Janus Resources, Inc. Form 10-K March 30, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

T ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

£ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number 000-30156

JANUS RESOURCES, INC.

(Formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

98-0170247

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

430 Park Avenue, Suite 702, New York, NY (Address of principal executive offices)

10022 (Zip Code)

800-755-5815

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

888 3rd Street SW, Suite 1000, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2P 5C5 (Former address if changed since last report)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value per share (Title of Class)

Over The Counter Bulletin Board (OTCBB) (Name of exchange on which registered)

Indicate by check mark if registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes £ No T

Indicate by check mark if registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d	*	
	Yes £	No T
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the reg required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.		
	Yes T	No £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Date file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (Section232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes £ No £ N/A T

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Yes £ No T

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer £

Accelerated filer £

Non-accelerated filer £

Smaller reporting company T

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes £ No T

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, based upon the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock on June 30, 2010 as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$11,674,129 . Common shares held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common shares have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of March 22, 2011, there were 63,075,122 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference: None.

JANUS RESOURCES, INC. (Formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.)

FORM 10-K For The Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
Item 1. and	2 Business and Properties	2
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	10
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	10
Item 4.	Reserved	-
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of	11
	Equity Securities	
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	12
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	18
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	19
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	37
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	37
Item 9B.	Other Information	37
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	38
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	41
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder	
	<u>Matters</u>	42
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	43
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	44
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	45
	Signatures	46
1		

Table of Contents

PART I

Items 1 and 2.

Business and Properties

Forward-Looking Statements

Except for the historical information presented in this document, the matters discussed in this Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010, contain forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding, among other things, (a) our projected sales and profitability, (b) our growth strategies, (c) anticipated trends in our industry, (d) our future financing plans, and (e) our anticipated needs for working capital. Forward-looking statements, which involve assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies, and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "may," "will," "should," "could," "might," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate, "intend," or "project" or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology. This information may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from the future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. These statements may be found under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business," "Properties," as well as in this report generally.

The safe harbor provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, apply to forward-looking statements made by the Company. The reader is cautioned that no statements contained in this Form 10-K should be construed as a guarantee or assurance of future performance or results. Actual events or results may differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, without limitation, the risks described in this report and matters described in this report generally. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements contained in this filing will in fact occur. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, and the Company assumes no obligation to update this information. Readers are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by the Company in this Form 10-K and in the Company's other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission that attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect the Company's business.

The Company

Incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada, Janus Resources, Inc. (formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc). ("Janus" "the Company" "we" "us" and "our") has an authorized capital of 200,000,000 shares of \$0.0001 par value commstock, of which 63,075,122 shares are outstanding and 10,000,000 shares of \$0.0001 par value preferred stock, of which none are outstanding.

Effective January 5, 2011, the Company changed its name from "Entheos Technologies, Inc." to "Janus Resources, Inc." so as to more fully reflect the Company's operations. In conjunction with the name change, our stock symbol on Over the Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") was changed from "ETHT" to "JANI".

Our principal executive offices are located at 403 Park Avenue, Suite 702, New York, NY, 10022. Our telephone number is (800) 755-5815.

As we are a smaller reporting company, certain disclosures otherwise required to be made in a Form 10-K are not required to be made by us.

Description of Business

We are a small independent energy company engaged in the acquisition and development of crude oil and natural gas interests in the United States. We pursue oil and gas prospects in partnership with oil and gas companies with exploration, development and production expertise. We currently have interests in producing properties in La Salle County, Fayette County, Lee County and Frio County, Texas.

The leases for these properties are maintained and operated by Leexus Oil LLC and Bayshore Exploration LLC; there are no obligations to further explore or develop lands in the lease areas to maintain the leases. The operators of the leases are not affiliated with Janus or any of its directors or major shareholders. We are not aware of any relationships or affiliations between or among any of our leasehold partners and the lease operators.

Strategy

We plan to grow our business by acquiring minority, non-operating, working interests in both currently producing wells and also by participating in workover and/or re-entry projects on previously producing proved assets or wells. These assets will be pursued to offset the natural decline in our current production as well as provide growth in our asset portfolio over time. Assets for acquisition will be identified through our operators, managements' contacts in the industry as well as through the Petroleum Listing Service ("PLS"). Assets will be evaluated by management as well as by third party independent consulting engineers and geologists, having experience in the geographical areas in which the prospects are located, engaged by us on an as needed basis. The industry professionals to be utilized by us will be contractors and will be compensated as such utilizing finder's fee agreements and consulting agreements.

Acquisition of Oil & Gas Properties

The following table sets forth a summary of our current oil and gas interests:

Proven Developed Properties:	Acquisition Date	Working	Intere	st Net Revenue)	Month Production Started	Gross / Net Acreage	Formation
Cooke #6	9/1/2008	21.75	%	16.3125	%	Dec-07	40 / 8.7	Escondido
Onnie Ray #1	9/12/2008	20.00	%	15.00	%	Oct-08	80 / 16	Austin Chalk
Stahl #1	9/12/2008	20.00	%	15.00	%	Oct-08	20 / 4	Austin Chalk
Pearce #1	10/31/2008	20.00	%	15.00	%	Dec-08	360 / 72	Austin Chalk
Unproven Properties:								
Haile #1	9/12/2008	20.00	%	15.00	%	-	100 / 20	Austin Chalk

Capitalized costs associated with the property are as follows:

	December 31,					
	2010 2009 Ch			Change (\$)		
Proven properites	\$	432,089	\$	424,191	\$	7,898
Unproven properties		103,087		101,682		1,405
		535,176		525,873		9,303
Impairment of properties		(508,583)		(429,338))	(79,245)
Oil and gas properties, net	\$	26,593	\$	96,535	\$	(69,942)

Geologic Background

Escondido Formation

The Escondido formation, where Cooke #6 is located, is a regional producer spanning several counties in South Texas. There are many Escondido oil and gas fields which have produced anywhere from 600,000 to 3,100,000 barrels of oil and the gas fields have produced up to 18 BCF of gas.

Austin Chalk Formation

Giddings is a main producing field of the Austin Chalk formation consisting of fractured carbonate, which is where our Onnie Ray #1 and Stahl #1 wells are located. This formation covers central Texas, parts of Mexico and northwest Louisiana. The Austin Chalk in central Texas has been and continues to be explored and developed for its oil and gas potential by companies such as Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, Chesapeake Energy Corporation, and Exxon Mobil Corporation.

Table of Contents

Haile #1 and Pearce #1 wells are located within the Pearsall Austin Chalk field which is south west of the Giddings field and is also a significant historic producer. The Pearsall field has been and continues to be explored and developed much like the Giddings fields to the North.

The foregoing is not necessarily indicative of the commercial viability of our properties and activities.

Production and Reserve Estimate Status

Our four reservoirs classified as proven developed properties is based on Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Regulation S-X Rule 4-10 "the operation of an installed program has confirmed through production response that increased recovery will be achieved." These wells are supported by actual production. The Company has not yet obtained reserve studies providing proved reserve quantities due to actual production and estimates of the wells lives considering production history along with the geological formation in which the wells are located. Management continues to assess and compile production data to determine the need for reserves studies going forward.

The unproven property, Haile, is also classified according to guidelines set forth by SEC Regulation S-X Rule 4-10. At the time of acquisition, the well was not supported by actual production nor were there defined engineering reserve studies. The well was being recompleted to a zone that was previously productive. Once the recompletion efforts were final and the well did not support production, an exploratory drilling program was started in early 2009 to complete a new unproven upper zone. The new upper zone recompletion also resulted in no oil or gas production and the well was shut-in August 2009. Management has impaired the well to the extent of anticipated salvage value of the equipment. In February 2011, the operator who also owns a working interest in the well provided to us a plan for recompletion. We are still evaluating this opportunity.

Marketing of Production

Sale of Crude Oil and Natural Gas

Our production consists of natural gas and crude that is marketed by the well site Operators. We sell our crude oil and condensate production at or near the well-site, although in some cases it is gathered by us or others and delivered to a central point of sale. Our crude oil and condensate production is transported by truck or by pipeline and is typically committed to arrangements having a term of one year or less. We have not engaged in crude oil hedging or trading activities. We have not engaged in natural gas hedging or futures trading, nor do we have any long term contracts to sell our production.

Sales of crude oil totaled \$29,960 and \$40,437 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which represents 75% and 79% of our total oil and gas revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Sales of natural gas totaled \$9,886 and \$10,697 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Price Considerations

Crude oil prices are established in a highly liquid, international market, with average crude oil prices that we receive generally fluctuating with changes in the futures price established on the NYMEX for West Texas Intermediate Crude Oil ("NYMEX-WTI"). The average crude oil price per Bbl received by us in fiscal 2010 and 2009 was \$67.12 and \$50.16, respectively.

Natural gas and natural gas liquids prices in the geographical areas in which we operate are closely tied to established price indices which are heavily influenced by national and regional supply and demand factors and the futures price

per MMBtu for natural gas delivered at Henry Hub, Louisiana established on the NYMEX ("NYMEX-Henry Hub"). At times, these indices correlate closely with the NYMEX-Henry Hub price, but often there are significant variances between the NYMEX-Henry Hub price and the indices used to price our natural gas. Average natural gas prices received by us in each of our operating areas generally fluctuate with changes in these established indices. The average natural gas price per Mcf received by us in fiscal 2010 and 2009 was \$8.35 and \$5.55, respectively.

Governmental Regulations

Our operations are periodically affected in varying degrees by political developments and U.S. federal, state, and local laws and regulations. In particular, natural gas and crude oil production and related operations are, or have been, subject to price controls, taxes and other laws and regulations relating to the industry. Failure to comply with such laws and regulations can result in substantial penalties. The regulatory burden on the industry increases our cost of doing business and affects our profitability. Although we believe we substantially comply with all applicable laws and regulations, such laws and regulations are frequently amended or reinterpreted so we are unable to predict the future cost or impact of complying with such laws and regulations.

Environmental Matters

Our natural gas and crude oil exploration, development and production operations are subject to stringent U.S. federal, state and local laws governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Numerous governmental agencies, such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), issue regulations to implement and enforce such laws, and compliance is often difficult and costly. Failure to comply may result in substantial costs and expenses, including possible civil and criminal penalties. These laws and regulations may:

- require the acquisition of a permit before drilling commences;
- -restrict the types, quantities and concentrations of various substances that can be released into the environment in connection with drilling, production and processing activities;
- -limit or prohibit drilling activities on certain lands lying within wilderness, wetlands, frontier and other protected areas:
 - require remedial action to prevent pollution from former operations such as plugging abandoned wells; and impose substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from operations.

In addition, these laws, rules and regulations may restrict the rate of natural gas and crude oil production below the rate that would otherwise exist. The regulatory burden on the industry increases the cost of doing business and consequently affects our profitability. Changes in environmental laws and regulations occur frequently, and any changes that result in more stringent and costly waste handling, disposal or clean-up requirements could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. While we believe that we substantially comply with current applicable environmental laws and regulations, and we have not experienced any materially adverse effect from compliance with these environmental requirements, we cannot assure you that this will continue in the future.

The U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), also known as the "Superfund" law, imposes liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons who are considered to be responsible for the release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. These persons include the present or past owners or operators of the disposal site or sites where the release occurred and the companies that transported or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances at the site where the release occurred. Under CERCLA, such persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damages allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances or other pollutants into the environment. Furthermore, although petroleum, including natural gas and crude oil, is exempt from CERCLA, at least two courts have ruled that certain wastes associated with the production of crude oil may be classified as "hazardous substances" under CERCLA and thus such wastes may become subject to liability and regulation under CERCLA. State initiatives to further regulate the disposal of crude oil and natural gas wastes are also pending in certain states, and these various initiatives could have an adverse impact on us.

Stricter standards in environmental legislation may be imposed on the industry in the future. For instance, legislation has been proposed in the U.S. Congress from time to time that would reclassify certain exploration and production wastes as "hazardous wastes" and make the reclassified wastes subject to more stringent handling, disposal and clean-up restrictions. Compliance with environmental requirements generally could have a materially adverse effect upon our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Although we have not experienced any materially adverse effect from compliance with environmental requirements, we cannot assure you that this will continue in the future.

The U.S. Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("FWPCA") imposes restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of produced waters and other petroleum wastes into navigable waters. Permits must be obtained to discharge pollutants into state and federal waters. The FWPCA and analogous state laws provide for civil, criminal and administrative penalties for any unauthorized discharges of crude oil and other hazardous substances in reportable quantities and may impose substantial potential liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages. Federal effluent limitations guidelines prohibit the discharge of produced water and sand, and some other substances related to the natural gas and crude oil industry, into coastal waters. Although the costs to comply with zero discharge mandated under federal or state law may be significant, the entire industry will experience similar costs and we believe that these costs will not have a materially adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Some oil and gas exploration and production facilities are required to obtain permits for their storm water discharges. Costs may be incurred in connection with treatment of wastewater or developing storm water pollution prevention plans.

The U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), generally does not regulate most wastes generated by the exploration and production of natural gas and crude oil. RCRA specifically excludes from the definition of hazardous waste "drilling fluids, produced waters, and other wastes associated with the exploration, development, or production of crude oil, natural gas or geothermal energy." However, these wastes may be regulated by the EPA or state agencies as solid waste. Moreover, ordinary industrial wastes, such as paint wastes, waste solvents, laboratory wastes and waste compressor oils, are regulated as hazardous wastes. Although the costs of managing solid hazardous waste may be significant, we do not expect to experience more burdensome costs than would be borne by similarly situated companies in the industry.

In addition, the U.S. Oil Pollution Act ("OPA") requires owners and operators of facilities that could be the source of an oil spill into "waters of the United States," a term defined to include rivers, creeks, wetlands and coastal waters, to adopt and implement plans and procedures to prevent any spill of oil into any waters of the United States. OPA also requires affected facility owners and operators to demonstrate that they have at least \$35 million in financial resources to pay for the costs of cleaning up an oil spill and compensating any parties damaged by an oil spill. Substantial civil and criminal fines and penalties can be imposed for violations of OPA and other environmental statutes.

Competition

The oil and gas industry is highly competitive. We compete with major oil companies, large and small independent companies, and individuals for the acquisition of leases and properties. Most competitors have financial and other resources which substantially exceed ours. Resources of our competitors may allow them to pay more for desirable leases and to evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of properties or prospects than us. Our ability to replace and expand our reserves is dependent on our ability to select and acquire producing properties and prospects for future drilling.

Operations

Oil and gas properties are customarily operated under the terms of a joint operating agreement, which provides for reimbursement of the operator's direct expenses and monthly per well supervision fees. Per well supervision fees vary widely depending on the geographic location and producing formation of the well, whether the well produces oil or

gas and other factors. We are not the operator of our wells, which are operated by Bayshore Exploration LLC and Leexus Oil LLC. The operators charge the Company, without mark-up, for the Company's working interest portion of the direct operating costs and overhead costs (which are comprised of administrative, supervision, office services and warehousing costs) that the operators incur with respect to our wells.

Table of Contents

Employees

We currently have four part-time contractors providing services to the Company. We have no employees. All of our activities are conducted through contracting geologists, engineers, operators and other oil and gas professionals.

GLOSSARY OF CERTAIN OIL AND GAS TERMS

The following is a description of the meanings of some of the natural gas and oil industry terms used in this filing:

"Bbl" means a barrel or barrels of oil.

"BOE" means barrels of oil equivalent.

"Btu" means British thermal unit, which means the quantity of heat required to raise the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit.

"Completion" means the installation of permanent equipment for the production of natural gas or oil.

"Condensate" means hydrocarbons naturally occurring in the gaseous phase in a reservoir that condense to become a liquid at the surface due to the change in pressure and temperature.

"Crude" means unrefined liquid petroleum.

"Gross acres" or "gross wells" refer to the total acres or wells, as the case may be, in which a working interest is owned.

"Mcf" means thousand cubic feet of natural gas. The Company has assumed that 1Mcf = 1 MMBtu for our calculations.

"MMBtu" means one million Btus.

"Net acreage" means the sum of the fractional working interest owned in gross acres or wells, as the case may be.

"Operator" refers to the individual or company responsible for the exploration, development and production of an oil or gas well or lease.

"Proved reserves" or "reserves" are those quantities of oil and gas reserves, which, by analysis of geosciences and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible—from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations—prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain, regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation.

"Proved developed reserves" or "PDP's means reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. Additional oil and gas expected to be obtained through the application of fluid injection or other improved recovery techniques for supplementing the natural forces and mechanisms of primary recovery can be included as "proved developed reserves" only after testing by a pilot project, or after the operation of an installed program has confirmed through production response that increased recovery will be achieved.

Table of Contents

"Proved developed non-producing reserves" or "PDNP's" are those quantities of oil and gas reserves that are developed behind pipe in an existing well bore, from a shut-in well bore or that can be recovered through improved recovery only after the necessary equipment has been installed, or when the costs to do so are relatively minor. Shut-in reserves are expected to be recovered from (1) completion intervals which are open at the time of the estimate but which have not started producing, (2) wells that were shut-in for market conditions or pipeline connections, or (3) wells not capable of production for mechanical reasons. Behind-pipe reserves are expected to be recovered from zones in existing wells that will require additional completion work or future recompletion prior to the start of production.

"Proved undeveloped reserves" or "PUD's" are those quantities of oil and gas reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for development. Reserves on undrilled acreage are limited to those drilling units offsetting productive units that are reasonably certain of production when drilled. Proved reserves for other undrilled units are claimed only where it can be demonstrated with certainty that there is continuity of production from the existing productive formation. Estimates for proved undeveloped reserves are not attributed to any acreage for which an application of fluid injection or other improved recovery technique is contemplated, unless such techniques have been proven effective by actual tests in the area and in the same reservoir.

"Proven properties" refers to properties containing proved reserves.

"Recompletion" means the completion for production of an existing well bore in another formation from that in which the well has been previously completed.

"Shut-in" means a well which is capable of producing but is not presently producing.

"Unproven properties" refers to properties containing no proved reserves.

"Working interest" refers to the operating interest that gives the owner the right to drill, produce and conduct operating activities on the property and receive a share of production.

"Workover" means operations on a producing well to restore or increase production.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Specific to Our Company

We Have a History of Losses Which May Continue, Which May Negatively Impact Our Ability to Achieve Our Business Objectives

We have very limited history with respect to our acquisition and development of oil and gas properties. In the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 we recorded operating losses of \$387,005 and \$639,906 respectively. We cannot assure you that we can achieve or sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. Our operations are subject to the risks and competition inherent in the establishment of a business enterprise. There can be no assurance that our future operations will be profitable. Revenues and profits, if any, will depend upon various factors, including whether we will be able to continue expansion of our revenue. We may not achieve our business objectives and the failure to achieve such goals would have an adverse impact on us.

Since We Are in the Early Stage of Development and Have a Limited operating History, It May Be Difficult for You to Assess Our Business and Future Prospects

We have only a limited history of revenues from oil and natural gas operations and have limited tangible assets. We have yet to generate positive earnings and there can be no assurance that we will ever operate profitably. With this limited operating history our company must be considered in the exploration stage. Our success is significantly dependent on a successful acquisition, drilling, completion and production program. Our operations will be subject to all the risks inherent in the establishment of a developing enterprise and the uncertainties arising from the absence of a significant operating history. We may be unable to locate recoverable reserves or operate on a profitable basis. We are in the exploration stage and potential investors should be aware of the difficulties normally encountered by enterprises in the exploration stage. If our business plan is not successful, and we are not able to operate profitably, investors may lose some or all of their investment in our company.

Table of Contents

Because We Are Small and Do Not Have Much Capital, We May Have to Limit Our Development and Production Activity Which May Result in a Loss of Your Investment

Because we are small and do not have much capital, we must limit our oil and gas acquisition, development and production activity. As such we may not be able to complete an exploration program that is as thorough as we would like. In that event, existing reserves may go undiscovered. Without finding reserves or acquiring additional reserves, we cannot generate revenues and you will lose your investment.

As Our Properties are in the Exploration Stage, There Can Be No Assurance That We Will Establish Commercial Discoveries on Our Properties

Exploration for economic reserves of oil and gas is subject to a number of risk factors. Few wells that are ultimately reworked are capable of producing commercially viable quantities of oil and or gas for any extended period of time. If the wells in which we have an interest do not produce commercially viable amounts of oil or gas or cease to produce such quantities after being reworked we may need to curtail or cease our operations.

The Potential Profitability of Our and Gas Ventures Depends Upon Factors Beyond the Control of Our Company

The potential profitability of oil and gas properties is dependent upon many factors beyond our control. For instance, world prices and markets for oil and gas are unpredictable, highly volatile, potentially subject to governmental fixing, pegging, controls, or any combination of these and other factors, and respond to changes in domestic, international, political, social, and economic environments. Additionally, due to worldwide economic uncertainty, the availability and cost of funds for production and other expenses have become increasingly difficult, if not impossible, to project. In addition, adverse weather conditions can also hinder drilling operations. These changes and events may materially affect our financial performance. These factors cannot be accurately predicted and the combination of these factors may result in our company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

We May Fail to Fully Identify Problems with Any Properties We Acquire

Although we conduct a review of properties we acquire which we believe is consistent with industry practices, we can give no assurance that we have identified or will identify all existing or potential problems associated with such properties or that we will be able to mitigate any problems we do identify.

The Oil and Gas Industry is Highly Competitive and There is No Assurance that We Will Be Successful in Acquiring Oil and Gas Interests of Leases

The oil and gas industry is intensely competitive. We compete with numerous individuals and companies, including many major oil and gas companies, which may have substantially greater technical, financial and operational resources and staffs. Accordingly, there is a high degree of competition for desirable oil and gas leases, suitable properties for drilling operations and necessary drilling equipment, as well as for access to funds. We cannot predict if the necessary funds can be raised or that any projected work will be completed or additional oil and gas interests acquired.

Risks Specific to Our Industry

Oil and Gas Operations Are Subject to Comprehensive Regulation Which May Cause Substantial Delays or Require Capital Outlays in Excess of Those Anticipated Causing an Adverse Effect on Our Company

Oil and gas operations are subject to federal, state, and local laws relating to the protection of the environment, including laws regulating removal of natural resources from the ground and the discharge of materials into the environment. Oil and gas operations are also subject to federal, state, and local laws and regulations which seek to maintain health and safety standards by regulating the design and use of drilling methods and equipment. Various permits from government bodies are required for drilling operations to be conducted; no assurance can be given that such permits will be received. Environmental standards imposed by federal, provincial, or local authorities may be changed and any such changes may have material adverse effects on our activities. Moreover, compliance with such laws may cause substantial delays or require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated, thus causing an adverse effect on us. Additionally, we may be subject to liability for pollution or other environmental damages. To date we have not been required to spend any material amount on compliance with environmental regulations.

Table of Contents

However, we may be required to do so in future and this may affect our ability to expand or maintain our operations.

Exploration and Production Activities Are Subject to Certain Environmental Regulations Which May Prevent or Delay the Commencement or Continuance of Our Operations

In general, our exploration, development and production activities are subject to certain federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental quality and pollution control. Such laws and regulations increase the costs of these activities and may prevent or delay the commencement or continuance of a given operation. Compliance with these laws and regulations has not had a material effect on our operations or financial condition to date. Specifically, we are subject to legislation regarding emissions into the environment, water discharges and storage and disposition of hazardous wastes. In addition, legislation has been enacted which requires well and facility sites to be abandoned and reclaimed to the satisfaction of state authorities. However, such laws and regulations are frequently changed and we are unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance. Generally, environmental requirements do not appear to affect us any differently or to any greater or lesser extent than other companies in the industry. We believe that our operations comply, in all material respects, with all applicable environmental regulations. Our operating partners maintain insurance coverage customary to the industry; however, we are not fully insured against all possible environmental risks.

Exploratory Drilling Involves Many Risks and We May Become Liable for Pollution or Other Liabilities Which May Have an Adverse Effect on our Financial Position

Drilling operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Hazards such as unusual or unexpected geological formations, power outages, labor disruptions, blow-outs, sour gas leakage, fire, inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labor, and other risks are involved. We may become subject to liability for pollution or hazards against which we cannot adequately insure or which we may elect not to insure. Incurring any such liability may have a material adverse effect on our financial position and operations.

Corporate Office

We do not own any properties other than oil and gas properties acquired during 2008. Our corporate offices are located at 430 Park Avenue, Suite 702, New York, New York 10022. Mr. Joseph Sierchio, a member of our Board of Directors, provides the office space at no charge to us.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 3. Legal proceedings

We are currently not a party to any material pending legal proceedings or government actions, including any bankruptcy, receivership, or similar proceedings. In addition, management is not aware of any known litigation or liabilities involving the operators of our properties that could affect our operations. Should any liabilities incur in the future, they will be accrued based on management's best estimate of the potential loss. As such, there is no adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flow at this time. Furthermore, we do not believe that there are any proceedings to which any of our directors, officers, or affiliates, any owner of record of the beneficially or more than five percent of our common stock, or any associate of any such director, officer, affiliate, or security holder is a party adverse or has a material interest adverse to us.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Effective January 5, 2011, the Company changed its name from "Entheos Technologies, Inc." to "Januar Resources, Inc." In conjunction with the name change, the Company's stock symbol on FINRA Over the Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") was changed from "ETHT" to "JANI". The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices for the periods indicated:

Fiscal Year 2010	High (\$)	Low (\$)
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.25
Third Quarter	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.25
Second Quarter	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.30
First Quarter	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.60
Fiscal Year 2009		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.50
Third Quarter	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50
Second Quarter	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.50
First Quarter	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.41

As of March 22, 2011, there were approximately 320 stockholders of record.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock and our board of directors presently intends to continue a policy of retaining earnings, if any, for use in our operations. The declaration and payment of dividends in the future, of which there can be no assurance, will be determined by the board of directors in light of conditions then existing, including earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and other factors. The Nevada Revised Statutes prohibit us from declaring dividends where, if after giving effect to the distribution of the dividend:

• we would not be able to pay our debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or
• our total assets would be less than the sum of our total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed to satisfy the rights of stockholders who have preferential rights superior to those receiving the distribution.

Except as set forth above, there are no restrictions that currently materially limit our ability to pay dividends or which we reasonably believe are likely to limit materially the future payment of dividends on common stock.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	n/a	120,000,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by	•		220,000,000
security holders	0	n/a	0
Total	0	n/a	120,000,000

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion and analysis is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. In addition, the following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those discussed in "Forward Looking Statements," and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Our Oil and Gas Interests

We utilize the full cost method of accounting for our oil and gas activities. In accordance with the full cost method of accounting, all costs associated with acquisition, exploration, and development of oil and gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs and related asset retirement costs, are capitalized. Net capitalized costs associated with oil and gas properties as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized as follows:

	Decem	December 31,			
	2010	2010 2009 Ch			
Proven properites	\$432,089	\$424,191	\$7,898		
Unproven properties	103,087	101,682	1,405		
	535,176	525,873	9,303		
Impairment of properties	(508,583)	(429,338) (79,245)		
Oil and gas properties, net	\$26,593	\$96,535	\$(69,942)		

Capital expenditures totaled \$9,303 for the year ended December 31, 2010 representing \$7,118 to correct a tubing puncture in the Onnie Ray #1 well, \$1,405 in lease royalty payments for the Haile #1 lease, and \$780 for repair and maintenance on the Pearce #1. An authorization for expenditure for Onnie Ray #6 totaling \$7,500 was submitted to us by the well operator in January 2010 and the workover was completed in March 2010. Since completion of the workover, production has increased but the well is still producing below last year's levels as the well continues to age. Depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment totaled by \$79,245 and for the year ended December 31, 2010, and was determined using a systematic and rational method.

We recorded an impairment loss (included in depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment) of \$33,150 for the year ended December 31, 2010, in order to state the carrying cost at the lower of fair market value or carrying costs.

At the time of acquisition, the unproven property, Haile #1, was being recompleted to a zone that was previously productive. Once the recompletion efforts were final and the well did not support production, an exploratory drilling program was started in early 2009 to complete a new unproven upper zone. The new upper zone completion also resulted in no oil or gas production and the well was shut-in during August 2009. In accordance with our accounting policies, we assessed this well, at December 31, 2009, to determine whether the well was recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value. Upon completion of our assessment, we impaired the well to the extent of anticipated salvage value of the equipment and recorded an asset retirement obligation to accrue for estimated closure costs. In February 2011, the operator who also owns a working interest in the well provided to us a plan for recompletion. We are still evaluating this opportunity.

Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management's judgments and estimates in these areas are based on information available from both internal and external sources, including engineers, geologists, consultants and historical experience in similar matters. The more significant reporting areas impacted by management's judgments and estimates are accruals related to oil and gas sales and expenses; estimates used in the impairment of oil and gas properties; and the estimated future timing and cost of asset retirement obligations.

Actual results could differ from the estimates as additional information becomes known. The carrying values of oil and gas properties are particularly susceptible to change in the near term. Changes in the future estimated oil and gas reserves or the estimated future cash flows attributable to the reserves that are utilized for impairment analysis could have a significant impact on the future results of operations.

Full Cost Method of Accounting for Oil and Gas Properties

The Company has elected to utilize the full cost method of accounting for its oil and gas activities. In accordance with the full cost method of accounting, all costs associated with acquisition, exploration, and development of oil and gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs and related asset retirement costs, are capitalized.

All capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, including the estimated future costs to develop proved reserves, are amortized on the unit-of-production method using estimates of proved reserves once proved reserves are determined to exist. The Company has not yet obtained reserve reports. Management is assessing production data to determine the feasibility of obtaining reserves studies. At December 31, 2010, there were no capitalized costs subject to

amortization.

Oil and gas properties without estimated proved reserves are not amortized until proved reserves associated with the properties can be determined or until impairment occurs. As a result of management's impairment analysis, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$79,245 and \$335,894 during 2010 and 2009, respectively. The impairment is similar to amortization and therefore is not added to the costs of properties being amortized.

Table of Contents

Sales of oil and gas properties are accounted for as adjustments of capitalized costs with no gain or loss recognized, unless such adjustments would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves of oil and gas, in which case the gain or loss is recognized in income. The Company has not sold any oil and gas properties.

Full Cost Ceiling Test

At the end of each quarterly reporting period, the unamortized costs of oil and gas properties are subject to a "ceiling test" which basically limits capitalized costs to the sum of the estimated future net revenues from proved reserves, discounted at 10% per annum to present value, based on current economic and operating conditions, adjusted for related income tax effects.

Asset Retirement Obligation

The Company accounts for its future asset retirement obligations by recording the fair value of the liability during the period in which it was incurred. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. The increase in carrying value of a property associated with the capitalization of an asset retirement obligation is included in proven oil and gas properties in the balance sheets. The Company's asset retirement obligation consists of costs related to the plugging of wells, removal of facilities and equipment and site restoration on its oil and gas properties. The asset retirement liability is allocated to operating expense using a systematic and rational method. Asset retirement obligations amounted to \$52,558 and \$50,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Warrant Liability Derivative

The Company evaluates financial instruments for freestanding or embedded derivatives. As part of the July 2008 financing, the Company issued warrants that did not meet the specific conditions for equity classification. The Company is required to classify the fair value of the warrants issued as a liability, with subsequent changes in fair value to be recorded as income (loss) on change in fair value of warrant liability. The fair value of the warrants will continue to be classified as a liability until the warrants are exercised, expire or are amended in a way that would no longer require classification as a liability.

Oil and Gas Revenues

The Company recognizes oil and gas revenues when oil and gas production is sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, when delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and if collectability of the revenue is probable. Delivery occurs and title is transferred when production has been delivered to a purchaser's pipeline or truck. As a result of the numerous requirements necessary to gather information from purchasers or various measurement locations, calculate volumes produced, perform field and wellhead allocations, distribute and disburse funds to various working interest partners and royalty owners, the collection of revenues from oil and gas production may take up to 45 days following the month of production. Therefore, the Company may make accruals for revenues and accounts receivable based on estimates of its share of production. Since the settlement process may take 30 to 60 days following the month of actual production, its financial results may include estimates of production and revenues for the related time period. The Company will record any differences between the actual amounts ultimately received and the original estimates in the period they become finalized.

2010 Results of Operations

	2010	2009	change		% change	e
Production:						
Oil (Bbls)	446.3	806.1	(359.8)	(45	%)
Gas (Mcf)	1,184.1	1,927.0	(742.9)	(39	%)
Total production (BOE)	643.7	1,127.3	(483.7)	(43	%)
Average daily production (BOE)	1.8	3.1	(1.3)	(42	%)
% oil of production	69	% 72 ·	% -3	%	(4	%)
Average sales price:						
Oil (per Bbl)	\$67.12	\$50.16	\$16.96		34	%
Gas (per Mcf)	\$8.35	\$5.55	\$2.80		50	%
Total (per BOE)	\$61.90	\$45.36	\$16.54		36	%
Oil and gas revenues:						
Oil revenue	\$29,960	\$40,437	\$(10,477)	(26	%)
Gas revenue	\$9,886	\$10,697	\$(811)	(8	%)
Total	\$39,846	\$51,134	\$(11,288)	(22	%)
Lease operating expenses	\$24,988	\$42,984	\$(17,996)	(42	%)
Additional per BOE data:						
Sales price	\$61.90	\$45.36	\$16.54		36	%
Lease operating expenses	\$38.82	\$38.13	\$0.69		2	%
Operating Margin per BOE	\$23.08	\$7.23	\$15.85		219	%
Impairment and DDA	\$79,245	\$335,894	\$(256,649)	(76	%)
General and administrative:						
Management fees	\$18,862	\$94,550	\$(75,688)	(80	%)
Accounting & legal	\$196,600	\$182,004	\$14,596		8	%
Office, travel and investor relations	\$107,156	\$35,608	\$71,548		201	%
Total	\$322,618	\$312,162	\$10,456		3	%
Change in fair value of warrant liability	\$(3,879,621)	\$1,909,455	\$(5,789,076	5)	(303	%)

Oil and Gas Revenues

Total oil and gas revenues decreased 42% in 2010 compared to 2009 due to the natural decline of the wells. Average daily production on an equivalent basis was 1.8 BOE in 2010 compared to 3.1 BOE in 2009. Oil remained the primary portion of our revenue mix at 69% in 2010 compared to 72% in 2009.

Oil production during 2010 totaled 446.3 barrels and generated \$29,960 in revenues compared to 806.1 barrels and \$40,437 in revenues in 2009. The 45% decline in production was offset by a 34% increase in the average price per barrel.

Gas production during 2010 totaled 1,184.1 Mcf and generated \$9,886 in revenue compared to 1,927.0 Mcf and \$10,697 in revenues in 2009. The 39% decline in production was offset by a 50% increase in average gas prices.

Table of Contents

Lease Operating Expenses

Lease operating expenses for 2010 decreased 42% compared to 2009 due to decreased production.

Impairment of Oil and Gas Properties

Impairment of oil and gas properties decreased 76% in 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease is due to the carrying value of our wells approaching salvage value.

Management Fees

Management fees decreased 80% in 2010 compared to 2009 which is primarily due to the reversal of stock compensation expense upon the departure of the Company's former President, Mr. Derek Cooper, and Board of Director members, Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson, from the forfeiture of their stock options.

Accounting and Legal Fees

Accounting and legal expenses increased 8% in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to hiring additional contract management support in 2010 upon the change in management in August 2010.

Office, Travel and Investor Relations

Office, travel and investor relations expenses increased 201% in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to travel expenditures as management investigates additional opportunities for the Company.

Change in Fair Value of Warrant Liability

As a result of adjusting the warrant liability to fair value, we recorded a non-cash loss of 2,434,985 and \$1,444,636 relating to the Series A and Series B Warrants, respectively, for a total of \$3,879,621 for the year ended December 31, 2010. The change in fair value is in part due to the extension of the warrant terms to December 31, 2011 for both Series from January 28, 2011 and July 28, 2011 for the Series A and Series B, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had cash of \$2,052,305 and \$2,409,770 as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. We have financed our operations from cash on hand during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Net cash flows used in operating activities were (\$348,162) for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to net cash used in operating activities of (\$290,976) for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Cash used in investing activities was (\$9,303) for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to cash used in investing activities of (\$33,845) for the year ended December 31, 2009. The cash used in 2010 and 2009 represents additions to capitalized costs of oil and gas properties.

We had no cash used or generated in financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2010 or 2009.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern. We have incurred cumulative losses of \$8,704,627 through December 31, 2010. Additionally, we have expended a significant amount of cash to acquire working interests in oil and gas properties and operating as a public entity. We expect to

continue to incur losses from business operations in the future. Management believes that our cash and cash equivalent balances, anticipated cash flows from operations and other external sources of credit will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements through December 2012 if not longer. Our prospects after December 2012 will depend in large part on our ability to successfully raise capital from external sources to pay for planned expenditures and to fund operations.

Due to the "start up" nature of our business, we expect to incur losses as we expand. We expect to raise additional funds through private or public equity investment in order to expand the range and scope of our business operations. We will seek access to private or public equity but there is no assurance that such additional funds will be available for us to finance our operations on acceptable terms, if at all.

Table of Contents

At this time, we have no agreements or understandings with any third party regarding any financings.

Moreover, the trading price of our shares of common stock and a downturn in the United States stock and debt markets could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. Even if we are able to raise the funds required, it is possible that we could incur unexpected costs and expenses, or experience unexpected cash requirements that would force us to seek alternative financing. Further, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our shares of common stock. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we will have to curtail our operations.

Related Party Transactions

Executive Management

On August 27, 2010, Mr. Derek Cooper resigned from the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Company. We incurred \$20,000 and \$30,000 in fees paid to Mr. Cooper for the years ended December 3, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At the time of his separation, certain of Mr. Cooper's outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$16,710 that we had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred (\$12,047) and \$14,474, respectively, as stock compensation expense (benefit) related to options granted to Mr. Cooper.

Immediately upon Mr. Cooper's resignation, we appointed Mr. Antonino Cacace to the Board of Directors and the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. We have agreed to pay Mr. Cacace a monthly management fee of \$3,000 for his services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred \$15,000 in management fees paid to Mr. Cacace.

Director Fees

On August 26, 2010, Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson resigned as members of the Board of Directors. We incurred nil and \$20,435 in board fees for these non-employee directors for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At the time of their separation, certain of their outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$33,419 that we had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded (\$24,091) and \$29,640, respectively, as stock compensation expense (benefit) related to options granted to these non-employee directors.

In order to fill the vacancies created by the resignations of Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson, we appointed Messrs. David Jenkins and Joseph Sierchio to the Board of Directors. We have agreed to pay Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio a monthly fee of \$2,000 each for their services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we have incurred \$20,000 and nil in management fees paid to Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio.

Legal Fees

Legal fees expensed for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, totaled \$44,188 and \$60,250, respectively, were paid or are due to our attorney, Mr. Sierchio, who was appointed to our board effective August 26, 2010.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See "Note 2. Accounting Policies" in the Notes to Financial Statements in this Form 10-K.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Table of Contents

Item 8.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	PAGE
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	20
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009	21
Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	22
Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	23
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	24
Notes to Financial Statements	25
19	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors Janus Resources, Inc. New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Janus Resources, Inc. ("the Company") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Janus Resources, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/S/ PETERSON SULLIVAN LLP

Seattle, Washington March 30, 2011

JANUS RESOURCES, INC. (formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) BALANCE SHEETS December 31, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
ACCETTO		
ASSETS		
Current assets	¢2.052.205	¢2.400.770
Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable	\$2,052,305	\$2,409,770
	2,615	8,759
Total current assets	2,054,920	2,418,529
Oil and gas properties (Note 4)		
Proven properties	432,089	424,191
Unproven properties	103,087	101,682
Accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	(508,583)	(429,338)
Oil and gas properties, net	26,593	96,535
on and gas properties, net	20,373	70,333
Total assets	\$2,081,513	\$2,515,064
	, , , - ,	, , ,
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$9,404	\$30,468
Accounts payable - related parties	13,270	5,172
Asset retirement obligation	-	10,000
Warrant liability (Note 6)	5,248,041	1,368,420
Total current liabilities	5,270,715	1,414,060
Long-term liabilities		
Asset retirement obligation, less current portion	52,558	40,000
Total liabilities	5,323,273	1,454,060
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (Note 6)		
Preferred stock: \$0.0001 par value: Authorized: 10,000,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding: nil	-	-
Common stock: \$0.00001 par value: Authorized: 200,000,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding: 63,075,122 shares (2009: 63,075,122)	631	631
Additional paid-in capital	5,462,236	5,498,374
Accumulated deficit	(8,704,627)	(4,438,001)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(3,241,760)	1,061,004
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$2,081,513	\$2,515,064

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements)

JANUS RESOURCES, INC. (formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

2010

2009

Revenue \$39,846 \$51,134 Expenses 24,988 42,984 General and administrative expenses 322,618 312,162 Impairment and depreciation 79,245 335,894 Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005 (639,906) Other income / (expense) (23,879,621 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626 \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07 \$0.02		2010	2003
Expenses Lease operating expenses General and administrative expenses General and depreciation 79,245 335,894 Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005) Other income / (expense) Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07)\$ \$0.02	Revenue		
Lease operating expenses 24,988 42,984 General and administrative expenses 322,618 312,162 Impairment and depreciation 79,245 335,894 Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005) (639,906) Other income / (expense) (23,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02	Oil and gas sales	\$39,846	\$51,134
Lease operating expenses 24,988 42,984 General and administrative expenses 322,618 312,162 Impairment and depreciation 79,245 335,894 Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005) (639,906) Other income / (expense) (23,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02			
General and administrative expenses 322,618 312,162 Impairment and depreciation 79,245 335,894 Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005) (639,906) Other income / (expense) (3,879,621 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626 \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07 \$0.02	Expenses		
Impairment and depreciation 79,245 335,894 Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005) (639,906) Other income / (expense) Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02	Lease operating expenses	24,988	42,984
Total operating expenses 426,851 691,040 Operating Loss (387,005) (639,906) Other income / (expense) Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02		322,618	312,162
Operating Loss (387,005) (639,906) Other income / (expense) Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02		79,245	335,894
Other income / (expense) Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02	Total operating expenses	426,851	691,040
Other income / (expense) Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02			
Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02	Operating Loss	(387,005)	(639,906)
Change in fair value of warrant liability (3,879,621) 1,909,455 Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02			
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders \$(4,266,626) \$1,269,549 Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02			
Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02	Change in fair value of warrant liability	(3,879,621)	1,909,455
Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted \$(0.07) \$0.02			
	Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$(4,266,626)	\$1,269,549
Weighted average number of shares - basic and diluted 63 075 122 63 075 122	Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted	\$(0.07)	\$0.02
Weighted average number of shares - basic and diluted 63 075 122 63 075 122			
Weighted average number of shares - basic and diluted 63 075 122 63 075 122			
reighted average number of shares basic and direct	Weighted average number of shares - basic and diluted	63,075,122	63,075,122

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements)

JANUS RESOURCES, INC.

(Formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

	Commo	n Stock	Additional	A 1.1	Total
	Shares	Amount	paid-in capital	Accumulated earnings (deficit)	stockholders' equity (deficit)
Balance, December 31, 2008	63,075,122	\$631	\$7,107,622	\$ (4,083,037)	\$ 3,025,216
Cumulative effect of change in					
accounting principle	-	-	(1,653,362)	(1,624,513)	(3,277,875)
Stock-based compensation	-	-	44,114	-	44,114
Net income, year ended December 31,					
2009	-	-	-	1,269,549	1,269,549
Balance, December 31, 2009	63,075,122	631	5,498,374	(4,438,001)	1,061,004
	,,		, ,	(,,,	
Stock-based compensation	-	-	(36,138)	-	(36,138)
Net loss year ended December 31, 2010	-	-	-	(4,266,626)	(4,266,626)
Balance, December 31, 2010	63,075,122	\$631	\$5,462,236	\$ (8,704,627)	\$ (3,241,760)

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements)

JANUS RESOURCES, INC. (formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

2010

2009

Cash flows from operating activities		- \	4.060.740
Net income (loss)	\$(4,266,626)	\$1,269,549
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities:			
Impairment and depreciation	79,245		335,894
Stock-based compensation	(36,138)	44,114
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	2,558		-
Change in fair value of warrant liability	3,879,621		(1,909,455)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	6,144		(4,507)
Prepaid expenses	-		720
Accounts payable & accrued liabilities including related party payables	(12,966)	(27,291)
Net cash flows from operating activities	(348,162)	(290,976)
1	,		
Cash flows from investing activities			
Acquisition of oil and gas properties	(9,303)	(33,845)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(9,303)	(33,845)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(357,465)	(324,821)
•			
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,409,770		2,734,591
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$2,052,305		\$2,409,770
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid in cash	\$-		\$-
Income tax paid in cash	\$-		\$-

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements)

JANUS RESOURCES, INC. (Formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization and Nature of Operations

Janus Resources, Inc. (formerly Entheos Technologies, Inc.) (the "Company", "we", "us", and "our") is a small independent of and gas production company with a focus on participation in producing oil and gas wells and the re-development/recompletion of oil and gas wells. The Company pursues oil and gas prospects in partnership with oil and gas companies with exploration, development and production expertise. Currently, its interests consist of non-operating, minority working interests. The Company's prospect areas consist of land in La Salle County, Lee County, Fayette County and Frio County, Texas. Currently four of the five wells in which the Company has minority working interest are producing. The Company currently does not operate any of the wells in which it has an interest.

Incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada, the Company has an authorized capital of 200,000,000 shares of \$0.00001 par value common stock, of which 63,075,122 shares are outstanding and 10,000,000 shares of \$0.0001 par value preferred stock, of which none are outstanding.

Effective January 5, 2011, the Company changed its name from "Entheos Technologies, Inc." to "January Resources, Inc." so as to more fully reflect the Company's operations.

The Company has recently incurred net operating losses and operating cash flow deficits. The Company's accumulated deficit is \$8,704,627 at December 31, 2010. It may continue to incur losses from operations and operating cash flow deficits in the future. Management believes that the Company's cash and cash equivalent balances, anticipated cash flows from operations and other external sources of credit will be sufficient to meet its cash requirements through December 2012 if not further. The future of the Company after December 2012 will depend in large part on its ability to successfully generate cash flows from operations and raise capital from external sources to fund operations.

Note 2. Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Accounting

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

In preparing the accompanying financial statements, the Company has evaluated information about subsequent events that became available to them through the date the financial statements were issued. This information relates to events, transactions or changes in circumstances that would require us to adjust the amounts reported in the financial statements or to disclose information about those events, transactions or changes in circumstances.

Principles of Consolidation

The Company accounts for its undivided interest in oil and gas properties using the proportionate consolidation method, whereby its share of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses are included in its financial statements.

Applicable Accounting Guidance

Any reference in these notes to applicable accounting guidance is meant to refer to the authoritative non-governmental United States GAAP as found in the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC").

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management's judgments and estimates in these areas are based on information available from both internal and external sources, including engineers, geologists, consultants and historical experience in similar matters. The more significant reporting areas impacted by management's judgments and estimates are accruals related to oil and gas sales and expenses; estimates used in the impairment of oil and gas properties; and the estimated future timing and cost of asset retirement obligations.

Actual results could differ from the estimates as additional information becomes known. The carrying values of oil and gas properties are particularly susceptible to change in the near term. Changes in the future estimated oil and gas reserves or the estimated future cash flows attributable to the reserves that are utilized for impairment analysis could have a significant impact on the future results of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company did not have any cash equivalents at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Full Cost Method of Accounting for Oil and Gas Properties

The Company has elected to utilize the full cost method of accounting for its oil and gas activities. In accordance with the full cost method of accounting, all costs associated with acquisition, exploration, and development of oil and gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs and related asset retirement costs, are capitalized.

All capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, including the estimated future costs to develop proved reserves, are amortized on the unit-of-production method using estimates of proved reserves once proved reserves are determined to exist. The Company has not yet obtained reserve reports. Management is assessing production data to determine the feasibility of obtaining reserves studies. At December 31, 2010, there were no capitalized costs subject to amortization.

Oil and gas properties without estimated proved reserves are not amortized until proved reserves associated with the properties can be determined or until impairment occurs. As a result of management's impairment analysis, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$79,245 and \$335,894 during 2010 and 2009, respectively. The impairment is similar to amortization and therefore is not added to the costs of properties being amortized. See "Note 5. Fair Value Measurement" for further information.

Sales of oil and gas properties are accounted for as adjustments of capitalized costs with no gain or loss recognized, unless such adjustments would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves of oil and gas, in which case the gain or loss is recognized in income. The Company has not sold any oil and gas properties.

Full Cost Ceiling Test

At the end of each quarterly reporting period, the unamortized costs of oil and gas properties are subject to a "ceiling test" which basically limits capitalized costs to the sum of the estimated future net revenues from proved reserves, discounted at 10% per annum to present value, based on current economic and operating conditions, adjusted for related income tax effects.

Asset Retirement Obligation

The Company accounts for its future asset retirement obligations by recording the fair value of the liability during the period in which it was incurred. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. The increase in carrying value of a property associated with the capitalization of an asset retirement obligation is included in proven oil and gas properties in the balance sheets. The Company's asset retirement obligation consists of costs related to the plugging of wells, removal of facilities and equipment and site restoration on its oil and gas properties. The asset retirement liability is allocated to operating expense using a systematic and rational method. Asset retirement obligations amounted to \$52,558 and \$50,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Warrant Liability Derivative

The Company evaluates financial instruments for freestanding or embedded derivatives. As part of the July 2008 financing, the Company issued warrants that did not meet the specific conditions for equity classification. The Company is required to classify the fair value of the warrants issued as a liability, with subsequent changes in fair value to be recorded as income (loss) on change in fair value of warrant liability. The fair value of the warrants will continue to be classified as a liability until the warrants are exercised, expire or are amended in a way that would no longer require classification as a liability.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company measures all stock-based compensation awards at fair value on the date of grant and recognize such expense in our financial statements over the requisite service period for awards expected to vest. The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of stock-based compensation awards on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes pricing model requires management to make assumptions regarding the option lives, expected volatility, and risk free interest rates. See "Note 7. Stock Options" for additional information on the Company's stock-based compensation plans.

Oil and Gas Revenues

The Company recognizes oil and gas revenues when oil and gas production is sold to a purchaser at a fixed or determinable price, when delivery has occurred and title has transferred, and if collectability of the revenue is probable. Delivery occurs and title is transferred when production has been delivered to a purchaser's pipeline or truck. As a result of the numerous requirements necessary to gather information from purchasers or various measurement locations, calculate volumes produced, perform field and wellhead allocations, distribute and disburse funds to various working interest partners and royalty owners, the collection of revenues from oil and gas production may take up to 45 days following the month of production. Therefore, the Company may make accruals for revenues and accounts receivable based on estimates of its share of production. Since the settlement process may take 30 to 60 days following the month of actual production, its financial results may include estimates of production and revenues for the related time period. The Company will record any differences between the actual amounts ultimately received and the original estimates in the period they become finalized.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes income taxes on an accrual basis based on tax position taken or expected to be taken in our tax returns. A tax position is defined as a position in a previously filed tax return or a position expected to be taken in a future tax filing that is reflected in measuring current or deferred income tax assets and liabilities. Tax positions are recognized only when it is more likely than not (i.e., likelihood of greater than 50%), based on technical merits, that the position would be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. Tax positions that meet the more likely than not threshold are measured using a probability-weighted approach as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement. Income taxes are accounted for using an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred tax assets if all, or some portion, of such assets will more than likely not be realized. Should they occur, our policy is to classify interest and penalties related to tax positions as interest expense. Since our inception, no such interest or penalties have been incurred.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The computation of basic net income (loss) per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares that were outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted net income (loss) per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares used in the basic net income (loss) per share calculation plus the number of common shares that would be issued assuming the exercise of all potentially dilutive common shares outstanding using the treasury stock method for shares subject to stock options and warrants. See "Note 3. Earnings (Loss) Per Share" for further discussion.

Related Party Transactions

A related party is generally defined as (i) any person who holds 10% or more of the Company's securities and their immediate families, (ii) the Company's management, (iii) someone who directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by or is under common control with the Company, or (iv) anyone who can significantly influence the financial and operating decisions of the Company. A transaction is considered to be a related party transaction when there is a transfer of resources or obligations between related parties. See "Note 8. Related Party Transactions" for further discussion.

Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments that subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, and accounts receivable. The Company occasionally has cash deposits in excess of federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses related to these balances, and management believes its credit risk to be minimal. Accounts receivable are with the operators of the oil wells in which the Company participates. Given the close working relationship between the operators and the Company, management believes its credit risk is minimal.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The Company measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in on orderly transaction between market participants. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of maturity of the instruments. See Note 5 for further discussion on fair value of financial instruments.

Recent and Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Effective December 31, 2009, the Company adopted the SEC's final rule on "Modernization of Oil and Gas Reporting" (the "Reserve Ruling") and the FASB Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2010-03, which conforms ASC 932 to the Reserve Ruling. The Reserve Ruling revises oil and gas reporting disclosures, permits the use of new technologies to determine proved reserves if those technologies have been demonstrated empirically to lead to reliable conclusions about reserves volumes and allows companies the option to disclose probable and possible oil and gas reserves. In addition, the new disclosure requirements require companies to: (i) report the independence and qualifications of its reserves preparer or auditor, (ii) file reports when a third party is relied upon to prepare reserves estimates or conduct a reserves audit and (iii) report oil and gas reserves using an average price based upon the prior 12-month period rather than a period-end price. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the financial statements since the Company has no proved reserves.

During January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820)." ASU No. 2010-06 amends ASC Topic 820 to (i) require separate disclosure of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers, (ii) require separate disclosure of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), (iii) clarify the level of disaggregation for fair value measurements of assets and liabilities and (iv) clarify disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair values for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. ASU No. 2010-06 became effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2010-06 on January 1, 2010. The adoption of the provisions of ASU No. 2010-06 did not impact the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

During February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, "Subsequent Events (Topic 855)." ASU No. 2010-09 amends ASC Topic 855 to include the definition of "SEC filer" and alleviate the obligation of SEC filers to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. ASU No. 2010-09 became effective during February 2010.

From time to time, new accounting guidance is issued by FASB that the Company adopts as of the specified effective date. If not discussed, management believes that the impact of recently issued standards, which are not yet effective, will not have a material impact on our financial statements upon adoption.

Note 3. Earnings (Loss) Per Share (EPS)

Dilutive common stock equivalents include 12,900,000 warrants for 2010 and 13,050,000 warrants and stock options for 2009 which are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would be anti-dilutive. All share and per share information are adjusted retroactively to reflect stock splits and changes in par value, when applicable.

Table of Contents

Following is the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	December 31,				
	2010			2009	
Numerator - net income (loss)	\$	(4,266,626)	\$	1,269,549	
Denominator - weighted average number of common shares					
outstanding		63,075,122		63,075,122	
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$	(0.07)	\$	0.02	

Note 4. Oil and Gas Properties

The aggregate amount of capitalized costs relating to crude oil and natural gas producing activities and the aggregate amount of related accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were:

	Decemb	er 31,			
	2010		2009	C	Change (\$)
Proven properites	\$ 432,089	\$	424,191	\$	7,898
Unproven properties	103,087		101,682		1,405
	535,176		525,873		9,303
Impairment of properties	(508,583)		(429,338))	(79,245)
Oil and gas properties, net	\$ 26,593	\$	96,535	\$	(69,942)

The Company amortizes all capitalized costs of oil and gas properties on the unit-of-production method using proved reserves. The Company has not obtained reserve studies with estimated proved reserves. Management is assessing production data to determine the feasibility of obtaining reserves studies. Therefore at December 31, 2010, and 2009 there were no capitalized costs subject to amortization.

Unproven properties costs as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are associated with a development oil well which was completed in August 2009 and did not produce. Management has impaired the well to the extent of anticipated salvage value of the equipment.

Properties which are not being amortized are assessed quarterly, on a property-by-property basis, to determine whether they are recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value. As a result of this analysis and lack of reserve studies, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$79,245 and \$335,894 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The impairment recognized during 2010 was to bring the carrying costs of the wells to their anticipated salvage value since most of the wells are approaching end of life unless additional capital investments are made. The impairment is similar to amortization and therefore is not added to the cost of properties being amortized.

Costs incurred in oil and gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were:

	For the year ended December 31,			
		2010		2009
Beginning balance, net	\$	96,535	\$	348,584
Proven properties:				
Acquisition costs		-		-
Exploration costs		6,588		-
Development costs		1,310		48,847
Unproven properties:				
Acquisition costs		1,405		600
Exploration costs		-		34,398
Impairment and depreciation		(79,245)		(335,894)
Total		(69,942)		(252,049)
Ending balance, net	\$	26,593	\$	96,535

The table below shows the results of operation for the Company's oil and gas producing activities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. All production is within the continental United States.

	For the year ended December 31,			
		2010		2009
Revenue				
Oil	\$	29,960	\$	40,437
Gas		9,886		10,697
		39,846		51,134
Expenses				
Production		24,988		42,984
Production taxes		721		1,993
Impairment		79,245		335,894
		104,954		380,871
Results of operations	\$	(65,108)	\$	(329,737)

Asset Retirement Obligation

The following table summarizes the activity for the Company's asset retirement obligations:

	For the year ended December 31,			
		2010		2009
Asset retirement obligations, beginning of period	\$	50,000	\$	-
Accretion expense		2,558		-
Change in estimated obligations		-		50,000
Asset retirement obligations, end of period		52,558		50,000
Less: current portion		-		(10,000)
Long-term asset retirement obligations, end of period	\$	52,558	\$	40,000

The change in estimated obligations in 2009 represents the Company's initial recognition of retirement obligations related to oil and gas properties.

Note 5. Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined within the accounting rules as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The rules established a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. As presented in the tables below, this hierarchy consists of three broad levels:

Table of Contents

Level Valuations consist of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities and has the

1: highest priority;

Level Valuations rely on quoted prices in markets that are not active or observable inputs over the full term of the

2: asset or liability;

Level Valuations are based on prices or third party or internal valuation models that require inputs that are significant

3: to the fair value measurement and are less observable and thus have the lowest priority.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are reported at fair value on a recurring basis in the Company's Balance Sheet. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values:

Warrant Liability. Warrant liability derivatives are valued at each quarter-end using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and are affected by changes in inputs to that model including the Company's stock price, expected stock price volatility, the contractual term, and the risk-free interest rate. These unobservable inputs reflect the Company's own assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the liability. Given the unobservable nature of the inputs, the measurement of fair value is deemed to use Level 3 inputs. The changes in value are recognized as other income (expense) in the statements of operations. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances and changes in the warrant liability is included in Note 6.

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities, which were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy.

		December 31, 2010				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total		
LIABILITIES						
Warrant liability (Note 6)	\$-	\$-	\$5,248,041	\$5,248,041		

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities, which were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009, by level within the fair value hierarchy:

	December 31, 2009				
	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Total				
LIABILITIES					
Warrant liability (Note 6)	\$-	\$-	\$1,368,420	\$1,368,420	

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are reported at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the Company's Balance Sheet. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values:

Oil and Gas Properties. Oil and gas properties which are not being amortized are assessed quarterly, on a property-by-property basis, to determine whether they are recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value. In determining whether such costs should be impaired, the Company evaluates historical experience, current drilling results, lease expiration dates, current oil and gas industry conditions, international economic conditions, capital availability, and available geological and geophysical information. Given the unobservable nature of the inputs, the measurement of fair value is deemed to use Level 3 inputs. The impairment is included in operating costs. See Note 4 for a summary of changes in capitalized costs of oil and gas properties.

Asset Retirement Obligation. The Company estimates asset retirement obligations pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 410, "Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations." The income valuation technique is utilized by the Company to determine the fair value of the liability at the point of inception by taking into account 1) the cost of abandoning oil and gas wells, which is based on the Company's historical experience for similar work, or estimates from independent third-parties; 2) the economic lives of its properties, which is based on estimates by management; 3) the inflation rate; and 4) the credit adjusted risk-free rate, which takes into account the Company's credit risk and the time value of money. Given the unobservable nature of the inputs, the initial measurement of the asset retirement obligation liability is deemed to use Level 3 inputs. See Note 4 for a summary of changes in the Company's ARO liability.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities, which were accounted for at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy.

	December 31, 2010					
	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Tota				
ASSETS						
Oil and gas properties, net	\$-	\$-	\$26,593	\$26,593		
LIABILITIES						
Asset retirement obligation (Note 4)	\$-	\$-	\$52,558	\$52,558		

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities, which were accounted for at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2009, by level within the fair value hierarchy.

	December 31, 2009				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
ASSETS					
Oil and gas properties, net	\$-	\$-	\$96,535	\$96,535	
LIABILITIES					
Asset retirement obligation (Note 4)	\$-	\$-	\$50,000	\$50,000	

Note 6. Stockholders' Equity

On July 28, 2008, the Company completed a self-directed private placement of 6,450,000 units at a price of \$0.50 per unit or \$3,225,000 in the aggregate. Each unit consists of one share of the Company's common stock, one Series A stock purchase warrant ("Series A warrant") to purchase one share of common stock at \$0.60 per share for a period of 18 months from the date of issuance and one Series B stock purchase warrant ("Series B warrant") to purchase one share of common stock at \$0.75 per share for a period of 24 months from the date of issuance (refer to the "Warrants" section below for a discussion of the extension of the expiration date of the warrants).

In the event that during the period when the warrants are outstanding, if the Company issues common stock or common stock equivalents at a price per share which is less than the warrant exercise price, \$0.60 per share for Series A warrants and \$0.75 per share for Series B warrants, then the exercise price for the warrants shall be reduced to equal the share price of the new issuance and the number of warrant shares issuable shall be increased such that the aggregate exercise price payable shall be equal to the aggregate exercise price prior to such adjustment according to the Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Dilutive Issuance").

Warrants

Each of the Company's warrants outstanding entitles the holder to purchase one share of the Company's common stock for each warrant share held. No warrants were exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

On December 14, 2009, the Company extended the expiration date of the 6,450,000 Series A warrants from January 28, 2010 to July 28, 2010 and extended the expiration date of the 6,450,000 Series B warrants from July 28, 2010 to January 28, 2011. The exercise price of the warrants was not changed.

On January 27, 2010, the Company extended the expiration date of 6,450,000 Series A warrants again from July 28, 2010 to December 28, 2010 and extended the expiration date of the 6,450,000 Series B warrants again from January

28, 2011 to July 28, 2011. The exercise price of the warrants was not changed.

On August 27, 2010, the Company extended the expiration date of the 6,450,000 Series A warrants and the 6,450,000 Series B warrants to December 31, 2011. The exercise price of the warrants was not changed.

The potential of a Dilutive Issuance to the warrants' exercise price and number of underlying shares of common stock may result in a settlement amount that does not equal the difference between the fair value of a fixed number of the Company's common stock and a fixed exercise price. Accordingly, the warrants are not considered indexed to the Company's stock and, therefore, are accounted for as a derivative pursuant to ASC 815-40 Contracts in an Entity's Own Equity which became effective January 1, 2009. Upon the adoption of this guidance, the Company recognized a one-time decrease to opening accumulated deficit of \$1,624,513.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company has not sold any shares of common stock or common stock equivalents that would result in an adjustment to the exercise price or number of shares of common stock underlying the warrants outstanding. Additionally, the Company does not intend to sell any shares of common stock or common stock equivalents at a price that is below the exercise price of the warrants, prior to their expiration dates, which would result in a Dilutive Issuance. Since the Company determined that the future probability of a Dilutive Issuance is deemed unlikely, it did not have a material impact on the fair value estimate of the warrant liability at December 31, 2010 as it relates to the Series A or Series B Warrants.

At December 31, 2010, the Company valued the warrant liability using a Black-Scholes model (Level 3 inputs) containing the following assumptions:

	Series A Warrants	Series B Warrants
Warrants outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	6,450,000	6,450,000
Exercise price	\$0.60	\$0.75
Black-Scholes option pricing model assumptions:		
Risk-free interest rate	0.29%	0.29%
Expected term (in years)	1.0	1.0
Expected volatility	146.90%	146.90%
Dividend per share	\$0	\$0
Expiration date	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2011

The following table is a roll forward of the fair value of the warrant liability related to the common stock warrants using the Black-Scholes assumptions as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 (Level 3 inputs):

	Series A	Series B	
	Warrants	Warrants	Total
Balance as of January 1, 2009	\$ 1,652,779	\$ 1,625,096	\$ 3,277,875
Change in fair value	(1,343,564)	(565,891)	(1,909,455)
Balance as of December 31, 2009	309,215	1,059,205	1,368,420
Change in fair value	2,434,985	1,444,636	3,879,621
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ 2,744,200	\$ 2,503,841	\$ 5,248,041

As a result of adjusting the warrant liability to fair value, we recorded a non-cash loss of \$2,434,985 and \$1,444,636 relating to the Series A and Series B Warrants, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2010.

A total of 12,900,000 shares of the Company's common stock have been reserved for issuance upon exercise of warrants outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

Note 7. Stock Options

The Company has an active stock option plan that provides shares available for option grants to employees, directors and others. A total of 120,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock have been reserved for award under the stock option plan, of which 120,000,000 were available for future issuance as of December 31, 2010. Options granted under the Company's option plan generally vest over five years or as otherwise determined by the Board of Directors, have exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant, and expire no later than ten years after the date of grant.

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company did not grant any stock option awards.

On August 27, 2010, Mr. Derek Cooper resigned from the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Company. At the time of his separation, certain of Mr. Cooper's outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$16,710 that the Company had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses during the year ended December 31, 2010.

On August 26, 2010, Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson resigned as members of the Board of Directors. At the time of their separation, certain of their outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$33,419 that the Company had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Stock option activity during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized as follows:

	Number of options		Weighted average exercise price	Remaining contractual term (years)	ggregate ntrinsic value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	200,000		1.00		
Cancelled	(50,000)	1.00		
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	150,000		1.00	8.70	\$ -
Forfeited	(150,000)	1.00		
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	-				\$ -
Available for grant at December 31, 2010	120,000,00	00			

A summary of the Company's unvested stock options and changes during the years ended December 31, 2010 is as follows:

		Weighted
	Number of	Average Grant
	options	Date Fair Value
Unvested, December 31, 2009	120,000	0.73
Forfeited	(120,000)	0.73
Unvested December 31, 2010	_	

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, stock-based compensation expense (benefit) of (\$36,138) and \$44,114 was recognized as general and administrative expenses. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had no unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options as there were no stock options outstanding.

The Company does not repurchase shares to fulfill the requirements of options that are exercised. Further, the Company issues new shares when options are exercised.

Note 8. Related Party Transactions

Executive Management

On August 27, 2010, Mr. Derek Cooper resigned from the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Company. The Company incurred \$20,000 and \$30,000 in fees paid to Mr. Cooper for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At the time of his separation, certain of Mr. Cooper's outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$16,710 that the Company had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred (\$12,047) and \$14,474, respectively, as stock compensation expense (benefit) related to options granted to Mr. Cooper.

Immediately upon Mr. Cooper's resignation, the Company appointed Mr. Antonino Cacace to the Board of Directors and the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The Company has agreed to pay Mr. Cacace a monthly management fee of \$3,000 for his services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred \$15,000 and nil, respectively, in management fees paid to Mr. Cacace.

Director Fees

On August 26, 2010, Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson resigned as members of the Board of Directors. The Company incurred nil and \$20,435 in board fees for these non-employee directors for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At the time of their separation, certain of their outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$33,419 that the Company had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded (\$24,091) and \$29,640, respectively, as stock compensation expense (benefit) related to options granted to these non-employee directors (refer to "Note 6. Stock Options").

In order to fill the vacancies created by the resignations of Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson, the Company appointed Messrs. David Jenkins and Joseph Sierchio to the Board of Directors. The Company has agreed to pay Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio a monthly fee of \$2,000 each for their services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company has incurred \$20,000 and nil in management fees paid to Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio.

Legal Fees

Legal fees expensed for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, totaled \$44,188 and \$60,250, respectively, were paid or are due to our attorney, Mr. Sierchio, who was appointed to our board effective August 26, 2010.

Note 9. Income Taxes

There is no current or deferred tax expense for 2010 and 2009, due to the Company's loss position. Realization of the future tax benefits related to the deferred tax assets is dependent on many factors, including the Company's ability to generate taxable income within the net operating loss carryforward period. Management has considered these factors in reaching its conclusion as to the valuation allowance for financial reporting purposes and has recorded a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset. The income tax effect, utilizing a 34% income tax rate, of temporary differences comprising the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities is a result of the following at December 31:

	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 1,499,000	\$ 1,375,000
Stock based compensation	-	19,000
Oil and gas properties	112,000	93,000
	1,611,000	1,487,000
Valuation allowance	(1,611,000)	(1,487,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ - 9	\$ -

The 2010 increase in the valuation allowance was \$124,000 (2009: \$216,000).

The Company has available net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$4,409,000 for tax purposes to offset future taxable income which expires commencing 2011 through to the year 2030. Pursuant to the Tax Reform Act of 1986, annual utilization of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards may be limited if a cumulative change in ownership of more than 50% is deemed to occur within any three-year period. The tax years 2007 through 2010 remain open to examination by federal agencies and other jurisdictions in which it operates.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation between the statutory federal income tax rate (34%) and the effective rate of income tax expense for the years ended December 31 follows:

	2010		2009	
Statutory federal income tax rate	(34	%)	34	%
Non-taxable losses (gains)	31	%	(51	%)
Valuation allowance	3	%	17	%
	0	%	0	%

Table of Contents

Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures
None.	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures
Evaluation of l	Disclosure Controls and Procedures
Financial Officontrols and prothe end of the	ervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief cer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure rocedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as of period covered by this annual report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief cer concluded as of December 31, 2010 that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such
that the inforn	nation required to be disclosed in our United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC")

Evaluation of and Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting. Management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO). Based on this evaluation, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting were effective in providing reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

There have been no changes in internal controls, or in factors that could materially affect internal controls, subsequent to the date that management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, completed their evaluation.

Item 9B.	Other Information
None.	
37	

PART III

Item 10.

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table and text set forth the names and ages of all of our directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2010. The board of directors is comprised of only one class. All of the directors will serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement, resignation or removal.

Name	Age	Position	Director / Officer Since
Antonino Cacace	64	President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Director	August 2010
David Jenkins	57	Director	August 2010
Joseph Sierchio	60	Director, and Secretary	August 2010

Set forth below are the names of all directors and executive officers, all positions and offices with us held by each person, the period during which each has served as such, the principal occupations and employment of such persons during at least the last five years, and other director positions held currently or during the last five years:

Mr. Antonino Cacace

Mr. Cacace has been involved in the steel, mining and mineral exploration business since 1975. He has provided strategic advice to various governments in the viability, joint-venturing of mining, agro-industrial and industrial green-field projects in Central and West African countries and has invented and developed unique technologies to produce steel products. Mr. Cacace has 30 years of experience with publicly traded companies, from start-ups through several IPOs on Canadian, US and European stock exchanges. Mr. Cacace was invited to join the Board of Directors due to his experience with public companies in the matters relating to management, administration, financial reporting, legal compliance and business development.

Mr. David Jenkins

Mr. Jenkins has been involved in the energy and mining industry since 1990 and possesses business experience and expertise in the areas of mineral exploration, corporate finance and corporate administration and management. Mr. Jenkins is the President of J2 Capital Partners LLC, a private investment firm specializing in early stage investment and management in the resource industry. Additionally, Mr. Jenkins is or has been an officer and director of a number of publicly traded mineral exploration companies in Canada and the Unities States whose properties have been located in many different jurisdictions worldwide. Mr. Jenkins was invited to join the Board of Directors due to his experience with public companies in the matters relating to management, administration, financial reporting, legal compliance and business development.

Mr. Joseph Sierchio

Since 1975, Mr. Sierchio has practiced corporate and securities law in New York City, representing and offering counsel to domestic and foreign corporations, investors, entrepreneurs, and public and private companies in the United States, Canada, United Kingdom, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Australia, and Hong Kong. Mr. Sierchio is admitted in

all New York state courts and federal courts in the Eastern, Northern, and Southern Districts of the State of New York as well as the federal Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Mr. Sierchio earned his Doctor of Law degree at Cornell University Law School in 1974, and a Bachelor of Arts degree, with Highest Distinction in Economics, from Rutgers College at Rutgers University, in 1971. Mr. Sierchio is also a member of Sierchio & Company, LLP. Mr. Sierchio serves as a director of a number of privately held and public companies, including, HepaLife Technologies, Inc. and New Energy Technologies, Inc. Mr. Sierchio was invited to join the Board of Directors due to his extensive experience representing public companies with respect to finance, contract, governance and compliance matters and general business development.

Certain Relationships

There are no family relationships among or between any of our officers and directors.

Our proposed business raises potential conflicts of interests between certain of our officers and directors and us. Certain of our directors are directors of other mineral resource companies and, to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which we may participate, our directors may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms regarding the extent of such participation. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of our directors, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or such terms. In appropriate cases, we will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors, or management, may have a conflict. From time to time, several companies may participate in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural resource properties thereby allowing for their participation in larger programs, involvement in a greater number of programs and reduction of the financial exposure with respect to any one program. It may also occur that a particular company will assign all or a portion of its interest in a particular program to another of these companies due to the financial position of the company making the assignment.

In determining whether we will participate in a particular program and the interest therein to be acquired by it, the directors will primarily consider the potential benefits to us, the degree of risk to which we may be exposed and its financial position at that time. Other than as indicated, we have no other procedures or mechanisms to deal with conflicts of interest. We are not aware of the existence of any conflict of interest as described herein.

Legal Proceedings

During the past ten years none of our directors, executive officers, promoters or control persons has been:

- the subject of any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
- convicted in a criminal proceeding or is subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
- subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities;
- found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law;
- •the subject of any Federal or State judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of:
 - (i) Any Federal or State securities or commodities law or regulation; or
- (ii) Any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or
 - (iii) Any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity.
- any federal or state judicial or administrative proceedings based on violations of federal or state securities, commodities, banking or insurance laws and regulations, or any settlement to such actions (excluding settlements between private parties); and
- any disciplinary sanctions or orders imposed by a stock, commodities or derivatives exchange or other self-regulatory organization.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934, our executive officers and directors in addition to any person who owns more than 10% of our common stock are required to report their ownership of our common stock and changes to such ownership with the SEC. Based on a review of such reports and information provided to us, we believe that during the most recent fiscal year our executive officers and directors have complied with applicable filing requirements under Section 16(a), Based solely upon a review of the copies of the forms furnished to us, we believe that during fiscal 2010, the Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors and executive officers were satisfied.

Table of Contents

Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance

We do not currently maintain directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage. We are currently reviewing insurance policies and anticipate obtaining coverage for our board of directors and officers.

Board Committees and Corporate Governance

Audit Committee

The Board does not currently have a standing Audit Committee. The full Board performs the principal functions of the Audit Committee. The full Board monitors our financial reporting process and internal control system and appoints our independent registered public accounting firm.

Compensation Committee

The Board does not currently have a standing Compensation Committee. The full Board establishes overall compensation policies for us and reviews recommendations submitted by our management.

Nominating Committee

The Board does not currently have a standing Nominating Committee.

Code Of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of the Company's officers, directors and employees, including its Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer, which complies with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and applicable Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") listing standards. Accordingly, the Code of Ethics is designed to deter wrongdoing, and to promote, among other things, honest and ethical conduct, full, timely, accurate and clear public disclosures, compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations, the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code of Ethics, and accountability.

Corporate Governance

We have adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines applicable to its Board of Directors.

Director Independence

Our securities are not listed on a U.S. securities exchange and, therefore, is not subject to the corporate governance requirements of any such exchange, including those related to the independence of directors. However, at this time, after considering all of the relevant facts and circumstances, the Company's Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio are independent from the Company's management and qualify as "independent director" under the standards of independence under the applicable FINRA listing standards. This means that, in the judgment of the Board of Directors, neither of these two directors is (1) an officer or employee of the Company or its subsidiaries or (2) has any direct or indirect relationship with the Company that would interfere with the exercise of his independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. Upon the Company's listing on any national securities exchange or any inter-dealer quotation system, it will elect such independent directors as is necessary under the rules of any such securities exchange.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders who wish to communicate with the Board of Directors may do so by addressing their correspondence to the Board of Directors at Janus Resource, Inc. 430 Park Avenue, Suite 702, New York, NY 10022. The Board of Directors has approved a process pursuant to which the President review and forward correspondence to the appropriate director or group of directors for response.

Item 11.

Executive Compensation

The responsibility for establishing, administering and interpreting our policies governing the compensation and benefits for our executive officers lies with our Board of Directors. In this connection the Board has not retained the services of any compensation consultants.

The goals of our executive compensation program are to attract, motivate and retain individuals with the skills and qualities necessary to support and develop our business within the framework of our small size and available resources. In 2009, we designed our executive compensation program to achieve the following objectives:

- attract and retain executives experienced in developing and delivering products such as our own;
 - motivate and reward executives whose experience and skills are critical to our success;
 reward performance; and
- align the interests of our executive officers and stockholders by motivating executive officers to increase stockholder value.

The following table and descriptive materials set forth information concerning compensation earned for services rendered to the Company by: the Chief Executive Officer (the "CEO"); the Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO"); and the three other most highly-compensated executive officers other than the CEO and CFO who were serving as executive officers of the Company at the end of the 2010 fiscal year (the "Named Executive Officers").

Summary Compensation Table

					Options	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Other		Awards	Total
Antonino Cacace	2010	\$ 0	\$ 15,000	(1) \$	0	\$ 15,000
President, CEO,						
Chief Financial Officer,						
and Director						

(1) Other compensation represents \$15,000 in fees paid Antonino Cacace as a Director of the Company. We agreed to pay Mr. Cacace a monthly fee of \$3,000 to serve as a member of our Board of Directors and to serve as our President and Chief Executive Officer. There is no written agreement between us and Mr. Cacace regarding this arrangement. Mr. Cacace currently does not devote his full time and attention to our affairs; we do not have any agreement with Mr. Cacace regarding his agreement to serve as an officer. He is, in fact, an independent contractor, and not an employee.

Change of Control Agreements

There are no understandings or agreements known by management at this time which would result in a change in control.

We do not have any change-of-control or severance agreements with any of its executive officers or directors. In the event of the termination of employment of the Named Executive Officers any and all unexercised stock options shall expire and no longer be exercisable after a specified time following the date of the termination.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information regarding equity awards that have been previously awarded to each of the Named Executive Officers and which remained outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

			Equity			
			Incentive			
			Awards:			
			Number of			
	Number of	Number of	Securities	% of Total		
	Securities	Securities	Underlying	Options		
	Underlying	Underlying	Unexercised	Granted to	Exercise	
	Options	Options	Unearned	Employees	Price	Expiration
Name	(Exercisable)	(Unexercisable)	Options	in 2010	(\$/sh)	Date
Antonino Cacace	0	0	0	0 %	\$n/a	n/a

Compensation of Directors

We do not pay director compensation to directors who are also our employees. Our Board of Directors determines the non-employee directors' compensation for serving on the Board and its committees. In establishing director compensation, the Board is guided by the following goals:

- Compensation should consist of a combination of cash and equity awards that are designed to fairly pay the directors for work required for a company of our size and scope;
 - Compensation should align the directors' interests with the long-term interests of stockholders; and
 - Compensation should assist with attracting and retaining qualified directors.

Non-employee directors receive \$2,000 per month for their services as directors plus \$500 for each board meeting attended in excess of five per year. Directors are entitled to participate in our 2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan. We also reimburse our directors for any actual expenses incurred to attend meetings of the Board.

The table below outlines director compensation for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

		Stock awards	Option awards				
	Fees	Aggregate	Aggregate	Non-equity	Nonqualified		
	earned or	Grant	Grant	incentive	deferred		
	paid in	Date Fair	Date Fair	plan	compensation	All other	
Name	cash (1)	Value	Value	compensation	earnings	compensation	Total
David Jenkins	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$10,000
Joseph Sierchio	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$10,000

(1) The amounts in this column represent compensation for their roles as directors.

We have no other arrangements pursuant to which any our directors were compensated during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for services as a director.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 22, 2011 and as of December 31, 2010, by each person (or group of affiliated persons) who is known by us to beneficially own 5% or more of our common stock; our directors; our named executive officers; and our directors and executive officers as a group.

The percentages of common stock beneficially owned are reported on the basis of regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of the security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of the security. Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table, each beneficial owner named in the table below has sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned and each person's address is c/o our principal office address (unless otherwise indicated) at 430 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

Name of Beneficial Owner 1420525 Alberta Ltd. 1628 West 1st Avenue Suite 216	Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percenta of Commo Stock	
1628 West 1st Avenue, Suite 216	25 214 000	40	O.
Vancouver, BC V6J 1G1	25,314,800	40	%
Antonino Cacace	500,000	*	
David Jenkins	3,500,000	5.5	%
Joseph Sierchio	500,000	*	
•	·		
All directors and executive officers as a group (three persons)	4,500,000	7.1	%

^{*} Less than 1%

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Related Party Transactions

Executive Management

On August 27, 2010, Mr. Derek Cooper resigned from the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director of the Company. We incurred \$20,000 and \$30,000 in fees paid to Mr. Cooper for the years ended December 3, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At the time of his separation, certain of Mr. Cooper's outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$16,710 that we had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred (\$12,047) and \$14,474, respectively, as stock compensation expense (benefit) related to options granted to Mr. Cooper.

Immediately upon Mr. Cooper's resignation, we appointed Mr. Antonino Cacace to the Board of Directors and the positions of President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. We have agreed to pay Mr. Cacace a monthly management fee of \$3,000 for his services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred \$15,000 in management fees paid to Mr. Cacace.

Director Fees

On August 26, 2010, Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson resigned as members of the Board of Directors. We incurred nil and \$20,435 in board fees for these non-employee directors for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At the time of their separation, certain of their outstanding stock options were fully vested and exercisable. The unvested stock options were immediately cancelled and the stock compensation expense of \$33,419 that we had recognized in relation to these unvested options was reversed to general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded (\$24,091) and \$29,640, respectively, as stock compensation expense (benefit) related to options granted to these non-employee directors.

In order to fill the vacancies created by the resignations of Messrs. Jeet Sidhu and Christian Hudson, we appointed Messrs. David Jenkins and Joseph Sierchio to the Board of Directors. We have agreed to pay Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio a monthly fee of \$2,000 each for their services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we have incurred \$20,000 and nil in management fees paid to Messrs. Jenkins and Sierchio.

Legal Fees

Legal fees expensed for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, totaled \$44,188 and \$60,250, respectively, were paid or are due to our attorney, Mr. Sierchio, who was appointed to our board effective August 26, 2010.

Item 14.

Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The firm of Peterson Sullivan, LLP currently serves as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Board of Directors of the Company, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of different public accountants at any time during the year, if the Board believes that a change would be in the best interests of the stockholders. The Board of Directors has considered the audit fees, audit-related fees, tax fees and other fees paid to our accountants, as disclosed below, and had determined that the payment of such fees is compatible with maintaining the independence of the accountants.

We do not currently have an audit committee.

The following table presents aggregate fees for professional services rendered by Peterson Sullivan LLP for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	Y	Year Ended December 31,		Year Ended December 31,	
	De				
		2010		2009	
Audit fees	\$	36,317	\$	49,477	
Tax fees		4,477		4,343	
Total	\$	40,794	\$	53,820	

Audit Fees

Audit fees for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the aggregate fees billed by Peterson Sullivan LLP for the audit of the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and review of interim consolidated financial statements included in the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Audit fees also include services related to providing consents to fulfill the accounting firm's responsibilities under generally accepted accounting principles.

Tax Fees

Tax Fees for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 consist of the aggregate fees billed by Peterson Sullivan LLP for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as a part of this Form 10-K:

1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements are included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K:

- Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009
- Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
- Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
 - Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
 Notes to Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not required or are not applicable, or the required information is provided in the consolidated financial statements or notes described in Item 15(a)(1) above.

3. Exhibits

The Exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index, which appears immediately following the signature page, are incorporated herein by reference, and are filed as part of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Janus Resource, Inc.

/s/ Antonino Cacace

Antonino Cacace

President, Chief Executive Officer, Principal Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Antonino Cacace Antonino Cacace	President, Chief Executive Officer, Principal Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer,	March 30, 2011
Timonino Gueuce	Principal Accounting Officer, and Director	
/s/ David Jenkins David Jenkins	Director	March 30, 2011
/s/ Joseph Sierchio Joseph Sierchio	Secretary and Director	March 30, 2011
46		

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.

Description of Exhibit

- 3.1-1 Articles of Incorporation, as amended, of the Company, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Registration Statement on Form 10-SB 12g file on May 11, 1999, SEC file number 000-30156-99616992.
- 3.1-2 Articles of Incorporation, as amended, of the Company incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form 8-K file on January 10, 2011, SEC file number 000-30156-11520181.
- 3.2By-laws of the Company incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Registration Statement on Form 10-SB 12g file on May 11, 1999, SEC file number 000-30156-99616992.
 - Subscription Agreement, Series A Warrant Agreement, Series B Warrant Agreement, and Registration Rights Agreement for 6,450,000 unit private placement on July 28, 2008, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form 8-K file on August 1, 2008, SEC file number 000-30156-08984771.
- 10.2 Participation Agreement dated September 9, 2008 with respect to the Stahl #1Well located Fayette County, Texas, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form 8-K file on October 24, 2008, SEC file number 000-30156-081140820.
- 10.3 Participation Agreement dated September 9, 2008 with respect to the Onnie Ray #1 Well located Lee County, Texas, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form 8-K file on October 24, 2008, SEC file number 000-30156-081140820.
- 10.4 Participation Agreement dated September 9, 2008 with respect to the Haile #1Well located Frio. County, Texas, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form 8-K file on October 24, 2008, SEC file number 000-30156-081140820.
- 10.52001 Incentive Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form S-8 file on October 3, 2003, SEC file number 333-109499-03927730.
- 14.1 Code of Ethics, incorporated by reference and included in the Company's Form 10-K file on April 15, 2009, SEC file number 000-30156-09750383.
 - 23.1* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
 - 31.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).*
- 32.1* Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

^{*} Filed here within.