

NORTHRIM BANCORP INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 07, 2012  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 March 31, 2012**

**Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
**For the transition period from            to**

**Commission File Number 000-33501**

**NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Alaska</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>92-0175752</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
<b>3111 C Street</b>  <b>Anchorage, Alaska</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>99503</b> (Zip Code)
<b>(907) 562-0062</b>  (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock outstanding at May 7, 2012 was 6,468,690.

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>PART I</b>	<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets:</u>	
	<u>March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income:</u>	
	<u>Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income:</u>	
	<u>Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	6
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity:</u>	
	<u>Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	7
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:</u>	
	<u>Three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	8
	<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial</u>	
	<u>Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	40
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	40
<b>PART II</b>	<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	41
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	41
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	41
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	41
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	41
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	41
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	42
	<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	43

**Table of Contents**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, accompanying notes and other relevant information included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Table of Contents****CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011

	March 31, 2012 <i>(Unaudited)</i>	December 31, 2011	March 31, 2011 <i>(Unaudited)</i>
	<i>(In Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>		
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 23,085	\$ 30,644	\$ 29,109
Interest bearing deposits in other banks	69,344	60,886	138,707
Investment securities available for sale	216,589	222,083	181,800
Investment securities held to maturity	3,602	3,819	6,068
Total portfolio investments	220,191	225,902	187,868
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock	2,003	2,003	2,003
Loans held for sale	12,266	27,822	
Loans	664,258	645,562	654,341
Allowance for loan losses	(16,288)	(16,503)	(15,139)
Net loans	660,236	656,881	639,202
Purchased receivables, net	22,345	30,209	13,611
Accrued interest receivable	3,157	2,898	3,184
Other real estate owned	6,657	5,183	10,343
Premises and equipment, net	27,870	27,993	28,827
Goodwill and intangible assets	8,357	8,421	8,626
Other assets	33,117	34,238	34,183
Total assets	\$ 1,076,362	\$ 1,085,258	\$ 1,095,663
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Deposits:			
Demand	\$ 302,104	\$ 324,039	\$ 340,943
Interest-bearing demand	141,437	141,572	133,031
Savings	82,570	79,610	76,058
Alaska CDs	104,811	102,384	96,919
Money market	162,854	154,987	151,594
Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	44,127	45,468	51,931
Certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000	63,503	63,188	82,748
Total deposits	901,406	911,248	933,224
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	15,467	16,348	11,595
Borrowings	4,590	4,626	5,421
Junior subordinated debentures	18,558	18,558	18,558
Other liabilities	8,191	9,043	8,091
Total liabilities	948,212	959,823	976,889

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 2,500,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding			
Common stock, \$1 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 6,468,690, 6,466,763 and 6,429,476 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, respectively	6,469	6,467	6,429
Additional paid-in capital	53,267	53,164	52,807
Retained earnings	67,193	65,469	59,012
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,165	283	498
Total Northrim BanCorp shareholders' equity	128,094	125,383	118,746
Noncontrolling interest	56	52	28
Total shareholders' equity	128,150	125,435	118,774
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,076,362	\$ 1,085,258	\$ 1,095,663

See notes to the consolidated financial statements

- 4 -

**Table of Contents**

## NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

## Consolidated Statements of Income

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

	Three Months Ended	
	2012	March 31, 2011
	<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
	<i>(In Thousands,</i>	
	<i>Except Per Share Data)</i>	
Interest Income		
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 10,225	\$ 10,686
Interest on investment securities-available for sale	749	872
Interest on investment securities-held to maturity	38	61
Interest on deposits in other banks	40	33
Total Interest Income	11,052	11,652
Interest Expense		
Interest expense on deposits, borrowings and junior subordinated debentures	698	977
Net Interest Income	10,354	10,675
Provision for loan losses	89	549
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	10,265	10,126
Other Operating Income		
Purchased receivable income	712	626
Service charges on deposit accounts	568	524
Employee benefit plan income	540	500
Electronic banking income	483	449
Equity in earnings (loss) from RML	301	(52)
Rental income	198	196
Gain on sale of securities	27	263
Other income	378	272
Total Other Operating Income	3,207	2,778
Other Operating Expense		
Salaries and other personnel expense	5,706	5,316
Occupancy	996	910
Marketing expense	437	437
Professional and outside services	418	337
Equipment expense	294	304
Software expense	251	240
Amortization of low income housing tax investments	228	216
Internet banking expense	172	153
Insurance expense	118	436
OREO (income) expense, net rental income and gains on sale	97	(139)
Intangible asset amortization expense	64	70

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Other operating expense	977	1,046
Total Other Operating Expense	9,758	9,326
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	3,714	3,578
Provision for income taxes	1,026	1,034
Net Income	2,688	2,544
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	112	89
Net Income Attributable to Northrim BanCorp	\$ 2,576	\$ 2,455
Earnings Per Share, Basic	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.38
Earnings Per Share, Diluted	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.37
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Basic	6,467,540	6,428,730
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding, Diluted	6,567,654	6,548,480

See notes to the consolidated financial statements



**Table of Contents**

NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

Three months ending March 31, 2011:	
Net income	\$ 2,544
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:	
Change in unrealized holding loss on available for sale securities	(\$ 150)
Other comprehensive income	(150)
Comprehensive income	2,394
Less: comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(89)
Comprehensive income attributable to Northrim BanCorp	\$ 2,305
Three months ending March 31, 2012:	
Net income	\$ 2,688
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:	
Change in unrealized holding gain on available for sale securities	\$ 882
Other comprehensive income	882
Comprehensive income	3,570
Less: comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	(112)
Comprehensive income attributable to Northrim BanCorp	\$ 3,458

See notes to the consolidated financial statements

**Table of Contents**

## NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

## Consolidated Statements of Changes in

## Shareholders' Equity

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

	Common Stock Number of Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings <i>(Unaudited)</i> <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
<b>Three months ending March 31, 2011:</b>							
Balance as of January 1, 2011	6,427	\$ 6,427	\$ 52,658	\$ 57,339	\$ 648	\$ 50	\$ 117,122
Cash dividend declared				(782)			(782)
Stock option expense			134				134
Exercise of stock options	2	2	(2)				
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			17				17
Distributions to noncontrolling interest						(111)	(111)
Change in unrealized holding gain (loss) on available for sale securities, net of tax					(150)		(150)
Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest						89	89
Net income attributable to Northrim BanCorp				2,455			2,455
<b>Balance as of March 31, 2011</b>	<b>6,429</b>	<b>\$ 6,429</b>	<b>\$ 52,807</b>	<b>\$ 59,012</b>	<b>\$ 498</b>	<b>\$ 28</b>	<b>\$ 118,774</b>
<b>Three months ending March 31, 2012:</b>							
Balance as of January 1, 2012	6,467	\$ 6,467	\$ 53,164	\$ 65,469	\$ 283	\$ 52	\$ 125,435
Cash dividend declared				(852)			(852)
Stock option expense			113				113
Exercise of stock options	2	2	(10)				(8)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest						(108)	(108)
Change in unrealized holding gain (loss) on available for sale securities, net of tax					882		882
Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest						112	112
Net income attributable to Northrim BanCorp				2,576			2,576
<b>Balance as of March 31, 2012</b>	<b>6,469</b>	<b>\$ 6,469</b>	<b>\$ 53,267</b>	<b>\$ 67,193</b>	<b>\$ 1,165</b>	<b>\$ 56</b>	<b>128,150</b>

See notes to the consolidated financial statements

**Table of Contents**

## NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

## Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
	<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>	
<b>Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 2,688	\$ 2,544
<b>Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:</b>		
Security gains, net	(27)	(263)
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	405	436
Amortization of software	47	51
Intangible asset amortization	64	70
Amortization of investment security premium, net of discount accretion	91	69
Deferred tax (benefit) liability	161	(505)
Stock-based compensation	113	134
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		(17)
Deferral of loan fees and costs, net	272	(210)
Provision for loan losses	89	549
Purchases of loans held for sale	(39,620)	
Proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale	55,176	5,558
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(19)	(72)
Equity in undistributed earnings from mortgage affiliate	(8)	301
<b>Net changes in assets and liabilities:</b>		
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable	(259)	217
Decrease in other assets	272	1,364
(Decrease) in other liabilities	(859)	(449)
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>18,586</b>	<b>9,777</b>
<b>Investing Activities:</b>		
<b>Investment in securities:</b>		
Purchases of investment securities-available-for-sale	(7,101)	(31,839)
Proceeds from sales/maturities of securities-available-for-sale	13,736	63,991
Proceeds from calls/maturities of securities-held-to-maturity	510	55
Investment in purchased receivables	7,864	2,918
Loan advances, net of paydowns	(20,771)	16,891
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	50	1,140
Investment in other real estate owned	(17)	(14)
Loan to Elliott Cove, net of repayments	33	75
Purchases of premises and equipment	(282)	(215)
<b>Net Cash (Used) Provided by Investing Activities</b>	<b>(5,978)</b>	<b>53,002</b>
<b>Financing Activities:</b>		
(Decrease) increase in deposits	(9,842)	41,088
(Decrease) in securities sold under repurchase agreements	(881)	(1,279)
(Decrease) increase in borrowings	(36)	35
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(108)	(111)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements		17

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Cash dividends paid	(842)	(746)
Net Cash (Used) Provided by Financing Activities	(11,709)	39,004
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	899	101,783
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	79,530	66,033
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 80,429	\$ 167,816
Supplemental Information:		
Income taxes paid	\$ 2	\$ 4
Interest paid	\$ 700	\$ 1,015
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	\$ 1,499	\$ 974
Loans made to facilitate sales of other real estate owned	\$ 50	\$ 417
Cash dividends declared but not paid	\$ 10	\$ 10

See notes to the consolidated financial statements

---

**Table of Contents****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Unaudited)

March 31, 2012 and 2011

**1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Northrim BanCorp, Inc. (the Company) in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and with instructions to Form 10-Q under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to maintain consistency with the current year with no impact on net income or total shareholders' equity. The Company determined that it operates as a single operating segment. Operating results for the interim period ended March 31, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of the results anticipated for the year ending December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**2. Significant Accounting Policies and Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

The Company's significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs* (ASU 2011-04). Some of the amendments contained in ASU 2011-04 clarify FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, and other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. This ASU was effective for the Company's financial statements for annual and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, and has been applied prospectively. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 amends Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income*, to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income, nor does it change the option for an entity to present components of other comprehensive income either net of related tax effects or before related tax effects. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update 2011-05* (ASU 2011-12). This ASU defers only those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. ASU 2011-12 was issued in order to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. While the FASB is considering the operational concerns about the presentation requirements for reclassification adjustments and the needs of financial statement users for additional information about reclassification adjustments, the Company will continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before the issuance of ASU 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 was effective for the Company's financial statements for annual and interim periods beginning after December 31, 2011, and has been applied prospectively. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

**Table of Contents****3. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, interest-bearing deposits with other banks, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, securities purchased under agreement to resell, federal funds sold, and securities with maturities of less than 90 days at acquisition.

**4. Investment Securities**

The carrying values and approximate fair values of investment securities at the periods indicated are presented below:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>			
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>				
Securities available for sale				
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 146,653	\$ 541	\$ 18	\$ 147,176
Municipal securities	17,053	694		17,747
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	50	2		52
Corporate bonds	48,839	802	114	49,527
Preferred stock	2,019	68		2,087
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 214,614</b>	<b>\$ 2,107</b>	<b>\$ 132</b>	<b>\$ 216,589</b>
Securities held to maturity				
Municipal securities	\$ 3,602	\$ 246	\$	\$ 3,848
<b>Total securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 3,602</b>	<b>\$ 246</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 3,848</b>
<b>December 31, 2011:</b>				
Securities available for sale				
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 160,529	\$ 625	\$ 50	\$ 161,104
Municipal securities	16,260	675		16,935
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	52	2		54
Corporate bonds	43,767	343	1,119	42,991
Preferred stock	996	3		999
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 221,604</b>	<b>\$ 1,648</b>	<b>\$ 1,169</b>	<b>\$ 222,083</b>
Securities held to maturity				
Municipal securities	\$ 3,819	\$ 259	\$	\$ 4,077
<b>Total securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 3,819</b>	<b>\$ 259</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 4,077</b>
<b>March 31, 2011:</b>				
Securities available for sale				
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 145,745	\$ 343	\$ 407	\$ 145,681
Municipal securities	12,080	177		12,257
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	66	3		69
Corporate bonds	23,061	740	8	23,793
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 180,952</b>	<b>\$ 1,263</b>	<b>\$ 415</b>	<b>\$ 181,800</b>

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Securities held to maturity				
Municipal securities	\$ 6,068	\$ 173	\$	\$ 6,241
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 6,068	\$ 173	\$	\$ 6,241

- 10 -

**Table of Contents**

The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment. There were five and nine securities with unrealized losses as of March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, that have been in a loss position for less than twelve months. There were no securities with unrealized losses as of March 31, 2012 and 2011 that have been in an unrealized loss position for more than twelve months. Because the Company does not intend to sell, nor is it required to sell these investments until a market price recovery or maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

At March 31, 2012, \$32.7 million in securities, or 15%, of the investment portfolio was pledged, as compared to \$32.1 million, or 14%, at December 31, 2011, and \$21.5 million, or 11%, at March 31, 2011. We held no securities of any single issuer (other than government sponsored entities) that exceeded 10% of our shareholders' equity at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 or March 31, 2011.

The amortized cost and fair values of debt securities at March 31, 2012, are distributed by contractual maturity as shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Although preferred stock has no stated maturity, it is aggregated in the calculation of weighted average yields presented below in the category of investments that mature in ten years or more.

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value (In Thousands)	Weighted Average Yield
<b>US Treasury and government sponsored entities</b>			
Within 1 year	\$ 46,114	\$ 46,232	0.91%
1-5 years	100,539	100,944	0.76%
Total	\$ 146,653	\$ 147,176	0.81%
<b>U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities</b>			
5-10 years	\$ 50	\$ 52	4.45%
Total	\$ 50	\$ 52	4.45%
<b>Corporate bonds</b>			
Within 1 year	\$ 3,157	\$ 3,212	2.88%
1-5 years	\$ 45,682	\$ 46,315	2.34%
Total	\$ 48,839	\$ 49,527	2.37%
<b>Preferred stock</b>			
Over 10 years	\$ 2,019	\$ 2,087	5.76%
Total	\$ 2,019	\$ 2,087	5.76%
<b>Municipal securities</b>			
Within 1 year	\$ 1,503	\$ 1,515	2.50%
1-5 years	8,102	8,295	2.05%
5-10 years	7,906	8,411	4.51%
Over 10 years	3,144	3,374	4.79%
Total	\$ 20,655	\$ 21,595	3.44%



**Table of Contents**

The proceeds and resulting gains and losses, computed using specific identification, from sales of investment securities for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are as follows:

March 31,	Proceeds	Gross Gains	Gross Losses
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>		
<b>2012:</b>			
Available for sale securities	\$ 13,736	\$ 27	\$
<b>2011:</b>			
Available for sale securities	\$ 6,987	\$ 263	\$

A summary of interest income for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011 on available for sale investment securities is as follows:

March 31,	2012	2011
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>	
US Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 307	\$ 457
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	1	1
Other	300	310
 Total taxable interest income	 \$ 608	 \$ 768
 Municipal securities	 \$ 141	 \$ 104
 Total tax-exempt interest income	 141	 104
 Total	 \$ 749	 \$ 872

For the periods ending March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, we held Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle ( FHLB ) stock with a book value approximately equal to its market value in the amount of \$2.0 million for each period. The Company evaluated its investment in FHLB stock for other-than-temporary impairment as of March 31, 2012, consistent with its accounting policy. Based on the Company's evaluation of the underlying investment, including the long-term nature of the investment, the liquidity position of the FHLB of Seattle, the actions being taken by the FHLB of Seattle to address its regulatory capital situation, and the Company's intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the par value, the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss. Even though the Company did not recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss during the three-month period ending March 31, 2012, continued deterioration in the FHLB of Seattle's financial position may result in future impairment losses.

**5. Loans Held for Sale**

The Company has purchased residential loans from our mortgage affiliate, Residential Mortgage Holding Company LLC ( RML ), from time to time since 1999. The Company then sells these loans in the secondary market. The Company purchased \$39.6 million and sold \$55.2 million in loans in the three-month period ending March 31, 2012. The Company sold \$5.6 million loans and did not purchase any loans in the three-month period ending March 31, 2011.

**Table of Contents****6. Loans**

The composition of the loan portfolio by segment, excluding loans held for resale, is presented below:

	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		March 31, 2011	
	Dollar Amount	Percent of Total	Dollar Amount	Percent of Total	Dollar Amount	Percent of Total
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>					
Commercial	\$ 246,147	37.1%	\$ 252,689	39.1%	\$ 241,540	36.9%
Real estate construction	36,592	5.5%	40,182	6.2%	62,082	9.5%
Real estate term	345,675	52.0%	315,860	48.9%	311,080	47.5%
Home equity lines and other consumer	39,119	5.9%	39,834	6.2%	42,600	6.5%
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 667,533</b>		<b>\$ 648,565</b>		<b>\$ 657,302</b>	
Less: Unearned origination fee, net of origination costs	(3,275)	-0.5%	(3,003)	-0.5%	(2,961)	-0.5%
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 664,258</b>		<b>\$ 645,562</b>		<b>\$ 654,341</b>	

At March 31, 2012, approximately 22% of the portfolio was scheduled to mature over the next 12 months, and 20% was scheduled to mature between April 1, 2013, and March 31, 2017.

As part of the on-going monitoring of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including trends in past due and nonaccrual loans, gross and net charge offs, and movement in loan balances within the risk classifications. The Company utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a risk classification to each of its loans. Loans are graded on a scale of 1 to 8. A description of the general characteristics of the 8 risk classifications are as follows:

**Risk Code 1 – Excellent:** Loans in this grade are those where the borrower has substantial financial capacity, above average profit margins, and excellent liquidity. Cash flow has been consistent and is well in excess of debt servicing requirements. Loans in this grade may be secured by cash and/or negotiable securities having a readily ascertainable market value and may also be fully guaranteed by the U.S. Government, and other approved government and financial institutions. Loans in this grade have borrowers with exceptional credit ratings and would compare to AA ratings as established by Standard & Poor's.

**Risk Code 2 – Good:** Loans in this grade are those to borrowers who have demonstrated satisfactory asset quality, earnings history, liquidity and other adequate margins of creditor protection. Borrowers exhibit positive fundamentals in terms of working capital, cash flow sufficient to service the debt, and debt to worth ratios. Borrowers for loans in this grade are capable of absorbing normal economic or other setbacks without difficulty. The borrower may exhibit some weaknesses or varying historical profitability. Management is considered adequate in all cases. Borrowing facilities may be unsecured or secured by customary acceptable collateral with well-defined market values. Additional support for the loan is available from secondary repayment sources and/or adequate guarantors.

**Risk Code 3 – Satisfactory:** Loans in this grade represent moderate credit risk due to some instability in borrower capacity and financial condition. These loans generally require average loan officer attention. Characteristics of assets in this classification may include: marginal debt service coverage, newly established ventures, limited or unstable earnings history, some difficulty in absorbing normal setbacks, and atypical maturities, collateral or other exceptions to established loan policies. In all cases, such weaknesses are offset by well secured collateral positions and/or acceptable guarantors.

**Table of Contents**

Risk Code 4 Watch List: Loans in this grade are acceptable, but additional attention is needed. This is an interim classification reserved for loans that are intrinsically creditworthy but which require specific attention. Loans may have documentation deficiencies that are deemed correctable, may be contrary to current lending policies, or may have insufficient credit or financial information. Loans in this grade may also be characterized by borrower failure to comply with loan covenants or to provide other required information. If such conditions are not resolved within 90 days from the date of the assignment of Risk Code 4, the loan may warrant further downgrade.

Risk Code 5 Special Mention: Loans in this grade have had a deterioration of financial condition or collateral value, but are still reasonably secured by collateral or net worth of the borrower. Although the Company is presently protected from loss, potential weaknesses are apparent which, if not corrected, could cause future problems. Loans in this classification warrant more than the ordinary amount of attention but have not yet reached the point of concern for loss. Loans in this category have deteriorated sufficiently that they would have difficulty in refinancing. Loans in this classification may show one or more of the following characteristics: inadequate loan documentation, deteriorating financial condition or control over collateral, economic or market conditions which may adversely impact the borrower in the future, unreliable or insufficient credit or collateral information, adverse trends in operations that are not yet jeopardizing repayment, or adverse trends in secondary repayment sources.

Risk Code 6 Substandard: Loans in this grade are no longer adequately protected due to declining net worth of the borrower, lack of earning capacity, or insufficient collateral. The possibility for loss of some portion of the loan principal cannot be ruled out. Loans in this grade exhibit well-defined weaknesses that bring normal repayment into doubt. Some of these weaknesses may include: unprofitable or poor earnings trends of the borrower or property, declining liquidity, excessive debt, significant unfavorable industry comparisons, secondary repayment sources are not available, or there is a possibility of a protracted work-out.

Risk Code 7 Doubtful: Loans in this grade exhibit the same weaknesses as those classified Substandard, but the traits are more pronounced. Collection in full is improbable, however the extent of the loss may be indeterminable due to pending factors which may yet occur that could salvage the loan, such as possible pledge of additional collateral, sale of assets, merger, acquisition or refinancing. Borrowers in this grade may be on the verge of insolvency or bankruptcy, and stringent action is required on the part of the loan officer.

Risk Code 8 Loss: Loans in this grade are those that are largely non-collectible or those in which ultimate recovery is too distant in the future to warrant continuance as a bankable asset. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer charging the loan off even though recovery may be affected in the future.

A risk rating is assigned for each loan at origination. The risk ratings for commercial, real estate construction, and real estate term loans may change throughout the life of the loan as a multitude of risk factors change. The risk rating for consumer loans may change as loans become delinquent. Delinquent loans are those that are thirty days or more past due.

**Table of Contents**

The loan portfolio, segmented by risk class for the periods indicated, is shown below:

	Commercial	Real estate construction	Real estate term <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Home equity lines and other consumer	Total
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>					
Risk Code 1 - Excellent	\$ 820	\$	\$	\$ 459	\$ 1,279
Risk Code 2 - Good	62,501		64,669	832	128,002
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	163,110	30,542	266,930	35,390	495,972
Risk Code 4 - Watch	6,943		1,405	1,265	9,613
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	8,921	370	3,600	255	13,146
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	3,421	5,680	8,771	918	18,790
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful	431		300		731
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 246,147</b>	<b>\$ 36,592</b>	<b>\$ 345,675</b>	<b>\$ 39,119</b>	<b>\$ 667,533</b>
Less: Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs					(3,275)
					<b>\$ 664,258</b>
<b>December 31, 2011:</b>					
Risk Code 1 - Excellent	\$ 540	\$	\$	\$ 575	\$ 1,115
Risk Code 2 - Good	65,315		55,617	849	121,781
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	164,767	29,063	247,330	35,914	477,074
Risk Code 4 - Watch	8,033	3,579	1,102	1,497	14,211
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	9,671		3,339	364	13,374
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	3,694	7,540	8,026	635	19,895
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful	669		446		1,115
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 252,689</b>	<b>\$ 40,182</b>	<b>\$ 315,860</b>	<b>\$ 39,834</b>	<b>\$ 648,565</b>
Less: Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs					(3,003)
					<b>\$ 645,562</b>
<b>March 31, 2011:</b>					
Risk Code 1 - Excellent	\$ 891	\$	\$	\$ 701	\$ 1,592
Risk Code 2 - Good	79,425	326	65,430	943	146,124
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	127,985	47,862	229,595	37,764	443,206
Risk Code 4 - Watch	11,549	3,229	2,429	2,108	19,315
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	15,180		3,199	560	18,939
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	5,932	10,665	10,427	524	27,548
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful	578				578
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 241,540</b>	<b>\$ 62,082</b>	<b>\$ 311,080</b>	<b>\$ 42,600</b>	<b>\$ 657,302</b>
Less: Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs					(2,961)
					<b>\$ 654,341</b>

**Table of Contents**

Loans are carried at their principal amount outstanding, net of charge-offs, unamortized fees and direct loan origination costs. Loan balances are charged to the Allowance for Loan Losses ( Allowance ) when management believes that collection of principal is unlikely. Interest income on loans is accrued and recognized on the principal amount outstanding except for loans in a nonaccrual status. All classes of loans are placed on nonaccrual and considered impaired when management believes doubt exists as to the collectability of the interest or principal. Cash payments received on nonaccrual loans are directly applied to the principal balance. Generally, a loan may be returned to accrual status when the delinquent principal and interest are brought current in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Additionally, certain ongoing performance criteria, which generally includes a performance period of six months, must be met in order for a loan to be returned to accrual status. Loans are reported as past due when installment payments, interest payments, or maturity payments are past due based on contractual terms.

Nonaccrual loans totaled \$6.8 million, \$7.4 million and \$10.3 million at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, respectively. Nonaccrual loans at the periods indicated, by major loan type are presented below:

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011 <i>(In Thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011
Commercial	\$ 2,797	\$ 3,360	\$ 4,514
Real estate construction	921	2,355	2,184
Real estate term	2,939	1,477	3,239
Home equity lines and other consumer	164	169	385
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,821</b>	<b>\$ 7,361</b>	<b>\$ 10,322</b>

**Table of Contents**

There were no past due loans greater than 90 days and still accruing at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively. Past due loans and nonaccrual loans at the periods indicated are presented below by loan class:

	30-59 Days Past Due Still Accruing	60-89 Days Past Due Still Accruing	Greater Than 90 Days Still Accruing	Nonaccrual <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Total Past Due	Current	Total Financing Receivables
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>							
Risk Code 1 - Excellent	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,279	\$ 1,279
Risk Code 2 - Good						128,002	128,002
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	896				896	495,076	495,972
Risk Code 4 - Watch	169				169	9,444	9,613
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention				159	159	12,987	13,146
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	390	450		5,931	6,771	12,019	18,790
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful				731	731		731
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 1,455</b>	<b>\$ 450</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 6,821</b>	<b>\$ 8,726</b>	<b>\$ 658,807</b>	<b>\$ 667,533</b>
Less: Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs							(3,275)
							<b>\$ 664,258</b>
<b>December 31, 2011:</b>							
Risk Code 1 - Excellent	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,115	\$ 1,115
Risk Code 2 - Good						121,781	121,781
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory						477,074	477,074
Risk Code 4 - Watch	387	170			557	13,654	14,211
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	86			170	256	13,118	13,374
Risk Code 6 - Substandard		21		6,076	6,097	13,798	19,895
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful	2			1,115	1,117	(2)	1,115
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 475</b>	<b>\$ 191</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 7,361</b>	<b>\$ 8,027</b>	<b>\$ 640,538</b>	<b>\$ 648,565</b>
Less: Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs							(3,003)
							<b>\$ 645,562</b>
<b>March 31, 2011:</b>							
Risk Code 1 - Excellent	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,592	\$ 1,592
Risk Code 2 - Good						146,124	146,124
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	813				813	442,393	443,206
Risk Code 4 - Watch						19,315	19,315
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	1,151	13			1,164	17,775	18,939
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	1,498	24		9,744	11,266	16,282	27,548
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful				578	578		578
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 3,462</b>	<b>\$ 37</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 10,322</b>	<b>\$ 13,821</b>	<b>\$ 643,481</b>	<b>\$ 657,302</b>
Less: Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs							(2,961)
							<b>\$ 654,341</b>

## Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that it will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is determined to be impaired, the impairment is measured based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, except that if the loan is collateral dependent, the impairment is measured by using the fair value of the loan's collateral. Nonperforming loans greater than \$50,000 are individually evaluated for impairment based upon the borrower's overall financial condition, resources, and payment record, and the prospects for support from any financially responsible guarantors.

- 17 -

**Table of Contents**

At March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, the recorded investment in loans that are considered to be impaired was \$12.3 million, \$9.5 million, and \$14.1 million, respectively. The following table presents information about impaired loans as of the periods indicated:

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>					
With no related allowance recorded					
Commercial - risk code 5 special mention	\$ 448	\$ 448	\$	\$ 471	\$ 6
Commercial - risk code 6 substandard	2,261	2,905		2,328	13
Commercial - risk code 8 loss		224		112	
Real estate term - risk code 5 special mention	690	768		669	7
Real estate term - risk code 6 substandard	6,334	6,614		6,578	81
Real estate term - risk code 7 doubtful	300	446		373	
Home equity lines and other consumer - risk code 5 special mention	95	95		96	1
Home equity lines and other consumer - risk code 6 substandard	169	169		173	2
	\$ 10,297	\$ 11,669	\$	\$ 10,800	\$ 110
With an allowance recorded					
Commercial - risk code 6 substandard	\$ 641	\$ 641	\$ 78	\$ 646	\$
Commercial - risk code 7 doubtful	431	431	358	438	
Real estate construction - risk code 6 substandard	921	921	342	964	
Total	\$ 1,993	\$ 1,993	\$ 778	\$ 2,048	\$
<b>December 31, 2011:</b>					
With no related allowance recorded					
Commercial - Risk Code 5 Special Mention	\$ 327	\$ 327	\$	\$ 430	\$ 23
Commercial - Risk Code 6 Substandard	2,166	2,810		2,380	5
Real estate construction - Risk Code 6 Substandard	1,349	1,527		1,463	
Real estate term - Risk Code 5 Special Mention	170	248		193	
Real estate term - Risk Code 6 Substandard	2,455	2,545		2,371	102
Home equity lines and other consumer - Risk Code 5 Special Mention	97	97		49	5
Home equity lines and other consumer - Risk Code 6 Substandard	52	52		53	
	\$ 6,616	\$ 7,606	\$	\$ 6,939	\$ 135
With an allowance recorded					
Commercial - Risk Code 6 Substandard	\$ 511	\$ 511	\$ 44	\$ 687	\$
Commercial - Risk Code 7 Doubtful	669	669	576	707	
Real estate construction - Risk Code 6 Substandard	1,006	1,006	494	1,024	
Real estate term - Risk Code 6 Substandard	204	204	5	215	



Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Real estate term - Risk Code 7 Doubtful	446	446	62	447	
Total	\$ 2,836	\$ 2,836	\$ 1,181	\$ 3,080	\$
Commercial - Risk Code 5 Special Mention	\$ 327	\$ 327	\$	\$ 430	\$ 23
Commercial - Risk Code 6 Substandard	2,677	3,321	44	3,067	5
Commercial - Risk Code 7 Doubtful	669	669	576	707	
Real estate construction - Risk Code 6 Substandard	2,355	2,533	494	2,487	
Real estate term - Risk Code 5 Special Mention	170	248		193	
Real estate term - Risk Code 6 Substandard	2,659	2,749	5	2,586	102
Real estate term - Risk Code 7 Doubtful	446	446	62	447	
Home equity lines and other consumer - Risk Code 5 Special Mention	97	97		49	5
Home equity lines and other consumer - Risk Code 6 Substandard	52	52		53	
	\$ 9,452	\$ 10,442	\$ 1,181	\$ 10,019	\$ 135

March 31, 2011:

With no related allowance recorded

Commercial - Risk Code 6 Substandard	\$ 3,663	\$ 4,261	\$	\$ 3,703	\$ 14
Real estate construction - Risk Code 6 Substandard	1,599	1,678		1,616	
Real estate term - Risk Code 6 Substandard	5,886	5,886		5,848	57
Home equity lines and other consumer - Risk Code 6 Substandard	215	215		202	1
	\$ 11,363	\$ 12,040	\$	\$ 11,369	\$ 72

With an allowance recorded

Commercial - risk code 6 Substandard	\$ 885	\$ 885	\$ 415	\$ 891	\$ 1
Real estate construction - risk code 6 Substandard	1,568	1,613	57	1,573	
Real estate term - risk code 6 Substandard	251	251	62	253	
Home equity lines and other consumer - risk code 6 Substandard	50	50	11	50	
Total	\$ 2,754	\$ 2,799	\$ 545	\$ 2,767	\$ 1

Commercial - risk code 6 Substandard	\$ 4,548	\$ 5,146	\$ 415	\$ 4,594	\$ 15
Real estate construction - risk code 6 Substandard	3,167	3,291	57	3,189	
Real estate term - risk code 6 Substandard	6,137	6,137	62	6,101	57
Home equity lines and other consumer - risk code 6 Substandard	265	265	11	252	1
	\$ 14,117	\$ 14,839	\$ 545	\$ 14,136	\$ 73

**Table of Contents**

The unpaid principle balance included in the table above represents the recorded investment at the dates indicated, plus amounts charged off for book purposes.

Loans classified as troubled debt restructurings totaled \$9.2 million, \$4.5 million, and \$2.8 million at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, respectively. A troubled debt restructuring is a loan to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty that has been modified from its original terms and conditions in such a way that the Company is granting the borrower a concession of some kind. The Company has granted a variety of concessions to borrowers in the form of loan modifications. The modifications granted can generally be described in the following categories:

**Rate Modification:** A modification in which the interest rate is changed.

**Term Modification:** A modification in which the maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

**Payment Modification:** A modification in which the dollar amount of the payment is changed, or in which a loan is converted to interest only payments for a period of time are included in this category.

**Combination Modification:** Any other type of modification, including the use of multiple categories above.

The following table presents newly restructured loans that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2012:

	Accrual Status	March 31, 2012 Nonaccrual Status <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Total Modifications
<b>New Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>			
Commercial risk code 6 - substandard	\$ 86	\$	\$ 86
Real estate construction risk code 6 - substandard		922	922
Real estate term risk code 6 - substandard	1,886	2,259	4,145
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 1,972</b>	<b>\$ 3,181</b>	<b>\$ 5,153</b>
Existing Troubled Debt Restructurings	2,933	1,119	4,052
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,905</b>	<b>\$ 4,300</b>	<b>\$ 9,205</b>

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents newly restructured loans that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2012 by concession (terms modified):

	Number of Contracts	Rate Modification	Term Modification	March 31, 2012 Payment Modification <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Combination Modification	Total Modifications
<b>Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment:</b>						
Commercial risk code 6 - substandard	1	\$	\$ 86	\$	\$	\$ 86
Real estate construction risk code 6 - substandard	1		1,015			1,015
Real estate term risk code 6 - substandard	3			2,589	1,886	4,475
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,101</b>	<b>\$ 2,589</b>	<b>\$ 1,886</b>	<b>\$ 5,576</b>
<b>Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment:</b>						
Commercial risk code 6 - substandard	1	\$	\$ 86	\$	\$	\$ 86
Real estate construction risk code 6 - substandard	1		922			922
Real estate term risk code 6 - substandard	3			2,259	1,886	4,145
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,008</b>	<b>\$ 2,259</b>	<b>\$ 1,886</b>	<b>\$ 5,153</b>

The following table presents TDRs that occurred during the last twelve months that have defaulted during the first quarter of 2012. These loans are past due, and they are nonaccrual loans:

	Number of Contracts	March 31, 2012 Recorded Investment <i>(In Thousands)</i>
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings that Subsequently Defaulted:</b>		
Commercial risk code 6 - substandard	1	\$ 138
Commercial risk code 7 - doubtful	1	218
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$ 356</b>

The Company had no commitments to extend additional credit to borrowers owing receivables whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings. All troubled debt restructurings are also classified as impaired loans and are included in the loans individually evaluated for impairment in the calculation of the Allowance for Loan Losses. There were two charge offs totaling \$280,000 in the first quarter of 2012 on loans that were later classified as troubled debt restructurings in the real estate term category. Five troubled debt restructurings with a total recorded investment of \$1.7 million had a specific impairment amount totaling \$753,000 at March 31, 2012.

**Table of Contents****7. Allowance for Loan Losses**

The following tables detail activity in the Allowance for Loan Losses ( Allowance ) for the three month period ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

Three Months Ended March 31,	Commercial	Real estate construction	Real estate term	Home equity lines and other consumer	Unallocated	Total
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>					
<b>2012:</b>						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 6,783	\$ 1,143	\$ 5,529	\$ 792	\$ 2,256	\$ 16,503
Charge-Offs	(231)	(426)				(657)
Recoveries	349			4		353
Provision	(818)	501	(332)	(197)	935	89
Balance, end of period	\$ 6,083	\$ 1,218	\$ 5,197	\$ 599	\$ 3,191	\$ 16,288
Balance, end of period: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 436	\$ 342	\$	\$	\$	\$ 778
Balance, end of period: Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,647	\$ 876	\$ 5,197	\$ 599	\$ 3,191	\$ 15,510
<b>2011:</b>						
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 6,374	\$ 1,035	\$ 4,270	\$ 741	\$ 1,986	\$ 14,406
Charge-Offs	(473)					(473)
Recoveries	590	1	53	13		657
Provision	(353)	840	(18)	(153)	233	549
Balance, end of period	\$ 6,138	\$ 1,876	\$ 4,305	\$ 601	\$ 2,219	\$ 15,139
Balance, end of period: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 415	\$ 57	\$ 62	\$ 11	\$	\$ 545
Balance, end of period: Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 5,723	\$ 1,819	\$ 4,243	\$ 590	\$ 2,219	\$ 14,594

**Table of Contents**

The following is a detail of the recorded investment in the loan portfolio, segregated by amounts evaluated individually or collectively in the Allowance at the periods indicated:

	Commercial	Real estate construction	Real estate term <i>(In Thousands)</i>	Home equity lines and other consumer	Total
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>					
Balance, end of period	\$ 246,147	\$ 36,592	\$ 345,675	\$ 39,119	\$ 667,533
Balance, end of period: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,781	\$ 921	\$ 7,324	\$ 264	\$ 12,290
Balance, end of period: Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 242,366	\$ 35,671	\$ 338,351	\$ 38,855	\$ 655,243
<b>March 31, 2011:</b>					
Balance, end of period	\$ 241,540	\$ 62,082	\$ 311,080	\$ 42,600	\$ 657,302
Balance, end of period: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,548	\$ 3,167	\$ 6,137	\$ 265	\$ 14,117
Balance, end of period: Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 236,992	\$ 58,915	\$ 304,943	\$ 42,335	\$ 643,185

**Table of Contents**

The following represents the balance of the Allowance for the periods indicated segregated by segment and class:

March 31,	Total	Commercial	Real estate Construction	Real estate term	Home equity lines and other consumer	Unallocated
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>					
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>						
Individually evaluated for impairment:						
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	\$ 420	\$ 78	\$	\$ 342	\$	\$
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful	358	358				
Collectively evaluated for impairment:						
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	10,523	4,501	914	4,538	570	
Risk Code 4 - Watch	378	308		58	12	
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	891	792	8	81	10	
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	527	46	296	178	7	
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful						
Unallocated	3,191					3,191
	\$ 16,288	\$ 6,083	\$ 1,218	\$ 5,197	\$ 599	\$ 3,191
<b>March 31, 2011:</b>						
Individually evaluated for impairment:						
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	\$ 545	\$ 415	\$ 57	\$ 62	\$ 11	\$
Collectively evaluated for impairment:						
Risk Code 3 - Satisfactory	8,835	3,333	1,407	3,559	536	
Risk Code 4 - Watch	622	432	81	92	17	
Risk Code 5 - Special Mention	1,953	1,834		83	36	
Risk Code 6 - Substandard	960	119	331	509	1	
Risk Code 7 - Doubtful	5	5				
Unallocated	2,219					2,219
	\$ 15,139	\$ 6,138	\$ 1,876	\$ 4,305	\$ 601	\$ 2,219

At March 31, 2012, the Allowance was \$16.3 million, and the Company's ratio of nonperforming loans compared to portfolio loans was 1.03%. The Company's ratio of Allowance compared to portfolio loans at March 31, 2012 was 2.45%.

**8. Goodwill and Other Intangibles**

The Company performs goodwill impairment testing annually in accordance with the policy described in Note 1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There was no indication of impairment as of March 31, 2012. The Company continues to monitor the Company's goodwill for potential impairment on an ongoing basis. No assurance can be given that there will not be an impairment charge to earnings during 2012 for goodwill impairment, if, for example, our stock price declines and trades at a significant discount to its book value, although there are many qualitative and quantitative factors that we analyze in determining the impairment of goodwill.

**9. Deposit Activities**

Total deposits at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011 were \$901.4 million, \$911.2 million and \$933.2 million, respectively. The only deposit category with stated maturity dates is certificates of deposit. At March 31, 2012, the Company had \$107.6 million in certificates of deposit as compared to certificates of deposit of \$108.7 million and \$134.7 million, for the periods ending December



---

## **Table of Contents**

31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively. At March 31, 2012, \$71.8 million, or 67%, of the Company's certificates of deposits are scheduled to mature over the next 12 months as compared to \$75.1 million, or 69%, of total certificates of deposit at December 31, 2011, and \$96.4 million, or 72%, of total certificates of deposit at March 31, 2011.

### **10. Stock Incentive Plan**

The Company adopted the 2010 Stock Option Plan ( 2010 Plan ) following shareholder's approval at the 2010 Annual Meeting. Subsequent to the adoption of the 2010 Plan, no additional grants may be issued under the prior plans. The 2010 Plan provides for grants of up to 348,232 shares, which includes any shares subject to stock awards under the previous stock option plans.

**Stock Options:** Under the 2010 Plan and previous plans, certain key employees have been granted the option to purchase set amounts of common stock at the market price on the day the option was granted. Optionees, at their own discretion, may cover the cost of exercise through the exchange at the then fair value of already owned shares of the Company's stock. Options are granted for a 10-year period and vest on a pro rata basis over the initial three years from grant.

The Company measures the fair value of each stock option at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized \$15,000 and \$17,000, respectively, in stock option compensation expense as a component of salaries and other personnel expense.

Proceeds from the exercise of stock options in the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, were \$63,000 and \$76,000, respectively. The Company withheld \$63,000, and \$76,000 to pay for stock option exercises or income taxes that resulted from the exercise of stock options in the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**Restricted Stock Units:** The Company grants restricted stock units to certain key employees periodically. Recipients of restricted stock units do not pay any cash consideration to the Company for the shares and receive all dividends with respect to such shares when the shares vest. Restricted stock units cliff vest at the end of a three-year time period.

For the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized \$98,000 and \$117,000, respectively, in restricted stock unit compensation expense as a component of salaries and other personnel expense.

### **11. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities**

The Company groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

**Level 1:** Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

**Level 2:** Valuation is based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

**Level 3:** Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company's estimation of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value disclosures. All financial instruments are held for other than trading purposes.



## **Table of Contents**

*Cash and cash equivalents:* Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet represent their fair values.

*Investment securities:* Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. Investments in Federal Home Loan Bank stock are recorded at cost, which also represents fair value.

*Loans held for sale:* Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet represent their fair values.

*Loans:* Fair value adjustments for loans are mainly related to credit risk, interest rate risk, required equity return, and liquidity risk. Credit risk is primarily addressed in the financial statements through the Allowance (see Note 5). Loans are valued using a discounted cash flow methodology and are pooled based on type of interest rate (fixed or adjustable) and maturity. A discount rate was developed based on the relative risk of the cash flows, taking into account the maturity of the loans and liquidity risk. Impaired loans are carried at fair value. Specific valuation allowances are included in the Allowance.

*Purchased receivables:* Fair values for purchased receivables are based on their carrying amounts due to their short duration and repricing frequency.

*Accrued interest receivable:* Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet represent their fair values.

*Deposits:* The fair values of demand and savings deposits are equal to the carrying amount at the reporting date. The carrying amount for variable-rate time deposits approximate their fair value. Fair values for fixed-rate time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies currently offered interest rates to a schedule of aggregate expected monthly maturities of time deposits.

*Accrued interest payable:* Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet represent their fair values.

*Securities sold under repurchase agreements:* Fair values for securities sold under repurchase agreements are based on their carrying amounts due to their short duration and repricing frequency.

*Borrowings:* Due to the short term nature of these instruments, the carrying amount of short-term borrowings reported in the balance sheet approximate the fair value. Fair values for fixed-rate long-term borrowings are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies currently offered interest rates to a schedule of aggregate expected monthly payments.

*Junior subordinated debentures:* Fair value adjustments for junior subordinated debentures are based on discounted cash flows to maturity using current interest rates for similar financial instruments. Management utilized a market approach to determine the appropriate discount rate for junior subordinated debentures.

*Assets subject to nonrecurring adjustment to fair value:* The Company is also required to measure certain assets such as equity method investments, goodwill, intangible assets and OREO at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Any nonrecurring adjustments to fair value usually result from the write down of individual assets.

The Company uses either in-house evaluations or external appraisals to estimate the fair value of OREO and impaired loans as of each reporting date. In-house appraisals are considered Level 3 inputs and external appraisals are considered Level 2 inputs. The Company's determination of which method to use is based upon several factors. The Company takes into account compliance with legal and regulatory guidelines, the amount of the loan, the size of the assets, the location and type of property to be valued and how critical the timing of completion of the analysis is to the assessment of value. Those factors are balanced with the level of internal expertise, internal experience and market information available, versus external expertise available such as qualified appraisers, brokers, auctioneers and equipment specialists.

**Table of Contents**

The Company uses external sources to estimate fair value for projects that are not fully constructed as of the date of valuation. These projects are generally valued as if complete, with an appropriate allowance for cost of completion, including contingencies developed from external sources such as vendors, engineers and contractors. The Company believes that recording other real estate owned that is not fully constructed based on as if complete values is more appropriate than recording other real estate owned that is not fully constructed using as is values. We concluded that as is complete values are appropriate for these types of projects based on the accounting guidance for capitalization of project costs and subsequent measurement of the value of real estate. GAAP specifically states that estimates and cost allocations must be reviewed at the end of each reporting period and reallocated based on revised estimates. The Company adjusts the carry value of other real estate owned in accordance with this guidance for increases in estimated cost to complete that exceed the fair value of the real estate at the end of each reporting period.

*Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit:* The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligation with the counterparties at the reporting date.

*Limitations:* Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

**Table of Contents**

Estimated fair values as of the periods indicated are as follows:

	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		March 31, 2011	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<i>(In Thousands)</i>						
<b>Financial assets:</b>						
Level 2 inputs:						
Cash, due from banks and deposits in other	\$ 92,429	\$ 92,429	\$ 91,530	\$ 91,530	\$ 167,816	\$ 167,816
Investment securities	222,194	220,437	227,905	228,163	189,871	192,047
Accrued interest receivable	3,157	3,157	2,898	2,898	3,184	3,184
Level 3 inputs:						
Loans and loans held for sale, net	660,236	653,226	656,881	649,907	639,202	636,007
Purchased receivables	22,345	22,345	30,209	30,209	13,611	13,611
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>						
Level 2 inputs:						
Deposits	\$ 901,406	\$ 900,909	\$ 911,248	\$ 910,927	\$ 933,224	\$ 932,150
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	15,467	15,467	16,348	16,348	11,595	11,595
Borrowings	4,590	4,138	4,626	4,066	5,421	4,774
Junior subordinated debentures	18,558	17,194	18,558	17,356	18,558	15,106
Accrued interest payable	50	50	52	52	262	262
Unrecognized financial instruments:						
Commitments to extend credit <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 212,333	\$ 2,123	\$ 173,834	\$ 1,738	\$ 198,437	\$ 1,984
Standby letters of credit <sup>(1)</sup>	21,505	215	16,172	162	19,290	193

<sup>(1)</sup> Carrying amounts reflect the notional amount of credit exposure under these financial instruments.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth the balances as of the periods indicated of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
		<i>(In Thousands)</i>		
<b>March 31, 2012:</b>				
Available for sale securities				
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 147,176		\$ 147,176	
Municipal securities	17,747		17,747	
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	52		52	
Corporate bonds	49,527		49,527	
Preferred stock	2,087		2,087	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 216,589</b>		<b>\$ 216,589</b>	
<b>December 31, 2011:</b>				
Available for sale securities				
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 161,104		\$ 161,104	
Municipal securities	16,935		16,935	
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	54		54	
Corporate bonds	42,991		42,991	
Preferred stock	999		999	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 222,083</b>		<b>\$ 222,083</b>	
<b>March 31, 2011:</b>				
Available for sale securities				
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored entities	\$ 145,681		\$ 145,681	
Municipal securities	12,257		12,257	
U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities	69		69	
Corporate bonds	23,793		23,793	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 181,800</b>		<b>\$ 181,800</b>	

As of and for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, no impairment or valuation adjustment was recognized for assets recognized at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, except for certain assets as shown in the following table. For loans measured for impairment, the Company classifies fair value measurements using observable inputs, such as external appraisals, as level 2 valuations in the fair value hierarchy, and unobservable inputs, such as in-house evaluations, as level 3 valuations in the fair value hierarchy.

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total (gains) losses
		<i>(In Thousands)</i>			
<b>2012:</b>					
Loans measured for impairment <sup>1</sup>	\$ 1,993		\$ 921	\$ 1,072	(\$ 403)

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 1,993	\$ 921	\$ 1,072	(\$ 403)
2011:				
Loans measured for impairment <sup>1</sup>	\$ 2,754	\$ 2,219	\$ 535	\$ 162
Total	\$ 2,754	\$ 2,219	\$ 535	\$ 162

<sup>1</sup> Relates to certain impaired collateral dependant loans. The impairment was measured based on the fair value of collateral, in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements of Northrim BanCorp, Inc. (the Company) and the notes thereto presented elsewhere in this report and with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**Note Regarding Forward Looking-Statements**

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements, which are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements describe management's expectations about future events and developments such as future operating results, growth in loans and deposits, continued success of the Company's style of banking, and the strength of the local economy. All statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding industry prospects and future results of operations or financial position, made in this report are forward-looking. We use words such as anticipate, believe, expect, intend and similar expressions in part to help identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect management's current plans and expectations and are inherently uncertain. Our actual results may differ significantly from management's expectations, and those variations may be both material and adverse. Forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from our expectations as indicated in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include: the general condition of, and changes in, the Alaska economy; factors that impact our net interest margin; and our ability to maintain asset quality. Further, actual results may be affected by competition on price and other factors with other financial institutions; customer acceptance of new products and services; the regulatory environment in which we operate; and general trends in the local, regional and national banking industry and economy. Many of these risks, as well as other risks that may have a material adverse impact on our operations and business, are identified in Item 1A Risk Factors of this report, and in our other filings with the SEC. However, you should be aware that these factors are not an exhaustive list, and you should not assume these are the only factors that may cause our actual results to differ from our expectations. In addition, you should note that we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements or the uncertainties that may adversely impact those statements, other than as required by law.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires us to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various other factors and circumstances. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable; however, actual results may differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions which could have a material impact on the carrying value of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and on our results of operations for the reporting periods.

The accounting policies that involve significant estimates and assumptions by management, which have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities, are considered critical accounting policies. The Company's critical accounting policies include those that address the accounting for the Allowance, the valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, and the valuation of other real estate owned. These critical accounting policies are further described in Management's Discussion and Analysis and in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Management has applied its critical accounting policies and estimation methods consistently in all periods presented in these financial statements.

See Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for a summary of the pronouncements that became effective in 2012 and discussion of the impact of their adoption on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Update on Economic Conditions**

According to the Anchorage Daily News, the State of Alaska's Revenue Department projects that the state will collect \$1.2 billion more in unrestricted revenue in 2012 and 2013 than it projected last fall, thanks to high oil prices. The State of Alaska's Revenue Department also projects that the state will collect \$9.9 billion in unrestricted revenue this fiscal year and \$8.4 billion in the next fiscal year. That compares to the earlier forecast of \$8.9 billion this year and \$8.2 billion in the next fiscal year. Despite higher-than-expected oil prices, however, the Alaska Department of Revenue Commissioner has stated that the long-term health of Alaska's economy and finances depend on stemming the decline of North Slope oil production.

Two significant developments occurred in Alaska's effort to bring its natural gas to Alaskans and other markets. First, the State of Alaska resolved its long-running litigation with ExxonMobil and other leaseholders regarding the Point Thomson field, which is located sixty miles east of Prudhoe Bay and is Alaska's largest undeveloped oil and gas field, holding an estimated eight trillion cubic feet of natural gas and hundreds of millions of barrels of oil and gas liquids. Additionally, ExxonMobil, ConocoPhillips and British Petroleum delivered a letter to the Governor of the State of Alaska announcing that they are now aligned with the Alaska Pipeline Project parties and are working on a gas line project focusing on bringing North Slope gas to tidewater in Alaska. Further information regarding the litigation surrounding Point Thomson and the letter received by the Governor can be found at <http://gov.alaska.gov/parnell/press-room/full-press-release.html?pr=6071>. Although these events represent positive steps toward the construction of a natural gas pipeline project, the ultimate prospects for this project remain uncertain at this time.

### **Highlights and Summary of Performance – First Quarter of 2012**

Diluted earnings per share in the first quarter of 2012 were \$0.39, compared to \$0.50 per diluted share in the quarter ended December 31, 2011 and \$0.37 per diluted share in the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

Northrim paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.13 per share in the first quarter of 2012, compared to a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.12 per share in the first quarter of 2011, which provides a yield of approximately 2.4% at current market share prices.

At quarter end, tangible book value was \$18.51 per share, up from \$18.09 at December 31, 2011 and \$17.13 per share at March 31, 2011.

Other operating income, which includes revenues from financial services affiliates, service charges, and electronic banking, contributed 23.6% to first quarter 2012 total revenues, compared to contributions of 26.5% to total revenues at the end of the prior quarter and 20.6% to first quarter 2011 total revenues.

Northrim remains well-capitalized with Tier 1 Capital to Risk Adjusted Assets at March 31, 2012 of 15.04%, compared to 15.20% at the end of the prior quarter and 14.97% a year ago. Tangible common equity to tangible assets was 11.21% at March 31, 2012, up from 10.86% in the preceding quarter and 10.13% a year ago. Tangible common equity to tangible assets is a non-GAAP ratio that represents total equity less goodwill and intangible assets divided by total assets less goodwill and intangible assets. The GAAP measure of equity to assets is total equity divided by total assets. Total equity to total assets was 11.91% at March 31, 2012 as compared to 11.56% at December 31, 2011 and 10.84% at March 31, 2011.

At March 31, 2012, nonperforming assets are \$13.5 million, or 1.25% of total assets, compared to \$12.5 million, or 1.16% of total assets at the end of December 2011, and \$20.7 million, or 1.89% of total assets a year ago.

The allowance for loan losses totaled 2.45% of gross loans at March 31, 2012, down from 2.56% at December 31, 2011 and up from 2.31% a year ago. The allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans also increased to 238.8% at March 31, 2012, from 224.2% in the preceding quarter and 146.7% a year ago.

## Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

First quarter 2012, net interest margin was 4.53%, down 2 basis points from the fourth quarter of 2011 and down 19 basis points from the year ago quarter.

In April 2012, the Company is launching a new marketing campaign titled *100% 907* (based on the Alaska area code 907) to broaden and deepen the Company's relationship with its local communities.

- 30 -



**Table of Contents**

The Company reported net income and diluted earnings per share of \$2.6 million and \$0.39, respectively, for the first quarter of 2012 compared to net income and diluted earnings per share of \$2.5 million and \$0.37, respectively, for the first quarter of 2011. The increase in net income from the prior year was the result of a number of factors. The largest change in net income for the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 is attributable to a decrease in the provision for loan losses. Additionally, there was an increase in other operating income primarily due to increased earnings from Residential Mortgage Holding Company LLC ( RML ), the Company's mortgage affiliate. These positive results were partially offset by an increase in other operating expense primarily due to increased salaries and benefits costs and decreased rental income and gains on the sale of other real estate owned ( OREO ), and a decrease in net interest income.

Northrim's total assets decreased by 2% at March 31, 2012 as compared to March 31, 2011, with decreases in cash and interest bearing deposits in other banks which were partially offset by increases in portfolio investments, loans, and purchased receivables. Total assets at March 31, 2012 decreased 1% as compared to December 31, 2011 primarily due to decreases in cash and purchased receivables. Net loans increased to \$660.2 million at March 31, 2012 as compared to \$656.9 million at December 31, 2011 and \$639.2 million a year ago. This increase in the loan portfolio in the first three months of 2012 was primarily due to increases in real estate term loans.

**Credit Quality**

*Nonperforming assets:* Nonperforming assets at March 31, 2012, increased by \$934,000, or 7%, as compared to the preceding quarter and declined by \$7.2 million, or 35%, year-over-year. Nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2012 decreased \$540,000, or 7%, and \$3.5 million, or 34%, as compared to December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively. Other real estate owned increased \$1.5 million, or 28%, at March 31, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011 due to the addition of a property in Fairbanks which includes thirty seven lots that are ready for building and some unrestricted acreage. Other real estate owned decreased \$3.7 million, or 36%, in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to March 31, 2011 due to sales of OREO properties in the last year in excess of the addition of the property described above into OREO during the first quarter of 2012.

The following table summarizes total OREO activity for the three month periods ending March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 5,183	\$ 10,355
Transfers from loans, net	1,499	974
Investment in other real estate owned	17	14
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	(50)	(1,140)
Gain on sale of other real estate owned, net	19	72
Deferred gain on sale of other real estate owned	(11)	68
Impairment on other real estate owned		
Balance at end of period	\$ 6,657	\$ 10,343

*Potential problem loans:* Potential problem loans are loans which are currently performing and are not included in nonaccrual loans, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, or impaired loans that have developed negative indications that the borrower may not be able to comply with present payment terms and which may later be included in nonaccrual, past due, or impaired loans. At March 31, 2012, management had identified potential problem loans of \$1.9 million as compared to potential problem loans of \$4.6 million at December 31, 2011 and \$8.0 million at March 31, 2011. The decrease in potential problem loans at March 31, 2012 from December 31, 2011 is due to the movement of two real estate term loans from one

**Table of Contents**

borrower totaling \$2.7 million from potential problem loans to nonaccrual status. The decrease at March 31, 2012 as compared to March 31, 2011 is due to the movement of \$4.8 million in loans to nonaccrual or OREO status, as well as pay downs and upgrades on several loans.

*Troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs )*: TDRs are those loans for which concessions, including the reduction of interest rates below a rate otherwise available to that borrower, have been granted due to the borrower's weakened financial condition. Interest on TDRs will be accrued at the restructured rates when it is anticipated that no loss of original principal will occur, and the interest can be collected, which is generally after a period of six months. The Company had \$4.9 million in loans classified as TDRs that were performing as of March 31, 2012. Additionally, there were \$4.3 million in TDRs included in nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2012 for a total of \$9.2 million. At December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011 there were \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, in loans classified as TDRs that were performing and \$2.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, in TDRs included in nonaccrual loans. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this report for further discussion of TDRs.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Income Statement****Net Income**

Net income attributable to Northrim BanCorp for the first quarter of 2012 increased \$121,000, or 5%, to \$2.6 million compared to \$2.5 million for the same period in 2011. This increase was due to a decrease in the provision for loan losses and an increase in other operating income. These changes were partially offset by an increase in other operating expense and a slight decrease in net interest income.

**Net Interest Income / Net Interest Margin**

Net interest income for the first quarter of 2012 decreased \$321,000, or 3%, to \$10.4 million as compared to \$10.7 million for the same period in 2011 because of reductions in interest income due to decreased yields on interest-earning assets, accompanied by a smaller decrease in the costs of the Company's interest-bearing liabilities. The Company's net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets on a tax equivalent basis decreased by 19 basis points to 4.53% for the three-month period ending March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

Average loans, the largest category of interest-earning assets, increased by \$7.3 million, or 1%, to \$669.2 million in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to \$661.9 million the first quarter of 2011. Average commercial loans, real estate term loans, and loans held for sale increased while real estate construction and home equity lines and other consumer loans decreased in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same quarter a year ago. Total interest income from loans decreased \$461,000 for the three-month period ending March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011, primarily due to decreased yields.

Average investments decreased \$2.4 million, or 1%, to \$264.9 million in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to \$267.3 million for the same period in 2011. This decrease arose from the use of short term investments to fund loan growth in the first quarter of 2012, net of an increase in average long term investments due to purchases of available for sale securities.

The average yield on interest-earning assets, which includes loans and investments, decreased 32 basis points to 4.82% for the first quarter of 2012 from 5.14% in the same period in 2011. The decrease in average yields arose from decreasing interest rates in both the loan and investment portfolios as new volume replaces old volume at lower current rates.

Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$5.4 million, or 1%, to \$625.2 million during the first quarter of 2012 as compared to \$630.6 million for the same period in 2011. This decrease was the result of decreased average interest-bearing deposit balances, which was slightly offset by increased balances in securities sold under repurchase agreements, which are classified as borrowings.

**Table of Contents**

The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$279,000, or 18 basis points for the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 due to declining market rates across all deposit types and borrowings.

**Components of Net Interest Margin**

The following table compares average balances and rates as well as net tax equivalent margin on earning assets for the three months ending March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended March 31,										
	Average Balances		Change		Interest income/ expense		Change		Average Yields/ Costs Tax Equivalent <sup>3</sup>		
	2012	2011	\$	%	2012	2011	\$	%	2012	2011	Change
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>										
Commercial	\$ 251,827	\$ 243,136	\$ 8,691	3.6%	\$ 4,077	\$ 4,069	\$ 8	0.2%	6.51%	6.79%	-0.28%
Real estate construction	39,627	63,749	(24,122)	-37.8%	778	1,166	(388)	-33.3%	7.90%	7.42%	0.48%
Real estate term	325,167	313,380	11,787	3.8%	4,611	4,743	(132)	-2.8%	5.70%	6.14%	-0.44%
Home equity lines and other consumer	39,226	43,091	(3,865)	-9.0%	615	695	(80)	-11.5%	6.30%	6.54%	-0.24%
Loans held for sale	15,263	1,239	14,024	NM	144	13	131	NM	3.77%	4.32%	-0.55%
Unearned origination fees, net of origination costs	(1,914)	(2,661)	747	28.1%							
<b>Total loans<sup>1,2</sup></b>	<b>669,196</b>	<b>661,934</b>	<b>7,262</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>10,225</b>	<b>10,686</b>	<b>(461)</b>	<b>-4.3%</b>	<b>6.18%</b>	<b>6.59%</b>	<b>-0.41%</b>
Short-term investments	43,044	52,462	(9,418)	-18.0%	40	33	7	21.2%	0.37%	0.25%	0.12%
Long-term investments	221,891	214,869	7,022	3.3%	787	933	(146)	-15.6%	1.59%	1.86%	-0.27%
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>264,935</b>	<b>267,331</b>	<b>(2,396)</b>	<b>-0.9%</b>	<b>827</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>(139)</b>	<b>-14.4%</b>	<b>1.40%</b>	<b>1.56%</b>	<b>-0.16%</b>
<b>Interest-earning assets</b>	<b>934,131</b>	<b>929,265</b>	<b>4,866</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>11,052</b>	<b>11,652</b>	<b>(600)</b>	<b>-5.1%</b>	<b>4.82%</b>	<b>5.14%</b>	<b>-0.32%</b>
Nonearning assets	112,741	110,804	1,937	1.7%							
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,046,872</b>	<b>\$ 1,040,069</b>	<b>\$ 6,803</b>	<b>0.7%</b>							
<b>Interest-bearing deposits</b>	<b>\$ 586,665</b>	<b>\$ 594,249</b>	<b>(\$ 7,584)</b>	<b>-1.3%</b>	<b>\$ 487</b>	<b>\$ 779</b>	<b>(\$ 292)</b>	<b>-37.5%</b>	<b>0.33%</b>	<b>0.53%</b>	<b>-0.20%</b>
<b>Borrowings</b>	<b>38,531</b>	<b>36,338</b>	<b>2,193</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>2.19%</b>	<b>2.20%</b>	<b>-0.01%</b>
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>625,196</b>	<b>630,587</b>	<b>(5,391)</b>	<b>-0.9%</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>(279)</b>	<b>-28.6%</b>	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>0.63%</b>	<b>-0.18%</b>
<b>Demand deposits and other noninterest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>294,335</b>	<b>290,875</b>	<b>3,460</b>	<b>1.2%</b>							
<b>Equity</b>	<b>127,341</b>	<b>118,607</b>	<b>8,734</b>	<b>7.4%</b>							
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,046,872</b>	<b>\$ 1,040,069</b>	<b>\$ 6,803</b>	<b>0.7%</b>							

Edgar Filing: NORTHRIM BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net interest income \$ 10,354 \$ 10,675 (\$ 321) -3.0%

Net tax equivalent margin on earning assets<sup>3</sup> 4.53% 4.72% -0.19%

<sup>1</sup> Loan fees recognized during the period and included in the yield calculation totalled \$612,000 and \$604,000 in the first quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Average nonaccrual loans included in the computation of the average loans were \$6.8 million and \$10.9 million in the first quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

<sup>3</sup> Tax-equivalent net interest margin is a non-GAAP performance measurement in which interest income on non-taxable investments and loans is presented on a tax-equivalent basis using a combined federal and state statutory rate of 41.11% in both 2012 and 2011.

**Table of Contents****Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Expense**

The following tables set forth the changes in consolidated net interest income attributable to changes in volume and to changes in interest rates for the three month period ending March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Changes attributable to the combined effect of volume and interest rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to interest rates.

	Quarter ended March 31, 2012 vs. 2011		
	Increase (decrease) due to		
	Volume	Rate	Total
<b>Interest Income:</b>			
Loans	(\$ 28)	(\$ 433)	(\$ 461)
Long-term investments	43	(189)	(146)
Short-term investments	(4)	12	8
<b>Total interest income</b>	<b>\$ 11</b>	<b>(\$ 610)</b>	<b>(\$ 599)</b>
<b>Interest Expense:</b>			
<b>Deposits:</b>			
Interest-bearing deposits	(\$ 10)	(282)	(\$ 292)
Borrowings	14	(1)	13
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>\$ 4</b>	<b>(\$ 283)</b>	<b>(\$ 279)</b>

**Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses was \$89,000 and \$549,000 for the quarters ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company had net charge offs of \$304,000 and net recoveries of \$184,000 the first quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively. At March 31, 2012, the Allowance was \$16.3 million, or 2.45% of total loans as compared to \$15.1 million, or 2.31% of total loans a year ago. See additional analysis of the Allowance in the Balance Sheet Overview section.

**Other Operating Income**

Other operating income for the first quarter of 2012 increased \$429,000, or 15%, to \$3.2 million as compared to \$2.8 million for the first quarter of 2011. This increase is primarily due to increases of \$353,000, \$106,000 and \$86,000, respectively, in earnings from RML, other income, and purchased receivable income. The increase in other income is primarily the result of increases in miscellaneous loan fees, merchant fees, and in the Company's share of income from its affiliate Pacific Wealth Advisors, LLC, ( PWA ) which is accounted for using the equity method. In addition, the Company earned \$17,000 in the first quarter of 2012 from its 41% interest in Elliott Cove Insurance Agency, LLC ( ECIA ), which it purchased in the fourth quarter of 2011 and accounts for using the equity method. The increase in purchased receivable income resulted from higher average balances. These increases were partially offset by a \$236,000 decrease in gains on the sale of securities in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

**Other Operating Expense**

Other operating expense for the first quarter of 2012 increased \$432,000, or 5%, to \$9.8 million as compared to \$9.3 million for the first quarter of 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase of \$390,000 in salaries and other personnel expense primarily due to higher medical claims costs as well as increased salaries, payroll taxes and miscellaneous other personnel benefit costs. OREO expense, net of rental income and gains on the sale of OREO properties, also increased by \$236,000, as compared to the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to decreased gains on the sale and rental income attributable to OREO properties. Additionally, occupancy expense increased \$86,000 in the first quarter of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 primarily due to increased snow removal costs due to record snowfall in Anchorage, and professional and outside services increased \$81,000 due to increased consulting fees related to the

## **Table of Contents**

Company's salaries and other personnel benefits programs and increased accounting fees. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$318,000 in insurance expense due to lower FDIC insurance premiums and a decrease in Keyman insurance expense that arose from increases in the cash surrender value of assets held under the Company's policies.

### **Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes in the first quarter of 2012 was consistent with the same period in 2011. The tax rates for the first quarter of 2012 decreased to 28% from 29% in the first quarter of 2011 due to an increase in tax exempt income on investment gains on the cash surrender value of assets held under the Company's keyman policies relative to the level of taxable income.

## **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

### **Balance Sheet Overview**

#### *Investment Securities*

Investment securities at March 31, 2012 decreased \$5.7 million, or 3%, to \$220.2 million from \$225.9 million at December 31, 2011, and increased \$32.3 million, or 17%, from \$187.9 million at March 31, 2011. The decrease at March 31, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011 is due to the use of proceeds from the sale and maturity of investment securities to fund loan growth. The increase from prior year is due to the purchase of available for sale securities with funds from interest bearing deposits at other banks.

#### *Loans and Lending Activities*

Our loan products include short and medium-term commercial loans, commercial credit lines, construction and real estate loans, and consumer loans. From our inception, we have emphasized commercial, land development and home construction, and commercial real estate lending. This type of lending has provided us with market opportunities and higher net interest margins than other types of lending. However, it also involves greater risks, including greater exposure to changes in local economic conditions, than certain other types of lending.

Loans are the highest yielding component of our earning assets. Loans comprised 72% and 71% of total average earning assets for the three-month periods ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The yield on loans averaged 6.18% and 6.59% for these same periods. See the Net Interest Income section for further discussion of average balances and yields for the three-month periods ending March 31, 2012 and 2011.

**Table of Contents**

The loan portfolio increased by \$9.9 million, or 2%, to \$664.3 million at March 31, 2012 from \$654.3 million at March 31, 2011. The loan portfolio increased by \$18.7 million, or 3%, at March 31, 2012 from \$645.6 million at December 31, 2011. The increases for both periods are primarily due to a higher level of real estate term loans. The following table details the changes in loan balances by loan type:

	March 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		March 31, 2011	
	Dollar Amount	Percent of Total	Dollar Amount	Percent of Total	Dollar Amount	Percent of Total
	<i>(In Thousands)</i>					
Commercial	\$ 246,147	37.1%	\$ 252,689	39.1%	\$ 241,540	36.9%
Real estate construction	36,592	5.5%	40,182	6.2%	62,082	9.5%
Real estate term	345,675	52.0%	315,860	48.9%	311,080	47.5%
Home equity lines and other consumer	39,119	5.9%	39,834	6.2%	42,600	6.5%
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$ 667,533</b>		<b>\$ 648,565</b>		<b>\$ 657,302</b>	
Less: Unearned origination fee, net of origination costs	(3,275)	-0.5%	(3,003)	-0.5%	(2,961)	-0.5%
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 664,258</b>		<b>\$ 645,562</b>		<b>\$ 654,341</b>	

Due to its efforts to capitalize on market opportunities, the Company expects its loan portfolio to increase during the remainder of 2012 mainly in the commercial and real estate term areas.

*Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses*

The Company maintains an Allowance to reflect losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The Allowance is increased by provisions for loan losses and loan recoveries and decreased by loan charge-offs. The size of the Allowance is determined through quarterly assessments of probable estimated losses in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for making such assessments and determining the adequacy of the Allowance includes the following key elements:

*A specific allocation for impaired loans.* Management determined the fair value of the majority of these loans based on the underlying collateral values. This analysis is based upon a specific analysis for each impaired loan, including external appraisals on loans secured by real property, management's assessment of the current market, recent payment history, and an evaluation of other sources of repayment. In-house evaluations of fair value are used in the impairment analysis in some situations. Inputs to the in-house evaluation process include information about sales of comparable properties in the appropriate markets and changes in tax assessed values. The Company obtains appraisals on real and personal property that secure its loans during the loan origination process in accordance with regulatory guidance and its loan policy. The Company obtains updated appraisals on loans secured by real or personal property based upon its assessment of changes in the current market or particular projects or properties, information from other current appraisals, and other sources of information. Appraisals may be adjusted downward by the Company based on its evaluation of the facts and circumstances on a case by case basis. External appraisals may be discounted when management believes that the absorption period used in the appraisal is unrealistic, when expected liquidation costs exceed those included in the appraisal, or when management's evaluation of deteriorating market conditions warrants an adjustment. Additionally, the Company may also adjust appraisals in the above circumstances between appraisal dates. The Company uses the information provided in these updated appraisals along with its evaluation of all other information available on a particular property as it assesses the collateral coverage on its performing and nonperforming loans and the impact that may have on the adequacy of its Allowance. The specific allowance for impaired loans, as well as the overall Allowance, may increase based on the Company's assessment of updated appraisals.

## **Table of Contents**

When the Company determines that a loss has occurred on an impaired loan, a charge-off equal to the difference between carrying value and fair value is recorded. If a specific allowance is deemed necessary for a loan, and then that loan is partially charged off, the loan remains classified as a nonperforming loan after the charge-off is recognized. Loans measured for impairment based on collateral value and all other loans measured for impairment are accounted for in the same way. The total charge-off rate for nonperforming loans as of March 31, 2012 and 2011 was 42% and 18%, respectively.

*A general allocation.* The Company has identified segments and classes of loans not considered impaired for purposes of establishing the general allocation allowance. The Company determined the disaggregation of the loan portfolio into segments and classes based on its assessment of how different pools of loans with like characteristics in the portfolio behave over time. This determination is based on historical experience and management's assessment of how current facts and circumstances are expected to affect the loan portfolio.

The Company first disaggregates the loan portfolio into the following segments: commercial, real estate construction, real estate term, and home equity lines and other consumer loans. Then the Company further disaggregates each of these segments into the following classes, which are also known as risk classifications: excellent, good, satisfactory, watch, special mention, substandard, doubtful, and loss.

After the portfolio has been disaggregated into segments and classes, the Company calculates a general reserve for each segment and class based on the average year loss history for each segment and class. In 2012, the Company increased the look-back period used in the calculation of average historical loss rates from four years to five years. Management made this change because we believe that continuing to include the elevated loss experience from 2007 that occurred as a result of the economic downturn from that time is appropriate.

After the Company calculates a general allocation using its loss history, the general reserve is then adjusted for qualitative factors by segment and class. Qualitative factors are based on management's assessment of current trends that may cause losses inherent in the current loan portfolio to differ significantly from historical losses. Some factors that management considers in determining the qualitative adjustment to the general reserve include national and local economic trends, business conditions, underwriting policies and standards, trends in local real estate markets, effects of various political activities, peer group data, and internal factors such as underwriting policies and expertise of the Company's employees.

*An unallocated reserve.* The unallocated portion of the Allowance provides for other credit losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the specific and general components of the Allowance, and it acknowledges the inherent imprecision of all loss prediction models. The unallocated component is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses and overall economic conditions.

At March 31, 2012, the unallocated portion of the Allowance as a percentage of the total Allowance was 20%. The unallocated portion of the Allowance as a percentage of the total Allowance was 14% at December 31, 2011 and 15% at March 31, 2011. Management believes that the increase in unallocated portion of the Allowance as of March 31, 2012 is appropriate due to an increase in the Company's commitments to large borrowers.

Further discussion of the enhancement to the Company's Allowance methodology can be found in Item 7 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.



**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth information regarding changes in the Allowance for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 16,503	\$ 14,406
Charge-offs:		
Commercial	231	473
Real estate construction	426	
Real estate term		
Home equity lines and other consumer		
<b>Total charge-offs</b>	<b>657</b>	<b>473</b>
Recoveries:		
Commercial	349	590
Real estate construction		1
Real estate term		53
Home equity lines and other consumer	4	13
<b>Total recoveries</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>657</b>
Net, (recoveries) charge-offs	304	(184)
Provision for loan losses	89	549
Balance at end of period	\$ 16,288	\$ 15,139

While management believes that it uses the best information available to determine the Allowance, unforeseen market conditions and other events could result in adjustment to the Allowance, and net income could be significantly affected if circumstances differed substantially from the assumptions used in making the final determination of the Allowance. Moreover, bank regulators frequently monitor banks' loan loss allowances, and if regulators were to determine that the Company's Allowance is inadequate, they may require the Company to increase the Allowance, which may adversely impact the Company's net income and financial condition.

*Deposits*

Deposits are the Company's primary source of funds. Total deposits decreased \$9.8 million to \$901.4 million at March 31, 2012, from \$911.2 million at December 31, 2011, and decreased \$31.8 million from \$933.2 million at March 31, 2011. The Company's deposits generally are expected to fluctuate according to the level of the Company's market share, economic conditions, and normal seasonal trends. Total average deposits decreased \$25.1 million to \$872.3 million for the first quarter of 2012 from the fourth quarter of 2011 and decreased \$6.6 million from the first quarter of March 31, 2011. In April 2012 Northrim launched a new marketing campaign titled "100% 907" (based on the Alaska area code 907) to broaden and deepen the Company's relationship with our local communities and expects that this campaign will increase total deposits. There were no depositors with deposits representing 10% or more of total deposits at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, or March 31, 2011.

*Borrowings*

At March 31, 2012, the Company's maximum borrowing line from the FHLB was \$129.7 million, approximately 12% of the Company's assets. FHLB advances are dependent on the availability of

**Table of Contents**

acceptable collateral such as marketable securities or real estate loans, although all FHLB advances are secured by a blanket pledge of the Company's assets. At March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, the Company had no outstanding balances on the borrowing line.

The Company purchased its main office facility for \$12.9 million on July 1, 2008. In this transaction, the Company, through Northrim Building LLC, assumed an existing loan secured by the building in an amount of \$5.1 million. At March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, the outstanding balance on this loan was \$4.6 million, \$4.6 million, and \$4.7 million, respectively. This loan has a maturity date of April 1, 2014 and a fixed interest rate of 5.95%.

In addition to the borrowings for the building, the Company had \$690,000 in other borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2011 which consisted of short-term borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank for Treasury tax deposits. There were no other borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

At March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, the Company had no short-term (original maturity of one year or less) borrowings that exceeded 30% of shareholders' equity.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company manages its liquidity through its Asset and Liability Committee. In addition to the \$92.4 million of cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits in other banks and \$183.9 million in unpledged available for sale securities held at March 31, 2012, the Company had additional funding sources which include fed fund borrowing lines and advances available at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle and the Federal Reserve Bank of approximately \$106.7 million as of March 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2012, \$32.7 million in securities, or 15%, of the investment portfolio was pledged, as compared to \$32.1 million, or 14%, at December 31, 2011, and \$21.5 million, or 11%, at March 31, 2011. As shown in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, net cash provided by operating activities was \$18.6 million for the first three months of 2012. Net sales of loans held for sale provided \$15.6 million of this total. Net cash of \$6 million was used by investing activities for the same period, mostly due to loan fundings in excess of paydowns. The \$11.7 million of cash used by financing activities for the period primarily consisted of a \$9.8 million decrease in deposits.

The Company issued 1,927 shares of its common stock through the exercise of stock options in the first quarter of 2012 and did not repurchase any shares of its common stock under the Company's publicly announced repurchase program. At March 31, 2012, the Company had approximately 6.5 million shares of its common stock outstanding.

*Capital Requirements and Ratios*

The Company and Northrim Bank (the Bank) are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements can result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about the components of regulatory capital, risk weightings, and other factors. The regulatory agencies may establish higher minimum requirements if, for example, a bank or bank holding company has previously received special attention or has a high susceptibility to interest rate risk.

The requirements address both risk-based capital and leverage capital. At March 31, 2012, all capital ratios of the Company and the Bank exceeded the ratios required for a well-capitalized institution.

The following table illustrates the actual capital ratios for the Company and the Bank as calculated under regulatory guidelines, compared to the regulatory minimum capital ratios and the regulatory minimum capital ratios needed to be eligible to qualify as a well-capitalized institution as of March 31, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

	Adequately- Capitalized	Well- Capitalized	Actual Ratio BHC	Actual Ratio Bank
Tier 1 risk-based capital	4.00%	6.00%	15.04%	13.72%
Total risk-based capital	8.00%	10.00%	16.30%	14.97%
Leverage ratio	4.00%	5.00%	13.31%	12.14%

The regulatory capital ratios for the Company exceed those for the Bank primarily because the \$18.6 million junior subordinated debenture offerings that the Company completed in the third quarter of 2003 and the fourth quarter of 2005 are included in the Company's capital for regulatory purposes although such securities are accounted for as a long-term debt in its financial statements. The junior subordinated debentures are not accounted for on the Bank's financial statements nor are they included in its capital. As a result, the Company has \$18.6 million more in regulatory capital than the Bank, which explains the significant difference in the capital ratios for the two entities.

**Off-Balance Sheet Items**

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. Among the off-balance sheet items entered into in the ordinary course of business are commitments to extend credit and the issuance of letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the balance sheet. Certain commitments are collateralized. As of March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, the Company's commitments to extend credit and to provide letters of credit which are not reflected on its balance sheet amounted to \$233.8 million, \$190 million, and \$218 million, respectively. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, these total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

**Capital Expenditures and Commitments**

The Company has no capital commitments as of March 31, 2012.

**ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our assessment of market risk as of March 31, 2012 indicates that there are no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures from those in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our principal executive and financial officers supervised and participated in this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive and financial officers each concluded that as of March 31, 2012, the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information required to be included in the periodic reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon various assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any of our plans, products, services or procedures will succeed in achieving their intended goals under future conditions.

**Table of Contents**

**Changes in Internal Control over Disclosure and Reporting**

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

During the normal course of its business, the Company is a party to various debtor-creditor legal actions, which individually or in the aggregate, could be material to the Company's business, operations, or financial condition. These include cases filed as a plaintiff in collection and foreclosure cases, and the enforcement of creditors' rights in bankruptcy proceedings.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

For information regarding risk factors, please refer to Item 1A in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. These risk factors have not materially changed as of March 31, 2012.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

(a)-(b) Not applicable

(c) There were no stock repurchases by the Company during the three months ending March 31, 2012.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

None.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

(a) Not applicable

(b) There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may nominate directors to the Company's board.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

**Notes to Exhibits List:**

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, and (v) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NORTHRIM BANCORP, INC.

May 7, 2012

By

/s/ R. Marc Langland  
R. Marc Langland  
Chairman, President, and CEO  
(Principal Executive Officer)

May 7, 2012

By

/s/ Joseph M. Schierhorn  
Joseph M. Schierhorn  
Executive Vice President,  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

- 43 -