PRIMEDIA INC Form 10-K March 11, 2010 Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the Fiscal Year Ended: December 31, 2009

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Commission File number: 1-11106

PRIMEDIA Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization) 3585 Engineering Drive, Norcross, Georgia (Address of principal executive offices)

(678) 421-3000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

13-3647573 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.) 30092 (Zip Code)

Title of Each Class Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2).

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity of PRIMEDIA Inc. (PRIMEDIA) which is held by non-affiliates of PRIMEDIA, computed by reference to the closing price as of the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, June 30, 2009, was approximately \$34.9 million. The registrant has no non-voting common stock.

As of March 1, 2010, 44,146,959 shares of PRIMEDIA s Common Stock were outstanding.

The following documents are incorporated into this Form 10-K by reference: Part III of this Report on Form 10-K incorporates information by reference from the registrant s Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 19, 2010. The definitive Proxy Statement will be filed within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA Inc.

Annual Report on Form 10-K

December 31, 2009

Table of Contents

We include cross references to captions elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which we refer to as this Report, where you can find related additional information. The following table of contents tells you where to find these captions.

	Important Information About this Report	1
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	7
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	12
Item 2.	Properties	12
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	12
Item 4.	Reserved	12
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	14
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	15
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	44
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	45
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	92
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	92
Item 9B.	Other Information	96
	PART III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	96
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	96
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	96
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	96
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	96
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	97
	Signatures	98
	Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-1
	Exhibit Index	E-1

i

Page

Index to Financial Statements

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THIS REPORT

In this Report, the words PRIMEDIA, Company, we, us and our mean PRIMEDIA Inc., including its subsidiaries, unless the context otherw specifies or requires.

This document contains forward-looking statements that is, statements related to future, not past, events. In this context, forward-looking statements often address our expected future business and financial performance and often contain words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks or will. Forward-looking statements by their nature address matters that are, to different degrees, uncertain. For us, particular uncertainties which could adversely or positively affect our future results include, among others: general economic trends and conditions and, in particular, trends and conditions in the apartment and other rental property and new home sales sectors of the residential real estate industry; changes in technology and competition; implementation and results of our ongoing strategic initiatives; demand by customers for our services; expenses or adverse results of litigation; changes in U.S. federal tax laws; and numerous other matters of national, regional and local market scale, including those of a political, economic, business, competitive and regulatory nature. These uncertainties may cause our actual future results to be materially different than those expressed in our forward-looking statements. We do not undertake to update our forward-looking statements.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS General

We are a targeted media company that provides consumers with tools and information they need to find a place to live. Our consumer directories are targeted primarily for the apartment and other rental property sectors of the residential real estate industry and are provided free to consumers through online, print and mobile platforms. We derive advertising revenue by providing our advertiser clients property management companies, private owner/landlords, new home builders and real estate professionals with products and services that generate measurable results in the form of cost-effective, quality leads.

Our principal digital assets include ApartmentGuide.com, Rentals.com, RentalHouses.com, NewHomeGuide.com and AmericanHomeGuides.com. According to comScore Media Metrix, unique visitors to our websites reached 3.3 million in January 2010, compared with 3.1 million in January 2009. The total number of leads we produce for our advertisers has grown year over year, and leads derived from our online and mobile services now represent more than 75% of the total leads we deliver to our advertiser clients.

We produce printed directories for 75 markets and distribute them through display rack programs, many of which are on an exclusive basis, with large national and regional retail chains, including grocery, drug, convenience, video, fitness and mass merchandise retailers. In 2009, we distributed approximately 14.9 million printed directories to approximately 17,000 advertiser client locations and 28,000 retail and other locations.

Over the past several years, we have aggressively divested assets no longer part of our core businesses. Our continuing operations are currently comprised solely of what we have described historically as our Consumer Guides segment.

Apartments

Our Apartments division comprised approximately 91.7% of our advertising revenue for 2009 and 93.1% of our advertising revenue for fourth quarter 2009.

Apartment Guide

Thirty-five years old, Apartment Guide, our flagship brand and largest business, delivers apartment and apartment community rental information to consumers through a combination of Internet, print and mobile

Index to Financial Statements

products and services. ApartmentGuide.com and RentRentar.com, our Spanish-language edition of ApartmentGuide.com, offer many premium features not available through our printed directories, including flexible search functionality, detailed photos and floorplans, virtual tours, as well as detailed information on metro areas and neighborhoods. Our ApartmentGuide.com mobile platform continues to expand through launches of iPhone and Android applications, which together have generated over 541,000 downloads through January 2010.

We currently produce 77 Apartment Guide printed directories in 75 markets, averaging over 17,000 apartment community listings in print over 40% more listings than our nearest print competitor within these markets with a 2009 distribution of approximately 12.0 million copies. Most of our Apartment Guide printed directories are published monthly, though we expect to move to bi-monthly publishing schedules for some of our markets.

We continued to reinforce our commitment to industry-leading innovation in 2009 with the launch of My.ApartmentGuide.com. Feedback from our advertiser clients has confirmed our view that My.ApartmentGuide.com is a valuable, unique ROI-based management tool that enables our clients to measure the cost-effectiveness and other performance metrics of the rental search and lead-generation process. We also released version 2.0 of our iPhone application and launched our initial Android application in 2009.

Apartment Guide advertising revenue is generated primarily from property management companies that manage larger apartment communities (generally in excess of 50 units) that experience ongoing vacancies. A majority of our 2009 revenue was derived from contracts at least 12 months in duration, and a majority of these contracts were renewed when they expired. Most of our property management company clients purchase integrated media packages that include online, mobile and print advertising. However, as we continue to pursue enhancements to our product portfolio and market segment expansion to grow our customer count, we are providing more flexibility to clients, based on specific markets and market segments, to purchase more customized mixes of products, product features and services on a stand-alone or package basis.

Apartment Guide s national competitors include Rent.com (owned by eBay), Apartments.com (owned by Classified Media Ventures), For Rent (published by Dominion Enterprises), Apartment Finder (published by Network Communications Inc.) and, to a lesser extent, Move.com (owned by Move, Inc.) and craigslist.org (owned by craigslist, Inc.).

Rentals.com

Rentals.com is a comprehensive real estate rental site with one of the largest collections of single-unit rental property listings on the Internet. The Rentals.com Network of Sites, which includes Rentals.com and RentalHouses.com, averaging more than 33,000 listings, including approximately 28,000 distribution partner listings, of single-family homes, townhomes, condos and smaller apartment communities, as well as select inventory of apartments from ApartmentGuide.com. Our advertiser clients use Rentals.com and RentalHouses.com to list their rental vacancies, including through the self-provisioning feature of the website we call the Ad Store, and peruse the expert advice and tips on managing their rental properties offered on our websites. A majority of our customers are derived from the Ad Store, while a majority of our listings are derived from property management companies.

Advertising revenue is generated primarily from private owner/landlords, investors and property managers that own or manage single-unit rental properties or smaller apartment communities (generally less than 50 units) that experience vacancies intermittently. Listings on the Rentals.com and RentalHouses.com Network of Sites are generally purchased on a monthly basis, though longer-term packages are available for property management company clients. In some of its markets, advertisers purchase basic and premium listings. In all other markets, basic listings are provided free of charge, with premium upgrades available for charges that vary by category and market.

Index to Financial Statements

Competitors of Rentals.com and RentalHouses.com include craigslist.org (owned by craigslist, Inc.), HomeRentals.net (owned by RealEIS, LLC), RentalHomesPlus.com (owned by Classified Ventures LLC), Move.com (owned by Move, Inc.) and, to a lesser extent, traditional newspapers.

New Homes

Our New Homes division, comprising approximately 8.3% of our advertising revenue for 2009 and 6.9% of our advertising revenue for fourth quarter 2009, provides display and classified advertising for new home builders to showcase product and inventory on a national and local basis through a network of home-related websites, including NewHomeGuide.com, AmericanHomeGuides.com, NewHomeDirectory.com, FloridaGuide.com, and many others specific to states and metropolitan areas with significant home building activity. In addition, as of December 31, 2009, New Homes produced 21 printed directories, averaging over 2,100 new home community listings in print, with a combined 2009 distribution of approximately 3.0 million copies. New Homes printed directories generally are published bi-monthly.

New Homes advertising revenue is generated primarily from new home builders and advertising agencies representing new home builders. Most of our clients purchase integrated media packages that include online, mobile and print advertising. However, our products and services are offered nationwide on a stand-alone or package basis.

Our New Homes division competes primarily with national competitors such as Move.com (owned by Move, Inc.), New Home Finder (published by Network Communications, Inc.), Housing Guide of America (owned by a consortium of local and regional magazine publishers), newhomesource.com (owned by Builder Homesite, Inc.) and the iNest real estate brokerage (owned by Lending Tree, LLC).

Distribution

In 2009, our distribution function, DistribuTech, distributed free publications, including PRIMEDIA s directories and over 1,800 third-party titles, flyers and special piece advertising materials, to more than 28,000 retail locations and 17,000 PRIMEDIA advertiser client locations in 39 states and Washington, D.C. DistribuTech maintains display rack programs, many of which are on an exclusive basis, which we refer to as retail display allowances, or RDAs, with several large national and regional retail chains. The free directories are typically displayed in free-standing, multi-pocket racks located in high-visibility, high-traffic locations at the entrance or exit of these locations.

The primary function of DistribuTech is to ensure priority placement for PRIMEDIA s directories through RDAs and to reduce our overall distribution costs through revenue from third-party customers who pay DistribuTech for distribution services. Historically, we have paid substantial premiums for the exclusive nature of many of our RDAs, which has contributed to relatively high fixed costs within this function. In addition, over the past three years, we have experienced substantial declines in revenue from third-party DistribuTech customers that have scaled back or ceased operations or are providing an Internet-only product.

Beginning in 2008, we undertook strategic initiatives to significantly reduce the cost structure of our distribution function, including the relatively high costs of RDAs. During 2009, we implemented a plan to further reduce our ongoing distribution costs arising from RDAs that were underperforming, and as a result, our distribution costs decreased from \$85.2 million in 2008 to \$60.9 million in 2009. We intend to continue aggressively reducing our distribution cost structure.

Our overall distribution strategy is to reduce our print distribution costs as we devote greater resources to opportunities for growth that digital media present and eliminate less effective locations, while focusing our efforts on retaining and servicing locations that produce the best results for PRIMEDIA s advertisers in terms of leads. We intend to move to a smaller, more efficient distribution model that primarily relies on third-party distribution services, in combination with more limited internal resources, to deliver our directories.

Index to Financial Statements

Technology

We use technology to improve our operations by increasing productivity, improving effectiveness and minimizing costs. With the introduction of mobile technologies, such as wireless data networks and laptop/handheld technologies, the use of technology has expanded within our organization to include customer relationship management activities and business workflow functionality.

We back up critical website data at various times throughout the day and retain it at certified third-party facilities. We have firewalls and switchgear designed to help ensure network security. We rely increasingly on hosted providers for many of our corporate applications. These applications are provided over our network to us and configured to meet our needs, although the software itself is not installed at our locations.

Production and Fulfillment

We provide most of the content for our websites, but we outsource some technology, production and content. All of our printed directories are printed and bound by independent printers. The principal raw material used in our printed directories is paper, which is purchased from merchants. In 2009, paper prices declined as the year progressed. We expect paper costs to increase slightly in 2010, and we expect to offset these costs through adjustment of our production metrics. In the future, our results could be adversely affected by increases in paper prices.

Sales and Marketing

Because our directories are free to users, we compete for audience on the basis of the relevance and usefulness of our search results and the features, availability and ease of use of our tools and information. Our websites are marketed to end users through our printed directories and through search engine optimization, e-mail marketing and online advertising, which we purchase on a non-exclusive basis with companies such as Google, MSN, Yahoo!, Advertising.com and others. We monetize visits to our websites through various advertising revenue formats, such as cost per impression, cost per click, cost per action and flat fees, which comprise the substantial majority of our advertising revenue.

We sell our advertising products and services to our advertiser clients through our direct sales force. Our sales force is comprised currently of approximately 460 sales people. The sales force is generally organized vertically, focusing on specific categories and product lines, and by market. Generally, sales people are responsible for developing new accounts and servicing existing customers. Most of our sales people live in the market they serve. We also maintain an in-house telemarketing sales force, supplemented by local and regional support in the field, which focuses on specific customer segments within markets.

Our marketing personnel conduct a variety of marketing programs designed to raise the general awareness of our businesses, generate leads for the sales organization and promote our various product lines. These programs include participation in trade shows and industry trade groups, public relations, digital/online promotion, advertising and production of collateral literature.

In 2009, more than 74% of our Internet audience was generated through non-paid sources, such as repeat visitation, word-of-mouth, natural search and public relations. We selectively utilize paid-marketing sources, such as search engine marketing, affiliate relationships and co-branded partner deployments.

One of our individual advertisers comprised 1.5% of our total revenue in 2009, while another comprised 1.3%. All of our other individual advertisers comprised less than 1.0% of our total revenue in 2009.

Employees

During 2009, our overall headcount declined by approximately 9.0%, primarily due to the elimination of certain administrative and support positions as a result of automation and consolidation of functions. Our sales force

Index to Financial Statements

headcount also declined by 7.9%. As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 900 employees, of which approximately 20 were part-time, compared to approximately 1,000 employees at the end of 2008, of which approximately 20 were part-time. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining agreements. We consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Intellectual Property

We own various registered trademarks, including Apartment Guide, and have service mark applications pending for others. We also have the right to use a number of unregistered service marks in connection with our businesses. So long as these marks remain in continuous use in connection with similar goods and services, their terms can be perpetual, subject, with respect to registered trademarks, to the timely renewal of such registrations in the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Most of our content and databases are copyrighted, as are certain of our software and user manuals. The absence of a registration does not waive copyright protection.

Index to Financial Statements

Discontinued Operations

Over the past several years, we have aggressively sought to divest assets no longer part of our current core businesses. Details about our divestitures during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

	Year of Inclusion in	Basis for Inclusion in		Consider	ration	on Dis Recogniz the Yea	ain or Loss position zed During ırs Ended nber 31,
Segment, Group or Division	Discontinued Operations	Discontinued Operations	Year of Disposition	Form	Form Amount (Dollar		2007(1) ands)
Outdoors group (part of Enthusiast Media (PEM) segment), primarily hunting, fishing and shooting titles	2006	Agreement to sell	2007	Cash	\$ 170,000	\$	\$ 57,547
Channel One (part of Education segment), proprietary network for secondary schools	2006	Announcement of intent to sell segment	2007	Assumption by the acquirer of net liabilities	8,600		(7,050)
Films Media Group (part of Education segment), a source of educational video	2006	Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment	2007	Cash	11,000		170
PRIMEDIA Healthcare (part of Education segment), a medical education business(2)	2006	Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment	2008	Cash	200	132	
Enthusiast Media segment(3)(4)	2007	Sale of segment	2007	Cash	1,177,900		460,988
Auto Guides division(5)	2007	of intent to sell or shut down operations of division	2008	Cash	2,100	42	

(1) Loss related to final adjustments for disposition in previous years was approximately \$0.7 million in 2007.

(2) Remaining operations were shut down, resulting in a loss of approximately \$0.4 million.

- (3) Proceeds were used to pay down debt
- (4) Gain related to final adjustments was approximately \$0.7 million in 2008 and resulted in payment of approximately \$4.4 million to acquirer.

(5) Remaining operations were shut down, resulting in a loss of approximately \$0.8 million.

Index to Financial Statements

There was no pre-tax gain or loss on disposition recognized during the year ended December 31, 2009.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were no assets or liabilities of businesses held for sale.

The financial results for all divestitures are reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations for all periods presented and are more fully discussed in Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this Report.

Company Organization

PRIMEDIA Inc. was incorporated on November 22, 1991 in the State of Delaware as K-III Communications Corporation, and in 1997, we changed our name to PRIMEDIA Inc. Our principal executive offices are located at 3585 Engineering Drive, Norcross, Georgia 30092, and our telephone number is (678) 421-3000.

Available Information

We maintain a website located at www.primedia.com on which, among other things, we make available, free of charge, various corporate governance materials and reports that we file or furnish to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics, and charters for each of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, are all provided on this website. We will post any waivers of our Code of Ethics granted to any of our directors or executive officers on the Investor Relations portion of this website. Our reports and other filings, including, without limitation, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and all other documents, including amendments thereto, filed with or furnished to the SEC, are made available as soon as practicable after their receipt by the SEC. We are not incorporating the information on our website into this Report, and our website and the information appearing on our website are not included in, and are not part of, this Report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Below, we have described our present view of certain important risk factors. This discussion of risk factors contains forward-looking statements, as discussed on page 1 of this Report. These risk factors may be important to understanding any statement in this Report or elsewhere. The following information should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this Report.

Deterioration in general economic conditions, and in the specific industries in which we operate, have adversely affected and are expected to continue to adversely affect advertising revenue.

National and local market economic conditions affect the overall levels of advertising revenue. Negative economic conditions, including a U.S. recession and the nationwide and local downturn in the housing markets, have adversely affected and are expected to continue to adversely affect our overall level of advertising revenue, and a failure of economic conditions to improve in our markets could adversely affect our future business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on large property management companies in the apartment leasing sector of the residential real estate industry for a majority of our revenue, and any economic or industry developments that adversely affect the number and value of leasing transactions generated could adversely impact our financial results.

The return on investment for our large property management company advertisers depends upon the comparison of how many leases we are generating for the managed communities in a particular local market, and the value of such leases, to the amount charged for our advertising. Many of the factors affecting the number and value of lease transactions are beyond our control. In markets where occupancy levels are extraordinarily high or

Index to Financial Statements

extraordinarily low, the management company s return on investment can be adversely affected, and the management company may choose to decrease the level of advertising, which could adversely affect our revenue. The effects of occupancy rates can be mitigated or exacerbated by effective rent levels, which are essentially average rent amounts after giving effect to free months of rent and other incentives.

Our new home directories and related websites depend on the new home sales sector of the residential real estate industry, which is cyclical.

Approximately 8.3% of our 2009 advertising revenue was generated from sales of advertising products to new home builders in the residential real estate industry. This sector, which is cyclical, directly affects the success of our New Homes division. The return on investment for our new home builder advertisers depends on the success rate of actual sales that are closed in comparison to the advertising expenses paid. If our advertisers experience lower return on investment because actual sales decline for reasons beyond our control, they tend to decrease their advertising budget, which adversely affects our revenue.

The United States economy is currently experiencing its worst downturn in the residential real estate industry in over 50 years. The duration of this downturn, as is true of most trends in the real estate industry, is unpredictable, and as a result, our prospects in this area are also unpredictable. An economic recession, unfavorable taxation laws and regulations, higher credit standards, unavailability of mortgage loans, increased interest rates, increased unemployment, lower consumer confidence or lower wages can cause consumers to reduce their activity in the residential real estate industry, thus negatively impacting local new home sales markets.

The market for our products and services is highly competitive.

The markets for our products and services are disbursed throughout the United States. Generally, other online and print apartment and new home-targeted resources for the consumer represent our main competitors. To a lesser extent, we also compete with traditional newspapers and yellow pages.

Competition for advertising is generally based on audience or traffic levels and demographics, price, service and advertising results. Competition from all relevant media and services affects our ability to attract and retain consumers and advertisers and to maintain or increase our advertising rates. This competition has intensified as a result of the continued developments of digital media technologies. Distribution of advertising over the Internet, as well as through mobile phones and other devices, continues to increase in popularity. As a result, a shift from print advertising to online alternatives has contributed to significant declines in print advertising.

The majority of our current product offerings are built upon integrated media packages that feature online, mobile and print components. However, we are continuing to aggressively develop and market our online products and services, and we could experience a decline in advertising revenue if we are unable to migrate print advertising to our website offerings in volumes or at rates sufficient to offset declines in print advertising.

If we are not successful in growing our digital products and services, our business, financial condition and prospects will be adversely affected.

Our growth depends, to a significant degree, upon the development of our digital products and services. Accordingly, our ability to grow and succeed over the long term depends on various factors, including, among other things:

increasing our online traffic and attracting and retaining visitors to our websites, which may be adversely affected by search engines (including Google, the primary search engine directing traffic to our websites) changing the algorithms responsible for directing search queries to web pages;

attracting advertisers to our websites, which depends partly on our ability to generate online traffic and partly on the rate at which users engage in lease or sale transactions with advertisers using our websites;

Index to Financial Statements

maintaining or increasing advertising rates on our websites, which will depend, in part, on the market position of our brands; exploiting new and existing technologies to distinguish our products and services from those of our competitors and developing new content, products and services, which may move in unanticipated directions due to the development of competitive alternatives, rapid technological change, regulatory changes and shifting market preferences;

investing funds and resources in online opportunities, in which some of our existing competitors and possible additional entrants may have greater operational, financial and other resources than we do or may be better positioned to compete for certain opportunities; and

attracting and retaining talent for critical positions.

Even if we continue to develop our digital products and services, we may not be successful in generating or increasing revenue from these products or services due to increasing competition and economic conditions. If we are not successful in maintaining or growing revenue from our digital products and services to offset current and anticipated declines in revenue from print products, our business, financial condition and prospects will be adversely affected.

If we are unable to meet rapid changes in technology, our services and technology and systems may become obsolete.

The Internet and e-commerce are constantly changing. Due to the costs and management time required to introduce new services and enhancements, we may not be able to respond in a timely manner to competitive innovations. To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the functionality and features of our online businesses. Further, to remain competitive, we must meet the challenges of the introduction by our competitors of new services using new technologies or the introduction of new industry standards and practices. In addition, the vendors we use to support our technology may not provide the level of service we expect or may not continue to provide their product or services on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we fail to meet any of these potential changes, or our vendors fail to provide the necessary support to our technology, our results of operations and financial condition could be negatively impacted.

Our success and growth depend, to a significant degree, upon the protection of our intellectual property rights.

As a media company, we have a significant intellectual property portfolio, especially copyrights and trademarks, and have allocated considerable resources toward intellectual property maintenance, prosecution and enforcement. For example, we hold and maintain or have pending applications for numerous copyrights and trademarks in connection with our various products and services, such as Apartment Guide. In addition, we also continuously develop and create proprietary software to enhance our ability to effectively and efficiently update the listings in our online and print directories. We may be unable to deter infringement or misappropriation of our data and other proprietary information, detect unauthorized use or take appropriate steps to enforce our intellectual property rights. Any unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive for us to do business and consequently harm our business.

Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. (KKR) has control of a majority of our outstanding common stock and has the power to elect all the members of our Board of Directors and to approve any action requiring stockholder approval.

As of March 1, 2010, approximately 58.9% of the outstanding shares of our common stock were held by investment partnerships of which KKR Associates, L.P. and KKR GP 1996 LLC are the general partners. KKR Associates and KKR GP 1996 have sole voting and investment power with respect to these shares.

Consequently, KKR Associates, L.P. and KKR GP 1996 LLC and their respective general partners and members, two of whom are also on our Board of Directors, control us and have the power to elect all of our directors and

Index to Financial Statements

approve any action requiring stockholder approval, including adopting amendments to our certificate of incorporation and approving mergers or sales of all or substantially all of our assets. KKR Associates, L.P. and KKR GP 1996 LLC will also be able to prevent or cause a change of control at any time.

Our stock price is volatile.

Our common stock price has experienced substantial volatility in the past and may remain volatile in the future. Volatility can arise as a result of a number of the factors discussed in this Risk Factors section, as well as divergence between our actual or anticipated financial results and published expectations of analysts; announcements that we, our competitors or our customers may make; and the relatively small portion of our outstanding shares that is in the hands of public investors, as opposed to our officers, directors and controlling-interest investors.

We may be unable to realize the benefits of our net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs), and, as a result, lose our future tax savings, which could have a negative impact on our liquidity and financial position.

NOLs may be utilized to offset federal and state taxable income in future years and reduce income taxes otherwise payable on such taxable income, subject to certain adjustments. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or state taxing authorities could challenge the amount of the NOLs, which could result in increases in future income tax liabilities. Based on current federal and state corporate income tax rates, our NOLs could provide a benefit to us, if fully utilized, of significant future tax savings. However, if we do not have sufficient taxable income in future years to use the tax benefits before they expire, we will lose the benefit of these NOLs permanently. Our inability to utilize available NOLs, if any, could require us to pay substantial additional federal and state taxes and interest, which may adversely affect our liquidity and financial position.

Future legislation may result in our inability to realize the tax benefits of our NOLs.

It is possible that legislation or regulations will be adopted that would limit our ability to use the tax benefits associated with our NOLs. However, we are not currently aware of any proposed changes in the tax laws or regulations that would materially and adversely impact our ability to use available NOLs, if any.

Our use of NOL carryforwards could be limited by ownership changes.

In addition to the general limitations on the carryback and carryforward of NOLs under Section 172 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code), Section 382 of the Code imposes further limitations on the utilization of NOLs by a corporation following various types of ownership changes, generally resulting in more than a 50 percentage point change in ownership of a corporation within a three year period. Therefore, the future utilization of our NOLs may be subject to limitation for regular federal income tax purposes.

We cannot be certain that the limitations of Section 382 will not limit or deny in full our future utilization of available NOLs, if any. Such limitation or denial could require us to pay substantial additional federal and state taxes and interest. Moreover, we cannot be certain that future ownership changes will not limit or deny in full our future utilization of all available NOLs. If we cannot utilize available NOLs, if any, we may be required to pay substantial additional federal and state taxes and interest liabilities may adversely affect our liquidity and financial position.

If we are unable to meet continued listing requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (NYSE), the NYSE may delist our common stock, which could negatively affect the price of the common stock and your ability to sell the common stock.

In November 2008, the NYSE notified us that we were considered below criteria specifically because our average total market capitalization was less than \$75 million over a consecutive 30 trading-day period. This

Index to Financial Statements

required us to submit a plan that demonstrated our ability to achieve compliance with the continued listing standards within 18 months of receipt of the notice. In February 2009, the NYSE notified us that it had accepted our proposed plan for continued listing on the NYSE, subject to quarterly reviews by the NYSE s Listing and Compliance Committee to ensure progress against the plan. In June 2009, the NYSE lowered its minimum total market capitalization requirement to \$50 million and subsequently notified us that we were considered in compliance and that no quarterly reviews would be required.

In the future, we may not be able to meet the continued listing requirements of the NYSE. If we are unable to satisfy the NYSE criteria for continued listing, our common stock would be subject to delisting. Trading, if any, in our common stock would thereafter be conducted on another exchange or quotation system. As a consequence of any such delisting, a stockholder would likely find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the prices for our common stock.

The soundness of financial institutions could adversely affect us.

We have relationships with several financial institutions as lenders under our credit facility and engage in transactions with other counterparties in the financial services industry. Defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, financial institutions or the financial services industry generally, could result in losses or defaults by these institutions. As a result of Lehman Brothers, Inc. ceasing to be a participating lender in our credit facility, the total capacity under our revolving credit facility was reduced by \$12.0 million to \$88.0 million. In the event that the volatility of the financial markets further adversely affects financial institutions that are lenders under our credit facility, we may be unable to access our credit facility or complete financing transactions as intended, which could adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Our credit agreement limits our business flexibility by imposing operating and financial restrictions on our operations.

Our credit agreement imposes specific operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions impose conditions or limitations on our ability to, among other things: change the nature of our business; incur additional indebtedness; create liens on our assets; sell assets; issue stock; engage in mergers, consolidations or transactions with our affiliates; make investments in or loans to specific subsidiaries; make guarantees or specific restricted payments; and declare or make dividend payments on our common stock.

Our failure to comply with the terms and covenants in our credit agreement could lead to a default under the terms of such agreement, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate the indebtedness and declare all amounts owed due and payable. If that occurred, we might not be able to refinance or otherwise satisfy our debt obligations, which could have a substantial adverse effect on our ability to continue as a going concern. We may not be able to comply with these restrictions in the future, or, in order to comply with these restrictions, we may have to forego opportunities that might otherwise be beneficial to us.

Our accounting policies and methods are key to how we report our financial condition and results of operations and may require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain.

Accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Management must exercise judgment in selecting and applying many of these accounting policies and methods so they comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Management has identified certain accounting policies and estimates as being critical because they require management s judgment to ascertain the valuations of assets, liabilities, commitments and contingencies. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained either when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset or reducing a liability. We have established detailed policies and control procedures

Index to Financial Statements

that are intended to ensure these critical accounting estimates and judgments are well controlled and applied consistently. In addition, the policies and procedures are intended to ensure that the process for changing methodologies occurs in an appropriate manner. Because of the uncertainty of estimates about these matters, we cannot guarantee that we will not be required to adjust accounting policies or restate prior period financial statements. See Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates and Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report for more information.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS None.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our principal locations as of December 31, 2009. These properties were leased by us initially for use in our operations, but as a result of divestitures and consolidations, certain of these properties are now subleased to third-party tenants; only the Norcross location is used in our current operations. Of the total of approximately 1.1 million rentable square feet currently under lease, approximately 0.3 million rentable square feet are fully subleased to third parties. We consider the locations presently used by us for our operations to be adequate for our present needs. If we are forced for any reason to vacate any of our facilities due to lease expirations or any other reasons, we believe that equally suitable alternative locations are available on equally favorable terms in all of the locations where we do business.

Type of Ownership

		Approximate Rentable Square	Expiration Date
Principal Locations	Principal Use	Feet (RSF)	of Lease
Norcross, GA 3585 Engineering Drive	Executive and administrative offices	86,600	Lease expires in 2016
Carrollton, TX 4101 International Parkway	Sublease	201,180	Lease expires in 2014; 62,825 RSF sublet as of December 31, 2009
New York, NY 1440 Broadway	Sublease	170,700	Lease expires in 2015; fully sublet as of December 31, 2009
New York, NY 261 Madison	Sublease	72,100	Lease expires in 2017; 47,333 RSF sublet as of December 31, 2009

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As is more fully discussed in Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in the Report, we are involved in lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that we have asserted against others, in which substantial monetary damages are sought. Although the result of any future litigation of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable, management believes that, in the aggregate, the outcome of all such lawsuits and claims will not have a material effect on our long-term consolidated financial position or liquidity; however, any such outcome could be material to the results of operations of any particular period in which costs, if any, are recognized.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

Index to Financial Statements

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Our current executive officers are:

Corporate Officers	
Dean B. Nelson	Mr. Nelson became Chairman of the Board in April 2003 and also served as President and Chief Executive Officer from October 2005 to September 2007. He has served as the Chief Executive
Chairman of the Board	Officer of Capstone Consulting LLC, a strategic consulting firm, since March 2000.
Age: 51	
Charles J. Stubbs	Mr. Stubbs has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since May 2008. From November 2004 to May 2008, he was the President and CEO of YellowPages.com. Prior to that time, Mr.
President and	Stubbs served as President of BellSouth IntelliVentures, the electronic media division of BellSouth Advertising and Publishing Group. Before joining BellSouth, Mr. Stubbs was Executive Vice
Chief Executive Officer	President of Infospace, a Bellevue, Washington-based entity that develops and markets Internet and wireless solutions for wireless operators and content sites.
Age: 37	
Kim R. Payne	Ms. Payne has served as Chief Financial Officer since August 2007. Previously, she had served as Chief Financial Officer of our operating subsidiary since August 2006. She joined us as an
Senior Vice President and	accountant in 1991 and during her tenure has served in various finance management roles at our operating subsidiary, including Financial Analyst, Director of Analysis and Planning, and Vice
Chief Financial Officer	President of Finance.
Age: 41	
J. Michael Barber	Mr. Barber has served as Chief Accounting Officer since May 2008. Prior to joining us in October 2007, Mr. Barber served as Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of HomeBanc
Senior Vice President and	Corp. from September 2004 through October 2007. From 2001 to August 2004, he served as Senior Vice President/Manager of Accounting Policy and Reporting with Union Planters Corp., a bank
Chief Accounting Officer	holding company. Prior to 2001, Mr. Barber worked with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where he was a Senior Manager in that organization s banking practice. Mr. Barber is a certified public accountant.
Age: 43	accountant.
Arlene Mayfield	Ms. Mayfield has served as Senior Vice President since December 2007 and President of the Apartment Guide and New Home Guide businesses since October 2005 and November 2008,
Senior Vice President and	respectively. Previously, she had served as Vice President of the New Home Guide from September 2003 through October 2005. She began her career with us in 1993 as the Publisher of the
President, Apartment Guide and	Albuquerque Apartment Guide. Ms. Mayfield was promoted to Publisher of the Orlando Apartment Guide in 1997 and subsequently to Regional Director for the Eastern Region in 1999.
New Home Guide	
Age: 47	
Keith L. Belknap, Jr.	Mr. Belknap has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since September 2007 and of our operating subsidiary since March 2007. Mr. Belknap is responsible for oversight of
Senior Vice President, General	our legal and corporate and business development functions. From February 2006 to March 2007, he served as Assistant General Counsel of PPG Industries, Inc. From April 2003 to February 2006, he
Counsel and Secretary	served as a Principal Counsel to Georgia-Pacific Corporation. Prior to April 2003, Mr. Belknap was Counsel at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP.
Age: 52	

Business Executive Jamison M. Clymer

President, Rentals.com

Age: 35

Mr. Clymer has served as President of Rentals.com since January 2008. Prior to joining us, Mr. Clymer served as Vice President and General Manager of Homes.com at Dominion Enterprises from January 2005 through December 2007. From October 2003 through December 2004, he served as General Manager of Employmentguide.com at Dominion, and from July 2001 through September 2003 he served as District Manager of Employmentguide.com.

Index to Financial Statements

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the ticker symbol PRM. As of March 1, 2010, there were 636 holders of record of our common stock. The following table sets forth, for the quarterly periods indicated, the high, low and closing sales prices per share of our common stock as quoted on the NYSE at the end of regular trading, as well as the cash dividends declared per share of common stock:

	Stock Prices						
2009 Quarters Ended	High	Low	Close	Declare	d per Share		
March 31	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.60	\$ 2.47	\$	0.07		
June 30	4.05	1.80	2.01		0.07		
September 30	3.25	1.72	2.52		0.07		
December 31	4.29	2.36	3.61		0.07		
		Stock Prices		Cash l	Dividends		
2008 Quarters Ended	High	Stock Prices Low	Close		Dividends d per Share		
2008 Quarters Ended March 31	High \$ 9.18		Close \$ 7.35				
	U	Low		Declare	d per Share		
March 31	\$ 9.18	Low \$ 5.69	\$ 7.35	Declare	d per Share 0.07		

Dividends

Our bank credit facilities impose certain limitations on the amount of dividends permitted to be paid on our common stock. See Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity, Capital and Other Resources Financing Arrangements.

We announced on March 4, 2010 that our Board of Directors had authorized a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.07 per share of common stock, payable on or about March 24, 2010, to stockholders of record on March 15, 2010. We currently expect that we will continue to pay a regular quarterly dividend, at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Information required by this item with respect to our equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to our Proxy Statement for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The definitive Proxy Statement will be filed within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

There have been no recent sales of unregistered securities.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our Board of Directors has authorized a program (the Repurchase Program) to repurchase up to \$5.0 million of our common stock through December 31, 2010. Under the terms of the Repurchase Program, we may repurchase shares in open market purchases or through privately negotiated transactions. We expect to use cash on hand to fund any repurchases of our common stock. As of December 31, 2008, we had not repurchased any shares under the Repurchase Program. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased 0.2 million shares of

our common stock for approximately \$0.4 million at a weighted-average price (including brokerage commissions) of \$1.79 per share. We did not repurchase any additional shares of our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2009 beyond those discussed above. The reacquired shares have been designated as treasury shares. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$4.6 million available under the Repurchase Program for further share repurchases, which we may make.

Index to Financial Statements

Performance Graph

The stock performance graph is not and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Report into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (collectively, the Acts) except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.

The graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return of our Common Stock with the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Index and two different customized peer groups, the Old Peer Group and the New Peer Group, for the period commencing December 31, 2004 and ending December 31, 2009. The Old Peer Group is comprised of Autobytel Inc., Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc., Meredith Corp. and Move, Inc. The New Peer Group is comprised of Move, Inc., Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc., The Knot, Inc. and Market Leader, Inc. We elected to change our peer group because we believe the companies reflected in the New Peer Group provide a more relevant comparison to our business model and industry than the Old Peer Group. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested in our Common Stock, in the index and in each of the peer groups on December 31, 2004 and that all dividends were reinvested on a quarterly basis.

	Dec-04	Dec-05	Dec-06	Dec-07	Dec-08	Dec-09
PRIMEDIA Inc.	\$ 100	\$ 42	\$ 44	\$ 43	\$ 12	\$ 22
S&P [©] 500	100	103	117	121	75	92
Old Peer Group (4 Stocks)	100	102	106	66	26	38
New Peer Group (4 Stocks)	100	135	204	112	60	71

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data were derived from the audited consolidated financial statements. The data should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Report.

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

		2009	(I	2008		Years Ended l 2007 ls, except per :		2006		2005
Operating Data:										
Revenue, net	\$	257,931	\$	304,105	\$	314,800	\$	307,929	\$	307,187
Depreciation and amortization of property and										
equipment		13,256		14,475		12,612		11,501		10,410
Amortization of intangible assets		2,970		2,870		3,492		3,286		3,140
Interest expense		15,670		19,338		77,660		126,940		128,980
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	4,540	\$	49,027	\$	(55,678)	\$	(65,114)	\$	(101,306)
Discontinued operations, net of tax	Ψ	(1,052)	Ŷ	10,441	Ŷ	547,123	Ŷ	103,344	Ŷ	665,924
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle,		(1,052)		10,111		517,125		105,511		000,721
net of $tax(1)$								22		
N		2 400		50 469		401 445		28.252		564619
Net income		3,488		59,468		491,445		38,252		564,618
Income applicable to common stockholders	\$	3,488	\$	59,468	\$	491,445	\$	38,252	\$	564,618
Basic and diluted income (loss) applicable to										
common stockholders per common share(2):										
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.10	\$	1.11	\$	(1.26)	\$	(1.48)	\$	(2.31)
Discontinued operations		(0.02)		0.24		12.40		2.35		15.19
Cumulative effect of change in accounting										
principle(1)								0.00		
Income applicable to common stockholders	\$	0.08	\$	1.35	\$	11.14	\$	0.87	\$	12.88
meonie applicable to common stockholders	Ψ	0.00	Ψ	1.55	Ψ	11.17	Ψ	0.07	Ψ	12.00
	¢	0.00	¢	0.00	¢	0.15	٩		¢	
Dividends declared per share of common stock	\$	0.28	\$	0.28	\$	2.15	\$		\$	
Basic common shares outstanding										
(weighted-average)(2)	4	4,124,538	4	4,176,398	4	4,118,943	4	3,997,665	4	3,838,591
Diluted common shares outstanding										
(weighted-average)(2)	4	4,214,003	4	4,197,590	4	4,118,943	4	3,997,665	4	3,838,591
Balance Sheet Data:										
Goodwill and intangible assets, net	\$	149,972	\$	152.942	\$	155.712	\$	862.025	\$	994,581
Total assets	Ŧ	239,729	Ŧ	286,154	Ŧ	256,864	Ŧ	1,254,329	Ŧ	1,389,468
Long-term debt(3)		222,349		245,531		247,575		1,316,959		1,456,770
		222,317		213,331		217,575		1,010,707		1,130,770

Notes to Selected Financial Data

(1) Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted GAAP related to the accounting for share-based payments, using the modified prospective method. Prior to the adoption of this statement, we expensed the fair value of stock-based compensation for all grants, modifications or settlements made on or after January 1, 2003 in accordance with GAAP, which was adopted on January 1, 2003 using the prospective method. Upon adoption, we were also required to expense the fair value of any awards that were granted prior to January 1, 2003 and that were not fully vested as of January 1, 2006. The cumulative effect of adopting this change in accounting principle was less than \$0.1 million, which is included in the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

(2) Income (loss) per common share has been determined based on income (loss) applicable to common stockholders, divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for all years presented.

Index to Financial Statements

The securities that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in the future consist of 1.6 million warrants at December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005; and an aggregate of 2.1 million, 3.1 million, 3.2 million, 3.4 million and 3.6 million stock options and shares of restricted stock as of December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2009, potentially dilutive securities, including 2.5 million stock options and 1.6 million warrants to purchase common stock, were not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted income per common share because their strike price was greater than the average market price of our common stock during the period, and their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. An additional 0.4 million shares of restricted stock were excluded from the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of and their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. An additional 0.4 million shares of restricted stock were excluded from the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted not vest and were forfeited or the calculation under the treasury stock method resulted in no additional dilutive shares.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, potentially dilutive securities, including 2.7 million stock options and 1.6 million warrants to purchase common stock, were not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted income per common share because their strike price was greater than the average market price of our common stock during the period, and their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. An additional 0.4 million shares of restricted stock were excluded from the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2008 because either the performance goals were not met at the end of the period or the calculation under the treasury stock method resulted in no additional dilutive shares.

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, potentially dilutive securities were not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted income (loss) per common share because the effect of their inclusion, as measured against loss from continuing operations, would be anti-dilutive.

(3) Excludes current maturities of long-term debt.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Introduction

The following discussion and analysis summarizes our financial condition and operating performance and should be read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Report.

Executive Summary

Our Company

We are a targeted media company that provides consumers with tools and information they need to find a place to live. Our consumer directories are targeted primarily for the apartment and other rental property sectors of the residential real estate industry and are provided free to consumers through a combination of online, print and mobile platforms. We derive advertising revenue by providing our advertiser clients property management companies, private owner/landlords, new home builders and real estate professionals with products and services that generate measurable results in the form of cost-effective, quality leads.

Our principal digital assets include ApartmentGuide.com, Rentals.com, RentalHouses.com, NewHomeGuide.com and AmericanHomeGuides.com. According to comScore Media Metrix, unique visitors to our websites reached 3.3 million in January 2010, compared with 3.1 million in January 2009. The total number of leads we produce for our advertisers has grown year over year, and leads derived from our online and mobile services now represent more than 75% of the total leads we deliver to our advertiser clients.

Index to Financial Statements

We produce printed directories for 75 markets and distribute them through display rack programs, many of which are on an exclusive basis, with large national and regional retail chains, including grocery, drug, convenience, video, fitness and mass merchandise retailers. In 2009, we distributed approximately 14.9 million printed directories to approximately 17,000 advertiser client locations and 28,000 retail and other locations.

Fiscal 2009 Fourth Quarter Results

	Fourth Quarters Ended December 31,			Change avorable/	% Change Favorable/			
	2009 2008		(Un	favorable)	(Unfavorable)			
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Revenues, net	\$ 61,253	\$ 73,409	\$	(12,156)	(16.6)%			
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	(3,535)	29,401		(32,936)	(112.0)			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 7,469	\$ 34,691	\$	(27,222)	(78.5)%			
Discontinued operations, net of tax	3,679	(2,710)		6,389	235.8			
Net income	\$ 11,148	\$ 31,981	\$	(20,833)	(65.1)			

The decrease in revenue, net was primarily attributable to a decrease in New Homes advertising revenue and distribution revenue. The decrease in New Homes advertising revenue was due to a 39.1% decrease in revenue per community served driven by the continued weaknesses in the new home sales sector and a 21.2% decrease in new home communities served. The decrease in distribution revenue was due to a 23.6% decrease in the average revenue per pocket due to softness in demand and a 24.2% decrease in the number of pockets sold in our display racks due largely to the reduction in retail locations serviced.

Income from continuing operations decreased primarily due to lower revenue of \$12.2 million and the release in 2008 of \$29.3 million in deferred tax asset valuation allowance, partially offset by decreases in 2009 in distribution and circulation of \$8.2 million, cost of goods sold of \$2.2 million, and general and administrative of \$2.0 million.

Net income decreased due to the factors above, partially offset by a \$6.4 million increase in income from discontinued operations, net of tax, which was the result of a benefit recorded from our estimated liability for certain tax-related contingencies of approximately \$6.0 million, primarily due to the lapsing of the statutes of limitations.

2009 Summary Consolidated Results

In 2009, revenue was \$257.9 million, down 15.2% as compared to \$304.1 million in 2008. In 2009, costs and expenses were \$251.3 million, down 10.9% compared to \$282.1 million in 2008.

In 2009, income from continuing operations was \$4.5 million, compared to \$49.0 million in 2008.

Net income was \$3.5 million in 2009 compared to \$59.5 million in 2008, which included gain on sale of businesses, net of tax, of \$2.0 million.

Business Trends and Outlook

The continuing and deepening deterioration in the economy generally and in the conditions in the residential real estate industry in particular have resulted in relatively low occupancy and effective rent levels on a national basis. Though local market conditions vary, our advertisers generally have responded to these conditions with shifts in marketing strategies and budget cuts, which have depressed and may continue to depress our advertising

Index to Financial Statements

revenue. As we look ahead, we believe advertisers will continue to be cautious with their budgets, focusing more on total advertising spend than on ROI, and advertising revenue is likely to continue to be challenged in 2010.

Also contributing to shifts in marketing strategies of our advertisers is the continuing increase in popularity of online advertising, including through mobile phones and other devices. The broad shift from print advertising to online alternatives has contributed to significant declines in print advertising across traditional media products and services. Most of our current offerings are built upon integrated media packages that include online, print and mobile components. However, we have seen the effects of this trend in revenue declines attributable to our premium print products, as well as increasing advertiser focus on our online and mobile offerings.

The targeted nature of our ROI-based print products is why they continue to deliver substantial value to our advertisers, even though the greater portion of the value we now deliver (in the form of leads) on a national basis has shifted to our digital products and services. The mix of advertising results, and therefore value, as between our digital and print products and services is highly market-specific, with consumers in some markets favoring print products, while those in other markets favor our Internet or mobile services.

Overall, we expect our advertisers to increasingly favor digital media choices in their advertising budgets, and we are continuing to aggressively develop and market our online and mobile offerings. However, at least in the shorter term, we anticipate continuing decreases in revenue per community served as we increase apartment communities served. We believe that our growth will depend, to a significant degree, upon the value of our online products and services and our ability to monetize this value.

During 2010, we intend to continue to grow our client count and market share in our largest business, Apartment Guide, and pursue enhancements to our product portfolio and market and market segment expansion. We also intend to grow our Rentals.com business by focusing on improving site engineering and performance, while increasing traffic, primarily through search engine optimization. Our visibility around 2010 revenue for Apartments is extremely limited, given general economic and market conditions, though we currently expect to see a 5% to 6% year-over-year decline in first quarter 2010 Apartments revenue, similar to the percentage decline experienced in fourth quarter 2009.

We anticipate continued pressure on our New Homes business during 2010, and we remain focused on managing costs for this business in accordance with anticipated levels of revenue and managing our client relationships to best position us for opportunities as macroeconomic conditions improve. We currently expect a 40% to 50% year-over-year decline in New Homes revenue in first quarter 2010.

We anticipate that DistribuTech will continue to be impacted by lower revenue from customers that publish free publications and are scaling back or ceasing operations or providing an Internet-only product and by further reductions in retail locations serviced. Since 2008, we have undertaken strategic initiatives to substantially reduce the cost structure of our distribution function. As a result, distribution costs decreased from \$85.2 million in 2008 to \$60.9 million in 2009. We intend to continue aggressively reducing our distribution cost structure and expect to incur restructuring charges related to our RDAs of between \$5.0 million and \$7.0 million in 2010. We currently expect a 35% to 40% year-over-year decline in DistribuTech revenue in first quarter 2010.

Our overall distribution strategy is to reduce our overall print distribution costs as we devote greater resources to opportunities for growth that digital media present and eliminate less effective locations, while focusing our efforts on retaining and servicing locations that produce the best results for PRIMEDIA advertisers in terms of leads. We intend to move to a smaller, more efficient distribution model that primarily relies on third-party distribution services, in combination with more limited internal resources, to deliver our directories.

Transition Plan

We have relocated our corporate headquarters from New York to Norcross, Georgia. We continued to utilize certain of our New York-based functions through the first half of 2008 and to incur their associated costs.

Index to Financial Statements

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management s discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts. The significant accounting policies, outlined in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report, are integral to an understanding of management s discussion and analysis. The accounting policies and estimates that we believe are the most critical to an understanding of the results of operations and financial condition are those that require complex management judgment regarding matters that are highly uncertain at the time policies were applied and estimates were made. These accounting policies and estimates are discussed below. We base some of our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Additionally, GAAP allows or requires many assets and liabilities to be accounted for at fair value, which is defined to be the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP also requires that certain assets be assessed for impairment based on fair value. In cases where active markets do not exist, as discussed below, modeling or other techniques may be required to estimate fair value. Different estimates reasonably could have been used in the current period that would have had a material effect on these financial statements, and changes in these estimates are likely to occur from period to period in the future.

We have discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, and the Audit Committee has reviewed our disclosures relating to them in this Management s Discussion and Analysis.

Goodwill Impairment Testing. Goodwill is deemed to have an indefinite life and is not amortized but is subject to an impairment test, at least annually. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations. The value of goodwill is ultimately derived from an entity s ability to generate net earnings after the acquisition. A decline in net earnings could be indicative of a decline in the fair value of goodwill and result in impairment. For that reason, we test, at a reporting unit level, goodwill for impairment and have established October 31 as the annual impairment testing date. A reporting unit is an operating segment or one level below an operating segment for which discrete financial information is available and reviewed regularly by management. Our assets and liabilities are assigned to reporting units to the extent that they are employed in or are considered a liability related to the operations of the reporting units and are considered in determining the fair value of the reporting unit. We presently have only one reporting unit. The goodwill impairment test is conducted by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit, which begins with management s expectations of cash flows in the next five years plus an expected residual value. A discount factor, approximating our weighted-average cost of capital (13% for 2009 and 2008), is then applied to the forecasted cash flows to determine the present value. The resulting fair value is compared to the carrying value of the reporting unit. As long as the present value is greater, there is no impairment to goodwill.

While we believe all assumptions utilized in our assessment of goodwill for impairment are reasonable and appropriate, changes in actual earnings, the growth rate of future earnings, the effective tax rate (38% for 2009 and 2008) and our weighted-average cost of capital could all cause different results for the calculation of the present value of future cash flows. In management s estimation, most sensitive of these assumptions is cash flows from future earnings.

Over the past six years, our actual revenue and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, and other (EBITDA) have generally been within a range of 7% higher to 7% lower than our forecasted amounts. In our annual impairment testing for 2009, we determined that the estimated fair value of our reporting unit substantially exceeded its carrying value. We have also performed a sensitivity analysis of our calculated fair value by assuming our cash flows from future earnings to be 20% lower than our forecast. The results indicated that such declines would not result in an indicated goodwill impairment.

Index to Financial Statements

Long-Lived Assets. We review our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate assets might be impaired. Those events and circumstances include, but are not limited to, a significant change in the extent to which an asset is utilized (e.g., when a decision is made to dispose of an asset and certain other criteria are met), a significant decrease in the market price of an asset and a significant adverse change in the business climate that could affect the value of an asset, and operating or cash flow losses associated with the use of an asset. When such a review is conducted, we use an estimate of undiscounted cash flows, which are derived from our historical experience and long-term business plans, over the remaining lives of the assets to measure recoverability. If the estimated cash flows are less than the carrying value of an asset, the loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds fair value.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, factors were identified indicating that the carrying value of certain of our advertiser lists might not be recoverable. We determined that the expected undiscounted cash flows associated with one advertiser list were less than the carrying value and, as a result, recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$0.5 million, which is included in amortization of intangible assets in the consolidated statement of operations. We also determined that the decline in the value of these assets was occurring faster than the expense being recognized using the straight-line method of amortization. To better match the deterioration in the value of the assets, we also concluded that an accelerated method of amortization over a shorter estimated life would be appropriate and made this change in estimate effective January 1, 2010.

Income Taxes and Tax-Related Liabilities. Our income tax expense is affected by judgments we must make about the realizability of our net deferred tax assets and our reserves for uncertain tax positions. Our net income is also impacted by our judgments about our tax-related contingent liabilities.

<u>Valuation Allowances for Deferred Tax Assets</u>. Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from temporary differences (i.e., amounts that are recognized as income or deducted as expenses at different times for GAAP and tax purposes). Deferred tax assets also arise from benefits recorded from NOLs (i.e., amounts representing losses for tax purposes that may be utilized in future years to offset taxable income). A net deferred tax asset must be reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The valuation allowance must be sufficient to reduce the net deferred tax asset to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Changes in the valuation allowance are recorded as increases or decreases in income tax expense. Future realization of the tax benefit of an existing deductible temporary difference or carryforward ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character (e.g., ordinary income or capital gain) within the carryback or carryforward period available under the tax law. There are four possible sources of taxable income that may be available under the tax law to realize a tax benefit for deductible temporary differences and carryforwards:

Future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;

Future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;

Taxable income in prior carryback year(s) if carryback is permitted under the tax law; and

Tax-planning strategies that would, if necessary, be implemented to, for example:

Accelerate taxable amounts to utilize expiring carryforwards; or

Change the character of taxable or deductible amounts from ordinary income or loss to capital gain or loss.

Evidence available about each of those possible sources of taxable income will vary for different tax jurisdictions and, possibly, from year to year. To the extent evidence about one or more sources of taxable income is sufficient to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not necessary, other sources need not be considered. Consideration of each source is required, however, to determine the amount of the valuation allowance that is recognized for deferred tax assets. After consideration of the available evidence, a valuation allowance, if warranted, is established to reduce the deferred tax asset to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Index to Financial Statements

In evaluating the ability to realize deferred tax assets, including NOLs, and any need for a valuation allowance, it is necessary to first consider the recognition threshold for uncertain tax positions and reduce the deferred tax assets by any required reserve for uncertain tax positions.

As of December 31, 2009, we had aggregate federal NOLs of approximately \$452.4 million, which are available to reduce future taxable income, and the substantial majority expire between 2020 and 2024. In addition, we have state and local NOLs in various jurisdictions in which we and/or our subsidiaries file income tax returns. These state and local NOLs expire over various periods based on applicable state and local regulations.

The federal, state and local NOLs are the single largest component of our net deferred tax asset. Additionally, since amortization of tax-deductible goodwill and trademarks ceased for GAAP purposes on January 1, 2002, we expect that deferred tax liabilities will arise each quarter because the taxable temporary differences related to the amortization of these assets are not expected to reverse prior to the expiration period of our deductible temporary differences unless the related assets are sold or an impairment of the assets is recorded. Consequently, we may record a valuation allowance in excess of our net deferred tax assets to the extent the difference between the book and tax basis of indefinite-lived intangible assets is not expected to reverse during the NOL period.

Based on the weight of objectively verifiable available positive and negative evidence, at December 31, 2009, we have recorded a valuation allowance against all but \$26.3 million of our net deferred tax asset because we believe only this portion is more likely than not to be realized. We will need to generate approximately \$75.1 million in pre-tax income for financial reporting purposes to realize this asset.

Elements of positive evidence about the realizability of our asset included:

remaining lives of the NOLs;

historical income when results are normalized to remove the impact of discontinued operations and to reflect the completion of the Company s relocation from New York to Norcross; and

forecasted income, utilizing the same forecast as for goodwill impairment testing in future periods.

Elements of negative evidence about the realizability of our asset included:

historical losses and

uncertainty as to the timing and exact amount of future earnings as a result of current economic conditions, the U.S. residential real estate industry, as well as the uncertainty of the effectiveness of the steps we have taken, and will take, to mitigate the adverse impact on our businesses.

Our assessment in 2009 is consistent with our view in 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, we maintained a partial valuation allowance against our net deferred income tax assets. We may release additional valuation allowance in future periods when we can conclude that a greater portion of the net deferred tax assets is more likely than not to be realized. To the extent we report taxable income in future periods, we intend to use NOLs, to the extent allowable, to offset that taxable income and reduce cash outflows for income taxes. Our ability to use our federal and state NOLs and federal and state tax credit carryforwards may be subject to restrictions attributable to equity transactions in the future resulting from changes in ownership as defined under the Internal Revenue Code.

<u>Uncertain Tax Positions</u>. GAAP for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Uncertainty can arise because:

a tax position is not more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the taxing authority;

the tax position is more likely than not to be sustained, but for a lesser amount; or

the tax position is more likely than not to be sustained, but not in the financial period in which the tax position was originally taken.

Index to Financial Statements

Under GAAP, in order to be recognized, tax benefits from a tax position must be more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. We must adjust our reserves for uncertain tax positions, including any impact on the related interest and penalties, in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of an examination.

Currently, we are under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for our 2005 and 2006 federal consolidated income tax filings and other material state taxing jurisdictions for income for filings for the tax years 2005 through 2007. We reported NOLs from tax years back to 1992 on federal and state tax returns currently under, or open to, examination. We believe that our accrual for tax liabilities is adequate for all open audit years based on our assessment of many factors, including past experience and interpretations of tax law. This assessment relies on estimates and assumptions and involves a series of complex judgments about future events.

At December 31, 2009, we had total unrecognized tax benefits of \$82.2 million and a recorded liability for uncertain tax positions of \$28.3 million. A number of years may elapse before a particular matter for which we have established a reserve is examined and finally resolved, and due to the uncertainty regarding the timing of the completion of income tax examinations, it is difficult to assess when changes in our estimated liability will occur. However, the statutes of limitations in certain state and local jurisdictions are expected to lapse within the next twelve months and may result in a decrease of unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest of approximately \$1.2 million.

<u>Tax-related Contingent Liabilities</u>. In connection with the sale of assets and the divestiture of businesses, we may agree to indemnify the buyer and related parties for certain losses or liabilities incurred by the buyer with respect to liabilities related to the pre-closing operations of the assets sold, including tax liabilities not assumed by the buyer in the transaction.

As with any liability, we have accrued for those tax-related contingent obligations that are considered probable and reasonably estimable. Over time, circumstances could change that might increase the likelihood of payment related to a specific indemnity for which no reserve has been established. Additionally, our estimate about the amount due under to a specific indemnity could change. Either of these situations will result in a change in our net income.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we reduced certain tax-related contingent liability obligations, while the statutes of limitations for others ultimately lapsed, and resulted in the reduction of a significant portion of the recorded liability, which resulted in a \$6.6 million benefit to earnings in discontinued operations.

Index to Financial Statements

Stock-Based Compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions of GAAP, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. Awards of performance-based restricted stock also require estimates about whether and to what extent applicable performance thresholds are likely to be achieved, which results in vesting of the award according to a predetermined formula. The most commonly granted form of stock-based compensation has been stock options. The fair value of stock options is determined using an option-pricing model that takes into account certain assumptions, including the exercise price, which is typically the stock price at the grant date, the expected life of the grant, the volatility of the underlying stock, the expected dividends on the stock and the risk-free interest rate over the expected life of the grant. The fair value of a stock option estimated at the grant date is not subsequently adjusted for changes in the price of the underlying stock or its volatility, the life of the grant, dividends on the stock or the risk-free interest rate. Generally, changes in the assumptions will have the following impact on the fair value of stock option awards:

Assumption	Impact on Fair Value
Exercise price:	
Increased price	Lower fair value
Decreased price	Higher fair value
Expected life of grant:	
Increased life	Higher fair value
Decreased life	Lower fair value
Volatility of underlying stock:	
Increased volatility	Higher fair value
Decreased volatility	Lower fair value
Expected dividends:	
Higher dividends	Lower fair value
Lower dividends	Higher fair value
Risk-free interest rate:	
Higher rate	Lower fair value
Lower rate	Higher fair value

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts represents our estimate of losses in our accounts receivable resulting from our customers failure to make required payments. We continually monitor collections from customers and the age of outstanding balances. Each of our businesses provides for estimated credit losses based on historical analysis of the propensity of their customer base to make required payments.

The following is operational information related to our accounts receivable and related allowance for doubtful accounts:

	As of an	As of and for the Years Ended					
]	December 31,					
	2009	2008	2007				
Bad debt expense as a percentage of total revenue, net	1.6%	1.3%	0.6%				
Write-offs of uncollectable accounts as a percentage of total revenues, net	2.1	1.5	0.9				
Allowance for doubtful accounts as a percentage of gross accounts receivable	4.7	5.2	4.4				

We aggressively pursue collection efforts on overdue accounts and, upon collection of any amounts previously written off, reverse the write-off. If future payments by our customers were to differ from our estimates, we may

Index to Financial Statements

need to increase or decrease our allowance for doubtful accounts. We do not believe those increases or decreases would have a material impact on our long-term consolidated financial position or liquidity, although they could be material to the results of operations of any particular period in which they are recognized.

Provision for Restructuring Costs. Reserves for restructuring costs are estimated costs resulting from our plans and actions to integrate the Company and consolidate certain back office functions. Examples include employee-related termination costs, the cost of terminating or foregoing our rights under our RDAs and the cost of the termination of real estate leases, net of anticipated sublease income, if applicable, and the related write-off of leasehold improvements. Many of these costs are estimated using a discounted cash flow model. The most sensitive inputs into this model are the discount rate, which is based on current rates that would be offered to us for debt with a remaining maturity equivalent to the cash flows, and estimates of sublease income. If the future payments of these costs or amounts of sublease income were to differ from our estimates, we may need to increase or decrease our reserves.

Results of Operations

2009 Compared to 2008

Consolidated Results

Revenue, Net

	Years Ended December 31,		\$ Change Favorable/		% Change Favorable/
Revenue Component	2009	2008 (Dollar	· ·	favorable) ousands)	(Unfavorable)
Apartments	\$ 206,041	\$ 211,367	\$	(5,326)	(2.5)%
New Homes	18,530	39,337		(20,807)	(52.9)
Total advertising revenue	224,571	250,704		(26,133)	(10.4)
Distribution	33,360	53,401		(20,041)	(37.5)
Total revenue, net	\$ 257,931	\$ 304,105	\$	(46,174)	(15.2)

Apartments

Apartment Guide, ApartmentGuide.com, Rentals.com and RentalHouses.com, representing approximately 91.7% of advertising revenue during the year ended December 31, 2009, decreased 2.5% compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a 9.3% decrease in revenue per apartment community served in our Apartment Guide business, which was partially offset by a 7.8% increase in communities served.

Generally, advertising spend by property management company advertisers is driven by local market factors outside our control, including occupancy rates and effective rent levels, which are essentially average rent amounts after giving effect to free months of rent and other incentives. Our historical experience has been that as occupancy rates increase beyond 95%, apartment communities tend to reduce their advertising spend because they require fewer prospective tenants. As occupancy rates fall below 90%, apartment communities tend to cut back on all discretionary spending, including advertising. For these reasons, occupancy rates in excess of 95% or below 90% ordinarily result in a decrease in revenue per community served.

As of December 31, 2009, occupancy rates in Apartment Guide markets ranged from 86% to 97%, with an average of 92% in 2009, compared to 93% in 2008, and with the majority of markets experiencing occupancy levels between 90% and 94%.

During 2009, approximately 1% of revenue from Apartment Guide was derived from markets that had occupancy rates of 95% or higher, which compares to 17% in 2008. Also during 2009, approximately 16% of revenue from Apartment Guide was derived from markets that had

Table of Contents

occupancy rates below 90%, which compares to 1% in 2008.

Index to Financial Statements

The effects of occupancy rates are mitigated or exacerbated by effective rent levels. In the markets in which we print a directory, effective rents were down 4.5% for 2009 compared to 2008, which served to compound the impact on the revenue of markets with occupancy rates above 90%.

New Homes

The New Home Guide, NewHomeGuide.com and AmericanHomeGuides.com businesses, representing approximately 8.3% of advertising revenue during the year ended December 31, 2009, decreased 52.9% compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in revenue was due to a 35.0% decrease in revenue per new home community served and a 27.8% decrease in communities served. The decrease in revenue per community served resulted from a decline in standard advertising spending of 50.4% and a decline in premium advertising spending of 66.5% by new home builders, driven by continued weakness in the new home sales sector.

The difficult conditions for new home builders persisted in 2009. We believe pressure in this business will continue over the near term and remain challenging for the foreseeable future. Since June 30, 2008, we have suspended 11 print directories that were considered less effective, and, as of December 31, 2009, we published New Home Guides in 21 markets. We may suspend additional New Home Guide print directories, which could adversely impact our revenue. We continue to focus on online offerings across all markets.

Distribution Revenue

Distribution revenue, which relates to our distribution arm, DistribuTech, decreased by 37.5% during the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in revenue was due to a 20.5% decrease in the average revenue per pocket due to softness in demand and a 21.4% decrease in the number of pockets sold in our display racks. Our distribution revenue reflects the impact of the reduction in retail locations serviced, and it continues to be adversely impacted by the loss of business from publishers within the resale home, automobile sales and employment classifieds sectors scaling back or ceasing operations or providing an Internet-only product.

As part of our distribution function, we have entered into RDAs with various retail chains, including grocery, drug, convenience, video, fitness and mass merchandise retailers for exclusive rights for distribution related to us and third-party free publications. Since the third quarter of 2008, we have implemented a plan to further reduce our ongoing distribution costs through actions related to RDAs that were underperforming. These actions included renegotiating existing RDAs at more favorable rates and/or for a shorter duration; declining to renew certain RDAs when they expired; and, most significantly during 2009, by recording a restructuring charge of \$20.7 million as a result of:

terminating our distribution rights for some or all locations covered by certain RDAs at a negotiated price; discontinuing service for and vacating some locations covered by certain RDAs; and determining to forego distribution rights for certain locations that are not currently being serviced.

Index to Financial Statements

Costs and Expenses

Costs and Expenses Component	Years Ended December 31, 2009 2008 (Dollars		\$ Change (Favorable)/ Unfavorable in thousands)	% Change (Favorable)/ Unfavorable
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization of				
property and equipment)	\$ 23,369	\$ 32,420	\$ (9,051)	(27.9)%
Marketing and selling	77,635	75,722	1,913	2.5
Distribution and circulation	60,931	85,218	(24,287)	(28.5)
General and administrative expenses	37,906	48,700	(10,794)	(22.2)
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	13,256	14,475	(1,219)	(8.4)
Amortization of intangible assets	2,970	2,870	100	3.5
Provision for restructuring costs	25,627	5,238	20,389	389.3
Interest expense	15,670	19,338	(3,668)	(19.0)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	915	922	(7)	(0.8)
Other income, net	(6,986)	(2,821)	(4,165)	(147.6)
Total cost and expenses	\$ 251,293	\$ 282,082	\$ (30,789)	(10.9)

The decrease in cost of goods sold was due to a decrease in the cost of paper, reformatting of our printed directories, including reductions in both paper size and weight, as well as printing fewer directories.

The increase in marketing and selling was primarily due to increased spending related to search engine marketing.

Our distribution and circulation costs decreased as a result of ongoing action with certain of our RDAs since the third quarter of 2008. As is more fully discussed under <u>Distribution Revenue</u> above and in Note 16, Provision for Restructuring Costs, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report, other of our RDAs are part of a restructuring charge we incurred during 2009 related to actions we took to reduce our ongoing distribution costs.

General and administrative expenses declined, primarily due to decreases in corporate overhead and position eliminations of \$1.4 million, resulting from the relocation of our headquarters from New York to Norcross in 2008; a \$2.2 million reduction in costs associated with the hiring of a new CEO in 2008; a decrease of \$0.8 million in stock-based compensation; \$6.4 million resulting from insurance premium reductions and lower facilities costs attributable to our cost-cutting initiatives; and a decrease of \$0.4 million in professional fees and legal expenses. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$0.4 million in bad debt expense.

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment decreased primarily as a result of certain internal-use software becoming fully depreciated during the past twelve months, partially offset by new internal-use software being placed in service during that same period.

As is more fully discussed in Note 7, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report, we recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$0.5 million in 2009 related to one of our advertiser lists. The expected undiscounted cash flows associated with these assets were less than the carrying value, resulting in impairment.

The provision for restructuring costs increased primarily due to a \$20.7 million charge for certain of our RDAs that were underperforming. The charge included costs associated with the termination or modification of the agreements; discontinuing service to and vacating some locations covered; and determining to forego distribution rights in certain locations that are not currently being serviced. The 2009 restructuring charge also includes \$1.1 million related to office space vacated during 2009 as part of our 2009 restructuring plan. It also includes \$2.8

Index to Financial Statements

million related to office space vacated during 2009 as part of the continuation of our 2008 restructuring plan. As a result, there was a \$1.3 million increase in charges related to vacated office space in 2009 compared to 2008. The increases resulting from RDAs and vacated office space were partially offset by lower severance of \$1.6 million.

Interest expense decreased primarily due to lower average debt levels, as during the second quarter of 2009, we repurchased and retired \$14.0 million in principal of our Term Loan B Facility, and during the fourth quarter of 2009, we repurchased and retired another \$6.3 million of our Term Loan B Facility. The decrease is also attributable to a lower weighted-average interest rate during 2009 and the redemption of the remaining \$2.6 million in 8% Senior Notes outstanding during 2008. The decrease in interest expense was partially offset by an increase in interest related to borrowings against our Revolving Facility. See the discussion under Liquidity, Capital and Other Resources.

Other income, net increased due to a gain on debt repurchases during 2009 of \$5.0 million, which includes the write off of approximately \$0.4 million in deferred financing fees. The corresponding loss in 2008 was approximately \$0.1 million. In addition, we recognized a gain on sale of cost-method investments of \$2.3 million during 2009. The increase was partially offset by an increase in other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$1.5 million in 2009 related to a cost-method investment, compared to \$0.9 million in 2008. The 2008 amount also reflects the reversal of a \$2.6 million annuity obligation to one of our former CEOs due to his death in 2008, which relieved us of any further obligation to him.

Income Taxes

During 2009, we recorded an income tax expense related to continuing operations of \$(2.1) million, compared to a benefit of \$27.0 million in 2008, reflecting an effective rate of 31.6% in 2009, compared to (122.6)% in 2008. The variance is primarily due to a 2008 valuation allowance release of approximately \$29.3 million on deferred tax assets, which is more fully discussed under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Income Taxes and Tax-Related Liabilities above and in Note 11, Income Taxes, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report.

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with GAAP, we have classified the results of our divested entities as discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations for all periods presented.

Additional details about our divestitures are included in Note 3, Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report.

Index to Financial Statements

The components of discontinued operations included in the consolidated statement of operations are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31, 2009 2008		\$ Change Favorable/ (Unfavorable)		% Change Favorable/ (Unfavorable)
				ousands)	
Total revenue, net	\$	\$ 3,353	\$	(3,353)	(100.0)%
Income (loss) from operations:					
PRIMEDIA Healthcare	\$	\$ 132	\$	(132)	(100.0)%
Auto Guides division		(1,668)		1,668	100.0
Provision for litigation reserves and settlements	(3,250)	(6,000)		2,750	45.8
Professional fees	(1,825)	(2,287)		462	20.2
Adjustments to accrued operating lease liabilities	(2,770)	2,123		(4,893)	(230.5)
Insurance-related expenses	(397)	(1,488)		1,091	73.3
Write-off of receivables and other assets	(259)	(1,164)		905	77.7
Tax-related contingencies	6,638	462		6,176	1,336.8
Other	(249)	(960)		711	74.1
Loss from operations before benefit (provision) for income taxes	(2,112)	(10,850)		8,738	80.5
Gain on sale of businesses:	())	<i>、</i> ,,,,		,	
PEM segment		651		(651)	(100.0)
PRIMEDIA Healthcare		132		(132)	(100.0)
Auto Guides division		42		(132)	(100.0)
Benefit for income taxes	1.060	20,466		(19,406)	(100.0)
benefit for medine taxes	1,000	20,400		(19,400)	()+.0)
Discontinued operations, net of tax (including gain on sale of	¢ (1.052)	¢ 10.441	¢	(11, 402)	(110.1)
businesses)	\$ (1,052)	\$ 10,441	\$	(11,493)	(110.1)

The components of the benefit for income taxes included in discontinued operations are as follows:

		Years Ended December 31,		5 Change avorable/	% Change Favorable/
	2009	2008	· ·	nfavorable)	(Unfavorable)
		(Dolla	rs in th	ousands)	
Provision for tax benefit on pre-tax loss	\$ (1,779)	\$ 15,046	\$	(16,825)	(111.8)%
Benefit for 2008 extended NOL carryback	9,347			9,347	N/A
Provision for tax benefit on gain on sale of businesses		1,227		(1,227)	(100.0)
Change in liability for uncertain tax positions	(6,687)	(1,347)		(5,340)	(396.4)
Changes in estimates included in prior year tax provision	179	5,540		(5,361)	(96.8)
Total benefit for income taxes	\$ 1,060	\$ 20,466	\$	(19,406)	(94.8)

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded a charge of \$4.5 million in discontinued operations related to settlement of the CK Media litigation and \$0.5 million related to the settlement of an unrelated case. We paid the total settlements of \$5.0 million in March 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded an increase in reserves for litigation-related losses of \$3.3 million, which is included in discontinued operations, and paid an additional \$0.5 million. As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had established reserves for litigation-related losses of \$5.8 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. See Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report for more information.

As is more fully discussed in Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report, in 2005, we sold substantially all of the assets of Workplace Learning for the assumption of ongoing liabilities, while retaining a secondary liability as the assignor of the

Index to Financial Statements

building and satellite time leases. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we reassumed the building lease on behalf of Workplace Learning and entered into a sublease with the current tenant for a portion of the space for a period of 51 months, with an early termination option, which would reduce the term of the sublease to 17 months. The sublease provides for a monthly rent payment and a set reimbursement percentage for operating expenses. We are currently undertaking efforts to sublease additional vacant space in the building to further offset our obligations under the lease. This transaction did not have a material impact on our recorded liability. Historically, each month, our liability has been reduced either by fulfilling our liability as lessee under the building lease or due to a sub-lessee s or successor-in-interest s performance under the terms of the sublease, which results in income for us. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, as a result of the assignee s or successor in interest s performance, we recorded \$0.3 million and \$3.0 million in income, respectively, which is included in adjustments to accrued operating lease liabilities in the table above.

In the third quarter of 2007, we sold our PEM segment. In connection with the sale, we entered into a sublease agreement with the buyer for office space where PEM was headquartered. On April 27, 2009, the buyer filed a petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. In the bankruptcy proceedings, the sublease was rejected, a new sublease was entered into with the buyer at a reduced rate, and the buyer put certain of the space back to us. As a result, during the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a charge of \$2.7 million, which is included in adjustments to accrued operating lease liabilities in the table above, to adjust our remaining liability under our lease for all of the office space, to record brokerage fees related to the new sublease and to write off certain amounts receivable from the buyer.

Insurance-related expenses decreased because during 2009, we experienced a reduction in the cost of settlements for general liability and workers compensation claims, primarily for PEM.

The decrease in write-off of receivables and other assets is due to the write-offs, primarily related to PEM, PRIMEDIA Healthcare and the Auto Guides division, during 2008.

There was an increase in tax-related contingencies in 2009 due to a reduction of our estimated liability for tax-related contingencies of \$6.6 million during 2009, compared to \$0.5 million during 2008, primarily as a result of the lapsing of the statutes of limitations.

From December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009, there was a total decrease in total benefit for income taxes of \$19.4 million. There was a change in pre-tax income on discontinued operation as discussed above that increased the provision for income taxes by \$16.8 million year over year. We recorded a tax benefit of \$9.3 million related to the elected extended carryback of the 2008 tax loss under the Workers, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the Assistance Act). We also recorded an increase to our uncertain tax positions liability related to this extended carryback of the 2008 tax loss decreasing the benefit for income taxes year over year by \$5.3 million. Additionally, the recorded changes in estimates included in prior year tax provision compared to filed tax returns decreased by \$5.4 million year over year.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a tax benefit in discontinued operations of 1.1 million. We recognized 9.3 million tax benefit as a result of our ability, under the Assistance Act, to elect to carryback our 2008 federal NOLs to recover income taxes previously paid. This tax benefit was partially offset by the 2009 tax expense of (1.7) million and a (6.6) million expense for uncertain tax positions on certain temporary items.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a tax benefit of \$16.3 million in discontinued operations, primarily as a result of our ability to carry back a projected 2008 NOL against taxes paid on a portion of the 2007 gain on divestitures of certain subsidiaries. The current year NOL arises primarily from the reversal of differences between the carrying value and tax basis in a group of PRIMEDIA Healthcare intangible assets triggered by the sale of those assets during 2008. We also recognized a tax benefit of \$4.2 million in discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of changes in our estimate of our ability to utilize certain NOLs to offset 2007 taxable income.

Index to Financial Statements

2008 Compared to 2007

Consolidated Results

Revenue, Net

	Decen	Years Ended December 31,		% Change Favorable/
Revenue Component	2008	2008 2007 (Dellar		(Unfavorable)
Apartments	\$ 211,367	\$ 206,424	rs in thousands) \$ 4,943	2.4%
New Homes	39,337	50,740	(11,403)	(22.5)
Total advertising revenue	250,704	257,164	(6,460)	(2.5)
Distribution	53,401	57,636	(4,235)	(7.3)
Total revenue, net	\$ 304,105	\$ 314,800	\$ (10,695)	(3.4)

Apartments

Apartment Guide, ApartmentGuide.com, Rentals.com and RentalHouses.com, representing approximately 84.3% of advertising revenue during the year ended December 31, 2008, increased 2.4% compared to the same period in 2007. The increase in revenue was primarily due to a 3.3% increase in Apartment Guide communities served, partially offset by a 0.7% decrease in revenue per community. The increase in apartment communities served and the decrease in revenue per community served were both a result of special customer incentives and promotional offerings designed to attract additional apartment communities. Apartment Guide also benefited from the opening of the Greenville, South Carolina Apartment Guide in March 2008, which contributed approximately \$0.5 million in revenue in 2008.

Approximately 17% of the 2008 revenue from Apartment Guide was derived from markets that had high occupancy rates, which we consider to be 95% or higher. This compares to 28% in 2007. As of December 31, 2008, occupancy rates in Apartment Guide markets ranged from 85% to 97%, with an average of 93.0% in 2008, compared to 93.6% in 2007, and with the majority of markets experiencing occupancy levels between 90% and 95%.

Generally, premium print advertising spending by property management company advertisers is driven by local market factors outside our control, including occupancy rates and effective rent levels. For example, as occupancy rates increase beyond 95%, apartment communities tend to reduce their advertising spend because they require fewer prospective tenants. As occupancy rates fall below 90%, apartment communities tend to cut back on all discretionary spending, including advertising. For these reasons, occupancy rates in excess of 95% or below 90% ordinarily result in a decrease in revenue per community served. However, the effects of occupancy rates can be mitigated or exacerbated by effective rent levels, which are essentially average rent amounts after giving effect to free months of rent and other incentives.

New Homes

The New Home Guide, NewHomeGuide.com and AmericanHomeGuides.com businesses, representing approximately 15.7% of advertising revenue during the year ended December 31, 2008, decreased 22.5% compared to the same period in 2007. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a 19.4% decrease in new home communities served and a 4.0% decrease in revenue per community served. These resulted from a decline in standard advertising spending of 19% and a decline in premium advertising spending of 32% by new home builders, driven by continued weakness in the new home sales sector.

The difficult conditions for new home builders caused pressure throughout 2008, as the challenging mortgage environment and declining home prices worsened.

Index to Financial Statements

Distribution Revenue

Distribution revenue, which relates to our distribution function, DistribuTech, decreased by 7.3% during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. There was a 0.9% increase in the number of pockets sold in our display racks during 2008; however, this growth was more than offset by an 8.2% decrease in the average revenue per pocket due to softness in demand. Our distribution revenue continues to be adversely impacted by the loss of business from publishers within the resale home, automobile sales and employment classifieds sectors scaling back or ceasing operations or providing an Internet-only product.

Costs and Expenses

	Years Ended December 31,		\$ Change (Favorable)/	% Change (Favorable)/
Costs and Expenses Component	2008	2007 (Dollars)	Unfavorable in thousands)	Unfavorable
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization of property		,	,	
and equipment)	\$ 32,420	\$ 34,655	\$ (2,235)	(6.4)%
Marketing and selling	75,722	81,064	(5,342)	(6.6)
Distribution and circulation	85,218	83,561	1,657	2.0
General and administrative expenses	48,700	57,747	(9,047)	(15.7)
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	14,475	12,612	1,863	14.8
Amortization of intangible assets	2,870	3,492	(622)	(17.8)
Provision for restructuring costs	5,238	10,496	(5,258)	(50.1)
Interest expense	19,338	77,660	(58,322)	(75.1)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	922	1,743	(821)	(47.1)
Other (income) expense, net	(2,821)	40,176	(42,997)	(107.0)
Total cost and expenses	\$ 282,082	\$403,206	\$ (121,124)	(30.0)

The decrease in cost of goods sold was due to the reformatting of our printed directories, including reductions in both paper size and weight, as well as printing fewer directories, partially offset by the increased cost of paper.

The decrease in marketing and selling expenses was primarily due to a reduction of approximately 60 employees compared to the prior year, resulting in a \$4.0 million reduction in expense. In addition, other marketing-related activities declined \$1.2 million.

General and administrative expenses declined, primarily due to decreases in corporate overhead of \$18.1 million, resulting from the completion of the relocation of our headquarters from New York to Norcross, audit and other professional fees of \$2.6 million, and recovery, under our primary directors and officers liability insurance, of approximately \$1.0 million of certain costs incurred prior to 2008 in connection with a derivative lawsuit that is described in Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report. These declines were partially offset by increases in compensation-related expense for our former and new CEOs of approximately \$2.4 million, \$5.2 million in costs incurred in the movement of our headquarters, \$1.1 million in additional stock-based compensation expense, \$1.9 million in bad debt expense and \$1.9 million in additional legal expense, primarily to defend ourselves against the derivative lawsuit.

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment increased primarily as a result of internal-use software being placed in service.

The provision for restructuring costs was lower due to a decrease of \$5.5 million in severance expense for positions that were eliminated. This was offset by an increase of \$0.2 million in lease-related expenses, consisting of an additional \$0.8 million for office closures offset by a \$0.6 million benefit in 2008 arising from revised estimates of future rent expense and sublease income from offices closed in 2007 and prior.

Index to Financial Statements

Interest expense decreased primarily due to lower average debt levels, as during the third quarter of 2007, we repaid all \$492.5 million in principal of our term loan, redeemed all \$410.0 million in principal of our 8⁷/8% Senior Notes due 2011, redeemed \$292.2 million in principal of our 8% Senior Notes due 2013 (the 8% Senior Notes) and redeemed all \$122.5 million in principal of our Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2010. The decrease is also attributable to the redemption of the remaining \$2.6 million in 8% Senior Notes outstanding during 2008. The decrease in interest expense was partially offset by an increase in interest related to borrowings against our Revolving Facility. See the discussion under Liquidity, Capital and Other Resources.

Other (income) expense, net increased due to a loss on debt redemptions, during 2007, on the debt instruments mentioned above of \$44.3 million. The corresponding loss in 2008 was approximately \$0.1 million. The 2008 amount also reflects the reversal of a \$2.6 million annuity obligation to one of our former CEOs due to his death in 2008, which relieved us of any further obligation to him.

Income Taxes

During 2008, we recorded a benefit from income taxes related to continuing operations of \$27.0 million, compared to \$32.7 million in 2007, reflecting an effective rate of (122.6)% in 2008, compared to (37.0)% in 2007. The variance is primarily due to 2008 valuation allowance release of approximately \$29.3 million on deferred tax assets. See the discussion under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Income Taxes and Tax-Related Liabilities.

Index to Financial Statements

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with GAAP, we have classified the results of our divested entities as discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations for all periods presented.

The components of discontinued operations included in the consolidated statement of operations are as follows:

	Years Decem 2008	ber 31, 2007	\$ Change Favorable/ (Unfavorable) s in thousands)	% Change Favorable/ (Unfavorable)	
Total revenue, net	\$ 3,353	\$ 358,794	\$ (355,441)	(99.1)%	
Income (loss) from operations:					
PEM segment	\$	\$ 69.683	\$ (69,683)	(100.0)%	
Channel One	φ	(4,104)	4,104	100.0	
Films Media Group		622	(622)	(100.0)	
PRIMEDIA Healthcare	132	446	(314)	(100.0)	
Auto Guides division	(1,668)	(8,006)	6,338	79.2	
Provision for litigation reserves and settlements	(6,000)	(2,000)	(4,000)	(200.0)	
Professional fees	(2,287)	(2,000)	(1,519)	(197.8)	
Adjustments to accrued operating lease liabilities	2,123	1,030	1.093	106.1	
Insurance-related expenses	(1,488)	(742)	(746)	(100.5)	
Write-off of receivables and other assets	(1,164)	(1,091)	(73)	(6.7)	
Deal and other costs related to the divesture of PEM segment	(1,101)	(3,675)	3,675	100.0	
Tax-related contingencies	462	(481)	943	196.0	
Other	(960)	13	(973)	(7,484.6)	
(Loss) income from operations before benefit (provision) for income taxes	(10,850)	50,927	(61,777)	(121.3)	
Gain (loss) on sale of businesses:					
Outdoors group		57,547	(57,547)	(100.0)	
PEM segment	651	460,988	(460,337)	(99.9)	
Channel One		(7,050)	7,050	100.0	
Films Media Group		170	(170)	(100.0)	
PRIMEDIA Healthcare	132		132	N/A	
Auto Guides division	42		42	N/A	
Final adjustments for disposition in previous years		(674)	674	100.0	
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	20,466	(14,785)	35,251	238.4	
Discontinued operations, net of tax (including gain (loss) on sale of businesses)	\$ 10,441	\$ 547,123	\$ (536,682)	(98.1)	

The components of the benefit for income taxes included in discontinued operations are as follows:

Years Ended December 31,		\$ Change	% Change			
2008	2007	Favorable/ (Unfavorable)	Favorable/ (Unfavorable)			
(Dollars in thousands)						

Provision for tax benefit on pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 15,046	\$ 28,937	\$ (13,891)	(48.0)%
Provision for tax benefit (expense) on gain (loss) on sale of				
businesses	1,227	(44,901)	46,128	102.7
Change in liability for uncertain tax positions	(1,347)	(4,911)	3,564	72.6
Changes in estimates included in prior year tax provision	5,540	6,090	(550)	(9.0)
Total benefit (expense) for income taxes	\$ 20,466	\$ (14,785)	\$ 35,251	238.4

Index to Financial Statements

During 2008, we had an increase in costs for professional fees, including legal fees. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in adjustments to accrued operating lease liabilities primarily related to Workplace Learning, as well as, a decrease in deal and other costs related to the divesture of PEM s segment in 2007.

From December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008, there was a total increase in total benefit for income taxes of \$35.3 million. As a result of the gains on the sale of businesses during 2007, we recorded a provision of \$44.9 million compared to a benefit of \$1.2 million in 2008, creating a \$46.1 million change year over year. We also recorded a decrease to our uncertain tax positions liability creating a decrease in the provision for income taxes year over year by \$3.6 million. These favorable changes were partially offset by a change in pre-tax income (loss) on discontinued operation as discussed above that decreased the provision for tax benefit on pre-tax income (loss) by \$13.9 million year over year due to a decrease in 2008 pre-tax loss and a decrease in deferred tax assets being utilized in 2008.

Additional details about our divestitures are included in Note 3, Discontinued Operations, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report.

As is more fully discussed in Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report, in 2005, we sold substantially all of the assets of Workplace Learning for the assumption of ongoing liabilities, while retaining a secondary liability as the assignor of the building and satellite time leases. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we reassumed the building lease on behalf of Workplace Learning and entered into a sublease with the current tenant for a period of 51 months, with an early termination option, which would reduce the term of the sublease to 17 months. The sublease provides for a monthly rent payment and a set reimbursement percentage for operating expenses. We are currently undertaking efforts to sublease additional vacant space in the building to further offset our obligations under the lease. This transaction did not have a material impact on our recorded liability. Historically, each month, our liability has been reduced either by fulfilling our liability as lessee under the building lease or due to a sub-lessee s or successor-in-interest s performance under the terms of the sublease, which results in income for us. During the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, as a result of the assignee s or successor in interest s performance, we recorded \$0.3 million and \$3.0 million in income, respectively, which is included in discontinued operations.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded a charge of \$4.5 million in discontinued operations related to settlement of the CK Media litigation and \$0.5 million related to the settlement of an unrelated case. Additionally, during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recorded charges of \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million in discontinued operations, respectively, for various other litigation-related losses. See Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report for more information.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a tax benefit of \$16.3 million in discontinued operations, primarily as a result of our ability to carry back a projected 2008 NOL against taxes paid on a portion of the 2007 gain on divestitures of certain subsidiaries. The 2008 NOL arose primarily from the reversal of differences between the carrying value and tax basis in a group of PRIMEDIA Healthcare intangible assets triggered by the sale of those assets during 2008. We also recognized a tax benefit of \$4.2 million in discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of changes in our estimate of our ability to utilize certain NOLs to offset 2007 taxable income.

Index to Financial Statements

Liquidity, Capital and Other Resources

Highlights of our liquidity position are as follows:

	As of and for 2009	the Years Ended 2008	December 31, 2007
	(I	Dollars in thousand	ls)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,472	\$ 31,470	\$ 14,709
Current assets	52,759	89,036	72,516
Current liabilities	56,103	77,518	72,853
Working capital	(3,344)	11,518	(337)
Current debt (current maturities of long-term debt and revolving credit facility)	2,922	16,245	5,262
Long-term debt	222,349	245,531	247,575
Net debt (current debt and long-term debt, less cash and cash equivalents)	215,799	230,306	238,128
Cash flows from operating activities	31,650	21,096	(45,545)
Cash flows from investing activities	(8,951)	468	1,261,589
Cash flows from financing activities	(44,697)	(4,803)	(1,207,163)
Additions to property and equipment	11,211	12,977	20,352
Capital lease payments	607	506	739
Free cash flow (net cash provided by operating activities adjusted for additions to			
property, equipment and other, net, exclusive of acquisitions, and capital lease			
payments)	19,832	7,613	(66,636)
Cash dividends paid	12,347	12,359	95,010
Repayments of senior notes and borrowings under credit agreements	30,631	5,179	1,388,535
Unused bank commitments	85,174	70,966	91,715
Leverage ratio (as defined in bank credit facility)	2.8	3.2	2.9
Overview			

Management believes that our cash flows from operating activities will generally be sufficient to support our business operations and service our debt. Our cash flows from operating activities are somewhat seasonal in nature, primarily due to the timing of payments made under the terms of our RDAs, which generally occur in the first half of the year. To the extent that our cash flows from operating activities are not sufficient to meet our liquidity needs, including funds for our capital expenditures, our payment of dividends to stockholders and our contractual obligations, we may, from time to time, utilize amounts available under our \$88.0 million Revolving Facility, which is discussed in further detail under *Financing Arrangements* below.

Outlook

Over the next 12 months, our primary uses of cash are expected to represent expenditures related to:

the ongoing operations of our business;

- capital expenditures of \$13.0 million to \$17.0 million;
- required principal payments of our outstanding debt, including capital leases, of \$2.9 million;
- interest on our outstanding debt;

obligations arising from RDA restructuring activities of \$13.4 million;

obligations arising from other restructuring activities, including real estate leases of \$10.3 million;

the payment of dividends to our stockholders;

the opportunistic repurchase of outstanding shares of our common stock, if any; and

the opportunistic repurchase of debt outstanding under our Term Loan B Facility, if any.

Index to Financial Statements

Working Capital

The decrease in working capital, representing current assets less current liabilities, in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to the repayment, during 2009, of the \$13.2 million outstanding under our Revolving Facility outstanding at December 31, 2008. The increase in 2008 compared to 2007 was primarily due to an increase in our current deferred tax assets resulting from the partial release of our deferred tax asset valuation allowance as well as the current deferred tax asset resulting from our 2008 NOL that we used to offset 2007 taxable income. The deferred tax assets were classified as current because we expected to realize the benefit within the following twelve months.

Net Debt

During 2009, we reduced our net debt by \$14.5 million, primarily through the repurchase and retirement of \$20.3 million in principal of our Term Loan B Facility and the repayment of \$13.2 million outstanding under our Revolving Facility. During 2008, we reduced our net debt by \$7.8 million, primarily through the \$2.5 million scheduled repayment of principal under our Term Loan B Facility and the early redemption of the outstanding \$2.6 million of our 8% Senior Notes.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Our cash flows from operating activities are summarized as follows:

	2009	Years Ended December 31, 2008 (1	2007 Dollars in thousai	\$ Change From 2008 nds)	\$ Change From 2007
Net income	\$ 3,488	\$ 59,468	\$ 491,445	\$ (55,980)	\$ (431,977)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by					
(used in) operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	17,141	18,407	19,692	(1,266)	(1,285)
Impairment of cost-method investment	1,500	914		586	914
Gain on sale of cost-method investments	(2,260)			(2,260)	
Gain on sale of businesses, net		(2,049)	(459,135)	2,049	457,086
Accretion of acquisition obligation and other			3,240		(3,240)
(Gain) loss on repurchase and redemption of debt	(4,967)	103	44,339	(5,070)	(44,236)
Stock-based compensation	1,281	2,108	486	(827)	1,622
Deferred income taxes	5,626	(27,233)	(59,796)	32,859	32,563
Bad debt expense	4,100	3,817	3,282	283	535
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	18	1,251	5,963	(1,233)	(4,712)
(Increase) decrease in:					
Accounts receivable, net	(919)	(2,310)	(7,226)	1,391	4,916
Inventories	504	(194)	2,868	698	(3,062)
Prepaid expenses and other	9,425	(21,162)	(351)	30,587	(20,811)
(Decrease) increase in:					
Accounts payable	(3,831)	2,690	(4,870)	(6,521)	7,560
Accrued expenses and other	(2,347)	(7,157)	(87,392)	4,810	80,235
Deferred revenue	(1,700)	(1,652)	(1,726)	(48)	74
Other non-current liabilities	4,591	(5,910)	442	10,501	(6,352)
Other, net		5	3,194	(5)	(3,189)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 31,650	\$ 21,096	\$ (45,545)	\$ 10,554	\$ 66,641

Net cash provided by operating activities increased in 2009 from 2008, primarily due to less cash paid for interest and taxes of \$3.4 million and \$27.9 million, respectively, offset by less cash flows provided by operating activities

Index to Financial Statements

of businesses that were discontinued and sold in the first half of 2008. For purposes of calculating cash provided by or used in operating activities, discontinued operations are included until sold or shut down; therefore, these discontinued operations did not contribute to operating activities for the full year in which the sale occurred.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased in 2008 from 2007, primarily due to less cash paid for interest and taxes of \$70.7 million and \$34.0 million, respectively, offset by less cash flows provided by operating activities of businesses that were discontinued and sold in 2007 and the first half of 2008.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Our cash flows from investing activities are summarized as follows:

	2009	Years Ended December 31, 2008		\$ Change From 2008 ids)	\$ Change From 2007
Purchase of available for sale securities	\$	\$	\$ (247,426)	\$	\$ 247,426
Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities		15,425	232,001	(15,425)	(216,576)
Payments for investments		(14)		14	(14)
Proceeds from sale of cost-method investments	2,260		289	2,260	(289)
Additions to property and equipment	(11,211)	(12,977)	(20,352)	1,766	7,375
Payments for businesses acquired, net of cash acquired			(34,129)		34,129
Payments related to the sale of businesses		(4,355)	(21,420)	4,355	17,065
Proceeds from sale of businesses		2,389	1,352,626	(2,389)	(1,350,237)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	\$ (8,951)	\$ 468	\$ 1,261,589	\$ (9,419)	\$(1,261,121)

The decrease in net cash provided by investing activities in 2009 from 2008 was primarily due to the cash proceeds received from the sale of available for sale securities during 2008 and payments related to the sale of businesses in 2008.

The decrease in net cash provided by investing activities in 2008 from 2007 was primarily due to the cash proceeds received from the sale of businesses during 2007. Through the end of 2007, we used auction rate securities as an investment vehicle for our excess cash. We discontinued this practice in early 2008.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Our cash flows from financing activities are summarized as follows:

	Year	s Ended Decen	nber 31,	\$ Change From	\$ Change				
	2009			2008	From 2007				
		(Dollars in thousands)							
Payment of dividends on common stock	\$ (12,347)	\$ (12,359)	\$ (95,010)	\$ 12	\$ 82,651				
Net (repayments) borrowings under revolving credit facility	(13,200)	13,200		(26,400)	13,200				
Payments for deferred and other financing fees	(510)		(6,287)	(510)	6,287				
Payments for repurchase and redemption of debt	(14,931)	(2,679)	(859,735)	(12,252)	857,056				
Borrowings under credit agreements			282,800		(282,800)				
Repayments of borrowings under credit agreements	(2,500)	(2,500)	(528,800)		526,300				
Capital lease payments	(607)	(506)	(739)	(101)	233				

(Payments) proceeds related to issuances of common stock, net of					
value of shares withheld for employee taxes	(175)	42	722	(217)	(680)
Repurchases of common stock	(427)			(427)	
Other		(1)	(114)	1	113
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (44,697)	\$ (4,803)	\$ (1,207,163)	\$ (39,894)	\$ 1,202,360
5	. (, , ,				

Index to Financial Statements

The increase in net cash used in financing activities in 2009 from 2008 was primarily due to net borrowings in 2008 of \$7.5 million compared to net repayment of debt of approximately \$31.2 million in 2009.

The decrease in net cash used in financing activities in 2008 from 2007 was primarily due to net borrowings in 2008 of \$7.5 million compared to net repayment of debt of approximately \$1,106.5 million in 2007, as well as a decrease in cash dividends paid on our common stock of \$82.7 million.

Free Cash Flow

We believe that the use of free cash flow enables our chief operating decision maker, our President and CEO, to make decisions based on our cash resources. We also believe that free cash flow provides useful information to investors as it is considered to be an indicator of our liquidity, including our ability to reduce debt and make strategic investments.

Free cash flow is not intended to represent cash flows from operating activities as determined in conformity with GAAP. Free cash flow, as presented, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies since not all companies necessarily calculate free cash flow in an identical manner, and therefore, it is not necessarily an accurate measure of comparison between companies.

The following table presents our free cash flow:

	Years	\$ Change From	\$ Change From		
	2009	2008	2007	2008	2007
		(Dol	lars in thousand	ls)	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 31,650	\$ 21,096	\$ (45,545)	\$ 10,554	\$66,641
Additions to property and equipment	(11,211)	(12,977)	(20,352)	1,766	7,375
Capital lease payments	(607)	(506)	(739)	(101)	233
Free cash flow	\$ 19,832	\$ 7,613	\$ (66,636)	\$ 12,219	\$ 74,249

Our free cash flow improved in 2009, primarily due to the lower cash paid for income taxes, primarily related to divestitures, lower debt service, due to a lower average balance of debt outstanding, and lower additions to property and equipment. This was partially offset by the fact that, for purposes of calculating cash provided by or used in operating activities, discontinued operations are included until sold or shut down; therefore, these discontinued operations did not contribute to operating activities for the full year in which the sale occurred.

Our free cash flow improved in 2008, primarily due to the lower cash paid for income taxes, primarily related to divestitures, lower debt service, due to a lower average balance of debt outstanding, and lower additions to property and equipment. This was partially offset by the fact that, for purposes of calculating cash provided by or used in operating activities, discontinued operations are included until sold or shut down; therefore, these discontinued operations did not contribute to operating activities for the full year in which the sale occurred.

Financing Arrangements

Bank Credit Facilities

On August 1, 2007, we completed the financing for a \$350.0 million senior secured bank credit facility. The new bank credit facility provides for two loan facilities: (1) a revolving credit facility with aggregate commitments of \$100.0 million (the Revolving Facility), which matures on August 1, 2013, and (2) a Term Loan B credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million (the Term Loan B Facility), which matures on August 1, 2014 (the Term Loan B Maturity Date). We capitalized approximately \$6.3 million of financing costs associated with the new credit facilities. Amounts borrowed under the Revolving Facility bear interest, at our option, at an annual rate of either the base rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.625% to 1.00% or

Index to Financial Statements

the eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.625% to 2.00%. The weighted-average interest rate on the Revolving Facility at December 31, 2009 was 1.98%. The Term Loan B Facility bears interest, at our option, at an annual rate of either the base rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.00% to 1.25% or the eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 2.00% to 2.25%. The weighted-average interest rate on the Term Loan B Facility at December 31, 2009 was 2.50%.

On June 30, 2009, our bank credit facility was amended (the Amendment). Among other things, the Amendment gives us the right, subject to the conditions set forth therein, to prepay or otherwise acquire with or for cash, on either a pro rata or non-pro rata basis, principal outstanding under the Term Loan B Facility and held by lenders who consent to such prepayment or acquisition, at a discount to the par value of such principal at any time and from time to time on and after June 30, 2009 and on or prior to the second anniversary of such date; provided that the aggregate amounts we expend in connection with all such prepayments or acquisitions do not exceed \$35.0 million. All such principal prepaid or acquired will be retired and extinguished and deemed paid effective upon such prepayment or acquisition.

The Amendment also memorializes the reduction of the Revolving Facility commitment of Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Inc. (Lehman) to \$0.0 million, and, as a consequence thereof, the total capacity under the Revolving Facility has now been confirmed to have been reduced by \$12.0 million to \$88.0 million. We believed and previously disclosed that the total capacity under the Revolving Facility had been effectively reduced by \$12.0 million as a result of bankruptcy proceedings related to Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., the parent company of Lehman. The commitment under the Revolving Facility for each other lender remains unchanged from each such lender s commitment immediately prior to such reduction. Additionally, effective June 30, 2009, Lehman ceased to be a co-documentation agent under the bank credit facility. We do not presently expect that any lender under our Revolving Facility will be unable to fund their portion of amounts we may borrow in the future.

In connection with the Amendment, we incurred approximately \$0.5 million in modification fees, which were paid to the creditors and will be expensed over the remaining term of the loan.

There are no scheduled commitment reductions under the Revolving Facility. The loan under the Term Loan B Facility is subject to scheduled repayment in quarterly installments of approximately \$0.6 million payable on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each year, followed by a final repayment of \$213.5 million on the Term Loan B Maturity Date. Additionally, through August 1, 2009, we were required to manage our interest rate risk arising from the Term Loan B Facility through the utilization of derivative financial instruments in a notional amount equal to at least 35% of the Term Loan B Facility principal outstanding. We had derivative financial instruments with a notional amount equal to 89.0% of the unpaid principal balance of the Term Loan B Facility in a designated hedging relationship at December 31, 2009.

The bank credit facilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2009:

		Term	
	Revolving Facility	Loan B Facility (Dollars in thousand	Total
Bank credit facilities	\$ 88,000	\$ 224,700	\$ 312,700
Borrowings outstanding		(224,700)	(224,700)
Letters of credit outstanding	(2,826)		(2,826)
Unused bank commitments	\$ 85,174	\$	\$ 85,174

The use of our cash and unused credit facilities is subject to customary conditions contained in our debt agreement.

Under the new bank credit facilities agreement, we have agreed to pay commitment fees at a per annum rate of either 0.375%, 0.300% or 0.250%, depending on our debt to EBITDA ratio, as defined in the bank credit facilities agreement, on the daily average aggregate unutilized commitment under the revolving loan

Index to Financial Statements

commitment. The weighted-average of our commitment fees under the new bank credit facilities agreement for 2009, 2008 and for the five months it was outstanding during 2007 was 0.33%, 0.32% and 0.36%, respectively. We also have agreed to pay certain fees with respect to the issuance of letters of credit and an annual administration fee. From time to time, we may pay amendment fees under our bank credit facilities agreement.

The bank credit facilities agreement, among other things, limits our ability to change the nature of our businesses, incur indebtedness, create liens, sell assets, engage in mergers, consolidations or transactions with affiliates, make investments in or loans to certain subsidiaries, issue guarantees and make certain restricted payments, including dividend payments on or repurchases of our common stock.

Term Loan B Facility Repurchases

During 2009, we repurchased and retired \$20.3 million in principal of our Term Loan B Facility for \$14.9 million. In connection with the repurchase, we also wrote off \$0.4 million in deferred financing fees, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$5.0 million, which is included in other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations.

Revolving Facility Borrowings

In June 2008, we borrowed \$5.0 million against our Revolving Facility, which was repaid in July 2008. In September 2008, we borrowed \$13.2 million against our Revolving Facility. We repaid \$8.8 million of the outstanding balance in March 2009 and the remaining \$4.4 million in June 2009. In early July 2009, we borrowed \$5.0 million against our Revolving Facility and repaid the entire amount borrowed in late July 2009. In early February 2010, we borrowed \$9.0 million against our Revolving Facility.

8% Senior Notes

On May 15, 2008, we redeemed all \$2.6 million in outstanding 8% Senior Notes. The Notes were redeemed at a 4% premium of the aggregate outstanding principal amount, which was approximately \$0.1 million and is included in other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations. We did not incur any early termination penalties in connection with the redemption of the 8% Senior Notes beyond the 4% redemption premium.

Interest Rate Swaps

As of December 31, 2009, we were party to three interest rates swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million. All of the interest rate swaps were in designated hedging relationships to hedge the variability of future cash flows due to changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with our Term Loan B Facility. The following is a summary of our outstanding interest rate swaps at December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	Notional
Maturity Date	Amount
December 31, 2010	\$ 50,000
September 30, 2011	50,000
December 31, 2011	100.000

As a result of these interest rate swaps, we expect the weighted-average cost of our Term Loan B Facility to be approximately 4.0% during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Index to Financial Statements

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

		Le	ss than 1				
Contractual Obligations	Total		Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	Afte	r 5 Years
			(Do	llars in thous	sands)		
Long-term debt obligations, including current portion(1)	\$ 224,700	\$	2,500	\$ 5,000	\$217,200	\$	
Capital lease obligations, including current portion	571		422	149			
Interest on capital lease obligations	89		82	7			
Operating lease obligations(2)	118,300		21,964	40,992	35,174		20,170
Retail display allowances(3)	26,256		23,704	2,552			
Total	\$ 369,916	\$	48,672	\$ 48,700	\$ 252,374	\$	20,170

- (1) Interest related to our long-term debt obligations is variable in nature, and interest payments have been excluded from this table. The interest rate on these obligations resets quarterly and had a weighted-average rate of 2.50% at December 31, 2009. See the discussion above under <u>Bank Credit Facilities</u>. Liabilities for interest rate swaps designated in cash flow hedging relationships against changes in the benchmark interest rate of our long-term debt have also been excluded from this table because the quarterly fixed-rate payments due to the counterparty are settled net of the variable-rate payments due to us by the counterparty.
- (2) Future rental commitments for operating leases have not been reduced by minimum noncancelable sublease income aggregating \$52.5 million as of December 31, 2009. Operating lease obligations include certain restructuring liabilities.

(3) Retail display allowances include certain restructuring liabilities.

The contractual obligations table above does not reflect any of our \$82.2 million in unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2009, which resulted in a recorded liability of \$28.3 million that has been accrued in accordance with GAAP related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, because we are unable to determine when, or if, payment of these amounts will be made.

The bank credit facilities rank senior in right of payment to all subordinated obligations which PRIMEDIA Inc. (a holding company) may incur.

We have no balance outstanding at December 31, 2009 under our Revolving Facility. In early February 2010, we borrowed \$9.0 million against our Revolving Facility. We have other commitments in the form of letters of credit of \$2.8 million aggregate face value, which expire on or before October 15, 2010.

A change in the rating of our debt instruments by outside rating agencies does not negatively impact our ability to use our available lines of credit or the borrowing rate under our bank credit facilities. As of June 2009, our senior debt ratings from Moody s and Standard and Poor s were Ba3 and B+, respectively.

We announced on March 4, 2010 that our Board of Directors had authorized a cash dividend of \$0.07 per share of common stock, payable on or about March 24, 2010, to stockholders of record on March 15, 2010. We expect to pay this dividend out of our existing cash balance. Additionally, we currently expect that we will continue to pay a regular quarterly dividend for the foreseeable future, at the discretion of our Board of Directors, dependent on such factors, including but not limited to, available cash, anticipated cash needs, overall financial and market conditions, future prospects for cash flow and such other factors as are deemed relevant by our Board of Directors.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no variable interest entities or off balance sheet obligations other than as related to operating leases in the ordinary course of business.

Index to Financial Statements

Covenant Compliance

Under the most restrictive covenants contained in our bank credit facilities agreement, the maximum allowable total leverage ratio, as defined in the agreement, is 5.25 to 1.

Under our bank credit facilities agreement, we are allowed to designate certain businesses as unrestricted subsidiaries to the extent that the value of those businesses does not exceed the permitted amounts, as defined in these agreements. We have designated certain of our businesses as unrestricted (the Unrestricted Group), which represent primarily Internet businesses, trademark and content licensing and service companies, new launches (including traditional start-ups), other properties under evaluation for turnaround or shutdown, and foreign subsidiaries. Indebtedness under the bank credit facilities agreement is guaranteed by each of our domestic subsidiaries in the Restricted Group in accordance with the provisions and limitations of our bank credit facilities. For purposes of determining compliance with certain financial covenants under our bank credit facilities agreement, excludes losses of the Unrestricted Group, non-cash charges and restructuring charges and is adjusted primarily for the trailing four quarters results of acquisitions and divestitures and estimated savings for acquired businesses.

The calculation of our leverage ratio, as required under the bank credit facilities agreement for covenant purposes, is defined as our consolidated debt divided by EBITDA of the Restricted Group. As of December 31, 2009, this leverage ratio was approximately 2.8 to 1, compared to 3.2 to 1 at December 31, 2008.

At December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

Contingencies and Other

We are involved in lawsuits and claims, both actual and potential, including some that we have asserted against others, in which substantial monetary damages are sought. See Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report for a description of certain of these lawsuits and payments made in settlement of certain lawsuits. Although the result of any future litigation of such lawsuits and claims is inherently unpredictable, management believes that, in the aggregate, the outcome of all such lawsuits and claims will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position or liquidity; however, any such outcome could be material to the results of operations of any particular period in which costs, if any, are recognized.

Stock Repurchase Plan

Our Board of Directors has authorized the Repurchase Program to repurchase up to \$5.0 million of our common stock through December 31, 2010. Under the terms of the Repurchase Program, we may repurchase shares in open market purchases or through privately negotiated transactions. We expect to use cash on hand to fund repurchases of our common stock. As of December 31, 2008, we had not repurchased any shares under the Repurchase Program. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased 0.2 million shares of our common stock for approximately \$0.4 million at a weighted-average price (including brokerage commissions) of \$1.79 per share. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2009 beyond those discussed above. The reacquired shares have been designated as treasury shares. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$4.6 million available under the Repurchase Program for further share repurchases, which we may make.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Recent Accounting Pronouncements, to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report.

Index to Financial Statements

Impact of Inflation and Other Costs

Our largest exposure to inflation relates to the cost of paper. The cost of paper decreased 21% when comparing the fourth quarter of 2009 to the fourth quarter of 2008. We presently anticipate moderate increases in paper prices in 2010.

Seasonality

Our operations are minimally seasonal in nature.

The majority of our sales comprise contracts with a duration of 12 months or longer.

We experience modest seasonality in Rentals.com as that business declines in the winter months. This business represents a relatively small part of the total business.

Our cash flows from operating activities are somewhat seasonal in nature, primarily due to the timing of payments made under the terms of our RDAs, which generally occur in the first half of the year.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK. *Interest Rate Risk*

We are exposed to the impact of changes in interest rates, primarily through our Term Loan B Facility, which is variable-rate debt that had an outstanding balance of \$224.7 million as of December 31, 2009. As part of our management of interest rate risk, we have designated derivative financial instruments in hedging relationships against the variability in cash flows due to changes in the benchmark interest rate on our Term Loan B Facility. The table below shows the change in interest expense we estimate would occur over the next 12 months from 50 and 100 basis point increases and decreases in interest rates based upon our current Term Loan B Facility balance and derivative financial instrument positions as of December 31, 2009. Such potential increases or decreases are based on certain simplifying assumptions, including a constant level of debt and an immediate, across-the-board increase or decrease in the level of interest rates with no other subsequent changes for one year.

Changes	in Interest Expense		
Interest Rate			
Change (in Basis	Decemb	oer 31,	
Points)	2009	2008	
	(Dollars in t	thousands)	
+100	\$ 238	\$ 466	
+50	119	233	
-50	(119)	(233)	
-100	(238)	(466)	

. . .

Credit Risk

Our hedging transactions using derivative financial instruments also involve certain additional risks, such as counterparty credit risk. The counterparties to our derivative financial instruments are major financial institutions and securities dealers, which we believe are well capitalized with investment grade credit ratings and with which we may have other financial relationships. While we do not anticipate nonperformance by any counterparty, we are exposed to potential credit losses in the event the counterparty fails to perform. Our exposure to credit risk in the event of default by a counterparty is the difference between the value of the contract and the current market price at the time of the default. There can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks and will ultimately realize an economic benefit that exceeds the related expenses incurred in connection with engaging in such hedging strategies.

Index to Financial Statements

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
Selected Quarterly Data	45
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	48
Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2009 and 2008	49
Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	50
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Deficiency for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	51
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	52
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	53
Selected Quarterly Data	

The following is selected unaudited consolidated financial data for the Company for the indicated periods:

	Year Ended December 31, 2009									
	First Quarter		Quarter Quarter		Qu (Ur	Third arter(1) naudited)	C	Fourth Quarter		Total
Revenue. net	\$	68,458	\$	(Dollars in t 65,206	housands, except per share data) \$ 63,014 \$ 61,253				\$	257 021
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	Ф	(503)	Ф	4,043	¢	(2,103)	¢	(3,535)	Ф	257,931 (2,098)
(Loss) income from continuing										
operations(2)(3)(4)	\$	(277)	\$	(8,348)	\$	5,696	\$	7,469	\$	4,540
Discontinued operations, net of $tax(5)(6)$		665		(3,439)		(1,957)		3,679		(1,052)
Net income (loss)	\$	388	\$	(11,787)	\$	3,739	\$	11,148	\$	3,488
Basic and diluted (loss) income per common share(7):										
Continuing operations	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.19)	\$	0.13	\$	0.17	\$	0.10
Discontinued operations		0.02		(0.08)		(0.05)		0.08		(0.02)
Net income (loss)	\$	0.01	\$	(0.27)	\$	0.08	\$	0.25	\$	0.08
Basic common shares outstanding (weighted-average)	44	1,119,293	4	4,084,940	44	1,146,959	44	1,146,959	4	4,124,538
Diluted common shares outstanding		.,,	'	.,,.		.,. 10,222		.,. 10,707	'	.,121,000
(weighted-average)	44	1,119,293	4	4,084,940	44	,167,675	44	4,207,002	4	4,214,003

 Includes an other-than-temporary impairment charge in continuing operations of approximately \$1.5 million during the third quarter related to a cost-method investment. Cost-method investments are more fully discussed in Note 8, Other Non-Current Assets, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

(2) Includes provision for restructuring costs of \$4.3 million, \$21.5 million, \$(0.2) million and less than \$(0.1) million during the first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter, respectively. Restructuring costs are more fully discussed in Note 16, Provision for Restructuring Costs, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

(3) Includes gain on sale of cost-method investments of approximately \$1.8 million and \$0.5 million during the first quarter and second quarter, respectively. Gain on sale of cost-method investments is more fully discussed in Note 8, Other Non-Current Assets, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

- (4) Includes a net gain related to repurchasing and retiring principal of the Company s Term Loan B Facility of approximately \$3.6 million and \$1.3 million during the second quarter and fourth quarter, respectively. Repurchasing and retiring of the Company s Term Loan B Facility is more fully discussed in Note 10, Borrowings, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (5) Includes provision for litigation reserves and settlements in discontinued operations of \$1.5 million and \$1.8 million during the third quarter and fourth quarter, respectively. Litigation reserves and settlements are more fully discussed in Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (6) Includes income tax benefit related to discontinued operations of \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter, respectively. Income taxes related to discontinued operations are more fully discussed in Note 11, Income Taxes, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (7) Basic and diluted income (loss) per common share amounts have been computed using the weighted-average number of shares indicated below and, in all cases, round to the amounts presented.

	Year Ended December 31, 2008									
							1	Fourth		
	First		S	Second Third		Quarter				
	(Juarter	Q	uarter		Juarter	(1)(2)(3)(4)			Total
						(Unaudited) thousands, except per share data)				
Descence and	\$	77 400	¢						\$	204 105
Revenue, net	Э	77,488	\$	76,794	\$	76,414	\$	73,409	\$	304,105
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	¢	(1,002)	¢	(1,267)	¢	(128)	¢	29,401	¢	27,004
Income from continuing operations(5)	\$	2,214	\$	3,474	\$	8,648	\$	34,691	\$	49,027
Discontinued operations, net of $tax(6)(7)$		11,383		(1,544)		3,312		(2,710)		10,441
Net income	\$	13,597	\$	1,930	\$	11,960	\$	31,981	\$	59,468
Basic and diluted income (loss) per common										
· · · -										
share(8):	¢	0.05	¢	0.09	¢	0.20	¢	0.79	¢	1 1 1
Continuing operations	\$	0.05	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	\$	0.78	\$	1.11
Discontinued operations		0.26		(0.04)		0.07		(0.06)		0.24
Net income	\$	0.31	\$	0.04	\$	0.27	\$	0.72	\$	1.35
Basic common shares outstanding										
e	47	4,171,917	4.4	.174,533	47	1,175,009	47	1,184,134	4	4,176,398
(weighted-average)	44	+,1/1,91/	44	,174,333	44	+,173,009	44	,104,134	4	4,170,398
Diluted common shares outstanding		000 000		100.055		100 560		000 750		4 107 500
(weighted-average)	44	1,203,993	44	,189,055	44	4,188,562	44	1,208,750	4	4,197,590

(1) During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company released valuation allowance of approximately \$29.3 million on net deferred tax assets. The release of valuation allowance is more fully discussed in Note 11, Income Taxes, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

(2) Includes reduction of expense in continuing operations of \$2.6 million related to a reversal of an annuity obligation due to the death of a former PRIMEDIA CEO during the fourth quarter. This reduction of expense is more fully discussed in Note 17, Severance, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

- (3) Includes provision for litigation reserves and settlements in discontinued operations of \$6.0 million during the fourth quarter. Litigation reserves and settlements are more fully discussed in Note 20, Commitments and Contingencies, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (4) Includes an other-than-temporary impairment charge in continuing operations of approximately \$0.9 million during the fourth quarter related to a cost-method investment. Cost-method investments are more fully discussed in Note 8, Other Non-Current Assets, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

- (5) Includes provision for restructuring costs of \$0.5 million, \$1.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$3.3 million during the first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter, respectively. Restructuring costs are more fully discussed in Note 16, Provision for Restructuring Costs, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (6) Includes gain on sale of businesses before income taxes of \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million for the first quarter, second quarter and third quarter, respectively. The gain on sale of businesses is more fully discussed in Note 3, Discontinued Operations, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (7) Includes income tax benefit related to discontinued operations, including income taxes related to the gain on sale of businesses, of \$12.0 million, \$0.5 million, \$4.4 million and \$3.6 million for the first quarter, second quarter, third quarter and fourth quarter, respectively. Income taxes related to discontinued operations are more fully discussed in Note 11, Income Taxes, to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (8) Basic and diluted income (loss) per common share amounts have been computed using the weighted-average number of shares indicated below and, in all cases, round to the amounts presented.

Index to Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of

PRIMEDIA Inc.

Norcross, Georgia

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of PRIMEDIA Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders deficiency and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)2. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of PRIMEDIA Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 10, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

March 10, 2010

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheet

		Decem	nber 31,	
		2009 (Dollars in the	ousands,	2008 except
Assets		per sha	are data)	
Assets Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,472	\$	31,470
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,217 and \$1,528, respectively)	Ψ	24,802	Ψ	27,983
Inventories		432		936
Prepaid expenses and other		16,583		26,545
Deferred tax asset, net		1,470		2,102
Total current assets		52,759		89,036
Property and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$80,932 and \$83,203,				
respectively)		17,997		18,765
Intangible assets, net		20,667		23,637
Goodwill		129,305		129,305
Deferred tax asset non-current, net		8,275		12,867
Other non-current assets		10,726		12,544
Total assets	\$	239,729	\$	286,154
Liabilities and stockholders deficiency				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	11,788	\$	14,792
Accrued expenses and other		39,294		44,491
Deferred revenue		2,099		1,990
Revolving credit facility				13,200
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		2,922		3,045
Total annuant liabilitian		56,103		77 510
Total current liabilities		222,349		77,518 245,531
Long-term debt Deferred revenue				243,331 9,350
Other non-current liabilities		7,650 55,786		51,043
		55,700		51,045
Total liabilities		341,888		383,442
Commitments and contingencies (Note 20)				
Stockholders deficiency:				
Common stock par value \$0.01; 350,000,000 shares authorized; 45,793,697 and 45,595,618 shares				
issued, respectively; 44,146,959 and 44,188,550 shares outstanding, respectively		457		455
Additional paid-in capital (including warrants of \$31,690 at December 31, 2009 and 2008)		2,373,684	-	2,372,578
Accumulated deficit	(2,398,469)	(2	2,389,610)
Common stock in treasury, at cost (1,646,738 and 1,407,068 shares, respectively)		(76,304)		(75,877)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,527)		(4,834)
Total stockholders deficiency		(102,159)		(97,288)
Total liabilities and stockholders deficiency	\$	239,729	\$	286,154
Tour nuclinics and scornicidely deficiency	Ψ	237,127	Ψ	200,134

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statement of Operations

		2009 (Dollars		ded December : 2008 1ds, except per	,	2007 ta)
Revenue, net:		(Donar s	in thousa	ius, except per	share ua	(d)
Advertising	\$	224,571	\$	250,704	\$	257,164
Distribution		33,360		53,401		57,636
Total revenue, net		257,931		304,105		314,800
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization of property and						
equipment)		23,369		32,420		34,655
Marketing and selling		77,635		75,722		81,064
Distribution and circulation		60,931		85,218		83,561
General and administrative expenses		37,906		48,700		57,747
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment		13,256		14,475		12,612
Amortization of intangible assets		2,970		2,870		3,492
Provision for restructuring costs		25,627		5,238		10,496
Interest expense		15,670		19,338		77,660
Amortization of deferred financing costs		915		922		1,743
Other (income) expense, net		(6,986)		(2,821)		40,176
Total costs and expenses		251,293		282,082		403,206
Income (loss) from continuing operations before (provision) benefit for		((2)		22.022		(00.406)
income taxes		6,638		22,023		(88,406)
(Provision) benefit for income taxes		(2,098)		27,004		32,728
Income (loss) from continuing operations		4,540		49,027		(55,678)
Discontinued operations, net of tax (including gain on sale of businesses, net of tax, of \$0, \$2,049 and \$459,135, respectively)		(1,052)		10,441		547,123
Net income	\$	3,488	\$	59,468	\$	491,445
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:	\$	0.10	\$	1.11	\$	(1.26)
Continuing operations	¢		¢		ф	12.40
Discontinued operations		(0.02)		0.24		12.40
Net income	\$	0.08	\$	1.35	\$	11.14
Dividends declared per share of common stock outstanding	\$	0.28	\$	0.28	\$	2.15
Weighted-average basic shares of common stock outstanding	4	4,124,538	4	4,176,398	4	4,118,943
Weighted-average diluted shares of common stock outstanding	4	4,214,003	4	4,197,590	4	4,118,943

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Deficiency

	Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid-in Capital (Dollars ir	Accumulated Deficit 1 thousands, excep	Common Stock in Treasury t per share d	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders Deficiency
Balance at January 1, 2007	45,475,527	\$ 455	\$ 2,369,220	\$ (2,817,028)	\$ (75,877)		\$ (523,230)
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net income				491,445			491,445
Other comprehensive loss							
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges						(2,112)	(2,112)
Total comprehensive income							489,333
Cumulative effect of change in accounting							
principle				(16,126)			(16,126)
Non-cash charges for stock-based							,
compensation			486				486
Issuances of common stock, net	96,991		722				722
Cash dividends declared on common stock							
(\$2.15 per share)				(95,010)			(95,010)
Balance at December 31, 2007	45,572,518	455	2,370,428	(2,436,719)	(75,877)	(2,112)	(143,825)
Comprehensive income (loss):							
Net income				59,468			59,468
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax							
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges						(2,722)	(2,722)
Total comprehensive income							56,746
Non-cash charges for stock-based							
compensation			2,108				2,108
Issuances of common stock, net	23,100		42				42
Cash dividends declared on common stock							
(\$0.28 per share)				(12,359)			(12,359)
Balance at December 31, 2008	45,595,618	455	2,372,578	(2,389,610)	(75,877)	(4,834)	(97,288)
Comprehensive income:				a 100			a 100
Net income				3,488			3,488
Other comprehensive loss						2 207	2 207
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges						3,307	3,307
Total comprehensive income							6,795
Non-cash charges for stock-based							
compensation			1,281				1,281
Issuances of common stock, net of shares							
withheld for employee taxes and other	198,079	2	(175)				(173)
Repurchases of common stock					(427)		(427)
Cash dividends declared on common stock				(12.2.17)			(12.2.1-
(\$0.28 per share)				(12,347)			(12,347)
Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$0.28 per share)				(12,347)			(12,347)

Balance at December 31, 2009

45,793,697 \$457 \$2,373,684 \$(2,398,469) \$(76,304) \$ (1,527) \$ (102,159)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

	2009	Ended Decer 2008 Ollars in thous	2007
Operating activities:	(D(mars in thous	anus)
Net income	\$ 3,488	\$ 59,468	\$ 491,445
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$ 5,100	\$ 59,100	¢ 191,110
Depreciation and amortization	17,141	18,407	19,692
Impairment of cost-method investment	1,500	914	,
Gain on sale of cost-method investments	(2,260)	,	
Gain on sale of businesses, net	(_,_ • • •)	(2,049)	(459,135)
Accretion of acquisition obligation and other		() /	3,240
(Gain) loss on repurchase and redemption of debt	(4,967)	103	44,339
Stock-based compensation	1,281	2,108	486
Deferred income taxes	5,627	(27,233)	(59,796)
Bad debt expense	4,100	3,817	3,282
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	18	1,251	5,963
(Increase) decrease in:		-,	-,
Accounts receivable, net	(919)	(2,310)	(7,226)
Inventories	504	(194)	2,868
Prepaid expenses and other	9,425	(21,162)	(351)
(Decrease) increase in:	,,	(,)	()
Accounts payable	(3,831)	2,690	(4,870)
Accrued expenses and other	(2,348)	(7,157)	(87,392)
Deferred revenue	(1,700)	(1,652)	(1,726)
Other non-current liabilities	4,591	(5,910)	442
Other, net	.,	5	3,194
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	31,650	21,096	(45,545)
Investing activities:			
Purchase of available for sale securities			(247,426)
Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities		15,425	232,001
Payments for investments		(14)	200
Proceeds from sale of cost-method investments	2,260	(12.077)	289
Additions to property and equipment	(11,211)	(12,977)	(20,352)
Payments for businesses acquired, net of cash acquired		(4.055)	(34,129)
Payments related to the sale of businesses		(4,355)	(21,420)
Proceeds from sale of businesses		2,389	1,352,626
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(8,951)	468	1,261,589
Financing activities:			
Payment of dividends on common stock	(12,347)	(12,359)	(95,010)
Net (repayments) borrowings under revolving credit facility	(13,200)	13,200	
Payments for deferred and other financing fees	(510)		(6,287)
Payments for repurchase and redemption of debt	(14,931)	(2,679)	(859,735)
Borrowings under credit agreements	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		282,800
Repayments of borrowings under credit agreements	(2,500)	(2,500)	(528,800)
Capital lease payments	(607)	(506)	(739)
(Payments) proceeds related to issuances of common stock, net of value of shares withheld for employee taxes	(175)	42	722
Repurchases of common stock	(427)		
Other		(1)	(114)

Net cash used in financing activities	(44,697)	(4,803)	(1,207,163)
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(21,998)	16,761	8,881
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	31,470	14,709	5,828
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 9,472	\$ 31,470	\$ 14,709
Supplemental information:			
Cash paid for interest, including interest on capital leases and restructuring liabilities	\$ 15,916	\$ 19,323	\$ 90,037
Cash (refunded) paid for income taxes, net	\$ (20,193)	\$ 7,746	\$ 41,755
Noncash investing and financing activities:			
Equipment acquisitions under capital leases	\$ 102	\$ 1,321	\$
Businesses acquired:			
Fair value of assets acquired	\$	\$	\$ 34,435
(Liabilities assumed) net of deferred purchase price payments			(306)
Payments for businesses acquired, net of cash acquired	\$	\$	\$ 34,129

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

PRIMEDIA INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Description of Business

PRIMEDIA Inc., together with its subsidiaries, is herein referred to as either PRIMEDIA or the Company, unless the context implies otherwise. PRIMEDIA is a targeted media company that provides consumers with tools and information they need to find a place to live. The Company s consumer directories are targeted primarily for the apartment and other rental property sectors of the residential real estate industry and are provided free to consumers through a combination of Internet, print and mobile platforms. The Company derives advertising revenue by providing its advertiser clients, principally property management companies, private owners/landlords, new home builders and real estate professionals, with products and services that generate measurable results in the form of cost-effective, quality leads.

The Company has traditionally managed its portfolio of media assets by opportunistically seeking to divest of assets no longer part of its overall strategy, including its Enthusiast Media (PEM), Education and Business Information segments and its Auto Guides division. As a result, the Company has one remaining segment, Consumer Guides, and one reporting unit.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant accounting estimates include the establishment of the allowance for doubtful accounts, the provision for restructuring costs, the cost of stock-based compensation, the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, uncertain tax positions, and the recoverability and lives of long-lived assets, including goodwill.

Basis of Presentation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PRIMEDIA and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Management considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents. As of December 31, 2009, cash equivalents include money market accounts.

The Company s banking arrangements allow it to fund outstanding checks drawn on zero-balance disbursement accounts when presented to the financial institution for payment, resulting in book overdrafts. Book overdrafts in the amount of \$3.7 million and \$2.9 million are recorded in accounts payable in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and are reflected as an operating activity in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Investment Securities. Investment securities are classified according to management s intent with respect to the securities. In accordance with GAAP, securities are classified as:

Held to maturity if management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. These securities are carried at cost, adjusted for the amortization of premium and accretion of discount.

Trading if the securities are principally held for the purpose of selling them in the near term. These securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recognized in the statement of operations.

Available for sale if the securities are not classified as held to maturity or trading. These securities are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI).

Index to Financial Statements

Concentrations of Credit Risk. Substantially all of the Company s trade receivables are from advertising customers located throughout the United States. The Company establishes its credit policies based on an ongoing evaluation of its customers credit worthiness and competitive market conditions and establishes its allowance for doubtful accounts based on an assessment of exposure to credit losses at each balance sheet date. The Company believes its allowance for doubtful accounts is sufficient based on the credit exposure outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

Inventories. Inventories, principally paper, are valued at the lower of cost or market, on a first-in, first-out basis.

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation of property and equipment, including the amortization of leasehold improvements, is provided at rates based on the estimated useful lives or lease terms, if shorter, using the straight-line method. Improvements which extend the lives of assets are capitalized, while maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill and other intangible assets are accounted for in accordance with GAAP. Consistent with GAAP, the Company does not amortize goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily trademarks, but subjects them to impairment tests, at least annually. All other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives, primarily on a straight-line basis. The Company s annual impairment testing date for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets is October 31. Testing is conducted using a fair value approach (at a reporting unit level for goodwill). A reporting unit is an operating segment or one level below an operating segment for which discrete financial information is available and reviewed regularly by management. Assets and liabilities of the Company are assigned to reporting units to the extent that they are employed in or are considered a liability related to the operations of the reporting units and are considered in determining the fair value of the reporting units. Fair value is determined by calculating the present value of management s best estimate of future cash flows at the reporting unit or for the asset as applicable. The Company uses a discount rate that represents its weighted-average cost of capital (13% for both 2009 and 2008). The fair value is compared to the carrying value of the reporting unit, or of the asset, as applicable. As long as the fair value is greater, there is no impairment.

In addition to the annual impairment test, an assessment is also required whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

The Company s impairment testing under GAAP did not result in any impairments of indefinite-lived intangible assets for any periods presented.

In April 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new GAAP on the determination of the useful life of intangible assets, which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset with a finite useful life. Rather than considering legal, regulatory or contractual provisions that enable renewal or extension of the asset s legal or contractual life without substantial cost, an entity is required to use, among other factors, its own historical experience in renewing or extending similar arrangements, regardless of whether those arrangements have explicit renewal or extension provisions, in determining the useful life of the asset. If an entity does not have its own historical experience, it should consider the assumptions that market participants would use about renewal or extension (consistent with the highest and best use of the asset by market participants), adjusted for any identified entity-specific factors.

The Company adopted the new GAAP effective January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Long-Lived Assets. Consistent with GAAP, the Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate assets might be impaired. When such reviews are conducted, the Company

Index to Financial Statements

uses an estimate of undiscounted cash flows over the remaining lives of the assets to measure recoverability. If the estimated cash flows are less than the carrying value of an asset, the loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds fair value.

As is more fully discussed in Notes 3 and 7, the Company assessed certain of its intangible assets for impairment during 2007 and 2009 due to events that arose indicating the carrying value of the assets might not be recoverable.

Income Taxes. Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with GAAP, which requires an asset and liability approach for the accounting and reporting of income taxes. The provision for income taxes is comprised of taxes that are currently payable and deferred taxes that relate to temporary differences between the book basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in deductible or taxable amounts in future years when such assets and liabilities are recovered or settled, respectively. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which temporary differences are expected to be realized or settled. Recognition of certain deferred tax assets is based upon management s belief that, based upon historical earnings and anticipated future earnings, normal operations or available tax planning strategies will generate sufficient future taxable income to realize these benefits. A significant portion of the Company s deferred tax assets are net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs), which have lives of up to 20 years. When, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will be realized, no valuation allowance is established for those deferred tax assets.

In July 2006, the FASB issued new GAAP on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new GAAP requires that, in order to be recognized, tax benefits must be more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Differences between the amounts recognized in the balance sheet prior to the adoption of the new GAAP and the amounts reported after adoption were accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment recorded to the beginning balance of accumulated deficit. The new GAAP also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Company adopted the provisions of the new GAAP on January 1, 2007 and, as a result, recognized a liability for uncertain tax positions and related interest and penalties of \$16.1 million, which was accounted for as an increase to the January 1, 2007 balance of accumulated deficit, net of any valuation allowance impact. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of January 1, 2007 was \$102.5 million. In addition to the unrecognized tax benefits, the Company had \$3.7 million of interest and penalties accrued as of January 1, 2007. The liability for uncertain tax positions is included in other non-current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company s policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes.

Deferred Financing Costs. Deferred financing costs are amortized under the straight-line method over the terms of the related indebtedness, which approximates the effective interest method.

Stock-Based Compensation. The Company expenses the fair value of stock-based compensation for all grants, modifications or settlements. The cost of stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period, giving effect to anticipated forfeitures of awards.

The Company calculates the grant date fair value of employee stock options using the Black-Scholes pricing model. The Black-Scholes pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of

Index to Financial Statements

highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. The Company s employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate of its employee stock options.

The Company recognizes the cost of graded-vesting stock-based compensation awards expected to vest on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

Revenue Recognition. Advertising revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the contract with advertisers. Distribution revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the contract with third-party publishers. The Company s revenue is presented net of sales allowances, which are not material.

Barter Transactions. The Company trades advertisements in its traditional and online properties in exchange for advertising in properties of other companies and distribution-related expenses. Revenue and related expenses from barter transactions are recorded at fair value in accordance with GAAP. Revenue from barter transactions was approximately \$1.2 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, with approximately equal related expense amounts in each year.

Cost of Goods Sold. Costs of goods sold is comprised of paper, printing and editorial costs, which include the cost of artwork, graphics, prepress and photography for new advertising, and which are expensed as incurred.

Internal-Use Software. In accordance with GAAP, the Company expenses costs incurred in the preliminary project stage and, thereafter, capitalizes costs incurred in developing or obtaining internal use software and includes them in property and equipment, net. Certain costs, such as maintenance and training, are expensed as incurred. Capitalized costs are amortized over a period of not more than three years using the straight-line method. In addition, in accordance with GAAP, direct internal and external costs associated with the development of the features and functionality of the Company s websites incurred during the application and infrastructure development phase have been capitalized and are included in property and equipment, net in the consolidated balance sheet. Typical capitalized costs include, but are not limited to, acquisition and development of software tools required for the development and operation of the website, acquisition and registration costs for domain names, and costs incurred to develop graphics for the website. These capitalized costs are amortized over the estimated useful life of up to two years using the straight-line method. Capitalized software costs are subject to impairment evaluation in accordance with GAAP.

Derivative Financial Instruments. In March 2008, the FASB issued new GAAP intended to improve financial reporting about derivative financial instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand their effects on an entity s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

It amends existing disclosure requirements to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of:

how and why an entity uses derivative financial instruments;

how derivative financial instruments and related hedged items are accounted for; and

how derivative financial instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

To meet those objectives, the new GAAP requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivative financial instruments, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative financial instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. Comparative disclosures are required only for periods subsequent to initial adoption.

The Company adopted the new GAAP effective January 1, 2009 (see Note 19).

Index to Financial Statements

In accordance with GAAP, the Company records all derivative financial instruments in the consolidated balance sheet at their fair value in prepaid expenses and other or other non-current assets and in accrued expenses and other or other non-current liabilities. Changes in fair value are recorded each period in operations or AOCI, depending upon the purpose for using the derivative financial instrument and its qualification, designation and effectiveness as a hedging instrument. Under GAAP, the Company may designate a derivative financial instrument as a hedging instrument in either a cash flow or a fair value hedging relationship.

Derivative financial instruments designated in a hedging relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. To the extent that a hedging instrument is proven effective in mitigating exposure to the risk being hedged, changes in fair value of the hedged item are recorded within operations as an offset to amounts recorded with respect to fair value changes of the derivative financial instrument.

Derivative financial instruments that are designated to hedge the variability in expected future cash flows of forecasted transactions are considered cash flow hedges. The effective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative financial instrument is recorded in AOCI and reclassified into operations during the period in which the hedged transaction occurs. Any ineffectiveness in an effective hedging relationship is recorded in current period operations within the related revenue or expense line of the hedged item.

The Company must perform a prospective assessment at the inception of each hedging relationship and at least quarterly thereafter to determine whether the derivative financial instrument is expected to be highly effective in hedging the designated risk. If the derivative financial instrument is no longer expected to be highly effective in the hedging relationship, hedge accounting must be discontinued prospectively. Retrospective testing must also be performed quarterly to determine whether the derivative financial instrument has been highly effective in achieving risk management objectives. When a hedging relationship fails retrospective testing, hedge accounting is discontinued at the date when the hedge was last demonstrated to be effective.

Hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively in existing hedging relationships when: (1) any qualification criteria can no longer be met; (2) the hedging instrument has expired, been sold, terminated or exercised; (3) the hedged item has been sold, matured or terminated; (4) it is no longer deemed probable that a hedged forecasted transaction will occur; or (5) management determines that a hedge is no longer deemed appropriate and de-designates the hedging instrument.

In the case of fair value hedges, when: (1) hedging criteria are no longer met; (2) management de-designates the hedging instrument; or (3) the hedging instrument has expired, been sold, terminated or exercised, the Company discontinues recording changes in fair value of the hedged item and amortizes the existing basis adjustment to operations over the hedged item s remaining expected life. For fair value hedges where the hedged item has been sold, matured or terminated, the difference between the carrying value of the hedged item and its fair value is recorded in current operations.

For cash flow hedges, when: (1) hedging criteria are no longer met; (2) management de-designates the hedging instrument; or (3) the hedging instrument has expired, been sold, terminated or exercised, changes in the value of the derivative financial instrument are recorded to current operations, while the net gain or loss previously recorded in AOCI continues to be reported there until the hedged forecasted transaction impacts operations. When the hedged forecasted transaction is deemed probable to not occur, the amount recorded in AOCI is immediately released into current operations.

Fair Value Measurements. In September 2006, the FASB issued new GAAP related to fair value measurements, which provided guidance on how companies should measure fair value when they are required to use a fair value measure for recognition or disclosure purposes under GAAP. This new GAAP created a common definition of fair value and prescribes methods for measuring fair value, which are intended to make the measurement of fair value more consistent and comparable across companies.

Index to Financial Statements

The new GAAP established a three-tiered hierarchy to prioritize inputs used to measure fair value. Those tiers are defined as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs, other than quoted prices included within Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

The highest priority in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value is placed on the use of Level 1 inputs, while the lowest priority is placed on the use of Level 3 inputs.

This new GAAP also expands the related disclosure requirements in an effort to provide greater transparency around fair value measures and was effective as of the beginning of fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007.

In February 2008, the FASB issued new GAAP, which delayed the effective date of previously issued GAAP on fair value measurements to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Examples of items to which the deferral applied include, but were not limited to:

nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination or other new basis event, but not measured at fair value in subsequent periods (nonrecurring fair value measurements);

reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test and nonfinancial assets and

nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value in the second step of a goodwill impairment test (measured at fair value on a

recurring basis, but not necessarily recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value);

indefinite-lived intangible assets measured at fair value for impairment assessment (measured at fair value on a recurring basis, but not necessarily recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value);

long-lived assets (asset groups) measured at fair value for an impairment assessment (nonrecurring fair value measurements); and liabilities for exit or disposal activities initially measured at fair value (nonrecurring fair value measurements).

The Company adopted the new GAAP on January 1, 2008 for its financial assets and liabilities and on January 1, 2009 for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities, and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows (see Note 19).

In February 2007, the FASB issued new GAAP that permits companies to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items, which include most recognized financial assets and liabilities and firm commitments, at fair value with unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. Generally, the decision about whether to elect the fair value option must be:

applied instrument by instrument; irrevocable; and applied only to an entire instrument and not to only specified risks, specific cash flows or portions of that instrument.

Index to Financial Statements

A company may decide whether to elect the fair value option for each eligible item on its election date. Alternatively, a company may elect the fair value option according to a preexisting policy for specified types of eligible items. A company may choose to elect the fair value option for an eligible item only on the date that certain events occur, including when:

- a company first recognizes the eligible item;
- a company enters into an eligible firm commitment;
- financial assets that have been reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings because of specialized accounting principles cease to qualify for that specialized accounting; or
- the accounting treatment for an investment in another entity changes.

This new GAAP became effective as of the beginning of fiscal years that begin after November 15, 2007. The Company did not elect the fair value option for any of its financial assets or liabilities upon adoption on January 1, 2008, resulting in no impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new GAAP enhancing consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of fair value disclosures under the scope of GAAP on fair value measurements. This new GAAP is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009.

The Company adopted the new GAAP effective June 30, 2009 (see Note 19).

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* (the Codification). The Codification, effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, became the single source of authoritative GAAP, superseding various existing authoritative accounting pronouncements. The Codification eliminates the GAAP hierarchy contained in SFAS No. 162 and establishes one level of authoritative GAAP. All other literature is considered non-authoritative. The Company adopted the Codification in the third quarter of 2009, and the adoption had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements other than changes in reference to various authoritative accounting pronouncements in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB established new GAAP and disclosure requirements pertaining to business combinations. Primary changes to existing accounting include requirements for the acquirer to recognize:

- assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date;
- identifiable assets and liabilities, as well as the noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, at the full amounts of their fair values, in a step acquisition;
- assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contractual contingencies as of the acquisition date, measured at their acquisition-date fair values;
- goodwill as of the acquisition date, measured as a residual, which in most types of business combinations will result in measuring goodwill as the excess of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired;
- contingent consideration at the acquisition date, measured at its fair value at that date;
- the effect of a bargain purchase in earnings; and
- changes in the amount of its deferred tax benefits that are recognizable because of a business combination either in income from continuing operations in the period of the combination or directly in contributed capital, depending on the circumstances.

Index to Financial Statements

In April 2009, the FASB issued additional GAAP requiring an asset or a liability arising from a contingency in a business combination to be recognized at fair value if fair value can be reasonably determined and provides additional guidance on how to make that determination. If the fair value of an asset or liability cannot be reasonably determined, the new GAAP requires that an asset or liability be recognized at the amount that would be recognized in accordance with other applicable GAAP for liabilities and at an amount using similar criteria for assets. The new GAAP also amends the subsequent measurement and accounting guidance and the disclosure requirements for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. This new GAAP is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combination date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

The Company adopted the new GAAP related to business combinations effective January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements

In December 2007, the FASB also issued new related GAAP regarding noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements. This new GAAP requires:

ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent to be clearly identified, labeled and presented in the consolidated balance sheet within equity, but separate from the parent s equity;

the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest to be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations;

changes in a parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary to be accounted for consistently;

when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in the former subsidiary be initially measured at fair value;

gains or losses on the deconsolidation of a subsidiary to be measured using the fair value of any noncontrolling equity investment rather than the carrying amount of the retained investment; and

entities to provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners.

The Company adopted the new GAAP effective January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Index to Financial Statements

Note 3. Discontinued Operations

The Company has classified the results of divested entities as discontinued operations for all periods presented in accordance with GAAP.

Details about the Company s divestitures during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

						Loss isposition
					Durinş F	ognized g the Years Inded
	Basis for		Consider	ration	Dece	mber 31,
	Inclusion in					
Year of Inclusion in	Discontinued					
Discontinued Operations	Operations	Year of Disposition	Form	Amount (Dollars	2008	2007(1) ands)
2006	Agreement to sell	2007	Cash	× ×		\$ 57,547
2006	Announcement of intent to sell segment	2007	Assumption by the acquirer of net liabilities	8,600		(7,050)
2006	Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment	2007	Cash	11,000		170
2006	Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment	2008	Cash	200	132	
2007	Sale of segment	2007	Cash	1,177,900		460,988
2007	Announcement of intent to sell or shut down operations of division	2008	Cash	2,100	42	
	Inclusion in Discontinued Operations 2006 2006 2006 2007	Year of Inclusion in Discontinued OperationsInclusion in Discontinued Operations2006Agreement to sell2006Agreement to sell segment2006Announcement of intent to sell segment2006Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment2006Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment2006Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment2006Sale of segment2006Sale of segment2006Sale of segment	Inclusion inYear of Inclusion in Discontinued OperationsDiscontinued Operations2006Agreement to sell of intent to sell segment20072006Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment20072006Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20072006Segment20082007Sale of segment20082008Announcement of intent to sell or shut down operations of2007	Basis forInclusion in DiscontinuedDiscontinued OperationsYear of DispositionForm2006Agreement to sell2007Cash2006Agreement to sell2007Cash2006Agreement to sell2007Cash2006Agreement to sell2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2006Segment2008Cash2007Sale of segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2007Sale of segment2007Cash2006Segment2007Cash2007Sale of segment2007Cash2007Sale of segment2007Cash2008Sale of segment2007Cash<	Inclusion inYear of Inclusion in DiscontinuedDiscontinuedYear of OperationsAmount (Dollars)2006Agreement to sell2007Cash\$ 170,0002006Agreement to sell2007Cash\$ 170,0002006Agreement to sell2007Cash\$ 170,0002006Agreement to sell2007Cash\$ 170,0002006Announcement of intent to sell segmentAssumption by the acquirer of net liabilities\$ 8,6002006Announcement of active pursuit of sale of segment2007Cash11,0002006Segment2008Cash2002006Segment2007Cash1,177,9002007Sale of segment2007Cash1,177,9002007Sale of segment2007 <td>Near of Inclusion in Discontinued Operations Discontinued Discontinued Operations Year of Discontinued Discontinue Discontinu</td>	Near of Inclusion in Discontinued Operations Discontinued Discontinued Operations Year of Discontinued Discontinue Discontinu

(1) Loss related to final adjustments for disposition in previous years was approximately \$0.7 million in 2007.

(2) Remaining operations were shut down, resulting in a loss of approximately \$0.4 million.

Pre-tax Gain or

- (3) Proceeds were used to pay down debt.
- (4) Gain related to final adjustments was approximately \$0.7 million in 2008 and resulted in payment of approximately \$4.4 million to acquirer.
- (5) Remaining operations were shut down, resulting in a loss of approximately \$0.8 million.

There was no pre-tax gain or loss on disposition recognized during the year ended December 31, 2009.

6	1
0	I

Index to Financial Statements

The operating results of all these operations have been classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

The components of discontinued operations included in the consolidated statement of operations are as follows:

	2009	Years Ended December 31, 2008 (Dollars in thousands)		2007
Total revenue, net	\$	\$	3,353	\$ 358,794
Income (loss) from operations:				
PEM segment	\$	\$		\$ 69,683
Channel One				(4,104)
Films Media Group				622
PRIMEDIA Healthcare			132	446
Auto Guides division			(1,668)	(8,006)
Provision for litigation reserves and settlements	(3,250)		(6,000)	(2,000)
Professional fees	(1,825)		(2,287)	(768)
Adjustments to accrued operating lease liabilities	(2,770)		2,123	1,030
Insurance-related expenses	(397)		(1,488)	(742)
Write-off of receivables and other assets	(259)		(1,164)	(1,091)
Deal and other costs related to the divesture of PEM segment				(3,675)
Tax-related contingencies	6,638		462	(481)
Other	(249)		(960)	13
(Loss) income from operations before benefit (provision) for income taxes and gain (loss) on sale of businesses	(2,112)		(10,850)	50,927
Gain (loss) on sale of businesses:				
Outdoors group				57,547
PEM segment			651	460,988
Channel One				(7,050)
Films Media Group				170
PRIMEDIA Healthcare			132	
Auto Guides division			42	
Final adjustments for disposition in previous years				(674)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	1,060		20,466	(14,785)
Discontinued operations, net of tax (including gain (loss) on sale of businesses)	\$ (1,052)	\$	10,441	\$ 547,123

The components of the benefit (provision) for income taxes included in discontinued operations in the consolidated statement of operations are as follows:

		Years End	led December 31,	
	2009		2008	2007
		(Dollars	in thousands)	
Provision for tax benefit (expense) on pre-tax income (loss)	\$ (1,779)	\$	15,046	\$ 28,937
Benefit for 2008 extended NOL carryback	9,347			
Provision for tax benefit (expense) on gain (loss) on sale of businesses			1,227	(44,901)
Change in liability for uncertain tax positions	(6,687)		(1,347)	(4,911)
Changes in estimates included in prior year tax provision	179		5,540	6,090

m . 1	1	/ .			
Total	benefit	(expense)) tor	income	taxes

Index to Financial Statements

Amounts other than the income or loss from operations of the disposed businesses and gain or loss on sale of businesses primarily represent the impact of changes in contingent obligations the Company has related to the disposition of the businesses, including changes in sublease income assumptions related to operating leases for office space subleased or assigned to the buyer or another third party; legal and other professional fees incurred in defending the Company against litigation or in attempting to force performance by third parties under leasing arrangements; actual or expected losses from litigation for which the Company is liable; write off of uncollectable rent receivable under operating lease arrangements for real estate; insurance-related costs for events that occurred prior to the disposition; and other similar costs.

The gain on sale of businesses, net of tax, was \$0.0 million, \$2.0 million and \$459.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized \$9.3 million tax benefit as a result of its ability, under the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the Assistance Act), to elect an extended carryback period for NOLs. The Company elected to carryback its 2008 federal NOL to recover income taxes previously paid. This tax benefit was partially offset by the 2009 tax expense of \$(1.7) million and a \$(6.6) million expense for uncertain tax positions on certain temporary items.

During 2008, the Company recognized a tax benefit of \$16.3 million in discontinued operations as a result of its ability to carry back a projected 2008 NOL against taxes paid on a portion of the 2007 gain on divestitures of certain subsidiaries. The 2008 NOL arose primarily from the reversal of differences between the carrying value and tax basis in a group of PRIMEDIA Healthcare intangible assets triggered by the sale of those assets during 2008. The Company also recognized a tax benefit of \$4.2 million in discontinued operations during 2008, primarily as a result of changes in its estimate of its ability to utilize certain NOLs to offset 2007 taxable income.

Held for Sale

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008 there were no assets and liabilities of businesses held for sale.

Impairment

In accordance with GAAP related to accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets, the Company performs annual impairment testing on its goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. In connection with the results of the GAAP impairment testing during 2007, factors indicated that the carrying value of certain finite-lived assets might not be recoverable. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$1.2 million related to the write-down of certain definite-lived intangibles of the Auto Guides division, which is included in income (loss) from operations Auto Guides division in the table above.

Note 4. Acquisitions

In February 2007, the Company acquired the assets of RentalHouses.com for approximately \$9.0 million in cash plus post-closing adjustments. This acquisition did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007, nor were the operations of this acquisition material during 2007 prior to the acquisition.

In connection with the Company s acquisition of 80% of the common stock of Automotive.com in 2005, PRIMEDIA and the minority shareholders of Automotive.com, including its Chief Executive Officer, entered into a forward agreement through which PRIMEDIA would purchase the remaining 20% of Automotive.com s common stock in early 2009 or, if the forward agreement was extended, early 2010. The settlement price of the forward agreement was to be based on a measure of Automotive.com s earnings in the fiscal year prior to settlement.

Index to Financial Statements

Due to the ongoing relationship of the Chief Executive Officer of Automotive.com with the Company after the acquisition, for accounting purposes, the forward agreement was bifurcated into the components relating to him on the one hand and the other minority shareholders on the other. The component of the forward agreement relating to the other minority shareholders was recorded as a liability at fair value as of the transaction date and an adjustment to the purchase price. Automotive.com was part of the Company s PEM segment, which was sold during 2007. The purchaser of the PEM segment assumed all of the Company s obligations under the forward agreement. The change in the fair value of the liability during 2007 until the sale of the PEM segment resulted in an increase in interest expense of \$2.1 million. Changes in the fair value of the component pertaining to Automotive.com s Chief Executive Officer have been charged to compensation expense, including an immaterial amount during the year ended December 31, 2007.

In January 2007, the Company acquired Modified Automotive Group, publisher of *Modified Magazine*, *Modified Luxury & Exotics Magazine*, *Modified Mustangs Magazine*, and their related event partnerships and websites for approximately \$15.0 million in cash, including acquisition related expenses. This acquisition was part of the PEM segment, which was sold during 2007.

In addition, during 2007, the Company made other payments relating to acquisitions of \$10.1 million. The results of operations of these acquisitions did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007. The Company did not undertake any acquisitions during 2009 or 2008, but during 2008, it recorded adjustments to goodwill of less than \$0.1 million related to previously completed acquisitions.

Note 5. Available for Sale Securities

During 2008, the Company sold all of its available for sale securities with no realized gain or loss. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had no available for sale securities.

Note 6. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net, including assets held under capital leases, consisted of the following:

	2009 Range of Lives	Decen	nber 31,
	(years)	2009 (Dollars ir	2008 1 thousands)
Building improvements	10	\$	\$ 779
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of economic life		
	or 5 years	3,178	3,368
Furniture and fixtures	7	4,247	4,619
Machinery and equipment	3-5	14,608	13,778
Internal use software	2-3	71,825	64,150
Display racks and other	3	5,071	15,274
		98,929	101,968
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		80,932	83,203
		\$ 17,997	\$ 18,765

Index to Financial Statements

Included in property and equipment as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are assets acquired under capital leases in the amount of \$1.2 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, with accumulated amortization of \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million, respectively (see Note 20).

Note 7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

GAAP requires companies to assess goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at least annually. The Company s impairment testing under GAAP related to accounting for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles did not result in any impairment for any period presented.

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Balance as of January 1, 2008	\$ 129,286
Goodwill related final purchase accounting adjustments for prior year acquisition of businesses	19
Balance as of December 31, 2008 Other changes	129,305
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 129,305

Intangible assets subject to amortization consisted of the following:

	Weighted-			Decen	ıber 31,		
	Average Amortization		2009			2008	
	Period (Years)	Carrying Amount	cumulated ortization	Net (Dollars in	Carrying Amount a thousands)	cumulated ortization	Net
Advertiser lists	12	\$ 93,953	\$ 79,825	\$ 14,128	\$ 94,454	\$ 77,767	\$ 16,687
Other	6	5,742	5,481	261	5,905	5,233	672
		\$ 99,695	\$ 85,306	\$ 14,389	\$ 100,359	\$ 83,000	\$ 17,359

Intangible assets not subject to amortization had a carrying value of \$6.3 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and consisted of trademarks. Amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization was \$2.5 million, \$2.9 million and \$3.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. As of December 31, 2009, estimated future amortization expense of intangible assets subject to amortization, \$2.9 million and \$1.8 million for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, factors were identified indicating that the carrying value of certain of the Company s advertiser lists might not be recoverable. The Company determined that the expected undiscounted cash flows associated with one advertiser list were less than the carrying value and, as a result, recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$0.5 million, which is included in amortization of intangible assets in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company also determined that the decline in the value of these assets was occurring faster than the expense being recognized using the straight-line method of amortization. To better match the deterioration in the value of the assets, the Company concluded that an accelerated method of amortization over a shorter estimated life would be appropriate and made this change in estimate effective January 1, 2010. The Company s estimate above of future amortization expense of intangible assets subject to amortization includes the impact of this change in estimate, which will result in an increase in estimated future amortization expense of \$1.6 million, \$0.9 million, \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, over what it would have been absent the change in estimate.

Index to Financial Statements

Note 8. Other Non-Current Assets

Other non-current assets consisted of the following:

	Decer	nber 31,
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in	n thousands)
Deferred financing costs, net	\$ 4,160	\$ 5,014
Cost-method investments	1,000	2,500
Taxes	5,034	4,330
Other	532	700
	\$ 10,726	\$ 12,544

Deferred financing costs

The deferred financing costs are net of accumulated amortization of \$2.2 million and \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During 2009 and 2008, the Company charged-off \$0.4 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively, of deferred financing costs resulting from debt redemptions and repurchases (see Note 10).

Cost-method investments

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company sold certain cost-method investments, which had previously been written down to their estimated fair value of \$0.0 million, for cash and recorded a corresponding gain of \$2.3 million to other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations.

As is more fully discussed in Note 19, the Company recorded impairment losses of approximately \$1.5 million and \$0.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to its cost-method investments.

Note 9. Accrued Expenses and Other and Other Non-Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following:

	Decen	nber 31,
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in	n thousands)
Payroll, commissions and related employee benefits	\$ 7,319	\$ 8,278
Tax-related liabilities	4,745	11,560
Derivative financial instrument liabilities	880	4,253
Reserves for litigation	5,750	8,000
Restructuring liabilities	12,738	3,466
Other	7,862	8,934
	\$ 39,294	\$ 44,491

Other non-current liabilities consisted of the following:

	Decen	ıber 31,
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Tax-related liabilities	\$ 28,365	\$ 22,769
Derivative financial instrument liabilities	1,049	896
Restructuring liabilities	17,619	18,187
Other	8,753	9,191
	\$ 55,786	\$ 51,043

Index to Financial Statements

At December 31, 2007, an approximate \$5.1 million liability was recorded as a divesture reserve related to the sale of the PEM segment during the third quarter of 2007. The reserve was established as a working capital settlement at the time of the sale of the PEM segment. During 2008, the Company settled the working capital adjustment with the buyer and recognized an additional \$0.7 million gain on sale of businesses before income taxes. The Company s consolidated statement of cash flows discloses the payments made during 2008 of approximately \$4.4 million related to the sale of businesses. The cash settlement of \$4.4 million together with the additional gain on sale of \$0.7 million resulted in a divesture reserve balance of \$0.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2009.

Note 10. Borrowings

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	Decem	ber 31,
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Borrowings under bank credit facilities	\$ 224,700	\$ 247,500
Obligations under capital leases (see Note 20)	571	1,076
	225,271	248,576
Less: Current maturities of long-term debt	2,922	3,045
	\$ 222,349	\$ 245,531

Bank Credit Facilities

On August 1, 2007, the Company completed the financing for a \$350.0 million senior secured bank credit facility. The new bank credit facility provides for two loan facilities: (1) a revolving credit facility with aggregate commitments of \$100.0 million (the Revolving Facility), which matures on August 1, 2013, and (2) a Term Loan B credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million (the Term Loan B Facility), which matures on August 1, 2014 (the Term Loan B Maturity Date). The Company capitalized approximately \$6.3 million of financing costs associated with the new credit facilities.

Amounts borrowed under the Revolving Facility bear interest, at the Company s option, at an annual rate of either the base rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.625% to 1.00% or the eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.625% to 2.00%. The weighted-average interest rate on the Revolving Facility at December 31, 2009 was 1.98%. The Term Loan B Facility bears interest, at the Company s option, at an annual rate of either the base rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.00% to 1.25% or the eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 2.00% to 2.25%. The weighted-average interest rate on the Term Loan B Facility at December 31, 2009 was 2.50%. Additionally, through August 1, 2009, the Company was required to manage its interest rate risk arising from the Term Loan B Facility through the utilization of derivative financial instruments in a notional amount equal to at least 35% of the Term Loan B principal outstanding (see Note 18).

On June 30, 2009, the Company s bank credit facility was amended (the Amendment). Among other things, the Amendment gives the Company the right, subject to the conditions set forth therein, to prepay or otherwise acquire with or for cash, on either a pro rata or non-pro rata basis, loans outstanding under the Term Loan B Facility and held by lenders who consent to such prepayment or acquisition, at a discount to the par value of such principal at any time and from time to time on and after June 30, 2009 and on or prior to June 30, 2011; provided that the aggregate amounts expended by the Company in connection with all such prepayments or acquisitions do not exceed \$35.0 million. All such loans prepaid or acquired will be retired and extinguished and deemed paid effective upon such prepayment or acquisition.

Index to Financial Statements

The Amendment also memorializes the reduction of the Revolving Facility commitment of Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Inc. (Lehman) to \$0.0 million and, as a consequence thereof, the total capacity under the Revolving Facility has now been confirmed to have been reduced by \$12.0 million to \$88.0 million. The Company believed and previously disclosed that the total capacity under the Revolving Facility had been effectively reduced by \$12.0 million as a result of bankruptcy proceedings related to Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., the parent company of Lehman. The commitment under the Revolving Facility for each other lender remains unchanged from each such lender s commitment immediately prior to such reduction. Additionally, effective June 30, 2009, Lehman ceased to be a co-documentation agent under the bank credit facility.

In connection with the Amendment, the Company incurred approximately \$0.5 million in modification fees, which were paid to the creditors and will be expensed over the remaining term of the loan.

There are no scheduled commitment reductions under the Revolving Facility. The loans under the Term Loan B Facility are subject to scheduled repayment in quarterly installments of \$0.6 million each payable on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each year through June 30, 2014, followed by a final repayment on the Term Loan B Maturity Date of \$213.5 million, which reflects the Term Loan B Facility repurchase discussed below.

Revolving Facility Borrowings

In September 2008, the Company borrowed \$13.2 million against its Revolving Facility. In March 2009, the Company repaid \$8.8 million of the amount outstanding and the remaining \$4.4 million in June 2009. In early July 2009, the Company borrowed \$5.0 million against its Revolving Facility and repaid the entire amount borrowed in late July 2009. In early February 2010, the Company borrowed \$9.0 million against its Revolving Facility.

The bank credit facilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2009:

	Revolving Facility	Term LoanB Facility (Dollars in thousan	Total ds)
Bank credit facilities	\$ 88,000	\$ 224,700	\$ 312,700
Borrowings outstanding		(224,700)	(224,700)
Letters of credit outstanding	(2,826)		(2,826)
Unused bank commitments	\$ 85,174	\$	\$ 85,174

Under the new bank credit facilities agreement, the Company has agreed to pay commitment fees at a per annum rate of either 0.375%, 0.300% or 0.250%, depending on its debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) ratio, as defined in the bank credit facilities agreement, on the daily average aggregate unutilized commitment under the revolving loan commitment. The weighted-average of its commitment fees under the new bank credit facilities for 2009, 2008 and for the five months it was outstanding during 2007 was 0.33%, 0.32% and 0.36%, respectively. The Company also has agreed to pay certain fees with respect to the issuance of letters of credit and an annual administration fee. From time to time, it may pay amendment fees under its bank credit facilities.

The bank credit facilities agreement, among other things, limits the Company s ability to change the nature of its businesses, incur indebtedness, create liens, sell assets, engage in mergers, consolidations or transactions with affiliates, make investments in or loans to certain subsidiaries, issue guarantees and make certain restricted payments, including dividend payments on or repurchases of its common stock. The bank credit facilities are guaranteed by the common stock of substantially all of PRIMEDIA s subsidiaries.

Index to Financial Statements

8% Senior Notes

On May 15, 2008, the Company redeemed all \$2.6 million of its outstanding 8% Senior Notes due 2013 (the 8% Senior Notes). The Notes were redeemed at a 4% premium of the aggregate outstanding principal amount, which was approximately \$0.1 million and is included in other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company did not incur any early termination penalties in connection with the redemption of the 8% Senior Notes beyond the 4% redemption premium.

Debt Redemptions and Repurchases

During 2007, the Company, with the amounts borrowed under the Term Loan B Facility, combined with the net proceeds received from the sale of PEM, repaid all \$492.5 million in principal of its existing term loan at June 30, 2007, redeemed all \$410.0 million in principal of its 8⁷/8% Senior Notes, redeemed \$292.2 million in principal of its 8% Senior Notes, redeemed all \$122.5 million in principal of its Senior Floating Rate Notes and paid approximately \$16.0 million of accrued interest on its 8% Senior Notes. The Company recorded a loss on debt redemptions of \$44.3 million, included in other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations, for the year ended December 31, 2007, which was comprised of the following:

	Debt Instrument				
	8 ⁷ /8% Senior	8% Senior	Senior Floating	Bank	
	Notes due 2011	Notes due 2013	Rate Notes due 2010	Credit Facilities	Total
	2011		(Dollars in thousand		Total
Principal redeemed	\$410,015	\$ 292,214	\$ 122,500	\$ 492,500	\$ 1,317,229
Redemption price	422,340	309,121	126,175	492,500	1,350,136
Premium paid	12,325	16,907	3,675		32,907
Write-off of deferred financing fees	3,200	3,426	1,107	993	8,726
Write-off of original issue discount	2,706				2,706
Total loss on redemption	\$ 18,231	\$ 20,333	\$ 4,782	\$ 993	\$ 44,339

During 2009, the Company repurchased and retired \$20.3 million in principal of its Term Loan B Facility for \$14.9 million. In connection with the repurchases, the Company also wrote off \$0.4 million in deferred financing fees, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$5.0 million, which is included in other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations.

The scheduled repayments of all debt outstanding, including capital leases, as of December 31, 2009, were as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	Debt	O a	pital Lease bligations nd Other s in thousands		'otal
2010	\$ 2,50) \$	422	\$	2,922
2011	2,50	0	138		2,638
2012	2,50	0	11		2,511
2013	2,50	C			2,500
2014	214,70	0		21	14,700
Total	\$ 224,70	D \$	571	\$ 22	25,271

Covenant Compliance

Under the most restrictive covenants contained in the bank credit facilities agreement, the maximum allowable total leverage ratio, as defined in the agreement, is 5.25 to 1. As of December 31, 2009, this leverage ratio was approximately 2.8 to 1.

Index to Financial Statements

For purposes of the Company s bank credit facilities, the provision for restructuring costs is excluded from the Company s calculation of consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the bank credit facilities.

At December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt convenants.

Note 11. Income Taxes

The components of the (provision) benefit for income taxes were as follows:

Yea	er 31,	
2009	2008	2007
(1	Jollars in thousan	as)
\$ 2,940	\$ 20 859	\$ (39,181)
	. ,	(2,672)
3.527	20.237	(41,853)
-,:	,	(11,000)
(4,535)	(1,928)	(250,276)
(1,092)	(164)	(34,157)
(5,627)	(2,092)	(284,433)
1,062	29,325	344,229
(4,565)	27,233	59,796
\$ (1,038)	\$ 47,470	\$ 17,943
	2009 (1 \$ 2,940 587 3,527 (4,535) (1,092) (5,627) 1,062 (4,565)	(Dollars in thousand) \$ 2,940 \$ 20,859 587 (622) 3,527 20,237 (4,535) (1,928) (1,092) (164) (5,627) (2,092) 1,062 29,325 (4,565) 27,233

The (provision) benefit for income taxes included in the Company s consolidated statement of operations and attributable to continuing and discontinued operations was as follows:

	Year	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007	
	(D	ollars in thousa	nds)	
Continuing operations	\$ (2,098)	\$ 27,004	\$ 32,728	
Discontinued operations	1,060	20,466	(14,785)	
Total (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ (1,038)	\$47,470	\$ 17,943	

Index to Financial Statements

A reconciliation of the income tax expected at the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% to the income taxes provided on the income (loss) from continuing operations is set forth below:

	Years	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007	
	(Do	llars in thousar	nds)	
Tax (provision) benefit at federal statutory rate	\$ (2,323)	\$ (7,708)	\$ 30,943	
Permanent Items	(479)	134	1,233	
State and local taxes, net of federal impact	(941)	(332)	2,747	
Change in uncertain tax positions	1,070	347		
Change in deferred compensation	(370)			
Change in valuation allowance	1,062	37,014	(1,466)	
Change in deferred tax liability		(2,092)	(1,466)	
Other, net	(117)	(359)	737	
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ (2,098)	\$ 27,004	\$ 32,728	

The change in the valuation allowance during 2008 resulted from the utilization of net deferred tax assets to offset income from continuing operations during the year as well as the partial release discussed in further detail below.

Index to Financial Statements

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (i) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes and (ii) operating and capital loss carryforwards. Net deferred tax assets are recorded to the extent the Company believes these assets will more likely than not be realized. The tax effects of significant items comprising the Company s deferred income taxes are as follows:

		2009	December 31,		2008	
	Federal	State and Local	Total (Dollars in t	Federal (housands)	State and Local	Total
Deferred income tax assets:						
Current:						
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
accrued expenses and other	\$ 5,700	\$ 882	\$ 6,582	\$ 10,412	\$ 1,428	\$ 11,840
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
restructuring reserves	4,753	736	5,489	1,217	167	1,384
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
deferred rent	1,089	168	1,257	1,534	210	1,744
Total current	11,542	1,786	13,328	13,163	1,805	14,968
Non-current:						
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
accrued expenses and other	349	54	403	299	41	340
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
advanced payments	964	149	1.113			
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of			, -			
restructuring reserves	6,385	988	7,373	6,528	895	7,423
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
deferred rent	2,324	360	2,684	2,567	352	2,919
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of other						
intangible assets	903	140	1,043	1,356	186	1,542
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
property and equipment	(639)	(99)	(738)	(481)	(66)	(547)
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
liability for uncertain tax positions	4,804	159	4,963	5,105	111	5,216
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
stock-based compensation	912	141	1,053	1,142	157	1,299
Operating loss carryforwards	157,573	2,170	159,743	156,736	6,531	163,267
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards				2,687		2,687
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
cost-method investments	7,342	1,136	8,478	8,550	1,172	9,722
Total non-current	180,917	5,198	186,115	184,489	9,379	193,868
		,	-, -	,	,	
Total deferred income tax assets	192,459	6,984	199,443	197,652	11,184	208,836
Deferred income tax liabilities:	172,439	0,704	177,773	177,052	11,104	200,000
Non-current:						
Difference between carrying amount and tax basis of						
indefinite-lived intangible assets	14,316	2,215	16,531	12,625	1,731	14,356

Total deferred income tax liabilities	14,316	2,215	16,531	12,625	1,731	14,356
Net deferred income tax assets	178,143	4,769	182,912	185,027	9,453	194,480
Less: Valuation allowance	(166,183)	(6,984)	(173,167)	(168,327)	(11,184)	(179,511)
Net	\$ 11,960	\$ (2,215)	\$ 9,745	\$ 16,700	\$ (1,731)	\$ 14,969

Index to Financial Statements

During 2008, the Company increased its net deferred tax asset and the associated valuation allowance by approximately \$26.9 million as a result of its analysis of its cumulative deferred tax balances. This analysis had no effect on the consolidated statement of operations.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had aggregate federal NOLs of approximately \$452.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized a tax benefit of \$1.1 million and \$9.3 million in continuing and discontinuing operations, respectively, as a result of its ability, under the Assistance Act, to elect to carryback its federal NOLs to recover income taxes previously paid. This tax benefit was offset by the 2009 tax expense in discontinued operations of \$(7.2) million for uncertain tax positions on certain temporary items. The net income tax benefit recorded as a result of this carryback was \$1.1 million and \$2.1 million in continuing and discontinued operations, respectively. This expected carryback of \$10.4 million is included in prepaid expenses and other in the consolidated balance sheet. The \$452.4 million of federal NOLs remaining at December 31, 2009 are available to reduce future taxable income, and the substantial majority expire between 2020 and 2024. In addition, the Company has state and local NOLs in various jurisdictions in which the Company and/or its subsidiaries file income tax returns. These state and local NOLs expire over various periods based on applicable state and local statutes and regulations.

Based on the weight of objectively verifiable available positive and negative evidence as of December 31, 2008, the Company recorded a partial release of the valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets of \$29.3 million because it believed it more likely than not that this portion of the deferred tax assets would be realized. The Company would need to generate approximately \$84.0 million in pre-tax income for financial reporting purposes to realize these assets.

Elements of positive evidence about the realizability of the assets included:

the remaining lives of the NOLs;

historical income when results are normalized to remove the impact of discontinued operations and to reflect the completion of the Company s relocation from New York to Norcross; and

forecasted income, utilizing the same forecast as for goodwill impairment testing, in future periods.

Elements of negative evidence about the realizability of the assets included:

historical losses and

uncertainty as to the timing and exact amount of future earnings as a result of current economic conditions, the U.S. residential real estate industry, as well as the uncertainty of the effectiveness of the steps the Company has taken, and will take, to mitigate the adverse impact on its businesses.

The Company s assessment in 2008 was different than it was in 2007, primarily due to the completion of the relocation of the Company from New York to Norcross, resulting in a significantly reduced cost structure, and almost a full year of operations of the Consumer Guides business supporting the entire PRIMEDIA cost structure, while maintaining profitability without the impact of divested entities. The Company s assessment in 2009 is consistent with the 2008 assessment.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company maintained a partial valuation allowance against its net deferred income tax assets. The Company may release additional valuation allowance in future periods when it can conclude that a greater portion of the net deferred tax assets is more likely than not to be realized. To the extent the Company reports taxable income in future periods, it intends to use its NOLs, to the extent allowable, to offset that taxable income and reduce cash outflows for income taxes. The Company s ability to use its federal and state NOLs and federal and state tax credit carryforwards may be subject to restrictions attributable to equity transactions in the future resulting from changes in ownership as defined under the Internal Revenue Code.

In accordance with GAAP related to accounting for goodwill and other intangibles, the Company no longer amortizes the indefinite-lived intangible assets but for financial reporting purposes continues to amortize these intangible assets for tax purposes. Therefore, the Company will have deferred tax liabilities that will arise each

Index to Financial Statements

quarter because the temporary differences related to the amortization of these assets for tax purposes will not reverse prior to the expiration period of the Company s deductible temporary differences unless the related assets are sold or an impairment of the assets is recorded. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded a provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes of \$2.2 million, \$2.1 million and \$(59.8) million, respectively, related to the change in the Company s net deferred tax liability for the tax effect of the net increase in the difference between the basis in the indefinite-lived intangible assets for financial reporting and tax purposes.

The following is a reconciliation of the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits:

	As of a	As of and for the Years Ended December 31.			
	2009 (E	2008 Dollars in thousan	2007 ds)		
Unrecognized tax benefit, beginning of period	\$ 83,556	\$ 92,684	\$ 102,531		
Gross increase tax positions in prior period	21	1,103			
Gross decrease tax positions in prior period	(38)	(490)	(20,618)		
Gross increase tax positions in current period		7,219	19,684		
Settlements		(837)			
Lapsing of statutes of limitations	(1,373)	(607)	(924)		
Divestitures		(15,516)	(7,989)		
Unrecognized tax benefit, end of period	\$ 82,166	\$ 83,556	\$ 92,684		

Approximately \$24.6 million of the \$82.2 million total unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2009 would, if recognized, have an impact on the effective income tax rate, while approximately \$57.6 million would not. As of December 31, 2009, the Company s recorded liability for uncertain tax positions was \$28.3 million, which includes \$3.7 million of interest and penalties.

Related to unrecognized tax benefits noted above, the Company recognized no net charges for penalties and charges for interest of \$0.7 million during 2009, and cumulatively, as of December 31, 2009, had recognized a liability of \$0.7 million for penalties and \$3.0 million for interest. Through December 31, 2008, cumulatively, the Company had recognized a liability of \$0.7 million for penalties and \$2.4 million for interest.

The Company or one of its subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and local jurisdictions, and the Company is routinely under audit by multiple tax authorities. The Company is currently under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for its 2005 and 2006 federal consolidated income tax filings and other material state taxing jurisdictions for income for filings for the tax years 2005 through 2007. The Company reported NOLs from tax years back to 1992 on federal and state tax returns currently under, or open to, examination. The Company believes that its accrual for tax liabilities is adequate for all open audit years based on its assessment of many factors, including past experience and interpretations of tax law. This assessment relies on estimates and assumptions and involves a series of complex judgments about future events.

Due to the uncertainty regarding the timing of the completion of current or subsequent anticipated income tax examinations, the Company cannot conclude it is reasonably possible that, as a result of these examinations, there will be significant changes to the unrecognized tax benefit within twelve months of December 31, 2009. However, the statutes of limitations in certain state and local jurisdictions are expected to lapse within the next twelve months and may result in a decrease of unrecognized tax benefits and accrued interest of approximately \$1.2 million.

In connection with the sale of PRIMEDIA Healthcare in 2008, the Company recorded a \$15.5 million decrease in the uncertain tax positions related to the divestiture. Of this amount, a \$0.8 million benefit was recorded in discontinued operations for the amount that impacted the effective income tax rate. The remaining decrease in the uncertain tax positions related to PRIMEDIA Healthcare of \$14.7 million did not have an impact on the effective income tax rate due to the valuation allowance recorded against the NOLs.

Index to Financial Statements

Note 12. Stockholders Equity

Reverse Stock Split

On August 1, 2007, the Company effected a one-for-six reverse split of its common stock. After the reverse stock split was effected, each PRIMEDIA stockholder received one new share of PRIMEDIA common stock in exchange for every six existing shares. For all periods presented, effect has been given to the impact of the reverse stock split on the amounts reported for shares, including warrants, stock options and restricted stock, stock prices, and earnings (loss) and dividends per share.

Warrants to Purchase Common Stock

In connection with the financing for an acquisition completed in 2001, the Company had outstanding, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, warrants permitting the holders to purchase approximately 1.6 million additional shares of the Company s common stock. The warrants expire upon the earlier of 2011 or upon a change of control, have exercise prices ranging from \$42.00 to \$54.00 per share, subject to adjustment, and may be net settled in shares of the Company s common stock. The value of these warrants included in additional paid-in capital of \$31.7 million was determined using the Black-Scholes pricing model.

Approximately 1.3 million of the warrants are held by KKR 1996 Fund L.P., an investment partnership created at the direction of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. (KKR). KKR is a related party to the Company (see Note 21).

Options to Purchase Common Stock

In 2001, the Company retained KKR Capstone (formerly known as Capstone Consulting LLC) to provide consulting services to the Company. In 2002, the Company granted 0.3 million options to purchase the Company s common stock to Capstone for services received. These options were fully vested as of the grant date, have a ten year life and an exercise price of \$10.80 per share. Related non-cash compensation of \$1.0 million, determined using the Black-Scholes pricing model, was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2002. KKR Capstone is a related party to the Company (see Note 21). These options are not included in the employees stock option activity table (see Note 13).

Stock Repurchase Plan

The Company s Board of Directors has authorized a program (the Repurchase Program) to repurchase up to \$5.0 million of the Company s common stock through December 31, 2010. Under the terms of the Repurchase Program, the Company may repurchase shares in open market purchases or through privately negotiated transactions. The Company has used cash on hand to fund repurchases of its common stock and expects to use cash on hand to fund any additional stock repurchases under the Repurchase Program. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had not repurchased any shares under the Repurchase Program. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company repurchased 0.2 million shares of its common stock for approximately \$0.4 million at a weighted-average price (including brokerage commissions) of \$1.79 per share. The reacquired shares have been designated as treasury shares. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had \$4.6 million available for further share repurchases. The Company may make additional stock repurchases in 2010 pursuant to the Repurchase Program.

Index to Financial Statements

Note 13. Employee Benefit Plans

Stock-Based Compensation

The PRIMEDIA Inc. 1992 Stock Purchase and Option Plan, as amended, (the Stock Compensation Plan) authorizes sales of shares of common stock and grants of incentive awards in various forms, including stock options, to key employees and other persons with a unique relationship with the Company. The Stock Compensation Plan has authorized grants of up to 7.5 million shares of the Company s common stock or options to management personnel. At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately 2.7 million shares of its common stock reserved for future grants in connection with the Stock Compensation Plan.

Restricted Stock

Performance Share Plan

During 2008 and 2009, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee) approved awards of performance-based restricted stock for 2008, 2009 and 2010, to be granted under the Stock Compensation Plan, to certain employees of the Company. The extent to which an award vests is based on the Company s level of performance during the year in which the grant is made. Under the terms of each grant, the restricted stock is forfeited if less than 90% of the applicable performance goal is achieved and fully vests if at least 100% of the applicable performance goal is achieved. If at least 90%, but less than 100%, of the applicable performance goal is achieved, a portion of the restricted stock vests pursuant to a predetermined formula. Restricted stock vests on the date of determination by the Compensation Committee of the extent to which the applicable performance goal is achieved, provided the grantee is employed by the Company at such time. At that time, restrictions on the vested portion of the award lapse, and the corresponding shares are distributed to the grantee. Restricted stock for 2008, targeted at 0.2 million shares, has vested or been forfeited based on the Company s level of achievement of performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2008. Such vested shares were distributed during the first quarter of 2009.

The performance targets for the 2009 awards were set during the first quarter of 2009, at which time approximately 0.3 million shares were granted. The performance targets for the 2009 awards were not met, and the performance-based restricted shares were deemed forfeited as of December 31, 2009. As a result, no expense was recorded for 2009 related to these performance-based restricted shares.

It is expected that the performance targets for the 2010 awards will be set during the first quarter of 2010, at which time approximately 0.2 million shares will be considered granted. No expense for the 2010 awards will be recorded prior to the grant date. Performance-based restricted stock is expensed based on the probability of the Company achieving the applicable performance targets.

Service Plan

During 2008 and 2009, the Compensation Committee approved awards that totaled approximately 0.4 million shares of service-based restricted stock granted under the Stock Compensation Plan to the Company s Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The restricted stock includes tandem dividend rights and will vest at 100% as long as the CEO remains employed with the Company through specified vesting dates in 2009, 2010 and 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2009, approximately 0.1 million of these shares vested and were distributed.

Index to Financial Statements

A summary of the Company s restricted stock award activity as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and changes during the years then ended is presented below:

	200	9 Weighted-	20	08 Weighted-
		Average Grant-		Average Grant-
	Number of Shares	Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Date Fair Value
Outstanding at beginning of year	415,634	\$ 5.49	19,763	\$ 18.50
Granted	433,326	1.81	429,634	5.49
Vested and distributed	(197,194)	5.49	(16,633)	18.05
Vested and surrendered(1)	(84,135)	5.48	(1,485)	20.88
Forfeited	(347,776)	2.19	(15,645)	7.32
Outstanding at end of year	219,855	3.43	415,634	5.49

(1) Shares of common stock were surrendered to the Company by certain employees to satisfy the employees tax withholding obligations upon the vesting of the restricted stock.

No restricted stock awards were granted during 2007.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$0.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately one year. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.6 million, less than \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Stock Options

Stock options are granted with exercise prices at or above quoted market value for the Company s common stock at time of issuance. Most of the options are exercisable at the rate of 20%-33%, per year, commencing on the anniversary date of the grant. Most options granted pursuant to the Stock Compensation Plan expire no later than ten years from the date the option was granted.

A summary of the Company s stock option award activity for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

		200	19			2008	3		
			Weighted-				Weighted-		
			Average	Aggregate			Average	Aggre	egate
		Weighted-	Remaining	Intrinsic		Weighted-	Remaining	Intri	nsic
		Average	Contractual	Value		Average	Contractual	Val	ue
		Exercise	Term	(dollars in		Exercise	Term	(dolla	rs in
	Options	Price	(in years)	thousands)	Options	Price	(in years)	thousa	ands)
Outstanding at beginning of year	2,406,344	\$ 37.67			2,892,865	\$ 48.65			
Granted	16,000	2.11			840,000	6.42			
Exercised				\$ 0				\$	0
Expired	(864,998)	71.93			(1,105,709)	42.67			
Forfeited	(24,001)	6.42			(220,812)	36.38			

	-	-						
Outstanding at end of year	1,533,345	18.47	3	24	2,406,344	37.67	3	0
Vested or expected to vest at end of year	1,533,345	18.47	3	24	2,385,785	37.94	3	0
Exercisable at end of year	1,143,980	19.60	3	0	1,886,790	46.27	2	0

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2007 was \$0.1 million.

Index to Financial Statements

The fair value of each option award was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes pricing model under the assumptions noted in the following table. Where certain inputs to the Black-Scholes model varied throughout the period, the ranges utilized for those assumptions are disclosed. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of the Company s common stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is derived from the historical exercise behavior of employees and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Details of stock option grants are as follows:

	Years	Years Ended December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007			
Expected volatility	109.52%-109.74%	75.15%-83.78%	61.03%			
Weighted-average volatility	109.60%	75.30%	61.03%			
Expected dividend yield	11.75%-14.32%	4.31%-11.50%				
Weighted-average dividend yield	12.71%	4.44%				
Expected term (in years)	5	4	3			
Risk-free rate	2.80%-3.09%	3.91%-4.57%	4.73%			
Weighted-average risk-free rate	2.98%	4.56%	4.73%			

The weighted-average fair value per option for options granted during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$1.22, \$2.87 and \$1.02, respectively. No cash was received from the exercise of stock options during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. No options were settled in stock during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$0.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of less than one year.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP)

Effective December 31, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved the termination of the ESPP. Subsequent to December 31, 2007, all of the ESPP s net assets were used to purchase less than 0.1 million shares of the Company s common stock, which were issued to participants. Additionally, the value of fractional shares (less applicable administrative expenses, if any) was paid directly to participants.

Stock-Based Compensation

Total recorded stock-based compensation expense was as follows:

	Year	Years Ended December		
	2009	2008	2007	
	(D	ollars in thousa	nds)	
Restricted stock	\$ 568	\$ 1,288	\$ 591	
Stock options	713	820	(105)	
Total	\$ 1,281	\$ 2,108	\$ 486	

Stock-based compensation is included within costs of goods sold, marketing and selling, distribution and circulation, and general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of operations.

Retirement Plan

Substantially all of the Company s employees are eligible to participate in a defined contribution plan after one year of employment. The expense recognized during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, which primarily relates to matching contributions by the Company, was approximately \$0.6 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Note 14. Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Income (loss) per common share for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 has been determined based on income (loss) applicable to common stockholders, divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for all years presented.

	Years Ended December 31, 2009 2008					2007		
				s, except per s	hare dat			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	4,540	\$	49,027	\$	(55,678)		
Discontinued operations, net of tax		(1,052)		10,441		547,123		
Net income	\$	3,488	\$	59,468	\$	491,445		
Shares of common stock and common stock equivalents								
Weighted-average shares used in basic computation	44,124,538 44,176,398		44,124,538 44,176,398		44,124,538 44,176,398		44	4,118,943
Dilutive effect of:								
Restricted stock		89,381		18,107				
Warrants				3,085				
Options		84						
Weighted-average shares used in diluted computation	44,214,003 44,19		44,214,003 44,197,590		44,197,590		4,118,943	
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:								
Continuing operations	\$	0.10	\$	1.11	\$	(1.26)		
Discontinued operations		(0.02)		0.24		12.40		
Net income	\$	0.08	\$	1.35	\$	11.14		

The securities that could potentially dilute basic income per share in the future consist of approximately 1.6 million warrants as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and approximately 2.1 million, 3.1 million and 3.2 million stock options and shares of restricted stock as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, potentially dilutive securities, including 2.5 million stock options and 1.6 million warrants to purchase common stock, were not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted income per common share because their strike price was greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock during the period, and their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. An additional 0.4 million shares of restricted stock were excluded from the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2009 because either the performance goals were not met at the end of the period or the calculation under the treasury stock method resulted in no additional dilutive shares.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, potentially dilutive securities, including 2.7 million stock options and 1.6 million warrants to purchase common stock, were not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted income per common share because their strike price was greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock during the period, and their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. An additional 0.4 million shares of restricted stock were excluded from the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2008 because either the performance goals were not met at the end of the period or the calculation under the treasury stock method resulted in no additional dilutive shares.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, potentially dilutive securities were not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computation of diluted income per common share because the effect of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive due to the Company s loss from continuing operations.

Index to Financial Statements

Note 15. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) was represented by unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges as follows:

	Before-Tax Amount	Tax Benefit (Dollars in thousa	Tax	Net of Amount
Year Ended December 31, 2009				
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	\$ 2,905	\$ 402	\$	3,307
Other comprehensive income	\$ 2,905	\$ 402	\$	3,307

	Before-Tax Amount (D	Tax Benefit Oollars in thous	Tax	Net of Amount
Year Ended December 31, 2008				
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	\$ (2,722)	\$	\$	(2,722)
Other comprehensive loss	\$ (2,722)	\$	\$	(2.722)

	Before-Tax Amount (1	Tax Benefit Dollars in thous	Tax	Net of x Amount
Year Ended December 31, 2007				
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges	\$ (2,112)	\$	\$	(2,112)
Other comprehensive loss	\$ (2,112)	\$	\$	(2,112)

Subsequent to the partial release of the valuation allowance against the Company s net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2008, it became appropriate to include the deferred tax consequences of changes in unrealized gains and losses on the cash flow hedges in other comprehensive income (loss). Prior to the partial release of the valuation allowance against the Company s net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2008, the net tax impact was \$0.0 million for all periods because the tax benefit was offset by an adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance.

Note 16. Provision for Restructuring Costs

Provision for Restructuring Costs

During 2007, the Company s management approved a plan to reduce its annual corporate overhead expenses to an amount appropriate to service its continuing Consumer Guides operations and relocate its corporate headquarters from New York to Norcross, Georgia, where its Consumer Guides business is located. The Company completed this plan during the second quarter of 2008. The total cost of the plan was \$6.2 million. The final employee-related termination costs of \$0.4 million were incurred and paid out in full during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

During 2008, the Company s management implemented a plan to streamline its expense structure through the elimination of certain jobs, consolidation of office space and the vacating of certain leased properties and termination of certain other contracts. Charges for costs associated with the termination of certain contracts were paid in 2008. Charges under the plan also included employee-related termination costs paid through 2009 and the Company s obligations for certain leased properties, which continue through 2015 and which have been reduced for anticipated sublease income. The total expected cost of the 2008 plan is expected to be between \$8.3 million and \$8.7 million, and through December 31, 2009, \$8.2 million has been recorded. This plan resulted in a charge of approximately \$2.8 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

As part of its distribution business, the Company has entered into contracts with various retail chains, including grocery, drug, convenience, video, fitness and mass merchandise retailers for exclusive rights for distribution related to the Company s and third-party free publications, which the Company refers to as RDAs. During 2009,

Index to Financial Statements

the Company s management implemented a plan to further reduce the Company s ongoing cost structure. The most significant component of the 2009 plan involves the reduction of ongoing distribution costs arising from RDAs that are underperforming either through:

terminating the Company s distribution rights for some or all locations covered by certain RDAs at a negotiated price; discontinuing service for and vacating some locations covered by certain RDAs; and

determining to forego distribution rights for certain locations that are not currently being serviced.

In the last two cases, the timing and amount of the Company's future cash obligations, most of which continue through 2011, are not impacted. The 2009 plan also includes further real estate consolidation, resulting in vacating certain leased office space, and the elimination of certain positions. The obligations associated with employee-related termination costs were paid through 2009, while the obligations for the termination of RDAs continue through 2011 and the obligations for the leased properties continue through 2015. This plan resulted in a charge of approximately \$22.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The total cost of the 2009 plan was originally expected to be between \$24.0 million and \$27.0 million. As a result of the Company's ongoing efforts to reduce the costs associated with RDAs, it reached an agreement to modify the terms of previously restructured RDAs with certain retailers that resulted in a reduction of restructuring expense of \$4.7 million. Consequently, the total cost of the 2009 plan is now expected to be between \$22.0 million and \$23.0 million. Details of all restructuring plans that have been implemented and the related payments during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are presented in the following tables:

	Liability as of January 1, 2009				ts During Tear Ended tember 31, 2009(1)	Dec	bility as of ember 31, 2009
Employee-related termination costs	\$ 490	\$	239	\$	(727)	\$	2
Termination or modification of existing RDA contracts			20,711		(10,901)		9,810
Termination of leases related to office closures and other	21,163		4,677		(5,295)		20,545
Total	\$ 21,653	\$	25,627	\$	(16,923)	\$	30,357

	Liability as of		ovision for ear Ended	off	eents/Write- 's During Year Ended	Lial	bility as of
	January 1, 2008	December 31, 2008 (Dollars i		December 31, 2008 ars in thousands)		December 31, 2008	
Employee-related termination costs	\$ 4,905	\$	1,817	\$	(6,232)	\$	490
Termination of leases related to office closures and other	20,441		3,421		(2,699)		21,163
Total	\$ 25,346	\$	5,238	\$	(8,931)	\$	21,653

		Payments/Write-	
	Net Provision for	offs During	
Liability as of	the Year Ended	the Year Ended	Liability as of
January 1, 2007	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2007

	(Dollars in thousands)						
Employee-related termination costs	\$9	\$	7,316	\$	(2,420)	\$	4,905
Termination of leases related to office closures and other	24,684		1,772		(6,015)		20,441
Write-off of leasehold improvements, prepaid rent and							
lease-related liabilities			1,408		(1,408)		
Total	\$ 24,693	\$	10,496	\$	(9,843)	\$	25,346

(1) Termination or modification of existing RDA contracts includes the write-off of \$5.7 million of prepaid assets, most of which were paid during 2009 prior to the implementation of the 2009 plan.

Index to Financial Statements

In addition to the plans implemented in 2009, 2008 and 2007, the amounts in termination of leases related to office closures and other include the Company s remaining liability, pertaining to various restructuring plans initiated in 2006 and prior, associated with real estate lease commitments for space that it no longer occupies. To reduce the lease-related costs, the Company has pursued subleases of its available office space. These leases have been recorded at their net present value amounts and are net of anticipated sublease income. The liability related to those plans is expected to be paid through 2015. The only remaining expenses related to those plans are the imputed interest related to the rental payments and changes in the amounts and timing of estimated cash flows, primarily anticipated sublease income. As a result of adjustments to future anticipated sublease income, the Company expensed \$0.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2009, which is included in the provision for restructuring costs in the consolidated statement of operations.

During 2008, the Company recorded a benefit of \$0.6 million, arising primarily from an adjustment to reserves related to revised estimates of future rent expense and sublease income for leased properties vacated in 2007 and prior, which is included in the provision for restructuring costs in the consolidated statement of operations.

Liabilities of \$12.7 million and \$3.5 million, representing the current portion of the provision for restructuring costs, are included in accrued expenses and other in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Liabilities of \$17.6 million and \$18.2 million, representing the non-current portion of the provision for restructuring costs, are included in other non-current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, respectively.

Interest expense related to the restructured liabilities was \$2.1 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company includes imputed interest associated with restructuring liabilities in interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

The following table details the restructuring liability by plan:

	December 3	1,
	2009 2	2008
	(Dollars in thous	ands)
2009 plan	\$ 10,690 \$	
2008 plan	2,585	3,762
2006 plan and prior plans	17,082 1	17,891

\$ 30,357 \$ 21,653

Details about the Company s recorded restructuring expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 by expense type and restructuring plan are as follows:

	2009 Plan	2008 Plan	ded December 31, 2009 2007 2006 and Plan Prior Plans Ilars in thousands)			Total	
Employee-related termination costs	\$ 239	\$	\$	\$		\$	239
Termination or modification of existing RDA contracts	20,711					20	0,711
Termination of leases related to office closures and other	1,080	2,787			810	4	4,677
Total	\$ 22,030	\$ 2,787	\$	\$	810	\$ 2:	5,627

2008	2007	2006 a	and	
Plan	Plan	Prior F	Plans	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)			
\$ 1,369	\$ 448	\$		\$ 1,817
3,990		((569)	3,421
\$ 5,359	\$ 448	\$ ((569)	\$ 5,238
	Plan \$ 1,369 3,990	Plan Plan (Dollar \$ 1,369 \$ 448 3,990	PlanPlanPrior I(Dollars in thouse)\$ 1,369\$ 4483,990	PlanPlanPrior Plans (Dollars in thousands)\$ 1,369\$ 448\$3,990(569)

Index to Financial Statements

	2007 Plan	Ended Decemb 2006 and Prior Plans Dollars in thou	Total
Employee-related termination costs	\$ 5,741	\$ 1,575	\$ 7,316
Termination of leases related to office closures and other		1,772	1,772
Write off of leasehold improvements, prepaid rent and lease-related liabilities		1,408	1,408
Total	\$ 5,741	\$ 4,755	\$ 10,496

Note 17. Severance

Effective April 25, 2008, the Company s then President and CEO tendered his resignation. In connection with his resignation, the Company agreed to make severance payments to him consistent with amounts that would have been payable to him under his severance agreement had his employment been terminated without cause. The Company accrued compensation expense of \$1.4 million in 2008 representing amounts payable to him through 2009.

As a result of the death of one of PRIMEDIA s former CEOs in October 2008, the Company was relieved of any further annuity obligation due to him. As a result, the Company reversed its \$2.6 million accrual for this obligation. The benefit is included in other (income) expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Note 18. Derivative Financial Instruments

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company s derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing and duration of the Company s known or expected cash payments related to its borrowings. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

The Company s objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments that are designated in qualifying cash flow hedging relationships is recorded in AOCI in the consolidated balance sheet and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the year ending December 31, 2009, these derivative financial instruments were used to hedge the variability in cash flows arising from changes in the benchmark interest rate on the Company s Term Loan B

Index to Financial Statements

Facility. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative financial instruments is recognized directly in earnings through interest expense. During the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded less than \$0.1 million of hedge ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to off-market terms present in two of the Company s interest rate swaps.

Amounts reported in AOCI related to derivative financial instruments in designated hedging relationships will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company s Term Loan B Facility. During the twelve months ending December 31, 2010, the Company estimates that \$2.5 million will be reclassified from AOCI into earnings as an increase to interest expense.

As of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had the following outstanding derivative financial instruments that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk:

	Notional Ar Decembe	
Interest Rate Derivatives	2009	2008
	(Dollars in th	ousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ 200,000(1)	\$ 225,000

(1) One interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$50.0 million matures on December 31, 2010; one interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$50.0 million matures on September 30, 2011; and one interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$100.0 million matures on December 31, 2011.

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Financial Instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheet

The following table presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009:

	Derivative Assets Balance Sheet		Derivative Liabilitie Balance Sheet		ies	
	Location	Fair Value (Dollars in	Location (thousands)	Fai	ir Value	
Derivative financial instruments designated in hedging relationships						
Interest rate swaps	Prepaid expenses and other	\$	Accrued expenses and other	\$	880	
Interest rate swaps	Other non-current assets		Other non-current liabilities		1,049	
Total derivative financial instruments designated in hedging relationships		\$		\$	1,929	

The following table presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008:

Derivative A	ssets	Derivative Liabilities			
Balance Sheet	Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Fair Value		

	Location	(Dollar	Location s in thousands)	
Derivative financial instruments designated in hedging relationships				
Interest rate swaps	Prepaid expenses and other	\$	Accrued expenses and other	\$ 4,253
Interest rate swaps	Other non-current assets		Other non-current liabilities	896
Total derivative financial instruments designated in hedging				
relationships		\$		\$ 5,149

Index to Financial Statements

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Financial Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Operations

The following table presents the effect of the Company s derivative financial instruments on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	Amoun	t of Gain or				Location of Gain or	Amount of Gain	n or								
Derivative Financial	(Loss) R	ecognized in	Location of	An	nount of	(Loss) Recognized in	(Loss) Recognize	ed in								
Instruments in Cash	Other Comprehensive		Gain or (Loss)	Gain	or (Loss)	Income on Derivative	Income on Deriv	ative								
	Income on Derivative		Reclassified	Rec	lassified	Financial Instruments	Financial Instru	ments								
Flow Hedging	Financial Instruments		Financial Instruments		Financial Instruments		Financial Instruments		Financial Instruments		from AOCI into	from AOCI		(Ineffective Portion and	(Ineffective Portio	on and
	(Effective Portion),		(Effective		Income	into	Income	Amount Excluded from	Amount Excluded	d from						
Relationships	Net	t of Tax	(Effective Portion)	(Effective Portion)		Effectiveness Testing)	Effectiveness Tes	sting)								
Interest rate swaps			Interest			Interest										
	\$	3,307	expense	\$	1,024	expense	\$									

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with each of its derivative financial instrument counterparties that contain a provision by which the Company could be declared in default on its derivative financial instrument obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Company s default on the indebtedness.

As of December 31, 2009, the termination value of derivative financial instruments in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$2.0 million. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company breached any of these provisions, it would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$2.0 million.

Note 19. Fair Value

The table below presents the Company s liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009:

			Fair Value Measurements Using			
Liability Description	Fair Value at December 31, 2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (Doll	Significant Other		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Derivative financial instrument liabilities	\$ 1,929	\$	\$ 1.	,929	\$	
	\$ 1,929	\$				