

FLUOR CORP
Form DEF 14A
March 11, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

FLUOR CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Fluor Corporation
6700 Las Colinas Boulevard
Irving, Texas 75039

March 11, 2014

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Fluor Corporation 2014 annual meeting of stockholders. The meeting will be held on Thursday, May 1, 2014, beginning at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time, at Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039. Information about the meeting is presented on the following pages. In addition to the formal items of business to be brought before the meeting, members of management will report on the company's operations and respond to stockholder questions. A map showing the meeting location is included for your convenience on the back page of this booklet.

We hope that you will be able to attend the meeting. However, whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to review our proxy materials and promptly cast your vote over the Internet or by telephone. Alternatively, if you request or receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card or voting instruction card in the envelope provided. Voting in one of these ways will ensure that your shares are represented at the meeting.

Thank you for your continued support of Fluor Corporation. I look forward to seeing you on May 1st.

Sincerely,

David T. Seaton
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held May 1, 2014

The annual meeting of stockholders of Fluor Corporation will be held at Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039, on Thursday, May 1, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time. At the meeting, our stockholders will consider and vote on the following matters:

1. The election of the thirteen directors named in the proxy statement to serve until the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
2. An advisory vote to approve the company's executive compensation.
3. The approval of the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors.
4. The ratification of the appointment by our Audit Committee of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.
5. If properly presented at the annual meeting, a stockholder proposal for an independent chairman.
6. Such other matters as may be properly presented at the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

All stockholders of record at the close of business on March 7, 2014 are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting. Stockholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person; however, regardless of whether you plan to attend the meeting in person, please cast your vote as instructed in the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice"), by either voting your shares over the Internet or by phone, as promptly as possible. Alternatively, if you wish to receive paper copies of your proxy materials, including the proxy card or voting instruction card, please follow the instructions in the Notice. Once you receive paper copies of your proxy materials, please complete, sign, date and promptly return the proxy card or voting instruction card in the postage-prepaid return envelope provided, or follow the instructions set forth on the proxy card or voting instruction card to authorize the voting of your shares over the Internet or by telephone. Your prompt response is necessary to ensure that your shares are represented at the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Carlos M. Hernandez
*Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer
and Secretary*

March 11, 2014
Irving, Texas

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 1, 2014: This proxy statement and the company's 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	
<u>Proposal 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Biographical Information, including Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Corporate Governance Highlights</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Board Independence</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Risk Management Oversight</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Board Leadership</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Lead Independent Director</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Board of Directors Meetings and Committees</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Consideration of Director Nominees</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Communications with the Board</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Proposal 2 Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Organization and Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>All Other Compensation</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>New Hire and Retention Agreements</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year End</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2013</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Proposal 3 Approval of the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Proposal 4 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Proposal 5 Stockholder Proposal for Independent Chairman</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Stock Ownership and Stock-Based Holdings of Executive Officers and Directors</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Other Business</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Additional Information</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Directions to the Fluor Corporation 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

March 11, 2014

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of Fluor Corporation (the "company" or "Fluor") of your proxy for use at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held at Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039, on Thursday, May 1, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time, or at any adjournment or postponement thereof (the "Annual Meeting"). This proxy statement is first being mailed or made available to stockholders on or about March 17, 2014.

The current mailing address of the principal executive offices of Fluor Corporation is 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039. Please direct any communications to this mailing address.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, the company's stockholders voted to phase out the classification of the Board and to provide instead for the annual election of directors. All directors will stand for annual election beginning with this Annual Meeting, as the declassification of the Board is complete.

Each of Peter K. Barker, Alan M. Bennett, Rosemary T. Berkery, Peter J. Fluor, James T. Hackett, Deborah D. McWhinney, Dean R. O'Hare, Armando J. Olivera, Joseph W. Prueher, Matthew K. Rose, David T. Seaton, Nader H. Sultan and Lynn C. Swann has been nominated for election at the Annual Meeting to serve a one-year term expiring at the annual meeting in 2015 and until his or her respective successor is elected and qualified.

Each of the nominees listed above has agreed to serve as a director of the company if elected. The company knows of no reason why the nominees would not be available for election or, if elected, would not be able to serve. If any of the nominees decline or are unable to serve as a nominee at the time of the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies may vote either (1) for a substitute nominee designated by the Board to fill the vacancy or (2) just for the remaining nominees, leaving a vacancy. Alternatively, the Board may reduce the size of the Board.

Under the standard applicable to the company's director elections, a director must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast; except that directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast if as of the record date for such meeting the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected (a situation we do not anticipate). A majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted "for" a director nominee must exceed the number of shares voted "against" that director nominee. If an incumbent director is not re-elected, the Governance Committee will consider his or her contingent resignation given prior to the meeting and make a recommendation

Table of Contents

to the Board on whether to accept or reject the resignation. The Board will then publicly announce its decision regarding whether to accept the resignation and, if not, the reasons why.

Biographical Information, including Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills

The following biographical information is furnished with respect to each of the nominees for election at the Annual Meeting. The information presented includes information each director has given us about his or her age, all positions he or she holds with the company, his or her principal occupation and business experience for at least the past five years, and the names of other public companies of which he or she currently serves or has served as a director in the last five years. Directors are shown as serving from the dates of their original elections to the Board of Directors of Fluor prior to its reverse spin-off transaction in November 2000. Each of Mr. Kent Kresa and Dr. Suzanne Woolsey is retiring from the Board, effective April 29, 2014, and will not stand for reelection as they have reached the mandatory retirement age for directors. Mr. Matthew Rose has been elected to the Board, effective April 30, 2014. Accordingly, the Board has set the number of directors at thirteen, effective April 30, 2014.

As discussed further below under "Corporate Governance – Consideration of Director Nominees," the Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing with the Board, on an annual basis, the appropriate skills and characteristics required of members of the Board in the context of the current make-up of the Board. The company's directors have experience with businesses that operate in industries in which the company operates, such as oil and gas, power and government contracting, or have particular skills that are beneficial to the company's business, such as knowledge of financial matters, risk oversight or compliance and familiarity with non-U.S. markets. The following information highlights the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that our individual directors possess which have led the Governance Committee to conclude that each such individual should continue to serve on the company's Board.

PETER K. BARKER, age 65

Position and Business Experience:

Former California Chairman of JPMorgan Chase & Co., a global financial services firm, from September 2009 until his retirement in January 2013; former Partner at Goldman Sachs & Co., a global investment banking firm, until his retirement in May 2002; joined Goldman Sachs & Co. in November 1971.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Barker's vast experience in international financial and banking matters at JPMorgan Chase and Goldman Sachs makes him a valued member of our Board and Audit Committee. His more than 40 years of experience allow him to share insights with the Board on matters such as capital structure, mergers, acquisitions, financings and strategic planning as well as with regard to general business trends and accounting and financial matters.

Director Since: 2007

Board Committees:
Audit and Governance

Independent: Yes

Other Board Service:

Director, Avery Dennison Corporation (Pasadena, California)

Director, Franklin Resources, Inc. (San Mateo, California)

Former director, GSC Investment Corp. (New York, New York)

Table of Contents

ALAN M. BENNETT, age 63

Position and Business Experience:

Former President and Chief Executive Officer of H&R Block, Inc., a publicly traded entity providing tax, banking and business and consulting services, from July 2010 until his retirement in May 2011; former Interim Chief Executive Officer of H&R Block, Inc. from November 2007 to August 2008; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Aetna, Inc., a provider of health care benefits, from September 2001 to February 2007.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Bennett brings to the Board a deep understanding of business operations, finance and sales and marketing, developed through his experience as a former Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Sales and Marketing. His leadership roles at H&R Block and Aetna provide the Board with valuable public company insights into business strategy and financial planning. In addition, he brings almost 40 years of experience in accounting and financial matters to our Audit Committee.

Director Since: 2011

Board Committee:
Audit

Independent: Yes

Other Board Service:

Director, Halliburton Company (Houston, Texas)

Director, The TJX Companies, Inc. (Framingham, Massachusetts)

Former director, H&R Block, Inc. (Kansas City, Missouri)

ROSEMARY T. BERKERY, age 60

Position and Business Experience:

Vice Chairman of UBS Wealth Management Americas and Chairman of UBS Bank USA, each a wealth management banking business, since March 2010; former Vice Chairman, Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., a global securities and financial services business, from October 2001 to December 2008; joined Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. in 1983.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Ms. Berkery's broad range of experience in financial, business and legal matters makes her a valued member of the company's Board. Her experience leading a \$40 billion wealth management bank allows her to provide valued counsel on matters such as finance, banking arrangements, global business strategies, marketing and market risks. In addition, her 35 years in the legal field make her an excellent resource to the Board and the Governance Committee on legal and compliance matters.

Director Since: 2010

Board Committee:
Governance

Independent: Yes

Table of Contents

PETER J. FLUOR, age 66

Position and Business Experience:

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Texas Crude Energy, LLC, an international oil and gas exploration and production company, since 2001; President and Chief Executive Officer of Texas Crude Energy from 1980 to 2001; joined Texas Crude Energy in 1972.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Fluor has 40 years of experience in the energy industry, most recently as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Texas Crude Energy, LLC. His vast knowledge of the global oil and gas industry and his experience managing international businesses allow him to provide trusted counsel to our Board. In addition, his unique heritage and understanding of our company's legacy, together with his extensive knowledge of our business operations, clients and executives, make him an invaluable asset to our Board.

Other Board Service:

Director, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (The Woodlands, Texas)

Director, Cameron International Corporation (Houston, Texas)

JAMES T. HACKETT, age 60

Position and Business Experience:

Partner, Riverstone Holdings LLC, an energy and power focused private investment firm, since June 2013; former Executive Chairman of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, an independent oil and gas exploration and production company, from May 2012 until his retirement in June 2013; former Chief Executive Officer of Anadarko from December 2003 to May 2012.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Hackett has extensive knowledge of the global oil and gas industry based on his experience as a former executive of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, Devon Energy and Ocean Energy. His several decades of executive experience, as well as his experience serving on other public company boards and as Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, enable him to provide respected financial guidance, as well as perspective about the ever-evolving energy market from which we derive a substantial portion of our revenues.

Other Board Service:

Director, Bunge Limited (White Plains, New York)

Director, Cameron International Corporation (Houston, Texas)

Lead Independent Director

Director Since: 1984

Board Committees:
Executive, Governance and Organization and Compensation (Chair)

Independent: Yes

Director Since: 2001

Board Committees:
Audit (Chair), Executive and Organization and Compensation

Independent: Yes

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

Former director, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (The Woodlands, Texas)

Former director, Halliburton Company (Houston, Texas)

Table of Contents

DEBORAH D. MCWHINNEY, age 58

Position and Business Experience:

Former Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer of Global Enterprise Payments at Citi, a global financial services company, from February 2011 until her retirement in January 2014; former President, Personal Banking and Wealth Management at Citi from May 2009 to February 2011; former President of Schwab Institutional, a division of Charles Schwab, Inc., from 2001 to 2007, and chair of the Global Risk Committee of Charles Schwab from 2004-2007.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Ms. McWhinney's leadership experience, with more than 35 years in the finance industry, makes her a valued new member of our Board and Audit Committee. Her skills as a former executive for Citi and other banking institutions provide our Board with special insight on matters relating to business strategy, finance, investments and treasury management. In addition, her prior roles on the risk committees at both Citi and Charles Schwab allow her to counsel our Board on risk-related matters.

Director Since: 2014

Board Committee:
Audit

Independent: Yes

DEAN R. O'HARE, age 71

Position and Business Experience:

Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Chubb Corporation, the holding company for the Chubb Group of Insurance Companies, from June 1988 until his retirement in December 2002; joined The Chubb Corporation in 1963.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. O'Hare's experience as the Chief Executive Officer of Chubb, a global insurance company in the Fortune 500, contributes significantly to our Board's oversight of risk, financial matters and international operations. His 40 years of experience with products that assist clients in managing exposure and minimizing risks allow him to provide insight to the Board on risk management, strategy and global operations. Additionally, his having served as a director of other global companies brings diverse knowledge to our Board.

Director Since: 1997

Board Committees:
Executive, Governance
(Chair) and
Organization and
Compensation

Independent: Yes

Other Board Service:

Director, AGL Resources, Inc. (Atlanta, Georgia)

Former director, H.J. Heinz Company (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania)

Table of Contents

ARMANDO J. OLIVERA, age 64

Position and Business Experience:

Former President (from June 2003) and Chief Executive Officer (from July 2008) of Florida Power & Light Company, an electric utility that is a subsidiary of a publicly traded energy company, until his retirement in May 2012; joined Florida Power & Light Company in 1972.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Olivera's tenure as the former President and CEO of one of the largest electric utilities in the United States provides him with extensive knowledge of financial and accounting matters, as well as a keen understanding of the power industry and its related regulations. His experience in the power industry provides valuable insight into one of our five business segments. Additionally, his role as a director of other public companies gives him the experience to provide valuable advice to our Board and its committees from a governance and risk perspective.

Other Board Service:

Director, AGL Resources, Inc. (Atlanta, Georgia)

Director, Consolidated Edison, Inc. (New York, New York)

Former director, Florida Power & Light Company (Juno Beach, Florida)

Former director, Nicor Inc. (Naperville, Illinois)

JOSEPH W. PRUEHER, age 71

Position and Business Experience:

Former Schlesinger Professor, University of Virginia, from 2009 to August 2011; former Consulting Professor and Senior Advisor, Stanford University, from 2001 to 2008; U.S. Ambassador to the People's Republic of China from 1999 to 2001; Admiral, U.S. Navy (Retired), Commander-in-Chief of U.S. Pacific Command from 1996 to 1999.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Admiral Prueher has more than 40 years of experience in dealing with military, security, foreign policy and global business matters. He brings to the Board an international, informed and seasoned set of perspectives, a well-developed engineering background, and extensive expertise and insights on Asia and the Pacific and contracting with the U.S. government. Admiral Prueher strengthens our Board's ability to provide meaningful oversight and strategic guidance with regard to global operations, especially in relation to our Government business.

Director Since: 2012

Board Committee:
Audit

Independent: Yes

Director Since: 2003

Board Committees:
Governance and
Organization and

Compensation

Other Board Service:

Independent: Yes

Director, Armada Hoffer Properties, Inc. (Virginia Beach, Virginia)

Director, Emerson Electric Co. (St. Louis, Missouri)

Former director, Amerigroup Corporation (Virginia Beach, Virginia)

Former director, Bank of America Corporation (Charlotte, North Carolina)

Former director, DynCorp International Inc. (Falls Church, Virginia)

Former director, Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (New York, New York)

Table of Contents

MATTHEW K. ROSE, age 54

Position and Business Experience:

Executive Chairman, Burlington Northern Santa Fe, LLC, a subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. (and former public company) and one of the largest freight rail systems in North America ("BNSF"), since December 2013; former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of BNSF from March 2002 to January 2014; joined BNSF in 1993.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Rose's qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive leadership experience obtained from overseeing a large, complex and highly regulated organization, his considerable knowledge of operations management and business strategy and his deep understanding of public company oversight. In addition, his experience serving on other public company boards, as well as the board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, make him a valuable new member of our Board and Audit Committee.

Other Board Service:

Director, AT&T Inc. (Dallas, Texas)

DAVID T. SEATON, age 52

Position and Business Experience:

Chairman (since February 2012) and Chief Executive Officer (since February 2011) of Fluor; Chief Operating Officer from November 2009 to February 2011; Senior Group President, Energy and Chemicals, Power and Government from March 2009 to November 2009; Group President, Energy & Chemicals from March 2007 to March 2009; joined Fluor in 1985.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Seaton, the company's Chief Executive Officer, brings to the Board extensive leadership experience with, and knowledge of, the company's business and strategy, particularly in the energy and chemicals markets. He has worked (and lived) in many Fluor locations, including the Middle East, and provides insight to the Board on the company's global operations. Additionally, his almost 30 years of service with the company provide the Board with a historical perspective on the company's growth and operations.

Other Board Service:

Director, The Mosaic Company (Plymouth, Minnesota)

Director Since: 2014

Board Committee:
Audit

Independent: Yes

Chairman of the Board

Director Since: 2011

Board Committee:
Executive (Chair)

Independent: No

Table of Contents

NADER H. SULTAN, age 65

Position and Business Experience:

Senior Partner in F&N Consulting Company, a firm specializing in high level strategic advice related to the energy industry, since September 2004; former Chief Executive Officer of Kuwait Petroleum Corporation.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Sultan brings great insight and high-level strategic contributions to the Board as a result of his more than 40 years of experience in the international energy business, most recently as a chief executive officer running a national oil company in the Middle East. He provides a valued perspective with regard to national oil companies and the Middle East in terms of business operations, politics and culture. His views and understanding of the Middle East region are important since it is an area in which we are expanding our business presence and from which we have derived, and are continuing to derive, a portion of our revenues.

Director Since: 2009

Board Committees:
Audit and Governance

Independent: Yes

Other Board Service:

Non-executive chairman of Ikarus Petroleum Industries Company (Kuwait)

LYNN C. SWANN, age 62

Position and Business Experience:

President, Swann, Inc., a marketing and consulting firm, since 1976; Founder and Managing Director of LS Group, a provider of financial advisory and brokerage services, since 2011; former sports broadcaster for ABC Sports from 1976 to 2006.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Swann's broad range of skills includes media and public relations experience, consumer awareness skills, finance knowledge, a diverse business and political background, and management-level decision-making experience. Those skills, along with the experience he has gained as a director of other large public companies, contribute significantly to the Board and the Audit Committee.

Director Since: 2013

Board Committee:
Audit

Independent: Yes

Other Board Service:

Trustee, American Homes 4 Rent (Agoura Hills, California)

Director, Caesars Entertainment Corporation (Las Vegas, Nevada)

Former director, H.J. Heinz Company (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania)

Board Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of all thirteen director nominees.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Highlights

The company has long believed that good corporate governance practices promote the principles of fairness, transparency, accountability and responsibility and will help manage the company for the long-term benefit of its stockholders. During the past year, we continued to review our corporate governance policies and practices and to compare them to those suggested by various commentators on corporate governance and the practices of other public companies.

The following list highlights some of our more recent corporate governance initiatives and core governance values:

- ◆ **Completing Transition to Declassified Board.** We have completed the process of declassifying our Board, with all members to be elected on an annual basis beginning at this annual meeting.
- ◆ **Granted Stockholders the Right to Call a Special Meeting.** In 2012, our Board (with the approval of stockholders) amended our Certificate of Incorporation to grant holders of at least 25% of our outstanding shares of common stock the right to call a special meeting of stockholders.
- ◆ **Removed Supermajority Provisions.** We have removed supermajority voting provisions from our corporate governance documents and replaced them with majority voting provisions.
- ◆ **Maintaining Director Independence.** All directors, with the exception of our Chairman and CEO, are independent. We also have a Lead Independent Director who presides over executive sessions of the independent directors of the Board and approves agendas and schedules for Board meetings.

During 2013, our Board reviewed all committee charters and amended the charters for our Audit and Organization and Compensation Committees. The Board also updated the company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. You can access our current committee charters, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Members of the Board of Directors, as well as other information regarding our corporate governance practices, on our website at www.fluor.com under "Sustainability" "Governance" "Corporate Governance Documents." Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Fluor employees can be found on our website at www.fluor.com under "Sustainability" "Ethics and Compliance" "The Code."

Board Independence

In accordance with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Board determines annually which directors are independent and, through the Governance Committee, oversees the independence of directors throughout the year. In addition to meeting the minimum standards of independence adopted by the New York Stock Exchange, a director qualifies as "independent" only if the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the company (either directly, or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the company). A relationship is "material" if, in the judgment of the Board, the relationship would interfere with the director's independent judgment.

Our Board has adopted director independence standards for assessing the independence of our directors. These criteria include restrictions on the nature and extent of any affiliations the directors and their immediate family members may have with us, our independent accountants, organizations with which we do business, other companies where our executive officers serve as compensation committee members and non-profit entities with which we have a relationship. Our independence

Table of Contents

standards are included in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on our website at www.fluor.com under the "Sustainability" "Governance" section.

The Board, as recommended by the Governance Committee, has determined that each of the company's current directors and director nominees (other than Mr. Seaton) are independent of the company and its management under New York Stock Exchange listing standards and the standards set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Board also determined that each of the members of the Audit, Governance and Organization and Compensation Committees has no material relationship with Fluor and is independent within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and Fluor's director independence standards for such committee. This includes new heightened independence criteria applicable to members of the Organization and Compensation Committee under New York Stock Exchange listing standards, effective beginning with the Annual Meeting.

In making its independence determinations, the Board noted (i) with respect to Mr. Barker, his brother has retired from Fragomen, Del Rey, Bernsen and Loewy, LLP and (ii) with respect to Mr. Fluor, his brother was no longer employed by the company (or a subsidiary of the company) in 2013. As a result, no further review of those relationships is warranted. The Board also considered that Ms. Berkery is an employee (but not an executive officer) of UBS and that the payments made by the company to UBS for non-advisory fees, including bank account fees, lending fees and brokerage services, were less than \$1.0 million in each of the last three years. In addition, the Board reviewed payments to PricewaterhouseCoopers ("PWC"), where Ms. Berkery's brother is a partner. With regard to PWC: (i) the fees paid to PWC in each of the last three years were less than .03% of such firm's revenues; (ii) Ms. Berkery's brother is one of over 9,500 partners and 180,000 employees at PWC; (iii) Ms. Berkery's brother does not personally provide services to the company or oversee others who provide such services; and (iv) the company hired PWC prior to Ms. Berkery joining the Board. In addition, it is important to note that Fluor, as a global corporation, and due to various securities regulations, utilizes multiple accounting firms for different kinds of services and, in fact, has retained each of the four major public accounting firms to provide various services during 2013. The Board does not believe that the company's use of PWC raises any independence concerns with regard to Ms. Berkery. The Board also considered that certain directors (Mr. Barker, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Fluor, Mr. Hackett, Admiral Prueher, Mr. Rose, Mr. Sultan and Dr. Woolsey) are board members of entities that did business with the company in 2013, 2012 and/or 2011. In each case noted above, the payments to or from any of the foregoing entities did not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of either Fluor's or such other entity's consolidated gross revenues for any one of the last three fiscal years, and therefore fell below the thresholds of the company's independence standards. The Board determined that Mr. Seaton is not independent under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines because of his employment as the Chief Executive Officer of the company.

Finally, the Board reviewed charitable contributions made to non-profit organizations for which Board members (or their respective spouses) serve as an employee or on the board of directors. Specifically, the Board considered that certain directors and/or their family members (Mr. Barker, Mr. Bennett, Ms. Berkery, Mr. Fluor, Mr. Hackett, Mr. Kresa, Mr. O'Hare, Mr. Rose and Dr. Woolsey) are affiliated with non-profit organizations that received contributions from the company in 2013, 2012 and/or 2011. No organization received contributions in a single year in excess of \$100,000; and therefore these contributions fell below the thresholds of the company's independence standards.

Risk Management Oversight

As part of its oversight function, the Board monitors how management operates the company. When granting authority to management, approving strategies and receiving management reports, the Board considers, among other things, the risks and vulnerabilities the company faces. In addition, the

Table of Contents

Board discusses risks related to the company's business strategy at the Board's annual strategic planning meeting every June. The Board also delegates responsibility for the oversight of certain risks to the Board's committees.

Under the Audit Committee charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and discussing with management the company's most significant risks, methods of risk assessment, risk mitigation strategies, and the overall effectiveness of the company's guidelines, policies and systems with respect to risk assessment and management. In particular, the Audit Committee considers risk issues associated with our overall financial reporting, disclosure process, legal matters, regulatory compliance and information technology, as well as accounting risk exposure and other operational and strategic risks. The Audit Committee is provided quarterly information on the geographic, operational and market risks facing our company. In carrying out its responsibilities related to risk oversight, the Audit Committee meets in executive sessions, at least quarterly, with the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Legal Officer, the Chief Compliance Officer, the head of internal audit and the independent registered public accounting firm to discuss particular risks facing the company.

The Organization and Compensation Committee is also tasked with certain elements of risk oversight. The Organization and Compensation Committee annually reviews the company's compensation policies and programs, as well as the mix and design of short-term and long-term compensation, to confirm that our compensation programs do not encourage unnecessary and excessive risk taking.

Finally, the Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing governance issues that may create governance risks, such as board composition, director selection and the other governance policies and practices that are critical to the success of the company. Each of the Audit, Governance and Organization and Compensation Committees report quarterly to the Board regarding the areas they oversee.

Board Leadership

The Chairman of the company's Board is elected by the Board on an annual basis. The Board, together with the Governance Committee, annually reviews the structure of the Board, and, as set forth in the company's Amended and Restated Bylaws and Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board is empowered to choose any one of its members as Chairman of the Board. The Board has chosen Mr. Seaton, the company's Chief Executive Officer, to serve as the Chairman of the Board. The Board has determined that Mr. Seaton, the individual with primary responsibility for managing the company's day-to-day operations, is best positioned to chair regular Board meetings and to lead and facilitate discussions of key business and strategic issues. In his role as Chairman, Mr. Seaton presides over Board meetings, provides input on the agenda for each Board meeting and performs such other duties as the Board may request from time to time. However, the Board has also established a Lead Independent Director position, as it believes that the role of Lead Independent Director promotes effective governance when the company has a non-independent Chairman. As discussed below, the Lead Independent Director is elected every three years, and his or her duties are closely aligned with the role of an independent chairman. The Board believes that its current leadership structure provides independent Board leadership and engagement while also offering the benefits described above of having our Chief Executive Officer serve as Chairman.

In addition, each of the Audit, Governance and Organization and Compensation Committees is composed entirely of independent directors. Consequently, independent directors directly oversee critical matters such as the compensation policy for executive officers, succession planning, our methods of risk assessment and risk mitigation strategies, our corporate governance guidelines, policies and

Table of Contents

practices, the director nominations process, our corporate finance strategies and initiatives, and the integrity of our financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting.

Lead Independent Director

To provide for independent leadership, the Board has appointed a Lead Independent Director, whose primary responsibility is to preside over and set the agenda for all executive sessions of the independent directors of the Board. The Lead Independent Director also approves agendas and schedules for meetings of the Board and information sent to the Board, chairs Board meetings in the Chairman's absence, acts as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman, provides guidance on the director orientation process for new Board members, consults and communicates with stockholders, as appropriate, and monitors communications to the Board from stockholders and other interested parties. The Lead Independent Director also has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors, as needed. In 2012, the independent members of the Board designated Mr. Peter J. Fluor to serve in this position for a three-year term that expires in February 2015.

Board of Directors Meetings and Committees

During 2013, the Board held six meetings, one of which was an extensive two-day strategic planning session. Each of the directors attended more than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and of the Board committees on which he or she served and which were held during the period that each director served.

As discussed earlier, the Lead Independent Director presides over all executive sessions of the independent directors. Executive sessions of independent directors must take place at least quarterly according to our Corporate Governance Guidelines. During 2013, five executive sessions of the independent directors were held.

A Board meeting immediately follows the annual meeting. The Board has a policy that directors attend the annual meeting of stockholders each year. All directors serving on the Board at that time attended the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

Our Board has four standing committees:

Audit;

Executive;

Governance; and

Organization and Compensation.

Each committee has a charter that has been approved by the Board. With the exception of the Executive Committee, each committee must review the appropriateness of its charter and perform a self-evaluation at least annually. Any recommended changes to the charters are then submitted to the Board for approval.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee

Members:

Each of the directors who serves on the Audit Committee is independent within the meaning set forth in the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulations, New York Stock Exchange listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

James T. Hackett, *Chair**

None of the Audit Committee members serve on the audit committees of more than two other public companies.

Peter K. Barker*

*Audit Committee Financial Expert, as determined by the Board.

Retiring effective April 29, 2014

Alan M. Bennett*

◆ Effective February 19, 2014

Effective April 30, 2014

Kent Kresa*

Deborah D. McWhinney ◆

Armando J. Olivera*

Matthew K. Rose

Nader H. Sultan

Lynn C. Swann

Suzanne H. Woolsey

Meetings During Fiscal 2013: Five, including one to review the company's 2012 Annual Report, Form 10-K and proxy materials for the 2013 annual meeting. At the end of each of the four regular meetings of the committee, the members of the Audit Committee met privately with the company's independent registered public accounting firm, and also met with the company's head of internal audit and other members of management.

Key Responsibilities: The responsibilities of the Audit Committee and its activities during 2013 are described in the "Report of the Audit Committee" section of this proxy statement on page 69.

Executive Committee

Members:

The Executive Committee consists of the Chairman of the Board and the Chairs of each of the Board committees.

David T. Seaton, *Chair*

Peter J. Fluor

James T. Hackett

Dean R. O'Hare

Meetings During Fiscal 2013: One, to discuss director evaluations

Key Responsibilities: When the Board is not in session, the Executive Committee has all of the power and authority of the Board, subject to applicable laws, rules, regulations and listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Table of Contents

Governance Committee

Members:

Each of the members of the Governance Committee is independent within the meaning set forth in the NYSE listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Dean R. O'Hare, *Chair*

Peter K. Barker

Rosemary T. Berkery

Peter J. Fluor

Joseph W. Prueher

Nader H. Sultan

Suzanne H. Woolsey

Meetings During Fiscal 2013: Four

Key Responsibilities: The Governance Committee's primary responsibilities, which are discussed in detail within its charter, are to:

identify qualified candidates to be nominated for election to the Board and directors qualified to serve on the Board's committees;

develop, review and evaluate background information for any candidates for the Board, including those recommended by stockholders, and make recommendations to the Board regarding such candidates. For information relating to nominations of directors by our stockholders, see " Consideration of Director Nominees" below;

oversee the independence of directors;

develop, implement, monitor and oversee policies and practices relating to corporate governance, including the company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Members of the Board of Directors; and

oversee the annual evaluation of the Board and the committees of the Board.

The Governance Committee has the authority, under its charter, to engage, retain and terminate the services of outside legal counsel, search firms and other advisors.

Table of Contents

Organization and Compensation Committee

Members:

Each of the members of the Organization and Compensation Committee is independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Peter J. Fluor, *Chair*

James T. Hackett

Kent Kresa

Dean R. O'Hare

Joseph W. Prueher

Meetings During Fiscal 2013: Five. Each of the four in-person meetings included an executive session attended by the committee members and the committee's independent compensation advisor.

Key Responsibilities: The Organization and Compensation Committee's primary responsibilities, which are discussed in detail within its charter, are to:

review and monitor the company's top level organizational structure and senior management succession planning and recommend the appointment of corporate officers and group executive officers of the company's principal operating units;

review and approve compensation strategy, set corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer, corporate officers and group executive officers, evaluate the achievement of these goals and set or, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer recommend to the independent directors, compensation levels;

establish the base salary, incentive compensation and other compensation for the company's named executives other than the Chief Executive Officer, and review and recommend to the Board the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer; and

review the compensation for non-management directors.

The responsibilities of our Organization and Compensation Committee and its activities during 2013 are further described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement. The Organization and Compensation Committee has the authority under its charter to delegate any portion of its responsibilities to a subcommittee denominated by it when appropriate, but did not do so in 2013.

Compensation Consultant: The Organization and Compensation Committee has the authority under its charter to engage, retain and terminate the services of outside legal counsel, compensation consultants and other advisors. In 2013, the Organization and Compensation Committee again engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. to serve as its independent compensation consultant to advise the committee on all matters related to executive and director compensation. The compensation consultant conducts an annual review of the total compensation program for the Chief Executive Officer and other senior management reporting to him and, in doing so, completes a report benchmarking the senior executives against other executives with similar responsibilities in order to assist the Organization and Compensation Committee in making compensation decisions. The 2013 compensation review

provided the committee with relevant market data and alternatives to consider when making compensation decisions in 2013 for the Chief Executive Officer and other senior management reporting to him.

Table of Contents***Organization and Compensation Committee,
Continued***

In early 2014, as part of the committee's oversight of certain aspects of risk, the compensation consultant conducted a broad-based review of the company's compensation programs and policies and discussed its findings with the committee, indicating that the company's compensation programs do not encourage behaviors that would create material risk for the company. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. also provided written and verbal advice to the Organization and Compensation Committee at committee meetings, attended executive sessions of the committee to respond to questions, and had individual calls and meetings with the Chair of the committee to provide advice and perspective on executive compensation issues. Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. was engaged by, and reports directly to, the committee and does not perform any other services for the company. None of the work of the compensation consultant has raised any conflicts of interest.

Consideration of Director Nominees***Director Qualifications and Diversity***

The Board of Directors believes that the Board, as a whole, should include individuals with a diverse range of backgrounds and experience to give the Board both depth and breadth in the mix of skills represented for the benefit of our stockholders. As provided in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, while all directors should possess business acumen and must exercise sound judgment in their oversight of our operations, the Board endeavors to include in its overall composition an array of targeted skills that complement one another rather than requiring each director to possess the same skills, perspective and interests. Accordingly, the Board and Governance Committee consider the qualifications of directors and director nominees both individually and in the broader context of the Board's overall composition and the company's current and future needs.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines contain Board membership criteria that apply to current directors as well as nominees for director. The Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing with the Board on an annual basis (and as needed) the appropriate skills and characteristics required of Board members in the context of the current make-up of the Board. This annual review takes into consideration issues of diversity of thought and background (including gender, race, ethnicity and age), experience, qualifications, attributes and skills. Certain criteria that our Board looks for in a candidate include, among other things, an individual's business experience and skills, judgment, independence, integrity, reputation and international background, the individual's understanding of such areas as finance, marketing, information technology, regulation and public policy, whether the individual has the ability to commit sufficient time and attention to the activities of the Board and the absence of any potential conflicts with the company's interests. The Board assesses its effectiveness in achieving these goals in the course of assessing director candidates, which is an ongoing process.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director

The Governance Committee utilizes a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director. The Governance Committee regularly assesses the appropriate size of the Board, and whether any vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated or otherwise arise, the Governance Committee considers various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Governance Committee through various means, including current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders or other persons. Candidates are evaluated at meetings of the Governance Committee, and may be considered at any point during the year. As described below, the Governance Committee considers properly submitted stockholder recommendations for candidates for the Board. If a stockholder properly

Table of Contents

recommends an individual to the Governance Committee to serve as a director, all recommendations are aggregated and considered by the Governance Committee at a meeting prior to the issuance of the proxy statement for our Annual Meeting. Any materials provided by a stockholder in connection with the recommendation of a director candidate are forwarded to the Governance Committee, which will consider the recommended candidate in light of the director qualifications discussed above and the Board's existing composition. The Governance Committee also reviews materials provided by professional search firms, if applicable, or other parties in connection with a candidate who is not proposed by a stockholder. In evaluating such recommendations, the Governance Committee seeks to achieve a balance of knowledge, experience and capability on the Board.

Ms. McWhinney, Mr. Rose and Mr. Swann were each recommended for nomination as a board member by one of the Board's independent directors.

Stockholder Recommendations

The policy of the Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted stockholder recommendations for candidates for membership on the Board as described above under " Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director." In evaluating those recommendations, the Governance Committee seeks to achieve a balance of knowledge, experience and capability on the Board and to address the membership criteria set forth under " Director Qualifications and Diversity" above. Any stockholder wishing to recommend a candidate for consideration by the Governance Committee should submit a recommendation in writing indicating the candidate's qualifications and other relevant biographical information and provide confirmation of the candidate's consent to serve as director. This information should be addressed to Carlos M. Hernandez, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039. In addition, our Amended and Restated Bylaws permit stockholders to nominate directors for election. See "Additional Information Advance Notice Procedures" on pages 77-78 of this proxy statement, and Section 2.04 of our Amended and Restated Bylaws, which are included on our website at www.fluor.com under "Sustainability" "Governance."

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The company is not aware of any transactions with related persons that would be required to be disclosed.

Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons

The company has adopted a written policy for the approval of transactions to which the company is a party and the aggregate amount involved in the transaction will or may be expected to exceed \$100,000 in any calendar year if any director, director nominee, executive officer, greater-than-5% beneficial owner or their respective immediate family members have or will have a direct or indirect material interest (other than solely as a result of being a director or a less than 10% beneficial owner of another entity).

The policy provides that the Governance Committee reviews certain transactions subject to the policy and determines whether or not to approve or ratify those transactions. In doing so, the Committee takes into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction. In addition, the Board has delegated authority to the Chair of the Governance Committee to pre-approve or ratify transactions where the aggregate amount involved is expected to be less than \$1,000,000. A summary of any new transactions pre-approved by the Chair is provided to the full

Table of Contents

Governance Committee for its review in connection with each regularly scheduled Governance Committee meeting.

The Governance Committee has considered and adopted standing pre-approvals under the policy for limited transactions with related persons. Pre-approved transactions include, but are not limited to:

employment of immediate family members of directors, director nominees, executive officers and greater-than-5% beneficial owners in non-executive positions with the company;

business transactions with other companies at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) if the amount of business falls below the thresholds in the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards and the company's director independence standards; and

contributions to non-profit organizations at which a related person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or director if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the lesser of \$1 million or 2% of the organization's consolidated gross annual revenues.

At least annually, a summary of new transactions covered by the standing pre-approvals described above is provided to the Governance Committee for its review.

Communications with the Board

Individuals may communicate with the Board and individual directors by writing directly to the Board of Directors c/o Carlos M. Hernandez, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039. Stockholders and other parties interested in communicating directly with the Lead Independent Director or with the independent directors as a group may do so by writing directly to the Lead Independent Director c/o the Chief Legal Officer and Secretary at the above address. The Lead Independent Director will, with the assistance of Fluor's internal legal counsel, be primarily responsible for monitoring any such communications from stockholders and other interested parties to the Board, individual directors, the Lead Independent Director or the independent directors as a group, and provide copies or summaries of such communications to the other directors as he considers appropriate.

Communications will be forwarded to all directors if they relate to substantive matters and include suggestions or comments that the Lead Independent Director considers to be important for the directors to know. The Board will give appropriate attention to written communications on issues that are submitted by stockholders and other interested parties, and will respond if and as appropriate.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During 2013, Mr. Fluor, Mr. Hackett, Mr. Kresa, Mr. O'Hare and Admiral Prueher served on the Organization and Compensation Committee. There are no compensation committee interlocks between the company and other entities involving the company's executive officers and directors.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

We are asking stockholders to vote on an advisory resolution to approve the company's executive compensation as reported in this proxy statement. As described below in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement, the Organization and Compensation Committee has structured our executive compensation program to achieve the following key objectives that contribute to the company's long-term success:

Key Objective	Achievement of the Objective
&zwsp; Align Named Executives with Stockholders	&zwsp; Annual and long-term incentive programs reward named executives for achievement of short- and long-term goals that enhance stockholder value.
	&zwsp; Between 55% and 75% of named executive target direct compensation is equity-based.
Pay for Performance	&zwsp; Named executives are expected to hold company shares or units with a value between two and six times their base salary and are prohibited from hedging or pledging company securities. 85% to 90% of annual incentive for named executives is tied to company performance, including corporate measures such as net earnings, return on assets employed and business segment performance.
&zwsp; Attract and Retain Top Talent	&zwsp; Long-term incentive payouts under our Value Driver Incentive Program are tied to the company's new awards and related margins, historically considered a key driver for stockholder returns, and also are directly related to the stock price at vesting. Total compensation for named executives is targeted at the 50 th percentile of the peer group.

We urge stockholders to read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 21, which describes in more detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and related compensation tables and narrative appearing on pages 40 through 56, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executives. The Organization and Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors believe that the policies and procedures articulated in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" are effective in achieving our goals and that the compensation of our named executives reported in this proxy statement has supported and contributed to the company's success.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and as a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking stockholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Fluor Corporation (the "Company") approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executives as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a "say on pay" resolution, is non-binding on the Board. Although non-binding, the Board and the Organization and Compensation Committee will review and consider the voting results when evaluating our executive compensation program. An advisory stockholder vote on the frequency of stockholder votes to approve executive compensation is required to be held at least once every six years. The company last held an advisory vote on frequency in 2011. After consideration of the vote of stockholders at the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and other factors, the Board has decided to hold advisory votes to approve executive compensation annually until the next advisory vote on frequency occurs. Accordingly, the next advisory vote to approve executive compensation will be held at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.

Board Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the advisory resolution to approve executive compensation.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the principles, objectives and features of our executive compensation program, as applied to our named executives. For 2013, our named executives were our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and the other three individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 40.

Executive Summary

Factors Influencing Named Executive Compensation

To assist our stockholders in evaluating our "say on pay" proposal, the following is an overview of the key factors that influence the design of our executive compensation program:

Appropriate Peer Group. To establish compensation for our named executives that aligns with the market, we benchmark our compensation and performance against the companies in our peer group. Since there are only seven publicly-traded engineering and construction companies with revenues over \$5.0 billion, the largest of which has revenues less than half our revenues, we must look beyond our industry to find an appropriate peer group. We believe the correct peer group consists of U.S. companies in the same three Standard & Poor's Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) codes as the company, our direct competitors and our key customers and that are also generally comparable in revenues, number of employees and market capitalization (our "Compensation Peer Group"). As a result, we are able to set compensation at levels that are not only appropriate for a company of our size, but also allow us to attract and retain key talent within our industry.

Target Total Direct Compensation at 50th Percentile. Using our Compensation Peer Group, the compensation consultant engaged by the Organization and Compensation Committee performs an annual proxy analysis to identify the 50th percentile of target total direct compensation (which includes base pay, bonus targets and long-term incentive values at the time of grant). In 2013, the target total direct compensation for our CEO approximated the Compensation Peer Group median.

Performance Measures That Drive Business Goals and Stockholder Return. Our compensation program rewards achievement of a variety of measures, including corporate financial performance (*e.g.*, net earnings and return on assets employed), safety, new awards gross margin and individual performance goals. This variety provides the company a means to drive multiple short- and long-term goals, including goals that are tied to stock price growth, and to provide a balanced compensation package for our executives that encourages them to focus on the overall health of the company and not on any one measure.

Performance-Driven Long-Term Incentive Awards. Stock-based awards granted under our Value Driver Incentive ("VDI") program are increased or decreased at the end of the performance period based on the achievement of targets related to new awards gross margin. In addition, awards are designated as performance units, the value of which fluctuates with the stock price over the performance period and subsequent vesting periods. Approximately 25% of our Chief Executive Officer's target compensation is driven by new awards gross margin, which historically has been a key contributor to stockholder return.

Substantial Stock-Based Compensation. Since stock price performance in the long term is one of the best indicators of the performance of our company, we deliver most of our executive compensation in the form of stock incentives (*e.g.*, approximately 75% of our Chief Executive Officer's target total direct compensation is stock-based). As such, if our stock price declines, the value of stock incentives held by executives declines as well.

Table of Contents

2013 Company Performance. In 2013, net earnings attributable to Fluor were \$668 million, up substantially from \$456 million a year ago. New awards and revenue remained strong, and the company delivered a total shareholder return of approximately 38% for 2013. The chart below summarizes some of the key company financial results for fiscal 2013 compared to 2012. For a full description of the company's results, please see the company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2014.

Financial Measure	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013		Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012		
	(dollars in millions)				
Net Earnings Attributable to Fluor	&zwsp;	\$667.7	&zwsp;	\$456.3	&zwsp;
Return on Operating Assets Employed		22.6%		16.6%	
Revenue	&zwsp;	\$27,352	&zwsp;	\$27,577	&zwsp;
New Awards		\$25,086		\$27,129	
Backlog	&zwsp;	\$34,907	&zwsp;	\$38,199	&zwsp;
Total Shareholder Return		38%		18%	

Pay for Performance and CEO Compensation

As noted above, our compensation programs reward achievement of a variety of measures.

In 2013, annual incentive payments reflected strong earnings and return on operating assets employed (ROAE) performance at target levels, with annual incentive payments for 2013 being higher than those made for 2012 performance, when the company's performance against the applicable measures was not as strong as 2013 performance against those measures.

Long-term business prospects, as measured by new awards, remain strong and our named executives received VDI payments that were above target (although slightly lower than those made in 2012) to reflect their performance against the new awards gross margin measures.

In light of these results, total direct compensation for our CEO increased from the prior year, with his total direct compensation approximating the peer group median for target total direct compensation.

Actual cash compensation and actual total direct compensation for our CEO for 2013, as compared to (i) his actual compensation for 2012, (ii) his target compensation for 2013 and (iii) the target peer group median (as of our latest compensation review in August 2013), is illustrated below.

CEO Compensation vs. Target Peer Group Median

Cash Compensation
(in thousands)

Total Direct Compensation
(in thousands)

(1) Does not include the amount of the retention award granted in 2008, which vested in 2013.

Table of Contents

How Named Executive Compensation is Tied to Performance

We use a balanced approach to compensation, with total direct compensation ("TDC") consisting of a variety of pay elements designed with different links to performance as described in the table below:

Component	Primary Purpose	Linkage to Performance	Percent of CEO Target TDC
<i>Base Salary</i>	Provides a market competitive, stable level of income to attract and retain highly qualified executives	> Based on individual experience, performance, organizational responsibility and overall salary movements in the industry, the Board or the Organization & Compensation Committee, as applicable, determines an appropriate salary adjustment each year	11%
<i>Annual Incentive Award</i>	Provides annual cash compensation for performance of measures that drive long-term company value: Net Earnings Return on Operating Assets Employed Safety	> Annual forecasts on net earnings and other factors are made at the beginning of each fiscal year, and are used as the target achievement levels in the annual incentive plan > The annual incentive is completely at risk, depending on the level of performance against the criteria	14%
<i>Long-Term Incentives</i>	Strategic Operating Objectives <i>Value Driver Incentive Performance Units</i> Provide a stock-based long-term retention vehicle that is linked to gross margin associated with new awards, which contributes to backlog, a factor we historically have considered to have a high correlation with stockholder value creation	> Forecasts for new awards gross margin are made at the beginning of each year, and performance units are earned based on the extent to which those expectations are met >	25%

The performance units vest over three years, with the value increasing or decreasing with the stock price over both the performance period and vesting periods

>

The incentive is completely at risk, depending on the performance against the relevant measures (and the stock price)

Stock Options

Provide a long-term retention vehicle that is directly linked to stockholder value creation over time

>

25%

Stock options attain value only if the stock price grows over the initial grant price

Restricted Stock Units

Provide a long-term retention vehicle that is directly linked to stock price

>

25%

Restricted stock units vest over time, and as such the value to the executive increases or decreases with the stock price performance over the vesting period

Table of Contents

Compensation Actions for 2013

The Organization and Compensation Committee (the "Committee") took the following actions with respect to executive compensation for 2013:

Recommended raising target compensation levels for Mr. Seaton so that his compensation approximated the median compensation for chief executive officers in our Compensation Peer Group;

Increased base salary levels for the named executives between 3.0% and 11.1% to compensate them for their experience and organizational responsibility and to keep them in line with market compensation for similarly situated executives;

Approved annual incentive award cash payouts that reflected performance that met target financial and certain other operational goals, with payouts higher than those made for 2012; and

Approved VDI payouts to reflect above-target company performance of the relevant new awards margin measures in 2013.

Corporate Governance Highlights

Our policies regarding executive compensation reflect our strong focus on sound corporate governance. In particular,

our change in control agreements are governed by double trigger arrangements and do not provide for tax gross-ups;

our performance-based compensation arrangements are tied to business metrics that we use in discussing our financial and operating results with our investors and analysts;

we have robust stock ownership guidelines and require named executives to retain 100% of the net shares received from equity awards to the extent the guidelines are not met;

we have a clawback policy for performance-based compensation;

repricing of stock options is not allowed without stockholder approval;

our policies prohibit hedging, pledging and short-term trading of company common stock;

payment of dividends or dividend equivalents on unearned performance awards is prohibited;

we use an outside independent consultant to advise on all executive compensation matters as noted earlier on pages 15-16; and

we conduct and consider the results of compensation risk management assessments on an annual basis.

Components of 2013 Named Executive Compensation

Base Salary

The company provides named executives with base salaries that provide a competitive, stable level of income, since most other elements of their compensation are at risk based on company or stock performance. In determining base salaries for positions held by named executives, the Committee generally targets the 50th percentile for similar types of executives within the Compensation Peer Group. Base salaries may deviate from the 50th percentile to attract key talent and for named executives with varying levels of experience or specialized duties or skill sets. The Committee reviews base salaries for named executives annually and upon a change in responsibilities.

Table of Contents

In evaluating the Chief Executive Officer's base salary and his recommendations for the base salaries of the other named executives, the Committee considered the following factors during its 2013 annual review:

the Compensation Peer Group data and other general industry survey data for comparable positions;

individual level of responsibility, performance and contributions to the company;

internal pay equity based on relative duties and responsibilities;

the company's 2013 salary budget; and

the Board's evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer's performance and the Chief Executive Officer's feedback on the other named executives' performance.

Based on these considerations, the Committee increased base salaries for 2013 between 3.0% and 11.1%, with a particular focus on providing salaries that approximate the 50th percentile of base pay for similarly situated executives in the Compensation Peer Group. The base salaries for the named executives (following the salary increases), as compared to the median, were as shown below:

Named Executive	2013 Base Salary	Compensation Peer Group Median Salary⁽¹⁾
David T. Seaton	\$1,200,000	\$1,270,000
Biggs C. Porter	\$793,000	\$658,000
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$623,000	\$652,000
David R. Dunning	\$500,000	\$577,000
Carlos M. Hernandez	\$588,000	\$593,000

(1) Information is as of our latest compensation review in August 2013 and is based on public filings up to and including June 30, 2013.

For 2013, the base salaries for Mr. Seaton, Mr. Dobbs and Mr. Hernandez were at approximately the 50th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group. Mr. Porter's base salary was between the median and top quartile of chief financial officers within the Compensation Peer Group, reflecting his years of experience in various finance positions (including chief financial officer) and our efforts to attract him to the company. Mr. Dunning's base salary was below the median, reflecting his relatively recent promotion to his position.

Annual Incentive Awards

Cash-based annual incentives are provided to reward named executives for performance during the year. Each named executive participates in the Fluor Corporation 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (as amended and restated in 2013, the "Performance Plan") and is provided with a target annual incentive amount, based on a percentage of his annual base salary. This percentage reflects the executive's respective organizational level, position and responsibility for achievement of the company's strategic goals. For 2013, all named executives were provided an annual incentive target percentage of base salary that approximated the 50th percentile of target annual incentive award percentages for executives with similar job responsibilities within the Compensation Peer Group.

Table of Contents

The target annual incentives for 2013 for each named executive were as follows:

Named Executive	Percentage of Base Salary	Target Annual Incentive Amount
David T. Seaton	130%	\$1,560,000
Biggs C. Porter	85%	\$674,100
Stephen B. Dobbs	85%	\$529,600
David R. Dunning	85%	\$425,000
Carlos M. Hernandez	85%	\$499,800

A named executive may receive more or less than the target annual incentive amount, depending on whether he meets, fails to meet or exceeds certain performance measures relating to overall company performance, the individual's own performance and, for Mr. Dobbs, the performance of his group during the year. The types of measures and relative weight of those measures are determined by the Committee each year and are tailored to the named executive's position and organizational responsibility. The performance measures have remained fairly consistent over the past five years, but the Committee has adjusted their relative weightings from time to time to reflect the Committee's emphasis on particular goals.

When making its determination regarding performance measures, the Committee considers the company's annual operating plan and strategic priorities, as well as the company's performance in the previous year. The discretionary individual performance measure is subjective; and no targets are set for this measure. The other measures for each named executive are objective. The use of multiple financial goals prevents an overemphasis on any one financial metric; and the other metrics assist in focusing executives on key areas of importance to the company. The measures, along with their respective weightings, for each named executive were as follows:

2013 Measure	David T. Seaton	Biggs C. Porter	Stephen B. Dobbs	David R. Dunning	Carlos M. Hernandez
Corporate Net Earnings	50%	45%	35%	45%	45%
Corporate Return on Operating Assets Employed (ROAE)	30%	30%	20%	30%	30%
Corporate Safety					
Days Away from Work Incidence Rate	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Total Recordable Case Incidence Rate	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
HSE Corporate Audit Score	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Industrial & Infrastructure Group Segment Profit			20%		
Discretionary Individual Performance	10%	15%	15%	15%	15%

Determination of Performance Measures for 2013

Corporate net earnings ties to the amount set forth in our financial statements but may be adjusted at the discretion of the Committee for extraordinary non-operating events. Corporate ROAE is calculated by dividing full year corporate net earnings (excluding after-tax interest expense) by net assets employed. Net assets employed is defined as total assets (excluding excess cash and current and non-current marketable securities) minus current liabilities (excluding non-recourse debt) and is

Table of Contents

calculated based on average net assets reported for the previous five quarters. No adjustments were made to these measures for purposes of 2013 compensation decisions.

Corporate safety includes three distinct measures: Fluor's days away from work incidence rate, Fluor's total recordable case incidence rate and Fluor's health, safety and environmental (HSE) corporate audit score. Fluor's days away from work incidence rate is defined as a work-related injury or illness that involves days away from work beyond the day of injury or onset of the illness. Fluor's total recordable case incidence rate is defined as a work-related injury or illness that results in one or more of the following: days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, loss of consciousness, a significant injury or illness diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health care professional, or death. Incidence rates for both measures represent the number of recordable cases per 100 full-time workers (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year), and are calculated using the following equation:

Fluor's HSE corporate audit score measures Fluor's performance of approximately 80 leading indicators in the critical areas that drive performance and safety on our projects. Each indicator is given a score by the HSE corporate audit team based on project performance, with the overall score being the average of the scores for all indicators across audited projects.

Group segment profit is reported in our financial statements on page F-45 of our annual report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2014. Segment profit is calculated as revenue less cost of revenue and earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests excluding: corporate administrative and general expense; interest expense; interest income; domestic and foreign income taxes; and other non-operating income and expense items. A group's segment profit measure can be adjusted at the discretion of the Committee for extraordinary non-operating events. No adjustments were made to this measure in 2013 for purposes of compensation decisions.

For all named executives other than the Chief Executive Officer, the discretionary individual measure is given a rating based on subjective evaluations and recommendations by the Chief Executive Officer. In the case of the Chief Executive Officer, individual performance is assessed by the independent directors of the Board.

Annual Incentive Performance for 2013

The overall level of achievement of the targets in 2013 was higher than last year, with each financial performance measure higher than 2012 performance, and the safety measures on par with 2012 performance. The 2013 performance ranges established in February 2013 for each of the measures applicable to our named executives, together with the actual achievement amounts for such measures, are presented below. In setting the 2013 performance ranges for each measure, the Committee took into account our business strategy as well as the economic outlook at the beginning of the fiscal year, in order to provide meaningful targets for the named executives.

The company's performance for 2013 varied with respect to each corporate measure. Both corporate net earnings and ROAE approximated target performance. With respect to the corporate safety measures, the days away from work incidence rate achieved minimum performance while the total recordable case incidence rate and HSE corporate audit score were between upper target and

Table of Contents

maximum performance. Industrial & Infrastructure group segment profit was between upper target and maximum performance.

Measure (dollars in millions)	2013 Actual Achievement	Minimum (.25/.50 rating) ⁽¹⁾	Target (1.0 rating)	Upper Target (1.5 rating)	Maximum (2.0 rating)
Net Earnings Attributable to Fluor	\$667.7	\$465.9	\$665.5 ⁽²⁾	\$725.4	\$765.3
Corporate ROAE	22.6%	15.5%	22.2% ⁽²⁾	24.2%	25.5%
Corporate Safety					
Days Away from Work Incidence Rate	.07	.07	.05	.04	.03
Total Recordable Case Incidence Rate	.30	.55	.45	.35	.25
HSE Corporate Audit Scores	89%	70%	80%	85%	90%
Industrial & Infrastructure Group Segment Profit	\$476.0	\$297.7	\$425.3	\$463.6	\$489.1

(1) The minimum rating for Net Earnings Attributable to Fluor and Corporate ROAE is .25, and the minimum rating for Corporate Safety and Group Segment Profit is .50. The minimum level for each goal is required to be satisfied before there is any payout for the performance measure.

(2) Actual achievement must be between 95% and 105% of the target amount for the target to be met.

Achievement of the discretionary individual performance measure varied among the named executives because of the difference in responsibilities and the accomplishments of each individual. The Committee determined the achievement of the discretionary individual performance measure for the named executives other than the Chief Executive Officer, after taking into account the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations with regard to those named executives, and also recommended to the Board the achievement of this measure for the Chief Executive Officer. Subjective evaluations made by the Chief Executive Officer were based on each named executive's leadership and group accomplishments. The individual performance measure was not a significant factor in determining compensation, and no named executive's compensation was materially affected by his level of achievement of this measure.

Once the achievement amounts are determined and compared to the various targets, each named executive's overall performance rating is calculated by multiplying each measure's rating (which can range from 0% to 200% achievement, measured on a proportional basis between each of minimum and target, target and upper target, and upper target and maximum) by its relative weighting, and then aggregating those amounts. The aggregate amount (the overall performance rating) is then multiplied by the individual's target annual incentive amount to determine the annual incentive payment for each named executive.

Table of Contents

The annual incentive amounts for 2013 performance for each named executive were determined as follows:

Named Executive	Target Annual Incentive Amount	x	Overall Performance Rating	=	Annual Incentive Amount
David T. Seaton	\$1,560,000	x	1.12	=	\$1,750,000
Biggs C. Porter	\$674,100	x	1.08	=	\$728,100
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$529,600	x	1.24	=	\$656,800
David R. Dunning	\$425,000	x	1.19	=	\$505,800
Carlos M. Hernandez	\$499,800	x	1.12	=	\$559,800

For 2013, the annual incentive payment for each of the named executives was between target and upper target achievement based on company, group and individual performance. The annual incentive payment for each named executive was higher than his 2012 payment, primarily due to the higher achievement levels of the corporate performance measures as noted above. Annual incentive payments were in line with the historical correlation between payouts and performance.

Long-Term Incentive Program

In 2013, the company's long-term incentives were awarded by the Committee under the Performance Plan. The plan is designed to allow for awards that create increased value for our stockholders, reward the achievement of superior operating results, facilitate the attraction and retention of key management personnel and align the interests of management and stockholders through equity ownership. The total dollar award value for the 2013 long-term awards was targeted and granted at approximately the 50th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group.

Table of Contents

Consistent with our recent practice, in 2013 the long-term incentive awards for named executives included three components:

Component	Percentage of LTI Grant Value	Objectives and Benefits of Component
Value Driver Incentive ("VDI") Awards	34%	<p>></p> <p>Provide stock-based compensation (payable in either cash or stock) for the achievement of the new awards gross margin performance measures established annually by the Committee (which measures have historically been a key contributor to stockholder return)</p> <p>></p> <p>Incentivize named executives to grow the business and create stockholder value during the current year</p> <p>></p> <p>Promote retention and incentivize holders to create stockholder value that will be realized upon deferred (three-year) vesting (which aligns named executives with stockholders)</p>
Restricted Stock Units	33%	<p>></p> <p>Incentivize named executives to create stockholder value that will be realized upon vesting (which aligns named executives with stockholders)</p> <p>></p> <p>Promote retention over the vesting period since RSUs have value even if the stock price declines or stays flat</p> <p>></p> <p>Balance our compensation program design, as RSUs take into account both upside and downside risk in our stock price</p>
Non-Qualified Stock Options	33%	<p>></p> <p>Provide actual economic value to the named executive only if the price of Fluor stock has increased from the grant date at the time the option is exercised</p> <p>></p> <p>Motivate executive officers by providing more potential upside</p> <p>></p> <p>Promote retention over the vesting period</p>

The Committee believes that the mix of the three components aligns the interests of named executives with those of stockholders by encouraging named executives to focus on both short- and long-term growth of the company, while also providing named executives with a balanced pay package similar to many of our peers. VDI grants were valued at the target dollar value (and converted into performance units based on the closing stock price on the date of grant); restricted stock units were valued at the fair market value (closing stock price) on the date of grant; and stock options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Table of Contents

The Committee determines the dollar value of long-term incentive awards for named executives at the first regularly scheduled meeting of the Committee each year, which is typically held in February. The determinations are made at that time to coincide with the annual performance review (when prior year performance information is available) and compensation adjustment cycle, which are addressed at that same meeting. The long-term incentive awards are granted after the meeting on the third business day following the publication of our annual results, based on the closing price on that date.

Value Driver Incentive Awards

In 2013, the Committee granted stock-based VDI awards to named executives. VDI awards are designated as a number of performance units and for 2013 have a one-year performance period, which started on January 1, 2013 and ended on December 31, 2013, after which they are subject to an additional two-year vesting period ending in February 2016.

The Committee established the following performance criteria and relative weightings for the 2013 VDI awards for named executives:

60% of the total award is based on new awards gross margin dollars; and

40% of the total award is based on new awards gross margin percentage.

The calculation of the target number of units, as well as the eventual determination of the payout of VDI awards, is illustrated below:

New awards gross margin dollars measures the total amount of project gross margin that the company expects to receive as a result of projects awarded within the performance period. New awards gross margin percentage is the total amount of gross margin the company expects to receive as a result of projects awarded within the performance period as a percentage of expected revenue from these projects. The Committee selected these performance criteria because, although measured over a relatively short period, they relate to contracts that typically will extend a number of years into the future and thus will generate, and position the company for, increased future earnings. The Committee believes the inclusion of the two different measures is appropriate given the diversified nature of our business. The relative weightings are determined based on the company's relative business priorities and may be changed from time to time. These measures are not reported in our financial statements, as disclosure of the new awards gross margin targets would result in competitive harm to the company, but are set at levels intended to challenge our executives to achieve business goals established as part of the annual strategic plan. In the past five years, VDI payouts have ranged from 14% to 198% of the target payout and have averaged around 123% of target payout.

In the first quarter of a year, the Committee sets minimum threshold (paid at 50% of target), target (paid at 100% of target), upper target (paid at 150% of target) and maximum (paid at 200% of target) levels for both objectives of the VDI awards for the performance period. When setting these performance goals, the Committee considers the company's past performance, current business outlook and other corporate financial measures. When determining whether the new awards performance goals

Table of Contents

have been met, the Committee takes into account any changes affecting project gross margin backlog (*e.g.*, scope changes, adjustments or cancellations) that occurred during the year.

In the first quarter following the performance period, the Committee determines the actual achievement of the performance measures and adjusts the number of performance units by multiplying the number of performance units by the performance rating (ranging from 0.00 to 2.00). The 2013 performance units vest in full approximately three years following the grant date. The 2011 and 2012 performance units, as adjusted following the performance period, vested half on the one year anniversary of the date of grant and have vested (in the case of the 2011 grant) or will vest (in the case of the 2012 grant) half on the three year anniversary of the date of grant. The performance units are settled in cash or stock, as elected by the named executive, provided that any award for a named executive not meeting company stock ownership guidelines will be settled in stock.

As noted above, the payment schedule is intended to facilitate retention of the participating executives and to link long-term value of the awards to stock price. Each installment of a named executive's award is subject to risk of forfeiture if, prior to payment, the named executive's employment with the company is terminated for any reason other than retirement, death, disability or a qualifying termination within two years after a change in control of the company.

VDI Achievement for 2013

The actual achievement for the 2013 VDI awards was 131% of the target payout level, based on performance from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013. The award will vest in February 2016. The number of performance units granted in connection with the 2013 VDI awards, as adjusted for actual performance, is shown below and is included in the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year End table on page 46.

Named Executive	2012 Grant Amount	Number of Units	
		Granted ⁽¹⁾	Earned Units ⁽²⁾
David T. Seaton	\$2,733,880	44,490	58,282
Biggs C. Porter	\$733,480	11,937	15,638
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$475,095	7,732	10,129
David R. Dunning	\$500,100	6,782	8,885
Carlos M. Hernandez	\$416,750	8,139	10,663

(1) Based on the closing stock price on the date of grant (\$61.45) and rounded up to the nearest whole share.

(2) Calculated using a performance rating of 1.31 and rounded up to the nearest whole share, which units will vest on February 5, 2016.

Table of Contents

Other Compensation Decisions

We pay hiring bonuses when necessary or appropriate to attract top executive talent from other companies. We also periodically grant cash or equity retention awards to retain our current highly qualified executives, to reflect competitive market situations, to address specific project objectives or to reinforce succession planning objectives. Executives we recruit must often forfeit unrealized value in the form of unvested equity and other forgone compensation opportunities provided by their former employers. We provide hiring bonuses to compensate them for this lost opportunity; but we also include service requirements in order to retain the executive. For example, in 2012, Mr. Porter received a hiring bonus in the form of restricted stock units in order to compensate him for stock and other awards he forfeited when he left his prior employer. The units vest in equal thirds over three years from his date of hire if Mr. Porter remains employed by the company through the vesting dates or may vest earlier if his employment is terminated prior to the vesting date due to death, permanent and total disability, termination without cause or a company-initiated termination following a change in control. In 2013, Mr. Dunning received a retention award in the form of restricted stock units and deferred compensation in order to retain his services in a key role relating to company strategy. For further details on these arrangements, see "New Hire and Retention Agreements" on page 45.

Other Elements of Named Executive Compensation

Perquisites

The Committee evaluates perquisites based on their cost efficiency, motivational value and benefits to the company. Perquisites, which are relatively small in relation to total direct compensation, are targeted at the 50th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group. In 2013, named executives were paid a taxable monthly allowance as a substitute for the company reimbursing or paying for perquisites such as an automobile allowance, tax and financial planning, and company-owned country club membership dues. The taxable monthly allowance is provided so that overall compensation for named executives is competitive. In addition, named executives are required to have a physical examination each year that is paid for by the company. Named executives may have spousal travel paid for by the company only when it is for an approved business purpose, in which case a related tax gross-up is provided. In 2013, the company did not provide any other tax gross-ups. Named executives can make personal use of charter aircraft in conjunction with a business purpose, but the named executive is required to reimburse the company for the incremental operational cost. None of the named executives used charter aircraft in 2013 for personal reasons.

Executive Deferred Compensation Program

The named executives are eligible to participate in Fluor's Executive Deferred Compensation Program. The company offers this program to provide retirement and tax planning flexibility and to remain competitive with other companies within our Compensation Peer Group and general industry. Please refer to the discussion in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on pages 50-51 for a more detailed discussion of these arrangements.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

The company provides each of the named executives with cash severance in the event of a termination of employment by the company without cause. The company believes its severance policy assists in attracting and retaining qualified executives. The level of any cash severance payment is based upon base salary and years of service at the time of separation. In addition, each named executive has a change in control agreement that provides additional payments and other benefits if we terminate his employment without cause or if the named executive terminates employment for good reason within two years following a change in control of the company. The change in control agreements are

Table of Contents

designed to reinforce and encourage the continued attention and dedication of the executives without distraction in the face of potentially disruptive circumstances arising from the possibility of the change in control and to serve as an incentive to their continued commitment to and employment with the company. No gross-up for excise taxes, if any, is payable under the change in control agreements. The company will, however, automatically reduce any payments under the agreement to the extent necessary to prevent payments from being subject to excise taxes, but only if by reason of the reduction, the executive's after-tax benefit of the reduced payments exceeds the after-tax benefit if such reduction were not made.

Please refer to the discussion under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below for a more detailed discussion of these arrangements. Severance and change in control benefits are provided to be competitive within the Compensation Peer Group.

Establishing Executive Compensation

Compensation Philosophy, Objectives and Risk Assessment

The Committee has responsibility for establishing and implementing the company's executive compensation philosophy. The Committee reviews and determines all components of named executives' compensation (other than with respect to our Chief Executive Officer's compensation, which the Committee reviews and recommends for approval by our independent directors), including making individual compensation decisions, and reviewing and revising the company's compensation plans, programs and other arrangements.

The Committee has established the following compensation philosophy and objectives for the company's named executives:

Align the interests of named executives with those of the stockholders. The Committee believes it is appropriate to tie a significant portion of executive compensation to the value of the company's stock in order to closely align the interests of named executives with the interests of our stockholders. The Committee also believes that executives should have a meaningful ownership interest in the company and has established and regularly reviews executive stock ownership guidelines.

Have a significant portion of pay that is performance-based. Fluor expects superior performance. Our executive compensation programs are designed to reward executives when performance results for the company and the executive meet or exceed stated objectives. The Committee believes that compensation paid to executives should be closely aligned with the performance of the company relative to expectations.

Provide competitive compensation. The company's executive compensation programs are designed to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified executives critical to achieving Fluor's strategic objectives and building stockholder value.

The Committee reviews the company's compensation philosophy and objectives each year to determine if revisions are necessary in light of market conditions, the company's strategic goals or other relevant factors. In each of the last five years, the Committee determined that no revisions to the executive compensation philosophy and objectives were necessary, although the Committee has adjusted the elements of compensation used to implement its philosophy as compensation practices have evolved.

In addition, the Committee reviewed the incentive compensation we provide to our named executives, including evaluating the mix of programs and performance criteria, the Committee's ability to exercise discretion over certain components of compensation and our risk management practices generally. Based on this review, the Committee believes that our executive compensation programs are

Table of Contents

designed to appropriately align compensation with our business strategy and not to encourage behaviors that could create material adverse risks to our business.

Peer Group Comparisons

In making compensation decisions, the Committee looks at the practices of our Compensation Peer Group. While it is the Committee's intent to keep the Compensation Peer Group the same each year, the Committee annually reviews the composition of the Compensation Peer Group and makes refinements if necessary based on the criteria established by the Committee.

In 2009, the Committee requested that the compensation consultant conduct a holistic review of the Compensation Peer Group and create a consistent set of criteria and a process for selection of the Compensation Peer Group. Potential peer companies were identified by applying the following objective selection criteria:

Standard & Poor's Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) codes for the company, our direct competitors and key customers (2010 capital goods, 101010 energy equipment and services, and 101020 oil, gas and consumable fuels);

Companies commonly identified as peers of direct engineering and construction peers (based on disclosures in their most recent proxy statements);

Companies with generally comparable pay models; and

Companies with generally comparable revenues, number of employees and market capitalization value (with a guideline ranging from 0.25x to 4.0x on all three measures, subject to exception for direct competitors and other engineering and construction peers).

As part of its compensation review for 2013, the Committee reviewed the Compensation Peer Group and determined that the peer group selection criteria should remain unchanged. Using that selection criteria, the Committee determined that five companies should be removed and five new

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

companies should be added. The companies comprising Fluor's Compensation Peer Group for purposes of establishing 2013 compensation were:

AECOM Technology Corporation*	Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.*
Chicago Bridge & Iron Company*	KBR, Inc.*
Cummins Inc.	L-3 Communications Corporation
Danaher Corporation	Lockheed Martin Corporation
Deere & Company	Navistar International Corporation
Dover Corporation	Northrop Grumman Corporation
Eaton Corporation	PACCAR Inc.
Emerson Electric Co.	Parker-Hannifin Corporation
Foster Wheeler AG*	Quanta Services, Inc.*
General Dynamics Corporation	Raytheon Company
Goodrich Corporation	Shaw Group Inc.*
Halliburton Company	Tyco International Ltd.
Hess Corporation	URS Corporation*
Illinois Tool Works Inc.	W.W. Grainger, Inc.
Ingersoll-Rand Company Limited	

*

Direct competitors and other engineering and construction peers.

Shaw Group and Goodrich Corporation were acquired in 2013 and have since been removed from the group for purposes of determining 2014 compensation. In addition, Navistar International Corporation dropped below the prescribed size range and has been removed from the group for purposes of determining 2014 compensation.

The Committee reviews benchmarking comparisons for each named executive based on a job title comparison among the Compensation Peer Group. All job titles that appear to contain similar responsibilities are included in the benchmarking comparisons for each of the named executives.

The Committee sets target compensation levels for the named executives as follows:

Base salary compensation is targeted at the 50th percentile for similar job titles, experience and tenure of executives within the Compensation Peer Group. The Committee believes targeting compensation at this level helps the company attract and retain executives. However, from time to time, the Committee may approve compensation at levels outside the 50th percentile depending on a number of factors, including the named executive's experience, skill sets, industry knowledge and other similar attributes.

Base salary plus annual incentive (*i.e.*, cash) compensation is similarly targeted at the 50th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group for attainment of target-level company and individual performance objectives applicable to annual incentive awards. Annual incentive payments may be made above the 50th percentile if above-target company and individual performance is attained. If company and individual objectives are not met, annual incentive compensation may be below the 50th percentile or not paid at all.

Total direct compensation, or base salary plus annual and long-term incentive grants, is also targeted at the 50th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group for attainment of target-level company performance. Achievement of superior company performance and continued stock

Table of Contents

price appreciation will result in growth of actual total direct compensation over time. Below-target company performance and diminishing stock price appreciation will decrease actual total direct compensation.

A significant portion of total direct compensation is allocated to annual and long-term incentives in accordance with the company's compensation philosophy. The Committee reviews the Compensation Peer Group data each year to determine the appropriate level and mix of incentive compensation including cash-based and equity-based incentives. For 2013, the target allocation between base salary and all other types of incentive compensation as a percentage of the total compensation for the Chief Executive Officer was approximately 11% in base salary and 89% in target annual incentive compensation and long-term incentive award value. The target allocation mix for all other named executives was approximately 22% to 24% in base salary and 76% to 78% in target annual incentive compensation and long-term incentive award value. The differences in the proportion of compensation that is at-risk among the named executives reflects the Committee's policy of providing greater at-risk compensation for executives with the highest amount of responsibility and ability to impact the company results.

In 2013, Mr. Seaton participated in the same compensation programs with similar metrics as other named executives. His compensation is higher than other named executives to reflect his additional responsibilities as Chief Executive Officer and the target compensation of chief executive officers of the peer group, therefore yielding higher payment opportunities. His 2013 total direct compensation was \$10,960,000, which approximated the median compensation of \$10,955,000 of other chief executive officers in our Compensation Peer Group. The table below illustrates how the components of Mr. Seaton's annualized pay are positioned relative to our Compensation Peer Group for other chief executive officers (as of our latest compensation review in August 2013):

	David T. Seaton	Peer Group Median
Base Salary	\$1,200,000	\$1,270,000
Bonus Target	130%	130%
Total Cash Compensation (Base + Bonus) at Target	\$2,760,000	\$3,111,000
Long-Term Incentive Value at Target	\$8,200,000	\$8,366,000

Role of Company Management in Compensation Decisions

Before the Committee makes decisions on base salary and annual and long-term incentives, the Chief Executive Officer reviews compensation for the other named executives and makes recommendations to the Committee based on their individual and group performance. At the beginning of the year, he proposes to the Committee base salary adjustments for the current year, annual incentive award payments for the previous year and current-year long-term incentive grants for each of the other named executives. The Committee reviews and approves the compensation actually paid to the named executives after consideration of the recommendations made by the Chief Executive Officer. The Committee may exercise discretion to modify named executives' compensation from that recommended by the Chief Executive Officer, but did not exercise that discretion for the named executives with respect to 2013 compensation.

Other Aspects of Our Executive Compensation Programs*2013 "Say on Pay" Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation*

We hold an annual "say on pay" advisory vote to approve our executive compensation. At our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders approved the compensation of our named

Table of Contents

executives, with approximately 84% of the votes cast for approval of the company's executive compensation. The Committee evaluated the results of the 2013 advisory vote at its August meeting and then again in February 2014 when determining executive compensation. The Committee also considered many other factors in evaluating our executive compensation program, including the Committee's assessment of the interaction of our compensation programs with our corporate business objectives, evaluations of our program by our compensation consultant, including with respect to "best practices," and a review of data of our Compensation Peer Group. Taking all of this information into account, the Committee did not make any changes to our executive compensation program and policies as a result of the 2013 "say on pay" advisory vote. As requested by the Committee, management continues to engage with stockholders on executive compensation questions or concerns, as needed.

Clawback Policy

Pursuant to the company's clawback policy, if the Board determines that any key executive or employee, including any named executive, has engaged in fraud or willful misconduct that caused or otherwise contributed to a need for a material restatement of the company's financial results, the Board will review all performance-based compensation earned by that employee during the fiscal periods materially affected by the restatement. If the Board determines that any performance-based compensation would have been lower if it had been based on the restated results, the Board will, to the extent permitted by applicable law, seek recoupment of performance-based compensation as it deems appropriate. To date, the Board has not encountered a situation where a review of compensation pursuant to the policy was necessary.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Executive officers are encouraged to hold Fluor common stock to align their financial interests with those of the stockholders. The company has established ownership guidelines for named executives as follows:

Role	Value of Shares or Share Units to be Owned
Chief Executive Officer	6 times base salary
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Legal Officer	3.5 times base salary
Other Named Executives	2 to 2.5 times base salary

A named executive is required to settle VDI awards in stock and to retain all company common stock, including 100% of the net shares acquired from the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock, to the extent he has not satisfied the guidelines. As of the date of this report, all named executives were in compliance with these stock ownership guidelines.

Restrictions on Certain Trading Activities

Our insider trading policy for executive officers and non-management directors prohibits transactions involving short term or speculative trading in, or any hedging or monetization transactions involving, company securities. In addition, our policy prohibits pledging company securities or holding company securities in a margin account.

Tax Implications

The Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC"), which generally prohibits the company from deducting compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 that is paid to named executives other than the Chief

Table of Contents

Financial Officer. In February of each year, the Committee sets and approves performance hurdles designed to allow named executives' long-term incentive awards to potentially qualify as "performance based compensation" as defined under Section 162(m) of the IRC. Stock option proceeds are intended to be deductible under the provisions of the stock plans and the structure of the related grant agreements. Historically, we have claimed a deduction for a significant percentage of our covered executives' taxable income. However, because there are uncertainties as to the application of regulations under Section 162(m), as with most tax matters, it is possible that our deductions may be challenged or disallowed. Accordingly, there is no certainty that elements of compensation discussed in this proxy statement will in fact be deductible by the company. In addition, the Committee retains discretion to approve compensation that is not intended to be deductible under Section 162(m) of the IRC if it determines that circumstances warrant such compensation.

ORGANIZATION AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Management of the company has prepared the Compensation Discussion and Analysis as required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K, and the Committee has reviewed and discussed it with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the proxy statement for the company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Organization and Compensation Committee

Peter J. Fluor, Chairman
James T. Hackett
Kent Kresa
Dean R. O'Hare
Joseph W. Prueher

39

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The table below summarizes the total compensation earned by each of the named executives in 2013.

The 2013 named executives include the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the three other highest paid executives. The positions listed below reflect the positions held as of December 31, 2013. Effective February 10, 2014, Mr. Dobbs stepped down from the position of Group President, Industrial & Infrastructure.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁷⁾	Total (\$) ⁽⁸⁾
							Earnings (\$) ⁽⁶⁾		
David T. Seaton	2013	\$1,185,611	\$1,162,283 ⁽⁹⁾	\$5,467,084	\$2,733,099	\$1,750,000		\$243,221	\$12,541,298
Chairman and	2012	\$1,105,798		\$4,735,813	\$2,364,343	\$936,000	\$20,673	\$183,562	\$9,346,189
Chief Executive Officer	2011	\$962,524		\$4,187,576	\$2,062,560	\$2,306,000	\$22,257	\$200,140	\$9,741,057
Biggs C. Porter	2013	\$788,597		\$1,466,873	\$733,294	\$728,100		\$84,264	\$3,801,128
Senior Vice President &	2012	\$533,088		\$4,934,243 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$666,001	\$451,700		\$37,125	\$6,622,157
Chief Financial Officer	2011								
Stephen B. Dobbs	2013	\$619,562	\$1,033,789 ⁽¹¹⁾	\$950,201	\$474,980	\$656,800		\$119,330	\$3,854,662
Group President,	2012	\$601,086		\$867,188	\$432,912	\$272,600		\$74,079	\$2,247,865
Industrial & Infrastructure	2011	\$581,234		\$800,578	\$399,615	\$934,200	\$25,449	\$106,489	\$2,847,565
David R. Dunning	2013	\$490,399		\$1,333,364	\$416,641	\$505,800	\$2,179	\$93,003	\$2,841,386
Group President, Business	2012								
Development & Strategy	2011								
Carlos M. Hernandez	2013	\$582,632		\$1,000,099	\$499,990	\$559,800		\$102,811	\$2,745,332
Senior Vice President &	2012	\$552,367		\$933,938	\$466,259	\$299,900	\$3,267	\$76,243	\$2,331,974
Chief Legal Officer	2011	\$517,305		\$800,578	\$399,615	\$800,400	\$10,906	\$80,012	\$2,608,816

- (1) The amounts in column (c) include base salary and any time off with pay utilized during the year.
- (2) The amounts in column (d) are non-plan arrangements as described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45. Annual incentive payments appear in column (g).
- (3) The amounts in column (e) represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock units (RSUs) and the long-term Value Driver Incentive (VDI) awards granted in each year. The fair value of the RSUs is based on the fair market value on the date of grant, calculated as the closing price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 ("ASC 718"). The VDI awards were converted from a dollar grant value and are tracked as performance units starting on the date of grant based on the closing price of the company's common stock on that day. The grant date fair value of the 2013 VDI awards, assuming the highest level of performance is achieved, is two times the target value, or: \$5,467,822 for Mr. Seaton; \$1,467,058 for Mr. Porter; \$950,262 for Mr. Dobbs; \$833,508 for Mr. Dunning; and \$1,000,284 for Mr. Hernandez.

Table of Contents

The chart below details the amounts of each type of award granted in 2013:

	David T. Seaton	Biggs C. Porter	Stephen B. Dobbs	David R. Dunning	Carlos M. Hernandez
RSUs	\$2,733,173	\$733,344	\$475,070	\$916,610	\$499,957
VDI	\$2,733,911	\$733,529	\$475,131	\$416,754	\$500,142
TOTAL	\$5,467,084	\$1,466,873	\$950,201	\$1,333,364	\$1,000,099

- (4) The amounts in column (f) represent the aggregate grant date fair value of options granted in each year. The fair value of these awards is based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant in accordance with ASC 718. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in the "Stock-Based Plans" footnote to the company's audited financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, included in the company's Annual Reports on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2014, February 20, 2013 and February 22, 2012, respectively.
- (5) The amounts in column (g) represent amounts earned as annual incentive in each year.
- (6) The amounts in column (h) represent the actuarial increase in the present value of the named executive's benefits under the company's pension plan. The increase was calculated using the interest rate, discount rate and form of payment assumptions consistent with those used in the company's audited financial statements. The calculation assumes benefit commencement is at normal retirement age (age 65), and was computed without respect to pre-retirement death, termination or disability. Msrs. Seaton, Dobbs and Hernandez had negative changes in their pension values in 2013 of (\$8,313), (\$4,218) and (\$257), respectively. Effective December 31, 2011, no further company contributions were credited to any of the named executives' pension plan accounts. The decrease in pension values is solely due to the increase in the discount rate from 2012 to 2013. Earnings on deferred compensation are not reflected in this column because the company does not provide above-market or guaranteed returns on nonqualified deferred compensation.
- (7) The amounts in column (i) are detailed in a separate All Other Compensation table below.
- (8) The amounts in column (j) represent the total of columns (c) through (i).
- (9) This amount represents the vesting of a deferred cash retention award granted to Mr. Seaton as described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45.
- (10) This amount includes a \$3,600,000 hiring bonus that was included in Mr. Porter's employment offer as described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45.
- (11) This amount represents the vesting of a deferred cash retention award granted to Mr. Dobbs as described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45.

Table of Contents**ALL OTHER COMPENSATION**

The following table and related footnotes describe each component of the All Other Compensation column (i) of the Summary Compensation Table for 2013.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Name	Company Contributions to Qualified and Nonqualified Defined Contribution Plans (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Tax Gross-ups (\$) ⁽²⁾	Perquisite Allowances (\$) ⁽³⁾	Other Perquisites (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾
David T. Seaton	\$129,549	\$14,827	\$71,100	\$27,745	\$243,221
Biggs C. Porter	\$27,451	\$3,068	\$49,500	\$4,245	\$84,264
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$74,095	\$5,384	\$32,400	\$7,451	\$119,330
David R. Dunning	\$43,545	\$8,179	\$32,400	\$8,879	\$93,003
Carlos M. Hernandez	\$54,223	\$6,791	\$32,400	\$9,397	\$102,811

(1) The amounts in column (b) represent amounts deposited by the company into each named executive's account in the 401(k) plan, pursuant to the company's 5% match, and amounts contributed by the company into each named executive's account in the non-qualified deferred compensation plan for matching or discretionary contributions that would have been credited to each named executive's account in the 401(k) plan for the portion of base salary or contributions in excess of IRC limitations.

(2) The amounts in column (c) represent the tax gross-up provided for business-related spousal travel and business-related spousal air charter usage.

(3) The amounts in column (d) represent the aggregate perquisite allowance paid monthly as a substitute for the company reimbursing or paying for perquisites such as an automobile allowance, tax and financial planning, and company-owned country club membership dues. Not more than \$25,000 of the allowance was used by any named executive for any single type of perquisite.

(4) The amounts in column (e) represent the incremental cost for business-related spousal travel and business-related spousal air charter usage and, for Mr. Seaton, the value of security measures taken in 2013, each of which was less than \$25,000.

(5) The amounts in column (f) represent the totals of columns (b) through (e).

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN 2013**

The table below provides information about equity and non-equity awards granted to the named executives in 2013.

	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) (f) (g) Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			(h) (i) (j) Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽³⁾			(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)
	Type of Award ⁽¹⁾	Grant Date	Approval Date	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) ⁽⁴⁾	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options ⁽⁵⁾	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards Per Share (\$/sh) ⁽⁶⁾	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Option Award (\$)
T.	RSU	2/25/2013	2/2/2013							44,478			\$2,733
	SO	2/25/2013	2/2/2013								158,676	\$61.45	\$2,733
	VDI	2/25/2013	2/2/2013	0	44,490	88,980							\$2,733
	AI	N/A	N/A				\$0	\$1,560,000	\$3,120,000				
C.	RSU	2/25/2013	2/1/2013							11,934			\$733
	SO	2/25/2013	2/1/2013								42,573	\$61.45	\$733
	VDI	2/25/2013	2/1/2013	0	11,937	23,874							\$733
	AI	N/A	N/A				\$0	\$674,100	\$1,348,200				
n B.	RSU	2/25/2013	2/1/2013							7,731			\$475
	SO	2/25/2013	2/1/2013								27,576	\$61.45	\$475
	VDI	2/25/2013	2/1/2013	0	7,732	15,464							\$475
	AI	N/A	N/A				\$0	\$529,600	\$1,059,200				
R. ng	RSU	2/25/2013	2/1/2013							6,780			\$416
	RSU	9/27/2013	9/26/2013							7,037			\$499
	SO	2/25/2013	2/1/2013								24,189	\$61.45	\$416
	VDI	2/25/2013	2/1/2013	0	6,782	13,564							\$416
	AI	N/A	N/A				\$0	\$425,000	\$850,000				
M. ndez	RSU	2/25/2013	2/1/2013							8,136			\$499
	SO	2/25/2013	2/1/2013								29,028	\$61.45	\$499
	VDI	2/25/2013	2/1/2013	0	8,139	16,278							\$500
	AI	N/A	N/A				\$0	\$499,800	\$999,600				

(1) The types of awards that were granted in 2013 are as follows: Restricted Stock Units (RSU), Stock Options (SO), Value Driver Incentive (VDI) and Annual Incentive (AI).

(2) Columns (e), (f) and (g) show the potential number of units for each named executive of his 2013 VDI award if the threshold, target and maximum performance goals are satisfied. All potential payouts are performance-driven, and therefore completely at risk. The performance goals are described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on page 31. The performance units vest in full on February 5, 2016.

(3)

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

Columns (h), (i) and (j) show the potential value of the payout for each named executive of his 2013 annual incentive award if the threshold, target and maximum performance goals are satisfied. All potential payouts are performance-driven, and therefore completely at risk. The performance goals are described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on pages 26-27.

- (4) The amounts in column (k) represent the number of restricted stock units granted on February 25, 2013 as part of the 2013 long-term incentive awards. These restricted stock units vest in equal thirds over three years from the date of grant. For Mr. Dunning, these amounts also represent the number of restricted stock units granted on September 27, 2013 under a retention award described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45. These restricted stock units for Mr. Dunning vest in full on June 1, 2015 if he remains employed by the company through that date.
- (5) The amounts in column (l) represent the number of nonqualified stock options granted on February 25, 2013 as part of the 2013 long-term incentive awards. The options vest in equal thirds over three years from the date of grant.
- (6) The amounts in column (m) represent the exercise price of the nonqualified stock options, which was the closing price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

- (7) This amount represents the fair value of the restricted stock units granted on February 25, 2013 as part of the 2013 long-term incentive awards. The value is computed in accordance with ASC 718, using the grant price of \$61.45 per share, which was the closing price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.
- (8) This amount represents the grant date fair value of the nonqualified stock options granted on February 25, 2013 as part of the 2013 long-term incentive awards. The value is computed in accordance with ASC 718, using a Black-Scholes option pricing model value of \$17.2244 per option.
- (9) This amount represents the grant date fair value of the 2013 VDI performance units granted on February 25, 2013 as part of the 2013 long-term incentive awards, using the grant price of \$61.45 per unit, which was the closing price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of the grant.
- (10) This amount represents the fair value of the restricted stock units granted on September 27, 2013 under a retention award described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45. The value is computed in accordance with ASC 718, using the grant price of \$71.05 per share, which was the closing price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

NEW HIRE AND RETENTION AGREEMENTS

In January 2008, the company entered into retention agreements with Mr. Seaton and Mr. Dobbs to ensure their services were retained for continued growth of the company and as part of the succession planning process. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the retention agreement, which included a requirement of continuous employment with the company, they received awards consisting of two components. First, upon continued employment at each vesting date, the 32,928 restricted stock units granted under the retention agreements vested in equal thirds on January 31, 2011, January 31, 2012 and January 31, 2013. Second, on January 31, 2008, each of Mr. Seaton and Mr. Dobbs received a sum of \$1,000,000 credited to his special deferred compensation program account that vested, together with the accrued gains, on March 31, 2013.

In March 2012, the company entered into an employment letter with Mr. Porter, in which he was granted a hiring bonus in order to cover the loss of unvested compensation he was forfeiting with his prior employer. The award was granted in the amount of \$3,600,000 with a vesting schedule over three years to incentivize him to remain employed by the company. One-third of the award vested on April 9, 2013, one-third will vest on April 9, 2014 and the remainder will vest on April 9, 2015.

In September 2013, the company entered into a retention agreement with Mr. Dunning to ensure his continued service. The award consists of RSUs with a grant date value of \$499,979 and a deferred cash award of \$750,000, all of which will vest in full on June 1, 2015 if he remains employed by the company through that date.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2013 FISCAL YEAR END**

The following table provides information on the holdings of stock options and restricted stock shares and units by the named executives as of December 31, 2013.

(a) Name	(b) Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	(c) & (d) Option Awards ⁽¹⁾			(e) Option Grant Date	(f) Option Expiration Date	(g) & (h) Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	
		(c) Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	(d) Option Exercise Price (\$)	(g) Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽³⁾			(h) Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	
David T. Seaton		29,363	\$70.76	02/28/2011	02/28/2021	197,079	\$15,823,473	
		78,984	\$62.50	02/27/2012	02/27/2022			
		158,676	\$61.45	02/25/2013	02/25/2023			
Biggs C. Porter	12,297	24,594	\$56.54	05/03/2012	05/03/2022	84,901	\$6,816,701	
		42,573	\$61.45	02/25/2013	02/25/2023			
Stephen B. Dobbs	4,158		\$42.11	02/09/2006	02/09/2016	41,231	\$3,310,437	
	17,960		\$44.71	03/06/2007	03/06/2017			
	13,608		\$68.36	03/04/2008	03/04/2018			
	22,545		\$30.46	03/02/2009	03/02/2019			
	41,391		\$42.75	03/02/2010	03/02/2020			
	11,378	5,689	\$70.76	02/28/2011	02/28/2021			
7,231	14,462	\$62.50	02/27/2012	02/27/2022				
David R. Dunning		27,576	\$61.45	02/25/2013	02/25/2023	35,295	\$2,833,836	
	2,268		\$68.36	03/04/2008	03/04/2018			
	7,586	3,793	\$70.76	02/28/2011	02/28/2021			
	5,006	10,012	\$62.50	02/27/2012	02/27/2022			
Carlos M. Hernandez		24,189	\$61.45	02/25/2013	02/25/2023	36,765	\$2,951,862	
	13,608		\$68.36	03/04/2008	03/04/2018			
	13,797		\$42.75	03/02/2010	03/02/2020			
	11,378	5,689	\$70.76	02/28/2011	02/28/2021			
	7,788	15,576	\$62.50	02/27/2012	02/27/2022			
		29,028	\$61.45	02/25/2013	02/25/2023			

(1) The option expiration date is ten years from the grant date. Options vest as follows:

Award Year	Vesting Period	Vesting Date
2006	20% per year over 5 years	February 5
2007	20% per year over 5 years	March 6
2008 and later	One-third per year for 3 years	March 6

(2) The amounts in column (g) include restricted stock shares, restricted stock units and performance units. The vesting dates for the restricted stock shares and units are as follows:

Award Year	Type of Award	Vesting Period	Vesting Date
2005 and earlier	RSS	100% after 10 years	February 5
2008 and later	RSU	One-third per year for 3 years	March 6

Beginning in 2008, restricted stock units were granted instead of restricted stock shares. Upon vesting, named executives will receive a cash payment equal to the amount of dividends that would have otherwise been paid from the date of grant on an equivalent number of shares.

Table of Contents

The vesting dates for the performance units are as follows:

Award Year	Vesting Period	Vesting Date
2011 and 2012	50% 1 year from grant and 50% 3 years from grant date	February 28
2013	100% approximately 3 years from grant date	February 5

(3)

The following table provides the number of unvested restricted stock shares or units by vesting date for each named executive as of December 31, 2013.

Vesting Date	David T. Seaton	Biggs C. Porter	Stephen B. Dobbs	David R. Dunning	Carlos M. Hernandez
February 5, 2014	1,000		2,200	490	
March 6, 2014	37,152	3,978	6,769	5,114	7,082
April 9, 2014		20,395			
May 7, 2014		3,927			
February 5, 2015	762		3,954	374	
March 6, 2015	27,436	3,978	4,886	3,859	5,199
April 9, 2015		20,395			
May 7, 2015		3,927			
June 1, 2015				7,037	
March 6, 2016	14,826	3,978	2,577	2,260	2,712
Total	81,176	60,578	20,386	19,134	14,993

The following table includes the unvested performance units granted under the 2011, 2012 and 2013 VDI programs. These units have been adjusted for actual performance at the end of the performance period (December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, respectively).

	Unvested Performance Units			
	2011 VDI	2012 VDI	2013 VDI	Total
David T. Seaton	29,732	27,889	58,282	115,903
Biggs C. Porter		8,685	15,638	24,323
Stephen B. Dobbs	5,609	5,107	10,129	20,845
David R. Dunning	3,740	3,536	8,885	16,161
Carlos M. Hernandez	5,609	5,500	10,663	21,772

(4)

The market value in the Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested column (h) is determined by multiplying the number of shares by the closing price (\$80.29) of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2013, the last trading day of the fiscal year.

Table of Contents**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2013**

The following table provides information on the option exercises by and restricted stock shares, restricted stock units and VDI award vestings for the named executives in 2013.

(a) Name	(b) Option Awards		(d) Stock Awards		(e)
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)	
David T. Seaton	193,119	\$2,941,741	72,242	\$4,533,545	
Biggs C. Porter	0	\$0	33,007	\$2,035,030	
Stephen B. Dobbs	0	\$0	25,718	\$1,634,095	
David R. Dunning	32,597	\$1,093,516	9,829	\$614,519	
Carlos M. Hernandez	35,109	\$785,762	14,549	\$908,275	

A portion of the shares reported under Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise and Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting are withheld or sold on behalf of the named executive upon exercise or vesting to satisfy exercise costs and tax withholding obligations, and are included in the Value Realized on Exercise and Value Realized on Vesting columns.

Table of Contents**PENSION BENEFITS**

The company provides a pension plan, which is a cash balance qualified defined benefit plan, generally available to most U.S. salaried employees employed prior to December 31, 2009, including all named executives (other than Mr. Porter who is not a participant in the plan because he was not employed prior to December 31, 2009). On September 2, 2011, the Board of Directors approved an amendment to the plan to freeze the accrual of future company contributions to eligible participants on December 31, 2011. Interest credits on accumulated benefits as of December 31, 2011 continue to accrue in accordance with the terms of the plan.

The amounts in the Present Value of Accumulated Benefit column (d) represent the present value of accumulated benefits as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The Number of Years of Credited Service in column (c) represents the years of service at the time the plan was frozen on December 31, 2011. The actuarial values were calculated using a discount rate of 4.95%, a future annual interest credit rate of 3.00%, assumed benefit commencement age of 65 and a lump sum form of payment.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)
David T. Seaton	Pension Plan	25	\$118,218
Biggs C. Porter	Pension Plan	0	\$0
Stephen B. Dobbs	Pension Plan	30	\$153,841
David R. Dunning	Pension Plan	33	\$176,471
Carlos M. Hernandez	Pension Plan	3	\$30,512

No amounts were credited to the pension plan accounts of any of the named executives until after the pension plan became effective on January 1, 1999. Effective December 31, 2011, no further company contributions were credited to any of the named executives' pension plan accounts. As of January 1, 2012, a new company contribution was introduced to the named executives' defined contribution plan benefit, generally available to most U.S. salaried employees.

The normal form of payment from the pension plan is a 50% Joint & Survivor Annuity for married participants and a Single Life Annuity for unmarried participants. A lump sum payment option is also available. Payments are permitted upon retirement at age 65 or upon retirement with the service and age combination as defined in the chart below. Msrs. Dobbs and Dunning are eligible for early retirement.

Age	Years of Accumulated Service Immediately Preceding Retirement
60 - 64	5
59	8
58	11
57	13
56	14
55	15
Any Age	30

Table of Contents**NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION**

All U.S. executives, including named executives, are eligible to defer compensation into the Executive Deferred Compensation Program ("EDCP"), which has a number of components. Executives may defer up to 100% of base salary, annual incentive awards and VDI payments elected to be paid in cash. The EDCP also allows executives to contribute between 1% and 20% of base salary to the Excess 401(k) portion of the plan, which allows contributions in excess of the IRC limits for qualified retirement plans.

In addition, the company contributes to the Excess 401(k) portion of the plan any amounts that would have been contributed by the company to the 401(k) plan as matching or discretionary retirement contributions that are in excess of the IRC compensation limit on contributions or were lessened by an election to defer base salary. In 2013, the company matched the first 5% of salary deferred to the 401(k) Plan or Excess 401(k) Plan and made a discretionary contribution of 4% to 7% of base salary depending of years of services. Most U.S. salaried employees were eligible for the 5% match and most received the 4% to 7% discretionary retirement contribution in 2013. Annual enrollment for the EDCP is in December, and elections are made with respect to compensation to be earned in the following year.

The table below shows the deemed investment choices available to the executives in the EDCP and their annual rate of return for the calendar year ended December 31, 2013, as reported by the administrator of the EDCP. The company does not guarantee the rates of return. The executives are provided the opportunity to make changes to their deemed investments on a daily basis.

Fund	Rate of Return	Fund	Rate of Return
Advisor Managed Portfolio Conservative Allocation	1.52%	Hartford Capital Appreciation HLS IA Shares	39.09%
Advisor Managed Portfolio Moderate Allocation	5.17%	Vanguard 500 Index Admiral Shares	32.33%
Advisor Managed Portfolio Moderate Growth Allocation	11.04%	Vanguard PRIMECAP Admiral Shares	39.86%
Advisor Managed Portfolio Growth Allocation	17.11%	Hartford Mid-Cap Value HLS IA Shares	34.75%
Advisor Managed Portfolio Aggressive Allocation	23.85%	Vanguard Mid-Cap Index Investor Shares	35.00%
Fidelity Spartan Money Market	0.01%	JPMorgan U.S. Small Company Select Shares	40.32%
Federated U.S. Treasury Cash Reserves Instl Service Shares	0.00%	Northern Small Cap Index	38.64%
PIMCO Real Return Institutional Class	(9.04%)	MFS New Discovery I Shares	41.16%
Hartford Total Return Bond HLS IA Shares	(1.33%)	American Funds New Perspective Class A	26.77%
MFS High Income A Shares	6.29%	Vanguard International Growth Admiral Shares	23.12%
Vanguard Wellington Admiral Shares	19.76%	Delaware Emerging Markets Instl Shares	14.00%
Hartford Value HLS IA Shares	31.91%	Vanguard REIT Index Admiral Shares	2.42%

For amounts deferred on or after January 1, 2005, distribution elections are made in conjunction with the plan year deferral elections. Distributions can be elected as a lump sum payment or in up to ten annual installments. Distribution payments are made in the month following retirement or termination, with the exception of officers of the company, for whom no distributions will be made prior to six months after retirement or termination. In addition, executives can elect to receive a scheduled in-service distribution as a lump sum or in up to ten annual installments, with the payments commencing no sooner than one year following the end of the plan year of the deferral.

Distributions related to amounts deferred prior to January 1, 2005 are made at the time of retirement or termination and can be elected as a lump sum payment or in up to twenty annual

Table of Contents

installments. Executives can elect to have their distributions commence either in the year of their retirement or termination or the January following their retirement or termination.

The table below shows executive and company contributions made to the EDCP for each named executive as well as the aggregate earnings and aggregate balance at 2013 year-end in the EDCP.

(a) Name	(b) Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) ⁽¹⁾	(c) Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) ⁽²⁾	(d) Aggregate Earnings (Loss) in Last Fiscal Year (\$) ⁽³⁾	(e) Aggregate Balance at December 31, 2013 (\$) ⁽⁴⁾
David T. Seaton	\$151,879	\$99,801	\$550,136	\$2,936,373
Biggs C. Porter	\$28,976	\$24,711	\$1,522	\$55,209
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$192,754	\$42,889	\$1,220,786	\$8,337,961
David R. Dunning	\$0	\$762,898 ⁽⁵⁾	\$232,412	\$1,888,191
Carlos M. Hernandez	\$87,395	\$31,003	\$206,485	\$2,616,163

- (1) The amounts in column (b) represent contributions by each named executive in 2013. Contributions were made as follows to the Excess 401(k) portion of the EDCP and are included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 40 in the Salary column (c) for 2013: Mr. Seaton, \$151,879; Mr. Porter, \$28,976; Mr. Dobbs, \$20,368; and Mr. Hernandez, \$87,395.
- (2) The amounts in column (c) represent contributions by the company in 2013 for the named executives and include matching and discretionary contributions into the Excess 401(k) portion of the plan for the portion of base salary that was in excess of the IRC compensation limit on contributions. All amounts in column (c) are reported in the All Other Compensation column (i) of the Summary Compensation Table on page 40 and in the Company Contributions to Qualified and Nonqualified Defined Contribution Plans column (b) of the All Other Compensation table on page 42.
- (3) None of the deemed investment earnings on vested or unvested deferred compensation, represented in column (d), are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table because the company does not provide above market or guaranteed returns on nonqualified deferred compensation.
- (4) The amounts in column (e) represent the fully vested EDCP balance as of December 31, 2013 for Messrs. Seaton, Porter, Dobbs and Hernandez and include amounts deferred in previous years. For Messrs. Seaton and Dobbs, these amounts also include the value of their deferred retention awards that vested in 2013. For Mr. Dunning, the amount in column (e) represents \$805,318 that is unvested and \$1,082,873 that is fully vested and includes amounts deferred in previous years. These amounts include contributions reported in the summary compensation tables from 2011 and 2012 as follows: Mr. Seaton, \$270,439; Mr. Dobbs, \$19,109; and Mr. Hernandez, \$165,199.
- (5) The amount in column (c) for Mr. Dunning includes the \$750,000 deferred cash retention award made to him in 2013 as described in the New Hire and Retention Agreements discussion on page 45.

Table of Contents

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

The tables below reflect the amount of compensation that would become payable to each of the named executives under existing plans and arrangements if the named executive's employment had terminated on December 31, 2013, given their compensation and service levels as of such date and, if applicable, based on the company's closing stock price on that date. These benefits are in addition to amounts previously earned and to which they are entitled, regardless of the occurrence of any termination of employment, including then-exercisable stock options and vested amounts contributed or credited under the Executive Deferred Compensation Program, as well as benefits generally available to all salaried employees, such as amounts accrued and vested through the company's retirement plans and payout of any accrued time off with pay (collectively, the "Pre-Termination Benefits"). Named executives are entitled to receive the Pre-Termination Benefits regardless of the manner in which their employment is terminated. As described under the scenarios set forth below, additional amounts may be received upon termination, except a termination for cause, in which case, no additional amounts would be received.

The actual amounts that would be paid upon a named executive's termination of employment can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from the company. Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amount of any benefits provided upon the events discussed below, any actual amounts paid or distributed may be higher or lower than reported below. Factors that could affect these amounts include the timing during the year of any such event, the company's stock price and the executive's age. In addition, in connection with any actual termination of employment, the company may determine to enter into an agreement or to establish an arrangement providing additional benefits or amounts, or to alter the terms of benefits described below, as the Committee determines appropriate.

Payments Made Upon Voluntary Termination/Retirement

As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Dobbs and Mr. Dunning are eligible for retirement as defined in the Pension Benefits table on page 49. For Msrs. Dobbs and Dunning, it is assumed that in the case of voluntary termination, they would elect retirement from the company. Named executives not eligible for retirement would receive no additional compensation upon voluntary termination, other than their Pre-Termination Benefits.

In the event of the voluntary termination of a named executive who is eligible for retirement as defined in the Pension Benefits table on page 49, in addition to the Pre-Termination Benefits:

restrictions will lapse on unvested restricted stock shares granted prior to 2008; and

upon the named executive signing a non-competition agreement and assuming the named executive has held the award for at least one year from the date of grant, restrictions will continue to lapse on the dates set forth in the award agreements on unvested restricted stock units granted in 2008 and later, and the unvested options and VDI units granted in 2008 and later will continue to vest on the dates set forth in the agreements.

Amounts reported in the tables below assume that the above requirements have been met.

Payments Made Upon Not for Cause Termination

In the event of the termination without cause of a named executive, in addition to the Pre-Termination Benefits and, for retirement eligible named executives, the items identified above under the heading "Payments Made Upon Voluntary Termination/Retirement," the named executive will receive a cash severance benefit calculated as two weeks of base pay per year of service, with a

Table of Contents

minimum severance benefit of eight weeks and a maximum severance benefit of fifty-two weeks. In addition:

any outstanding retention awards will become immediately vested; and

upon Committee approval, the named executive may receive any annual incentive award earned during the fiscal year.

Amounts reported in the tables below assume that the Committee has approved the annual incentive payment at target, although the Committee retains discretion not to do so.

Payments Made Upon a Termination in Connection with a Change in Control

In the event of a qualifying termination of a named executive within two (2) years following a Change in Control, in addition to the Pre-Termination Benefits:

named executives will receive a lump sum cash payment equal to the sum of the named executive's highest annual base salary during the three (3) years immediately preceding termination plus target annual incentive for the year, multiplied by 3.0 in the case of Mr. Seaton and 2.0 for other named executives;

the named executives will receive the annual incentive earned during the fiscal year in which the termination occurs, prorated through the last full month worked by the named executive during the year of termination;

any equity-based compensation awards, other than performance-based equity awards, will become fully vested and exercisable or settled;

any performance-based equity awards, to the extent applicable performance criteria are met, shall be earned on a pro rata basis based on the number of full months worked during the performance period;

any outstanding retention awards will become immediately vested; and

any remaining unvested VDI will be paid or issued as earned.

A qualifying termination, generally, is a termination of the named executive without cause or a resignation by the named executive for good reason. "Cause" means the named executive's (i) fraud, (ii) conviction of a felony, (iii) material failure or refusal to perform his job duties in accordance with company policies or (iv) a material violation of company policy that causes substantial harm to the company or its subsidiaries. "Good reason" includes a material diminution of the named executive's aggregate compensation or his authority, duties or responsibilities (including as a result of a material diminution of the budget over which he retains authority) but may also be triggered by a material breach of any agreement (including the change in control agreement) under which he provides services to the company.

No gross up for excise taxes, if any, is payable under the change in control agreements. The company will, however, automatically reduce any payments under the agreement to the extent necessary to prevent payments being subject to the excise tax, but only if by reason of the reduction, the after-tax benefit of the reduced payments exceeds the after-tax benefit if such reduction were not made.

Payments Made Upon Death or Termination in Connection with Disability

In the event of death of a named executive or termination of employment of a named executive as a result of total and permanent disability, the payments would be the same as the Payments Made Upon a Termination in Connection with a Change in Control, with the exception of the lump sum cash payment outlined in the first bullet above.

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

The following tables show the potential payments that would be due each named executive upon a voluntary termination; a termination without cause; a termination in connection with a change in control; and death or termination in connection with disability.

Table of Contents

David T. Seaton <u>Not eligible for retirement</u>	Voluntary Termination of Employment/Retirement	Not for Cause Termination of Employment	Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change in Control	Death or Termination due to Disability
Cash Severance Benefit	\$0 ⁽¹⁾	\$1,200,000 ⁽²⁾	\$8,280,000 ⁽³⁾	\$0 ⁽¹⁾
Retention Award				
Annual Incentive Award	\$0 ⁽⁴⁾	\$1,560,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$1,560,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$1,560,000 ⁽⁷⁾
Long Term Incentive Awards				
Stock Options	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$1,684,955 ⁽⁹⁾	\$1,684,955 ⁽⁹⁾
Restricted Stock Shares/Units	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$2,946,482 ⁽⁹⁾	\$2,946,482 ⁽⁹⁾
Value Driver Incentive (VDI)	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$4,626,390 ⁽⁹⁾	\$4,626,390 ⁽⁹⁾
Total Value of Payments	\$0	\$2,760,000	\$19,097,827	\$10,817,827
Biggs C. Porter <u>Not eligible for retirement</u>	Voluntary Termination of Employment/Retirement	Not for Cause Termination of Employment	Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change in Control	Death or Termination due to Disability
Cash Severance Benefit	\$0 ⁽¹⁾	\$122,000 ⁽²⁾	\$2,934,200 ⁽³⁾	\$0 ⁽¹⁾
Hiring Bonus	\$0	\$3,275,029 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$3,275,029 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$3,275,029 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Annual Incentive Award	\$0 ⁽⁴⁾	\$674,100 ⁽⁵⁾	\$674,100 ⁽⁶⁾	\$674,100 ⁽⁷⁾
Long Term Incentive Awards				
Stock Options	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$584,108 ⁽⁹⁾	\$584,108 ⁽⁹⁾
Restricted Stock Shares/Units	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$630,598 ⁽⁹⁾	\$630,598 ⁽⁹⁾
Value Driver Incentive (VDI)	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$697,319 ⁽⁹⁾	\$697,319 ⁽⁹⁾
Total Value of Payments	\$0	\$4,071,129	\$8,795,354	\$5,861,154
Stephen B. Dobbs <u>Eligible for retirement</u>	Voluntary Termination of Employment/Retirement	Not for Cause Termination of Employment	Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change in Control	Death or Termination due to Disability
Cash Severance Benefit	\$0 ⁽¹⁾	\$623,000 ⁽²⁾	\$2,305,200 ⁽³⁾	\$0 ⁽¹⁾
Retention Award				
Annual Incentive Award	\$0 ⁽⁴⁾	\$529,600 ⁽⁵⁾	\$529,600 ⁽⁶⁾	\$529,600 ⁽⁷⁾
Long Term Incentive Awards				
Stock Options	\$311,495 ⁽⁸⁾	\$311,495 ⁽⁸⁾	\$311,495 ⁽⁹⁾	\$311,495 ⁽⁹⁾
Restricted Stock Shares/Units	\$1,016,070 ⁽⁸⁾	\$1,016,070 ⁽⁸⁾	\$1,016,070 ⁽⁹⁾	\$1,016,070 ⁽⁹⁾

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

Value Driver Incentive (VDI)	\$860,388 ⁽⁸⁾	\$860,388 ⁽⁸⁾	\$860,388 ⁽⁹⁾	\$860,388 ⁽⁹⁾
<i>Total Value of Payments</i>	\$2,187,953	\$3,340,553	\$5,022,753	\$2,717,553

54

Table of Contents

David R. Dunning <u>Eligible for retirement</u>	Voluntary Termination of Employment/Retirement	Not for Cause Termination of Employment	Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change in Control	Death or Termination due to Disability
Cash Severance Benefit	\$0 ⁽¹⁾	\$500,000 ⁽²⁾	\$1,850,000 ⁽³⁾	\$0 ⁽¹⁾
Retention Award	\$0	\$1,370,318 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$1,370,318 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$1,370,318 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Annual Incentive Award	\$0 ⁽⁴⁾	\$425,000 ⁽⁵⁾	\$425,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$425,000 ⁽⁷⁾
Long Term Incentive Awards				
Stock Options	\$214,261 ⁽⁸⁾	\$214,261 ⁽⁸⁾	\$214,261 ⁽⁹⁾	\$214,261 ⁽⁹⁾
Restricted Stock Shares/Units	\$426,902 ⁽⁸⁾	\$426,902 ⁽⁸⁾	\$426,902 ⁽⁹⁾	\$426,902 ⁽⁹⁾
Value Driver Incentive (VDI)	\$584,190 ⁽⁸⁾	\$584,190 ⁽⁸⁾	\$584,190 ⁽⁹⁾	\$584,190 ⁽⁹⁾
Total Value of Payments	\$1,225,353	\$3,520,671	\$4,870,671	\$3,020,671
Carlos M. Hernandez <u>Not eligible for retirement</u>	Voluntary Termination of Employment/Retirement	Not for Cause Termination of Employment	Termination of Employment in Connection with a Change in Control	Death or Termination due to Disability
Cash Severance Benefit	\$0 ⁽¹⁾	\$135,692 ⁽²⁾	\$2,175,600 ⁽³⁾	\$0 ⁽¹⁾
Retention Award				
Annual Incentive Award	\$0 ⁽⁴⁾	\$499,800 ⁽⁵⁾	\$499,800 ⁽⁶⁾	\$499,800 ⁽⁷⁾
Long Term Incentive Awards				
Stock Options	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$331,313 ⁽⁹⁾	\$331,313 ⁽⁹⁾
Restricted Stock Shares/Units	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$550,549 ⁽⁹⁾	\$550,549 ⁽⁹⁾
Value Driver Incentive (VDI)	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$0 ⁽⁸⁾	\$891,942 ⁽⁹⁾	\$891,942 ⁽⁹⁾
Total Value of Payments	\$0	\$635,492	\$4,449,204	\$2,273,604

(1) Severance is not paid in the event of voluntary termination/retirement, death or disability.

(2) The named executive is provided a cash severance benefit of two weeks of base salary per year of service upon a termination without cause. The minimum severance benefit is eight weeks and the maximum is 52 weeks of pay. The severance benefit is paid in a lump sum upon termination.

(3) The named executive is provided a lump sum cash payment equal to the sum of the executive's highest annual base salary during the three (3) years immediately preceding termination plus target annual incentive for the year, multiplied by 3.0 in the case of Mr. Seaton and 2.0 for other named executives.

(4)

Edgar Filing: FLUOR CORP - Form DEF 14A

The named executive forfeits any portion of the award earned in the year of termination/retirement.

- (5) Upon Committee approval, the named executive may receive any annual incentive award earned during the fiscal year. This amount represents the 2013 annual incentive target.
- (6) The named executive will receive an annual incentive payment earned for the current year under the Amended & Restated 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan, prorated for whole months worked. This amount represents the 2013 annual incentive target.
- (7) Upon approval, the named executive may receive any annual incentive award earned during the fiscal year. This amount represents the 2013 annual incentive target and assumes approval.

Table of Contents

(8)

For Mssrs. Dobbs and Dunning, who are retirement eligible, this amount represents the value of unvested options, restricted shares, restricted units and VDI units on December 31, 2013 based on the closing price of the company's common stock on December 31, 2013 (\$80.29) that they would have received if their voluntary retirement had occurred on December 31, 2013. The value of the awards made in 2013 is not included in this amount because the awards would have been forfeited if Mssrs. Dobbs and Dunning had retired on or before December 31, 2013. The value of such awards as of December 31, 2013 is shown below:

Name	Stock Options	Restricted Stock Shares and Units	Value Driver Incentive (VDI)
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$519,532	\$620,722	\$813,257
David R. Dunning	\$455,721	\$544,366	\$713,377

In the case of each of Mssrs. Seaton, Porter and Hernandez, pursuant to the terms of the applicable plan(s), they would forfeit any unvested options, shares and units because they are ineligible for retirement.

(9)

This amount represents the value of unvested options, shares, units and VDI on December 31, 2013 based on the closing price of the company's common stock on December 31, 2013 (\$80.29), which become vested in the event of a qualified termination within two (2) years following a change in control; or upon death or a termination due to total and permanent disability, as long as the award had been held for at least one year. Any remaining unvested VDI is paid out at the Committee-approved performance ratings. The value of the awards made in 2013 is not included in this amount because these awards would have been forfeited as of December 31, 2013 upon the occurrence of the events noted above. The value of such awards, as of December 31, 2013 is shown below:

Name	Stock Options	Restricted Stock Shares/Units	Value Driver Incentive (VDI)
David T. Seaton	\$2,989,456	\$3,571,139	\$4,679,462
Biggs C. Porter	\$802,075	\$958,181	\$1,255,575
Stephen B. Dobbs	\$519,532	\$620,722	\$813,257
David R. Dunning	\$455,721	\$544,366	\$713,377
Carlos M. Hernandez	\$546,888	\$653,239	\$856,132

(10)

Pursuant to the terms of the named executive's retention agreement and related award and plan documents, restrictions lapse on unvested restricted stock units; and any unvested deferred cash portion of the retention award along with any accrued gains or losses will vest. As of December 31, 2013, the values of the unvested restricted stock unit awards, based on the closing price of the company's common stock on December 31, 2013 (\$80.29), were as follows: Mr. Porter, \$3,275,029; Mr. Dunning, \$565,001; and the unvested deferred cash for Mr. Dunning was \$805,318.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Our compensation philosophy for non-management directors is consistent with the philosophy established for the company's named executives. The compensation program is designed to attract and retain directors with the necessary experience to represent the company's stockholders and to advise the company's executive management. The compensation program is also designed to align the Board of Directors' interests with the interests of stockholders over the long term. The company uses a combination of cash and stock-based awards to compensate non-management directors and targets the 50th percentile of compensation survey data from the companies included in the Compensation Peer Group as well as companies from similar industry segments and general industry. Directors who are employees of the company receive no compensation for their service as directors.

Cash Compensation Paid to Board Members

Non-management directors receive an annual cash retainer of \$115,000, paid quarterly. The chair of the Audit Committee receives an additional annual cash retainer in the amount of \$20,000; the chairs of the Organization and Compensation and Governance Committee receive an additional annual cash retainer in the amount of \$15,000; and the Lead Independent Director receives an additional annual cash retainer in the amount of \$30,000.

Stock-Based Compensation Paid to Board Members

Non-management directors receive an annual grant of restricted stock shares and restricted stock units with a total market value (based on the fair market value of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant) of \$135,000 as of the date of the annual meeting of stockholders. Restrictions on the 2013 awards lapse after one year. If a director leaves the Board prior to the vesting, the portion of any award remaining subject to restrictions is forfeited. Restrictions immediately lapse and the stock vests, however, if an award has been held for at least six (6) months and a director attains the age for mandatory retirement (currently 72 years of age), obtains approval for early retirement, dies, becomes permanently and totally disabled or ceases to serve due to a change in control. Non-management directors are required to own shares or share units in an amount equivalent to five times the annual retainer for Board service within five years of joining the Board.

Deferred Compensation Program for Non-Management Directors

Directors have the option of deferring receipt of directors' fees until their retirement, other termination of status as a director or, if elected by the director, a date at least two years after the end of the year in which they make a distribution election, pursuant to the Deferred Directors' Fees Program. Directors may elect to have deferred amounts valued as if invested either wholly or partially in company stock or one or more of 25 investment funds. The company does not guarantee the rate of return. Deferrals made into the Fluor Stock Valuation Fund prior to January 1, 2013 and maintained continuously for five years earn a 25% premium on the deferred amount deemed invested in company stock via the Fluor Stock Valuation Fund. The 25% premium was discontinued effective January 1, 2013. All amounts in the deferral accounts are paid in cash based on their distribution elections.

Former Retirement Plan

In March 2003, a committee of disinterested directors determined that non-management directors who received restricted stock shares on March 11, 1997 in consideration of the cancellation of the Fluor Corporation Retirement Plan for Outside Directors could make an irrevocable election to surrender such shares upon their retirement, death or disability. The only remaining director who made this election is Mr. Fluor. In lieu of these shares, Mr. Fluor will receive the amount of his accrued retirement benefits at the time of the cancellation of the retirement plan upon his retirement, death or

Table of Contents

disability. These benefits equal the retainer fees at the time of cancellation multiplied by the number of years he had served prior to the cancellation of the plan. This amount will be paid in a lump sum (reduced to present value based on the 10-year Treasury rate) at retirement.

DIRECTOR SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the total compensation earned by each of the non-management directors serving in 2013.

(a) Name	(b) Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	(c) Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	(d) All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	(e) Total (\$) ⁽⁴⁾
Peter K. Barker	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$23,199	\$273,207
Alan M. Bennett	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$5,153	\$255,161
Rosemary T. Berkery	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$19,827	\$269,835
Peter J. Fluor	\$160,000	\$135,008	\$6,623	\$301,631
James T. Hackett	\$130,000	\$135,008	\$153	\$265,161
Kent Kresa	\$123,750	\$135,008	\$153	\$258,911
Dean R. O'Hare	\$128,750	\$135,008	\$14,596	\$278,354
Armando J. Olivera	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$153	\$250,161
Joseph W. Prueher	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$27,766	\$277,774
Nader H. Sultan	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$153	\$250,161
Lynn C. Swann	\$28,750	\$0	\$26	\$28,776
Suzanne H. Woolsey	\$115,000	\$135,008	\$26,471	\$276,479

(1) The amounts in column (b) represent fees paid for board retainers, committee chair retainers and lead independent director retainer. The amounts for Mr. Swann reflect payment for service beginning with his election to the Board, effective November 4, 2013.

(2) The amounts in column (c) represent the fair value of the restricted stock share and unit awards granted in 2013. The fair value of these awards is based on the fair market value on the date of grant in accordance with ASC 718, calculated using the closing price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant. The 2013 annual stock grant made to each director, with the exception of Mr. Swann, who was not serving at the time of grant, was based on a fair market value of \$57.45, with an overall value of \$135,008, of which \$81,005 was granted in restricted stock shares and \$54,003 was granted in restricted stock units.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, the directors held unvested restricted stock shares and unvested restricted stock units as detailed in the following table.

Name	Restricted Stock Shares	Restricted Stock Units
Peter K. Barker	1,410	940
Alan M. Bennett	1,410	940
Rosemary T. Berkery	1,710	1,140
Peter J. Fluor	12,428	940
James T. Hackett	2,910	940
Kent Kresa	1,410	940
Dean R. O'Hare	9,870	940
Armando J. Olivera	1,410	940
Joseph W. Prueher	1,410	940
Nader H. Sultan	1,410	940
Lynn C. Swann	0	0
Suzanne H. Woolsey	1,410	940

(3) The amounts in column (d) may include the following and vary by each director: charitable gift match; business-related spousal travel and the corresponding tax gross-up; and company paid premiums on director's life insurance. All Other Compensation is detailed in a separate Director All Other Compensation table below.

(4) The amounts in column (e) represent the total of columns (b) through (d).

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR ALL OTHER COMPENSATION**

The following table and related footnotes describe each component of the All Other Compensation column (d) of the Director Summary Compensation Table for 2013.

(a) Name	(b) Charitable Gift Match (\$) ⁽¹⁾	(c) Spousal Travel (\$) ⁽²⁾	(d) Tax Gross-Up (\$) ⁽³⁾	(e) Total (\$) ⁽⁴⁾
Peter K. Barker	\$5,000	\$9,450	\$8,596	\$23,199
Alan M. Bennett	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,153
Rosemary T. Berkery	\$5,000	\$7,738	\$6,936	\$19,827
Peter J. Fluor	\$5,000	\$888	\$582	\$6,623
James T. Hackett	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$153
Kent Kresa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$153
Dean R. O'Hare	\$0	\$7,941	\$6,502	\$14,596
Armando J. Olivera	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$153
Joseph W. Prueher	\$5,000	\$12,873	\$9,740	\$27,766
Nader H. Sultan	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$153
Lynn C. Swann	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26
Suzanne H. Woolsey	\$0	\$14,982	\$11,336	\$26,471

(1) The amounts in column (b) represent company-matched charitable contributions (to a maximum of \$5,000 per donor, per fiscal year) made to eligible institutions.

(2) Amounts in column (c) represent the incremental cost of business-related spousal travel.

(3) Amounts in column (d) represent the corresponding tax gross-up for the business-related spousal travel detailed in column (c).

(4) The amounts in column (e) represent the total of columns (b) through (d) plus premiums of up to \$153 paid by the company for each director for non-contributory life insurance benefits.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL 3 APPROVAL OF THE FLUOR CORPORATION 2014 RESTRICTED STOCK PLAN FOR
NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS**

General

On February 6, 2014, the Board of Directors approved the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, referred to as the Director Plan, subject to stockholder approval. Fluor's stockholders are being asked to approve the Director Plan.

The Director Plan is designed to advance the interests of the company and its stockholders by affording to eligible directors an opportunity to acquire or increase their proprietary interest in the company by the grant to such directors of stock-based awards under the terms of the Director Plan. By encouraging eligible directors to become owners of the company's stock, the company seeks to increase their incentive for enhancing stockholder value and to motivate, retain and attract those highly competent individuals upon whose judgment, initiative, leadership and continued efforts the success of the company in large measure depends.

The Director Plan authorizes the grant and issuance of awards that may take the form of restricted stock or stock units. As of December 31, 2013, there were 190,808 shares available for grant under the 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non Employee Directors (the "Prior Director Plan"). If the Director Plan is approved by stockholders, no further awards will be granted under the Prior Director Plan and there will be 500,000 shares available for grant under the Director Plan. Any shares granted under the Prior Director Plan between January 1, 2014 and the date the Director Plan is approved will be deducted from and reduce the 500,000 shares proposed to be available for issuance under the Director Plan.

The shares available for issuance under the Director Plan (after taking into account the termination of the Prior Director Plan) represent approximately 0.19% of the company's outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2013. The Board of Directors believes that this number represents a reasonable amount of potential equity dilution and allows the company to continue to make equity awards to non-employee directors, which are an important component of our overall director compensation program.

Table of Contents**Key Data**

The following table includes information regarding outstanding equity awards and shares available for future awards under the company's equity plans as of December 31, 2013 (and without giving effect to approval of the Director Plan):

	Existing Performance Plan ⁽¹⁾	Prior Plans ⁽²⁾	Prior Director Plan ⁽³⁾	Existing and Prior Plans as a Group
Total shares underlying outstanding options	2,386,237	578,470	N/A	2,964,707
Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options	\$58.35	\$59.81	N/A	\$58.63
Weighted average remaining contractual life of outstanding options (in years)	7.70	3.59	N/A	6.90
Total shares underlying outstanding unvested RSUs and restricted stock	837,988	102,990	47,328	988,306
Total shares currently available for grant	12,988,465 ⁽⁴⁾	0	190,808	13,179,273

(1) The Existing Performance Plan refers to the Amended and Restated 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan.

(2) Prior plans include the company's 2000 Executive Performance Incentive Plan and the company's 2003 Executive Performance Incentive Plan.

(3) Prior Director Plan refers to the 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non Employee Directors.

(4) According to the Performance Plan, any shares issued under options or stock appreciation rights shall be counted against the plan shares on a one-for-one basis, and any shares issued pursuant to other awards shall be counted as 2.25 shares for every one share subject to such award.

On December 31, 2013, the last reported price of the company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$80.29.

Description of Director Plan

The Director Plan has a number of special terms and limitations, including:

an annual limit on grants of awards under the Director Plan to any one director;

awards under the Director Plan are subject to one-year minimum vesting requirements, subject to exceptions for death, disability, retirement or upon a change in control; and

stockholder approval is required for certain types of amendments to the Director Plan.

Stock Subject to the Director Plan

The aggregate number of shares of Fluor common stock that can be issued under the Director Plan may not exceed 500,000 shares, less one share for every one share granted under the Prior Director Plan after December 31, 2013 and prior to the effective date of the Director Plan. If any shares granted under the Director Plan expire, become unexercisable, are forfeited or terminate for any reason without having been exercised in full, or if after December 31, 2013 any shares granted under the Prior Director Plan expire, become unexercisable, are forfeited or otherwise

terminate for any

Table of Contents

reason without having been exercised in full, then in each case the then unpurchased or forfeited shares will become available for future issuance under the Director Plan. In addition, shares granted under the Director Plan that are delivered to or withheld by Fluor to pay withholding taxes related to an award issued under the Director Plan may again become available for issuance under the Director Plan. If withholding tax liabilities related to awards granted under the Prior Director Plan occur after December 31, 2013, shares tendered or withheld to pay such liabilities will be added to the shares available for grant under the Director Plan.

The aggregate number of shares subject to awards granted under the Director Plan during any calendar year to any one eligible director may not exceed a number of shares having an aggregate dollar value on the date of grant thereof of \$400,000. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the calendar year when an eligible director first joins the Board of Directors or is first designated as Chairman of the Board or Lead Director, the maximum aggregate dollar value of shares subject to awards granted to the director in that year may be up to two hundred percent (200%) of the dollar value of shares of Stock set forth in the foregoing limit.

The number and type of shares that may be acquired pursuant to awards made under the Director Plan and the exercise or settlement price of such awards shall be adjusted appropriately by the Committee if the company's common stock is affected through a reorganization, merger, consolidation, recapitalization, restructuring, reclassification, dividend (other than regular quarterly cash dividends) or other distribution, stock split, spin-off or sale of substantially all of the company's assets.

Eligibility

Any director of the company who is not and never has been an employee of the company or any of its subsidiaries is eligible to participate in the Director Plan. Approximately 12 directors will be eligible to participate in the Director Plan.

Administration

The Director Plan will be administered by the Organization and Compensation Committee of the Board, the Board and/or one or more other committees of the Board (the "Committee").

Subject to the express provisions of the Director Plan, the Committee has broad authority to administer and interpret the Director Plan and awards thereunder, including, without limitation, authority to:

prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Director Plan and to define terms not otherwise defined in the Director Plan;

determine the number of shares subject to awards made under the Director Plan;

prescribe and amend the terms of the agreements or other documents evidencing awards made under the Director Plan;

determine whether, and the extent to which, adjustments are required under the Director Plan;

interpret and construe the Director Plan, any rules and regulations under the Director Plan and the terms and conditions of any award granted under the Director Plan, and to make exceptions to any such provisions in good faith and for the benefit of the company; and

make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for the administration of the Director Plan.

Table of Contents

Awards

The Director Plan authorizes the grant and issuance of the following types of awards: restricted stock and stock units.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock is an award of shares, the grant, issuance, retention and/or vesting of which is subject to such conditions as are specified by in the Director Plan and restricted stock award agreement. Each restricted stock agreement will reflect the date of grant and such terms and conditions as determined by the Committee consistent with the Director Plan.

Prior to vesting, shares underlying a restricted stock award remain subject to acquisition by Fluor and may not be sold or otherwise transferred except under certain provisions. Prior to vesting, a restricted stock award may be forfeited to, and acquired at no cost by, Fluor in the event that the Committee determines that any of the following circumstances has occurred:

the director has engaged in knowing and willful misconduct in connection with his or her service as a member of the Board;

the director, without the consent of the Committee, at any time during his or her period of service as a member of the Board, becomes a principal of, serves as a director of, or owns a material interest in, any business that directly or through a controlled subsidiary competes with Fluor or a subsidiary; or

the director does not stand for reelection to, or voluntarily quits or resigns from, the Board for any reason, except under circumstances that would cause such restrictions to lapse under the Director Plan.

The restrictions imposed on a restricted stock award will lapse at the time or times determined by the Committee and set forth in the restricted stock agreement, except that the Committee may not provide for full lapsing of all such restrictions earlier than one year from the date of grant, other than upon death, disability, mandatory or early retirement (if such early retirement is approved by the Committee in its sole discretion), or a change in control.

Stock Unit Awards

A "stock unit" is a bookkeeping entry representing an amount equivalent to the fair market value of one share of common stock and is also referred to as a "restricted unit" or "shadow stock." Stock units may be settled in common stock or cash. The grant, issuance, retention and/or vesting of stock units will be subject to such conditions as set forth in the Director Plan and stock unit agreement. Each stock unit agreement will reflect the date of grant and such terms and conditions as determined by the Committee consistent with the Director Plan.

A stock unit will vest and be settled on the dates upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Committee. No stock unit award may vest in full earlier than a one year from its date of grant other than upon death, disability, mandatory or early retirement (if such early retirement is approved by the Committee in its sole discretion), or a change in control.

The Committee may permit a participant or Fluor to elect for the settlement of any stock unit to be deferred to a specified date or event. The Committee will determine if a stock unit award will be settled in cash and/or shares to be paid in a single lump sum payment. The Committee may provide that the amount of cash or shares to be distributed may be increased by an interest factor or by dividend equivalents, as the case may be, which may be valued as if reinvested in shares.

Table of Contents

Transferability of Awards

Generally, awards granted under the Director Plan may (i) not be sold or otherwise transferred in any manner prior to the vesting or lapse of any and all restrictions applicable to the award, (ii) be owned during the life of the director only by such director or such director's duly appointed guardian or personal representative, and (iii) not be subject to any encumbrance, pledge, or charge of any nature, except that the Committee may permit an award to be transferred to a member or members of the participant's family or to entities owned or established for the benefit of a participant's family.

Amendments and Termination

The Committee may amend, modify or terminate the Director Plan or any agreement evidencing an award made under the Director Plan, but any such amendment is subject to (i) if affecting an outstanding award, the written consent of the grantee, and (ii) approval by the company's stockholders to the extent required by law or applicable standards of the New York Stock Exchange. In addition, without the approval of the stockholders of the company, no amendment may:

materially increase the benefits accruing to participants under the Director Plan;

materially increase the aggregate number of securities that may be issued under the Director Plan; or

materially modify the requirements as to eligibility for participation in the Director Plan.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following discussion of the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the Director Plan is intended to be a summary of applicable U.S. federal law currently in effect. State and local tax consequences may differ and may be amended or interpreted differently during the term of the Director Plan.

Restricted Stock

A director who receives restricted stock subject to restrictions which create a "substantial risk of forfeiture" (within the meaning of Section 83 of the Internal Revenue Code) will normally realize taxable income on the date the shares become transferable or no longer subject to substantial risk of forfeiture or on the date of their earlier disposition. The amount of such taxable income will be equal to the amount by which the fair market value of the shares of common stock on the date such restrictions lapse (or any earlier date on which the shares become transferable or are disposed of) exceeds their purchase price, if any.

A director may elect pursuant to Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, however, to include in income in the year of grant the excess of the fair market value of the shares of common stock (without regard to any restrictions) over their purchase price, if any, on the date of grant.

Stock Units

A director will not recognize taxable income upon the grant of a stock unit. Upon the distribution of cash or shares to a participant pursuant to the terms of a stock unit, the participant will recognize taxable ordinary income equal to the amount of any cash and/or the fair market value of any shares received.

Section 409A

It is the intention of the company that awards will comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code regarding nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements or will satisfy the

Table of Contents

conditions of applicable exemptions. However, if an award is subject to and fails to comply with the requirements of Section 409A, the participant may recognize ordinary income on the amounts deferred under the award, to the extent vested, prior to the time when the compensation is received. In addition, Section 409A imposes a 20% penalty tax, as well as interest, on the participant with respect to such amounts.

New Plan Benefits

No awards will be granted under the Director Plan prior to its approval by the stockholders of the Company. All awards will be granted at the discretion of the Committee, and, accordingly, are not yet determinable.

Board Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 with respect to the shares of common stock that may be issued under the Company's equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities listed in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders ⁽¹⁾	2,964,707	\$ 58.63	13,179,273
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders			
Total	2,964,707	\$ 58.63	13,179,273

(1)

Consists of the 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, under which no securities are currently issuable upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants or rights, but under which 190,808 shares remain available for future issuance; the 2003 Executive Performance Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"), under which 578,470 shares are currently issuable upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights, but under which no shares remain available for future issuance; and the Amended and Restated 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan, under which 2,386,237 shares are currently issuable upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights, and under which 12,988,465 shares remain available for issuance. The 2003 Plan was terminated when the company's 2008 Executive Performance Incentive Plan was approved by stockholders at the company's annual stockholders meeting in 2008.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Consistent with our commitment to good corporate governance, the Board is asking stockholders to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit the financial statements of the company for the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2014. In the event the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, the Audit Committee will reconsider this appointment. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Fluor and its stockholders.

A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at the meeting and available to respond to appropriate questions and, although that firm has indicated that no statement will be made, an opportunity for a statement will be provided.

Audit and Other Fees

The following table presents aggregate fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit of the company's annual financial statements for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, and fees billed for other services provided by Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal years 2013 and 2012.

	Fiscal Year Ended (in millions)	
	2013	2012
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$7.3	\$7.2
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	1.0	0.7
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	0.3	0.3
All Other Fees		
Total Fees Paid	\$8.6	\$8.2

(1) Includes fees relating to the annual audit and statutory audits.

(2) Includes benefit plan audits, due diligence services pertaining to potential business acquisitions and other strategic business initiatives, and accounting and reporting consultations.

(3) For both 2013 and 2012, includes approximately \$200,000 for tax compliance services (including preparation and filing of expatriate tax returns) and \$100,000 for tax consulting services (including support for tax restructuring).

Audit Committee's Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee of our Board has policies and procedures that govern the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm and prohibit certain services from being provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. The independent registered public accounting firm may not render any audit or non-audit service unless the service is approved in advance by the Audit Committee pursuant to its pre-approval policies and procedures. For any pre-approval, the Audit Committee

confirms that such services are consistent with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board on auditor independence.

Table of Contents

On an annual basis, the Audit Committee may pre-approve services that are expected to be provided to the company by our independent registered public accounting firm during the fiscal year. Management provides the Audit Committee a quarterly report listing services performed by and fees paid to the independent registered public accounting firm during the current fiscal year. The Audit Committee has delegated authority to the Chair of the Audit Committee to pre-approve any audit or non-audit services to be provided to the company by the independent registered public accounting firm for which the cost is less than \$500,000. The Chair must report any pre-approval pursuant to the delegation of authority to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting, and the Audit Committee approves and ratifies the pre-approved service.

Board Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment by our Audit Committee of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility for the:

company's accounting, reporting and financial practices, including the integrity of its financial statements;

company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence;

performance of the company's internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm; and

preparation of this report.

In carrying out these responsibilities, the Audit Committee, among other things, supervises the relationship between the company and its independent registered public accounting firm, including making decisions with respect to its appointment or removal, reviewing the scope of its audit services, pre-approving audit engagement fees and non-audit services and evaluating its independence. The Audit Committee oversees and evaluates the adequacy and effectiveness of the company's systems of internal and disclosure controls and oversees the internal audit function. The Audit Committee has the authority to investigate any matter brought to its attention and may engage outside counsel for such purpose.

The company's management is responsible, among other things, for preparing the financial statements and for the overall financial reporting process, including the company's system of internal controls. The independent registered public accounting firm's responsibilities include auditing the financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of the audited financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As part of its oversight of the company's financial statements, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management and Ernst & Young LLP, the company's independent registered public accounting firm, the audited financial statements of the company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The Audit Committee discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, who is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the audited financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting, such matters as are required to be discussed under the rules adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, relating to the conduct of the audit. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm, required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the registered public accounting firm's independence from the company and its management, and considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the registered public accounting firm's independence.

Based on its review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, for filing with the Securities and

Table of Contents

Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee has also appointed Ernst & Young LLP as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.

The Audit Committee

James T. Hackett, Chairman

Peter K. Barker

Alan M. Bennett

Kent Kresa

Armando J. Olivera

Nader H. Sultan

Lynn C. Swann

Suzanne H. Woolsey

70

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 5 STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL FOR INDEPENDENT CHAIRMAN

Fluor has received the following stockholder proposal from James McRitchie and Myra K. Young (together, the "Proponent"), located at 9295 Yorkship Court, Elk Grove, CA 95758. According to information that the Proponent provided to the company, the Proponent owned 100 shares of our common stock as of the date it submitted the proposal to the company.

PROPONENT'S SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Proposal 5 Independent Board Chairman

RESOLVED: Shareholders request that our Board of Directors to adopt a policy, and amend other governing documents as necessary to reflect this policy, to require the Chair of our Board of Directors to be an independent member of our Board. This independence requirement shall apply prospectively so as not to violate any contractual obligation at the time this resolution is adopted. Compliance with this policy is waived if no independent director is available and willing to serve as Chair. The policy should also specify how to select a new independent chairman if a current chairman ceases to be independent between annual shareholder meetings.

When our CEO is our board chairman, this arrangement can hinder our board's ability to monitor our CEO's performance. Many companies already have an independent Chairman. An independent Chairman is the prevailing practice in the United Kingdom and many international markets. This proposal topic won 50%-plus support at 5 major U.S. companies in 2013 including 73%-support at Netflix. Plus Peter Fluor received high negative votes from shareholders as a non-independent Lead Director. GMI Ratings, an independent investment research firm, said Fluor had excessive CEO pay and perks.

This proposal should also be more favorably evaluated due to the deficiencies in our company's corporate governance as reported in 2013:

We had directors who received high negative votes of 13% to 25%: Joseph Prueher, Peter Fluor (Lead Director), Rosemary Berkery and Peter Barker. By contrast 2 directors received less than 1% in negative votes. Our board had only 5 full board meetings in a year.

GMI Ratings said not one non-executive member of our audit committee had substantial industry knowledge. And not one non-executive member of the board had general expertise in risk management. GMI said Fluor had higher accounting and governance risk than 77% of companies and Fluor had higher shareholder class action litigation risk than 75% of all rated companies.

GMI said Fluor had not identified specific environmental impact reduction targets. Our board had not assumed formal responsibility for strategic oversight of our company's environmental practices. Our company's environmental impact disclosure practices were declining. Fluor had come under investigation, or had been subject to fine, settlement or conviction in regard to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or other bribery or corruption violations, by company employees or other corporate agents.

Returning to the core topic of this proposal from the context of our clearly improvable corporate governance, please vote to protection shareholder value:

Independent Board Chairman Proposal 5

BOARD OF DIRECTORS STATEMENT IN OPPOSITION

The Board of Directors recommends a vote against this proposal because it is unnecessary and not in the best interests of the company and its stockholders. While the Proponent's statement contains a number of assertions about supposed deficiencies in our corporate governance, we believe that these

Table of Contents

assertions mischaracterize our strong governance and compliance record and also fail to demonstrate how the implementation of the proposal would advance stockholder interests.

The Board believes that is in the best interests of the company and stockholders to retain the flexibility for the Board to determine who should serve as Chairman of the Board, and whether the Chairman of the Board should also be an independent director. Moreover, the Board already has mechanisms in place to provide for an independent board of directors and independent board leadership, including a Lead Independent Director.

Our Amended and Restated Bylaws and Corporate Governance Guidelines permit the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer to be filled by different individuals. As discussed earlier in this proxy statement under "Board Leadership," the Board, together with the Governance Committee, annually reviews the structure of the Board, and the Board elects a Chairman on an annual basis. This proposal would eliminate flexibility by preventing the Board from implementing the leadership structure that it believes is most appropriate and best serves the interests of stockholders, based on the Board's review of its structure and effectiveness.

We believe the stockholders are best served by a board of directors that can adapt its structure to the company's needs, the issues facing the company and Board at a particular time, and the capabilities of the directors and executive officers. The members of the Board possess considerable experience and unique knowledge of the challenges and opportunities the company faces, and are in the best position to evaluate the needs of the company and how best to organize the capabilities of the directors and executive officers to meet those needs. Based on its most recent review of the Board's structure, for the reasons discussed earlier in this proxy statement under "Board Leadership," the Board believes the most effective leadership structure for the company at the present time is for Mr. Seaton to serve as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

Additionally, consistent with its commitment to strong corporate governance and board independence, the Board already has in place highly effective corporate governance structures to promote a strong and independent board of directors that provides effective oversight of management. The Board has a Lead Independent Director, who is elected by the independent directors, because the Board believes that the role of Lead Independent Director promotes effective governance when the company has a non-independent Chairman. The Lead Independent Director chairs and sets the agenda for executive sessions of the independent directors, which occur regularly, and has the authority to call additional executive sessions as appropriate. The Lead Independent Director also approves agendas and schedules for Board meetings and information sent to the Board, chairs Board meetings in the Chairman's absence, acts as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman, provides guidance on the director orientation process for new Board members, consults and communicates with stockholders, as appropriate, and monitors communications to the Board from stockholders and other interested parties.

The Board also has other mechanisms in place to provide for independent oversight, as evidenced by the composition of the current Board and its committees. The Board currently has fourteen members, thirteen of whom are independent based on the applicable independence standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Board's consideration of our independence standards. Mr. Seaton is the only member of the Board who is an executive officer of the company. Each of the Audit, Governance and Organization and Compensation Committees is composed entirely of independent directors. Consequently, independent directors directly oversee critical matters such as the remuneration policy for executive officers, succession planning, our methods of risk assessment and risk mitigation strategies, our corporate governance guidelines, policies and practices, the director nominations process, our legal and regulatory compliance, our corporate finance strategies and initiatives, and the integrity of our financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

In 2010, a nearly identical stockholder proposal failed to pass. Our company and management, under the Board's oversight, have continued to perform strongly since then. The Board continues to believe that the company and our stockholders are best served by a structure that allows the Board flexibility to review its leadership on a regular basis and select a leadership structure that best enables the Board and the company to address our business and the challenging business environment we face. In consideration of the foregoing, our Board believes that the stockholder proposal is unnecessary and would not strengthen the independence or oversight functions of our Board, and therefore is not in our best interests or the best interests of our stockholders.

Board Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST the stockholder proposal recommending an independent board chairman.

Table of Contents

STOCK OWNERSHIP AND STOCK-BASED HOLDINGS OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

The following table contains information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 3, 2014 by:

each director and nominee for director;

each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table above; and

all current directors and executive officers of the company as a group.

Except as otherwise noted, the individual or his or her family members had sole voting and investment power with respect to such shares.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Fluor Stock-Based Holdings ⁽²⁾	Percent of Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽³⁾
<i>Directors:</i>			
Peter K. Barker	15,899	21,079	*
Alan M. Bennett	4,875	8,785	*
Rosemary T. Berkery	7,541	13,172	*
Peter J. Fluor	83,985	281,398	*
James T. Hackett	22,267	32,841	*
Kent Kresa	19,267	47,869	*
Deborah D. McWhinney	0	0	*
Dean R. O'Hare	32,819	73,379	*
Armando J. Olivera	3,760	5,830	*
Joseph W. Prueher	15,911	30,710	*
Matthew K. Rose	1,500	1,500	*
David T. Seaton ⁽⁴⁾	253,348	416,788	*
Nader H. Sultan	7,029	15,182	*
Lynn C. Swann	0	0	*
Suzanne H. Woolsey	12,544	11,134	*
<i>Named Executives:</i>			
Stephen B. Dobbs	207,058	214,521	*
David R. Dunning	50,863	82,485	*
Carlos M. Hernandez	95,791	128,202	*
Biggs C. Porter	53,140	122,005	*
<i>All directors and executive officers as a group (24 persons)</i>	943,670	1,688,869	0.59%

*

owns less than 1% of the outstanding common stock

(1)

The number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each person is determined under rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Under these rules, a person is deemed to have "beneficial ownership" of any shares over which that person has or shares voting or investment power, plus any shares that the person may acquire within 60 days, including through the exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock units. This number of shares

Table of Contents

beneficially owned therefore includes all restricted stock, shares held in the company's 401(k) plan, and shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to the exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock units. Included in the number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Dobbs, Mr. Dunning, Mr. Hernandez, Mr. Porter and Mr. Seaton, and all directors and executive officers as a group, are 147,152, 36,836, 63,009, 50,861, 158,899 and 537,264 shares, respectively, subject to restricted stock units vesting or options exercisable currently or within 60 days after March 3, 2014.

- (2) Combines beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock with (i) deferred directors' fees held by certain non-management directors as of March 3, 2014, in an account economically equivalent to our common stock (but payable in cash and some of which is unvested and attributable to the premium described in "Director Compensation" on page 57 of this proxy statement), (ii) restricted stock units held by directors (which are payable in cash upon vesting of tandem restricted stock), (iii) restricted stock units held by executive officers (which are payable in shares of common stock upon vesting) and (iv) performance units held by executive officers (for which the performance period has passed and which are payable in cash or shares of common stock upon vesting, as elected by the executive officer). This column indicates the alignment of the named individuals and group with the interests of the company's stockholders because the value of their total holdings will increase or decrease correspondingly with the price of Fluor's common stock. The amounts described in this footnote are not included in the calculation of the percentages contained in the Percent of Shares Beneficially Owned column of this table.
- (3) The percent ownership for each stockholder on March 3, 2014 is calculated by dividing (i) the total number of shares beneficially owned by the stockholder by (ii) 159,955,748 shares (the total number of shares outstanding on March 3, 2014) plus any shares acquirable (including upon exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock units) by that person currently or within 60 days after March 3, 2014.
- (4) This individual is also a named executive.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS**

The following table contains information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of the dates indicated below by the stockholders our management knows to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. The percentage of ownership is calculated using the number of outstanding shares on March 3, 2014.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	19,770,442 ⁽¹⁾	12.4%
Brown Advisory Incorporated	10,273,108 ⁽²⁾	6.4%
The Vanguard Group	9,139,717 ⁽³⁾	5.7%
BlackRock, Inc.	8,235,420 ⁽⁴⁾	5.1%

- (1) Based on information contained in Amendment No. 3 to Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 21, 2014 by JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("JPMorgan"), which indicates that JPMorgan has sole voting power relative to 18,001,245 shares and sole dispositive power relative to 19,483,491 shares. The address of JPMorgan is 270 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017.
- (2) Based on information contained in Amendment No. 1 to Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 7, 2014 by Brown Advisory Incorporated ("Brown"), which indicates that Brown has sole voting power relative to 8,026,453 shares and sole dispositive power relative to 0 shares. The address of Brown is 901 South Bond Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, MD 21231.
- (3) Based on information contained in the Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 2014 by The Vanguard Group ("Vanguard"), which indicates that Vanguard has sole voting power relative to 268,506 shares and sole dispositive power relative to 8,891,681 shares. The address of Vanguard is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.
- (4) Based on information contained in Amendment No. 3 to Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2013 by BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock"), which indicates that BlackRock and certain of its subsidiaries have sole voting power and sole dispositive power relative to 8,235,420 shares. The address of BlackRock is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of Fluor common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission reports regarding their ownership and changes in ownership of our securities. Based solely upon a review of filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, a review of company records and written representations by our directors and executive officers, the company believes that, due to administrative error, during 2013 Mr. Barker made two late filings on Form 4 relating to two distributions under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.

OTHER BUSINESS

The company does not intend to present any other business for action at the Annual Meeting and does not know of any other business intended to be presented by others.

Table of Contents

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Electronic Delivery of Our Stockholder Communications

If you received the Notice or proxy materials by mail, we strongly encourage you to conserve natural resources and reduce your company's printing and processing costs by signing up to receive your stockholder communications via e-mail. With electronic delivery, we will notify you via e-mail as soon as the annual report and the proxy statement are available on the Internet, and you can submit your vote easily online. Electronic delivery can help reduce the number of bulky documents in your personal files and eliminate duplicate mailings. Your electronic delivery enrollment will be effective until you cancel it. To sign up for electronic delivery, go to <http://enroll.icsdelivery.com/fluor>. This link is also available in the investor relations section of our website at www.fluor.com. If you have questions about electronic delivery, please call our investor relations department at (469) 398-7220.

Expenses of Solicitation and "Householding" of Proxy Materials

The expense of the proxy solicitation will be paid by the company. Some officers and employees may solicit proxies personally, by telephone or electronically, without additional compensation. Georgeson & Company Inc. has been engaged to assist in the solicitation for which it will receive approximately \$14,000, plus reimbursement of reasonable expenses incurred on our behalf. The company also expects to reimburse banks, brokers and other persons for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in handling proxy materials for beneficial owners of the company's common stock.

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries, such as brokers, to satisfy delivery requirements for proxy materials with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single copy of the Notice or certain proxy materials addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding," potentially provides extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. The company and some brokers will be householding the Notice and proxy materials for stockholders who do not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials, unless contrary instructions are received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker or us that they or we will be householding the Notice or proxy materials to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate copy of the Notice or proxy materials, or if you share an address with another stockholder and you would prefer to receive a single copy of the Notice or proxy materials instead of multiple copies, please notify Fluor's investor relations department at (469) 398-7220, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039 or, if your shares are held in a brokerage account, your broker. The company promptly will deliver to a stockholder who received one copy of the Notice or proxy materials as the result of householding a separate copy of the Notice or proxy materials upon the stockholder's written or oral request directed to Fluor's investor relations department at (469) 398-7220, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039. Please note, however, that if you wish to receive a paper proxy card or other proxy materials for purposes of this year's Annual Meeting, you should follow the instructions provided in the Notice.

Advance Notice Procedures

Under the company's Amended and Restated Bylaws, no nominations of directors or other business may be brought before an annual meeting by a stockholder unless written notice is delivered to the company's Secretary (containing certain information specified in the Amended and Restated Bylaws about the stockholder and the proposed action) not less than 90 nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting that is, with respect to the 2015 annual meeting, between January 1, 2015 and January 31, 2015. However, in the event that the 2015 annual

Table of Contents

meeting is to be held on a date that is more than 30 days before or more than 70 days after May 1, 2015 (the first anniversary of the 2014 Annual Meeting), then such notice must be received not earlier than the 120th day and not later than the later of the 90th day prior to the date of the 2015 annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the 2015 annual meeting is first made by the company. These requirements are separate from the Securities and Exchange Commission's requirements that a stockholder must meet in order to have a stockholder proposal included in the company's proxy statement. Any notices should be sent to: Carlos M. Hernandez, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039. If a stockholder fails to meet these deadlines or fails to satisfy the requirements of Rule 14a-4 under the Exchange Act, the company may exercise discretionary voting authority under proxies it solicits to vote on any such proposal as it determines appropriate.

Stockholder Proposals for the 2015 Annual Meeting

Stockholders interested in submitting a Rule 14a-8 proposal for inclusion in the proxy materials for the annual meeting of stockholders in 2015 may do so by following the procedures prescribed in Rule 14a-8, under the Exchange Act. To be eligible for inclusion, stockholder proposals must be received by the company's Secretary no later than November 11, 2014. Any proposals should be sent to: Carlos M. Hernandez, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75039.

Electronic Voting

Use of the Internet or telephonic voting procedures described on page 79 of this proxy statement constitutes your authorization for Broadridge Financial Solutions, or in the case of shares held in company retirement plans, the trustee, to deliver a proxy card on your behalf to vote at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your Internet or telephonically communicated instructions.

Annual Report

Any stockholder who would like a copy of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K may obtain one, without charge, by addressing a request to the Corporate Secretary, Fluor Corporation, 6700 Las Colinas Boulevard, Irving, TX 75039. You may also obtain a copy of the Form 10-K from the investor relations section of our website at www.fluor.com by clicking on "Financial Information" and "SEC Filings."

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of printed materials?

As permitted by U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules, we are making this proxy statement and our annual report available to our stockholders primarily via the Internet, rather than mailing printed copies of these materials to each stockholder. We believe that this process will expedite stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, lower the costs of the Annual Meeting and help to conserve natural resources. Each stockholder (other than those who previously requested electronic delivery of all materials or previously elected to receive delivery of a paper copy of the proxy materials) will receive by mail a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access and review the proxy materials, including our proxy statement and our annual report, on the Internet and how to access an electronic proxy card to vote on the Internet or by telephone. The Notice also contains instructions on how to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials. If you receive a Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you request one. If you receive a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

The Board of Directors has set March 7, 2014 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. If you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on March 7, 2014, you are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

What are my voting rights?

Stockholders have one vote for each share of Fluor common stock owned by them as of the close of business on March 7, 2014, the record date, with respect to all business of the meeting. There is no cumulative voting.

How many shares must be present to hold a meeting?

On March 7, 2014, the company had 159,903,938 shares of common stock outstanding. The presence at the meeting, in person or by proxy, of a majority of the outstanding shares of Fluor common stock on the record date will constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes (broker-held shares for which the brokers have not received voting instructions from clients and with respect to which the brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote on a matter) are counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting.

How do I vote my shares?

If you are a stockholder of record as of the record date, you may authorize the voting of your shares in any of the following ways by following the instructions in the Notice:

over the Internet at www.proxyvote.com;

telephonically by calling 1-800-690-6903; or

by completing, signing and mailing the printed proxy card, if you requested a paper copy of the proxy materials.

Authorizations submitted over the Internet or by telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on April 30, 2014.

If the shares you own are held in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, that nominee may provide you with a Notice. Follow the instructions on the Notice to access our proxy materials and vote online, or to request a paper or email copy of our proxy materials. If you receive

Table of Contents

these materials in paper form, the materials include a voting instruction card so you can instruct your bank, broker or other nominee how to vote your shares.

How do I vote if my shares are held in company retirement plans?

If you hold any shares in the company retirement plans, you are receiving, or are being provided access to, the same proxy materials as any other stockholder of record. However, your proxy vote will serve as voting instructions to The Northern Trust Company, as trustee of the plans. If voting instructions (or any revocation or change of voting instructions) are not received by the trustee by 5:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on April 29, 2014, or if you do not provide properly completed and executed voting instructions, any shares you hold in the company retirement plans will be voted by the trustee in favor of the thirteen nominees for director, and in proportion to the manner in which the other company retirement plan participants vote their shares with respect to the other proposals.

What vote is required for the election of directors and the other proposals?

Proposal 1 Election of Directors

Each director nominee receiving the majority of votes cast (number of shares voted "for" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast "against" that director nominee) will be elected as a director, provided that if the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected (a situation we do not anticipate), the directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted in the determination of votes cast, and thus do not have an effect on the outcome of voting for directors.

Proposals 2 and 4 Executive Compensation and Auditors

With respect to Proposals 2 and 4, the affirmative vote of the majority of shares represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required. Abstentions have the same effect as a vote "against" Proposals 2 and 4, and broker non-votes (if applicable) do not have an effect on the outcome of these proposals. Each of these votes is advisory, and the Board will give consideration to the voting results.

Proposal 3 Director Plan

With respect to Proposal 3, the affirmative vote of the majority of shares represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required. In addition, the New York Stock Exchange listing standards contain separate approval requirements with respect to the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, approval of Proposal 3 requires the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast. Abstentions have the same effect as a vote "against" Proposal 3, and broker non-votes do not have an effect on the outcome of this proposal.

Proposal 5 Stockholder Proposal

With respect to Proposal 5, the affirmative vote of the majority of shares represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required. Abstentions have the same effect as a vote "against" Proposal 5, and broker non-votes do not have an effect on the outcome of this proposal.

Broker Discretionary Voting

If your shares are held in street name and you do not provide voting instructions to your broker in advance of the Annual Meeting, New York Stock Exchange rules grant your broker discretionary authority to vote on "routine matters," including the ratification of the independent auditors (Proposal 4). However, the proposals regarding the election of directors, advisory vote to approve executive compensation, approval of the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee

Table of Contents

Directors and the stockholder proposal are not considered "routine matters." Therefore, if you hold your shares of company common stock in street name and do not provide voting instructions to your broker, your shares will not be voted for Proposals 1, 2, 3 and 5. We urge you to promptly provide voting instructions to your broker to ensure that your shares are voted on these proposals. Please follow the instructions set forth in the Notice.

What if I do not specify how I want my shares voted?

For shares other than shares held in the Fluor retirement plans or held in street name, if you properly submit a proxy without giving specific voting instructions, the proxyholders named therein will vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors (1) FOR the election of the thirteen director nominees listed above, (2) FOR the advisory resolution to approve executive compensation, (3) FOR the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, (4) FOR the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014 and (5) AGAINST the stockholder proposal. As to any other business that may properly come before the meeting, the proxyholders will vote in accordance with their best judgment, although the company does not presently know of any other business.

Can I change my vote after submitting my proxy?

Yes. For shares held of record, you may revoke your proxy or change your voting instructions by submitting a later-dated vote in person at the annual meeting, via the Internet, by telephone or by delivering written notice to the Secretary of the company at any time prior to 24 hours before the commencement of the Annual Meeting. Attending the meeting will not revoke your proxy unless you specifically request to revoke it or submit a ballot at the meeting. If you are a participant in Fluor's retirement plans, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote, but only until 5:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on April 29, 2014. If the shares you own are held in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee, you should contact that nominee if you wish to revoke or change previously given voting instructions.

How can I attend the meeting?

You may be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport, before being admitted to the meeting. If you hold your shares in street name, you also will need proof of ownership to be admitted to the meeting. A recent brokerage statement or letter from your broker or other nominee are examples of proof of ownership.

Please let us know whether you plan to attend the meeting by responding affirmatively when prompted during telephone or Internet voting or by marking the attendance box on the proxy card or voting instruction card.

Carlos M. Hernandez
*Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer
and Secretary*

March 11, 2014
Irving, Texas

Table of Contents

Annex A

**FLUOR CORPORATION
2014 RESTRICTED STOCK PLAN FOR NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS**

ARTICLE I. DEFINITIONS

1.1. *Definitions.* As used herein, the following terms shall have the meanings hereinafter set forth unless the context clearly indicates to the contrary:

(a) "**Award**" means a Restricted Stock Award or Stock Unit Award.

(b) "**Awardee**" means an Eligible Director to whom an Award has been granted hereunder.

(c) "**Board**" means the Board of Directors of the Company.

(d) "**Change of Control**" means, unless the Committee or the Board provides otherwise, an occurrence of any of the following events: (i) a third person, including a "group" as defined in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, acquires shares of the Company having twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the total number of votes that may be cast for the election of directors of the Company; (ii) the persons who were directors of the Company on the Effective Date (the "**Incumbent Directors**") shall cease to constitute a majority of the Board or any successor to the Company, provided that any person becoming a director of the Company subsequent to the Effective Date shall be considered an Incumbent Director if such person's election or nomination for election was approved by a vote of at least 50 percent of the Incumbent Directors; but provided further, that any such person whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened proxy contest relating to the election of members of the Board or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a person other than the Board, including by reason of agreement intended to avoid or settle any such actual or threatened contest or solicitation, shall not be considered an Incumbent Director; (iii) the consummation of a transaction pursuant to which the Company will have merged into or consolidated with another corporation, or merged another corporation into the Company, on a basis whereby less than fifty percent (50%) of the total voting power of the surviving corporation is represented by shares held by stockholders of the Company immediately prior to such merger or consolidation; (iv) the consummation of a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets, other than a sale or disposition if the holders of the voting securities of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto hold securities immediately thereafter which represent more than a majority of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the acquirer, or parent of the acquirer, of such assets; or (v) approval by the stockholders of the Company of the liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

(e) "**Code**" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

(f) "**Committee**" means the administrative body provided for in Section 4.1.

(g) "**Company**" means Fluor Corporation.

(h) "**Disability**" means a physical or mental medical condition such that the Eligible Director is unable to participate in Board and committee meetings and the condition is reasonably expected to result in death or to last continuously for at least twelve (12) months. The Committee will make the determination of Disability in its sole discretion based on available medical information.

(i) "**Early Retirement**" means, if approved by the Committee, the date prior to Mandatory Retirement Age on which an Eligible Director retires from the Board. The Committee shall have the sole and absolute discretion to approve or deny an Eligible Director's request for Early Retirement and any decision by the Committee to grant Early Retirement in one case shall not be binding precedent with respect to the approval of Early Retirement in any subsequent case.

Table of Contents

(j) "**Effective Date**" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.2.

(k) "**Eligible Director**" means a director of the Company who is not and never has been an employee of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries.

(l) "**Exchange Act**" means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

(m) "**Mandatory Retirement Age**" means, at the time an Award is granted, the age specified by the Company for retirement of members of the Board. An Eligible Director who has held an Award for at least six (6) months and continues service on the Board after reaching Mandatory Retirement Age will become fully vested in such Award upon reaching Mandatory Retirement Age, except as otherwise determined by the Committee and set forth in the Restricted Stock Agreement or Stock Unit Agreement.

(n) "**Plan**" means the Fluor Corporation 2014 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, the current terms of which are set forth herein.

(o) "**Prior Plan**" means the Fluor Corporation 2000 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended.

(p) "**Restricted Stock Agreement**" and "**Stock Unit Agreement**" means the agreement between the Company and the Awardee with respect to any Restricted Stock Award and Stock Unit Award, respectively, granted hereunder.

(q) "**Restricted Stock Award**" means an award of Stock made under Article V of this Plan, the grant, issuance, retention, and/or vesting of which is subject to such conditions as are expressed in this Plan and the Restricted Stock Agreement.

(r) "**Stock**" means the common stock of the Company, par value \$0.01 per share, or, in the event that the outstanding shares of Stock are hereafter changed into or exchanged for shares of a different stock or securities of the Company or its successor, such other stock or securities.

(s) "**Stock Unit Award**" means an award of a right to receive the fair market value of a specified number of shares of Stock made under Article VI of this Plan, the grant, issuance, retention, and/or vesting of which is subject to such conditions as are expressed in this Plan and the Stock Unit Agreement.

(t) "**Subsidiary**" means any corporation, the majority of the outstanding capital stock of which is owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company or any partnership or joint venture in which either the Company or such a corporation is at least a twenty percent (20%) equity participant.

(u) "**Transferee**" has the meaning set forth in Section 5.3(b).

ARTICLE II. GENERAL

2.1 *Purpose.* The purpose of the Plan is to advance the interests of the Company and its stockholders by affording to Eligible Directors an opportunity to acquire or increase their proprietary interest in the Company by the grant to such directors of Awards under the terms set forth herein. By encouraging Eligible Directors to become owners of Company Stock, the Company seeks to increase their incentive for enhancing stockholder value and to motivate, retain and attract those highly competent individuals upon whose judgment, initiative, leadership and continued efforts the success of the Company in large measure depends.

2.2 *Effective Date.* The Plan was adopted by the Board on February 6, 2014 and will become effective when it is approved by the Company's stockholders (the "**Effective Date**").

2.3 *Limitations.* Subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions of Section 8.1 hereof, the aggregate number of shares of Stock which may be issued under the Plan shall not exceed 500,000, less

Table of Contents

one share for every one share of Stock granted under the Prior Plan after December 31, 2013 and prior to the Effective Date. After the Effective Date, no awards may be granted under the Prior Plan. If any Stock granted under this Plan shall for any reason expire, become unexercisable, be forfeited or otherwise terminate for any reason without having been exercised in full, or if after December 31, 2013 any stock granted under the Prior Plan shall for any reason expire, become unexercisable, be forfeited or otherwise terminate for any reason without having been exercised in full, then in each such case the then unpurchased or forfeited Stock or stock under the Prior Plan that was subject thereto shall, unless this Plan shall have been terminated prior to such event, become available for future issuance under this Plan. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, shares of Stock subject to an Award under this Plan may again be made available for issuance under this Plan if such shares are shares of Stock delivered to or withheld by the Company to pay the withholding taxes related to an Award. In the event that after December 31, 2013 withholding tax liabilities arising from an award under the Prior Plan are satisfied by the tendering of shares or by the withholding of shares by the Company, then in each such case the shares so tendered or withheld shall be added to the shares available for grant under the Plan.

Subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions of Section 8.1 hereof, the aggregate dollar value of shares of Stock subject to Awards granted under this Plan during any calendar year to any one Eligible Director shall not exceed \$400,000; provided, however, that in the calendar year in which an Eligible Director first joins the Board or is first designated as Chairman of the Board or Lead Director, the maximum dollar value of shares of Stock subject to Awards granted to the Eligible Director may be up to two hundred percent (200%) of the dollar value of shares of Stock set forth in the foregoing limit. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, in no event shall cash compensation that is deferred under the Fluor Corporation 409A Deferred Directors' Fees Program be counted towards the foregoing limit.

ARTICLE III. PARTICIPANTS

3.1 *Eligibility.* Any Eligible Director shall be eligible to participate in the Plan.

ARTICLE IV. ADMINISTRATION

4.1 *Composition of Committee.* The Plan shall be administered by the Organization and Compensation Committee of the Board, and/or by the Board or another committee of the Board, as appointed from time to time by the Board (any such administrative body, the "**Committee**"). The Board shall fill vacancies on, and from time to time may remove or add members to, the Committee. The Committee shall act pursuant to a majority vote or unanimous written consent. To the extent that any permitted action taken by the Board conflicts with action taken by the Committee, the Board action shall control.

4.2 *Duties and Powers of the Committee.* Subject to the express provisions of this Plan, the Committee shall be authorized and empowered to do all things necessary or desirable in connection with the administration of this Plan with respect to the Awards over which such Committee has authority, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to this Plan and to define terms not otherwise defined herein;
- (b) to determine the number of shares of Stock subject to Awards;
- (c) to prescribe and amend the terms of the agreements or other documents evidencing Awards made under this Plan;
- (d) to determine whether, and the extent to which, adjustments are required pursuant to Section 8.1 hereof;

Table of Contents

(e) to interpret and construe this Plan, any rules and regulations under the Plan and the terms and conditions of any Award granted hereunder, and to make exceptions to any such provisions in good faith and for the benefit of the Company; and

(f) to make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan.

4.3 *Determinations of the Committee.* All decisions, determinations and interpretations by the Committee or the Board regarding the Plan shall be final and binding on all current or former Eligible Directors of the Company and their beneficiaries, heirs, successors and assigns. The Committee or the Board, as applicable, shall consider such factors as it deems relevant, in its sole and absolute discretion, to making such decisions, determinations and interpretations including, without limitation, the recommendations or advice of any officer of the Company or Eligible Director and such attorneys, consultants and accountants as it may select.

4.4 *Company Assistance.* The Committee may designate the Secretary of the Company or other Company employees to assist the Committee in the administration of the Plan, and may grant authority to such persons to execute agreements evidencing Awards made under this Plan or other documents entered into under this Plan on behalf of the Committee or the Company. The Company shall supply full and timely information to the Committee on all matters relating to Eligible Directors, their death, retirement, Disability or removal or resignation from the Board and such other pertinent facts as the Committee may require. The Company shall furnish the Committee with such clerical and other assistance as is necessary in the performance of its duties.

ARTICLE V. RESTRICTED STOCK AWARDS

5.1 *Awards under the Plan.* Subject to Section 2.3, the Committee may, from time to time, provide for grants of Restricted Stock Awards to any Eligible Director, which shall be granted on a date or dates determined by the Committee.

5.2 *Restricted Stock Agreement.* Each Restricted Stock Award shall be evidenced by a Restricted Stock Agreement, dated as of the date of the grant of the Award, which shall set forth such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Committee consistent with the Plan.

5.3 *Restrictions on Sale or Other Transfer.* Each share of Stock granted under a Restricted Stock Award shall be subject to acquisition by the Company, and may not be sold or otherwise transferred except pursuant to the following provisions:

(a) The shares of Stock represented by the Restricted Stock Agreement shall be held in book entry form with the Company's transfer agent until the restrictions lapse in accordance with the conditions established by the Committee pursuant to Section 5.4 hereof or until the shares of Stock are forfeited pursuant to Section 5.3(c).

(b) No such shares of Stock may be sold, transferred or otherwise alienated or hypothecated so long as such shares are subject to the restriction provided for in this Section 5.3; provided, however, that the Committee may in its sole discretion grant an Award or amend an outstanding Award to provide that the Award is transferable or assignable to a member or members of the Eligible Director's "immediate family", as such term is defined under Rule 16a-1(e) under the Exchange Act or to a trust for the benefit solely of the Eligible Director or a member or members of the Eligible Director's immediate family, or to a partnership or other entity whose only owners are the Eligible Director and/or a member or members of the Eligible Director's family (each a "**Transferee**"), provided that following any such transfer or assignment the Award will remain subject to substantially the same terms applicable to the Award while held by the Eligible Director, and the Transferee shall execute an agreement agreeing to be bound by such terms.

Table of Contents

(c) All of the Awardee's Restricted Stock Award remaining subject to any restriction hereunder shall be forfeited to, and be acquired at no cost by, the Company in the event that the Committee determines that any of the following circumstances has occurred:

(i) the Awardee has engaged in knowing and willful misconduct in connection with his or her service as a member of the Board;

(ii) the Awardee, without the consent of the Committee, at any time during his or her period of service as a member of the Board, becomes a principal of, serves as a director of, or owns a material interest in, any business that directly or through a controlled subsidiary competes with the Company or any Subsidiary; or

(iii) the Awardee does not stand for reelection to, or voluntarily quits or resigns from, the Board for any reason, except under circumstances that would cause such restrictions to lapse under Section 5.4.

5.4 Lapse of Restrictions. The restrictions imposed under Section 5.3 above upon a Restricted Stock Award shall lapse at the time or times determined by the Committee and set forth in the applicable Restricted Stock Agreement, except that the Committee may not provide for full lapsing of all such restrictions for less than one (1) year of service on the Board from the date of grant of the Award other than upon the Awardee's attainment of Mandatory Retirement Age, Early Retirement pursuant to Section 5.5, death or Disability, or upon a Change of Control.

5.5 Early Retirement. An Awardee, who leaves the Board prior to Mandatory Retirement Age, may, upon application to and in the sole discretion of the Committee, be granted Early Retirement status and, consequently, may receive benefits associated with the attainment of Mandatory Retirement Age as provided in any applicable Restricted Stock Agreement or Stock Unit Agreement.

5.6 Rights as Stockholder. Subject to the provisions of Section 5.3 hereof, upon the issuance to the Awardee of Stock hereunder, the Awardee shall have all the rights of a stockholder with respect to such Stock, including the right to vote the shares and receive all dividends and other distributions paid or made with respect thereto. Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section, cash dividends, stock and any other property (other than cash) distributed as a dividend or otherwise with respect to any Restricted Stock Award or Stock Unit Award that vests based on achievement of performance goals shall either (i) not be paid or credited or (ii) be accumulated, shall be subject to restrictions and risk of forfeiture to the same extent as the Restricted Stock or Stock Units with respect to which such cash, stock or other property has been distributed and shall be paid at the time such restrictions and risk of forfeiture lapse.

5.7 Stock Certificates. The Company shall not be required to issue or deliver any certificate for shares of Stock pursuant to a Restricted Stock Agreement executed hereunder, prior to fulfillment of all of the following conditions:

(a) the admission of such shares to listing on all stock exchanges on which the Stock is then listed;

(b) the completion of any registration or other qualification of such shares under any federal or state law or under the rulings or regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission or any other governmental regulatory body, which the Committee shall in its sole discretion deem necessary or advisable;

(c) the obtaining of any approval or other clearance from any federal or state governmental agency which the Committee shall in its sole discretion determine to be necessary or advisable; and

(d) the lapse of such reasonable period of time following the execution of the Restricted Stock Agreement as the Committee from time to time may establish for reasons of administrative convenience.

Table of Contents

ARTICLE VI. STOCK UNIT AWARDS

6.1 *Stock Unit Award Grant and Agreement.* Subject to Section 2.3, the Committee may, from time to time, provide for grants of Stock Unit Awards to any Eligible Director, which shall be granted on a date or dates determined by the Committee. Each Stock Unit Award granted hereunder shall be evidenced by a Stock Unit Agreement dated as of the date of grant and executed by the Company and the Awardee, which Agreement shall set forth such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Committee consistent with the Plan.

6.2 *Award Terms and Conditions.*

(a) Each Stock Unit Award shall become vested and settled on the dates and upon such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Committee, except that the Committee may not provide for full vesting for less than one (1) year of service on the Board from the date of grant of the Award other than upon the Awardee's attainment of Mandatory Retirement Age, Early Retirement pursuant to Section 5.5, death or Disability, or upon a Change of Control.

(b) The Committee may provide for Stock Unit Awards to be settled in cash and/or shares of Stock. A Stock Unit Award will be settled in a single lump sum payment of cash and/or shares of Stock as soon as reasonably practicable and in no event later than 90 days following the vesting date.

(c) The Committee may permit an Awardee or the Company to elect for the settlement of any Stock Unit Award to be deferred to a specified date or event in accordance with an election made pursuant an applicable deferred compensation plan.

(d) The amount of cash or shares of Stock to be distributed may, if the Stock Unit Agreement provides, be increased by an interest factor or by dividend equivalents, as the case may be, which may be valued as if reinvested in shares of Stock.

ARTICLE VII. TERMINATION, AMENDMENT AND MODIFICATION OF PLAN

7.1 *Termination, Amendment and Modification of Plan.* The Committee may at any time terminate, and may at any time and from time to time and in any respect amend or modify, the Plan provided that, if under applicable laws or the rules of any securities exchange upon which the Company's Stock is listed, the consent of the Company's stockholders is required for such amendment or modification, such amendment or modification shall not be effective until the Company obtains such consent, and provided, further, that no termination, amendment or modification of the Plan shall in any manner affect any Award theretofore granted pursuant to the Plan without the consent of the Awardee. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if an amendment or modification would (a) materially increase the benefits accruing to participants under the Plan, (b) materially increase the aggregate number of securities that may be issued under the Plan or (c) materially modify the requirements as to eligibility for participation in the Plan, then such amendment or modification shall not be effective until the Company obtains the approval of the Company's stockholders.

7.2 *Term of Plan.* Each Award granted hereunder must be granted within ten (10) years from the Effective Date.

ARTICLE VIII. MISCELLANEOUS

8.1 *Adjustment Provisions.* If the outstanding securities of the class then subject to this Plan are increased, decreased or exchanged for or converted into cash, property or a different number or kind of shares or securities, or if cash, property or shares or securities are distributed in respect of such outstanding securities, in either case as a result of a reorganization, merger, consolidation, recapitalization, restructuring, reclassification, dividend (other than a regular, quarterly cash dividend) or other distribution, stock split, reverse stock split, spin-off or the like, or if substantially all of the

Table of Contents

property and assets of the Company are sold, then, the Committee shall make appropriate and proportionate adjustments in (a) the number and type of shares or other securities or cash or other property that may be acquired pursuant to outstanding Awards under this Plan and the exercise or settlement price of such Awards; provided, however, that any such adjustment shall be made in such a manner that will not affect the status of any Award intended to qualify as exempt from coverage under Code Section 409A, and (b) the maximum number and type of shares or other securities that may be issued pursuant to such Awards thereafter granted under this Plan.

8.2 *Continuation of Board Service.* Nothing in the Plan or in any instrument executed pursuant to the Plan will confer upon any Eligible Director any right to continue to serve on the Board.

8.3 *Compliance with Government Regulations.* No shares of Stock will be issued hereunder unless and until all applicable requirements imposed by federal and state securities and other laws, rules, and regulations and by any regulatory agencies having jurisdiction and by any stock exchanges upon which the Stock may be listed have been fully met. As a condition precedent to the issuance of shares of Stock pursuant hereto, the Company may require the Awardee to take any reasonable action to comply with such requirements.

8.4 *Privileges of Stock Ownership.* No Eligible Director and no beneficiary or other person claiming under or through such person will have any right, title, or interest in or to any shares of Stock allocated or reserved under the Plan or subject to any Award except as to such shares of Stock, if any, that have been issued to such Eligible Director.

8.5 *Non-Transferability.* Except as set forth in Section 5.3 hereof, (a) for so long as any Award is subject to any restrictions pursuant to this Plan, the Award may be owned during the life of the Eligible Director solely by such director or the director's duly appointed guardian or personal representative and (b) no Award and no other right under the Plan, contingent or otherwise, will be assignable or subject to any encumbrance, pledge, or charge of any nature.

8.6 *Other Compensation Plans.* The adoption of the Plan shall not affect any other stock option or incentive or other compensation plans in effect for the Company or any Subsidiary, nor shall the Plan preclude the Company from establishing any other forms of incentive or other compensation for employees or directors of the Company or any Subsidiary.

8.7 *Plan Binding on Successors.* The Plan shall be binding upon the successors and assigns of the Company.

8.8 *Singular, Plural; Gender.* Whenever used herein, nouns in the singular shall include the plural, and the masculine pronoun shall include the feminine gender.

8.9 *Headings, etc., Not Part of Plan.* Headings of Articles and Sections hereof are inserted for convenience and reference; they constitute no part of the Plan.

8.10 *Governing Law.* This Plan and any Awards hereunder shall be governed by and interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware and applicable federal law. Any reference in this Plan or in the agreement evidencing any Award to a provision of law or to a rule or regulation shall be deemed to include any successor law, rule or regulation of similar effect or applicability.

8.11 *Section 409A Compliance.* Awards under this Plan may be structured to either be exempt from or subject to the requirements of section 409A of the Code and shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with such intent. To the extent that an Award or the payment, settlement or deferral thereof is subject to section 409A of the Code, the Award shall be granted, paid, settled or deferred in a manner that will comply with section 409A of the Code, including regulations or other guidance issued with respect thereto, except as otherwise determined by the Committee. Any provision of this Plan that would cause the grant of an Award or the payment, settlement or deferral thereof to

Table of Contents

fail to satisfy section 409A of the Code shall be amended to comply with section 409A of the Code on a timely basis, which may be made on a retroactive basis, in accordance with regulations and other guidance issued under section 409A of the Code.

8.12 *Foreign Eligible Directors* Awards may be granted to Eligible Directors who are foreign nationals or employed outside the United States, or both, on such terms and conditions different from those applicable to Awards to Eligible Directors who are residents of the United States or employed in the United States as may, in the judgment of the Committee, be necessary or desirable in order to recognize differences in local law or tax policy.

Table of Contents

**Directions to the
Fluor Corporation 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders**

Thursday, May 1, 2014, beginning at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time
Fluor Corporation
6700 Las Colinas Boulevard
Irving, Texas 75039

From DFW Airport:

Leaving the airport, take the north exit
Travel east on TX 114
Take the MacArthur Blvd. exit and turn left
Turn right onto Fluor Drive
End at Fluor Corporation entrance

From Love Field:

Leaving the airport, turn right on Mockingbird Ln.
Travel west on TX 183 to TX 114 W
Take the MacArthur Blvd. exit and turn right
Turn right onto Fluor Drive
End at Fluor Corporation entrance
