

UNION PACIFIC CORP  
Form 10-Q  
July 20, 2012  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**  
**FORM 10-Q**

*(Mark One)*

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012**

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

*Commission File Number 1-6075*

**UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**UTAH**  
(State or other jurisdiction of

**13-2626465**  
(I.R.S. Employer

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

**1400 DOUGLAS STREET, OMAHA, NEBRASKA**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**68179**

(Zip Code)

**(402) 544-5000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes  No

As of July 13, 2012, there were 473,607,721 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION**

**AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	3
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	3
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION (Unaudited)</u> <u>At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	5
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	6
	<u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN COMMON</u> <u>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	7
	<u>NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)</u>	8
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	35
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	35
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	37
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	37
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	37
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	37
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	37
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	38
	<u>Signatures</u>	39
	Certifications	

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)***Union Pacific Corporation and Subsidiary Companies**Millions, Except Per Share Amounts,*

<i>for the Three Months Ended June 30,</i>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Operating revenues:		
Freight revenues	\$ 4,913	\$ 4,595
Other revenues	308	263
Total operating revenues	5,221	4,858
Operating expenses:		
Compensation and benefits	1,151	1,166
Fuel	882	904
Purchased services and materials	542	516
Depreciation	433	401
Equipment and other rents	299	283
Other	190	196
Total operating expenses	3,497	3,466
Operating income	1,724	1,392
Other income (Note 6)	21	26
Interest expense	(135)	(148)
Income before income taxes	1,610	1,270
Income taxes	(608)	(485)
Net income	\$ 1,002	\$ 785
Share and Per Share (Note 8):		
Earnings per share - basic	\$ 2.11	\$ 1.61
Earnings per share - diluted	\$ 2.10	\$ 1.59
Weighted average number of shares - basic	473.8	488.4
Weighted average number of shares - diluted	477.2	492.4
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.475

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)***Union Pacific Corporation and Subsidiary Companies*

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Millions,

for the Three Months Ended June 30,

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Net income	<b>\$ 1,002</b>	<b>\$ 785</b>
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:		
Defined benefit plans	-	-
Foreign currency translation	<b>(15)</b>	<b>9</b>
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net [a]	<b>(15)</b>	<b>9</b>
Comprehensive income	<b>\$ 987</b>	<b>\$ 794</b>

[a] Net of deferred taxes of \$(9) million and \$5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)***Union Pacific Corporation and Subsidiary Companies**Millions, Except Per Share Amounts,*

<i>for the Six Months Ended June 30,</i>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Operating revenues:		
Freight revenues	\$ 9,736	\$ 8,843
Other revenues	597	505
Total operating revenues	<b>10,333</b>	9,348
Operating expenses:		
Compensation and benefits	2,362	2,333
Fuel	1,808	1,730
Purchased services and materials	1,068	991
Depreciation	860	796
Equipment and other rents	595	585
Other	406	384
Total operating expenses	<b>7,099</b>	6,819
Operating income	<b>3,234</b>	2,529
Other income (Note 6)	37	41
Interest expense	(270)	(289)
Income before income taxes	<b>3,001</b>	2,281
Income taxes	<b>(1,136)</b>	(857)
Net income	\$ 1,865	\$ 1,424
Share and Per Share (Note 8):		
Earnings per share - basic	\$ 3.92	\$ 2.91
Earnings per share - diluted	\$ 3.89	\$ 2.89
Weighted average number of shares - basic	475.8	489.0
Weighted average number of shares - diluted	479.3	493.3
Dividends declared per share	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.855

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)***Union Pacific Corporation and Subsidiary Companies**Millions,*

<i>for the Six Months Ended June 30,</i>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
--	-------------	-------------

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income	\$	<b>1,865</b>	\$	1,424
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:				
Defined benefit plans		(7)		1
Foreign currency translation		-		14
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net [a]		(7)		15
Comprehensive income	\$	<b>1,858</b>	\$	1,439

*[a] Net of deferred taxes of \$(1) million and \$9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.*

**Table of Contents****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited)***Union Pacific Corporation and Subsidiary Companies*

	<i>Jun. 30,</i>	<i>Dec. 31,</i>
<i>Millions, Except Share and Per Share Amounts</i>	<i>2012</i>	<i>2011</i>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,201	\$ 1,217
Accounts receivable, net (Note 10)	1,497	1,401
Materials and supplies	646	614
Current deferred income taxes (Note 7)	324	306
Other current assets	288	189
Total current assets	3,956	3,727
Investments	1,211	1,175
Net properties (Note 11)	40,937	39,934
Other assets	270	260
Total assets	\$ 46,374	\$ 45,096
<b>Liabilities and Common Shareholders Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and other current liabilities (Note 12)	\$ 3,137	\$ 3,108
Debt due within one year (Note 14)	780	209
Total current liabilities	3,917	3,317
Debt due after one year (Note 14)	8,636	8,697
Deferred income taxes (Note 7)	12,646	12,368
Other long-term liabilities	2,088	2,136
Commitments and contingencies (Note 16)		
Total liabilities	27,287	26,518
Common shareholders equity:		
Common shares, \$2.50 par value, 800,000,000 authorized; 554,569,750 and 554,270,763 issued; 473,569,397 and 479,929,530		
outstanding, respectively	1,386	1,386
Paid-in-surplus	4,077	4,031
Retained earnings	20,802	\$ 108
Net earnings		340
Net unrealized loss on securities, net of tax of \$(35)		(59)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$25		(43)
Amortization of retirement benefit adjustments, net of tax of \$43		84



## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Plan amendment, net of tax of \$0	22	22
Remeasurement of retirement benefits, net of tax of \$(13)	(28)	(28)
Issuance of common stock and stock options exercised	41.7	121
Tax shortfalls from stock-based compensation		(18)
Share-based compensation expense		228
Net loss on derivative instruments, net of tax of \$(5)		(5)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest on subsidiary common stock		(7)
Reclassification of share-based awards from liability to equity	24	
<b>Balances at October 2, 2010</b>	<b>2,355.9</b>	<b>\$8,589</b>
	<b>\$ 11</b>	<b>\$(106) \$(2,217) \$(3) \$4,167 \$105 \$315</b>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

---

Table of Contents

4

**Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(Unaudited)

<i>(In millions)</i>	<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
<b>Operating</b>		
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Motorola, Inc.	\$ 340	\$ (193)
Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	4	24
Net earnings (loss)	344	(169)
Earnings from discontinued operations	293	225
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	51	(394)
Adjustments to reconcile earnings (loss) from continuing operations to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	426	487
Non-cash other income	(36)	(2)
Share-based compensation expense	200	199
Gain on sales of investments and businesses, net	(44)	(21)
Loss (gain) from the extinguishment of long-term debt	12	(67)
Deferred income taxes	323	(114)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions:		
Accounts receivable	(305)	124
Inventories	(257)	1,055
Other current assets	(59)	556
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	740	(2,505)
Other assets and liabilities	(136)	(95)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities from continuing operations	915	(777)
<b>Investing</b>		
Acquisitions and investments, net	(72)	(30)
Proceeds from sales of investments and businesses, net	249	306
Capital expenditures	(180)	(144)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	29	8
Proceeds from sales of Sigma Fund investments, net	30	98
Proceeds from sales (purchases) of short-term investments, net	(6)	188
Net cash provided by investing activities from continuing operations	50	426
<b>Financing</b>		
Repayment of short-term borrowings, net	(4)	(71)
Repayment of debt	(484)	(130)
Issuance of common stock	152	110
Payment of dividends		(114)
Distribution from discontinued operations	398	543
Other, net		6
Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations	62	344

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

**Discontinued Operations**

Net cash provided by operating activities from discontinued operations	<b>399</b>	529
Net cash used for investing activities from discontinued operations	<b>(37)</b>	(31)
Net cash used for financing activities from discontinued operations	<b>(398)</b>	(543)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations	<b>36</b>	45

Net cash provided by (used for) discontinued operations

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations	<b>(48)</b>	(7)
---	-------------	-----

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<b>979</b>	(14)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	<b>2,869</b>	3,064

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<b>\$ 3,848</b>	\$ 3,050
--	-----------------	----------

*Cash Flow Information*

**Cash paid during the period for:**

Interest, net	<b>\$ 142</b>	\$ 216
Income taxes, net of refunds	<b>95</b>	109

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

---

**Motorola, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(Dollars in millions, except as noted)**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. Basis of Presentation**

The condensed consolidated financial statements as of October 2, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, include, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments and reclassifications) necessary to present fairly Motorola, Inc.'s (the "Company's") consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year. Certain amounts in prior period financial statements and related notes have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

***Change in Segment Presentation***

On July 1, 2010, an initial registration statement on Form 10 was filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Company's planned separation into two independent, publicly traded companies. Amendments to the initial registration statement were filed on August 31, 2010 and October 8, 2010. The Mobile Devices and Home businesses are planned to be separated from Motorola, Inc. and operate as Motorola Mobility.

On July 19, 2010, the Company announced an agreement to sell certain assets and liabilities of its Networks business to Nokia Siemens Networks B.V. for \$1.2 billion in cash (the "Transaction"). The Transaction is expected to close by early 2011. Based on the terms and conditions of the sale agreement, certain assets including \$150 million of accounts receivable, the Company's iDEN infrastructure business and substantially all the patents related to the Company's wireless network infrastructure business, are excluded from the transaction.

Prior to the first quarter of 2010, certain costs, including some elements of share-based compensation, intangible assets amortization expense, business-related asset impairments and other Corporate expenses, were recorded at Corporate and included in Other and Eliminations. As of the first quarter of 2010, the Company now allocates these costs to the business segments which are part of continuing operations.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, the results of operations of the portions of the Networks business included in the Transaction are reported as discontinued operations. Certain Corporate and general costs which have historically been allocated to the Networks business will remain with the Company after the sale of the Networks business.

The operating results of the Company's iDEN infrastructure business and certain licensing activity generally related to the Networks business are also now being reported as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The Corporate and general costs which have historically been allocated to the Networks business are allocated to the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. Additionally, the results of operations of previously disposed businesses, which were deemed to be immaterial at the time of their disposition, have been reclassified from the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment to discontinued operations. These businesses include: (i) an Israel-based wireless network operator, (ii) the biometrics business, and (iii) Good Technology. The assets and liabilities of the Networks business, as well as the assets and liabilities of the previously disposed businesses recorded by the Company prior to the closing of the underlying transactions, are reported as assets and liabilities held for sale. All previously reported financial information has been revised to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents

6

Motorola, Inc. reports financial results for the following operating business segments:

**Mobile Devices:** This segment designs, manufactures, sells and services wireless mobile devices, including smartphones, with integrated software and accessory products, and licenses intellectual property.

**Home:** This segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services set-top boxes for digital video, Internet Protocol video, satellite and terrestrial broadcast networks, end-to-end digital video and Internet protocol television distribution systems, broadband access network infrastructure platforms, and associated data and voice customer premises equipment and associated software solutions to cable television and telecommunication service providers.

**Enterprise Mobility Solutions:** This segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services analog and digital two-way radios, wireless LAN and security products, voice and data communications products and systems primarily for private networks, wireless broadband systems and end-to-end enterprise mobility solutions.

**Recently Adopted New Accounting Guidance**

*Revenue Recognition*

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance which amended the accounting standards for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The new guidance changes the criteria required to separate deliverables into separate units of accounting when they are sold in a bundled arrangement and requires an entity to allocate an arrangement's consideration using estimated selling prices ("ESP") of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") or third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE"). The new guidance also eliminates the use of the residual method to allocate an arrangement's consideration.

In October 2009, the FASB also issued new guidance to remove from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality.

The new accounting guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after June 15, 2010. The standards permit prospective or retrospective adoption as well as early adoption. The Company elected to adopt this guidance early, at the beginning of its first quarter of fiscal 2010 on a prospective basis for applicable arrangements that were entered into or materially modified after January 1, 2010.

The Company's material revenue streams are the result of a wide range of activities, from the delivery of stand-alone equipment to custom design and installation over a period of time to bundled sales of devices, equipment, software and services. The Company enters into revenue arrangements that may consist of multiple deliverables of its product and service offerings due to the needs of its customers. Additionally, many of the Company's products have both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility of the sales price is reasonably assured. In addition to these general revenue recognition criteria, the following specific revenue recognition policies are followed:

*Products and Equipment* For product and equipment sales, revenue recognition generally occurs when products or equipment have been shipped, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, objective evidence exists that customer acceptance provisions have been met, no significant obligations remain and allowances for discounts, price protection, returns and customer incentives can be reliably estimated. Recorded revenues are reduced by these allowances. The Company bases its estimates of these allowances on historical experience taking into consideration the type of products sold, the type of customer, and the specific type of transaction in each arrangement. Where customer incentives cannot be reliably estimated, the Company recognizes revenue at the time the product sells through the distribution channel to the end customer.

*Long-Term Contracts* For long-term contracts that involve customization of the Company's equipment or software, the Company generally

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

recognizes revenue using the percentage of completion method based on the percentage of costs incurred to date compared to the total estimated costs to complete the contract. In certain instances, when revenues or costs associated with long-term contracts cannot be reliably estimated or the contract contains other inherent uncertainties, revenues and costs are deferred until the project is complete and customer

---

Table of Contents

7

acceptance is obtained. When current estimates of total contract revenue and contract costs indicate a contract loss, the loss is recognized in the period it becomes evident.

*Services* Revenue for services is generally recognized ratably over the contract term as services are performed.

*Software and Licenses* Revenue from pre-paid perpetual licenses is recognized at the inception of the arrangement, presuming all other relevant revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from non-perpetual licenses or term licenses is recognized ratably over the period that the licensee uses the license. Revenue from software maintenance, technical support and unspecified upgrades is generally recognized over the period that these services are delivered.

*Multiple-Element Arrangements* Arrangements with customers may include multiple deliverables, including any combination of products, equipment, services and software. These multiple element arrangements could also include an element accounted for as a long-term contract coupled with other products, equipment, services and software. For the Company's multiple-element arrangements where at least one of the deliverables is not subject to existing software revenue recognition guidance, deliverables are separated into more than one unit of accounting when (i) the delivered element(s) have value to the customer on a stand-alone basis, and (ii) delivery of the undelivered element(s) is probable and substantially in the control of the Company. Based on the new accounting guidance adopted January 1, 2010, revenue is then allocated to each unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each unit of accounting based first on VSOE if it exists, based next on TPE if VSOE does not exist, and, finally, if both VSOE and TPE do not exist, based on ESP.

*VSOE* In many instances, products are sold separately in stand-alone arrangements as customers may support the products themselves or purchase support on a time and materials basis. Additionally, advanced services such as general consulting, network management or advisory projects are often sold in stand-alone engagements. Technical support services are also often sold separately through renewals of annual contracts. The Company determines VSOE based on its normal pricing and discounting practices for the specific product or service when sold separately. In determining VSOE, the Company requires that a substantial majority of the selling prices for a product or service fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range, generally evidenced by the pricing rates of approximately 80% of such historical stand-alone transactions falling within plus or minus 15% of the median rate. In addition, the Company considers the geographies in which the products or services are sold, major product and service groups, customer classification, and other environmental or marketing variables in determining VSOE.

*TPE* VSOE generally exists only when the Company sells the deliverable separately. When VSOE does not exist, the Company attempts to determine TPE based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company's go-to-market strategy for many of its products differs from that of its peers and its offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality sold by other companies cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, the Company is typically not able to determine TPE.

*ESP* The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. When both VSOE and TPE do not exist, the Company determines ESP for the arrangement element by first collecting all reasonably available data points including sales, cost and margin analysis of the product, and other inputs based on the Company's normal pricing practices. Second, the Company makes any reasonably required adjustments to the data based on market and Company-specific factors. Third, the Company stratifies the data points, when appropriate, based on customer, magnitude of the transaction and sales volume.

Once elements of an arrangement are separated into more than one unit of accounting, revenue is recognized for each separate unit of accounting based on the nature of the revenue as described above.

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may also contain a stand-alone software deliverable that is subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. The revenue for these multiple-element arrangements is allocated to the software deliverable and the

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

non-software deliverable(s) based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue accounting guidance. In circumstances where the Company cannot determine VSOE or TPE of the selling price for all of the deliverables in the arrangement, including the software deliverable, ESP is used for the purpose of allocating the arrangement consideration.

---



Table of Contents

8

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may be comprised entirely of deliverables that are all still subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. For these arrangements, revenue is allocated to the deliverables based on VSOE. Should VSOE not exist for the undelivered software element, revenue is deferred until either the undelivered element is delivered or VSOE is established for the element, whichever occurs first. When the fair value of a delivered element has not been established, but fair value exists for the undelivered elements, the Company uses the residual method to recognize revenue if the fair value of all undelivered elements is determinable. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement consideration is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue.

Net sales as reported and pro forma net sales that would have been reported during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, if the transactions entered into or materially modified after January 1, 2010, were still subject to the previous accounting guidance are shown in the following table:

<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>As Reported</i>	<i>Pro Forma Basis</i>	<i>As Reported</i>	<i>Pro Forma Basis</i>
Net sales	\$ 4,890	\$ 3,689	\$ 13,619	\$ 11,565

For the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, the difference between the amount of revenue recorded under the new accounting guidance for revenue recognition as compared to the pro forma amount that would have been recorded under the prior accounting guidance, relates primarily to sales of smartphones by the Company's Mobile Devices segment. The individual impact to the Company's other businesses was not material. The pro forma basis revenue reflects the recognition of revenue related to smartphones that contain a service element and unspecified software upgrade rights under a subscription-based model under which revenue is recognized ratably over the estimated expected life of the smartphone as the Company is unable to determine VSOE for the undelivered element in the transaction. To the extent that the smartphone arrangement contains a specified software upgrade right, the subscription model is deferred until the specified software upgrade is delivered as the Company was unable to determine VSOE for the specified software upgrade right. Once the specified software upgrade is delivered, revenue is then recognized under the subscription-based model over the remainder of the estimated expected life of the smartphone. The as reported revenue reflects the allocation of revenue related to smartphones shipped under arrangements executed during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 using ESP for the device, the service, specified software upgrade rights, when applicable, and the unspecified software upgrade rights, resulting in a lower deferral of revenue than under prior accounting guidance. Both the as reported revenue and the pro forma basis revenue contain the revenue recognized under the subscription-based revenue recognition model related to smartphones that contain a service element and unspecified software that shipped under arrangements executed during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Based on the Company's current sales strategies, the newly adopted accounting guidance for revenue recognition is not expected to have a significant effect on the timing and pattern of revenue recognition for sales in periods after the initial adoption when applied to multiple-element arrangements, except for the continued impact on smartphone revenue recognition.

## 2. Discontinued Operations

During the three months ended October 2, 2010, the Company announced an agreement to sell certain assets and liabilities of its Networks business to Nokia Siemens Networks B.V. The total assets and total liabilities included in the transaction, which are preliminary estimates subject to change, are \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, based on balances as of October 2, 2010. The transaction is expected to close by early 2011.

During the three months ended July 3, 2010, the Company completed the sale of its Israel-based wireless network operator business formerly included as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The Company received \$170 million in net cash and recorded a gain on sale of the business of \$20 million before income taxes, which is included in Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax, in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the three months ended April 4, 2009, the Company completed the sale of: (i) Good Technology, and (ii) the biometrics business, which includes its Printrak trademark. Collectively, the Company received \$163 million in net cash and recorded a net gain on sale of the businesses of \$175 million before income taxes, which is included in Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax, in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, the results of operations of the portions of the Networks business included in the transaction with Nokia Siemens Networks B.V., as well as the results of operations of the previously disposed businesses discussed above, which were deemed to be immaterial for presentation as discontinued operations at the time of their disposition, are reported as discontinued operations. All previously reported financial information has been revised to conform to the current presentation.

The following table displays summarized activity in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations for discontinued operations during the three months and nine months ended October 2, 2010, and October 3, 2009.

	<i>Three Months</i>		<i>Nine Months</i>	
	<i>Ended</i>		<i>Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2,</i>	<i>October 3,</i>	<i>October 2,</i>	<i>October 3,</i>
	<i>2010</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2009</i>
Net sales	\$ 871	\$ 1,117	\$ 2,603	\$ 2,960
Operating earnings	177	139	430	269
Earnings before income taxes	168	141	453	419
Income tax expense	66	39	160	194
Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	102	102	293	225

The assets and liabilities of the Networks business, as well as the assets and liabilities of the previously disposed businesses recorded by the Company prior to the closing of the underlying transactions, are reported as assets and liabilities held for sale in the applicable periods presented.

The following table displays a summary of the assets and liabilities held for sale as of October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	<i>October 2,</i>	<i>December 31,</i>
	<i>2010</i>	<i>2009</i>
<b>Assets</b>		
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 487	\$ 651
Inventories, net	186	211
Other current assets	544	794
Property, plant and equipment, net	179	336
Investments	3	3
Goodwill	108	109
Other assets	124	105
	\$ 1,631	\$ 2,209
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 296	\$ 442
Accrued liabilities	985	1,144
Other liabilities	167	107
	\$ 1,448	1,693

Table of Contents

10

**3. Other Financial Data****Statement of Operations Information***Other Charges*

Other charges included in Operating earnings (loss) consist of the following:

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Other charges (income):				
Separation-related transaction costs	\$ 44	\$ 19	\$ 174	\$ 19
Amortization of intangible assets	65	69	193	209
Reorganization of business charges	36		69	197
Environmental reserve charge		23		23
Legal settlements and intellectual property reserve adjustments	(37)		(294)	(55)
	\$ 108	\$ 111	\$ 142	\$ 393

In June 2010, the Company announced that it had entered into a settlement and license agreement with another company, which resolves all outstanding litigation between the two companies. The agreement includes provisions for an upfront payment of \$175 million from the other company to Motorola, future royalties to be paid by the other company to Motorola for the license of certain intellectual property, and the transfer of certain patents between the companies. As a result of this agreement and the valuation of the patents exchanged, the Company recorded a pre-tax gain of \$228 million during the nine months ended October 2, 2010, related to the settlement of the outstanding litigation between the parties.

*Other Income (Expense)*

Interest expense, net, and Other, both included in Other income (expense), consist of the following:

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Interest income (expense), net:				
Interest expense	\$ (48)	\$ (62)	\$ (167)	\$ (171)
Interest income	19	13	67	58
	\$ (29)	\$ (49)	\$ (100)	\$ (113)
Other:				
Foreign currency gain (loss)	\$ (3)	\$ (22)	\$ (19)	\$ (27)
Gain (loss) on Sigma Fund investments	3	(8)	15	67
Investment impairments	(1)	(31)	(20)	(64)
Gain (loss) from the extinguishment of the Company's outstanding long-term debt			(12)	67
Other	6	(5)	10	6

\$ 5 \$ (66) \$ (26) \$ 49

---

Table of Contents

11

**Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share**

The computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders is as follows:

<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders</i>			
	<i>Continuing Operations</i>		<i>Net Earnings</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per common share:</b>				
Earnings (loss)	\$ 7	\$ (90)	\$ 109	\$ 12
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,339.0	2,299.6	2,339.0	2,299.6
Per share amount	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01
<b>Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:</b>				
Earnings (loss)	\$ 7	\$ (90)	\$ 109	\$ 12
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,339.0	2,299.6	2,339.0	2,299.6
Add effect of dilutive securities: Share-based awards and other	35.4		35.4	
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	2,374.4	2,299.6	2,374.4	2,299.6
Per share amount	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01

<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	<i>Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders</i>			
	<i>Continuing Operations</i>		<i>Net Earnings (Loss)</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per common share:</b>				
Earnings (loss)	\$ 47	\$ (418)	\$ 340	\$ (193)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,327.6	2,290.8	2,327.6	2,290.8
Per share amount	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.18)	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.08)
<b>Diluted earnings (loss) per common share:</b>				
Earnings (loss)	\$ 47	\$ (418)	\$ 340	\$ (193)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,327.6	2,290.8	2,327.6	2,290.8
Add effect of dilutive securities: Share-based awards and other	32.4		32.4	
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	2,360.0	2,290.8	2,360.0	2,290.8

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Per share amount	\$	<b>0.02</b>	\$	(0.18)	\$	<b>0.14</b>	\$	(0.08)
------------------	----	-------------	----	--------	----	-------------	----	--------

In the computation of diluted earnings per common share from both continuing operations and on a net earnings basis for the three months ended October 2, 2010, 77.3 million out-of-the-money stock options and the assumed vesting of 9.3 million restricted stock units were excluded because their inclusion would have been antidilutive. In the computation of diluted earnings per common share from both continuing operations and on a net earnings basis for the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the assumed exercise of 114.0 million stock options and the assumed vesting of 6.4 million restricted stock units were excluded because their inclusion would have been antidilutive.

For the three months ended October 3, 2009, the Company was in a net loss position on a continuing operations basis and, accordingly, the assumed exercise of 179.7 million stock options and the assumed vesting of 57.5 million restricted stock units were excluded from diluted weighted average shares outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive. For the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company was in a net loss position on a continuing operations basis and, accordingly, the assumed exercise of 200.7 million stock options and the assumed vesting of 49.5 million restricted stock units were excluded from diluted weighted average shares outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive.

---

Table of Contents

12

**Balance Sheet Information*****Cash and Cash Equivalents***

The Company's cash and cash equivalents (which are highly-liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less) were \$3.8 billion and \$2.9 billion at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$224 million and \$206 million, respectively, were restricted.

***Sigma Fund***

The Sigma Fund consists of the following:

<i>Fair Value</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>		<i>December 31, 2009</i>	
	<i>Current</i>	<i>Non-current</i>	<i>Current</i>	<i>Non-Current</i>
Cash	\$	\$	\$ 202	\$
Securities:				
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations	5,032		4,408	
Corporate bonds		67	367	63
Asset-backed securities		2	66	
Mortgage-backed securities	6	36	49	3
	\$ 5,038	\$ 105	\$ 5,092	\$ 66

The fair market value of investments in the Sigma Fund was \$5.1 billion and \$5.2 billion at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded a gain on Sigma Fund investments of \$3 million and a gain of \$15 million, respectively, in Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. During the three and nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company recorded a loss on Sigma Fund investments of \$8 million and a gain of \$67 million, respectively, in Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

***Investments***

Investments consist of the following:

<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>Recorded Value</i>		<i>Less</i>		<i>Cost Basis</i>
	<i>Short-term Investments</i>	<i>Investments</i>	<i>Unrealized Gains</i>	<i>Unrealized Losses</i>	
Certificates of deposit	\$ 6	\$	\$	\$	\$ 6
Available-for-sale securities:					
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations		19	1		18
Corporate bonds	2	10	1		11
Mortgage-backed securities		3			3
Common stock and equivalents		35	17		18
	8	67	19		56
Other securities, at cost		183			183
Equity method investments		54			54

\$ 8 \$ 304 \$ 19 \$ 293

---



Table of Contents

13

December 31, 2009	Recorded Value		Less		Cost Basis
	Short-term Investments	Investments	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
<b>Available-for-sale securities:</b>					
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	\$ 23	\$ 1	\$	\$ 22
Corporate bonds		2 10			12
Mortgage-backed securities		3			3
Common stock and equivalents		147	111	(1)	37
		2 183	112	(1)	74
Other securities, at cost		220			220
Equity method investments		53			53
	\$	2 \$ 456	\$ 112	\$ (1)	\$ 347

During the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded investment impairment charges of \$1 million and \$20 million, respectively, representing other-than-temporary declines in the value of the Company's investment portfolio, primarily related to common stock and equivalents and other securities recorded at cost. During the three and nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company recorded investment impairment charges of \$31 million and \$64 million, respectively, representing other-than-temporary declines in the value of the Company's investment portfolio, primarily related to other securities recorded at cost. Investment impairment charges are included in Other within Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

**Accounts Receivable, Net**

Accounts receivable, net, consists of the following:

	October 2, 2010	December 31, 2009
Accounts receivable	\$ 3,305	\$ 2,920
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	(69)	(75)
	\$ 3,236	\$ 2,845

**Inventories, Net**

Inventories, net, consist of the following:

	October 2, 2010	December 31, 2009
Work-in-process and production materials	\$ 1,040	\$ 887
Finished goods	861	883
	1,901	1,770
Less inventory reserves	(547)	(673)

\$ 1,354 \$ 1,097

---

Table of Contents

14

**Other Current Assets**

Other current assets consists of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Costs and earnings in excess of billings	\$ 280	\$ 258
Contract-related deferred costs	335	287
Contractor receivables	308	329
Value-added tax refunds receivable	98	94
Other	421	421
	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,389

**Property, Plant and Equipment, Net**

Property, plant and equipment, net, consists of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Land	\$ 115	\$ 115
Building	1,579	1,479
Machinery and equipment	3,470	3,496
	5,164	5,090
Less accumulated depreciation	(3,396)	(3,271)
	\$ 1,768	\$ 1,819

Depreciation expense for the three months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009 was \$76 million and \$93 million, respectively. Depreciation expense for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009 was \$231 million and \$279 million, respectively.

**Other Assets**

Other assets consists of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,495 and \$1,312	\$ 466	\$ 591
Contract-related deferred costs	207	286
Royalty license arrangements	239	255
Value-added tax refunds receivable	70	127
Long-term receivables, net of allowances of \$3 and \$9	209	117
Other	256	304
	\$ 1,447	\$ 1,680



Table of Contents

15

**Accrued Liabilities**

Accrued liabilities consists of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Deferred revenue	\$ 1,061	\$ 836
Compensation	572	542
Customer reserves	344	321
Billings in excess of costs and earnings	239	253
Warranty reserves	224	209
Contractor payables	257	235
Tax liabilities	340	246
Customer downpayments	81	159
Other	1,399	1,340
	\$ 4,517	\$ 4,141

**Other Liabilities**

Other liabilities consists of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Defined benefit plans, including split dollar life insurance policies	\$ 2,348	\$ 2,450
Deferred revenue	504	601
Postretirement health care benefit plan	293	287
Unrecognized tax benefits	80	196
Other	414	453
	\$ 3,639	\$ 3,987

**Stockholders' Equity Information****Payment of Dividends**

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company paid no cash dividends to holders of its common stock. During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company paid \$114 million in cash dividends to holders of its common stock, all of which was paid during the three months ended April 4, 2009, related to the payment of a dividend declared in November 2008. In February 2009, the Company announced that its Board of Directors suspended the declaration of quarterly dividends on the Company's common stock.

**4. Debt and Credit Facilities****Long-Term Debt**

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company repurchased \$500 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$477 million, excluding approximately \$5 million of accrued interest, all of which occurred during the three months ended July 3, 2010. The \$500 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$65 million of the \$379 million then outstanding of the 6.50%

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Debentures due 2025, (ii) \$75 million of the \$286 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2028, (iii) \$222 million of the \$446 million then outstanding of the 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037, and (iv) \$138 million of the \$252 million then outstanding of the 5.22% Debentures due 2097. After accelerating the amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discounts, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$12 million related to this debt tender in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company repurchased \$199 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$129 million, excluding approximately \$4 million of accrued interest, all of which occurred during the three months ended April 4, 2009. The \$199 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$11 million of the \$358 million then outstanding of the 7.50% Debentures due

---

Table of Contents

16

2025, (ii) \$20 million of the \$399 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (iii) \$14 million of the \$299 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2028, and (iv) \$154 million of the \$600 million then outstanding of the 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037. The Company recognized a gain of approximately \$67 million related to these open market purchases in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

**5. Risk Management****Derivative Financial Instruments***Foreign Currency Risk*

The Company uses financial instruments to reduce its overall exposure to the effects of currency fluctuations on cash flows. The Company's policy prohibits speculation in financial instruments for profit on exchange rate price fluctuations, trading in currencies for which there are no underlying exposures, or entering into transactions for any currency to intentionally increase the underlying exposure. Instruments that are designated as part of a hedging relationship must be effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and are designated as part of a hedging relationship at the inception of the contract. Accordingly, changes in the market values of hedge instruments must be highly correlated with changes in market values of the underlying hedged items both at the inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract.

The Company's strategy related to foreign exchange exposure management is to offset the gains or losses on the financial instruments against losses or gains on the underlying operational cash flows or investments based on the operating business units' assessment of risk. The Company enters into derivative contracts for some of the Company's non-functional currency receivables and payables, which are primarily denominated in major currencies that can be traded on open markets. The Company typically uses forward contracts and options to hedge these currency exposures. In addition, the Company enters into derivative contracts for some forecasted transactions, which are designated as part of a hedging relationship if it is determined that the transaction qualifies for hedge accounting under the provisions of the authoritative accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities. A portion of the Company's exposure is from currencies that are not traded in liquid markets and these are addressed, to the extent reasonably possible, by managing net asset positions, product pricing and component sourcing.

At October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding foreign exchange contracts, including held for sale amounts, with notional values totaling \$1.3 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Management believes that these financial instruments should not subject the Company to undue risk due to foreign exchange movements because gains and losses on these contracts should generally offset losses and gains on the underlying assets, liabilities and transactions, except for the ineffective portion of the instruments, which are charged to Other within Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table shows the five largest net notional amounts of the positions to buy or sell foreign currency as of October 2, 2010 and the corresponding positions as of December 31, 2009:

<i>Net Buy (Sell) by Currency</i>	<i>Notional Amount</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Euro	\$ (357)	\$ (377)
Brazilian Real	(333)	(342)
Chinese Renminbi	(319)	(297)
Japanese Yen	(43)	(236)
British Pound	119	143

*Interest Rate Risk*

At October 2, 2010, the Company's short-term debt consisted primarily of \$5 million of short-term variable rate foreign debt. At October 2, 2010, the Company has \$3.4 billion of long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, which is primarily priced at long-term, fixed interest rates.





Table of Contents

17

As part of its liability management program, one of the Company's European subsidiaries has an outstanding interest rate agreement ("Interest Agreement") relating to a Euro-denominated loan. The interest on the Euro-denominated loan is variable. The Interest Agreement changes the characteristics of interest rate payments from variable to maximum fixed-rate payments. The Interest Agreement is not accounted for as a part of a hedging relationship and, accordingly, the changes in the fair value of the Interest Agreement is included in Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. At October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of the Interest Agreement put the Company in a liability position of \$5 million and \$4 million, respectively.

**Counterparty Risk**

The use of derivative financial instruments exposes the Company to counterparty credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. However, the Company's risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments when the derivative is in an asset position. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk. At present time, all of the counterparties have investment grade credit ratings. The Company is not exposed to material credit risk with any single counterparty. As of October 2, 2010, the Company was exposed to an aggregate credit risk of \$2 million with all counterparties.

The following tables summarize the fair values and location in the condensed consolidated balance sheets of all derivative financial instruments held by the Company, including immaterial amounts related to held for sale businesses, at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

	<i>Fair Values of Derivative Instruments</i>			
	<i>Assets</i>		<i>Liabilities</i>	
	<i>Fair Value</i>	<i>Balance Sheet Location</i>	<i>Fair Value</i>	<i>Balance Sheet Location</i>
<i>October 2, 2010</i>				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 3	Other assets	\$ 5	Other liabilities
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange contracts	15	Other assets	45	Other liabilities
Interest agreement contracts		Other assets	5	Other liabilities
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	15		50	
<b>Total derivatives</b>	<b>\$ 18</b>		<b>\$ 55</b>	

	<i>Fair Values of Derivative Instruments</i>			
	<i>Assets</i>		<i>Liabilities</i>	
	<i>Fair Value</i>	<i>Balance Sheet Location</i>	<i>Fair Value</i>	<i>Balance Sheet Location</i>
<i>December 31, 2009</i>				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
	\$ 5	Other assets	\$ 1	Other liabilities

Foreign exchange contracts				
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign exchange contracts	10	Other assets	16	Other liabilities
Interest agreement contracts		Other assets	4	Other liabilities
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	10		20	
Total derivatives	\$ 15		\$ 21	

---

Table of Contents

18

The following table summarizes the effect of derivative instruments in our condensed consolidated statements of operations, including immaterial amounts related to discontinued operations, for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009:

<i>Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>		<i>Statement of Operations Location</i>
	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:</b>			
Interest rate contracts	(5)	(13)	Other income (expense)
Foreign exchange contracts	(54)	(23)	Other income (expense)
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$ (59)	\$ (36)	

<i>Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>		<i>Statement of Operations Location</i>
	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:</b>			
Interest rate contracts	(4)	(12)	Other income (expense)
Foreign exchange contracts	(70)	(155)	Other income (expense)
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$ (74)	\$ (167)	

The following table summarizes the gains and losses recognized in the condensed consolidated financial statements, including immaterial amounts related to discontinued operations, for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009:

<i>Foreign Exchange Contracts</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>		<i>Financial Statement Location</i>
	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:</b>			
Loss recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss (effective portion)	\$ (5)	\$ (9)	Accumulated other comprehensive loss
Gain reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net earnings (loss) (effective portion)	(4)	(1)	Cost of sales/Sales
Gain (loss) recognized in Net earnings (loss) on derivative (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing)			Other income (expense)

Table of Contents

19

<i>Foreign Exchange Contracts</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>		<i>Financial Statement Location</i>
	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:</b>			
Loss recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss (effective portion)	\$ (6)	\$ (4)	Accumulated other comprehensive loss
Loss reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Net earnings (loss) (effective portion)	(2)	(12)	Cost of sales/Sales
Gain (loss) recognized in Net earnings (loss) on derivative (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing)			Other income (expense)

***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

The Company's financial instruments include cash equivalents, Sigma Fund investments, short-term investments, accounts receivable, long-term receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, derivative financial instruments and other financing commitments. The Company's Sigma Fund, available-for-sale investment portfolios and derivative financial instruments are recorded in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value. All other financial instruments, with the exception of long-term debt, are carried at cost, which is not materially different than the instruments' fair values.

Using quoted market prices and market interest rates, the Company determined that the fair value of long-term debt at October 2, 2010 was \$3.5 billion, compared to a face value of \$3.3 billion. Since considerable judgment is required in interpreting market information, the fair value of the long-term debt is not necessarily indicative of the amount which could be realized in a current market exchange.

**6. Income Taxes**

At October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had valuation allowances of \$2.8 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively, including \$357 million and \$422 million, respectively, relating to deferred tax assets for non-U.S. subsidiaries. During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, there was no adjustment to the U.S. valuation allowance. The valuation allowance relating to deferred tax assets of non-U.S. subsidiaries was primarily adjusted for exchange rate variances and current year activity.

In March 2008, the Company announced a strategy to separate into two publicly-traded companies. In February 2010, the Company announced that it is targeting the first quarter of 2011 for the completion of this separation. When evaluating the Company's valuation allowances, the Company is precluded from taking into consideration events dependent on future market conditions, such as the announced separation transaction. The Company will reassess its valuation allowance needs based on two separate publicly traded companies in the period the separation transaction occurs. The valuation allowances determined for two separate publicly traded companies may differ from the Company's current valuation allowance balances.

In the first quarter of 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 were signed into law, which eliminated the favorable income tax treatment of Medicare Part D Subsidy receipts effective for tax years starting in 2013. As a result of the tax law change, during the three months ended April 3, 2010 the Company recorded an \$18 million non-cash tax charge to reduce its deferred tax asset associated with Medicare Part D subsidies currently estimated to be received after 2012.

The Company evaluates its permanent reinvestment assertions with respect to foreign earnings at each reporting period and, except for certain earnings that the Company intends to reinvest indefinitely, accrues for the U.S. federal income taxes applicable to the earnings. As the Company realigns its capital structure to meet its current and future needs, the Company concluded that certain foreign earnings are no longer considered to be permanently reinvested and recorded \$82 million of deferred tax expense in the second quarter of 2010. In the third quarter of 2010, the Company recognized an additional \$136 million of deferred tax expense reflecting management's intent to reduce the invested capital of certain of its foreign subsidiaries. The capital reduction is part of the Company's plan to realign its global capital structure and is pending approval by certain governmental



Table of Contents

20

agencies. As of October 2, 2010, the Company continues to maintain permanent reinvestment assertions with respect to certain foreign earnings which are restricted from repatriation due to the capital requirements of the foreign subsidiaries or due to local country restrictions.

The Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$247 million and \$466 million, at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of which approximately \$30 million and \$100 million, respectively, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate, net of resulting changes to valuation allowances. During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded \$119 million of tax benefits related to a reduction in unrecognized tax benefits relating to facts that now indicate the extent to which certain tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained. Additionally, the Company reduced its unrecognized tax benefits by \$141 million for settlements with tax authorities.

Based on the potential outcome of the Company's global tax examinations, the expiration of the statute of limitations for specific jurisdictions, or the continued ability to satisfy tax incentive obligations, it is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits will change within the next 12 months. The associated net tax impact on the effective tax rate, exclusive of valuation allowance changes, is estimated to be in the range of a \$50 million tax charge to a \$100 million tax benefit, with cash payments in the range of \$0 to \$100 million.

During the first half of 2010, the Internal Revenue Service concluded its audit of Symbol Technologies, Inc.'s 2004 through January 9, 2007 pre-acquisition tax years and Motorola, Inc.'s 2004 through 2007 tax years. The Company has audits pending in several tax jurisdictions. Although the final resolution of the Company's global tax disputes is uncertain, based on current information, in the opinion of the Company's management, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution of the Company's global tax disputes could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

**7. Retirement Benefits****Pension Benefit Plans**

The net periodic pension costs for the Regular Pension Plan, Officers' Plan, the Motorola Supplemental Pension Plan ("MSPP") and Non-U.S. plans were as follows:

<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>			<i>October 3, 2009</i>		
	<i>Regular Pension</i>	<i>Officers' and MSPP</i>	<i>Non U.S.</i>	<i>Regular Pension</i>	<i>Officers' and MSPP</i>	<i>Non U.S.</i>
Service cost	\$	\$	\$ 5	\$	\$ 4	\$ 9
Interest cost		85	17		84	27
Expected return on plan assets		(94)	(16)		(95)	(25)
Amortization of:						
Unrecognized net loss		37	4		19	2
Unrecognized prior service cost			(1)			
Settlement/curtailment loss			1		1	
Net periodic pension cost	\$	28	\$ 2	\$	12	\$ 3
			\$ 9			\$ 13

<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>			<i>October 3, 2009</i>		
	<i>Regular Pension</i>	<i>Officers' and MSPP</i>	<i>Non U.S.</i>	<i>Regular Pension</i>	<i>Officers' and MSPP</i>	<i>Non U.S.</i>
Service cost	\$	\$	\$ 18	\$	\$ 11	\$ 20
Interest cost		256	2		251	5
			66			59

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Expected return on plan assets	(283)	(64)	(285)	(1)	(52)
Amortization of:					
Unrecognized net loss	111	1	14	59	2
Unrecognized prior service cost			(3)		5
Settlement/curtailment loss		4		2	
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 84	\$ 7	\$ 31	\$ 36	\$ 8

---

Table of Contents

21

During the three months ended October 2, 2010, contributions of \$50 million and \$9 million were made to the Company's Regular Pension Plan and Non-U.S. plans, respectively. During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, contributions of \$100 million and \$34 million were made to the Company's Regular Pension Plan and Non-U.S. plans, respectively.

During the three months ended October 2, 2010, the Company created separate Non-U.S. plans in certain locations, pursuant to the Company's planned separation into two independent, publicly traded companies. The portion of existing pension assets and benefit obligations relating to employees covered by the newly-created plans were transferred to those plans. Prior to this transfer the pension assets and benefit obligations were remeasured resulting in an adjustment to Accumulated other comprehensive income of \$28 million, net of tax.

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded the impact of a plan amendment in one of its Non-U.S. plans resulting in a reduction of the amounts recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income of \$22 million, net of tax. No gain or loss was recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statement of operations as a result of the plan amendment.

**Postretirement Health Care Benefit Plans**

Net postretirement health care expenses consist of the following:

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Service cost	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 4	\$ 5
Interest cost	4	7	17	21
Expected return on plan assets	(4)	(4)	(12)	(14)
Amortization of:				
Unrecognized net loss	1	2	6	5
Unrecognized prior service cost	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)
Net postretirement health care expense	\$ 1	\$ 5	\$ 13	\$ 15

The Company made no contributions to its postretirement healthcare fund during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010.

**8. Share-Based Compensation Plans**

Compensation expense for the Company's employee stock options, stock appreciation rights, employee stock purchase plans, restricted stock and restricted stock units ("RSUs") was as follows:

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Share-based compensation expense included in:				
Costs of sales	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ 23	\$ 22
Selling, general and administrative expenses	38	39	114	116
Research and development expenditures	22	20	63	61
Share-based compensation expense included in				
Operating earnings (loss)	69	67	200	199
Tax benefit	(23)	(22)	(67)	(66)
Share-based compensation expense, net of tax	\$ 46	\$ 45	\$ 133	\$ 133
Decrease in basic earnings per share	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.06)
Decrease in diluted earning per share	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.06)



Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Share-based compensation expense in discontinued operations	\$	10	\$	8	\$	28	\$	26
---	----	----	----	---	----	----	----	----

For the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company granted 31.3 million RSUs, net of forfeitures, and 10.6 million stock options. The total compensation expense related to the RSUs was \$186 million, net of estimated forfeitures. The total compensation expense related to stock options was \$28 million, net of estimated

---

Table of Contents

22

forfeitures. The expense for both RSUs and stock options will be recognized over a weighted average vesting period of 3 years.

**9. Fair Value Measurements**

The Company holds certain fixed income securities, equity securities and derivatives, which must be measured using the fair value hierarchy and related valuation methodologies. The guidance specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to each measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about current market conditions. The prescribed fair value hierarchy and related valuation methodologies are as follows:

*Level 1* Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

*Level 2* Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations, in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

*Level 3* Valuations derived from valuation techniques, in which one or more significant inputs are unobservable.

The fair values of the Company's financial assets and liabilities by level in the fair value hierarchy as of October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows:

<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>Level 1</i>	<i>Level 2</i>	<i>Level 3</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Assets:</b>				
Sigma Fund securities:				
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	\$ 5,032	\$	\$ 5,032
Corporate bonds		46	21	67
Asset-backed securities		2		2
Mortgage-backed securities		42		42
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations		19		19
Corporate bonds		10		10
Mortgage-backed securities		3		3
Common stock and equivalents	26	9		35
Foreign exchange derivative contracts*		18		18
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Foreign exchange derivative contracts*		50		50
Interest agreement derivative contracts		5		5

\* Includes immaterial amounts related to held for sale businesses.

Table of Contents

23

<i>December 31, 2009</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Sigma Fund securities:				
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations	\$	\$ 4,408	\$	\$ 4,408
Corporate bonds		411	19	430
Asset-backed securities		66		66
Mortgage-backed securities		52		52
Available-for-sale securities:				
U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations		23		23
Corporate bonds		10		10
Mortgage-backed securities		3		3
Common stock and equivalents	136	11		147
Foreign exchange derivative contracts*		15		15
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Foreign exchange derivative contracts*		17		17
Interest agreement derivative contracts		4		4

\* Includes immaterial amounts related to held for sale businesses.

The following table summarizes the changes in fair value of our Level 3 assets:

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Beginning balance	\$ 22	\$ 80	\$ 19	\$ 134
Transfers to (from) Level 3		(27)	3	(15)
Payments received and securities sold	(2)	(25)	(7)	(78)
Permanent impairments				(2)
Mark-to-market on Sigma Fund investments included in Other income (expense)	1		6	(11)
Ending balance	\$ 21	\$ 28	\$ 21	\$ 28

**Valuation Methodologies**

*Level 1* Quoted market prices in active markets are available for investments in common and preferred stock and common stock equivalents. As such, these investments are classified within Level 1.

*Level 2* The securities classified as Level 2 are comprised primarily of corporate, government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise bonds. The Company primarily relies on valuation pricing models, recent bid prices, and broker quotes to determine the fair value of these securities. The valuation models for Level 2 assets are developed and maintained by third party pricing services and use a number of standard inputs to the valuation model including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes where the party is standing ready and able to transact, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers and other reference data. The valuation model may prioritize these inputs differently at each balance sheet date for any given security, based on the market conditions. Not all of the standard inputs listed will be used each time in the valuation models. For each asset class, quantifiable inputs related to perceived market movements and sector news may be considered in addition to the standard inputs.

In determining the fair value of the Company's foreign currency derivatives, the Company uses forward contract and option valuation models employing market observable inputs, such as spot currency rates, time value and option volatilities. Since the Company primarily uses observable inputs in its valuation of its derivative assets and liabilities, they are classified as Level 2 assets.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

*Level 3* Fixed income securities are debt securities that do not have actively traded quotes as of the financial statement date. Determining the fair value of these securities requires the use of unobservable inputs, such as indicative quotes from dealers, extrapolated data, proprietary models and qualitative input from investment advisors. As such, these securities are classified within Level 3.

---

Table of Contents

24

At October 2, 2010, the Company has \$580 million of investments in money market mutual funds classified as Cash and cash equivalents in its condensed consolidated balance sheet. The money market funds have quoted market prices that are generally equivalent to par.

The Company has no non-financial assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis at October 2, 2010.

**10. Long-term Customer Financing and Sales of Receivables***Long-term Customer Financing*

Long-term receivables consist of trade receivables with payment terms greater than twelve months, long-term loans and lease receivables under sales-type leases. Long-term receivables consist of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Long-term receivables	\$ 236	\$ 154
Less allowance for losses	(3)	(9)
	<b>233</b>	<b>145</b>
Less current portion	(24)	(28)
Non-current long-term receivables, net	\$ 209	\$ 117

The current portion of long-term receivables is included in Accounts receivable and the non-current portion of long-term receivables is included in Other assets in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Certain purchasers of the Company's infrastructure equipment may request that the Company provide long-term financing (defined as financing with a term of greater than one year) in connection with the sale of equipment. These requests may include all or a portion of the purchase price of the equipment. The Company's obligation to provide long-term financing may be conditioned on the issuance of a letter of credit in favor of the Company by a reputable bank to support the purchaser's credit or a pre-existing commitment from a reputable bank to purchase the long-term receivables from the Company. The Company had outstanding commitments to provide long-term financing to third parties totaling \$297 million and \$444 million at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$45 million and \$13 million were supported by letters of credit or by bank commitments to purchase long-term receivables at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The majority of the outstanding commitments at October 2, 2010 are to a small number of network operators in the Middle East region. In response to the recent tightening in the credit markets, certain customers of the Company have requested financing in connection with equipment purchases, and these types of requests have increased in volume and scope.

In addition to providing direct financing to certain equipment customers, the Company also assists customers in obtaining financing directly from banks and other sources to fund equipment purchases. The Company had committed to provide financial guarantees relating to customer financing totaling \$31 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (including \$28 million and \$27 million at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, relating to the sale of short-term receivables). Customer financing guarantees outstanding were \$4 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (including \$2 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, relating to the sale of short-term receivables).

*Sales of Receivables*

From time to time, the Company sells accounts receivable and long-term receivables in transactions that qualify as "true-sales." Certain of these accounts receivable and long-term receivables are sold to third parties on a one-time, non-recourse basis, while others are sold to third parties under committed facilities that involve contractual commitments from these parties to purchase qualifying receivables up to an outstanding monetary limit. Committed facilities may be revolving in nature and, typically, must be renewed annually. The Company may or may not retain the obligation to service the sold accounts receivable and long-term receivables.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

As of October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had a \$200 million revolving facility for the sale of accounts receivable due from U.S. customers of our Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, of which \$0 million and \$60 million, respectively, were utilized.

---

Table of Contents

25

Under the terms of the facility, which was amended in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company is required to sell its entire portfolio of outstanding accounts receivable from its U.S. customers of the direct and indirect channel government and public safety business. The initial cash proceeds received by the Company for the sale of these receivables is capped at the lower of eligible receivables less reserves or \$200 million. The Company may also elect, at its option, to receive initial cash proceeds less than the cap. The remaining proceeds due to the Company for the receivables sold in excess of the initial cash proceeds are deferred until the receivables are collected.

Total sales of accounts receivable and long-term receivables were \$490 million and \$383 million during the three month periods ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, respectively, and \$1.5 billion and \$1.0 billion for the nine month periods ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, respectively. During the three month period ended October 2, 2010, cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold during the period were \$212 million, and cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold in the prior period were \$319 million. During the three month period ended October 2, 2009, cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold were \$383 million. During the nine month periods ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold were \$1.2 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively. As of October 2, 2010, the Company is due \$278 million under the deferred payment provisions of the committed facility discussed above. As of October 2, 2010, the Company retained servicing obligations for \$355 million of sold accounts receivables and \$261 million of sold long-term receivables. As of December 31, 2009, the Company retained servicing obligation for \$195 million of sold accounts receivables and \$297 million of sold long-term receivables at December 31, 2009.

Under certain arrangements, the value of accounts receivable sold is covered by credit insurance purchased from third-party insurance companies, less deductibles or self-insurance requirements under the insurance policies. The Company's total credit exposure, less insurance coverage, to outstanding accounts receivables that have been sold was \$27 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

## 11. Commitments and Contingencies

### *Legal*

The Company is a defendant in various suits, claims and investigations that arise in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

### *Other*

The Company is also a party to a variety of agreements pursuant to which it is obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Some of these obligations arise as a result of divestitures of the Company's assets or businesses and require the Company to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from the settlement of these pending obligations. The total amount of indemnification under these types of provisions is \$143 million, of which the Company accrued \$12 million at October 2, 2010 for potential claims under these provisions.

In addition, the Company may provide indemnifications for losses that result from the breach of general warranties contained in certain commercial and intellectual property agreements. Historically, the Company has not made significant payments under these agreements. However, there is an increasing risk in relation to patent indemnities given the current legal climate.

In indemnification cases, payment by the Company is conditioned on the other party making a claim pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract, which procedures typically allow the Company to challenge the other party's claims. Further, the Company's obligations under agreements for indemnification based on breach of representations and warranties are generally limited in terms of duration, and for amounts not in excess of the contract value, and, in some instances, the Company may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by the Company.

---

Table of Contents

26

**12. Segment Information**

The Company reports financial results for the following operating business segments:

The Mobile Devices segment designs, manufactures, sells and services wireless mobile devices, including smartphones, with integrated software and accessory products, and licenses intellectual property.

The Home segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services set-top boxes for digital video, Internet Protocol video, satellite and terrestrial broadcast networks, end-to-end digital video and Internet protocol television distribution systems, broadband access network infrastructure platforms, and associated data and voice customer premises equipment and associated software solutions to cable television and telecommunication service providers.

The Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services analog and digital two-way radios, wireless LAN and security products, voice and data communications products and systems primarily for private networks, wireless broadband systems and end-to-end enterprise mobility solutions.

The following table summarizes the Net sales and Operating earnings (loss) by operating business segment:

<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Net Sales</i>		<i>Operating Earnings (Loss)</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Mobile Devices	\$ 2,034	\$ 1,692	\$ (43)	\$ (216)
Home	912	866	49	20
Enterprise Mobility Solutions	1,946	1,793	253	223
	4,892	4,351	259	27
Other and Eliminations	(2)	(15)	(34)	(38)
	\$ 4,890	\$ 4,336		
Operating earnings (loss)			225	(11)
Total other income (expense)			(20)	(94)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes			\$ 205	\$ (105)

<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	<i>Net Sales</i>		<i>Operating Earnings (Loss)</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Mobile Devices	\$ 5,399	\$ 5,322	\$ (148)	\$ (1,048)
Home	2,636	2,904	98	41
Enterprise Mobility Solutions	5,613	5,188	612	454
	13,648	13,414	562	(553)



Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Other and Eliminations	(29)	(53)	(151)	(27)
	\$	13,619	\$	13,361
Operating earnings (loss)			411	(580)
Total other income (expense)			(82)	(43)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes			\$	329
			\$	(623)

---

Table of Contents

27

The Operating earnings (loss) in Other and Eliminations consists of the following:

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>		<i>Nine Months Ended</i>	
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>
Separation-related transaction costs	\$ (44)	\$ (19)	\$ (174)	\$ (19)
Reorganization of business charges	(1)	6	(3)	(25)
Corporate expenses	11	(1)	(3)	(14)
Environmental reserve charge		(24)		(24)
Legal settlements			29	55
	\$ (34)	\$ (38)	\$ (151)	\$ (27)

Corporate expenses are primarily comprised of: (i) general corporate-related expenses, and (ii) the Company's wholly-owned finance subsidiary.

### 13. Reorganization of Businesses

The Company maintains a formal Involuntary Severance Plan (the "Severance Plan"), which permits the Company to offer eligible employees severance benefits based on years of service and employment grade level in the event that employment is involuntarily terminated as a result of a reduction-in-force or restructuring. Effective August 1, 2009, the Company amended and restated the Severance Plan. Under the Amended Severance Plan, severance benefits will be paid in bi-weekly installments rather than in lump sum payments. The Company recognizes termination benefits based on formulas per the Severance Plan at the point in time that future settlement is probable and can be reasonably estimated based on estimates prepared at the time a restructuring plan is approved by management. Exit costs consist of future minimum lease payments on vacated facilities and other contractual terminations. At each reporting date, the Company evaluates its accruals for employee separation and exit costs to ensure the accruals are still appropriate. In certain circumstances, accruals are no longer needed because of efficiencies in carrying out the plans or because employees previously identified for separation resigned from the Company and did not receive severance or were redeployed due to circumstances not foreseen when the original plans were initiated. In these cases, the Company reverses accruals through the consolidated statements of operations where the original charges were recorded when it is determined they are no longer needed.

#### 2010 Charges

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company continued to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All three of the Company's business segments were impacted by these plans. The employees affected were located in all geographic regions.

During the three months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$46 million, including \$10 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$36 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$46 million are charges of \$39 million for employee separation costs and \$15 million for exit costs, partially offset by \$8 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$91 million, including \$22 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$69 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$91 million are charges of \$100 million for employee separation costs and \$15 million for exit costs, partially offset by \$24 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

Table of Contents

28

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine months Ended</i>
Mobile Devices	\$ 13	\$ 30
Home	5	15
Enterprise Mobility Solutions	27	43
	45	88
Corporate	1	3
	\$ 46	\$ 91

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2010 to October 2, 2010:

	<i>Accruals at January 1, 2010</i>	<i>Additional Charges</i>	<i>Adjustments</i>	<i>Amount Used</i>	<i>Accruals at October 2, 2010</i>
Exit costs	\$ 57	\$ 15	\$ (5)	\$ (27)	\$ 40
Employee separation costs	65	100	(20)	(82)	63
	\$ 122	\$ 115	\$ (25)	\$ (109)	\$ 103

*Exit Costs*

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$57 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2010 charges were \$15 million. The adjustments of \$5 million primarily reflect reversals of accruals no longer needed. The \$27 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$40 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 2, 2010, primarily represents future cash payments for lease termination obligations that are expected to be paid over a number of years.

*Employee Separation Costs*

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$65 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for: (i) severed employees who began receiving payments in 2009, and (ii) approximately 1,200 employees who began receiving payments in 2010. The 2010 additional charges of \$100 million represent severance costs for approximately an additional 2,200 employees, of which 700 were direct employees and 1,500 were indirect employees.

The adjustments of \$20 million reflect: (i) \$19 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$1 million of translation adjustments.

During the first nine months of 2010, approximately 1,400 employees, of which 400 were direct employees and 1,000 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$82 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments to separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$63 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 2, 2010, is

expected to be paid, generally, within one year to: (i) severed employees who have already begun to receive payments, and (ii) approximately 1,700 employees to be separated in 2010.

**2009 Charges**

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company committed to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All three of the Company's business segments, as well as corporate functions, were impacted by these plans, with the majority of the impact in the Mobile Devices segment. The employees affected were located in all regions.

During the three months ended October 3, 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$2 million in Costs of sales in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

---

Table of Contents

29

Included in the aggregate \$2 million are charges of \$19 million for employee separation costs and \$8 million for exit costs, partially offset by \$25 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$245 million, including \$48 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$197 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$245 million are charges of \$259 million for employee separation costs, \$30 million for exit costs and \$18 million for fixed asset impairment charges, partially offset by \$62 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine months Ended</i>
Mobile Devices	\$	\$ 161
Home	2	17
Enterprise Mobility Solutions	5	41
	7	219
Corporate	(5)	26
	\$ 2	\$ 245

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2009 to October 3, 2009:

	<i>Accruals at January 1, 2009</i>	<i>Additional Charges</i>	<i>Adjustments</i>	<i>Amount Used</i>	<i>Accruals at October 3, 2009</i>
Exit costs	\$ 78	\$ 30	\$ (8)	\$ (41)	\$ 59
Employee separation costs	153	259	(48)	(304)	60
	\$ 231	\$ 289	\$ (56)	\$ (345)	\$ 119

*Exit Costs*

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$78 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2009 charges of \$30 million are primarily related to the exit of leased facilities and contractual termination costs, both within the Mobile Devices segment. The adjustments of \$8 million reflected: (i) \$7 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$1 million of translation adjustments. The \$41 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$59 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 3, 2009, represented future cash payments primarily for lease termination obligations and was expected to be paid over a number of years.

*Employee Separation Costs*

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$153 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for approximately 2,000 employees. The 2009 additional charges of \$259 million represented severance costs for approximately an additional 6,700 employees, of which 2,100 were direct employees and 4,600 were indirect employees.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

The adjustments of \$48 million reflect \$55 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, partially offset by \$7 million of translation adjustments.

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, approximately 7,400 employees, of which 2,800 were direct employees and 4,600 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$304 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments to these separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$60 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 3, 2009, was expected to be paid to approximately 1,000 separated employees.

---

Table of Contents

30

**14. Intangible Assets and Goodwill****Intangible Assets**

Amortized intangible assets were comprised of the following:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>		<i>December 31, 2009</i>	
	<i>Gross Carrying Amount</i>	<i>Accumulated Amortization</i>	<i>Gross Carrying Amount</i>	<i>Accumulated Amortization</i>
Completed technology	\$ 1,145	\$ 910	\$ 1,133	\$ 785
Patents	341	209	288	166
Customer-related	198	120	209	110
Licensed technology	130	122	130	122
Other intangibles	147	134	143	129
	\$ 1,961	\$ 1,495	\$ 1,903	\$ 1,312

Amortization expense on intangible assets was \$65 million and \$69 million for the three months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, respectively. Amortization expense on intangible assets was \$193 million and \$209 million for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, respectively. As of October 2, 2010, annual amortization expense is estimated to be \$259 million in 2010, \$236 million in 2011, \$75 million in 2012, \$39 million in 2013 and \$17 million in 2014.

Amortized intangible assets, excluding goodwill, by business segment:

	<i>October 2, 2010</i>		<i>December 31, 2009</i>	
	<i>Gross Carrying Amount</i>	<i>Accumulated Amortization</i>	<i>Gross Carrying Amount</i>	<i>Accumulated Amortization</i>
Mobile Devices	\$ 111	\$ 49	\$ 46	\$ 46
Home	654	548	647	509
Enterprise Mobility Solutions	1,196	898	1,210	757
	\$ 1,961	\$ 1,495	\$ 1,903	\$ 1,312

**Goodwill**

The following table displays a rollforward of the carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment from January 1, 2010 to October 2, 2010:

	<i>Mobile Devices</i>	<i>Home</i>	<i>Enterprise Mobility Solutions</i>	<i>Total Motorola</i>
<b>Balances as of January 1, 2010:</b>				
Aggregate goodwill acquired	\$ 55	\$ 1,358	\$ 2,993	\$ 4,406
Accumulated impairment losses	(55)	(73)	(1,564)	(1,692)

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

Goodwill, net of impairment losses		1,285		1,429		2,714
Goodwill acquired	30	8				38
<b>Balances as of October 2, 2010:</b>						
Aggregate goodwill acquired	85	1,366	\$	2,993		4,444
Accumulated impairment losses	(55)	(73)		(1,564)		(1,692)
Goodwill, net of impairment losses	\$ 30	\$ 1,293	\$	1,429	\$	2,752

---



Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	31
---	----

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This commentary should be read in conjunction with Motorola, Inc.'s (the "Company's") condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, as well as the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

On July 19, 2010, the Company announced an agreement to sell certain assets and liabilities of its Networks business to Nokia Siemens Networks B.V. for \$1.2 billion in cash (the "Transaction"). The Transaction is expected to close by early 2011. Based on the terms and conditions of the sale agreement, certain assets, including \$150 million of accounts receivables, the Company's iDEN infrastructure business and substantially all the patents related to the Company's wireless network infrastructure business, are excluded from the transaction.

Prior to the first quarter of 2010, certain costs, including some elements of share-based compensation, intangible assets amortization expense, business-related asset impairments and other Corporate expenses, were recorded at Corporate and included in Other and Eliminations. As of the first quarter of 2010, the Company now allocates these costs to the business segments which are part of continuing operations.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, the results of operations of the portions of the Networks business included in the Transaction are reported as discontinued operations. Certain Corporate and general costs which have historically been allocated to the Networks business will remain with the Company after the sale of the Networks business.

The operating results of the Company's iDEN infrastructure business and certain licensing activity generally related to the Networks business are also now being reported as part of the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The Corporate and general costs which have historically been allocated to the Networks business are allocated to the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. Additionally, the results of operations of previously disposed businesses, which were deemed to be immaterial at the time of their disposition, have been reclassified from the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment to discontinued operations. These businesses include: (i) an Israel-based wireless network operator, (ii) the biometrics business, and (iii) Good Technology. The assets and liabilities of the Networks business, as well as the assets and liabilities of the previously disposed businesses recorded by the Company prior to the closing of the underlying transactions, are reported as assets and liabilities held for sale. All previously reported financial information has been revised to conform to the current presentation.

**Executive Overview****What businesses are we in?**

The Company reports financial results for the following operating business segments:

The **Mobile Devices** segment designs, manufactures, sells and services wireless mobile devices, including smartphones, with integrated software and accessory products, and licenses intellectual property. In the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$2.0 billion, representing 42%\* of the Company's consolidated net sales.

The **Home** segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services set-top boxes for digital video, Internet Protocol ("IP") video, satellite and terrestrial broadcast networks, end-to-end digital video and Internet protocol television ("IPTV") distribution systems, broadband access network infrastructure platforms, and associated data and voice customer premises equipment and associated software solutions to cable television ("TV") and telecommunication service providers. In the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$912 million, representing 19%\* of the Company's consolidated net sales.

The **Enterprise Mobility Solutions** segment designs, manufactures, sells, installs and services analog and digital two-way radios, wireless LAN and security products, voice and data communications products and systems primarily for private networks, wireless broadband systems and end-to-end enterprise mobility solutions to a wide range of customers, including government and public safety agencies (which, together with all sales to distributors of two-way communication products, are referred to as the "government and public safety market"), as well as retail, energy and utilities, transportation,

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

manufacturing, healthcare and other commercial customers (which, collectively, are referred to as the "commercial enterprise market"). In

---

Table of Contents

32 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS

the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.9 billion, representing 40%\* of the Company's consolidated net sales.

\*

When discussing the net sales of each of our three segments, we express the segment's net sales as a percentage of the Company's consolidated net sales. However, certain of our segments sell products to other Motorola businesses and intracompany sales are eliminated as part of the consolidation process. Therefore, the percentages of consolidated net sales for our business segments do not always sum to 100%.

**Third Quarter Summary**

*Net Sales were \$4.9 Billion:* Our net sales were \$4.9 billion in the third quarter of 2010, up 13% compared to net sales of \$4.3 billion in the third quarter of 2009. Compared to the year-ago quarter, net sales increased 20% in the Mobile Devices segment, increased 9% in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment and increased 5% in the Home segment.

*Operating Earnings were \$225 Million:* We had operating earnings of \$225 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to incurring an operating loss of \$11 million in the third quarter of 2009. Operating margin was 4.6% of net sales in the third quarter of 2010, compared to (0.3)% of net sales in the third quarter of 2009.

*Earnings from Continuing Operations were \$7 Million, or \$0.00 per Share:* We had earnings from continuing operations of \$7 million, or \$0.00 per diluted common share, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to a loss from continuing operations of \$90 million, or \$0.04 per diluted common share, in the third quarter of 2009.

*Operating Cash Flow was \$915 million in the first nine months of 2010:* We generated cash from operating activities of \$915 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to using \$777 million of cash for operating activities in the first nine months of 2009. The cash generated from operating activities was primarily driven by: (i) income from continuing operations (adjusted for non-cash items) of \$932 million, and (ii) a \$740 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, partially offset by: (i) a \$305 million increase in accounts receivable, and (ii) a \$257 million increase in inventories.

Highlights for each of our business segments were as follows:

*In Mobile Devices:* Net sales were \$2.0 billion in the third quarter of 2010, an increase of 20% compared to net sales of \$1.7 billion in the third quarter of 2009. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in North America, the Europe, Middle East and Africa region ("EMEA") and Asia and decreased in Latin America compared to the year-ago quarter. The increase in net sales was primarily driven by a 78% increase in average selling price ("ASP"), partially offset by a 33% decrease in unit shipments. We shipped 9.1 million handsets in the third quarter of 2010, a 10% increase sequentially compared to shipments of 8.3 million handsets in the second quarter of 2010. We shipped 3.8 million Android-based smartphones in the third quarter of 2010, a 41% increase sequentially compared to shipments of 2.7 million in the second quarter of 2010.

*In Home:* Net sales were \$912 million in the third quarter of 2010, an increase of 5% compared to net sales of \$866 million in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in net sales in the Home segment reflects higher net sales of video and access infrastructure equipment. Net sales of set-top boxes remained consistent as the 5% increase in shipments of set-top boxes to 3.5 million units was offset by a lower ASP due to competitive pricing pressures. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in Latin America and Asia and decreased in EMEA. Net sales in North America were consistent compared to the year-ago quarter.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

*In Enterprise Mobility Solutions:* Net sales were \$1.9 billion in the third quarter of 2010, an increase of 9% compared to net sales of \$1.8 billion in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in net sales reflects a 21% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 1% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in all regions compared to the year-ago quarter.

### **Recent Developments**

On July 1, 2010, an initial registration statement on Form 10 was filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Company's planned separation into two independent, publicly traded companies. Amendments to the initial registration statement were filed on August 31, 2010 and

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 33

October 8, 2010. The Mobile Devices and Home businesses are planned to be separated from Motorola, Inc. and operate as Motorola Mobility. The Company intends to effect the separation through a tax-free stock dividend of shares of Motorola Mobility to Motorola, Inc. shareholders (the "Distribution"). The Distribution is planned for the first quarter of 2011. Completion of the Distribution is subject to a number of conditions, including, among others, confirmation of the tax-free nature of the transaction, as well as effectiveness of the Form 10 registration statement.

On July 19, 2010, the Company announced that Nokia Siemens Networks B.V. would acquire the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets for \$1.2 billion in cash. This includes our GSM, CDMA, WiMAX and LTE businesses. We will retain our iDEN infrastructure business, substantially all the patents related to the Networks business, and various other assets, including: (i) \$150 million of accounts receivable, (ii) cash and (iii) certain customer financing notes. We expect the transaction to close by early 2011, subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approvals. On September 30, 2010, the Company filed a Form 8-K to present previously reported selected GAAP financial information of the Company for fiscal years 2007, 2008 and 2009 and for the first half of 2010 in a reclassified format to reflect: (i) the presentation of certain portions of the Company's Networks business as discontinued operations as a result of the Company's announcement of an agreement to sell a majority of the assets and liabilities of its Networks business to Nokia Siemens Networks, (ii) the reclassification of the operating results of previously disposed businesses, which were deemed to be immaterial at the time of their disposition, from the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment to discontinued operations, and (iii) the resulting revised presentation of the Company's operating business segments.

After the completion of the Networks asset sale to Nokia Siemens Networks and the separation, Motorola, Inc. will change its name to Motorola Solutions and be comprised of the Company's Enterprise Mobility Solutions business, which will include the iDEN infrastructure business.

## Looking Forward

In our Mobile Devices business, while we expect the overall global mobile device market to remain intensely competitive, we expect annual growth in total industry mobile device demand over the next several years, particularly in smartphones. Our strategy is focused on developing and marketing a comprehensive smartphone portfolio and strengthening our position in priority markets. Our smartphone portfolio focus will be on the following: (i) differentiating our products using MOTOBLUR, our proprietary applications and services suite, (ii) enhancing the ecosystem using our Motorola developer network ("MotoDEV") application development program, and (iii) providing a smartphone portfolio across multiple price points for a broad array of carrier, distributor and retail customers. Our market priorities continue to be primarily North America, China, and Latin America, followed by Western Europe and other strategic markets. Our mid- to high-tier feature phone portfolio will continue to be more limited than in prior years given the declining opportunity in this segment of the handset market. For lower-priced, voice-centric mobile devices, we are partnering with third-party original design manufacturers, primarily in Asia, to deliver a handset portfolio to meet certain customer requirements and extend our brand. With growth in the mobile device market, particularly in smartphones, and by accelerating our speed to market, providing rich consumer experiences and building our brand, we expect to continue to improve our financial performance.

In our Home business, demand for set-top boxes has contracted in 2010 compared to 2009 due primarily to adverse market conditions, particularly in the U.S. Growth in market demand may require improved market conditions and may be driven by increased consumer demand for high definition TV, whole-home network solutions, 3D-TV, advanced interactive services and converged experiences. Analog to digital transitions are still underway, particularly outside North America, and consumer demand is expected to drive infrastructure needs for more bandwidth, optimized networks and storage, and services. We will continue to leverage our position in set-top boxes and video delivery systems and prioritize our product portfolio and research and development efforts to ensure that we are well positioned for emerging opportunities in this marketplace.

We believe the combination of the Mobile Devices and Home businesses will allow us to address opportunities resulting from the convergence of mobility, media, computing and the Internet. This includes demand for innovative smartphone devices, uniform, multi-screen experiences and interactive personalized user driven services in the home and on mobile devices. The Mobile Devices and Home businesses have core strengths in intellectual property, end-to-end solutions, design, operator relationships and a global brand which uniquely positions the combined entity to capitalize on these opportunities.

In our Enterprise Mobility Solutions business, we have market leading positions in both mission critical and business critical communications solutions for customers around the world. While many government customers

Table of Contents

	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
34	OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

continue to face challenging economic environments, demand levels have remained resilient. We believe that these customers will continue to place a high priority on mission critical communications and homeland security products and solutions. Conditions in our commercial enterprise market have improved compared to 2009. This has resulted in a more stable environment and increased demand trends as many of our customers upgrade their technology to improve supply chain efficiencies, increase productivity of associates and improve end-customer buying experiences. We believe that our prioritized investments in next generation products and solutions, our comprehensive portfolio and market leadership make our Enterprise Mobility Solutions business well positioned for profitable growth.

Due to increased demand for products, many electronic manufactures are experiencing shortages for certain components. We continue to work closely with our suppliers to secure adequate supply. If demand for our products increases from our current expectations, we may experience periodic supply shortages.

We conduct our business in highly competitive markets, facing both new and established competitors. The markets for many of our products are characterized by rapidly changing technologies, frequent new product introductions, changing consumer trends, short product life cycles and evolving industry standards. Market disruptions caused by new technologies, the entry of new competitors, consolidations among our customers and competitors, and changes in regulatory requirements, among other matters, can introduce volatility into our businesses. We face challenging, but relatively stable, global economic conditions with more limited visibility than historical norms. Meeting all of these challenges requires consistent operational planning and execution and investment in technology, resulting in innovative products that meet the needs of our customers around the world. As we execute on meeting these objectives, we remain focused on taking the necessary action to design and deliver differentiated and innovative products and services that will advance the way the world connects by simplifying and personalizing communications and enhancing mobility.

**Results of Operations**

<i>(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)</i>	<i>Three Months Ended</i>				<i>Nine months Ended</i>			
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>% of Sales</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% of Sales</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>% of Sales</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% of Sales</i>
Net sales	\$ 4,890		\$ 4,336		\$ 13,619		\$ 13,361	
Costs of sales	3,110	63.6%	2,897	66.8%	8,754	64.3%	9,348	70.0%
Gross margin	1,780	36.4%	1,439	33.2%	4,865	35.7%	4,013	30.0%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	810	16.6%	718	16.6%	2,423	17.8%	2,250	16.8%
Research and development expenditures	637	13.0%	621	14.3%	1,889	13.9%	1,950	14.6%
Other charges (income)	108	2.2%	111	2.6%	142	1.0%	393	2.9%
Operating earnings (loss)	225	4.6%	(11)	(0.3)%	411	3.0%	(580)	(4.3)%
Other income (expense):								
Interest expense, net	(29)	(0.6)%	(49)	(1.1)%	(100)	(0.7)%	(113)	(0.9)%
Gains on sales of investments and	4	0.1%	21	0.5%	44	0.3%	21	0.2%

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

businesses, net									
Other	<b>5</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	(66)	(1.5)%	<b>(26)</b>	<b>(0.2)%</b>	49	0.3%	
Total other income (expense)	<b>(20)</b>	<b>(0.4)%</b>	(94)	(2.1)%	<b>(82)</b>	<b>(0.6)%</b>	(43)	(0.4)%	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	<b>205</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	(105)	(2.4)%	<b>329</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	(623)	(4.7)%	
Income tax expense (benefit)	<b>196</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	(25)	(0.6)%	<b>278</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	(229)	(1.8)%	
	<b>9</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	(80)	(1.8)%	<b>51</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	(394)	(2.9)%	
Less: Earnings attributable to non-controlling interests	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	10	0.3%	<b>4</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	24	0.2%	
<i>Amounts attributable to Motorola, Inc. common shareholders:</i>									
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	<b>7</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	(90)	(2.1)%	<b>47</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	(418)	(3.1)%	
Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	<b>102</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	102	2.4%	<b>293</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	225	1.7%	
Net earnings (loss) \$	<b>109</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	\$ 12	0.3%	<b>340</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	\$ (193)	(1.4)%	
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share:									
Continuing operations	\$ <b>0.00</b>		\$ (0.04)		\$ <b>0.02</b>		\$ (0.18)		
Discontinued operations	<b>0.05</b>		0.05		<b>0.12</b>		0.10		
	\$ <b>0.05</b>		\$ 0.01		\$ <b>0.14</b>		\$ (0.08)		

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF	35
OPERATIONS	

**Results of Operations Three months ended October 2, 2010 compared to three months ended October 3, 2009***Net Sales*

Net sales were \$4.9 billion in the third quarter of 2010, up 13% compared to net sales of \$4.3 billion in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in net sales reflects: (i) a \$342 million, or 20%, increase in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment, (ii) a \$153 million, or 9%, increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, and (iii) a \$46 million, or 5%, increase in net sales in the Home segment. The 20% increase in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by a 78% increase in average selling price ("ASP"), partially offset by a 33% decrease in unit shipments. The 9% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 21% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 1% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. The 5% increase in net sales in the Home segment reflects higher net sales of video and access infrastructure while net sales of set-top boxes remained consistent with the year-ago quarter.

*Gross Margin*

Gross margin was \$1.8 billion, or 36.4% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$1.4 billion, or 33.2% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2009. Gross margins have increased in all segments.

The increase in gross margin as a percentage of net sales in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009 reflects an increase in gross margin percentage in the Mobile Devices and Home segments and a slight decrease in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The Company's overall gross margin as a percentage of net sales is impacted by the proportion of overall net sales generated by its various businesses.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased 13% to \$810 million, or 16.6% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$718 million, or 16.6% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in SG&A expenses reflects higher SG&A expenses in the Mobile Devices and Enterprise Mobility Solutions segments. The increase in the Mobile Devices and Enterprise Mobility Solutions segments were primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales. SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales increased in the Mobile Devices and Enterprise Mobility Solutions segments and decreased slightly in the Home segment.

*Research and Development Expenditures*

Research and development ("R&D") expenditures increased 3% to \$637 million, or 13.0% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$621 million, or 14.3% of net sales, in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in R&D expenditures reflects higher R&D expenditures in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Mobile Devices segments, partially offset by lower R&D expenditures in the Home segment. The increases in R&D expenditures in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Mobile Devices segments were primarily due to developmental engineering expenditures for new product development and investment in next-generation technologies. The decrease in R&D expenditures in the Home segment is primarily due to savings from cost-reduction initiatives.

R&D expenditures as a percentage of net sales decreased in the Mobile Devices and Home segments and remained flat in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The Company participates in very competitive industries with constant changes in technology and, accordingly, the Company continues to believe that a strong commitment to R&D is required to drive long-term growth.

*Other Charges*

The Company recorded net charges of \$108 million in Other charges (income) in the third quarter of 2010, compared to net charges of \$111 million in the third quarter of 2009. The net charges in the third quarter of 2010 included: (i) \$65 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (ii) \$44 million of separation-related transaction costs, and (iii) \$36 million of net reorganization of business charges



## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

included in Other charges (income), partially offset by a \$37 million gain related to intellectual property reserve adjustments. The charges in the third quarter of 2009 included: (i) \$69 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (ii) a \$23 million charge related to an environmental reserve, and (iii) \$19 million of separation-

---

Table of Contents

36 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
OPERATIONS

related transaction costs. The net reorganization of business charges are discussed in further detail in the "Reorganization of Businesses" section.

*Net Interest Expense*

Net interest expense was \$29 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to net interest expense of \$49 million in the third quarter of 2009. Net interest expense in the third quarter of 2010 included interest expense of \$48 million, partially offset by interest income of \$19 million. Net interest expense in the third quarter of 2009 includes interest expense of \$62 million, partially offset by interest income of \$13 million. The decrease in net interest expense in 2010 is primarily attributable to a decline in interest expense due to lower average debt outstanding during the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009.

*Gains on Sales of Investments and Businesses*

Gains on sales of investments and businesses were \$4 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to gains on sales of investments and businesses of \$21 million in the third quarter of 2009. In the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, the net gains were primarily comprised of gains related to sales of certain of the Company's equity investments.

*Other*

Net Other income was \$5 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to net Other expense of \$66 million in the third quarter of 2009. The net charges in the third quarter of 2009 were primarily comprised of: (i) \$31 million of investment impairments, (ii) a \$22 million foreign currency loss, and (iii) an \$8 million loss from the Sigma Fund investments.

*Effective Tax Rate*

The Company recorded \$196 million of net tax expense in the third quarter of 2010, resulting in an effective tax rate on continuing operations of 96%, compared to \$25 million of net tax benefits in the third quarter of 2009, resulting in an effective tax rate of 24%. The Company's effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2010 was higher than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to: (i) an increase in the U.S. federal income tax accrual for repatriation of undistributed foreign earnings related to the realignment of the Company's capital structure, and (ii) certain separation-related transaction costs incurred for which the Company recorded no tax benefit.

The Company's effective tax rate will change from period to period based on non-recurring events, such as the settlement of income tax audits, changes in valuation allowances and the tax impact of significant unusual or extraordinary items, as well as recurring factors including changes in the geographic mix of income before taxes and effects of various global income tax strategies.

*Earnings (Loss) from Continuing Operations*

The Company had net earnings from continuing operations before income taxes of \$205 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared with a net loss from continuing operations before income taxes of \$105 million in the third quarter of 2009. After taxes, and excluding Earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, the Company had net earnings from continuing operations of \$7 million, or \$0.00 per diluted share, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$90 million, or \$0.04 per diluted share, in the third quarter of 2009.

The improvement in the earnings from continuing operations before income taxes in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the third quarter of 2009 was primarily attributable to a \$341 million increase in gross margin. The increase in gross margin was partially offset by: (i) a \$92 million increase in SG&A expenses, (ii) a \$71 million decrease in Other income, as presented in Other income (expense), (iii) a \$17 million decrease in gains on the sale of investments and businesses, and (iv) a \$16 million increase in R&D expenditures.

*Earnings from Discontinued Operations*

During the third quarter of 2010, the Company announced that Nokia Siemens Networks B.V. would acquire the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets. After taxes, the Company had earnings from discontinued operations of \$102 million, or \$0.05 per diluted share, in the third quarter of 2010, compared to earnings from discontinued operations of \$102 million, or \$0.05 per diluted share, in the third quarter of 2009.

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF	37
OPERATIONS	

**Results of Operations** Nine months ended October 2, 2010 compared to nine months ended October 3, 2009*Net Sales*

Net sales were \$13.6 billion in the first nine months of 2010, a 2% increase compared to net sales of \$13.4 billion in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in net sales reflects: (i) a \$425 million, or 8%, increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, and (ii) a \$77 million, or 1%, increase in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment, partially offset by a \$268 million, or 9%, decrease in net sales in the Home segment. The 8% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 17% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 3% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. The 1% increase in net sales in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by a 67% increase in average selling price ("ASP"), partially offset by a 40% decrease in unit shipments. The 9% decrease in net sales in the Home segment reflects a 20% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, partially offset by higher net sales of video and access infrastructure equipment.

*Gross Margin*

Gross margin was \$4.9 billion, or 35.7% of net sales, in the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$4.0 billion, or 30.0% of net sales, in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in gross margin reflects: (i) a significant increase in the Mobile Devices segment, and (ii) increases in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions and Home segments.

The increase in gross margin in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by: (i) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased volume of smartphone devices, (ii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009, and (iii) the 1% increase in net sales. The increase in gross margin in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily driven by the 8% increase in net sales and a favorable product mix. The increase in gross margin in the Home segment was due to a favorable product margin mix across all product lines.

The increase in gross margin as a percentage of net sales in the first nine months of 2010 compared to the first nine months of 2009 reflects an increase in gross margin percentage in all segments. The Company's overall gross margin as a percentage of net sales is impacted by the proportion of overall net sales generated by its various businesses.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased 8% to \$2.4 billion, or 17.8% of net sales, in the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$2.3 billion, or 16.8% of net sales, in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in SG&A expenses reflects higher SG&A expenses in all segments. The increase in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales. The increase in the Mobile Devices segment was primarily driven by an increase in marketing expenses. The slight increase in the Home segment were primarily due to increased expenditures on information technology upgrades. SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales increased in all segments.

*Research and Development Expenditures*

Research and development ("R&D") expenditures decreased 3% to \$1.9 billion, or 13.9% of net sales, in the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$2.0 billion, or 14.6% of net sales, in the first nine months of 2009. The decrease in R&D expenditures reflects lower R&D expenditures in the Mobile Devices and Home segments, partially offset by increased R&D expenditures in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment. The decreases in R&D expenditures in the Mobile Devices and Home segments are primarily due to savings from cost-reduction initiatives. The increase in R&D expenditures in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment was primarily due to developmental engineering expenditures for new product development and investment in next-generation technologies.

R&D expenditures as a percentage of net sales decreased in all segments. The Company participates in very competitive industries with constant changes in technology and, accordingly, the Company continues to believe that a strong commitment to R&D is required to drive long-term growth.

*Other Charges*

The Company recorded net charges of \$142 million in Other charges in the first nine months of 2010, compared to net charges of \$393 million in the first nine months of 2009. The charges in the first nine months of

---

Table of Contents

	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
38	OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2010 included: (i) \$193 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (ii) \$174 million of separation-related transaction costs, and (iii) \$69 million of net reorganization of business charges included in Other charges, partially offset by: (i) \$294 million of gains related to legal settlements and intellectual property reserve adjustments. The charges in the first nine months of 2009 included: (i) \$209 million of charges relating to the amortization of intangibles, (ii) \$197 million of net reorganization of business charges included in Other charges, (iii) \$23 million of charges related to an environmental reserve, and (iv) \$19 million of separation-related transaction costs, partially offset by income of \$55 million related to collections received on a legal settlement.

*Net Interest Expense*

Net interest expense was \$100 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to net interest expense of \$113 million in the first nine months of 2009. Net interest expense in the first nine months of 2010 included interest expense of \$167 million, partially offset by interest income of \$67 million. Net interest expense in the first nine months of 2009 includes interest expense of \$171 million, partially offset by interest income of \$58 million. The decrease in net interest expense in 2010 is primarily attributable to a decline in interest expense due to lower average debt outstanding during the first nine months of 2010 and the absence of a reversal of approximately \$8 million of interest expense accruals that were no longer needed as a result of the settlement of certain tax audits during 2009.

*Gains on Sales of Investments and Businesses*

Gains on sales of investments and businesses were \$44 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to a gain on sales of investments and businesses of \$21 million in the first nine months of 2009. In the first nine months of 2010, the net gain was primarily comprised of a \$31 million gain on the sale of a single investment. In the first nine months of 2009, the net gain primarily relates to sales of certain of the Company's equity investments.

*Other*

Net Other expense was \$26 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to net Other income of \$49 million in the first nine months of 2009. The net Other expense in the first nine months of 2010 was primarily comprised of: (i) \$20 million of investment impairments, (ii) a \$19 million foreign currency loss, and (iii) a \$12 million loss from the extinguishment of debt, partially offset by a \$15 million gain from Sigma Fund investments. The net income in the first nine months of 2009 was primarily comprised of: (i) a \$67 million gain related to the extinguishment of a portion of the Company's outstanding long-term debt, and (ii) \$67 million of gains from Sigma Fund investments, partially offset by: (i) \$64 million of other-than-temporary investment impairment charges, and (ii) a \$27 million foreign currency loss.

*Effective Tax Rate*

The Company recorded \$278 million of net tax expense in the first nine months of 2010, resulting in an effective tax rate on continuing operations of 84%, compared to \$229 million of net tax benefits in the first nine months of 2009, resulting in an effective tax rate of 37%. The Company's effective tax rate in the first nine months of 2010 was higher than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to: (i) an increase in the U.S. federal income tax accrual for repatriation of undistributed foreign earnings related to the realignment of the Company's capital structure (ii) a non-cash tax charge related to the Medicare Part D subsidy tax law change, and (iii) certain separation-related transaction costs incurred for which the Company recorded no tax benefit, partially offset by reductions in unrecognized tax benefits for facts that now indicate the extent to which certain tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained.

The Company's effective tax rate will change from period to period based on non-recurring events, such as the settlement of income tax audits, changes in valuation allowances and the tax impact of significant unusual or extraordinary items, as well as recurring factors including changes in the geographic mix of income before taxes and effects of various global income tax strategies.

*Earnings (Loss) from Continuing Operations*

The Company had net earnings from continuing operations before income taxes of \$329 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared with a net loss from continuing operations before income taxes of \$623 million in the first nine months of 2009. After taxes, and excluding Earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests, the Company had net earnings from continuing operations of \$47 million, or \$0.02 per diluted share, in the first nine months of 2010, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$418 million, or \$0.18 per diluted share, in the first nine months of 2009.

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	39
---	----

The improvement in the earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes in the first nine months of 2010 compared to the first nine months of 2009 was primarily attributable to: (i) a \$852 million increase in gross margin, (ii) a \$251 million decrease in Other charges, (iii) a \$61 million decrease in R&D expenditures, (iv) a \$23 million increase in gains on the sale of investments and businesses, and (v) a \$13 million decrease in net interest expense. These improvements were partially offset by: (i) an \$173 million increase in SG&A expenses, and (ii) a \$75 million decrease in net Other income, as presented in Other income (expense).

*Earnings from Discontinued Operations*

During the third quarter of 2010, the Company announced that Nokia Siemens Networks B.V. would acquire the majority of our Networks infrastructure assets. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company completed the sale of our Israel-based wireless network operator. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company completed the sales of: (i) Good Technology, and (ii) the Company's former biometrics business unit, which included its Printrak trademark.

After taxes, the Company had earnings from discontinued operations of \$293 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share, in the first nine months of 2010, compared to earnings from discontinued operations of \$225 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share, in the first nine months of 2009.

**Reorganization of Businesses**

The Company maintains a formal Involuntary Severance Plan (the "Severance Plan"), which permits the Company to offer eligible employees severance benefits based on years of service and employment grade level in the event that employment is involuntarily terminated as a result of a reduction-in-force or restructuring. The Company recognizes termination benefits based on formulas per the Severance Plan at the point in time that future settlement is probable and can be reasonably estimated based on estimates prepared at the time a restructuring plan is approved by management. Exit costs consist of future minimum lease payments on vacated facilities and other contractual terminations. At each reporting date, the Company evaluates its accruals for employee separation and exit costs to ensure the accruals are still appropriate. In certain circumstances, accruals are no longer needed because of efficiencies in carrying out the plans or because employees previously identified for separation resigned from the Company and did not receive severance or were redeployed due to circumstances not foreseen when the original plans were initiated. In these cases, the Company reverses accruals through the consolidated statements of operations where the original charges were recorded when it is determined they are no longer needed.

The Company expects to realize cost-saving benefits of approximately \$43 million during the remaining three months of 2010 from the plans that were initiated during the first nine months of 2010, representing: (i) \$18 million of savings in R&D expenditures, (ii) \$14 million of savings in SG&A expenses, and (iii) \$11 million of savings in Costs of sales. Beyond 2010, the Company expects the reorganization plans initiated during the first nine months of 2010 to provide annualized cost savings of approximately \$183 million, representing: (i) \$80 million of savings in R&D expenditures, (ii) \$55 million of savings in SG&A expenses, and (iii) \$48 million of savings in Cost of sales.

**2010 Charges**

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company continued to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All three of the Company's business segments were impacted by these plans. The employees affected were located in all geographic regions.

During the three months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$46 million, including \$10 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$36 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$46 million are charges of \$39 million for employee separation costs and \$15 million for exit costs, partially offset by \$8 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$91 million, including \$22 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$69 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$91 million are charges of \$100 million for employee separation costs and \$15 million for exit costs, partially offset by \$24 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.



Table of Contents

40	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
----	---

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine months Ended</i>
Mobile Devices	\$ 13	\$ 30
Home	5	15
Enterprise Mobility Solutions	27	43
	45	88
Corporate	1	3
	\$ 46	\$ 91

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2010 to October 2, 2010:

	<i>Accruals at January 1, 2010</i>	<i>Additional Charges</i>	<i>Adjustments</i>	<i>Amount Used</i>	<i>Accruals at October 2, 2010</i>
Exit costs	\$ 57	\$ 15	\$ (5)	\$ (27)	\$ 40
Employee separation costs	65	100	(20)	(82)	63
	\$ 122	\$ 115	\$ (25)	\$ (109)	\$ 103

*Exit Costs*

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$57 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2010 charges were \$15 million. The adjustments of \$5 million primarily reflect reversals of accruals no longer needed. The \$27 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$40 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 2, 2010, primarily represents future cash payments for lease termination obligations that are expected to be paid over a number of years.

*Employee Separation Costs*

At January 1, 2010, the Company had an accrual of \$65 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for: (i) severed employees who began receiving payments in 2009, and (ii) approximately 1,200 employees who began receiving payments in 2010. The 2010 additional charges of \$100 million represent severance costs for approximately an additional 2,200 employees, of which 700 were direct employees and 1,500 were indirect employees.

The adjustments of \$20 million reflect: (i) \$19 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$1 million of translation adjustments.

During the first nine months of 2010, approximately 1,400 employees, of which 400 were direct employees and 1,000 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$82 million used in 2010 reflects cash payments to separated employees. The remaining

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

accrual of \$63 million, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 2, 2010, is expected to be paid, generally, within one year to: (i) severed employees who have already begun to receive payments, and (ii) approximately 1,700 employees to be separated in 2010.

### **2009 Charges**

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company committed to implement various productivity improvement plans aimed at achieving long-term, sustainable profitability by driving efficiencies and reducing operating costs. All three of the Company's business segments, as well as corporate functions, were impacted by these plans, with the majority of the impact in the Mobile Devices segment. The employees affected were located in all regions.

During the three months ended October 3, 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$2 million in Costs of sales in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$2 million are charges of \$19 million for employee separation costs and \$8 million for exit costs, partially offset by \$25 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	41
---	----

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, the Company recorded net reorganization of business charges of \$245 million, including \$48 million of charges in Costs of sales and \$197 million of charges under Other charges in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the aggregate \$245 million are charges of \$259 million for employee separation costs, \$30 million for exit costs and \$18 million for fixed asset impairment charges, partially offset by \$62 million of reversals for accruals no longer needed.

The following table displays the net charges incurred by business segment:

<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>Three Months Ended</i>	<i>Nine months Ended</i>
Mobile Devices	\$	\$ 161
Home		2 17
Enterprise Mobility Solutions		5 41
		7 219
Corporate	(5)	26
	\$	2 \$ 245

The following table displays a rollforward of the reorganization of businesses accruals established for exit costs and employee separation costs from January 1, 2009 to October 3, 2009:

	<i>Accruals at January 1, 2009</i>	<i>Additional Charges</i>	<i>Adjustments</i>	<i>Amount Used</i>	<i>Accruals at October 3, 2009</i>
Exit costs	\$ 78	\$ 30	\$ (8)	\$ (41)	\$ 59
Employee separation costs	153	259	(48)	(304)	60
	\$ 231	\$ 289	\$ (56)	\$ (345)	\$ 119

*Exit Costs*

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$78 million for exit costs attributable to lease terminations. The additional 2009 charges of \$30 million are primarily related to the exit of leased facilities and contractual termination costs, both within the Mobile Devices segment. The adjustments of \$8 million reflected: (i) \$7 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, and (ii) \$1 million of translation adjustments. The \$41 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments. The remaining accrual of \$59 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 3, 2009, represented future cash payments primarily for lease termination obligations and was expected to be paid over a number of years.

*Employee Separation Costs*

At January 1, 2009, the Company had an accrual of \$153 million for employee separation costs, representing the severance costs for approximately 2,000 employees. The 2009 additional charges of \$259 million represented severance costs for approximately an additional 6,700 employees, of which 2,100 were direct employees and 4,600 were indirect employees.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

The adjustments of \$48 million reflect \$55 million of reversals of accruals no longer needed, partially offset by \$7 million of translation adjustments.

During the nine months ended October 3, 2009, approximately 7,400 employees, of which 2,800 were direct employees and 4,600 were indirect employees, were separated from the Company. The \$304 million used in 2009 reflected cash payments to these separated employees. The remaining accrual of \$60 million, which was included in Accrued liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at October 3, 2009, was expected to be paid to approximately 1,000 separated employees.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As highlighted in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company's liquidity and available capital resources are impacted by four key components: (i) cash and cash equivalents, (ii) operating activities, (iii) investing activities, and (iv) financing activities.

---

Table of Contents

	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
42	OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

The Company's cash and cash equivalents (which are highly-liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less) were \$3.8 billion at October 2, 2010, compared to \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2009. At October 2, 2010, \$1.1 billion of this amount was held in the U.S. and \$2.7 billion was held by the Company or its subsidiaries in other countries. At October 2, 2010, restricted cash was \$224 million (including \$159 million held outside the U.S.), compared to \$206 million (including \$143 million held outside the U.S.) at December 31, 2009.

The Company continues to analyze and review various repatriation strategies to continue to efficiently repatriate funds. During the nine months of 2010, the Company repatriated \$1.0 billion in funds to the U.S. from international jurisdictions with minimal cash tax cost. The Company has approximately \$2.7 billion of earnings in foreign subsidiaries that are not permanently reinvested and may be repatriated without additional U.S. federal income tax charges to the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, given the U.S. Federal tax provisions previously accrued on undistributed earnings and the utilization of available foreign tax credits. On a cash basis, these repatriations from the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries could require the payment of additional foreign taxes. While the Company regularly repatriates funds and a portion of offshore funds can be repatriated with minimal adverse financial impact, repatriation of some of these funds could be subject to delay for local country approvals and could have potential adverse tax consequences.

***Operating Activities***

In the first nine months of 2010, the cash provided by operating activities was \$915 million, compared to \$777 million of cash used for operating activities in the first nine months of 2009. The primary contributors to the cash provided in the first nine months of 2010 were: (i) income from continuing operations (adjusted for net non-cash charges) of \$932 million, and (ii) a \$740 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, partially offset by: (i) a \$305 million increase in accounts receivable, and (ii) a \$257 million increase in inventories.

***Accounts Receivable:*** The Company's net accounts receivable were \$3.2 billion at October 2, 2010, compared to \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in the Company's net accounts receivable was driven by higher net accounts receivables in all segments. The Company's businesses sell their products in a variety of markets throughout the world and payment terms can vary by market type and geographic location. Accordingly, the Company's levels of net accounts receivable can be impacted by the timing and level of sales that are made by its various businesses and by the geographic locations in which those sales are made. See related discussion below under "Sales of Receivables".

***Inventory:*** The Company's net inventory was \$1.4 billion at October 2, 2010, compared to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in the Company's net inventory was driven by higher net inventory in all segments. Inventory management continues to be an area of focus as the Company balances the need to maintain strategic inventory levels to ensure competitive delivery performance to its customers against the risk of inventory excess and obsolescence due to rapidly changing technology and customer spending requirements.

***Accounts Payable:*** The Company's accounts payable were \$2.4 billion at October 2, 2010, compared to \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in the Company's accounts payable was driven by higher accounts payable in all segments. The Company buys products in a variety of markets throughout the world and payment terms can vary by market type and geographic location. Accordingly, the Company's levels of accounts payable can be impacted by the timing and level of purchases made by its various businesses and by the geographic locations in which those purchases are made.

***Reorganization of Businesses:*** The Company has implemented reorganization of businesses plans. Cash payments for employee severance and exit costs in connection with a number of these plans were \$109 million in the first nine months of 2010, as compared to \$345 million in the first nine months of 2009. Of the \$103 million of reorganization of businesses accruals at October 2, 2010, \$63 million relate to employee separation costs and are generally expected to be paid within one year. The remaining \$40 million in accruals relate to lease termination obligations that are expected to be paid over a number of years.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

*Benefit Plan Contributions:* During the first nine months of 2010, the Company contributed \$34 million to its non-U.S. plans and contributed \$100 million to its U.S. Regular Pension Plan. An additional contribution of \$50 million was made to the Company's U.S. Regular Pension Plan subsequent to the nine month period ended October 2, 2010. The Company expects to make no additional contributions to its U.S. Regular Pension Plan during the remainder of 2010; however, the Company has not yet established the level of contributions for 2011.

---

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	43

The Company expects to make additional cash contributions of approximately \$11 million to its non-U.S. plans and no cash contributions to its retiree health care plan during the remainder of 2010.

### *Investing Activities*

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$50 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to net cash provided of \$426 million in the first nine months of 2009. This \$376 million change was primarily due to: (i) a \$194 million decrease in proceeds from sales of short-term investments, (ii) a \$68 million decrease in cash received from net sales of Sigma Fund investments, and (iii) a \$57 million decrease in proceeds from sales of investments and businesses.

*Sigma Fund:* The Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries invest most of their U.S. dollar-denominated cash in a fund (the "Sigma Fund") that is designed to provide investment returns similar to a money market fund. The Company had net proceeds from sales of \$30 million of Sigma Fund investments in the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$98 million in net proceeds received from sales of the Sigma Fund investments in the first nine months of 2009. The aggregate fair value of Sigma Fund investments was \$5.1 billion at October 2, 2010 (including \$2.1 billion held by the Company or its subsidiaries outside the U.S.), compared to \$5.2 billion at December 31, 2009 (including \$2.3 billion held by the Company or its subsidiaries outside the U.S.).

The Sigma Fund portfolio is managed by four independent investment management firms. The investment guidelines of the Sigma Fund require that purchased investments must be in high-quality, investment grade (rated at least A/A-1 by Standard & Poor's or A2/P-1 by Moody's Investors Service), U.S. dollar-denominated debt obligations, including certificates of deposit, commercial paper, government bonds, corporate bonds and asset- and mortgage-backed securities. Under the Sigma Fund's investment policies, except for debt obligations of the U.S. government, agencies and government-sponsored enterprises, no more than 5% of the Sigma Fund portfolio is to consist of debt obligations of any one issuer. The Sigma Fund's investment policies further require that floating rate investments must have a maturity at purchase date that does not exceed thirty-six months with an interest rate that is reset at least annually. The average interest rate reset of the investments held by the funds must be 120 days or less. The actual average interest rate reset of the portfolio (excluding cash and defaulted securities) was 15 days at October 2, 2010, compared to 15 days at December 31, 2009.

Investments in the Sigma Fund are carried at fair value. The Company primarily relies on valuation pricing models and broker quotes to determine the fair value of investments in the Sigma Fund. The valuation models are developed and maintained by third-party pricing services, and use a number of standard inputs, including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes where the counterparty is standing ready and able to transact, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers and other reference data. For each asset class, quantifiable inputs related to perceived market movements and sector news may be considered in addition to the standard inputs.

At October 2, 2010, \$5.0 billion of the Sigma Fund investments were classified as current in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets, compared to \$5.1 billion at December 31, 2009. The weighted average maturity of the Sigma Fund investments classified as current was 1 month (excluding defaulted securities) at October 2, 2010, compared to 1 month (excluding cash of \$202 million and defaulted securities) at December 31, 2009. At October 2, 2010, approximately 98% of the Sigma Fund investments were invested in U.S. government, agency and government-sponsored enterprise obligations. This reflects a strategic decision by the Company to prioritize capital preservation rather than returns.

During the first nine months of 2010, the Company recorded a gain from the Sigma Fund investments of \$15 million in Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of operations, compared to a gain from the Sigma Fund investments of \$67 million during the first nine months of 2009.

Securities with a maturity greater than 12 months and defaulted securities have been classified as non-current in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. At October 2, 2010, \$105 million of the Sigma Fund investments were classified as non-current, and the weighted average maturity of the Sigma Fund investments classified as non-current (excluding defaulted securities) was 102 months. At December 31, 2009, \$66 million of the Sigma Fund investments were classified as non-current.

The Company continuously assesses its cash needs and continues to believe that the balance of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and investments in the Sigma Fund classified as current are more than adequate to meet its current operating requirements over the next twelve months. Therefore, the Company believes it is prudent to hold the \$105 million of securities classified as non-current to maturity.





Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
 44 OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
 OPERATIONS

*Strategic Acquisitions and Investments:* The Company used net cash for acquisitions and new investment activities of \$72 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to net cash used of \$30 million in the first nine months of 2009. The cash used in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 were for small strategic investments across the Company.

*Capital Expenditures:* Capital expenditures were \$180 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$144 million in the first nine months of 2009. The Company's emphasis when making capital expenditure decisions is to focus on strategic investments driven by customer demand and new design capability.

*Sales of Investments and Businesses:* The Company received \$249 million in net proceeds from the sales of investments and businesses in the first nine months of 2010, compared to proceeds of \$306 million in the first nine months of 2009. The \$249 million in proceeds in the first nine months of 2010 were primarily comprised of the sale of a single investment and the Company's Israel-based wireless network operator business. The \$306 million in proceeds in the first nine months of 2009 was primarily comprised of net proceeds received in connection with sales of certain of the Company's equity investments, and the sales of (i) Good Technology and (ii) the biometrics business.

*Investments:* In addition to available cash and cash equivalents, the Sigma Fund balances (current and non-current) and short-term investments, the Company views its investments as an additional source of liquidity. The majority of these securities are available-for-sale and cost-method investments in technology companies. The fair market values of these securities are subject to substantial price volatility. In addition, the realizable values of these securities are subject to market and other conditions. At October 2, 2010, the Company's available-for-sale equity securities portfolio had an approximate fair market value of \$35 million, which represented a cost basis of \$18 million and a net unrealized gain of \$17 million. At December 31, 2009, the Company's available-for-sale equity securities portfolio had an approximate fair market value of \$147 million, comprised of a cost basis of \$37 million and a net unrealized gain of \$110 million.

***Financing Activities***

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$62 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to \$344 million used in the first nine months of 2009. Cash provided by financing activities in the first nine months of 2010 was primarily comprised of: (i) \$398 million of distributions from discontinued operations, and (ii) \$152 million of net cash received from the issuance of common stock in connection with the Company's employee stock option plans and employee stock purchase plan, partially offset by \$484 million of cash used for the repurchase of long-term debt.

Net cash provided by financing activities in the first nine months of 2009 was primarily comprised of: (i) \$543 million of distributions from discontinued operations, and (ii) \$110 million of cash received from the issuance of common stock in connection with the Company's employee stock option plans and employee stock purchase plan, partially offset by: (i) \$130 million of cash used for the repurchase of debt, (ii) \$114 million of cash used to pay dividends, and (iii) \$71 million of cash used for the repayment of short-term borrowings.

*Short-Term Debt:* At October 2, 2010, the Company's outstanding notes payable and current portion of long-term debt was \$532 million, compared to \$536 million at December 31, 2009. Net cash used for the repayment of short-term borrowings was \$4 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to repayment of \$71 million of short-term borrowings in the first nine months of 2009.

*Long-term Debt:* October 2, 2010, the Company had outstanding long-term debt of \$2.9 billion, compared to \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2009.

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, the Company repurchased \$500 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$477 million, excluding approximately \$5 million of accrued interest, all of which occurred during the three months ended July 3, 2010.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

The \$500 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$65 million of the \$379 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (ii) \$75 million of the \$286 million then outstanding of the 6.50% Debentures due 2028, (iii) \$222 million of the \$446 million then outstanding of the 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037, and (iv) \$138 million of the \$252 million then outstanding of the 5.22% Debentures due 2097. After accelerating the amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discounts, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$12 million related to this debt tender in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the first nine months of 2009, the Company repurchased \$199 million of its outstanding long-term debt for a purchase price of \$129 million, excluding approximately \$4 million of accrued interest. The

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	45
---	----

\$199 million of long-term debt repurchased included principal amounts of: (i) \$11 million of the \$358 million then outstanding of 7.50% Debentures due 2025 (the "2025 Debentures"), (ii) \$20 million of the \$399 million then outstanding of 6.50% Debentures due 2025, (iii) \$14 million of the \$299 million then outstanding of 6.50% Debentures due 2028, and (iv) \$154 million of the \$600 million then outstanding of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2037. The Company recognized a gain of approximately \$67 million related to these open market purchases in Other within Other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company believes that it will be able to maintain sufficient access to the capital markets, though there may be periods of time when access to the capital markets is limited for all issuers. The ratings currently assigned by the largest of the national ratings agencies to the Company's senior unsecured long-term debt is split between investment grade (two ratings agencies) and non-investment grade (one rating agency). As a "split rated credit", the Company's ability to issue long-term debt may be limited. The market into which split rated debt is offered can be very volatile and can be unavailable for periods of time. As a result, it may be more difficult for the Company to quickly access the long-term debt market and any debt issued may be more costly, which may impact the Company's operating and financial flexibility.

The Company may from time to time seek to retire certain of its outstanding debt through open market cash purchases, privately-negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, the Company's liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

*Payment of Dividends:* During the first nine months of 2010, the Company did not pay cash dividends to holders of its common stock. During the first nine months of 2009, the Company paid \$114 million in cash dividends to holders of its common stock, all of which was paid during the first quarter of 2009, related to the payment of a dividend declared in November 2008. In February 2009, the Company announced that its Board of Directors suspended the declaration of quarterly cash dividends on the Company's common stock.

***Credit Facilities***

The Company has a domestic syndicated revolving credit facility (as amended from time to time, the "Credit Facility") that is scheduled to mature in December 2011. The size of the Credit Facility is the lesser of: (1) \$1.5 billion, or (2) an amount determined based on eligible domestic accounts receivable and inventory. If the Company elects to borrow under the Credit Facility, only then and not before, it would be required to pledge its domestic accounts receivables and, at its option, domestic inventory. The Credit Facility does not require the Company to meet any financial covenants unless remaining availability under the Credit Facility is less than \$225 million. In addition, until borrowings are made under the Credit Facility, the Company is able to use its working capital assets in any capacity in conjunction with other capital market funding alternatives that may be available to the Company. The Company has never borrowed under this Credit Facility or predecessor domestic syndicated revolving credit facilities.

***Long-Term Customer Financing Commitments***

*Outstanding Commitments:* Certain purchasers of the Company's infrastructure equipment may request that the Company provide long-term financing (defined as financing with a term of greater than one year) in connection with the sale of equipment. These requests may include all or a portion of the purchase price of the equipment. The Company's obligation to provide long-term financing may be conditioned on the issuance of a letter of credit in favor of the Company by a reputable bank to support the purchaser's credit or a pre-existing commitment from a reputable bank to purchase the long-term receivables from the Company. The Company had outstanding commitments to provide long-term financing to third parties totaling \$297 million and \$444 million at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$45 million and \$13 million were supported by letters of credit or by bank commitments to purchase long-term receivables at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The majority of the outstanding commitments at October 2, 2010 are to a small number of network operators in the Middle East region. In response to the recent tightening in the credit markets, certain customers of the Company have requested financing in connection with equipment purchases, and these types of requests have increased in volume and scope.

*Guarantees of Third-Party Debt:* In addition to providing direct financing to certain equipment customers, the Company also assists customers in obtaining financing directly from banks and other sources to fund equipment purchases. The Company had committed to provide

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

financial guarantees relating to customer financing totaling \$31 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (including \$28 million and \$27 million at October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, relating to the sale of short-term receivables). Customer

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
 46 OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF  
 OPERATIONS

financing guarantees outstanding were \$4 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (including \$2 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, relating to the sale of short-term receivables).

*Outstanding Long-Term Receivables:* The Company had net long-term receivables of \$209 million (net of allowances for losses of \$3 million) at October 2, 2010, compared to net long-term receivables of \$117 million (net of allowances for losses of \$9 million) at December 31, 2009. These long-term receivables are generally interest bearing, with interest rates ranging from 2% to 12%.

***Sales of Receivables***

From time to time, the Company sells accounts receivable and long-term receivables in transactions that qualify as "true-sales." Certain of these accounts receivable and long-term receivables are sold to third parties on a one-time, non-recourse basis, while others are sold to third parties under committed facilities that involve contractual commitments from these parties to purchase qualifying receivables up to an outstanding monetary limit. Committed facilities may be revolving in nature and, typically, must be renewed annually. The Company may or may not retain the obligation to service the sold accounts receivable and long-term receivables.

As of October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had a \$200 million revolving facility for the sale of accounts receivable due from U.S. customers of our Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment, of which \$0 million and \$60 million, respectively, were utilized.

Under the terms of the facility, which was amended in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company is required to sell its entire portfolio of outstanding accounts receivable from its U.S. customers of the direct and indirect channel government and public safety business. The initial cash proceeds received by the Company for the sale of these receivables is capped at the lower of eligible receivables less reserves or \$200 million. The Company may also elect, at its option, to receive initial cash proceeds less than the cap. The remaining proceeds due to the Company for the receivables sold in excess of the initial cash proceeds are deferred until the receivables are collected.

Total sales of accounts receivable and long-term receivables were \$490 million and \$383 million during the three month periods ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, respectively, and \$1.5 billion and \$1.0 billion for the nine month periods ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, respectively. During the three month period ended October 2, 2010, cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold during the period were \$212 million, and cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold in the prior period were \$319 million. During the three month period ended October 2, 2009, cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold were \$383 million. During the nine month periods ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009, cash proceeds related to accounts receivable sold were \$1.2 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively. As of October 2, 2010, the Company is due \$278 million under the deferred payment provisions of the committed facility discussed above. As of October 2, 2010, the Company retained servicing obligations for \$355 million of sold accounts receivables and \$261 million of sold long-term receivables. As of December 31, 2009, the Company retained servicing obligation for \$195 million of sold accounts receivables and \$297 million of sold long-term receivables at December 31, 2009.

Under certain arrangements, the value of accounts receivable sold is covered by credit insurance purchased from third-party insurance companies, less deductibles or self-insurance requirements under the insurance policies. The Company's total credit exposure, less insurance coverage, to outstanding accounts receivables that have been sold was \$27 million at both October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

***Other Contingencies***

*Potential Contractual Damage Claims in Excess of Underlying Contract Value:* In certain circumstances, our businesses may enter into contracts with customers pursuant to which the damages that could be claimed by the other party for failed performance might exceed the revenue the Company receives from the contract. Contracts with these types of uncapped damage provisions are fairly rare, but individual contracts could still represent meaningful risk. There is a possibility that a damage claim by a counterparty to one of these contracts could result in expenses to the Company that are far in excess of the revenue received from the counterparty in connection with the contract.

*Indemnification Provisions:* In addition, the Company may provide indemnifications for losses that result from the breach of general warranties contained in certain commercial, intellectual property and divestiture agreements. Historically, the Company has not made significant payments under these agreements, nor have there been significant claims asserted against the Company. However, there is an increasing risk in

relation to

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 47

intellectual property indemnities given the current legal climate. In indemnification cases, payment by the Company is conditioned on the other party making a claim pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract, which procedures typically allow the Company to challenge the other party's claims. Further, the Company's obligations under agreements for indemnification based on breach of representations and warranties are generally limited in terms of duration, typically not more than 24 months, and for amounts not in excess of the contract value, and in some instances the Company may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by the Company.

*Intellectual Property Matters:* During the three months ended October 2, 2010, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with another company to resolve certain intellectual property disputes. As a result of the settlement agreement, the Company received \$65 million in cash and was assigned certain patent properties. The settlement is subject to the dismissal of existing arbitration between the parties by a third-party panel. The dismissal by the third-party panel is expected during the three months ended December 31, 2010; in such event, the Company will record a gain for the cash consideration received plus the fair value of the assigned patent properties.

*Legal Matters:* The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits, claims and actions, which arise in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

## Segment Information

The following commentary should be read in conjunction with the financial results of each reporting segment for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 and October 3, 2009 as detailed in Note 12, "Segment Information," of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

### Mobile Devices Segment

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>			<i>Nine months Ended</i>		
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% Change</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% Change</i>
Segment net sales	\$ 2,034	\$ 1,692	20%	\$ 5,399	\$ 5,322	1%
Operating loss	(43)	(216)	80%	(148)	(1,048)	86%

For the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 42% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 39% in the third quarter of 2009. For the first nine months of 2010 and 2009, the segment's net sales represented 40% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

### *Three months ended October 2, 2010 compared to three months ended October 3, 2009*

In the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$2.0 billion, an increase of 20% compared to net sales of \$1.7 billion in the third quarter of 2009. The 20% increase in net sales was primarily driven by a 78% increase in average selling price ("ASP"), partially offset by a 33% decrease in unit shipments. The segment's unit shipments were negatively impacted by a decreased focus on the feature phone portfolio and limited product offerings in the very low-tier, partially offset by higher unit shipments of smartphones. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in North America, the Europe, Middle East and Africa region ("EMEA") and Asia, partially offset by decreased net sales in Latin America.

The segment had an operating loss of \$43 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to an operating loss of \$216 million in the third quarter of 2009. The decrease in the operating loss was primarily due to an increase in gross margin driven by: (i) the 20% increase in net sales, (ii) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased sales volume of smartphone devices, and (iii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009. Partially offsetting the increase in gross margin was higher selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses. As a percentage of net sales in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses

increased and research and development ("R&D") expenditures decreased.

---



Table of Contents

	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
48	OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The segment's industry typically experiences short life cycles for new products. Therefore, it is vital to the segment's success that new, compelling products are continually introduced. Accordingly, a strong commitment to R&D is required and, even amidst challenging global economic conditions, the segment expects to continue to make the appropriate investments to develop a differentiated product portfolio and fuel long-term growth.

Unit shipments in the third quarter of 2010 were 9.1 million units, a 33% decrease compared to shipments of 13.6 million units in the third quarter of 2009 and a 10% increase sequentially compared to shipments of 8.3 million units in the second quarter of 2010. Android-based smartphone shipments in the third quarter of 2010 were 3.8 million, a 41% increase sequentially compared to shipments of 2.7 million in the second quarter of 2010.

In the third quarter of 2010, ASP increased approximately 78% compared to the third quarter of 2009 and increased approximately 8% compared to the second quarter of 2010. The increase in ASP in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the second quarter of 2010 was driven by a more favorable product mix. ASP is impacted by numerous factors, including product mix, market conditions and competitive product offerings, and ASP trends often vary over time.

***Nine months ended October 2, 2010 compared to nine months ended October 3, 2009***

In the first nine months of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$5.4 billion, an increase of 1% compared to net sales of \$5.3 billion in the first nine months of 2009. The 1% increase in net sales was primarily driven by a 67% increase in ASP, partially offset by a 40% decrease in unit shipments. The segment's unit shipments were negatively impacted by a decreased focus on the feature phone portfolio and limited product offerings in the very low-tier, partially offset by higher unit shipments of smartphones. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in North America and EMEA, partially offset by decreased net sales in Latin America and Asia.

The segment incurred an operating loss of \$148 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to an operating loss of \$1.0 billion in the first nine months of 2009. The decrease in the operating loss was primarily due to an increase in gross margin driven by: (i) a favorable product mix, specifically due to increased sales volume of smartphone devices, (ii) lower excess inventory and other related charges in 2010 than in 2009, and (iii) the 1% increase in net sales. Also contributing to the decrease in the operating loss were: (i) \$228 million of gains related to legal settlements, (ii) lower reorganization of business charges, and (iii) lower R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives, partially offset by higher SG&A expenses. As a percentage of net sales in the first nine months of 2010 as compared to the first nine months of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased and R&D expenditures decreased.

Unit shipments in the first nine months of 2010 were 26.0 million units, a 40% decrease compared to shipments of 43.1 million units in the first nine months of 2009. Android-based smartphone shipments in the first nine months of 2010 were 8.8 million. In the first nine months of 2010, ASP increased approximately 67% compared to the first nine months of 2009 driven by favorable product mix towards smartphones. ASP is impacted by numerous factors, including product mix, market conditions and competitive product offerings, and ASP trends often vary over time.

**Home Segment**

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>			<i>Nine months Ended</i>		
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% Change</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% Change</i>
Segment net sales	\$ 912	\$ 866	5%	\$ 2,636	\$ 2,904	(9)%
Operating earnings	49	20	145%	98	41	139%

For the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 19% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 20% in the third quarter of 2009. For the first nine months of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 19% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 22% in the first nine months of 2009.



## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 49

#### *Three months ended October 2, 2010 compared to three months ended October 3, 2009*

In the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$912 million, an increase of 5% compared to net sales of \$866 million in the third quarter of 2009. The 5% increase in net sales in the Home segment reflects higher net sales of video and access infrastructure equipment. Net sales of set-top boxes remained consistent as the 5% increase in shipments of set-top boxes to 3.5 million units was offset by a lower ASP due to competitive pricing pressures.

Shipments of high definition ("HD") set-top units increased significantly, primarily due to higher shipments to large telecommunication and cable operators in North America as a result of increased demand. In addition to the increase in unit shipments of HD set-tops, HD/digital video recording (together, "HD/DVR") unit shipments also increased, but to a lesser extent. Partially offsetting these increases is a significant decrease in shipments of standard definition ("SD") set-top units due to lower demand.

On a geographic basis, net sales increased in Latin America and Asia and decreased in EMEA. Net sales in North America were consistent compared to the year-ago quarter. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 76% of the segment's net sales in the third quarter of 2010, compared to approximately 80% in the third quarter of 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$49 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$20 million in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by the increase in net sales, and (ii) a decrease in R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives. As a percentage of net sales in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009, gross margin increased and SG&A expenses and R&D expenditures decreased.

#### *Nine months ended October 2, 2010 compared to nine months ended October 3, 2009*

In the first nine months of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$2.6 billion, a decrease of 9% compared to net sales of \$2.9 billion in the first nine months of 2009. The 9% decrease in net sales in the Home segment is primarily attributable to a 20% decrease in net sales of set-top boxes, reflecting: (i) a 13% decrease in shipments of set-top boxes to 9.9 million units, and (ii) a lower ASP due to competitive pricing pressures. The decrease in net sales of set-top boxes was partially offset by higher net sales of video and access infrastructure equipment.

Shipments of SD set-top units decreased significantly, primarily due to lower shipments to large telecommunication and cable operators in North America as a result of lower demand. In addition to the decrease in unit shipments of SD set-tops, HD set-top unit shipments also decreased, but to a lesser extent. The decrease in unit shipments of SD and HD set-tops was partially offset by an increase in HD/DVR set-top unit shipments due to increased demand for DVR capabilities.

On a geographic basis, net sales decreased in North America, Asia and EMEA and increased in Latin America. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 73% of the segment's net sales in the first nine months of 2010, compared to approximately 78% in the first nine months of 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$98 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$41 million in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to (i) a decrease in R&D expenditures, reflecting savings from cost-reduction initiatives, and (ii) an increase in gross margin, driven by a favorable product margin mix across product lines. As a percentage of net sales in the first nine months of 2010 as compared to the first nine months of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased while R&D expenditures decreased.

#### **Enterprise Mobility Solutions Segment**

	<i>Three Months Ended</i>			<i>Nine months Ended</i>		
	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% Change</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>October 3, 2009</i>	<i>% Change</i>
Segment net sales	\$ 1,946	\$ 1,793	9%	\$ 5,613	\$ 5,188	8%
	253	223	13%	612	454	35%

Operating  
earnings

---

Table of Contents

	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
50	OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 40% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 41% in the third quarter of 2009. For the first nine months of 2010, the segment's net sales represented 41% of the Company's consolidated net sales, compared to 39% in the first nine months of 2009.

***Three months ended October 2, 2010 compared to three months ended October 3, 2009***

In the third quarter of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$1.9 billion, a 9% increase compared to net sales of \$1.8 billion in the third quarter of 2009. The 9% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 21% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 1% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. On a geographic basis, net sales increased in all regions. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 59% and 60% of the segment's net sales in the third quarter of 2010 and the third quarter of 2009, respectively.

The segment had operating earnings of \$253 million in the third quarter of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$223 million in the third quarter of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by the 9% increase in net sales, and (ii) a \$37 million gain related to an intellectual property reserve adjustment, partially offset by: (i) increased SG&A expenses primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales, and (ii) an increase in R&D expenditures primarily due to investment in next-generation technologies. As a percentage of net sales in the third quarter of 2010 as compared to the third quarter of 2009, gross margin decreased slightly, SG&A expenses increased, and R&D expenditures remained flat.

***Nine months ended October 2, 2010 compared to nine months ended October 3, 2009***

In the first nine months of 2010, the segment's net sales were \$5.6 billion, an 8% increase compared to net sales of \$5.2 billion in the first nine months of 2009. The 8% increase in net sales in the Enterprise Mobility Solutions segment reflects a 17% increase in net sales to the commercial enterprise market and a 3% increase in net sales to the government and public safety market. The increase in net sales for the segment reflects higher net sales in all regions. Net sales in North America continued to comprise a significant portion of the segment's business, accounting for approximately 58% of the segment's net sales in the first nine months of 2010, and approximately 59% in the first nine months of 2009.

The segment had operating earnings of \$612 million in the first nine months of 2010, compared to operating earnings of \$454 million in the first nine months of 2009. The increase in operating earnings was primarily due to: (i) an increase in gross margin, driven by the 8% increase in net sales and a favorable product mix, and (ii) a \$37 million gain related to an intellectual property reserve adjustment, partially offset by: (i) increased SG&A expenses primarily due to increased selling and marketing expenses related to the increase in net sales, and (ii) an increase in R&D expenditures primarily due to investment in next-generation technologies. As a percentage of net sales in the first nine months of 2010 as compared to the first nine months of 2009, gross margin and SG&A expenses increased slightly, and R&D expenditures decreased.

**Significant Accounting Policies**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience, current economic and industry conditions and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. This forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes the following significant accounting policies require significant judgment and estimates:

Revenue recognition

Inventory valuation

Income taxes

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	51
---	----

Valuation of the Sigma Fund and investment portfolios

Restructuring activities

Retirement-related benefits

Valuation and recoverability of goodwill and long-lived assets

The following discussion addresses a change in the Company's revenue recognition accounting policy during the first quarter of 2010.

***Revenue Recognition***

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance which amended the accounting standards for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The new guidance changes the criteria required to separate deliverables into separate units of accounting when they are sold in a bundled arrangement and requires an entity to allocate an arrangement's consideration using estimated selling prices ("ESP") of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") or third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE"). The new guidance also eliminates the use of the residual method to allocate an arrangement's consideration.

In October 2009, the FASB also issued new guidance to remove from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality.

The new accounting guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after June 15, 2010. The standards permit prospective or retrospective adoption as well as early adoption. The Company elected to early adopt this guidance at the beginning of its first quarter of fiscal 2010 on a prospective basis for applicable arrangements that were entered into or materially modified after January 1, 2010.

The Company's material revenue streams are the result of a wide range of activities, from the delivery of stand-alone equipment to custom design and installation over a period of time to bundled sales of devices, equipment, software and services. The Company enters into revenue arrangements that may consist of multiple deliverables of its product and service offerings due to the needs of its customers. Additionally, many of the Company's products have both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility of the sales price is reasonably assured. In addition to these general revenue recognition criteria, the following specific revenue recognition policies are followed:

*Products and Equipment* For product and equipment sales, revenue recognition generally occurs when products or equipment have been shipped, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, objective evidence exists that customer acceptance provisions have been met, no significant obligations remain and allowances for discounts, price protection, returns and customer incentives can be reliably estimated. Recorded revenues are reduced by these allowances. The Company bases its estimates of these allowances on historical experience taking into consideration the type of products sold, the type of customer, and the specific type of transaction in each arrangement. Where customer incentives cannot be reliably estimated, the Company recognizes revenue at the time the product sells through the distribution channel to the end customer.

*Long-Term Contracts* For long-term contracts that involve customization of the Company's equipment or software, the Company generally recognizes revenue using the percentage of completion method based on the percentage of costs incurred to date compared to the total estimated costs to complete the contract. In certain instances, when revenues or costs associated with long-term contracts cannot be reliably estimated or the contract contains other inherent uncertainties, revenues and costs are deferred until the project is complete and customer acceptance is obtained. When current estimates of total contract revenue and contract costs indicate a contract loss, the loss is recognized in the period it becomes evident.

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

*Services* Revenue for services is generally recognized ratably over the contract term as services are performed.

*Software and Licenses* Revenue from pre-paid perpetual licenses is recognized at the inception of the arrangement, presuming all other relevant revenue recognition criteria are met. Revenue from non-perpetual licenses or term licenses is recognized ratably over the period that the licensee uses the license. Revenue from

---



Table of Contents

	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
52	OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

software maintenance, technical support and unspecified upgrades is generally recognized over the period that these services are delivered.

*Multiple-Element Arrangements* Arrangements with customers may include multiple deliverables, including any combination of products, equipment, services and software. These multiple element arrangements could also include an element accounted for as a long-term contract coupled with other products, equipment, services and software. For the Company's multiple-element arrangements where at least one of the deliverables is not subject to existing software revenue recognition guidance, deliverables are separated into more than one unit of accounting when (i) the delivered element(s) have value to the customer on a stand-alone basis, and (ii) delivery of the undelivered element(s) is probable and substantially in the control of the Company. Based on the new accounting guidance adopted January 1, 2010, revenue is then allocated to each unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each unit of accounting based first on VSOE if it exists, based next on TPE if VSOE does not exist, and, finally, if both VSOE and TPE do not exist, based on ESP.

**VSOE** In many instances, products are sold separately in stand-alone arrangements as customers may support the products themselves or purchase support on a time and materials basis. Additionally, advanced services such as general consulting, network management or advisory projects are often sold in stand-alone engagements. Technical support services are also often sold separately through renewals of annual contracts. The Company determines VSOE based on its normal pricing and discounting practices for the specific product or service when sold separately. In determining VSOE, the Company requires that a substantial majority of the selling prices for a product or service fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range, generally evidenced by the pricing rates of approximately 80% of such historical stand-alone transactions falling within plus or minus 15% of the median rate. In addition, the Company considers the geographies in which the products or services are sold, major product and service groups, customer classification, and other environmental or marketing variables in determining VSOE.

**TPE** VSOE generally exists only when the Company sells the deliverable separately. When VSOE does not exist, the Company attempts to determine TPE based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Generally, the Company's go-to-market strategy for many of its products differs from that of its peers and its offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality sold by other companies cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, the Company is typically not able to determine TPE.

**ESP** The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. When both VSOE and TPE do not exist, the Company determines ESP for the arrangement element by first collecting all reasonably available data points including sales, cost and margin analysis of the product, and other inputs based on the Company's normal pricing practices. Second, the Company makes any reasonably required adjustments to the data based on market and Company-specific factors. Third, the Company stratifies the data points, when appropriate, based on customer, magnitude of the transaction and sales volume.

Once elements of an arrangement are separated into more than one unit of accounting, revenue is recognized for each separate unit of accounting based on the nature of the revenue as described above.

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may also contain a stand-alone software deliverable that is subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. The revenue for these multiple-element arrangements is allocated to the software deliverable and the non-software deliverable(s) based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue accounting guidance. In circumstances where the Company cannot determine VSOE or TPE of the selling price for all of the deliverables in the arrangement, including the software deliverable, ESP is used for the purpose of allocating the arrangement consideration.

The Company's arrangements with multiple deliverables may be comprised entirely of deliverables that are all still subject to the existing software revenue recognition guidance. For these arrangements, revenue is allocated to the deliverables based on VSOE. Should VSOE not exist for the undelivered software element, revenue is deferred until either the undelivered element is delivered or VSOE is established for the element, whichever occurs first. When the fair value of a delivered element has not been established, but fair value exists for the undelivered elements, the Company uses the residual method to recognize revenue if the fair value of all undelivered elements is determinable. Under the

residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the

---

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	53
---	----

remaining portion of the arrangement consideration is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue.

Based on the Company's current sales strategies, the newly adopted accounting guidance for revenue recognition is not expected to have a significant effect on the timing and pattern of revenue recognition for sales in periods after the initial adoption when applied to multiple-element arrangements, except for the continued impact on smartphone revenue recognition. However, the Company expects that this new accounting guidance will facilitate the Company's efforts to optimize its product and service offerings due to better alignment of the economics of an arrangement and the related accounting treatment. This may lead to the Company's engaging in new sales practices in the future. As these go-to-market strategies evolve, the Company may modify its pricing practices in the future, which could result in changes in selling prices, including both VSOE and ESP. As a result, the Company's future revenue recognition for multiple-element arrangements could differ materially from the results reported in the current period. The Company is currently unable to determine the impact that the newly adopted accounting guidance for revenue recognition could have on its reported revenue as these go-to-market strategies evolve.

Changes in costs estimates and the fair values of certain deliverables could negatively impact the Company's operating results. In addition, unforeseen conditions could arise over the contract term that may have a significant impact on operating results.

---

Table of Contents

54

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk****Derivative Financial Instruments***Foreign Currency Risk*

The Company uses financial instruments to reduce its overall exposure to the effects of currency fluctuations on cash flows. The Company's policy prohibits speculation in financial instruments for profit on exchange rate price fluctuations, trading in currencies for which there are no underlying exposures, or entering into transactions for any currency to intentionally increase the underlying exposure. Instruments that are designated as part of a hedging relationship must be effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and are designated as part of a hedging relationship at the inception of the contract. Accordingly, changes in the market values of hedge instruments must be highly correlated with changes in market values of the underlying hedged items both at the inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract.

The Company's strategy related to foreign exchange exposure management is to offset the gains or losses on the financial instruments against losses or gains on the underlying operational cash flows or investments based on the operating business units' assessment of risk. The Company enters into derivative contracts for some of the Company's non-functional currency receivables and payables, which are primarily denominated in major currencies that can be traded on open markets. The Company typically uses forward contracts and options to hedge these currency exposures. In addition, the Company enters into derivative contracts for some forecasted transactions, which are designated as part of a hedging relationship if it is determined that the transaction qualifies for hedge accounting under the provisions of the authoritative accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities. A portion of the Company's exposure is from currencies that are not traded in liquid markets and these are addressed, to the extent reasonably possible, by managing net asset positions, product pricing and component sourcing.

At October 2, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding foreign exchange contracts, including held for sale amounts, with notional values totaling \$1.3 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Management believes that these financial instruments should not subject the Company to undue risk due to foreign exchange movements because gains and losses on these contracts should generally offset losses and gains on the underlying assets, liabilities and transactions, except for the ineffective portion of the instruments, which are charged to Other within Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The following table shows the five largest net notional amounts of the positions to buy or sell foreign currency as of October 2, 2010 and the corresponding positions as of December 31, 2009:

	<i>Notional Amount</i>	
<i>Net Buy (Sell) by Currency</i>	<i>October 2, 2010</i>	<i>December 31, 2009</i>
Euro	\$ (357)	\$ (377)
Brazilian Real	(333)	(342)
Chinese Renminbi	(319)	(297)
Japanese Yen	(43)	(236)
British Pound	119	143

*Interest Rate Risk*

At October 2, 2010, the Company's short-term debt consisted primarily of \$5 million of short-term variable rate foreign debt. At October 2, 2010, the Company has \$3.4 billion of long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, which is primarily priced at long-term, fixed interest rates.

As part of its liability management program, one of the Company's European subsidiaries has an outstanding interest rate agreement ("Interest Agreement") relating to a Euro-denominated loan. The interest on the Euro-denominated loan is variable. The Interest Agreement changes the characteristics of interest rate payments from variable to maximum fixed-rate payments. The Interest Agreement is not accounted for as a part of a hedging relationship and, accordingly, the changes in the fair value of the Interest Agreement is included in Other income (expense) in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. At October 2, 2010 and



Table of Contents

December 31, 2009, the fair value of the Interest Agreement put the Company in a liability position of \$5 million and \$4 million, respectively.

***Counterparty Risk***

The use of derivative financial instruments exposes the Company to counterparty credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. However, the Company's risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments when the derivative is in an asset position. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk. At present time, all of the counterparties have investment grade credit ratings. The Company is not exposed to material credit risk with any single counterparty. As of October 2, 2010, the Company was exposed to an aggregate credit risk of \$2 million with all counterparties.

***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

The Company's financial instruments include cash equivalents, Sigma Fund investments, short-term investments, accounts receivable, long-term receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, derivative financial instruments and other financing commitments. The Company's Sigma Fund, available-for-sale investment portfolios and derivative financial instruments are recorded in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value. All other financial instruments, with the exception of long-term debt, are carried at cost, which is not materially different than the instruments' fair values.

Using quoted market prices and market interest rates, the Company determined that the fair value of long-term debt at October 2, 2010 was \$3.5 billion, compared to a face value of \$3.3 billion. Since considerable judgment is required in interpreting market information, the fair value of the long-term debt is not necessarily indicative of the amount which could be realized in a current market exchange.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

Except for historical matters, the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable federal securities law. These statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and generally include words such as "believes", "expects", "intends", "anticipates", "estimates" and similar expressions. We can give no assurance that any future results or events discussed in these statements will be achieved. Any forward-looking statements represent our views only as of today and should not be relied upon as representing our views as of any subsequent date. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the statements contained in this Form 10-Q. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements included in: (1) the Executive Summary under "Recent Developments" and "Looking Forward," about: (a) the creation of two independent public companies, the expected form, tax-free nature and results of the separation, (b) the sale of the majority of the assets and liabilities of our Networks business, including the anticipated closing dates and the satisfaction of the conditions to closing, including the receipt of regulatory approvals; (c) our business strategies and expected results, (c) our industry and market expectations including demand levels and customer priorities for each of our businesses, (d) the timing and impact of new product launches, and (e) adequacy of liquidity, and (2) "Management's Discussion and Analysis," about: (a) future payments, charges, use of accruals and expected cost-saving benefits associated with our reorganization of business programs and employee separation costs, (b) the Company's ability and cost to repatriate funds, (c) expected quarterly sales of accounts receivable, (d) the impact of the timing and level of sales and the geographic location of such sales, (e) expectations for the Sigma Fund and other investments, (f) future cash contributions to pension plans or retiree health benefit plans, (g) purchase obligation payments, (h) the Company's ability and cost to access the capital markets, (i) the Company's plans with respect to the level of outstanding debt, (j) expected payments pursuant to commitments under long-term agreements, (k) the expected dismissal of an arbitration claim in connection with certain intellectual property disputes, (l) the outcome of ongoing and future legal proceedings, (m) the completion and impact of pending acquisitions and divestitures, (n) the impact of recent accounting pronouncements on the Company, (o) the creation of two independent, public companies and expected results; (p) the sale of the majority of the assets and liabilities of our Networks business (3) "Legal Proceedings," about the ultimate disposition of pending legal matters, and (4) "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk," about: (a) the impact of foreign currency exchange risks, (b) future hedging activity and expectations of the Company, and (c) the ability of counterparties to financial instruments to perform their obligations. Motorola undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement or risk factor, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

56

*Some of the risk factors that affect the Company's business and financial results are discussed herein, in Part II "Item 1A: Risk Factors," as well as in Part I, "Item 1A: Risk Factors" on pages 17 through 29 of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in Part II, "Item 1A, Risk Factors" on pages 58 and 59 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 3, 2010, and in our other SEC filings available for free on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) and on Motorola's website at [www.motorola.com](http://www.motorola.com). We wish to caution the reader that the risk factors discussed in each of these documents and those described in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements.*

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* Under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our chief executive officers and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officers and chief financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to Motorola, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to Motorola's management, including our chief executive officers and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.* There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended October 2, 2010, that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

---

**Part II Other Information****Item 1. Legal Proceedings*****Groussman v. Motorola et al. and Orlando v. Motorola et al. ERISA Class Action Cases***

Two purported class action lawsuits on behalf of all participants in or beneficiaries of the Motorola 401(k) Plan (the "Plan") between July 1, 2007 and the present and whose accounts included investments in Motorola stock, *Joe M. Groussman v. Motorola, Inc. et al.* and *Angelo W. Orlando v. Motorola, Inc. et al.*, were filed against the Company and certain current and former officers, directors, and employees of the Company, the Motorola 401(k) Plan Committee, the Advisory Committee of Motorola and other unnamed defendants on February 10, 2010, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. On May 20, 2010, the court ordered the cases to be consolidated. On July 16, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint. The amended complaint added as defendants additional current and former employees, the Compensation and Leadership Committee of Motorola, and the Motorola Retirement Benefits Committee, and deleted the Advisory Committee of Motorola as a defendant. The amended complaint also reduced the class period to run from July 1, 2007 to December 31, 2008. The consolidated amended complaint alleges violations of Sections 404 and 405 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). The primary claims in the amended complaint are that, in connection with alleged incorrect statements concerning Motorola's financial projections and demand for Motorola phones during the class period, various of the defendants failed to prudently and loyally manage the Plan by continuing to offer Motorola stock as a Plan investment option, failed to provide complete and accurate information regarding the performance of Motorola stock to the Plan's participants and beneficiaries, failed to avoid conflicts of interest, and/or failed to monitor the Plan fiduciaries. The amended complaint seeks unspecified damages and other relief relating to the purported losses to the Plan and individual participant accounts. On October 7, 2010, the court dismissed the Retirement Benefits Committee as a defendant.

***St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund Securities Class Action Case and Related Derivative Matter***

A purported class action lawsuit on behalf of the purchasers of Motorola securities between December 6, 2007 and January 23, 2008, *St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Fund v. Motorola, Inc., et al.*, was filed against the Company and certain current and former officers and directors of the Company on January 21, 2010, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. In an amended complaint filed on June 11, 2010, the class period was expanded to apply to purchasers of Motorola securities between October 25, 2007 and January 23, 2008. The amended complaint alleges violations of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as, in the case of the individual defendants, the control person provisions of the Securities Exchange Act. The primary factual allegations are that the defendants knowingly or recklessly made materially misleading statements concerning Motorola's financial projections and sales demand for Motorola phones during the class period. The amended complaint seeks unspecified damages and other relief relating to the purported inflation in the price of Motorola shares during the class period.

In addition, on April 2, 2010, *Waber v. Dorman, et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois as a purported derivative action on behalf of Motorola against certain of its current and former officers and directors. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on July 28, 2010. The amended complaint makes similar factual allegations to those made in the amended *St. Lucie* complaint and asserts causes of action for breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment. The amended *Waber* complaint seeks unspecified damages associated with the alleged loss to the Company deriving from the defendants' actions.

***Microsoft Corporation v. Motorola, Inc.***

On October 1, 2010, Microsoft Corporation filed actions in the International Trade Commission ("ITC") and the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington ("District Court") alleging patent infringement against Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. The complaints allege infringement of nine patents based on Motorola, Inc.'s and Motorola Mobility, Inc.'s manufacture, use, importation, and offering for sale of Android-based mobile phones. The ITC complaint seeks exclusion and cease and desist orders. The District Court complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief.



Table of Contents

58

***Motorola Mobility, Inc. v Apple Inc.***

On October 6, 2010, Motorola Mobility, Inc. filed a complaint for patent infringement against Apple Inc. with the United States International Trade Commission. The matter is entitled *In the Matter of Certain Wireless Communication Devices, Portable Music and Data Processing Devices, Computers and Components Thereof*. The complaint alleges infringement of claims in six patents. The complaint alleges that Apple Inc. directly infringes, contributorily infringes and/or induces others to infringe the patents-in-suit by importing and selling in the United States after importation certain wireless communication devices, portable music and data processing devices, computers, and components thereof without the authorization of Motorola Mobility. The complaint seeks the institution of an investigation and the issuance of an exclusion order barring from entry into the United States certain products and a cease and desist order prohibiting Apple Inc. from importing, marketing, distributing, and other related activities of certain products.

On October 6, 2010, Motorola Mobility, Inc. filed two complaints for patent infringement against Apple Inc. in *Motorola Mobility, Inc. v Apple Inc.*, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. Motorola Mobility, Inc. filed another complaint for patent infringement against Apple Inc. in *Motorola Mobility, Inc. v Apple Inc.*, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The complaints allege infringement of eighteen patents by Apple Inc. The complaints allege that Apple Inc. directly and/or indirectly infringes the patents-in-suit by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States certain products and services.

On October 8, 2010, Motorola Mobility, Inc. filed a complaint for declaratory relief against Apple Inc. and NeXT Software, Inc. in *Motorola Mobility, Inc. v Apple Inc. and NeXT Software, Inc.*, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint seeks a judgment declaring that Motorola Mobility, Inc. has not infringed, induced the infringement of, or contributed to the infringement of any valid, enforceable claim of twelve patents owned by Apple Inc. and NeXT Software, Inc.

On October 29, 2010, Apple Inc. filed two complaints for patent infringement against Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. in *Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc.*, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. The complaints allege infringement of six patents by Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. The complaints allege that Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. directly infringes, contributorily infringes and/or induces others to infringe the patents-in-suit by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States certain mobile devices and related software. The complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief.

On October 29, 2010, Apple Inc. filed a complaint for patent infringement against Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. with the United States International Trade Commission. The matter is entitled *In the Matter of Certain Mobile Devices and Related Software*. The complaint alleges infringement of three patents by Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. The complaint alleges that Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc. directly infringe, contributorily infringe and/or induce others to infringe the patents-in-suit by manufacturing, marketing and selling in the United States mobile devices, such as smartphones, and associated software, including operating systems, user interfaces, and other application software designed for use on, and loaded onto, such devices. The complaint seeks the institution of an investigation and the issuance of an exclusion order barring from entry into the United States certain mobile devices and related software and a cease and desist order prohibiting Motorola from importing, selling, transporting, and other related activities of certain mobile devices and related software.

Motorola is a defendant in various other suits, claims and investigations that arise in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of the Company's pending legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an unfavorable resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations in the periods in which the matters are ultimately resolved.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The reader should carefully consider, in connection with the other information in this report, the factors discussed below, and in Part I, "Item 1A: Risk Factors" on pages 17 through 29 of the Company's 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in Part II, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" on pages 58 and 59 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended July 3, 2010. These factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those stated in forward-looking statements contained in this document and elsewhere.

***Our future operating results depend on our ability to purchase a sufficient amount of materials, parts and components to meet the demands of our customers and any reduction or interruption in supplies or significant increase in the price of supplies could have a negative impact on our business.***

Our ability to meet customers' demands depends, in part, on our ability to obtain timely and adequate delivery of quality materials, parts and components from our suppliers. Due to increased demand for products, many electronic manufacturers are experiencing shortages for certain components. If demand for our products increases from our current expectations, we could experience shortages. We have experienced shortages in the past driven by raw material availability, manufacturing capacity, labor shortages, industry allocations, natural disasters and significant changes in the financial or business conditions of our suppliers that have negatively impacted our operations. Although we work closely with our suppliers to avoid shortages, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter shortages in the future or that such shortages will not negatively impact our operations.

Furthermore, certain of our components are available only from a single source or limited sources, such as certain specialized components for our smartphones and set-top boxes. In the event of an interruption of supply or a significant price increase from these suppliers, we may not be able to diversify sources of supply in a timely manner, which could have a negative impact on our business. In addition, our current contractual arrangements with certain suppliers may be cancelled or not extended by such suppliers and, therefore, not afford the Company with sufficient protection against a reduction or interruption in supplies. Moreover, in the event any of these single source or limited source suppliers breach their contracts with us, our legal remedies associated with such a breach may be insufficient to compensate the Company for any damages we may suffer.

***We may not be able to complete the intended distribution of Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc., which is our wholly-owned subsidiary that at the time of the distribution will hold, through its subsidiaries, the assets and liabilities associated with our Mobile Devices and Home businesses.***

On July 1, 2010, an initial registration statement on Form 10 was filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Company's planned separation into two independent, publicly traded companies. Subsequent amendments were filed on August 31, 2010 and October 8, 2010. The Mobile Devices and Home businesses are planned to be separated from Motorola, Inc. and operate as Motorola Mobility following the Distribution. We intend to effect the separation through a tax-free stock dividend of shares of Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. ("Motorola Mobility") to Motorola, Inc. shareholders. The Distribution is planned for the first quarter of 2011. Completion of the Distribution is subject to a number of conditions, including, among others, confirmation of the tax-free nature of the transaction, as well as effectiveness of the Form 10 registration statement. If we are unable to complete the Distribution, we will have incurred costs without realizing the intended benefits of the Distribution.

***Completion of the intended Distribution of Motorola Mobility may not enhance long-term shareholder value.***

Our board and management team, after consultation with independent financial and legal advisors, believe that the Distribution of Motorola Mobility as currently planned will enhance long-term shareholder value. There can be no assurance, however, that the combined value of our common stock and the common stock of Motorola Mobility will equal or exceed what the value of our common stock would have been in the absence of the Distribution, either immediately following the Distribution or in the long term. The combined value of the common stock of the two companies following the Distribution could be lower than anticipated for a variety of reasons, including, among others, the inability of Motorola Mobility to compete effectively as an independent company, realignment of the stockholder population of both Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility in the period following the Distribution, and changes in market perception of the prospects of Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility as a consequence of the Distribution.

***Following the Distribution we will be a smaller, more focused company and may be more susceptible to market fluctuations, other adverse events, increased costs and less favorable purchasing terms.***

As a large company we are able to enjoy certain benefits from operating diversity and purchasing leverage. Following the Distribution of Motorola Mobility we will be a smaller company and will operate in more focused industries. As a result there is a risk that we may be more susceptible to market fluctuations and other adverse events than we would have otherwise been were we still a part of a larger and more

## Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

operationally diverse company. In particular, we will be more susceptible to reductions in government and corporate spending as a result of our focus on government and enterprise customers. We may also experience increased costs and less favorable terms after the Distribution as a result of our inability to continue to leverage the purchasing spend of

---

Table of Contents

60

our Mobile Devices and Home businesses. Although we cannot predict the extent of any such increased costs, it is possible that such costs could have a negative impact on our business and results of operations.

***Motorola Mobility will not be assuming any of the liabilities associated with our existing public market debt, any of our pension liabilities or certain corporate litigation matters and we will continue to bear all of the risk for these liabilities following the Distribution.***

While we anticipate contributing up to \$3.5 billion of cash and cash equivalents to capitalize Motorola Mobility at the time of the separation (with the \$3.5 billion being only an estimate at this time with the amount ultimately funded to Motorola Mobility by us to be determined by our Board of Directors prior to the Distribution), following the Distribution we will remain liable for all of our existing public market debt, our pension liabilities and certain corporate litigation matters and Motorola Mobility will not provide us with any indemnification for these matters. Although we cannot fully predict the extent of these liabilities, it is possible that they could be significant and could have a negative impact on our business and results of operations.

***Following the Distribution we anticipate that a larger percentage of our cash and cash equivalents will be held outside of the United States and we will be subject to repatriation delays and costs which could reduce our financial flexibility.***

A substantial percentage of the cash and cash equivalents that we plan to contribute to Motorola Mobility will be paid in the United States, which will reduce the amount of U.S. cash and cash equivalents and therefore increase the percentage of cash and cash equivalents held by the Company or its subsidiaries in other countries when compared to the pre-contribution levels. Repatriation of some of the funds could be subject to delay for local country approvals and could have potential adverse tax consequences. As a result of having a lower amount of the cash and cash equivalents in the U.S. post the contribution to Motorola Mobility, our financial flexibility could be reduced.

***In connection with the Distribution of Motorola Mobility, Motorola Mobility will indemnify us for certain liabilities and we will indemnify Motorola Mobility for certain liabilities. This indemnity may not be sufficient to insure us against the full amount of the liabilities assumed by Motorola Mobility and Motorola Mobility may be unable to satisfy its indemnification obligations to us in the future.***

Pursuant to the Master Separation and Distribution Agreement and certain other agreements with Motorola Mobility, Motorola Mobility agreed to indemnify us from certain liabilities, and we agreed to indemnify Motorola Mobility for certain liabilities, in each case for uncapped amounts. There can be no assurance that the indemnity from Motorola Mobility will be sufficient to protect us against the full amount of such liabilities, or that Motorola Mobility will be able to fully satisfy its indemnification obligations. Third-parties could also seek to hold us responsible for any of the liabilities that Motorola Mobility has agreed to assume. Even if we ultimately succeed in recovering from Motorola Mobility any amounts for which we are held liable, we may be temporarily required to bear these losses ourselves. In addition, indemnities that we may be required to provide Motorola Mobility are not subject to any cap, may be significant and could negatively impact our business. Each of these risks could negatively affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. For more detailed information, see the Amended and Restated Master Separation and Distribution Agreement which was filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-Q.

***If the Distribution, together with certain related transactions, were to fail to qualify as a reorganization for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, then we and our stockholders could be subject to significant tax liability.***

The Distribution is conditioned upon, among other things, our receipt of either a ruling by the IRS or an opinion of counsel to the effect that the Distribution, together with certain related transactions, will qualify as a reorganization for U.S. federal income tax purposes under Sections 355 and 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code. We expect to receive an opinion from Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz that the Distribution will so qualify. Although our Board of Directors may waive this condition, we do not intend to complete the Distribution if we have not obtained such opinion. The opinion will rely on certain representations, assumptions and undertakings, including those relating to the past and future conduct of our business, and the opinion would not be valid if such representations, assumptions and undertakings were incorrect. Notwithstanding the opinion, the IRS could determine that the Distribution should be treated as a taxable transaction if it determines that any of the representations, assumptions or undertakings upon which the opinion relied is false or has been violated or if it disagrees with the conclusions in the tax opinion. If the Distribution fails to qualify for tax-free treatment, we would be subject to tax on gain, if any, as if we had sold the common stock of Motorola Mobility in a taxable



Table of Contents

sale for its fair market value. In addition, if the Distribution fails to qualify for tax-free treatment, each of our stockholders who receives shares of common stock of Motorola Mobility as a result of the Distribution would be treated as if the stockholder had received a distribution equal to the fair market value of the Motorola Mobility common stock that was distributed to the stockholder, which generally would be taxed as a dividend to the extent of the stockholder's pro rata share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits and then treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of the stockholder's basis in our common stock and finally as capital gain from the sale or exchange of our common stock. Furthermore, even if the Distribution were otherwise to qualify under Sections 355 and 368(a)(1)(D) of the Code, it may be taxable to us (but not our stockholders) under Section 355(e) of the Code, if the Distribution were later deemed to be part of a plan (or series of related transactions) pursuant to which one or more persons acquire, directly or indirectly, stock representing a 50% or greater interest in us or Motorola Mobility. For this purpose, any acquisitions of our stock or of Motorola Mobility common stock within the period beginning two years before the Distribution and ending two years after the distribution are presumed to be part of such a plan, although we or Motorola Mobility may be able to rebut that presumption. Under the Tax Sharing Agreement among Motorola Mobility, Motorola Mobility, Inc. and us, Motorola Mobility may be required to indemnify us against any tax resulting from the Distribution in certain circumstances, but there can be no assurance that the indemnity would be sufficient to cover the full amount of such liabilities, nor can there be any assurance as to Motorola Mobility's ability to satisfy its indemnification obligations in the future. In addition, under the Tax Sharing Agreement, we may be required to indemnify Motorola Mobility against any tax resulting from the Distribution in certain circumstances. For more detailed information, see the Tax Sharing Agreement which was filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-Q.

***Following the Distribution we will no longer own certain logos and other trademarks, trade names and service marks, including "MOTOROLA" and the Stylized M logo and all derivatives and formatives thereof such as MOTO ("Motorola Marks") and will license the Motorola Marks from Motorola Mobility. Our joint use of the Motorola Marks could result in product and market confusion and negatively impact our ability to expand our business under the Motorola brand. In addition, if we do not comply with the terms of the license agreement we could lose our rights to the Motorola Marks.***

If the Distribution is completed, we will have a worldwide, perpetual and royalty-free license from Motorola Mobility to use the Motorola Marks as part of our corporate name and in connection with the manufacture, sale, and marketing of our products and services. The license of the Motorola Marks is important to us because of the reputation of the Motorola brand for our products and services. Although we will continue to be able to use the Motorola Marks we will no longer own the Motorola Marks after the Distribution. There are risks associated with both Motorola Mobility and Motorola, Inc. using the Motorola Marks and with this loss of ownership. Because both Motorola Mobility and Motorola, Inc. will be using the Motorola Marks, confusion could arise in the market, including customer and investor confusion regarding the products offered by and the actions of the two companies. This risk could increase as both Motorola Mobility's and our products continue to converge. Also, any negative publicity associated with either company in the future could adversely affect the public image of the other. In addition because our license of the Motorola Marks will be limited to products and services within our specified fields of use, we will not be permitted to use the Motorola Marks in other fields of use without the approval of Motorola Mobility. In the event that we desire to expand our business into any other fields of use, we may need to do so with a brand other than Motorola. Developing a brand as well-known and with as much brand equity as Motorola could take considerable time and expense. The risk of needing to develop a second brand increases as Motorola Mobility's and our products continue to converge and as our business expands into other fields of use. In addition, we could lose our rights to use the Motorola Marks if we do not comply with the terms of the license agreement. Such a loss could negatively affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***A change of control of or bankruptcy of Motorola Mobility could result in an incompatible third-party owning the Motorola Marks or the loss of certain rights, including the license.***

Since Motorola Mobility will own the Motorola Marks, in the event Motorola Mobility is acquired the acquiring entity would gain control of the Motorola Marks. Similarly, in the event of a liquidation of Motorola Mobility it is possible that a bankruptcy court would permit the Motorola Marks to be assigned to a third-party. While our right to use the Motorola Marks under our license should continue in our specified field of use in such situations, it is possible that we could be party to a license arrangement with a third-party whose interests are incompatible with ours, thereby potentially making the license arrangement difficult to administer, and increasing the costs and risks associated with sharing the Motorola Marks. In addition, there is a risk that, in the event of a bankruptcy of Motorola Mobility, Motorola Mobility or its bankruptcy trustee may attempt to reject the license,

Table of Contents

62

or a bankruptcy court may refuse to uphold the license or certain of its terms. Such a loss could negatively affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We are contributing a significant portfolio of intellectual property rights, including patents, to Motorola Mobility and we will be unable to leverage these intellectual property rights as we currently do.***

We are contributing approximately 16,500 granted patents and approximately 8,000 pending patent applications worldwide to Motorola Mobility in connection with the Distribution. Although we will have a perpetual, royalty free license to these patents and other intellectual property rights, we will no longer own them following the Distribution. As a result we will be unable to leverage these intellectual property rights for purposes of generating licensing revenue or entering into licensing arrangements with third parties. As a result we may incur increased license fees or litigation costs. Although we cannot predict the extent of such unanticipated costs, it is possible such costs could negatively impact our financial results. These risks will increase if we are unable to complete the sale of our Networks business.

***Some contracts which will need to be assigned from us or our affiliates to Motorola Mobility or its affiliates in connection with the separation require the consent or involvement of the counterparty to such an assignment and failure to obtain consents with or a termination of the agreement by any of our large customers or suppliers, or interference by such customers or suppliers with such an assignment, could negatively impact our financial condition and future results of operations.***

The Master Separation and Distribution Agreement and various local transfer agreements provide that in connection with the separation of Motorola Mobility from us, a number of contracts with customers, suppliers, landlords and other third-parties are to be assigned from us or our affiliates to Motorola Mobility or Motorola Mobility's affiliates. However, some of these contracts require the contractual counterparty's consent to such an assignment. Similarly, in some circumstances, the Mobile Devices and/or Home business and another of our business units are joint beneficiaries of contracts, and Motorola Mobility or we will need to enter into a new agreement with the third-party to replicate the contract or assign the portion of the contract related to our respective businesses. It is possible that some parties may use the requirement of a consent or the fact that the separation is occurring to seek more favorable contractual terms from Motorola Mobility or us or seek to terminate the contract. If we are unable to complete the assignments in a timely manner, enter into new agreements on significantly less favorable terms or if the contracts are terminated, we may be unable to obtain the benefits, assets and contractual commitments which are intended to be allocated to Motorola Mobility as part of the separation from us. The failure to complete the assignment of existing contracts, or the negotiation of new agreements, with any of our large customers or key suppliers (including those that are single source or limited source suppliers), or a termination of any of those arrangements, could negatively impact our financial condition and future results of operations.

***A court could deem the Distribution to be a fraudulent conveyance and void the transaction or impose substantial liabilities upon us.***

A court could deem the Distribution or certain internal restructuring transactions undertaken by us in connection with the separation to be a fraudulent conveyance or transfer. Fraudulent conveyances or transfers are defined to include transfers made or obligations incurred with the actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud current or future creditors or transfers made or obligations incurred for less than reasonably equivalent value when the debtor was insolvent, or that rendered the debtor insolvent, inadequately capitalized or unable to pay its debts as they become due. A court could void the transactions or impose substantial liabilities upon us, which could adversely affect our financial condition and our results of operations. Whether a transaction is a fraudulent conveyance or transfer will vary depending upon the jurisdiction whose law is being applied.

***After the separation, certain of our directors and officers may have actual or potential conflicts of interest because of their equity ownership in Motorola Mobility.***

In connection with the Distribution, certain of our directors and executive officers will receive shares of Motorola Mobility common stock or rights to receive shares of Motorola Mobility common stock. This ownership may create, or, may create the appearance of, conflicts of interest when these directors and officers are faced with decisions that could have different implications for Motorola, Inc. and Motorola Mobility. For example, potential conflicts of interest could arise in connection with the resolution of any dispute between us and Motorola Mobility regarding the terms of the agreements governing the separation and the relationship thereafter between the companies. Potential conflicts of interest could also arise if we and Motorola Mobility enter into additional commercial arrangements with each other in the future.





Table of Contents

63

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

None

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.**

None

**Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)**

**Item 5. Other Information.**

None

---

Table of Contents

64

**Item 6. Exhibits**

<i>Exhibit No.</i>	<i>Exhibit</i>
10.1	Amended and Restated Master Separation and Distribution Agreement among Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.2	Amended and Restated Intellectual Property Assignment Agreement between Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)) .
10.3	Amended and Restated Intellectual Property License Agreement between Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.4	Tax Sharing Agreement among Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)) .
10.5	Amended and Restated Employee Matters Agreement among Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 2 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.6	Employment Offer Letter between Motorola, Inc., and Daniel M. Moloney, effective as of July 30, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
*10.7	Restricted Stock Unit Award for Gregory Q. Brown, granted March 6, 2006, under the Motorola, Inc. Omnibus Incentive Plan of 2002, as amended effective September 22, 2010.
*10.8	Motorola, Inc. Executive Severance Plan, as amended and restated through September 1, 2010.
*31.1	Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.3	Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.1	Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.2	Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.3	Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**101.INS	XBRL Instance Document

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

\*\*101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document  
\*\*101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document  
\*\*101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document  
\*\*101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document  
\*\*101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\*

Filed herewith

---

Table of Contents

65

\*\*

XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

MOTOROLA and the Stylized M Logo are registered in the US Patent & Trademark Office.

All other product or service names are the property of their respective owners. © 2010 Motorola, Inc. All rights reserved.

---



Table of Contents

67

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<i>Exhibit No.</i>	<i>Exhibit</i>
10.1	Amended and Restated Master Separation and Distribution Agreement among Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.2	Amended and Restated Intellectual Property Assignment Agreement between Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.3	Amended and Restated Intellectual Property License Agreement between Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.4	Tax Sharing Agreement among Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.5	Amended and Restated Employee Matters Agreement among Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola, Inc. effective as of July 31, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 2 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
10.6	Employment Offer Letter between Motorola, Inc., and Daniel M. Moloney, effective as of July 30, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Amendment No. 1 to the Form 10 Registration Statement filed by Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (formerly Motorola SpinCo Holdings Corporation) (File No. 1-34805)).
*10.7	Restricted Stock Unit Award for Gregory Q. Brown, granted March 6, 2006, under the Motorola, Inc. Omnibus Incentive Plan of 2002, as amended effective September 22, 2010.
*10.8	Motorola, Inc. Executive Severance Plan, as amended and restated through September 1, 2010.
*31.1	Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.3	Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.1	Certification of Gregory Q. Brown pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.2	Certification of Dr. Sanjay K. Jha pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.3	Certification of Edward J. Fitzpatrick pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
**101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document

Edgar Filing: UNION PACIFIC CORP - Form 10-Q

\*\*101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

\*\*101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

\*\*101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

\*\*101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

\*

Filed herewith

---

Table of Contents

68

\*\*

XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

---