

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST

Form 10-Q

August 14, 2008

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2008, OR
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-14369

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND 52-2058165  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

222 Smallwood Village Center  
St. Charles, Maryland 20602  
(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)  
(301) 843-8600  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "an accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller  
reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of August 8, 2008, there were 5,229,954 Common Shares, par value \$0.01 per share, issued and outstanding

-1-

---

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
FORM 10-Q  
JUNE 30, 2008  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number	
<b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2007 (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2007 (Unaudited)</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2007 (Audited)</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)</u>	6
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2007 (Unaudited)</u>	7
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	8
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the Six and Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u>	21
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	31
<b>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	31
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	32
Item 2	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	32
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	32
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	32
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	32
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	32



Table of Contents

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
<b>Revenues</b>		
Rental property revenues	\$ 30,937	\$ 29,832
Community development-land sales	5,997	5,969
Homebuilding-home sales	2,982	5,214
Management and other fees, substantially all from related entities	380	506
Reimbursement of expenses related to managed entities	762	893
Total revenues	41,058	42,414
<b>Expenses</b>		
Rental property operating expenses	14,917	15,114
Cost of land sales	4,725	4,356
Cost of home sales	2,300	3,816
General, administrative, selling and marketing	6,049	5,368
Depreciation and amortization	5,042	4,581
Expenses reimbursed from managed entities	762	893
Total expenses	33,795	34,128
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>7,263</b>	<b>8,286</b>
<b>Other income (expense)</b>		
Interest and other income	362	890
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities	331	1,845
Interest expense	(8,556)	(9,337)
Minority interest in consolidated entities	(1,321)	(1,557)
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes	(1,921)	127
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(550)	288
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$ (1,371)</b>	<b>\$ (161)</b>
<b>Loss per share</b>		
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.03)
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding</b>		
Basic and Diluted	5,212	5,210
Cash dividends per share	\$ -	\$ 0.20
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.		

Table of Contents

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
<b>Revenues</b>		
Rental property revenues	\$ 15,538	\$ 15,422
Community development-land sales	4,951	2,214
Homebuilding-home sales	738	2,126
Management and other fees, substantially all from related entities	192	243
Reimbursement of expenses related to managed entities	381	422
Total revenues	21,800	20,427
<b>Expenses</b>		
Rental property operating expenses	7,579	7,758
Cost of land sales	3,822	1,440
Cost of home sales	583	1,530
General, administrative, selling and marketing	3,179	2,905
Depreciation and amortization	2,445	2,397
Expenses reimbursed from managed entities	381	422
Total expenses	17,989	16,452
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>3,811</b>	<b>3,975</b>
<b>Other income (expense)</b>		
Interest and other income	188	338
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities	163	172
Interest expense	(4,324)	(4,720)
Minority interest in consolidated entities	(162)	(185)
Loss before benefit for income taxes	(324)	(420)
Benefit for income taxes	(146)	(235)
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$ (178)</b>	<b>\$ (185)</b>
<b>Loss per share</b>		
Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.03)
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding</b>		
Basic and Diluted	5,213	5,210
Cash dividends per share	\$ -	\$ 0.10
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.		

Table of ContentsAMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	As of June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	As of December 31, 2007 (Audited)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
<b>Investments in real estate:</b>		
Operating real estate, net of accumulated depreciation of \$155,130 and \$150,292, respectively	\$ 161,035	\$ 164,352
Land and development costs	93,076	84,911
Condominiums under construction	2,287	4,460
Rental projects under construction or development	2,488	853
Investments in real estate, net	258,886	254,576
Cash and cash equivalents	22,131	24,912
Restricted cash and escrow deposits	21,451	20,223
Investments in unconsolidated real estate entities	6,509	6,528
Receivable from bond proceeds	3,489	5,404
Net accounts receivable	2,082	2,676
Deferred tax assets	34,494	34,075
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	1,026	1,045
Deferred charges and other assets, net of amortization of \$3,162 and \$2,764 respectively	9,593	11,285
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 359,661</b>	<b>\$ 360,724</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Non-recourse debt	\$ 278,085	\$ 279,981
Recourse debt	29,127	25,589
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	23,816	24,874
Deferred income	3,088	3,214
Accrued current income tax liability	14,327	14,620
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>348,443</b>	<b>348,278</b>
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Common shares, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 5,229,954 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	52	52
Treasury stock, 67,709 shares at cost	(376)	(376)
Additional paid-in capital	17,520	17,377
Retained deficit	(5,978)	(4,607)
<b>Total Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>11,218</b>	<b>12,446</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 359,661</b>	<b>\$ 360,724</b>



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated balance sheets.

-5-

---

Table of Contents

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  
(In thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Shares Number	Par Value	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Deficit	Total
Balance December 31, 2007 (Audited)	5,229,954	\$ 52	\$ (376)	\$ 17,377	\$ (4,607)	\$ 12,446
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,371)	(1,371)
Amortization of Trustee Restricted Shares	-	-	-	143	-	143
Balance June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	5,229,954	\$ 52	\$ (376)	\$ 17,520	\$ (5,978)	\$ 11,218

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this consolidated statement.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	2008	2007
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>		
Net loss	\$ (1,371)	\$ (161)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash (used in)		
Provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	5,042	4,581
Distribution to minority interests in excess of basis	1,247	1,827
Benefit for deferred income taxes	(419)	(2,229)
Equity in earnings-unconsolidated entities	(331)	(1,845)
Distribution of earnings from unconsolidated entities	331	346
Cost of land sales	4,725	4,356
Cost of home sales	2,300	3,816
Stock based compensation expense	100	104
Amortization of deferred loan costs	440	478
Changes in notes and accounts receivable	594	1,095
Additions to community development assets	(12,890)	(13,661)
Right of way easement	-	2,000
Homebuilding-construction expenditures	(127)	(393)
Deferred income-joint venture	(126)	(386)
Changes in accounts payable, accrued liabilities	(1,308)	(7,000)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,793)	(7,072)
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities</b>		
Investment in office building and apartment construction	(1,635)	(233)
Change in investments - unconsolidated entities	19	1,513
Change in restricted cash	(1,228)	(2,924)
Additions to rental operating properties, net	(1,567)	(4,708)
Other assets	1,113	863
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,298)	(5,489)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>		
Cash proceeds from debt financing	1,292	23,339
Payment of debt	(1,911)	(18,720)
County Bonds proceeds, net of undisbursed funds	4,176	5,645
Payments of distributions to minority interests	(1,247)	(1,827)
Dividends paid to shareholders	-	(1,032)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,310	7,405
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(2,781)	(5,156)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	24,912	27,459
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 22,131	\$ 22,303

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

-7-

---

Table of Contents

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2008  
(Unaudited)

(1) ORGANIZATION

ACPT is a self-managed holding company that is primarily engaged in the investment of rental properties, property management services, community development, and homebuilding. These operations are concentrated in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area and Puerto Rico and are carried out through American Rental Properties Trust ("ARPT"), American Rental Management Company ("ARMC"), American Land Development U.S., Inc. ("ALD") and IGP Group Corp. ("IGP Group") and their subsidiaries.

ACPT is taxed as a U.S. partnership and its taxable income flows through to its shareholders. ACPT is subject to Puerto Rico taxes on IGP Group's taxable income, generating foreign tax credits that have been passed through to ACPT's shareholders. A federal tax regulation has been proposed that could eliminate the pass through of these foreign tax credits to ACPT's shareholders. Comments on the proposed regulation are currently being evaluated with the final regulation expected to be effective for tax years beginning after the final regulation is ultimately published in the Federal Register. ACPT's federal taxable income consists of certain passive income from IGP Group, a controlled foreign corporation, distributions from IGP Group and dividends from ACPT's U.S. subsidiaries. Other than Interstate Commercial Properties ("ICP"), which is taxed as a Puerto Rico corporation, the taxable income from the remaining Puerto Rico operating entities passes through to IGP Group or ALD. Of this taxable income, only the portion of taxable income applicable to the profits, losses or gains on the residential land sold in Parque Escorial passes through to ALD. ALD, ARMC, and ARPT are taxed as U.S. corporations. The taxable income from the U.S. apartment properties flows through to ARPT.

(2) BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of American Community Properties Trust and its majority owned subsidiaries and partnerships, after eliminating all intercompany transactions. All of the entities included in the consolidated financial statements are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Company" or "ACPT."

The Company consolidates entities that are not variable interest entities as defined by Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) ("FIN 46 (R)") in which it owns, directly or indirectly, a majority voting interest in the entity. In addition, the Company consolidates entities, regardless of ownership percentage, in which the Company serves as the general partner and the limited partners do not have substantive kick-out rights or substantive participation rights in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 04-05, "Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights," ("EITF 04-05"). The assets of consolidated real estate partnerships not 100% owned by the Company are generally not available to pay creditors of the Company.

The consolidated group includes ACPT and its four major subsidiaries, American Rental Properties Trust, American Rental Management Company, American Land Development U.S., Inc., and IGP Group Corp. In addition, the consolidated group includes the following other entities:

Alturas del Senorial Associates Limited Partnership	Land Development Associates S.E.
American Housing Management Company	LDA Group, LLC
American Housing Properties L.P.	Milford Station I, LLC

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Bannister Associates Limited Partnership	Milford Station II, LLC
Bayamon Garden Associates Limited Partnership	Monserrate Associates Limited Partnership
Carolina Associates Limited Partnership S.E.	New Forest Apartments, LLC
Coachman's Apartments, LLC	Nottingham South, LLC
Colinas de San Juan Associates Limited Partnership	Owings Chase, LLC
Crossland Associates Limited Partnership	Palmer Apartments Associates Limited Partnership
Escorial Office Building I, Inc.	Prescott Square, LLC
Essex Apartments Associates Limited Partnership	St. Charles Community, LLC
Fox Chase Apartments, LLC	San Anton Associates S.E.
Gleneagles Apartments, LLC	Sheffield Greens Apartments, LLC
Headen House Associates Limited Partnership	Torres del Escorial, Inc.
Huntington Associates Limited Partnership	Turabo Limited Dividend Partnership
Interstate Commercial Properties, Inc.	Valle del Sol Associates Limited Partnership
Interstate General Properties Limited Partnership, S.E.	Village Lake Apartments, LLC
Jardines de Caparra Associates Limited Partnership	Wakefield Terrace Associates Limited Partnership
Lancaster Apartments Limited Partnership	Wakefield Third Age Associates Limited Partnership

The Company's investments in entities that it does not control are recorded using the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 3 for further discussion regarding Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Entities.

## Table of Contents

### Interim Financial Reporting

These unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. The Company has no items of other comprehensive income for any of the periods presented. In the opinion of management, these unaudited financial statements reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) necessary to present a fair statement of results for the interim period. While management believes that the disclosures presented are adequate to make the information not misleading, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. The operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2008, and 2007, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. Net income (loss) per share is calculated based on weighted average shares outstanding.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements, and accompanying notes and disclosures. These estimates and assumptions are prepared using management's best judgment after considering past and current events and economic conditions. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

### Sales, Profit Recognition and Cost Capitalization

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 66, "Accounting for Sales of Real Estate," community development land sales are recognized at closing only when sufficient down payments have been obtained and initial and continuing investment criteria have been met, possession and other attributes of ownership have been transferred to the buyer, and ACPT has no significant continuing involvement. Under the provisions of SFAS 66, related to condominium sales, revenues and costs are to be recognized when construction is beyond the preliminary stage, the buyer is committed to the extent of being unable to require a refund except for non-delivery of the unit, sufficient units in the project have been sold to ensure that the property will not be converted to rental property, the sales proceeds are collectible and the aggregate sales proceeds and the total cost of the project can be reasonably estimated. Accordingly we recognize revenues and costs upon settlement with the homebuyer which doesn't occur until after we receive use and occupancy permits for the building.

The costs of developing the land are allocated to our land assets and charged to cost of sales as the related inventories are sold using the relative sales value method which rely on estimated costs and sales values. In accordance with SFAS 67 "Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects", the costs of acquiring and developing land are allocated to these assets and charged to cost of sales as the related inventories are sold. Within our homebuilding operations, the costs of acquiring the land and construction of the condominiums are allocated to these assets and charged to cost of sales as the condominiums are sold. The cost of sales is determined by the percentage of completion method. The Company considers interest expense on all debt available for capitalization to the extent of average qualifying assets for the period. Interest specific to the construction of qualifying assets, represented primarily by our recourse debt, is first considered for capitalization. To the extent qualifying assets exceed debt specifically identified, a weighted average rate including all other debt is applied. Any excess interest is reflected as interest expense.

### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

ACPT carries its rental properties, homebuilding inventory, land and development costs at the lower of cost or fair value in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." For real estate assets such as our rental properties which the Company plans to hold and use, which includes property to be developed in the future, property currently under development and real estate projects that are completed or substantially complete, we evaluate whether the carrying amount of each of these assets will be recovered from their undiscounted future cash flows arising from their use and eventual

disposition. If the carrying value were to be greater than the undiscounted future cash flows, we would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount is not recoverable. Our estimates of the undiscounted operating cash flows expected to be generated by each asset are performed on an individual project basis and based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties, including, among others, demand for apartment units, competition, changes in market rental rates, and costs to operate and complete each project. There have been no impairment charges for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

The Company evaluates, on an individual project basis, whether the carrying value of its substantially completed real estate projects, such as our homebuilding inventory that are to be sold, will be recovered based on the fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying value were to be greater than the fair value less costs to sell, we would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount is not recoverable. Our estimates of the fair value less costs to sell are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties, including, among others, comparable sales, demand for commercial and residential lots and competition. The Company performed similar reviews for land held for future development and sale considering such factors as the cash flows associated with future development expenditures. Should this evaluation indicate an impairment has occurred, the Company will record an impairment charge equal to the excess of the historical cost over fair value less costs to sell. There have been no impairment charges for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

#### Depreciable Assets and Depreciation

The Company's operating real estate is stated at cost and includes all costs related to acquisitions, development and construction. The Company makes assessments of the useful lives of our real estate assets for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation expense to reflect on our income statement on an annual basis. The assessments, all of which are judgmental determinations, are as follows:



Table of Contents

- Buildings and improvements are depreciated over five to forty years using the straight-line or double declining balance methods,
  - Furniture, fixtures and equipment are depreciated over five to seven years using the straight-line method,
- Leasehold improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the lesser of the life of the lease or their estimated useful life,
  - Maintenance and other repair costs are charged to operations as incurred.

## Operating Real Estate

The table below presents the major classes of depreciable assets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2007 (Audited)
Building	\$ 265,538	\$ 265,115
Building improvements	10,494	10,414
Equipment	14,621	13,603
	290,653	289,132
Less: Accumulated depreciation	155,130	150,292
	135,532	138,840
Land	25,512	25,512
Operating properties, net	\$ 161,035	\$ 164,352

## Other Property and Equipment

In addition, the Company owned other property and equipment of \$1,026,000 and \$1,045,000, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,451,000 and \$2,294,000 respectively, as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 respectively.

## Depreciation

Total depreciation expense was \$5,042,000 and \$4,581,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$2,445,000 and \$2,397,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

## SFAS 157 and 159

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, "Fair Value Measurements" and in February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS 157 defines fair values as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. SFAS 157 applies whenever other standards require assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used in developing fair value estimates. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data, such as the reporting entity's own data. SFAS 157 requires fair value measurements to be disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. On February 12, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157," which amends FAS No. 157 by delaying its effective date by one year for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. Therefore, beginning on January 1, 2008, this standard applies prospectively to new fair value measurements of financial instruments and recurring fair value measurements of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. On January 1, 2009, the standard will also apply to all other fair value measurements.

SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The fair value election is designed to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. SFAS 159 was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2008. The implementation of SFAS 157 and 159 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

#### SFAS 141R

On December 4, 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141R, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"). This statement changes the accounting for acquisitions specifically eliminating the step acquisition model, changing the recognition of contingent consideration from being recognized when it is probable to being recognized at the time of acquisition, disallowing the capitalization of transaction costs and delays when restructurings related to acquisitions can be recognized. The standard is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008 and will only impact the accounting for acquisitions we make after its adoption, except for certain amendments related to income taxes related to acquisitions which will apply to business combinations with acquisition dates before the effective dates of SFAS 141R.

## Table of Contents

### SFAS 160

On December 4, 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 replaces the concept of minority interest with noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries. Noncontrolling interests will now be reported as a component of equity in the consolidated statement of financial position. Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests will continue to be reported as a part of consolidated earnings; however, SFAS 160 requires that income attributable to both controlling and noncontrolling interests be presented separately on the face of the consolidated income statement. In addition, SFAS 160 provides that when losses attributable to noncontrolling interests exceed the noncontrolling interest's basis, losses continue to be attributed to the noncontrolling interest as opposed to being absorbed by the consolidating entity. SFAS 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of SFAS 160 shall be applied prospectively. SFAS 160 is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS 160 on its consolidated financial statements. However, the provisions of SFAS 160 are directly applicable to the Company's currently reported minority interest in consolidated entities and, accordingly, will change the presentation of the Company's financial statements when implemented.

### EITF Issue No. 06-08

In November 2006, the Emerging Issues Task force of the FASB ("EITF") reached a consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-08, "Applicability of a Buyer's Continuing Investment under FASB Statement No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate, for Sales of Condominiums" ("EITF 06-08"). EITF 06-08 requires condominium sales to meet the continuing investment criterion in FAS No. 66 in order for profit to be recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. EITF 06-08 was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2008. The implementation of EITF 06-08 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

### (3) INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED REAL ESTATE ENTITIES

The Company accounts for investments in unconsolidated real estate entities that are not considered variable interest entities under FIN 46(R) in accordance with SOP 78-9 "Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures" and APB Opinion No. 18 "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock". For entities that are considered variable interest entities under FIN 46(R), the Company performs an assessment to determine the primary beneficiary of the entity as required by FIN 46(R). The Company accounts for variable interest entities in which the Company is not a primary beneficiary and does not bear a majority of the risk of expected loss in accordance with the equity method of accounting.

The Company considers many factors in determining whether or not an investment should be recorded under the equity method, such as economic and ownership interests, authority to make decisions, and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners. Income and losses are recognized in accordance with the terms of the partnership agreements and any guarantee obligations or commitments for financial support. The Company's investments in unconsolidated real estate entities accounted for under the equity method of accounting currently consists of general partnership interests in two limited partnerships which own apartment properties in the United States; a limited partnership interest in a limited partnership that owns a commercial property in Puerto Rico; and a 50% ownership interest in a joint venture formed as a limited liability company.

#### Apartment Partnerships

The unconsolidated apartment partnerships as of June 30, 2008 and 2007 included Brookside Gardens Limited Partnership ("Brookside") and Lakeside Apartments Limited Partnership ("Lakeside") which collectively represent 110 rental units. We have determined that these two entities are variable interest entities under FIN 46(R). However, the Company is not required to consolidate the partnerships due to the fact that it is not the primary beneficiary and does not bear the majority of the risk of expected losses. The Company holds a nominal (1% or less) economic interest in Brookside and Lakeside but, as a general partner, we have significant influence over operations of these entities that is disproportionate to our economic ownership. In accordance with SOP 78-9 and APB No. 18, these investments are

accounted for under the equity method. The Company is exposed to losses consisting of our net investment, loans and unpaid fees for Brookside of \$239,000 and \$231,000 and for Lakeside of \$163,000 and \$172,000 as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. All amounts are fully reserved. Pursuant to the partnership agreement for Brookside, the Company, as general partner, is responsible for providing operating deficit loans to the partnership in the event that it is not able to generate sufficient cash flows from its operating activities.

-11-

---

Table of Contents

Commercial Partnerships

The Company holds a limited partner interest in a commercial property in Puerto Rico that it accounts for under the equity method of accounting. ELI, S.E. ("ELI"), is a partnership formed for the purpose of constructing a building for lease to the State Insurance Fund of the Government of Puerto Rico. ACPT contributed the land in exchange for \$700,000 and a 27.82% ownership interest in the partnership's assets, equal to a 45.26% interest in cash flow generated by the thirty-year lease of the building.

On April 30, 2004, the Company purchased a 50% limited partnership interest in El Monte Properties, S.E. ("El Monte") from Insular Properties Limited Partnership ("Insular") for \$1,462,500. Insular is owned by the J. Michael Wilson Family, a related party. In December 2004, a third party buyer purchased El Monte for \$20,000,000, \$17,000,000 in cash and \$3,000,000 in notes. The net cash proceeds from the sale of the real estate were distributed to the partners. As a result, the Company received \$2,500,000 in cash and recognized \$986,000 of income in 2004. The gain on sale was reduced by the amount of the seller's note which is subject to future subordination. In January 2005, El Monte distributed the notes to the partners whereby the Company received a \$1,500,000 note. The Company determined that the cost recovery method of accounting was appropriate for this transaction and accordingly, deferred revenue recognition on this note until cash payment was received. In January 2007, the Company received \$1,707,000, equal to the full principal amount due plus all accrued interest outstanding and, accordingly, recognized \$1,500,000 of equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities and \$207,000 of interest income. The Company has no required funding obligations and management expects to wind up El Monte's affairs during 2008.

Land Development Joint Venture

In September 2004, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Lennar Corporation for the development of a 352-unit, active adult community located in St. Charles, Maryland. The Company manages the project's development for a market rate fee pursuant to a management agreement. In September 2004, the Company transferred land to the joint venture in exchange for a 50% ownership interest and \$4,277,000 in cash. The Company's investment in the joint venture was recorded at 50% of the historical cost basis of the land with the other 50% recorded within our deferred charges and other assets. The proceeds received are reflected as deferred revenue. The deferred revenue and related deferred costs will be recognized into income as the joint venture sells lots to Lennar. In March 2005, the joint venture closed a non-recourse development loan, which was amended in June 2006, December 2006 and again in October 2007. Included within these amendments, the maximum borrowings outstanding on the facility were reduced to \$5.0 million. For the October 2007 amendment, the development loan was modified to provide a one-year delay in development of the project, as to date, lot development has outpaced sales. Per the terms of the loan, both the Company and Lennar provided development completion guarantees. In the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, the joint venture did not sell any lots. In the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, the joint venture sold 30 and 16 lots to Lennar and recognized \$655,000 and \$363,000 in deferred revenue, off-site fees and management fees and \$218,000 and \$125,000 of deferred costs, respectively.

The following table summarizes the financial data and principal activities of the unconsolidated real estate entities, which the Company accounts for under the equity method. The information is presented to segregate the apartment partnerships from the commercial partnerships as well as our 50% ownership interest in the land development joint venture, which are all accounted for as "investments in unconsolidated real estate entities" on the balance sheets.

Table of Contents

	Apartment Properties	Commercial Property	Land Development Joint Venture	Total
	(in thousands)			
<b>Summary Financial Position:</b>				
<b>Total Assets</b>				
June 30, 2008	\$ 4,874	\$ 27,512	\$ 12,555	\$ 44,941
December 31, 2007	4,980	27,379	12,397	44,756
<b>Total Non-Recourse Debt</b>				
June 30, 2008	3,150	22,960	4,879	30,989
December 31, 2007	3,189	22,960	4,722	30,871
<b>Total Other Liabilities</b>				
June 30, 2008	976	144	743	1,863
December 31, 2007	976	147	741	1,864
<b>Total Equity</b>				
June 30, 2008	748	4,408	6,933	12,089
December 31, 2007	815	4,272	6,934	12,021
<b>Company's Investment, net (1)</b>				
June 30, 2008	-	4,681	1,828	6,509
December 31, 2007	(1)	4,701	1,828	6,528
<b>Summary of Operations:</b>				
<b>Total Revenue</b>				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	\$ 418	\$ 1,789	\$ -	\$ 2,207
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	401	1,821	3,609	5,831
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	210	893	-	1,103
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	231	912	1,735	2,878
<b>Net Income</b>				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	(67)	909	-	842
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	(97)	937	3	843
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	(32)	453	-	421
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	(58)	469	3	414
<b>Company's recognition of equity in earnings</b>				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	331	-	331
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	(1)	346	-	345
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	163	-	163
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 (2)	(1)	173	-	172
<b>Summary of Cash Flows:</b>				
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	49	977	1	1,027
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	50	845	3,149	4,044
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	44	58	7	109
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	16	(17)	1,791	1,790
<b>Company's share of cash flows from operating activities</b>				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	442	1	443
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	1	382	1,575	1,958

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	26	4	30
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	1	(8)	896	889
Operating cash distributions				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	773	-	773
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	-	794	-	794
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	386	-	386
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	-	383	-	383
Company's share of operating cash distributions				
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	351	-	351
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	-	360	-	360
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	-	175	-	175
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	-	174	-	174

-13-

---

Table of Contents

## Notes:

(1) Represents the Company's net investment, including assets and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet for unconsolidated real estate entities.

(2) Excludes collection of the El Monte note receivable, resulting in recognition of \$1.5 million as Equity in Earnings, see Note 6.

## (4) DEBT

The Company's outstanding debt is collateralized primarily by land, land improvements, receivables, investment properties, investments in partnerships, and rental properties. The following table summarizes the indebtedness of the Company at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	Maturity Dates From/To	Interest Rates From/To	Outstanding as of June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2007 (Audited)
<b>Recourse Debt</b>				
Community Development (a), ( b), (c), (d)	08-31-09/03-01-23	3%/8%	\$ 28,925	\$ 25,490
General obligations (e)	06-01-09/01-01-Non-interest	bearing/8.10%	202	99
<b>Total Recourse Debt</b>			<b>29,127</b>	<b>25,589</b>
<b>Non-Recourse Debt</b>				
Investment Properties (f)(g)	04-30-09/08-01-47	5%/10%	278,085	279,981
<b>Total debt</b>			<b>\$ 307,212</b>	<b>\$ 305,570</b>

- a) As of June 30, 2008, \$26,027,000 of the community development recourse debt relates to the general obligation bonds issued by the Charles County government as described in detail under the heading "Financial Commitments" in Note 5.
- b) On April 14, 2006, the Company closed a three year \$14,000,000 revolving acquisition and development line of credit loan ("the Revolver") secured by a first lien deed of trust on property located in St. Charles, MD. The maximum amount of the loan at any one time is \$14,000,000. The facility includes various sub-limits on a revolving basis for amounts to finance apartment project acquisitions and land development in St. Charles. The terms require certain financial covenants to be calculated annually as of December 31, including a tangible net worth to senior debt ratio for ALD and a minimum net worth test for ACPT. As of June 30, 2008 no amounts were outstanding on the Revolver.
- c) On September 1, 2006, LDA secured a revolving line of credit facility. The line of credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate equivalent to the LIBOR Rate plus 200 basis points (4.68% at June 30, 2008). The Company recently extended the maturity date for this facility from August 31, 2008 to August 31, 2009. As part of the extension, the Company agreed to an increased rate equal to the LIBOR Rate plus 225 basis points beginning July 1, 2008, a reduction of the overall facility from \$15,000,000 to \$10,000,000 and to use the credit facility for the development of infrastructure in Parque Escorial and Parque El Comandante. The outstanding balance of this facility on June 30, 2008, was \$1,775,000.
- d) On April 2, 2008, the Company secured a two-year, \$3,600,000 construction loan for the construction of a commercial restaurant/office building within the O'Donnell Lake Restaurant Park. The facility is secured by the land along with any improvements constructed and bears interest at Wall Street Journal published Prime Rate (5.0% at June 30, 2008). At the end of the two-year construction period, the Company may convert the loan to a 5 year permanent loan, amortized over a 30 year period at a fixed interest rate to be determined. As of June 30, 2008, \$1,123,000 was outstanding under this facility leaving \$2,477,000



available to fund completion of the building.

- e) The general recourse debt outstanding as of June 30, 2008, is made up of various capital leases outstanding within our U.S. and Puerto Rico operations, as well as installment loans for vehicles and other miscellaneous equipment.
- f) The non-recourse debt related to the investment properties is collateralized by the multifamily rental properties and the office building in Parque Escorial. As of June 31, 2008, approximately \$74,179,000 of this debt is secured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") or the Maryland Housing Fund.
- g) On May 12, 2008, IGP agreed to provide a fixed charge and debt service guaranty related to the Escorial Office Building I, Inc ("EOB") mortgage. The fixed charge and debt service guaranty requires IGP to contribute capital in cash in such amounts required to cause EOB to comply with the related financial covenants. The guarantee will remain in full force until EOB has complied with the financial covenants for four consecutive quarters.

The Company's loans contain various financial, cross collateral, cross default, technical and restrictive provisions. As of June 30, 2008, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants and the other provisions of its loan agreements.

-14-

---

Table of Contents

(5) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Financial Commitments

Pursuant to an agreement reached between ACPT and the Charles County Commissioners in 2002, the Company agreed to accelerate the construction of two major roadway links to the Charles County (the "County") road system. As part of the agreement, the County agreed to issue general obligation public improvement Bonds (the "Bonds") to finance \$20,000,000 of this construction guaranteed by letters of credit provided by Lennar as part of a residential lot sales contract for 1,950 lots in Fairway Village. The Bonds were issued in three installments with the final \$6,000,000 installment issued in March 2006. The Bonds bear interest rates ranging from 4% to 8%, for a blended lifetime rate for total Bonds issued to date of 5.1%, and call for semi-annual interest payments and annual principal payments and mature in fifteen years. Under the terms of bond repayment agreements between the Company and the County, the Company is obligated to pay interest and principal on the full amount of the Bonds; as such, the Company recorded the full amount of the debt and a receivable from the County representing the undisbursed Bond proceeds to be advanced to the Company as major infrastructure development within the project occurs. As of June 30, 2008, all of the bond proceeds had been used to fund the specified development. As part of the agreement, the Company will pay the County a monthly payment equal to one-sixth of the semi-annual interest payments and one-twelfth of the annual principal payment due on the Bonds. The County also requires ACPT to fund an escrow account from lot sales that will be used to repay this obligation.

In August 2005, the Company signed a memorandum of understanding ("MOU") with the Charles County Commissioners regarding a land donation that is now the site of a minor league baseball stadium and entertainment complex which opened in May of 2008. Under the terms of the MOU, the Company donated 42 acres of land in St. Charles to the County on December 31, 2005. The Company also agreed to expedite off-site utilities, storm-water management and road construction improvements that will serve the entertainment complex and future portions of St. Charles so that the improvements will be completed concurrently with the entertainment complex. The County will be responsible for infrastructure improvements on the site of the complex. In return, the County agreed to issue general obligation bonds to finance the infrastructure improvements. In March 2006, \$4,000,000 of bonds were issued for this project, with an additional \$3,000,000 issued in both March 2007 and March 2008. As of June 30, 2008, \$3,489,000 of these bond proceeds are recorded as a receivable and available to fund the related infrastructure. The funds provided by the County for this project will be repaid by ACPT over a 15-year period. In addition, the County agreed to issue an additional 100 school allocations a year to St. Charles commencing with the issuance of bonds.

During 2006, the Company reached an agreement with Charles County whereby the Company receives interest payments on any undistributed bond proceeds held in escrow by the County. The agreement covers the period from July 1, 2005 through the last draw made by the Company.

As of June 30, 2008, ACPT is guarantor of \$22,990,000 of surety bonds for the completion of land development projects with Charles County; substantially all are for the benefit of the Charles County Commissioners.

Consulting Agreement and Arrangement

ACPT entered into a consulting and retirement compensation agreement with Interstate General Company L.P.'s ("IGC") founder and Chief Executive Officer, James J. Wilson, effective October 5, 1998 (the "Consulting Agreement"). IGC was the predecessor company to ACPT. Under the terms of the Consulting Agreement, the Company will pay Mr. Wilson \$200,000 per year through September 2008.

Guarantees

ACPT and its subsidiaries typically provide guarantees for another subsidiary's loans. In many cases more than one company guarantees the same debt. Since all of these companies are consolidated, the debt or other financial commitment made by the subsidiaries to third parties and guaranteed by ACPT, is included within ACPT's consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2008, ACPT has guaranteed \$26,027,000 of outstanding debt owed by its subsidiaries. IGP has guaranteed \$1,775,000 of its subsidiaries' outstanding debt. The guarantees will remain in effect until the debt service is fully repaid by the respective borrowing subsidiary. The terms of the debt service

guarantees outstanding range from one to nine years. In addition to debt service guarantees, both the Company and Lennar provided development completion guarantees related to the St. Charles Active Adult Community Joint Venture. We do not expect any of these guarantees to impair the individual subsidiary or the Company's ability to conduct business or to pursue its future development plans.

#### Legal Matters

In late November 2006, several subsidiaries of the Company (LDA, IGP and IGP Group) were named in a lawsuit filed by Jalexis, Inc. ("Jalexis"). The lawsuit claims damages for more than \$15 million allegedly suffered due to faulty subsoil conditions in a piece of land within the master plan of Parque Escorial ("Lot I-13W"). Settlement of Lot I-13W occurred on April 29, 2005 under an option agreement dated April 19, 2004. Jalexis purchased Lot I-13W from LDA for approximately \$7.5 million, which represented 12% of our total consolidated revenues for 2005. In the purchase agreement, LDA did not make any representations or warranties with regard to the soil and subsoil conditions as Lot I-13W was sold to Jalexis "as is" and "where is". On June 5, 2008, the Company entered into a right of first offer agreement with Jalexis, providing Jalexis with the right to make the first offer on future land sales within certain Offered Properties as defined by the agreement. On June 6, 2008, the plaintiff dismissed with prejudice the complaint contained in the lawsuit. All parties involved in the case signed a stipulation in which each party agreed to relieve one another mutually and in perpetuity of any and all claims which they might have against one another arising from the facts alleged in the present lawsuit.

There have been no other material changes to the legal proceedings previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

The Company and/or its subsidiaries have been named as defendants, along with other companies, in tenant-related lawsuits. The Company carries liability insurance against certain types of claims that management believes meets industry standards. To date, payments made to the plaintiffs of the settled cases were covered by our insurance policy. The Company believes it has strong defenses to the claims, and intends to continue to defend itself vigorously in these matters.

In the normal course of business, ACPT is involved in various pending or unasserted claims. In the opinion of management, these are not expected to have a material impact on the financial condition or future operations of ACPT.

**(6) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

Certain officers and trustees of ACPT conduct business with or have ownership interests in various entities that conduct business with the Company. The financial impact of the related party transactions on the accompanying consolidated financial statements is reflected below (in thousands):

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME:**

		Six Months Ended June 30,		Three Months Ended June 30,	
		2008	2007	2008	2007
Management and Other Fees					
Unconsolidated subsidiaries with third party partners	(A)	\$ 21	\$ 21	\$ 11	\$ 11
Affiliates of J. Michael Wilson, CEO and Chairman		-	43	-	-
		\$ 21	\$ 64	\$ 11	\$ 11
Rental Property Revenues	(B)	\$ 30	\$ 28	\$ 15	\$ 14
Interest and Other Income					
Unconsolidated real estate entities with third party partners		\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ 2	\$ 2
General and Administrative Expense					
Reserve additions (reductions) and other write-offs-					
Unconsolidated real estate entities with third party partners	(A)	\$ (9)	\$ 11	\$ 13	\$ 7
Reimbursement to IBC for ACPT's share of J. Michael Wilson's compensation		207	195	103	97
Reimbursement of administrative costs-					
Affiliates of J. Michael Wilson, CEO and Chairman		(10)	(13)	(5)	(7)
Reimbursement of legal fees to attorney for J. Michael Wilson	(C3)		48		48
Consulting Fees					
James J. Wilson, IGC Chairman and Director	(C1)	100	100	50	50
Thomas J. Shafer, Trustee	(C2)	30	30	15	15
		\$ 318	\$ 371	\$ 176	\$ 210

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

BALANCE SHEET:	Balance	Balance
	June 30,	December
	2008	31, 2007
<b>Other Assets</b>		
Receivables - All unsecured and due on demand		
Unconsolidated Subsidiaries	\$ 8	\$ -
Affiliate of J. Michael Wilson, CEO and Chairman	14	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 22</b>	<b>\$ 5</b>
<b>Other Liabilities</b>		
Payable due to Affiliate of J. Michael Wilson, CEO and Chairman		
	\$ 74	\$ -

Table of Contents

(A) Management and Other Services

The Company provides management and other support services to its unconsolidated subsidiaries and other affiliated entities in the normal course of business. The fees earned from these services are typically collected on a monthly basis, one month in arrears. Receivables are unsecured and due on demand. Certain partnerships experiencing cash shortfalls have not paid timely. Generally, receivable balances of these partnerships are fully reserved, until satisfied or the prospect of collectibility improves. The collectibility of management fee receivables is evaluated quarterly. Any increase or decrease in the reserves is reflected accordingly as additional bad debt expenses or recovery of such expenses.

At the end of February 2007, G.L. Limited Partnership, which was owned by affiliates of J. Michael Wilson, was sold to a third party. Accordingly, we are no longer the management agent for this property effective March 1, 2007. Management fees generated by this property accounted for less than 1% of the Company's total revenue.

(B) Rental Property Revenue

On September 1, 2006, the Company, through one of its Puerto Rican subsidiaries, Escorial Office Building I, Inc. ("Landlord"), executed a lease with Caribe Waste Technologies, Inc. ("CWT"), a company owned by the J. Michael Wilson Family. The lease provides for 1,842 square feet of office space to be leased by CWT for five years at \$19.00 per rentable square foot. The company provided CWT with an allowance of \$9,000 in tenant improvements which are being amortized over the life of the lease. On February 25, 2008, CWT exercised its rights under the lease and provided six months written notice of its intention to terminate the lease, effective August 24, 2008. The lease agreement is unconditionally guaranteed by Interstate Business Corporation ("IBC"), a company owned by the J. Michael Wilson Family.

(C) Other

Other transactions with related parties are as follows:

- 1) Represents fees paid to James J. Wilson pursuant to a consulting and retirement agreement. At Mr. Wilson's request, payments are made to Interstate Waste Technologies, Inc.
- 2) Represents fees paid to Thomas J. Shafer, a trustee, pursuant to a consulting agreement.
- 3) In second quarter 2007, The Independent Trustees concluded that certain legal fees and expenses incurred by J. Michael Wilson related to seeking a strategic partner would be reimbursed by the Company. The Independent Trustees authorized the Company to fund up to \$225,000 of such costs, \$48,000 of which were incurred in the second quarter 2007.

Related Party Acquisitions

El Monte

On April 30, 2004, the Company purchased a 50% limited partnership interest in El Monte Properties S.E. ("El Monte") from Insular Properties Limited Partnership ("Insular") for \$1,462,500. Insular is owned by the J. Michael Wilson Family. Per the terms of the agreement, the Company was responsible to fund \$400,000 of capital improvements and lease stabilization costs, and had a priority on cash distributions up to its advances plus accrued interest at 8%, investment and a 13% cumulative preferred return on its investment. The purchase price was based on a third party appraisal of \$16,500,000 dated April 22, 2003. The Company's limited partnership investment was accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

In December 2004, a third party buyer purchased El Monte for \$20,000,000: \$17,000,000 in cash and \$3,000,000 in two notes of \$1,500,000 each that bear an interest rate of prime plus 2%, with a ceiling of 9%, and mature on December 3, 2009. The net cash proceeds from the sale of the real estate were distributed to the partners. As a result, the Company received \$2,500,000 in cash and recognized \$986,000 of income in 2004. El Monte distributed a \$1,500,000 note to the Company in January 2005. On January 24, 2007, the Company received \$1,707,000 as payment in full of the principal balance and all accrued interest related to the El Monte note receivable. Accordingly, in 2007 the Company recorded \$1,500,000 as equity in earnings and \$207,000 as interest income.



Table of Contents

## (7) INCOME TAXES

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2008, was \$14,611,000. Included in the balance at June 30, 2008, were \$42,000 of tax positions that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefit (in thousands) is as follows:

Unrecognized tax benefit at beginning of period (December 31, 2007)	\$ 14,869
Change attributable to tax positions taken during a prior period	(258)
Change attributable to tax positions taken during the current period	-
Decrease attributable to settlements with taxing authorities	-
Decrease attributable to lapse of statute of limitations	-
Unrecognized tax benefit at end of period (June 30, 2008)	\$ 14,611

In accordance with our accounting policy, we present accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions as a component of interest expense and accrued penalties as a component of income tax expense on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Our Consolidated Statements of Income for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, included interest expense of \$733,000 and \$551,000, respectively and penalties of \$38,000 and \$72,000, respectively. Our Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, included interest expense of \$398,000 and \$282,000, respectively and penalties of \$14,000 and \$14,000, respectively. Our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, included accrued interest of \$3,546,000 and \$2,814,000, respectively and accrued penalties of \$1,124,000 and \$1,085,000, respectively.

The Company currently does not have any tax returns under audit by the United States Internal Revenue Service or the Puerto Rico Treasury Department. However, the tax returns filed in the United States for the years ended December 31, 2004 through 2007 remain subject to examination. For Puerto Rico, the tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2003 through 2007 remain subject to examination. Within the next twelve months, the Company does not anticipate any payments related to settlement of any tax examinations. There is a reasonable possibility within the next twelve months the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$567,000 when the related statutes of limitations expire and certain payments are recognized as taxable income.

## (8) SEGMENT INFORMATION

ACPT has two reportable segments: U.S. operations and Puerto Rico operations. The Company's chief decision-makers allocate resources and evaluate the Company's performance based on these two segments. The U.S. segment is comprised of different components grouped by product type or service, to include: investments in rental properties, community development and property management services. The Puerto Rico segment entails the following components: investment in rental properties, community development, homebuilding and property management services. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

## Customer Dependence

Residential land sales to Lennar within our U.S. segment were \$5,691,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008, which represents 22% of the U.S. segment's revenue and 14% of our total year-to-date consolidated revenue. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Residential land sales to Lennar within our U.S. segment were \$3,309,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 which represented 13% of the U.S. segment's revenue and 8% of our total year-to-date consolidated revenue. No customers accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2007.



Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following presents the segment information for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	United States	Puerto Rico	Inter-Segment	Total
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Unaudited):				
Rental property revenues	19,694	11,243	-	30,937
Rental property operating expenses	9,224	5,704	(11)	14,917
Land sales revenue	5,997	-	-	5,997
Cost of land sales	4,725	-	-	4,725
Home sales revenue	-	2,982	-	2,982
Cost of home sales	-	2,300	-	2,300
Management and other fees	79	314	(13)	380
General, administrative, selling and marketing expense	4,779	1,273	(3)	6,049
Depreciation and amortization	3,159	1,883	-	5,042
Operating income	3,883	3,379	1	7,263
Interest income	229	17	(14)	232
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities	-	331	-	331
Interest expense	5,684	2,886	(14)	8,556
Minority interest in consolidated entities	123	1,198	-	1,321
Loss before benefit for income taxes	(1,682)	(239)	-	(1,921)
Income tax benefit	(419)	(131)	-	(550)
Net loss	(1,263)	(108)	-	(1,371)
Gross profit on land sale	1,272	-	-	1,272
Gross profit on home sales	-	682	-	682
Total assets	261,835	98,195	(369)	359,661
Additions to long lived assets	2,721	481	-	3,202

	United States	Puerto Rico	Inter-Segment	Total
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 (Unaudited):				
Rental property revenues	18,706	11,126	-	29,832
Rental property operating expenses	9,489	5,638	(13)	15,114
Land sales revenue	5,969	-	-	5,969
Cost of land sales	4,356	-	-	4,356
Home sales revenue	-	5,214	-	5,214
Cost of home sales	-	3,816	-	3,816
Management and other fees	208	313	(15)	506
General, administrative, selling and marketing expense	3,923	1,448	(3)	5,368
Depreciation and amortization	2,745	1,836	-	4,581
Operating income	4,370	3,915	1	8,286
Interest income	591	226	(55)	762
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities	(1)	1,846	-	1,845
Interest expense	6,226	3,166	(55)	9,337
Minority interest in consolidated entities	173	1,384	-	1,557
(Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	(1,436)	1,563	-	127
Income tax (benefit) provision	(481)	769	-	288
Net (loss) income	(955)	794	-	(161)
Gross profit on land sale	1,613	-	-	1,613

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Gross profit on home sales	-	1,398	-	1,398
Total assets	254,637	102,060	(1,620)	355,077
Additions to long lived assets	4,519	422	-	4,941

-19-

---

Table of Contents

	United States (\$)	Puerto Rico (\$)	Inter- Segment (\$)	Total (\$)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Unaudited):				
Rental property revenues	9,895	5,643	-	15,538
Rental property operating expenses	4,650	2,934	(5)	7,579
Land sales revenue	4,951	-	-	4,951
Cost of land sales	3,822	-	-	3,822
Home sales revenue	-	738	-	738
Cost of home sales	-	583	-	583
Management and other fees	41	157	(6)	192
General, administrative, selling and marketing expense	2,576	605	(2)	3,179
Depreciation and amortization	1,497	948	-	2,445
Operating income	2,342	1,468	1	3,811
Interest income	106	9	-	115
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities	-	163	-	163
Interest expense	2,921	1,403	-	4,324
Minority interest in consolidated entities	119	43	-	162
(Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	(579)	255	-	(324)
Income tax (benefit) provision	(180)	34	-	(146)
Net (loss) income	(399)	221	-	(178)
Gross profit on land sale	1,129	-	-	1,129
Gross profit on home sales	-	155	-	155
Total assets	261,835	98,195	(369)	359,661
Additions to long lived assets	1,873	229	-	2,102

	United States (\$)	Puerto Rico (\$)	Inter- Segment (\$)	Total (\$)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 (Unaudited):				
Rental property revenues	9,801	5,621	-	15,422
Rental property operating expenses	4,864	2,907	(13)	7,758
Land sales revenue	2,214	-	-	2,214
Cost of land sales	1,440	-	-	1,440
Home sales revenue	-	2,126	-	2,126
Cost of home sales	-	1,530	-	1,530
Management and other fees	98	160	(15)	243
General, administrative, selling and marketing expense	2,176	732	(3)	2,905
Depreciation and amortization	1,474	923	-	2,397
Operating income	2,159	1,815	1	3,975
Interest income	294	11	(25)	280
Equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities	(1)	173	-	172
Interest expense	3,160	1,585	(25)	4,720
Minority interest in consolidated entities	173	12	-	185
(Loss) income before (benefit) provision for income taxes	(879)	459	-	(420)
Income tax (benefit) provision	(406)	171	-	(235)
Net (loss) income	(473)	288	-	(185)
Gross profit on land sale	774	-	-	774
Gross profit on home sales	-	596	-	596
Total assets	254,637	102,060	(1,620)	355,077

Additions to long lived assets	1,693	195	-	1,888
--------------------------------	-------	-----	---	-------

-20-

---

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL  
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing in this report. Historical results set forth in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and the Financial Statements should not be taken as indicative of our future operations. This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These include statements about our business outlook, market and economic conditions, strategies, future plans, anticipated costs and expenses, capital spending, and any other statements that are not historical. The accuracy of these statements is subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Those items are discussed under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Consolidated operating revenues are derived primarily from rental revenue, community development land sales and home sales. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, our consolidated rental revenues increased \$1,105,000 and \$116,000 or 4% and 1%, respectively, as compared to the same periods of 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to construction of new units in our United States segment as well as overall rent increases at comparable properties in both the United States and Puerto Rico segments.

Community development land sales for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 increased \$28,000 and \$2,737,000 or 0% or 124%, respectively, as compared to the same period of 2007. Land sales revenue in any one period is affected by the timing of lot sales, the mix of lot type as well as a mix between residential and commercial sales. For the six month period, community development land sales were slightly greater than the prior period due to increased residential lot sales offset in part by a decrease in commercial land sales. For the three month period, community development land sales was significantly greater than prior period due to an increase in residential land sales during the second quarter 2008.

Home sales for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 decreased \$2,232,000 and \$1,388,000 or 43% and 65%, respectively, as compared to the same period of 2007. Home sales, currently sourced from the Puerto Rico segment, are impacted by the local real estate market. The Company settled twelve and three units during the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, as compared to twenty and eight units, respectively, closed during the same periods of 2007. As of June 30, 2008, nine completed units remain within inventory, of which we currently have two units under contract. We currently believe that the remaining units will sell within the next twelve months.

On a consolidated basis, the Company reported a net loss for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 of \$1,371,000 and \$178,000, respectively. The net losses for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 include \$550,000 and \$146,000 as benefits for income taxes, resulting in consolidated effective tax rates of approximately 29% and 45%, respectively. The consolidated effective rate for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was impacted by the benefit recorded for the net losses, offset by accrued penalties on uncertain tax positions and certain nondeductible permanent items. The consolidated effective rate for the three months ended June 30, 2008 was the result of a benefit recorded for losses from our United States segment at the United States statutory rate offset in part by a provision recorded for income reported for the Puerto Rico segment at the Puerto Rico statutory rate. For further discussion of these items, see the provision for income taxes discussion within the United States and Puerto Rico segment discussion.

Please refer to the Results of Operations section of Management's Discussion and Analysis for additional details surrounding the results of each of our operating segments.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which we refer to as GAAP, requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. These judgments affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions had been different, it is possible that different accounting policies would have been applied resulting in a different presentation of our financial statements.

Refer to the Company's 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of critical accounting policies, which include sales, profit recognition and cost capitalization, investment in unconsolidated real estate entities, impairment of long-lived assets, depreciation of investments in real estate, income taxes and contingencies. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, there were no material changes to our policies.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion is based on the consolidated financial statements of the Company. It compares the results of operations of the Company for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 (unaudited), with the six and three months ended June 30, 2007 (unaudited). Historically, the Company's financial results have been significantly affected by the cyclical nature of the real estate industry. Accordingly, the Company's historical financial statements may not be indicative of future results. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes included elsewhere in this report and within our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations - U.S. Operations:

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, our U.S. segment generated operating income of \$3,883,000 and \$2,342,000, respectively, compared to operating income of \$4,370,000 and \$2,159,000 for the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. Additional information and analysis of the U.S. operations are as follows:

Rental Property Revenues and Operating Expenses - U.S. Operations:

As of June 30, 2008, nineteen U.S. based apartment properties, representing 3,256 units, in which we hold an ownership interest qualified for the consolidation method of accounting. The rules of consolidation require that we include within our financial statements the consolidated apartment properties' total revenue and operating expenses. As of June 30, 2008, thirteen of the consolidated properties were market rent properties, representing 1,856 units, allowing us to determine the appropriate rental rates. Even though we can determine the rents, 54 of our units at one of our market rent properties must be leased to tenants with low to moderate income. HUD subsidizes three of the properties representing 836 units and the three remaining properties are a mix of 137 subsidized units and 427 market rent units. HUD dictates the rents of the subsidized units.

Apartment Construction and Acquisitions

On January 31, 2007, we completed our newest addition to our rental apartment properties in St. Charles' Fairway Village, the Sheffield Greens Apartments ("Sheffield Greens"). The 252-unit apartment project consists of nine, 3-story buildings and offers 1 and 2 bedroom units ranging in size from 800 to 1,400 square feet. Construction activities were started in the fourth quarter of 2005 and leasing efforts began in the first quarter of 2006. The first five buildings became available for occupancy during the fourth quarter of 2006 and the final four buildings were ready for occupancy in January 2007.

Six months ended

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, rental property revenues increased \$988,000 or 5% to \$19,694,000 compared to \$18,706,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in rental revenues was primarily the result of additional revenues for Sheffield Greens Apartments which accounted for approximately \$682,000 of the difference. The increase was also attributable to an overall 2% increase in rents between periods. These rental revenue increases were offset in part by increases in the vacancy rate at certain multifamily apartment properties in St. Charles and Baltimore. However, incentives implemented by management to address the vacancy rates at these properties have been successful as vacancy rates have been reduced between the first and second quarters of 2008.

Rental property operating expenses decreased \$265,000 or 3% for first half of 2008 to \$9,224,000 compared to \$9,489,000 for the first half of 2007. The overall decrease in rental property operating expenses was the result of cost cutting measures implemented at the end of 2007 and into 2008. The cost cutting measures resulted in decreased operating expenses for comparable properties of \$452,000 or 5%. The decreases were experienced primarily within office salaries and expenses, security, grounds expense, snow removal, rehabilitation and management salaries. Offsetting the noted decreases were increased operating expenses for Sheffield Greens Apartments of \$259,000 as the complex was completed on January 31, 2007 and only partially occupied during the first six months of 2007. In addition, the Company experienced a \$140,000 or 16% increase in property taxes for comparable properties.

Three months ended

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, rental property revenues increased \$94,000 or 1% to \$9,895,000 compared to \$9,801,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in rental revenues is net of a \$205,000 non-recurring retroactive rent increase received at one of our subsidized properties during the second quarter 2007. Overall comparable rental revenues increased \$213,000 or 2%. In addition, rental revenues at Sheffield Greens Apartments for the second quarter 2008 was \$87,000 or 9% greater than the same period of 2007 as the complex was completing their lease up during the second quarter 2007. Vacancy rates at comparable properties were similar for the second quarter 2008 as compared to the second quarter 2007.

Rental property operating expenses decreased \$214,000 or 4% for the second quarter of 2008 to \$4,650,000 compared to \$4,864,000 for the second quarter of 2007. The overall decrease in rental property operating expenses was the result of cost cutting measures implemented at the end of 2007 and into 2008. Operating expense decreases were experienced primarily within advertising, office salaries and expenses, security and management salaries. Offsetting the noted decreases was an increase in property taxes of \$69,000 or 16% for comparable properties.

Community Development - U.S. Operations:

Land sales revenue in any one period is affected by the mix of lot sizes and, to a greater extent, the mix between residential and commercial sales. In March 2004, the Company executed Development and Purchase Agreements with Lennar Corporation (the "Lennar Agreements") to develop and sell 1,950 residential lots (1,359 single-family lots and 591 town home lots) in Fairway Village in St. Charles, Maryland. The Lennar Agreements requires the homebuilder to provide \$20,000,000 in letters of credit to secure the purchase of the lots. As security for the Company's obligation to develop the lots, a junior lien was placed on the residential portion of Fairway Village. The agreements require Lennar to purchase 200 residential lots per year, provided that they are developed and available for delivery as defined by the Development Agreement. For each lot sold in Fairway Village, the Company must deposit \$10,300 in an escrow account to fund the principal payments due to Charles County, at which time the lots are released from the junior lien. In December 2007, the Company agreed to an amendment to the Lennar Agreements which temporarily reduced the final lot price for 100 lots (51 lots purchased by Lennar in December 2007 and 49 lots purchased during the second quarter 2008) from 30% to 22.5% of the base price of the home sold on the lot, with guaranteed minimum prices of \$78,000 per single family lot and \$68,000 per townhome lot. During the first six months of 2008, Lennar actually purchased 69 total lots, 20 more than required by the December Amendment. The Company agreed that these additional 20 lots would be sold with the same terms as those covered by the December Amendment.



## Table of Contents

Lennar has completed the terms of the December Amendment and, accordingly, the terms of the original agreements are currently in place. However, we anticipate another modification request from Lennar prior to taking down additional lots. The modification will likely require additional lots to be taken down in the fourth quarter with final lot price percentages greater than 22.5% but less than the original 30%.

Sales are closed on a lot by lot basis at the time when the builder purchases the lot. The final selling price per lot sold to Lennar may exceed the guaranteed minimum price recognized at closing since the final lot price is based on a percentage of the base price of the home sold on the lot, but not less than the guaranteed minimum price. Additional revenue exceeding the guaranteed minimum take down price per lot will be recognized upon Lennar's settlement with the respective homebuyers.

Residential lots vary in size and location resulting in pricing differences. Gross margins are calculated based on the total estimated sales values based on current sales prices for all remaining lots within a neighborhood as compared to the total estimated costs.

Commercial land is typically sold by contract that allows for a study period and delayed settlement until the purchaser obtains the necessary permits for development. The sales prices and gross margins for commercial parcels vary significantly depending on the location, size, extent of development and ultimate use. Commercial land sales are generally cyclical.

Community development land sales revenue increased \$28,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$5,997,000 as compared to \$5,969,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Although similar in total between periods, residential land sales for the first half of 2008 were greater than the first half of 2007, partially offset by a decrease in commercial land sales and other revenues.

Community development land sales revenue increased \$2,737,000 or 124% for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$4,951,000 as compared to \$2,214,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The overall increase was primarily the result of an increase in residential land sales for the second quarter 2008 as compared to the second quarter of 2007. Further discussions of the components of these variances are as follows:

### Residential Land Sales

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we recognized \$5,479,000 related to the delivery of eight townhome lots and sixty-one single family lots to Lennar as compared to \$1,579,000 consisting of fourteen townhome lots and three single family lots delivered in the six months ended June 30, 2007. For the lots delivered in 2008, we recognized as revenue the minimum guaranteed price of \$68,000 per townhome lot and \$78,000 per single family lot, plus water and sewer fees, road fees and other off-site fees. For the lots delivered in 2007, we recognized as revenue an average minimum guaranteed price of \$85,000 per townhome lot and \$115,300 per single family lot, plus water and sewer fees, road fees and other off-site fees.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we recognized \$4,672,000 related to the delivery of fifty-eight single family lots to Lennar as compared to \$967,000 related to seven townhome lots and three single family lots delivered in the second quarter of 2007. For the lots delivered in 2008, we recognized as revenue the minimum guaranteed price of \$78,000 per single family lot, plus water and sewer fees, road fees and other off-site fees. For the lots delivered in 2007, we recognized as revenue an average minimum guaranteed price of \$85,000 per townhome lot and \$115,300 per single family lot, plus water and sewer fees, road fees and other off-site fees.

The Company recognizes additional revenue based on a percentage of the final settlement price of the home sold by Lennar to the homebuyer, to the extent greater than the guaranteed minimum price, as provided by our agreement with Lennar. For both the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recognized \$213,000 of additional revenue for lots that were previously delivered to Lennar. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, the Company recognized \$1,075,000 and \$761,000, respectively, of additional revenue for lots that were previously delivered to Lennar.

As of June 30, 2008, 1,441 lots remained under contract to Lennar, of which 60 single family lots were developed and ready for delivery.

### Commercial Land Sales

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we sold 0.99 commercial acres in St. Charles for \$184,000. This compares to 5.78 commercial acres in St. Charles for \$2,660,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. No commercial sales settled in the three months ended June 30, 2008 or 2007. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we did not settle any commercial acres. As of June 30, 2008, our commercial sales backlog contained 81.26 acres under contract for a total of \$14,829,000.

#### St. Charles Active Adult Community, LLC - Land Joint Venture

In September 2004, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Lennar Corporation for the development of a 352-unit, active adult community located in St. Charles, Maryland. The Company manages the project's development for a market rate fee pursuant to a management agreement. In September 2004, the Company transferred land to the joint venture in exchange for a 50% ownership interest and \$4,277,000 in cash. The Company's investment in the joint venture was recorded at 50% of the historical cost basis of the land with the other 50% recorded within our deferred charges and other assets. The proceeds received are reflected as deferred revenue. The deferred revenue and related deferred costs will be recognized into income as the joint venture sells lots to Lennar. In March 2005, the joint venture closed a non-recourse development loan which was amended in September 2006, again in December 2006, and again in October 2007. Most recently, the development loan was modified to provide a one-year delay in development of the project, as to date, lot development has outpaced sales. Per the terms of the loan, both the Company and Lennar provided development completion guarantees.

In the first half of 2008, the joint venture did not deliver any lots to Lennar. However, in the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, the joint venture delivered 30 and 16 lots to Lennar. Accordingly, the Company recognized \$655,000 and \$363,000 in deferred revenue, off-site fees and management fees and \$218,000 and \$125,000 of deferred costs for the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, respectively.

## Table of Contents

### Gross Margin on Land Sales

The gross margin on land sales for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 were 21% and 23%, respectively, as compared to 27% and 35% for the same periods of 2007, respectively. Gross margins differ from period to period depending on the mix of land sold as well as volume of sales available to absorb certain period costs. For the first half of 2008, our land sales were primarily comprised of residential land sales at 27% margins. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, our residential land sales margins were 48%, offset by significant commercial sales with gross margins of approximately 15%. The commercial margins for 2007 were impacted by increases in the estimated cost of constructing a boardwalk within the O'Donnell Lake Restaurant Park as the actual bid proposals received were higher than the engineer's expected cost.

The decrease in gross profits for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, was primarily due to a decrease in the gross margins on residential land sales. For the second quarter 2008, gross profits on residential land sales were approximately 27% and were based on relative sales values per the revised terms of the Lennar Agreements. For the second quarter 2007, residential land sales gross margins were 45%.

### Customer Dependence

Residential land sales to Lennar within our U.S. segment were \$5,691,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008, which represents 22% of the U.S. segment's revenue and 14% of our total year-to-date consolidated revenue. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Loss of all or a substantial portion of our land sales, as well as the joint venture's land sales, to Lennar would have a significant adverse effect on our financial results until such lost sales could be replaced.

### Management and Other Fees - U.S. Operations:

We earn monthly management fees from all of the apartment properties that we own, as well as our management of apartment properties owned by third parties and affiliates of J. Michael Wilson. Effective February 28, 2007, the Company's management agreement with G.L. Limited Partnership was terminated upon the sale of the apartment property to a third party. Management fees generated by this property accounted for less than 1% of the Company's total revenue.

We receive an additional fee from the properties that we manage for their use of the property management computer system and a fee for vehicles purchased by the Company for use on behalf of the properties. The costs of the computer system and vehicles are reflected within depreciation expense.

The Company manages the project development of the joint venture with Lennar for a market rate fee pursuant to a management agreement. These fees are based on the cost of the project and a prorated share is earned when each lot is sold.

Management fees for the six months ended June 30, 2008 decreased \$129,000 to \$79,000 as compared to \$208,000 for the same period of 2007. Management fees for the three months ended June 30, 2008 decreased \$57,000 to \$41,000 as compared to \$98,000 for the same period of 2007. The decreases in the six and three month periods were primarily as a result of the reduction in number of lots delivered by the joint venture. Management fees presented on the consolidated income statements include only the fees earned from the non-controlled properties; the fees earned from the controlled properties are eliminated in consolidation.

### General, Administrative, Selling and Marketing Expense - U.S. Operations:

The costs associated with the oversight of our U.S. operations, accounting, human resources, office management and technology, as well as corporate and other executive office costs are included in this section. ARMC employs the centralized office management approach for its property management services for our properties located in St. Charles, Maryland, our properties located in the Baltimore, Maryland area and the property in Virginia and, to a lesser extent, the other properties that we manage. Our unconsolidated and managed-only apartment properties reimburse ARMC for certain costs incurred at the central office that are attributable to the operations of those properties. In accordance with EITF Topic 01-14, "Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for Out of Pocket Expenses Incurred," the cost and reimbursement of these costs are not included in general and administrative expenses, but rather they are reflected as separate line items on the consolidated income statement.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, general, administrative, selling and marketing costs incurred within our U.S. operations increased \$856,000 or 22% to \$4,779,000 compared to \$3,923,000 for the same period of 2007. The increase is primarily attributable to costs associated with a severance accrual recorded related to the departure of the Chief Financial Officer as well as accruals for another executive retention agreement. In addition, consulting fees for the six months ended June 30, 2008 are greater than the same period of 2007 due to a consultant who has assisted the Company with strategic planning and also worked with the Wilsons on the going-private effort. Board of Trustee fees were also more for the first half of 2008 due to the decision of the Trustees' Share Incentive Plan Committee to accelerate the vesting of restricted shares for Trustees whose terms ended in June 2008, as well an increase in the size of the board and increased fee accruals for meetings held. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in the accrual for stock appreciation rights due to a decrease in share price, as well as a decrease in the number of rights remaining outstanding.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, general, administrative, selling and marketing costs incurred within our U.S. operations increased \$400,000 or 18% to \$2,576,000 compared to \$2,176,000 for the same period of 2007. The increase is primarily attributable to fees associated with a severance accrual recorded related to the departure of the Chief Financial Officer as well as accruals for another executive retention agreement. In addition, consulting fees for the three months ended June 30, 2008 are greater than the same period of 2007 due to a consultant who has assisted the Company with strategic planning and also worked with the Wilsons on the going-private effort. Board of Trustee fees were also more for the second quarter of 2008 due to the decision of the Trustees' Share Incentive Plan Committee to accelerate the vesting of restricted shares for Trustees whose terms ended in June 2008 as well as an increase in the size of the board and increased fee accruals for meetings held. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in the accrual for stock appreciation rights due to a decrease in share price as well as a decrease in the number of shares remaining outstanding.

Table of Contents

Depreciation Expense - U.S. Operations:

Depreciation expense increased \$414,000 to \$3,159,000 for the first six months of 2008 compared to \$2,745,000 for the same period in 2007. The increase in depreciation is primarily the result of depreciation related to the addition of Sheffield Greens Apartments. Depreciation expense for the second quarter 2008 increased \$23,000 to \$1,497,000 compared to \$1,474,000 for the second quarter 2007.

Interest Income – U.S. Operations:

Interest income decreased \$362,000 to \$229,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to \$591,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, interest income decreased \$188,000 to \$106,000, as compared to \$294,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease was primarily the result of undistributed bond proceeds held by the County being used to fund development costs, resulting in a reduction of interest income earned on these funds. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized \$67,000 and \$31,000 and \$299,000 and \$147,000 of interest income on these escrowed funds, respectively.

Interest Expense - U.S. Operations:

The Company considers interest expense on all U.S. debt available for capitalization to the extent of average qualifying assets for the period. Interest specific to the construction of qualifying assets, represented primarily by our recourse debt, is first considered for capitalization. To the extent qualifying assets exceed debt specifically identified, a weighted average rate including all other debt of the U.S. segment is applied. Any excess interest is reflected as interest expense. For 2008 and 2007, the excess interest primarily relates to the interest incurred on the non-recourse debt from our investment properties.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense decreased \$542,000 or 9% to \$5,684,000, as compared to \$6,226,000 for the same period of 2007. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense decreased \$239,000 or 8% to \$2,921,000, as compared to \$3,160,000 for the same period of 2007. The decreases for the six and three month periods were primarily attributable to amortization of our mortgage notes as well as an increase in qualifying assets eligible for interest capitalization as new Apartment and Condominium projects begin in Fairway Village's Gleneagles section, the construction of an office building within O'Donnell Lake Restaurant Park, and additional infrastructure investments as the Company nears completion of the County Road projects.

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, \$1,246,000 and \$667,000 of interest cost was capitalized, respectively. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, \$601,000 and \$319,000 of interest cost was capitalized, respectively.

Minority Interest in Consolidated Entities – U.S. Operations:

The Company records minority interest expense related to the minority partners' share of the consolidated apartment partnerships earnings and distributions to minority partners in excess of their basis in the consolidated partnership. Losses charged to the minority interest are limited to the minority partners' basis in the partnership. Because the minority interest holders in most of our partnerships have received distributions in excess of their basis, we anticipate volatility in minority interest expense. Although this allows us to recognize 100 percent of the income of the partnerships up to accumulated distributions and losses in excess of the minority partners' basis previously required to be recognized as our expense, we will be required to expense 100 percent of future distributions to minority partners and any subsequent losses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, minority interest in consolidated entities decreased \$50,000 to \$123,000, as compared to \$173,000 for the same period of 2007. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, minority interest in consolidated entities decreased \$54,000 to \$119,000, as compared to \$173,000 for the same period of 2007. The decreases for the six- and three-month periods were the result of a decrease in surplus cash distributions made to minority partners between periods.

Provision for Income Taxes – U.S. Operations:

## Edgar Filing: AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

The effective tax rates for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, and June 30, 2007, were 25% and 31% and 33% and 46%, respectively. The statutory rate is 40%. The effective tax rates for 2008 and 2007 differ from the statutory rate due to relatively small net losses reported for the periods, the related benefit for which, were partially offset by accrued penalties on uncertain tax positions.

### Results of Operations - Puerto Rico Operations:

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, our Puerto Rico segment generated operating income of \$3,379,000 and \$3,915,000, respectively, compared to operating income of \$1,468,000 and \$1,815,000 for the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. Additional information and analysis of the Puerto Rico operations are as follows:

### Rental Property Revenues and Operating Expenses - Puerto Rico Operations:

As of June 30, 2008, nine Puerto Rico-based apartment properties, representing twelve apartment complexes totaling 2,653 units, in which we hold an ownership interest ("Puerto Rico Apartments") qualified for the consolidation method of accounting. The rules of consolidation require that we include within our financial statements the consolidated apartment properties' total revenue and operating expenses. As of June 30, 2008, all of the Puerto Rico Apartments were HUD subsidized projects with rental rates governed by HUD.

Our Puerto Rico rental property portfolio also includes the operations of a commercial rental property in the community of Parque Escorial, known as Escorial Building One. The company constructed and holds a 100% ownership interest in Escorial Building One, which commenced operations in September 2005. Escorial Building One is a three-story building with approximately 56,000 square feet of offices space for lease. The Company moved the Puerto Rico Corporate Office to the new facilities in the third quarter of 2005 and leases approximately 20% of the building. The building was 46% leased including the Company's occupancy with a letter of intent for an additional 33% as of June 30, 2008. Subsequent to June 30, 2008, the Company converted the letter of intent with University of Phoenix into a lease agreement.

Table of Contents

Six months ended

Rental property revenues increased \$117,000 or 1% to \$11,243,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$11,126,000 for the same period of 2007. The increase for the first half of 2008 as compared to the first half 2007 was the result of overall rent increases for our HUD subsidized multifamily apartment properties of 2% while commercial revenues decreased by \$64,000 or 25% due to reduced occupancy between periods.

Rental operating expenses increased \$66,000 or 1% to \$5,704,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$5,638,000 for the same period of 2007. The increase for the first half of 2008 was related to overall inflationary increases of 3% for our multifamily apartment properties offset in part by reduced operating expenses of \$66,000 or 19% for our commercial property due to bad debt expense, advertising and leasing concession during the six-month ended June 30, 2007, with no comparable amounts for the same period of 2008.

Three months ended

Rental property revenues increased \$22,000 or 0% to \$5,643,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$5,621,000 for the same period of 2007. The increase for the second quarter of 2008 as compared to the second quarter 2007 was the result of overall rent increases for our HUD subsidized multifamily apartment properties of 1% while commercial revenues decreased by \$44,000 or 33% due to reduced occupancy between periods.

Rental operating expenses increased \$27,000 or 1% to \$2,934,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$2,907,000 for the same period of 2007. The nominal increase for the second quarter of 2008 was related to overall inflationary increases of 2% for our multifamily apartment properties offset in part by reduced operating expenses of \$39,000 or 22% for our commercial property due to advertising expense in the second quarter of 2007 with no comparable amounts for the same period of 2008.

Community Development - Puerto Rico Operations:

Total land sales revenue in any one period is affected by commercial sales which are cyclical in nature and usually have a noticeable positive impact on our earnings in the period in which settlement is made.

There were no community development land sales during the six or three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. There were no sales contracts in backlog at June 30, 2008.

Homebuilding – Puerto Rico Operations:

The Company organizes corporations as needed to operate each individual homebuilding project. In April 2004, the Company commenced the construction of a 160-unit mid-rise condominium complex known as Torres del Escorial (“Torres”). The condominium units were offered to buyers in the market in January 2005 and delivery of the units commenced in the fourth quarter of 2005. The condominium units are sold individually from an onsite sales office to pre-qualified homebuyers.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, homebuilding revenues decreased \$2,232,000 or 43% as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by a reduction in the number of units and a decrease in the average selling price per unit. Within the Torres project and during the six months ended June 30, 2008 twelve units were closed at an average selling price of approximately \$249,000, generating aggregate revenues of \$2,982,000. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, twenty units within the Torres project were closed at an average selling price of \$261,000 per unit generating \$5,214,000 in home sales revenue. The reduced average selling price per unit was the result of the type or mix of units sold during the respective periods.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, homebuilding revenues decreased \$1,388,000 or 65% as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by a reduction in the number of units sold as well as the average selling price per unit. Within the Torres project and during the three-month period ended June 30, 2008, three units were closed at an average selling price of approximately \$246,000 per unit, generating aggregate revenues of \$738,000. During the second quarter of 2007 eight units were closed at an average selling price of approximately \$266,000, generating aggregate revenues of \$2,126,000. The reduced average selling price per unit was the result of the type or mix of units sold during the respective periods.

The gross margins for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were 23% and 21% and 27% and 28%, respectively. The decrease in the gross profit margins between 2008 and 2007 is attributable to the reduction in

average selling price of the units for the respective periods due to the mix of unit types sold during the respective periods.

As of June 30, 2008, nine units remain unsold, two of which are currently under contract at an average selling price of \$247,000. As part of a promotional campaign, effective January 2008, each sales contract is backed by a \$3,000 deposit as opposed to the \$6,000 deposit previously required. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company's sales activity resulted in the execution of thirteen contracts with no cancellations during the period. For the same period in 2007, the Company had thirteen new contracts and five canceled contracts. The Company continues to believe that the remaining units in Torres will sell at the current pricing.

Management and Other fees – Puerto Rico Operations:

Management fees presented on the consolidated income statements include only the fees earned from the non-controlled properties; the fees earned from the controlled properties are eliminated in consolidation. We recognize monthly fees from our management of four non-owned apartment properties and four home-owner associations operating in Parque Escorial. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, management and other fees did not differ substantially from prior period amounts.

-26-

---



Table of Contents

General, Administrative, Selling and Marketing Expenses – Puerto Rico Operations:

The costs associated with the oversight of our operations, accounting, human resources, office management and technology are included within this section. The apartment properties reimburse IGP for certain costs incurred at IGP's office that are attributable to the operations of those properties. In accordance with EITF 01-14 the costs and reimbursement of these costs are not included within this section but rather, they are reflected as separate line items on the consolidated income statement. Due to the fact that our corporate office is in our office building, Escorial Office Building One, rent expense and parking expenses are eliminated in consolidation.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, general, administrative, selling and marketing expenses decreased \$175,000 or 12% to \$1,273,000 as compared to \$1,448,000 for the same period of 2007. The decrease is primarily attributable to a reduction in salaries, bonus, fringe benefits and payroll tax accruals between periods. For the first half of 2008, \$787,000 of accruals for salaries, bonus, fringe benefits and payroll taxes were made as compared to \$897,000 for the first quarter of 2007. In addition, the property and municipal taxes for the first half of 2008 were \$57,000 less than prior period due to a reduction in property taxes paid in 2008 compared to 2007 and a decrease in municipal taxes. Finally, legal expenses were \$20,000 less for the first half of 2008 due to a refund received in 2008 from an insurance company for legal services paid by the Company during 2007.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, general, administrative, selling and marketing expenses decreased \$127,000 or 17% to \$605,000 as compared to \$732,000 for the same period of 2007. The decrease is primarily attributable to a reduction in bonus and related payroll tax accruals between periods. For the second quarter 2008, \$365,000 of accruals for salaries, bonus, fringe benefits and payroll taxes were made as compared to \$434,000 for the second quarter of 2007. In addition, the property and municipal taxes for the second quarter of 2008 were \$49,000 less than prior period due to a reduction in property taxes paid in 2008 compared to 2007 and a decrease in municipal taxes.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense – Puerto Rico Operations:

Depreciation and amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 increased \$47,000 or 3% to \$1,883,000 as compared to \$1,836,000 for six months ended June 30, 2007. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, depreciation and amortization expense increased \$25,000 or 3% to \$948,000 as compared to \$923,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The increases in depreciation expense for the six- and three-month periods resulted from capital improvements completed in our multifamily apartment properties.

Interest Income – Puerto Rico Operations:

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2008 decreased \$209,000 to \$17,000 as compared to \$226,000 for the same period of 2007. The decrease is primarily attributable to the recognition of non-recurring interest income on the El Monte note receivable in the first quarter of 2007 with no comparable amounts for the first half of 2008. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2008 decrease \$2,000 to \$9,000 as compared to \$11,000 in the same quarter of 2008. The decrease for the second quarter is attributable to a reduction in the interest rate in certificate of deposits for 2008 compared to 2007.

Equity in Earnings from Unconsolidated Entities – Puerto Rico Operations:

We account for our limited partner investment in the commercial rental property owned by ELI and El Monte under the equity method of accounting. The earnings from our investment in commercial rental property are reflected within this section. The recognition of earnings depends on our investment basis in the property, and where the partnership is in the earnings stream.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities decreased \$1,515,000 to \$331,000, as compared to \$1,846,000 during the same period of 2007. The increase was related to the payment in full of the \$1,500,000 note receivable held by El Monte in January 2007, with no comparable amounts received in 2008. The note was received as part of the sale of the El Monte facility, at which point the Company determined that the cost recovery method of accounting was appropriate for gain recognition. Accordingly, revenue was deferred until collection of the note receivable, which occurred in January 2007.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, equity in earnings from unconsolidated entities decreased \$10,000 to \$163,000 as compared to \$173,000 during the same period of 2007.

**Interest Expense – Puerto Rico Operations:**

The Company considers interest expense on all Puerto Rico debt available for capitalization to the extent of average qualifying assets for the period. Interest specific to the construction of qualifying assets is first considered for capitalization. To the extent qualifying assets exceed debt specifically identified a weighted average rate including all other debt of the Puerto Rico segment is applied. Any excess interest is reflected as interest expense. For 2008 and 2007, the excess interest primarily relates to the interest incurred on the non-recourse debt from our investment properties.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense decreased \$280,000 or 9% to \$2,886,000, as compared to \$3,166,000 for the same period of 2007. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, interest expense decreased \$182,000 or 11% to \$1,403,000 as compared to \$1,585,000 for the same period of 2007. The decrease in interest expense for the six- and three-month periods is attributable to a decrease in inter-segment interest expense due to the repayment of an inter-segment note in February 2008 as well as the normal reduction of interest expense in the mortgages of the apartments and commercial properties due to the reduction of the outstanding principal balances. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, \$97,000 and \$37,000 of interest cost was capitalized, respectively. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, \$78,000 and \$39,000 of interest cost was capitalized, respectively.

Table of Contents

## Minority Interest in Consolidated Entities – Puerto Rico Operations:

The Company records minority interest expense related to the minority partners' share of the consolidated apartment partnerships earnings and distributions to minority partners in excess of their basis in the consolidated partnership. Losses charged to the minority interest are limited to the minority partners' basis in the partnership. Because the minority interest holders in most of our partnerships have received distributions in excess of their basis, we anticipate volatility in minority interest expense. Although this allows us to recognize 100 percent of the income of the partnerships up to accumulated distributions and losses in excess of the minority partners' basis previously required to be recognized as our expense, we will be required to expense 100 percent of future distributions to minority partners and any subsequent losses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, minority interest in consolidated entities decreased \$186,000 to \$1,198,000, as compared to \$1,384,000 for the same period of 2007. The decrease was primarily the result of a non-recurring \$400,000 refinancing distribution made to minority partners of one of our partnerships in the first quarter of 2007. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in surplus cash distributions to minority partners during the first half of 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, minority interest in consolidated entities increased \$31,000 to \$43,000, as compared to \$12,000 for the same period of 2007. The increase is attributable to increased income attributable to the limited partners from one partnership whose limited partners have not yet received distributions and absorbed losses in excess of their basis.

## Provision for Income Taxes – Puerto Rico Operations:

The effective tax rate for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were 55% and 13% and 49% and 37%, respectively. The statutory rate is 29%. The reconciling items from the effective tax rate and the statutory rate have opposite effects for the six months ended June 30, 2008 as a result of incurring a loss for that period, whereas income was recorded for all other periods presented. The difference in the statutory tax rate and the effective tax rates for the six and three months ended June 30, 2008, was primarily due to tax exempt income offset in part by the double taxation on the earnings of our wholly owned corporate subsidiary, ICP, and deferred items for which no current benefit may be recognized. The difference in the statutory tax rate and the effective tax rate for the pre-tax income for the six and three months ended June 30, 2007, was primarily due to the double taxation on the earnings of our wholly owned corporate subsidiary, ICP. As a result of a non-recurring gain related to its investment in El Monte, ICP's current taxes payable and ACPT's related deferred tax liability on the ICP undistributed earnings experienced a considerable increase during the six month period.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

## Summary of Cash Flows

As of June 30, 2008, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$22,131,000 and \$21,451,000 in restricted cash. The following table sets forth the changes in the Company's cash flows (\$ in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Operating Activities	\$ (1,793)	\$ (7,072)
Investing Activities	(3,298)	(5,489)
Financing Activities	2,310	7,405
Net Decrease in Cash	\$ (2,781)	\$ (5,156)

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, operating activities used \$1,793,000 of cash flows compared to \$7,072,000 of cash flows used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The \$5,279,000 decrease in cash used in operating activities for the first half of 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 was primarily related to

\$5,692,000 less of a reduction in accounts payable and accrued liabilities during the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007. In addition, community development asset additions were \$12,890,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008, \$771,000 less than the additions for the same period of 2007. Partially offsetting these amounts were decreased home sales activities, which were \$2,232,000 less for the first half of 2008 as compared to the same period for 2007. From period to period, cash flow from operating activities are also impacted by changes in our net income, as discussed more fully above under "Results of Operations," as well as changes in our receivables and payables.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, net cash used in investing activities was \$3,298,000 compared to \$5,489,000 for the first half of 2007. Cash provided by or used in investing activities generally relates to increases in our investment portfolio through acquisition, development or construction of rental properties and land held for future use, net of returns on our investments. The \$2,191,000 decrease in cash used in investing activities generally relates to a decrease in capital improvements to our multifamily apartment properties. For the half of 2008, we made capital improvements totaling \$1,567,000 to our multifamily apartment properties, \$3,141,000 less than the same period of 2007. In the first half of 2007, the Company increased its investment in capital improvements as a result of the refinancing of several apartment properties and re-investment of some of those proceeds into the related projects. In addition, the increase in restricted cash was \$1,696,000 less for the six months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007. Partially offsetting these decreases in cash used in investing activities was a \$1,402,000 increase in investments in construction of new buildings. The Company is currently constructing a restaurant/office building within the O'Donnell Lake Restaurant Park. In addition, the Company received payment in full for the El Monte receivable during the first six months of 2007, with no comparable amounts received in 2008.

### Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, net cash provided by financing activities was \$2,310,000 as compared to \$7,405,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The \$5,095,000 decrease in cash provided by financing activities was primarily the result of the refinancing of the mortgages of two apartment properties, Village Lake Apartments, LLC and Coachman's Apartments, LLC during the first quarter of 2007, with no comparable refinancings during the first six months of 2008. In addition, there was a \$1,469,000 decrease in draws received from the Charles County bond escrows between periods. Partially offsetting these decreases in cash provided by financing activities was a \$580,000 decrease in cash distributions to minority partners and a \$1,032,000 decrease in dividends paid to shareholders, a result of the suspension of dividend payments in the fourth quarter of 2007.

### Contractual Financial Obligations

#### Recourse Debt - U.S. Operations

Pursuant to an agreement reached between ACPT and the Charles County Commissioners in 2002, the Company agreed to accelerate the construction of two major roadway links to the Charles County (the "County") road system. As part of the agreement, the County agreed to issue general obligation public improvement Bonds (the "Bonds") to finance \$20,000,000 of this construction guaranteed by letters of credit provided by Lennar as part of a residential lot sales contract for 1,950 lots in Fairway Village. The Bonds were issued in three installments with the final \$6,000,000 installment issued in March 2006. The Bonds bear interest rates ranging from 4% to 8%, for a blended lifetime rate for total Bonds issued to date of 5.1%, and call for semi-annual interest payments and annual principal payments and mature in fifteen years. Under the terms of bond repayment agreements between the Company and the County, the Company is obligated to pay interest and principal on the full amount of the Bonds; as such, the Company recorded the full amount of the debt and a receivable from the County representing the undisbursed Bond proceeds to be advanced to the Company as major infrastructure development within the project occurs. As part of the agreement, the Company will pay the County a monthly payment equal to one-sixth of the semi-annual interest payments and one-twelfth of the annual principal payment due on the Bonds. The County also requires ACPT to fund an escrow account from lot sales that will be used to repay this obligation.

In August 2005, the Company signed a memorandum of understanding ("MOU") with the Charles County Commissioners regarding a land donation that is now the site of a minor league baseball stadium and entertainment complex which opened in May of 2008. Under the terms of the MOU, the Company donated 42 acres of land in St. Charles to the County on December 31, 2005. The Company also agreed to expedite off-site utilities, storm-water management and road construction improvements that will serve the entertainment complex and future portions of St. Charles so that the improvements will be completed concurrently with the entertainment complex. The County will be responsible for infrastructure improvements on the site of the complex. In return, the County agreed to issue general obligation bonds to finance the infrastructure improvements. In March 2006, \$4,000,000 of bonds were issued for this project, with an additional \$3,000,000 issued in both March 2007 and March 2008. The funds provided by the County for this project will be repaid by ACPT over a 15-year period. In addition, the County agreed to issue an additional 100 school allocations a year to St. Charles commencing with the issuance of bonds.

In December 2006, the Company reached an agreement with Charles County whereby the Company receives interest payments on any undistributed bond proceeds held in escrow by the County. The agreement covers the period from July 1, 2005, through the last draw made by the Company. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized \$62,000 and \$31,000 and \$299,000 and \$147,000 of interest income on these escrowed funds, respectively.

On April 2, 2008, the Company secured a two-year, \$3,600,000 construction loan for the construction of a commercial restaurant/office building within the O'Donnell Lake Restaurant Park. The facility is secured by the land along with any improvements constructed and bears interest at Wall Street Journal published Prime Rate (5.0% at June 30, 2008). At the end of the two-year construction period, the Company may convert the loan to a 5 year permanent loan, amortized over a 30 year period at a fixed interest rate to be determined. As of June 30, 2008, \$1,123,000 was outstanding under this facility leaving \$2,477,000 available to fund completion of the building.

#### Recourse Debt - Puerto Rico Operations

Substantially all of the Company's 490 acres of community development land assets in Parque El Comandante within the Puerto Rico segment are encumbered by a recourse revolving line of credit facility. The homebuilding and land assets in Parque Escorial are not encumbered by this facility and remain unencumbered as of June 30, 2008. The line of credit bears interest at a fluctuating rate equivalent to the LIBOR Rate plus 200 basis points (4.68% at June 30, 2008). The Company recently extended the maturity date for this facility from August 31, 2008 to August 31, 2009. As part of the extension, the Company agreed to an increased rate equal to the LIBOR Rate plus 225 basis points beginning July 1, 2008, a reduction of the overall facility from \$15,000,000 to \$10,000,000 and to use the credit facility for the development of infrastructure in Parque Escorial and Parque El Comandante. The outstanding balance of this facility on June 30, 2008, was \$1,775,000.

#### Non-Recourse Debt - U.S. Operations

As more fully described in Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-Q, the non-recourse apartment properties' debt is collateralized by apartment projects. As of June 30, 2008, approximately 38% of this debt is secured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") or the Maryland Housing Fund. There were no significant changes to our non-recourse debt obligations for our U.S. operations during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

### Table of Contents

#### Non-Recourse Debt - Puerto Rico Operations

As more fully described in Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-Q, the non-recourse debt is collateralized by the respective multifamily apartment project or commercial building. As of June 30, 2008, approximately 1% of this debt is secured by the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA"). On May 12, 2008, IGP agreed to provide a fixed charge and debt service guaranty related to the Escorial Office Building I, Inc ("EOB") mortgage. The fixed charge and debt service guaranty requires IGP to contribute capital in cash in such amounts required to cause EOB to comply with the related financial covenants. The guarantee will remain in full force until EOB has complied with the financial covenants for four consecutive quarters. The Company does not expect the funding of this guarantee to have a material impact on its liquidity and cash flows. There were no other significant changes to our non-recourse debt obligations for our Puerto Rico operations during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

#### Purchase Obligations and Other Contractual Obligations

In addition to our contractual obligations described above, we have other purchase obligations consisting primarily of contractual commitments for normal operating expenses at our apartment properties, recurring corporate expenditures including employment, consulting and compensation agreements and audit fees, non-recurring corporate expenditures such as improvements at our investment properties, the construction of the new apartment projects in St. Charles, costs associated with our land development contracts for the County's road projects and the development of our land in the U.S. and Puerto Rico. Our U.S. and Puerto Rico land development and construction contracts are subject to increases in cost of materials and labor and other project overruns. Our overall capital requirements will depend upon acquisition opportunities, the level of improvements on existing properties and the cost of future phases of residential and commercial land development.

In addition to our contractual obligations described above, we have other purchase obligations consisting primarily of contractual commitments for normal operating expenses at our apartment properties, recurring corporate expenditures including employment, consulting and compensation agreements and audit fees, non-recurring expenditures such as improvements at our investment properties, the construction of the new projects in St. Charles, costs associated with our land development contracts for the County's road projects and the development of our land in the U.S. and Puerto Rico. Our U.S. and Puerto Rico land development and construction contracts are subject to increases in cost of materials and labor and other project overruns. Our overall capital requirements will depend upon acquisition opportunities, the level of improvements on existing properties and the cost of future phases of residential and commercial land development. For the remainder of 2008 and into 2009, the Company plans to continue its development activity within the master planned communities in St. Charles and Puerto Rico and may commit to future contractual obligations at that time.

As of June 30, 2008, the Company has \$15,735,000 recorded as accrued income tax liabilities and \$3,546,000 as accrued interest on unpaid income tax liabilities related to uncertain tax positions as required by the provisions of FIN 48. We are unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate amount or timing of settlement of these liabilities.

#### Liquidity Requirements

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of obligations under capital and operating leases, normal recurring operating expenses, regular debt service requirements, investments in community development, non-recurring expenditures and certain strategic planning expenditures. The Company has historically met its liquidity requirements from cash flow generated from residential and commercial land sales, home sales, property management fees, rental property revenue, and financings.

Pursuant to agreements with the Charles County Commissioners, the Company is committed to completing \$15,315,000 of infrastructure expected to be funded through \$14,092,000 of County bond proceeds, either by existing bond receivables or anticipated future issuances. The Company expects to incur \$6,576,000 of this development over the next twelve months, of which \$5,352,000 is expected to be funded by County bond proceeds. Further, as the Company nears completion of several significant County Roads Projects, \$5,022,000 of retention and open payables as of June 30, 2008 will be required to be funded, none of which are eligible for bond funding. In addition to County committed development, approximately \$7,200,000 of project development is currently under contract, all of which is

expected to be incurred over the next 12 months. These project costs and the difference between the cost of County projects and any bond proceeds available to fund related expenditures will be funded out of cash flow and/or our \$14,000,000 revolving credit facility or working capital. As of June 30, 2008 we had contracts in place for \$402,000 of planning and development costs in Puerto Rico of which \$146,000 is expected to be incurred over the next twelve months. In addition, on July 22, 2008, the Company signed a construction contract for \$5,960,000 of site development related to the infrastructure of Hilltop phase I site development for future 220 condominium units in Parque Escorial which is expected to be incurred during the next eleven months. Our \$10,000,000 credit facility will be used to fund these expenditures. Further, we may seek additional development loans and permanent mortgages for continued development and expansion of other parts of St. Charles and Parque Escorial, potential opportunities in Florida and other potential rental property opportunities.

On June 24, 2008 the Company and Cynthia L. Hedrick, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, entered into a separation agreement requiring the Company to pay Ms. Hedrick a \$50,000 bonus and \$600,000 severance payment. In response to new federal tax regulations, the Company will deposit the severance payment into a trust account on August 14, 2008, to be disbursed to Ms. Hedrick on February 15, 2009, subject to the terms of a Rabbi Trust Agreement.

Management has noted a current reduction in the demand for residential real estate in the St. Charles and Parque Escorial markets. Should this reduced demand result in a significant decline in the prices of real estate in the St. Charles and Parque Escorial markets or defaults on our sales contracts, it could adversely impact our cash flows. Although Lennar is contractually obligated to take 200 lots per year, the market is not currently sufficient to absorb this sales pace. Accordingly, Lennar's management requested and the Company granted a reduction of the 200 lot requirement for 2007. In addition, the Company agreed to a temporary price reduction to 22.5% of the selling price of the home for 120 lots, 69 of which Lennar purchased during the first six months of 2008. Further, should there also be a reduced demand for our commercial property our cash flow would be adversely impacted.



## Table of Contents

As a result of our existing commitments and the downturn in the residential real estate market, management expects to use its resources conservatively in 2008 and 2009. As such, the Board of Trustees elected not to award 2007 bonuses to executive management or declare a dividend to our shareholders for the first and second quarters of 2008.

Anticipated cash flow from operations, existing loans, refinanced or extended loans, asset sales, and new financing are expected to meet our financial commitments for the next 12 months. However, there are no assurances that these funds will be generated.

The Company will evaluate and determine on a continuing basis, depending upon market conditions and the outcome of events described under the section titled "Forward-Looking Statements," the most efficient use of the Company's capital, including acquisitions and dispositions, purchasing, refinancing, exchanging or retiring certain of the Company's outstanding debt obligations, distributions to shareholders and its existing contractual obligations

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In connection with the preparation of this Form 10-Q, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness as of June 30, 2008 of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, because of the significant deficiencies discussed below, were not effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits with the SEC is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During June 2008, the audit committee of our board of trustees commenced an investigation into a possible violation of our established policies in connection with our Chairman and CEO's efforts in 2007 to recruit a new member to our senior management team. These efforts included the execution by our Chairman and CEO of a summary of agreement with the potential Company officer, subsequently retained by the Company as a consultant. Company policies require that new hire salaries greater than \$100,000 must be approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees. Furthermore, the Chairman does not have the authority to hire an officer of the Company without the express approval of a majority of the Board of Trustees. While the Company, upon advice of independent counsel retained by the audit committee in connection with the investigation, has determined that the summary of agreement was not a binding contract, its execution was not made known to the Company's financial management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

The Company is taking steps to ensure that the significant deficiencies are remediated, including by adopting the additional procedures listed below. We believe that instituting such enhanced internal control procedures and disclosure controls and procedures is in line with current public company best practices and is specifically designed to prevent the recurrence of the current situation.

- All business arrangements subject to the Board's jurisdiction, including, but not limited to, employment offers to senior executives and officers should be authorized by the Board or a sub-Committee of the Board in advance of any written agreement or LOI.
- All business arrangements subject to the Board's jurisdiction, including, but not limited to, employment offers to senior executives and officers, should contain clear language specifying the offer is contingent upon review by legal counsel and the approval of the Board.
- The Company should develop clear contracting procedures applicable to all business arrangements, including the advance review and approval of all contracts by finance and legal personnel and the manager of the appropriate business unit.
- Copies of all executed contracts or LOIs should be immediately forwarded to the finance department, which shall maintain copies in a centralized location.

- All officers and employees should immediately respond to requests for information from members of the Board of Trustees or company counsel. Noncompliance with such requests may lead to significant sanctions as determined appropriate by the Board.
- Consensus must be reached by the Governance and Nominating Committee prior to commencement of any efforts for recruiting top-level management.
- ACPT officers and employees should use only their ACPT email accounts to conduct Company business. Personal accounts should not be used to conduct ACPT's business.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's CEO and CFO, evaluated any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter covered by this report and determined that there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See the information under the heading "Legal Matters" in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for information regarding legal proceedings, which information is incorporated by reference in this Item 1.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There has been no material change in the Company's risk factors from those outlined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

ACPT held its 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on June 4, 2008. At the meeting, shareholders voted on the election of five trustees; three to serve a three year term expiring at the 2011 Annual Meeting; one to serve a two year term expiring at the 2010 Annual Meeting; and one to serve a one year term expiring at the 2009 Annual Meeting. The results of the voting were as follows:

Trustee	Term	Votes For	Votes Withheld
Donald J. Halldin	2011 Annual Meeting	4,370,719	650
Eric P. Von der Porten	2011 Annual Meeting	4,370,669	700
Ross B. Levin	2011 Annual Meeting	1,652,240	2,719,129
Michael E. Williamson	2010 Annual Meeting	4,363,519	7,850
Thomas E. Green	2009 Annual Meeting	4,365,919	5,450

For nominees to be elected, each must receive to affirmative vote of the majority of the Company's outstanding shares. Under the standard, Messrs. Halldin, Von der Porten, Williamson and Green were elected but Mr. Levin was not. The terms of J. Michael Wilson, Thomas J. Shafer, Edwin L. Kelly and Antonio Ginorio continued after the meeting and each continue to serve as a trustee.

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

As announced previously, Cynthia L. Hedrick, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, is leaving the company on August 14, 2008. Matthew M. Martin, Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, has been appointed to serve as the principal financial officer for the Company effective August 15, 2008.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(A) Exhibits

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

-32-

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN COMMUNITY PROPERTIES TRUST  
(Registrant)

Dated: August 14, 2008      By: /s/ J. Michael Wilson  
J. Michael Wilson  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: August 14, 2008      By: /s/ Cynthia L. Hedrick  
Cynthia L. Hedrick  
Chief Financial Officer

Dated: August 14, 2008      By: /s/ Matthew M. Martin  
Matthew M. Martin  
Chief Accounting Officer

