

GLEN BURNIE BANCORP
Form 10-K
March 14, 2008

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from ___ to ___.

Commission file number: 0-24047

GLEN BURNIE BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

52-1782444
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

101 Crain Highway, S.E., Glen Burnie, Maryland
(Address of principal executive offices)

21061
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

(410) 766-3300

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class
None

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of Class
Common Stock, \$1.00 par value
Common Stock Purchase Rights

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statement

Edgar Filing: GLEN BURNIE BANCORP - Form 10-K

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 29, 2007 was \$34,966,147.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of January 29, 2008 was 2,998,028.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

To the extent specified, Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates information by reference to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (to be filed).

GLEN BURNIE BANCORP
2007 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

Table of Contents

		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	16
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	19
Item 2.	Properties	20
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	20
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to Vote of Security Holders	20
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	21
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	22
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	24
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	35
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	35
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	35
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	35
Item 9B.	Other Information	36
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	37
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	37
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	37
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	37
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	37
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	38
	Signatures	39

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Glen Burnie Bancorp (the “Company”) is a bank holding company organized in 1990 under the laws of the State of Maryland. It presently owns all the outstanding shares of capital stock of The Bank of Glen Burnie (the “Bank”), a commercial bank organized in 1949 under the laws of the State of Maryland, serving northern Anne Arundel County and surrounding areas from its main office and branch in Glen Burnie, Maryland and branch offices in Glen Burnie (South Crain location), Odenton, Riviera Beach, Crownsville, Severn, Linthicum and Severna Park, Maryland. The Bank also maintains two remote Automated Teller Machine (“ATM”) locations in Ferndale and Pasadena, Maryland. The Bank maintains a website at www.thebankofglenburnie.com. The Bank is the oldest independent commercial bank in Anne Arundel County. The Bank is engaged in the commercial and retail banking business as authorized by the banking statutes of the State of Maryland, including the acceptance of demand and time deposits, and the origination of loans to individuals, associations, partnerships and corporations. The Bank’s real estate financing consists of residential first and second mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit and commercial mortgage loans. Commercial lending consists of both secured and unsecured loans. The Bank also originates automobile loans through arrangements with local automobile dealers. The Bank’s deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”).

The Company’s principal executive office is located at 101 Crain Highway, S.E., Glen Burnie, Maryland 21061. Its telephone number at such office is (410) 766-3300.

Information on the Company and its subsidiary Bank may be obtained from the Company’s website www.thebankofglenburnie.com. Copies of the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments thereto are available free of charge on the website as soon as they are filed with the SEC through a link to the SEC’s EDGAR reporting system. Simply select the “Investor Relations” menu item, then click on the “All SEC Filings” or “Insider Transactions” link.

Market Area

The Bank considers its principal market area for lending and deposit products to consist of Northern Anne Arundel County, Maryland, which consists of those portions of the county north of U.S. Route 50. Northern Anne Arundel County includes mature suburbs of the City of Baltimore, which in recent years have experienced modest population growth and are characterized by an aging population. Management believes that the majority of the working population in its market area either commutes to Baltimore or is employed at businesses located at or around the nearby Baltimore Washington International Airport. Anne Arundel County is generally considered to have more affordable housing than other suburban Baltimore areas and attracts younger persons and minorities on this basis. This inflow, however, has not been sufficient to affect current population trends.

Lending Activities

The Bank offers a full range of consumer and commercial loans. The Bank’s lending activities include residential and commercial real estate loans, construction loans, land acquisition and development loans, commercial loans and consumer installment lending including indirect automobile lending. Substantially all of the Bank’s loan customers are residents of Anne Arundel County and surrounding areas of Central Maryland. The Bank solicits loan applications for commercial loans from small to medium sized businesses located in its market area. The Company believes that this is a market in which a relatively small community bank, like the Bank, has a competitive advantage in personal service and flexibility. The Bank’s consumer lending currently consists primarily of indirect automobile loans originated

through arrangements with local dealers.

The Company's total loan portfolio increased during the 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, and 2003 fiscal years. In 2007, the increases were due to residential and commercial mortgages and construction, offset by a decrease in indirect lending and mortgage participations purchased and an increase in mortgage participations sold. In 2006, the increases were primarily due to an increase in commercial mortgages (due to an increase in participations), offset by decreases in residential mortgages and indirect automobile loans. In 2005, the increases were primarily due to an increase in commercial and industrial mortgages and indirect automobile loans. In 2003 and 2004, the increases in loans were primarily due to increases in residential mortgages. The commercial mortgage portfolio declined in 2003 as a result of softening loan demand and increased competition from large financial institutions. From 2003 to 2004, the residential mortgage portfolio achieved steady increases due to a strong housing market environment.

3

Edgar Filing: GLEN BURNIE BANCORP - Form 10-K

The following table provides information on the composition of the loan portfolio at the indicated dates.

(Dollars in Thousands)	2007		2006		At December 31, 2005		2004		2003	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
Mortgage:										
Residential	\$ 76,781	37.98%	\$ 68,341	34.88%	\$ 71,841	37.17%	\$ 71,039	38.27%	\$ 64,471	36.62%
Commercial	47,843	23.66	53,164	27.13	37,666	19.50	31,983	17.23	28,525	16.20
Construction and land development										
	5,876	2.91	1,609	0.83	1,402	0.73	2,080	1.12	3,112	1.77
Consumer:										
Installment	17,087	8.45	15,044	7.67	15,748	8.15	19,019	10.25	19,767	11.23
Credit card	143	0.07	144	0.08	128	0.07	180	0.10	175	0.10
Indirect										
automobile	49,260	24.37	52,539	26.81	60,510	31.31	55,703	30.00	53,883	30.61
Commercial	5,184	2.56	5,077	2.60	5,932	3.07	5,618	3.03	6,113	3.47
Gross loans	202,174	100.00%	195,918	100.00%	193,227	100.00%	185,622	100.00%	176,046	100.00%
Unearned income on loans										
	(816)		(743)		(821)		(919)		(981)	
Gross loans net of unearned income										
	201,357		195,175		192,406		184,703		175,065	
Allowance for credit losses										
	(1,604)		(1,839)		(2,201)		(2,412)		(2,246)	
Loans, net	\$ 199,753		\$ 193,336		\$ 190,205		\$ 182,291		\$ 172,819	

The following table sets forth the maturities for various categories of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2007. Demand loans and loans which have no stated maturity, are treated as due in one year or less. At December 31, 2007, the Bank had \$23,356,106 in loans due after one year with variable rates and \$153,419,843 in such loans with fixed rates.

	Due Within One Year	Due Over One To Five Years	Due Over Five Years	Total
	(In Thousands)			
Real Estate - mortgage:				
Residential	\$ 4,843	\$ 3,138	\$ 68,800	\$ 76,781
Commercial	10,010	20,667	17,166	47,843
Construction and land development				
	1,299	1,712	2,865	5,876
Installment	1,227	10,971	4,889	17,087
Credit Card	143	-	-	143
Indirect automobile	1,265	40,693	7,302	49,260
Commercial	5,177	7	-	5,184

\$	23,964	\$	77,188	\$	101,022	\$	202,174
----	--------	----	--------	----	---------	----	---------

Real Estate Lending. The Bank offers long-term mortgage financing for residential and commercial real estate as well as shorter term construction and land development loans. Residential mortgage and residential construction loans are originated with fixed rates, while commercial mortgages may be originated on either a fixed or variable rate basis. Commercial construction loans are generally originated on a variable rate basis. Substantially all of the Bank's real estate loans are secured by properties in Anne Arundel County, Maryland. Under the Bank's loan policies, the maximum permissible loan-to-value ratio for owner-occupied residential mortgages is 80% of the lesser of the purchase price or appraised value. The Bank, however, will make loans secured by owner-occupied residential real estate with loan-to-value ratios up to 95% (some restrictions may apply), provided the borrower obtains private mortgage insurance for the portion of the loan in excess of 80%. For residential investment properties, the maximum loan-to-value ratio is 80%. The maximum permissible loan-to-value ratio for residential and residential construction loans is 80%. The maximum loan-to-value ratio for permanent commercial mortgages is 75%. The maximum loan-to-value ratio for land development loans is 70% and for unimproved land is 65%. The Bank also offers home equity loans secured by the borrower's primary residence, provided that the aggregate indebtedness on the property does not exceed 80% of its value. Because mortgage lending decisions are based on conservative lending policies, the Company has no exposure to the credit issues affecting the sub-prime residential mortgage market.

Commercial Lending. The Bank's commercial loan portfolio consists of demand, installment and time loans for commercial purposes. The Bank's business demand, installment and time lending includes various working capital loans, equipment, vehicles, lines of credit and letters of credit for commercial customers. Demand loans require the payment of interest until called, while installment loans require a monthly payment of principal and interest, and time loans require at maturity a single payment of principal and interest due monthly. Such loans may be made on a secured or an unsecured basis. All such loans are underwritten on the basis of the borrower's creditworthiness rather than the value of the collateral.

Installment Lending. The Bank makes consumer and commercial installment loans for the purchase of automobiles, boats, other consumer durable goods, capital goods and equipment. Such loans provide for repayment in regular installments and are secured by the goods financed. Also included in installment loans are overdraft loans and other credit repayable in installments. As of December 31, 2007, approximately 65.71% of the installment loans in the Bank's portfolio (other than indirect automobile lending) had been originated for commercial purposes and 34.29% had been originated for consumer purposes.

Indirect Automobile Lending. The Bank commenced its indirect automobile lending program in January 1998. The Bank finances new automobiles for terms of up to 72 months and used automobiles for terms of up to 60 months. For used vehicles, the age of the vehicle plus the term of the loan cannot exceed eight years. The Bank does not lend more than the MSRP on new vehicles. On used vehicles, the Bank will not lend more than 110% of the average wholesale published in a nationally recognized used vehicle pricing guide. The Bank requires all borrowers to obtain vendor's single interest coverage protecting the Bank against loss in the case a borrower's automobile insurance lapses. The Bank originates indirect loans through a network of approximately 48 dealers which are primarily new car dealers located in Anne Arundel County and the surrounding counties. Participating dealers take loan applications from their customers and transmit them to the Bank for approval.

Other Loans. The Bank offers overdraft protection lines of credit, tied to checking accounts, as a convenience to qualified customers.

Although the risk of non-payment for any reason exists with respect to all loans, certain other specific risks are associated with each type of loan. The primary risks associated with commercial loans, including commercial real estate loans, are the quality of the borrower's management and a number of economic and other factors which induce business failures and depreciate the value of business assets pledged to secure the loan, including competition, insufficient capital, product obsolescence, changes in the borrowers' cost, environmental hazards, weather, changes in laws and regulations and general changes in the marketplace. Primary risks associated with residential real estate loans include fluctuating land and property values and rising interest rates with respect to fixed-rate, long-term loans. Residential construction lending exposes the Company to risks related to builder performance. Consumer loans, including indirect automobile loans, are affected primarily by domestic economic instability and a variety of factors that may lead to the borrower's unemployment, including deteriorating economic conditions in one or more segments of a local or broader economy. Because the Bank deals with borrowers through an intermediary on indirect automobile loans, this form of lending potentially carries greater risks of defects in the application process for which claims may be made against the Bank. Indirect automobile lending may also involve the Bank in consumer disputes under state "lemon" or other laws. The Bank seeks to control these risks by following strict underwriting and documentation guidelines. In addition, dealerships are contractually obligated to indemnify the Bank for such losses for a limited period of time.

The Bank's lending activities are conducted pursuant to written policies approved by the Board of Directors intended to ensure proper management of credit risk. Loans are subject to a well defined credit process that includes credit evaluation of borrowers, establishment of lending limits and application of lending procedures, including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances, as well as procedures for on-going identification and management of credit deterioration. Regular portfolio reviews are performed by the Bank's Senior Credit Officer to identify potential underperforming loans and other credit facilities, estimate loss exposure and to ascertain compliance with the Bank's policies. On a quarterly basis, the Bank's Internal Auditor performs an independent loan review in accordance with the Bank's loan review policy. For significant problem loans, management review consists of evaluation of the financial strengths of the borrower and any guarantor, the related collateral, and the effects of economic conditions.

The Bank's loan approval policy provides for various levels of individual lending authority. The maximum aggregate lending authority granted by the Bank to any one Lending Officer is \$750,000. A combination of approvals from

certain officers may be used to lend up to an aggregate of \$1,000,000. The Bank's Executive Committee is authorized to approve loans up to \$3.0 million. Larger loans must be approved by the full Board of Directors.

Under Maryland law, the maximum amount which the Bank is permitted to lend to any one borrower and their related interests may generally not exceed 10% of the Bank's unimpaired capital and surplus, which is defined to include the Bank's capital, surplus, retained earnings and 50% of its allowance for possible loan losses. Under this authority, the Bank would have been permitted to lend up to \$3.49 million to any one borrower at December 31, 2007. By interpretive ruling of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation, Maryland banks have the option of lending up to the amount that would be permissible for a national bank which is generally 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus (defined to include a bank's total capital for regulatory capital purposes plus any loan loss allowances not included in regulatory capital). Under this formula, the Bank would have been permitted to lend up to \$5.22 million to any one borrower at December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2007, the largest amount outstanding to any one borrower and its related interests was \$4,121,000.

Non-Performing Loans

It is the policy of the Bank to reverse accrued, and discontinue the accrual of, interest when a loan becomes 90 days or more delinquent and circumstances indicate that collection is doubtful.

The Bank seeks to control delinquencies through diligent collection procedures. For consumer loans, the Bank sends out payment reminders on the seventh and twelfth days after a payment is due. If a consumer loan becomes 15 days past due, the account is transferred to the Bank's collections department, which will contact the borrower by telephone and/or letter before the account becomes 30 days past due. If a consumer loan becomes more than 30 days past due, the Bank will continue its collection efforts and will move to repossession or foreclosure by the 45th day if the Bank has reason to believe that the collateral may be in jeopardy or the borrower has failed to respond to prior communications. The Bank will move to repossess or foreclose in all instances in which a consumer loan becomes more than 60 days delinquent. After repossession of a motor vehicle, the borrower has a 15-day statutory right to redeem the vehicle and is entitled to 10 days' notice before the sale of a repossessed vehicle. The Bank sells the vehicle as promptly as feasible after the expiration of these periods. If the amount realized from the sale of the vehicle is less than the loan amount, the Bank will seek a deficiency judgment against the borrower. The Bank follows similar collection procedures with respect to commercial loans.

The following table sets forth the amount of the Bank's restructured loans, non-accrual loans and accruing loans 90 days or more past due at the dates indicated:

	At December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars In Thousands)				
Restructured Loans	\$ 578	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95	\$ -
Non-accrual loans:					
Real estate – mortgage:					
Residential	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ 14	\$ 122	\$ 34
Commercial	-	-	-	255	265
Real estate - construction	-	-	-	-	-
Installment	212	46	159	205	250
Commercial	-	8	12	16	23
Total non-accrual loans	212	57	185	598	572
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more					
Real estate – mortgage:					
Residential	512	2	1	1	5

Edgar Filing: GLEN BURNIE BANCORP - Form 10-K

Commercial	-	-	-	-	-
Real estate - construction	-	-	3	6	6
Installment	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial	128	-	-	-	-
Total accruing loans past due 90 days or more	640	2	4	7	11
Total non-accrual and past due loans	\$ 852	\$ 59	\$ 189	\$ 605	\$ 583
Non-accrual and past due loans to gross loans	0.43%	0.03%	0.10%	0.33%	0.33%
Allowance for credit losses to non-accrual and past due loans	188.27%	3,116.95%	1,164.55%	398.68%	385.25%

For the year ended December 31, 2007, interest of \$20,037 would have been accrued on non-accrual loans if such loans had been current in accordance with their original terms. During that period, interest on non-accrual loans was not included in income. \$209,789, or 99%, of the Bank's total \$212,416 non-accrual loans at December 31, 2007 were attributable to 12 borrowers. No charge-offs have previously been taken on these loans. One of these borrowers with a loan totaling \$508 was in bankruptcy at that date. Because of the legal protections afforded to borrowers in bankruptcy, collections on such loans are difficult and the Bank anticipates that such loans may remain delinquent for an extended period of time. Each of these loans is secured by collateral with a value well in excess of the current active balance of the Bank's loan.

At December 31, 2007, there were no loans outstanding not reflected in the above table as to which known information about the borrower's possible credit problems caused management to have serious doubts as to the ability of the borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms. These loans consist of loans which were not 90 days or more past due but where the borrower is in bankruptcy or has a history of delinquency or the loan to value ratio is considered excessive due to deterioration of the collateral or other factors.

At December 31, 2007, the Company had \$50,000 in real estate acquired in partial or total satisfaction of debt, compared to the same amount in such properties at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. All such properties are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value at the date acquired and carried on the balance sheet as other real estate owned. Losses arising at the date of acquisition are charged against the allowance for credit losses. Subsequent write-downs that may be required and expense of operation are included in non-interest expense. Gains and losses realized from the sale of other real estate owned are included in non-interest income or expense. For a description of the properties comprising other real estate owned at December 31, 2007, see "Item 2. — Properties."

Allowance For Credit Losses

The Bank's allowance for credit losses is based on the probable estimated losses that may be sustained in its loan portfolio. The allowance is based on two basic principles of accounting. (1) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies", which requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and estimable, and (2) SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan", which requires that losses be accrued based on the differences between the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows or values that are observable in the secondary market and the loan balance.

The allowance for credit losses is established through a provision for credit losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for credit losses when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely. The allowance, based on evaluations of the collectibility of loans and prior loan loss experience, is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb possible losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. The evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, value of collateral, and current economic conditions and trends that may affect the borrower's ability to pay. Because mortgage lending decisions are based on conservative lending policies, the Company has no exposure to the credit issues affecting the sub-prime residential mortgage market.

Transactions in the allowance for credit losses during the last five fiscal years were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars In Thousands)				
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,839	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,412	\$ 2,246	\$ 2,515
Loans charged off					
Real estate - mortgage:					
Residential	0	1	-	-	-
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-
Real estate - construction					
Installment	591	528	495	502	687
Credit card & related	-	-	-	-	42
Commercial	-	253	127	49	29
Total	591	782	622	551	758
Recoveries					
Real estate - mortgage:					
Residential	-	1	-	35	1
Commercial	-	-	-	-	-
Real estate - construction					
Installment	258	335	276	293	369
Credit card & related	-	-	-	-	30
Commercial	48	22	185	49	49
Total	306	358	461	377	449
Net charge offs/(recoveries)	285	424	161	174	308
Provisions (credited) charged to operations					
	50	62	(50)	340	40
Ending balance	\$ 1,604	\$ 1,839	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,412	\$ 2,246
Average loans	\$ 199,632	\$ 186,706	\$ 191,706	\$ 181,881	\$ 166,786
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.14%	0.23%	0.09%	0.10%	0.18%

The following table shows the allowance for credit losses broken down by loan category as of December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, and 2003:

Portfolio	At December 31,					
	2007			2006		
	Allowance For	Each Category To	Allowance For	Each Category To	Allowance For	Each Category To
	Each Category	Total Loans	Each Category	Total Loans	Each Category	Total Loans
(Dollars In Thousands)						
Real Estate - mortgage:						
Residential	\$	117	37.98%	\$	149	34.88%
Commercial		163	23.66		314	27.13
Real Estate — construction		102	2.91		14	0.83
Installment		55	8.45		103	7.67
Credit Card		-	0.07		-	0.08
Indirect automobile		892	24.37		1,119	26.81
Commercial		257	2.56		260	2.60
Unallocated		18	-		120	-
Total	\$	1,604	100.00%	\$	1,839	100.00%

Portfolio	At December 31,					
	2005		2004		2003	
	Allowance For	Category To	Allowance For	Category To	Allowance For	Category To
Each Category	Total Loans	Each Category	Total Loans	Each Category	Total Loans	
(Dollars In Thousands)						
Real Estate – mortgage:						
Residential	\$	153	37.17%	\$	153	38.27%
Commercial		277	19.50		328	17.23
Real Estate – construction		8	0.73		13	1.12
Installment		103	8.15		136	10.25
Credit Card		-	0.07		-	0.10
Indirect automobile		1,260	31.31		1,254	30.00
Commercial		264	3.07		343	3.03
Unallocated		136	-		185	-
Total	\$	2,201	100.00%	\$	2,412	100.00%
					\$	2,246
						100.00%

Investment Securities

The Bank maintains a substantial portfolio of investment securities to provide liquidity as well as a source of earnings. The Bank's investment securities portfolio consists primarily of U.S. Treasury securities, securities issued by U.S. Government agencies including mortgage-backed securities, securities issued by certain states and their political subdivisions, and corporate trust preferred securities. The tax treatment of the Bank's portfolio of securities issued by certain states and their political subdivisions allows the Company to use the full tax advantage of this portfolio.

Edgar Filing: GLEN BURNIE BANCORP - Form 10-K

The following table presents at amortized cost the composition of the investment portfolio by major category at the dates indicated.

	2007	At December 31, 2006 (In Thousands)		2005
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Government agencies and mortgage backed securities	44,094	57,119	52,402	52,402
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	32,311	36,811	30,277	30,277
Corporate trust preferred	2,167	3,080	4,976	4,976
Total investment securities	\$ 78,572	\$ 97,010	\$ 87,655	\$ 87,655

9

The following table sets forth the scheduled maturities, book values and weighted average yields for the Company's investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2007:

	One Year Or Less		One To Five Years		Five to Ten Years		More Than Ten Years		Total	
	Book Value	Weighted Average Yield	Book Value	Weighted Average Yield	Book Value	Weighted Average Yield	Book Value	Weighted Average Yield	Book Value	Weighted Average Yield
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%	\$ -	-%
U.S. Government agencies and mortgage backed securities	1,000	3.53	2,495	4.28	5,235	5.01	35,364	5.13	44,094	5.03
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	-	-	7,144	3.29	3,092	3.78	22,075	4.54	32,311	4.19
Corporate trust preferred	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,167	8.70	2,167	8.70
Total investment securities	\$ 1,000	3.53%	\$ 9,639	3.55%	\$ 8,327	4.55%	\$ 59,606	5.04%	\$ 78,572	4.79%

At December 31, 2007, the Bank had no investments in securities of a single issuer (other than the U.S. Government securities and securities of federal agencies and government-sponsored enterprises), which aggregated more than 10% of stockholders' equity.

Deposits And Other Sources of Funds

The funds needed by the Bank to make loans are primarily generated by deposit accounts solicited from the communities surrounding its branches in northern Anne Arundel County. Consolidated total deposits were \$252,917,000 as of December 31, 2007. The Bank uses borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Atlanta to supplement funding from deposits. The Bank was permitted to borrow up to \$36.85 million under a line of credit from the FHLB of Atlanta as of December 31, 2007.

Deposits. The Bank's deposit products include regular savings accounts (statements), money market deposit accounts, demand deposit accounts, NOW checking accounts, IRA and SEP accounts, Christmas Club accounts and certificates of deposit. Variations in service charges, terms and interest rates are used to target specific markets. Ancillary products and services for deposit customers include safe deposit boxes, money orders and travelers checks, night depositories, automated clearinghouse transactions, wire transfers, ATMs, telephone banking, and a customer call center. The Bank is a member of the Cirrus(R) and Star(R) ATM networks.

As stated above, the Bank obtains deposits principally through its network of branch offices. The Bank does not solicit brokered deposits. At December 31, 2007, the Bank had approximately \$27.9 million in certificates of deposit and other time deposits of \$100,000 or more, including IRA accounts. The following table provides information as to the maturity of all time deposits of \$100,000 or more at December 31, 2007:

	Amount (In Thousands)
Three months or less	\$ 5,268

Over three through six months	2,694
Over six through 12 months	10,765
Over 12 months	9,157
Total	\$ 27,884

Borrowings. In addition to deposits, the Bank from time to time obtains advances from the FHLB of Atlanta of which it is a member. FHLB of Atlanta advances may be used to provide funds for residential housing finance, for small business lending, and to meet specific and anticipated needs. The Bank may draw on a \$36.85 million line of credit from the FHLB of Atlanta, which is secured by a floating lien on the Bank's residential first mortgage loans and various federal and agency securities. There was \$10 million in a convertible advance under this credit arrangement at December 31, 2007. The advance matures in November 1, 2017, is callable monthly, and bears a 3.28% rate of interest. There was \$7 million in a long-term convertible advance under this credit arrangement at December 31, 2007. The advance matures in September 2010 and bears a 5.84% rate of interest. On September 7, 2000, the Company issued \$5,155,000 of its 10.6% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures to Glen Burnie Statutory Trust I, a Connecticut statutory trust wholly owned by the Company. The Trust, in turn, issued \$5 million of its 10.6% capital securities to institutional investors. The debentures are scheduled to mature on September 7, 2030, unless called by the Company not earlier than September 7, 2010. It is the Company's intention to call these debentures at the earliest opportunity. The Bank also has an unsecured line of credit in the amount of \$7 million from another commercial bank but currently has no balance outstanding. The Bank has a mortgage note on the 103 Crain Highway address with a balance of \$107,135 as of December 31, 2007. This note is payable monthly through October 2010 and has a 7% interest rate.

Competition

The Bank faces competition for deposits and loans from other community banks, branches or affiliates of larger banks, savings and loan associations, savings banks and credit unions, which compete vigorously (currently, sixteen FDIC-insured depository institutions operate within two miles of the Bank's headquarters). With respect to indirect lending, the Bank faces competition from other banks and the financing arms of automobile manufacturers. The Bank competes in this area by offering competitive rates and responsive service to dealers.

The Bank's interest rates, loan and deposit terms, and offered products and services are impacted, to a large extent, by such competition. The Bank attempts to provide superior service within its community and to know, and facilitate services, to, its customers. It seeks commercial relationships with small to medium size businesses, which the Bank believes would welcome personal service and flexibility. The bank believes its greatest competition comes from larger intra- and inter-state financial institutions.

Other Activities

The Company also owns all outstanding shares of capital stock of GBB Properties, Inc. ("GBB"), another Maryland corporation which was organized in 1994 and which is engaged in the business of acquiring, holding and disposing of real property, typically acquired in connection with foreclosure proceedings (or deeds in lieu of foreclosure) instituted by the Bank or acquired in connection with branch expansions by the Bank.

Employees

At December 31, 2007, the Bank had 118 full-time equivalent employees. Neither the Company nor GBB currently has any employees.

Regulation of the Company

General. The Company is a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the "BHCA"). As such, the Company is registered with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board") and subject to Federal Reserve Board regulation, examination, supervision and reporting requirements. As a bank holding company, the Company is required to furnish to the Federal Reserve Board annual and quarterly reports of its operations at the end of each period and to furnish such additional information as the Federal Reserve Board may require pursuant to the BHCA. The Company is also subject to regular inspection by Federal Reserve Board examiners.

Under the BHCA, a bank holding company must obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board before: (1) acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank or bank holding company if, after such acquisition, the bank holding company would directly or indirectly own or control more than 5% of such shares; (2) acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of another bank or bank holding company; or (3) merging or consolidating with another bank holding company.

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (the "Riegle-Neal Act") authorizes the Federal Reserve Board to approve an application of an adequately capitalized and adequately managed bank holding company to acquire control of, or acquire all or substantially all of the assets of, a bank located in a state other than such holding company's home state, without regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the laws of any state. The Federal Reserve Board may not approve the acquisition of a bank that has not been in existence for the minimum time period (not exceeding five years) specified by the statutory law of the host state. The Riegle-Neal Act also prohibits the Federal Reserve Board from approving such an application if the applicant (and its depository institution affiliates) controls or would control more than 10% of the insured deposits in the United States or 30% or more of the

deposits in the target bank's home state or in any state in which the target bank maintains a branch. The Riegle-Neal Act does not affect the authority of states to limit the percentage of total insured deposits in the state which may be held or controlled by a bank or bank holding company to the extent such limitation does not discriminate against out-of-state banks or bank holding companies. Individual states may also waive the 30% state-wide concentration limit contained in the Riegle-Neal Act. Under Maryland law, a bank holding company is prohibited from acquiring control of any bank if the bank holding company would control more than 30% of the total deposits of all depository institutions in the State of Maryland unless waived by the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation.

Additionally, the federal banking agencies are authorized to approve interstate merger transactions without regard to whether such transaction is prohibited by the law of any state, unless the home state of one of the banks opted out of the Riegle-Neal Act by adopting a law after the date of enactment of the Riegle-Neal Act and prior to June 1, 1997 which applies equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibits merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. The State of Maryland did not pass such a law during this period. Interstate acquisitions of branches will be permitted only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits such acquisitions. Interstate mergers and branch acquisitions will also be subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration amounts described above.

The BHCA also prohibits, with certain exceptions, a bank holding company from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of a company that is not a bank or a bank holding company, or from engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or providing services for its subsidiaries. The principal exceptions to these prohibitions involve certain non-bank activities which, by statute or by Federal Reserve Board regulation or order, have been identified as activities closely related to the business of banking or managing or controlling banks. The activities of the Company are subject to these legal and regulatory limitations under the BHCA and the Federal Reserve Board's regulations thereunder. Notwithstanding the Federal Reserve Board's prior approval of specific nonbanking activities, the Federal Reserve Board has the power to order a holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any activity, or to terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary, when it has reasonable cause to believe that the continuation of such activity or such ownership or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of any bank subsidiary of that holding company.

Effective with the enactment of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("G-L-B") on November 12, 1999, bank holding companies whose financial institution subsidiaries are well capitalized and well managed and have satisfactory Community Reinvestment Act records can elect to become "financial holding companies" which will be permitted to engage in a broader range of financial activities than are currently permitted to bank holding companies. Financial holding companies are authorized to engage in, directly or indirectly, financial activities. A financial activity is an activity that is: (i) financial in nature; (ii) incidental to an activity that is financial in nature; or (iii) complementary to a financial activity and that does not pose a safety and soundness risk. The G-L-B Act includes a list of activities that are deemed to be financial in nature. Other activities also may be decided by the Federal Reserve Board to be financial in nature or incidental thereto if they meet specified criteria. A financial holding company that intends to engage in a new activity to acquire a company to engage in such an activity is required to give prior notice to the Federal Reserve Board. If the activity is not either specified in the G-L-B Act as being a financial activity or one that the Federal Reserve Board has determined by rule or regulation to be financial in nature, the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board is required.

The Maryland Financial Institutions Code prohibits a bank holding company from acquiring more than 5% of any class of voting stock of a bank or bank holding company without the approval of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation except as otherwise expressly permitted by federal law or in certain other limited situations. The Maryland Financial Institutions Code additionally prohibits any person from acquiring voting stock in a bank or bank holding company without 60 days' prior notice to the Commissioner if such acquisition will give the person control of 25% or more of the voting stock of the bank or bank holding company or will affect the power to direct or to cause the direction of the policy or management of the bank or bank holding company. Any doubt whether the stock acquisition will affect the power to direct or cause the direction of policy or management shall be resolved in favor of reporting to the Commissioner. The Commissioner may deny approval of the acquisition if the Commissioner determines it to be anti-competitive or to threaten the safety or soundness of a banking institution. Voting stock acquired in violation of this statute may not be voted for five years.

Capital Adequacy. The Federal Reserve Board has adopted guidelines regarding the capital adequacy of bank holding companies, which require bank holding companies to maintain specified minimum ratios of capital to total

assets and capital to risk-weighted assets. See “Regulation of the Bank — Capital Adequacy.”

Dividends and Distributions. The Federal Reserve Board has the power to prohibit dividends by bank holding companies if their actions constitute unsafe or unsound practices. The Federal Reserve Board has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which expresses the Federal Reserve Board’s view that a bank holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that the company’s net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earning retention that is consistent with the company’s capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition.

Bank holding companies are required to give the Federal Reserve Board notice of any purchase or redemption of their outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration paid for all such purchases or redemptions during the preceding 12 months, is equal to 10% or more of the bank holding company's consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve Board may disapprove such a purchase or redemption if it determines that the proposal would violate any law, regulation, Federal Reserve Board order, directive, or any condition imposed by, or written agreement with, the Federal Reserve Board. Bank holding companies whose capital ratios exceed the thresholds for "well capitalized" banks on a consolidated basis are exempt from the foregoing requirement if they were rated composite 1 or 2 in their most recent inspection and are not the subject of any unresolved supervisory issues.

Regulation of the Bank

General. As a state-chartered bank with deposits insured by the FDIC but which is not a member of the Federal Reserve System (a "state non-member bank"), the Bank is subject to the supervision of the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation and the FDIC. The Commissioner and FDIC regularly examine the operations of the Bank, including but not limited to capital adequacy, reserves, loans, investments and management practices. These examinations are for the protection of the Bank's depositors and not its stockholders. In addition, the Bank is required to furnish quarterly and annual call reports to the Commissioner and FDIC. The FDIC's enforcement authority includes the power to remove officers and directors and the authority to issue cease-and-desist orders to prevent a bank from engaging in unsafe or unsound practices or violating laws or regulations governing its business.

The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC to the legal maximum of \$100,000 for each insured depositor. Some of the aspects of the lending and deposit business of the Bank that are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC include reserve requirements and disclosure requirements in connection with personal and mortgage loans and savings deposit accounts. In addition, the Bank is subject to numerous Federal and state laws and regulations which set forth specific restrictions and procedural requirements with respect to the establishment of branches, investments, interest rates on loans, credit practices, the disclosure of customer information, the disclosure of credit terms and discrimination in credit transactions.

Patriot Act. The USA Patriot Act (the "Patriot Act"), includes provisions pertaining to domestic security, surveillance procedures, border protection, and terrorism laws to be administered by the Secretary of the Treasury. Title III of the Patriot Act entitled, "International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorist Financing Act of 2001" includes amendments to the Bank Secrecy Act which expand the responsibilities of financial institutions in regard to anti-money laundering activities with particular emphasis upon international money laundering and terrorism financing activities through designated correspondent and private banking accounts.

Section 313(a) of the Patriot Act prohibits any insured financial institution such as the Bank, from providing correspondent accounts to foreign banks which do not have a physical presence in any country (designated as "shell banks"), subject to certain exceptions for regulated affiliates of foreign banks. Section 313(a) also requires financial institutions to take reasonable steps to ensure that foreign bank correspondent accounts are not being used to indirectly provide banking services to foreign shell banks, and Section 319(b) requires financial institutions to maintain records of the owners and agent for service of process of any such foreign banks with whom correspondent accounts have been established.

Section 312 of the Patriot Act creates a requirement for special due diligence for correspondent accounts and private banking accounts. Under Section 312, each financial institution that establishes, maintains, administers, or manages a private banking account or a correspondent account in the United States for a non-United States person, including a foreign individual visiting the United States, or a representative of a non-United States person shall establish appropriate, specific, and, where necessary, enhanced, due diligence policies, procedures, and controls that are reasonably designed to detect and record instances of money laundering through those accounts.

The Company and the Bank are not currently aware of any account relationships between the Bank and any foreign bank or other person or entity as described above under Sections 313(a) or 312 of the Patriot Act.

The terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 have realigned national security priorities of the United States and it is reasonable to anticipate that the United States Congress may enact additional legislation in the future to combat terrorism including modifications to existing laws such as the Patriot Act to expand powers as deemed necessary. The enactment of the Patriot Act has increased the Bank's compliance costs, and the impact of any additional legislation enacted by Congress may have upon financial institutions is uncertain. However, such legislation would likely increase compliance costs and thereby potentially have an adverse effect upon the Company's results of operations.

Community Reinvestment Act. Community Reinvestment Act (“CRA”) regulations evaluate banks’ lending to low and moderate income individuals and businesses across a four-point scale from “outstanding” to “substantial noncompliance,” and are a factor in regulatory review of applications to merge, establish new branch offices or form bank holding companies. In addition, any bank rated in “substantial noncompliance” with the CRA regulations may be subject to enforcement proceedings. The Bank has a current rating of “outstanding” for CRA compliance.

Capital Adequacy. The Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC have established guidelines with respect to the maintenance of appropriate levels of capital by bank holding companies and state non-member banks, respectively. The regulations impose two sets of capital adequacy requirements: minimum leverage rules, which require bank holding companies and banks to maintain a specified minimum ratio of capital to total assets, and risk-based capital rules, which require the maintenance of specified minimum ratios of capital to “risk-weighted” assets.

The regulations of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC require bank holding companies and state non-member banks, respectively, to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of “Tier 1 capital” (as defined in the risk-based capital guidelines discussed in the following paragraphs) to total assets of 3.0%. Although setting a minimum 3.0% leverage ratio, the capital regulations state that only the strongest bank holding companies and banks, with composite examination ratings of 1 under the rating system used by the Federal bank regulators, would be permitted to operate at or near such minimum level of capital. All other bank holding companies and banks are expected to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 1% to 2% above the minimum ratio, depending on the assessment of an individual organization’s capital adequacy by its primary regulator. Any bank or bank holding company experiencing or anticipating significant growth would be expected to maintain capital well above the minimum levels. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has indicated that whenever appropriate, and in particular when a bank holding company is undertaking expansion, seeking to engage in new activities or otherwise facing unusual or abnormal risks, it will consider, on a case-by-case basis, the level of an organization’s ratio of tangible Tier 1 capital (after deducting all intangibles) to total assets in making an overall assessment of capital.

The risk-based capital rules of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC require bank holding companies and state non-member banks, respectively, to maintain minimum regulatory capital levels based upon a weighting of their assets and off-balance sheet obligations according to risk. Risk-based capital is composed of two elements: Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital consists primarily of common stockholders’ equity, certain perpetual preferred stock (which must be noncumulative in the case of banks), and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries; less all intangible assets, except for certain purchased mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. Tier 2 capital elements include, subject to certain limitations, the allowance for losses on loans and leases; perpetual preferred stock that does not qualify as Tier 1 capital and long-term preferred stock with an original maturity of at least 20 years from issuance; hybrid capital instruments, including perpetual debt and mandatory convertible securities; and subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock.

The risk-based capital regulations assign balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet obligations to one of four broad risk categories based principally on the degree of credit risk associated with the obligor. The assets and off-balance sheet items in the four risk categories are weighted at 0%, 20%, 50% and 100%. These computations result in the total risk-weighted assets. The risk-based capital regulations require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital (Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital) to total risk-weighted assets of 8%, with at least 4% as Tier 1 capital. For the purpose of calculating these ratios: (i) Tier 2 capital is limited to no more than 100% of Tier 1 capital; and (ii) the aggregate amount of certain types of Tier 2 capital is limited. In addition, the risk-based capital regulations limit the allowance for loan losses includable as capital to 1.25% of total risk-weighted assets.

FDIC regulations and guidelines additionally specify that state non-member banks with significant exposure to declines in the economic value of their capital due to changes in interest rates may be required to maintain higher risk-based capital ratios. The Federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, have proposed a system for measuring

and assessing the exposure of a bank's net economic value to changes in interest rates. The Federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, have stated their intention to propose a rule establishing an explicit capital charge for interest rate risk based upon the level of a bank's measured interest rate risk exposure after more experience has been gained with the proposed measurement process. Federal Reserve Board regulations do not specifically take into account interest rate risk in measuring the capital adequacy of bank holding companies.

The FDIC has issued regulations which classify state non-member banks by capital levels and which authorize the FDIC to take various prompt corrective actions to resolve the problems of any bank that fails to satisfy the capital standards. Under such regulations, a well-capitalized bank is one that is not subject to any regulatory order or directive to meet any specific capital level and that has or exceeds the following capital levels: a total risk-based capital ratio of 10%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6%, and a leverage ratio of 5%. An adequately capitalized bank is one that does not qualify as well-capitalized but meets or exceeds the following capital requirements: a total risk-based capital ratio of 8%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4%, and a leverage ratio of either (i) 4% or (ii) 3% if the bank has the highest composite examination rating. A bank not meeting these criteria is treated as undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, or critically undercapitalized depending on the extent to which the bank's capital levels are below these standards. A state non-member bank that falls within any of the three undercapitalized categories established by the prompt corrective action regulation will be subject to severe regulatory sanctions. As of December 31, 2007, the Bank was well capitalized as defined by the FDIC's regulations.

Branching. Maryland law provides that, with the approval of the Commissioner, Maryland banks may establish branches within the State of Maryland without geographic restriction and may establish branches in other states by any means permitted by the laws of such state or by federal law. The Riegle-Neal Act authorizes the FDIC to approve interstate branching de novo by state banks, only in states that specifically allow for such branching.

Dividend Limitations. Pursuant to the Maryland Financial Institutions Code, Maryland banks may only pay dividends from undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, their surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. The Maryland Financial Institutions Code further restricts the payment of dividends by prohibiting a Maryland bank from declaring a dividend on its shares of common stock until its surplus fund equals the amount of required capital stock or, if the surplus fund does not equal the amount of capital stock, in an amount in excess of 90% of net earnings. In addition, the Bank is prohibited by federal statute from paying dividends or making any other capital distribution that would cause the Bank to fail to meet its regulatory capital requirements. Further, the FDIC also has authority to prohibit the payment of dividends by a state non-member bank when it determines such payment to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice.

Deposit Insurance. The Bank is required to pay semi-annual assessments based on a percentage of its insured deposits to the FDIC for insurance of its deposits by the Bank Insurance Fund ("BIF"). Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the FDIC is required to set semi-annual assessments for BIF-insured institutions to maintain the designated reserve ratio of the BIF at 1.25% of estimated insured deposits or at a higher percentage of estimated insured deposits that the FDIC determines to be justified for that year by circumstances raising a significant risk of substantial future losses to the BIF.

Under the risk-based deposit insurance assessment system adopted by the FDIC, the assessment rate for an insured depository institution depends on the assessment risk classification assigned to the institution by the FDIC, which is determined by the institution's capital level and supervisory evaluations. Based on the data reported to regulators for the date closest to the last day of the seventh month preceding the semi-annual assessment period, institutions are assigned to one of three capital groups — "well capitalized, adequately capitalized or undercapitalized." Within each capital group, institutions are assigned to one of three subgroups on the basis of supervisory evaluations by the institution's primary supervisory authority and such other information as the FDIC determines to be relevant to the institution's financial condition and the risk posed to the deposit insurance fund. Under the current assessment schedule, well-capitalized banks with the best supervisory ratings are not required to pay any premium for deposit insurance. All BIF-insured banks, however, will be required to begin paying an assessment to the FDIC in an amount equal to 2.12 basis points times their assessable deposits to help fund interest payments on certain bonds issued by the Financing Corporation, an agency established by the federal government to finance takeovers of insolvent thrifts.

Transactions with Affiliates. A state non-member bank or its subsidiaries may not engage in "covered transactions" with any one affiliate in an amount greater than 10% of such bank's capital stock and surplus, and for all such

transactions with all affiliates a state non-member bank is limited to an amount equal to 20% of capital stock and surplus. All such transactions must also be on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable, to the bank or subsidiary as those provided to a non-affiliate. The term “covered transaction” includes the making of loans, purchase of assets, issuance of a guarantee and similar other types of transactions. An affiliate of a state non-member bank is any company or entity which controls or is under common control with the state non-member bank and, for purposes of the aggregate limit on transactions with affiliates, any subsidiary that would be deemed a financial subsidiary of a national bank. In a holding company context, the parent holding company of a state non-member bank (such as the Company) and any companies which are controlled by such parent holding company are affiliates of the state non-member bank. The BHCA further prohibits a depository institution from extending credit to or offering any other services, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the institution or certain of its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the institution, subject to certain limited exceptions.

Loans to Directors, Executive Officers and Principal Stockholders. Loans to directors, executive officers and principal stockholders of a state non-member bank must be made on substantially the same terms as those prevailing for comparable transactions with persons who are not executive officers, directors, principal stockholders or employees of the Bank unless the loan is made pursuant to a compensation or benefit plan that is widely available to employees and does not favor insiders. Loans to any executive officer, director and principal stockholder together with all other outstanding loans to such person and affiliated interests generally may not exceed 15% of the bank's unimpaired capital and surplus and all loans to such persons may not exceed the institution's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus. Loans to directors, executive officers and principal stockholders, and their respective affiliates, in excess of the greater of \$100,000 or 5% of capital and surplus (up to \$500,000) must be approved in advance by a majority of the board of directors of the bank with any "interested" director not participating in the voting. State non-member banks are prohibited from paying the overdrafts of any of their executive officers or directors. In addition, loans to executive officers may not be made on terms more favorable than those afforded other borrowers and are restricted as to type, amount and terms of credit.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Common Stock is subject to risks inherent to the Bank's business. The material risk and uncertainties that management believes affect the Bank are described below. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair the Company's business operations.

Risk Factors Relating to our Business Generally

Fluctuations in interest rates could reduce the Bank's profitability and affect the value of its assets.

Like other financial institutions, the Bank is subject to interest rate risk. The Company's income and cash flow depend to a great extent on the difference between the interest rates earned on the Bank's interest-earning assets such as loans and investment securities, and the interest rates paid on the Bank's interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Company's control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various government agencies, in particular the Federal Reserve Bank. Changes in interest rates will influence the origination of loans, the prepayment speed of loans, the purchase of investments, the generation of deposits and the rates received on loans and investment securities and paid on deposits or other sources of funding. The impact of these changes may be magnified if the Company does not effectively manage the relative sensitivity of its assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. Fluctuations in these areas may adversely affect the Company and its shareholders.

Until recently, interest rates have been at historically low levels. However, since June 30, 2004, the Federal Reserve has increased its target for Federal funds rate numerous times. While these short-term market interest rates (which are used as a guide for pricing deposits) have increased, longer-term market interest rates (which are used as a guide for pricing longer-term loans and leases) have not. This "flattening" of the market yield curve has had a negative impact on the Bank's interest rate spread and net interest margin to date. If short-term interest rates continue to rise, and if rates on its deposits and borrowings continue to reprice upwards faster than the rates on long-term loans and investments, the Bank could experience compression of its interest rate spread and net interest margin, which could have a negative effect on the Bank's profitability.

The Bank is subject to credit risks relating to its loan portfolio.

The Bank has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan

production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies, and nonperforming and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations and economic conditions.

The Bank maintains an independent loan review department that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Bank's policies and procedures.

In the financial services industry, there is always a risk that certain borrowers may not repay borrowings. The Bank's allowance for credit losses may not be sufficient to cover the loan losses that it may actually incur. If the Bank experiences defaults by borrowers in any of its businesses, the Bank's earnings could be negatively affected. Changes in local economic conditions could adversely affect credit quality, particularly in its local business loan portfolio. Changes in national economic conditions could also adversely affect the quality of its loan portfolio.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans generally involve higher credit risks than residential real estate and consumer loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate or equipment are often dependent upon the successful operation and management of the underlying assets, repayment of such loans may be influenced to a great extent by conditions in the market or the economy. The Bank seeks to minimize these risks through its underwriting standards. The Bank obtains financial information and performs credit risk analysis on its customers. Underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than transactional banking. Most commercial and industrial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets; however, some loans may be made on an unsecured basis. The Bank's credit policy sets different maximum exposure limits both by business sector and its current and historical relationship and previous experience with each customer.

The Bank offers both fixed-rate and adjustable-rate consumer mortgage loans secured by properties, substantially all of which are located in the Bank's primary market area. Adjustable-rate mortgage loans help reduce the Bank's exposure to changes in interest rates; however, during periods of rising interest rates, the risk of default on adjustable-rate mortgage loans may increase as a result of repricing and the increased payments required from the borrower.

Consumer loans are primarily all other non-real estate loans to individuals in the Bank's regional market area. Consumer loans can entail risk, particularly in the case of loans that are unsecured or secured by rapidly depreciating assets. In these cases, any repossessed collateral may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance. The remaining deficiency often does not warrant further substantial collection efforts against the borrower beyond obtaining a deficiency judgment. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness, or personal bankruptcy.

General economic conditions nationally and in the Bank's market are less than favorable.

The Company is affected by general economic conditions in the United States and, in particular, in the Bank's market area. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, and the strength of both the U.S. economy and the local economy in which the Bank operates, all of which are beyond the Company's control. Deterioration in economic conditions could result in an increase in loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, decreases in loan collateral values and a decrease in demand for the bank's products and services, any of which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. While the Bank's conservative lending policies have prevented the Bank from exposure to the credit issues affecting the sub-prime residential mortgage market, the full impact of the current credit crisis on the economy and the Bank's market are unknown.

The financial services industry is very competitive.

The Bank faces competition in attracting and retaining deposits, making loans, and providing other financial services throughout the Bank's market area. The Bank's competitors include other community banks, larger banking institutions, and wide range of other financial institutions such as credit unions, government sponsored enterprises, mutual fund companies, insurance companies and other non-bank enterprises. Many of these competitors have substantially greater resources than the Company. If the Bank is unable to compete effectively, it will lose market share and income from deposits, loans, and other products may be reduced.

Legislative or regulatory changes or actions, or significant litigation, could adversely impact the Company or the businesses in which the Company is engaged.

The Company and the Bank are subject to extensive state and federal regulation, supervision and legislation that govern almost all aspects of operations. Law and regulations may change from time to time and are primarily intended for the protection of consumers, depositors and the deposit insurance funds. The current credit crisis resulting from issues affecting the sub-prime residential mortgage market may lead to additional legislation and regulatory actions, as well. The impact of any changes to laws and regulations or other actions by regulatory agencies may negatively impact the Company or its ability to increase the value of its business. Additionally, actions by regulatory agencies or significant litigation against the Company or the Bank may cause the Company to devote significant time and resources to defending itself and may lead to penalties that materially affect the Company and its shareholders. Future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations or enforcement could be materially adverse to the Company and its stockholders.

The Company is subject to environmental liability risk associated with lending activities.

A portion of the Bank's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Bank may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Company may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Company to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Company's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Company's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Company has policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Bank's information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

The Bank relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Bank's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other system. While the Bank has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur, or if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence, of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of the Bank's information systems could damage the Bank's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Bank to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Bank to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operation.

Financial services companies depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about customers and counterparties.

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Bank may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Bank may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on the Bank's business and, in turn, the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The inability to hire or retain certain key professionals, management and staff could adversely affect the Company's revenues and net income.

The Bank relies on key personnel to manage and operate our business, including major revenue generating functions such as our loan and deposit portfolios. The loss of key staff may adversely affect the Bank's ability to maintain and manage these portfolios effectively, which could negatively affect the Company's revenues. In addition, loss of key personnel could result in increased recruiting and hiring expenses, which could cause a decrease in the Company's net income.

Severe weather, acts of war and terrorism and other adverse external events could significantly impact the Company's business.

Severe weather, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Bank's ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of the Bank's deposit base, impair the ability

of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Company to incur additional expenses. The occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on the Bank's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The close proximity of the all of the Company's branch locations to Washington, D.C. may put it at an especially high risk of being impacted by terrorism.

Risk Factors Relating to the Company's Articles of Incorporation and the Common Stock

The liability of our directors is limited.

Our Articles of Incorporation limit the liability of directors to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law.

The trading volume in the Common Stock is less than that of other larger services companies.

Although the Common Stock is listed for trading on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, the trading volume in the Common Stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of the Common Stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Company has no control. Given the lower trading volume of the Common Stock, significant sales of the Common Stock, or the expectation of these sales, could negatively impact the Company's stock price.

It may be difficult for a third party to acquire the Company, which could affect the price of the Common Stock.

Our charter and Bylaws contain certain anti-takeover provisions pursuant to the Maryland General Corporation Law. In addition, the Company has a shareholders rights plan that could make it more difficult to acquire the Company. As a result, we may be a less attractive target to a potential acquirer who otherwise may be willing to pay a premium for our common stock above its market price. These provisions effectively inhibit a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to the Company's stockholders and could adversely affect the market price of the Common Stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the Bank's offices:

	Year Opened	Owned/ Leased	Book Value	Approximate Square Footage	Deposits
<u>Main Office:</u>					
101 Crain Highway, S.E. Glen Burnie, MD 21061	1953	Owned	\$ 614,545	10,000	\$ 78,055,586
<u>Branches:</u>					
Odenton 1405 Annapolis Road Odenton, MD 21113	1969	Owned	182,985	6,000	35,628,870
Riviera Beach 8707 Ft. Smallwood Road Pasadena, MD 21122	1973	Owned	132,438	2,500	28,698,788
Crownsville 1221 Generals Highway Crownsville, MD 21032	1979	Owned	316,735	3,000	43,152,583
Severn 811 Reece Road Severn, MD 21144	1984	Owned	189,541	2,500	27,135,168
South Crain 7984 Crain Highway Glen Burnie, MD 21061	1995	Leased	90,031	2,600	20,905,285
Linthicum Burwood Village Shopping Center Glen Burnie, MD 21060	2005	Leased	196,650	2,500	8,525,456
Severna Park 534 Ritchie Highway Severna Park, MD 21146	2002	Leased	153,546	2,184	10,815,029
<u>Operations Centers:</u>					
106 Padfield Blvd. Glen Burnie, MD 21061	1991	Owned	927,468	16,200	N/A
103 Crain Highway, S.E. Glen Burnie, MD 21061	2000	Owned	283,969	3,727	N/A

At December 31, 2007, the Bank owned one foreclosed real estate property with a total book value of \$50,000. The Bank is awaiting settlement on this commercial property.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company and the Bank are involved in various legal actions relating to their business activities. At December 31, 2007, there were no actions to which the Company or the Bank was a party which involved claims for money damages exceeding 10% of the Company's consolidated current assets in any one case or in any group of proceedings presenting in large degree the same legal and factual issues.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

20

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Set forth below is information about the Company's executive officers.

NAME	AGE	POSITIONS
F. William Kuethe, Jr.	75	President Emeritus
M i c h a e l G . Livingston	54	President and Chief Executive Officer
John E. Porter	54	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

F. WILLIAM KUETHE, JR. was appointed to the honorary position of President Emeritus of the Company and the Bank effective January 1, 2008 when he retired and stepped down from his positions of President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank which he held since 1995. Mr. Kuethe has been a director of the Company and the Bank since 1995 and was President of Glen Burnie Mutual Savings Bank from 1960 through 1995. Mr. Kuethe is a former licensed appraiser and real estate broker with banking experience from 1960 to present, at all levels. He is the father of Frederick W. Kuethe, III, a director of the Company.

MICHAEL G. LIVINGSTON was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank effective January 1, 2008. Prior to that date, Mr. Livingston was Deputy Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President since August 2004, Chief Operating Officer since January 2004, Deputy Chief Operating Officer from February 2003 through December 2003, Senior Vice President from January 1998 until August 2004, and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank from 1996 until August 2004. Mr. Livingston was elected as a director of the Company and the Bank on January 1, 2005.

JOHN E. PORTER was appointed Senior Vice President in January 1998. He has been Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since 1995 and Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank since 1990.

PART II**ITEM MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED
5. STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The Common Stock is traded on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market under the symbol "GLBZ". As of January 29, 2008, there were 460 record holders of the Common Stock. The closing price for the Common Stock on that date was \$10.55. A 20% stock dividend has been declared for stockholders' of record on January 12, 2008, payable January 18, 2008.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the Common Stock for each full quarterly period during 2007 and 2006 as reported by Nasdaq. The quotations represent prices between dealers and do not reflect the retailer markups, markdowns or commissions, and may not represent actual transactions. Also shown are dividends declared per share for these periods.

Quarter Ended	2007			2006		
	High	Low	Dividends	High	Low	Dividends
March 31,	\$ 18.50	\$ 17.05	\$ 0.12	\$ 17.61	\$ 16.42	\$ 0.12
June 30,	17.75	17.25	0.12	17.22	16.32	0.12
September 30	17.78	15.41	0.12	17.49	16.49	0.12
December 31	17.00	15.37	0.18	17.25	17.00	0.18

A regular dividend of \$0.12 and a bonus dividend of \$0.06 were declared for stockholders' of record on December 28, 2007, payable on January 10, 2008 and January 14, 2008, respectively.

The Company intends to pay dividends approximating forty percent (40%) of its profits for each quarter. However, dividends remain subject to declaration by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion and there can be no assurance that the Company will be legally or financially able to make such payments. Payment of dividends may be limited by federal and state regulations which impose general restrictions on a bank's and bank holding company's right to pay dividends (or to make loans or advances to affiliates which could be used to pay dividends). Generally, dividend payments are prohibited unless a bank or bank holding company has sufficient net (or retained) earnings and capital as determined by its regulators. See "Item 1. Business - Supervision and Regulation - Regulation of the Company - Dividends and Distributions" and "Item 1. Business— Supervision and Regulation - Regulation of the Bank - Dividend Limitations." The Company does not believe that those restrictions will materially limit its ability to pay dividends.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total return on the Common Stock during the five years ended December 31, 2007 with that of a broad market index (Nasdaq Composite), and a peer group consisting of publicly traded Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia commercial banks with total assets between \$200 million and \$500 million ("Peer Group"). The Peer Group is comprised of Fauquier Bankshares, Inc., BOE Financial Services of Virginia, Inc., Central Virginia Bankshares, Inc., Bay National Corp., Annapolis Bancorp, Inc., Shore Financial Corporation, Abigail Adams National Bancorp, Inc., and Carrollton Bancorp. The graph assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2002 in the Common Stock and in each of the indices and assumes reinvestment of dividends. The following information shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference into a later filing with the SEC.

Total Return Analysis

	12/31/2002	12/31/2003	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007
Glen Burnie Bancorp	\$ 100.00	\$ 173.89	\$ 168.68	\$ 152.60	\$ 149.89	\$ 139.62
Peer Group	\$ 100.00	\$ 148.42	\$ 170.81	\$ 168.41	\$ 171.05	\$ 133.20
Nasdaq Composite	\$ 100.00	\$ 150.79	\$ 164.60	\$ 168.08	\$ 185.55	\$ 211.29

Source: Zacks Investment Research.

ITEM 6.**SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table presents consolidated selected financial data for the Company and its subsidiaries for each of the periods indicated. Dividends and earnings per share have been adjusted to give retroactive effect to a 20% stock dividend paid on January 23, 2006 and a six-for-five stock split effected through a stock dividend paid on January 6, 2004.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(Dollars In Thousand Except Per Share Data)				
Operations Data:					
Net Interest Income	\$ 11,866	\$ 11,821	\$ 11,966	\$ 12,016	\$ 11,263
Provision for Credit Losses	50	62	(50)	340	40
Other Income	2,157	2,244	2,114	2,372	2,289
Other Expense	10,433	10,682	10,625	10,360	9,748
Net Income	2,782	2,720	2,775	3,056	3,077
Share Data:					
Basic Net Income Per Share	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.27
Diluted Net Income Per Share	1.12	1.10	1.13	1.25	1.27
Cash Dividends Declared Per Common Share	0.54	0.54	0.49	0.43	0.36
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:					
Basic	2,489,237	2,472,803	2,456,723	2,442,944	2,430,603
Diluted	2,489,237	2,472,803	2,456,723	2,442,944	2,430,603
Financial Condition Data:					
Total Assets	\$ 307,274	\$ 317,746	\$ 306,561	\$ 302,312	\$ 302,252
Loans Receivable, Net	199,753	193,337	190,205	182,291	172,819
Total Deposits	252,917	274,833	265,248	261,674	256,908
Long Term Borrowings	17,107	7,140	7,171	7,200	7,227
Junior Subordinated Debentures	5,155	5,155	5,155	5,155	5,155
Total Stockholders' Equity	29,736	28,201	26,625	25,744	23,948
Performance Ratios:	&#				