

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\
Form 10-Q
May 16, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-27464

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

95-4547287
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4800 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

90010
(Zip Code)

(323) 634-1700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 1,743,965 shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding as of May 9, 2011.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Earnings (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	28
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	28
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	28
Item 4. <u>Reserved</u>	28
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	28
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	28
<u>Signatures</u>	29
Ex 31.1 Section 302 Certification of CEO	
Ex 31.2 Section 302 Certification of CFO	
Ex 32 Section 906 Certifications of CEO and CFO	

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
	<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	
Assets		
Cash	\$ 8,921	\$ 8,203
Federal funds sold	18,760	13,775
Cash and cash equivalents	27,681	21,978
Securities available for sale, at fair value	9,715	10,524
Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$12,410 at March 31, 2011 and \$13,261 at December 31, 2010)	11,869	12,737
Loans receivable held-for-sale, net	16,072	29,411
Loans receivable, net of allowance of \$20,991 and \$20,458	373,622	382,616
Accrued interest receivable	2,000	2,216
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost	4,089	4,089
Office properties and equipment, net	5,008	5,094
Real estate owned (REO), net	5,123	3,036
Bank owned life insurance	2,544	2,522
Deferred tax assets	5,375	5,369
Other assets	13,636	4,338
Total assets	\$ 476,734	\$ 483,930
Liabilities and stockholders equity		
Deposits	\$ 340,923	\$ 348,445
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	87,000	87,000
Junior subordinated debentures	6,000	6,000
Other borrowings	5,000	5,000
Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	341	272
Other liabilities	4,912	4,353
Total liabilities	444,176	451,070
Stockholders Equity:		
Senior preferred, cumulative and non-voting stock, \$1,000 par value, authorized, issued and outstanding 9,000 shares of Series D at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; liquidation preference of \$9,281 at March 31, 2011 and \$9,169 at December 31, 2010	8,963	8,963
Senior preferred, cumulative and non-voting stock, \$1,000 par value, authorized, issued and outstanding 6,000 shares of Series E at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; liquidation preference of \$6,188 at March 31, 2011 and \$6,113 at December 31, 2010	5,974	5,974
Preferred, non-cumulative and non-voting stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 55,199 shares of Series A, 100,000 shares of Series B and 76,950 shares of Series C at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; liquidation preference of \$552 for Series A, \$1,000 for Series B and \$1,000 for Series C at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	2	2
Preferred stock discount	(1,284)	(1,380)
Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 8,000,000 shares at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; issued 2,013,942 shares at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010; outstanding 1,743,965 shares at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	20	20
Additional paid-in capital	14,418	14,395

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings-substantially restricted	7,662	8,074
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes of \$170 at March 31, 2011 and \$176 at December 31, 2010	254	263
Treasury stock-at cost, 269,977 shares at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	(3,451)	(3,451)
Total stockholders' equity	32,558	32,860
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 476,734	\$ 483,930

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Earnings (Loss)****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	<i>(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)</i>	
Interest and fees on loans receivable	\$ 6,335	\$ 7,427
Interest on mortgage-backed securities	169	270
Interest on investment securities	12	12
Other interest income	9	10
Total interest income	6,525	7,719
Interest on deposits	1,239	1,503
Interest on borrowings	989	795
Total interest expense	2,228	2,298
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	4,297	5,421
Provision for loan losses	1,240	574
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	3,057	4,847
Non-interest income:		
Service charges	235	263
Net losses on mortgage banking activities	(29)	0
Net losses on sales of REO	(15)	26
Other	39	33
Total non-interest income	230	322
Non-interest expense:		
Compensation and benefits	1,809	1,931
Occupancy expense, net	354	362
Information services	227	218
Professional services	168	210
Provision for losses on loans receivable held for sale	20	75
Provision for losses on REO	80	81
FDIC insurance	283	247
Office services and supplies	142	144
Other	419	263
Total non-interest expense	3,502	3,531
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	(215)	1,638
Income tax expense (benefit)	(86)	650
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (129)	\$ 988

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale	\$ (15)	\$ 89
Income tax effect	6	(36)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(9)	53
Comprehensive earnings (loss)	\$ (138)	\$ 1,041
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (129)	\$ 988
Dividends and discount accretion on preferred stock	(283)	(300)
Earnings (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (412)	\$ 688
Earnings (loss) per common share-basic	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.39
Earnings (loss) per common share-diluted	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.39
Dividends declared per share-common stock	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.01

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (129)	\$ 988
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	1,240	574
Provision for losses on loans receivable held-for-sale	20	75
Provision for losses on REO	80	81
Depreciation	104	108
Net amortization of premiums and discounts on loans purchased	0	(1)
Net amortization of deferred loan origination (fees) costs	(3)	14
Net amortization of premiums on mortgage-backed securities	36	59
Stock-based compensation expense	23	57
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	(22)	(24)
Net (gains) losses on sales of REO	15	(26)
Net change in:		
Loans receivable held-for-sale	6,509	1,544
Accrued interest receivable	216	(37)
Deferred tax assets	0	(316)
Other assets	(1,636)	1,314
Other liabilities	372	(1,055)
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,825	3,355
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Available-for-sale securities:		
Maturities, prepayments and calls	760	1,225
Held-to-maturity securities:		
Maturities, prepayments and calls	866	1,133
Net change in loans receivable	4,593	(4,090)
Proceeds from sale of REO	130	880
Investment in affordable housing limited partnership	0	(278)
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	0	(231)
Additions to office properties and equipment	(18)	(53)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	6,331	(1,414)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net change in deposits	(7,522)	7,259
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	7,000	0
Repayments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(7,000)	(3,600)
Net increase in other borrowings	0	5,000
Cash dividends paid	0	(224)
Net change in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	69	(372)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(7,453)	8,063

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	5,703	10,004
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	21,978	7,440
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 27,681	\$ 17,444

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid for interest	\$ 2,037	\$ 2,296
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 865	\$ 0

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Transfers of loans receivable to REO	\$ 1,937	\$ 1,076
Transfers of loans receivable held-for-sale to REO	\$ 266	\$ 0
Transfers of loans receivable held-for-sale to other assets	\$ 7,662	\$ 0

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****March 31, 2011****NOTE (1) Basis of Financial Statement Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include Broadway Financial Corporation (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Broadway Federal Bank, f.s.b. (the Bank). Also included in the unaudited consolidated financial statements is Broadway Service Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Bank. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions for quarterly reports on Form 10-Q. These unaudited consolidated financial statements do not include all disclosures associated with the Company's consolidated annual financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and, accordingly, should be read in conjunction with such audited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (all of which are normal and recurring in nature) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Some items in the consolidated financial statements for the prior period were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

NOTE (2) Recently Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring, updated to amend previous guidance with respect to troubled debt restructurings. This updated guidance is designed to assist creditors with determining whether or not a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In particular, additional guidance has been added to help creditors determine whether a concession has been granted and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. Both of these conditions are required to be met for a restructuring to constitute a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in the update are effective for the first interim period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. Adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE (3) Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period, increased for the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents.

The following table shows how we computed basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

	For the three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share)	
Basic		
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (129)	\$ 988
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(283)	(300)
Earnings (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (412)	\$ 688

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Weighted average common shares outstanding	1,743,965	1,743,365
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.39

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share)	
Diluted		
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (129)	\$ 988
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(283)	(300)
Earnings (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (412)	\$ 688
Weighted average common shares outstanding	1,743,965	1,743,365
Add: dilutive effects of assumed exercises of stock options	N/A	5,778
Average shares and dilutive potential common shares	1,743,965	1,749,143
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.39

Stock options for 227,075 and 211,763 shares of common stock were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 because they were anti-dilutive.

NOTE (4) Securities

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities portfolios at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the corresponding amounts of unrealized gains which are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), for available-for-sale investment securities, were as follows:

	March 31, 2011			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Available-for-sale				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 9,291	\$ 424	\$ 0	\$ 9,715
Total available-for-sale	\$ 9,291	\$ 424	\$ 0	\$ 9,715
Held-to-maturity				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 10,869	\$ 454	\$ 0	\$ 11,323
U.S. Government and federal agency	1,000	87	0	1,087
Total held-to-maturity	\$ 11,869	\$ 541	\$ 0	\$ 12,410

December 31, 2010

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (In thousands)	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Available-for-sale				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 10,085	\$ 439	\$ 0	\$ 10,524
Total available-for-sale	\$ 10,085	\$ 439	\$ 0	\$ 10,524
Held-to-maturity				
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 11,737	\$ 425	\$ 0	\$ 12,162
U.S. Government and federal agency	1,000	99	0	1,099
Total held-to-maturity	\$ 12,737	\$ 524	\$ 0	\$ 13,261

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

The amortized cost and fair value of the investment securities portfolios are shown by contractual maturity at March 31, 2011. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily residential mortgage-backed securities, are shown separately.

Maturity	Available-for-Sale		Held-to-Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Within one year	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
One to five years	0	0	1,000	1,087
Five to ten years	0	0	0	0
Beyond ten years	0	0	0	0
Residential mortgage-backed	9,291	9,715	10,869	11,323
Total	\$ 9,291	\$ 9,715	\$ 11,869	\$ 12,410

Securities pledged at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 had a carrying amount of \$11.9 million and \$12.7 million and were pledged to secure public deposits and FHLB advances. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity. There were no sales of securities during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

There were no securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. We evaluate securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost, and our intent and ability to retain our investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, we consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer's financial condition.

NOTE (5) Loans Receivable Held-for-Sale, net

Loans receivable held-for-sale, net, consisted of multi-family and commercial real estate loans originated for sale and multi-family loans transferred from our loan portfolio. Non-performing loans receivable held-for-sale included in loans receivable held-for-sale, net, totaled \$5.2 million, net of charge-offs of \$122 thousand and a \$769 thousand valuation allowance, as of March 31, 2011 and totaled \$5.1 million, net of charge-offs of \$414 thousand and a \$769 thousand valuation allowance, at December 31, 2010. Restructured loans receivable held-for-sale that have complied with the terms of their restructured agreements for a satisfactory period of time and certain performing loans receivable held-for-sale with delinquency or other weaknesses totaled \$5.0 million, net of a \$532 thousand valuation allowance, as of March 31, 2011 and totaled \$8.0 million, net of a \$512 thousand valuation allowance, as of December 31, 2010. A loan receivable held-for-sale secured by a church building, which had a carrying amount of \$266 thousand, net of charge-off of \$292 thousand, was transferred to REO during the first quarter of 2011.

There were no lower of cost or market write-downs on non-performing loans receivable held-for-sale for the first quarter of 2011, compared to \$639 thousand for the same period in 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, we increased our valuation allowance by \$20 thousand on some of our loans held for sale that are still considered performing loans.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011****NOTE (6) Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses**

Loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Loans receivable, held for sale:		
Five or more units	\$ 6,509	\$ 16,217
Commercial real estate	2,073	5,067
Church	8,791	9,408
Valuation allowance for unrealized losses	(1,301)	(1,281)
Loans receivable, held for sale, net	\$ 16,072	\$ 29,411
Loans receivable, net:		
One to four units	\$ 81,693	\$ 82,764
Five or more units	125,683	128,534
Commercial real estate	70,091	72,770
Church	96,418	97,634
Construction	4,315	5,421
Commercial:		
Sports	5,698	5,768
Other	7,178	6,410
Consumer:		
Loan on savings	2,784	3,259
Other	13	29
Total gross loans receivable	393,873	402,589
Less:		
Loans in process	119	371
Net deferred loan fees (costs)	(891)	(889)
Unamortized discounts	32	33
Allowance for loan losses	20,991	20,458
Loans receivable, net	\$ 373,622	\$ 382,616

The activity in the allowance for loan losses by segment of loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was as follows:

	For the three months ended March 31, 2011							Total
	One to four units	Five or more units	Commercial	Church	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	
	(In thousands)							
Beginning balance	\$ 4,579	\$ 2,469	\$ 3,493	\$ 6,909	\$ 74	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,634	\$ 20,458

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Provision for loan losses	(564)	153	646	523	(20)	298	204	1,240
Recoveries	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Loans charged off	(38)	0	(609)	(45)	0	0	(17)	(709)
Ending balance	\$ 3,977	\$ 2,622	\$ 3,530	\$ 7,387	\$ 54	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,823	\$ 20,991

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was as follows:

	Amount (In thousands)
Beginning balance	\$ 20,460
Provision for loan losses	574
Recoveries	0
Loans charged off	(924)
Ending balance	\$ 20,110

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

The allowance for loan losses is comprised of specific loss allowances for impaired loans and general loan loss allowances based on quantitative and qualitative analyses.

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by segment of loans and based on impairment method as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	March 31, 2011							
	One to four units	Five or more units	Commercial	Church	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Total
	(In thousands)							
Allowance for loan losses:								
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 475	\$ 69	\$ 812	\$ 3,086	\$ 0	\$ 1,236	\$ 1,778	\$ 7,456
Collectively evaluated for impairment	3,502	2,553	2,718	4,301	54	362	45	13,535
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 3,977	\$ 2,622	\$ 3,530	\$ 7,387	\$ 54	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,823	\$ 20,991
Loans:								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 9,399	\$ 1,878	\$ 12,318	\$ 30,653	\$ 320	\$ 3,986	\$ 1,778	\$ 60,332
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	72,294	123,805	57,773	65,765	3,995	8,890	1,019	333,541
Total ending loans balance	\$ 81,693	\$ 125,683	\$ 70,091	\$ 96,418	\$ 4,315	\$ 12,876	\$ 2,797	\$ 393,873

	December 31, 2010							
	One to four units	Five or more units	Commercial	Church	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Total
	(In thousands)							
Allowance for loan losses:								
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 423	\$ 69	\$ 935	\$ 2,118	\$ 0	\$ 942	\$ 1,541	\$ 6,028
Collectively evaluated for impairment	4,156	2,400	2,558	4,791	74	358	93	14,430
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 4,579	\$ 2,469	\$ 3,493	\$ 6,909	\$ 74	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,634	\$ 20,458

Loans:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 9,962	\$ 2,260	\$ 13,206	\$ 26,251	\$ 320	\$ 3,768	\$ 2,265	\$ 58,032
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	72,802	126,274	59,564	71,383	5,101	8,410	1,023	344,557
Total ending loans balance	\$ 82,764	\$ 128,534	\$ 72,770	\$ 97,634	\$ 5,421	\$ 12,178	\$ 3,288	\$ 402,589

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

Impaired loans were as follows:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Impaired loans with no allocated allowance:		
Without charge-off	\$ 22,400	\$ 20,767
With charge-off	5,050	5,424
Impaired loans with allocated allowance:		
Without charge-off	30,628	29,532
With charge-off	2,254	2,309
Total	\$ 60,332	\$ 58,032

Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated \$ 7,456 \$ 6,028

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	March 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
	(In thousands)					
With no related allowance recorded:						
One to four units	\$ 6,920	\$ 5,758	\$ 0	\$ 7,953	\$ 5,991	\$ 0
Five or more units	205	205	0	600	586	0
Commercial real estate	7,734	7,320	0	8,409	8,133	0
Church	14,367	13,847	0	11,782	11,161	0
Construction	320	320	0	320	320	0
With an allowance recorded:						
One to four units	3,808	3,641	475	4,129	3,971	423
Five or more units	1,673	1,673	69	1,674	1,674	69
Commercial real estate	5,193	4,998	812	5,072	5,073	935
Church	16,943	16,806	3,086	15,183	15,090	2,118
Commercial:						
Sports	4,000	3,698	948	4,000	3,768	942
Other	288	288	288	0	0	0
Consumer:						
Loan on savings	1,778	1,778	1,778	2,249	2,249	1,525
Other	0	0	0	16	16	16
Total	\$ 63,229	\$ 60,332	\$ 7,456	\$ 61,387	\$ 58,032	\$ 6,028

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

The following table presents the average recorded investment, interest income recognized and cash basis interest income related to our impaired loans by segment and class for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

	For the three months ended March 31, 2011		
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized (In thousands)	Cash Basis Interest Income
With no related allowance recorded:			
One to four units	\$ 5,762	\$ 16	\$ 15
Five or more units	194	0	0
Commercial real estate	7,620	22	20
Church	11,857	59	50
Construction	320	0	0
With an allowance recorded:			
One to four units	3,603	52	50
Five or more units	1,674	0	0
Commercial real estate	4,538	34	32
Church	16,097	181	181
Commercial:			
Sports	3,751	0	0
Other	218	0	0
Consumer:			
Loan on savings	2,131	0	0
Total	\$ 57,765	\$ 364	\$ 348

	For the three months ended March 31, 2010 (In thousands)	
Average recorded investment in impaired loans	\$	47,722
Interest income recognized		325
Cash basis interest income		259

Interest income recognized during impairment represents interest income earned on accruing impaired loans. Cash-basis interest income recognized represents cash received for interest payments on accruing impaired loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class of loans:

March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
(In thousands)	

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

Loans receivable, held for sale:		
Five or more units	\$ 764	\$ 385
Church	5,218	5,533
Loans receivable, net:		
One to four-units	5,681	6,227
Five or more units	1,878	1,865
Commercial real estate	9,444	10,321
Church	20,709	12,748
Construction	320	320
Commercial:		
Sports	3,698	3,768
Other	288	0
Consumer:		
Loan on Savings	1,778	2,249
Other	0	16
Total nonaccrual loans	\$ 49,778	\$ 43,432

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

There were no loans 90 days or more delinquent that were accruing interest as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans, including loans held for sale, as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by class of loans:

	March 31, 2011				
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due (In thousands)	Total Past Due	Total Loans Not Past Due
One to four units	\$ 2,706	\$ 267	\$ 5,681	\$ 8,654	\$ 73,039
Five or more units	597	2,164	2,642	5,403	126,789
Commercial real estate	1,946	1,128	9,444	12,518	59,646
Church	4,983	387	25,927	31,297	73,912
Construction	0	782	320	1,102	3,213
Commercial:					
Sports	0	0	3,698	3,698	2,000
Other	0	569	288	857	6,321
Consumer:					
Loan on savings	0	992	1,778	2,770	14
Other	0	0	0	0	13
Total	\$ 10,232	\$ 6,289	\$ 49,778	\$ 66,299	\$ 344,947

	December 31, 2010				
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due (In thousands)	Total Past Due	Total Loans Not Past Due
One to four units	\$ 2,716	\$ 71	\$ 6,227	\$ 9,014	\$ 73,750
Five or more units	2,014	1,068	2,250	5,332	139,419
Commercial real estate	769	1,287	10,321	12,377	65,460
Church	12,914	5,230	18,281	36,425	70,617
Construction	898	0	320	1,218	4,203
Commercial:					
Sports	0	0	3,768	3,768	2,000
Other	325	0	0	325	6,085
Consumer:					
Loan on savings	0	0	2,249	2,249	1,010
Other	0	0	16	16	13
Total	\$ 19,636	\$ 7,656	\$ 43,432	\$ 70,724	\$ 362,557

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2011, loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDR) totaled \$36.7 million, of which \$18.3 million were included in nonaccrual loans and \$18.4 million were on accrual status as the loans have complied with the terms of their restructured agreements for a satisfactory period of time. At December 31, 2010, loans classified as a TDR totaled \$37.1 million, of which \$14.6 million were included in nonaccrual loans and \$22.5 million were on accrual status as the loans have complied with the terms of their restructured agreements for a satisfactory period of time. The Company has specific allowances of \$1.0 million and \$1.6 million allocated to performing TDRs as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we did not have any outstanding commitments to extend additional funds to these borrowers.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed at least on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution's credit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loss. Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that to continue to carry the loan as an active asset is no longer warranted.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. Based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

	As of March 31, 2011				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss
	(In thousands)				
One to four units	\$ 71,765	\$ 1,885	\$ 7,959	\$ 0	\$ 0
Five or more units	117,342	4,305	4,036	0	0
Commercial real estate	43,580	3,982	22,310	0	0
Church	40,920	12,175	42,884	270	0
Construction	3,995	0	320	0	0
Commercial:					
Sports	0	2,000	3,698	0	0
Other	875	5,706	597	0	0
Consumer:					
Loan on savings	1,006	0	0	0	1,778
Other	13	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 279,496	\$ 30,053	\$ 81,804	\$ 270	\$ 1,778

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

	As of December 31, 2010				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss
	(In thousands)				
One to four units	\$ 71,846	\$ 2,440	\$ 8,478	\$ 0	\$ 0
Five or more units	118,490	6,412	3,632	0	0
Commercial real estate	46,692	5,281	20,797	0	0
Church	42,931	14,229	40,204	270	0
Construction	4,203	320	898	0	0
Commercial:					
Sports	0	2,000	3,768	0	0
Other	925	4,870	615	0	0
Consumer:					
Loan on savings	1,010	0	2,249	0	0
Other	13	0	0	0	16
Total	\$ 286,110	\$ 35,552	\$ 80,641	\$ 270	\$ 16

For additional information regarding our allowance for loan losses, see **Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses** in Item 2, **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**.

NOTE (7) Junior Subordinated Debentures, Other Borrowings and Management's Capital Plan

On March 17, 2004, the Company issued \$6.0 million of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures in a private placement. The debentures mature in 10 years and interest is payable quarterly at a rate per annum equal to the 3-month LIBOR plus 2.54%. The interest rate is determined as of each March 17, June 17, September 17, and December 17, and was 2.84% at year-end 2010. The Company has stopped paying interest on the debentures and the senior line of credit discussed below in September 2010. As disclosed previously, the Company is not permitted to make payments on any debts without prior notice to and receipt of written notice of non-objection from the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) Regional Director. In addition, under the terms of the subordinated debentures, the Company is not allowed to make payments on the subordinated debentures if the Company is in default on any of its senior indebtedness, which term includes the senior line of credit described below.

On February 28, 2010, the Company borrowed an aggregate of \$5.0 million under its \$5.0 million line of credit with another financial institution, and invested all of the proceeds in the equity capital of the Bank. The interest rate on the line of credit adjusts annually, subject to a minimum of 6.00% and increases by an additional 5% in the event of default. Borrowings under this line of credit are secured by the Company's assets. The full amount of this borrowing became due and payable on July 31, 2010. This senior line of credit has not been repaid and the Company is now in default under the line of credit agreement. On April 7, 2011, the lender agreed to forbear from exercising its rights (other than increasing the interest rate by the default rate margin) pursuant to the line of credit agreement until January 1, 2012 subject to the following conditions:

The Company shall make a forbearance payment in the amount of \$25,000 to the lender no later than July 31, 2011 provided that the Company is able to obtain the necessary approval to make such payment from the OTS or its successors, and in the event the Company is unable to obtain such approval by said date, the Company shall make such payment as soon as permitted thereafter.

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

The Company shall use its best efforts to continue to attempt to raise a minimum of \$5.0 million in private placements under the Company's Recapitalization Plan as discussed below.

The Bank shall not experience anything that would constitute an Event of Default, or be placed into receivership by the FDIC.

Table of Contents

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

March 31, 2011

The Company is pursuing a comprehensive Recapitalization Plan to improve the Company's capital structure. To date, the Company has obtained the consent of the U.S. Treasury to exchange the Company's Series D and E Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for common stock at a discount of 50% of the liquidation amount, plus an undiscounted exchange of the accumulated but unpaid dividends on such preferred stock for common stock. In addition, the Company is in negotiations with the holders of Series A and Series B Perpetual Preferred Stock and Series C Noncumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock to exchange their holdings for common stock at a discount of 50% of the liquidation amount. The Company is also in negotiations with the lender to exchange a portion of the Company's senior line of credit, which is currently in default, for common stock at 100% of the face amount to be exchanged and to forgive the accrued interest on the entire amount of the line of credit to the date of the exchange.

The Company plans to concurrently complete private placements or other sales of the Company's common stock aggregating \$5 million or more in gross proceeds. The Company anticipates that these transactions will result in the issuance of approximately 7.5 million new shares of the Company's common stock, which would constitute approximately 80% of the pro forma outstanding shares of the Company's common stock.

NOTE (8) Fair Value

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair value of each type of financial instrument:

The fair values of securities available-for-sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The fair value of non-performing loans receivable held-for-sale is generally based upon the fair value of the collateral which is obtained from recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Impaired loans, other than performing TDRs, are generally collateral dependent and, as such, are carried at the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. Fair values are estimated through current appraisals, broker opinions or automated valuation models and adjusted as necessary, by management, to reflect current market conditions and, as such, are classified as Level 3.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as real estate owned (REO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2011 Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		
		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	(In thousands)
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale - residential mortgage-backed	\$ 9,715	\$ 0	\$ 9,715	\$ 0

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		
		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	(In thousands)
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale - residential mortgage-backed	\$ 10,524	\$ 0	\$ 10,524	\$ 0

Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

The following table provides information regarding the carrying values of our assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the dates indicated. The fair value measurement for all of these assets falls within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Carrying Value at
March 31, 2011 December 31, 2010

(In thousands)

Assets:		
Non-performing loans receivable held-for-sale, net:		
Five or more units	\$ 745	\$ 366
Church	4,468	4,783
Impaired loans carried at fair value of collateral:		
One to four units	3,168	3,775
Five or more units	1,605	1,606
Commercial real estate	3,013	2,542
Church	9,245	5,591
Commercial	2,773	2,826
Consumer		749
Real estate owned:		
One to four units	1,635	1,086
Five or more units	253	260
Commercial real estate	1,295	568
Church	1,940	1,122

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

The following table provides information regarding our assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at March 31, 2011, and the losses recognized on these assets for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

	Principal Amount at March 31, 2011	Valuation Allowance at March 31, 2011 (In thousands)	Losses for the three months ended March 31, 2011
Non-performing loans receivable held-for-sale, net (1)	\$ 5,982	\$ 769	\$ 0
Impaired loans carried at fair value of collateral (2)	26,219	6,415	2,112
Real estate owned (3)	5,175	52	80
Total	\$ 37,763	\$ 7,236	\$ 2,192

- (1) Losses are charged to provision for losses on loans receivable held-for-sale.
- (2) Losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses. Includes \$5.0 million of loans that were carried at cost as the fair value of the collateral on these loans exceeded the book value as a result of charge-offs.
- (3) Losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses in the case of a write-down upon the transfer of a loan to REO. Losses subsequent to the transfer of a loan to REO are charged to provision for losses on REO.

The following table provides information regarding our assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at December 31, 2010, and the losses recognized on these assets for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	Principal Amount at December 31, 2010	Valuation Allowance at December 31, 2010 (In thousands)	Losses for the year ended December 31, 2010
Non-performing loans receivable held-for-sale, net (1)	\$ 5,918	\$ 769	\$ 902
Impaired loans carried at fair value of collateral (2)	21,509	4,420	4,829
Real estate owned (3)	3,090	54	1,102
Total	\$ 30,517	\$ 5,243	\$ 6,833

- (1) Losses are charged to provision for losses on loans receivable held-for-sale.
- (2) Losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses. Includes \$5.4 million of loans that were carried at cost as the fair value of the collateral on these loans exceeded the book value as a result of charge-offs.

Edgar Filing: BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORP \DE\ - Form 10-Q

- (3) Losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses in the case of a write-down upon the transfer of a loan to REO. Losses subsequent to the transfer of a loan to REO are charged to provision for losses on REO.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
(In thousands)				
Financial Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,681	\$ 27,681	\$ 21,978	\$ 21,978
Securities available-for-sale	9,715	9,715	10,524	10,524
Securities held-to-maturity	11,869	12,410	12,737	13,261
Loans receivable held for sale, net	16,072	16,072	29,411	29,411
Loans receivable, net	373,622	374,846	382,616	384,274
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	4,089	N/A	4,089	N/A
Accrued interest receivable	2,000	2,000	2,216	2,216

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011****Financial Liabilities:**

Deposits	\$ (340,923)	\$ (338,535)	\$ (348,445)	\$ (347,373)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(87,000)	(90,988)	(87,000)	(91,615)
Junior subordinated debentures	(6,000)	(4,704)	(6,000)	(4,609)
Other borrowings	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(4,979)
Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	(341)	(341)	(272)	(272)
Accrued interest payable	(741)	(741)	(550)	(550)

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short term debt, advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance, and variable rate loans, deposits and borrowings that reprice frequently and fully. The methods for determining the fair values for securities were described previously. For fixed rate loans and deposits and for variable rate loans and deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk without consideration of widening credit spreads due to market illiquidity. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair values of off-balance-sheet items are not considered material (or are based on the current fees or cost that would be charged to enter into or terminate such arrangements) and, as such, they are not presented herein.

NOTE (9) Stock-based Compensation

In 2008, we adopted the 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2008 LTIP), which is shareholder approved. The 2008 LTIP replaced the Company's 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan (1996 LTIP) and 1996 Stock Option Plan (Stock Option Plan), which have expired and are no longer effective except as to outstanding awards. The 2008 LTIP permits the grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, full value awards and cash incentive awards to the Company's non-employee directors and certain officers and employees for up to 351,718 shares of common stock. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant; those option awards have vesting periods ranging from immediate vesting to 5 years and have 10-year contractual terms. The Company has a policy of using shares held as treasury stock to satisfy share option exercises. Currently, the Company has a sufficient number of treasury shares to satisfy expected share option exercises.

There were no options granted during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The Company recorded \$23 thousand of stock-based compensation expense, net of tax, during the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$34 thousand for the first quarter of 2010.

NOTE (10) Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there now are any such matters that will have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

On September 18, 2008, a lawsuit was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County against the Bank by Daniel D. Holliday III, Attorney at Law, LLC (Holliday). This legal action arises from a dispute over the priority of the Bank's lien against a \$2.6 million deposit account balance in the Bank securing a land development loan. The lawsuit seeks damages of \$2.6 million, plus interest, costs and attorneys fees according to proof. The plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief to prevent the Bank from asserting a senior security interest on the deposit account and to prevent the Bank from applying the funds in the deposit account to satisfy the amount owing on the loan.

Table of Contents

BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

March 31, 2011

On April 17, 2009, the Bank filed a cross-complaint against Holliday (as an individual), Bachmann Springs Holdings, LLC (the developer), Thomas T. Bachmann (the principal of the developer), Robert Estareja (an agent of Bachmann Springs Holdings), Alan Roberson (the loan broker), Canyon Acquisitions, LLC (Canyon) (the broker who located the investors for the real estate project at issue and the entity funding Holliday's fees and costs), and Brent Borland (Canyon's principal), alleging causes of action for declaratory relief, money due on default on promissory note, judicial foreclosure on personal property, money lent, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, implied equitable indemnity, rescission based on fraud, and equitable subordination. The basis of the cross-complaint is that, among other things the cross-defendants conspired with each other to fraudulently induce the Bank to make the loan at issue. See Part II, Item 1, Legal Proceedings for further description.

On April 27, 2011, the Bank and Holliday/Canyon agreed to resolve the matter on the following terms: (1) Broadway shall pay the sum of \$2,350,000 to Holliday/Canyon; (2) Holliday and Canyon shall dismiss their complaint and cross-complaint with prejudice as to Broadway; (3) Broadway shall dismiss its cross-complaint with prejudice as to Holliday and Canyon; and (4) the parties shall execute mutual, general releases. The parties are currently drafting a written settlement agreement. In the meantime, the court has vacated the trial date.

With respect to the foregoing matters, management increased the specific allowance allocated to this loan by \$277 thousand during the first quarter of 2011 and has fully reserved for this loan as of March 31, 2011.

NOTE (11) Regulatory Matters

The Bank is subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by the OTS, which involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the OTS. Failure to meet capital requirements can result in regulatory action.

Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If only adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept brokered deposits. If undercapitalized, capital distributions are limited, as is asset growth and expansion, and capital restoration plans are required.

The Company and the Bank have consented to the issuance to them of cease and desist orders by the OTS effective September 09, 2010 requiring, among other things, that the Company and the Bank take remedial actions to improve the Bank's loan underwriting and internal asset review procedures, to reduce the amount of its non-performing assets and to improve other aspects of the Bank's business, as well as the Company's management of its business and the oversight of the Company's business by the Board. The cease and desist orders require the Bank to attain, and thereafter maintain, a Tier 1 (Core) Capital to Adjusted Total Assets ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk-Based Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets ratio of at least 12%, both of which ratios are greater than the respective 6% and 10% levels for such ratios that are generally required under OTS regulations.

We have met the minimums required to be well capitalized at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 based on the prompt corrective action regulations, however we cannot be considered well capitalized due to our current regulatory agreement.

Table of Contents**BROADWAY FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)****March 31, 2011**

Actual and normally required capital amounts and ratios at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, together with the higher capital requirements that the OTS required the Bank to meet, are presented below.

	Actual		Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations		Capital Requirements under Cease and Desist Order	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratios	Amount	Ratios
(Dollars in thousands)								
March 31, 2011:								
Tangible Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,784	8.99%	\$ 7,136	1.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tier 1(Core) Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,784	8.99%	\$ 19,029	4.00%	\$ 23,786	5.00%	\$ 38,057	8.00%
Tier 1(Core) Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 42,784	12.12%	N/A	N/A	\$ 21,182	6.00%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 47,318	13.40%	\$ 28,242	8.00%	\$ 35,303	10.00%	\$ 42,363	12.00%
December 31, 2010:								
Tangible Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,630	8.82%	\$ 7,252	1.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tier 1(Core) Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,630	8.82%	\$ 19,338	4.00%	\$ 24,172	5.00%	\$ 38,676	8.00%
Tier 1(Core) Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 42,630	11.76%	N/A	N/A	\$ 21,754	6.00%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 47,299	13.05%	\$ 29,006	8.00%	\$ 36,257	10.00%	\$ 43,508	12.00%

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of our management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and certain other factors that may affect our future results. Our MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included in Part I Item 1, Financial Statements, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Overview

During the first quarter of 2011, the national economy continued to show signs of improvement as evidenced by, among other things, a decrease in the unemployment rate from December 2010 and moderate job growth. However, softness in the housing and real estate markets persist and unemployment levels remain elevated with a national unemployment rate of 8.8% and a California unemployment rate of 12.0% for March 2011. The passage of the Dodd-Frank Act in July 2010 is intended to address perceived weaknesses in the U.S. financial regulatory system and prevent future economic and financial crises. Certain aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act will have an impact on us including the combination of our primary regulator, the OTS, with Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the imposition of consolidated holding company capital requirements, and the changes to deposit insurance assessments.

Total assets decreased during the first quarter of 2011 primarily due to a decrease in our loan portfolio. The decrease in our loan portfolio was due to decreases in our one-to-four family, multi-family, commercial real estate, and church loan portfolios as repayments and sales outpaced our origination volume. Total deposits decreased during the first quarter of 2011 primarily due to decreases in certificates of deposit and brokered deposits. During the first quarter of 2011, we continued to allow maturing brokered deposits, including deposits obtained through the CDARS reciprocal referral system, to run off as total assets declined.

Our net loss for the first quarter of 2011 was \$129 thousand, or (\$0.24) per diluted share, compared to net earnings of \$988 thousand, or \$0.39 per diluted share, for the same period a year ago, representing a decrease in net earnings of \$1.1 million. The annualized return on average assets was (0.11)% for the first quarter of 2011, compared to 0.76% for the first quarter of 2010. The annualized return on average equity was (1.55)% for the first quarter of 2011, compared to 12.34% for the same period in 2010. Our efficiency ratio was 75.15% for the first quarter of 2011, compared to 58.77% for the same period in 2010.

Results of Operations*Net Earnings*

Net loss for the first quarter of 2011 was (\$129) thousand, or (\$0.24) per diluted common share, compared to net earnings of \$988 thousand, or \$0.39 per diluted common share, for the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in net earnings reflected lower net interest income before provision for loan losses, higher provision for loan losses, and lower non-interest income.

Net Interest Income

For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, our net interest income before provision for loan losses was \$4.3 million, which represented a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 21%, from the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in net interest income was primarily attributable to a decrease in average interest-earning assets, combined with a decrease in net interest margin. The decline in our net interest margin was due to lower yields on our interest-earning assets, primarily resulting from elevated levels of non-performing assets and higher average balances in lower yielding liquid assets, and higher cost of borrowings on our senior line of credit.

Average interest-earning assets for the first quarter of 2011 decreased to \$479.8 million, down \$39.6 million from the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in average interest-earning assets resulted in a \$793 thousand reduction in interest

Table of Contents

income. Additionally, the annualized yield on our average interest-earning assets decreased 50 basis points to 5.44% for the first quarter of 2011, compared to the annualized yield of 5.94% for the same period a year ago. The 50 basis point decline in the annualized yield on our average interest-earning assets resulted in a \$401 thousand reduction in interest income. Continued higher levels of non-performing assets, primarily non-performing loans, and higher average balances invested in lower yielding liquid assets contributed to the decline in the annualized yield on our interest-earning assets. Non-performing loans remain elevated as we work through the extended foreclosure process.

Average interest-bearing liabilities for the first quarter of 2011 decreased to \$444.1 million, down \$41.6 million from the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities resulted in a \$129 thousand reduction in interest expense. The annualized cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities increased 12 basis points to 2.01% for the first quarter of 2011 from the annualized cost of 1.89% for the same period a year ago. The 12 basis point increase in the annualized cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities resulted in a \$59 thousand increase in interest expense and was primarily due to a 74 basis point increase in the annualized cost of borrowings partially offset by an 11 basis point decrease in the annualized cost of deposits. The increase in the annualized cost of borrowings primarily reflected a higher interest rate on our senior line of credit. Under the terms of our Order to Cease and Desist, we are precluded from servicing our outstanding debt. As a result, the interest rate on our senior line of credit increased by an additional 5% for the quarter. See Liquidity for further information on the senior line of credit.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

We record a provision for loan losses as a charge to earnings when necessary in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient, in management's judgment, to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio. At least quarterly, we conduct an assessment of the overall quality of the loan portfolio and general economic trends in the local market. The determination of the appropriate level for the allowance is based on that review, considering such factors as historical loss experience for each type of loan, the size and composition of our loan portfolio, the levels and composition of our loan delinquencies, non-performing loans and net loan charge-offs, the value of underlying collateral on problem loans, regulatory policies, general economic conditions, and other factors related to the collectability of loans in the portfolio.

The provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$1.2 million compared to \$574 thousand for the same period a year ago. The \$666 thousand increase in the provision for loan losses from the first quarter of 2010 to the first quarter of 2011 was primarily due to higher levels of loan delinquencies, non-performing loans and classified loans, which were partially offset by the \$60.6 million decline in our loan portfolio over the same period. The provision recorded in the first quarter of 2011 reflects an additional specific loss allocation resulting from the settlement of litigation concerning a consumer loan secured by a deposit account that is described in Item 1 Legal Proceedings in Part II of this Report. We believe that settling this litigation, which was initiated in 2008, was the best course of action for our shareholders because the settlement eliminates any uncertainty regarding the matter and avoids any further drain on our management's time and resources.

Net loan charge-offs during the first quarter of 2011 were \$707 thousand, or 0.66% of average loans on an annualized basis, compared to \$924 thousand, or 0.78% during the first quarter of 2010. Commercial real estate loans, church loans and one-to-four family residential real estate loans represented 86%, 6% and 5%, respectively, of charge-offs during the first quarter of 2011. Of the \$709 thousand of loan charge-offs during the first quarter of 2011, \$652 thousand were specifically reserved for at year-end 2010 and \$57 thousand were specifically reserved for in the first quarter of 2011.

At March 31, 2011 our allowance for loan losses was \$21.0 million, or 5.32% of our gross loans, compared to \$20.5 million, or 5.08% of our gross loans, at year-end 2010. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to NPLs decreased to 47.93% at March 31, 2011, compared to 54.53% at year-end 2010, primarily due to the increase in NPLs during the quarter. Our total allowances for losses after considering reserves for loans held for sale and REO were over \$22.3 million at March 31, 2011.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2011, but there can be no assurance that actual losses will not exceed the estimated amounts. In addition, the OTS and the FDIC periodically review the allowance for loan losses as an integral part of their

Table of Contents

examination process. These agencies may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments of the information available to them at the time of their examinations.

Non-interest Income

Non-interest income for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$230 thousand compared to \$322 thousand for the first quarter of 2010. The decrease from the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to higher losses on sales of REO and a fair value adjustment to the mortgage servicing rights asset in the first quarter of 2011.

Non-interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$3.5 million, unchanged from the first quarter of 2010, as increases in FDIC insurance expense, REO expenses and appraisal expenses related to delinquent loans were offset by decreases in compensation and benefits expense and professional services expense. During the first quarter of 2011 non-interest expense was also impacted by expenses related to the previously announced Recapitalization that we are pursuing.

Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate was 40.00% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to 39.68% for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Income taxes for interim periods are computed by applying the projected annual effective income tax rate for the year to the year-to-date earnings plus discrete items (items incurred in the quarter). The projected effective tax rate incorporates certain non-taxable federal and state income items and expected increases to the valuation allowance for projected deferred tax assets. The Company's increase in its effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011, versus the three months ended March 31, 2010, includes the impact of the projected increase in the valuation allowance for certain state deferred tax assets on the pretax loss for the quarter.

Financial Condition

Total Assets

Total assets were \$476.7 million at March 31, 2011, which represented a decrease of \$7.2 million, or 1%, from December 31, 2010. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, net loans decreased by \$9.0 million, loans held for sale decreased by \$13.3 million and securities decreased by \$1.7 million while cash and cash equivalents increased by \$5.7 million, REO increased by \$2.1 million and other assets increased by \$9.3 million. Net loans decreased as loan repayments exceeded loan originations during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in loans held for sale during the first quarter of 2011 was primarily due to loan sales of \$10.8 million, which were sold at par, and loan payoffs of \$3.3 million. Other assets increased primarily due to a receivable from loan sale and higher income taxes receivable.

Loan Portfolio

Our gross loan portfolio decreased by \$8.7 million to \$393.9 million at March 31, 2011 from \$402.6 million at December 31, 2010. The \$8.7 million decrease in our loan portfolio primarily consisted of a \$2.8 million decrease in our multi-family residential real estate loan portfolio, a \$2.7 million decrease in our commercial real estate loan portfolio, a \$1.2 million decrease in church loan portfolio, a \$1.1 million decrease in our one-to-four family residential real estate loan portfolio and a \$1.1 million decrease in our construction loan portfolio.

Loan originations for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$1.6 million compared to \$10.9 million for the first quarter of 2010. Loan repayments, including loan sales, for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$20.7 million compared to \$9.0 million for the comparable quarter in 2010. Loans transferred to REO during the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$2.2 million, compared to \$1.1 million during the first quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents*Deposits*

Deposits totaled \$340.9 million at March 31, 2011, down \$7.5 million, or 2%, from year-end 2010. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, core deposits (NOW, demand, money market and passbook accounts) increased by \$1.4 million and represented 33% of total deposits at March 31, 2011 compared to 32% of total deposits at December 31, 2010. Our certificates of deposit (CDs) decreased by \$8.9 million during the first quarter of 2011 and represented 67% of total deposits at March 31, 2011 compared to 68% of total deposits at December 31, 2010. The \$8.9 million decrease in our CDs included a \$5.7 million reduction in our brokered deposits. Over the past year, our funding strategy has included a plan to substantially eliminate brokered deposits, including deposits under the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service. To date, we have successfully reduced our brokered deposits to a level representing only 4% of total deposits at March 31, 2011, compared to 5% at December 31, 2010 and 24% at March 31, 2010.

Borrowings

Since the end of 2010, FHLB borrowings remained unchanged at \$87.0 million, subordinated debentures remained unchanged at \$6.0 million and other borrowings remained unchanged at \$5.0 million. See Liquidity and Capital Resources for further information on other borrowings.

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets, comprised of NPLs and REO, were \$54.9 million, or 11.52% of total assets, at March 31, 2011, compared to \$46.5 million, or 9.60% of total assets, at December 31, 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, NPLs, including non-performing loans held for sale, increased by \$6.3 million to \$49.8 million from the balance at the end of 2010. These loans consist of delinquent loans that are 90 days or more past due and certain loans and TDRs that do not qualify for accrual status. Despite the increase, our total classified loans, which include NPLs, decreased in number and dollar amount during the first quarter of 2011.

The NPLs included 29 church loans totaling \$25.9 million, 13 commercial real estate loans totaling \$9.5 million, 15 one-to-four family residential real estate loans totaling \$5.7 million, five multi-family residential real estate loans totaling \$2.6 million, three commercial loans totaling \$4.0 million, one consumer loan totaling \$1.8 million, and one land loan of \$0.3 million. In addition to the NPLs discussed above, there were \$18.4 million and \$22.5 million of accruing TDRs at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These TDRs are on accrual status as the loans have complied with the terms of their restructured agreements for a period of six months or longer.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, REO increased by \$2.1 million to \$5.1 million from the end of 2010. At March 31, 2011 the Bank's REO consisted of five one-to-four family residential properties, three multi-family residential properties and eight commercial real estate properties, five of which are church buildings. We sold one REO property during the first quarter and recorded a loss of \$15 thousand. During the second quarter, we have been successful in our efforts to continue reducing non-performing assets as we have completed the sale of five more REO properties in the second quarter.

Performance Ratios

The annualized return on average assets was (0.11)% for the first quarter of 2011, compared to 0.76% for the first quarter of 2010. The annualized return on average equity was (1.55)% for the first quarter of 2011, compared to 12.34% for the same period in 2010. The decrease in our annualized returns on average equity and average assets between the first quarters was primarily due to lower profitability in the first quarter of 2011 as a result of higher provision for loan losses, lower net interest income and lower non-interest income.

The efficiency ratio for the first quarter of 2011 was 75.15%, compared to 58.77% for the same period of 2010. The deterioration in our efficiency ratio in the first quarter of 2011 was primarily due to lower net interest income and lower non-interest income.

Table of Contents

Liquidity

The Bank's primary source of funds is cash provided by principal and interest payments on loans and securities. In addition to cash provided by principal and interest payments on loans and securities, other sources of funds include cash provided by operating activities, deposits and borrowings.

Sources of funds for the Company on a stand-alone basis include distributions from the Bank and the issuance of equity and debt securities and other borrowings. Dividends and other capital distributions from the Bank are subject to general regulatory restrictions. The Company's primary uses of funds include payment of dividends, payment of interest on its debt obligations and repurchases of common stock. However, as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, the OTS has placed limitations on the Company pursuant to which, among other limitations, the Company may not declare or pay dividends, incur, issue, renew, repurchase, make payments on or increase any debt or redeem any capital stock without prior notice to and receipt of written notice of non-objection from the OTS Regional Director.

Net cash inflows from operating activities totaled \$6.8 million and \$3.4 million during the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Net cash inflows from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were primarily attributable to payments of interest on loans and proceeds from sales of loans held for sale during 2011.

Net cash inflows (outflows) from investing activities totaled \$6.3 million and (\$1.4) million during the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Net cash inflows from investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were attributable primarily to principal repayments on loans and residential mortgage-backed securities.

Net cash inflows (outflows) from financing activities totaled (\$7.5) million and \$8.1 million during the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Net cash outflows from financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 were attributable primarily to the net decrease in deposits.

On February 28, 2010, we borrowed an aggregate of \$5.0 million under our \$5.0 million line of credit with another financial institution and invested all of the proceeds in the equity capital of the Bank. Borrowings under the line of credit are secured by the Company's assets. The full amount of this borrowing became due and payable on July 31, 2010. This senior line of credit has not been repaid and we are now in default under the line of credit agreement. We do not have sufficient cash available to repay the borrowing at this time and would require approval of the OTS to make any payment on this senior line of credit or to obtain a dividend from the Bank for such purpose. On April 7, 2011, the Lender agreed to forbear from exercising its rights (other than increasing the interest rate by the default rate margin) until January 1, 2012 subject to certain conditions described in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Capital Resources

On November 14, 2008, the Company issued 9,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D, having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share, together with a ten-year warrant to purchase 183,175 shares of Company common stock at \$7.37 per share, to the U.S. Treasury for gross proceeds of \$9.0 million. The sale of the Senior Preferred Stock was made pursuant to the U.S. Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program.

On December 8, 2009, the Company issued 6,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series E, having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share, to the U.S. Treasury for gross proceeds of \$6.0 million. The sale of the Senior Preferred Stock was made pursuant to the U.S. Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program.

Table of Contents

We are pursuing our comprehensive Recapitalization Plan. To date, we have obtained, subject to documentation and certain terms and conditions:

The consent of the U.S. Treasury to exchange our Series D and E Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for common stock at a discount of 50% of the liquidation amount, plus an undiscounted exchange of the accumulated but unpaid dividends on such preferred stock for common stock;

An agreement in principle with the holders of both the Series A and Series B Perpetual Preferred Stock to exchange their holdings for common stock at a discount of 50% of the liquidation amount;

An agreement in principle with our senior bank lender to exchange a portion of our senior line of credit, which is currently in default, for common stock at 100% of the face amount to be exchanged and to forgive the accrued interest on the line of credit to the date of the exchange.

The conditions to each of the above exchanges include requirements that the holder of our outstanding Series C Noncumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock concurrently exchange such preferred stock for our common stock on similar terms and that we concurrently complete private placements or other sales of our common stock aggregating \$5 million or more in gross proceeds. Based on the agreements in principle that we have reached, we anticipate that these transactions will result in the issuance of approximately 7.5 million new shares of our common stock, which would constitute approximately 80% of the pro forma outstanding shares of our common stock.

Regulatory Capital

The OTS capital regulations include three separate minimum capital requirements for savings institutions that are subject to OTS supervision. First, the tangible capital requirement mandates that the Bank's stockholder's equity, less intangible assets, be at least 1.50% of adjusted total assets as defined in the capital regulations. Second, the core capital requirement currently mandates that core capital (tangible capital plus certain qualifying intangible assets) be at least 4.00% of adjusted total assets as defined in the capital regulations. Third, the risk-based capital requirement presently mandates that core capital plus supplemental capital (as defined by the OTS) be at least 8.00% of risk-weighted assets as prescribed in the capital regulations. The capital regulations assign specific risk weightings to all assets and off-balance-sheet items for this purpose.

The Bank was in compliance with all capital requirements in effect at March 31, 2011, and met the generally applicable capital ratio standards necessary to be considered well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action regulations adopted by the OTS pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991. However, in March 2010, the Company and the Bank were determined to be in troubled condition by the OTS and they have consented to the issuance to them of cease and desist orders by the OTS effective September 09, 2010. The cease and desist orders require the Bank to achieve and maintain higher levels of regulatory capital than normally required. Under the applicable regulations, the Bank is therefore precluded from being considered to be more than adequately capitalized until such special capital requirements are terminated and the Company and the Bank are no longer considered to be in troubled condition.

Actual and normally required capital amounts and ratios at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, together with the higher capital requirements that the OTS required the Bank to meet, are presented below.

	Actual		Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations		Capital Requirements under Cease and Desist Order	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratios	Amount	Ratios
(Dollars in thousands)								
March 31, 2011:								
Tangible Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,784	8.99%	\$ 7,136	1.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tier 1(Core) Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,784	8.99%	\$ 19,029	4.00%	\$ 23,786	5.00%	\$ 38,057	8.00%
Tier 1(Core) Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 42,784	12.12%	N/A	N/A	\$ 21,182	6.00%	N/A	N/A

Total Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 47,318	13.40%	\$ 28,242	8.00%	\$ 35,303	10.00%	\$ 42,363	12.00%
---------------------------------------	-----------	--------	-----------	-------	-----------	--------	-----------	--------

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010:

Tangible Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,630	8.82%	\$ 7,252	1.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tier 1(Core) Capital to adjusted total assets	\$ 42,630	8.82%	\$ 19,338	4.00%	\$ 24,172	5.00%	\$ 38,676	8.00%
Tier 1(Core) Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 42,630	11.76%	N/A	N/A	\$ 21,754	6.00%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital to risk weighted assets	\$ 47,299	13.05%	\$ 29,006	8.00%	\$ 36,257	10.00%	\$ 43,508	12.00%

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of March 31, 2011, an evaluation was performed under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2011. There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the Company's last fiscal quarter that could significantly affect those controls subsequent to March 31, 2011.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation

The Bank is the defendant in Daniel D. Holliday III, Attorney at Law, LLC (Holliday) v. Broadway Federal Bank (Case No. BC 398403), a lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for Los Angeles County on September 18, 2008 and amended on March 4, 2009, November 20, 2009 and May 24, 2010. This legal action arises from a dispute over the priority of the Bank's lien against a \$2.6 million deposit account balance in the Bank securing a land development loan. The lawsuit seeks damages of \$2.6 million, plus interest, costs and attorneys fees according to proof. The plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief to prevent the Bank from asserting a senior security interest in the deposit account and to prevent the Bank from applying the funds in the deposit account to satisfy the amount owing on the loan.

On April 17, 2009, the Bank filed a cross-complaint against Holliday (as an individual), Bachmann Springs Holdings, LLC (the developer), Thomas T. Bachmann (the principal of the developer), Robert Estareja (an agent of Bachmann Springs Holdings), Alan Roberson (the loan broker), Canyon Acquisitions, LLC (Canyon) (the broker who located the investors for the real estate project at issue and the entity funding Holliday's fees and costs), and Brent Borland (Canyon's principal), alleging causes of action for: declaratory relief, money due on default on promissory note, judicial foreclosure on personal property, money lent, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, implied equitable indemnity, rescission based on fraud, and equitable subordination. The allegations of the cross-complaint include that, among other things, the cross-defendants conspired with each other to fraudulently induce the Bank to make the loan at issue.

On or about October 27, 2009, Holliday filed and served a motion for leave to file a third amended complaint, which motion was granted on November 20, 2009. In addition to the causes of action pleaded against the Bank in the second amended complaint, the proposed third amended complaint includes a cause of action against the Bank for equitable subordination as well as causes of action against Wayne Standback, a vice-president of the Bank (Mr. Standback passed away on October 13, 2009) and Paul Hudson, the Chairman and CEO of the Bank, for negligence and conspiracy. Broadway filed a demurrer to and motion to strike the third amended complaint, the hearing on which took place on May 14, 2010. The demurrer was sustained. The fourth amended complaint, which was served on May 24, 2010, contains the same causes of action as the third amended complaint. Mr. Standback, however, is no longer a defendant. Hudson demurred to the fourth amended complaint and the Bank filed an answer.

Table of Contents

On February 1, 2010, Canyon filed a complaint in Los Angeles County Superior Court against the Bank and several of its officers and directors including Paul Hudson, Kellogg Chan, Javier Leon, Odell Maddox, Rick McGill, Daniel Medina, and Virgil Roberts, and certain non-Bank related defendants, for declaratory relief, breach of contract, interference with economic relations, negligence, intentional concealment, conspiracy, breach of fiduciary duty, and equitable subordination (Canyon Acquisitions, LLC v. Broadway Federal Bank, Case No. BC 431035). The complaint arises out of the same transaction that is the subject of the Holliday lawsuit discussed above. The Bank notified the court of this fact, which deemed the cases related. In the complaint, Canyon seeks general damages of not less than \$10,000,000 and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Service of the Canyon Complaint was effective as of March 16, 2010. The Bank has filed a demurrer to and motion to strike the complaint, the hearings on which were set for June 18, 2010. However, on June 18, 2010, Canyon filed a first amended complaint alleging similar causes of action and the scheduled hearing was not held. Then, on June 23, 2010, Canyon filed a motion to (1) consolidate the Canyon and Holliday lawsuits and (2) treat the Canyon lawsuit as a cross-complaint in the Holliday lawsuit. The hearing on Canyon's motion was set for July 16, 2010.

However, on July 16, 2010, pursuant to the Stipulation between the parties, which was approved by the Court, the two cases (Holliday and Canyon) were consolidated, the Canyon Complaint is treated as a cross-complaint in the Holliday lawsuit, the trial was postponed from October 5, 2010 to May 10, 2011, and the following individuals were dismissed from the litigation with prejudice: all of the Broadway officers and directors who had been named as individual defendants in the Holliday and Canyon matters, Brent Borland, Daniel Holliday, III (as an individual only), and the Estate of Wayne Standback.

On August 31, 2010, the Bank filed a demurrer to Canyon's cross-complaint, the hearing on which took place on December 8, 2010. At the hearing, the Court overruled the Bank's demurrer to Canyon's Second Cause of Action for Breach of Contract and sustained the Bank's demurrer to Canyon's Seventh Cause of Action for Breach of Fiduciary Duty without leave to amend. The Bank subsequently filed an answer to Canyon's cross-complaint and asserted various affirmative defenses.

On April 27, 2011, the Bank and Holliday/Canyon agreed to resolve the matter on the following terms: (1) Broadway shall pay the sum of \$2,350,000 to Holliday/Canyon; (2) Holliday and Canyon shall dismiss their complaint and cross-complaint with prejudice as to Broadway; (3) Broadway shall dismiss its cross-complaint with prejudice as to Holliday and Canyon; and (4) the parties shall execute mutual, general releases. The parties are currently drafting a written settlement agreement. In the meantime, the court has vacated the trial date.

With respect to the foregoing matters, management increased the specific allowance allocated to this loan by \$277 thousand during the first quarter of 2011 and has fully reserved for this loan as of March 31, 2011.

OTS Investigation

The OTS is conducting a formal investigation of the activities of a former loan officer of the Bank whose employment was terminated in March 2010. In connection with the investigation the OTS has issued subpoenas to the chief lending officer and chief executive officer requesting documents relating to our former loan officer and loans he originated while employed by the Bank. The subpoenas also contemplate taking oral testimony from the officers. While the OTS has not informed us of the scope of its investigation, we believe the OTS investigation includes, but may not be limited to, inquiry into whether documentation submitted in connection with loan applications for loans originated by the loan officer contained inaccurate or deliberately falsified information and whether the loan officer received unauthorized direct or indirect benefits from payments made by the borrowers on such loans to loan brokers or other persons associated with the lending process. All of the loans originated by the former loan officer have been reviewed by us and by the independent loan review firm we engaged to perform a general review of our loan portfolio pursuant to the C&D issued to us by the OTS. See Item 1. Business Regulation Cease and Desist Orders of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. We have taken the results of these loan reviews into account, along with all other relevant information known to us, in determining the amounts of our loan loss provisions and the level of our loan loss reserves that we believe to be appropriate as of March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS
None

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS
None

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
None

Item 4. RESERVED

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION
None

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit 31.1 - Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 31.2 - Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32 - Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 16, 2011

By: /s/ Paul C. Hudson
Paul C. Hudson
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 16, 2011

By: /s/ Samuel Sarpong
Samuel Sarpong
Chief Financial Officer