NOMURA HOLDINGS INC Form 6-K December 28, 2011 Table of Contents

FORM 6-K

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 1-15270

For the month of December 2011

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

(Translation of registrant s name into English)

9-1, Nihonbashi 1-chome

Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8645

Japan

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form

Form 20-F <u>X</u> Form 40-F _____

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by

Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

20-F or Form 40-F.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by

Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Incorporation by Reference

The registrant hereby incorporates Exhibit 1 to this report on Form 6-K by reference (i) in the prospectus that is part of Registration Statement on Form F-3 (Registration No. 333-169682) of the registrant and Nomura America Finance, LLC, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on September 30, 2010, and (ii) in the prospectus that is part of the Registration Statement on Form F-3 (Registration No. 333-165049) of the registrant, filed with the SEC on February 24, 2010, as amended by the Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 thereto, filed with the SEC on September 8, 2010.

Information furnished on this form:

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number

1.	Nomura Holdings, Inc. Interim Operating and Financial Review
15.	Acknowledgment Letter of Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document ⁽¹⁾
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema ⁽¹⁾
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase ⁽¹⁾
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase ⁽¹⁾
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase ⁽¹⁾
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase ⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ The Interactive Data File (as defined in Rule II of Regulation S-T) with respect to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements furnished herein will be furnished separately.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: December 28, 2011

By: /s/ Shinji Iwai

Shinji Iwai

Senior Managing Director

Exhibit 1

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

INTERIM OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Presentation of Financial and Other Information	1
Recent Developments	2
Risk Factors	3
Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	6
Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months and Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Six Months and Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-9
Notes to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-75
Presentation of Financial and Other Information	

As used in this Form 6-K, references to Company, Nomura, we, us and our are to Nomura Holdings, Inc. and, except as the context otherwise requires, its consolidated subsidiaries. As part of certain line items in Nomura s financial statements and information included in this Form 6-K, references to NHI are to Nomura Holdings, Inc.

Unless otherwise stated, references in this Form 6-K to yen and ¥ are to the lawful currency of Japan, references to U.S. dollars and \$ are to the lawful currency of the United States of America (U.S.). All ownership data with respect to us presented in this Form 6-K is presented based on the voting interest directly or indirectly held by us. Our voting interest is presented in accordance with Japanese reporting requirements, pursuant to which the amount presented with respect to each subsidiary is the percentage of voting rights of such subsidiary held directly by us or our subsidiaries. For example, wholly-owned subsidiaries of our subsidiaries are listed as 100%, regardless of the level of our direct interest in the intermediate subsidiaries.

Amounts shown within this Form 6-K have been rounded to the nearest indicated digit unless otherwise specified. In tables and graphs with rounded figures, sums may not add up due to rounding.

Except as otherwise indicated, all financial information with respect to us presented in this Form 6-K is presented on a consolidated basis. Our fiscal year ends on March 31 of each year. We prepare interim consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP). Our consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 are included elsewhere in this Form 6-K. The interim financial statements included in this Form 6-K have been reviewed in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) by our independent auditors.

Recent Developments

U.S. Financial Reform Act In July 2010, the U.S. enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) which is now the subject of a multi-agency rulemaking process. The rulemakings include, among others, (i) creating a tighter regulatory framework for over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives; (ii) establishing a process for designating non-bank financial firms as systemic, subject to increased prudential standards and regulatory oversight (U.S. SIFIs); (iii) prohibiting material conflicts of interest between those who package and sell asset-backed securities (ABS) and those who invest in them; and (iv) establishing risk retention requirements for ABS. Other aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act and related rulemakings include provisions that (i) prohibit deposit-taking banks and their affiliates from engaging in proprietary trading and limit their ability to make investments in hedge funds and private equity funds (the so-called Volcker Rule); (ii) empower regulators to liquidate failing non-bank financial companies that are systemically important; (iii) provide for new systemic risk oversight and increased capital requirements for both bank and non-bank U.S. SIFIs; (iv) provide for a broader regulatory oversight of hedge funds; and (v) new regulations regarding the role of credit rating agencies, investment advisors and others. The exact details of the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act and its impact on Nomura's operations will depend on the final regulations as they become ultimately adopted by various governmental agencies and oversight boards.

Recent Developments in Capital Adequacy Regulations In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee) issued the overall documents of the capital and liquidity reform package from Basel II (Basel III) in order to promote a more resilient banking sector. The Basel Committee has been taking an active part towards the Basel III implementation in 2013, such as modifying the Basel III rules text which included the amendment to the credit value adjustment in June 2011 and providing Capitalisation of bank exposures to central counterparties for public commentary in November 2011; issuing Basel III-Frequently asked questions in July, October and November 2011; and announcing Progress report on Basel III implementation in October 2011. In addition to the Basel III implementation, the Financial Stability Board and the Basel Committee at the Group of Twenty (G-20) summit in November 2011 identified global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs) on which additional capital requirements will be imposed. For further information on Basel III, please see Consolidated Regulatory Requirements herein.

Recovery and Resolution Plans On July 19, 2011, the Financial Stability Board published a consultative document to establish a global framework to improve the capacity of authorities to resolve failing systemically important financial institutions without systemic disruption and exposing taxpayers to the risk of loss. The proposed measures require G-SIFIs to prepare and maintain recovery and resolution plans (RRPs) by December 2012. In light of such global framework, on August 9, 2011, the Financial Services Authority in the United Kingdom (U.K. FSA) published a consultation on its proposals for RRPs. The consultation covers the requirement for banks and large investment firms in the U.K. and not limited to G-SIFIs, to prepare and maintain RRPs, while a separate discussion paper explores matters relevant to the resolution of financial services firms, including the resolution of trading books, enhancing the resolution toolkit and bail-ins. Following consultation, the U.K. FSA will issue a policy statement in the first quarter of 2012 setting out final rules and guidance with the expectation that firms will have submitted their plans to the U.K. FSA and Bank of England by the end of June 2012. In Japan, also based on international discussions, such as in the Financial Stability Board, the Financial Services Agency (the FSA) is working towards the creation of RRPs of financial institutions which are internationally active and conduct large and complex business.

Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID II) On October 20, 2011 the European Commission published draft legislation for MiFID II. The draft legislation has been split into two parts: the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive and the Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation (MiFIR). The draft legislation seeks to introduce wide reaching changes to markets, including the extension of market transparency rules into non-equities and potentially reducing the size of the OTC derivative market by pushing derivatives onto exchanges.

Review of the Market Abuse Directive On October 20, 2011 the European Commission published draft legislation for the review of the Market Abuse Directive (MAD II). The dossier has been split into two parts: the Market Abuse Directive and the Market Abuse Regulation. The draft legislation seeks to strengthen market abuse provisions and introduce measures allowing for effective deterrent of market abuse behaviors.

Bank Levy On January 1, 2011, the U.K. government introduced a tax based on banks balance sheets (the bank levy). The bank levy applies to U.K. banking groups as well as U.K. subsidiaries or branches of foreign banking groups and is based on liabilities, subject to certain exclusions and offsets. In November 2011, the U.K. Chancellor of the Exchequer announced that from January 1, 2012 the bank levy rate will increase from 0.078% to 0.088%.

Japanese Corporate Tax On November 30, 2011, the bill for reconstruction funding after the March 11 earthquake (the Reconstruction Funding Bill) and the bill for the remaining items of the 2011 tax reform (the Tax Reform Bill) were approved by the Diet. Under the Reconstruction Funding Bill, Special Reconstruction Corporate Tax will be imposed on companies, which will be calculated by multiplying the base corporation tax by 10% and will be effective for three fiscal years from April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2015. Under the Tax Reform Bill, the corporate income tax rate will be reduced by 4.5%, effective from the fiscal year beginning on or after April 1, 2012. New tax laws related to these bills were promulgated on December 2, 2011, and as a result the domestic statutory tax rates will be approximately 38% for the next three fiscal years and

approximately 36% thereafter.

2

Risk Factors

Set forth below are material changes from the risks as previously disclosed in Part I, Item 3.D "Risk Factors" of our annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended March 31, 2011. The following is to amend and update only the corresponding language of the disclosure in Part I, Item 3.D of such annual report.

You should read the following in conjunction with the risks factors otherwise disclosed in such annual report, including additional paragraphs from the relevant risk factors that we have not repeated below.

Our business may be materially affected by financial markets and economic conditions and market fluctuations in Japan and elsewhere around the world

Over recent years, continuous disruptions have lead to an acute downturn in the markets and economic conditions in Japan and elsewhere around the world. In 2008 and through to early 2009, the financial services industry, global securities markets and real economies, especially in developed countries, were materially and adversely affected by a world-wide market crisis and dislocation. While the world economy grew in 2010 due to stimuli from expansive monetary and fiscal policies, in 2011 the manifestation of financial problems in the U.S. and the worsening of financial, economic and structural issues in the peripheral countries of the Eurozone, including Greece, have adversely influenced major global financial markets. Global markets also face new challenges, for example political instability in certain regions such as the Middle East, and the economic outlook in the medium to long term remains uncertain.

In addition, not only purely economic factors but also future war, acts of terrorism, economic or political sanctions, pandemics, geopolitical risks and events, natural disasters or other similar events could have a material adverse effect on economic and financial market conditions. For example, with respect to our home market of Japan, the economic downturn has been prolonged and some time may be required for recovery due to the economic consequences arising from direct and indirect negative effects of the East Japan Earthquake in March 2011, including damages to nuclear power plants and resulting power shortages, and supply line disruptions. A sustained market/economic downturn can adversely affect our business and can result in substantial losses. Even in the absence of a prolonged market/economic downturn, we may incur substantial losses due to market volatility. Also, governmental fiscal and monetary policy changes in Japan and other jurisdictions where we conduct business and other business environmental changes may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business is subject to substantial legal, regulatory and reputational risks

Tightening of regulations applicable to the financial system and financial industry could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

If regulations that apply to our businesses are introduced, modified or removed, we could be adversely affected directly or through resulting changes in market conditions. For example, to improve the stability and transparency of Japan's financial system and to ensure the protection of investors, a bill to amend the FIEA was passed by the Diet, which became effective on April 1, 2011, excluding certain sections. The amendment strengthened supervision through introducing corporate group regulations, which we are subject to, such as consolidated capital adequacy regulations on financial instruments business operators the size of which exceeds specified parameters and on certain parent companies, and by requiring reports on the financial status of such companies. In addition, the Financial Services Agency (the FSA) amended the Comprehensive Guidelines for Supervision of Financial Instruments Business Operators, etc. which became effective on April 1, 2011. Such amendment includes, among others, restrictions on the compensation systems of corporate groups of specified parent company, including Nomura Group, which are designed to reduce excessive risk taking by their executives and employees.

In addition, in response to the financial markets crisis in the autumn of 2008, various reforms to the financial regulatory framework at a national level and by international agreements, such as the agreements reached at the Group of Twenty (G-20) Summit, are undergoing to restore financial stability and to enhance financial industry s resilience against future crises. Such proposals for reform include the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) in the U.S. and various proposals to strengthen financial regulation in the European Union and the United Kingdom (U.K.). The impact of these proposals (including bank levy) on us and our industry may be significant. However, policy responses to such proposals and amendment of existing systems are still ongoing and are difficult to precisely predict at this point.

The changes in regulations on accounting standards, consolidated regulatory capital adequacy rules and liquidity ratio could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. For example, we currently calculate our consolidated regulatory capital adequacy ratio in accordance with the FSA s notice on Basel II based consolidated capital adequacy rules applicable to the Ultimate Designated Parent Company. Although specific rules to implement the Basel III measures announced by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee) are yet to be finalized in Japan by the FSA, the implementation of those new measures may cause our capital adequacy ratio to decrease or may require us to liquidate assets, raise additional capital or otherwise restrict our business activities in a manner that could adversely increase our funding costs or could otherwise adversely affect our operating or financing activities or the interests of our shareholders. Further, based on Basel III, the Financial Stability Board and the Basel Committee have announced they will annually update the list of global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs) identified by financial regulators and additional regulatory capital requirements imposed on those G-SIFIs. The costs and impact on us as described above may further increase if we are identified as a G-SIFI in the future.

The financial services industry is intensely competitive and rapidly consolidating

Our global business strategies may not result in the anticipated outcome due to competition with other financial services firms in international markets and the failure to realize the full benefits of management resource reallocation

We believe that there are significant opportunities in the international markets, but there is also significant competition for such opportunities. In order to take advantage of those opportunities, we will have to compete successfully with financial services firms based in important non-Japanese markets, including the U.S., Europe and Asia. Some of these financial services firms are larger in scale and better capitalized, are able to secure talented human resources and have a stronger local presence in these markets. As a means to bolster our international operations, we acquired certain Lehman operations in Europe, the Middle East and Asia in 2008 and we have been rebuilding and expanding our operations in these regions and the U.S. However, in light of an increasing sense of uncertainty associated with market conditions and the global economy from various unstable factors including the European sovereign debt crisis, many competitor financial services firms have announced plans to reduce costs. We have also begun to carry out plans to reduce costs aimed at reallocating management resources and lowering our breakeven point. Such optimization of management resource reallocation for our global businesses is critical to our global management strategy, and if we fail to realize the full benefits of these efforts our global business strategy and financial condition may be adversely affected.

4

Table of Contents

Special Note Regarding Forward-looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on our current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about our business, our industry and capital markets around the world. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties. Generally, these forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may , will , expect , anticipate , estimate , plan or similar words. These statements discuss future expectations, identify strategies, contain projections of our results of operations or financial condition, or state other forward-looking information.

Known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause our actual results, performance, achievements or financial position to differ materially from any future results, performance, achievements or financial position expressed or implied by any forward-looking statement contained in this report. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors are set forth in *Risk Factors* above and in Item 3.D of our annual report on Form 20-F for the full year ended March 31, 2011, as well as elsewhere in this Form 6-K.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

Results of Operations Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011

The interim financial statements included in this Form 6-K have not been audited but have been reviewed in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) by our independent auditors. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements are prepared on a basis substantially consistent with the audited consolidated financial statements included in our Form 20-F filed on June 30, 2011.

Overview

The following table provides selected consolidated statements of operations information for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

	Millions of yen except per share data Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 2011			data tember 30,
Non-interest revenues:				
Commissions	¥	201,598	¥	182,706
Fees from investment banking		45,258		27,589
Asset management and portfolio service fees		68,566		75,767
Net gain on trading		162,962		93,484
Gain (loss) on private equity investments		(1,909)		(8,265)
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities		(16,028)		(3,141)
Other		28,270		196,342
Total non-interest revenues		488,717		564,482
Net interest revenue		46,730		67,472
Net revenue		535,447		631,954
Non-interest expenses		507,379		642,228
Income (loss) before income taxes		28,068		(10,274)
Income tax expense		23,100		15,947
Net income (loss)		4,968		(26,221)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		1,595		2,100
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders	¥	3,373	¥	(28,321)
Return on shareholders equity (annualized)		0.3%		(2.7%)

⁽¹⁾ Calculation method: Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders divided by average Total NHI shareholders equity multiplied by two.

Table of Contents

Net revenue increased by 18.0% from ¥535,447 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥631,954 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011. Commissions decreased by 9.4%, due primarily to decreasing commissions for distribution of investment trusts. Asset management and portfolio service fees increased by 10.5%, due primarily to a steady increase of mandates from institutional investors outside Japan. Net gain on trading decreased by 42.6%, due primarily to the adverse market conditions and fiscal instability in Europe. Other increased by 594.5%, due primarily to Nomura increasing its stake in Nomura Land and Building Co., Ltd. (NLB), one of its affiliated companies, by acquiring additional NLB shares and thereby making it a consolidated subsidiary during the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Net interest revenue was ¥67,472 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and ¥46,730 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010. Net interest revenue is a function of the level and the total mix of assets and liabilities, which includes trading assets and financing and lending transactions, and the level, term structure and volatility of interest rates. Net interest revenue is an integral component of our trading business. In assessing the profitability of our overall business and of our Wholesale operation in particular, we view net interest revenue and non-interest revenues in the aggregate.

Non-interest expenses increased by 26.6% from ¥507,379 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥642,228 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to the NLB acquisition.

We are subject to a number of different taxes in Japan and have adopted the consolidation tax system permitted under Japanese tax law. The consolidation tax system only imposes a national tax. Since April 1, 2004, our domestic statutory tax rate has been approximately 41%. Our foreign subsidiaries are subject to the income tax rates of the countries in which they operate, which are generally lower than those in Japan. Our effective tax rate in any one year is therefore dependent on our geographic mix of profits and losses and also on the specific tax treatment applicable in each location.

For the six months ended September 30, 2010, the difference between the domestic statutory tax rate of approximately 41% and the effective tax rate of 82.3% was mainly due to non-deductible expenses, different tax rates and changes in statutory tax rates applicable to income (loss) of foreign subsidiaries.

For the six months ended September 30, 2011, the difference between the domestic statutory tax rate of approximately 41% and the effective tax rate of negative 155.2% was mainly due to an increase in valuation allowance of foreign subsidiaries, whereas non-taxable revenue increased the effective tax rate.

Net loss attributable to NHI shareholders was ¥28,321 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and net income attributable to NHI shareholders was ¥3,373 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010. Our annualized return on shareholders equity was negative 2.7% for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 0.3% for the six months ended September 30, 2010.

7

Retail

In Retail, we receive commissions and fees from investment consultation services which we provide mainly to individual clients in Japan. Additionally, we receive operational fees from asset management companies in connection with the administration services of investment trusts certificates that we distribute. We also receive agent commissions from insurance companies for the insurance products we sell as an agent.

	Millions of yen Six Months Ended Septem 2010 20			
Non-interest revenues	¥	197,336	¥	176,685
Net interest revenue		1,376		1,484
Net revenue		198,712		178,169
Non-interest expenses		138,191		145,426
Income before income taxes	¥	60,521	¥	32,743

Net revenue decreased by 10.3% from ¥198,712 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥178,169 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011. The primary factor for the decreased net revenue was due to decrease in commissions from distribution of investment trusts.

Non-interest expenses increased by 5.2% from ¥138,191 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥145,426 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Income before income taxes decreased by 45.9% from ¥60,521 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥32,743 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

The following graph shows the revenue composition by instrument in terms of Retail non-interest revenues for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

As described above, revenue composition of investment trusts and asset management decreased from 61% for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to 60% for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to a decrease in commissions from distribution of investment trusts. Revenue composition of equities decreased from 19% for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to 15% for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to the stock market slowdown. Revenue composition of bonds increased from 18% for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to 23% for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to an increase in sales of foreign currency-denominated bonds. Revenue composition of variable annuity insurance was at 2% for both six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

Table of Contents

The following graph shows amounts and details regarding the composition of retail client assets at September 30, 2010 and 2011.

Total retail client assets decreased by \(\xi\)2.0 trillion from \(\xi\)68.1 trillion at September 30, 2010 to \(\xi\)66.1 trillion at September 30, 2011. Retail client assets consist of clients assets held in our custody and assets relating to variable annuity insurance products.

Asset Management

Our Asset Management business is conducted principally through Nomura Asset Management Co., Ltd. (NAM). We earn portfolio management fees through the development and management of investment trusts, which are distributed by Nomura Securities Co., Ltd. (NSC), other brokers, banks, Japan Post Bank and Japan Post Network Co., Ltd. We also provide investment advisory services for pension funds and other institutional clients. Net revenue primarily consists of asset management and portfolio services fees that are attributable to Asset Management. Nomura Bank (Luxembourg) S.A. in the Asset Management segment was integrated into Other during the six months ended September 30, 2011. In accordance with this integration, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

	Six Months End	Millions of yen Six Months Ended September 30		
	2010		2011	
Non-interest revenues	¥ 29,697	¥	33,110	
Net interest revenue	2,262		1,684	
Net revenue	31,959		34,794	
Non-interest expenses	23,793		22,635	
Income before income taxes	¥ 8.166	¥	12,159	

9

Net revenue increased by 8.9% from ¥31,959 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥34,794 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to inflows to investment trusts and contributions to overseas investment advisory business.

Non-interest expenses decreased by 4.9% from \(\xi23,793\) million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to \(\xi22,635\) million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Income before income taxes increased by 48.9% from ¥8,166 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥12,159 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

The following table sets forth assets under management of each principal Nomura entity included under Asset Management as of the dates indicated.

	Billions of yen			n
	September 30,			0,
		2010		2011
Nomura Asset Management Co., Ltd.	¥	24,424	¥	24,625
Nomura Funds Research and Technologies Co., Ltd.		2,448		2,338
Nomura Corporate Research and Asset Management Inc.		1,369		1,238
Nomura Private Equity Capital Co., Ltd.		532		537
Nomura Asset Management Deutschland KAG mbH.		233		235
Nomura Funds Research and Technologies America, Inc.		210		345
Combined total		29,216		29,317
Overlapping asset accounts among group companies		(5,879)		(6,597)
		() /		() /
Total	¥	23,337	¥	22,720

Domestic publicly offered investment trust assets included in the assets under management by NAM were ¥12.7 trillion as of September 30 2011, a ¥0.6 trillion or 4% decrease from September 30, 2010. Although the assets under management have declined due to the market downturn, we saw solid inflows into our products. For the investment advisory business, assets under management were ¥9.2 trillion as of September 30, 2011, a ¥1.0 trillion or 12% increase from September 30, 2010.

The following table shows NAM s share, in terms of net asset value, in the Japanese asset management market as of the dates indicated. As of September 30, 2011, NAM s market share in publicly offered investment trusts was 22%, 17% for stock investment trusts and 43% for bond investment trusts.

	Septem	ber 30,
	2010	2011
Total of publicly offered investment trusts	21%	22%
Stock investment trusts	17%	17%
Bond investment trusts	42%	43%

Wholesale

The formal establishment of the Wholesale Division from April 2010 led to closer collaboration between business lines and as a result we have executed a number of cross-divisional transactions between Global Markets and Investment Banking.

	Millions of yen			en
	Six	Six Months Ended September		
		2010		2011
Non-interest revenues	¥	227,883	¥	152,150
Net interest revenue		44,131		68,416
Net revenue		272,014		220,566
Non-interest expenses		305,519		308,517
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥	(33,505)	¥	(87,951)

Net revenue decreased by 18.9% from \(\pm\)272,014 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to \(\pm\)220,566 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Non-interest expenses increased by 1.0% from ¥305,519 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥308,517 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Loss before income taxes were \(\frac{\pmax}{33,505}\) million and \(\frac{\pmax}{87,951}\) million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Global Markets

We have a proven track record in sales and trading of bonds, stocks, and foreign exchange, as well as derivatives based on these financial instruments, mainly to domestic and overseas institutional investors. In response to the increasingly diverse and complex needs of our clients, we are building up our trading and product origination capabilities to offer superior products not only to domestic and overseas institutional investors but also to Retail and Asset Management. This cross-divisional approach also extends to Investment Banking, where close collaboration leads to high value-added solutions for our clients.

We continue to develop extensive ties with institutional investors in Japan and international markets; wealthy and affluent investors, public-sector agencies, and regional financial institutions in Japan; and government agencies, financial institutions, and corporations around the world. These ties enable us to identify the types of products of interest to investors and then to develop and deliver products that meet their needs.

	Six	Millions of yen Six Months Ended September 30,		
		2010		2011
Net revenue	¥	240,784	¥	202,711
Non-interest expenses		246,006		245,576
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥	(5,222)	¥	(42,865)

Net revenue decreased by 15.8% from \(\pm\)240,784 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to \(\pm\)202,711 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due primarily to decreases in trading revenue in association with the turbulent financial market conditions in Europe. Loss before income taxes were \(\pm\)5,222 million and \(\pm\)42,865 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Investment Banking

We provide a broad range of investment banking services, such as underwriting and advisory activities to a diverse range of corporations, financial institutions, sovereigns, investment funds and others. We underwrite offerings of debt, equity and other financial instruments in Asia, Europe and other major financial markets. We have been enhancing our M&A and financial advisory expertise to secure more high profile deals both across and within regions. We develop and forge solid relationships with these clients on a long-term basis by providing extensive resources in a seamless fashion to facilitate bespoke solutions.

	Millions of y Six Months Ended Se 2010	
Investment Banking (Gross)	¥ 68,757 ¥	56,078
Allocation to other divisions	(31,876)	(25,671)
Investment Banking (Net)	36,881	30,407
Other	(5,651)	(12,552)
Net revenue	31,230	17,855
Non-interest expenses	59,513	62,941
Income (loss) before income taxes	¥ (28.283) ¥	(45,086)

Net revenue decreased by 42.8% from ¥31,230 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 to ¥17,855 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011, due to the weakness of equity finance associated with the adverse market environment. Loss before income taxes were ¥28,283 million and ¥45,086 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Other Operating Results

Other operating results include net gain (loss) related to economic hedging transactions, realized gain (loss) on investments in equity securities held for operating purposes, equity in earnings of affiliates, corporate items, and other financial adjustments. See Note 14 Segment and geographic information to our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

Net revenue was positive ¥48,327 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and positive ¥202,064 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011. Non-interest expenses were ¥39,876 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and ¥165,650 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011. Income before income taxes in other operating results was ¥8,451 million for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and ¥36,414 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011.

Other operating results for the six months ended September 30, 2011 include the gains from changes in the fair value of the financial liabilities, for which the fair value option was elected, attributable to the change in Nomura s creditworthiness, of ¥10.4 billion, the positive impact of its own creditworthiness on derivative liabilities, which resulted in gain of ¥8.0 billion and the losses from changes in counterparty credit spread of ¥13.7 billion.

Number of Employees

The following table shows the number of our employees as of the date indicated:

	Septem	ber 30,
	2010	2011
Japan	15,401	22,183
Europe	4,471	4,492
Americas	2,186	2,537
Asia (excluding Japan) and Oceania	5,371	6,485
Total	27,429	35,697

During the current period, Nomura increased its stake in NLB, one of its affiliated companies, by acquiring additional NLB shares and thereby made it a subsidiary. The number of employees as of September 30, 2011 increased by 8,268 compared to September 30, 2010 due primarily to this acquisition.

Summary of Regional Contributions

For a summary of our net revenue, income (loss) before income taxes and long-lived assets by geographic region, see Note 14, Segment and geographic information in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

Regulatory Capital Requirements

Many of our business activities are subject to statutory capital requirements, including those of Japan, the U.S., the U.K. and certain other countries in which we operate.

Translation Exposure

A significant portion of our business is conducted in currencies other than yen most significantly, U.S. dollars, British pounds and Euros. We prepare financial statements of each of our consolidated entities in its functional currency, which is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. Translation exposure is the risk arising from the effect of fluctuations in exchange rates on the net assets of our foreign subsidiaries. Translation exposure is not recognized in our consolidated statements of operations unless and until we dispose of, or liquidate, the relevant foreign subsidiary, which historically has not occurred, and which we do not expect to occur frequently.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Use of estimates

In preparing our consolidated financial statements, management makes estimates regarding certain financial instrument and investment valuations, the outcome of litigation and tax examinations, the recovery of the carrying value of goodwill, the allowance for doubtful accounts, the realization of deferred tax assets and other matters that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as well as the disclosures in these consolidated financial statements. Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and available information. Therefore, actual results may differ from estimates, which could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements, and it is possible that such adjustments could occur in the near term.

Fair value for financial instruments

A significant amount of our financial assets and financial liabilities are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of operations on a recurring basis. Use of fair value is either specifically required under U.S. GAAP or we make an election to use fair value for certain eligible items under the fair value option.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities are carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value. Fair value is only used in specific circumstances such as to measure impairment.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820), all financial instruments measured at fair value have been categorized into a three-level hierarchy based on the transparency of inputs used to establish fair value.

Level 1:

Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities accessible by us at the measurement date.

Level 2:

Quoted prices in inactive markets or prices containing other inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly. Valuation techniques using observable inputs reflect assumptions used by market participants in pricing financial instruments and are based on data obtained from independent market sources at the measurement date.

Level 3:

Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. Valuation techniques using unobservable inputs reflect management s assumptions about the estimates used by other market participants in valuing similar financial instruments. These valuation techniques are developed based on the best available information at the measurement date.

Financial instruments are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instruments. A derivative valued using a combination of Level 1, 2 and 3 inputs would be classified as Level 3, where the Level 3 inputs are significant in its measurement.

The valuation of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities are dependent on certain parameters which cannot be observed or corroborated in the market. This can be the case if, for example, the specific financial instrument is traded in an inactive market. Common characteristics of an inactive market include a low number of transactions of the financial instrument; stale or non-current price quotations; price quotations that vary

substantially either over time or among market makers; or little publicly released information. Unobservable parameters include volatility risk and correlation risk for derivative instruments; refinancing periods and recovery rates for credit-related products and loans; and macroeconomic factors affecting the value of collateral for asset-backed securitization products.

If corroborative evidence is not available to value Level 3 financial instruments, fair value may be established using other equivalent products in the market. The level of correlation between the specific Level 3 financial instrument and the available benchmark instrument is considered an unobservable parameter. Other techniques for determining an appropriate value for unobservable parameters may take into account information such as consensus pricing data among certain market participants, historical trends, extrapolation from observable market data and other information we would expect market participants to use in valuing similar instruments.

Level 3 financial assets excluding derivatives as a proportion of total financial assets excluding derivatives, carried at fair value on a recurring basis was 5% as of September 30, 2011 as listed below:

Billions of yen, except percentage September 30, 2011 Counterparty

				and		
				Cash Collateral		The proportion of
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting	Total	Level 3
Financial assets measured at fair value (Excluding						
derivative assets)	¥ 7,826	¥ 7,215	¥ 727	¥	¥ 15,768	5%
Derivative assets	922	26,556	591	(26,136)	1,933	
Derivative liabilities	940	26,393	606	(26,278)	1,661	

See Note 3, Fair value of financial instruments in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K for further information.

Private equity business

All private equity investments made by investment company subsidiaries pursuant to the provisions of ASC 946 *Financial Services Investment Companies* (ASC 946) are accounted for at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statement of operation.

The valuation of unlisted private equity investments at fair value requires significant management judgment because these investments, by their nature, have little or no price transparency. Private equity investments are initially carried at cost as an approximation of fair value. Adjustments to carrying value are made if there is third-party evidence of a change in value. Adjustments are also made, in the absence of third-party transactions, if it is determined that the expected realizable value of the investment is different from the carrying value. In reaching that determination, we primarily use either our own internal valuation models based on projected future cash flows to be generated from the underlying investment, discounted at a weighted average cost of capital or comparable market valuations such as EV/EBITDA (Enterprise Value/EBITDA), PE Ratio (Price/Earnings Ratio), Price/Embedded Value Ratio and other multiples based on relationships between numbers reported in the financial statements and the price of comparable companies. Where possible these valuations are compared with the operating cash flows and financial performance of the companies or properties relative to budgets or projections, price/earnings data for similar quoted companies, trends within sectors and/or regions and any specific rights or terms associated with the investment, such as conversion features and liquidation preferences.

Any changes to valuations are then stress tested to assess the impact of particular risk factors in order to establish the final estimated valuation. For more information on our private equity activities, see *Private Equity Business* herein.

Assets and Liabilities Associated with Investment and Financial Services Business

Exposure to Certain Financial Instruments and Counterparties

Challenging market conditions continue to impact numerous products including securitization products and leveraged finance to which we have certain exposure. We also have exposures to Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) and others in the normal course of business.

Securitization Products

Our exposure to securitization products consists of commercial mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), commercial real estate-backed securities and other securitization products. We hold these securitization products in connection with securitization, financing, trading and other activities. The following table provides a summary of our exposure to securitization products by geographic region of the underlying collateral as of September 30, 2011.

Millions of yen September 30, 2011

	Japan	Asia and Oceania	Europe	Americas	Total ⁽¹⁾
Commercial mortgage-backed securities ⁽²⁾	¥ 5,652	¥	¥ 8,355	¥ 38,593	¥ 52,600
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽³⁾	19,507	286	23,447	260,566	303,806
Commercial real estate-backed securities	16,054				16,054
Other securitization products ⁽⁴⁾	80,326	692	13,064	137,968	232,050
Total	¥ 121,539	¥ 978	¥ 44,866	¥ 437,127	¥ 604,510

- (1) The balances shown exclude those for which we transferred financial assets to securitization vehicles where such transfers were accounted for as secured financings rather than sales under ASC 860 Transfers and Servicing (ASC 860), and in which we have no continuing economic exposures.
- (2) We have ¥22,255 million exposure, as whole loans and commitments, to U.S. CMBS-related business as of September 30, 2011.
- (3) The RMBS balance for Americas excludes mortgage pass-through securities and U.S. government guaranteed collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO) because their credit risks are considered minimal.
- (4) Other securitization products mainly include collateralized loan obligations (CLO), collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and asset-backed securities (ABS) (e.g., credit card loans, auto loans, student loans, home equity loans and etc.).

14

The following table provides our exposure to CMBS by geographical region and external credit rating of the underlying collateral as of September 30, 2011.

					Millions of ye				
				Se	ptember 30, 2	011			
	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	В	Not rated	GSE ⁽¹⁾	Total
Japan	¥ 641	¥	¥ 940	¥ 668	¥ 1,266	¥	¥ 2,137	¥	¥ 5,652
Europe		773	2,177	1,959	1,910	688	848		8,355
Americas	7,366	7,140	6,077	5,357	9,861	846	1,946		38,593
Total	¥ 8,007	¥ 7,913	¥ 9,194	¥ 7,984	¥ 13,037	¥ 1,534	¥ 4,931	¥	¥ 52,600

- (1) GSE refers to government sponsored enterprises.
- (2) Rating based on the lowest rating given by Standard & Poor s, Moody s Investors Service, Fitch Ratings Ltd., Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. or Rating and Investment Information, Inc. as of September 30, 2011.

Leveraged Finance

We provide loans to clients in connection with leveraged buy-outs and leveraged buy-ins. As this type of finance is usually initially provided through a commitment, we have both funded and unfunded exposures on these transactions.

The following table sets forth our exposure to leveraged finance by geographic region of the target company as of September 30, 2011.

		Millions of yen September 30, 2011			
	Funded	Unfunded	Total		
Japan	¥ 3,132	¥ 5,000	¥ 8,132		
Europe	77,226	6,409	83,635		
Americas		1,124	1,124		
Asia and Oceania	4,247	608	4,855		
Total	¥ 84,605	¥ 13,141	¥ 97,746		

Special Purpose Entities

Our involvement with these entities includes structuring, underwriting, as well as, subject to prevailing market conditions, distributing and selling debt instruments and beneficial interests issued by these entities. In the normal course of securitization and equity derivative activities business, we also act as a transferor of financial assets to, and underwriter, distributor and seller of, repackaged financial instruments issued by these entities. We retain, purchase and sell variable interests in SPEs in connection with our market-making, investing and structuring activities. Our other types of involvement with SPEs include guarantee agreements and derivative contracts.

For further discussion on Nomura s involvement with variable interest entities (VIEs), see Note 6, Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

Accounting Developments

See Note 1, Summary of accounting policies: Changes in accounting policies in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

Private Equity Business

We make private equity investments primarily in Japan and Europe.

Private equity investments made by certain entities which we consolidate under either a voting interest or variable interest model which are investment companies pursuant to the provisions of ASC 946 are accounted for at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of operations. Investment company accounting applied by each of these investment company subsidiaries is retained in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

These entities make private equity investments solely for capital appreciation, current income or both rather than to generate strategic operating benefits to us. In accordance with our investment policies, non-investment companies within the group may not make investments in entities engaged in non-core businesses if such investments would result in consolidation or application of the equity method of accounting. Such investments may generally only be made by investment company subsidiaries. Non-core businesses are defined as those engaged in activities other than our business segments.

We also have a subsidiary which is not an investment company but which makes investments in entities engaged in our core businesses. These investments are made for capital appreciation or current income purposes or both and are also carried at fair value, either because fair value is carried by election of the fair value option or other U.S. GAAP requirements.

Private equity business in Japan

We have an established private equity business in Japan, which is operated primarily through a wholly-owned subsidiary, Nomura Principal Finance Co., Ltd (NPF).

Since its inception in 2000, NPF has made investments in 21 entities and exited from 20 of these investments. The fair value of its investment portfolio is ¥71,165 million as of September 30, 2011.

NPF is an investment company subsidiary pursuant to the provisions of ASC 946 and therefore carries all of its investments at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of operations.

We also make private equity investments through another wholly-owned subsidiary, Nomura Financial Partners Co., Ltd. (NFP). NFP is not an investment company subsidiary as it invests in the entities engaged in our core business. We elected the fair value option to account for its 45.5% investment in the common stock of Ashikaga Holdings Co., Ltd and it is reported in *Private equity investments* in the consolidated balance sheets.

Private equity business in Europe

In Europe, our private equity investments primarily comprise legacy investments made by its former Principal Finance Group (PFG) now managed by Terra Firma (collectively referred to as the Terra Firma Investments), investments in other funds managed by Terra Firma (Other Terra Firma Funds) and through other investment company subsidiaries (Other Investments).

Terra Firma Investments

Following a review to determine the optimum structure for our European private equity business, on March 27, 2002, we restructured our PFG and, as a result, contributed our investments in certain of our remaining investee companies to Terra Firma Capital Partners I (TFCP I), a limited partnership which is engaged in the private equity business, in exchange for a limited partnership interest. Terra Firma Investments (GP) Limited, the general partner of TFCP I, which is independent of us, assumed the management and control of these investments, together with one other PFG investment, Annington Holdings plc, which due to contractual restrictions was not transferred to the partnership.

With effect from March 27, 2002, we ceased consolidating the Terra Firma Investments and accounted for those investments at fair value in accordance with ASC 946.

The Terra Firma Investments are held by entities which are investment company subsidiaries and therefore we continue to account for these investments at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of operations.

The fair value of the Terra Firma Investments was ¥90,078 million as of September 30, 2011.

Other Terra Firma Funds

In addition to the Terra Firma Investments, we are a 10% investor in a \$202 billion private equity fund (TFCP II) and a 2% investor in a \$538 billion private equity fund (TFCP III), also raised and managed by Terra Firma Capital Partners Limited.

Our total commitment for TFCP II was originally ¥20,191 million and reduced to ¥3,853 million as a result of adjustments for recyclable distributions. As of September 30, 2011, ¥3,777 million had been drawn down for investments.

For TFCP III, our total commitment is \(\frac{\pmathbf{4}}{10}\),192 million and \(\frac{\pmathbf{7}}{7}\),449 million had been drawn down for investments as of September 30, 2011.

The investments in TFCP II and TFCP III are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of operations.

Other Investments

We also make private equity investments in Europe through wholly-owned subsidiaries and other consolidated entities which have third party pooling of funds. Certain of these entities are investment company subsidiaries and therefore all of their investments are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through the consolidated statements of operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

We define liquidity risk as the potential inability to meet financial obligations as they become due. This risk could arise from an inability to access the secured or unsecured debt markets, a deterioration in our credit ratings, a failure to manage unplanned changes in funding requirements, a failure to liquidate assets quickly and with minimal loss in value, or changes in regulatory capital restrictions which may prevent the free flow of funds between different group entities. Liquidity risk could be due both to Nomura-specific and market-wide events. Liquidity risk management policy is based on risk appetite which the Group Integrated Risk Management Committee formulates upon delegation by the Executive Management Board (EMB). Our primary objective for liquidity risk management is to ensure continuous liquidity across market cycles and periods of market stress, and to ensure that all funding requirements and unsecured debt obligations that fall due within one year can be met without additional unsecured funding or forced liquidation of assets.

We have in place a number of Liquidity Risk Management frameworks that enable us to achieve our primary liquidity objective. These frameworks include (1) Centralized Control of Residual Cash; (2) Appropriate Funding and Diversification of Funding Sources and Maturities Commensurate with the Composition of Assets; (3) Management of Credit Lines to Nomura Group Entities; (4) Implementation of Liquidity Stress Tests; and (5) Contingency Funding Plan.

17

Table of Contents

Our EMB has the authority to make decisions concerning the group liquidity management. The Chief Financial Officer has the operational authority and responsibility over our liquidity management based on decisions made by the EMB.

1. Centralized Control of Residual Cash. We control centrally residual cash held at Nomura Group entities for effective utilization purposes. As for the usage of funds, we manage the overall level of unsecured funding and set internal limits on the additional amount of unsecured funding available across the Nomura Group. The limit for unsecured funding is set by the EMB and monitored closely by Global Treasury.

In order to enable us to transfer funds smoothly among the group entities, we limit issuance of securities by regulated broker-dealers or banking entities. We actively seek to concentrate issuance of all long-term unsecured, non-deposit funding instruments at either Nomura or unregulated issuing entities. The primary benefits of this strategy include cost minimization, wider investor name recognition and greater flexibility in providing funding to various subsidiaries across the Nomura Group.

- 2. Appropriate Funding and Diversification of Funding Sources and Maturities Commensurate with the Composition of Assets. We seek to maintain a surplus of long-term debt and equity above the cash capital requirements of our assets. This enables us to fund our operations for at least one year in a stress event, without needing to raise additional unsecured funding or forcing the liquidation of assets. The amount of liquidity required is based on an internal model which incorporates the following requirements.
 - (i) Our ability to finance assets using secured funding, including repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions. The cash capital requirements are calculated using conservative estimates of the assets secured borrowing power in stressed scenarios.
 - (ii) Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, property, equipment and other illiquid assets.
- (iii) Collateral requirements on derivative contracts arising as a result of a two-notch downgrade in our credit rating.

 Collateral requirements to support potential increased intraday collateral requirements from our clearers and settlement agents arising as a result of a two-notch downgrade in our credit rating.

In addition, other unencumbered assets held at exchanges for chaining requirements are also funded with long-term liquidity.

- (iv) Commitments to lend to external counterparties based on the probability of drawdown.
- (v) Capital or other forms of financing in our regulated subsidiaries that is in excess of their long-term cash capital requirements. Our internal model takes into account legal, regulatory and tax restrictions that may impact the ability to freely transfer liquidity across the entities within the group.

We routinely issue long term-debt in various maturities and currencies to maintain a long-term funding surplus, and to also achieve both cost-effective funding and a maturity profile where the average duration of our debt is sufficient to meet our long-term cash capital requirements. We therefore seek to maintain an average maturity for plain vanilla instruments greater than or equal to three years. The average maturity (for debt securities and borrowings with maturities longer than one year) was 4.57 years as of September 30, 2011. Approximately 80% of our medium-term notes are structured and linked to interest or equity, indices, currencies or commodities. Conditions for calls by indices are individually set. These maturities are evaluated based on our internal model and monitored by Global Treasury. Maturities for plain vanilla debt securities and borrowings are evaluated based on contractual maturities. Where there is a possibility that notes may be called prior to their scheduled maturity date, maturities are based on our internal stress option adjusted model. This model values the embedded optionality under stress market conditions in order to determine when the note is likely to be called.

On this basis, the average maturity of structured notes (notes with maturities longer than one year) was 11.56 years as of September 30, 2011. The average maturity of our entire long term debt portfolio, including plain vanilla debt securities and borrowings, was 7.63 years as of September 30, 2011. The graph below shows the distribution of maturities of our outstanding long-term debt securities and borrowings.

(1) Redemption schedule is individually estimated by considering of probability of redemption. Due to structure bias, we use probability adjusted by a certain stress factor.

18

We typically fund our trading activities on a secured basis through secured borrowings and repurchase agreements. We believe these funding activities in the secured markets are more cost-efficient and less credit-rating sensitive than financing in the unsecured market. Also, repurchase agreements tend to be short-term, often overnight. We manage the liquidity risks arising from secured funding by transacting with a diverse group of global counterparties, providing a diversified range of securities collateral and actively seeking to term out the tenor of certain transactions.

We seek to reduce refinancing risk through diversification of our funding sources. We diversify funding by product, investor and market in order to reduce our reliance on any one funding source. We benefit by distributing a significant portion of our debt through our retail and institutional sales force to a diversified global investor base.

We believe that maintaining relationships with our investors is critical to our liquidity strategy.

We also seek to diversify funding by currency. The proportion of our non-yen denominated long-term debt decreased to 27.6% of total term debt outstanding as of September 30, 2011 from 28.5% as of March 31, 2011.

We diversify funding by issuing various types of debt instruments these include both structured loans and notes. Structured notes are debt obligations with returns linked to other debt or equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities.

	Billion	Billions of yen, except percentages			
		March 31, Septem 2011 20		r 30,	
Short-term unsecured debt total ⁽¹⁾	¥ 2,634.3	23.6%	¥ 3,078.6	26.0%	
Short-term bank borrowings	884.3		1,235.5		
Other loans	84.8		108.4		
Commercial paper	379.5		394.5		
Deposit at banking entities	573.1		675.8		
Certificates of deposit	184.0		171.7		
Bonds and notes maturing within one year	528.6		492.7		
Long-term unsecured debt total	6,466.9	57.8%	6,719.1	56.8%	
Long-term deposit at banking entities	55.5		84.7		
Long-term bank borrowings	1,999.6		2,646.5		
Other loans	188.8		153.7		
Bonds and notes ⁽²⁾	4,223.0		3,834.2		
NHI shareholders equity	¥ 2,082.8	18.6%	¥ 2,037.6	17.2%	

- (1) Short-term unsecured debt includes the current portion of long-term unsecured debt.
- (2) Excluding Long-term bonds and notes issued by consolidated VIEs that meet the definition of VIEs under ASC 810, *Consolidation* and secured financing transactions recognized within long-term borrowings as a result of transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as financings rather than sales in accordance with ASC 860.

19

In terms of funding, NHI, NSC, Nomura Europe Finance N.V. and Nomura Bank International plc are the main entities for external borrowings, issuances and others. Having raised the funds to match the currencies and liquidities of assets, we pursue optimization of our funding structures.

For this six months ended September 30, 2011, we issued ¥45.4 billion senior unsecured bonds in the domestic market. In December 2011, we also issued ¥170 billion subordinated bond in the domestic market.

- 3. Management of Credit Lines to Nomura Group entities. We maintain committed facility agreements with financial institutions for Nomura Group entities in order to provide contingent financing sources. The total amount of our unused committed facilities was ¥155.6 billion as of September 30, 2011. We have structured the facilities to ensure that the maturity dates of these facilities are distributed evenly throughout the year in order to prevent excessive maturities of facilities in any given period. While the ability to borrow under these facilities is subject to customary lending conditions and covenants, we do not believe that any of the covenant requirements will impair our ability to draw on them. We occasionally test the effectiveness of our drawdown procedures.
- 4. *Implementation of Liquidity Stress Tests.* We maintain our liquidity portfolio and monitor the sufficiency of our liquidity based on an internal model which simulates changes in cash outflow under specified stress scenarios to comply with our above mentioned liquidity management policy.

We assess the firm s liquidity requirements under various stress scenarios with differing levels of severity over multiple time horizons. We evaluate these requirements under company-specific and broad market wide events, including potential credit rating downgrades at the parent company and subsidiary levels that may impact us by loss of access to unsecured capital markets, additional collateral posting requirements, limited or no access to secured funding markets and other events. We call this risk analysis our Maximum Cumulative Outflow framework.

To ensure a readily available source for a potential liquidity requirement, we maintain a liquidity portfolio in the form of cash and highly liquid, unencumbered securities that may be sold or pledged to provide liquidity. As of September 30, 2011, our liquidity portfolio was \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{4}}}{5},585.6\) billion which generated a liquidity surplus taking into account a stress scenario as defined in our liquidity risk policy. We recognize that the liquidity standards for financial institutions continue to be the subject of further discussion among the relevant supervisory bodies including the Basel Committee. The existing model and simulations upon which we currently rely may need to be reviewed depending on any new development in this area. Our liquidity portfolio is composed of following highly liquid products.

	Billio	1,959.7 1,05		
			,	
Liquidity Portfolio	¥ 5,819.1	¥	5,585.6	
Cash, Cash Equivalent and Time Deposits	1,959.7		1,058.5	
Overnight Call Loans	8.3		59.5	
Government Securities	3,851.1		4,467.6	

In addition to the liquidity portfolio, we have \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{4}}}{1,633.9}\) billion of other unencumbered assets comprising mainly unpledged trading assets that can be used as an additional source of secured funding. The aggregate value of our liquidity portfolios and other unencumbered assets as of September 30, 2011 was \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{7}}}{1,219.5}\) billion this represented 234.5% of our total unsecured debt maturing within one year.

	Billio	Billions of yen			
	March 31, 2011	Sept	tember 30, 2011		
Net Liquidity Value of Other Unencumbered Assets	¥ 1,806.9	¥	1,633.9		
Liquidity Portfolio	5,819.1		5,585.6		
Total	¥ 7,626.0	¥	7,219.5		

Table of Contents

In the stress test, we assume the cash outflow as shown below and also consider the assumption that in certain instances, legal and regulatory requirements can restrict the flow of funds between entities in our consolidated group, and funds or securities may not freely move among us.

The size and structure of our liquidity portfolio takes into account immediate cash requirements arising from:

- (i) Upcoming maturities of unsecured debt (maturities less than 1 year)
- (ii) Potential buybacks of our outstanding debt
- (iii) Loss of secured funding lines particularly for less liquid assets, over and above our cash capital estimates
- (iv) Fluctuation of funding needs under normal business circumstances
- (v) Cash and collateral outflows in a stress event

We constantly evaluate and modify our liquidity risk assumptions based on regulatory and market changes. The model we use in order to simulate the impact of stress scenarios assumes no liquidation of assets, no ability to issue additional unsecured funding, a widening of haircuts on outstanding repo funding, collateralization of clearing banks and depositories, drawdowns on loan commitments and loss of liquidity from market losses on inventory.

5. Contingency Funding Plan. We have developed a detailed contingency funding plan to integrate liquidity risk control into our comprehensive risk management strategy and to enhance the quantitative aspects of our liquidity risk control procedures. As a part of the Contingency Funding Plan (CFP), we have developed an approach for analyzing and specifying the extent of any liquidity crisis. This allows us to estimate the likely impact of both a Nomura-specific and market-wide crises; and specifies the immediate action to be taken to mitigate any risk. The CFP lists details of key internal and external parties to be contacted and the processes by which information is to be disseminated. This has been developed at the legal entity level in order to capture specific cash requirements at the local level it assumes that the parent company does not have access to cash that may be trapped at the subsidiary level due to regulatory, legal or tax constraints. We periodically test the effectiveness of our funding plans for different Nomura-specific and market-wide events. We also have access to operations at central banks such as the Bank of Japan and the European Central Bank, which provide financing against various types of securities. These operations are accessed in the normal course of business and are an important tool in mitigating contingent risk from market disruptions.

Cash Flow

Cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2010 and as of September 30, 2011 were ¥534.9 billion and ¥551.6 billion, respectively. Cash flows from operating activities for the six months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 were outflows of ¥1,095.5 billion and ¥900.1 billion, respectively due primarily to the increase in *Trading assets*. Cash flows from investing activities for the six months ended September 30, 2010 were outflows of ¥205.1 billion due primarily to an increase in *Non-trading debt securities*, *net* and those for September 30, 2011 were inflows of ¥43.3 billion due primarily to a decrease in *Other*, *net*. Cash flows from financing activities for the six months ended September 30, 2010 were inflows of ¥838.6 billion due primarily to an increase in borrowings and those for September 30, 2011 were outflows of ¥183.2 billion due primarily to a decrease in borrowings.

Balance Sheet and Financial Leverage

Total assets as of September 30, 2011, were \(\frac{3}{4}\),693.7 billion, an increase of \(\frac{2}{2}\)42.7 billion compared to \(\frac{2}{3}\)6,693.0 billion as of March 31, 2011, due primarily to increases in *Trading assets* and *Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities*. Total liabilities as of September 30, 2011, were \(\frac{2}{3}\)4,621.3 billion, an increase of \(\frac{2}{3}\)1.9 billion compared to \(\frac{2}{3}\)4,601.4 billion as of March 31, 2011, this was due primarily to an increase in *Other liabilities* and *Long-term borrowings* including the newly consolidated portion. NHI shareholders equity as of September 30, 2011 was \(\frac{2}{3}\)2,037.6 billion, a decrease of \(\frac{2}{3}\)45.2 billion compared to \(\frac{2}{3}\)2,082.8 billion as of March 31, 2011, due to a decrease in *Retained earnings*.

21

We seek to maintain sufficient capital at all times to withstand losses due to extreme market movements. The EMB is responsible for implementing and enforcing capital policies. This includes the determination of our balance sheet size and required capital levels. We continuously review our equity capital base to ensure that it can support the economic risk inherent in our business. There are also regulatory requirements for minimum capital of entities that operate in regulated securities or banking businesses.

As leverage ratios are commonly used by other financial institutions similar to us, we voluntarily provide a Leverage ratio and Adjusted leverage ratio primarily for benchmarking purposes so that users of our annual report can compare our leverage against other financial institutions. There are currently no regulatory or statutory reporting requirements which require us to disclose leverage ratios.

The following table sets forth total NHI shareholders equity, total assets, adjusted assets and leverage ratios:

	Billions of yen	, except ratios
	March 31, 2011	September 30, 2011
Total NHI shareholders equity	¥ 2,082.8	¥ 2,037.6
Total assets ⁽¹⁾	36,693.0	36,935.7
Adjusted assets ⁽²⁾	21,536.7	22,484.1
Leverage ratio ⁽³⁾	17.6x	18.1x
Adjusted leverage ratio ⁽⁴⁾	10.3x	11.0x

- (1) Reconciles to the total assets amount disclosed on the face of our consolidated balance sheets and therefore excludes the fair value of securities transferred to counterparties under repo-to-maturity and certain Japanese securities lending transactions which are accounted for as sales rather than collateralized financing arrangements. The fair value of securities derecognized under these agreements has not had a significant impact on our reported Leverage and Adjusted leverage ratios as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011.
- (2) Represents total assets less Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities borrowed.
- (3) Equals total assets divided by Total NHI shareholders equity.
- (4) Equals adjusted assets divided by Total NHI shareholders equity.

Total assets increased by 0.7% reflecting primarily the increase in *Trading assets* and *Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities*. Total NHI shareholders equity decreased by 2.2%. Our Leverage ratio went up from 17.6 times as of March 31, 2011 to 18.1 times as of September 30, 2011.

Adjusted assets increased due to the decrease in *Securities purchased under agreements to resell*. As a result, our Adjusted leverage ratio went up from 10.3 times as of March 31, 2011 to 11.0 times as of September 30, 2011.

Allocable value shall not offset positive numbers in other business lines and shall be treated as zero.

Capital Management

Capital Management Policy

We seek to enhance shareholder value and to capture growing business opportunities by maintaining sufficient levels of capital. We review levels of capital as appropriate, taking into consideration the economic risks inherent to operating our businesses, the regulatory requirements, and maintaining our ratings necessary to operate businesses globally.

Dividends

Nomura believes that pursuing sustainable increase in shareholder value and paying dividends are essential to generating returns to our shareholders. Nomura will strive to pay stable dividends using a consolidated payout ratio of 30 percent as a key indicator.

Dividend payments will be determined taking into account a comprehensive range of factors such as the tightening of Basel regulations and other changes to the regulatory environment, as well as the company s consolidated financial performance.

Nomura paid dividend of ¥4.0 per share for the first half in line with its dividend policy for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012.

22

With respect to the retained earnings, in order to implement measures to adapt to regulatory changes and to increase shareholder value, we seek to efficiently invest in business areas where high profitability and growth may reasonably be expected, including the development and expansion of infrastructure.

The following table sets forth the amounts of dividends per share paid by us in respect of the periods indicated:

Fiscal year ended or ending March 31,	First	Quarter	Secon	d Quarter	Third	Quarter	Fourt	h Quarter	Total
2007	¥	8.00	¥	8.00	¥	8.00	¥	20.00	¥ 44.00
2008		8.50		8.50		8.50		8.50	34.00
2009		8.50		8.50		8.50			25.50
2010				4.00				4.00	8.00
2011				4.00				4.00	8.00
2012				4.00					

Stock Repurchases

We consider repurchase of treasury stock as an option in our financial strategy to respond quickly to changes in the business environment. We make announcements immediately after any decision to set up a share buyback program and conduct such programs in accordance with internal guidelines.

Consolidated Regulatory Requirements

The FSA established the Guideline for Financial Conglomerate Supervision (Financial Conglomerate Guideline) in June 2005 and set out the rule on consolidated regulatory capital. We started monitoring our consolidated capital adequacy ratio in accordance with the Financial Conglomerate Guideline from April 2005.

Beginning from the end of March, 2009, we elected to calculate the consolidated capital adequacy ratio according to the Bank Holding Companies Notice as permitted under the Financial Instruments Business Operators Guidelines, although we continue to be monitored as a financial conglomerate governed by Financial Conglomerate Guideline. The Bank Holding Companies Notice incorporates the rules set out in International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: A Revised Framework published with the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel II).

Nomura has been assigned as Ultimate Designated Parent Company who must calculate the consolidated regulatory capital adequacy ratio according to the Criteria for Ultimate Designated Parent Companies to judge whether their capital adequacy status is appropriate in light of their own and their subsidiaries asset holdings (Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice) in April 2011. Since then, we have been calculating our Basel II-based consolidated regulatory capital adequacy ratio according to the Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice.

In addition, we must maintain our consolidated regulatory capital adequacy ratio of at least 8% according to Article 1 of the Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice. As of September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with this requirement, with a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of 19.6%.

The following table presents Nomura s consolidated capital adequacy ratio as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011:

	Billions	Billions of yen, except ratios		
	March 31, 2011	September 2011		
Qualifying Capital				
Tier 1 capital	¥ 1,915	.0 ¥ 2,049		
Tier 2 capital	651	.5 449		
Tier 3 capital	139	.6 132		
Deductions	121	.8 101		

Total qualifying capital	¥	2,584.3 ¥	2,529.7
Risk-Weighted Assets			
Credit risk-weighted assets	¥	7,468.4 ¥	8,556.1
Market risk equivalent assets		2,442.3	2,313.7
Operational risk equivalent assets		1,718.8	2,029.4
Total risk-weighted assets	¥	11,629.5 ¥	12,899.2
Consolidated Capital Adequacy Ratios			
Consolidated capital adequacy ratio		22.2%	19.6%
Tier 1 capital ratio		16.4%	15.8%

Table of Contents

Total qualifying capital is comprised of Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 capital. Our Tier 1 capital mainly consists of NHI shareholders equity and non-controlling interests less goodwill and certain intangible fixed assets.

Tier 2 and Tier 3 capital consist of subordinated debt classified to Tier 2 and Tier 3 by original maturity and other conditions set out by the Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice.

Market risk is calculated using Nomura s VaR model as permitted under the Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice. On March 31, 2011, we started calculating credit risk assets and operational risk using foundation Internal Ratings-Based approach and The Standardized Approach, respectively. Prior to that, we calculated these risks applying the Standardized Approach for credit risk and the Basic Indicator Approach for operational risk, respectively.

We provide Tier 1 capital ratio and consolidated capital adequacy ratio not only to demonstrate that we are in compliance with regulatory requirements set out by the FSA but also for benchmarking purposes so that users of our report can compare our capital position against those of other financial groups under the same Basel framework. Management receives and reviews these capital ratios on a regular basis.

The Basel Committee has issued a series of announcements regarding a broader program designed to strengthen the regulatory capital framework in light of weaknesses revealed by the financial crises. The following is a summary of the proposals which are most relevant to us.

On July 13, 2009, the Basel Committee announced its approval of a package of measures designed to strengthen its rules governing trading book capital and to enhance the three pillars of the Basel II framework. This announcement states that the Basel Committee s trading book rules, effective at the end of 2011, will introduce higher capital requirements to capture the credit risk of complex trading activities. Such trading rules also include a stressed VaR requirement. Also, on June 18, 2010, the Basel Committee announced its minor adjustments on the treatment for securitization products.

On December 16, 2010, in an effort to promote a more resilient banking sector, the Basel Committee issued Basel III, that is, International framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring and A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems. The proposals include raising the quality, consistency and transparency of the capital base; strengthening the risk coverage of the capital framework such as the implementation of credit value adjustment charge for over-the-counter derivative trade; introducing a leverage ratio requirement as a supplemental measure to the risk-based framework; and introducing a series of measures to address concerns over the procyclicality of the current framework. The proposals also introduce a minimum liquidity standard including a 30-day liquidity coverage ratio as well as a longer-term structural liquidity ratio. Additional capital, liquidity or other supervisory measures to reduce the externalities created by systemically important institutions are also under review. These standards will be phased in gradually from 2013.

After the release of Basel III text, the Financial Stability Board and the Basel Committee continued to review additional capital, liquidity or other supervisory measures to reduce the externalities created by global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs). On July 19, 2011, they announced consultation documents on measures to address G-SIFIs. These documents address the risks caused by G-SIFIs and the systemic risk to financial systems and moral hazard risk caused by the too-big-to-fail problem. The final proposals were submitted to the G-20 summit in November 2011.

The FSA introduced the notices such as Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice on consolidated regulation and supervision of securities companies on a consolidated basis on April 1, 2011 to improve the stability and transparency of Japan s financial system and ensure the protection of investors. It reviewed the Upstream Consolidated Regulatory Capital Notice according to the proposal on trading book review of the Basel II framework and the revised notice will be implemented at the end of December 2011. Also it is expected that the revised notice will incorporate a series of rules and standards from the Basel III framework described above in line with the schedule proposed by the Basel Committee.

24

Credit Ratings

The cost and availability of unsecured funding generally are dependent on credit ratings. Our long-term and short-term debt is rated by several recognized credit rating agencies. We believe that our credit ratings include the credit ratings agencies—assessment of the general operating environment, our positions in the markets in which we operate, reputation, earnings structure, trend and volatility of our earnings, risk management framework, liquidity and capital management. An adverse change in any of these factors could result in a downgrade of our credit ratings, and that could, in turn, increase our borrowing costs and limit our access to the capital markets or require us to post additional collateral and permit counterparties to terminate transactions pursuant to certain contractual obligations. In addition, our credit ratings can have a significant impact on certain of our trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where longer term counterparty performance is critical, such as OTC derivative transactions.

As of November 30, 2011, the credit ratings of NHI and NSC were as follows:

Nomura Holdings, Inc.	Short-term Debt	Long-term Debt
Standard & Poor s	A-2	BBB+
Moody s Investors Service		Baa2
Rating and Investment Information, Inc.	a-1	A+
Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.		AA-

	Short-Term	Long-term
Nomura Securities Co., Ltd.	Debt	Debt
Standard & Poor s	A-2	A-
Moody s Investors Service	P-2	Baa1
Rating and Investment Information, Inc.	a-1	A+
Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.		AA-

Both Rating and Investment Information, Inc. and Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. are credit rating agencies nationally recognized in Japan. We rely on, or utilize, credit ratings on our long-term and short-term debt provided by these Japanese credit rating agencies, as well as Standard & Poor s and Moody s Investors Service, for unsecured funding and other financing purposes and also for our trading and other business activities. Within the rating classification system of Rating and Investment Information, Inc., a-1 is the highest of five categories for short-term debt and indicates a strong degree of certainty regarding the debt repayment; and A is the third highest of nine categories for long-term debt and indicates a high degree of certainty regarding the debt repayment with excellence in specific component factors, with a plus (+) or minus (-) sign added to a rating in that category to indicate its relative standing within that category. Within the rating classification system of Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd., AA is the second highest of ten categories for long-term debt and indicates a very high level of capacity to honor the financial commitment on the obligation, with a plus (+) or minus (-) sign added to a rating in that category to indicate its relative standing within that category.

There has been no change to the ratings in the above table since the date indicated.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Off-balance sheet entities

In the normal course of business, we engage in a variety of off-balance sheet arrangements with off-balance sheet entities which may have an impact on Nomura Group s future financial position and performance.

Off-balance sheet arrangements with off-balance sheet entities include the following where Nomura Group has:

an obligation under a guarantee contract;

a retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an off-balance sheet entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support;

any obligation, including a contingent obligation, under a contract that would be accounted for as a derivative instrument; or

25

any obligation, including contingent obligation, arising out of a variable interest in an off-balance sheet entity that is held by, and material to, us, where such entity provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to, or engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with, us.

Off-balance sheet entities may take the form of a corporation, partnership, fund, trust or other legal vehicle which is designed to fulfill a limited, specific purpose by its sponsor. We both create or sponsor these entities and also enter into arrangements with entities created or sponsored by others.

Our involvement with these entities includes structuring, underwriting, distributing and selling debt instruments and beneficial interests issued by these entities, subject to prevailing market conditions. In the normal course of business, we also act as a transferor of financial assets to these entities, as well as, and underwriter, distributor and seller of asset-repackaged financial instruments issued by these entities, in connection with our securitization and equity derivative activities. We retain, purchase and sell variable interests in Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) in connection with our market-making, investing and structuring activities. Our other types of off-balance sheet arrangements include guarantee agreements and derivative contracts. Significant involvement is assessed based on all of our arrangements with these entities, even if the probability of loss, as assessed at the balance sheet date, is remote.

For further information about transactions with VIEs, see Note 6, Securitization and Variable Interest Entities in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

Repurchase and securities lending transactions accounted for as sales

We enter into certain types of repurchase transactions and securities lending transactions which we account for as sales rather than collateralized financings where the criteria for derecognition of the securities transferred under ASC 860 are met. These consist of repo-to-maturity transactions, certain Japanese securities lending transactions and, to a lesser extent, Japanese Gensaki transactions.

We enter into repo-to-maturity transactions to take advantage of arbitrage opportunities between the cash security and repo markets. These transactions involve the sourcing of specific securities in the market and contemporaneously entering into repurchase agreements with different counterparties where the maturity of the agreement matches the maturity of the security transferred as collateral. We account for these transactions as sales rather than collateralized financings where the criteria for derecognition of the securities transferred under ASC 860 are met. The amounts of securities derecognized from our consolidated balance sheets under open repo-to-maturity transactions as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 were \mathbb{\pmathbb{\text{T}}69,766 million and \mathbb{\pmathbb{\pmathbb{\pmathbb{\text{T}}89,466}} million, respectively.

We engage in certain Japanese securities lending transactions for funding purposes under which we transfer long securities (such as Japanese listed equities). The agreements supporting these transactions include varying margining requirements, but the amount of cash we borrow from our counterparties is typically significantly less than the fair value of securities we lend. We account for these transactions as sales in our consolidated financial statements where the criteria for derecognition of the transferred financial assets under ASC 860 are met. In particular, we do not maintain effective control over the transferred financial assets as we are not able to be returned the transferred financial assets on substantially agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The amounts of securities derecognized from our consolidated balance sheets under open securities lending transactions as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 were ¥291,870 million and ¥227,481 million, respectively. Nomura will adopt ASU 2011-03 *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements* from January 1, 2012. These transactions undertaken after adoption date will be accounted for as secured borrowings rather than sales in these consolidated financial statements as the criteria for derecognition of the transferred financial assets under ASC 860 will no longer be met.

Contractual Obligations

During the six months ended September 30, 2011, real estate companies and an insurance company became our subsidiaries. As a result, we incurred commitments to purchase real estate for sale and rental, and liabilities related to the insurance business, respectively. Since March 31, 2011, there have been no other material changes outside our ordinary course of business in connection with our standby letters of credit and other guarantees, long-term borrowings, operating lease commitments, capital lease commitments, purchase obligations, commitments to extend credit, commitments to invest in partnership and commitments to purchase aircraft.

For further details on our commitments, contingencies and guarantees, see Note 13, *Commitments, contingencies and guarantees* in our interim consolidated financial statements included in this Form 6-K.

Risk Management

The business activities of Nomura are exposed to various risks such as market risk, credit risk, operational risk and other risks caused by external factors. We have established a risk management framework to provide comprehensive controls, monitoring and reporting of these risks in order to maintain financial soundness and our corporate values.

26

Global Risk Management Structure

Governance

The Board of Directors has established the Structure for Ensuring Appropriate Business of Nomura Holdings, Inc. defining basic principles and establishing framework for the management of risk of loss. In addition, they are continuously making efforts to improve, strengthen and develop our risk management capabilities under this framework. The Group Integrated Risk Management Committee (GIRMC), upon delegation of the EMB, has established the Integrated Risk Management Policy, describing our overall risk management framework including the fundamental principles concerning risk management and organization and this is under continuous improvement.

Basic Principles of Risk Management

We define risks as (i) the potential erosion of Nomura Group s capital base due to unexpected losses from business operations, (ii) the potential lack of access to funds due to deterioration of the Nomura Group s creditworthiness or deterioration in market conditions, and (iii) the potential failure of revenues to cover expenses due to the deterioration of the earnings environment or deterioration of efficiency or effectiveness of business operations.

It is a fundamental principle that all Directors, Executive Managing Directors, Senior Managing Directors, Corporate Auditors and employees of Nomura regard themselves as principals of risk management and appropriately manage risks arising in the course of day-to-day business operations. At the same time, we practice prudent risk management at an individual entity level within the group and also identify, evaluate and appropriately manage risks within each of the business departments, risk management departments and internal audit departments, each and all.

Fundamental Policy of Risk Management

Our fundamental policy concerning risk management is to control risks arising in the course of business operations to the confines of the company s risk appetite, which is clearly established based on risk tolerance in line with group-wide business strategy, business targets, management strength and financial base. We endeavor to radicate this risk appetite into actual business operations.

Our risk appetite consists of quantitative and qualitative factors. Targets are set for such quantitative factors as capital adequacy, liquidity and profitability. Targets also set for such qualitative factors as Zero Tolerance Risk, which are risk that Nomura shall tolerate to no extent whatsoever, and for Minimum Tolerance Risk, which is a risk that we may tolerate to a limited extent in consideration of profit potential, risk mitigation methods, monitoring capability and other factors, respectively.

We endeavor to measure risks using quantitative methods to the greatest extent possible and to continually improve our risk measurement methods. We use economic capital for the risks measured by quantitative methods collectively and use it as the principal reference for assessment of capital adequacy, capital allocation and risk management. When evaluating risks by quantitative methods, we conduct stress testing as a complementary measure to analyze and evaluate the potential impact of each type of risk on our capital base.

27

Risk Management Organizations

The organizational structure and core bodies tasked with risk management in Nomura are shown in the following chart.

Executive Management Board

The EMB deliberates on and determines our management strategy, allocation of management resources and important management matters of Nomura by promoting the effective use of management resources and execution of business with the unified objective to contribute to increasing of shareholder value.

Group Integrated Risk Management Committee

The GIRMC deliberates on and determines important matters concerning integrated risk management of Nomura upon delegation by the EMB for contributing to the sound and effective management of the business. The GIRMC is a core organization for group-wide risk management and establishes our risk appetite and the framework of integrated risk management in accordance with our risk appetite.

Chief Risk Officer

The Chief Risk Officer (CRO) is responsible for supervising the Risk Management Department and maintaining the effectiveness of the risk management framework independently from the business units within Nomura. The CRO not only regularly reports on the status of our risk management to the GIRMC, but also reports to and seeks the approval of the GIRMC on measures required for risk management.

Chief Financial Officer

The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) has the operational authority and responsibility over our liquidity management. Liquidity risk management policy is based on the risk appetite which the GIRMC formulates. Our primary objective for liquidity risk management is to ensure continuous liquidity across market cycles and periods of stress, and to ensure that all funding requirements and unsecured debt obligations that fall due within one year can be met without additional unsecured funding or forced liquidation of trading assets.

Risk Management Unit

The Risk Management Unit is defined as collectively the Group Risk Management Department and departments or units in charge of risk management established independently from the business units of Nomura entities. The Risk Management Department is responsible for establishing and operating risk management processes, establishing and enforcing risk management policies and regulations, verifying the effectiveness of risk management methods, gathering reports from Nomura entities, reporting to Executive/Senior Managing Directors and the GIRMC and others and also reporting to regulatory bodies and handling of regulatory applications concerning risk management methods and other items.

28

Classification and Definition of Risk

We classify and define risks as follows and have established departments or units to manage each risk type.

Risk Category Market Risk	Summary Description Risk of losses arising from fluctuations in values of financial assets and liabilities (including off balance sheet items) due to fluctuations in market risk factors (interest rates, foreign exchange rates, prices of securities and others).
Credit Risk	Risk of losses arising from decrease or disappearance of asset values (including off-balance sheet items) due to deteriorations in creditworthiness or default of an obligor or counterparty.
Country Risk	Risk caused about by a country s political, economic, legal, conventional, religious or other characteristics inherent to the country or risk of losses arising from changes in a country s situation due to a change of regime, decrease in predictability and stability of political measures, economic downturn or social turmoil.
Operational Risk	Risk of loss associated with inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events.
System Risk	Within Operational Risk, risk of losses due to system defects including, but not limited to computer crash or malfunction, or risk of losses due to unauthorized use of computers.
Liquidity Risk	Risk of losses arising from difficulty in securing necessary funding or from a significantly higher cost of funding than normal levels due to deterioration of our creditworthiness or deterioration in market conditions.
Business Risk	Risk of failure of revenues to cover costs due to deterioration in the earnings environment or deteriorations in the efficiency or effectiveness of business operations.
Market Risk	

We define market risk as potential loss in the value of an asset resulting from changes in market prices, rates, indices, volatilities, correlations or other market factors. This type of risk primarily impacts our trading activities. Effective management of this risk requires the ability to analyze a complex and constantly changing global market environment, identify problematic trends and ensure that appropriate action is taken in a timely manner. Our principle statistical measurement tool to assess and limit market risk on an ongoing basis is Value-at-Risk (VaR). Limits on VaR are set in line with the firm s risk appetite as expressed through economic capital. In addition to VaR, we use stress testing and scenario analysis to measure and analyze our market risk. Market risk is monitored against a set of approved limits, with daily reports delivered to senior management.

Value-at-Risk

VaR is the potential loss in the value of our trading positions due to adverse movements in markets over a defined time horizon with a specified confidence level. We estimate VaR using a 99% confidence level and a one-day time horizon for our trading portfolio. Market risks that are incorporated in the VaR model include equity prices, interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and associated volatilities and correlations. The historical data to calculate volatilities and correlations is weighted to give greater importance to more recent observations.

VaR Methodology, Assumptions and Limitations. We make a number of assumptions and approximations in relation to the modeling of the risk characteristics of our trading positions. Different assumptions, approximations or a combination of them could result in a materially different VaR. We believe that the assumptions and approximations we use are reasonable.

Trading Portfolio Risk

The following tables show our VaR as of each of the dates indicated for substantially all of our trading positions:

	Hundred millions of yen						
	Mar. 31, 2011	Apr. 29, 2011	May 31, 2011	Jun. 30, 2011	Jul. 29, 2011	Aug. 31, 2011	Sep. 30, 2011
Equity	¥ 17.8	¥ 18.8	¥ 16.4	¥ 15.9	¥ 16.8	¥ 20.6	¥ 18.8
Interest Rate	40.8	44.1	51.3	43.1	51.8	33.7	40.3
Foreign Exchange	45.3	39.3	41.3	38.3	36.8	31.5	28.4
Subtotal	104.0	102.1	109.1	97.2	105.4	85.8	87.5
Less:							
Diversification Benefit	(41.2)	(41.3)	(38.0)	(37.2)	(36.9)	(36.0)	(35.9)
VaR	¥ 62.8	¥ 60.8	¥ 71.1	¥ 60.1	¥ 68.5	¥ 49.9	¥ 51.6

VaR

(maximum) ¥ 76.7 May 19, 2011

(average) 60.9 Average for the period from April 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011

(minimum) 49.2 September 15, 2011

	Hundred millions of yen												
	Mar. 31,	Apr. 30,	May 31,	Jun. 30,	Jul. 30,	Aug. 31,	Sep. 30,	Oct. 29,	Nov. 30,	Dec. 31,	Jan. 31,	Feb. 28,	Mar. 31,
	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2011	2011	2011
Equity	¥ 26.2	¥ 30.3	¥ 24.6	¥ 19.8	¥ 18.5	¥ 20.1	¥ 21.7	¥ 16.8	¥ 16.5	¥ 20.9	¥ 24.8	¥ 23.2	¥ 17.8
Interest Rate	43.6	47.8	35.8	41.9	45.0	45.4	45.8	46.7	44.3	43.5	40.0	39.7	40.8
Foreign Exchange	105.4	95.4	81.3	76.2	73.9	65.7	66.7	67.2	59.2	50.8	48.1	45.8	45.3
Subtotal	175.3	173.5	141.7	137.9	137.4	131.1	134.1	130.7	120.0	115.2	112.9	108.7	104.0
Less:													
Diversification Benefit	(49.7)	(49.5)	(38.6)	(43.6)	(42.1)	(45.0)	(39.8)	(42.6)	(41.5)	(40.6)	(46.3)	(44.1)	(41.2)
VaR	¥ 125.5	¥ 123.9	¥ 103.1	¥ 94.3	¥ 95.4	¥ 86.1	¥ 94.3	¥ 88.1	¥ 78.5	¥ 74.6	¥ 66.7	¥ 64.6	¥ 62.8

VaR

(maximum) ¥ 136.2: April 16, 2010

(average) 91.4: Average for the period from April 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011

(minimum) 60.0: March 11, 2011

Overall VaR has decreased since March 31, 2011. VaR relating to equity risk decreased from \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{1}}}{1.78}\) billion at the end of March 2011 to \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{1}}}{1.88}\) billion at the end of September 2011 mainly due to increases in equity related volatility. VaR relating to interest rate risk decreased from \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{4}}}{4.08}\) billion at the end of March 2011 to \(\frac{\pmathbf{\frac{4}}}{4.03}\) billion at the end of September 2011. VaR relating to foreign exchange risk decreased from \(\frac{\pmathbf{4}}{4.53}\) billion at the end of March 2011 to \(\frac{\pmathbf{2}}{2.84}\) billion at the end of September 2011 mainly due to reductions in foreign exchange volatility.

In the preceding year, VaR relating to equity risk decreased from ¥2.62 billion at the end of March 2010 to ¥1.78 billion at the end of March 2011 mainly due to reductions in equity related volatility. VaR relating to interest rate risk decreased from ¥4.36 billion at the end of March 2010 to ¥4.08 billion at the end of March 2011 mainly due to reductions in interest rate related positions. VaR relating to foreign exchange risk decreased from ¥10.54 billion at the end of March 2010 to ¥4.53 billion at the end of March 2011 mainly due to reductions in foreign exchange volatility.

Back-Testing

We compare VaR values with the actual profits and losses in trading portfolio and verify model s accuracy used in risk measurement. We count the number of actual times that VaR is exceeded and verify whether the number of times is within a predetermined range. If the number of exceptions is greater than the number predicted by the confidence level used for VaR, then we implement any necessary adjustments to the VaR methodology.

Other Measures

In some business lines or portfolios we use additional measures to control or limit risk taking activity. These measures include sensitivity analysis which show the potential changes to a portfolio due to standard moves in market risk factors. Metrics and limits of this type are typically specific to asset types, businesses or strategies and are used to complement VaR and economic capital measures.

Stress Testing

Stress testing represents the potential loss on a portfolio due to the impact of applying a severe yet feasible scenario. We have a comprehensive program of stress testing in place ranging from global cross risk class scenarios, such as the Severe Market and Economic Downturn and Severe Rates Rise used to limit risks against risk appetite at the overall group level, to desk level scenarios/grids designed to limit risks within individual business lines. Stress results are compared and used in conjunction with our economic capital measures. We continue to invest in the development of analysis tools, systems and global team of Risk and Risk IT professionals dedicated to stress testing.

Model Review

Pricing models are used to generate trade valuations and the risk measurement metrics for the management of positions. The Global Model Validation Group validates the appropriateness and consistency of these models, independent of those who design and build the models. As part of this process, the Global Model Validation Group analyzes a number of factors to assess the model suitability for the valuation and risk management of a particular product.

Non-Trading Risk

A major market risk in our non-trading portfolio relates to equity investments held for operating purposes which we hold on a long-term basis. Our non-trading portfolio is exposed mainly to volatility in the Japanese stock market. One method that can estimate the market risk in the portfolio is to analyze market sensitivity based on changes in the Tokyo Stock Price Index, or TOPIX, which is a leading index of prices of stocks on the First Section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

We use regression analysis covering the previous 90 days which tracks and compares fluctuations in the TOPIX and the market value of our equity investments held for operating purposes. Our simulation indicates that, for each 10% change in the TOPIX, the market value of our operating equity investments held for operating purposes can be expected to change by ¥14,051 million as of March 31, 2011 and ¥11,812 million as of September 30, 2011. The TOPIX closed at 869.38 points as of March 31, 2011 and at 761.17 points as of September 30, 2011. This simulation analyzes data for our entire portfolio of equity investments held for operating purposes. Therefore, it is very important to note that the actual results differ from our expectations because of price fluctuations of individual equities.

Credit Risk

Nomura defines credit risk as risk of losses arising from decrease or disappearance of asset values (including off-balance sheet items) due to deterioration in creditworthiness or default of an obligor.

For controlling credit risk appropriately, we have established basic principles in our Credit Risk Management Policy, a basic policy concerning credit risk management, which are important to meet the various needs of our clients whilst taking appropriate risks and ensuring sufficient

returns to increase our corporate values. Under these basic principles, we have established a robust and comprehensive credit risk management framework.

We have been applying the Foundation Internal Rating-Based Approach in calculating credit risk weighted assets for regulatory capital calculations since the end of March 2011. However, the Standardized Approach is still applied to certain business units or asset types, which are considered immaterial to the calculation of credit risk weighted assets.

31

Credit Risk Management Framework

Under the credit risk management framework, the GIRMC, upon delegation by the EMB, deliberates on and determines important matters concerning integrated risk management of Nomura and accordingly has established important principles concerning credit risk management as described in the Credit Risk Management Policy and other documents. The Global Risk Management Committee, upon delegation by the GIRMC, deliberates on and determines important matters concerning our credit risk management based on strategic risk allocation and risk appetite as determined by the GIRMC.

We have established an organizational structure with an appropriate mutual supervision system of check-and-balances under the CRO. The Credit Department, which is independent from the business units, conducts credit analysis, internal rating assignment, monitoring of credit risk profiles including credit concentration risk and others. Also, the Credit Risk Control Unit (the CRCU), also independent from the business units and Credit Department, establishes and maintains procedures and standards, monitoring operations, validation and others items for the Internal Rating System.

The Internal Audit Department, independently from these departments, audits the adequacy of credit risk management.

Method of Credit Risk Management

Internal Rating System

We have established an Internal Rating System to be a unified, exhaustive and objective framework to reasonably evaluate credit risk. Internal ratings consist of obligor ratings, which represent an assessment of an obligor s creditworthiness and facility ratings, which represent an assessment of potential unrecoverable loss for a facility in default. Internal ratings are classified into 20 grades, which consist of 17 non-default grades and 3 default grades based on creditworthiness.

Obligor ratings are assigned in principle to obligors who fall into the scope of the credit risk weighted assets calculation. In order to appropriately reflect the creditworthiness of obligors, obligor ratings are not only reviewed periodically at least once a year, but also are reviewed as soon as a significant change in the creditworthiness of the obligor is identified. The Credit Department, functionally independent from the business units, is responsible for assigning internal ratings in order to ensure the sound process of rating assignment. The CRCU functionally independent from business units and the Credit Department, is responsible for validating the appropriateness of Internal Rating System at least once a year. In addition, the Internal Audit Department, independent from all other divisions is responsible for auditing the appropriateness of the overall Internal Rating System, as part of Internal Audit is review of credit risk management.

Management of Individual Credit Exposures

Our main type of credit risk assets are counterparties faced through derivatives transactions or securities financing transactions (derivatives in this section.).

Credit exposures against counterparties are managed by means of setting credit limits, basing upon credit analysis of individual obligors. For risk monitoring after transactions, credit limits are managed through the daily calculation of potential credit exposures up to maturity, as well as monitoring the actual creditworthiness of obligors with adequate frequency, based upon which obligor ratings and credit limits are updated.

Credit Risk Mitigation Techniques

We enter into International Swap and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) master agreements or equivalent agreements (called Master Netting Agreements) with many of its derivatives counterparties. Master Netting Agreements provide protection to reduce losses potentially incurred by a counterparty default.

In addition, to reduce losses potentially incurred by a counterparty default, Nomura requires collateral to mitigate exposure, principally cash or highly liquid bonds, including U.S. and Japanese government securities, when necessary.

Scope of Credit Risk Management

The scope of credit risk management includes counterparty trading and various debt or equity instruments including loans, private equity investments, fund investments, investment securities and any other as deemed necessary from a credit risk management perspective.

Integrated Management

We evaluate credit risk not only by obligor, but also by obligor group where it is appropriate that their credit risk should be evaluated collectively.

Credit Risk Reporting

The global risk management unit is responsible for monitoring, evaluating and analyzing credit risk and for reporting the status of credit risk to the CRO, Senior Managing Directors in charge of risk management and the GIRMC with appropriate frequency.

Credit Risk Measurement

Credit risk is quantitatively-measured by a globally unified methodology. Credit risk is properly measured to reflect the effect of collateral or a guarantee.

Credit Risk to counterparties to derivatives transaction

We measure our credit risk to counterparties of derivatives transactions as the sum of actual current exposure evaluated daily at its fair value, plus potential exposure until maturity of such transactions. All derivative credit lines are controlled through the risk management units.

As we mentioned previously, we enter into Master Netting Agreements with many of our derivative counterparties. Master Netting Agreements provide protection to reduce our risks of counterparty default and, in some cases, offset our consolidated balance sheet exposure with the same counterparty and provide a more meaningful presentation of our balance sheet credit exposure. In addition, to reduce default risk, we require collateral, principally cash or highly liquid bonds, including U.S. and Japanese government securities when necessary.

The credit exposures in our trading-related derivatives as of March 31, 2011 are summarized in the table below, showing as the fair value by counterparty credit rating and by tenor. The credit ratings are internally determined by our credit unit.

	Billions of yen								
		Y	ears to Ma	turity					
Credit Rating	Less than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	5 to 7 Years	More than 7 Years	Cross-Maturity Netting ⁽¹⁾	Total Fair Value (a)	Collateral Obtained (b)	Replacement Cost (a)-(b)
AAA	¥ 2	¥ 14	¥ 30	¥ 14	¥ 79	¥ (67)	¥ 72	¥ 0	¥ 72
AA	133	214	252	177	409	(894)	291	30	261
A	189	285	308	245	580	(1,197)	410	125	285
BBB	63	44	72	89	175	(194)	249	11	238
BB	13	14	13	18	112	(16)	154	23	131
Other ⁽²⁾	112	27	42	32	69	(191)	91	65	26
Subtotal	512	598	717	575	1,424	(2,559)	1,267	254	1,013
Listed	367	216	45	5	1	(410)	224		224
Total	¥ 879	¥ 814	¥ 762	¥ 580	¥ 1,425	¥ (2,969)	¥ 1,491	¥ 254	¥ 1,237

- (1) This item represents netting of payable balances with receivable balances for the same counterparty across maturity band categories. Receivable and payable balances with the same counterparty in the same maturity category, however, are net within the maturity category. Cash collateral netting against net derivatives in accordance with ASC 210-20 *Balance Sheet Offsetting* are included.
- (2) Other does not necessarily indicate that the counterparties credit is below investment grade.

33

Operational Risk

Overview of Operational Risk Management

Nomura defines operational risk as the risk of loss associated with inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or from external events. This is an industry standard definition based on the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision definition of operational risk.

The GIRMC has approved the Nomura Global Operational Risk Management Policy, which defines the fundamental policy and framework for operational risk management across Nomura Group in order to meet business and regulatory needs. This Policy is supported by further minimum standards and procedures to clearly set out a consistent framework for the management of operational risk.

Operational Risk Principles

We adopt the industry standard Three Lines of Defense for the management of operational risk, comprising the following elements:

- 1) 1st Line of Defense: The business which owns and manages its risks
- 2) 2nd Line of Defense: The Operational Risk Management (ORM) function, which defines and co-ordinates the operational risk strategy and framework
- 3) 3rd Line of Defense: Internal and External Audit, who provide independent assurance
- 4) The Governing Body: The GIRMC, with delegated authority from the EMB, which provides formal oversight This ensures appropriate oversight and independent review and challenge of operational risk management throughout the company.

Operational Risk Management Framework

We have established an operational risk management framework comprising certain key products, services and processes. This framework is shown below:

Infrastructure of the framework

Policy framework: Sets minimum standards for operational risk and details how to monitor adherence to these standards

Training and awareness: Action taken by ORM to improve business understanding of ORM *Products and Services*

Scenario analysis: Process to identify high impact, low probability tail events

Event reporting: Process to obtain information on and learn from actual events impacting on the company and relevant external events

Key Risk Indicators (KRI): Metrics which allow monitoring of certain key operational risks

Risk and Control Self Assessment (RCSA): Process to identify key risks, controls and action plans

Outputs

Analysis and reporting: Key aspect of ORM role to analyze and report on ORM information and work with business to develop actions

Operational risk capital calculation: Calculate operational risk capital under Basel II provisions Operational Risk Classification

We use the standard Basel II event type as operational risk classifications (namely, Internal Fraud, External Fraud, Employee Practices and Workplace Safety, Clients, Products & Business Practices, Damage to Physical Assets, Business Disruption and System Failures and Execution, Delivery & Process Management).

Basel II regulatory capital calculation for operational risk

We use The Standardized Approach (TSA) for calculating regulatory capital for operational risk. This involves using the three years average of gross income, allocated to business lines and multiplied by a fixed percentage determined by the FSA, to establish the amount of required operational risk capital.

34

Table of Contents

We use consolidated net revenue as gross income. Gross income allocation is performed by mapping the net revenue of each given segment from management accounting data to each business line in accordance with the categories:

		Beta
Business Line	Description	Factor
Retail Banking	Retail deposit and loan-related services	12%
Commercial Banking	Deposit and loan-related services except for Retail Banking business	15%
Payment and Settlement	Payment and settlement services for clients transactions	18%
Retail Brokerage	Securities-related services mainly for individuals	12%
Trading and Sales	Market-related business	18%
Corporate Finance	M&A, underwriting, secondary and private offerings, and other funding services for clients	18%
Agency Services	Agency services for clients such as custody	15%
Asset Management	Fund management services for clients	12%

Nomura then calculates capital for every business line by multiplying respectively allocated annual gross income by the corresponding factors set out above. Any unallocable gross income is multiplied by a fixed percentage of 18%.

The total Operational Risk capital is calculated as the three-year average of the simple summation of the amounts across each of the business lines and unallocable value in each year. However, where the aggregate capital charge (gross income times beta factor) across all business lines within a given year is negative, then the capital charge for that year will be zero.

In any given year, negative numbers in any business line shall offset positive numbers in other business lines. However, negative numbers in unallocable value shall not offset positive numbers in other business lines and shall be treated as zero.

35

Table of Contents

Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)

	Page
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months and Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Six Months and Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011	F-9
Notes to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-75

F-1

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets (UNAUDITED)

	Million	s of yen	Translation into millions of U.S. dollars	
	March 31, 2011	September 30, 2011		
ASSETS		2011		
Cash and cash deposits:				
Cash and cash equivalents	¥ 1,620,340	¥ 551,639	\$ 7,160	
Time deposits	339,419	506,817	6,579	
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash	190,694	224,559	2,915	
Total cash and cash deposits	2,150,453	1,283,015	16,654	
Loans and receivables:				
Loans receivable (including ¥554,180 million and ¥289,073 million (\$3,752 million) measured at fair value by applying fair value option as of March 31, 2011 and				
September 30, 2011, respectively)	1,271,284	1,027,105	13,332	
Receivables from customers	32,772	51,845	673	
Receivables from other than customers	928,626	916,903	11,902	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(4,860)	(4,828)	(63)	
Total loans and receivables	2,227,822	1,991,025	25,844	
Collateralized agreements:				
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (including ¥904,126 million and				
¥784,977 million (\$10,189 million) measured at fair value by applying fair value				
option as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, respectively)	9,558,617	8,885,582	115,337	
Securities borrowed	5,597,701	5,565,997	72,248	
Total collateralized agreements	15,156,318	14,451,579	187,585	
Trading assets and private equity investments:				
Trading assets (including securities pledged as collateral of ¥4,621,042 million and				
¥5,474,813 million (\$71,065 million) as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011,				
respectively; including ¥15,444 million and ¥18,412 million (\$239 million) measured				
at fair value by applying fair value option as of March 31, 2011 and September 30,				
2011, respectively)	14,952,511	15,277,420	198,305	
Private equity investments (including ¥62,553 million and ¥56,511 million (\$734				
million) measured at fair value by applying fair value option as of March 31, 2011 and				
September 30, 2011, respectively)	289,420	260,290	3,379	
Total trading assets and private equity investments	15,241,931	15,537,710	201,684	
Other assets:				
Office buildings, land, equipment and facilities (net of accumulated depreciation and				
amortization of ¥300,075 million as of March 31, 2011 and ¥338,631 million (\$4,396				
million) as of September 30, 2011)	392,036	1,054,780	13,691	
Non-trading debt securities	591,797	854,698	11,094	
Investments in equity securities	91,035	81,030	1,052	
Investments in and advances to affiliated companies	273,105	202,620	2,630	

Other (including ¥1,805 million (\$23 million) measured at fair value by applying fair value option as of September 30, 2011)	568,493	1,479,214	19,201
Total other assets	1,916,466	3,672,342	47,668
Total assets	¥ 36,692,990	¥ 36,935,671	\$ 479,435

$Consolidated\ Balance\ Sheets\ \ (Continued)\ (UNAUDITED)$

	Million March 31,	ns of yen September 30,	Translation into millions of U.S. dollars September 30,	
	2011	2011	2011	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Short-term borrowings (including ¥183,524 million and ¥136,182 million (\$1,768				
million) measured at fair value by applying fair value option as of March 31, 2011 and				
September 30, 2011, respectively)	¥ 1,167,077	¥ 1,196,630	\$ 15,533	
Payables and deposits:				
Payables to customers	880,429	788,930	10,241	
Payables to other than customers	410,679	615,225	7,986	
Deposits received at banks	812,500	932,220	12,100	
Total payables and deposits	2,103,608	2,336,375	30,327	
Collateralized financing:				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (including ¥332,337 million and				
¥97,705 million (\$1,268 million) measured at fair value by applying fair value option				
as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, respectively)	10,813,797	10,855,067	140,902	
Securities loaned	1,710,191	1,767,817	22,947	
Other secured borrowings	1,162,450	1,292,954	16,782	
Other secured borrowings	1,102,130	1,2,2,,551	10,702	
Total collateralized financing	13,686,438	13,915,838	180,631	
Trading liabilities	8,688,998	7,446,965	96,664	
Other liabilities	552,316	971,301	12,607	
Long-term borrowings (including \(\xi\)2,300,606 million and \(\xi\)1,973,594 million (\(\xi\)25,618 million) measured at fair value by applying fair value option as of March 31, 2011 and	,	,	ŕ	
September 30, 2011, respectively)	8,402,917	8,754,189	113,632	
Total liabilities	34,601,354	34,621,298	449,394	
Commitments and contingencies				
Equity:				
Nomura Holdings, Inc. (NHI) shareholders equity:				
Common stock				
No par value share;				
Authorized 6,000,000,000 shares as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011				
Issued 3,719,133,241 shares as of March 31, 2011 and 3,822,562,601 shares as of				
September 30, 2011				
Outstanding 3,600,886,932 shares as of March 31, 2011 and 3,661,224,195 shares as of				
September 30, 2011	594,493	594,493	7,717	
Additional paid-in capital	646,315	684,777	8,889	
Retained earnings	1,069,334	1,026,367	13,322	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(129,696)	(166,884)	(2,166)	
Total NHI shareholders equity before treasury stock	2,180,446	2,138,753	27,762	
Common stock held in treasury, at cost 118,246,309 shares as of March 31, 2011 and	, ,	, ,	. ,	
161,338,406 shares as of September 30, 2011	(97,692)	(101,195)	(1,314)	
<u> </u>	,	, , ,		
Total NHI shareholders equity	2,082,754	2,037,558	26,448	

Noncontrolling interests Total equity	8,882 2,091,636	276,815 2,314,373	3,593 30,041
Total liabilities and equity	¥ 36,692,990	¥ 36,935,671	\$ 479,435

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents the classification of consolidated variable interest entities—assets and liabilities. The assets of a consolidated VIE may only be used to settle obligations of that VIE. Creditors do not have any recourse to Nomura beyond the assets held in the VIEs. Please see Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 6, Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities.

	Billio	Translation into billions of U.S. dollars					
	March 31, September 30, 2011 2011		• ′		•		ember 30, 2011
Cash and cash deposits	¥ 92	¥	68	\$	0.88		
Trading assets	1,110		1,091		14.17		
Other assets	132		609		7.90		
Total assets	¥ 1,334	¥	1,768	\$	22.95		
Trading liabilities	¥ 38	¥	25	\$	0.34		
Borrowings	1,032		1,182		15.34		
Other liabilities	7		34		0.42		
Total liabilities	¥ 1,077	¥	1,241	\$	16.10		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

$Consolidated \ Statements \ of \ Operations \ (UNAUDITED)$

	Million Six m	mil	ation into lions of dollars	
	2010	2011	2	2011
Revenue:				
Commissions	¥ 201,598	¥ 182,706	\$	2,372
Fees from investment banking	45,258	27,589		358
Asset management and portfolio service fees	68,566	75,767		983
Net gain on trading	162,962	93,484		1,213
Gain (loss) on private equity investments	(1,909)	(8,265)		(107)
Interest and dividends	145,717	240,375		3,120
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities	(16,028)	(3,141)		(41)
Other	28,270	196,342		2,549
Total revenue	634,434	804,857		10,447
Interest expense	98,987	172,903		2,244
	ĺ	ĺ		,
Net revenue	535,447	631,954		8,203
Non-interest expenses:				
Compensation and benefits	248,781	278,876		3,620
Commissions and floor brokerage	45,038	46,997		610
Information processing and communications	90,915	87,091		1,130
Occupancy and related depreciation	45,597	47,063		611
Business development expenses	13,939	21,668		281
Other	63,109	160,533		2,084
Total non-interest expenses	507,379	642,228		8,336
Income (loss) before income taxes	28,068	(10,274)		(133)
Income tax expense	23,100	15,947		207
meone tax expense	23,100	13,947		207
Net income (loss)	4,968	(26,221)		(340)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,595	2,100		28
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 3,373	¥ (28,321)	\$	(368)

					1 rans	siation into	
	Yen				U.S. dollars		
	Six months ended Sep				ptember 30		
	2010 2011			2011			
Per share of common stock:							
Basic							
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥	0.92	¥	(7.81)	\$	(0.10)	
Diluted							
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥	0.92	¥	(7.81)	\$	(0.10)	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

$Consolidated \ Statements \ of \ Operations \ \ (Continued) \ (UNAUDITED)$

		ns of yen months ended Sep 2011	Translation into millions of U.S. dollars eptember 30 2011		
Revenue:					
Commissions	¥ 83,520	¥ 85,926	\$	1,115	
Fees from investment banking	24,892	13,819		179	
Asset management and portfolio service fees	33,712	36,712		477	
Net gain on trading	102,993	25,984		337	
Gain (loss) on private equity investments	(963)	(2,315)		(30)	
Interest and dividends	69,960	107,288		1,393	
Gain (loss) on investments in equity securities	(5,685)	(2,544)		(33)	
Other	11,989	112,977		1,467	
Total revenue	320,418	377,847		4,905	
Interest expense	44,795	76,258		990	
Net revenue	275,623	301,589		3,915	
Non-interest expenses:					
Compensation and benefits	126,694	142,569		1,851	
Commissions and floor brokerage	21,357	22,939		298	
Information processing and communications	46,662	43,544		565	
Occupancy and related depreciation	23,086	26,371		342	
Business development expenses	6,780	12,333		160	
Other	29,446	98,465		1,278	
Total non-interest expenses	254,025	346,221		4,494	
Income (loss) before income taxes	21,598	(44,632)		(579)	
Income tax expense (benefit)	19,660	(373)		(5)	
Net income (loss)	1,938	(44,259)		(574)	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	887	1,833		24	
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ 1,051	¥ (46,092)	\$	(598)	

		Thre	Yen ee months ended Se	U.S ptember	
		2010	10 2011		2011
Per share of common stock:					
Basic					
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥	0.29	¥ (12.64)	\$	(0.16)
Diluted					
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders per share	¥	0.29	¥ (12.65)	\$	(0.16)
	11.1 1.01				

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

$Consolidated \ Statements \ of \ Changes \ in \ Equity \ (UNAUDITED)$

		Millions of yen Six months ended Septo 2010 2011			
Common stock					
Balance at beginning of year	¥ 594,493	¥ 594,493	\$ 7,717		
Balance at end of period	594,493	594,493	7,717		
Additional paid-in capital					
Balance at beginning of year	635,828	646,315	8,389		
Issuance of common stock		30,356	394		
Gain on sales of treasury stock	2,135	200	3		
Issuance and exercise of common stock options	300	7,309	95		
Purchase / sale (disposition) of subsidiary shares, etc., net		597	8		
Balance at end of period	638,263	684,777	8,889		
Retained earnings					
Balance at beginning of year	1,074,213	1,069,334	13,880		
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle ⁽¹⁾	(4,734)				
Net income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders	3,373	(28,321)	(368)		
Cash dividends ⁽²⁾	(14,402)	(14,646)	(190)		
Balance at end of period	1,058,450	1,026,367	13,322		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):					
Cumulative translation adjustments					
Balance at beginning of year	(74,330)	(97,426)	(1,265)		
Net change during period	(33,447)	(38,218)	(496)		
Balance at end of period	(107,777)	(135,644)	(1,761)		
Defined benefit pension plans					
Balance at beginning of year	(34,802)	(32,270)	(419)		
Pension liability adjustment	1,808	529	7		
Balance at end of period	(32,994)	(31,741)	(412)		
Non-trading securities					
Balance at beginning of year					
Unrealized gain on non-trading securities		501	7		
Officialized gain on non-trading securities		501	,		
Balance at end of period		501	7		
Balance at end of period	(140,771)	(166,884)	(2,166)		
Common stock held in treasury					
Balance at beginning of year	(68,473)	(97,692)	(1,268)		
Repurchases of common stock	(37,367)	(8,942)	(116)		

Sales of common stock	2	1	0
Common stock issued to employees	6,990	5,282	68
Other net change in treasury stock		156	2
Balance at end of period	(98,848)	(101,195)	(1,314)
Total NHI shareholders equity			
Balance at end of period	2,051,587	2,037,558	26,448
Noncontrolling interests Balance at beginning of year Cash dividends Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests Purchase / sale (disposition) of subsidiary shares, etc., net Other net change in noncontrolling interests	6,085 (68) 1,595 (1,060) 0 2,535	8,882 (1,309) 2,100 (1,614) 272,729 (3,973)	115 (17) 27 (21) 3,540 (51)
Balance at end of period	9,087	276,815	3,593
Total equity Balance at end of period	¥ 2,060,674	¥ 2,314,373	\$ 30,041
	, .,,.,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, .

(1) Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for the six months ended September 30, 2010 are adjustments to initially apply Accounting Standards Updates ASU No. 2009-17, Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities (ASU 2009-17).

(2) Dividends per share Six months ended September 30, 2010 ¥4.00 Three months ended September 30, 2010 ¥4.00
Six months ended September 30, 2011 ¥4.00 Three months ended September 30, 2011 ¥4.00

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

$Consolidated \ Statements \ of \ Comprehensive \ Income \ (UNAUDITED)$

	Million	•	mi U.S	slation into illions of S. dollars
		nonths ended Sept	tember .	
N.4:	2010 ¥ 4.968	2011 V (26, 221)	¢	2011
Net income (loss)	¥ 4,968	¥ (26,221)	\$	(340)
Other comprehensive income (loss):	(24.507)	(20,005)		(510)
Change in cumulative translation adjustments, net of tax	(34,507)	(39,995)		(519)
Defined benefit pension plans:				
Pension liability adjustment	3,069	890		12
Deferred income taxes	(1,261)	(361)		(5)
Total	1,808	529		7
Non-trading securities:	,			
Unrealized gain on non-trading securities		1,039		13
Deferred income taxes		(375)		(5)
Total		664		8
Total other community in comme (loss)	(22,600)	(29, 902)		(504)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(32,699)	(38,802)		(504)
Comprehensive income (loss)	(27,731)	(65,023)		(844)
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	535	486		6
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders	¥ (28,266)	¥ (65,509)	\$	(850)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

	Millions	s of ven	Translation int millions of U.S. dollars	to
		months ended Se		
	2010	2011	2011	
Net income (loss)	¥ 1,938	¥ (44,259)	\$ (574	4)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in cumulative translation adjustments, net of tax	(5,780)	(33,311)	(432	2)
Defined benefit pension plans:				
Pension liability adjustment	472	420		5
Deferred income taxes	(194)	(164)	(2	2)
Total	278	256	3	3
Non-trading securities:				
Unrealized gain (loss) on non-trading securities		(1,309)	(17	7)
Deferred income taxes		473	(6
Total		(836)	(1)	1)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(5,502)	(33,891)	(440	0)
()	(=,= ==)	(00,000)	(-,
Comprehensive income (loss)	(3,564)	(78,150)	(1,014	4)
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	354	851	1	
mercer measure		001		-

Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to NHI shareholders

¥ (3,918)

¥ (79,001)

(1,025)

\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-8

$Consolidated \ Statements \ of \ Cash \ Flows \ (UNAUDITED)$

	Millions		Translation into millions of U.S. dollars
	2010	onths ended Septemb 2011	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	¥ 4,968	¥ (26,221)	\$ (340)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	37,055	47,339	615
(Gain) loss on investments in equity securities	16,028	3,141	41
Deferred income taxes	18,508	7,507	97
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Time deposits	(66,987)	(216,001)	(2,804)
Deposits with stock exchanges and other segregated cash	(42,684)	(49,435)	(642)
Trading assets and private equity investments	(1,706,764)	(1,260,532)	(16,362)
Trading liabilities	1,096,676	(673,374)	(8,741)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell, net of securities sold under			
agreements to repurchase	475,091	810,412	10,519
Securities borrowed, net of securities loaned	(977,595)	9,647	125
Other secured borrowings	(64,316)	130,504	1,694
Loans and receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	(33,753)	114,998	1,493
Payables	(98,378)	212,075	2,753
Bonus accrual	(84,531)	(50,722)	(658)
Accrued income taxes, net	(12,470)	4,310	56
Other, net	343,622	36,223	470
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,095,530)	(900,129)	(11,684)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Payments for purchases of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities	(93,648)	(72,369)	(939)
Proceeds from sales of office buildings, land, equipment and facilities	59,634	45,939	596
Proceeds from sales of investments in equity securities	1,955	5,305	69
Decrease (increase) in loans receivable at banks, net	(15,950)	20,921	272
Decrease (increase) in non-trading debt securities, net	(163,037)	2,098	27
Other, net	5,964	41,393	537
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(205,082)	43,287	562
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Increase in long-term borrowings	1,302,017	1,062,997	13,798
Decrease in long-term borrowings	(584,219)	(1,372,456)	(17,815)
Decrease in short-term borrowings, net	(84,922)	(30,833)	(400)
Increase in deposits received at banks, net	257,753	179,749	2,333
Proceeds from sales of common stock held in treasury	6	7	0
Payments for repurchases of common stock held in treasury	(37,367)	(8,281)	(107)
Payments for cash dividends	(14,680)	(14,408)	(187)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	838,588	(183,225)	(2,378)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(23,719)	(28,634)	(372)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(485,743)	(1,068,701)	(13,872)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,020,647	1,620,340	21,032

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	¥	534,904	¥	551,639	\$ 7,160
Supplemental information:					
Cash paid during the period for					
Interest	¥	95,511	¥	189,546	\$ 2,460
Income tax payments, net	¥	17,063	¥	4,130	\$ 54
Non cash activities					

Business acquisitions:

During the six months ended September 30, 2011, as a result of business acquisitions, the total amount of increased assets, excluding *cash* and *cash equivalents* and total amount of increased liabilities, were \(\frac{1}{2}\), 132,740 million and \(\frac{1}{2}\)1,784,621 million, respectively.

Others:

During the six months ended September 30, 2010, as a result of adoption for ASU 2009-17, the total amount of increased assets, excluding *cash* and *cash* equivalents and total amount of increased liabilities, were ¥283,697 million and ¥298,331 million, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-9

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (UNAUDITED)

1. Summary of accounting policies:

Description of business

Nomura Holdings, Inc. (the Company) and its broker-dealer, banking and other financial services subsidiaries provide investment, financing and related services to individual, institutional and government clients on a global basis. The Company and other entities in which it has a controlling financial interest are collectively referred to as Nomura within these consolidated financial statements.

Nomura operates its business through various divisions based upon the nature of specific products and services, its main client base and its management structure. Nomura reports operating results through three business segments: Retail, Asset Management and Wholesale.

In its Retail business, Nomura provides investment consultation services mainly to individual clients in Japan. In its Asset Management business, Nomura develops and manages investment trusts, and provides investment advisory services. In its Wholesale business, Nomura is engaged in the sales and trading of debt and equity securities and currencies on a global basis to various institutions, provides investment banking services such as the underwriting of bonds and equities as well as mergers and acquisitions and financial advice and invests in private equity businesses and seeks to maximize returns on the investments by increasing the corporate value of investee companies.

The accounting and financial reporting policies of Nomura conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) as applicable to broker dealers. A summary of the significant accounting policies applied by Nomura within these consolidated financial statements is provided within in the notes to the consolidated financial statements of Nomura s annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended March 31, 2011 as filed on June 30, 2011.

Changes in accounting policies

The following new accounting pronouncement relevant to Nomura has been adopted during the three months ended September 30, 2011:

Accounting for troubled debt restructurings

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued amendments to Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) No. 310 Receivables through issuance of Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2011-02 Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (ASU 2011-02). These amendments provide additional guidance and clarification to creditors in determining whether a debt restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring.

ASU 2011-02 is effective for interim or annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011 and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption.

As a result of issuance of ASU 2011-02, new disclosures around troubled debt restructuring required by ASU 2010-20 *Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses* are also effective for interim or annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011.

Nomura adopted ASU 2011-02 from July 1, 2011 and they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

See Note 7 Financing Receivables in these consolidated financial statements where the new disclosures have been provided.

F-10

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

The following new accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura have been adopted during the three months ended June 30, 2011:

Disclosure of supplementary pro forma information for business combinations

In December 2010, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 805 Business Combinations (ASC 805) through issuance of ASU 2010-29 Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosures of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations (ASU 2010-29). These amendments address diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. When a business combination has occurred, ASU 2010-29 requires a reporting entity such as Nomura that presents comparative financial statements to disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. ASU 2010-29 also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures under ASC 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings.

ASU 2010-29 is effective prospectively for business combinations occurring in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010 with early adoption permitted.

Nomura adopted ASU 2010-29 from April 1, 2011. Because the new requirements only provide clarification on disclosure requirements, they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Clarifications on impairment testing of goodwill and other intangibles

In December 2010, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 350 Intangibles Goodwill and Other (ASC 350) through issuance of ASU 2010-28 Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts (ASU 2010-28). These amendments address questions about determination of the impairment of goodwill in certain narrow circumstances. Under ASC 350, testing for goodwill impairment is a two-step test conducted at a reporting unit level. When a goodwill impairment test is performed, a reporting entity must assess whether the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value (Step 1). If it does, a reporting entity must perform an additional test to determine whether goodwill has been impaired and to calculate the amount of that impairment (Step 2). ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts by requiring performance of Step 2 of the test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. Upon adoption of the ASU, a reporting entity with a reporting unit that has a carrying amounts that is zero or negative is required to assess whether it is more likely than not that the reporting unit s goodwill is impaired.

ASU 2010-28 is effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010.

Nomura adopted ASU 2010-28 from April 1, 2011 and they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Fair value measurement disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820) through issuance of ASU 2010-06 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06). These amendments expand fair value disclosure requirements, including a requirement that information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of Level 3 instruments be provided on a gross basis.

The majority of the disclosure requirements of ASU 2010-06 were effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, which for Nomura was the fourth quarter beginning January 1, 2010. Gross information on purchases, sales, issuances and settlements is required in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 which for Nomura was the fiscal year beginning April 1, 2011.

Because ASU 2010-06 only introduces new disclosures and do not impact upon how Nomura measures fair value, they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

F-11

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

Revenue recognition of multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 605 Revenue Recognition through issuance of ASU 2009-13 Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements-a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU 2009-13). These amendments revise the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services separately rather than as a combined unit.

ASU 2009-13 is effective prospectively from fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 with early adoption permitted. Nomura adopted these amendments to ASC 605 from April 1, 2011 and they have not had a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Future accounting developments

The following new accounting pronouncements relevant to Nomura will be adopted in future periods:

Disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities

In December 2011, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 210-20 Balance Sheet Offsetting (ASC 210-20) through issuance of ASU 2011-11 Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2011-11). These amendments require a reporting entity to disclose information about rights of offset and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect or potential effect of those arrangements on its financial position.

ASU 2011-11 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013 with required disclosures made retrospectively for all comparative periods presented.

Nomura will adopt ASU 2011-11 from April 1, 2013 and does not expect a material impact on these financial statements, because these amendments only require enhanced disclosures.

Goodwill impairment testing

In September 2011, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 350 through issuance of ASU 2011-08 *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Goodwill for Impairment* (ASU 2011-08). These amendments simplify goodwill impairment testing by permitting a reporting entity to initially assess qualitatively whether it is necessary to perform the current quantitative two-step goodwill impairment test required by ASC 350. If the reporting entity determines that it is not more-likely-than-not (i.e. greater than 50%) that a reporting unit s fair value is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative test is not required.

ASU 2011-08 is effective prospectively for goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted.

Nomura currently expects to adopt ASU 2011-08 from April 1, 2012. Because the amendments only simplify when a quantitative test is required rather than change either the level at which the test is performed or the quantitative test itself, they are not expected to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

Presentation of comprehensive income

In June 2011, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 220 Comprehensive Income (ASC 220) through issuance of ASU 2011-05 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). These amendments revise the manner in which reporting entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The amendments remove certain presentation options in ASC 220 and require reporting entities to report components of comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements.

ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (ASU 2011-12). ASU 2011-12 deferred certain aspects of ASU 2011-05. Nomura currently expects to adopt ASU 2011-05 from April 1, 2012 excluding those aspects that are deferred by ASU 2011-12. Because these amendments only change how comprehensive income is presented within these consolidated financial statements rather than changing whether an item must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income is reclassified to earnings, they are not expected to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements.

F-12

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

Fair value measurement and disclosures

In May 2011, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 820 through issuance of ASU 2011-04 Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04), which amends the descriptions for measuring fair value and existing fair value measurement disclosures and in particular:

Prohibits application of block discounts for all fair value measurements, regardless of classification in the fair value hierarchy, and clarifies how other premiums or discounts should be applied in a fair value measurement;

Allows the fair value of certain financial instruments held in a portfolio to be measured on the basis of the net position being managed if certain criteria are met;

Clarifies that the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise in a fair value measurement are not relevant for most financial assets and financial liabilities;

Clarifies that the fair value of own equity instrument classified in shareholders equity and certain liabilities should be measured from the perspective of a market participant that holds the instrument as an asset;

Clarifies that the principal market should be determined based on the market with greatest volume and level that a reporting entity can access, which is usually the market in which the reporting entity usually transacts;

Requires additional qualitative and quantitative disclosures around fair value measurements, including more information around Level 3 inputs.

ASU 2011-04 is effective prospectively during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted.

Nomura will adopt ASU 2011-04 from January 1, 2012 and is currently evaluating the impact of them on these consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for repurchase agreements and similar transactions

In April 2011, the FASB issued amendments to ASC 860 Transfers and Servicing (ASC 860) through issuance of ASU 2011-03 Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements (ASU 2011-03). These amendments modify the effective control criterion for when repurchase agreements and similar transactions are accounted for as secured financing transactions or sales. Currently, when assessing effective control, one of the conditions a transferor has to meet is the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets even in the event of default of the transferee. This ability is demonstrated through obtaining cash or other collateral sufficient to fund substantially all of the cost to purchase replacement assets should the transferee fail to return the transferred asset. These amendments remove this condition and consequently, the level of cash collateral, haircuts and ongoing margining received by the transferor in a repurchase agreement or other similar agreement is now irrelevant in determining if it should be accounted for as a sale.

ASU 2011-03 is effective prospectively during interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted.

Nomura will adopt ASU 2011-03 from January 1, 2012 and does not expect these to have a material impact on these consolidated financial statements. Certain Japanese securities lending transactions undertaken after adoption date will be accounted for as secured borrowings rather

2. U.S. dollar amounts:

The U.S. dollar amounts are included solely for the convenience of the reader and have been translated at the rate of \$77.04 = U.S.\$1.00, the noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers in foreign currencies as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on September 30, 2011. This translation should not be construed to imply that the yen amounts actually represent, or have been or could be converted into, equivalent amounts in U.S. dollars.

F-13

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

3. Fair value of financial instruments:

The fair value of financial instruments

A significant amount of Nomura s financial instruments are carried at fair value. Financial assets carried at fair value on a recurring basis are reported in the consolidated balance sheets within *Trading assets and private equity investments*, *Loans and receivables*, *Collateralized agreements* and *Other assets*. Financial liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis are reported within *Trading liabilities*, *Short-term borrowings*, *Payables and deposits*, *Collateralized financing*, *Long-term borrowings* and *Other liabilities*.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities are carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, where the primary measurement basis is not fair value but where fair value is used in specific circumstances, such as to measure impairment.

In all cases, fair value is determined in accordance with ASC 820 which defines fair value as the amount that would be exchanged to sell a financial asset or transfer a financial liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. It assumes that the transaction occurs in Nomura s principal market, or in the absence of the principal market, the most advantageous market for the relevant financial assets or financial liabilities.

Financial assets carried at fair value also include investments in certain funds for which Nomura applies ASC 820 where, as a practical expedient, fair value is determined on the basis of net asset value per share (NAV per share) if the NAV per share is calculated in accordance with certain industry standard principles.

During the six months ended September 30, 2011, an insurance company became a subsidiary of Nomura (insurance subsidiary). Nomura measures the non-trading securities that are held by the insurance subsidiary at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through Other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income on a net-of-tax basis. These non-trading securities are included in Other assets Non-trading debt securities and Other assets Other in the consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2011, Non-trading debt securities includes ¥212 billion (\$2.75 billion) of these non-trading debt securities which mainly consist of government, state, municipal and government agency securities. ¥2 billion (\$0.02 billion) of related unrealized gains and ¥1 billion (\$0.01 billion) of related unrealized losses were recognized from the amount of Non-trading debt securities. Other Assets Other includes ¥71 billion (\$0.92 billion) of these investments in equity securities for other than operating purpose, \(\xi\)3 billion (\(\xi\)0.04 billion) of related unrealized gains and \(\xi\)3 billion (\(\xi\)0.04 billion) of related unrealized losses were recognized. Non-trading debt securities were sold ¥106 billion (\$1.38 billion), ¥1 billion (\$0.01 billion) of related realized gain and no significant amount of related realized loss were recognized for the six months ended September 30, 2011. Non-trading debt securities were sold \(\xi\)103 billion (\(\xi\)1.34 billion), \(\xi\)1 billion (\(\xi\)0.01 billion) of related realized gain and no significant amount of related realized loss were recognized for the three months ended September 30, 2011. ¥6 billion (\$0.08 billion) of investments in equity securities for other than operating purposes were sold, no significant amount of related realized gain and no significant amount of related realized loss were recognized for the six months ended September 30, 2011. ¥5 billion (\$0.06 billion) of investments in equity securities for other than operating purposes were sold, no significant amount of related realized gain and no significant amount of related realized loss were recognized for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The balance of debt securities consists of ¥14 billion (\$0.18 billion) with maturities within one year, ¥46 billion (\$0.60 billion) with maturities over one year and within five years, ¥136 billion (\$1.76 billion) with maturities over five years and within ten years and ¥16 billion (\$0.21 billion) with maturities over ten years.

Increases and decreases in the fair value of assets and liabilities will significantly impact Nomura s position, performance, liquidity and capital resources. As explained below, valuation techniques applied contain inherent uncertainties and Nomura is unable to predict the accurate impact of future developments in the market. Where appropriate, Nomura uses economic hedging strategies to mitigate its risk, although these hedges are also subject to unpredictable movements in the market.

Valuation methodology for financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis

The fair value of financial instruments is based on quoted market prices including market indices, broker or dealer quotations or an estimation by management of the expected exit price under current market conditions. Various financial instruments, including cash instruments and over-the-counter (OTC) contracts, have bid and offer prices that are observable in the market. These are measured at the point within the bid-offer range which best represents Nomura s estimate of fair value. Where quoted market prices or broker or dealer quotations are not available, prices for similar instruments or valuation pricing models are considered in the determination of fair value.

Where quoted prices are available in active markets, no valuation adjustments are taken to modify the fair value of assets or liabilities marked using such prices. Other instruments may be measured using valuation techniques, such as valuation pricing models incorporating observable parameters, unobservable parameters or a combination of both. Valuation pricing models use parameters which would be considered by market participants in valuing similar financial instruments.

F-14

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

Valuation pricing models and their underlying assumptions impact the amount and timing of unrealized gains and losses recognized, and the use of different valuation pricing models or underlying assumptions could produce different financial results. Valuation uncertainty results from a variety of factors, including the valuation technique or model selected, the quantitative assumptions used within the valuation model, the inputs into the model, as well as other factors. Valuation adjustments are used to reflect the assessment of this uncertainty. Common valuation adjustments include model reserves, credit adjustments, close-out adjustments, and other appropriate instrument-specific adjustments, such as those to reflect transfer or sale restrictions.

The level of adjustments is largely judgmental and is based on an assessment of the factors that management believes other market participants would use in determining the fair value of similar financial instruments. The type of adjustments taken, the methodology for the calculation of these adjustments, and the inputs for these calculations are reassessed periodically to reflect current market practice and the availability of new information.

For example, the fair value of certain financial instruments includes adjustments for credit risk; both with regards to counterparty credit risk on positions held and Nomura s own creditworthiness on positions issued. Credit risk on financial assets is significantly mitigated by credit enhancements such as collateral and netting arrangements. Any net credit exposure is measured using available and applicable inputs for the relevant counterparty. The same approach is used to measure the credit exposure on Nomura s liabilities as is used to measure counterparty credit risk on Nomura s assets.

Such valuation pricing models are calibrated to the market on a regular basis and inputs used are adjusted for current market conditions and risks. The global risk management unit reviews pricing models and assesses model appropriateness and consistency independently of the front office. The model reviews consider a number of factors about a model s suitability for valuation and sensitivity of a particular product. Valuation models are calibrated to the market on a periodic basis by comparison to observable market pricing, comparison with alternative models, and analysis of risk profiles.

As explained above, any changes in fixed income, equity, foreign exchange and commodity markets can impact Nomura s estimates of fair value in the future, potentially affecting trading gains and losses. Where financial contracts have longer maturity dates, Nomura s estimates of fair value may involve greater subjectivity due to the lack of transparent market data available upon which include base assumptions underlying valuation pricing models.

Concentrations of credit risk

Concentrations of credit risk may arise from trading, securities financing transactions and underwriting activities, and may be impacted by changes in political or economic factors. Nomura has credit risk concentrations on bonds issued by the Japanese government, U.S. government, governments within the European Union (EU), their states and municipalities, and their agencies. These concentrations generally arise from taking trading securities positions and are reported within *Trading assets* in the consolidated balance sheets. Government, state, municipal, and government agency securities, including *Securities pledged as collateral*, represented 19% of total assets as of March 31, 2011 and 20% as of September 30, 2011. The following tables present geographic allocations of Nomura s trading assets related to government, state, municipal, and government agency securities. See Note 4. *Derivative instruments and hedging activities* about the concentration of credit risk for derivatives.

	Billions of yen							
	March 31, 2011							
	Japan	U.S.	\mathbf{EU}	Other	Total ⁽¹⁾			
Governments, states, municipalities and their agencies	¥ 2,822	¥ 1,184	¥ 2,640	¥ 370	¥ 7,016			
		Billions of ven						
		September 30, 2011						
	Japan	U.S.	\mathbf{EU}	Other	Total(1)			
Governments, states, municipalities and their agencies	¥ 2,643	¥ 1,924	¥ 2,538	¥ 329	¥ 7,434			

Translation into billions of U.S. dollars September 30, 2011

	- I					
	Japan	U.S.	\mathbf{EU}	Other	Total(1)	
Governments, states, municipalities and their agencies	\$ 34.31	\$ 24.97	\$ 32.94	\$ 4.27	\$ 96.49	

(1) Other than above, there were ¥410 billion of government, state, municipal and agency securities in *Other assets Non-trading debt securities* as of March 31, 2011 and ¥642 billion (\$8.34 billion) as of September 30, 2011. The vast majority of these securities are Japanese government, states, municipalities and agency securities.

F-15

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

Fair value hierarchy

All financial instruments measured at fair value, including those carried at fair value using the fair value option, have been categorized into a three-level hierarchy (the fair value hierarchy) based on the transparency of inputs used by Nomura to estimate fair value. A financial instrument is classified in the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows, with Level 1 representing the most transparent inputs and Level 3 representing the least transparent inputs:

Level 1:

Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities accessible by Nomura at the measurement date.

Level 2:

Quoted prices in inactive markets or prices containing other inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly. Valuation techniques using observable inputs reflect assumptions used by market participants in pricing financial instruments and are based on data obtained from independent market sources at the measurement date.

Level 3:

Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement of the financial instrument. Valuation techniques using unobservable inputs reflect management s assumptions about the estimates used by other market participants in valuing similar financial instruments. These valuation techniques are developed based on the best available information at the measurement date.

The availability of inputs observable in the market varies by product and can be affected by a variety of factors. Significant factors include, but are not restricted to the prevalence of similar products in the market, especially for customized products, how established the product is in the market, for example, whether it is a new product or is relatively mature, and the reliability of information provided in the market which would depend, for example, on the frequency and volume of current data. A period of significant change in the market may reduce the availability of observable data. Under such circumstances, financial instruments may be reclassified into a lower level in the fair value hierarchy.

Significant judgments used in determining the classification of financial instruments include the nature of the market in which the product would be traded, the underlying risks, the type and liquidity of market data inputs and the nature of observed transactions for similar instruments.

Where valuation models include the use of parameters which are less observable or unobservable in the market, significant management judgment is used in establishing fair value. The valuations for Level 3 financial instruments, therefore, involve a greater degree of judgment than those valuations for Level 1 or Level 2 financial instruments.

Certain criteria management use to determine whether a market is active or inactive include the number of transactions, the frequency that pricing is updated by other market participants, the variability of price quotes among market participants, and the amount of publicly available information.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

The following tables present the amounts of Nomura s financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, respectively within the fair value hierarchy. The presentation as of March 31, 2011 has been reclassified to confirm assets and liabilities classification of the current period presentation.

			Billions of yen March 31, 2011 Counterparty and Cash		Balance as of	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Collateral Level 3 Netting ⁽¹⁾		arch 31, 2011
Assets:	Ecverr	Ecvel 2	Level 3	retung		2011
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾						
Equities ⁽³⁾	¥ 951	¥ 1,230	¥ 121	¥	¥	2,302
Private equity ⁽³⁾		,	289			289
Japanese government securities	2,663					2,663
Japanese agency and municipal securities	,	159				159
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3,382	789	23			4,194
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes		1,568	51			1,619
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		171	28			199
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		1,963	3			1,966
Mortgage and other mortgage-backed securities		2	128			130
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other		72	34			106
Investment trust funds and other	85	29	10			124
Total cash instruments	7,081	5,983	687			13,751
Derivatives ⁽⁵⁾						
Equity contracts	653	721	98			1,472
Interest rate contracts	16	11,750	203			11,969
Credit contracts		1,863	203			2,066
Foreign exchange contracts	0	1,266	49			1,315
Commodity contracts	29	64	4			97
Netting				(15,428)		(15,428)
Total derivatives	698	15,664	557	(15,428)		1,491
Subtotal	¥ 7,779	¥ 21,647	¥ 1,244	¥ (15,428)	¥	15,242
Loans and receivables ⁽⁶⁾		543	11			554
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		904	11			904
Other assets		701				701
Non-trading debt securities	513	79	0			592
Other	121	0	25			146
	121	v	20			110
Total	¥ 8,413	¥ 23,173	¥ 1,280	¥ (15,428)	¥	17,438

Liabilities:

Trading liabilities								
Equities	¥ 1,444	¥ 9	1 ¥		¥		¥	1,535
Japanese government securities	1,588							1,588
Japanese agency and municipal securities			2					2
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3,018	50	9					3,527
Bank and corporate debt securities		31	6					316
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)			1					1
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)			0					0
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other			0					0
Investment trust funds and other	64							64
Total cash instruments	6,114	91	9					7,033
- Cui Cui I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	0,111	, ,						7,000
Derivatives ⁽⁵⁾								
Equity contracts	723	78	4	70				1,577
Interest rate contracts	15	11,86	1	192				12,068
Credit contracts		1,83	5	258				2,093
Foreign exchange contracts	0	1,34	1	47				1,388
Commodity contracts	19	8	2	6				107
Netting						(15,577)		(15,577)
Total derivatives	757	15,90	3	573		(15,577)		1,656
	, , ,	,-				(,-,-)		2,000
Subtotal	¥ 6,871	¥ 16,82	2 ¥	573	¥	(15,577)	¥	8,689
Short-term borrowings ⁽⁸⁾		18	2	1				183
Payables and deposits ⁽⁹⁾			0	1				103
Collateralized financing ⁽⁷⁾		33	-	1				332
Long-term borrowings (8)(10)(11)	126	1,66		144				1,933
Other liabilities	44	1,00	3	144				1,933
Ouici naomines	44							44
Total	¥ 7,041	¥ 18,99	9 ¥	719	¥	(15,577)	¥	11,182

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

			Billions September	Counterparty and Cash Collateral	Balance as of September 30,
Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting ⁽¹⁾	2011
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾					
Equities (3)	V (05	V 1.072	V 124	v	V 1.021
•	¥ 625	¥ 1,072	¥ 134	¥	¥ 1,831
Private equity ⁽³⁾			260		260
Japanese government securities	2,530				2,530
Japanese agency and municipal securities	2 = 20	113	ā.		113
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	3,729	1,038	24		4,791
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes		1,480	66		1,546
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		112	8		120
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		1,969	4		1,973
Mortgage and other mortgage-backed securities		1	111		112
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other	124	141	19		160
Investment trust funds and other	134	26	9		169
Total cash instruments	7,018	5,952	635		13,605
Derivatives ⁽⁵⁾					
Equity contracts	901	972	37		1,910
Interest rate contracts	18	21,080	151		21,249
Credit contracts		2,380	311		2,691
Foreign exchange contracts	0	2,102	89		2,191
Commodity contracts	3	22	3	(2(12()	28
Netting				(26,136)	(26,136)
Total derivatives	922	26,556	591	(26,136)	1,933
Subtotal	¥ 7,940	¥ 32,508	¥ 1,226	¥ (26,136)	¥ 15,538
Loans and receivables ⁽⁶⁾		282	7		289
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		785			785
Other assets					
Non-trading debt securities	661	187	7		855
Other ⁽³⁾	147	9	78		234
Total	¥ 8,748	¥ 33,771	¥ 1,318	¥ (26,136)	¥ 17,701
Liabilities:					
Trading liabilities					
Equities	¥ 943	¥ 101	¥	¥	¥ 1,044
Japanese government securities	1,645				1,645
Japanese agency and municipal securities		2			2
Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	2,444	417			2,861
Bank and corporate debt securities		207	1		208
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		1			1
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		1			1
Mortgage and other mortgage-backed securities		0			0

Investment trust funds and other	24	0					24
Total cash instruments	5,056	729	1				5,786
Derivatives ⁽⁵⁾							
Equity contracts	919	982	28				1,929
Interest rate contracts	20	21,031	169				21,220
Credit contracts		2,363	333				2,696
Foreign exchange contracts		1,989	72				2,061
Commodity contracts	1	28	4				33
Netting					(26,278)		(26,278)
Total derivatives	940	26,393	606		(26,278)		1,661
Subtotal	¥ 5,996	¥ 27,122	¥ 607	¥	(26,278)	¥	7,447
	ŕ	ŕ					ŕ
Short-term borrowings ⁽⁸⁾		121	15				136
Payables and deposits ⁽⁹⁾		0	1				1
Collateralized financing ⁽⁷⁾		98					98
Long-term borrowings (8)(10)(11)	104	1,604	(66)				1,642
Other liabilities	23	,	(11)				23
Total	¥ 6,123	¥ 28,945	¥ 557	¥	(26,278)	¥	9,347

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

	Translation into billions of U.S. dollars September 30, 2011								
			•	Counterparty and Cash Collateral		ance as of tember 30,			
Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting ⁽¹⁾		2011			
Trading assets and private equity investments ⁽²⁾									
Equities ⁽³⁾	\$ 8.11	¢ 12.00	¢ 174	Ф	¢	22.77			
	\$ 8.11	\$ 13.92	\$ 1.74	\$	\$	23.77			
Private equity ⁽³⁾	22.04		3.38			3.38			
Japanese government securities	32.84	1 47				32.84			
Japanese agency and municipal securities Foreign government, agency and municipal securities	48.39	1.47 13.48	0.31			1.47 62.18			
Bank and corporate debt securities and loans for trading purposes	40.39	19.21	0.31			20.07			
Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS)		1.45	0.10			1.55			
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)		25.56	0.05			25.61			
Mortgage and other mortgage-backed securities		0.01	1.44			1.45			
Collateralized debt obligations (CDO) and other		1.83	0.25			2.08			
Investment trust funds and other	1.74	0.34	0.11			2.19			
Total cash instruments	91.08	77.27	8.24			176.59			
Derivatives ⁽⁵⁾									
Equity contracts	11.70	12.61	0.48			24.79			
Interest rate contracts	0.23	273.62	1.96			275.81			
Credit contracts		30.89	4.04			34.93			
Foreign exchange contracts	0.00	27.28	1.16			28.44			
Commodity contracts	0.04	0.29	0.04			0.37			
Netting				(339.25)		(339.25)			
Total derivatives	11.97	344.69	7.68	(339.25)		25.09			
Subtotal	\$ 103.05	\$ 421.96	\$ 15.92	\$ (339.25)	\$	201.68			
Loans and receivables ⁽⁶⁾		3.66	0.09			3.75			
Collateralized agreements ⁽⁷⁾		10.19	,			10.19			
Other assets									
Non-trading debt securities	8.58	2.43	0.09			11.10			
Other ⁽³⁾	1.91	0.12	1.01			3.04			
Total	\$ 113.54	\$ 438.36	\$ 17.11	\$ (339.25)	\$	229.76			
1000	Ψ 113.31	Ψ 130.30	Ψ 17.11	Ψ (337.23)	Ψ	22).70			
Liabilities:									
Trading liabilities									
Equities	\$ 12.24	\$ 1.31	\$	\$	\$	13.55			
Japanese government securities	21.36	ų 1.51	Ψ	Ψ	4	21.36			
Japanese agency and municipal securities	21.00	0.03							