

STOCKHOUSE INC
Form 10-Q
August 13, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from: _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-23687

STOCKHOUSE INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Colorado

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

84-1379282

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

Suite 500-750 West Pender Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 2T7

(Address of principal executive offices)

(604) 331-0995

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

STOCKGROUP INFORMATION SYSTEMS INC.

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes:
 No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE
PRECEDING FIVE YEARS

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12,
13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed
by court. Yes: No:

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable
date: 41,295,922 common shares at August 11, 2008 (no par value)

**STOCKHOUSE INC.
FORM 10-Q**

INDEX

<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	Page
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	
<u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u>	3
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u>	4
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and the Year Ended December 31, 2007</u>	5
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u>	6
<u>NOTES TO UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	38
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	38
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	40
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	40
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	41
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	41
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	42
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	42
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	43
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	44

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

STOCKHOUSE INC.
(formerly Stockgroup Information Systems Inc.)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Expressed in Thousands of U.S. Dollars, except number of shares and per share information)
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,494	\$ 2,821
Accounts receivable (net of allowances of \$539 and \$456)	1,466	1,906
Prepaid and other current assets	531	752
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	5,491	5,479
Property and equipment, net (note 6)	624	703
Goodwill (note 3)	-	99
Intangible assets, net (notes 3 and 4)	506	1,530
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 6,621	\$ 7,811
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable (note 6)	\$ 2,492	\$ 1,818
Accrued liabilities (note 6)	2,202	2,824
Deferred revenues	1,257	1,341
Capital lease obligations	163	190
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	6,114	6,173
Long-term payable	-	41
Long-term capital lease obligations	30	66
Long-term deferred revenues	32	15
TOTAL LIABILITIES	6,176	6,295
Shareholders' Equity (note 5):		
Preferred stock:		
authorized 5,000,000 shares		
Series A convertible; \$1,000 per share	2,969	-
Common stock, no par value:		
authorized 75,000,000 shares;		
issued and outstanding 41,295,922 and 40,916,921 shares	18,910	18,902
Additional paid-in capital	3,803	3,652
Accumulated deficit	(25,237)	(21,038)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	445	1,516
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 6,621	\$ 7,811
<i>Continuing operations (note 1)</i>		
<i>Commitments and contingencies (note 8)</i>		
<i>Guarantees (note 9)</i>		

See accompanying notes to the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

STOCKHOUSE INC.
(formerly Stockgroup Information Systems Inc.)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Expressed in Thousands of U.S. Dollars, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2008	June 30, 2007	2008	June 30, 2007
REVENUES				
Licensing and subscriptions	\$ 2,567	\$ 2,614	\$ 5,191	\$ 4,789
Advertising services	699	1,091	1,574	2,016
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 3,266	\$ 3,705	\$ 6,765	\$ 6,805
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Cost of revenues (exclusive of amortization)	1,441	1,590	2,911	2,860
Sales and marketing	1,275	1,260	2,656	2,353
Research and development	370	386	752	671
General and administrative	1,964	1,435	3,878	2,450
Amortization of intangible assets	144	271	288	271
Impairment of goodwill	99	-	99	-
Impairment of intangible assets	736	-	736	-
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	6,029	4,942	11,320	8,605
Loss from operations	(2,763)	(1,237)	(4,555)	(1,800)
Interest and other income, net (note 8)	11	26	357	33
Net loss before income taxes	(2,752)	(1,211)	(4,198)	(1,767)
Provision for income taxes	1	-	1	1
Net loss and comprehensive loss	\$ (2,753)	\$ (1,211)	\$ (4,199)	\$ (1,768)
Net loss per common share:				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.05)
Common shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share (thousands)				
	41,507	38,835	41,307	37,676

See accompanying notes to the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

STOCKHOUSE INC.
(formerly Stockgroup Information Systems Inc.)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
(Expressed in Thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Preferred shares No. of shares	Preferred shares U.S.\$	Common stock No. of shares	Common stock U.S.\$	Additional paid-in capital U.S.\$	Accumulated deficit U.S.\$	Total Shareholders Equity U.S.\$
Balance at December 31, 2006	-	-	35,350	13,793	3,394	(15,904)	1,283
Issuance of common shares pursuant to exercise of employee stock options	-	-	734	236	(54)	-	182
Private placement transaction common shares and warrants	-	-	3,333	4,033	96	-	4,129
Issuance of common shares pursuant to business acquisition	-	-	1,500	840	-	-	840
Stock based compensation	-	-	-	-	216	-	216
Net loss and comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	(5,134)	(5,134)
Balance at December 31, 2007	-	-	40,917	18,902	3,652	(21,038)	1,516
Issuance of series A convertible preferred shares	3	2,969	-	-	-	-	2,969
Issuance of common stock pursuant to							

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

exercise of employee stock options	-	-	780	176	(9)	-	167
Shares returned to settle acquisition liabilities (note 3)	-	-	(400)	(168)	-	-	(168)
Stock based compensation	-	-	-	-	160	-	160
Net loss and comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	(4,199)	(4,199)
Balance at June 30, 2008	3	2,969	41,297	18,910	3,803	(25,237)	445

See accompanying notes to the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

STOCKHOUSE INC.
(formerly Stockgroup Information Systems Inc.)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Expressed in Thousands of U.S. Dollars)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (4,199)	(1,768)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) / provided by operating activities:		
Amortization of property and equipment	179	216
Amortization of intangible assets	288	271
Impairment of goodwill	99	-
Impairment of intangible assets	736	-
Stock-based compensation	160	80
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	440	174
Prepaid and other current assets	(79)	(182)
Accounts payable	654	560
Accrued liabilities	(495)	118
Deferred revenues	(67)	237
CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(2,284)	(294)
Investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(18)	(70)
Acquisition of Mobile Finance Division (note 3)	-	(224)
Acquisition of Semotus Assets (note 4)	(34)	(181)
CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(52)	(475)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds on exercise of stock options	167	119
Proceeds from issuance of preferred shares, net of costs	2,969	-
Proceeds on private placement, net of costs	-	4,146
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(127)	(56)
CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	3,009	4,209
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	673	3,440
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,821	2,013
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,494	5,453
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:		
Cash	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,573
Cash equivalents	\$ 2,466	\$ 3,880
Interest paid	\$ 3	\$ 22
Taxes paid	\$ 1	\$ -
Assets acquired through capital lease transactions	\$ 63	\$ 78
Value of shares issued for acquisition of Mobile Finance Division	\$ -	\$ 840

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Shares returned to settle acquisition liabilities	\$	168	\$	-
---	----	-----	----	---

See accompanying notes to the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

STOCKHOUSE INC.
(formerly Stockgroup Information Systems Inc.)
NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
June 30, 2008

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Effective July 10, 2008, the Company changed its name from Stockgroup Information Systems Inc. to Stockhouse Inc. (Stockhouse or the Company). As a result, effective July 21, 2008, Stockhouse traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board quotation service operated by the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. under its new trading symbol STKH.OB (previously since March 17, 1999 under the symbol SWEB) and on the TSX Venture Exchange under its new trading symbol SHC.V (previously since December 17, 2002 under the symbol SWB).

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Stockhouse Inc. have been prepared by the Company in accordance with United States (U.S.) generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 8-03 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual audited consolidated financial statements and related footnotes thereto of the Company in its Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007 as filed with the SEC on April 1, 2008. In the opinion of management, the adjustments considered necessary for fair presentation, all of which are of a normal and recurring nature have been included in these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

These financial statements have been prepared on the basis of a going concern which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of operations. The Company has a history of operating losses. During the six months ended June 30, 2008 the Company generated a loss of \$4,199,000 and used cash in operations of \$2,284,000. On May 13, 2008 the Company closed an equity financing transaction for net proceeds of \$2,969,000 (Note 5). Management believes that these proceeds, along with the Company's existing cash resources, provide sufficient resources to fund continuing operations and corporate development for the next 12 months.

The business experiences seasonal variations with the fourth quarter sales usually being the strongest. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008 or for other future operating periods. All amounts are stated in U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

There have been no new policies adopted or changes in the Company's accounting policies; **690,628** 628,412

The Company's operating expenses for the fourth quarter of 2004 increased to \$690,628 compared to \$628,412 for the fourth quarter of 2003.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company's public company related expenses increased to \$438,349 compared to \$378,103 for the fourth quarter of 2003. This increase corresponds to an increase in investor relations activity in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to the fourth quarter of 2003.

Stock Based Compensation

\$	2004	2003
----	-------------	------

Stock based compensation	1,879,596	490,364
--------------------------	------------------	---------

Non-cash stock based compensation recorded for the fourth quarter of 2004 increased to \$1,879,596 compared to \$490,364 for the fourth quarter of 2003 associated with the granting of stock options to its employees, directors, and certain consultants.

Financing Activities

During the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company received cash proceeds of \$3,362,580 from the exercise of 840,645 previously issued warrants. These warrants related to the private placement entered into on June 19, 2003 and had an exercise price of \$4.00.

On November 23, 2004, the Company closed a public offering whereby it issued 1,504,000 units at an issue price of \$6.65 per unit for net cash proceeds of \$9,150,902. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$8.00 per share until November 23, 2007. In addition, the Company issued 112,800 common share purchase warrants with an exercise price of \$7.06 that expires on May 23, 2006.

On October 14, 2003, the Company closed a public offering whereby it issued 1,200,000 units for net cash proceeds of \$5,459,399. Each unit was comprised of one common share and one half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant entitles the holder to purchase an additional common share for \$6.25 and expires on April 14, 2005. In addition, the Company issued 120,000 broker warrants with an exercise price of \$5.00 that expire on April 14, 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

As at December 31, 2004, the Company had cash and cash equivalents (including short-term investments) and working capital positions of \$33,919,223 and \$33,268,097 respectively compared to \$20,752,735 and \$20,088,868 respectively for 2003. The increase in 2004 reflects the cash inflows from the one private placement, one public offering and the exercise of options and warrants that raised \$23,495,961. Cash outflows during the year arose from research and development expenses, operational expenses, and intellectual property expenditures.

The Company desires to maintain adequate cash and short-term investment reserves to support its planned activities which include its clinical trial program, production manufacturing, and its intellectual property expansion and protection. The Company presently anticipates that its average cash usage for 2005 will be approximately \$1,000,000 per month and its existing capital resources are adequate to fund its current plans for research and development activities well into 2007. Factors that will affect the Company's anticipated monthly burn rate include, but are not limited to, the number of manufacturing runs required to supply its clinical trial program and the cost of each run, the number of clinical trials ultimately approved, the timing of patient enrollment in the approved clinical trials, the actual costs incurred to support each clinical trial, the number of treatments each patient will receive, the timing of the NCI's R&D activity, and the level of pre-clinical activity undertaken.

In the event that the Company chooses to seek additional capital, the Company will look to fund additional capital requirements primarily through the issue of additional equity. The Company recognizes the challenges and uncertainty inherent in the capital markets and the potential difficulties it might face in raising additional capital. Market prices and market demand for securities in biotechnology companies are volatile and there are no assurances that the Company would have the ability to raise funds when required.

Capital Expenditures

The Company spent \$958,809 on intellectual property in 2004 compared to \$1,045,869 in 2003. The change in intellectual property expenditures reflects the timing of filing costs associated with its expanded patent base. As well, the Company has benefited from a stronger Canadian dollar as its patent costs are typically denominated in U.S. currency. The Company received three U.S. patents in 2004 bringing its total patents issued to 13 in the U.S. and one in Europe.

Contractual Obligations

The Company has the following contractual obligations as at December 31, 2004:

Contractual Obligations	\$	Total	Payments Due by Period			After 5 years
			Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	4 - 5 years	
Long term debt ⁽¹⁾		150,000				150,000
Capital lease obligations		Nil				
Operating leases ⁽²⁾		188,479	133,044	55,435		
Purchase obligations		943,815	943,815			
Other long term obligations		Nil				

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Total contractual obligations	1,282,294	1,076,859	55,435	150,000
-------------------------------	-----------	-----------	--------	---------

Notes:

- (1) The Company's long term debt is a \$150,000 loan from the Alberta Heritage Foundation. Repayments are required upon the realization of sales (see note 6 of the Company's audited 2003 financial statements).
- (2) The Company's operating leases are comprised of its office lease.

-16-

Subsequent to the year end, the Company entered into a toll manufacturing agreement that will increase the Company's purchase obligations by \$1,801,000 to \$2,744,815. The combined purchase obligations include activities associated with the Company's clinical trial and manufacturing programs and research collaborations. These purchase obligations are assumed to all occur in 2005.

The Company will fund its capital expenditure requirements and commitments with existing working capital.

Investing Activities

Under its Investment Policy, the Company is permitted to invest in short-term instruments with a rating no less than R-1 (DBRS) with terms less than two years. The Company has \$21,510,707 invested under this policy and is currently earning interest at an effective rate of 2.26%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As at December 31, 2004, the Company has not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Transactions With Related Parties

In 2004 and 2003 the Company did not enter into any related party transactions.

Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company does not use financial derivatives or other financial instruments.

Risk Factors Affecting Future Performance

All of the Company's potential products, including REOLYSIN[®], are in the research and development stage and will require further development and testing before they can be marketed commercially.

Prospects for companies in the biotechnology industry generally may be regarded as uncertain given the nature of the industry and, accordingly, investments in biotechnology companies should be regarded as speculative. The Company is currently in the research and development stage on one product, REOLYSIN[®], for human application, the riskiest stage for a company in the biotechnology industry. It is not possible to predict, based upon studies in animals, or early studies in humans, whether REOLYSIN[®] will prove to be safe and effective in humans. REOLYSIN[®] will require additional research and development, including extensive clinical testing, before the Company will be able to obtain the approval of the United States Food and Drug Administration (the FDA) or from similar regulatory authorities in other countries to market REOLYSIN[®] commercially. There can be no assurance that the research and development programs conducted by the Company will result in REOLYSIN[®] or any other products becoming commercially viable products, and in the event that any product or products result from the research and development program, it is unlikely they will be commercially available for a number of years.

To achieve profitable operations the Company, alone or with others, must successfully develop, introduce and market its products. To obtain regulatory approvals for products being developed for human use, and to achieve commercial success, human clinical trials must demonstrate that the product is safe for human use and that the product shows efficacy. Unsatisfactory results obtained from a particular study relating to a program may cause the Company to abandon its commitment to that program or the product being tested. No assurances can be provided that any current or future animal or human test, if undertaken, will yield favorable results. If the Company is unable to establish that REOLYSIN[®] is a safe, effective treatment for cancer, it may be required to abandon further development of the

product and develop a new business strategy.

There are inherent risks in pharmaceutical research and development.

Pharmaceutical research and development is highly speculative and involves a high and significant degree of risk. The marketability of any product developed by the Company will be affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control, including:

-17-

the discovery of unexpected toxicities or lack of sufficient efficacy of products which make them unattractive or unsuitable for human use;

preliminary results as seen in animal and/or limited human testing may not be substantiated in larger controlled clinical trials;

manufacturing costs or other factors may make manufacturing of products impractical and non-competitive;

proprietary rights of third parties or competing products or technologies may preclude commercialization;

requisite regulatory approvals for the commercial distribution of products may not be obtained; and

other factors may become apparent during the course of research, up-scaling or manufacturing which may result in the discontinuation of research and other critical projects.

The Company's product under development has never been manufactured on a commercial scale, and there can be no assurance that such products can be manufactured at a cost or in a quantity to render such products commercially viable. Production and utilization of the Company's products may require the development of new manufacturing technologies and expertise. The impact on the Company's business in the event that new manufacturing technologies and expertise are required to be developed is uncertain. There can be no assurance that the Company will successfully meet any of these technological challenges, or others that may arise in the course of development.

Pharmaceutical products are subject to intense regulatory approval processes.

The regulatory process for pharmaceuticals, which includes preclinical studies and clinical trials of each compound to establish its safety and efficacy, takes many years and requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Moreover, if regulatory approval of a drug is granted, such approval may entail limitations on the indicated uses for which it may be marketed. Failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can, among other things, result in suspension of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution. Further, government policy may change, and additional government regulations may be established that could prevent or delay regulatory approvals for the Company's products. In addition, a marketed drug and its manufacturer are subject to continual review. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with the product or manufacturer may result in restrictions on such product or manufacturer, including withdrawal of the product from the market.

The FDA in the United States and other relevant regulatory authorities may deny approval of a new drug application (NDA) or its equivalent in the relevant jurisdiction if required regulatory criteria are not satisfied, or may require additional testing. Product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if problems occur after the product reaches the market. The FDA may require further testing and surveillance programs to monitor the pharmaceutical product that has been commercialized. Non-compliance with applicable requirements can result in fines and other judicially imposed sanctions, including product withdrawals, product seizures, injunction actions and criminal prosecutions.

In addition to its own pharmaceuticals, the Company may supply active pharmaceutical ingredients and advanced pharmaceutical intermediates for use in its customers' drug products. The final drug products in which the pharmaceutical ingredients and advanced pharmaceutical intermediates are used, however, are subject to regulation for safety and efficacy by the FDA and other jurisdictions, as the case may be. Such products must be approved by such agencies before they can be commercially marketed. The process of obtaining regulatory clearance for marketing is uncertain, costly and time consuming. The Company cannot predict how long the necessary regulatory approvals will take or whether the Company's customers will ever obtain such approval for their products. To the extent that the Company's customers do not obtain the necessary regulatory approvals for marketing new products, the Company's

product sales could be adversely affected.

The FDA and other governmental regulators have increased requirements for drug purity and have increased environmental burdens upon the pharmaceutical industry. Because pharmaceutical drug manufacturing is a highly

regulated industry, requiring significant documentation and validation of manufacturing processes and quality control assurance prior to approval of the facility to manufacture a specific drug, there can be considerable transition time between the initiation of a contract to manufacture a product and the actual initiation of manufacture of that product. Any lag time in the initiation of a contract to manufacture product and the actual initiation of manufacture could cause the Company to lose profits or incur liabilities.

The pharmaceutical regulatory regime in Europe and other countries is, by and large, generally similar to that of Canada and the United States. The Company could face similar risks in these other jurisdictions, as the risks described above.

The Company's operations and products may be subject to other government manufacturing and testing regulations.

Securing regulatory approval for the marketing of therapeutics by the FDA in the United States and similar regulatory agencies in other countries is a long and expensive process, which can delay or prevent product development and marketing. Approval to market products may be for limited applications or may not be received at all.

The products anticipated to be manufactured by the Company will have to comply with the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) and other FDA and local government guidelines and regulations, including other international regulatory requirements and guidelines. Additionally, certain of the Company's customers may require the manufacturing facilities contracted by the Company to adhere to additional manufacturing standards, even if not required by the FDA. Compliance with cGMP regulations requires manufacturers to expend time, money and effort in production, and to maintain precise records and quality control to ensure that the product meets applicable specifications and other requirements. The FDA and other regulatory bodies periodically inspect drug-manufacturing facilities to ensure compliance with applicable cGMP requirements. If the manufacturing facilities contracted by the Company fail to comply with the cGMP requirements, the facilities may become subject to possible FDA or other regulatory action and manufacturing at the facility could consequently be suspended. The Company may not be able to contract suitable alternative or back-up manufacturing facilities on terms acceptable to the Company or at all.

The FDA or other regulatory agencies may also require the submission of any lot of a particular product for inspection. If the lot product fails to meet the FDA requirements, then the FDA could take any of the following actions: (i) restrict the release of the product; (ii) suspend manufacturing of the specific lot of the product; (iii) order a recall of the lot of the product; or (iv) order a seizure of the lot of the product.

The Company is subject to regulation by governments in many jurisdictions and, if the Company does not comply with healthcare, drug, manufacturing and environmental regulations, among others, the Company's existing and future operations may be curtailed, and the Company could be subject to liability.

In addition to the regulatory approval process, the Company may be subject to regulations under local, provincial, state, federal and foreign law, including requirements regarding occupational health, safety, laboratory practices, environmental protection and hazardous substance control, and may be subject to other present and future local, provincial, state, federal and foreign regulations.

The Company's products may fail or cause harm, subjecting the Company to product liability claims, which are uninsured.

The sale and use of products of the Company entail risk of product liability. The Company currently does not have any product liability insurance. There can be no assurance that it will be able to obtain appropriate levels of product liability insurance prior to any sale of its pharmaceutical products. An inability to obtain insurance on economically

feasible terms or to otherwise protect against potential product liability claims could inhibit or prevent the commercialization of products developed by the Company. The obligation to pay any product liability claim or a recall of a product could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and future prospects of the Company.

The Company's technologies may become obsolete.

The pharmaceutical industry is characterized by rapidly changing markets, technology, emerging industry standards and frequent introduction of new products. The introduction of new products embodying new technologies, including new manufacturing processes, and the emergence of new industry standards may render the Company's products obsolete, less competitive or less marketable. The process of developing the Company's products is extremely complex and requires significant continuing development efforts and third party commitments. The Company's failure to develop new technologies and products and the obsolescence of existing technologies could adversely affect its business.

The Company may be unable to anticipate changes in its potential customer requirements that could make the Company's existing technology obsolete. The Company's success will depend, in part, on its ability to continue to enhance its existing technologies, develop new technology that addresses the increasing sophistication and varied needs of the market, and respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a timely and cost-effective basis. The development of the Company's proprietary technology entails significant technical and business risks. The Company may not be successful in using its new technologies or exploiting its niche markets effectively or adapting its businesses to evolving customer or medical requirements or preferences or emerging industry standards.

The Company has no operating revenues and a history of losses.

To date, the Company has not generated sufficient revenues to offset its research and development costs and accordingly has not generated positive cash flow or made an operating profit. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$38.0 million. The Company incurred net losses of \$13.0 million, \$8.5 million, and \$6.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively. The Company anticipates that it will continue to incur significant losses during 2005 and in the foreseeable future. The Company will not reach profitability until after successful and profitable commercialization of one or more of its products. Even if one or more of its products are profitably commercialized, the initial losses incurred by the Company may never be recovered.

The Company may need additional financing in the future to fund the research and development of its products and to meet its ongoing capital requirements.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company had cash and cash equivalents (including short-term investments) of \$33.9 million and working capital of approximately \$33.3 million. The Company anticipates that it may need additional financing in the future to fund research and development and to meet its ongoing capital requirements. The amount of future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including continued scientific progress in its drug discovery and development programs, progress in its pre-clinical and clinical evaluation of drug candidates, time and expense associated with filing, prosecuting and enforcing its patent claims and costs associated with obtaining regulatory approvals. In order to meet such capital requirements, the Company will consider contract fees, collaborative research and development arrangements, and additional public or private financings (including the incurrence of debt and the issuance of additional equity securities) to fund all or a part of particular programs as well as potential partnering or licensing opportunities. There can be no assurance that additional funding will be available or, if available, that it will be available on acceptable terms. If adequate funds are not available on terms favorable to the Company, the Company may have to reduce substantially or eliminate expenditures for research and development, testing, production and marketing of its proposed product, or obtain funds through arrangements with corporate partners that require the Company to relinquish rights to certain of its technologies or product. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to raise additional capital if its current capital resources are exhausted.

The cost of director and officer liability insurance may continue to increase substantially or may not be available to the Company and may affect the ability of the Company to retain quality directors and officers.

The Company carries liability insurance on behalf of its directors and officers. Given a number of large director and office liability insurance claims in the U.S. equity markets, director and officer liability insurance is becoming increasingly more expensive with increased restrictions. Consequently, there is no assurance that the Company will continue to be offered this insurance or be able to obtain adequate coverage. The inability to acquire the appropriate insurance coverage may limit the Company's ability to attract and maintain directors and officers as required to conduct its business.

The Company incurs some of its expenses in foreign currencies and therefore is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

The Company incurs some of its manufacturing, clinical and consulting expenses in foreign currencies, primarily the U.S. dollar and the Great British pound (GBP). Over the past year the Canadian dollar has appreciated relative to the U.S. dollar and the GBP thereby decreasing the Canadian dollar equivalent. However, if this trend reverses, the Company's Canadian dollar equivalent costs will increase.

Also, as the Company expands to other foreign jurisdictions there may be an increase in its foreign exchange exposure.

The Company earns interest income on its excess cash reserves and is exposed to changes in interest rates.

The Company invests its excess cash reserves in investment vehicles that provide a rate of return with little risk to principle. As interest rates change the amount of interest income the Company earns will be directly impacted.

Other MD&A Requirements

The Company has 32,684,468 common shares outstanding at March 2, 2005. If all of the Company's warrants and options were exercised the Company would have 38,576,386 common shares outstanding.

The Company's 2004 Annual Information Form is available on www.sedar.com.

Management Report

In management's opinion, the accompanying financial statements have been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and within the framework of appropriately selected Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and policies consistently applied and summarized in the financial statements.

Management is responsible for the integrity of the financial statements. Financial statements generally include estimates that are necessary when transactions affecting the current accounting period cannot be finalized with certainty until future periods. Based on careful judgments by management, such estimates have been properly reflected in the accompanying financial statements. Systems of internal control are designed and maintained by management to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded from loss or unauthorized use and to produce reliable accounting records for financial purposes.

The external auditors conducted an independent examination of corporate and accounting records in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards to express their opinion on the financial statements. Their examination included such tests and procedures as they considered necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are presented fairly.

The Board of Directors is responsible for ensuring that management fulfills its responsibilities for financial reporting and internal control. The Board exercises this responsibility through the Audit Committee of the Board. This Committee meets with management and the external auditors to satisfy itself that management's responsibilities are properly discharged and to review financial statements before they are presented to the Board of Directors for approval.

Brad Thompson, Ph.D.
Chairman, President and C.E.O.

Doug Ball, C.A.
Chief Financial Officer

Auditors Report

To the Shareholders of Oncolytics Biotech Inc.

We have audited the balance sheets of Oncolytics Biotech Inc. as at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the statements of loss and deficit and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004 and for the cumulative period from inception on April 2, 1998. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and auditing standards generally accepted in Canada. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, these financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004 and the cumulative period from inception on April 2, 1998 in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Calgary, Canada
February 11, 2005
[except note 20 which is as of February 21, 2005]

Ernst & Young LLP
Chartered Accountants

Balance Sheets

As at December 31	\$	2004	2003
ASSETS			
Current			
Cash and cash equivalents		12,408,516	2,641,127
Short-term investments		21,510,707	18,111,608
Accounts receivable		47,767	64,224
Prepaid expenses		250,365	156,837
		34,217,355	20,973,796
Capital assets [note 4]		5,259,286	4,965,379
Investments [notes 6 and 7]		12,000	111,425
		39,488,641	26,050,600
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
Current			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		949,258	884,928
Alberta Heritage Foundation loan [note 5]		150,000	150,000
Commitments and contingency [notes 8 and 9]			
Shareholders Equity			
Share Capital [note 10]			
Authorized: unlimited			
Issued: 31,915,496 [2003 27,208,262]		66,643,325	44,712,589
Warrants [note 10]		3,347,630	1,598,250
Contributed surplus [note 2, 6, 11 and 12]		6,349,139	3,699,425
Deficit		(37,950,711)	(24,994,592)
		38,389,383	25,015,672
		39,488,641	26,050,600

See accompanying notes

On behalf of the Board:

Fred Stewart
Director

Doug Ball
Director

Statements of Loss and Deficit

For the periods ended December 31	\$	2004	2003	2002	Cumulative from inception on April 2, 1998 to December 31, 2004
Revenue					
Rights revenue					310,000
Interest income		699,757	313,305	208,867	2,785,740
		699,757	313,305	208,867	3,095,740
Expenses					
Research and development [note 9]		7,107,998	2,818,962	4,251,025	23,526,528
Operating		2,803,669	2,449,478	2,102,870	10,005,794
Stock based compensation [note 11]		2,668,570	996,707	32,718	3,697,995
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		358,068	2,881	(598)	359,970
Amortization		751,756	663,524	574,237	2,661,846
		13,690,061	6,931,552	6,960,252	40,252,133
Loss before the following:		12,990,304	6,618,247	6,751,385	37,156,393
Gain on sale of BCY LifeSciences Inc. [note 7]		(34,185)	(264,453)		(298,638)
Loss on sale of Transition Therapeutics Inc. [note 7]			2,156,685		2,156,685
Loss before taxes		12,956,119	8,510,479	6,751,385	39,014,440
Capital tax (recovery)			33,552	(12,281)	51,271
Future income tax recovery [note 14]				(647,618)	(1,115,000)
Net loss for the period		12,956,119	8,544,031	6,091,486	37,950,711
Deficit, beginning of period		24,994,592	16,450,561	10,359,075	
Deficit, end of period		37,950,711	24,994,592	16,450,561	37,950,711
Basic and diluted loss per share [note 13]		(0.45)	(0.35)	(0.30)	

See accompanying notes

Statements of Cash Flows

For the periods ended December 31	\$	2004	2003	2002	Cumulative from inception on April 2, 1998 to December 31, 2004
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net loss for the year		(12,956,119)	(8,544,031)	(6,091,486)	(37,950,711)
Deduct non-cash items					
Amortization		751,756	663,524	574,237	2,661,846
Non-cash compensation [note 11]		2,668,570	996,707	32,718	3,697,995
Gain on sale of BCY LifeSciences Inc.		(34,185)	(264,453)		(298,638)
Cancellation of contingent payment obligation settled in common shares [note 9]		150,000			150,000
Loss on sale of Transition Therapeutics Inc.			2,156,685		2,156,685
Foreign exchange loss		264,080	2,881	(598)	265,982
Future income tax recovery				(647,618)	(1,115,000)
Net changes in non-cash working capital		(69,065)	(489,051)	(1,122,953)	508,233
Cash used in operating activities		(9,224,963)	(5,477,738)	(7,255,700)	(29,923,608)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Intellectual property		(958,809)	(1,045,869)	(860,520)	(3,623,635)
Other capital assets		(15,230)	(50,729)	(191,694)	(526,202)
Purchase of short-term investments		(6,777,179)	(18,111,608)		(24,888,787)
Redemption of short-term investments		3,114,000			3,114,000
Investment in BCY LifeSciences Inc.		133,609	450,151	(127,123)	456,637
Investment in Transition Therapeutics Inc.			2,552,695	(20,352)	2,532,343
Cash used in investing activities		(4,503,609)	(16,205,360)	(1,199,689)	(22,935,644)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Alberta Heritage Foundation loan					150,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants		8,121,296	700,882	34,000	11,582,281
Proceeds from private placements		6,223,763	9,844,700	1,769,877	22,741,983
Proceeds from public offerings		9,150,902	5,459,399		30,793,504
Cash provided by financing activities		23,495,961	16,004,981	1,803,877	65,267,768
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents during the period		9,767,389	(5,678,117)	(6,651,512)	12,408,516
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period		2,641,127	8,319,244	14,970,756	

Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	12,408,516	2,641,127	8,319,244	12,408,516
Cash interest received	459,757	187,843	218,129	
Cash taxes paid (net)		1,552	18,114	

See accompanying notes

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2004 and 2003

1. Incorporation and Nature of Operations

Oncolytics Biotech Inc. (the Company) was incorporated on April 2, 1998 under the Business Corporations Act (Alberta) as 779738 Alberta Ltd. On April 8, 1998, the Company changed its name to Oncolytics Biotech Inc.

The Company is a development stage biopharmaceutical company that focuses on the discovery and development of pharmaceutical products for the treatment of cancers that have not been successfully treated with conventional therapeutics. The product being developed by the Company may represent a novel treatment for Ras mediated cancers which can be used as an alternative to existing cytotoxic or cytostatic therapies, as an adjuvant therapy to conventional chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or surgical resections, or to treat certain cellular proliferative disorders for which no current therapy exists.

2. Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

On April 21, 1999, SYNSORB Biotech Inc. (SYNSORB) purchased all of the shares of the Company. In connection with the acquisition, the basis of accounting for the assets and liabilities of Oncolytics was changed to reflect SYNSORB's cost of acquiring its interest in such assets and liabilities (i.e. reflecting SYNSORB's purchase cost in the financial statements of the Company). The amount by which SYNSORB's purchase price exceeded the underlying net book value of the Company's assets and liabilities at April 21, 1999 was \$2,500,000. Such amount has been credited to contributed surplus and charged to intellectual property which will be amortized to income based on the established amortization policies for such assets. Subsequent to April 21, 1999 SYNSORB's ownership has been diluted through public offerings of the Company's common shares, sales of the Company's shares by SYNSORB and a distribution of SYNSORB's ownership interest in the Company to its shareholders [note 6]. As a result, SYNSORB no longer has any ownership in the Company.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. These policies are, in all material respects, in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles except as disclosed in note 18. The financial statements have, in management's opinion, been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and within the framework of the accounting policies summarized below.

Use of estimates

Because a precise determination of many assets and liabilities is dependent upon future events, the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates and such differences could be significant. Significant estimates made by management affecting the Company's financial statements include the assessment of the net realizable value of long lived assets and the amortization period of intellectual property.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consists of cash on hand and balances with the Company's bank including interest bearing deposits earning an average interest rate of 2.26% (2003 - 2.89%).

Short-term investments

Short-term investments consisting primarily of bankers' acceptances, coupons and notes, and are liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value and with original maturities less than two years at the time of purchase, and are carried at the lower of amortized cost and market value. Gains and losses on disposal of short-term investments are included in income in the period of realization. Premiums or discounts are amortized over the remaining maturity of the instrument and reported in interest income.

Capital assets

Capital assets are recorded at cost. Amortization is provided on bases and at rates designed to amortize the cost of the assets over their estimated useful lives. Amortization is recorded using the declining balance method at the following annual rates:

Office equipment and furniture	20%
Medical equipment	20%
Computer equipment	30%
Leasehold improvements	Straight line over the term of the lease

Costs relating to acquiring and establishing intellectual property (mainly patents) are recorded at cost, net of recoveries. Amortization of the intellectual property is on a straight-line basis over seventeen years or estimated useful life (currently estimated to be ten years) and begins on the earlier of a patent being granted or its utilization. The Company assesses potential impairment of its intellectual property when any events that might give rise to impairment are known to the Company by measuring the expected net recovery from products based on the use of the intellectual property.

Investments

Investments are accounted for at cost and written down only when there is evidence that a decline in value that is other than temporary has occurred.

Foreign exchange

Transactions originating in foreign currencies are translated into Canadian dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the year-end rate of exchange and non-monetary items are translated at historic exchange rates. Exchange gains and losses are included in net loss for the year.

Research and development

Research costs are expensed as incurred. Development costs that meet specific criteria related to technical, market and financial feasibility will be capitalized. To date, all of the development costs have been expensed.

Loss per common share

Basic loss per share is determined using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

The Company uses the treasury stock method to calculate diluted loss per share. Under this method, diluted loss per share is computed in a manner consistent with basic loss per share except that the weighted average shares outstanding are increased to include additional shares from the assumed exercise of options and warrants, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that any outstanding in the money options and warrants were exercised at the later of the beginning of the period or the date of issue and that the proceeds from such exercises were used to acquire shares of common stock at the average market price during the reporting period.

Stock option plan

The Company has one stock option plan (the Plan) available to officers, directors, employees, consultants and suppliers with grants under the Plan approved from time to time by the Board of Directors. Under the Plan, the exercise price of each option equals the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant in accordance with Toronto Stock Exchange guidelines. Vesting is provided for at the discretion of the Board and the expiration of options is to be no greater than ten years from the date of grant.

Stock based compensation*Officers, Directors and Employees*

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company prospectively adopted the fair value based method of accounting for employee awards granted under its stock option plan (see note 11). The fair value of each stock option grant is calculated using the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model and is recorded over the option's vesting period on a straight line basis. Previously, the intrinsic value method was used. The following table provides pro forma net loss and pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share had compensation expense, for awards granted in 2002, been based on the fair value method of accounting for stock based compensation:

	\$	2004	2003	2002
Reported net loss		12,956,199	8,544,031	6,091,486
Compensation expense		4,425	46,533	689,373
Pro forma net loss		12,960,624	8,590,564	6,780,859
Reported basic and diluted net loss per share		(0.45)	(0.35)	(0.30)
Pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share		(0.45)	(0.35)	(0.33)

As this policy has been applied prospectively, comparative information has not been restated.

Non-employees

Stock based compensation to non-employees is recorded at the fair market value based on the fair value of the consideration received, or the fair value of the equity instruments granted, or liabilities incurred, whichever is more reliably measurable, on the earlier of the date at which a performance commitment is reached, performance is achieved, or the vesting date of the options.

Future income taxes

The Company follows the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under the liability method, future income taxes are recognized for the difference between financial statement carrying values and the respective income tax basis of assets and liabilities (temporary differences). Future income tax assets and liabilities are measured using substantively enacted income tax rates expected to apply in the years in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on future income tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is included in income in the period of the change.

4. Capital Assets

	\$	Cost	2004 Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
--	----	------	-------------------------------------	-------------------

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Intellectual property	7,373,742	2,376,144	4,997,598
Medical equipment	191,502	82,498	109,004
Office equipment	29,576	16,163	13,413
Office furniture	88,788	43,046	45,742
Computer equipment	126,322	66,205	60,117
Leasehold improvements	96,636	63,224	33,412
	7,906,566	2,647,280	5,259,286

-29-

	\$	Cost	2003 Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Intellectual property		6,364,495	1,689,617	4,674,878
Medical equipment		191,502	58,140	133,362
Office equipment		29,576	13,165	16,411
Office furniture		88,788	35,050	53,738
Computer equipment		92,730	58,480	34,250
Leasehold improvements		96,636	43,896	52,740
		6,863,727	1,898,348	4,965,379

5. Alberta Heritage Foundation Loan

The Company has received a loan of \$150,000 from the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the Company is required to repay this amount in annual installments from the date of commencement of sales in an amount equal to the lesser of: (a) 5% of the gross sales generated by the Company; or (b) \$15,000 per annum until the entire loan has been paid in full.

6. Related Party Transactions

On May 7, 2002, the shareholders of SYNSORB and the Company approved an arrangement whereby the Company would release from escrow 4,000,000 common shares held by SYNSORB. As consideration, SYNSORB provided the Company with 1,500,000 common shares of BCY Life Sciences Inc. (BCY) along with the rights to receive an additional 400,000 common shares of BCY upon the attainment of certain milestones by BCY at no cash cost to the Company. The Company received 200,000 of these 400,000 common shares on November 27, 2002. These 1,700,000 common shares in BCY were recorded as an investment at \$170,000 based on the quoted market price of the BCY common shares at that time with an offsetting credit recorded to contributed surplus.

7. Investments

On April 23, 2002, the Company acquired 694,445 common shares of BCY, a public company, for \$0.18 per share, and warrants exercisable until April 23, 2004 to purchase up to 694,445 common shares in BCY at an exercise price of \$0.27 per share for total consideration of \$127,123 (including costs of \$2,123). After this transaction and the transaction described in note 6, the Company held a total of 2,394,445 BCY shares. During the first six months of 2004, the Company sold 697,945 (2003 1,496,500) of its BCY shares for net cash proceeds of \$133,609 (2003 \$450,151) recording a gain on sale of investment of \$47,002 (2003 \$264,453). In the third quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a write down of its remaining investment in BCY of \$12,817 to reflect the investment's market value (as estimated based on its publicly traded share price) at that time. As at December 31, 2004, the Company's remaining ownership in BCY was 200,000 common shares with a book value (net of write down) of \$12,000. The warrants expired out of the money.

On June 14, 2002, the Company acquired 6,890,000 common shares of Transition Therapeutics Inc. (TTH), a public company, through the issuance of 1,913,889 common shares of the Company from treasury. The investment was recorded at \$4,709,380 (including acquisition costs of \$20,352) based on the trading price of the Company's shares at the time of acquisition. On June 6, 2003, the Company sold all of its 6,890,000 common shares of TTH for net cash proceeds of \$2,552,695 recording a loss on sale of investment of \$2,156,685.

8. Commitments

The Company is committed to payments totaling \$943,815 during 2005 for activities related to its clinical trial program and collaborations.

The Company is committed to monthly rental payments (including the Company's portion of operating costs) of \$11,087 under the terms of a lease for office premises, which expires on May 31, 2006.

Under a clinical trial agreement entered into with the Alberta Cancer Board (ACB), the Company has agreed to repay the amount funded under the agreement together with a royalty, to a combined maximum amount of \$400,000 plus an overhead repayment of \$100,000, upon sales of a specified product. The Company agreed to repay the ACB in annual installments in an amount equal to the lesser of: (a) 5% of gross sales of a specified product; or (b) \$100,000 per annum.

9. Contingency

During 1999, the Company entered into an agreement that assumed certain obligations (the Assumption Agreement) in connection with a Share Purchase Agreement (the Agreement) between SYNSORB and the former shareholders of the Company to make milestone payments and royalty payments.

As of December 31, 2003, a milestone payment was still outstanding for \$1.0 million, due within 90 days of the first receipt from an Appropriate Regulatory Authority, for marketing approval to sell REOLYSIN® to the public or the approval of a new drug application for REOLYSIN®.

This milestone payment, when payable, will be accounted for as research and development expense and will not be deductible for tax purposes.

In addition to the milestone payment, payments may become due and payable in accordance with the Agreement upon realization of sales of REOLYSIN®. In 2003, the Company completed amendments and revisions to the contingent obligations to its five founding shareholders with respect to these other contingent payments. The amendments and revisions reduced the amount and clarified the determination of potential obligations of the Company to these shareholders arising from the Agreement and Assumption Agreement entered into in 1999. Also, on September 23, 2004, the Company reached an agreement that further reduced its contingent payments to its founding shareholders through the cancellation of a portion of these contingent payments from one of its non-management founding shareholders. The consideration paid by the Company consisted of \$250,000 cash and 21,459 common shares valued at \$150,000 and has been recorded as research and development expense. The value of the common shares was based on the closing market price on September 23, 2004.

As a result of the amendments and the cancellation agreement, if the Company receives royalty payments or other payments as a result of entering into partnerships or other arrangements for the development of the reovirus technology, the Company is obligated to pay to the founding shareholders 11.75% (formerly in 2003 14.25% and 2002 20%) of the royalty payments and other payments received. Alternatively, if the Company develops the reovirus treatment to the point where it may be marketed at a commercial level, the payments referred to in the foregoing sentence will be amended to a royalty payment of 2.35% (formerly in 2003 2.85% and 2002 4%) of Net Sales received by the Company for such products.

10. Share Capital**Authorized:** Unlimited number of common shares**Issued:**

	Shares		Warrants	
	Number	Amount \$	Number	Amount \$
Balance, December 31, 1998	2,145,300	4		
Issued on exercise of stock options	76,922	77		
	2,222,222	81		
July 29, 1999 share split ^(a)	6,750,000	81		
Issued for cash pursuant to July 30, 1999 private placement (net of share issue costs of \$45,000) ^(b)	1,500,000	855,000		
Issued for cash pursuant to August 24, 1999 private placement	1,399,997	1,049,998		
Issued on initial public offering (net of share issue costs of \$317,897) ^(c)	4,000,000	3,082,103		
Issued for cash pursuant to exercise of share purchase warrants	20,000	15,000		
Balance, December 31, 1999	13,669,997	5,002,182		
Issued on exercise of stock options and warrants	573,910	501,010		
Issued for cash pursuant to July 17, 2000 private placement ^(d)	244,898	2,998,645		
Issued on public offering (net of share issue costs of \$998,900) ^(e)	3,000,000	13,101,100		
Balance, December 31, 2000	17,488,805	21,602,937		
Issued on exercise of stock options and warrants	1,702,590	2,210,016		
Balance, December 31, 2001	19,191,395	23,812,953		
Issued on exercise of stock options	40,000	34,000		
Issued on acquisition of the interest in Transition Therapeutics Inc. [note 7]	1,913,889	4,689,028		
Issued for cash pursuant to December 11, 2002 private placement ^(f)	1,000,000	1,896,714	550,000	114,286
Share issue costs		(241,123)		
Balance, December 31, 2002	22,145,284	30,191,572	550,000	114,286
Issued for cash pursuant to February 10, 2003 private placement ^(g)	140,000	265,540	77,000	16,000
Issued for cash pursuant to June 19, 2003 private placement ^(h)	2,120,000	5,912,113	1,272,000	543,287

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

Issued for cash pursuant to August 21, 2003 private placement ⁽ⁱ⁾	1,363,900	3,801,778	813,533	349,176
Issued for cash pursuant to October 14, 2003 public offering ^(j)	1,200,000	5,528,972	720,000	617,428
Exercise of options	64,700	149,615		
Exercise of warrants	174,378	593,194	(174,378)	(41,927)
Share issue costs		(1,730,195)		

-32-

	Shares		Warrants	
	Number	Amount \$	Number	Amount \$
Balance, December 31, 2003	27,208,262	44,712,589	3,258,155	1,598,250
Issued for cash pursuant to April 7, 2004 private placement ^(k)	1,077,100	5,924,050	646,260	1,028,631
Issued for cash pursuant to pursuant to November 23, 2004 public offering ^(l)	1,504,000	8,693,120	864,800	1,521,672
Issued pursuant to cancellation of contingent payment [note 9]	21,459	150,000		
Exercise of warrants	1,907,175	8,178,546	(1,907,175)	(798,096)
Expired warrants		2,827	(6,700)	(2,827)
Exercise of options	197,500	778,951		
Share issue costs		(1,796,758)		
Balance, December 31, 2004	31,915,496	66,643,325	2,855,340	3,347,630

- (a) Pursuant to subsection 167(1)(f) of the Business Corporations Act (Alberta), the Articles of the Company were amended by subdividing the 2,222,222 issued and outstanding common shares of the Company into 6,750,000 common shares.
- (b) Pursuant to a private placement, 1,500,000 common share purchase warrants were issued entitling the holders thereof to acquire one additional share at \$0.75 per share until November 8, 2001. At December 31, 2001, all of the warrants had been exercised.
- (c) Pursuant to the initial public offering, the agent was issued common share purchase warrants entitling it to acquire 400,000 common shares at \$0.85 per share until May 8, 2001. At December 31, 2001, all of the warrants had been exercised.
- (d) Pursuant to the private placement, 244,898 common shares were issued at an issue price of \$12.25 per share net of issue costs of \$1,355.
- (e) Pursuant to a special warrant offering, the Company sold 3,000,000 special warrants for \$4.70 per warrant for net proceeds of \$13,101,100. Each warrant entitled the holder to one common share upon exercise. At December 31, 2001, all of the warrants had been exercised.
- (f) Pursuant to a private placement, 1,000,000 units were issued at an issue price of \$2.00 per unit net of issue costs of \$241,123. Each unit included one common share (ascribed value of \$1.897) and one-half of one common share purchase warrant (ascribed value of \$0.103) for a total of 500,000 warrants. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$3.00 per share until June 11, 2004. In addition, the Company issued 50,000 common share purchase warrants on the same terms to the brokerage firm assisting with the transaction. The ascribed value of these broker warrants was \$11,000 (\$0.22 per broker warrant) and has been included in the issue costs. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.
- (g) Pursuant to a private placement, 140,000 units were issued at an issue price of \$2.00 per unit net of issue costs of \$37,369. Each unit included one common share (ascribed value of \$1.897) and one-half of one common share purchase warrant (ascribed value of \$0.103) for a total of 70,000 warrants. Each whole common share

purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$3.00 per share until August 10, 2004. In addition, the Company issued 7,000 common share purchase warrants on the same terms to the brokerage firm assisting with the transaction. The ascribed value of these broker warrants was \$1,540 (\$0.22 per broker warrant) and has been included in the issue costs. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.

- (h) Pursuant to a private placement, 2,120,000 units were issued at an issue price of \$3.00 per unit net of issue costs of \$637,986. Each unit included one common share (ascribed value of \$2.789) and one-half of one common share purchase warrant (ascribed value of \$0.211) for a total of 1,060,000 warrants. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$4.00 per share until December 19, 2004. In addition, the Company issued 212,000 common share purchase warrants on the same terms to the brokerage firms assisting with the transaction. The ascribed value of these broker warrants was \$95,400 (\$0.45 per broker warrant) and has been included in the issue costs. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.

-33-

- (i) Pursuant to a private placement, 1,363,900 common shares and 681,943 common share purchase warrants were issued for gross proceeds of \$4,091,738. Each common share and whole common share purchase warrant have ascribed values of \$2.787 and \$0.425 respectively. Each common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$4.00 per share until February 21, 2005. Share issue costs related to this private placement were \$367,839. In addition, the Company issued 131,590 common share purchase warrants on the same terms to the advisors assisting with the transaction. The ascribed value of these additional warrants was \$59,216 (\$0.45 per additional warrant) and has been included in the issue costs. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.
- (j) Pursuant to a public offering, 1,200,000 units were issued at an issue price of \$5.00 per unit net of issue costs of \$687,001. Each unit included one common share (ascribed value of \$4.607) and one-half of one common share purchase warrant (ascribed value of \$0.393) for a total of 600,000 warrants. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$6.25 per share until April 14, 2005. In addition, the Company issued 120,000 common share purchase warrants with an exercise price of \$5.00 that expires on April 14, 2005 to the brokerage firms assisting with the transaction. The ascribed value of these broker warrants was \$146,400 (\$1.19 per broker warrant) and has been included in the issue costs. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.
- (k) Pursuant to a private placement, the Company sold 1,077,100 units at an average price of \$6.25 per unit for gross cash proceeds of \$6,731,875. The units were comprised of 1,077,100 common shares and 538,550 common share purchase warrants and have ascribed values of \$5.50 and \$1.50 respectively. Each common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$7.75 per share until October 7, 2005. Share issue costs related to the private placement were \$728,918. In addition, the Company issued 107,710 common share purchase warrants to its advisor entitling the holder to acquire one common share of the capital of the Company upon payment of \$7.00 per share until October 7, 2005. The ascribed value of these additional warrants was \$220,806 (\$2.05 per additional warrant) and has been included in the share issue costs above. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.
- (l) Pursuant to a public offering, the Company sold 1,504,000 units at an issue price of \$6.65 per unit for gross cash proceeds of \$10,001,600. Each unit included one common share (ascribed value of \$5.78) and one-half of one common share purchase warrant (ascribed value of \$0.87) for a total of 752,000 warrants. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share in the capital of the Company upon payment of \$8.00 per share until November 23, 2007. Share issue costs related to this public offering were \$1,063,890. In addition, the Company issued 112,800 common share purchase warrants with an exercise price of \$7.06 that expires on May 23, 2006 to the brokerage firm assisting with the transaction. The ascribed value of these broker warrants was \$213,192 (\$1.89 per broker warrant) and has been included in the share issue costs above. The ascribed values of the warrants were based on the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model.

The following table summarizes the Company's outstanding warrants as at December 31, 2004:

Exercise Price (\$)	Outstanding, Beginning of the year	Granted During the Year	Exercised During the Year	Expired During the Year	Outstanding End of Year	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
3.00	480,755		480,755			

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

4.00	1,243,867		1,237,167	6,700		
4.00	813,533		44,561		768,972	0.17
5.00	120,000		74,442		45,558	0.29
6.25	600,000		70,250		529,750	0.29
7.00		107,710			107,710	0.75
7.06		112,800			112,800	1.40
7.75		538,550			538,550	0.75
8.00		752,000			752,000	2.90
	3,258,155	1,511,060	1,907,175	6,700	2,855,340	1.09

11. Stock Based Compensation

Stock Option Plan

The Company has issued stock options to acquire common stock through its stock option plan of which the following are outstanding at December 31:

	2004		2003	
	Stock Options	Weighted Average Share Price \$	Stock Options	Weighted Average Share Price \$
Outstanding at beginning of year	2,800,800	3.81	2,653,500	4.40
Granted during year	1,202,250	5.63	599,000	3.71
Cancelled during year			(387,000)	7.97
Exercised during year	(197,500)	3.77	(64,700)	2.31
Outstanding at end of year	3,805,550	4.39	2,800,800	3.81
Options exercisable at end of year	3,717,050	4.41	2,720,383	3.87

The following table summarizes information about the stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2004:

Range of Exercise Prices \$	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price \$	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price \$
0.75 - 1.00	982,550	4.8	0.85	982,550	0.85
1.65 - 2.37	281,000	6.5	1.85	231,000	1.88
2.70 - 3.33	478,750	8.0	3.04	473,750	3.07
4.00 - 5.00	1,211,750	9.5	4.79	1,185,250	4.89
6.77 - 9.76	708,500	7.1	8.67	701,500	8.67
12.15 - 13.50	143,000	5.8	12.63	143,000	12.63
	3,805,550	7.5	4.39	3,717,050	4.41

The outstanding options vest annually or after the completion of certain milestones. The Company has reserved 4,012,461 common shares for issuance relating to outstanding stock options.

Edgar Filing: STOCKHOUSE INC - Form 10-Q

As the Company is following the fair value based method of accounting for stock option awards, compensation expense related to options granted to employees and consultants was \$2,537,088 (2003 \$812,711) and \$131,482 (2003 \$102,466) respectively with an offsetting credit to contributed surplus.

The estimated fair value of stock options issued during the year was determined using the Black-Scholes model using the following weighted average assumptions and fair value of options:

	2004	2003
Risk-free interest rate	2.83%	3.09%
Expected hold period to exercise	2 years	2 years
Volatility in the price of the Company's shares	71%	69%
Dividend yield	zero	zero
Weighted average fair value of options	\$2.26	\$1.47

In 2002, the Company granted 48,000 share incentive rights to a non-employee which, when exercised by the holder, would require payment in cash or shares, at the sole option of the Company for amounts in excess of \$2.31 based on the weighted average trading price for the ten trading days prior to the exercise. The Company accounted for this transaction with a non-employee at fair value determined using the Black-Scholes model. The related compensation expense recorded in 2003 was \$81,530, with an offsetting credit to contributed surplus. As at December 31, 2004, these share incentive rights are still outstanding.

12. Contributed Surplus

The following table summarizes the change in contributed surplus for the period ending December 31:

	\$	2004	2003
Balance, beginning of year		3,699,425	2,702,718
Stock based compensation		2,683,869	996,707
Exercise of stock options		(34,155)	
Balance end of year		6,349,139	3,699,425

13. Loss Per Common Share

Loss per common share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2004 of 29,028,391 (2003 - 24,242,845; 2002 - 20,311,238). The effect of any potential exercise of the Company's stock options and warrants outstanding during the year has been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share, as it would be anti-dilutive.

14. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes recorded in the financial statements differs from the amount which would be obtained by applying the statutory income tax rate to the loss before tax as follows:

	\$	2004	2003	2002
Loss before taxes		(12,956,119)	(8,510,479)	(6,751,385)
Statutory Canadian corporate tax rate		33.87%	36.75%	39.24%
Anticipated tax recovery		(4,388,238)	(3,127,601)	(2,649,243)
Non-taxable portion of net capital loss (gain)		(16,717)	347,698	
Employee stock based compensation		903,845	366,290	
Cancellation of contingent payment obligation settled in common shares		50,805		
Change in tax rate		198,610	272,506	228,892
Non-deductible expenses		8,976	9,739	10,398
Change in valuation allowance ^(a)		3,242,719	2,131,368	1,762,335
Future income tax recovery				(647,618)

- (a) As of December 31, 2004, the Company has non-capital losses for income tax purposes of approximately \$23,814,000, which are available for application against future taxable income and expire in 2006 (\$663,000) 2007 (\$1,033,000), 2008 (\$2,898,000), 2009 (\$4,483,000), 2010 (\$4,483,000) and 2014 (\$10,254,000). In addition to the loss carry forward amounts above, the Company has scientific research and development claims and related investment tax credits of approximately \$7,772,000 as at December 31, 2004 which are available for application against future taxable income. The potential benefits resulting from these tax pools have been recognized in the financial statements only to the extent they are more likely than not of being realized.

-36-

The components of the Company's future income tax asset are as follows:

	\$	2004	2003
Non-capital loss carryforwards		8,010,356	4,633,861
Scientific research and development		3,099,863	3,167,981
Net capital loss carryforwards		283,627	308,929
Undepreciated capital costs in excess of book value of capital assets		93,596	72,305
Net book value of intellectual property in excess of tax value		(71,327)	(310,315)
Share issue costs		683,239	509,411
Valuation allowance		(12,099,354)	(8,382,172)
Future tax asset			

15. Indemnification of Officers and Directors

The Company's corporate by-laws require that, except to the extent expressly prohibited by law, the Company will indemnify its officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment reasonably incurred in respect of any civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding as it relates to their services to the Company. The by-laws provide no limit to the amount of the indemnification. The Company has purchased directors' and officers' insurance coverage to cover claims made against the directors and officers during the applicable policy periods. The amounts and types of coverage have varied from period to period as dictated by market conditions. The Company believes that it has adequate insurance coverage; however there is no guarantee that all indemnification payments will be covered under the Company's existing insurance policies.

There is no pending litigation or proceeding involving any officer or director of the Company as to which indemnification is being sought, nor is the Company aware of any threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification.

16. Financial Instruments

Financial instruments of the Company consist of cash and cash equivalents, short term investments, accounts receivable, investments, accounts payable, and the Alberta Heritage Foundation loan. As at December 31, 2004 and 2003, there are no significant differences between the carrying values of these amounts and their estimated market values, with the exception of investments whose market value at December 31, 2003 was \$157,140, determined by the closing market value of the investees' shares.

Credit risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk on its short-term investments in the event of non-performance by counter-parties, but does not anticipate such non-performance. The Company mitigates its exposure to credit risk by restricting its portfolio to investment grade securities with short term maturities and by monitoring the credit risk and credit standing of counterparties.

Interest rate risk

The Company has exposure to interest income risk through its short-term investments in fixed-income securities that are sensitive to interest rate fluctuations.

Foreign exchange risk

The Company purchases goods and services denominated primarily in Canadian, U.S. and U.K. currencies. To manage its foreign exchange risk, the Company, from time to time, acquires short-term investments denominated in these securities.

17. Economic Dependence

The Company contracts the production and currently receives its supplies of REOLYSIN® from one toll manufacturer based in the United Kingdom. There are a limited number of potential producers and suppliers of REOLYSIN®. As a result, any significant disruption of the services provided by this toll manufacturer has the potential to delay the progress of the Company's clinical trial program. Management is aware of and is taking actions to minimize this exposure.

18. Reconciliation of Canadian GAAP to U.S. GAAP

The financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP which, in most respects, conforms to U.S. GAAP. Significant differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP are as follows:

	Notes	\$	Year ended December 31			Cumulative from inception on April 2, 1998 to December 31, 2004
			2004	2003	2002	
Net loss Canadian GAAP			12,956,119	8,544,031	6,091,486	37,950,119
Amortization of intellectual property	(1)		(361,500)	(361,500)	(361,500)	(1,626,750)
In process research and development	(1)					2,500,000
Future income tax recovery	(1)				647,618	1,115,000
Net loss U.S. GAAP			12,594,619	8,182,531	6,377,604	39,938,369
Unrealized loss (gain) on available-for-sale securities	(2)			(45,715)	2,469,414	2,423,699
Reclassification of unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	(2)		45,715	(2,469,414)		(2,423,699)
Comprehensive loss U.S. GAAP			12,640,334	5,667,402	8,847,018	39,938,369
Basic and diluted loss per common share U.S. GAAP			(0.43)	(0.34)	(0.31)	

There are no differences between Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP in amounts reported as cash flows from (used in) operating, financing and investing activities.

Balance sheet items in accordance with U.S. GAAP are as follows:

	Notes	December 31, 2004		December 31, 2003	
		Canadian GAAP	U.S. GAAP	Canadian GAAP	U.S. GAAP
Capital assets	(1)	5,259,286	3,271,036	4,965,379	2,615,629
Investments	(2)	12,000	12,000	111,425	157,140
Future income taxes	(1)				
Deficit	(1)	37,950,711	39,938,961	24,994,592	27,344,342
Other comprehensive loss (income)	(2)				(45,715)

1. Push-Down Accounting and In Process Research and Development

Intellectual property of \$2,500,000 recorded as a consequence of SYNSORB's acquisition of the Company's shares comprises intangible assets related to research and development activities. Under U.S. GAAP, these items are expensed on acquisition.

As a result of charging \$2,500,000 to expense in 1999 for U.S. GAAP purposes, the amortization of the intellectual property and the future income tax recovery and future income tax liability related to intellectual property recorded for Canadian GAAP purposes has been reversed.

-38-

2. Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments

Under U.S. GAAP, equity securities, having a readily determinable fair value and not classified as trading securities, are classified as available-for-sale securities and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in comprehensive income or loss and reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity net of related deferred income taxes. Declines in the fair value of individual available-for-sale securities below their cost that are other than temporary result in write-downs of the individual securities to their fair value. The related write-downs are included in earnings as realized losses. Under Canadian GAAP, these securities are carried at cost and written down only when there is evidence that a decline in value that is other than temporary has occurred.

Stock Based Employee Compensation

On January 1, 2003, the Company prospectively adopted the fair value based method for its employee options (see note 3). Consequently there were no differences between Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP with respect to options granted in 2004 and 2003.

In 2002, the Company applied the intrinsic value method for employee stock options and the fair value method for non-employee options granted after January 1, 2002. Prior to January 1, 2002, for U.S. GAAP, the Company applied the intrinsic value method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock option plans. As well, the Company provided pro forma disclosure as required by FAS 123 for those options granted prior to January 1, 2002.

The following additional pro-forma disclosure would be provided under U.S. GAAP with respect to the fair value of employee options granted prior to January 1, 2002. The fair value for these options granted was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2001
Risk-free interest rate	5.0%
Dividend yield	0%
Volatility factor of expected market price	87%
Weighted average expected life of the option	2 years

Pro forma disclosures of loss and loss per common share are presented below as if the Company had adopted the cost recognition requirements under FAS 123 from inception.

		\$	2004	2003	2002
Net Loss	Pro forma Canadian GAAP		12,960,624	8,590,564	6,780,859
	As reported U.S. GAAP		12,594,619	8,182,531	6,377,604
	Pro forma U.S. GAAP		12,599,044	8,236,440	7,186,991
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	Pro forma Canadian GAAP		(0.45)	(0.35)	(0.33)
	As reported U.S. GAAP		(0.43)	(0.34)	(0.31)
	Pro forma U.S. GAAP		(0.43)	(0.34)	(0.35)
(\$/share)	(\$/share)		(0.43)	(0.34)	(0.35)

Newly Issued U.S. Accounting Standards

Share Based Payments

On December 16, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Statement 123(R) supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and amends FASB Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Statement 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative. Statement 123(R) must be adopted no later than July 1, 2005.

The Company adopted the fair value based method of accounting for share-based payments effective January 1, 2003 using the prospective method described in FASB Statement No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. Currently, the Company uses the Black Scholes model to estimate the value of stock options granted to employees and expects to continue to use this acceptable option valuation model upon the required adoption of Statement 123(R). The Company does not anticipate that adoption of Statement 123(R) will have a material impact on its results of operations or its financial position.

19. Comparative Figures

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation.

20. Subsequent Event

During the January 1, to February 21, 2005 period, the Company received proceeds of \$3,075,888 from the exercise of 768,972 warrants previously issued on August 21, 2003. As of February 21, 2005, all of the 813,533 warrants issued as part of the August 21, 2003 private placement have been exercised.

Corporate Information

Management Team **Bradley Thompson, Ph.D.**, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer **Doug Ball, C.A.**, Chief Financial Officer **George M. Gill, M.D.**, Senior Vice President, Clinical and Regulatory Affairs **Matt Coffey, Ph.D.**, Chief Scientific Officer

Directors

William A. Cochrane, O.C., M.D.

President of W.A. Cochrane & Associates Inc., Chairman of UTI at the University of Calgary and Resverlogix Corp.

Jim Dinning

Chairman of Western Financial Group

J. Mark Lievonen

President of Sanofi Pasteur Limited

Antoine Noujaim, Ph.D.

C.E.O. & Chairman of ViRexx Medical Corp. Former Chairman of the Board of AltaRex Inc. (TSX: AXO)

Robert B. Schultz, F.C.A.

Chairman of Rockwater Capital Corporation. Former Chairman and C.E.O. of Merrill Lynch Canada from August 1998 to May 1, 2000.

Fred A. Stewart, LL.B., Q.C.

President of Fred Stewart & Associates Inc. (government and corporate relations consulting company) since March 1996.

Bradley Thompson, Ph.D.

Chairman, President & C.E.O., Oncolytics Biotech Inc.

Doug Ball, C.A.

C.F.O., Oncolytics Biotech Inc.

Auditor Ernst & Young LLP, 1000 Ernst & Young Tower, 440 2 Avenue SW, Calgary, AB T2P 5E9

Transfer Agent Computershare Trust Company of Canada, Calgary, AB

For change of address, lost stock certificates and other related inquiries contact: 1-800-564-6253 or service@computershare.com

Legal Counsel Bennett Jones Barristers & Solicitors, 4500 Bankers Hall East, 855 2 Street SW, Calgary, AB T2P 4K7

Shareholder Information For annual and quarterly reports, news releases and other investor information, please contact: **Oncolytics Biotech Inc.**, Doug Ball, Chief Financial Officer, Suite 210, 1167 Kensington Cres NW, Calgary, AB Canada T2N 1X7 PH: 403.670.7377 FX: 403.283.0858 Email: info@oncolyticsbiotech.com Website: www.oncolyticsbiotech.com

Suite 210 1167 Kensington Cres NW, Calgary, Alberta Canada T2N 1X7
Phone: 403.670.7377 Fax: 403.283.0858 www.oncolyticsbiotech.com
Stock Listings: Toronto Stock Exchange: **ONC** NASDAQ: **ONCY**