DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/ Form 10-Q July 29, 2008 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended JUNE 30, 2008

Commission File Number: 1-3433

THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

38-1285128

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2030 DOW CENTER, MIDLAND, MICHIGAN 48674

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

989-636-1000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer X Non-accelerated filer O Accelerated filer O
Smaller reporting company O

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

o Yes x No

Class
Common Stock, par value \$2.50 per share

Outstanding at June 30, 2008 924,967,681 shares

Table of Contents

The Dow Chemical Company

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION		PAGE
Item 1.	Financial Statements.	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>6</u>
	Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	7
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	<u>26</u>
	Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Information	<u>26</u>
	Results of Operations	<u>27</u>
	Changes in Financial Condition	<u>33</u>
	Other Matters	<u>35</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	<u>39</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	<u>40</u>
PART II OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	<u>41</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	<u>41</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	<u>41</u>
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	<u>42</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits.	<u>42</u>

<u>SIGNATURE</u>		<u>44</u>
EXHIBIT INDEX		<u>45</u>
	2	

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

The Dow Chemical Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Income

	Three Months Ended					Six Months Ended				
In millions, except per share amounts (Unaudited)		June 30, 2008		June 30, 2007		June 30, 2008		June 30, 2007		
Net Sales	\$	16,380	\$	13,265	\$	31,204	\$	25,697		
Cost of sales		14,643		11,398		27,551		22,003		
Research and development expenses		335		320		666		622		
Selling, general and administrative expenses		515		477		1,013		895		
Amortization of intangibles		25		18		47		29		
Restructuring credit				4				4		
Equity in earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates		251		258		525		532		
Sundry income - net		37		123		83		192		
Interest income		25		33		49		73		
Interest expense and amortization of debt discount		151		129		296		275		
Income before Income Taxes and Minority Interests		1,024		1,341		2,288		2,674		
Provision for income taxes		243		277		542		612		
Minority interests share in income		19		25		43		50		
Net Income Available for Common Stockholders	\$	762	\$	1,039	\$	1,703	\$	2,012		
Share Data										
Earnings per common share - basic	\$	0.82	\$	1.09	\$	1.82	\$	2.10		
Earnings per common share - diluted	\$	0.81	\$	1.07	\$	1.80	\$	2.07		
Common stock dividends declared per share of common stock	\$	0.42	\$	0.42	\$	0.84	\$	0.795		
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic		929.8		954.8		936.0		959.0		
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - diluted		939.4		968.0		945.5		971.7		
Depreciation	\$	497	\$	474	\$	992	\$	940		
Capital Expenditures	\$	597	\$	462	\$	956	\$	792		

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The Dow Chemical Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

In millions (Unaudited)		June 30, 2008		
Assets				
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,111	\$	1,736
Marketable securities and interest-bearing deposits		2		1
Accounts and notes receivable:				
Trade (net of allowance for doubtful receivables - 2008: \$128; 2007: \$118)		7,133		5,944
Other		4,223		3,740
Inventories		7,690		6,885
Deferred income tax assets - current		172		348
Total current assets		21,331		18,654
Investments				
Investment in nonconsolidated affiliates		3,242		3,089
Other investments		2,393		2,489
Noncurrent receivables		373		385
Total investments		6,008		5,963
Property		,		,
Property		49,273		47,708
Less accumulated depreciation		34,649		33,320
Net property		14,624		14,388
Other Assets		,-		,
Goodwill		3,617		3,572
Other intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization - 2008: \$776; 2007: \$721)		794		781
Deferred income tax assets - noncurrent		2,283		2,126
Asbestos-related insurance receivables - noncurrent		681		696
Deferred charges and other assets		2,815		2,621
Total other assets		10,190		9,796
Total Assets	\$	52,153	\$	48,801
Total Pissets	Ψ	32,133	Ψ	70,001
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity				
Current Liabilities				
Notes payable	\$	2,225	\$	1,548
Long-term debt due within one year	Ψ	1,051	Ψ	586
Accounts payable:		1,031		360
Trade		5,493		4,555
Other		2,344		1,981
Income taxes payable		494		728
Deferred income tax liabilities - current		132		117
		411		418
Dividends payable Accrued and other current liabilities		2,237		
		/		2,512
Total current liabilities		14,387		12,445
Long-Term Debt		8,116		7,581
Other Noncurrent Liabilities		000		0.5.4
Deferred income tax liabilities - noncurrent		899		854
Pension and other postretirement benefits - noncurrent		3,109		3,014
Asbestos-related liabilities - noncurrent		925		1,001
Other noncurrent obligations		3,347		3,103
Total other noncurrent liabilities		8,280		7,972
Minority Interest in Subsidiaries		237		414

Edgar Filing: DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Preferred Securities of Subsidiaries	1,000	1,000
Stockholders Equity	,	,
Common stock	2,453	2,453
Additional paid-in capital	804	902
Retained earnings	18,919	18,004
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	374	(170)
Treasury stock at cost	(2,417)	(1,800)
Net stockholders equity	20,133	19,389
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 52,153 \$	48,801

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The Dow Chemical Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Six Months Ended					
	J		June 30,			
In millions (Unaudited)		2008	2007			
Operating Activities						
Net Income Available for Common Stockholders	\$	1,703	\$	2,012		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		1,143		1,041		
Provision for deferred income tax		137		154		
Earnings of nonconsolidated affiliates less than (in excess of) dividends received		89		(56)		
Minority interests share in income		43		50		
Pension contributions		(79)		(75)		
Net gain on sale of consolidated companies		(26)				
Net gain on sales of investments		(26)		(70)		
Net gain on sales of property and businesses		(28)		(43)		
Other net gain		(18)		(87)		
Restructuring credit				(4)		
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		(2)		(13)		
Changes in assets and liabilities:						
Accounts and notes receivable		(1,489)		(991)		
Inventories		(847)		(348)		
Accounts payable		1,169		338		
Other assets and liabilities		(353)		54		
Cash provided by operating activities		1,416		1,962		
Investing Activities		1,.10		1,,,02		
Capital expenditures		(956)		(792)		
Proceeds from sales of property, businesses and consolidated companies		149		69		
Purchase of previously leased assets		(63)		(12)		
Investments in consolidated companies		(231)		(742)		
Investments in consolidated affiliates		(116)		(15)		
Distributions from nonconsolidated affiliates		4		5		
Proceeds from sale of ownership interests in nonconsolidated affiliates		-		30		
Purchases of investments		(511)		(839)		
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments		500		851		
Cash used in investing activities		(1,224)		(1,445)		
Financing Activities Changes in short term notes payable		738		25		
Changes in short-term notes payable						
Payments on long-term debt		(80)		(2)		
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		981		13		
Purchases of treasury stock		(804)		(855)		
Proceeds from sales of common stock		53		245		
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		2		13		
Distributions to minority interests		(24)		(25)		
Dividends paid to stockholders		(786)		(717)		
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities		80		(1,303)		
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash		103		5		
Summary						
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		375		(781)		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		1,736		2,757		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	2,111	\$	1,976		

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The Dow Chemical Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

		Three Mon	ths E	nded	Six Months Ended			
In millions (Unaudited)		June 30, 2008		June 30, 2007	June 30, 2008		June 30, 2007	
Net Income Available for Common Stockholders	\$	762	\$	1,039 \$	1,703	\$	2,012	
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax								
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments		(55)		16	(84)		9	
Translation adjustments		(33)		60	540		134	
Adjustments to pension and other postretirement benefit plans		3		38	17		76	
Net gains (losses) on cash flow hedging derivative								
instruments		44		(12)	71		30	
Total other comprehensive income (loss)		(41)		102	544		249	
Comprehensive Income	\$	721	\$	1,141 \$	2,247	\$	2,261	

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The Dow Chemical Company and Subsidiaries
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION, Item 1. Financial Statements.
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

NOTE A CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of The Dow Chemical Company and its subsidiaries (Dow or the Company) were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and reflect all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) which, in the opinion of management, are considered necessary for the fair presentation of the results for the periods presented. These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

NOTE B RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in U.S. GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS No. 157-2, which delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statement on a recurring basis, to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the portion of SFAS No. 157 that was not delayed, and since the Company s existing fair value measurements are consistent with the guidance of the Statement, the partial adoption of the Statement did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its pension and other postretirement plans; therefore, the Company is still evaluating the impact of adopting the Statement for its plan assets. The adoption of the deferred portion of the Statement on January 1, 2009 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements. See Note F for expanded disclosures about fair value measurements.

SAB No. 74 Disclosures for Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In December 2007, the FASB revised SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, to establish revised principles and requirements for how entities will recognize and measure assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. The Statement is effective for business combinations completed on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company will apply the guidance of the Statement to business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51. The Statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. The Statement is effective on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the Statement on January 1, 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of SFAS No. 133. The Statement requires enhanced disclosures about an entity s derivative and hedging activities. The Statement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 which is January 1, 2009 for the Company. The Company is currently evaluating the additional disclosures required by the Statement.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. The FSP amends the factors an entity should consider in developing renewal or extension assumptions used in determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The FSP must be applied prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. The Company will apply the guidance of the FSP to intangible assets acquired after January 1, 2009.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement). The FSP applies to convertible debt securities that, upon conversion, may be settled by the issuer fully or partially in cash. The FSP, which is effective January 1, 2009 for the Company, is to be applied retrospectively to all past periods presented. The Company has not issued convertible debt securities; therefore, the FSP is not anticipated to have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities. The FSP addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method. The FSP affects entities that accrue dividends on share-based payment awards during the awards service period when the dividends do not need to be returned if the employees forfeit the award. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company does not have share-based payment awards that contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends, thus this FSP will have no impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

NOTE C RESTRUCTURING

2007 Restructuring

On December 3, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan that includes the shutdown of a number of assets and organizational changes within targeted support functions to improve the efficiency and cost effectiveness of the Company s global operations. As a result of these shutdowns and organizational changes, which are scheduled to be completed by the end of 2009, the Company recorded pretax restructuring charges totaling \$590 million in the fourth quarter of 2007. The charges consisted of asset write-downs and write-offs of \$422 million, costs associated with exit or disposal activities of \$82 million and severance costs of \$86 million. The impact of the charges was shown as Restructuring charges in the 2007 consolidated statements of income.

The severance component of the 2007 restructuring charges of \$86 million was for the separation of approximately 978 employees under the terms of Dow s ongoing benefit arrangements, primarily over two years. At June 30, 2008, severance of approximately \$21 million had been paid to 214 employees and a liability of \$67 million remained for approximately 764 employees.

The following table summarizes 2008 activities related to the Company s 2007 restructuring reserve:

2008 Activities Related to 2007 Restructuring

	with Dis	associated Exit or sposal	Severance	
In millions	Act	tivities	Costs	Total
Reserve balance at December 31, 2007	\$	79 \$	85 \$	5 164
Cash payments		(2)	(7)	(9)
Foreign currency impact		3	2	5
Reserve balance at March 31, 2008	\$	80 \$	80 \$	160
Cash payments		(1)	(13)	(14)
Reserve balance at June 30, 2008	\$	79 \$	67 \$	5 146

2006 Restructuring

On August 29, 2006, the Company s Board of Directors approved a plan to shut down a number of assets around the world as the Company continues its drive to improve the competitiveness of its global operations. As a consequence of these shutdowns, which are scheduled to be completed by the first quarter of 2009, and other optimization activities, the Company recorded pretax restructuring charges totaling \$591 million in 2006. The charges consisted of asset write-downs and write-offs of \$346 million, costs associated with exit or disposal activities of \$172 million and severance costs of \$73 million. The impact of the charges was shown as Restructuring charges in the 2006 consolidated statements of income.

The severance component of the 2006 restructuring charges of \$73 million was for the separation of approximately 810 employees under the terms of Dow s ongoing benefit arrangements, primarily over two years. As of June 30, 2008, severance of \$40 million had been paid to 494 employees, and a liability of \$27 million remained for approximately 277 employees.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes 2008 activities related to the Company s 2006 restructuring reserve:

2008 Activities Related to 2006 Restructuring

In millions	Costs asso with Ex Dispo Activit	it or sal	Severance Costs	Total
Reserve balance at December 31, 2007	\$	135 \$	39	\$ 174
Adjustment to reserve		(5)		(5)
Cash payments		(1)	(8)	(9)
Foreign currency impact		1		1
Reserve balance at March 31,2008	\$	130 \$	31	\$ 161
Cash Payments		(5)	(3)	(8)
Foreign currency impact		2	(1)	1
Reserve balance at June 30, 2008	\$	127 \$	27	\$ 154

NOTE D INVENTORIES

The following table provides a breakdown of inventories:

Inventories	June 30,	Dec. 31,
In millions	2008	2007
Finished goods	\$ 4,392	\$ 4,085
Work in process	1,745	1,595
Raw materials	838	566
Supplies	715	639
Total inventories	\$ 7,690	\$ 6,885

The reserves reducing inventories from the first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis to the last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis amounted to \$2,016 million at June 30, 2008 and \$1,511 million at December 31, 2007.

NOTE E GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows the carrying amount of goodwill by operating segment:

Goodwill	Performance	I	Performance	A	gricultural	Basic		Hydrocarbons	
In millions	Plastics		Chemicals		Sciences	Plastic	es.	and Energy	Total
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 1,034	\$	995	\$	1,380 \$		100	\$ 63	\$ 3,572
Goodwill related to 2008 acquisitions of:									

Edgar Filing: DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Additional 51% interest in Pacific							
Plastics Thailand Limited		7					7
Texas Triumph Seed Co., Inc.				10			10
Adjustments to goodwill related to 2007	7						
acquisitions of:							
Wolff Walsrode			6				6
Hyperlast Limited		11					11
GNS Technologies, LLC		2					2
Poly-Carb Inc.		(2)					(2)
UPPC AG		11					11
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$	1,063 \$	1,001 \$	1,390 \$	100 \$	63 \$	3,617

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding the Company s other intangible assets:

Other Intangible Assets		At J	une 30, 2008	At December 31, 2007						
In millions	Gross Carrying Amount		ccumulated nortization	Net		Gross Carrying Amount		cumulated nortization		Net
Intangible assets with finite lives:										
Licenses and intellectual property	\$ 309	\$	(179)	\$ 130	\$	302	\$	(165)	\$	137
Patents	140		(104)	36		145		(104)		41
Software	645		(344)	301		575		(318)		257
Trademarks	180		(58)	122		173		(51)		122
Other	296		(91)	205		307		(83)		224
Total	\$ 1,570	\$	(776)	\$ 794	\$	1,502	\$	(721)	\$	781

The following table provides information regarding amortization expense:

Amortization Expense	ortization Expense Three Months Ended			ıded	Six Months Ended					
	June 30, June 30,		June 30,		June 30,					
In millions	2	008		2007		2008		2007		
Other intangible assets, excluding software	\$	25	\$	18	\$	47	\$	29		
Software, included in Cost of sales	\$	11	\$	11	\$	22	\$	21		

Total estimated amortization expense for 2008 and the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows:

Estimated Amortization Expense

In millions	
2008	\$ 133
2009	\$ 124
2010	\$ 121
2011	\$ 110
2012	\$ 103
2013	\$ 69

Table of Contents

NOTE F FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following table summarizes the bases used to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis in the consolidated balance sheets:

Basis of Fair Value Measurements		Quo	oted Prices	Significant
In millions	At June 30, 2008	Ma Iden	n Active nrkets for tical Items Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
Assets at fair value:				
Equity securities (1)	\$ 472	\$	436	\$ 36
Debt securities (1)	1,512			1,512
Derivatives relating to:				
Foreign currency	102			102
Commodities	228			228
Total assets at fair value	\$ 2,314	\$	436	\$ 1,878
Liabilities at fair value:				
Derivatives relating to:				
Foreign currency	\$ (40)			\$ (40)
Interest rates	(13)			(13)
Commodities	(79)			(79)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ (132)			\$ (132)

⁽¹⁾ The Company s investments in equity and debt securities are classified as available-for-sale, and are included in Other investments in the consolidated balance sheets.

For assets that are measured using quoted prices in active markets, the total fair value is the published market price per unit multiplied by the number of units held without consideration of transaction costs. Assets and liabilities that are measured using significant other observable inputs are primarily valued by reference to quoted prices of similar assets or liabilities in active markets, adjusted for any terms specific to that asset or liability. For all other assets and liabilities for which observable inputs are used, fair value is derived through the use of fair value models, such as a discounted cash flow model or other standard pricing models.

NOTE G COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Litigation

Breast Implant Matters

On May 15, 1995, Dow Corning Corporation (Dow Corning), in which the Company is a 50 percent shareholder, voluntarily filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code to resolve litigation related to Dow Corning s breast implant and other silicone medical products. On June 1, 2004, Dow Corning s Joint Plan of Reorganization (the Joint Plan) became effective and Dow Corning emerged from bankruptcy. The Joint Plan contains release and injunction provisions resolving all tort claims brought against various entities, including the Company, involving Dow Corning s breast implant and other silicone medical products.

To the extent not previously resolved in state court actions, cases involving Dow Corning s breast implant and other silicone medical products filed against the Company were transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (the District Court) for resolution in the context of the Joint Plan. On October 6, 2005, all such cases then pending in the District Court against the Company were dismissed. Should cases involving Dow Corning s breast implant and other silicone medical products be filed against the Company in the future, they will be accorded similar treatment. It is the opinion of the Company s management that the possibility is remote that a resolution of all future cases will have a material adverse impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

As part of the Joint Plan, Dow and Corning Incorporated have agreed to provide a credit facility to Dow Corning in an aggregate amount of \$300 million. The Company s share of the credit facility is \$150 million and is subject to the terms and conditions stated in the Joint Plan. At June 30, 2008, no draws had been taken against the credit facility.

Table of Contents

DBCP Matters

Numerous lawsuits have been brought against the Company and other chemical companies, both inside and outside of the United States, alleging that the manufacture, distribution or use of pesticides containing dibromochloropropane (DBCP) has caused personal injury and property damage, including contamination of groundwater. It is the opinion of the Company s management that the possibility is remote that the resolution of such lawsuits will have a material adverse impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Environmental Matters

Accruals for environmental matters are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated, based on current law and existing technologies. At June 30, 2008, the Company had accrued obligations of \$308 million for environmental remediation and restoration costs, including \$25 million for the remediation of Superfund sites. This is management s best estimate of the costs for remediation and restoration with respect to environmental matters for which the Company has accrued liabilities, although the ultimate cost with respect to these particular matters could range up to twice that amount. Inherent uncertainties exist in these estimates primarily due to unknown conditions, changing governmental regulations and legal standards regarding liability, and evolving technologies for handling site remediation and restoration. At December 31, 2007, the Company had accrued obligations of \$322 million for environmental remediation and restoration costs, including \$28 million for the remediation of Superfund sites.

Midland Site Environmental Matters

On June 12, 2003, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) issued a Hazardous Waste Operating License (the License) to the Company s Midland, Michigan manufacturing site (the Midland site), which included provisions requiring the Company to conduct an investigation to determine the nature and extent of off-site contamination in Midland area soils; Tittabawassee and Saginaw River sediment and floodplain soils; and Saginaw Bay. The License required the Company, by August 11, 2003, to propose a detailed Scope of Work for the off-site investigation for the City of Midland and the Tittabawassee River and floodplain for review and approval by the MDEQ. Revised Scopes of Work were approved by the MDEQ on October 18, 2005. The Company was required to submit a Scope of Work for the investigation of the Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay by August 11, 2007. The Company submitted the Scope of Work for the Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay on July 13, 2007. The Company received a Notice of Deficiency dated August 29, 2007, from the MDEQ with respect to the Scope of Work for the Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay. The Company submitted a revised Scope of Work for the Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay to the MDEQ on October 15, 2007. On February 1, 2008, the Company received an approval with modification for the Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay Scope of Work. The Company appealed the MDEQ sapproval with modification action in Midland Circuit Court on February 21, 2008 and then by filing a Contest Case Petition with the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules on March 28, 2008. Following subsequent discussions between the Company and the MDEQ, a Remedial Investigation Work Plan along with a revised Scope of Work for the Saginaw River was submitted to the MDEQ on June 10, 2008.

Discussions between the Company and the MDEQ that occurred in 2004 and early 2005 regarding how to proceed with off-site corrective action under the License resulted in the execution of the Framework for an Agreement Between the State of Michigan and The Dow Chemical Company (the Framework) on January 20, 2005. The Framework committed the Company to propose a remedial investigation work plan by the end of 2005, conduct certain studies, and take certain immediate interim remedial actions in the City of Midland and along the Tittabawassee River.

Remedial Investigation Work Plans

The Company submitted Remedial Investigation Work Plans for the City of Midland and for the Tittabawassee River on December 29, 2005. By letters dated March 2, 2006 and April 13, 2006, the MDEQ provided two Notices of Deficiency (Notices) to the Company regarding the Remedial Investigation Work Plans. The Company responded, as required, to some of the items in the Notices on May 1, 2006, and as required responded to the balance of the items and submitted revised Remedial Investigation Work Plans on December 1, 2006. In response to subsequent discussions with the MDEQ, the Company submitted further revised Remedial Investigation Work Plans on September 17, 2007, for the Tittabawassee River and on October 15, 2007, for the City of Midland. On June 10, 2008, the Company submitted revised Human Health Risk Assessment and Ecological Risk Assessment Work Plans for the Tittabawassee River in addition to a Work Plan for the collection of fish for analysis in support of the Human Health Risk Assessment Work Plan. Also on June 10, 2008, the Company submitted the Remedial Investigation Work Plan for the Saginaw River and the Saginaw Bay.

Studies Conducted

On July 12, 2006, the MDEQ approved the sampling for the first six miles of the Tittabawassee River. On December 1, 2006, the MDEQ approved the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Support of Bioavailability Study for Midland (the Plan). The results of the Plan were provided to the MDEQ on March 22, 2007. On May 3, 2007, the MDEQ approved the GeoMorph® Pilot Site Characterization Report for the first six miles and approved this approach for the balance of the Tittabawassee River with some qualifications. On July 12, 2007, the MDEQ approved, with qualifications, the sampling for the next 11 miles of the Tittabawassee River. On March 3, 2008 the Company submitted to the MDEQ the Tittabawassee River Site Characterization Report that incorporated the data obtained from the 2006 and 2007 field investigations. On June 30, 2008, the Company submitted the Lower Tittabawassee River Sampling and Analysis Plan to the MDEQ.

Interim Remedial Actions

The Company has been working with the MDEQ to implement Interim Response Activities and Pilot Corrective Action Plans in specific areas in and along the Tittabawassee River, where elevated levels of dioxins and furans were found during the investigation of the first six miles of the river.

Removal Actions

On June 27, 2007, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sent a letter to the Company demanding that the Company enter into consent orders under Section 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) for three areas identified during investigation of the first six miles of the Tittabawassee River as areas for interim remedial actions under MDEQ oversight. The EPA sought a commitment that the Company immediately engage in remedial actions to remove soils and sediments. Three removal orders were negotiated and were signed on July 12, 2007, and the soil and sediment removal work required by these orders has been completed. On November 15, 2007, the Company and the EPA entered into a CERCLA removal order requiring the Company to remove sediment in the Saginaw River where elevated concentrations were identified during investigative work conducted on the Saginaw River. The sediment removal work was completed in December 2007. On July 11, 2008, the Company and the EPA entered into a removal order under which the Company is required to remove soil, pave a road and driveways, and clean homes along a strip of land approximately 150 feet by 1,000 feet along the lower part of the Tittabawassee River.

The Framework also contemplates that the Company, the State of Michigan and other federal and tribal governmental entities will negotiate the terms of an agreement or agreements to resolve potential governmental claims against the Company related to historical off-site contamination associated with the Midland site. The Company and the governmental parties began to meet in the fall of 2005 and entered into a Confidentiality Agreement in December 2005. The Company continues to conduct negotiations with the governmental parties under the Federal Alternative Dispute Resolution Act.

On September 12, 2007, the EPA issued a press release reporting that they were withdrawing from the alternative dispute resolution process. On September 28, 2007, the Company entered into a Funding and Participation Agreement with the natural resource damage trustees that addressed the Company s payment of past costs incurred by the trustees, payment of the costs of a trustee coordinator and a process to review additional cooperative studies that the Company might agree to fund or conduct with the natural resource damage trustees.

On October 10, 2007, the EPA presented a Special Notice Letter to the Company offering to enter into negotiations for an administrative order on consent for the Company to conduct or fund a remedial investigation, a feasibility study, interim remedial actions and a remedial design for the Tittabawassee River, Saginaw River, and Saginaw Bay. The Company agreed to enter into negotiations and submitted its Good Faith Offer to the EPA on December 10, 2007. On January 4, 2008, the EPA terminated negotiations under the Special Notice Letter.

On March 18, 2008, the Company and the natural resource damage trustees entered into a Memorandum of Understanding to provide a mechanism for the Company to fund cooperative studies related to the assessment of natural resource damages. On April 7, 2008 the natural resource damage trustees released for public review and comment their Natural Resource Damage Assessment Plan for the Tittabawassee River System Assessment Area.

At the end of 2007, the Company had an accrual for off-site corrective action of \$5 million (included in the total accrued obligation of \$322 million at December 31, 2007) based on the range of activities that the Company proposed and discussed implementing with the MDEQ and which is set forth in the Framework. At June 30, 2008, the accrual for off-site corrective action was less than \$1 million (included in the total accrued obligation of \$308 million at June 30, 2008).

Environmental Matters Summary

It is the opinion of the Company s management that the possibility is remote that costs in excess of those disclosed will have a material adverse impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

13

Table of Contents

Asbestos-Related Matters of Union Carbide Corporation

Union Carbide Corporation (Union Carbide), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is and has been involved in a large number of asbestos-related suits filed primarily in state courts during the past three decades. These suits principally allege personal injury resulting from exposure to asbestos-containing products and frequently seek both actual and punitive damages. The alleged claims primarily relate to products that Union Carbide sold in the past, alleged exposure to asbestos-containing products located on Union Carbide s premises, and Union Carbide s responsibility for asbestos suits filed against a former Union Carbide subsidiary, Amchem Products, Inc. (Amchem). In many cases, plaintiffs are unable to demonstrate that they have suffered any compensable loss as a result of such exposure, or that injuries incurred in fact resulted from exposure to Union Carbide s products.

Influenced by the bankruptcy filings of numerous defendants in asbestos-related litigation and the prospects of various forms of state and national legislative reform, the rate at which plaintiffs filed asbestos-related suits against various companies, including Union Carbide and Amchem, increased in 2001, 2002 and the first half of 2003. Since then, the rate of filing has significantly abated. Union Carbide expects more asbestos-related suits to be filed against Union Carbide and Amchem in the future, and will aggressively defend or reasonably resolve, as appropriate, both pending and future claims.

Based on a study completed by Analysis, Research & Planning Corporation (ARPC) in January 2003, Union Carbide increased its December 31, 2002 asbestos-related liability for pending and future claims for the 15-year period ending in 2017 to \$2.2 billion, excluding future defense and processing costs. Since then, Union Carbide has compared current asbestos claim and resolution activity to the results of the most recent ARPC study at each balance sheet date to determine whether the accrual continues to be appropriate. In addition, Union Carbide has requested ARPC to review Union Carbide s historical asbestos claim and resolution activity each November since 2004 to determine the appropriateness of updating the most recent ARPC study.

In November 2006, Union Carbide requested ARPC to review Union Carbide s historical asbestos claim and resolution activity and determine the appropriateness of updating its most recent study from January 2005. In response to that request, ARPC reviewed and analyzed data through October 31, 2006 and concluded that the experience from 2004 through 2006 was sufficient for the purpose of forecasting future filings and values of asbestos claims filed against Union Carbide and Amchem, and could be used in place of previous assumptions to update its January 2005 study. The resulting study, completed by ARPC in December 2006, stated that the undiscounted cost of resolving pending and future asbestos-related claims against Union Carbide and Amchem, excluding future defense and processing costs, through 2021 was estimated to be between approximately \$1.2 billion and \$1.5 billion. As in its January 2003 and January 2005 studies, ARPC provided estimates for a longer period of time in its December 2006 study, but also reaffirmed its prior advice that forecasts for shorter periods of time are more accurate than those for longer periods of time.

Based on ARPC s December 2006 study and Union Carbide s own review of the asbestos claim and resolution activity, Union Carbide decreased its asbestos-related liability for pending and future claims to \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2006 which covered the 15-year period ending in 2021 excluding future defense and processing costs. The reduction was \$177 million and was shown as Asbestos-related credit in the consolidated statements of income for 2006.

In November 2007, Union Carbide requested ARPC to review Union Carbide s 2007 asbestos claim and resolution activity and determine the appropriateness of updating its December 2006 study. In response to that request, ARPC reviewed and analyzed data through October 31, 2007. In December 2007, ARPC stated that an update of its study would not provide a more likely estimate of future events than the estimate reflected in its study of the previous year and, therefore, the estimate in that study remained applicable. Based on Union Carbide s own review of the

asbestos claim and resolution activity and ARPC s response, Union Carbide determined that no change to the accrual was required. At December 31, 2007, Union Carbide s asbestos-related liability for pending and future claims was \$1.1 billion. At December 31, 2007, approximately 31 percent of the recorded liability related to pending claims and approximately 69 percent related to future claims.

Based on Union Carbide s review of 2008 activity, Union Carbide determined that no adjustment to the accrual was required at June 30, 2008. Union Carbide s asbestos-related liability for pending and future claims was \$1.0 billion at June 30, 2008. Approximately 31 percent of the recorded liability related to pending claims and approximately 69 percent related to future claims.

At December 31, 2002, Union Carbide increased the receivable for insurance recoveries related to its asbestos liability to \$1.35 billion, substantially exhausting its asbestos product liability coverage. The insurance receivable related to the asbestos liability was determined by Union Carbide after a thorough review of applicable insurance policies and the 1985 Wellington Agreement, to which Union Carbide and many of its liability insurers are signatory parties, as well as other insurance settlements, with due consideration given to applicable deductibles, retentions and policy limits, and taking into account the solvency and historical payment experience of various insurance carriers. The Wellington Agreement and other agreements with insurers are designed to facilitate an orderly resolution and collection of Union Carbide s insurance policies and to resolve issues that the insurance carriers may raise.

Table of Contents

In September 2003, Union Carbide filed a comprehensive insurance coverage case, now proceeding in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, seeking to confirm its rights to insurance for various asbestos claims and to facilitate an orderly and timely collection of insurance proceeds. This lawsuit was filed against insurers that are not signatories to the Wellington Agreement and/or do not otherwise have agreements in place with Union Carbide regarding their asbestos-related insurance coverage, in order to facilitate an orderly resolution and collection of such insurance policies and to resolve issues that the insurance carriers may raise. Although the lawsuit is continuing, through the end of the second quarter of 2008, Union Carbide had reached settlements with several of the carriers involved in this litigation.

Union Carbide s receivable for insurance recoveries related to its asbestos liability was \$465 million at June 30, 2008 and \$467 million at December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, all of the receivable for insurance recoveries was related to insurers that are not signatories to the Wellington Agreement and/or do not otherwise have agreements in place regarding their asbestos-related insurance coverage.

In addition to the receivable for insurance recoveries related to its asbestos liability, Union Carbide had receivables for defense and resolution costs submitted to insurance carriers for reimbursement as follows:

Receivables for Costs Submitted to Insurance Carriers

In millions	June 30, 2008	Dec. 31, 2007
Receivables for defense costs	\$ 16	\$ 18
Receivables for resolution costs	222	253
Total	\$ 238	\$ 271

Union Carbide expenses defense costs as incurred. The pretax impact for defense and resolution costs, net of insurance, was \$2 million in the second quarter of 2008 (\$25 million in the second quarter of 2007) and \$16 million in the first six months of 2008 (\$42 million in the first six months of 2007), and was reflected in Cost of sales.

After a review of its insurance policies, with due consideration given to applicable deductibles, retentions and policy limits, after taking into account the solvency and historical payment experience of various insurance carriers; existing insurance settlements; and the advice of outside counsel with respect to the applicable insurance coverage law relating to the terms and conditions of its insurance policies, Union Carbide continues to believe that its recorded receivable for insurance recoveries from all insurance carriers is probable of collection.

The amounts recorded by Union Carbide for the asbestos-related liability and related insurance receivable described above were based upon current, known facts. However, future events, such as the number of new claims to be filed and/or received each year, the average cost of disposing of each such claim, coverage issues among insurers, and the continuing solvency of various insurance companies, as well as the numerous uncertainties surrounding asbestos litigation in the United States, could cause the actual costs and insurance recoveries for Union Carbide to be higher or lower than those projected or those recorded.

Because of the uncertainties described above, Union Carbide s management cannot estimate the full range of the cost of resolving pending and future asbestos-related claims facing Union Carbide and Amchem. Union Carbide s management believes that it is reasonably possible that the

cost of disposing of Union Carbide s asbestos-related claims, including future defense costs, could have a material adverse impact on Union Carbide s results of operations and cash flows for a particular period and on the consolidated financial position of Union Carbide.

It is the opinion of Dow s management that it is reasonably possible that the cost of Union Carbide disposing of its asbestos-related claims, including future defense costs, could have a material adverse impact on the Company s results of operations and cash flows for a particular period and on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

Synthetic Rubber Industry Matters

In 2003, the U.S., Canadian and European competition authorities initiated separate investigations into alleged anticompetitive behavior by certain participants in the synthetic rubber industry. Certain subsidiaries of the Company (but as to the investigation in Europe only) have responded to requests for documents and are otherwise cooperating in the investigations.

On June 10, 2005, the Company received a Statement of Objections from the European Commission (the EC) stating that it believed that the Company and certain subsidiaries of the Company (the Dow Entities), together with other participants in the synthetic rubber industry, engaged in conduct in violation of European competition laws with respect to the butadiene rubber and emulsion styrene butadiene rubber businesses. In connection therewith, on November 29, 2006, the EC issued its decision alleging infringement of Article 81 of the Treaty of Rome and imposed a fine of Euro 64.575 million (approximately \$85 million) on the Dow Entities. Several other companies were also named and fined. Subsequently, the Company has been named in various related U.S. civil actions. In the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company recognized a loss contingency of \$85 million related to the fine. The Company has appealed the EC s decision.

Table of Contents

Additionally, on March 10, 2007, the Company received a Statement of Objections from the EC stating that it believed that DuPont Dow Elastomers L.L.C. (DDE), a former 50:50 joint venture with E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company (DuPont), together with other participants in the synthetic rubber industry, engaged in conduct in violation of European competition laws with respect to the polychloroprene business. This Statement of Objections specifically names the Company, in its capacity as a former joint venture owner of DDE. On December 5, 2007, the EC announced its decision to impose a fine on the Company, among others, in the amount of Euro 48.675 million (approximately \$77 million). The Company previously transferred its joint venture ownership interest in DDE to DuPont in 2005, and DDE then changed its name to DuPont Performance Elastomers L.L.C. (DPE). In February 2008, DuPont, DPE and the Company each filed an appeal of the December 5, 2007 decision of the EC. Based on the Company s allocation agreement with DuPont, the Company s share of this fine, regardless the outcome of the appeals, will not have a material adverse impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Other Litigation Matters

In addition to breast implant, DBCP, environmental and synthetic rubber industry matters, the Company is party to a number of other claims and lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business with respect to commercial matters, including product liability, governmental regulation and other actions. Certain of these actions purport to be class actions and seek damages in very large amounts. All such claims are being contested. Dow has an active risk management program consisting of numerous insurance policies secured from many carriers at various times. These policies provide coverage that will be utilized to minimize the impact, if any, of the contingencies described above.

Summary

Except for the possible effect of Union Carbide s asbestos-related liability described above, it is the opinion of the Company s management that the possibility is remote that the aggregate of all claims and lawsuits will have a material adverse impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Purchase Commitments

The Company has numerous agreements for the purchase of ethylene-related products globally. The purchase prices are determined primarily on a cost-plus basis. Total purchases under these agreements were \$1,624 million in 2007, \$1,356 million in 2006 and \$1,175 million in 2005. The Company s take-or-pay commitments associated with these agreements at December 31, 2007 are included in the table below.

The Company also has various commitments for take-or-pay and throughput agreements. Such commitments are at prices not in excess of current market prices. The terms of all but one of these agreements extend from one to 25 years. One agreement has terms extending to 80 years. The determinable future commitment for this agreement is included for 10 years in the following table which presents the fixed and determinable portion of obligations under the Company s purchase commitments at December 31, 2007:

Fixed and Determinable Portion of Take-or-Pay and Throughput Obligations at December 31, 2007

Edgar Filing: DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/ - Form 10-Q

In millions	
2008	\$ 2,136
2009	1,845
2010	1,578
2011	1,117
2012	941
2013 and beyond	5,212
Total	\$ 12,829

In addition, in January 2008, the Company entered into a new 11-year contract for the purchase of ethylene-related products beginning in 2010. At June 30, 2008, the fixed and determinable portion of the take-or-pay commitment associated with this new contract was \$57 million in 2010, \$114 million in 2011, \$114 million in 2012 and \$912 million in 2013 and beyond. In June 2008, the Company entered into a new 20-year contract for the purchase of power and steam beginning in 2011. At June 30, 2008, the fixed and determinable portion of the take-or-pay commitment associated with this new contract was \$23 million in 2011, \$69 million in 2012 and \$1,460 million in 2013 and beyond.

Table of Contents

In addition to the take-or-pay obligations at December 31, 2007, the Company had outstanding commitments which ranged from one to six years for steam, electrical power, materials, property and other items used in the normal course of business of approximately \$234 million. Such commitments were at prices not in excess of current market prices.

Guarantees

The Company provides a variety of guarantees as described more fully in the following sections.

Guarantees

Guarantees arise during the ordinary course of business from relationships with customers and nonconsolidated affiliates when the Company undertakes an obligation to guarantee the performance of others (via delivery of cash or other assets) if specified triggering events occur. With guarantees, such as commercial or financial contracts, non-performance by the guaranteed party triggers the obligation of the Company to make payments to the beneficiary of the guarantee. The majority of the Company s guarantees relate to debt of nonconsolidated affiliates, which have expiration dates ranging from less than one year to eight years, and trade financing transactions in Latin America and Asia Pacific, which typically expire within one year of their inception.

Residual Value Guarantees

The Company provides guarantees related to leased assets specifying the residual value that will be available to the lessor at lease termination through sale of the assets to the lessee or third parties.

The following tables provide a summary of the final expiration, maximum future payments and recorded liability reflected in the consolidated balance sheets for each type of guarantee:

Guarantees at June 30, 2008	Final	N	Maximum Future	Recorded	
In millions	Expiration		Payments	Liability	
Guarantees	2014	\$	303	\$	16
Residual value guarantees	2015		990		4
Total guarantees		\$	1,293	\$	20

Guarantees at December 31, 2007	Final	I	Maximum Future	Recorded	
In millions	Expiration		Payments	Liability	
Guarantees	2014	\$	354	\$	22
Residual value guarantees	2015		1,035		5
Total guarantees		\$	1,389	\$	27

Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company has recognized asset retirement obligations for the following activities: demolition and remediation activities at manufacturing sites in the United States, Canada and Europe; capping activities at landfill sites in the United States, Canada, Italy and Brazil; and asbestos encapsulation as a result of planned demolition and remediation activities at manufacturing and administrative sites in the United States, Canada and Europe.

The aggregate carrying amount of asset retirement obligations recognized by the Company was \$113 million at June 30, 2008 and \$116 million at December 31, 2007. The discount rate used to calculate the Company s asset retirement obligation was 5.08 percent. These obligations are included in the consolidated balance sheets as Other noncurrent obligations.

The Company has not recognized conditional asset retirement obligations for which a fair value cannot be reasonably estimated in its consolidated financial statements. It is the opinion of the Company s management that the possibility is remote that such conditional asset retirement obligations, when estimable, will have a material adverse impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements based on current costs.

NOTE H LONG-TERM DEBT

On May 1, 2008, the Company issued \$800 million in unsecured notes with a coupon rate of 5.70 percent, semi-annual interest payments due every May and November, and the principal amount due at maturity on May 15, 2018. In the second quarter of 2008, the Company also issued \$116 million in medium-term notes with varying maturities in 2013 and 2015 and at various interest rates averaging 5.07 percent. In addition, \$75 million in industrial revenue bonds with a final maturity of May 1, 2038 and an initial interest rate of 5.90 percent were issued in the second quarter of 2008.

17

Table of Contents

The Company s public debt has been issued under indentures which contain, among other provisions, covenants with which the Company must comply while the underlying notes are outstanding. Such covenants include obligations to not allow liens on principal U.S. manufacturing facilities, enter into sale and lease-back transactions with respect to principal U.S. manufacturing facilities, or merge or consolidate with any other corporation or sell or convey all or substantially all of the Company s assets. Failure of the Company to comply with any of the covenants could result in a default under the applicable indenture which would allow the note holders to accelerate the due date of the outstanding principal and accrued interest on the subject notes. At June 30, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all of the covenants referred to above.

Annual Installments on Long-Term Debt for Next Five Years at June 30, 2008

In millions	
2008	\$ 508
2009	\$ 775
2010	\$ 1,108
2011	\$ 1,589
2012	\$ 1,005
2013	\$ 304

NOTE I PENSION PLANS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Net Periodic Benefit Cost for All Significant Plans	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
In millions	June 30, 2008		June 30, 2007		June 30, 2008		June 30, 2007	
Defined Benefit Pension Plans:								
Service cost	\$ 68	\$	72	\$	135	\$	143	
Interest cost	243		217		484		434	
Expected return on plan assets	(311)		(292)		(621)		(583)	
Amortization of prior service cost	8		6		16		12	
Amortization of net loss	12		50		23		99	
Termination benefits/curtailment costs							1	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 20	\$	53	\$	37	\$	106	
Other Postretirement Benefits:								
Service cost	\$ 5	\$	5	\$	9	\$	10	
Interest cost	29		29		59		57	
Expected return on plan assets	(7)		(9)		(14)		(18)	
Amortization of prior service credit	(1)		(1)		(2)		(2)	
Amortization of net loss			1				2	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 26	\$	25	\$	52	\$	49	

NOTE J STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company grants stock-based compensation to employees under the Employees Stock Purchase Plans (ESPP) and the 1988 Award and Option Plan (the 1988 Plan) and to non-employee directors under the 2003 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan. Most of the Company s stock-based compensation awards are granted in the first quarter of each year. Details for awards granted in the first quarter of 2008 are included in the following paragraphs. There was minimal grant activity in the second quarter of 2008. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company settled 0.9 million shares of performance deferred stock for \$35 million in cash.

During the first quarter of 2008, employees subscribed to the right to purchase 4.6 million shares with a weighted-average exercise price of \$35.57 per share and a weighted-average fair value of \$4.33 per share under the ESPP.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company granted the following stock-based compensation awards to employees under the 1988 Plan:

18

Table of Contents

- 9.2 million stock options with a weighted-average exercise price of \$38.62 per share and a weighted-average fair value of \$8.88 per share.
- 1.9 million shares of deferred stock with a weighted-average fair value of \$38.59 per share.
- 1.1 million shares of performance deferred stock with a weighted-average fair value of \$38.62 per share.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company granted the following stock-based compensation awards to non-employee directors under the 2003 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan:

• 28,200 shares of restricted stock with a weighted-average fair value of \$37.71 per share.

Total unrecognized compensation cost at March 31, 2008, including unrecognized cost related to the first quarter of 2008 activity, is provided in the following table:

Total Unrecognized Compensation Cost at March 31, 2008

In millions	Unrecognized Compensation Cost	Weighted-average Recognition Period
ESPP purchase rights	\$ 16	4.5 months
Unvested stock options	\$ 101	0.81 year
Deferred stock awards	\$ 156	1.19 years
Performance deferred stock awards	\$ 74	0.78 year

NOTE K EARNINGS PER SHARE CALCULATIONS

Earnings Per Share Calculations	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008			Three Months Ended June 30, 2007			
In millions, except per share amounts		Basic		Diluted	Basic		Diluted
Net income available for common stockholders	\$	762	\$	762	\$ 1,039	\$	1,039
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		929.8		929.8	954.8		954.8
Add dilutive effect of stock options and awards				9.6			13.2
Weighted-average common shares for EPS calculations		929.8		939.4	954.8		968.0
Earnings per common share	\$	0.82	\$	0.81	\$ 1.09	\$	1.07
Stock options and deferred stock awards excluded from EPS							
calculations (1)				34.3			13.3

Earnings Per Share Calculations

Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

In millions, except per share amounts	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 1,703	\$ 1,703	\$ 2,012	\$ 2,012
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	936.0	936.0	959.0	959.0
Add dilutive effect of stock options and awards		9.5		12.7
Weighted-average common shares for EPS calculations	936.0	945.5	959.0	971.7
Earnings per common share	\$ 1.82	\$ 1.80	\$ 2.10	\$ 2.07
Stock options and deferred stock awards excluded from EPS				
calculations (1)		34.2		17.7

Outstanding options to purchase shares of common stock and deferred stock awards that were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the effect of including them would have been anti-dilutive.

NOTE L LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

During the second quarter of 2008, the minority outside investor in Chemtech II, a limited partnership, presented the Company with a liquidation notice, resulting in Dow s election to purchase the outside investor s share in the partnership for \$200 million. The purchase transaction was completed in the second quarter of 2008. Prior to the liquidation, the outside investor s limited partner interest was included in Minority Interest in Subsidiaries in the consolidated balance sheets.

m	. 1		c	\sim			
Tα	hl	e	Ωt	Cc	n	tei	าts

NOTE M OPERATING SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

Corporate Profile

Dow is a diversified chemical company that combines the power of science and technology with the Human Element to constantly improve what is essential to human progress. The Company delivers a broad range of products and services to customers in approximately 160 countries, connecting chemistry and innovation with the principles of sustainability to help provide everything from fresh water, food and pharmaceuticals to paints, packaging and personal care products. In 2007, Dow had annual sales of \$53.5 billion and employed approximately 45,900 people worldwide. The Company has 150 manufacturing sites in 35 countries and produces approximately 3,100 products. The following descriptions of the Company s operating segments include a representative listing of products for each business.

PERFORMANCE PLASTICS

Applications: automotive interiors, exteriors, under-the-hood and body engineered systems • building and construction, thermal and acoustic insulation, roofing • communications technology, telecommunication cables, electrical and electronic connectors • footwear • home and office furnishings: kitchen appliances, power tools, floor care products, mattresses, carpeting, flooring, furniture padding, office furniture • information technology equipment and consumer electronics • packaging, food and beverage containers, protective packaging • sports and recreation equipment • wire and cable insulation and jacketing materials for power utility and telecommunications

Dow Automotive serves the global automotive market and is a leading supplier of plastics, adhesives, sealants and other plastics-enhanced products for interior, exterior, under-the-hood, vehicle body structure and acoustical management technology solutions. With offices and application development centers around the world, Dow Automotive provides materials science expertise and comprehensive technical capabilities to its customers worldwide.

• **Products**: AFFINITY polyolefin plastomers; AMPLIFY functional polymers; BETABRACE reinforcing composites; BETADAMP acoustical damping systems; BETAFOAM NVH and structural foams; BETAGUARD sealants; BETAMATE structural adhesives; BETASEAL glass bonding systems; CALIBRE polycarbonate resins; DOW polyethylene resins; DOW polypropylene resins and automotive components made with DOW polypropylene; IMPAXX energy management foam; INSPIRE performance polymers; INTEGRAL adhesive film; ISONATE pure and modified methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) products; ISOPLAST engineering thermoplastic polyurethane resins; MAGNUM ABS resins; PAPI polymeric MDI; PELLETHANE thermoplastic polyurethane elastomers; Premium brake fluids and lubricants; PULSE engineering resins; SPECFLEX semi-flexible polyurethane foam systems; SPECTRIM reaction moldable polymers; VERSIFY plastomers and elastomers; VORANATE specialty isocyanates; VORANOL polyether polyols

Dow Building Solutions manufactures and markets an extensive line of insulation, weather barrier, and oriented composite building solutions and adhesives. The business is the recognized leader in extruded polystyrene (XPS) insulation, known industry-wide by its distinctive Blue color and the Dow STYROFOAM brand for more than 60 years.

• **Products**: FROTH-PAK polyurethane spray foam; GREAT STUFF polyurethane foam sealant; INSTA-STIK roof insulation adhesive; SARAN vapor retarder film and tape; STYROFOAM brand insulation products (including XPS and polyisocyanurate rigid foam sheathing products); SYMMATRIX oriented composites; TILE BOND roof tile adhesive; TRYMER polyisocyanurate foam pipe insulation; WEATHERMATE weather barrier solutions (housewraps, sill pans, flashings and tapes)

Dow Epoxy is a leading global producer of epoxy resins, intermediates and specialty resins and epoxy systems for a wide range of industries and applications such as coatings, electrical laminates, civil engineering, wind energy, adhesives and composites. With plants strategically located across four continents, the business is focused on providing customers around the world with differentiated solution-based epoxy products and innovative technologies and services.

• **Products**: AIRSTONE Systems for Wind Energy; D.E.H. epoxy curing agents or hardeners; D.E.N. epoxy novolac resins; D.E.R. epoxy resins (liquids, solids and solutions); Epoxy intermediates (Acetone, Allyl chloride, Bisphenol A, Epichlorohydrin, OPTIM synthetic glycerine and Phenol); Specialty acrylic monomers (Glycidyl methacrylate); UCAR solution vinyl resins

Table of Contents

The **Polyurethanes and Polyurethane Systems** business is a leading global producer of polyurethane raw materials and polyurethane systems. Differentiated by its ability to globally supply a high-quality, consistent and complete product range, this business emphasizes both existing and new business developments while facilitating customer success with a global market and technology network.

• **Products**: ENFORCER Technology and ENHANCER Technology for polyurethane carpet and turf backing; ISONATE MDI; PAPI polymeric MDI; Propylene glycol; Propylene oxide; SPECFLEX copolymer polyols; SYNTEGRA waterborne polyurethane dispersions; VORACOR, VORALAST, VORALUX and VORASTAR polyurethane systems; VORANATE isocyanate; VORANOL and VORANOL VORACTIV polyether and copolymer polyols

Specialty Plastics and Elastomers includes a broad range of engineering plastics and compounds, performance elastomers and plastomers, monomers, specialty copolymers, synthetic rubber, polyvinylidene chloride resins and films (PVDC), and specialty film substrates. Key applications include automotive, adhesives, civil construction, wire and cable, building and construction, consumer electronics and appliances, food and specialty packaging, textiles, and footwear.

• **Products**: AFFINITY polyolefin plastomers (POPs); AMPLIFY functional polymers; CALIBRE polycarbonate resins; DOW XLA elastic fiber; EMERGE advanced resins; ENGAGE polyolefin elastomers; FLEXOMER very low density polyethylene (VLDPE) resins; INTEGRAL adhesive films; ISOPLAST engineering thermoplastic polyurethane resins; MAGNUM ABS resins; NORDEL hydrocarbon rubber; PELLETHANE thermoplastic polyurethane elastomers; PRIMACOR copolymers; PROCITE window envelope films; PULSE engineering resins; REDI-LINK polyethylene-based wire & cable insulation compounds; SARAN PVDC resin and SARAN PVDC film; SARANEX barrier films; SI-LINK polyethylene-based low voltage insulation compounds; TRENCHCOAT protective films; TYRIL SAN resins; TYRIN chlorinated polyethylene; UNIGARD HP high-performance flame-retardant compounds; UNIGARD RE reduced emissions flame-retardant compounds; UNIPURGE purging compound; VERSIFY plastomers and elastomers

The **Technology Licensing and Catalyst** business includes licensing and supply of related catalysts, process control software and services for the UNIPOL polypropylene process, the METEOR process for ethylene oxide (EO) and ethylene glycol (EG), the LP OXO process for oxo alcohols, the QBIS bisphenol A process, and Dow s proprietary technology for production of purified terephthalic acid (PTA). Licensing of the UNIPOL polyethylene process and sale of related catalysts, including metallocene catalysts, are handled through Univation Technologies, LLC, a 50:50 joint venture of Union Carbide.

• **Products**: LP OXO process technology and NORMAX catalysts; METEOR EO/EG process technology and catalysts; PTA process technology; QBIS bisphenol A process technology and DOWEX QCAT catalyst; UNIPOL PP process technology and SHAC catalyst systems

Applications: agricultural and pharmaceutical products and processing • building materials • chemical processing and intermediates • electronics • food processing and ingredients • gas treating solvents • household products • metal degreasing and dry cleaning • oil and gas treatment • paints, coatings, inks, adhesives, lubricants • personal care products • pulp and paper manufacturing, coated paper and paperboard • textiles and carpet • water purification

Designed Polymers is a business portfolio of products and systems characterized by unique chemistry, specialty functionalities, and people with deep expertise in regulated industries. Within Designed Polymers, Dow Water Solutions offers world-class brands and enabling component technologies designed to advance the science of desalination, water purification, trace contaminant removal and water recycling. Also in Designed Polymers, businesses such as Dow Wolff Cellulosics, Dow Biocides and ANGUS Chemical Company (a wholly owned subsidiary of Dow), develop and market a range of products that enhance or enable key physical and sensory properties of end-use products in applications such as food, pharmaceuticals, oil and gas, paints and coatings, personal care, and building and construction.

• **Products and Services**: Acrolein derivatives; Basic nitroparaffins and nitroparaffin-based specialty chemicals; CANGUARD BIT preservatives; CELLOSIZE hydroxyethyl cellulose; Chiral compounds and biocatalysts; CYCLOTENE advanced electronics resins; DOW latex powders; DOWEX ion exchange resins; DOWICIDE antimicrobial bactericides and fungicides; ETHOCEL ethylcellulose resins; FILMTEC membranes; FORTEFIBER soluble dietary fiber; Hydrocarbon resins; Industrial biocides;

Table of Contents

METHOCEL cellulose ethers; OMEXELL ultrafiltration; OMEXELL electrodeionization; new Expression Technology; POLYOX water-soluble resins; Quaternaries; SILK semiconductor dielectric resins; WALOCEL cellulose polymers

The **Dow Latex** business is a major global supplier of latexes, for a wide range of industries and applications. It provides the broadest line of styrene-butadiene (S/B) products supporting customers in paper and paperboard (for magazines, catalogues and food packaging) applications, and the carpet and floor covering industry. UCAR Emulsion Systems (UES) manufactures and sells acrylic, vinyl-acrylic, vinyl acetate ethylene (VAE), and S/B and styrene-acrylic latexes and NEOCAR branched vinyl ester latexes for use in the architectural and industrial coatings, adhesives, construction products such as caulks and sealants, textile, and traffic paint. It also offers the broadest product range in the dispersion area and produces and markets UCAR POLYPHOBE rheology modifiers.

• **Products**: Acrylic latex; EVOCAR specialty latex; FOUNDATIONS latex; NEOCAR branched vinyl ester latexes; Styrene-acrylate latex; Styrene-butadiene latex; Styrene-butadiene vinyl acetate ethylene (VAE); UCAR all-acrylic, styrene-acrylic and vinyl-acrylic latexes; UCAR POLYPHOBE rheology modifiers; UCARHIDE opacifier

The **Specialty Chemicals** business provides products and services used as functional ingredients or processing aids in the manufacture of a diverse range of products. Applications include agricultural and pharmaceutical products and processing, building and construction, chemical processing and intermediates, electronics, food processing and ingredients, gas treating solvents, fuels and lubricants, oil and gas, household and institutional cleaners, coatings and paints, pulp and paper manufacturing, metal degreasing and dry cleaning, and transportation. Dow Haltermann Custom Processing provides contract and custom manufacturing services to other specialty chemical, agricultural chemical and biodiesel producers.

• **Products**: Acrylic acid/Acrylic esters; AMBITROL and NORKOOL industrial coolants; Butyl CARBITOL and Butyl CELLOSOLVE ethylene oxide; CARBOWAX and CARBOWAX SENTRY polyethylene glycols and methoxypolyethylene glycols; Diphenyloxide; DOW polypropylene glycols; DOWCAL , DOWFROST , DOWTHERM , SYLTHERM and UCARTHERM heat transfer fluids; DOWFAX , TERGITOL and TRITON surfactants; Ethanolamines; Ethyleneamines; Isopropanolamines; MAXIBOOST cleaning boosters; MAXICHECK solvent analysis test kits; MAXISTAB stabilizers; Propylene oxide-based glycol ethers; SAFE-TAINER closed-loop delivery system; SYNALOX lubricants; UCAR deicing fluids; UCARKLEAN amine management; UCARSOL formulated solvents; UCON fluids; VERSENE chelating agents; Fine and specialty chemicals from the Dow Haltermann Custom Processing business; Test and reference fuels, printing ink distillates, pure hydrocarbons and esters, and derivatives from Haltermann Products, a wholly owned subsidiary of Dow

The Performance Chemicals segment also includes the results of Dow Corning Corporation, and a portion of the results of the OPTIMAL Group of Companies and the SCG-Dow Group, all joint ventures of the Company.

AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

Applications: control of weeds, insects and plant diseases for agriculture and pest management agricultural seeds and traits (genes)

Dow AgroSciences is a global leader in providing pest management, agricultural and crop biotechnology products and solutions. The business develops, manufactures and markets products for crop production; weed, insect and plant disease management; and industrial and commercial pest management. Dow AgroSciences is building a leading plant genetics and biotechnology business in agricultural seeds, traits, healthy oils, and animal health.

• **Products**: AGROMEN seeds; CLINCHER herbicide; DELEGATE insecticide; DITHANE fungicide; FORTRESS fungicide; GARLON herbicide; GLYPHOMAX herbicide; GRANITE herbicide; HERCULEX I, HERCULEX RW and HERCULEX XTRA insect protection; KEYSTONE herbicides; LAREDO fungicide; LONTREL herbicide; LORSBAN insecticides; MILESTONE herbicide; MUSTANG herbicide; MYCOGEN seeds; NEXERA canola and sunflower seeds; PHYTOGEN brand cottonseeds; PROFUME gas fumigant; SENTRICON termite colony elimination system;

Table of Contents

SIMPLICITY herbicide; STARANE herbicide; TELONE soil fumigant; TORDON herbicide; TRACER NATURALYTE insect control; TRIUMPH seeds; VIKANE structural fumigant; WIDESTRIKE insect protection

BASIC PLASTICS

Applications: adhesives • appliances and appliance housings • agricultural films • automotive parts and trim • beverage bottles • bins, crates, pails and pallets • building and construction • coatings • consumer and durable goods • consumer electronics • disposable diaper liners • fibers and nonwovens • films, bags and packaging for food and consumer products • hoses and tubing • household and industrial bottles • housewares • hygiene and medical films • industrial and consumer films and foams • information technology • oil tanks and road equipment • plastic pipe • textiles • toys, playground equipment and recreational products • wire and cable compounds

The **Polyethylene** business is the world s leading supplier of polyethylene-based solutions through sustainable product differentiation. Through the use of multiple catalyst and process technologies, the business offers customers one of the industry s broadest ranges of polyethylene resins via a strong global network of local experts focused on partnering for long-term success.

• **Products**: ASPUN fiber grade resins; ATTANE ultra low density polyethylene (ULDPE) resins; CONTINUUM bimodal polyethylene resins; DOW high density polyethylene (HDPE) resins; DOW low density polyethylene (LDPE) resins; DOWLEX polyethylene resins; ELITE enhanced polyethylene (EPE) resins; TUFLIN linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) resins; UNIVAL HDPE resins

The **Polypropylene** business, a major global polypropylene supplier, provides a broad range of products and solutions tailored to customer needs by leveraging Dow s leading manufacturing and application technology, research and product development expertise, extensive market knowledge and strong customer relationships.

• **Products**: DOW homopolymer polypropylene resins; DOW impact copolymer polypropylene resins; DOW random copolymer polypropylene resins; INSPIRE performance polymers

The **Polystyrene** business, the global leader in the production of polystyrene resins, is uniquely positioned with geographic breadth and participation in a diversified portfolio of applications. Through market and technical leadership and low cost capability, the business continues to improve product performance and meet customer needs.

• **Products**: STYRON A-TECH and C-TECH advanced technology polystyrene resins and a full line of STYRON general purpose polystyrene resins; STYRON high-impact polystyrene resins

The Basic Plastics segment also includes the results of Equipolymers and a portion of the results of EQUATE Petrochemical Company K.S.C. and the SCG-Dow Group, all joint ventures of the Company.

BASIC CHEMICALS

Applications: agricultural products • alumina • automotive antifreeze and coolant systems • carpet and textiles • chemical processing • dry cleaning • dust control • household cleaners and plastic products • inks • metal cleaning • packaging, food and beverage containers, protective packaging • paints, coatings and adhesives • personal care products • petroleum refining • pharmaceuticals • plastic pipe • pulp and paper manufacturing • snow and ice control • soaps and detergents • water treatment

The **Core Chemicals** business is a leading global producer of each of its basic chemical products, which are sold to many industries worldwide, and also serve as key raw materials in the production of a variety of Dow s performance and plastics products.

• **Products**: Acids; Alcohols; Aldehydes; Caustic soda; Chlorine; Chloroform; COMBOTHERM blended deicer; DOWFLAKE calcium chloride; DOWPER dry cleaning solvent; Esters; Ethylene dichloride (EDC); LIQUIDOW liquid calcium chloride; MAXICHECK procedure for testing the strength of reagents; MAXISTAB stabilizers for chlorinated solvents; Methyl chloride; Methylene chloride; Monochloroacetic acid (MCAA); Oxo products; PELADOW calcium chloride pellets; Perchloroethylene; Trichloroethylene; Vinyl acetate monomer (VAM); Vinyl chloride monomer (VCM); Vinylidene chloride (VDC)

Table of Contents

The **Ethylene Oxide/Ethylene Glycol** business is a key supplier of ethylene glycol to MEGlobal, a 50:50 joint venture and a world leader in the manufacture and marketing of merchant monoethylene glycol and diethylene glycol. Dow also supplies ethylene oxide to internal derivatives businesses. Ethylene glycol is used in polyester fiber, polyethylene terephthalate (PET) for food and beverage container applications, polyester film and antifreeze.

• **Products**: Ethylene glycol (EG); Ethylene oxide (EO)

The Basic Chemicals segment also includes the results of MEGlobal and a portion of the results of EQUATE Petrochemical Company K.S.C. and the OPTIMAL Group of Companies, all joint ventures of the Company.

HYDROCARBONS AND ENERGY

Applications: polymer and chemical production power

The **Hydrocarbons and Energy** business encompasses the procurement of fuels, natural gas liquids and crude oil-based raw materials, as well as the supply of monomers, power and steam principally for use in Dow s global operations. The business regularly sells its byproducts; the business also buys and sells products in order to balance regional production capabilities and derivative requirements. The business also sells products to certain Dow joint ventures. Dow is the world leader in the production of olefins and aromatics.

• **Products**: Benzene; Butadiene; Butylene; Cumene; Ethylene; Propylene; Styrene; Power, steam and other utilities

The Hydrocarbons and Energy segment also includes the results of Compañía Mega S.A. and a portion of the results of the SCG-Dow Group, both joint ventures of the Company.

Unallocated and Other includes the results of New Ventures (which includes new business incubation platforms focused on identifying and pursuing new commercial opportunities); Venture Capital; the Company s insurance operations and environmental operations; and certain overhead and other cost recovery variances not allocated to the operating segments.

Transfers of products between operating segments are generally valued at cost. However, transfers of products to Agricultural Sciences from other segments are generally valued at market-based prices; the revenues generated by these transfers in the first six months of 2008 and 2007 were immaterial and eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

Operating Segments		Three Mo	nths E	June 30,		Six Mont June 30,	June 30,	
In millions		2008		2007		2008		2007
Sales by operating segment	Φ.	4.410	Φ.	2.742	Φ.	0.001	Φ.	Z 2Z1
Performance Plastics	\$	4,418	\$	3,742	\$	8,381	\$	7,271
Performance Chemicals		2,476		2,071		4,799		4,073
Agricultural Sciences		1,360		1,091		2,674		2,127
Basic Plastics		3,780		3,180		7,272		6,074
Basic Chemicals		1,642		1,455		3,201		2,726
Hydrocarbons and Energy		2,618		1,623		4,783		3,235
Unallocated and Other		86		103		94		191
Total	\$	16,380	\$	13,265	\$	31,204	\$	25,697
EBIT(1) by operating segment								
Performance Plastics	\$	268	\$	382	\$	597	\$	823
Performance Chemicals		290		294		561		606
Agricultural Sciences		335		208		666		490
Basic Plastics		388		529		815		1,056
Basic Chemicals		29		165		188		299
Hydrocarbons and Energy				(1)				(1)
Unallocated and Other		(160)		(140)		(292)		(397)
Total	\$	1,150	\$	1,437	\$	2,535	\$	2,876
Equity in earnings (losses) of nonconsolidated affiliates by operating segment (included in EBIT)								
Performance Plastics	\$	12	\$	14	\$	30	\$	40
Performance Chemicals		119		104		214		209
Agricultural Sciences		1				2		
Basic Plastics		33		48		75		102
Basic Chemicals		71		80		168		155
Hydrocarbons and Energy		16		12		38		27
Unallocated and Other		(1)				(2)		(1)
Total	\$	251	\$	258	\$	525	\$	532

The Company uses EBIT (which Dow defines as earnings (loss) before interest, income taxes and minority interests) as its measure of profit/loss for segment reporting purposes. EBIT by operating segment includes all operating items relating to the businesses; items that principally apply to the Company as a whole are assigned to Unallocated and Other. A reconciliation of EBIT to Net Income Available for Common Stockholders is provided below:

		Three Moi	nths E	nded	Six Mont	hs En	ded
In millions		ne 30, 008	•	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2008	June 30 2007	
EBIT		\$ 1,150	\$	1,437	\$ 2,535	\$	2,876
+ Interest income - Interest expense and amortization of debt discount	In addition, at December 31, 2006, our wholly-owned	25		33	49		73

taxable REIT subsidiary, Crest Net Lease, Inc. (Crest), had invested \$137.5 million in 60 properties, which are classified as held for sale. Crest was created to buy and sell properties, primarily to individual investors, many of whom are involved in tax-deferred exchanges under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Tax Code).

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Reserves

Realty Income is organized to operate as an equity REIT that acquires and leases properties and distributes to stockholders, in the form of monthly cash distributions, a substantial portion of its net cash flow generated from leases on its retail

properties. We intend to retain an appropriate amount of cash as working capital. At December 31, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$10.6 million.

We believe that our cash and cash equivalents on hand, cash provided from operating activities and borrowing capacity is sufficient to meet our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future. We intend, however, to use additional sources of capital to fund property acquisitions and to repay our credit facility.

\$300 Million Acquisition Credit Facility

We have a \$300 million revolving, unsecured credit facility that expires in October 2008. Realty Income s current investment grade credit ratings provide

for financing under the credit facility at the London Interbank Offered Rate, commonly referred to as LIBOR, plus 65 basis points with a facility fee of 15 basis points, for all-in drawn pricing of 80 basis points over LIBOR. At February 13, 2007, we had borrowing capacity of \$300 million available on our credit facility and no outstanding balance.

27

The credit facility is expected to be used to acquire additional retail properties and for other corporate purposes. Any additional borrowings will
increase our exposure to interest rate risk. We have the right to request an increase in the borrowing capacity of the credit facility by up to \$100
million, to a total borrowing capacity of \$400 million. Any increase in the borrowing capacity is subject to approval by the lending banks of our
credit facility.

Mortgage Debt

We have no mortgage debt on any of our properties.

Universal Shelf Registration

In April 2006, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC, which is effective for a term of three years. In accordance with the SEC rules, the amount of securities to be issued pursuant to this shelf registration statement was not specified when it was filed. The securities covered by this registration statement include common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, or any combination of such securities. Realty Income may periodically offer one or more of these securities in amounts, prices and on terms to be announced when and if the securities are offered. The specifics of any future offerings, along with the use of proceeds of any securities offered, will be described in detail in a prospectus supplement, or other offering materials, at the time of any offering. As such, there is no specific limit to the dollar amount of new securities that can be issued under this new shelf registration before it expires in April 2009.

The common stock issued in September 2006, October 2006 and November 2006, the 2016 Notes issued in September 2006 and the Class E preferred stock issued in December 2006 were issued pursuant to our universal shelf registration statement.

Issuances of Common Stock

In October and November 2006, we issued an aggregate of 6.9 million shares of common stock at a price of \$26.40 per share. The net proceeds of approximately \$173.2 million were used to fund a portion of the purchase price of the Buffets/Ryan s properties and for other general corporate purposes.

In September 2006, we issued 4.715 million shares of common stock at a price of \$24.32 per share. The net proceeds of approximately \$109 million from this offering were used to fund new property acquisitions, repay borrowings under our credit facility and for other general corporate purposes.

In March 2006, we issued 5.2 million shares of common stock at a price of \$24.39 per share. The net proceeds of approximately \$120.5 million were used to fund new property acquisitions and for other general corporate purposes.

Issuance of Preferred Stock

In December 2006, we issued 8.8 million shares of $6^{-3}/_4\%$ Class E cumulative redeemable preferred stock, with a liquidation value of \$25 per share. The net proceeds of \$214 million from this issuance were used to repay borrowings under our credit facility and for other general corporate purposes.

Redemption of 2007 Notes

In September 2006, we redeemed all of our outstanding \$110 million, 7-3/4%, unsecured notes due May 2007 (the 2007 Notes). The 2007 Notes were redeemed at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.2 million and a make-whole payment of \$1.6 million. We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt totaling \$1.6 million related to the make-whole payment associated with the 2007 Notes. For 2006, the make-whole payment represented approximately \$0.017 per share.

Issuance of 10-Year Senior Unsecured Notes

In September 2006, we issued \$275 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.95% senior unsecured notes due 2016 (the 2016 Notes). The price to the investor for the 2016 Notes was 99.74% of the principal amount for an effective yield of 5.985%. The net proceeds of approximately \$271.9 million from this offering were used to redeem the 2007 Notes and for other general corporate purposes. Interest on the 2016 Notes is paid semiannually.

Conservative Capital Structure

We believe that our stockholders are best served by a conservative capital structure. Therefore, we seek to maintain a conservative debt level on our balance sheet and solid interest and fixed charge coverage ratios. At February 13, 2007, our total outstanding credit facility borrowings and outstanding notes were \$920 million or approximately 21.9% of our total market capitalization of \$4.21 billion. We define our total market capitalization at February 13, 2007 as the sum of:

Shares of our common stock outstanding of 101,000,536 multiplied by the last reported sales price of our common stock on the NYSE of \$29.09 per share, or \$2.94 billion;

Aggregate liquidation value of the Class D preferred stock of \$127.5 million;

Aggregate liquidation value of the Class E preferred stock of \$220 million; and

Outstanding notes of \$920 million.

Historically, we have met our long-term capital needs through the issuance of common stock, preferred stock and long-term unsecured notes and bonds. Over the long term, we believe that the majority of our future securities issuances should be in the form of common stock; however, we may issue additional preferred stock or debt securities from time to time. We may issue common stock when we believe that our share price is at a level that allows for the proceeds of any offering to be accretively invested into additional properties. In addition, we may issue common stock to permanently finance properties that were financed by our credit facility or debt securities. However, we cannot assure you that we will have access to the capital markets at terms that are acceptable to us.

Credit Agency Ratings

We are currently assigned investment grade corporate credit ratings on our senior unsecured notes from Fitch Ratings, Moody s Investors Service, Inc. and Standard & Poor s Ratings Group. Currently, Fitch Ratings has assigned a rating of BBB+, Moody s has assigned a rating of Baa2 and Standard & Poor s has assigned a rating of BBB to our senior notes. Moody s and Standard & Poor s ratings have positive outlooks and Fitch has a stable outlook.

We have also been assigned investment grade credit ratings from the same rating agencies on our preferred stock. Fitch Ratings has assigned a rating of BBB, Moody s has assigned a rating of BBB and Standard & Poor s has assigned a rating of BBB to our preferred stock. Moody s and Standard & Poor s ratings have positive outlooks and Fitch has a stable outlook.

The credit ratings assigned to us could change based upon, among other things, our results of operations and financial condition. These ratings are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit rating agencies and we cannot assure you that any such rating will not be changed or withdrawn by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. Moreover, a rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold our debt securities, preferred stock or common stock.

Notes Outstanding

Senior note obligations consist of the following (dollars in thousands), sorted by maturity date:

	A	At December 31, 2006
8-1/4% senior notes, issued in October 1998 and due in 2008	\$	100,000
8% senior unsecured notes, issued in January 1999 and due in 2009		20,000
5-3/8% senior unsecured notes, issued in March 2003 and due in 2013		100,000
$5^{-1}/_{2}\%$ senior unsecured notes, issued in November 2003 and due in 2015		150,000
5.95% senior unsecured notes, issued in September 2006 and due in 2016		275,000
5-3/8% senior unsecured notes, issued in September 2005 and due in 2017		175,000
$5-\frac{7}{8}\%$ senior unsecured bonds, issued in March 2005 and due in 2035		100,000
	\$	920,000

Interest on all of the senior note obligations is paid semiannually, with the exception of the interest on the $8^{-1}/_4$ % senior notes issued in October 1998, which is paid monthly. All of these notes contain various covenants, including: (i) a limitation on incurrence of any debt which would cause our debt to total adjusted assets ratio to exceed 60%; (ii) a limitation on incurrence of any secured debt which would cause our secured debt to total adjusted assets ratio to exceed 40%; (iii) a limitation on incurrence of any debt which would cause our debt service coverage ratio to be less than 1.5 times; and (iv) the maintenance at all times of total unencumbered assets not less than 150% of our outstanding unsecured debt. We have been in compliance with these covenants since each of the notes were issued.

The following is a summary of the key financial covenants to our senior unsecured notes. The actual amounts are as of December 31, 2006.

Note Covenants	Required	Actual
Limitation on Incurrence of Total Debt	≤ 60%	31.6%
Limitation on Incurrence of Secured Debt	≤ 40%	0.0%
Debt Service Coverage	≥ 1.5 x	4.0x
Maintenance of Total Unencumbered Assets	≥ 150% of Unsecured	
	Debt	316%

All of our outstanding notes and bonds have fixed interest rates. Our credit facility interest rate is variable.

The following table summarizes the maturity of each of our obligations as of December 31, 2006 (dollars in millions):

Table of Obligations

Year of Maturity	Credit I	Facility (1)	Notes		Interest (2)	Other (3)	Totals
2007	\$	\$		\$	55.1	\$ 17.2 \$	72.3
2008			100.0		54.1		154.1
2009			20.0		45.3		65.3
2010					45.3		45.3
2011					45.3		45.3
Thereafter			800.0		305.5		1,105.5
Totals	\$	\$	920.0	\$	550.6	\$ 17.2 \$	1,487.8

⁽¹⁾ There was no outstanding credit facility balance on December 31, 2006 or February 13, 2007.

Our credit facility and note obligations are unsecured. Accordingly, we have not pledged any assets as collateral for these obligations.

⁽²⁾ Interest on credit facility and notes has been calculated based on outstanding balances as of December 31, 2006 through their respective maturity dates.

⁽³⁾ Other consists of \$16.4 million of estimated unfunded costs on properties under development and \$806,000 of contingent payments for tenant improvements and leasing costs.

Preferred Stock Outstanding

In May and October 2004, we issued an aggregate of 5.1 million shares of $7-3\frac{1}{8}$ % Class D cumulative redeemable preferred stock. Beginning May 27, 2009, shares of Class D preferred stock are redeemable at our option for \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Dividends on shares of Class D preferred stock are paid monthly in arrears.

In December 2006, we issued 8.8 million shares of $6-\frac{3}{4}\%$ Class E cumulative redeemable preferred stock. Beginning December 7, 2011, shares of Class E preferred stock are redeemable at our option for \$25 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Dividends on shares of Class E preferred stock are paid monthly in arrears.

30

No Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements or Unconsolidated Investment

Realty Income and its subsidiaries have no unconsolidated or off-balance sheet investments in variable interest entities or off-balance sheet financing, nor do we engage in trading activities involving energy or commodity contracts or other derivative instruments.

As we have no joint ventures, off-balance sheet entities, or mandatory redeemable preferred stock, our financial position or results of operations are currently not affected by Financial Accounting Standard Board Interpretation No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities and Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity.

Acquisitions During 2006

During 2006, Realty Income and Crest invested \$769.9 million, in aggregate, in 378 new properties and properties under development. These 378 properties are located in 30 states and are 100% leased with an initial average lease term of 17.1 years. As described below, Realty Income acquired 322 properties and Crest acquired 56 properties.

Included in the \$769.9 million is \$656.7 million invested by Realty Income in 322 new properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 8.6%. These 322 properties are located in 30 states, are 100% leased with an initial average lease term of 16.7 years and will contain over 3.3 million leasable square feet. The 322 new properties acquired by Realty Income are net-leased to 16 different retail chains in the following 11 industries: automotive collision services, automotive tire services, convenience store, drug store, general merchandise, health and fitness, home improvement, motor vehicle dealership, private education, restaurant and theater. Also included in the \$769.9 million is \$113.2 million invested by Crest in 56 new retail properties.

At December 31, 2006, Realty Income had invested \$15.9 million in four properties that were leased and under contract for development by the tenant (with development costs funded by Realty Income). Rent on these properties is scheduled to begin at various times during 2007. At December 31, 2006, we had outstanding commitments to pay estimated unfunded development costs totaling \$16.4 million.

The initial weighted average contractual lease rate is computed as estimated contractual net operating income (in a net-leased property this is equal to the base rent or, in the case of properties under development, the estimated base rent under the lease) for the first year of each lease, divided by the estimated total costs. Since it is possible that a tenant could default on the payment of contractual rent, we cannot assure you that the actual return on the funds invested will remain at the percentages listed above.

Acquisition of \$349 million of Buffets/Ryan s Restaurants on November 1, 2006

The 2006 acquisition amounts include Realty Income and Crest s aggregate investment of \$349 million to acquire 144 Buffets/Ryan s restaurant properties. The properties are leased under 20-year, triple-net lease agreements. These properties were acquired subsequent to a merger between Buffets, Inc. and Ryan s Restaurant Group.

Of the 144 restaurant properties, 116 were acquired by Realty Income and 28 were acquired by Crest. The restaurants have, on average, approximately 10,300 leasable square feet and are situated on an average lot size of approximately 2.86 acres. In general, the properties are existing locations that, on average, have been operating for 11 years.

Investments in Existing Properties

In 2006, we capitalized costs of \$964,000 on existing properties in our portfolio, consisting of \$761,000 for re-leasing costs and \$203,000 for building improvements.

Sales of Investment Properties

During 2006, we sold or exchanged 13 properties for \$10.7 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.0 million. This gain is included in discontinued operations. The 13 properties sold or exchanged consisted of one automotive parts store, one automotive service facility, one child care facility, two convenience stores, and eight restaurants. The net proceeds from the sale of these properties were used to repay outstanding indebtedness on our credit facility and to invest in new properties.

Crest Property Sales

During 2006, Crest, our wholly-owned subsidiary, sold 13 properties from its inventory for an aggregate of \$22.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$2.2 million. Crest s gains are included in income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

Crest Property Inventory

Crest s property inventory at December 31, 2006 and 2005 totaled \$137.5 million and \$45.7 million, respectively, and is included in real estate held for sale, net , on our consolidated balance sheets.

The financial statements of Crest are consolidated into Realty Income s financial statements. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Increases in Monthly Cash Distributions to Common Stockholders

We continue our 37-year policy of paying distributions monthly to our common stockholders. Monthly distributions per share were increased in April 2006 by \$0.000625 to \$0.116875, in July 2006 by \$0.000625 to \$0.1175, in September 2006 by \$0.00775 to \$0.12525, in October 2006 by \$0.000625 to \$0.125875 and in January 2007 by \$0.000625 to \$0.1265. The increase in January 2007 was our 37th consecutive quarterly increase and the 42nd increase in the amount of our dividend since our listing on the NYSE in 1994. In 2006, we paid the following monthly cash distributions per share: three in the amount of \$0.11625, three in the amount of \$0.116875, two in the amount of \$0.1175, one in the amount of \$0.12525, and three in the amount of \$0.125875 totaling \$1.43725. In December 2006, January 2007 and February 2007, we declared distributions of \$0.1265 per share, which were paid on January 16, 2007 and February 15, 2007 and will be paid on March 15, 2007, respectively.

The monthly distribution of \$0.1265 per share represents a current annualized distribution of \$1.518 per share, and an annualized distribution yield of approximately 5.2% based on the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE of \$29.09 on February 13, 2007. Although we expect to continue our policy of paying monthly distributions, we cannot guarantee that we will maintain the current level of distributions, that we will continue our pattern of increasing distributions per share, or what the actual distribution yield will be in any future period.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Our consolidated financial statements are the basis for our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. Preparing our consolidated financial statements requires us to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. We believe that we have made these estimates and assumptions in an appropriate manner and in a way that accurately reflects our financial condition. We continually test and evaluate these estimates and assumptions using our historical knowledge of the business, as well as other factors, to ensure that they are reasonable for reporting purposes. However, actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions.

In order to prepare our consolidated financial statements according to the rules and guidelines set forth by GAAP, many subjective judgments must be made with regard to critical accounting polices. One of these judgments is our estimate for useful lives in determining depreciation expense for our properties. Depreciation of buildings and improvements is generally computed using the straight—line method over an estimated useful life

32

of 25 years. If we use a shorter or longer estimated useful life it could have a material impact on our results of operations. We believe that 25 years is an appropriate estimate of useful life. No depreciation has been recorded on Crest s properties because they are held for sale.

Another significant judgment must be made as to if, and when, impairment losses should be taken on our properties when events or a change in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Generally, a provision is made for impairment loss if estimated future operating cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) plus estimated disposition proceeds (undiscounted) are less than the current book value. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the current book value of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. If a property is held for sale, it is carried at the lower of carrying cost or estimated fair value, less cost to sell. The carrying value of our real estate is the largest component of our consolidated balance sheet. If events should occur that require us to reduce the carrying value of our real estate by recording provisions for impairment losses, it could have a material impact on our results of operations.

The following is a comparison of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Rental Revenue

Rental revenue was \$238.1 million for 2006 versus \$195.7 million for 2005, an increase of \$42.4 million, or 21.7%. Rental revenue was \$172 million in 2004. The increase in rental revenue in 2006 compared to 2005 is primarily attributable to:

The 322 retail properties acquired by Realty Income in 2006, which generated \$15.7 million of rent in 2006;

The 135 retail properties acquired by Realty Income in 2005, which generated \$33.5 million of rent in 2006 compared to \$12.1 million in 2005, an increase of \$21.4 million;

Same store rents generated on 1,421 properties leased during the entire years of 2006 and 2005 increased by \$1.3 million, or 0.7%, to \$175.3 million from \$174.0 million.

An increase in straight-line rent and other non-cash adjustments to rent of \$155,000 in 2006 as compared to 2005; and

An increase of \$4.0 million relating to the aggregate of (i) development properties acquired before 2005 that started paying rent in 2005, (ii) properties that were vacant during part of 2006 or 2005 and (iii) lease termination settlements. These items totaled \$9.7 million in aggregate in 2006 compared to \$5.7 million in 2005.

Of the 1,955 properties in the portfolio at December 31, 2006, 1,948, or 99.6%, are single-tenant properties and the remaining seven are multi-tenant properties. Of the 1,948 single-tenant properties, 1,923, or 98.7%, were net leased with a weighted average remaining lease term (excluding rights to extend a lease at the option of the tenant) of approximately 12.9 years at December 31, 2006. Of our 1,923 leased single-tenant properties, 1,713, or 89.1%, were under leases that provide for increases in rents through:

Primarily base rent increases tied to a consumer price index;

Fixed increases;

To a lesser degree, overage rent based on a percentage of the tenants gross sales; or

A combination of two or more of the above rent provisions.

Percentage rent, which is included in rental revenue, was \$1.1 million in 2006, \$1.2 million in 2005 and \$1.3 million in 2004. Percentage rent in 2006 was less than 1% of rental revenue and we anticipate percentage rent to be less than 1% of rental revenue in 2007.

Our portfolio of retail real estate, leased primarily to regional and national chains under net leases, continues to perform well and provide dependable lease revenue supporting the payment of monthly dividends to our stockholders. At December 31, 2006, our portfolio of 1,955 retail properties was 98.7% leased with 26 properties available for lease, one of which is a multi-tenant property.

As of February 13, 2007, transactions to lease or sell four of the 26 properties available for lease at December 31, 2006 were underway or completed. We anticipate these transactions will be completed during the next several

months, although we cannot guarantee that all of these properties can be leased or sold within this period. It has been our experience that approximately 1% to 3% of our property portfolio will be unleased at any given time; however, we cannot assure you that the number of properties available for lease will not exceed these levels.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$10.4 million higher in 2006 than in 2005. Interest expense increased in 2006 primarily due to higher average outstanding balances, which was partially offset by slightly lower interest rates related to our average outstanding borrowings. We issued \$275 million of 10-year notes in September 2006, \$175 million of 12-year notes in September 2005 and \$100 million of 30-year bonds in March 2005, which contributed to the increase in average outstanding balances and slightly lower average interest rates on our debt.

The following is a summary of the components of our interest expense (dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005		2004
Interest on our credit facility and notes	\$ 54,068	\$	40,968	\$ 32,442
Interest included in discontinued operations from real				
estate acquired for resale by Crest	(3,708)		(1,139)	(674)
Amortization of settlements on treasury lock agreements	717		756	756
Credit facility commitment fees	456		498	508
Amortization of credit facility origination costs and				
deferred bond financing costs	2,014		1,752	1,631
Interest capitalized	(2,184)		(1,886)	(531)
Interest expense	\$ 51,363	\$	40,949	\$ 34,132

Credit facilities and notes outstanding	2006		2005	2004		
Average outstanding balances (dollars in thousands)	\$ 881,669	\$	647,301	\$	498,220	
Average interest rates	6.13%)	6.33%	,	6.51%	

At February 13, 2007, the weighted average interest rate on our notes payable of \$920 million was 5.99% and the average interest rate on our credit line was 5.97%. There was no balance on our credit line at February 13, 2007.

Interest Coverage Ratio

Our interest coverage ratio for 2006 was 4.1 times, for 2005 was 4.4 times and for 2004 was 5.0 times. Interest coverage ratio is calculated as: the interest coverage amount (as calculated in the following table) divided by interest expense, including interest recorded to discontinued operations. We consider interest coverage ratio to be an appropriate supplemental measure of a company s ability to meet its interest expense obligations. Our calculation of interest coverage ratio may be different from the calculation used by other companies and, therefore, comparability may be limited. This information should not be considered as an alternative to any GAAP liquidity measures.

The following is a reconciliation of net cash provided by operating activities to our interest coverage amount (dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005	2004	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 86,945	\$ 109,557	\$ 17	8,337
Interest expense	51,363	40,949	3-	4,132
Interest expense included in discontinued operations (1)	3,708	1,139		674
Income taxes	747	813		699
Income taxes included in discontinued operations (1)	494	943		3,480
Investment in real estate acquired for resale (1)(2)	113,166	55,890	2	1,787
Proceeds from sales of real estate acquired for resale (1)	(22,405)	(22,195)	(7-	4,995)
Collection of a mortgage note receivable by Crest(1)	(1,333)			
Crest provisions for impairment losses(1)	(1,188)			
Gain on sales of real estate acquired for resale (1)	2,219	3,291	1	0,254
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	(2,928)	(2,155)	(1,426)
Amortization of stock option costs	(23)	(12)		(14)
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable and other assets	(4,418)	3,292	(1,094)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(3,208)	(8,290)		1,051
Interest coverage amount	\$ 223,139	\$ 183,222	\$ 17	2,885
Divided by interest expense (3)	\$ 55,071	\$ 42,088	\$ 3	4,806
Interest coverage ratio	4.1	4.4		5.0

- (1) Crest activities.
- (2) The 2005 amount includes intangibles recorded in connection with acquisitions of real estate acquired for resale.
- (3) Includes interest expense recorded to income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio

Our fixed charge coverage ratio for 2006 was 3.4 times, for 2005 was 3.6 times and for 2004 was 3.9 times. Fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated in exactly the same manner as interest coverage ratio, except that preferred stock dividends are also added to the denominator. We consider fixed charge coverage ratio to be an appropriate supplemental measure of a company s ability to make its interest and preferred stock dividend payments. Our calculation of the fixed charge coverage ratio may be different from the calculation used by other companies and, therefore, comparability may be limited. This information should not be considered as an alternative to any GAAP liquidity measures.

Interest coverage amount divided by interest expense plus preferred stock dividends (dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005	2004
Interest coverage amount	\$ 223,139	\$ 183,222	\$ 172,885
Divided by interest expense plus preferred stock			
dividends (1)(2)	\$ 66,433	\$ 51,491	\$ 44,261
Fixed charge coverage ratio	3.4	3.6	3.9

⁽¹⁾ Excludes the Class B and Class C preferred stock non-cash charge of \$3,774 in 2004 for excess of redemption value over carrying value of preferred shares redeemed.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization was \$59.5 million in 2006 versus \$46.2 million in 2005 and \$39.7 million in 2004. The increases in depreciation and amortization in 2006 and 2005 were due to the acquisition of properties in 2006, 2005 and 2004, which were partially offset by property sales during these years.

⁽²⁾ Includes interest expense recorded to income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.1 million to \$17.5 million in 2006 versus \$15.4 million in 2005. General and administrative expenses were \$13.1 million in 2004. In 2006, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue decreased to 7.3% as compared to 7.9% in 2005 and 7.6% in 2004. General and administrative expenses increased in total dollars primarily due to increases in payroll and employee benefit costs.

As our property portfolio has grown and continues to grow, we have increased, and anticipate that we will continue to gradually increase the level of our staffing. We expect general and administrative expenses to moderately increase due to costs attributable to payroll, staffing costs and corporate governance.

In February 2007, we had 70 permanent employees as compared to February 2006 when we had 69 permanent employees and four temporary employees.

Property Expenses

Property expenses are broken down into costs associated with non-net leased multi-tenant properties, unleased single-tenant properties and general portfolio expenses. Expenses related to the multi-tenant and unleased single-tenant properties include, but are not limited to, property taxes, maintenance, insurance, utilities, property inspections, bad debt expense and legal fees. General portfolio costs include, but are not limited to, insurance, legal, bad debt expense, property inspections and title search fees. At December 31, 2006, 26 properties were available for lease, as compared to 25 at December 31, 2005 and 32 at December 31, 2004.

Property expenses were \$3.3 million in 2006, \$3.7 million in 2005 and \$3.1 million in 2004. The \$392,000 decrease in property expenses in 2006 is primarily attributable to a decrease in costs associated with bad debt expense, legal fees, and property taxes.

Income Taxes

Income taxes were \$747,000 in 2006 as compared to \$813,000 in 2005 and \$699,000 in 2004. These amounts are for city and state income taxes paid by Realty Income.

In addition, Crest incurred state and federal income taxes of \$494,000 in 2006 as compared to \$943,000 in 2005 and \$3.5 million in 2004. The decrease in Crest s 2006 income taxes over the 2005 and 2004 income taxes are due to lower taxable income, primarily attributable to lower gain on sales of real estate acquired for re-sale. These amounts are included in income from discontinued operations, from real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

In September 2006, we redeemed all of our outstanding \$110 million, 7-¾%, unsecured notes due May 2007. The 2007 Notes were redeemed at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.2 million and a make-whole payment of \$1.6 million. We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt totaling \$1.6 million related to the make-whole payment associated with the 2007 Notes. For 2006, the make-whole payment represented approximately \$0.017 per share.

Discontinued Operations

Crest acquires properties with the intention of reselling them rather than holding them as investments and operating the properties.

Consequently, we classify properties acquired by Crest as held for sale at the date of acquisition and do not depreciate them. The operation of Crest s properties is classified as income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

36

The following is a summary of Crest s income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale for the years 2006, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Crest s income from discontinued operations,			
real estate acquired for resale	2006	2005	2004
Gain on sales of real estate acquired for resale	\$ 2,219	\$ 3,291	\$ 10,254
Rental revenue	5,080	2,085	2,304
Interest expense	(3,708)	(1,139)	(674)
General and administrative expense	(440)	(453)	(464)
Property expenses	(67)	(60)	(93)
Provisions for impairment	(1,188)		
Income taxes	(494)	(943)	(3,480)
Income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for			
resale by Crest	\$ 1,402	\$ 2,781	\$ 7,847
Per common share, basic and diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.10

Realty Income s operations from one property listed as held for sale at December 31, 2006, plus properties sold in 2006, 2005 and 2004 have been classified as discontinued operations. The following is a summary of our discontinued operations from real estate held for investment for the years 2006, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Realty Income s income from discontinued			
operations, real estate held for investment	2006	2005	2004
Gain on sales of investment properties	\$ 3,036	\$ 6,573	\$ 12,543
Rental revenue	492	1,729	4,608
Other revenue	34	2	121
Depreciation and amortization	(116)	(458)	(1,162)
Property expenses	(116)	(222)	(545)
Provisions for impairment	(16)	(35)	(1,657)
Income from discontinued operations, real estate held for			
investment	\$ 3,314	\$ 7,589	\$ 13,908
Per common share, basic and diluted	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.18

The following is a summary of our total discontinued operations for the years 2006, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Total income from discontinued operations	2006	2005	2004
Income from discontinued operations:			
Real estate acquired for resale by Crest	\$ 1,402	\$ 2,781	\$ 7,847
Real estate held for investment	3,314	7,589	13,908
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 4,716	\$ 10,370	\$ 21,755
Per common share, basic and diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.28

The above per share amounts have each been calculated independently.

Gain on Sales of Real Estate Acquired for Resale by Crest

In 2006, Crest sold 13 properties for \$22.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$2.2 million. In 2005, Crest sold 12 properties for \$23.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.3 million. In 2004, Crest sold 51 properties for \$75 million, which resulted in a gain of \$10.3 million. Crest s gains on sales are reported before income taxes and are included in income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

At December 31, 2006, Crest had \$137.5 million invested in 60 properties, which are held for sale. Crest generally carries a real estate inventory in excess of \$20 million. Crest generates an earnings spread on the difference between the lease payments it receives on the properties held in inventory and the cost of capital used to acquire properties. It is our belief that at this level of inventory, rental revenue will exceed the ongoing operating expenses of Crest without any property sales.

Gain on Sales of Investment Properties by Realty Income

In 2006, we sold or exchanged 13 investment properties for \$10.7 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.0 million, which is included in discontinued operations. In 2005, we sold 23 investment properties and sold a portion of the land from two properties for \$23.4 million and recognized a gain on sales of \$6.6 million, which is included in discontinued operations, except for \$18,000 that is included in other revenue. In 2004, we sold or exchanged 43 investment properties and sold a portion of the land from four properties for a total of \$35.4 million and recognized a gain of \$12.7 million, which is included in discontinued operations, except for \$185,000 that is included in other revenue.

We have an active portfolio management program that incorporates the sale of assets when we believe the reinvestment of the sale proceeds will generate higher returns, enhance the credit quality of our real estate portfolio or extend our average remaining lease term. At December 31, 2006, we classified real estate with a carrying amount of \$138 million as held for sale on our balance sheet, which includes properties owned by Crest. Additionally, we anticipate selling investment properties from our portfolio that have not yet been specifically identified, from which we anticipate receiving between \$10 million and \$35 million in proceeds during the next 12 months. We intend to invest these proceeds into new property acquisitions. However, we cannot guarantee that we will sell properties during the next 12 months.

Provisions for Impairment on Real Estate Acquired for Resale by Crest

Provisions for impairment of \$1.2 million were recorded by Crest on three properties in 2006. No provisions for impairment were recorded by Crest in 2005 and 2004. Crest s properties are held for sale and the provisions for impairment recorded in 2006 reduced the carrying costs to the estimated fair-market value of those properties, net of estimated selling costs.

Provisions for Impairment on Realty Income Investment Properties

In 2006, a provision for impairment of \$16,000 was recorded on one property. In 2005, we recorded provisions for impairment totaling \$186,000 on four properties. In 2004, we recorded provisions for impairment totaling \$2.4 million on six properties. These provisions are included in income from discontinued operations, real estate held for investment except for \$151,000 in 2005 and \$716,000 in 2004 which are included in provisions for impairment.

Preferred Stock Cash Dividends and Redemption Charge

Preferred stock cash dividends totaled \$11.4 million in 2006 as compared to \$9.4 million in 2005 and \$9.5 million in 2004.

When we redeemed our Class B preferred stock in June 2004 and our Class C preferred stock in July 2004, we incurred non-cash charges of \$2.4 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, for the excess of redemption value over the carrying value. These non-cash charges represent the Class B and Class C preferred stock original issuance costs that were paid in 1999 and recorded as a reduction to net income available to common stockholders when the shares were redeemed. These non-cash charges equated to \$0.05 per common share in 2004.

Net Income Available to Common Stockholders

Net income available to common stockholders was \$99.4 million in 2006, an increase of \$9.7 million as compared to \$89.7 million in 2005. Net income available to common stockholders in 2004 was \$90.2 million.

The calculation to determine net income available to common stockholders includes gains from the sale of properties. The amount of gains varies from period to period based on the timing of property sales and can significantly impact net income available to common stockholders.

38

During 2006, the gain recognized from the sales of investment properties was \$3.0 million as compared to \$6.6 million during 2005 and \$12.7 million in 2004. Crest s gain recognized from the sale of properties during 2006 was \$2.2 million as compared to \$3.3 million during 2005 and \$10.3 million during 2004.

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS (FFO)

FFO for 2006 increased by \$26.2 million, or 20.2%, to \$155.8 million as compared to \$129.6 million in 2005 and \$118.2 million in 2004. The following is a reconciliation of net income available to common stockholders (which we believe is the most comparable Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) measure) to FFO. Also presented is information regarding distributions paid to common stockholders and the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

2006		2005		2004
\$ 99,419	\$	89,716	\$	90,168
59,492		46,206		39,696
116		458		1,162
(192)		(142)		(117)
		(18)		(185)
(3,036)		(6,573)		(12,543)
\$ 155,799	\$	129,647	\$	118,181
\$ 1.74	\$	1.62	\$	1.51
\$ 1.73	\$	1.62	\$	1.50
\$ 129,667	\$	108,575	\$	97,420
\$ 26,132	\$	21,072	\$	20,761
89,766,714		79,950,255		78,518,296
89,917,554		80,208,593		78,598,788
\$ \$ \$	\$ 99,419 59,492 116 (192) (3,036) \$ 155,799 \$ 1.74 \$ 1.73 \$ 129,667 \$ 26,132	\$ 99,419 \$ 59,492 116 (192) (3,036) \$ 155,799 \$ \$ 1.74 \$ \$ 1.73 \$ \$ 129,667 \$ \$ 26,132 \$ 89,766,714	\$ 99,419 \$ 89,716 59,492 46,206 116 458 (192) (142) (18) (3,036) (6,573) \$ 155,799 \$ 129,647 \$ 1.74 \$ 1.62 \$ 1.73 \$ 1.62 \$ 129,667 \$ 108,575 \$ 26,132 \$ 21,072	\$ 99,419 \$ 89,716 \$ 59,492

We define FFO, a non-GAAP measure, consistent with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s definition, as net income available to common stockholders, plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, reduced by gains on sales of investment property and extraordinary items.

We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of a REIT s operating performance as it is based on a net income analysis of property portfolio performance that excludes noncash items such as depreciation. The historical accounting convention used for real estate assets requires straight-line depreciation of buildings and improvements, which implies that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values historically rise and fall with market conditions, presentations of operating results for a REIT, using historical accounting for depreciation, could be less informative. The use of FFO is recommended by the REIT industry as a supplemental performance measure. In addition, FFO is used as a measure of our compliance with the financial covenants of our credit facility.

Presentation of this information is intended to assist the reader in comparing the operating performance of different REITs, although it should be noted that not all REITs calculate FFO the same way, so comparisons with other REITs may not be meaningful. Furthermore, FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash flow available to fund

cash needs and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of Realty Income s performance. In addition, FFO should not be considered as an alternative to reviewing our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities as a measure of liquidity, of our ability to make cash distributions or of our ability to pay interest payments.

Other Non-cash Items and Capitalized Expenditures

The following information includes non-cash items and capitalized expenditures on existing properties in our portfolio. These items are not included in the adjustments to net income available to common stockholders to arrive at FFO. Analysts and investors often request this supplemental information.

For the years ended December 31			
(dollars in thousands)	2006	2005	2004
Provisions for impairment losses	\$ 16	\$ 186	\$ 2,373
Gain on reinstatement of property carrying value	(716)		
Crest provisions for impairment losses	1,188		
Amortization of settlements on treasury lock agreements(1)	717	756	756
Amortization of deferred note financing costs(2)	1,287	1,034	913
Amortization of deferred stock compensation and stock option			
costs	2,951	2,167	1,440
Capitalized leasing costs and commissions	(761)	(570)	(323)
Capitalized building improvements	(203)	(1,017)	(789)
Straight line rent(3)	(1,515)	(1,360)	99
Preferred stock origination costs write-off (4)			3,774

- (1) The settlements on the treasury lock agreements resulted from an interest rate risk prevention strategy that was used by the Company in 1997 and 1998, which correlated to pending issuances of senior note securities. We have not employed this strategy since 1998.
- (2) Amortization of deferred note financing costs includes the amortization of costs incurred and capitalized when our notes were issued in May 1997, October 1998, January 1999, March 2003, November 2003, March 2005, September 2005 and September 2006. These costs are being amortized over the lives of these notes. No costs associated with our credit facility agreements or annual fees paid to credit rating agencies have been included.
- (3) A negative amount indicates that our straight-line rent was greater than our actual cash rent collected. A positive amount indicates that our straight-line rent was less than our actual cash rent collected.
- (4) Represents the Class B and Class C preferred stock non-cash charges for the excess of redemption value over the carrying value.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

Tenant leases generally provide for limited increases in rent as a result of increases in the tenants—sales volumes, increases in the consumer price index, and/or fixed increases. We expect that inflation will cause these lease provisions to result in rent increases over time. During times when inflation is greater than increases in rent, as provided for in the leases, rent increases may not keep up with the rate of inflation.

Approximately 98.4%, or 1,923, of the 1,955 properties in the portfolio are leased to tenants under net leases where the tenant is responsible for property costs and expenses. Net leases tend to reduce our exposure to rising property expenses due to inflation. Inflation and increased costs may have an adverse impact on our tenants if increases in their operating expenses exceed increases in revenue.

IMPACT OF ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. Statement No. 157 sets out a framework for measuring fair value, and requires additional disclosures about fair-value measurements. Statement No. 157 becomes effective for us at the beginning of 2008. The impact of adopting Statement No. 157 is not expected to have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109.* Interpretation No. 48 applies to all tax positions accounted for under Statement 109, including tax positions acquired in a business combination. Interpretation 48 is effective for us at the beginning of 2007. The impact of adopting Interpretation No. 48 is not expected to have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 7A: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to interest rate changes primarily as a result of our credit facility and long-term notes used to maintain liquidity and expand our real estate investment portfolio and operations. Our interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flow and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives we issue long-term notes, primarily at fixed rates, and may selectively enter into derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate lock agreements, interest rate swaps and caps in order to mitigate our interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. We were not a party to any derivative financial instruments at December 31, 2006. We do not enter into any derivative transactions for speculative or trading purposes.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The following table presents by year of expected maturity, the principal amounts, average interest rates and fair values as of December 31, 2006. This information is presented to evaluate the expected cash flows and sensitivity to interest rate changes (dollars in millions):

Expected Maturity Data as of December 31, 2006

Year of maturity	Fi	xed rate debt	Average interest rate on fixed rate debt	Variable rate debt	Average interest rate on variable rate debt
2007	\$			\$	5.98%
2008 (1)(2)		100.0	8.25%)	
2009 (3)		20.0	8.00		
2010					
2011					
Thereafter (4)		800.0	5.66		
Totals	\$	920.0	5.99%	\$	5.98%
Fair Value (5)	\$	921.9		\$	

^{(1) \$100} million matures in November 2008.

- The credit facility expires in October 2008. The credit facility balance as of December 31, 2006 and February 13, 2007 was zero.
- (3) \$20 million matures in January 2009.

- (4) \$100 million matures in March 2013, \$150 million matures in November 2015, \$275 million matures in September 2016, \$175 million matures in September 2017 and \$100 million matures in March 2035.
- (5) We base the fair value of the fixed rate debt at December 31, 2006 on the closing market price or indicative price per each note.

The table incorporates only those exposures that exist as of December 31, 2006; it does not consider those exposures or positions that could arise after that date. As a result, our ultimate realized gain or loss, with respect to interest rate fluctuations, would depend on the exposures that arise during the period, our hedging strategies at the time, and interest rates.

All of our outstanding notes and bonds have fixed interest rates. Our credit facility interest rate is variable. At December 31, 2006, our credit facility balance was zero; however, we intend to borrow funds on our credit facility in the future. Based on a hypothetical credit facility borrowing of \$50 million, a 1% change in interest rates would change our interest costs by \$500,000 per year.

Item 8: Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Table of Contents

- A. Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- B. Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 and 2005
- C. Consolidated Statements of Income, Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
- D. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity,
 Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
- E. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
- F. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
- G. Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) for 2006 and 2005
- H. Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

Schedules not filed: All schedules, other than that indicated in the Table of Contents, have been omitted as the required information is either not material, inapplicable or the information is presented in the financial statements or related notes.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Fin
--

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Realty Income Corporation:
We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Realty Income Corporation and subsidiaries as listed in the accompanying table of contents. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement Schedule III as listed in the accompanying table of contents. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of Realty Income Corporation s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.
We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standard require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.
In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Realty Income Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.
We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Realty Income Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 20, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of, and the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ KPMG San Diego, California February 20, 2007
42

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Realty Income Corporation:

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Realty Income Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Realty Income Corporation s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of Realty Income Corporation s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that Realty Income Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, Realty Income Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of Realty Income Corporation and subsidiaries as listed in the accompanying table of contents and our report dated February 20, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG

San Diego, California February 20, 2007

44

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2006 and 2005

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2006	2005
ASSETS		
Real estate, at cost:		
Land	\$ 958,770	\$ 746,016
Buildings and improvements	1,785,203	1,350,140
	2,743,973	2,096,156
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(396,854)	(341,193)
Net real estate held for investment	2,347,119	1,754,963
Real estate held for sale, net	137,962	47,083
Net real estate	2,485,081	1,802,046
Cash and cash equivalents	10,573	65,704
Accounts receivable	5,953	5,044
Goodwill	17,206	17,206
Other assets, net	27,695	30,988
Total assets	\$ 2,546,508	\$ 1,920,988
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Distributions payable	\$ 15,096	\$ 10,121
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	27,004	20,391
Other liabilities	8,416	9,562
Line of credit payable		136,700
Notes payable	920,000	755,000
Total liabilities	970,516	931,774
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock and paid in capital, par value \$1.00 per share, 20,000,000 shares authorized,		
13,900,000 and 5,100,000 shares issued and outstanding in 2006 and 2005, respectively	337,781	123,804
Common stock and paid in capital, par value \$1.00 per share, 200,000,000 shares authorized,		
100,746,226 and 83,696,647 issued and outstanding in 2006 and 2005, respectively	1,540,365	1,134,300
Distributions in excess of net income	(302,154)	(268,890)
Total stockholders equity	1,575,992	989,214
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,546,508	\$ 1,920,988

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2006		2005	2004	
REVENUE					
Rental	\$ 238,058	\$	195,666	\$ 172,033	
Other	2,042		354	1,029	
	240,100		196,020	173,062	
	ŕ		ĺ	,	
EXPENSES					
Interest	51,363		40,949	34,132	
Depreciation and amortization	59,492		46,206	39,696	
General and administrative	17,539		15,421	13,119	
Property	3,339		3,731	3,058	
Income taxes	747		813	699	
Provisions for impairment			151	716	
Loss on extinguishment of debt	1,555				
	134,035		107,271	91,420	
Income from continuing operations	106,065		88,749	81,642	
Income from discontinued operations:					
Real estate acquired for resale by Crest	1,402		2,781	7,847	
Real estate held for investment	3,314		7,589	13,908	
	4,716		10,370	21,755	
Net income	110,781		99,119	103,397	
Preferred stock cash dividends	(11,362)		(9,403)	(9,455)	
Excess of redemption value over carrying value of preferred shares					
redeemed (see note 7C and 7D)				(3,774)	
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 99,419	\$	89,716	\$ 90,168	
Amounts available to common stockholders per common share, basic and diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.05	\$	0.99	\$ 0.87	
Net income	\$ 1.11	\$	1.12	\$ 1.15	
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	89,766,714		79,950,255	78,518,296	
Diluted	89,917,554		80,208,593	78,598,788	

 $The\ accompanying\ notes\ to\ consolidated\ financial\ statements\ are\ an\ integral\ part\ of\ these\ statements.$

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

(dollars in thousands)

	Shares of Preferred stock	Common stock	Preferred stock and paid in capital	Common stock and paid in capital	Distributions in excess of net income	Total
Balance, December 31, 2003	4,125,700	75,818,172	\$ 99,368	\$ 969,030	\$ (240,632) \$	827,766
Net income					103,397	103,397
Distributions paid and payable					(108,016)	(108,016)
Shares issued in stock						
offerings, net of offering						
costs of \$3,682		3,200,000		67,918		67,918
Shares issued in stock offerings, net of offering						
costs of \$4,187	5,100,000		123,787			123,787
Preferred shares redeemed	(4,125,700)		(99,368)		(3,774)	(103,142)
Share-based compensation		283,458		2,025		2,025
Balance, December 31, 2004	5,100,000	79,301,630	123,787	1,038,973	(249,025)	913,735
Net income					99,119	99,119
Distributions paid and payable					(118,984)	(118,984)
Shares issued in stock						
offerings, net of offering						
costs of \$4,980		4,100,000	17	92,659		92,676
Share-based compensation		295,017		2,668		2,668
Balance, December 31, 2005	5,100,000	83,696,647	123,804	1,134,300	(268,890)	989,214
Net income					110,781	110,781
Distributions paid and payable					(144,045)	(144,045)
Shares issued in stock					()/	(,, ,, ,,
offerings, net of offering						
costs of \$20,911		16,815,000		402,745		402,745
Shares issued in stock						
offering, net of offering						
costs of \$6,023	8,800,000		213,977			213,977
Share-based compensation		234,579		3,320		3,320
Balance, December 31, 2006	13,900,000	100,746,226	\$ 337,781	\$ 1,540,365	\$ (302,154) \$	1,575,992

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

(dollars in thousands)

	2006		2005	2004
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$ 110,781	\$	99,119 \$	103,397
Adjustments to net income:				
Depreciation and amortization	59,492		46,206	39,696
Income from discontinued operations:				
Real estate acquired for resale	(1,402)		(2,781)	(7,847)
Real estate held for investment	(3,314)		(7,589)	(13,908)
Gain on reinstatement of property carrying value	(716)			
Gain on sale of real estate held for investment			(18)	(185)
Amortization of stock compensation	2,928		2,155	1,426
Amortization of stock option costs	23		12	14
Provisions for impairment on real estate held for investment			151	716
Cash from discontinued operations:				
Real estate acquired for resale	371		(510)	(2,407)
Real estate held for investment	410		1,509	4,184
Investment in real estate acquired for resale	(113,166)		(54,110)	(21,787)
Intangibles acquired in connection with acquisition of real estate acquired				
for resale			(1,780)	
Proceeds from sales of real estate acquired for resale	22,405		22,195	74,995
Collection of mortgage note receivable by Crest	1,333			
Change in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable and other assets	4,418		(3,292)	1,094
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,382		8,290	(1,051)
• •				
Net cash provided by operating activities	86,945		109,557	178,337
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from sales of investment properties:				
Continuing operations	2		109	426
Discontinued operations	9,804		22,191	34,175
Acquisition of and additions to investment properties	(654,149)		(417,347)	(195,470)
Intangibles acquired in connection with acquisitions of investment	(054,149)		(417,547)	(193,470)
properties	(937)		(9,494)	
properties	(931)		(3,434)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(645,280)		(404,541)	(160,869)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Borrowings from lines of credit	523,200		400,300	280,400
Payments under lines of credit	(659,900)		(287,200)	(283,200)
Proceeds from common stock offerings, net	402,745		92,659	67,918
Proceeds from notes issued, net	271,883		270,266	(28)
Principal payment on notes	(110,000)			
Proceeds from preferred stock offerings, net	213,977			123,787

Redemption of preferred stock			(103,142)
Cash distributions to common stockholders	(129,667)	(108,575)	(97,420)
Cash dividends to preferred stockholders	(9,403)	(9,403)	(9,063)
Proceeds from other common stock issuances	369	500	584
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	503,204	358,547	(20,164)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(55,131)	63,563	(2,696)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	65,704	2,141	4,837
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 10,573	\$ 65,704	\$ 2,141

For supplemental disclosures, see note 13.

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

1. Organization and Operation

Realty Income Corporation (Realty Income, the Company, we or our) is organized as a Maryland corporation. We invest in commercial retail real estate and have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT).

At December 31, 2006, we owned 1,955 properties, located in 48 states, containing over 16.7 million leasable square feet, along with 60 properties owned by our wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary, Crest Net Lease, Inc. (Crest). Crest was created to buy and sell properties, primarily to individual investors, many of whom are involved in tax-deferred exchanges, under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Tax Code).

A 2-for-1 stock split was declared in November 2004 and became effective after the market closed on December 31, 2004. Common stockholders received an additional share of common stock for each share they owned. The increase in the number of common shares outstanding and all per common share data has been adjusted for the stock split.

Information with respect to number of properties, square feet, average initial lease term and weighted average contractual lease rate is unaudited.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Procedures

Federal Income Taxes. We have elected to be taxed as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under the Tax Code. We believe we have qualified and continue to qualify as a REIT. Under the REIT operating structure, we are permitted to deduct distributions paid to our stockholders and generally will not be required to pay federal corporate income taxes on such income. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, except for federal income taxes of Crest, which totaled \$396,000 in 2006, \$760,000 in 2005 and \$2.8 million in 2004 and are included in income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired by Crest.

Earnings and profits that determine the taxability of distributions to stockholders differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due to differences in the estimated useful lives and methods used to compute depreciation and the carrying value (basis) on the investments in properties for tax purposes, among other things.

The following reconciles our net income available to common stockholders to taxable income for 2006 (dollars in thousands) (unaudited):

Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 99,419
Tax loss on the sale of real estate less than book gains	(3,529)
Elimination of net revenue and expenses from Crest	2,440
Dividends received from Crest	500
Preferred dividends not deductible for tax	11,362
Depreciation and amortization timing differences	16,612
Adjustment for straight-line rent	(1,515)
Adjustment for a decrease in prepaid rent	(1,681)
Other adjustments	(816)
Estimated taxable net income, before our dividend paid deduction	\$ 122,792

Net Income Per Common Share. Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders for the period by the number of common shares that would have been outstanding assuming the issuance of common shares for all potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the reporting period.

The following is a reconciliation of the denominator of the basic net income per common share computation to the denominator of the diluted net income per common share computation, for the years ended December 31:

	2006	2005	2004
Weighted average shares used for the basic net income per share			
computation	89,766,714	79,950,255	78,518,296
Incremental shares from share-based compensation	150,840	258,338	80,492
Adjusted weighted average shares used for diluted net income per share			
computation	89,917,554	80,208,593	78,598,788

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, no stock options were anti-dilutive. We had nonvested shares from share-based compensation that were anti-dilutive of 235,035 in 2006 and 305,476 in 2005. No nonvested shares were anti-dilutive in 2004.

Discontinued Operations. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS 144)*, Realty Income s operations from one investment property classified as held for sale at December 31, 2006, plus investment properties sold in 2006, 2005 and 2004, are reported as discontinued operations. Their respective results of operations have been reclassified to income from discontinued operations, real estate held for investment. We classify properties as held for sale in accordance with SFAS 144. We do not depreciate properties that are classified as held for sale.

Crest acquires properties with the intention of reselling them rather than holding them for investment and operating the properties.

Consequently, we classify properties acquired by Crest as held for sale at the date of acquisition and do not depreciate them. In accordance with SFAS 144, the operations of Crest s properties are classified as income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

No debt was assumed by buyers of our investment properties or repaid as a result of our investment property sales and we have elected not to allocate interest expense to discontinued operations related to real estate held for investment.

We allocate interest expense related to borrowings specifically attributable to Crest s properties. The interest expense amounts allocated to the Crest properties are included in income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest.

The following is a summary of Crest s income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

Edgar Filing: DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Crest	s income	from	discontinued	operations,
-------	----------	------	--------------	-------------

real estate acquired for resale	2006	2005	2004
Gain on sales of real estate acquired for resale	\$ 2,219 \$	3,291 \$	10,254
Rental revenue	5,080	2,085	2,304
Interest expense	(3,708)	(1,139)	(674)
General and administrative expense	(440)	(453)	(464)
Property expenses	(67)	(60)	(93)
Provisions for impairment	(1,188)		
Income taxes	(494)	(943)	(3,480)
Income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for			
resale by Crest	\$ 1,402 \$	2,781 \$	7,847

The following is a summary of Realty Income s income from discontinued operations, from real estate held for investment for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

Realty Income s income from discontinued			
operations, real estate held for investment	2006	2005	2004
Gain on sales of investment properties	\$ 3,036 \$	6,573 \$	12,543
Rental revenue	492	1,729	4,608
Other revenue	34	2	121
Depreciation and amortization	(116)	(458)	(1,162)
Property expenses	(116)	(222)	(545)
Provisions for impairment	(16)	(35)	(1,657)
Income from discontinued operations, real estate held for			
investment	\$ 3,314 \$	7,589 \$	13,908

The following is a summary of our total income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Total income from discontinued operations	2	2006	2005	2004
Income from discontinued operations:				
Real estate acquired for resale by Crest	\$	1,402 \$	2,781	\$ 7,847
Real estate held for investment		3,314	7,589	13,908
Income from discontinued operations	\$	4,716 \$	10,370	\$ 21,755
Per common share, basic and diluted	\$	0.05 \$	0.13	\$ 0.28

The per share amounts for income from discontinued operations above and the income from continuing operations and net income reported on the consolidated statement of income have each been calculated independently.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable. All leases are accounted for as operating leases. Under this method, lease payments that have fixed and determinable rent increases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Any rental revenue contingent upon a tenant s sales is recognized only after the tenant exceeds their sales breakpoint. Rental increases based upon changes in the consumer price indexes are recognized only after the changes in the indexes have occurred and are then applied according to the lease agreements.

We recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts relating to accounts receivable for amounts deemed uncollectible. We consider tenant specific issues such as financial stability and ability to pay rent when determining collectibility of accounts receivable and appropriate allowances to record. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$705,000 and \$577,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Principles of Consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Realty Income, Crest and their wholly owned subsidiaries, after elimination of all material intercompany balances and transactions. All of Realty Income s and Crest s subsidiaries are wholly-owned.

Cash Equivalents. We consider all short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash and have an original maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Gain on Sales of Properties. We recognize gains on sales of properties in accordance with Statement No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate

Depreciation and Amortization. Lands, buildings and improvements are recorded at cost and stated at cost. Major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives, while ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Buildings and improvements that are under redevelopment, or are being developed, are carried at cost and no depreciation is recorded on these assets. Additionally, amounts essential to the development of the property, such as pre-construction costs, development costs, construction costs, interest costs and other costs incurred during the period of development are capitalized. We cease capitalization when the property is available for occupancy upon substantial completion of tenant improvements, but in any event no later than one year from the completion of major construction activity.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings	25 years
Building improvements	4 to 15 years
Tenant improvements and lease commissions	The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life

Provisions for Impairment. We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Generally, a provision is made for impairment if estimated future operating cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) plus estimated disposition proceeds (undiscounted) are less than the current book value. Impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the current book value of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. If a property is held for sale, it is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell.

Realty Income recorded a provision for impairment of \$16,000 in 2006 on one retail investment property in the restaurant industry. The provision for impairment is included in discontinued operations.

Realty Income recorded provisions for impairment of \$186,000 in 2005 on four retail properties, of which two have been sold. These properties were classified in the following industries: one in child care and three in restaurant.

Realty Income recorded provisions for impairment of \$2.4 million in 2004 on six retail properties, of which five have been sold. These properties were classified in the following industries: one in automotive service, one in child care, two in consumer electronics, one in convenience store and one in restaurant.

Provisions for impairment recorded on investment properties by Realty Income are included on our consolidated statements of income in from discontinued operations, real estate held for investment , except for \$151,000 in 2005 and \$716,000 in 2004 which are included in provisions for impairment.

Crest recorded provisions for impairment of \$1.2 million in 2006 on three retail properties, which are held for resale at December 31, 2006. No provisions for impairment were recorded by Crest in 2005 and 2004. Provisions for impairment recorded by Crest are included in income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest on our consolidated statements of income.

Acquired In-place Leases. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 141, Business

Combinations (SFAS 141), the fair value of the real estate acquired with in-place operating leases is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships, based in each case on their fair values.

The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property (which includes land and buildings/improvements) is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the as-if-vacant value is then allocated to land and buildings/improvements based on our determination of the relative fair value of these assets. Our determinations are based on a real estate appraisal for each property, generated by an independent appraisal firm, which consider estimates of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, current market conditions, as well as costs to execute similar leases. In allocating the fair value to identified intangibles for above-market or below-market leases, an amount is recorded based on the present value of the difference between (i) the contractual amount to be paid pursuant to the in-place lease and (ii) our estimate of fair market lease rate for the corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease.

Capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases. Capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases and expected below market renewal option periods.

The aggregate value of other acquired intangible assets consists of the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships. These are measured by the excess of the purchase price paid for a property, after adjusting for above or below market lease value, less the estimated fair value of the property as if vacant, determined as set forth above. The value of in-place leases, exclusive of the value of above-market and below-market in-place leases, is amortized to expense over the remaining periods of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its stated expiration, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be recorded to revenue or expense as appropriate.

Share-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and starting January 1, 2002, expensed costs for all stock option awards granted, modified, or settled. Stock option awards under the plan vest over periods ranging from one to five years. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, there is no difference between the stock option-based compensation expense included in reported net income and that expense determined under the fair value method for all awards.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted FASB Statement No. 123R, Share-Based Payments. Statement No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees.

Goodwill. Goodwill is tested for impairment during the second quarter of each year as well as when events or circumstances occur indicating that our goodwill might be impaired. We did not record any new goodwill or impairment on our existing goodwill during 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Other Assets. Other assets consist of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2006	2005
Deferred bond financing costs	\$ 10,868	\$ 8,999
Value of in-place and above-market leases	10,430	9,909
Prepaid expenses	3,271	3,379
Settlements on treasury lock agreements	1,629	2,346
Unamortized credit line fees	954	1,473
Corporate assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	463	454
Escrow deposits for Section 1031 tax-deferred exchanges		3,070
Other items	80	1,358
	\$ 27,695	\$ 30,988

Use of Estimates. The consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual

results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications. Certain of the 2005 and 2004 balances have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 presentation.

3. Retail Properties Acquired

We acquire land, buildings and improvements that are used by retail operators.

A. During 2006, Realty Income and Crest invested \$769.9 million, in aggregate, in 378 new retail properties and properties under development. These 378 properties are located in 30 states, will contain over 3.8 million leasable square feet, and are 100% leased with an average initial lease term of 17.1 years. Of the \$769.9 million invested in 2006, \$6.0 million was used to acquire one property with an existing lease already in-place with a retail tenant. In accordance with SFAS 141, Realty Income recorded \$1.6 million as the value of the in-place lease and \$628,000 as the value of below-market rents. These amounts are recorded to other assets and other liabilities, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet and are amortized over the lives of the respective lease.

In comparison, during 2005, Realty Income and Crest invested \$486.6 million, in aggregate, in 156 new retail properties and properties under development. These 156 new retail properties are located in 30 states, contain over 1.9 million leasable square feet and are 100% leased with an average lease term of 15.8 years. Of the \$486.6 million invested in 2005, \$95.1 million was used to acquire 34 properties with existing leases already in-place with existing retail tenants. In accordance with SFAS 141, Realty Income recorded \$10.1 million and Crest recorded \$1.8 million as the value of in-place leases and Realty Income recorded \$183,000 as the value of above-market rents. In addition, Realty Income recorded \$756,000 and Crest recorded \$66,000 as the value of below-market rents on these leases. These amounts were recorded to other assets and other liabilities , respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet and are amortized over the lives of the respective leases. The amounts recorded by Crest are included in the calculation of gain on sales of real estate when the properties were sold during 2006 and 2005.

B. During 2006, Realty Income invested \$656.7 million in 322 new retail properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 8.6%. These 322 properties are located in 30 states, will contain over 3.3 million leasable square feet and are 100% leased with an average initial lease term of 16.7 years. The initial weighted average contractual lease rate is computed by dividing the estimated aggregate base rent for the first year of each lease by the estimated total cost of the properties.

In comparison, during 2005, Realty Income invested \$430.7 million in 135 new retail properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 8.4%. These 135 properties are located in 28 states, contain over 1.7 million leasable square feet and are 100% leased with an average initial lease term of 15.6 years.

C. During 2006, Crest invested \$113.2 million in 56 new retail properties and properties under development. In comparison, during 2005, Crest invested \$55.9 million in 21 new retail properties and properties under development.

D. Crest s property inventory at December 31, 2006 consisted of 60 properties with a total investment of \$137.5 million and at December 31, 2005 consisted of 17 properties with a total investment of \$45.7 million. These amounts are included on our consolidated balance sheets in real estate held for sale, net.

4. Credit Facility

We have a \$300 million revolving acquisition credit facility that expires in October 2008, unless extended as provided for in the agreement. Under the terms of the credit facility, which commenced in October 2005, the borrowing rate is LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) plus 65 basis points with a facility fee of 15 basis points, for all-in drawn pricing of 80 basis points over LIBOR, based on our current credit ratings. The credit facility offers us other interest rate options as well.

The average borrowing rate on our credit facilities during 2006 was 5.7%, compared to 4.3% in 2005 and 2.4% in 2004 on our previous \$250 million credit facility, which expired in October 2005. The increase in the average borrowing rate is due to an increase in LIBOR since the beginning of 2005. Our current credit facility is subject to various leverage and interest coverage ratio limitations. The Company is and has been in compliance with these covenants.

Our credit facility is unsecured and accordingly, we have not pledged any assets as collateral for this obligation.

5. Notes Payable

In September 2006, we issued \$275 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.95% senior unsecured notes due 2016 (the 2016 Notes). The price to the investor for the 2016 Notes was 99.74% of the principal amount for an effective yield of 5.985%. Interest on the 2016 Notes is paid semiannually. The net proceeds of approximately \$271.9 million from this offering were used for other general corporate purposes and to redeem the outstanding \$110 million 7-3/4% unsecured notes due May 2007 (the 2007 Notes), which were issued in May 1997.

In September 2006, we redeemed all of our outstanding 2007 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.2 million and a make-whole payment of \$1.6 million. We recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt totaling \$1.6 million related to the make-whole payment associated with the 2007 Notes. For 2006, the make-whole payment represented approximately \$0.017 per share.

In September 2005, we issued \$175 million in aggregate principal amount of 5-3/8% senior unsecured notes due 2017 (the 2017 Notes). The price to the investor for the 2017 Notes was 99.974% of the principal amount for an effective yield of 5.378%. The net proceeds of approximately \$173.2 million from this offering were used to repay borrowings under our unsecured acquisition credit facility, to fund new property acquisitions and for other general corporate purposes. Interest on the 2017 Notes is paid semiannually.

In March 2005, we issued \$100 million in aggregate principal amount of 5-7/8% senior unsecured bonds due 2035 (the 2035 Bonds). The price to the investor for the 2035 Bonds was 98.296% of the principal amount for an effective yield of 5.998%. The net proceeds of approximately \$97 million from this offering were used to repay borrowings under our acquisition credit facility and for other general corporate purposes. Interest on the 2035 Bonds is paid semiannually.

In November 2003, we issued \$150 million of 5-1/2% senior unsecured notes due 2015 (the 2015 Notes). Interest on the 2015 Notes is payable semiannually.

In March 2003, we issued \$100 million of 5-3/8% senior unsecured notes due 2013 (the 2013 Notes). Interest on the 2013 Notes is payable semiannually.

In January 1999, we issued \$20 million of 8% senior unsecured notes due 2009 (the 2009 Notes). Interest on the 2009 Notes is payable semiannually.

In October 1998, we issued \$100 million of 8-1/4% Monthly Income Senior Notes due 2008 (the 2008 Notes). In May 1998, we entered into a treasury interest rate lock agreement associated with the 2008 Notes. In settlement of the agreement, we made a payment of \$8.7 million in 1998. The payment on the agreement is being amortized over 10 years (the life of the 2008 Notes) as a yield adjustment to interest expense. After taking into effect the results of a treasury interest rate lock agreement, the effective rate to us on the 2008 Notes is 9.12%. Interest on the 2008 Notes is payable monthly. The 2008 Notes are unsecured.

Interest incurred on the 2016 Notes, 2017 Notes, 2035 Bonds, 2015 Notes, 2013 Notes, 2009 Notes, 2008 Notes and 2007 Notes (redeemed in September 2006) collectively for each of the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$49.6 million, \$39.5 million and \$32.0 million, respectively. In addition, when the 2007 Notes were redeemed, we paid a \$1.6 million make-whole payment, which is classified as loss on extinguishment of debt on our consolidated statements of income. The interest rate on each of these notes is fixed.

Our outstanding notes are unsecured and accordingly, we have not pledged any assets as collateral for these or any other obligations.

55

All of these notes contain various covenants, including: (i) a limitation on incurrence of any debt which would cause our debt to total adjusted assets ratio to exceed 60%; (ii) a limitation on incurrence of any secured debt which would cause our secured debt to total adjusted assets ratio to exceed 40%; (iii) a limitation on incurrence of any debt which would cause our debt service coverage ratio to be less than 1.5 times; and (iv) the maintenance at all times of total unencumbered assets not less than 150% of our outstanding unsecured debt. We have been in compliance with these covenants since each of the notes were issued.

The following table summarizes the maturity of our notes payable as of December 31, 2006 (dollars in millions):

Year of Maturity (1)	Notes	
2008	\$	100.0
2009		20.0
After 2011		800.0
Totals	\$	920.0

⁽¹⁾ There are no maturities in 2007, 2010 or 2011.

6. Common Stock Offerings

- A. In October and November 2006, we issued an aggregate of 6.9 million shares of common stock at a price of \$26.40 per share. The net proceeds of approximately \$173.2 million were used to fund a portion of the purchase price of the Buffets/Ryan s properties and for other general corporate purposes.
- B. In September 2006, we issued 4.715 million shares of common stock at a price of \$24.32 per share. The net proceeds of approximately \$109 million from this offering were used to fund new property acquisitions, repay borrowings under our credit facility and for other general corporate purposes.
- C. In March 2006, we issued 5.2 million shares of common stock at a price of \$24.39 per share. The net proceeds of approximately \$120.5 million were used to fund new property acquisitions and for other general corporate purposes.
- D. In September 2005, we issued 4.1 million shares of common stock at a price of \$23.79 per share. The net proceeds of \$92.7 million were used to fund new property acquisitions and for other general corporate purposes.
- E. In March 2004, we issued 3.2 million shares of common stock at a price of \$22.375 per share. The net proceeds of \$67.9 million were used to repay a portion of our acquisition credit facility borrowings, which had been used to acquire 112 convenience store properties in March 2004.

7. Preferred Stock Offerings and Redemptions

- A. In December 2006, we issued 8.8 million shares of 6-3/4% Monthly Income Class E cumulative redeemable preferred stock, with a liquidation value of \$25 per share. The net proceeds of \$214 million from this issuance were used to repay borrowings under our credit facility and for other general corporate purposes. Beginning December 7, 2011, the Class E preferred shares are redeemable at our option for \$25 per share. Dividends of \$0.140625 per share are paid monthly in arrears on the Class E preferred stock.
- B. In May 2004, we issued 4.0 million shares of 7-3/8% Monthly Income Class D cumulative redeemable preferred stock, with a liquidation value of \$25 per share. The net proceeds of \$96.4 million from this issuance were used to redeem a portion of the outstanding Class B and Class C preferred stock, repay borrowings outstanding under our \$250 million acquisition credit facility and for other general corporate purposes. Beginning May 27, 2009, the Class D preferred shares are redeemable at our option for \$25.00 per share. Dividends of \$0.1536459 per share are paid monthly in arrears on the Class D preferred stock.

In October 2004, we issued an additional 1.1 million shares of Class D preferred stock for \$25.4311 per share. The net proceeds of \$27.4 million were used to repay borrowings under our \$250 million acquisition credit facility.

- C. When our Class B preferred stock was redeemed in 2004, we incurred a non-cash charge of \$2.4 million representing the Class B preferred stock original issuance costs that were paid in 1999.
- D. When our Class C preferred stock was redeemed in 2004, we incurred a non-cash charge of \$1.4 million representing the Class C preferred stock original issuance costs that were paid in 1999.

8. Distributions Paid and Payable

A. **Common Stock**. We pay monthly cash distributions to our common stockholders. The following is a summary of monthly distributions paid per common share for the years ended December 31:

Month	2006	2005	2004
January	\$ 0.116250 \$	0.110000 \$	0.100000
February	0.116250	0.110000	0.100000
March	0.116250	0.110000	0.100000
April	0.116875	0.110625	0.100625
May	0.116875	0.110625	0.100625
June	0.116875	0.110625	0.100625
July	0.117500	0.111250	0.101250
August	0.117500	0.111250	0.101250
September	0.125250	0.115000	0.108750
October	0.125875	0.115625	0.109375
November	0.125875	0.115625	0.109375
December	0.125875	0.115625	0.109375
Total	\$ 1.437250 \$	1.346250 \$	1.241250

The following presents the federal income tax characterization of distributions paid or deemed to be paid per common share for the years ended December 31:

	2006	2005	2004
Ordinary income	\$ 1.2945466	\$ 1.210091	\$ 1.18315
Nontaxable distributions	0.1427034	0.136159	0.05810
Capital gain			
Totals	\$ 1.4372500	\$ 1.346250	\$ 1.24125

At December 31, 2006, a distribution of \$0.1265 per common share was payable and was paid in January 2007. At December 31, 2005, a distribution of \$0.11625 per common share was payable and was paid in January 2006.

B. Preferred Stock.

Dividends of \$0.140625 per share are paid monthly in arrears on the Class E preferred stock. We declared dividends to holders of our Class E preferred stock totaling \$1.6 million in 2006. The first Class E dividend was paid in January 2007.

Dividends of \$0.1536459 per share are paid monthly in arrears on the Class D preferred stock. We declared dividends to holders of our Class D preferred stock totaling \$9.8 million in 2006, \$9.4 million in 2005 and \$4.8 million in 2004. The dividends paid per share to our Class D preferred stockholders for 2006 and 2005 of \$1.84375 and for 2004 of \$1.01406 were characterized for federal income tax purposes as ordinary income.

In May 1999, we issued 2.76 million shares of 9-3/8% Class B cumulative redeemable preferred stock, of which 2,745,700 shares were outstanding for a portion of 2004. On June 6, 2004, all of the outstanding Class B preferred shares were redeemed. We paid dividends to holders of our Class B preferred stock totaling \$2.8 million during the first two quarters of 2004. The dividends paid per share to our Class B Preferred stockholders in 2004 of \$1.01563 were characterized for federal income tax purposes as ordinary income.

In July 1999, we issued 1.38 million shares of 9-1/2% Class C cumulative redeemable preferred stock, all of which were outstanding for a portion of 2004. On July 30, 2004, all of the outstanding Class C preferred shares were redeemed. We paid monthly dividends to holders of our Class C preferred stock totaling \$1.9 million during the first seven months of 2004. The dividends paid per share to our Class C Preferred stockholders in 2004 of \$1.37882 were characterized for federal income tax purposes as ordinary income.

9. Operating Leases

A. At December 31, 2006, we owned 1,955 properties in 48 states, excluding 60 properties owned by Crest. Of these 1,955 properties, 1,948, or 99.6%, are single-tenant, retail properties and the remaining seven are multi-tenant, distribution and office properties. At December 31, 2006, 26 properties were vacant and available for lease or sale.

Substantially all leases are net leases where the tenant pays property taxes and assessments, maintains the interior and exterior of the building and leased premises, and carries insurance coverage for public liability, property damage, fire and extended coverage.

Percentage rent for 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$1.1 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, including amounts recorded to discontinued operations.

At December 31, 2006, minimum future annual rents to be received on the operating leases are as follows (dollars in thousands):

For the years ending December 31,

2007	\$ 268,536
2008	257,072
2009	246,422
2010	238,635
2011	231,051
Thereafter	2,252,524
Total	\$ 3,494,240

B. Major Tenants No individual tenant's rental revenue, including percentage rents, represented more than 10% of our total revenue for each of the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

10. Gain on Sales of Real Estate Acquired for Resale by Crest

In 2006, Crest sold 13 properties for \$22.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$2.2 million. In 2005, Crest sold 12 properties for \$23.5 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.3 million. As part of one sale in 2005, Crest provided buyer financing in the form of a \$1.3 million promissory note. This note was paid in full in February 2006. In 2004, Crest sold 51 properties for \$75 million, which resulted in a gain of \$10.3 million. Crest s gains on sales are reported before income taxes and are included in discontinued operations.

11. Gain on Sales of Investment Properties by Realty Income

In 2006, we sold or exchanged 13 investment properties for \$10.7 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.0 million, which is included in discontinued operations.

In 2005, we sold 23 investment properties and sold a portion of the land from two properties for \$23.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$6.6 million. This gain is included in discontinued operations, except for \$18,000 that is included in other revenue.

In 2004, we sold or exchanged 43 investment properties and sold a portion of the land from four properties for \$35.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$12.7 million. Of this gain, \$12.5 million is included in discontinued operations and \$185,000 is included in other revenue. Included in the 43 properties was one property leased by one of our tenants that we exchanged for another property owned by that tenant (see note 13-H).

12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We believe that the carrying values reflected in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005 reasonably approximate the fair values for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and all liabilities, due to their short-term nature, except for the line of credit payable and notes payable. In making these assessments, we used estimates. The fair value of the line of credit payable approximates its carrying value because its terms are similar to those available in the market place at the balance sheet date. The estimated fair value of the notes payable at December 31, 2006 is \$921.9 million and at December 31, 2005 is \$755.0 million, based upon the closing market price per note or indicative price per each note at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

13. Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

Interest paid in 2006 was \$52.4 million, in 2005 was \$36.4 million and in 2004 was \$31.3 million.

Interest capitalized to properties under development in 2006 was \$2.2 million, in 2005 was \$1.9 million and in 2004 was \$531,000.

Income taxes paid by Realty Income and Crest in 2006 were \$775,000, in 2005 were \$1.4 million and in 2004 were \$6.9 million.

The following non-cash investing and financing activities are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements:

A. Stock based compensation for 2006 was \$3.0 million, for 2005 was \$2.2 million and for 2004 was \$1.4 million.

- B. In 2006, we exchanged one of our properties for a different property that was leased to the same tenant. As part of this transaction, accumulated depreciation was reduced by \$67,000 and a gain of \$67,000 was recorded. The original cost of and the value received for property exchanged was \$900,000. This transaction had no impact to land or building and improvements.
- C. In 2006, we received shares of a public company as settlement of a bankruptcy claim associated with a former tenant. We recorded a value of \$207,000, which is in other revenue, based on the closing market price of these shares on December 31, 2006 and included them in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2006. The shares were sold in January 2007.
- D. In 2005, Crest sold a property for \$2.8 million and issued a mortgage note of \$1.3 million, which was paid in full in February 2006 and is included in other assets on our December 31, 2005 consolidated balance sheet.
- E. In 2004, we recorded an impairment of \$716,000 on one property to reduce its carrying value to zero. This loss was the result of a dispute with the original owner and tenant in their bankruptcy proceeding. Our title insurance company failed to timely record the deed on this property upon our original acquisition,

which resulted in a claim by the bankruptcy trustee that Realty Income did not have legal title to the property. In the second quarter of 2006, this issue was resolved and we obtained title to the property. At that time we reinstated the original carrying value adjusted for depreciation on our balance sheet and recorded other revenue of \$716,000. We also reversed accrued liabilities and property expenses of \$133,000 associated with this property. As part of the settlement, these costs became the responsibility of the title insurance company.

- F. In June 2004, when our Class B preferred stock was redeemed, we incurred a non-cash charge of \$2.4 million for the excess of redemption value over the carrying value.
- G. In July 2004, when our Class C preferred stock was redeemed, we incurred a non-cash charge of \$1.4 million for the excess of redemption value over the carrying value.
- H. In 2004, we exchanged one of our properties for a different property that was leased to the same tenant. As part of this transaction, land was reduced by \$160,000, building was increased by \$78,000, and accumulated depreciation was decreased by \$82,000.
- I. Accrued costs on properties under development resulted in an increase in buildings and accounts payable of \$1.7 million in 2006. In 2005, non-cash additions to properties resulted in an increase in buildings of \$5.4 million and an increase in accounts payable of \$5.1 million.
- J. Distributions payable on our balance sheets is comprised of the following declared distributions (dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005
Common stock distributions	\$ 12,745	\$ 9,729
Preferred stock dividends	2,351	392

14. Employee Benefit Plan

We have a 401(k) plan covering substantially all of our employees. Under our 401(k) plan, employees may elect to make contributions to the plan up to a maximum of 60% of their compensation, subject to limits under the IRS Code. We match 50% of our employee s contributions, up to 3% of the employee s compensation. Our aggregate matching contributions each year have been immaterial to our results of operations.

15. Common Stock Incentive Plan

In 2003, our Board of Directors adopted and our stockholders approved the 2003 Incentive Award Plan of Realty Income Corporation (the Stock Plan) to enable us to attract and retain the services of directors, employees and consultants, considered essential to our long-term success, by offering them an opportunity to own stock in Realty Income and/or rights that will reflect our growth, development and financial success. The

Stock Plan was amended and restated by our Board of Directors in February 2006. Under the terms of this plan, the aggregate number of shares of our common stock subject to options, stock purchase rights (SPR), stock appreciation rights (SAR) and other awards will be no more than 3,428,000 shares. The maximum number of shares that may be subject to options, stock purchase rights, stock appreciation rights and other awards granted under the plan to any individual in any calendar year may not exceed 1,600,000 shares. This plan has a term of 10 years from the date it was adopted by our Board of Directors, which was March 12, 2003. To date, we have not issued any SPR or SAR.

The amount of share-based compensation costs charged against income during 2006 were \$3.0 million, during 2005 were \$2.2 million and during 2004 were \$1.4 million.

Stock options were granted with an exercise price equal to the underlying stock s fair market value at the date of grant. Stock options expire ten years from the date they are granted and vest over service periods of one, three, four and five years. No stock options were granted in 2006, 2005 or 2004.

The following table summarizes our stock option activity for the years ended December 31:

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price	Number of shares	005	Weighted average exercise price	Number of shares	2004	Weighted average exercise price
Outstanding options,								
beginning of year	135,348	\$ 13.02	176,130	\$	13.01	247,756	\$	12.53
Options exercised	(28,696)	12.86	(40,352)		12.93	(67,648)		11.16
Options forfeited	(284)	14.70	(430)		14.70	(3,978)		14.70
Outstanding options, end								
of year	106,368	\$ 13.06	135,348	\$	13.02	176,130	\$	13.01
Options exercisable, end of year	106,368	\$ 13.06	119,924	\$	12.87	153,206	\$	12.75

At December 31, 2006, the options outstanding and exercisable had exercise prices ranging from \$10.63 to \$14.70, with a weighted average price of \$13.06, and expiration dates ranging from June 2007 to December 2011 with a weighted average remaining term of 2.6 years.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$268,000, \$377,000 and \$480,000, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options vested during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$143,000, \$67,000 and \$101,000, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding was \$1.6 million, \$1.2 million and \$2.2 million at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercisable at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$1.6 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the market value of the underlying stock at December 31 of each year exceeds the price of the option. The market value of the Company s stock was \$27.70, \$21.62 and \$25.29 at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The following table summarizes our common stock grant activity under our Stock Plan for the years 2006, 2005 and 2004. The grants vest over periods ranging from immediately to 10 years.

	2	2006			2005			2004	
		W	/eighted			Weighted			Weighted
		a	verage			average			average
	Number of shares		grant price(1)	Number of shares		grant price(1)	Number of shares		grant price(1)
Outstanding nonvested		•				•			•
shares, beginning of year	788,722	\$	17.83	626,868	\$	14.98	475,721	\$	13.70

Shares granted	210,332	21.72	306,241	25.20	218,180	19.94
Shares vested	(125,879)	20.39	(92,811)	16.69	(64,116)	15.16
Shares forfeited	(4,449)	21.35	(51,576)	17.31	(2,370)	18.65
Outstanding nonvested						
shares,						
end of year	868,726	\$ 17.96	788,722	\$ 17.83	626,868	\$ 14.98

(1) Grant date fair value.

During 2006, we granted 210,332 shares of common stock under the Stock Plan. These shares vest over the following service periods: 16,000 vested upon issuance, 4,000 vest over a service period of one year, 4,000 vest over a service period of four years, 15,000 vest over a service period of five years and 171,332 vest over a service period of 10 years.

As of December 31, 2006, the remaining unamortized stock compensation expense totaled \$15.6 million, which is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the service period of each applicable award. The amount of share-based compensation is based on the fair value of the stock at the grant date. We define the grant date as the date the recipient and the Company have a mutual understanding of the key terms and condition of the award and the recipient of the grant begins to benefit from, or be adversely affected by subsequent changes in the price of the shares.

The effect of pre-vesting forfeitures on our recorded expense has historically been negligible. Any future pre-vesting forfeitures are also expected to be negligible and we will record the benefit related to such forfeitures as they occur. Under the terms of the Stock Plan, we pay non-refundable dividends to the holders of our nonvested shares. Under Statement No. 123R, the dividends paid to holders of these nonvested shares should be charged as compensation expense to the extent that they relate to nonvested shares that do not or are not expected to vest. Given the negligible historical and prospective forfeiture rate determined by us, we did not record any amount to compensation expense, related to dividends paid, for 2006, nor do we expect to record any amounts in future periods.

16. Segment Information

We evaluate performance and make resource allocation decisions on an industry by industry basis. For financial reporting purposes, we have grouped our tenants into 30 industry and activity segments (including properties owned by Crest that are grouped together). All of the properties are incorporated into one of the applicable segments. Because almost all of our leases require the tenant to pay operating expenses, revenue is the only component of segment profit and loss we measure.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the properties owned by us, classified according to the business of the respective tenants as of December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

For the years ended December 31,	2006			Revenue 2005	2004		
Segment rental revenue:							
Automotive parts	\$	6,716	\$	6,750	\$	6,744	
Automotive service		16,495		15,083		13,320	
Automotive tire services		14,501		13,821		13,346	
Child care		24,649		24,819		24,787	
Convenience stores		38,284		36,712		33,409	
Drug stores		6,986		5,593		243	
Health and fitness		10,212		7,212		6,919	
Home furnishings		7,463		7,346		7,327	
Home improvement		7,996		2,129		2,115	
Motor vehicle dealerships		8,217		5,060		859	
Restaurants		28,292		17,988		16,196	
Sporting goods		6,829		6,747		5,939	
Theaters		22,905		10,139		6,052	

17 non-reportable segments(1) Other revenue	38,513 2,042	36,267 354	34,777 1,029
Total revenue	\$ 240,100	\$ 196,020	\$ 173,062

⁽¹⁾ Crest s revenues appear in income from discontinued operations, real estate acquired for resale by Crest and is not included in this table.

Assets, as of December 31,	2006			2005
Segment net real estate:				
Automotive parts	\$	37,608	\$	39,550
Automotive service		104,089		108,036
Automotive tire services		211,784		127,879
Child care		96,263		101,950
Convenience stores		335,169		342,734
Drug stores		78,347		65,846
Health and fitness		102,718		87,426
Home furnishings		54,376		56,218
Home improvement		71,474		17,846
Motor vehicle dealerships		104,122		71,035
Restaurants		540,136		166,231
Sporting goods		56,291		57,913
Theaters		272,135		250,214
Crest		137,439		45,509
17 other non-reportable segments		283,130		263,659
Total segment net real estate		2,485,081		1,802,046
Other intangible assets Drug stores		7,629		8,489
Other intangible assets Theaters		2,801		1,419
Other corporate assets		50,997		109,034
Total assets	\$	2,546,508	\$	1,920,988

17. Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions which we believe are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the outcome of the proceedings will not have a material adverse effect upon our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

At December 31, 2006, we have committed to pay estimated unfunded development costs of \$16.4 million on properties under development. In addition, we have contingent payments for tenant improvements and leasing costs of \$806,000 as well as a \$6.0 million commitment to fund the construction costs of two buildings, which are not currently under construction, and for which construction is dependent upon the tenant s commitment to build the buildings prior to September 30, 2007.

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(not covered by Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm)

	First Ouarter	Second Ouarter	Third Ouarter	Fourth Ouarter	Year (2)
2006 (1)	V	Ç	C	Q	
Total revenue	\$ 55,156	\$ 56,509	\$ 59,297	\$ 69,139	\$ 240,100
Interest expense	13,198	11,930	12,530	13,706	51,363
Depreciation and amortization expense	13,512	14,791	14,632	16,557	59,492
Other expenses	5,336	5,270	6,521	6,052	23,180
Income from continuing operations	23,110	24,518	25,614	32,824	106,065
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	1,778	2,122	944	(128)	4,716
Net income	24,888	26,640	26,558	32,696	110,781
Net income available to common					
stockholders	22,537	24,289	24,207	28,386	99,419
Net income per common share:					
Basic	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.29	1.11
Diluted	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.29	1.11
Dividends paid per common share	0.348750	0.350625	0.360250	0.377625	1.437250
2005 (1)					
Total revenue	\$ 46,431	\$ 47,219	\$ 48,877	\$ 53,492	\$ 196,020
Interest expense	9,058	9,793	10,228	11,869	40,949
Depreciation and amortization expense	10,709	11,146	11,218	13,133	46,206
Other expenses	5,120	4,917	5,370	4,709	20,116
Income from continuing operations	21,544	21,363	22,061	23,781	88,749
Income from discontinued operations	1,959	3,303	1,061	4,047	10,370
Net income	23,503	24,666	23,122	27,828	99,119
Net income available to common					
stockholders	21,152	22,315	20,771	25,477	89,716
Basic and diluted net income Per common					
share	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.31	1.12
Dividends paid per common share	0.330000	0.331875	0.337500	0.346875	1.346250

⁽¹⁾ The consolidated quarterly financial data includes revenues and expenses from our continuing and discontinued operations. The results of operations related to certain properties, that have been classified as held for sale or have been disposed of, have been reclassified to income from discontinued operations. Therefore, some of the information may not agree to our previously filed 10-Qs.

⁽²⁾ Amounts for each period are calculated independently. The sum of the quarters may differ from the annual amount.

Item 9: Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

We have had no disagreements with our independent registered public accounting firm on accountancy or financial disclosure, nor have we changed accountants in the two most recent fiscal years.

Item 9A: Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act 1934 Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were operating at a reasonable assurance level.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Internal control over financial reporting refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled Internal Control Integrated Framework published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Management has concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the most recent fiscal year. KPMG LLP has issued an attestation report on management s assessment of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Submitted on February 20, 2007 by,

Thomas A Lewis, Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman

Paul M. Meurer, Chief Financial Office, Executive Vice President and Treasurer

65

Changes in Internal Controls. There have not been any significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no material weaknesses, and therefore no corrective actions were taken.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls. Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Item 9B:	<u>Other</u>	Information

None.

PART III

Item 10: Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information set forth under the captions Director Nominees and Officers of the Company and Compliance with Federal Securities Laws will be included in the definitive proxy statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A. The Annual Meeting of Stockholders is presently scheduled to be held on May 15, 2007.

Item 11: Executive Compensation

The information set forth under the caption Executive Compensation will be included in the definitive proxy statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 12: Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information set forth under the caption Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management will be included in the definitive proxy statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 13: Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information set forth under the caption Certain Transactions will be included in the definitive proxy statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 14: Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information set forth under the caption Principal Accountant Fees and Services will be included in the definitive proxy statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

66

PART IV
Item 15: Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
A. The following documents are filed as part of this report.
1. Financial Statements (see Item 8)
a. Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
b. Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 and 2005
c. Consolidated Statements of Income, Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
d. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
e. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
f. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
g. Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data, (unaudited) for 2006 and 2005
2. Financial Statement Schedule. Reference is made to page F-1 of this report for Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation (electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, but not included herein).

	All schedules, other than those indicated in the Table of Contents, have been omitted as the required information is either ble or the information is presented in the financial statements or related notes.
3. Exhibits	
Articles of Incorpora	tion and By-Laws
	Articles of Incorporation of the Company, as amended by amendment No. 1 dated May 10, 2005 and amendment No. 2 filed as exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference).
	Bylaws of the Company, as amended by amendment No. 1 dated March 20, 2000 and amendment No. 2 dated June 15, 3.2 to the Company s Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference).
	Articles Supplementary to the Articles of Incorporation of the Company classifying and designating the 7.375% Monthly plative Redeemable Preferred Stock (filed as exhibit 3.8 to the Company s Form 8-A filed on May 25, 2004 and reference).
the 7.375% Monthly I	Articles Supplementary to the Articles of Incorporation of the Company classifying and designating additional shares of ncome Class D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (filed as exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on Octob ated herein by reference).

3.5 Articles Supplementary to the Articles of Incorporation of the Company classifying and designating the 6.75% Class E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (filed as exhibit 3.5 to the Company s Form 8-A filed on December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures
4.1 Pricing Committee Resolutions (filed as exhibit 4.2 the Company s Form 8-K, dated October 27, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2 Form of 8.25% Notes due 2008 (filed as exhibit 4.3 to Company s Form 8-K, dated October 27, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3 Indenture dated as of October 28, 1998 between the Company and The Bank of New York (filed as exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated October 27, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.4 Pricing Committee Resolutions and Form of 8% Notes due 2009 (filed as exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated January 21, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.5 Form of 5-3/8% Senior Notes due 2013 (filed as exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated March 5, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.6 Officer s Certificate pursuant to sections 201, 301 and 303 of the Indenture dated October 28, 1998 between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, establishing a series of securities entitled 5-3/8% Senior Notes due 2013 (filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated March 5, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7 Form of 5-1/2% Senior Notes due 2015 (filed as exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated November 19, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.8 Officer s Certificate pursuant to sections 201, 301 and 303 of the Indenture dated October 28, 1998 between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, establishing a series of securities entitled 5-1/2% Senior Notes due 2015 (filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated November 19, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

4.9 incorporated he	Form of 5-7/8% Senior Notes due 2035 (filed as exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated March 8, 2005 and erein by reference).
	Officer s Certificate pursuant to section 301 of the Indenture dated October 28, 1998 between the Company and The Bank of Trustee, establishing a series of securities entitled 5-7/8% Senior Debentures due 2035 (filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company s and March 8, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.11 incorporated he	Form of 5-3/8% Senior Notes due 2017 (filed as exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated September 8, 2005 and erein by reference).
	Officer s Certificate pursuant to section 301 of the Indenture dated October 28, 1998 between the Company and The Bank of Trustee, establishing a series of securities entitled 5-3/8% Senior Notes due 2017 (filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Form 8-K er 8, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
	68

4.13 incorporated herei	Form of 5.95% Senior Notes due 2016 (filed as exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Form 8-K, dated September 6, 2006 and in by reference).
	Officer s Certificate pursuant to section 301 of the Indenture dated October 28, 1998 between the Company and The Bank of stee, establishing a series of securities entitled 5.95% Senior Notes due 2016 (filed as exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Form 8-K, 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
Material Contrac	<u>ets</u>
10.1 and incorporated I	\$300 million Credit Agreement dated June 17, 2005 (filed as exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 20, 2005 nerein by reference).
10.2 Directors of the C	Form indemnification agreement between the Company and each executive officer and each director of the Board of ompany (filed as exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on August 26, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3 Form S-8 (registra	1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on ation number 33-95708) and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4 Form 8-B and inco	First Amendment to the 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, dated June 12, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company s orporated herein by reference).
10.5 Company s Form	Second Amendment to the 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, dated December 16, 1997, (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the 10-K dated December 31, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6 herein by reference	Management Incentive Plan, (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s Form 10-K dated December 31, 1997 and incorporated te).
10.7 10-K dated Decen	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Independent Directors, (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company s Form other 31, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.8	Form of Employment Agreement between the Company and its Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to the

Company s Form 8-B12B dated July 29, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).

10.9 Form 8-K dated	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between the Company and Executive Officers (filed as exhibit 10.11 to the Company January 1, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10 10.10 to the Con	2003 Stock Incentive Award Plan of Realty Income Corporation, as amended and restated February 21, 2006 (filed as exhibit npany s Form 10-K dated December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.20 (filed as exhibit	First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated October 16, 2006 to the \$300 million Credit Agreement dated June 17, 2005 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
Statement of Ra	<u>atios</u>
*12.1	Statements re computation of ratios.
	69

Subsidiaries and Consent

*21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company as of January 1, 2007.
*23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
Certifications	
*31.1 34-47551.	Section 302 Certifications as filed by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to SEC release No. 33-8212 and
*31.2 34-47551.	Section 302 Certifications as filed by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to SEC release No. 33-8212 and
*32 release No. 33-8	Section 906 Certifications as furnished by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to SEC and 34-47551.
* Filed herewith	1.
	70

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 20, 2007

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION

By: /s/THOMAS A. LEWIS

Thomas A. Lewis

Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/WILLIAM E. CLARK Date: February 20, 2007

William E. Clark

Chairman of the Board of Directors

By: /s/THOMAS A. LEWIS Date: February 20, 2007

Thomas A. Lewis

Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors,

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/KATHLEEN R. ALLEN, Ph.D. Date: February 20, 2007

Kathleen R. Allen, Ph.D.

Director

By: /s/DONALD R. CAMERON Date: February 20, 2007

Donald R. Cameron

Director

By: /s/ROGER P. KUPPINGER Date: February 20, 2007

Roger P. Kuppinger

Director

71

By: /s/MICHAEL D. MCKEE Date: February 20, 2007

Michael D. McKee

Director

By: /s/RONALD L. MERRIMAN Date: February 20, 2007

Ronald L. Merriman

Director

By: /s/WILLARD H. SMITH JR Date: February 20, 2007

Willard H. Smith Jr

Director

By: /s/PAUL M. MEURER Date: February 20, 2007

Paul M. Meurer

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/GREGORY J. FAHEY Date: February 20, 2007

Gregory J. Fahey

Vice President, Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

				Cost Capita Subseque			mount at Which of Period (Notes 2					Life on which
Description			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			and 8) Buildings, Improvements and	,-,-,-,	Accumulated	D. (P. (depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Apparel Stores												
Little Rock	AR	1,079,232	2,594,956	34,285	52,746	1,079,232	2,681,987	3,761,219	885,968		07/21/98	300
Mesa	ΑZ	619,035	867,013	None	43,447			1,529,495	294,481		02/11/99	300
Danbury	CT	1,083,296	6,217,688	40,544	6	1,083,296		7,341,534	2,328,749		09/30/97	300
Manchester	CT	1,250,464	5,917,037	3,555		1,250,464		7,171,056			03/26/98	
Manchester	CT	771,660	3,653,539	1,661	None			4,426,860	1,284,775		03/26/98	
Staten Island	NY		3,385,021	None		4,202,093		7,588,012	1,190,804		03/26/98	
Automotive Collision Ser	<u>vices</u>											
Highlands												
Ranch	CO	583,289	2,139,057	None	None	583,289		2,722,346	231,020	03/25/04	08/11/03	
Littleton	CO	601,388	2,169,898	None	None			2,771,286	-	02/02/06	11/12/04	
Parker	CO	678,768	2,100,854	None	None		2,100,854		233,641	02/20/04	07/03/03	
Thornton	CO	693,323	1,896,616	None	None			2,589,939	152,916	10/05/04	10/15/03	
Cumming	GA	661,624	1,822,363	None	None		1,822,363		235,201	09/18/03	12/31/02	
Douglasville		679,868	1,935,515	None	None			2,615,383	255,549	08/11/03	12/30/02	
Morrow	GA	725,948	1,846,315	None	None	725,948	1,846,315	2,572,263	249,187	07/07/03	08/30/02	300
Peachtree												
City		1,190,380	689,284	None		1,190,380		1,879,664	109,979	12/16/02	09/19/02	
Ham Lake	MN	192,610	1,930,958	None	None			2,123,568	157,907	07/01/04	10/31/03	
Cary	NC	610,389	1,492,235	None	None			2,102,624	37,306		05/25/06	
Durham	NC	680,969	1,323,140	None	None			2,004,109	33,079		05/25/06	
	NC	378,813	1,150,679	None	None	- 1		1,529,492	66,170	07/15/05	12/21/04	
Bartlett	TN	648,526	1,960,733	None	None	648,526	1,960,733	2,609,259	160,348	08/03/04	10/27/03	300
Automotive Parts												
Millbrook	AL	108,000	518,741	None	65	108,000	518,806	626,806	165,029	12/10/98	01/21/99	300
Montgomery	AL	254,465	502,350	None	None	254,465	502,350	756,815	171,634		06/30/98	300
Blytheville	AR	137,913	509,447	6,000	None	137,913	515,447	653,360	180,060		06/30/98	300
Osceola	AR	88,759	520,047	None	None	88,759	520,047	608,806	177,681		06/30/98	300
Wynne	AR	70,000	547,576	26,595	None	70,000	574,171	644,171	192,983	11/10/98	02/24/99	300
Phoenix	ΑZ	231,000	513,057	None	88	231,000	513,145	744,145	383,234		11/09/87	300
Phoenix	ΑZ	71,750	159,359	None	88	71,750	159,447	231,197	119,061		11/19/87	300
Phoenix	ΑZ	222,950	495,178	None	88	222,950	495,266	718,216	333,752		11/02/89	300
Tucson	ΑZ	194,250	431,434	None	176	194,250	431,610	625,860	323,752		10/30/87	300
Grass Valley	CA	325,000	384,955	None	None	325,000	384,955	709,955	279,128		05/20/88	300
Jackson	CA	300,000	390,849	None	None	300,000		690,849	281,709		05/17/88	300
Sacramento	CA	210,000	466,419	None	None	210,000	466,419	676,419	348,361		11/25/87	300
Turlock	CA	222,250	493,627	None	None			715,877			12/30/87	300
Canon City	CO	66,500	147,699	None	146	66,500	147,845	214,345	110,339		11/12/87	300
Denver	CO	141,400	314,056	None	146	141,400	314,202	455,602	234,587		11/18/87	300
Denver	CO	315,000	699,623	None	None	315,000	699,623	1,014,623	508,766		05/16/88	300
Denver	CO	283,500	629,666	None	None	283,500	629,666	913,166			05/27/88	300
Littleton	CO	252,925	561,758	None	146			814,829			02/12/88	
Smyrna	DE	232,273	472,855	None	None	232,273	472,855	705,128	158,407		08/07/98	300

Council											
Bluffs	IA	194,355	431,668	None	6	194,355	431,674	626,029	313,914	05/19/88	300
Boise	ID	158,400	351,812	None	131	158,400	351,943	510,343	255,905	05/06/88	300
Boise	ID	190,080	422,172	None	131	190,080	422,303	612,383	307,070	05/06/88	300
Coeur											
D Alene	ID	165,900	368,468	None	None	165,900	368,468	534,368	277,638	09/21/87	300

			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	•		at Close	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (Notes 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition					Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Lewiston	ID	138,950	308,612	None	None	138,950	308,612	447,562	232,537		09/16/87	300
Moscow	ID	117,250	260,417	None	None	117,250	260,417	377,667	196,222		09/14/87	300
Nampa	ID	183,743	408,101	None		183,743	408,351	592,094	296,933		05/06/88	300
Twin Falls	ID	190,080	422,172	None		190,080	422,303	612,383	307,070		05/06/88	300
Peoria	IL	193,868	387,737	None		193,868	387,967	581,835	157,190		11/26/96	300
Brazil	IN	183,952	453,831	None		183,952	453,831	637,783	141,438		03/31/99	300
Muncie	IN	148,901	645,235	None		148,901	645,880	794,781	261,492		11/26/96	300
Princeton Vincennes	IN IN	134,209 185,312	560,113 489,779	None None		134,209	560,113	694,322 675,091	174,563		03/31/99 03/31/99	300 300
Kansas City	KS	185,955	413,014	None		185,312 185,955	489,779 413,014	598,969	152,642 300,345		05/13/88	300
Kansas City	KS	222,000	455,881	None		222,000	455,881	677,881	331,479		05/16/88	300
Alma	MI	155,000	600,282	None		155,000	600,282	755,282	183,025	04/29/99	02/10/99	300
Lansing	MI	265,000	574,931	23,134		265,000	598,065	863,065	185,184	04/30/99	12/03/98	300
Sturgis	MI	109,558	550,274	None		109,558	550,274	659,832	176,982		12/30/98	300
Independence	MO		467,844	None		210,643	467,937	678,580	319,132		07/31/89	300
Kansas City		210,070	466,571	None	93	210,070	466,664	676,734	339,338		05/13/88	300
Kansas City	MO	168,350	373,910	None	93	168,350	374,003	542,353	271,955		05/26/88	300
Batesville	MS	190,124	485,670	None	None	190,124	485,670	675,794	164,318		07/27/98	300
Horn Lake		142,702	514,779	None	None	142,702	514,779	657,481	175,881		06/30/98	300
Jackson		248,483	572,522	None		248,483	572,522	821,005	163,180		11/16/99	300
Richland		243,565	558,645	None		243,565	558,645	802,210	157,366		12/21/99	300
Missoula			362,249	None		163,100	362,249	525,349	271,755		10/30/87	300
Kearney	NE	173,950	344,393	None		173,950	344,393	518,343	223,972		05/01/90	300
Omaha	NE	196,000	435,321	None		196,000	435,321	631,321	316,566		05/26/88	300
Omaha	NE NM	199,100 80,500	412,042 178,794	None None	None	199,100 80,500	412,048 178,794	611,148 259,294	298,850 134,129		05/27/88 10/29/87	300 300
Albuquerque Rio Rancho		211,577	469,923	None		211,577	469,923	681,500	346,341		02/26/88	300
Santa Fe	NM	70,000	155,473	None		70,000	155,505	225,505	116,641		10/29/87	300
Las Vegas	NV	161,000	357,585	260,000		161,000	617,585	778,585	311,589		10/29/87	300
Reno	NV	456,000	562,344	None		456,000		1,018,344	408,885		05/26/88	300
Canton		396,560	597,553	None		396,560	597,553	994,113	200,181		08/14/98	300
Hamilton	ОН	183,000	515,727	None	None	183,000	515,727	698,727	159,135	04/07/99	12/03/98	300
Hubbard	ОН	147,043	481,217	None	None	147,043	481,217	628,260	164,415		06/30/98	300
Albany		152,250	338,153	None	218	152,250	338,371	490,621	255,934		08/24/87	300
Beaverton		210,000	466,419	None	218	210,000	466,637	676,637	353,006		08/26/87	300
Oak Grove		180,250	400,336	None		180,250	400,554	580,804	302,994		08/06/87	300
Portland		190,750	423,664	None		190,750	423,882	614,632	320,649		08/12/87	300
Portland		147,000	326,493	None		147,000	326,711	473,711	247,109		08/26/87	300
Portland		210,000	466,412	None		210,000	466,630	676,630	351,456		09/01/87	300
Salem		136,500	303,170	None		136,500	303,388	439,888	229,458		08/20/87	300
Butler		339,929	633,078	5,684		339,929	638,762	978,691	217,766		08/07/98	300
Dover Enola		265,112 220,228	593,341 546,026	None None		265,112 220,228	593,341 546,026	858,453 766,254	202,724 177,465		06/30/98 11/10/98	300 300
Hanover		132,500	719,511	None		132,500	719,511	852,011	212,415	07/26/99	05/13/99	300
Harrisburg		327,781	608,291	None		327,781	608,291	936,072	207,831	01120199	06/30/98	300
Harrisburg		283,417	352,473	None		283,417	352,473	635,890	116,908		09/30/98	300
Lancaster		199,899	774,838	10,913		199,899	785,751	985,650	263,270		08/14/98	300
New Castle		180,009	525,774	3,860		180,009	529,634	709,643	183,498		06/30/98	300
Reading		379,000	658,722	10,100		379,000		1,047,822	201,217	06/09/99	12/04/98	300

		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent		amount at Which of Period (Notes 7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements					Life on which depreciation in latest Income
Description (Note 1)	1	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (in Months)
Columbia	TN	273,120	431,716	None	None	273,120	431,716	704,836	130,233		06/30/99	300
Memphis	TN	197,708	507,647	None		197,708	507,895	705,603	168,396		09/30/98	
Amarillo	TX	140,000	419,734	None		140,000	419,734	559,734	299,636		09/12/88	300
El Paso	TX	66,150	146,922	None	None		146,922	213,072	110,219		10/27/87	300
El Paso	TX TX	56,350 42,000	125,156 93,284	None None	None 98	56,350 42,000	125,156 93,382	181,506 135,382	93,890 70,035		10/27/87 10/26/87	300 300
Lubbock Lubbock	TX	49,000	108,831	None	None		108,831	157,831	81,644		10/20/87	300
Midland	TX	45,500	101,058	None	4		101,062	146,562	75,814		10/27/87	300
Provo		125,395	278,507	None		125,395	279,030	404,425	184,824		01/25/90	
Bellevue		185,500	411,997	None		185,500	412,222	597,722	311,894		08/06/87	300
Bellingham			373,133	None		168,000	373,250	541,250	282,395		08/20/87	300
Hazel Dell	WA	168,000	373,135	None	None	168,000	373,135	541,135	269,569		05/23/88	300
Kenmore	WA	199,500	443,098	None	225	199,500	443,323	642,823	335,432		08/20/87	300
Kennewick	WA	161,350	358,365	None		161,350	358,496	519,846	271,280		08/26/87	300
Kent		199,500	443,091	None		199,500	443,208	642,708	335,340		08/06/87	300
Lacey		171,150	380,125	None		171,150	380,242	551,392	287,687		08/13/87	300
		191,800	425,996	None		191,800	426,221	618,021	322,489		08/18/87	300
-		168,000	373,135 307,831	None		168,000 138,600	373,252 307,831	541,252 446,431	282,398		08/20/87 08/12/87	300 300
Moses Lake	WA	138,600	307,831	None	None	138,000	307,831	440,431	232,969		06/12/67	300
Pasco	WΔ	161,700	359,142	None	131	161,700	359,273	520,973	271,868		08/18/87	300
Puyallup		173,250	384,795	None		173,250	385,044	558,294	290,036		09/15/87	300
Redmond		196,000	435,317	None		196,000	435,542	631,542	328,101		09/17/87	300
Renton		185,500	412,003	None		185,500	412,228	597,728	310,533		09/15/87	300
Richland	WA	161,700	359,142	None	131	161,700	359,273	520,973	271,868		08/13/87	300
Seattle	WA	162,400	360,697	None	225	162,400	360,922	523,322	273,071		08/20/87	300
Silverdale	WA	183,808	419,777	None	117	183,808	419,894	603,702	316,305		09/16/87	300
Spokane		66,150	146,921	None	None		146,921	213,071	109,733		11/18/87	300
Tacoma		189,000	419,777	None		189,000	419,894	608,894	317,696		08/25/87	300
Tacoma		196,000	435,324	None		196,000	435,441	631,441	326,580		10/15/87	300
Vancouver Walla Walla		180,250 170,100	400,343 377,793	None None		180,250 170,100	400,558 377,793	580,808 547,893	302,997 285,917		08/20/87 08/06/87	300 300
Wenatchee	WA	148,400	329,602	None	None	148,400	329,602	478,002	249,446		08/25/87	300
Automotive Service												
Flagstaff	ΑZ	144,821	417,485	None	None	144,821	417,485	562,306	139,442	04/11/02	08/29/97	300
Mesa			475,072	None		210,620	475,072	685,692	87,884		05/14/02	
Phoenix		189,341	546,984	None		189,341	546,984	736,325	101,192		05/14/02	
Phoenix	ΑZ	384,608	279,824	None		384,608	279,824	664,432	51,765		05/14/02	
Sierra Vista	ΑZ	175,114	345,508	None	None	175,114	345,508	520,622	63,917		05/14/02	300
Tucson		226,596	437,972	None		226,596	437,972	664,568	81,023		05/14/02	
Bakersfield		65,165	206,927	None		65,165	206,927	272,092	38,280		05/14/02	
Chula Vista			409,654	None		313,293	409,670	722,963	174,116	05/01/96	01/19/96	
Dublin		415,620	1,153,928	None		415,620	1,153,928		213,475		05/14/02	
Folsom		471,813	325,610	None		471,813	325,610	797,423	60,236		05/14/02	
Indio		264,956	265,509	None		264,956	265,509	530,465	49,117		05/14/02	
Los Angeles		580,446	158,876	None		580,446	158,876	739,322	29,390		05/14/02	
Oxnard		186,980	198,236	None		186,980	198,236	385,216	36,672		05/14/02	
Simi Valley		213,920	161,012	None		213,920	161,012	374,932	29,785		05/14/02	
Vacaville	CA	358,067	284,931	None	None	358,067	284,931	642,998	52,710		05/14/02	300

				Cost Capitalized Subsequent Gross Amount at Which Carrie at Close of Period (Notes 2, 3, 5								Life on which
			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisit	ion	at Close	6, 7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and	, 2, 3, 3,	Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Broomfield	СО	154,930	503,626	None	450	154,930	504,076	659,006	209,365	08/22/96	03/15/96	300
Denver	CO	79,717	369,587	None	41	79,717	369,628	449,345	324,446		10/08/85	300
Thornton		276,084	415,464	None		276,084	415,669		165,500	12/31/96	10/31/96	
Hartford		248,540	482,460	None		248,540	482,460		198,613		09/30/96	
	CT	225,882 81,529	672,910	None		225,882 81,529	672,910	,	256,716 54,594		06/06/97 06/27/02	300 300
Vernon Carol City	CT FL	163,239	300,518 262,726	None None		163,239	300,518 262,726		47,729		06/27/02	
•	FL	76,585	355,066	6,980	124	76,585	362,170		309,474		12/23/85	
Lauderdale	FL	65,987	305,931	None	None		305,931		264,370		02/19/86	
Lakes		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Orange City	FL	99,613	139,008	None	None	99,613	139,008		25,714		05/14/02	300
Seminole	FL	68,000	315,266	None	124	,	315,390	383,390	274,493		12/23/85	
Sunrise	FL	80,253	372,070	None	None	80,253	372,070		321,938		02/14/86	
Tampa	FL	70,000	324,538	None	162	70,000	324,700		282,572		12/27/85	
Tampa	FL	67,000	310,629	None	124		310,753	,	270,457		12/27/85	
Tampa Atlanta	FL GA	86,502 55,840	401,041 258,889	None None	141 130		401,182 259,019		340,178 226,242		07/23/86 11/27/85	
Bogart	GA	66,807	309,733	None	None		309,733		269,619		12/20/85	
Douglasville			129,519	None		214,771	129,519		23,959		05/14/02	
Duluth		222,275	316,925	None		222,275	317,076		114,122	10/24/97	06/20/97	
Duluth	GA	290,842	110,056	None	None	290,842	110,056		20,358		05/14/02	300
Gainesville	GA	53,589	248,452	None	None	53,589	248,452		216,274		12/19/85	
Kennesaw		266,865	139,425	None		266,865	139,425		25,792		05/14/02	
Marietta	GA	60,900	293,461	None	124		293,585		255,521		12/26/85	
Marietta	GA	69,561	346,024	None	356		346,380		295,106		06/03/86	
Norcross Riverdale	GA GA	244,124 58,444	151,831 270,961	None None	None	244,124 58,444	151,831 270,961		28,087 235,011		05/14/02 01/15/86	
Rome	GA	56,454	261,733	None	None		261,733		227,835		12/19/85	
Snellville		253,316	132,124	None		253,316	132,124		24,441		05/14/02	
Tucker	GA	78,646	364,625	None	5,237	78,646	369,862		318,360		12/18/85	
Arlington	IL	441,437	215,983	None	-	441,437	215,983		39,955		05/14/02	300
Hts												
Chicago	IL	329,076	255,294	None		329,076	255,294		47,227		05/14/02	
Round Lake	IL	472,132	236,585	None	None	472,132	236,585	708,717	43,766		05/14/02	300
Beach	TT	121 220	104.012	N	NT	121 220	104.012	(0(051	24 100		05/14/00	200
Westchester		421,239	184,812	None		421,239	184,812		34,188		05/14/02	200
Anderson Indianapolis	IN IN	232,170 231,384	385,661 428,307	None None		232,170 231,384	385,824 428,307		139,487		09/27/96	
Michigan	IN	392,638	297,650	(3,065		392,638	294,585		55,064		05/14/02	
City		-,-,		(2,332		,	_, ,,,,,,,,,	,				
Warsaw	IN	140,893	228,116	None	None	140,893	228,116	369,009	42,200		05/14/02	300
Olathe	KS	217,995	367,055	None		217,995	367,055		141,313	04/22/97	11/11/96	
Louisville	KY	56,054	259,881	None		56,054	259,945		226,240		12/17/85	
Newport		323,511	289,017	None		323,511		612,528	107,363		09/17/97	
Billerica		399,043	462,240	None		399,043	462,240		179,405		04/02/97	
East	MA	191,302	340,539	None	None	191,302	340,539	531,841	62,998		05/14/02	300
Falmouth East	МΔ	149,680	278,669	None	None	149,680	278,669	428 349	51,551		05/14/02	300
Wareham	1417 1	142,000	270,007	Trone	Tione	147,000	270,007	720,577	31,331		03/14/02	300
Fairhaven	MA	138,957	289,294	None	None	138,957	289,294	428,251	53,517		05/14/02	300
Gardner		138,990	289,361	None		138,990	289,361		53,529		05/14/02	
Hyannis		180,653	458,522	None		180,653	458,522	639,175	83,298		06/27/02	
Lenox		287,769	535,273	None		287,769	535,273		166,815		03/31/99	
Newburyport			466,449	None		274,698	466,449		84,738		06/27/02	
North	MA	180,546	351,161	None	None	180,546	351,161	531,707	64,962		05/14/02	300
Reading												

	Initial C	ost to Company Buildings,	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent		amount at Which of Period (Notes 7 and 8) Buildings,					Life on which depreciation in latest
D	4	Improvements				Improvements		A 1.4.1			Income
Descrip	tion	and		C		and		Accumulated	D-46	D-4-	Statement
(Note	Land	Acquisition		Carrying	Land	Acquisition	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of	Date	is Computed
1)	Land	Fees	Improvements	Costs	Land	Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Construction	Acquirea	(in Months)
OrleMotes	138,212	394,065	None	None	138,212	394,065	532,277	72,900		05/14/02	300
	en223,617	225,605	None		223,617	225,605	449,222	40,985		06/27/02	
	547,173	219,979	(12,319		547,173	207,660	754,833	40,692		05/14/02	
Heights	,	,	(,)		,	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,			
Clin 1/161D	70,880	328,620	None	459	70,880	329,079	399,959	287,620		11/15/85	300
Lex M D	on 11,396	335,288	(7,600	None	111,396	327,688	439,084	62,025		05/14/02	300
Park)								
	zoi91,745	296,975	(2,196)		391,745	294,779	686,524	54,939		05/14/02	
	402,409	286,441	(2,112)		402,409	284,329	686,738	52,990		05/14/02	
	1275,952	350,765	None		275,952	350,765	626,717	64,890		05/14/02	
	214,893	199,299	None		214,893	199,299	414,192	36,869		05/14/02	
	000,83100	268,903	None None	333 Nana	58,000 203,338	269,236	327,236	234,245 46,984		12/18/85	
Cloud	203,338	258,626	None	None	203,336	258,626	461,964	40,984		06/27/02	300
	d 29 0€641	233,152	None	None	297,641	233,152	530,793	93,649		12/20/96	300
	le441,746	242,565	None		441,746	242,565	684,311	44,872		05/14/02	
	e 508,100	457,295	None		508,100	457,295	965,395	66,308		05/27/03	
	1 237,688	357,976	None		237,688	363,644	601,332	123,718		11/05/97	
Dur N an	354,676	361,203	3,400	351	354,676	364,954	719,630	135,582	08/29/97	03/31/97	300
Dur N an	55,074	255,336	None	121	55,074	255,457	310,531	223,398		11/13/85	300
Fay M @v	⁄i ⊉ 24,326	257,733	None	None	224,326	257,733	482,059	93,196		12/03/97	300
Gre N Sb	o286,068	244,606	None	None	286,068	244,606	530,674	45,244		05/14/02	300
Mat N hew	vs295,580	338,472	10,000	16,251	295,580	364,723	660,303	125,452	08/28/98	02/27/98	
	e 254,460	355,630	None		254,460	355,781	610,241	132,255	08/28/97	04/16/97	
_	218,294	319,334	3,905		218,294	324,395	542,689	117,447	08/01/02	06/20/97	
RaleNigh		413,301	None	94		413,395	502,540	362,418		10/28/85	
_	398,694	263,621	None		398,694	263,621	662,315 386,206	97,065		10/01/97	300
	y235,614 337,138	150,592 316,958	None None		235,614 337,138	150,592 316,958	654,096	27,857 58,634		05/14/02 05/14/02	
	448,936	238,773	None		448,936	238,773	687,709	44,169		05/14/02	
	rol 82,013	312,480	None		182,013	312,480	494,493	56,767		06/27/02	
	n422,477	291,555	None		422,477	291,555	714,032	53,934		05/14/02	
Square	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,				
•	n265,238	298,167	None	None	265,238	298,167	563,405	55,157		05/14/02	300
Townsh	ip										
Ran M dlp	h452,629	390,163	None	None	452,629	390,163	842,792	72,178		05/14/02	300
	ld705,337	288,720	None		705,337	288,720	994,057	53,409		05/14/02	
	ir 2 12,788	320,283	None		212,788	320,283	533,071	59,249		05/14/02	
	326,879	359,101	None	None	326,879	359,101	685,980	66,432		05/14/02	300
Vegas	316,441	369,768	Mon-	Non-	316,441	369,768	686,209	60 105		05/14/02	300
Vegas	310,441	309,708	None	None	310,441	309,708	000,209	68,405		05/14/02	300
	252,169	562,715	None	None	252,169	562,715	814,884	104,100		05/14/02	300
Vegas	202,107	302,713	Tronc	1,10110	202,107	302,713	011,007	104,100		05/14/02	200
	326,813	306,311	None	None	326,813	306,311	633,124	56,665		05/14/02	300
	170,589	317,424	None		170,589	317,424	488,013	98,922		03/31/99	
DanNVIII	le181,664	337,991	None	None	181,664	337,991	519,655	105,332		03/31/99	300
EastNY	260,708	484,788	None	None	260,708	484,788	745,496	151,084		03/31/99	300
Amherst											
	250,609	466,264	None	None	250,609	466,264	716,873	145,308		03/31/99	300
Syracus					0.45 ***					00.10	
	242,863	451,877	None	None	242,863	451,877	694,740	140,824		03/31/99	300
City	11471 221	200.221	NT.	»,	161 221	200.221	161 562	02.564		02/21/00	200
	11d 61,331	300,231	None		161,331	300,231	461,562	93,564		03/31/99	
Amherst	268,692 t	499,619	None	none	268,692	499,619	768,311	155,706		03/31/99	300

Akr O rH 139,126	460,334	None	None 139,126	460,334 599,460	171,053		09/18/97	300
Bea@H 349,091	251,127	None	None 349,091	251,127 600,218	23,020		09/17/04	300
Creek								
Bea@Hicre205,000	492,538	None	None 205,000	492,538 697,538	192,910	02/13/97	09/09/96	300
Can@1H 443,751	825,491	None	None 443,751	825,491 1,269,242	131,748		08/21/02	300
Winchester								
Cen@Hville05,000	420,448	None	None 305,000	420,448 725,448	175,888	07/24/96	06/28/96	300

				Cost Capita Subseque			amount at Which of Period (Notes					Life on which
		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Cincinnati	ОН	211,185	392,210	None	None	211,185	392,210	603,395	49,026		11/03/03	300
Cincinnati		305,556	244,662	None		305,556	244,662	550,218	22,427		09/17/04	
Cincinnati		589,286	160,932	None		589,286	160,932	750,218	14,752		09/17/04	300
Cincinnati		159,375	265,842	None		159,375	265,842	425,217	24,368		09/17/04 12/20/04	300
Cincinnati Cinncinati		350,000 293,005	300,217 201,340	None None		350,000 293,005	300,217 201,340	650,217 494,345	24,518 74,761		09/17/97	300 300
Cleveland		215,111	216,517	None		215,111	216,517	431,628	39,334		06/27/02	300
Columbus	ОН	71,098	329,627	None	195	71,098	329,822	400,920	289,470		10/02/85	300
Columbus	ОН	75,761	351,247	None	168	75,761	351,415	427,176	308,075		10/24/85	300
Columbus	ОН	190,000	260,162	None	None	190,000	260,162	450,162	23,848		09/17/04	300
Columbus	OH	214,737	85,425	None		214,737	85,425	300,162	7,830		09/17/04	300
Columbus		432,110	386,553	None		432,110	386,553	818,663	56,049		05/27/03	300
Columbus		466,696	548,133	None		466,696		1,014,829	79,478		05/27/03	300
Columbus		337,679	272,484	None		337,679	272,484	610,163	24,977		09/17/04 09/17/04	300
Columbus Columbus		371,429 245,036	278,734 470,468	None None		371,429 245,036	278,734 470,468	650,163 715,504	25,550 207,790		12/22/95	300 300
Cuyahoga Falls		253,750	271,400	None		253,750	271,400	525,150	24,878		09/17/04	
Dayton	ОН	70,000	324,538	None	271	70,000	324,809	394,809	284,668		10/31/85	300
Dublin	ОН	437,887	428,046	None	None	437,887	428,046	865,933	62,065		05/27/03	300
Eastlake	ОН	321,347	459,774	None	None	321,347	459,774	781,121	203,067		12/22/95	300
Fairfield		323,408	235,024	None		323,408	235,024	558,432	87,292		09/17/97	300
Fairlawn		280,000	270,150	None		280,000	270,150	550,150	24,763		09/17/04	300
Findlay		283,515 252,608	397,004	None		283,515	397,004	680,519	143,587	02/21/07	12/24/97	300
Hamilton Huber Heights		282,000	413,279 449,381	None None		252,608 282,000	413,279 449,381	665,887 731,381	157,732 179,003	03/31/97 12/03/96	10/04/96 07/18/96	
Lima	ОН	241,132	114,085	None	None	241,132	114,085	355,217	10,457		09/17/04	300
Marion	ОН	100,000	275,162	None	None	100,000	275,162	375,162	22,472		12/20/04	300
Mason	ОН	310,990	405,373	None	None	310,990	405,373	716,363	58,778		05/27/03	300
Miamisburg	OH		296,701	None		63,996	296,701	360,697	260,450		10/08/85	300
Middleburg Hghts		317,308	307,842	None		317,308	307,842	625,150	28,218		09/17/04	
Milford		353,324	269,997	None		353,324	269,997	623,321	100,308		09/18/97	300
Mt. Vernon Northwood		216,115 65,978	375,357 263,912	None None		216,115 65,978	375,357 265,091	591,472 331,069	135,754 264,254		12/30/97 09/12/86	300 180
Norwalk		200,205	366,000	None		200,205	366,000	566,205	132,369		12/19/97	300
Parma		268,966	381,184	None		268,966	381,184	650,150	34,942		09/17/04	
Reynoldsburg			497,371	None		267,750	497,371	765,121	45,592		09/15/04	
Reynoldsburg			176,162	None		374,000	176,162	550,162	16,148		09/17/04	
S. Euclid	ОН	337,593	451,944	None	None	337,593	451,944	789,537	65,532		05/27/03	300
Sandusky	OH	264,708	404,011	None		264,708	404,011	668,719	146,121		12/19/97	
Solon		794,305	222,797	None		794,305		1,017,102	32,306		05/27/03	
Springboro		191,911	522,902	None		191,911	522,902	714,813	204,644		03/07/97	
Springfield		320,000	280,217	None		320,000	280,217	600,217	25,686		09/17/04	
Springfield		189,091	136,127	None		189,091	136,127	325,218	12,478		09/17/04	
Stow Toledo		310,000 120,000	415,150 230,217	None None		310,000 120,000	415,150 230,217	725,150 350,217	38,055 21,103		09/17/04 09/17/04	
Toledo		250,000	175,217	None		250,000	175,217	425,217	16,061		09/17/04	
Toledo		320,000	280,217	None		320,000	280,217	600,217	25,686		09/17/04	
Toledo		250,000	530,217	None		250,000	530,217	780,217	48,603		09/17/04	
Toledo		91,655	366,621	None		91,655	367,800	459,455	366,963		09/12/86	

		Cost Capitalized Subsequent				Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (Notes 2, 3, 5, 6,						Life on which
			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi	tion		7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Toledo	ОН	73,408	293,632	None	1,179	73,408	294,811	368,219	293,973		09/12/86	180
West Chester		446,449	768,644	None		446,449	, .	1,215,093	105,433	06/27/03	03/11/03	300
Zanesville		125,000	300,162	None		125,000	300,162	425,162	27,514	00/07/00	09/17/04	300
Midwest City		106,312	333,551	None		106,312	333,551 295,422	439,863 439,077	111,821 102,920	08/06/98	08/08/97 07/29/97	300 300
The Village Portland		143,655 251,499	295,422 345,952	None None		143,655 251,499	345,952	597,451	58,811	03/06/98	09/26/02	300
Salem		337,711	253,855	None		337,711	253,855	591,566	46,961		05/14/02	300
Bethel Park		299,595	331,264	None		299,595	331,264	630,859	119,815		12/19/97	300
Bethlehem		275,328	389,067	None		275,328	389,067	664,395	140,719		12/19/97	300
Bethlehem		229,162	310,526	None		229,162	310,526	539,688	112,303		12/24/97	300
Bridgeville		275,000	375,150	None		275,000	375,150	650,150	34,388		09/17/04	300
Coraopolis	PA	225,000	375,150	None	None	225,000	375,150	600,150	34,388		09/17/04	300
Harrisburg	PA	131,529	220,317	(2,515)	None	131,529	217,802	349,331	40,755		05/14/02	300
Monroeville		275,000	250,150	None		275,000	250,150	525,150	22,930		09/17/04	300
Philadelphia		858,500	877,744	None		858,500	/ -	1,736,244	476,886	05/19/95	12/05/94	300
Pittsburgh		378,715	685,374	None		378,715		1,064,089	114,583	08/22/02	01/17/02	300
Pittsburgh		175,000	300,150	None		175,000	300,150	475,150	27,513		09/17/04	300
Pittsburgh Pittsburgh		121,429 219,938	303,721 408,466	None None		121,429 219,938	303,721 408,466	425,150 628,404	27,841 51,058		09/17/04 11/03/03	300 300
Pittsburgh		243,750	406,400	None		243,750	406,400	650,150	37,253		09/17/04	300
Pittsburgh		208,333	416,817	None		208,333	416,817	625,150	38,208		09/17/04	300
Warminster		323,847	216,999	(3,929)		323,847	213,070	536,917	40,141		05/14/02	300
Wexford		284,375	240,775	None		284,375	240,775	525,150	22,071		09/17/04	300
York		249,436	347,424	None		249,436	347,424	596,860	125,653		12/30/97	300
Charleston		217,250	294,079	None	151	217,250	294,230	511,480	110,351	07/14/97	03/13/97	300
Columbia	SC	267,622	298,594	None	6,822	267,622	305,416	573,038	106,486	03/31/98	11/05/97	300
Greenville		221,946	315,163	None		221,946	323,847	545,793	119,524	09/05/97	03/31/97	300
Lexington			342,182	None		241,534	342,726	584,260	105,924		09/24/98	300
North Charleston		174,980	341,466	None		174,980	356,785	531,765	125,152	08/06/98	03/12/98	300
Brentwood		305,546	505,728	None		305,546	505,728	811,274	181,211	03/13/98	05/28/97	300
Hendersonville			327,096	None		175,764	327,096	502,860	51,790		01/21/03	300
Hermitage		204,296	172,695	None		204,296	172,695	376,991	31,946	10/15/01	05/14/02	300
Hermitage Madison		560,443 175,769	1,011,799 327,068	None None		560,443 175,769	1,011,799 327,068	502,837	178,547 51,786	10/15/01	05/09/01 01/21/03	300 300
Memphis		108,094	217,079	None		108,094	217,079	302,837	40,157		05/14/02	300
Memphis		214,110	193,591	None		214,110	193,591	407,701	35,811		05/14/02	300
Memphis		215,017	216,794	None		215,017	216,794	431,811	39,384		06/27/02	300
Murfreesboro		150,411	215,528	None		150,411	215,528	365,939	39,871		05/14/02	300
Nashville		342,960	227,440	None		342,960	227,440	570,400	84,481		09/17/97	300
Carrollton		174,284	98,623	None	None	174,284	98,623	272,907	18,243		05/14/02	300
Carrolton	TX	177,041	199,088	None	None	177,041	199,088	376,129	36,829		05/14/02	300
Dallas		234,604	325,951	None		234,604	325,951	560,555	135,270	08/09/96	02/19/96	300
Fort Worth	TX	83,530	111,960	None		83,530	111,960	195,490	20,711		05/14/02	300
Houston		285,000	369,697	None		285,000	369,697	654,697		08/08/97	08/08/97	300
Humble		257,169	325,652	None		257,169	325,652	582,821	60,244		05/14/02	300
Lake Jackson		197,170	256,376	None		197,170	256,376	453,546		00/00/06	05/14/02	300
Lewisville		199,942	324,736	None		199,942	324,736	524,678		08/02/96	02/14/96	300
Lewisville		130,238	207,683	None		130,238	207,683	337,921	37,729		06/27/02	300
San Antonio	IΛ	198,828	437,422	None	None	198,828	437,422	636,250	197,569		09/15/95	300

			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisit	nt		mount at Which f Period (Notes 2 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Richmond	VA	403,549	876,981	None	None	403,549	876,981	1.280,530	102,716	07/08/04	10/17/02	300
Roanoke	VA	349,628	322,545	None	203	349,628	322,748	672,376	116,691		12/19/97	300
Warrenton	VA	186,723	241,173	None	None	186,723	241,173	427,896	44,613		05/14/02	300
Bremerton	WA	261,172	373,080	None	None	261,172	373,080	634,252	150,705	03/19/97	07/24/96	
Milwaukee	WI	173,005	499,244	None	None	173,005	499,244	672,249	220,499		12/22/95	
Milwaukee	WI	152,509	475,480	None	None	152,509	475,480	627,989	195,739		09/27/96	
New Berlin	WI	188,491	466,268	None	None	188,491	466,268	654,759	205,935		12/22/95	
Racine	WI	184,002	114,167	None	None	184,002	114,167	298,169	21,119		05/14/02	300
Automotive '	<u>Tire</u>											
Athens	AL	760,031	1,413,296	None	None	760,031	1,413,296		7,066		11/22/06	
Auburn	AL	660,210	1,227,914	None	None	660,210	1,227,914		6,139		11/22/06	
Birmingham		635,111	1,180,712	None	None	635,111	1,180,712		5,903		11/22/06	
Daphne	AL	876,139	1,628,925	None	None	876,139	1,628,925		8,144		11/22/06	
Decatur	AL	635,111	1,181,302 1,617,159	None	None	635,111	1,181,302		5,906		11/22/06	
Foley Gardendale	AL AL	870,031 580,205	1,078,888	None None	None None	870,031 580,205	1,617,159 1,078,888		8,085 5,394		11/22/06 11/22/06	
Hoover	AL	504,396	938,101	None	None	504,396		1,442,497	4,690		11/22/06	
Hoover	AL	620,270	1,153,295	None	None	620,270	1,153,295		5,766		11/22/06	
Huntsville	AL	499,843	929,665	None	None	499,843		1,429,508	4,648		11/22/06	
Huntsville	AL	635,111	1,181,302	None	None	635,111	1,181,302		5,906		11/22/06	
Madison	AL	635,111	1,181,302	None	None	635,111	1,181,302		5,906		11/22/06	
Mobile	AL	635,111	1,181,302	None	None	635,111	1,181,302	1,816,413	5,906		11/22/06	300
Mobile	AL	525,750	977,612	None	None	525,750	977,612	1,503,362	4,887		11/22/06	300
Orange Beach	AL	630,244	1,171,839	None	None	630,244	1,171,839	1,802,083	5,858		11/22/06	300
Pelham	AL	635,111	1,180,712	None	None	635,111	1,180,712	1,815,823	5,903		11/22/06	300
Phenix City	AL	630,244	1,171,826	None	None	630,244	1,171,826	1,802,070	5,858		11/22/06	
Tucson	ΑZ	178,297	396,004	None	338	178,297	396,342	574,639	262,758		01/19/90	
Arvada	CO	301,489	931,092	None	None	301,489	· ·	1,232,581	228,155	09/22/00	11/18/99	
Aurora	CO	221,691	492,382	None	None	221,691	492,382	714,073	326,431	01/02/01	01/29/90	
Aurora	CO	353,283	1,135,051	None	None	353,283	1,135,051		262,990	01/03/01	03/10/00	
Colorado Springs	CO	280,193	622,317	None	None	280,193	622,317	902,510	412,573		01/23/90	
Colorado Springs	СО	192,988	433,542	None	None	192,988	433,542	626,530	242,641		05/20/93	300
Denver	CO	688,292	1,331,224	None	None	688,292	1,331,224		212,771	01/10/03	05/30/02	
Westminster Destin		526,620 1,034,411	1,099,523 1,922,393	None	None	526,620 1,034,411	1,099,523 1,922,393		254,757 9,611	01/12/01	01/18/00 11/22/06	
	FL FL	635,111	1,180,835	None None	None	635,111	1,180,835		5,903		11/22/06	
	FL	635,111	1,180,835	None	None	635,111	1,180,835	1,815,946	5,903		11/22/06	300
Lakeland	FL	500,000	645,402	None	None	500,000	645,402	1,145,402	214,247	06/04/98	12/31/97	300
Milton	FL	635,111	1,180,947	None	None	635,111	1,180,947		5,904		11/22/06	
Niceville	FL	920,803	1,711,423	None	None	920,803	1,711,423		8,556		11/22/06	
Orlando	FL	635,111	1,180,878	None	None	635,111	1,180,878		5,904		11/22/06	
Orlando	FL	630,244	1,171,826	None	None	630,244	1,171,826		5,858		11/22/06	
Oviedo	FL	971,996	1,806,583	None	None	971,996	1,806,583		9,032		11/22/06	
Pace	FL	630,244	1,171,795	None	None	630,244	1,171,795		5,858		11/22/06	
Panama City Bch	FL	635,111	1,180,878	None	None	635,111	1,180,878		5,904		11/22/06	300
Pensacola	FL	308,067	573,511	None	None	308,067	573,511	881,578	2,867		11/22/06	
Pensacola	FL	635,111	1,180,865	None	None	635,111	1,180,865		5,904		11/22/06	
Pensacola	FL	588,305	1,093,933	None	None	588,305	1,093,933	1,682,238	5,469		11/22/06	300

at Close of Period (Notes 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 Initial Cost to Company to Acquisition and 8) Buildings, Improvements Improvements and Description (Note 1) Acquisition Acquisition Acquisition Acquisition Carrying Acquisition Acquisition Acquisition Fees Total (Note 4) Construction Acquired	300 300 300 300 300
	(in Months) 300 300 300 300 300 300
	300 300 300 300
Sanford FL 630,244 1,171,826 None None 630,244 1,171,826 1,802,070 5,858 11/22/06	300 300 300
St. Cloud FL 525,207 976,770 None None 525,207 976,770 1,501,977 4,883 11/22/06	300 300
Tallahassee FL 419,902 781,191 None None 419,902 781,191 1,201,093 3,905 11/22/06	300
Tallahassee FL 611,916 1,137,788 None None 611,916 1,137,788 1,749,704 5,688 11/22/06 Tampa FL 427,395 472,030 None None 427,395 472,030 899,425 156,716 06/10/98 12/05/97	
Union Park FL 1,004,103 1,866,089 None None 1,004,103 1,866,089 2,870,192 9,330 11/22/06	500
Alpharetta GA 630,244 1,171,672 None None 630,244 1,171,672 1,801,916 5,858 11/22/06	300
Columbus GA 630,244 1,171,790 None None 630,244 1,171,790 1,802,034 5,858 11/22/06	
Conyers GA 635,111 1,180,829 None None 635,111 1,180,829 1,815,940 5,903 11/22/06	300
Conyers GA 531,935 1,180,296 None None 531,935 1,180,296 1,712,231 221,974 03/28/02 11/13/01	300
Duluth GA 638,509 1,186,594 None None 638,509 1,186,594 1,825,103 148,320 11/29/03	
Hiram GA 635,111 1,180,819 None None 635,111 1,180,819 1,815,930 5,903 11/22/06	
Kennesaw GA 519,903 966,982 None None 519,903 966,982 1,486,885 4,834 11/22/06	
Lawrenceville GA 635,111 1,180,940 None None 635,111 1,180,940 1,816,051 5,904 11/22/06 Marietta GA 500,293 930,459 None None 500,293 930,459 1,430,752 4,652 11/22/06	
Mcdonough GA 635,111 1,180,835 None None 635,111 1,180,835 1,815,946 5,903 11/22/06	
Norcross GA 503,773 936,905 None None 503,773 936,905 1,440,678 4,684 11/22/06	
Peachtree GA 625,316 1,162,629 None None 625,316 1,162,629 1,787,945 5,812 11/22/06	
City	
Roswell GA 515,617 958,940 None None 515,617 958,940 1,474,557 4,794 11/22/06	
Sandy Springs GA 586,211 1,090,043 None None 586,211 1,090,043 1,676,254 5,449 11/22/06	
Stockbridge GA 632,128 1,175,280 None None 632,128 1,175,280 1,807,408 5,876 11/22/06	
Aurora IL 513,204 953,885 None None 513,204 953,885 1,467,089 119,232 11/29/03 Joliet IL 452,267 840,716 None None 452,267 840,716 1,292,983 105,085 11/29/03	
Joliet IL 452,267 840,716 None None 452,267 840,716 1,292,983 105,085 11/29/03 Niles IL 366,969 682,306 None None 366,969 682,306 1,049,275 85,284 11/29/03	
Orland Park IL 663,087 1,232,240 None None 663,087 1,232,240 1,895,327 154,026 11/29/03	
Vernon Hills IL 524,948 975,668 None None 524,948 975,668 1,500,616 121,955 11/29/03	
Village of IL 428,170 795,965 None 2,000 428,170 797,965 1,226,135 99,667 11/29/03	
Lombar	
West Dundee IL 530,835 986,628 None None 530,835 986,628 1,517,463 123,324 11/29/03	
Overland Park KS 1,101,841 2,047,067 None None 1,101,841 2,047,067 3,148,908 255,879 11/29/03	
Allston MA 576,505 1,071,520 None None 576,505 1,071,520 1,648,025 133,935 11/29/03 Shrewsbury MA 721,065 1,339,913 None None 721,065 1,339,913 2,060,978 167,485 11/29/03	
Shrewsbury MA 721,065 1,339,913 None None 721,065 1,339,913 2,060,978 167,485 11/29/03 Waltham MA 338,955 630,279 None None 338,955 630,279 969,234 78,781 11/29/03	
Weymouth MA 752,234 1,397,799 None None 752,234 1,397,799 2,150,033 174,721 11/29/03	
Woburn MA 676,968 1,258,018 None None 676,968 1,258,018 1,934,986 157,248 11/29/03	
Annapolis MD 780,806 1,450,860 None None 780,806 1,450,860 2,231,666 181,353 11/29/03	
Bowie MD 734,558 1,364,970 None None 734,558 1,364,970 2,099,528 170,617 11/29/03	
Capital MD 701,705 1,303,958 None None 701,705 1,303,958 2,005,663 162,991 11/29/03	300
Heights	
Germantown MD 808,296 1,501,913 None None 808,296 1,501,913 2,310,209 187,735 11/29/03	
Waldorf MD 427,033 793,854 None None 427,033 793,854 1,220,887 99,228 11/29/03	
Eagan MN 902,443 845,536 None 300 902,443 845,836 1,748,279 283,500 02/20/98	
Ferguson MO 386,112 717,856 None None 386,112 717,856 1,103,968 89,728 11/29/03 Grandview MO 347,150 711,024 None None 347,150 711,024 1,058,174 235,856 08/20/98 02/20/98	
Independence MO 721,020 1,339,829 None None 721,020 1,339,829 2,060,849 167,475 11/29/03	
Charlotte NC 181,662 338,164 None None 181,662 338,164 519,826 42,266 11/29/03	
Matthews NC 489,063 909,052 None None 489,063 909,052 1,398,115 113,627 11/29/03	
Omaha NE 253,128 810,922 None None 253,128 810,922 1,064,050 236,567 07/22/99 03/04/99	
Manchester NH 722,532 1,342,636 None None 722,532 1,342,636 2,065,168 167,825 11/29/03	
Newington NH 690,753 1,283,624 None None 690,753 1,283,624 1,974,377 160,449 11/29/03	
Salem NH 597,833 1,111,059 None None 597,833 1,111,059 1,708,892 138,878 11/29/03	300

				Cost Capita Subseque			mount at Which f Period (Notes 2					Life on which
		Initial Co	st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi	tion		and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statemen
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Compute (in Month
Deptford	NJ	619,376	1,151,062	None	None	619,376	1,151,062	1,770,438	143,879		11/29/03	300
Maple Shade	NJ	508,285	944,750	None	None	508,285		1,453,035	118,090		11/29/03	300
Akron	OH	242,133	450,467	None	None	242,133	450,467	692,600	56,304		11/29/03	300
Cambridge	OH	103,368	192,760	None	7	103,368	192,767	296,135	24,093		11/29/03	300
Canton Cleveland	OH OH	337,161 582,107	626,948	None	None	337,161 582,107	626,948	964,109	78,364		11/29/03	300 300
Columbus	ОН	385,878	1,081,848 717,422	None None	None None	385,878	1,081,848	1,103,300	135,227 89,674		11/29/03 11/29/03	300
Oklahoma City		509,370	752,691	None	None	509,370		1,262,061	227,233	04/14/99	09/24/98	300
Oklahoma City		404,815	771,625	None	None	404,815		1,176,440	232,929	04/09/99	10/16/98	300
Greensburg	PA	594,891	1,105,589	None	None	594,891	1,105,589		138,195		11/29/03	300
Lancaster	PA	431,050	801,313	None	None	431,050		1,232,363	100,160		11/29/03	300
Mechanicsburg	PA	455,854	847,377	None	None	455,854	847,377	1,303,231	105,918		11/29/03	300
Monroeville	PA	723,660	1,344,733	None	None	723,660	1,344,733	2,068,393	168,087		11/29/03	300
Philadelphia	PA	334,939	622,821	None	None	334,939	622,821	957,760	77,849		11/29/03	300
Pittsburgh	PA	384,756	715,339	None	None	384,756		1,100,095	89,413		11/29/03	300
York	PA	389,291	723,760	None	None	389,291		1,113,051	90,466		11/29/03	300
Columbia	SC	343,785	295,001	183,130	25,941	343,785	504,072		175,119	05/27/97	02/07/97	300
Sioux Falls	SD	332,979	498,108	None	None	332,979	498,108		166,880	06/01/99	02/27/98	300
Goodlettsville	TN	601,306	1,117,504	None	None	601,306		1,718,810	139,684		11/29/03	300
Arlington Austin	TX TX	599,558 185,454	1,114,256 411,899	None None	None None	599,558 185,454	1,114,256 411,899		139,278 271,771		11/29/03 02/06/90	300 300
Austin	TX	710,485	1,320,293	None	None	710,485	1,320,293		165,032		11/29/03	300
Austin	TX	590,828	1,098,073	None	None	590,828	1,098,073		137,254		11/29/03	300
Austin	TX	569,909	1,059,195	None	None	569,909	1,059,195		132,395		11/29/03	300
Austin	TX	532,497	989,715	None	None	532,497		1,522,212	123,710		11/29/03	300
Carrollton	TX	568,401	1,056,394	None	None	568,401	1,056,394	1,624,795	132,045		11/29/03	300
Conroe	TX	396,068	736,346	None	None	396,068	736,346	1,132,414	92,039		11/29/03	300
Dallas	TX	191,267	424,811	None	15,209	191,267	440,020	631,287	287,210		01/26/90	300
Fort Worth	TX	543,950	1,010,984	None	None	543,950		1,554,934	126,369		11/29/03	300
Garland	TX	242,887	539,461	None	None	242,887	539,461	782,348	357,642		01/19/90	300
Harlingen	TX	134,599	298,948	None	None	134,599	298,948	433,547	198,192		01/17/90	300
Houston	TX	151,018	335,417	None	141	151,018	335,558	486,576	222,446		01/25/90	300
Houston	TX	392,113 1,030,379	729,002	None	None	392,113 1,030,379	,	1,121,115 2,944,732	91,121		11/29/03	300 300
Houston Houston	TX TX	619,101	1,914,353 1,150,551	None None	None	619,101	1,150,551		239,290 143,815		11/29/03 11/29/03	300
Houston	TX	642,495	1,193,997	None	None	•	1,193,997		149,246		11/29/03	300
Houston	TX	872,866	1,621,829	None	None		1,621,829		202,725		11/29/03	300
Humble	TX	612,414	1,138,132	None	None		1,138,132		142,262		11/29/03	300
Leon Valley	TX	178,221	395,834	None	None	178,221		574,055	262,423		01/17/90	300
Leon Valley	TX	529,967	985,046	None	None	529,967	985,046	1,515,013	123,126		11/29/03	300
Mesquite	TX	591,538	1,099,363	None	None	591,538	1,099,363	1,690,901	137,416		11/29/03	300
N. Richland Hills	TX	509,861	947,707	None	None	509,861	947,707	1,457,568	118,459		11/29/03	300
Pasadena	TX	107,391	238,519	None	141	107,391	238,660	346,051	158,207		01/24/90	300
Plano	TX	187,564	417,157	700	None	187,564	417,857		276,388		01/18/90	300
Plano	TX	494,407	918,976	None	None	494,407	,	1,413,383	114,868		11/29/03	300
Richardson	TX	555,188	1,031,855	None	None		1,031,855		128,978		11/29/03	300
San Antonio	TX	245,164	544,518	None	None	245,164	544,518	- 1	359,273		02/14/90	300
San Antonio	TX	688,249	1,278,967	None	None	688,249		1,967,216	159,867		11/29/03	300
Stafford	TX	706,786	1,313,395	None	None	706,786	1,313,395	2,020,181	164,170		11/29/03	300

				Cost Capita Subseque			Amount at Which of Period (Notes					Life on which
Description			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition	to Acquisi	tion Carrying		7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition	_, _, _, _, _,	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of	Date	depreciation in latest Income Statement is Computed
(Note 1)		Land	Fees	Improvements	Costs	Land	Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Construction		_
Waco	TX	401,999	747,362	None	None	401,999	747,362	1,149,361	93,416		11/29/03	300
Webster	TX	600,261	1,115,563	None	None	600,261	1,115,563	1,715,824	139,441		11/29/03	300
Bountiful		183,750	408,115	None		183,750	408,258	592,008	270,679		01/30/90	300
Alexandria		542,791	1,008,832	None		542,791	1,008,832		126,100		11/29/03	300
Alexandria		592,698	1,101,517	None		592,698	1,101,517		137,685		11/29/03	300
Lynchburg Woodbridge		342,751	637,329 1,439,806	None None		342,751 774,854	637,329 1,439,806	980,080	79,662 179,972		11/29/03 11/29/03	300 300
Tacoma		187,111	415,579	None		187,111	415,687	602,798	275,600		01/25/90	300
Brown Deer			802,141	None		257,408		1,059,549	258,084	12/15/98	07/16/98	300
Delafield		324,574	772,702	None		324,574		1,097,276	224,717	07/29/99	02/26/99	300
Madison		452,630	811,977	None		452,630		1,264,607	266,655	10/20/98	04/07/98	300
Oak Creek	WI	420,465	852,408	None	None	420,465	852,408	1,272,873	279,933	08/07/98	03/20/98	300
Book Stores												
Tampa		998,250	3,696,707	None		998,250	3,696,707		1,447,810		03/11/97	300
Matthews	NC	768,222	843,401	21,654	501	768,222	865,556	1,633,778	274,629		12/31/98	300
Business												
Services												
Jackson	MI	550,162	571,590	None	602	550,162	572,192	1,122,354	180,653	01/15/99	09/25/98	300
Child Care		62.000	205.701		06	62.000	205.007	250 (07	271.062		10/21/04	200
Birmingham		63,800	295,791	None	96		295,887	359,687	271,862		10/31/84	300
Mobile Avondale	AL	78,400 242,723	237,671 1,129,139	25,000 None	411	78,400 242,723	263,082 1,129,139	341,482	240,208 340,710	04/20/99	10/15/82 07/28/98	180 300
Chandler		291,720	647,923	None		291,720	648,025	939,745	481,851	04/20/99	12/11/87	300
Chandler		271,695	603,446	None		271,695	603,560	875,255	448,826		12/11/87	300
Mesa		308,951	1,025,612	None		308,951	1,025,612		299,174	07/26/99	01/13/99	300
Peoria		281,750	625,779	None		281,750	625,920	907,670			03/30/88	300
Phoenix	ΑZ	318,500	707,397	None	97	318,500	707,494	1,025,994	505,292		09/29/88	300
Phoenix	ΑZ	264,504	587,471	None	88	264,504	587,559	852,063	380,246		06/29/90	300
Phoenix		260,719	516,181	None		260,719	516,376	777,095	324,417		12/26/90	300
Phoenix		115,000	285,172	None		115,000	285,525	400,525	285,378		02/08/84	180
Scottsdale		291,993	648,529	None		291,993	648,529	940,522	482,239		12/14/87	300
Tempe		292,200	648,989	None		292,200	648,989	941,189	476,188		03/10/88	300
Tucson		304,500	676,303	None		304,500	676,545	981,045 830,513	483,127		09/28/88	300
Tucson Calabasas		283,500 156,430	546,878 725,248	None None		283,500 156,430	547,013 725,537	881,967	390,683 638,225		09/29/88 09/26/85	300 300
Carmichael		131,035	607,507	None		131,035	607,507	738,542	513,245		08/22/86	
Chino		155,000	634,071	None		155,000		789,154	634,136		10/06/83	180
Chula Vista		350,563	778,614	None		350,563		1,129,177	584,106		10/30/87	300
Corona		144,856	671,584	None		144,856		816,531	613,201		12/19/84	300
El Cajon		157,804	731,621	None	122	157,804	731,743	889,547	636,883		12/19/85	300
Encinitas		320,000	710,729	None		320,000		1,030,729	528,490		12/29/87	300
Escondido		276,286	613,638	None		276,286	613,638	889,924	456,294		12/31/87	300
Folsom	CA	281,563	625,363	None	199	281,563	625,562	907,125	469,829		10/23/87	300
Mission	C 4	252 001	744 267	10.500	20 102	252 001	777 050	1 120 041	447.000		06/04/02	200
Viejo Morano	CA	353,891	744,367	12,500	20,183	353,891	///,050	1,130,941	447,802		06/24/93	300
Moreno Valley	CA	304,489	676,214	None	None	304,489	676,214	980,703	525,303		02/11/87	300
Oceanside		145,568	674,889	11,000		145,568	707,994	853,562	589,341		12/23/85	300
Secanside	CA	175,500	077,009	11,000	22,103	173,300	101,734	055,502	307,341		12123103	500

		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements	Cost Capit Subsequ to Acquisi	ent		amount at Which of Period (Notes 7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements					Life on which depreciation in latest Income
Description (Note 1)		Land	and Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (in Months)
Palmdale	CA	249,490	554,125	9,864	None	249,490	563,989	813,479	398,563		09/14/88	300
Rancho Cordova	CA	276,328	613.733	24,967	None	276,328	638,700	915,028	431.037		03/22/89	300
Rancho	CA	270,326	015,755	24,907	None	270,326	038,700	913,026	431,037		03122169	300
Cucamonga	CA	471,733	1,047,739	None	None	471,733	1,047,739	1,519,472	779,088		12/30/87	300
Roseville		297,343	660,411	27,496	199	297,343	688,106	985,449	501,328		10/21/87	300
Sacramento	CA	290,734	645,732	None	None	290,734	645,732	936,466	484,419		10/05/87	300
Santee		248,418	551,748	None		248,418	551,763	800,181	419,407		07/23/87	300
Simi Valley		208,585	967,055	None	-	208,585	1,042,730		843,122		12/20/85	300
Valencia		301,295	669,185	25,000		301,295	694,185	995,480	488,308		06/23/88	300
Walnut		217,365	1,007,753	None		217,365	1,007,901		851,417		08/22/86	300
Aurora Aurora		287,000 141,811	637,440 657,497	None None		287,000 141,811	637,595 657,643	924,595 799,454	474,114 566,103		12/31/87 03/25/86	300 300
Broomfield		155,306	344,941	25,000		155,306	370,021	525,327	257,819		03/25/80	300
Broomfield		107,000	403,080	10,338		107,000	426,536	533,536	413,561		01/12/83	180
Colorado	00	107,000	103,000	10,550	15,110	107,000	120,330	333,330	113,301		01/12/03	100
Springs	CO	115,542	535,700	None	146	115,542	535,846	651,388	446,267		12/04/86	300
Colorado												
Springs	CO	58,400	271,217	25,000	159	58,400	296,376	354,776	275,415		12/22/82	180
Englewood		131,216	608,372	None		131,216	608,518	739,734	506,804		12/05/86	300
Fort Collins		117,105	542,950	None		117,105	543,096	660,201	467,482		03/25/86	300
Fort Collins		137,734	638,593	None		137,734	638,751	776,485	549,829		03/25/86	300
Fort Collins	CO	- 1	256,356	None	3,600		259,956	315,156	259,596		12/22/82	180
Greeley Littleton	CO	58,400 161,617	270,755 358,956	25,000 None	382	58,400 161,617	296,137 359,394	354,537 521,011	252,896 267,172		11/21/84 12/10/87	300 300
Longmont		115,592	535,931	None		115,592	536,077	651,669	461,439		03/25/86	300
Louisville	CO		269,313	None		58,089	269,751	327,840	250,761		06/22/84	300
Parker		153,551	341,042	None		153,551	341,480	495,031	256,390		10/19/87	300
Westminster		306,387	695,737	None		306,387		1,002,279	488,926		09/27/89	300
Bradenton		160,060	355,501	25,000	134	160,060	380,635	540,695	265,415		05/05/88	300
Clearwater	FL	42,223	269,380	None	124	42,223	269,504	311,727	269,438		12/22/81	180
Jacksonville	FL	184,800	410,447	22,872	124	184,800	433,443	618,243	287,965		03/30/89	300
Jacksonville	FL	48,000	243,060	None	233	48,000	243,293	291,293	243,245		12/22/81	180
Margate	FL	66,686	309,183	None	184		309,367	376,053	257,184		12/16/86	300
Melbourne		256,439	549,345	None		256,439	549,345	805,784	315,627		04/16/93	300
Niceville	FL	73,696	341,688	None		73,696	341,688	415,384	284,627		12/03/86	300
Orlando		68,001	313,922	None		68,001	314,231	382,232	276,617		09/04/85	300
Orlando Orlando		159,177	353,538	None		159,177	353,857	513,034	268,867		07/02/87	300
Orlando Oviedo		190,050 166,409	422,107 369,598	None None		190,050 166,409	422,231 369,917	612,281 536,326	293,378 276,178		03/30/89 11/20/87	300 300
Panama City		69,500	244,314	14,500		69,500	260,927	330,320	250,777		06/15/82	180
Pensacola		147,000	326,492	None		147,000	326,588	473,588	226,929		03/28/89	300
Royal Palm Beach		194,193	431,309	25,000		194,193	456,443	650,636	307,714		11/15/88	300
Spring Hill		146,939	326,356	None		146,939	326,494	473,433	243,857		11/24/87	300
St. Augustine			213,040	None		44,800	213,174	257,974	213,147		12/22/81	180
Sunrise		245,000	533,280	None		245,000	534,606	779,606	369,429		05/25/89	300
Tampa	FL	53,385	199,846	None		53,385	199,980	253,365	199,953		12/22/81	180
Duluth	GA	310,000	1,040,008	None	None	310,000	1,040,008	1,350,008	299,918	08/25/99	06/07/99	300
Ellenwood		119,678	275,414	None		119,678	275,572	395,250	195,041		11/16/88	300
Lawrenceville			314,161	3,766		141,449	331,804	473,253	236,387		07/07/88	300
Lithia Springs		-	363,358	None		187,444	363,598	551,042	247,221		12/28/89	300
Lithonia		239,715	524,459	None		239,715	524,815	764,530	340,001		08/20/91	300
Marietta	GA	292,250	649,095	None	177	292,250	649,272	941,522	457,423		12/02/88	300

and and Accumulated Description Acquisition Carrying Acquisition Depreciation	Date of I		in latest Income
(Note 1) Land Fees Improvements Costs Land Fees Total (Note 4) Co	onstruction Acc		Statement is Computed (in Months)
Marietta GA 295,750 596,299 None 177 295,750 596,476 892,226 420,229	12	2/30/88	300
Marietta GA 301,000 668,529 None 177 301,000 668,706 969,706 471,114	12	2/30/88	300
Marietta GA 148,620 330,090 25,000 178 148,620 355,268 503,888 241,178		9/16/88	300
Smyrna GA 274,750 610,229 None 100 274,750 610,329 885,079 431,934		1/15/88	300
Stockbridge GA 168,700 374,688 24,894 93 168,700 399,675 568,375 265,123 Stone	03	3/28/89	300
Mountain GA 65,000 301,357 None 719 65,000 302,076 367,076 268,449		6/19/85	300
Cedar Rapids IA 194,950 427,085 None None 194,950 427,085 622,035 259,020		9/24/92	300
Iowa City IA 186,900 408,910 None None 186,900 408,910 595,810 249,641		9/24/92	300
Johnston IA 186,996 347,278 None None 186,996 347,278 534,274 209,602		8/19/91	300
Addison IL 125,780 583,146 None 241 125,780 583,387 709,167 502,128 Algonquin IL 241,500 509,629 None 20,382 241,500 530,011 771,511 331,438		3/25/86 7/10/90	300 300
Algonquin IL 241,500 509,629 None 20,382 241,500 530,011 771,511 331,438 Aurora IL 165,679 398,738 None 406 165,679 399,144 564,823 281,231		2/21/88	300
Aurora IL 468,000 1,259,926 None None 468,000 1,259,926 1,727,926 354,970		6/14/99	300
Bartlett IL 120,824 560,166 None 241 120,824 560,407 681,231 482,342		3/25/86	300
Carol Stream IL 122,831 586,416 None 241 122,831 586,657 709,488 504,943		3/25/86	300
Crystal Lake IL 400,000 1,259,424 None None 400,000 1,259,424 1,659,424 359,017 Elk Grove	09/28/99 05	5/14/99	300
Village IL 126,860 588,175 None 241 126,860 588,416 715,276 506,458	03	3/26/86	300
Glendale Heights IL 318,500 707,399 None None 318,500 707,399 1,025,899 500,640	11	1/16/88	300
Hoffman Estates IL 318,500 707,399 None None 318,500 707,399 1,025,899 491,526	03	3/31/89	300
Lake in the			
Hills IL 375,000 1,127,678 None None 375,000 1,127,678 1,502,678 321,466		5/14/99	300
Lockport IL 189,477 442,018 None 557 189,477 442,575 632,052 331,939		0/29/87	300
Naperville IL 425,000 1,230,654 None None 425,000 1,230,654 1,655,654 346,717 O Fallon IL 141,250 313,722 None 468 141,250 314,190 455,440 235,720		5/19/99 0/30/87	300 300
Oswego IL 380,000 1,165,818 None 1,182 380,000 1,167,000 1,547,000 337,143		6/30/99	300
Palatine IL 121,911 565,232 None 241 121,911 565,473 687,384 486,704		3/25/86	300
Roselle IL 297,541 561,037 None None 297,541 561,037 858,578 395,246		2/30/88	300
Schaumburg IL 218,798 485,955 None 406 218,798 486,361 705,159 361,675		2/17/87	300
Vernon Hills IL 132,523 614,430 None 241 132,523 614,671 747,194 529,062	03	3/25/86	300
Westmont IL 124,742 578,330 None 241 124,742 578,571 703,313 497,981	03	3/25/86	300
Carmel IN 217,565 430,742 None 289 217,565 431,031 648,596 270,815		2/27/90	300
Fishers IN 212,118 419,958 None 453 212,118 420,411 632,529 264,041		2/27/90	300
Highland IN 220,460 436,476 None 226 220,460 436,702 657,162 274,408		2/26/90	300
Indianapolis IN 245,000 544,153 None 154 245,000 544,307 789,307 352,220 Noblesville IN 60,000 278,175 None 289 60,000 278,464 338,464 250,345		6/29/90 4/30/85	300 300
Lenexa KS 318,500 707,399 14,200 4,208 318,500 725,807 1,044,307 497,543		3/31/89	300
Olathe KS 304,500 676,308 None 169 304,500 676,477 980,977 483,118		9/28/88	300
Overland		,, 2 0,00	200
Park KS 357,500 1,115,171 None None 357,500 1,115,171 1,472,671 325,295	07/23/99 05	5/14/99	300
Shawnee KS 315,000 699,629 None 200 315,000 699,829 1,014,829 497,507	10	0/27/88	300
Shawnee KS 288,246 935,875 None None 288,246 935,875 1,224,121 294,841	12/29/98 08	8/24/98	300
Wichita KS 209,890 415,549 2,843 171 209,890 418,563 628,453 261,481		2/26/90	300
Wichita KS 108,569 401,829 None 167 108,569 401,996 510,565 322,937		2/16/86	300
Lexington KY 210,427 420,883 None None 210,427 420,883 631,310 264,997		8/20/91	300
Acton MA 315,533 700,813 None None 315,533 700,813 1,016,346 500,514		9/30/88	300
Marlborough MA 352,765 776,488 None 387 352,765 776,875 1,129,640 549,780		1/04/88	300
Westborough MA 359,412 773,877 None 333 359,412 774,210 1,133,622 547,914		1/01/88	300
Ellicott City MD 219,368 630,839 26,550 None 219,368 657,389 876,757 447,899 Frederick MD 203,352 1,017,109 None None 203,352 1,017,109 1,220,461 344,122		2/19/88 7/06/98	300 300
Olney MD 342,500 760,701 None None 342,500 760,701 1,103,201 565,649		2/18/87	300
Waldorf MD 130,430 604,702 None 453 130,430 605,155 735,585 557,542		9/26/84	300

				Cost Capita Subseque			mount at Which					Life on which
		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi	tion		7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and	, - , - , - ,	Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Waldorf	MD	237,207	526,844	None	399	237,207	527,243	764,450	391,915		12/31/87	300
Canton	MI	55,000	378,848	None	None	55,000	378,848	433,848	378,848		10/06/82	180
Apple		112.522	506 210	NT.	400	112 502	526 917	640.240	452 200		02/26/06	200
Valley Brooklyn	IVIIN	113,523	526,319	None	498	113,523	526,817	640,340	453,380		03/26/86	300
Park	MN	118,111	547,587	None	498	118,111	548,085	666,196	471,691		03/26/86	300
Eagan		112,127	519,845	None		112,127	520,343	632,470	447,806		03/31/86	300
Eden Prairie			576,243	None		124,286	576,741	701,027	496,363		03/27/86	300
Maple Grove		-	660,149	None		313,250	660,338	973,588	427,889		07/11/90	300
Plymouth White Bear	MN	134,221	622,350	None	6/3	134,221	623,023	757,244	518,901		12/12/86	300
Lake	MN	242,165	537,856	None	189	242,165	538,045	780,210	344,810		08/30/90	300
Florissant		318,500	707,399	None		318,500		1,026,129	491,683		03/30/89	300
Florissant		181,300	402,672	None	230	181,300	402,902	584,202	279,948		03/29/89	300
Gladstone		294,000	652,987	None		294,000	653,314	947,314	466,544		09/29/88	300
Lee s Summ			532,220	None		239,627	532,389	772,016	359,155	07/06/00	09/27/89	300
Lee s Summ Lee s Summ			993,787 939,367	None None		330,000 313,740		1,323,787 1,253,107	289,884 270,898	07/26/99 09/08/99	06/17/99 06/30/99	300 300
Liberty	MO		303,211	25,000	169		328,380	393,780	275,028	07/00/77	06/18/85	300
North		,	,	,			,		,			
•		307,784	910,401	None		307,784		1,218,185	292,325	09/28/99	08/21/98	300
Pearl		121,801	270,524	18,837		121,801	301,648	423,449	199,397		11/15/88	300
Charlette	NC	75,200	262,973	None	322	75,200 134,582	263,295	338,495	263,247		01/25/84	180 300
Charlotte Charlotte	NC	134,582 27,551	268,222 247,000	24,478 None	228		292,858 247,228	427,440 274,779	194,218 247,228		11/16/88 12/23/81	180
Concord	NC	32,441	190,859	None	151	32,441	191,010	223,451	190,980		12/23/81	180
Durham	NC	175,700	390,234	26,312	94	175,700	416,640	592,340	276,448		03/29/89	300
Durham		220,728	429,380	None		220,728	429,481	650,209	292,567		12/29/89	300
Durham		238,000	471,201	None		238,000	471,295	709,295	284,443		08/20/91	300
Kernersville Bellevue	NC NE	162,216 60,568	316,300 280,819	None None	167	162,216 60,568	316,393 280,986	478,609 341,554	215,764 233,589		12/14/89 12/16/86	300 300
Omaha	NE	60,500	280,491	None	146		280,637	341,334	260,205		08/01/84	300
Omaha	NE	53,000	245,720	None	146		245,866	298,866	226,082		10/11/84	300
Omaha	NE	142,867	317,315	None	167	142,867	317,482	460,349	235,973		12/09/87	300
Londonderry			745,082	None		335,467		1,080,603	505,810		08/18/89	300
Clementon		279,851	554,060	None		279,851	554,459	834,310	332,856		09/09/91	300
Las Vegas Sparks		201,250 244,752	446,983 543,605	None None		201,250 244,752	446,983 543,605	648,233 788,357	289,284 402,430		06/29/90 01/29/88	300 300
Beavercreek		179,552		None		179,552	398,937	578,489	304,468		06/30/87	300
Centerville		174,519		None		174,519	387,764	562,283	294,648		07/23/87	300
Dublin	OH			None		84,000	389,622	473,622	342,004		10/08/85	300
Englewood		74,000		None		74,000	343,413	417,413	300,996		10/23/85	300
Forest Park Huber	OH	170,778	379,305	None	151	170,778	379,456	550,234	286,232		09/28/87	300
Heights	ОН	245,000	544,153	None	222	245,000	544,375	789,375	347,177		09/27/90	300
Loveland		206,136		None		206,136	457,980	664,116	354,132		03/20/87	300
Maineville		173,105		None		173,105	384,619	557,724	297,389		03/06/87	300
Pickerington				None		87,580	406,231	493,811	338,386		12/11/86	300
Westerville		294,350		None		294,350	646,672	941,022	415,485		09/26/90	300
Westerville Broken	OH	82,000	380,173	None	344	82,000	380,517	462,517	333,974		10/08/85	300
Arrow	OK	78,705	220,434	None	1.700	78,705	222,134	300,839	221,850		01/27/83	180
Midwest	J11	. 0, 103	220, 134	Tione	2,700	. 0,703	222,134	200,007	221,030		01,27703	100
City Oklahoma	OK	67,800	314,338	None	403	67,800	314,741	382,541	278,832		08/14/85	300
City	OK	79,000	366,261	17,659	461	79,000	384,381	463,381	341,591		11/14/84	300

Oklahoma											
City	OK	50,800	214,474	None	3,013	50,800	217,487	268,287	217,487	06/15/82	180
-											
						E 14					
						F-14					

				Cost Capita Subseque			amount at Which					Life on which
Description			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition		Carrying		7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition		Accumulated Depreciation	Date of	Date	depreciation in latest Income Statement is Computed
(Note 1)		Land	Fees	Improvements	Costs	Land	Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Construction	Acquired	(in Months)
Yukon	OK	61,000	282,812	27,000	379	61,000	310,191	371,191	258,794		05/02/85	300
Beaverton		135,148	626,647	None		135,148	626,865	762,013	521,220		12/17/86	
Charleston		125,593	278,947	None		125,593	279,098	404,691	202,971		05/26/88	300
Charleston		140,700	312,498	25,000		140,700	337,607	478,307	221,817		03/28/89	300
Columbia	SC	58,160	269,643	None	1,296	58,160	270,939	329,099	248,387		11/14/84	300
Elgin		160,831	313,600	None		160,831	313,663	474,494	213,896		12/14/89	300
Goose Creek Mt. Pleasant		61,635 40,700	192,905	None None	292 63	61,635 40,700	193,197	254,832	193,197 180,435		12/22/81 12/22/81	180 180
Summerville		44,400	180,400 174,500	None	63	44,400	180,463 174,563	221,163 218,963	174,535		12/22/81	180
Sumter	SC	56,010	268,903	None	1,007	56,010	269,910	325,920	239,760		06/18/85	300
Memphis		238,263	504,897	None		238,263	505,616	743,879	360,984		09/29/88	300
Memphis		238,000	528,608	None		238,203	529,327	767,327	377,920		09/30/88	300
Memphis		221,501	491,962	None		221,501	492,306	713,807	315,398		08/31/90	300
Nashville		274,298	609,223	None		274,298	609,319	883,617	423,380		03/30/89	300
Arlington		238,000	528,604	None		238,000	528,844	766,844	377,630		09/26/88	300
Arlington		241,500	550,559	None		241,500	563,948	805,448	407,668		09/22/89	300
Arlington		82,109	380,677	None		82,109	380,731	462,840	347,958		12/13/84	300
Arlington	TX	195,650	387,355	None	None	195,650	387,355	583,005	241,000		02/07/91	300
Atascocita	TX	278,915	1,034,868	None	None	278,915	1,034,868	1,313,783	301,867	07/19/99	05/14/99	300
Austin		236,733	640,023	36,746	24,331	236,733	701,100	937,833	395,603		09/27/88	300
Austin	TX	134,383	623,103	None	642	134,383	623,745	758,128	518,603		12/23/86	300
Austin	TX	238,000	528,604	None	99	238,000	528,703	766,703	365,673		04/06/89	300
Austin		191,636	425,629	15,530		191,636	441,269	632,905	303,618		12/22/88	300
Austin		217,878	483,913	29,469		217,878	513,481	731,359	337,720		06/22/89	300
Austin		103,600	230,532	8,750		103,600	254,696	358,296	244,612		10/29/82	180
Austin	TX	88,872	222,684	None	459	88,872	223,143	312,015	222,896		01/12/83	180
Bedford		241,500	550,559	None		241,500	550,559	792,059	405,091		09/22/89	300
Carrollton		277,850	617,113	None		277,850	617,113	894,963	458,879		12/11/87	300
Cedar Park		168,857	375,036	5,200		168,857	380,335	549,192	,	00/17/00	11/21/88	300
Colleyville		250,000 217,000	1,070,360	None		250,000 217,000	1,070,360 481,973	698,973	308,665 344,222	08/17/99	05/14/99 09/28/88	300 300
Converse		208,641	481,963 463,398	None None		208,641	463,518	672,159	344,222		12/11/87	300
Coppell Corinth		285,000	1,041,626	None		285,000	1,041,626		307,274	06/04/99	05/19/99	300
Duncanville			431,172	11,610	10,919	93,000	453,701	546,701	398,233	00/04/99	05/08/85	300
Euless		234,111	519,962	None		234,111	519,962	754,073	398,702		05/08/83	300
Flower	121	234,111	317,702	Tronc	TTOHE	254,111	317,702	754,075	370,702		03/00/07	300
Mound	TX	202,773	442,845	None	79	202,773	442,924	645,697	341,069		04/20/87	300
Flower		,	,			,	,,	,	2 ,			
Mound	TX	281,735	1,099,726	None	None	281,735	1,099,726	1,381,461	331,723	04/23/99	01/13/99	300
Fort Worth		238,000	528,608	None		238,000	528,608	766,608	377,528		09/26/88	
Fort Worth		85,518	396,495	24,625		85,518	421,236	506,754	334,358		12/03/86	
Fort Worth	TX	210,007	444,460	None		210,007	444,460	654,467	295,407		02/01/90	300
Fort Worth	TX	216,160	427,962	None	None	216,160	427,962	644,122	266,264		02/07/91	300
Garland	TX	211,050	468,749	None	124	211,050	468,873	679,923	312,264		12/12/89	300
Grand												
Prairie		167,164	371,276	None		167,164	373,937	541,101	263,103		12/13/88	
Houston		219,100	486,631	None		219,100	486,755	705,855			09/30/88	
Houston		219,100	486,628	None		219,100	486,769	705,869			11/16/88	
Houston		60,000	278,175	None		60,000	278,472	338,472			05/01/85	
Houston		102,000	472,898	None		102,000	473,176	575,176			05/01/85	300
Houston		139,125	308,997	None		139,125	309,481	448,606			05/22/87	300
Houston	TX	141,296	313,824	None	362	141,296	314,186	455,482	238,741		07/24/87	300

				Cost Capita Subseque			amount at Which of Period (Notes					Life on which
		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Houston	TX	294,582	919,276	None	None	294,582	919,276	1,213,858	286,556	01/11/99	08/14/98	300
Houston		149,109	323,314	None	13,986	149,109	337,300	486,409	231,811		06/26/89	300
Katy		309,898	983,041	None		309,898		1,292,939	312,960	11/30/98	08/21/98	300
Lewisville		192,777	428,121	None		192,777	428,200	620,977	332,980		01/07/87	300
Mansfield		181,375	402,839	None		181,375	402,963	584,338	268,360		12/20/89	300
Mesquite	TX	85,000	394,079	None	132		394,211	479,211	362,207		10/24/84	300
Mesquite		139,466	326,525	None		139,466	326,604	466,070	208,367		10/08/92	300
Pasadena	TX	60,000	278,173	None	295		278,468	338,468	255,835		10/23/84	300
Plano Plano		261,912 250,514	581,658 556,399	None None		261,912 250,514	581,861	843,773 806,913	453,841 413,732		01/06/87 12/10/87	300 300
Plano		259,000	575,246	None		259,000	556,399 575,486	834,486	410,941		09/27/88	300
Round Rock		80,525	373,347	None		80,525	373,788	454,313	310,712		12/16/86	300
Round Rock			413,957	30,800		186,380	444,856	631,236	291,519		04/19/89	300
San Antonio			606,596	None		130,833	606,735	737,568	522,366		03/24/86	300
San Antonio			520,831	None		234,500	521,333	755,833	387,564		12/29/87	300
San Antonio		217,000	481,967	None		217,000	482,228	699,228	342,739		10/14/88	300
San Antonio			447,108	None		220,500	447,369	667,869	310,751		03/30/89	300
San Antonio			475,288	None		102,512	475,589	578,101	396,036		12/03/86	300
San Antonio		81,530	378,007	None	139		378,146	459,676	314,992		12/11/86	300
San Antonio	TX	139,125	308,997	None	401	139,125	309,398	448,523	237,135		05/22/87	300
San Antonio	TX	181,412	402,923	None	502	181,412	403,425	584,837	306,554		07/07/87	300
San Antonio	TX	182,868	406,155	18,940	110	182,868	425,205	608,073	291,384		12/06/88	300
Southlake	TX	228,279	511,750	None	None	228,279	511,750	740,029	308,664		03/10/93	300
Sugar Land	TX	339,310	1,000,876	None	None	339,310	1,000,876	1,340,186	298,593	05/30/99	01/13/99	300
Layton		136,574	269,008	None		136,574	269,151	405,725	182,836		02/01/90	300
Sandy	UT	168,089	373,330	None	143	168,089	373,473	541,562	246,438		02/01/90	300
Centreville		371,000	824,003	None		371,000		1,195,097	556,789		09/29/89	300
Chesapeake		190,050	422,107	24,568		190,050	446,769	636,819	298,036		03/28/89	300
Glen Allen		74,643	346,060	None	94		346,154	420,797	321,935		06/20/84	300
Portsmouth		171,575	381,073	24,932		171,575	406,208	577,783	272,728		12/21/88	300
Richmond		269,500	598,567	None		269,500	598,863	868,363	415,983		03/28/89	300
Richmond	VA	71,001	327,771	None	7,947	71,001	335,718	406,719	294,932		09/04/85	300
Virginia	3.7.A	(0.000	220.270	NT.	050	(0.000	221 222	200 202	204.242		11/15/04	200
Beach	VA	69,080	320,270	None	952	69,080	321,222	390,302	294,242		11/15/84	300
Woodbridge	٧A	338,030	795,239	None	None	358,050	195,239	1,153,289	567,953		09/29/88	300
Federal Way	XX/ A	150 795	600 101	None	225	150 795	699,326	950 111	501 550		12/17/96	200
Way Federal	WA	150,785	699,101	None	223	150,785	099,320	850,111	581,558		12/17/86	300
Way	WΔ	261,943	581,782	27,500	None	261,943	609,282	871,225	415,474		11/21/88	300
W ay Kent		140,763	678,809	None		140,763	679,034	819,797	564,681		12/17/86	300
Kent		128,300	539,141	None		128,300	561,354	689,654	552,842		06/03/83	180
Kirkland		301,000	668,534	None		301,000	668,642	969,642	490,615		03/31/88	300
Puyallup		195,552	434,327	27,000		195,552	461,327	656,879	310,895		12/06/88	300
Redmond		279,830	621,513	None		279,830	621,738	901,568	472,522		07/27/87	300
Renton		111,183	515,490	None		111,183	515,598	626,781	443,902		03/24/86	
Appleton		196,000	424,038	None		196,000	424,220	620,220	275,242		07/10/90	
Waukesha		233,100	461,500	None		233,100	461,682	694,782	290,093		12/13/90	
Waukesha		215,950	427,546	None		215,950	427,728	643,678	268,757		12/13/90	
Consumer Electronics												
Tuscaloosa	AL	204,790	585,115	None	1,166	204,790	586,281	791,071	237,098		11/26/96	
Bradenton	FL	174,948	240,928	None	169	174,948	241,097	416,045	97,700		11/26/96	300

		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements	Cost Capit: Subseque to Acquisi	ent		amount at Which of Period (Notes and 8) Buildings, Improvements					Life on which depreciatio in latest Income
Description (Note 1)		Land	and Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Compute (in Months
Mary Esther	FL	149,696	363,263	None	134	149,696	363,397	513,093	147,229		11/26/96	300
Melbourne	FL	269,697		None	1,639		524,053				11/26/96	
Merritt	•	207,07.	J==,	11022	1,00,	20,,0,	52.,000	175,155	2.2,		11/20//	200
Island	FL	309,652	482,459	None	134	309,652	482,593	792,245	195,503		11/26/96	300
Ocala	FL	339,690		None	134		543,638				11/26/96	300
Tallahassee	FL	319,807	502,697	None	1,634	319,807	504,331	824,138	204,475		11/26/96	300
Smyrna	GA			None		1,094,058	3,090,647				06/09/97	
Anderson	IN	180,628	,	None	949		654,111	834,739			11/26/96	
Richmond	IN	93,999		None			193,889				11/26/96	
Gulfport	MS	299,464		None	275		502,601	802,065			11/26/96	
Jackson	MS	405,360		None	292		656,588				11/26/96	
Meridian	MS	181,156		None		181,156		697,029			11/26/96	
Tupelo	MS NC	121,697 567,864		None	290 36,071	121,697 567,864	637,981 876,355	759,678 1,444,219			11/26/96	
Pineville Lakewood	NY	144,859		None None			526,723		·		12/31/98 11/26/96	
Westbury		6,333,590	/	None		6,333,590		10,286,363			09/29/97	
Defiance	OH	97,978		None				700,009			11/26/96	
Vienna	WV		526,670	None			527,482				11/26/96	
Convenience Stores		1.40.000	201 (27	V	XI.	140,000	201 (27	521 (27	42.720		02/10/04	200
Daphne Mobile	AL	140,000 190,000		None							03/18/04	
Mobile	AL AL	180,000		None None			301,637 421,637	,			03/18/04 03/18/04	
Florence	AZ	150,000		None	None		371,637				03/18/04	
Gilbert	AZ		,	None	None		1,111,637		124,130		03/18/04	
Litchfield			,									
Park	AZ	610,000		None	None		531,637				03/18/04	
Marana	AZ			None			331,637	511,637			03/18/04	
Marana	AZ	330,000		None			911,637				03/18/04	
Maricopa	AZ	170,000 560,000		None	None		361,637 821,637	531,637 1,381,637	40,380		03/18/04	
Mesa Mesa	AZ AZ	750,000	,	None None			821,637 1,071,637				03/18/04 03/18/04	
Mesa	AZ	810,000		None	None		1,061,637				03/18/04	
Mesa	AZ	890,000		None			1,081,637		120,780		03/18/04	
Mesa	AZ			None	None		1,071,637	, ,			03/18/04	
Mesa	AZ			None			1,191,637		133,063		03/18/04	
Payson	ΑZ	210,000		None							03/18/04	
Payson	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Peoria	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Phoenix	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Phoenix	ΑZ			None	None	360,000	421,637	781,637	47,080		03/18/04	300
Phoenix	ΑZ			None	None	710,000			66,063		03/18/04	300
Phoenix	ΑZ	320,000		None	None						03/18/04	300
Phoenix	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Phoenix	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Phoenix	AZ			None							03/18/04	
Phoenix	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Phoenix	AZ			None							03/18/04	
Pinetop Queen	AZ	·		None		·					03/18/04	
Creek	ΑZ			None							03/18/04	
Scottsdale	ΑZ	210,000	201,637	None	None	210,000	201,637	411,637	22,513		03/18/04	300

				Cost Capita Subseque			mount at Which					Life on which
			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Scottsdale	ΑZ	660,000	1,031,637	None	None	660,000	1,031,637	1,691,637	115,197		03/18/04	300
Sierra Vista	ΑZ	110,000	301,637	None	None	110,000	301,637	411,637	33,680		03/18/04	300
Tempe	ΑZ	620,000	1,071,637	None	None	620,000	1,071,637	1,691,637	119,663		03/18/04	300
Tempe		270,000	461,637	None		270,000	461,637	731,637	51,547		03/18/04	300
Tolleson		460,000	1,231,637	None		460,000	1,231,637		137,530		03/18/04	300
Tombstone		110,000	381,637	None		110,000	381,637	491,637	42,613		03/18/04	300
Tucson		220,000	311,637	None		220,000	311,637	531,637	34,797		03/18/04	300
Tucson Tucson		240,000 550,000	341,637 511,637	None None		240,000 550,000	341,637	581,637 1,061,637	38,147 57,130		03/18/04 03/18/04	300 300
Tucson		126,000	234,565	None		126,000	234,565	360,565	25,411		04/14/04	300
Wellton		120,000	291,637	None		120,000	291,637	411,637	32,563		03/18/04	300
Wickenburg			291,637	None		150,000	291,637	441,637	32,563		03/18/04	300
Manchester			305,510	None		118,262	305,510	423,772	144,099		03/03/95	300
Vernon		179,646	319,372	None		179,646	319,372	499,018	150,637		03/09/95	300
Westbrook	CT		373,340	None		98,247	373,340	471,587	176,092		03/09/95	300
Camden	DE	113,811	174,435	None	None	113,811	174,435	288,246	26,449		03/19/03	300
Camden	DE	250,528	379,165	None	None	250,528	379,165	629,693	57,499		03/19/03	300
Dewey	DE	147,465	224,665	None		147,465	224,665	372,130	34,067		03/19/03	300
Dover	DE	278,804	421,707	None		278,804	421,707	700,511	63,952		03/19/03	300
Dover		367,137	554,207	None		367,137	554,207	921,344	84,047		03/19/03	300
Dover		367,425	554,884	None		367,425	554,884	922,309	84,150		03/19/03	300
Felton		307,260	464,391	None		307,260	464,391	771,651	70,425		03/19/03	300
Harrington		563,812	849,220	None		563,812		1,413,032	128,791		03/19/03	300
Milford		310,049	468,575	None		310,049	468,575	778,624 1,476,813	71,060		03/19/03	300 300
Newcastle Smyrna		589,325 121,774	887,488 186,436	None None		589,325 121,774	186,436	308,210	134,595 28,269		03/19/03 03/19/03	300
Smyrna		401,135	605,332	None		401,135		1,006,467	91,801		03/19/03	300
Townsend		241,416	365,749	None		241,416	365,749	607,165	55,465		03/19/03	300
		280,682	424,525	None		280,682	424,525	705,207	64,379		03/19/03	300
Archer		296,238	578,145	None		296,238	578,196	874,434	176,374		05/07/99	300
Bushnell		130,000	291,637	None	None	130,000	291,637	421,637	32,563		03/18/04	300
Clearwater	FL	359,792	311,845	None	None	359,792	311,845	671,637	34,820		03/18/04	300
Cocoa	FL	323,827	287,810	None	None	323,827	287,810	611,637	32,136		03/18/04	300
Deltona	FL	140,000	321,637	None	None	140,000	321,637	461,637	35,913		03/18/04	300
Ellenton		250,000	261,637	None		250,000	261,637	511,637	29,213		03/18/04	300
Englewood		270,000	331,637	None		270,000	331,637	601,637	37,030		03/18/04	300
		515,834	873,187	None		515,834		1,389,021	266,321		05/07/99	
Gainesville			600,633	None		480,318		1,080,951	183,192		05/07/99	
		347,310	694,859	None		347,310		1,042,169	211,931		05/07/99	
Gainesville Gainesville		339,263 351,921	658,807 552,557	None None		339,263 351,921	658,807 552,557	998,070 904,478	200,935 168,528		05/07/99 05/07/99	300 300
Gainesville		500,032	850,291	None		500,032		1,350,323	259,337		05/07/99	
Homosassa	I.F	300,032	650,291	None	None	300,032	650,291	1,330,323	239,331		03101199	300
Springs	FI.	740,000	621,637	None	None	740,000	621 637	1,361,637	69,413		03/18/04	300
Hudson		300,000	351,637	None		300,000	351,637	651,637	39,263		03/18/04	300
Intercession		,	301,007	1,6110	2,0110	,	221,037	22 1,007	27,203			2 3 0
City	FL	161,776	319,861	None	None	161,776	319,861	481,637	35,715		03/18/04	300
Jacksonville			494,206	None		266,111	494,206	760,317			04/01/04	300
Jacksonville												
Bch		522,188	371,885	None		522,188	371,885	894,073	113,423		05/07/99	300
Key West		873,700	627,937	None		873,700		1,501,637	70,117		03/18/04	300
Key West	FL	492,785	208,852	None	None	492,785	208,852	701,637	23,319		03/18/04	300

				at Clo			amount at Which of Period (Notes					Life on which
		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			7 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Lakeland	FL	527,076	464,561	None	None	527,076	464,561	991,637	51,873		03/18/04	300
Lakeland	FL	300,000	321,637	None	None	300,000	321,637	621,637	35,913		03/18/04	300
Lakeport		180,342	331,295	None	None	180,342	331,295	511,637	36,992		03/18/04	300
Land O Lake			361,637	None		120,000	361,637	481,637	40,380		03/18/04	300
Lutz		480,000	421,637	None		480,000	421,637	901,637	47,080		03/18/04	300
Naples		150,000	301,637	None		150,000	301,637	451,637	33,680		03/18/04	300
Naples		620,000	381,637	None	None	620,000	381,637	1,001,637	42,613		03/18/04	300
New Port	FL	100.000	601 627			100.000	(01.627	701 (27	67.100		02/10/04	200
Richey	гт	190,000	601,637	None	None	190,000	601,637	791,637	67,180		03/18/04	300
North Fort	FL	140,000	281,637	None	None	140,000	281,637	421,637	31,447		03/18/04	300
Meyers Okeechobee	EI	195,075	346,562	None		195,075	346,562	541,637	38,697		03/18/04	300
Orlando		240.000	301,637	None		240,000	301,637	541,637	33,680		03/18/04	300
Palm Bay		230,880	300,757	None		230,880	300,757	531,637	33,582		03/18/04	300
Palm Harbor		510,000	381,637	None		510,000	381,637	891,637	42,613		03/18/04	300
Panama City		210,000	431,637	None		210,000	431,637	641,637	48,197		03/18/04	300
Pensacola		168,000	312,727	None		168,000	312,727	480,727	33,876		04/14/04	300
Port	FL	,	,			,	,	,	22,070			
Charlotte		170,000	311,637	None	None	170,000	311,637	481,637	34,797		03/18/04	300
Port Orange	FL	609,438	512,199	None		609,438		1,121,637	57,193		03/18/04	300
Pt Charlotte		200,000	356,637	None	None	200,000	356,637	556,637	39,822		03/18/04	300
Punta Gorda	FL	400,000	511,637	None	None	400,000	511,637	911,637	57,130		03/18/04	300
Tallahassee	FL	600,000	341,637	None	None	600,000	341,637	941,637	38,147		03/18/04	300
Tampa	FL	300,000	301,637	None	None	300,000	301,637	601,637	33,680		03/18/04	300
Tampa	FL	380,000	361,637	None	None	380,000	361,637	741,637	40,380		03/18/04	300
Tampa	FL	320,000	591,637	None	None	320,000	591,637	911,637	66,063		03/18/04	300
Webster	FL	640,000	1,071,637	None	None	640,000	1,071,637	1,711,637	119,663		03/18/04	300
Winter	FL											
Springs		150,000	291,637	None		150,000	291,637	441,637	32,563		03/18/04	300
Augusta		240,000	451,637	None		240,000	451,637	691,637	50,430		03/18/04	300
Augusta		540,000	337,853	None		540,000	337,853	877,853	100,788		07/22/99	300
Augusta		510,000	392,929	None		510,000	392,929	902,929	117,219		07/22/99	
Augusta		180,000	422,020	None		180,000	422,020	602,020	125,900		07/22/99	300
Augusta		260,000	392,171	None		260,000	392,171	652,171	116,994		07/22/99	300
Augusta		620,000	383,232	None		620,000		1,003,232	114,326		07/22/99	300
Calleren		437,500	813,742	None		437,500		1,251,242	104,424		10/16/03	300
Calhoun		122,500	228,742	None		122,500	228,742	351,242	29,349		10/16/03	300
Calhoun Chatsworth		262,500 140,000	488,742 261,242	None		262,500 140,000	488,742 261,242	751,242 401,242	62,716 33,520		10/16/03 10/16/03	
Chatsworth		140,000	261,242	None			261,242	401,242	33,520		10/16/03	300
Chatsworth		140,000	261,242	None None		140,000 140,000	261,242	401,242	33,520		10/16/03	300
Chickamauga			338,742	None		181,731	338,742	520,473	43,466		10/16/03	300
Dalton		171,500	319,742	None		171,500	319,742	491,242	41,027		10/16/03	300
Dalton		87,500	163,742	None		87,500	163,742	251,242	21,007		10/16/03	300
Dalton		485,650	903,162	None		485,650		1,388,812	115,900		10/16/03	300
Dalton		146,000	272,385	None		146,000	272,385	418,385	34,950		10/16/03	300
Dalton		420,000	781,242	None		420,000		1,201,242	100,253		10/16/03	
Dalton		210,000	391,242	None		210,000	391,242	601,242	50,203		10/16/03	300
Dalton		332,500	618,742	None		332,500	618,742	951,242	79,399		10/16/03	
Dunwoody		545,462	724,254	None		545,462		1,269,716	276,354		06/27/97	300
Euharlee		262,500	488,742	None		262,500	488,742	751,242	62,716		10/16/03	300
Flintstone		157,500	293,742	None		157,500	293,742	451,242	37,691		10/16/03	300
Lafayette		122,500	228,742	None		122,500	228,742	351,242	29,349		10/16/03	300

				Cost Capita Subseque		Gross Aı	nount at Which	Carried				Life on which
		Initial Co	st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			f Period (Notes 2 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statemen
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Comput
Lithonia	GA	386,784	776,436	None	None	386,784	776 436	1,163,220	296,282		06/27/97	300
Mableton	GA	491,069	355,957	None	None	491,069	355,957	847,026	135,809		06/27/97	300
Martinez	GA	830,000	871,637	None	None	830,000		1,701,637	97,330		03/18/04	300
Martinez	GA	450,000	402,777	None	None	450,000	402,777	852,777	120,158		07/22/99	300
Norcross	GA	384,162	651,273	None	None	384,162		1,035,435	248,509		06/27/97	300
Ringgold	GA	350,000	651,242	None	None	350,000		1,001,242	83,570		10/16/03	300
Ringgold	GA	234,500	1,168,914	None	None	234,500		1,403,414	98,781		10/16/03	300
Ringgold	GA	385,000	716,242	None	None	385,000	716,242	1,101,242	91,911		10/16/03	300
Ringgold	GA	482,251	896,851	None	None	482,251	896,851	1,379,102	115,090		10/16/03	300
Rocky Face	GA	164,231	306,241	None	None	164,231	306,241	470,472	39,295		10/16/03	300
Rome	GA	210,000	391,242	None	None	210,000	391,242	601,242	50,203		10/16/03	300
Rome	GA	199,199	371,183	None	None	199,199	371,183	570,382	47,629		10/16/03	300
Rome	GA	201,791	375,997	None	None	201,791	375,997	577,788	48,247		10/16/03	300
Rome	GA	315,000	586,242	None	None	315,000	586,242	901,242	75,228		10/16/03	300
Rossville	GA	157,500	293,742	None	None	157,500	293,742	451,242	37,691		10/16/03	300
Stone												
Mountain	GA	529,383	532,429	None	None	529,383		1,061,812	203,149		06/27/97	300
Summerville	GA	66,231	124,242	None	None	66,231	124,242	190,473	15,938		10/16/03	300
Trenton	GA	129,231	241,242	None	None	129,231	241,242	370,473	30,953		10/16/03	300
Council Bluffs	IA	255,217	117,792	4,223	186	255,217	122,201	377,418	47,887		11/26/96	300
Godfrey	IL	374,586	733,190	None	None	374,586		1,107,776	279,770		06/27/97	300
Granite City	IL	362,287	737,255	None	None	362,287		1,099,542	281,323		06/27/97	300
Madison	IL	173,812	625,030	None	None	173,812	625,030	798,842	238,509		06/27/97	300
New Albany	IN IN	181,459	289,353 331,796	None None	None None	181,459 262,465	289,353 331,796	470,812 594,261	136,478 156,497		03/03/95 03/06/95	300 300
New Albany Berea	KY	262,465 252,077	360,815	None	None	252,463	360,815	612,892	170,185		03/08/95	300
Elizabethtown	KY	286,106	286,106	None	None	286,106	286,106	572,212	134,946		03/03/95	300
Lebanon	KY	158,052	316,105	None	None	158,052	316,105	474,157	149,096		03/03/95	300
Louisville	KY	198,926	368,014	None	None	198,926	368,014	566,940	173,580		03/03/95	300
Louisville	KY	216,849	605,697	None	None	216,849	605,697	822,546	255,269	06/18/96	11/17/95	300
Mt.	11.1	210,047	005,077	rtone	Ttone	210,047	005,077	022,540	233,207	00/10/70	11/1////	300
Washington	KY	327,245	479,593	None	None	327,245	479,593	806,838	194,267	12/06/96	05/31/96	300
Owensboro	KY	360,000	590,000	None	None	360,000	590,000	950,000	268,450		08/25/95	300
Alexandria	LA	170,000	371,637	None	None	170,000	371,637	541,637	41,497		03/18/04	300
Baton Rouge	LA	500,000	521,637	None	None	500,000		1,021,637	58,247		03/18/04	300
Baton Rouge	LA	210,000	361,637	None	None	210,000	361,637	571,637	40,380		03/18/04	300
Bossier City	LA	230,000	431,637	None	None	230,000	431,637	661,637	48,197		03/18/04	300
Destrehan	LA	200,000	411,637	None	None	200,000	411,637		45,963		03/18/04	300
Lafayette	LA	240,000	391,637	None	None	240,000	391,637	631,637	43,730		03/18/04	300
Shreveport	LA	192,500	358,227	None	None	192,500	358,227	550,727	38,805		04/14/04	300
Amherst	MA	110,969	639,806	None	None	110,969	639,806	750,775	86,374		08/18/03	300
North Reading	MA	574,601	756,174	None	None			1,330,775	102,083		08/18/03	300
Seekonk	MA	298,354	268,518	None	None		268,518	566,872	126,651		03/03/95	300
Berlin	MD	255,951	387,395	None	None		387,395	643,346	58,747		03/19/03	300
Crisfield	MD	219,704	333,024	None	None		333,024		50,501		03/19/03	300
Hebron	MD	376,251	567,844	None	None		567,844		86,116		03/19/03	300
La Plata		1,017,544	2,706,729	None		1,017,544	2,706,729		473,430		08/06/02	300
Mechanicsville			2,860,928	None		1,540,335	2,860,928		519,676		06/27/02	300
Millersville	MD	830,737	2,696,245	None		830,737		3,526,982	489,864		06/27/02	300
Flint	MI	194,492	476,504	None	None			670,996	210,456		12/21/95	300
Brandon	MS	671,486	1,247,588	None	None	671,486	1,247,588	1,919,074	76,935		06/30/05	300

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

			st to Company Buildings, Improvements	Cost Capit Subsequ to Acquis	ent		mount at Which f Period (Notes 2 and 8) Buildings, Improvements					Life on which depreciation in latest Income
Description (Note 1)		Land	and Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (in Months)
Flowood	MS	437,926	813,832		None	437,926	813,832	1,251,758	50,187		06/30/05	300
Flowood	MS	399,972	743,347		None	399,972		1,143,319	45,840		06/30/05	
Jackson	MS	329,904	613,221		None	329,904	613,221	943,125	37,816		06/30/05	
Jackson	MS	540,108	1,003,600		None	540,108		1,543,708	61,889		06/30/05	
Marion	MS	350,341	651,013		None	350,341		1,001,354	40,146		06/30/05	
Meridian	MS	437,926	813,671		None	437,926		1,251,597	50,177		06/30/05	
Meridian	MS	405,811	754,030		None	405,811		1,159,841	46,499		06/30/05	
Meridian	MS	145,975	271,478		None	145,975	271,478	417,453	16,742		06/30/05	
Meridian	MS	280,273	520,887		None	280,273	520,887	801,160	32,122		06/30/05	
Meridian	MS	321,146	596,794		None	321,146	596,794	917,940	34,813		07/19/05	
Newton	MS	467,121	867,891		None	467,121		1,335,012	53,520 62,391		06/30/05 06/30/05	
Pearl Philadelphia	MS MS	544,488 472,960	1,011,733 878,735		None None	544,488 472,960		1,556,221 1,351,695	54,189		06/30/05	
Southaven	MS	310,000	641,637		None	310,000	641,637	951,637	71,647		03/18/04	
Terry	MS	583,901	1,084,930		None	583,901		1,668,831	66,904		06/30/05	
Waveland	MS	180,000	331,637		None	180,000	331,637	511,637	37,030		03/18/04	
Aberdeen	NC	600,000	300,625		None	600,000	300,625	900,625	71,626		01/25/01	
Archdale	NC	410,000	731,637		None	410,000		1,141,637	81,697		03/18/04	
Charlotte	NC	300,000	291,637		None	300,000	291,637	591,637	32,563		03/18/04	
Charlotte	NC	640,000	581,637		None	640,000		1,221,637	64,947		03/18/04	
Durham	NC	720,000	851,637		None	720,000		1,571,637	95,097		03/18/04	
Goldsboro	NC	460,000	740,625		None	460,000	740,625	1,200,625	176,493		01/25/01	300
Greensboro	NC	700,000	655,000		None	700,000	655,000	1,355,000	188,858		10/27/99	300
Greenville	NC	330,000	515,000		None	330,000	515,000	845,000	234,325		08/25/95	300
Jacksonville	NC	180,000	371,637		None	180,000	371,637	551,637	41,497		03/18/04	300
Jacksonville	NC	140,000	260,727		None	140,000	260,727	400,727	28,243		04/14/04	300
Jacksonville	NC	150,000	530,000		None	150,000	530,000	680,000	241,150		08/25/95	300
Kinston	NC	550,000	1,057,833		None	550,000	1,057,833	1,607,833	389,562		10/24/97	300
Raleigh	NC	740,000	791,637		None	740,000	791,637	1,531,637	88,397		03/18/04	
Roxboro	NC	243,112	368,107		None	243,112	368,107	611,219	55,822		03/19/03	
Winston-Salem		320,000	311,637		None	320,000	311,637	631,637	34,797		03/18/04	
Galloway	NJ	1,367,872	2,540,604			1,367,872		3,908,476	461,500		06/27/02	
Hamilton	NJ	1,539,117	2,858,630			1,539,117		4,397,747	520,211		06/27/02	
MillVille	NJ	953,891	1,771,782		None			2,725,673	321,864		06/27/02	
Toms River	NJ	1,265,861	2,351,154			1,265,861		3,617,015	427,480		06/27/02	
Toms River	NJ	982,526	1,824,961		None			2,807,487	331,182		06/27/02	
Wall		1,459,957	2,712,264			1,459,957	2,712,264		474,607		08/06/02	
Albuquerque	NM	200,000	271,637		None	200,000	271,637	471,637	30,330		03/18/04	
Kingston	NY	257,763	456,042		None	257,763	456,042	713,805	213,580		04/06/95	
Atwater Columbus	OH	118,555 273,085	266,748 471,693		None None	118,555 273,085	266,748 471,693	385,303 744,778	125,816 208,331		03/03/95 12/21/95	
Columbus		147,296									03/03/95	
	OH		304,411		None	147,296	304,411	451,707	143,581			
Cuyahoga Falls Galion	OH	321,792 138,981	358,630 327,597		None 7	321,792 138,981	358,630 327,604	680,422 466,585	168,701 154,522		03/03/95 03/06/95	
Groveport	ОН	277,198	445,497		None	277,198	445,497	722,695	196,761		12/21/95	
Perrysburg	ОН	211,678	390,680		None	211,678	390,680	602,358	157,274	01/10/96		
Streetsboro	ОН	402,988	533,349		None	402,988	533,349	936,337	186,672	01/10/90	09/01/93	
Tipp City	OH	355,009	588,111		None	355,009	588,111	943,120	210,732	01/21/97	06/27/96	

Edgar Filing: DOW (CHEMICAL CO	/DE/ - Form 10-C)
---------------------	-------------	------------------	---

Triffin OH 117,017 273,040 None 117,017 273,040 390,057 128,784 03/07/95 300

F-21

				Cost Capita Subseque			mount at Which f Period (Notes 2					Life on which
Parit di		Initial Co	st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			and 8) Buildings, Improvements and	, - , - , - , - ,	Accumulated	D. (6	D. (depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Wadsworth	ОН	266,507	496,917	None	None	266,507	496,917	763,424	184,853	11/26/96	07/01/96	300
Tulsa	OK	126,545	508,266	None	None	126,545	508,266	634,811	193,946		06/27/97	300
Aliquippa	PA	226,195	452,631	None	None	226,195	452,631	678,826	53,559		01/29/04	300
Beaver	PA	95,626	223,368	None	None	95,626	223,368	318,994	26,430		01/29/04	300
Beaver Falls	PA	92,207	230,758	None	None	92,207	230,758	322,965	27,304		01/29/04	300
Cornwells Heights	PA	569,763	387,611	None	None	569,763	387,611	957,374	56,198		05/29/03	300
Doylestown	PA	800,134	1,226,452	None	None	800,134	1,226,452		177,830		05/29/03	300
East Caln		1,722,222	576	None		1,722,222		1,722,798	87		02/25/03	300
Lansdale		1,356,324	385,761	None		1,356,324		1,742,085	55,929		05/29/03	300
Penndel	PA	739,487	1,003,809	None	None	739,487	1,003,809		145,547		05/29/03	300
Perryopolis	PA	148,953	134,299	None	None	148,953	134,299	283,252	15,890		01/29/04	300
	PA	808,681	256,843	None	None	808,681	256,843	1,065,524	37,237		05/29/03	300
Philadelphia	PA	425,928	167,147	None	None	425,928	167,147	593,075	24,231		05/29/03	300
	PA	390,342	226,919	None	None	390,342	226,919	617,261	32,898		05/29/03	300
	PA	541,792	236,049	None	None	541,792	236,049	777,841	34,222		05/29/03	300
	PA	530,018	214,977	None	None	530,018	214,977	744,995	31,166		05/29/03	300
Philadelphia Philadelphia		614,101 1,011,389	277,277 491,302	None None	None	614,101 1,011,389	277,277	891,378 1,502,691	40,200 71,233		05/29/03 05/29/03	300 300
Philadelphia	PA	935,672	448,426	None	None	935,672		1,384,098	65,016		05/29/03	300
Philadelphia	PA	689,172	426,596	None	None	689,172		1,115,768	61,851		05/29/03	300
Philadelphia	PA	349,294	134,485	None	None	349,294	134,485	483,779	19,495		05/29/03	300
	PA	557,515	244,121	None	None	557,515	244,121	801,636	32,143		09/16/03	300
Pittsburgh	PA	497,668	320,170	None	None	497,668	320,170	817,838	37,885		01/29/04	300
Pittsburgh	PA	296,277	287,540	None	None	296,277	287,540	583,817	34,023		01/29/04	300
Pittsburgh	PA	395,417	474,741	None	None	395,417	474,741	870,158	56,176		01/29/04	300
Pittsburgh	PA	118,118	231,108	None	None	118,118	231,108	349,226	27,346		01/29/04	300
South Park	PA	252,247	435,940	None	None	252,247	435,940	688,187	51,584		01/29/04	300
Southampton		783,279	163,721	None	None	783,279	163,721	947,000	23,734		05/29/03	300
Valencia Verona	PA PA	440,565	278,492	None	None	440,565	278,492 257,358	719,057 428,769	32,953 30,452		01/29/04 01/29/04	300 300
Willow	PA	171,411	257,358	None	None	171,411	237,338	428,709	30,432		01/29/04	300
Grove	PA	329,934	73,123	None	None	329,934	73,123	403,057	10,597		05/29/03	300
Aiken	SC	330,000	472,679	None	None	330,000	472,679	802,679	141,012		07/22/99	300
Aiken	SC	560,000	543,588	None	None	560,000		1,103,588	162,165		07/22/99	300
Aiken	SC	360,000	542,982	None	None	360,000	542,982	902,982	161,985		07/22/99	300
Aiken	SC	540,000	388,058	None	None	540,000	388,058	928,058	115,766		07/22/99	300
Aiken	SC	320,000	432,527	None	None	320,000	432,527	752,527	129,034		07/22/99	
Aiken	SC	250,000	251,770	None	None	250,000	251,770	501,770	75,109		07/22/99	
Belvedere	SC	490,000	463,080	None	None	490,000	463,080	953,080	138,147		07/22/99	
Columbia	SC	520,000	471,637	None	None	520,000	471,637	991,637	52,663		03/18/04	300
Columbia Goose Creek	SC	150,000 150,000	450,000	None	None	150,000	450,000 241,637	600,000 391,637	204,750 26,980		08/25/95 03/18/04	300
Goose Creek Greenville	SC	390,000	241,637 462,847	None None	None None	150,000 390,000	462,847	852,847	138,078		03/18/04	300 300
Greenville	SC	390,000	402,392	None	None	390,000	402,392	702,392	120,043		07/22/99	
Greenville	SC	680,000	423,604	None	None	680,000		1,103,604	126,370		07/22/99	
Greenville	SC	370,000	432,695	None	None	370,000	432,695	802,695	129,083		07/22/99	
Greenville	SC	620,000	483,604	None	None	620,000		1,103,604	144,270		07/22/99	
Greer	SC	400,000	502,879	None	None	400,000	502,879	902,879	150,021		07/22/99	
Hilton Head	SC	500,000	691,637	None	None	500,000		1,191,637	77,230		03/18/04	300
Hilton Head	SC	185,500	344,510	None	None	185,500	344,510	530,010	37,322		04/14/04	300

		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements	•							Life on which depreciation in latest Income	
Description (Note 1)		Land	and Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (in Months)
Irmo	SC	690,000	461,637	None	None	690,000	461,637	1,151,637	51,547		03/18/04	300
Jackson		170,000	632,626	None		170,000	632,626	802,626	188,729		07/22/99	
John s Isle		170,000	350,000	None		170,000	350,000	520,000	159,250		08/25/95	300
Lexington		255,000	545,000	None		255,000	545,000	800,000	247,975		08/25/95	
Lexington		640,000 540,000	563,891	None		640,000		1,203,891	168,221		07/22/99	
Lexington Lexington		360,000	563,588 843,891	None None		540,000 360,000		1,103,588 1,203,891	168,131 251,755		07/22/99 07/22/99	
North	SC	300,000	043,091	None	None	300,000	043,091	1,203,691	231,733		01122199	300
Augusta	SC	330,000	481,637	None	None	330,000	481,637	811,637	53,780		03/18/04	300
North		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,			,	,,,,,	,,,,,,	,			
Augusta	SC	490,000	1,221,637	None	None	490,000	1,221,637	1,711,637	136,413		03/18/04	300
North												
Augusta	SC	400,000	452,777	None	None	400,000	452,777	852,777	135,074		07/22/99	300
North	00	100.000	(50,000	N.T.	N	100.000	(50,000	1.050.000	205 750		00/05/05	200
Charleston		400,000 320,000	650,000 691,637	None None		400,000		1,050,000 1,011,637	295,750 77,230		08/25/95 03/18/04	300 300
Orangeburg Simpsonville			573,485	None		530,000		1,103,485	171,084		07/22/99	
Spartanburg		470,000	432,879	None		470,000	432,879	902,879	129,138		07/22/99	300
Summerville			553,227	None		297,500	553,227	850,727	59,930		04/14/04	300
Summerville			515,000	None		115,000	515,000	630,000	234,325		08/25/95	300
West Aiken	SC	400,000	402,665	None	None	400,000	402,665	802,665	120,124		07/22/99	300
West Columbia	SC	336,000	624,727	None	None	336,000	624,727	960,727	67,676		04/14/04	300
West		440.000	600 FF4			440.000	ć02 55 4	4 400 554	206.011		05/22/00	200
Columbia		410,000	693,574	None		410,000		1,103,574	206,911		07/22/99	
Arrington Athens		385,000 175,000	716,242 326,242	None None		385,000 175,000	326,242	1,101,242 501,242	91,911 41,861		10/16/03 10/16/03	300 300
Athens		124,179	231,860	None		124,179	231,860	356,039	29,749		10/16/03	300
Benton		192,500	358,742	None		192,500	358,742	551,242	46,032		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga			338,741	None		181,731	338,741	520,472	43,466		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga	TN	168,000	313,242	None	None	168,000	313,242	481,242	40,193		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga			326,242	(79,571)	None	175,000	246,671	421,671	33,205		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga			298,346	None		159,979	298,346	458,325	38,281		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga			196,242	None		105,000	196,242	301,242	25,178		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga			456,242	None		245,000	456,242	701,242	58,545		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga Chattanooga			553,742	None None		297,500 323,750	553,742	851,242 1,146,279	71,057 85,638		10/16/03 10/16/03	300 300
Chattanooga			822,529 521,242	None		280,000	521,242	801,242	66,886		10/16/03	
Chattanooga			478,992	None		257,250	478,992	736,242	61,464		10/16/03	
Chattanooga			527,201	None		283,209	527,201	810,410	67,651		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga			1,008,742	None		542,500		1,551,242	129,449		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga	TN	332,500	618,742	None	None	332,500	618,742	951,242	79,399		10/16/03	300
Chattanooga	TN	175,000	326,242	None	None	175,000	326,242	501,242	41,861		10/16/03	300
Cleveland		110,009	205,545	None		110,009	205,545	315,554	26,372		10/16/03	300
Cleveland		227,500	423,742	None		227,500	423,742	651,242	54,374		10/16/03	300
Cleveland		280,000	521,242	None		280,000	521,242	801,242	66,886		10/16/03	300
Cleveland Cleveland		245,000 157,500	456,242 293,742	None None		245,000 157,500	456,242 293,742	701,242 451,242	58,545 37,691		10/16/03 10/16/03	300 300
Cleveland		122,500	228,742	None		122,500	228,742	351,242	29,349		10/16/03	
Cleveland		300,373	559,077	None		300,373	559,077	859,450	71,742		10/16/03	300
Dayton		262,500	488,742	None		262,500	488,742	751,242	62,716		10/16/03	300
Decatur		181,731	338,742	None		181,731	338,742	520,473	43,466		10/16/03	
Dunlap		315,000	586,242	None		315,000	586,242	901,242	75,228		10/16/03	
Etowah		192,500	358,742	None	None	192,500	358,742	551,242	46,032		10/16/03	300
Gallatin	TN	525,000	976,242	None	None	525,000	976,242	1,501,242	125,278		10/16/03	300

				· a			Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (Notes 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8)					Life on which
D		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated	D. (6	ъ.	depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Harrison	TN	484,313	900,680	None	None	484,313	900,680	1,384,993	115,581		10/16/03	300
Hixson	TN	271,250	504,992	None	None	271,250	504,992	776,242	64,801		10/16/03	300
Hixson		513,215	954,355	None		513,215		1,467,570	122,469		10/16/03	300
Hixson	TN	94,500	176,742	None		94,500	176,742	271,242	22,676		10/16/03	300
Hixson		300,373	559,077	None		300,373	559,077	859,450	71,742		10/16/03	300
Kimball		332,500	618,742	None None		332,500	618,742 650,000	951,242 990,000	79,399 295,750		10/16/03 08/25/95	300 300
La Vergne Le Vergne		340,000 577,500	650,000 1,073,742	(15,745)		340,000 577,500	1,057,997	,	137,791		10/16/03	300
Manchester		266,119	495,463	None		266,119	495,463	761,582	63,578		10/16/03	300
Manchester		281,675	524,352	None		281,675	524,352	806,027	67,286		10/16/03	300
Manchester		319,846	595,242	None		319,846	595,242	915,088	76,383		10/16/03	300
Monteagle	TN	271,173	504,849	None	None	271,173	504,849	776,022	64,783		10/16/03	300
Mt. Juliet	TN	397,128	738,764	None	None	397,128	738,764	1,135,892	94,802		10/16/03	300
Murfreesboro			1,021,742	None		549,500	1,021,742		131,117		10/16/03	300
Murfreesboro		,	870,032	None		467,810		1,337,842	111,648		10/16/03	300
Murfreesboro			559,077	None		300,373	559,077	859,450	71,742		10/16/03	300
Nashville		498,628	927,264	None		498,628		1,425,892	118,993		10/16/03	300
Ocoee Ooltewah		119,792 234,231	223,713 436,241	(11,239) None		119,792 234,231	212,474 436,241	332,266 670,472	28,704 55,978		10/16/03 10/16/03	300 300
Ooltewah		700,000	1,301,242	(190,623)		700,000	1,110,619	-	156,222		10/16/03	300
Ooltewah		105,000	196,242	None		105,000	196,242	301,242	25,178		10/16/03	300
Red Bank		350,000	651,242	None		350,000		1,001,242	83,570		10/16/03	300
Red Bank		300,373	559,077	(39,679)		300,373	519,398	819,771	71,742		10/16/03	300
Royal	TN	320,229	595,953	None	None	320,229	595,953	916,182	76,474		10/16/03	300
Smyrna		426,466	793,251	None		426,466	793,251	1,219,717	101,794		10/16/03	300
Smyrna		630,000	1,170,018	None		630,000	1,170,018		13,650		09/27/06	300
Soddy Daisy		297,500	553,732	None		297,500	553,732	851,232	71,056		10/16/03	300
Soddy Daisy		350,000	651,242	None		350,000		1,001,242	83,570		10/16/03	300
Soddy Daisy		245,000	456,242	None		245,000	456,242	701,242	58,545		10/16/03	300
Sweetwater Sweetwater		122,500 339,231	228,742 631,242	None None		122,500 339,231	228,742 631,242	351,242 970,473	29,349 81,003		10/16/03 10/16/03	300 300
Sweetwater		133,000	248,242	None		133,000	248,242	381,242	31,851		10/16/03	300
Chatham		347,728	525,031	None		347,728	525,031	872,759	79,622		03/19/03	300
Chesapeake		225,000	400,366			225,000	400,366	625,366	22,020		08/18/05	300
Collinsville	VA		130,137	None		84,465	130,137	214,602	19,730		03/19/03	300
Danville	VA	149,276	227,333	None		149,276	227,333	376,609	34,471		03/19/03	300
Danville	VA	83,644	128,884	None	None	83,644	128,884	212,528	19,540		03/19/03	300
Danville		266,722	403,501			266,722	403,501	670,223	61,190		03/19/03	300
Franklin		536,667	863,699			536,667		1,400,366	47,503		08/18/05	300
Hampton Highland		433,985	459,108	None		433,985	459,108	893,093	159,912		04/17/98	300
Springs		396,720	598,547			396,720	598,547	995,267	90,772		03/19/03	300
Martinsville		246,820	373,653			246,820	373,653	620,473	56,663		03/19/03	300
Martinsville		83,521	128,706			83,521	128,706	212,227	19,513		03/19/03	300
Midlothian	VA	325,000	302,872	None	None	325,000	302,872	627,872	113,530		08/21/97	300
Newport	3.7.4	400 (16	(05.204	NI-	NT	400 (16	605 204	1.005.020	101 505		04/17/00	200
News Richmond		490,616 298,227	605,304 451,014			490,616 298,227	605,304 451,014	1,095,920 749,241	181,505 68,396		04/17/98 03/19/03	300 300
Richmond		329,698	498,015			329,698	498,015	827,713	75,525		03/19/03	300
Richmond		213,982	324,659			213,982	324,659	538,641	49,232		03/19/03	300
Richmond		482,735	727,776			482,735		1,210,511	110,372		03/19/03	300
		,,,,,,	. = . , . , 0	1.0110	- 10110	,,,,,,	, . , 0	,,	220,072			

				Cost Capita Subseque			mount at Which of Period (Notes 2					Life on which
		Initial Co	st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi	tion		and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Richmond	VA	350,453	529,365	None	None	350,453	529,365	879,818	80,280		03/19/03	300
Richmond	VA	323,496	488,918					812,414	74,145		03/19/03	
Richmond	VA	278,443	421,584					700,027	63,933		03/19/03	
Richmond	VA	700,000	400,740					1,100,740	139,584		04/17/98	
Richmond	VA	700,000	440,965					1,140,965	153,593		04/17/98	
Richmond	VA	400,000	250,875					650,875	87,379		04/17/98	
Richmond Richmond	VA VA	1,000,000 700,000	740 100,695			1,000,000 700,000		1,000,740 800,695	250 35,068		04/17/98 04/17/98	
Richmond		1,144,841	3,371,146			1,144,841	3,371,146		588,110		08/22/02	
Roanoke	VA	325,000	575,366					900,366	31,645		08/15/05	
Sandston	VA	152,535	232,528					385,063	35,259		03/19/03	
South Boston		160,893	244,778					405,671	37,117		03/19/03	
Stafford	VA	271,865	601,997					873,862	241,802		12/20/96	
Staunton	VA	675,000	1,000,366		None				55,020		08/18/05	300
Suffolk	VA	700,000	1,000,366	None	None	700,000	1,000,366	1,700,366	55,020		08/18/05	300
Troutville	VA	575,000	975,366	None	None	575,000	975,366	1,550,366	53,645		08/18/05	300
Virginia												
Beach		1,194,560	2,218,773			1,194,560	, ,		403,062		06/27/02	
Warrenton	VA		649,125				, -	1,165,096	260,732		12/20/96	
Williamsburg		838,172	1,556,910						282,763		06/27/02	
Wytheville		1,222,535	1,577,830			1,222,535			86,781		08/18/05	
Yorktown	VA	309,435	447,144	None	None	309,435	447,144	756,579	155,739		04/17/98	300
Craft and Novelty												
Cutler Ridge	FL	743,498	657,485	68,215	35,192	743,498	760.892	1,504,390	259,670		12/31/98	300
Rockford	IL	159,587	618,398					800,535	263,984		11/26/96	
Stony Brook		980,000	1,801,586		-				573,494		01/11/99	
Pleasant Hills		631,084	1,172,563						193,471		11/01/02	
Drug Stores												
Montgomery	AL	1,150,000	1,479,627	None	None	1,150,000	1,479,627	2,629,627	110,980		02/09/05	300
Colorado												
Springs	CO	1,025,000	1,645,371		None	1,025,000	1,645,371	2,670,371	123,394		02/09/05	
Fort Collins		1,100,000	1,385,014			1,100,000			103,867		02/09/05	
Casselberry		1,075,020	1,664,284			1,075,020			552,015		09/30/98	
Adel	GA		1,056,116						72,162		04/29/05	300
Blackshear	GA		1,005,393						68,696		04/29/05	
Bowdon	GA		1,010,615						69,053		04/29/05	
Cairo	GA		1,152,243			,			78,731		04/29/05	
Quitman	GA		856,586					1,586,586	64,235		02/09/05	
Woodstock Blackfoot	GA ID	930,000 560,000	1,035,544 1,932,186			,			70,762 144,905		04/29/05 02/09/05	
Burley	ID	700,000	2,011,543						150,857		02/09/05	
Chubbuck	ID	890,000	1,267,183			-			95,030		02/09/05	
Salem	IN	0,000	2,351,296				2,351,296		35,269		08/16/06	
Laurel	MD		2,400,696				2,400,696				08/16/06	
Metamora	MI	859,139	2,291,557						34,373		08/16/06	
Carson City	NV	800,000	2,770,950						207,813		02/09/05	
Reno		1,100,000	2,602,911			1,100,000			195,210		02/09/05	
Reno	NV	850,000	2,306,647						172,990		02/09/05	
Sparks	NV	1,000,000	2,271,513	None	None	1,000,000	2,271,513	3,271,513	170,355		02/09/05	300

				at			mount at Which f Period (Notes 2					Life on which
		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi			and 8) Buildings, Improvements and	, - , - ,	Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Sun Valley	NV	550,000	2,678,380	None	None	550,000	2,678,380	3,228,380	200,870		02/09/05	300
Cortland	OH		1,364,725	None		1,440,000		2,804,725	102,346		02/09/05	
Madison	OH	580,000	1,272,742	None	None			1,852,742	86,965		04/29/05	
Warren	OH	960,000	1,326,083	None	None			2,286,083	99,447		02/09/05	
Warren Willowick	OH OH	800,000 530,000	1,241,503	None	None	,		2,041,503	93,104		02/09/05 04/29/05	
Delmont	PA	720,000	1,241,308 1,246,023	None None	None None			1,771,308 1,966,023	84,817 93,443		02/09/05	
Gettysburt	PA	720,000	2,500,750	None	None			2,500,750	37,511		08/16/06	
Girard (7)	PA		1,542,187	None	None			1,542,187	152,544		02/09/05	
Johnstown	PA	250,000	2,593,436	None	None			2,843,436	194,499		02/09/05	
Johnstown	PA	600,000	2,010,255	None	None			2,610,255	150,760		02/09/05	
Murrysville	PA	710,000	1,666,912	None	None			2,376,912	125,006		02/09/05	
Oakdale		1,255,750	2,995,001	None		1,255,750	2,995,001		44,925		08/16/06	
Slippery Rock							, ,		· ·			
(7)	PA		1,507,821	None	None		1,507,821	1,507,821	149,266		02/09/05	300
Entertainment												
Riverside	CA	4,000,000	130	None	None	4,000,000	130	4,000,130	21		07/05/02	
Vista		2,300,000	22	None		2,300,000		2,300,022	6		03/31/99	
Dania		8,272,080	1,713	None		8,272,080		8,273,793	511		03/31/99	
Marietta		1,500,000	768			1,500,000		1,500,768	155		06/29/01	
Norcross		1,600,000	768	None		1,600,000		1,600,768	155		06/29/01	300
Greensboro		7,800,000	463	None		7,800,000		7,800,463	75		07/05/02	
Brookhaven Riverhead		1,500,000 6,200,000	745 744	None None		1,500,000		1,500,745	222 222		07/23/99 07/23/99	
Riverneau	NI	0,200,000	/44	None	None	6,200,000	/44	6,200,744	222		01123199	300
Equipment Rental Services												
Lake Worth	FL	679,079	1,262,568	None	None	679,079	1,262,568	1,941,647	174,655		07/03/03	300
Lewisville	TX	1,010,134	1,877,384	None	None	1,010,134	1,877,384	2,887,518	259,705		07/03/03	300
Financial												
<u>Services</u>												
Colorado	СО	313,250	695,730	40,500	47,692	313,250	792 022	1,097,172	609,603		03/10/87	300
Springs	FL	476,179		6,500	45,395			1,097,172	262,980		12/31/98	
Orlando	FL	532,556		None	None			1,472,713	17,204	06/09/06		
Blue Springs	MO	222,569		None	93		494,426		337,198	00/07/00	07/31/89	
Brac Springs	1.10	222,000	1,000	1,0110	7.0	222,000	.,20	, 10,,,,	227,170		07751709	200
General Merchandise												
Canon City	CO	339,045		None	None	- /	630,531	969,576	3,153		11/02/06	
Monte Vista	CO	47,652			None		582,159		187,275		12/23/98	
Groveland	FL	101,782			None		189,258	291,040	58,982		03/31/99	
Clarinda	IA	439,267			None			1,255,277	20,400		05/25/06	
Garnett	KS	59,690		None	None		518,121	577,811	166,676		12/23/98	
Hillsboro	KS	335,292			None		622,914		15,573		05/25/06	
Phillipsburg	KS	423,725			None			1,210,871	19,679		05/25/06	
Caledonia	MN	89,723			None		559,300 553,997	649,023	179,925 178,217		12/23/98 12/23/98	
Long Prarie Moose Lake	MN MN	88,892 137,337		None None	None None		4,324	642,889 141,661	178,217	In Progress		
Paynesvile	MN	49,483			None		525,406	574,889	169,020	m i rogress	12/29/00	
Spring Valley		69,785			None		579,238		186,339		12/23/98	
Spring vancy	1111	57,703	317,230	110110	110110	07,103	317,230	0.7,023	100,557		12,23,70	200

		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent		mount at Which f Period (Notes 2 and 8) Buildings, Improvements					Life on which depreciation in latest Income
Description (Note 1)		Land	and Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed
***		5 0.000	7 00 000	-		7 0.000	7 00 000	650,000	106.565		10/00/00	200
Warroad Willow	MN	70,000	580,000	None	None	70,000	580,000	650,000	186,567		12/23/98	300
Springs	МО	416,494	773,718	None	None	416,494	773,718	1,190,212	19,343		05/25/06	300
Mayville	ND	59,333			None	59,333	565,562	624,895	181,954		12/23/98	
Ainsworth	NE	362,675	673,768	None	None	362,675	673,768	1,036,443	16,844		05/25/06	300
Imperial	NE	388,599		None	None	388,599	721,914	1,110,513	15,641		06/28/06	
Bloomfield	NM				None	59,559	616,252	675,811	198,241		12/23/98	
Coleman	TX	243,060	451,661	None	None	243,060	451,661	694,721	11,292		05/25/06	300
Colorado	TV	02.525	505 276	N	Mana	02.525	505 276	507.011	162.544		12/22/00	200
City Devine	TX TX	92,535 212,408			None None	92,535 212,408	505,276 394,735	597,811 607,143	162,544 9,868		12/23/98 05/25/06	
Midland	TX	544,075			None	544,075	1,322,431	1,866,506	469,350		02/02/98	
Presidio	TX	407,657	757,362		None		757,362	1,165,019	18,934		05/25/06	
Winnsboro	TX	79,280			None	79,280	1,270,090	1,349,370	7,284	In Progress	09/07/06	
Yoakum	TX	390,147	724,821	None	None	390,147	724,821	1,114,968	18,121		05/25/06	300
Grocery Stores												
Cloverdale	CA	1,505,000	2,795,321	None	None	1,505,000	2,795,321	4,300,321	368,050		09/30/03	300
Fortuna	CA	1,190,000	2,210,308	None	None	1,190,000	2,210,308	3,400,308	291,024		09/30/03	300
Boulder	CO	426,675	1,199,508	None	91,660	426,675	1,291,168	1,717,843	996,870		01/05/84	180
Central	OD	0.40.000	1.560.200	N	N	0.40,000	1.560.200	2 400 200	205 440		00/20/02	200
Point	OR	840,000			None	840,000	1,560,308	2,400,308	205,440		09/30/03	
Phoenix Sheboygan	OR	840,000 1,513,216			None	840,000 1,513,216	1,560,308 4,447,026	2,400,308 5,960,242	205,440 1,313,304	06/03/99	09/30/03 08/24/98	300 300
Sheooygan	VV 1	1,313,210	4,427,908	7,220	11,030	1,515,210	4,447,020	3,900,242	1,313,304	00/03/99	00/24/90	300
Health and Fitness												
Paradise Valley Diamond	ΑZ	2,608,389	3,418,783	None	None	2,608,389	3,418,783	6,027,172	666,629	06/06/02	06/26/01	300
Bar	CA	3,038,879			None	3,038,879	4,338,722	7,377,601	1,250,478	03/21/00	09/29/98	
Norco		1,247,243				1,247,243	3,807,569		1,032,382	12/13/00	06/29/99	
Casselberry Coral	FL	1,979,598	8,256,394	14,554	287,166	1,979,598	8,558,114	10,537,712	2,059,733	12/30/03	05/31/95	300
Springs	FL	891,496	2,798,204	None	25	891,496	2,798,229	3,689,725	917,718	11/03/98	03/30/98	300
Miami		3,115,101	4,439,526			3,115,101		7,554,652	1,168,174	05/19/00		
Oakland		., .,	,,			-, -, -	,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , ,			
Park	FL	2,800,000	2,196,480	None	None	2,800,000	2,196,480	4,996,480	388,236	07/06/01	03/27/01	300
Orlando	FL	2,144,778	3,755,905	None	None	2,144,778	3,755,905	5,900,683	472,723	08/07/03	11/26/02	300
Pembroke												
Pines		1,714,388				1,714,388	4,387,849		1,068,994	12/11/00	10/01/99	
Indianapolis Cypress						3,008,186		7,184,511	255 123,349	In Progress 05/15/06	08/03/06 09/14/05	
Dallas		1,417,377 5,293,733				1,417,377 5,293,733		7,114,166 11,849,370	79,908	08/04/06	11/09/05	
Fort Worth		1,445,901	5,277,886			1,445,901	5,277,886		1,386,940	08/04/00	06/30/99	
Keller		1,478,222				1,478,222		7,157,826		09/08/05	12/16/04	
McKinney		1,805,460				1,805,460	5,972,111	7,777,571	229,900	12/07/05	04/20/05	
Plano	TX	3,178,115	5,832,224	None	None	3,178,115	5,832,224	9,010,339	224,712	12/06/05	04/22/05	300
Home Furnishings												
Danbury	CT	630,171	3,621,163	41,456	172	630,171	3,662,791	4,292,962	1,363,912		09/30/97	300
Brandon	FL	430,000			None	430,000	1,020,608	1,450,608	348,706		06/26/98	300
Jupiter	FL					1,698,316	3,209,801	4,908,117	850,554		05/03/00	
Tampa	FL	685,000	885,624	None	None	685,000	885,624	1,570,624	302,586		06/26/98	300

Tampa	FL	494,763	767,737	71,880	1,870	494,763	841,487	1,336,250	319,969	12/31/98	300
Titusville	FL	176,459	579,793	None	22,652	176,459	602,445	778,904	239,433	11/26/96	300
West Palm											
Beach	FL	347,651	706,081	69,111	32,441	347,651	807,633	1,155,284	262,096	12/31/98	300

		Initial Co	est to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent		mount at Which of Period (Notes 2 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			Life on which depreciatio in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Compute
Rome	GA	254,902	486,812	None	136	254,902	486,948	741,850	197,248		11/26/96	300
Davenport	IΑ	270,000	930,689	None	None	270,000	930,689	1,200,689	317,983		06/26/98	300
Joilet	IL	440,000	910,689	None	None	440,000	910,689	1,350,689	311,150		06/26/98	300
Wichita	KS	430,000	740,725	None	None	430,000	740,725	1,170,725	253,079		06/26/98	300
Alexandria	LA	400,000	810,608	None	None	400,000	810,608	1,210,608	276,956		06/26/98	300
Monroe Shreveport	LA LA	450,000 525,000	835,608 725,642	None None	None None	450,000 525,000	835,608 725,642	1,285,608 1,250,642	285,497 247,926		06/26/98 06/26/98	300 300
Battle Creek	MI	485,000	895,689	None	None	485,000	895,689	1,380,689	306,025		06/26/98	300
Eden Prairie	MN	500,502	1,055,244	None	None	500,502	1,055,244	1,555,746	332,365		02/26/99	300
Hattiesburg	MS	300,000	660,608	None	None	300,000	660,608	960,608	225,706		06/26/98	300
Hattiesburg	MS	198,659	457,379	None	2,682	198,659	460,061	658,720	187,002		11/26/96	300
Ridgeland	MS	281,867	769,890	None	None	281,867	769,890	1,051,757	293,756		06/27/97	300
Omaha	NE	1,956,296	3,949,402	None	None	1,956,296	3,949,402	5,905,698	1,533,459		04/04/97	300
Henderson	NV	1,268,655	3,109,995	None	None	1,268,655	3,109,995	4,378,650	1,155,675		09/26/97	300
Staten Island	NY	3,190,883	2,569,802	None	862	3,190,883	2,570,664	5,761,547	904,175		03/26/98	300
Lancaster	OH	250,000	830,689	None	None	250,000	830,689	1,080,689	283,817		06/26/98	300
Altoona	PA	455,000	745,694	None	None	455,000	745,694	1,200,694	254,777		06/26/98	300
Erie	PA	510,000	900,689	None	None	510,000	900,689	1,410,689	307,733		06/26/98	300
Muncy	PA	315,000	835,648	None	None	315,000	835,648	1,150,648	285,511		06/26/98	300
Whitehall Columbia	PA SC	515,525 600,000	1,146,868 900,725	None None	None None	515,525 600,000	1,146,868 900,725	1,662,393 1,500,725	391,844 307,745		06/30/98 06/26/98	300 300
Jackson	TN	380,000	750,608	None	None	380,000	750,608	1,130,608	256,456		06/26/98	300
Memphis	TN	804,262	1,432,520	None	648	804,262	1,433,168	2,237,430	546,952		06/30/97	300
Abilene	TX	400,000	680,616	None	None	400,000	680,616	1,080,616	232,542		06/26/98	300
Arlington	TX	475,069	1,374,167	None	203	475,069	1,374,370	1,849,439	538,124		03/26/97	300
Cedar Park	TX	253,591	827,237	None	285	253,591	827,522	1,081,113	323,986		03/10/97	300
Houston	TX	867,767	687,042	None	322	867,767	687,364	1,555,131	269,036		03/07/97	300
Plainview	TX	125,000	734,558	40,000	21,682	125,000	796,240	921,240	400,095		01/24/84	180
San Antonio	TX	323,451	637,991	47,914	34,151	323,451	720,056	1,043,507	273,356		12/31/98	300
Spring		1,794,872	1,810,069	None		1,794,872	1,810,069	3,604,941	672,557		09/29/97	300
Webster	TX	283,604	538,002	2,470	None	283,604	540,472	824,076	206,202		06/12/97	300
Eau Claire	WI	260,000	820,689	None	None	260,000	820,689	1,080,689	280,400		06/26/98	300
La Crosse	WI	372,883	877,812	None	None	372,883	877,812	1,250,695	299,917		06/26/98	300
<u>Home</u>												
Improvements												
Lawndale	CA	667,007	1,238,841	None	None	667,007	1,238,841	1,905,848	398,492		12/31/98	300
Los Angeles	CA	902,494	1,676,204	None	None	902,494	1,676,204		539,177		12/31/98	300
Los Angeles	CA	163,668	304,097	None	None	163,668	304,097	467,765	97,816		12/31/98	300
Van Nuys	CA	750,293	1,393,545	None	None	750,293	1,393,545	2,143,838	448,255		12/31/98	300
West Covina	CA	311,040	577,733	None	None	311,040	577,733	888,773	185,837		12/31/98	300
Orange Park	FL	478,314	618,348	None	280	478,314	618,628	1,096,942	199,121		12/31/98	300
Pensacola	FL	419,842	1,899,287	58,581	34,745	419,842	1,992,613	2,412,455	791,494		11/26/96	300
Des Moines	IA	225,771	682,604	None	None	225,771	682,604	908,375	217,288		01/29/99	300
Broadview	IL	345,166	641,739	None	None	345,166	641,739	986,905	206,437		12/31/98	300
Springfield	IL	219,859	630,595	None	32,077	219,859	662,672	882,531	260,616		11/26/96	300
Lenexa		1,051,077 3,688,591	1,952,233 6,850,770	None None		1,051,077 3,688,591	1,952,233	3,003,310 10,539,361	74,836 262,613		01/06/06 01/06/06	300 300
Lenexa Baltimore	MD	171,320	318,882	None	None	171,320	318,882	490,202	102,584		12/31/98	300
Blue Springs	MO	870,071	1,616,080		None			2,486,151	61,950		01/06/06	300
Dide Springs	IVIO	070,071	1,010,000	INOILE	NOME	070,071	1,010,000	2,700,131	01,930		01/00/00	300

				Cost Capita Subsequ			mount at Which f Period (Notes 2					Life on which
		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	to Acquisi	ition		and 8) Buildings, Improvements and	, - , - , - ,	Accumulated			depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Chillicothe	MO	804,948	1,495,138	None	None	804,948	1,495,138	2,300,086	57,314		01/06/06	300
Columbia		2,039,436	3,787,757	None		2,039,436		5,827,193	145,197		01/06/06	300
Columbia,		1,080,521	2,006,915	None		1,080,521		3,087,436	· ·		01/06/06	300
Fulton Jefferson	МО	791,603	1,470,353	None	None	791,603	1,470,333	2,261,956	56,364		01/06/06	300
City	MO	1,481,299	2,751,217	None	None	1,481,299	2,751,217	4,232,516	105,463		01/06/06	300
Kirksville		1,421,788	2,640,696			1,421,788		4,062,484	101,227		01/06/06	300
Macon	MO	493,394	916,537	None	None	493,394	916,537	1,409,931	35,134		01/06/06	300
Moberly		1,293,387	2,402,283	None	None	1,293,387	2,402,283	3,695,670	92,086		01/06/06	300
Omaha		1,515,773	2,816,678	None		1,515,773		4,332,451	107,973		01/06/06	300
Rochester	NY	158,168	294,456		None		294,456		94,727		12/31/98	300
Carrolton	TX	201,569 1,049,287	374,342 1,949,085	None 134,528	None 75 003	201,569 1,049,287	374,342	575,911 3,208,803	45,545 425,589		12/05/03 03/28/02	300 300
Mesquite Midland		1,590,052	2,953,473	None		1,590,052		4,543,525	113,216		03/28/02	300
Odessa		1,346,834	2,501,783	None		1,346,834	2,501,783		95,902		01/06/06	300
Pasadena	TX	147,535	274,521	None	None	147,535	274,521				12/31/98	300
Plano	TX	363,851	676,249	None	None	363,851		1,040,100	217,529		12/31/98	300
San Antonio	TX	367,890	683,750	None	None	367,890	683,750	1,051,640	219,942		12/31/98	300
Chesapeake	VA	144,014	649,869	None	11,754	144,014	661,623	805,637	550,713		12/22/86	300
Motor Vehicle Delaerships												
Robertsdale		3,026,015	5,408,695	None		3,026,015		8,434,710			04/07/06	300
Golden		4,004,339	1,602,070	None		4,004,339		5,606,409	152,196		08/25/04	300
Longmont Clermont	FL	2,502,092 575,725	6,906,609	None None		2,502,092	6,906,609		656,127		08/25/04 12/31/04	300 300
Gulf Breeze		3,518,413	2,671,558 905,480			575,725 3,518,413		3,247,283 4,423,893	218,165 25,655		04/07/06	300
Pooler		1,339,957	1,830,729	None		1,339,957		3,170,686	51,556		03/01/06	300
Snellville		1,137,266	3,201,155	None		1,137,266		4,338,421	134,787		10/25/05	300
Woodstock		2,509,102	2,509,993	None		2,509,102		5,019,095	121,316		10/25/05	300
Island Lake	IL	2,107,134	5,419,814	None	None	2,107,134	5,419,814	7,526,948	442,618		12/31/04	300
Colfax	NC	1,125,979	2,196,033	None		1,125,979	2,196,033	3,322,012	176,928		12/31/04	300
Statesville		2,353,825	4,159,653	None		2,353,825		6,513,478	311,455		05/13/04	300
Chichester	NH	578,314	4,546,307	None	None			5,124,621	354,317	02/17/06	10/01/04	300
Churchville		1,000,000	5,755,131	None		1,000,000	554.500	6,755,131	130,470	04440405	03/23/06	300
Green Hillsboro		715,953 1,611,084	554,589 1,936,755			715,953 1,611,084		1,270,542 3,547,839			01/20/05 09/01/06	300 300
Woods	OK	1,011,004	1,930,733	None	None	1,011,004	1,930,733	3,347,039	22,393		09/01/00	300
Village	OR	3,822,277	4,226,096	None	None	3,822,277	4 226 096	8,048,373	49,304		09/01/06	300
Connellsville		264,670	587,843			264,670		854,036			08/17/87	300
Columbia		1,145,120	31,285			1,145,120		1,176,405	· ·		03/03/05	300
Myrtle												
Beach		4,099,824	2,080,941	None		4,099,824		6,180,765			03/03/05	300
Spartanburg		1,234,815	3,111,921	None		1,234,815		4,346,736			03/03/05	300
Katy	TX	1,347,454	8,564,135	None	None	1,347,454	8,564,135	9,911,589	392,426		01/25/05	300
Office Supplies												
Lakewood		1,398,387	3,098,607	None	None	1,398,387	3,098,607	4,496,994			01/29/97	300
Riverside		1,410,177	1,659,850			1,410,177		3,070,027	· ·		09/17/97	300
Casselberry	FL		1,277,112		None			1,277,112			01/25/05	300
Hutchinson	KS	269,964	1,704,013		None	269,964		1,973,977			06/25/97	300
Salina	KS	240,423	1,829,837		None	240,423		2,070,260			06/25/97	300
Sikeston	MO	409,114	2,005,416	None	None	409,114	2,005,416	2,414,530	397,728		01/24/02	300

		Initial Co	est to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subsequ to Acquisi	ent		mount at Which f Period (Notes 2 and 8) Buildings, Improvements and		Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed
Helena	MT	564,241	1,503,118	400	None	564,241	1,503,518	2,067,759	573,681		06/09/97	300
Asheboro	NC	465,557	2,176,416	21,418	None	465,557	2,197,834	2,663,391	773,075		03/27/98	300
Westbury	NY	3,808,076	2,377,932	None	None	3,808,076	2,377,932	6,186,008	883,550		09/29/97	300
New Philiadelphia	ОН	726,636	1,650,672	7,960	None	726,636	1,658,632	2,385,268	636,683		05/30/97	300
Pet Supplies and Services												
Tampa	FL	347,794	905,248	46,000	14,357	347,794	965,605	1,313,399	308,878		12/31/98	300
Duluth	GA	361,058	1,591,629		None	361,058		1,952,687	447,427	01/27/99	09/29/98	300
Marietta	GA	495,412	1,526,370	None	None	495,412	1,526,370	2,021,782	412,427	05/28/99	09/29/98	300
Indianapolis	IN	427,000	1,296,901	None	None	427,000		1,723,901	344,486	03/10/00	01/19/99	
Sudbury	MA	543,038	2,477,213	None	None	543,038		3,020,251	639,015	11/12/99	09/30/98	
Tyngsborough		312,204	1,222,522		None	312,204		1,534,726	417,688		06/12/98	
Matthews	NC	610,177	1,394,743	None	None	610,177	1,394,743	2,004,920	471,888		07/17/98	300
North	NII	985,430	1 500 447	None	17	985,430	1 500 464	2 575 904	450 402		00/24/09	300
Plainfield Albuquerque	NJ NM	684,036	1,590,447 874,914		17 42,875	684,036		2,575,894 1,901,825	459,493 373,157		09/24/98 12/31/98	
Dickson City	PA	659,790	1,880,722		None	659,790		2,543,008	717,668		06/20/97	
Clarksville	TN	290,775	395,870		109	290,775	395,979		160,404		11/26/96	
Private Education												
Coconut Creek	FL	310,111	1,243,682	None	None	310,111	1 242 692	1 552 702	367,173	08/02/99	12/01/98	300
Las Vegas		1,080,444	3,346,772			1,080,444		1,553,793 4,427,216	1,176,852	08/02/99	03/04/98	
Missouri City	TX	221,025	437,593		21,608	221,025	459,201	680,226	276,434		12/13/90	
Sugar Land		1,600,000	6,300,995			1,600,000		7,900,995	136,518		06/28/06	
Chantilly	VA	688,917	3,208,607	None	None			3,897,524	910,259	05/07/99	09/30/98	
Kingstowne	VA	300,000	1,191,396		None	300,000		1,491,396	312,050	08/22/00	11/08/99	
Restaurants												
Alabaster	AL	335,197	622,697	None	None	335,197	622,697	957,894	7,265		09/14/06	300
Andalusia	AL	252,403	468,949	None	None	252,403	468,949		5,471		09/14/06	300
Atmore	AL	272,044	505,636		None	272,044	505,636				08/31/01	300
Attalla	AL	148,993	276,890		None	148,993	276,890		3,230		09/14/06	
Bessemer	AL	172,438	320,429		None	172,438	320,429		3,738		09/14/06	
Boaz	AL AL	829,001 134,432	1,541,245 249,846		None	829,001 134,432	249,846	2,370,246	7,705		11/01/06 09/14/06	
Brent Clanton	AL	230,036	427,391	None None	None None	230,036	427,391	384,278 657,427	2,915 91,887		08/31/01	
Demopolis	AL	251,349	466,972		None	251,349			100,397		08/31/01	
Enterprise	AL	840,946	1,563,474		None	840,946		2,404,420	7,816		11/01/06	
Evergreen	AL	148,982	276,881		None	148,982	276,881	425,863	3,230		09/14/06	
Fort Payne	AL	303,056	563,001	None	None	303,056	563,001	866,057	121,043		08/31/01	300
Fort Payne	AL	814,113	1,513,596		None	814,113		2,327,709	7,567		11/01/06	
Gadsden	AL	242,194	449,977		None	242,194	449,977		5,250		09/14/06	
Gadsden	AL	851,124	1,582,332		None	851,124		2,433,456	7,910		11/01/06	
Gardendale	AL	398,669	740,568		None	398,669		1,139,237	159,220		08/31/01	
Greenville	AL	226,108	420,117		None	226,108	420,117		4,901		09/14/06	
Hamilton Hoover	AL AL	214,198 251,434	397,991 467,185	None None	None None	214,198 251,434	397,991 467,185	612,189 718,619	4,643 100,442		09/14/06 08/31/01	
Hueytown	AL	281,422	522,828		None	281,422	522,828		6,100		08/31/01	
Huntsville	AL	826,840	1,537,233		None	826,840		2,364,073	7,685		11/01/06	

			t to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subsequ to Acquisi	ent		nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and		l 8) Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Huntsville	AL	811,599	1,508,927	None	None	811,599	1,508,927		7,543		11/01/06	300
Leeds	AL	171,145	318,028	None	None	171,145	318,028	489,173	3,710		09/14/06	300
Mobile	AL	286,333	531,950	None	None	286,333	531,950	818,283	6,206		09/14/06	300
Montgomery		143,693	267,060	None	None	143,693	267,060	410,753	3,116		09/14/06	300 300
Montgomery Montgomery		145,206 380,468	269,870 706,777	None None	None None	145,206 380,468	269,870	415,076 1,087,245	3,148 5,890		09/14/06 10/12/06	300
Opp	AL	160,778	298,782	None	None	160,778	298,782	459,560	2,490		10/12/06	300
Prattville	AL	254,278	472,432	None	None	254,278	472,432	726,710	5,512		09/14/06	300
Sylacauga	AL	801,413	1,490,012	None	None	801,413		2,291,425	7,449		11/01/06	300
Trussville	AL	256,485	476,510	None	None	256,485	476,510	732,995	3,971		10/12/06	300
Warrior	AL	159,109	295,676	None	None	159,109	295,676	454,785	3,450		09/14/06	300
Arkadelphia	AR	248,868	462,744	None	None	248,868	462,744	711,612	3,856		10/12/06	300
Bentonville	AR	377,086	700,582	None	None	377,086		1,077,668	150,621		08/31/01	300
Conway	AR	941,465	1,750,100	None	None	941,465		2,691,565	8,749		11/01/06	300
El Dorado	AR	907,534	1,687,608	None	None	907,534		2,595,142	8,437		11/01/06	300
Hope	AR	288,643	536,715	None	None	288,643	536,715	825,358	115,385		08/31/01	300
	AR AR	267,376	497,124 589,377	None None	None None	267,376 317,000	497,124 589,377	764,500 906,377	5,800 126,707		09/14/06 08/31/01	300 300
Little Rock Malvern	AR	317,000 219,703	408,588	None	None	219,703	408,588	628,291	4,767		08/31/01	300
Pocahontas	AR	241,128	447,988	None	None	241,128	447,988	689,116	3,733		10/12/06	300
Russellville	AR	864,497	1,607,158	None	None	864,497		2,471,655	8,035		11/01/06	300
Siloam			2,001,100	2,000	- 10-10		2,001,120	_, ,	3,322			
Springs	AR	190,000	352,808	None	None	190,000	352,808	542,808	128,764		11/20/97	300
Glendale	ΑZ	624,761	895,976	None	100	624,761	896,076	1,520,837	386,840		03/06/96	300
Glendale	ΑZ	1,511,430	3,224,502	None	None	1,511,430	3,224,502	4,735,932	9,966	11/06/06	05/16/06	300
Goodyear	ΑZ	794,360	686,252	None	None	794,360		1,480,612	23,402	01/13/06	04/08/05	300
Surprise	AZ	681,288	1,008,310	None	None	681,288		1,689,598	74,390	09/29/04	04/16/04	300
Tucson	AZ	107,393	497,904	None	308	107,393	498,212	605,605	437,282		01/17/86	300
Yuma	AZ	236,121	541,651	None	None	236,121	541,651	777,772	186,866		05/28/98	300 300
Barstow Livermore	CA CA	689,842 662,161	690,204 823,242	None None	None None	689,842 662,161		1,380,046 1,485,403	228,920 273,045		09/24/98 09/23/98	300
Northridge	CA	002,101	023,242	None	102	002,101	102	102	40		04/01/70	300
Rancho	CII			Trone	102		102	102	40		04/01/70	300
Cucamonga	CA	95,192	441,334	None	129	95,192	441,463	536,655	384,246		12/20/85	300
Riverside	CA	90,000	170,394	135,301	55	90,000	305,750	395,750	194,456		12/09/76	300
Sacramento	CA	386,793	417,290	None	None	386,793	417,290	804,083	141,183		07/31/98	300
San Dimas	CA	240,562	445,521	46,026	None	240,562	491,547	732,109	453,192		03/12/81	180
San Ramon	CA	406,000	1,126,930	None	None	406,000	1,126,930	1,532,930	1,126,930		12/08/83	180
Colorado												
Springs	CO	152,000	704,736		262	152,000	704,998	856,998	593,300		09/30/86	300
Denver	CO	540,250	1,132,439		None	540,250		1,672,689	90,031	08/09/04		300
Lakewood		1,606,511	5,865	None		1,606,511		1,612,376	558		12/31/02	300
Parker Danbury	CO CT	778,054 548,459	1,169,265 284,639	None None	None None	778,054 548,459	284,639	1,947,319 833,098	67,714 57,401	06/10/05	02/23/05 12/19/01	300 300
Glastonbury	CT	452,291	293,214		None	452,291	293,214	745,505	59,130		12/19/01	300
Manchester	CT	458,386	458,639	None	None	458,386	458,639	917,025	92,491		12/19/01	300
Unionville	CT	167,740	316,672	None	None	167,740	316,672	484,412	63,861		12/19/01	300
Waterbury	CT	521,021	705,163	None	None	521,021		1,226,184	142,206		12/19/01	300
Casselberry	FL	403,900	897,075	None	134	403,900		1,301,109	594,063		12/29/89	300
Chipley	FL	270,439	502,655	None	None	270,439	502,655	773,094	108,065		08/31/01	300
DeFuniak	FL	269,554	501,010		None	269,554	501,010	770,564	107,712		08/31/01	300
Jacksonville	FL	150,210	693,445	None	None	150,210	693,445	843,655	610,856		09/13/85	300

Description (Note 1)			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition Fees	Cost Capita Subsequ to Acquisi	ent	Close of Per	nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition Fees		Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement is Computed (in Months)
											-	
Jacksonville Jacksonville		143,299 1,451,180	664,373 682,518	None None	None	143,299 1,451,180	664,373	807,672 2,133,698	579,046 7,699	08/04/06	12/13/85 05/09/06	300 300
Land O	1 L	1,431,100	002,310	None	TVOIC	1,731,100	002,310	2,133,070	7,077	00/04/00	03/07/00	300
Lakes	FL	770,136	1,190,937	None	None	770,136	1,190,937	1,961,073	42,371	10/21/05	03/24/05	300
New Port												
Ritchey	FL	929,402	1,376,357	None	None	929,402	1,376,357		3,680	11/13/06	08/01/06	300
Orlando Orlando	FL FL	209,800 230,000	972,679 1,066,339	None None	463 461	209,800 230,000	1,066,800	1,182,942	823,137 931,791		08/15/86 11/18/85	300 300
Orlando	FL	600,000	949,489	None	None	600,000		1,549,489	289,815	05/27/99	12/18/98	300
Orlando		1,135,310	1,143,599	None		1,135,310	1,143,599		113	In Progress	06/30/06	300
Oviedo	FL	456,108	847,515	None	None	456,108		1,303,623	38,138		11/21/05	300
Oviedo	FL	204,200	911,338	None	None	204,200		1,115,538	247,735		08/24/99	300
Palm Bay	FL	330,000	556,668	None	None	330,000	556,668	886,668	173,616	02/17/99	12/29/98	300
Panama												
City	FL	202,047	375,424	None	None	202,047	375,424	577,471	3,129		10/12/06	300
Albany Americus	GA GA	326,690 709,624	607,247	None None	None None	326,690	607,247 1,319,578	933,937	25,301 6,597		12/21/05 11/01/06	300 300
Americus	GA	827,895	1,319,578 1,539,237	None	None	709,624 827,895	1,519,578		7,695		11/01/06	300
Gainesville	GA	952,660	1,770,931	None	None	952,660	1,770,931		8,853		11/01/06	300
Garden City	GA	197,225	438,043	32,125	11,190	197,225	481,358	678,583	307,662		04/20/89	300
Hinesville	GA	172,611	383,376	None	3,845	172,611	387,221	559,832	285,323		12/22/87	300
Lagrange	GA	853,599	1,586,959	None	None	853,599	1,586,959	2,440,558	7,934		11/01/06	300
Lithonia	GA	89,220	413,647	None	1,243	89,220	414,890	504,110	377,760		01/04/85	300
Norcross	GA	827,707	1,538,875	None	None	827,707	1,538,875		7,693		11/01/06	300
Savannah	GA	719,188	1,337,352	None	None	719,188		2,056,540	6,686		11/01/06	300
Snellville Statesboro	GA GA	710,600 926,462	1,321,389 1,722,290	None None	None None	710,600 926,462	1,321,389 1,722,290		6,606 8,610		11/01/06 11/01/06	300 300
Statesboro	GA	201,250	446,983	None	3,503	201,250	450,486	651,736	299,168		11/01/00	300
Stone	UA	201,230	440,703	None	3,303	201,230	430,400	031,730	277,100		11/1-7/07	300
Mountain	GA	215,940	1,001,188	51,876	1,889	215,940	1,054,953	1,270,893	879,279		10/30/86	300
Thomasville	GA	300,211	558,074	None	None	300,211	558,074	858,285	23,253		12/21/05	300
Thomasville	GA	894,504	1,662,939	None	None	894,504	1,662,939	2,557,443	8,313		11/01/06	300
Valdosta	GA	901,658	1,676,225	None	None	901,658	1,676,225	2,577,883	8,380		11/01/06	300
Warner	C.4	006.041	1 667 267	NT.	N.T.	006.041	1 ((7.0(7.	2.564.100	0.225		11/01/06	200
Robins	GA GA	896,841 292,628	1,667,267 543,862	None None	None None	896,841 292,628	1,667,267 543,862	2,564,108 836,490	8,335 116,925		11/01/06 08/31/01	300 300
Washington Waycross	GA	223,475	415,563	None	None	223,475	415,563	639,038	17,315		12/21/05	300
Waycross	GA	956,765	1,778,566	None	None	956,765	1,778,566		8,892		11/01/06	300
Altoona	IA	654,179	1,285,639	None	None	654,179	1,285,639		72,368	06/13/05	12/30/04	300
Ankeny	ΙA	100,000	349,218	25,075	555	100,000	374,848	474,848	358,390		07/28/83	180
Burlington	ΙA	653,057	1,214,571	None	None	653,057	1,214,571		6,072		11/01/06	300
Cedar Falls	IΑ	208,411	387,971	None	None	208,411	387,971	596,382	16,164		12/21/05	300
Cedar	т л	125.076	222.206	N	N	125.076	222.206	250 202	0.716		12/21/05	200
Rapids Cedar	IA	125,076	233,206	None	None	125,076	233,206	358,282	9,716		12/21/05	300
Rapids	ΙA	822,331	1,528,939	None	None	822,331	1,528,939	2 351 270	7,643		11/01/06	300
Clive	IA	840,697	1,563,046	None	None	840,697	1,563,046		7,814		11/01/06	300
Fort Dodge	IA	388,815	722,573	None	None	388,815		1,111,388	8,430		09/14/06	300
Oelwein	IA	84,244	157,375	None	None	84,244	157,375	241,619	6,556		12/21/05	300
Urbandale	ΙA	395,896	735,724	None	None	395,896	735,724	1,131,620	8,583		09/14/06	300
Waterloo	IA	263,555	490,374	None	None	263,555	490,374	753,929	17,164		02/28/06	300
Boise	ID	190,894	423,981	None	250	190,894	424,231	615,125	308,481		05/17/88	300
Boise	ID	161,352	334,041	None	250	161,352	334,291	495,643	237,648		10/07/88	300
Nampa	ID	74,156 90,760	343,820	None	250	74,156	344,070	418,226	286,129		12/31/86 11/25/85	300 300
Rexburg Alton	ID IL	225,785	420,787 419,315	None None	11,524 747	90,760 225,785	432,311 420,062	523,071 645,847	368,256 298,619		10/18/88	300
1 MOH	ıL	223,763	717,513	INOILE	/4/	223,103	720,002	0.75,047	270,019		10/10/00	500

			ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent		mount at Which eriod (Notes 2, 3 Buildings, Improvements					Life on which depreciation in latest Income
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	and Acquisition Fees	Total	Accumulated Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (in Months)
Centralia		225,966	420,573	None		225,966	420,573	646,539	17,523		12/21/05	300
Champaign		805,888	1,498,402	None		805,888	1,498,402		7,491		11/01/06	300
Effingham Fairview		783,528	1,456,874	None		783,528	1,456,874		7,283		11/01/06	300
Heights		660,652	1,227,321	None		660,652	1,227,321		55,229		11/21/05	300
Lincoln		206,532	383,970	None		206,532	383,970	590,502	82,548		08/31/01	300
Marion Moline		831,323 781,044	1,545,566 1,452,262	None None		831,323 781,044	1,545,566 1,452,262		7,727 7,260		11/01/06 11/01/06	300 300
Mt Vernon		883,110	1,432,202	None		883,110	1,432,202		8,207		11/01/06	300
Oswego		953,394	1,208,677	None		953,394	1,208,677		47,848	06/15/05	06/24/05	300
Peoria		662,460	1,060,577	None		662,460	1,060,577		82,035	10/13/04	06/15/04	300
Rock Island		138,463	258,066	None		138,463	258,066	396,529	10,752		12/21/05	300
Springfield	IL	846,830	1,574,436	None	None	846,830	1,574,436	2,421,266	7,871		11/01/06	300
Swansea	IL	890,625	1,655,705	None	None	890,625	1,655,705	2,546,330	8,277		11/01/06	300
Anderson		831,077	1,545,131	None		831,077	1,545,131		7,724		11/01/06	300
Anderson		197,523	438,706	None		197,523	439,021	636,544	317,612		03/25/88	300
Elkhart		496,306	922,168	None		496,306		1,418,474	41,498		11/21/05	300
Elkhart Evansville		835,890	1,554,059 254,864	None		835,890	1,554,059		7,769		11/01/06	300 300
Jasper		136,738 129,919	254,864	None None		136,738 129,919	254,864 242,199	391,602 372,118	10,618 10,091		12/21/05 12/21/05	300
Kokomo		417,330	775,555	None		417,330	·	1,192,885	24,559		03/28/06	300
Marion		426,384	792,314	None		426,384		1,218,698	33,013		12/13/05	300
Marion		685,194	1,274,206	None		685,194	1,274,206		6,370		11/01/06	300
Michigan				N.					7.016		11/01/07	200
City		840,998	1,563,545	None		840,998	1,563,545		7,816		11/01/06	300
Muncie Muncie		136,400 644,177	632,380 1,196,786	8,000 None		136,400 644,177	653,715 1,196,786	790,115	557,284 53,855		03/18/86 11/21/05	300 300
Muncie		67,156	149,157	None		67,156	149,308	216,464	109,455		03/30/88	300
New Castle		246,192	320,572	None		246,192	320,735	566,927	245,278		01/07/87	300
Newburgh		161,193	300,280	None		161,193	300,280	461,473	12,511		12/21/05	300
South Bend		133,200	617,545	None		133,200	636,892	770,092	549,002		04/28/86	300
Terre Haute	IN	767,189	1,426,532	None	None	767,189	1,426,532	2,193,721	7,131		11/01/06	300
Valparaiso	IN	365,612	679,507	None		365,612	679,507	1,045,119	26,048		01/11/06	300
Washington		155,856	290,368	None		155,856	290,368	446,224	12,098		12/21/05	300
Westfield		213,341	477,300	None		213,341	477,300	690,641	316,870		12/21/89	300
Chanute		330,852	615,008	None		330,852	615,008	945,860	7,175		09/14/06	300
Derby		96,060	445,359	None		96,060	445,359	541,419	390,480		10/29/85	300
El Dorado Fort Scott		87,400 269,301	405,206 500,698	None None		87,400 269,301	412,764 500,698	500,164 769,999	349,084 5,841		04/10/86 09/14/06	300 300
Parsons		318,516	592,099	None		318,516	592,099	910,615	6,908		09/14/06	300
Shawnee		953,916	1,773,245	None		953,916	1,773,245		8,865		11/01/06	300
Wichita		98,000	454,350	None		98,000	454,591	552,591	384,453		08/08/86	300
Wichita		787,377	1,463,936	None		787,377	1,463,936		7,318		11/01/06	300
Bowling												
Green		685,246	1,273,002	None	None	685,246	1,273,002	1,958,248	57,285		11/21/05	300
Hazard		243,836	453,025	None		243,836		696,861	5,285		09/14/06	300
Hopkinsville			1,490,241	None		801,532	1,490,241		7,450		11/01/06	300
Lexington		655,085	1,216,983	None		655,085	1,216,983		54,764		11/21/05	300
Lexington		122,200	490,200	None		122,200		612,400	408,341		12/03/86	300
Louisville		821,990	1,528,277	None		821,990	1,528,277		7,640		11/01/06 09/14/06	300
Madisonville Middlesboro			784,831 1,598,327	None None		422,501 859,709	1,598,327	1,207,332	9,156 7,990		11/01/06	300 300
MIGGIESOUIO	ΙΧΙ	039,709	1,390,327	None	None	039,709	1,370,327	2,430,030	7,990		11/01/00	300

			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent	Close of Pe	nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and		8) Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction A	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Murray	KY	831,246	1,545,422	None	None	831,246	1,545,422	2,376,668	7,726		11/01/06	300
Paducah	KY	673,551	1,251,276	None	None		1,251,276		56,307		11/21/05	300
Richmond	KY	913,770	1,698,726	None	None	,	1,698,726		8,492		11/01/06	300
Alexandria	LA	143,000	662,985	None	15,164		678,149	821,149	587,984		01/17/86	300
Alexandria		1,270,223	2,361,174	None		1,270,223	2,361,174		11,805		11/01/06	300
Bossier City	LA	172,269 1,011,084	320,497 1,879,972	None	None	172,269 1,011,084	320,497 1,879,972	492,766	3,739 9,399		09/14/06 11/01/06	300 300
Hammond Houma		1,011,084	1,879,972	None None		1,011,084	1,879,972		9,399		11/01/06	300
Jennings	LA	107,120	496,636	None	156		496,792	603,912	435,518		10/17/85	300
Jonesboro	LA	163,651	304,492	None	None		304,492	468,143	3,552		09/14/06	300
Morgan City	LA	832,895	1,548,993	None	None			2,381,888	7,744		11/01/06	300
Natchitoches	LA	291,675	541,890	None	None	,	541,890	833,565	116,504		08/31/01	300
New Iberia	LA	917,582	1,706,269	None	None		1,706,269		8,530		11/01/06	300
Opelousas	LA	949,157	1,764,908	None	None	949,157	1,764,908	2,714,065	8,823		11/01/06	300
Pineville	LA	1,136,612	2,113,040	None	None	1,136,612	2,113,040	3,249,652	10,564		11/01/06	300
Ruston	LA	170,274	316,792	None	None		316,792	487,066	3,696		09/14/06	300
Ruston	LA	982,427	1,826,696	None	None		1,826,696		9,132		11/01/06	300
Shreveport	LA	359,268	667,417	None	None			1,026,685	143,492		08/31/01	300
Shreveport	LA	154,671	287,815	None	None		287,815	442,486	3,358		09/14/06	300
Shreveport	LA	200,033	372,059	None	None		372,059	572,092	4,341		09/14/06	300
Shreveport	LA	259,987	483,401	None	None		483,401	743,388	5,640		09/14/06	300
Shreveport	LA	269,130	500,382	None	None		500,382	769,512	5,838 2,944		09/14/06	300 300
Vivian Winnfield	LA LA	135,568 145,973	252,338 271,661	None None	None None	,	252,338 271,661	387,906 417,634	3,169		09/14/06 09/14/06	300
Zachary	LA	898,306	1,670,527	None	None			2,568,833	8,351		11/01/06	300
Attleboro	MA	369,815	693,655	None	None			1,063,470	139,886		12/19/01	300
Brockton	MA	298,359	272,297	None	None		272,297	570,656	54,912		12/19/01	300
Hanover	MA	397,203	281,202	None	None		281,202	678,405	56,708		12/19/01	300
Palmer	MA	141,524	598,480	None	None		598,480	740,004	120,692		12/19/01	300
Peabody	MA	529,555	222,590	None	None	529,555	222,590	752,145	44,887		12/19/01	300
Pittsfield South	MA	286,241	950,022	None	None	286,241	950,022	1,236,263	191,586		12/19/01	300
Weymouth	MA	351,472	296,284	None	None		296,284	647,756	59,749		12/19/01	300
Springfield	MA	280,920	337,325	None	None		337,325	618,245	68,026		12/19/01	300
Springfield	MA	230,030	865,572	None	None			1,095,602	174,555		12/19/01	300
Springfield	MA	227,207	958,444	None	None	,		1,185,651	193,285		12/19/01	300
Stoneham	MA	397,544	191,717	None	None		191,717	589,261	38,661		12/19/01	300
Swansea Westboro	MA MA	173,853 335,191	488,699 424,534		None None		488,699 424,534	662,552 759,725	98,553 85,613		12/19/01 12/19/01	300 300
Weymouth	MA	360,727	194,556		None		194,556	555,283	39,234		12/19/01	300
La Plata	MD	120,140	557,000	38,400	466		595,866	716,006	488,195		12/03/85	300
Comstock	WID	120,140	337,000	30,400	400	120,140	373,000	710,000	700,173		12/03/03	300
Park	MI	810,477	1,506,864	None	None	810,477	1,506,864	2.317.341	7,533		11/01/06	300
Flint	MI	827,853	2,200,000	None	None		2,2 0 0,000	827,853	1,000		04/13/95	300
Flint	MI	885,144	1,645,531	None	None		1,645,531		8,226		11/01/06	300
Lansing	MI	873,536	1,623,973	None	None			2,497,509	8,119		11/01/06	300
Saginaw	MI	766,531	1,425,263	None	None	766,531	1,425,263	2,191,794	7,125		11/01/06	300
Taylor	MI	847,070	1,574,821	None	None		1,574,821		7,873		11/01/06	300
Westland	MI	869,530	1,616,568	None	None		1,616,568		8,082		11/01/06	300
Roseville	MN	281,600	1,305,560	None	189			1,587,349	1,192,194		12/18/84	300
Belton	MO	89,328	418,187	22,270	15,404	89,328	455,861	545,189	392,738		12/18/84	300

Description		Initial C	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition	Cost Capit: Subsequ to Acquisi	ent		mount at Which eriod (Notes 2, 3 Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition		d 8) Accumulated Depreciation	Date of	Date	Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement is Computed
(Note 1)		Land	Fees	Improvements	Costs	Land	Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Construction		•
Bolivar	MO	237,094	440,596	None	None	237,094	440,596	677,690	94,724		08/31/01	300
Bridgeton		743,559	1,382,610	None		743,559	,	2,126,169	6,912		11/01/06	
Buffalo	MO	159,346	296,519	None	None	159,346	296,519	455,865	3,459		09/14/06	300
Cape												
Girardeau Cape	МО	450,078	836,372	None	None	450,078	836,372	1,286,450	32,061		01/11/06	300
Girardeau	MO	745,915	1,386,950	None	None	745,915	1,386,950	2,132,865	6,934		11/01/06	300
Carthage	MO	85,020	394,175	None	321	85,020	394,496	479,516	343,726		12/03/85	300
Farmington	MO	780,812	1,451,767	None	None	780,812	1,451,767	2,232,579	7,258		11/01/06	300
Festus	MO	808,595	1,503,364	None	None	808,595	1,503,364	2,311,959	7,516		11/01/06	300
Fulton	MO	210,199	466,861	None		210,199	467,040	677,239	354,954		07/30/87	300
Hazelwood	MO	157,117	725,327	(104,329)	25,367	157,117	646,365	803,482	632,523		08/28/85	300
Jefferson												
City		713,088	1,325,993	None		713,088		2,039,081	6,629		11/01/06	
Joplin		301,207	559,953	None		301,207	559,953	861,160	6,533		09/14/06	
Joplin Mountain	MO	281,001	522,428	None	None	281,001	522,428	803,429	6,095		09/14/06	300
Grove	MO	219,704	408,591	None	None	219,704	408,591	628,295	4,767		09/14/06	300
Mt. Vernon		160,000	282,586	None		160,000	282,586	442,586	103,134		11/20/97	300
Nevada		222,552	494,296	None		222,552	496,076	718,628	377,419		07/30/87	300
Nevada		290,795	540,616	None		290,795	540,616	831,411	6,307		09/14/06	
Nixa	MO	251,387	467,430	None	None	251,387	467,430	718,817	5,453		09/14/06	300
Ozark	MO	140,000	292,482	None	None	140,000	292,482	432,482	106,746		11/20/97	300
Poplar Bluff			1,439,603	None	None	774,256	1,439,603	2,213,859	7,197		11/01/06	
Raymore		726,583	1,351,055	None		726,583		2,077,638	6,754		11/01/06	
Sedalia		269,798	599,231	11,556		269,798	610,787	880,585	412,743		07/31/89	
Sedalia		696,604	1,295,380	None		696,604		1,991,984	6,476		11/01/06	
Springfield		251,381	467,418	None		251,381	467,418	718,799	5,453		09/14/06	
Springfield		225,939	420,162	None		225,939	420,162	646,101	3,501	12/22/05	10/12/06	
St. Charles St. Charles		695,121 175,413	1,001,878 809,791	None None		695,121 175,413	820,128	1,698,337 995,541	442,306 725,111	12/22/95	03/16/95 08/28/85	300 300
St. Joseph		960,412	1,785,308	None		960,412	,	2,745,720	8,925		11/01/06	
St. Robert		329,242	611,728	None		329,242	611,728	940,970	131,518		08/31/01	300
St. Robert		744,158	1,383,694	None		744,158		2,127,852	6,917		11/01/06	
Sullivan		85,500	396,400	(40,743)		85,500	369,660	455,160	347,524		12/27/84	
Webb City		337,647	627,628	None		337,647	627,628	965,275	7,322		09/14/06	
Biloxi	MS	414,902	770,725	None	None	414,902	770,725	1,185,627	8,992		09/14/06	300
Canton		163,193	303,268	None		163,193	303,268	466,461	3,538		09/14/06	
Carthage		157,803	293,257	None		157,803	293,257	451,060	3,421		09/14/06	
Columbus		720,310	1,339,963	None		720,310		2,060,273	6,699		11/01/06	
Columbus		128,409	238,775	None		128,409	238,775	367,184	9,949		12/21/05	
Columbus		117,411	218,350	None		117,411	218,350	335,761	9,098		12/21/05	
Corinth		285,607	530,598	None		285,607	530,598	816,205	6,190		09/14/06	
Corinth		867,086	1,612,029	None		867,086		2,479,115	8,059		11/01/06	
Flowood Forest		154,733 106,457	287,549 198,007	None None		154,733 106,457	287,549 198,007	442,282 304,464	3,355 8,250		09/14/06 12/21/05	
Fulton		239,686	445,337	None		239,686	445,337	685,023	95,745		08/31/01	300
Gautier		241,995	449,607	None		241,995	449,607	691,602	5,245		09/14/06	
Greenville		311,324	578,378	None		311,324	578,378	889,702	124,349		08/31/01	300
Greenwood		177,329	329,520	None		177,329	329,520	506,849	3,844		09/14/06	
Hattiesburg		856,070	1,592,088	None		856,070		2,448,158	7,959		11/01/06	
Hernando		137,898	256,282	None		137,898	256,282	394,180	2,990		09/14/06	
Houston		226,962	421,695	None		226,962	421,695	648,657	4,920		09/14/06	

			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subsequ to Acquisi	ent	Close of Pe	mount at Which criod (Notes 2, 3 Buildings, Improvements and		d 8) Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed
Indianola	MS	270,639	502,822	None	None	270,639	502,822	773,461	108,104		08/31/01	300
Iuka		139,243	258,779	None	None	139,243	258,779	398,022	3,019		09/14/06	
Jackson		237,982	442,154	None		237,982	442,154	680,136			09/14/06	
Jackson		352,003	653,900	None		352,003		1,005,903	5,449		10/12/06	
Kosciusko		311,422	578,550	None		311,422	578,550	889,972	6,750		09/14/06	
Laurel		778,938	1,448,844	None		778,938	1,448,844		7,243		11/01/06	
Magee		264,395	491,206	None		264,395	491,206	755,601	5,731		09/14/06	
Meridian		867,613	1,613,525	None		867,613	1,613,525		8,066		11/01/06	
Moss Point		287,821 402,589	534,713 747,934	None None		287,821	534,713	822,534 1,150,523	6,238 1,247		09/14/06 12/21/06	
Natchez Newton		284,350	528,311	None		402,589 284,350	528,311	812,661	113,584		08/31/01	
Olive Branch		332,234	617,192	None		332,234	617,192	949,426	7,201		09/14/06	
Oxford		164,058	304,873	None		164,058	304,873	468,931	3,557		09/14/06	
Oxford		297,182	552,097	None		297,182	552,097	849,279			10/12/06	
Pearl		334,822	621,994	None		334,822	621,994	956,816			08/31/01	
Philadelphia		292,868	543,912	None		292,868	543,912	836,780			09/14/06	
Pontotoc	MS	285,006	529,492	None		285,006	529,492	814,498	6,177		09/14/06	300
Starkville	MS	175,436	326,005	None	None	175,436	326,005	501,441	3,803		09/14/06	300
Tupelo	MS	166,869	310,095	None	None	166,869	310,095	476,964	3,618		09/14/06	300
Tupelo	MS	225,934	419,857	None	None	225,934	419,857	645,791	4,898		09/28/06	300
Vicksburg	MS	275,895	512,632	None	None	275,895	512,632	788,527	5,981		09/28/06	
Vicksburg		698,189	1,298,881	None		698,189	1,298,881				11/01/06	
West Point	MS	87,859	163,468	None		87,859	163,468	251,327	6,811		12/21/05	
Wiggins		268,104	498,095	None		268,104	498,095	766,199	5,811		09/14/06	
Albemarle		721,392	1,341,825	None		721,392	1,341,825		6,708		11/01/06	
Asheville		838,421	1,558,792	None		838,421	1,558,792		7,793		11/01/06	
Fayetteville		116,240	590,854	None		116,240	590,965	707,205	493,793		12/20/84	
Forest City Goldsboro		872,424 811,502	1,621,940 1,509,029	None None		872,424 811,502	1,621,940 1,509,029		8,108 7,544		11/01/06 11/01/06	
Kernersville		836,896	1,556,334	None		836,896	1,556,334		7,780		11/01/06	
Roanoke	IVC	030,070	1,550,554	None	TVOILE	050,070	1,550,554	2,373,230	7,700		11/01/00	300
Rapids	NC	834,223	1,551,226	None	None	834,223	1,551,226	2,385,449	7,755		11/01/06	300
Salisbury	NC	777,412	1,445,863	None	None	777,412	1,445,863	2,223,275	7,228		11/01/06	300
Sylva	NC	919,724	1,709,783	None	None	919,724	1,709,783	2,629,507	8,548		11/01/06	
Wilkesboro	NC	183,050	406,562	None	None	183,050	406,562	589,612	309,039		07/24/87	
Winston Salem			235,323	None		126,423	235,323	361,746	,		12/21/05	
Winston-Salem			656,427	None		353,239		1,009,666	141,126		08/31/01	300
		150,390	279,798	None		150,390		430,188	11,658		12/21/05	
Fargo		217,057	403,609	None		217,057	403,609	620,666			12/21/05	
Jamestown		136,523	254,045	None		136,523	254,045	390,568			12/21/05	
Minot		153,870	286,260	None		153,870	286,260	440,130		00/01/05	12/21/05	
Bellevue	NE	111 160	1,004,384	None	None		1,004,384			08/01/05	02/24/05	
Omaha		444,460	825,938	None		444,460		1,270,398	31,661	05/05/05	01/11/06	
Omaha		592,716	1,009,253	None		592,716	1,009,253			05/05/05	12/21/04	
Papillion		654,788	908,685	None		654,788		1,563,473	56,739	03/09/05	01/12/05	
Keene		253,769	310,470 467,504	None		253,769	310,470	564,239			12/19/01	
Laconia Manchester		330,520 266,337	467,594 486,676	None None		330,520 266,337	467,594 486,676	798,114 753,013	94,296 98,145		12/19/01 12/19/01	
North Conway		473,031	607,020	None		473,031		1,080,051	122,414		12/19/01	
Rochester		262,059	695,771	None		262,059	695,771	957,830			12/19/01	
ROCHESTEI	1411	202,039	093,771	None	INOILE	202,039	093,771	751,030	140,312		12/17/01	300

			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capit Subsequ to Acquis	ent		nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and		l 8) Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Bloomfield	NJ	556,520	260,498	None	None	556,520	260,498	817,018	52,532		12/19/01	300
Bricktown	NJ	297,264	243,581	None	None	297,264	243,581	540,845	49,121		12/19/01	300
Fairlawn	NJ	341,922	198,320	None	None	341,922	198,320	540,242	39,993		12/19/01	
Hackettstown		307,186	525,142	None	None	307,186	525,142	832,328	105,902		12/19/01	
Hillsdale	NJ	398,221	204,106	None	None	398,221	204,106	602,327	41,160		12/19/01	300
Midland Park		476,002	254,594	None	None	476,002	254,594	730,596	51,341		12/19/01	
Morris Plains	NJ	366,982	188,123	None	None	366,982	188,123	555,105	37,936	06/01/05	12/19/01	300
	NM	732,059	1,036,922	None	None	732,059	1,036,922		48,096	06/21/05	01/19/05 12/19/01	
Carmel East	NY	266,619	707,819	None	None	266,619	707,819	974,438	142,742		12/19/01	300
Northport Northport	NY	459,700	459,699	None	None	459,700	459,699	919,399	51,333		03/10/04	300
Fulton	NY	294,009	653,006	3,800	2,102	294,009	658,908	952,917	487,126		12/24/87	
Glenville	NY	156,724	246,502	None	None	156,724	246,502	403,226	49,710		12/19/01	
Middletown	NY	242,459	796,905	None	None	242,459		1,039,364	160,708		12/19/01	
Mineola	NY	560,740	408,558	None	None	560,740	408,558	969,298	45,622		03/10/04	
Mt. Kisco	NY	164,973	385,189	None	None	164,973	385,189	550,162	77,678		12/19/01	
Watertown	NY	139,199	645,355	None	None	139,199	645,355	784,554	545,221		08/18/86	300
Akron	ОН	723,347	17	None	67	723,347	84	723,431	59		12/22/94	300
Marion	OH	739,651	1,375,342	None	None	739,651	1,375,342	2,114,993	6,875		11/01/06	300
Mt. Vernon	OH	726,626	1,351,151	None	None	726,626	1,351,151	2,077,777	6,755		11/01/06	300
Sandusky	OH	824,270	1,532,494	None	None	824,270	1,532,494	2,356,764	7,661		11/01/06	
Stow	OH	317,546	712,455	None	1,904	317,546		1,031,905	530,966		12/31/87	
Wooster	OH	763,642	1,419,896	None	None	763,642	1,419,896		7,098		11/01/06	
Bixby Broken	OK	145,791	271,272	None	None	145,791	271,272	417,063	11,303		12/21/05	
Arrow	OK	245,000	369,002	None	None	245,000	369,002	614,002	133,453		12/12/97	
Checotah	OK	153,232	285,092	None	None	153,232	285,092	438,324	11,879		12/21/05	
Idabel	OK	214,244	398,545	None	None	214,244	398,545	612,789	85,678	00/20/05	08/31/01	
Norman (6)	OK	734,335	335,097	None	78,328	734,335	413,425	1,147,760	18,687	09/29/95	06/05/95	300
Oklahoma City Oklahoma	ОК	1,165,405	2,165,989	None	None	1,165,405	2,165,989	3,331,394	10,829		11/01/06	300
City	OK	759,826		None	8	759,826	8	759,834	2		07/06/95	300
Owasso	OK	327,043	607,645	None	None	327,043	607,645	934,688	130,640		08/31/01	
Tahlequah	OK	224,982	418,341	None	None	224,982	418,341	643,323	17,431		12/21/05	
Tulsa	OK	295,993	549,981	None	None	295,993	549,981	845,974	118,242		08/31/01	
Tulsa	OK	1,021,904	1,899,486	None	None	1,021,904	1,899,486	2,921,390	9,496		11/01/06	300
Tulsa	OK	490,000	910,004	None	None	490,000	910,004	1,400,004	34,883		01/24/06	300
Tulsa	OK	360,500	669,605	None	None	360,500	669,605	1,030,105	16,740		05/10/06	300
Hermiston	OR	85,560	396,675	7,975	156	85,560	404,806	490,366	363,608		12/18/84	300
Lake Oswego	OR	175,899	815,508	None	3	175,899	815,511	991,410	760,811		05/16/84	
Salem	OR	198,540	440,964	None		198,540	440,967	639,507	302,349		05/23/89	
Gettysburg	PA	289,040	809,676			289,040		1,098,716	163,283		12/19/01	
Indiana	PA	828,653	1,540,630	None	None	828,653	1,540,630		7,702		11/01/06	
Lancaster	PA	170,304	413,960			170,304	413,960	584,264	83,480		12/19/01	
Lancaster	PA	276,251	460,784	None	None	276,251	460,784	737,035	92,923		12/19/01	
Lansdale	PA	255,864	256,229			255,864	256,229	512,093	51,671		12/19/01	
Wasterly	PA	294,111	343,494	None		294,111	343,494	637,605	69,270		12/19/01 12/19/01	
Westerly	RI	485,230 240,937	569,890 447,656			485,230		1,055,120 688,593	114,926			
Aiken Gaffney	SC SC	727,738	1,353,238		None None	240,937 727,738	447,656 1 353 238	2,080,976	5,223 6,765		09/14/06 11/01/06	
Lancaster	SC	778,616	1,333,238	None	None	778,616	1,333,238		7,239		11/01/06	
Rock Hill	SC	826,216	1,536,499	None	None	826,216	1,536,499		7,681		11/01/06	
ROCK IIII	50	020,210	1,330,777	TVOILE	TOHE	020,210	1,330,777	2,302,713	7,001		11/01/00	200

		Initial Co	st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capit: Subsequ to Acquisi	ent	Close of Per	nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and		1 8)			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Chamberlain	SD	139,587	259,627	None	None	139,587	259,627	399,214	10,817		12/21/05	300
Madison	SD	112,143	208,660	None	None	112,143	208,660	320,803	8,694		12/21/05	300
Rapid City	SD	197,967	368,047	None	None	197,967	368,047	566,014	15,335		12/21/05	300
Spearfish	SD	142,114	264,320	None	None	142,114	264,320	406,434	11,013		12/21/05	300
Watertown	SD	197,559	367,289	None	None	197,559	367,289	564,848	15,303		12/21/05	300
Winner	SD	115,591	215,063	None	None	115,591	215,063	330,654	8,960		12/21/05	300
Antioch	TN	147,915	274,858	None	None	147,915	274,858	422,773	2,290		10/02/06	300
Bartlett	TN	152,469	283,343	None	None	152,469	283,343	435,812	3,306		09/14/06	300
Brownsville	TN	289,379	538,081	None	None	289,379	538,081	827,460	115,679		08/31/01	300
Chattanooga	TN	827,594	1,538,633	None	None	827,594	1,538,633		7,692		11/01/06	300
Chattanooga	TN	933,003	1,734,392	None	None	933,003 410,242	1,734,392		8,671		11/01/06	300
Columbia	TN TN	410,242	762,036	None	None	695,135		1,172,278	6,350 6,462		10/02/06	300 300
Dyersburg Greeneville	TN	695,135 936,669	1,292,644 1,741,253	None None	None None	936,669	1,292,644 1,741,253		8,705		11/01/06 11/01/06	300
Henderson	TN	155,954	289,815	None	None	155,954	289.815	445,769	3,381		09/14/06	300
Hermitage	TN	350,983	651,997	None	None	350,983	,	1.002.980	5,433		10/02/06	300
Jackson	TN	126,158	234,594	None	None	126,158	234,594	360,752	9,774		12/21/05	300
Johnson City	TN	881,225	1,638,285	None	None	881,225	1,638,285	,	8,190		11/01/06	300
Kingsport	TN	786,332	1,462,055	None	None	786,332	1,462,055		7,309		11/01/06	300
Manchester	TN	245,370	455,856	None	None	245,370	455,856	701,226	3,799		10/02/06	300
Martin	TN	173,616	322,616	None	None	173,616	322,616	496,232	3,764		09/14/06	300
Mcminnville	TN	340,428	632,468	None	None	340,428	632,468	972,896	1,054		12/21/06	300
Mcminnville	TN	703,355	1,307,903	None	None	703,355	1,307,903		6,538		11/01/06	300
Memphis	TN	405,274	1,060,680	None	36,538	405,274	1,097,218		517,417	06/30/95	03/17/95	300
Memphis	TN	148,386	275,760	None	None	148,386	275,760	424,146	3,217		09/14/06	300
Memphis	TN	254,423	472,680	None	None	254,423	472,680	727,103	3,939		10/12/06	300
Memphis	TN	871,951	1,621,017	None	None	871,951	1,621,017	2,492,968	8,104		11/01/06	300
Milan	TN	138,159	256,766	None	None	138,159	256,766	394,925	2,996		09/14/06	300
Millington	TN	285,613	530,630	None	None	285,613	530,630	816,243	114,083		08/31/01	300
Morristown	TN	182,935	340,274	None	None	182,935	340,274	523,209	14,178		12/21/05	300
Murfreesboro		411,504	764,386	None	None	411,504		1,175,890	6,370		10/02/06	300
Murfreesboro		383,266	712,027	None	None	383,266		1,095,293	1,187		12/21/06	300
Nashville	TN	432,494	803,361	None	None	432,494		1,235,855	6,695		10/02/06	300
Nashville	TN	376,568	699,513	None	None	376,568		1,076,081	5,829		10/02/06	300
Nashville	TN	244,470	454,180	None	None	244,470	454,180	698,650	3,785		10/02/06	300
Newport	TN	640,841	1,191,858	None	None	640,841	1,191,858		5,958		11/01/06	300
Ripley	TN	231,552	430,232	None	None	231,552	430,232	661,784	92,497		08/31/01	300
Sevierville	TN	423,790	787,301	None	None	423,790		1,211,091	9,185		09/28/06	300
Shelbyville	TN	341,251 174,379	633,911 324,032	None	None	341,251 174,379	633,911 324,032	975,162 498,411	5,283		10/02/06 09/14/06	300
Trenton	TN			None	None			498,411	3,780	07/00/00		
Allen	TX	165,000 1,049,946	306,771	None	None	165,000 1,049,946	306,771 1,952,028		91,520 9,759	07/09/99	05/28/99 11/01/06	300
Austin			1,952,028	None		699,395				02/15/06		300
Austin Austin	TX TX	699,395 976,803	1,152,009 1,213,148	None None	None None	976,803	1,152,009 1,213,148		33,169 5,853	02/15/06 10/23/06	09/15/05 06/19/06	300 300
Bedford	TX	919,303	98,231	None	None	919,303		1,017,534	98,231	10/23/00	12/27/94	300
Cedar Park	TX	634,489	1,512,494	None	None	634,489	1,512,494		25,890	06/06/06	01/13/06	300
Crockett	TX	90,780	420,880	None	28	90,780	420,908	511,688	366,377	00/00/00	12/17/85	300
Dallas	TX	742,507	720,000	None	399	742,507	399	742,906	142		04/13/95	300
Dallas	TX	242,025	479,170	None	None	242,025	479,170	721,195	292,173		06/25/91	300
		2.2,023	177,170	Tione	1,0110	2 .2,023	177,170	1,1/3	2,2,173		00,20,71	200

			st to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capita Subseque to Acquisi	ent	Close of Per	nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and		l 8) Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed
El Campo	TX	98,060	454,631	None	141	98,060	454,772	552,832	397,261		11/25/85	300
Ennis	TX	173,250	384,793	None	4	173,250	384,797	558,047	286,128		12/28/87	300
Fort Worth	TX TX	223,195 423,281	492,067	None	None	223,195 423,281	492,067	715,262 805,340	310,417		06/26/91	300 300
Fort Worth Gainesville	TX	89,220	382,059 413,644	None 20,713	None 143	89,220	382,059 434,500	523,720	181,478 383,791		02/10/95 12/18/84	300
	TX	870,981	1,177,824	None	None	870,981	•	2,048,805	23,090	06/02/06	01/13/06	300
Greenville	TX	909,311	1,690,848	None	None	909,311		2,600,159	8,453	00,02,00	11/01/06	300
Hillsboro	TX	75,992	352,316	6,801	305	75,992	359,422	435,414	328,012		08/01/84	300
Houston	TX	1,096,376	2,300,690	None	102,871	1,096,376	2,403,561	3,499,937	857,376		09/05/97	300
Houston	TX	989,152	1,838,713	None	None	989,152	1,838,713	2,827,865	9,192		11/01/06	300
Houston	TX	194,994	386,056	None	None	194,994	386,056	581,050	235,396		06/25/91	300
Houston	TX	184,175	364,636	None	None	184,175	364,636	548,811	222,336		06/25/91	300
Irving		1,500,411	2,156	None		1,500,411		1,502,567	334		02/05/03	300
Killeen Killeen	TX	1,327,348	2,467,204	None		1,327,348		3,794,552	12,335		11/01/06	300 300
Live Oak	TX	262,500 727,956	583,014 1,214,835	None None	14,398 None	262,500 727,956	597,412 1,214,835	859,912	455,650 52,926	09/27/05	05/29/87 06/01/05	300
Longview		1,231,857	2,289,864	None		1,231,857	2,289,864		11,448	09121103	11/01/06	300
Lufkin	TX	105,904	490,998	None	5	105,904	491,003	596,907	431,009		10/08/85	300
Lufkin	TX	128,842	239,585	None	None	128,842	239,585	368,427	9,983		12/21/05	300
Lumberton	TX	111,146	206,720	None	None	111,146	206,720	317,866	8,613		12/21/05	300
Mesquite	TX	729,596	120,820	None	None	729,596	120,820	850,416	120,820		12/23/94	300
Mesquite	TX	984,909	1,831,246	None	None	984,909		2,816,155	9,155		11/01/06	300
Mesquite	TX	134,940	625,612	None	106	134,940	625,718	760,658	538,654		03/20/86	300
Mexia	TX	93,620	434,046	None	30	93,620	434,076	527,696	377,839		12/18/85	300
New Braunfels New	TX	185,500	411,997	None	476	185,500	412,473	597,973	318,883		03/26/87	300
Braunfels	TX	860,262	1,168,757	None	None	860,262	1,168,757	2,029,019	34,165	02/14/06	10/12/05	300
Orange	TX	93,560	433,768	18,143	481	93,560	452,392	545,952	378,661		12/10/85	300
Palestine	TX	825,066	1,534,394	None	None	825,066	1,534,394	2,359,460	7,671		11/01/06	300
Plano		2,420,222	769	None		2,420,222		2,420,991	138	03/12/03	06/27/02	300
Porter	TX	227,067	333,031	None	None	227,067	333,031	560,098	158,190		02/09/95	300
San Antonio		835,431	1,185,257	None	None	835,431		2,020,688	45,210	12/02/05	06/24/05	300
San Antonio San Antonio		690,443 835,586	1,109,136 1,214,755	None	None None	690,443 835,586	1,109,136	1,799,579	44,897 11,023	10/24/05 In Progress	06/27/05 05/09/06	300 300
San Antonio Santa Fe	TX	304,414	623,331	None None	None	304,414	623,331	927,745	219,147	III Flogiess	03/09/00	300
Sealy	TX	197,871	391,753	None	None	197,871	391,753	589,624	238,870		06/25/91	300
Stafford	TX	214,024	423,733	None	None	214,024		637,757	258,370		06/26/91	300
Temple	TX	797,574	1,187,508	None	None	797,574	1,187,508		16,604	In Progress	04/07/06	300
Temple	TX	302,505	291,414	None	None	302,505	291,414	593,919	138,421	_	02/09/95	300
Texarkana	TX	311,263	578,266	None	None	311,263	578,266	889,529	124,324		08/31/01	300
Vidor	TX	146,291	271,990	None	None	146,291	271,990		11,333		12/21/05	300
Waxahachie		326,935	726,137	None	17,025	326,935		1,070,097	545,305		12/29/87	300
Waxahachie			1,925,746	None		1,035,794		2,961,540	9,627		11/01/06	300
Cedar City	UT	130,000	296,544	10,839	1,714	130,000		439,097 1,520,885	300,443		08/04/83	180
Sandy Bluefield	UT VA	635,945 845,277	884,792 1,571,754	None None	148 None	635,945 845,277	1,571,754		390,902 7,858		12/22/95 11/01/06	300 300
Colonial	V A	043,411	1,3/1,/34	None	None	043,411	1,3/1,/34	2,417,031	7,038		11/01/00	300
Heights	VA	350,000	425,146	None	None	350,000	425.146	775,146	68.732		12/26/02	300
Danville	VA	751,055	1,396,772	None	None	751,055	1,396,772		6,983		11/01/06	300
Martinsville		833,114	1,549,167	None	None	833,114	1,549,167	2,382,281	7,745		11/01/06	300
Staunton	VA	867,684	1,613,368	None	None	867,684	1,613,368	2,481,052	8,066		11/01/06	300

Description		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition	Cost Capit: Subsequ to Acquis	ent	Close of Pe	mount at Which eriod (Notes 2, 3 Buildings, Improvements and Acquisition		8) Accumulated Depreciation	Date of	Date	Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement is Computed
(Note 1)		Land	Fees	Improvements	Costs	Land	Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Construction		•
Suffolk	VA	816,986		None	None	816,986	1,519,214	2,336,200	7,595		11/01/06	
Bennington	VT	118,823		None	None	118,823	673,551	792,374	135,831		12/19/01	300
Oak Harbor	WA	275,940	,	27,215	15,303	275,940	655,392	931,332	485,337		07/16/87	300
Spokane	WA	479,531 198,857	646,719	None None	None	479,531 198,857	646,719	1,126,250 1,122,664	227,377		03/27/98 05/29/84	300 300
Tacoma Grafton	WA WI	149,778		None	1,860 None	149,778	923,807 332,664	482,442	861,530 249,560		10/29/87	300
Green Bay	WI	308,131			None	308,131	572,756	880,887	21,956		01/11/06	
Sturgeon Bay	WI	214,865		16,764	11,465	214,865	505,450	720,315	358,508		12/01/87	300
Parkersburg	WV	722,732		None	None	722,732	1,343,920	2,066,652	6,718		11/01/06	300
Laramie	WY	210,000		None	None	210,000	466,417	676,417	305,485		03/12/90	
			ĺ			Í		·	ŕ			
Sporting Goods												
Anchorage	AK	1,486,000	5,045,244	None	None	1,486,000	5,045,244	6,531,244	1,051,084		10/17/01	300
Fresno		1,650,000		None	None	1,650,000	3,321,244	4,971,244	691,917		10/17/01	300
Daytona Beach	FL	608,790		None	None		2,557,564	3,166,354	316,951	09/10/03	04/18/03	300
Fort Meyers		1,695,000		None		1,695,000	2,025,554	3,720,554	421,987		10/17/01	300
Gainesville		1,296,000		None		1,296,000	2,234,554	, ,	465,528		10/17/01	300
Melbourne	FL	994,000		None	None	994,000 1,197,000	4,076,554	5,070,554	849,278 526,152		10/17/01 10/17/01	300 300
Orlando Geneva		1,197,000 2,082,000		None None		2,082,000	2,573,554 1,838,888	3,770,554 3,920,888	536,153 383,096		10/17/01	300
Bowie		2,084,000		None		2,082,000	3,046,888	5,130,888	634,762		10/17/01	300
Glendale		5,559,686		None		5,559,686		10,007,252	363,218		12/29/04	300
Mechanicsburg				None		2,101,415	3,902,912	6,004,327	800,096		11/08/01	300
El Paso	TX	700,000		None	None	700,000	2,501,244	3,201,244	521,084		10/17/01	300
Fredericksburg	VA	1,941,000	2,979,888	None	None	1,941,000	2,979,888	4,920,888	620,804		10/17/01	300
Theaters Theaters												
Fairbanks		2,586,879		None		2,586,879	9,575	2,596,454	2,314		09/27/00	
Huntsville		2,810,868		None		2,810,868	14,308	2,825,176	3,458		09/27/00	
Naples		2,618,441	8,979,199	None		2,618,441		11,597,640	2,259,745		09/27/00	
Chamblee Edwardsville		4,329,404		None None		4,329,404	,	4,344,346	3,422 468,653		09/27/00 09/28/05	300 300
Lake in the	IL	4,270,500	9,070,883	None	None	4,270,500	9,070,883	13,341,385	408,033		09/28/03	300
Hills	П.	3,297,566	9,364,286	None	None	3,297,566	9.364.286	12,661,852	483,812		09/28/05	300
Marion	IL	832,500		None	None		3,499,885	4,332,385	180,818		09/28/05	300
Mattoon	IL	543,183		None	None			5,653,376	264,017		09/28/05	300
Pekin	IL	1,575,231		None	None	1,575,231	9,183,100	10,758,331	474,450		09/28/05	300
Rockford	IL	4,270,500	16,675,954	(1,779)	None	4,270,500	16,674,175		861,581		09/28/05	300
Springfield	IL	3,151,838	10,404,452	None	None	3,151,838	10,404,452	13,556,290	537,554		09/28/05	300
Bloomington		2,498,642				2,498,642		10,433,387	409,952		09/28/05	300
Columbus		1,999,812		None		1,999,812		9,234,173	373,766		09/28/05	300
Indianapolis		2,700,395				2,700,395	15,344,815		792,806		09/28/05	300
Terre Haute		1,249,321		None		1,249,321		11,085,206	508,178		09/28/05	300
-		2,460,040		None		2,460,040	14,964,514		773,157		09/28/05	
Inver Grove Poplar Bluff		2,863,272 1,106,618		None None		2,863,272 1,106,618	15,274,237	5,979,120	789,159 251,736		09/28/05 09/28/05	300 300
Rockaway		8,634,576		None		8,634,576	14,679,204		86,735	In Progress	09/28/05	
Binghamton		2,700,000		None		2,700,000		8,270,505	287,809	III I TOGTESS	04/13/03	300
Akron		1,511,018				1,511,018		1,512,404	335		09/27/00	
Columbus		2,103,351				2,103,351		7,264,901	851,643		11/01/02	
		, ,	. , ,			,,	, , 0	. , , ,	,			

		Initial Co	ost to Company Buildings, Improvements and	Cost Capit: Subsequ to Acquisi	ent	Close of Pe	mount at Which criod (Notes 2, 3, Buildings, Improvements and		8) Accumulated			Life on which depreciation in latest Income Statement
Description (Note 1)		Land	Acquisition Fees	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Acquisition Fees	Total	Depreciation (Note 4)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	is Computed (in Months)
Hillsboro	OR	4,915,032	16,377	None	None	4,915,032	16,377	4,931,409	3,958		09/27/00	300
Portland		2,793,001	9,942	None		2,793,001	9,942	2,802,943	2,403		09/27/00	300
Fort Worth		2,280,000	2,802,189	None		2,280,000	2,802,189	5,082,189	51,373		07/26/06	300
Laredo		2,161,477	4,566,592	None		2,161,477	4,566,592	6,728,069	41,772	10/01/06	08/09/05	300
Longview		2,887,500	5,363,826	None		2,887,500	5,363,826	8,251,326	223,493		12/21/05	300
Waco		1,013,706	5,880,539	None		1,013,706	5,880,539	6,894,245	49,005		10/06/06	300
Glen Allen		1,314,065	9,748,457	None		1,314,065	9,748,457		2,453,325		09/27/00	300
Sterling Marysville		4,546,305 1,988,142	33,325	None None		4,546,305 1,988,142	33,325	4,579,630 1,988,142	7,492		09/27/00 07/27/00	300 300
Marysville	WA	1,900,142		None	None	1,900,142		1,900,142			07/27/00	300
Travel Plazas												
Baltimore	MD	1,740,080	4,580,068	None	None	1,740,080	4,580,068	6,320,148	626,657	12/24/03	04/01/03	300
Video Rental												
Birmingham	AL	392,795	865,115	None	None	392,795	865,115	1,257,910	321,475		09/30/97	300
Southington	CT	399,562	1,009,125	None	None	399,562	1,009,125	1,408,687	324,606		12/29/98	300
Port St. Lucie	FL	612,695	701,759	None	4	612,695	701,763	1,314,458	223,573	12/09/98	09/08/98	300
Tampa	FL	401,874	933,768	None	None	401,874	933,768	1,335,642	337,711		12/23/97	300
Atlanta	GA	652,551	763,360	None	None	652,551	763,360	1,415,911	245,568		12/18/98	300
Brunswick	GA	290,369	788,880	None	None	290,369	788,880	1,079,249	285,306		12/31/97	300
Norcross	GA	431,284	724,037	None	None	431,284	724,037	1,155,321	266,623		10/01/97	300
Plainfield	IN	453,645	908,485	None	None	453,645	908,485	1,362,130	325,426		01/30/98	300
Topeka	KS	285,802	966,286	None	None	285,802	966,286	1,252,088	349,472		12/19/97	300
Wichita	KS	289,714	797,856	None	None	289,714	797,856	1,087,570	259,318		11/23/98	300
Winchester	KY	355,474	929,177	None	None	355,474	929,177	1,284,651	317,465		06/30/98	300
Warren	MI	356,348	903,351	None	None	356,348	903,351	1,259,699	323,594		01/09/98	300
Centerville	OH	601,408	758,192	None	None	601,408	758,192	1,359,600	259,046		06/30/98	300
Dayton Forest Park	OH	401,723 328,187	698,872 921,232	None None	None None	401,723 328,187	698,872 921,232	1,100,595 1,249,419	238,779 336,232		06/29/98 11/14/97	300 300
Franklin	OH	337,572	777,943	None	None	337,572	777,943	1,115,515	281,279		12/30/97	300
Springboro	OH	261,916	897,489	None	None	261,916	897,489	1,115,315	297,676		09/21/98	300
Tulsa (8)	OK	318,441	1,004,663	(174,000)		318,441	830,663	1,149,104	373,341		09/26/97	300
Bartlett	TN	420,000	674,437	None	1,757	420,000	676,194	1,096,194	205,319	05/12/99	02/23/99	300
Clarksville	TN	499,885	840,869	None	None	499,885	840,869	1,340,754	276,093	00,12,77	10/02/98	300
Columbia	TN	466,469	716,723	None	None	466,469	716,723	1,183,192	266,326		09/26/97	300
Hendersonville	TN	333,677	938,592	None	None	333,677	938,592	1,272,269	339,456		12/10/97	300
Jackson	TN	381,076	857,261	27,890	None	381,076	885,151	1,266,227	319,112		09/26/97	300
Memphis	TN	381,265	900,580	None	None	381,265	900,580	1,281,845	316,643		03/31/98	300
Murfreesboro	TN	406,056	886,293	None	None	406,056	886,293	1,292,349	329,350		09/26/97	300
Murfreesboro	TN	385,437	782,396	None	None	385,437	782,396	1,167,833	243,818		03/11/99	300
Smyrna	TN	302,372		None	None	302,372	836,214	1,138,586	310,745		09/02/97	300
Austin	TX	407,910		None	None	407,910	885,113	1,293,023	320,113		12/01/97	300
Beaumont	TX	326,041	834,895	None	57	326,041		1,160,993	309,376		09/05/97	300
Hurst	TX	373,084	871,163	None	None	373,084	871,163	1,244,247	294,743		07/29/98	300
Lubbock	TX	266,805	857,492	None	None	266,805	857,492	1,124,297	321,493		08/29/97	300
Woodway	TX	372,487		None	None	372,487	835,198	1,207,685	302,063		12/16/97	300
Hampton Virginia Beach	VA	373,499		None	None	373,499	836,071	1,209,570 1,348,848	302,377		12/19/97 02/23/98	300 300
v iigiina beach	v A	551,588	797,260	None	None	551,588	797,260	1,340,048	282,930		04143198	300

				Cost Capit		a .		G 1.1				I
		Initial Cost	to Compony	Subsequ to Acquis			nount at Which riod (Notes 2, 3					don
		ilitiai Cost	Buildings,	to Acquis	ition	at Close of Te	Buildings,	, 5, 0, 7 and 6)				dep ir
			Improvements				Improvements					I
			and				and		Accumulated			Sta
Description			Acquisition		Carrying		Acquisition		Depreciation	Date of	Date	is C
(Note 1)		Land	Fees	Improvements	Costs	Land	Fees	Total	(Note 4)	Construction	Acquired	(in
<u>Other</u>												
Escondido	CA			13,900	None		13,900	13,900	4,054		08/01/92	
Escondido	CA	1,949,375	4,222,854	None	None	1,949,375	4,222,854	6,172,229	26	In Progress	01/18/06	
San Diego	CA	5,797,411	15,473,497	208,470	75,947	5,797,411	15,757,914	21,555,325	10,373,675	01/20/89	08/05/87	
San Diego	CA	2,485,160	8,697,822	232,338	78,491	2,485,160	9,008,651	11,493,811	8,944,890	01/23/89	09/19/86	
San Diego	CA	3,745,000	8,885,351	113,731	35,308	3,745,000	9,034,390	12,779,390	7,065,643	03/08/86	03/25/86	
Deerfield												
Beach	FL	475,000	871,738	None	21,257	475,000	892,995	1,367,995	291,299		01/29/99	
Venice	FL	259,686	362,562	4,535	None	259,686	367,097	626,783	148,400		11/26/96	
Goshen	IN	115,000	533,165	10,000	6,280	115,000	549,445	664,445	455,035		07/07/86	
Humble	TX	106,000	545,518	38,793	16,638	106,000	600,949	706,949	530,998		03/25/86	
N. Richland												
Hills	TX	238,000	528,608	4,810	6,959	238,000	540,377	778,377	380,411		09/26/88	
Crest Net												
Lease		41,537,800	95,968,311			41,537,800	95,968,311	137,506,111				
Miscellaneous												
Investments			557,030		93,853		650,883	650,883	440,766			
	1	,000,762,304	1,876,409,365	2,943,174	2,295,611	1,000,762,304	1,881,648,150	2,882,410,454	397,329,170			

Note 1. One thousand nine hundred forty-eight of the properties are single-tenant retail outlets.

One property located in Sheboygan, WI, one property located in Lenexa, KS, one property located in Humble, TX, one property in Escondido, CA and three other properties located in San Diego, CA are multi-tenant commercial properties.

All properties were acquired on an all cash basis except one; no encumbrances were outstanding for the periods presented.

Note 2. The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is \$2,676,881,911.

Note 3. The following is a reconciliation of total real estate carrying value for the years ended December 31:

	2006	2005	2004
Balance at Beginning of Period	2,143,854,136	1,709,223,380	1,596,275,850
Additions During Period:			
Acquisitions	769,925,390	486,552,718	215,313,869
Less amounts allocated to intangible assets that are			
included in Other Assets on our Consolidated Balance			
Sheets	(937,030)	(11,274,335)	
Equipment	4,810	3,400	
Improvements, Etc.	198,488	1,013,284	788,394
Other (Leasing Costs)	760,443	570,665	323,271
Total Additions	769,952,101	476,865,732	216,425,534

Edgar Filing: DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Deductions During Period:			
Cost of Real Estate Sold	30,791,949	43,572,231	100,947,611
Less amounts allocated to intangible assets that are			
included in Other Assets on our Consolidated Balance			
Sheets	(205,399)	(1,575,831)	0
Cost of Equipment Sold	0	0	40,718
Releasing costs	146,340	52,147	116,750
Other (including Provisions for Impairment)	662,893	186,429	2,372,925
Total Deductions	31,395,783	42,234,976	103,478,004
Balance at Close of Period	2,882,410,454	2,143,854,136	1,709,223,380

Note 4. The following is a reconciliation of accumulated depreciation for the years ended:

Balance at Beginning of Period	341,808,533	302,513,558	275,630,524
Buttanee at Beginning of Ferrod	311,000,333	302,313,330	273,030,321
Additions During Period - Provision for Depreciation	58,602,612	45,880,667	40,613,476
Deductions During Period:			
Accumulated depreciation of real estate and equipment			
sold	3,081,975	6,585,692	13,730,442
Balance at Close of Period	397,329,170	341,808,533	302,513,558

Note 5. In 2006, provisions for impairment were recorded on four properties.

In 2005, provisions for impairment were recorded on four properties.

In 2004, provisions for impairment were recorded on six properties.

Note 6. In 2005, at the end of a land lease to a restaurant tenant in Norman, OK, we acquired a building with a fair market value of \$335,097. This building was previously owned by the tenant and we acquired it in a nonmonetary transaction.

Note 7. In 2005, in accordance with FASB 143 and FASB interpretation No. 47, we recorded in aggregate \$401,923 to two buildings for the fair value of a legal obligations to perform asset-retirement activities that are conditional on future events. These two properties are reported in the drug store industry and are located in Girard, PA and Slippery Rock, PA.

Note 8. In 2006, we reduced the value of one building by \$174,000 due to damage to the building, in the video rental industry.

See report of Independent registered public accounting firm.