

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\
Form DEF 14A
September 28, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Broadway Financial Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION
5055 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 500
Los Angeles, California 90036

September 28, 2015

Dear Stockholder:

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I cordially invite you to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Broadway Financial Corporation (the "Company"), which will be held at the Company's principal executive offices, 5055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500, Los Angeles, California 90036, at 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 28, 2015.

Stockholders will be asked at the Annual Meeting to vote on the election of three directors and on each of the other proposals described in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting, please complete, sign and date each proxy card you receive and return them to the Company as soon as possible in the postage-paid envelopes that have been provided. You may revoke your proxies at any time prior to the Annual Meeting and, if you attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote your shares in person.

Sincerely,

Wayne-Kent A. Bradshaw
Chief Executive Officer

IMPORTANT: If your Broadway Financial Corporation shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm or other nominee, only that brokerage firm or nominee may execute a proxy on your behalf. To ensure that your shares are voted, we urge you to telephone the individual responsible for your account today and obtain instructions on how to direct him or her to execute a proxy on your behalf.

If you have any questions or need any assistance in voting your shares, please telephone Brenda J. Battey, the Company's Investor Relations Representative, at (323) 634-1700, Ext 3264.

Table of Contents

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION
5055 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 500
Los Angeles, California 90036

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Wednesday, October 28, 2015
2:00 p.m.

Dear Stockholder:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Broadway Financial Corporation (the "Company") will be held at the Company's principal executive offices, 5055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500, Los Angeles, California 90036, at 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 28, 2015, for the following purposes:

1. To elect three directors of the Company to serve until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in the year 2018 or until their successors are elected and have been qualified;
2. To ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for its fiscal year ending December 31, 2015;
3. To cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on executive compensation; and
4. To consider such other business as may properly come before and be voted upon by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors has selected September 14, 2015 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only those stockholders of record at the close of business on that date will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof. A list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available at the Company's principal executive offices during the ten days prior to the Annual Meeting and will also be available for inspection at the Annual Meeting.

Whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting, please mail your proxy in the postage-paid envelope that has been provided. You may revoke this proxy at any time prior to the Annual Meeting and, if you attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote your shares in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Steven N. Richman
Secretary

Los Angeles, California
September 28, 2015

Table of Contents

**Broadway Financial Corporation
Proxy Statement
Table of Contents**

<u>General Information</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Record Date and Voting of Shares</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Revocation of Proxies</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Proposal 1. Election of Directors</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Information Concerning Nominees and Directors</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Committees and Meetings of the Board</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Communications with the Board</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Executive Officers</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Compensation Tables</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Proposal 2. Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals For Presentation at the Annual Meeting</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Annual Report and Form 10-K</u>	<u>17</u>

Table of Contents

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION
5055 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 500
Los Angeles, California 90036

PROXY STATEMENT
Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Wednesday, October 28, 2015

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Broadway Financial Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting") to be held at the Company's principal executive offices, 5055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500, Los Angeles, California, 90036, at 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 28, 2015, and at any postponement or adjournment thereof. This Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy were first mailed to stockholders on or about September 28, 2015.

The Company was incorporated under Delaware law in September 1995 for the purpose of acquiring and holding all of the outstanding capital stock of Broadway Federal Bank, f.s.b. ("Broadway Federal" or the "Bank") as part of the Bank's conversion from a federally chartered mutual savings and loan association to a federally chartered stock savings bank (the "Conversion"). The Conversion was completed and the Bank became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company on January 8, 1996. Unless otherwise indicated, references in this Proxy Statement to the Company include the Bank as its predecessor.

**THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND THE COMPANY'S ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS ARE
AVAILABLE AT <http://www.broadwayfederalbank.com>**

RECORD DATE AND VOTING OF SHARES

The Board has selected September 14, 2015 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. A total of 21,405,188 shares of the Company's voting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Voting Common Stock"), were outstanding at the close of business on that date.

A majority of the shares of Voting Common Stock entitled to vote, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders will be entitled to cast one vote for each share of Voting Common Stock held by them of record at the close of business on the record date on any matter that may be presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration and action by the stockholders and on which they are entitled to vote. Abstentions will be treated as shares of Voting Common Stock that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, but as unvoted for purposes of determining the approval of any matter submitted for a vote of the stockholders. If a broker indicates on its proxy that the broker does not have discretionary authority to

Table of Contents

vote on a particular matter as to certain shares of Voting Common Stock, commonly referred to as "broker non-votes", then those shares will be counted for general quorum purposes, but will not be considered as present and entitled to vote with respect to that matter.

A plurality of votes cast by the holders of shares of Voting Common Stock is required for the election of directors. The affirmative vote of the majority of the shares of Voting Common Stock voting on the proposal will be required to: (i) ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and (ii) adopt the non-binding proposal to approve executive compensation described in this Proxy Statement. Thus, abstentions and broker non-votes will not have any effect in the voting on these proposals.

All valid proxies received in response to this solicitation will be voted in accordance with the instructions indicated thereon by the stockholders giving such proxies. If no contrary instructions are given, such proxies will be voted FOR the election of the nominees named in this Proxy Statement as directors, and FOR approval of each of the other proposals described in this Proxy Statement. Although the Board currently knows of no other matter to be presented at the Annual Meeting, if other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting and may properly be acted upon, the proxies solicited hereby will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the persons named in such proxies.

REVOCAION OF PROXIES

Any stockholder may revoke his or her proxy at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by delivering a later signed and dated proxy card or other written notice of revocation to Alice Wong, Assistant Secretary of the Company, at 5055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500, Los Angeles, California 90036. A proxy will also be considered revoked if the stockholder executing the proxy is present at the Annual Meeting and chooses to vote in person.

SOLICITATION OF PROXIES

Proxies are being solicited by this Proxy Statement on behalf of the Board. The principal solicitation of proxies is being conducted by mail. Computershare, the Company's transfer agent, will assist in the solicitation of proxies at no additional fee except for reimbursement of certain expenses. To the extent necessary, proxies may be solicited by officers, directors and employees of the Company or the Bank, and the Company's financial advisor, none of whom will receive additional compensation for such solicitation. Proxies may be solicited by telephone, personal contact or other means. The Company will bear the cost of this solicitation of proxies, including postage, printing and handling, and will reimburse brokers and other nominee holders of shares of the Company's Common Stock for their expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation material to beneficial owners of such shares.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of August 31, 2015 concerning the shares of the Company's Common Stock owned by each person known to the Company to be a beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's Common Stock, each director, each Named Executive Officer, and all current directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Voting Common Stock	Number of Shares of Non-Voting Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Total Common Stock Outstanding ⁽²⁾
United States Department of the Treasury	10,146,000	47.40%	-	34.89%
CJA Private Equity Financial Restructuring Master Fund I L.P. ⁽³⁾	2,129,816	9.95%	6,169,320	28.54%
BBCN Bancorp, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	1,925,000	8.99%	-	6.62%
National Community Investment Fund ⁽⁵⁾	1,048,000	4.90%	1,502,200	8.77%
Directors and Executive Officers:				
Wayne-Kent A. Bradshaw ⁽⁶⁾	105,966	0.49%	-	0.36%
Kellogg Chan ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	94,033	0.44%	-	0.32%
Robert C. Davidson, Jr. ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁹⁾	119,425	0.56%	-	0.41%
A. Odell Maddox ⁽⁷⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	38,250	0.18%	-	0.13%
Daniel A. Medina ⁽⁷⁾⁽¹¹⁾	51,999	0.24%	-	0.18%
Virgil Roberts ⁽⁷⁾⁽¹²⁾	32,079	0.15%	-	0.11%
Erin Selleck ⁽¹³⁾	962	0.00%	-	0.00%
Brenda J. Battey ⁽¹⁴⁾	25,213	0.12%	-	0.09%
Norman Bellefeuille ⁽¹⁵⁾	52,724	0.25%	-	0.18%
Ruth McCloud	-	0.00%	-	0.00%
All current directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons)	520,651	2.42%	-	1.79%

- (1) The non-voting common stock may be converted to common stock, only upon certain prescribed forms of sales to third parties that are not affiliated with such holder.
- (2) Percentages are based on the total of voting and non-voting common stock held by the respective stockholders shown in the table.
- (3) Christopher J. Acito, managing member of Christopher J. Acito & Associates LLC, has sole investment and voting power with respect to these shares. Christopher J. Acito & Associates LLC is the managing member of CJA Private Equity Financial Restructuring GP I Ltd., which is the general partner of CJA Private Equity Financial Restructuring Master Fund I LP. The address for CJA is 654 Madison Avenue, Suite 601, New York, NY 10065. CJA is an affiliate of Gapstow Capital Partners located at 654 Madison Avenue, Suite 601, New York, NY 10065.
- (4) Includes holdings of BBCN Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiary BBCN Bank. The address for BBCN Bancorp, Inc. is 3731 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1000, Los Angeles, CA 90010.
- (5) The address for National Community Investment Fund ("NCIF") is 135 South LaSalle, Suite 2040, Chicago, IL 60603.

Table of Contents

- (6) Includes 11,735 allocated shares under the Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"), and 75,000 shares subject to options granted under the Company's 2008 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "LTIP"), which options are all currently exercisable as of August 31, 2015.
- (7) Includes 3,125 shares subject to options granted under the LTIP, which options are all currently exercisable as of August 31, 2015.
- (8) Includes 50,000 shares held by the Kellogg & Bronwyn Chan Family Trust and 40,014 shares that are jointly owned with Mr. Chan's spouse, with whom Mr. Chan shares investment and voting power. Kellogg Chan and Bronwyn Chan share investment and voting power with respect to the shares held by the Kellogg & Bronwyn Chan Family Trust in their capacities as trustees of the trust.
- (9) Includes 115,496 shares that are held by the Robert and Alice Davidson Trust, dated August 11, 1982. Robert Davidson and Alice Davidson share investment and voting power with respect to the shares held by the Robert and Alice Davidson Trust in their capacities as trustees of the trust.
- (10) Includes 19,491 shares held jointly with his spouse with whom voting and investment power are shared.
- (11) Includes 48,068 shares that are held by the Martin Medina Family Trust. Mr. Medina and his wife share investment and voting power with respect to the shares held by the Martin Medina Family Trust in their capacities as trustees of the trust.
- (12) Includes 28,150 shares held jointly with his spouse with whom voting and investment power are shared.
- (13) Includes 962 shares held jointly with her spouse with whom voting and investment power are shared.
- (14) Includes 213 allocated shares under the ESOP.
- (15) Includes 224 allocated shares under the ESOP and 52,500 shares held jointly with his spouse with whom voting and investment power are shared.

PROPOSAL 1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's Certificate of Incorporation provides that the Board shall be divided into three classes, with the term of one class of directors to expire each year. Three directors are to be elected at the Annual Meeting.

Information Concerning Nominees and Directors

The following table sets forth the names and information regarding the persons who are currently members of the Board, including those nominated by the Board for election at the Annual Meeting. If elected, Messrs. Wayne-Kent A. Bradshaw and Kellogg Chan and Ms. Erin Selleck will each serve for a term of three years or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. Each has consented to be named in this Proxy Statement and has indicated his/her intention to serve if elected. If any of the

Table of Contents

nominees becomes unable to serve as a director for any reason, the shares represented by the proxies solicited hereby may be voted for a replacement nominee selected by the Board.

Name	Age at August 31, 2015	Director Since	Term Expires	Positions Currently Held with the Company and the Bank
NOMINEES:				
Wayne-Kent A. Bradshaw	68	2012	2015	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Kellogg Chan	76	1993	2015	Director
Erin Selleck	58	2015	-	Director
CONTINUING DIRECTORS:				
Robert C. Davidson, Jr.	69	2003	2016	Director
A. Odell Maddox	69	1986	2017	Director
Daniel A. Medina	57	1997	2017	Director
Virgil Roberts	68	2002	2017	Director and Chairman of the Board

**The Board of Directors unanimously recommends
that you vote for the above nominees.**

The following is a brief description of the business experience of the nominees and continuing directors for at least the past five years and their respective directorships, if any, with other public companies that are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Also set forth below for each nominee and continuing director is a description of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the Board's conclusion that such person should serve as a director of the Company.

Nominees

Wayne-Kent A. Bradshaw is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank. He joined the Company in February of 2009 as the President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Bradshaw was elected to serve as a director of both the Company and the Bank in September 2012. Mr. Bradshaw was the Regional President for Community and External Affairs of Washington Mutual Bank from 2003 to 2009. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Los Angeles-based Family Savings Bank from 1989 until 2002 and Chief Deputy Superintendent for the State Banking Department from 1981 to 1983. Mr. Bradshaw has served on many community and educational boards. He most recently served on the Board of California State University Northridge, Northridge Hospital Medical Center and California Community Reinvestment Corporation.

Mr. Bradshaw has over 45 years of experience in financial management and banking. Mr. Bradshaw has the proven ability to plan and implement programs which optimize opportunities to accelerate profitable growth in highly competitive environments. He has extensive experience in community banking, commercial banking and as a bank regulator. We believe this knowledge and experience qualifies him to serve on our board of directors.

Kellogg Chan served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Universal Bank, f.s.b. from 1994 to 1995 and President and Chief Executive Officer of East-West Federal Savings and Loan Association from 1976 to 1992. Mr. Chan is retired.

Table of Contents

Mr. Chan has extensive experience in the thrift industry through a wide variety of economic and interest rate cycles. He has served in executive management positions in thrift institutions and has experienced a diversity of corporate cultures. His extensive executive management experience includes strategic planning and implementation, and the development, implementation and evaluation of internal control structures, particularly in the thrift industry. We believe this knowledge and experience qualifies him to serve on our board of directors.

Erin Selleck served as Senior Executive Vice President and Treasurer of Union Bank until her retirement in 2014. In addition to her role as Union Bank's Treasurer, she served as a Policy Making Officer and Executive Committee member. Prior to joining Union Bank in 2002, she held a variety of positions within Corporate Treasury at Bank of America. She is currently serving on the Advisory Boards of two small private companies, CleanBlu and the Women Founders Network. She is on the Board of Directors and serves as Treasurer for Heal the Bay, and serves on the Board of Advisors for Girls Inc. of Greater Los Angeles.

Ms. Selleck has extensive experience in finance, banking and capital markets. She has comprehensive expertise in all treasury functions including investments, funding and liquidity, interest rate risk, capital management and investor relations. As a banker for more than 25 years, she has deep knowledge of the banking industry and of the regulatory landscape in banking. Ms. Selleck also has extensive executive management experience with driving growth and profitability, guiding strategy, including mergers and acquisitions, and providing effective oversight of all enterprise risks, including cyber-security. We believe this knowledge and experience qualifies her to serve on our board of directors.

Continuing Directors

Robert C. Davidson, Jr. is the retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Surface Protection Industries, a paint and specialty coatings manufacturing company he founded in 1978, which became one of the leading African American-owned manufacturing companies in California. Previously, he co-founded and served as Vice President of Urban National Corporation, a private venture capital corporation that was focused specifically on investing in minority-controlled businesses. Mr. Davidson currently serves on the boards of Morehouse College (Chairman), Art Center College of Design (Chairman), Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. (a publicly traded professional service company), Cedars-Sinai Medical Center and the University Of Chicago Graduate School Of Business Advisory Council.

Mr. Davidson has extensive entrepreneurial experience in developing and managing small and medium sized businesses. He has hands-on experience in marketing and sales, human resources and strategic planning and implementation. He has a long history with and extensive knowledge of the Company and of the markets and communities in which the Company operates.

A. Odell Maddox is Manager of Maddox Co., a real estate property management and sales company, and has served in that capacity since 1986. Mr. Maddox has worked in property management, real estate brokerage and investment businesses for over 36 years.

Mr. Maddox has extensive experience in real estate in Los Angeles, as well as significant experience in real estate lending and loan workouts. He has extensive entrepreneurial experience developing and managing small and medium-sized businesses. Mr. Maddox has a long history with and knowledge of the Company and the communities and markets in which the Company operates.

Daniel A. Medina has been a research analyst for Needham & Company, LLC, a New York based investment bank since October 2009. Prior to working for Needham & Company, LLC, Mr. Medina was

Table of Contents

Managing Director of Capital Knowledge, LLC, a consulting firm that provided financial advisory services. He had been with Capital Knowledge, LLC and its predecessor since April 1, 2000.

Mr. Medina has extensive experience in analyzing and valuing financial institutions and assessing their strengths and weaknesses. He also has extensive knowledge of the capital markets and mergers and acquisitions, specifically within the financial services industry.

Virgil Roberts has been Managing Partner of Bobbitt & Roberts, a law firm representing clients in the entertainment industry, since 1996. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Community Build, Inc., Claremont Graduate School, Families in Schools, the Alliance for College Ready Public Schools, Southern California Public Radio and the James Irvine Foundation.

Mr. Roberts is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company and the Bank. Mr. Roberts' qualifications to serve on the Board include his extensive legal and business experience and community leadership. Mr. Roberts serves on a number of local community boards and provides leadership to local community groups. Mr. Roberts serves as the Chair of the Company's Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Roberts brings leadership, management and regulatory experience to the Board.

Director Independence

We have adopted standards for director independence pursuant to the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards. The Board has considered relationships, transactions and/or arrangements with each of its directors and has determined that all six of the Company's non-employee directors are "independent" under applicable Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards and Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules.

Board Leadership Structure

The position of Chairman of the Board is held by Mr. Roberts, who is an independent director. As the Chairman of the Board, Mr. Roberts provides leadership to the Board and works with the Board and executive management to define the Board's structure and coordinate its activities in the fulfillment of its responsibilities. In addition, he presides over periodic executive sessions of the Board, coordinates the agenda for meetings and serves as a liaison between the independent directors and management.

Mr. Bradshaw serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. As such, he has general charge, supervision and management of the business affairs of the Company, and is responsible for assuring that policy decisions of the Board are implemented as adopted. He, in conjunction with the Board, is responsible for the development and implementation of the Company's strategic plans.

The Board intends to continue to separate the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions. This structure ensures a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of the Company and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing priorities and procedures for the work of the Board. In addition, this structure allows Mr. Bradshaw to focus his attention on implementing the Company's strategic plans, while a separate Chairman can devote full attention to Board leadership functions. The Board will continue to periodically review our leadership structure and may make such changes in the future as it deems appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Table of Contents

Risk Oversight

The Board's role in the Company's risk management process includes reviewing regular reports from senior management on areas of material risk to the Company, including operational, financial, legal, regulatory, strategic and reputational risks. The Board reviews these reports to enable it to understand and assess the Company's risk identification, risk management and risk mitigation strategies. While the Board has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, various committees of both management and the Board also have responsibility for risk management. In accordance with our audit committee charter, the Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies as well as the procedures and the safety and soundness of the Company. The Loan Committee evaluates and manages credit risk and loan concentration risk, while the Internal Asset Review Committee reviews loan classifications and loss risk in the Bank's loan portfolio. In addition, the Asset and Liability Committee manages investment, interest rate, and financial risk exposure, the Compensation/Benefits Committee oversees the management of risks relating to our executive and non-executive compensation plans and arrangements and the Corporate Governance Committee manages risks associated with the independence of the Board of Directors, potential conflicts of interest, and overall governance of the Company. While each committee oversees certain risks and the management of such risks, the entire Board is regularly informed of such risks through committee and management reports.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director

The Company's Corporate Governance Committee is charged with the responsibilities of identifying and recommending candidates to the Board to be nominated for election as directors. The committee considers candidates suggested by its members, other directors and stockholders in anticipation of upcoming director elections and other potential or expected Board vacancies. The committee will consider candidates nominated by stockholders provided that the stockholder submitting a nomination has complied with procedures set forth in the Company's Bylaws. See "Stockholder Proposals for Presentation at the Annual Meeting" for additional information regarding stockholder nominations of director candidates.

All director candidates, including those nominated by stockholders, are evaluated on the same basis. In determining the needs of the Board and the Company, the Corporate Governance Committee considers the qualifications of current directors and consults with other members of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer and, where appropriate, external advisors. Generally the committee believes that all directors should exemplify the highest standards of personal and professional integrity, have broad experience in positions with a high degree of responsibility and the ability to commit adequate time and effort to serve as a director. Director candidates who are not current directors are interviewed by members of the committee and the Chief Executive Officer and the results of those interviews are considered by the committee and the Board in their deliberations.

Neither the Corporate Governance Committee nor the Board has a formal policy on the consideration of diversity in identifying director candidates, although both may consider diversity when identifying and evaluating candidates. The Board may require a candidate to be sufficiently diverse from the other Board members, in ethnicity, educational, professional and/or managerial backgrounds and experience, to provide a range of perspectives and interests among the members of the Board.

Committees and Meetings of the Board

The Company has three standing Board committees: the Audit Committee, Compensation/Benefits Committee and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board of Directors of the Bank has six

Table of Contents

committees: the Audit Committee, Consent Order Compliance Committee, Compensation/Benefits Committee, Internal Asset Review Committee, Loan Committee and Corporate Governance Committee.

Company Committees

The Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Maddox (Chairman) and Chan and Ms. Selleck. This committee is responsible for the engagement and oversight of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee, together with the corresponding committee of the Bank's Board of Directors, is also responsible for oversight of the internal audit function of the Company, assessment of accounting and internal control policies and monitoring of regulatory compliance. The Audit Committee held twelve meetings during 2014. The Audit Committee has a written charter, which was included as Appendix A to the Company's 2013 annual meeting proxy statement. All of the members of the Audit Committee are independent directors as defined under the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards. In addition, Mr. Chan and Ms. Selleck meet the definition of "audit committee financial expert," as defined by the SEC. See "Audit Committee Report" on page 10.

The Compensation/Benefits Committee consists of Messrs. Davidson (Chairman), Medina and Roberts. This committee, together with the corresponding committee of the Bank's Board of Directors, is responsible for the oversight of salary and wage administration and various employee benefits policies and incentive compensation matters at the Company level. The Compensation/Benefits Committee has a written charter, which was included as Appendix B to the Company's 2013 annual meeting proxy statement. The Compensation/Benefits Committee held nine meetings during 2014.

The Corporate Governance Committee consists of Messrs. Roberts (Chairman), Davidson and Medina. This committee is responsible for the review of the qualifications of persons being considered for election as directors, including existing directors, and for recommending candidates for nomination to the Board. The Corporate Governance Committee held three meetings in 2014. Nominees for the 2015 Annual Meeting were recommended by the Corporate Governance Committee and approved by the Board. There were no nominations by stockholders. The Corporate Governance Committee's duties and responsibilities and the qualifications for director nominees are described in the Corporate Governance Committee Charter, which was included as Appendix C to the Company's 2013 annual meeting proxy statement. All of the members of the Corporate Governance Committee are independent directors as defined under the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards.

Bank Committees

The Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Maddox (Chairman) and Chan and Ms. Selleck. This committee is responsible for the engagement and oversight of the Bank's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee is also responsible for oversight of the internal audit function and assessment of accounting and internal control policies. The Audit Committee held twelve meetings during 2014.

The Consent Order Compliance Committee consists of Messrs. Medina (Chairman) and Davidson and Ms. Selleck. The Consent Order Compliance Committee was formed in late 2013 and is responsible for monitoring regulatory compliance. The Consent Order Compliance Committee held fourteen meetings during 2014.

The Compensation/Benefits Committee consists of Messrs. Davidson (Chairman), Medina and Roberts. This committee is responsible for the oversight of salary and wage administration and various employee benefits policies and incentive compensation matters, as well as the appraisal of the Chief

Table of Contents

Executive Officer's performance, determination of his salary and making recommendations regarding such matters for approval by the Board. The Compensation/Benefits Committee held seven meetings during 2014.

The Internal Asset Review Committee consists of Messrs. Davidson (Chairman) and Medina. This committee is responsible for the review and approval of asset classifications and for monitoring delinquent loans and foreclosed real estate. In addition, the Internal Asset Review Committee reviews the adequacy of the Bank's allowance for loan losses. The committee held twelve meetings during 2014.

The Loan Committee consists of Messrs. Chan (Chairman), Maddox, Roberts, and Bradshaw, Ms. Selleck, and Mr. Bellefeuille, who is not a Board member but serves as the Bank's Chief Loan Officer. The Loan Committee is responsible for developing the lending policies of the Bank, monitoring the loan portfolio and compliance with established policies and approving specific loans in accordance with the Bank's loan policy. The Loan Committee held eight meetings during 2014.

The Corporate Governance Committee consists of Messrs. Roberts (Chairman), Davidson and Medina. This committee is responsible for the review of the qualifications of persons being considered for election to the board of directors of the Bank, including existing directors, and for nominating candidates for election to the board of directors of the Bank. The Corporate Governance Committee held three meetings during 2014.

Board Meetings

The Boards of Directors of the Bank and the Company each held 11 regular meetings during 2014. The Company's Board of Directors held two special meetings in 2014 and the Bank's Board of Directors held one special meeting in 2014. All directors attended at least 75% of all meetings held during 2014 by the Company's Board of Directors and the committees of the Board on which they served.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

The Company encourages all members of the Board to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. Seven of the eight outside directors of the Company as of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders attended that meeting.

Communications with the Board

The Board has an established process for stockholder communications with the Board. Stockholders may send communications to the Board or any individual director by mail addressed to: Board of Directors, Broadway Financial Corporation, 5055 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500, Los Angeles, California 90036. Communications addressed to the Board are reviewed by the Assistant Secretary and directed to the Chairman of the Board for further review and distribution to all members of the Board. Communications addressed to individual directors are forwarded directly to the office of the named director.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The following Audit Committee Report does not constitute soliciting material and shall not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filings by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or under the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically incorporate this Report by reference.

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board. Management has the primary responsibility for the consolidated financial statements and the reporting process, including the Company's systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Committee reviewed the audited consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report with management, including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles applied, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the consolidated financial statements.

The Committee reviewed with the independent registered public accounting firm, who are responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the audited consolidated financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, their judgments as to the quality, as well as the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Committee under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, including Auditing Standards ("AS") 16, "Communications with Audit Committees". In addition, the Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the auditors' independence from management and the Company, including the matters in the written disclosures received by the Committee as required by the rules of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independence of such auditors, and has considered the compatibility of non-audit services provided by the auditors with the auditors' independence.

The Committee discussed with the Company's internal and independent auditors the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Committee meets with the internal and independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations or audits, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Committee also approved the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Audit Committee

Mr. A. Odell Maddox, Chairman
Mr. Kellogg Chan
Ms. Erin Selleck

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table sets forth information with respect to current executive officers of the Company and the Bank who are not directors. Officers of the Company and the Bank serve at the discretion of, and are elected annually by the respective Boards of Directors.

Name	Age⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation during the Past Five Years
Brenda Battey	58	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since June 2013 and the Bank since April 2013. Senior Vice President and Senior Controller of Bank of Manhattan from September 2011 to June 2012. Senior Vice President and Controller of Community Bank from February 2010 to September 2010. Senior Vice President and Controller of First Federal Bank of California from 1997 to 2009.
Norman Bellefeuille	62	Senior Vice President and Chief Loan Officer of the Bank since July 2012. Lending Division Manager of Luther Burbank Savings from 2005 to July 2012.
Ruth McCloud	66	Senior Vice President / Chief Retail Banking Officer of the Bank since July 2014. Senior Vice President / Divisional Sales Manager of OneWest Bank from January of 2010 to June 2014. Senior Vice President Sales Manager & Strategic Initiatives of First Federal Bank of California from January 2004 to December 2009.

(1)

As of August 31, 2015

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**Compensation Tables**

The Summary Compensation Table includes information concerning the compensation paid to or earned by our Chief Executive Officer and our two other most highly compensated executive officers. Each executive officer is referred to as a named executive officer.

Summary Compensation Table

Common stock and warrant to purchase 2,640 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (37) Includes 25,600 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 5,120 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (38) Includes 96,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 19,200 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (39) Includes 64,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 12,800 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (40) Includes 80,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 16,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (41) Includes 100,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (42) Includes 240,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 48,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (43) Includes 25,200 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 5,040 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (44) Includes 13,900 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 2,780 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (45) Includes 57,400 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 11,480 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (46) Includes 3,500 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 700 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (47) Includes 40,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 8,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (48) Includes 240,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 48,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (49) Includes 216,000 shares of common stock. 30 (50) Includes 40,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 8,000 shares of common stock exercisable at

\$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (51) Includes a warrant to purchase 14,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (52) Includes 160,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 32,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (53) Includes 103,333 shares of common stock. (54) Includes 20,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 4,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (55) Includes 20,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 4,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (56) Includes 50,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004. (57) Includes 40,000 shares of common stock and warrant to purchase 8,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 per share for five years from March 22, 2004.

31 DESCRIPTION OF OUR CAPITAL STOCK The following summarizes the material provisions of our certificate of incorporation and by-laws that relate to our capital stock. Copies of those documents are incorporated by reference as exhibits to the registration statement that includes this prospectus. See "Where You Can Find More Information." Description of Common Stock Number of Authorized and Outstanding Shares. Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of 70,000,000 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share, of which 40,760,762 shares were outstanding on December 7, 2005. All of the outstanding shares of common stock are fully paid and non-assessable. Voting Rights. Holders of shares of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share on all matters to be voted on by the stockholders. Holders of common stock have no cumulative voting rights. Accordingly, the holders of a simple majority of the outstanding common stock and Series A convertible preferred stock, voting together as a class at a stockholders meeting at which a quorum is present, can elect all of the directors nominated for election at the meeting. Other. Holders of common stock have no preemptive rights to purchase our common stock. There are no conversion rights or redemption or sinking fund provisions with respect to the common stock. Transfer Agent. Shares of common stock are registered at the transfer agent and are transferable at such office by the registered holder (or duly authorized attorney) upon surrender of the common stock certificate, properly endorsed. No transfer shall be registered unless we are satisfied that such transfer will not result in a violation of any applicable federal or state securities laws. The transfer agent for our common stock is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, 59 Maiden Lane, New York, New York 10038, Attn: Susan Silber.

Description of Preferred Stock Number of Authorized and Outstanding Shares. Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, in one or more series with such limitations and restrictions as may be determined in the sole discretion of our board of directors, with no further authorization by stockholders required for the creation and issuance thereof. We have designated 5,920,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series A convertible preferred stock, of which 2,250,000 shares were issued and outstanding as of December 7, 2005. Voting Rights. The holders of the Series A convertible preferred stock vote as a single class with the common stock, on an as-converted basis, on all matters upon which the holders of the common stock are entitled to vote. Conversion. Each outstanding share of Series A convertible preferred stock may currently be converted into two shares of common stock. The shares of Series A convertible preferred stock shall be automatically convertible into shares of common stock if the market price of the common stock after one year from the date of issuance is \$10.00 or more for 30 consecutive trading days and the trading volume is at least 150,000 shares per trading day during such 30-day period. Liquidation Preference and Dividends. Holders of Series A convertible preferred stock have a liquidation preference over holders of common stock of \$3.00 per share. Holders of the Series A convertible preferred stock are entitled to an annual 5% dividend which may be paid in cash or additional shares of common stock in our sole discretion. Our charter also authorizes our board of directors to increase the number of shares of preferred stock we may issue without approval of common stockholders. Preferred stock may be issued in one or more series, the terms of which may be determined without further action by common stockholders. These terms may include preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications or terms or 32 conditions of redemption. The issuance of any preferred stock could materially adversely affect the rights of holders of our common stock, and therefore could reduce its value. In addition, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with, or sell assets to, a third party. The power of the board of directors to issue preferred stock could make it more difficult, delay, discourage, prevent or make it more costly to acquire or effect a change in control, thereby preserving the current stockholders' control. Stockholder Rights Plan Under our stockholder rights plan, if a person or group acquires 15% or more of our common stock, all rightholders, except the acquiror, will be entitled to acquire at the then exercise price of a right that number of shares of our common stock which at the time will have a market value of two times the exercise price of the right. In addition, under certain circumstances, all rightholders, other than the acquiror, will be entitled to receive at the then exercise price of a right that number of shares of common stock of the acquiring company which at the time

will have a market value of two times the exercise price of the right. The initial exercise price of a right is \$70. Such rights provisions could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock and may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control. The issuance of preferred stock also could decrease the amount of earnings and assets available for distribution to the holders of common stock or could adversely affect the rights and powers, including voting rights, of the holders of our common stock. Warrants As of December 7, 2005, there were outstanding warrants to purchase an aggregate of 7,166,147 shares of our common stock, exercisable at prices ranging from \$1.25 to \$8.25 per share. The weighted average exercise price of the warrants is \$2.32. Stock Options As of December 7, 2005, there were outstanding options to purchase an aggregate of 4,078,167 shares of our common stock, exercisable at prices ranging from \$0.735 to \$8.87 per share, of which, options to purchase 2,601,356 shares were exercisable. The weighted average exercise price of the options is \$3.26. Delaware Law and Certain By-Law Provisions Certain provisions of our by-laws are intended to strengthen our board of directors' position in the event of a hostile takeover attempt. These by-law provisions have the following effects: o they provide that only business brought before the annual meeting by our board of directors or by a stockholder who complies with the procedures set forth in the by-laws may be transacted at an annual meeting of stockholders; and o they establish a procedure for our board of directors to fix the record date whenever stockholder action by written consent is undertaken. Furthermore, our Company is subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, an anti-takeover law. In general, the statute prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a "business combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. For purposes of Section 203, a "business combination" includes a merger, asset sale or other transaction resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder, and an "interested stockholder" is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or within three years prior, did own, 15% or more of the corporation's voting stock. 33 SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA The following tables summarize our consolidated financial data. You should read the summary consolidated financial data together with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing at the end of this prospectus, and the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section and other financial information included in this prospectus. The selected consolidated balance sheets data as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 and the selected consolidated statements of operations data and statements of cash flows data for the years ended, June 30, 2005 and 2004 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected consolidated balance sheets data as of June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 and the selected consolidated statements of operations data and statements of cash flows data for the years ended June 30, 2003, 2002 and 2001 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2005, included in the prospectus, for information as to why certain financial statements were restated. The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2005 have been derived from our unaudited financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments that management considers necessary for the fair presentation of the financial information set forth in those statements. Three months ended Year Ended June 30, September 30,

	Consolidated Statements of Operations Data					
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2005
As Restated	As Restated	As Restated	As Restated	Revenues	\$4,651,174	\$3,102,214
					\$504,857	\$802,965
				Cost of sales	921,262	328,291
				Operating expenses		
				Research and development	10,894,925	4,882,574
				General and administrative	10,181,711	9,082,420
				Depreciation and amortization	1,438,517	1,348,064
				Provision for bad debts	869,220	-
				Loss on impairment	5,276,162	-
				Total operating expenses	29,581,797	15,313,058
				Loss from operations	(24,930,623)	(12,210,844)
				Other income (expense)	667,838	99,763
				Loss from continuing operations	(24,262,785)	(12,111,081)
				Income tax benefit	1,459,814	2,117,103
				Net loss	(24,262,785)	(10,651,267)
				Cumulative preferred stock dividend	(404,079)	(856,776)
				Net loss available to	(85,068)	(126,341)

shareholders \$(24,666,864) \$(11,508,043) \$(6,043,944) \$(14,303,503) \$(2,122,264) (4,889,660) (3,220,892)

=====

Basic and diluted shares outstanding 34,042,391 20,257,482 16,920,939 12,184,152
8,121,255 40,572,626 28,516,450 Basic and diluted net loss available to shareholders per share \$ (0.72) \$ (0.57) \$ (0.36) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.26) \$ (0.12) \$ (0.11) 34 As of As of June 30, September 30,

----- Consolidated Balance Sheets Data 2005
2004 2003 2002 2001 2005 ----- As Restated As Restated As Restated Cash &
cash equivalents \$31,697,533 \$19,165,675 \$8,219,686 \$12,882,521 \$ - 12,498,760 Short-term securities
32,746,948 - - - 48,209,088 Intangibles, net 8,252,936 14,563,660 15,779,399 16,921,792 15,698
8,155,836 Total assets 80,790,135 42,170,844 26,173,132 32,380,548 762,885 77,047,738 Total current
liabilities 6,738,722 3,460,419 2,264,896 2,395,596 1,966,538 7,578,329 Total debt - - - - - Total
shareholder's equity (deficit) 66,613,815 30,800,827 21,323,737 25,554,550 (2,482,516) 62,156,464 Three
Months Ended Year Ended June 30, September 30, -----

----- Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Data 2005 2004 2003 2002 2001 2005 2004
----- As Restated As Restated As Restated Net Cash (used in) Operating
Activities (13,417,438) (4,641,193) (4,411,581) (2,675,113) (156,835) (3,741,032) (1,221,882) Net Cash
(used in) Investing Activities (33,384,403) (130,917) (541,254) (455,500) (1,760) (15,313,804) (44,369)
Net Cash provided by (used in) Financing Activities 59,296,122 15,730,847 - 16,013,134 127,241
(84,144) 53,859 35 SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION Certain quarterly financial
information is set forth below. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the
year ended June 30, 2005, included in the prospectus, for information as to why certain interim periods
were restated. Year ended June 30, 2006 ----- Quarter Ended 9/30/2005 -----
Revenues 670,218 Gross Profit 341,927 Net loss (4,804,592) Net loss available to \$(4,889,660)
shareholders Basic and diluted net loss \$(0.12) available to shareholders per share Year Ended June
30, 2005 ----- As Restated As Restated 9/30/2004 12/31/2004 3/31/2005 6/30/2005 -----
----- Revenues \$1,085,328 \$1,175,923 \$1,398,969 \$990,954 Gross Profit 1,085,328
1,045,567 1,299,908 299,109 Net loss (3,094,551) (4,004,831) (3,072,410) (14,090,992) Net loss available
to shareholders \$(3,220,892) \$(4,115,206) \$(3,155,629) \$(14,175,136) =====
===== Basic and diluted net loss available to shareholders per share \$ (0.11)
\$ (0.14) \$ (0.08) \$ (0.35) Year Ended June 30, 2004 ----- As Restated As Restated As
Restated As Restated 9/30/2003 12/31/2003 3/31/2004 6/30/2004 -----
Revenues \$829,041 \$82,495 \$846,494 \$1,344,184 Gross Profit 829,041 82,495 846,494 1,344,184 Net loss
(2,404,044) (1,678,163) (3,692,543) (2,876,517) Net loss available to shareholders \$(2,627,754)
\$(1,866,720) \$(3,868,247) \$(3,145,322) =====
Basic and diluted net loss available to shareholders per share \$ (0.15) \$ (0.10) \$ (0.19) \$ (0.13) 36

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following discussion and analysis of significant factors affecting the Company's operating results, liquidity and capital resources and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and related notes. Overview and Company Status We are a product-focused biopharmaceutical company with two approved cancer therapeutics. In December 2004, the Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, approved our lead cancer product, clofarabine, for the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL, in patients who are relapsed or refractory to at least two prior regimens of treatment. We believe clofarabine is the first new medicine initially approved in the United States for children with leukemia in more than a decade. Clofarabine has received Orphan Drug designation in the U.S. and the E.U. Genzyme Corporation, our co-development partner, currently holds marketing rights in the U.S. and Canada for clofarabine for certain cancer indications and currently controls U.S. development of clofarabine in these indications. Genzyme is marketing clofarabine under the brand name Clolar(R) in the U.S. In Europe, we have filed for approval of clofarabine in pediatric ALL with the European Medicines Evaluation Agency, or EMeA. If approved, we anticipate commencing sales in Europe during the first half of calendar 2006 through a dedicated European sales force. We are selling our second product, Modrenal(R), in the U.K., through our sales force of eight sales specialists. Modrenal(R) is approved in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. If we receive additional European approvals for our products, we intend to expand our sales force by adding up to six to 10 sales specialists in each of five other key regions within the E.U. which include the countries of France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Netherlands, Austria, Belgium, Denmark and Sweden. Further, we intend to penetrate all of the other markets within the E.U. upon establishing traction in the E.U.'s major markets. Over the next 12 months, we intend to continue our internal growth strategy to provide the necessary regulatory, sales and marketing capabilities which will be required to pursue the expanded development programs for clofarabine and Modrenal(R) described above. Currently, we are considering all options available to us for the marketing and distribution of clofarabine in our primary markets, including, without limitation, doing so directly and internally with

our own sales force, doing so through one or more distributors or wholesalers or disposing of the marketing and distribution rights to a third party. We have made significant progress in developing our product portfolio over the past twelve months, and have multiple products in clinical trials. We have incurred losses during this early stage of our operations. Our management believes that we have the opportunity to become a leading oncology-focused pharmaceutical company in the next four years if we successfully bring clofarabine to market in Europe and successfully develop certain of our other product candidates. We anticipate that revenues derived from our two lead drugs, clofarabine and Modrenal(R) will permit us to further develop the other products currently in our product pipeline. In addition to clofarabine and Modrenal(R), we are performing initial development work on Virostat for the treatment of Hepatitis C and Velostan. The work to date on these compounds has been limited because of the need to concentrate on clofarabine and Modrenal(R) but management believe these compounds have potential value. With Virostat, the Company has commenced a phase II clinical trial in patients with hepatitis C viral infection and with Velostan the Company has been developing a process for the separation of optical isomers of the compound and we are conducting additional pre-clinical testing. We have had discussions with potential product co-development partners from time to time, and plan to continue to explore the possibilities for co-development and sub-licensing in order to implement our development plans. In addition, we believe that some of our products may have applications in treating non-cancer conditions in humans and in animals. Those conditions are outside our core business focus and we do not presently intend to devote a substantial portion of our resources to addressing those conditions. In May 2003, we entered into a License and Sub-License Agreement with Dechra Pharmaceuticals, plc, or Dechra, pursuant to which we sub-licensed the marketing and development rights to Vetoryl(R) (trilostane), solely with respect to animal health applications, in the U.S. and Canada, to Dechra. We received \$1.25 million in cash, 37 together with future milestone and royalty payments which are contingent upon the occurrence of certain events. We intend to continue to try and capitalize on these types of opportunities as they arise. The Company also owns rights to OLIGON(R) technology and we have had discussions with potential product licensing partners from time to time, and plan to continue to explore the possibilities for co-development and sub-licensing in order to implement our development plans. You should consider the likelihood of our future success to be highly speculative in light of our limited operating history, as well as the limited resources, problems, expenses, risks and complications frequently encountered by similarly situated companies. To address these risks, we must, among other things: o satisfy our future capital requirements for the implementation of our business plan; o commercialize our existing products; o complete development of products presently in our pipeline and obtain necessary regulatory approvals for use; o implement and successfully execute our business and marketing strategy to commercialize products; o establish and maintain our client base; o continue to develop new products and upgrade our existing products; o continue to establish and maintain relationships with manufacturers for our products; o respond to industry and competitive developments; and o attract, retain, and motivate qualified personnel. We may not be successful in addressing these or any risks associated with our business and/or products. If we were unable to do so, our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected. The likelihood of our success must be considered in light of the development cycles of new pharmaceutical products and technologies and the competitive and regulatory environment in which we operate. Results of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005 The Company recorded revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 of approximately \$670,000 and \$1,085,000, respectively, representing a decrease of approximately \$415,000. This was primarily due to a decrease in research and development contract revenue as the Company did not record approximately \$685,000 of revenues relating to the reimbursement from our co-development partner for certain of our ongoing research costs in the development of clofarabine outside the United States because it determined that the criteria for recognizing such contract revenues had not been met. When the Company has determined that the criteria relating to revenue recognition has been met, the Company will record the revenue. This decrease is offset by an increase in product sales of Modrenal(R). The increase in product sales of Modrenal(R) is due to the fact that we received marketing authorization from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency for Modrenal(R) in September of 2004 and we are now marketing, Modrenal(R) in the U.K., through our own sales specialists, for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. The cost of products sold for the three months ended September 30, 2005 was approximately \$328,000. The cost of products sold reflects the direct costs associated with our sales of Modrenal(R). Research and development costs for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$2,431,000 and \$2,139,000, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$292,000. 38 Our research and development costs include costs associated with the six projects shown in the table below, four of which the Company currently devotes time and resources: Three months ended September 30, Product 2005 2004 Change from prior year ----- (in thousands) Clofarabine

\$2,138 \$1,880 \$258 Modrenal \$236 \$251 \$(15) Virostat \$57 \$0 \$57 Velostan \$0 \$8 \$(8) OLIGON - - - Gene Therapy - - - Clofarabine research and development costs for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$2,138,000 and \$1,880,000, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$258,000. The increase primarily reflects costs which are associated with our increased development activities and clinical trials of clofarabine being conducted in Europe. Modrenal(R) research and development costs for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$236,000 and \$251,000, respectively, representing a decrease of \$15,000. These costs did not increase in the three-month period ended September 30, 2005 because the Company had not yet expanded its clinical development program for this compound as it continued to review the development strategy with its regulatory advisors. Virostat research and development costs for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$57,000 and \$0, respectively, representing an increase of \$57,000. The increase primarily reflects the costs associated with the ongoing, multi-center investigator sponsored Phase II clinical trial being conducted in Egypt and Southern Europe. Velostan research and development costs for the three months ended September, 30 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$0 and \$8,000, respectively, representing a decrease of \$8,000. These costs did not increase because the Company is actively working on the manufacturing process with its regulatory advisors to develop a racemic form of the compound for use in the Company's clinical development program. No assurance can be given the Company will be able to create the L-form velostan required for the clinical development program or, if it can, the timing of such development. There were no research and development costs associated with Gene Therapy or OLIGON for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 due to the Company's focus on clofarabine during this period. We anticipate that revenues derived from our two lead drugs, clofarabine and Modrenal(R) will permit us to further develop these products. The clinical trials and development strategy for the clofarabine and Modrenal(R) projects, in each case, is anticipated to cost several million dollars and will continue for several years based on the number of clinical indications within which we plan to develop these drugs. Currently, management cannot estimate the timing or costs associated with these projects because many of the variables, such as interaction with regulatory authorities and response rates in various clinical trials, are not predictable. Total costs to date for each of our projects is as follows: (i) clofarabine research and development costs have been approximately \$16,453,000; (ii) Modrenal(R) research and development costs have been approximately \$6,605,000; (iii) Velostan research and development costs have been approximately \$380,000; (iv) Virostat research and development costs have been approximately \$246,000; (v) OLIGON research and development costs have been approximately \$25,000; and (vi) Gene Therapy research and development costs have been approximately \$451,000. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$2,887,000 and \$1,757,000, respectively, representing an increase of \$1,130,000. Of this amount \$882,000 is related to an increase in payroll and other compensation expenses due to an increase in headcount in both the New York and Edinburgh offices and stock-based compensation due to the Company adopting SFAS 123(R), on July 1, 2005, an increase in sales and marketing costs of \$250,000 related to the Company's deployment of a sales and marketing force in the UK in early 2005, and an increase in rent expense of \$104,000 due to the Company moving offices in both New York and Edinburgh. Depreciation and amortization expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$224,000 and \$340,000, respectively, representing a decrease of \$116,000. The decrease is due to the Company recording an impairment charge of \$5,276,000 at June 30, 2005, which decreased the cost basis of our methylene blue intangibles. Liquidity and Capital Resources for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 We anticipate that we may continue to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurance as to whether or when we will generate material revenues or achieve profitable operations. At September 30, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term securities of approximately \$60,708,000 and working capital of \$55,676,000. Management believes the Company has sufficient cash and cash equivalents and working capital to continue currently planned operations over the next 12 months. Although we do not currently plan to acquire or obtain licenses for new technologies, if any such opportunity arises and we deem it to be in our interests to pursue such an opportunity, it is possible that additional financing would be required for such a purpose. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$3,741,000 and \$1,222,000, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$2,519,000. This increase is primarily due to increased costs associated with (i) our expanded research and development activity, (ii) selling general and administrative expenses, including an increased headcount, sales and marketing costs and increased rent expense and (iii) cash paid for insurance premiums. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$15,314,000 and \$44,000, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$15,270,000. This increase is primarily due to our purchase of short term securities with proceeds from our February 2005 secondary offering in the amount of approximately \$15,175,000. For the three months ended

September 30, 2005 and 2004, net cash (used in) or provided by financing activities was approximately \$(84,000) and \$54,000 representing an increase of \$138,000. This increase is primarily due to the fact that we did not receive any proceeds this quarter from the exercise of options, warrants, or other convertible securities where we had received \$180,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2004 for such matters. This is partially offset by a decrease in dividends paid to our Series A preferred shareholders which resulted from the conversion of a majority of these shares that were outstanding for the quarter ended September 30, 2004. On February 8, 2005, we completed a secondary public offering in which we sold 7,500,000 common shares at \$8.00 per share, with net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$55.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses. We intend to use the net proceeds for further development of our lead products, for sales and marketing expenses related to the commercial launch of our lead products, for working capital and other general corporate purposes. On March 22, 2004, we consummated a private placement transaction, pursuant to which we raised \$12.8 million and issued 2,044,514 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 408,903 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$7.50 per share. We recorded proceeds of \$11,792,801 net of all legal, professional and financing fees incurred in connection with the offering. We consummated a second closing for this financing on May 13, 2004 in order to comply with certain contractual obligations to our holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock which hold preemptive rights for equity offerings. We raised an additional \$3.2 million (net of all legal, professional and financial services incurred) from the second closing and issued an additional 558,384 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 111,677 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$7.50 per share. On May 7, 2002 we authorized the issuance and sale of up to 5,920,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock may be converted into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.50 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends, mergers, issuances of cheap stock and other similar transactions. Holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock also received, in respect of each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock purchased in a private placement which took place in May 2002, one warrant to purchase one share of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.00 subject to adjustment. We sold an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in the May 2002 private placement for \$3.00 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of common stock, resulting in aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$17,750,000. A portion of the proceeds were used to repay in full the Jano Holdings and SCO Capital obligations upon which such facilities were terminated as well as to repay fees amounting to \$1,610,000 related to the transaction. The Company has the following commitments as of September 30, 2005: Payments Due in Total 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Occupancy Lease	1,514,721	397,780	326,401	316,216	316,216	158,108	Contractual obligations	433,270
	213,786	219,484	0	0	0	0	Total	1,947,991
	611,566	545,885						316,216
	316,216	158,108						

===== Summary of Critical Accounting Policies for the year ended June 30, 2005 Financial Reporting Release No. 60, which was released by the SEC, requires all companies to include a discussion of critical accounting policies or methods used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. In addition, Financial Reporting Release No. 61 was released by the SEC, which requires all companies to include a discussion to address, among other things, liquidity, off-balance sheet arrangements, contractual obligations and commercial commitments. The following discussion is intended to supplement the summary of significant accounting policies as described in Note 1 of the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2005, included in the prospectus, which are presented beginning at page F-1. These accounting policies are considered significant because changes to certain judgments and assumptions inherent in these policies could affect the Company's financial statements.

Revenue Recognition In accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, or SAB 104, upfront nonrefundable fees associated with research and development collaboration agreements where the Company has continuing involvement in the agreement, are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the estimated research and development period using the straight-line method. If the estimated period is subsequently modified, the period over which the up-front fee is recognized is modified accordingly on a prospective basis using the straight-line method. Continuation of certain contracts and grants are dependent upon the Company and/or its co-development partners' achieving specific contractual milestones; however, none of the payments received to date are refundable regardless of the outcome of the project. Upfront nonrefundable fees associated with licensing arrangements are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the term of the licensing arrangement using the straight line method, which approximates the life of the patent. Royalty revenue from product licensees is recorded when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, the goods have been delivered and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company currently sells its products to wholesale distributors and directly to hospitals, clinics, and

retail pharmacies. Revenue from product sales is recognized when the risk of loss is passed to the customer, the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. As the customer does not have the right of return the Company does not record a reserve for sales returns. 41 Revenue related to research and development with our corporate co-development partner is recognized as research and development contract revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the services are performed, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Research & development contract revenue represents payments due from our co-development partner relating to the reimbursement of 50% for certain of our ongoing research costs in the development of clofarabine outside the United States. The Company follows the guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force, or ETIF, 99-19, "Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent" in the presentation of revenues and direct costs of revenues. This guidance requires the Company to assess whether it acts as a principal in the transaction or as an agent acting on behalf of others. The Company records revenue transactions gross in its statements of operations if it is deemed the principal in the transaction, which includes being the primary obligor and having the risks and rewards of ownership. Stock Based Compensation In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation," or SFAS 123, the Company accounts for stock based compensation arrangements with employees in accordance with provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," or APB 25. Compensation expense for stock options issued to employees is based on the difference on the date of grant, between the fair value of the Company's stock and the exercise price of the option. The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services," or EITF 96-18. Under EITF No. 96-18, where the fair value of the equity instrument is more reliably measurable than the fair value of services received, such services will be valued based on the fair value of the equity instrument. We utilize the Black-Scholes model to measure the value of an employee option. The Black-Scholes model is a trading options-pricing model that neither considers the non-traded nature of employee stock options, nor the restrictions on such trading, the lack of transferability or the ability of employees to forfeit the options prior to expiry. If the model adequately permitted consideration of the unique characteristics of employee stock options, the resulting estimate of the fair value of the stock options could be different. Our estimates of employee stock option values rely on estimates of factors we input into the Black-Scholes model. The key factors involve an estimate of future uncertain events. We determine expected volatility based on historical activity. We believe that these market-based inputs provide a better estimate of our future stock price movements. We also use historical exercise patterns as our best estimate of future exercise patterns. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets We believe that the accounting estimate relating to impairment of our intangible assets involves a critical accounting estimation methodology. The estimate is highly susceptible to change from period to period because it requires management to make significant judgments and assumptions about future revenue, operating costs and development expenditures. Some of the more significant estimates and assumptions inherent in the intangible asset impairment estimation process include: the timing and amount of projected future cash flows; the discount rate selected to measure the risks inherent in the future cash flows; and the competitive trends impacting the asset, including consideration of any technical, legal, regulatory, or economic barriers to entry as well as expected changes in standard of practice for indications addressed by the asset. Changes in events or circumstances that may affect long-lived assets, particularly in the pharmaceutical industry, makes judgments and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows highly subjective and may include, but are not limited to, cancellations or terminations of license agreements or the risk of competition that could render our products noncompetitive or obsolete. Results of Operations for Year Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Year Ended June 30, 2004 We reported revenues of approximately \$4,651,000 and \$3,102,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$1,549,000. This increase primarily was due to an increase in license and royalty revenue from milestone payments and royalties received from certain of our co-development partners in the amount of approximately \$450,000, an increase in research and development contract 42 revenue due to increased sales in the Named Patient Program, increased reimbursements from Genzyme related to clofarabine research and development expenses, in the amount of approximately \$488,000, and revenue from the sale of Modrenal(R) of approximately \$611,000. The cost of products sold for years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004 were approximately \$921,000 and \$0, respectively. The cost of products sold reflects the direct costs associated with our sales of Modrenal(R) including royalties due on the sale of our lead products of approximately \$525,000. Research and development costs for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$10,895,000 and \$4,883,000 respectively, representing an increase of \$6,012,000. Our research and development costs include costs associated with the six projects shown in the table below, five of which the Company currently devotes time and resources: Product 2005 2004

Change from prior year ----- (in thousands) Clofarabine \$8,697 \$2,650
 \$6,047 Modrenal \$1,972 \$2,026 \$(54) Virostat \$131 \$48 \$83 Velostan \$79 \$152 \$(73) OLIGON \$16 \$6
 \$10 Gene Therapy - - - Clofarabine research and development costs for the years ended June 30, 2005
 and 2004 were approximately \$8,697,000 and \$2,650,000, respectively, representing an increase of
 approximately \$6,047,000. The increase primarily reflects costs which are associated with our
 increased development activities and clinical trials of clofarabine being conducted in Europe, certain of
 which are partially reimbursed by Genzyme. Modrenal(R) research and development costs for the
 years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$1,972,000 and \$2,026,000, respectively,
 representing a decrease of \$54,000. The decrease primarily reflects the Company's primary focus on
 clofarabine during this period. Virostat research and development costs for the years ended June 30,
 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$131,000 and \$48,000, respectively, representing an increase of
 \$83,000. The increase primarily reflects the costs associated with the ongoing, multi-center investigator
 sponsored Phase II clinical trial being conducted in Egypt and Southern Europe during the year ended
 June 30, 2005. Velostan research and development costs for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004
 were approximately \$79,000 and \$152,000, respectively, representing a decrease of \$73,000. The
 decrease primarily reflects the Company's primary focus on clofarabine during this period. OLIGON
 research and development costs for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were \$16,000 and \$6,000,
 respectively, representing an increase of \$10,000. The increase primarily reflects pre-development
 costs incurred in connection with continuing co-partnering discussions. There were no research and
 development costs associated with Gene Therapy for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 due to the
 Company's focus on clofarabine during this period. The clinical trials and development strategy for the
 clofarabine and Modrenal(R) projects, in each case, is anticipated to cost several million dollars and
 will continue for several years based on the number of clinical 43 indications within which we plan to
 develop these drugs. Currently, management cannot estimate the timing or costs associated with these
 projects because many of the variables, such as interaction with regulatory authorities and response
 rates in various clinical trials, are not predictable. Total costs to date for each of our projects is as
 follows: (i) clofarabine research and development costs have been approximately \$14,315,000; (ii)
 Modrenal(R) research and development costs have been approximately \$6,369,000; (iii) Velostan
 research and development costs have been approximately \$380,000; (iv) Virostat research and
 development costs have been approximately \$189,000; (v) OLIGON research and development costs
 have been approximately \$25,000; and (vi) Gene Therapy research and development costs have been
 approximately \$451,000. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended June 30,
 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$10,182,000 and \$9,082,000, respectively, representing an increase
 of \$1,100,000. This increase primarily is due to: o an increase in payroll due to the significant increase
 in employee headcount in both New York and Edinburgh offices of approximately \$800,000; o an
 increase in consulting and legal fees due to the Company's expansion of regulatory and investor
 relations initiatives, and the restatement of the Company's financial statements included in the
 Company's 2004 annual report on Form 10-KSB, in the amount of \$1,559,000; o an increase in sales
 and marketing costs of approximately \$592,000 related to the Company's development of a sales and
 marketing force in the UK; o an increase of approximately \$250,000 due to an increase in the
 Company's annual rent expense; and o an increase of approximately \$97,000 due to an increase in
 insurance premiums paid by the Company. These increases are substantially offset by a decrease in
 costs associated with the variable accounting treatment of options issued to an officer of the Company
 in the amount of approximately \$2,200,000. Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended
 June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$1,439,000 and \$1,348,000, respectively, representing an
 increase of \$91,000. This increase primarily reflects the corresponding increase in our net asset base.
 Provision for bad debts for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$869,000 and
 \$0, respectively. The increase is due to the Company recording a valuation allowance relating to
 certain of the outstanding receivable balances from our co-development partner totaling \$869,000 in
 the current year. Management believes the amounts billed to its co-development partner and
 previously recorded as revenue through March 31, 2005 are supportable and continues to actively
 pursue collection of the outstanding balances. During its quarterly closing process the Company
 further evaluated the collectibility of such amounts and concluded that based upon the available
 information a valuation allowance was required. Additionally, based on the delay in payment from our
 co-development partner and other information, management concluded that collectibility was no
 longer reasonably assured and therefore, did not recognize revenue on amounts billed in the quarter
 ended June 30, 2005. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2005, we tested for impairment our methylene blue
 intangibles acquired in connection with the Pathagon acquisition and determined that, based on our
 assumptions, the sum of the expected future cash flows, undiscounted and pertaining solely and
 exclusively to approved indications, exceeded the carrying value of its long-lived assets and therefore
 we did not recognize an impairment. Due to the loss of an intellectual property patent suit relating to
 the international use of methylene blue in fresh frozen plasma, we re-evaluated the intangible asset

relating to methylene blue at June 30, 2005. At that date, we estimated that our undiscounted future cash flows, again relating solely and exclusively to approved uses of methylene blue, were less than the carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$5,276,000, equal to the difference between the estimated future cash flows for approved uses of methylene blue, discounted at an appropriate rate, and the carrying amount of the asset. Making the impairment determination and the amount of impairment requires significant judgment by management and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows. Changes in events or circumstances that may affect long-lived assets makes judgments and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows highly subjective. 44 Results of Operations for Year Ended June 30, 2004 Compared to Year Ended June 30, 2003 We reported revenues of approximately \$3,102,000 and \$505,000 for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Revenues reflect recognition of consideration received pursuant to our agreements with co-development and sub-licensing partners in connection with our platform of drugs and technologies. Of the revenues recorded for the year ended June 30, 2004, approximately \$2,100,000 was recognized from ILEX (predecessor to Genzyme), pursuant to the Co-Development Agreement, and approximately \$600,000 was recognized from Stegram Pharmaceuticals under the Stegram Co-Development Agreement. Research and development costs for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$4,883,000 and \$1,689,000 and respectively, representing an increase of \$3,194,000. Our research and development costs include costs associated with six projects of which the Company devotes significant time and resource. Clofarabine research and development costs for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$2,651,000 and \$871,000, respectively, representing an increase of approximately \$1,780,000. The increase primarily reflects costs which are associated with our increased development activities and clinical trials being conducted in Europe. Modrenal(R) research and development costs for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$2,026,000 and \$913,000, respectively, representing an increase of \$1,113,000. The increase primarily reflects increased development activities associated with the Modrenal(R) development plan, including costs associated with the U.S. prostate cancer trial which is ongoing. Velostan research and development costs were approximately \$152,000 and \$30,000, respectively, representing an increase of \$122,000. The increase primarily reflects preparation of a protocol and other preparatory activities in advance of the Phase I Clinical Trial which has not yet commenced to date. Gene Therapy research and development costs for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$0 and (\$130,000), respectively. The 2003 amount primarily reflects a reversal of an accrued expense in the year ended 2002 of \$200,000 which was determined to be less than originally estimated by the Company in the year ended June 30, 2003. The clinical trials and development strategy for the clofarabine and Modrenal(R) projects, in each case, is anticipated to cost several million dollars and will continue for several years based on the number of clinical indications within which we plan to develop these drugs. Currently, management cannot estimate the timing or costs associated with these projects because many of the variables, such as interaction with regulatory authorities and response rates in various clinical trials, are not predictable. Total costs to date for each of these four projects is as follows: (i) clofarabine research and development costs have been approximately \$5,600,000; (ii) Modrenal(R) research and development costs have been approximately \$4,400,000; (iii) Velostan research and development costs have been approximately \$302,000; and (iv) Gene Therapy research and development costs have been approximately \$450,000. Our other two research and development projects involve our two ancillary technologies; OLIGON and Virostat. We do not currently devote any significant time or resources to these research and development projects, but we intend to do so if and to the extent we successfully commercialize our lead drugs, clofarabine and Modrenal(R), over the next two years. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$9,082,000 and \$4,567,000, respectively, representing an increase of \$4,515,000. Of this amount, approximately \$2,400,000 of this increase was due to the re-pricing of 380,000 options granted to an employee pursuant to the terms of his Employment Agreement (see Note 7 to the Financial Statements); approximately \$1,000,000 of the increase was due to an increase in sales and marketing expenses related to pre-marketing activities with clofarabine and marketing costs associated with Modrenal(R); and approximately \$1,100,000 of the increase was due to increases in our consulting and legal expenses as the result of our recent growth. We reported interest and finance charges of \$0 for the year ended June 30, 2004, representing a decrease of \$325,000 from the year ended June 30, 2003. This decrease reflects the retirement of our credit facility in May 2002 and the fact that we carried no long term debt during the year ended June 30, 2004. 45 Depreciation and amortization expense totaled approximately \$1,348,000 for the year ended June 30, 2004, representing an increase of \$3,100 from the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase is primarily due to the amortization of certain intangible assets we acquired in the Pathagon transaction which we acquired during the year ended June 30, 2002. The Company has been incurring losses since inception and therefore has not recorded an income tax provision for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. The Company has recorded a deferred income tax benefit of approximately \$0 and

\$1,460,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Liquidity and Capital Resources for the Year ended June 30, 2005 We anticipate that we may continue to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurance as to whether or when we will generate material revenues or achieve profitable operations. On June 30, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$31,408,000, short-term securities of \$32,747,000 and working capital of \$60,112,000. Management believes the Company has sufficient cash and cash equivalents and working capital to continue currently planned operations over the next 12 months. Although we do not currently plan to acquire or obtain licenses for new technologies, if any such opportunity arises and we deem it to be in our interests to pursue such an opportunity, it is possible that additional financing would be required for such a purpose. On February 8, 2005, we completed a secondary public offering in which we sold 7,500,000 common shares at \$8.00 per share, with net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$55.7 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses. We intend to use the net proceeds for further development of our lead products, for sales and marketing expenses related to the commercial launch of our lead products, for working capital and other general corporate purposes. On March 22, 2004, we consummated a private placement transaction, pursuant to which we raised \$12.8 million and issued 2,044,514 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 408,903 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$7.50 per share. We recorded proceeds of \$11,792,801 net of all legal, professional and financing fees incurred in connection with the offering. We consummated a second closing for this financing on May 13, 2004 in order to comply with certain contractual obligations to our holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock which hold preemptive rights for equity offerings. We raised an additional \$3.2 million (net of all legal, professional and financial services incurred) from the second closing and issued an additional 558,384 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 111,677 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$7.50 per share. On May 7, 2002 we authorized the issuance and sale of up to 5,920,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock may be converted into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.50 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends, mergers, issuances of cheap stock and other similar transactions. Holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock also received, in respect of each share of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock purchased in a private placement which took place in May 2002, one warrant to purchase one share of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.00 subject to adjustment. We sold an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock in the May 2002 private placement for \$3.00 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of common stock, resulting in aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$17,750,000. A portion of the proceeds were used to repay in full the Jano Holdings and SCO Capital obligations upon which such facilities were terminated as well as to repay fees amounting to \$1,610,000 related to the transaction. The Company received a nonrefundable upfront payment of \$1.35 million when it entered into the co-development agreement with Genzyme and received an additional \$3.5 million in December 2003 when it converted Genzyme's option to market clofarabine in the U.S. into a sublicense. Upon Genzyme's filing the New Drug Application for clofarabine with FDA, the Company received an additional (i) \$2 million in April 2004 and (ii) \$2 million in September 2004. The Company deferred the upfront payment and recognized revenues ratably, on a straight-line basis over the related service period, through December 2002. The Company has deferred the milestone payments received to date and recognizes revenues ratably, on a straight line basis over the related royalty 46 period, through March 2021. For the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recognized revenues of approximately \$438,000 and \$161,000 respectively, in connection with the milestone payments received to date. Deferred costs include royalty payments that became due and payable to SRI upon the Company's execution of the co-development agreement with Genzyme. The Company defers payments made to SRI and recognizes these costs ratably, on a straight-line basis concurrent with revenue that is recognized in connection with research and development costs including approximately \$219,000 and \$81,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, related to such charges. The Company received a nonrefundable upfront payment of \$1.25 million when it entered into the License and Sublicense Agreement with Dechra in May 2003. The Company deferred the upfront payment and recognizes revenues ratably, on a straight-line basis over the related royalty period, currently through September 2022. The Company recognized revenues of approximately \$87,000 and \$114,000 in connection with the upfront payment from Dechra for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Deferred costs include royalty payments that became due and payable to Stegram Pharmaceuticals Ltd. upon the Company's execution of the License and Sub-License Agreement with Dechra in May 2003. The Company defers payments made to Stegram and recognizes these costs ratably, on a straight-line basis concurrent with revenue that is recognized in connection with the Dechra agreement. Research and development costs related to this agreement include approximately \$17,400 and \$23,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company has the following commitments as of June 30, 2005: Total 2006

2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Occupancy lease	1,676,140	559,199	326,401	316,216	316,216	158,108	0			
Contractual obligations						433,270	213,786	219,484	0	0	0	0			
-----											Total	2,109,410	772,985	545,885	316,216
=====												316,216	158,108	0	

Off-balance sheet arrangements We have no off-balance sheet arrangements. Restatement On May 23, 2005, management and the audit committee of the Company concluded that financial statements included in its annual report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, should not be relied upon because of a requirement to correct the Company's tax accounting related to the acquisition of Pathagon, Inc. in February 2002 which was identified during the review process of the financial statements to be included in the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. Accordingly, the Company restated its financial statements included in its annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004 (the "10-KSB/A"). The Company's 10-KSB/A was filed on June 29, 2005. On May 24, 2005, the Company received a notice from the Nasdaq staff indicating that the Company was not in compliance with Nasdaq's requirements for the continued listing due to its failure to timely file its Form 10-QSB for the period ended March 31, 2005, as required under Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14) and that therefore its common stock was subject to delisting from The Nasdaq Stock Market. The notice does not by itself result in immediate delisting of the common stock, although Nasdaq stated that unless the Company timely requested a hearing, the Company's securities would be delisted from The Nasdaq Stock Market at the opening of business on June 2, 2005. The Company made a timely request for a hearing with the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel to review the Nasdaq staff's determination which stayed the delisting pending the hearing and a determination by the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel. On June 29, 2005, the Nasdaq Listings Qualifications Panel approved Bioenvision's request for continued listing on the Nasdaq National Market and the fifth character "E" was removed from Bioenvision's trading symbol on the opening of trading on Friday, July 1, 2005. 47 Subsequent Events As disclosed in Bioenvision's current report on Form 8-K filed on November 28, 2005, on November 21, 2005, Bioenvision, Inc. (the "Company") received a Nasdaq Staff Determination Letter (the "Letter") indicating that the Company failed to comply with Nasdaq Marketplace Rules 4350(c)(4)(A) and 4350(c)(4)(B), requirements for continued listing on the Nasdaq Stock Market and that the Company's common stock was therefore subject to delisting. Rules 4350(c)(4)(A) and (B) provide: (4) Nomination of Directors (A) Director nominees must either be selected, or recommended for the board's selection, either by: (i) a majority of the independent directors, or (ii) a nominations committee comprised solely of independent directors. (B) Each issuer must certify that it has adopted a formal written charter or board resolution, as applicable, addressing the nominations process and such related matters as may be required under the federal securities laws Upon receipt of the Letter, the Company's board of directors (the "Board") adopted resolutions approving a director nomination policy whereby in connection with the nomination of candidates to the Board, director nominees will either be selected, or recommended for the Board's selection, by a majority of the independent directors of the Board. In addition, the Company certified to the Nasdaq Staff that it has taken this action and is in compliance with Rules 4350(c)(4)(A) and 4350(c)(4)(B). On November 23, 2005, the Company received a notification from the Nasdaq Staff that it had regained compliance with Rules 4350(c)(4)(A) and 4350(c)(4)(B) and that the matter was closed. Recent Accounting Pronouncements On July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123 (R)"), requiring the Company to recognize expense related to the fair value of stock-based compensation. The modified prospective transition method was used as allowed under SFAS No. 123 (R). Under this method, the stock-based compensation expense includes: (a) compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation"; and (b) compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (R). Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123 (R), the Company had accounted for stock based compensation arrangements in accordance with provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", as permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation." Under APB Opinion No. 25, no stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in reported net loss, when options granted to employees have an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock at the date of grant. In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 153 "Exchange of Nonmonetary Assets". This statement was a result of a joint effort by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board, or IASB, to improve financial reporting by eliminating certain narrow differences between their existing accounting standards. One such difference was the exception from fair value measurement in APB Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions", for non-monetary

exchanges of similar productive assets. SFAS 153 replaces this exception with a general exception from fair value measurement for exchanges of non-monetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This statement was effective for non-monetary assets exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS 153 did not have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position of the company. In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs". SFAS 151 amends Accounting Research Bulletin, or ARB, No. 43, Chapter 4. This statement clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). SFAS 151 is the result of a broader effort by the FASB and the IASB to improve financial reporting by eliminating certain narrow differences between their existing accounting standards. This statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS 151 did not have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position of the company. 48

BUSINESS Overview We are a product-focused biopharmaceutical company with two approved cancer therapeutics. In December 2004, the Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, approved our lead cancer product, clofarabine, for the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL, in patients who are relapsed or refractory to at least two prior regimens of treatment. We believe clofarabine is the first new medicine initially approved in the United States for children with leukemia in more than a decade. Clofarabine has received Orphan Drug designation in the U.S. and the E.U. Genzyme Corporation, our co-development partner, currently holds marketing rights in the U.S. and Canada for clofarabine for certain cancer indications and currently controls U.S. development of clofarabine in these indications. Genzyme is marketing clofarabine under the brand name Clolar(R) in the U.S. In Europe, we have filed for approval of clofarabine in pediatric ALL with the European Medicines Evaluation Agency, or EMeA. If approved, we anticipate commencing sales in Europe during the first half of calendar 2006 through a dedicated European sales force. We are selling our second product, Modrenal(R), in the U.K., through our sales force of eight sales specialists. Modrenal(R) is approved in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. If we receive additional European approvals for our products, we intend to expand our sales force by adding up to six to 10 sales specialists in each of five other key regions within the E.U. which include the countries of France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Netherlands, Austria, Belgium, Denmark and Sweden. Further, we intend to penetrate all of the other markets within the E.U. upon establishing traction in the E.U.'s major markets. Products and pipeline Candidate Indication Status U.S. and Canada Ex-U.S. and rights Canada rights

----- Clofarabine Relapsed or
Marketed in U.S. Genzyme Bioenvision (Clolar(R)) Refractory (pediatric); Filed Acute in E.U.
(pediatric) Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) -----
----- Acute Phase II in E.U. Genzyme Bioenvision Myelogenous (adult) Leukemia (AML)
----- Refractory Phase II in U.S.
Genzyme Bioenvision Chronic (adult) Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) -----
----- Solid Tumors Phase I (Intravenous) Genzyme Bioenvision
----- Solid Tumors Phase I (Oral)
Genzyme Bioenvision -----
Non-Cancer Developmental Bioenvision Bioenvision -----
----- Modrenal(R) Breast Cancer Marketed in U.K.; Bioenvision Bioenvision Phase
IV in U.K.; Phase II in U.K. -----
Prostate Cancer Phase II in U.S. Bioenvision Bioenvision -----
----- Virostat Hepatitis C Investigator Bioenvision Bioenvision Sponsored Phase II in
Europe and Middle East -----

49 Our
Products Clofarabine (Clolar(R)) On December 28, 2004, clofarabine was approved by the FDA after a "fast track" review for the treatment of pediatric patients, ages one to 21, with relapsed or refractory ALL after at least two prior regimens. Genzyme currently maintains rights to market the drug for certain cancer indications in the U.S. and Canada and we are currently receiving royalties on these sales. Genzyme is marketing clofarabine under the brand name Clolar(R). We also submitted a Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, the European equivalent of a U.S. new drug application, or NDA, with the EMeA in July 2004 for European approval of clofarabine in relapsed or refractory pediatric acute leukemia. We expect an opinion from the EMeA in the second half of calendar 2005. Clofarabine received Orphan Drug designation in the U.S. and in Europe, which provides ten years of marketing exclusivity in Europe and seven years of marketing exclusivity in the U.S. Further, in July 2004, the FDA granted a six-month extension of the marketing exclusivity for clofarabine in pediatric ALL under the federal Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act. Pediatric leukemia is the most prevalent form of cancer among children up to age 19 in the U.S. It is estimated that approximately 3,400 children were diagnosed with leukemia in the U.S. in 2004, with ALL

accounting for over 75% of the incidence rate. Although survival rates for childhood leukemia have improved significantly since the early 1970's, approximately 20% of pediatric patients with ALL and 60% of pediatric patients with AML do not achieve long-term survival and we believe there is a medical need for new agents to treat this population of patients. Clofarabine is approved for the treatment of pediatric patients, ages one to 21, with relapsed or refractory ALL after at least two prior regimens. The adult leukemia market represents a potentially significantly larger commercial opportunity with over 11,500 patients with AML and over 8,000 patients with CLL, diagnosed each year within the U.S. Based on population and incidence rates data, we believe that the E.U. patient population with pediatric leukemias and adult AML and CLL approximates that of the U.S. Clofarabine is a purine nucleoside analog, which is a small molecule, that we are developing with Genzyme, our co-development partner, for the treatment of acute and chronic leukemias, lymphomas and solid tumors. Clofarabine attacks cancer cells by damaging DNA in cancer cells, preventing DNA repair by damaged cancer cells, damaging the cancer cell's important control structures, and initiating the process of programmed cell death, or apoptosis, in cancer cells. Clofarabine appears to combine many of the favorable properties of the two most commonly used purine nucleoside analog drugs, fludarabine and cladribine, but appears to have greater potency at damaging the DNA of leukemia cells and a broader range of clinical activity. In the U.S., pivotal Phase II clinical trials were conducted for the treatment of relapsed or refractory acute leukemia in children and a NDA was filed by Genzyme with the FDA in March 2004, based upon the interim results of 70 patients enrolled in these two trials. In August of 2004, clinical data on an additional cohort of 14 patients were submitted to the FDA and of the aggregate ALL group of 49 patients, a 31% overall response rate was achieved, and of the aggregate AML group of 35 patients, a 26% overall response rate was achieved. In Europe, we facilitated an investigator sponsored trial, or an IST, of clofarabine as first line therapy for older adult patients with AML who were unsuitable for intensive chemotherapy. The IST was closed to recruitment in August 2004 because a 67% overall response rate was achieved. This response rate was more than three times greater than the expected response rate under the current standard of care for this patient population and the investigator determined that these positive results warranted accelerated initiation of the Phase II regulatory study of clofarabine as a first-line treatment for older adult patients newly diagnosed with AML. We expect to complete the Phase II trial in calendar 2005 and anticipate that it will form the basis for an E.U. regulatory submission for approval in this indication. On December 1, 2004 the FDA's Oncologic Drug Advisory Committee, or ODAC, convened to determine if clinical data from Phase II trials in relapsed and refractory pediatric ALL and AML demonstrated a durable clinical response that would predicate a clinical benefit in future clinical administration. The panel voted in favor of the approval of clofarabine for pediatric ALL under its accelerated approval pathway and voted against immediate 50 marketing in pediatric AML, requesting additional information. In connection with the approval that was granted by the FDA, Genzyme is required to conduct further controlled clinical studies of clofarabine to verify and describe its clinical benefit in ALL. Clofarabine is currently being evaluated in an IST Phase II clinical trial for refractory CLL in the U.S. In addition, commencing in Q1 2006, we intend to investigate clofarabine in European Phase II clinical trials for CLL and indolent lymphoma. In pre-clinical studies, clofarabine has shown anti-tumor activity against several human cancers, including cancers of the lung, colon, kidney, breast, pancreas and prostate, as well as its action against leukemia cells. The initial data from the Phase I clinical trials indicate activity for clofarabine in certain solid tumor types. We believe this level of activity against solid tumors distinguishes clofarabine from other purine nucleoside analogs. We intend to develop clofarabine as a potential drug for the treatment of certain solid tumors, such as colon, pancreatic, lung, breast and prostate cancer. Currently, we anticipate the initial Phase I clinical trials for clofarabine, using both the oral and intravenous formulations, in solid tumors will be completed by end of calendar year 2005. Pursuant to the terms of our co-development agreement with Genzyme, the successor-in-interest to ILEX Oncology, Inc., both parties are required to share promptly all information, including clinical data, generated under the co-development program and Genzyme is obligated to pay all of the U.S. and Canadian research and development costs and 50% of all approved ex-U.S. and Canada research and development costs (except for Japan and Southeast Asia and except for non-cancer indications). If additional resources are required above the agreed upon costs, we may elect to pay these additional costs and certain of these payments will be credited against future royalty payments to Genzyme at the rate of \$1.50 for every \$1.00 of additional expenditures. Under the co-development agreement with Genzyme, we receive royalties on Genzyme's annual net sales on a sliding scale based on the level of annual net sales. Similarly, we pay a royalty to Genzyme and Southern Research Institute, or SRI, the inventor of clofarabine, on our European annual net sales. Pursuant to the terms of our co-development agreement with SRI, we have the exclusive license to market and distribute clofarabine throughout the world for all human applications except for certain U.S. and Canadian cancer indications and except for any indications in Japan and Southeast Asia. Our exclusive license expires upon the last to expire of the patents used or useful in

connection with the development and marketing of clofarabine, which we expect to expire in 2021. In addition, we hold an exclusive option from SRI to market and distribute clofarabine in Japan and Southeast Asia for all human applications. We intend to convert the option to a license upon sourcing an appropriate co-marketing partner to develop these rights in Japan and Southeast Asia.

Modrenal(R) We currently market Modrenal(R) (trilostane) in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. We have a team of eight sales specialists and two marketing executives selling and marketing Modrenal(R) in the U.K. Modrenal's(R) approved indication enables us to promote Modrenal(R) for use immediately after relapse to initial hormone therapy such as tamoxifen or one of a class of drugs known as aromatase inhibitors (including Faslodex and Arimidex). However, we are initially positioning Modrenal(R) as a third or fourth line treatment option in post-menopausal advanced breast cancer. In the five largest E.U. countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the U.K.), we believe approximately 520,000 women are currently living with post-menopausal advanced breast cancer of which over a third require third or fourth line agents following prior treatment failure. Modrenal(R) has been extensively studied in clinical trials in the U.S., Europe and Australia, and an analysis, known as a meta-analysis, of a series of these clinical studies, that together included 714 patients with post-menopausal advanced breast cancer who received Modrenal(R) has been conducted. Overall, a clinical benefit rate of 35% was achieved in patients with both hormone-sensitive and hormone-insensitive breast cancers. Generally, a clinical benefit is achieved when a patient's disease disappears, is decreased by greater than fifty percent or is stabilized for at least six months. In a sub-set analysis of these clinical trial data, a clinical benefit rate of 46% was achieved for 351 patients with hormone-sensitive breast cancer who had responded to one or more prior hormonal therapies and were given Modrenal(R) upon relapse of the cancer. In one of the studies which was conducted in Australia, a clinical benefit rate of 55% was achieved for 64 patients who received Modrenal(R) having previously 51 responded to tamoxifen and subsequently relapsed. We believe these data compare favorably to currently marketed aromatase inhibitors and other agents given as second line or subsequent therapies. Furthermore, Modrenal(R) has an acceptable side-effect profile. On the basis of these data, Modrenal(R) was granted a product license in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. We began marketing Modrenal(R) in May 2004 in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. We also intend to seek regulatory approval for Modrenal(R) in the U.S. as a therapy for hormone-sensitive breast cancers and hormone independent prostate cancers, but this strategy is dependent upon the results of the ongoing clinical trials and the resource capability of the Company. Our ongoing clinical trials in breast cancer target patients that have hormone-sensitive cancers and have become refractory to prior hormone treatments, such as tamoxifen or any of the aromatase inhibitors. In addition, there is an ongoing Phase II clinical trial of Modrenal(R) in the U.S. that is focused on patients who have androgen independent prostate cancer and have a rising prostate specific antigen, or PSA, level. In mid-2005 we began enrollment in a U.K., Phase IV study in post-menopausal advanced breast cancer, a Phase II study in pre-menopausal breast cancer and a Phase II study in neo-adjuvant, pre-operative breast cancer. We plan to use the data from these clinical trials to support a filing process for mutual recognition for approval of Modrenal(R) on a country-by-country basis in Europe. Each such approval, if granted, would be based upon Modrenal's(R) approval in the U.K. for post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. The grant of any such approval is entirely within the control of the individual regulatory authorities. We have the exclusive right to market and distribute Modrenal(R) throughout the world for all human applications, except for South Africa and Japan where the drug is marketed for the treatment of low-renin hypertension. Our exclusive license expires upon the last to expire of the patents used or useful in connection with the marketing of Modrenal(R). Given that we have new patent applications filed, which are subject to issuance, we expect the last of our underlying patents to expire in 2020.

Other Products and Technologies We anticipate that revenues derived from our two lead drugs, clofarabine and Modrenal(R) will permit us to further develop the other products currently in our product pipeline. The work to date on these compounds has been limited because of the need to concentrate on clofarabine and Modrenal(R) but management believes these compounds have potential value. Virostat Virostat, especially when irradiated by light, acts by preventing replication of nucleic acid (DNA and RNA) in pathogens. Investigator sponsored Phase II clinical trials are ongoing in Europe and the Middle East to study Virostat's use in treating hepatitis C virus infection and we announced interim results at the UBS Global Life Sciences Conference in New York on September 28, 2005. Virostat was given to 25 patients with genotype 4 hepatitis C who had failed a prior treatment, including interferon in many of the patients. Sixteen (64%) of the patients had cirrhosis. Virostat was given orally for 100 days and measurement of the viral load was made at 50 days. At 50 days, 22 (88%) patients had shown a reduction in viral load of greater than 70%. Of these responders, 14 (64%) had a clearance of greater than 90%, with four responders having complete viral clearance. Seven of the 25 patients have had

viral load measured at 100 days. Six of these patients show continued reduction in viral load and the seventh patient, who had been one of the three non-responders at 50 days, had a greater than 90% reduction in viral load. No major adverse events were noted. Methylene blue is currently used in several European countries to inactivate pathogens, notably certain viruses, in fresh frozen plasma. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2005, we tested for impairment our methylene blue intangibles acquired in connection with the Pathagon acquisition and determined that, based on our assumptions, the sum of the expected future cash flows, undiscounted and pertaining solely and exclusively to approved indications, exceeded the carrying value of its long-lived assets and therefore we did not recognize an impairment. Due to the loss of an intellectual property patent suit relating to the international use of methylene blue in fresh frozen plasma we re-evaluated the intangible asset relating to methylene blue at June 30, 2005. At that date, we estimated that our 52 undiscounted future cash flows, again relating solely and exclusively to approved uses of methylene blue, were less than the carrying value. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$5,276,000, equal to the difference between the estimated future cash flows for approved uses of methylene blue, discounted at an appropriate rate, and the carrying amount of the asset. Making the impairment determination and the amount of impairment requires significant judgment by management and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows. Changes in events or circumstances that may affect long-lived assets makes judgments and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows highly subjective.

Velostan Velostan is a cytostatic drug we are investigating in Europe. Velostan is the first compound in a group of chemically related compounds that are believed to work by blocking cell division and reversing the malignant process in the cancer cell. We believe the optical isomer we have developed is more active and less toxic than its parent compound.

OLIGON(R) Technology With the acquisition of Pathagon in February 2002, we acquired patents, technology and technology patents relating to OLIGON(R) anti-infective technology, and have licensed rights from Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation for the use of thiazine dyes, including methylene blue, for other anti-infective uses. The OLIGON(R) technology is based on the antimicrobial properties of silver ions. The broad spectrum activity of silver ions against bacteria, including antibiotic-resistant strains, has been known for decades. OLIGON(R) materials have application in a wide range of devices and products, including vascular access devices, urology catheters, pulmonary artery catheters and thoracic devices, renal dialysis catheters, orthopedic devices and several other medical and consumer product applications. One application of the OLIGON(R) technology has been licensed to a third party, which is currently marketing the technology in its line of short-term vascular access catheters. Six U.S. patents for the OLIGON(R) technology have been granted and additional patents have been filed. In addition, patents have been filed in Europe, Canada and Japan.

Gene Therapy Technology Our product portfolio also includes a variety of gene therapy products that, we believe, may offer advancements in the field of cancer treatment and may have additional applications in certain non-cancer diseases such as diabetes, cystic fibrosis and other auto-immune disorders. Pursuant to a co-development agreement with the Royal Free and University College Medical School and a Canadian biotechnology company, we are developing gene vector technologies which, based on pre-clinical research and early Phase I clinical trials, we believe have potential in a wide array of clinical conditions. To date, the technology has undergone small-scale clinical testing with the albumin and thrombopoietin genes. The results showed the technology is capable of producing a prolonged elevation in serum albumin levels in cancer and cirrhosis patients with hypo-albuminemia, a serious physiological disorder.

Animal Health Products We also have one animal health product, Vetoryl(R) (trilostane), at market in the United Kingdom for the treatment of Cushing's disease in dogs. In November 2001, we granted to Arnolds Ltd., a major distributor of animal products in the U.K., the right to market the drug for a six-month trial period, after which time, if the results were satisfactory to Arnolds, we would enter into a licensing arrangement whereby Arnolds would pay royalties to us on sales from April 2002 onward. During the trial period, Arnolds posted more than \$400,000 of sales of the drug. Arnolds has licensed the drug from us for sale in the U.K. market in consideration of a payment of a 5% royalty on sales. Separately, in May 2003, we granted to Dechra Pharmaceuticals, PLC, an affiliate of Arnolds Ltd., the exclusive right to market the drug in the U.S. for \$5.5 million of total consideration (including milestone payments) and a royalty of 2%-4% of annual net sales.

53 Patents and Proprietary Rights Our success will depend, in part, upon our ability to obtain and enforce protection for our products under U.S. and foreign patent laws and other intellectual property laws, preserve the confidentiality of our trade secrets and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. Our policy is to file patent applications in the U.S. and/or foreign jurisdictions to protect technology, inventions and improvements to our inventions that are considered important to the development of our business. Also, we will rely upon trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities to develop a competitive position. Through our current license agreements, we have acquired the right to utilize the technology covered by several issued patents and patent applications, as well as additional intellectual property and know-how that could be the subject of further patent applications in the future. We evaluate the

desirability of seeking patent or other forms of protection for our products in foreign markets based on the expected costs and relative benefits of attaining this protection. There can be no assurance that any patents will be issued from any applications or that any issued patents will afford adequate protection to us. Further, there can be no assurance that any issued patents will not be challenged, invalidated, infringed or circumvented or that any rights granted thereunder will provide competitive advantages to us. Parties not affiliated with us have obtained or may obtain U.S. or foreign patents or possess or may possess proprietary rights relating to our products. There can be no assurance that patents now in existence or hereafter issued to others will not adversely affect the development or commercialization of our products or that our planned activities will not infringe patents owned by others. As a result of the licenses described above, we are the exclusive licensee or sublicensee of three U.S. patents expiring in 2005, 2008 and 2014 relating to compounds, pharmaceutical compositions and methods of use encompassing clofarabine. We have also filed two United States patent applications relating to the use of clofarabine in autoimmune diseases. Although the composition of matter patents to trilostane have expired, we are the exclusive licensee of several United States and foreign patent applications relating to the use of trilostane alone or in combination with anticancer agents and the exclusive licensee to a manufacturing process patent for trilostane. In addition, for Gene Therapy we have international process and use patent applications filed which, if patents are issued, will expire in April 2018 and for OLIGON we have process, use and composition of matter patents in the U.S. and internationally which expire on or before April 2019 and a patent application in Japan which expires in October 2018. We could incur substantial costs in defending ourselves in infringement suits brought against us or any of our licensors or in asserting any infringement claims that we may have against others. We could also incur substantial costs in connection with any suits relating to matters for which we have agreed to indemnify our licensors or distributors. An adverse outcome in any litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects. In addition, we could be required to obtain licenses under patents or other proprietary rights of third parties. No assurance can be given that any of these licenses would be made available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If we are required to, and do not obtain any required licenses, we could be prevented from, or encounter delays in, developing, manufacturing or marketing one or more of our products. We also rely upon trade secret protection for our confidential and proprietary information. There can be no assurance that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or disclose this technology or that we can meaningfully protect our trade secrets. It is our policy to require our employees, consultants and parties to collaborative agreements to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships or a collaboration with us. These agreements provide that all confidential information developed or made known during the course of the relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of employees, the agreements provide that all inventions resulting from work performed for us, utilizing our property or relating to our business and conceived or completed by the individual during employment shall be our exclusive property to the extent permitted by applicable law.

54 Sales and Marketing Currently we have an arrangement in place with Genzyme for the co-development and marketing of one of our lead products, clofarabine, and another arrangement with Edwards Lifesciences for the marketing of short-term vascular access catheters using the OLIGON(R) technology. We have also engaged in our own marketing and sales efforts in connection with the marketing and sale of Modrenal(R) in the U.K. If we receive additional European approvals for our products, we intend to expand our sales force by adding up to six to 10 sales specialists in each of five other key regions within the E.U. However, in order to market any of our products effectively, we would need to establish a much more integrated marketing and sales force with technical expertise and distribution capability or contract with other pharmaceutical and/or health care companies with distribution systems and direct sales forces. Our marketing policy is to generate awareness of our products and target the two key audiences for our products, doctors and patients. Medical education is also a priority, with the use of peer-opinion leaders, clinical trials at major centers, satellite symposia and conferences, product advertising in specific scientific journals and trained sales personnel. Patient education is carefully controlled and is important to our marketing approach. Manufacturing Our strategy is to enter into collaborative arrangements with other companies for the clinical testing, manufacture and distribution of the products. Manufacturers of our products will be subject to Good Manufacturing Practices prescribed by the FDA or other rules and regulations prescribed by foreign regulatory authorities, which may change from time to time. We do not have and do not intend to establish any internal product testing, manufacturing or distribution capabilities. Research and Development In developing new products, we consider a variety of factors including: (i) existing or potential marketing opportunities for these products; (ii) our capability to arrange for these products to be manufactured on a commercial scale; (iii) whether or not these products complement our existing products; (iv) the opportunities to leverage these products with the development of additional

products; and (v) the ability to develop co-marketing relationships with pharmaceutical and/or other companies with respect to the products. We intend to fund future research and development activities at a number of medical and scientific centers in Europe and the United States. Costs related to these activities are expected to include: clinical trial expenses; drug production costs; salaries and benefits of scientific, clinical and other personnel; patent protection costs; analytical and other testing costs; professional fees; and insurance and other administrative expenses. We have spent approximately \$10,895,000 and \$4,883,000 on research and development activities for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 respectively. Government Regulation The FDA and comparable regulatory agencies in state and local jurisdictions and in foreign countries impose substantial requirements upon the clinical development, manufacture and marketing of pharmaceutical products. These agencies and other federal, state and local entities regulate research and development activities and the testing, manufacture, quality control, safety, effectiveness, labeling, storage, record keeping, approval, advertising and promotion of our drug delivery products. The process required by the FDA under the new drug provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act before our products may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following: o pre-clinical laboratory and animal tests; o submission to the FDA of an investigational new drug application, or IND, which must become effective before clinical trials may begin; o adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed pharmaceutical in our intended use; 55 o submission to the FDA of a new drug application; and o FDA review and approval of the new drug application. The testing and approval process requires substantial time, effort, and financial resources and we cannot be certain that any approval will be granted on a timely basis, if at all. Pre-clinical tests include laboratory evaluation of the product, its chemistry, formulation and stability, as well as animal studies to assess the potential safety and efficacy of the product. The results of the pre-clinical tests, together with manufacturing information and analytical data, are submitted to the FDA as part of an IND, which must become effective before we may begin human clinical trials. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA, within the 30-day time period, raises concerns or questions about the conduct of the trials as outlined in the IND and imposes a clinical hold. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before clinical trials can begin. There is no certainty that pre-clinical trials will result in the submission of an IND or that submission of an IND will result in FDA authorization to commence clinical trials. Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational product to human subjects under the supervision of a qualified principal investigator. Clinical trials are conducted in accordance with protocols that detail the objectives of the study, the parameters to be used to monitor safety and the efficacy criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Further, each clinical study must be conducted under the auspices of an independent institutional review board at the institution where the study will be conducted. The institutional review board will consider, among other things, ethical factors, the safety of human subjects and the possible liability of the institution. Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases which may overlap: PHASE I: The drug is initially introduced into healthy human subjects or patients and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution and excretion; PHASE II: Studies are conducted in a limited patient population to identify possible short term adverse effects and safety risks, to determine the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage; PHASE III: Phase III trials are undertaken to further evaluate clinical efficacy and to further test for safety in an expanded patient population, often at geographically dispersed clinical study sites. Phase III or IIb/III trials are often referred to as pivotal trials, as they are used for the final approval of a product. In the case of products for life-threatening diseases such as cancer, the initial human testing is often conducted in patients with disease rather than in healthy volunteers. Since these patients already have the targeted disease or condition, these studies may provide initial evidence of efficacy traditionally obtained in Phase II trials and so these trials are frequently referred to as Phase I/II trials. We cannot be certain that we will successfully complete Phase I, Phase II or Phase III testing of our product candidates within any specific time period, if at all. Furthermore, we, the FDA, the institutional review board or the sponsor may suspend clinical trials at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. The results of product development, pre-clinical studies and clinical studies are submitted to the FDA as part of a new drug application for approval of the marketing and commercial shipment of the product. The FDA may deny a new drug application if the applicable regulatory criteria are not satisfied or may require additional clinical data. Even if the additional data is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the new drug application does not satisfy the criteria for approval. Once issued, the FDA may withdraw product approval if compliance with regulatory standards for production and distribution is not maintained or if safety problems occur after the product reaches the market. In addition, the FDA requires surveillance programs to monitor approved products which have been commercialized, and the agency has the power to require

changes in labeling or to prevent further marketing of a product based on the results of these post-marketing programs. 56 The FDA has a Fast Track program intended to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs that demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for treatment of serious or life-threatening conditions. Under this program, if the FDA determines from a preliminary evaluation of clinical data that a fast track product may be effective, the FDA can review portions of a new drug application for a Fast Track product before the entire application is complete, and undertakes to complete its review process within six months of the filing of the new drug application. The FDA approval of a Fast Track product can include restrictions on the product's use or distribution such as permitting use only for specified medical procedures or limiting distribution to physicians or facilities with special training or expertise. The FDA may grant conditional approval of a product with Fast Track status and require additional clinical studies following approval. Satisfaction of FDA requirements or similar requirements of state, local and foreign regulatory agencies typically takes several years and the actual time required may vary substantially, based upon the type, complexity and novelty of the pharmaceutical product. Government regulation may delay or prevent marketing of potential products for a considerable period of time and impose costly procedures upon our activities. Success in pre-clinical or early stage clinical trials does not assure success in later stage clinical trials. Data from pre-clinical and clinical activities is not always conclusive and may be susceptible to varying interpretations which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. Even if a product receives regulatory approval, the approval may be significantly limited to specific indications. Further, even after the FDA approves a product, later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product may result in restrictions on the product or even complete withdrawal of the product from the market. Any products manufactured or distributed under FDA clearances or approvals are subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA, including record-keeping requirements and reporting of adverse experiences with the products. Drug manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register with the FDA and state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and state agencies for compliance with good manufacturing practices, which impose procedural and documentation requirements upon manufacturers and their third party manufacturers. We are subject to numerous other federal, state, local and foreign laws relating to such matters as safe working conditions, manufacturing practices, environmental protection, fire hazard control, and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances. We may incur significant costs to comply with such laws and regulations now or in the future. In addition, we cannot predict what adverse governmental regulations may arise from future United States or foreign governmental action. We also are subject to foreign regulatory requirements governing human clinical trials and marketing approval for pharmaceutical products which we sell outside the U.S. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary widely from country to country. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval, we must obtain approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before manufacturing or marketing the product in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country and the time required for these approvals may differ substantially from that required for FDA approval. We cannot be assured that clinical trials conducted in one country will be accepted by other countries or that approval in one country will result in approval in any other country. For clinical trials conducted outside the U.S., the clinical stages generally are comparable to the phases of clinical development established by the FDA. Competition The pharmaceutical industry is characterized by rapidly evolving technology and intense competition. Many companies of all sizes, including a number of large pharmaceutical companies as well as several specialized biotechnology companies, are developing cancer drugs similar to ours. There are products on the market that will compete directly with the products that we are seeking to develop. In addition, colleges, universities, government agencies and other public and private research institutions will continue to conduct research and are becoming more active in seeking patent protection and licensing arrangements to collect license fees, milestone payments and royalties in exchange for license rights to technologies that they have developed, some of which may directly compete with our technologies. These companies and institutions also compete with us in recruiting qualified scientific personnel. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, research and development, human and other resources than we do. Furthermore, large pharmaceutical companies have significantly more 57 experience than we do in preclinical testing, human clinical trials and regulatory approval procedures. Our competitors may develop safer or more effective products than ours, obtain patent protection or intellectual property rights that limit our ability to commercialize products, or commercialize products more quickly than we can. We expect technology developments in our industry to continue to occur at a rapid pace. Commercial developments by our competitors may render some or all of our potential products obsolete or non-competitive, which would materially harm our business and financial condition. Employees As of December 7, 2005, we had 30 full-time employees based in New York, New York, and Edinburgh, Scotland. Of these, 3 are in management, 4 are in legal/accounting, 10 are in

sales/marketing, 5 are in administration and 8 are in research and development. We believe our relationships with our employees are satisfactory. Description of Property As of the date of this report we do not own any interest in real property. We currently lease 5,549 square feet of office space at our principal executive offices at 345 Park Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, New York 10154 for base rent of approximately \$26,351 per month. These facilities are the center for all of our administrative functions in the United States. Also, we rent approximately 2,437 square feet of office space in Edinburgh, Scotland for approximately (pound)14,400 per month. To date, most of our drug development programs have been conducted at scientific institutions around the world. It is our policy to continue development at leading scientific institutions in the U.S. and Europe. We do not plan to conduct laboratory research in any of our facilities in the near future, rather, we plan to conduct research through collaborative arrangements with SRI and others. Legal Proceedings On December 19, 2003, the Company filed a complaint against Dr. Deidre Tessman and Tessman Technology Ltd. (the "Tessman Defendants") in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 03-603984). An amended complaint alleges, among other things, breach of contract and negligence by Tessman and Tessman Technology and demands judgment against Tessman and Tessman Technology in an amount to be determined by the Court. The Tessman Defendants removed the case to federal court, then remanded it to state court and served an answer with several purported counterclaims. The Company denies the allegations in the counterclaims and intends to pursue its claims against the Tessman Defendants vigorously. Each of the parties has moved for summary judgment dismissing all but one of the claims of the other parties. Those motions have all been denied by the Court, and a trial date has been set for early 2006. Corporate Information We were incorporated as Express Finance, Inc. under the laws of the State of Delaware on August 16, 1996, and changed our name to Ascot Group, Inc. in August 1998 and further to Bioenvision, Inc. in January 1999. Our principal executive offices are located at 345 Park Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, New York 10154. Our telephone number is (212) 750-6700 and our fax number is (212) 750-6777. Our website is www.bioenvision.com. Information included or referred to on our website is not incorporated by reference in or otherwise a part of this prospectus. Our website address is included in this prospectus as an inactive textual reference only. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Our excess cash is invested in certificates of deposit with various short-term maturities. We hold no derivative financial instruments and we do not currently engage in hedging activities. We do not have any outstanding debt. Accordingly, due to the maturity and credit quality of our investments, we are not subjected to any substantial risk arising from changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates and commodity and equity prices. However the company does have some exposure to foreign currency rate fluctuations arising from maintaining an office for the Company's U.K. based, wholly owned subsidiary which transacts business in the local functional currency. Management periodically reviews such foreign currency risk and to date has not undertaken any foreign 58 currency hedges through the use of forward exchange contracts or options and does not foresee doing so in the near future. MANAGEMENT Directors The following table sets forth information as to our directors as of December 2, 2005, together with their positions and ages. Name of Age Position Director Christopher B. Wood, M.D. 59 Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer Michael Kauffman, M.D. 42 Director Thomas Scott Nelson, C.A. 66 Director Steve A. Elms 41 Director Andrew Schiff, M.D. 39 Director Set forth below is the name, principal occupation for the last five years, selected biographical information and the period of service as director of each of the directors. Christopher B. Wood, M.D. has served as our chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer since January 1999. From January 1997 to December 1998, Dr. Wood was chairman of the board of Eurobiotech, Inc., a Delaware company. From March 1994 to January 1997, Dr. Wood was a specialist surgeon in the National Health Service in the United Kingdom. From April 1979 to March 1991, Dr. Wood was a specialist surgeon at The Royal Postgraduate Medical School, London, England. Dr. Wood holds an M.D. from the University of Wales School of Medicine and the Fellowship of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh. Michael Kauffman M.D., Ph.D. was named a director in January 2004. Dr. Kauffman is currently the president and chief executive officer of Predix Pharmaceuticals. Prior to that he was the vice president, medicine, and Proteasome Inhibitor (VELCADE(TM)) Program Leader at Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc. Prior to that, Dr. Kauffman held senior positions at Millennium Predictive Medicine, Inc., as cofounder and vice president of Medicine, and at Biogen Corporation. Dr. Kauffman received his M.D. and Ph.D. (molecular biology and biochemistry) at Johns Hopkins and his postdoctoral training at Harvard University. He is board certified in internal medicine, and comes with over 10 years of experience in drug discovery and development. Thomas Scott Nelson, C.A. was named a director in May 1998. Mr. Nelson served as our chief financial officer from May 1998 to September 2002. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Nelson served as the director of finance of the management board of the Royal & Sun Alliance Insurance Group. From 1991 to 1996, Mr. Nelson served as group finance director of the main board of Sun Alliance Insurance Group. He has served as chairman of the United Kingdom insurance industry committee on European

regulatory, fiscal and accounting issues. He has also worked with Deloitte in Paris and as a consultant with PA Consultants Management. Mr. Nelson is a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland and a fellow of the Institute of Cost and Management Accountants. Mr. Nelson holds a B.A. degree from Cambridge University. Steven A. Elms was named a director in May 2002. Mr. Elms serves as a managing director of the Perseus-Soros Management, LLC, an affiliate of the Perseus-Soros BioPharmaceutical Fund, LP. For five years prior to joining Perseus-Soros, Mr. Elms was a principal in the Life Science Investment Banking group of Hambrecht & Quist (now J.P. Morgan H&Q). Mr. Elms also serves as a director of Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. Andrew Schiff, M.D. was named a director in May 2002. Dr. Schiff currently serves as a managing director of Perseus-Soros Management, LLC, an affiliate of the Perseus-Soros Biopharmaceutical Fund, LP. Over the last 10 years, Schiff has practiced internal medicine at The New York Presbyterian Hospital where he maintains his position 59 as a Clinical Assistant Professor of Medicine. Dr. Schiff also serves as a director of Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. Committees of the Board of Directors The Board of Directors currently has two standing committees; the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee. All nominees are currently members of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors does not currently have a standing Nominating Committee. The Board of Directors has adopted a director nomination policy whereby in connection with the nomination of candidates to the Company's Board, director nominees will either be selected or recommended for the Board's selection, by a majority of the independent directors in accordance with NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4350(c)(4)(A). The Audit Committee is comprised of Messrs. Elms and Nelson and Drs. Schiff and Kauffman; with Mr. Elms serving as Chairman of the Audit Committee. All current and proposed Audit Committee members are independent, as independence is defined in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the National Association of Securities Dealers' listing standards. All members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Nelson (i) is an "audit committee financial expert" and (ii) is independent, as that term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee recommends that the Company's independent accountants audit our financial statements, which includes an inspection of our books and accounts, and reviews with such accountants the scope of their audit and their report thereon, including any questions and recommendations that may arise relating to such audit and report or our internal accounting and auditing system procedures. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter of the Audit Committee. The Compensation Committee is comprised of Mr. Elms and Drs. Schiff and Kauffman; with Dr. Kauffman serving as Chairman of the Compensation Committee. The function of the Compensation Committee is to review and approve the compensation of executive officers and establish targets and incentive awards under our incentive compensation plans. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, (i) the Board of Directors held 6 meetings; (ii) the Audit Committee held 11 meetings and (iii) the compensation committee held 3 meetings. During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2005, each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and meetings of committees on which he served. Compensation of Directors Our policy is that non-management directors are entitled to receive a director's fee of \$2,000 per meeting for attendance at meetings of the board of directors that they attend in person, \$1,000 per meeting for attendance at meetings of committees the board of directors that they attend in person, and \$250 for each board or committee meeting they attend by teleconference, in addition, they are reimbursed for actual expenses incurred in respect of such attendance. We do not separately compensate employees for serving as directors. We do not provide additional compensation for committee participation or special assignments of the board of directors. In connection with joining our board of directors, on January 20, 2004, Dr. Michael Kauffman was granted an option to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$4.55 (the fair market value of our common stock, on the date of the grant), 12,500 of which vest on January 20, 2005 with the remaining 12,500 vesting on January 20, 2006. EXECUTIVE AND SENIOR OFFICERS Set forth below is the name, age, principal occupation for the last five years, selected biographical information and the period of service as an executive officer of each of the executive officers. 60 Christopher B. Wood, M.D., age 59, has served as our chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer since January 1999. From January 1997 to December 1998, Dr. Wood was chairman of Eurobiotech, Inc., a Delaware company. From March 1994 to January 1997, Dr. Wood was a specialist surgeon in the National Health Service, United Kingdom. From April 1979 to March 1991, Dr. Wood was a specialist surgeon at The Royal Postgraduate Medical School, London, England. Dr. Wood holds an M.D. from the University of Wales School of Medicine and the Fellowship of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh. David P. Luci, C.P.A., Esq., age 39, has served as our chief financial officer, general counsel and corporate secretary since July 2004, after serving as director of finance, general counsel and corporate secretary since July 2002. From September 1994 to July 2002, Mr. Luci served as a corporate associate at Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP (New York office). Prior to that, Mr. Luci served as a senior auditor at Ernst & Young LLP (New York office). Mr. Luci is a certified public accountant. He holds a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration with a

concentration in accounting from Bucknell University and a J.D. from Albany Law School of Union University. Hugh S. Griffith, age 37, has served as Chief Operating Officer of Bioenvision, Ltd., our wholly-owned sales and marketing subsidiary, since July 2004 after serving as Commercial Director (Europe) since October 2002. Mr. Griffith served as Executive Commercial Director of QuantaNova Ltd. from January 2002 to September 2002. From October 1995 to December 2001, Mr. Griffith held several senior commercial positions at Abbott Laboratories, including Senior Business Unit Manager, Business Development Manager and Area Sales Manager. From April 1992 to October 1995 Mr. Griffith served with Parke-Davis, Warner Lambert. Mr. Griffith holds a Masters of Business Administration from Cardiff Business School, University of Wales; a Diploma of Marketing; and a Bachelor of Science with Honours in Biology from the University of Stirling in Scotland. Ian Abercrombie, age 44, has served as Sales Manager (Europe) since January 2003. Mr. Abercrombie joined us from his position of European Sales and Marketing Director with Biolitec Pharma which he held from February of 2002 through January of 2003. From 1995 through January of 2002, Mr. Abercrombie was with Johnson & Johnson. Mr. Abercrombie holds a Bachelor of Science in Marketing from the University of Stirling in Scotland. Kristen M. Dunker, Esq., age 31, has served as Vice President, Corporate Compliance and Associate General Counsel since June 2004. From September 1999 to June 2004, Ms. Dunker served as a corporate associate at Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP. Ms. Dunker holds a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from Bucknell University and a J.D. from the University of Denver College of Law. Robert Sterling, age 42, has served as Vice President, Product Development since July, 2004, after serving as Vice President, Veterinary Affairs since July 2002. He is responsible for development of our anti-viral, anti-microbial and veterinary businesses. Before joining us, Mr. Sterling worked for nine years at Hoechst Roussel Vet, where he held various marketing and sales positions. Mr. Sterling holds a B.S. degree from Penn State University. Andrew Saunders, M.D., age 40, has served as Medical Director at Bioenvision since May, 2005. Dr. Saunders joined us from Global Drug Development at Hoffman-La-Roche where he was Clinical Science Leader for MabThera oncology with global medical and scientific responsibility for the MabThera development programme. From 2000 to 2002, Dr. Sanders held the position of European Clinical Research Physician in oncology with Eli Lilly & Company. Dr. Saunders holds a Degree in Medicine (1989) from Trinity College Dublin, Republic of Ireland including primary degree qualifications as follows: Batchelor of Medicine; Batchelor of Surgery; Batchelor of Obstetrics; Batchelor of Arts. Dr. Saunders underwent post-graduate training in general medicine and haematology and his Post-Graduate qualifications include: MRCP (Member of Royal College of United Kingdom) in 1996; and MFPM (Member of Faculty Pharmaceutical Medicine) in 2000.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION The following table sets forth the compensation paid and awarded to all individuals serving as (a) our chief executive officer, (b) each of our four other most highly compensated executive officers (other than our chief executive officer) at the end of our fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 whose total annual salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 for these periods, and (c) up to two additional individuals, if any, for whom disclosure would have been provided pursuant to (b) except that the individual(s) were not serving as our executive officers at the end of our fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 (each a "Named Executive Officer"): Summary Compensation Table Annual compensation Long term compensation Awards Payouts Securities All Name & underlying LTIP other Principal Position Year Salary Bonus Other options/SARs payout compensation -----

Named Executive Officer	Year	Salary	Bonus	Other options/SARs	payout	compensation	-----
Christopher B. Wood, MD, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2005	300,000	129,000	30,000	(1)	195,000	(2)
	2004	225,000	-	-	-	225,000	-
	2003	225,000	-	-	-	225,000	-
David P. Luci, Esq., Chief Financial Officer, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2005	275,000	86,000	(4)	-	160,000	(4)
	2004	220,000	20,000	(5)	-	185,000	(6)
	2003	205,200	25,000	(7)	-	500,000	(8)
Hugh S. Griffith, Chief Operating Officer (Europe)	2005	250,000	86,000	46,090	(9)	160,000	(10)
	2004	216,000	36,400	175,000	(10)	216,000	(11)
	2003	216,000	20,000	14,400	300,000	(11)	-
Andrew Saunders, MD	2005	231,250	(12)	50,000	(13)	2004	-
	2003	170,000	50,000	36,250	(14)	135,000	-
	2004	135,000	-	140,000	(15)	2003	-

(1) Dr. Wood receives a Company sponsored contribution to his pension plan in the amount of \$30,000 per annum. (2) On January 6, 2005, Dr. Wood was granted options to purchase 195,000 shares of our common stock at \$8.17 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 48,750 shares of our common stock vested immediately and options to purchase 48,750 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (3) On December 31, 2002, Dr. Wood was granted options to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock at \$1.45 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 166,666 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (4) Excludes \$872,000 which constitutes the value of the equity component of Mr. Luci's annual bonus (options to purchase 160,000 shares of our common stock granted on January 6, 2005). (5) Excludes \$370,000 which constitutes the value of the equity component of Mr. Luci's annual bonus (options to purchase 185,000 shares of our common stock

granted on January 20, 2004). (6) On January 20, 2004, Mr. Luci was granted options to purchase 185,000 shares of our common stock at a then-current fair market value. Of these options, options to purchase 61,666 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on the first anniversary of the grant date and options to purchase 61,667 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, on each of the second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (7) The annual bonus of \$57,000 was prorated for the portion of calendar year 2002 within which Mr. Luci was employed by us. (8) On July 22, 2002, Mr. Luci was granted options to purchase 380,000 shares of our common stock. On March 31, 2003, in connection with the execution of an employment agreement between us and Mr. Luci, these options were cancelled and we issued options to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock at \$0.735 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 170,000 shares of our common stock are immediately exercisable and, subject to certain circumstances, options to purchase 110,000 shares of common stock vest and become exercisable on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of March 31, 2003, the grant date. (9) Mr. Griffith receives a Company sponsored contribution to his pension plan of \$25,000 per annum and is reimbursed for his car lease in the amount of \$21,090 per annum. (10) On January 20, 2004, Mr. Griffith was granted options to purchase 175,000 shares of our common stock at \$4.05 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 58,333 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (11) On October 22, 2003, Mr. Griffith was granted options to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at \$1.45 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of October 22, 2002, the grant date. (12) Dr. Saunders' base salary is 125,000 GBP, which converts to \$231,250 at an exchange rate of 1.85. (13) On March 16, 2005, Dr. Saunders was granted options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at \$5.44 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on June 30, 2005 and options to purchase 12,500 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (14) On January 6, 2005, Ms. Dunker was granted options to purchase 36,250 shares of our common stock at \$8.17 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 9,063 shares of our common stock vested immediately and options to purchase 9,063 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable, subject to certain circumstances, on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (15) On June 22, 2004, Ms. Dunker was granted options to purchase 140,000 shares of our common stock at \$8.25 per share. Of these options, options to purchase 30,000 shares of our common stock vested immediately and options to purchase 55,000 shares of our common stock vest and become exercisable on each of the first and second anniversaries of the grant date.

63 EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS We have entered into employment agreements with certain of our principal executive officers. Pursuant to these agreements, our executive officers agree to devote all or a substantial portion of their business and professional time efforts to our business as executive officers. The employment agreements provide for certain compensation packages, which include bonuses and other incentive compensation. The agreements also contain covenants restricting the employees from competing with us and our business and prohibiting them from disclosing confidential information about us and our business. On September 1, 1999, we entered into an employment agreement with Christopher B. Wood, M.D. under which he serves as our chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The initial term of Dr. Wood's employment agreement is two years with automatic one-year extensions thereafter unless either party gives written notice to the contrary. On December 31, 2002, we entered into a new employment agreement with Dr. Wood, under which he continues to serve as our chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Under this contract, the term is one year, with automatic one-year extensions thereafter unless either party provides written notice to the contrary. Dr. Wood's new employment agreement provides for an initial base salary of \$225,000, a bonus as determined by the board of directors, health insurance and other benefits currently or in the future provided to our key employees. If Dr. Wood's employment is terminated other than for cause or if he resigns for good reason or if a change of control occurs, he will receive a lump sum payment in an amount equal to his then current annual base salary and any and all unvested options will vest and immediately become exercisable. On October 23, 2002, we entered into an employment agreement with Hugh S. Griffith, pursuant to which he agrees to serve as our Commercial Director (Europe). The initial term of Mr. Griffith's employment agreement is one-year, with automatic six month extensions thereafter unless either party provides written notice to the contrary. If Mr. Griffith's employment is terminated other than for cause or if he resigns for good reason or if a change of control occurs, he will receive a lump sum payment in an amount equal to 0.5 multiplied by the sum of his then current annual base salary plus a payment equal to six (6) months of his then current base salary in complete satisfaction of our obligation to provide no less than six (6) months prior written notice as set forth in the employment agreement. On January 6, 2003, we entered into an employment agreement with Ian Abercrombie, pursuant to which he agrees to serve as our

Sales Manager (Europe). The initial term of Mr. Abercrombie's employment agreement is one-year, with automatic six month extensions thereafter unless either party provides written notice to the contrary. If Mr. Abercrombie's employment is terminated other than for cause or if he resigns for good reason or if a change of control occurs, he will receive a payment equal to six (6) months of his then current base salary in complete satisfaction of our obligation to provide no less than six (6) months prior written notice as set forth in the employment agreement. On March 31, 2003, we entered into an employment agreement with David P. Luci, pursuant to which he serves as our Director of Finance, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. The initial term of Mr. Luci's employment agreement is one-year, with automatic one-year extensions thereafter unless either party provides written notice to the contrary. If Mr. Luci's employment is terminated other than for cause or if he resigns for good reason or if a change of control occurs, he will receive a lump sum payment in an amount equal to 1.5 multiplied by the sum of (i) his then current annual base salary plus (ii) his then average annual bonus for the preceding two years and any and all unvested options will vest and immediately become exercisable. 64 STOCK OPTIONS AND LONG TERM INCENTIVE PLANS Option/SAR Grants in Last Fiscal Year The following table sets forth information concerning option/SAR grants in our fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 to each Named Executive Officer:

Individual Grants Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term(1)									
Name	Number of Options/SARs	Percent of Exercise or Expiration	5% (\$)	10% (\$)	Securities	total base price	Date	underlying options/SARs (\$/share)	options/SARs granted to employees in fiscal year
Christopher B. Wood, MD	195,000	24.63%	\$8.17	1/6/15(2)	664,950	1,920,750			
David P. Luci, Esq.	160,000	20.2%	\$8.17	1/6/15(2)	545,600	1,576,000			
Hugh S. Griffith	160,000	20.2%	\$8.17	1/6/15(2)	545,600	1,576,000			
Andrew Saunders, MD	50,000	6.3%	\$5.44	3/16/15(3)	313,000	647,500			
Kristen M. Dunker, Esq.	36,250	4.6%	\$8.17	1/6/15(2)	123,613	357,063			

(1) The dollar amounts under these columns are the result of calculations at rates set by the Securities and Exchange Commission and, therefore, are not intended to forecast possible future appreciation, if any, in the price of the underlying common stock. The potential realizable values are calculated using the closing price of \$7.28 per share of our common stock as quoted on the Nasdaq National Market on the last day of the fiscal year, or June 30, 2005, and assuming that the market price appreciates from this price at the indicated rate for the entire term of each option and that each option is exercised and sold on the last day of its term at the assumed appreciated price. (2) Options vest 25% on the grant date and 25% on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. (3) Options vest 25% on June 30, 2005 and 25% on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date, March 16, 2005. During our fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, Mr. Luci exercised options to purchase 390,000 shares of our common stock and paid the Company the aggregate exercise price of \$286,650. There were no other options exercised in our fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 by the named executive officers. 65 Option Exercises and Year-End Option Values The following table provides information regarding the exercise of stock options during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and the number and value of unexercised options to purchase our common stock held as of June 30, 2005 by our named executive officers. As permitted by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have calculated the value of the unexercised in-the-money options at fiscal year end on the basis of the closing price of \$7.28 per share of our common stock as quoted on the Nasdaq National Market on the last day of the fiscal year, or June 30, 2005, less the applicable exercise price multiplied by the number of shares which may be acquired on exercise. We have calculated the value realized of exercised options based on the difference between the per share option exercise price and the fair market value per share of our common stock on the date of exercise, multiplied by the number of shares for which the option was exercised. Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year End 2005 Option/SAR Values

Name Shares Value of Unexercised acquired on Realized underlying unexercised In-the-Money Options/SARs exercise (#) (\$)									
options/SARs at Fiscal Year at Fiscal Year End(1) (\$)									
End (#)									
Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable						
				Christopher B. Wood, MD					
0	0	1,882,083	312,917						
\$10,988,331	\$971,669								
				David P. Luci, Esq.					
33,946	\$344,552	101,666.67	353,333.33	\$199,183	\$1,118,317	246,054			

\$2,010,261	110,000	\$701,800	-----
-----	-----	Hugh S. Griffith	0 0 298,333.33 336,666.67 \$1,354,417 \$1,121,333
-----	-----	Andrew	-----
Saunders, MD	0 0 12,500	37,500	\$23,000 \$69,000 -----
-----	-----	Kristen M. Dunker, Esq.	0 0 94,062.5 82,187.5 0 0

----- Ten Year
Option/SAR Repricings -----
----- Name Date Number of Market Exercise New Length of Original Securities Price of
Price at Exercise Option Term underlying Stock at time of Price remaining at date of options/SARs
time of Repricing (\$) repricing or repriced or Repricing or amendment amended (#) or Amendment
Amendment (\$) (\$) -----

----- David P. Luci, Esq., 3/31/03 380,000 \$0.735 \$1.95 \$0.735 9.25 years Chief Financial
Officer, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary -----

----- 66 Equity Compensation Plan Information The following table
provides information about the securities authorized for issuance under the Company's equity
compensation plans as of June 30, 2005: Number of Number of securities securities to be remaining
available for issued upon Weighted-average future issuance under exercise of exercise price of equity
compensation outstanding outstanding plans (excluding options, warrants options, warrants securities
reflected in and rights and rights column (a)) Plan category (a) (b) (c)

----- Equity compensation plans
approved by security holders 2,463,167 \$4.56 1,543,500 Equity compensation plans not approved by
security holders(1) -- -- -- Total 2,463,167 \$4.56 1,543,500 (1) We have no equity compensation plans
not approved by security holders. The Board of Directors adopted, and our stockholders approved our
2003 Stock Incentive Plan at the Annual Meeting held in January of 2004. The plan was adopted to
recognize the contributions made by our employees, officers, consultants, and directors, to provide
those individuals with additional incentive to devote themselves to our future success and to improve
our ability to attract, retain and motivate individuals upon whom our growth and financial success
depends. There are 4,500,000 shares reserved for grants of options under the plan and at June 30,
2005, 2,956,500 of these options had been issued. Stock Option Plan Our Board of Directors has
adopted, and our stockholders have approved our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. The plan
was adopted to recognize the contributions made by our employees, officers, consultants, and directors,
to provide those individuals with additional incentive to devote themselves to our future success and to
improve our ability to attract, retain and motivate individuals upon whom our growth and financial
success depends. The key provisions of the plan are as follows: Eligibility and Administration. The plan
authorizes the Board of Directors or the compensation committee (the "Administrator"), to (i)select
the participants who are to be granted options, restricted shares or performance units, (ii)determine
the number of shares of Common Stock to be granted to each participant, (iii)designate options, to the
extent the award consists of options, as incentive stock options or nonstatutory stock options,
(iv)determine the vesting schedule and performance criteria, if any, for restricted shares and
performance units and (v)determine to what extent the awards may be transferable. As of the date
hereof, there are approximately 7 employees who are currently eligible to participate in the plan under
the Company's policies. All directors and consultants are currently eligible to participate in the plan.
The Administrator's interpretations and construction of the plan are final and binding on the
Company. Shares Available for Issuance Under the Plan The stock subject to options granted under
the plan are shares of the Company's authorized but unissued or reacquired shares of Common Stock.
On December 7, 2005, the closing price of the common stock on the Nasdaq 67 National Market of the
Common Stock was \$5.87 per share. There are 4,500,000 shares reserved for grants of options under
the plan. On the same date, there were 40,760,762 shares of Common Stock outstanding. Grant,
Exercise and other Terms of Awards. Options issued under the plan are designated as either incentive
stock options or nonstatutory stock options. Incentive stock options are options meeting the
requirements of Section 422 of the Code, and nonstatutory options are options not intended to so
qualify. The exercise price of options granted under the plan may not be less than 100% of the fair
market value of the Common Stock of the Company (as defined by the plan) on the date of the grant.
With respect to any participant who owns stock representing more than 10% of the voting rights of the
outstanding Common Stock of the Company, the exercise price of any incentive stock option granted
must equal at least 110% of the fair market value of the Common Stock on the grant date, and the
maximum term of any such incentive stock option must not exceed five years. Options, restricted
shares and performance units are evidenced by written award agreements in a form approved by the
Administrator from time to time and no award is effective until the applicable award agreement has
been executed by both parties thereto. Options granted under the plan may become exercisable in
cumulative increments over a period of months or years, or otherwise, as determined by the
Administrator. The purchase price of options shall be paid in cash; provided, however, that if the

applicable award agreement so provides, or the Administrator, in its sole discretion otherwise approves thereof, the purchase price may be paid in shares of Common Stock having a fair market value on the exercise date equal to the exercise price or in any combination of cash and shares of Common Stock, as long as the sum of the cash so paid and the fair market value of the shares so surrendered equals the aggregate purchase price. In addition, the Administrator may permit deferred compensation elections by certain directors and executive officers. The award agreement evidencing the restricted shares and/or performance units shall set forth the terms upon which the Common Stock subject to any awards or the achievement of any cash bonus may be earned. No options granted under the plan are exercisable after the expiration of ten years (or less in the discretion of the Administrator) from the date of the grant, and no incentive stock options granted under the Amended Award Plan to a participant who owns more than ten percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of outstanding stock of the Company shall be exercisable after the expiration of five years (or less, in the discretion of the Administrator) from the date of the grant. The aggregate fair market value (as of the respective date or dates of grant) of the shares of Common Stock underlying the incentive stock options that are exercisable for the first time by a participant during any calendar year under the plan and all other similar plans maintained by the Company may not exceed \$100,000. If a participant ceases to be an employee of the Company for any reason other than his or her death, Disability or Retirement (as such terms are defined in the plan), such participant shall have the right, subject to certain restrictions, to exercise that option at any time within ninety days (or less, in the discretion of the Administrator) after cessation of employment, but, except as otherwise provided in the applicable award agreement, only to the extent that, at the date of cessation of employee, the participant's right to exercise such option had vested and had not been previously exercised. The Administrator, in its sole discretion, may provide that the option shall cease to be exercisable on the date of such cessation if such cessation arises by reason of termination for Cause (as such term is defined in the Amended Award Plan) or if the participant becomes an employee, director or consultant of an entity that the Administrator determines is in direct competition with the Company. In the event a participant dies before such participant has fully exercised his or her option, then the option may be exercised at any time within twelve months after the participant's death by the executor or administrator of his or her estate or by any person who has acquired the option directly from the participant by bequest or inheritance, but except as otherwise provided on the applicable award agreement, only to the extent that, at the date of death, the participant's right to exercise such option had vested pursuant to the terms of the applicable award agreement and had not been forfeited or previously exercised. In the event a participant ceases to be an employee of the Company by reason of Disability, such participant shall have the right, subject to certain restrictions, to exercise the option at any time within twelve months (or such shorter period as the Administrator may determine) after such cessation of employment, but only to the extent that, at the date of cessation of employment, the participant's right to exercise such option had previously vested pursuant to the terms of the applicable award agreement and had not previously been exercised. In the event a participant ceases to be an employee of the Company by reason of Retirement, such participant shall have the right, subject to certain restrictions, to exercise the option at any time within ninety days (or such longer or shorter period as the Administrator may determine) after cessation of employment, but only to the extent that, at the date of cessation of employment, the participant's right to exercise such option had vested pursuant to the terms of the applicable award agreement and had not previously been exercised. Adjustment of Awards Upon Certain Events. If the Company merges with another corporation and the Company is the surviving corporation in such merger and under the terms of such merger the shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to the merger remain outstanding and unchanged, each outstanding award shall continue to apply to the shares subject thereto and will also pertain and apply to any additional securities and other property, if any, to which a holder of the number of shares subject to the option would have been entitled as a result of the merger. In the event all or substantially all of the assets of the Company are sold, the Company engages in a merger where the Company does not survive or the Company is consolidated with another corporation, each participant shall receive immediately before the effective date of such sale, merger or consolidation restricted shares and the value of any performance units to which the participant is then entitled (regardless of any vesting condition) and each outstanding option will become exercisable (without regard to the vesting provisions thereof) for a period of at least 30 days ending five days prior to the effective date of the transaction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the surviving corporation may, in its sole discretion, (i) (a) grant to participants with options, options to purchase shares of the surviving corporation upon substantially the same terms as the options granted under the plan, (b) tender to all participants with restricted shares, an award of restricted shares of the surviving or acquiring corporation, and (c) tender to all participants with performance units, an award of performance units of the surviving or acquiring corporation, or (ii) (a) permit participants with restricted shares to receive unrestricted shares immediately prior to the effective date of any transaction, (b) permit participants with

performance units to receive cash with respect to the value of any performance units immediately before the effective date of the transaction and (c) provide participants with options the choice of exercising the option prior to the consummation of the transaction or receiving a replacement option. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary and except as otherwise expressly provided in the applicable award agreement, the vesting or similar installment provisions relating to the exercisability of any award, option or replacement option tendered as described in the previous sentence shall be accelerated, and the participant with restricted shares or performance units shall become fully vested, and the participant with options shall have the right, for a period of at least 30 days, to exercise such options; provided that such accelerations of vesting and exercisability shall occur only in the event that the participant's employment with or services for the Company should terminate within two years following a Change of Control (as defined in the plan), unless such employment or services are terminated by the Company for Cause (as defined in the plan) or by the participant voluntarily without Good Reason (as defined in the plan), or such employment or services are terminated due to the death or Disability of the participant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no incentive stock option shall become exercisable pursuant to the foregoing without the participant's consent, if the result would be to cause such option not to be treated as an incentive stock option. The number of shares of Common Stock covered by the plan, the number of shares of Common Stock covered by each outstanding option, restricted share and performance unit and the exercise price of any options shall be proportionately adjusted for any increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of Common Stock resulting from a subdivision or consolidation of such shares or a stock split or the payment of a stock dividend (but only of Common Stock) or any other increase or decrease in the number of issued shares effected without receipt of consideration by the Company.

69 Transfer of Awards. Unless an award is designated transferable by the Administrator upon grant, during the lifetime of the participant who has been granted an award, the award shall be shall not be assignable or transferable. No incentive stock option may be designated as transferable. In the event of the participant's death, any nontransferable award shall be transferable by the participant's will or the laws of descent and distribution.

Amendment and Termination. The plan will continue in effect until terminated by the Board of Directors or until expiration of the plan on November 17, 2013. The Board may suspend or discontinue the plan or revise or amend it.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, the compensation committee of the board of directors was comprised of Mr. Elms and Drs. Schiff and Kauffman; with Dr. Kauffman serving as chairman of the Compensation Committee. None of the committee's members was employed by us as an officer or employee during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. No committee member had any interlocking relationships requiring disclosure under applicable rules and regulations. For a description of certain relationships and transactions with members of the board of directors or their affiliates, see "--Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" beginning on page 73.

70 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of common stock, as of December 7, 2005 by (i) each person whom we know to beneficially own 5% or more of the common stock, (ii) each of our directors, (iii) each person listed on the Summary Compensation Table set forth under "Executive Compensation" and (iv) all of our directors and executive officers. The number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined in accordance with the rules of the Commission and does not necessarily indicate beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes those shares of common stock over which the stockholder exercises sole or shared voting or investment power. The percentage ownership of the common stock, however, is based on the assumption, expressly required by the rules of the Commission, that only the person or entity whose ownership is being reported has converted or exercised common stock equivalents into shares of common stock; that is, shares underlying common stock equivalents are not included in calculations in the table below for any other purpose, including for the purpose of calculating the number of shares outstanding generally. Except as otherwise noted below, the address for each person listed on the table is c/o Bioenvision, Inc., 345 Park Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, New York 10154.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP CURRENT PERCENTAGE OF NAME OF STOCK CLASS

(1) Perseus-Soros Biopharmaceutical Fund, LP	888 Seventh Avenue, 30th Floor New York, New York 10106.....	7,950,053	16.48%
(2) SCO Capital Partners LLC	1285 Avenue of the Americas, 35th Floor New York, New York 10019.....	7,670,236	17.83%
(3) Cumberland Associates LLC	1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036.....	2,163,406	5.3%
(4) Christopher B. Wood, M.D.	(5).....	4,136,987	9.67%
(6) David P. Luci	(6)	470,720	*
(7) Hugh Griffith	(7)	298,333	*
(8) Thomas Scott Nelson	341,787	*
(9) Andrew Saunders	(8).....	12,500	*
(10) Kristen Dunker	(9)	94,063	*
(11) Steven A. Elms	888 Seventh Avenue, 29th Floor New York, New York 10106.....	0	*

71 BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP CURRENT PERCENTAGE OF NAME OF STOCK CLASS

(1) Andrew N. Schiff, M.D.	888 Seventh Avenue, 29th Floor New York, New York 10106.....	0	*
(2) Michael Kauffman	0	*

M.D., Ph.D(10)..... 14,375 * All Executive Officers and Directors as a group (11) 5,358,765 12.42%
 ----- * Represents holdings of less than one percent (1%). (1) Based on a total of 40,760,762 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 7, 2005. (2) Includes 2,250,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock currently convertible into 4,500,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase 3,000,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$2.00 per share for five years from May 8, 2002. Also includes 375,044 common shares and a warrant to purchase 75,009 shares of common stock exercisable at \$7.50 for five years from May 13, 2004. Perseus-Soros Partners, LLC is the general partner of the Perseus-Soros BioPharmaceutical Fund, LP. Perseus BioTech Fund Partners, LLC and SFM Participation, L.P. are the managing members of Perseus-Soros Partners, LLC. Perseuspur, LLC is the managing member of Perseus BioTech Fund Partners, LLC. Frank Pearl is the sole member of Perseuspur, LLC and in such capacity may be deemed a beneficial owner of securities held for the account of the Perseus-Soros BioPharmaceutical Fund, LP. SFM AH, LLC is the general partner of SFM Participation, L.P. The sole managing member of SFM AH, LLC is Soros Fund Management LLC. George Soros is the Chairman of Soros Fund Management LLC and in such capacity may be deemed a beneficial owner of securities held for the account of the Perseus-Soros BioPharmaceutical Fund, LP. (3) Includes a warrant to purchase 1,200,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share for five years from November 16, 2001 issued to SCO Capital, LLC; a warrant to purchase 688,333 shares of common stock exercisable at \$1.50 per share for five years from May 8, 2002 issued to SCO Capital, LLC; a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share for five years from November 16, 2001 issued to SCO Securities, LLC; a warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$1.25 per share for five years from November 16, 2001 held by the Sophie C. Rouhandeh Trust; and a warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at \$1.25 per share for five years from November 16, 2001 held by the Chloe H. Rouhandeh Trust. Steven H. Rouhandeh, in his capacity as President of SCO Capital Partners, LLC and trustee of the trusts, has investment power and voting power with respect to these shares, but disclaims any beneficial ownership thereof. Excludes a warrant to purchase 70,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$1.50 per share for five years from May 8, 2002 which were originally held by SCO Financial Group, LLC, but transferred to (i) Daniel DiPietro (50,000), (ii) Jeremy Kaplan (10,000), and (iii) Joshua Golumb (10,000). SCO Financial Group, LLC served as a financial advisor to us through May 2004 and SCO Capital Partners, LLC extended a \$1 million secured credit facility to us in November 2001. SCO Securities, LLC, a related entity, served as placement agent to us in connection with our May 2002 and March and May 2004 financings. As placement agent in connection with the March and May 2004 financing, SCO Securities, LLC received a warrant to purchase 204,452 shares of common stock exercisable at \$6.25 per share for five years from March 22, 2004 and a warrant to purchase 55,838 shares of common stock exercisable at \$6.25 per share for five years from May 13, 2004. (4) Based upon it Schedule 13G filed on July 14, 2005, Cumberland Associates owns 2,163,406 shares of common stock. (5) Dr. Wood is our chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Excludes 318,750 shares of common stock owned by Julie Wood, Dr. Wood's spouse, as to which Dr. Wood disclaims any beneficial interest. Includes options to acquire 1,500,000 shares of common stock which are exercisable at \$1.25 per share, options to acquire 333,333 shares of common stock which are exercisable at \$1.45 per share and options to acquire and options to acquire 48,750 shares of our common stock which are exercisable at \$8.17 per share. (6) Includes options to acquire 61,666 shares of common stock which are exercisable at \$4.05 per share and 40,000 options which are exercisable at \$8.17 per share. (7) Includes options to acquire 200,000 shares of the common stock which are exercisable at \$1.45 per share, options to acquire 58,333 shares of common stock at \$4.05 per share and options to acquire 40,000 shares of common stock at \$8.17 per share. (8) Includes options to acquire 12,500 shares of common stock at \$5.44 per share. (9) Includes options to acquire 85,000 shares of common stock at \$8.25 per share and 9,063 shares of common stock at \$8.17 per share. (10) Includes options to acquire 12,500 shares of common stock at \$4.55 and options to acquire 1,875 shares of common stock at \$8.17 per share. (11) Includes options to acquire 2,403,020 shares of common stock. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS In May 2002, we completed a private placement pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of Series A convertible participating preferred stock for \$3.00 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of common stock. In March and May of 2004, we completed a private placement pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 2,602,898 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 780,870 shares of common stock. An affiliate of SCO Capital Partners LLC, one of our stockholders, served as our financial advisor in connection with these financings and earned a placement fee of approximately \$1,200,000 in connection with the May 2002 private placement and warrants to purchase 260,291 shares of common stock for \$6.25 per share for the March and May 2004 financings. 73 PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION The shares covered by this prospectus may be offered and sold from time to time by the selling stockholders. The term "selling stockholders" includes pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors in interest selling shares received after the date of this

prospectus from the selling stockholders as a pledge, gift, partnership distribution or other non-sale related transfer. The number of shares beneficially owned by each selling stockholder will decrease as and when it effects any such transfers. The plan of distribution for the selling stockholders' shares sold hereunder will otherwise remain unchanged, except that the transferees, pledgees, donees or other successors will be selling stockholders hereunder. To the extent required, we may amend and/or supplement this prospectus from time to time to describe a specific plan of distribution. The selling stockholders will act independently of us in making decisions with respect to the timing, manner and size of each sale. The selling stockholders may offer their shares from time to time pursuant to one or more of the following methods: on Nasdaq or on any other market on which our common stock may from time to time be trading; one or more block trades in which the broker or dealer so engaged will attempt to sell the shares of common stock as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction; purchases by a broker or dealer as principal and resale by the broker or dealer for its account pursuant to this prospectus; ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker solicits purchasers; in public or privately-negotiated transactions; through the writing of options on the shares; through underwriters, brokers or dealers (who may act as agents or principals) or directly to one or more purchasers; an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of an exchange; through agents; or through market sales, both long or short, to the extent permitted under the federal securities laws; or in any combination of these methods. The sale price to the public may be: the market price prevailing at the time of sale; a price related to the prevailing market price; at negotiated prices; or any other prices as the selling stockholder may determine from time to time. In connection with distributions of the shares or otherwise, the selling stockholders may enter into hedging transactions with broker-dealers or other financial institutions, which may in turn engage in short sales of the shares in the course of hedging the positions they assume; sell the shares short and redeliver the shares to close out such short positions; enter into option or other transactions with broker-dealers or other financial institutions which require the delivery to them of shares offered by this prospectus, which they may in turn resell; and pledge shares to a broker-dealer or other financial institution, which, upon a default, they may in turn resell. In addition to the foregoing methods, the selling stockholders may offer their shares from time to time in transactions involving principals or brokers not otherwise contemplated above, in a combination of such methods as described above or any other lawful methods. Sales through brokers may be made by any method of trading authorized by any stock exchange or market on which the shares may be listed or quoted, including block trading in negotiated transactions. Without limiting the foregoing, such brokers may act as dealers by purchasing any or all of the shares covered by this prospectus, either as agents for others or as principals for their own accounts, and reselling such shares pursuant to this prospectus. A selling stockholder may effect such transactions directly, or indirectly through underwriters, broker-dealers or agents acting on their behalf. In effecting sales, brokers and dealers engaged by the selling stockholders may arrange for other brokers or dealers to participate. Upon our being notified by the selling stockholders that any material arrangement has been entered into with a broker-dealer for the sale of shares offered hereby through a block trade, special offering, exchange distribution or secondary distribution or a purchase by a broker or dealer, we will file a supplement to this prospectus, if required, pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act, disclosing: the names of the selling stockholder(s) and of the participating broker-dealer(s), identifying them as underwriters, as required; the number of shares involved; the price at which such shares were sold; the commissions paid or discounts or concessions allowed to such broker-dealer(s), where applicable; and other facts material to the transaction. The shares may also be sold pursuant to Rule 144 under the securities act, which permits limited resale of shares purchased in a private placement subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including, among other things, the availability of certain current public information concerning the issuer, the resale occurring following the required holding period under 144 and the number of shares during any three-month period not exceeding certain limitations. The selling stockholders have the sole and absolute discretion not to accept any purchase offer or make any sale of their shares if they deem the purchase price to be unsatisfactory at any particular time. The selling stockholders or their respective pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors in interest, may also sell the shares directly to market makers acting as principals and/or broker-dealers acting as agents for themselves or their customers. These broker-dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders and/or the purchasers of shares for whom these broker-dealers may act as agents or to whom they sell as principal or both, which compensation as to a particular broker-dealer might be in excess of customary commissions. Market makers and block purchasers purchasing the shares will do so for their own account and at their own risk. It is possible that the selling stockholders will attempt to sell shares of common stock in block transactions to market makers or other purchasers at a price per share which may be below the then market price. The selling stockholders cannot assure that all or any of the shares offered by this prospectus will be issued to, or sold by, the

selling stockholders if they do not exercise or convert the common stock 75 equivalents that they own. The selling stockholders and any brokers, dealers or agents, upon effecting the sale of any of the shares offered by this prospectus, may be deemed "underwriters" as that term is defined under the securities act or the exchange act, or the rules and regulations under those acts. In that event, any commissions received by the broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares of common stock purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the securities act. The selling stockholders, alternatively, may sell all or any part of the shares offered by this prospectus through an underwriter. To our knowledge, none of the selling stockholders have entered into any agreement with a prospective underwriter and there can be no assurance that any such agreement will be entered into. If the selling stockholders enter into such an agreement or agreements, then we will set forth in a post-effective amendment to this prospectus the following information: o the number of shares being offered; o the terms of the offering, including the name of any selling stockholder, underwriter, broker, dealer or agent; o the purchase price paid by any underwriter; o any discount, commission and other underwriter compensation; o any discount, commission or concession allowed or reallocated or paid to any dealer; o the proposed selling price to the public; and o other facts material to the transaction. We will also file such agreement or agreements. In addition, if we are notified by the selling stockholders that a donee, pledgee, transferee or other successor-in-interest intends to sell more than 500 shares, a supplement to this prospectus will be filed. The selling stockholders and any other persons participating in the sale or distribution of the shares will be subject to applicable provisions of the exchange act and the rules and regulations under the exchange act, including, without limitation, Regulation M. These provisions may restrict certain activities of, and limit the timing of purchases and sales of any of the shares by, the selling stockholders or any other such person. Furthermore, under Regulation M, persons engaged in a distribution of securities are prohibited from simultaneously engaging in market making and certain other activities with respect to the same securities for a specified period of time prior to the commencement of the distribution, subject to specified exceptions or exemptions. All of these limitations may affect the marketability of the shares. We have agreed to pay all costs and expenses incurred in connection with the registration of the shares offered by this prospectus, except that the selling stockholder will be responsible for all selling commissions, transfer taxes and related charges in connection with the offer and sale of the shares and the fees of the selling stockholder's counsel. We have agreed with the selling stockholders to keep the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part continuously effective until the earlier of the date that the shares covered by this prospectus may be sold pursuant to Rule 144(k) of the securities act and the date that all of the shares registered for sale under this prospectus have been sold. We have agreed to indemnify the selling stockholders, or their respective transferees or assignees, against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the securities act, or to contribute to payments that the selling stockholders or their respective pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors in interest, may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

76 MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL TAX CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-UNITED STATES STOCKHOLDERS The following is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax considerations with respect to the ownership and disposition of our common stock by a non-U.S. holder (as defined below) as of the date hereof. This summary deals only with non-U.S. holders that hold our common stock as a capital asset. For purposes of this summary, a "non-U.S. holder" means a beneficial owner of our common stock that is not treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and is not any of the following for U.S. federal income tax purposes: (i) a citizen or resident of the U.S., (ii) a corporation, including any entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, created or organized in or under the laws of the U.S., any state thereof, or the District of Columbia, (iii) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, or (iv) a trust if (1) its administration is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the U.S. and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all of its substantial decisions, or (2) it has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person. This summary is based upon provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and regulations, rulings and judicial decisions as of the date hereof. Those authorities may be changed, perhaps retroactively, or be subject to differing interpretations, so as to result in U.S. federal tax considerations different from those summarized below. This summary does not represent a detailed description of the U.S. federal tax considerations to you in light of your particular circumstances. In addition, it does not represent a description of the U.S. federal tax considerations to you if you are subject to special treatment under the U.S. federal tax laws (including if you are a U.S. expatriate, "controlled foreign corporation" or "passive foreign investment company"), and it generally does not address any U.S. taxes other than the federal income tax. We cannot assure you that a change in law will not alter significantly the tax considerations that we describe in this summary. If an entity classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partnership holding our

common stock, or a partner in such a partnership, you should consult your tax advisors. If you are considering the purchase of our common stock, you should consult your own tax advisors concerning the particular U.S. federal tax consequences to you of the ownership and disposition of the common stock, as well as the consequences to you arising under the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction, including any state, local or foreign tax consequences. Dividends We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock. If we were to pay dividends in the future on our common stock, they would be subject to U.S. federal income tax in the manner described below. Dividends paid to a non-U.S. holder of our common stock generally will be subject to withholding of U.S. federal income tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. However, dividends that are effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business by a non-U.S. holder within the U.S. and, where an income tax treaty applies, are attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment of the non-U.S. holder, are not subject to this withholding tax, but instead are subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis at applicable individual or corporate rates. Certain certification and disclosure requirements must be complied with in order for effectively connected dividends to be exempt from this withholding tax. Any such effectively connected dividends received by a foreign corporation may be subject to an additional "branch profits tax" at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. A non-U.S. holder of our common stock who is entitled to and wishes to claim the benefits of an applicable treaty rate (and avoid backup withholding as discussed below) for dividends, will be required to (i) complete Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, Form W-8BEN (or successor form) and make certain certifications, under penalty of perjury, to establish its status as a non-U.S. person and its entitlement to treaty benefits (which may also require, 77 in certain circumstances, the provision of a U.S. taxpayer identification number) or (ii) if the common stock is held through certain foreign intermediaries, satisfy the relevant certification requirements of applicable U.S. Treasury regulations. Special certification and other requirements apply to certain non-U.S. holders that are entities rather than individuals. A non-U.S. holder of our common stock eligible for a reduced rate of U.S. federal withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld by timely filing an appropriate claim for refund with the IRS. Gain on disposition of common stock A non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to gain recognized on a sale or other disposition of our common stock unless (i) the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business of the non-U.S. holder in the U.S. and, where a tax treaty applies, is attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment of the non-U.S. holder (in which case, for a non-U.S. holder that is a foreign corporation, the branch profits tax described above may also apply), (ii) in the case of a non-U.S. holder who is an individual, such holder is present in the U.S. for 183 or more days in the taxable year of the sale or other disposition and certain other conditions are met, or (iii) we are or have been a "U.S. real property holding corporation" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We believe we have not been and currently are not, and we do not anticipate becoming, a "U.S. real property holding corporation" for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Federal estate tax Common stock held by an individual non-U.S. holder at the time of death will be included in such holder's gross estate for U.S. federal estate tax purposes, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise. Information reporting and backup withholding We must report annually to the IRS and to each non-U.S. holder the amount of dividends paid to such holder and the tax withheld (if any) with respect to such dividends, regardless of whether withholding was required. Copies of the information returns reporting such dividends and any withholding may also be made available to the tax authorities in the country in which the non-U.S. holder resides under the provisions of an applicable income tax treaty. In addition, dividends paid to a non-U.S. holder generally will be subject to backup withholding unless applicable certification requirements are met. Payment of the proceeds of a sale of our common stock within the U.S. or conducted through certain U.S.-related financial intermediaries is subject to information reporting and, depending on the circumstances, backup withholding unless the beneficial owner certifies under penalties of perjury that it is not a U.S. person (and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that the beneficial owner is a U.S. person) or the holder otherwise establishes an exemption. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against such holder's U.S. federal income tax liability provided the required information is timely furnished to the IRS. 78 LEGAL MATTERS Certain legal matters have been passed upon for us by Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, New York, New York. EXPERTS We changed independent registered public accounting firms in April 2005, from Grant Thornton LLP to Deloitte & Touche LLP. Information regarding the change in independent accountants was reported in our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 4, 2005. There were no disagreements or reportable events requiring disclosure under Item 304(b) of Regulation S-K. Our consolidated financial statements at and for the year ended June 30, 2004, included in this prospectus and registration statement, have been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report thereon appearing elsewhere herein and are included in reliance upon

the reports of such firm given on the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing. The consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2005, included in this prospectus have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing herein, and have been so included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing. WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION We are required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. These filings are not deemed to be incorporated by reference into this prospectus or the registration statement of which it forms a part. You may read and copy any documents filed by us at the Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Our filings with the SEC are also available to the public through the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act for the registration of the common stock offered by this prospectus. This prospectus, which is a part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information included in the registration statement. Any statement made in this prospectus concerning the contents of any contract, agreement or other document is not necessarily complete. For further information regarding our company and the common stock offered by this prospectus, please refer to the registration statement, including the exhibits and schedules thereto. If we have filed any contract, agreement or other document as an exhibit to the registration statement, you should read the exhibit for a more complete understanding of the documents of matter involved.

79 BIOENVISION, INC. INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements: Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2005 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2005 F-1 Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 F-2 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the periods ended September 30, 2005 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2004 F-3 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the periods ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 F-4 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) F-5 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements: Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm F-17 Report of Prior Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm F-18 Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 F-19 Consolidated Statements of Operations for years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 F-20 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 F-21 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 F-22 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F-23 Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited) September 30, June 30, 2005 2005 -----

ASSETS	Current assets	Cash and cash equivalents	\$12,208,760	\$31,407,533	Restricted cash	290,000	290,000	Short-term securities	48,209,088	32,746,948	Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$869,220 and \$869,220, respectively	1,403,542	1,785,779	Inventory	361,741	277,908	Other current assets	781,060	342,628	Total current assets	63,254,191	66,850,796	Property and equipment, net	290,068	279,778	Intangible assets, net	8,155,836	8,252,936	Goodwill	1,540,162	1,540,162	Security deposits	208,475	209,665	Deferred costs	3,599,006	3,656,798	Total assets	\$ 77,047,738	\$80,790,135
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	Current liabilities	Accounts payable	\$1,755,461	\$1,602,267	Accrued expenses	5,266,932	4,581,444	Accrued dividends payable	57,329	56,404	Deferred revenue	498,607	498,607	Total current liabilities	7,578,329	6,738,722	Deferred revenue	7,312,945	7,437,598	Total liabilities	14,891,274	14,176,320	Commitments and contingencies	Stockholders' equity	Convertible preferred stock - \$0.001 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 2,250 2,250 2,250,000 shares issued and outstanding on each of September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005 (liquidation preference \$6,750,000)	Common stock - par value \$0.001; 70,000,000 shares 40,761 40,559 authorized; 40,760,763 and 40,558,948 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively	Additional paid-in capital	129,282,618	128,946,717	Deferred compensation - (145,646)	Accumulated deficit	(67,220,665)	(62,331,005)	Accumulated other comprehensive income	51,500	100,940	Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$77,047,738	\$80,790,135	

===== The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. F-1 Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited) Three months ended September 30, 2005 2004 ----- (Restated - Note I)

Revenue	Licensing and royalty revenue	\$400,130	\$363,182	Product sales	194,996	-	Research and development contract revenue	75,092	722,146	Total revenue	670,218	1,085,328	Costs and expenses	Cost of products sold, including royalty expense of \$201,000 for the three months ending September 30, 2005	328,291	-	Research and development	2,430,918	2,138,897	Selling, general and administrative	2,887,462	1,756,713	(includes stock based compensation expense of \$482,000 and \$391,000 for the three months ending September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively)	Depreciation and amortization	224,283	339,706	Total costs and expenses	5,870,954	4,235,316	Loss from operations	(5,200,736)	(3,149,988)	Interest and finance charges	(66,761)	-	Interest income		
---------	-------------------------------	-----------	-----------	---------------	---------	---	---	--------	---------	---------------	---------	-----------	--------------------	--	---------	---	--------------------------	-----------	-----------	-------------------------------------	-----------	-----------	--	-------------------------------	---------	---------	--------------------------	-----------	-----------	----------------------	-------------	-------------	------------------------------	----------	---	-----------------	--	--

462,905 55,437 ----- Net loss (4,804,592) (3,094,551) Cumulative preferred stock dividend (85,068) (126,341) ----- Net loss available to common stockholders \$(4,889,660) \$(3,220,892) ===== Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock \$(0.12) \$(0.11) ===== Weighted average shares used in computing 40,572,626 28,516,450 basic and diluted net loss per share ===== The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. F-2 Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (unaudited) Accumulated Other Total Convertible Additional Deferred Compre- Stock- Preferred Stock Common Stock Paid In Compen- Accumulated hensive holders' Shares \$ Shares \$ Capital sation Deficit Income (Loss) Equity ----- Balance at July 1, 2004 (Restated - Note I) 3,341,666 \$ 3,342 28,316,163 28,316 \$ 68,517,702 \$(223,990) \$(37,664,141) \$ 139,598 \$30,800,827 Net loss for the period (Restated - Note I) (24,262,785) (24,262,785) Cumulative preferred stock dividend for the period (404,079) (404,079) Currency translation adjustment (38,658) (38,658) Deferred compensation 78,344 78,344 Preferred stock converted to common stock (1,091,66) (1,092) 2,183,332 2,183 (1,092) - Income related to repricing of options (314,950) (314,950) Warrants issued in connection with services - 524,928 524,928 Shares issued in connection with services 62,500 63 496,188 496,250 Options exercised to common stock 685,833 686 707,638 708,324 Warrants exercised to common stock 1,811,120 1,811 3,277,151 3,278,962 Shares issued in connection with public offering, net of related expenses 7,500,000 7,500 55,739,152 55,746,652 ----- Balance at June 30, 2005 2,250,000 \$ 2,250 40,558,948 \$ 40,559 \$128,946,717 \$(145,646) \$(62,331,005) \$ 100,940 \$66,613,815 ===== Net loss for the period (4,804,592) (4,804,592) Cumulative preferred stock dividend (85,068) (85,068) Currency translation adjustment (49,440) (49,440) Employee stock-based compensation 458,565 458,565 Deferred compensation (136,457) 145,646 9,189 Options exercised 191,196 191 (191) - Warrants issued in connection with services 13,995 13,995 Warrants exercised 10,619 11 (11) ----- Balance at September 30, 2005 2,250,000 \$ 2,250 40,760,763 \$ 40,761 \$129,282,618 \$ - \$(67,220,665) \$ 51,500 \$62,156,464 ===== The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement. F-3 Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited) Three months ended September 30, ----- 2005 2004 ----- Restated - Note I Cash flows from operating activities Net loss \$(4,804,592) \$(3,094,551) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities Depreciation and amortization 224,283 339,706 Stock based compensation 481,748 391,098 Changes in net deferred revenue and expenses (66,861) (77,502) Changes in assets and liabilities Accounts payable 167,241 45,349 Inventory (91,406) - Other current assets (443,113) (140,459) Accrued interest on investments (287,498) - Accounts receivable 363,380 1,767,489 Accrued expenses 715,786 (453,012) ----- Net cash used in operating activities (3,741,032) (1,221,882) Cash flows from investing activities Purchase of intangible assets (103,586) (42,115) Capital expenditures (35,576) (2,254) Purchase of short-term securities (15,174,642) ----- Net cash used in investing activities (15,313,804) (44,369) Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants - 180,000 Dividends paid (84,144) (126,141) ----- Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities (84,144) 53,859 Effect of exchange rates on cash (59,793) (294) ----- Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (19,198,773) (1,212,686) Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 31,407,533 18,875,675 ----- Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$12,208,760 \$17,662,989 ===== The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. F-4 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS September 30, 2005 (Unaudited) NOTE A - Description of Business and Significant Accounting Policies Description of Business Bioenvision, Inc. is a product-focused biopharmaceutical company with two approved cancer therapeutics. On December 29, 2004, the FDA approved our lead cancer product, clofarabine, for the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL, in patients who have received two or more prior regimens. Clofarabine has received Orphan Drug designation in the U.S. and the European Union. Genzyme Corporation, the Company's co-development partner, currently holds marketing rights in the U.S. and Canada for clofarabine for certain cancer indications and controls U.S. development of clofarabine in these indications. Genzyme is selling clofarabine under the brand name Clolar in the U.S. In Europe, the Company has filed for approval of clofarabine in pediatric ALL with the European Medicines Evaluation Agency, or EMeA. The Company is currently selling its second product, Modrenal(R), in the United Kingdom. Modrenal(R) is approved in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. We anticipate that revenues derived from our two lead drugs, clofarabine and Modrenal(R) will permit us to further develop the other products currently in our pipeline. In addition to clofarabine and

Modrenal(R), we are performing initial development work on Virostat for the treatment of Hepatitis C and Velostan, initially for the treatment of bladder cancer. Significant Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition In accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 "Revenue Recognition", or SAB 104, upfront nonrefundable fees associated with research and development collaboration agreements where the Company has continuing involvement in the agreement, are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the estimated research and development period using the straight-line method. If the estimated period is subsequently modified, the period over which the up-front fee is recognized is modified accordingly on a prospective basis using the straight-line method. Continuation of certain contracts and grants are dependent upon the Company and/or its co-development partners' achieving specific contractual milestones; however, none of the payments received to date are refundable regardless of the outcome of the project. Upfront nonrefundable fees associated with licensing arrangements are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the licensing arrangement using the straight line method, which approximates the life of the patent. Royalty revenue from product licensees is recorded as earned. The Company currently sells its products to wholesale distributors and directly to hospitals, clinics, and retail pharmacies. Revenue from product sales is recognized when the risk of loss is passed to the customer, the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Research & development contract revenue represent payments due from our co-development partner relating to the reimbursement of 50% for certain of our ongoing research costs in the development of clofarabine outside the United States. Currently, the Company has billed but not recorded approximately \$1,825,000 of revenues relating to the reimbursement from our co-development partner for certain of our ongoing research costs in the development of clofarabine outside the United States. When the Company has determined that the criteria relating to revenue recognition has been met, the Company will record the revenue. At September 30, 2005, the Company continues to hold a provision for bad debts of \$869,000 relating to the outstanding receivables due from the co-development partner. The Company follows the guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force 99-19, or EITF, "Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent" in the presentation of revenues and direct costs of revenues. This guidance requires the Company to assess whether it acts as a principal in the transaction or as an agent acting on behalf of others. The Company records revenue transactions gross in its statements of operations if it is deemed the principal in the transaction, which includes being the primary obligor and having the risks and rewards of ownership.

F-5 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note A - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued Research and development Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. Research and development costs include the cost of clofarabine sold prior to product approval through our named patient program. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation On July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123 (R)"), requiring the Company to recognize expense related to the fair value of stock-based compensation. The modified prospective transition method was used as allowed under SFAS No. 123 (R). Under this method, the stock-based compensation expense includes: (a) compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation"; and (b) compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted subsequent to July 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (R). Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123 (R), the Company had accounted for stock based compensation arrangements in accordance with provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", as permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation." Under APB Opinion No. 25, no stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in reported net loss, when options granted to employees have an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock at the date of grant. Upon adoption of SFAS 123 (R), beginning July 1, 2005, the Company reversed the unrecognized deferred compensation costs, associated with options granted to certain employees, of approximately \$136,000 with a corresponding reduction to the Company's Additional paid-in capital (see Note E). The Company also no longer re-measures the intrinsic value of the 380,000 re-priced options granted to an officer of the Company (see Note E). The Company recognized expense of approximately \$12,000 for the options during the three months ended September 30, 2005 based on the fair value, as determined in accordance with SFAS 123 (R), of the modified award that remains unvested. Beginning July 1, 2005, the Company is recognizing compensation costs for stock option awards to employees based on their grant-date fair value. The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The weighted average fair value per share for the 10,000 stock options granted to employees during the three months ended September 30, 2005 was \$4.64. Values were estimated using a zero dividend yield, expected volatility of 80%, and a risk free interest

rate range of 3.99% to 4.07%. The expected term of 3.5 years was utilized based on historical exercise of employees. As required by SFAS 123 (R), management made an estimate of expected forfeitures for all unvested awards and is recognizing compensation costs only for those equity awards expected to vest. The impact on previously reported pro forma disclosures under SFAS No. 123 where forfeitures were recognized as incurred is not material. As of September 30, 2005, the total compensation cost related to unvested equity awards granted to employees but not yet recognized is approximately \$3.2 million. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 1.1 years.

F-6 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note A - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued A summary of the Company's stock option activity for options issued to employees and related information follows: Weighted Average Weighted Remaining Aggregate Number Average Contractual Intrinsic of Shares Exercise Price Life Value -----

Balance - June 30, 2005	4,156,000	\$3.18	Granted during 2006	10,000	8.04	Exercised during 2006	225,000	1.25	\$189,000	Forfeited during 2006	5,000	8.80		
Balance - September 30, 2005	3,936,000	\$3.30	5.31	\$7,993,000	Exercisable - September 30, 2005	2,497,000	\$2.21	3.49	\$3,484,000	A summary of the Company's nonvested options at September 30, 2005 and changes during the three months ended September 30, 2005 is presented below: Weighted Non-vested Average Fair Number Value at of Shares Grant Date -----				
Balance - June 30, 2005	1,434,000	\$3.13	Granted during 2006	10,000	4.64	Exercised during 2006	-	-	Vested during 2006	-	-	Forfeited during 2006	5,000	5.03
Balance - September 30, 2005	1,439,000	\$3.13	-----											

The following table summarizes the pro forma effect of stock-based compensation as if the fair value method of accounting for stock options had been applied in measuring compensation cost for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Three months ended September 30, 2004 ---- (As restated) Net loss available to common stockholders, \$ (3,220,892) as reported Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense (income) as reported (175,845) Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards (283,423) ----- Pro forma net loss \$ (3,680,160) Loss per share Basic and diluted - as reported \$ (0.11) Basic and diluted - pro forma \$ (0.13) F-7 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note A - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued The weighted-average assumptions used for the three months ended September 30, 2004 were risk-free interest rate of 2.41%, expected dividend yield of 0.0%, expected life of 3.89 years and expected volatility of 80%. The Company corrected an error on the pro-forma stock based compensation disclosures required under SFAS 123 determined under fair value based method in the table above. In calculating the fair value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, the Company unintentionally used the vesting term of the awards instead of the expected term. The correction has decreased such amounts previously reported in the proforma net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2004 by approximately \$40,000. The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and EITF No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services," as amended by EITF No. 00-27. Under EITF No. 96-18, where the fair value of the equity instrument is more reliably measurable than the fair value of services received, such services will be valued based on the fair value of the equity instrument. Income taxes The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes", or SFAS 109. Under SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. We have not generated any taxable income, subject to federal taxes, to date and, therefore, have not paid any federal income taxes since inception. We record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred income tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Assessment of the realization of deferred income tax assets requires that estimates and assumptions be made as to the taxable income of future periods. Our deferred tax assets are reduced to zero, as management believes that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Projection of future period earnings is inherently difficult as it involves consideration of numerous factors such as our overall strategies and estimates of new product development and acceptance, product lifecycles, selling prices and volumes, responses by competitors, manufacturing costs and assumptions as to operating expenses and other industry specific and macro and micro economic factors. Net loss per share Basic net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the periods. Options and warrants to purchase 11,234,314 and 12,983,535 shares of common stock have not been included in the calculation of net loss per share for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. Comprehensive Loss Total comprehensive loss for the three months ended September 30,

2005 and 2004 was \$4,939,000 and \$3,087,000, respectively. Foreign currency translation The reporting currency of the Company is the US dollar. The functional currency of Bioenvision Limited, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, organized under the laws of the United Kingdom with offices in Edinburgh, Scotland, is the Pound Sterling. We translate assets and liabilities to their U.S. dollar equivalents at rates in effect at the balance sheet date and record translation adjustments in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). We translate statement of income accounts at average rates for the period. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, foreign currency transaction gains and losses included in selling, general and administrative expense were \$1,000 and \$9,000, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents and Short-term securities The Company considers all highly liquid financial instruments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. All funds invested in a Certificate of Deposit with maturities greater than three months and less than one year are classified as short-term securities determined by management to be available-for-sale securities.

F-8 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note A - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued

Deferred costs Deferred costs represent payments to Southern Research Institute, or SRI, and to Stegram Pharmaceutical Ltd, which directly relate to milestone payments received in connection with the Genzyme Co-Development Agreement and the Dechra Sub-License Agreement, respectively. The amortization of these costs have been presented in research and development on the statement of operations.

Credit Risk Our accounts receivable are primarily due from wholesale distributors and our co-development partners. One customer comprises approximately 52% of revenues earned for the three months ended September 30, 2005. At September 30, 2005, the Company continues to hold a provision for bad debts of \$869,000 relating to the outstanding receivables due from the customer. Another customer comprises approximately 29% of revenues earned for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Inventory Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined under the first-in, first-out method. We only capitalize inventory that is produced for commercial sale. The Company periodically reviews inventories and items considered outdated or obsolete are reduced to their estimated net realizable value. Inventories consisted of \$117,000 and \$0 of raw materials, \$6,000 and \$171,000 of work-in-progress, and \$239,000 and \$107,000 of finished goods at September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

Property and equipment Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Property and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from 3 to 7 years.

September 30, 2005	June 30, 2005	Asset Description	Useful Life	2005	2005
49,000	388,000	Computer equipment and software	3 to 5 years	337,000	305,000
	354,000	Furniture and fixtures	7 years	51,000	(74,000)
		Less: accumulated depreciation		(97,000)	
		Net property and equipment		\$ 291,000	\$ 280,000

The Company recorded depreciation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 of approximately \$24,000 and \$5,000 respectively.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The Company has estimated the fair value of financial instruments using available market information and other valuation methodologies in accordance with SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments." Management of the Company believes that the fair value of financial instruments, consisting of cash, cash equivalents, short term securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximates their carrying value due to the immediate or short-term maturity associated with these instruments.

F-9 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Note A - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the fair value of identifiable net assets of Pathagon. Intangible assets include patents and licensing rights acquired in connection with the acquisition of Pathagon. The Company accounts for these assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142. SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with estimable useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. For goodwill, each year and whenever impairment indicators are present, we will calculate the implied fair value of each goodwill amount and record an impairment loss for the excess of book value over the implied fair value, if any. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 144 on July 1, 2003. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment

charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset (see Note D). Recent Accounting Pronouncements In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 153 "Exchange of Nonmonetary assets". This statement was a result of a joint effort by the FASB and the IASB to improve financial reporting by eliminating certain narrow differences between their existing accounting standards. One such difference was the exception from fair value measurement in APB Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions", for non-monetary exchanges of similar productive assets. SFAS 153 replaces this exception with a general exception from fair value measurement for exchanges of non-monetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This statement is effective for non-monetary assets exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs". SFAS 151 amends Accounting Research Bulletin ("ARB") No. 43, Chapter 4. This statement clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). SFAS 151 is the result of a broader effort by the FASB and the IASB to improve financial reporting by eliminating certain narrow differences between their existing accounting standards. This statement was effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS 151 did not have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position of the company.

NOTE B - Interim Financial Statements In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all the adjustments consisting of normal accrued adjustments necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position of the Company as of September 30, 2005, the consolidated results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the consolidated statements of stockholders equity for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and cash flows for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004. Certain reclassifications of balances previously reported have been made to conform to the current presentation. The consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2005 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Form 10-KSB filed by the Company for the year ended June 30, 2005. The consolidated results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any other interim period or for the full year.

F-10 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE C - License and Co-Development Agreements

Clofarabine The Company has a license from Southern Research Institute ("SRI"), Birmingham, Alabama, to develop and market purine nucleoside analogs which, based on third-party studies conducted to date, may be effective in the treatment of leukemia, lymphoma and certain solid tumor cancers. The lead compound of these purine-based nucleosides is known as clofarabine. Under the terms of the agreement with SRI, the Company was granted the exclusive worldwide license, excluding Japan and Southeast Asia, to make, use and sell products derived from the technology for a term expiring on the date of expiration of the last patent covered by the license (subject to earlier termination under certain circumstances), and to utilize technical information related to the technology to obtain patent and other proprietary rights to products developed by the Company and by SRI from the technology. Initially, the Company is developing clofarabine for the treatment of leukemia and lymphoma and studying its potential role in treatment of solid tumors. In August 2003, SRI granted the Company an irrevocable, exclusive option to make, use and sell products derived from the technology in Japan and Southeast Asia. The Company intends to convert the option to a license upon sourcing an appropriate co-marketing partner to develop these rights in such territory. To facilitate the development of clofarabine, in March 2001, the Company entered into a co-development agreement with ILEX Oncology, Inc. ("ILEX"), our sub-licensor until it was acquired by Genzyme Corporation ("Genzyme") on December 21, 2004, for the development of clofarabine in cancer indications. Under the terms of the co-development agreement, Genzyme is required to pay all development costs in the United States and Canada, and 50% of approved development costs worldwide outside the U.S. and Canada (excluding Japan and Southeast Asia), in each case, for the development of clofarabine in cancer indications. Genzyme is responsible for conducting all clinical trials and the filing and prosecution of applications with applicable regulatory authorities in the United States and Canada for certain cancer indications. The Company retains the right to handle those matters in all territories outside the United States and Canada (excluding Japan and Southeast Asia) and retains the right to handle these matters in the U.S. and Canada in all non-cancer indications. The Company retained the exclusive manufacturing and distribution rights in Europe and elsewhere worldwide, except for the United States, Canada, Japan and Southeast Asia. Under the co-development agreement, Genzyme will have certain rights if it performs its development obligations in accordance with that agreement. The Company is required to pay Genzyme a royalty on sales outside the U.S.,

Canada, Japan and Southeast Asia. In turn, Genzyme, which would have U.S. and Canadian distribution rights in cancer indications, is paying the Company a royalty on sales in the U.S. and Canada. Under the terms of the co-development agreement, Genzyme also pays royalties to Southern Research Institute based on certain milestones. The Company also is obligated to pay certain royalties to Southern Research Institute with respect to clofarabine. The Company received a nonrefundable upfront payment of \$1.35 million when it entered into the co-development agreement with Genzyme and received an additional \$3.5 million in December 2003 when it converted Genzyme's option to market clofarabine in the U.S. into a sublicense. Upon Genzyme's filing the New Drug Application for clofarabine with the FDA, the Company received an additional (i) \$2 million in April 2004 and (ii) \$2 million in September 2004. The Company deferred the upfront payment and recognized revenues ratably, on a straight-line basis over the related service period, through December 2002. The Company has deferred the milestone payments received to date and recognizes revenues ratably, on a straight line basis over the related service period, through March 2021. For each of the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recognized revenues of approximately \$110,000, in connection with the milestone payments received to date. Deferred costs include royalty payments that became due and payable to SRI upon the Company's execution of the co-development agreement with Genzyme. The Company defers all royalty payments made to SRI and recognizes these costs ratably, on a straight-line basis concurrent with revenue that is recognized in connection with research and development costs including approximately \$55,000 for each of the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004.

Modrenal(R) The Company holds an exclusive license, until the expiration of existing and new patents related to Modrenal(R), to market Modrenal(R) in major international territories, and an agreement with a United Kingdom company to co-develop Modrenal(R) for other therapeutic indications. Management believes that Modrenal(R) currently is manufactured by third-party contractors in accordance with good manufacturing practices ("GMP").

F-11 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE C - License and Co-Development Agreements -continued The Company has no plans to establish its own manufacturing facility for Modrenal(R), but will continue to use third-party contractors. The Company received a nonrefundable upfront payment of \$1.25 million when it entered into the License and Sublicense Agreement with Dechra Pharmaceuticals in May 2003. The Company deferred the upfront payment and recognizes revenues ratably, on a straight-line basis over the related service period, currently through September 2022. The Company recognized revenues of approximately \$15,000 and \$28,000 in connection with the upfront payment from Dechra for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Deferred costs include royalty payments that became due and payable to Stegram Pharmaceuticals Ltd. upon the Company's execution of the License and Sub-License Agreement with Dechra in May 2003. The Company defers all royalty payments made to Stegram and recognizes these costs ratably, on a straight-line basis concurrent with revenue that is recognized in connection with the Dechra agreement. Research and Development costs related to this agreement include approximately \$3,000 and \$6,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE D- Intangible Assets September 30, June 30, 2005 2005 ----- Patents & Trademarks \$9,618,000 \$9,514,000 Accumulated Amortization (1,462,000) (1,261,000)
----- \$8,156,000 \$8,253,000 ===== Amortization of patents and trademarks amounted to \$201,000 and \$334,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Intangible assets are recorded at cost and amortized over periods generally ranging from 5-20 years. Amortization for each of the next five fiscal years is expected to amount to approximately \$800,000 annually. At June 30, 2005, we recognized an impairment of approximately \$5,276,000 relating to the methylene blue intangible acquired in connection with the Pathagon acquisition. Due to the loss of an intellectual property patent suit which occurred during the Company's fourth quarter of 2005, relating to the international use of virostat in fresh frozen plasma, we re-evaluated the intangible asset relating to Virostat at June 30, 2005. At that date, we estimated that our undiscounted future cash flows, relating solely and exclusively to approved uses of Virostat, were less than the carrying value of our long-lived asset. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$5,276,000, equal to the difference between the estimated future cash flows for approved uses of Virostat, discounted at an appropriate rate, and the carrying amount of the asset. Making the determinations of impairment and the amount of impairment requires significant judgment by management and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows of the assets. Changes in events or circumstances that may affect long-lived assets makes judgments and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows highly subjective.

NOTE E - Stockholders' Transactions Stock Options The Board of Directors adopted, and the stockholders approved the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan at the Annual Meeting held in January of 2004. The plan was adopted to recognize the contributions made by the Company's employees, officers, consultants, and directors, to provide those individuals with additional incentive to devote themselves to our future success and to improve the Company's ability to attract, retain and motivate individuals upon whom the Company's growth and financial success

depends. Under the plan, stock options may be granted as approved by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. There are 4,500,000 shares reserved for grants of options under the plan and at September 30, 2005, options to purchase 2,966,500 shares of common stock had been issued. The Company's policy is to issue new shares for option exercises. Stock options vest pursuant to individual stock option F-12 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE E - Stockholders' Transactions -continued agreements. No options granted under the plan are exercisable after the expiration of ten years (or less in the discretion of the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee) from the date of the grant. The plan will continue in effect until terminated or amended by the Board of Directors or until expiration of the plan on November 17, 2013. In June 2002, the Company granted options to an officer of the Company to purchase 380,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.95 per share, which equaled the fair value on the date of grant. Of this amount 50,000 options vested on June 28, 2002 and the remaining 330,000 options vest ratably over a three-year period on each anniversary date. On March 31, 2003, the Company entered into an Employment Agreement with such officer of the Company, pursuant to which, among other things, the exercise price for all of the 380,000 options were changed to \$0.735 per share, which equaled the stock price on that date. In addition, the Company issued an additional 120,000 options at an exercise price of \$.735 per share which vested immediately. As a result of the repricing of all of the 380,000 options, the Company remeasured the intrinsic value of these options at the end of each reporting period based on changes in the stock price through June 30, 2005. As a result of the adoption of SFAS 123 (R) on July 1, 2005, the Company no longer re-measures the intrinsic value of the 380,000 re-priced options. The Company determined the fair value of the modified award in accordance with SFAS 123, the guidance then in effect and has recognized expense relating to the portion of the options that were unvested on July 1, 2005. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recognized stock based employee compensation (expense) income of approximately \$(12,000) and \$198,000, respectively, related to these options. For the three months ended September 30, 2004, the Company recorded compensation expense of approximately \$22,000 as a result of 505,000 options granted to certain employees at an exercise price below the grant date trading price. Upon adoption of SFAS 123 (R), beginning July 1, 2005, the Company reversed the unrecognized deferred compensation costs of approximately \$136,000, associated with these options, with a corresponding reduction to the Company's additional paid-in capital and is recognizing the fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123 for the unvested options. On January 6, 2005, the Company granted 7,500 options to a board member for serving as a member of the Board of Directors, at an exercise price of \$8.17 per share which 1,875 vest immediately on the grant date and the remaining 5,625 vest ratably on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. The Company recognized approximately \$2,000 as consulting expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005. On January 20, 2004, the Company granted 25,000 options to a board member for serving as a member of the Board of Directors, at an exercise price of \$4.55 per share which vest ratably on the first and second anniversaries of the grant date. The Company recognized approximately \$12,000 as consulting expense for both the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 relating to said options. During the three month period ended September 30, 2005, certain non-employee holders of options exercised pursuant to the cashless exercise feature available to such option holders and the Company issued approximately 191,196 shares of its common stock in connection therewith. Warrants On June 22, 2004, the Company entered into a consulting agreement pursuant to which the consultant will provide certain investor relations services on behalf of the Company. In connection therewith, the Company issued a warrant to said consultant pursuant to which he has the right to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$8.25 per share upon the completion of certain milestones, as set forth in such agreement. The Company recognized approximately \$218,000 as consulting expense for the three months ended September 30, 2004. On August 4, 2004, the Company issued a warrant to a consultant pursuant to which said consultant has the right to purchase 40,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$7.22 per share upon satisfaction of certain milestones included in the warrant. The Company recognized approximately \$155,000 as consulting expense for the three months ended September 30, 2004, relating to said warrants. On August 9, 2004, the Company issued two warrants to a consultant pursuant to which said consultant has the right to purchase 45,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$6.10 per share. The Company recognized approximately \$9,000 and F-13 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE E - Stockholders' Transactions -continued \$181,000 as consulting expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, relating to said warrants. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, certain warrant holders of the Company exercised their warrants to acquire 10,619 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$76,000 from the exercise of such warrants. Common Stock On December 3, 2004, the Company issued 62,500 shares of common stock to a consultant for services rendered. In connection with such issuance

we recognized approximately \$497,000 as compensation expense for the period ended June 30, 2005. On February 8, 2005, we completed a secondary public offering in which we sold 7,500,000 common shares at \$8.00 per share, with net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$55.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses. NOTE F-Quarterly Tax Accounting Policy Income taxes have been provided for using the liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The provision for income taxes reflects management's estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. This estimate is re-evaluated by management each quarter based on the Company's estimated tax expense for the year. The Company also pays capital stock tax to certain state and local jurisdictions. The Company evaluates the amount due on a quarterly basis. NOTE G - Geographic Information We define geographical regions as countries in which we operate. Our corporate headquarters in the United States collects licensing, royalties and research & development contract revenue from our arrangements with external customers and our co-development partners. Our wholly owned subsidiary, Bioenvision Limited, located in the United Kingdom manages our product sales (including the named patient program). The following table reconciles our revenues by geographic region to the consolidated total: Three Months Ended September 30 2005 2004 Region United States \$400,000 \$1,075,000 United Kingdom 270,000 10,000 ----- \$670,000 \$1,085,000 ===== NOTE H - Litigation On December 19, 2003, the Company filed a complaint against Dr. Deidre Tessman and Tessman Technology Ltd. (the "Tessman Defendants") in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 03-603984). An amended complaint alleges, among other things, breach of contract and negligence by Tessman and Tessman Technology and demands judgment against Tessman and Tessman Technology in an amount to be determined by the Court. The Tessman Defendants removed the case to federal court, then remanded it to state court and served an answer with several purported counterclaims. The Company denies the allegations in the counterclaims and intends to pursue its claims against the Tessman Defendants vigorously. NOTE I - Restatement In May of 2005, the Company identified an error with respect to the accounting for income taxes in connection with the Pathagon acquisition completed on February 1, 2002. The Company had originally concluded that the realization of the deferred tax asset related to the net operating losses and other deductible temporary differences existing at the acquisition date, and generated after the acquisition date, did not meet the "more likely than not" criteria and, as a result, a valuation allowance was established on the deferred tax assets of the Company. The Company's restated accounting treatment determined that the deferred tax liability recorded in connection with the Pathagon acquisition creates taxable income as the taxable temporary differences reverse. F-14 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE I- Restatement - continued Consequently, the ability to realize the deferred tax assets is "more likely than not" and a valuation allowance is not required against the deferred tax assets, to the extent the deferred tax liability offsets the deferred tax assets. This restated accounting treatment resulted in the recognition of our deferred tax assets to the extent of our deferred tax liabilities. The deferred tax asset, in excess of the deferred tax liability, is not "more likely than not" to be realized, and therefore, a full valuation allowance has been established against the net deferred tax asset. The Company restated its previously reported financial statements and all interim periods as of and for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, to record additional benefit relating to the recognition of deferred tax assets as indicated in the first paragraph of this note. For the years ended June 30, 2004, June 30, 2003, and June 30, 2002, the Company previously recorded the reduction to the deferred tax liability and a corresponding tax benefit of \$537,000, \$537,000 and \$253,000, respectively. In the restated financial statements for years ended June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003, the Company recorded deferred tax assets, with a corresponding additional deferred tax benefit of \$923,000 and \$1,580,000, respectively, offsetting the deferred tax liability resulting from the Pathagon acquisition. Additionally, as of the acquisition date on February 1, 2002, a deferred tax asset was recorded for \$2,363,000 with a corresponding reduction to goodwill. This represented the deferred tax assets that existed at the date of acquisition and for which the previously recorded valuation allowance was eliminated. As a result of the above, the Company previously restated its consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2004 in its Form 10-KSB/A. The following is a summary of the effects of the income tax accounting corrections on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the three months ended September 30, 2004. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2004 and December 31, 2004, the Company had recorded a deferred tax liability for \$5,647,000 and \$5,505,000, respectively. Due to the correction of an error, the Company has now reported no net deferred tax asset or deferred tax liability for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Three months ended September 30, 2004 As Reported As Restated

----- Consolidated Statements of Operations:	
Income tax benefit \$ 134,226	\$ - Net loss (2,960,325) (3,094,551) Net loss available to common
stockholders (3,086,666)	(3,220,892) Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock \$ (0.11) \$
(0.11)	F-15 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL

STATEMENTS NOTE I- Restatement-continued The restatement has no effect on total cash flows from operating, investing, or financing activities as shown in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. However, the restatement did affect the individual components of net loss and deferred tax benefit within the net cash from operating activities. As of and for the three months ended September 30, 2004 (as reported) (as restated) Goodwill 3,902,705 1,540,162 Total assets 41,337,877 38,975,334 Deferred tax liability 5,646,573 - Total liabilities 16,471,168 10,824,595 Accumulated deficit (44,169,063) (40,885,033) Shareholder's equity 24,866,709 28,150,739 Revenue 1,085,328 1,085,328 Loss before income tax benefit (3,094,551) (3,094,551) Income tax benefit 134,226 - ----- Net loss (2,960,325) (3,094,551) Net loss available to common shareholders (3,086,666) (3,220,892) Net loss available to common shareholders per basic and dilutive share \$(0.11) \$(0.11) The quarterly net loss per common share amounts are rounded to the nearest cent. Annual net loss per common share may vary depending on the effect of such rounding. Additionally, the Company restated the pro-forma stock based compensation disclosures required under SFAS 123 determined under fair value based method due to the correction of an error noted during February 2005. Refer to Note A for further discussion.

F-16 REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Bioenvision, Inc.: We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Bioenvision, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. In our opinion, the 2005 consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bioenvision, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended June 30, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. /s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP Parsippany, New Jersey October 12, 2005

F-17 REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM Board of Directors and Stockholders of Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2004 and the related consolidated statement of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Bioenvision, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2004, and the consolidated result of their operations and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As more fully described in Note 10, the June 30, 2004 financial statements have been restated. /s/ Grant Thornton LLP New York, New York September 16, 2004 (except for Note 10, as to which the date is May 27, 2005)

F-18 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS June 30, June 30, 2005 2004 ----- ASSETS Current assets Cash and cash equivalents \$ 31,407,533 \$ 18,875,675 Restricted cash 290,000 290,000 Short-term securities 32,746,948 - Accounts receivable (less allowances for bad debts of \$869,220 and \$0, respectively) 1,785,779 2,627,773 Inventory 277,908 -

Other current assets 342,628 253,311 ----- Total current assets 66,850,796 22,046,759
 Property and equipment, net 279,778 47,857 Intangible assets, net 8,252,936 14,563,660 Goodwill
 1,540,162 1,540,162 Security Deposits 209,665 79,111 Deferred costs 3,656,798 3,893,295 -----
 ----- Total assets \$ 80,790,135 \$ 42,170,844 ===== LIABILITIES AND
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities Accounts payable \$ 1,602,267 \$ 1,495,866 Accrued
 expenses and other current liabilities 4,581,444 1,322,584 Accrued dividends payable 56,404 90,141
 Deferred revenue 498,607 551,828 ----- Total current liabilities 6,738,722 3,460,419 Deferred
 revenue 7,437,598 7,909,598 ----- Total liabilities 14,176,320 11,370,017 -----
 ----- Stockholders' equity Convertible Preferred stock - \$0.001 par value; 20,000,000 shares
 authorized; 2,250,000 and 3,341,666 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004,
 respectively (liquidation preference \$6,750,000 and \$10,024,998, respectively) 2,250 3,342 Common
 stock - \$0.001 par value; 70,000,000 shares authorized; 40,558,948 and 28,316,163 shares issued and
 outstanding at June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively 40,559 28,316 Additional paid-in capital
 128,946,717 68,517,702 Deferred compensation (145,646) (223,990) Accumulated deficit (62,331,005)
 (37,664,141) Accumulated other comprehensive income 100,940 139,598 -----
 Stockholders' equity 66,613,815 30,800,827 ----- Total liabilities and stockholders'
 equity \$ 80,790,135 \$ 42,170,844 ===== The accompanying notes are an
 integral part of these financial statements. F-19 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS Year ended June 30,
 ----- 2005 2004 ----- Revenue License and royalty revenue \$
 1,463,326 \$ 1,014,717 Product sales 611,346 - Research and development contract revenue 2,576,502
 2,087,497 ----- Total revenue 4,651,174 3,102,214 Costs and expenses Cost of products
 sold (including royalty expense of \$524,755 for the year ended June 30, 2005) 921,262 - Research and
 development 10,894,925 4,882,574 Provision for bad debts 869,220 - Selling, general and administrative
 10,181,711 9,082,420 (includes stock based compensation expense of \$793,761 and \$3,491,252 for the
 years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively) Depreciation and amortization 1,438,517 1,348,064
 Loss on impairment 5,276,162 - ----- Total costs and expenses 29,581,797 15,313,058
 ----- Loss from operations (24,930,623) (12,210,844) Interest income (expense) Interest
 and finance charges (79,484) - Interest income 747,322 99,763 ----- Net loss before
 income tax benefit (24,262,785) (12,111,081) Income tax benefit - 1,459,814 Net loss (24,262,785)
 (10,651,267) ----- Cumulative preferred stock dividend (404,079) (856,776) -----
 ----- Net loss available to common stockholders \$ (24,666,864) \$(11,508,043) =====
 ===== Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock \$ (0.72) \$ (.57) =====
 ===== Weighted-average shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share of
 common stock 34,042,391 20,257,482 ===== The accompanying notes are an
 integral part of these financial statements. F-20 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Accumulated Other Total
 Convertible Additional Deferred Compre- Stock- Preferred Stock Common Stock Paid In Compen-
 Accumulated hensive holders' Shares \$ Shares \$ Capital sation Deficit Income (Loss) Equity ----- -
 ----- Balance at July 1, 2003 5,916,966 \$ 5,917 17,122,739 \$ 17,123 \$
 47,304,449 \$ -(26,156,098) \$ 152,346 \$21,323,737 Net loss for the period (10,651,267) (10,651,267)
 Cumulative preferred stock dividend for the period (856,776) (856,776) Currency translation
 adjustment (12,748) (12,748) Deferred compensation (223,990) (223,990) Shares issued in connection
 with private placement 2,602,898 2,603 16,265,495 16,268,098 Costs related to March private
 placement financing (1,301,035) (1,301,035) Preferred stock converted to common stock (2,575,300)
 (2,575) 5,150,000 5,150 (2,575) - Expense related to repricing of options 2,381,066 2,381,066 Cashless
 exercise of options to shares 2,122,682 2,122 (2,122) - Warrants issued in connection with services -
 671,601 671,601 Shares issued to consultants for services 14,510 15 305,972 305,987 Shares issued to
 employee 20,000 20 28,380 28,400 Options issued in connection with services 93,987 93,987 Options
 issued to employees 262,601 262,601 Shares issued from warrant conversions 1,283,334 1,283 2,509,883
 2,511,166 ----- Balance at June
 30, 2004 3,341,666 \$ 3,342 28,316,163 \$ 28,316 \$ 68,517,702 \$(223,990) \$(37,664,141) \$ 139,598
 \$30,800,827 Net loss for the period (24,262,785) (24,262,785) Cumulative preferred stock dividend for
 the period (404,079) (404,079) Currency translation adjustment (38,658) (38,658) Deferred
 compensation 78,344 78,344 Options exercised to common stock 685,833 686 707,638 708,324 Income
 related to repricing of options (314,950) (314,950) Warrants issued in connection with services 524,928
 524,928 Warrants exercised to common stock 1,811,120 1,811 3,277,151 3,278,962 Shares issued in
 connection with services 62,500 63 496,188 496,250 Preferred stock converted to common stock
 (1,091,666) (1,092) 2,183,332 2,183 (1,092) - Shares issued in connection with public offering ,net of
 related expenses 7,500,000 7,500 55,739,152 55,746,652 -----
 ----- Balance at June 30, 2005 2,250,000 \$ 2,250 40,558,948 \$ 40,559
 \$128,946,717 \$(145,646) \$(62,331,005) \$ 100,940 \$66,613,815 =====

===== The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. F-21 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Year ended June 30, 2005 2004 ----- Cash flows from operating activities Net loss \$(24,262,785) \$(10,651,267) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: Depreciation and amortization 1,438,517 1,348,064 Provision for bad debts 869,220 - Deferred tax benefit - (1,459,814) Stock based compensation 793,761 3,491,252 Changes in net deferred revenues and expenses (288,723) 3,577,474 Loss on impairment 5,276,162 - Changes in assets and liabilities Accounts payable 121,966 1,084,474 Inventory (286,089) - Other current assets (94,797) (147,335) Security deposits (132,072) - Accounts receivable (56,596) (2,602,773) Other long term assets - 126,870 Accrued expenses and other liabilities 3,203,998 591,862 ----- Net cash used in operating activities (13,417,438) (4,641,193) ----- Cash flows from investing activities Purchase of intangible assets (359,411) (112,580) Capital expenditures (278,044) (18,337) Purchase of short-term securities (32,746,948) - ----- Net cash used in investing activities (33,384,403) (130,917) ----- Cash flows from financing activities Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of related expenses 55,746,652 14,967,064 Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants 3,987,286 2,539,565 Dividends paid (437,816) (1,775,782) ----- Net cash provided by financing activities 59,296,122 15,730,847 Effect of exchange rate on cash 37,577 (12,748) ----- Net increase in cash and cash equivalents 12,531,858 10,945,989 Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 18,875,675 7,929,686 ----- Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 31,407,533 \$ 18,875,675 ===== The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. F-22 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Description of business Bioenvision, Inc., or Bioenvision or the Company, is a product-focused biopharmaceutical company with two approved cancer therapeutics. On December 29, 2004, the Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, approved the Company's lead cancer product, clofarabine, for the treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL, in patients who have received two or more prior regimens. Clofarabine has received Orphan Drug designation in the United States, or U.S., and the European Union, or E.U. Genzyme Corporation, the Company's co-development partner, currently holds marketing rights in the U.S. and Canada for clofarabine for certain cancer indications and controls U.S. development of clofarabine in these indications. Genzyme is selling clofarabine under the brand name Clolar(R) in the U.S. In Europe, the Company has filed for approval of clofarabine in pediatric ALL and pediatric acute myelogenous leukemia, or AML, with the European Medicines Evaluation Agency, or EMeA. The Company is currently selling its second product, Modrenal(R), in the United Kingdom, or U.K. Modrenal(R) is approved in the U.K. for the treatment of post-menopausal advanced breast cancer following relapse to initial hormone therapy. We anticipate that revenues derived from our two lead drugs, clofarabine and Modrenal(R) will permit us to further develop the other products currently in our product pipeline. In addition to clofarabine and Modrenal(R), we are performing initial development work on Virostat for the treatment of Hepatitis C and Velostan, initially for the treatment of bladder cancer. Principles of consolidation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Certain reclassifications of balances previously reported have been made to conform to current presentation. Use of estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles of the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and such differences may be material to the financial statements. Revenue Recognition In accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, or SAB 104, upfront nonrefundable fees associated with research and development collaboration agreements where the Company has continuing involvement in the agreement, are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the estimated research and development period using the straight-line method. If the estimated period is subsequently modified, the period over which the up-front fee is recognized is modified accordingly on a prospective basis using the straight-line method. Continuation of certain contracts and grants are dependent upon the Company and/or its co-development partners' achieving specific contractual milestones; however, none of the payments received to date are refundable regardless of the outcome of the project. Upfront nonrefundable fees associated with licensing arrangements are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the licensing arrangement using the straight line method, which approximates the life of the patent. Royalty revenue from product licensees is recorded as earned. F-23 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of

Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued The Company currently sells its products to wholesale distributors and directly to hospitals, clinics, and retail pharmacies. Revenue from product sales is recognized when the risk of loss is passed to the customer, the sales price is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Research & development contract revenue represent payments due from our co-development partner relating to the reimbursement of 50% for certain of our ongoing research costs in the development of clofarabine outside the United States. Currently, the Company has billed but not recorded approximately \$1,142,000 of revenues relating to the reimbursement from our co-development partner for certain of our ongoing research costs in the development of clofarabine outside the United States. When the Company has determined that the criteria relating to revenue recognition has been met, the Company will record the revenue. Provision for bad debts for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$869,000 and \$0, respectively. The increase is due to the Company recording a valuation allowance relating to certain of the outstanding receivable balances from our co-development partner totaling \$869,000 in the current year. The Company follows the guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force 99-19, or EITF, "Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent" in the presentation of revenues and direct costs of revenues. This guidance requires the Company to assess whether it acts as a principal in the transaction or as an agent acting on behalf of others. The Company records revenue transactions gross in its statements of operations if it is deemed the principal in the transaction, which includes being the primary obligor and having the risks and rewards of ownership. Research and development Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred. Research and development costs include the cost of clofarabine sold prior to product approval through our named patient program. Stock based compensation As permitted by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation," or SFAS 123, the Company accounts for stock based compensation arrangements with employees in accordance with provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," or APB 25. Compensation expense for stock options issued to employees is based on the difference on the date of grant, between the fair value of the Company's stock and the exercise price of the option. For year ended June 30, 2005, the Company recognized stock based employee compensation income of \$315,000 as a result of the re-pricing of 380,000 options granted to an employee pursuant to the terms of his Employment Agreement (see Note 6). The Company also recognized a compensation expense of \$88,000 for the year ended June 30, 2005 as a result of 505,000 options granted to certain employees on January 20, 2004.

F-24 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services," or EITF 96-18. Under EITF No. 96-18, where the fair value of the equity instrument is more reliably measurable than the fair value of services received, such services will be valued based on the fair value of the equity instrument. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and loss per share as if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period.

Year Ended June 30,	2005	2004	
Net loss available to common stockholders, as reported	\$ (24,666,864)	\$(11,508,043)	
Add: Stock based employee compensation (income) expense as reported	(227,417)	2,419,677	
Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(2,427,771)	(861,297)	
Pro forma net loss	\$ (27,322,052)	\$(9,949,663)	
Loss per share			
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$(0.72)	\$(0.57)	
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$(0.80)	\$(0.49)	

The fair value of options at the date of grant was established using the Black-Scholes model with the following assumptions:

	2005	2004
Expected average life (years)	3.87	3.50
Risk free interest rate	3.37%	2.35%
Expected volatility	80%	80%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (R), "Share-Based Payment", a revision of SFAS 123. SFAS 123 (R) supersedes APB 25 and amends SFAS No. 95 "Statement of Cash Flows". SFAS 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS 123 except that SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the consolidated statements of income, in lieu of pro-forma disclosure as provided above. SFAS 123 (R) is effective for fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS 123 (R) as of July 1, 2005, the first day of fiscal 2006, and applied the modified-prospective method using the Black-Scholes model for estimating the fair value of equity compensation.

F-25 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued As permitted by SFAS 123, through June 30, 2005 the Company accounted for share-based payments to employees using the intrinsic value method set forth in APB 25 and, as such, generally recognized no compensation cost for employee stock options. Accordingly, the adoption of the fair value method

under SFAS 123(R) will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated statements of income. However, the Company's overall cash position will not be affected by the adoption of SFAS 123(R). The actual impact of SFAS 123(R) cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend on levels of share-based payments granted in the future and other factors. However, had the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard and therefore, the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share above would remain the same. SFAS 123(R) also requires that tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as operating cash flow. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flow and increase net financing cash flow in periods after the adoption of SFAS 123(R). Estimation of the increase in net financing cash flow and decrease in net operating cash flow depends on the timing and exercise of stock options and is difficult to predict. The amount of operating cash flow recognized in prior periods for such excess tax deductions was \$0 and \$890,000 for years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Income taxes The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes". Under SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company records a valuation allowance for certain temporary differences for which it is more likely than not that it will not receive future tax benefits. Net loss per share Basic net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the periods. Options and warrants to purchase 11,472,414 and 13,674,242 shares of common stock have not been included in the calculation of net loss per share for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Comprehensive Loss Total comprehensive loss for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$24,705,522 and \$11,520,791, respectively. Foreign currency translation The reporting currency of the Company is the US dollar. The functional currency of Bioenvision Limited, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, organized under the laws of the United Kingdom with offices in Edinburgh, Scotland, is the Pound Sterling. We translate assets and liabilities to their U.S. dollar equivalents at rates in effect at the balance sheet date and record translation adjustments in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). We translate statement of income accounts at average rates for the period. For the year ended June 30, 2005, foreign currency transaction gains and losses included in selling, general and administrative expense were \$33,000 and \$6,000, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents and Short-term securities The Company considers all highly liquid financial instruments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. All funds invested in a Certificate of Deposit with maturities greater than three F-26 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued months and less than one year are classified as short-term securities determined by management to be available-for-sale securities. Deferred costs Deferred costs represent payments to Southern research Institute, or SRI, and to Stegram Pharmaceutical Ltd, which directly relate to milestone payments received in connection with the Genzyme Co-Development Agreement and the Dechra Sub-License Agreement, respectively. The amortization of these costs have been presented in research and development on the statement of operations. Credit Risk Our accounts receivable are primarily due from wholesale distributors and our co-development partners. One customer comprises approximately 62% of revenues earned at June 30, 2005. Based on our evaluation of the collectibility of these accounts receivable, we believe that this balance may not be collectible and therefore have reserved 100% of the balance outstanding at June 30, 2005. F-27 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued Inventory Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined under the first-in, first-out method. We only capitalize inventory that is produced for commercial sale. The Company periodically reviews inventories and items considered outdated or obsolete are reduced to their estimated net realizable value. Inventories consisted of \$171,000 of work-in-progress and \$107,000 of finished goods at June 30, 2005. Property and equipment Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Property and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which range from 3 to 7 years. Estimated Asset Description Useful Life

2005	2004	Property and Computer equipment and software	3 to 5 years	304,892	43,879
		Furniture and fixtures	7 years	49,364	35,052
				-----	-----
				354,256	78,931
				-----	-----
		Less: accumulated depreciation (74,478)		(31,074)	
				-----	-----
		Net Property and equipment		\$ 279,778	\$ 47,857

===== The Company recorded depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 of approximately \$45,000 and \$20,000 respectively. Fair Value of Financial Instruments The Company has estimated the fair value of financial instruments using available market

information and other valuation methodologies in accordance with SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments." Management of the Company believes that the fair value of financial instruments, consisting of cash, cash equivalents, short term securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximates carrying value due to the immediate or short-term maturity associated with these instruments. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the fair value of identifiable net assets of Pathagon. Intangible assets include patents and licensing rights acquired in connection with the acquisition of Pathagon. The Company accounts for these assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142. SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with estimable useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. For goodwill, each year and whenever impairment indicators are present, we will calculate the implied fair value of each goodwill amount and record an impairment loss for the excess of book value over the implied fair value, if any. F-28 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 1 - Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - continued

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 144 on July 1, 2003. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset (see Note 3).

Note 2 - Acquisition of Pathagon On February 1, 2002, the Company completed the acquisition of Pathagon. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase business combination in accordance with SFAS 141. The Company issued 7,000,000 shares of common stock to complete the acquisition, which was valued at \$12,600,000 based on the 5-day average trading price of the stock (\$1.80) surrounding November 22, 2001, the day of the Company's announcement of the agreed upon acquisition. The acquired patents and licensing rights of OLIGON(R) and methylene blue (collectively referred to as "Purchased Technologies"), were recorded at their fair market value which was approximately \$17,576,000. The patent and licensing rights acquired are being amortized over 13 years, which is the estimated remaining contractual life of these assets. Since the estimated fair value of the Purchased Technologies was at least equal to the amount paid, the purchase price, net of assumed liabilities, was allocated to Purchased Technologies. The transaction qualified as a tax-free merger which resulted in a difference between the tax basis value of the assets acquired and the fair market value of the patents and licensing rights. As a result, a deferred tax liability was recorded for approximately \$7,909,000. The purchase price exceeded the fair market value of the net assets acquired resulting in the recording of Goodwill of \$2,341,000. The Company recorded a charge to goodwill of \$801,395 for fiscal year ended June 30, 2003 as a result of a change in tax rates used to compute the deferred tax liability arising as a result of this acquisition. Pathagon had no operations other than holding the patents and licenses acquired. As Pathagon had no operations, its pro-forma financials would not be meaningful and thus are not presented. The Company now has the worldwide rights to the use of thiazine dyes, including methylene blue, for in vitro and in vivo inactivation of pathogens in biological fluids. Methylene blue is one of only two compounds used commercially to inactivate pathogens in blood products, and is currently used in many European countries to inactivate pathogens in fresh frozen plasma. The Company believes that, as a result of the mechanism of action of its proprietary technology, its systems also have the potential to inactivate many new pathogens before they are identified and before tests have been developed to detect their presence in the blood supply. Because the Company's systems are being designed to inactivate rather than merely test for pathogens, the Company's systems also have the potential to reduce the risk of transmission of pathogens that would remain undetected by testing. The OLIGON(R) technology is a patented anti-microbial technology that can be incorporated into the manufacturing process of many implantable devices. The patented process, involving two dissimilar metals (silver and platinum) creates an electrochemical reaction that releases silver ions that destroy bacteria, fungi and other pathogens. The Company intends to commercialize the technology in partnership with leading medical devices manufacturers. On May 6, 1997, Baxter Healthcare Corporation acting through its Edwards Clinical-Care Division ("Edwards") entered into an Exclusive License Agreement with Implemed, Inc. ("Implemed"), a predecessor in interest to Pathagon and, by virtue of the acquisition of Pathagon, a predecessor in interest to the Company. Pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement, among other things, Edwards licensed certain intellectual property technology relating to the manufacture of anti-microbial polymers from Implemed. On May 7, 2002,

the Company executed an amendment to the original license agreement between Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation ("OMRF") and Bridge Therapeutic Products, Inc. ("BTP"), a predecessor of Pathagon, relating to the licensing of methylene blue. Under the terms of the amendment, OMRF agreed to the assignment of the original license agreement by BTP to Pathagon. Pursuant to the amendment, the Company paid F-29 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 2 - Acquisition of Pathagon - continued OMRF \$100,000 and issued 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock and a five-year warrant to purchase an additional 200,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of the warrant is \$2.33 per share, subject to adjustment. The Company capitalized the costs of approximately \$1,145,600 related to this amendment as an intangible asset and will amortize this asset over the remaining life of the methylene blue license agreement. Note 3 - Intangible Assets As of June 30 ----- Intangible assets consist of the following: 2005 2004 ---- ---- Patents and licensing rights \$9,514,026 \$17,757,101 Less: accumulated amortization (1,261,090) (3,193,441) ----- \$8,252,936 \$14,563,660 ===== Amortization of patents and licensing rights amounted to \$1,394,000 and \$1,328,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively. Other intangible assets are recorded at cost and amortized over periods generally ranging from 10-20 years. Amortization for each of the next five fiscal years will amount to approximately \$900,000 annually. At June 30, 2005, we recognized an impairment of approximately \$5,276,000 relating to the methylene blue intangible acquired in connection with the Pathagon acquisition. Due to the loss of an intellectual property patent suit which occurred during the Company's fourth quarter, relating to the international use of virostat in fresh frozen plasma, we re-evaluated the intangible asset relating to Virostat at June 30, 2005. At that date, we estimated that our undiscounted future cash flows, relating solely and exclusively to approved uses of Virostat, were less than the carrying value of our long-lived asset. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$5,276,000, equal to the difference between the estimated future cash flows for approved uses of Virostat, discounted at an appropriate rate, and the carrying amount of the asset. Making the determinations of impairment and the amount of impairment requires significant judgment by management and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows of the assets. Changes in events or circumstances that may affect long-lived assets makes judgments and assumptions with respect to the future cash flows highly subjective. Note 4 - License and Co-Development Agreements Clofarabine The Company has a license from SRI to develop and market purine nucleoside analogs which, based on third-party studies conducted to date, may be effective in the treatment of leukemia, lymphoma and certain solid tumor cancers. The lead compound of these purine-based nucleosides is known as clofarabine. Under the terms of the agreement with SRI, the Company was granted the exclusive worldwide license, excluding Japan and Southeast Asia, to make, use and sell products derived from the technology for a term expiring on the date of expiration of the last patent covered by the license (subject to earlier termination under certain circumstances), and to utilize technical information related to the technology to obtain patent and other proprietary rights to products developed by the Company and by SRI from the technology. Initially, the Company is developing clofarabine for the treatment of leukemia and lymphoma and studying its potential role in treatment of solid tumors. In August 2003, SRI granted the Company an irrevocable, exclusive option to make, use and sell products derived from the technology in Japan and Southeast Asia. The Company intends to convert the option to a license upon sourcing an appropriate co-marketing partner to develop these rights in such territory. To facilitate the development of clofarabine, in March 2001, the Company entered into a co-development agreement with ILEX Oncology, Inc., our sub-licensor until it was acquired by Genzyme Corporation on December 21, 2004, for the development of clofarabine in cancer indications. Under the terms of the co-development agreement, Genzyme is required to pay all development costs in the United States and Canada, and 50% of approved development costs worldwide outside the U.S. and Canada (excluding Japan and Southeast Asia), in each case, for F-30 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 4 - License and Co-Development Agreements - continued the development of clofarabine in cancer indications. Genzyme is responsible for conducting all clinical trials and the filing and prosecution of applications with applicable regulatory authorities in the United States and Canada for certain cancer indications. The Company retains the right to handle those matters in all territories outside the United States and Canada (excluding Japan and Southeast Asia) and retains the right to handle these matters in the U.S. and Canada in all non-cancer indications. The Company retained the exclusive manufacturing and distribution rights in Europe and elsewhere worldwide, except for the United States, Canada, Japan and Southeast Asia. Under the co-development agreement, Genzyme will have certain rights if it performs its development obligations in accordance with that agreement. The Company would be required to pay Genzyme a royalty on sales outside the U.S., Canada, Japan and Southeast Asia. In turn, Genzyme, which would have U.S. and Canadian distribution rights in cancer indications, would pay the Company a royalty on sales in the U.S. and Canada. Under the terms of the co-development

agreement, Genzyme also pays royalties to SRI based on certain milestones. The Company also is obligated to pay certain royalties to SRI with respect to clofarabine. The Company received a nonrefundable upfront payment of \$1.35 million when it entered into the co-development agreement with Genzyme and received an additional \$3.5 million in December 2003 when it converted Genzyme's option to market clofarabine in the U.S. into a sublicense. Upon Genzyme's filing the New Drug Application for clofarabine with the FDA, the Company received an additional (i) \$2 million in April 2004 and (ii) \$2 million in September 2004. The Company deferred the upfront payment and recognized revenues ratably, on a straight-line basis over the related initial service period, through December 2002. The Company has deferred the milestone payments received to date and recognizes revenues ratably, on a straight line basis over the related service period, through March 2021. For the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company recognized revenues of approximately \$438,000, and \$161,000, respectively, in connection with the milestone payments received to date. Deferred costs include royalty payments that became due and payable to SRI upon the Company's execution of the co-development agreement with Genzyme. The Company defers all royalty payments made to SRI and recognizes these costs ratably, on a straight-line basis concurrent with revenue that is recognized in connection with research and development costs including approximately \$219,000 and \$81,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively related to such charges. Modrenal(R) The Company holds an exclusive license, until the expiration of existing and new patents related to Modrenal(R), to market Modrenal(R) in major international territories, and an agreement with a United Kingdom company to co-develop Modrenal(R) for other therapeutic indications. Management believes that Modrenal(R) currently is manufactured by third-party contractors in accordance with good manufacturing practices. The Company has no plans to establish its own manufacturing facility for Modrenal(R), but will continue to use third-party contractors. The Company received a nonrefundable upfront payment of \$1.25 million when it entered into the License and Sublicense Agreement with Dechra Pharmaceuticals in May 2003. The Company deferred the upfront payment and recognizes revenues ratably, on a straight-line basis over the related service period, currently through September 2022. The Company recognized revenues of approximately \$87,000 and \$114,000 in connection with the upfront payment from Dechra for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Deferred costs include royalty payments that became due and payable to Stegram Pharmaceuticals Ltd. upon the Company's execution of the License and Sub-License Agreement with Dechra in May 2003. The Company defers all royalty payments made to Stegram and recognizes these costs ratably, on a straight-line basis concurrent with revenue that is recognized in connection with the Dechra agreement. Research and development costs related to this agreement include approximately \$17,400 and \$23,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. F-31 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 5 - Income Taxes The components of the income tax benefit are as follows: June 30,

-----	2005	2004	-----	Current: Federal	-- \$ --
-----			-----	State	-- (1,099,000)
-----			-----	Deferred: Federal	-- (361,000)
-----			-----	State	-- (1,460,000)
-----			-----	Total benefit	-- \$ (1,460,000)

=====
The domestic and foreign components of loss before income taxes are as follows: June 30, ----- 2005 2004 -----
----- Domestic \$(22,601,000) \$(10,781,000) Foreign (1,662,000) (1,330,000) ----- Loss before taxes \$(24,263,000) \$(12,111,000) =====

=====
F-32 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 5 - Income taxes - continued The following is a reconciliation of benefit for income taxes from continuing operations computed at the federal statutory rates to the effective rates for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 June 30, ----- 2005 2004 ----- Consolidated tax benefit at federal statutory rate (34.0%) (34.0%) Non-deductible expenses (0.3%) 6.8% State income tax benefit, net of federal provision (6.1%) (4.5%) Valuation allowance 40.1% 19.3% Foreign rate differential 0.3% 0.4% Other, net 0.0% (0.1%) ----- Effective tax rate 0.0% (12.1%)

=====
Significant components of the company's deferred tax assets and liability at June 30, are as follows: June 30, ----- 2005 2004 ----- Deferred tax liability Acquired intangibles \$(2,923,000) \$(5,781,000) Deferred costs (1,481,000) (1,577,000) Amortization (115,000) (43,000) Depreciation (33,000) (30,000) Other (3,000) (3,000) ----- Total deferred tax liability (4,555,000) (7,434,000) Deferred tax assets Net operating loss 14,344,000 6,384,000 Options, warrants and shares issued to non- 534,000 345,000 employees Options issued to employees 164,000 104,000 Deferred revenue 3,214,000 3,427,000 Provision for bad debts 352,000 - Accrued expenses 126,000 68,000 ----- Total deferred tax assets 18,734,000 10,328,000 Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets (14,179,000) (2,894,000) ----- Net deferred tax asset 4,555,000 7,434,000 ----- Net deferred tax liability \$ - \$ - =====

=====
F-33
BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 5 - Income Taxes - continued At June 30, 2005 and

2004, the Company had approximately \$32,524,000 and \$14,087,000 of net operating loss carryforwards for U.S. Federal and state income tax purposes, respectively that begin to expire in fiscal year ending 2020, with a tax value of \$13,172,000 and \$5,705,000, respectively. At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company also had approximately \$3,906,000 and \$2,263,000 of net operating loss carryforwards relating to foreign operations, respectively, with no expiration date, with a tax value of \$1,172,000 and \$679,000, respectively. At June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance of \$14,179,000 and \$2,894,000 respectively, relating to the net deferred tax asset due the uncertainty of both the foreign and domestic companies being more likely than not to utilize these deferred tax assets. Of these amounts, a valuation allowance of \$650,000 was recorded at June 30, 2005 and 2004 for certain US deferred tax assets which will be recognized after the period in which the Pathagon deferred tax liability reverses. The remaining allowance relates to the net operating loss of the foreign operations due to the uncertainty that the Company will realize taxable income in the foreign jurisdiction to utilize the net operating loss carryforward. Included in the June 30, 2005 and 2004 net operating loss is \$3,857,000 and \$415,000, respectively related to exercise of non-qualified stock options or disqualifying dispositions of stock acquired with incentive stock options. A valuation allowance has been established against this loss. When the valuation allowance is removed, the tax affected benefit of \$1,562,000 and \$168,000, respectively, related to this loss will be credited to equity. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 enacted a complex set of rules (Internal Revenue Code Section 382) limiting the utilization of net operating losses to offset future taxable income following a corporate "ownership change." Generally, this occurs when there is a greater than 50 percentage point change in ownership. Accordingly, such change could limit the amount of net operating losses available in a given year, which could ultimately cause net operating losses to expire prior to utilization. Note 6 - Stockholders' Transactions

Stock Options The Board of Directors adopted, and the stockholders approved the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan at the Annual Meeting held in January of 2004. The plan was adopted to recognize the contributions made by the Company's employees, officers, consultants, and directors, to provide those individuals with additional incentive to devote themselves to our future success and to improve the Company's ability to attract, retain and motivate individuals upon whom the Company's growth and financial success depends. Under the plan, stock options may be granted as approved by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. There are 4,500,000 shares reserved for grants of options under the plan and at June 30, 2005, options to purchase 2,956,500 shares of common stock had been issued. Stock options vest pursuant to individual stock option agreements. No options granted under the plan are exercisable after the expiration of ten years (or less in the discretion of the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee) from the date of the grant. The plan will continue in effect until terminated or amended by the Board of Directors or until expiration of the plan on November 17, 2013. In June 2002, the Company granted options to an officer of the Company to purchase 380,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.95 per share, which equaled the stock price on the date of the grant. Of this amount, 50,000 options vested on June 28, 2002 and the remaining 330,000 options vest ratably over a three-year period on each anniversary date. On March 31, 2003 the Company entered into an Employment Agreement with such officer of the Company, pursuant to which, among other things, the exercise price for all 380,000 options were changed to \$0.735 per share, which equaled the stock price on that date. In addition, the Company issued an additional 120,000 options at an exercise price of \$0.735 per share which vested immediately. As a result of the re-pricing of 380,000 options, the Company will re-measure the intrinsic value of these options at the end of each reporting period and will adjust compensation F-34 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 6 - Stockholders' Transactions - continued expense based on changes in the stock price. Stock based compensation income (expense) recognized as a result of this re-pricing amounted to \$315,000 and \$(2,381,000) for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. During the year ended June 30, 2005, certain option holders of the Company exercised with cash their options to acquire 685,833 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$708,000 during the year ended June 30, 2005, from the exercise of these options. During the year ended June 30, 2005, certain non-employee holders of options exercised pursuant to the cashless exercise feature available to such option holders and the Company issued approximately 212,709 shares of its common stock in connection therewith. On January 20, 2004, the Company granted 25,000 options to a member of the Board of Directors, for serving as a member of the Board of Directors, at an exercise price of \$4.55 per share which vest ratably on the first and second anniversaries of the grant date. The Company recognized \$47,000 and \$21,000 as consulting expenses for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively. The Company recorded a compensation expense of \$88,000 and \$38,611 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively, as a result of 505,000 options granted to certain employees on January 20, 2004 at a strike price that was lower than the exercise price. On January 6, 2005, the Company granted 7,500 options to a board member for serving as a member of the Board of Directors, at an exercise price of \$8.17 per

share which 1,875 vest immediately on the grant date and the remaining 5,625 vest ratably on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. The Company recognized approximately \$13,000 as consulting expense for the year ended June 30, 2005. F-35 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 6 - Stockholders' Transactions - continued A summary of the Company's stock option activity for options issued to employees and related information follows: Number Weighted Average of Shares Exercise Price ----- Balance - June 30, 2003 3,570,000 \$ 1.23 Granted during 2004 720,000 \$ 5.02 Exercised during 2004 20,000 \$ 1.42 ----- Balance - June 30, 2004 4,270,000 \$ 1.87 Granted during 2005 784,000 \$ 7.99 Exercised during 2005 885,500 \$ 1.08 Forfeiture during 2005 12,500 \$ 3.53 ----- Balance - June 30, 2005 4,156,000 \$ 3.18 =====
===== Stock Options Outstanding Options Exercisable

----- Weighted Average Weighted Remaining Number of Weighted Average Number of Contractual Stock Options Average Exercise Price Range Exercise price Options Life Exercisable Exercise price ----- \$0.74 - \$1.45 \$ 1.29 2,685,000 3.21 2,283,000 \$ 1.18 \$4.05 - \$4.05 \$ 4.05 497,000 8.56 166,000 \$ 4.05 \$5.44 - \$6.50 \$ 5.93 111,000 9.26 29,000 \$ 6.05 \$7.87 - \$8.87 \$ 8.21 863,000 9.41 243,000 \$ 8.20 ----- \$0.74 - \$8.87 \$ 3.18 4,156,000 5.30 2,721,000 \$ 2.03 =====

----- The weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted for the periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$4.75 and \$3.13, respectively Convertible Preferred Stock On May 7, 2002 the Company authorized the issuance and sale of up to 5,920,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share ("Series A Preferred Stock"). Series A Preferred Stock may be converted into two shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$1.50 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends, mergers, issuances of cheap stock and other similar transactions. In May 2002, the Company consummated a Private Placement of Series A Preferred Stock and received gross proceeds of \$17.7 million. Holders of Series A Preferred Stock also received, in respect of each share of Series A Preferred Stock purchased in the May 2002 Private Placement by the Company, one warrant to purchase one share of the Company's common stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.00, subject to adjustment. The purchasers of Series A Preferred Stock also received certain registration rights. The preferred stock generally carries rights to vote with the F-36 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 6 - Stockholders' Transactions - continued holders of common stock as one class on a two-for-one basis. The preferred stock is convertible into the Company's common stock, at the holder's option, on a two-for-one basis subject to certain adjustments at the earlier to occur of (i) at the election of each holder from and after the issuance date, or (ii) the date at any time after the one year anniversary of the issuance date upon which both (x) the average of the market price for a share of common stock for thirty consecutive trading days exceeds \$10.00 per share, subject to certain adjustments, and (y) the average of the trading volume for the Company's common stock during such period exceeds 150,000, subject to certain adjustments. The Company is required to accrue for and pay a dividend of 5%, subject to certain adjustments, on its cumulative Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. The Company has paid the dividend in cash to holders of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock through July 30, 2005. In the event of a voluntary or involuntary liquidation or dissolution of the Company, before any distribution of assets shall be made to the holders of the Company's securities which are junior to the preferred stock (such as the common stock), holders of the preferred stock shall be paid out of the assets of the Company legally available for distribution to the Company's stockholders an amount per share equal to the initial original issue price (\$3.00) subject to certain adjustments plus all accrued but unpaid dividends on such preferred stock. During the year ended June 30, 2005, certain holders of 1,091,666 shares of the Company's preferred stock converted such shares into 2,183,332 shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, during the year ended June 30, 2005, certain warrant holders of the Company exercised their warrants to acquire 1,598,411 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$3,278,963 during the year ended June 30, 2005 from the exercise of these warrants. Common Stock On December 18, 2004, the Company issued 62,500 shares of its common stock to a consultant to the Company for services rendered to the Company. The Company recorded compensation expense of approximately \$497,000 for the year ended June 30, 2005 in connection with such issuance. On February 8, 2005, the Company completed a secondary public offering in which it sold 7,500,000 common shares at \$8.00 per share, with net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$55.7 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses. Warrants On June 22, 2004 the Company entered into a consulting agreement pursuant to which consultant will provide certain investor relation services on behalf of the Company. In connection therewith, the Company issued a warrant to said consultant pursuant to which said consultant has the right to purchase 50,000 shares of Company's common stock at a price of \$8.25 per share upon the completion of certain milestones, as set forth in such agreement.

The Company recognized approximately \$243,000 as a consulting expense for the year ended June 30, 2005. On August 4, 2004, the Company issued a warrant to a consultant pursuant to which said consultant has the right to purchase 40,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$7.22 per share upon satisfaction of certain milestones included in the warrant. The Company recognized approximately \$75,000 as consulting expense for the year ended June 30, 2005, relating to said warrants. On August 9, 2004, the Company issued two warrants to a consultant pursuant to which said consultant has the right to purchase 45,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$6.10 per share. The Company recognized approximately \$138,000 as consulting expense for year ended June 30, 2005 relating to said warrants. F-37 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 7- Geographic Information We have one operating segment and define geographical regions as countries in which we operate. Our corporate headquarters in the United States collects licensing, royalties and research & development contract revenue from our arrangements with external customers and our co-development partners. Our wholly owned subsidiary, Bioenvision Limited, located in the United Kingdom manages our product sales (including the named patient program). The following table reconciles our revenues by geographic region to the consolidated total: Year ended June 30, 2005 2004 Region ----- United States \$ 3,373,547 \$ 2,929,719 United Kingdom 1,277,627 172,495

----- \$ 4,651,174 \$ 3,102,214 Note 8 - Related Party Transactions In May

2002, we completed a private placement pursuant to which we issued an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of Series A convertible participating preferred stock for \$3.00 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,916,666 shares of common stock and in March of 2004 we consummated a private placement of our common stock pursuant to which we raised \$12.8 million with a second closing in May 2004 in which we raised an additional \$3.5 million. An affiliate of SCO Capital Partners LLC, one of our stockholders, served as financial advisor to the Company in connection with these financings and earned a placement fee of approximately \$1.2 million in connection with May 2002 private placement and a placement fee of \$1.1 million and warrants to purchase 260,290 shares of common stock for \$6.25 per share for the March and May 2004 financings. Note 9 - Commitments and Contingencies Leases The Company leases 5,549 square feet of office space for its New York, New York headquarters under a non-cancelable operating lease expiring on December 29, 2009 and approximately 2,437 square feet in Edinburgh, Scotland under a lease agreement for its subsidiary Bioenvision Ltd. which expires February 28, 2006. Rent expense for both facilities in the aggregate for the year ended June 30, 2005, was approximately \$421,000. Further, the Company leases two vehicles under leases which expire November 29, 2005 and February 28, 2007. Lease expense was approximately \$34,000 and \$37,000 for the years ended June 30, 2005 and June 30, 2004, respectively. At June 30, 2005, total minimum rentals under operating leases with initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms of more than one year were approximately: Year ended June 30, 2006 \$ 773,000 2007 546,000 2008 316,000 2009 316,000 2010 159,000 ----- \$ 2,110,000 ----- F-38

BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 9 - Commitments and Contingencies - continued

Litigation On December 19, 2003, the Company filed a complaint against Dr. Deidre Tessman and Tessman Technology Ltd. (the "Tessman Defendants") in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (Index No. 03-603984). An amended complaint alleges, among other things, breach of contract and negligence by Tessman and Tessman Technology and demands judgment against Tessman and Tessman Technology in an amount to be determined by the Court. The Tessman Defendants removed the case to federal court, then remanded it to state court and served an answer with several purported counterclaims. The Company denies the allegations in the counterclaims and intends to pursue its claims against the Tessman Defendants vigorously. Each of the parties has moved for summary judgment dismissing all but one of the claims of the other parties. Those motions have not been decided by the Court. Note 10 - Restatements In May of 2005, the Company identified an error with respect to the accounting for income taxes in connection with the Pathagon acquisition completed on February 1, 2002. The Company had originally concluded that the realization of the deferred tax asset related to the net operating losses and other deductible temporary differences existing at the acquisition date, and generated after the acquisition date, did not meet the "more likely than not" criteria and, as a result, a valuation allowance was established on the deferred tax assets of the Company. The Company's restated accounting treatment determined that the deferred tax liability recorded in connection with the Pathagon acquisition creates taxable income as the taxable temporary differences reverse. Consequently, the ability to realize the deferred tax assets is "more likely than not" and a valuation allowance is not required against the deferred tax assets, to the extent the deferred tax liability offsets the deferred tax assets. This restated accounting treatment resulted in the recognition of our deferred tax assets to the extent of our deferred tax liabilities. The deferred tax asset, in excess of the deferred tax liability, is not "more likely than not" to be realized, and therefore, is fully valued. The Company restated its previously reported financial statements and all interim

periods as of and for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, to record additional benefit relating to the recognition of deferred tax assets as indicated in the first paragraph of this note. In years ended June 30, 2004, June 30, 2003, and June 30, 2002, the Company previously recorded the reduction to the deferred tax liability and a corresponding tax benefit of \$537,000, \$537,000 and \$253,000, respectively. In the restated financial statements for years ended June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003, the Company recorded deferred tax assets, with a corresponding additional deferred tax benefit of \$923,000 and \$1,580,000, respectively, offsetting the deferred tax liability resulting from the Pathagon acquisition. Additionally, as of the acquisition date on February 1, 2002, a deferred tax asset was recorded for \$2,363,000 with a corresponding reduction to goodwill. This represented the deferred tax assets that existed at the date of acquisition and for which the previously recorded valuation allowance was eliminated. As a result of the above, the Company previously restated its consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2004 in its Form 10-KSB/A. The following is a summary of the effects of the income tax accounting corrections on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003. F-39 BIOENVISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2005 AND 2004 Note 10 - Restatements - continued June 30 2004 2003 As Reported As Restated As Reported As Restated

		Consolidated Balance	
Sheets: Goodwill	\$ 3,902,705	\$ 1,540,162	\$ 3,902,705
			\$ 1,540,162
Total assets	44,533,387	42,170,844	28,535,675
28,535,675	26,173,132	Deferred tax liability	5,780,799
		-	6,317,702
Total liabilities	17,150,816	1,459,814	11,370,017
11,370,017	9,707,283	4,849,395	Accumulated deficit
		(41,082,397)	(37,664,141)
		(28,651,443)	(26,156,098)
Total shareholders' equity	27,382,571	30,800,827	18,828,392
		21,323,737	Year Ended June 30 2004 2003
			As Reported As Restated As Reported As Restated

Consolidated Statements of Operations: Income tax benefit	\$ 536,903	\$ 1,459,814	\$ 536,903	\$ 2,117,103
Net loss	(11,574,178)	(10,651,267)	(6,746,326)	(5,166,126)
Net loss available to common stockholders	(12,430,954)	(11,508,043)	(7,624,144)	(6,043,944)
Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock	\$ (0.61)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (0.45)	\$ (0.36)

The restatement has no effect on total cash flows from operating, investing, or financing activities as shown in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. However, the restatement did affect the individual components of net loss and deferred tax benefit within the net cash from operating activities. Additionally, the Company restated the pro-forma stock based compensation disclosures required under SFAS 123 determined under fair value based method due to the correction of an error noted during February 2005. F-40 PART II INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS Item 13. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution. The following table sets forth the estimated fees and expenses in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities being registered hereunder, which fees and expenses will be borne solely by the registrant.

Description	Amount	Legal fees and expenses*	\$ 50,000	Accounting fees and expenses*	15,000	Printing fees and expenses*	15,000	Blue sky fees and expenses*	2,000	Transfer agent fees and expenses*	1,000	Miscellaneous expenses*	17,000	Total	\$ 100,000
-------------	--------	--------------------------	-----------	-------------------------------	--------	-----------------------------	--------	-----------------------------	-------	-----------------------------------	-------	-------------------------	--------	-------	------------

===== * Estimated pursuant to Rule 511 of Regulation S-K. Item 14.

Indemnification of Directors and Officers. The indemnification of officers and directors of the Registrant is governed by Section 145 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, DGCL, and our Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, and our By-Laws. Subsection (a) of DGCL Section 145 empowers a corporation to indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (other than an action by or in the right of the corporation) by reason of the fact that the person is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by the person in connection with such action, suit or proceeding if the person acted in good faith and in the manner the person reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe the person's conduct was unlawful. Subsection (b) of DGCL Section 145 empowers a corporation to indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action or suit by or in the right of the corporation to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that the person is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by the person in a connection with the defense or settlement of such action or suit if the person acted in good faith and in the manner the person reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation and except that no indemnification shall be made in respect of any claim, issue or matter as to which such person

shall have been adjudged to be liable to the corporation unless and only to the extent that the Delaware Court of Chancery or the court in which such action or suit was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability but in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses which the Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper. DGCL Section 145 further provides that to the extent that a present or former director or officer is successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any action, suit or proceeding referred to in subsections (a) and (b) of Section 145, or in defense of any claim, issue or matter therein, such person shall be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection therewith. In all cases in which indemnification is permitted under subsection (a) and (b) of Section 145 (unless ordered by a court), it shall be made by the corporation only as authorized in the specific case upon a determination that indemnification of the present or former director, officer, employee or agent is proper in the circumstances because the applicable standard of conduct has been met by the party to be indemnified. Such determination must be made, with respect to a person who is a director or officer at the time of such determination, (1) by a majority vote of the directors who are not parties to such action, suit or proceeding, even though less than a quorum, or (2) by a committee of such directors designated by majority vote of such directors, even though less than a quorum, or (3) if there are no such directors, or if such directors so direct, by independent legal counsel in a written opinion, or (4) by the stockholders. The statute authorizes the corporation to pay expenses incurred by an officer or director in advance of the final disposition of a proceeding upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of the person to whom the advance will be made, to repay the advances if it shall ultimately be determined that he was not entitled to indemnification. DGCL Section 145 also provides that indemnification and advancement of expenses permitted thereunder are not to be exclusive of any other rights to which those seeking indemnification or advancement of expenses may be entitled under any By-law, agreement, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. DGCL Section 145 also authorizes the corporation to purchase and maintain liability insurance on behalf of its directors, officers, employees and agents regardless of whether the corporation would have the statutory power to indemnify such persons against the liabilities insured. Article Seven of our Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, which we refer to as the Certificate, provides that none of our directors shall be personally liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director except for liability (i) for any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders, (ii) for acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, (iii) under Section 174 of the DGCL (involving certain unlawful dividends or stock purchases or redemptions), or (iv) for any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit. Pursuant to Section 145(g) of the DGCL, our By-Laws, as amended, authorize us to obtain insurance to protect officers and directors from certain liabilities, including liabilities against which the Registration cannot indemnify its officers and directors. In derivative actions, we may only protect from liability our officers, directors, employees and agents against expenses actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense or settlement of a suit, and only if they acted in good faith and in a manner they reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the corporation. Indemnification is not permitted in the event that the director, officer, employee or agent is actually adjudged liable to us unless, and only to the extent that, the court in which the action was brought so determines. Our Certificate permits us to protect from liability our directors except in the event of: (1) any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders; (2) any act or failure to act that is not in good faith or involves intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of the law; (3) liability arising under Section 174 of the DGCL, relating to unlawful stock purchases, redemptions, or payment of dividends; or (4) any transaction in which the director received an improper personal benefit.

Item 15. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities. We did not sell any equity securities during our 2005 fiscal year or the three month period ended September 30, 2005 that were not registered under the Securities Act and have not previously been described in a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Item 16. Exhibits Exhibit Number Description -----
 2.1 Acquisition Agreement between Registrant and Bioenvision, Inc. dated December 21, 1998 for the acquisition of 7,013,897 shares of Registrant's Common Stock by the stockholders of Bioenvision, Inc. (1) 2.2 Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 1, 2002, by and among Bioenvision, Inc., Bioenvision Acquisition Corp. and Pathagon, Inc. (5) 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant. (2) 2 3.1(a) Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed January 29, 1999. (3) 3.1(b) Certificate of Correction to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed March 15, 2002 (6) 3.1(c) Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed April 30, 2002 (6) 3.1(d) Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of series A Preferred Stock (6) 3.1(e) Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed January 14, 2004 (15) 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant. (13) 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2002, by and among Bioenvision, Inc., the former

shareholders of Pathagon, Inc. party thereto, Christopher Wood, Bioaccelerate Limited, Jano Holdings Limited and Lifescience Ventures Limited. (8) 4.2 Stockholders Lock-Up Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2002, by and among Bioenvision, Inc., the former shareholders of Pathagon, Inc. party thereto, Christopher Wood, Bioaccelerate Limited, Jano Holdings Limited and Lifescience Ventures Limited. (8) 4.3 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement by and among Bioenvision, Inc. and certain purchasers, dated as of May 7, 2002. (6) 4.4 Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and among Bioenvision, Inc. and certain purchasers, dated as of May 7, 2002. (6) 4.5 Form of Warrant (6) 4.6 Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 2, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and RRD International, LLC (14) 4.7 Warrant, dated April 2, 2003, made by Bioenvision, Inc. in favor of RRD International, LLC (14) 4.8 Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2004, by and among Bioenvision, Inc. and the Investors set forth on Schedule I thereto (16) 4.9 Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 22, 2004, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and the Investors set forth on Schedule I thereto (16) 4.10 Form of Warrant (16) 4.11 Bioenvision, Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (17) 10.1 Pharmaceutical Development Agreement, dated as of June 10, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and Ferro Pfanstiehl Laboratories, Inc. 10.2 Co-Development Agreement between Bioheal, Ltd. and Christopher Wood dated May 19, 1998. (3) 10.3 Master Services Agreement, dated May 14, 2003, by and between PennDevelopment Pharmaceutical Services Limited and Bioenvision, Inc. 3 10.4 Co-Development Agreement between Stegram Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. and Bioenvision, Inc. dated July 15, 1998. (3) 10.5 Co-Development Agreement between Southern Research Institute and Eurobiotech Group, Inc. dated August 31, 1998. (3) 10.5(a) Agreement to Grant License from Southern Research Institute to Eurobiotech Group, Inc. dated September 1, 1998. (3) 10.6 License and Sub-License Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and Dechra Pharmaceuticals, plc 10.7 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc. and Christopher B. Wood, M.D., dated December 31, 2002 (3) 10.8 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc. and David P. Luci, dated March 31, 2003 (14) 10.9 Securities Purchase Agreement with Bioaccelerate Inc dated March 24, 2000. (4) 10.10 Engagement Letter Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and SCO Securities LLC. (7) 10.11 Security Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by Bioenvision, Inc. in favor of SCO Capital Partners LLC. (7) 10.12 Commitment Letter, dated November 16, 2001, by and between SCO Capital Partners LLC and Bioenvision, Inc. (7) 10.13 Senior Secured Grid Note, dated November 16, 2001, by Bioenvision, Inc. in favor of SCO Capital Partners LLC. (7) 10.14 Exclusive License Agreement by and between Baxter Healthcare Corporation, acting through its Edwards Critical-Care division, and Implemed, dated as of May 6, 1997. (12) 10.15 License Agreement by and between Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation and bridge Therapeutic Products, Inc., dated as of January 1, 1998. (12) 10.16 Amendment No. 1 to License Agreement by and among Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Bioenvision, Inc. and Pathagon, Inc., dated May 7, 2002. (12) 10.17 Inter-Institutional Agreement between Sloan-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research and Southern Research Institute, dated as of August 31, 1998. (12) 10.18 License Agreement between University College London and Bioenvision, Inc., dated March 1, 1999. (12) 10.19 Research Agreement between Stegram Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Queen Mary and Westfield College and Bioenvision, Inc., dated June 8, 1999 (12) 10.20 Research and License Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc., Velindre NHS Trust and University College Cardiff Consultants, dated as of January 9, 2001. (12) 4 10.21 Co-Development Agreement, between Bioenvision, Inc. and ILEX Oncology, Inc., dated March 9, 2001. (12) 10.22 Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 1, 2002, among Bioenvision, Inc., Bioenvision Acquisition Corp. and Pathagon Inc. (5) 10.23 Master Services Agreement, dated as of April 2, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and RRD International, LLC(14) 10.24 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision Limited and Hugh Griffith, made effective as of October 23, 2002 (18) 10.25 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision Limited and Ian Abercrombie, made effective as of January 6, 2003 (18) 10.26 Amendment # 2 to the Co-Development Agreement between Bioenvision and ILEX Oncology, Inc. dated December 30, 2003.(21) 10.27 Amendment to the Co-Development Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc. and SRI, dated as of March 12, 2001.(21) 10.28 Letter Agreement For Co-Development Of An Oral Clofarabine Formulation and First Amendment to Co-Development Agreement dated March 12, 2001 between Bioenvision, Inc. and ILEX .(21) 10.29 Joinder made by Bioenvision, Inc., dated February 26, 2004(22) 10.30 Supply Agreement-Trilostane, by and among, Stegram Pharmaceuticals, Bioenvision, Inc., Dechra Ltd. and Sterling SNIFF, dated as of August 12, 2005(22) 10.31 Supply Agreement-Trilostane, by and among, Stegram Pharmaceuticals, Bioenvision, Inc., Dechra Ltd. and Steroid SpA, dated as of August 12, 2005(22) 14.1 Bioenvision Inc.'s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (19) 16.1 Letter from Graf Repetti & Co., LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated September 30, 1999. (9) 16.2 Letter from Ernst & Young LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated July 6, 2001. (10) 16.3 Letter from Ernst & Young LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated August 16, 2001. (11) 16.4 Letter from Grant Thornton LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission , dated April 7, 2005 (20) 21.1 Subsidiaries of the registrant (4) 23.1* Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP

23.2* Consent of Grant Thornton LLP 24.1 Power of Attorney (appears on signature page)

----- (1) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 12, 1999. (2) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10-12g filed with the SEC on September 3, 1998. (3) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 10-KSB/A filed with the SEC on October 18, 1999. (4) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 10-KSB filed with the SEC on November 13, 2000. (5) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 16, 2002. (6) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 28, 2002. (7) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 8, 2002. (8) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 21, 2002. (9) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on October 1, 1999. (10) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed with the SEC on July 26, 2001. (11) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 6, 2001. (12) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 24, 2002. (13) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended December 31, 2002. (14) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended March 31, 2003. (15) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended December 31, 2004. (16) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2004. (17) Registrant's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14-A, filed in connection with the annual meeting held on January 14, 2004. (18) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended September 30, 2003. (19) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004. (20) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 7, 2005. (21) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed with the SEC on October 13, 2005. (22) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005. * Filed herewith Item 17. Undertakings. (a) The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes: (1) To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement: (i) To include any prospectus required by section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. (ii) To reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent not more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement. (iii) To include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement. Provided, however, that paragraphs (a)(1)(i) and (a)(1)(ii) do not apply if the information required to be included in a post-effective amendment by those paragraphs is contained in periodic reports filed by the registrant pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that are incorporated by reference in the registration statement. (2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof. (3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of this offering. (b) Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers or controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such

director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue. 7

SIGNATURES Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of New York, State of New York, on December 14, 2005. **BIOENVISION, INC.**

By /s/ Christopher B. Wood Christopher B. Wood, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Christopher B. Wood as his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him/her and in his/her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments and post-effective amendments to this registration statement, and make such changes and additions to this registration statement for the same offering that may be filed under Rule 462(b), and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto the attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he/she might or could do in person, thereby ratifying and confirming all that the attorney-in-fact and agent, or his/her substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof and the registrant hereby confers like authority on its behalf. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this registration statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated. Signatures Title Date -----

----- /s/ Christopher B. Wood, M.D. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director December 14, 2005 -----
 (Principal Executive Officer) Christopher B. Wood * David P. Luci Chief Financial Officer, General Counsel December 14, 2005 (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) * Thomas S. Nelson, C.A. Director December 14, 2005 * Michael Kauffman Director December 14, 2005 * Andrew N. Schiff Director December 14, 2005 * By /s/ Christopher B. Wood, M.D. ----- Christopher B.

Wood Attorney-in-fact Item 16. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. Exhibit Number Description -----

----- 2.1 Acquisition Agreement between Registrant and Bioenvision, Inc. dated December 21, 1998 for the acquisition of 7,013,897 shares of Registrant's Common Stock by the stockholders of Bioenvision, Inc. (1) 2.2 Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 1, 2002, by and among Bioenvision, Inc., Bioenvision Acquisition Corp. and Pathagon, Inc. (5) 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant. (2) 3.1(a) Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation filed January 29, 1999. (3) 3.1(b) Certificate of Correction to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed March 15, 2002 (6) 3.1(c) Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed April 30, 2002 (6) 3.1(d) Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of series A Preferred Stock (6) 3.1(e) Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed January 14, 2004 (15) 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant. (13) 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2002, by and among Bioenvision, Inc., the former shareholders of Pathagon, Inc. party thereto, Christopher Wood, Bioaccelerate Limited, Jano Holdings Limited and Lifescience Ventures Limited. (8) 4.2 Stockholders Lock-Up Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2002, by and among Bioenvision, Inc., the former shareholders of Pathagon, Inc. party thereto, Christopher Wood, Bioaccelerate Limited, Jano Holdings Limited and Lifescience Ventures Limited. (8) 4.3 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement by and among Bioenvision, Inc. and certain purchasers, dated as of May 7, 2002. (6) 4.4 Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and among Bioenvision, Inc. and certain purchasers, dated as of May 7, 2002. (6) 4.5 Form of Warrant (6) 4.6 Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 2, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and RRD International, LLC (14) 4.7 Warrant, dated April 2, 2003, made by Bioenvision, Inc. in favor of RRD International, LLC (14) 4.8 Common Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2004, by and among Bioenvision, Inc. and the Investors set forth on Schedule I thereto (16) 4.9 Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 22, 2004, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and the Investors set forth on Schedule I thereto (16) 4.10 Form of Warrant (16) 4.11 Bioenvision, Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (17) 10.1 Pharmaceutical Development Agreement, dated as of June 10, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and Ferro Pfanstiehl Laboratories, Inc. 10.2 Co-Development Agreement between Bioheal, Ltd. and Christopher Wood dated May 19, 1998. (3) 10.3 Master Services Agreement, dated May 14, 2003, by and between PennDevelopment Pharmaceutical Services Limited and Bioenvision, Inc. 10.4 Co-Development Agreement between Stegram Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. and Bioenvision, Inc. dated July 15, 1998. (3) 10.5 Co-Development Agreement between Southern Research Institute and Eurobiotech Group, Inc. dated August 31, 1998. (3) 10.5(a) Agreement to Grant License from Southern Research Institute to Eurobiotech Group, Inc. dated September 1, 1998. (3) 10.6 License and Sub-License Agreement, dated as of May 13, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and Dechra Pharmaceuticals, plc 10.7 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc. and Christopher

B. Wood, M.D., dated December 31, 2002 (3) 10.8 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc. and David P. Luci, dated March 31, 2003 (14) 10.9 Securities Purchase Agreement with Bioaccelerate Inc dated March 24, 2000. (4) 10.10 Engagement Letter Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and SCO Securities LLC. (7) 10.11 Security Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2001, by Bioenvision, Inc. in favor of SCO Capital Partners LLC. (7) 10.12 Commitment Letter, dated November 16, 2001, by and between SCO Capital Partners LLC and Bioenvision, Inc. (7) 10.13 Senior Secured Grid Note, dated November 16, 2001, by Bioenvision, Inc. in favor of SCO Capital Partners LLC. (7) 10.14 Exclusive License Agreement by and between Baxter Healthcare Corporation, acting through its Edwards Critical-Care division, and Implimed, dated as of May 6, 1997. (12) 10.15 License Agreement by and between Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation and bridge Therapeutic Products, Inc., dated as of January 1, 1998. (12) 10.16 Amendment No. 1 to License Agreement by and among Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Bioenvision, Inc. and Pathagon, Inc., dated May 7, 2002. (12) 10.17 Inter-Institutional Agreement between Sloan-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research and Southern Research Institute, dated as of August 31, 1998. (12) 10.18 License Agreement between University College London and Bioenvision, Inc., dated March 1, 1999. (12) 10.19 Research Agreement between Stegram Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Queen Mary and Westfield College and Bioenvision, Inc., dated June 8, 1999 (12) 10.20 Research and License Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc., Velindre NHS Trust and University College Cardiff Consultants, dated as of January 9, 2001. (12) 10.21 Co-Development Agreement, between Bioenvision, Inc. and ILEX Oncology, Inc., dated March 9, 2001. (12) 10.22 Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 1, 2002, among Bioenvision, Inc., Bioenvision Acquisition Corp. and Pathagon Inc. (5) 10.23 Master Services Agreement, dated as of April 2, 2003, by and between Bioenvision, Inc. and RRD International, LLC(14) 10.24 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision Limited and Hugh Griffith, made effective as of October 23, 2002 (18) 10.25 Employment Agreement between Bioenvision Limited and Ian Abercrombie, made effective as of January 6, 2003 (18) 10.26 Amendment # 2 to the Co-Development Agreement between Bioenvision and ILEX Oncology, Inc. dated December 30, 2003.(21) 10.27 Amendment to the Co-Development Agreement between Bioenvision, Inc. and SRI, dated as of March 12, 2001.(21) 10.28 Letter Agreement For Co-Development Of An Oral Clofarabine Formulation and First Amendment to Co-Development Agreement dated March 12, 2001 between Bioenvision, Inc. and ILEX .(21) 10.29 Joinder made by Bioenvision, Inc., dated February 26, 2004(22) 10.30 Supply Agreement-Trilostane, by and among, Stegram Pharmaceuticals, Bioenvision, Inc., Dechra Ltd. and Sterling SNIFF, dated as of August 12, 2005(22) 10.31 Supply Agreement-Trilostane, by and among, Stegram Pharmaceuticals, Bioenvision, Inc., Dechra Ltd. and Steroid SpA, dated as of August 12, 2005(22) 14.1 Bioenvision Inc.'s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (19) 16.1 Letter from Graf Repetti & Co., LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated September 30, 1999. (9) 16.2 Letter from Ernst & Young LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated July 6, 2001. (10) 16.3 Letter from Ernst & Young LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission, dated August 16, 2001. (11) 16.4 Letter from Grant Thornton LLP to the Securities and Exchange Commission , dated April 7, 2005 (20) 21.1 Subsidiaries of the registrant (4) 23.1* Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP 23.2* Consent of Grant Thornton LLP 24.1 Power of Attorney (appears on signature page)

----- (1) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 12, 1999. (2) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10-12g filed with the SEC on September 3, 1998. (3) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 10-KSB/A filed with the SEC on October 18, 1999. (4) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Form 10-KSB filed with the SEC on November 13, 2000. (5) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 16, 2002. (6) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 28, 2002. (7) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 8, 2002. (8) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 21, 2002. (9) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on October 1, 1999. (10) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed with the SEC on July 26, 2001. (11) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 6, 2001. (12) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 24, 2002. (13) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended December 31, 2002. (14) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended March 31, 2003. (15) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three-month period ended December 31, 2004. (16) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to

Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2004. (17) Registrant's definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14-A, filed in connection with the annual meeting held on January 14, 2004. (18) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the three- month period ended September 30, 2003. (19) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004. (20) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 7, 2005. (21) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, filed with the SEC on October 13, 2005. (22) Incorporated by reference and filed as an Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 14, 2005. * Filed herewith