

KOSS CORP
Form 10-K
September 20, 2010
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

OR

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-3295

KOSS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

39-1168275

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

4129 North Port Washington Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin
(Address of principal executive offices)

53212
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (414) 964-5000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock \$0.005 par value | The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC |

NONE

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the common voting stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$13,772,465 (based on the \$5.51 per share closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Market on December 31, 2009).

On September 10, 2010, 7,382,706 shares of voting common stock were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates by reference information from Koss Corporation's Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed with the Commission under Regulation 14A within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

KOSS CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

JUNE 30, 2010

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u> | 5 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u> | 13 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 13 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Auditors on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 20 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 24 |

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

In December 2009, Koss Corporation (the Company) learned of certain unauthorized transactions made by Sujata Sachdeva, its former Vice President of Finance and Principal Accounting Officer. The Company first learned of the unauthorized transactions on December 18, 2009, when Michael Koss received a call from American Express security informing him that certain wire transfers were being made from Koss corporate bank accounts to pay personal American Express expenses of Ms. Sachdeva. These wire transfers were part of the unauthorized transactions made by Ms. Sachdeva resulting in the misappropriation of approximately \$31,500,000 from fiscal year 2005 through December 2009. The Company subsequently learned that Ms. Sachdeva colluded with two other employees of the accounting department in the misappropriation and circumvention of the Company's then existing internal controls and established operating procedures. The collusion of these former employees, and the actions the Company took to remedy such collusion and circumvention, are described in detail in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed for the year ended June 30, 2009 and in Item 9A. Controls and Procedures in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In July 2010, Ms. Sachdeva pleaded guilty in the criminal case filed against her; and her sentencing is currently scheduled for November 18, 2010. Additional legal proceedings related to the unauthorized transactions are described in Item 3. Legal Proceedings of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As a result of the unauthorized transactions, the Company restated its previously issued consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, and for the three month period ended September 30, 2009. These restatements were disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended June 30, 2009 and its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the three months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The Company also amended its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q/A for the three months ended December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010 to include condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto, which were delayed as a result of the restatements. All of these amended reports were filed on June 30, 2010, and should be read in conjunction with this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial effect of the unauthorized transactions during fiscal year 2010 is described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of that term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act") (Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Additional written or oral forward-looking statements may be made by the Company from time to time in filings with the Securities Exchange Commission, press releases, or otherwise. Statements contained in this Form 10-K that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Act. Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, projections of revenue, income or loss and capital expenditures, statements regarding future operations, anticipated financing needs, compliance with financial covenants in loan agreements, plans for acquisitions or sales of assets or businesses, plans relating to products or services of the Company, assessments of materiality, predictions of future events, the effects of pending and possible litigation and assumptions relating to the foregoing. In addition, when used in this Form 10-K, the words anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, plans and variations thereof and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified based on current expectations. Consequently, future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by, or underlying the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K, or in other Company filings, press releases, or otherwise. In addition to the factors discussed in this Form 10-K, other factors that could contribute to or cause such differences include, but are not limited to, developments in any one or more of the following areas: future fluctuations in economic conditions, the receptivity of consumers to new consumer electronics technologies, the rate and consumer acceptance of new product introductions, competition, pricing, the number and nature of customers and their product orders, production by third party vendors, foreign manufacturing, sourcing, and sales (including foreign government regulation, trade and importation concerns), borrowing costs, changes in tax rates, pending or threatened litigation and investigations, and other risk factors which may be detailed from time to time in the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements contained herein, which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unexpected events.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

GENERAL

As used herein, the term "Company" means Koss Corporation and its consolidated wholly-owned subsidiary, Koss Classics Ltd. ("Koss Classics"), unless the context otherwise requires. The Company was incorporated in Delaware in 1971.

The Company operates in the audio/video industry segment of the home entertainment industry through its design, manufacture and sale of stereo headphones and related accessory products. The Company reports its results as a single reporting segment, as the Company's principal business line is the design, manufacture and sale of stereo headphones and related accessories.

The Company's products are sold through national retailers, international distributors, audio specialty stores, the Internet, direct mail catalogs, regional department store chains, discount department stores, military exchanges and prisons under the "Koss" name. The Company also sells products to distributors for resale to school systems, and directly to other manufacturers for inclusion with their own products. The Company has more than 250 domestic dealers and its products are carried in approximately 17,000 domestic retail outlets and numerous retailers worldwide. International markets are served by domestic sales representatives and a sales office in Switzerland which utilizes independent distributors in several foreign countries. The Company has one subsidiary, Koss Classics.

Ninety-nine percent of the Company's products are stereo headphones for listening to music. The products are not significantly differentiated by their retail sales channel or application with the exception of products sold to school systems and prisons. There are no other product line differentiations other than the quality of the sound produced by the stereo headphone itself, which is highly subjective. The business could also be classified by distribution channel.

The Company sources complete headphones manufactured to its specifications from various manufacturers in Asia as well as raw materials used to produce headphones at its plant in Milwaukee. Management believes that it has sources of complete headphones and raw materials that are adequate for its needs.

There are no employment or compensation commitments between the Company and its dealers. The Company has several independent manufacturer's representatives as part of its distribution efforts. The Company typically signs one year contracts with these manufacturer's representatives. These agreements are seldom renewed in writing, but continue from year to year. The Company has a manufacturer's representative agreement with a firm in Detroit to work exclusively in the automotive arena. The automotive representative is paid 2% for all business in this area. The Company's remaining agreements with distributors, past or present, pertain to distribution arrangements in foreign countries. The arrangements with foreign distributors do not contemplate that the Company pays any compensation other than any profit the distributors make upon their sale of the Company's products. The Company has the right to terminate these agreements with foreign distributors without cause.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

John C. Koss is recognized for creating the stereophone industry with the first SP3 stereophone in 1958. The Company regularly applies for registration of its trademarks in many countries around the world in which it does business, and over the years the Company has had numerous trademarks registered and patents issued in countries in North America, South America, Asia, Europe, Africa, and Australia. The Company currently has approximately 394 trademarks registered in 87 countries around the world and patents in 26 countries. The Company has trademarks to protect the brand name, Koss, and its logo on its products. These trademarks are maintained throughout the countries in which the Company sells its products. The Company also holds many design patents that protect the unique visual appearance of some of its products. These trademarks and patents are

Table of Contents

important to differentiate the Company from its competitors. Certain of the Company's trademarks are of material value and importance to the conduct of its business. The Company considers protection of its proprietary developments important; however, the Company's business is not, in the opinion of management, materially dependent upon any single trademark or patent. During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company took steps to update and monitor its patents and trademarks to protect its intellectual property around the world.

SEASONALITY

Although retail sales of consumer electronics have typically been higher during the holiday season, stereophones have also seen increased interest as gift items over the years. Management of the Company believes that its business and industry segment are no longer seasonal as evidenced by the fact that 59% of net sales occurred in the first six months of the year ended June 30, 2010, and 41% of net sales occurred in the latter six months of the year. Management believes that the reason for this level performance of sales to retailers is related to the fact that stereo headphones have become replacement items for portable electronic products. Therefore, upgrades and replacements appear to have as much interest over the course of the year as gifts of stereophones during the holiday season.

WORKING CAPITAL AND BACKLOG

The Company's working capital needs do not differ substantially from those of its competitors in the industry and generally reflect the need to carry significant amounts of inventory to meet delivery requirements of its customers. From time to time, although rarely, the Company may extend payment terms to its dealers for a special promotion. For instance, the Company has in the past offered a 90-120 day payment period for certain customers, such as computer retailers and office supply stores. Based on historical trends, management does not expect these practices to have any material effect on net sales or net income. The Company's backlog of orders as of June 30, 2010 is not considered material in relation to net sales during 2010 or anticipated 2011 net sales.

CUSTOMERS

The Company markets a line of products used by consumers to listen to music, DVD's in vehicles, sound bytes on computer systems, and other audio related media. The Company distributes these products through retail channels in the U.S. and independent distributors throughout the rest of the world. The Company markets its products to approximately 17,000 domestic retailers and numerous retailers worldwide. During 2010, the Company's sales to its largest single customer, Tura Scandinavia AB, were approximately 25% of net sales and sales to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. accounted for 17% of 2010 net sales. In 2009, net sales to Tura Scandinavia AB and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. accounted for 17% and 22% of consolidated net sales, respectively. The Company is dependent upon its ability to retain a base of retailers and distributors to sell the Company's line of products. Loss of retailers and distributors means loss of product placement. The Company has broad distribution across many channels including specialty stores, mass merchants, electronics stores, and computer retailers. Management believes that any loss of revenues would be partially offset by a corresponding decrease, on a percentage basis, in expenses; thereby partially reducing the impact on the Company's operating income. The five largest customers of the Company (including Tura Scandinavia AB and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.) accounted for approximately 52% and 54% of total net sales in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

COMPETITION

The Company focuses on the stereo headphone industry. In the stereophone market, the Company competes directly with approximately five major competitors, several of which are large and diversified and have greater total assets and resources than the Company. The Company's single product focus is unique in the marketplace. The extent to which retailers view the Company as an innovative vendor of high quality headphone products, and a provider of excellent after sales customer service, is the extent to which the Company maintains a competitive advantage. The Company relies upon its unique sound, quality workmanship, brand identification, engineering skills, and customer service to maintain its competitive position.

Table of Contents

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The amount expensed on engineering and research activities relating to the development of new products or the improvement of existing products was approximately \$766,000 during 2010 as compared with \$1,559,000 during 2009. These activities were conducted by both Company personnel and outside consultants. The Company expects that research and development costs will continue and it is planning to introduce a new offering during fiscal year 2011.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

The Company believes that it has materially complied with all currently existing federal, state and local statutes and regulations regarding environmental standards and occupational safety and health matters to which it is subject. During the years 2010 and 2009, the amounts incurred in complying with federal, state and local statutes and regulations pertaining to environmental standards and occupational safety and health laws and regulations did not materially affect the Company's operating results or financial condition.

EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2010, the Company employed 65 people, who are all non-union. The Company also utilizes temporary personnel.

FOREIGN SALES

The Company's competitive position and risks relating to the conduct of its business in foreign markets are comparable to the domestic market. In addition, the governments of foreign nations may elect to erect trade barriers on imports. The creation of additional barriers would reduce the Company's net sales and profit. In addition, any fluctuations in currency exchange rates could affect the pricing of the Company's products and divert customers who might choose to purchase lower-priced, less profitable products, and could affect overall demand for the Company's products. For further information, see Part II, Item 7 and Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements accompanying this Form 10-K.

The Company has a small sales office in Switzerland to service the international export marketplace. The Company is not aware of any material risks in maintaining this operation. Loss of this office would result in a transfer of sales and marketing responsibility. The Company sells its products to independent distributors in countries and regions outside the United States including Europe, the Middle East, Africa, Asia, South America, Latin America, the Caribbean, and Mexico. The Company sells products in the Canadian market through a distributor who services smaller specialty accounts. During the last two years, net sales of all Koss products, were distributed as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------|---------------|---------------|
| U.S. | \$ 20,182,347 | \$ 24,222,039 |

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----|------------|----|------------|
| Europe | | 17,710,672 | | 15,744,430 |
| All other countries | | 2,705,703 | | 1,750,645 |
| Net sales | \$ | 40,598,722 | \$ | 41,717,114 |

In addition to a manufacturing facility in the United States, the Company uses contract manufacturing facilities in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and South Korea. These independent supplier entities are distant from the Company, which means that we are at risk of business interruptions due to natural disasters, war, disease and government intervention through tariffs or trade restrictions that are of less concern domestically. The Company maintains finished goods inventory in its U.S. facility to mitigate this risk. The Company's goal is to stock finished goods inventory at an average of approximately 90 days demand per item. Recovery of a single facility through replacement of a supplier in the event of a disaster or suspension of supply could take 120 days. The Company believes that it could restore production of its top ten selling models (which represent approximately 70% of the Company's sales revenue) within one year. The Company is also at risk if the trade restrictions are

Table of Contents

introduced on its products based upon country of origin. In addition, most increases in tariffs and freight charges would not be acceptable to pass along to the Company's customers and would directly impact the Company's profits.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company's internet website is <http://www.koss.com>. The Company makes available free of charge through its internet website the Company's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with (or furnished to) the SEC. These reports and other information regarding the Company are also available on the SEC's internet website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Investing in the Company's common stock involves a high degree of risk. Any of the following risks could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, liquidity and results of operations or prospects, financial or otherwise.

REDUCTION IN PRESENT LEVELS OF CASH FLOW COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS

The Company's primary source of liquidity over the past 12 months has been operating cash flows. The Company's future cash flows from operations (on both a short term and long term basis) are dependent upon, but not limited to:

- the Company's ability to attract new customers that will sell the Company's products and pay for them;
- the Company's ability to retain the Company's existing customers at the level of sales previously produced;
- the volume of sales for these customers;
- the loss of business of one or more primary customers;
- changes in types of products that the customers purchase in their sales mix;
- poor or deteriorating economic conditions which would directly impact the ability of the Company's customers to remain in business and pay for their products on a timely basis;
- Management's ability to minimize the impact of requests for increases in material or labor cost increases; and
- the ability to collect in full and in a timely manner amounts due to the Company.

In addition, as noted above, the Company's cash flow is also dependent, to some extent, upon the ability to maintain operating margins. The continuing general downturn in economic conditions or other events that caused the Company's customers to turn to lower-priced, lower-margin products, could cause the Company's cash flow and profitability to be materially and adversely affected.

FAILURE TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN CUSTOMERS TO SELL THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT SALES VOLUME AND FUTURE PROFITABILITY

The Company markets a line of products used by consumers to listen to music. The Company distributes these products through retail channels in the U.S. and independent distributors throughout the rest of the world. The Company is dependent upon its ability to retain an existing base of customers to sell the Company's line of products. Loss of customers means loss of product placement. The Company has broad distribution across many channels including specialty stores, mass merchants, electronics stores and computer retailers. Any loss of a customer directly translates into a reduction in sales volume which can only be replaced by adding a similar number of representative retail outlets. The inability of the Company's sales and marketing staff to obtain new distribution outlets translates into a lack of future growth and possibly a setback in sales volumes when loss of

Table of Contents

current customers occur. For example, the loss of a customer representing 10% of the Company's business would translate into a reduction in revenues of up to 10% based upon the point through the year that the customer was lost. Attracting a new customer during the course of a year could have a positive impact or simply replace an account which has been lost. In addition, a customer can decide to make a change in the models that it decides to offer for sale. Such changes can occur arbitrarily throughout the course of a year which can cause reductions in sales revenues in proportion to the number of retail outlets that the store represents in the market. The Company may not be able to maintain customers or model selections and therefore may experience a reduction in its sales revenue until a model is restored to the mix or a lost customer is replaced by a new customer. A reduction in sales volume would cause a reduction in profitability. The Company's failure to retain existing customers, obtain new customers or develop new product lines that customers would choose to offer to consumers could significantly affect the Company's future profitability. The loss of business of one or more principal customers or a change in the sales volume from a particular customer could have a material adverse effect on the Company's sales volume and profitability.

SHIFT IN CUSTOMER SPECIFICATIONS TO LOWER PRICED ITEMS CAN REDUCE PROFIT MARGINS NEGATIVELY IMPACTING PROFITABILITY

The Company sells a line of products with a suggested retail price ranging from less than \$10 up to \$1,000. The gross margin for each of these models is unique in terms of percentages. The price range of the products also produces a different level of actual dollar contribution per unit. For example, a product with a gross margin contribution of 50% might yield a \$5 contribution for one item, while another item may feature a 30% gross margin which could yield \$50. The Company finds the low priced portion of the market most competitive and therefore most subject to pressure on gross margin percentages, which tends to lower profit contributions. Retail preference for lower priced items can reduce profit margins and contributions. The risk is that a shift in retail customer specifications toward lower priced items can lead to lower gross margins and lower profit contributions per unit of sale. Due to the range of products that the Company sells, the product sales mix can produce a variation in terms of a range of profit margins. Some customers sell a limited range of products that yield lower profit margins than others. Most notably, the budget priced headphone segment of the market below \$10 retail, which is distributed through computer stores, office supply stores and mass market retailers, tends to yield the lowest gross margins. An increase in business with these types of accounts, if coupled with a simultaneous reduction in sales to customers with higher gross margins, would reduce profit margins and profitability.

POOR ECONOMIC CONDITIONS CAN RESTRICT OR LIMIT PRODUCT PLACEMENT, SALES AND REPLENISHMENT WHICH COULD DECREASE PROFITS

Deteriorating or weak economic conditions, or a forecast for the same, can trigger changes in inventory stocking at retail customers. This may in turn lead to a reduction in model offerings and/or out of stock situations. If a retail customer of the Company does not have adequate supply of the Company's products to offer for sale in a retail store, consumers may choose another competitive model instead. Customers operating retail stores anticipate future sales demands and stock inventory accordingly. Whenever a general economic slowdown occurs, at both the domestic and/or foreign level, sales volume levels and re-order volume levels change. These changes directly impact the Company's sales and profitability. The Company is not in a position to determine how it will be affected by these circumstances, how extensive the effects may be, or for how long the Company may be impacted by these circumstances. The Company's customers respond to changes in economic conditions and any adverse changes in economic conditions can therefore restrict product placement, availability, sales, replenishment and ultimately profitability. These conditions exist domestically and internationally.

MANAGEMENT IS SUBJECT TO DECISIONS MADE OUTSIDE ITS CONTROL WHICH COULD DIRECTLY AFFECT FUTURE PROFITABILITY

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Retail customers determine which products they will stock for resale. The Company competes with other manufacturers to secure shelf space in retail stores for the Company's products. During the course of a year, changes in the customers' management personnel can ultimately lead to changes in the stock assortment offered to consumers. These changes are often arbitrary. In addition to changes in personnel within the Company's

Table of Contents

customers, it is also possible that a strategic decision can be made by a retail customer to consolidate vendors, or to discontinue certain product categories altogether. In these instances, the Company's management team may not be able to convince customers to reverse such decisions. The Company's management team is also engaged in the effective procurement, assembly and manufacture of products. The ability to negotiate with suppliers, maintain productivity and hold the line on cost increases can be subjected to pressures outside the control of management. For example, increases in fuel costs can increase freight rates. Increases of this nature can seldom be avoided and the Company may not be able to pass such increases along to its customers. Management's effective control of the manufacturing processes will have a direct impact on the Company's future profitability. The Company regularly makes decisions that affect production schedules, shipping schedules, employee levels and inventory levels. The Company's ability to make effective decisions in managing these areas has a direct effect on future profitability.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AMOUNTS DUE FROM OUR CUSTOMERS CAN BE LOST AS A RESULT OF CUSTOMER BANKRUPTCY, OPERATIONAL DIFFICULTY, OR FAILURE TO PAY, NEGATIVELY IMPACTING FUTURE PROFITABILITY

The Company has significant accounts receivable or other amounts due from the Company's customers. The outstanding accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, at the end of the last four quarters averaged approximately \$5,563,000. Terms of payment for customers generally range from cash in advance of delivery to net 120 day credit terms. These credit arrangements are negotiated at unspecified and irregular intervals. The largest customers generate the largest receivable balances. If a customer develops operational difficulty it is not uncommon to temporarily suspend payment to vendors. The Company is subject to this risk in the retail marketplace. From time to time a customer may develop severe operating losses which can lead to a bankruptcy. In these cases, the Company may lose most of the outstanding balance due. Occasionally, the Company has been current with a customer at the time such an event occurs. The more material risk is that of losing the revenue of the customer which might be more onerous than losing the current outstanding accounts receivable. In addition, many companies that will typically insure accounts receivables will not do so for the Company's largest mass market customers. The risk is that the Company derives most of the Company's sales revenue and profits from selling products to retailers for resale to consumers. The failure of the Company's customers to pay in full amounts due to the Company could negatively affect future profitability.

COMPANY PROFITS CAN SUFFER FROM INTERRUPTIONS IN SUPPLY CHAIN

The Company uses contract manufacturing facilities in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and South Korea. These independent suppliers are distant from the Company which means that the Company is at risk of business interruptions due to natural disaster, war, disease and government intervention through tariffs or trade restrictions that are of less concern domestically. Therefore, if there are any interruptions in the supply chain for any of these reasons, this could directly impact the Company's profits in a negative way. The Company is also at risk if trade restrictions are imposed on the Company's products based upon country of origin. In addition, any increase in tariffs and freight charges may not be acceptable to pass along to the Company's customers and could directly impact the Company's profits.

FLUCTUATIONS IN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATES COULD AFFECT PRICING OF PRODUCTS AND CAUSE CUSTOMERS TO PURCHASE LOWER-PRICED, LESS PROFITABLE PRODUCTS AND COULD AFFECT OVERALL DEMAND FOR THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS

The Company receives a material portion of its sales and profits from business in Europe. To the extent that the value of the U.S. dollar increases relative to currencies in those jurisdictions, it increases the cost of the Company's products in those jurisdictions, which could create negative pressure on the overall demand for the Company's products. The Company gets paid by its international customers in U.S. dollars. To the extent that increased prices arising from currency fluctuations decrease the overall demand for the Company's products or motivate customers

to purchase lower-priced, lower profit products, the Company's sales, profits and cash flows could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

COMPANY PROFITS CAN SUFFER FROM INCREASING MANUFACTURING COSTS IN CHINA AS A RESULT OF INCREASED WAGES AND WAGE TAXES

The Company uses contract manufacturing facilities in the People's Republic of China. An increase in the cost of labor or taxes on wages in China may lead to an increase in the cost of goods manufactured in China. The cost of labor and wage taxes have increased in China which means that the Company is at risk of higher manufacturing costs associated with goods manufactured in China. Significant increases in wages or wage taxes paid by contract manufacturing facilities may increase the cost of goods manufactured in China which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's profit margins and profitability.

THE COMPANY USES THIRD PARTY CARRIERS TO SHIP ITS PRODUCTS WHICH MAY PASS ON INCREASES IN TRANSPORTATION-RELATED FUEL COST TO THE COMPANY WHICH CAN REDUCE PROFIT MARGINS NEGATIVELY IMPACTING PROFITABILITY

The Company uses numerous third party carriers to ship product, both domestically and internationally. If the price of fuel increases and the carriers choose to pass on the increase to the Company, freight costs may increase. As a result, the Company's freight cost is impacted by changes in fuel prices. Fuel prices affect freight costs to both customer's location and the Company's distribution center. Increases in fuel prices and surcharges and other factors have increased and may continue to increase freight costs in the future. The inflationary pressure of higher fuel costs and continued increase in transportation-related costs could have a material adverse effect on the Company's profit margins and profitability.

CONSISTENCY OF THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS WITH SEVERAL U.S. RETAILERS

The Company is particularly concerned about the consistency of business with several U.S. retailers. The recent tightening of credit availability worldwide caused all retailers to sharply curtail inventory increases. In addition, many retailers have been negatively impacted by the economic recession. The Company has experienced some consolidation in product lines and item elimination, or reductions at several big box retailers. Finally, the Company is subject to fluctuations in production of the Company's automobile customers and the potential impact that might have upon the Company's rear seat entertainment products for the automotive market in the coming year.

THE COMPANY IS CURRENTLY UNABLE TO ASSESS THE AMOUNTS THAT MAY BE RECOVERED THROUGH THE PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF ITEMS SEIZED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT, INSURANCE, POTENTIAL CLAIMS AGAINST THIRD PARTIES OR TAX REFUNDS.

The Company has estimated that the amount of the unauthorized transactions is approximately \$31,500,000 since fiscal year 2005 through December 31, 2009 as described in the Company's Form 10-K/A for June 30, 2009 that was filed on June 30, 2010. Over 25,000 items have been seized by law enforcement authorities. Although the Company intends to pursue proceeds from the sale of items seized by law enforcement authorities, as well as from insurance coverage, potential claims against third parties and tax refunds, the Company cannot reasonably assess the amounts or timing of such recoveries. Through the date of issuance of this 10-K, the Company has received \$1,746,469 from its insurance company. The Company's inability to recover its losses could have a significant and adverse effect on its future financial condition.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS RELATED TO THE UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, CASH FLOWS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION.

As further described in Item 3 Legal Proceedings, the Company is currently addressing several legal matters related to the unauthorized transactions. The Company is unable at this time to quantify its exposure, if any. The costs of dealing with these matters and any penalties and awards that may be assessed against the Company could

Table of Contents

be substantial and may adversely affect the Company's future results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

THE COMPANY'S STOCK PRICE MAY FLUCTUATE AS A RESULT OF THE UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS AND BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTIES ABOUT THEIR EFFECT ON THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL CONDITION.

Uncertainties about the effect of the unauthorized transactions and significant developments related to the unauthorized transactions, such as the legal matters described above or the results and recommendations of the Independent Investigation could cause fluctuation in the Company's stock price. The Company's common stock could also be subject to wide fluctuations in response to additional business factors such as the following:

- the sale or availability for sale of substantial amounts of the Company's common stock;
- actual or anticipated fluctuations in the Company's operating results or its competitors' operating results;
- announcements by the Company or its competitors of new products;
- capacity changes, significant contracts, acquisitions or strategic investments;
- the Company's growth rate and its competitors' growth rates;
- changes in stock market analyst recommendations regarding the Company, its competitors or the industry in general, or lack of analyst coverage of the Company's common stock;
- sales of the Company's common stock by its executive officers, directors and significant stockholders or sales of substantial amounts of common stock; and
- changes in accounting principles.

THE COMPANY'S INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING FAILED TO TIMELY DETECT AND PREVENT THE UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS AND IT IS POSSIBLE THAT WE HAVE NOT IMPLEMENTED EFFECTIVE REMEDIAL MEASURES.

The Company's internal controls as of June 30, 2009 were not effective in that they failed to timely detect and prevent the circumvention of the internal controls and procedures relating to the unauthorized transactions. Management believes it has implemented remedial measures to address the issues identified, but a sufficient amount of time has not yet elapsed to test these internal controls and procedures to confirm whether they are effective. Thus, there is no assurance that these deficiencies have been adequately addressed or that the Company has discovered all of the deficiencies that may exist in the internal control over financial reporting. The Independent Investigation is ongoing and it is possible that the Company and/or the Independent Committee may identify additional deficiencies regarding the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

THE RESTATEMENT OF THE COMPANY'S CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS HAS SUBJECTED THE COMPANY TO INCREASED COSTS FOR ACCOUNTING AND LEGAL FEES.

The Company issued restated consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for June 30, 2009 that was filed on June 30, 2010. This restatement was because of errors in previously issued financial statements caused by the unauthorized transactions. This restatement has subjected the Company to significant costs in the form of accounting, legal fees and similar professional fees, in addition to the substantial diversion of time and attention of the Company's Chief Financial Officer and members of its accounting department in preparing the restatement. Although the restatement is complete, no assurance can be given that the Company will not incur additional costs associated with the restatement.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The Company leases its facility in Milwaukee, Wisconsin from its Chairman. On August 15, 2007, the lease was renewed for a period of five years, ending June 30, 2013 and is being accounted for as an operating lease. The lease extension maintained the rent at a fixed rate of \$380,000 per year. The Company is responsible for all property maintenance, insurance, taxes, and other normal expenses related to ownership. All facilities are in good repair and, in the opinion of management, are suitable and adequate for the Company's business purposes.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company is party to the matters related to the unauthorized transactions described below:

- On January 11, 2010, the Company received a letter from a law firm stating that it represented a shareholder and demanding that the Company's Board of Directors investigate and take legal action against all responsible parties to ensure compensation for the Company's losses stemming from the unauthorized transactions. The Company's legal counsel has responded preliminarily to the letter indicating that the Board of Directors will determine the appropriate course of action after the Independent Investigation is completed.
- On January 15, 2010, a class action complaint was filed in federal court in Wisconsin against the Company, Michael Koss and Sujata Sachdeva. The suit alleges violations of Section 10(b), Rule 10b-5 and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act relating to the unauthorized transactions and requests an award of compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial. See *David A. Puskala v. Koss Corporation, et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, Case No. 2:2010cv00041.
- On January 26, 2010, the SEC's Division of Enforcement advised the Company that it obtained a formal order of investigation in connection with the unauthorized transactions. The Company voluntarily brought the unauthorized transactions to the SEC staff's attention when they were discovered in December 2009, and is cooperating with the ongoing SEC investigation.
- On February 16 and 18, 2010, separate shareholder derivative suits were filed in Milwaukee County Circuit Court in connection with the previously disclosed unauthorized transactions. The first suit names as defendants Michael Koss, John Koss Sr., the other Koss directors, Sujata Sachdeva, Grant Thornton, LLP, and Koss Corporation (as a nominal defendant); the second suit names the same parties except Grant Thornton, LLP. Among other things, both suits allege various breaches of fiduciary and other duties, and seek recovery of unspecified damages and other relief. See *Ruiz v. Koss, et al.*, Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, No. 10CV002422 (February 16, 2010) and *Mentkowski v. Koss, et al.*, Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, No. 10CV002290 (February 18, 2010). These two shareholder derivative suits have been consolidated under Master File No. 10CV002422.
- On February 18, 2010, the Company filed an action against American Express Company, American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc., AMEX Card Services Company, Decision Science, and Pamela S. Hopkins in Superior Court of Maricopa

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

County, Arizona, case no. CV2010-006631, alleging various claims of aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting fraud, conversion, and negligence relating to the unauthorized transactions.

- On June 24, 2010, the Company filed an action against its former independent auditor, Grant Thornton, LLP, and Ms. Sachdeva, in Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, alleging various claims of accounting malpractice, negligent misrepresentation, and fraud relating to the unauthorized transactions.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.****MARKET INFORMATION ON COMMON STOCK**

The Company's common stock is traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market under the trading symbol KOSS. There were approximately 535 record holders of the Company's common stock as of August 1, 2010. This number does not include individual participants in security position listings. The quarterly high and low sale prices of the Company's common stock for the last two fiscal years as well as dividends declared are shown below.

| Quarter Ended | High | Low | Per Share Dividend |
|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|
| September 30, 2008 | \$ 9.46 | \$ 6.76 | \$ 0.065 |
| December 31, 2008 | \$ 8.36 | \$ 4.63 | \$ 0.065 |
| March 31, 2009 | \$ 6.30 | \$ 4.675 | \$ 0.065 |
| June 30, 2009 | \$ 7.98 | \$ 5.875 | \$ 0.065 |
| September 30, 2009 | \$ 7.725 | \$ 5.52 | \$ 0.065 |
| December 31, 2009 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 5.50 | \$ 0.06 |
| March 31, 2010 | \$ 6.00 | \$ 3.76 | \$ 0.06 |
| June 30, 2010 | \$ 5.83 | \$ 5.04 | \$ 0.06 |

The Company's stockholders are entitled to receive dividends as may be declared by the Board of Directors and paid out of funds legally available therefore. The Company began paying dividends for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and has paid a dividend for each quarter since, including the last fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010. On May 17, 2010 the Company announced its quarterly dividend of \$0.06 per share for stockholders of record on June 30, 2010. The dividend was distributed on or around July 15, 2010. Although the Company anticipates it will continue to pay a quarterly dividend, the decision to pay dividends and the amount of such dividends are within the sole discretion of the Board of Directors, who meet quarterly. The decision to pay dividends will depend on the Company's operating results, financial condition, tax considerations, alternative uses for such funds, and other factors the Board of Directors deem relevant, and there can be no assurance that dividends will be paid in the future.

COMPANY REPURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period (2010) | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (1) | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares Available under Repurchase Plan |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| April 1-April 30 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | \$ 2,139,753 |
| May 1-May 31 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | \$ 2,139,753 |
| June 1-June 30 | 0 | \$ 0.00 | 0 | \$ 2,139,753 |

(1) In April of 1995, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase from time to time up to \$2,000,000 of its common stock for its own account. Subsequently, the Board of Directors periodically has approved increases in the stock repurchase program. As of June 30, 2010, the most recently approved increase was for additional purchases of \$2,000,000, which occurred in October of 2006, for an aggregate maximum of \$45,500,000, of which \$43,360,247 had been expended through June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The purpose of this discussion and analysis is to enhance the understanding and evaluation of the results of operations, financial position, cash flows, indebtedness and other key financial information of the Company for fiscal years 2010 and 2009. For a more complete understanding of this discussion, please read the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

Overview

The Company developed stereo headphones in 1958 and has been a leader in the industry. We market a complete line of high-fidelity stereophones, speaker-phones, computer headsets, telecommunications headsets, active noise canceling stereophones, wireless stereophones and compact disc recordings of American Symphony Orchestras on the Koss Classics label. We operate as one business segment.

Unauthorized Transactions In December 2009, the Company learned of significant unauthorized transactions which totaled approximately \$31,500,000 from fiscal 2005 through December 2009. The volume of these unauthorized transactions was \$8,498,434 in 2009, and \$10,286,988 from July 1, 2009 until the unauthorized transactions were discovered in December 2009. As a result of these unauthorized transactions, the Company restated its consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K/A for June 30, 2009.

Operations Net sales declined to \$40,598,722 in 2010 compared with \$41,717,114 in 2009. This \$1,118,392 decrease in net sales was primarily driven by soft retail sales in the United States and increased promotions with one major customer. Including the unauthorized transactions as an expense, the Company had a loss from operations of \$4,955,767 in 2010, compared to a loss from operations of \$340,094 in 2009. The increased loss from operations was primarily driven by the increased unauthorized transactions, decrease in gross profit from 44.5% to 41.6%, unauthorized transaction related costs and lower sales volume. In 2010 and 2009, the losses related to the unauthorized transactions, exclusive of corrections for accounting errors, were \$10,286,988 and \$8,498,434, respectively, an increase of \$1,788,554 in 2010. The 2010 operating results also included \$1,666,986 of unauthorized transaction related costs net of recoveries. Operating income, excluding the unauthorized transactions and related costs and recoveries, was \$6,998,207 in 2010 or 17.2% of net sales compared to \$8,158,340 or 19.6% of net sales in 2009.

2010 Results of Operations Compared with 2009

Sales and Gross Profit

Net sales for 2010 totaled \$40,598,722, compared with \$41,717,114 in 2009. This \$1,118,392 or 2.7% decrease in net sales was driven by soft retail sales in the United States and increased promotions of approximately \$700,000 with one major customer in the United States. The decline came in the United States as export sales increased 16.7% from the 2009 level.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Gross profit in 2010 was \$16,868,411 or 41.6% of net sales compared to \$18,548,692 or 44.5% of net sales in 2009. This decreased gross margin percentage was driven by increased cost of promotions and increased overhead spending. The increased cost of promotions totaled approximately \$700,000 for the agreed period which occurred in advance of significant sales volumes for this customer. The overhead spending increased by approximately \$357,000 as a result of spending approximately \$169,000 for prototypes and engineering tests for products expected to be introduced in fiscal 2011 and for increased fringe benefit costs of \$87,000.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for 2010 were \$9,870,204, as compared to \$10,390,352 for 2009. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was the result of decreased new product research and development activities, not participating in a large dealer show and a loss on fixed asset disposals in 2009. New product development decreased from approximately \$1,559,000 in 2009 to approximately \$766,000 in 2010 due to timing of project phases as we focus on expanding the product line in high-end stereo headphones and expand consumers listening options with new products. Spending on new product development in 2011 is anticipated to be similar to 2010 levels. In 2009, the Company participated in the Consumer Electronics Show but did not participate in 2010. Disposals of equipment and leasehold improvements resulted in a loss of approximately \$10,000 in 2010 and \$224,000 in 2009. These decreases were partially offset by increased legal fees in support of general corporate activities and patent work.

Unauthorized Transactions

In 2010, the unauthorized transactions totaled \$10,286,988 compared to \$8,498,434 in 2009.

After learning of the unauthorized transactions in December 2009, the Company incurred net cost of \$1,666,986 in the second half of fiscal 2010. These net costs related to investigation of the unauthorized transactions, defense of legal actions, restatement of the financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and the quarter ended September 30, 2009, and issuance of the quarterly reports for December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010. This net cost was comprised of approximately \$3,580,000 of legal and professional fees partially offset by approximately \$1,913,000 of recoveries. Included in recoveries is approximately \$1,746,000 of insurance proceeds.

Operating Loss

2010 had an operating loss including the unauthorized transactions of \$4,955,767 compared to operating loss of \$340,094 in 2009. The increased operating loss was primarily driven by the increased unauthorized transactions, unauthorized transaction related costs, lower gross profit of 41.6% for 2010 and 44.5% for 2009 and lower sales volume. Operating income, excluding the unauthorized transactions and related costs and recoveries, was \$6,998,207 in 2010 or 17.2% of net sales compared to \$8,158,340 or 19.6% of net sales in 2009.

Provision for Income Tax Benefit

Income tax benefit for 2010 was \$1,812,417 as compared to \$75,434 of income tax benefit in 2009. The effective income tax rate was 33.7% in 2010 and 22.7% in 2009. For 2010, the effective tax rate is driven by changes to the valuation allowance. The main variance in effective tax rate for 2009 compared to the combined federal and state statutory rate of 37% was the impact of permanent tax differences in a year that was close to breakeven earnings.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Activities

We currently use cash generated from operations and underlying working capital as financial measurements to evaluate the performance of our operations and our ability to meet our financial obligations. We require working capital investment to maintain our position as a leading developer and manufacturer of high quality stereophones. The primary drivers of these requirements are production costs, distribution costs and finished goods inventories to support our customers' requirements for short lead time. As part of our continuous improvement of purchasing and manufacturing processes, we continue to strive for alignment of inventory levels with customer demand and current production schedules.

During 2010, cash provided by operations was \$982,631, and during 2009, cash provided by operations was \$2,646,146. Working capital was \$5,371,158 at June 30, 2010 and \$6,308,239 at June 30, 2009. The net decrease

Table of Contents

in working capital of \$937,081 from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010 represents primarily the decrease in cash and cash equivalents and increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The unauthorized transactions in 2010 were funded through cash, delays in payments of trade payables and borrowing on the line of credit. Although significant progress was made since discovery of the unauthorized transactions in the latter part of 2010 to pay off some of these outstanding balances, accounts payable and the line of credit are still elevated above 2009 levels. The increase in accrued liabilities was driven by accrued legal fees related to the investigation of the unauthorized transactions and the defense of various legal actions.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities for 2010 was \$1,760,333 as compared to \$2,345,298 used in investing activities for 2009. Cash used in investing activities for 2010 was driven by \$797,042 of capital expenditures, including tooling to support production, and \$639,788 of product software development expenditures. In 2009, capital expenditures for tooling and other equipment was \$1,018,057 and \$1,053,738 was spent on product software development expenditures. Budgeted capital expenditures for equipment and product software for year 2011 are approximately \$2,500,000. The Company expects to generate sufficient funds through operations to fund these expenditures.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities declined from \$1,964,205 in 2009 to \$595,678 in 2010. Dividends paid to stockholders declined from \$1,920,585 in 2009 to \$1,845,678 in 2010. The Company intends to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends for the foreseeable future. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had net borrowing of \$1,250,000 on its line of credit. On August 31, 2010, the Company had net borrowing of \$2,800,000 and availability of \$5,189,000.

There were no purchases of common stock in 2010 under the stock repurchase program. In 2009, the Company purchased \$43,620 of common stock. No stock options were exercised in 2010 or 2009.

Liquidity

In addition to capital expenditures for tooling and completion of the software development, the Company has interest payments on its line of credit, commitments with vendors to reduce the outstanding balance and planned normal quarterly dividend payments. The Company believes that cash generated from operations, together with borrowings available under its credit facility, provide it with adequate liquidity to meet operating requirements, debt service requirements, accounts payable reduction, planned capital expenditures, and dividend payments. The long-term outlook for the business remains positive, however, the Company continually reevaluates new product offerings, inventory levels and capital expenditures to ensure that it is effectively allocating resources in line with current market conditions.

Credit Facilities

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

On May 12, 2010, the Company entered into a new secured credit facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Lender). The Credit Agreement dated May 12, 2010 between the Company and the Lender (Credit Agreement) provides for an \$8,000,000 revolving secured credit facility and for letters of credit for the benefit of the Company of up to a sublimit of \$2,000,000. The Credit Agreement expires on July 31, 2013. The Company and the Lender also entered into the Pledge and Security Agreement dated May 12, 2010 under which the Company granted the Lender a security interest in substantially all of the Company s assets in connection with the Company s obligations under the Credit Agreement. The balance on this facility was \$1,250,000 as of June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Stock Repurchase Program

In April 1995, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase from time to time up to \$2,000,000 of its common stock for its own account. Subsequently, the Board of Directors periodically has approved increases of between \$1,000,000 to \$5,000,000 in the stock repurchase program. As of June 30, 2010, the most recently approved increase was for additional purchases of \$2,000,000, which occurred in October 2006, for an aggregate maximum of \$45,500,000, of which \$43,360,247 had been expended through June 30, 2010. The Company intends to effect all stock purchases either on the open market or through privately negotiated transactions and intends to finance all stock purchases through its own cash flow or by borrowing for such purchases.

There were no stock repurchases under the program in 2010. During 2009, the Company purchased 7,996 shares of its common stock at an average net price of \$5.46 per share, for a total purchase price of \$43,620. As of June 30, 2010, the Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase by the Company of up to \$2,139,753 in Company common stock at the discretion of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Future stock purchases under this program are dependent on management's assessment of value versus market price.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements other than the lease for the facility in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, which it leases from its Chairman. On August 15, 2007, the lease was renewed for a period of five years, ending June 30, 2013, and is being accounted for as an operating lease. The lease extension maintained the rent at a fixed rate of \$380,000 per year. The Company is responsible for all property maintenance, insurance, taxes and other normal expenses related to ownership. The facility is in good repair and, in the opinion of management, is suitable and adequate for the Company's business purposes.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We continually evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to doubtful accounts, product returns, excess inventories, warranties, impairment of long-lived assets, deferred compensation, income taxes and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when all of the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred; the seller's price to the buyer is fixed and determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured. When these criteria are generally satisfied, the Company recognizes revenue. The Company also offers certain customers the right to return products that do not meet the

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

standards agreed with the customer. The Company continuously monitors such product returns, and while such returns have historically been minimal, the Company cannot guarantee that they will continue to experience the same return rates that they have experienced in the past. Any significant increase in product quality failure rates and the resulting credit returns could have a material adverse impact on the Company's operating results for the period or periods in which such returns materialize.

The Company provides for certain sales incentives. The Company records a provision for estimated incentives based upon the incentives offered to customers on product related sales in the same period as the related revenues are recorded. The provision is recorded as a reduction of sales. The Company also records a provision for estimated sales returns and allowances on product related sales in the same period as the related revenues are

Table of Contents

recorded. These estimates are based on historical sales returns, analysis of credit memo data and other known factors. If the historical data the Company uses to calculate these estimates does not properly reflect future returns, adjustments may be required in future periods.

Accounts Receivable

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and adjusts credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by the review of the customer's current credit information. The Company continuously monitors collections and payments from customers and maintains a provision for estimated credit losses based upon the Company's historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that have been identified. The Company values accounts receivable net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance is calculated based upon the Company's evaluation of specific customer accounts where the Company has information that the customer may have an inability to meet its financial obligations. In these cases, the Company uses its judgment, based on the best available facts and circumstances and records a specific reserve for that customer against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount that is expected to be collected. These specific reserves are re-evaluated and adjusted as additional information is received that impacts the amount reserved. However, the ultimate collectability of the unsecured receivable is dependent upon the financial condition of an individual customer, which could change rapidly and without warning.

Inventories

The Company values its inventories at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. As of June 30, 2010, 100% of the Company's inventory was valued using LIFO. Valuing inventories at the lower of cost or market requires the use of estimates and judgment. The Company continues to use the same techniques to value inventory as have been used in the past. Our customers may cancel their orders or change purchase volumes. This, or certain additional actions, could create excess inventory levels, which would impact the valuation of our inventories. Any actions taken by our customers that could impact the value of our inventory are considered when determining the lower of cost or market valuations. The Company regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand and records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on historical usage and production requirements. If the Company is not able to achieve its expectations of the net realizable value of the inventory at its current value, the Company would have to adjust its reserves accordingly.

Product Software Development Costs

Product software development costs consist of costs incurred by outside parties for the development of software used to support new products. These assets have been evaluated to ensure that the capitalized costs do not exceed the estimated net realizable value of the related products. As part of the impairment analysis, we use a discounted cash flow model based on estimated sales to be derived in the future use of the asset and other estimated costs directly related to the product. No amortization was recorded in 2010 or 2009 as the related products have not yet been introduced. The Company expects the products to be introduced during fiscal year 2011.

The discounted cash flow model involves many assumptions, including operating results forecasts and discount rates. Inherent in the operating results forecasts are certain assumptions regarding revenue growth rates, projected cost saving initiatives and projected long-term growth rates. The Company performed impairment testing as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 and no impairment was identified.

Product Warranty Obligations

Products sold are generally covered by a lifetime warranty. We record accruals for potential warranty claims based on prior product returns experience. Warranty costs are accrued at the time revenue is recognized. These warranty costs are based upon management's assessment of past claims and current experience. However, actual claims could be higher or lower than amounts estimated, as the amount and value of warranty claims are subject to variation as a result of many factors that cannot be predicted with certainty.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

We estimate the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. If the actual results are different from these estimates, adjustments to the effective tax rate may be required in the period such determination is made. Additionally, discrete items are treated separately from the effective rate analysis and are recorded separately as an income tax provision or benefit at the time they are recognized.

Deferred income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method whereby deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured using statutory tax rates. Deferred income tax provisions are based on changes in the deferred tax assets and liabilities from period to period. Additionally, we analyze our ability to recognize the net deferred income tax assets created in each jurisdiction in which we operate to determine if valuation allowances are necessary based on the more likely than not criteria.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Applicable new accounting pronouncements are set forth under Item 15 of this annual report and are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

See the Consolidated Financial Statements attached hereto.

ITEM 9. CHANGES AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH AUDITORS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) are designed to ensure that (1) information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms; and (2) that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of controls and procedures and collusion to circumvent and conceal the overriding of controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

The Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. As discussed in Changes in Internal Controls below, the Company recently implemented remedial measures in response to the previously disclosed unauthorized transactions. Although the Company's management believes that these remedial measures have addressed the weaknesses in the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, the Company must allow a sufficient amount of time to elapse to permit testing of these controls in order to confirm their effectiveness. Subject to this testing and confirmation process, management believes that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures will be deemed effective.

Table of Contents

The Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, continues to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures to identify any additional enhancements that may provide greater comfort that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting.

The Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control of financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13(a)-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f)) and designing such internal controls to provide reasonable assurances regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because of inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to change in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted its evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has concluded that the Company's internal controls and operating procedures were circumvented during the fiscal year and the circumvention was concealed from management as previously described in the Form 10-K/A for June 30, 2009. The Company has already implemented the internal control improvements described in Changes in Internal Controls below, and the Company is planning to implement a new computer system towards the end of fiscal year 2011 that will further enhance the segregation of duties within information technology. Based on the criteria set forth by COSO in Internal Control-Integrated Framework, although the Company's management believes that these enhancements and remedial measures have addressed the weaknesses in the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, the Company must allow a sufficient amount of time to elapse to permit testing of these controls in order to confirm their effectiveness. Subject to this testing and confirmation process, management believes that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures will be deemed effective.

Changes in Internal Controls

The Company has identified how its controls over financial reporting were circumvented and how it is addressing these matters below.

- *Auditor review.* The circumvention of the Company's internal controls and procedures was not detected as part of the Company's annual audits and quarterly reviews by its former independent auditor, Grant Thornton, LLP. As described in Item 3 Legal Proceedings, on June 24, 2010, the Company filed an action against Grant Thornton, LLP and Ms. Sachdeva.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

- *Wire transfers and cashier's checks.* Approximately \$30,900,000 or 98.1% of the total \$31,500,000 of unauthorized transactions from fiscal year 2005 through December 2009 was misappropriated by circumventing the Company's internal controls and other operating procedures for the payment of Company expenditures by using wire transfers or cashier's checks from the Company's bank accounts to pay for personal expenditures. Of the \$30,900,000, approximately \$8,500,000 was misappropriated by use of these means during 2009. Misappropriations by use of wire transfers or cashier's checks totaled \$10,300,000 during 2010. These unauthorized transactions were processed by circumventing the Company's policy that all invoices over \$5,000 were required to be submitted and approved by

Table of Contents

Michael Koss as the CEO, and all accounts payable checks generated from the Company's accounts payable system were signed by the CEO. Moreover, wire transfers were to be used only for authorized transactions including certain inventory purchases and transfers of funds between the Company's bank accounts. Wire transfers for inventory purchases and the recurring expense items required the approval by several employees including authorized Vice Presidents, while the wire transfers between the Company's bank accounts were processed and approved by Ms. Sachdeva and one of the other terminated employees in the accounting department. Ms. Sachdeva, by herself and/or by directing other employees in the accounting department, ordered cashier's checks from the Company's bank accounts to pay for personal expenditures directly, thereby circumventing the internal controls and procedures as described above so that these payments would not be submitted through the Company's normal accounts payable system. Ms. Sachdeva, by herself and/or by directing others in the accounting department, processed wire transfers from the Company's bank accounts to pay for personal expenditures directly, thereby circumventing the internal controls and procedures as described above so that these payments would not be submitted through the Company's normal accounts payable system. This has been remediated by: (1) disallowing the use of any cashier's checks; (2) enforcing that all wire transfers are initiated within the financial function and electronically approved by the CEO; and (3) performing an enhanced review, reconciliation and reporting of cash activities.

- *Petty cash, manual checks and traveler's checks.* The remaining misappropriations of approximately \$600,000 or 1.9% from the total amount of \$31,500,000 from fiscal year 2005 through December 2009 were carried out by circumventing the Company's internal controls and other standard operating procedures involving the Company's petty cash system, manual check system and policy for using traveler's checks. In doing so, the Company's policy requiring that all expense reports be submitted and approved by the CEO was circumvented. Out of the estimated \$600,000 of these types of transactions, approximately \$91,000 occurred during 2009. Approximately \$107,000 of misappropriations involving the use of the Company's petty cash system, manual check system and traveler's checks policy occurred during 2010. Remediation of these issues has been accomplished by: (1) eliminating the petty cash fund so all reimbursements run through normal controlled accounts payable channels; (2) eliminating the use of manual checks so all check disbursements are generated from the Company's accounts payable system check run; and (3) eliminating the use of traveler's checks.
- *Recording of unauthorized transactions.* Ms. Sachdeva and one of the other terminated employees concealed the unauthorized transactions by recording numerous erroneous accounting entries in various accounts to hide the true nature of the transactions. The Company has improved the controls and procedures related to journal entries and account reconciliations to include improved segregation of duties, review and approval of reconciliations, and approval of all manual journal entries.
- *Performance and review of account reconciliations.* The Company's internal controls and procedures included the performance and review of key account reconciliations, including cash, by the accounting department employees including Ms. Sachdeva, who collectively had over 50 years of experience at the Company. Ms. Sachdeva and one of the other terminated employees further concealed the unauthorized transactions by failing to properly perform and review these key account reconciliations on a regular basis, and failing to properly perform the Company's period end financial close and reporting processes that were designed to accurately report the Company's financial information. The account reconciliation process has been improved and includes proper segregation of duties as well as review and approval by another person in the financial function.
- *Corporate standards and whistleblower policy.* The three terminated employees, including Ms. Sachdeva, failed to comply with the Company's established standards of integrity, ethical values and its Code of Conduct, Code of Ethics and Whistleblower policy. The Company has taken steps to reinforce these policies with all of its employees, officers and directors.

Table of Contents

- *Application of GAAP.* The terminated employees, including Ms. Sachdeva, as the principal accounting officer in charge of financial reporting failed to properly apply the Company's established accounting policies and adherence with GAAP. As a result, certain established accounting policies were not applied correctly and resulted in inaccuracies, both from the fraudulent activity directed by Ms. Sachdeva and the misapplication of GAAP. The affected accounts include equipment and leasehold improvements, cash surrender value of life insurance, accrued deferred compensation, accrued product warranty obligations and income taxes payable. As part of the restatement of the consolidated financial statements, the accounts were reviewed in detail and reconciled. Integral to the reconciliation process was a review of all critical accounting estimates.

- *Information Technology.* The Company's internal controls and procedures relating to the Company's computer system for processing financial transactions were manipulated to conceal the unauthorized transactions. The Company expects to implement a new computer system that will be used to improve its processing of financial transactions and overall segregation of duties towards the end of fiscal 2011.

We believe the changes to our system of internal controls resulting from these efforts have enhanced the Company's control over financial reporting. We continue to diligently and vigorously review our financial and reporting controls and procedures. As we continue to evaluate and work to improve our internal control over financial reporting, we may take additional measures to address any additional control deficiencies we may identify.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 25 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 26 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 27 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 28 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 29 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 30 |

2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules have been omitted because the information is not applicable, is not material or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

3. Exhibits Filed

See Exhibit Index attached hereto.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

Koss Corporation

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Koss Corporation and Subsidiary (the Company) as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of its internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Koss Corporation and Subsidiary as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has been named in several legal matters. In addition, the Company has also initiated certain legal actions against third parties.

/s/ Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

September 20, 2010

Table of Contents

KOSS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| Years Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| Net sales | \$ 40,598,722 | \$ 41,717,114 |
| Cost of goods sold | 23,730,311 | 23,168,422 |
| Gross profit | 16,868,411 | 18,548,692 |
| Operating Expenses: | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 9,870,204 | 10,390,352 |
| Unauthorized transactions | 10,286,988 | 8,498,434 |
| Unauthorized transaction related costs and recoveries, net | 1,666,986 | |
| Total Operating Expenses | 21,824,178 | 18,888,786 |
| Loss from operations | (4,955,767) | (340,094) |
| Other Income (Expense): | | |
| Royalty income | | 208,750 |
| Interest income | 263 | 15,503 |
| Interest expense | (429,138) | (216,751) |
| Total Other Income (Expense), net | (428,875) | 7,502 |
| Loss before income tax benefit | (5,384,642) | (332,592) |
| Income tax benefit | (1,812,417) | (75,434) |
| Net loss | \$ (3,572,225) | \$ (257,158) |
| Loss per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.48) | \$ (0.03) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.48) | \$ (0.03) |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.245 | \$ 0.260 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KOSS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| As of June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 125,496 | \$ 1,498,876 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$757,535 and \$1,588,923, respectively | 4,213,327 | 4,660,727 |
| Inventories | 8,457,325 | 8,708,835 |
| Prepaid expenses | 254,658 | 151,337 |
| Income taxes receivable | 928,550 | |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,144,086 | 1,385,497 |
| Total Current Assets | 15,123,442 | 16,405,272 |
| Equipment and leasehold improvements, net | 2,392,772 | 2,240,572 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Product software development costs | 2,366,828 | 1,727,040 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,527,764 | 6,311,282 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 3,339,485 | 2,917,223 |
| Other assets | | 25,000 |
| Total Other Assets | 8,234,077 | 10,980,545 |
| Total Assets | \$ 25,750,291 | \$ 29,626,389 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 4,794,598 | \$ 3,122,721 |
| Accrued liabilities | 4,514,724 | 2,090,054 |
| Dividends payable | 442,962 | 479,876 |
| Income taxes payable | | 4,404,382 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 9,752,284 | 10,097,033 |
| Long-Term Liabilities: | | |
| Line of credit | 1,250,000 | |
| Deferred compensation | 1,752,459 | 1,541,240 |
| Derivative liability | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Other liabilities | 678,300 | 725,000 |
| Total Long-Term Liabilities | 3,805,759 | 2,391,240 |
| Total Liabilities | 13,558,043 | 12,488,273 |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.005 par value, authorized 20,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 7,382,706 shares | 36,914 | 36,914 |
| Paid in capital | 1,492,096 | 1,056,975 |
| Retained earnings | 10,663,238 | 16,044,227 |
| Total Stockholders Equity | 12,192,248 | 17,138,116 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 25,750,291 | \$ 29,626,389 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KOSS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| Years Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (3,572,225) | \$ (257,158) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 374,961 | 245,537 |
| Loss on disposals of equipment and leasehold improvements | 9,670 | 224,286 |
| Depreciation of equipment and leasehold improvements | 635,172 | 728,054 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 435,121 | 442,660 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes | 4,024,929 | (2,755,314) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | (73,759) | (58,564) |
| Deferred compensation | 211,219 | 214,542 |
| Net changes in operating assets and liabilities (see note 10) | (1,062,457) | 3,862,103 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 982,631 | 2,646,146 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Maturity of investments | 25,000 | 75,000 |
| Life insurance premiums paid | (348,503) | (348,503) |
| Purchases of equipment and leasehold improvements | (797,042) | (1,018,057) |
| Product software development expenditures | (639,788) | (1,053,738) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,760,333) | (2,345,298) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net proceeds from line of credit | 1,250,000 | |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | (1,845,678) | (1,920,585) |
| Purchase of treasury shares | | (43,620) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (595,678) | (1,964,205) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (1,373,380) | (1,663,357) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 1,498,876 | 3,162,233 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 125,496 | \$ 1,498,876 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KOSS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| | Common Stock | | Paid in | Retained | Total |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Earnings | |
| Balance, July 1, 2008 | 7,390,702 | \$ 36,954 | \$ 657,895 | \$ 18,221,451 | \$ 18,916,300 |
| Net loss | | | | (257,158) | (257,158) |
| Dividends declared | | | | (1,920,066) | (1,920,066) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 442,660 | | 442,660 |
| Purchase and cancellation of treasury shares | (7,996) | (40) | (43,580) | | (43,620) |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | 7,382,706 | 36,914 | 1,056,975 | 16,044,227 | 17,138,116 |
| Net loss | | | | (3,572,225) | (3,572,225) |
| Dividends declared | | | | (1,808,764) | (1,808,764) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 435,121 | | 435,121 |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | 7,382,706 | \$ 36,914 | \$ 1,492,096 | \$ 10,663,238 | \$ 12,192,248 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KOSS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

NATURE OF BUSINESS Koss Corporation (Koss) and its wholly-owned subsidiary (collectively the Company), a Delaware Corporation, reports its finances as a single reporting segment, as the Company s principal business line is the design, manufacture and sale of stereo headphones and related accessories. The Company leases its plant and office in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. In addition, the Company has more than 250 domestic dealers and its products are carried by approximately 17,000 domestic retailers and numerous retailers worldwide. International markets are served by domestic sales representatives and a sales office in Switzerland which utilizes independent distributors in several foreign countries. The Company has one subsidiary, Koss Classics Ltd. (Koss Classics).

BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Koss and its subsidiary, Koss Classics, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

STOCK SPLIT On September 10, 2009, NASDAQ notified the Company that it no longer met the minimum 750,000 publicly held shares requirement under Listing Rule 5450(b)(1)(B). The Company remedied its noncompliance with Listing Rule 5450(b)(1)(B) by implementing a two-for-one forward stock split on December 1, 2009. All share and per-share data have been adjusted retroactively to give effect to the stock split.

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK The Company operates in the audio/video industry segment of the home entertainment industry through its design, manufacture and sale of stereo headphones and related accessory products. The Company s products are sold through national retailers, international distributors, audio specialty stores, the Internet, direct mail catalogs, regional department store chains and military exchanges under the Koss name and dual label. The Company grants unsecured credit to its domestic and international customers based on the extension of credit from 30 to 120 days, depending on the customer. Collection is dependent on the retailing industry economy. The vast majority of international customers, outside of Canada, are sold on a cash against documents or cash in advance basis. Approximately 20% and 14% of the Company s accounts receivable at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were foreign receivables denominated in U.S. dollars.

REVENUE RECOGNITION Revenue is recognized by the Company when all of the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred; the seller s price to the buyer is fixed and determinable; and collectibility is reasonably assured. These criteria are generally satisfied upon shipment of the Company s products. The Company may periodically offer slotting fees, cooperative advertising programs, rebates and sales discounts and the estimated costs for these items are accrued for at the time revenue is recognized. These amounts are recorded as a reduction to sales.

ROYALTY INCOME The Company recognizes royalty income when earned under the terms of its license agreements. Historically, royalty payments were calculated based upon predetermined percentages of net sales of the licensed products or based upon minimum quarterly royalty payments, as set forth in the Company s license agreements. Royalty income is booked monthly, on an accrual basis, and the amount that the Company accrues is the monthly equivalent of the minimum royalty payment. When the royalty payments are received each quarter, the

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Company then applies the payment to accounts receivable accordingly. In 2009, the Company had one license agreement that terminated in the fourth quarter of 2009. As of June 30, 2010 the Company had no license agreements in effect that would provide for royalty income.

SHIPPING AND HANDLING FEES AND COSTS Shipping and handling fees are included in cost of goods sold within the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT Research and development activities charged to operations amounted to approximately \$766,000, in 2010 and \$1,559,000 in 2009.

Table of Contents

ADVERTISING COSTS Advertising costs included within selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations were approximately \$57,000 in 2010 and \$130,000 in 2009. Such costs are expensed as incurred.

INCOME TAXES The Company operates as a C Corporation under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). Amounts provided for income tax expense are based on income reported for financial statement purposes and do not necessarily represent amounts currently payable under tax laws. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed annually for differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. The differences relate principally to different methods used for depreciation for income tax purposes, net operating losses, capitalization requirements of the Code, allowances for doubtful accounts and inventory valuation methods, unauthorized transactions, warranty reserves, and other income tax related carryforwards. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE Earnings (loss) per common share is calculated under the provisions of Topic 260 in the Accounting Standards Codification which provides for calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings (loss) per common share includes no dilution and is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share reflect the potential dilution of securities that could share in the earnings of an entity.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS The Company considers depository accounts and investments with a maturity at the date of acquisition and expected usage of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash on deposit at commercial banks located in the United States of America. The Company periodically has cash balances in excess of insured amounts. The Company has not experienced and does not expect to incur any losses on these deposits.

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE Accounts receivable consists of unsecured trade receivables due from customers. The Company performs credit evaluations of its customers and does not require collateral to establish an account receivable. The Company evaluates collectibility of accounts receivable based on a number of factors. Accounts receivable are considered to be past due if unpaid one day after their due date. An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded for significant past due receivable balances based on a review of the past due item, general economic conditions and the industry as a whole. The Company writes off accounts receivable when they become uncollectible. There were no recoveries of previously written-off accounts receivable during 2010 or 2009. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts are as follows:

| Year Ended June 30, | Balance, Beginning of Year | Provision Charged to Expense | Amounts Written-off | Balance at End Year |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2010 | \$ 1,588,923 | 374,961 | (1,206,349) | \$ 757,535 |
| 2009 | \$ 1,343,386 | 245,537 | | \$ 1,588,923 |

INVENTORIES As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, 100% of the Company's inventory was valued at the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market. If the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method of inventory accounting had been used by the Company for inventories valued at LIFO, inventories would have been \$793,972 and \$776,307 higher than reported at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company did not maintain any work-in-process inventories at June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

The components of inventories at June 30 are as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|----------------|--------------|----|-----------|
| Raw materials | \$ 2,407,715 | \$ | 2,019,919 |
| Finished goods | 6,049,610 | | 6,688,916 |
| | \$ 8,457,325 | \$ | 8,708,835 |

EQUIPMENT AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS Equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Major expenditures for property and equipment and significant renewals are capitalized. Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are expensed as incurred. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, their costs and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the accounts and any resulting gains or losses are included in operations.

PRODUCT SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT COSTS The Company follows the guidance of ASC 985-20 Costs of Software to be Sold, Leased, or Marketed when capitalizing development costs associated with software to be incorporated into its products. The cost of purchased software technology is capitalized and stated at the lower of unamortized cost or expected net realizable value. Software is subject to rapid technological obsolescence and future revenue estimates supporting the capitalized software cost can be negatively affected based upon competitive products, services and pricing. Such adverse developments could reduce the estimated net realizable value of our product software development costs and could result in impairment or a shorter estimated life. Such events would require us to take a charge in the period in which the event occurs or to increase the amortization expense in future periods and would have a negative effect on our results of operations. At a minimum, we review for impairments each balance sheet date. The Company expects to launch the related products and begin amortization in 2011.

LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES The Company carries its cash surrender value of life insurance at values stated by the insurance carriers, reduced by the value of outstanding policy loans made to the insured, or at the amount the Company would receive in the case of split-dollar arrangements. Increases in cash surrender value are included in other income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The carrying value of cash surrender value of life insurance has been reduced by \$61,157 of policy loans at June 30, 2010 and 2009.

INVESTMENTS Included in Other Assets at June 30, 2009 is \$25,000 of Israel government bonds which matured in July 2009. Securities are classified as held-to-maturity when the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and discounts to maturity.

PRODUCT WARRANTY OBLIGATIONS Estimated future warranty costs related to products are charged to cost of goods sold during the period the related revenue is recognized. The product warranty liability reflects the Company's best estimate of probable obligations under those warranties. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company has recorded a warranty reserve of \$1,017,450 and \$1,087,000, respectively. (See Note 11)

DEFERRED COMPENSATION The Company's deferred compensation liabilities are for a current and former officer and are calculated based on compensation, years of service and mortality tables. The related expense is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value based on the short maturity of these instruments.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS The Company evaluates the recoverability of the carrying amount of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. The Company evaluates the recoverability of equipment and leasehold improvements and product software development costs annually or more frequently if events or circumstances

Table of Contents

indicate that an asset might be impaired. If an asset is considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Management determines fair value using discounted future cash flow analysis or other accepted valuation techniques. Management believes that there has not been any impairment of the Company's long-lived assets as of June 30, 2010 and 2009.

LEGAL COSTS All legal costs related to litigation are charged to operations as incurred except settlements, which are expensed when a claim is probable and can be estimated. Recoveries of legal costs are recorded when the amount and items to be paid are confirmed by the insurance company.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 6. The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the vesting period. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating future volatility of the Company's stock, the amount of share-based awards that are expected to be forfeited and the expected term of awards granted. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The fair value of all awards is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods. The expected term of awards granted represent the period of time they are expected to be outstanding. The Company determines the expected term based on historical experience with similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms and vesting schedules. The Company estimates the expected volatility of its common stock at the date of grant based on the historical volatility of its common stock. The volatility factor used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model is based on the Company's historical stock prices over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected term of the awards. The risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model is based on the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term commensurate with the expected term of the awards. Pre-vesting option forfeitures are estimated using historical actual forfeitures. Stock-based compensation is recorded only for those options expected to vest. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation expense and the Company's results of operations could be materially impacted.

USE OF ESTIMATES The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

RECLASSIFICATIONS Certain amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

2. **UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS**

In December 2009, the Company learned of significant unauthorized transactions, which totaled approximately \$31,500,000 from fiscal 2005 through December 2009. The volume of these unauthorized transactions was \$8,498,434 in fiscal year 2009 and \$10,286,988 from July 1, 2009 until the unauthorized transactions were discovered in December 2009. As a result of these unauthorized transactions, the Company restated its consolidated financial statements on Form 10-K/A for June 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

The unauthorized transactions line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations represents the total of identified unauthorized transactions in those years.

Table of Contents

The unauthorized transaction related costs and recoveries, net line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations is comprised of the legal fees for the investigation, restatement and legal defense costs as well as professional fees for the forensic accountants, restatement support professionals and general accounting support. The recoveries represent amounts received under the Company's insurance and other miscellaneous credits related to the unauthorized transactions. For the year ended June 30, 2010, these costs and recoveries were as follows:

| | | |
|--|----|-------------|
| Legal fees | \$ | 2,335,985 |
| Professional fees | | 1,243,733 |
| Total costs | | 3,579,718 |
| Insurance proceeds | | (1,746,469) |
| Other recoveries | | (166,263) |
| Total recoveries | | (1,912,732) |
| Unauthorized transaction related costs and recoveries, net | \$ | 1,666,986 |

3. EQUIPMENT AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS

The major categories of equipment and leasehold improvements at June 30, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows:

| | Estimated Useful Lives | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---|---------------------------|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Machinery and equipment | 5-10 years | \$ | 629,757 | \$ | 701,925 |
| Furniture and office equipment | 5-10 years | | 383,554 | | 370,611 |
| Tooling | 5-10 years | | 4,160,970 | | 4,498,535 |
| Display booths | 5-7 years | | 287,180 | | 288,183 |
| Computer equipment | 3-5 years | | 724,448 | | 777,790 |
| Leasehold improvements | 5-10 years | | 1,746,763 | | 1,706,478 |
| Assets in progress | N/A | | 814,335 | | 337,903 |
| | | | 8,747,007 | | 8,681,425 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | | | 6,354,235 | | 6,440,853 |
| Equipment and leasehold improvements, net | | \$ | 2,392,772 | \$ | 2,240,572 |

Table of Contents

4. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON AND COMMON EQUIVALENT SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per share are computed based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. The weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were 7,382,706 and 7,386,250, respectively. When dilutive, stock options are included in earnings per share as share equivalents using the treasury stock method. For the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 there were no common stock equivalents related to stock option grants that were included in the computation of the weighted-average number of shares outstanding for diluted loss per share. Shares under option of 1,159,308 and 863,308 were excluded from the diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 as they would be anti-dilutive due to the Company's net loss for the year.

5. CREDIT FACILITIES

On February 16, 2009, the Company entered into a credit facility with Harris N.A. for an unsecured line of credit for up to a maximum of \$10,000,000 up to and including January 29, 2010. On October 9, 2009 the credit facility was extended to December 31, 2010. The credit facility replaced the Company's previous credit facility, which was terminated and contained substantially the same terms as the Company's new credit facility. The Company could use the credit facility for working capital, to refinance existing indebtedness, for stock repurchase and for general corporate purposes. Borrowings under the credit facility bore interest at either the bank's most recently publicly announced prime rate or at a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) based rate plus 1.25% as determined in accordance with the loan agreement. The credit facility included certain financial covenants that required the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, liabilities to tangible net worth ratios and interest coverage ratios. The Company used its credit facility from time to time, although there was no utilization of this credit facility at June 30, 2009. The Company's credit facility with Harris N.A. was terminated on May 12, 2010 and the outstanding balance of \$5,863,349 as of that date was fully repaid.

On May 12, 2010, the Company entered into a new secured credit facility with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Lender). The Credit Agreement dated May 12, 2010 between the Company and the Lender (Credit Agreement) provides for an \$8,000,000 revolving secured credit facility with interest rates either ranging from 0.0% to 0.75% over the Lender's most recently publicly announced prime rate or 2.0% to 3.0% over LIBOR, depending on the Company's leverage ratio. The Credit Agreement expires on July 31, 2013. In addition to the revolving loans, the Credit Agreement also provides that the Company may, from time to time, request the Lender to issue letters of credit for the benefit of the Company of up to a sublimit of \$2,000,000 and subject to certain other limitations. The loans may be used only for general corporate purposes of the Company.

The Credit Agreement contains certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants customary for financings of this type. The negative covenants include restrictions on other indebtedness, liens, fundamental changes, certain investments, asset sales, sale and leaseback transactions and transactions with affiliates, among other restrictions. The financial covenants include a minimum current ratio, minimum tangible net worth and maximum leverage ratio requirements. The Company and the Lender also entered into the Pledge and Security Agreement dated May 12, 2010 under which the Company granted the Lender a security interest in substantially all of the Company's assets in connection with the Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement. At June 30, 2010, the outstanding balance on this credit facility was \$1,250,000. The applicable interest rates at June 30, 2010 were 2.84% on \$1,000,000 of outstanding balance and 3.75% on \$250,000 of outstanding balance. The weighted average interest rate in effect in the borrowings outstanding as of June 30, 2010 was 3.02%.

6. STOCK OPTIONS

In 1990, pursuant to the recommendation of the Board of Directors, the stockholders ratified the creation of the Company's 1990 Flexible Incentive Plan (the 1990 Plan). The 1990 Plan is administered by a committee of the Board of Directors and provides for granting of various stock-based awards including stock options to eligible participants, primarily officers and certain key employees. A total of 225,000 shares of common stock were available in the first year of the 1990 Plan's existence. Each year thereafter additional shares equal to 0.25% of the shares outstanding as of the first day of the applicable fiscal year were reserved for issuance pursuant to the

Table of Contents

1990 Plan. On July 22, 1992, the Board of Directors authorized the reservation of an additional 250,000 shares for the 1990 Plan, which was approved by the stockholders. In 1993, the Board of Directors authorized the reservation of an additional 300,000 shares for the 1990 Plan, which was approved by the stockholders. In 1997, the Board of Directors authorized the reservation of an additional 300,000 shares for the 1990 Plan, which was approved by the stockholders. In 2001, the Board of Directors authorized the reservation of an additional 300,000 shares for the 1990 Plan, which was also approved by the stockholders. As of June 30, 2010, there are 485,506 options available for future grants. Options vest over a four or five year period from the date of grant, with a maximum term of five to ten years.

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model. The resulting compensation cost for fixed awards with graded vesting schedules is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the entire award. The expected term of awards granted is determined based on historical experience with similar awards, giving consideration to the expected term and vesting schedules. The expected volatility is determined based on the Company's historical stock prices over the most recent period commensurate with the expected term of the award. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term commensurate with the expected term of the award. Expected pre-vesting option forfeitures are based on historical data.

As of June 30, 2010, there was approximately \$706,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options granted under the 1990 Plan. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.87 years. Total unrecognized compensation cost will be adjusted for any future changes in estimated and actual forfeitures. The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$435,121 and \$442,660 in 2010 and 2009, respectively. These expenses were included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

There was no cash received from stock option exercises during 2010 or 2009.

The per share weighted average fair value of the stock options granted during the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$1.49. The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. There were no options granted in 2009. For the options granted in 2010, the Company used the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | 2010 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Expected stock price volatility | 45% |
| Risk free interest rate | 2.40% |
| Expected dividend yield | 4.14% |
| Expected forfeitures | 1.50% |
| Expected life of options | 4.7 years |

Table of Contents

The following table identifies options granted, exercised, cancelled, or available for exercise pursuant to the 1990 Plan:

| | Number of Shares | Stock Options Price Range | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life - Years | Aggregate Intrinsic Value of In-The- Money Options |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Shares under option at June 30, 2008 | 1,123,308 | \$7.76 - \$14.40 | \$ 10.75 | 3.90 | \$ 816 |
| Granted | | | | | |
| Exercised | | | | | |
| Expired | (260,000) | \$12.055 | \$ 12.055 | | |
| Forfeited | | | | | |
| Shares under option at June 30, 2009 | 863,308 | \$7.76 - \$14.40 | \$ 10.35 | 3.99 | \$ |
| Granted | 390,000 | \$3.90 - \$6.905 | \$ 6.39 | | |
| Exercised | | | | | |
| Expired | | | | | |
| Forfeited | (94,000) | \$6.275 - \$13.09 | \$ 9.16 | | |
| Shares under option at June 30, 2010 | 1,159,308 | \$3.90 - \$14.40 | \$ 9.12 | 3.66 | \$ |
| Exercisable as of June 30, 2009 | 532,808 | \$7.76 - \$14.40 | \$ 10.72 | | |
| Exercisable as of June 30, 2010 | 627,308 | \$7.76 - \$14.40 | \$ 10.72 | | |

A summary of intrinsic value and cash received from stock option exercises and fair value of vested stock options for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised | \$ | \$ |
| Cash received from stock option exercises | \$ | \$ |
| Total fair value of stock options vested | \$ 349,599 | \$ 440,137 |

Total options of 390,000 were granted during the year ended June 30, 2010 at a price equal to or greater than the market value of the common stock on the date of grant. These options had a weighted-average exercise price of \$6.39. No options were granted during the year ended June 30, 2009.

7. STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENTS

The Company has an agreement with its Chairman, John C. Koss, in the event of his death, at the request of the executor of his estate, to repurchase his Company common stock from his estate. The Company does not have the right to require the estate to sell stock to the Company. As such, this arrangement is accounted for as a written put option with the fair value of the put option recorded as a derivative liability.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

The fair value of the written put option at both June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$125,000. The repurchase price is 95% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date that notice to repurchase is provided to the Company. The total number of shares to be repurchased will be sufficient to provide proceeds which are the lesser of \$2,500,000 or the amount of estate taxes and administrative expenses incurred by the Chairman's estate.

Table of Contents

The Company may elect to pay the purchase price in cash or may elect to pay cash equal to 25% of the total amount due and to execute a promissory note for the balance, payable over four years, at the prime rate of interest. The Company maintains a \$1,150,000 life insurance policy to fund a substantial portion of this obligation.

In April 1995, the Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company to purchase from time to time up to \$2,000,000 of its common stock for its own account. Subsequently, the Board of Directors periodically has approved increases in the stock repurchase program. As of June 30, 2010, the most recently approved increase was for additional purchases of \$2,000,000, which occurred in October 2006, for an aggregate maximum of \$45,500,000, of which \$43,360,247 had been expended through June 30, 2010. The Company repurchased 7,996 shares for \$43,620 in 2009. No shares were repurchased in 2010.

8. INCOME TAXES

The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes. The liability method measures the expected income tax impact of future taxable income and deductions implicit in the consolidated balance sheets. The income tax benefit in 2010 and 2009 consists of the following:

| Year Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Current: | | |
| Federal | \$ (5,848,321) | \$ 2,251,167 |
| State | 10,975 | 428,713 |
| Deferred | 4,024,929 | (2,755,314) |
| | \$ (1,812,417) | \$ (75,434) |

The 2010 and 2009 tax benefit results in an effective rate different than the federal statutory rate because of the following:

| Year Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------------|--------------|
| Federal income tax benefit at statutory rate | \$ (1,830,778) | \$ (113,081) |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | (56,783) | (14,716) |
| Increase in valuation allowance | 109,683 | 123,159 |
| Research and development tax credits | (14,550) | (126,848) |
| Other | (19,989) | 56,052 |
| Total income tax benefit | \$ (1,812,417) | \$ (75,434) |

Temporary differences which give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities at June 30 include:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Deferred Income Tax Assets: | | |
| Deferred compensation | \$ 648,409 | \$ 570,259 |
| Stock-based compensation | 698,891 | 588,785 |

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Accrued expenses and reserves | 1,395,982 | 1,630,520 |
| Package design and trademarks | 81,000 | 100,609 |
| Unauthorized transactions | 2,220,000 | 6,392,045 |
| Federal and state net operating loss carryforwards | 528,622 | 28,736 |
| Valuation allowance | (1,027,204) | (917,521) |
| | 4,545,700 | 8,393,433 |
| Deferred Income Tax Liabilities: | | |
| Equipment and leasehold improvements | (219,600) | (264,968) |
| Capitalized research and development costs | (653,325) | (416,603) |
| Other | (925) | (15,083) |
| Net deferred income tax asset | \$ 3,671,850 | \$ 7,696,779 |

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had unused net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$8,700,000 to offset against future state taxable income. The state net operating loss carryforwards expire at various dates beginning in 2011 and continuing through 2025.

Deferred income tax assets as presented on the consolidated balance sheets:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----|-------------|
| Current deferred income tax assets | \$ 1,144,086 | \$ | 1,385,497 |
| Noncurrent deferred income tax assets | 2,527,764 | | 6,311,282 |
| Net deferred income tax assets | \$ 3,671,850 | \$ | 7,696,779 |

Deferred income tax balances reflect the effects of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts. These differences are stated at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered. The recognition of these deferred tax balances will be realized through normal recurring operations and, as such, the Company has recorded the full value of such expected benefits.

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return. There were no significant matters determined to be unrecognized tax benefits taken or expected to be taken in a tax return that have been recorded on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2010. As part of the unauthorized transactions, the Company has accrued interest of \$660,989 and \$354,644 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Additionally, ASC Topic 740 provides guidance on the recognition of interest and penalties related to income taxes. There were no penalties related to income taxes that have been accrued or recognized as of and for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The Company records interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|--|-------------|----|-------------|
| Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year | \$ 300,000 | \$ | 300,000 |
| Gross decreases tax positions in prior years | | | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at end of year | \$ 300,000 | \$ | 300,000 |

The Company files income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and in a state jurisdiction. The Company's federal tax returns through tax year June 30, 2006 are settled and the income tax returns for tax years beginning July 1, 2006 are open. Most state jurisdictions have tax returns open for tax years beginning July 1, 2005. There is an open examination by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue for the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

The following are the changes in the valuation allowance:

| Year Ended June 30, | | Balance, Beginning of Year | Increase in Valuation Allowance | Release of Valuation Allowance | Balance at End Year |
|----------------------------|----|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
| 2010 | \$ | (917,521) | (109,683) | | \$ (1,027,204) |
| 2009 | \$ | (794,362) | (123,159) | | \$ (917,521) |

Table of Contents

9. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities at June 30 consist of the following:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|--|--------------|----|-----------|
| Cooperative advertising and promotion allowances | \$ 677,844 | \$ | 401,483 |
| Accrued returns | 407,576 | | 364,773 |
| Product warranty obligations | 339,150 | | 362,000 |
| Interest | 660,989 | | 354,644 |
| Payroll taxes and other employee benefits | 167,171 | | 208,180 |
| Legal and professional fees | 2,009,656 | | 135,739 |
| Other | 252,338 | | 263,235 |
| | \$ 4,514,724 | \$ | 2,090,054 |

10. ADDITIONAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The net changes in cash as a result of changes in operating assets and liabilities consist of the following:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|---|----------------|----|-----------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 72,439 | \$ | 3,264,731 |
| Inventories | 251,510 | | (276,500) |
| Income taxes receivable | (928,550) | | |
| Prepaid expenses | (103,321) | | 47,818 |
| Income taxes payable | (4,404,382) | | 1,480,076 |
| Accounts payable | 1,671,877 | | (73,387) |
| Accrued liabilities | 2,424,670 | | (580,635) |
| Other liabilities | (46,700) | | |
| Net change | \$ (1,062,457) | \$ | 3,862,103 |
| Net cash (refunded) paid during the year for: | | | |
| Income taxes | \$ (678,512) | \$ | 1,190,000 |
| Interest | \$ 122,793 | \$ | |

11. PRODUCT WARRANTY OBLIGATIONS

The Company records a liability for product warranty obligations at the time of sale based upon historical warranty experience. The Company's products carry a lifetime warranty. The Company also records a liability for specific warranty matters when they become known and are reasonably estimated. The Company's current and non-current product warranty obligations are included in accrued liabilities and other liabilities, respectively, in the consolidated balance sheets. Changes to the product warranty obligations for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

| Year Ended June 30, | | Balance, Beginning of Year | Provision Charged to Expense | Warranty Expenses Incurred | | Balance at End Year |
|----------------------------|----|---|---|---|----|--------------------------------|
| 2010 | \$ | 1,087,000 | 325,226 | (394,776) | \$ | 1,017,450 |
| 2009 | \$ | 1,112,000 | 324,695 | (349,695) | \$ | 1,087,000 |

The warranty obligation is recorded as a current and long-term liability.

Table of Contents

12. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Substantially all domestic employees are participants in the Koss Employee Stock Ownership Trust under which an annual contribution in either cash or common stock may be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The expense recorded for such cash contributions approximated \$5,000 and \$30,000 during 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company maintains a retirement savings plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. This plan covers all employees of the Company who have completed one full fiscal quarter of service. Matching contributions can be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors. For fiscal years 2010 and 2009, the matching contribution was 100% of employee contributions to the plan. Vesting of Company contributions occurs immediately. Company contributions were \$323,540 and \$312,822 during 2010 and 2009, respectively.

13. DEFERRED COMPENSATION

The Company has deferred compensation agreements with a former and current officer.

The Board of Directors entered into an agreement to continue the Chairman's 1991 base salary for the remainder of his life. These payments begin upon the Chairman's retirement, and since the Chairman has not retired, he is not currently receiving any payments under this arrangement. The Company has a deferred compensation liability of \$494,755 and \$421,469 recorded as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Deferred compensation expense of \$73,286 and \$66,808 was recognized under this arrangement in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Board of Directors has approved a supplemental retirement plan with an officer that calls for annual cash compensation following retirement from the Company in an amount equal to 2% of base salary, as defined in the agreement, multiplied by the number of years of service to the Company. The retirement payments are to be paid monthly to the officer until his death and then to his surviving spouse monthly until her death. The Company has a deferred compensation liability of \$1,257,704 and \$1,119,771 recorded as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Deferred compensation expense of \$137,933 and \$147,734 was recognized under this arrangement in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company uses life insurance policies to cover its deferred compensation agreements.

14. FOREIGN SALES AND SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMERS

The Company's net foreign sales amounted to \$20,416,375 during 2010 and \$17,495,075 during 2009.

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

The Company's sales by region are as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|---------------------|------|------------|------|------------|
| U.S. | \$ | 20,182,347 | \$ | 24,222,039 |
| Europe | | 17,710,672 | | 15,744,430 |
| All other countries | | 2,705,703 | | 1,750,645 |
| Net sales | \$ | 40,598,722 | \$ | 41,717,114 |

Sales during 2010 and 2009 to the Company's five largest customers, which are generally large national retailers or foreign distributors, represented approximately 52% and 54% of the Company's net sales, respectively. Included in these percentages, sales to a single U.S. customer represented approximately 17% and 22%, of the Company's net sales during 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net sales to a single Scandinavian distributor represented approximately 25% and 17%, of the Company's total sales during 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Included in accounts receivable as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 was 50% and 49%, respectively, due from the Company's five largest customers.

Table of Contents

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company leases its facility in Milwaukee, Wisconsin from its Chairman. On August 15, 2007, the lease was renewed for a period of five years, ending June 30, 2013, and is being accounted for as an operating lease. The lease extension maintained the rent at a fixed rate of \$380,000 per year. The Company is responsible for all property maintenance, insurance, taxes and other normal expenses related to ownership. Total rent expense was \$380,000 in both 2010 and 2009.

In July 2010, the Company entered into agreements totaling approximately \$1,578,000 for software and new product development. The term of these commitments is less than one year.

16. LEGAL MATTERS

Since learning of the unauthorized transactions in December 2009, the Company has been named in the matters described below. The Company has also initiated certain actions against third parties, which are also described below, and may bring additional claims against other third parties.

- On January 11, 2010, the Company received a letter from a law firm stating that it represented a shareholder and demanding that the Company's Board of Directors investigate and take legal action against all responsible parties to ensure compensation for the Company's losses stemming from the unauthorized transactions. The Company's legal counsel has responded preliminarily to the letter indicating that the Board of Directors will determine the appropriate course of action after the Independent Investigation is completed.
- On January 15, 2010, a class action complaint was filed in federal court in Wisconsin against the Company, Michael Koss and Sujata Sachdeva. The suit alleges violations of Section 10(b), Rule 10b-5 and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act relating to the unauthorized transactions and requests an award of compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial. See *David A. Puskala v. Koss Corporation, et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, Case No. 2:2010cv00041.
- On January 26, 2010, the SEC's Division of Enforcement advised the Company that it obtained a formal order of investigation in connection with the unauthorized transactions. The Company voluntarily brought the unauthorized transactions to the SEC staff's attention when they were discovered in December 2009, and is cooperating with the ongoing SEC investigation.
- On February 16 and 18, 2010, separate shareholder derivative suits were filed in Milwaukee County Circuit Court in connection with the previously disclosed unauthorized transactions. The first suit names as defendants Michael Koss, John Koss Sr., the other Koss directors, Sujata Sachdeva, Grant Thornton, LLP, and Koss Corporation (as a nominal defendant); the second suit names the same parties except Grant Thornton, LLP. Among other things, both suits allege various breaches of fiduciary and other duties, and seek recovery of unspecified damages and other relief. See *Ruiz v. Koss, et al.*, Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, No. 10CV002422 (February 16, 2010) and *Mentkowski v. Koss, et al.*, Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, No. 10CV002290 (February 18, 2010). These two shareholder derivative suits have been consolidated under Master File No. 10CV002422.

- On February 18, 2010, the Company filed an action against American Express Company, American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc., AMEX Card Services Company, Decision Science, and Pamela S. Hopkins in Superior Court of Maricopa County, Arizona, case no. CV2010-006631, alleging various claims of aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting fraud, conversion, and negligence relating to the unauthorized transactions.

Table of Contents

- On June 24, 2010, the Company filed an action against its former independent auditor, Grant Thornton, LLP, and Ms. Sachdeva, in Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, alleging various claims of accounting malpractice, negligent misrepresentation, and fraud relating to the unauthorized transactions.

The ultimate resolution of these matters is not determinable.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KOSS CORPORATION

By: /s/ Michael J. Koss Dated: September 20, 2010
Michael J. Koss
Vice Chairman
President
Chief Executive Officer
Chief Operating Officer

By: /s/ David D. Smith Dated: September 20, 2010
David D. Smith
Executive Vice President
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Accounting Officer
Secretary

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on September 20, 2010.

/s/ John C. Koss
John C. Koss, Director

/s/ Michael J. Koss
Michael J. Koss, Director

/s/ Lawrence S. Mattson
Lawrence S. Mattson, Director

/s/ John J. Stollenwerk
John J. Stollenwerk, Director

/s/ Thomas L. Doerr
Thomas L. Doerr, Director

/s/ Theodore H. Nixon
Theodore H. Nixon, Director

Edgar Filing: KOSS CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Koss Corporation, as in effect on November 19, 2009. Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.2 | By-Laws of Koss Corporation, as in effect on September 25, 1996. Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.1 | Death Benefit Agreement with John C. Koss. Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.2 | Stock Purchase Agreement with John C. Koss. Filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.3 | Salary Continuation Resolution for John C. Koss. Filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.4 | 1983 Incentive Stock Option Plan. Filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.5 | Assignment of Lease to John C. Koss. Filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1988 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.6 | Addendum to Lease. Filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1988 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.7 | Amendment to Lease. Filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.8 | Partial Assignment, Termination and Modification of Lease. Filed as Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.9 | Restated Lease. Filed as Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.10 | 1990 Flexible Incentive Plan. Filed as Exhibit 25 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.11 | Consent of Directors (Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Michael J. Koss dated March 7, 1997). Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.12 | Credit Agreement dated May 12, 2010, between Koss Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| 10.13 | Pledge and Security Agreement dated May 12, 2010, between Koss Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| 14 | Koss Corporation Code of Ethics. * |

Table of Contents

| | |
|------|---|
| 23.1 | Consent of Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP* |
| 31.1 | Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer * |
| 31.2 | Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer * |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer ** |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer ** |

* Filed herewith
** Furnished herewith
