

SIMPLETECH INC
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2006
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

or

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-31623

SIMPLETECH, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

CALIFORNIA
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

3001 Daimler Street

Santa Ana, CA
(Address of principal executive offices)

33-0399154
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

(949) 476-1180

92705-5812
(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 in the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001, as of October 31, 2006 was 47,482,431.

Table of Contents

SIMLETECH, INC.

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q FOR THE

QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------------------------|--|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 4 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 14 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 23 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 23 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 24 |
| Item 1A | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 24 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 36 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 36 |
| Item 4. | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 36 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | 36 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 36 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 37 |

Except as otherwise noted in this report, SimpleTech, the Company, we, us and our collectively refer to SimpleTech, Inc.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****SIMLETECH, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)****(unaudited)**

| | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 37,826 | \$ 60,006 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$2,966 at September 30, 2006 and \$878 at December 31, 2005 | 60,912 | 38,630 |
| Inventory, net | 75,504 | 37,108 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,317 | 1,410 |
| Other current assets | 1,926 | 3,825 |
| Total current assets | 178,485 | 140,979 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net | 10,578 | 8,231 |
| Intangible assets | 852 | 1,036 |
| Goodwill | 1,682 | 733 |
| Other long-term assets | 1,773 | 1,647 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,429 | 2,515 |
| Total assets | \$ 195,799 | \$ 155,141 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY: | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 38,637 | \$ 20,564 |
| Accrued and other liabilities (Note 5) | 8,697 | 7,195 |
| Total liabilities | 47,334 | 27,759 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 6) | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, no shares outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 47,472,531 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2006 and 45,043,568 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2005 | 47 | 45 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 120,285 | 111,576 |
| Retained earnings | 28,133 | 15,761 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 148,465 | 127,382 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 195,799 | \$ 155,141 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net revenues | \$ 92,785 | \$ 67,221 | \$ 237,795 | \$ 198,737 |
| Cost of revenues | 69,463 | 53,313 | 182,097 | 161,054 |
| Gross profit | 23,322 | 13,908 | 55,698 | 37,683 |
| Sales and marketing | 7,137 | 6,352 | 20,182 | 17,750 |
| General and administrative | 3,049 | 3,279 | 10,282 | 9,459 |
| Research and development | 2,543 | 1,805 | 6,808 | 4,709 |
| Total operating expenses | 12,729 | 11,436 | 37,272 | 31,918 |
| Operating income | 10,593 | 2,472 | 18,426 | 5,765 |
| Interest income and other | 397 | 367 | 1,378 | 1,219 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 10,990 | 2,839 | 19,804 | 6,984 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4,178 | 999 | 7,432 | 2,175 |
| Net income | \$ 6,812 | \$ 1,840 | \$ 12,372 | \$ 4,809 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.11 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.10 |
| Shares used in net income per share computation: | | | | |
| Basic | 46,456 | 44,761 | 45,773 | 45,326 |
| Diluted | 48,489 | 46,668 | 47,156 | 46,809 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 12,372 | \$ 4,809 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,106 | 2,199 |
| Loss (gain) on sale of furniture, fixtures and equipment | 1 | 61 |
| Accounts receivable provisions | 3,976 | 753 |
| Inventory excess and obsolescence expense | 1,611 | 664 |
| Deferred income taxes | (821) | 989 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 5 | 366 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (27,258) | 1,212 |
| Inventory | (40,007) | (17,775) |
| Other assets | 976 | (1,240) |
| Accounts payable | 18,073 | 11,163 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 1,502 | (1,264) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (26,464) | 1,937 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Sales of marketable securities, net | | 9,972 |
| Purchase of Memtech SSD Corp., net of cash acquired and including transaction costs | | (1,561) |
| Acquisition of business | (500) | |
| Purchase of furniture, fixtures and equipment | (3,922) | (3,479) |
| Proceeds from sale of furniture, fixtures and equipment | | 39 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (4,422) | 4,971 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 6,729 | |
| Tax benefit of employee stock option exercise | 1,977 | |
| Stock buyback | | (11,827) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | | 1,237 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 8,706 | (10,590) |
| Net decrease in cash | (22,180) | (3,682) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 60,006 | 73,346 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 37,826 | \$ 69,664 |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities: | | |
| Receivable from Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation used to fund acquisition | \$ 1,000 | |
| See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements. | | |

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 1 Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements of SimpleTech, Inc., a California corporation (the Company), are unaudited and have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair statement of the consolidated financial position of the Company at September 30, 2006, the consolidated results of operations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of cash flows for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, have been included. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in the most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The December 31, 2005 balances reported herein are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of the Company's subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*Reclassifications:*

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period consolidated financial statements to conform to the current quarter presentation.

Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities (e.g., bad debt reserves and inventory reserves), disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentrations:

As shown in the table below, customer concentrations of accounts receivable and revenues of greater than 10% were as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|---------------------|----------|---|----------|---------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
| | Accounts Receivable | Revenues | Accounts Receivable | Revenues | Accounts Receivable | Revenues | Accounts Receivable | Revenues |
| Customer A | 15% | 20% | 17% | 18% | 15% | 22% | 17% | 20% |
| Customer B | * | 16% | * | 14% | * | 15% | * | 16% |
| Customer C | * | 11% | 11% | 15% | * | 12% | 11% | 16% |
| Total | 15% | 47% | 28% | 47% | 15% | 49% | 28% | 52% |

* Less than 10%

For each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, international sales comprised 13% and 11%, respectively, of the Company's revenues. For each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, international sales comprised 13% and 12%, respectively, of the Company's revenues. During these periods, no single foreign country accounted for more than 10% of revenues. Substantially all of the Company's international sales are export sales, which are shipped from the Company's domestic facility to foreign customers.

Table of Contents*Warranties:*

The Company's products are generally sold under various limited warranty arrangements, which range from one year to the product's lifetime. Estimated warranty costs are recorded concurrently with the recognition of revenue. Historically, the costs of repairs or replacement have been immaterial and have approximated management's estimates.

Sales and marketing incentives:

Sales and marketing incentives were offset against revenues or charged to operations in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 01-09, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer or a Reseller of the Vendor Products. Sales and marketing incentives amounted to \$5.9 million and \$2.1 million for each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of which \$5.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, were offset against revenues, and \$20,000 and \$112,000, respectively, were charged as an operating expense. Sales and marketing incentives amounted to \$12.1 million and \$6.6 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of which \$11.9 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, were offset against revenues, and \$151,000 and \$452,000, respectively were charged as an operating expense.

Consideration generally given by the Company to a customer is presumed to be a reduction of selling price, and therefore, a reduction of revenue. However, if the Company receives an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration given to its customer that is sufficiently separable from the Company's sales to that customer, such that the Company could have paid an independent company to receive that benefit, and the Company can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit, then the consideration is characterized as an expense. The Company estimates the fair value of the benefits it receives by tracking the advertising done by its customers on the Company's behalf and calculating the value of that advertising using a comparable rate for similar publications.

Shipping and handling costs:

Shipping and handling costs incurred in a sales transaction to ship products to a customer are included in sales and marketing expenses. For each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 shipping and handling costs were \$1.0 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, compared to \$604,000 and \$1.6 million for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling are included in revenues. For each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 shipping and handling costs billed to customers were \$51,000 and \$209,000, respectively, compared to \$65,000 and \$239,000 for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

Income taxes:

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end based on enacted tax laws and statutory rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The provision for income taxes represents the tax payable for the year and the change during the year in deferred income tax assets and liabilities. The difference between the effective tax rate and the U.S. statutory tax rate for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 reflects the benefit of tax exempt interest income, the recognition of federal tax credits related to research and development in 2005, increased by the net impact of state taxes in 2006 and decreased by the state benefit related to research and development credits and enterprise zone hiring credits in 2005 and 2006.

New accounting pronouncements:

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires

Table of Contents

that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position will more likely than not be sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective as of the beginning of the Company's 2007 fiscal year, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on its financial statements.

SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company will adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 effective January 1, 2008. The Company does not expect SFAS 157 to have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108). Due to diversity in practice among registrants, SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company does not believe SAB 108 will have a material impact on its reported results from operations or financial position.

The Company has implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that may impact its consolidated financial statements and does not believe that there are any other new accounting pronouncements that have been issued that might have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 Acquisition

On January 15, 2006, the Company completed the acquisition of the flash controller group of the logic division of Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation. This group designs and manufactures flash memory controller products and is located in Hsin Chu, Taiwan. The Company acquired the flash controller group for approximately \$1.5 million. This acquisition enables the Company to develop flash memory controllers that are customized for its products. In addition, it provides the Company with a set of resources to pursue this customization without having to locate, hire, and train a new team of engineers to fulfill this task.

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase under SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. The valuation is finalized and the allocation of the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net tangible assets acquired is included in goodwill as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| (In thousands) | |
| Current assets | \$ |
| Fixed assets | 1,050 |
| | |
| Fair value of tangible assets acquired | 1,050 |
| Goodwill | 450 |
| | |
| Consideration | \$ 1,500 |

Note 4 Net Income Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. In computing diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of shares outstanding is adjusted to reflect the potentially dilutive securities. Options to purchase 7,632,141 and 10,110,879 shares of common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In addition, 206,000 restricted stock units payable in shares of common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2006. There were no outstanding restricted stock units at September 30, 2005. For each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, potentially dilutive securities consisted solely of options and restricted stock units and resulted in potential common shares of 2,032,942 and 1,907,889, respectively. For each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, potentially dilutive securities consisted solely of options and restricted stock units and resulted in potential common shares of 1,383,294 and 1,483,286, respectively.

Share-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: SIMPLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which was issued in December 2004. SFAS 123(R) is a revision to SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and its related interpretations. SFAS 123(R) requires the measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The cost will be recognized

Table of Contents

over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. No compensation expense is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render service. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated. Under this application, the Company is required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption.

On December 19, 2005, the Company's board of directors approved the termination of its Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) and the acceleration of the vesting of all then current unvested stock options awarded under its 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, including stock options held by its employees, officers, directors and consultants. These unvested stock options consisted of both in-the-money as well as out-of-the-money options. Based upon the closing price of SimpleTech common stock of \$3.79 per share on December 19, 2005, approximately 47% of the total accelerated stock options were in-the-money with a weighted average exercise price of \$3.20 per share. In accordance with SFAS 123, the Company expensed the remaining unrecognized compensation expense associated with the options with accelerated vesting in the pro forma disclosure. The decision to terminate the ESPP and accelerate vesting of the stock options was made primarily to avoid recognizing the related compensation expense in the Company's future consolidated financial statements with respect to the shares issued under the ESPP and the unvested stock options upon the Company's adoption of Statement SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006. As a result of adopting FAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company's share based compensation expense related to stock options was \$4,000 and \$10,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. In addition, the Company's share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock was \$46,000 and \$51,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company accounted for stock-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 as allowed under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123). Under the intrinsic value method, no stock-based compensation expense had been recognized in the Company's consolidated statement of operations because the exercise price of the Company's stock options granted to employees and directors equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock at the date of grant.

For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. The table below sets forth the Company's pro forma information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, assuming the Company had determined compensation expense for awards under stock option plans based on the fair value at the grant date.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | Nine Months Ended September 30, |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | 2005 | 2005 |
| Net income, as reported | \$ 1,840 | \$ 4,809 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (1,027) | (2,977) |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 813 | \$ 1,832 |
| Income per share: | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.11 |
| Basic pro forma | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.04 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.11 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.04 |

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatility is based upon the historical volatility of our stock for a period approximating the expected life. The risk-free interest rate is estimated using the U.S. Treasury rates corresponding to the grant date and expected life. Dividend yield is based on our history of dividend payouts. The expected life of options granted is estimated based on historical exercise patterns and represents the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding.

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

For purposes of calculating the compensation cost consistent with SFAS No. 123, the fair value of each option granted to employees is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model on the date of grant using the following assumptions for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 |
|--|--|---|
| Expected volatility | 63% | 63% |
| Weighted-average risk-free interest rate | 3.84% to 4.28% | 3.63% to 4.28% |
| Dividend yield | none | none |
| Average expected life | 5 | 5 |
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value | \$2.39 | \$2.20 |

Table of Contents**Note 5 Supplemental Balance Sheet Information**

Inventory consists of the following:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 34,262 | \$ 22,994 |
| Work-in-progress | 7,838 | 1,132 |
| Finished goods | 36,700 | 14,938 |
| | 78,800 | 39,064 |
| Valuation allowances | (3,296) | (1,956) |
| Inventory, net | \$ 75,504 | \$ 37,108 |

The Company has had to write down inventory in the past for reasons such as obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below its costs. These inventory write-downs were \$460,000 and \$1,611,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to \$164,000 and \$664,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. In addition, the Company offers some of its Consumer Division customers limited price protection rights for inventories of the Company's products held by them. If the Company reduces the list price of its products, these customers may receive credits from the Company. The Company incurred price protection charges of \$1.6 million and \$2.9 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to \$84,000 and \$665,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. The Company also offers rebate programs through some of its Consumer Division customers to end-users. The Company incurred rebate charges of \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to \$30,000 and \$360,000 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Rebate charges increased significantly in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 due primarily to the expansion of the Company's revenues from the retail channel, which typically involves the frequent use of rebate programs.

Accrued and other liabilities consisted of the following as of:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Payroll costs | \$ 4,860 | \$ 3,423 |
| Marketing | 2,308 | 2,148 |
| Other | 1,529 | 1,624 |
| Total | \$ 8,697 | \$ 7,195 |

Note 6 Commitments and Contingencies**Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP Patent Infringement**

The Company received notice on November 26, 2001 that the Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP (Lemelson Foundation) filed a complaint on November 13, 2001 against the Company and other defendants. The complaint was filed in the District Court of Arizona and alleges that the Company's manufacturing processes infringe several patents that the Lemelson Foundation allegedly owns. The complaint also states that these allegedly infringed patents relate to machine vision technology and bar coding technology. On March 7, 2002, the Company was served with the Lemelson Foundation complaint. Thereafter, the case was stayed pending the outcome of related cases against other parties involving the same patents. On September 9, 2005, in one of these related cases, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed a decision by the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada that found several Lemelson Foundation patents to be unenforceable. Because the final outcome of the related cases are expected to affect the Lemelson Foundation's lawsuit against the Company, an estimate of potential damages, if any, would be premature and speculative. The Company believes this lawsuit is without merit and it intends to vigorously defend itself against it.

Hard Drive Class Action Lawsuit

On October 6, 2006, an individual, Boris Brand, filed a purported nationwide class action lawsuit against the Company in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles, alleging that the Company's description of the capacity of its hard drive products constitutes fraudulent, unfair, deceptive and false advertising under California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 and 17500 and violates the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act. In particular, the lawsuit alleges that the Company's description of the storage capacity on its hard drives uses a decimal basis for measuring gigabytes which results in a lower storage capacity when the hard drives are incorporated into an operating system that uses a binary gigabyte basis for measurement. Plaintiff

Table of Contents

seeks restitution, disgorgement, compensatory damages and injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. The Company believes this lawsuit is without merit and it intends to vigorously defend itself against it. The Company has submitted the defense of this lawsuit to its insurance carrier. Also, it has notified all of the suppliers who have supplied the Company with the hard drives involved, since the Company believes that those suppliers have a legal duty to indemnify the Company in the event that SimpleTech has to pay any damages. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company's insurance carrier will ultimately agree to defend this lawsuit on the Company's behalf or that any of the Company's suppliers will indemnify the Company for any damages resulting from this lawsuit. As of September 30, 2006, no amounts have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements for this matter, as management believes an unfavorable outcome is not probable.

Other Legal Proceedings

The Company is currently not a party to any other material legal proceedings. However, the Company is involved in other suits and claims in the ordinary course of business, and the Company may from time to time become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business.

Indemnification

The Company has agreements whereby the Company indemnifies its officers and directors over his or her lifetime for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was serving, at the Company's request in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, the Company has a director and officer insurance policy that limits the Company's exposure and should enable the Company to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of the Company's insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated potential liability related to these indemnification agreements is minimal. All of these indemnification agreements, except for the agreement for James Peterson and Rajat Bahri who joined the Board of Directors in January 2003 and November 2005, respectively, were grandfathered under the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board interpretation (FIN) No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others an Interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and Rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34, as they were in effect prior to December 31, 2002. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2006.

As is common in the industry, the Company currently has in effect a number of agreements in which the Company has agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless certain of its suppliers and customers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by the Company's products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. The Company's insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. The Company has never incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2006.

In addition, a number of the Company's product sales and product purchase agreements provide that the Company will defend, indemnify and hold harmless its customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from product warranty claims or claims for injury or damage resulting from defects in the Company's products. The term of these indemnification obligations are generally one to seven years. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification obligations is unlimited. The Company maintains insurance to protect against certain claims associated with the use of its products, but its insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all or any part of the claims asserted against the Company. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification obligations is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2006.

Other Commitments

The Company is subject to repurchase agreements with various financial institutions in connection with wholesale inventory financing. Under these agreements, the Company may be required to repurchase inventory upon customer default with a financing institution and then resell the inventory through normal distribution channels. As of September 30, 2006, the Company has not been required to repurchase inventory in connection with the customer default agreements noted above. However, it may be possible that the Company will be required to repurchase inventory, upon customer default, in the future. Sales under such agreements were approximately \$113,000 and \$87,000 in each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$487,000 and \$788,000 in each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Note 7 Segment Information

The Company reports financial results for two reportable operating segments: OEM and Consumer Divisions. The Company does not aggregate any operating segments.

The accounting policies for each of the reportable operating segments are the same as those described in Note 2 from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and reflect the information used by the Company's management to evaluate the performance of its segments. For the OEM and Consumer segments, the Company tracks separately net sales and gross profit, but does not track separately operating expenses. The Company does not maintain separate records to identify assets by operating segment.

Table of Contents

Summarized financial information regarding the Company's two reportable segments is shown in the following table:

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Net Revenues | Cost of Revenues | Gross Profit |
| Consumer: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 15,395 | \$ 13,442 | \$ 1,953 |
| Flash Memory | 7,316 | 6,438 | 878 |
| Stacked Memory | 838 | 616 | 222 |
| Hard Drive | 15,481 | 13,782 | 1,699 |
| | \$ 39,030 | \$ 34,278 | \$ 4,752 |
| OEM: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 6,551 | \$ 5,774 | \$ 777 |
| Flash Memory | 24,837 | 11,904 | 12,933 |
| Stacked Memory | 21,852 | 17,453 | 4,399 |
| Other | 515 | 54 | 461 |
| | \$ 53,755 | \$ 35,185 | \$ 18,570 |
| Total: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 21,946 | \$ 19,216 | \$ 2,730 |
| Flash Memory | 32,153 | 18,342 | 13,811 |
| Stacked Memory | 22,690 | 18,069 | 4,621 |
| Hard Drive/other | 15,996 | 13,836 | 2,160 |
| | \$ 92,785 | \$ 69,463 | \$ 23,322 |
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | | | |
| | Net Revenues | Cost of Revenues | Gross Profit |
| Consumer: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 15,908 | \$ 13,972 | \$ 1,936 |
| Flash Memory | 9,280 | 8,047 | 1,233 |
| Stacked Memory | 1,348 | 1,034 | 314 |
| Hard Drive | 7,839 | 6,307 | 1,532 |
| | \$ 34,375 | \$ 29,360 | \$ 5,015 |
| OEM: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 3,748 | \$ 2,819 | \$ 929 |
| Flash Memory | 14,548 | 8,522 | 6,026 |
| Stacked Memory | 14,464 | 12,568 | 1,896 |
| Other | 86 | 44 | 42 |
| | \$ 32,846 | \$ 23,953 | \$ 8,893 |
| Total: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 19,656 | \$ 16,791 | \$ 2,865 |
| Flash Memory | 23,828 | 16,569 | 7,259 |
| Stacked Memory | 15,812 | 13,602 | 2,210 |
| Hard Drive/other | 7,925 | 6,351 | 1,574 |

\$ 67,221 \$ 53,313 \$ 13,908

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Net Revenues | Cost of Revenues | Gross Profit |
| Consumer: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 37,237 | \$ 31,762 | \$ 5,475 |
| Flash Memory | 18,633 | 16,406 | 2,227 |
| Stacked Memory | 2,452 | 1,992 | 460 |
| Hard Drive | 38,005 | 33,750 | 4,255 |
| | \$ 96,327 | \$ 83,910 | \$ 12,417 |
| OEM: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 18,007 | \$ 15,377 | \$ 2,630 |
| Flash Memory | 62,055 | 32,703 | 29,352 |
| Stacked Memory | 60,753 | 49,986 | 10,767 |
| Other | 653 | 121 | 532 |
| | \$ 141,468 | \$ 98,187 | \$ 43,281 |
| Total: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 55,244 | \$ 47,139 | \$ 8,105 |
| Flash Memory | 80,688 | 49,109 | 31,579 |
| Stacked Memory | 63,205 | 51,978 | 11,227 |
| Hard Drive/other | 38,658 | 33,871 | 4,787 |
| | \$ 237,795 | \$ 182,097 | \$ 55,698 |
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 | | | |
| | Net Revenues | Cost of Revenues | Gross Profit |
| Consumer: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 49,360 | \$ 43,384 | \$ 5,976 |
| Flash Memory | 25,676 | 22,826 | 2,850 |
| Stacked Memory | 4,508 | 3,484 | 1,024 |
| Hard Drive | 21,372 | 17,444 | 3,928 |
| | \$ 100,916 | \$ 87,138 | \$ 13,778 |
| OEM: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 17,286 | \$ 13,664 | \$ 3,622 |
| Flash Memory | 26,668 | 15,433 | 11,235 |
| Stacked Memory | 53,422 | 44,611 | 8,811 |
| Other | 445 | 208 | 237 |
| | \$ 97,821 | \$ 73,916 | \$ 23,905 |
| Total: | | | |
| Standard Memory | \$ 66,646 | \$ 57,048 | \$ 9,598 |
| Flash Memory | 52,344 | 38,259 | 14,085 |
| Stacked Memory | 57,930 | 48,095 | 9,835 |
| Hard Drive/other | 21,817 | 17,652 | 4,165 |
| | \$ 198,737 | \$ 161,054 | \$ 37,683 |

Table of Contents**Note 8 Intangible Assets and Goodwill**

The following table presents detail of the Company's intangible assets, related accumulated amortization and goodwill:

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | | As of December 31, 2005 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Developed technology (five years) | \$ 400,000 | \$ 167,000 | \$ 233,000 | \$ 400,000 | \$ 107,000 | \$ 293,000 |
| Customer relationships (five years) | 900,000 | 281,000 | 619,000 | 900,000 | 157,000 | 743,000 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 1,300,000 | \$ 448,000 | \$ 852,000 | \$ 1,300,000 | \$ 264,000 | \$ 1,036,000 |
| Goodwill | \$ 1,682,000 | \$ | \$ 1,682,000 | \$ 733,000 | \$ | \$ 733,000 |

In accordance with SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill and other intangible assets with indeterminate lives are not subject to amortization but are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The increase of \$949,000 in goodwill is the result of \$450,000 related to the acquisition of the flash controller group of the logic division of Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation on January 15, 2006 and due to the Company determining the final tax liability of \$499,000 related to the acquisition of Memtech SSD, Corporation. This tax liability related to Memtech's fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 and was recorded to goodwill in the first quarter of 2006. Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with SFAS 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. The Company recorded amortization expense of \$61,000 and \$20,000 for each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Estimated intangible asset amortization expense (based on existing intangible assets) for the remainder of year ending December 31, 2006 and the years ending December 31, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 is \$61,000, \$245,000, \$245,000, \$218,000, and \$83,000, respectively. Amortization will be completed as of the end of 2010.

Note 9 Shareholders Equity

The 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) was adopted by the Company's board of directors and approved by its shareholders in September 2000. On April 17, 2006, the Plan was amended and restated by the Board and approved by the Company's shareholders on May 25, 2006. The Plan provides for the direct issuance or sale of shares and the grant of options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. Under the Plan, eligible participants may be granted options to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price not less than 100% of the fair market value of those shares on the grant date. In addition, the Plan as amended and restated, allows for the issuance of restricted stock units to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. Restricted stock units are share awards that entitle the holder to receive shares of the Company's common stock upon vesting. The Company's board of directors, its compensation committee or its equity awards committee determines eligibility and vesting schedules for options and restricted stock units granted under the Plan. Options expire within a period of not more than ten years from the date of grant.

At September 30, 2006, the Plan provided for the issuance of up to 17,226,648 shares of common stock. The number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Plan will automatically increase on the first trading day in January in each calendar year by an amount equal to 4% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding on the last trading day in December of the prior calendar year, but in no event will exceed 2,500,000 shares.

A summary of the option activity under the Plan is as follows:

| | Shares | Weighted-Avg Option Price | Weighted-Avg Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2005 | 10,452,888 | \$ 4.25 | | |
| Granted | 25,000 | 4.16 | | |
| Exercised | (2,428,963) | 2.97 | | |

Edgar Filing: SIMpletech INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------|------|---------------|
| Forfeited/Expired | (416,784) | 6.18 | | |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2006 | 7,632,141 | 4.54 | 7.24 | \$ 35,046,000 |
| Exercisable, September 30, 2006 | 7,607,141 | 4.55 | 7.24 | 34,922,000 |

Table of Contents

The Company received \$6,729,000 in cash proceeds for the exercise of 2,428,963 options with a \$1,977,000 tax benefit for disqualifying dispositions of incentive stock options. The intrinsic value for options exercised for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$5,098,000 and \$6,877,000, respectively.

As of September 30, 2006, total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements already granted under the Plan was \$57,000, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 3.4 years.

At September 30, 2006, 3,700,218 shares of common stock were available for grant under the Plan.

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years) | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$1.50 to \$2.20 | 19,520 | \$ 1.94 | 5.75 | 19,520 | \$ 1.94 |
| \$2.20 to \$3.30 | 1,553,049 | 3.01 | 6.34 | 1,553,049 | 3.01 |
| \$3.30 to \$5.50 | 3,684,603 | 3.98 | 8.19 | 3,659,603 | 3.98 |
| \$5.50 to \$6.60 | 1,715,269 | 5.78 | 5.87 | 1,715,269 | 5.78 |
| \$6.60 to \$11.00 | 659,700 | 8.19 | 7.70 | 659,700 | 8.19 |
| | 7,632,141 | | | 7,607,141 | |

There were no stock options granted during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

The Company has not and does not expect to pay dividends, therefore, no specific dividend yield is utilized under the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the term of the Company's employees stock option grants. The volatility assumption used to value option grants is based exclusively on the Company's historical available closing stock price information. The Company can rely exclusively on this historical information if (1) the Company has no reason to believe that its future volatility over the expected or contractual term is likely to differ from the past, (2) the computation of historical volatility uses a simple average calculation method, (3) a sequential period of historical data at least equals the expected or contractual term of the share options is used and (4) a reasonably sufficient number of price observations are used. The expected life of employee stock options represents the historical weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected life of employees' stock option grants are impacted by all of the underlying assumptions used in the Company's model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model assumes that employees' exercise behavior is a function of the options' remaining contractual life and the extent to which the option is in-the-money. The Black-Scholes option pricing model estimates the probability of exercise as a function of these two variables based on the history of exercises and cancellations of past option grants made by the Company.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Company issued 206,000 restricted stock units with a grant fair value per share determined by the closing price of the common stock on the issuance date. Each unit represents the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock as each restricted stock unit vests. The Company records compensation expense for the amount of the grant date fair value over the period which the restrictions lapse. There were no outstanding restricted stock units as of September 30, 2005.

The following table presents a summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock units as of December 31, 2005, and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

| | Restricted Units | Weighted Average Grant Fair Value |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Non-vested restricted units at December 31, 2005 | | \$ |
| Granted | 206,000 | 3.89 |

Forfeited

| | | | |
|---|---------|----|------|
| Non-vested restricted units at September 30, 2006 | 206,000 | \$ | 3.89 |
|---|---------|----|------|

As of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$752,000 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock units granted under the Plan, as amended and restated.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Cautionary Statement

Certain statements in this report, including statements regarding our strategy, financial performance and revenue sources, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbors created by those sections. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about our industry, management's beliefs, and certain assumptions made by us. Such statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. The section entitled "Risk Factors" set forth in this Form 10-Q and similar discussions in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission made from time to time, including other quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, and in our other SEC filings, discuss some of the important risk factors that may affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Overview

SimpleTech, Inc. was originally incorporated in California in March 1990 as Simple Technology, Inc. Our name was then changed to SimpleTech, Inc. in May 2001. SimpleTech designs, develops, manufactures and markets custom and open-standard memory solutions based on Flash memory and DRAM technologies and external storage solutions. Headquartered in Santa Ana, California, we specialize in developing high-density DRAM memory modules and high-speed, high-capacity Flash solutions and memory cards used in sensitive and highly-volatile environments.

We sell our products through our Consumer and OEM Divisions. Our Consumer Division sells our products through a variety of distribution channels, including VARs, mail order, distributors, and mass market retailers. Our OEM Division markets our products to OEMs, leveraging our custom design capabilities to offer custom memory solutions to address their specific needs.

We are focusing on several revenue growth initiatives, including:

Developing and qualifying customized OEM Flash-based products for industrial applications;

Targeting new customers for our value-add OEM DRAM memory solutions;

Increasing retail sales of our storage product line; and

Expanding our international OEM business in Asia and Europe.

Over the past several years we have expanded our custom design capabilities of Flash products for OEM applications. We have invested significantly in the design and development of customized OEM Flash controllers, firmware and hardware form factors. We expanded our OEM Flash design capabilities and sales and marketing infrastructure through our acquisition in July 2005 of Memtech SSD, Corporation, a provider of ultra-rugged and reliable solid state Flash drives. The acquisition highlighted our continuing commitment to the OEM Flash market and enabled us to create one of the most comprehensive offerings of solid state drives and other Flash-based solutions for industrial and military applications. In January 2006, we acquired substantially all of the assets of the Flash controller group of the logic division of Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation, a Taiwanese company, adding a team of engineers specializing in Flash controller design. In October 2006, we acquired substantially all of the assets of Gnutek Ltd., a privately-held company based in the United Kingdom that designs and develops high-performance NAND Flash-based solid state drives. This acquisition will enable us to address the enterprise storage market's rapidly increasing need for Flash-based drive solutions. We believe that our continued investment in our OEM Flash capabilities will positively impact the future growth of our OEM Flash revenues.

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

OEM Flash product revenue increased 70.7% from \$14.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$24.8 million in the third quarter of 2006, and 132.7% from \$26.7 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$62.1 million in the first nine months of 2006. We expect continued revenue growth from our OEM Flash product line for the remainder of 2006. OEM Flash product gross margins were our highest gross margin product line in each of the third quarters of 2006 and 2005 and in each of the first nine months of 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

We offer monolithic DRAM memory modules and DRAM memory modules based on our stacking technology. The majority of our Consumer DRAM business has been comprised of monolithic DRAM memory modules. Prior to 2005, the substantial majority of our OEM DRAM business has been comprised of stacked DRAM memory modules. As a result of the introduction of new DRAM technologies, we expect that a higher percentage of our OEM DRAM business will be derived from monolithic DRAM memory modules. In recent quarters, our OEM stacked DRAM memory module revenues have been volatile and difficult to project, and we expect this product line to remain difficult to project for the next several quarters as our customers continue to qualify the latest generation modules.

In the past few years, we have invested in the design, development and launch of our product line of 3.5" and 2.5" consumer external storage drives. As a result of our penetration into numerous major U.S. retailers in the past year and the introduction of our new form factor designed by the Pininfarina Group in Turin, Italy, we believe this product line is gaining momentum. Our external storage product revenue increased from \$7.8 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$15.5 million in the third quarter of 2006 and from \$21.4 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$38.0 million in the first nine months of 2006.

We continue to make progress toward one of our long-term revenue growth initiatives to expand of our international business in Asia and Europe. Since the beginning of 2004, we have opened sales, marketing, procurement and engineering offices in France, Hong Kong, Japan, the Netherlands, Taiwan and the United Kingdom in order to build the necessary infrastructure to support revenue growth in those geographic regions. We also plan to build a manufacturing facility in Malaysia, which we expect to help us lower our overall long-term effective tax rate, reduce average production and engineering labor costs, have better access to growing markets in Asia, improve supply chain efficiency, reduce lead times, and increase manufacturing efficiency through investments in new state-of-the-art equipment. The 200,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia is expected to be operational in the first quarter of 2008.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenues for our OEM Division is typically higher than our Consumer Division. We track revenues and gross margins for our Consumer and OEM Divisions. We do not track separately, and do not intend to track separately, operating expenses for our Consumer and OEM Divisions.

Historically, a limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenue. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 68.8% of our revenues in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 70.1% of our total revenues in the first nine months of 2005, and 72.6% of our revenues in the third quarter of 2006, compared to 67.8% of our revenues in the third quarter of 2005. The following table identifies each of our customers that accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in any of the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

| Customer | Percentage of Revenues for the Three Months Ended September 30, | | Percentage of Revenues for the Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------|--|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| CDW Logistics, Inc. (formerly CDW Computer Centers) | 11.3% | 14.8% | 11.8% | 15.6% |
| Micron Semiconductor | 15.6% | 14.3% | 14.5% | 15.5% |
| Smart Modular | 20.1% | 18.2% | 21.9% | 20.5% |

The composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our products changes, and we expect this variability will continue in the future. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a majority of our revenues in the foreseeable future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by any of our major customers, would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. See **Risk Factors** Sales to a limited number of customers represent a significant portion of our revenues, and the loss of any key customer would materially reduce our revenues.

International sales of our products accounted for 13.0% of our revenues in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 12.4% of our revenues in the first nine months of 2005, and 13.1% of our revenues in the third quarter 2006, compared to 11.1% of our revenues in the third quarter of 2005. No foreign geographic area or single foreign country accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. For each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, more than 95.0% of our international sales were denominated in U.S. dollars. In addition, our purchases of DRAM and Flash components are currently denominated in U.S. dollars. However, we do face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries. See **Risk Factors** We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

Table of Contents

In the past, we have been, and expect to continue to be, impacted by seasonal purchasing patterns resulting in lower sales in the first and second quarters of each year. Other factors, including component price fluctuations and the launch of sales of products to new customers, may distort the effect of seasonality. Our ability to adjust our short-term operating expenses in response to fluctuations in revenues is limited. As a result, should revenues decrease to a level lower than expected in any given period, our results of operations would be harmed.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which was issued in December 2004. SFAS 123(R) is a revision to SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and its related interpretations. SFAS 123(R) requires the measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Prior to our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we accounted for employee stock options for financial and accounting purposes under APB No. 25, which does not require the expensing of stock options until they are exercised.

On December 19, 2005, our board of directors terminated our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, and approved the acceleration of the vesting of all then current unvested stock options awarded under our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, including options held by our employees, officers, directors and consultants. All other terms and conditions applicable to such stock options, including the exercise prices, remain unchanged. The decision to terminate the ESPP and accelerate vesting of the stock options was made primarily to avoid recognizing the related compensation expense in our future consolidated financial statements with respect to the shares issued under the ESPP and the unvested stock options upon our adoption of SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006. As a result of our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we are required to record compensation expense for all awards granted on and after January 1, 2006 and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding as of December 31, 2005. We had outstanding unvested stock options to purchase an aggregate of 25,000 shares of common stock at September 30, 2006 and no outstanding unvested stock options as of December 31, 2005. In addition, we had 206,000 outstanding unvested restricted stock units at September 30, 2006 and no outstanding unvested restricted stock units as of December 31, 2005. Each restricted stock unit represents the right to receive one share of common stock as each restricted stock unit vests. For each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$50,000 and \$61,000, respectively, consisting of expenses related to employee stock options and employee restricted stock units which are included in research and development and general and administrative expenses. As of September 30, 2006, total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements already granted under our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan was \$57,000, which we expect will be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.4 years. We believe SFAS 123(R) will increase our compensation expense, could make our operating results less predictable and affect the way we compensate our employees or cause other changes in the way we conduct our business. As a result of our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we have begun to significantly reduce the use and quantity of stock options compared to the quantity of stock options we granted in recent years. See Notes 4 and 9 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning our adoption of SFAS 123(R) and our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain consolidated statement of operations data reflected as a percentage of revenues.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of revenues | 74.9 | 79.3 | 76.6 | 81.0 |
| Gross profit | 25.1 | 20.7 | 23.4 | 19.0 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 7.7 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 8.9 |
| General and administrative | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| Research and development | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Total operating expenses | 13.7 | 17.0 | 15.7 | 16.1 |
| Operating income | 11.4 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 2.9 |
| Interest income | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 11.8 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 3.5 |
| Provision for income tax | 4.5 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Net income | 7.3 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 2.4 |

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 to Three Months Ended September 30, 2005

Net Revenues. Our revenues were \$92.8 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$67.2 million in the same period in 2005. Revenues increased 38.0% in the third quarter of 2006 due primarily to a 42.1% increase in unit shipments, partially offset by a 2.1% decrease in average sales price, or ASP, from \$48 in the third quarter of 2005 to \$47 in the third quarter of 2006. The decrease in our ASP resulted primarily from a shift in product mix and declining DRAM and Flash component prices. The increase in unit shipments resulted primarily from a 90.5% increase in OEM Flash memory units shipped and a 192.0% increase in Consumer external storage units shipped, partially offset by a 23.3% decrease in Consumer standard DRAM units shipped. OEM Flash product shipments increased due to an increase in orders from new and existing customers in the third quarter of 2006. Consumer external storage product shipments increased in the third quarter of 2006 primarily due to business from new retail customer relationships.

Our Consumer Division revenues increased 13.5% from \$34.4 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$39.0 in the third quarter of 2006. Consumer Division standard memory revenues decreased by 3.2%, Flash memory revenues decreased by 21.2% and stacked memory revenues decreased by 37.8% in the third quarter of 2006. These decreases were offset by an increase of 97.5% in revenues from Consumer Division external storage sales and a 5.8% increase in units shipped. In addition, the ASP for Consumer Division products increased by 7.3%. The increase in Consumer Division unit volume resulted primarily from an increase in Consumer external storage product units shipped from 57,000 units in the third quarter of 2005 to 166,000 units in the third quarter of 2006, primarily as a result of expanded market penetration with new retail customer relationships. The increase in our Consumer Division ASP resulted primarily from a 25.0% increase in the ASP of standard memory products and a 7.8% increase in stacked memory products, partially offset by a 32.6% decrease in the ASP for hard drive products.

Our OEM Division revenues increased 63.7% from \$32.8 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$53.8 million in the third quarter of 2006. The increase in OEM Division revenues was due primarily to a 95.3% increase in OEM Division units shipped, partially offset by a 15.5% decrease in ASP from \$58 in the third quarter of 2005 to \$49 in the third quarter of 2006. The increase in OEM Division unit volume resulted primarily from an increase in Flash memory units shipped from 438,000 units in the third quarter of 2005 to 835,000 units in the third quarter of 2006 due primarily to an increase in qualification orders from new and existing OEM customers. The decrease in our OEM Division ASP resulted primarily from a significant shift in product mix toward lower-ASP, lower-capacity (but higher gross margin), Flash memory products.

Sales of our products are made under short-term cancelable purchase orders. We include in our backlog only those customer orders for which we have accepted purchase orders and to which we have assigned shipment dates within the upcoming six months. Since orders constituting our

Edgar Filing: SIMpletech INC - Form 10-Q

backlog are subject to change due to, among other things, customer cancellations and reschedulings, and our ability to procure necessary components, backlog is not necessarily an indication of future revenues. In addition, there can be no assurance that current backlog will necessarily lead to revenues in any future period. Our combined backlog was \$39.6 million as of September 30, 2006, compared to \$12.1 million as of September 30, 2005. Our Consumer Division backlog was \$6.2 million as of September 30, 2006, compared to \$4.2 million as of September 30, 2005. Our OEM Division backlog was \$33.4 million as of September 30, 2006, compared to \$7.9 million as of September 30, 2005. The increase in backlog at September 30, 2006 compared to September 30, 2005 was primarily due to increased orders primarily for our OEM Flash and Consumer external storage product lines in the third quarter of 2006. Our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received and fulfilled in the same quarter.

Table of Contents

Gross Profit. Our gross profit was \$23.3 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$13.9 million in the same period in 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues was 25.1% in the third quarter of 2006, compared to 20.7% in the third quarter of 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue in the third quarter of 2006 increased due primarily to a shift in product mix toward higher gross profit margin OEM Division products. Gross profit for our Consumer Division as a percentage of Consumer Division revenues decreased from 14.6% in the third quarter of 2005 to 12.2% in the third quarter of 2006. Gross profit for our OEM Division as a percentage of OEM Division revenues increased from 27.1% in the third quarter of 2005 to 34.5% in the third quarter of 2006. This increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues for our OEM Division resulted primarily from an increase in OEM Flash product gross profit margin from 41.4% in the third quarter of 2005 to 52.1% in the third quarter of 2006 and an increase in overall revenues from OEM Flash products from \$14.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$24.8 million in the third quarter of 2006.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses are primarily comprised of personnel costs and travel expenses for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees, commissions paid to internal salespersons and independent manufacturers' representatives, shipping costs and marketing programs. Sales and marketing expenses were \$7.1 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$6.4 million in the third quarter of 2005. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenue were 7.7% in the third quarter of 2006, compared to 9.4% in the third quarter of 2005. The increase in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to an increase in commissions paid and shipping expenses as a result of a higher revenue level, an increase in units shipped, the addition of sales and marketing personnel hired to execute on our revenue growth initiatives, such as expansion in Asia, and to support the continued revenue expansion of our OEM Flash products and our Consumer Division external storage products in the retail channel. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to increase in absolute dollars as our revenues grow.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses are primarily comprised of personnel costs for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses were \$3.0 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$3.3 million in the third quarter of 2005. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 3.3% in the third quarter of 2006, compared to 4.9% in the third quarter of 2005. The decrease in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to \$825,000 in legal settlements received in the third quarter of 2006 related to class action litigation involving predatory pricing practices by certain DRAM vendors. We expect our general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars to support the growth in sale unit volumes and revenues.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our engineering and design staff and the cost of prototype supplies. Research and development expenses were \$2.5 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$1.8 million in the third quarter of 2005. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues were 2.7% in each of the third quarters of 2006 and 2005. Research and development expenses increased due primarily to an increase in payroll costs from our expanding global research and development efforts predominantly related to our OEM Flash product line.

Interest Income and other, Net. Interest income and other, net was \$397,000 in the third quarter of 2006 and \$367,000 in the third quarter of 2005. Interest income is comprised of interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. This increase in interest income resulted primarily from higher interest rates in the third quarter of 2006 compared to the third quarter of 2005, partially offset by a decrease in the average cash balance.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes increased from \$999,000 in the third quarter of 2005 to \$4.2 million in the third quarter of 2006 due primarily to the increase in income before provision for income taxes from \$2.8 million in the third quarter of 2005 to \$11.0 million in the third quarter of 2006. As a percentage of income before provision for income taxes, provision for income taxes increased from 35.2% in the third quarter of 2005 to 38.0% in the third quarter of 2006, due primarily to certain federal research and development tax credits which expired at the end of 2005.

Net Income. Net income was \$6.8 million in the third quarter of 2006, compared to \$1.8 million in the third quarter of 2005.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Net Revenues. Our revenues were \$237.8 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$198.7 million in the same period in 2005. Revenues increased 19.7% in the first nine months of 2006 due primarily to a 44.1% increase in unit shipments, partially offset by a 17.2% decrease in average sales price, or ASP, from \$58 in the first nine months of 2005 to \$48 in the first nine months of 2006. The decrease in our ASP resulted primarily from a shift in product mix and declining DRAM and Flash component prices. The increase in unit shipments resulted primarily from a 184.3% increase in OEM Flash memory units shipped and an 86.3% increase in

Table of Contents

Consumer Division external storage units shipped, partially offset by a 32.9% decrease in Consumer Division standard DRAM units shipped. OEM Flash product shipments increased from 672,000 units in the first nine months of 2005 to 1,911,000 units in the first nine months of 2006 due primarily to an increase in orders from new and existing customers. Consumer external storage product shipments increased from 226,000 units in the first nine months of 2005 to 421,000 units in the first nine months of 2006 due primarily to new retail customer relationships.

Our Consumer Division revenues decreased 4.5% from \$100.9 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$96.3 million in the first nine months of 2006. Consumer Division revenues decreased in the first nine months of 2006 due primarily to a 7.0% decrease in Consumer Division ASP from \$43 in the first nine months of 2005 to \$40 in the first nine months of 2006, partially offset by a 4.3% increase in Consumer Division unit volume resulting primarily from a 15.8% increase in Flash memory units shipped and an 85.8% increase in external storage units shipped partially offset by a 32.9% decrease in Consumer Division standard DRAM units shipped. The decrease in Consumer Division ASP resulted from declines in DRAM and Flash component pricing in the first nine months of 2006 compared to the first nine months of 2005.

Our OEM Division revenues increased 44.6% from \$97.8 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$141.5 million in the first nine months of 2006. The increase in OEM Division revenues was due primarily to a 126.7% increase in OEM Division units shipped, partially offset by a 35.6% decrease in ASP from \$87 in the first nine months of 2005 to \$56 in the first nine months of 2006. The increase in OEM Division unit volume resulted primarily from an increase in Flash memory units shipped from 672,000 units in the first nine months of 2005 to 1,911,000 units in the first nine months of 2006 due primarily to an increase in qualification orders from new and existing OEM customers. The decrease in our OEM Division ASP resulted primarily from a significant shift in product mix toward lower-ASP, lower-capacity (but higher gross margin), Flash memory products.

Gross Profit. Our gross profit was \$55.7 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$37.7 million in the same period in 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues was 23.4% in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 19.0% in the same period in 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue in the first nine months of 2006 increased due primarily to a shift in product mix toward higher gross profit margin OEM Division products. Gross profit for our Consumer Division as a percentage of Consumer Division revenues decreased slightly from 13.7% in the first nine months of 2005 to 12.9% in the first nine months of 2006. Gross profit for our OEM Division as a percentage of OEM Division revenues increased from 24.4% in the first nine months of 2005 to 30.6% in the first nine months of 2006. This increase in gross profit as a percentage of OEM Division revenues resulted primarily from a shift in product mix and an increase in this high gross profit margin product line from 42.1% in the first nine months of 2005 to 47.3% in the first nine months of 2006 and an increase in overall revenues from this product line from \$26.7 million in the first nine months of 2005 compared to \$62.1 million in the first nine months of 2006.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses are primarily comprised of personnel costs and travel expenses for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees, commissions paid to internal salespersons and independent manufacturers' representatives, shipping costs and marketing programs. Sales and marketing expenses were \$20.2 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$17.8 million in the first nine months of 2005. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenue were 8.5% in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 8.9% in the first nine months of 2005. The increase in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to an increase in commissions paid and shipping expenses as a result of a higher revenue level, an increase in units shipped and the addition of sales and marketing personnel hired to execute on our revenue growth initiatives, such as expansion in Asia, and to support the continued expansion of our OEM Flash products and our Consumer Division external storage products in the retail channel. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to increase in absolute dollars as our revenues grow.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses are primarily comprised of personnel costs for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses were \$10.3 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$9.5 million in the first nine months of 2005. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 4.3% in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 4.8% in the first nine months of 2005. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to an increase in bad debt expense, additional payroll expense and severance costs. We expect our general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars to support the growth in sale unit volumes and revenues.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our engineering and design staff, product development costs and the cost of prototype supplies. Research and development expenses were \$6.8 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$4.7 million in the first nine months of 2005. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues were 2.9% in the first nine months of 2006, compared to 2.4% in the first nine months of 2005. Research and development expenses increased due primarily to an increase in payroll costs from our expanding global research and development efforts predominantly related to our OEM Flash product line.

Table of Contents

Interest Income and other, Net. Interest income and other, net was \$1.4 million in the first nine months of 2006 and \$1.2 million in the first nine months of 2005. Interest income is comprised of interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. This increase in interest income resulted primarily from higher interest rates in the first nine months of 2006 compared to the first nine months of 2005, partially offset by a decrease in the average cash balance.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes increased from \$2.2 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$7.4 million in the first nine months of 2006. This was due primarily to an increase in income before provision for income taxes from \$7.0 million in the first nine months of 2005 to \$19.8 million in the first nine months of 2006. As a percentage of income before provision for income taxes, provision for income taxes increased from 31.1% in the first nine months of 2005 to 37.5% in the first nine months of 2006, due primarily to an income tax audit refund from the California Franchise Tax Board of \$235,000 in the second quarter of 2005 and certain federal research and development tax credits which expired at the end of 2005.

Net Income. Net income was \$12.4 million in the first nine months of 2006, compared to \$4.8 million in the first nine months of 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources*Working Capital, Cash and Marketable Securities*

As of September 30, 2006, we had working capital of \$131.2 million, including \$37.8 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to working capital of \$113.2 million, including \$60.0 million of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2005 and working capital of \$112.2 million, including \$69.7 million of cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2005. Current assets were 3.8 times current liabilities at September 30, 2006, compared to 5.1 times current liabilities at December 31, 2005, and 4.4 times current liabilities at September 30, 2005.

Cash Used in Operating Activities in the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Net cash used by operating activities was \$26.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and resulted primarily from a \$23.3 million increase in accounts receivable, net of allowances, and a \$38.4 million increase in inventory, net of reserves, partially offset by net income of \$12.4 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$3.1 million, non-cash accounts receivable provisions and inventory obsolescence expense of \$5.6 million, a decrease in other assets of \$900,000, an increase in accounts payable of \$18.1 million and a \$1.5 million increase in accrued and other liabilities. Accounts receivable, net of allowances, increased primarily due to an increase in sales for the OEM Division Flash product line orders and the continued growth of our Consumer Division external storage product line in the retail channel. Inventory, net of reserves increased due to the increase in sales as noted above, and due to new inventory consignment arrangements at two major retailers. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and resulted primarily from an \$11.2 million increase in accounts payable, net income of \$4.8 million, non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$2.2 million and a \$2.0 million decrease in accounts receivable, net of allowances, partially offset by a \$17.1 million increase in inventory, net of reserves. Inventory, net of reserves, increased primarily to support increased OEM Division Flash product line orders and the continued growth of our external storage product line in the retail channel.

Cash Used in Investing Activities for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Net cash used by investing activities was \$4.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, attributable primarily to cash consideration of \$500,000 paid for the acquisition of a division of Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation in January 2006 and \$3.9 million in purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$5.0 million for the first nine months of 2005, attributable to \$10.0 million of redemptions of marketable securities, partially offset by \$3.5 million in purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment and a \$1.6 million impact from our acquisition of Memtech SSD, Corp. in July 2005. In addition, we are planning to expand our operations into Malaysia. These plans include construction of an approximately 200,000 square feet manufacturing facility which we expect to be operational in the first quarter of 2008. We are estimating an investment in land, facilities and capital equipment for our Malaysia facility of approximately \$28 million over the next 5 years. We expect this investment will be sourced through existing cash on the balance sheet, cash flow from operations and, if necessary, from new debt and/or equity financings.

Cash Provided in Financing Activities for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$8.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and resulted from \$6.7 million of proceeds realized from the exercise of stock options and a \$2.0 million tax benefit from employee stock option exercises. Net cash used by financing activities was \$10.6 million for the first nine months of 2005 and resulted primarily from the \$11.8 million repurchase of our common stock under our stock buy back plan, partially offset by the issuance of common stock for proceeds of \$1.2 million related to our employee stock

purchase plan and stock option exercises.

Table of Contents

We believe that our existing assets, cash, cash equivalents and investments on hand, together with cash that we expect to generate from our operations, will be sufficient to meet our capital needs for at least the next twelve months. However, it is possible that we may need or elect to raise additional funds to fund our activities beyond the next year, to expand our international operations or to consummate acquisitions of other businesses, products or technologies. We could raise such funds by selling more stock to the public or to selected investors, or by borrowing money. In addition, even though we may not need additional funds, we may still elect to sell additional equity securities or obtain credit facilities for other reasons. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain additional funds on commercially favorable terms, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing additional equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership percentages of existing shareholders would be reduced. In addition, the equity or debt securities that we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our common stock.

Although we believe we have sufficient capital to fund our activities for at least the next twelve months, our future capital requirements may vary materially from those now planned. The amount of capital that we will need in the future will depend on many factors, including:

our relationships with suppliers and customers;

the market acceptance of our products;

the levels of promotion and advertising that will be required to launch our new products and achieve and maintain a competitive position in the marketplace;

expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;

price discounts on our products to our customers;

our pursuit of strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;

our business, product, capital expenditure and research and development plans and product and technology roadmaps;

the levels of inventory and accounts receivable that we maintain;

our entrance into new markets;

capital improvements to new and existing facilities;

technological advances; and

competitors responses to our products.

Contractual Obligations and Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

Set forth in the table below is our estimate of our significant contractual obligations at September 30, 2006. We do not have off-balance sheet financing arrangements as of September 30, 2006.

| Contractual Obligation | Total | Payment due by period | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| Operating Lease Obligations | \$ 7,260,000 | \$ 1,026,000 | \$ 1,485,000 | \$ 1,250,000 | \$ 3,499,000 |
| Non-cancelable capital equipment purchase commitments | 712,000 | 712,000 | | | |
| Non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments | 40,863,000 | 40,863,000 | | | |
| Other non-cancelable purchase commitments | 1,991,000 | 1,991,000 | | | |
| Total | \$ 50,826,000 | \$ 44,592,000 | \$ 1,485,000 | \$ 1,250,000 | \$ 3,499,000 |

Inflation

Inflation was not a material factor in either revenue or operating expenses during each of the first nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of

Table of Contents

revenues and expenses for each period. The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe are: (a) the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and (b) that require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Reserves for inventory excess, obsolescence and lower of market values over costs. We purchase raw materials in quantities that we anticipate will be fully used in the near term. Changes in operating strategy, customer demand and unpredictable fluctuations in market values of raw materials can limit our ability to effectively utilize all of the raw materials purchased and result in finished goods with above market carrying costs which may cause losses on sales to customers. We regularly monitor potential excess, or obsolete, inventory by analyzing the length of time in stock and compare market values to cost. When necessary, we reduce the carrying amount of our inventory to its market value.

Allowances for doubtful accounts and price protection. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly and all past due balances over 90 days are reviewed for collectibility. Additionally, we maintain allowances for limited price protection rights for inventories of our products held by our customers as a result of recent sales transactions to them. If we reduce the list price of our products, these customers may receive a credit from us. By monitoring our inventory levels with our customers, we estimate the impact of such pricing changes on a regular basis and adjust our allowances accordingly.

Product returns. We offer a majority of our customers that purchase products through our consumer channels limited rights to return unsold inventory. In addition, while we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relationships with our customers. We provide for estimated future returns of inventory at the time of sale based on historical experience, and actual results have been within our expectations.

Sales and marketing incentives. Sales and marketing incentives are offset against revenues or charged to operations in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 01-09 (EITF 01-09), *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)*. Sales and marketing incentives amounted to \$5.9 million and \$2.1 million for each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of which \$5.9 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, were offset against revenues, and \$20,000 and \$112,000, respectively, were charged as an operating expense. Sales and marketing incentives amounted to \$12.1 million and \$6.6 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of which \$11.9 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, were offset against revenues, and \$151,000 and \$452,000, respectively, were charged as an operating expense.

Consideration generally given by us to a customer is presumed to be a reduction of selling price, and therefore, a reduction of revenue. However, if we receive an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration given to our customer that is sufficiently separable from our sales to that customer, such that we could have paid an independent company to receive that benefit; and we can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit, then the consideration is characterized as an expense. We estimate the fair value of the benefits we receive by tracking the advertising done by our customers on our behalf and calculating the value of that advertising using a comparable rate for similar publications.

Income taxes. As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. The process incorporates an assessment of the current tax exposure together with temporary differences resulting from different treatment of transactions for tax and financial statement purposes. Such differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within the consolidated balance sheet. The recovery of deferred tax assets from future taxable income must be assessed and, to the extent that recovery is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance. Increases in valuation allowances result in the recording of additional tax expense. Further, if our ultimate tax liability differs from the periodic tax provision reflected in the consolidated statements of operations, additional tax expense may be recorded.

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

Litigation and other contingencies. Management regularly evaluates our exposure to threatened or pending litigation and other business contingencies. Because of the uncertainties related to the amount of loss from litigation and other business contingencies, the recording of losses relating to such exposures requires significant judgment about the potential range of outcomes. As additional information about current or future litigation or other contingencies becomes available, our management will assess whether such information warrants the recording of additional expense relating to our contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable. The results of these estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Table of Contents

Valuation of long-lived assets. We assess the potential impairment of long-lived tangible and intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Changes in our operating strategy can significantly reduce the estimated useful life of such assets.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**Interest Rate Risk**

At any time, fluctuations in interest rates could affect interest earnings on our cash and cash equivalents. We believe that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows would not be material. Currently, we do not hedge these interest rate exposures. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve capital. We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio.

At September 30, 2006, our cash and cash equivalents were \$37.8 million invested in money market and other interest bearing accounts.

From time to time, we invest in marketable securities, however, at September 30, 2006, our investment in marketable securities was \$0.

If interest rates were to decrease 1%, the result would be an annual decrease in our interest income related to our cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$378,000. However, due to the uncertainty of the actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this analysis assumes no such action. Further, this analysis does not consider the effect of the change in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

The carrying amount, principal maturity and estimated fair value of our cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2006 were as follows:

| | Expected Maturity Date | | | Fair Value 9/30/2006 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| | Before October 1, 2007 | Thereafter | Total | |
| Investments | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money Market Funds | \$ 37,826,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 37,826,000 | \$ 37,826,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 3.48% | | 3.48% | 3.48% |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | \$ 37,826,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 37,826,000 | \$ 37,826,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 3.48% | | 3.48% | 3.48% |

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

More than 95.0% of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. Consequently, if the value of the U.S. dollar increases relative to a particular foreign currency, our products could become relatively more expensive. In addition, we purchase a majority of all of our DRAM and Flash components from local distributors of Japanese, Korean and Taiwanese suppliers. Fluctuations in the currencies of Japan, Korea or Taiwan could have an adverse impact on the cost of our raw materials. To date, we have not entered any derivative instruments to manage risks related to interest rate or foreign currency exchange rates.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* An evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that we record, process, summarize, and report information required to be disclosed by us in our quarterly reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

(b) *Changes in Internal Controls.* During the quarterly period covered by this report, there have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS****Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP Patent Infringement**

We received notice on November 26, 2001 that the Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP filed a complaint on November 13, 2001 against us and other defendants. The complaint was filed in the District Court of Arizona and alleges that our manufacturing processes infringe several patents that the Lemelson Foundation allegedly owns. The complaint also states that these allegedly infringed patents relate to machine vision technology and bar coding technology. On March 7, 2002, we were served with the Lemelson Foundation complaint. Thereafter, the case was stayed pending the outcome of related cases against parties involving the same patents. On September 9, 2005, in one of these related cases, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed a decision by the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada that found several Lemelson Foundation patents to be unenforceable. Because the final outcome of the related cases are expected to affect the Lemelson Foundation's lawsuit against us, an estimate of potential damages, if any, would be premature and speculative. We believe this lawsuit is without merit and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves against it.

Hard Drive Class Action Lawsuit

On October 6, 2006, an individual, Boris Brand, filed a purported nationwide class action lawsuit against us in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles, alleging that our description of the capacity of our hard drive products constitutes fraudulent, unfair, deceptive and false advertising under California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 and 17500 and violates the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act. In particular, the lawsuit alleges that our description of the storage capacity on our hard drives uses a decimal basis for measuring gigabytes which results in a lower storage capacity when the hard drives are incorporated into an operating system that uses a binary gigabyte basis for measurement. Plaintiff seeks restitution, disgorgement, compensatory damages and injunctive relief and attorneys fees. We believe this lawsuit is without merit and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves against it. We have submitted the defense of this lawsuit to our insurance carrier. Also, we have notified all of the suppliers who have supplied us with the hard drives involved, since we believe that those suppliers have a legal duty to indemnify us in the event that SimpleTech has to pay any damages. There can be no assurance, however, that our insurance carrier will ultimately agree to defend this lawsuit on our behalf or that any of our suppliers will indemnify us for any damages resulting from this lawsuit.

We are not currently involved in any other material legal proceedings. From time to time, however, we may become subject to additional legal proceedings, claims, and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including, but not limited to, employee, customer and vendor disputes. In addition, in the past we have received, and we may continue to receive in the future, letters alleging infringement of patent or other intellectual property rights. Our management believes that these letters generally are without merit and intend to contest them vigorously.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

This Report contains forward-looking statements based on the current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about our industry and us. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, as more fully described in this section and elsewhere in this Report. You should carefully consider the following risks before you decide to buy shares of our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties, including those risks set forth in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations below, may also adversely impact and impair our business. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, results of operations or financial condition would likely suffer. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of the money you paid to buy our stock. We do not undertake to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future.

We expect our quarterly operating results to fluctuate in future periods, causing our stock price to fluctuate or decline.

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past, and we believe they will continue to do so in the future. Our future results of operations will depend on many factors including:

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

Our suppliers' production levels for the components used in our products;

Our ability to procure required components or fluctuations in the cost of such components;

Fluctuating market demand for, and changes in the average sales prices of our products;

Changes in our customer and product revenue mix;

Our ability to successfully integrate any acquired businesses or assets;

Seasonal purchasing patterns for our Consumer Division products with lower sales generally occurring in the first and third quarters followed by higher sales in the fourth quarter of each year;

Table of Contents

Market acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products;

Expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;

The timing of the introduction of new products or components and enhancements to existing products or components by us, our competitors or our suppliers;

Order cancellations, product returns, inventory write-downs, price protections, and rebates;

Manufacturing inefficiencies associated with the start-up of new products and volume production;

Expenses associated with strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;

Our ability to adequately support future rapid growth;

Our ability to absorb manufacturing overhead;

The effects of litigation;

Increases in our sales and marketing expenses in connection with decisions to pursue new product initiatives; and

Expenses associated with the start up of new operations or divisions.

Due to the above and other factors, quarterly revenues and results of operations are difficult to forecast, and period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be predictive of future performance. In one or more future quarters, our results of operations may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In that event, the trading price of our common stock would likely decline. In addition, the trading price of our common stock may fluctuate or decline regardless of our operating performance.

Our dependence on a small number of suppliers for key components used in the manufacture of our products and inability to obtain a sufficient supply of these components on a timely basis could harm our ability to fulfill orders.

Typically, IC devices represent more than 90% of the component costs of our manufactured Flash products and DRAM modules. We are dependent on a small number of suppliers that supply key components used in the manufacture of our products, such as Flash and DRAM components and raw hard drives. We have no long-term supply contracts. Some of our competitors have entered into long-term contracts with suppliers that guarantee them a certain allocation of components, such as Flash IC devices. We have no assurance that our existing suppliers will agree to supply the quantities of components we may need to meet our production goals. We periodically review opportunities to develop alternative sources for our Flash and DRAM IC device needs. However, our options are very limited because of the small number of memory manufacturers. Samsung currently supplies substantially all of the IC devices used in our OEM Division Flash memory products. Elpida, Qimonda and Samsung currently supply a majority of the DRAM IC devices used in our DRAM and IC Tower stacking DRAM memory products. In addition, Western Digital and Bell Microproducts currently supply a majority of the raw hard drives used in our external storage products. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of supply expose us to several risks, including the inability to obtain an adequate supply of components, price increases, late deliveries and poor component quality. A disruption in or termination of our supply relationship with any of these significant suppliers due to natural disasters or other factors, or our inability to develop relationships with new suppliers, if required, would cause delays, disruptions or reductions in product shipments or require product redesigns which could damage relationships with our customers and negatively affect our revenues and could increase our costs or the prices of our

products. In particular, if our supply relationships with Bell Microproducts, Elpida, Infineon Technologies, Samsung and Western Digital are disrupted or terminated, our ability to manufacture and sell our products would be harmed and our business would be adversely affected.

Ineffective management of inventory levels or product mix, order cancellations, product returns, inventory write-downs, price protection and rebates could adversely affect our results of operations.

If we are unable to properly monitor, control and manage our inventory and maintain an appropriate level and mix of products with our customers, we may incur increased and unexpected costs associated with this inventory. For example, if our Consumer Division customers are unable to sell their inventory in a timely manner, we may choose or be required to lower the price of our products or allow our customers to exchange the slow-moving products for newer products. Similarly, if we manufacture products in anticipation of future demand that does not materialize, or if a customer cancels outstanding orders, we could experience an unanticipated increase in our inventory that we may be unable to sell in a timely manner, if at all. As a result, we could incur increased expenses associated with writing off excess or obsolete inventory. A majority of our sales through commercial channels include limited rights to return unsold inventory. In addition, while we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relations with our customers. Product returns would increase our inventory and reduce our revenues. In addition, some of our inventory is sold on a consignment basis, and we have very little ability to control or manage that inventory. Alternatively, we could end up with too little inventory and we may not be able to satisfy

Table of Contents

demand, which could have a material adverse effect on our customer relationships. Our risks related to inventory management are exacerbated by our strategy of closely matching inventory levels with product demand, leaving limited margin for error.

We are also subject to repurchase agreements with various financial institutions in connection with wholesale inventory financing. Under these agreements, we may be required to repurchase inventory upon customer default with a financing institution and then resell the inventory through normal distribution channels. As of September 30, 2006, we have never been required to repurchase inventory in connection with the customer default agreements noted above. However, it may be possible that we will be required to repurchase inventory, upon customer default, in the future. Sales under such agreements were approximately \$113,000 and \$87,000 in each of the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$487,000 and \$788,000 in each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

We have no long-term volume commitments from our customers. Sales of our products are made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the relationships. Customers may change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. We have experienced cancellations of orders and fluctuations in order levels from period-to-period and we expect to continue to experience similar cancellations and fluctuations in the future, which could result in fluctuations in our revenues.

Declines in our average sales prices may result in declines in our revenues and gross profit.

Our industry is competitive and characterized by historical declines in average sales prices. Our average sales prices may decline due to several factors. From time to time, overcapacity in the DRAM memory component market has resulted in significant declines in component prices, which has negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and gross profit. During periods of overcapacity, our revenues and gross profit will decline if we do not increase unit sales of existing products or fail to introduce and sell new products in quantities sufficient to offset declines in sales prices. Any efforts to reduce costs and develop new products to offset the impact of further declines in average sales prices may not be successful. Declines in average sales prices would also enable OEMs to pre-install higher capacity base memory into new systems at existing price points, and thereby reduce the demand for our aftermarket memory products. Our competitors and customers also impose significant pricing pressures on us. Since a large percentage of our sales are to a small number of customers that are primarily retail consumer chains, distributors and large OEMs, these customers have exerted, and we expect they will continue to exert, pressure on us to make price concessions.

In addition, the continued transition to smaller design geometries and the use of 300 millimeter wafers by existing memory manufacturers could lead to a significant increase in the worldwide supply of DRAM and Flash components. Increases in the worldwide supply of DRAM and Flash components could also result from manufacturing capacity expansions. If not offset by increases in demand, these increases would likely lead to further declines in the average sales prices of our products and have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results. Furthermore, even if supply remains constant, if demand were to decrease, it would harm our average sales prices.

We are subject to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry and any future downturn could adversely affect our business.

The semiconductor industry, including the memory markets in which we compete, is highly cyclical and characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving standards, short product life cycles and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. The industry has experienced significant downturns often connected with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles of both semiconductor companies and their customers' products and declines in general economic conditions. These downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average sales prices. Prior downturns in the semiconductor industry negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and earnings. Any future downturns could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Sales to a limited number of customers represent a significant portion of our revenues, and the loss of any key customer would materially reduce our revenues.

Our dependence on a limited number of customers means that the loss of a major customer or any reduction in orders by a major customer would materially reduce our revenues. We have no long-term contracts with our customers. Historically, a relatively limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenues. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 72.6% and 68.8% of our revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to 67.8% and 70.1% of our revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Our ten largest Consumer Division customers accounted for an aggregate of 88.1% and 79.2% of our Consumer Division revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, or 37.1% and 32.1% of our revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to 69.6% and 63.7% of our Consumer Division revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, or 35.6% and 32.4% of our revenues, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. The following table sets forth certain information about our Consumer Division customer that accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in any of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

| Consumer Division Customer(s) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | Nine months Ended September 30, 2005 | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | % of Total | % of Consumer Division | % of Total | % of Consumer Division | % of Total | % of Consumer Division | % of Total | % of Consumer Division |
| | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues |
| CDW Logistics, Inc. (formerly CDW Computer Centers) | 11.3% | 26.8% | 14.8% | 28.9% | 11.8% | 29.1% | 15.6% | 30.8% |

Our ten largest OEM Division customers accounted for an aggregate of 78.9% and 78.5% of our OEM Division revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, or 46.2% and 46.9% of our revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to 85.4% and 86.6% of our OEM Division revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, or 41.7% and 42.6% of our revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. The following table sets forth certain information about each of our OEM Division customers that accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in any of the three or nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

| OEM Division Customer(s) | Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | % of Total | % of OEM Division | % of Total | % of OEM Division | % of Total | % of OEM Division | % of Total | % of OEM Division |
| | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues | Revenues |
| Smart Modular | 20.1% | 34.6% | 18.2% | 37.3% | 21.9% | 36.8% | 20.5% | 41.7% |
| Micron Semiconductor | 15.6% | 27.0% | 14.3% | 29.2% | 14.5% | 24.4% | 15.5% | 31.5% |

Consolidation in some of our customers' industries may result in increased customer concentration and the potential loss of customers as a result of acquisitions. In addition, the composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our customers' products changes, and we expect this variability to continue in the future. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to contribute materially to our revenues in the foreseeable future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by any of our major customers, could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be less competitive if we fail to develop new and enhanced products and introduce them in a timely manner.

The memory, high-performance computing, networking and communications, consumer electronics and OEM markets are subject to rapid technological change, product obsolescence, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, changes in end-user requirements and evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete in these markets will depend in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and to respond to changing customer requirements.

Table of Contents

We have experienced, and may in the future experience, delays in the development and introduction of new products. These delays would provide a competitor a first-to-market opportunity and allow a competitor to achieve greater market share. Our product development is inherently risky because it is difficult to foresee developments in technology, anticipate the adoption of new standards, coordinate our technical personnel, and identify and eliminate design flaws. Defects or errors found in our products after commencement of commercial shipments could result in delays in market acceptance of these products. New products, even if first introduced by us, may not gain market acceptance. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our future product development efforts will result in future profitability or market acceptance. Lack of market acceptance for our new products will jeopardize our ability to recoup research and development expenditures, hurt our reputation and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may also seek to develop products with new standards for our industry. It will take time for these new standards and products to be adopted, for consumers to accept and transition to these new products and for significant sales to be generated from them, if this happens at all. Moreover, broad acceptance of new standards or products by consumers may reduce demand for our older products. If this decreased demand is not offset by increased demand for our new products, our results of operations could be harmed. We cannot assure you that any new products or standards we develop will be commercially successful.

Our efforts to expand our business internationally may not be successful and may expose us to additional risks that may not exist in the United States, which in turn could cause our business and operating results to suffer.

We sell our products to customers in foreign countries and seek to increase our level of international business activity through the expansion of our operations into select international markets, including Asia and Europe. Such strategy may include opening sales offices in foreign countries, the outsourcing of manufacturing operations to third party contract manufacturers, establishing joint ventures with foreign partners, and the establishment of manufacturing operations in foreign countries. Since the beginning of 2004, we have opened sales, marketing, procurement and engineering offices in France, Hong Kong, Japan, the Netherlands, Taiwan and the United Kingdom. In addition, we announced in August 2006 plans to build a 200,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia that is expected to be operational in the first quarter of 2008.

Establishing operations in any other foreign country or region presents numerous risks, including:

foreign laws and regulations, which may vary country by country, may impact how we conduct our business;

higher costs of doing business in certain foreign countries, including different employment laws;

difficulty protecting our intellectual property rights from misappropriation or infringement;

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing operations in certain foreign countries;

political or economic instability;

changes in import/export duties;

necessity of obtaining government approvals;

trade restrictions;

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

work stoppages or other changes in labor conditions;

difficulties in collecting of accounts receivables on a timely basis or at all;

taxes;

longer payment cycles and foreign currency fluctuations; and

seasonal reductions in business activity in some parts of the world, such as Europe.

In addition, changes in policies and/or laws of the United States or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on fund transfers or the expropriation of private enterprises, could reduce the anticipated benefits of our international expansion. We may also encounter potential adverse tax consequences if taxing authorities in different jurisdictions worldwide disagree with our interpretation of various tax laws or our determinations as to the income and expenses attributable to specific jurisdictions, which could result in our paying additional taxes, interest and penalties. Furthermore, any actions by countries in which we conduct business to reverse policies that encourage foreign trade or investment could adversely affect our business. If we fail to realize the anticipated revenue growth of our future international operations, our business and operating results could suffer.

Table of Contents

We expect that our strategy to expand our international operations will require the expenditure of significant resources and involve the efforts and attention of our management. Unlike some of our competitors, we have limited experience operating our business in foreign countries. Some of our competitors may have substantial advantage over us in attracting customers in certain foreign countries due to earlier established operations in that country, greater knowledge with respect to cultural differences of customers residing in that country and greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers in that country. If our international expansion efforts in any foreign country are unsuccessful, we may decide to cease these foreign operations, which would likely harm our reputation and cause us to incur expenses and losses.

Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could result in a negative market reaction.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires that we undertake a thorough examination of our internal control systems and procedures for financial reporting. We also are required to completely document and test those systems. Ultimately, our management will be responsible for assessing the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, and our independent registered public accounting firm will be requested to attest to that report. We cannot be certain as to the timing of completion of our evaluation, testing and remediation actions or the impact of the same on our operations since there is no precedent available by which to measure compliance adequacy.

Our filing of our annual report on a timely basis will depend upon our timely completion of these tasks. A late annual report could have material adverse effects on us, both legally and with respect to the opinions of the participants in the securities market.

If we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, our management will be unable to assert such internal controls are effective. If we are unable to assert that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective, or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to attest that our management's report is fairly stated or they are unable to express an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal controls, it could result in a negative market reaction. At the present time the Company is not an accelerated filer and is not subject to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and Nasdaq National Market rules, have required most public companies, including us, to devote additional internal and external resources to various governance and compliance matters. Because we have a relatively small corporate staff, we rely heavily on outside professional advisers to assist us with these efforts. Although we are uncertain about the total costs we will incur in connection with these efforts, we know they will at least be substantial.

These costs will include increased accounting related fees associated with preparing the attestation report on our internal controls over financial reporting as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, as well as modifications by the government and Nasdaq. The way in which they are applied and implemented may change over time, which could result in even higher costs to address and implement revisions to compliance (including disclosure) and governance practices. We intend to invest the necessary resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, our reputation may be harmed and we will be required to incur additional expenses.

We may make acquisitions that are dilutive to existing shareholders, result in unanticipated accounting charges or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.

We intend to grow our business through business combinations or other acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that allow us to complement our existing product offerings, expand our market coverage, increase our engineering workforce or enhance our technological capabilities. If we make any future acquisitions, we could issue stock that would dilute our shareholders' percentage ownership, incur substantial debt, reduce our cash reserves or assume contingent liabilities.

Furthermore, acquisitions may require material infrequent charges and could result in adverse tax consequences, substantial depreciation, deferred compensation charges, in-process research and development charges, the amortization of amounts related to deferred compensation and identifiable purchased intangible assets or impairment of goodwill, any of which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our limited experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies may make it difficult for us to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions we may undertake.

We continually evaluate and explore strategic opportunities as they arise, including business combinations, strategic partnerships, capital investments and the purchase, licensing or sale of assets. Our experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies is limited. The attention of our small management team may be diverted from our core business if we undertake any future acquisitions. Our recent acquisition of Memtech, SSD Corporation, the assets of a division of Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation, the assets of Gnutek Ltd. and any potential future acquisitions also involve numerous risks, including, among others:

problems and delays in successfully assimilating and integrating the purchased operations, personnel, technologies, products and information systems;

unanticipated costs and expenditures associated with the acquisition, including any need to infuse significant capital into the acquired operations;

adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers, customers and strategic partners;

risks associated with entering markets and foreign countries in which we have no or limited prior experience;

contractual, intellectual property or employment issues;

potential loss of key employees of purchased organizations; and

potential litigation arising from the acquired company's operations before the acquisition.

These risks could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and employees, harm our reputation and increase our expenses. Our inability to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions could divert the attention of management, utilize scarce corporate resources and otherwise harm our business. These challenges are magnified as the size of an acquisition increases, and we cannot assure you that we will realize the intended benefits of any acquisition. For example, in September 2004 we discontinued the operation of our Xiran Division, which was formed in 2002 as a result of our acquisition of the assets of Irvine Networks, LLC. The Xiran Division developed advanced board-level solutions that optimize server performance for networked storage applications, including IP storage. We were unable to successfully bring the Xiran Division products to market after funding its operations for over two years. In connection with the discontinued operation, we recorded a one-time charge of approximately \$3.0 million in the third quarter of 2004.

We are unable to predict whether or when any prospective acquisition candidate will become available or the likelihood that any acquisition will be completed. Even if we do find suitable acquisition opportunities, we may not be able to consummate the acquisitions on commercially acceptable terms or realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisitions we do undertake.

Three of our beneficial shareholders have substantial influence over our operations and could control all matters requiring shareholder approval.

Manouch Moshayedi, Mike Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi, each of whom is an executive officer and director of SimpleTech, are brothers and beneficially own approximately 58.7% of our outstanding common stock at October 31, 2006 (assuming the inclusion of shares of common stock subject to options that are presently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of such date). In addition, they have a non-binding understanding that at any shareholders' meeting of SimpleTech where action is to be taken with respect to the election of directors, they each would cause the shares of SimpleTech common stock beneficially owned by them to be voted in favor of their election as directors. As a result, they have the ability to control all matters requiring approval by our shareholders, including the election and removal of directors,

approval of significant corporate transactions and the decision of whether a change in control will occur. This control could affect the price that certain investors may be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

We are involved from time to time in claims and litigation over intellectual property rights, which may adversely affect our ability to manufacture and sell our products.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights. We believe that it may be necessary, from time to time, to initiate litigation against one or more third parties to preserve our intellectual property rights. Some of our suppliers and licensors have generally agreed to provide us with various levels of intellectual property indemnification for products and technology we purchase or license from them. A third-party could claim that our products, which incorporate the products purchased or technology licensed from our suppliers and licensors, infringes a patent or other proprietary right. In addition, from time to time, we have received, and may continue to receive in the future, notices that claim we have infringed upon, misappropriated or misused other parties proprietary rights. Any of the foregoing events or claims could result in litigation. Such

Table of Contents

litigation, whether as plaintiff or defendant, would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not such litigation is ultimately determined in our favor. In the event of an adverse result in such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of certain products, expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of certain processes or obtain licenses to use the infringed technology. In addition, our suppliers' and licensors' obligation to indemnify us for intellectual property infringement may be insufficient or inapplicable to any such litigation. A license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Our failure to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, could cause us to incur substantial costs and suspend manufacturing products using the infringed technology. If we obtain a license, we would likely be required to pay license fees or make royalty payments for sales under the license. Such payments would increase our costs of revenues and reduce our gross margins and gross profit. If we are unable to obtain a license from a third party for technology, we could incur substantial liabilities or be required to expend substantial resources redesigning our products to eliminate the infringement. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in redesigning our products or that we could obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Product development or license negotiating would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel.

We are currently a party to one lawsuit regarding intellectual property as further described under Legal Proceedings. Because litigation is inherently uncertain, we cannot predict the outcome of this lawsuit. Although this lawsuit has been stayed pending the outcome of related lawsuits against other parties, we expect that if this lawsuit resumes, it is likely to divert the efforts and attention of our key management and technical personnel. In addition, we expect to incur substantial legal fees and expenses in connection with this lawsuit if it resumes. As a result, our defense of this lawsuit, regardless of its eventual outcome, is expected to be costly and time consuming.

Our Consumer Division depends on our third-party contract manufacturers and our business could be harmed if our contract manufacturers do not perform as expected.

Our Consumer Division relies on third-party contract manufacturers for the manufacture and assembly of certain our of DRAM, Flash and external storage products. From time-to-time, our contract manufacturers have experienced difficulty in meeting our requirements. If we are unable to increase the capacity of our current contract manufacturers or qualify and engage additional contract manufacturers, we may not be able to meet demand for our products. We do not have long-term contracts with our existing contract manufacturers nor do we expect to have long-term contracts with any new contract manufacturers. We cannot, and will not, be able to directly control product delivery schedules. Furthermore, we manufacture on a turnkey basis with some of our contract manufacturers. In these arrangements we do not have complete visibility and control of their inventories of purchased parts necessary to build our products or of the progress of our products through their assembly line. Any significant problems that occur at our contract manufacturers, or their failure to perform at the level we expect, could lead to product shortages or quality assurance problems, either of which would have adverse effects on our operating results.

To manage our growth, we may need to improve our systems, controls and procedures and relocate portions of our business to new or larger facilities.

We have experienced and may continue to experience rapid growth, which has placed, and could continue to place a significant strain on our managerial, financial and operations resources and personnel. We expect that our number of employees, including management-level employees, will continue to increase for the foreseeable future. We must continue to improve our operational, accounting and financial systems and managerial controls and procedures, including fraud procedures, and we will need to continue to expand, as well as, train and manage our workforce. From time-to-time, we may need to relocate portions of our business to new or larger facilities which could result in disruption of our business or operations. For example, we recently increased our manufacturing capacity by adding a third manufacturing shift at our corporate headquarters, and we announced in August 2006 plans to build a 200,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia that is expected to be operational in the first quarter of 2008. If we do not manage our growth effectively, including transitions to new or larger facilities, our business could be harmed.

Table of Contents

Our indemnification obligations for the infringement by our products of the intellectual property rights of others could require us to pay substantial damages.

As is common in the industry, we currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. Our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. We may periodically have to respond to claims and litigate these types of indemnification obligations. Any such indemnification claims could require us to pay substantial damages.

Our indemnification obligations to our customers and suppliers for product defects could require us to pay substantial damages.

A number of our product sales and product purchase agreements provide that we will defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from product warranty claims or claims for injury or damage resulting from defects in our products. We maintain insurance to protect against certain claims associated with the use of our products, but our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all or any part of the claims asserted against us. A successful claim brought against us that is in excess of, or excluded from, our insurance coverage could substantially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected, which could harm our competitive position.

Our intellectual property is critical to our success. We protect our intellectual property rights through patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and employee disclosure and invention assignment agreements. It is possible that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not:

Prevent the challenge, invalidation or circumvention of our existing patents;

Result in patents that lead to commercially viable products or provide competitive advantages for our products;

Prevent our competitors from independently developing similar products, duplicating our products or designing around the patents owned by us;

Prevent third-party patents from having an adverse effect on our ability to do business;

Provide adequate protection for our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disputes with third parties regarding ownership of our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and know-how to third parties or into the public domain; and

Result in patents from any of our pending applications.

As part of our confidentiality procedures, we enter into non-disclosure and invention assignment agreements with all of our employees and attempt to control access to and distribution of our technology, documentation and other proprietary information. However, if such agreements are found to be unenforceable, we may be unable to adequately protect our intellectual property rights. In addition, despite these procedures, third parties could copy or otherwise obtain and make unauthorized use of our technologies or independently develop similar technologies.

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

In addition, if our IC Tower stacking patent is found to be invalid, our ability to exclude competitors from making, using or selling the same or similar products to our IC Tower stacking products would cease. We have on at least one occasion applied for and may in the future apply for patent protection in foreign countries. The laws of foreign countries, however, may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Many U.S. companies have encountered substantial infringement problems in foreign countries. Because we sell some of our products overseas, we have exposure to foreign intellectual property risks.

We may not be able to maintain or improve our competitive position because of the intense competition in the memory industry.

We conduct business in an industry characterized by intense competition, rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, declining average sales prices and rapid product obsolescence. Our primary competitors in the third-party memory module industry include: Crucial Memory, a division of Micron Technology, Kingston Technology, PNY Technologies, SanDisk, and SMART Modular. Our competitors include many large domestic and international companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources, broader product lines, lower cost structures, greater brand

Table of Contents

recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers and suppliers. As a result, our competitors may be able to respond better to new or emerging technologies or standards and to changes in customer requirements. Further, some of our competitors are in a better financial and marketing position from which to influence industry acceptance of a particular industry standard or competing technology than we are. Our competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of products, and may be able to deliver competitive products at a lower price.

We expect to face competition from existing competitors and new and emerging companies that may enter our existing or future markets with similar or alternative products, which may be less costly or provide additional features. In addition, some of our significant suppliers, including Infineon Technology and Samsung Semiconductor, are also our competitors, many of whom have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally. Competition may arise due to the development of cooperative relationships among our current and potential competitors or third parties to increase the ability of their products to address the needs of our prospective customers. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share.

We expect our competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their prices and introduce new products that may offer greater performance and improved pricing, any of which could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of our products. In addition, our competitors may develop enhancements to, or future generations of, competitive products that may render our technology or products obsolete or uncompetitive.

The Flash-based storage market is constantly evolving, and we may not have rights to manufacture and sell certain types of products utilizing emerging new Flash formats, or we may be required to pay a royalty to sell products utilizing these formats.

The Flash-based storage market is constantly undergoing rapid technological change and evolving industry standards. Many consumer devices, such as digital cameras, PDAs and smartphones, may transition to emerging Flash memory formats, which we do not currently manufacture and do not have rights to manufacture. This will likely result in a decline in demand, on a relative basis, for other products that we manufacture such as CompactFlash, Secure Digital, Mini-SD and MultiMedia cards. If we decide to manufacture Flash products utilizing emerging formats, we may be required to secure licensing arrangements to give us the right to manufacture such products which may not be available at reasonable rates or at all. If we are not able to supply all Flash card formats at competitive prices or if we were to have product shortages, our revenues could be adversely impacted and our customers would likely cancel orders or seek other suppliers to replace us.

The manufacturing of our OEM Division products is complex and subject to yield problems, which could decrease available supply and increase costs.

The manufacture of our OEM Division Flash memory products, stacked DRAM products and Flash controllers is a complex process, and it is often difficult for companies to achieve acceptable product yields. Reduced yields could decrease available supply and increase costs. Flash controller yields depend on both our product design and the manufacturing process technology unique to our semiconductor foundry partners. Because low yields may result from either design defects or process difficulties, we may not identify yield problems until well into the production cycle, when an actual product defect exists and can be analyzed and tested. In addition, many of these yield problems are difficult to diagnose and time consuming or expensive to remedy.

Our failure to successfully promote our brand and achieve strong brand recognition in target markets could limit or reduce the demand for our products and services in those markets.

We believe that brand recognition will be important to our ability of our Consumer Division to succeed as the digital media and external storage markets continue to develop. We plan to continue to invest in marketing programs to create and maintain prominent brand awareness. If we fail to promote our brand successfully, or if the expenses associated with doing so become increasingly high, our business may not grow as we anticipate. Some of our competitors, some of whom have significantly more resources to promote their own brands than we do, have been aggressively promoting their Flash and external storage product brands through various advertising campaigns, promotions and rebate programs. If we are unable to effectively maintain and build brand recognition for our products or if our products exhibit poor performance or other defects, our brand may be adversely affected, which would inhibit our ability to attract or retain customers.

Table of Contents

The execution of our growth strategy depends on our ability to retain key personnel, including our executive officers, and to attract qualified personnel.

Competition for employees in our industry is intense. We have had and may continue to have difficulty hiring the necessary engineering, sales and marketing and management personnel to support our growth. The successful implementation of our business model and growth strategy depends on the continued contributions of our senior management and other key research and development, sales and marketing and operations personnel, including Manouch Moshayedi, our Chief Executive Officer, Mike Moshayedi, our President, Mark Moshayedi, our Chief Operating Officer, Chief Technical Officer and Secretary, and Dan Moses, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In addition, as a result of our adoption of SFAS 123(R), we have begun to significantly reduce the use and quantity of stock options compared to the quantity of stock options we granted in recent years. We may be at a disadvantage in our ability to maintain and recruit qualified employees since many of the companies that compete with us for the same pool of qualified employees continue to offer stock options as part of their compensation package. We have experienced difficulties maintaining and attracting qualified employees as a result of our reduction in the use of stock options and we expect this difficulty to continue in the future unless we are able to develop other forms of incentive compensation to replace stock options. The loss of any key employee, the failure of any key employee to perform in his or her current position, or the inability of our officers and key employees to expand, train and manage our employee base would prevent us from executing our growth strategy.

We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

The volatility of general economic conditions and fluctuations in currency exchange rates affect the prices of our products and the prices of the components used in our products. International sales of our products accounted for 13.1% and 13.0% of our revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and 11.1% and 12.4% of our revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. No foreign geographic area or single foreign country accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 or 2005. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, more than 95.0% of our international sales were denominated in U.S. dollars. However, if there is a significant devaluation of the currency in a specific country, the prices of our products will increase relative to that country's currency and our products may be less competitive in that country. In addition, we cannot be sure that our international customers will continue to be willing to place orders denominated in U.S. dollars. If they do not, our revenues and results of operations will be subject to foreign exchange fluctuations, which could harm our business. We do not hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks.

We purchase a majority of the DRAM and Flash components used in our products from local distributors of foreign suppliers. Although our purchases of DRAM and Flash components are currently denominated in U.S. dollars, devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to the currency of a foreign supplier would likely result in an increase in our cost of DRAM and Flash components.

Our international sales are subject to other risks, including regulatory risks, tariffs and other trade barriers, timing and availability of export licenses, political and economic instability, difficulties in accounts receivable collections, difficulties in managing distributors, lack of a significant local sales presence, difficulties in obtaining governmental approvals, compliance with a wide variety of complex foreign laws and treaties and potentially adverse tax consequences. In addition, the United States or foreign countries may implement quotas, duties, taxes or other charges or restrictions upon the importation or exportation of our products, leading to a reduction in sales and profitability in that country.

We have experienced quarterly and annual losses in the past and may experience losses in the future.

Although we have been profitable for most of our history, we have experienced losses on a quarterly and annual basis in the past. In 2003 and in the third quarter of 2004, we incurred net losses of \$1.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. We have expended, and will continue to expend, substantial funds to pursue engineering, research and development projects, enhance sales and marketing efforts, expand our international operations and increase our manufacturing capacity, and otherwise operate our business. There can be no assurance that we will be profitable on a quarterly or annual basis in the future.

Disruption of our operations in our Santa Ana, California, manufacturing facility would substantially harm our business.

Substantially all of our manufacturing operations are located in our facilities in Santa Ana, California. Due to this geographic concentration, a disruption of our manufacturing operations, resulting from sustained process abnormalities, human error, government intervention or natural disasters, including earthquakes, power failures, fires or floods, could cause us to cease or limit our manufacturing operations and consequently harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations could harm our operating results.

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, air emissions, waste water discharge, waste storage, treatment and disposal, and remediation of releases of hazardous materials. Our failure to comply with present and future requirements could harm our ability to continue manufacturing our products. Such requirements could require us to acquire costly equipment or to incur other significant expenses to comply with environmental regulations. The imposition of additional or more stringent environmental requirements, the results of future testing at our facilities, or a determination that we are potentially responsible for remediation at other sites where problems are not presently known to us, could result in expenses in excess of amounts currently estimated to be required for such matters.

Failure to comply with governmental laws and regulations could harm our business.

Our business is subject to regulation by various federal and state governmental agencies. Such regulation includes the radio frequency emission regulatory activities of the Federal Communications Commission, the anti-trust regulatory activities of the Federal Trade Commission and Department of Justice, the consumer protection laws of the Federal Trade Commission, the import/export regulatory activities of the Department of Commerce, the product safety regulatory activities of the Consumer Products Safety Commission, the regulatory activities of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the environmental regulatory activities of the Environmental Protection Agency, the labor regulatory activities of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and tax and other regulations by a variety of regulatory authorities in each of the areas in which we conduct business. We are also subject to regulation in other countries where we conduct business. In certain jurisdictions, such regulatory requirements may be more stringent than in the United States. We are also subject to a variety of federal and state employment and labor laws and regulations, including the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, the WARN Act and other regulations related to working conditions, wage-hour pay, over-time pay, employee benefits, anti-discrimination, and termination of employment.

Noncompliance with applicable regulations or requirements could subject us to investigations, sanctions, mandatory product recalls, enforcement actions, disgorgement of profits, fines, damages, civil and criminal penalties, or injunctions. In addition from time to time we have received, and expect to continue to receive, correspondence from former employees terminated by us who threaten to bring claims against us alleging that we have violated one or more labor and employment regulations. In certain of these instances the former employee has brought claims against us and we expect that we will encounter similar actions against us in the future. An adverse outcome in any such litigation could require us to pay contractual damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

These enforcement actions could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If any governmental sanctions are imposed, or if we do not prevail in any possible civil or criminal litigation, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. In addition, responding to any action will likely result in a significant diversion of management's attention and resources and an increase in professional fees.

Our stock price is likely to be volatile and could drop unexpectedly.

Our common stock has been publicly traded only since September 2000. The market price of our common stock has been subject to significant fluctuations since the date of our initial public offering. The stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices of securities, particularly securities of technology companies. As a result, the market price of our common stock may materially decline, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that company. We may become involved in this type of litigation in the future. Litigation of this type is often expensive and diverts management's attention and resources.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and stock option plan could prevent or delay a change in control and, as a result, negatively impact our shareholders.

We have taken a number of actions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover attempt. For example, provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions also could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

These provisions include:

limitations on who may call special meetings of shareholders;

advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by shareholders at shareholder meetings;

elimination of cumulative voting in the election of directors;

the right of a majority of directors in office to fill vacancies on the board of directors;

the ability of our board of directors to issue, without shareholder approval, blank check preferred stock to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt.

Provisions of our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan allow for the automatic vesting of all outstanding options granted under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan upon a change in control under certain circumstances. Such provisions may have the effect of discouraging a third party from acquiring us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.1 ⁽¹⁾ | Letter, dated August 25, 2006, from Malaysian Industrial Development Authority addressed to SimpleTech, Inc. offering special incentives (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2006) |

Edgar Filing: SIMLETECH INC - Form 10-Q

- 10.2⁽²⁾ SimpleTech, Inc. 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated through April 17, 2006) (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-136505) filed on August 11, 2006)
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* The information in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act (including this Report), unless SimpleTech, Inc. specifically incorporates the foregoing information into those documents by reference.

⁽¹⁾ Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a report for confidential treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

⁽²⁾ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SIMPLETECH, INC.,

a California corporation

Date: November 14, 2006

/s/ DAN MOSES

Dan Moses

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial

Officer and Duly Authorized Signatory)

Table of Contents

SIMPLETECH, INC.

Index to Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.1 ⁽¹⁾ | Letter, dated August 25, 2006, from Malaysian Industrial Development Authority addressed to SimpleTech, Inc. offering special incentives (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2006) |
| 10.2 ⁽²⁾ | SimpleTech, Inc. 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated through April 17, 2006) (filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-136505) filed on August 11, 2006) |
| 31.1 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

* The information in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act (including this Report), unless SimpleTech, Inc. specifically incorporates the foregoing information into those documents by reference.

⁽¹⁾ Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a report for confidential treatment filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

⁽²⁾ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.