

NEOGENOMICS INC
Form POS AM
July 06, 2007

As filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on July 6, 2007

Registration No. 333-126754

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM SB-2
REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933**

Nevada (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	NeoGenomics, Inc. (Name of Registrant in Our Charter)	74-2897368 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
12701 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 9 Fort Myers, Florida 33913 (239) 768-0600 (Address and telephone number of Principal Executive Offices and Principal Place of Business)	8731 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)	Robert P. Gasparini 12701 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 9 Fort Myers, Florida 33913 (239) 768-0600 (Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

With a copy to:
Clayton E. Parker, Esq.
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston
Gates Ellis LLP
201 S. Biscayne Boulevard,
Suite 2000
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 539-3300
Facsimile: (305) 358-7095

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: **As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.**

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form POS AM

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, check the following box. /X/

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. /_/_

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, check the following box and list the Securities Act of 1933, as amended registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. /_/_

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. /_/_

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title Of Each Class Of Securities To Be Registered	Amount To Be Registered	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Share⁽¹⁾	Aggregate Offering Price⁽¹⁾	Amount Of Registration Fee
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	7,000,000 shares	\$1.65	\$11,340,000	\$1,235.85
TOTAL	7,000,000 shares	\$1.65	\$11,340,000	\$1,235.85

MI-234690 v3 0437575-0201

(1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act of 1933. For the purposes of this table, we have used the average of the closing bid and asked prices as of a recent date.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

MI-234690 v1 0437575-0201

PROSPECTUS

NEOGENOMICS, INC. 7,000,000 shares of Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the sale of up to 7,000,000 shares of the Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (“Common Stock”) of NeoGenomics, Inc. (referred to individually as the “Parent Company” or, collectively with all of its subsidiaries, as the “Company”, “NeoGenomics”, or “we”, “us”, or “our”) by certain persons who are stockholders of the Parent Company. The selling stockholders consist of:

- Those Investors set forth in the section herein entitled “Selling Stockholders” who intend to sell up to 2,666,667 shares of Common Stock previously issued and sold by the Parent Company to the Investors for a purchase price equal to \$1.50 per share during the period from May 31, 2007 through June 6, 2007 pursuant to a private equity transaction (the “Private Placement”). The Investors received registration rights with their shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder;
- Those Investors set forth in the section herein entitled “Selling Stockholders” who intend to sell up to 1,500,000 shares of Common Stock previously sold by Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P.(Aspen) to the Investors during the period from June 1, 2007 through June 5, 2007 in connection with the Private Placement. The Investors received registration rights with their shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder;
- Noble International Investments, Inc. (Noble) which intends to sell up to 98,417 shares of Common Stock underlying warrants previously issued by the Parent Company to Noble on June 5, 2007 in consideration for Noble’s services as placement agent in connection with the Private Placement. Noble received piggy back registration rights with its shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder;
- Dr. Michael Dent, Chairman of the Board who intends to sell up to 345,671 shares of Common Stock previously issued and sold by the Company to Michael Dent as founder shares;
- Aspen, which intends to sell up to 1,889,245 shares of Common Stock previously issued and sold by the Company to Aspen on April 15, 2003. Aspen received registration rights with respect to these 1,889,245 shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder; and
- Lewis Opportunity Fund and LAM Opportunity Fund are managed by Lewis Asset Management (LAM), which intends to sell up to 500,000 shares of Common Stock previously issued to LAM by the Company on June 6, 2007 upon conversion of certain warrants previously sold by Aspen to LAM on June 6, 2007. The Company issued these shares at an exercise price of \$0.26 per share and received gross proceeds equal to \$130,000. LAM received registration rights with its warrants and therefore, such shares underlying such warrants are being registered hereunder.

Please refer to “Selling Stockholders” beginning on page 17.

The Company is not selling any shares of Common Stock in this offering and therefore will not receive any proceeds from this offering. All costs associated with this registration will be borne by the Company.

Shares of Common Stock are being offered for sale by the selling stockholders at prices established on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (the “OTCBB”) during the term of this offering. On June 30, 2007, the last reported sale price of our Common Stock was \$1.62 per share. Our Common Stock is quoted on the OTCBB under the symbol “NGMN.OB”. These prices will fluctuate based on the demand for the shares of our Common Stock.

Brokers or dealers effecting transactions in these shares should confirm that the shares are registered under the applicable state law or that an exemption from registration is available.

These securities are speculative and involve a high degree of risk.

Please refer to “Risk Factors” beginning on page 8.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We and the selling stockholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

No underwriters or persons have been engaged to facilitate the sale of shares of our Common Stock in this offering. None of the proceeds from the sale of stock by the selling stockholders will be placed in escrow, trust or any similar account.

The SEC and state securities regulators have not approved or disapproved of these securities, or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is July __, 2007.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>THE OFFERING</u>	4
<u>SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED</u>	6
<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	8
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING</u>	13
<u>STATEMENTS</u>	
<u>SELLING STOCKHOLDERS</u>	14
<u>USE OF PRECEEDS</u>	18
<u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u>	18
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION</u>	
<u>AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF</u>	19
<u>OPERATION</u>	
<u>DISCRIPTION OF BUSINESS</u>	29
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	35
<u>PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS</u>	38
<u>MARKET PRICE OF AND</u>	
<u>DIVIDENDS ON THE</u>	
<u>REGISTRANT'S COMMON</u>	40
<u>EQUITY AND OTHER</u>	
<u>STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND</u>	
<u>CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS, AND</u>	42
<u>DIRCTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL</u>	44
<u>STOCK</u>	
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	46
<u>AVAILABLE INFORMATION</u>	46
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF</u>	F-1
<u>NEOGENOMICS, INC</u>	

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following is only a summary of the information, Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included in this prospectus. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including “Risk Factors” and our Financial Statements and the Notes thereto before making any investment decision.

Our Company

NeoGenomics operates cancer-focused testing laboratories that specifically target the rapidly growing genetic and molecular testing segment of the medical laboratory industry. Headquartered in Fort Myers, Florida, the Company’s growing network of laboratories currently offers the following types of testing services to pathologists, oncologists, urologists, hospitals, and other laboratories throughout the United States:

- cytogenetics testing, which analyzes human chromosomes;
- Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization (FISH) testing, which analyzes abnormalities at the chromosomal and gene levels;
- flow cytometry testing, which analyzes gene expression of specific markers inside cells and on cell surfaces; and
- molecular testing which involves analysis of DNA and RNA to diagnose and predict the clinical significance of various genetic sequence disorders.

All of these testing services are widely utilized in the diagnosis and prognosis of various types of cancer.

The genetic and molecular testing segment of the medical laboratory industry is the most rapidly growing niche of the market. Approximately six years ago, the World Health Organization reclassified cancers as genetic anomalies. This growing awareness of the genetic root behind most cancers combined with advances in technology and genetic research, including the complete sequencing of the human genome, have made possible a whole new set of tools to diagnose and treat diseases. This has opened up a vast opportunity for laboratory companies that are positioned to address this growing market segment.

The medical testing laboratory market can be broken down into three (3) primary segments:

- clinical lab testing,
- anatomic pathology testing, and
- genetic and molecular testing.

Clinical laboratories are typically engaged in high volume, highly automated, lower complexity tests on easily procured specimens such as blood and urine. Clinical lab tests often involve testing of a less urgent nature, for example, cholesterol testing and testing associated with routine physical exams. This type of testing yields relatively low average revenue per test. Anatomic Pathology (“AP”) testing involves evaluation of tissue, as in surgical pathology, or cells as in cytopathology. The most widely performed AP procedures include the preparation and interpretation of pap smears, skin biopsies, and tissue biopsies. The higher complexity AP tests typically involve more labor and are more technology intensive than clinical lab tests. Thus AP tests generally result in higher average revenue per test than clinical lab tests.

Genetic and molecular testing typically involves analyzing chromosomes, genes or base pairs of DNA or RNA for abnormalities. Genetic and molecular testing have become important and highly accurate diagnostic tools over the last five years. New tests are being developed at an accelerated pace, thus this market niche continues to expand rapidly. Genetic and molecular testing requires highly specialized equipment and credentialed individuals (typically MD or PhD level) to certify results and typically yields the highest average revenue per test of the three market segments. The following chart shows the differences between the genetic and molecular niche and other segments of the medical laboratory industry. Up until approximately five years ago, the genetic and molecular testing niche was considered to be part of the AP segment, but given its rapid growth, it is now more routinely broken out and accounted for as its own segment.

COMPARISON OF THE MEDICAL LABORATORY MARKET SEGMENTS⁽¹⁾

Attributes	Clinical	Anatomic Pathology	Genetic/Molecular
Testing Performed On	Blood, Urine	Tissue/Cells	Chromosomes/Genes/DNA
Testing Volume	High	Low	Low
Physician Involvement	Low	High - Pathologist	Low - Medium
Malpractice Ins. Required	Low	High	Low
Other Professionals Req.	None	None	Cyto/Molecular geneticist
Level of Automation	High	Low-Moderate	Moderate
Diagnostic in Nature	Usually Not	Yes	Yes
Types of Diseases Tested	Many Possible	Primarily to Rule out Cancer	Rapidly Growing
Typical per Price/Test	\$5 - \$35/Test	\$25 - \$500/Test	\$200 - \$1,000/Test
Estimated Size of Market	\$25 - \$30 Billion	\$10 - \$12 Billion	\$4 - \$5 Billion ⁽²⁾
Estimated Annual Growth Rate	4% -5%	6% - 7%	25+%
Established Competitors	Quest Diagnostics LabCorp Bio Reference Labs DSI Laboratories Hospital Labs Regional Labs	Quest Diagnostics LabCorp Genzyme Genetics Ameripath Local Pathologists	Genzyme Genetics Quest Diagnostics LabCorp Major Universities

(1) Derived from industry analyst reports.

(2) Includes flow cytometry testing, which historically has been classified under anatomic pathology.

Our primary focus is to provide high complexity laboratory testing for the community-based pathology and oncology marketplace. Within these key market segments, we currently provide our services to pathologists and oncologists in the United States that perform bone marrow and/or peripheral blood sampling for the diagnosis of liquid tumors (leukemias and lymphomas) and archival tissue referral for analysis of solid tumors such as breast cancer. A secondary strategic focus targets community-based urologists due to the availability of UroVysion®, a FISH-based test for the initial diagnosis of bladder cancer and early detection of recurrent disease. We focus on community-based practitioners for two (2) reasons: First, academic pathologists and associated clinicians tend to have their testing needs met within the confines of their university affiliation. Secondly, most of the cancer care in the United States is administered by community based practitioners, not in academic centers, due to ease of local access. Moreover, within the community-based pathologist segment it is not our intent to willingly compete with our customers for testing services that they may seek to perform themselves. Fee-for-service pathologists for example, derive a significant portion of their annual revenue from the interpretation of biopsy specimens. Unlike other larger laboratories, which strive to perform 100% of such testing services themselves, we do not intend to compete with our customers for such specimens. Rather, our high complexity cancer testing focus is a natural extension of and complementary to many of the services that our community-based customers often perform within their own practices. As such, we believe our relationship as a non-competitive consultant, empowers these physicians to expand their testing breadth and provide a menu of services that matches or exceeds the level of service found in academic centers of excellence around the country.

We continue to make progress growing our testing volumes and revenue beyond our historically focused effort in Florida due to our expanding field sales footprint. As of June 30, 2007, NeoGenomics' sales organization totaled nine (9) individuals. Recent key hires included our Vice President of Sales & Marketing and various sales managers and representatives in the Northeastern, Southeastern and Western states. We intend to continue adding sales representatives on a quarterly basis throughout the year. As more sales representatives are added, the base of our

business outside of Florida will continue to grow and ultimately eclipse that which is generated within the state.

We are successfully competing in the marketplace based on the quality and comprehensiveness of our test results, and our innovative flexible levels of service, industry-leading turn-around times, regionalization of laboratory operations and ability to provide after-test support to those physicians requesting consultation. 2006 saw the introduction of our Genetic Pathology Solutions (GPS) product that provides summary interpretation of multiple testing platforms all in one consolidated report. Response from clients has been very favorable and provides another option for those customers that require a higher degree of customized service.

Another important service was initiated in December 2006 when we became the first laboratory to offer technical-component only (tech-only) FISH testing to the key community-based pathologist market segment. NeoFISH has been enthusiastically received and has provided our sales team with another differentiating product to meet the needs of our target community-based pathologists. With NeoFISH these customers are able to retain a portion of the overall testing revenue from such FISH specimens themselves, which serves to much better align their interests with those of NeoGenomics than what might otherwise be possible with larger laboratory competitors.

We believe NeoGenomics average 3-5 day turn-around time for our cytogenetics services remains an industry-leading benchmark. The timeliness of results continues to increase the usage patterns of cytogenetics and act as a driver for other add-on testing requests by our referring physicians. Based on anecdotal information, we believe that typical cytogenetics labs have 7-14 day turn-around times on average with some labs running as high as twenty-one (21) days. Traditionally, longer turn-around times for cytogenetics tests have resulted in fewer tests being ordered since there is an increased chance that the test results will not be returned within an acceptable diagnostic window when other adjunctive diagnostic test results are available. We believe our turn-around times result in our referring physicians requesting more of our testing services in order to augment or confirm other diagnostic tests, thereby giving us a significant competitive advantage in marketing our services against those of other competing laboratories.

In 2006 we began an aggressive campaign to form new laboratories around the country that will allow us to regionalize our operations to be closer to our customers. High complexity laboratories within the cancer testing niche have frequently operated a core facility on one or both coasts to service the needs of their customers around the country. Informal surveys of customers and prospects uncovered a desire to do business with a laboratory with national breadth but with a more local presence. In such a scenario, specimen integrity, turnaround-time of results, client service support, and interaction with our medical staff are all enhanced. In 2006, NeoGenomics achieved the milestone of opening two (2) other laboratories to complement our headquarters in Fort Myers, Florida. NeoGenomics facilities in Nashville, Tennessee and Irvine, California received the appropriate state and CLIA licensure and are now receiving live specimens. As situations dictate and opportunities arise, we will continue to develop and open new laboratories, seamlessly linked together by our optimized Laboratory Information System (LIS), to better meet the regionalized needs of our customers.

2006 also saw the initial establishment of the NeoGenomics Contract Research Organization (“CRO”) division based at our Irvine, CA facility. This division was created to take advantage of our core competencies in genetic and molecular high complexity testing and act as a vehicle to compete for research projects and clinical trial support contracts in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. The CRO division will also act as a development conduit for the validation of new tests which can then be transferred to our clinical laboratories and be offered to our clients. We envision the CRO as a way to infuse some intellectual property into the mix of our services and in time create a more “vertically integrated” laboratory that can potentially offer additional clinical services of a more proprietary nature. Our agreement with Power3 further expanded the scope of this entity and provides us with joint venture partner. We will launch this venture in the third or fourth quarter of FY 2007.

As NeoGenomics grows, we anticipate offering additional tests that broaden our focus from genetic and molecular testing to more traditional types of anatomic pathology testing that are complementary to our current test offerings. At no time do we expect to intentionally compete with fee-for-service pathologists for services of this type and Company sales efforts will operate under a strict “right of first refusal” philosophy that supports rather than undercuts the practice

of community-based pathology. We believe that by adding additional types of tests to our product offering we will be able to capture increases in our testing volumes through our existing customer base as well as more easily attract new customers via the ability to package our testing services more appropriately to the needs of the market.

Historically, the above approach has borne out well for the Company. For most of FY 2004, the Company only performed one type of test in-house, cytogenetics, which resulted in only one test being performed per customer requisition for most of the year and average revenue per requisition of approximately \$490. With the subsequent addition of FISH testing in FY 2005 and flow cytometry to our pre-existing cytogenetics testing in FY 2006, our average revenue/requisition increased by 35.6% in FY 2005 to approximately \$632 and a further 7% in FY 2006 to approximately \$677/requisition. We believe with focused sales and marketing efforts and the recent launch of GPS reporting, NeoFISH tech-only FISH services, and the future addition of additional testing platforms, the Company can continue to increase our average revenue per customer requisition.

	FY 2006	FY 2005	% Inc (Dec)
Customer Requisitions Rec'd (Cases)	9,563	2,982	220.7%
Number of Tests Performed	12,838	4,082	214.5%
Average Number of Tests/Requisition	1.34	1.37	(2.1%)
Total Testing Revenue	\$ 6,475,996	\$ 1,885,324	243.5%
Average Revenue/Requisition	\$ 677.19	\$ 632.23	7.1%
Average Revenue/Test	\$ 504.44	\$ 461.86	9.2%

The following is a summary of our key operating metrics for the three month periods ended March 31, 2007 and March 31, 2006, respectively:

	FY 2007	FY 2006	% Inc (Dec)
Customer Requisitions Rec'd (Cases)	3,083	1,948	55.4%
Number of Tests Performed	4,196	2,664	57.5%
Average Number of Tests/Requisition	1.36	1.37	(0.7%)
Total Testing Revenue	\$ 2,242,661	\$ 1,343,800	66.9%
Average Revenue/Requisition	\$ 727.43	\$ 689.83	5.5%
Average Revenue/Test	\$ 534.48	\$ 504.42	6.0%

We believe this bundled approach to testing represents a clinically sound practice. In addition, as the average number of tests performed per requisition increases, this should drive large increases in our revenue and afford the Company significant synergies and efficiencies in our operations and sales and marketing activities. For instance, initial testing for many hematologic cancers may yield total revenue ranging from approximately \$1,800 - \$3,600/requisition and is generally comprised of a combination of some or all of the following tests: cytogenetics, fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH), flow cytometry and, per client request, morphology testing. Whereas in FY 2004, we only addressed approximately \$500 of this potential revenue per requisition; in FY 2005 we addressed approximately \$1,200 - \$1,900 of this potential revenue per requisition; and in FY 2006, we could address this revenue stream (see below), dependent on medical necessity criteria and guidelines:

	Average Revenue/Test
Cytogenetics	\$ 400-\$500

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form POS AM

Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)	
- Technical component	\$ 300-\$1000
- Professional component	\$ 200-\$500
Flow cytometry	
- Technical component	\$ 400-\$700
- Professional component	\$ 100-\$200
Morphology	\$ 400-\$700
Total	\$ 1,800-\$3,600

About Us

Our principal executive offices are located at 12701 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 9, Fort Myers, Florida 33913. Our telephone number is (239) 768-0600. Our website can be accessed at www.neogenomics.org.

THE OFFERING

This prospectus relates to the sale of up to 7,000,000 shares of the Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (“Common Stock”) of NeoGenomics, Inc. (referred to individually as the “Parent Company” or, collectively with all of its subsidiaries, as the “Company”, “NeoGenomics”, or “we”, “us”, or “our”) by certain persons who are stockholders of the Parent Company. The selling stockholders consist of:

- Those Investors set forth in the section herein entitled “Selling Stockholders” who intend to sell up to 2,666,667 shares of Common Stock previously issued and sold by the Parent Company to the Investors for a purchase price equal to \$1.50 per share during the period from May 31, 2007 through June 6, 2007 pursuant to a private equity transaction (the “Private Placement”). The Investors received registration rights with their shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder;
- Those Investors set forth in the section herein entitled “Selling Stockholders” who intend to sell up to 1,500,000 shares of Common Stock previously sold by Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P.(Aspen) to the Investors during the period from June 1, 2007 through June 5, 2007 in connection with the Private Placement. The Investors received registration rights with their shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder;
- Noble International Investments, Inc. (Noble) which intends to sell up to 98,417 shares of Common Stock underlying warrants previously issued by the Parent Company to Noble on June 5, 2007 in consideration for Noble’s services as placement agent in connection with the Private Placement. Noble received piggy-back registration rights with its shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder;
- Dr. Michael Dent, Chairman of the Board who intends to sell up to 345,671 shares of Common Stock previously issued and sold by the Company to Michael Dent as founder shares;
- Aspen, which intends to sell up to 1,889,245 shares of Common Stock previously issued and sold by the Company to Aspen on April 15, 2003. Aspen received registration rights with respect to these 1,889,245 shares and therefore, such shares are being registered hereunder; and
- Lewis Opportunity Fund and LAM Opportunity Fund are managed by Lewis Asset Management (LAM), which intends to sell up to 500,000 shares of Common Stock previously issued to LAM by the Company on June 6, 2007 upon conversion of certain warrants previously sold by Aspen to LAM on June 6, 2007. The Company issued these shares at an exercise price of \$0.26 per share and received gross proceeds equal to \$130,000. LAM received registration rights with its warrants and therefore, such shares underlying such warrants are being registered hereunder.

Please refer to “Selling Stockholders” beginning on page 14.

The Company is not selling any shares of Common Stock in this offering and therefore will not receive any proceeds from this offering. All costs associated with this registration will be borne by the Company.

Shares of Common Stock are being offered for sale by the selling stockholders at prices established on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (the “OTCBB”) during the term of this offering. On June 30, 2007, the last reported sale price of our Common Stock was \$1.62 per share. Our Common Stock is quoted on the OTCBB under the symbol “NGMN.OB”. These prices will fluctuate based on the demand for the shares of our Common Stock.

The Company engaged Noble, an unaffiliated registered broker-dealer, to advise us as our placement agent in connection with the Private Placement pursuant to that certain Letter Agreement, dated May 21, 2007, by and between

the Parent Company and Noble. In consideration for its services, Noble received (a) warrants to purchase 98,417 shares of our Common Stock, which such warrants have a five (5) year term, an exercise price equal to \$1.50 per share, cashless exercise provisions, customary anti-dilution provisions and the same other terms, conditions, rights and preferences as those shares sold to the Investors in the Private Placement, and (b) a cash fee equal to five percent (5%) of the gross proceeds from each sale made to the Investors introduced by Noble to the Company, or \$147,625.

In connection with the capital raising services of Aspen Capital Advisors for this offering, they received: (a) warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of our Common Stock, which such warrants have a five (5) year term, an exercise price equal to \$1.50 per share, cashless exercise provisions, customary anti-dilution provisions and the same other terms, conditions, rights and preferences as those shares sold to the Investors in the Private Placement, and (b) a cash fee equal to \$52,375.

Common Stock Offered	7,000,000 shares by selling stockholders
Offering Price	Market price
Common Stock Currently Outstanding	31,285,980 shares as of June 30, 2007
Use of Proceeds	We will not receive any proceeds of the shares offered by the selling stockholders. See "Use of Proceeds".
Risk Factors	The securities offered hereby involve a high degree of risk. See "Risk Factors".
Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board Symbol	NGNM.OB

SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Summary Consolidated Financial Information set forth below was excerpted from the Company's Annual Reports on Form 10-KSB for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, as filed with the SEC on April 2, 2007 and April 3, 2006 respectively.

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2006	2005
Statement of Operations Data:		
Net revenue	\$ 6,475,996	\$ 1,885,324
Cost of revenue	2,759,190	1,132,671
Gross margin	3,716,806	752,653
Other operating expense	3,576,812	1,553,017
Other income/expense	269,655	196,796
Net income (loss)	\$ (129,661)	\$ (997,160)
Net income (loss) per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.04)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding – basic and diluted	26,166,031	22,264,435
	As of December 31,	
	2006	2005
Balance Sheet Data:		
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 126,266	\$ 10,944
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$103,463 as of December 31, 2006 and \$37,807 as of December 31, 2005)	1,549,758	551,099
Inventories	117,362	60,000
Other current assets	102,172	58,509
Total current assets	1,895,558	680,552
Furniture and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$494,942 as of December 31, 2006 and \$261,311 as of December 31, 2005)	1,202,487	381,556
Other assets	33,903	17,996
Total assets	\$ 3,131,948	\$ 1,080,104
Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity (Deficit):		
Total current liabilities	\$ 2,628,487	\$ 665,849
Long term liabilities:		
Long term portion of equipment capital leases at December 31, 2006 and due to affiliates (net of discount of \$90,806) at December 31, 2005	448,947	1,409,194
Total liabilities	3,077,434	2,075,043
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 27,061,476 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006; 22,836,754 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2005	27,061	22,836

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form POS AM

Additional paid-in capital	11,300,135	10,005,308
Deferred stock compensation	(122,623)	(2,685)
Accumulated deficit	(11,150,059)	(11,020,398)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	54,514	(994,939)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 3,131,948	\$ 1,080,104

The Summary Consolidated Financial Information set forth below is unaudited and was excerpted from the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-QSB for the periods ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, as filed with the SEC.

	For the Periods Ended March 31,	
	2007	2006
Statement of Operations Data:		
Revenue	\$ 2,242,661	\$ 1,343,800
Cost of Revenue	936,734	576,797
Gross Profit	1,305,927	767,003
Other Operating Expenses	1,525,472	660,569
Net Income (Loss)	\$ (219,545)	\$ 106,434
Net Income (Loss) Per Share – Basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.00
Net Income (Loss) Per Share – Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.00
Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding – Basic	27,371,233	24,752,083
Diluted	27,371,233	25,512,363
	As of March 31,	
	2007	2006
Balance Sheet Data:		
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 575,393	\$ 260,081
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$126,363 as of March 31, 2007 and \$47,712 as of March 31, 2006)	1,986,229	898,095
Inventories	155,190	46,704
Other current assets	106,039	77,953
Total current assets	2,822,851	1,282,833
Furniture and Equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$492,548 as of March 31, 2007 and \$301,002 as of March 31, 2006)	1,409,381	736,611
Other Assets	39,791	12,638
Total assets	\$ 4,272,023	\$ 2,032,082
Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity:		
Total current liabilities	\$ 2,872,277	\$ 764,726
Long term liabilities:		
(Long term portions of equipment leases)	610,056	1,531,508
Total liabilities	3,482,333	2,296,234
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 27,697,958 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2007; 26,218,843 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2006	27,698	26,219
Additional paid-in capital	12,342,983	10,683,399
Deferred stock compensation	(211,388)	(59,805)
Accumulated deficit	(11,369,603)	(10,913,965)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	789,690	(264,152)

Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 4,272,023	\$ 2,032,082
--	--------------	--------------

RISK FACTORS

We are subject to various risks that may materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. An investor should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this filing before deciding to purchase our Common Stock. If any of these risks or uncertainties actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could be materially harmed. In that case, the trading price of our Common Stock could decline or we may be forced to cease operations.

Risks Related To Our Business

We Have A Limited Operating History Upon Which You Can Evaluate Our Business

We commenced revenue operations in 2002 and are just beginning to generate meaningful revenue. Accordingly, we have a limited operating history upon which an evaluation of us and our prospects can be based. We and our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in the rapidly evolving market for healthcare and medical laboratory services. To address these risks, we must, among other things, respond to competitive developments, attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel, implement and successfully execute our sales strategy, develop and market additional services, and upgrade our technological and physical infrastructure in order to scale our revenues. We may not be successful in addressing such risks. Our limited operating history makes the prediction of future results of operations difficult or impossible.

We May Not Be Able To Implement Our Business Strategies Which Could Impair Our Ability to Continue Operations

Implementation of our business strategies will depend in large part on our ability to (i) attract a significant number of customers; (ii) effectively introduce acceptable products and services to our customers; (iii) obtain adequate financing on favorable terms to fund our business strategies; (iv) maintain appropriate procedures, policies, and systems; (v) hire, train, and retain skilled employees; (vi) continue to operate with increasing competition in the medical laboratory industry; (vii) establish, develop and maintain name recognition; and (viii) establish and maintain beneficial relationships with third-party insurance providers and other third party payers. Our inability to obtain or maintain any or all these factors could impair our ability to implement our business strategies successfully, which could have material adverse affect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We May Be Unsuccessful In Managing Our Growth Which Could Prevent the Company From Being Profitable

Our recent growth has placed, and is expected to continue to place, a significant strain on our managerial, operational and financial resources. To manage our potential growth, we continue to implement and improve our operational and financial systems and to expand, train and manage our employee base. We may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations and our systems, procedures or controls may not be adequate to support our operations. Our management may not be able to achieve the rapid execution necessary to fully exploit the market opportunity for our products and services. Any inability to manage growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, potential profitability and financial condition.

Part of our business strategy may be to acquire assets or other companies that will complement our business. At this time, we are unable to predict whether or when any material transaction will be completed should negotiations commence. If we proceed with any such transaction, we may not effectively integrate the acquired operations with

our own operations. We may also seek to finance any such acquisition by debt financings or issuances of equity securities and such financing may not be available on acceptable terms or at all.

We May Incur Greater Costs Than Anticipated, Which Could Result in Sustained Losses

We used reasonable efforts to assess and predict the expenses necessary to pursue our business plan. However, implementing our business plan may require more employees, capital equipment, supplies or other expenditure items than management has predicted. Similarly, the cost of compensating additional management, employees and consultants or other operating costs may be more than we estimate, which could result in sustained losses.

We May Face Fluctuations in Results of Operations Which Could Negatively Affect Our Business Operations and We are Subject to Seasonality in our Business

As a result of our limited operating history and the relatively limited information available on our competitors, we may not have sufficient internal or industry-based historical financial data upon which to calculate anticipated operating expenses. Management expects that our results of operations may also fluctuate significantly in the future as a result of a variety of factors, including, but not limited to: (i) the continued rate of growth, usage and acceptance of our products and services; (ii) demand for our products and services; (iii) the introduction and acceptance of new or enhanced products or services by us or by competitors; (iv) our ability to anticipate and effectively adapt to developing markets and to rapidly changing technologies; (v) our ability to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel; (vi) the initiation, renewal or expiration of significant contracts with our major clients; (vii) pricing changes by us, our suppliers or our competitors; (viii) seasonality; and (ix) general economic conditions and other factors.

Accordingly, future sales and operating results are difficult to forecast. Our expenses are based in part on our expectations as to future revenues and to a significant extent are relatively fixed, at least in the short-term. We may not be able to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected revenue shortfall. Accordingly, any significant shortfall in relation to our expectations would have an immediate adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we may determine from time to time to make certain pricing or marketing decisions or acquisitions that could have a short-term material adverse affect on the our business, results of operations and financial condition and may not result in the long-term benefits intended. Furthermore, in Florida, currently our primary referral market for lab tests, a meaningful percentage of the population returns to homes in the Northern U.S. for the spring and summer months. This results in seasonality in our business. We estimate that our operating results during the second and third quarter of each year will be somewhat impacted by these seasonality factors until such time as we can generate more clients from outside of Florida. Because of all of the foregoing factors, our operating results could be less than the expectations of investors in future periods.

We Substantially Depend Upon Third Parties for Payment of Services, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Affect On Our Cash Flows And Results Of Operations

The Company is a clinical medical laboratory that provides medical testing services to doctors, hospitals, and other laboratories on patient specimens that are sent to the Company. In the case of most specimen referrals that are received for patients that are not in-patients at a hospital or institution or otherwise sent by another reference laboratory, the Company generally has to bill the patient's insurance company or a government program for its services. As such it relies on the cooperation of numerous third party payers, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid and various insurance companies, in order to get paid for performing services on behalf of the Company's clients. Wherever possible, the amount of such third party payments is governed by contractual relationships in cases where the Company is a participating provider for a specified insurance company or by established government reimbursement rates in cases where the Company is an approved provider for a government program such as Medicare. However, the Company does not have a contractual relationship with many of the insurance companies with whom it deals, nor is it necessarily able to become an approved provider for all government programs. In such cases, the Company is deemed to be a non-participating provider and there is no contractual assurance that the Company is able to collect the amounts billed to such insurance companies or government programs. Currently, the Company is not a participating provider with the majority of the insurance companies it bills for its services. Until such time as the Company becomes a participating provider with such insurance companies, there can be no contractual assurance that the Company will be paid for the services it bills to such insurance companies, and such third parties may change their reimbursement policies for non-participating providers in a manner that may have a material adverse affect on the Company's cash flow or results of operations.

Our Business Is Subject To Rapid Scientific Change, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Affect On Our Operations

The market for genetic and molecular biology testing products and services is characterized by rapid scientific developments, evolving industry standards and customer demands, and frequent new product introductions and enhancements. Our future success will depend in significant part on our ability to continually improve our offerings in response to both evolving demands of the marketplace and competitive product offerings, and we may be unsuccessful in doing so.

The Market For Our Services Is Highly Competitive, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Affect On Our Business, Results Of Operations And Financial Condition

The market for genetic and molecular biology testing services is highly competitive and competition is expected to continue to increase. We compete with other commercial medical laboratories in addition to the in-house laboratories of many major hospitals. Many of our existing competitors have significantly greater financial, human, technical and marketing resources than we do. Our competitors may develop products and services that are superior to ours or that achieve greater market acceptance than our offerings. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future sources of competition and competitive pressures faced by us may have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We Face The Risk of Capacity Constraints, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Affect On Our Business, Results Of Operations And Financial Condition

We compete in the market place primarily on three (3) factors: (a) the quality and accuracy of our test results; (b) the speed or turn-around times of our testing services; and (c) our ability to provide after-test support to those physicians requesting consultation. Any unforeseen increase in the volume of customers could strain the capacity of our personnel and systems, which could lead to inaccurate test results, unacceptable turn-around times, or customer service failures. In addition, as the number of customers and cases increases, our products, services, and infrastructure may not be able to scale accordingly. Any failure to handle higher volume of requests for our products and services could lead to the loss of established customers and have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we produce inaccurate test results, our customers may choose not to use us in the future. This could severally harm our operations. In addition, based on the importance of the subject matter of our tests, inaccurate results could result in improper treatment of patients, and potential liability for us.

The Steps Taken By Us To Protect Our Proprietary Rights May Not Be Adequate, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Affect On Our Business, Results Of Operations And Financial Condition

We regard our copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets and similar intellectual property as critical to our success, and we rely upon trademark and copyright law, trade secret protection and confidentiality and/or license agreements with our employees, customers, partners and others to protect our proprietary rights. The steps taken by us to protect our proprietary rights may not be adequate and third parties could infringe on or misappropriate our copyrights, trademarks, trade dress and similar proprietary rights, which could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, other parties may assert infringement claims against us.

We are Dependent On Key Personnel And Need To Hire Additional Qualified Personnel

Our performance is substantially dependent on the performance of our senior management and key technical personnel. In particular, our success depends substantially on the continued efforts of our senior management team. We do not carry key person life insurance on any of our senior management personnel. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers, our laboratory director or other key employees could have a material adverse affect on the business, results of operations and our financial condition. Our future success also depends on our continuing ability to attract and retain highly qualified technical and managerial personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense and we may not be able to retain our key managerial and technical employees or that it will be able to attract and retain additional highly qualified technical and managerial personnel in the future. The inability to attract and retain

the necessary technical and managerial personnel could have a material and adverse affect upon our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Failure to Obtain Necessary Additional Capital to Finance Growth and Capital Requirements, Could Adversely Affect Our Business, Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We may seek to exploit business opportunities that require more capital than what is currently planned. We may not be able to raise such capital on favorable terms or at all. If we are unable to obtain such additional capital, we may be required to reduce the scope of our anticipated expansion, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Net Revenue Will Be Diminished If Payers Do Not Adequately Cover Or Reimburse Our Services

There has been and will continue to be significant efforts by both federal and state agencies to reduce costs in government healthcare programs and otherwise implement government control of healthcare costs. In addition, increasing emphasis on managed care in the U.S. may continue to put pressure on the pricing of healthcare services. Uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of new applications or services. Third party payers, including governmental payers such as Medicare and private payers, are scrutinizing new medical products and services and may not cover or may limit coverage and the level of reimbursement for our services. Third party insurance coverage may not be available to patients for any of our existing assays or assays we discover and develop. However, a substantial portion of the testing for which we bill our hospital and laboratory clients is ultimately paid by third party payers. Any pricing pressure exerted by these third party payers on our customers may, in turn, be exerted by our customers on us. If government and other third party payers do not provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for our assays, our operating results, cash flows or financial condition may decline.

Third Party Billing Is Extremely Complicated And Will Result In Significant Additional Costs To Us

Billing for laboratory services is extremely complicated. The customer refers the tests; the payer is the party that pays for the tests, and the two are not always the same. Depending on the billing arrangement and applicable law, we need to bill various payers, such as patients, insurance companies, Medicare, Medicaid, doctors and employer groups, all of which have different billing requirements. Additionally, our billing relationships require us to undertake internal audits to evaluate compliance with applicable laws and regulations as well as internal compliance policies and procedures. Insurance companies also impose routine external audits to evaluate payments made. This adds further complexity to the billing process.

Among many other factors complicating billing are:

- pricing differences between our fee schedules and the reimbursement rates of the payers;
- disputes with payers as to which party is responsible for payment; and
- disparity in coverage and information requirements among various carriers.

We incur significant additional costs as a result of our participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, as billing and reimbursement for clinical laboratory testing are subject to considerable and complex federal and state regulations. The additional costs we expect to incur include those related to: (1) complexity added to our billing processes; (2) training and education of our employees and customers; (3) implementing compliance procedures and oversight; (4) collections and legal costs; and (5) costs associated with, among other factors, challenging coverage and payment denials and providing patients with information regarding claims processing and services, such as advanced beneficiary notices.

Our Operations are Subject to Strict Laws Prohibiting Fraudulent Billing and Other Abuse, and our Failure to Comply with Such Laws could Result in Substantial Penalties

Of particular importance to our operations are federal and state laws prohibiting fraudulent billing and providing for the recovery of non-fraudulent overpayments, as a large number of laboratories have been forced by the federal and state governments, as well as by private payers, to enter into substantial settlements under these laws. In particular, if an entity is determined to have violated the federal False Claims Act, it may be required to pay up to three (3) times the actual damages sustained by the government, plus civil penalties of between \$5,500 to \$11,000 for each separate

false claim. There are many potential bases for liability under the federal False Claims Act. Liability arises, primarily, when an entity knowingly submits, or causes another to submit, a false claim for reimbursement to the federal government. Submitting a claim with reckless disregard or deliberate ignorance of its truth or falsity could result in substantial civil liability. A trend affecting the healthcare industry is the increased use of the federal False Claims Act and, in particular, actions under the False Claims Act's "whistleblower" or "qui tam" provisions to challenge providers and suppliers. Those provisions allow a private individual to bring actions on behalf of the government alleging that the defendant has submitted a fraudulent claim for payment to the federal government. The government must decide whether to intervene in the lawsuit and to become the primary prosecutor. If it declines to do so, the individual may choose to pursue the case alone, although the government must be kept apprised of the progress of the lawsuit. Whether or not the federal government intervenes in the case, it will receive the majority of any recovery. In addition, various states have enacted laws modeled after the federal False Claims Act.

Government investigations of clinical laboratories have been ongoing for a number of years and are expected to continue in the future. Written “corporate compliance” programs to actively monitor compliance with fraud laws and other regulatory requirements are recommended by the Department of Health and Human Services’ Office of the Inspector General.

The Failure to Comply With Significant Government Regulation and Laboratory Operations May Subject the Company to Liability, Penalties or Limitation of Operations

As discussed in the Government Regulation section of our business description, the Company is subject to extensive state and federal regulatory oversight. Our laboratory locations may not pass inspections conducted to ensure compliance with CLIA ‘88 or with any other applicable licensure or certification laws. The sanctions for failure to comply with CLIA ‘88 or state licensure requirements might include the inability to perform services for compensation or the suspension, revocation or limitation of a laboratory location’s CLIA ‘88 certificate or state license, as well as civil and/or criminal penalties. In addition, any new legislation or regulation or the application of existing laws and regulations in ways that we have not anticipated could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, results of operations and financial condition.

Existing federal laws governing Medicare and Medicaid, as well as some other state and federal laws, also regulate certain aspects of the relationship between healthcare providers, including clinical and anatomic laboratories, and their referral sources, including physicians, hospitals and other laboratories. Certain provisions of these laws, known as the “anti-kickback law” and the “Stark Laws” contain extremely broad proscriptions. Violation of these laws may result in criminal penalties, exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid, and significant civil monetary penalties. We will seek to structure our arrangements with physicians and other customers to be in compliance with the anti-kickback, Stark and state laws, and to keep up-to-date on developments concerning their application by various means, including consultation with legal counsel. However, we are unable to predict how these laws will be applied in the future and the arrangements into which we enter may become subject to scrutiny thereunder.

Furthermore, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”) and other state laws contains provisions that affect the handling of claims and other patient information that are, or have been, transmitted electronically and regulate the general disclosure of patient records and patient health information. These provisions, which address security and confidentiality of patient information as well as the administrative aspects of claims handling, have very broad applicability and they specifically apply to healthcare providers, which include physicians and clinical laboratories. Although we believe we have complied with the Standards, Security and Privacy rules under HIPAA and state laws, an audit of our procedures and systems could find deficiencies. Such deficiencies, if found, could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, results of operations and financial condition and subject us to liability.

We Are Subject to Security Risks Which Could Harm Our Operations

Despite the implementation of various security measures by us, our infrastructure is vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptive problems caused by our customers or others. Computer viruses, break-ins or other security problems could lead to interruption, delays or cessation in service to our customers. Further, such break-ins whether electronic or physical could also potentially jeopardize the security of confidential information stored in our computer systems of our customers and other parties connected through us, which may deter potential customers and give rise to uncertain liability to parties whose security or privacy has been infringed. A significant security breach could result in loss of customers, damage to our reputation, direct damages, costs of repair and detection, and other expenses. The occurrence of any of the foregoing events could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We Are Controlled by Existing Stockholders And Therefore Other Stockholders Will Not Be Able to Direct Our Company

The majority of our shares and thus voting control of the Company is held by a relatively small group of stockholders. Because of such ownership, those stockholders will effectively retain control of our Board of Directors and determine all of our corporate actions. In addition, the Company and stockholders owning 11,591,579 shares, or approximately 37.1% of our Common Stock outstanding as of June 30, 2007, have executed a Shareholders' Agreement that, among other provisions, gives Aspen, our largest stockholder, the right to designate three (3) out of the seven (7) Directors authorized for our Board of Directors, and to nominate one (1) mutually acceptable independent Director. Accordingly, it is anticipated that Aspen and other parties to the Shareholders' Agreement will continue to have the ability to elect a controlling number of the members of our Board of Directors and the minority stockholders of the Company may not be able to elect a representative to our Board of Directors. Such concentration of ownership may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control. The shareholders' agreement was filed with a current report on form 8-K on March 30, 2005 as Exhibit 99.2-Amended Restated Registration Rights Agreement.

No Foreseeable Dividends

We do not anticipate paying dividends on our Common Stock in the foreseeable future. Rather, we plan to retain earnings, if any, for the operation and expansion of our business.

Risks Related To This Offering

Future Sales By Our Stockholders May Adversely Affect Our Stock Price And Our Ability To Raise Funds In New Stock Offerings

Sales of our Common Stock in the public market following this offering could lower the market price of our Common Stock. Sales may also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that our management deems acceptable or at all. Of the 31,285,984 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of June 30, 2007, 13,393,261 shares are freely tradable without restriction, unless held by our "affiliates". The remaining 17,892,723 shares of our Common Stock which are held by existing stockholders, including the officers and Directors, are "restricted securities" and may be resold in the public market only if registered or pursuant to an exemption from registration. Some of these shares may be resold under Rule 144.

The Selling Stockholders Intend To Sell Their Shares Of Common Stock In The Market, Which Sales May Cause Our Stock Price To Decline

The selling stockholders intend to sell in the public market 7,000,000 shares of our Common Stock being registered in this offering. That means that up to 7,000,000 shares may be sold pursuant to this Registration Statement. Such sales may cause our stock price to decline. Our Officers and Directors and those stockholders who are significant stockholders as defined by the SEC will continue to be subject to the provisions of various insider trading and Rule 144 regulations.

The Price You Pay In This Offering Will Fluctuate And May Be Higher Or Lower Than The Prices Paid By Other People Participating In This Offering

The price in this offering will fluctuate based on the prevailing market price of our Common Stock on the OTCBB. Accordingly, the price you pay in this offering may be higher or lower than the prices paid by other people

participating in this offering.

Our Common Stock Is Deemed To Be “Penny Stock”, Which May Make It More Difficult For Investors To Sell Their Shares Due To Suitability Requirements

Our Common Stock is deemed to be “penny stock” as that term is defined in Rule 3a51-1 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Penny stocks are stocks:

- With a price of less than \$5.00 per share;
- That are not traded on a “recognized” national exchange;
- Whose prices are not quoted on the Nasdaq automated quotation system;
- Nasdaq stocks that trade below \$5.00 per share are deemed a “penny stock” for purposes of Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act;
- In issuers with net tangible assets less than \$2.0 million (if the issuer has been in continuous operation for at least three (3) years) or \$5.0 million (if in continuous operation for less than three (3) years), or with average revenues of less than \$6.0 million for the last three (3) years.
- Broker/dealers dealing in penny stocks are required to provide potential investors with a document disclosing the risks of penny stocks. Moreover, broker/dealers are required to determine whether an investment in a penny stock is a suitable investment for a prospective investor. These requirements may reduce the potential market for our Common Stock by reducing the number of potential investors. This may make it more difficult for investors in our Common Stock to sell shares to third parties or to otherwise dispose of them. This could cause our stock price to decline.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Information included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus may contain forward-looking statements. This information may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements, which involve assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words “may”, “should”, “expect”, “anticipate”, “estimate”, “believe”, “intend” or “project” or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology.

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements, including statements regarding, among other things, (a) our projected sales and profitability, (b) our growth strategies, (c) anticipated trends in our industry, (d) our future financing plans and (e) our anticipated needs for working capital. These statements may be found under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations” and “Description of Business”, as well as in this prospectus generally. Actual events or results may differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, without limitation, the risks outlined under “Risk Factors” and matters described in this prospectus generally. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus will in fact occur.

SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The following table presents information regarding our selling stockholders who intend to sell up to 7,000,000 shares of our Common Stock. A description of each stockholder's relationship to the Company and how each selling stockholder acquired or will acquire shares to be sold in this offering is detailed in the information immediately following this table.

Selling Stockholders	Shares Beneficially Owned Before Offering ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Outstanding Shares Beneficially Owned Before Offering ⁽¹⁾	Shares To Be Sold In The Offering	Percentage of Outstanding Shares Beneficially Owned After The Offering
James R. Rehak & Joann M. Rehak JTWROS	383,633	1.23%	33,333	1.12%
Leonard Samuels IRA	110,000	*	110,000	*
A. Scott Logan Revocable Living Trust	3,400,000 ⁽²⁾	10.56%	500,000	9.15%
William J. Robison	55,000	*	55,000	*
Mosaic Partners Fund	277,640	*	177,500	*
Mosaic Partners Fund (US), LP	119,129	*	72,500	*
Ridgecrest Ltd.	53,000	*	53,000	*
Ridgecrest Partners QP, LP	205,000	*	205,000	*
Ridgecrest, LP	12,000	*	12,000	*
Leviticus Partners, LP	200,000	*	200,000	*
1837 Partners, L.P.	1,689,429	5.40%	886,000 ⁽³⁾	2.64%
1837 Partners QP, L.P.	404,968	1.29%	228,200 ⁽⁴⁾	*
1837 Partners, Ltd.	425,203	1.36%	235,500 ⁽⁵⁾	*
Lewis Opportunity Fund, LP	1,077,617	3.44%	1,077,617 ⁽⁶⁾	*
LAM Opportunity Fund, Ltd.	220,717	*	135,717 ⁽⁷⁾	*
Mark G. Egan IRA Rollover	600,000	1.92%	600,000 ⁽⁸⁾	*
Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P.	12,341,577 ⁽⁸⁾	35.63%	1,889,245 ⁽⁹⁾	31.92%
Dr. Michael T. Dent	2,756,492	8.67%	345,671	7.67%
Noble International Investments, Inc.	98,417 ⁽¹⁰⁾	*	98,417 ⁽¹⁰⁾	*
Total:	24,429,822	67.61%	7,000,000	59.82%

* Less than one percent (1%).

(1) Applicable percentage of ownership is based on 31,285,984 shares of our Common Stock outstanding as of June 30, 2007, together with securities exercisable or convertible into shares of Common Stock within sixty (60) days of June 30, 2007 for each stockholder. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of Common Stock are deemed to be beneficially owned by the person holding such securities for the purpose of computing the percentage of ownership of such person, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Note that affiliates are subject to Rule 144 and Insider trading regulations - percentage computation is for form purposes only.

(2) SKL Family Limited Partnership has direct ownership of 2,000,000 shares and currently exercisable warrants to purchase 900,000 shares. A. Scott Logan Revocable Living Trust has direct ownership of 500,000 shares. A. Scott Logan is the general partner SKL Limited Family Partnership and trustee for A. Scott Logan Revocable Living Trust. A. Scott Logan has only 1% of the assets of SKL Family Limited Partnership. An additional 1% of asset is owned by A. Scott Logan son's, and 98% of asserts is owned by a grantor retained annuity trust.

(3) Of these shares, 383,100 were acquired by 1837 Partners, L.P. as an Investor from the Company and 502,900 were acquired as a Investor from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement.

(4) Of these shares, 108,000 were acquired by 1837 Partners QP, L.P. as an Investor from the Company and 120,500 were acquired as an Investor from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement.

(5) Of these shares, 108,900 were acquired by 1837 Partners Ltd. as an Investor from the Company and 126,600 were acquired as an Investor from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement.

(6) Of these shares, 455,117 were acquired by Lewis Opportunity Fund, LP as an Investor from the Company, 207,500 were acquired as an Investor from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement and 415,000 were issued by the Company upon the conversion of warrants previously purchased from Aspen.

(7) Of these shares, 93,217 were acquired by Lewis Opportunity Fund, Ltd. as an Investor from the Company, 42,500 were acquired as an Investor from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement and 85,000 were issued by the Company upon the conversion of warrants previously purchased from Aspen.

(8) Of these shares, 100,000 were acquired by Mark G. Egan IRA Rollover as an Investor from the Company and 500,000 were acquired as an Investor from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement.

(9) Of these shares, 250,000 underlie currently exercisable warrants issued by the Company in connection with the Private Placement.

(10) These shares represent shares of our Common Stock issuable to Noble upon conversion of currently exercisable warrants issued by the Company in connection with the Private Placement for Noble's service as placement agent.

The following information contains a description of each selling stockholder's relationship to us and how each selling stockholder acquired or will acquire shares to be sold in this offering is detailed below. None of the selling stockholders have held a position or office, or had any other material relationship, with us, except as follows:

Shares Acquired In Connection With Private Placement

During the period from May 31, 2007 through June 6, 2007, the Company sold 2,666,667 shares of Common Stock to the Investors who are listed herein below pursuant to the Private Placement at a price equal to \$1.50 per share. This resulted in the Company receiving gross proceeds of \$4 million in cash. After estimated transaction costs, the Parent Company received net cash proceeds of \$3.75 million. The Investors received registration rights with their shares, and therefore all of those 2,666,667 shares are being registered hereunder. Each of the Investors listed below are accredited investors.

- **James R. Rehak & Joann M. Rehak JTWR0S (“Rehaks”).** The Rehaks purchased 33,333 shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$50,000 as part of the Private Placement. The Rehaks received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 33,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of the Rehaks are made by James. R. Rehak and Joann M. Rehak.
- **Leonard Samuels IRA (“LSI”).** LSI purchased 110,000 shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$165,000 as part of the Private Placement. LSI received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 110,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of LSI are made by Charles Schwab & Co. Inc., as Custodian for Leonard Samuels IRA.
- **A. Scott Logan Revocable Living Trust (SL Trust).** SL Ttrust purchased 500,000 shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$750,000 as part of the Private Placement. SL Trust received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 500,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of SL Trust are made by A. Scott Logan, Trustee.

- **William J. Robison (Mr. Robison).** Mr. Robison, who serves as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company, purchased 55,000 shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$82,500 as part of the Private Placement. Mr. Robison received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 55,000 shares in this offering.
- **1837 Partners, L.P. (1837P1).** 1837P1 purchased 383,100 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$574,650 as part of the Private Placement. 1837P1 received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 383,100 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of 1837P1 are made by Francis Tuite.
- **1837 Partners QP, L.P. (1837P2).** 1837P2 purchased 108,000 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$162,000 as part of the Private Placement. 1837P2 received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 108,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of 1837P2 are made by Francis Tuite.
- **1837 Partners, Ltd. (1837P3).** 1837P3 purchased 108,900 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$163,350 as part of the Private Placement. 1837P3 received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 383,100 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of 1837P3 are made by Francis Tuite.
- **Lewis Opportunity Fund, LP (LOF).** LOF purchased 455,117 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$682,676 as part of the Private Placement. LOF received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 455,117 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of LOF are made by Austin Lewis.
- **LAM Opportunity Fund, Ltd. (LAMOF).** LAMOF purchased 93,217 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$139,826 as part of the Private Placement. LAMOF received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 93,217 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of LAMOF are made by Austin Lewis.
- **Mark G. Egan IRA Rollover (MGE).** MGE purchased 100,000 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$150,000 as part of the Private Placement. MGE received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 100,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of MGE are made by Marlin Capital.
- **Mosaic Partners Fund (Mosaic).** Mosaic purchased 177,500 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$266,250 as part of the Private Placement. Mosaic received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 177,500 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of Mosaic are made by Ajay Sekhand.
- **Mosaic Partners Fund (US), LP (MPF).** MPF purchased 72,500 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$108,750 as part of the Private Placement. MPF received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 72,500 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of MPF are made by Ajay Sekhand.
- **Ridgecrest Ltd. (Ridgecrest).** Ridgecrest purchased 53,000 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$79,500 as part of the Private Placement. Ridgecrest received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 53,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of Ridgecrest are made by Todd McElroy.

- **Ridgecrest Partners QP, LP (Ridgecrest II).** Ridgecrest II purchased 205,000 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$307,500 as part of the Private Placement. Ridgecrest II received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 205,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of Ridgecrest II are made by Todd McElroy.

- **Ridgecrest, LP (Ridgecrest III).** Ridgecrest III purchased 12,000 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$18,000 as part of the

Private Placement. Ridgecrest III received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 12,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of Ridgecrest III are made by Todd McElroy.

- **Leviticus Partners, LP (Leviticus).** Leviticus purchased 200,000 shares of our Common Stock from the Company at a purchase price of \$1.50 per share, and the Company in turn received \$300,000 as part of the Private Placement. Leviticus received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 200,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of Leviticus are made by Adam M. Hutt.

During the period from June 1, 2007 through June 5, 2007, the Investors purchased 1,500,000 shares of Common Stock from Aspen in connection with the Private Placement. The Investors received registration rights with their shares, and therefore all of those 1,500,000 shares are being registered hereunder. Each of the Investors is an accredited investor.

- **1837 Partners, L.P. (1837P1).** 1837P1 purchased 502,900 shares of our Common Stock from Aspen on June 1, 2007 and received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 502,900 shares in this offering.

- **1837 Partners QP, L.P. (1837P2).** 1837P2 purchased 120,500 shares of our Common Stock on June 1, 2007 and received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 108,000 shares in this offering.

- **1837 Partners, Ltd. (1837P3).** 1837P3 purchased 126,600 shares of our Common Stock from Aspen on June 1, 2007 and received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 126,600 shares in this offering.

- **Lewis Opportunity Fund, LP (LOF).** LOF purchased 207,500 shares of our Common Stock from Aspen on June 5, 2007 and received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 207,500 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of LOF are made by LAM.

- **LAM Opportunity Fund, Ltd. (LAMOF).** LAMOF purchased 42,500 shares of our Common Stock from Aspen on June 5, 2007 and received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 42,500 shares in this offering.

- **Mark G. Egan IRA Rollover (MGE).** MGE purchased 500,000 shares of our Common Stock from Aspen on June 5, 2007 and received registration rights with the shares and therefore, we are registering these 500,000 shares in this offering.

Other Selling Stockholders

- **Noble International Investments, Inc. (Noble).** The Company engaged Noble, an unaffiliated registered broker-dealer, to advise us as our placement agent in connection with the Private Placement pursuant to that certain Letter Agreement, dated May 21, 2007, by and between the Parent Company and Noble. In consideration for its services, Noble received (a) warrants to purchase 98,417 shares of our Common Stock, which such warrants have a five (5) year term, a purchase price equal to \$1.50 per share, cashless exercise provisions, customary anti-dilution

provisions and the same other terms, conditions, rights and preferences as those shares sold to the Investors by the Company in the Private Placement, and (b) an additional cash fee equal to five percent (5%) of the gross proceeds from each sale made to the Investors by the Company, or \$147,625.50. Noble received piggy-back registration rights with its shares, and therefore we are registering 98,417 shares for Noble hereunder. All investment decisions for Noble are made by Shaun Titcomb.

Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P. (Aspen). In April 2003, we conducted a private placement to Aspen and its affiliates in which we received net proceeds of \$114,271 (after deducting certain transaction expenses) through the issue of 13,927,062 shares of Common Stock. In the April 2003 transaction, Aspen purchased 9,303,279 shares, of which 1,300,000 were subsequently transferred to other entities. All investment decisions of Aspen are made by Mr. Steven C. Jones, a member of our Board of Directors and our Acting Principal Financial Officer. We are registering 1,889,245 of these shares in this offering.

Certain Funds of Lewis Asset Management, Inc. (LAM). The following funds of LAM received shares of our Common Stock issued by the Company upon the exercise of warrants on June 6, 2007. These warrants had been previously purchased by the funds from Aspen on June 6, 2007.

•***Lewis Opportunity Fund, LP (LOF).*** LOF purchased from Aspen a warrant to purchase 415,000 shares of our Common Stock on June 6, 2007 and received registration rights for the shares underlying the warrant. On June 6, 2007, LOF exercised the warrant whereby the Company issued and sold to LOF 415,000 shares at \$0.26 per share. As a result, the Company received \$107,900. We are registering these 415,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of LOF are made by Austin Lewis.

•***LAM Opportunity Fund, Ltd. (LAMOF).*** LAMOF purchased from Aspen a warrant to purchase 85,000 shares of our Common Stock on June 6, 2007 and received registration rights for the shares underlying the warrant. On June 6, 2007, LAMOF exercised the warrant whereby the Company issued and sold to LOF 85,000 shares at \$0.26 per share. As a result, the Company received \$22,100. We are registering these 85,000 shares in this offering. All investment decisions of LAMOF are made by Austin Lewis.

USE OF PROCEEDS

This prospectus relates to shares of our Common Stock that may be offered and sold from time to time by certain selling stockholders. There will be no proceeds to us from the sale of shares of Common Stock in this offering.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling stockholders have advised us that the sale or distribution of our Common Stock owned by the selling stockholders may be effected directly to purchasers by the selling stockholders as principals or through one or more underwriters, brokers, dealers or agents from time to time in one or more transactions (which may involve crosses or block transactions) (i) on the over-the-counter market or in any other market on which the price of our shares of Common Stock are quoted or (ii) in transactions otherwise than on the over-the-counter market or in any other market on which the price of our shares of Common Stock are quoted. Any of such transactions may be effected at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, at prices related to such prevailing market prices, at varying prices determined at the time of sale or at negotiated or fixed prices, in each case as determined by the selling stockholders or by agreement between the selling stockholders and underwriters, brokers, dealers or agents, or purchasers. If the selling stockholders effect such transactions by selling their shares of our Common Stock to or through underwriters, brokers, dealers or agents, such underwriters, brokers, dealers or agents may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders or commissions from purchasers of Common Stock for whom they may act as agent (which discounts, concessions or commissions as to particular underwriters, brokers, dealers or agents may be in excess of those customary in the types of transactions involved).

Under the securities laws of certain states, the shares of our Common Stock may be sold in such states only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers.

The selling stockholders are advised to ensure that any underwriters, brokers, dealers or agents effecting transactions on behalf of the selling stockholders are registered to sell securities in all fifty (50) states. In addition, in certain states shares of our Common Stock may not be sold unless the shares have been registered or qualified for sale in such state or an exemption from registration or qualification is available and is complied with.

We will pay all expenses incident to the registration, offering and sale of the shares of our Common Stock to the public hereunder other than commissions, fees and discounts of underwriters, brokers, dealers and agents. If any of these other expenses exists, we expect the selling stockholders to pay these expenses.

We estimate that the expenses of the offering to be borne by us will be approximately \$85,000. The offering expenses consisted of: a SEC registration fee of approximately \$1,235.85, printing expenses of \$2,500; accounting fees of \$15,000; legal fees of \$30,000 and miscellaneous expenses of \$36,264. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of any of the shares of our Common Stock by the selling stockholders.

The selling stockholders are subject to applicable provisions of the Exchange Act and its regulations, including, Regulation M. Under Regulation M, the selling stockholders or their agents may not bid for, purchase, or attempt to induce any person to bid for or purchase, shares of our Common Stock while such selling stockholders are distributing shares covered by this prospectus. Pursuant to the requirements of Item 512 of Regulation S-B and as stated in Part II of this Registration Statement, we must file a post-effective amendment to the accompanying Registration Statement once informed of a material change from the information set forth with respect to the Plan of Distribution.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

Introduction

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included herein. The information contained below includes statements of the Company's or management's beliefs, expectations, hopes, goals and plans that, if not historical, are forward-looking statements subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements. For a discussion on forward-looking statements, see the information set forth in the Introductory Note to this Annual Report under the caption "Forward Looking Statements", which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Overview

NeoGenomics operates cancer-focused testing laboratories that specifically target the rapidly growing genetic and molecular testing segment of the medical laboratory industry. We currently operate in three laboratory locations: Fort Myers, Florida, Nashville, Tennessee and Irvine, California. We currently offer throughout the United States the following types of testing services to oncologists, pathologists, urologists, hospitals, and other laboratories: (a) cytogenetics testing, which analyzes human chromosomes, (b) Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization (FISH) testing, which analyzes abnormalities at the chromosome and gene levels, (c) flow cytometry testing services, which analyzes gene expression of specific markers inside cells and on cell surfaces, (d) morphological testing, which analyzes cellular structures and (e) molecular testing which involves analysis of DNA and RNA and predict the clinical significance of various genetic sequence disorders. All of these testing services are widely used in the diagnosis and prognosis of various types of cancer.

Our Common Stock is listed on the OTCBB under the symbol "NGNM.OB".

The genetic and molecular testing segment of the medical laboratory industry is the most rapidly growing segment of the medical laboratory market. Approximately six (6) years ago, the World Health Organization reclassified cancers as being genetic anomalies. This growing awareness of the genetic root behind most cancers combined with advances in technology and genetic research, including the complete sequencing of the human genome, have made possible a whole new set of tools to diagnose and treat diseases. This has opened up a vast opportunity for laboratory companies that are positioned to address this growing market segment.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our management routinely makes judgments and estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Our critical accounting policies are those where we have made difficult, subjective or complex judgments in making estimates, and/or where these estimates can significantly impact our financial results under different assumptions and conditions. Our critical accounting policies are:

- Revenue Recognition
- Accounts Receivable

Revenue Recognition

Net revenues are recognized in the period when tests are performed and consist primarily of net patient revenues that are recorded based on established billing rates less estimated discounts for contractual allowances principally for patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid and managed care and other health plans. Adjustments of the estimated discounts are recorded in the period payment is received. These revenues also are subject to review and possible audit by the payers. We believe that adequate provision has been made for any adjustments that may result from final determination of amounts earned under all the above arrangements. There are no known material claims, disputes or unsettled matters with any payers that are not adequately provided for in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Accounts Receivable

We record accounts receivable net of estimated and contractual discounts. We provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future by establishing an allowance to reduce the carrying value of such receivables to their estimated net realizable value. We estimate this allowance based on the aging of our accounts receivable and our historical collection experience for each type of payer. Receivables are charged off to the allowance account at the time they are deemed uncollectible.

Results Of Operations For The Twelve (12) Months Ended December 31, 2006 As Compared With The Twelve (12) Months Ended December 31, 2005 And For the Three (3) Months Ended March 31, 2007 As Compared With The Three (3) Months Ended March 31, 2006

Revenue

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, our revenues increased approximately 244% to \$6,476,000 from \$1,885,000 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. This was the result of an increase in testing volume of 214% and a 9% increase in average revenue per test. This volume increase is the result of wide acceptance of our bundled testing product offering and our industry leading turnaround times resulting in new customers. The increase in average revenue per test is a direct result of restructuring arrangements with certain existing customers that increased average revenue per test and realigning our pricing policies with new customers.

During the twelve (12) months ended December 31, 2006, our average revenue per customer requisition increased by approximately 7% to \$677.19 from \$632.23 in 2005. Our average revenue per test, increased by approximately 9% to \$504.44 from \$461.86 in 2005. This was primarily as a result of price increases to certain customers as well as product and payer mix changes. Revenues per test are a function of both the nature of the test and the payer (Medicare, Medicaid, third party insurer, institutional client etc.). Our policy is to record as revenue the amounts that we expect to collect based on published or contracted amounts and/or prior experience with the payer. We have established a reserve for uncollectible amounts based on estimates of what we will collect from (a) third-party payers with whom we do not have a contractual arrangement or sufficient experience to accurately estimate the amount of reimbursement we will receive, (b) co-payments directly from patients, and (c) those procedures that are not covered by insurance or other third party payers. On December 31, 2006, our Allowance for Doubtful Accounts was approximately \$103,500, a 174% increase from our balance at December 31, 2005 of \$37,800. The allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately 6% of accounts receivables on December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007 our revenues increased 67% to approximately \$2,242,700 from approximately \$1,343,800 in the first three months of 2006. This was the result of a 57.5% increase in testing volume and a 6.0% increase in average revenue per test. This increase in average revenue per test is primarily the result of an increase in the reimbursement rate for flow cytometry tests paid by Medicare.

Cost of Revenue

During 2006, our cost of revenue increased approximately 144% to \$2,759,000 from \$1,133,000 in 2005, primarily as a result of the 214% increase in testing volumes as well as increased costs from opening new lines of business and is explained further as follows:

- Increase of approximately 234% in employee labor and benefit related costs;
- Increase of approximately 136% in supply costs; and
- Increase of approximately 183% in postage and delivery costs.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007 our cost of revenue increased 62% to approximately \$936,700 from approximately \$576,800 in 2006. This was the result of the 57% increase in testing volume and is explained primarily as follows:

- Increase of approximately 88% in employee labor and benefit related costs;
- Increase of approximately 470% in facility costs;
- Increase of approximately 71% in supply costs; and
- Increase of approximately 133% in postage and delivery costs.

Gross Profit

As a result of the 244% increase in revenue and 144% increase in cost of revenue, our gross profit increased 394% to \$3,717,000 in 2006, from a gross profit of \$753,000 in 2005. When expressed as a percentage of revenue, our gross margins increased from 39.9% in 2005 to 57.4% in 2006. This increase in gross profit and gross profit margin was largely a result of higher testing volumes in 2006 and the economies of scale related to such higher volumes.

As a result of the 66.9% increase in revenue and 62.4% increase in cost of revenue, our gross profit increased 70.3% to \$1,305,900 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, from a gross profit of \$767,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006. When expressed as a percentage of revenue, our gross margins increased from 58% in 2007 to 57% in 2006. This increase in gross profit and gross profit margin was largely a result of higher testing volumes in 2007 and the economies of scale related to such higher volumes.

General and Administrative Expenses

During 2006, our general and administrative expenses increased by approximately 130% to \$3,577,000 from approximately \$1,553,000 in 2005. This increase was primarily a result of higher personnel and personnel-related expenses associated with the increase in management, sales and administrative headcount that was necessary to manage the significant increases in test volumes described above. In addition to management, sales, and administrative personnel, our general and administrative expenses also include all overhead and technology expenses as well, which have also increased as a result of higher test volumes. Finally we had an increase in bad debt expense as a result of increased revenue.

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, our selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses increased by approximately 142% to approximately \$1,426,500 from approximately \$590,700 for the three months ended March 31, 2006. This increase was primarily the result of higher personnel and personnel-related expenses, associated with the increase in management, sales and administrative headcount that was necessary to manage the significant increases in test volumes described above. In addition, our SG&A expenses also include all of our overhead and technology expenses and bad debt reserves, which also had to increase as a result of higher test volumes and increased revenue. SG&A expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 also included approximately \$159,000 of legal expenses related to the lawsuit from Accupath Diagnostics Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a US Labs (“US Labs”), whereas no such legal expenses were included in SG&A for the three months ended March 31, 2006. SG&A for the three months ended March 31, 2007 also included non-cash expense related to stock compensation of approximately \$94,000 compared to similar expenses of approximately \$7,700 for the three months ended March 31, 2006. There was also a non-cash impairment of fixed asset expense of approximately \$2,200 for the three-months ended March 31, 2007.

Other Income/Expense

Other income for the twelve (12) months ended December 31, 2006 consisted of approximately \$56,000 related to the settlement on December 29, 2006 of our 2002 research and license agreement with CIPHERGEN Biosystems. We paid CIPHERGEN \$34,000 to discharge our required performance under the research and license agreement. We had approximately \$90,000 of deferred revenue related to that agreement which was reversed and resulted in other income. However, the Company also recorded in General and Administrative expenses a \$53,000 impairment related to the write-off of the remaining undepreciated book value of the CIPHERGEN protein chip mass spectrometer.

Interest expense for 2006 increased approximately 65% to approximately \$326,000 from approximately \$197,000 for 2005. Interest expense is primarily comprised of interest payable on advances under our Credit Facility with Aspen Select Healthcare, LP (Aspen), which has increased as a result of our increased borrowing to fund operations and increases in the prime interest rate during 2006, and to a lesser extent interest on capital leases entered into during 2006.

Interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 increased approximately 42% to approximately \$98,900 from approximately \$70,000 for the three months March 31, 2006. Interest expense is primarily comprised of interest payable

on advances under our Credit Facility from Aspen, which has increased as a result of our increased borrowing to fund operations, and to a lesser extent interest on capital leases entered into during 2006 and early 2007.

Net Loss

As a result of the foregoing, our net loss decreased by approximately 87% to \$130,000 in 2006 from \$997,000 in 2005.

As a result of the foregoing, we had a net loss of approximately \$220,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to net income of approximately \$106,000 for the same period in 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, our operating activities used approximately \$694,000 in cash compared with \$902,000 used in 2005. This amount primarily represented cash tied-up in receivables as a result of increased revenues and to a lesser extent cash used to pay the expenses associated with our operations as well as fund our other working capital. We also spent approximately \$399,000 on new equipment in 2006 compared with \$118,000 in 2005. We were able to finance operations and equipment purchases primarily through the sale of equity securities which provided approximately \$1,090,000 and to a lesser extent with borrowings on the Credit Facility with Aspen. This resulted in net cash provided by financing activities of approximately \$1,208,000 in 2006 compared to \$918,000 in 2005. At December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$126,000, and \$11,000 respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, our operating activities used approximately \$382,000 in cash. This amount primarily resulted from cash to finance additional receivables as a result of our increased revenues during this period. We also spent approximately \$24,400 of cash on new equipment and lease financed approximately \$239,600 of additional capital equipment. We were able to finance operations and the cash portion of equipment purchases primarily through the sale of equity securities which provided approximately \$863,200, net of transaction fees and expenses. On June 30, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$1,650,000.

During the period from January 1, 2005 to May 31, 2005, we sold 450,953 shares of our Common Stock in a series of private placements at \$0.30 - \$0.35/share to unaffiliated third party investors. These transactions generated net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$146,000. These transactions involved the issuance of unregistered stock to accredited investors in transactions that we believed were exempt from registration under Rule 506 promulgated under the Securities Act. All of these shares were subsequently registered in a registration statement on Form SB-2, which was declared effective by the SEC on August 1, 2005.

On January 18, 2006, the Company entered into a binding letter agreement with Aspen (the "Aspen Agreement"), which provided, among other things, that:

(a) Aspen waived certain pre-emptive rights in connection with the sale of \$400,000 of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.20 per share and the granting of 900,000 warrants with a purchase price of \$0.26 per share to SKL Limited Partnership, LP ("SKL") in exchange for five (5) year warrants to purchase 150,000 shares at a purchase price of \$0.26 per share (the "Waiver Warrants"), as is more fully described below;

(b) Aspen had the right, up to April 30, 2006, to purchase up to \$200,000 of restricted shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price per share of \$0.20 per share (1,000,000 shares) and receive a five (5) year warrant to purchase 450,000 shares of our Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.26 per share in connection with such purchase (the "Equity Purchase Rights"). On March 14, 2006, Aspen exercised its Equity Purchase Rights (as such term is defined in

the Aspen Agreement);

(c) Aspen and the Company amended the Loan Agreement, dated March 23, 2005 (the “Loan Agreement”), by and between the parties, to extend the maturity date until September 30, 2007 and to modify certain covenants (such Loan Agreement as amended, the “Credit Facility Amendment”);

(d) Aspen had the right, through April 30, 2006, to provide up to \$200,000 of additional secured indebtedness to the Company under the Credit Facility Amendment and to receive a five (5) year warrant to purchase up to 450,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock with a purchase price of \$0.26 per share (the “New Debt Rights”). On March 30, 2006, Aspen exercised its New Debt Rights and entered into the definitive transaction documentation for the Credit Facility Amendment and other such documents required under the Aspen Agreement;

(e) The Company agreed to amend and restate the warrant agreement, dated March 23, 2005, to provide that all 2,500,000 warrant shares (the “Existing Warrants”) were vested and the exercise price per share was reset to \$0.31 per share; and

(f) The Company agreed to amend the Registration Rights Agreement, dated March 23, 2005 (the “Registration Rights Agreement”), by and between the parties, to incorporate the Existing Warrants, the Waiver Warrants and any new shares or warrants issued to Aspen in connection with the Equity Purchase Rights or the New Debt Rights.

We borrowed an additional \$100,000 from the Aspen Credit Facility in May 2006, \$25,000 in September 2006 and \$50,000 in December 2006. At December 31, 2006, \$1,675,000 was outstanding on the Credit Facility, which bears interest at prime plus 6%, and \$25,000 remained available. Subsequent to December 31, 2006 we borrowed the remaining \$25,000 available under the Aspen Facility.

During the period from January 18 through 21, 2006, the Company entered into agreements with four (4) other shareholders who are parties to a Shareholders’ Agreement, dated March 23, 2005, to exchange five (5) year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 150,000 shares of stock at a purchase price of \$0.26 per share for such shareholders’ waiver of their pre-emptive rights under the Shareholders’ Agreement.

On January 21, 2006 the Company entered into a subscription agreement with SKL (the “Subscription”), whereby SKL purchased 2.0 million shares (the “Subscription Shares”) of the Company’s Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.20 per share for \$400,000. Under the terms of the Subscription, the Subscription Shares are restricted for a period of twenty-four (24) months and then carry piggyback registration rights to the extent that exemptions under Rule 144 are not available to SKL. In connection with the Subscription, the Company also issued a five (5) year warrant to purchase 900,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.26 per share. SKL has no previous affiliation with the Company.

On June 6, 2005, we entered into our Standby Equity Distribution Agreement with Cornell Capital Partners pursuant to which the Company may, at its discretion, periodically sell to Cornell Capital Partners shares of its Common Stock for a total purchase price of up to \$5.0 million.

On June 6, 2006 as a result of not terminating the SEDA with Cornell Capital Partners, a short-term note payable in the amount of \$50,000 became due to Cornell and was subsequently paid in July 2006.

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form POS AM

The following sales of our Common Stock have been made under our SEDA with Cornell Capital Partners since it was first declared effective on August 1, 2005:

Request Date	Completion Date	Shares of Common Stock Issued/Sold	Gross Proceeds Received	Cornell Fee	Escrow Fee	Net Proceeds	ASP(1)
8/29/2005	9/8/2005	63,776	\$25,000	\$1,250			