

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.

Form S-1

February 08, 2013

Registration No. 333 - _____

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

2836

20-8133057

(State or other jurisdiction of (Primary Standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Classification Code Number) Identification Number)

605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor

New York, NY 10158

(646) 666-3188

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Liat Sossover

Chief Financial Officer

c/o Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.

605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor

New York, NY 10158

(646) 666-3188

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Thomas B. Rosedale, Esq.

BRL Law Group LLC

425 Boylston Street, 3rd Floor

Boston, MA 02116

(617) 399-6931 (telephone number)

(617) 399-6930 (facsimile number)

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: promptly after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933 check the following box:

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
 (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price (1)	Amount of Registration Fee (3)
Common stock, \$0.00005 par value		
Warrants to purchase shares of common stock (2)		
Common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants		
Total	\$ 15,000,000.00	\$ 2,046.00

- Pursuant to Rule 416 under the Securities Act, this Registration Statement shall also cover any additional shares of common stock which become issuable by reason of any stock dividend, stock split or other similar transaction effected without the receipt of consideration that results in an increase in the number of the outstanding shares of common stock of the registrant.
- (1)
- (2) The securities registered also include such indeterminate number of shares of common stock as may be issued upon exercise of warrants pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the warrants.
- (3) Calculated pursuant to Rule 457(o) of the rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment that specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Subject to Completion, Dated February 8, 2013

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

PROSPECTUS

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.

[] **Shares of Common Stock**

Warrants to Purchase up to [] Shares of Common Stock

and

[] **Shares of Common Stock Underlying Warrants**

We are offering [] shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase up to [] shares of our common stock. For each share of our common stock purchased by an investor in this offering, the investor will receive a warrant to purchase [] shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$[____] per share and a term of exercise of []. We are not required to sell any specific dollar amount or number of shares of common stock or warrants, but will use our best efforts to sell all of the shares of common stock and warrants being offered.

Our common stock is traded on the OTCQB Bulletin Board under the symbol "BCLI". On February 7, 2013, the last reported sales price for our common stock was \$0.24 per share. We have applied to list our common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "BCLI." No assurance can be given that our application will be approved. If the application is not approved, shares of our common stock will continue to be traded on the OTCQB Bulletin Board.

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should review carefully the risks and uncertainties described under the heading "Risk Factors" beginning on page 5 of this prospectus, and under similar headings in any amendments or supplements to this prospectus.

	Per Share	Total
Public Offering Price	\$	\$
Underwriting Discounts and Commissions	\$	\$
Offering Proceeds before expenses	\$	\$

We estimate the total expenses of this offering will be approximately \$[]. Because there is no minimum offering amount required as a condition to closing in this offering, the actual public offering amount and proceeds to us, if any, are not presently determinable and may be substantially less than the total maximum offering set forth above. We have no current arrangements nor have we entered into any agreements with any underwriters, broker-dealers or selling agents for the sale of the securities, but we plan on entering into such arrangements and agreements. If we can engage one or more underwriters, broker-dealers or selling agents and enter into any such arrangement(s), the securities will be sold through such licensed underwriter(s), broker-dealer(s) and/or selling agent(s). See “Plan of Distribution” beginning on page 20 of this prospectus for more information on this offering.

This offering will terminate on _____, 2013, unless the offering is fully subscribed before that date or we decide to terminate the offering prior to that date. In either event, the offering may be closed without further notice to you. All costs associated with the registration will be borne by us. As there is no minimum purchase requirement, no funds are required to be escrowed and all net proceeds will be available to us at closing for use as set forth in "Use of Proceeds" beginning on page 18.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The shares of common stock may be sold directly by us to investors or through our underwriters, broker-dealers or selling agents. See "Plan of Distribution".

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PROSPECTUS SUMMARY	1
RISK FACTORS	5
DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	17
EXCHANGE RATE INFORMATION	18
USE OF PROCEEDS	18
DIVIDEND POLICY	18
DILUTION	19
PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION	20
DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES	20
OUR BUSINESS	22
PROPERTIES	33
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	33
MARKET FOR OUR COMMON EQUITY	34
MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	35
CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	39
MANAGEMENT	40
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	46
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	52
RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	54
LEGAL MATTERS	58
EXPERTS	58
INDEMNIFICATION UNDER OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS	58
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	58

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus and any applicable prospectus supplement. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different or additional information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or any sale of securities described in this prospectus. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement, as well as information we have previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and incorporated by reference, is accurate as of the date on the front of those documents only. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

As used herein, “we,” “us,” “our” or the “Company” refers to Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary may not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should read the entire prospectus, including the financial data and related notes, and risk factors.

Company Overview

We are a biotechnology company developing innovative adult stem cell therapies for highly debilitating neurodegenerative disorders such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (“ALS”, also known as Lou Gehrig's disease), Multiple Sclerosis (“MS”), and Parkinson’s disease (“PD”). These devastating diseases have limited treatment options and as such represent highly unmet medical needs.

NurOwn, our proprietary process for the propagation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells (“MSC”) and their differentiation into NeuroTrophic factor-(“NTF”) secreting cells (“MSC-NTF”), and their transplantation at, or near, the site of damage, offers the hope of overcoming neurodegenerative diseases.

Our approach is considered safe based on our use of autologous cells, which are free of the risk of rejection and tumor formation. It is also free of the controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Our core technology was developed in collaboration with prominent neurologist Prof. Eldad Melamed, former head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, and expert cell biologist Prof. Daniel Offen of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

Our wholly-owned Israeli subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. (the “Israeli Subsidiary”), holds rights to commercialize the technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. (“Ramot”), the technology transfer company of Tel Aviv University, Israel.

On February 17, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into a series of agreements with Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd., a subsidiary of the Hadassah Medical Organization (“Hadassah”) and Professor Dimitrios Karousis (the “Clinical Trial Agreement”). Under the Clinical Trial Agreement, Hadassah and our personnel agreed to conduct a clinical trial to evaluate the safety and tolerability of our NurOwn cells in patients with ALS, in

accordance with a protocol developed jointly by us and Hadassah.

In February 2011, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) granted Orphan Drug designation to NurOwn, our autologous adult stem cell product candidate for the treatment of ALS.

In June 2011, we initiated a Phase I/II clinical trial for the treatment of ALS with NurOwn at the Hadassah University Medical Center in Jerusalem (“HUMC”), after receiving approval from the Israeli Ministry of Health (“MoH”).

In July 2011, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Massachusetts General Hospital and the University of Massachusetts Medical School in anticipation of applying for FDA approval to begin ALS human clinical trials in the United States. Pending submission of an Investigational New Drug (“IND”) application to the FDA and subsequent approval, we are planning to launch a Phase II clinical trial at these institutions in mid-2013.

In July 2012, we submitted an interim safety report to the MoH for the first 12 of 24 patients in the Phase I/II clinical trial. The report confirmed that our NurOwn therapy is safe, did not cause any adverse side effects, and some of the patients showed promising indications of clinical improvement.

In January 2013, the MoH approved acceleration to a Phase IIa combined treatment, dose-escalating trial, which we are currently conducting at HUMC. In this safety and preliminary efficacy trial, 12 early-stage ALS patients will receive both intramuscular and intrathecal injections of NurOwn cells in three cohorts with increasing doses. The patients will be followed for three to six months after transplantation.

In January 2013, we also announced that we had successfully completed a 12-week repeat dose toxicity study with our NurOwn cells in mice. These repeat doses were prepared from frozen cells, using a proprietary method recently developed by the Company. Our cryopreservation, or freezing, method will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that the positive data from the toxicity study in mice will support our efforts to obtain approval for a future repeat dose clinical study in ALS patients. The study was conducted at Harlan Israel's laboratories, according to Good Laboratory Practice ("GLP") standards of the FDA. The study protocol was approved by the Israeli MoH.

Our Approach

Our NurOwn technology is based on a novel differentiation protocol which reprograms the bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells into neuron-supporting cells, MSC-NTF cells, capable of releasing several neurotrophic factors, including Glial-derived neurotrophic factor ("GDNF") and Brain-derived neurotrophic factor ("BDNF").

Our approach to treatment of neurodegenerative diseases with autologous adult stem cells includes a multi-step process beginning with harvesting of undifferentiated stem cells from the patient's own bone marrow, and concluding with transplantation of differentiated, neurotrophic factor-secreting mesenchymal stem cells (MSC-NTF) into the same patient – intrathecally and/or intramuscularly.

Our proprietary, optimized processes for induction of differentiation of human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells into differentiated cells that produce NTF (MSC-NTF) are conducted in full current Good Manufacturing Practice ("cGMP") compliance.

The NurOwn Transplantation Process

- § Bone marrow aspiration from patient;
- § Isolation and expansion of the mesenchymal stem cells;
- § Differentiation of the expanded stem cells into neurotrophic-factor secreting (MSC-NTF) cells; and
- § Autologous transplantation into the patient's spinal cord or muscle tissue.

This approach is based on pre-clinical data documented by our research team, led by Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen.

Differentiation before Transplantation

The ability to induce differentiation of autologous adult mesenchymal stem cells into MSC-NTF cells *before* transplantation is unique to NurOwn, making it the first-of-its-kind for treating neurodegenerative diseases.

The specialized cells secrete neurotrophic factors for:

- § Protection of existing motor neurons,
- § Promotion of motor neuron growth, and
- § Re-establishment of nerve-muscle interaction.

Autologous (“Self-transplantation”)

The NurOwn approach is autologous, or self-transplanted, using the patient’s own stem cells. It is considered safe, with no risk of rejection and no need for treatment with immunosuppressive agents, which can cause severe and/or long-term side effects. In addition, it is free of controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Transplantation site and method

Intrathecal transplantation consists of injection with a standard lumbar puncture; there is no need for a laminectomy - an invasive, orthopedic spine operation to remove a portion of the vertebral bone, as required by other technologies. Intramuscular transplantation is performed via a standard injection procedure as well.

Clinical Indication I: ALS (current) – Based on the fast-track approval of the Israeli MoH, we are currently conducting a Phase IIa dose-escalating trial to evaluate safety and preliminary efficacy of NurOwn in ALS patients. Pending submission of an IND application to the FDA and subsequent approval, we are planning to launch a Phase II clinical trial in the USA in mid-2013. Following the successful completion of these, we hope to progress to repeat dosing and Phase III trials.

Clinical Indication II: MS (future) – Based on positive proof-of-concept results obtained at Tel Aviv University with MSC-NTF cells for MS, we are currently conducting pre-clinical studies for this disease. Based on a positive outcome for these studies, we hope to progress to a Phase I safety trial in humans.

Corporate Information

We are incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Our principal executive offices are located at 605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, New York 10158, and our telephone number is (646) 666-3188. We maintain an Internet website at <http://www.brainstorm-cell.com>. The information on our website is not incorporated into this prospectus.

The Offering

Securities Offered [] shares of common stock
Warrants to purchase up to [] shares of common stock
Up to [] shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants

Common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012 150,085,035 shares

Common stock to be outstanding after the offering assuming the sale of all shares covered hereby and assuming no exercise of the warrants for the shares covered by this prospectus [] shares

Common stock to be outstanding after the offering assuming the sale of all shares covered hereby and assuming the exercise of all warrants for the shares covered by this prospectus [] shares

Use of proceeds We estimate that we will receive up to \$[] in net proceeds from the sale of the securities in this offering, based on a per share purchase price of \$[_____] and after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We will use the proceeds from the sale of the securities for clinical trials in the United States and Israel, research and development, working capital needs, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes. See "Use of Proceeds" for more information.

Risk factors The shares of common stock offered hereby involve a high degree of risk. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 5.

Dividend policy We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund the development and growth of our business. Therefore, we do not currently anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock.

Trading Symbol Our common stock currently trades on the OTCQB Bulletin Board under the symbol "BCLI." We have applied to list our common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "BCLI." Listing will be subject to our fulfilling the initial listing requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market. We cannot assure you that our common stock will be listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider and evaluate all of the information in this prospectus, including the risk factors listed below. Risks and uncertainties in addition to those we describe below, that may not be presently known to us, or that we currently believe are immaterial, may also harm our business and operations. If any of these risks occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed, the price of our common stock could decline, and future events and circumstances could differ significantly from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus.

Risks related to our business

We need to raise additional capital. If we are unable to raise additional capital on favorable terms and in a timely manner, we will not be able to execute our business plan and we could be forced to restrict or cease our operations.

We will need to raise additional funds to meet our anticipated expenses so that we can execute our business plan. We expect to incur substantial and increasing net losses for the foreseeable future as we increase our spending to execute our development programs. Our auditors have expressed in their audit report that there is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern.

The amount of financing required will depend on many factors including our financial requirements to fund our research and clinical trials, and our ability to secure partnerships and achieve partnership milestones as well as to fund other working capital requirements. Our ability to access the capital markets or to enlist partners is mainly dependent on the progress of our research and development and regulatory approval of our products.

Assuming we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities, these securities may have rights, preferences or privileges (including registrations rights) senior to those of the rights of our common stock and our stockholders will experience additional dilution.

Our business in the foreseeable future will be based on technology licensed from Ramot and if this license were to be terminated upon failure to make required royalty payments in the future, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations.

Agreements we and our Israeli Subsidiary have with Ramot impose on us royalty payment obligations. If we fail to comply with these obligations, Ramot may have the right to terminate the license. If Ramot elects to terminate our license, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations. We currently do not owe Ramot any overdue payments.

Our company has a history of losses and we expect to incur losses for the foreseeable future.

As a development stage company, we are in the early stages of executing our business plan. We had no revenues for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011. Our ability to operate successfully is materially uncertain and our operations are subject to significant risks inherent in a developing business enterprise. We are currently in the process of introducing the Company to strategic partners. In the upcoming three years, the Company will focus on clinical trials. We are unable at this time to foresee when we will generate revenues from strategic partnerships or otherwise. Furthermore, we expect to incur substantial and increasing operating losses for the next several years as we increase our spending to execute our development programs. These losses are expected to have an adverse impact on our working capital, total assets and stockholders' equity, and we may never achieve profitability.

Our product development programs are based on novel technologies and are inherently risky.

We are subject to the risks of failure inherent in the development of products based on new technologies. The novel nature of our stem cell therapy creates significant challenges with regard to product development and optimization, manufacturing, government regulations, and market acceptance. For example, the FDA has relatively limited experience with stem cell therapies. None have been approved by them for commercial sale, and the pathway to regulatory approval for our cell therapy product candidates may accordingly be more complex and lengthy. As a result, the development and commercialization pathway for our therapies may be subject to increased uncertainty, as compared to the pathway for new conventional drugs.

We are faced with uncertainties related to our research.

Our research programs are based on scientific hypotheses and experimental approaches that may not lead to desired results. In addition, the timeframe for obtaining proof of principle and other results may be considerably longer than originally anticipated, or may not be possible given time, resource, financial, strategic and collaborator scientific constraints. Success in one stage of testing is not necessarily an indication that the particular program will succeed in later stages of testing and development. It is not possible to predict, based upon studies in in-vitro models and in animals, whether any of the therapies designed for these programs will prove to be safe, effective, and suitable for human use. Each therapy will require additional research and development, scale-up, formulation and extensive clinical testing in humans. Unsatisfactory results obtained from a particular study relating to a program may cause the Company to abandon its commitment to that program or to the lead therapy or product candidate being tested. The discovery of unexpected toxicities, lack of sufficient efficacy, unacceptable pharmacology, inability to increase scale of manufacture, market attractiveness, regulatory hurdles, competition, as well as other factors, may make our targets, lead therapies or product candidates unattractive or unsuitable for human use, and we may abandon our commitment to that program, target, lead therapy or product candidate. In addition, preliminary results seen in animal and/or limited human testing may not be substantiated in larger controlled clinical trials.

The field of stem cell therapy is relatively new and our development efforts may not yield an effective treatment of human diseases.

Except for bone marrow transplants for neoplastic disease, the field of stem cell therapy remains largely untested in the clinical setting. Our intended cell therapeutic treatment methods for ALS involve a new approach that has not yet been proven to work in humans. We are currently conducting Phase I/II clinical trials for ALS, which, together with other stem cell therapies, may ultimately prove ineffective in treatment of human diseases. If we cannot successfully implement our NurOwn stem cell therapy in human testing, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to change our operations.

A significant global market for our services has yet to emerge.

Very few companies have been successful in their efforts to develop and commercialize a stem cell product. We believe that there will be many different applications for products successfully derived from our technologies and that the anticipated market for products under development will continue to expand. No assurance, however, can be given that these beliefs will prove to be correct due to competition from existing or new products and the yet to be established commercial viability of our products. Stem cell products in general may be susceptible to various risks, including undesirable and unintended side effects, unintended immune system responses, inadequate therapeutic efficacy, or other characteristics that may prevent or limit their approval or commercial use. The demand for stem cell processing and the number of people who may use cell or tissue-based therapies is difficult to forecast. As there are no real experts who can forecast this market with accuracy, there is limited data from which the future use of our services

may be forecasted. Physicians, patients, formularies, third party payers or the medical community in general may not accept or utilize any products that the Company or its collaborative partners may develop. Our success is dependent on the establishment of a large global market for our products and services and our ability to capture a share of this market.

We have limited experience in conducting and managing clinical trials and the application process necessary to obtain regulatory approvals.

Our limited experience in conducting and managing clinical trials and the application process necessary to obtain regulatory approvals might prevent us from successfully designing or implementing a preclinical study or clinical trial. Cell-based therapy products, in general, may be susceptible to various risks, including undesirable and unintended side effects, unintended immune system responses, inadequate therapeutic efficacy or other characteristics that may prevent or limit their approval by regulators or commercial use. Many companies in the industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, despite promising results in earlier trials. If our clinical trials are unsuccessful, or if we do not complete our clinical trials, we may not receive regulatory approval for or be able to commercialize our product candidates.

If we do not succeed in conducting and managing our preclinical development activities or clinical trials, or in obtaining regulatory approvals, we might not be able to commercialize our product candidates, or might be significantly delayed in doing so, which will materially harm our business.

Our ability to generate revenues from any of our product candidates will depend on a number of factors, including our ability to successfully complete clinical trials, obtain necessary regulatory approvals and implement our commercialization strategy. We may, and anticipate that we will need to, transition from a company with a research and development focus to a company capable of supporting commercial activities and we may not succeed in such a transition.

We may not be able to secure and maintain research institutions to conduct our clinical trials.

We rely on research institutions to conduct our clinical trials. Specifically, the limited number of centers experienced with cell therapy product candidates heightens our dependence on such research institutions. Our reliance upon research institutions, including hospitals and clinics, provides us with less control over the timing and cost of clinical trials and the ability to recruit subjects. If we are unable to reach agreements with suitable research institutions on acceptable terms, or if any resulting agreement is terminated, we may be unable to quickly replace the research institution with another qualified institution on acceptable terms. Furthermore, we may not be able to secure and maintain suitable research institutions to conduct our clinical trials.

We are subject to a strict regulatory environment. If we fail to obtain and maintain required regulatory approvals for our potential cell therapy products, our ability to commercialize our potential cell therapy products will be severely limited.

None of our product candidates have received regulatory approval for commercial sale.

Numerous statutes and regulations govern human testing and the manufacture and sale of human therapeutic products in the United States and other countries where we intend to market our products. Such legislation and regulation bears upon, among other things, the approval of protocols and human testing, the approval of manufacturing facilities, testing procedures and controlled research, review and approval of manufacturing, preclinical and clinical data prior to marketing approval including adherence to GMP during production and storage as well as regulation of marketing activities including advertising and labeling.

The completion of the clinical testing of our product candidates and the obtaining of required approvals are expected to take several years and require the expenditure of substantial resources. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, the clinical trial process that could delay or prevent regulatory approval and/or commercialization of our product candidates, including the following:

The FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may find that our product candidates are not sufficiently safe or effective or may find our processes or facilities unsatisfactory;

Officials at the MoH, the FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may interpret data from preclinical studies and clinical trials differently than we do;

Our clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results or may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the MoH, the FDA or other regulatory authorities, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional preclinical studies and/or clinical trials or to abandon one or more of our development programs;

The MoH, the FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may change their approval policies or adopt new regulations;

There may be delays or failure in obtaining approval of our clinical trial protocols from the MoH, the FDA or other regulatory authorities or obtaining institutional review board approvals or government approvals to conduct clinical trials at prospective sites;

We, or regulators, may suspend or terminate our clinical trials because the participating patients are being exposed to unacceptable health risks or undesirable side effects;

We may experience difficulties in managing multiple clinical sites;

Enrollment in our clinical trials for our product candidates may occur more slowly than we anticipate, or we may experience high drop-out rates of subjects in our clinical trials, resulting in significant delays; and

We may be unable to manufacture or obtain from third party manufacturers sufficient quantities of our product candidates for use in clinical trials.

Investors should be aware of the risks, problems, delays, expenses and difficulties which may be encountered by us in light of the extensive regulatory environment in which our business operates. In particular, our development costs will increase if we have material delays in our clinical trials, or if we are required to modify, suspend, terminate or repeat a clinical trial. If we are unable to conduct our clinical trials properly and on schedule, marketing approval may be delayed or denied by the MoH or the FDA.

Even if a product candidate is approved by the MoH, the FDA or any other regulatory authority, we may not obtain approval for an indication whose market is large enough to recoup our investment in that product candidate. We may never obtain the required regulatory approvals for any of our product candidates. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, manufacturer or facility may result in restrictions on the product or manufacturer, including a withdrawal of the product from the market.

Even if regulatory approvals are obtained for our product candidates, we will be subject to ongoing government regulation. If we or one or more of our partners or collaborators fail to comply with applicable current and future laws and government regulations, our business and financial results could be adversely affected.

The healthcare industry is one of the most highly regulated industries in the United States. The federal government, individual state and local governments and private accreditation organizations all oversee and monitor the activities of individuals and businesses engaged in the delivery of health care products and services. Even if regulatory authorities approve any of our human therapeutic product candidates, current laws, rules and regulations that could directly or indirectly affect our ability and the ability of our strategic partners and customers to operate each of their businesses could include, without limitation, the following:

State and local licensing, registration and regulation of laboratories, the collection, processing and storage of human cells and tissue, and the development and manufacture of pharmaceuticals and biologics;

The federal Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act and amendments of 1988;

Laws and regulations administered by the FDA, including the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act and related laws and regulations;

The Public Health Service Act and related laws and regulations;

Laws and regulations administered by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, including the Office for Human Research Protections;

State laws and regulations governing human subject research;

Occupational Safety and Health requirements; and

State and local laws and regulations dealing with the handling and disposal of medical waste.

Compliance with such regulation may be expensive and consume substantial financial and management resources. If we, or any future marketing collaborators or contract manufacturers, fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, we may be subject to sanctions including fines, product recalls or seizures, injunctions, total or partial suspension of production, civil penalties, withdrawal of regulatory approvals and criminal prosecution. Any of these sanctions could delay or prevent the promotion, marketing or sale of our products.

We are subject to environmental, health and safety laws.

We are subject to various laws and regulations relating to safe working conditions, laboratory and manufacturing practices, the experimental use of animals and humans, emissions and wastewater discharges, and the use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances used in connection with our research. We also cannot accurately predict the extent of regulations that might result from any future legislative or administrative action. Any of these laws or regulations could cause us to incur additional expense or restrict our operations.

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations may be expensive, and current or future environmental regulations may impair our research, development or production efforts.

Our success will depend in part on establishing and maintaining effective strategic partnerships and collaborations, which may impose restrictions on our business and subject us to additional regulation.

A key aspect of our business strategy is to establish strategic relationships in order, to expand or complement our research and development or commercialization capabilities, and to reduce the cost of research and development. There can be no assurance that we will enter into such relationships, that the arrangements will be on favorable terms or that such relationships will be successful. If we are ultimately successful in executing our strategy of securing collaborations with companies that would undertake advanced clinical development and commercialization of our products, we may not have day-to-day control over their activities. Any such collaborator may adhere to criteria for determining whether to proceed with a clinical development program under circumstances where we might have continued such a program. Potential collaborators may have significant discretion in determining the efforts and amount of resources that they dedicate to our collaborations or may be unwilling or unable to fulfill their obligations to us, including their development and commercialization. Potential collaborators may underfund or not commit sufficient resources to the testing, marketing, distribution or other development of our products. They may also not properly maintain or defend our intellectual property rights or they may utilize our proprietary information in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or potentially invalidate our proprietary information or expose us to potential liability. Potential collaboration partners may have the right to terminate the collaboration on relatively short notice and if they do so or if they fail to perform or satisfy their obligations to us, the development or

commercialization of products would be delayed and our ability to realize any potential milestone payments and royalty revenue would be adversely affected.

We face competition in our efforts to develop cell therapies for ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases.

We face competition in our efforts to develop cell therapies and other treatment or procedures to cure or slow the effects of ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases. Among our competitors are companies that are involved in the fetal cell transplant or embryonic stem cell derived cell therapy and companies developing adult stem cells. Other companies are developing traditional chemical compounds, new biological drugs, cloned human proteins and other treatments, which are likely to impact the markets that we intend to target. Some of our competitors possess longer operating histories and greater financial, managerial, scientific and technical resources than we do and some possess greater name recognition and established customer bases. Some also have significantly more experience in preclinical testing, human clinical trials, product manufacturing, the regulatory approval process and marketing and distribution than we do.

The trend towards consolidation in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries may adversely affect us.

There is a trend towards consolidation in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. This consolidation trend may result in the remaining companies having greater financial resources and discovery technological capabilities, thus intensifying competition in these industries. This trend may also result in fewer potential collaborators or licensees for our therapeutic product candidates. Also, if a consolidating company is already doing business with our competitors, we may lose existing licensees or collaborators as a result of such consolidation.

There is a scarcity of experienced professionals in the field of cell therapy and we may not be able to retain key personnel or hire new key personnel needed to implement our business strategy and develop our products and businesses. If we are unable to retain or hire key personnel, we may be unable to continue to grow our business or to implement our business strategy, and our business may be materially and adversely affected.

Given the specialized nature of cell therapy and the fact that it is a young field, there is an inherent scarcity of experienced personnel in the field. Our success depends on a significant extent to the continued services of certain highly qualified scientific and management personnel. We face competition for qualified personnel from numerous industry sources, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain qualified personnel on acceptable terms. The loss of service of any of our key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operations or financial condition. In the event of the loss of services of such personnel, no assurance can be given that we will be able to obtain the services of adequate replacement personnel. We do not have key person life insurance on all of our key personnel. The future success of the Company also depends upon our ability to attract and retain additional qualified personnel (including medical, scientific, technical, commercial, business and administrative personnel) necessary to support our anticipated growth, develop our business, and maintain appropriate licensure, on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining personnel required by us to continue and grow our operations. The loss of a key employee, the failure of a key employee to perform in his or her current position or our inability to attract and retain skilled employees, as needed, could result in our inability to continue to grow our business or to implement our business strategy, or may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Technological and medical developments or improvements in conventional therapies could render the use of stem cells and our services and planned products obsolete.

The pharmaceutical industry is characterized by rapidly changing markets, technology, emerging industry standards and frequent introduction of new products. The introduction of new products embodying new technologies, including new manufacturing processes, and the emergence of new industry standards may render our technologies obsolete, less competitive or less marketable. Advances in other treatment methods or in disease prevention techniques could significantly reduce or entirely eliminate the need for our stem cell services, planned products and therapeutic efforts. Additionally, technological or medical developments may materially alter the commercial viability of our technology or services, and require us to incur significant costs to replace or modify equipment in which we have a substantial investment. In either event, we may experience a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If Ramot is unable to obtain patents on the patent applications and technology licensed to our Israeli Subsidiary or if patents are obtained but do not provide meaningful protection, we may not be able to successfully market our proposed products.

We rely upon the patent application filed by Ramot and the license granted to us and our Israeli Subsidiary by Ramot under the Research and License Agreement (the “Original Ramot Agreement”), dated as of July 8, 2004, with Ramot, the technology licensing company of Tel Aviv University. We agreed under the Original Ramot Agreement that Ramot, in consultation with us, is responsible for obtaining patent protection for technology owned by Ramot and licensed to us. No assurance can be given that any of our pending or future patent applications will be approved, that the scope of any patent protection granted will exclude competitors or provide us with competitive advantages, that any of the patents that may be issued to us will be held valid if subsequently challenged, or that other parties will not claim rights to or ownership of our patents or other proprietary rights that we hold. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that others have not developed or will not develop similar products, duplicate any of our technology or products or design around any patents that have been or may be issued to us or any future licensors. Since patent applications in the United States and in Europe are not disclosed until applications are published, there can be no assurance that others did not first file applications for products covered by our pending patent applications, nor can we be certain that we will not infringe any patents that may be issued to others. Also, we have abandoned our rights to certain patents of Ramot in certain countries in connection with the Letter Agreement by and between us and Ramot dated December 24, 2009, which may limit our ability to fully market our proposed products.

We also rely upon unpatented proprietary technology, know-how and trade secrets and seek to protect them through confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and advisors. If these confidentiality agreements are breached, we may not have adequate remedies for the breach. In addition, others may independently develop or otherwise acquire substantially the same proprietary technology as our technology and trade secrets.

We may be unable to protect our intellectual property from infringement by third parties.

Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. Our competitors may also independently develop similar technology, duplicate our processes or services or design around our intellectual property rights. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our intellectual property rights to determine their scope, validity or enforceability. Intellectual property litigation is costly, time-consuming, diverts the attention of management and technical personnel and could result in substantial uncertainty regarding our future viability. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce intellectual property protection would limit our ability to develop or market our services in the future. This would also likely have an adverse effect on the revenues generated by any sale or license of such intellectual property. Furthermore, any public announcements related to such litigation or regulatory proceedings could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Third parties may claim that we infringe on their intellectual property.

We may be subject to costly litigation in the event our technology is claimed to infringe upon the proprietary rights of others. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that would be infringed by our technology. Any of these third parties could make a claim of infringement against us with respect to our technology. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark or license usage rights. Litigation and patent interference proceedings could result in substantial expense to us and significant diversion of efforts by our technical and management personnel. An adverse determination in any such proceeding or in patent litigation could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties or require us to seek licenses from third parties. Such licenses may not be available on acceptable terms or at all. Adverse determinations in a judicial or administrative proceeding or failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from commercializing our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

As a result of our reliance on consultants, we may not be able to protect the confidentiality of our technology, which, if disseminated, could negatively impact our plan of operations.

We currently have relationships with two academic consultants who are not employed by us, and we may enter into additional relationships of such nature in the future. We have limited control over the activities of these consultants

and can expect only limited amounts of their time to be dedicated to our activities. These persons may have consulting, employment or advisory arrangements with other entities that may conflict with or compete with their obligations to us. Our consultants typically sign agreements that provide for confidentiality of our proprietary information and results of studies. However, in connection with every relationship, we may not be able to maintain the confidentiality of our technology, the dissemination of which could hurt our competitive position and results of operations. To the extent that our scientific consultants develop inventions or processes independently that may be applicable to our proposed products, disputes may arise as to the ownership of the proprietary rights to such information, we may expend significant resources in such disputes and we may not win those disputes.

It is uncertain to what extent the government, private health insurers and third-party payers will approve coverage or provide reimbursement for the therapies and products to which our services relate. Availability for such reimbursement may be further limited by an increasing uninsured population and reductions in Medicare and Medicaid funding in the United States.

Our ability to successfully commercialize our human therapeutic products will depend significantly on our ability to obtain acceptable prices and the availability of reimbursement to the patient from third-party payers, such as government and private insurance plans. While we have not commenced discussions with any such parties, these third-party payers frequently require companies to provide predetermined discounts from list prices, and they are increasingly challenging the prices charged for pharmaceuticals and other medical products. Our human therapeutic products may not be considered cost-effective, and reimbursement to the patient may not be available or sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive basis. Further, as cost containment pressures are increasing in the health care industry, government and private payers adopt strategies designed to limit the amount of reimbursement paid to health care providers. Such cost containment measures may include:

Reducing reimbursement rates;

Challenging the prices charged for medical products and services;

Limiting services covered;

Decreasing utilization of services;

Negotiating prospective or discounted contract pricing;

Adopting capitation strategies; and

Seeking competitive bids.

Similarly, the trend toward managed health care and bundled pricing for health care services in the United States could significantly influence the purchase of healthcare services and products, resulting in lower prices and reduced demand for our therapies.

We may not be able to negotiate favorable reimbursement rates for our human therapeutic products. If we fail to obtain acceptable prices or an adequate level of reimbursement for our products, the sales of our products would be adversely affected or there may be no commercially viable market for our products.

Unintended consequences of recently adopted health reform legislation in the U.S. may adversely affect our business.

The healthcare industry is undergoing fundamental changes resulting from political, economic and regulatory influences. In the U.S., comprehensive programs are under consideration that seek to, among other things, increase access to healthcare for the uninsured and control the escalation of healthcare expenditures within the economy. On March 23, 2010, health reform legislation was approved by Congress and has been signed into law. While we do not believe this legislation will have a direct impact on our business, the legislation has only recently been enacted and requires the adoption of implementing regulations, which may have unintended consequences or indirectly impact our business. For instance, the scope and implications of the recent amendments pursuant to the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 have yet to be fully determined or adjudicated and as a result it is difficult to predict how future enforcement initiatives may impact our business. Also, in some instances our clients may be health insurers that will be subject to limitations on their administrative expenses and new federal review of “unreasonable” rate increases which

could impact the prices they pay for our services. If the legislation causes such unintended consequences or indirect impact, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Ethical and other concerns surrounding the use of stem cell therapy may negatively impact the public perception of our stem cell services, thereby suppressing demand for our services.

Although our stem cell business pertains to adult stem cells only, and does not involve the more controversial use of embryonic stem cells, the use of adult human stem cells for therapy could give rise to similar ethical, legal and social issues as those associated with embryonic stem cells, which could adversely affect its acceptance by consumers and medical practitioners. Additionally, it is possible that our business could be negatively impacted by any stigma associated with the use of embryonic stem cells if the public fails to appreciate the distinction between adult and embryonic stem cells. Delays in achieving public acceptance may materially and adversely affect the results of our operations and profitability.

We are exposed to fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

A significant portion of our business, particularly our research and development, is conducted outside the United States. Therefore, we are exposed to currency exchange fluctuations in other currencies such as the New Israeli Shekels (“NIS”) and the Euro. Moreover, a portion of our expenses in Israel and Europe are paid in NIS and Euros, respectively, which subjects us to the risks of foreign currency fluctuations. Our primary expenses paid in NIS are employee salaries, fees for consultants and subcontractors and lease payments on our Israeli facilities.

The dollar cost of our operations in Israel will increase to the extent increases in the rate of inflation in Israel are not offset by a devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar, which would harm our results of operations.

Since a considerable portion of our expenses such as employees' salaries are linked to an extent to the rate of inflation in Israel, the dollar cost of our operations is influenced by the extent to which any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel is or is not offset by the devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar. As a result, we are exposed to the risk that the NIS, after adjustment for inflation in Israel, will appreciate in relation to the dollar. In that event, the dollar cost of our operations in Israel will increase and our dollar-measured results of operations will be adversely affected. During the past few years inflation-adjusted NIS appreciated against the dollar, which raised the dollar cost of our Israeli operations. We cannot predict whether the NIS will appreciate against the dollar or vice versa in the future. Any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel, unless the increase is offset on a timely basis by a devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar, will increase labor and other costs, which will increase the dollar cost of our operations in Israel and harm our results of operations.

We may be subject to significant product liability claims and litigation which could adversely affect our future earnings and financial condition.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks inherent in the testing, processing and marketing of stem cell therapy products. Specifically, the conduct of clinical trials in humans involves the potential risk that the use of our stem cell therapy products will result in adverse effects. Such liability claims may be expensive to defend and result in large judgments against us. We currently maintain liability insurance for our clinical trials; however such liability insurance may not be adequate to fully cover any liabilities that arise from clinical trials of our stem cell therapy products. We also maintain errors and omissions, directors and officers, workers' compensation and other insurance appropriate to our business activities. If we were to be subject to a claim in excess of this coverage or to a claim not covered by our insurance and the claim succeeded, we would be required to pay the claim from our own limited resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and business. Additionally, liability or alleged liability could harm our business by diverting the attention and resources of our management and damaging our reputation and that of our subsidiaries.

Political, economic and military instability in Israel may impede our ability to execute our plan of operations.

Our principal operations and the research and development facilities of the scientific team funded by us under the Original Ramot Agreement are located in Israel. Accordingly, political, economic and military conditions in Israel may affect our business. Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, a number of armed conflicts have occurred between Israel and its Arab neighbors. Acts of random terrorism periodically occur which could affect our operations or personnel. Ongoing or revived hostilities or other factors related to Israel could harm our operations and research and development process and could impede our ability to execute our plan of operations.

In addition, Israeli-based companies and companies doing business with Israel have been the subject of an economic boycott by members of the Arab League and certain other predominantly Muslim countries since Israel's establishment. Although Israel has entered into various agreements with certain Arab countries and the Palestinian Authority, and various declarations have been signed in connection with efforts to resolve some of the economic and political problems in the Middle East, we cannot predict whether or in what manner these problems will be resolved. Wars and acts of terrorism have resulted in damage to the Israeli economy, including reducing the level of foreign and local investment.

Furthermore, certain of our officers and employees may be obligated to perform annual reserve duty in the Israel Defense Forces and are subject to being called up for active military duty at any time. Israeli citizens who have served in the army may be subject to an obligation to perform reserve duty until they are between 40 and 49 years old, depending upon the nature of their military service.

Risks related to our common stock

The price of our stock is expected to be volatile.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated significantly, and is likely to continue to be highly volatile. To date, the trading volume in our stock has been relatively low and significant price fluctuations can occur as a result. An active public market for our common stock may not continue to develop or be sustained. If the low trading volumes experienced to date continue, such price fluctuations could occur in the future and the sale price of our common stock could decline significantly. Investors may therefore have difficulty selling their shares.

There is no guarantee that our shares will be listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

We have applied for listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market. Such listing, however, is not guaranteed. If the application is not approved, our common stock will continue to be traded on the OTCQB Bulletin Board subject to continued compliance with the OTCQB Bulletin Board's requirements for continued quotation. Even if such listing is approved, we may not be able to meet the requirements for continued listing, and there may not be any broker interested in making a market for our stock. Therefore, it may be difficult to sell your shares of common stock if you desire or need to sell them. It is possible that an active and liquid trading market in our securities may never develop or, if one does develop, there is no assurance that the market will continue. Approval of our listing application by The NASDAQ Stock Market is not a condition of this offering.

Your percentage ownership will be diluted by future issuances of our securities.

In order to meet our financing needs, we may issue additional significant amounts of our common stock and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The precise terms of any future financings will be determined by us and potential investors and such future financings may also significantly dilute your percentage ownership in the Company.

ACCBT Corp. holds equity participation rights and other rights that could affect our ability to raise funds.

Pursuant to the subscription agreement with ACCBT Corp., a company under the control of Mr. Chaim Lebovits, our President, we granted ACCBT Corp. the right to acquire additional shares of our common stock whenever we issue additional shares of common stock or other securities of the Company, or options or rights to purchase shares of the Company or other securities directly or indirectly convertible into or exercisable for shares of the Company (including shares of any newly created class or series). This participation right could limit our ability to enter into equity financings and to raise funds from third parties. ACCBT Corp. is entitled to purchase its pro rata share of any additional securities we offer, so that its percentage ownership of the Company remains the same after any such issuance of additional securities. Such additional securities will be offered to ACCBT Corp. at the same price and on the same terms as the other investors in the transaction. ACCBT Corp. will have 30 days from the date of our notice to ACCBT Corp. of any intended transaction, to decide whether it wishes to exercise its participation rights in the transaction. We also are prohibited from taking certain corporate actions without the consent of ACCBT Corp., including issuing shares, acquiring or divesting assets and making payment of cash compensation over \$60,000 per year. Further, ACCBT Corp. also has the right to appoint a majority of our Board of Directors. In connection with the subscription agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement with ACCBT Corp. pursuant to which we granted piggyback registration rights to ACCBT Corp. In addition, we issued ACCBT warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of common stock, of which 30,250,000 warrants are presently outstanding. The outstanding warrants contain full-ratchet anti-dilution provisions and cashless exercise provisions, which permit the cashless exercise of up to 50% of the underlying shares of common stock, and 10,083,333 of such Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 and the remainder have an exercise price of \$0.29. We expect ACCBT to waive its participation rights, registration rights and anti-dilution rights with respect to issuances that were made prior to the date hereof and with regard to this offering.

You may experience difficulties in attempting to enforce liabilities based upon U.S. federal securities laws against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers.

Our principal operations are located through our subsidiary in Israel and our principal assets are located outside the U.S. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and some of our directors are foreign citizens and do not reside in the U.S. It may be difficult for courts in the U.S. to obtain jurisdiction over our foreign assets or these persons and as a result, it may be difficult or impossible for you to enforce judgments rendered against us or our directors or executive officers in U.S. courts. Thus, should any situation arise in the future in which you have a cause of action against these persons or entities, you are at greater risk in investing in our Company rather than a domestic company because of greater potential difficulties in bringing lawsuits or, if successful, collecting judgments against these persons or entities as opposed to domestic persons or entities.

The trading price of our common stock entails additional regulatory requirements, which may negatively affect such trading price.

Our common stock is currently listed on the OTC Markets Group, an over-the-counter electronic quotation service. We anticipate the trading price of our common stock may continue to be below \$5.00 per share. As a result of this price level, trading in our common stock would be subject to the requirements of certain “penny stock” rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These rules require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connection with any trades generally involving any equity security not listed on either a securities exchange or NASDAQ that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Such rules require the delivery, before any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated therewith, and impose various sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally institutions). For these types of transactions, the broker-dealer must determine the suitability of the penny stock for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written consent to the transaction before sale. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in our common stock. As a consequence, the market liquidity of our common stock could be severely affected or limited by these regulatory requirements.

A large number of shares issued in this offering may be sold in the market following this offering, which may depress the market price of our common stock.

A large number of shares issued in this offering may be sold in the market following this offering, which may depress the market price of our common stock. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market following this offering could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. If there are more shares of common stock offered for sale than buyers are willing to purchase, then the market price of our common stock may decline to a market price at which buyers are willing to purchase the offered shares of common stock and sellers remain willing to sell the shares. All of the securities issued in the offering will be freely tradable without restriction

or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”).

If we fail to implement and maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may be unable to accurately report our results of operations or prevent fraud, and investor confidence and the market price of our Common Stock may be materially and adversely affected.

As a public company in the United States, we are subject to the reporting obligations under the U.S. securities laws. The Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has adopted rules requiring every public company to include a report of management on the effectiveness of such company's internal control over financial reporting in its annual report. Our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, provided that our management has performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting for the periods covered by Forms 10-K and 10-Q, and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2012 as a result of the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. The material weaknesses identified in our internal control over financial reporting are related to both the inadequate supervisory review structure and insufficient personnel with appropriate levels of accounting knowledge and experience to address the high volume of U.S. GAAP accounting issues and to prepare and review financial statements and related disclosures under U.S. GAAP. In response to the material weaknesses described above, we plan to develop and take several measures designed to remediate the material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. The measures we intend to take in the future may not be sufficient to remediate the material weaknesses noted by our management and our independent registered public accounting firm and to avoid potential future material weaknesses. We may require more resources and incur more costs than currently expected to remediate our identified material weaknesses or any additional significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that may be identified, which may adversely affect our results of operations. If either of the material weaknesses is not remedied or recurs, or if we identify additional weaknesses or fail to timely and successfully implement new or improved controls, our ability to assure timely and accurate financial reporting may be adversely affected, and we could suffer a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which in turn could negatively impact the trading price of our shares of common stock, result in lawsuits being filed against us by our shareholders, or otherwise harm our reputation. In addition, our auditor is not required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting due to our status of qualifying as a small reporting company. As a result, current and potential investors could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could harm our business and have an adverse effect on our share price.

Delaware law could discourage a change in control, or an acquisition of us by a third party, even if the acquisition would be favorable to you, and thereby adversely affect existing stockholders.

The Delaware General Corporation Law contain provisions that may have the effect of making more difficult or delaying attempts by others to obtain control of our Company, even when these attempts may be in the best interests of stockholders. Delaware law imposes conditions on certain business combination transactions with "interested stockholders." These provisions and others that could be adopted in the future could deter unsolicited takeovers or delay or prevent changes in our control or management, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices. These provisions may also limit the ability of stockholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests.

We do not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, and accordingly you must rely on stock appreciation for any return on your investment.

We have paid no cash dividends on our common stock to date, and we currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the continued development and growth of our business. As a result, we do not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Further, any payment of cash dividends will also depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors, including contractual restrictions to which we may be subject, and will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

We may use these proceeds in ways with which you may not agree.

We have considerable discretion in the application of the proceeds of this offering. You will not have the opportunity, as part of your investment decision, to assess whether the proceeds are being used in a manner agreeable to you. You must rely on our judgment regarding the application of the net proceeds of this offering. The net proceeds may be used for corporate purposes that do not improve our profitability or increase the price of our shares. The net proceeds may also be placed in investments that do not produce income or that lose value.

DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains or incorporates forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements are management's beliefs and assumptions. In addition, other written or oral statements that constitute forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about the industry and markets in which we operate and statements may be made by or on our behalf. Words such as "should," "could," "may," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "believe," "seek," "estimate," variations of such words and expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. There are a number of important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements.

We describe material risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could affect our business, including our financial condition and results of operations, under "Risk Factors" and may update our descriptions of such risks, uncertainties and assumptions in any prospectus supplement. We base our forward-looking statements on our management's beliefs and assumptions based on information available to our management at the time the statements are made. We caution you that actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed, implied or forecast by our forward-looking statements. Accordingly, you should be careful about relying on any forward-looking statements. Forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about:

- Statements as to the anticipated timing of clinical studies and other business developments;

- Statements as to the development of new products;

- Our expectations regarding federal, state and foreign regulatory requirements;

- Our expectations regarding grants from federal resources; and

Statements regarding growth strategies, financial results, product development, competitive strengths, intellectual property rights, litigation, mergers and acquisitions, market acceptance or continued acceptance of our products, accounting estimates, financing activities and ongoing contractual obligations.

Except as required under the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements after the distribution of this prospectus, whether as a result of new information, future events, changes in assumptions, or otherwise.

EXCHANGE RATE INFORMATION

In this prospectus, references to “\$” are to U.S. dollars, and references to “NIS” are to New Israeli Shekels. The exchange rate between the NIS and the U.S. dollar used in this prospectus varies depending on the date and context of the information contained herein.

The following table sets forth for each period indicated: (1) the low and high exchange rates during such period; (2) the exchange rates in effect at the end of the period; and (3) the average exchange rates for such period, for one U.S. dollar, expressed in NIS, as quoted by the Bank of Israel. The average exchange rate is calculated on the last business day of each month for the applicable period.

	Year ended December 31,			
	2009	2010	2011	2012
Low	3.690	3.549	3.363	3.700
High	4.256	3.894	3.821	4.084
Period End	3.775	3.549	3.821	3.733
Average	3.933	3.733	3.578	3.858

As of February 7, 2013, the daily representative rate of exchange between the NIS and the U.S. dollar as published by the Bank of Israel was NIS 3.6870 to \$1.00.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that we will receive up to \$[] in net proceeds from the sale of the securities in this offering, based on a per share purchase price of \$[] and after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We will use the proceeds from the sale of the securities for initiation of clinical trials in the United States, research and development, working capital needs, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes.

If a warrant holder exercises his warrants, we will also receive proceeds from the exercise of warrants. We cannot predict when, or if, the warrants will be exercised. It is possible that the warrants may expire and may never be exercised.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to finance the growth and development of our business. Therefore, we do not anticipate that we will declare or pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, restrictions under any existing indebtedness and other factors the Board of Directors deems relevant.

DILUTION

Dilution represents the difference between the offering price and the net tangible book value per share immediately after completion of this offering. Net tangible book value is the amount that results from subtracting total liabilities and intangible assets from total assets. Dilution of the value of the shares you purchase is a result of the lower book value of the shares held by our existing stockholders.

At December 31, 2012, the net tangible book value of our shares of common stock was \$4,204,000 or approximately \$0.03 per share based upon 150,085,035 shares outstanding. After giving effect to our sale of [_____] shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$[_____] per share, and after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses, our pro forma net tangible book value as of _____, 2013 would have been \$[_____] or \$[_____] per share. This represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$[_____] per share to existing stockholders and an immediate dilution in net tangible book value of \$[_____] per share to purchasers of securities in this offering, as illustrated in the following table:

Assumed public offering price per share	\$
Pro forma net tangible book value per share as of _____, 2013	\$
Increase per share attributable to new investors	
Pro forma as adjusted net tangible book value per share after this offering	
Dilution per share to new investors in this offering	\$

The above discussion does not include the following:

10,525,103 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our equity incentive plans as of December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, there were 4,751,665 options outstanding under such plans with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.180 per share;

63,173,117 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants as of December 31, 2012, with exercise prices ranging from \$0.00005 per share to \$1.00 per share;

[_____] shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants at an exercise price of \$[_____] per share sold as part of this offering.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

As of the date of this prospectus, we have not entered into any arrangements with any underwriter, broker-dealer or selling agent for the sale of the securities. We intend to engage one or more underwriters, broker-dealers or selling agents to sell the securities. We intend to compensate underwriters, broker-dealers or selling agents that sell securities in this offering with a cash commission to be agreed upon between us and any underwriters which we shall disclose prior to effectiveness. The offering will be presented by us primarily through mail, telephone, electronic transmission and direct meetings in those states in which we have registered the securities.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

The descriptions of the securities contained in this prospectus summarizes all the material terms and provisions of the various types of securities that we may offer.

Common stock

We are authorized to issue 800,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.00005 par value. As of December 31, 2012, there were 150,085,035 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding, held by approximately 65 record holders.

The holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by stockholders, including the election of directors. The holders of common stock do not have any cumulative voting, conversion, redemption or preemptive rights. The holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably dividends as may be declared from time to time by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. In the event of our liquidation, dissolution, or winding up, the holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in our assets available for distribution to such holders. All issued and outstanding shares of common stock are fully paid and non-assessable.

Anti-Takeover Provisions of Delaware Law

We are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a publicly-held Delaware corporation from engaging in a “business combination,” except under certain circumstances, with an “interested stockholder” for a period of three years following the date such person became an “interested stockholder” unless:

before such person became an interested stockholder, the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction that resulted in the interested stockholder becoming an interested stockholder;

upon the consummation of the transaction that resulted in the interested stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced, excluding shares held by directors who also are officers of the corporation and shares held by employee stock plans; or

at or following the time such person became an interested stockholder, the business combination is approved by the board of directors of the corporation and authorized at a meeting of stockholders by the affirmative vote of the holders of 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation which is not owned by the interested stockholder.

The term “interested stockholder” generally is defined as a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or, within the three years prior to the determination of interested stockholder status, owned, 15% or more of a corporation’s outstanding voting stock. The term “business combination” includes mergers, asset or stock sales and other similar transactions resulting in a financial benefit to an interested stockholder. Section 203 makes it more difficult for an “interested stockholder” to effect various business combinations with a corporation for a three-year period. The existence of this provision would be expected to have an anti-takeover effect with respect to transactions not approved in advance by our board of directors, including discouraging attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares of common stock held by stockholders.

Warrants

In connection with this offering, we will issue [] warrant for each share of common stock purchased or issued. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$[_____] per share. After the expiration of the [] exercise period, warrant holders will have no further rights to exercise such warrants.

The warrants may be exercised only for full shares of common stock. We will not issue fractional shares of common stock or cash in lieu of fractional shares of common stock. Warrant holders do not have any voting or other rights as a stockholder of our Company. The exercise price and the number of shares of common stock purchasable upon the exercise of each warrant are subject to adjustment upon the happening of certain events, such as stock dividends, distributions, and splits.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company LLC.

OTC Bulletin Board Listing

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTCQB operated by the OTC Markets Group (“OTCQB”) under the trading symbol “BCLI.”

NASDAQ Capital Market Listing

We have applied to list our common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the trading symbol “BCLI.” Listing will be subject to our fulfilling the initial listing requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market. We cannot assure you that our common stock will be listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market.

OUR BUSINESS

Company Overview

We are a biotechnology company developing innovative adult stem cell therapies for highly debilitating neurodegenerative disorders such as ALS, MS, and PD. These devastating diseases have limited treatment options and as such represent highly unmet medical needs.

NurOwn, our proprietary process for the propagation of MSC and their differentiation into NTF secreting cells (MSC-NTF), and their transplantation at, or near, the site of damage, offers the hope of overcoming neurodegenerative diseases.

Our approach is considered safe based on our use of autologous cells, which are free of the risk of rejection and tumor formation. It is also free of the controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Our core technology was developed in collaboration with prominent neurologist Prof. Eldad Melamed, former head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, and expert cell biologist Prof. Daniel Offen of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

Our Israeli Subsidiary holds rights to commercialize the technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot, the technology transfer company of Tel Aviv University, Israel.

On February 17, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into the Clinical Trial Agreement with Hadassah. Under the Clinical Trial Agreement, Hadassah and our personnel agreed to conduct a clinical trial to evaluate the safety and tolerability of our NurOwn cells in patients with ALS, in accordance with a protocol developed jointly by us and Hadassah.

In February 2011, the FDA granted Orphan Drug designation to NurOwn, our autologous adult stem cell product candidate for the treatment of ALS.

In June 2011, we initiated a Phase I/II clinical trial for the treatment of ALS with NurOwn at HUMC, after receiving approval from the Israeli MoH.

In July 2011, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Massachusetts General Hospital and the University of Massachusetts Medical School in anticipation of applying for FDA approval to begin ALS human clinical trials in the United States. Pending submission of an IND application to the FDA and subsequent approval, we are planning to launch a Phase II clinical trial at these institutions in mid-2013.

In July 2012, we submitted an interim safety report to the MoH for the first 12 of 24 patients in the Phase I/II clinical trial. The report confirmed that our NurOwn therapy is safe, did not cause any adverse side effects, and some of the patients showed promising indications of clinical improvement.

In January 2013, the MoH approved acceleration to a Phase IIa combined treatment, dose-escalating trial, which we are currently conducting at HUMC. In this safety and preliminary efficacy trial, 12 early-stage ALS patients will receive both intramuscular and intrathecal injections of NurOwn cells in three cohorts with increasing doses. The patients will be followed for three to six months after transplantation.

In January 2013, we also announced that we had successfully completed a 12-week repeat dose toxicity study with our NurOwn cells in mice. These repeat doses were prepared from frozen cells, using a proprietary method recently developed by the Company. Our cryopreservation, or freezing, method will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that the positive data from the toxicity study in mice will support our efforts to obtain approval for a future repeat dose clinical study in ALS patients. The study was conducted at Harlan Israel's laboratories, according to GLP standards of the FDA. The study protocol was approved by the Israeli MoH.

Our Approach

Our NurOwn technology is based on a novel differentiation protocol which reprograms the bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells into neuron-supporting cells, MSC-NTF cells, capable of releasing several neurotrophic factors, including GDNF and BDNF.

Our approach to treatment of neurodegenerative diseases with autologous adult stem cells includes a multi-step process beginning with harvesting of undifferentiated stem cells from the patient's own bone marrow, and concluding with transplantation of differentiated, neurotrophic factor-secreting mesenchymal stem cells (MSC-NTF) into the same patient – intrathecally and/or intramuscularly.

Our proprietary, optimized processes for induction of differentiation of human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells into differentiated cells that produce NTF (MSC-NTF) are conducted in full cGMP compliance.

The NurOwn Transplantation Process:

- § Bone marrow aspiration from patient;
- § Isolation and expansion of the mesenchymal stem cells;
- § Differentiation of the expanded stem cells into neurotrophic-factor secreting (MSC-NTF) cells;
- § Autologous transplantation into the patient's spinal cord or muscle tissue.

This approach is based on pre-clinical data documented by our research team, led by Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen.

Differentiation before Transplantation:

The ability to induce differentiation of autologous adult mesenchymal stem cells into MSC-NTF cells *before* transplantation is unique to NurOwn, making it the first-of-its-kind for treating neurodegenerative diseases.

The specialized cells secrete neurotrophic factors for:

- § Protection of existing motor neurons,
- § Promotion of motor neuron growth, and
- § Re-establishment of nerve-muscle interaction.

Autologous (“Self-transplantation”)

The NurOwn approach is autologous, or self-transplanted, using the patient’s own stem cells. It is considered safe, with no risk of rejection and no need for treatment with immunosuppressive agents, which can cause severe and/or long-term side effects. In addition, it is free of controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Transplantation site and method

Intrathecal transplantation consists of injection with a standard lumbar puncture; there is no need for a laminectomy - an invasive, orthopedic spine operation to remove a portion of the vertebral bone, as required by other technologies. Intramuscular transplantation is performed via a standard injection procedure as well.

Clinical Indication I: ALS (current) – Based on a fast-track approval of the MoH, we are currently conducting a Phase IIa dose-escalating trial to evaluate safety and preliminary efficacy of NurOwn in ALS patients. Pending submission of an IND application to the FDA and subsequent approval, we are planning to launch a Phase II clinical trial in the USA in mid-2013. Following the successful completion of these, we hope to progress to repeat dosing and Phase III trials.

Clinical Indication II: MS (future) – Based on positive proof-of-concept results obtained at Tel Aviv University with MSC-NTF cells for MS, we are currently conducting pre-clinical studies for this disease. Based on a positive outcome for these studies, we hope to progress to a Phase I safety trial in humans.

History

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Washington on September 22, 2000, under the name Wizbang Technologies, Inc. and acquired the right to market and sell a digital data recorder product line in certain states in the U.S. Subsequently, the Company changed its name to Golden Hand Resources Inc. On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into the licensing agreement with Ramot to acquire certain stem cell technology and decided to discontinue all activities related to the sales of the digital data recorder product. In November 2004, the Company changed its name from Golden Hand Resources Inc. to Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to better reflect its new line of business in development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. On October 25, 2004, the Company formed its wholly-owned subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. in Israel. On December 18, 2006, the stockholders of the Company approved a proposal to change the state of incorporation of the Company from the State of Washington to the State of Delaware. The reincorporation was completed on December 21, 2006 through the merger of the Company into a newly formed, wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary of Brainstorm, also named Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.

Other Recent Developments

Public Offering

On July 17, 2012, we raised approximately \$5.7 million through a public offering (“Public Offering”) of our common stock. We issued a total of 19,818,968 shares of our common stock at \$0.29 per share and 14,864,228 warrants to purchase 0.75 shares of common stock for every share purchased in the Public Offering, at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The warrants are exercisable until the 30 month anniversary of the date of issuance. After deducting closing costs and fees, we received net proceeds of approximately \$5 million.

MS Pre-Clinical Trials

Based on positive proof-of-concept results obtained at Tel Aviv University with MSC-NTF cells for MS, we are currently conducting pre-clinical studies for this disease.

Governmental Grants

In September 2011, we received notice from the Israeli Office of the Chief Scientist (“OCS”) of its commitment to grant the Company approximately \$1.1 million in accordance with OCS guidelines. As of February 5, 2013, approximately \$450,000 has been received. We are obligated to pay royalties to the OCS, amounting to 3% to 3.5% of revenues derived from sales of the products funded with the OCS grant, up to an amount equal to 100% of the grant received.

In December 2012, the OCS awarded us a 3 million NIS (approximately U.S. \$786,000) grant for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.

Collaboration with Octane Biotech

In December 2012, we signed an agreement with Octane Biotech of Kingston, Ontario, to jointly develop a proprietary bioreactor for production of its NurOwn cell therapy candidate. The customized bioreactor will enable us to optimize our NurOwn production process, significantly increasing our production capabilities by using a single clean room for multiple patients, reducing costs and time. The 3-year collaborative project with a total budget of 1,365,000 Canadian dollars, is being supported by the Canada Israel Industrial Research and Development Foundation. The Israeli OCS has confirmed its participation of 530,000 NIS (approximately U.S. \$141,000) for the first year, which comprises 50% of the Company's budget of 1,060,000 NIS (approximately U.S. \$282,000) for that period. The collaborative project is currently underway.

Development of Cryopreservation Method

In our fourth quarter of 2012, we announced the development of a proprietary method for cryopreservation, or freezing, of cells, which will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that cryopreservation will enable us to create a personalized NurOwn stem cell bank for each patient, for ongoing, repeat treatments.

Our efforts are currently directed at:

- § Conducting a Phase IIa dose-escalating clinical trial with 12 ALS patients in Israel;
- § Submitting an IND to the FDA;
- § Initiating a Phase II ALS clinical trial in the United States;
- § Collaborating with Octane Biotech on development of a customized NurOwn bioreactor; and
- § Completing pre-clinical studies on MS.

Stem Cell Therapy

Our activities are within the stem cell therapy field. Stem cells are non-specialized cells with a potential for both self-renewal and differentiation into cell types with a specialized function, such as muscle, blood or brain cells. The cells have the ability to undergo asymmetric division such that one of the two daughter cells retains the properties of the stem cell, while the other begins to differentiate into a more specialized cell type. Stem cells are therefore central to normal human growth and development, and also are a potential source of new cells for the regeneration of diseased and damaged tissue. Stem cell therapy aims to restore diseased tissue function by the replacement and/or addition of healthy cells by stem cell transplants.

Currently, two principal platforms for cell therapy products are being explored: (i) embryonic stem cells (“ESC”), isolated from the inner mass of a few days old embryo; and (ii) adult stem cells, sourced from bone marrow, cord blood and various organs. Although ESCs are the easiest to grow and differentiate, their use in human therapy is limited by safety concerns associated with their tendency to develop Teratomas (a form of tumor) and their potential to elicit an immune reaction. In addition, ESC has generated much political and ethical debate due to their origin in early human embryos.

Cell therapy using adult stem cells does not suffer from the same concerns. Bone marrow is the tissue where differentiation of stem cells into blood cells (haematopoiesis) occurs. In addition, it harbors stem cells capable of differentiation into mesenchymal (muscle, bone, fat and other) tissues. Such mesenchymal stem cells have also been shown capable of differentiating into nerve, skin and other cells. In fact, bone marrow transplants have been safely and successfully performed for many years, primarily for treating leukemia, immune deficiency diseases, severe blood cell diseases, lymphoma and multiple myeloma. Moreover, bone marrow may be obtained through a simple procedure of aspiration, from the patient himself, enabling autologous cell therapy, thus obviating the need for donor matching, circumventing immune rejection and other immunological mismatch risks, as well as avoiding the need for immunosuppressive therapy. We believe bone marrow, in particular autologous bone marrow, capable of *in-vitro* growth and multipotential differentiation, presents a preferable source of therapeutic stem cells.

Neurodegenerative Diseases

Studies of neurodegenerative diseases suggest that symptoms that arise in afflicted individuals are secondary to defects in neuron cell function and neural circuitry and, to date, cannot be treated effectively with systemic drug delivery. Consequently, alternative approaches for treating neurodegenerative diseases have been attempted, such as transplantation of cells capable of replacing or supplementing the function of damaged neurons. For such cell replacement therapy to work, implanted cells must survive and integrate, both functionally and structurally, within the damaged tissue.

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)

ALS, often referred to as “Lou Gehrig's disease,” is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that affects nerve cells in the brain and the spinal cord. Motor neurons reach from the brain to the spinal cord and from the spinal cord to the muscles throughout the body. The progressive degeneration of the motor neurons in ALS eventually leads to death. As motor neurons degenerate, they can no longer send impulses to the muscle fibers that normally result in muscle movement. With voluntary muscle action progressively affected, patients in the later stages of the disease may become completely paralyzed. However, in most cases, mental faculties are not affected.

Approximately 5,600 people in the U.S. are diagnosed with ALS each year. It is estimated that as many as 30,000 Americans and 100,000 people across the western world may have the disease at any given time. Consequently, the total estimated cost of treating ALS patients is approximately \$1.25 billion per year in the U.S. and \$3 billion per year in the western world.

Description

Early symptoms of ALS often include increasing muscle weakness or stiffness, especially involving the arms and legs, speech, swallowing or breathing.

ALS is most often found in the 40 to 70 year age group with the same incidence as MS. There appear to be more MS sufferers because MS patients tend to live much longer, some for 30 years or more. The life expectancy of an ALS patient averages about two to five years from the time of diagnosis. However, up to 10% of ALS patients will survive more than ten years.

Current Treatments

The physician bases medication decisions on the patient's symptoms and the stage of the disease. Some medications used for ALS patients include:

Riluzole - the only medication approved by the FDA to slow the progress of ALS. While it does not reverse ALS, Riluzole has been shown to reduce nerve damage. Riluzole may extend the time before a patient needs a ventilator (a machine to assist breathing) and may prolong the patient's life by several months;

Baclofen or Diazepam - these medications may be used to control muscle spasms, stiffness or tightening (spasticity) that interfere with daily activities; and

Trihexyphenidyl or Amitriptyline - these medications may help patients who have excess saliva or secretions, and emotional changes.

Other medications may be prescribed to help reduce such symptoms as fatigue, pain, sleep disturbances, constipation, and excess saliva and phlegm.

Parkinson's Disease (PD)

Background

PD is a chronic, progressive disorder, affecting certain nerve cells, which reside in the Substantia Nigra of the brain and which produce dopamine, a neurotransmitter that directs and controls movement. In PD, these dopamine-producing nerve cells break down, causing dopamine levels to drop below the threshold levels and resulting in brain signals directing movement to become abnormal. The cause of the disease is unknown.

Over 6.3 million people worldwide suffer from PD, of whom about one million are in the United States. In over 85% of cases, PD occurs in people over the age of 65. Prevalence of PD is increasing in line with the general aging of the population. We believe the markets for pharmaceutical treatments for PD have a combined value of approximately \$3.754 billion per year. However, these costs are dwarfed when compared to the total economic burden of the disease, which has been estimated by the National Institute of Neurological Disease to exceed \$6 billion annually in the U.S. alone, including costs of medical treatment, caring, facilities and other services, as well as loss of productivity of both patients and caregivers.

Description

The classic symptoms of PD are shaking (tremor), stiff muscles (rigidity) and slow movement (Bradykinesia). A person with fully developed PD may also have a stooped posture, a blank stare or fixed facial expression, speech problems and difficulties with balance or walking. Although highly debilitating, the disease is not life threatening and an average patient's life span is approximately 20 years.

Current Treatments

Current drug therapy for PD primarily comprises dopamine replacement, either directly (levodopa), with dopamine mimetics or by inhibition of its breakdown. Thus, the current drugs focus on treating the symptoms of the disease and do not presume to provide a cure.

Levodopa, which remains the standard and most potent PD medication available, has a propensity to cause serious motor response complications with long-term use. Moreover, effective drug dosage often requires gradual increase, leading to more adverse side effects and eventual resistance to their therapeutic action. This greatly limits patient benefit. Therefore, physicians and researchers are continuously seeking levodopa-sparing strategies in patients with early-stage disease to delay the need for levodopa, as well as in patients with late stage disease who no longer respond to therapy.

Prescription drugs to treat PD currently generate sales of over \$3.351 billion worldwide and the market is expected to grow to approximately \$3.754 billion by 2015, driven by the increase in size of the elderly population and the introduction of new PD therapies that carry a higher price tag than the generic levodopa.

Another method for treating PD is Deep Brain Stimulation ("DBS"), which consists of transplanting electrodes deep into the brain to provide permanent electrical stimulation to specific areas of the brain and to cause a delay in the activity

in those areas. However, DBS is problematic as it often causes uncontrollable and severe side effects such as bleeding in the brain, infection and depression. In addition, like drug therapy, DBS focuses on treating the symptoms of PD and does not provide a cure.

There is a greatly unsatisfied need for novel approaches towards management of PD. These include development of neurotrophic agents for neuroprotection and/or neurorestoration, controlling levodopa-induced adverse side effects, developing compounds targeting nondopaminergic systems (e.g., glutamate antagonists) controlling the motor dysfunction such as gait, freezing, and postural imbalance, treating and delaying the onset of disease-related dementia and providing simplified dosing regimens.

In addition to the symptomatic drug development approaches, there is an intense effort to develop cell and gene therapeutic “curative” approaches to restore the neural function in patients with PD, by (i) replacing the dysfunctional cells with dopamine producing cell transplant, or by (ii) providing growth factors and proteins, such as GDNF, that can maintain or preserve the patient’s remaining dopaminergic cells, protecting them from further degeneration. Preclinical evaluation of cell therapeutic approaches based on transplantation of dopaminergic neurons differentiated *in-vitro* from ESC, have been successful in ameliorating the Parkinsonian behavior of animal models, as has direct gene therapy with vectors harboring the GDNF gene. However, these approaches are limited, in the first case, by the safety and ethical considerations associated with use of ESC, and, in the second case, by the safety risks inherent to gene therapy.

In fact, PD is the first neurodegenerative disease for which cell transplantation has been attempted in humans, first with adrenal medullary cells and, later, with tissue grafts from fetal brains. About 300 such fetal transplants have already been performed and some benefits have been observed, mainly in younger patients. However, this approach is not only impractical but greatly limited by the ethical issues influencing the availability of human fetuses. The above considerations have led to intensive efforts to define and develop appropriate cells from adult stem cells.

Company Business Strategy

Our primary efforts are currently focused on advancing the NurOwn clinical development program, with the goal of obtaining FDA regulatory approval for treatment of ALS patients. The following roadmap describes the clinical trials that we anticipate will be required in order to reach this goal:

- § Phase IIa dose-escalating safety and preliminary efficacy clinical trial in Israel;
- § Phase II ALS safety and preliminary efficacy clinical trial in the United States; and
- § Phase III clinical efficacy trial in the United States.

Given the Orphan Drug Status of NurOwn, we anticipate that the regulatory process will be expedited.

Additional strategic goals of the Company:

- § Development of a customized NurOwn bioreactor for optimization and scale-up of NurOwn production;
- § Development of additional clinical indications, i.e. MS; and
- § Pursuing strategic partnerships with pharmaceutical companies as we progress towards advanced clinical development and commercialization.

Company Business Model

Our commercialization strategy calls for NurOwn to be adopted by medical centers throughout the United States and Europe. Aiming to restrict access to our proprietary technology, we will establish and maintain fully-equipped cGMP certified Cell-Processing Centers in strategic locations to support NurOwn production and distribution over the broadest geographic area. Each Cell-Processing Center would receive an initial tissue sample of the patient, harvested at a medical center. The patient's MSC cells would be isolated and expanded, in order to produce an initial dose of NurOwn cells. A master cell bank for each individual patient would be maintained for production of subsequent, future NurOwn doses on a long-term basis. These doses would be produced as needed and transported to the medical

centers, where they would then be transplanted back into the patient. Revenues will be shared between the partnering medical centers and us on an agreed-upon basis.

We will seek cooperation with a major strategic partner as we progress towards advanced clinical development and commercialization.

We believe there is a substantial market opportunity and cooperation with strategic partners that would facilitate a more rapid and broad market penetration, by leveraging the partner's market credibility and the proven ability to provide service and support across a large and geographically spread target market. Such partners will also have established distribution channels and the ability to gain relatively fast access to the target markets.

Potential strategic partners include major pharmaceutical companies seeking new product opportunities in the neurodegenerative disease area.

We cannot guarantee that we will succeed in finding strategic partners that are willing to enter into collaborations for our potential products at the appropriate stage of development, on economic terms that are attractive to us or at all.

Our business model calls for significant investments in research and development. Our research and development expenditures (i) in 2011 (before participation by the OCS) were \$2,077,000, which included \$316,000 in stock-based compensation and (ii) in 2010 (before participation by the OCS) were \$1,385,000, which included \$325,000 in stock-based compensation.

Intellectual Property

Patents:

We have filed for patents in (1) the United States; (2) Europe; (3) Israel; and (4) Hong Kong, resulting in the following:

In the United States, we co-own, with Ramot, pending patent application no. 12/994,761, filed on November 25, 2010, entitled “Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of CNS Diseases.”

In Europe, we co-own, with Ramot, pending patent application no. 09754337.5, filed on May 26, 2009, entitled “Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of CNS Diseases.”

In Israel, we co-own, with Ramot, pending patent application no. 209604, filed on May 26, 2009, entitled “Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of CNS Diseases.”

In Hong Kong, we co-own, with Ramot, pending patent application no. 11107062.5, filed on May 26, 2009, entitled “Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of CNS Diseases.”

We have also taken a license to several patents and patent applications from Ramot, resulting in the following:

We are a licensee of United States patent application no. 11/130,197, filed May 17, 2005, entitled “Methods, nucleic acid constructs and cells for treating neurodegenerative disorders.”

We are a licensee of European patent application no. 06766101.7, filed on June 18, 2006, entitled “Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS Diseases.”

We are a licensee of European patent application no. 11000994.1, filed on June 18, 2006, entitled "Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS Diseases."

We are a licensee of Hong Kong patent application no. 12112468.4, filed on June 18, 2006, entitled "Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS Diseases."

We are a licensee of United States patent application no. 11/727,583, filed on March 27, 2007, entitled "Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS Diseases."

We are the sole owners of United States Provisional patent application 61/679,822, filed August 6, 2012, entitled "Methods of Generating Mesenchymal Stem Cells Which Secrete Neurotrophic Factors."

Trademarks:

We own a pending United States application to register the trademark NUROWN (application no. 85154891, filed October 18, 2010) for use in connection with "compositions of cells derived from stem cells for medical purposes; stem cells for medical purposes." The application was filed based on an intent-to-use the mark, but has not matured to registration yet.

The patent applications, as well as relevant know-how and research results are licensed from Ramot. We intend to work with Ramot to protect and enhance our mutual intellectual property rights by filing continuations and divisional patent applications. New discoveries arising in the course of research and development within the Company will be patented by us independently.

Research and License Agreement with Ramot

On July 8, 2004, we entered into a Research and License Agreement (the “Original Ramot Agreement”) with Ramot, the technology licensing company of Tel Aviv University, which agreement was amended on March 30, 2006 by the Amended Research and License Agreement (described below). Under the terms of the Original Ramot Agreement, Ramot granted to us a license to (i) the know-how and patent applications on the above-mentioned stem cell technology developed by the team led by Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen, and (ii) the results of further research to be performed by the same team on the development of the stem cell technology. Simultaneously with the execution of the Original Ramot Agreement, we entered into individual consulting agreements with Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen pursuant to which all intellectual property developed by Prof. Melamed or Prof. Offen in the performance of services thereunder will be owned by Ramot and licensed to us under the Original Ramot Agreement.

On March 30, 2006, we entered into an Amended Research and License Agreement (the “Amended Research and License Agreement”) with Ramot. Under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the funding of further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year was reduced to \$380,000 per year. Moreover, under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the initial period of time that we agreed to fund the research was extended from an initial period of two (2) years to an initial period of three (3) years. The Amended Research and License Agreement also extended the additional two-year period in the Original Ramot Agreement to an additional three-year period, if certain research milestones were met. In addition, the Amended Research and License Agreement reduced (i) certain royalties payments from five percent (5%) to three percent (3%) of all net sales in cases of third party royalties and (ii) potential payments concerning sublicenses from 30% to 20-25% of sublicense receipts.

We entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot on July 26, 2007. Like the Original Ramot Agreement, the amended license agreement imposed on us development and commercialization obligations, milestone and royalty payment obligations and other obligations.

In addition, in the event that the “research period”, as defined in the amended license agreement, was extended for an additional three year period in accordance with the terms of the amended license agreement, then we had to make payments to Ramot during the first year of the extended research period in an aggregate amount of \$380,000.

On December 24, 2009, we entered into a Letter Agreement (the “Letter Agreement”) with Ramot, pursuant to which, among other things, Ramot agreed to: (i) release us from our obligation to fund three years of additional research (which would have totaled \$1,140,000); and (ii) accept 1,120,000 shares of our common stock in lieu of \$272,000 in past-due amounts. Pursuant to the Letter Agreement, we agreed, among other things, to: (i) reimburse Ramot for outstanding patent-related expenses; and (ii) abandon our rights in certain patents of Ramot in certain countries.

Through March 2011, Ramot sold the 1,120,000 shares of common stock of the Company for \$235,000 and we paid the remaining \$5,000 due to Ramot. There is no additional liability owed to Ramot.

On December 20, 2011, we entered into an Assignment Agreement with our Israeli Subsidiary (the "Assignment Agreement"). Under the Assignment Agreement, we assigned and transferred all of our rights, interests, titles, liabilities and obligations (the "Rights") under the Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot to our Israeli Subsidiary, effective as of January 1, 2007 and our Israeli Subsidiary agreed to assume all such Rights. We agreed to be a guarantor of all obligations of our Israeli Subsidiary under the Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot and Ramot can look to us to demand compliance with the License Agreement.

Government Regulations and Supervision

Once fully developed, we intend to market our bone marrow derived differentiated neurothrophic-factor secreting cell products, NurOwn, for autologous transplantation in patients by neurosurgeons in medical facilities in the U.S., Europe, Japan and the Pacific Rim. Accordingly, we believe our research and development activities and the manufacturing and marketing of our technology are subject to the laws and regulations of governmental authorities in the United States and other countries in which our technology and products will be marketed. Specifically, in the U.S., the FDA, among other agencies, regulates new biological product approvals ("BLA") to establish safety and efficacy, as well as appropriate production of these products. Governments in other countries have similar requirements for testing and marketing.

As we are currently in the research and development stage of our technology and NurOwn cell product, we have initiated the process of seeking regulatory approval from the FDA. We have retained/recruited expert regulatory consultants and employees to assist us in our approaches to the FDA. In our efforts to obtain regulatory approval, we have had a successful pre-IND meeting with the FDA. We are also engaging a regulatory consultant to assist us with the regulatory authorities in Israel.

In February 2011, the FDA granted Orphan Drug designation to our NurOwn autologous adult stem cell product candidate for the treatment of ALS. Orphan Drug status entitles us to seven years of marketing exclusivity for NurOwn upon regulatory approval, as well as the opportunity to apply for grant funding from the FDA of up to \$400,000 per year for four years to defray costs of clinical trial expenses, tax credits for clinical research expenses and potential exemption from the FDA's application user fee.

In January 2013, the European Medicine Agency ("EMA") Committee for Advanced Therapies, classified NurOwn as an Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product.

In January 2013, we also submitted an application for Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for our NurOwn cell product to the EMA. A reply is expected in May 2013.

Regulatory Process in the United States

Regulatory approval of new biological products is a lengthy procedure leading from development of a new product through pre-clinical animal testing and clinical studies in humans. This process is regulated by the FDA, may take a number of years, and requires the expenditure of significant resources. The Orphan Drug designation we have recently been granted by the FDA will no doubt assist us through the regulatory process. However, there can be no assurance that our technology will ultimately receive regulatory approval. We summarize below our understanding of the regulatory approval requirements that may be applicable to us if we pursue the process of seeking an approval from the FDA.

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other federal statutes and regulations govern or influence the research, testing, manufacture, safety, labeling, storage, record-keeping, approval, distribution, use, reporting, advertising and promotion of our future products. Non-compliance with applicable requirements can result in civil penalties, recall, injunction or seizure of products, refusal of the government to approve or clear product approval applications or to allow us to enter into government supply contracts, withdrawal of previously approved applications and criminal prosecution.

The FDA has developed and is continuously updating the requirements with respect to cell and gene therapy products and has issued documents concerning the regulation of cellular and tissue-based products, as new biological products. In order to file for a BLA, we will be required to develop our stem cell product in accordance with the regulatory guidelines for cell therapy and manufacture the cell products under GMP. GMP, or Good Manufacturing Practice, is a standard set of guidelines for pharmaceutical and bio-pharmaceutical production operations and facilities by the FDA and other health regulatory authorities, which apply caution in allowing any biologically active material to be administered into the human body.

Although there can be no assurance that the FDA will not choose to change its regulations, current regulation proposes that cell products which are manipulated, allogeneic, or as in our case, autologous but intended for a different purpose than the natural source cells (NurOwn are bone marrow derived and are intended for transplantation into the spinal cord, brain or into the muscles) must be regulated through a "tiered approach intended to regulate human cellular and tissue based products only to the extent necessary to protect public health". Thus the FDA requires: (i) preclinical laboratory and animal testing; (ii) submission of an IND exemption which must be in effect prior to the initiation of human clinical studies; (iii) adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product for its intended use; (iv) submission to the FDA of a BLA; and (v) review and approval of the BLA as well as inspections of the manufacturing facility for GMP compliance, prior to commercial marketing of the product.

Generally, in seeking an approval from the FDA for sale of a new medical product, an applicant must submit proof of safety and efficacy. Such proof entails extensive pre-clinical studies in the lab and in animals and, if approved by the agency, in humans. The testing, preparation of necessary applications and processing of those applications by the FDA is expensive and may take several years to complete. There can be no assurance that the FDA will act favorably or in a timely manner in reviewing submitted applications, and an applicant may encounter significant difficulties or costs in its efforts to obtain FDA approvals. This, in turn, could delay or preclude the applicant from marketing any products it may develop. The FDA may also require post-marketing testing and surveillance of approved products, or place other conditions on the approvals. These requirements could cause it to be more difficult or expensive to sell the products, and could therefore restrict the commercial applications of such products. Product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if problems occur following initial marketing. For patented technologies, delays imposed by the governmental approval process may materially reduce the period during which an applicant will have the exclusive right to exploit such technologies.

In order to conduct clinical trials of the proposed product, the manufacturer or distributor of the product will have to file an IND submission with the FDA for its approval to commence human clinical trials. The submission must be supported by data, typically including the results of pre-clinical and laboratory testing. Following submission of the IND, the FDA has 30 days to review the application and raise safety and other clinical trial issues. If an applicant is not notified of objections within that period, clinical trials may be initiated at a specified number of investigational sites with the number of patients, as applied. Clinical trials which are to be conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice (“GCP”) guidelines are typically conducted in three sequential phases. Phase I represents the initial administration of the drug or biologic to a small group of humans, either healthy volunteers or patients, to test for safety and other relevant factors. Phase II involves studies in a small number of patients to explore the efficacy of the product, to ascertain dose tolerance and the optimal dose range and to gather additional data relating to safety and potential adverse effects. Once an investigational drug is found to have some efficacy and an acceptable safety profile in the targeted patient population, multi-center Phase III studies are initiated to establish safety and efficacy in an expanded patient population and multiple clinical study sites. The FDA reviews both the clinical plans and the results of the trials and may request an applicant to discontinue the trials at any time if there are significant safety issues.

In addition, the manufacturer of our cell therapy product, whether it is performed in-house or by a contract manufacturer, should be registered as a biologic product manufacturer with the FDA product approval process. The FDA may inspect the production facilities on a routine basis for compliance with the GMP and Good Tissue Practice (“GTP”) guidelines for cell therapy products. The regulations of the FDA require that we, and/or any contract manufacturer, design, manufacture and service products and maintain documents in the prescribed manner with respect to manufacturing, testing, distribution, storage, design control and service activities. The FDA may prohibit a company from promoting an approved product for unapproved applications and reviews product labeling for accuracy.

Compliance with Environmental, Health and Safety Laws

In addition to FDA regulations, we are also subject to evolving federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. In the past, compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations has

not had a material effect on our capital expenditures. We believe that we comply in all material respects with existing environmental, health and safety laws and regulations applicable to us. Compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in the future may require additional capital expenditures.

Competition

We face significant competition in our efforts to develop our products and services, including: (i) cell therapies competing with NurOwn and its applications and (ii) other treatments or procedures to cure or slow the effects of ALS, PD and other neurodegenerative diseases. There are a number of companies developing cell therapies for ALS, among them are companies that are involved in the controversial fetal cell transplant or ESC-derived cell therapy, as well as companies developing adult stem cells. Other companies are developing traditional chemical compounds, new biological drugs, cloned human proteins and other treatments, which are likely to impact the markets, which we intend to target. We believe that as an autologous bone marrow derived product that has shown proof of concept *in-vitro* and in animal studies, as well as clinical safety and possible indications of clinical benefit in a Phase I/II clinical trial in 12 ALS patients, NurOwn has a first mover advantage in the adult stem cell space and such space has competitive advantages over the fetal cell or ESC-derived cell space as it has a long safety record and does not have the same ethical limitations.

Employees

We currently have 17 scientific and administrative employees, 15 of whom are full-time. None of our employees is represented by a labor union and we believe that we have good relationships with our employees.

PROPERTIES

Our executive offices are located in premises at 605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10158.

On December 1, 2004, our Israeli subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd., entered into a lease agreement for the lease of premises in 12 Basel Street, Petach Tikva, Israel, which include approximately 600 square meters of office and laboratory space. The original term of the lease was 36 months, commencing on April 1, 2005, with two options to extend: one for an additional 24 months (the "First Option"); and one for an additional 36 months (the "Second Option"). We are currently in the Second Option period, which will expire on March 31, 2013, and rent is paid on a quarterly basis in the amount of NIS 32,200 (approximately U.S. \$8,600) per month.

We expanded our Petach Tikva facility in 2008 to include an animal research facility.

On November 11, 2012, the Israeli Subsidiary extended the lease agreement by five more years, through March 31, 2018. After three years, we will have the right to cancel the agreement with 6 months' notice. The monthly rent will increase by 5% in April 2013.

As part of the clinical trials with Hadassah, we pay \$67,000 per month for rental and operation of two clean room facilities at Hadassah facilities in Jerusalem.

We believe that the current office and laboratory space is adequate to meet our needs or will be available in the U.S. to meet the needs of U.S. clinical trials.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On April 17, 2008, Chapman, Spira & Carson, LLC (“CSC”) filed a breach of contract complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York (the “Court”) against the Company. The complaint alleged that the Company improperly terminated its contract with CSC. The complaint sought, among other things, the following relief: (i) 400,000 shares of the common stock of the Company and (ii) warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.30 per share. Further, the complaint alleged that CSC performed its obligations under the contract and suffered compensatory damages in an amount up to approximately \$672,500.

On October 24, 2012, the Company and CSC reached an understanding according to which the Company will pay CSC \$125,000 in full satisfaction of CSC’s claims against the Company.

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of operations in the normal course of business, which we consider routine and incidental to our business. We currently are not a party to any legal proceedings other than as described above, the adverse outcome of which, in management’s opinion, would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operation or financial condition.

MARKET FOR OUR COMMON EQUITY*Market Information*

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTCQB under the symbol “BCLI”. The following table contains information about the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock based upon reports of transactions on the OTCQB.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
December 31, 2012	\$0.27	\$0.17
September 30, 2012	\$0.38	\$0.21
June 30, 2012	\$0.30	\$0.21
March 31, 2012	\$0.34	\$0.20
December 31, 2011	\$0.40	\$0.20
September 30, 2011	\$0.56	\$0.27
June 30, 2011	\$0.60	\$0.25
March 31, 2011	\$0.43	\$0.18

The source of these high and low prices was the OTCQB. These quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commissions and may not represent actual transactions. The high and low prices listed have been rounded up to the next highest two decimal places.

On February 7, 2013, the closing bid price of our common stock as reported by the OTCQB was \$0.24 per share.

Trades in our common stock may be subject to Rule 15c-9 of the Exchange Act, which imposes requirements on broker/dealers who sell securities subject to the rule to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. For transactions covered by the rule, broker/dealers must make a special suitability determination for purchasers of the securities and receive the purchaser’s written agreement to the transaction before the sale.

The Securities and Exchange Commission also has rules that regulate broker/dealer practices in connection with transactions in “penny stocks.” Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 (other than securities listed on certain national exchanges, provided that the current price and volume information with respect to transactions in that security is provided by the applicable exchange or system). The penny stock rules require a broker/dealer, before effecting a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a

standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Securities and Exchange Commission that provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker/dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker/dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker/dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing before effecting the transaction, and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for shares of common stock of the Company. As a result of these rules, investors may find it difficult to sell their shares.

Dividends

We have not paid or declared any cash or other dividends on our common stock within the last two years. Any future determination as to the payment of dividends will depend upon our results of operations, and on our capital requirements, financial condition and other factors relevant at the time. See "Dividend Policy."

Record Holders

As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 65 holders of record of our common stock.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Company Overview

We are a biotechnology company developing innovative adult stem cell therapies for highly debilitating neurodegenerative disorders such as ALS, MS, and PD. These devastating diseases have limited treatment options and as such represent highly unmet medical needs.

NurOwn, our proprietary process for the propagation of MSC and their differentiation into NTF secreting cells (MSC-NTF), and their transplantation at, or near, the site of damage, offers the hope of overcoming neurodegenerative diseases.

Our approach is considered safe based on our use of autologous cells, which are free of the risk of rejection and tumor formation. It is also free of the controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Our core technology was developed in collaboration with prominent neurologist Prof. Eldad Melamed, former head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, and expert cell biologist Prof. Daniel Offen of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

Our Israeli Subsidiary holds rights to commercialize the technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot, the technology transfer company of Tel Aviv University, Israel.

On February 17, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into the Clinical Trial Agreement with Hadassah. Under the Clinical Trial Agreement, Hadassah and our personnel agreed to conduct a clinical trial to evaluate the safety and tolerability of our NurOwn cells in patients with ALS, in accordance with a protocol developed jointly by us and Hadassah.

In February 2011, the FDA granted Orphan Drug designation to NurOwn, our autologous adult stem cell product candidate for the treatment of ALS.

In June 2011, we initiated a Phase I/II clinical trial for the treatment of ALS with NurOwn at HUMC, after receiving approval from the Israeli MoH.

In July 2011, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Massachusetts General Hospital and the University of Massachusetts Medical School in anticipation of applying for FDA approval to begin ALS human clinical trials in the United States. Pending submission of an IND application to the FDA and subsequent approval, we are planning to launch a Phase II clinical trial at these institutions in mid-2013.

In July 2012, we submitted an interim safety report to the MoH for the first 12 of 24 patients in the Phase I/II clinical trial. The report confirmed that our NurOwn therapy is safe, did not cause any adverse side effects, and some of the patients showed promising indications of clinical improvement.

In January 2013, the MoH approved acceleration to a Phase IIa combined treatment, dose-escalating trial, which we are currently conducting at HUMC. In this safety and preliminary efficacy trial, 12 early-stage ALS patients will receive both intramuscular and intrathecal injections of NurOwn cells in three cohorts with increasing doses. The patients will be followed for three to six months after transplantation.

In January 2013, we also announced that we had successfully completed a 12-week repeat dose toxicity study with our NurOwn cells in mice. These repeat doses were prepared from frozen cells, using a proprietary method recently developed by the Company. Our cryopreservation, or freezing, method will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that the positive data from the toxicity study in mice will support our efforts to obtain approval for a future repeat dose clinical study in ALS patients. The study was conducted at Harlan Israel's laboratories, according to GLP standards of the FDA. The study protocol was approved by the Israeli MoH.

Results of Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2011

Research and Development, net:

Research and development expenses, net for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$1,689,000 and \$1,045,000, respectively. In addition, our grant from The Office of the Chief Scientist increased by \$48,000 to \$388,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011 from \$340,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The increase in research and development expenses, net for the year ended December 31, 2011 is primarily due to: (i) in June 2011, the Company began clinical trials in ALS patients, in Hadassah, under which the Company paid \$350,000 in 2011; (ii) development and clinical trials conducted in GMP in Hadassah in the amount of \$370,000 in the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$250,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010; and (iii) an increase of \$190,000 in payroll costs due to recruitment of four additional employees to conduct the clinical trials.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$2,205,000 and \$1,544,000, respectively. The increase in General and administrative expenses, for the year ended December 31, 2011, is mainly due to: (i) an increase of \$515,000 in stock-based compensation expenses, to \$1,076,000 in the year ended December 31, 2011; and (ii) an increase of \$140,000 in legal and audit expenses from \$230,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$370,000 in the year ended December 31, 2011; this increase was partially offset by a reduction of \$60,000 in public and investor relations expenses from \$120,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$60,000 in the year ended December 31, 2011.

Financial Expenses

Financial expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$151,000 compared to financial income of \$189,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The increase in financial expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 is primarily due to \$192,000 financial expense from conversion of debt to a subcontractor to our common stock. The issuance of stock to the subcontractor was in an amount that was lower than the amount owed to the supplier. The value of the amount issued was based on the per share price on the date of the grant. The above was balanced by financial income of \$41,000 due to the conversion exchange rate.

Net Loss

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$3,918,000, as compared to a net loss of \$2,419,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010. Net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$0.03, as it was for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The increase in the net loss for the year ended December 31, 2011 is due to (i) the beginning of clinical trials, (ii) development in GMP in Hadassah facilities, and (iii) stock-based compensation expenses.

The weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 120,117,724, compared to 89,094,403 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The increase in the weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2011 was due to (i) the issuance of shares in a private placement, (ii) the exercise of warrants and (iii) the issuance of shares to service providers.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2012

We have been a development stage company since our inception. For the period from inception (September 22, 2000) until September 30, 2012, we have not earned any revenues from operations. We do not expect to earn revenues from operations until 2017. In addition, we have incurred operating costs of approximately \$2,883,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and approximately \$44,306,000 for the period from inception (September 22, 2000) until September 30, 2012. Operating expenses incurred since inception were approximately \$18,401,000 for general and administrative expenses and \$25,905,000 for research and development costs.

Research and Development, net:

Research and development expenses, net for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$1,486,000 and \$1,032,000, respectively. The research and development expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$659,000, compared to expenses of \$342,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The increase in research and development expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 is primarily due to: (i) rent of additional clean room from Hadassah; and (ii) the increase in development activities.

General and Administrative:

General and administrative expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$1,397,000 and \$1,459,000, respectively.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 from the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 is primarily due to a decrease of \$268,000 in compensation expenses for options granted to directors and employees that was balanced by an increase in payroll costs, legal costs, PR and IR costs and additional administrative fees associated with stock market and filings.

Financial Income:

Financial income increased by \$169,000 to income of \$37,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from expenses of \$132,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The increase in financial income is mainly attributable to a \$198,000 expense, included in 2011 financials, from conversion of a debt, owed to a consultant, into Common Stock of the Company, less financial income attributable mainly to exchange rate differences.

Other Income:

The Company did not have other income in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared with other income of \$132,000 in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, due to settlement agreements that we reached, in 2011, with third parties, which are lower than the amounts due to the third parties as recorded in the financial statements.

Net Loss:

Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$2,851,000, as compared to a net loss of \$2,496,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Net loss per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was \$0.02.

The weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 133,403,123, compared to 118,106,658 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The increase in the weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was due to (i) the issuance of shares of Common Stock in a public offering in July 2012, and (ii) the exercise of options by employees.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has financed its operations since inception primarily through public and private sales of its common stock and warrants and the issuance of convertible promissory notes. At September 30, 2012, we had \$5,285,000 in total current assets and \$1,098,000 in total current liabilities.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1,074,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Cash used for operating activities in the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily attributed to clinical trial costs, payroll costs, rent, legal and audit fee expenses and public relations expenses.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$14,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$5,028,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and is attributable to issuance of our common stock in July 2012, in a public offering and exercise of warrants and options into common stock.

On July 17, 2012, we raised approximately \$5.7 million through the Public Offering of our common stock. We issued a total of 19,818,968 shares of our common stock at \$0.29 per share and 14,864,228 warrants to purchase 0.75 shares of common stock for every share purchased in the Public Offering, at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The warrants are exercisable until the 30 month anniversary of the date of issuance. After deducting closing costs and fees, we received net proceeds of approximately \$5 million.

Our material cash needs for the next 12 months include the payments due under an agreement with Hadassah to conduct clinical trials in ALS patients, under which we must pay to Hadassah an amount of (i) up to \$32,225 per

patient (up to \$773,400 in the aggregate) and (ii) \$65,000 per month for rent and operation of the GMP facilities in anticipation of Hadassah's clinical trials.

Our other material cash needs for the next 12 months will include payments of (i) employee salaries, (ii) patents, (iii) construction fees for facilities to be used in our research and development and (iv) fees to our consultants and legal advisors.

The Company believes it has sufficient funds to meet its obligations in the upcoming 12 months. However, future operations are capital intensive and will require substantial capital raisings. If we are not able to raise substantial additional capital, we may not be able to continue to function as a going concern and we may have to cease operations. Even if we obtain funding sufficient to continue functioning as a going concern, we will be required to raise a substantial amount of capital in the future in order to reach profitability and to complete the commercialization of our products. Our ability to fund these future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including the following:

- our ability to obtain funding from third parties, including any future collaborative partners;
- the scope, rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other research and development programs;
- the time and costs required to gain regulatory approvals;
- the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing patents, patent applications, patent claims, trademarks and other intellectual property rights;

the effect of competition and market developments; and

future pre-clinical and clinical trial results.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

We have not had any changes in or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure during our two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim periods.

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers and Directors

The following table lists our current executive officers and directors. Our executive officers are elected annually by our Board of Directors and serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Each current director is serving a term that will expire at our Company's next annual meeting. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
Alon Natanson	49	Chief Executive Officer
Chaim Lebovits	42	President
Liat Sossover	44	Chief Financial Officer
Adrian Harel	56	Director of Research and Development
Dr. Irit Arbel	52	Director
Mordechai Friedman	60	Director
Dr. Abraham Israeli	59	Chairman and Director
Alon Pinkas	51	Director
Chen Schor	40	Director
Dr. Robert Shorr	59	Director
Malcolm Taub	66	Director

Alon Natanson joined the Company on February 1, 2013 as our Chief Executive Officer. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Natanson led large as well as early-stage companies, in the fields of life science, high-tech, and retail. Prior positions include Director of Marketing and Finance at Teva Pharmaceuticals, Copaxone® division, where he was involved in commercialization of patented therapeutics for multiple sclerosis, establishing the division and planning and executing its international strategy and product launch. Since 2008, Mr. Natanson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Procognia (TASE: PRCG), a biotechnology company specializing in glycobiology and biopharmaceutical analytics.

Chaim Lebovits joined the Company in July 2007 as our President. Mr. Lebovits controls ACC Holdings, a holding company which controls subsidiaries: (i) ACC Resources and (ii) ACCBT. ACC Holdings focuses on minerals exploration in West Africa and Israel. ACC Holdings is the founder and a major shareholder of Shemen Oil and Gas Resources Ltd. ("Shemen"). ACC Resources holds 10 permits for gold exploration in Burkina Faso. Shemen holds the Shemen License offshore Israel, which has contingent and prospective resources of over 250 million barrels of oil. ACCBT focuses on new and emerging biotechnologies. Mr. Lebovits has been at the forefront of mining and natural resource management in the African region for over a decade.

Liat Sossover joined the Company in June 2010 as our Chief Financial Officer. From 2001 until June 2010, Ms. Sossover served as the Vice President of Finance of ForeScout Technologies, International. In such role, Ms. Sossover managed all financial and accounting aspects. Prior to that, Ms. Sossover served as VP of Finance and Secretary of Maximal Innovative Intelligence, which was acquired by Microsoft. She has held positions as Chief Financial Officer at RT Set, which is now part of Vizrt and Financial Controller for BVR Technologies, which later was acquired by Esterline Technologies. Ms. Sossover holds an MBA from Edinburgh University, and a Bachelor's degree in Accounting & Economics from Ben Gurion University.

Adrian Harel joined the Company on January 24, 2011 as our Chief Operating Officer and Acting Chief Executive Officer. On June 11, 2012, Dr. Harel was appointed Chief Executive Officer and Director of Research and Development. On February 1, 2013, Dr. Harel ceased serving as our Chief Executive Officer. From 2009 until 2010, Dr. Harel established Da-Ta Biotech Ltd, a consulting and advisory business focused on early stage biotech companies. Also during 2010, Dr. Harel provided consulting services to KMBY LTD in connection with a medical device in the orthopedic field. From 2008 through 2010, Dr. Harel served as Chief Executive Officer of Meditor Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and Aminolab Technologies 2000 Ltd., which are focused on the production of new ethical drugs. From 2003 through 2007, Dr. Harel served as Chief Operating Officer of Sepal Pharma Ltd. and Molecular Cytomics Ltd.

Dr. Irit Arbel has been an active Board Member of the Company since May 2004 and also served initially as President for six months. Dr. Arbel serves as Executive Vice President, Research and Development at Savicell Diagnostic Ltd. since July 2012. From 2009 through 2011, Dr. Arbel served as Chairperson of Real Aesthetics Ltd., a company specializing in cellulite ultrasound treatment, and BRH Medical, developer of medical devices for wound healing. She was also Director of M & A at RFB Investment House, a private investment firm focusing on early stage technology related companies. Previously, Dr. Arbel was President and CEO of Pluristem Life Systems, and prior to that, Israeli Sales Manager of Merck, Sharp & Dohme. Dr. Arbel earned her Post Doctorate degree in 1997 in Neurobiology, after performing research in the area of Multiple Sclerosis. Dr. Arbel also holds a Chemical Engineering degree from the Technion, Israel's Institute of Technology.

Mordechai Friedman joined the Company on April 4, 2011 as a director and as Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board. Since 2010, Mr. Friedman serves as Chief Executive Officer of Triple-M Power Plants Ltd. From 2007 through 2010, Mr. Friedman served as the Chairman of the Board of The Israel Electric Corp. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Friedman served as Deputy Chairman of Brightman Almagor Zohar CPAs, the Israel Member Firm of Deloitte Touché Tohmatsu. Mr. Friedman has been a partner and director in several business ventures and companies in Israel and abroad in the transportation, consumer business, telecommunication and energy industries. He has a B.A. in Economics and Accounting from Tel Aviv University. Mr. Friedman currently serves as a director in the following private companies: (i) Elco Holdings Ltd. (Chairman of the Board); (ii) Israel Financial Levers Ltd. (Vice Chairman of the Board); (iii) Carmel Olefins Ltd.; (iv) Sheba Medical Center Medical Research Fund; (v) IPM Beer Tuvia Ltd.; (vi) Mordechai Friedman Blue and White Management Services Ltd.; and (vii) Double M Management and Investments Ltd.

Dr. Abraham Israeli joined the Company on April 13, 2010 as a director, as Chairman of the Board and as a consultant. Since November 2009, Dr. Israeli has served as Head of the Department of Health Policy, Health Care Management and Health Economics at the Hebrew University, Hadassah Faculty of Medicine. Since 1996, Dr. Israeli has held the Chair of Dr. Julien Rozan Professorship of Family Medicine and Health Promotion at the Hebrew University - Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem. From November 2003 to October 2009, Dr. Israeli served as the Director General of the Israel Ministry of Health. Dr. Israeli holds a M.D. and M.P.H. from Hebrew University, Hadassah Medical School and a Master's Degree from the Sloan School of Management at Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dr. Israeli completed residencies in Internal Medicine and in Health-Care Management at Hadassah University Hospital and has certification in both specialties.

Alon Pinkas joined the Company on December 13, 2010 as a director. Mr. Pinkas served as the Israeli Consul General to New York from 2000 to 2004 and is an internationally respected foreign affairs analyst. Mr. Pinkas currently serves as an Adviser at Tigris Financial Group and the Rhodium Group. Mr. Pinkas currently serves as a director for Ormat Industries Limited, B.G.I. Investments (1961) Ltd. and Agri-Invest Ltd. Mr. Pinkas has a Bachelors Degree in Political Science from The Hebrew University of Jerusalem and a Masters Degree in Politics from Georgetown University.

Chen Schor joined the Company as a director on August 22, 2011. Mr. Schor is a global industry leader with vast experience in biotechnology, medical devices, business development and private equity. Mr. Schor led multiple licensing and M&A transactions valued at over \$2 billion with companies such as GlaxoSmithKline, Amgen, Pfizer, Bayer, Merck-Serono and OncoGeneX Pharmaceuticals, and raised significant funds from reputable investors. Mr. Schor has a broad range of experience in multiple therapeutic areas including Neurology, Respiratory, Oncology, Auto-Immune, Genetic Diseases, and Women's Health. In addition to leading the global business development at Teva Pharmaceuticals, Mr. Schor played a key role in building early stage companies to regulatory approvals, IPOs and M&As. From March 2009 until September 2011, Mr. Schor served as Vice President of Business Development, global branded products at Teva Pharmaceuticals. Prior to joining Teva, Mr. Schor was Chief Business Officer at Predix Pharmaceuticals from December 2003 until March 2009, leading the formation of more than \$1.5 billion collaborations with GlaxoSmithKline, Amgen and additional pharmaceutical companies. Prior to joining Predix, Mr. Schor was a Partner at Yozma Venture Capital from September 1998 until December 2003, managing the fund's investments in biotechnology and medical device companies. Mr. Schor previously held positions at Arthur Anderson and BDO consultants and holds an MBA, B.A. in biology, B.A. in economics and is a Certified Public Accountant (CPA).

Dr. Robert Shorr joined the Company as a director in March 2005. Since 1999, Dr. Shorr has served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Science Officer of Cornerstone Pharmaceuticals, a bio technology company. Since 1998, he has also been a member of the Department of Biomedical Engineering at SUNY Stony Brook, where he also serves as Director of Business Development for the university's Center for Advanced Technology. He has served as trustee at the Tissue Engineering Charities, Imperial College, London since 1999. From 1999 until 2005, Dr. Shorr was Vice-President of Science and Technology (CSO) of United Therapeutics, a NASDAQ listed company. Prior to 1998 he held management positions at Enzon Inc., a NASDAQ listed company, and AT Biochem of which he was also founder. Dr. Shorr also served on the Board of Directors of Biological Delivery Systems Inc., a NASDAQ listed company. Dr. Shorr holds both a Ph.D. and a D.I.C. from the University of London, Imperial College of Science and Technology as well as a B.Sc. from SUNY Buffalo.

Malcolm Taub joined the Company as a director in March 2009. Since October 2010, Mr. Taub has been a Partner at Davidoff Malito & Hutcher LLP, a full service law and government relations firm. From 2001 to September 30, 2010, Mr. Taub was the Managing Member of Malcolm S. Taub LLP, a law firm which practiced in the areas of commercial litigation, among other practice areas. Mr. Taub also works on art transactions, in the capacity as an attorney and a consultant. Mr. Taub has also served as a principal of a firm which provides consulting services to private companies going public in the United States. Mr. Taub has acted as a consultant to the New York Stock Exchange in its Market Surveillance Department. Mr. Taub acts as a Trustee of The Gateway Schools of New York and The Devereux Glenholme School in Washington, Connecticut. Mr. Taub has served as an adjunct professor at Long Island University, Manhattan Marymount College and New York University Real Estate Institute. Mr. Taub holds a B.A. degree from Brooklyn College and a J.D. degree from Brooklyn Law School. Mr. Taub formerly served on the Board of Directors of Safer Shot, Inc. (formerly known as Monumental Marketing Inc.).

Qualifications of Directors

The Board believes that each director has valuable individual skills and experiences that, taken together, provide the variety and depth of knowledge, judgment and vision necessary for the effective oversight of the Company. As indicated in the foregoing biographies, the directors have extensive experience in a variety of fields, including biotechnology (Drs. Arbel and Shorr and Mr. Schor), accounting (Mr. Friedman), health care and health policy (Dr. Israeli), foreign affairs (Mr. Pinkas) and law (Mr. Taub), each of which the Board believes provides valuable knowledge about important elements of our business. Most of our directors have leadership experience at major companies or firms with operations inside and outside the United States and/or experience on other companies' boards, which provides an understanding of ways other companies address various business matters, strategies and issues. As indicated in the foregoing biographies, the directors have each demonstrated significant leadership skills, including as a chief executive officer (Drs. Arbel and Shorr and Mr. Friedman), as the consul general of Israel to New York and as chief of staff to Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Israel (Mr. Pinkas), as the director general of a governmental body (Dr. Israeli), as a managing member of a law firm (Mr. Taub) or as a partner of a venture capital firm (Mr. Schor). A number of the directors have extensive public policy, government or regulatory experience, including Consul General of Israel, New York (Mr. Pinkas) and Director General of Israel Ministry of Health (Dr. Israeli), which can provide valuable insight into issues faced by companies in regulated industries such as the Company. One of the directors (Dr. Arbel) has served as the President of the Company, which service has given her a deep knowledge of the Company and its business and directly relevant management experience. The Board believes that these skills and experiences

qualify each individual to serve as a director of the Company.

Certain Arrangements

On April 13, 2010, the Company, Dr. Israeli and Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. (“Hadasit”) entered into an Agreement, which was amended to clarify certain terms on December 31, 2011 (as amended, the “Agreement”) pursuant to which Dr. Israeli agreed, during the term of the Agreement, to serve as (i) our Clinical Trials Advisor and (ii) a member of our Board of Directors. Any party may terminate the Agreement upon 30 days prior notice to the other parties. In consideration of the services to be provided by Dr. Israeli to us under the Agreement, we agreed to grant: (i) options to Dr. Israeli annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of 166,666 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share and (ii) warrants to Hadasit annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of 33,334 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share. Such options and warrants will vest and become exercisable in twelve (12) consecutive equal monthly amounts. In addition, in December 2010 the Board granted Dr. Israeli an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.15 in recognition of his service as the Chairman of the Board and the number of hours Dr. Israeli devotes to fulfillment of his responsibilities of such role.

On August 22, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Chen Schor, which was amended and restated on November 11, 2011 to clarify vesting terms (as amended and restated, the “Executive Director Agreement”) pursuant to which we will pay \$15,000 per quarter to Mr. Schor for his services as an Executive Board Member. In accordance with the terms of the Executive Director Agreement, the Company and Mr. Schor have also entered into an amended and restated Restricted Stock Agreement on November 11, 2011, pursuant to which Mr. Schor received 923,374 shares of our restricted common stock under our 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan. The shares vest over 3 years – 307,791 shares on August 22, 2012, 307,791 shares on August 22, 2013 and 307,792 shares on August 22, 2014. Mr. Schor is not entitled to any other compensation for his services as a director.

Involvement in certain legal proceedings

None of our directors or executive officers has during the past ten years:

been convicted in a criminal proceeding or been subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);

had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against the business or property of the person, or of any partnership, corporation or business association of which he was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy filing or within two years prior to that time;

been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or federal or state authority, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting, his involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities, investment, banking, savings and loan, or insurance activities, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated;

been the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated (not including any settlement of a civil proceeding among private litigants), relating to an alleged violation of any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation, any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order, or any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or

been the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act, any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Code of Ethics

On May 27, 2005, our Board of Directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to, among other persons, members of our Board of Directors, officers, employees, contractors, consultants and advisors. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted on our website at www.brainstorm-cell.com. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement regarding any amendment to, or waiver of, a provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to our principal executive officer or our senior financial officers (principal financial officer and controller or principal accounting officer, or persons performing similar functions) by posting such information on our website.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Audit Committee

On February 7, 2008, the Board of Directors established a standing Audit Committee in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to stockholders concerning our financial reporting and internal controls, and facilitates open communication among the Audit Committee, Board of Directors, outside auditors and management. The Audit Committee discusses with management and our outside auditors the financial information developed by us, our systems of internal controls and our audit process. The Audit Committee is solely and directly responsible for appointing, evaluating, retaining and, when necessary, terminating the engagement of the independent auditor. The independent auditors meet with the Audit Committee (both with and without the presence of management) to review and discuss various matters pertaining to the audit, including our financial statements, the report of the independent auditors on the results, scope and terms of their work, and their recommendations concerning the financial practices, controls, procedures and policies employed by us. The Audit Committee preapproves all audit services to be provided to us, whether provided by the principal auditor or other firms, and all other services (review, attest and non-audit) to be provided to us by the independent auditor. The Audit Committee coordinates the Board of Directors' oversight of our internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures and code of conduct. The Audit Committee is charged with establishing procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. The Audit Committee reviews all related party transactions on an ongoing basis, and all such transactions must be approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is authorized, without further action by the Board of Directors, to engage such independent legal, accounting and other advisors as it deems necessary or appropriate to carry out its responsibilities. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is available in the corporate governance section of our website at www.brainstorm-cell.com. The Audit Committee currently consists of Mr. Friedman (Chair), Dr. Arbel and Mr. Pinkas each of whom is independent as defined under applicable Nasdaq listing standards. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Friedman is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. The Audit Committee held five meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

GNC Committee

On June 27, 2011, the Board of Directors established a standing Governance, Nominating and Compensation Committee (the "GNC Committee"), which assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities relating to (i) compensation of the Company's executive officers, (ii) the director nomination process and (iii) reviewing the Company's compliance with SEC corporate governance requirements. The Board has adopted a written charter for the GNC Committee, which is available in the corporate governance section of our website at www.brainstorm-cell.com. The GNC Committee currently consists of Dr. Arbel (Chair), Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub, each of whom is independent as defined under applicable Nasdaq listing standards. The GNC Committee held one meeting during the fiscal year ended

December 31, 2012.

The GNC Committee determines salaries, incentives and other forms of compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and the executive officers of the Company and reviews and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to director compensation. The GNC Committee annually reviews and approves the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of these goals and objectives, and sets the Chief Executive Officer's compensation level based on this evaluation. The GNC Committee meets without the presence of executive officers when approving or deliberating on executive officer compensation, but may invite the Chief Executive Officer to be present during the approval of, or deliberations with respect to, other executive officer compensation. In addition, the GNC Committee administers the Company's stock incentive compensation and equity-based plans.

The GNC Committee makes recommendations to the Board concerning all facets of the director nominee selection process. Generally, the GNC Committee identifies candidates for director nominees in consultation with management and the independent members of the Board, through the use of search firms or other advisers, through the recommendations submitted by stockholders or through such other methods as the GNC Committee deems to be helpful to identify candidates. Once candidates have been identified, the GNC Committee confirms that the candidates meet the independence requirements and qualifications for director nominees established by the Board. The GNC Committee may gather information about the candidates through interviews, questionnaires, background checks, or any other means that the GNC Committee deems to be helpful in the evaluation process. The GNC Committee meets to discuss and evaluate the qualities and skills of each candidate, both on an individual basis and taking into account the overall composition and needs of the Board. Upon selection of a qualified candidate, the GNC Committee would recommend the candidate for consideration by the full Board.

In considering whether to include any particular candidate in the Board's slate of recommended director nominees, the Board will consider the candidate's integrity, education, business acumen, knowledge of the Company's business and industry, age, experience, diligence, conflicts of interest and the ability to act in the interests of all stockholders. The Board believes that experience as a leader of a business or institution, sound judgment, effective interpersonal and communication skills, strong character and integrity, and expertise in areas relevant to our business are important attributes in maintaining the effectiveness of the Board. As a matter of practice, the Board considers the diversity of the backgrounds and experience of prospective directors as well as their personal characteristics (e.g., gender, ethnicity, age) in evaluating, and making decisions regarding, Board composition, in order to facilitate Board deliberations that reflect a broad range of perspectives. The Board does not assign specific weights to particular criteria and no particular criterion is a prerequisite for each prospective nominee. The Company believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of its directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant breadth of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities.

Stockholder Nominations

On June 27, 2011, the Board of Directors adopted the Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. Shareholder Nominations and Communications Policy (the "Policy"), pursuant to which procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors were established. Previously, we had no formal policy by which a stockholder could recommend nominees to our Board of Directors.

Pursuant to the Policy, stockholders may recommend nominees for consideration by submitting the following information to our Secretary at our executive offices: (i) a current resume and curriculum vitae of the candidate; (ii) statement describing the candidate's qualifications; and (iii) contact information for personal and professional references. In addition, submission must include the name and address of the stockholder making the nomination, the number of shares which are owned by such stockholder and a description of all arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and the candidate. Assuming that the required material has been provided on a timely basis, the GNC Committee will evaluate stockholder-recommended candidates by following substantially the same process, and applying substantially the same criteria, as it follows for candidates submitted by others.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**Summary Compensation**

The following table sets forth certain summary information with respect to the compensation paid during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 earned by the former Chief Executive Officer, our current Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer (the “Named Executive Officers”). In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

Summary Compensation Table (*)

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Adrian Harel(4) Chief Executive Officer and Director of Research and Development	2011	117,000	203,026	65,000	385,026
Liat Sossover(5) Chief Financial Officer	2011	98,000	-	46,000	144,000
	2010	47,000	67,584	12,000	126,584
Abraham Efrati(6) Former Chief Executive Officer and Director	2011	264,000	30,481	25,000	319,481
	2010	167,000	-	13,000	180,000

(*) The Named Executive Officers were paid in NIS; the amounts above are the U.S. dollar equivalent. The conversion rate used was the average of the end of month’s rate between the U.S. dollar and the NIS as published by the Bank of Israel, the central bank of Israel.

(1) The amounts shown in the “Option Awards” column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with ASC 718, not the actual amounts paid to or realized by the Named Executive Officer during fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010. ASC 718 fair value amount as of the grant date for stock options generally is spread over the number of months of service required for the grant to vest.

(2) The fair value of each stock option award is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Additional information regarding the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of all stock option awards is included in Note 11 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) Includes management insurance (which includes pension, disability insurance and severance pay), payments towards such employee's education fund, amounts paid for use of a Company car and Israeli social security.

(4) Dr. Harel commenced employment with the Company on January 23, 2011 and ceased to be our Chief Executive Officer on February 1, 2013.

(5) Ms. Sossover commenced employment with the Company on June 1, 2010.

(6) Mr. Efrati resigned as Chief Executive Officer, effective as of February 28, 2011.

Executive Employment Agreements and Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control Arrangements

Alon Natanson. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated January 24, 2013, Mr. Natanson is entitled to a monthly salary of 53,000 NIS (approximately \$14,200). Mr. Natanson also receives other benefits that are generally made available to our employees, including pension and education fund benefits. Mr. Natanson is provided with a Company car and cellular phone, and a gross-up payment for any taxes relating thereto. Mr. Natanson also received a grant of a stock option (the "Initial Grant") on January 24, 2013 (the "Grant Date") for the purchase of 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which shall vest and become exercisable as to 33 1/3% of the shares on the first anniversary of the Grant Date (the "Initial Vesting Date") and the remainder of the shares shall vest and become exercisable in equal monthly installments on each of the 36 monthly anniversaries following the Initial Vesting Date. The exercise price for the Initial Grant will be \$0.29 per share. In the event that prior to the first anniversary of the Grant Date (and provided that Mr. Natanson is then actively employed by us): (i) we shall have raised \$10 million or more in one transaction, and (ii) the shares of the Company shall have been admitted for trading on NASDAQ, and (iii) we shall have been granted the approval of the FDA to conduct clinical trials in the United States, on the first anniversary of the Grant Date Mr. Natanson shall be granted an additional stock option for the purchase of an additional 2,000,000 shares of Company common stock upon the same terms as the Initial Grant.

Abraham Efrati. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated October 7, 2007, Mr. Efrati was entitled to an initial base salary of \$14,000 per month. Mr. Efrati was also entitled to coverage under our Manager's Insurance Policy and to an education fund and the use of a Company car.

Mr. Efrati resigned as Chief Executive Officer effective as of February 28, 2011.

Per the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Efrati agreed not to compete with the Company or solicit the Company's customers or employees during the term of his employment and for a period of twelve (12) months following the termination of his employment for any reason.

On July 25, 2011, we entered into a Settlement and Waiver Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with Mr. Efrati and Pro Int. Ltd. (an entity believed to be controlled by Mr. Efrati) regarding the termination of Mr. Efrati's position as our Chief Executive Officer and certain unresolved compensatory matters relating thereto. Under the Settlement Agreement, we agreed to pay to Mr. Efrati (i) NIS 543,077 on or before August 1, 2011, (ii) an additional NIS 200,000 on or before August 20, 2011 and (iii) an additional NIS 162,051 on or before September 15, 2011. We also agreed that 150,000 of Mr. Efrati's non-vested options to purchase our common stock were accelerated in full and that the exercise period for all vested stock options held by Mr. Efrati was extended until April 30, 2012. The parties to the Settlement Agreement also agreed to waive, and release the other parties from, all claims they may have had against each other.

Adrian Harel. Pursuant to his employment agreement dated January 23, 2011, Dr. Harel is entitled to a monthly salary of 39,000 NIS (approximately \$10,000) (including benefits for monthly totals of approximately 60,300 NIS (approximately \$15,900)). Dr. Harel also receives other benefits that are generally made available to our employees. Dr. Harel is provided with a company car and a gross-up payment for any taxes relating thereto.

Liat Sossover. Pursuant to her employment agreement dated June 23, 2011, Ms. Sossover is entitled to a salary of 32,000 NIS (approximately \$8,290) per month. Ms. Sossover is also entitled to contributions on her behalf by the Company into a manager's insurance fund, disability insurance and an education fund. Ms. Sossover is provided with a Company car and cellular phone, and a gross-up payment for any taxes relating thereto.

Chaim Lebovits. Currently, we do not have an employment agreement and he is not entitled to receive any compensation from us at this time.

Terms of Option Awards

On October 23, 2007, Mr. Efrati was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.87 each, which options vested and became exercisable with respect to 1/6 of the shares subject to the option on each six-month anniversary of the date of grant, provided Mr. Efrati was employed by or providing services to us on each applicable vesting date. As of October 15, 2010, this option was fully vested and exercisable. On November 5, 2008, our Board of Directors approved the repricing of this option, such that said option now has an exercise price of \$0.15 per share as opposed to \$0.87 per share. In addition, on June 29, 2009, Mr. Efrati was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.067 each, which option would vest and become exercisable with respect to 1/3 of the shares subject to the option on each anniversary of the date of grant, provided Mr. Efrati is employed by or providing services to us on each applicable vesting date. Mr. Efrati resigned as Chief Executive Officer, effective February 28, 2011, and did not stand for re-election to the Board at our last annual meeting. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, 150,000 of Mr. Efrati's non-vested options were accelerated in full and the exercise period for all vested options was extended until April 30, 2012. As of April 30, 2012, Mr. Efrati had exercised all of his outstanding options to purchase shares of our common stock.

On June 27, 2011, Dr. Harel was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 450,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.20 each. One-third of such option vested and became exercisable on January 23, 2012 and the remainder of the shares subject to the option will vest and become exercisable over the following 24 months in equal installments. The option shall expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

On August 10, 2011, Dr. Harel was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 70,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.20 each. Such option became fully vested and exercisable upon our receipt of clean room approval in connection with the Hadassah trial. The option shall expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

On August 1, 2012, Dr. Harel was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 70,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.26 each. Such option becomes fully vested and exercisable in 12 equal monthly installments.

On June 23, 2010, Ms. Sossover was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 400,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.18 each. One-third of such option vested and became exercisable on June 23, 2011 and the remainder of the shares subject to the option vest and become exercisable over the following 24 months in equal installments. The option shall expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

On August 1, 2012, Ms. Sossover was granted, pursuant to our Global Plan, an option to purchase 60,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.26 each. Such option becomes fully vested and exercisable in 12 equal monthly installments.

Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding equity awards granted to the Named Executive Officers that are outstanding as of December 31, 2011. In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2011

Option Awards

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Adrian Harel	—	450,000	(1)	0.20	6/26/2021
	70,000	—		0.20	8/9/2021
Liat Sossover	200,000	200,000	(2)	0.18	6/22/2020
Abraham Efrati(3)	699,273	—		0.15	4/30/2012
	483,333	—		0.067	4/30/2012

(1) Options for the purchase of 150,000 shares vested and became exercisable on January 23, 2012 and options for the purchase of 12,500 shares vested and became exercisable on each of February 23, March 23, April 23, May 23 and June 23, 2012. Options for the purchase of 12,500 shares will vest and become exercisable on the 23rd of each month until the option is fully vested.

(2) Options for the purchase of 11,111 shares vested and became exercisable on each of January 23, February 23, March 23, April 23, May 23 and June 23, 2012. Options for the purchase of 11,111 shares will vest and become exercisable on the 23rd of each month until the option is fully vested.

(3) Mr. Efrati resigned as Chief Executive Officer effective as of February 28, 2011.

Stock Incentive Plans

In November 2004 and February 2005, our Board of Directors adopted and ratified the Global Plan and the U.S. Plan, respectively, and further approved the reservation of 9,143,462 shares of our common stock for issuance thereunder. Our stockholders approved the Plans and the shares reserved for issuance thereunder at a special meeting of stockholders that was held on March 28, 2005.

On April 28, 2008, the Board approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 5,000,000 shares. Our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 5, 2008.

On April 21, 2011, the Board approved another amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 5,000,000 shares. Our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 10, 2011.

On May 6, 2012, the Board approved another amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 9,000,000 shares, subject to the approval of the Company's stockholders. Our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 12, 2012.

Under the Global Plan, we granted a total of 12,328,319 options with various exercise prices (a weighted average exercise price of \$0.17162) and expiration dates, to service providers, subcontractors, directors, officers, and employees. Under the U.S. Plan, we issued an additional 5,290,040 shares of restricted stock and options to Scientific Advisory Board members, consultants, and directors. As of December 31, 2012, there were 10,525,103 shares available for issuance under the Plans. As stated above, on June 12, 2012, the Plans were amended and restated to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 9,000,000 shares.

Compensation of Directors

The following table sets forth certain summary information with respect to the compensation paid during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 earned by each of the directors of the Company. In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

Director Compensation Table for Fiscal 2011

Name	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Total (\$)
Dr. Irit Arbel	—	130,365(2)	130,365
Mr. Mordechai Friedman	—	75,355 (3)	75,355
Dr. Abraham Israeli	—	48,326 (4)	48,326
Mr. Alon Pinkas	—	81,384 (5)	81,384
Mr. Chen Schor	443,220(6)	—	443,220
Dr. Robert Shorr	114,400(7)	—	114,400
Mr. Malcolm Taub	114,400(8)	—	114,400

(1) The amounts shown in the “Stock Awards” and “Option Awards” columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with ASC 718, not the actual amounts paid to or realized by the directors during fiscal 2011.

(2) At December 31, 2011, Dr. Arbel had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 988,333 shares of common stock.

(3) Mr. Friedman was elected to the Board of Directors on April 4, 2011. At December 31, 2011, he had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 166,666 shares of common stock.

(4) At December 31, 2011, Dr. Israeli had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 533,332 shares of common stock.

(5) At December 31, 2011, Mr. Pinkas had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 180,000 shares of common stock.

(6) Mr. Schor was elected to the Board of Directors on August 22, 2011. At December 31, 2011, he had 923,374 shares of unvested restricted common stock.

(7) At December 31, 2011, Dr. Shorr had 230,000 shares of restricted common stock.

(8) At December 31, 2011, Mr. Taub had vested options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock and 238,333 shares of restricted common stock.

We reimburse our non-employee directors for reasonable travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending board meetings.

On October 14, 2007, we implemented a compensation plan for non-employee directors. Under this compensation plan, each director was entitled to receive an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock or 100,000 restricted shares of common stock. Dr. Israeli did not earn compensation in accordance with this compensation plan. In 2010, we issued an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to Dr. Arbel under this compensation policy. In addition, in 2010, we approved the issuance of 200,000 restricted shares of common stock to Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub under this compensation policy. The determination to grant equity awards in an amount greater than as set forth in the compensation plan was made at the discretion of the Board and as recognition for service on the Audit Committee by Drs. Arbel and Shorr and as recognition of service on the Board by Mr. Taub.

The Board also made the determination to issue an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to Dr. Israeli in recognition of his service as the Chairman of the Board and the number of hours Dr. Israeli devotes to fulfillment of his responsibilities of such role.

On June 27, 2011, we implemented a new Director Compensation Plan for non-employee directors (the "Director Compensation Plan"). Every non-employee director of the Company, other than Dr. Israeli and Mr. Schor are eligible to participate in the Director Compensation Plan. Under the Director Compensation Plan, each eligible director is granted an annual award immediately following each annual meeting of shareholders beginning with the 2011 annual meeting. For non-U.S. directors, this annual award consists of a nonqualified stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock. For U.S. directors, at their option, this annual award is either (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock or (ii) 100,000 shares of restricted stock. Additionally, each member of the GNC Committee or Audit Committee receives (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock or (ii) in the case of U.S. directors and at their option, 30,000 shares of restricted stock. A chairperson of the GNC Committee or Audit Committee will instead of the above committee award receive (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock or (ii) in the case of U.S. directors and at their option, 50,000 shares of restricted stock. Any eligible participant who is serving as chairperson of the Board of Directors of the Company shall also receive (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock or (ii) in the case of U.S. directors and at their option, 100,000 shares of restricted stock. Awards are granted on a pro rata basis for directors serving less than a year at the time of grant. The exercise price for options for U.S. directors will be equal to the closing price per share of the common stock on the grant date as reported on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board

or the national securities exchange on which the common stock is then traded. The exercise price for options for non-U.S. directors is \$0.15. Every option and restricted stock award will vest monthly as to 1/12 the number of shares subject to the award over a period of twelve months from the date of grant, provided that the recipient remains a director of the Company on each such vesting date, or, in the case of a committee award, remains a member of the committee on each such vesting date.

On June 27, 2011, the following grants were made under the Director Compensation Plan to the eligible directors: Dr. Arbel received a stock option to purchase 180,000 shares of common stock for her service as a director, chairperson of the GNC Committee and a member of the Audit Committee; Mr. Friedman received a stock option to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock for his service as a director and chairperson of the Audit Committee; Mr. Pinkas received a stock option to purchase 130,000 shares of common stock for his service as a director and a member of the GNC Committee; Mr. Shorr received 130,000 shares of restricted stock for his service as a director and a member of the Audit Committee; and Mr. Taub received 130,000 shares of restricted stock for his service as a director and a member of the GNC Committee.

Dr. Israeli receives an annual option for the purchase of 166,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per the terms of the Agreement, as described in detail in “Certain Arrangements” above and in “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” below, which option is compensation for both his service as a director and as a clinical trials advisor. In addition, in December 2010 the Board granted Dr. Israeli an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.15 in recognition of his service as the Chairman of the Board and the number of hours Dr. Israeli devotes to fulfillment of his responsibilities of such role.

On August 22, 2011, Mr. Schor received a grant of 923,374 shares of restricted stock and will receive \$15,000 per quarter for his services as a director and advisor of the Company pursuant to the terms of the Executive Director Agreement, as described in detail in “Certain Arrangements” above.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by the following: (i) each of our current directors; (ii) the Named Executive Officers; (iii) all of the current executive officers and directors as a group; and (iv) each person known by us to own beneficially more than five percent (5%) of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

For purposes of the following table, beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Except as otherwise noted in the footnotes to the table, we believe that each person or entity named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of our common stock shown as beneficially owned by that person or entity (or shares such power with his or her spouse). Under the SEC's rules, shares of our common stock issuable under options that are exercisable on or within 60 days after December 31, 2012 ("Presently Exercisable Options") or under warrants that are exercisable on or within 60 days after December 31, 2012 ("Presently Exercisable Warrants") are deemed outstanding and therefore included in the number of shares reported as beneficially owned by a person or entity named in the table and are used to compute the percentage of the common stock beneficially owned by that person or entity. These shares are not, however, deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the common stock beneficially owned by any other person or entity. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person listed in the table is c/o Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., 605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, New York 10158.

The percentage of the common stock beneficially owned by each person or entity named in the following table is based on 150,085,035 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012 plus any shares issuable upon exercise of Presently Exercisable Options and Presently Exercisable Warrants held by such person or entity.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned		Percentage of Class	
	Number of Shares			
Directors and Named Executive Officers				
Adrian Harel	423,331	(1)	*	
Liat Sossover	390,556	(1)	*	
Abraham Efrati (2)	483,333		*	
Irit Arbel	3,393,333	(3)	2.2	%
Mordechai Friedman	254,167	(1)	*	
Abraham Israeli	686,111	(1)	*	
Alon Pinkas	255,831	(1)	*	
Chen Schor	923,374		*	
Robert Shorr	360,000		*	
Malcolm Taub	668,333	(4)	*	
All current directors and officers as a group (11 persons)	66,881,960	(5)	36.4	%

5% Stockholders

ACCBT Corp. Morgan & Morgan Building Pasea Estate, Road Town Tortola British Virgin Islands	59,556,924	(6)	33.1	%
---	------------	-----	------	---

*

Less than 1%.

- (1) Consists of shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (2) Mr. Efrati resigned as Chief Executive Officer effective February 28, 2011.
- (3) Includes 1,093,333 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options. Dr. Arbel's address is 6 Hadishon Street, Jerusalem, Israel.
- (4) Includes 100,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options. Includes (i) 29,006,924 shares of common stock owned by ACCBT Corp. (Chaim Lebovits, our President, may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of these shares), (ii) 30,250,000 shares of common stock issuable to ACCBT Corp. upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants, (iii) 300,000 shares of common stock owned by ACC International Holdings Ltd. (Chaim Lebovits, our President, may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of these shares) and (iv) 3,203,329 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (5) Consists of (i) 29,006,924 shares of common stock owned by ACCBT Corp., (ii) 30,250,000 shares of common stock issuable to ACCBT Corp. upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants and (iii) 300,000 shares of common stock owned by ACC International Holdings Ltd. ACC International Holdings Ltd. and Chaim Lebovits, our President, may each be deemed the beneficial owners of these shares.
- (6)

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The Audit Committee of our Board reviews and approves all related-party transactions. A “related-party transaction” is a transaction that meets the minimum threshold for disclosure under the relevant SEC rules (transactions involving amounts exceeding the lesser of \$120,000 or one (1) percent of the average of the smaller reporting company's total assets at year end for the last two fiscal years in which a “related person” or entity has a direct or indirect material interest). “Related persons” include our executive officers, directors, 5% or more beneficial owners of our common stock, immediate family members of these persons and entities in which one of these persons has a direct or indirect material interest. When a potential related-party transaction is identified, management presents it to the Audit Committee to determine whether to approve or ratify it.

The Audit Committee reviews the material facts of any related-party transaction and either approves or disapproves of the entry into the transaction. If advance approval of a related-party transaction is not feasible, then the transaction will be considered and, if the Audit Committee determines it to be appropriate, ratified by the Audit Committee. No director may participate in the approval of a transaction for which he or she is a related party.

Research and License Agreement with Ramot

On July 8, 2004, we entered into a Research and License Agreement (the “Original Ramot Agreement”) with Ramot, a former 5% stockholder of the Company, which agreement was amended on March 30, 2006 by the Amended Research and License Agreement (described below). Under the terms of the Original Ramot Agreement, Ramot granted to us a license to (i) the know-how and patent applications on the stem cell technology developed by the team led by Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen, and (ii) the results of further research to be performed by the same team on the development of the stem cell technology. Simultaneously with the execution of the Original Ramot Agreement, we entered into individual consulting agreements with Prof. Melamed and Dr. Offen pursuant to which all intellectual property developed by Prof. Melamed or Dr. Offen in the performance of services thereunder will be owned by Ramot and licensed to us under the Original Ramot Agreement.

Under the Original Ramot Agreement, we agreed to fund further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year for an initial period of two years, and for an additional two-year period if certain research milestones were met.

In consideration for the license, we originally agreed to pay Ramot:

· An up-front license fee payment of \$100,000;

· An amount equal to 5% of all net sales of products; and

· An amount equal to 30% of all sublicense receipts.

On March 30, 2006, we entered into an Amended Research and License Agreement (the “Amended Research and License Agreement”) with Ramot. Under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the funding of further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year was reduced to \$380,000 per year. Moreover, under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the initial period of time that we agreed to fund the research was extended from an initial period of two (2) years to an initial period of three (3) years. The Amended Research and License Agreement also extended the additional two-year period in the Original Ramot Agreement to an additional three-year period, if certain research milestones were met. In addition, the Amended Research and License Agreement reduced (i) certain royalties payments from five percent (5%) to three percent (3%) of all net sales in cases of third party royalties and (ii) potential payments concerning sublicenses from 30% to 20-25% of sublicense receipts.

We entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot on July 26, 2007 (the “Second Ramot Agreement”), which amended and replaced the Amended Research and License Agreement. Like the Original Ramot Agreement, the Second Ramot Agreement imposed on us development and commercialization obligations, milestone and royalty payment obligations and other obligations. As of June 30, 2007, we owed Ramot an aggregate of \$513,249 in overdue payments and patent fees under the Amended Research and License Agreement. On August 1, 2007, we obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding our payment obligations under the Second Ramot Agreement and waived all claims against us resulting from our previous breaches, defaults and non-payment under the Amended Research and License Agreement.

In addition, in the event that the “research period”, as defined in the Second Ramot Agreement, was extended for an additional three year period in accordance with the terms of the Second Ramot Agreement, then we had to make payments to Ramot during the first year of the extended research period in an aggregate amount of \$380,000.

On December 24, 2009, we entered into a Letter Agreement (the “Letter Agreement”) with Ramot, pursuant to which, among other things, Ramot agreed to: (i) release the Company from its obligation to fund three years of additional research (which would have totaled \$1,140,000); and (ii) accept 1,120,000 shares of our common stock in lieu of \$272,000 in past-due amounts. Pursuant to the Letter Agreement, we agreed, among other things, to: (i) reimburse Ramot for outstanding patent-related expenses; and (ii) abandon our rights in certain patents of Ramot.

Through March 2011, Ramot had sold the 1,120,000 shares of common stock of the Company for \$235,000 and the Company paid the remaining \$5,000 due to Ramot. There is no additional debt to Ramot.

On December 20, 2011, we entered into an Assignment Agreement with our Israeli subsidiary (the “Assignment Agreement”). Under the Assignment Agreement, we assigned and transferred all of our rights, interests, titles, liabilities and obligations (the “Rights”) under the Second Ramot Agreement to our Israeli subsidiary, effective as of January 1, 2007 and our Israeli subsidiary agreed to assume all such Rights. We agreed to be a guarantor of all obligations of our Israeli subsidiary under the Second Ramot Agreement and Ramot can look to us to demand compliance with the Second Ramot Agreement.

Investment Agreement with ACCBT Corp.

On July 2, 2007, we entered into a Subscription Agreement with ACCBT, a 37.6% stockholder and a company under the control of Mr. Chaim Lebovits, our President, pursuant to which we agreed to sell (i) up to 27,500,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million, and (ii) for no additional consideration, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of our common stock. Subject to certain closing conditions, separate

closings of the purchase and sale of the shares and the warrants were scheduled to take place from August 30, 2007 through November 15, 2008. The warrants originally had the following exercise prices: (i) warrants for the first 10,083,333 shares of our common stock had an exercise price of \$0.20; (ii) warrants for the next 10,083,333 shares of our common stock had an exercise price of \$0.29; and (iii) warrants for the final 10,083,334 shares of our common stock had an exercise price of \$0.36. Each warrant issued pursuant to the Subscription Agreement was to expire on November 5, 2011.

Pursuant to the terms of the Subscription Agreement, as amended, and a related registration rights agreement, ACCBT has the following rights for so long as ACCBT or its affiliates hold at least 5% of our issued and outstanding share capital:

Board Appointment Right: ACCBT has the right to appoint 50.1% (any fractions to be rounded up to the nearest whole number) of the members of our Board of Directors and any of our committees and the Board of Directors of our subsidiary.

Preemptive Right: ACCBT has the right to receive thirty day notice of, and to purchase a pro rata portion (or greater under certain circumstances where offered shares are not purchased by other subscribers) of, securities issued by us, including options and rights to purchase shares. This preemptive right does not include issuances under our equity incentive plans.

Consent Right: ACCBT's written consent is required for certain corporate actions, including issuance of shares (other than existing warrants and issuances under our incentive plans), amendment of our charter or bylaws, repurchase of shares, declaration or payment of dividends or distributions, related party transactions, non-ordinary course transactions involving \$25,000 or more, liquidation or dissolution, the creation, acquisition or disposition of a subsidiary or entry into a joint venture or strategic alliance, a material change to our business, merger, change of control, sale of the company, any acquisition, and any payment of cash compensation over \$60,000 per year.

In addition ACCBT is entitled to demand and piggyback registration rights, whereby ACCBT may request, upon ten days written notice, that we file, or include within a registration statement to be filed, with the Securities and Exchange Commission for ACCBT's resale of the Subscription Shares, as adjusted, and the shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of the Warrants.

On August 20, 2007, we received an aggregate of \$1,000,000 from ACCBT, and, in connection therewith, ACCBT agreed to apply the principal amounts outstanding under a \$250,000 convertible promissory note, dated as of May 6, 2007, issued to ACCBT by us towards the \$5 million aggregate subscription price under the subscription agreement in exchange for shares of common stock (at which point the promissory note was cancelled). Accordingly, we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 6,875,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 7,562,500 shares of common stock. In November 2007, we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT, and we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 4,125,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of common stock. On April 3, 2008, we closed a transaction where we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT and a permitted assignee, and we issued 2,125,000 shares of common stock to the permitted assignee, 2,000,000 shares of common stock to ACCBT and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of common stock to ACCBT. On September 8, 2008, we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT, and we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 4,125,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of common stock.

On August 18, 2009, we entered into an amendment to the Subscription Agreement (the "Amendment"), dated as of July 31, 2009, with ACCBT.

Under the terms of the Subscription Agreement, ACCBT was no longer obligated to invest any further amounts in the Company. Pursuant to the Amendment, ACCBT agreed to invest the remaining amount outstanding under the Subscription Agreement up to \$5.0 million in the Company, and, in return, we agreed to amend the Subscription Agreement to, among other things: (i) decrease the purchase price per share of the up to 27,500,000 shares (the "Subscription Shares") of our common stock that ACCBT previously purchased or will purchase pursuant to the terms of the Subscription Agreement, as amended, from \$0.1818 to \$0.12 (the "Repricing"); (ii) adjust the number of shares of common stock issuable under the Subscription Agreement in accordance with the Repricing; (iii) extend the expiration date of all Warrants (as described below); (iv) amend the exercise price of certain of the Warrants from \$0.36 to \$0.29; and (v) revise the investment schedule of the purchase and sale of the Subscription Shares. Pursuant to the Amendment, the Repricing retroactively applied to all Subscription Shares purchased by the Investor prior to the Amendment.

Pursuant to the Amendment, ACCBT agreed to purchase the remainder of the Subscription Shares, as adjusted, at an aggregate purchase price of \$947,347 at a price per share of \$0.12 in monthly installments of not less than \$50,000 (with the last payment in an amount up to the maximum subscription price of \$5.0 million) at closings to be held monthly beginning on August 1, 2009.

As described above, pursuant to the terms of the Subscription Agreement, we originally agreed to sell to ACCBT the Subscription Shares for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million and, for no additional consideration, if ACCBT purchased the Subscription Shares, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of common stock (the "Warrants"). As of July 31, 2009, ACCBT had purchased an aggregate of 18,306,925 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,052,652, and the following Warrants (the "Issued Warrants") had been issued to ACCBT: (i) 10,083,333 Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20; (ii) 10,083,333 Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.29; and (iii) 1,008,334 Warrants (the "Last Warrant") with an exercise price of \$0.36. Pursuant to the Amendment, the exercise price of the Last Warrant decreased from \$0.36 to \$0.29. Pursuant to the Amendment, all of the Warrants, including the Issued Warrants, shall expire on November 5, 2013 instead of November 5, 2011.

Pursuant to the Amendment and in connection with ACCBT's completion of the investment of up to \$5.0 million, we issued to ACCBT the remainder of the Warrants.

In connection with the Repricing and the Amendment, we agreed to issue 9,916,667 shares of common stock to ACCBT for no additional consideration in order to retroactively apply the Repricing. On October 28, 2009, we issued the 9,916,667 shares of common stock to various designees of ACCBT, including 5,000,000 shares to Yosef Sternberg, a former 5% stockholder of the Company.

On May 10, 2012, we entered into a Warrant Amendment Agreement with ACCBT pursuant to which we agreed, upon the effectiveness of a six month lock-up agreement entered into by ACCBT in connection with an offering, the then current expiration date of each Warrant was automatically extended by an additional 18 months.

As of the date of this prospectus, ACCBT has purchased all of the Subscription Shares.

In sum, Warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of common stock were issued to ACCBT, of which 30,250,000 Warrants are presently outstanding. The outstanding Warrants contain full-ratchet anti-dilution provisions and cashless exercise provisions, which permit the cashless exercise of up to 50% of the underlying shares of common stock, and 10,083,333 of such Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 and the remainder have an exercise price of \$0.29. We expect ACCBT to waive its participation rights, registration rights and anti-dilution rights with respect to issuances that were made prior to the date hereof and with regard to this offering.

Agreement with Abraham Israeli

On April 13, 2010, the Company, Dr. Israeli, a director of the Company, and Hadasit entered into an Agreement, which was amended to clarify certain terms on December 31, 2011, pursuant to which Dr. Israeli agreed, during the term of the Agreement, to serve as (i) our Clinical Trials Advisor and (ii) a member of our Board of Directors. Any party may terminate the Agreement upon 30 days prior notice to the other parties. In consideration of the services to be provided by Dr. Israeli to us under the Agreement, we agreed to grant options and warrants annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of our common stock, as follows:

an option for the purchase of 166,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share to Dr. Israeli; and

warrants for the purchase of 33,334 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share to Hadasit,

Such options will vest and become exercisable in twelve (12) consecutive equal monthly amounts.

Independence of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has determined that each of Dr. Arbel, Mr. Friedman, Dr. Israeli, Mr. Pinkas, Mr. Schor, Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub satisfies the criteria for being an “independent director” under the standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. (“Nasdaq”) and has no material relationship with the Company other than by virtue of service on the Board of Directors. During the course of determining the independence of Dr. Israeli, the Board of Directors considered the Agreement entered into by and among the Company, Hadasit and Dr. Israeli described above in “Certain Arrangements” and “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.”

The Board of Directors is comprised of a substantial majority of independent directors and the Audit and GNC Committees are comprised entirely of independent directors.

LEGAL MATTERS

Validity of the securities offered by this prospectus will be passed upon for us by BRL Law Group LLC, Boston, Massachusetts. As of December 31, 2012, Thomas B. Rosedale, the Managing Member of BRL Law Group LLC, beneficially owned 351,346 shares of our common stock and may receive additional shares as part of compensation for certain legal services performed by BRL Law Group LLC in 2013.

EXPERTS

The financial statements included in this Prospectus of the Company have been audited by Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co., a member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report appearing herein (which report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements and includes an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern). Such financial statements have been so included in reliance upon the report of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

INDEMNIFICATION UNDER OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS

The Certificate of Incorporation of our Company provides that no director will be personally liable to our Company or its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of a fiduciary duty as a director, except to the extent such exemption or limitation of liability is not permitted under the Delaware General Corporation Law. The effect of this provision in the Certificate of Incorporation is to eliminate the rights of the Company and its stockholders, either directly or through stockholders' derivative suits brought on behalf of our Company, to recover monetary damages from a director for breach of the fiduciary duty of care as a director except in those instances described under the Delaware General Corporation Law. Our Certificate of Incorporation and our Bylaws provide that the Company will indemnify its present and former directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted under the Delaware General Corporation Law. In addition, under our Bylaws the Company may purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of any person who is or was serving as a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company, or of another entity at the request of the Company.

Indemnification may not apply in certain circumstances to actions arising under the federal securities laws. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling our Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, our Company has been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and special reports and other information with the SEC. These filings contain important information that does not appear in this prospectus. For further information about us, you may read and copy any reports, statements and other information filed by us at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549-0102. You may obtain further information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Our SEC filings are also available on the SEC Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>, which contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

(A development stage company)

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011

U.S. DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

(Except share data)

INDEX

	Page
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	F-2 - F-3
<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-5
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-15
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-16 - F-47
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	F-48
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	F-49
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)	F-50
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	F-60

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. (A Development Stage Company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. and subsidiary (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statement of income, stockholders' deficiency, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and for the period from April 1, 2004 to December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's Board of Directors and management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

The financial statements for the period from April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2007, were audited by other auditors. The consolidated financial statements for the period from April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2007 included a net loss of \$32,325,000. Our opinion on the consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the period from April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2011, insofar as it relates to amounts for prior periods through December 31, 2007, is based solely on the report of other auditors. The other auditors report dated April 13, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion, and included an explanatory paragraph concerning an uncertainty about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, and regarding the status of the Company research and development license agreement with Ramot.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditor, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and for the period from April 1, 2004 to December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company is a development stage enterprise engaged in development innovative stem cell therapeutic products based on technologies enabling the *in-vitro* differentiation of bone marrow stem cells into neural-like cells, based on the acquired technology and research to be conducted and funded by the Company as discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements. The Company's working capital deficiency and operating losses since inception through December 31, 2011 raise substantial doubts about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 1 to the financial statements. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

/s/ Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.

Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.

Certified Public Accountants

A Member Firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Tel Aviv, Israel

March 13, 2012

Audit.Tax.Consulting.Financial Advisory. Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.

(A development stage company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (a development stage company) ("the Company") and its subsidiary as of December 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, statements of changes in stockholders' equity (deficiency) and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007, for the nine months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from March 31, 2004 through December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the report of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company and its subsidiary as of December 31, 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007, for the nine months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from March 31, 2004 through December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standard Board Statement No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment".

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Note 1h, the Company has incurred operating losses and has a negative cash flow from operating activities and has a working capital deficiency. As for the Company research and development license agreement with Ramot, see Note 3. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue to operate as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer
Tel-Aviv, Israel KOST FORER GABBAY & KASIERER
April 13, 2008 A Member of Ernst & Young Global

F-3

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**(A development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	December 31, 2011 2010 U.S. \$ in thousands	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,923	93
Account receivable (Note 5)	312	427
Prepaid expenses	69	59
Total current assets	2,304	579
Long-Term Investments:		
Prepaid expenses	17	1
Severance payment fund	109	90
Total long-term investments	126	91
Property and Equipment, Net (Note 6)	314	419
Total assets	2,744	1,089
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY		
Current Liabilities:		
Trade payables	244	307
Accrued expenses	750	448
Other accounts payable (Note 7)	141	531
Short-term convertible note (Note 8)	-	137
Total current liabilities	1,135	1,423
Accrued Severance Pay	121	125
Total liabilities	1,256	1,548

Stockholders' Equity (deficiency):		
Stock capital: (Note 11)	6	5
Common stock \$0.00005 par value - Authorized: 800,000,000 shares at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; Issued and outstanding: 126,444,309 and 95,832,978 shares		
Additional paid-in-capital	45,560	39,696
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(44,078)	(40,160)
Total stockholders' deficiency	1,488	(459)
Total liabilities and stockholders' Equity (deficiency)	2,744	1,089

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F-4

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**(A development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31, 2011(*)
	2011	2010	
	U.S. \$ in thousands		
Operating costs and expenses:			
Research and development, net (Note 12)	1,689	1,045	24,419
General and administrative	2,205	1,544	17,003
Total operating costs and expenses	3,894	2,589	41,422
Financial expense (income), net	151	(189) 2,547
Other income	(132) -	(132
Operating loss	3,913	2,400	43,837
Taxes on income (Note 13)	5	19	77
Loss from continuing operations	3,918	2,419	43,914
Net loss from discontinued operations	-	-	164
Net loss	3,918	2,419	44,078
Basic and diluted net loss per share from continuing operations	0.03	0.03	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	120,117,724	89,094,403	

(* Out of which, \$163, relating to the period from inception to March 31, 2004, is unaudited.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-5

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**(A development stage company)****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock - based	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	compensation	during the	equity
				stage	development	(deficiency)
Balance as of September 22, 2000 (date of inception) (unaudited)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stock issued on September 22, 2000 for cash at \$0.00188 per share	8,500,000	1	16	-	-	17
Stock issued on June 30, 2001 for cash at \$0.0375 per share	1,600,000	*	60	-	-	60
Contribution of capital	-	-	8	-	-	8
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(17)	(17)
Balance as of March 31, 2001 (unaudited)	10,100,000	1	84	-	(17)	68
Contribution of capital	-	-	11	-	-	11
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(26)	(26)
Balance as of March 31, 2002 (unaudited)	10,100,000	1	95	-	(43)	53
Contribution of capital	-	-	15	-	-	15
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(47)	(47)
Balance as of March 31, 2003 (unaudited)	10,100,000	1	110	-	(90)	21
2-for-1 stock split	10,100,000	*	-	-	-	-
Stock issued on August 31, 2003 to purchase mineral option at \$0.065 per share	100,000	*	6	-	-	6
Cancellation of shares granted to Company's President	(10,062,000)	*	*	-	-	-
Contribution of capital	-	*	15	-	-	15

Net loss	-	-	-	-	(73)	(73)
Balance as of March 31, 2004 (unaudited)	10,238,000	1	131	-	(163)	(31)

* Represents an amount less than \$1.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-6

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**(A development stage company)****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	Common stock Number	Amount	Additional paid-in capital	Deferred Stock - based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity (deficiency)
Balance as of March 31, 2004	10,238,000	1	131	-	(163)	(31)
Stock issued on June 24, 2004 for private placement at \$0.01 per share, net of \$25,000 issuance expenses	8,510,000	*	60	-	-	60
Contribution capital	-	-	7	-	-	7
Stock issued in 2004 for private placement at \$0.75 per unit	1,894,808	*	1,418	-	-	1,418
Cancellation of shares granted to service providers	(1,800,000)	*	-	-	-	-
Deferred stock-based compensation related to options granted to employees	-	-	5,979	(5,979)	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to employees	-	-	-	584	-	584
Compensation related to shares and options granted to service providers	2,025,000	*	17,506	-	-	17,506
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(18,840)	(18,840)
Balance as of March 31, 2005	20,867,808	1	25,101	(5,395)	(19,003)	704

* Represents an amount less than \$1.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-7

BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**(A development stage company)****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock - based	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	compensation	during the	equity
					development	(deficiency)
					stage	
Balance as of March 31, 2005	20,867,808	1	25,101	(5,395)	(19,003)	704
Stock issued on May 12, 2005 for private placement at \$0.80 per share	186,875	-	* 149	-	-	149
Stock issued on July 27, 2005 for private placement at \$0.60 per share	165,000	-	* 99	-	-	99
Stock issued on September 30, 2005 for private placement at \$0.80 per share	312,500	-	* 225	-	-	225
Stock issued on December 7, 2005 for private placement at \$0.80 per share	187,500	-	* 135	-	-	135
Forfeiture of options granted to employees	-	-	(3,363)	3,363	-	-
Deferred stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	-	* 486	(486)	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to employees and directors	-	-	51	1,123	-	-