issue guarantees, and banks and surety companies issue, on our behalf, letters of credit and surety bonds to meet various bid, performance, warranty, retention and advance payment obligations for us or our affiliates. These instruments expire on various dates through 2025. Additional guarantees of project performance for which there is no stated value also remain outstanding. The stated values outstanding consisted of the following:

(In millions)

	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Guarantees	\$ 215	\$ 190
Letters of credit	2,646	2,345
Surety bonds	166	127

Included in guarantees and letters of credit described above were \$215 million and \$47 million, respectively, at October 1, 2017, and \$180 million and \$44 million, respectively, at December 31, 2016, related to our joint venture in Thales-Raytheon Systems Air and Missile Defense Command and Control S.A.S. (TRS AMDC2). We provide these guarantees and letters of credit to TRS AMDC2 and other affiliates to assist these entities in obtaining financing on more favorable terms, making bids on contracts and performing their contractual obligations. While we expect these entities to satisfy their loans and meet their project performance and other contractual obligations, their failure to do so may result in a future obligation to us. We periodically evaluate the risk of TRS AMDC2 and other affiliates failing to meet their obligations described above. At October 1, 2017, we believe the risk that

Table of Contents

TRS AMDC2 and other affiliates will not be able to meet their obligations is minimal for the foreseeable future based on their current financial condition. All obligations were current at October 1, 2017. We had an estimated liability of \$2 million and \$3 million, at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, related to these guarantees and letters of credit.

The joint venture agreement between Raytheon and Vista Equity Partners relating to Forcepoint provides Vista Equity Partners with certain rights to require Forcepoint to pursue an initial public offering at any time after four years and three months following the closing date of May 29, 2015, or pursue a sale of the company at any time after five years following the closing date. In either of these events, Raytheon has the option to purchase all (but not less than all) of Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint for cash at a price equal to fair value as determined under the joint venture agreement. Additionally, Vista Equity Partners has the ability to liquidate its ownership through a put option, which became exercisable on May 29, 2017. The put option allows Vista Equity Partners to require Raytheon to purchase all (but not less than all) of Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint for cash at a price equal to fair value as determined under the joint venture agreement. The joint venture agreement provides for the process under which the parties would determine the fair value of the interest and could result in a payment by Raytheon shortly after the exercise of the put option; however, the ultimate timing will depend on the actions of the parties and other factors. Lastly, at any time on or after three years following the closing date, Raytheon has the option to purchase all (but not less than all) of Vista Equity Partners' interest in Forcepoint at a price equal to fair value as determined under the joint venture agreement. At October 1, 2017, the fair value of the noncontrolling interest is estimated at \$389 million and is subject to change based upon market conditions and business performance. The estimate of fair value for purposes of presenting the redeemable noncontrolling interest, outside of stockholders' equity, in our consolidated balance sheets could differ from the parties' determination of fair value for the put option under the joint venture agreement.

We have entered into industrial cooperation agreements, sometimes referred to as offset agreements, as a condition to obtaining orders for our products and services from certain customers in foreign countries. At October 1, 2017, the aggregate amount of our offset agreements, both agreed to and anticipated to be agreed to, had an outstanding notional value of approximately \$8.9 billion. These agreements are designed to return economic value to the foreign country by requiring us to engage in activities supporting local defense or commercial industries, promoting a balance of trade, developing in-country technology capabilities or addressing other local development priorities. Offset agreements may be satisfied through activities that do not require a direct cash payment, including transferring technology, providing manufacturing, training and other consulting support to in-country projects, and the purchase by third parties (e.g., our vendors) of supplies from in-country vendors. These agreements may also be satisfied through our use of cash for activities such as subcontracting with local partners, purchasing supplies from in-country vendors, providing financial support for in-country projects and making investments in local ventures. Such activities may also vary by country depending upon requirements as dictated by their governments. We typically do not commit to offset agreements until orders for our products or services are definitive. The amounts ultimately applied against our offset agreements are based on negotiations with the customers and typically require cash outlays that represent only a fraction of the notional value in the offset agreements. Offset programs usually extend over several or more years and may provide for penalties in the event we fail to perform in accordance with offset requirements. We have historically not been required to pay any such penalties.

As a U.S. government contractor, we are subject to many levels of audit and investigation by the U.S. government relating to our contract performance and compliance with applicable rules and regulations. Agencies that oversee contract performance include: the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA); the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA); the Inspectors General of the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and other departments and agencies; the Government Accountability Office (GAO); the Department of Justice (DoJ); and Congressional Committees. From time to time, these and other agencies investigate or conduct audits to determine whether our operations are being conducted in accordance with applicable requirements. Such investigations and audits may be initiated due to a number of reasons, including as a result of a whistleblower complaint. Such investigations and audits could result in administrative, civil or criminal liabilities, including repayments, fines or penalties being imposed upon

us, the suspension of government export licenses or the suspension or debarment from future U.S. government contracting. U.S. government investigations often take years to complete and many result in no adverse action against us. Our final allowable incurred costs for each year are also subject to audit and have, from time to time, resulted in disputes between us and the U.S. government, with litigation resulting at the Court of Federal Claims (COFC) or the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals (ASBCA) or their related courts of appeals. In addition, the DoJ has, from time to time, convened grand juries to investigate possible irregularities by us. We also provide products and services to customers outside of the U.S., and those sales are subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices. Our compliance with such local government regulations or any applicable U.S. government regulations (e.g., the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR)) may also be investigated or audited. Other than as specifically disclosed herein, we do not expect these audits, investigations or disputes to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity, either individually or in the aggregate.

We do not expect any material impact on our financial results from regional developments regarding Qatar. Almost all of our contracts in Qatar are foreign military sales contracts through the U.S. government and represent less than 5.2% of our backlog

Table of Contents

at October 1, 2017. In addition, with respect to pending U.S. government approval of certain of our contracts for other Gulf Cooperation Council members, we believe the timing of these pending approvals will not have a material impact on our financial results.

On June 23, 2016, the U.K. held a referendum in which British citizens approved an exit from the European Union (EU), commonly referred to as “Brexit.” As a result of the referendum, there has been a decline in the value of the British pound as compared to the U.S. dollar and volatility in exchange rates may continue as the U.K. negotiates its exit from the EU. The British pound is the functional currency for approximately 2% of our sales. In addition, for any contracts that are not denominated in the same currency as the functional currency (for example, contracts denominated in British pounds where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar), we enter into foreign currency forward contracts to hedge our risk related to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. As a result, we currently do not expect the U.K.’s exit from the EU to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In addition, various other claims and legal proceedings generally incidental to the normal course of business are pending or threatened against, or initiated by, us. We do not expect any of these proceedings to result in any additional liability or gains that would materially affect our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. In connection with certain of our legal matters, we may be entitled to insurance recovery for qualified legal costs or other incurred costs. We do not expect any insurance recovery to have a material impact on the financial exposure that could result from these matters.

Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which replaces numerous requirements in U.S. GAAP, including industry-specific requirements, and provides companies with a single revenue recognition model for recognizing revenue from contracts with customers. The core principle of the new standard is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The two permitted transition methods under the new standard are the full retrospective method, in which case the standard would be applied to each prior reporting period presented and the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the earliest period shown, or the modified retrospective method, in which case the cumulative effect of applying the standard would be recognized at the date of initial application. In July 2015, the FASB approved the deferral of the new standard's effective date by one year. The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The FASB permits companies to adopt the new standard early, but not before the original effective date of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016.

Effective January 1, 2017, we elected to early adopt the requirements of Topic 606 using the full retrospective method. The impact to our fiscal quarters and year-ended 2016 and year-ended 2015 income from continuing operations after taxes, net income and basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) was as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Twelve Months Ended		
	Dec 31, 2016	Oct 2, 2016	Jul 3, 2016	Apr 3, 2016	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015
(In millions, except per share amounts)						
Income from continuing operations after taxes	\$12	\$18	\$9	\$	-\$39	\$40
Net income	12	18	9	—	39	40

Basic EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

Income from continuing operations after taxes	\$0.04	\$0.05	\$0.02	\$	-\$0.10	\$0.12
Net income	0.04	0.05	0.02	—	0.11	0.11
Diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders:						
Income from continuing operations after taxes	\$0.03	\$0.05	\$0.03	\$	-\$0.11	\$0.12
Net income	0.04	0.05	0.03	—	0.11	0.11

In addition, the cumulative impact to our retained earnings at January 1, 2015 was \$13 million.

Table of Contents

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. This ASU is intended to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows by providing guidance on eight specific cash flow issues, including requirements that cash payments for debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs be classified as cash outflows for financing activities and proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies be classified as cash inflows from investing activities. The provisions of ASU 2016-15 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. We elected to early adopt the requirements of the new standard in the first quarter of 2017 using the retrospective transition method, as required by the new standard. The adoption of this ASU had an immaterial impact to our consolidated statements of cash flows.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash, which requires that restricted cash be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The provisions of ASU 2016-18 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. We elected to early adopt the requirements of the new standard in the first quarter of 2017 using the retrospective transition method, as required by the new standard. The adoption of this ASU had an immaterial impact to our consolidated statements of cash flows.

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash reported within the consolidated balance sheets that sum to the total of such amounts in the consolidated statements of cash flows:

(In millions)	Oct 1, 2017	Dec 31, 2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,311	\$3,303
Restricted cash	12	—
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows	\$2,323	\$3,303

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which requires lessees to recognize a right-of-use asset and lease liability for most lease arrangements. The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted, and must be adopted using the modified retrospective approach. We are currently evaluating the potential changes from this ASU to our future financial reporting and disclosures. We expect the standard to have an impact of approximately \$1 billion on our assets and liabilities for the addition of right-of-use assets and lease liabilities, but we do not expect it to have a material impact to our results of operations or liquidity.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-07, Compensation - Retirement Benefits (Topic 715), which changes certain presentation and disclosure requirements for employers that sponsor defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit (PRB) plans. This requires the service cost component of the net benefit cost to be in the same line item as other compensation in operating income and the other components of net benefit cost to be presented outside of operating income on a retrospective basis. In addition, only the service cost component will be eligible for capitalization when applicable, on a prospective basis. The provisions of ASU 2017-07 are effective for years beginning after December 15, 2017. We are currently evaluating the potential changes from this ASU to our future financial reporting and disclosures. We expect the standard to increase 2016 and 2017 operating income due to the removal of the non-service component of FAS pension expense by \$601 million and an estimated \$900 million, respectively, and to decrease non-operating income by the same amount with zero impact to net income in both periods. We do not expect a material impact from the new requirement to only allow capitalization of the service cost component of net benefit cost.

Other new pronouncements issued but not effective until after October 1, 2017 are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our primary market exposures are to interest rates and foreign exchange rates.

We generally supplement our working capital requirements with a combination of variable-rate short-term and fixed-rate long-term financing. We enter into foreign currency forward contracts with commercial banks to fix the foreign currency exchange rates on specific commitments and payments to vendors and customer receipts. We may enter into interest rate swap agreements with commercial and investment banks to manage interest rates associated with our financing arrangements. The market-risk sensitive instruments we use for hedging are entered into with commercial and investment banks and are directly related to a particular asset, liability or transaction for which a firm commitment is in place.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide information as of October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016 about our market risk exposure associated with changing interest rates. For long-term debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows by maturity date and average interest rates related to outstanding obligations. There were no interest rate swaps outstanding at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

Principal payments and interest rate detail for long-term debt by contractual maturity dates as of October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, were as follows:

(In millions, except percentages)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Fixed-rate debt	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,500	\$ —	\$ 3,292	\$ 4,792	\$ 5,293
Average interest rate	—	—	—	3.550 %	—	4.229 %	4.017 %	
(In millions, except percentages)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Fixed-rate debt	\$ —	\$ 591	\$ —	\$ 1,500	\$ —	\$ 3,292	\$ 5,383	\$ 5,848
Average interest rate	—	6.549 %	—	3.550 %	—	4.229 %	4.295 %	

In addition, the aggregate notional amount of the outstanding foreign currency forward contracts was \$1,031 million and \$1,277 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The net notional exposure of these contracts was approximately \$139 million and \$342 million at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

For foreign currency forward contracts designated and qualifying for hedge accounting, we record the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, and reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged revenue or cost of sales transaction affects earnings. Unrealized gains of \$15 million and \$53 million were included in other assets, net, and unrealized losses of \$2 million and \$48 million were included in other current liabilities at October 1, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Realized gains and losses resulting from these cash flow hedges offset the foreign currency exchange gains and losses on the underlying assets or liabilities being hedged. We believe our exposure due to changes in foreign currency rates is not material due to our hedging policy.

At October 1, 2017, we had no short-term investments.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management has conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a–15(e) and 15d–15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of October 1, 2017.

Conclusion of Evaluation—Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of October 1, 2017 were effective.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls—In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any control, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting—There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the third quarter of 2017 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We primarily engage in providing products and services under contracts with the U.S. government and, to a lesser degree, under direct foreign sales contracts, some of which the U.S. government funds. As a U.S. government contractor, we are subject to many levels of audit and investigation by the U.S. government relating to our contract performance and compliance with applicable rules and regulations. Agencies that oversee contract performance include: the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA); the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA); the Inspectors General of the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and other departments and agencies; the Government Accountability Office (GAO); the Department of Justice (DoJ); and Congressional Committees. From time to time, these and other agencies investigate or conduct audits to determine whether our operations are being conducted in accordance with applicable requirements. Such investigations and audits may be initiated due to a number of reasons, including as a result of a whistleblower complaint. Such investigations and audits could result in administrative, civil or criminal liabilities, including repayments, fines or penalties being imposed upon us, the suspension of government export licenses or the suspension or debarment from future U.S. government contracting. U.S. government investigations often take years to complete and many result in no adverse action against us. Our final allowable incurred costs for each year are also subject to audit and have, from time to time, resulted in disputes between us and the U.S. government, with litigation resulting at the Court of Federal Claims (COFC) or the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals (ASBCA) or their related courts of appeals. In addition, the DoJ has, from time to time, convened grand juries to investigate possible irregularities by us. We also provide products and services to customers outside of the U.S., and those sales are subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices. Our compliance with such local government regulations or any applicable U.S. government regulations (e.g., the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR)) may also be investigated or audited. Other than as specifically disclosed in this Form 10-Q, we do not expect these audits, investigations or disputes to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity, either individually or in the aggregate.

In addition, various other claims and legal proceedings generally incidental to the normal course of business are pending or threatened against us. We do not expect these proceedings to result in any additional liability that would materially affect our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully review and consider the information regarding certain factors which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results set forth under Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. There have been no material changes from the factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, although we may disclose changes to such factors or disclose additional factors from time to time in our future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value (in billions) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan ⁽²⁾

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

July (July 3, 2017 - July 30, 2017)	5,690	\$ 169.45	—	\$ 1.1
August (July 31, 2017 - August 27, 2017)	242,583	173.14	242,581	1.1
September (August 28, 2017 - October 1, 2017)	879,846	181.76	869,378	0.9
Total	1,128,119	\$ 179.84	1,111,959	

Includes shares purchased related to activity under our stock plans. Such activity during the third quarter of 2017

(1) includes the surrender by employees of 16,160 shares to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees.

(2) In November 2015, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following list of exhibits includes exhibits submitted with this Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and those incorporated by reference to other filings.

15 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Awareness Letter.*

31.1 Certification of Thomas A. Kennedy pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

31.2 Certification of Anthony F. O'Brien pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

32.1 Certification of Thomas A. Kennedy pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**

32.2 Certification of Anthony F. O'Brien pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**

The following materials from Raytheon Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 1, 2017, formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance
101 Sheets; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Equity; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

* filed electronically herewith

**furnished electronically herewith, and not filed

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RAYTHEON COMPANY

By: /s/ Michael J. Wood
Michael J. Wood
Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting
Officer
Principal Accounting Officer

October 26, 2017