HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC Form POS 8C March 21, 2014 Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2014

Securities Act File No. 333-187447

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM N-2

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

(Check appropriate box or boxes)

Pre-Effective Amendment No.

Post-Effective Amendment No. 2

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

 $(Exact\ name\ of\ Registrant\ as\ specified\ in\ charter)$

400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310

Palo Alto, CA 94301

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant s Telephone Number, including Area Code: (650) 289-3060

Manuel A. Henriquez

Chief Executive Officer

Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc.

400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310

Palo Alto, CA 94301

(Name and address of agent for service)

COPIES TO:

Cynthia M. Krus

Lisa A. Morgan

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

700 Sixth Street, N.W., Suite 700

Washington, DC 20001

APPROXIMATE DATE OF PROPOSED PUBLIC OFFERING:

As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any securities being registered on this form will be offered on a delayed or continuous basis in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan, check the following box. x

It is proposed that this filing will become effective (check appropriate box): x when declared effective pursuant to section 8(c).

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Title of Securities Being Registered	Amount	Proposed	Amount of
	Reing Registered	Maximum	Registration Fee(1)

Aggregate

Offering Price(1)

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share(2) Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share(2) Warrants(2) Subscription Rights(3) Debt Securities(4) TOTAL(5)

\$400,000,000(6)

\$13,067(7)

- (1) Estimated pursuant to Rule 457(o) solely for the purposes of determining the registration fee. The proposed maximum offering price per security will be determined, from time to time, by the Registrant in connection with the sale by the Registrant of the securities registered under this registration statement.
- (2) Subject to Note 5 below, there is being registered hereunder an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, preferred stock, or warrants as may be sold, from time to time. Warrants represent rights to purchase common stock, preferred stock or debt securities.
- (3) Subject to Note 5 below, there is being registered hereunder an indeterminate number of subscription rights as may be sold, from time to time, representing rights to purchase common stock.
- (4) Subject to Note 5 below, there is being registered hereunder an indeterminate principal amount of debt securities as may be sold, from time to time. If any debt securities are issued at an original issue discount, then the offering price shall be in such greater principal amount as shall result in an aggregate price to investors not to exceed \$400,000,000.
- (5) In no event will the aggregate offering price of all securities issued from time to time pursuant to this registration statement exceed \$400,000,000.
- (6) Prior to filing this registration statement, \$304.2 million of securities remained unsold pursuant to Registration Statement No. 333-184312, which was initially filed on October 5, 2012.
- (7) Previously paid.

THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, OR UNTIL THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, ACTING PURSUANT TO SAID SECTION 8(A), MAY DETERMINE.

Index to Financial Statements

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

PROSPECTUS (Subject to Completion)

March 21, 2014

\$400,000,000

Common Stock

Preferred Stock

Warrants

Subscription Rights

Debt Securities

This prospectus relates to the offer, from time to time, in one or more offerings or series, up to \$400,000,000 of shares of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, subscription rights or debt securities, which we refer to, collectively, as the securities. The preferred stock, debt securities, subscription rights and warrants offered hereby may be convertible or exchangeable into shares of our common stock. We may sell our securities through underwriters or dealers, at-the-market to or through a market maker into an existing trading market or otherwise directly to one or more purchasers, including existing stockholders in a rights offering, or through agents or through a combination of methods of sale, including auctions. The identities of such underwriters, dealers, market makers or agents, as the case may be, will be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. The securities may be offered at prices and on terms to be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus.

Although we are not currently authorized to issue shares of our common stock at a price below our net asset value per share, we may seek stockholder approval of this proposal again at a special meeting of stockholders or our next annual meeting of stockholders. Our Board of Directors, subject to its fiduciary duties and regulatory requirements, has the discretion to determine the amount of the discount, and as a result, the discount could be up to 100% of net asset value per share. Sales of common stock at prices below net asset value per share dilute the interests of existing stockholders, have the effect of reducing our net asset value per share and may reduce our market price per share. In the event we offer common stock, the offering price per share will not be less than the net asset value per share of our common stock at the time we make the offering except (1) in connection with a rights offering to our existing stockholders, (2) with the consent of the holders of the majority of our voting securities and approval of our board of directors, or (3) under such circumstances as the Securities and Exchange Commission may permit. See Risk Factors for more information.

We are a specialty finance company focused on providing senior secured loans to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries at all stages of development. We primarily finance privately-held companies backed by leading venture capital and private equity firms and also may finance certain publicly-traded companies that lack access to public capital or are sensitive to equity ownership dilution. We source our investments through our principal office located in Palo Alto, CA, as well as additional offices in Boston, MA, New York, NY, Boulder, CO and McLean, VA. Our goal is to be the leading structured debt financing provider of choice for venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets requiring sophisticated and customized financing solutions. We invest primarily in structured debt with warrants and, to a lesser extent, in senior debt and equity investments. We use the term—structured debt with warrants—to refer to any debt investment, such as a senior or subordinated secured loan, that is coupled with an equity component, including warrants, options or rights to purchase common or preferred stock. Our structured debt with warrants investments will typically be secured by select or all of the assets of the portfolio company. We invest primarily in private companies and to a lesser extent public companies.

Our investment objective is to maximize our portfolio total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity-related investments. We are an internally-managed, non-diversified closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol HTGC. On March 18, 2014, the last reported sale price of a share of our common stock on the NYSE, was \$14.79. The net asset value per share of our common stock at December 31, 2013 (the last date prior to the date of this prospectus on which we determined net asset value) was \$10.51.

An investment in our securities may be speculative and involves risks including a heightened risk of total loss of investment. In addition, the companies in which we invest are subject to special risks. See <u>Risk Factors</u> beginning on page 11 to read about risks that you should consider before investing in our securities, including the risk of leverage.

Please read this prospectus before investing and keep it for future reference. It contains important information about us that a prospective investor ought to know before investing in our securities. We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information about us with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information is available free of charge by contacting us at 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310, Palo Alto, California 94301 or by telephone calling collect at (650) 289-3060 or on our website at www.htgc.com. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains such information.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This prospectus may not be used to consummate sales of any securities unless accompanied by a prospectus supplement.

The date of this prospectus is 2014

Index to Financial Statements

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized any dealer, salesperson or other person to provide you with different information or to make representations as to matters not stated in this prospectus. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. This prospectus is not an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities by any person in any jurisdiction where it is unlawful for that person to make such an offer or solicitation or to any person in any jurisdiction to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation. The information in this prospectus is accurate only as of its date, and under no circumstances should the delivery of this prospectus or the sale of any securities imply that the information in this prospectus is accurate as of any later date or that the affairs of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. have not changed since the date hereof. This prospectus will be updated to reflect material changes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Summary</u>	1
Fees and Expenses	7
Selected Consolidated Financial Data	9
Risk Factors	11
Forward-Looking Statements	45
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	47
Price Range of Common Stock and Distributions	48
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	51
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	52
Business	93
Portfolio Companies	105
Senior Securities	129
<u>Management</u>	131
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	137
Executive Compensation	147
Control Persons and Principal Stockholders	168
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	170
Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations	171
Regulation	180
Determination of Net Asset Value	186
<u>Dividend Reinvestment Plan</u>	190
<u>Description of Capital Stock</u>	191
Description of Our Preferred Stock	198
Description of Our Subscription Rights	200
Description of Warrants	202
Description of Our Debt Securities	204
<u>Plan of Distribution</u>	217
Brokerage Allocation and Other Practices	219
Custodian, Transfer and Dividend Paying Agent and Registrar	219
Legal Matters	219
Experts	219
Available Information	220
Index to Financial Statements	F-1

Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., our logo and other trademarks of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. mentioned in this prospectus are the property of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. All other trademarks or trade names referred to in this prospectus are the property of their respective owners.

Index to Financial Statements

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

This prospectus is part of a registration statement that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission using the shelf registration process. Under the shelf registration process, which constitutes a delayed offering in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, we may offer, from time to time, up to \$400,000,000 of our common stock, preferred stock, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, subscription rights or debt securities on the terms to be determined at the time of the offering. We may sell our securities through underwriters or dealers, at-the-market to or through a market maker, into an existing trading market or otherwise directly to one or more purchasers, including existing stockholders in a rights offering, or through agents or through a combination of methods of sale. The identities of such underwriters, dealers, market makers or agents, as the case may be, will be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. The securities may be offered at prices and on terms described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. This prospectus provides you with a general description of the securities that we may offer. Each time we use this prospectus to offer securities, we will provide a prospectus supplement that will contain specific information about the terms of that offering. Please carefully read this prospectus and any such supplements together with the additional information described under Where You Can Find Additional Information in the Summary and Risk Factors sections before you make an investment decision.

A prospectus supplement may also add to, update or change information contained in this prospectus.

Index to Financial Statements

SUMMARY

This summary highlights some of the information in this prospectus and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For a more complete understanding of this offering, we encourage you to read this entire prospectus and the documents that are referenced in this prospectus, together with any accompanying supplements. In this prospectus, unless the context otherwise requires, the Company, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, we, us and our refer to Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and our wholly-owned subsidiaries and their affiliated securitization trusts.

Our Company

We are a specialty finance company focused on providing senior secured loans to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries at all stages of development. Our investment objective is to maximize our portfolio total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity-related investments. We are an internally-managed, non-diversified closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the 1940 Act.

As of December 31, 2013, our total assets were approximately \$1.2 billion, of which our investments comprised \$910.3 million at fair value and \$906.3 million at cost. Since inception through December 31, 2013, we have made debt and equity commitments of approximately \$4.0 billion to our portfolio companies.

We also make investments in qualifying small businesses through two wholly-owned, small business investment company, or SBIC, subsidiaries, Hercules Technology II, L.P., or HT II, and Hercules Technology III, L.P., or HT III and HT III hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets, respectively, prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013. We have issued \$225.0 million in SBA-guaranteed debentures in our SBIC subsidiaries, which is the maximum amount allowed for a group of SBICs under common control. See Regulation Small Business Administration Regulations in this prospectus for additional information regarding our SBIC subsidiaries.

Our portfolio is comprised of, and we anticipate that our portfolio will continue to be comprised of, investments in technology-related companies at various stages of development. Consistent with regulatory requirements, we invest primarily in United States based companies and to a lesser extent in foreign companies. See Regulation Qualifying Assets. As of December 31, 2013, our proprietary structured query language-based (SQL) database system included over 34,300 technology-related companies and approximately 8,800 venture capital, private equity sponsors/investors, as well as various other industry contacts. Our principal executive office is located in Palo Alto, CA, and we have additional offices in Boston, MA, New York, NY, Boulder, CO and McLean, VA.

Our goal is to be the leading structured debt financing provider of choice for venture capital and private equity backed companies in technology-related markets requiring sophisticated and customized financing solutions. Our strategy is to evaluate and invest in a broad range of companies in technology-related markets, including, technology, biotechnology, life science, and energy and renewables technology companies and to offer a full suite of growth capital products up and down the capital structure. We invest primarily in private companies and to a lesser extent public companies. We invest primarily in structured debt with warrants and, to a lesser extent, in senior debt and equity investments. We use the term—structured debt with warrants—to refer to any debt investment, such as a senior or subordinated secured loan, that is coupled with an equity component, including warrants, options or rights to purchase common or preferred stock. Our structured debt with warrants investments will typically be secured by select or all of the assets of the portfolio company.

Index to Financial Statements

We focus our investments in companies active in technology industry sub-sectors characterized by products or services that require advanced technologies, including, but not limited to, computer software and hardware, networking systems, semiconductors, semiconductor capital equipment, information technology infrastructure or services, internet consumer and business services, telecommunications, telecommunications equipment, renewable or alternative energy, media and life science. Within the life science sub-sector, we generally focus on medical devices, bio-pharmaceutical, drug discovery, drug delivery, health care services and information systems companies. Within the energy technology sub-sector, we focus on sustainable and renewable energy technologies and energy efficiency and monitoring technologies. We refer to all of these companies as technology-related companies and intend, under normal circumstances, to invest at least 80% of the value of our total assets in such businesses.

Our investment objective is to maximize our portfolio total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity-related investments. Our primary business objectives are to increase our net income, net operating income and net asset value by investing in structured debt with warrants and equity of venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets with attractive current yields and the potential for equity appreciation and realized gains. Our structured debt investments typically include warrants or other equity interests, giving us the potential to realize equity-like returns on a portion of our investments. Our equity ownership in our portfolio companies may represent a controlling interest. In some cases, we receive the right to make additional equity investments in our portfolio companies in connection with future equity financing rounds. Capital that we provide directly to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets is generally used for growth and general working capital purposes as well as in select cases for acquisitions or recapitalizations.

As of December 31, 2013, our investment professionals, including Manuel A. Henriquez, our co-founder, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, are currently comprised of 38 professionals who have, on average, more than 15 years of experience in venture capital, structured finance, commercial lending or acquisition finance with the types of technology-related companies that we are targeting. We believe that we can leverage the experience and relationships of our management team to successfully identify attractive investment opportunities, underwrite prospective portfolio companies and structure customized financing solutions.

Index to Financial Statements

Our Market Opportunity

We believe that technology-related companies compete in one of the largest and most rapidly growing sectors of the U.S. economy and that continued growth is supported by ongoing innovation and performance improvements in technology products as well as the adoption of technology across virtually all industries in response to competitive pressures. We believe that an attractive market opportunity exists for a specialty finance company focused primarily on investments in structured debt with warrants in technology-related companies for the following reasons:

Technology-related companies have generally been underserved by traditional lending sources;

Unfulfilled demand exists for structured debt financing to technology-related companies as the number of lenders has declined due to the recent financial market turmoil; and

Structured debt with warrants products are less dilutive and complement equity financing from venture capital and private equity funds.

Technology-Related Companies are Underserved by Traditional Lenders. We believe many viable technology-related companies backed by financial sponsors have been unable to obtain sufficient growth financing from traditional lenders, including financial services companies such as commercial banks and finance

companies, because traditional lenders have continued to consolidate and have adopted a more risk-averse approach to lending. More importantly, we believe traditional lenders are typically unable to underwrite the risk associated with these companies effectively.

The unique cash flow characteristics of many technology-related companies include significant research and development expenditures and high projected revenue growth thus often making such companies difficult to

Index to Financial Statements

evaluate from a credit perspective. In addition, the balance sheets of these companies often include a disproportionately large amount of intellectual property assets, which can be difficult to value. Finally, the speed of innovation in technology and rapid shifts in consumer demand and market share add to the difficulty in evaluating technology-related companies.

Due to the difficulties described above, we believe traditional lenders are generally refraining from entering the structured debt financing marketplace, instead preferring the risk-reward profile of asset based lending. Traditional lenders generally do not have flexible product offerings that meet the needs of technology-related companies. The financing products offered by traditional lenders typically impose on borrowers many restrictive covenants and conditions, including limiting cash outflows and requiring a significant depository relationship to facilitate rapid liquidation.

Unfulfilled Demand for Structured Debt Financing to Technology-Related Companies. Private debt capital in the form of structured debt financing from specialty finance companies continues to be an important source of funding for technology-related companies. We believe that the level of demand for structured debt financing is a function of the level of annual venture equity investment activity.

We believe that demand for structured debt financing is currently underserved. The venture capital market for the technology-related companies in which we invest has been active and is continuing to show signs of increased investment activity. Therefore, to the extent we have capital available, we believe this is an opportune time to be active in the structured lending market for technology-related companies.

Structured Debt with Warrants Products Complement Equity Financing From Venture Capital and Private Equity Funds. We believe that technology-related companies and their financial sponsors will continue to view structured debt securities as an attractive source of capital because it augments the capital provided by venture capital and private equity funds. We believe that our structured debt with warrants product provides access to growth capital that otherwise may only be available through incremental investments by existing equity investors. As such, we provide portfolio companies and their financial sponsors with an opportunity to diversify their capital sources. Generally, we believe technology-related companies at all stages of development target a portion of their capital to be debt in an attempt to achieve a higher valuation through internal growth. In addition, because financial sponsor-backed companies have reached a more mature stage prior to reaching a liquidity event, we believe our investments could provide the debt capital needed to grow or recapitalize during the extended period prior to liquidity events.

Our Business Strategy

Our strategy to achieve our investment objective includes the following key elements:

Leverage the Experience and Industry Relationships of Our Management Team and Investment Professionals. We have assembled a team of experienced investment professionals with extensive experience as venture capitalists, commercial lenders, and originators of structured debt and equity investments in technology-related companies.

Mitigate Risk of Principal Loss and Build a Portfolio of Equity-Related Securities. We expect that our investments have the potential to produce attractive risk adjusted returns through current income, in the form of interest and fee income, as well as capital appreciation from equity-related securities. We believe that we can mitigate the risk of loss on our debt investments through the combination of loan principal amortization, cash interest payments, relatively short maturities (generally 12-60 months), security interests in the assets of our portfolio companies, and on select investment covenants requiring prospective portfolio companies to have

Index to Financial Statements

certain amounts of available cash at the time of our investment and the continued support from a venture capital or private equity firm at the time we make our investment.

Provide Customized Financing Complementary to Financial Sponsors Capital. We offer a broad range of investment structures and possess expertise and experience to effectively structure and price investments in technology-related companies.

Invest at Various Stages of Development. We provide growth capital to technology-related companies at all stages of development, including select publicly listed companies, select special opportunity lower middle market companies that require additional capital to fund acquisitions, recapitalizations and refinancing and established-stage companies.

Benefit from Our Efficient Organizational Structure. We believe that the perpetual nature of our corporate structure enables us to be a long-term partner for our portfolio companies in contrast to traditional investment funds, which typically have a limited life. In addition, because of our access to the equity markets, we believe that we may benefit from a lower cost of capital than that available to private investment funds.

Deal Sourcing Through Our Proprietary Database. We have developed a proprietary and comprehensive SQL database system to track various aspects of our investment process including sourcing, originations, transaction monitoring and post-investment performance.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

We have adopted an opt-out dividend reinvestment plan that provides for reinvestment of our distribution on behalf of our stockholders, unless a stockholder elects to receive cash. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan. Those stockholders whose shares are held by a broker or other financial intermediary may receive distributions in cash by notifying their broker or other financial intermediary of their election.

Taxation

As of January 1, 2006, we have qualified as and have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (a RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. As a RIC, we generally will not pay corporate-level federal income taxes on any ordinary income or capital gains that we distribute to our stockholders as dividends, which allows us to reduce or eliminate our corporate level tax. See Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations. To maintain our RIC status, we must meet specified source-of-income and asset diversification requirements and distribute annually an amount equal to at least 90% of the sum of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any, out of assets legally available for distribution. There is no assurance that we will meet these tests and be able to maintain our RIC status. If we do not qualify as a RIC, we would be taxed as a C corporation.

Use of Proceeds

We intend to use the net proceeds from selling our securities for general corporate purposes, which includes investing in debt and equity securities, repayment of indebtedness and other general corporate purposes. The supplement to this prospectus relating to an offering will more fully identify the use of proceeds from such offering.

Leverage

We borrow funds to make additional investments, and we have granted, and may in the future grant, a security interest in our assets to a lender in connection with any such borrowings, including any borrowings by

Index to Financial Statements

any of our subsidiaries. We use this practice, which is known as leverage, to attempt to increase returns to our common stockholders. However, leverage involves significant risks. See Risk Factors. With certain limited exceptions, we are only allowed to borrow amounts such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% after such borrowing. We received an exemptive order from the SEC that allows us to exclude all SBA leverage from our asset coverage ratio. The amount of leverage that we employ will depend on our assessment of market and other factors at the time of any proposed borrowing. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources for additional information related to our outstanding debt.

Distributions

As a RIC, we are required to distribute annually to our stockholders at least 90% of the sum of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any. We are not subject to corporate level income taxation on income we timely distribute to our stockholders as dividends. See Certain Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations. We pay regular quarterly dividends based upon an estimate of annual taxable income available for distribution to stockholders and the amount of taxable income carried over from the prior year for distribution in the current year.

Principal Risk Factors

Investing in our common stock may be speculative and involves certain risks relating to our structure and our investment objective that you should consider before deciding whether to invest. In addition, we expect that our portfolio will continue to consist primarily of securities issued by privately-held technology-related companies, which generally require additional capital to become profitable. These investments may involve a high degree of business and financial risk, and they are generally illiquid. Our portfolio companies typically will require additional outside capital beyond our investment in order to succeed or to fully repay the amounts owed to us. A large number of entities compete for the same kind of investment opportunities as we seek.

We borrow funds to make our investments in portfolio companies. As a result, we are exposed to the risks of leverage, which may be considered a speculative investment technique. Borrowings magnify the potential for gain and loss on amounts invested and, therefore increase the risks associated with investing in our common stock. Also, we are subject to certain risks associated with valuing our portfolio, changing interest rates, accessing additional capital, fluctuating quarterly results, and operating in a regulated environment. See Risk Factors for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding whether to invest in our securities.

Certain Anti-Takeover Provisions

Our charter and bylaws, as well as certain statutes and regulations, contain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for our company. This could delay or prevent a transaction that could give our stockholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the price for their securities.

General Information

Our principal executive offices are located at 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310, Palo Alto, California 94301, and our telephone number is (650) 289-3060. We also have offices in Boston, MA, New York, NY, Boulder, CO and McLean, VA. We maintain a website on the Internet at www.htgc.com. Information contained in our website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus, and you should not consider that information to be part of this prospectus.

We file annual, quarterly and current periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which we refer to as the Exchange Act. This information is available at the SEC s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information about the operation of the SEC s public reference room by calling the SEC at (202) 551-8090. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet website, at www.sec.gov, that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including us, who file documents electronically with the SEC.

Index to Financial Statements

FEES AND EXPENSES

The following table is intended to assist you in understanding the various costs and expenses that an investor in our common stock will bear directly or indirectly. However, we caution you that some of the percentages indicated in the table below are estimates and may vary. The footnotes to the fee table state which items are estimates. Except where the context suggests otherwise, whenever this prospectus contains a reference to fees or expenses paid by you or us or that we will pay fees or expenses, stockholders will indirectly bear such fees or expenses as investors in Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc.

Stockholder Transaction Expenses (as a percentage of the public offering price):	
Sales load (as a percentage of offering price) ⁽¹⁾	%
Offering expenses	% ⁽²⁾
Dividend reinvestment plan fees	%(3)
Total stockholder transaction expenses (as a percentage of the public offering price)	% ⁽⁴⁾
Annual Expenses (as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock): (9)	
Operating expenses	$5.2\%^{(5)(6)}$
Interest and fees paid in connection with borrowed funds	5.8% ⁽⁷⁾
Total annual expenses	$11.0\%^{(8)}$

- (1) In the event that our securities are sold to or through underwriters, a corresponding prospectus supplement to this prospectus will disclose the applicable sales
- (2) In the event that we conduct an offering of our securities, a corresponding prospectus supplement to this prospectus will disclose the estimated offering expenses.
- (3) The expenses associated with the administration of our dividend reinvestment plan are included in Operating expenses. We pay all brokerage commissions incurred with respect to open market purchases, if any, made by the administrator under the plan. For more details about the plan, see Dividend Reinvestment Plan.
- (4) Total stockholder transaction expenses may include sales load and will be disclosed in a future prospectus supplement, if any.
- (5) Operating expenses represent our actual operating expenses incurred for the year-ended December 31, 2013, including all fees and expenses of our consolidated subsidiaries and excluding interests and fees on indebtedness. This percentage for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 5.4%. See

 Management s Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations, Management, and Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors.
- (6) We do not have an investment adviser and are internally managed by our executive officers under the supervision of our Board of Directors. As a result, we do not pay investment advisory fees, but instead we pay the operating costs associated with employing investment management professionals.
- (7) Interest and fees paid in connection with borrowed funds—represents our actual interest, fees and credit facility expenses incurred for the year-ended December 31, 2013, including our Wells Facility, Union Bank Facility, the Convertible Senior Notes, the 2019 Notes, the Asset-Backed Notes and the SBA debentures, each of which is defined herein.
- (8) Total annual expenses is the sum of operating expenses, and interest and fees paid in connection with borrowed funds. This percentage for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 10.3%.
- (9) Net assets attributable to common stock equals the weighted average net assets as of December 31, 2013, which is approximately \$602.8 million.

Example

The following example demonstrates the projected dollar amount of total cumulative expenses that would be incurred over various periods with respect to a hypothetical investment in our common stock. These amounts are based upon our payment of annual operating expenses at the levels set forth in the table above and assume no additional leverage.

	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years
You would pay the following expenses on a \$1,000 common stock				
investment, assuming a 5% annual return	\$ 106	\$ 301	\$ 473	\$ 825

Index to Financial Statements

The example and the expenses in the tables above should not be considered a representation of our future expenses, and actual expenses may be greater or lesser than those shown. Moreover, while the example assumes, as required by the applicable rules of the SEC, a 5% annual return, our performance will vary and may result in a return greater or lesser than 5%. In addition, while the example assumes reinvestment of all dividends and distributions at net asset value, participants in our dividend reinvestment plan may receive shares valued at the market price in effect at that time. This price may be at, above or below net asset value. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan for additional information regarding our dividend reinvestment plan.

8

Index to Financial Statements

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Senior Securities and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere herein. The selected balance sheet data as of the end of fiscal year 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and the financial statement of operations data for fiscal 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 has been derived from our audited financial statements, which have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm. The historical data are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any future period.

		For the Years Ended December 31,				
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2013	2012	2009			
Statement of Operations Data:						
Investment income:						
Interest	\$ 123,671	\$ 87,603	\$ 70,346	\$ 54,700	\$ 62,200	
Fees	16,042	9,917	9,509	4,774	12,077	
Total investment income	139,713	97,520	79,855	59,474	74,277	
Operating expenses:	·	·	·	·	·	
Interest	30,334	19,835	13,252	8,572	9,387	
Loan fees	4,807	3,917	2,635	1,259	1,880	
General and administrative	9,354	8,108	7,992	7,086	7,281	
Employee Compensation:						
Compensation and benefits	16,179	13,326	13,260	10,474	10,737	
Stock-based compensation	5,974	4,227	3,128	2,709	1,888	
Total employee compensation	22,153	17,553	16,388	13,183	12,625	
1 7 1	,	ŕ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	
Total operating expenses	66,648	49,413	40,267	30,100	31,173	
Net investment income before and investment gains and losses	73,065	48,107	39,588	29,374	43,104	
8	72,000	10,207	07,000		12,201	
Net investment income	73,065	48,107	39,588	29,374	43,104	
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	14,836	3,168	2,741	(26,382)	(30,801)	
Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	11,545	(4,516)	4,607	1,990	1,269	
The mercane (decrease) in ameunical approximent (depression) on investments	11,0 .0	(1,510)	.,007	1,,,,	1,209	
Not realized and convertined asia (least)	26 201	(1.249)	7 249	(24.202)	(20, 522)	
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	26,381	(1,348)	7,348	(24,392)	(29,532)	
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 99,446	\$ 46,759	\$ 46,936	\$ 4,982	\$ 13,572	
Change in net assets per common share (basic):	\$ 1.67	\$ 0.93	\$ 1.08	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.38	
-						
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.80	\$ 1.26(1)	
Cash dividends decided per common share	ψ 1.11	Ψ 0.73	φ 0.00	φ 0.00	Ψ 1.20	

⁽¹⁾ February 12, 2009 dividend paid in cash and stock.

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	
Balance Sheet Data:						
Investments, at value	\$ 910,295	\$ 906,300	\$ 652,870	\$ 472,032	\$ 374,669	
Cash and cash equivalents	268,368	182,994	64,474	107,014	124,828	
Total assets	1,221,715	1,123,643	747,394	591,247	508,967	
Total liabilities	571,708	607,675	316,353	178,716	142,452	
Total net assets	650,007	515,968	431,041	412,531	366,515	

Other Data:									
Total debt investments, at value	8	21,988	827,540	58	35,767	40	01,618	3	325,134
Total warrant investments, at value		35,637	29,550	3	30,045	2	23,690		14,450
Total equity investments, at value		52,670	49,210	3	37,058	2	16,724		35,085
Unfunded Commitments	1	50,986	61,851	16	58,196	1.3	17,200		11,700
Net asset value per share ⁽¹⁾	\$	10.51	\$ 9.75	\$	9.83	\$	9.50	\$	10.29

(1) Based on common shares outstanding at period end

Index to Financial Statements

The following tables set forth certain quarterly financial information for each of the eight quarters up to and ending December 31, 2013. This information was derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements. Results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or for any future quarter.

	Quarter Ended				
(in thousands, except per share data)	3/31/2013	6/30/2013	9/30/2013	12/31/2013	
Total investment income	\$ 30,957	\$ 34,525	\$ 41,021	\$ 33,210	
Net investment income before investment gains and losses	15,032	17,610	21,560	18,864	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations	16,689	20,879	36,981	24,897	
Change in net assets per common share (basic)	0.30	0.34	0.61	0.40	

	Quarter Ended						
	3/31/2012	6/30/2012	9/30/2012	12/31/2012			
Total investment income	\$ 22,367	\$ 23,858	\$ 23,901	\$ 27,395			
Net investment income before investment gains and losses	11,375	12,310	11,351	13,071			
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations	17,105	48	4,745	24,861			
Change in net assets per common share (basic)	0.36		0.09	0.47			

10

Index to Financial Statements

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our securities involves a number of significant risks. Before you invest in our securities, you should be aware of various risks, including those described below in this prospectus and those set forth in any prospectus supplement accompanying this prospectus. You should carefully consider these risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this prospectus and the supplement accompanying this prospectus, before you decide whether to make an investment in our common stock. The risks set out below and in this prospectus are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or not presently deemed material by us may also impair our operations and performance. If any of the following events occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, our net asset value and the trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment. The risk factors described below, together with those set forth in any prospectus supplement accompanying this prospectus, are the principal risk factors associated with an investment in our common stock, as well as those factors generally associated with an investment company with investment objectives, investment policies, capital structure or trading markets similar to ours.

Risks Related to our Business Structure

We are dependent upon key management personnel for their time availability and for our future success, particularly Manuel A. Henriquez, and if we are not able to hire and retain qualified personnel, or if we lose any member of our senior management team, our ability to implement our business strategy could be significantly harmed.

We depend upon the members of our senior management, particularly Mr. Henriquez, as well as other key personnel for the identification, final selection, structuring, closing and monitoring of our investments. These employees have critical industry experience and relationships on which we rely to implement our business plan. If we lose the services of Mr. Henriquez, or of any other senior management members, we may not be able to operate the business as we expect, and our ability to compete could be harmed, which could cause our operating results to suffer. Furthermore, we do not have an employment agreement with Mr. Henriquez and our senior management is not restricted from creating new investment vehicles subject to compliance with applicable law. We believe our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to identify, attract and retain sufficient numbers of highly skilled employees. If we do not succeed in identifying, attracting and retaining such personnel, we may not be able to operate our business as we expect.

Our business model depends to a significant extent upon strong referral relationships with venture capital and private equity fund sponsors, and our inability to develop or maintain these relationships, or the failure of these relationships to generate investment opportunities, could adversely affect our business.

We expect that members of our management team will maintain their relationships with venture capital and private equity firms, and we will rely to a significant extent upon these relationships to provide us with our deal flow. If we fail to maintain our existing relationships, our relationships become strained as a result of enforcing our rights with respect to non-performing portfolio companies in protecting our investments or we fail to develop new relationships with other firms or sources of investment opportunities, then we will not be able to grow our investment portfolio. In addition, persons with whom members of our management team have relationships are not obligated to provide us with investment opportunities and, therefore, there is no assurance that such relationships will lead to the origination of debt or other investments.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, and we may not be able to compete effectively.

A number of entities compete with us to make the types of investments that we plan to make in prospective portfolio companies. We compete with a large number of venture capital and private equity firms, as well as with

Index to Financial Statements

other investment funds, business development companies, investment banks and other sources of financing, including traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks and finance companies. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. For example, some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and/or access to funding sources that are not available to us. This may enable some competitors to make commercial loans with interest rates that are comparable to or lower than the rates that we typically offer. A significant increase in the number and/or the size of our competitors, including traditional commercial lenders and other financing sources, in technology-related industries could force us to accept less attractive investment terms. We may miss opportunities if we do not match competitors pricing, terms and structure. If we do match competitors pricing, terms or structure, we may experience decreased net interest income and increased risk of credit losses. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments, establish more relationships and build their market shares. Furthermore, many potential competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a business development company or that the Code imposes on us as a RIC. If we are not able to compete effectively, our business, financial condition, and results of operations will be adversely affected. As a result of this competition, there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify and take advantage of attractive investment opportunities, or that we will be able to fully invest our available capital.

If we are unable to manage our future growth effectively, we may be unable to achieve our investment objective, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and cause the value of your investment to decline.

Our ability to achieve our investment objective will depend on our ability to sustain growth. Sustaining growth will depend, in turn, on our senior management team s ability to identify, evaluate, finance and invest in suitable companies that meet our investment criteria. Accomplishing this result on a cost-effective basis is largely a function of our marketing capabilities, our management of the investment process, our ability to provide efficient services and our access to financing sources on acceptable terms. Failure to manage our future growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Because we intend to distribute substantially all of our income to our stockholders in order to qualify as a RIC, we will continue to need additional capital to finance our growth. If additional funds are unavailable or not available on favorable terms, our ability to grow will be impaired.

In order to satisfy the tax requirements applicable to a RIC, to avoid payment of excise taxes and to minimize or avoid payment of income taxes, we intend to distribute to our stockholders substantially all of our net ordinary income and realized net capital gains except for certain realized net capital gains, which we may retain, pay applicable income taxes with respect thereto and elect to treat as deemed distributions to our stockholders. As a business development company, we generally are required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total borrowings and other senior securities, which includes all of our borrowings and any preferred stock that we may issue in the future, of at least 200%. This requirement limits the amount that we may borrow. This limitation may prevent us from incurring debt and require us to raise additional equity at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so. We cannot assure you that debt and equity financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, and debt financings may be restricted by the terms of any of our outstanding borrowings. If we are unable to incur additional debt, we may be required to raise additional equity at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so. In addition, shares of closed-end investment companies have recently traded at discounts to their net asset values. This characteristic of closed-end investment companies is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value per share may decline. We cannot predict whether shares of our common stock will trade above, at or below our net asset value. If our common stock trades below its net asset value, we generally will not be able to issue additional shares of our common stock at its market price without first obtaining the approval for such issuance from our stockholders and our independent directors. If additional funds are not available to us, we could be forced to curtail or cease new lending and investment activities, and our net asset value could decline. In addition, our re

Index to Financial Statements

Because we have substantial indebtedness, there could be increased risk in investing in our company.

Lenders have fixed dollar claims on our assets that are superior to the claims of stockholders, and we have granted, and may in the future grant, lenders a security interest in our assets in connection with borrowings. In the case of a liquidation event, those lenders would receive proceeds before our stockholders. In addition, borrowings, also known as leverage, magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and, therefore, increase the risks associated with investing in our securities. Leverage is generally considered a speculative investment technique. If the value of our assets increases, then leveraging would cause the net asset value attributable to our common stock to increase more than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged. Conversely, if the value of our assets decreases, leveraging would cause the net asset value attributable to our common stock to decline more than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged. Similarly, any increase in our revenue in excess of interest expense on our borrowed funds would cause our net income to increase more than it would without the leverage. Any decrease in our revenue would cause our net income to decline more than it would have had we not borrowed funds and could negatively affect our ability to make distributions on common stock. Our ability to service any debt that we incur will depend largely on our financial performance and will be subject to prevailing economic conditions and competitive pressures. We and, indirectly, our stockholders will bear the cost associated with our leverage activity. If we are not able to service our substantial indebtedness, our business could be harmed materially.

Our secured credit facilities with Wells Fargo Capital Finance LLC (the Wells Facility) and Union Bank, N.A. (the Union Bank Facility, and together with the Wells Facility, our Credit Facilities) our Convertible Senior Notes, our 2019 Notes and our Asset-Backed Notes (as each term is defined below) contain financial and operating covenants that could restrict our business activities, including our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions.

As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facilities. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$225.0 million of indebtedness outstanding incurred by our SBIC subsidiaries, approximately \$75.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes payable, approximately \$170.4 million of 2019 Notes and approximately \$89.6 million in aggregate principal amount of fixed rate asset-backed notes (the Asset-Backed Notes) in connection with our \$230.7 million debt Securitization (the Debt Securitization). There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining any additional debt capital on terms acceptable to us or at all. If we are unable to obtain debt capital, then our equity investors will not benefit from the potential for increased returns on equity resulting from leverage to the extent that our investment strategy is successful and we may be limited in our ability to make new commitments or fundings to our portfolio companies.

As a business development company, generally, we are not permitted to incur indebtedness unless immediately after such borrowing we have an asset coverage for total borrowings of at least 200% (i.e., the amount of debt may not exceed 50% of the value of our assets). In addition, we may not be permitted to declare any cash dividend or other distribution on our outstanding common shares, or purchase any such shares, unless, at the time of such declaration or purchase, we have an asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution, or purchase price. If this ratio declines below 200%, we may not be able to incur additional debt and may need to sell a portion of our investments to repay some debt when it is disadvantageous to do so, and we may not be able to make distributions. As of December 31, 2013 our asset coverage ratio under our regulatory requirements as a business development company was 295.5%, excluding our SBIC debentures as a result of our exemptive order from the SEC which allows us to exclude all SBA leverage from our asset coverage ratio.

Index to Financial Statements

Illustration. The following table illustrates the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in our common stock assuming various annual returns, net of expenses. The calculations in the table below are hypothetical and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing below

		Annual Return on Our Portfolio							
		(Net of Expenses)							
	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	10%				
Corresponding return to stockholder ⁽¹⁾	(23.14%)	(13.74%)	(4.34%)	5.06%	14.45%				

(1) Assumes \$1.2 billion in total assets, \$559.9 million in debt outstanding, \$650.0 million in stockholders equity, and an average cost of funds of 5.04%, which is the approximate average cost of borrowed funds, including our Credit Facilities, our Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes, our SBA debentures and our Asset-Backed Notes for the period ended December 31, 2013. Actual interest payments may be different.

It is likely that the terms of any current or future long-term or revolving credit or warehouse facility we may enter into in the future could constrain our ability to grow our business.

Under our borrowings and our Credit Facilities, current lenders have, and any future lender or lenders may have, fixed dollar claims on our assets that are senior to the claims of our stockholders and, thus, will have a preference over our stockholders with respect to our assets in the collateral pool. Our Credit Facilities and borrowings also subject us to various financial and operating covenants, including, but not limited to, maintaining certain financial ratios and minimum tangible net worth amounts. Future credit facilities and borrowings will likely subject us to similar or additional covenants. In addition, we may grant a securities interest in our assets in connection with any such credit facilities and borrowings.

Our Credit Facilities generally contain customary default provisions such as a minimum net worth amount, a profitability test, and a restriction on changing our business and loan quality standards. In addition, our Credit Facilities require or are expected to require the repayment of all outstanding debt on the maturity which may disrupt our business and potentially the business of our portfolio companies that are financed through the facilities. An event of default under these facilities would likely result, among other things, in termination of the availability of further funds under the facilities and accelerated maturity dates for all amounts outstanding under the facilities, which would likely disrupt our business and, potentially, the business of the portfolio companies whose loans we finance through the facilities. This could reduce our revenues and, by delaying any cash payment allowed to us under our facilities until the lender has been paid in full, reduce our liquidity and cash flow and impair our ability to grow our business and our ability to make distributions sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC.

The terms of future available financing may place limits on our financial and operation flexibility. If we are unable to obtain sufficient capital in the future, we may be forced to reduce or discontinue our operations, not be able to make new investments, or otherwise respond to changing business conditions or competitive pressures.

In addition to regulatory requirements that restrict our ability to raise capital, our Credit Facilities, the Convertible Senior Notes and the 2019 Notes contain various covenants which, if not complied with, could accelerate repayment under the facility or require us to repurchase the Convertible Senior Notes and the 2019 Notes thereby materially and adversely affecting our liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

The credit agreements governing our Credit Facilities, the Convertible Senior Notes and the 2019 Notes require us to comply with certain financial and operational covenants. These covenants require us to, among other things, maintain certain financial ratios, including asset coverage, debt to equity and interest coverage. Our ability to continue to comply with these covenants in the future depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control. There are no assurances that we will be able to comply with these covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default which, if we were unable to obtain a waiver from the

Index to Financial Statements

lenders under our Credit Facilities or the trustee or holders under the Convertible Senior Notes and could accelerate repayment under the facilities or the Convertible Senior Notes or the 2019 Notes and thereby have a material adverse impact on our liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends. In addition, holders of the Convertible Senior Notes will have the right to require us to repurchase the Convertible Senior Notes upon the occurrence of a fundamental change at a repurchase price equal to 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. We may not have enough available cash or be able to obtain financing at the time we are required to make repurchases. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Borrowings.

We may be unable to obtain debt capital on favorable terms or at all, in which case we would not be able to use leverage to increase the return on our investments.

If we are unable to obtain debt capital, then our equity investors will not benefit from the potential for increased returns on equity resulting from leverage to the extent that our investment strategy is successful and we may be limited in our ability to make new commitments or fundings to our portfolio companies.

We are subject to certain risks as a result of our interests in connection with the Debt Securitization and our equity interest in the Securitization Issuer.

On December 19, 2012, in connection with the Debt Securitization and the offering of the Asset-Backed Notes by Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1 (the Securitization Issuer), we sold and/or contributed to Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC, as Trust Depositor (the Trust Depositor), certain senior loans made to certain of our portfolio companies (the Loans), which the Trust Depositor in turn sold and/or contributed to the Securitization Issuer in exchange for 100% of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer, cash proceeds and other consideration. Following these transfers, the Securitization Issuer, and not the Trust Depositor or us, held all of the ownership interest in the Loans

As a result of the Debt Securitization, we hold, indirectly through the Trust Depositor, 100% of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer. As a result, we consolidate the financial statements of the Trust Depositor and the Securitization Issuer, as well as our other subsidiaries, in our consolidated financial statements. Because each of the Trust Depositor and the Securitization Issuer is disregarded as an entity separate from its owner for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the sale or contribution by us to the Trust Depositor, and by the Trust Depositor to the Securitization Issuer, did not constitute a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes. If the U.S. Internal Revenue Service were to take a contrary position, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Further, a failure of the Securitization Issuer to be treated as a disregarded entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes would constitute an event of default pursuant to the indenture under the Debt Securitization, upon which the trustee under the Debt Securitization (the Trustee) may and will at the direction of a supermajority of the holders of the Asset-Backed Notes (the Noteholders) declare the Asset-Backed Notes to be immediately due and payable and exercise remedies under the indenture, including (i) to institute proceedings for the collection of all amounts then payable on the Asset-Backed Notes or under the indenture, enforce any judgment obtained, and collect from the Securitization Issuer and any other obligor upon the Asset-Backed Notes monies adjudged due; (ii) institute proceedings from time to time for the complete or partial foreclosure of the indenture with respect to the property of the Securitization Issuer; (iii) exercise any remedies as a secured party under the relevant UCC and take other appropriate action under applicable law to protect and enforce the rights and remedies of the Trustee and the Noteholders; or (iv) sell the property of the Securitization Issuer or any portion thereof or rights or interest therein at one or more public or private sales called and conducted in any matter permitted by law. Any such exercise of remedies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Index to Financial Statements

An event of default in connection with the Debt Securitization could give rise to a cross-default under our other material indebtedness.

The documents governing our other material indebtedness contain customary cross-default provisions that could be triggered if an event of default occurs in connection with the Debt Securitization. An event of default with respect to our other indebtedness could lead to the acceleration of such indebtedness and the exercise of other remedies as provided in the documents governing such other indebtedness. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and may result in our inability to make distributions sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC.

We may not receive cash distributions in respect of our indirect ownership interest in the Securitization Issuer.

Apart from fees payable to us in connection with our role as servicer of the Loans and the reimbursement of related amounts under the Debt Securitization documents, we receive cash in connection with the Debt Securitization only to the extent that the Trust Depositor receives payments in respect of its equity interest in the Securitization Issuer. The holder of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer is the residual claimant on distributions, if any, made by the Securitization Issuer after the Noteholders and other claimants have been paid in full on each payment date or upon maturity of the notes, subject to the priority of payments under the Debt Securitization documents. To the extent that the value of the Securitization Issuer s portfolio of Loans is reduced as a result of conditions in the credit markets (relevant in the event of a liquidation event), other macroeconomic factors, distressed or defaulted Loans or the failure of individual portfolio companies to otherwise meet their obligations in respect of the Loans, or for any other reason, the ability of the Securitization Issuer to make cash distributions in respect of the Trust Depositor's equity interest would be negatively affected and consequently, the value of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer would also be reduced. In the event that we fail to receive cash indirectly from the Securitization Issuer, we could be unable to make distributions, if at all, in amounts sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC.

The interests of the Noteholders may not be aligned with our interests.

The Asset-Backed Notes are debt obligations ranking senior in right of payment to the rights of the holder of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer, as residual claimant in respect of distributions, if any, made by the Securitization Issuer. As such, there are circumstances in which the interests of the Noteholders may not be aligned with the interests of holders of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer. For example, under the terms of the documents governing the Debt Securitization, the Noteholders have the right to receive payments of principal and interest prior to holders of the equity interest.

For as long as the Asset-Backed Notes remain outstanding, the Noteholders have the right to act in certain circumstances with respect to the Loans in ways that may benefit their interests but not the interests of holder of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer, including by exercising remedies under the documents governing the Debt Securitization.

If an event of default occurs, the Noteholders will be entitled to determine the remedies to be exercised, subject to the terms of the documents governing the Debt Securitization. For example, upon the occurrence of an event of default with respect to the Asset-Backed Notes, the Trustee may and will at the direction of the holders of a supermajority of the Asset-Backed Notes declare the principal, together with any accrued interest, of the notes to be immediately due and payable. This would have the effect of accelerating the principal on such notes, triggering a repayment obligation on the part of the Securitization Issuer. The Asset-Backed Notes then outstanding will be paid in full before any further payment or distribution on the equity interest is made. There can be no assurance that there will be sufficient funds through collections on the Loans or through the proceeds of the sale of the Loans in the event of a bankruptcy or insolvency to repay in full the obligations under the Asset-Backed Notes, or to make any distribution to holder of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer.

Index to Financial Statements

Remedies pursued by the Noteholders could be adverse to our interests as the indirect holder of the equity interest in the Securitization Issuer. The Noteholders have no obligation to consider any possible adverse effect on such other interests. Thus, there can be no assurance that any remedies pursued by the Noteholders will be consistent with the best interests of the Trust Depositor or that we will receive, indirectly through the Trust Depositor, any payments or distributions upon an acceleration of the Asset-Backed Notes. Any failure of the Securitization Issuer to make distributions in respect of the equity interest that we indirectly hold, whether as a result of an event of default and the acceleration of payments on the Asset-Backed Notes or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and may result in our inability to make distributions sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC.

Certain events related to the performance of Loans could lead to the acceleration of principal payments on the Asset-Backed Notes.

The following constitute rapid amortization events (Rapid Amortization Events) under the documents governing the Debt Securitization: (i) the aggregate outstanding principal balance of delinquent Loans and restructured Loans that would have been delinquent Loans had such Loans not become restructured Loans exceeds 10% of the current aggregate outstanding principal balance of the Loans, excluding all defaulted Loans and all purchased Loans (the Pool Balance) for a period of three consecutive months; (ii) the aggregate outstanding principal balance of defaulted Loans exceeds 5% of the initial Pool Balance determined as of December 19, 2012 for a period of three consecutive months; (iii) the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the Asset-Backed Notes exceeds the borrowing base for a period of three consecutive months; (iv) the Securitization Issuer s pool of Loans contains Loans to ten or fewer obligors; and (v) the occurrence of an event of default under the documents governing the Debt Securitization. After a Rapid Amortization Event has occurred, subject to the priority of payments under the documents governing the Debt Securitization, principal collections on the Loans will be used to make accelerated payments of principal on the Asset-Backed Notes until the payment of principal balance of the Asset-Backed Loans is reduced to zero. Such an event could delay, reduce or eliminate the ability of the Securitization Issuer to make distributions in respect of the equity interest that we indirectly hold, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and may result in our inability to make distributions sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC.

We have certain repurchase obligations with respect to the Loans transferred in connection with the Debt Securitization.

As part of the Debt Securitization, we entered into a sale and contribution agreement and a sale and servicing agreement under which we would be required to repurchase any Loan (or participation interest therein) which was sold to the Securitization Issuer in breach of certain customary representations and warranty made by us or by the Trust Depositor with respect to such Loan or the legal structure of the Debt Securitization. To the extent that there is a breach of such representations and warranties and we fail to satisfy any such repurchase obligation, the Trustee may, on behalf of the Securitization Issuer, bring an action against us to enforce these repurchase obligations.

Because most of our investments typically are not in publicly-traded securities, there is uncertainty regarding the value of our investments, which could adversely affect the determination of our net asset value.

At December 31, 2013, portfolio investments, which are valued at fair value by the Board of Directors, were approximately 74.5% of our total assets. We expect our investments to continue to consist primarily of securities issued by privately-held companies, the fair value of which is not readily determinable. In addition, we are not permitted to maintain a general reserve for anticipated loan losses. Instead, we are required by the 1940 Act to specifically value each investment and record an unrealized gain or loss for any asset that we believe has increased or decreased in value.

Index to Financial Statements

There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith. We value these securities at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors, based on the recommendations of our Valuation Committee. In making a good faith determination of the value of these securities, we generally start with the cost basis of each security, which includes the amortized OID and PIK interest, if any. The Valuation Committee uses its best judgment in arriving at the fair value of these securities. As a result, determining fair value requires that judgment be applied to the specific facts and circumstances of each portfolio investment while applying a valuation process for the types of investments we make, which includes but is not limited to deriving a hypothetical exit price. However, the Board of Directors retains ultimate authority as to the appropriate valuation of each investment. Because such valuations are inherently uncertain and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would be assessed if a ready market for these securities existed. We adjust quarterly the valuation of our portfolio to reflect the Board of Directors determination of the fair value of each investment in our portfolio. Any changes in fair value are recorded in our statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Our net asset value could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of our investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon the disposal of such securities.

Our equity ownership in a portfolio company may represent a control investment. Our ability to exit a control investment in a timely manner could result in a realized loss on the investment.

If we obtain a control investment in a portfolio company our ability to divest ourselves from a debt or equity investment could be restricted due to illiquidity in a private stock, limited trading volume on a public company s stock, inside information on a company s performance, insider blackout periods, or other factors that could prohibit us from disposing of the investment as we would if it were not a control investment. Additionally, we may choose not to take certain actions to protect a debt investment in a control investment portfolio company. As a result, we could experience a decrease in the value of our portfolio company holdings and potentially incur a realized loss on the investment.

Regulations governing our operations as a business development company may affect our ability to, and the manner in which, we raise additional capital, which may expose us to risks.

Our business will require a substantial amount of capital. We may acquire additional capital from the issuance of senior securities, including borrowings, securitization transactions or other indebtedness, or the issuance of additional shares of our common stock. However, we may not be able to raise additional capital in the future on favorable terms or at all. We may issue debt securities, other evidences of indebtedness or preferred stock, and we may borrow money from banks or other financial institutions, which we refer to collectively as senior securities, up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. Under the 1940 Act, we are not permitted to incur indebtedness unless immediately after such borrowing we have an asset coverage for total borrowings of at least 200% (i.e., the amount of debt may not exceed 50% of the value of our assets). In addition, we may not be permitted to declare any cash dividend or other distribution on our outstanding common shares, or purchase any such shares, unless, at the time of such declaration or purchase, we have an asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution, or purchase price. Our ability to pay dividends or issue additional senior securities would be restricted if our asset coverage ratio were not at least 200%. If the value of our assets declines, we may be unable to satisfy this test. If that happens, we may be required to liquidate a portion of our investments and repay a portion of our indebtedness at a time when such sales may be disadvantageous. As a result of issuing senior securities, we would also be exposed to typical risks associated with leverage, including an increased risk of loss. If we issue preferred stock, the preferred stock would rank senior to common stock in our capital structure, preferred stockholders would have separate voting rights and might have rights, preferences, or privileges more favorable than those of our common stockholders and the issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying, deferring, or preventing a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in your best interest.

Index to Financial Statements

To the extent that we are constrained in our ability to issue debt or other senior securities, we will depend on issuances of common stock to finance operations. Other than in certain limited situations such as rights offerings, as a business development company, we are generally not able to issue our common stock at a price below net asset value without first obtaining required approvals from our stockholders and our independent directors. If we raise additional funds by issuing more common stock or senior securities convertible into, or exchangeable for, our common stock, then the percentage ownership of our stockholders at that time will decrease, and you might experience dilution. Moreover, we can offer no assurance that we will be able to issue and sell additional equity securities in the future, on favorable terms or at all.

When we are a debt or minority equity investor in a portfolio company, we may not be in a position to control the entity, and management of the company may make decisions that could decrease the value of our portfolio holdings.

We make both debt and minority equity investments; therefore, we are subject to the risk that a portfolio company may make business decisions with which we disagree, and the stockholders and management of such company may take risks or otherwise act in ways that do not serve our interests. As a result, a portfolio company may make decisions that could decrease the value of our portfolio holdings.

If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could fail to qualify as a business development company or be precluded from investing according to our current business strategy.

As a business development company, we may not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets. See Regulation in this prospectus.

We believe that most of the senior loans we make will constitute qualifying assets. However, we may be precluded from investing in what we believe are attractive investments if such investments are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 1940 Act. If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could lose our status as a business development company, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Similarly, these rules could prevent us from making follow-on investments in existing portfolio companies (which could result in the dilution of our position) or could require us to dispose of investments at inappropriate times in order to comply with the 1940 Act. If we need to dispose of such investments quickly, it would be difficult to dispose of such investments on favorable terms. For example, we may have difficulty in finding a buyer and, even if we do find a buyer, we may have to sell the investments at a substantial loss.

A failure on our part to maintain our qualification as a business development company would significantly reduce our operating flexibility.

If we fail to continuously qualify as a business development company, we might be subject to regulation as a registered closed-end investment company under the 1940 Act, which would significantly decrease our operating flexibility. In addition, failure to comply with the requirements imposed on business development companies by the 1940 Act could cause the SEC to bring an enforcement action against us. For additional information on the qualification requirements of a business development company, see Regulation in this prospectus.

To the extent original issue discount and paid-in-kind interest constitute a portion of our income, we will be exposed to typical risks associated with such income being required to be included in taxable and accounting income prior to receipt of cash representing such income.

Our investments may include original issue discount, or OID, instruments and contractual payment-in-kind, or PIK, interest arrangements, which represents contractual interest added to a loan balance and due at the end of

Index to Financial Statements

such loan s term. To the extent OID or PIK interest constitute a portion of our income, we are exposed to typical risks associated with such income being required to be included in taxable and accounting income prior to receipt of cash, including the following:

OID instruments may have higher yields, which reflect the payment deferral and credit risk associated with these instruments;

OID accruals may create uncertainty about the source of our distributions to stockholders;

OID and PIK instruments may have unreliable valuations because their continuing accruals require continuing judgments about the collectability of the deferred payments and the value of the collateral; and

OID and PIK instruments may represent a higher credit risk than coupon loans.

If we are unable to satisfy Code requirements for qualification as a RIC, then we will be subject to corporate-level income tax, which would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We elected to be treated as a RIC for federal income tax purposes with the filing of our federal corporate income tax return for 2006. We will not qualify for the tax treatment allowable to RICs if we are unable to comply with the source of income, asset diversification and distribution requirements contained in Subchapter M of the Code, or if we fail to maintain our election to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. If we fail to qualify for the federal income tax benefits allowable to RICs for any reason and become subject to a corporate-level income tax, the resulting taxes could substantially reduce our net assets, the amount of income available for distribution to our stockholders and the actual amount of our distributions. Such a failure would have a material adverse effect on us, the net asset value of our common stock and the total return, if any, obtainable from your investment in our common stock. Any net operating losses that we incur in periods during which we qualify as a RIC will not offset net capital gains (i.e., net realized long-term capital gains in excess of net realized short-term capital losses), and we cannot pass such net operating losses through to our stockholders.

We may have difficulty paying our required distributions under applicable tax rules if we recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income.

In accordance with U.S. federal tax requirements, we include in income for tax purposes certain amounts that we have not yet received in cash, such as contractual PIK interest arrangements, which represents contractual interest added to a loan balance and due at the end of such loan s term. In addition to the cash yields received on our loans, in some instances, our loans generally include one or more of the following: end-of-term payments, exit fees, balloon payment fees, commitment fees, success fees or prepayment fees. In some cases our loans also include contractual PIK interest arrangements. The increases in loan balances as a result of contractual PIK arrangements are included in income for the period in which such payment-in-kind interest was accrued, which is often in advance of receiving cash payment, and are separately identified on our statements of cash flows. We also may be required to include in income for tax purposes certain other amounts prior to receiving the related cash.

Any warrants that we receive in connection with our debt investments will generally be valued as part of the negotiation process with the particular portfolio company. As a result, a portion of the aggregate purchase price for the debt investments and warrants will be allocated to the warrants that we receive. This will generally result in original issue discount for tax purposes, which we must recognize as ordinary income, increasing the amount that we are required to distribute to qualify for the federal income tax benefits applicable to RICs. Because these warrants generally will not produce distributable cash for us at the same time as we are required to make distributions in respect of the related original issue discount, we would need to obtain cash from other sources or to pay a portion of our distributions using shares of newly issued common stock, consistent with Internal Revenue Service requirements, to satisfy such distribution requirements.

Other features of the debt instruments that we hold may also cause such instruments to generate original issue discount, resulting in a dividend distribution requirement in excess of current cash interest received. Since

Index to Financial Statements

in certain cases we may recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income, we may have difficulty meeting the RIC tax requirement to distribute generally an amount equal to at least 90% of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any. Under such circumstances, we may have to sell some of our assets, raise additional debt or equity capital or reduce new investment originations to meet these distribution requirements. If we are unable to obtain cash from other sources and are otherwise unable to satisfy such distribution requirements, we may fail to qualify for the federal income tax benefits allowable to RICs and, thus, become subject to a corporate-level income tax on all our income.

There is a risk that you may not receive distributions or that our distributions may not grow over time.

We intend to make distributions on a quarterly basis to our stockholders. We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results, or our business may not perform in a manner that will allow us to make a specified level of distributions or year-to-year increases in cash distributions. In addition, due to the asset coverage test applicable to us as a business development company, we may be limited in our ability to make distributions. Also, our Credit Facilities limit our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions.

We have and may in the future choose to pay dividends in our own stock, in which case you may be required to pay tax in excess of the cash you receive.

Under applicable Treasury regulations and certain private rulings issued by the Internal Revenue Service, RICs are permitted to treat certain distributions payable in up to 80% in their stock, as taxable dividends that will satisfy their annual distribution obligations for federal income tax and excise tax purposes provided that stockholders have the opportunity to elect to receive the distribution in cash. Taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income (or as long-term capital gain to the extent such distribution is properly designated as a capital gain dividend) to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes. As a result, a U.S. stockholder may be required to pay tax with respect to such dividends in excess of any cash received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, then such sales may put downward pressure on the trading price of our stock. We may in the future determine to distribute taxable dividends that are payable in part in our common stock.

We are exposed to risks associated with changes in interest rates, including fluctuations in interest rates which could adversely affect our profitability or the value of our portfolio

General interest rate fluctuations may have a substantial negative impact on our investments and investment opportunities, and, accordingly, may have a material adverse effect on our investment objective and rate of return on investment capital. A portion of our income will depend upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the interest rate on the debt securities in which we invest. Because we will borrow money to make investments and may issue debt securities, preferred stock or other securities, our net investment income is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds or pay interest or dividends on such debt securities, preferred stock or other securities and the rate at which we invest these funds. Typically, we anticipate that our interest-earning investments will accrue and pay interest at both variable and fixed rates, and that our interest-bearing liabilities will accrue interest at variable rates.

A significant increase in market interest rates could harm our ability to attract new portfolio companies and originate new loans and investments. We expect that most of our current initial investments in debt securities will be at floating rate with a floor. However, in the event that we make investments in debt securities at variable

21

Index to Financial Statements

rates, a significant increase in market interest rates could also result in an increase in our non-performing assets and a decrease in the value of our portfolio because our floating-rate loan portfolio companies may be unable to meet higher payment obligations. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, resulting in a decrease in our net investment income. In addition, a decrease in interest rates may reduce net income, because new investments may be made at lower rates despite the increased demand for our capital that the decrease in interest rates may produce. We may, but will not be required to, hedge against the risk of adverse movement in interest rates in our short-term and long-term borrowings relative to our portfolio of assets. If we engage in hedging activities, it may limit our ability to participate in the benefits of lower interest rates with respect to the hedged portfolio. Adverse developments resulting from changes in interest rates or hedging transactions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our realized gains are reduced by amounts paid pursuant to the warrant participation agreement.

Citigroup, a former credit facility provider to Hercules, has an equity participation right through a warrant participation agreement on the pool of loans and certain warrants formerly collateralized under its then existing credit facility (the Citigroup Facility). Pursuant to the warrant participation agreement, we granted to Citigroup a 10% participation in all warrants held as collateral. As a result, Citigroup is entitled to 10% of the realized gains on certain warrants until the realized gains paid to Citigroup pursuant to the agreement equals \$3,750,000 (the Maximum Participation Limit). The obligations under the warrant participation agreement continue even after the Citigroup Facility is terminated until the Maximum Participation Limit has been reached.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we reduced our realized gain by approximately \$249,000 for Citigroup's participation in the gain on sale of equity securities which were obtained from exercising portfolio company warrants which were included in the collateral pool. We recorded an increase on participation liability and a decrease on unrealized appreciation by a net amount of approximately \$57,000 as a result of appreciation of fair value on the pool of warrants collateralized under the warrant participation agreement. The value of their participation right on unrealized gains in the related equity investments was approximately \$370,000 as of December 31, 2013 and is included in accrued liabilities. There can be no assurances that the unrealized appreciation of the warrants will not be higher or lower in future periods due to fluctuations in the value of the warrants, thereby increasing or reducing the effect on the cost of borrowing. Since inception of the agreement, we have paid approximately \$1.6 million under the warrant participation agreement thereby reducing our realized gains by this amount. We will continue to pay Citigroup under the warrant participation agreement until the Maximum Participation Limit is reached or the warrants expire. Warrants subject to the Citigroup participation agreement are set to expire between March 2014 and March 2018.

Pending legislation may allow us to incur additional leverage.

As a business development company, under the 1940 Act generally we are not permitted to incur indebtedness unless immediately after such borrowing we have an asset coverage for total borrowings of at least 200% (i.e., the amount of debt may not exceed 50% of the value of our assets). Recent legislation introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives, if passed, would modify this section of the 1940 Act and increase the amount of debt that business development companies may incur by modifying the percentage from 200% to 150%. As a result, we may be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future and therefore your risk of an investment in us may increase.

Two of our wholly-owned subsidiaries are licensed by the U.S. Small Business Administration, and as a result, we will be subject to SBA regulations.

Our wholly-owned subsidiaries HT II and HT III are licensed to act as SBICs and are regulated by the SBA. HT II and HT III hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and they accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets, respectively, prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013. The SBIC licenses allow our SBIC subsidiaries to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary

Index to Financial Statements

procedures. The SBA regulations require, among other things, that a licensed SBIC be examined periodically and audited by an independent auditor to determine the SBIC s compliance with the relevant SBA regulations.

Under current SBA regulations, a licensed SBIC can provide capital to those entities that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18.0 million and an average annual net income after Federal income taxes not exceeding \$6.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, a licensed SBIC must devote 25.0% of its investment activity to those entities that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million and an average annual net income after Federal income taxes not exceeding \$2.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. The SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility, which depend on the industry in which the business is engaged and are based on factors such as the number of employees and gross sales. The SBA regulations permit licensed SBICs to make long term loans to small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. The SBA also places certain limitations on the financing terms of investments by SBICs in portfolio companies and prohibits SBICs from providing funds for certain purposes or to businesses in a few prohibited industries. Compliance with SBA requirements may cause HT II and HT III to forego attractive investment opportunities that are not permitted under SBA regulations.

Further, the SBA regulations require that a licensed SBIC be periodically examined and audited by the SBA to determine its compliance with the relevant SBA regulations. The SBA prohibits, without prior SBA approval, a change of control of an SBIC or transfers that would result in any person (or a group of persons acting in concert) owning 10.0% or more of a class of capital stock of a licensed SBIC. If either HT II or HT III fail to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II s or HT III s use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/ or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect us because HT II and HT III are our wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC s leverage as of December 31, 2013 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations. See Regulation Small Business Administration Regulations in this prospectus.

SBA regulations limit the outstanding dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debentures that may be issued by an SBIC or group of SBICs under common control.

The SBA regulations currently limit the dollar amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures that can be issued by any one SBIC to \$150.0 million or to a group of SBICs under common control to \$225.0 million. A proposed bill in the U.S. Senate, the Expanding Access to Capital for Entrepreneurial Act, or Senate Bill 511, would increase the total SBIC leverage capacity for affiliated SBIC funds from \$225 million to \$350 million. However, the ultimate form and likely outcome of such legislation or any similar legislation cannot be predicted.

An SBIC may not borrow an amount in excess of two times (and in certain cases, up to three times) its regulatory capital. As of December 31, 2013, we have issued \$225.0 million in SBA-guaranteed debentures in our SBIC Subsidiaries, which is the maximum allowed for a group of SBICs under common control. During times that we reach the maximum dollar amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures permitted, and if we require additional capital, our cost of capital is likely to increase, and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms.

Moreover, the current status of our SBIC subsidiaries as SBICs does not automatically assure that our SBIC subsidiaries will continue to receive SBA-guaranteed debenture funding. Receipt of SBA leverage funding is dependent upon our SBIC subsidiaries continuing to be in compliance with SBA regulations and policies and available SBA funding. The amount of SBA leverage funding available to SBICs is dependent upon annual Congressional authorizations and in the future may be subject to annual Congressional appropriations. There can be no assurance that there will be sufficient debenture funding available at the times desired by our SBIC subsidiaries.

Index to Financial Statements

The debentures guaranteed by the SBA have a maturity of ten years and require semi-annual payments of interest. Our SBIC subsidiaries will need to generate sufficient cash flow to make required interest payments on the debentures. If our SBIC subsidiaries are unable to meet their financial obligations under the debentures, the SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to our SBIC subsidiaries assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate our SBIC subsidiaries or the SBA exercises its remedies under such debentures as the result of a default by us.

Our wholly-owned SBIC subsidiaries may be unable to make distributions to us that will enable us to maintain RIC status, which could result in the imposition of an entity-level tax.

In order for us to continue to qualify for RIC tax treatment and to minimize corporate-level taxes, we will be required to distribute substantially all of our net ordinary income and net capital gain income, including income from certain of our subsidiaries, which includes the income from our SBIC subsidiaries. We will be partially dependent on our SBIC subsidiaries for cash distributions to enable us to meet the RIC distribution requirements. Our SBIC subsidiaries may be limited by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, and SBA regulations governing SBICs, from making certain distributions to us that may be necessary to maintain our status as a RIC. We may have to request a waiver of the SBA s restrictions for our SBIC subsidiaries to make certain distributions to maintain our RIC status. We cannot assure you that the SBA will grant such waiver. If our SBIC subsidiaries are unable to obtain a waiver, compliance with the SBA regulations may result in loss of RIC tax treatment and a consequent imposition of an entity-level tax on us.

Changes in laws or regulations governing our business could negatively affect the profitability of our operations.

Changes in the laws or regulations, or the interpretations of the laws and regulations, which govern business development companies, SBICs, RICs or non-depository commercial lenders could significantly affect our operations and our cost of doing business. We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations and are subject to judicial and administrative decisions that affect our operations, including our loan originations maximum interest rates, fees and other charges, disclosures to portfolio companies, the terms of secured transactions, collection and foreclosure procedures, and other trade practices. If these laws, regulations or decisions change, or if we expand our business into jurisdictions that have adopted more stringent requirements than those in which we currently conduct business, then we may have to incur significant expenses in order to comply or we may have to restrict our operations. In addition, if we do not comply with applicable laws, regulations and decisions, then we may lose licenses needed for the conduct of our business and be subject to civil fines and criminal penalties, any of which could have a material adverse effect upon our business results of operations or financial condition.

Our business is subject to increasingly complex corporate governance, public disclosure and accounting requirements that could adversely affect our business and financial results.

We are subject to changing rules and regulations of federal and state government as well as the stock exchange on which our common stock is listed. These entities, including the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, have issued a significant number of new and increasingly complex requirements and regulations over the course of the last several years and continue to develop additional regulations and requirements in response to laws enacted by Congress. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, was enacted. There are significant corporate governance and executive compensation-related provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act, and the SEC has adopted additional rules and regulations that may impact us. Our efforts to comply with these requirements have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, an increase in expenses and a diversion of management s time from other business activities.

Index to Financial Statements

Results may fluctuate and may not be indicative of future performance.

Our operating results may fluctuate and, therefore, you should not rely on current or historical period results to be indicative of our performance in future reporting periods. Factors that could cause operating results to fluctuate include, but are not limited to, variations in the investment origination volume and fee income earned, changes in the accrual status of our debt investments, variations in timing of prepayments, variations in and the timing of the recognition of net realized gains or losses and changes in unrealized appreciation or depreciation, the level of our expenses, the degree to which we encounter competition in our markets, and general economic conditions.

Risks Related to Current Economic and Market Conditions

Capital markets may experience periods of disruption and instability and we cannot predict when these conditions will occur. Such market conditions could materially and adversely affect debt and equity capital markets in the United States and abroad, which could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The global capital markets have experienced a period of disruption as evidenced by a lack of liquidity in the debt capital markets, write-offs in the financial services sector, the re-pricing of credit risk and the failure of certain major financial institutions. Despite actions of the United States federal government and foreign governments, these events contributed to worsening general economic conditions that have materially and adversely impacted the broader financial and credit markets and reduced the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole and financial services firms in particular. While the capital markets improved during 2013, these conditions could deteriorate in the future. During such market disruptions, we may have difficulty raising debt or equity capital, especially as a result of regulatory constraints.

Market conditions may in the future make it difficult to extend the maturity of or refinance our existing indebtedness and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business. The illiquidity of our investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if required. As a result, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have recorded our investments. In addition, significant changes in the capital markets, including the disruption and volatility, have had, and may in the future have, a negative effect on the valuations of our investments and on the potential for liquidity events involving our investments. An inability to raise capital, and any required sale of our investments for liquidity purposes, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Various social and political tensions in the United States and around the world, particularly in the Middle East, may continue to contribute to increased market volatility, may have long-term effects on the United States and worldwide financial markets, and may cause further economic uncertainties or deterioration in the United States and worldwide. Several European Union (EU) countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Spain, and Portugal, continue to face budget issues, some of which may have negative long-term effects for the economics of those countries and other EU countries. There is also continued concern about national-level support for the euro and the accompanying coordination of fiscal and wage policy among European Economic and Monetary Union member countries. The recent United States and global economic downturn, or a return to the recessionary period in the United States, could adversely impact our investments. We cannot predict the duration of the effects related to these or similar events in the future on the United States economy and securities markets or on our investments. We monitor developments and seek to manage our investments in a manner consistent with achieving our investment objective, but there can be no assurance that it will be successful in doing so.

Depending on funding requirements, we may need to raise additional capital to meet our unfunded commitments either through equity offerings or through additional borrowings.

As of December 31, 2013, we had unfunded debt commitments of approximately \$151.0 million. Approximately \$77.4 million of these unfunded contractual commitments are dependent upon the portfolio company reaching certain milestones before the contractual commitment becomes available. These commitments

Index to Financial Statements

will be subject to the same underwriting and ongoing portfolio maintenance as are the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements or future earning assets. Closed commitments generally fund 70-80% of the committed amount in aggregate over the life of the commitment. We intend to use cash flow from normal and early principal repayments, SBA debentures, our Credit Facilities and proceeds from the Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes and the Asset-Backed Notes to fund these commitments. However, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient capital available to fund these commitments as they come due.

Our ability to secure additional financing and satisfy our financial obligations under indebtedness outstanding from time to time will depend upon our future operating performance, which is subject to the prevailing general economic and credit market conditions, including interest rate levels and the availability of credit generally, and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. The prolonged continuation or worsening of current economic and capital market conditions could have a material adverse effect on our ability to secure financing on favorable terms, if at all.

A failure or the perceived risk of a failure to raise the statutory debt limit of the United States could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As has been widely reported, the United States Treasury Secretary has stated that the federal government may not be able to meet its debt payments in the relatively near future (currently February 2014) unless the federal debt ceiling is raised. If legislation increasing the debt ceiling is not enacted and the debt ceiling is reached, the federal government may stop or delay making payments on its obligations. A failure by Congress to raise the debt limit would increase the risk of default by the United States on its obligations, as well as the risk of other economic dislocations. If the U.S. Government fails to complete its budget process or to provide for a continuing resolution before the expiration of the current continuing resolution (currently January 2014), another federal government shutdown may result. Such a failure or the perceived risk of such a failure consequently could have a material adverse effect on the financial markets and economic conditions in the United States and throughout the world. It could also limit our ability and the ability of our portfolio companies to obtain financing, and it could have a material adverse effect on the valuation of our portfolio companies. Consequently, the continued uncertainty in the general economic environment, including the recent government shutdown and potential debt ceiling implications, as well in specific economies of several individual geographic markets in which our portfolio companies operate, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Uncertainty relating to the LIBOR calculation process may adversely affect the value of our portfolio of the LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities.

Concerns have been publicized that some of the member banks surveyed by the British Bankers—Association (BBA) in connection with the calculation of LIBOR across a range of maturities and currencies may have been under-reporting or otherwise manipulating the inter-bank lending rate applicable to them in order to profit on their derivatives positions or to avoid an appearance of capital insufficiency or adverse reputational or other consequences that may have resulted from reporting inter-bank lending rates higher than those they actually submitted. A number of BBA member banks have entered into settlements with their regulators and law enforcement agencies with respect to alleged manipulation of LIBOR, and investigations by regulators and governmental authorities in various jurisdictions are ongoing.

Actions by the BBA, regulators or law enforcement agencies may result in changes to the manner in which LIBOR is determined. Uncertainty as to the nature of such potential changes may adversely affect the market for LIBOR-based securities, including our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities. In addition, any further changes or reforms to the determination or supervision of LIBOR may result in a sudden or prolonged increase or decrease in reported LIBOR, which could have an adverse impact on the market for LIBOR-based securities or the value of our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities.

Index to Financial Statements

Risks Related to Our Investments

Our investments are concentrated in certain industries and in a number of technology-related companies, which subjects us to the risk of significant loss if any of these companies default on their obligations under any of their debt securities that we hold, or if any of the technology-related industry sectors experience a downturn.

We have invested and intend to continue investing in a limited number of technology-related companies. A consequence of this limited number of investments is that the aggregate returns we realize may be significantly adversely affected if a small number of investments perform poorly or if we need to write down the value of any one investment. Beyond the asset diversification requirements to which we will be subject as a RIC, we do not have fixed guidelines for diversification or limitations on the size of our investments in any one portfolio company and our investments could be concentrated in relatively few issuers. In addition, we have invested in and intend to continue investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of the value of our total assets (including the amount of any borrowings for investment purposes) in technology-related companies.

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 67.0% of the fair value of our portfolio was composed of investments in four industries: 24.1% was composed of investments in the drug discovery and development industry, 18.1% was composed of investments in the energy technology industry, 13.4% was composed of investments in the internet consumer and business services industry and 11.4% was composed of investments in the medical device and equipment industry.

As a result, a downturn in technology-related industry sectors and particularly those in which we are heavily concentrated could materially adversely affect our financial condition.

Our financial results could be negatively affected if a significant portfolio investment fails to perform as expected.

Our total investment in companies may be significant individually or in the aggregate. As a result, if a significant investment in one or more companies fails to perform as expected, our financial results could be more negatively affected and the magnitude of the loss could be more significant than if we had made smaller investments in more companies. The following table shows the fair value of the totals of investments held in portfolio companies at December 31, 2013 that represent greater than 5% of our net assets:

	December	r 31, 2013
		Percentage of
(in thousands)	Fair Value	Net Assets
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	\$ 42,855	6.6%

Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is a biopharmaceutical company discovering, developing and preparing to commercialize innovative medicines paired with companion diagnostics for the treatment of serious diseases, with an initial focus on cancer.

Our investments may be in portfolio companies that have limited operating histories and resources.

We expect that our portfolio will continue to consist of investments that may have relatively limited operating histories. These companies may be particularly vulnerable to U.S. and foreign economic downturns may have more limited access to capital and higher funding costs, may have a weaker financial position and may need more capital to expand or compete. These businesses also may experience substantial variations in operating results. They may face intense competition, including from larger, more established companies with greater financial, technical and marketing resources. Furthermore, some of these companies do business in regulated industries and could be affected by changes in government regulation. Accordingly, these factors could impair their cash flow or result in other events, such as bankruptcy, which could limit their ability to repay their obligations to us, and may adversely affect the return on, or the recovery of, our investment in these companies.

Index to Financial Statements

We cannot assure you that any of our investments in our portfolio companies will be successful. We may lose our entire investment in any or all of our portfolio companies.

Investing in publicly traded companies can involve a high degree of risk and can be speculative.

We have invested, and expect to continue to invest, a portion of our portfolio in publicly traded companies or companies that are in the process of completing their initial public offering, or IPO. As publicly traded companies, the securities of these companies may not trade at high volumes, and prices can be volatile, which may restrict our ability to sell our positions and may have a material adverse impact on us.

Our investment strategy focuses on technology-related companies, which are subject to many risks, including volatility, intense competition, shortened product life cycles, changes in regulatory and governmental programs and periodic downturns, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

We have invested and will continue investing primarily in technology-related companies, many of which may have narrow product lines and small market shares, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors—actions and market conditions, as well as to general economic downturns. The revenues, income (or losses), and valuations of technology-related companies can and often do fluctuate suddenly and dramatically. In addition, technology-related markets are generally characterized by abrupt business cycles and intense competition.

Overcapacity in technology-related industries, together with cyclical economic downturns, may result in substantial decreases in the market capitalization of many technology-related companies. While such valuations have recovered to some extent, such decreases in market capitalization may occur again, and any future decreases in technology-related company valuations may be substantial and may not be temporary in nature. Therefore, our portfolio companies may face considerably more risk of loss than do companies in other industry sectors.

Because of rapid technological change, the average selling prices of products and some services provided by technology-related companies have historically decreased over their productive lives. As a result, the average selling prices of products and services offered by technology-related companies may decrease over time, which could adversely affect their operating results, their ability to meet obligations under their debt securities and the value of their equity securities. This could, in turn, materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A natural disaster may also impact the operations of our portfolio companies, including our technology- related portfolio companies. The nature and level of natural disasters cannot be predicted and may be exacerbated by global climate change. A portion of our technology-related portfolio companies rely on items assembled or produced in areas susceptible to natural disasters, and may sell finished goods into markets susceptible to natural disasters. A major disaster, such as an earthquake, tsunami, flood or other catastrophic event could result in disruption to the business and operations of our technology-related portfolio companies.

We will invest in technology-related companies that are reliant on U.S. and foreign regulatory and governmental programs. Any material changes or discontinuation, due to change in administration or U.S. Congress or otherwise could have a material adverse effect on the operations of a portfolio company in these industries and, in turn, impair our ability to timely collect principal and interest payments owed to us to the extent applicable.

We have invested in and may continue investing in technology-related companies that do not have venture capital or private equity firms as equity investors, and these companies may entail a higher risk of loss than do companies with institutional equity investors, which could increase the risk of loss of your investment.

Our portfolio companies will often require substantial additional equity financing to satisfy their continuing working capital and other cash requirements and, in most instances, to service the interest and principal payments on our investment. Portfolio companies that do not have venture capital or private equity investors may be unable to raise any additional capital to satisfy their obligations or to raise sufficient additional capital to reach the next

Index to Financial Statements

stage of development. Portfolio companies that do not have venture capital or private equity investors may be less financially sophisticated and may not have access to independent members to serve on their boards, which means that they may be less successful than portfolio companies sponsored by venture capital or private equity firms. Accordingly, financing these types of companies may entail a higher risk of loss than would financing companies that are sponsored by venture capital or private equity firms.

Our investments in the energy technology industry are subject to many risks, including volatility, intense competition, unproven technologies, periodic downturns and potential litigation.

Our investments in energy technology companies are subject to substantial operational risks, such as underestimated cost projections, unanticipated operation and maintenance expenses, loss of government subsidies, and inability to deliver cost-effective alternative energy solutions compared to traditional energy products. In addition, energy technology companies employ a variety of means of increasing cash flow, including increasing utilization of existing facilities, expanding operations through new construction or acquisitions, or securing additional long-term contracts. Thus, some energy companies may be subject to construction risk, acquisition risk or other risks arising from their specific business strategies. Furthermore, production levels for solar, wind and other renewable energies may be dependent upon adequate sunlight, wind, or biogas production, which can vary from market to market and period to period, resulting in volatility in production levels and profitability. In addition, our energy technology companies may have narrow product lines and small market shares, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors actions and market conditions, as well as to general economic downturns. The revenues, income (or losses) and valuations of energy technology companies can and often do fluctuate suddenly and dramatically and the markets in which energy technology companies operate are generally characterized by abrupt business cycles and intense competition. Demand for energy technology and renewable energy is also influenced by the available supply and prices for other energy products, such as coal, oil and natural gases. A change in prices in these energy products could reduce demand for alternative energy. Our investments in energy technology companies also face potential litigation, including significant warranty and product liability claims, as well as class action and government claims arising from the increased attention to the industry from the failure of Solyndra. Such litigation could adversely affect the business and results of operations of our energy technology portfolio companies. There is also particular uncertainty about whether agreements providing incentives for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, such as the Kyoto Protocol, will continue and whether countries around the world will enact or maintain legislation that provides incentives for reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, without which such investments in energy technology dependent portfolio companies may not be economical or financing for such projects may become unavailable. As a result, these portfolio company investments face considerable risk, including the risk that favorable regulatory regimes expire or are adversely modified. This could, in turn, materially adversely affect the value of the energy technology companies in our portfolio.

Energy technology companies are subject to extensive government regulation and certain other risks particular to the sectors in which they operate and our business and growth strategy could be adversely affected if government regulations, priorities and resources impacting such sectors change or if our portfolio companies fail to comply with such regulations.

As part of our investment strategy, we plan to invest in portfolio companies in energy technology sectors that may be subject to extensive regulation by foreign, U.S. federal, state and/or local agencies. Changes in existing laws, rules or regulations, or judicial or administrative interpretations thereof, or new laws, rules or regulations could have an adverse impact on the business and industries of our portfolio companies. In addition, changes in government priorities or limitations on government resources could also adversely impact our portfolio companies. We are unable to predict whether any such changes in laws, rules or regulations will occur and, if they do occur, the impact of these changes on our portfolio companies and our investment returns. Furthermore, if any of our portfolio companies fail to comply with applicable regulations, they could be subject to significant penalties and claims that could materially and adversely affect their operations. Our portfolio companies may be subject to the expense, delay and uncertainty of the regulatory approval process for their products and, even if approved, these products may not be accepted in the marketplace.

Index to Financial Statements

In addition, there is considerable uncertainty about whether foreign, U.S., state and/or local governmental entities will enact or maintain legislation or regulatory programs that mandate reductions in greenhouse gas emissions or provide incentives for energy technology companies. Without such regulatory policies, investments in Energy Technology companies may not be economical and financing for energy technology companies may become unavailable, which could materially adversely affect the ability of our portfolio companies to repay the debt they owe to us. Any of these factors could materially and adversely affect the operations and financial condition of a portfolio company and, in turn, the ability of the portfolio company to repay the debt they owe to us.

Our investments in the life science industry are subject to extensive government regulation, litigation risk and certain other risks particular to that industry.

We have invested and plan to continue investing in companies in the life science industry that are subject to extensive regulation by the Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA, and to a lesser extent, other federal, state and other foreign agencies. If any of these portfolio companies fail to comply with applicable regulations, they could be subject to significant penalties and claims that could materially and adversely affect their operations. Portfolio companies that produce medical devices or drugs are subject to the expense, delay and uncertainty of the regulatory approval process for their products and, even if approved, these products may not be accepted in the marketplace. In addition, governmental budgetary constraints effecting the regulatory approval process, new laws, regulations or judicial interpretations of existing laws and regulations might adversely affect a portfolio company in this industry. Portfolio companies in the life science industry may also have a limited number of suppliers of necessary components or a limited number of manufacturers for their products, and therefore face a risk of disruption to their manufacturing process if they are unable to find alternative suppliers when needed. Any of these factors could materially and adversely affect the operations of a portfolio company in this industry and, in turn, impair our ability to timely collect principal and interest payments owed to us.

Our investments in the drug discovery industry are subject to numerous risks, including competition, extensive government regulation, product liability and commercial difficulties.

Our investments in the drug discovery industry are subject to numerous risks. The successful and timely implementation of the business model of our drug discovery portfolio companies depends on their ability to adapt to changing technologies and introduce new products. As competitors continue to introduce competitive products, the development and acquisition of innovative products and technologies that improve efficacy, safety, patient s and clinician s ease of use and cost-effectiveness are important to the success of such portfolio companies. The success of new product offerings will depend on many factors, including the ability to properly anticipate and satisfy customer needs, obtain regulatory approvals on a timely basis, develop and manufacture products in an economic and timely manner, obtain or maintain advantageous positions with respect to intellectual property, and differentiate products from those of competitors. Failure by our portfolio companies to introduce planned products or other new products or to introduce products on schedule could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, the development of products by drug discovery companies requires significant research and development, clinical trials and regulatory approvals. The results of product development efforts may be affected by a number of factors, including the ability to innovate, develop and manufacture new products, complete clinical trials, obtain regulatory approvals and reimbursement in the US and abroad, or gain and maintain market approval of products. In addition, regulatory review processes by U.S. and foreign agencies may extend longer than anticipated as a result of decreased funding and tighter fiscal budgets. Further, patents attained by others can preclude or delay the commercialization of a product. There can be no assurance that any products now in development will achieve technological feasibility, obtain regulatory approval, or gain market acceptance. Failure can occur at any point in the development process, including after significant funds have been invested. Products may fail to reach the market or may have only limited commercial success because of efficacy or safety concerns, failure to achieve positive clinical outcomes, inability to obtain necessary regulatory approvals, failure to achieve market adoption, limited scope of approved uses, excessive costs to manufacture, the failure to establish or maintain intellectual property rights, or the infringement of intellectual property rights of others.

Index to Financial Statements

Future legislation, and/or regulations and policies adopted by the FDA or other U.S. or foreign regulatory authorities may increase the time and cost required by some of our portfolio companies to conduct and complete clinical trials for the product candidates that they develop, and there is no assurance that these companies will obtain regulatory approval to market and commercialize their products in the U.S. and in foreign countries

The FDA has established regulations, guidelines and policies to govern the drug development and approval process, as have foreign regulatory authorities, which affect some of our portfolio companies. Any change in regulatory requirements due to the adoption by the FDA and/or foreign regulatory authorities of new legislation, regulations, or policies may require some of our portfolio companies to amend existing clinical trial protocols or add new clinical trials to comply with these changes. Such amendments to existing protocols and/or clinical trial applications or the need for new ones, may significantly impact the cost, timing and completion of the clinical trials.

In addition, increased scrutiny by the U.S. Congress of the FDA s and other authorities approval processes may significantly delay or prevent regulatory approval, as well as impose more stringent product labeling and post-marketing testing and other requirements. Foreign regulatory authorities may also increase their scrutiny of approval processes resulting in similar delays. Increased scrutiny and approvals processes may limit the ability of our portfolio companies to market and commercialize their products in the U.S. and in foreign countries.

Changes in healthcare laws and other regulations applicable to some of our portfolio companies businesses may constrain their ability to offer their products and services.

Changes in healthcare or other laws and regulations applicable to the businesses of some of our portfolio companies may occur that could increase their compliance and other costs of doing business, require significant systems enhancements, or render their products or services less profitable or obsolete, any of which could have a material adverse effect on their results of operations. There has also been an increased political and regulatory focus on healthcare laws in recent years, and new legislation could have a material effect on the business and operations of some of our portfolio companies.

Price declines and illiquidity in the corporate debt markets could adversely affect the fair value of our portfolio investments, reducing our net asset value through increased net unrealized depreciation.

As a business development company, we are required to carry our investments at market value or, if no market value is ascertainable, at fair market value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of our board of directors. As part of the valuation process, we may take into account the following types of factors, if relevant, in determining the fair value of our investments: the enterprise value of a portfolio company (an estimate of the total fair value of the portfolio company s debt and equity), the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company s ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow, the markets in which the portfolio company does business, a comparison of the portfolio company s securities to similar publicly traded securities, changes in the interest rate environment and the credit markets generally that may affect the price at which similar investments may be made in the future and other relevant factors. When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent equity sale occurs, we use the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate our valuation. While most of our investments are not publicly traded, applicable accounting standards require us to assume as part of our valuation process that our investments are sold in a principal market to market participants (even if we plan on holding an investment through its maturity). As a result, volatility in the capital markets can also adversely affect our investment valuations. Decreases in the market values or fair values of our investments are recorded as unrealized depreciation. The effect of all of these factors on our portfolio can reduce our net asset value by increasing net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio.

Depending on market conditions, we could incur substantial realized losses and may suffer substantial unrealized depreciation in future periods, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Index to Financial Statements

Economic recessions or slowdowns could impair the ability of our portfolio companies to repay loans, which, in turn, could increase our non-performing assets, decrease the value of our portfolio, reduce our volume of new loans and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Many of our portfolio companies may be susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions in both the U.S. and foreign countries, and may be unable to repay our loans during such periods. Therefore, during such periods, our non-performing assets are likely to increase and the value of our portfolio is likely to decrease. Adverse economic conditions also may decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans and the value of our equity investments. Economic slowdowns or recessions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in revenues, net income and assets. Unfavorable economic conditions also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. These events could prevent us from increasing investments and harm our operating results.

In particular, intellectual property owned or controlled by our portfolio companies may constitute an important portion of the value of the collateral of our loans to our portfolio companies. Adverse economic conditions may decrease the demand for our portfolio companies intellectual property and consequently its value in the event of a bankruptcy or required sale through a foreclosure proceeding. As a result, our ability to fully recover the amounts owed to us under the terms of the loans may be impaired by such events.

A portfolio company s failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of the portfolio company s loans and foreclosure on its secured assets, which could trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize the portfolio company s ability to meet its obligations under the debt securities that we hold. We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms with a defaulting portfolio company.

The health and performance of our portfolio companies could be adversely affected by political and economic conditions in the countries in which they conduct business.

Some of the products of our portfolio companies are developed, manufactured, assembled, tested or marketed outside the U.S. Any conflict or uncertainty in these countries, including due to natural disasters, public health concerns, political unrest or safety concerns, could harm their business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if the government of any country in which their products are developed, manufactured or sold sets technical or regulatory standards for products developed or manufactured in or imported into their country that are not widely shared, it may lead some of their customers to suspend imports of their products into that country, require manufacturers or developers in that country to manufacture or develop products with different technical or regulatory standards and disrupt cross-border manufacturing, marketing or business relationships which, in each case, could harm their businesses.

Any unrealized losses we experience on our investment portfolio may be an indication of future realized losses, which could reduce our income available for distribution and could impair our ability to service our borrowings.

As a business development company, we are required to carry our investments at market value or, if no market value is ascertainable, at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. Decreases in the market values or fair values of our investments will be recorded as unrealized depreciation. Any unrealized depreciation in our investment portfolio could be an indication of a portfolio company s inability to meet its repayment obligations to us with respect to the affected investments. This could result in realized losses in the future and ultimately in reductions of our income available for distribution in future periods and could materially adversely affect our ability to service our outstanding borrowings.

Index to Financial Statements

A lack of initial public offering, or IPO, opportunities may cause companies to stay in our portfolio longer, leading to lower returns, unrealized depreciation, or realized losses.

A lack of IPO opportunities for venture capital-backed companies could lead to companies staying longer in our portfolio as private entities still requiring funding. This situation may adversely affect the amount of available funding for early-stage companies in particular as, in general, venture-capital firms are being forced to provide additional financing to late-stage companies that cannot complete an IPO. In the best case, such stagnation would dampen returns, and in the worst case, could lead to unrealized depreciation and realized losses as some companies run short of cash and have to accept lower valuations in private fundings or are not able to access additional capital at all. A lack of IPO opportunities for venture capital-backed companies can also cause some venture capital firms to change their strategies, leading some of them to reduce funding of their portfolio companies and making it more difficult for such companies to access capital and to fulfill their potential, which can result in unrealized depreciation and realized losses in such companies by other companies such as ourselves who are co-investors in such companies.

The majority of our portfolio companies will need multiple rounds of additional financing to repay their debts to us and continue operations. Our portfolio companies may not be able to raise additional financing, which could harm our investment returns.

The majority of our portfolio companies will often require substantial additional equity financing to satisfy their continuing working capital and other cash requirements and, in most instances, to service the interest and principal payments on our investment. Each round of venture financing is typically intended to provide a company with only enough capital to reach the next stage of development. We cannot predict the circumstances or market conditions under which our portfolio companies will seek additional capital. It is possible that one or more of our portfolio companies will not be able to raise additional financing or may be able to do so only at a price or on terms unfavorable to us, either of which would negatively impact our investment returns. Some of these companies may be unable to obtain sufficient financing from private investors, public capital markets or traditional lenders. This may have a significant impact if the companies are unable to obtain certain federal, state or foreign agency approval for their products or the marketing thereof, of if regulatory review processes extend longer than anticipated, and the companies need continued funding for their operations during these times. Accordingly, financing these types of companies may entail a higher risk of loss than would financing companies that are able to utilize traditional credit sources.

If the assets securing the loans that we make decrease in value, then we may lack sufficient collateral to cover losses.

To attempt to mitigate credit risks, we will typically take a security interest in the available assets of our portfolio companies. There is no assurance that we will obtain or properly perfect our liens.

There is a risk that the collateral securing our loans may decrease in value over time, may be difficult to sell in a timely manner, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based upon the success of the business and market conditions, including as a result of the inability of a portfolio company to raise additional capital. In some circumstances, our lien could be subordinated to claims of other creditors. Consequently, the fact that a loan is secured does not guarantee that we will receive principal and interest payments according to the loan s terms, or that we will be able to collect on the loan should we be forced to enforce our remedies.

In addition, because we invest in technology-related companies, a substantial portion of the assets securing our investment may be in the form of intellectual property, if any, inventory and equipment and, to a lesser extent, cash and accounts receivable. Intellectual property, if any, that is securing our loan could lose value if, among other things, the company s rights to the intellectual property are challenged or if the company s license to the intellectual property is revoked or expires, the technology fails to achieve its intended results or a new technology makes the intellectual property functionally obsolete. Inventory may not be adequate to secure our loan if our valuation of the inventory at the time that we made the loan was not accurate or if there is a reduction in the demand for the inventory.

Index to Financial Statements

Similarly, any equipment securing our loan may not provide us with the anticipated security if there are changes in technology or advances in new equipment that render the particular equipment obsolete or of limited value, or if the company fails to adequately maintain or repair the equipment. Any one or more of the preceding factors could materially impair our ability to recover principal in a foreclosure.

At December 31, 2013, approximately 62.8% of the Company's portfolio company loans were secured by a first priority security in all of the assets of the portfolio company (including their intellectual property), 37.1% of portfolio company loans were to portfolio companies that were prohibited from pledging or encumbering their intellectual property and 0.1% of portfolio company loans had an equipment only lien.

We may suffer a loss if a portfolio company defaults on a loan and the underlying collateral is not sufficient.

In the event of a default by a portfolio company on a secured loan, we will only have recourse to the assets collateralizing the loan. If the underlying collateral value is less than the loan amount, we will suffer a loss. In addition, we sometimes make loans that are unsecured, which are subject to the risk that other lenders may be directly secured by the assets of the portfolio company. In the event of a default, those collateralized lenders would have priority over us with respect to the proceeds of a sale of the underlying assets. In cases described above, we may lack control over the underlying asset collateralizing our loan or the underlying assets of the portfolio company prior to a default, and as a result the value of the collateral may be reduced by acts or omissions by owners or managers of the assets.

In the event of bankruptcy of a portfolio company, we may not have full recourse to its assets in order to satisfy our loan, or our loan may be subject to equitable subordination. This means that depending on the facts and circumstances, including the extent to which we actually provided significant managerial assistance, if any, to that portfolio company, a bankruptcy court might re-characterize our debt holding and subordinate all or a portion of our claim to that of other creditors. In addition, certain of our loans are subordinate to other debt of the portfolio company. If a portfolio company defaults on our loan or on debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a portfolio company bankruptcy, our loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt receives payment. Where debt senior to our loan exists, the presence of intercreditor arrangements may limit our ability to amend our loan documents, assign our loans, accept prepayments, exercise our remedies (through standstill periods) and control decisions made in bankruptcy proceedings relating to the portfolio company. Bankruptcy and portfolio company litigation can significantly increase collection losses and the time needed for us to acquire the underlying collateral in the event of a default, during which time the collateral may decline in value, causing us to suffer losses.

If the value of collateral underlying our loan declines or interest rates increase during the term of our loan, a portfolio company may not be able to obtain the necessary funds to repay our loan at maturity through refinancing. Decreasing collateral value and/or increasing interest rates may hinder a portfolio company s ability to refinance our loan because the underlying collateral cannot satisfy the debt service coverage requirements necessary to obtain new financing. If a borrower is unable to repay our loan at maturity, we could suffer a loss which may adversely impact our financial performance.

The inability of our portfolio companies to commercialize their technologies or create or develop commercially viable products or businesses would have a negative impact on our investment returns.

The possibility that our portfolio companies will not be able to commercialize their technology, products or business concepts presents significant risks to the value of our investment. Additionally, although some of our portfolio companies may already have a commercially successful product or product line when we invest, technology-related products and services often have a more limited market- or life-span than have products in other industries. Thus, the ultimate success of these companies often depends on their ability to continually innovate, or raise additional capital, in increasingly competitive markets. Their inability to do so could affect our

Index to Financial Statements

investment return. In addition, the intellectual property held by our portfolio companies often represents a substantial portion of the collateral, if any, securing our investments. We cannot assure you that any of our portfolio companies will successfully acquire or develop any new technologies, or that the intellectual property the companies currently hold will remain viable. Even if our portfolio companies are able to develop commercially viable products, the market for new products and services is highly competitive and rapidly changing. Neither our portfolio companies nor we have any control over the pace of technology development. Commercial success is difficult to predict, and the marketing efforts of our portfolio companies may not be successful.

An investment strategy focused primarily on privately-held companies presents certain challenges, including the lack of available information about these companies, a dependence on the talents and efforts of only a few key portfolio company personnel and a greater vulnerability to economic downturns.

We invest primarily in privately-held companies. Generally, very little public information exists about these companies, and we are required to rely on the ability of our management team to obtain adequate information to evaluate the potential returns from investing in these companies. If we are unable to uncover all material information about these companies, then we may not make a fully informed investment decision, and we may not receive the expected return on our investment or lose some or all of the money invested in these companies.

Also, privately-held companies frequently have less diverse product lines and a smaller market presence than do larger competitors. Privately-held companies are, thus, generally more vulnerable to economic downturns and may experience more substantial variations in operating results than do larger competitors. These factors could affect our investment returns and our results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, our success depends, in large part, upon the abilities of the key management personnel of our portfolio companies, who are responsible for the day-to-day operations of our portfolio companies. Competition for qualified personnel is intense at any stage of a company s development, and high turnover of personnel is common in technology-related companies. The loss of one or more key managers can hinder or delay a company s implementation of its business plan and harm its financial condition. Our portfolio companies may not be able to attract and retain qualified managers and personnel. Any inability to do so may negatively impact our investment returns and our results of operations and financial condition.

If our portfolio companies are unable to protect their intellectual property rights, or are required to devote significant resources to protecting their intellectual property rights, then our investments could be harmed.

Our future success and competitive position depend in part upon the ability of our portfolio companies to obtain and maintain proprietary technology used in their products and services, which will often represent a significant portion of the collateral, if any, securing our investment. The portfolio companies will rely, in part, on patent, trade secret and trademark law to protect that technology, but competitors may misappropriate their intellectual property, and disputes as to ownership of intellectual property may arise. Portfolio companies may, from time to time, be required to institute litigation in order to enforce their patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights, to protect their trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others or to defend against claims of infringement. Such litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources. Similarly, if a portfolio company is found to infringe upon or misappropriate a third party s patent or other proprietary rights, that portfolio company could be required to pay damages to such third party, alter its own products or processes, obtain a license from the third party and/or cease activities utilizing such proprietary rights, including making or selling products utilizing such proprietary rights. Any of the foregoing events could negatively affect both the portfolio company s ability to service our debt investment and the value of any related debt and equity securities that we own, as well as any collateral securing our investment.

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio company litigation could result in additional costs, the diversion of management time and resources and have an adverse impact on the fair value of our investment.

To the extent that litigation arises with respect to any of our portfolio companies, we may be named as a defendant, which could result in additional costs and the diversion of management time and resources. Furthermore, if we are providing managerial assistance to the portfolio company or have representatives on the portfolio company s board of directors, our costs and diversion of our management s time and resources in assessing the portfolio company could be substantial in light of any such litigation regardless of whether we are named as a defendant. In addition, litigation involving a portfolio company may be costly and affect the operations of the portfolio company s business, which could in turn have an adverse impact on the fair value of our investment in such company.

We may not be able to realize our entire investment on equipment-based loans in the case of default.

We may from time-to-time provide loans that will be collateralized only by equipment of the portfolio company. If the portfolio company defaults on the loan we would take possession of the underlying equipment to satisfy the outstanding debt. The residual value of the equipment at the time we would take possession may not be sufficient to satisfy the outstanding debt and we could experience a loss on the disposition of the equipment.

Our investments in foreign securities may involve significant risks in addition to the risks inherent in U.S. investments.

Our investment strategy contemplates that a portion of our investments may be in securities of foreign companies. Our total investments at value in foreign companies were approximately \$46.3 million or 5.1% of total investments at December 31, 2013. Investing in foreign companies may expose us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in U.S. companies. These risks include changes in exchange control regulations, political and social instability, expropriation, imposition of foreign taxes, less liquid markets and less available information than is generally the case in the U.S., higher transaction costs, less government supervision of exchanges, brokers and issuers, less developed bankruptcy laws, difficulty in enforcing contractual obligations, lack of uniform accounting and auditing standards and greater price volatility.

If our investments do not meet our performance expectations, you may not receive distributions.

We intend to make distributions on a quarterly basis to our stockholders. We may not be able to achieve operating results that will allow us to make distributions at a specific level or to increase the amount of these distributions from time to time. In addition, due to the asset coverage test applicable to us as a business development company, we may be limited in our ability to make distributions. Also, restrictions and provisions in any future credit facilities may limit our ability to make distributions. As a RIC, if we do not distribute a certain percentage of our income annually, we will suffer adverse tax consequences, including failure to obtain, or possible loss of, the federal income tax benefits allowable to RICs. We cannot assure you that you will receive distributions at a particular level or at all.

We may not have sufficient funds to make follow-on investments. Our decision not to make a follow-on investment may have a negative impact on a portfolio company in need of such an investment or may result in a missed opportunity for us.

After our initial investment in a portfolio company, we may be called upon from time to time to provide additional funds to such company or have the opportunity to increase our investment in a successful situation, for example, the exercise of a warrant to purchase common stock. Any decision we make not to make a follow-on investment or any inability on our part to make such an investment may have a negative impact on a portfolio company in need of such an investment or may result in a missed opportunity for us to increase our participation in a successful operation and may dilute our equity interest or otherwise reduce the expected yield on our investment. Moreover, a follow-on investment may limit the number of companies in which we can make initial

Index to Financial Statements

investments. In determining whether to make a follow-on investment, our management will exercise its business judgment and apply criteria similar to those used when making the initial investment. There is no assurance that we will make, or will have sufficient funds to make, follow-on investments and this could adversely affect our success and result in the loss of a substantial portion or all of our investment in a portfolio company.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business and, if we need to sell any of our investments, we may not be able to do so at a favorable price. As a result, we may suffer losses.

We generally invest in debt securities with terms of up to seven years and hold such investments until maturity, and we do not expect that our related holdings of equity securities will provide us with liquidity opportunities in the near-term. We invest and expect to continue investing in companies whose securities have no established trading market and whose securities are and will be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or whose securities are and will be less liquid than are publicly-traded securities. The illiquidity of these investments may make it difficult for us to sell these investments when desired. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we had previously recorded these investments. As a result, we do not expect to achieve liquidity in our investments in the near-term. However, to maintain our qualification as a business development company and as a RIC, we may have to dispose of investments if we do not satisfy one or more of the applicable criteria under the respective regulatory frameworks.

Our portfolio companies may incur debt or issue equity securities that rank equally with, or senior to, our investments in such companies.

We invest primarily in debt securities issued by our portfolio companies. In some cases, portfolio companies will be permitted to incur other debt, or issue other equity securities, that rank equally with, or senior to, our investment. Such instruments may provide that the holders thereof are entitled to receive payment of dividends, interest or principal on or before the dates on which we are entitled to receive payments in respect of our investments. These debt instruments would usually prohibit the portfolio companies from paying interest on or repaying our investments in the event and during the continuance of a default under such debt. Also, in the event of insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of a portfolio company, holders of securities ranking senior to our investment in that portfolio company would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distribution in respect of our investment. After repaying such holders, the portfolio company might not have any remaining assets to use for repaying its obligation to us. In the case of securities ranking equally with our investments, we would have to share on a pari passu basis any distributions with other security holders in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of the relevant portfolio company.

The rights we may have with respect to the collateral securing any junior priority loans we make to our portfolio companies may also be limited pursuant to the terms of one or more intercreditor agreements that we enter into with the holders of senior debt. Under such an intercreditor agreement, at any time that senior obligations are outstanding, we may forfeit certain rights with respect to the collateral to the holders of the senior obligations. These rights may include the right to commence enforcement proceedings against the collateral, the right to control the conduct of such enforcement proceedings, the right to approve amendments to collateral documents, the right to release liens on the collateral and the right to waive past defaults under collateral documents. We may not have the ability to control or direct such actions, even if as a result our rights as junior lenders are adversely affected.

Our equity related investments are highly speculative, and we may not realize gains from these investments. If our equity investments do not generate gains, then the return on our invested capital will be lower than it would otherwise be, which could result in a decline in the value of shares of our common stock.

When we invest in debt securities, we generally expect to acquire warrants or other equity securities as well. Our goal is ultimately to dispose of these equity interests and realize gains upon disposition of such interests.

Index to Financial Statements

Over time, the gains that we realize on these equity interests may offset, to some extent, losses that we experience on defaults under debt securities that we hold. However, the equity interests that we receive may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses that we experience.

Prepayments of our debt investments by our portfolio companies could adversely impact our results of operations and reduce our return on equity.

During 2013, we received debt investment early repayments and pay down of working capital debt investments of approximately \$477.5 million. We are subject to the risk that the investments we make in our portfolio companies may be repaid prior to maturity. When this occurs, we will generally reinvest these proceeds in temporary investments, pending their future investment in new portfolio companies. These temporary investments will typically have substantially lower yields than the debt being prepaid and we could experience significant delays in reinvesting these amounts. Any future investment in a new portfolio company may also be at lower yields than the debt that was repaid. As a result, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected if one or more of our portfolio companies elect to prepay amounts owed to us. Additionally, prepayments could negatively impact our return on equity, which could result in a decline in the market price of our common stock.

We may choose to waive or defer enforcement of covenants in the debt securities held in our portfolio, which may cause us to lose all or part of our investment in these companies.

We structure the debt investments in our portfolio companies to include business and financial covenants placing affirmative and negative obligations on the operation of the company s business and its financial condition. However, from time to time we may elect to waive breaches of these covenants, including our right to payment, or waive or defer enforcement of remedies, such as acceleration of obligations or foreclosure on collateral, depending upon the financial condition and prospects of the particular portfolio company. These actions may reduce the likelihood of our receiving the full amount of future payments of interest or principal and be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of the underlying collateral as many of these companies may have limited financial resources, may be unable to meet future obligations and may go bankrupt. This could negatively impact our ability to pay dividends, could adversely affect our results of operation and financial condition and cause the loss of all or part of your investment.

We may also be subject to lender liability claims for actions taken by us with respect to a borrower s business or instances where we exercise control over the borrower. It is possible that we could become subject to a lender s liability claim, including as a result of actions taken in rendering significant managerial assistance or actions to compel and collect payments from the borrower outside the ordinary course of business.

Our loans could be subject to equitable subordination by a court which would increase our risk of loss with respect to such loans or we could be subject to lender liability claims.

Courts may apply the doctrine of equitable subordination to subordinate the claim or lien of a lender against a borrower to claims or liens of other creditors of the borrower, when the lender or its affiliates is found to have engaged in unfair, inequitable or fraudulent conduct. The courts have also applied the doctrine of equitable subordination when a lender or its affiliates is found to have exerted inappropriate control over a client, including control resulting from the ownership of equity interests in a client. We have made direct equity investments or received warrants in connection with loans. These investments represent approximately 9.7% of the outstanding balance of our portfolio as of December 31, 2013. Payments on one or more of our loans, particularly a loan to a client in which we also hold an equity interest, may be subject to claims of equitable subordination. If we were deemed to have the ability to control or otherwise exercise influence over the business and affairs of one or more of our portfolio companies resulting in economic hardship to other creditors of that company, this control or influence may constitute grounds for equitable subordination and a court may treat one or more of our loans as if

Index to Financial Statements

it were unsecured or common equity in the portfolio company. In that case, if the portfolio company were to liquidate, we would be entitled to repayment of our loan on a pro-rata basis with other unsecured debt or, if the effect of subordination was to place us at the level of common equity, then on an equal basis with other holders of the portfolio company s common equity only after all of its obligations relating to its debt and preferred securities had been satisfied.

Risks Related to Our Securities

Investing in shares of our common stock involves an above average degree of risk.

The investments we make in accordance with our investment objective may result in a higher amount of risk, volatility or loss of principal than alternative investment options. Our investments in portfolio companies may be highly speculative and aggressive, and therefore, an investment in our common stock may not be suitable for investors with lower risk tolerance.

Our common stock may trade below its net asset value per share, which limits our ability to raise additional equity capital.

If our common stock is trading below its net asset value per share, we will generally not be able to issue additional shares of our common stock at its market price without first obtaining the approval for such issuance from our stockholders and our independent directors. If our common stock trades below net asset value, the higher cost of equity capital may result in it being unattractive to raise new equity, which may limit our ability to grow. The risk of trading below net asset value is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value per share may decline. We cannot predict whether shares of our common stock will trade above, at or below our net asset value.

Provisions of our charter and bylaws could deter takeover attempts and have an adverse impact on the price of our common stock.

Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging, delaying, or making difficult a change in control of our company or the removal of our incumbent directors. Under our charter, our Board of Directors is divided into three classes serving staggered terms, which will make it more difficult for a hostile bidder to acquire control of us. In addition, our Board of Directors may, without stockholder action, authorize the issuance of shares of stock in one or more classes or series, including preferred stock. Subject to compliance with the 1940 Act, our Board of Directors may, without stockholder action, amend our charter to increase the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we have authority to issue. The existence of these provisions, among others, may have a negative impact on the price of our common stock and may discourage third party bids for ownership of our company. These provisions may prevent any premiums being offered to you for shares of our common stock.

We may again obtain the approval of our stockholders to issue shares of our common stock at prices below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock. If we receive such approval from the stockholders, we may again issue shares of our common stock at a price below the then current net asset value per share of common stock. Any such issuance could materially dilute your interest in our common stock and reduce our net asset value per share.

We may again obtain the approval of our stockholders to issue shares of our common stock at prices below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock. Such approval has allowed and may again allow us to access the capital markets in a way that we typically are unable to do as a result of restrictions that, absent stockholder approval, apply to business development companies under the 1940 Act. Any decision to sell shares of our common stock below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock is subject to the determination by our board of directors that such issuance and sale is in our and our stockholders best interests.

Index to Financial Statements

Any sale or other issuance of shares of our common stock at a price below net asset value per share has resulted and will continue to result in an immediate dilution to your interest in our common stock and a reduction of our net asset value per share. This dilution would occur as a result of a proportionately greater decrease in a stockholder s interest in our earnings and assets and voting interest in us than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance. Because the number of future shares of common stock that may be issued below our net asset value per share and the price and timing of such issuances are not currently known, we cannot predict the actual dilutive effect of any such issuance. We also cannot determine the resulting reduction in our net asset value per share of any such issuance at this time. We caution you that such effects may be material, and we undertake to describe all the material risks and dilutive effects of any offering that we make at a price below our then current net asset value in the future in a prospectus supplement issued in connection with any such offering. We cannot predict whether shares of our common stock will trade above, at or below our net asset value.

If we conduct an offering of our common stock at a price below net asset value, investors are likely to incur immediate dilution upon the closing of the offering.

We are not generally able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. We may, however, sell our common stock, at a price below the current net asset value of the common stock, or sell warrants, options or rights to acquire such common stock, at a price below the current net asset value of the common stock if our board of directors determines that such sale is in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders have approved the practice of making such sales.

Although we are not currently authorized to issue shares of our common stock at a price below our net asset value per share, we may seek stockholder approval of this proposal again at a special meeting of stockholders or our next annual meeting of stockholders. Our Board of Directors, subject to its fiduciary duties and regulatory requirements, has the discretion to determine the amount of the discount, and as a result, the discount could be up to 100% of net asset value per share. If we were to issue shares at a price below net asset value, such sales would result in an immediate dilution to existing common stockholders, which would include a reduction in the net asset value per share as a result of the issuance. This dilution would also include a proportionately greater decrease in a stockholder s interest in our earnings and assets and voting interest in us than the increase in our assets resulting from such issuance.

In addition, if we determined to conduct additional offerings in the future there may be even greater discounts if we determine to conduct such offerings at prices below net asset value. As a result, investors will experience further dilution and additional discounts to the price of our common stock. Because the number of shares of common stock that could be so issued and the timing of any issuance is not currently known, the actual dilutive effect of an offering cannot be predicted. We did not sell any of our securities at a price below net asset value during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Our shares may trade at discounts from net asset value or at premiums that are unsustainable over the long term.

Shares of business development companies may trade at a market price that is less than the net asset value that is attributable to those shares. Our shares have traded above and below our NAV. The possibility that our shares of common stock will trade at a discount from net asset value or at a premium that is unsustainable over the long term is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value will decrease. It is not possible to predict whether our shares will trade at, above or below net asset value in the future.

We may allocate the net proceeds from an offering in ways with which you may not agree.

We have significant flexibility in investing the net proceeds of an offering and may use the net proceeds from an offering in ways with which you may not agree or for purposes other than those contemplated at the time of the offering.

Index to Financial Statements

If we issue preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt securities, the net asset value and market value of our common stock may become more volatile.

We cannot assure you that the issuance of preferred stock and/or debt securities would result in a higher yield or return to the holders of our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt would likely cause the net asset value and market value of our common stock to become more volatile. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock, or the interest rate on the debt securities, were to approach the net rate of return on our investment portfolio, the benefit of leverage to the holders of our common stock would be reduced. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock, or the interest rate on the debt securities, were to exceed the net rate of return on our portfolio, the use of leverage would result in a lower rate of return to the holders of common stock than if we had not issued the preferred stock or debt securities. Any decline in the net asset value of our investment would be borne entirely by the holders of our common stock. Therefore, if the market value of our portfolio were to decline, the leverage would result in a greater decrease in net asset value to the holders of our common stock than if we were not leveraged through the issuance of preferred stock. This decline in net asset value would also tend to cause a greater decline in the market price for our common stock.

There is also a risk that, in the event of a sharp decline in the value of our net assets, we would be in danger of failing to maintain required asset coverage ratios which may be required by the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or units or of a downgrade in the ratings of the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or units or our current investment income might not be sufficient to meet the dividend requirements on the preferred stock or the interest payments on the debt securities. If we do not maintain our required asset coverage ratios, we may not be permitted to declare dividends. In order to counteract such an event, we might need to liquidate investments in order to fund redemption of some or all of the preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt. In addition, we would pay (and the holders of our common stock would bear) all costs and expenses relating to the issuance and ongoing maintenance of the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or any combination of these securities. Holders of preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt may have different interests than holders of common stock and may at times have disproportionate influence over our affairs.

Holders of any preferred stock that we may issue will have the right to elect members of the board of directors and have class voting rights on certain matters.

The 1940 Act requires that holders of shares of preferred stock must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends on such preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more, until such arrearage is eliminated. In addition, certain matters under the 1940 Act require the separate vote of the holders of any issued and outstanding preferred stock, including changes in fundamental investment restrictions and conversion to open-end status and, accordingly, preferred stockholders could veto any such changes. Restrictions imposed on the declarations and payment of dividends or other distributions to the holders of our common stock and preferred stock, both by the 1940 Act and by requirements imposed by rating agencies, might impair our ability to maintain our qualification as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Your interest in us may be diluted if you do not fully exercise your subscription rights in any rights offering. In addition, if the subscription price is less than our net asset value per share, then you will experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of your shares.

In the event we issue subscription rights, stockholders who do not fully exercise their subscription rights should expect that they will, at the completion of a rights offering pursuant to this prospectus, own a smaller proportional interest in us than would otherwise be the case if they fully exercised their rights. We cannot state precisely the amount of any such dilution in share ownership because we do not know at this time what proportion of the shares will be purchased as a result of such rights offering.


In addition, if the subscription price is less than the net asset value per share of our common stock, then our stockholders would experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of their shares as a result of

Index to Financial Statements

the offering. The amount of any decrease in net asset value is not predictable because it is not known at this time what the subscription price and net asset value per share will be on the expiration date of a rights offering or what proportion of the shares will be purchased as a result of such rights offering. Such dilution could be substantial.

The trading market or market value of our publicly issued debt securities may fluctuate.

Our publicly issued debt securities may or may not have an established trading market. We cannot assure you that a trading market for our publicly issued debt securities will ever develop or be maintained if developed. In addition to our creditworthiness, many factors may materially adversely affect the trading market for, and market value of, our publicly issued debt securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:



Terms relating to redemption may materially adversely affect your return on any debt securities that we may issue.

If your debt securities are redeemable at our option, we may choose to redeem your debt securities at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on your debt securities. In addition, if your debt securities are subject to mandatory redemption, we may be required to redeem your debt securities also at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on your debt securities. In this circumstance, you may not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds in a comparable security at an effective interest rate as high as your debt securities being redeemed.

Our credit ratings may not reflect all risks of an investment in our debt securities.

Our credit ratings are an assessment by third parties of our ability to pay our obligations. Consequently, real or anticipated changes in our credit ratings will generally affect the market value of our debt securities. Our credit ratings, however, may not reflect the potential impact of risks related to market conditions generally or other factors discussed above on the market value of or trading market for the publicly issued debt securities.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Investors in offerings of our common stock will likely incur immediate dilution upon the closing of such offering.

We generally expect the public offering price of any offering of shares of our common stock to be higher than the book value per share of our outstanding common stock (unless we offer shares pursuant to a rights offering or after obtaining prior approval for such issuance from our stockholders and our independent directors). Accordingly, investors purchasing shares of common stock in offerings pursuant to this prospectus may pay a price per share that exceeds the tangible book value per share after such offering.

Index to Financial Statements

losing RIC status;

Our stockholders will experience dilution in their ownership percentage if they opt out of our dividend reinvestment plan.

All dividends declared in cash payable to stockholders that are participants in our dividend reinvestment plan are automatically reinvested in shares of our common stock. As a result, our stockholders that opt out of our dividend reinvestment plan will experience dilution in their ownership percentage of our common stock over time.

Our stockholders may experience dilution upon the conversion of the Convertible Notes.

The Convertible Senior Notes are convertible into shares of our common stock beginning October 15, 2015, or, under certain circumstances, earlier. Upon conversion of the Convertible Notes, we have the choice to pay or deliver, as the case may be, at our election, cash, shares of our common stock or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. The current conversion price of the Convertible Senior Notes is approximately \$11.56 per share of common stock, in each case subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. If we elect to deliver shares of common stock upon a conversion at the time our tangible book value per share exceeds the conversion price in effect at such time, our stockholders will incur dilution. In addition, our stockholders will experience dilution in their ownership percentage of common stock upon our issuance of common stock in connection with the conversion of the Convertible Senior Notes and any dividends paid on our common stock will also be paid on shares issued in connection with such conversion after such issuance.

Our common stock price has been and continues to be volatile and may decrease substantially.

As with any company, the price of our common stock will fluctuate with market conditions and other factors, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time;

significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of RICs, business development companies or other financial services companies;

any inability to deploy or invest our capital;

fluctuations in interest rates;

any shortfall in revenue or net income or any increase in losses from levels expected by investors or securities analysts;

the financial performance of specific industries in which we invest in on a recurring basis;

announcement of strategic developments, acquisitions, and other material events by us or our competitors, or operating performance of companies comparable to us;

changes in regulatory policies or tax guidelines with respect to RICs, SBICs or business development companies;

Table of Contents 55

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

actual or anticipated changes in our earnings or fluctuations in our operating results, or changes in the expectations of securities analysts;
changes in the value of our portfolio of investments;
realized losses in investments in our portfolio companies;
general economic conditions and trends;
inability to access the capital markets;

43

Index to Financial Statements

loss of a major funded source; or

departures of key personnel.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company s securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that company. Due to the potential volatility of our stock price, we may be the target of securities litigation in the future. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and could divert management s attention and resources from our business.


44

Table of Contents 57

Index to Financial Statements

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The matters discussed in this prospectus, as well as in future oral and written statements by management of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, that are forward-looking statements are based on current management expectations that involve substantial risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. We generally identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as will, should, expects, plans, anticipates, could, intends, target, projects, contemplates, the negative of these terms or other similar words. Important assumptions include our ability to originate new investments, achieve certain margins and levels of profitability, the availability of additional capital, and the ability to maintain certain debt to asset ratios. In light of these and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a projection or forward-looking statement in this prospectus should not be regarded as a representation by us that our plans or objectives will be achieved. The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus include statements as to:



pre

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

the	timing o	of cash	flows, if	any,	from t	he ope	erations	of our	portfoli	io companies;
-----	----------	---------	-----------	------	--------	--------	----------	--------	----------	---------------

the timing, form and amount of any dividend distributions;

the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on our business;

the valuation of any investments in portfolio companies, particularly those having no liquid trading market; and

our ability to recover unrealized losses.

For a discussion of factors that could cause our actual results to differ from forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus, please see the discussion under Risk Factors. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements made in this prospectus relate only to events as of the date on which the statements are made and are excluded from the safe harbor protection provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Index to Financial Statements

We have compiled certain industry estimates presented in this prospectus from internally generated information and data. While we believe our estimates are reliable, they have not been verified by any independent sources. The estimates are based on a number of assumptions, including increasing investment in venture capital and private equity-backed companies. Actual results may differ from projections and estimates, and this market may not grow at the rates projected, or at all. If this market fails to grow at projected rates, our business and the market price of our common stock could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents 60

Index to Financial Statements

USE OF PROCEEDS

We intend to use the net proceeds from selling our securities for funding investments in debt and equity securities in accordance with our investment objective and other general corporate purposes. The supplement to this prospectus relating to an offering will more fully identify the use of proceeds from such offering.

We anticipate that substantially all of the net proceeds from any offering of our securities will be used as described above within twelve months, but in no event longer than two years. Pending such uses and investments, we will invest the net proceeds primarily in cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment. Our ability to achieve our investment objective may be limited to the extent that the net proceeds of any offering, pending full investment, are held in lower yielding short-term instruments.

Index to Financial Statements

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol HTGC.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market for those periods prior to April 30, 2012 and the NYSE thereafter, the sales price as a percentage of net asset value and the dividends declared by us for each fiscal quarter. The stock quotations are interdealer quotations and do not include markups, markdowns or commissions.

		Price	Range	Premium/ Discount of High Sales	Premium/ Discount of Low Sales	Cash Dividend
	NAV ⁽¹⁾	High	Low	Price to NAV	Price to NAV	per Share
2012						
First quarter	\$ 7.76	\$ 10.53	\$ 8.72	35.7%	12.4%	\$ 0.230
Second quarter	\$ 9.54	\$ 10.84	\$ 9.76	13.6%	2.3%	\$ 0.240
Third quarter	\$ 9.42	\$ 11.26	\$ 10.50	19.5%	11.5%	\$ 0.240
Fourth quarter	\$ 9.75	\$ 11.18	\$ 9.84	14.7%	0.9%	\$ 0.240
2013						
First quarter	\$ 10.00	\$ 11.88	\$ 11.58	18.8%	15.8%	\$ 0.250
Second quarter	\$ 10.09	\$ 13.61	\$ 11.05	34.9%	9.5%	\$ 0.270
Third quarter	\$ 10.42	\$ 15.18	\$ 13.20	45.7%	26.7%	\$ 0.280
Fourth quarter	\$ 10.51	\$ 17.09	\$ 14.62	62.6%	39.1%	\$ 0.310
2014						
First quarter (through March 18, 2014)	*	\$ 16.23	\$ 14.51	*	*	\$ 0.310

⁽¹⁾ Net asset value per share is generally determined as of the last day in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the net asset value per share on the date of the high and low sales prices. The net asset values shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.

The last reported price for our common stock on March 18, 2014 was \$14.79 per share.

Shares of business development companies may trade at a market price that is less than the value of the net assets attributable to those shares. The possibility that our shares of common stock will trade at a discount from net asset value or at premiums that are unsustainable over the long term are separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value will decrease. At times, our shares of common stock have traded at a premium to net asset value and at times our shares of common stock have traded at a discount to the net assets attributable to those shares. It is not possible to predict whether the shares offered hereby will trade at, above, or below net asset value.

^{*} Net asset value has not yet been calculated for this period.

Index to Financial Statements

Dividends

The following table summarizes dividends declared and paid or to be paid on all shares, including restricted stock, to date:

Date Declared	Record Date	Payment Date	Amount Per	Share
October 27, 2005	November 1, 2005	November 17, 2005	\$	0.03
December 9, 2005	January 6, 2006	January 27, 2006		0.30
April 3, 2006	April 10, 2006	May 5, 2006		0.30
July 19, 2006	July 31, 2006	August 28, 2006		0.30
October 16, 2006	November 6, 2006	December 1, 2006		0.30
February 7, 2007	February 19, 2007	March 19, 2007		0.30
May 3, 2007	May 16, 2007	June 18, 2007		0.30
August 2, 2007	August 16, 2007	September 17, 2007		0.30
November 1, 2007	November 16, 2007	December 17, 2007		0.30
February 7, 2008	February 15, 2008	March 17, 2008		0.30
May 8, 2008	May 16, 2008	June 16, 2008		0.34
August 7, 2008	August 15, 2008	September 19, 2008		0.34
November 6, 2008	November 14, 2008	December 15, 2008		0.34
February 12, 2009	February 23, 2009	March 30, 2009		0.32*
May 7, 2009	May 15, 2009	June 15, 2009		0.30
August 6, 2009	August 14, 2009	September 14, 2009		0.30
October 15, 2009	October 20, 2009	November 23, 2009		0.30
December 16, 2009	December 24, 2009	December 30, 2009		0.04
February 11, 2010	February 19, 2010	March 19, 2010		0.20
May 3, 2010	May 12, 2010	June 18, 2010		0.20
August 2, 2010	August 12, 2010	September 17, 2010		0.20
November 4, 2010	November 10, 2010	December 17, 2010		0.20
March 1, 2011	March 10, 2011	March 24, 2011		0.22
May 5, 2011	May 11, 2011	June 23, 2011		0.22
August 4, 2011	August 15, 2011	September 15, 2011		0.22
November 3, 2011	November 14, 2011	November 29, 2011		0.22
February 27, 2012	March 12, 2012	March 15, 2012		0.23
April 30, 2012	May 18, 2012	May 25, 2012		0.24
July 30, 2012	August 17, 2012	August 24, 2012		0.24
October 26, 2012	November 14, 2012	November 21, 2012		0.24
February 26, 2013	March 11, 2013	March 19, 2013		0.25
April 29, 2013	May 14, 2013	May 21, 2013		0.27
July 29, 2013	August 13, 2013	August 20, 2013		0.28
November 4, 2013	November 18, 2013	November 25, 2013		0.31
February 24, 2014	March 10, 2014	March 17, 2014		0.31

9.06

On February 24, 2014 the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.31 per share paid on March 17, 2014 to shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. This dividend represents our thirty-fourth consecutive dividend declaration since our initial public offering, bringing the total cumulative dividends declared to date to \$9.06 per share.

Our Board of Directors maintains a variable dividend policy with the objective of distributing four quarterly distributions in an amount that approximates 90 100% of our taxable quarterly income or potential annual income for a particular year. In addition, at the end of the year, we may also pay an additional special dividend or fifth dividend, such that we may distribute approximately all of our annual taxable income in the year it was earned, while maintaining the option to spill over our excess taxable income.

^{*} Dividend paid in cash and stock.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would generally be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder s tax basis, and any remaining distributions would be treated as a capital gain. The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. Of the dividends declared during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, 100% were distributions of

Index to Financial Statements

ordinary income. There can be no certainty to stockholders that this determination is representative of what the tax attributes of our 2014 distributions to stockholders will actually be.

We maintain an opt out dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders. As a result, if we declare a dividend, cash dividends will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock unless you specifically opt out of the dividend reinvestment plan and choose to receive cash dividends. During fiscal years 2013, 2012 and 2011, we issued approximately 159,000, 219,000 and 167,000 shares, respectively, of common stock to shareholders in connection with the dividend reinvestment plan.

Each year a statement on Form 1099-DIV identifying the source of the distribution (i.e., paid from ordinary income, paid from net capital gains on the sale of securities, and/or a return of paid-in-capital surplus which is a nontaxable distribution) is mailed to our stockholders. To the extent our taxable earnings fall below the total amount of our distributions for that fiscal year, a portion of those distributions may be deemed a tax return of capital to our stockholders.

We operate to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under the Code. Generally, a RIC is entitled to deduct dividends it pays to its shareholders from its income to determine taxable income. Taxable income includes our taxable interest, dividend and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual payment-in-kind interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

We intend to distribute quarterly dividends to our stockholders. In order to avoid certain excise taxes imposed on RICs, we currently intend to distribute during each calendar year an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our net ordinary income for the calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gains in excess of capital losses for the one year period ending on October 31 of the calendar year, and (3) any ordinary income and capital gains in excess of capital losses for the preceding year that were not distributed during such year. We will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which we are required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). In order to obtain the tax benefits applicable to RICs, we will be required to timely distribute to our stockholders with respect to each taxable year at least 90% of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term capital gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses.

We can offer no assurance that we will achieve results that will permit the payment of any cash distributions and, if we issue senior securities, we will be prohibited from making distributions if doing so causes us to fail to maintain the asset coverage ratios stipulated by the 1940 Act or if distributions are limited by the terms of any of our borrowings. See Regulation .

Our ability to make distributions will be limited by the asset coverage requirements under the 1940 Act.

50

Table of Contents 65

Index to Financial Statements

RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

The following contains our ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the periods indicated, computed as set forth below. You should read these ratios of earnings to fixed charges in connection with our consolidated financial statements, including the notes to those statements, included in this prospectus.

	For the year ended December 31, 2013	For the year ended December 31, 2012	For the year ended December 31,	For the year ended December 31, 2010	For the year ended December 31, 2009
Earnings to Fixed	2010			2010	2003
Charges ⁽¹⁾	3.83	2.97	3.95	1.51	2.20

For purposes of computing the ratios of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent net increase in stockholders equity resulting from operations plus fixed charges. Fixed charges include interest and credit facility fees expense and amortization of debt issuance costs.

(1) Earnings include net realized and unrealized gains or losses. Net realized and unrealized gains or losses can vary substantially from period to period.

Index to Financial Statements

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this prospectus contain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking information due to the factors discussed under Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statements appearing elsewhere herein.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company focused on providing senior secured loans to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science, and energy and renewables technology industries at all stages of development. We source our investments through our principal office located in Palo Alto, CA, as well as through our additional offices in Boston, MA, New York, NY, Boulder, CO and McLean, VA.

Our goal is to be the leading structured debt financing provider of choice for venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets requiring sophisticated and customized financing solutions. Our strategy is to evaluate and invest in a broad range of technology-related markets including technology, biotechnology, life science, and energy and renewables technology industries and to offer a full suite of growth capital products. We invest primarily in structured debt with warrants and, to a lesser extent, in senior debt and equity investments. We invest primarily in private companies but also have investments in public companies.

We use the term structured debt with warrants to refer to any debt investment, such as a senior or subordinated secured loan, that is coupled with an equity component, including warrants, options or rights to purchase common or preferred stock. Our structured debt with warrants investments typically are secured by some or all of the assets of the portfolio company.

Our investment objective is to maximize our portfolio total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity-related investments. Our primary business objectives are to increase our net income, net operating income and net asset value by investing in structured debt with warrants and equity of venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets with attractive current yields and the potential for equity appreciation and realized gains. Our equity ownership in our portfolio companies may represent a controlling interest. In some cases, we receive the right to make additional equity investments in our portfolio companies in connection with future equity financing rounds. Capital that we provide directly to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets is generally used for growth and general working capital purposes as well as in select cases for acquisitions or recapitalizations.

We also make investments in qualifying small businesses through our two wholly-owned SBICs. Our SBIC subsidiaries, HT II and HT III, hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets, respectively, prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013. We have issued \$225.0 million in SBA-guaranteed debentures in our SBIC subsidiaries, which is the maximum amount allowed for a group of SBICs under common control

We are an internally managed, non-diversified, closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. As a business development company, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements. For instance, we generally have to invest at least 70% of our total assets in qualifying assets, which includes securities of private U.S. companies, cash, cash equivalents and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less.

Index to Financial Statements

We have qualified as and have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a RIC under the Code. Pursuant to this election, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on any income that we distribute to our stockholders. However, our qualification and election to be treated as a RIC requires that we comply with provisions contained in the Code. For example, as a RIC we must receive 90% or more of our income from qualified earnings, typically referred to as good income, as well as satisfy asset diversification and income distribution requirements.

Our portfolio is comprised of, and we anticipate that our portfolio will continue to be comprised of, investments primarily in technology-related companies at various stages of their development. Consistent with requirements under the 1940 Act, we invest primarily in United-States based companies and to a lesser extent in foreign companies.

We regularly engage in discussions with third parties with respect to various potential transactions. We may acquire an investment or a portfolio of investments or an entire company or sell a portion of our portfolio on an opportunistic basis. We, our subsidiaries or our affiliates may also agree to manage certain other funds that invest in debt, equity or provide other financing or services to companies in a variety of industries for which we may earn management or other fees for our services. We may also invest in the equity of these funds, along with other third parties, from which we would seek to earn a return and/or future incentive allocations. Some of these transactions could be material to our business. Consummation of any such transaction will be subject to completion of due diligence, finalization of key business and financial terms (including price) and negotiation of final definitive documentation as well as a number of other factors and conditions including, without limitation, the approval of our board of directors and required regulatory or third party consents and, in certain cases, the approval of our stockholders. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that any such transaction would be consummated. Any of these transactions or funds may require significant management resources either during the transaction phase or on an ongoing basis depending on the terms of the transaction.

Portfolio and Investment Activity

The total fair value of our investment portfolio was \$910.3 million at December 31, 2013 as compared to \$906.3 million at December 31, 2012.

The fair value of the debt investment portfolio at December 31, 2013 was approximately \$822.0 million, compared to a fair value of approximately \$827.5 million at December 31, 2012. The fair value of the equity portfolio at December 31, 2013 was approximately \$52.7 million, compared to a fair value of approximately \$49.2 million at December 31, 2012. The fair value of the warrant portfolio at December 31, 2013 was approximately \$35.6 million, compared to a fair value of approximately \$29.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Portfolio Activity

Our investments in portfolio companies take a variety of forms, including unfunded contractual commitments and funded investments. From time to time, unfunded contractual commitments depend upon a portfolio company reaching certain milestones before the debt commitment is available to the portfolio company, which is expected to affect our funding levels. These commitments will be subject to the same underwriting and ongoing portfolio maintenance as the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Debt commitments generally fund over the two succeeding quarters from close. Not all debt commitments represent our future cash requirements. Similarly, unfunded contractual commitments may expire without being drawn and do not represent our future cash requirements.

Prior to entering into a contractual commitment, we generally issue a non-binding term sheet to a prospective portfolio company. Non-binding term sheets are subject to completion of our due diligence and final approval process, as well as the negotiation of definitive documentation with the prospective portfolio companies and generally convert to contractual commitments within approximately 90 days of signing. Not all non-binding term sheets are expected to close and do not necessarily represent our future cash requirements.

Index to Financial Statements

Our portfolio activity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was comprised of the following:

4 . W		ber 31,
(in millions)	2013	2012
Debt Commitments ⁽¹⁾		
New portfolio company	\$ 535.0	\$ 362.3
Existing portfolio company	165.1	274
Total	\$ 700.1	\$ 636.6
Funded Debt Investments		
New portfolio company	\$ 373.1	\$ 267.9
Existing portfolio company	118.0	191.4
Total	\$ 491.1	\$ 459.3
Funded Equity Investments		
New portfolio company	\$	\$ 6.0
Existing portfolio company	3.9	3.7
Total	\$ 3.9	\$ 9.7
Unfunded Contractual Commitments ⁽²⁾		
Total	\$ 151.0	\$ 61.9
Non-Binding Term Sheets		
New portfolio company	\$ 28.0	\$ 70.0
Existing portfolio company	10.0	
Total	\$ 38.0	\$ 70.0

- (1) Includes restructured loans.
- (2) As of December 31, 2013, includes unfunded contractual commitments in 24 new and existing portfolio companies. Approximately \$77.4 million of these unfunded origination activity commitments are dependent upon the portfolio company reaching certain milestones before the debt commitment becomes available.

We receive payments in our debt investment portfolio based on scheduled amortization of the outstanding balances. In addition, we receive principal repayments for some of our loans prior to their scheduled maturity date. The frequency or volume of these early principal repayments may fluctuate significantly from period to period. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we received approximately \$477.5 million in aggregate debt investment early repayments and pay down of working capital debt investments. Of the approximately \$477.5 million of aggregate repayments, approximately \$234.1 million were early repayments related to 37 portfolio companies, approximately \$67.2 million were early repayments due to merger and acquisition or initial public offering transactions related to nine portfolio companies and approximately \$176.2 million were scheduled principal payments.

Total portfolio investment activity (inclusive of unearned income) as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

(in millions)	December 31, 2013		ember 31, 2012
Beginning Portfolio	\$ 906.3	\$	652.9
New fundings	473.6		469.9
Restructure fundings	23.6		85.0
Warrants not related to current period fudings	3.5		(0.2)
Principal payments received on investments	(176.2)		(120.7)
Early payoffs	(301.3)		(125.1)
Restructure payoffs	(9.8)		(48.5)

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Accretion of loan discounts and paid-in-kind principal	31.9	21.3
New loan fees	(14.3)	(12.8)
Conversion of Other Assets		9.6
Debt converted to equity		0.6
Warrants converted to equity	0.2	
Proceeds from sale of investments	(22.5)	(7.2)
Net realized (loss) gain on investments	(16.7)	(14.1)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	12.0	(4.4)
Ending Portfolio	\$ 910.3	\$ 906.3

Index to Financial Statements

The following table shows the fair value of our portfolio of investments by asset class as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	December 31, 2012			
	Investments at Fair	Percentage of Total	Investments at Fair	Percentage of Total		
(in thousands)	Value	Portfolio	Value	Portfolio		
Senior secured debt with warrants	\$ 634,820	69.7%	\$ 652,041	72.0%		
Senior secured debt	222,805	24.5%	205,049	22.6%		
Preferred stock	35,554	3.9%	33,885	3.7%		
Common Stock	17,116	1.9%	15,325	1.7%		
	\$ 910,295	100.0%	\$ 906,300	100.0%		

A summary of our investment portfolio at value by geographic location is as follows:

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	December 31, 2012			
(in thousands)	Investments at Fair Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio	Investments at Fair Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio		
United States	\$ 864,003	94.9%	\$ 901,041	99.4%		
Canada	25,798	2.8%				
Netherlands	10,131	1.1%				
Israel	9,863	1.1%				
England	500	0.1%	5,259	0.6%		
-						
	\$ 910,295	100.0%	\$ 906,300	100.0%		

As of December 31, 2013, we held warrants or equity positions in five companies that have filed registration statements on Form S-1 with the SEC in contemplation of potential initial public offerings, including Everyday Health, Inc. and four companies which filed confidentially under the JOBS Act. There can be no assurance that these companies will complete their initial public offerings in a timely manner or at all.

In addition, subsequent to December 31, 2013 the following portfolio companies in which we held investments as of December 31, 2013 completed initial public offerings or were acquired:

- In January 2014, Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (NASDAQ: DRNA) completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock at \$15.00 per share.
- 2. In February 2014, Revance Therapeutics, Inc. (NASDAQ:RVNC) completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock at \$16.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in April 2013.
- 3. In February 2014, Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (NASDAQ:CNCE) completed its initial public offering of 6,000,000 shares of its common stock at \$14.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in December 2013.
- 4. In February 2014, Uniqure B.V. (NASDAQ:QURE) completed its initial public offering of 5,400,000 shares of its common stock at \$17.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in November 2013.

Changes in Portfolio

Table of Contents 71

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

We generate revenue in the form of interest income, primarily from our investments in debt securities, and commitment and facility fees. Fees generated in connection with our debt investments are recognized over the life of the loan or, in some cases, recognized as earned. In addition, we generate revenue in the form of capital gains, if any, on warrants or other equity-related securities that we acquire from our portfolio companies. Our investments generally range from \$1.0 million to \$40.0 million. As of December 31, 2013, our debt investments have a term of between two and seven years and typically bear interest at a rate ranging from the prevailing U.S. prime rate, or Prime, or the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, to approximately 14%. In addition to the

Index to Financial Statements

cash yields received on our loans, in some instances, our loans may also include any of the following: end-of-term payments, exit fees, balloon payment fees, commitment fees, success fees, PIK provisions or prepayment fees which may be required to be included in income prior to receipt. Loan origination and commitment fees received in full at the inception of a loan are deferred and amortized into fee income as an enhancement to the related loan s yield over the contractual life of the loan. We recognize nonrecurring fees amortized over the remaining term of the loan commencing in the quarter relating to specific loan modifications. Loan exit fees to be paid at the termination of the loan are accreted into interest income over the contractual life of the loan. We had approximately \$4.0 million and \$2.0 million of unamortized fees at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and approximately \$14.4 million and \$6.8 million in exit fees receivable at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

We have loans in our portfolio that contain a PIK provision. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain our status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though we have not yet collected the cash. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments. We recorded approximately \$3.5 million and \$1.5 million in PIK income in the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

In the majority of cases, we collateralize our investments by obtaining a first priority security interest in a portfolio company s assets, which may include its intellectual property. In other cases, we obtain a negative pledge covering a company s intellectual property. At December 31, 2013, approximately 62.8% of our portfolio company loans were secured by a first priority security in all of the assets of the portfolio company, 37.1% of the loans were to portfolio companies that were prohibited from pledging or encumbering their intellectual property pursuant to negative pledges and 0.1% of portfolio company loans had an equipment-only lien.

Interest on debt securities is generally payable monthly, with amortization of principal typically occurring over the term of the security. In addition, certain of our loans may include an interest-only period. In limited instances in which we choose to defer amortization of the loan for a period of time from the date of the initial investment, the principal amount of the debt securities and any accrued but unpaid interest become due at the maturity date.

The effective yield on our debt investments during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was 15.9% and 14.4%, respectively. Excluding the effect of fee accelerations that occurred from early payoffs and one-time events, the adjusted effective yield for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was 14.4% and 13.4%, respectively. The effective yield is derived by dividing total investment income by the weighted average earning investment portfolio assets outstanding during the year which exclude non-interest earning assets such as warrants and equity investments. The overall weighted average yield to maturity of our loan investments was approximately 13.3% at December 31, 2013, compared to 12.9% at December 31, 2012. The weighted average yield to maturity is computed using the interest rates in effect at the inception of each of the loans, and includes amortization of the loan facility fees, commitment fees and market premiums or discounts over the expected life of the debt investments, weighted by their respective costs when averaged and based on the assumption that all contractual loan commitments have been fully funded and held to maturity.

Portfolio Composition

Our portfolio companies are primarily privately held companies and public companies which are active in the drug discovery and development, energy technology, internet consumer and business services, medical devices and equipment, software, drug delivery, information services, communications and networking, healthcare services, specialty pharmaceuticals, surgical devices, electronics and computer hardware, media/content/info, biotechnology tools, semiconductors, consumer and business products and diagnostic industry sectors. These sectors are characterized by high margins, high growth rates, consolidation and product and market extension opportunities. Value for companies in these sectors is often vested in intangible assets and intellectual property.

56

Index to Financial Statements

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 67.0% of the fair value of our portfolio was composed of investments in four industries: 24.1% was composed of investments in the drug discovery and development industry, 18.1% was composed of investments in the energy technology industry, 13.4% was composed of investments in the internet consumer and business services industry and 11.4% was composed of investments in the medical device and equipment industry.

The following table shows the fair value of our portfolio by industry sector at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Decemb	December 31, 2013 December 31, 2		
(in thousands)	Investments at Fair Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio	Investments at Fair Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio
Drug Discovery & Development	\$ 219,169	24.1%	\$ 188,479	20.8%
Energy Technology ⁽¹⁾	164,466	18.1%	126,600	14.0%
Internet Consumer & Business Services	122,073	13.4%	136,149	15.0%
Medical Devices & Equipment	103,614	11.4%	54,575	6.0%
Software	65,218	7.2%	70,838	7.8%
Drug Delivery	62,022	6.8%	74,218	8.2%
Information Services	46,565	5.1%	53,523	5.9%
Communications & Networking	35,979	4.0%	37,560	4.1%
Healthcare Services, Other	29,080	3.2%	36,481	4.0%
Specialty Pharmaceuticals	20,055	2.2%	12,473	1.4%
Surgical Devices	10,307	1.0%	11,358	1.3%
Electronics & Computer Hardware	9,211	1.0%	12,715	1.4%
Media/Content/Info	8,679	1.0%	51,534	5.7%
Biotechnology Tools	5,275	0.6%	6,845	0.8%
Semiconductors	4,685	0.5%	2,922	0.3%
Consumer & Business Products	2,995	0.3%	13,723	1.5%
Diagnostic	902	0.1%	16,307	1.8%
	\$ 910,295	100.0%	\$ 906,300	100.0%

(1) In our quarterly and annual reports filed with the Commission prior to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we referred to this industry sector as Clean Tech. Industry and sector concentrations vary as new loans are recorded and loans pay off. Loan revenue, consisting of interest, fees, and recognition of gains on equity and equity-related interests, can fluctuate materially when a loan is paid off or a related warrant or equity interest is sold. Revenue recognition in any given year can be highly concentrated among several portfolio companies.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, our ten largest portfolio companies represented approximately 29.3% and 35.2% of the total fair value of our investments in portfolio companies, respectively. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had one and eight investments, respectively that represented 5% or more of our net assets. At both December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had six equity investments representing approximately 75.7% and 70.9%, respectively, of the total fair value of our equity investments, and each represented 5% or more of the total fair value of our equity investments.

As of December 31, 2013, over 99.9% of our debt investments were in a senior secured first lien position, and more than 99.0% of the debt investment portfolio was priced at floating interest rates or floating interest rates with a Prime or LIBOR based interest rate floor. As a result, we believe we are well positioned to benefit should market rates increase.

Our investments in senior secured debt with warrants have equity enhancement features, typically in the form of warrants or other equity-related securities designed to provide us with an opportunity for capital appreciation. Our warrant coverage generally ranges from 3% to 20% of the principal amount invested in a portfolio company, with a strike price generally equal to the most recent equity financing round. As of December 31, 2013, we held warrants in 113 portfolio companies, with a fair value of approximately \$35.6 million. The fair value of our warrant portfolio increased by approximately 20.6%, as compared to a fair value of \$29.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Index to Financial Statements

Our existing warrant holdings currently would require us to invest approximately \$72.5 million to exercise such warrants as of December 31, 2013. Warrants may appreciate or depreciate in value depending largely upon the underlying portfolio company s performance and overall market conditions. Of the warrants which we have monetized since inception, we have realized warrant gain multiples in the range of approximately 1.01x to 14.91x based on the historical rate of return on our investments. However, our warrants may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains from our warrant portfolio.

As required by the 1940 Act, we classify our investments by level of control. Control investments are defined in the 1940 Act as investments in those companies that we are deemed to control, which, in general, includes a company in which we own 25% or more of the voting securities of such company or have greater than 50% representation on its board. Affiliate investments are investments in those companies that are affiliated companies of ours, as defined in the 1940 Act, which are not control investments. We are deemed to be an affiliate of a company in which we have invested if we own 5% or more, but less than 25%, of the voting securities of such company. Non-control/non-affiliate investments are investments that are neither control investments nor affiliate investments.

The following table summarizes our realized and unrealized gain and loss and changes in our unrealized appreciation and depreciation on control and affiliate investments for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we did not hold any control investments.

(in thousands)							ended r 31, 2013 Reversal of	
					Uni	realized	Unrealized	
Portfolio Company	Туре		Value at er 31, 2013	Investment Income		reciation)/ reciation	(Depreciation)/ Appreciation	Realized Gain/(Loss)
Gelesis, Inc.	Affiliate	\$	473	\$	\$	(1,193)	\$	\$
Optiscan BioMedical, Corp.	Affiliate		4,784	1,933		(225)		
Stion Corporation	Affiliate		5,724	462		593		
Total		\$	10,981	\$ 2,395	\$	(825)	\$	\$
(in thousands)					•	Decembe	ended r 31, 2012 Reversal of	
						realized	Unrealized	
Portfolio Company	Туре		Value at er 31, 2012	Investment Income	_	reciation)/ reciation	(Depreciation)/ Appreciation	Realized Gain/(Loss)
E-Band Communications, Corp.	Affiliate	\$	CI 31, 2012	\$ 4	Арр \$	(18)	\$	\$
Gelesis, Inc.	Affiliate	Ψ	1,665	712	Ψ	672	Ψ	Ψ
Optiscan BioMedical, Corp.	Affiliate		10,207	1,649		(2,722)		
Total		\$	11,872	\$ 2,365	\$	(2,068)	\$	\$
(in thousands) Portfolio Company	Туре		r Value at nber 31, 2011	Investment Income	(Depi		r ended er 31, 2011 Reversal of Unrealized	Realized Gain/(Loss)

					(Depreciat Appreciat	· · ·
MaxVision Holdings, LLC.	Control	\$ 1,027	\$ 889	\$ 5,158	\$	\$
E-Band Communications, Corp.	Affiliate		14	3,425		
Total		\$ 1,027	\$ 903	\$ 8,583	\$	\$

During the year ended December 31, 2013, Stion Corporation became classified as an affiliate. Our investment in E-Band Communications, Corp., a company that was an affiliate investment as of December 31, 2012, was liquidated during the year ended December 31, 2013. Approximately \$3.3 million of realized losses and a reversal of \$3.3 million of previously recorded unrealized depreciation was recognized on this affiliate equity investment during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Index to Financial Statements

During the year ended December 31, 2012, Optiscan BioMedical, Corp. became classified as an affiliate. Our investment in MaxVision Holding, L.L.C., a company that was a control investment as of December 31, 2011, was liquidated during the year ended December 31, 2012. On July 31, 2012, we received payment of \$2.0 million for our total debt investments in MaxVision Holding, L.L.C. Approximately \$8.7 million of realized losses and a reversal of \$10.5 million of previously recorded unrealized depreciation was recognized on this control debt and equity investment during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Portfolio Grading

We use an investment grading system, which grades each debt investment on a scale of 1 to 5, to characterize and monitor our expected level of risk on the debt investments in our portfolio with 1 being the highest quality. See Business Investment Process Loan and Compliance Administration. The following table shows the distribution of our outstanding debt investments on the 1 to 5 investment grading scale at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		
	Debt Investments at		Debt Investments at		
	Fair	Percentage of Total	Fair	Percentage of Total	
(in thousands)	Value	Portfolio	Value	Portfolio	
Investment Grading					
1	\$ 162,586	19.8%	\$ 134,166	16.2%	
2	429,804	52.3%	542,885	65.6%	
3	184,692	22.5%	127,560	15.4%	
4	30,687	3.7%	22,929	2.8%	
5	14,219	1.7%			
	\$ 821,988	100.0%	\$ 827,540	100.0%	

As of December 31, 2013, our debt investments had a weighted average investment grading of 2.20, as compared to 2.06 at December 31, 2012. Our policy is to lower the grading on our portfolio companies as they approach the point in time when they will require additional equity capital. Additionally, we may downgrade our portfolio companies if they are not meeting our financing criteria or are underperforming relative to their respective business plans. Various companies in our portfolio will require additional funding in the near term or have not met their business plans and therefore our debt investments in these portfolio companies have been downgraded until their funding is complete or their operations improve.

At December 31, 2013, we had two loans on non-accrual with cumulative investment cost and fair value of approximately \$23.3 million and \$12.6 million, respectively. Comparatively, at December 31, 2012, we had one loan on non-accrual with an approximate investment cost of \$347,000 and no fair market value. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized a realized loss of approximately \$350,000 of principal on our debt investments in this company.

Results of Operations

Comparison of periods ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

Investment Income

Interest Income

Total investment income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was approximately \$139.7 million as compared to approximately \$97.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013 totaled approximately \$123.7 million as compared to approximately \$87.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in interest income is primarily attributable to an increase of loan interest income of approximately \$25.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, related to both new loans originated during 2013 and an overall increase in amortization

during 2013 on loans

Index to Financial Statements

originated during 2012. This increase in interest income was partially offset by pay-offs during the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following table shows the lending activity involving contractual payment-in-kind, or PIK, interest arrangements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, at cost:

	Years	ended
	Decemb	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Beginning PIK loan balance	\$ 3,309	\$ 2,041
PIK interest capitalized during the period	3,103	1,400
Payments received from PIK loans	(1,123)	(132)
Realized Loss	(307)	
Ending PIK loan balance	\$ 4,982	\$ 3,309

The increase in payments received from PIK loans and PIK interest capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2013 is due to the addition of nine PIK loans which have incurred PIK capitalizations during the period offset by the payoff of four PIK loans during the period ended December 31, 2013.

Fee Income

Income from commitment, facility and loan related fees for the year ended December 31, 2013 totaled approximately \$16.0 million as compared to approximately \$9.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in fee income is primarily attributable to additional fee accelerations and one time fees due to early pay-offs during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

In certain investment transactions, we may earn income from advisory services; however, we had no income from advisory services in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses are comprised of interest and fees on our borrowings, general and administrative expenses and employee compensation and benefits. Operating expenses totaled approximately \$66.6 million and \$49.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Interest and Fees on our Borrowings

Interest and fees on borrowings totaled approximately \$35.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to approximately \$23.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to interest and fee expenses of approximately \$12.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 related to the 2019 Notes issued in April and September 2012, which is \$7.3 million greater than \$5.6 million of interest and fees incurred during the year ended December 31, 2012, and approximately \$5.1 million of interest and fee expense incurred due to the Asset-Backed Notes issued in December 2012. These expenses were partially offset by a decrease in interest and fees of approximately \$749,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 associated with our SBA debentures due to the pay down in August 2012 of debentures that had a weighted average cost of debt of 6.40% and borrowings of \$24.75 million of debentures in November 2012 that had a weighted average cost of debt of 3.05%.

Additionally, we incurred approximately \$1.1 million of non cash interest expense during the period ended December 31, 2013 attributed to the accretion of the fair value of the conversion feature on the Convertible Senior Notes. We had a weighted average cost of debt, comprised of interest and fees, of approximately 6.1% for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 6.6% during the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by the Asset-Backed Notes issued in December 2012, which account for

Index to Financial Statements

approximately 18.9% of our outstanding debt and accrue interest at 3.3%. As of December 31, 2013 the weighted average debt outstanding was approximately \$580.1 million.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include legal fees, consulting fees, accounting fees, printer fees, insurance premiums, rent, expenses associated with the workout of underperforming investments and various other expenses. Our general and administrative expenses increased to \$9.3 million from \$8.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These increases were primarily due to increases of approximately \$689,000 and \$442,000 related to corporate legal expenses and outside consulting services, partially offset by a reduction of approximately \$249,000 for accounting fees.

Employee Compensation

Employee compensation and benefits totaled approximately \$16.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to approximately \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was due to increasing our staff to 62 active employees at December 31, 2013 from 52 active employees at December 31, 2012 and increasing our variable compensation (bonus) accrual based on performance improvements.

Stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to approximately \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. These increases were due primarily to the expense on restricted stock grants for 607,001 shares granted during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Net Investment Realized Gains and Losses and Unrealized Appreciation and Depreciation

Realized gains or losses are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the repayment or sale and the cost basis of an investment without regard to unrealized appreciation or depreciation previously recognized, and includes investments written off during the period, net of recoveries. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation primarily reflects the change in portfolio investment values during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains or losses are realized.

A summary of realized gains and losses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	Years	Ended
	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Realized gains	\$ 32,577	\$ 17,481
Realized losses	(17,741)	(14,313)
Net realized gains (losses)	\$ 14,836	\$ 3,168

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recognized net realized gains of approximately \$14.8 million. These net realized gains include gross realized gains of approximately \$32.6 million primarily from the sale of equity and warrant investments in nine portfolio companies, including Virident Systems, Inc. (\$7.5 million), Anacor Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (\$5.0 million), iWatt, Inc. (\$4.7 million), Althea Technologies, Inc. (\$4.3 million), WageWorks, Inc. (\$2.0 million), Lanx, Inc. (\$1.9 million), InsMed, Inc. (\$1.4 million), Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (\$1.3 million) and AcelRx, Inc. (\$1.1 million). These gains were partially offset by gross realized losses of approximately \$17.8 million primarily from the liquidation of our debt and equity investments in five portfolio companies, including Bridgewave Communications (\$4.4 million), E-Band Communications Corp (\$3.3 million), Tethys Bioscience, Inc. (\$2.5 million), Just.Me, Inc. (\$1.3 million), and PointOne, Inc. (\$1.1 million).

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized net realized gains of \$3.2 million. These net realized gains include gross realized gains of approximately \$17.5 million primarily from the sale of equity and warrant investments in NEXX Systems, Inc., (\$5.1 million), BARRX Medical (\$3.1 million), DeCode Genetics

Index to Financial Statements

(\$2.6 million), Aegerion Pharmaceuticals (\$2.4 million) and Annie s (\$2.4 million). These gains were partially offset by gross realized losses of approximately \$14.3 million from the liquidation of our equity and warrant investments in MaxVision Holding, L.L.C (\$8.7 million), Razorgator Interactive Group (\$2.2 million), Zeta Interactive Corporation (\$672,000) and Magi.com (\$463,000) pka Hi5 Networks, Inc.

The net unrealized appreciation and depreciation of our investments is based on fair value of each investment determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. The following table itemizes the change in net unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Years Ended December 31.	
	2013	ber 31, 2012
(in thousands)	Amount	Amount
Gross unrealized appreciation on portfolio investments	\$ 80,616	\$ 65,871
Gross unrealized depreciation on portfolio investments	(63,855)	(73,158)
Reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon a realization event	(26,489)	(12,575)
Reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon a realization event	21,763	14,944
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) attributable to taxes payable	(898)	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on escrow receivables	465	
Citigroup Warrant Participation	(57)	402
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on portfolio investments	\$ 11,545	\$ (4,516)

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded approximately \$12.0 million of net unrealized appreciation from our debt, equity and warrant investments. Approximately \$15.7 million is attributed to net unrealized appreciation on equity, including approximately \$5.6 million of net unrealized depreciation due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon being realized as a gain. Approximately \$4.5 million is attributed to net unrealized appreciation on our warrant investments, including approximately \$9.4 million of net unrealized depreciation due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon being realized as a gain.

This unrealized appreciation was partially offset by approximately \$8.2 million of net unrealized depreciation on our debt investments, which primarily related to \$21.2 million of unrealized depreciation for collateral based impairments, offset by the reversal of approximately \$13.0 million of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon being realized as a loss due to the write-off or early payoff of debt investments.

Net unrealized appreciation decreased by approximately \$898,000 as a result of estimated taxes payable for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Net unrealized appreciation further increased by approximately \$465,000 as a result of escrow receivables related to merger and acquisition transactions closed during the year ended December 31, 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, net unrealized appreciation decreased by approximately \$57,000 as a result of net appreciation of fair value on the pool of warrants collateralized under the warrant participation agreement.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded approximately \$4.5 million of net unrealized depreciation from our debt, equity and warrant investments. Approximately \$3.4 million and \$2.3 million is attributed to net unrealized depreciation on warrant investments and debt investments, respectively, of which approximately \$6.6 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon being realized as a gain and \$9.2 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon being realized as a loss. The remainder is related to fluctuations in current market interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2012.

This unrealized depreciation was partially offset by approximately \$1.3 million of net unrealized appreciation on our equity investments, of which approximately \$6.0 million is due to the reversal of prior period

Table of Contents 84

62

Index to Financial Statements

net unrealized appreciation upon being realized as a gain and \$5.7 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon being realized as a loss.

The following table itemizes the change in net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) in the investment portfolio by category for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Year Ended December 31, 2013			
(in millions)	Debt	Equity	Warrants	Total
Collateral based impairments	\$ (21.2)	\$	\$ (0.1)	\$ (21.3)
Reversals due to Debt Investment Payoffs & Warrant/Equity sales	13.0	(5.8)	(10.6)	(3.4)
Fair Value Market/Yield Adjustments*				
Level 1 & 2 Assets		7.6	3.5	11.1
Level 3 Assets		13.9	11.7	25.6
Total Fair Value Market/Yield Adjustments		21.5	15.2	36.7
Total Unrealized Appreciation/(Depreciation)	\$ (8.2)	\$ 15.7	\$ 4.5	\$ 12.0

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			
(in millions)	Debt	Equity	Warrants	Total
Collateral based impairments	\$ (11.4)	\$ (2.1)	\$ (1.2)	(14.7)
Reversals of Prior Period Collateral based impairments	10.0	0.5	0.7	11.2
Reversals due to Debt Investment Payoffs & Warrant/Equity sales	7.0	(0.3)	(5.0)	1.7
Fair Value Market/Yield Adjustments*				
Level 1 & 2 Assets		(6.5)	1.9	(4.6)
Level 3 Assets	(7.9)	9.7	0.2	2.0
Total Fair Value Market/Yield Adjustments	(7.9)	3.2	2.1	(2.6)
Total Unrealized Appreciation/(Depreciation)	\$ (2.3)	\$ 1.3	\$ (3.4)	\$ (4.4)

^{*} Level 1 assets are generally equities listed in active markets and level 2 assets are generally warrants held in a public company. Observable market prices are typically the primary input in valuing level 1 and 2 assets. Level 3 asset valuations require inputs that are both significant and unobservable. Generally, level 3 assets are debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company. See Note 2 to the financial statements discussing ASC 820.

Income and Excise Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740, Income Taxes, which requires that deferred income taxes be determined based upon the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of the enacted tax law. Valuation allowances are used to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount likely to be realized. We intend to distribute approximately \$3.8 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2013 to our shareholders in 2014.

Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations and Earnings Per Share

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the net increase in net assets resulting from operations totaled approximately \$99.4 million and \$46.8 million, respectively. These changes are made up of the items previously described.

The basic and fully diluted net change in net assets per common share for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$1.67 and \$1.63, respectively, whereas both the basic and fully diluted net change in net assets per common share for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$0.93.

For the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2013, the dilutive effect of the Convertible Senior Notes under the treasury stock method is included in this calculation because our share price was greater than the conversion price in effect (\$11.63) for the Convertible Senior Notes for such period. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the dilutive effect of the Convertible Senior Notes under the treasury stock method is anti-dilutive because our share price was less than the conversion price in effect (\$11.81) for the Convertible Senior Notes for such period, and not included in this calculation.

Index to Financial Statements

Comparison of periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Investment Income

Interest Income

Interest income totaled approximately \$87.6 million and \$70.3 million for 2012 and 2011, respectively. Income from commitment, facility and loan related fees totaled approximately \$9.9 million 2012, compared with \$9.5 million for 2011. The increase in interest income was directly related to an increase in the average investment portfolio outstanding in 2012 than in 2011.

In 2012 and 2011, interest income included approximately \$8.4 million and \$7.4 million of income from exit fees, respectively. The year over year increase is attributed to an increase in early payoffs for the year ended December 31, 2012 and an increase in the average investment portfolio outstanding in 2012 than in 2011.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had approximately \$11.4 million and \$10.3 million of deferred income related to commitment, facility and loan related fees, respectively. The increase in deferred income was attributed to increased investment originations in 2012.

The following table shows lending activity involving contractual PIK interest arrangements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, at cost:

	Years	ended
	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2012	2011
Beginning PIK loan balance	\$ 2,041	\$ 3,955
PIK interest capitalized during the period	1,400	2,093
Payments received from PIK loans	(132)	(3,567)
PIK converted to other securities		(440)
Ending PIK loan balance	\$ 3,309	\$ 2,041

The decrease in payments received from PIK loans and PIK interest capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2012 is due to approximately \$1.4 million, \$1.0 million, \$493,000, \$302,000, and \$268,000 of PIK collected in conjunction with the sale of our investment in Infologix, Inc. and the early payoffs of IPA Holdings, LLC., Unify Corporation, HighJump Acquisition, LLC., and Velocity Technology Solutions, Inc., respectively, in the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in PIK converted to other securities during the year December 31, 2012 is due to approximately \$440,000 related to the conversion of MaxVision Holding, LLC. debt to equity during the year ended December 31, 2011.

In certain investment transactions, we may provide advisory services. For services that are separately identifiable and external evidence exists to substantiate fair value, income is recognized as earned, which is generally when the investment transaction closes. We had no income from advisory services during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, which are comprised of interest and fees on borrowings, general and administrative and employee compensation, totaled approximately \$49.4 million and \$40.3 million during the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Interest and Fees on our Borrowings

Interest and fees on borrowings totaled approximately \$23.8 million and \$15.9 million during the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This \$7.9 million year over year increase is largely attributed

Index to Financial Statements

to \$1.6 million of incremental interest and fee expense due to the Convertible Senior Notes issued on April 15, 2011 and \$5.6 million related to the 2019 Notes issued in April and September 2012.

Additionally, we incurred approximately \$577,000 of non cash interest expense during the period ended December 31, 2012 attributed to the accretion of the fair value of the conversion feature on the Convertible Senior Notes. We had a weighted average cost of debt comprised of interest and fees of approximately 6.58% at December 31, 2012, as compared to 6.23% as of December 31, 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include legal fees, consulting fees, accounting fees, printer fees, insurance premiums, rent, workout and various other expenses. Expenses increased to \$8.1 million from \$8.0 million for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Employee Compensation

Employee compensation and benefits totaled approximately \$13.3 million during both the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. Stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$4.2 million and \$3.1 million during the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This increase was due primarily to the expense on restricted stock grants of approximately 672,000 shares issued in the first quarter of 2012.

Net Investment Income Before Income Tax Expense and Investment Gains and Losses

Net investment income before income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 totaled \$48.1 million as compared with a net investment income before income tax expense in 2011 of approximately \$39.6 million. The changes are made up of the items described above under Investment Income and Operating Expenses.

Net Investment Realized Gains and Losses and Unrealized Appreciation and Depreciation

Realized gains or losses are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the repayment or sale and the cost basis of the investment without regard to unrealized appreciation or depreciation previously recognized, and includes investments charged off during the period, net of recoveries. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation primarily reflects the change in portfolio investment values during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains or losses are realized.

A summary of realized gains and losses for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Years En	nded
	Decembe	er 31,
(in thousands)	2012	2011
Realized gains	\$ 17,481	\$ 11,092
Realized losses	(14,313)	(8,351)
Net realized gains	\$ 3,168	\$ 2,741

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized gross realized gains of approximately \$17.5 million and gross realized losses of approximately \$14.3 million, respectively, on the portfolio. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded realized gains of approximately \$5.1 million, \$3.1 million, \$2.6 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.4 million from the sale of our investments in NEXX Systems, BARRX Medical, Inc., DeCode Genetics, Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, and Annie s, respectively. These gains were partially offset by the liquidation of our investments in MaxVision Holding, L.L.C, Razorgator Interactive Group, Zeta Interactive Corporation and Magi.com (pka Hi5 Networks, Inc.), of approximately \$8.7 million, \$2.2 million, \$672,000 and \$463,000, respectively.

Index to Financial Statements

During the year ended December 31, 2011 we recognized total gross realized gains of approximately \$11.1 million primarily due to the sale of warrants and equity investments in three portfolio companies. We recognized gross realized losses in 2011 of approximately \$8.4 million on the disposition of investments in 13 portfolio companies.

The net unrealized appreciation and depreciation of our investments is based on fair value of each investment determined in good faith by our Board of Directors. The following table itemizes the change in net unrealized appreciation/depreciation of investments for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Years 1	Ended
	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2012	2011
Gross unrealized appreciation on portfolio investments	\$ 65,871	\$ 58,980
Gross unrealized depreciation on portfolio investments	(73,158)	(49,327)
Reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon a realization event	(12,575)	(13,224)
Reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon a realization event	14,944	8,395
Citigroup Warrant Participation	402	(217)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on portfolio investments	\$ (4.516)	\$ 4.607

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded approximately \$4.5 million of net unrealized depreciation from our debt, equity and warrant investments. Approximately \$1.3 million is attributed to net unrealized appreciation on equity, of which approximately \$6.0 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon being realized as a gain and \$5.7 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized as a loss.

We recorded approximately \$3.4 million and \$2.3 million of net unrealized depreciation on our warrant and debt investments, respectively, of which approximately \$6.6 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized appreciation upon being realized as a gain and \$9.2 million is due to the reversal of prior period net unrealized depreciation upon being realized as a loss.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, net unrealized investment appreciation recognized by the Company was reduced by approximately \$402,000 due to the warrant participation agreement with Citigroup.

During the year ended December 31, 2011 net change in unrealized appreciation totaled approximately \$4.6 million from debt, warrant and equity investments. Approximately \$9.0 million was due to net unrealized appreciation on debt investments attributable to reversal of unrealized depreciation to realized loss of approximately \$5.0 million on one technology debt investment and due to the reversal of unrealized depreciation of approximately \$3.1 million on one life science debt investment as a result of improvements at the portfolio company. Approximately \$5.8 million of net unrealized depreciation on equity investments during the year ended December 31, 2011, was primarily attributable to the sale of InfoLogix, Inc. resulting in the reversal of \$7.7 million of unrealized appreciation on equity investments to realized gains offset by approximately \$1.9 million of net appreciation due to net increases in private and public portfolio company valuations.

The following table itemizes the change in net unrealized appreciation/ (depreciation) in the investment portfolio by category for the year ended December 31, 2012.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			2	
(in millions)	Loans	Equity	Warrants	Total	
Collateral based impairments	\$ (11.4)	\$ (2.1)	\$ (1.2)	\$ (14.7)	
Reversals of Prior Period Collateral based impairments	10.0	0.5	0.7	11.2	
Reversals due to Debt Investment Payoffs & Warrant/Equity sales	7.0	(0.3)	(5.0)	1.7	
Fair Value Market/Yield Adjustments*					
Level 1 & 2 Assets		(6.5)	1.9	(4.6)	
Level 3 Assets	(7.9)	9.7	0.2	2.0	

Total Fair Value Market/Yield Adjustments	(7.9)	3.2	2.1	(2.6)
Total Unrealized Appreciation/(Depreciation)	\$ (2.3)	\$ 1.3	\$ (3.4)	\$ (4.4)

Index to Financial Statements

* Level 1 assets are generally equities listed in active markets and level 2 assets are generally warrants held in a public company. Observable market prices are typically the primary input in valuing level 1 and 2 assets. Level 3 asset valuations require inputs that are both significant and unobservable. Generally, level 3 assets are debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company. See Note 2 to the financial statements discussing ASC 820.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded approximately \$7.9 million net unrealized depreciation on our debt investments related to fluctuations in current market interest rates.

Income and Excise Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740, Income Taxes, which requires that deferred income taxes be determined based upon the estimated future tax effects of differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities given the provisions of the enacted tax law. Valuation allowances are used to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount likely to be realized. We distributed approximately \$1.5 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2012 to our shareholders in 2013.

Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations and Earnings Per Share

For the year ended December 31, 2012 net increase in net assets resulting from operations totaled approximately \$46.8 million compared to net income of approximately \$46.9 million for the period ended December 31, 2011. These changes are made up of the items previously described.

Basic and fully diluted net change in net assets per common share were \$0.93 and \$0.93, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a basic and fully diluted net income per share of \$1.08 and \$1.07, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity and capital resources are derived from our Wells Facility, Union Bank Facility (together the Credit Facilities), SBA debentures, Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes, Asset-Backed Notes and cash flows from operations, including investment sales and repayments, and income earned. Our primary use of funds from operations includes investments in portfolio companies and payments of fees and other operating expenses we incur. We have used, and expect to continue to use, our borrowings and the proceeds from the rotation of our portfolio and from public and private offerings of securities to finance our investment objectives. We may raise additional equity or debt capital through both registered offerings off a shelf registration, at-the-market , or ATM, and private offerings of securities, by securitizing a portion of our investments or borrowing, including from the SBA through our SBIC subsidiaries.

On August 16, 2013, we entered into an ATM equity distribution agreement with JMP Securities LLC, or JMP. The equity distribution agreement provides that we may offer and sell up to 8,000,000 shares of our common stock from time to time through JMP, as our sales agent. Sales of our common stock, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at-the-market, as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including sales made directly on the NYSE or similar securities exchange or sales made to or through a market maker other than on an exchange, at prices related to the prevailing market prices or at negotiated prices. There were no sales under the ATM Program for the year ended December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$75.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes payable, \$170.4 million of 2019 Notes, \$89.6 million of Asset-Backed Notes and \$225.0 million of SBA debentures payable. We had no borrowings outstanding under either the Wells Facility or the Union Bank Facility.

At December 31, 2013, we had \$373.4 million in available liquidity, including \$268.4 million in cash and cash equivalents. We had available borrowing capacity of approximately \$75.0 million under the Wells Facility

Index to Financial Statements

and \$30.0 million under the Union Bank Facility, subject to existing terms and advance rates and regulatory requirements. We primarily invest cash on hand in interest bearing deposit accounts.

At December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$6.3 million of restricted cash. Our restricted cash consists of collections of interest and principal payments on assets that are securitized. In accordance with the terms of the related securitized Asset-Backed Notes, based on current characteristics of the securitized debt investment portfolios, the restricted funds may be used to pay monthly interest and principal on the securitized debt and are not distributed to us or available for our general operations. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we principally funded our operations from (i) cash receipts from interest, dividend and fee income from our investment portfolio and (ii) cash proceeds from the realization of portfolio investments through the repayments of loan investments and the sale of loan and equity investments.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, our operating activities provided \$103.6 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to \$193.9 million used during the year ended December 31, 2012. The \$297.5 million increase in cash provided by operating activities resulted primarily from an increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$52.7 million, an increase in principal payments received on investments of approximately \$231.8 million, and a decrease in purchase of investments of approximately \$19.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our investing activities used \$6.6 million of cash, compared to \$87,000 during year ended December 31, 2012. This \$6.5 million increase in cash used by investing activities was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$6.3 million in cash collections of interest and principal payments, classified as restricted cash, on assets that are securitized.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, our financing activities used \$11.6 million of cash, compared to providing \$312.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. This \$324.2 million decrease in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to the Issuance of our 2019 Notes of \$299.7 million in 2012 partially offset by a decrease in repayments of credit facilities of approximately \$34.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, net assets totaled \$650.0 million, with a net asset value per share of \$10.51. We intend to generate additional cash primarily from cash flows from operations, including income earned from investments in our portfolio companies and, to a lesser extent, from the temporary investment of cash in other high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less as well as from future borrowings as required to meet our lending activities. Our primary use of funds will be investments in portfolio companies and cash distributions to holders of our common stock.

On July 25, 2012, our Board of Directors approved an extension of the stock repurchase plan under the same terms and conditions that allowed us to repurchase up to \$35.0 million of our common stock. The stock repurchase plan expired on February 26, 2013 and no shares were repurchased for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As required by the 1940 Act, our asset coverage must be at least 200% after each issuance of senior securities. As of December 31, 2013 our asset coverage ratio under our regulatory requirements as a business development company was 295.5%, excluding our SBA debentures as a result of our exemptive order from the SEC which allows us to exclude all SBA leverage from our asset coverage ratio. As a result of the SEC exemptive order, our ratio of total assets on a consolidated basis to outstanding indebtedness may be less than 200%, which while providing increased investment flexibility, also may increase our exposure to risks associated with leverage. Total leverage, when including our SBA debentures, was 216.6% at December 31, 2013.

Index to Financial Statements

Outstanding Borrowings

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had the following borrowing capacity and outstanding amounts:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
		Carrying	Total	Carrying
(in thousands)	Total Available	Value ⁽¹⁾	Available	Value ⁽¹⁾
SBA Debentures ⁽²⁾	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000
2019 Notes	170,364	170,364	170,364	170,364
Asset-Backed Notes	89,557	89,557	129,300	129,300
Convertible Senior Notes ⁽³⁾	75,000	72,519	75,000	71,436
Wells Facility	75,000		75,000	
Union Bank Facility	30,000		30,000	
·				
Total	\$ 664,921	\$ 557,440	\$ 704,664	\$ 596,100

- (1) Except for the Convertible Senior Notes, all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding.
- (2) At December 31, 2013 and at December 31, 2012, the total available borrowings under the SBA debentures was \$225.0 million, of which \$76.0 million was available in HT II and \$149.0 million was available in HT III.
- (3) Represents the aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$2.5 million at December 31, 2013 and \$3.6 million at December 31, 2012.

Our net asset value may decline as a result of economic conditions in the United States. Our continued compliance with the covenants under our Credit Facilities, Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes Payable, Asset-Backed Notes and SBA debentures depend on many factors, some of which are beyond our control. Material net asset devaluation could have a material adverse effect on our operations and could require us to reduce our borrowings in order to comply with certain covenants, including the ratio of total assets to total indebtedness. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents, cash generated from operations, and funds available from our Credit Facilities will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure commitments for at least the next 12 months.

Debt financing costs are fees and other direct incremental costs we incur in obtaining debt financing and are recognized as prepaid expenses and amortized into the consolidated statement of operations as loan fees over the term of the related debt instrument. Prepaid financing costs, net of accumulated amortization, as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	As	of
	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Union Bank Facility	\$	\$
Wells Facility	398	867
Convertible Debt	1,323	1,900
Asset Backed Notes	2,686	4,074
2019 Notes	5,319	6,287
SBA Debenture	5,074	5,877
	\$ 14,800	\$ 19,005

Commitments

In the normal course of business, we are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These consist primarily of unfunded commitments to extend credit, in the form of loans, to our portfolio companies. Unfunded contractual commitments to provide funds to portfolio

companies are not reflected on our balance sheet. Our unfunded contractual commitments may be significant from time to time. As of December 31, 2013, we had unfunded contractual commitments of approximately \$151.0 million. Approximately \$77.4 million of these unfunded contractual commitments are dependent upon the portfolio company reaching certain milestones before the contractual commitment becomes available. These commitments will be subject to the same

Index to Financial Statements

underwriting and ongoing portfolio maintenance as are the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent our future cash requirements. We intend to use cash flow from normal and early principal repayments, and proceeds from borrowings and notes to fund these commitments. However, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient capital available to fund these commitments as they come due.

In addition, as of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$38.0 million of non-binding term sheets outstanding to four new companies, which generally convert to contractual commitments within approximately 90 days of signing. Non-binding outstanding term sheets are subject to completion of our due diligence and final approval process, as well as the negotiation of definitive documentation with the prospective portfolio companies. Not all non-binding term sheets are expected to close and do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table shows our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013:

	Payments due by period (in thousands)				
Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Total	Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	After 5 years
Borrowings ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 557,440	\$ 1.404	\$ 89,557	\$ 72,519	\$ 395,364
Operating Lease Obligations ⁽⁵⁾	7,640	1,484	2,965	1,774	1,417
Total	\$ 565,080	\$ 1,484	\$ 92,522	\$ 74,293	\$ 396,781

- (1) Excludes commitments to extend credit to our portfolio companies.
- (2) We also have a warrant participation agreement with Citigroup. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Includes \$225.0 million in borrowings under the SBA debentures, \$170.4 million of the 2019 Notes, \$89.6 million in aggregate principal amount of the Asset-Backed Notes and \$72.5 million of the Convertible Senior Notes.
- (4) Except for the Convertible Senior Notes, all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding. The aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes is \$75.0 million less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$2.5 million at December 31, 2013.
- (5) Long-term facility leases.

Certain premises are leased under agreements which expire at various dates through March 2020. Total rent expense amounted to approximately \$1.1 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

We and our executives and directors are covered by Directors and Officers Insurance, with the directors and officers being indemnified by us to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law subject to the restrictions in the 1940 Act.

Borrowings

Long-term SBA Debentures

On September 27, 2006, HT II received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. With our net investment of \$38.0 million in HT II as of December 31, 2013, HT II has the capacity to issue a total of \$76.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$76.0 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, HT II has paid commitment fees and facility fees of approximately \$1.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we held investments in HT II in 42 companies with a fair value of approximately \$102.5 million, accounting for approximately 11.3% of our total portfolio.

On May 26, 2010, HT III received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. With

Index to Financial Statements

our net investment of \$74.5 million in HT III as of December 31, 2013, HT III has the capacity to issue a total of \$149.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$149.0 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, HT III has paid commitment fees and facility fees of approximately \$1.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, we held investments in HT III in 29 companies with a fair value of approximately \$171.6 million, accounting for approximately 18.9% of our total portfolio.

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private equity capital to eligible small businesses. Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses include businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18.0 million and have average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$6.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, SBICs must devote 25.0% of its investment activity to smaller enterprises as defined by the SBA. A smaller enterprise is one that has a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million and has average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$2.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility, which depend on the industry in which the business is engaged and are based on such factors as the number of employees and gross sales. According to SBA regulations, SBICs may make long-term loans to small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries HT II and HT III, we plan to provide long-term loans to qualifying small businesses, and in connection therewith, make equity investments.

HT II and HT III are periodically examined and audited by the SBA s staff to determine their compliance with SBA regulations. If HT II or HT III fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II s or HT III s use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. In addition, HT II or HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to the Company if they do not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect the Company because HT II and III are our wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC s leverage as of December 31, 2013 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations.

The rates of borrowings under various draws from the SBA beginning in April 2007 are set semiannually in March and September and range from 2.25% to 5.73%. Interest payments on SBA debentures are payable semiannually. There are no principal payments required on these issues prior to maturity and no prepayment penalties. Debentures under the SBA generally mature ten years after being borrowed. Based on the initial draw down date of April 2007, the initial maturity of SBA debentures will occur in April 2017. In addition, the SBA charges a fee that is set annually, depending on the Federal fiscal year the leverage commitment was delegated by the SBA, regardless of the date that the leverage was drawn by the SBIC. The annual fees related to HT II debentures that pooled on September 22, 2010 were 0.406% and 0.285%, depending upon the year in which the underlying commitment was closed. The annual fees related to HT III debentures that pooled on March 27, 2013, were 0.804%. The annual fees on other debentures have been set at 0.906%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2013 for HT II was approximately \$76.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately 5.34%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2013 for HT III was approximately \$149.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately 3.41%.

HT II and HT III hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of outstanding SBA guaranteed debentures issued by a single SBIC is \$150.0 million, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA, and a maximum amount of \$225.0 million for funds under common control, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA. In the aggregate, at December 31, 2013 there was \$225.0 million principal amount of indebtedness outstanding incurred by our SBIC subsidiaries, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debentures under the SBIC program.

71

Index to Financial Statements

We reported the following SBA debentures outstanding on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		Interest	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands) Issuance/Pooling Date	Maturity Date	Rate ⁽¹⁾	2013	2012
SBA Debentures:				
March 26, 2008	March 1, 2018	6.38%	\$ 34,800	\$ 34,800
March 25, 2009	March 1, 2019	5.53%	18,400	18,400
September 23, 2009	September 1, 2019	4.64%	3,400	3,400
September 22, 2010	September 1, 2020	3.62%	6,500	6,500
September 22, 2010	September 1, 2020	3.50%	22,900	22,900
March 29, 2011	March 1, 2021	4.37%	28,750	28,750
September 21, 2011	September 1, 2021	3.16%	25,000	25,000
March 21, 2012	March 1, 2022	3.28%	25,000	11,250
March 21, 2012	March 1, 2022	3.05%	11,250	25,000
September 19, 2012	September 1, 2022	3.05%	24,250	24,250
March 27, 2013	March 1, 2023	3.16%	24,750	24,750
Total SBA Debentures			\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000

(1) Interest rate includes annual charge 2019 Notes

On March 6, 2012, we and U.S. Bank National Association (the Trustee) entered into an indenture (the Base Indenture). On April 17, 2012, we and the Trustee entered into the First Supplemental Indenture to the Base Indenture, dated April 17, 2012, relating to our issuance, offer and sale of \$43.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.00% senior notes due 2019 (the April 2019 Notes). The sale of the April 2019 Notes generated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$41.7 million.

On September 24, 2012, we and the Trustee, entered into the Second Supplemental Indenture to the Base Indenture, dated as of September 24, 2012, relating to our issuance, offer and sale of \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.00% senior notes due 2019 (the September 2019 Notes). The sale of the September 2019 Notes generated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$72.75 million.

2019 Notes payable is compromised of:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2013	Dec	ember 31, 2012
April 2019 Notes	\$ 84,490	\$	84,490
September 2019 Notes	85,874		85,874
Carrying Value of Debt	\$ 170,364	\$	170,364

April 2019 Notes

The April 2019 Notes will mature on April 30, 2019 and may be redeemed in whole or in part at our option at any time or from time to time on or after April 30, 2015, upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days written notice by mail prior to the date fixed for redemption thereof, at a redemption price of 100% of the outstanding principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest payments otherwise payable for the then-current quarterly interest period accrued to but not including the date fixed for redemption. The April 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.00% per year payable quarterly on January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year, commencing on July 30, 2012, and trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol HTGZ.

The April 2019 Notes are our direct unsecured obligations and rank: (i) *pari passu* with our other outstanding and future senior unsecured indebtedness, including without limitation, the \$75 million in aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes; (ii) senior to any of our future indebtedness that expressly provides it is subordinated to the April 2019 Notes; (iii) effectively subordinated to all our existing and future

Index to Financial Statements

secured indebtedness (including indebtedness that is initially unsecured to which we subsequently grant security), to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, including without limitation, borrowings under our credit facilities; (iv) structurally subordinated to all existing and future indebtedness and other obligations of any of our subsidiaries, including without limitation, the indebtedness of Hercules Technology II, L.P. and Hercules Technology III, L.P. and borrowings under our revolving senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance.

The Base Indenture, as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture, contains certain covenants including covenants requiring us to comply with (regardless of whether it is subject to) the asset coverage requirements set forth in Section 18 (a)(1)(A) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act to comply with the restrictions on dividends, distributions and purchase of capital stock set forth in Section 18(a)(1)(B) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act and to provide financial information to the holders of the April 2019 Notes and the Trustee if we should no longer be subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These covenants are subject to important limitations and exceptions that are described in the Indenture, as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture. The Indenture provides for customary events of default and further provides that the Trustee or the holders of 25% in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding April 2019 Notes in a series may declare such April 2019 Notes immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of any event of default after expiration of any applicable grace period.

The April 2019 Notes were sold pursuant to an underwriting agreement dated April 11, 2012 among us and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representative of the several underwriters named in the underwriting agreement.

In July 2012, we re-opened our April 2019 Notes and issued an additional amount of approximately \$41.5 million in aggregate principal amount of April 2019 Notes, which includes exercise of an over-allotment option, bringing the total amount of the April 2019 Notes issued to approximately \$84.5 million in aggregate principal amount.

September 2019 Notes

The September 2019 Notes will mature on September 30, 2019 and may be redeemed in whole or in part at our option at any time or from time to time on or after September 30, 2015, upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days written notice by mail prior to the date fixed for redemption thereof, at a redemption price of 100% of the outstanding principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest payments otherwise payable for the then-current quarterly interest period accrued to but not including the date fixed for redemption. The September 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.00% per year payable quarterly on March 30, June 30, September 30 and December 30 of each year, commencing on December 30, 2012, and trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol HTGY.

The September 2019 Notes are our direct unsecured obligations and rank: (i) *pari passu* with our other outstanding and future senior unsecured indebtedness, including without limitation, the \$75 million in aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes; (ii) senior to any of our future indebtedness that expressly provides it is subordinated to the September 2019 Notes; (iii) effectively subordinated to all our existing and future secured indebtedness (including indebtedness that is initially unsecured to which we subsequently grant security), to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, including without limitation, borrowings under our credit facilities; (iv) structurally subordinated to all existing and future indebtedness and other obligations of any of our subsidiaries, including without limitation, the indebtedness of Hercules Technology II, L.P. and Hercules Technology III, L.P. and borrowings under our revolving senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance.

The Base Indenture, as supplemented by the Second Supplemental Indenture, contains certain covenants including covenants requiring us to comply with (regardless of whether it is subject to) the asset coverage requirements set forth in Section 18 (a) (1)(A) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act to comply with the restrictions on dividends, distributions and purchase of capital stock set forth in Section 18(a)(1)(B) as modified by

73

Index to Financial Statements

Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act and to provide financial information to the holders of the September 2019 Notes and the Trustee if we should no longer be subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These covenants are subject to important limitations and exceptions that are described in the Indenture, as supplemented by the Second Supplemental Indenture. The Indenture provides for customary events of default and further provides that the Trustee or the holders of 25% in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding September 2019 Notes in a series may declare such September 2019 Notes immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of any event of default after expiration of any applicable grace period.

The September 2019 Notes were sold pursuant to an underwriting agreement dated September 19, 2012 among us and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representative of the several underwriters named in the underwriting agreement. In October 2012, the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option for an additional \$10.9 million of the September 2019 Notes, bringing the total amount of the September 2019 Notes issued to approximately \$85.9 million in aggregate principal amount.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the components of interest expense and related fees and cash paid for interest expense and fees for the April 2019 and September 2019 Notes are as follows:

	Year	Year Ended	
	Decem	nber 31,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	
Stated interest expense	\$ 11,926	\$ 5,139	
Amortization of debt issuance cost	967	423	
Total interest expense and fees	\$ 12,893	\$ 5,562	

As of December 31, 2013, we are in compliance with the terms of the indenture, and respective supplemental indenture, governing the April 2019 Notes and September 2019 Notes. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for more detail on the 2019 Notes.

Asset-Backed Notes

Cash paid for interest expense and fees

On December 19, 2012, we completed a \$230.7 million term debt securitization in connection with which an affiliate of the Company made an offer of \$129.3 million in aggregate principal amount of fixed-rate asset-backed notes (the Asset-Backed Notes), which Asset-Backed Notes were rated A2(sf) by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. The Asset-Backed Notes were issued by Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1 pursuant to a note purchase agreement, dated as of December 12, 2012, by and among us, Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC, as Trust Depositor (the Trust Depositor), Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1, as Issuer (the Issuer), and Guggenheim Securities, LLC, as Initial Purchaser, and are backed by a pool of senior loans made to certain of our portfolio companies and secured by certain assets of those portfolio companies and are to be serviced by us. Interest on the Asset-Backed Notes will be paid, to the extent of funds available, at a fixed rate of 3.32% per annum. The Asset-Backed Notes have a stated maturity of December 16, 2017.

As part of this transaction, we entered into a sale and contribution agreement with the Trust Depositor under which we have agreed to sell or have contributed to the Trust Depositor certain senior loans made to certain of our portfolio companies (the Loans). We have made customary representations, warranties and covenants in the sale and contribution agreement with respect to the Loans as of the date of their transfer to the Trust Depositor.

In connection with the issuance and sale of the Asset-Backed Notes, we have made customary representations, warranties and covenants in the note purchase agreement. The Asset-Backed Notes are secured obligations of the Issuer and are non-recourse to us. The Issuer also entered into an indenture governing the Asset-Backed Notes, which indenture includes customary representations, warranties and covenants. The Asset-Backed Notes were sold without being registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), to qualified institutional buyers in compliance with the exemption from registration provided by Rule

\$11,926

\$4,790

Index to Financial Statements

144A under the Securities Act and to institutional accredited investors (as defined in Rule 501(a)(1), (2), (3) or (7) under the Securities Act) who in each case, are qualified purchasers for purposes of Section 3(c)(7) under the 1940 Act. In addition, the Trust Depositor entered into an amended and restated trust agreement, which includes customary representation, warranties and covenants.

The Loans are serviced by us pursuant to a sale and servicing agreement, which contains customary representations, warranties and covenants. We perform certain servicing and administrative functions with respect to the Loans. We are entitled to receive a monthly fee from the Issuer for servicing the Loans. This servicing fee is equal to the product of one-twelfth (or in the case of the first payment date, a fraction equal to the number of days from and including December 5, 2012 through and including January 15, 2013 over 360) of 2.00% and the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the Loans, excluding all defaulted Loans and all purchased Loans, as of the first day of the related collection period (the period from the 5th day of the immediately preceding calendar month through the 4th day of the calendar month in which a payment date occurs, and for the first payment date, the period from and including December 5, 2012, to the close of business on January 4, 2013).

We also serve as administrator to the Issuer under an administration agreement, which includes customary representations, warranties and covenants.

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Asset Backed Notes had an outstanding principal balance of \$89.6 million and \$129.3 million, respectively.

Under the terms of the Asset Backed Notes, we are required to maintain a reserve cash balance, funded through interest and principal collections from the underlying securitized debt portfolio, which may be used to pay monthly interest and principal payments on the Asset-Backed Notes. The Company has segregated these funds and classified them as Restricted Cash. There was approximately \$6.3 million of Restricted Cash as of December 31, 2013 funded through interest collections. There was no cash segregated at December 31, 2012 due to immaterial monthly interest collections for the period ended December 31, 2012. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for more detail on the Asset-Backed Notes.

Convertible Senior Notes

In April 2011, we issued \$75.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 6.00% convertible senior notes (the Convertible Senior Notes) due in 2016. As of December 31, 2013, the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes, comprised of the aggregate principal amount outstanding less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, is approximately \$72.5 million.

The Convertible Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2016 (the Maturity Date), unless previously converted or repurchased in accordance with their terms. The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.00% per year payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2011. The Convertible Senior Notes are our senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to our existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Convertible Senior Notes; equal in right of payment to our existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness (including unsecured indebtedness that we later secure) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by the our subsidiaries, financing vehicles or similar facilities.

Prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding October 15, 2015, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes only under certain circumstances set forth in the Indenture. On or after October 15, 2015 until the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the Maturity Date, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes at any time. Upon conversion, we will pay or deliver, as the case may be, at our election, cash, shares of our common stock or a combination of cash and shares of our

Index to Financial Statements

common stock. The conversion rate will initially be 84.0972 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$11.89 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, if certain corporate events occur prior to the Maturity Date, the conversion rate will be increased for converting holders.

We may not redeem the Convertible Senior Notes prior to maturity. No sinking fund is provided for the Convertible Senior Notes. In addition, if certain corporate events occur, holders of the Convertible Senior Notes may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their Convertible Senior Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest through, but excluding, the required repurchase date.

The Convertible Senior Notes are accounted for in accordance with ASC 470-20 (previously FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)). In accounting for the Convertible Senior Notes, we estimated at the time of issuance that the values of the debt and the embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes were approximately 92.8% and 7.2%, respectively. The original issue discount of 7.2% attributable to the conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes was recorded in capital in excess of par value in the accompanying consolidated statement of assets and liabilities. As a result, we record interest expense comprised of both stated interest expense as well as accretion of the original issue discount resulting in an estimated effective interest rate of approximately 8.1%.

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the components of the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2013	ember 31, 2012
Principal amount of debt	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
Original issue discount, net of accretion	(2,481)	(3,564)
Carrying value of debt	\$ 72,519	\$ 71,436

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the components of interest expense, fees and cash paid for interest expense for the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

	Year Ended	
	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Stated interest expense	\$ 4,500	\$ 4,500
Accretion of original issue discount	1,083	1,083
Amortization of debt issuance cost	577	577
Total interest expense	\$ 6,160	\$ 6,160
Cash paid for interest expense	\$ 4,500	\$ 4,500

The estimated effective interest rate of the debt component of the Convertible Senior Notes, equal to the stated interest of 6.0% plus the accretion of the original issue discount, was approximately 8.1% for both the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, we are in compliance with the terms of the indentures governing the Convertible Senior Notes. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for more detail on the Convertible Senior Notes.

Wells Facility

In August 2008, we entered into a \$50.0 million two-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance (the Wells Facility). On June 20, 2011, we renewed the Wells Facility. Under this three-year senior secured facility, Wells Fargo Capital Finance has made commitments of \$75.0 million. The facility

Index to Financial Statements

contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Wells Fargo Capital Finance and subject to other customary conditions. We expect to continue discussions with various other potential lenders to join the new facility; however, there can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the Wells Facility.

On August 1, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the Wells Facility. The amendment reduces the interest rate floor by 75 basis points to 4.25% and extends the maturity date by one year to August 2015. Additionally, an amortization period of 12 months was added to pay down the principal balance as of the maturity date, and the unused line fee was reduced.

Borrowings under the Wells Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.50%, with a floor of 4.25% and an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans. The Wells Facility is secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Wells Facility requires payment of a non-use fee on a scale of 0.0% to 0.50% of the average monthly outstanding balance. The monthly payment of a non-use fee thereafter shall depend on the average balance that was outstanding on a scale between 0.0% and 0.50%. For the year ended December 31, 2013, this non-use fee was approximately \$380,000. On June 20, 2011 the Company paid an additional \$1.1 million in structuring fees in connection with the Wells Facility which is being amortized through the end of the term.

The Wells Facility includes various financial and operating covenants applicable to us and our subsidiaries, in addition to those applicable to Hercules Funding II, LLC. These covenants require us to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding subordinated indebtedness, that is in excess of \$362.0 million plus 90% of the cumulative amount of equity raised after June 30, 2012. In addition, the tangible net worth covenant will increase by 90 cents on the dollar for every dollar of equity capital that we subsequently raise. As of December 31, 2013, the minimum tangible net worth covenant has increased to \$478.5 million as a result of our follow-on public offerings. The Wells Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2013. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for more detail on the Wells Facility.

Union Bank Facility

On November 2, 2010, we entered a \$20.0 million one-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Union Bank (the Union Bank Facility). On November 2, 2011, we renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility and added a new lender under the Union Bank Facility. Union Bank and RBC Capital Markets (RBC) have made commitments of \$30.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which we can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions. We expect to continue discussions with various other potential lenders to join the new facility; however, there can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the Union Bank Facility.

On March 30, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility which permitted us to issue additional senior notes relating to the offer and sale of our 2019 Notes. On September 17, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility. Pursuant to the terms of the amendment, we are permitted to increase our unsecured indebtedness by an aggregate original principal amount not to exceed \$200.0 million incurred after March 30, 2012 in one or more issuances, provided certain conditions are satisfied for each issuance.

On December 17, 2012, we further amended the Union Bank Facility to remove RBC from the Union Bank Facility. Following the removal of RBC, the Union Bank Facility consists solely of Union Bank s commitment of \$30.0 million. In connection with the amendment, the maximum availability under the Union Bank Facility, subject to a borrowing base, was reduced from \$55.0 million to \$30.0 million. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which we could increase the credit line by up to \$95.0 million in the aggregate, funded by commitments from additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions. There can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the Union Bank Facility.

77

Index to Financial Statements

Borrowings under the Union Bank Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% with a floor of 4.0%. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. For the year ended December 31, 2013, this nonuse fee was approximately \$152,000. The Union Bank Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50.0% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Union Bank Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity.

The Union Bank Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require us to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding subordinated indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the amount of net cash proceeds received from the sale of common stock after March 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2013, the minimum tangible net worth covenant has increased to \$472.8 million as a result of our follow-on public offerings. As amended, the Union Bank Facility will mature on May 1, 2015, with a borrowing termination date as of May 2, 2014 and a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. Union Bank Facility also provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2013. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for more detail on the Union Bank Facility.

Citibank Credit Facility

We, through Hercules Funding Trust I, an affiliated statutory trust, had a securitized credit facility (the Citibank Credit Facility) with Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. which expired under normal terms. During the first quarter of 2009, we paid off all principal and interest owed under the Citibank Credit Facility. Citigroup has an equity participation right through a warrant participation agreement on the pool of loans and warrants collateralized under the Citibank Credit Facility. Pursuant to the warrant participation agreement, we granted to Citigroup a 10% participation in all warrants held as collateral. However, no additional warrants were included in collateral subsequent to the facility amendment on May 2, 2007. As a result, Citigroup is entitled to 10% of the realized gains on the warrants until the realized gains paid to Citigroup pursuant to the agreement equal \$3,750,000 (the Maximum Participation Limit). The obligations under the warrant participation agreement continue even after the Citibank Credit Facility is terminated until the Maximum Participation Limit has been reached.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we reduced our realized gain by approximately \$249,000 for Citigroup's participation in the gain on sale of equity securities which were obtained from exercising portfolio company warrants which were included in the collateral pool. We recorded an increase on participation liability and a decrease on unrealized appreciation by a net amount of approximately \$57,000 as a result of appreciation of fair value on the pool of warrants collateralized under the warrant participation agreement. The value of their participation right on unrealized gains in the related equity investments was approximately \$370,000 as of December 31, 2013 and is included in accrued liabilities. There can be no assurances that the unrealized appreciation of the warrants will not be higher or lower in future periods due to fluctuations in the value of the warrants, thereby increasing or reducing the effect on the cost of borrowing. Since inception of the agreement, we have paid Citigroup approximately \$1.6 million under the warrant participation agreement thereby reducing realized gains by this amount. We will continue to pay Citigroup under the warrant participation agreement until the Maximum Participation Limit is reached or the warrants expire. Warrants subject to the Citigroup participation agreement are set to expire between March 2014 and March 2018.

Index to Financial Statements

Dividends

The following table summarizes our dividends declared and paid or to be paid on all shares, including restricted stock, to date:

Date Declared	Record Date	Payment Date	Amount Per Share
October 27, 2005	November 1, 2005	November 17, 2005	\$ 0.03
December 9, 2005	January 6, 2006	January 27, 2006	0.30
April 3, 2006	April 10, 2006	May 5, 2006	0.30
July 19, 2006	July 31, 2006	August 28, 2006	0.30
October 16, 2006	November 6, 2006	December 1, 2006	0.30
February 7, 2007	February 19, 2007	March 19, 2007	0.30
May 3, 2007	May 16, 2007	June 18, 2007	0.30
August 2, 2007	August 16, 2007	September 17, 2007	0.30
November 1, 2007	November 16, 2007	December 17, 2007	0.30
February 7, 2008	February 15, 2008	March 17, 2008	0.30
May 8, 2008	May 16, 2008	June 16, 2008	0.34
August 7, 2008	August 15, 2008	September 19, 2008	0.34
November 6, 2008	November 14, 2008	December 15, 2008	0.34
February 12, 2009	February 23, 2009	March 30, 2009	0.32*
May 7, 2009	May 15, 2009	June 15, 2009	0.30
August 6, 2009	August 14, 2009	September 14, 2009	0.30
October 15, 2009	October 20, 2009	November 23, 2009	0.30
December 16, 2009	December 24, 2009	December 30, 2009	0.04
February 11, 2010	February 19, 2010	March 19, 2010	0.20
May 3, 2010	May 12, 2010	June 18, 2010	0.20
August 2, 2010	August 12, 2010	September 17,2010	0.20
November 4, 2010	November 10, 2010	December 17, 2010	0.20
March 1, 2011	March 10, 2011	March 24, 2011	0.22
May 5, 2011	May 11, 2011	June 23, 2011	0.22
August 4, 2011	August 15, 2011	September 15, 2011	0.22
November 3, 2011	November 14, 2011	November 29, 2011	0.22
February 27, 2012	March 12, 2012	March 15, 2012	0.23
April 30, 2012	May 18, 2012	May 25, 2012	0.24
July 30, 2012	August 17, 2012	August 24, 2012	0.24
October 26, 2012	November 14, 2012	November 21, 2012	0.24
February 26, 2013	March 11, 2013	March 19, 2013	0.25
April 29, 2013	May 14, 2013	May 21, 2013	0.27
July 29, 2013	August 13, 2013	August 20, 2013	0.28
November 4, 2013	November 18, 2013	November 25, 2013	0.31
February 24, 2014	March 10, 2014	March 17, 2014	0.31

9.06

On February 24, 2014 the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.31 per share paid on March 17, 2014 to shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. This dividend represents our thirty-fourth consecutive dividend declaration since our initial public offering, bringing the total cumulative dividends declared to date to \$9.06 per share.

Our Board of Directors maintains a variable dividend policy with the objective of distributing four quarterly distributions in an amount that approximates 90 100% of our taxable quarterly income or potential annual income for a particular year. In addition, at the end of the year, we may also pay an additional special dividend or fifth dividend, such that we may distribute approximately all of our annual taxable income in the year it was earned, while maintaining the option to spill over our excess taxable income.

Dividend paid in cash and stock.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would generally be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder s tax basis, and any remaining distributions would be treated as a capital gain. The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. Of the dividends declared during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, 100% were distributions of

Index to Financial Statements

ordinary income. There can be no certainty to stockholders that this determination is representative of what the tax attributes of our 2014 distributions to stockholders will actually be.

Each year a statement on Form 1099-DIV identifying the source of the distribution (i.e., paid from ordinary income, paid from net capital gains on the sale of securities, and/or a return of paid-in-capital surplus which is a nontaxable distribution) is mailed to our stockholders. To the extent our taxable earnings fall below the total amount of our distributions for that fiscal year, a portion of those distributions may be deemed a tax return of capital to our stockholders.

We operate to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under the Code. Generally, a RIC is entitled to deduct dividends it pays to its shareholders from its income to determine taxable income. Taxable income includes our taxable interest, dividend and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual payment-in-kind interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest arrangements or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

As a RIC, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income unless we distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the 1-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income realized, but not distributed, in the preceding year (the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements). We will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which we are required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that may be carried over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. To the extent we choose to carry over taxable income into the next tax year, dividends declared and paid by us in a year may differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital.

We can offer no assurance that we will achieve results that will permit the payment of any cash distributions and, if we issue senior securities, we will be prohibited from making distributions if doing so causes us to fail to maintain the asset coverage ratios stipulated by the 1940 Act or if distributions are limited by the terms of any of our borrowings. Our ability to make distributions will be limited by the asset coverage requirements under the 1940 Act.

We intend to distribute approximately \$3.8 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2013 to our shareholders in 2014.

We maintain an opt-out dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders. As a result, if we declare a dividend, cash dividends will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock unless the stockholder specifically opts out of the dividend reinvestment plan and chooses to receive cash dividends.

80

Index to Financial Statements

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. On an ongoing basis, our management evaluates its estimates and assumptions, which are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in our estimates and assumptions could materially impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Valuation of Portfolio Investments

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

At December 31, 2013, approximately 74.5% of our total assets represented investments in portfolio companies that are valued at fair value by the Board of Directors. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. Our investments are carried at fair value in accordance with the 1940 Act and Accounting Standards Codification topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). Our debt securities are primarily invested in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, our investments in these portfolio companies are generally considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged. As such, we value substantially all of our investments at fair value as determined in good faith pursuant to a consistent valuation policy and our Board of Directors in accordance with the provisions of ASC 820 and the 1940 Act. Due to the inherent uncertainty in determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by our Board may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a readily available market existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

We may from time to time engage an independent valuation firm to provide us with valuation assistance with respect to certain of our portfolio investments on a quarterly basis. We intend to continue to engage an independent valuation firm to provide us with assistance regarding our determination of the fair value of selected portfolio investments each quarter unless directed by the Board of Directors to cancel such valuation services. The scope of the services rendered by an independent valuation firm is at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of our investments in good faith.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available or when such market quotations are deemed not to represent fair value, our Board of Directors has approved a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

- (1) our quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio company being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for the portfolio investment;
- (2) preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and business based assumptions are discussed with our investment committee;
- (3) the Valuation Committee of the Board of Directors reviews the preliminary valuation of the investments in the portfolio as provided by the investment committee, which incorporates the results of the independent valuation firm as appropriate.

Index to Financial Statements

(4) the Valuation Committee discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of, where applicable, the respective independent valuation firm and the investment committee.

ASC 820 establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of the assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. ASC 820 also enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements based on the level within the hierarchy of the information used in the valuation. ASC 820 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

We have categorized all investments recorded at fair value in accordance with ASC 820 based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by ASC 820 and directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities, are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the measurement date. The types of assets carried at Level 1 fair value generally are equities listed in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset in connection with market data at the measurement date and for the extent of the instrument s anticipated life. Fair valued assets that are generally included in this category are warrants held in a public company.

Level 3 Inputs reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset at the measurement date. It includes prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. Generally, assets carried at fair value and included in this category are the debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company.

In accordance with ASU 2011-04, the following table provides quantitative information about our Level 3 fair value measurements of our investments as of December 31, 2013. In addition to the techniques and inputs noted in the table below, according to our valuation policy we may also use other valuation techniques and methodologies when determining our fair value measurements. The below table is not intended to be all-inclusive, but rather provides information on the significant Level 3 inputs as they relate to our fair value measurements.

Index to Financial Statements

Investment Type -Level Three

December 31, 2013	Valuation Techniques/ Methodologies	Unobservable Input ^(a)	Range	Weighted Average ^(c)
25,811 250,607	Originated Within 6 Months Market Comparable Companies	Origination Yield Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount)	12.56% - 14.53% 13.83% - 15.47% (1.00%) - 0.00%	13.36% 14.13%
46,900 34,723	Originated Within 6 Months Market Comparable Companies	Origination Yield Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount)	13.54% - 17.37% 14.32% - 17.37% (1.00%) - 1.00%	14.87% 15.23%
18,796 98,290 1,643	Originated Within 6 Months Market Comparable Companies Liquidation	Origination Yield Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount) Probability weighting of	10.62% - 15.97% 14.72% - 21.08% 0.00% - 1.00% 30.00% - 70.00%	14.26% 15.48%
32,597 108,238	Originated Within 6 Months Market Comparable Companies	alternative outcomes Origination Yield Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount)	14.68% - 15.87% 15.37% (0.50%) - 1.50%	15.17% 15.37%
t 121,347 31,818 12,576	Market Comparable Companies Broker Quote ^(b) Liquidation	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount) Price Quotes Par Value Probability weighting of alternative outcomes	14.83% - 19.73% 0.00% - 1.00% 99.50% - 100.25% of par \$2.0 - \$22.5 million 20.00% - 80.00%	16.12%
15,906 22,236 500	Imminent Payoffs Debt Investments Maturing in Less Convertible Debt at Par	than One Year		
	(in thousands) 25,811 250,607 46,900 34,723 18,796 98,290 1,643 32,597 108,238 t 121,347 31,818 12,576	December 31, 2013 (in thousands) 25,811 Originated Within 6 Months Market Comparable Companies 46,900 Originated Within 6 Months 34,723 Market Comparable Companies 18,796 Originated Within 6 Months 98,290 Market Comparable Companies 1,643 Liquidation 32,597 Originated Within 6 Months Market Comparable Companies 108,238 Market Comparable Companies 121,347 Market Comparable Companies 31,818 Broker Quote(b) 12,576 Liquidation Debt Investments Where Fair Va Inminent Payoffs 22,236 Debt Investments Maturing in Less Convertible Debt at Par	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2013 Methodologies Unobservable Input(a) Range

Pharmaceuticals, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Drug Discovery and Development, Drug Delivery, and Diagnostics and Biotechnology industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Medical Devices, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Therapeutic, Surgical Devices, Medical Devices and Equipment and Biotechnology Tools industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Technology, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Software, Semiconductors, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Internet Consumer and Business Services, Information Services, Media/Content/Info and Communications and Networking industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Lower Middle Market, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Communications and Networking, Software, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Information Services, Internet Consumer and Business Services, Media/Content/Info, and Specialty Pharmaceuticals industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Energy Technology, above, aligns with the Energy Technology industry in the Schedule of Investments. In our quarterly and annual reports filed with the Commission prior to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we referred to the Energy Technology industry as Clean Tech and we referred to these investments as Clean Tech in the Schedule of Investments included in such reports.

⁽a) The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company s debt securities are hypothetical market yields and premiums/(discounts). The hypothetical market yield is defined as the exit price of an investment in a hypothetical market to hypothetical market participants where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The premiums (discounts) relate to company specific characteristics such as underlying investment performance, security liens, and other characteristics of the investment. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. Debt investments in the industries noted in the Company s Schedule of Investments are included in the industries note above as follows:

Index to Financial Statements

Total Level Three Debt Investments

(b) A broker quote valuation technique was used to derive the fair value of loans which are part of a syndicated facility.

\$827,540

(c) The weighted averages are calculated based on the fair market value of each investment.

sands) 266,978	Market Comparable Companies Option Pricing Model ^(b)	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount)	12.83% - 16.11% (2.0%) - 1.0%
	Option Pricing Model(b)	Viold Promium/(Discount)	
		Tield Freihidill/(Discoulit)	57.67%
		Average Industry Volatility(c)	0.190%
		Risk Free Interest Rate	15.2
46 022	Market Comparable Companies	Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	16.10%
40,022	Market Comparable Companies	Premium	16.19%
159,341	Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount) Investment Collateral	0.0% - 1.0% 12.36% - 20.49% (1.5%) - 1.0% \$0 - \$7.4 million
91,305	Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium	12.69%
263,894	Market Comparable Companies Broker Quote ^(d)	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium Price Quotes Market Comparable Index Yield Spreads Par Value	0.0% - 1.0% 10.75% -16.25% 0.0% -1.0% 78.0% -100% of par 4.33% - 5.93% \$30.0 million
	91,305	159,341 Market Comparable Companies Liquidation 91,305 Market Comparable Companies 263,894 Market Comparable Companies	Risk Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months) Hypothetical Market Yield Premium 159,341 Market Comparable Companies Liquidation 91,305 Market Comparable Companies Warket Comparable Companies Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount) Investment Collateral Hypothetical Market Yield Premium Hypothetical Market Yield Premium Hypothetical Market Yield Premium Hypothetical Market Yield Premium Price Quotes Market Comparable Index Yield Spreads

(a) The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company s debt securities are hypothetical market yields and premiums/(discounts). The hypothetical market yield is defined as the exit price of an investment in a hypothetical market to hypothetical market participants where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The premiums (discounts) relate to company specific characteristics such as underlying investment performance, security liens, and other characteristics of the investment. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. Debt investments in the industries noted in the Company s Schedule of Investments are included in the industries note above as follows:

Pharmaceuticals, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Drug Discovery and Development, Drug Delivery, and Diagnostics and Biotechnology industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Medical Devices, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Therapeutic, Surgical Devices, Medical Devices and Equipment and Biotechnology Tools industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Technology, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Software, Semiconductors, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Internet Consumer and Business Services, Information Services, Media/Content/Info and Communications and Networking industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Lower Middle Market, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Communications and Networking, Software, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Information Services, Internet Consumer and Business Services, Media/Content/Info, and Specialty Pharmaceuticals industries in the Schedule of Investments.

Energy Technology, above, aligns with the Energy Technology industry in the Schedule of Investments.

- (b) An option pricing model valuation technique was used to derive the conversion feature of convertible notes.
- (c) Represents the range of industry volatility used by market participants when pricing the investment.
- (d) A broker quote valuation technique was used to derive the fair value of loans which are part of a syndicated facility.

84

Index to Financial Statements

Investment Type-	Fair Value at December 31, 2013 (in thousands)	Valuation Techniques/ Methodologies	Unobservable Input (a)	Range
Level Three Equity Investments	(in mousanas) \$10,244	Market Comparable Companies	EBITDA Multiple ^(b) Revenue Multiple ^(b) Discount for Lack of Marketability ^(c) Average Industry Volatility ^(d) Risk-Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	8.6x - 17.7x 0.7x - 13.8x 9.1%-23.6% 43.4%- 110.7% 0.1% - 0.4% 6 - 30
	9,289	Market Adjusted	Average Industry Volatility(d)	45.6% - 109.7%
		OPM Backsolve	Risk-Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	0.1% - 0.9% 6-42
	18,127	Other	Average Industry Volatility ^(d) Risk-Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	44.0% 0.1% 12
Level Three Warrant Investments	\$10,200	Market Comparable	EBITDA Multiple(b)	5.0x - 51.4x
		Companies	Revenue Multiple ^(b) Discount for Lack of Marketability ^(c) Average Industry Volatility ^(d) Risk-Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	0.5x - 13.8x 6.4% -36.0% 21.3% - 110.7% 0.1% -1.0% 6 - 48
	8,913	Market Adjusted	Average Industry Volatility ^(d)	35.7% -109.9%
		OPM Backsolve	Risk-Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	0.1% -2.7% 3 - 48
	9,595	Other	Average Industry Volatility ^(d) Risk-Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months)	44.0% - 56.9% 0.1% - 1.0% 12 - 48
Total Level Three Warrant and Equity Investments	\$66,368			

⁽a) The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's warrant and equity-related securities are revenue and/or EBITDA multiples and discounts for lack of marketability. Additional inputs used in the Black Scholes option pricing model include industry volatility, risk free interest rate and estimated time to exit. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. For some investments, additional consideration may be given to data from the last round of financing or merger/acquisition events near the measurement date.

⁽b) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined that market participants would use such multiples when pricing the investments.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

- (c) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined market participants would take into account these discounts when pricing the investments.
- (d) Represents the range of average industry volatility used by market participants when pricing the investment.

85

Index to Financial Statements

	Fair Value at	Valuation Techniques/		
Investment Type-	December 31, 2012	Methodologies	Unobservable Input(a)	Range
	(in thousands)			
Level Three Warrant and Equity	\$57,685	Market Comparable Companies	EBITDA Multiple(b)	1.43x -20.68x
			Revenue Multiple(b)	0.42x - 16.98x
Investments			Discount for Lack of	10.4% - 25.2%
m vestments			Marketability(c)	
Warrant positions additionally subject to:		Option Pricing Model	Average Industry Volatility(d)	46.49% - 141.2%
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	0.17% - 0.46%
			Estimated Time to Exit	12 - 48
			(in months)	
Total Level Three Warrant and Equity				
Investments	\$57,685			

- (a) The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company s warrant and equity-related securities are revenue and/or EBITDA multiples and discounts for lack of marketability. Additional inputs used in the Black Scholes option pricing model include industry volatility, risk free interest rate and estimated time to exit. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. For some investments, additional consideration may be given to data from the last round of financing or merger/acquisition events near the measurement date.
- (b) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined that market participants would use such multiples when pricing the investments.
- (c) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined market participants would take into account these discounts when pricing the investments.
- (d) Represents the range of industry volatility used by market participants when pricing the investment. Debt Investments

We follow the guidance set forth in ASC 820 which establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. Our debt securities are primarily invested in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries at all stages of development. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, our investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for debt instruments for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged.

In making a good faith determination of the value of our investments, we generally start with the cost basis of the investment, which includes the value attributed to the OID, if any, and PIK interest or other receivables which have been accrued to principal as earned. We then apply the valuation methods as set forth below.

We apply a procedure for debt investments that assumes a sale of investment in a hypothetical market to a hypothetical market participant where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The hypothetical market does not include scenarios where the underlying security was simply repaid or extinguished, but includes an exit concept. Under this process, we also evaluate the collateral for recoverability of the debt investments as well as apply all of its historical fair value analysis. We use pricing on recently issued comparable debt securities to determine the baseline hypothetical market yields as of the measurement date. We consider each portfolio company s credit rating, security liens and other characteristics of the investment to adjust the baseline yield to derive a hypothetical yield for each investment as of the measurement date. The anticipated future cash flows from each investment are then discounted at the hypothetical yield to estimate each investment s fair value as of the measurement date.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Our process includes, among other things, the underlying investment performance, the current portfolio company s financial condition and market changing events that impact valuation, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. We value our syndicated loans, which represent less than 4.0% of our debt investment portfolio, using broker quotes and bond indices amongst other factors. If there is a significant deterioration of the credit quality of a debt investment, we

Index to Financial Statements

may consider other factors than those a hypothetical market participant would use to estimate fair value, including the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

We record unrealized depreciation on investments when we believe that an investment has decreased in value, including where collection of a loan is doubtful or, if under the in-exchange premise, when the value of a debt security were to be less than amortized cost of the investment. Conversely, where appropriate, we record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and, therefore, that our investment has also appreciated in value or, if under the in-exchange premise, the value of a debt security were to be greater than amortized cost.

When originating a debt instrument, we generally receive warrants or other equity-related securities from the borrower. We determine the cost basis of the warrants or other equity-related securities received based upon their respective fair values on the date of receipt in proportion to the total fair value of the debt and warrants or other equity-related securities received. Any resulting discount on the debt investments from recordation of the warrant or other equity instruments is accreted into interest income over the life of the debt investments.

Equity-Related Securities and Warrants

Securities that are traded in the over-the-counter markets or on a stock exchange will be valued at the prevailing bid price at period end. We have a limited number of equity securities in public companies. In accordance with the 1940 Act, unrestricted publicly traded securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the closing market quote on the measurement date.

We estimate the fair value of warrants using a Black Scholes pricing model. At each reporting date, privately held warrant and equity related securities are valued based on an analysis of various factors including, but not limited to, the portfolio company s operating performance and financial condition and general market conditions, price to enterprise value or price to equity ratios, discounted cash flow, valuation comparisons to comparable public companies or other industry benchmarks. When an external event occurs, such as a purchase transaction, public offering, or subsequent equity sale, the pricing indicated by that external event is utilized to corroborate our valuation of the warrant and equity related securities. We periodically review the valuation of our portfolio companies that have not been involved in a qualifying external event to determine if the enterprise value of the portfolio company may have increased or decreased since the last valuation measurement date.

Income Recognition

We record interest income on the accrual basis and recognizes it as earned in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. OID initially represents the value of detachable equity warrants obtained in conjunction with the acquisition of debt securities and is accreted into interest income over the term of the loan as a yield enhancement. When a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if management otherwise does not expect the portfolio company to be able to service its debt and other obligations, we will generally place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until all principal has been paid. Any uncollected interest related to prior periods is reversed from income in the period that collection of the interest receivable is determined to be doubtful. However, we may make exceptions to this policy if the investment has sufficient collateral value and is in the process of collection. At December 31, 2013, we had two loans on non-accrual with a cumulative cost and fair value of approximately \$23.3 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, compared to one loan on non-accrual at December 31, 2012 with an approximate cost of \$347,000 and no fair market value. During the year ended December 31, 2013 we recognized a realized loss of approximately \$350,000 on our debt investments in this company.

Paid-In-Kind and End of Term Income

Contractual PIK interest arrangements, which represents contractually deferred interest added to the loan balance that is generally due at the end of the loan term, is generally recorded on the accrual basis to the extent

Index to Financial Statements

such amounts are expected to be collected. We will generally cease accruing PIK interest if there is insufficient value to support the accrual or management does not expect the portfolio company to be able to pay all principal and interest due. In addition, we may also be entitled to an end-of-term payment that is amortized into income over the life of the loan. To maintain our status as a RIC, PIK and end-of-term income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though the cash has not yet been collected. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments.

Fee Income.

Fee income, generally collected in advance, includes loan commitment and facility fees for due diligence and structuring, as well as fees for transaction services and management services rendered by us to portfolio companies and other third parties. Loan and commitment fees are amortized into income over the contractual life of the loan. Management fees are generally recognized as income when the services are rendered. Loan origination fees are capitalized and then amortized into interest income using the effective interest rate method. In certain loan arrangements, warrants or other equity interests are received from the borrower as additional origination fees.

We recognize nonrecurring fees amortized over the remaining term of the loan commencing in the quarter relating to specific loan modifications. Certain fees may still be recognized as one-time fees, including prepayment penalties, fees related to select covenant default waiver fees and acceleration of previously deferred loan fees and original issue discount (OID) related to early loan pay-off or material modification of the specific debt outstanding.

Equity Offering Expenses

Our offering costs are charged against the proceeds from equity offerings when received.

Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are fees and other direct incremental costs incurred by us in obtaining debt financing. Debt issuance costs are recognized as prepaid expenses and amortized over the life of the related debt instrument using the straight line method, which closely approximates the effective yield method.

Stock Based Compensation

We have issued and may, from time to time, issue additional stock options and restricted stock to employees under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and Board members under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. We follow ASC 718, formally known as FAS 123R Share-Based Payments to account for stock options granted. Under ASC 718, compensation expense associated with stock based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rate and expected option life.

Income Taxes

We operate to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under the Code. Generally, a RIC is entitled to deduct dividends it pays to its shareholders from its income to determine taxable income. Taxable income includes our taxable interest, dividend and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash.

Index to Financial Statements

Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual PIK interest arrangements, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest arrangements or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

As a RIC, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income unless the we distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the 1-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income realized, but not distributed, in the preceding year (the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements). We will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which we are required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that may be carried over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. To the extent we choose to carry over taxable income into the next tax year, dividends declared and paid by us in a year may differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital.

At December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, no excise tax was recorded. We intend to distribute approximately \$3.8 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2013 to our shareholders in 2014. We distributed approximately \$1.5 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2012 to our shareholders in 2013.

Because federal income tax regulations differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, distributions in accordance with tax regulations may differ from net investment income and realized gains recognized for financial reporting purposes. Differences may be permanent or temporary. Permanent differences are reclassified among capital accounts in the financial statement to reflect their tax character. Temporary differences arise when certain items of income, expense, gain or loss are recognized at some time in the future. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-08, Financial Services Investment Companies (Topic 946): Amendments to the Scope, Measurement, and Disclosure Requirements, which amends the criteria that define an investment company and clarifies the measurement guidance and requires new disclosures for investment companies. Under ASU 2013-08, an entity already regulated under the 1940 Act is automatically an investment company under the new GAAP definition, so we anticipate no impacts from adopting this standard on our statement of assets and liabilities or results of operations. We are currently assessing the additional disclosure requirements. ASU 2013-08 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013.

Subsequent Events

Dividend Declaration

On February 24, 2014 the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.31 per share to be paid on March 17, 2014 to shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. This dividend would represent our thirty-fourth consecutive dividend declaration since our initial public offering, bringing the total cumulative dividend declared to date to \$9.06 per share

Index to Financial Statements

Closed and Pending Commitments

As of February 24, 2014, we have:

- a. Closed commitments of approximately \$46.4 million to new and existing portfolio companies, and funded approximately \$37.1 million since the close of the fourth quarter of 2013.
- b. Pending commitments (signed non-binding term sheets) of approximately \$112.3 million.

The table below summarizes our year-to-date closed and pending commitments as follows:

generally fund over the two succeeding quarters from close.

Closed and Pending Commitments (in millions)

Q1-14 Closed Commitments (as of February 24, 2014) (a)	\$ 46.4
Pending Commitments (as of February 24, 2014) (b)	\$ 112.3
Year-to-date 2014 Closed and Pending Commitments	\$ 158.7
Notes:	

- a. Closed Commitments may include renewals of existing credit facilities. Not all Closed Commitments result in future cash requirements. Commitments
- b. Not all pending commitments (signed non-binding term sheets) are expected to close and do not necessarily represent any future cash requirements. Portfolio Company Developments

As of December 31, 2013, we held warrants or equity positions in five companies that have filed registration statements on Form S-1 with the SEC in contemplation of potential initial public offerings, including Everyday Health, Inc. and four companies which filed confidentially under the JOBS Act. There can be no assurance that these companies will complete their initial public offerings in a timely manner or at all. In addition, subsequent to December 31, 2013 the following portfolio companies in which we held investments as of December 31, 2013 completed initial public offerings or were acquired:

- 1. In January 2014, Toshiba Corporation completed its acquisition of Hercules portfolio company OCZ Technology. The acquisition resulted in full repayment of the Hercules debt investment in OCZ Technology.
- 2. In January 2014, Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (NASDAQ: DRNA) completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock at \$15.00 per share.
- 3. In February 2014, Revance Therapeutics, Inc. (NASDAQ:RVNC) completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock at \$16.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in April 2013.
- 4. In February 2014, Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (NASDAQ:CNCE) completed its initial public offering of 6,000,000 shares of its common stock at \$14.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in December 2013.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

- 5. In February 2014, Uniqure B.V. (NASDAQ:QURE) completed its initial public offering of 5,400,000 shares of its common stock at \$17.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in November 2013.
- 6. In February 2014, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (NYSE:TEVA) completed its acquisition of Hercules portfolio company NuPathe Inc. (NASDAQ:PATH) at a price of \$3.65 per share in cash and the right to receive contingent cash consideration payments of up to \$3.15 per share, net to the seller in cash without interest.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk is defined as the sensitivity of our current and future earnings to interest rate volatility, variability of spread relationships, the

Index to Financial Statements

difference in re-pricing intervals between our assets and liabilities and the effect that interest rates may have on our cash flows. Changes in interest rates may affect both our cost of funding and our interest income from portfolio investments, cash and cash equivalents and idle funds investments. Our investment income will be affected by changes in various interest rates, including LIBOR and Prime rates, to the extent our debt investments include variable interest rates. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 99.0% of the loans in our portfolio had variable rates based on floating Prime or LIBOR rates, or variable rates with a floor. Changes in interest rates can also affect, among other things, our ability to acquire and originate loans and securities and the value of our investment portfolio.

Based on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2013, the following table shows the approximate annualized increase (decrease) in components of net assets resulting from operations of hypothetical base rate changes in interest rates, assuming no changes in our investments and borrowings.

(dollars in thousands)

	Interest	Interest	Net
Basis Point Change	Income	Expense	Income
100	\$ 7,423	\$	\$ 7,423
200	\$ 14,650	\$	\$ 14,650
300	\$ 26,052	\$	\$ 26,052
400	\$ 36,598	\$	\$ 36,598
500	\$ 47,168	\$	\$ 47,168

(1) A decline in interest rates would not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We do not currently engage in any hedging activities. However, we may, in the future, hedge against interest rate fluctuations by using standard hedging instruments such as futures, options, and forward contracts. While hedging activities may insulate us against changes in interest rates, they may also limit our ability to participate in the benefits of lower interest rates with respect to our borrowed funds and higher interest rates with respect to our portfolio of investments. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we did not engage in interest rate hedging activities.

Although we believe that the foregoing analysis is indicative of our sensitivity to interest rate changes, it does not adjust for potential changes in the credit market, credit quality, size and composition of the assets in our portfolio. It also does not adjust for other business developments, including borrowings under our Credit Facilities, SBA debentures, Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes and Asset-Based Notes, that could affect the net increase in net assets resulting from operations, or net income. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the statement above.

Because we currently borrow, and plan to borrow in the future, money to make investments, our net investment income is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest the funds borrowed. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, which could reduce our net investment income if there is not a corresponding increase in interest income generated by variable rate assets in our investment portfolio.

For additional information regarding the interest rate associated with each of our Credit Facilities, SBA debentures, Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes and Asset-Based Notes, please refer to Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources Outstanding Borrowings in this report on Form 10-K.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our chief executive and chief financial officers, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, our chief executive and chief financial officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the

Index to Financial Statements

time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including its chief executive and chief financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. As defined by the SEC, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company s principal executive and principal financial and accounting officer, approved and monitored by the Company s Board of Directors, and implemented by management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company s internal control over financial reporting is supported by written policies and procedures, that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company s assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the Company s management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management of the Company conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO Framework). Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm who also audited the Company s consolidated financial statements, as stated in their report, which is included in this prospectus.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in 2013

There have been no changes in our internal control over financing reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that occurred during the Company s most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

92

Index to Financial Statements

BUSINESS

We are a specialty finance company focused on providing senior secured loans to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science, and energy and renewables technology industries at all stages of development. We source our investments through our principal office located in Palo Alto, CA, as well as through our additional offices in Boston, MA, New York, NY, Boulder, CO and McLean, VA.

Our goal is to be the leading structured debt financing provider of choice for venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets requiring sophisticated and customized financing solutions. Our strategy is to evaluate and invest in a broad range of technology-related markets including technology, biotechnology, life science, and energy and renewables technology industries and to offer a full suite of growth capital products. We invest primarily in structured debt with warrants and, to a lesser extent, in senior debt and equity investments. We invest primarily in private companies but also have investments in public companies.

We use the term structured debt with warrants to refer to any debt investment, such as a senior or subordinated secured loan, that is coupled with an equity component, including warrants, options or rights to purchase common or preferred stock. Our structured debt with warrants investments typically are secured by some or all of the assets of the portfolio company.

Our investment objective is to maximize our portfolio total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity-related investments. Our primary business objectives are to increase our net income, net operating income and net asset value by investing in structured debt with warrants and equity of venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets with attractive current yields and the potential for equity appreciation and realized gains. Our equity ownership in our portfolio companies may represent a controlling interest. In some cases, we receive the right to make additional equity investments in our portfolio companies in connection with future equity financing rounds. Capital that we provide directly to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets is generally used for growth and general working capital purposes as well as in select cases for acquisitions or recapitalizations.

We also make investments in qualifying small businesses through our two wholly-owned small business SBIC subsidiaries. Our SBIC subsidiaries, HT II and HT III, hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets, respectively, prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013. We have issued \$225.0 million in SBA-guaranteed debentures in our SBIC subsidiaries, which is the maximum amount allowed for a group of SBICs under common control. See Regulation Small Business Administration Regulations for additional information regarding our SBIC subsidiaries.

We are an internally managed, non-diversified, closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. As a business development company, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements. For instance, we generally have to invest at least 70% of our total assets in qualifying assets, which includes securities of private U.S. companies, cash, cash equivalents and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less.

Our portfolio is comprised of, and we anticipate that our portfolio will continue to be comprised of, investments primarily in technology-related companies at various stages of their development. Consistent with regulatory requirements, we invest primarily in United States based companies and to a lesser extent in foreign companies. See Regulation Qualifying Assets.

We focus our investments in companies active in the technology industry sub-sectors characterized by products or services that require advanced technologies, including, but not limited to, computer software and hardware, networking systems, semiconductors, semiconductor capital equipment, information technology

Index to Financial Statements

infrastructure or services, internet consumer and business services, telecommunications, telecommunications equipment, renewable or alternative energy, media and life science. Within the life science sub-sector, we generally focus on medical devices, bio-pharmaceutical, drug discovery, drug delivery, health care services and information systems companies. Within the energy technology sub-sector, we focus on sustainable and renewable energy technologies and energy efficiency and monitoring technologies. We refer to all of these companies as technology-related companies and intend, under normal circumstances, to invest at least 80% of the value of our assets in such businesses.

CORPORATE HISTORY AND OFFICES

We are a Maryland corporation formed in December 2003 that began investment operations in September 2004. We are an internally managed, non-diversified closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the 1940 Act. As a business development company, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements. For instance, we generally have to invest at least 70% of our total assets in qualifying assets, including securities of private U.S. companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and high-quality debt investments that mature in one year or less. A business development company also must meet a coverage ratio of total net assets to total senior securities, which include all of our borrowings (including accrued interest payable) except for debentures issued by the Small Business Administration, or the SBA, and any preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200% subsequent to each borrowing or issuance of senior securities. See Regulation Business Development Company.

As of January 1, 2006, we have qualified as and have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a RIC under the Code. Pursuant to this election, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on any income that we distribute to our stockholders. However, our qualification and election to be treated as a RIC requires that we comply with provisions contained in the Code. For example, as a RIC we must receive 90% or more of our income from qualified earnings, typically referred to as good income, as well as satisfy asset diversification and income distribution requirements.

Our principal executive offices are located at 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310, Palo Alto, California 94301, and our telephone number is (650) 289-3060. We also have offices in Boston, MA, Boulder, CO, New York, NY and McLean, VA. We maintain a website on the Internet at www.htgc.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report, and you should not consider that information to be part of this Annual Report.

We file annual, quarterly and current periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which we refer to as the Exchange Act. This information is available at the SEC s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information about the operation of the SEC s public reference room by calling the SEC at (202) 551-8090. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet website, at www.sec.gov, that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including us, who file documents electronically with the SEC.

OUR MARKET OPPORTUNITY

We believe that technology-related companies compete in one of the largest and most rapidly growing sectors of the U.S. economy and that continued growth is supported by ongoing innovation and performance improvements in technology products as well as the adoption of technology across virtually all industries in response to competitive pressures. We believe that an attractive market opportunity exists for a specialty finance

Index to Financial Statements

company focused primarily on investments in structured debt with warrants in technology-related companies for the following reasons:

Technology-related companies have generally been underserved by traditional lending sources;

Unfulfilled demand exists for structured debt financing to technology-related companies as the number of lenders has declined due to the recent financial market turmoil; and

Structured debt with warrants products are less dilutive and complement equity financing from venture capital and private equity funds.

Technology-Related Companies are Underserved by Traditional Lenders. We believe many viable technology-related companies backed by financial sponsors have been unable to obtain sufficient growth financing from traditional lenders, including financial services companies such as commercial banks and finance companies because traditional lenders have continued to consolidate and have adopted a more risk-averse approach to lending. More importantly, we believe traditional lenders are typically unable to underwrite the risk associated with these companies effectively.

The unique cash flow characteristics of many technology-related companies include significant research and development expenditures and high projected revenue growth thus often making such companies difficult to evaluate from a credit perspective. In addition, the balance sheets of these companies often include a disproportionately large amount of intellectual property assets, which can be difficult to value. Finally, the speed of innovation in technology and rapid shifts in consumer demand and market share add to the difficulty in evaluating technology-related companies.

Due to the difficulties described above, we believe traditional lenders are generally refraining from entering the structured debt financing marketplace, instead preferring the risk-reward profile of asset based lending. Traditional lenders generally do not have flexible product offerings that meet the needs of technology-related companies. The financing products offered by traditional lenders typically impose on borrowers many restrictive covenants and conditions, including limiting cash outflows and requiring a significant depository relationship to facilitate rapid liquidation.

Unfulfilled Demand for Structured Debt Financing to Technology-Related Companies. Private debt capital in the form of structured debt financing from specialty finance companies continues to be an important source of funding for technology-related companies. We believe that the level of demand for structured debt financing is a function of the level of annual venture equity investment activity.

We believe that demand for structured debt financing is currently underserved. The venture capital market for the technology-related companies in which we invest has been active and is continuing to show signs of increased investment activity. Therefore, to the extent we have capital available, we believe this is an opportune time to be active in the structured lending market for technology-related companies.

Structured Debt with Warrants Products Complement Equity Financing From Venture Capital and Private Equity Funds. We believe that technology-related companies and their financial sponsors will continue to view structured debt securities as an attractive source of capital because it augments the capital provided by venture capital and private equity funds. We believe that our structured debt with warrants product provides access to growth capital that otherwise may only be available through incremental investments by existing equity investors. As such, we provide portfolio companies and their financial sponsors with an opportunity to diversify their capital sources. Generally, we believe technology-related companies at all stages of development target a portion of their capital to be debt in an attempt to achieve a higher valuation through internal growth. In addition, because financial sponsor-backed companies have reached a more mature stage prior to reaching a liquidity event, we believe our investments could provide the debt capital needed to grow or recapitalize during the extended period prior to liquidity events

Index to Financial Statements

OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our strategy to achieve our investment objective includes the following key elements:

Leverage the Experience and Industry Relationships of Our Management Team and Investment Professionals. We have assembled a team of experienced investment professionals with extensive experience as venture capitalists, commercial lenders, and originators of structured debt and equity investments in technology-related companies. Our investment professionals have, on average, more than 15 years of experience as equity investors in, and/or lenders to, technology-related companies. In addition, our team members have originated structured debt, debt with warrants and equity investments in over 265 technology-related companies, representing over \$4.0 billion in commitments from inception to December 31, 2013, and have developed a network of industry contacts with investors and other participants within the venture capital and private equity communities. In addition, members of our management team also have operational, research and development and finance experience with technology-related companies. We have established contacts with leading venture capital and private equity fund sponsors, public and private companies, research institutions and other industry participants, which should enable us to identify and attract well-positioned prospective portfolio companies.

We concentrate our investing activities generally in industries in which our investment professionals have investment experience. We believe that our focus on financing technology-related companies will enable us to leverage our expertise in structuring prospective investments, to assess the value of both tangible and intangible assets, to evaluate the business prospects and operating characteristics of technology-related companies and to identify and originate potentially attractive investments with these types of companies.

Mitigate Risk of Principal Loss and Build a Portfolio of Equity-Related Securities. We expect that our investments have the potential to produce attractive risk-adjusted returns through current income, in the form of interest and fee income, as well as capital appreciation from equity-related securities. We believe that we can mitigate the risk of loss on our debt investments through the combination of loan principal amortization, cash interest payments, relatively short maturities, security interests in the assets of our portfolio companies, and on select investment covenants requiring prospective portfolio companies to have certain amounts of available cash at the time of our investment and the continued support from a venture capital or private equity firm at the time we make our investment.

Historically our structured debt investments to technology-related companies typically include warrants or other equity interests, giving us the potential to realize equity-like returns on a portion of our investment. In addition, in some cases, we receive the right to make additional equity investments in our portfolio companies, including the right to convert some portion of our debt into equity, in connection with future equity financing rounds. We believe these equity interests will create the potential for meaningful long-term capital gains in connection with the future liquidity events of these technology-related companies.

Provide Customized Financing Complementary to Financial Sponsors Capital. We offer a broad range of investment structures and possess expertise and experience to effectively structure and price investments in technology-related companies. Unlike many of our competitors that only invest in companies that fit a specific set of investment parameters, we have the flexibility to structure our investments to suit the particular needs of our portfolio companies. We offer customized financing solutions ranging from senior debt to equity capital, with a focus on structured debt with warrants.

We use our relationships in the financial sponsor community to originate investment opportunities. Because venture capital and private equity funds typically invest solely in the equity securities of their portfolio companies, we believe that our debt investments will be viewed as an attractive and complimentary source of capital, both by the portfolio company and by the portfolio company s financial sponsor. In addition, we believe that many venture capital and private equity fund sponsors encourage their portfolio companies to use debt

Index to Financial Statements

financing for a portion of their capital needs as a means of potentially enhancing equity returns, minimizing equity dilution and increasing valuations prior to a subsequent equity financing round or a liquidity event.

Invest at Various Stages of Development. We provide growth capital to technology-related companies at all stages of development, including select publicly listed companies and select special opportunity lower middle market companies that require additional capital to fund acquisitions, recapitalizations and refinancings and established-stage companies. We believe that this provides us with a broader range of potential investment opportunities than those available to many of our competitors, who generally focus their investments on a particular stage in a company s development. Because of the flexible structure of our investments and the extensive experience of our investment professionals, we believe we are well positioned to take advantage of these investment opportunities at all stages of prospective portfolio companies development.

Benefit from Our Efficient Organizational Structure. We believe that the perpetual nature of our corporate structure enables us to be a long-term partner for our portfolio companies in contrast to traditional investment funds, which typically have a limited life. In addition, because of our access to the equity markets, we believe that we may benefit from a lower cost of capital than that available to private investment funds. We are not subject to requirements to return invested capital to investors nor do we have a finite investment horizon. Capital providers that are subject to such limitations are often required to seek a liquidity event more quickly than they otherwise might, which can result in a lower overall return on an investment.

Deal Sourcing Through Our Proprietary Database. We have developed a proprietary and comprehensive SQL-based database system to track various aspects of our investment process including sourcing, originations, transaction monitoring and post-investment performance. As of December 31, 2013, our proprietary SQL-based database system included approximately 34,300 technology-related companies and approximately 8,800 venture capital firms, private equity sponsors/investors, as well as various other industry contacts. This proprietary SQL system allows us to maintain, cultivate and grow our industry relationships while providing us with comprehensive details on companies in the technology-related industries and their financial sponsors.

OUR INVESTMENTS AND OPERATIONS

We principally invest in debt securities and, to a lesser extent, equity securities, with a particular emphasis on structured debt with warrants.

We generally seek to invest in companies that have been operating for at least six to 12 months prior to the date of our investment. We anticipate that such entities may, at the time of investment, be generating revenues or will have a business plan that anticipates generation of revenues within 24 to 48 months. Further, we anticipate that on the date of our investment we will generally obtain a lien on available assets, which may or may not include intellectual property, and these companies will have sufficient cash on their balance sheet to operate as well as potentially amortize their debt for at least three to nine months following our investment. We generally require that a prospective portfolio company, in addition to having sufficient capital to support leverage, demonstrate an operating plan capable of generating cash flows or raising the additional capital necessary to cover its operating expenses and service its debt, for an additional six to 12 months subject to market conditions.

We expect that our investments will generally range from \$1.0 million to \$40.0 million. We typically structure our debt securities to provide for amortization of principal over the life of the loan, but may include a period of interest-only payments. Our loans will be collateralized by a security interest in the borrower s assets, although we may not have the first claim on these assets and the assets may not include intellectual property. Our debt investments carry fixed or variable contractual interest rates which generally ranged from the prevailing U.S. prime rate, or Prime or the LIBOR rate to approximately 14% as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, 99.0% of our loans were at floating rates or floating rates with a floor and 1.0% of the loans were at fixed rates.

Index to Financial Statements

In addition to the cash yields received on our loans, in some instances, our loans generally include one or more of the following: end-of-term payments, exit fees, balloon payment fees, commitment fees, success fees or prepayment fees. In some cases our loans also include contractual PIK interest arrangements. The increases in loan balances as a result of contractual PIK arrangements are included in income for the period in which such payment-in-kind interest was accrued, which is often in advance of receiving cash payment, and are separately identified on our statements of cash flows. We also may be required to include in income for tax purposes certain other amounts prior to receiving the related cash

In addition, the majority of our investments in the structured debt of venture capital-backed companies generally have equity enhancement features, typically in the form of warrants or other equity-related securities designed to provide us with an opportunity for potential capital appreciation. The warrants typically will be immediately exercisable upon issuance and generally will remain exercisable for the lesser of five to ten years or three to five years after completion of an initial public offering. The exercise prices for the warrants varies from nominal exercise prices to exercise prices that are at or above the current fair market value of the equity for which we receive warrants. We may structure warrants to provide minority rights provisions or on a very select basis put rights upon the occurrence of certain events. We generally target a total annualized return (including interest, fees and value of warrants) of 12% to 25% for our debt investments.

Typically, our structured debt and equity investments take one of the following forms:

Structured Debt with Warrants. We seek to invest a majority of our assets in structured debt with warrants of prospective portfolio companies. Traditional structured debt financing is a layer of high-coupon financing between debt and equity that most commonly takes the form of subordinated debt coupled with warrants, combining the cash flow and risk characteristics of both senior debt and equity. However, our investments in structured debt with warrants may be the only debt capital on the balance sheet of our portfolio companies, and in many cases we have a first priority security interest in all of our portfolio company s assets, or in certain investments we may have a negative pledge on intellectual property. Our structured debt with warrants typically have maturities of between two and seven years, and they may provide for full amortization after an interest only period. Our structured debt with warrants generally carry a contractual interest rate between the prevailing U.S. prime rate, or Prime or the LIBOR rate and approximately 14% and may include an additional end-of-term payment or contractual PIK interest arrangements. In most cases we collateralize our investments by obtaining security interests in our portfolio companies—assets, which may include their intellectual property. In other cases we may prohibit a company from pledging or otherwise encumbering their intellectual property. We may structure our structured debt with warrants with restrictive affirmative and negative covenants, default penalties, prepayment penalties, lien protection, equity calls, change-in-control provisions or board observation rights.

Senior Debt. We seek to invest a limited portion of our assets in senior debt. Senior debt may be collateralized by accounts receivable and/or inventory financing of prospective portfolio companies. Senior debt has a senior position with respect to a borrower s scheduled interest and principal payments and holds a first priority security interest in the assets pledged as collateral. Senior debt also may impose covenants on a borrower with regard to cash flows and changes in capital structure, among other items. We generally collateralize our investments by obtaining security interests in our portfolio companies assets, which may include their intellectual property. In other cases we may obtain a negative pledge covering a company s intellectual property. Our senior loans, in certain instances, may be tied to the financing of specific assets. In connection with a senior debt investment, we may also provide the borrower with a working capital line-of-credit that will carry an interest rate ranging from Prime or LIBOR plus a spread with a floor, generally maturing in one to three years, and will be secured by accounts receivable and/or inventory.

Equipment Loans. We intend to invest a limited portion of our assets in equipment-based loans to early-stage prospective portfolio companies. Equipment-based loans are secured by a first priority

Index to Financial Statements

security interest in only the specific assets financed. These loans are generally for amounts up to \$3.0 million but may be up to \$15.0 million for certain energy technology venture investments, carry a contractual interest rate between Prime and Prime plus 9.0%, and have an average term between three and four years. Equipment loans may also include end of term payments.

Equity-Related Securities. The equity-related securities we hold consist primarily of warrants or other equity interests generally obtained in connection with our structured debt investments. In addition to the warrants received as a part of a structured debt financing, we typically receive the right to make equity investments in a portfolio company in connection with that company s next round of equity financing. We may also on certain debt investments have the right to convert a portion of the debt investment into equity. These rights will provide us with the opportunity to further enhance our returns over time through opportunistic equity investments in our portfolio companies. These equity-related investments are typically in the form of preferred or common equity and may be structured with a dividend yield, providing us with a current return, and with customary anti-dilution protection and preemptive rights. We may achieve liquidity through a merger or acquisition of a portfolio company, a public offering of a portfolio company s stock or by exercising our right, if any, to require a portfolio company to buy back the equity-related securities we hold. We may also make stand alone direct equity investments into portfolio companies in which we may not have any debt investment in the company. As of December 31, 2013, we held equity related securities in 125 portfolio companies.

A comparison of the typical features of our various investment alternatives is set forth in the chart below.

	Structured debt with warrants	Senior Debt	Equipment Loans	Equity related Securities
Typical Structure	Term debt with warrants	Term or revolving debt	Term debt with warrants	Preferred stock or common stock
Investment Horizon	Long term, ranging from 2 to 7 years, with an average of 3 years	Usually under 3 years	Ranging from 3 to 4 years	Ranging from 3 to 7 years
Ranking/Security	Senior secured, either first out or last out, or second lien	Senior/First lien	Secured only by underlying equipment	None/unsecured
Covenants	Less restrictive; Mostly financial	Generally borrowing base and financial	None	None
Risk Tolerance	Medium/High	Low	High	High
Coupon/Dividend	Cash pay fixed and floating rate; PIK in limited cases	g Cash pay floating or fixed rate	Cash pay-floating or fixed rate and may include PIK	Generally none
Customization or Flexibility	More flexible	Little to none	Little to none	Flexible
Equity Dilution	Low to medium	None to low	Low	High

Index to Financial Statements

Investment Criteria

We have identified several criteria, among others, that we believe are important in achieving our investment objective with respect to prospective portfolio companies. These criteria, while not inclusive, provide general guidelines for our investment decisions.

Portfolio Composition. While we generally focus our investments in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, we seek to diversify across various financial sponsors as well as across various stages of companies development and various technology industry sub-sectors and geographies. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 67.0% of the fair value of our portfolio was composed of investments in four industries: 24.1% was composed of investments in the drug discovery and development industry, 18.1% was composed of investments in the energy technology industry, 13.4% was composed of investments in the internet consumer and business services industry and 11.4% was composed of investments in the medical device and equipment industry.

Continuing Support from One or More Financial Sponsors. We generally invest in companies in which one or more established financial sponsors have previously invested and continue to make a contribution to the management of the business. We believe that having established financial sponsors with meaningful commitments to the business is a key characteristic of a prospective portfolio company. In addition, we look for representatives of one or more financial sponsors to maintain seats on the Board of Directors of a prospective portfolio company as an indication of such commitment.

Company Stage of Development. While we invest in companies at various stages of development, we generally require that prospective portfolio companies be beyond the seed stage of development and generally have received or anticipate having commitments for their first institutional round of equity financing for early stage companies. We expect a prospective portfolio company to demonstrate progress in its product development or demonstrate a path towards revenue generation or increase its revenues and operating cash flow over time. The anticipated growth rate of a prospective portfolio company is a key factor in determining the value that we ascribe to any warrants or other equity securities that we may acquire in connection with an investment in debt securities.

Operating Plan. We generally require that a prospective portfolio company, in addition to having potential access to capital to support leverage, demonstrate an operating plan capable of generating cash flows or the ability to potentially raise the additional capital necessary to cover its operating expenses and service its debt for a specific period. Specifically, we require that a prospective portfolio company demonstrate at the time of our proposed investment that it has cash on its balance sheet, or is in the process of completing a financing so that it will have cash on its balance sheet, sufficient to support its operations for a minimum of six to 12 months.

Security Interest. In many instances we seek a first priority security interest in all of the portfolio companies tangible and intangible assets as collateral for our debt investment, subject in some cases to permitted exceptions. In other cases we may obtain a negative pledge prohibiting a company from pledging or otherwise encumbering their intellectual property. Although we do not intend to operate as an asset-based lender, the estimated liquidation value of the assets, if any, collateralizing the debt securities that we hold is an important factor in our credit analysis and subject to assumptions that may change over the life of the investment especially when attempting to estimate the value of intellectual property. We generally evaluate both tangible assets, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, and intangible assets, such as intellectual property, customer lists, networks and databases.

Covenants. Our investments may include one or more of the following covenants: cross-default, or material adverse change provisions, require the portfolio company to provide periodic financial reports and operating metrics and will typically limit the portfolio company s ability to incur additional debt, sell assets, dividend recapture, engage in transactions with affiliates and consummate an extraordinary transaction, such as a merger or recapitalization without our consent. In addition, we may require other performance or financial based covenants, as we deem appropriate.

100

Index to Financial Statements

Exit Strategy. Prior to making a debt investment that is accompanied by an equity-related security in a prospective portfolio company, we analyze the potential for that company to increase the liquidity of its equity through a future event that would enable us to realize appreciation in the value of our equity interest. Liquidity events may include an initial public offering, a private sale of our equity interest to a third party, a merger or an acquisition of the company or a purchase of our equity position by the company or one of its stockholders.

Investment Process

We have organized our management team around the four key elements of our investment process:								
	Origination;							
	Underwriting;							

Loan and Compliance Administration.

Our investment process is summarized in the following chart:

Documentation; and

Origination

The origination process for our investments includes sourcing, screening, preliminary due diligence and deal structuring and negotiation, all leading to an executed non-binding term sheet. As of December 31, 2013, our investment origination team, which consists of approximately 38 investment professionals, is headed by the Senior Managing Directors of our Energy Technology and Life Science groups, and our Chief Executive Officer. The origination team is responsible for sourcing potential investment opportunities and members of the investment origination team use their extensive relationships with various leading financial sponsors, management contacts within technology-related companies, trade sources, technology conferences and various publications to source prospective portfolio companies. Our investment origination team is divided into special

101

Index to Financial Statements

opportunity lower middle market, technology, energy technology, and life science sub-teams to better source potential portfolio companies.

In addition, we have developed a proprietary and comprehensive SQL-based database system to track various aspects of our investment process including sourcing, originations, transaction monitoring and post-investment performance. This proprietary SQL system allows our origination team to maintain, cultivate and grow our industry relationships while providing our origination team with comprehensive details on companies in the technology-related industries and their financial sponsors.

If a prospective portfolio company generally meets certain underwriting criteria, we perform preliminary due diligence, which may include high level company and technology assessments, evaluation of its financial sponsors—support, market analysis, competitive analysis, identify key management, risk analysis and transaction size, pricing, return analysis and structure analysis. If the preliminary due diligence is satisfactory, and the origination team recommends moving forward, we then structure, negotiate and execute a non-binding term sheet with the potential portfolio company. Upon execution of a term sheet, the investment opportunity moves to the underwriting process to complete formal due diligence review and approval.

Underwriting

The underwriting review includes formal due diligence and approval of the proposed investment in the portfolio company.

Due Diligence. Our due diligence on a prospective investment is typically completed by two or more investment professionals whom we define as the underwriting team. The underwriting team for a proposed investment consists of the deal sponsor who typically possesses general industry knowledge and is responsible for originating and managing the transaction, other investment professional(s) who perform due diligence, credit and corporate financial analyses and, as needed, our legal professionals. To ensure consistent underwriting, we generally use our standardized due diligence methodologies, which include due diligence on financial performance and credit risk as well as an analysis of the operations and the legal and applicable regulatory framework of a prospective portfolio company. The members of the underwriting team work together to conduct due diligence and understand the relationships among the prospective portfolio company s business plan, operations and financial performance.

As part of our evaluation of a proposed investment, the underwriting team prepares an investment memorandum for presentation to the investment committee. In preparing the investment memorandum, the underwriting team typically interviews select key management of the company and select financial sponsors and assembles information necessary to the investment decision. If and when appropriate, the investment professionals may also contact industry experts and customers, vendors or, in some cases, competitors of the company.

Approval Process. The sponsoring managing director or principal presents the investment memorandum to our investment committee for consideration. The approval of a majority of our investment committee and an affirmative vote by our Chief Executive Officer is required before we proceed with any investment. The members of our investment committee are our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Credit Officer and the Senior Managing Directors of our Energy Technology and Life Science groups. The investment committee generally meets weekly and more frequently on an as-needed basis. The Senior Managing Directors abstain from voting with respect to investments they originate.

Documentation

Our documentation group, currently headed by our General Counsel, administers the documentation process for our investments. This group is responsible for documenting the transactions approved by our investment

102

Index to Financial Statements

committee with a prospective portfolio company. This group negotiates loan documentation and, subject to appropriate approvals, final documents are prepared for execution by all parties. The documentation group generally uses the services of external law firms to complete the necessary documentation.

Loan and Compliance Administration

Our loan and compliance administration group, headed by our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Credit Officer, administers loans and tracks covenant compliance, if applicable, of our investments and oversees periodic reviews of our critical functions to ensure adherence with our internal policies and procedures. After funding of a loan in accordance with the investment committee s approval, the loan is recorded in our loan administration software and our SQL-based database system. The loan and compliance administration group is also responsible for ensuring timely interest and principal payments and collateral management as well as advising the investment committee on the financial performance and trends of each portfolio company, including any covenant violations that occur, to aid us in assessing the appropriate course of action for each portfolio company and evaluating overall portfolio quality. In addition, the loan and compliance administration group advises the investment committee and the Valuation Committee of our Board of Directors, accordingly, regarding the credit and investment grading for each portfolio company as well as changes in the value of collateral that may occur.

The loan and compliance administration group monitors our portfolio companies in order to determine whether the companies are meeting our financing criteria and their respective business plans and also monitors the financial trends of each portfolio company from its monthly or quarterly financial statements to assess the appropriate course of action for each company and to evaluate overall portfolio quality. In addition, our management team closely monitors the status and performance of each individual company through our SQL-based database system and periodic contact with our portfolio companies management teams and their respective financial sponsors.

Credit and Investment Grading System. Our loan and compliance administration group uses an investment grading system to characterize and monitor our outstanding loans. Our loan and compliance administration group monitors and, when appropriate, recommends changes to investment grading. Our investment committee reviews the recommendations and/or changes to the investment grading, which are submitted on a quarterly basis to the Valuation Committee and our Board of Directors for approval.

From time to time, we will identify investments that require closer monitoring or become workout assets. We develop a workout strategy for workout assets and our investment committee monitors the progress against the strategy. We may incur losses from our investing activities, however, we work with our troubled portfolio companies in order to recover as much of our investments as is practicable, including possibly taking control of the portfolio company. There can be no assurance that principal will be recovered.

We use the following investment grading system approved by our Board of Directors:

- Grade 1. Loans involve the least amount of risk in our portfolio. The borrower is performing above expectations, and the trends and risk profile is generally favorable.
- Grade 2. The borrower is performing as expected and the risk profile is neutral to favorable. All new loans are initially graded 2.
- Grade 3. The borrower may be performing below expectations, and the loan s risk has increased materially since origination. We increase procedures to monitor a borrower that may have limited amounts of cash remaining on the balance sheet, is approaching its next equity capital raise within the next three to six months, or if the estimated fair value of the enterprise may be lower than when the loan was originated. We will generally lower the loan grade to a level 3 even if the company is performing in accordance to plan as it approaches the need to raise additional cash to fund its

Index to Financial Statements

operations. Once the borrower closes its new equity capital raise, we may increase the loan grade back to grade 2 or maintain it at a grade 3 as the company continues to pursue its business plan.

- Grade 4. The borrower is performing materially below expectations, and the loan risk has substantially increased since origination.

 Loans graded 4 may experience some partial loss or full return of principal but are expected to realize some loss of interest which is not anticipated to be repaid in full, which, to the extent not already reflected, may require the fair value of the loan to be reduced to the amount we anticipate will be recovered. Grade 4 investments are closely monitored.
- Grade 5. The borrower is in workout, materially performing below expectations and a significant risk of principal loss is probable.

 Loans graded 5 will experience some partial principal loss or full loss of remaining principal outstanding is expected. Grade 5 loans will require the fair value of the loans be reduced to the amount, if any, we anticipate will be recovered.

At December 31, 2013, our investments had a weighted average investment grading of 2.20.

Managerial Assistance

As a business development company, we are required to offer, and provide upon request, managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. This assistance could involve, among other things, monitoring the operations of our portfolio companies, participating in board and management meetings, consulting with and advising officers of portfolio companies and providing other organizational and financial guidance. We may receive fees for these services.

COMPETITION

Our primary competitors provide financing to prospective portfolio companies and include non-bank financial institutions, federally or state chartered banks, venture debt funds, financial institutions, venture capital funds, private equity funds, investment funds and investment banks. Many of these entities have greater financial and managerial resources than we have, and the 1940 Act imposes certain regulatory restrictions on us as a business development company to which many of our competitors are not subject. However, we believe that few of our competitors possess the expertise to properly structure and price debt investments to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets. We believe that our specialization in financing technology-related companies will enable us to determine a range of potential values of intellectual property assets, evaluate the business prospects and operating characteristics of prospective portfolio companies and, as a result, identify investment opportunities that produce attractive risk-adjusted returns. For additional information concerning the competitive risks we face, see Risk Factors Risks Related to our Business and Structure We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, and we may not be able to compete effectively.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2013, we had 62 employees, including approximately 38 investment and portfolio management professionals, all of whom have extensive experience working on financing transactions for technology-related companies.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We may, from time to time, be involved in litigation arising out of our operations in the normal course of business or otherwise. Furthermore, third parties may try to seek to impose liability on us in connection with the activities of our portfolio companies. While the outcome of any current legal proceedings cannot at this time be predicted with certainty, we do not expect any current matters will materially affect our financial condition or results of operations; however, there can be no assurance whether any pending legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations in any future reporting period.

Index to Financial Statements

PORTFOLIO COMPANIES

(dollars in thousands)

The following tables set forth certain information as of December 31, 2013 regarding each portfolio company in which we had a debt or equity investment. The general terms of our loans and other investments are described in Business Our Investments. We offer to make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. In addition, we may receive rights to observe the Board of Directors meetings of our portfolio companies.

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		incipal mount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Debt Biotechnology Tools 1-5 Years Maturity								
Labcyte, Inc.(11)	Biotechnology Tools	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.70% or Floor rate of 9.95%	\$	4,270	4,323	4,289
1190 Borregas Avenue	Tools	Secured		of Hoof face of 5.53 %	Ψ	1,270	1,525	1,20)
Sunnyvale, CA 94089								
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							4,323	4,289
Subtotal: Biotechnology Tools (0.66%)*							4,323	4,289
Energy Technology Under 1 Year Maturity								
American Superconductor Corporation ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾ 64 Jackson Rd								
	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	4,615	4,991	4,991
Devens, MA 01434								
Brightsource Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	January 2014	Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$	15,000	15,886	15,886
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2150								
Oakland, CA 94612								
Enphase Energy, Inc.(11)	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	June 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 5.75% or Floor rate of 9.00%	\$	1,315	1,358	1,358
1420 North McDowell Blvd.								
Petaluma, CA 94954								
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity							22,236	22,236
1-5 Years Maturity								
Agrivida, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	December 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$	6,000	5,887	5,770
200 Boston Avenue				2000,000	4	-,	2,007	-,,,,
Medford, MA 02155								

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

American Superconductor Corporation ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾ 64 Jackson Rd Devens, MA 01434	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	November 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 10,000	9,801	9,801
APTwater, Inc	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	April 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 18,085	17,874	17,874
100 West Broadway, Suite 200							
Long Beach, CA 90802							
BioAmber, Inc.(5)(10)	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 25,000	25,298	25,798
3850 Annapolis Lane North, Suite 180							
Plymouth, MN 55447							
Enphase Energy, Inc.(11)	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	August 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 7,400	7,422	7,314
1420 North McDowell Blvd.							
Petaluma, CA 94954							

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Principal Amount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Fluidic, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$ 5,000	4,922	4,922
8455 North 90th Street, Suite 4							
Scottsdale, AZ 85258							
Fulcrum Bioenergy, Inc.(11)	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	November 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 10,000	9,944	9,694
4900 Hopyard Road, Suite 220							
Pleasanton, CA 94588							
Glori Energy, Inc.(11)	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	June 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 5,333	5,457	5,414
4315 South Drive							
Houston, TX 77053							
Polyera Corporation	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 5,809	5,797	5,686
8045 Lamon Avenue, #140							
Skokie, IL 60077							
SCIEnergy, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 8.75% or Floor rate of 12.00%	\$ 4,448	4,596	4,685
4099 McEwen, Suite 420							
Dallas, TX 75244							
Scifiniti (pka Integrated Photovoltaics, Inc.) 51 Daggett Drive San Jose, CA 95134	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	February 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.38% or Floor rate of 10.63%	\$ 1,463	1,443	1,429
Stion Corporation. (4)(6)	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	February 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 4,571	4,005	4,096
6321 San Ignacio Avenue							
San Jose, CA 95119							
TAS Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	February 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 15,000	15,277	15,421
6110 Cullen Blvd.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	February 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.25% or Floor rate of 9.50%	\$ 4,503	4,374	4,338
Houston, TX 77021 Total TAS Energy, Inc.						19,651	19,760
TPI Composites, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$ 15,000	14,888	14,889
8501 N Scottsdale Rd, Gainey Center II, Suite 280 Scottsdale, AZ 85253							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						136,985	137,131
Subtotal: Energy Technology (24.52%)*(13	3)					159,221	159,367

Communications & Networking

	T 7	N.E. 4 . 14	
1-5	r ears	Maturity	/

OpenPeak, Inc.(11)	Communications & Networking	Senior Secured	July 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 8.75% or Floor rate of 12.00%	\$ 10,029	10,714	10,814
1750 Clint Moore Road							
Boca Raton, FL 33487							
Spring Mobile Solutions	Communications & Networking	Senior Secured	November 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$ 20,000	19,682	19,875
11710 Plaza America Drive, Suite 420 Reston, VA 20190							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						30,396	30,690
Subtotal: Communications & Networking	(4.72%)*					30,396	30,690
Drug Delivery 1-5 Years Maturity							
AcelRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)(10) 575 Chespeake Drive Redwood City, CA 94063	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	October 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 3.85% or Floor rate of 9.10%	\$ 15,000	14,556	15,006

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		incipal mount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
BIND Therapeutics, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	September 2016	Interest rate Prime + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	4,500	4,407	4,458
325 Vassar St		Secured	2010	11001 fate 01 10.25 %	φ	4,500	4,407	4,436
Cambridge, MA 02139								
Celsion Corporation ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate Prime + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$	5,000	4,897	4,897
997 Lenox Drive, Suite 100		Secured		11001 fate 01 11.23 %	Ψ	3,000	4,007	4,077
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648								
Dance Biopharm, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	August 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.4% or Floor rate of 10.65%	\$	1,000	974	974
150 North Hill Drive, Suite 24		Secured	2017	11001 1446 01 10.03 %	Ψ	1,000	27.	<i>77</i> 1
Brisbane, CA 94005								
Intelliject, Inc.(11)	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 5.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	15,000	15,150	15,450
111 Virginia St, Ste 300						,,,,,,,	,,,,,,	,
Richmond, VA 23219								
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	May 2016	Interest rate Prime - 3.25% or Floor rate of 9.85%	\$	5,749	5,629	5,744
7 Great Valley Parkway, Suite 300						.,.	-,-	-,-
Malvern, PA 19355								
Revance Therapeutics, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	March 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.60% or Floor rate of 9.85%	\$	9,798	10,032	9,943
7555 Gateway Blvd	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	March 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.60% or Floor rate of 9.85%	Ψ	,,,,,	10,002	,,,,,
Newark, CA 94560 Total Revance Therapeutics, Inc.					\$	980	1,011 11,043	994 10,937
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							56,655	57,466
Subtotal: Drug Delivery (8.84%)*							56,655	57,466
Drug Discovery & Development 1-5 Years Maturity								
ADMA Biologics, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery & Development		April 2016	Interest rate Prime + 2.75% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$	5,000	4,956	4,892
465 Route 17 South	a = 1 . Dopmont			2.00070	*	-,200	.,,,,,,	.,0,2
Ramsey, NJ 07446								
Anacor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development		July 2017	Interst rate PRIME + 6.40% or Floor rate of 11.65%	\$	30,000	29,083	29,810
1020 East Meadow Circle	22 Copmon			2.2.2.2.2.0.70	4	-0,000	27,000	22,010
Palo Alto, CA 94303								

Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)(10)(11) 650 E Kendall Street Cambridge, MA 02142	Drug Discovery & Development	September 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.15% or Floor rate of 11.90%	\$ 19,396	19,396	19,590
Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(3)(11)	Drug Discovery & Development	October 2016	Interest rate Prime + 9.00% or Floor rate of 12.25%	\$ 15,000	14,750	15,200
3101 Western Avenue						
Seattle, WA 98121						
Cempra, Inc. ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	June 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.30% or Floor rate of 9.55%	\$ 15,000	14,795	14,550
Building Two Quadrangle, 6320 Quadrangle Drive, Suite 360						
Chapel Hill, NC 27517						
Cleveland BioLabs, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery & Development	January 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.20% or Floor rate of 10.45%	\$ 6,000	5,909	5,909
73 High Street						
Buffalo, NY 14203						
Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ 99 Hayden Avenue, Suite 100 Lexington, MA 02421-7966	Drug Discovery & Development	October 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 3.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 15,091	14,933	14,649

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Principal Amount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Coronado Biosciences, Inc.(3)(11)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.00% or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$ 13,654	13,720	13,449
24 New England Executive Park, Suite 105							
Burlington, MA 01803							
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 4.40% or Floor rate of 10.15%	\$ 5,026	4,991	4,981
480 Arsenal Street, Building 1, Suite 120							
Watertown, MA 02472							
Insmed, Incorporated ⁽¹¹⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	January 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 4.75% or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$ 20,000	19,708	19,535
9 Deer Park Drive, Suite C							
Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852							
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	November 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 5.30% or Floor rate of 10.55%	\$ 40,000	40,314	39,455
One Kendall Square, Suite B7201							
Cambridge, MA 02139							
Neuralstem, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 8,000	7,874	8,035
9700 Great Seneca Pkwy							
Rockville, MD 20850							
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	N/A	Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$ 36	36	0
75 Kneeland Street	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	N/A	Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$ 45	45	0
Boston, MA 02111	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	N/A	N/A	\$ 28	28	0
Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.					\$ 109	109	0
uniQure B.V.(5)(10)(11)	Drug Discovery	Senior	October	Interest rate PRIME + 8.60%			
PO Box 22506	& Development	Secured	2016	or Floor rate of 11.85%	\$ 10,000	9,695	9,818
Amsterdam, Netherlands 1100 DA							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						200,232	199,872
Subtotal: Drug Discovery & Developme	nt (30.75%)*					200,232	199,872
Electronics & Computer Hardware 1-5 Years Maturity							
Clustrix, Inc.	Electronics &	Senior		Interest rate PRIME + 6.50%	·		
201 Mission Street, Suite 800	Computer Hardware	Secured	2015	or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$ 524	526	526

San Francisco, CA 94105							
Identive ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Secured	November 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 5,938	5,696	5,755
1900-B Carnegie Avenue, Building B							
Santa Ana, CA 92705							
OCZ Technology Group, Inc.	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Secured	April 2016				
6373 San Ignacio Ave				Interest rate Prime + 8.75% or Floor rate of 12.50%,			
San Jose, CA 95119				PIK Interest 3.00%	\$ 1,221	1,221	1,221
Plures Technologies, Inc.(3)	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Secured	October 2016	Interest rate Prime + 12.75% or Floor rate of 16.00%,			
52987 Parkside Drive, Suite 400, Box 24				PIK Interest 4.00%	\$ 2,046	1,958	1,458
Canandaigua, NY 14424							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						9,400	8,959
Subtotal: Electronics & Computer Hardy	ware (1.38%)*					9,400	8,959

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor	incipal mount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Healthcare Services, Other 1-5 Years Maturity							
InstaMed Communications, LLC	Healthcare Services,	Senior	December	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25%			
1528 Walnut Street, Suite 1902	Other	Secured	2016	or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 3,000	2,979	2,979
Philadelphia, PA 19102							
MDEverywhere, Inc.	Healthcare Services,	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 9.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	2.000	4.075	4.005
230 Third Avenue	Other				\$ 2,000	1,875	1,907
Waltham, MA 02451							
Orion Healthcorp, Inc.	Healthcare Services,	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate LIBOR + 10.50% or Floor rate of 12.00%,	< 5 04	c 16=	ć 440
1805 Old Alabama Road	Other Healthcare Services,	Senior Secured	June 2017	PIK Interest 3.00% Interest rate LIBOR + 9.50% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 6,591	6,467	6,413
Roswell, GA 30076	Other Healthcare	Senior	June 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 8.25% or	\$ 9,000	8,838	8,445
	Services, Other	Secured		Floor rate of 9.50%	\$ 500	465	461
Total Orion Healthcorp, Inc.					\$ 16,091	15,769	15,318
Pacific Child & Family Associates	Healthcare Services,	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate LIBOR + 9.00% or Floor rate of 11.50%			
216 N. Eighth Street	Other Healthcare Services,	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate LIBOR + 11.00% or Floor rate of 14.00%, PIK	\$ 1,946	2,017	1,988
Santa Paula, CA 93060	Other	Secured	2013	interest 3.75%	\$ 6,836	6,867	6,833
Total Pacific Child & Family Associates					\$ 8,782	8,884	8,822
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						29,508	29,025
Subtotal: Healthcare Services, Other (4.	47%)*					29,508	29,025
Information Services 1-5 Years Maturity							
Eccentex Corporation(11)	Information Services	Senior Secured	May 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$ 657	658	185
6101 W.Centinela Ave, Suite 110							
Culver City, CA 90230							
InXpo, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 2,550	2,489	2,384
770 N Halsted Street, Suite 6s							
Chicago, IL 60642							
Jab Wireless, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Secured	November 2017	Interest rate Libor + 6.75% or Floor rate of 8.00%	30,000	29,822	29,822
400 Inverness Parkway, Suite 330					\$ 2,000	1,996	1,996

Englewood, CO 80112	Information Services	Senior Secured	November 2017	Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 8.00%			
Total Jab Wireless, Inc.					\$ 32,000	31,818	31,818
Womensforum.com ⁽¹¹⁾	Information Services	Senior Secured	October 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%,			
444 N. Michigan Ave	Information	Senior	October	PIK Interest 2.00% Interest rate LIBOR + 6.50% or	\$ 4,607	4,536	4,127
Chicago, IL 60611	Services Information	Secured Senior	2016 April	Floor rate of 9.25% Interest rate LIBOR + 6.50% or	\$ 6,900	6,793	6,470
	Services	Secured	2015	Floor rate of 9.00%	\$ 1,250	1,227	1,156
Total Womensforum.com					\$ 12,757	12,556	11,754
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						47,521	46,140
Subtotal: Information Services (7.10%))*					47,521	46,140

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		rincipal mount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Internet Consumer & Business Services Under 1 Year Maturity								
Gazelle	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	October 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$	2,137	2,115	2,115
25 Thomson Place, 3rd floor								
Boston, MA 02210								
Tectura Corporation ⁽⁸⁾	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	May 2014	Interest rate LIBOR + 10.00% or Floor rate of 13.00%	\$	6,468	6,467	3,566
4309 Hacienda Drive, Suite 550	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior	May 2014	Interest rate LIBOR + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.00%,				
Pleasanton, CA 94588	Internet Consumer	Senior	May 2014			10,777	10,777	5,943
	& Business Services Internet Consumer	Secured Senior	May 2014	or Floor rate of 13.00% Interest rate LIBOR + 10.00%	\$	563	563	310
	& Business Services	Secured		or Floor rate of 13.00%	\$	5,000	5,000	2,757
Total Tectura Corporation					\$	22,807	22,806	12,576
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity							24,921	14,691
1-5 Years Maturity								
Blurb, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	December 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$	6,351	6,216	6,054
580 California St, Suite 300	ce Business gervices	Secured	2013	110011416 01 0.50 %	Ψ	0,331	0,210	0,021
San Francisco, CA 94104								
CashStar, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	June 2016	or Floor rate 10.50%,				
129 Middle Street, 2nd Floor				PIK Interest 1.00%				
Portland, ME 04101					\$	4,018	3,944	3,916
Education Dynamics	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate Libor + 12.5% or Floor rate 12.50%,				
5 Marine View Plaza, Suite 212				PIK Interest 1.5%	\$	24,685	24,284	23,582
Hoboken, NJ 07030								
Gazelle	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate Prime + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%,				
25 Thomson Place, 3rd floor	& Business services	Secured	2010	PIK Interest 2.50%				
Boston, MA 02210					\$	12,365	12,283	12,128
Just Fabulous, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	February 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$	5,000	4,842	4,842
2301 Rosecrans Avenue, Fifth Floor								
El Segundo, CA 90245								
NetPlenish ⁽⁸⁾	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate FIXED 10.00%	\$	383	375	
505 Poli Street, Suite 308				Interest rate FIXED 10.00%	\$	97	97	

Ventura, CA 93001	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	April 2015				
Total NetPlenish					\$ 480	472	
Reply! Inc.(11)	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	February 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50%,			
12667 Alcosta Blvd., Suite 200	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	PIK Interest 2.00% Interest rate Prime + 6.88% or Floor rate of 10.13%.	\$ 3,031	3,051	3,034
San Ramon, CA 94583	Internet Consumer &	Senior	September 2015		\$ 9,169	9,086	9,169
	Business Services	Secured	2013	or Floor rate of 11.00%, PIK Interest 2.00%	\$ 2,020	2,044	2,070
Total Reply! Inc.					\$ 14,220	14,181	14,273

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		incipal mount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
ShareThis, Inc.	Internet Consumer &	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%				
4009 Miranda Avenue,	Business Services	Secured		11001 fate 01 10.75 %	\$	14,578	14,160	14,160
Suite 200								
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1227								
Vaultlogix	Internet Consumer &	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate LIBOR + 7.00% or Floor rate of 8.50%				
75 Sylvan St	Business Services				\$	7,897	7,927	7,525
Danvers, MA 01923	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 8.50% or Floor rate of 10.00%, PIK interest 2.50%	\$	7,949	7,898	7,397
Total Vaultlogix					\$	15,847	15,826	14,923
WaveMarket, Inc.(11)	Internet Consumer &	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 9.50%				
5858 Landregan Street	Business Services	Secured	2013	11001 Tate 01 9.50%	\$	10,000	9,940	9,665
Emeryville, CA 94608								
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							106,148	103,545
Subtotal: Internet Consumer & Business	Services (18.19%)	*					131,069	118,236
Media/Content/Info Under 1 Year Maturity								
Zoom Media and Marketing	Media/Content/ Info	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$	4,000	3,858	3,858
112 Madison Avenue 8th floor								
New York, NY 10016								
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity 1-5 Years Maturity							3,858	3,858
Zoom Media and Marketing	Media/Content/ Info	Senior Secured	December 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% and PIK + 3.75% or Floor rate				
112 Madison Avenue 8th floor				of 10.50%	\$	4,288	4,122	4,071
New York, NY 10016								
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							4,122	4,071
Subtotal: Media/Content/Info (1.22%)*							7,981	7,929
Medical Devices & Equipment								
Under 1 Year Maturity Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. (9)(11)				Interest rate Fixed 7.00%	\$	500	500	500
Oraya Therapeutics, Ille.				interest rate 1 fact 7.00 //	φ	500	500	300

8000 Jarvis Ave	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	December 2014				
Newark, CA 94560							
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity						500	500
1-5 Years Maturity							
Baxano Surgical, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	March 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 12.5%	\$ 7,500	7,222	7,222
655 River Oaks Pkwy							
San Jose, CA 95134							
Home Dialysis Plus	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	April 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.35% or Floor rate of 9.60%	\$ 10,000	9,732	9,732
257 Humboldt Ct.							
Sunnyvale, CA 94089							

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		rincipal mount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
InspireMD, Inc.(3)(5)(10)	Medical Devices	Senior Secured	February 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 5.00% or Floor rate of 10.50%				
4 Menorat Hamaor Street	& Equipment				\$	10,000	9,696	9,696
Tel Aviv, Israel 67448								
Medrobotics Corporation	Medical Devices	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.85% or Floor rate of 11.10%	_			
475 Paramount Drive	& Equipment				\$	4,561	4,489	4,454
Raynham, MA 02767								
NetBio, Inc.	Medical Devices	Senior Secured	August 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 5.00% or Floor rate of 11.00%		7 000	4.700	4.500
830 Winter Street	& Equipment				\$	5,000	4,788	4,788
Waltham, MA 02451								
NinePoint Medical, Inc.	Medical Devices	Senior Secured	January 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 5.85% or Floor rate of 9.10%				
1 Kendall Square, B7501	& Equipment				\$	5,946	5,911	5,794
Cambridge, MA 02139								
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽⁹⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Medical Devices	Senior		Interest rate PRIME + 5.50% or				
8000 Jarvis Ave	& Equipment	Secured	2015	Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	7,064	6,980	7,162
Newark, CA 94560								
SonaCare Medical, LLC (pka US HIFUM LLC) ⁽¹¹⁾	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	5,667	5,754	5,818
801 E. Morehead St.,	co Equipment	Secured	2010	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	_	-,	-,,-	2,010
Suite 201								
Charlotte, NC 28202								
United Orthopedic Group, Inc.	Medical Devices	Senior		Interest rate PRIME + 8.60% or				
5796 Armada Dr	& Equipment	Secured	July 2016	Floor rate of 11.85%	\$	25,000	24,647	25,166
Carlsbad, CA 92008								
ViewRay, Inc.	Medical Devices	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%				
2 Thermo Fisher Way	& Equipment				\$	15,000	14,489	14,489
Oakwood Village, OH 44146								
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							93,707	94,320
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipment (1	4.59%)*						94,206	94,819

Sen	nicond	luctors
1-5	Years	Maturity

Achronix Semiconductor Corporation	Semiconductors	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 10.60% or Floor rate of 13.85%	\$ 1,032	1,023	1,006
2953 Bunker Hill Lane, Suite 101							
Santa Clara, CA 95054							
SiTime Corporation	Semiconductors	Senior Secured	September 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$ 3,500	3,473	3,473
990 Almanor Avenue							
Sunnyvale, CA 94085							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						4,495	4,479
Subtotal: Semiconductors (0.69%)*						4,495	4,479
Software Under 1 Year Maturity							
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	September 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 2,000	1,979	1,979
3445 Peachtree Road,							
Suite 450							
Atlanta, GA 30326							

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		incipal mount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
StartApp, Inc.	Software	Senior	December 2014		¢.	200	101	101
10a Giborei Israel Street		Secured	2014	Floor rate of 6.00%	\$	200	191	191
Netanya, Israel 42505								
Touchcommerce, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate Prime + 2.25% or Floor rate of 6.50%	\$	3,111	3,071	2,970
30504 Agoura Road		Secured	2011	risorrate of 0.50%	Ψ	5,111	3,071	2,770
Agoura Hills, CA 91301								
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity							5,241	5,140
1-5 Years Maturity								
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	November 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$	5,842	5,530	5,530
3445 Peachtree Road,								
Suite 450								
Atlanta, GA 30326								
Hillcrest Laboratories, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	July 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$	2,660	2,630	2,604
15245 Shady Grove Road, Suite 400		Secured		1-1001 fate 01 10.73 %	φ	2,000	2,030	2,004
Rockville, MD 20850								
Mobile Posse, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	December 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$	4,000	3,876	3,879
1320 Old Chain Bridge Rd, Suite 240								
McLean, VA 22101								
Neos Geosolutions, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	May 2016	Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$	3,771	3,808	3,705
6210 Stoneridge Mall, Suite 450								
Pleasanton, CA 94588								
Sonian, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	July 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	5,500	5,332	5,332
100 Crescent Road		Secured		11001 fate 01 10.25 %	Ψ	3,300	3,332	3,332
Needham, MA 02494								
StartApp, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	March 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	2,500	2,507	2,498
10a Giborei Israel Street					٠	•		•
Netanya, Israel 42505								
Touchcommerce, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate Prime + 6.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	5,000	4,688	4,767

30504 Agoura Road

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Agoula Illis, CA 91301							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						28,372	28,315
Subtotal: Software (5.15%)*						33,613	33,455
Specialty Pharmaceuticals 1-5 Years Maturity							
Rockwell Medical, Inc.	Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Senior Secured	March 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 9.25% or Floor rate of 12.50%	\$ 20,000	20,055	20,055
30142 Wixom Road							
Wixom, MI 48393							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						20,055	20,055
Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (3.09%))*					20,055	20,055

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Surgical Devices 1-5 Years Maturity							
Transmedics, Inc. ⁽¹¹⁾	Surgical Devices	Senior Secured	November 2015	Interest rate FIXED 12.95%	\$ 7,250	7,207	7,207
200 Minuteman Road,							
Suite 302							
Andover, MA 01810							
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						7,207	7,207
Subtotal: Surgical Devices (1.11%)*						7,207	7,207
Total Debt (126.46%)*						835,882	821,988

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Pe Investment ⁽¹⁾ Ov		Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Equity	Sus mausily		р	50125	Situres	0000	, 4144
Biotechnology Tools							
NuGEN Technologies, Inc. 201 Industrial Road, Suite 310 San Carlos, CA 94070	Biotechnology Tools	Equity	0.87%	Preferred Series C	189,394	500	687
Subtotal: Biotechnology Tools (0.11%)*						500	687
Communications & Networking							
GlowPoint, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 430 Mountain Avenue, Suite 301	Communications &	Equity	0.32%	Common Stock	114,192	102	157
Murray Hill, NJ 07974	Networking						
Peerless Network, Inc. 222 South Riverside Plaza,	Communications & Networking	Equity	3.23%	Preferred Series A	1,000,000	1,000	3,621
Suite 2730 Chicago, IL 60606							
Stoke, Inc. 5403 Betsy Ross Dr. Santa Clara, CA 95054	Communications & Networking	Equity	0.22%	Preferred Series E	152,905	500	224
Subtotal: Communications & Networking (0.62%)	<i>(</i> 6)*					1,602	4,002
Consumer & Business Products							
Caivis Acquisition Corporation 1000 Wisconsin Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20007	Consumer & Business Products	Equity	0.75%	Common Stock	295,861	819	598
IPA Holdings, LLC 1105 Satellite Blvd., Suite 300 Suwanee, GA 30024	Consumer & Business Products	Equity	1.70%	LLC Interest	500,000	500	676
Market Force Information, Inc. PO Box 270355 Louisville, CO 80027	Consumer & Business Products	Equity	0.59%	Preferred Series B	187,970	500	285
Subtotal: Consumer & Business Products (0.24%)	b)*					1,819	1,559
Diagnostic							
Singulex, Inc. 1701 Harbor Way Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94502	Diagnostic	Equity	1.00%	Common Stock	937,998	750	750
Subtotal: Diagnostic (0.12%)*						750	750
Drug Delivery							
AcelRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ 575 Chespeake Drive Redwood City, CA 94063	Drug Delivery	Equity	0.21%	Common Stock	89,243	178	1,009
Merrion Pharmaceuticals, Plc ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ 3200 Lake Drive, Citywest	Drug Delivery	Equity	0.11%	Common Stock	20,000	9	

Subtotal: Drug Delivery (0.20%)*						833	1,313
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 1003 W. Cutting Blvd, Suite 110 Richmond, CA 94804	Drug Delivery	Equity	0.22%	Common Stock	41,570	500	140
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 7 Great Valley Parkway, Suite 300 Malvern, PA 19355	Drug Delivery	Equity	0.16%	Common Stock	50,000	146	164
Business Campus Dublin, Ireland 24							

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Percentage Ownership	Series	Shares	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Drug Discovery & Development	·		•				
Acceleron Pharma, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 128 Sidney Street Cambridge, MA 02139	Drug Discovery & Development	Equity	0.91%	Common Stock	256,410	1,505	9,286
Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ 650 E Kendall Street Cambridge, MA 02142	Drug Discovery & Development	Equity	0.33%	Common Stock	167,864	842	307
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽¹²⁾ 480 Arsenal Street,	Drug Discovery & Development	Equity	0.16%	Preferred Series B	20,107	503	228
Building 1, Suite 120 Watertown, MA 02472	Drug Discovery & Development	Equity	1.14%	Preferred Series C	142,858	1,000	1,055
Total Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.					162,965	1,503	1,283
Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corporation 131 Hartwell Ave, Suite 105 Lexington, MA 02421	Drug Discovery & Development	Equity	0.07%	Common Stock	15,334	1,500	
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ One Kendall Square,	Drug Discovery & Development	Equity	0.53%	Common Stock	546,448	2,000	2,912
Suite B7201 Cambridge, MA 02139							
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &	Equity Equity	0.15% 0.43%	Common Stock Preferred Series H	85,450 244,158	5 1,000	
75 Kneeland Street	Development	17			,	,	
Boston, MA 02111	Drug Discovery & Development						
Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.					329,608	1,005	
Subtotal: Drug Discovery & Development (2.12	%)*					8,355	13,788
Information Services							
Buzznet, Inc. 6464 Sunset Blvd., Suite 650 Hollywood, CA 90028	Information Services	Equity	0.08%	Preferred Series C	263,158	250	
Good Technologies, Inc. (pka Visto Corporation) 430 N. Mary Avenue, Suite 200 Sunnyvale, CA 94085	Information Services	Equity	0.17%	Common Stock	500,000	603	
Subtotal: Information Services (0.00%)*						853	
Internet Consumer & Business Services							
Blurb, Inc. 580 California St, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94104	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Equity	0.45%	Preferred Series B	220,653	175	444
Philotic, Inc. 548 4th street	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Equity	0.05%	Common Stock	8,121	92	

San Francisco, CA 94107							
Progress Financial 171 Constitution Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Equity	0.10%	Preferred Series G	218,351	250	280
Trulia, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 116 New Montgomery St.,	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Equity	0.08%	Common Stock	29,340	141	1,035
Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94105							
Subtotal: Internet Consumer & Business Service	es (0.27%)*					658	1,759

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Percentage	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Media/Content/Info	Sub-maustry	investment.	Ownership	Series	Shares	Cost(-)	v alue(e)
Everyday Health, Inc. (pka Waterfront Media, Inc.) 345 Hudson Street,	Media/Content/Info	Equity	0.34%	Preferred Series D	145,590	1,000	425
16th Floor New York, NY 10014							
Subtotal: Media/Content/Info (0.07%)*						1,000	425
Medical Devices & Equipment							
Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾ 500 Boylston Street,		Equity	5.80%	LLC Interest	2,024,092	925	466
Suite 1600 Boston, MA 02116	Medical Devices & Equipment						
Medrobotics Corporation 475 Paramount Drive Raynham, MA 02767	Medical Devices & Equipment	Equity	0.18%	Preferred Series E	136,798	250	269
Novasys Medical, Inc. 39684 Eureka Drive Newark, CA 94560	Medical Devices & Equipment	Equity	1.48%	Preferred Series D-1	4,118,444	1,000	
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp. (6)	Medical Devices & Equipment	Equity	0.89%	Preferred Series B	6,185,567	3,000	411
21021 Corsair Blvd.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Equity	0.28%	Preferred Series C	1,927,309	655	135
Hayward, CA 94545	Medical Devices & Equipment	Equity	5.94%	Preferred Series D	41,352,489	3,945	4,006
Total Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.					49,465,365	7,600	4,552
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipment	(0.81%)*					9,775	5,287
Software							
Atrenta, Inc. 2077 Gateway Place,	Software	Equity	0.89%	Preferred Series C	1,196,845	986	1,607
Suite 300 San Jose, CA 95110	Software	Equity	0.47%	Preferred Series D	635,513	508	1,088
Total Atrenta, Inc.					1,832,358	1,494	2,695
Box, Inc. 4440 El Camino Real Los Altos, CA 94022	Software Software Software Software	Equity Equity Equity Equity Equity	0.37% 0.15% 0.12% 0.21% 0.04%	Preferred Series C Preferred Series D Preferred Series D-1 Preferred Series D-2 Preferred Series E	390,625 158,133 124,511 220,751 38,183	500 500 1,000 2,001 500	7,031 2,846 2,241 3,974 687
Total Box, Inc.					932,203	4,501	16,779
CapLinked, Inc. 2221 Park Place El Segundo, CA 90245	Software	Equity	0.49%	Preferred Series A-3	53,614	51	94

ForeScout Technologies, Inc. 900 E. Hamilton Avenue,	Software	Equity	0.64%	Preferred Series D	319,099	398	849
Suite 300 Campbell, CA 95008							
HighRoads, Inc. 3 Burlington Woods Dr Burlington, MA 01803	Software	Equity	0.85%	Preferred Series B	190,170	307	337
Subtotal: Software (3.19%)*						6,751	20,754

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Percentage Ownership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Specialty Pharmaceuticals							
QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company 777 East Eisenhower	Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Equity	0.24%	Preferred Series E	241,829	750	
Parkway, Suite 100 Ann Arbor, MI 48108	Specialty Pharmaceuticals Specialty Pharmaceuticals		0.03% 4.55%	Preferred Series E-1 Preferred Series G	26,955 4,667,636		
Total QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company					4,936,420	750	
Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (0	0.00%)*					750	
Surgical Devices							
Gynesonics, Inc. 604 5th Ave, Suite D Redwood City, CA 94063	Surgical Devices Surgical Devices Surgical Devices	Equity Equity Equity	0.16% 0.47% 1.15%	Preferred Series B Preferred Series C Preferred Series D	219,298 656,538 1,621,553	250 282 580	73 123 749
Total Gynesonics, Inc.					2,497,389	1,112	945
Transmedics, Inc. 200 Minuteman Road,	Surgical Devices	Equity	0.24%	Preferred Series B	88,961	1,100	303
Suite 302 Andover, MA 01810	Surgical Devices Surgical Devices	Equity Equity	0.32% 0.69%	Preferred Series C Preferred Series D	119,999 260,000	300 650	212 886
Total Transmedics, Inc.					468,960	2,050	1,401
Subtotal: Surgical Devices (0.36%)*						3,162	2,346
Total Equity (8.10%)*						36,808	52,670
Warrant Biotechnology Tools							
Labcyte, Inc. 1190 Borregas Avenue Sunnyvale, CA 94089	Biotechnology Tools	Warrant	0.87%	Preferred Series C	1,127,624	323	65
NuGEN Technologies, Inc. 201 Industrial Road,	Biotechnology Tools	Warrant	1.08%	Preferred Series B	234,659	78	234
Suite 310 San Carlos, CA 94070							
Subtotal: Biotechnology Tools (0.05%)	n de					401	299
Energy Technology							
Agrivida, Inc. 200 Boston Avenue Medford, MA 02155	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.30%	Preferred Series C	77,447	120	243
Alphabet Energy, Inc. 26225 Eden Landing Road,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.43%	Preferred Series A	86,329	82	176
Suite D Hayward, CA 94545							

American Superconductor Corporation ⁽³⁾ 64 Jackson Rd Devens, MA 01434	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.75%	Common Stock	512,820	391	175
Brightsource Energy, Inc. 1999 Harrison Street,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.33%	Preferred Series 1	175,000	780	214
Suite 2150 Oakland, CA 94612							
Calera, Inc. 100 Albright Way, Suite A Los Gatos, CA 95032	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.16%	Preferred Series C	44,529	513	

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Pe Investment ⁽¹⁾ Ov	rcentage wnership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
EcoMotors, Inc. 17000 Federal Dr.,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.82%	Preferred Series B	437,500	308	475
Suite 200 Allen Park, MI 48101							
Fluidic, Inc. 8455 North 90th Street,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.16%	Preferred Series C	59,665	102	138
Suite 4 Scottsdale, AZ 85258							
Fulcrum Bioenergy, Inc. 4900 Hopyard Road,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.29%	Preferred Series C-1	280,897	275	210
Suite 220 Pleasanton, CA 94588							
Glori Energy, Inc. 4315 South Drive Houston, TX 77053	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.18%	Preferred Series C	145,932	165	50
GreatPoint Energy, Inc. 222 Third Street,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.21%	Preferred Series D-1	393,212	548	
Suite 2163 Cambridge, MA 02142							
Polyera Corporation 8045 Lamon Avenue, #140 Skokie, IL 60077	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.56%	Preferred Series C	161,575	69	44
Propel Fuels 503 Whipple Ave Redwood City, CA 94063	Energy Technology	Warrant	1.06%	Preferred Series C	3,200,000	211	233
SCIEnergy, Inc. 4099 McEwen, Suite 420 Dallas, TX 75244	Energy Technology	Warrant	2.22%	Preferred Series D	1,061,623	360	2
Scifiniti (pka Integrated Photovoltaics, Inc.) 51 Daggett Drive San Jose, CA 95134	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.59%	Preferred Series B	390,000	82	68
Solexel, Inc. 1530 McCarthy Blvd. Milpitas, CA 95035	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.75%	Preferred Series C	1,171,625	1,162	278
Stion Corporation ⁽⁶⁾ 6321 San Ignacio Avenue San Jose, CA 95119	Energy Technology	Warrant	7.89%	Preferred Series Seed	2,154	1,378	1,627
TAS Energy, Inc. 6110 Cullen Blvd. Houston, TX 77021	Energy Technology	Warrant	2.30%	Preferred Series F	428,571	299	756
TPI Composites, Inc. 8501 N Scottsdale Rd,	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.48%	Preferred Series B	120	172	376
Gainey Center II, Suite 280 Scottsdale, AZ 85253							

Trilliant, Inc. 1100 Island Drive Redwood City, CA 94065	Energy Technology	Warrant	0.23%	Preferred Series A	320,000	162	34
Subtotal: Energy Technology (0.78%)	*(13)					7,179	5,099

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Pe Investment ⁽¹⁾ O	ercentage wnership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Communications & Networking							
Intelepeer, Inc. 177 Bovet Road, Suite 400	Communications &	Warrant	0.25%	Preferred Series C	117,958	102	112
San Mateo, CA 94402	Networking						
OpenPeak, Inc. 1750 Clint Moore Road	Communications &	Warrant	0.47%	Preferred Series E	108,982	149	
Boca Raton, FL 33487	Networking						
PeerApp, Inc. 375 Elliot Street,	Communications &	Warrant	0.42%	Preferred Series B	298,779	61	41
	Networking						
Suite 150K Newton Upper Falls,							
MA 02464							
Peerless Network, Inc. 222 South Riverside Plaza,	Communications & Networking	Warrant	0.44%	Preferred Series A	135,000	95	368
Suite 2730 Chicago, IL 60606							
Ping Identity Corporation 1001 17th Street, Suite 100 Denver, CO 80202	Communications & Networking	Warrant	0.58%	Preferred Series B	1,136,277	52	98
Spring Mobile Solutions 11710 Plaza America	Communications & Networking	Warrant	1.00%	Preferred Series D	2,834,375	417	661
Drive, Suite 420 Reston, VA 20190							
Stoke, Inc.	Communications & Networking	Warrant Warrant	0.22% 0.10%	Preferred Series C Preferred Series D	158,536 72,727	53 65	5 2
5403 Betsy Ross Dr.	rectworking						
Santa Clara, CA 95054	Communications & Networking						
Total Stoke, Inc.					231,263	118	7
Subtotal: Communications & Networking	g (0.20%)*					994	1,287
Consumer & Business Products							
Intelligent Beauty, Inc. 2301 Rosecrans Ave,	Information Services	Warrant	0.35%	Preferred Series B	190,234	230	1,027
Suite 4100 Manhattan Beach,							
CA 90245							
IPA Holdings, LLC 1105 Satellite Blvd.,	Consumer & Business Products	Warrant	2.21%	Common Stock	650,000	275	408

Suite 300

Suwanee, GA 30024

Market Force Information, Inc.

Consumer & Business Warrant 0.31% Preferred Series A 99,286 24 1
PO Box 270355 Products

Louisville, CO 80027

Subtotal: Consumer & Business Products (0.22%)* 529 1,436

120

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Percentage Ownership	Series	Shares	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Diagnostic							
Navidea Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. (pka Neoprode) ⁽³⁾ 425 Metro Place North,	Diagnostic	Warrant	0.25%	Common Stock	333,333	244	152
Suite 300 Dublin, OH 43017-1367							
Subtotal: Diagnostic (0.02%)*						244	152
Drug Delivery							
AcelRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁰⁾ 575 Chespeake Drive Redwood City, CA 94063	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.41%	Common Stock	176,730	786	961
Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 2091 Stierlin Court Mountain View, CA 94303	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.22%	Common Stock	37,639	645	1
BIND Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 325 Vassar St Cambridge, MA 02139	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.44%	Common Stock	71,359	367	294
Celsion Corporation ⁽³⁾ 997 Lenox Drive, Suite 100 Lawrenceville, NJ 08648	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.28%	Common Stock	97,493	227	249
Dance Biopharm, Inc. 150 North Hill Drive,	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.39%	Preferred Series A	97,701	74	154
Suite 24 Brisbane, CA 94005							
Intelliject, Inc. 111 Virginia St, Ste 300 Richmond, VA 23219	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.51%	Preferred Series B	82,500	594	1,115
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 7 Great Valley Parkway,	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.34%	Common Stock	106,631	139	136
Suite 300 Malvern, PA 19355							
Revance Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽¹²⁾ 7555 Gateway Blvd Newark, CA 94560	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.52%	Preferred Series E-5	802,675	557	330
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 1003 W. Cutting Blvd,	Drug Delivery	Warrant	0.33%	Common Stock	61,452	87	3
Suite 110 Richmond, CA 94804							
Subtotal: Drug Delivery (0.50%)*						3,476	3,243
Drug Discovery & Development							
Acceleron Pharma, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 128 Sidney Street	Drug Discovery &	Warrant	0.04%	Common Stock	11,611	39	294

Development

Cambridge, MA 02139

ADMA Biologics, Inc.⁽³⁾ 465 Route 17 South Ramsey, NJ 07446 Drug Discovery & Development

Warrant

0.34%

Common Stock

31,750

129

73

121

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	* *	rcentage vnership	Series	Shares	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 25801 Industrial Blvd,	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.21%	Common Stock	40,178	984	9
Suite B Hayward, CA 94545							
Cell Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 3101 Western Avenue Seattle, WA 98121	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.48%	Common Stock	679,040	405	601
Cempra, Inc. ⁽³⁾ Building Two Quadrangle, 6320 Quadrangle Drive, Suite 360 Chapel Hill, NC 27517	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.42%	Common Stock	138,797	458	728
Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. ⁽⁵⁾ (10) 93 Innovation Drive,	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.61%	Preferred Series D	325,261	490	500
Milton Park Abingdon Oxon,							
UK OX14 4RZ							
Cleveland BioLabs, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 73 High Street Buffalo, NY 14203	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.35%	Common Stock	156,250	105	66
Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (12) 99 Hayden Avenue,	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.53%	Preferred Series C	400,000	367	577
Suite 100 Lexington, MA							
02421-7966							
Coronado Biosciences, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 24 New England Executive	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.20%	Common Stock	73,009	142	41
Park, Suite 105 Burlington, MA 01803							
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (12)	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.00%	Common Stock	200	28	
480 Arsenal Street,	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.17%	Preferred Series A	21,000	237	38
Building 1, Suite 120	•	Warrant	0.21%	Preferred Series B			
Watertown, MA 02472	Drug Discovery & Development				26,400	310	48
Total Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.					47,600	575	86
Horizon Pharma, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 520 Lake Cook Road,	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.03%	Common Stock	22,408	231	5
Suite 520 Deerfield, IL 60015							
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.29%	Common Stock	302,143	155	488

One Kendall Square,

Suite B7201

Cambridge, MA 02139

122

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Percentage Ownership	Series	Shares	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Neuralstem, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 9700 Great Seneca Pkwy Rockville, MD 20850	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.75%	Common Stock	648,798	295	1,045
Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 270 E Grand Ave South San Francisco,	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.17%	Common Stock	68,702	153	683
CA 94080							
uniQure B.V. ⁽⁵⁾ (10)(12) PO Box 22506 Amsterdam, Netherlands	Drug Discovery & Development	Warrant	0.30%	Preferred Series A	185,873	218	313
1100 DA							
Subtotal: Drug Discovery & Developme	ent (0.85%)*					4,746	5,509
Electronics & Computer Hardware							
Clustrix, Inc. 201 Mission Street,	Electronics &	Warrant	0.46%	Common Stock	50,000	12	16
Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94105	Computer Hardware						
Identive ⁽³⁾ 1900-B Carnegie Avenue,	Electronics &	Warrant	1.34%	Common Stock	992,084	247	136
Building B Santa Ana, CA 92705	Computer Hardware						
Plures Technologies, Inc. (3) 52987 Parkside Drive,	Electronics &	Warrant	9.76%	Preferred Series A	552,467	124	100
Suite 400, Box 24 Canandaigua, NY 14424	Computer Hardware						
Subtotal: Electronics & Computer Hard	dware (0.04%)*					383	252
Healthcare Services, Other							
MDEverywhere, Inc. 230 Third Avenue Waltham, MA 02451	Healthcare Services, Other	Warrant	0.45%	Common Stock	129	94	55
Subtotal: Healthcare Services, Other (0	.01%)*					94	55
Information Services							
Buzznet, Inc. 6464 Sunset Blvd.,	Information Services	Warrant	0.01%	Preferred Series B	19,962	9	
Suite 650 Hollywood, CA 90028							
Cha Cha Search, Inc. 14550 Clay Terrace Blvd.,	Information Services	Warrant	0.21%	Preferred Series G	48,232	57	10

Suite 130 Carmel, IN 46032							
InXpo, Inc. 770 N Halsted Street,	Information Services	Warrant Warrant	0.60% 0.54%	Preferred Series C Preferred Series C-1	648,400	98	45
Suite 6s Chicago, IL 60642	Information Services				582,015	49	40
Total InXpo, Inc.					1,230,415	147	85
Jab Wireless, Inc. 400 Inverness Parkway,	Information Services	Warrant	0.78%	Preferred Series A	266,567	265	330
Suite 330 Englewood, CO 80112							

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Percentage Ownership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
RichRelevance, Inc. 533 Folsom Street,	Information Services	Warrant	0.13%	Preferred Series E	112,612	98	
4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94107							
Subtotal: Information Services (0.07%)*						576	425
Internet Consumer & Business Services							
Blurb, Inc.	Internet Consumer &	Warrant	0.45%	Preferred Series B			
580 California St, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94104	Business Services Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.48%	Preferred Series C	218,684 234,280	299 636	169 248
Total Blurb, Inc.					452,964	935	417
CashStar, Inc. 129 Middle Street,	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.35%	Preferred Series C-2	454,545	102	47
2nd Floor Portland, ME 04101							
Gazelle 25 Thomson Place,		Warrant	0.80%	Preferred Series D	151,827	165	62
3rd floor Boston, MA 02210	Internet Consumer & BusinessServices						
Invoke Solutions, Inc. 391 Totten Pond Road,	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.32%	Common Stock	53,084	39	
Suite 101 Waltham, MA 02451							
Just Fabulous, Inc. 2301 Rosecrans Avenue,	Internet Consumer &	Warrant	0.32%	Preferred Series B	137,456	589	1,057
Fifth Floor El Segundo, CA 90245	Business Services						
Prism Education Group, Inc. 233 Needham Street,	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.92%	Preferred Series B	200,000	43	
Suite 580 Newton, MA 02464							
Progress Financial 171 Constitution Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.08%	Preferred Series G	174,562	78	76
Reply! Inc. 12667 Alcosta Blvd.,	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.84%	Preferred Series B	137,225	320	93
Suite 200 San Ramon, CA 94583							
ShareThis, Inc.		Warrant	0.99%	Preferred Series C	493,502	546	241

4009 Miranda Avenue,	Internet Consumer & Business Services						
Suite 200 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1227							
Tectura Corporation 4309 Hacienda Drive,	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.23%	Preferred Series B-1	253,378	51	
Suite 550 Pleasanton, CA 94588							
WaveMarket, Inc. 5858 Landregan Street Emeryville, CA 94608	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Warrant	0.34%	Preferred Series B-1	1,083,779	105	85
Subtotal: Internet Consumer & Bus	iness Services (0.32%)*					2,973	2,078

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of P Investment ⁽¹⁾ O	ercentage wnership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Media/Content/Info							
Everyday Health, Inc. (pka Waterfront Media, Inc.) 345 Hudson Street,	Media/Content/Info	Warrant	0.26%	Preferred Series C	110,018	60	50
16th Floor New York, NY 10014							
Glam Media, Inc. 2000 Sierra Point Pkwy,	Media/Content/Info	Warrant	0.17%	Preferred Series D	407,457	482	
Suite 1000 Brisbane, CA 94005							
Zoom Media and Marketing 112 Madison Avenue	Media/Content/Info	Warrant	0.46%	Preferred Series A	1,204	348	275
8th floor New York, NY 10016							
Subtotal: Media/Content/Info (0.05%)*						890	325
Medical Devices & Equipment							
Baxano Surgical, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 655 River Oaks Pkwy San Jose, CA 95134	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	1.94%	Common Stock	882,353	439	344
Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾ 500 Boylston Street,	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.76%	LLC Interest	263,688	78	7
Suite 1600 Boston, MA 02116							
Home Dialysis Plus 257 Humboldt Ct. Sunnyvale, CA 94089	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.59%	Preferred Series A	300,000	245	297
InspireMD, Inc. (3)(5)(10) 4 Menorat Hamaor Street Tel Aviv, Israel 67448	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.50%	Common Stock	168,351	242	167
Medrobotics Corporation	Medical Devices &	Warrant Warrant	0.55% 0.04%	Preferred Series D Preferred Series E	424,008 34,199	343 27	184 23
475 Paramount Drive	Equipment						
Raynham, MA 02767	Medical Devices & Equipment						
Total Medrobotics Corporation					458,207	370	207
MELA Sciences, Inc. ⁽³⁾ 50 South Buckhout Street,	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	1.46%	Common Stock	693,202	401	94
Suite 1 Irvington, NY 10533							
NetBio, Inc. 830 Winter Street	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.86%	Common Stock	2,568	408	398

Waltham, MA 02451							
NinePoint Medical, Inc. 1 Kendall Square, B7501 Cambridge, MA 02139	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	1.03%	Preferred Series A-1	587,840	170	288
Novasys Medical, Inc.	Medical Devices &	Warrant	0.04%	Common Stock	109,449	2	
39684 Eureka Drive	Equipment						
Newark, CA 94560	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.19%	Preferred Series D	526,840	125	
Newark, CA 74500	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.02%	Preferred Series D-1	53,607	6	
Total Novasys Medical, Inc.					689,896	133	

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Per Investment ⁽¹⁾ Ow	centage nership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp. ⁽⁶⁾ 21021 Corsair Blvd. Hayward, CA 94545	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	1.51%	Preferred Series D	10,535,275	1,252	232
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.12%	Common Stock	95,498	66	23
8000 Jarvis Ave	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.92%	Preferred Series C	716,948	677	134
Newark, CA 94560							
Total Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.					812,446	743	157
SonaCare Medical, LLC	Medical Devices & Equipment						
(pka US HIFUM LLC) 801 E. Morehead St.,		Warrant	0.57%	Preferred Series A	409,704	188	201
Suite 201 Charlotte, NC 28202							
United Orthopedic Group, Inc. 5796 Armada Dr Carlsbad, CA 92008	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	2.10%	Preferred Series A	423,076	608	785
ViewRay, Inc. 2 Thermo Fisher Way Oakwood Village,	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	0.42%	Preferred Series C	312,500	333	331
ОН 44146							
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipment	(0.54%)*					5,610	3,508
Semiconductors							
Achronix Semiconductor Corporation 2953 Bunker Hill Lane,	Semiconductors	Warrant	0.48%	Preferred Series C	360,000	160	194
Suite 101 Santa Clara, CA 95054							
SiTime Corporation 990 Almanor Avenue Sunnyvale, CA 94085	Semiconductors	Warrant	0.10%	Preferred Series G	195,683	24	12
Subtotal: Semiconductors (0.03%)*						184	206
Software							
Atrenta, Inc. 2077 Gateway Place,	Software	Warrant	0.29%	Preferred Series D	392,670	121	330
Suite 300 San Jose, CA 95110							
Box, Inc. 4440 El Camino Real Los Altos, CA 94022	Software Software	Warrant Warrant Warrant	0.26% 0.19% 0.06%	Preferred Series B Preferred Series C Preferred Series D-1	271,070 199,219 62,255	72 117 194	4,701 3,331 625

 Total Box, Inc.
 532,544
 383
 8,657

 Braxton Technologies, LLC
 Software
 Warrant
 0.63%
 Preferred Series A
 168,750
 187

6 North Tejon Street,

Suite 220 Colorado Springs,

CO 80903

126

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Po Investment ⁽¹⁾ O	ercentage wnership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Central Desktop, Inc. 129 N Hill Ave #202 Pasadena, CA 91106	Software	Warrant	1.79%	Preferred Series B	522,769	108	187
Clickfox, Inc. 3445 Peachtree Road,	Software Software	Warrant Warrant	1.48% 0.84%	Preferred Series B Preferred Series C	1,038,563 592,019	330 730	495 363
Suite 450 Atlanta, GA 30326							
Total Clickfox, Inc.					1,630,582	1,060	858
Daegis Inc. (pka Unify Corporation) ⁽³⁾ 600 E. Las Colinas Blvd,	Software	Warrant	4.39%	Common Stock	718,860	1,433	83
Suite 1500 Irving, TX 75039							
ForeScout Technologies, Inc. 900 E. Hamilton Avenue,	Software	Warrant	0.16%	Preferred Series E	80,587	41	82
Suite 300 Campbell, CA 95008							
Hillcrest Laboratories, Inc. 15245 Shady Grove Road,	Software	Warrant	0.70%	Preferred Series E	1,865,650	55	139
Suite 400 Rockville, MD 20850							
Mobile Posse, Inc. 1320 Old Chain Bridge Rd,	Software	Warrant	1.20%	Preferred Series C	396,430	130	129
Suite 240 McLean, VA 22101							
Neos Geosolutions, Inc. 6210 Stoneridge Mall ,	Software	Warrant	0.22%	Preferred Series 3	221,150	22	
Suite 450 Pleasanton, CA 94588							
Sonian, Inc. 100 Crescent Road Needham, MA 02494	Software	Warrant	0.62%	Preferred Series C	185,949	106	105
SugarSync, Inc. 1810 Gateway Drive,	Software Software	Warrant Warrant	0.41% 0.13%	Preferred Series CC Preferred Series DD	332,726 107,526	78 34	48 16
Suite 200 San Mateo, CA 94404							
Total Sugarsync, Inc.					440,252	112	64
Touchcommerce, Inc. 30504 Agoura Road Agoura Hills, CA 91301	Software	Warrant	0.68%	Preferred Series E	992,595	251	248
White Sky, Inc. 526 Clyde Avenue Mountain View, CA 94043	Software	Warrant	0.34%	Preferred Series B-2	124,295	54	4

WildTangent, Inc. Software Warrant 0.17% Preferred Series 3 100,000 238 123 18578 NE 67th Court,

Building 5 Redmond, WA 98052

Subtotal: Software (1.69%)* 4,301 11,009

127

Index to Financial Statements

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Per- Investment ⁽¹⁾ Ow	centage nership	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Specialty Pharmaceuticals							
QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company 777 East Eisenhower	Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Warrant	0.15%	Preferred Series E	155,324	307	
Parkway, Suite 100 Ann Arbor, MI 48108							
Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (0.00%)*					307	
Surgical Devices							
Gynesonics, Inc. 604 5th Ave, Suite D	Surgical Devices Surgical Devices	Warrant Warrant	0.13% 1.12%	Preferred Series C Preferred Series D	180,480 1,575,965	74 320	27 383
Redwood City, CA 94063 Total Gynesonics, Inc.					1,756,445	394	410
Transmedics, Inc. 200 Minuteman Road,	Surgical Devices Surgical Devices	Warrant Warrant	0.11% 0.47%	Preferred Series B Preferred Series D	40,436 175,000	225 100	9 335
Suite 302 Andover, MA 01810							
Total Transmedics, Inc.					215,436	325	344
Subtotal: Surgical Devices (0.12%)*						719	754
Total Warrants (5.48%)*						33,606	35,637
Total Investments (140.04%)*						906,297	910,295

- * Value as a percent of net assets
- (1) Preferred and common stock, warrants, and equity interests are generally non-income producing.
- (2) Gross unrealized appreciation, gross unrealized depreciation, and net depreciation for federal income tax purposes totaled \$48.8 million, \$44.5 million and \$4.3 million respectively. The tax cost of investments is \$906.2 million
- (3) Except for warrants in twenty-five publicly traded companies and common stock in nine publicly traded companies, all investments are restricted at December 31, 2013 and were valued at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. No unrestricted securities of the same issuer are outstanding. The Company uses the Standard Industrial Code for classifying the industry grouping of its portfolio companies.
- (4) Debt investments of this portfolio company have been pledged as collateral under the Wells Facility.
- (5) Non-U.S. company or the company s principal place of business is outside the United States.
- (6) Affiliate investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 5% but not more than 25% of the voting securities of the company.
- (7) Control investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owners at least 25% but not more than 50% of the voting securities of the company
- (8) Debt is on non-accrual status at December 31, 2013, and is therefore considered non-income producing.
- (9) Convertible Senior Debt
- (10) Indicates assets that the Company deems not qualifying assets under section 55(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Qualifying assets must represent at least 70% of the Company s total assets at the time of acquisition of any additional non-qualifying assets.
- (11) Denotes that all or a portion of the loan secures the notes offered in the Debt Securitization (as defined in Note 4).
- (12) Subsequent to December 31, 2013, this company completed an initial public offering. Note that the December 31, 2013 fair value does not reflect any potential impact of the conversion of our preferred shares to common shares which may include reverse split associated with the offering.
- (13) In our quarterly and annual reports filed with the commission prior to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we referred to this industry sector as Clean Tech.

Index to Financial Statements

SENIOR SECURITIES

Information about our senior securities is shown in the following table for the periods as of December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004. The information as of December 31, 2009 has been derived from our audited financial statements as of and for the period ended December 31, 2009, which has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, our former independent registered public accounting firm. The information as of December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 has been derived from our audited financial statements for these periods, which have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm. The supplementary report of Ernst & Young LLP on the senior securities information as of December 31, 2009 is attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. The report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP on the senior securities table as of December 31, 2013 is attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. The indicates information that the SEC expressly does not require to be disclosed for certain types of senior securities.

	Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of Treasury		t Coverage	Average Market Value
Class and Year	Securities ⁽¹⁾	pe	r Unit ⁽²⁾	per Unit
Bridge Loan Credit Facility with Alcmene Funding L.L.C. (3) 31-Dec-04				N/A
December 31, 2005	\$ 25,000,000	\$	2,505	N/A N/A
December 31, 2005 December 31, 2006	\$ 25,000,000	Ф	2,303	N/A
December 31, 2007				N/A
December 31, 2007 December 31, 2008				N/A
December 31, 2009				N/A
December 31, 2009				N/A
December 31, 2011				N/A
December 31, 2012				N/A
December 31, 2013				N/A
Securitized Credit Facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance ⁽³⁾				1071
December 31, 2004				N/A
December 31, 2005	\$ 51,000,000	\$	2,505	N/A
December 31, 2006	\$ 41,000,000	\$	7,230	N/A
December 31, 2007	\$ 79,200,000	\$	6,755	N/A
December 31, 2008	\$ 89,582,000	\$	6,689	N/A
December 31, 2009 ⁽⁶⁾				N/A
December 31, 2010 ⁽⁶⁾				N/A
December 31, 2011	\$ 10,186,830	\$	73,369	N/A
December 31, 2012				N/A
December 31, 2013				N/A
Securitized Credit Facility with Union Bank, NA ⁽³⁾				
December 31, 2004				N/A
December 31, 2005				N/A
December 31, 2006				N/A
December 31, 2007				N/A
December 31, 2008				N/A
December 31, 2009 ⁽⁶⁾				N/A
December 31, 2010 ⁽⁶⁾				N/A
December 31, 2011 ⁽⁶⁾				N/A
December 31, 2012				N/A
December 31, 2013				N/A

Index to Financial Statements

Class and Year	Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of Treasury Securities(1)		t Coverage r Unit ⁽²⁾	Average Market Value per Unit
Small Business Administration Debentures (HT II) ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Securities	pe	Cint	per cint
December 31, 2004				N/A
December 31, 2005				N/A
December 31, 2006				N/A
December 31, 2007	\$ 55,050,000	\$	9,718	N/A
December 31, 2008	\$ 127,200,000	\$	4,711	N/A
December 31, 2009	\$ 130,600,000	\$	3,806	N/A
December 31, 2010	\$ 150,000,000	\$	3,942	N/A
December 31, 2011	\$ 125,000,000	\$	5,979	N/A
December 31, 2012	\$ 76,000,000	\$	14,786	N/A
December 31, 2013	\$ 76,000,000	\$	16,075	N/A
Small Business Administration Debentures (HT III) ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	· , , ,		,	
December 31, 2004				N/A
December 31, 2005				N/A
December 31, 2006				N/A
December 31, 2007				N/A
December 31, 2008				N/A
December 31, 2009				N/A
December 31, 2010	\$ 20,000,000	\$	29,564	N/A
December 31, 2011	\$ 100,000,000	\$	7,474	N/A
December 31, 2012	\$ 149,000,000	\$	7,542	N/A
December 31, 2013	\$ 149,000,000	\$	8,199	N/A
Senior Convertible Notes				
December 31, 2011	\$ 70,352,983	\$	10,623	\$ 885
December 31, 2012	\$ 71,435,783	\$	15,731	\$ 1,038
December 31, 2013	\$ 72,518,583	\$	16,847	\$ 1,403
April 2019 Notes Payable				
December 31, 2012	\$ 84,489,500	\$	13,300	\$ 986
December 31, 2013	\$ 84,489,500	\$	14,460	\$ 1,021
September 2019 Notes Payable				
December 31, 2012	\$ 85,875,000	\$	13,086	\$ 1,003
December 31, 2013	\$ 85,875,000	\$	14,227	\$ 1,016
Asset-Backed Notes				
December 31, 2012	\$ 129,300,000	\$	8,691	\$ 1,000
December 31, 2013	\$ 89,556,972	\$	13,642	\$ 1,004

⁽¹⁾ Total amount of each class of senior securities outstanding at the end of the period presented.

⁽²⁾ The asset coverage ratio for a class of senior securities representing indebtedness is calculated as our consolidated total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, divided by senior securities representing indebtedness. This asset coverage ratio is multiplied by \$1,000 to determine the Asset Coverage per Unit.

⁽³⁾ Not applicable because senior securities are not registered for public trading.

⁽⁴⁾ Issued by HT II, one of our SBIC subsidiaries, to the SBA. These categories of senior securities were not subject to the asset coverage requirements of the 1940 Act as a result of exemptive relief granted to us by the SEC.

⁽⁵⁾ Issued by HT III, one of our SBIC subsidiaries, to the SBA. These categories of senior securities were not subject to the asset coverage requirements of the 1940 Act as a result of exemptive relief granted to us by the SEC.

⁽⁶⁾ The Company s Wells Facility and Union Bank Facility had no borrowings outstanding during the periods noted above.

Index to Financial Statements

MANAGEMENT

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors elects our officers who serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors currently consists of four members, one who is an interested person of Hercules Technology Growth Capital as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act and three who are not interested persons and who we refer to as our independent directors.

Directors, Executive Officers and Key Employees

Our executive officers, directors and key employees and their positions are set forth below. The address for each executive officer, director and key employee is c/o Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310, Palo Alto, California 94301.

Name	Age	Positions
Interested Director:		
Manuel A. Henriquez ⁽¹⁾	49	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer
Independent Directors:		
Robert P. Badavas	60	Director
Joseph W. Chow	60	Director
Allyn C. Woodward, Jr.	72	Director
Executive Officers:		
Jessica Baron	38	Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer
Scott Bluestein	34	Chief Credit Officer
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	42	Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head
Michael Penney	54	General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary
Parag I. Shah	41	Senior Managing Director and Life Sciences Group Head

(1) Mr. Henriquez is an interested person, as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act, of the Company due to his position as an executive officer of the Company.

Set forth below is information regarding our current directors, including each director s (i) name and age; (ii) a brief description of their recent business experience, including present occupations and employment during at least the past five years; (iii) directorships, if any, that each director holds and has held during the past five years; and (iv) the year in which each person became a director of the Company. As the information that follows indicates, the nominee and each continuing director brings strong and unique experience, qualifications, attributes, and skills to the Board. This provides the Board, collectively, with competence, experience, and perspective in a variety of areas, including: (i) corporate governance and Board service; (ii) executive management, finance, and accounting; (iii) venture capital financing with a technology-related focus; (iv) business acumen; and (v) an ability to exercise sound judgment.

Moreover, the nominating and corporate governance committee believes that it is important to seek a broad diversity of experience, professions, skills, geographic representation and backgrounds. The nominating and corporate governance committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria and no particular criterion is necessarily applicable to all prospective nominees. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities. Our Board does not have a specific diversity policy, but considers diversity of race, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, disability, cultural background and professional experiences in evaluating candidates for Board membership.

Index to Financial Statements

Interested Director

Manuel A. Henriquez is a co-founder of the Company and has been our Chairman and CEO since December 2003 and our President since April 2005. Prior to co-founding the Company, Mr. Henriquez was a Partner at VantagePoint Venture Partners, a \$2.5 billion multi-stage technology venture fund, from August 2000 through July 2003. Prior to VantagePoint Venture Partners, Mr. Henriquez was the President and Chief Investment Officer of Comdisco Ventures, a division of Comdisco, Inc., a leading technology and financial services company, from November 1999 to March 2000. Prior to that, from March 1997 to November 1999, Mr. Henriquez was a Managing Director of Comdisco Ventures. Mr. Henriquez was a senior member of the investment team at Comdisco Ventures that originated over \$2.0 billion of equipment lease, debt and equity transactions from 1997 to 2000. Mr. Henriquez serves on the board of directors of Northeastern University, a global, experiential research university, the Lucile Packard Foundation for Children s Health, the sole fundraising entity for Lucile Packard Children s Hospital and the child health programs at Stanford University School of Medicine, as well as the Children s Health Council, a diagnostic and treatment center for children and adolescents facing developmental and behavioral challenges. Mr. Henriquez received a B.S. in Business Administration from Northeastern University.

Through his broad experience as an officer and director of several private and public companies, in addition to skills acquired with firms engaged in investment banking, banking and financial services, Mr. Henriquez brings to the Company a unique business expertise and knowledge of financing technology related companies as well as extensive financial and risk assessment abilities. Mr. Henriquez possesses a vast array of knowledge in venture capital financing which assists us in the markets in which we compete. Mr. Henriquez s years of experience as our Chairman and CEO since co-founding the Company demonstrates his leadership skills that are valuable in his role as our Chairman and CEO.

Independent Directors

Each of the following directors is independent under the NYSE rules and are not interested directors as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

Robert P. Badavas has served as a director since March 2006. Since January 2012, Mr. Badavas has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of PlumChoice, Inc., a venture backed technology care, software and services company. Mr. Badavas also has served on the board of directors of PlumChoice since November 2010. Previously, Mr. Badavas served as President of Petros Ventures, Inc., a management and advisory services firm. Mr. Badavas was President and Chief Executive Officer of TAC Worldwide, a multi-national, technical workforce management and business services company, from December 2005 through October 2009, and was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of TAC Worldwide from November 2003 to December 2005. Prior to joining TAC Worldwide, Mr. Badavas was a Partner and Chief Operating Officer of Atlas Venture, an international venture capital firm, from September 2001 to September 2003 and Chief Executive Officer at Cerulean Technology, Inc., a venture capital backed wireless application software company. Since May 2007, Mr. Badavas has served on the board of directors and is chairman of the Audit Committee of Constant Contact, Inc. (NASDAQ: CTCT), a provider of email and other engagement marketing products and services for small and medium sized organizations. In addition, Mr. Badavas serves as vice-chairman of the board of trustees of Bentley University in Waltham, MA. Mr. Badavas also serves on the board of Hellenic College/Holy Cross School of Theology in Brookline, MA where he serves on the Executive Committee of the board as its Treasurer and Chair of the Real Estate and Investment Committees. Mr. Badavas is Chairman Emeritus of The Learning Center for the Deaf in Framingham, MA and currently serves on the board s Advancement and Finance Committees. Mr. Badavas is a certified public accountant with nine years of experience at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. Also, Mr. Badavas has completed a program that studied strategies to make corporate boards more effective at the Harvard Business School. Mr. Badavas is active in board of director organizations and regularly attends professional seminars addressing issues of current import to boards of directors. Mr. Badavas is a magna cum laude graduate of Bentley University with a BS in Accounting and Finance.

Index to Financial Statements

Through his prior experience as a director, chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, Mr. Badavas brings business expertise, executive leadership experience, finance, and audit skills to his Board service with the Company. Mr. Badavas expertise, experience and skills closely align with our operations, and his prior investment experience with venture capital firms and technology companies facilitates an in-depth understanding of our investment business. Mr. Badavas expertise and experience also qualify him to serve as Chairman of our Audit Committee and as our audit committee financial expert.

Joseph W. Chow has served as a director since February 2004. Mr. Chow retired in March 2011 as Executive Vice President at State Street Corporation (NYSE: STT), a leading global provider of asset servicing and investment management services to institutional investors, where he was responsible for the development of business strategies for emerging economies. He served on the company s Asia Pacific and European Executive Boards, as a board director of State Street s Technology Center in China, and chaired State Street s Corporate Environmental Sustainability Committee. Previously, having retired from State Street in 2003 and returned in 2004, he assumed the role of Executive Vice President and chief risk and corporate administration officer responsible for Enterprise Risk Management, Compliance, Regulatory Affairs, Basel Capital Accord Implementation, and Community Affairs; he was a member of the Operating Group, the company s most senior 11-member strategy and policy management committee. Prior to 2003, Mr. Chow was State Street s Executive Vice President and head of credit and risk policy responsible for corporate-wide risk management, focusing on credit, market, operational, fiduciary, and compliance risks. He chaired the company s Major Risk Committee, Fiduciary Review Committee, and Securities Finance Risk Management Committee and served as a member of the Asset Liability Management Committee and Financial Policy Committee. Before joining State Street, Mr. Chow worked at Bank of Boston in various international and corporate banking roles from 1981 to 1990 and specialized in the financing of emerging-stage high technology companies. Mr. Chow is a board trustee/director and serves on the audit and investment committees of the Delaware Investments Family of Funds, a trustee of the Boston Children s Museum and a director of the Hong Kong Association of Massachusetts. He served on the board of directors of China Universal Asset Management, Inc. in Shanghai, the Greater Boston Chamber of Commerce, and the Asian Community Development Corporation, a not-for-profit community development corporation focused on building affordable housing in Boston. Mr. Chow is a graduate of Brandeis University with a B.A. in Economics. He also received a Master in City Planning from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and an M.S. in Management (Finance) from the MIT Sloan School of Management.

Through his experience as a senior executive of a major financial institution, Mr. Chow brings business expertise, finance and risk assessment skills to his Board service with the Company. Mr. Chow s experience and skills closely align with our business, and his lending and credit experience facilitates an in-depth understanding of risk associated with the structuring of investments in technology related companies. Mr. Chow s risk management expertise and credit related experience also qualify him to serve as Chairman of our Valuation Committee.

Allyn C. Woodward, Jr. has served as a director since February 2004. Mr. Woodward was Vice Chairman of Adams Harkness Financial Group (AHFG-formerly Adams, Harkness & Hill) from April 2001 until January 2006 when AHFG was sold to Canaccord, Inc., an independent investment dealer. He previously served as President of AHFG from 1995 to 2001. AHFG was an independent institutional research, brokerage and investment banking firm headquartered in Boston, MA. Prior to joining AHFG, Mr. Woodward worked for Silicon Valley Bank from April 1990 to April 1995, initially as Executive Vice President and Co-founder of the Wellesley, MA office and subsequently as Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the parent bank in California. Silicon Valley Bank is a commercial bank, headquartered in Santa Clara, CA whose principal lending focus is directed toward the technology, healthcare and venture capital industries. Prior to joining Silicon Valley Bank, Mr. Woodward was Senior Vice President and Group Manager of the Technology group at Bank of New England, Boston, MA where he was employed from 1963-1990. He is also a former Director and Chairman of LeCroy Corporation, which was sold in August 2012, and a former director of Viewlogic Systems, Inc. and Cayenne Software, Inc. Mr. Woodward serves on the boards of three private companies and is on the boards of advisors of five venture capital funds. Mr. Woodward holds an Executive Master Professional Director Certification, their highest level award, from the American College of Corporate

Index to Financial Statements

Directors, a public company director education and credentialing organization, is a member of the Board Leaders Group, and is a member of the National Association of Corporate Directors. Mr. Woodward is on the Board of Overseers and a member of the Finance Committee of Newton Wellesley Hospital, a 250 bed hospital located in Newton, MA. Mr. Woodward is a member of the Investment Committee, the Finance Committee and the Private Equity Committee of Babson College in Babson Park, MA. Mr. Woodward graduated from Babson College with a degree in finance and accounting. He also graduated from the Stonier Graduate School of Banking at Rutgers University.

Mr. Woodward s executive and board experience brings extensive business, finance and investment expertise to his Board service with the Company. His experiences with financial services, bank and technology related companies provide a unique perspective on matters involving business, finance and technology. Mr. Woodward s many board related experiences makes him skilled in leading committees requiring substantive expertise. He is uniquely qualified to lead in the continued development of our Board s policies regarding compensation and governance best practices by serving as Chairman of our Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and by serving as our Lead Independent Director.

Non-director Executive Officers

Jessica Baron joined our Company in October 2006 as Corporate Controller and was promoted to Vice President of Finance in October 2010. Effective June 1, 2011, our Board appointed Ms. Baron as Vice President of Finance and Interim Chief Financial Officer, and effective March 27, 2012, our Board appointed her as our permanent Chief Financial Officer. During her tenure at the Company, Ms. Baron has been involved in financial reporting, financial process and systems design and implementation. Prior to joining Hercules, she was served in strategic finance roles at Cisco Systems, Inc. from 2004 to 2006 and at Levi Strauss and Company from 2002 to 2004. Ms Baron also served as a finance and accounting manager at Dominion Ventures and Dominion Capital Management from 2000 to 2002. She also was at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in supervisory roles in both its consulting and business assurance divisions from 1997 to 2000. Ms. Baron earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Human Biology and a Master of Arts degree in Sociology from Stanford University and a Master of Business Administration degree with an emphasis in Finance from the University of California, Berkeley, Haas School of Business. She is a Certified Public Accountant in the state of California.

Parag I. Shah joined our Company in November 2004 as Managing Director of Life Sciences and was promoted to Senior Managing Director in June 2006. During March 2008 Mr. Shah was promoted by our Board to the position of Life Science Group Head. Prior to joining Hercules, Mr. Shah served as Managing Director for Biogenesys Capital from April 2004 to November 2004. From April 2000 to April 2004, Mr. Shah was employed by Imperial Bank, where he served as a Senior Vice President in Imperial Bank s Life Sciences Group, beginning in October 2000, which was acquired by Comerica Bank in early 2001. Prior to working at Comerica Bank, Mr. Shah was an Assistant Vice President at Bank Boston from January 1997 to March 2000. Bank Boston was acquired by Fleet Bank in 1999. Mr. Shah completed his Masters degrees in Technology, Management and Policy as well as his Bachelor s degree in Molecular Biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). During his tenure at MIT, Mr. Shah conducted research at the Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research and was chosen to serve on the Whitehead Institute s Board of Associates in 2003.

Scott Bluestein joined our Company in November 2010 as Chief Credit Officer. Mr. Bluestein previously served as founder and partner of Century Tree Capital Management from February 2009 until June 2010. Prior to that, he was managing director at Laurus-Valens Capital Management, a New York based investment firm specializing in providing financing to small and micro cap growth oriented businesses through a combination of secured debt and equity securities, including new investments, portfolio management, and restructurings from June 2003 until February 2010. Previously, Mr. Bluestein worked at UBS Investment Bank, where he was a member of their Financial Institutions Coverage Group focused on the Financial Technology space. Mr. Bluestein received his Bachelor of Business Administration from Emory University.

Index to Financial Statements

Todd Jaquez-Fissori joined our Company in November 2009 as Managing Director and was promoted by our Board to the position of Energy Technology Group Head in May 2011 and Technology Group Head in October 2011. In July, 2012, Mr. Jaquez-Fissori was appointed to the position of Senior Managing Director and Energy Technology Group Head. Before joining the Company in 2009, Mr. Jaquez-Fissori served as a director at TriplePoint Capital from February 2008 to December 2008 and was the general partner in charge of clean technology investing at Siemens Venture Capital from March 2004 to February 2008. Prior to working at Siemens Venture Capital, Mr. Jaquez-Fissori served as a principal at Boulder Ventures from March 2000 to March 2004 and as an analyst at Mayfield from May 1996 to September 1998. Mr. Jaquez-Fissori received a B.A. from Penn State University and an M.B.A. from the University of Pennsylvania Wharton School of Business.

Michael Penney joined our Company in 2013 as General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary. He brings more than 11 years of corporate, securities, regulatory and transactional experience to the Company, including more than four years of experience in the financial services industry. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Vice President and Senior Counsel for State Street Bank and Trust Company, where he was responsible for domestic and cross-border M&A and joint venture transactions, public offerings and general corporate and SEC matters from 2009 to 2013. From 2004 to 2009, Mr. Penney was a corporate associate with Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP. Mr. Penney earned his J.D. from Boston College, and he received a B.A. in political science and economics from the University of Nebraska.

Board of Directors

The number of directors is currently fixed at four directors.

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes. Class I directors hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2014, Class II directors hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2015 and Class III directors hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2013. Each director holds office for the term to which he or she is elected and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies. Mr. Woodward s term expires in 2015, Mr. Henriquez s term expires in 2013 and Messrs. Badavas and Chow s terms expire in 2014. At each annual meeting of our stockholders, the successors to the class of directors whose terms expire at such meeting will be elected to hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders held in the third year following the year of their election and until their successors are duly elected and qualify.

Compensation of Directors

The Compensation Committee has the authority from the Board for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company s outside compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee generally engages a compensation consultant every other year to assist the Compensation Committee with its responsibilities related to the Company s director compensation program.

Index to Financial Statements

The following table discloses the cash, equity awards and other compensation earned, paid or awarded, as the case may be, to each of our directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Name	Earned or 1 Cash(\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	ll Other nsation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
Robert P. Badavas	\$ 181,000	, , ,	\ ','	\$ 3,950	\$ 184,950
Joseph W. Chow	\$ 181,000			\$ 3,950	\$ 184,950
Allyn C. Woodward, Jr.	\$ 194,000	\$ 52,950	\$ 25,612	\$ 3,183	\$ 275,745
Manuel A. Henriquez ⁽⁵⁾					

- (1) Mr. Badavas, Mr. Chow and Mr. Woodward earned \$131,000, \$131,000 and \$144,000, respectively, and each elected to receive an additional retainer fee of 4,528 shares of our common stock in lieu of cash. The total value of the shares issued to each of Mr. Badavas, Mr. Chow and Mr. Woodward for services in fiscal 2012 was \$50,000.
- (2) During 2012, the Company granted Mr. Woodward a restricted stock award of 5,000 shares. See the discussion set forth under 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan below. The amount reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of each restricted stock grant is measured based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.
- (3) During 2012, the Company granted Mr. Woodward a stock option award of 15,000 shares. See the discussion set forth under 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan . The amount reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The fair value of each option grant is estimated based on the fair market value on the date of grant and using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. For a more detailed discussion on the valuation model and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options, please refer to Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements.
- (4) Represents dividends paid on unvested restricted stock awards during 2012.
- (5) As an employee director, Mr. Henriquez does not receive any compensation for his service as a director. The compensation Mr. Henriquez receives as Chief Executive Officer of the Company is disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table as set forth herein.

 As of December 31, 2012, Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward had outstanding options in the amount of 35,000, 35,000 and 40,000,

As of December 31, 2012, Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward had outstanding options in the amount of 35,000, 35,000 and 40,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward held unvested shares of restricted stock in the amount of 3,333, 3,333 and 5,000, respectively.

As compensation for serving on our Board, each of our independent directors receives an annual fee of \$50,000 and the chairperson of each committee receives an additional \$15,000 annual fee. Each independent director also receives \$2,000 for each Board or committee meeting they attend, whether in person or telephonically. In 2012, we granted each independent director an additional retainer of \$50,000, which was distributed as shares of common stock in lieu of cash. Employee directors and non-independent directors do not receive compensation for serving on the Board. In addition, we reimburse our directors for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board meetings.

Directors do not receive any perquisites or other personal benefits from the Company.

Under current SEC rules and regulations applicable to business development companies (BDC), a BDC may not grant options or restricted stock to non-employee directors unless it receives exemptive relief from the SEC. The Company filed an exemptive relief request with the SEC to allow options and restricted stock to be issued to its non-employee directors, which was approved on October 10, 2007. On June 22, 2010, the Company received approval from the SEC regarding its exemptive relief request permitting its employees to exercise their stock options and restricted stock and pay any related income taxes using a cashless exercise program.

On June 21, 2007, the stockholders approved amendments to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan allowing for the grant of restricted stock. The 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan limit the combined maximum amount of restricted stock that may be issued under both of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan to 10% of the outstanding shares of the Company s common stock on the effective date of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan plus 10% of the number of shares of common stock issued or delivered by the Company during the terms of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan.

Index to Financial Statements

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Company implemented stock ownership guidelines which are outlined in the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Company has implemented stock ownership guidelines because it believes that material stock ownership by directors plays a role in effectively aligning the interests of directors with those of our stockholders and strongly motivates the building of long-term stockholder value. Pursuant to the Company s stock ownership guidelines, each director is required to beneficially own at least three times the individual s annual retainer fee in Company stock, based on market value, within three years of joining the Company. The Board may make exceptions to this requirement based on particular circumstances. Each director has exceeded his respective guideline as of December 31, 2012.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Our business, property and affairs are managed under the direction of our Board. Members of our Board are kept informed of our business through discussions with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Credit Officer, our Secretary, Associate General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, and other officers and employees, and by reviewing materials provided to them and participating in meetings of the Board and its committees.

Corporate Governance Changes in Fiscal Year 2012 and for Fiscal Year 2013

Because our Board is committed to strong and effective corporate governance, it regularly monitors our corporate governance policies and practices to ensure we meet or exceed the requirements of applicable laws, regulations and rules, and the NYSE s listing standards. The Board has approved Corporate Governance Guidelines that provide a framework for the operation of the Board and address key governance practices. The Board has adopted a number of policies to support our values and good corporate governance, including Corporate Governance Guidelines, Board committee charters, Insider Trading Policy, Code of Ethics, Code of Business Conduct and Related Person Transaction Approval Policy.

During fiscal year 2012 and for fiscal year 2013, our Board made changes to our corporate governance policies and practices, including:

reviewed our Compliance Manual and made changes, where required, with the approval of our Board;

reviewed all of our corporate governance policies and our committee charters to ensure compliance with the NYSE corporate governance listing standards following our listing on the NYSE in April 2012; and

as a result of the ongoing plan to integrate our comprehensive compliance program, conducting training sessions in 2013 to remind employees of their obligations as employees and officers of the BDC and the specific policies and procedures that have been designed by us to reasonably ensure that the our employees are in compliance with federal securities laws and other laws.

Board Leadership Structure

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

The Board currently combines the role of Chairman of the Board with the role of Chief Executive Officer, coupled with a Lead Independent Director position to further strengthen the governance structure. The Board believes this provides an efficient and effective leadership model for the Company. Combining the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles fosters clear accountability, effective decision-making, and alignment on corporate strategy. Since 2004, Mr. Henriquez has served as both Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

137

Index to Financial Statements

No single leadership model is right for all companies at all times. The Board recognizes that depending on the circumstances, other leadership models, such as a separate independent chairman of the board, might be appropriate. Accordingly, the Board periodically reviews its leadership structure.

Moreover, the Board believes that its governance practices provide adequate safeguards against any potential risks that might be associated with having a combined Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Specifically:

three of the four current directors of the Company are independent directors;

all of the members of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Valuation Committee are independent directors;

the Board and its committees regularly conduct scheduled meetings in executive session, out of the presence of Mr. Henriquez and other members of management;

the Board and its committees regularly conduct meetings which specifically include Mr. Henriquez;

the Board and its committees remain in close contact with, and receive reports on various aspects of the Company s management and enterprise risk directly from the Company s senior management and independent auditors; and

the Board and its committees interact with employees of the Company outside the ranks of senior management.

Lead Independent Director

The Board has instituted the Lead Independent Director position to provide an additional measure of balance, ensure the Board s independence, and enhance its ability to fulfill its management oversight responsibilities. Allyn C. Woodward, Jr., the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, currently serves as the Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director:

presides over all meetings of the directors at which the Chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors;

has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors;

frequently consults with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer about strategic policies;

provides the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer with input regarding Board meetings;

serves as a liaison between the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the independent directors; and

otherwise assumes such responsibilities as may be assigned to him by the independent directors.

Having a combined Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, coupled with a substantial majority of independent, experienced directors, including a Lead Independent Director with specified responsibilities on behalf of the independent directors, provides the right leadership structure for the Company and its stockholders at this time.

Board Oversight of Risk

While risk management is primarily the responsibility of the Company s management team, the Board is responsible for oversight of the material risks faced by our Company at both the full Board level and at the committee level.

Index to Financial Statements

The Board s Audit Committee has oversight responsibility not only for financial reporting with respect to the Company s major financial exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures, but also for the effectiveness of management s enterprise risk management process that monitors and manages key business risks facing the Company. In addition to the Audit Committee, the other committees of the Board consider the risks within their areas of responsibility. For example, the Compensation Committee considers the risks that may be implicated by our executive compensation program.

Management provides regular updates throughout the year to the Board regarding the management of the risks they oversee at each regular meeting of the Board. Also, the Board receives presentations throughout the year from various department and business group heads that include discussion of significant risks as necessary. Additionally, the full Board reviews the Company s short and long-term strategies, including consideration of significant risks facing the Company and their potential impact.

Director Independence

The NYSE s listing standards and Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act require that a majority of our Board and every member of the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees are independent. Under the NYSE s listing standards and our Corporate Governance Guidelines, no director will be considered to be independent unless and until our Board affirmatively determines that such director has no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or our management. Our Board reviews the independence of its members annually.

In determining that Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward are independent, the Board, through the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, considered the financial services, commercial, family and other relationships between each director and his or her immediate family members or affiliated entities, on the one hand, and the Company and its subsidiaries, on the other hand.

Committees of the Board

The Board has established an Audit Committee, a Valuation Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. A brief description of each committee is included in this Proxy Statement and the charters of the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees are available on the Investor Relations section of the Company s website at http://investor.htgc.com/governance.cfm

The table below provides current membership (M) and chairmanship (C) information for each standing Board committee.

Name	Audit	Valuation	Compensation	Nominating and Corporate Governance
Robert P. Badavas	C	M	M	M
Joseph W. Chow	M	C	M	M
Allyn C. Woodward, Jr.	M	M	C	C

Manuel A. Henriquez

During 2013, the Board held 15 Board meetings, 20 committee meetings and acted by written consent. All of the directors attended 100% of the Board meetings and all of the respective committee meetings on which they serve. Each director makes a diligent effort to attend all Board and committee meetings, as well as the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Each of the directors attended the Company s 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person.

139

Index to Financial Statements

Audit Committee. Our Board has established an Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is comprised of Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward, each of whom is an independent director and satisfies the independence requirements for purposes of the rules promulgated by the NYSE and the requirements to be a non-interested director as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. Mr. Badavas currently serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and is an audit committee financial expert as defined by applicable SEC rules. The Audit Committee is responsible for assisting the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities related to: (i) appointing, overseeing and replacing, if necessary, the independent auditor; (ii) overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and its subsidiaries; (iii) overseeing the integrity of the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries; (iv) establishing procedures for complaints relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, (v) examining the independence qualifications and; (vi) preparing the report required by the SEC to be included in the Company s annual proxy statement; (vii) assisting the Board s oversight of the Company s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; and (viii) assisting the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities related to the systems of internal controls and disclosure controls which management has established regarding finance, accounting, and regulatory compliance. During the last fiscal year, the Audit Committee held seven meetings and acted by written consent.

The Audit Committee provides assistance to our Board in various matters, including, among other things, fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the following:

annually, evaluating the appointment, compensation and retention of any registered public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company and its subsidiaries, including resolution of disagreements between management and the independent auditor regarding financial reporting;

preapproving any independent auditors engagement to render audit and/or permissible non-audit services (including the fees charged and proposed to be charged by the independent auditors);

receiving formal written statements, at least annually, from the independent auditor regarding the auditor s independence, including a delineation of all relationships between the auditor and the Company; discussing with the independent auditor any disclosed relationships or services that may impact the objectivity and independence of the independent auditor, addressing, at least annually, the matters, required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; recommending to the Board actions to satisfy the Board of the independence of the audit; and, if so determined by the Committee, recommending that the Board take appropriate action to oversee the independence of the auditor;

at least annually, obtaining and reviewing a report from the independent auditor detailing the firm s internal quality control procedures, any material issues raised by the independent auditor s internal quality control review, peer review or any governmental or other professional inquiry performed within the past five years and any remedial actions implemented by the firm and all relationships between the independent auditor and the Company;

annually, obtaining from the independent auditors a formal written statement of the fees billed in the last fiscal year for categories of services rendered by the independent auditors, and listed in the Committee charter;

monitoring the rotation of the lead (or coordinating) audit partner (or other employees of the independent auditor if required by SEC rules and regulations) having primary responsibility for the audit and the audit partner responsible for reviewing the audit;

considering the effect on the Company of: (i) any changes in accounting principles or practices proposed by management or the independent auditors; and (ii) any changes in service providers, such as the accountants, that could impact the Company s internal control over financial reporting;

evaluating the efficiency and appropriateness of the services provided by the independent auditors, including any significant difficulties with the audit or any restrictions on the scope of their activities or access to required records, data and information;

140

Index to Financial Statements

reviewing with the independent auditors the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives, as well as off-balance sheet structures, on the financial statements of the Company;

reviewing with the independent auditor the overall scope and plans for audits, including authority and organizational reporting lines and adequacy of staffing and compensation;

interacting with the independent auditors, including meeting with the independent auditors at least four times during each fiscal year, reviewing and, where necessary, resolving any problems or difficulties the independent auditor may have encountered in connection with the annual audit or otherwise, any management letters provided to the Committee and the Company s responses;

reviewing and discussing with management and the independent auditor the Company system of internal controls (including any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of those controls which could adversely affect the Company sability to record, process, summarize and report financial data), its financial and critical accounting practices, and policies relating to risk assessment and management;

receiving and reviewing reports of the independent auditor discussing: (i) all critical accounting policies and practices to be used in the firm s audit of the Company s financial statements, (ii) all alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that have been discussed with management, ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred by the independent auditor, and (iii) other material written communications between the independent auditor and management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences;

reviewing and discussing with management and the independent auditor the Company s annual and quarterly financial statements;

discussing the Company s earnings press releases, as well as the nature of financial information provided to analysts and rating agencies;

reviewing material pending legal proceedings involving the Company and other contingent liabilities;

periodically, meeting separately with management (or other personnel responsible for the internal audit function) and with independent auditors to discuss results of examinations of the Company s internal controls and procedures;

discussing with the independent auditors the matters required to be communicated to the Audit Committee in accordance with Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61;

establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and the confidential, anonymous submissions by employees, consultants or contractors of concerns regarding questionable accounting or accounting matters;

setting hiring policies relating to the Company s hiring of employees or former employees of the independent auditors;

producing a Committee report for inclusion in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K or proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders;

reviewing the adequacy of this audit committee charter annually and submitting an audit committee charter to Board for approval;

reporting recommendations to the Board on a regular basis and annually performing, or participating in, an evaluation of the Committee;

reviewing such other matters as the Board or the Committee shall deem appropriate; and

determining funding necessary for ordinary administrative expenses that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out the Committee's duties

Index to Financial Statements

Valuation Committee. Our Board has established a Valuation Committee. The Valuation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward, each of whom is an independent director and satisfies the independence requirements for purposes of the rules promulgated by the NYSE and the requirements to be a non-interested director as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. Mr. Chow currently serves as Chairman of the Valuation Committee. The Valuation Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the full Board the fair value of debt and equity securities in accordance with established valuation procedures. The Valuation Committee may utilize the services of an independent valuation firm in determining the fair value of these securities. During the last fiscal year, the Valuation Committee held four meetings.

The Valuation Committee provides assistance to our Board in various matters, including, among other things, fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the following:

determining the fair value of the Company s portfolio debt and equity securities and other assets in accordance with the 1940 Act and the valuation policies and procedures adopted by the Board, as amended from time to time, in order to recommend the portfolio valuation to the full Board for approval; and

retaining, terminating and determining the compensation for an independent valuation firm and any legal, accounting or other expert or experts to assist in: (i) reviewing the Company s valuation processes applicable to non-publicly traded companies; (ii) reviewing fair market value calculations as requested from time to time with respect to select companies; and (iii) carrying out the Valuation Committee s duties and responsibilities.

Compensation Committee. Our Board has established a Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward, each of whom is an independent director and satisfies the independence requirements for purposes of the rules promulgated by the NYSE and the requirements to be a non-interested director as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. Mr. Woodward currently serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee determines compensation for our executive officers, in addition to administering the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan. During the last fiscal year, the Compensation Committee held seven meetings and acted by written consent.

The Compensation Committee provides assistance to our Board in various matters, including, among other things, fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the following:

assisting the Board in developing and evaluating potential candidates for executive positions (including the Chief Executive Officer) and overseeing the development of executive succession plans;

annually, reviewing and approving corporate objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officer s total compensation, evaluating the Chief Executive Officer s and other executive officers performance to ensure that it is designed to achieve the objectives of rewarding the Company s executive officers appropriately for their contributions to corporate growth and profitability and, together with the Company s Chief Executive Officer, evaluating and approving the compensation of the Company s other executive officers;

annually, determining and approving the compensation paid to the Company's Chief Executive Officer;

annually, reviewing the corporation's compensation practices and the relationship among risk, risk management and compensation in light of the corporation's objectives, including its safety and soundness and the avoidance of practices that would encourage excessive risk:

periodically, reviewing the Company s incentive compensation plans and perquisites, making recommendations to the Board regarding the adoption of new employee incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans, and administering the Company s existing incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans;

Index to Financial Statements

periodically, evaluating the compensation of directors and making recommendations regarding adjustments to such compensation;

producing a Committee report on executive compensation for inclusion in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K or proxy statement for the Annual Meeting in accordance with Item 407(e)(5) of Regulation S-K;

annually reviewing and discussing with Company management the executive compensation disclosure to be included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K or the Company s proxy statement for the Annual Meeting, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K, and subsequent to such review determining whether to recommend to the Board that such disclosure be included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K or the Company s proxy statement for the Annual Meeting;

periodically, reviewing and assessing the adequacy of the Compensation Committee charter and submitting any changes to the Board for approval;

determining funding necessary for ordinary administrative expenses that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out the Committee s duties;

regularly, reporting recommendations to the Board, and annually performing, or participating in, an evaluation of the Committee, the results of which shall be presented to the Board;

when it is determined by the Committee that a consulting firm (or other expert) is to assist in the assessment of the CEO s or other senior executive officer s compensation, the Committee is responsible for retaining and terminating such firm or experts and approving the consulting firm or other expert s fee and other retention terms;

retaining legal, accounting or other experts that the Committee determines to be necessary to carry out its duties and determining compensation for such advisors; and

reviewing such other matters as the Board or the Committee deem appropriate.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Our Board has established a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is comprised of Messrs. Badavas, Chow and Woodward, each of whom is an independent director and satisfies the independence requirements for purposes of the rules promulgated by the NYSE Stock Market and the requirements to be a non-interested director as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. Mr. Woodward currently serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will nominate to the Board for consideration candidates for election as directors to the Board. During the last fiscal year, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held two meetings. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met in December 2013 to consider candidates for election to the Board for our 2014 Annual Meeting.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee provides assistance to our Board in various matters, including, among other things, fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the following:

identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with criteria approved by the Board, receiving nominations for such qualified individuals, selecting, or recommending that the Board select, the director nominees for the next Annual Meeting

taking into account each candidate s ability, judgment and experience and the overall diversity and composition of the Board;

recommending to the Board candidates for election to the Board and evaluating the Board in accordance with criteria set forth in the Committee charter;

monitoring Board composition and recommending candidates as necessary to ensure that the number of independent directors serving on the Board satisfies the NYSE and SEC requirements;

143

Index to Financial Statements

developing and periodically evaluating initial orientation guidelines and continuing education guidelines for each member of the Board and each member of each committee thereof regarding his or her responsibilities as a director generally and as a member of any applicable committee of the Board;

establishing a policy under which stockholders of the Company may recommend a candidate to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for consideration for nomination as a director;

recommending to the Board qualified individuals to serve as committee members on the various Board committees;

recommending to the Board or to the appropriate committee thereto processes for annual evaluations of the performance of the Board, the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and its standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Valuation Committee;

clearly articulating to each director what is expected of their tenure on the Board, including directors basic duties and responsibilities with respect to attendance at Board meetings and advance review of meeting materials;

developing and periodically evaluating orientation guidelines and continuing education guidelines for each member of the Board and each member of each committee thereof regarding his or her responsibilities as a director generally and as a member of any applicable committee of the Board;

reviewing the Company s practices and policies with respect to directors, including the size of the Board, the ratio of employee directors to non-employee directors, the meeting frequency of the Board and the structure of Board meetings and making recommendations to the Board with respect thereto;

overseeing the maintenance and presentation to the Board of management s plans for succession to senior management positions in the Company;

monitoring and making recommendations to the Board on matters of Company policies and practices relating to corporate governance;

annually, evaluating the Company s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and, if appropriate, recommending changes to that code;

in concert with the Board, reviewing the Company s policies with respect to significant issues of corporate public responsibility, including charitable contributions;

considering and reporting to the Board any questions of possible conflicts of interest of Board members;

reviewing stockholder proposals regarding corporate governance and making recommendations to the Board;

reviewing and assessing the adequacy of the Committee charter and the charters of other existing Board committees, submitting any changes to the Board for approval;

reporting committee actions to the Board on a regular basis and annually performing, or participating in, an evaluation of the Committee;

annually, performing or participating in, an evaluation of the performance of the Committee, the results of which shall be presented to the Board;

retaining and terminating a search firm to assist in the identification of director candidates, and approving the search firm s fees and other retention terms; and

retaining legal, accounting or other experts that the Committee determines to be necessary to carry out its duties, and to determine compensation for such advisors.

Index to Financial Statements

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider qualified director nominees recommended by stockholders when such recommendations are submitted in accordance with the Company s bylaws and any other applicable law, rule or regulation regarding director nominations. When submitting a nomination to the Company for consideration, a stockholder must provide certain information that would be required under applicable SEC rules, including the following minimum information for each director nominee: full name, age, and address; class, series and number of shares of stock of the Company beneficially owned by the nominee, if any; the date such shares were acquired and the investment intent of such acquisition; whether such stockholder believes the individual is an interested person of the Company, as defined in the 1940 Act; and all other information required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors in an election contest or is otherwise required.

In evaluating director nominees, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the following factors:

the appropriate size and the diversity of the Company s Board;

whether or not the nominee is an interested person of the Company as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act;

the needs of the Company with respect to the particular talents and experience of its directors;

the knowledge, skills and experience of nominees in light of prevailing business conditions and the knowledge, skills and experience already possessed by other members of the Board;

experience with accounting rules and practices;

the desire to balance the considerable benefit of continuity with the periodic injection of the fresh perspective provided by new members; and

all applicable laws, rules, regulations, and listing standards.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies nominees by first evaluating the current members of the Board willing to continue in service. Current members of the Board with skills and experience that are relevant to the Company s business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination, balancing the value of continuity of service by existing members of the Board with that of obtaining a new perspective. If any member of the Board does not wish to continue in service or if the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Board decides not to re-nominate a member for re-election, or if the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommends to expand the size of the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. Current members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board provide suggestions as to individuals meeting the criteria of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Consultants may also be engaged to assist in identifying qualified individuals.

Communication with the Board

We believe that communications between our Board, our stockholders and other interested parties are an important part of our corporate governance process. Stockholders with questions about the Company are encouraged to contact the Company s Investor Relations department at (650) 289-3060. However, if stockholders believe that their questions have not been addressed, they may communicate with the Company s Board by sending their communications to Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., c/o K. Nicholas Martitsch, Secretary, Chief Compliance Officer and Associate General Counsel, 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310, Palo Alto, California 94301. All stockholder communications received in this manner will be delivered to one or more members of the Board.

Index to Financial Statements

Allyn C. Woodward, Jr., the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, currently serves as the Lead Independent Director, and presides over all meetings of the directors, including executive sessions of the independent directors. Parties may communicate directly with Mr. Woodward by sending their communications to Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., c/o K. Nicholas Martitsch, Secretary, Chief Compliance Officer and Associate General Counsel. All communications received in this manner will be delivered to Mr. Woodward.

All communications involving accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters, possible violations of, or non-compliance with, applicable legal and regulatory requirements or the Codes, or retaliatory acts against anyone who makes such a complaint or assists in the investigation of such a complaint, will be referred to our Secretary and Associate General Counsel. The communication will be forwarded to the chair of the Audit Committee if the Secretary and Associate General Counsel determines that the matter has been submitted in conformity with our whistleblower procedures or otherwise determines that the communication should be so directed.

The acceptance and forwarding of a communication to any director does not imply that the director owes or assumes any fiduciary duty to the person submitting the communication, all such duties being only as prescribed by applicable law.

Code of Ethics

Our code of ethics, which is signed by directors and executive officers of the Company, requires that directors and executive officers avoid any conflict, or the appearance of a conflict, between an individual s personal interests and the interests of the Company. Pursuant to the code of ethics which is available on our website at http://investor.htgc.com/governance.cfm, each director and executive officer must disclose any conflicts of interest, or actions or relationships that might give rise to a conflict, to the Audit Committee. Certain actions or relationships that might give rise to a conflict of interest are reviewed and approved by the Board.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

All members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors and none of the members are present or past employees of the Company. No member of the Compensation Committee: (i) has had any relationship with the Company requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; or (ii) is an executive officer of another entity, at which one of our executive officers serves on the Board.

146

Index to Financial Statements

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of the Compensation Program

This section describes the compensation programs for our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in fiscal year 2012 and our three most highly compensated executive officers employed at the end of fiscal year 2012. We refer to the individuals collectively as our named executive officers, or NEOs.

Our	current	NFOs	are.
Our	Current	MEUS	are.

Manuel Henriquez, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer;

Jessica Baron, Chief Financial Officer;

Parag Shah, Senior Managing Director and Life Science Group Head;

Scott Bluestein, Chief Credit Officer; and

Todd Jaquez-Fissori, Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head.

Executive Summary

Our compensation programs are intended to align our NEOs interests with those of our stockholders by rewarding performance that meets or exceeds the expectations of the Compensation Committee. In line with our compensation philosophy described below, the total compensation received by our NEOs will vary based on individual and corporate performance in light of our annual and long-term performance objectives. Our NEOs total compensation is comprised of a mix of annual base salary, annual cash bonus and short-term equity incentive awards in the form of restricted stock awards based on corporate and executive performance factors, and long-term equity incentive and retention awards in the form of stock option and/or restricted stock awards.

In 2012, we achieved the following portfolio and financial highlights:

ended the year with total investments of approximately \$906.3 million, an increase of 38.8% year over year and our highest level since inception;

originated approximately \$636.6 million in total commitments to new and existing portfolio companies during 2012, bringing total committed capital to \$3.4 billion to over 220 companies since commencing originations in 2004;

funded approximately \$469.1 million of debt and equity investments during 2012;

increased net investment income by 21.5% to approximately \$48.1 million, as compared to \$39.6 million for fiscal year 2011. Net investment income per share increased by approximately 5.5% to \$0.96 on 49.1 million basic shares outstanding, as compared to \$0.91 per share on 43.0 million shares outstanding for fiscal year 2011;

maintained consistent credit quality with a weighted average loan grade of 2.06 on the portfolio as of December 31, 2012, as compared to 2.01 as of December 31, 2011;

finished 2012 in a strong liquidity position with approximately \$288.0 million in available liquidity, including \$183.0 million in cash and \$105.0 million in bank credit facility availability;

completed a \$170.4 million public offering of 7.00% senior notes due 2019;

completed two public offerings of common stock for approximately \$81.7 million in gross proceeds; and

issued \$129.0 million of Asset Backed Notes rated A2 by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. in a securitization transaction.

147

Index to Financial Statements

Please see *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more detailed description of our fiscal year 2012 results.

Compensation Philosophy

The compensation and benefit programs of the Company adopted by our Compensation Committee are designed with the goal of providing compensation that is fair, reasonable and competitive and are intended to help us align the compensation paid to our NEOs with both our short and long-term objectives. The Compensation Committee reviews various metrics when determining compensation for the executive officers. The Compensation Committee does not use specific metrics for the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer in accordance with the 1940 Act.

The key elements of our compensation philosophy include:

designing compensation programs that enable us to attract and retain the best talent in the industries in which we compete;

using short and long-term equity incentive and retention awards to align employee and stockholder interests;

aligning executive compensation packages with the Company s performance; and

ensuring that our compensation program complies with the requirements of the 1940 Act. We have designed compensation programs based on the following:

Achievement of Corporate Objectives and Executive Performance Factors We believe that the best way to align compensation with the interests of our stockholders is to link executive compensation with individual performance and contribution along with the achievement of certain corporate objectives. The Compensation Committee determines executive compensation consistent with the achievement of certain corporate objectives and executive performance factors.

Discretionary Annual Bonus Pool Over the course of the year, the Compensation Committee, together with input from our Chief Executive Officer, develops a range of amounts likely to be available for the discretionary annual cash bonus pool. The range for this bonus pool is dependent upon the Company s current financial outlook and executive performance contributing to achieving our corporate objectives, does not utilize specified targets and is subject to the sole discretion of the Compensation Committee. This range is further refined during our third and fourth fiscal quarters into a specified pool to be used for discretionary annual cash bonuses for our NEOs. If executive performance exceeds performance expectations established during the year, compensation levels for the NEOs may exceed the specified pool amount at the discretion of our Compensation Committee. If executive performance falls below expectations, compensation levels may fall below the specified pool amount.

Competitiveness and Market Alignment Our compensation and benefit programs are designed to be competitive with those provided by companies with whom we compete for investment and management personnel and to be sufficient to attract and retain the best talent for top performers within the industries in which we compete. We compete for employee talent with venture capital funds, private equity firms, mezzanine lenders, hedge funds and other specialty finance companies including certain specialized commercial banks. Thus, we believe that our employee compensation and benefit plans should be designed to be competitive in the businesses in which we compete and sufficient to attract and retain talent. Our benefit programs, which include general health and welfare benefits, consisting of life, long-term and short-term disability, health, dental, vision insurance benefits and the opportunity to participate in our defined contribution 401(k) plan, are designed to provide competitive benefits and are not based on performance. As part of its

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

annual review process, the Compensation Committee reviews the competitiveness of the Company s current compensation levels of its NEOs relative to that of our comparative group companies identified below.

Index to Financial Statements

Alignment with Requirements of the 1940 Act. Our compensation program must align with the requirements of the 1940 Act, which imposes certain limitations on the structure of a BDC s compensation program. For example, the 1940 Act prohibits a BDC from maintaining an incentive stock option award plan and a profit sharing arrangement simultaneously. As a result, if a BDC has an incentive stock option award plan, such as we do, it is prohibited from using specific performance measurements commonly utilized by non-BDC companies as a form of compensation or a profit sharing arrangement, such as a carried interest formula, a common form of compensation in the private equity industry. These limitations and other similar restrictions imposed by the 1940 Act limit the compensation arrangements that we can utilize in order to attract and retain our NEOs.

Components of Total Compensation

The Compensation Committee determined that the compensation packages for 2012 for our NEOs should consist of the following three key components:

annual base salary;

annual cash bonus and short-term equity incentive awards in the form of restricted stock awards based on corporate and executive performance factors; and

long-term equity incentive and retention awards in the form of stock option and/or restricted stock awards.

Annual Base Salary

The annual base salary is designed to provide a minimum, fixed level of cash compensation to our NEOs in order to attract and retain experienced executive officers who can drive the achievement of our corporate objectives. While our NEOs initial base salaries are determined by an assessment of competitive market levels for comparable experience and responsibilities, the performance factors used in determining changes in base salary include individual performance, changes in role and/or responsibility and changes in the market environment.

Annual Cash Bonus and Short-Term Equity Incentive Awards

The annual cash bonus and short-term equity incentive in the form of restricted stock awards are designed to reward our NEOs that have achieved certain corporate and executive performance factors. The amount of the annual cash bonus and short-term equity incentive awards are determined by the Compensation Committee on a discretionary basis and are dependent on the achievement of certain executive performance factors, as described herein under the heading Assessment of Corporate Performance during the year. The Compensation Committee established these performance factors because it believes they are related to our achievement of both short and long-term corporate objectives and the creation of stockholder value.

Long-Term Equity Incentive and Retention Awards

The Compensation Committee s principal goals in awarding long-term incentive stock options and/or restricted stock are to retain executive officers as well as align each NEO s interests with our success and the long-term financial interests of our stockholders by linking a portion of the NEO s compensation with the performance of the Company and the value delivered to stockholders. The Compensation Committee evaluates a number of criteria, including the past service of each NEO, the present and potential performance contributions of such NEO to our success, years of service, position, and such other factors as the Compensation Committee believes to be relevant in connection with accomplishing the purposes of the long-term goals of the Company. The Compensation Committee neither assigns a formula, nor assigns specific weights to any of these factors when making its determination of the NEOs long-term incentive awards. The Compensation Committee awards incentive stock options and/or restricted stock on a subjective basis, and such awards depend in each case on the performance of the NEO under consideration, and in the case of new hires, on their potential performance.

Index to Financial Statements

Short and Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards

Option awards under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan are generally awarded upon initial employment and generally vest, subject to continued employment, over a period of time. Options are granted as incentive stock options, within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, to the extent permitted, with the remainder granted as nonqualified stock options.

All restricted stock grants under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan made prior to March 4, 2013 will continue to vest on a monthly basis following their one year anniversary over the succeeding 36 months. During 2012, the Compensation Committee adopted a policy that provided for awards with different vesting schedules for short and long-term awards. Under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, restricted stock awards vest subject to continued employment based on two vesting schedules: short-term awards vest one-half on the one year anniversary of the date of the grant and quarterly over the succeeding 12 months, and long-term awards vest one-fourth on the one year anniversary of the date of grant and quarterly over the succeeding 36 months.

We believe that by having two forms of equity incentive awards we are able to reward stockholder value creation in different ways. Stock options have exercise prices equal to the market price of our common stock on the date of the grant and reward employees only if our stock price increases. Restricted stock, although affected by both stock price increases and decreases, maintains value during periods of market volatility.

In May 2007, we received SEC exemptive relief, and our stockholders approved amendments to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, permitting us to grant restricted stock awards.

The 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan limit the combined maximum amount of restricted stock that may be issued under both of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan to 10% of the outstanding shares of our stock on the effective date of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan plus 10% of the number of shares of stock issued or delivered by our Company during the terms of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan. The approved amendments further specify that no one person will be granted awards of restricted stock relating to more than 25% of the shares available for issuance under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. Further, the amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all our outstanding warrants, options and rights, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, at the time of issuance will not exceed 25% of our outstanding voting securities, except that if the amount of voting securities that would result from such exercise of all of our outstanding warrants, options and rights issued to our directors and executive officers, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, would exceed 15% of our outstanding voting securities, then the total amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options and rights, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, at the time of issuance will not exceed 20% of our outstanding voting securities.

Eligibility includes all of our NEOs. Each grant of restricted stock under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan to our NEOs will contain such terms and conditions, including consideration and vesting, as our Board deems appropriate and as allowed for within the provisions of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. Under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, restricted stock vests one-third each year on the anniversary of the date of the grant over a three-year period.

Benefits and Perquisites

Our NEOs receive the same benefits and perquisites as other full-time employees. Our benefit program is designed to provide competitive benefits and is not based on performance. Other than the benefits described below, our NEOs do not receive any other benefits, including retirement benefits, or perquisites from the Company. Our NEOs and other full-time employees receive general health and welfare benefits, which consist of life, long-term and short-term disability, health, dental, vision insurance benefits and the opportunity to participate in our defined contribution 401(k) plan. During 2012, our 401(k) plan provided for a match of

Index to Financial Statements

contributions by the Company for up to \$6,500 per full-time employee. In September 2012, our Compensation Committee approved an increase for a match of contributions by the Company for up to \$17,000 per full-time employee effective January 1, 2013.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for stock-based compensation, including options and shares of restricted stock granted pursuant to our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan in accordance with the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 718. Under the FASB ASC Topic 718, we estimate the fair value of our option awards at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model, which requires the use of certain subjective assumptions. The most significant of these assumptions are our estimates on the expected term, volatility and forfeiture rates of the awards. Forfeitures are not estimated due to our limited history but are reversed in the period in which forfeiture occurs. As required under the accounting rules, we review our valuation assumptions at each grant date and, as a result, are likely to change our valuation assumptions used to value stock-based awards granted in future periods. We estimate the fair value of our restricted stock awards based on the grant date market closing price.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation. When analyzing both total compensation and individual elements of compensation paid to our NEOs, the Compensation Committee considers the income tax consequences to the Company of its compensation policies and procedures. In particular, the Compensation Committee considers Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which limits the deductibility of non-performance-based compensation paid to certain of the NEOs to \$1,000,000 per affected NEO. The Compensation Committee intends to balance its objective of providing compensation to our NEOs that is fair, reasonable, and competitive with the Company s capability to take an immediate compensation expense deduction. The Board believes that the best interests of the Company and its stockholders are served by executive compensation programs that encourage and promote the Company s principal compensation philosophy, enhancement of stockholder value, and permit the Compensation Committee to exercise discretion in the design and implementation of compensation packages. Accordingly, the Company may from time to time pay compensation to its NEOs that may not be fully tax deductible, including certain bonuses and restricted stock. Stock options granted under our stock plan are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) and are generally fully deductible. We will continue to review the Company s executive compensation plans periodically to determine what changes, if any, should be made as a result of the limitation on deductibility.

Establishing Compensation Levels

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors who are also non-employee directors as defined in Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, independent directors as defined by the NYSE rules, and are not interested persons of our Company, as defined by Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Woodward, Badavas and Chow.

The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a charter that sets forth the mission of the Compensation Committee and its specific goals and responsibilities. A key component of the Compensation Committee s goals and responsibilities is to evaluate and make recommendations to the Board regarding the compensation of the NEOs of the Company, and to review their performance relative to their compensation to assure that they are compensated effectively in a manner consistent with the compensation philosophy discussed above. In addition, the Compensation Committee evaluates and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the compensation of the directors for their services. Annually, the Compensation Committee:

reviews and approves corporate objectives relevant to the NEOs total compensation, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer s performance to ensure that the compensation program is designed to achieve the objective of rewarding our Chief Executive Officer appropriately for his contributions to corporate performance;

Index to Financial Statements

reviews the Chief Executive Officer s evaluation of the other NEOs performance to ensure that the compensation program is designed to achieve the objectives of rewarding our other NEOs appropriately for their contributions to corporate performance;

determines and approves the compensation paid to the Company s Chief Executive Officer; and

together with our Chief Executive Officer s input, reviews and approves the compensation of the other NEOs. Periodically, the Compensation Committee reviews our incentive compensation plans and perquisites, if any, to ensure that such plans are consistent with our corporate objectives and appropriately align our NEOs interests with those of the Company s stockholders and makes recommendations to the Board regarding adoption of new employee incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans. The Compensation Committee administers our stock incentive arrangements with our NEOs. The Compensation Committee may not delegate its responsibilities discussed above.

Role of Management

The key member of management involved in the compensation process is our Chief Executive Officer, Manuel Henriquez. Mr. Henriquez identifies and proposes certain corporate and executive performance factors that have been established to achieve short and long-term corporate objectives that are used by the Compensation Committee to determine total compensation. Over the course of the year, our Chief Executive Officer provides inputs to the Compensation Committee with his recommendations for the funding level for our discretionary annual cash bonus pool as it applies to our NEOs. These recommendations are based upon his evaluation of our current financial outlook and the performance of our NEOs, including their contributions to achieving our short and long-term corporate objectives as they relate to each NEO s specific roles and responsibilities within our Company. Mr. Henriquez s recommendations are presented to the Compensation Committee for its review and approval. Mr. Henriquez is not a member of the Compensation Committee and is not involved in the deliberations of the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee makes all decisions with respect to compensation of all of our NEOs, including the allocation between long-term and current compensation, subject to review by the full Board. Our Compensation Committee meets outside of the presence of our Chief Executive Officer when reviewing and determining his compensation.

Role of the Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has the authority from the Board for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company s outside compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee generally engages a compensation consultant every other year to assist the Compensation Committee with its responsibilities related to the Company s executive compensation programs. In the latter part of 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC (Pearl Meyer), an independent compensation consultant, to provide summary compensation information regarding the compensation to be awarded to the Company s executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 (the 2012 Report), along with certain regulatory and governance guidance.

In connection with the retention, the Compensation Committee determined that Pearl Meyer had the necessary experience, skill and independence to advise the Committee. Pearl Meyer does not provide services to the Company other than under its engagement by the Compensation Committee related to compensation matters. Pearl Meyer was retained for an estimated fee of \$30,000 for the 2012 Report and its related services and does not provide any other services to the Company. The Board conducted an independence assessment of Pearl Meyer and determined that no conflicts of interest exist between Compensation Committee members, directors or executive officers, and Pearl Meyer.

Index to Financial Statements

The Compensation Committee reviewed the 2012 Report when evaluating the Company s executive compensation program for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. The compensation consultant, together with inputs from the Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee, developed a list of comparative group companies, primarily other BDCs, based on market size, industries, geographic regions and other factors to be used for compensation and financial analyses. The compensation consultant incorporated data from the comparative group companies. Through this process, the Compensation Committee compares the Company s compensation for NEOs, including the CEO, to competitive market data.

The comparative group includes 12 internally managed companies, six of which are BDCs. The Compensation Committee primarily looked to the comparative group companies to perform compensation comparisons. Comparative group companies included the following:



Many of our direct competitors for talent are private partnerships without external financial reporting requirements. As a result, specific compensation with respect to many competitors typically may not be publicly available.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Company Compensation Policies

The Compensation Committee reviews performance factors which relate to achieving corporate objectives when approving the compensation provided to our NEOs. Compensation levels for NEOs are determined based on their performance and the achievement of certain corporate and executive performance factors. In approving the individual compensation for the Company's NEOs, the Compensation Committee considers the total compensation to be awarded to each NEO and exercises discretion in approving the portion allocated to the various components of total compensation. We believe that the focus on total compensation provides the ability to align compensation decisions with the short and long-term needs of the business. This approach also allows for the flexibility needed to recognize differences in performance by providing differentiated compensation plans to the NEOs which are aimed at rewarding and retaining key talent. In determining the 2012 compensation packages for the Company's NEOs, the Compensation Committee considered certain attributes of the NEOs, specifically the demonstrated skill level, including special or unique knowledge, cumulative experience, level of responsibility, decision making authority, and caliber of overall performance, as well as designing such compensation packages to help ensure the Company's retention of its NEOs. Based on these considerations, the Compensation Committee approved what it believed to be the appropriate short-term cash as well as short and long-term equity compensation for each of our NEOs.

Short-term cash and short-term equity incentive awards are designed and awarded in an amount appropriate to compensate for annual performance relating to short-term objectives that NEOs should be rewarded for in the year performed. Long-term equity incentive awards are intended to reward NEOs for meeting longer term corporate objectives in a manner that ties NEOs compensation to the continued success of the Company.

Use of Comparative Compensation Data

The Compensation Committee considers comparative data in approving our NEOs compensation. However, comparative data are not determinative factors in setting compensation. The Compensation Committee

Index to Financial Statements

annually reviews comparative compensation data, including reports provided from time to time by any outside compensation consultants, such as the 2012 Report provided by Pearl Meyer. Comparative compensation data reviewed by the Compensation Committee also include certain of the Company s NEO s salary history, scope of responsibilities and promotion history, and other factors deemed relevant by the Compensation Committee as discussed below. The Compensation Committee uses the comparative compensation data to obtain an overview of all elements of actual and potential future compensation for its NEOs so that the Compensation Committee may analyze individual elements of compensation as well as the aggregate total amount of actual and projected compensation for each NEO. The use of comparative compensation data also enables the Compensation Committee to consider total compensation for all NEOs together with the attributes discussed above when considering internal pay equity among each of the Company s NEOs.

Upon review of the comparative compensation data, the Compensation Committee determined that 2012 annual compensation amounts and awards for our NEOs were within a reasonable range with the compensation amounts and awards of our listed comparative group companies, including the CEO who was in the 75th percentile.

Internal Pay Equity Analysis

Our compensation program is designed with the goal of providing compensation to our NEOs that is fair, reasonable, and competitive. To achieve this goal, we believe it is important to compare compensation paid to each NEO not only with compensation in our comparative group companies, as discussed above, but also with compensation paid to each of our other NEOs. Such an internal comparison is important to ensure that compensation is equitable among our NEOs.

As part of the Compensation Committee review, we made a comparison of our Chief Executive Officer s total compensation paid for the year ending December 31, 2012 against that paid to our other NEOs during the same year. Upon review, the Compensation Committee determined that the Chief Executive Officer s compensation relative to that of the other NEOs was justified relative to the compensation paid to our other NEOs because of his level and scope of responsibilities, expertise and performance history, and other factors deemed relevant by the Compensation Committee as compared to the other NEOs. The Compensation Committee also reviewed the mix of the individual elements of compensation paid to the NEOs for this period. In the course of its review, the Compensation Committee also considered the individual performance of each NEO and any changes in responsibilities of the NEO. Based on its review, the Compensation Committee determined that our Chief Executive Officer s total compensation comprised of base salary, annual cash bonus and short and long-term equity incentive and retention awards was properly aligned in comparison to total compensation paid to the other NEOs.

Benchmarking

We do not specifically benchmark the compensation of our NEOs against that paid by other companies with publicly traded securities. This is because we believe that our primary competitors in both our business and for recruiting executives are venture capital funds, private equity firms, mezzanine lenders, hedge funds and other specialty finance companies, including certain specialized commercial banks. Many of these entities do not publicly report the compensation of their executive officers nor do they typically report publicly information on their corporate performance. While various salary surveys, such as those noted above and from other private sources may become available to us with regard to these private equity firms, we believe that without accurate, publicly disclosed information on these private entities that would serve as benchmarks, it is inappropriate for us to set formal benchmarking procedures.

Assessment of Corporate Performance

In reviewing and approving the 2012 discretionary annual cash bonuses for the NEOs, the Compensation Committee considered the relative achievement of strategic and corporate objectives, executive performance

154

Index to Financial Statements

factors and the individual performance of each of our NEOs, as critical to achieving our corporate objectives. Listed below are the most significant performance factors taken into account for 2012:

increasing total investment income;
increasing total net investment income;
maximizing realized and unrealized gains and minimizing realized and unrealized losses;
growing yield to maturity and effective yield of the investment portfolio;
maintaining consistent overall credit performance of the total investment portfolio;
building liquidity;
improving operating efficiency performance;
continuing to grow the overall investment portfolio;
adding resources and expanding the organizations at all levels, including adding and retaining our NEOs within the organization as the organization continues to grow;
improving and innovating the Company s information systems;
achieving appropriate dividend distributions to stockholders;
raising additional debt capital;
raising additional equity;
increasing return on average assets; and
increasing return on average equity.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

In 2012, we delivered the following portfolio and financial achievements:

delivered strong investment portfolio growth for fiscal year 2012 as seen in the year over year comparison set forth below:

	Fiscal Year 2012 (in millions)	Fiscal Year 2011 (in millions)	Change %
Investments	\$ 906.3	\$ 652.9	38.8%
Total Assets	\$ 1,123.6	\$ 747.4	50.3%
Total Net Assets	\$ 516.0	\$ 431.0	19.7%

originated approximately \$636.6 million in total commitments to new and existing portfolio companies during 2012, bringing total committed capital to \$3.4 billion to over 220 companies since commencing originations in 2004;

funded approximately \$469.1 million of debt and equity investments during 2012;

increased net investment income by 21.5% to approximately \$48.1 million, as compared to \$39.6 million for fiscal year 2011. Net investment income per share increased by approximately 5.5% to \$0.96 on 49.1 million basic shares outstanding, as compared to \$0.91 per share on 43.0 million shares outstanding for fiscal year 2011;

maintained consistent credit quality with a weighted average loan grade of 2.06 on the portfolio as of December 31, 2012, as compared to 2.01 as of December 31, 2011;

finished 2012 in a strong liquidity position with approximately \$288.0 million in available liquidity, including \$183.0 million in cash and \$105.0 million in bank credit facility availability;

completed a \$170.4 million public offering of 7.00% senior notes due 2019;

Index to Financial Statements

completed two public offerings of common stock for approximately \$81.7 million in gross proceeds; and

issued \$129.0 million of Asset Backed Notes rated A2 by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. in a securitization transaction. *Stock Ownership Guidelines*

The Company implemented stock ownership guidelines which are outlined in the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Company has implemented stock ownership guidelines because it believes that material stock ownership by executives plays a role in effectively aligning the interests of these employees with those of our stockholders and strongly motivates executives to build long-term stockholder value. Pursuant to the Company s stock ownership guidelines, each member of senior management is required to beneficially own at least two times the individual s annual salary in Company stock, based on market value, within three years of joining the Company. The Board may make exceptions to this requirement based on particular circumstances. Each NEO has exceeded his respective guideline as of April 22, 2013.

Determination of 2012 Annual Base Salaries of Our NEOs

NEO compensation is determined based on the achievement of corporate and individual performance expectations discussed above. In determining the amount of each NEO s base salary, the Compensation Committee considers the scope of his or her responsibilities, taking into account available competitive market compensation paid by other companies for similar positions as discussed above. The Compensation Committee considered the Chief Executive Officer s experience, performance, and contribution to our overall corporate performance when determining his base salary for 2012. Base salaries for our other NEOs were also set by the Compensation Committee, together with the Chief Executive Officer s input, based upon each NEO s individual experience and contribution to the overall performance of our Company.

Base salaries for the NEOs are intended to be competitive with the compensation paid to executives with comparable qualifications, experience and responsibilities in the same or similar businesses of comparable size. In order to attract and retain the outstanding levels of executives that we need, the Compensation Committee reviews the Company s base salaries relative to those offered by other comparative group companies, venture capital funds and private equity firms, mezzanine lenders, hedge funds, and other specialty finance companies, including certain specialized commercial banks. Variation relative to the salaries of the listed comparative group companies and venture capital funds, private equity firms, mezzanine lenders, hedge funds and other specialty finance companies, including certain specialized commercial banks is made in the judgment of management and/or the Compensation Committee, as appropriate, based on the value of the NEO s experience, performance, change in role or responsibility or specific skill set. Upon review, the Compensation Committee determines whether adjustments to certain NEO s salaries are necessary to realign salaries with the market for a given position, to recognize NEO s assumption of significant additional responsibilities and related performance increases, or to achieve an appropriate compensation level due to promotion or other internal equity matters. The Compensation Committee makes all decisions with respect to the base salary compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and together with the Company s Chief Executive Officer evaluates and approves the Company s other NEOs salary compensation. Our Compensation Committee meets outside of the presence of our Chief Executive Officer when reviewing and determining his base salary compensation.

In connection with the Board s promotion of Ms. Baron from the Company s Interim Chief Financial Officer to its Chief Financial Officer on March 27, 2012, Ms. Baron s annual base salary was increased to \$235,000. In connection with the Board s appointment of Mr. Jaquez-Fissori to Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head on July 17, 2012, Mr. Jaquez-Fissori s annual base salary was increased to \$225,000. On September 5, 2012, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in Mr. Bluestein s salary to \$270,000.

156

Index to Financial Statements

In addition, after review of compensation information, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in annual base salaries for Ms. Baron, Mr. Bluestein and Mr. Jaquez-Fissori on March 4, 2013 as set forth below. Also, on March 4, 2013, the Compensation Committee approved a 3% increase in base salary for those of our NEOs who did not otherwise receive an increase in base salary in 2012 and 2013. The following is a table of the annual base salaries for our NEOs as set for Fiscal Year 2013 as well as for the preceding two years:

	Fisca Year 2013 Salar	Base Y	Fiscal Year 2012 Base Salary	Fiscal r 2011 Base Salary
Manuel Henriquez	\$ 757	7,050	\$ 735,000	\$ 735,000
Jessica Baron	\$ 285	5,000	\$ 235,000	\$ 175,000
Parag Shah	\$ 34	7,162	\$ 337,050	\$ 337,050
Scott Bluestein	\$ 300	0,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 250,000
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	\$ 260	,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 175,000

Determination of 2012 Annual Cash Bonus for Our NEOs

Over the course of the year the Compensation Committee, together with input from our Chief Executive Officer, developed a specific bonus pool for the 2012 operating year to be available for our discretionary annual cash bonus program. The amount determined to be available for this bonus program was at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, and was dependent upon many factors as outlined previously, including, but not limited to, our current financial performance and performance related contributions of our NEOs in achieving our performance objectives.

The annual cash bonus is at risk discretionary compensation that is designed to motivate our NEOs to achieve financial and non-financial objectives that are consistent with the Company s 2012 operating plan. At risk discretionary compensation means that it is up to the Compensation Committee to determine whether any cash bonus amount will be awarded to any of our NEOs. In approving the amount of a NEO s variable compensation, the annual cash bonus, the Compensation Committee reviews the Chief Executive Officer s evaluation of the performance of each NEO and considers each NEO s performance in light of the factors identified above. Within those guidelines, the Compensation Committee considers the overall funding available for such cash bonus awards, the performance of NEOs and the desired mix between the various components of total compensation. Discretion is exercised in determining the overall total compensation to be awarded to the NEOs. As a result, the amounts delivered in the form of an annual cash bonus are designed to work together in conjunction with base salary to deliver an appropriate total cash compensation level to the NEOs.

We believe that the discretionary design of our variable cash compensation program supports our overall compensation objectives by allowing for significant differentiation of cash compensation based on executive performance and by providing the flexibility necessary to ensure that overall compensation packages for our NEOs are competitive relative to our market. In evaluating the cash bonus compensation to be awarded to our NEOs, the Compensation Committee determined that less than 50% of the discretionary bonuses awarded to our NEOs should be paid in cash with the remaining portion to be paid in shares of restricted stock in an effort to better align the interests of the NEOs with those of our stockholders.

We typically determine and award cash bonuses for our NEOs during the first quarter of the following year. In evaluating the performance of our NEOs to arrive at their 2012 cash bonus awards, the Compensation Committee considered the performance factor achievements against our corporate objectives as discussed above under Assessment of Corporate Performance. The Compensation Committee also reviewed the Chief Executive Officer's evaluation of the NEOs performance achievements. When an NEO s performance exceeds expectations, actual cash bonus compensation for the NEO may exceed the specified bonus pool amount at the discretion of our Compensation Committee.

Index to Financial Statements

After due deliberation, the Compensation Committee awarded our NEOs the following annual cash bonuses relating to their performance during the year ending December 31, 2012:

	2012	Annual Cash
		Bonus
Manuel Henriquez	\$	880,000
Jessica Baron	\$	180,000
Parag Shah	\$	195,000
Scott Bluestein	\$	185,000
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	\$	225,000

Equity Retention and Incentive Awards

Our principal objective in awarding stock option and/or restricted stock awards to eligible NEOs is to retain and align each NEO s interests with our success and the financial interests of our stockholders by linking a portion of such NEO s compensation with the Company s short and long-term goals. We continue to believe that the use of stock and stock-based awards offers the best approach to achieving our retention and short and long-term performance goals. Our equity program is designed to encourage NEOs to work with a short and long-term view of the Company s performance and to reinforce their long-term affiliation with the Company by imposing vesting schedules over several years of employment.

The Compensation Committee awards stock option and/or restricted stock awards on a discretionary basis and such awards depend in each case on the performance of the NEOs under consideration, and in the case of new hires, their potential performance. Stock option awards are priced at the closing price of the stock on the date the Compensation Committee meets and the grant is issued.

Determination of Equity Incentive Awards for Our NEOs

In early 2012, the Compensation Committee reviewed the performance of our NEOs. As a result of these deliberations, the Compensation Committee awarded the following long-term equity incentive and retention awards, in the form of restricted stock to our NEOs related to their performance during the prior fiscal year as set forth in the table below. The value of the restricted stock was determined to be the Company s closing price on the date of the grants. Each restricted stock award made in 2012 vests 25% of the award one year after the date of grant and ratably over the succeeding 36 months subject to a four year forfeiture schedule.

	Grant Date	2012 Restricted Stock Awards	 air Value of stricted Stock Awards
Manuel Henriquez	03/09/2012	245,000	\$ 2,648,450
Jessica Baron	03/09/2012	35,000	\$ 378,350
Jessica Baron	03/27/2012	25,000	\$ 275,250
Parag Shah	03/09/2012	105,500	\$ 1,140,455
Scott Bluestein	03/09/2012	35,000	\$ 378,350
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	03/09/2012	25,000	\$ 270,250
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	07/17/2012	15,000	\$ 169,200

Following the end of the 2012 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee reviewed the performance of our NEOs following the end of our 2012 fiscal year relative to the short and long-term equity incentive and retention awards program the Compensation Committee administers. As a result of these deliberations, the Compensation Committee awarded the following short and long-term equity incentive and retention awards, in the form of restricted stock to our NEOs related to their performance during the 2012 fiscal year as set forth in the table below. The value of the restricted stock for Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, Bluestein and Jaquez-Fissori and

Index to Financial Statements

Ms. Baron was determined to be the Company s closing price on March 4, 2013, the date of their grants. Restricted stock awards vest subject to continued employment based on two vesting schedules: short-term awards vest one-half on the one year anniversary of the date of the grant and quarterly over the succeeding 12 months, and long-term awards vest one-fourth on the one year anniversary of the date of grant and quarterly over the succeeding 36 months. No stock options were awarded to our NEOs for the 2012 fiscal year.

Short-term Awards

	Grant Date	2013 Short-term Restricted Stock Awards	air Value of stricted Stock Awards
Manuel Henriquez	03/04/2013	103,774	\$ 1,320,005
Jessica Baron	03/04/2013	14,151	\$ 180,001
Parag Shah	03/04/2013	15,330	\$ 194,998
Scott Bluestein	03/04/2013	15,723	\$ 199,997
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	03/04/2013	13,267	\$ 168,756

Long-term Awards

	Grant Date	2013 Long-term Restricted Stock Awards	_	air Value of stricted Stock Awards
Manuel Henriquez	03/04/2013	196,540	\$	2,499,989
Jessica Baron	03/04/2013	18,082	\$	230,003
Parag Shah	03/04/2013	51,101	\$	650,005
Scott Bluestein	03/04/2013	39,308	\$	499,998
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	03/04/2013	9,827	\$	124,999

Severance

No NEO or employee of the Company has a written severance agreement.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

No NEO or employee of the Company has a written employment agreement.

Upon specified covered transactions (as defined in the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan), in which there is an acquiring or surviving entity, the Board may provide for the assumption of some or all outstanding awards, or for the grant of new awards in substitution, by the acquirer or survivor or an affiliate of the acquirer or survivor, in each case on such terms and subject to such conditions as the Board determines. In the absence of such an assumption or if there is no substitution, except as otherwise provided in the award, each award will become fully exercisable prior to the covered transaction on a basis that gives the holder of the award a reasonable opportunity, as determined by the Board, to participate as a stockholder in the covered transaction following exercise, and the award will terminate upon consummation of the covered transaction. A covered transaction includes the following: (i) a merger or other transaction in which the Company is not the surviving corporation or which results in the acquisition of all or substantially all of the Company s then outstanding common stock by a single person or entity or by a group of persons and/or entities; (ii) a sale of substantially all of the Company s assets; (iii) a dissolution or liquidation of the Company; or (iv) a change in a majority of the Board s composition unless approved by a majority of the directors continuing in office.

Index to Financial Statements

Say-on-Pay

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we provided our stockholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in the proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting, and our stockholders approved the proposal with more than 78% of the votes cast in favor. At the 2011 Annual Meeting, we asked our stockholders to indicate if we should hold an advisory vote on the compensation of our NEOs every one, two or three years, with our Board recommending an annual advisory vote. Because we view it as a good corporate governance practice, and because at our 2011 Annual Meeting more than 87% of the votes cast were in favor of an annual advisory vote, we again are asking our stockholders to approve the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC s rules. Please refer to Proposal 3. Advisory vote to approve the Company s named executive officer compensation.

Risk Assessment of the Compensation Programs

The Board believes that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. We have designed our compensation programs, including our incentive compensation plans, with specific features to address potential risks while rewarding employees for achieving long-term financial and strategic objectives through prudent business judgment and appropriate risk taking. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis section describes generally our compensation policies and practices that are applicable for executive and management employees. The Company uses common variable compensation designs across all employees of the Company with a significant focus on individual performance and contribution along with achievement of certain corporate objectives as generally described in this Proxy Statement.

In view of the current economic and financial environment, the Compensation Committee and our Board reviewed our compensation programs to assess whether any aspect of the programs would encourage any of our employees to take any unnecessary or inappropriate risks that could threaten the value of the Company. The Compensation Committee has designed our compensation programs to reward our employees for achieving annual profitability and long-term increase in stockholder value.

The Board recognizes that the pursuit of corporate objectives possibly leads to behaviors that could weaken the link between pay and performance, and, therefore, the correlation between the compensation delivered to employees and the return realized by stockholders. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee has designed our executive compensation program to mitigate these possibilities and to ensure that our compensation practices and decisions are consistent with our risk profile. These features include the following:

bonus payouts and short-term equity incentive awards that are not based solely on corporate performance objectives, but also require achievement of individual performance objectives;

the financial opportunity in our long-term equity incentive program that is best realized through long-term appreciation of our stock price, which mitigates excessive short-term risk-taking;

annual cash bonuses that are paid in one installment after the end of the fiscal year to which the bonus payout relates; and

 $final\ decision\ making\ by\ the\ Compensation\ Committee\ and\ the\ Board\ on\ all\ awards.$

Additionally, the Compensation Committee considered an assessment of compensation-related risks for all of our employees. Based on this assessment, the Compensation Committee concluded that our compensation programs do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In making this evaluation, the Compensation Committee reviewed the key design elements of our compensation programs in relation to industry best practices, as well as the means by which any potential risks may be mitigated, such as through our internal controls and oversight by management and the Board. In addition, management completed an inventory of incentive programs below the executive level and reviewed the design of these incentives and concluded that such incentive programs do not encourage excessive risk-taking.

Index to Financial Statements

Executive Compensation Tables

Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides information concerning the compensation of the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers for fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Name and Principal Position	Year		Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾		Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾		Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾		All Other mpensation (\$)(5)	,	Total (\$)
Current NEOs Manuel Henriquez Chairman & Chief Executive Officer	2012 2011 2010	\$ \$ \$	735,000 735,000 700,000	\$ \$ \$	880,000 825,000 925,000	\$	2,648,450 1,395,000 2,362,500		\$ \$ \$	439,683 288,834 226,812	\$.	4,703,133 3,243,834 4,214,312
Jessica Baron Chief Financial Officer	2012 2011 2010	\$ \$ \$	235,000 175,000 157,500	\$ \$ \$	180,000 85,000 70,000	\$ \$ \$	653,600 139,500 77,485		\$ \$ \$	69,720 19,701 11,000	\$ \$ \$	1,138,320 419,201 315,985
Parag Shah Senior Managing Director and Life Science Group Head	2012 2011 2010	\$ \$ \$	337,050 337,050 315,000	\$ \$ \$	195,000 275,000 210,000	\$	1,140,455 697,500 1,340,850		\$ \$ \$	212,965 160,652 130,450	\$	1,885,470 1,470,202 1,996,300
Scott Bluestein Chief Credit Officer	2012 2011 2010	\$ \$ \$	270,000 250,000 250,000	\$ \$ \$	185,000 135,000	\$ \$ \$	378,350 83,700	\$ 205,564	\$ \$ \$	45,075 7,033	\$ \$ \$	878,425 475,733 455,564
Todd Jaquez-Fissori Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head	2012 2011 2010	\$ \$ \$	225,000 175,000 175,000	\$ \$ \$	225,000 145,000 125,000	\$ \$	439,450 111,600		\$ \$ \$	44,550 6,600 1,312	\$ \$ \$	934,000 438,200 301,312

- (1) Salary column amounts represent base salary compensation received by each NEO for the listed fiscal year.
- (2) Bonus column amounts represent the annual cash bonus earned during the fiscal year and awarded and paid out during the first quarter of the following fiscal year.
- (3) The amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards made to our NEOs during the applicable year computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of each restricted stock award is measured based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.
- (4) The amount reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards made to our NEOs during the applicable year computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The fair value of each option grant is estimated based on the fair market value on the date of grant and using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model.
- (5) Represents matching contributions of \$6,500 in 2012 to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, Bluestein and Jacquez-Fissori and Ms. Baron, \$6,500 in 2011 and 2010 to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah and Ms Baron, \$2,083 to Mr. Bluestein in 2011 and \$1,312 to Mr. Jacquez-Fissori in 2010 to its 401(k) plan. Dividends to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, Bluestein, Jacquez-Fissori, and Ms. Baron in the amount of \$433,183, \$206,465, \$38,575, \$38,050 and \$63,220, respectively, were paid on unvested restricted stock awards during 2012. Dividends to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, Bluestein, Jaquez-Fissori, and Ms. Baron in the amount of \$282,334, \$154,152, \$4,950, \$6,600 and \$13,201, respectively, were paid on unvested restricted stock awards during 2011. Dividends to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, and Ms. Baron in the amount of \$220,312, \$123,950, and \$4,500, respectively, were paid on unvested restricted stock awards during 2010. NEOs did not receive any other perquisites or personal benefits from the Company.

161

Index to Financial Statements

Grants of Plan Based Awards

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the restricted stock awards granted during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 to each of our NEOs. No stock options were awarded to our NEOs during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Name and Principal Position	Grant Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units ⁽¹⁾	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽²⁾
Current NEOs Manuel Henriquez Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	03/09/2012	245,000		\$ 2,648,450
Jessica Baron ⁽³⁾ Chief Financial Officer	03/09/2012 03/27/2012	35,000 25,000		\$ 378,350 \$ 275,250
Parag Shah Senior Managing Director and Life Sciences Group Head	03/09/2012	105,500		\$ 1,140,455
Scott Bluestein Chief Credit Officer	03/09/2012	35,000		\$ 378,350
Todd Jaquez-Fissori ⁽⁴⁾ Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head	03/09/2012 07/17/2012	25,000 15,000		\$ 270,250 \$ 169,200

⁽¹⁾ Restricted stock awards vest 25% one year after the date of grant and ratably over the succeeding 36 months. When payable, dividends are paid on a current basis on the unvested shares.

⁽²⁾ The amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards made to our NEOs during 2012 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

⁽³⁾ Effective March 27, 2012, the Board promoted Ms. Baron from the Company s Interim Chief Financial Officer to the Company s Chief Financial Officer. In connection with such promotion, Ms. Baron was awarded 25,000 shares of restricted common stock.

⁽⁴⁾ On July 17, 2012, the Board appointed Mr. Jaquez-Fissori to Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head. In connection with such appointment, Mr. Jaquez-Fissori s was awarded 15,000 shares of restricted common stock.

Index to Financial Statements

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table shows outstanding stock option awards classified as exercisable and unexercisable and stock awards as of December 31, 2012 for each of the NEOs:

		Option Av	wards		Stock Number	Awards
Name and Principal Position	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested(2)
Manuel Henriquez Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	97,400 450,000 250,716		\$ 12.14 \$ 14.02 \$ 12.20	06/16/13 01/25/14 02/25/15	6,251 70,313 70,313 245,000	\$ 69,574 \$ 782,584 \$ 782,584 \$ 2,726,850
Jessica Baron Chief Financial Officer	20,000 3,000 15,759		\$ 12.84 \$ 14.02 \$ 12.20	10/02/13 01/25/14 02/25/15	625 7,032 1,719 35,000 25,000	\$ 6,956 \$ 78,266 \$ 19,132 \$ 389,550 \$ 278,250
Parag Shah Senior Managing Director and Life Science Group Head	94,400 80,000 204,155 15,500		\$ 12.14 \$ 14.02 \$ 12.20 \$ 10.49	06/16/13 01/25/14 02/25/15 08/15/15	2,813 32,813 7,813 35,157 105,500	\$ 31,309 \$ 365,209 \$ 86,959 \$ 391,297 \$ 1,174,215
Scott Bluestein Chief Credit Officer	87,646	37,354 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 9.90	11/23/17	4,219 35,000	\$ 46,957 \$ 389,550
Todd Jaquez-Fissori Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head					5,625 25,000 15,000	\$ 62,606 \$ 278,250 \$ 166,950

⁽¹⁾ The options vested 33% on November 23, 2011 and then ratably on a monthly basis ending November 23, 2013.

⁽²⁾ Market value is computed by multiplying the closing market price of the Company s stock at December 31, 2012 by the number of shares.

Index to Financial Statements

Options Exercised and Restricted Stock Vested

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to options exercised and the shares of restricted stock that vested during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 to each of our NEOs.

Name and Principal Position	Option Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Valu	ls ue Realized Exercise	Stock A Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Va	ds lue Realized on Vesting
Current NEOs Manuel Henriquez Chairman & Chief Executive Officer	125,001	\$	841,257	150,000	\$	1,631,442
Jessica Baron Chief Financial Officer	6,251	\$	41,027	7,343	\$	80,778
Parag Shah Senior Managing Director and Life Science Group Head	128,989	\$	842,995	81,343	\$	883,461
Scott Bluestein Chief Credit Officer				3,281	\$	36,292
Todd Jaquez-Fissori Senior Managing Director and Clean Technology Group Head	21,000	\$	18,981	4,375	\$	48,394

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to compensation plans under which the Company s equity securities are authorized for issuance:

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, restricted stock and warrants	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, restricted stock and warrants		(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by	, with	,,	. .	10110000 III 00141111 (4))
stockholders:				
2004 Equity Incentive Plan	2,464,749	\$	12.05	2,453,247
2006 Non-Employee Director Plan	110,000	\$	10.76	853,332
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders				
Total	2,574,749	\$	11.41	3,306,579

Index to Financial Statements

2004 Equity Incentive Plan

Our Board and our stockholders have approved the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan for the purpose of attracting and retaining the services of executive officers, directors and other key employees. Under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan our Compensation Committee may award incentive stock options (ISOs), within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code, and non-qualified stock options to employees and employee directors. The following is a summary of the material features of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan.

Under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, we have authorized for issuance up to 8,000,000 shares of common stock of which 1,830,935 shares were available for issuance as of April 15, 2013. Participants in the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan may receive awards of options to purchase our common stock and/or restricted shares, as determined by our Compensation Committee. Options granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan generally may be exercised for a period of no more than ten years from the date of grant unless the option agreement provides for an earlier expiration. Unless sooner terminated by our Board, the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan will terminate on the tenth anniversary of the date it was last approved by our stockholders. Such approval was last given by our stockholders on June 1, 2011. The 2004 Equity Incentive Plan provides that all awards granted under the plan are subject to modification as required to ensure that such awards do not conflict with the requirements of the 1940 Act applicable to us.

Options granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan will entitle the optionee, upon exercise, to purchase shares of common stock from us at a specified exercise price per share. ISOs must have a per share exercise price of no less than the fair market value of a share of stock on the date of the grant or, if the optionee owns or is treated as owning (under Section 424(d) of the Code) more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock, 110% of the fair market value of a share of stock on the date of the grant. Nonstatutory stock options granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan must have a per share exercise price of no less than the fair market value of a share of stock on the date of the grant. Options will not be transferable other than by laws of descent and distribution, or in the case of nonstatutory stock options, by gift, and will generally be exercisable during an optionee s lifetime only by the optionee.

Under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, we are permitted to issue shares of restricted stock to all key employees of the Company and its affiliates consistent with such terms and conditions as the Board shall deem appropriate. Our Board determines the time or times at which such shares of restricted stock will become exercisable and the terms on which such shares will remain exercisable. Any shares of restricted stock for which forfeiture restrictions have not vested at the point at which the participant terminates his employment will terminate immediately and such shares will be returned to the Company and will be available for future awards under this plan.

Our Board administers the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and has the authority, subject to the provisions of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, to determine who will receive awards under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and the terms of such awards. The Board has the authority to adjust the number of shares available for awards, the number of shares subject to outstanding awards and the exercise price for awards following the occurrence of events such as stock splits, dividends, distributions and recapitalizations. The exercise price of an option may be paid in the form of shares of stock that are already owned by such option holder.

Upon specified covered transactions (as defined in the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan), all outstanding awards under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan may either be assumed or substituted for by the surviving entity. If the surviving entity does not assume or substitute similar awards, the awards held by the participants will be accelerated in full and then terminated to the extent not exercised prior to the covered transaction.

On March 4, 2013, the Board granted short and long-term equity incentive and retention awards, in the form of restricted stock. The Board granted short-term restricted stock awards of 103,774 shares, 15,330 shares, 15,723 shares, 13,267 shares and 14,151 shares to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, Bluestein, Jaquez-Fissori, and Ms. Baron, respectively, and granted long-term restricted stock awards of 196,540 shares, 51,101 shares, 39,308 shares, 9,827 shares and 18,082 shares to Messrs. Henriquez, Shah, Bluestein, Jaquez-Fissori and Ms. Baron, respectively.

Index to Financial Statements

2006 Non-Employee Director Plan

Our Board and our stockholders have approved the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan. Under current SEC rules and regulations applicable to BDCs absent exemptive relief, a BDC may not grant options or shares of restricted stock to non-employee directors. On February 15, 2007, we received exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to grant options to non-employee directors as a portion of their compensation for service on our Board. On May 23, 2007, we received exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to grant shares of restricted stock to non-employee directors as a portion of their compensation for service on our Board. The following is a summary of the material features of the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan.

The Company has instituted the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan for the purpose of advancing the interests of the Company by providing for the grant of awards under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan to eligible non-employee directors. Under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, we have authorized for issuance up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock of which 853,332 shares were available for issuance as of April 15, 2013. The 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan authorizes the issuance to non-employee directors of non-statutory stock options (NSOs) to purchase shares of common stock at a specified exercise price per share and/or restricted stock. NSOs granted under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan will have a per share exercise price of no less than the current market value of a share of stock as determined in good faith by the Board on the date of the grant. The amount of the options that may be granted are limited by the terms of the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, which prohibits any grant that would cause the Company to be in violation of Section 61(a)(3) of the 1940 Act.

Under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, non-employee directors will each receive an initial grant of an option to purchase 10,000 shares of stock upon initial election to such position. The options granted will vest over two years, in equal installments on each of the first two anniversaries of the date of grant, provided that the non-employee director remains in service on such dates. In addition, each non-employee director shall automatically be granted an option to purchase 15,000 shares of stock on the date of such non-employee director s re-election to the Board and such grant will vest over three years, in equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the date of grant, provided that the non-employee director remains in service on such dates. The Compensation Committee has, subject to SEC approval, the authority to determine from time to time which of the persons eligible under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan shall be granted awards; when and how each award shall be granted, including the time or times when a person shall be permitted to exercise an award; and the number of shares of stock with respect to which an award shall be granted to such person. The exercise price of options granted under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan is set at the closing price of the Company s market price on the NYSE as of the date of grant and will not be adjusted unless the Company receives an exemptive order from the SEC or written confirmation from the staff of the SEC that the Company may do so (except for adjustments resulting from changes in the Company s capital structure, such as stock dividends, stock splits and reverse stock splits).

Unless sooner terminated by the Board, the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan will terminate on June 21, 2017 and no additional awards may be made under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan after that date. The 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan provides that all awards granted under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan are subject to modification as required to ensure that such awards do not conflict with the requirements of the 1940 Act. The Compensation Committee will determine the period during which any options granted under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan shall remain exercisable, provided that no option will be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the date on which it was granted. Options granted under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan are not transferable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution, or by gift, and will generally be exercisable during a non-employee director s lifetime only by such non-employee director. In general, any portion of any options that are not then exercisable will terminate upon the termination of the non-employee director s services to the Company. Generally, any portion of any options that are exercisable at the time of the termination of the non-employee director s services to the Company will remain exercisable for the lesser of (i) a period of three months (or one year if the non-employee director s services to the Company terminated by reason of the non-employee director s death) or (ii) the period ending on the latest date on which

Index to Financial Statements

such options could have been exercised had the non-employee director s services to the Company not terminated. In addition, if the Board determines that a non-employee director s service to the Company terminated for reasons that cast such discredit on the non-employee director as to justify immediate termination of the non-employee director s options, then all options then held by the non-employee director will immediately terminate.

Under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan, we also are permitted to issue shares of restricted stock to our non-employee directors. Upon initial election to such position, non-employee directors will automatically be granted 3,333 shares of restricted stock. The forfeiture restrictions for such initial shares of restricted stock will vest as to one-half of such shares on the first anniversary of the date of grant and as to an additional one-half of the restricted stock on the second anniversary of the date of grant. In addition, each non-employee director shall automatically be granted 5,000 shares of restricted stock on the date of such non-employee director s re-election to the Board and the forfeiture restrictions on such shares will vest as to one-third of such shares on the anniversary of such grant over three years, provided that the non-employee director remains in service on such dates.

The Compensation Committee administers the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan. If there is a change in the capital structure of the Company by reason of a stock dividend, stock split or combination of shares (including a reverse stock split), recapitalization or other change in the Company s capital structure, the Board will make appropriate adjustments to the number and class of shares of stock subject to the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan and each option outstanding under it. In the event of a consolidation, merger, stock sale, a sale of all or substantially all of the Company s assets, a dissolution or liquidation of the Company or other similar events (a Covered Transaction), the Board may provide for the assumption of some or all outstanding options or for the grant of new substitute options by the acquirer or survivor. If no such assumption or substitution occurs, all outstanding options will become exercisable prior to the Covered Transaction and will terminate upon consummation of the Covered Transaction.

The Board may, subject to SEC prior approval, at any time or times amend the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan or any outstanding award for any purpose which may at the time be permitted by law, and may at any time terminate the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan as to any future grants of awards; provided, that except as otherwise expressly provided in the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan the Board may not, without the participant s consent, alter the terms of an award so as to affect adversely the participant s rights under the award, unless the Board expressly reserved the right to do so at the time of the grant of the award.

On May 30, 2012, Mr. Woodward was granted an option to purchase 15,000 shares and 5,000 shares of restricted stock as a non-employee director elected to the Board.

Index to Financial Statements

CONTROL PERSONS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth, as of March 14, 2014, the beneficial ownership of each current director, each nominee for director, the Company s executive officers, each person known to us to beneficially own 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock, and the executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and includes voting or investment power with respect to the securities. Common stock subject to options or warrants that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 14, 2014 are deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding such options or warrants. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Percentage of ownership is based on 61,734,581 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 14, 2014.

Unless otherwise indicated, to our knowledge, each stockholder listed below has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by the stockholder, except to the extent authority is shared by spouses under applicable law, and maintains an address of c/o Company. Our address is 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 310, Palo Alto, California 94301.

The Company s directors are divided into two groups interested directors and independent directors. Interested directors are interested persons as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Owned Beneficially $^{(1)}$	Percentage of Class
Interested Director		
Manuel A. Henriquez ⁽²⁾	1,671,767	2.7%
Independent Directors		
Robert P. Badavas ⁽³⁾	130,399	*
Joseph W. Chow ⁽⁴⁾	124,325	*
Allyn C. Woodward, Jr. ⁽⁵⁾	223,611	*
Named Executive Officers		
Scott Bluestein ⁽⁶⁾	227,644	*
Todd Jaquez-Fissori ⁽⁷⁾	44,981	*
Parag Shah ⁽⁸⁾	330,550	*
Jessica Baron ⁽⁹⁾	105,409	*
Executive officers and directors as a group ⁽¹⁰⁾	2,858,686	4.6%

- * Less than 1%
- (1) Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- (2) Includes 360,335 shares of restricted stock. Includes shares of our common stock held by certain trusts controlled by Mr. Henriquez. Includes 1,132,056 shares held in a margin account.
- (3) Includes 1,666 shares of restricted common stock.
- (4) Includes 1,666 shares of restricted common stock.
- (5) Includes 3,333 shares of restricted common stock.
- (6) Includes 95,539 shares of common stock that can be acquired upon the exercise of outstanding options and 56,875 shares of restricted common stock.
- (7) Includes 38,277 shares of restricted common stock.
- (8) Includes 118,378 shares of restricted common stock.
- (9) Includes 54,702 shares of restricted common stock.
- (10) Includes 95,539 shares of common stock that can be acquired upon the exercise of outstanding options and 635,232 shares of restricted common stock.

Index to Financial Statements

The following table sets forth as of March 14, 2014, the dollar range of our securities owned by our directors and portfolio management employees.

Name	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in the Company ⁽¹⁾
Independent Directors:	•
Robert P. Badavas	over \$100,000
Joseph W. Chow	over \$100,000
Allyn C. Woodward, Jr.	over \$100,000
Interested Director/Portfolio Management Employee:	
Manuel A. Henriquez	over \$100,000
Portfolio Management Employees:	
Scott Bluestein	over \$100,000
Todd Jaquez-Fissori	over \$100,000
Parag I. Shah	over \$100,000
Jessica Baron	over \$100,000

⁽¹⁾ Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Index to Financial Statements

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into transactions with portfolio companies that may be considered related party transactions. In order to ensure that we do not engage in any prohibited transactions with any persons affiliated with us, we have implemented certain policies and procedures whereby our executive officers screen each of our transactions for any possible affiliations, close or remote, between the proposed portfolio investment, us, companies controlled by us and our employees and directors.

The Company will not enter into any agreements unless and until we are satisfied that no affiliations prohibited by the 1940 Act exist or, if such affiliations exist, the Company has taken appropriate actions to seek Board review and approval or exemptive relief for such transaction.

Index to Financial Statements

CERTAIN UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following discussion is a general summary of certain material U.S. federal income tax considerations relating to our qualification and taxation as a RIC and the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our preferred stock or common stock, but does not purport to be a complete description of the income tax considerations relating thereto. For example, we have not described tax consequences that we assume to be generally known by investors or certain considerations that may be relevant to certain types of investors subject to special treatment under U.S. federal income tax laws, including investors subject to the alternative minimum tax, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, dealers in securities, pension plans and trusts, financial institutions, traders in securities that elect to use the mark-to-market method of accounting for securities holdings, persons subject to the alternative minimum tax, United States expatriates, United States persons with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, persons that hold notes as part of an integrated investment (including a straddle), controlled foreign corporations, passive foreign investment companies, or corporations that accumulate earnings to avoid United States federal income tax. This summary is limited to beneficial owners of our preferred stock or common stock that will hold our preferred stock or common stock as a capital assets (within the meaning of the Code). The discussion is based upon the Code, temporary and final U.S. Treasury regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations, each as of the date hereof and all of which are subject to change, possibly retroactively, which could affect the continuing validity of this discussion. We have not sought and will not seek any ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) regarding our preferred stock or common stock. This summary does not discuss any aspects of U.S. estate or gift tax or foreign, state or local tax. It does not discuss the special treatment under U.S. federal income tax laws that could result if we invested in tax-exempt securities or certain other investment assets.

This summary does not discuss the consequences of an investment in our subscription rights, debt securities or warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our preferred stock, common stock or debt securities or as units comprised of combinations of securities. The U.S. federal income tax consequences of such an investment will be discussed in the relevant prospectus supplement. In addition, we may issue preferred stock with terms resulting in U.S. federal income taxation of beneficial owners with respect to such preferred stock in a manner different from as set forth in this summary. In such instances, such differences will be discussed in a relevant prospectus supplement.

If a partnership (including an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holds shares of our preferred stock or common stock, the tax treatment of a partner in the partnership will generally depend upon the status of the partner, the activities of the partnership and certain determinations made at the partner level. Investors treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes (or investors that are partners in such a partnership), are encouraged to consult with their own tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences relating to the purchase, ownership and disposition of our preferred stock or common stock.

Tax matters are very complicated and the tax consequences to an investor of an investment in our securities will depend on the facts of their particular situation. We encourage investors to consult their own tax advisors regarding the specific consequences of such an investment, including tax reporting requirements, the applicability of federal, state, local and foreign tax laws, eligibility for the benefits of any applicable tax treaty and the effect of any possible changes in tax laws.

Election to be Taxed as a RIC

Effective beginning on January 1, 2006 we met the criteria specified below to qualify as a RIC, and elected to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code with the filing of our federal income tax return for 2006. As a RIC, we generally will not have to pay corporate taxes on any income we distribute to our stockholders as dividends, which allows us to reduce or eliminate our corporate level tax. On December 31, 2005, immediately before the effective date of our RIC election, we held assets with built-in gain, which are assets whose fair market value as of the effective date of the election exceeded their tax basis as of such date. We elected to

Index to Financial Statements

recognize all of our net built-in gains at the time of the conversion and paid tax on the built-in gain with the filing of our 2005 federal income tax return. In making this election, we marked our portfolio to market at the time of our RIC election and paid approximately \$294,000 in tax on the resulting gains.

Taxation as a Regulated Investment Company

For any taxable year in which we:

qualify as a RIC; and

distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and realized net short-term gains in excess of realized net long-term capital losses, if any (the Annual Distribution Requirement);

we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of our investment company taxable income and net capital gain (*i.e.*, net realized long-term capital gains in excess of net realized short-term capital losses) that we distribute (or are deemed to distribute) to stockholders with respect to that year. As described above, we made the election to recognize built-in gains as of the effective date of our election to be treated as a RIC and therefore will not be subject to built-in gains tax when we sell those assets. However, if we subsequently acquire built-in gain assets from a C corporation in a carryover basis transaction, then we may be subject to tax on the gains recognized by us on dispositions of such assets unless we make a special election to pay corporate-level tax on such built-in gain at the time the assets are acquired. We will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at the regular corporate rates on any income or capital gains not distributed (or deemed distributed) to our stockholders.

In order to qualify as a RIC for federal income tax purposes and obtain the tax benefits of RIC status, in addition to satisfying the Annual Distribution Requirement, we must, among other things:

have in effect at all times during each taxable year an election to be regulated as business development company under the 1940 Act;

derive in each taxable year at least 90% of our gross income from (a) dividends, interest, payments with respect to certain securities loans, gains from the sale of stock or other securities, or other income derived with respect to our business of investing in such stock or securities and (b) net income derived from an interest in a qualified publicly traded partnership (the 90% Income Test); and

diversify our holdings so that at the end of each quarter of the taxable year:

at least 50% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities, securities of other RICs, and other securities if such other securities of any one issuer do not represent more than 5% of the value of our assets or more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of such issuer; and

no more than 25% of the value of our assets is invested in (i) securities (other than U.S. government securities or securities of other RICs) of one issuer, (ii) securities of two or more issuers that are controlled, as determined under applicable tax rules, by us and that are engaged in the same or similar or related trades or businesses or (iii) securities of one or more qualified publicly traded partnerships (the Diversification Tests).

Qualified earnings may exclude such income as management fees received in connection with our SBIC or other potential outside managed funds and certain other fees.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Under applicable Treasury regulations and certain private rulings issued by the Internal Revenue Service, RICs are permitted to treat certain distributions payable in up to 80% in their stock, as taxable dividends that will satisfy their annual distribution obligations for federal income tax and excise tax purposes provided that shareholders have the opportunity to elect to receive the distribution in cash. Taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income (or as long-term capital

Index to Financial Statements

gain to the extent such distribution is properly designated as a capital gain dividend) to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for United States federal income tax purposes. As a result, a U.S. stockholder may be required to pay tax with respect to such dividends in excess of any cash received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, then such sales may put downward pressure on the trading price of our stock. We may in the future determine to distribute taxable dividends that are payable in part in our common stock.

As a RIC, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income unless we distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the 1-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income recognized, but not distributed, in preceding years and on which we paid no federal income tax (the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements). We will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which we are required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that may be carried over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. To the extent we choose to carry over taxable income into the next tax year, dividends declared and paid by us in a year may differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital.

We may be required to recognize taxable income in circumstances in which we do not receive a corresponding payment in cash. For example, if we hold debt obligations that are treated under applicable tax rules as having original issue discount (such as debt instruments with payment-in-kind interest or, in certain cases, increasing interest rates or debt instruments that were issued with warrants), we must include in income each year a portion of the original issue discount that accrues over the life of the obligation, regardless of whether cash representing such income is received by us in the same taxable year. Because any original issue discount accrued will be included in our investment company taxable income for the year of accrual, we may be required to make a distribution to our stockholders in order to satisfy the Annual Distribution Requirement and the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirement, even though we will not have received any corresponding cash amount.

Gain or loss realized by us from the sale or exchange of warrants acquired by us as well as any loss attributable to the lapse of such warrants generally will be treated as capital gain or loss. Such gain or loss generally will be long-term or short-term, depending on how long we held a particular warrant.

We are authorized to borrow funds and to sell assets in order to satisfy the Annual Distribution Requirement and the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirement (collectively, the Distribution Requirements). However, under the 1940 Act, we are not permitted to make distributions to our stockholders while our debt obligations and other senior securities are outstanding unless certain asset coverage tests are met. See Regulation Senior Securities; Coverage Ratio. We may be restricted from making distributions under the terms of our debt obligations themselves unless certain conditions are satisfied. Moreover, our ability to dispose of assets to meet the Distribution Requirements may be limited by (1) the illiquid nature of our portfolio, or (2) other requirements relating to our status as a RIC, including the Diversification Tests. If we dispose of assets in order to meet the Distribution Requirements, we may make such dispositions at times that, from an investment standpoint, are not advantageous. If we are prohibited from making distributions or are unable to obtain cash from other sources to make the distributions, we may fail to qualify as a RIC, which would result in us becoming subject to corporate-level federal income tax.

173

Index to Financial Statements

In addition, we will be partially dependent on our SBIC subsidiaries for cash distributions to enable us to meet the RIC Distribution Requirements. Our SBIC subsidiaries may be limited by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, and SBA regulations governing SBICs, from making certain distributions to us that may be necessary to maintain our status as a RIC. We may have to request a waiver of the SBA s restrictions for our SBIC subsidiaries to make certain distributions to maintain our RIC status. We cannot assure you that the SBA will grant such waiver. If our SBIC subsidiaries are unable to obtain a waiver, compliance with the SBA regulations may cause us to fail to qualify as a RIC, which would result in us becoming subject to corporate-level federal income tax.

Any transactions in options, futures contracts, constructive sales, hedging, straddle, conversion or similar transactions, and forward contracts will be subject to special tax rules, the effect of which may be to accelerate income to us, defer losses, cause adjustments to the holding periods of our investments, convert long-term capital gains into short-term capital gains, convert short-term capital losses into long-term capital losses or have other tax consequences. These rules could affect the amount, timing and character of distributions to stockholders. We do not currently intend to engage in these types of transactions.

A RIC is limited in its ability to deduct expenses in excess of its investment company taxable income (which is, generally, ordinary income plus net realized short-term capital gains in excess of net realized long-term capital losses). If our expenses in a given year exceed gross taxable income (e.g., as the result of large amounts of equity-based compensation), we would experience a net operating loss for that year. However, a RIC is not permitted to carry forward net operating losses to subsequent years and such net operating losses do not pass through to the RIC s stockholders. In addition, expenses can be used only to offset investment company taxable income, not net capital gain. A RIC may not use any net capital losses (that is, realized capital losses in excess of realized capital gains) to offset the RIC s investment company taxable income, but may carry forward such losses, and use them to offset capital gains indefinitely. Due to these limits on the deductibility of expenses, and net capital losses, we may for tax purposes have aggregate taxable income for several years that we are required to distribute and that is taxable to our stockholders even if such income is greater than the aggregate net income we actually earned during those years. Such required distributions may be made from our cash assets or by liquidation of investments, if necessary. We may realize gains or losses from such liquidations. In the event we realize net capital gains from such transactions, you may receive a larger capital gain distribution than you would have received in the absence of such transactions.

Investment income received from sources within foreign countries, or capital gains earned by investing in securities of foreign issuers, may be subject to foreign income taxes withheld at the source. In this regard, withholding tax rates in countries with which the United States does not have a tax treaty are often as high as 35% or more. The United States has entered into tax treaties with many foreign countries that may entitle us to a reduced rate of tax or exemption from tax on this related income and gains. The effective rate of foreign tax cannot be determined at this time since the amount of our assets to be invested within various countries is not now known. We do not anticipate being eligible for the special election that allows a RIC to treat foreign income taxes paid by such RIC as paid by its shareholders.

If we acquire stock in certain foreign corporations that receive at least 75% of their annual gross income from passive sources (such as interest, dividends, rents, royalties or capital gain) or hold at least 50% of their total assets in investments producing such passive income (passive foreign investment companies), We could be subject to federal income tax and additional interest charges on excess distributions received from such companies or gain from the sale of stock in such companies, even if all income or gain actually received by us is timely distributed to our shareholders. We would not be able to pass through to our shareholders any credit or deduction for such a tax. Certain elections may, if available, ameliorate these adverse tax consequences, but any such election requires us to recognize taxable income or gain without the concurrent receipt of cash. We intend to limit and/or manage our holdings in passive foreign investment companies to minimize our tax liability. Foreign exchange gains and losses realized by us in connection with certain transactions involving non-dollar debt securities, certain foreign currency futures contracts, foreign currency option contracts, foreign currency forward contracts, foreign currencies, or payables or receivables denominated in a foreign currency are subject to Code

Index to Financial Statements

provisions that generally treat such gains and losses as ordinary income and losses and may affect the amount, timing and character of distributions to our stockholders. Any such transactions that are not directly related to our investment in securities (possibly including speculative currency positions or currency derivatives not used for hedging purposes) could, under future Treasury regulations, produce income not among the types of qualifying income from which a RIC must derive at least 90% of its annual gross income.

Taxation of U.S. Stockholders

A U.S. stockholder generally is a beneficial owner of shares of our preferred stock or common stock who is for United States federal income tax purposes:

a citizen or individual resident of the United States including an alien individual who is a lawful permanent resident of the United States or meets the substantial presence test under Section 7701(b) of the Code;

a corporation or other entity taxable as a corporation, for United States federal income tax purposes, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof;

a trust if (1) a court in the United States has primary supervision over its administration and one or more U.S. persons has the authority to control all substantial decisions of such trust or (2) if such trust validly elects to be treated as a U.S. person for federal income tax purposes; or

an estate, the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source.

For federal income tax purposes, distributions by us generally are taxable to U.S. stockholders as ordinary income or capital gains. Distributions of our investment company taxable income (which is, generally, our ordinary income plus net realized short-term capital gains in excess of net realized long-term capital losses) will be taxable as ordinary income to U.S. stockholders to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, whether paid in cash or reinvested in additional preferred stock or common stock. To the extent such distributions paid by us through 2012 (unless extended by legislation) to non-corporate U.S. stockholders (including individuals) are attributable to dividends from U.S. corporations and certain qualified foreign corporations, such distributions may be reported by us as qualified dividend income eligible to be taxed in the hands of non-corporate stockholders at the rates applicable to long-term capital gains, provided certain holding period and other requirements are met at both the stockholder and company levels. In this regard, it is anticipated that distributions paid by us generally will not be attributable to dividends and, therefore, generally will not be qualified dividend income. Distributions of our net capital gains (which is generally our realized net long-term capital gains in excess of realized net short-term capital losses) properly reported by us as capital gain dividends will be taxable to a U.S. stockholder as long-term capital gains (currently at a maximum rate of 20%, in the case of individuals, trusts or estates), regardless of the U.S. stockholder s holding period for his, her or its preferred stock or common stock and regardless of whether paid in cash or reinvested in additional preferred stock or common stock. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits first will reduce a U.S. stockholder s adjusted tax basis in such stockholder s preferred stock or common stock and, after the adjusted basis is reduced to zero, will c

We currently intend to retain some or all of our realized net long-term capital gains in excess of realized net short-term capital losses, but to designate the retained net capital gain as a deemed distribution. In that case, among other consequences, we will pay tax on the retained amount, each U.S. stockholder will be required to include his, her or its share of the deemed distribution in income as if it had been actually distributed to the U.S. stockholder, and the U.S. stockholder will be entitled to claim a tax credit equal to his, her or its allocable share of the tax paid thereon by us. Since we expect to pay tax on any retained net capital gains at our regular corporate tax rate, and since that rate is in excess of the maximum rate currently payable by non-corporate stockholders on long-term capital gains, the amount of tax that non-corporate stockholders will be treated as having paid and for

Index to Financial Statements

which they will receive a credit will exceed the tax they owe on the retained net capital gain. Such excess generally may be claimed as a credit against the U.S. stockholder s other federal income tax obligations or may be refunded to the extent it exceeds a stockholder s liability for federal income tax. A stockholder that is not subject to federal income tax or otherwise required to file a federal income tax return would be required to file a federal income tax return on the appropriate form in order to claim a refund for the taxes we paid. For federal income tax purposes, the tax basis of shares owned by a U.S. stockholder will be increased by an amount equal under current law to the difference between the amount of undistributed capital gains included in the U.S. stockholder s gross income and the tax deemed paid by the U.S. stockholder as described in this paragraph. In order to utilize the deemed distribution approach, we must provide written notice to our stockholders prior to the expiration of 60 days after the close of the relevant taxable year. We cannot treat any of our investment company taxable income as a deemed distribution.

For purposes of determining (1) whether the Annual Distribution Requirement is satisfied for any year and (2) the amount of the deduction for ordinary income and capital gain dividends paid for that year, we may, under certain circumstances, elect to treat a dividend that is paid during the following taxable year as if it had been paid during the taxable year in question. If we make such an election, the U.S. stockholder will still be treated as receiving the dividend in the taxable year in which the distribution is made. However, any dividend declared by us in October, November or December of any calendar year, payable to stockholders of record on a specified date in such a month and actually paid during January of the following year, will be treated as if it had been received by our U.S. stockholders on December 31 of the year in which the dividend was declared.

If an investor purchases shares of our preferred stock or common stock shortly before the record date of a distribution, the price of the shares will include the value of the distribution and the investor will be subject to tax on the distribution even though economically it may represent a return of his, her or its investment.

A U.S. stockholder generally will recognize taxable gain or loss if the U.S. stockholder sells or otherwise disposes of his, her or its shares of our preferred stock or common stock. Any gain arising from such sale or disposition generally will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. stockholder has held his, her or its shares for more than one year. Otherwise, it will be classified as short-term capital gain or loss. However, any capital loss arising from the sale or disposition of shares of our preferred stock or common stock held for six months or less will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of the amount of capital gain dividends received, or undistributed capital gain deemed received, with respect to such shares. In addition, all or a portion of any loss recognized upon a disposition of shares of our preferred stock or common stock may be disallowed if other shares of our common stock are purchased (whether through reinvestment of distributions or otherwise) within 30 days before or after the disposition. In such a case, the basis of the newly purchased shares will be adjusted to reflect the disallowed loss.

In general, individual U.S. stockholders currently are subject to a reduced maximum federal income tax rate of 20% on their net capital gain (*i.e.*, the excess of realized net long-term capital gain over realized net short-term capital loss for a taxable year) including any long-term capital gain derived from an investment in our shares. Such rate is lower than the maximum rate on ordinary income currently payable by individuals. In addition, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012, individuals with income in excess of \$200,000 (\$250,000 in the case of married individuals filing jointly) and certain estates and trusts are subject to an additional 3.8% tax on their net investment income, which generally includes net income from interest, dividends, annuities, royalties, and rents, and net capital gains (other than certain amounts earned from trades or businesses). Corporate U.S. stockholders currently are subject to federal income tax on net capital gain at the maximum 35% rate also applied to ordinary income. Non-corporate U.S. stockholders with net capital losses for a year (*i.e.*, capital losses in excess of capital gains) generally may deduct up to \$3,000 of such losses against their ordinary income each year; any net capital losses of a non-corporate stockholder in excess of \$3,000 generally may be carried forward and used in subsequent years as provided in the Code. Corporate U.S. stockholders generally may not deduct any net capital losses for a year, but may carry back such losses for three years or carry forward such losses for five years.

Index to Financial Statements

We will send to each of our U.S. stockholders, as promptly as possible after the end of each calendar year, a notice reporting the amounts includible in such U.S. stockholder s taxable income for such year as ordinary income and as long-term capital gain. In addition, the federal tax status of each year s distributions generally will be reported to the Internal Revenue Service (including the amount of dividends, if any, eligible for the 20% qualified dividend income rate). Distributions may also be subject to additional state, local, and foreign taxes depending on a U.S. stockholder s particular situation. Dividends distributed by us generally will not be eligible for the corporate dividends-received deduction or the preferential rate applicable to qualified dividend income.

In some taxable years, we may be subject to the alternative minimum tax (AMT). If we have tax items that are treated differently for AMT purposes than for regular tax purposes, we may apportion those items between us and our stockholders, and this may affect our stockholder sAMT liabilities. Although regulations explaining the precise method of apportionment have not yet been issued by the Internal Revenue Service, we may apportion these items in the same proportion that dividends paid to each stockholder bear to our taxable income (determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction), unless we determine that a different method for a particular item is warranted under the circumstances. You should consult your own tax advisor to determine how an investment in our stock could affect your AMT liability.

We may be required to withhold federal income tax (backup withholding) from all distributions to any non-corporate U.S. stockholder (1) who fails to furnish us with a correct taxpayer identification number or a certificate that such stockholder is exempt from backup withholding, or (2) with respect to whom the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) notifies us that such stockholder has failed to properly report certain interest and dividend income to the IRS and to respond to notices to that effect. An individual staxpayer identification number is his or her social security number. Any amount withheld under backup withholding is allowed as a credit against the U.S. stockholder stederal income tax liability, provided that proper information is timely provided to the IRS.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan We have adopted a dividend reinvestment plan through which all dividend distributions are paid to our common stockholders in the form of additional shares of our common stock, unless a stockholder elects to receive cash in accordance with the terms of the plan. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan . Any distributions made to a U.S. stockholder that are reinvested under the plan will nevertheless remain taxable to the U.S. stockholder. The U.S. stockholder will have an adjusted tax basis in the additional shares of our common stock purchased through the plan equal to the amount of the reinvested distribution. The additional shares will have a new holding period commencing on the day following the day on which the shares are credited to the U.S. stockholder is account.

Taxation of Non-U.S. Stockholders

A Non-U.S. stockholder is a beneficial owner of shares of our preferred stock or common stock that is not a U.S. stockholder or a partnership (including an entity treated as a partnership) for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Whether an investment in our shares is appropriate for a Non-U.S. stockholder will depend upon that person sparticular circumstances. An investment in the shares by a Non-U.S. stockholder may have adverse tax consequences. Non-U.S. stockholders should consult their tax advisors before investing in our preferred stock or common stock.

In general, dividend distributions (other than certain distributions derived from net long-term capital gains) paid by us to a Non-U.S. stockholder are subject to withholding of U.S. federal income tax at a rate of 30% (or lower applicable treaty rate) even if they are funded by income or gains (such as portfolio interest, short-term capital gains, or foreign-source dividend and interest income) that, if paid to a Non-U.S. stockholder directly, would not be subject to withholding. If the distributions are effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business of the Non-U.S. stockholder (and, if an income tax treaty applies, attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. stockholder in the United States), we will not be required to withhold federal income

Index to Financial Statements

tax if the Non-U.S. stockholder complies with applicable certification and disclosure requirements, although the distributions will be subject to federal income tax at the rates applicable to U.S. stockholders. (Special certification requirements apply to a Non-U.S. stockholder that is a foreign partnership or a foreign trust, and such entities are urged to consult their own tax advisors.)

However, for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2014, no withholding is required with respect to certain distributions if (i) the distributions are properly reported to our stockholders as interest-related dividends or short-term capital gain dividends in written statements to our stockholders, (ii) the distributions are derived from sources specified in the Code for such dividends and (iii) certain other requirements are satisfied. Currently, we do not anticipate that any significant amount of our distributions would be reported as eligible for this exemption from withholding. No assurance can be provided that this exemption will be extended for tax years beginning after December 31, 2013.

Actual or deemed distributions of our net capital gains to a Non-U.S. stockholder, and gains realized by a Non-U.S. stockholder upon the sale of our preferred stock or common stock, will not be subject to federal withholding tax and generally will not be subject to federal income tax unless the distributions or gains, as the case may be, are effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business of the Non-U.S. stockholder (and, if an income tax treaty applies, are attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. stockholder in the United States), or in the case of an individual stockholder, the stockholder is present in the United States for a period or periods aggregating 183 days or more during the year of the sale or capital gain dividend and certain other conditions are met.

If we distribute our net capital gains in the form of deemed rather than actual distributions, a Non-U.S. stockholder will be entitled to a federal income tax credit or tax refund equal to the stockholder s allocable share of the tax we pay on the capital gains deemed to have been distributed. In order to obtain the refund, the Non-U.S. stockholder must obtain a U.S. taxpayer identification number and file a federal income tax return even if the Non-U.S. stockholder would not otherwise be required to obtain a U.S. taxpayer identification number or file a federal income tax return. For a corporate Non-U.S. stockholder, distributions (both actual and deemed), and gains realized upon the sale of our preferred stock or common stock that are effectively connected to a U.S. trade or business may, under certain circumstances, be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate (or at a lower rate if provided for by an applicable treaty). Accordingly, investment in the shares may not be appropriate for a Non-U.S. stockholder.

A Non-U.S. stockholder who is a non-resident alien individual, and who is otherwise subject to withholding of federal income tax, may be subject to information reporting and backup withholding of federal income tax on dividends unless the Non-U.S. stockholder provides us or the dividend paying agent with an IRS Form W-8BEN (or an acceptable substitute or successor form) or otherwise meets documentary evidence requirements for establishing that it is a Non-U.S. stockholder or otherwise establishes an exemption from backup withholding.

Recently enacted legislation generally imposes a 30% withholding tax on payments of certain types of income to foreign financial institutions that fail to enter into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury to report certain required information with respect to accounts held by U.S. persons (or held by foreign entities that have U.S. persons as substantial owners). The types of income subject to the tax include U.S. source interest and dividends paid after December 31, 2013 and the gross proceeds from the sale of any property that could produce U.S.-source interest or dividends paid after December 31, 2016. The information required to be reported includes the identity and taxpayer identification number of each account holder that is a U.S. person and transaction activity within the holder s account. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, this legislation also imposes a 30% withholding on payments to foreign entities that are not financial institutions unless the foreign entity certifies that it does not have a greater than 10% U.S. owner or provides the withholding agent with identifying information on each greater than 10% U.S. owner. When these provisions become effective, depending on the status of a Non-U.S. Holder and the status of the intermediaries through which they hold their shares, Non-U.S. Holders could be subject to this 30% withholding tax with respect to distributions on their shares and proceeds from the sale of their shares. Under certain circumstances, a Non-U.S. Holder might be eligible for refunds or credits of such taxes.

Index to Financial Statements

Non-U.S. persons should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the United States federal income tax and withholding tax, and state, local and foreign tax consequences of an investment in the shares.

Failure to Qualify as a Regulated Investment Company

If we fail to satisfy the 90% Income Test or the Diversification Tests for any taxable year, we may nevertheless continue to qualify as a RIC for such year if certain relief provisions are applicable (which may, among other things, require us to pay certain corporate-level federal taxes or to dispose of certain assets).

If we were unable to qualify for treatment as a RIC and the foregoing relief provisions are not applicable, we would be subject to tax on all of our taxable income at regular corporate rates. We would not be able to deduct distributions to stockholders, nor would they be required to be made. Such distributions would be taxable to our stockholders and if made in a taxable year beginning on or before January 1, 2014 and provided certain holding period and other requirements were met, could qualify for treatment as qualified dividend income eligible for the 20% maximum rate to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. Subject to certain limitations under the Code, corporate distributions would be eligible for the dividends-received deduction. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder s tax basis, and any remaining distributions would be treated as a capital gain. To requalify as a RIC in a subsequent taxable year, we would be required to satisfy the RIC qualification requirements for that year and dispose of any earnings and profits from any year in which we failed to qualify as a RIC. Subject to a limited exception applicable to RICs that qualified as such under Subchapter M of the Code for at least one year prior to disqualification and that requalify as a RIC no later than the second year following the nonqualifying year, we could be subject to tax on any unrealized net built-in gains in the assets held by us during the period in which we failed to qualify as a RIC that are recognized within the subsequent 10 years, unless we made a special election to pay corporate-level tax on such built-in gain at the time of our requalification as a RIC.

Index to Financial Statements

REGULATION

The following discussion is a general summary of the material prohibitions and descriptions governing business development companies. It does not purport to be a complete description of all of the laws and regulations affecting business development companies.

A business development company primarily focuses on investing in or lending to private companies and making managerial assistance available to them, while providing its stockholders with the ability to retain the liquidity of a publicly-traded stock. The 1940 Act contains prohibitions and restrictions relating to transactions between business development companies and their directors and officers and principal underwriters and certain other related persons and requires that a majority of the directors be persons other than interested persons, as that term is defined in the 1940 Act. In addition, the 1940 Act provides that we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or to withdraw our election as, a business development company unless approved by a majority of our outstanding voting securities. A majority of the outstanding voting securities of a company is defined under the 1940 Act as the lesser of: (i) 67% or more of such company s shares present at a meeting if more than 50% of the outstanding shares of such company.

Qualifying Assets

Under the 1940 Act, a business development company may not acquire any asset other than assets of the type listed in Section 55(a) of the 1940 Act, which are referred to as qualifying assets, unless, at the time the acquisition is made, qualifying assets represent at least 70% of the company s total assets. The principal categories of qualifying assets relevant to our proposed business are the following:

- (1) Securities purchased in transactions not involving any public offering from the issuer of such securities, which issuer (subject to certain limited exceptions) is an eligible portfolio company, or from any person who is, or has been during the preceding 13 months, an affiliated person of an eligible portfolio company, or from any other person, subject to such rules as may be prescribed by the SEC. An eligible portfolio company is defined in the 1940 Act as any issuer which:
 - (a) is organized under the laws of, and has its principal place of business in, the United States;
 - (b) is not an investment company (other than a small business investment company wholly owned by the business development company) or a company that would be an investment company but for certain exclusions under the 1940 Act; and
 - (c) does not have any class of securities listed on a national securities exchange; or if it has securities listed on a national securities exchange such company has a market capitalization of less than \$250 million; is controlled by the business development company and has an affiliate of a business development company on its board of directors; or meets such other criteria as may be established by the SEC.
- (2) Securities purchased in a private transaction from a U.S. issuer that is not an investment company or from an affiliated person of the issuer, or in transactions incident thereto, if the issuer is in bankruptcy and subject to reorganization or if the issuer, immediately prior to the purchase of its securities was unable to meet its obligations as they came due without material assistance other than conventional lending or financing arrangements.
- (3) Securities of an eligible portfolio company purchased from any person in a private transaction if there is no ready market for such securities and we already own 60% of the outstanding equity of the eligible portfolio company.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

(4) Securities received in exchange for or distributed on or with respect to securities described in (1) through (4) above, or pursuant to the exercise of warrants or rights relating to such securities.

180

Index to Financial Statements

(5) Cash, cash equivalents, U.S. Government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment.

Control, as defined by the 1940 Act, is presumed to exist where a business development company beneficially owns more than 25% of the outstanding voting securities of the portfolio company.

We do not intend to acquire securities issued by any investment company that exceed the limits imposed by the 1940 Act. Under these limits, we generally cannot acquire more than 3% of the voting stock of any investment company (as defined in the 1940 Act), invest more than 5% of the value of our total assets in the securities of one such investment company or invest more than 10% of the value of our total assets in the securities of such investment companies in the aggregate. With regard to that portion of our portfolio invested in securities issued by investment companies, it should be noted that such investments might subject our stockholders to additional expenses.

Significant Managerial Assistance

In order to count portfolio securities as qualifying assets for the purpose of the 70% test discussed above, a business development company must either control the issuer of the securities or must offer to make available significant managerial assistance; except that, where the business development company purchases such securities in conjunction with one or more other persons acting together, one of the other persons in the group may make available such managerial assistance. Making available significant managerial assistance means, among other things, any arrangement whereby the business development company, through its directors, officers or employees, offers to provide and, if accepted, does so provide, significant guidance and counsel concerning the management, operations or business objectives and policies of a portfolio company through monitoring of portfolio company operations, selective participation in board and management meetings, consulting with and advising a portfolio company s officers or other organizational or financial guidance.

Temporary Investments

Pending investment in other types of qualifying assets, as described above, our investments may consist of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities or high quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment, which we refer to, collectively, as temporary investments, so that 70% of our assets are qualifying assets. Typically, we invest in U.S. treasury bills or in repurchase agreements, provided that such agreements are fully collateralized by cash or securities issued by the U.S. government or its agencies. A repurchase agreement involves the purchase by an investor, such as us, of a specified security and the simultaneous agreement by the seller to repurchase it at an agreed upon future date and at a price which is greater than the purchase price by an amount that reflects an agreed-upon interest rate. There is no percentage restriction on the proportion of our assets that may be invested in such repurchase agreements. However, if more than 25% of our total assets constitute repurchase agreements from a single counterparty, we would not meet the diversification tests imposed on us by the Code in order to qualify as a RIC for federal income tax purposes. Thus, we do not intend to enter into repurchase agreements with a single counterparty in excess of this limit. We will monitor the creditworthiness of the counterparties with which we enter into repurchase agreement transactions.

Warrants and Options

Under the 1940 Act, a business development company is subject to restrictions on the amount of warrants, options, restricted stock or rights to purchase shares of capital stock that it may have outstanding at any time. In particular, the amount of capital stock that would result from the conversion or exercise of all outstanding warrants, options or rights to purchase capital stock cannot exceed 25% of the business development company s total outstanding shares of capital stock. This amount is reduced to 20% of the business development company s total outstanding shares of capital stock if the amount of warrants, options or rights issued pursuant to an

181

Index to Financial Statements

executive compensation plan would exceed 15% of the business development company s total outstanding shares of capital stock. We have received exemptive relief from the SEC permitting us to issue stock options and restricted stock to our employees and directors subject to the above conditions, among others. For a discussion regarding the conditions of this exemptive relief, see Exemptive Relief below and Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements.

Senior Securities; Coverage Ratio

We will be permitted, under specified conditions, to issue multiple classes of indebtedness and one class of stock senior to our common stock if our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is at least equal to 200% immediately after each such issuance. In addition, we may not be permitted to declare any cash dividend or other distribution on our outstanding common shares, or purchase any such shares, unless, at the time of such declaration or purchase, we have asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution, or purchase price. We may also borrow amounts up to 5% of the value of our total assets for temporary or emergency purposes. For a discussion of the risks associated with the resulting leverage, see Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business & Structure Because we borrow money, there could be increased risk in investing in our company.

Capital Structure

We are not generally able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. We may, however, sell our common stock, at a price below the current net asset value of the common stock, or sell warrants, options or rights to acquire such common stock, at a price below the current net asset value of the common stock if our board of directors determines that such sale is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders have approved the practice of making such sales.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted and will maintain a code of ethics that establishes procedures for personal investments and restricts certain personal securities transactions. Personnel subject to the code may invest in securities for their personal investment accounts, including securities that may be purchased or held by us, so long as such investments are made in accordance with the code s requirements. Our code of ethics will generally not permit investments by our employees in securities that may be purchased or held by us. We may be prohibited under the 1940 Act from conducting certain transactions with our affiliates without the prior approval of our directors who are not interested persons and, in some cases, the prior approval of the SEC.

Our code of ethics is posted on our website at www.htgc.com and was filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the registration statement (Registration No. 333-122950) for our initial public offering. You may read and copy the code of ethics at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at (202) 551-8090. In addition, the code of ethics is available on the EDGAR Database on the SEC s Internet site at http://www.sec.gov. You may also obtain copies of the code of ethics, after paying a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov, or by writing the SEC s Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549.

Privacy Principles

We are committed to maintaining the privacy of our stockholders and safeguarding their non-public personal information. The following information is provided to help you understand what personal information we collect, how we protect that information and why, in certain cases, we may share information with select other parties.

Index to Financial Statements

Generally, we do not receive any non-public personal information relating to our stockholders, although certain non-public personal information of our stockholders may become available to us. We do not disclose any non-public personal information about our stockholders or former stockholders, except as permitted by law or as is necessary in order to service stockholder accounts (for example, to a transfer agent).

We restrict access to non-public personal information about our stockholders to our employees with a legitimate business need for the information. We maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards designed to protect the non-public personal information of our stockholders.

Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

We vote proxies relating to our portfolio securities in the best interest of our stockholders. We review on a case-by-case basis each proposal submitted to a stockholder vote to determine its impact on the portfolio securities held by us. Although we generally vote against proposals that may have a negative impact on our portfolio securities, we may vote for such a proposal if there exists compelling long-term reasons to do so.

Our proxy voting decisions are made by our investment committee, which is responsible for monitoring each of our investments. To ensure that our vote is not the product of a conflict of interest, we require that: (i) anyone involved in the decision making process disclose to our Chief Compliance Officer any potential conflict that he or she is aware of and any contact that he or she has had with any interested party regarding a proxy vote; and (ii) employees involved in the decision making process or vote administration are prohibited from revealing how we intend to vote on a proposal in order to reduce any attempted influence from interested parties.

Exemptive Relief

On June 21, 2005, we filed a request with the SEC for exemptive relief to allow us to take certain actions that would otherwise be prohibited by the 1940 Act, as applicable to business development companies. Specifically, we requested that the SEC permit us to issue stock options to our non-employee directors as contemplated by Section 61(a)(3)(B)(i)(II) of the 1940 Act. On February 15, 2007, we received approval from the SEC on this exemptive request. In addition, in June 2007, we filed an amendment to the February 2007 order to adjust the number of shares issued to the non-employee directors. On October 10, 2007, we received approval from the SEC on this amended exemptive request.

On April 5, 2007, we received approval from the SEC on our request for exemptive relief that permits us to exclude the indebtedness of our wholly-owned subsidiaries that are small business investment companies from the 200% asset coverage requirement applicable to us.

On May 2, 2007, we received approval from the SEC on our request for exemptive relief that permits us to issue restricted stock to our employees, officers and directors. On June 21, 2007, our shareholders approved amendments to the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and 2006 Non-Employee Incentive Plan permitting such restricted grants.

On June 22, 2010 we received approval from the SEC on our request for exemptive relief that permits our employees to exercise their stock options and restricted stock and pay any related income taxes using a cashless exercise program.

Legislation

Recently, legislation was introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives which may revise certain regulations applicable to business development companies. The legislation provides for (i) increasing the amount of funds business development companies may borrow by reducing asset to debt limitations from 2:1 to 3:2,

Index to Financial Statements

(ii) permitting business development companies to file registration statements with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that incorporate information by reference from already-filed reports, (iii) utilizing other streamlined registration processes afforded to operating companies, and (iv) allowing business development companies to own investment adviser subsidiaries. There are no assurances as to when the legislation will be enacted by Congress, if at all, or, if enacted, what final form the legislation would take.

Other

We will be periodically examined by the SEC for compliance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the 1940 Act.

We are required to provide and maintain a bond issued by a reputable fidelity insurance company to protect us against larceny and embezzlement. Furthermore, as a business development company, we are prohibited from protecting any director or officer against any liability to our stockholders arising from willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person s office.

We are required to adopt and implement written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violation of the federal securities laws, review these policies and procedures annually for their adequacy and the effectiveness of their implementation. We have designated Mr. Penney, our General Counsel, as our Chief Compliance Officer responsible for administering these policies and procedures.

Small Business Administration Regulations

We make investments in qualifying small businesses through our two wholly-owned SBIC subsidiaries, HT II and HT III. We have issued \$225.0 million in SBA-guaranteed debentures in HT II and HT III, which is the maximum amount allowed for a group of SBICs under common control.

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private equity capital to eligible small businesses. Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses include businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18.0 million and have average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$6.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, SBICs must devote 25.0% of its investment activity to smaller enterprises as defined by the SBA. A smaller enterprise is one that has a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million and has average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$2.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility, which depend on the industry in which the business is engaged and are based on such factors as the number of employees and gross sales. According to SBA regulations, SBICs may make long-term loans to small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries HT II and HT III, we plan to provide long-term loans to qualifying small businesses, and in connection therewith, make equity investments.

HT II and HT III are periodically examined and audited by the SBA s staff to determine their compliance with SBA regulations. If HT II or HT III fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II s or HT III s use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. In addition, HT II or HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to the Company if they do not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect the Company because HT II and III are our wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC s leverage as of December 31, 2013 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations.

HT II and HT III hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013.

Index to Financial Statements

The SBA restricts the ability of SBICs to repurchase their capital stock. SBA regulations also include restrictions on a change of control or transfer of an SBIC and require that SBICs invest idle funds in accordance with SBA regulations. In addition, HT II and HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to us if they do not have sufficient capital, in accordance with SBA regulations.

Our SBIC subsidiaries are subject to regulation and oversight by the SBA, including requirements with respect to maintaining certain minimum financial ratios and other covenants. Receipt of an SBIC license does not assure that our SBIC subsidiaries will receive SBA guaranteed debenture funding, which is dependent upon our SBIC subsidiaries continuing to be in compliance with SBA regulations and policies. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to our SBIC subsidiaries assets over our stockholders in the event we liquidate our SBIC subsidiaries or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by our SBIC subsidiaries upon an event of default.

Index to Financial Statements

DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE

We determine the net asset value per share of our common stock quarterly. The net asset value per share is equal to the value of our total assets minus liabilities and any preferred stock outstanding divided by the total number of shares of common stock outstanding. As of the date of this report, we do not have any preferred stock outstanding.

At December 31, 2013, 74.5% of the Company s total assets represented investments in portfolio companies that are valued at fair value by the Board of Directors. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. The Company s investments are carried at fair value in accordance with the 1940 Act and Accounting Standards Codification topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). The Company s debt securities are primarily invested in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, substantially all of the Company s investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged. As such, the Company values substantially all of its investments at fair value as determined in good faith pursuant to a consistent valuation policy and the Company s Board of Directors in accordance with the provisions of ASC 820 and the 1940 Act. Due to the inherent uncertainty in determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of the Company s investments determined in good faith by its Board may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a readily available market existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

The Company may from time to time engage an independent valuation firm to provide the Company with valuation assistance with respect to certain portfolio investments on a quarterly basis. The Company intends to continue to engage an independent valuation firm to provide management with assistance regarding the Company s determination of the fair value of selected portfolio investments each quarter unless directed by the Board of Directors to cancel such valuation services. The scope of services rendered by an independent valuation firm is at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Company s Board of Directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of the Company s investments in good faith.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available or when such market quotations are deemed not to represent fair value, the Company s Board of Directors has approved a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

- (1) the Company s quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio company being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for the portfolio investment;
- (2) preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and business based assumptions are discussed with the Company s investment committee;
- (3) the Valuation Committee of the Board of Directors reviews the preliminary valuation of the investments in the portfolio as provided by the investment committee, which incorporates the results of the independent valuation firm as appropriate;
- (4) the Valuation Committee discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of, where applicable, the respective independent valuation firm and the investment committee.

ASC 820 establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of the assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. ASC 820 also enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements based on the level within the hierarchy of the information used in the valuation. ASC 820 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to

Index to Financial Statements

be measured at fair value. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The Company has categorized all investments recorded at fair value in accordance with ASC 820 based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by ASC 820 and directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities, are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the measurement date. The types of assets carried at Level 1 fair value generally are equities listed in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset in connection with market data at the measurement date and for the extent of the instrument s anticipated life. Fair valued assets that are generally included in this category are warrants held in a public company.

Level 3 Inputs reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset at the measurement date. It includes prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. Generally, assets carried at fair value and included in this category are the debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company.

Debt Investments

The Company follows the guidance set forth in ASC 820 which establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. The Company s debt securities are primarily invested in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, the Company s investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for debt instruments for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged.

In making a good faith determination of the value of our investments, the Company generally starts with the cost basis of the investment, which includes the value attributed to the OID, if any, and PIK interest or other receivables which have been accrued to principal as earned. The Company then applies the valuation methods as set forth below.

The Company applies a procedure that assumes a sale of investment in a hypothetical market to a hypothetical market participant where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The hypothetical market does not include scenarios where the underlying security was simply repaid or extinguished, but includes an exit concept. Under this process, the Company also evaluates the collateral for recoverability of the debt investments as well as applies all of its historical fair value analysis. The Company uses pricing on recently issued comparable debt securities to determine the baseline hypothetical market yields as of the measurement date.

The Company considers each portfolio company s credit rating, security liens and other characteristics of the investment to adjust the baseline yield to derive a hypothetical yield for each investment as of the measurement date. The anticipated future cash flows from each investment are then discounted at the hypothetical yield to estimate each investment s fair value as of the measurement date.

The Company s process includes, among other things, the underlying investment performance, the current portfolio company s financial condition and market changing events that impact valuation, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. The Company values its syndicated loans, which represent less than 4.0% of the Company s debt investment portfolio, using

Index to Financial Statements

broker quotes and bond indices amongst other factors. If there is a significant deterioration of the credit quality of a debt investment, the Company may consider other factors to estimate fair value, including the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

The Company records unrealized depreciation on investments when it believes that an investment has decreased in value, including where collection of a loan is doubtful or, if under the in-exchange premise, when the value of a debt security was to be less than amortized cost of the investment. Conversely, where appropriate, the Company records unrealized appreciation if it believes that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and, therefore, that its investment has also appreciated in value or, if under the in-exchange premise, the value of a debt security were to be greater than amortized cost.

When originating a debt instrument, the Company generally receives warrants or other equity-related securities from the borrower. The Company determines the cost basis of the warrants or other equity-related securities received based upon their respective fair values on the date of receipt in proportion to the total fair value of the debt and warrants or other equity-related securities received. Any resulting discount on the debt investment from recordation of the warrant or other equity instruments is accreted into interest income over the life of the debt investment.

Equity-Related Securities and Warrants

In accordance with the 1940 Act, unrestricted publicly traded securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the closing market quote on the measurement date.

At each reporting date, privately held warrant and equity-related securities are valued based on an analysis of various factors including, but not limited to, the portfolio company s operating performance and financial condition and general market conditions, price to enterprise value or price to equity ratios, discounted cash flow, valuation comparisons to comparable public companies or other industry benchmarks. When an external event occurs, such as a purchase transaction, public offering, or subsequent equity sale, the pricing indicated by that external event is utilized to corroborate the Company s valuation of the warrant and equity-related securities. The Company periodically reviews the valuation of its portfolio companies that have not been involved in a qualifying external event to determine if the enterprise value of the portfolio company may have increased or decreased since the last valuation measurement date. The Company estimates the fair value of warrants using a Black Scholes pricing model.

Determinations In Connection With Offerings

In connection with each offering of shares of our common stock, the Board of Directors or a committee thereof is required to make the determination that we are not selling shares of our common stock at a price below our then current net asset value at the time at which the sale is made. The Board of Directors considers the following factors, among others, in making such determination:

the net asset value of our common stock disclosed in the most recent periodic report we filed with the SEC;

our management s assessment of whether any material change in the net asset value has occurred (including through the realization of net gains on the sale of our portfolio investments) from the period beginning on the date of the most recently disclosed net asset value to the period ending two days prior to the date of the sale of our common stock; and

the magnitude of the difference between (i) a value that our Board of Directors or an authorized committee thereof has determined reflects the current net asset value of our common stock, which is generally based upon the net asset value of our common stock disclosed in the most recent periodic report that we filed with the SEC, as adjusted to reflect our management s assessment of any material

Index to Financial Statements

change in the net asset value of our common stock since the date of the most recently disclosed net asset value of our common stock, and (ii) the offering price of the shares of our common stock in the proposed offering.

Importantly, this determination does not require that we calculate net asset value in connection with each offering of shares of our common stock, but instead it involves the determination by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof that we are not selling shares of our common stock at a price below the then current net asset value at the time at which the sale is made.

Moreover, to the extent that there is even a remote possibility that we may (i) issue shares of our common stock at a price below the then current net asset value of our common stock at the time at which the sale is made or (ii) trigger the undertaking (which we provided to the SEC in the registration statement to which this prospectus is a part) to suspend the offering of shares of our common stock pursuant to this prospectus if the net asset value fluctuates by certain amounts in certain circumstances until the prospectus is amended, the Board of Directors or a committee thereof will elect, in the case of clause (i) above, either to postpone the offering until such time that there is no longer the possibility of the occurrence of such, events or to undertake to determine net asset value within two days prior to any such sale to ensure that such sale will not be below our then current net asset value, and, in the case of clause (ii) above, to comply with such undertaking or to undertake to determine net asset value to ensure that such undertaking has not been triggered.

These processes and procedures are part of our compliance policies and procedures. Records will be made contemporaneously with all determinations described in this section and these records will be maintained with other records we are required to maintain under the 1940 Act.

Index to Financial Statements

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

We have adopted a dividend reinvestment plan (the DRP), through which all dividend distributions are paid to our stockholders in the form of additional shares of our common stock, unless a stockholder elects to receive cash as provided below. In this way, a stockholder can maintain an undiluted investment in our common stock and still allow us to pay out the required distributable income.

No action is required on the part of a registered stockholder to receive a dividend distribution in shares of our common stock. A registered stockholder may elect to receive an entire dividend distribution in cash by notifying American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the plan administrator and our transfer agent and registrar, so that such notice is received by the plan administrator no later than three days prior to the payment date for dividend distributions to stockholders. The plan administrator will set up an account for shares acquired through the DRP for each stockholder who has not elected to receive distributions in cash (each a Participant) and hold such shares in non-certificated form. Upon request by a Participant, received not less than three days prior to the payment date, the plan administrator will, instead of crediting shares to the Participant s account, issue a certificate registered in the Participant s name for the number of whole shares of our common stock and a check for any fractional share.

Those stockholders whose shares are held by a broker or other financial intermediary may receive distributions in cash by notifying their broker or other financial intermediary of their election.

We expect to use primarily newly-issued shares to implement the DRP, whether our shares are trading at a premium or at a discount to net asset value, although we have the option under the DRP to purchase shares in the market to fulfill DRP requirements. The number of shares to be issued to a stockholder is determined by dividing the total dollar amount of the dividend distribution payable to such stockholder by the market price per share of our common stock at the close of regular trading on the NYSE on the valuation date for such dividend distribution. Market price per share on that date will be the closing price for such shares on the NYSE or, if no sale is reported for such day, at the average of their electronically-reported bid and asked prices. The number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding after giving effect to payment of the distribution cannot be established until the value per share at which additional shares will be issued has been determined and elections of our stockholders have been tabulated.

There is no charge to our stockholders for receiving their dividend distributions in the form of additional shares of our common stock. The plan administrator s fees for handling dividend distributions in stock are paid by us. There are no brokerage charges with respect to shares we have issued directly as a result of dividend distributions payable in stock. If a Participant elects by internet or by written or telephonic notice to the plan administrator to have the plan administrator sell part or all of the shares held by the plan administrator in the Participant s account and remit the proceeds to the Participant, the plan administrator is authorized to deduct a \$15.00 transaction fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

Any shares issued in connection with a stock split or stock dividend will be added to a Participant s account with the Plan Administrator. The Plan Administrator may curtail or suspend transaction processing until the completion of such stock split or payment of such stock dividend.

Stockholders who receive dividend distributions in the form of stock generally are subject to the same federal, state and local tax consequences as are stockholders who elect to receive their dividend distributions in cash. A stockholder s basis for determining gain or loss upon the sale of stock received in a dividend distribution from us will be equal to the total dollar amount of the dividend distribution payable to the stockholder.

The DRP may be terminated by us upon notice in writing mailed to each Participant at least 30 days prior to any record date for the payment of any dividend distribution by us. All correspondence concerning the DRP, including requests for additional information, should be directed to the plan administrator by mail at American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, Attn: Dividend Reinvestment Department, P.O. Box 922, Wall Street Station, New York, NY 10269-0560 or by phone at 1-866-669-9888.

Index to Financial Statements

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following description is based on relevant portions of the Maryland General Corporation Law and on our charter and bylaws. This summary may not contain all of the information that is important to you, and we refer you to the Maryland General Corporation Law and our charter and bylaws for a more detailed description of the provisions summarized below.

Under the terms of our charter, our authorized capital stock consists of 100,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, of which 61,734,581 shares are outstanding as of March 14, 2014. Under our charter, our Board of Directors is authorized to classify and reclassify any unissued shares of stock into other classes or series of stock, and to cause the issuance of such shares, without obtaining stockholder approval. In addition, as permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law, but subject to the 1940 Act, our charter provides that the Board of Directors, without any action by our stockholders, may amend the charter from time to time to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we have authority to issue. Under Maryland law, our stockholders generally are not personally liable for our debts or obligations.

Common Stock

All shares of our common stock have equal rights as to earnings, assets, dividends and voting privileges, except as described below and, when they are issued, will be duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable.

Distributions may be paid to the holders of our common stock if, as and when authorized by our Board of Directors and declared by us out of assets legally available therefor. Shares of our common stock have no conversion, exchange, preemptive or redemption rights. In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Hercules Technology Growth Capital each share of our common stock would be entitled to share ratably in all of our assets that are legally available for distribution after we pay all debts and other liabilities and subject to any preferential rights of holders of our preferred stock, if any preferred stock is outstanding at such time. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders, including the election of directors. Except as provided with respect to any other class or series of stock, the holders of our common stock will possess exclusive voting power. There is no cumulative voting in the election of directors, which means that holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock will elect all of our directors, and holders of less than a majority of such shares will be unable to elect any director.

		Amount Held	
	Amount	by Company	Amount
Title of Class	Authorized	for its Account	Outstanding
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share	100,000,000		61,734,581

Preferred Stock

Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to classify and reclassify any unissued shares of stock into other classes or series of stock, including preferred stock. Prior to issuance of shares of each class or series, the Board of Directors is required by Maryland law and by our charter to set the terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption for each class or series. Thus, the Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock with terms and conditions which could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in their best interest. You should note, however, that any issuance of preferred stock must comply with the requirements of the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act requires, among other things, that (1) immediately after issuance and before any dividend or other distribution is made with respect to our common stock and before

Index to Financial Statements

any purchase of common stock is made, such preferred stock together with all other senior securities must not exceed an amount equal to 50% of our total assets after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution or purchase price, as the case may be, and (2) the holders of shares of preferred stock, if any are issued, must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends on such preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more. Certain matters under the 1940 Act require the separate vote of the holders of any issued and outstanding preferred stock. We believe that the availability for issuance of preferred stock will provide us with increased flexibility in structuring future financings and acquisitions.

Limitation on Liability of Directors and Officers; Indemnification and Advance of Expenses

Maryland law permits a Maryland corporation to include in its charter a provision limiting the liability of its directors and officers to the corporation and its stockholders for money damages except for liability resulting from (a) actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or (b) active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action. Our charter contains such a provision which eliminates directors—and officers—liability to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act.

Our charter authorizes us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law and subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act, to indemnify any present or former director or officer or any individual who, while a director or officer and at our request, serves or has served another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise as a director, officer, partner or trustee, from and against any claim or liability to which such person may become subject or which such person may incur by reason of his or her service in any such capacity, except with respect to any matter as to which such person shall have been finally adjudicated in any proceeding not to have acted in good faith in the reasonable belief that their action was in our best interest or to be liable to us or our stockholders by reason of willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person s office. Our charter also provides that, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, with the approval of our Board of Directors and provided that certain conditions described in our charter are met, we may pay certain expenses incurred by any such indemnified person in advance of the final disposition of a proceeding upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of such indemnified person to repay amounts we have so paid if it is ultimately determined that indemnification of such expenses is not authorized under our charter. Our bylaws obligate us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law and subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act, to indemnify any present or former director or officer or any individual who, while a director or officer and at our request, serves or has served another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise as a director, officer, partner or trustee and who is made, or threatened to be made, a party to the proceeding by reason of his or her service in any such capacity from and against any claim or liability to which that person may become subject or which that person may incur by reason of his or her service in any such capacity, except with respect to any matter as to which such person shall have been finally adjudicated in any proceeding not to have acted in good faith in the reasonable belief that their action was in our best interest or to be liable to us or our stockholders by reason of willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person s office. Our bylaws also provide that, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, with the approval of our Board of Directors and provided that certain conditions described in our bylaws are met, we may pay certain expenses incurred by any such indemnified person in advance of the final disposition of a proceeding upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of such indemnified person to repay amounts we have so paid if it is ultimately determined that indemnification of such expenses is not authorized under our bylaws.

Maryland law requires a corporation (unless its charter provides otherwise, which our charter does not) to indemnify a director or officer who has been successful in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service in that capacity. Maryland law permits a corporation to indemnify its present and former directors and officers, among others, against judgments,

Index to Financial Statements

penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by them in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of their service in those or other capacities unless it is established that (a) the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and (1) was committed in bad faith or (2) was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (b) the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or (c) in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. However, under Maryland law, a Maryland corporation may not indemnify for an adverse judgment in a suit by or in the right of the corporation or for a judgment of liability on the basis that a personal benefit was improperly received, unless in either case a court orders indemnification, and then only for expenses. In addition, Maryland law permits a corporation to advance reasonable expenses to a director or officer upon the corporation s receipt of (a) a written affirmation by the director or officer of his or her good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification by the corporation and (b) a written undertaking by him or her or on his or her behalf to repay the amount paid or reimbursed by the corporation if it is ultimately determined that the standard of conduct was not met.

We currently have in effect a directors and officers insurance policy covering our directors and officers and us for any acts and omissions committed, attempted or allegedly committed by any director or officer during the policy period. The policy is subject to customary exclusions.

Provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law and Our Charter and Bylaws

The Maryland General Corporation Law and our charter and bylaws contain provisions that could make it more difficult for a potential acquiror to acquire us by means of a tender offer, proxy contest or otherwise. These provisions are expected to discourage certain coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of us to negotiate first with our Board of Directors. We believe that the benefits of these provisions outweigh the potential disadvantages of discouraging any such acquisition proposals because, among other things, the negotiation of such proposals may improve their terms.

Classified Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms. The terms of the first, second and third classes will expire in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. Upon expiration of their current terms, directors of each class are eligible to serve for three-year terms or until their successors are duly elected and qualify. Each year one class of directors will be elected by the stockholders. A classified board may render a change in control or removal of our incumbent management more difficult. We believe, however, that the longer time required to elect a majority of a classified Board of Directors will help to ensure the continuity and stability of our management and policies.

Election of Directors

Our charter provides that, except as otherwise provided in the bylaws, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote in the election of directors will be required to elect each director. Our bylaws currently provide that directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast in the election of directors. Pursuant to our charter and bylaws, our Board of Directors may amend the bylaws to alter the vote required to elect directors.

Number of Directors; Vacancies; Removal

Our charter provides that the number of directors will be set only by the Board of Directors in accordance with our bylaws. Our bylaws provide that a majority of our entire Board of Directors may at any time increase or decrease the number of directors. However, unless the bylaws are amended, the number of directors may never

Index to Financial Statements

be less than one nor more than 12. We have elected to be subject to the provision of Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the Maryland General Corporation Law regarding the filling of vacancies on the Board of Directors. Accordingly, at such time, except as may be provided by the Board of Directors in setting the terms of any class or series of preferred stock, any and all vacancies on the Board of Directors may be filled only by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum, and any director elected to fill a vacancy shall serve for the remainder of the full term of the directorship in which the vacancy occurred and until a successor is elected and qualifies, subject to any applicable requirements of the 1940 Act.

Our charter provides that a director may be removed only for cause, as defined in the charter, and then only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors.

Action by Stockholders

Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, stockholder action may be taken only at an annual or special meeting of stockholders or by unanimous consent in lieu of a meeting (unless the charter provides for stockholder action by less than unanimous written consent, which our charter does not). These provisions, combined with the requirements of our bylaws regarding the calling of a stockholder-requested special meeting of stockholders discussed below, may have the effect of delaying consideration of a stockholder proposal until the next annual meeting.

Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Stockholder Proposals

Our bylaws provide that with respect to an annual meeting of stockholders, nominations of persons for election to the Board of Directors and the proposal of business to be considered by stockholders may be made only (1) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (2) by the Board of Directors or (3) by a stockholder who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice procedures of the bylaws. With respect to special meetings of stockholders, only the business specified in our notice of the meeting may be brought before the meeting. Nominations of persons for election to the Board of Directors at a special meeting may be made only (1) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (2) by the Board of Directors or (3) provided that the Board of Directors has determined that directors will be elected at the meeting, by a stockholder who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice provisions of the bylaws.

The purpose of requiring stockholders to give us advance notice of nominations and other business is to afford our Board of Directors a meaningful opportunity to consider the qualifications of the proposed nominees and the advisability of any other proposed business and, to the extent deemed necessary or desirable by our Board of Directors, to inform stockholders and make recommendations about such qualifications or business, as well as to provide a more orderly procedure for conducting meetings of stockholders. Although our bylaws do not give our Board of Directors any power to disapprove stockholder nominations for the election of directors or proposals recommending certain action, they may have the effect of precluding a contest for the election of directors or the consideration of stockholder proposals if proper procedures are not followed and of discouraging or deterring a third party from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect its own slate of directors or to approve its own proposal without regard to whether consideration of such nominees or proposals might be harmful or beneficial to us and our stockholders.

Calling of Special Meeting of Stockholders

Our bylaws provide that special meetings of stockholders may be called by our Board of Directors and certain of our officers. Additionally, our bylaws provide that, subject to the satisfaction of certain procedural and informational requirements by the stockholders requesting the meeting, a special meeting of stockholders shall be called by our secretary upon the written request of stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast at such meeting.

Index to Financial Statements

Approval of Extraordinary Corporate Action; Amendment of Charter and Bylaws

Under Maryland law, a Maryland corporation generally cannot dissolve, amend its charter, merge, sell all or substantially all of its assets, engage in a share exchange or engage in similar transactions outside the ordinary course of business, unless approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. However, a Maryland corporation may provide in its charter for approval of these matters by a lesser percentage, but not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Our charter generally provides for approval of charter amendments and extraordinary transactions by the stockholders entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Our charter also provides that certain charter amendments and any proposal for our conversion, whether by merger or otherwise, from a closed-end company to an open-end company or any proposal for our liquidation or dissolution requires the approval of the stockholders entitled to cast at least 75% of the votes entitled to be cast on such matter. However, if such amendment or proposal is approved by at least 75% of our continuing directors (in addition to approval by our Board of Directors), such amendment or proposal may be approved by the stockholders entitled to cast a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on such a matter. The continuing directors are defined in our charter as our current directors, as well as those directors whose nomination for election by the stockholders or whose election by the directors to fill vacancies is approved by a majority of the continuing directors then on the Board of Directors.

Our charter and bylaws provide that the Board of Directors will have the exclusive power to make, alter, amend or repeal any provision of our bylaws.

No Appraisal Rights

Except with respect to appraisal rights arising in connection with the Control Share Act discussed below, as permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law, our charter provides that stockholders will not be entitled to exercise appraisal rights.

Control Share Acquisitions

The Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act (the Control Share Act) provides that control shares of a Maryland corporation acquired in a control share acquisition have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Shares owned by the acquiror, by officers or by directors who are employees of the corporation are excluded from shares entitled to vote on the matter. Control shares are voting shares of stock which, if aggregated with all other shares of stock owned by the acquiror or in respect of which the acquiror is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquiror to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power:

one-tenth or more but less than one-third;

one-third or more but less than a majority; or

a majority or more of all voting power.

The requisite stockholder approval must be obtained each time an acquiror crosses one of the thresholds of voting power set forth above. Control shares do not include shares the acquiring person is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained stockholder approval. A control share acquisition means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

A person who has made or proposes to make a control share acquisition may compel the Board of Directors of the corporation to call a special meeting of stockholders to be held within 50 days of demand to consider the voting rights of the shares. The right to compel the calling of a special meeting is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including an undertaking to pay the expenses of the meeting. If no request for a meeting is made, the corporation may itself present the question at any stockholders meeting.

Index to Financial Statements

If voting rights are not approved at the meeting or if the acquiring person does not deliver an acquiring person statement as required by the statute, then the corporation may repurchase for fair value any or all of the control shares, except those for which voting rights have previously been approved. The right of the corporation to repurchase control shares is subject to certain conditions and limitations. Fair value is determined, without regard to the absence of voting rights for the control shares, as of the date of the last control share acquisition by the acquiror or of any meeting of stockholders at which the voting rights of the shares are considered and not approved. If voting rights for control shares are approved at a stockholders meeting and the acquiror becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. The fair value of the shares as determined for purposes of appraisal rights may not be less than the highest price per share paid by the acquiror in the control share acquisition.

The Control Share Act does not apply (a) to shares acquired in a merger, consolidation or share exchange if the corporation is a party to the transaction or (b) to acquisitions approved or exempted by the charter or bylaws of the corporation.

Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the Control Share Act any and all acquisitions by any person of our shares of stock.

Business Combinations

Under the Maryland Business Combination Act (the Business Combination Act), business combinations between a Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. These business combinations include a merger, consolidation, share exchange or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities. An interested stockholder is defined as:

any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the corporation s shares; or

an affiliate or associate of the corporation who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the then outstanding voting stock of the corporation.

A person is not an interested stockholder under this statute if the Board of Directors approved in advance the transaction by which such stockholder otherwise would have become an interested stockholder. However, in approving a transaction, the Board of Directors may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by the board.

After the 5-year prohibition, any business combination between the Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by the Board of Directors of the corporation and approved by the affirmative vote of at least:

80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of voting stock of the corporation; and

two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder.

These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if the corporation s common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares.

The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the Board of Directors before the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested

Index to Financial Statements

stockholder. Our Board of Directors has adopted a resolution exempting any business combination between us and any other person from the provisions of the Business Combination Act, provided that the business combination is first approved by the Board of Directors, including a majority of the directors who are not interested persons as defined in the 1940 Act.

Conflict with 1940 Act

Our bylaws provide that, if and to the extent that any provision of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or any provision of our charter or bylaws conflicts with any provision of the 1940 Act, the applicable provision of the 1940 Act will control.

Regulatory Restrictions

Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, HT II and HT III, have obtained SBIC licenses. The SBA prohibits, without prior SBA approval, a change of control or transfers which would result in any person (or group of persons acting in concert) owning 10% or more of any class of capital stock of a SBIC. A change of control is any event which would result in a transfer of the power, direct or indirect, to direct the management and policies of a SBIC, whether through ownership, contractual arrangements or otherwise.

197

Index to Financial Statements

DESCRIPTION OF OUR PREFERRED STOCK

In addition to shares of common stock, our charter authorizes the issuance of preferred stock. We may issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more classes or series, without stockholder approval. If we offer preferred stock under this prospectus we will issue an appropriate prospectus supplement. Prior to issuance of shares of each class or series, our board of directors is required by Maryland law and by our charter to set the terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption for each class or series. Thus, the board of directors could authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock with terms and conditions that could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in their best interest. You should note, however, that any such an issuance must adhere to the requirements of the 1940 Act, Maryland law and any other limitations imposed by law.

The following is a general description of the terms of the preferred stock we may issue from time to time. Particular terms of any preferred stock we offer will be described in the prospectus supplement accompanying each preferred share offering.

The 1940 Act requires, among other things, that (i) immediately after issuance and before any dividend or other distribution is made with respect to our common stock and before any purchase of common stock is made, such preferred stock together with all other senior securities must not exceed an amount equal to 50% of our total assets after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution or purchase price, as the case may be, (ii) the holders of shares of preferred stock, if any are issued, must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends or other distribution on the preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more, and (iii) such shares be cumulative as to dividends and have a complete preference over our common stock to payment of their liquidation in event of dissolution. Some matters under the 1940 Act require the separate vote of the holders of any issued and outstanding preferred stock. For example, holders of preferred stock would vote separately from the holders of common stock on a proposal to cease operations as a business development company. We believe that the availability for issuance of preferred stock will provide us with increased flexibility in structuring future financings and acquisitions.

For any series of preferred stock that we may issue, our board of directors will determine and the articles supplementary and the prospectus supplement relating to such series will describe:

the designation and number of shares of such series;

the rate and time at which, and the preferences and conditions under which, any dividends or other distributions will be paid on shares of such series, as well as whether such dividends or other distributions are participating or non-participating;

any provisions relating to convertibility or exchangeability of the shares of such series, including adjustments to the conversion price of such series;

the rights and preferences, if any, of holders of shares of such series upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our affairs;

the voting powers, if any, of the holders of shares of such series;

any provisions relating to the redemption of the shares of such series;

any limitations on our ability to pay dividends or make distributions on, or acquire or redeem, other securities while shares of such series are outstanding;

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

any conditions or restrictions on our ability to issue additional shares of such series or other securities;

if applicable, a discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations; and

any other relative powers, preferences and participating, optional or special rights of shares of such series, and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof.

198

Index to Financial Statements

All shares of preferred stock that we may issue will be identical and of equal rank except as to the particular terms thereof that may be fixed by our board of directors, and all shares of each series of preferred stock will be identical and of equal rank except as to the dates from which dividends or other distributions, if any, thereon will be cumulative. To the extent we issue preferred stock, the payment of dividends to holders of our preferred stock will take priority over payment of dividends to our common stockholders.

199

Index to Financial Statements

DESCRIPTION OF OUR SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS

The following is a general description of the terms of the subscription rights we may issue from time to time. Particular terms of any subscription rights we offer will be described in the prospectus supplement relating to such subscription rights.

We may issue subscription rights to our stockholders to purchase common stock. Subscription rights may be issued independently or together with any other offered security and may or may not be transferable by the person purchasing or receiving the subscription rights. In connection with a subscription rights offering to our stockholders, we would distribute certificates evidencing the subscription rights and a prospectus supplement to our stockholders on the record date that we set for receiving subscription rights in such subscription rights offering.

Our stockholders will indirectly bear all of the expenses of the subscription rights offering, regardless of whether our stockholders exercise any subscription rights.

A prospectus supplement will describe the particular terms of any subscription rights we may issue, including the following:

the period of time the offering would remain open (which shall be open a minimum number of days such that all record holders would be eligible to participate in the offering and shall not be open longer than 120 days);

the title and aggregate number of such subscription rights;

the exercise price for such subscription rights (or method of calculation thereof);

the currency or currencies, including composite currencies, in which the price of such subscription rights may be payable;

if applicable, the designation and terms of the securities with which the subscription rights are issued and the number of subscription rights issued with each such security or each principal amount of such security;

the ratio of the offering (which, in the case of transferable rights, will require a minimum of three shares to be held of record before a person is entitled to purchase an additional share);

the number of such subscription rights issued to each stockholder;

the extent to which such subscription rights are transferable and the market on which they may be traded if they are transferable;

the date on which the right to exercise such subscription rights shall commence, and the date on which such right shall expire (subject to any extension);

if applicable, the minimum or maximum number of subscription rights that may be exercised at one time;

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

the extent to which such subscription rights include an over-subscription privilege with respect to unsubscribed securities and the terms of such over-subscription privilege;

any termination right we may have in connection with such subscription rights offering;

the terms of any rights to redeem, or call such subscription rights;

information with respect to book-entry procedures, if any;

the terms of the securities issuable upon exercise of the subscription rights;

the material terms of any standby underwriting, backstop or other purchase arrangement that we may enter into in connection with the subscription rights offering;

200

Index to Financial Statements

if applicable, a discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to the issuance or exercise of such subscription rights; and

any other terms of such subscription rights, including exercise, settlement and other procedures and limitations relating to the transfer and exercise of such subscription rights.

Each subscription right will entitle the holder of the subscription right to purchase for cash or other consideration such amount of shares of common stock at such subscription price as shall in each case be set forth in, or be determinable as set forth in, the prospectus supplement relating to the subscription rights offered thereby. Subscription rights may be exercised as set forth in the prospectus supplement beginning on the date specified therein and continuing until the close of business on the expiration date for such subscription rights set forth in the prospectus supplement. After the close of business on the expiration date, all unexercised subscription rights will become void.

Upon receipt of payment and the subscription rights certificate properly completed and duly executed at the corporate trust office of the subscription rights agent or any other office indicated in the prospectus supplement we will forward, as soon as practicable, the shares of common stock purchasable upon such exercise. If less than all of the rights represented by such subscription rights certificate are exercised, a new subscription certificate will be issued for the remaining rights. Prior to exercising their subscription rights, holders of subscription rights will not have any of the rights of holders of the securities purchasable upon such exercise. To the extent permissible under applicable law, we may determine to offer any unsubscribed offered securities directly to persons other than stockholders, to or through agents, underwriters or dealers or through a combination of such methods, as set forth in the applicable prospectus supplement.

Under the 1940 Act, we may generally only offer subscription rights (other than rights to subscribe expiring not later than 120 days after their issuance and issued exclusively and ratably to a class or classes of our security holders) on the condition that (1) the subscription rights expire by their terms within ten years; (2) the exercise price is not less than the current market value at the date of issuance; (3) our stockholders authorize the proposal to issue such subscription rights, and a required majority of our Board of Directors approves of such issuance on the basis that the issuance is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders; and (4) if the subscription rights are accompanied by other securities, the subscription rights are not separately transferable unless no class of such subscription rights and the securities accompanying them has been publicly distributed. A required majority of our Board of Directors is a vote of both a majority of our directors who have no financial interest in the transaction and a majority of the directors who are not interested persons of the company. The 1940 Act also provides that the amount of our voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options and subscription rights at the time of issuance may not exceed 25% of our outstanding voting securities.

For information regarding the dilutive impact of rights offerings, please see Risks Risks Related to an Investment in our Securities Your interest in us may be diluted if you do not fully exercise your subscription rights in any rights offering. In addition, if the subscription price is less than our net asset value per share, then you will experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of your shares.

201

Index to Financial Statements

DESCRIPTION OF WARRANTS

The following is a general description of the terms of the warrants we may issue from time to time. Particular terms of any warrants we offer will be described in the prospectus supplement relating to such warrants and will be subject to compliance with the 1940 Act.

We may issue warrants to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities. Such warrants may be issued independently or together with shares of common stock, preferred stock or debt securities and may be attached or separate from such securities. We will issue each series of warrants under a separate warrant agreement to be entered into between us and a warrant agent. The warrant agent will act solely as our agent and will not assume any obligation or relationship of agency for or with holders or beneficial owners of warrants.

A prospectus supplement will describe the particular terms of any series of warrants we may issue, including the following:

the title and aggregate number of such warrants;

the price or prices at which such warrants will be issued;

the currency or currencies, including composite currencies, in which the price of such warrants may be payable;

if applicable, the designation and terms of the securities with which the warrants are issued and the number of warrants issued with each such security or each principal amount of such security;

in the case of warrants to purchase debt securities, the principal amount of debt securities purchasable upon exercise of one warrant and the price at which and the currency or currencies, including composite currencies, in which this principal amount of debt securities may be purchased upon such exercise;

in the case of warrants to purchase common stock or preferred stock, the number of shares of common stock or preferred stock, as the case may be, purchasable upon exercise of one warrant and the price at which and the currency or currencies, including composite currencies, in which these shares may be purchased upon such exercise;

the date on which the right to exercise such warrants shall commence and the date on which such right will expire (subject to any extension);

whether such warrants will be issued in registered form or bearer form;

if applicable, the minimum or maximum amount of such warrants that may be exercised at any one time;

if applicable, the date on and after which such warrants and the related securities will be separately transferable;

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

the terms of any rights to redeem, or call such warrants;
information with respect to book-entry procedures, if any;
the terms of the securities issuable upon exercise of the warrants;
if applicable, a discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations; and

any other terms of such warrants, including terms, procedures and limitations relating to the exchange and exercise of such warrants. We and the warrant agent may amend or supplement the warrant agreement for a series of warrants without the consent of the holders of the warrants issued thereunder to effect changes that are not inconsistent with the provisions of the warrants and that do not materially and adversely affect the interests of the holders of the warrants.

202

Index to Financial Statements

Each warrant will entitle the holder to purchase for cash such common stock or preferred stock at the exercise price or such principal amount of debt securities as shall in each case be set forth in, or be determinable as set forth in, the prospectus supplement relating to the warrants offered thereby. Warrants may be exercised as set forth in the prospectus supplement beginning on the date specified therein and continuing until the close of business on the expiration date set forth in the prospectus supplement. After the close of business on the expiration date, unexercised warrants will become void.

Upon receipt of payment and a warrant certificate properly completed and duly executed at the corporate trust office of the warrant agent or any other office indicated in the prospectus supplement, we will, as soon as practicable, forward the securities purchasable upon such exercise. If less than all of the warrants represented by such warrant certificate are exercised, a new warrant certificate will be issued for the remaining warrants. If we so indicate in the applicable prospectus supplement, holders of the warrants may surrender securities as all or part of the exercise price for warrants.

Prior to exercising their warrants, holders of warrants will not have any of the rights of holders of the securities purchasable upon such exercise, including, in the case of warrants to purchase debt securities, the right to receive principal, premium, if any, or interest payments, on the debt securities purchasable upon exercise or to enforce covenants in the applicable indenture or, in the case of warrants to purchase common stock or preferred stock, the right to receive dividends or other distributions, if any, or payments upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up or to exercise any voting rights.

Under the 1940 Act, we may generally only offer warrants provided that (i) the warrants expire by their terms within ten years, (ii) the exercise or conversion price is not less than the current market value at the date of issuance, (iii) our stockholders authorize the proposal to issue such warrants, and our board of directors approves such issuance on the basis that the issuance is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders and (iv) if the warrants are accompanied by other securities, the warrants are not separately transferable unless no class of such warrants and the securities accompanying them has been publicly distributed. The 1940 Act also provides that the amount of our voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, as well as options and rights, at the time of issuance may not exceed 25% of our outstanding voting securities.

203

Index to Financial Statements


DESCRIPTION OF OUR DEBT SECURITIES

We may issue debt securities in one or more series. The specific terms of each series of debt securities will be described in this prospectus and in the particular prospectus supplement relating to that series. The prospectus supplement may or may not modify the general terms found in this prospectus and will be filed with the SEC. For a complete description of the terms of a particular series of debt securities, including any supplemental indenture, you should read both this prospectus and the prospectus supplement relating to that particular series.

As required by federal law for all bonds and notes of companies that are publicly offered, the debt securities are governed by a document called an indenture. An indenture is a contract between us and U.S. Bank National Association, a financial institution acting as trustee on your behalf, and is subject to and governed by the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended. The trustee has two main roles. First, the trustee can enforce your rights against us if we default. There are some limitations on the extent to which the trustee acts on your behalf, described in the second paragraph under Events of Default Remedies if an Event of Default Occurs. Second, the trustee performs certain administrative duties for us.

Because this section is a summary, it does not describe every aspect of the debt securities and the indenture. The following description summarizes the material provisions of the indenture. We urge you to read the indenture because it, and not this description, defines your rights as a holder of debt securities. For example, in this section, we use capitalized words to signify terms that are specifically defined in the indenture. We have filed the form of the indenture with the SEC. See Available Information for information on how to obtain a copy of the indenture.

A prospectus supplement, which will accompany this prospectus, will describe the particular terms of any series of debt securities being offered, including the following:



Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

the place or places, if any, other than or in addition to the City of New York, of payment, transfer, conversion and/or exchange of the debt securities;
the denominations in which the offered debt securities will be issued;
the provision for any sinking fund;
any restrictive covenants;
any Events of Default;

204

Index to Financial Statements

whether the series of debt securities are issuable in certificated form;

any provisions for defeasance or covenant defeasance;

if applicable, U.S. federal income tax considerations relating to original issue discount;

whether and under what circumstances we will pay additional amounts in respect of any tax, assessment or governmental charge and, if so, whether we will have the option to redeem the debt securities rather than pay the additional amounts (and the terms of this option);

any provisions for convertibility or exchangeability of the debt securities into or for any other securities;

whether the debt securities are subject to subordination and the terms of such subordination;

the listing, if any, on a securities exchange; and

any other terms.

The debt securities may be secured or unsecured obligations. Unless the prospectus supplement states otherwise, principal (and premium, if any) and interest, if any, will be paid by us in immediately available funds.

We are permitted, under specified conditions, to issue multiple classes of indebtedness if our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is at least equal to 200% immediately after each such issuance. In addition, while any indebtedness and other senior securities remain outstanding, we must make provisions to prohibit any distribution to our stockholders or the repurchase of such securities or shares unless we meet the applicable asset coverage ratios at the time of the distribution or repurchase. We may also borrow amounts up to 5% of the value of our total assets for temporary or emergency purposes without regard to asset coverage. For a discussion of the risks associated with leverage, see Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business.

General

The indenture provides that any debt securities proposed to be sold under this prospectus and the attached prospectus supplement (offered debt securities) and any debt securities issuable upon the exercise of warrants or upon conversion or exchange of other offered securities (underlying debt securities), may be issued under the indenture in one or more series.

For purposes of this prospectus, any reference to the payment of principal of or premium or interest, if any, on debt securities will include additional amounts if required by the terms of the debt securities.

The indenture does not limit the amount of debt securities that may be issued thereunder from time to time. Debt securities issued under the indenture, when a single trustee is acting for all debt securities issued under the indenture, are called the indenture securities. The indenture also provides that there may be more than one trustee thereunder, each with respect to one or more different series of indenture securities. See Resignation of Trustee section below. At a time when two or more trustees are acting under the indenture, each with respect to only certain series, the term indenture securities means the one or more series of debt securities with respect to which each respective trustee is acting. In the event that there is more than one trustee under the indenture, the powers and trust obligations of each trustee described in this prospectus will extend only to the one or more series of indenture securities for which it is trustee. If two or more trustees are acting under the indenture, then the indenture securities for which each trustee is acting would be treated as if issued under separate indentures.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

We refer you to the prospectus supplement for information with respect to any deletions from, modifications of or additions to the Events of Default or our covenants that are described below, including any addition of a covenant or other provision providing event risk or similar protection.

Index to Financial Statements

We have the ability to issue indenture securities with terms different from those of indenture securities previously issued and, without the consent of the holders thereof, to reopen a previous issue of a series of indenture securities and issue additional indenture securities of that series unless the reopening was restricted when that series was created.

Conversion and Exchange

If any debt securities are convertible into or exchangeable for other securities, the prospectus supplement will explain the terms and conditions of the conversion or exchange, including the conversion price or exchange ratio (or the calculation method), the conversion or exchange period (or how the period will be determined), if conversion or exchange will be mandatory or at the option of the holder or us, provisions for adjusting the conversion price or the exchange ratio and provisions affecting conversion or exchange in the event of the redemption of the underlying debt securities. These terms may also include provisions under which the number or amount of other securities to be received by the holders of the debt securities upon conversion or exchange would be calculated according to the market price of the other securities as of a time stated in the prospectus supplement.

Issuance of Securities in Registered Form

We may issue the debt securities in registered form, in which case we may issue them either in book-entry form only or in certificated form. Debt securities issued in book-entry form will be represented by global securities. We expect that we will usually issue debt securities in book-entry only form represented by global securities.

Book-Entry Holders

We will issue registered debt securities in book-entry form only, unless we specify otherwise in the applicable prospectus supplement. This means debt securities will be represented by one or more global securities registered in the name of a depositary that will hold them on behalf of financial institutions that participate in the depositary s book-entry system. These participating institutions, in turn, hold beneficial interests in the debt securities held by the depositary or its nominee. These institutions may hold these interests on behalf of themselves or customers.

Under the indenture, only the person in whose name a debt security is registered is recognized as the holder of that debt security. Consequently, for debt securities issued in book-entry form, we will recognize only the depositary as the holder of the debt securities and we will make all payments on the debt securities to the depositary. The depositary will then pass along the payments it receives to its participants, which in turn will pass the payments along to their customers who are the beneficial owners. The depositary and its participants do so under agreements they have made with one another or with their customers; they are not obligated to do so under the terms of the debt securities.

As a result, investors will not own debt securities directly. Instead, they will own beneficial interests in a global security, through a bank, broker or other financial institution that participates in the depositary s book-entry system or holds an interest through a participant. As long as the debt securities are represented by one or more global securities, investors will be indirect holders, and not holders, of the debt securities.

Street Name Holders

In the future, we may issue debt securities in certificated form or terminate a global security. In these cases, investors may choose to hold their debt securities in their own names or in street name. Debt securities held in street name are registered in the name of a bank, broker or other financial institution chosen by the investor, and the investor would hold a beneficial interest in those debt securities through the account he or she maintains at that institution.

206

Index to Financial Statements

For debt securities held in street name, we will recognize only the intermediary banks, brokers and other financial institutions in whose names the debt securities are registered as the holders of those debt securities and we will make all payments on those debt securities to them. These institutions will pass along the payments they receive to their customers who are the beneficial owners, but only because they agree to do so in their customer agreements or because they are legally required to do so. Investors who hold debt securities in street name will be indirect holders, and not holders, of the debt securities.

Legal Holders

Our obligations, as well as the obligations of the applicable trustee and those of any third parties employed by us or the applicable trustee, run only to the legal holders of the debt securities. We do not have obligations to investors who hold beneficial interests in global securities, in street name or by any other indirect means. This will be the case whether an investor chooses to be an indirect holder of a debt security or has no choice because we are issuing the debt securities only in book-entry form.

For example, once we make a payment or give a notice to the holder, we have no further responsibility for the payment or notice even if that holder is required, under agreements with depositary participants or customers or by law, to pass it along to the indirect holders but does not do so. Similarly, if we want to obtain the approval of the holders for any purpose (for example, to amend an indenture or to relieve us of the consequences of a default or of our obligation to comply with a particular provision of an indenture), we would seek the approval only from the holders, and not the indirect holders, of the debt securities. Whether and how the holders contact the indirect holders is up to the holders.

When we refer to you, we mean those who invest in the debt securities being offered by this prospectus, whether they are the holders or only indirect holders of those debt securities. When we refer to your debt securities, we mean the debt securities in which you hold a direct or indirect interest.

Special Considerations for Indirect Holders

If you hold debt securities through a bank, broker or other financial institution, either in book-entry form or in street name, we urge you to check with that institution to find out:

how it handles securities payments and notices,

whether it imposes fees or charges,

how it would handle a request for the holders consent, if ever required,

whether and how you can instruct it to send you debt securities registered in your own name so you can be a holder, if that is permitted in the future for a particular series of debt securities,

how it would exercise rights under the debt securities if there were a default or other event triggering the need for holders to act to protect their interests, and

if the debt securities are in book-entry form, how the depositary s rules and procedures will affect these matters.

Global Securities

As noted above, we usually will issue debt securities as registered securities in book-entry form only. A global security represents one or any other number of individual debt securities. Generally, all debt securities represented by the same global securities will have the same terms.

Each debt security issued in book-entry form will be represented by a global security that we deposit with and register in the name of a financial institution or its nominee that we select. The financial institution that we

Index to Financial Statements

select for this purpose is called the depositary. Unless we specify otherwise in the applicable prospectus supplement, The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York, known as DTC, will be the depositary for all debt securities issued in book-entry form.

A global security may not be transferred to or registered in the name of anyone other than the depositary or its nominee, unless special termination situations arise. We describe those situations below under Special Situations when a Global Security Will Be Terminated . As a result of these arrangements, the depositary, or its nominee, will be the sole registered owner and holder of all debt securities represented by a global security, and investors will be permitted to own only beneficial interests in a global security. Beneficial interests must be held by means of an account with a broker, bank or other financial institution that in turn has an account with the depositary or with another institution that has an account with the depositary. Thus, an investor whose security is represented by a global security will not be a holder of the debt security, but only an indirect holder of a beneficial interest in the global security.

Special Considerations for Global Securities

As an indirect holder, an investor s rights relating to a global security will be governed by the account rules of the investor s financial institution and of the depositary, as well as general laws relating to securities transfers. The depositary that holds the global security will be considered the holder of the debt securities represented by the global security.

If debt securities are issued only in the form of a global security, an investor should be aware of the following:

An investor cannot cause the debt securities to be registered in his or her name, and cannot obtain certificates for his or her interest in the debt securities, except in the special situations we describe below.

An investor will be an indirect holder and must look to his or her own bank or broker for payments on the debt securities and protection of his or her legal rights relating to the debt securities, as we describe under Issuance of Securities in Registered Form above.

An investor may not be able to sell interests in the debt securities to some insurance companies and other institutions that are required by law to own their securities in non-book-entry form.

An investor may not be able to pledge his or her interest in a global security in circumstances where certificates representing the debt securities must be delivered to the lender or other beneficiary of the pledge in order for the pledge to be effective.

The depositary s policies, which may change from time to time, will govern payments, transfers, exchanges and other matters relating to an investor s interest in a global security. We and the trustee have no responsibility for any aspect of the depositary s actions or for its records of ownership interests in a global security. We and the trustee also do not supervise the depositary in any way.

If we redeem less than all the debt securities of a particular series being redeemed, DTC s practice is to determine by lot the amount to be redeemed from each of its participants holding that series.

An investor is required to give notice of exercise of any option to elect repayment of its debt securities, through its participant, to the applicable trustee and to deliver the related debt securities by causing its participant to transfer its interest in those debt securities, on DTC s records, to the applicable trustee.

DTC requires that those who purchase and sell interests in a global security deposited in its book-entry system use immediately available funds. Your broker or bank may also require you to use immediately available funds when purchasing or selling interests in a global security.

Financial institutions that participate in the depositary s book-entry system, and through which an investor holds its interest in a global security, may also have their own policies affecting payments,

208

Index to Financial Statements

notices and other matters relating to the debt securities. There may be more than one financial intermediary in the chain of ownership for an investor. We do not monitor and are not responsible for the actions of any of those intermediaries.

Special Situations when a Global Security will be Terminated

In a few special situations described below, a global security will be terminated and interests in it will be exchanged for certificates in non-book-entry form (certificated securities). After that exchange, the choice of whether to hold the certificated debt securities directly or in street name will be up to the investor. Investors must consult their own banks or brokers to find out how to have their interests in a global security transferred on termination to their own names, so that they will be holders. We have described the rights of legal holders and street name investors under Issuance of Securities in Registered Form above.

The prospectus supplement may list situations for terminating a global security that would apply only to the particular series of debt securities covered by the prospectus supplement. If a global security is terminated, only the depositary, and not we or the applicable trustee, is responsible for deciding the names of the institutions in whose names the debt securities represented by the global security will be registered and, therefore, who will be the holders of those debt securities.

Payment and Paying Agents

We will pay interest to the person listed in the applicable trustee s records as the owner of the debt security at the close of business on a particular day in advance of each due date for interest, even if that person no longer owns the debt security on the interest due date. That day, often approximately two weeks in advance of the interest due date, is called the record date. Because we will pay all the interest for an interest period to the holders on the record date, holders buying and selling debt securities must work out between themselves the appropriate purchase price. The most common manner is to adjust the sales price of the debt securities to prorate interest fairly between buyer and seller based on their respective ownership periods within the particular interest period. This prorated interest amount is called accrued interest.

Payments on Global Securities

We will make payments on a global security in accordance with the applicable policies of the depositary as in effect from time to time. Under those policies, we will make payments directly to the depositary, or its nominee, and not to any indirect holders who own beneficial interests in the global security. An indirect holder s right to those payments will be governed by the rules and practices of the depositary and its participants.

Payments on Certificated Securities

We will make payments on a certificated debt security as follows. We will pay interest that is due on an interest payment date by check mailed on the interest payment date to the holder at his or her address shown on the trustee s records as of the close of business on the regular record date. We will make all payments of principal and premium, if any, by check at the office of the applicable trustee in New York, New York and/or at other offices that may be specified in the prospectus supplement or in a notice to holders against surrender of the debt security.

Alternatively, if the holder asks us to do so, we will pay any amount that becomes due on the debt security by wire transfer of immediately available funds to an account at a bank in New York City, on the due date. To request payment by wire, the holder must give the applicable trustee or other paying agent appropriate transfer instructions at least 15 business days before the requested wire payment is due. In the case of any interest payment due on an interest payment date, the instructions must be given by the person who is the holder on the relevant regular record date. Any wire instructions, once properly given, will remain in effect unless and until new instructions are given in the manner described above.

209

Index to Financial Statements

Payment when Offices are Closed

If any payment is due on a debt security on a day that is not a business day, we will make the payment on the next day that is a business day. Payments made on the next business day in this situation will be treated under the indenture as if they were made on the original due date, except as otherwise indicated in the attached prospectus supplement. Such payment will not result in a default under any debt security or the indenture, and no interest will accrue on the payment amount from the original due date to the next day that is a business day.

Book-entry and other indirect holders should consult their banks or brokers for information on how they will receive payments on their debt securities.

Events of Default

You will have rights if an Event of Default occurs in respect of the debt securities of your series and is not cured, as described later in this subsection.

The term Event of Default in respect of the debt securities of your series means any of the following (unless the prospectus supplement relating to such debt securities states otherwise):

we do not pay the principal of, or any premium on, a debt security of the series on its due date, and do not cure this default within five days;

we do not pay interest on a debt security of the series when due, and such default is not cured within 30 days;

we do not deposit any sinking fund payment in respect of debt securities of the series on its due date, and do not cure this default within five days;

we remain in breach of a covenant in respect of debt securities of the series for 60 days after we receive a written notice of default stating we are in breach. The notice must be sent by either the trustee or holders of at least 25% of the principal amount of debt securities of the series;

we file for bankruptcy or certain other events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization occur and remain undischarged or unstayed for a period of 60 days;

on the last business day of each of 24 consecutive calendar months, we have an asset coverage of less than 100%; and

any other Event of Default in respect of debt securities of the series described in the applicable prospectus supplement occurs. An Event of Default for a particular series of debt securities does not necessarily constitute an Event of Default for any other series of debt securities issued under the same or any other indenture. The trustee may withhold notice to the holders of debt securities of any default, except in the payment of principal, premium or interest, if it considers the withholding of notice to be in the best interests of the holders.

Remedies if an Event of Default Occurs

If an Event of Default has occurred and has not been cured, the trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the debt securities of the affected series may declare the entire principal amount of all the debt securities of that series to be due and immediately payable. This is called a declaration of acceleration of maturity. In certain circumstances, a declaration of acceleration of maturity may be canceled by the holders of a majority in principal amount of the debt securities of the affected series.

The trustee is not required to take any action under the indenture at the request of any holders unless the holders offer the trustee reasonable protection from expenses and liability (called an indemnity). If reasonable

210

Index to Financial Statements

indemnity is provided, the holders of a majority in principal amount of the outstanding debt securities of the relevant series may direct the time, method and place of conducting any lawsuit or other formal legal action seeking any remedy available to the trustee. The trustee may refuse to follow those directions in certain circumstances. No delay or omission in exercising any right or remedy will be treated as a waiver of that right, remedy or Event of Default.

Before you are allowed to bypass your trustee and bring your own lawsuit or other formal legal action or take other steps to enforce your rights or protect your interests relating to the debt securities, the following must occur:

the holder must give your trustee written notice that an Event of Default has occurred and remains uncured;

the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of all outstanding debt securities of the relevant series must make a written request that the trustee take action because of the default and must offer reasonable indemnity to the trustee against the cost and other liabilities of taking that action;

the trustee must not have taken action for 60 days after receipt of the above notice and offer of indemnity; and

the holders of a majority in principal amount of the debt securities must not have given the trustee a direction inconsistent with the above notice during that 60 day period.

However, you are entitled at any time to bring a lawsuit for the payment of money due on your debt securities on or after the due date.

Holders of a majority in principal amount of the debt securities of the affected series may waive any past defaults other than:

the payment of principal, any premium or interest; or

in respect of a covenant that cannot be modified or amended without the consent of each holder.

Book-entry and other indirect holders should consult their banks or brokers for information on how to give notice or direction to or make a request of the trustee and how to declare or cancel an acceleration of maturity.

Each year, we will furnish to each trustee a written statement of certain of our officers certifying that to their knowledge we are in compliance with the indenture and the debt securities, or else specifying any default.

Merger or Consolidation

Under the terms of the indenture, we are generally permitted to consolidate or merge with another entity. We may also be permitted to sell all or substantially all of our assets to another entity. However, unless the prospectus supplement relating to certain debt securities states otherwise, we may not take any of these actions unless all the following conditions are met:

where we merge out of existence or sell our assets, the resulting entity must agree to be legally responsible for our obligations under the debt securities;

immediately after giving effect to such transaction, no Default or Event of Default shall have happened and be continuing;

under the indenture, no merger or sale of assets may be made if as a result any of our property or assets or any property or assets of one of our subsidiaries, if any, would become subject to any mortgage, lien or other encumbrance unless either (a) the mortgage, lien or other encumbrance could be created

211

Index to Financial Statements

pursuant to the limitation on liens covenant in the indenture without equally and ratably securing the indenture securities or (b) the indenture securities are secured equally and ratably with or prior to the debt secured by the mortgage, lien or other encumbrance;

we must deliver certain certificates and documents to the trustee; and

we must satisfy any other requirements specified in the prospectus supplement relating to a particular series of debt securities. **Modification or Waiver**

There are three types of changes we can make to the indenture and the debt securities issued thereunder.

Changes Requiring Approval

First, there are changes that we cannot make to debt securities without specific approval of all of the holders. The following is a list of those types of changes:

change the stated maturity of the principal of or interest on a debt security;

reduce any amounts due on a debt security;

reduce the amount of principal payable upon acceleration of the maturity of a security following a default;

adversely affect any right of repayment at the holder s option;

change the place (except as otherwise described in the prospectus or prospectus supplement) or currency of payment on a debt security;

impair your right to sue for payment;

adversely affect any right to convert or exchange a debt security in accordance with its terms;

modify the subordination provisions in the indenture in a manner that is adverse to holders of the debt securities;

reduce the percentage of holders of debt securities whose consent is needed to waive compliance with certain provisions of the

299

reduce the percentage of holders of debt securities whose consent is needed to modify or amend the indenture;

indenture or to waive certain defaults:

modify any other aspect of the provisions of the indenture dealing with supplemental indentures, modification and waiver of past defaults, changes to the quorum or voting requirements or the waiver of certain covenants; and

change any obligation we have to pay additional amounts.

Changes Not Requiring Approval

The second type of change does not require any vote by the holders of the debt securities. This type is limited to clarifications and certain other changes that would not adversely affect holders of the outstanding debt securities in any material respect. We also do not need any approval to make any change that affects only debt securities to be issued under the indenture after the change takes effect.

Index to Financial Statements

Changes Requiring Majority Approval

Any other change to the indenture and the debt securities would require the following approval:

if the change affects only one series of debt securities, it must be approved by the holders of a majority in principal amount of that series; and

if the change affects more than one series of debt securities issued under the same indenture, it must be approved by the holders of a majority in principal amount of all of the series affected by the change, with all affected series voting together as one class for this purpose.

The holders of a majority in principal amount of all of the series of debt securities issued under an indenture, voting together as one class for this purpose, may waive our compliance with some of our covenants in that indenture. However, we cannot obtain a waiver of a payment default or of any of the matters covered by the bullet points included above under

Changes Requiring Approval.

Further Details Concerning Voting

When taking a vote, we will use the following rules to decide how much principal to attribute to a debt security:

for original issue discount securities, we will use the principal amount that would be due and payable on the voting date if the maturity of these debt securities were accelerated to that date because of a default;

for debt securities whose principal amount is not known (for example, because it is based on an index), we will use a special rule for that debt security described in the prospectus supplement; and

for debt securities denominated in one or more foreign currencies, we will use the U.S. dollar equivalent.

Debt securities will not be considered outstanding, and therefore not eligible to vote, if we have deposited or set aside in trust money for their payment or redemption. Debt securities will also not be eligible to vote if they have been fully defeased as described later under Defeasance Full Defeasance.

We will generally be entitled to set any day as a record date for the purpose of determining the holders of outstanding indenture securities that are entitled to vote or take other action under the indenture. If we set a record date for a vote or other action to be taken by holders of one or more series, that vote or action may be taken only by persons who are holders of outstanding indenture securities of those series on the record date and must be taken within eleven months following the record date.

Book-entry and other indirect holders should consult their banks or brokers for information on how approval may be granted or denied if we seek to change the indenture or the debt securities or request a waiver.

Defeasance

The following provisions will be applicable to each series of debt securities unless we state in the applicable prospectus supplement that the provisions of covenant defeasance and full defeasance will not be applicable to that series.

Covenant Defeasance

Under current U.S. federal tax law, we can make the deposit described below and be released from some of the restrictive covenants in the indenture under which the particular series was issued. This is called covenant

Index to Financial Statements

defeasance. In that event, you would lose the protection of those restrictive covenants but would gain the protection of having money and government securities set aside in trust to repay your debt securities. If applicable, you also would be released from the subordination provisions as described under the Indenture Provisions Subordination section below. In order to achieve covenant defeasance, we must do the following:

if the debt securities of the particular series are denominated in U.S. dollars, we must deposit in trust for the benefit of all holders of such debt securities a combination of money and U.S. government or U.S. government agency notes or bonds that will generate enough cash to make interest, principal and any other payments on the debt securities on their various due dates;

we must deliver to the trustee a legal opinion of our counsel confirming that, under current U.S. federal income tax law, we may make the above deposit without causing you to be taxed on the debt securities any differently than if we did not make the deposit and just repaid the debt securities ourselves at maturity; and

we must deliver to the trustee a legal opinion of our counsel stating that the above deposit does not require registration by us under the 1940 Act, as amended, and a legal opinion and officers certificate stating that all conditions precedent to covenant defeasance have been complied with.

If we accomplish covenant defeasance, you can still look to us for repayment of the debt securities if there were a shortfall in the trust deposit or the trustee is prevented from making payment. For example, if one of the remaining Events of Default occurred (such as our bankruptcy) and the debt securities became immediately due and payable, there might be a shortfall. Depending on the event causing the default, you may not be able to obtain payment of the shortfall.

Full Defeasance

If there is a change in U.S. federal tax law, as described below, we can legally release ourselves from all payment and other obligations on the debt securities of a particular series (called full defeasance) if we put in place the following other arrangements for you to be repaid:

if the debt securities of the particular series are denominated in U.S. dollars, we must deposit in trust for the benefit of all holders of such debt securities a combination of money and United States government or United States government agency notes or bonds that will generate enough cash to make interest, principal and any other payments on the debt securities on their various due dates.

we must deliver to the trustee a legal opinion confirming that there has been a change in current U.S. federal tax law or an IRS ruling that allows us to make the above deposit without causing you to be taxed on the debt securities any differently than if we did not make the deposit and just repaid the debt securities ourselves at maturity. Under current U.S. federal tax law, the deposit and our legal release from the debt securities would be treated as though we paid you your share of the cash and notes or bonds at the time the cash and notes or bonds were deposited in trust in exchange for your debt securities and you would recognize gain or loss on the debt securities at the time of the deposit;

we must deliver to the trustee a legal opinion of our counsel stating that the above deposit does not require registration by us under the 1940 Act, as amended, and a legal opinion and officers certificate stating that all conditions precedent to defeasance have been complied with:

Defeasance must not result in a breach of the indenture or any other material agreements; and

Satisfy the conditions for covenant defeasance contained in any supplemental indentures.

If we ever did accomplish full defeasance, as described above, you would have to rely solely on the trust deposit for repayment of the debt securities. You could not look to us for repayment in the unlikely event of any shortfall. Conversely, the trust deposit would most likely be protected from claims of our lenders and other creditors if we ever became bankrupt or insolvent. If applicable, you would also be released from the subordination provisions described later under Indenture Provisions Subordination.

Index to Financial Statements

Form, Exchange and Transfer of Certificated Registered Securities

Holders may exchange their certificated securities, if any, for debt securities of smaller denominations or combined into fewer debt securities of larger denominations, as long as the total principal amount is not changed.

Holders may exchange or transfer their certificated securities, if any, at the office of their trustee. We have appointed the trustee to act as our agent for registering debt securities in the names of holders transferring debt securities. We may appoint another entity to perform these functions or perform them ourselves.

Holders will not be required to pay a service charge to transfer or exchange their certificated securities, if any, but they may be required to pay any tax or other governmental charge associated with the transfer or exchange. The transfer or exchange will be made only if our transfer agent is satisfied with the holder s proof of legal ownership.

If we have designated additional transfer agents for your debt security, they will be named in your prospectus supplement. We may appoint additional transfer agents or cancel the appointment of any particular transfer agent. We may also approve a change in the office through which any transfer agent acts.

If any certificated securities of a particular series are redeemable and we redeem less than all the debt securities of that series, we may block the transfer or exchange of those debt securities during the period beginning 15 days before the day we mail the notice of redemption and ending on the day of that mailing, in order to freeze the list of holders to prepare the mailing. We may also refuse to register transfers or exchanges of any certificated securities selected for redemption, except that we will continue to permit transfers and exchanges of the unredeemed portion of any debt security that will be partially redeemed.

Resignation of Trustee

Each trustee may resign or be removed with respect to one or more series of indenture securities provided that a successor trustee is appointed to act with respect to these series. In the event that two or more persons are acting as trustee with respect to different series of indenture securities under the indenture, each of the trustees will be a trustee of a trust separate and apart from the trust administered by any other trustee.

Indenture Provisions Subordination

Upon any distribution of our assets upon our dissolution, winding up, liquidation or reorganization, the payment of the principal of (and premium, if any) and interest, if any, on any indenture securities denominated as subordinated debt securities is to be subordinated to the extent provided in the indenture in right of payment to the prior payment in full of all senior indebtedness (as defined below), but our obligation to you to make payment of the principal of (and premium, if any) and interest, if any, on such subordinated debt securities will not otherwise be affected. In addition, no payment on account of principal (or premium, if any), sinking fund or interest, if any, may be made on such subordinated debt securities at any time unless full payment of all amounts due in respect of the principal (and premium, if any), sinking fund and interest on senior indebtedness has been made or duly provided for in money or money s worth.

In the event that, notwithstanding the foregoing, any payment by us is received by the trustee in respect of subordinated debt securities or by the holders of any of such subordinated debt securities before all senior indebtedness is paid in full, the payment or distribution must be paid over to the holders of the senior indebtedness or on their behalf for application to the payment of all the senior indebtedness remaining unpaid until all the senior indebtedness has been paid in full, after giving effect to any concurrent payment or distribution to the holders of the senior indebtedness. Subject to the payment in full of all senior indebtedness upon this distribution by us, the holders of such subordinated debt securities will be subrogated to the rights of the holders of the senior indebtedness to the extent of payments made to the holders of the senior indebtedness out of the distributive share of such subordinated debt securities.

215

Index to Financial Statements

By reason of this subordination, in the event of a distribution of our assets upon our insolvency, certain of our senior creditors may recover more, ratably, than holders of any subordinated debt securities. The indenture provides that these subordination provisions will not apply to money and securities held in trust under the defeasance provisions of the indenture.

Senior indebtedness is defined in the indenture as the principal of (and premium, if any) and unpaid interest on:

our indebtedness (including indebtedness of others guaranteed by us), whenever created, incurred, assumed or guaranteed, for money borrowed (other than indenture securities issued under the indenture and denominated as subordinated debt securities), unless in the instrument creating or evidencing the same or under which the same is outstanding it is provided that this indebtedness is not senior or prior in right of payment to the subordinated debt securities; and

renewals, extensions, modifications and refinancings of any of this indebtedness.

If this prospectus is being delivered in connection with the offering of a series of indenture securities denominated as subordinated debt securities, the accompanying prospectus supplement to this prospectus will set forth the approximate amount of our senior indebtedness outstanding as of a recent date.

Secured Indebtedness

Certain of our indebtedness, including certain series of indenture securities, may be secured. The prospectus supplement for each series of indenture securities will describe the terms of any security interest for such series and will indicate the approximate amount of our secured indebtedness as of a recent date. In the event of a distribution of our assets upon our insolvency, the holders of unsecured indenture securities may recover less, ratably, than holders of any of our secured indebtedness.

The Trustee under the Indenture

U.S. Bank National Association will serve as the trustee under the indenture.

Certain Considerations Relating to Foreign Currencies

Debt securities denominated or payable in foreign currencies may entail significant risks. These risks include the possibility of significant fluctuations in the foreign currency markets, the imposition or modification of foreign exchange controls and potential illiquidity in the secondary market. These risks will vary depending upon the currency or currencies involved and will be more fully described in the applicable prospectus supplement.

Index to Financial Statements

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

We may offer, from time to time, in one or more offerings or series, up to \$400,000,000 of our common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, subscription rights to purchase shares of our common stock or warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, in one or more underwritten public offerings, at-the-market offerings to or through a market maker or into an existing trading market for the securities, on an exchange, or otherwise, negotiated transactions, block trades, best efforts, auctions or a combination of these methods. The holders of our common stock will indirectly bear any fees and expenses in connection with any such offerings. We may sell the securities through underwriters or dealers, directly to one or more purchasers, including existing stockholders in a rights offering, through agents or through a combination of any such methods of sale. Any underwriter or agent involved in the offer and sale of the securities will be named in the applicable prospectus supplement. A prospectus supplement or supplements will also describe the terms of the offering of the securities, including: the purchase price of the securities and the proceeds we will receive from the sale; any over-allotment options under which underwriters may purchase additional securities from us; any agency fees or underwriting discounts and other items constituting agents or underwriters compensation; any expenses we incur in connection with the sale of such securities; the public offering price; any discounts or concessions allowed or re-allowed or paid to dealers; and any securities exchange or market on which the securities may be listed. Only underwriters named in the prospectus supplement will be underwriters of the securities offered by the prospectus supplement.

The distribution of the securities may be effected from time to time in one or more transactions at a fixed price or prices, which may be changed, at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at prices related to such prevailing market prices, at negotiated prices, or at prices determined by an auction process, provided, however, that the offering price per share of our common stock, less any underwriting commissions or discounts, must equal or exceed the net asset value per share of our common stock at the time of the offering except (1) in connection with a rights offering to our existing stockholders, (2) with the consent of the majority of our voting securities or (3) under such circumstances as the SEC may permit. The price at which securities may be distributed may represent a discount from prevailing market prices. Although we are not currently authorized to issue shares of our common stock at a price below our net asset value per share, we may seek stockholder approval of this proposal again at a special meeting of stockholders or our next annual meeting of stockholders. Our Board of Directors, subject to its fiduciary duties and regulatory requirements, has the discretion to determine the amount of the discount, and as a result, the discount could be up to 100% of net asset value per share.

In connection with the sale of our securities, underwriters or agents may receive compensation from us or from purchasers of our securities, for whom they may act as agents, in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions. Underwriters may sell our securities to or through dealers and such dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the underwriters and/or commissions from the purchasers for whom they may act as agents. Underwriters, dealers and agents that participate in the distribution of our securities may be deemed to be underwriters under the Securities Act, and any discounts and commissions they receive from us and any profit realized by them on the resale of our securities may be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. Any such underwriter or agent will be identified and any such compensation received from us will be described in the applicable prospectus supplement.

Any underwriter may engage in over-allotment, stabilizing transactions, short-covering transactions and penalty bids in accordance with Regulation M under the Exchange Act. Over-allotment involves sales in excess of the offering size, which create a short position. Stabilizing transactions permit bids to purchase the underlying security so long as the stabilizing bids do not exceed a specified maximum price. Syndicate-covering or other short-covering transactions involve purchases of the securities, either through exercise of the over-allotment option or in the open market after the distribution is completed, to cover short positions. Penalty bids permit the underwriters to reclaim a selling concession from a dealer when the securities originally sold by the dealer are purchased in a stabilizing or covering transaction to cover short positions. Those activities may cause the price of the securities to be higher than it would otherwise be. If commenced, the underwriters may discontinue any of the activities at any time.

Index to Financial Statements

Any underwriters that are qualified market makers on the NYSE may engage in passive market making transactions in our common stock on the NYSE in accordance with Regulation M under the Exchange Act, during the business day prior to the pricing of the offering, before the commencement of offers or sales of our common stock. Passive market makers must comply with applicable volume and price limitations and must be identified as passive market makers. In general, a passive market maker must display its bid at a price not in excess of the highest independent bid for such security; if all independent bids are lowered below the passive market maker s bid, however, the passive market maker s bid must then be lowered when certain purchase limits are exceeded. Passive market making may stabilize the market price of the securities at a level above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market and, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

We may sell securities directly or through agents we designate from time to time. We will name any agent involved in the offering and sale of securities and we will describe any commissions we will pay the agent in the prospectus supplement. Unless the prospectus supplement states otherwise, our agent will act on a best-efforts basis for the period of its appointment.

Unless otherwise specified in the applicable prospectus supplement, each class or series of securities will be a new issue with no trading market, other than our common stock, which is traded on the NYSE. We may elect to list any other class or series of securities on any exchanges, but we are not obligated to do so. We cannot guarantee the liquidity of the trading markets for any securities.

Under agreements that we may enter, underwriters, dealers and agents who participate in the distribution of our securities may be entitled to indemnification by us against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or contribution with respect to payments that the agents or underwriters may make with respect to these liabilities. Underwriters, dealers and agents may engage in transactions with, or perform services for, us in the ordinary course of business.

If so indicated in the applicable prospectus supplement, we will authorize underwriters or other persons acting as our agents to solicit offers by certain institutions to purchase our securities from us pursuant to contracts providing for payment and delivery on a future date. Institutions with which such contracts may be made include commercial and savings banks, insurance companies, pension funds, investment companies, educational and charitable institutions and others, but in all cases such institutions must be approved by us. The obligations of any purchaser under any such contract will be subject to the condition that the purchase of our securities shall not at the time of delivery be prohibited under the laws of the jurisdiction to which such purchaser is subject. The underwriters and such other agents will not have any responsibility in respect of the validity or performance of such contracts. Such contracts will be subject only to those conditions set forth in the prospectus supplement, and the prospectus supplement will set forth the commission payable for solicitation of such contracts.

We may enter into derivative transactions with third parties, or sell securities not covered by this prospectus to third parties in privately negotiated transactions. If the applicable prospectus supplement indicates, in connection with those derivatives, the third parties may sell securities covered by this prospectus and the applicable prospectus supplement, including in short sale transactions. If so, the third party may use securities pledged by us or borrowed from us or others to settle those sales or to close out any related open borrowings of stock, and may use securities received from us in settlement of those derivatives to close out any related open borrowings of stock. The third parties in such sale transactions will be underwriters and, if not identified in this prospectus, will be identified in the applicable prospectus supplement.

In compliance with the guidelines of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the maximum compensation to the underwriters or dealers in connection with the sale of our securities pursuant to this prospectus and the accompanying supplement to this prospectus may not exceed 8% of the aggregate offering price of the securities as set forth on the cover page of the supplement to this prospectus.

In order to comply with the securities laws of certain states, if applicable, our securities offered hereby will be sold in such jurisdictions only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers.

Index to Financial Statements

BROKERAGE ALLOCATION AND OTHER PRACTICES

Because we generally acquire and dispose of our investments in privately negotiated transactions, we rarely use brokers in the normal course of business. In those cases where we do use a broker, we do not execute transactions through any particular broker or dealer, but will seek to obtain the best net results for Hercules, taking into account such factors as price (including the applicable brokerage commission or dealer spread), size of order, difficulty of execution, and operational facilities of the firm and the firm s risk and skill in positioning blocks of securities. While we generally seek reasonably competitive execution costs, we may not necessarily pay the lowest spread or commission available. Subject to applicable legal requirements, we may select a broker based partly upon brokerage or research services provided to us. In return for such services, we may pay a higher commission than other brokers would charge if we determine in good faith that such commission is reasonable in relation to the services provided. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 we paid approximately \$37,500, \$13,700 and \$9,000 in brokerage commissions, respectively.

CUSTODIAN, TRANSFER AND DIVIDEND PAYING AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Securities we hold in connection with our investments are held under a custody agreement with Union Bank of California. The address of the custodian is 475 Sansome Street, 15th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111. We have also entered into a custody agreement with U.S. Bank National Association, which is located at One Federal Street, Third Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, will act as our transfer agent, dividend paying and reinvestment agent and registrar. The principal business address of the transfer agent is 6201 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11219.

LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters regarding the securities offered by this prospectus will be passed upon for us by Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP, Washington, D.C. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for underwriters, if any, by the counsel named in the prospectus supplement.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting (which is included in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting) as of December 31, 2013 included in this Prospectus have been so included in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The information under the caption Senior Securities as of December 31, 2009, included elsewhere herein, has been derived from our financial statements audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm as set forth in their supplementary report appearing elsewhere herein. Such information has been included herein, in reliance upon such supplementary report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

Index to Financial Statements

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form N-2, together with all amendments and related exhibits, under the Securities Act, with respect to our securities offered by this prospectus. The registration statement contains additional information about us and our securities being offered by this prospectus.

We file annual, quarterly and current periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC under the Exchange Act. You may inspect and copy these reports, proxy statements and other information, as well as the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part and the related exhibits and schedules, at the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549-0102. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 202-551-8090. The SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information filed electronically by us with the SEC which are available on the SEC s Internet website at http://www.sec.gov. Copies of these reports, proxy and information statements and other information may be obtained, after paying a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following E-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov, or by writing the SEC s Public Reference Section, Washington, D.C. 20549-0102.

Index to Financial Statements

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, 2013	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the three years ended December 31, 2013	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2013	F-7
Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2013	F-8
Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2012	F-23
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-42
Schedule 12-14 Investments In and Advances to Affiliates	F-75

Index to Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated statement of assets and liabilities, including the consolidated schedule of investments, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in net assets, and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework 1992 issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO 1992). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing on page 92. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. Our procedures included confirmation of securities at December 31, 2013 by correspondence with the custodian, borrowers and brokers, and where replies were not received, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Francisco, CA

February 27, 2014

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Decem	ber 31,
	2013	2012
Assets		
Investments:		
Non-control/Non-affiliate investments (cost of \$891,059 and \$896,031, respectively)	\$ 899,314	\$ 894,428
Affiliate investments (cost of \$15,238 and \$18,307, respectively)	10,981	11,872
Total investments, at value (cost of \$906,297 and \$914,338, respectively)	910,295	906,300
Cash and cash equivalents	268,368	182,994
Restricted cash	6,271	
Interest receivable	8,962	9,635
Other assets	27,819	24,714
Total assets	\$ 1,221,715	\$ 1,123,643
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 14,268	\$ 11,575
Long-term Liabilities (Convertible Senior Notes)	72,519	71,436
Asset-Backed Notes	89,557	129,300
2019 Notes	170,364	170,364
Long-term SBA Debentures	225,000	225,000
Total liabilities	\$ 571,708	\$ 607,675
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)		
Net assets consist of:		
Common stock, par value	62	53
Capital in excess of par value	656,594	564,508
Unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments	3,598	(7,947)
Accumulated realized losses on investments	(15,240)	(36,916)
Undistributed net investment income/(Distributions in excess of investment income)	4,993	(3,730)
Total net assets	\$ 650,007	\$ 515,968
Total liabilities and net assets	\$ 1,221,715	\$ 1,123,643
Shares of common stock outstanding (\$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 authorized)	61,837	52,925
Net asset value per share	\$ 10.51	\$ 9.75

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

The following table presents the assets and liabilities of our consolidated securitization trust for the asset-backed notes (see Note 4), which is a variable interest entity (VIE). The assets of our securitization VIE can only be used to settle obligations of our consolidated securitization VIE, these liabilities are only the obligations of our consolidated securitization VIE, and the creditors (or beneficial interest holders) do not have recourse to our general credit. These assets and liabilities are included in the Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities above.

	Decen	iber 31,
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
ASSETS		
Restricted Cash	\$ 6,271	\$
Total investments, at value (cost of \$166,513 and \$0, respectively)	165,445	226,997
Total assets	\$ 171,716	\$ 226,997
LIABILITIES		
Asset-Backed Notes	\$ 89,557	\$ 129,300
Total liabilities	\$ 89,557	\$ 129,300

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Fo				
	2013	2012	2011		
Investment income:					
Interest Income					
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	\$ 121,302	\$ 85,258	\$ 69,552		
Affiliate investments	2,369	2,345			
Control investments			794		
Total interest income	123,671	87,603	70,346		
Fees					
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	16,016	9,897	9,400		
Affiliate investments	26	20	14		
Control investments			95		
Total fees	16,042	9,917	9,509		
	,	2,22	2,000		
Total investment income	139,713	97,520	79,855		
Operating expenses:	139,713	91,320	19,633		
Interest	30,334	19,835	13,252		
Loan fees	4,807	3,917	2,635		
General and administrative	9,354	8,108	7,992		
Employee Compensation:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	.,		
Compensation and benefits	16,179	13,326	13,260		
Stock-based compensation	5,974	4,227	3,128		
Total employee compensation	22,153	17,553	16,388		
Total employee compensation	22,133	17,555	10,500		
Total aparating averages	66,648	49,413	40,267		
Total operating expenses	00,048	49,413	40,207		
Net investment income	73,065	48,107	39,588		
Net realized gain on investments	14.926	2.160	2.741		
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	14,836	3,168	2,741		
Total net realized gain on investments	14,836	3,168	2,741		
Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments					
Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments	12,370	(2,448)	(3,976)		
Affiliate investments	(825)	(2,068)	3,425		
Control investments			5,158		
Total net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	11,545	(4,516)	4,607		
Total net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	26,381	(1,348)	7,348		
(100)	_0,501	(-,0)	.,		
Nat increase in nat assets resulting from operations	\$ 99.446	\$ 46,759	\$ 46,936		
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 99,440	\$ 40,739	\$ 40,930		

Net investment income before investment gains and losses per common share:					
Basic	\$ 1.22	\$	0.96	\$	0.91
Change in net assets per common share:					
Basic	\$ 1.67	\$	0.93	\$	1.08
Diluted	\$ 1.63	\$	0.93	\$	1.07
Weighted average shares outstanding					
Basic	58,838	49	9,068	4	2,988
Diluted	60,292	49	9,156	4	3,299

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

(dollars and shares in thousands)

	Commo	on Sto	ock							istributed net vestment		ovision	
	Shares	Par	Value	Capital in excess of par value	Ap	nrealized preciation on vestments	(L	cumulated Realized Gains Losses) on vestments	(Dis	ncome/ tributions excess of vestment ncome)	In Ta Inve	for acome xes on estment Gains	Net Assets
Balance at December 31, 2010	43,444	\$	43	\$ 477,549	\$	(8,038)	\$	(51,033)	\$	(5,648)	\$	(342)	\$ 412,531
Net increase in net assets resulting from	73,777	Ψ	73	Ψ +11,5+2	Ψ	(0,030)	Ψ	(31,033)	Ψ	(3,040)	Ψ	(342)	Ψ +12,331
operations						4,607		2,741		39,588			46,936
Issuance of common stock	188		1	981		4,007		2,771		37,300			982
Issuance of common stock under restricted	100		•	701									702
stock plan	140												
Issuance of common stock as stock dividend	167			1.649									1.649
Retired shares from net issuance	(86)			(952)									(952)
Issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes (see	(80)			(932)									(932)
Note 4)				5,190									5,190
,				5,190						(29, 400)			
Dividends declared				2.105						(38,490)			(38,490)
Stock-based compensation				3,195									3,195
Tax Reclassification of stockholders equity in accordance with generally accepted accounting													
principles				(3,368)				5,250		(1,882)			
Balance at December 31, 2011	43,853	\$	44	\$ 484,244	\$	(3,431)	\$	(43,042)	\$	(6,432)	\$	(342)	\$ 431,041
Not increase in not assets resulting from													
Net increase in net assets resulting from		\$		\$	\$	(4,516)	\$	3,168	\$	48,107	\$		\$ 46,759
operations Issuance of common stock	570	Ф	1		Ф	(4,310)	ф	3,108	Ф	46,107	Ф		
	578		1	3,287									3,288
Issuance of common stock under restricted	505												
stock plan	505			2 20 7									2 207
Issuance of common stock as stock dividend.	219			2,305									2,305
Retired shares from net issuance	(330)			(4,625)									(4,625)
Public Offering	8,100		8	80,872									80,880
Dividends declared										(47,983)			(47,983)
Stock-based compensation				4,303									4,303
Tax Reclassification of stockholders equity in													
accordance with generally accepted accounting													
principles				(5,878)				2,958		2,920			
Balance at December 31, 2012	52,925	\$	53	\$ 564,508	\$	(7,947)	\$	(36,916)	\$	(3,388)	\$	(342)	\$ 515,968
Bulance at Becomeer 51, 2012	02,720	Ψ		Ψ 00 1,000	Ψ	(7,5.7)	Ψ	(20,510)	Ψ	(2,200)	Ψ	(5.2)	Ψ υ 1υ,> υ υ
Net increase in net assets resulting from		_			_		_			= 0 * · · ·	_		
operations		\$	_	\$	\$	11,545	\$	14,836	\$	73,065	\$		\$ 99,446
Issuance of common stock	2,019		2	25,245									25,247
Issuance of common stock under restricted													
stock plan	423		1	(1)									
Issuance of common stock as stock dividend	159			2,201									2,201
Retired shares from net issuance	(1,739)		(2)	(27,990)									(27,992)
Public Offering	8,050		8	95,529									95,537
Dividends declared										(66,454)			(66,454)

Stock-based compensation			6,054					6,054
Tax Reclassification of stockholders equity in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles			(8,952)		6,840	2,112		
Balance at December 31, 2013	61,837	\$ 62	\$ 656,594	\$ 3,598	\$ (15,240)	\$ 5,335	\$ (342)	\$ 650,007

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

Cash flows from operating activities: Verticences in teal assets resulting from operations \$ 9,9,46 \$ 4,6750 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$ 4,6936 \$		2013	December 31, 2012	2011
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations \$9,446 \$4,759 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936 \$4,936	Cash flows from operating activities:	2015	2012	2011
Adjustments to reconcile neincease in net assets resulting from operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: **Turchase of investments** **Turchase of capital equipment** **Turchase of		\$ 99 446	\$ 46.759	\$ 46,936
Departing activities:		Ψ	Ψ 10,739	Ψ 10,230
Purchase of investments (487,558) (507,098) (445,066) Principal payments received on investments 478,358 245,777 247,328 Proceeds from sale of investments (44,832) 25,948 17,733 Net realized gain on investments (14,836) (3,048) 12,741 Net realized gain on investments (14,836) (3,048) 12,741 Necretion of loan discounts (6,552) (5,441) (6,098) Necretion of loan discounts (6,522) (5,44) (6,098) Accretion of loan discounts (8,251) (3,986) (49 Accretion of loan discounts (8,087) (1,900) (615 Accretion of loan discounts (8,048) (1,900) (615 Amortization of debt fees and issuance costs (8,048) (1,900) (615 Observation of debt fees and issuance costs	· ·			
Principal payments received on investments 477,535 245,777 247,235 Proceeds from sale of investments 44,832 25,948 17,733 Net (increase) decrease in unrealized (appreciation) / depreciation on investments (11,545) 4,516 4,600 Net realized gain on investments (11,545) 4,516 1,600 Accretion of Joan discounts (6,652) (5,411) (6,999) Accretion of Joan discounts (10,833) 1,033 7,076 Accretion of Joan discounts (10,833) 1,033 7,076 Accretion of Joan discounts (10,909) 2,031 2,420 Accretion of Joan exit fees (10,211) (3,396) (2,421) Accretion of Joan discounts (3,087) (1,900) (1,500) Accretion of Joan discounts (3,087) (1,900) (3,100) Accretion of		(487.558)	(507.098)	(445.066)
Proceeds from sale of investments				
Net (increase) decrease in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation on investments	• • •	·		
Net realized gain on investments				
Accretion of paid-in-kind principal (3,103) (1,400) (1,943) Accretion of loan discounts (6,652) (5,441) (6,999) Accretion of loan discount on Convertible Senior Notes 1,083 1,083 767 Accretion of loan discount on Convertible Senior Notes 1,089 2,301 2,420 Change in deferred loan origination revenue 1,409 2,301 2,420 Change in deferred loan origination revenue 1,409 2,301 2,420 Amortization of debt fees and issuance costs 4,044 1,560 1,688 Depreciation 252 289 348 Scheck-based compensation and amortization of restricted stock grants 6,054 4,303 3,195 Change in operating assets and liabilities 672 (3,815) (1,300 Prepaid expenses and other assets 2,488 (988) 318 Accounts payable 54 279 653 Accrued liabilities 103,594 (193,935) (139,525 Charmed for investing activities 103,594 (193,935) (189,525 Ne	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Accretion of Joan discounts (6,652) (5,441) (6,992) Accretion of Joan discounts on Convertible Senior Notes 1,083 1,083 767 Accretion of Joan discount on Convertible Senior Notes 9,251) 3,086) 0.94 Change in deferred Joan origination revenue 1,409 2,301 2,420 Charge in deferred Joan origination revenue 1,083 1,090 615 Amortization of debt fees and issuance costs 4,044 1,560 1,688 Stock-based Compensation and amortization of restricted stock grants 6,054 4,303 3,195 Change in operating assets and liabilities: 6,054 4,303 3,195 Change in operating assets and liabilities: 6,054 4,303 3,195 Interest and fees receivable (payable) 67 3,815 (1,300 Popal expenses and other assets 2,488 (988) 318 Accrued liabilities 1,359 (1,305 4,437 (563 Accrued liabilities 1,359 (1,939,35) (139,525 Cast flows from investing activities 3,11 (87)				
Accretion of loan discount on Convertible Senior Notes				
Accretion of loan exit fees				
Change in deferred loan origination revenue				
Decame fees related to unfunded commiments				
Amortzátión of debt fees and issuance costs 4,044 1,560 1,688 Decircitatión 252 289 348 Stock-based compensation and amortization of restricted stock grants 6,054 4,303 3,195 Change in operating assets and liabilities: 87 3,815 (1,300 Prepaid expenses and other assets 2,488 (988) 318 Accounts payable 54 279 (563 Accounts payable 103,594 (193,935) (139,525 Cash flows from investing activities 103,594 (193,935) (139,525 Cash flows from investing activities (6,271) (87 (189 Purchases of capital equipment (6,271) (87 (189 Obber long-term assets (6,271) (87 (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) <				
Depreciation 252 289 348 Schoed-based compensation and amortization of restricted stock grants 6,054 4,303 3,195 Change in operating assets and liabilities: 1 1 1 1 1,305 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315 1,315				
Scock-based compensation and amortization of restricted stock grants 6,054 4,303 3,195 Change in operating assets and liabilities:				
Change in operating assets and liabilities:				
Interest and fees receivable (payable) 672 3.815 1.300 Prepaid expenses and other assets 2,488 988 318 Accounts payable 54 279 563 Accrued liabilities 103,594 1193,935 139,525 Accrued liabilities 103,594 193,935 139,525 Accrued liabilities 103,594 189,935 189,525 Accrued liabilities 103,595 189,525 Accrued liabilities 103,595 189,595 Accrued liabilities 193,935 193,935 Accrued liab		0,034	4,303	3,193
Prepaid expenses and other assets 2,488 (988) 318 Accounts payable 54 279 (563 Account diabilities 103,594 (193,935) (139,525 Cet cash provided by (used in) operating activities 28 (193,935) (139,935) (139,525 Cash flows from investing activities (311) (87) (189 Purchases of capital equipment (311) (87) (189 Investment in restricted cash (6,271) (6,271) (25 Vet cash used in investing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from investing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities (6,582) (87) (30) Ovividends paid (6,582) (87) 30 (30,505) (30,505) (30,505) (30,50		672	(2.015)	(1.200)
Accounts payable			,	
Accrued liabilities 1,757 926 2,443 Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities 103,594 (193,935) (139,525) Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of capital equipment (311) (87) (189 (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193,935) (193				
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of capital equipment (311) (87) (189) Investment in restricted cash (6,271) Other long-term assets (25) Net cash used in investing activities (6,582) (87) (214) Cash flows from financing activities Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) (50,403) (30,403) (50,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403) (40,403)		_		. ,
Cash flows from investing activities: (311) (87) (189) Purchases of capital equipment in restricted cash (6,271) (5,251) Other long-term assets (25 Net cash used in investing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities Total control of the common stock, net 92,376 79,647 30 Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 (5,582) (5,578) (36,843) Issuance of Lonvertible Senior Notes 170,365 (5,582) (5,578) (36,843) (5,578) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) (36,843) <td>Accrued habilities</td> <td>1,/5/</td> <td>926</td> <td>2,443</td>	Accrued habilities	1,/5/	926	2,443
Purchases of capital equipment (311) (87) (189 (189 (189 (189 (189 (189 (189 (189	Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	103,594	(193,935)	(139,525)
Investment in restricted cash (6,271) (25	Cash flows from investing activities:			
Other long-term assets (25 Net cash used in investing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities: 2 (25 Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net 92,376 79,647 30 Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) 30 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Respect paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	Purchases of capital equipment	(311)	(87)	(189)
Other long-term assets (25 Net cash used in investing activities (6,582) (87) (214 Cash flows from financing activities: 2 (25 Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net 92,376 79,647 30 Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) 30 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Respect paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	Investment in restricted cash	(6,271)	,	
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net 92,376 79,647 30 Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes 129,300 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	Other long-term assets			(25)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net 92,376 79,647 30 Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes 129,300 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	Net cash used in investing activities	(6,582)	(87)	(214)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net 92,376 79,647 30 Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes 129,300 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014				
Dividends paid (64,252) (45,678) (36,843) Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes 129,300 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540) Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014		92,376	79.647	30
Issuance of Convertible Senior Notes 75,000 Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes 129,300 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014				
Issuance of 2019 Notes Payable 170,365 Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes 129,300 Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	1	(= 1,== =)	(10,010)	
Issuance of Asset-Backed Notes Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes Borrowings of credit facilities Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes Repayments of Asset Backed Notes Repayments of Asset Bac			170.365	,
Repayments of Asset-Backed Notes (39,743) Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	·		,	
Borrowings of credit facilities 64,000 92,500 (74,228) (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313 (27,313		(39.743)	12,,000	
Repayments of credit facilities (74,228) (27,313 Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	1 7	(5),7 (5)	64 000	92 500
Cash paid for debt issuance costs (10,864) (3,110 Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014				
Fees paid for credit facilities and debentures (19) (3,065 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014				,
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (11,638) 312,542 97,199 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014		(10)	(10,004)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 85,374 118,520 (42,540 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	rees paid for creat facilities and describines	(17)		(3,003)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(11,638)	312,542	97,199
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year 182,994 64,474 107,014	Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	85,374	118,520	(42,540)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year \$268,368 \$182,994 \$64,474	Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year)		107,014
	Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 268,368	\$ 182,994	\$ 64,474

Supplemental disclosures:

Interest paid	\$ 25,245	\$ 18,928	\$ 11,270
Income taxes paid	\$ 85	\$ 44	\$ 66
Stock dividend	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,305	\$ 1,649

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Debt							
Biotechnology Tools							
1-5 Years Maturity							
Labcyte, Inc.(11)	Biotechnology Tools	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.70% or Floor rate of 9.95%	\$ 4,270	\$ 4,323	\$ 4,289
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						4,323	4,289
Subtotal: Biotechnology Tools (0.66%)*					4,323	4,289
Energy Technology							
Under 1 Year Maturity							
American Superconductor	Energy	Senior Secured	December	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25%			
Corporation ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Technology	0 . 0 .	2014	or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 4,615	4,991	4,991
Brightsource Energy, Inc.	Energy	Senior Secured	January	Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or	¢ 15.000	15.006	15.006
	Technology	0 . 0 1	2014	Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 15,000	15,886	15,886
Enphase Energy, Inc.(11)	Energy	Senior Secured	June 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 5.75%	¢ 1215	1 250	1 250
	Technology			or Floor rate of 9.00%	\$ 1,315	1,358	1,358
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity						22,236	22,236
1-5 Years Maturity	T.		Б. 1	The Political Confession			
Agrivida, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	December 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 6,000	5,887	5,770
American Superconductor	Energy	Senior Secured	November	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25%			
Corporation ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Technology		2016	or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 10,000	9,801	9,801
APTwater, Inc	Energy Technology	Senior Secured	April 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%, PIK Interest 2.75%	\$ 18.085	17,874	17,874
BioAmber, Inc.(5)(10)	Energy	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75%	\$ 10,000	17,674	17,074
BioAmoci, inc.	Technology	Schiol Secured	Julie 2010	or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 25,000	25,298	25,798
Enphase Energy, Inc.(11)	Energy	Senior Secured	August	Interest rate PRIME + 8.25%	, ,,,,,,,	,	ĺ
El.: dia T.	Technology	C: C 1	2016	or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 7,400	7,422	7,314
Fluidic, Inc.	Energy	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$ 5,000	4.922	4,922
Fulcrum Bioenergy, Inc.(11)	Technology	Senior Secured		Interest rate PRIME + 7.75%	\$ 3,000	4,922	4,922
Fulcium Bloenergy, Inc. (11)	Energy Technology	Semor Secured	2016	or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 10,000	9,944	9,694
Glori Energy, Inc.(11)	Energy	Senior Secured	June 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75%	φ 10,000	J,J 44	2,024
Citi Dieigj, ilici	Technology	Sellior Secured	Julio 2013	or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 5,333	5,457	5,414
Polyera Corporation	Energy	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75%	÷ 5,555	5,157	3,111
	Technology			or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 5,809	5,797	5,686
SCIEnergy, Inc.(4)	Energy	Senior Secured	September	Interest rate PRIME + 8.75%	,	-,	-,0
	Technology		2015	or Floor rate of 12.00%	\$ 4,448	4,596	4,685
Scifiniti (pka Integrated Photovoltaics,	Energy	Senior Secured	February	Interest rate PRIME + 7.38%			
Inc.)	Technology		2015	or Floor rate of 10.63%	\$ 1,463	1,443	1,429
Stion Corporation. (4)(6)		Senior Secured			\$ 4,571	4,005	4,096

	Energy Technology		February 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%			
TAS Energy, Inc.	Energy	Senior Secured	February	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75%	e 15.00	0 15 277	15 401
	Technology Energy	Senior Secured	2015 February	or Floor rate of 11.00% Interest rate PRIME + 6.25%	\$ 15,00	0 15,277	15,421
	Technology	Schol Secured	2015	or Floor rate of 9.50%	\$ 4,50	3 4,374	4,338
Total TAS Energy, Inc.						19,651	19,760
TPI Composites, Inc.	Energy	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.00%			
	Technology			or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$ 15,00	0 14,888	14,889
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						136.985	137,131
						130,500	,101
Subtotal: Energy Technology (24.5)	2%)*(13)					159,221	159,367

F-8

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		incipal mount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾	
Communications & Networking									
1-5 Years Maturity	G	0 1 0 1	T 1 2017	T. DDDAG					
OpenPeak, Inc. ⁽¹¹⁾	Communications & Networking	Senior Secured	July 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 8.75% or Floor rate of 12.00%	\$	10,029	\$ 10,714	\$ 10,814	
Spring Mobile Solutions, Inc.	Communications & Networking	Senior Secured	November 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%		20,000	19,682	19,875	
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							30,396	30,690	
Subtotal: Communications & Networking (4.72%)*									
Drug Delivery									
1-5 Years Maturity									
AcelRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)(10)	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	October 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 3.85% or Floor rate of 9.10%	\$	15,000	14,556	15,006	
BIND Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	September 2016	Interest rate Prime + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	4,500	4,407	4,458	
Celsion Corporation ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate Prime + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$	5,000	4,897	4,897	
Dance Biopharm, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	August 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.4% or Floor rate of 10.65%	\$	1,000	974	974	
Intelliject, Inc. ⁽¹¹⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 5.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%		15,000	15,150	15,450	
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	May 2016	Interest rate Prime - 3.25% or Floor rate of 9.85%		5,749	5,629	5,744	
Revance Therapeutics, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	March 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.60% or Floor rate of 9.85%	\$	9,798	10.032	9,943	
	Drug Delivery	Senior Secured	March 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.60% or Floor rate of		·	.,		
Total Daviance Therement: In-				9.85%	\$	980	1,011	994	
Total Revance Therapeutics, Inc.							11,043	10,937	
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							56,655	57,466	
Subtotal: Drug Delivery (8.84%)*							56,655	57,466	
Drug Discovery & Development 1-5 Years Maturity									

ADMA Biologics, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate Prime + 2.75% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 5,000	4,956	4,892
Anacor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	July 2017	Interst rate PRIME + 6.40% or Floor rate of 11.65%	\$ 30,000	29,083	29,810
Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)(10)(11)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.15% or Floor rate of 11.90%	\$ 19,396	19,396	19,590
Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(3)(11)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	October 2016	Interest rate Prime + 9.00% or Floor rate of 12.25%	\$ 15,000	14,750	15,200
Cempra, Inc.(3)(11)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.30% or Floor rate of 9.55%	\$ 15,000	14,795	14,550
Cleveland BioLabs, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	January 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.20% or Floor rate of 10.45%	\$ 6,000	5,909	5,909
Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	October 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 3.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 15,091	14,933	14,649
Coronado Biosciences, Inc. (3)(11)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.00% or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$ 13,654	13,720	13,449
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 4.40% or Floor rate of 10.15%	\$ 5,026	4,991	4,981
Insmed, Incorporated ⁽¹¹⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	January 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 4.75% or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$ 20,000	19,708	19,535
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	November 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 5.30% or Floor rate of 10.55%	\$ 40,000	40,314	39,455
Neuralstem, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 8,000	7,874	8,035

F-9

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

T	~	Type of	Maturity	<u> </u>		incipal	_	.(2)	
Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Investment ⁽¹⁾	Date	Interest Rate and Floor	A	mount	Co	st ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	N/A	Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$	36	\$	36	\$
	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	N/A	Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$	45		45	
	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	N/A	N/A	\$	28		28	
Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.					\$	109		109	
uniQure B.V. ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Secured	October 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.60% or Floor rate of 11.85%	\$	10,000		9,695	9,818
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							20	0,232	199,872
Subtotal: Drug Discovery & Develop	ment (30.75%)*						20	0,232	199,872
Electronics & Computer Hardware 1-5 Years Maturity									
Clustrix, Inc.	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Secured	December 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$	524		526	526
Identive Group, Inc.(3)(11)	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Secured	November 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%		5,938		5,696	5,755
OCZ Technology Group, Inc.	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate Prime + 8.75% or Floor rate of 12.50%, PIK Interest		ŕ		ŕ	·
Plures Technologies, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Electronics & Computer	Senior Secured	October 2016	3.00% Interest rate Prime + 12.75% or Floor rate of	\$	1,221		1,221	1,221
	Hardware		2010	16.00%, PIK Interest 4.00%	\$	2,046		1,958	1,458
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity								9,400	8,959
Subtotal: Electronics & Computer Ha	ardware (1.38%)*							9,400	8,959
Healthcare Services, Other									
1-5 Years Maturity									
InstaMed Communications, LLC	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	December 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% or Floor rate	¢.	2,000		2.070	2.070
MDEverywhere, Inc.	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	June 2016	of 10.50% Interest rate LIBOR + 9.50% or Floor rate of	\$	3,000		2,979	2,979
				10.75%	\$	2,000		1,875	1,907

Orion Healthcorp, Inc.	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate LIBOR + 10.50% or Floor rate of 12.00%, PIK Interest 3.00%	\$	6,591	6,467	6,413
	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate LIBOR + 9.50% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$		8,838	8,445
	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 8.25% or Floor rate of 9.50%	\$	500	465	461
Total Orion Healthcorp, Inc.					\$	16,091	15,769	15,318
Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate LIBOR + 9.00% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$	1,946	2,017	1,988
	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate LIBOR + 11.00% or Floor rate of 14.00%, PIK interest 3.75%	\$		6,867	6,833
				3.73 70	Ψ	0,050	0,007	0,033
Total Pacific Child & Family Associates,	LLC				\$	8,782	8,884	8,822
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							29,508	29,025
·								
Subtotal: Healthcare Services, Other (4	.47%)*						29,508	29,025

F-10

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

		Type of	Maturity		Pr	incipal		
Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Investment ⁽¹⁾	Date	Interest Rate and Floor		mount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Information Services								
1-5 Years Maturity								
Eccentex Corporation ⁽¹¹⁾	Information Services	Senior Secured	May 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	657	\$ 658	\$ 185
InXpo, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$	2,550	2,489	2,384
Jab Wireless, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Secured	November 2017	Interest rate Libor + 6.75% or Floor rate of 8.00%		30,000	29,822	29,822
	Information Sarvices	Sanior Sagurad		Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or	Ф	30,000	29,022	29,022
	information services	Sellioi Secureu	2017	Floor rate of 8.00%	\$	2,000	1,996	1,996
Total Jab Wireless, Inc.					\$	32,000	31,818	31,818
Womensforum.com ⁽¹¹⁾	Information Services	Senior Secured	October 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%, PIK Interest 2.00%		4,607	4,536	4,127
	Information Services	Senior Secured		Interest rate LIBOR + 6.50%			,	,
	Information Compiess	Camian Casumad	2016	or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$	6,900	6,793	6,470
	Information Services	Senior Secured	April 2013	Interest rate LIBOR + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.00%	\$	1,250	1,227	1,156
Total Womensforum.com					\$	12,757	12,556	11,754
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							47,521	46,140
Subtotal: Information Services (7.10%)*	:						47,521	46,140
Internet Consumer & Business Services								
Under 1 Year Maturity								
Gazelle, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	October 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$	2,137	2,115	2,115
Tectura Corporation ⁽⁸⁾	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	May 2014	Interest rate LIBOR + 10.00% or Floor rate of 13.00%	\$	6,468	6.467	3,566
	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	May 2014	Interest rate LIBOR + 8.00% or Floor rate of 11.00%,	-	3,100	2,127	2,200
	& Busiliess Services			PIK Interest 1.00%	\$	10,777	10,777	5,943
	Internet Consumer	Senior Secured	May 2014	Interest rate LIBOR + 10.00%	7	-,,	,,,,,	2,7.5
	& Business Services Internet Consumer	Senior Secured	•	or Floor rate of 13.00% Interest rate LIBOR + 10.00%	\$	563	563	310
	& Business Services	Somoi Securcu	111uj 2014	or Floor rate of 13.00%	\$	5,000	5,000	2,757
Total Tectura Corporation					\$	22,807	22,806	12,576
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity							24,921	14,691

1-5 Years Maturity							
Blurb, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	December 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 6,351	6,216	6,054
CashStar, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate 10.50%, PIK Interest 1.00%	\$ 4,018	3,944	3,916
Education Dynamics, LLC	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate Libor + 12.5% or Floor rate 12.50%, PIK Interest 1.5%	\$ 24,685	24,284	23,582
Gazelle, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate Prime + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%, PIK Interest 2.50%	\$ 12,365	12,283	12,128
Just Fabulous, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	February 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 5,000	4,842	4,842

F-11

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor		ncipal nount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
NetPlenish ⁽⁸⁾	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate FIXED 10.00%	\$	383	\$ 375	\$
	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	April 2015	Interest rate FIXED 10.00%	\$	97	97	
Total NetPlenish					\$	480	472	
Reply! Inc.(11)	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	February 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50%, PIK Interest 2.00%	\$	3,031	3,051	3,034
	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate Prime + 6.88% or Floor rate of 10.13%, PIK Interest 2.00%	s	9,169	9,086	9,169
	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 11.00%, PIK Interest 2.00%	\$	2,020	2,044	2,070
Total Reply! Inc.	T	g : g : 1	T 2016	T DDD CE		14,220	14,181	14,273
ShareThis, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	June 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$	14,578	14,160	14,160
VaultLogix, LLC	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate LIBOR + 7.00% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$	7,897	7,927	7,525
	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2016	Interest rate LIBOR + 8.50% or Floor rate of 10.00%, PIK interest 2.50%	\$	7,949	7,898	7,397
Total VaultLogix, LLC WaveMarket, Inc. ⁽¹¹⁾	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of	\$	15,847	15,826	14,923
	& 245mess 50111665		2010	9.50%	\$	10,000	9,940	9,665
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							106,148	103,545
Subtotal: Internet Consumer & Busines	ss Services (18.19%)*						131,069	118,236
Media/Content/Info								
Under 1 Year Maturity								
Zoom Media Group, Inc.	Media/Content/Info	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$	4,000	3,858	3,858

Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity						3,858	3,858
1-5 Years Maturity							
Zoom Media Group, Inc.	Media/Content/Info	Senior Secured	December 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.25% and PIK + 3.75% or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 4,288	4,122	4,071
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						4,122	4,071
Subtotal: Media/Content/Info (1.22%)*						7,981	7,929
Medical Devices & Equipment							
Under 1 Year Maturity							
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. (9)(11)	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate Fixed 7.00%	\$ 500	500	500
Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity						500	500
1-5 Years Maturity							
Baxano Surgical, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	March 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 12.5%	\$ 7,500	7,222	7,222
Home Dialysis Plus, Inc.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	April 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 6.35% or Floor rate of 9.60%	\$ 10,000	9,732	9,732
InspireMD, Inc.(3)(5)(10)	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	February 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 5.00% or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 10.000	9,696	9,696
Medrobotics Corporation	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	March 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.85% or Floor rate of 11.10%	\$ 4,561	4,489	4,454
					,- · -	,	,

F-12

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

		Type of	Maturity			incipal		
Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Investment ⁽¹⁾	Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Ar	nount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
NetBio, Inc.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	August 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 5.00% or Floor rate of 11.00%	¢	5,000	\$ 4,788	\$ 4,788
NinePoint Medical, Inc.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	January 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 5.85% or Floor rate of 9.10%		5,946	5.911	5.794
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽⁹⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	September 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 5.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%		7,064	6,980	7,162
SonaCare Medical, LLC (pka US HIFU, LLC) ⁽¹¹⁾	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	April 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	5.667	5,754	5,818
United Orthopedic Group, Inc.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	July 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 8.60% or Floor rate of 11.85%		25,000	24,647	25,166
ViewRay, Inc.	Medical Devices & Equipment	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%, PIK Interest 1.50%		·	14,489	14,489
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							93,707	94,320
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipment (14.	59%)*						94,206	94,819
Semiconductors								
1-5 Years Maturity			_					
Achronix Semiconductor Corporation	Semiconductors	Senior Secured	January 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 10.60% or Floor rate of 13.85%	\$	1,032	1,023	1,006
SiTime Corporation	Semiconductors	Senior Secured	September 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$	3,500	3,473	3,473
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity							4,495	4,479
Subtotal: Semiconductors (0.69%)*							4,495	4,479
Software								
Under 1 Year Maturity	0.0	G .	a	I Don m				
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	September 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$	2,000	1,979	1,979
StartApp, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate PRIME + 2.75% or Floor rate of 6.00%	\$	200	191	191
Touchcommerce, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	December 2014	Interest rate Prime + 2.25% or Floor rate of 6.50%		3,111	3,071	2,970
		Secureu	2014	01 1 1001 1ate 01 0.30 /0	φ	J,111	3,071	2,970

Subtotal: Under 1 Year Maturity	5,241	5,140
---------------------------------	-------	-------

1-5 Years Maturity							
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	November 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 5,842	5,530	5,530
Hillcrest Laboratories, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	July 2015	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 2,660	2,630	2,604
Mobile Posse, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	December 2016	Interest rate PRIME + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 4,000	3,876	3,879
Neos Geosolutions, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	May 2016	Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 3,771	3,808	3,705
Sonian, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	July 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$ 5,500	5,332	5,332
StartApp, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	March 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 7.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 2,500	2,507	2,498
Touchcommerce, Inc.	Software	Senior Secured	June 2017	Interest rate Prime + 6.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$ 5,000	4,688	4,767
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						28,372	28,315
Subtotal: Software (5.15%)*						33,613	33,455

F-13

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Sub-maustry	investment(=)	Date	Interest Rate and Floor	Amount	Cost	v alue(*)
1-5 Years Maturity							
Rockwell Medical, Inc.	Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Senior Secured	March 2017	Interest rate PRIME + 9.25% or Floor rate of 12.50%	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,055	\$ 20,055
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						20,055	20,055
Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (3.09	%)*					20,055	20,055
Surgical Devices							
1-5 Years Maturity							
Transmedics, Inc. ⁽¹¹⁾	Surgical Devices	Senior Secured	November 2015	Interest rate FIXED 12.95%	\$ 7,250	7,207	7,207
Subtotal: 1-5 Years Maturity						7,207	7,207
Subtotal: Surgical Devices (1.11%)*						7,207	7,207
Total Debt (126.46%)*						835,882	821,988

F-14

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Equity						
Biotechnology Tools NuGEN Technologies, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Fanity	Preferred Series C	189,394	\$ 500	\$ 687
Nucleiv Technologies, Inc.	Diotectifiology Tools	Equity	Tieleffed Seffes C	109,394	ў 300	φ 007
Subtotal: Biotechnology Tools (0.1	1%)*				500	687
Communications & Networking						
GlowPoint, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Communications &					
	Networking	Equity	Common Stock	114,192	102	157
Peerless Network, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Equity	Preferred Series A	1,000,000	1,000	3,621
Stoke, Inc.	Communications & Networking	Equity	Preferred Series E	152,905	500	224
Subtotal: Communications & Netw	working (0.62%)*				1,602	4,002
Consumer & Business Products	C 0					
Caivis Acquisition Corporation	Consumer & Business Products	Equity	Common Stock	295,861	819	598
IPA Holdings, LLC	Consumer & Business Products	Equity	LLC Interest	500,000	500	676
Market Force Information, Inc.	Consumer &	• •				
	Business Products	Equity	Preferred Series B	187,970	500	285
Subtotal: Consumer & Business Pr	roducts (0.24%)*				1,819	1,559
Diagnostic						
Singulex, Inc.	Diagnostic	Equity	Common Stock	937,998	750	750
Subtotal: Diagnostic (0.12%)*					750	750
D D"						
Drug Delivery AcelRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)(10)	Dena Dalivaero	Equity	Common Stock	90.242	170	1,009
Merrion Pharmaceuticals,	Drug Delivery	Equity	Common Stock	89,243	178	1,009
$Plc^{(3)(5)(10)}$	Drug Delivery	Equity	Common Stock	20,000	9	
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Equity	Common Stock	50,000	146	164
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Equity	Common Stock	41,570	500	140
Subtotal: Drug Delivery (0.20%)*					833	1,313
D DI 05						
Drug Discovery & Development Acceleron Pharma, Inc. (3)		Equity	Common Stock	256.410	1.505	9,286
			2 3	200,.10	1,000	>,230

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

	Drug Discovery &					
	Development					
Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)(10)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Equity	Common Stock	167,864	842	307
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(12)	Drug Discovery &	• •				
	Development	Equity	Preferred Series B	20,107	503	228
	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Equity	Preferred Series C	142,858	1,000	1,055
		1 3		,	,	,
T (1D' DI ('11				160.065	1.502	1 202
Total Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.				162,965	1,503	1,283
Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corporation	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Equity	Common Stock	15,334	1,500	
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Equity	Common Stock	546,448	2,000	2,912
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Equity	Common Stock	85,450	5	
	Drug Discovery &	• •				
	Development	Equity	Preferred Series H	244,158	1,000	
	•	• •				
Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.				329,608	1,005	
Total Falatek Filaffilaceuticals, Inc.				349,008	1,003	
Subtotal: Drug Discovery & Develo	pment (2.12%)*				8,355	13,788

F-15

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Information Services						
Buzznet, Inc.	Information Services	Equity	Preferred Series C	263,158	\$ 250	\$
Good Technologies, Inc. (pka Visto						
Corporation)	Information Services	Equity	Common Stock	500,000	603	
Subtotal: Information Services (0.00%)*				853	
Internet Consumer & Business Service	s					
Blurb, Inc.	Internet Consumer &					
	Business Services	Equity	Preferred Series B	220,653	175	444
Philotic, Inc.	Internet Consumer &					
	Business Services	Equity	Common Stock	8,121	92	
Progress Financial	Internet Consumer &					
(2)	Business Services	Equity	Preferred Series G	218,351	250	280
Trulia, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Internet Consumer &	Equity	Common Stock	20.240		4.005
	Business Services			29,340	141	1,035
Subtotal: Internet Consumer & Busine	ss Services (0.27%)*				658	1,759
Media/Content/Info						
Everyday Health, Inc. (pka Waterfront						
Media, Inc.)	Media/Content/Info	Equity	Preferred Series D	145,590	1,000	425
Subtotal: Media/Content/Info (0.07%)	*				1,000	425
M.P. ID. to O.E. to A						
Medical Devices & Equipment Gelesis, Inc. (6)	Medical Devices &					
Gelesis, Ilic.	Equipment	Equity	LLC Interest	2,024,092	925	466
Medrobotics Corporation	Medical Devices &	Equity	LLC Interest	2,024,072	723	400
medicocates corporation	Equipment	Equity	Preferred Series E	136,798	250	269
Novasys Medical, Inc.	Medical Devices &	1 3		,		
	Equipment	Equity	Preferred Series D-1	4,118,444	1,000	
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.(6)	Medical Devices &					
	Equipment	Equity	Preferred Series B	6,185,567	3,000	411
	Medical Devices &					
	Equipment	Equity	Preferred Series C	1,927,309	655	135
	Medical Devices & Equipment	Equity	Preferred Series D	41,352,489	3,945	4,006
Total Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.				49,465,365	7,600	4,552
Total Optiscan Dioniculcal, Corp.				T7,TU3,3U3	7,000	7,334
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipment	nt (0.81%)*				9,775	5,287
Software						
Atrenta, Inc.	Software	Equity	Preferred Series C	1,196,845	986	1,607

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

	Software	Equity	Preferred Series D	635,513	508	1,088
Total Atrenta, Inc.				1,832,358	1,494	2,695
Box, Inc.	Software	Equity	Preferred Series C	390,625	500	7,031
	Software	Equity	Preferred Series D	158,133	500	2,846
	Software	Equity	Preferred Series D-1	124,511	1,000	2,241
	Software	Equity	Preferred Series D-2	220,751	2,001	3,974
	Software	Equity	Preferred Series E	38,183	500	687
Total Box, Inc.				932,203	4,501	16,779
CapLinked, Inc.	Software	Equity	Preferred Series A-3	53,614	51	94
ForeScout Technologies, Inc.	Software	Equity	Preferred Series D	319,099	398	849
HighRoads, Inc.	Software	Equity	Preferred Series B	190,170	307	337
Subtotal: Software (3.19%)*					6,751	20,754

F-16

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Specialty Pharmaceuticals						
QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company	Specialty					
	Pharmaceuticals	Equity	Preferred Series E	241,829	\$ 750	\$
	Specialty		Preferred Series			
	Pharmaceuticals	Equity	E-1	26,955		
	Specialty					
	Pharmaceuticals	Equity	Preferred Series G	4,667,636		
Total QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company				4,936,420	750	
Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (0.00)	%)*				750	
Surgical Devices						
Gynesonics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Equity	Preferred Series B	219,298	250	73
	Surgical Devices	Equity	Preferred Series C	656,538	282	123
	Surgical Devices	Equity	Preferred Series D	1,621,553	580	749
Total Gynesonics, Inc.				2,497,389	1,112	945
Transmedics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Equity	Preferred Series B	88,961	1,100	303
	Surgical Devices	Equity	Preferred Series C	119,999	300	212
	Surgical Devices	Equity	Preferred Series D	260,000	650	886
Total Transmedics, Inc.				468,960	2,050	1,401
Subtotal: Surgical Devices (0.36%)*					3,162	2,346
Total Equity (8.10%)*					36.808	52,670
1					,	,,,,,,
Warrant						
Biotechnology Tools						
Labcyte, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Warrant	Preferred Series C	1,127,624	323	65
NuGEN Technologies, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Warrant	Preferred Series B	234,659	78	234
Subtotal: Biotechnology Tools (0.05%)*					401	299
Energy Technology						
Agrivida, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	77,447	120	243
Alphabet Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series A	86,329	82	176
American Superconductor Corporation ⁽³⁾	Energy Technology	Warrant	Common Stock	512,820	391	175
Brightsource Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series 1	175,000	780	214
Calera, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	44,529	513	
EcoMotors, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series B	437,500	308	475
Fluidic, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	59,665	102	138
Fulcrum Bioenergy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant		280,897	275	210

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

			Preferred Series C-1			
Glori Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	145,932	165	50
GreatPoint Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series			
			D-1	393,212	548	
Polyera Corporation	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	161,575	69	44
Propel Fuels	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	3,200,000	211	233
SCIEnergy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series D	1,061,623	360	2
Scifiniti (pka Integrated Photovoltaics, Inc.)	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series B	390,000	82	68
Solexel, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series C	1,171,625	1,162	278
Stion Corporation ⁽⁶⁾	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series			
			Seed	2,154	1,378	1,627
TAS Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series F	428,571	299	756
TPI Composites, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series B	120	172	376
Trilliant, Inc.	Energy Technology	Warrant	Preferred Series A	320,000	162	34
Subtotal: Energy Technology (0.78%)*(13)					7,179	5,099

F-17

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Communications & Networking	Sub-maustry	Type of investment	Series	Silares	Cost	v aruc ·· /
Intelepeer, Inc.	Communications					
1	&					
	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series C	117,958	\$ 102	\$ 112
OpenPeak, Inc.	Communications					
	&					
	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series 2	108,982	149	
PeerApp, Inc.	Communications					
	&					
	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series B	298,779	61	41
Peerless Network, Inc.	Communications					
	&					
	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series A	135,000	95	368
Ping Identity Corporation	Communications					
	& N. 1.	***	D C 1C ' D	1 126 277	52	00
Carina Mahila Calatiana Ina	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series B	1,136,277	52	98
Spring Mobile Solutions, Inc.	Communications					
	& Naturalijas	Warrant	Preferred Series D	2 924 275	417	661
Stoke, Inc.	Networking Communications	warrant	Preferred Series D	2,834,375	417	001
Stoke, Ilic.	&					
	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series C	158,536	53	5
	Communications	Warrant	Tierented Series C	130,330	33	
	&					
	Networking	Warrant	Preferred Series D	72,727	65	2
	1 tot working	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Treferred Series B	72,727	0.0	_
T-4-1 C4-1 L				221.262	110	7
Total Stoke, Inc.				231,263	118	/
Subtotal: Communications & Network	king (0.20%)*				994	1,287
Consumer & Business Products						
Intelligent Beauty, Inc.	Consumer &					
interrigent beauty, nic.	Business Products	Warrant	Preferred Series B	190,234	230	1,027
IPA Holdings, LLC	Consumer &	vv arrant	Tiercired Series D	190,234	230	1,027
ii A Holdings, EEC	Business Products	Warrant	Common Stock	650,000	275	408
Market Force Information, Inc.	Consumer &	Warrant	Common Stock	030,000	213	400
warker roree information, me.	Business Products	Warrant	Preferred Series A	99,286	24	1
				77,=00		
Subtotal: Consumer & Business Produ	ucts (0.22%)*				529	1,436
Subtotal. Consumer & Business Front	icts (0.22 %)				32)	1,430
Diagnostic						
Navidea Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. (pka						
Neoprode) ⁽³⁾	Diagnostic	Warrant	Common Stock	333,333	244	152
Treoprode).	Diagnostic	·· urunt	Common Stock	555,555	277	1,72
Subtatal, Diagnostia (0.02%)*					244	152
Subtotal: Diagnostic (0.02%)*					244	152

Drug Delivery						
AcelRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)(10)	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Common Stock	176,730	786	961
Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Common Stock	37,639	645	1
BIND Therapeutics, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Common Stock	71,359	367	294
Celsion Corporation ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Common Stock	97,493	227	249
Dance Biopharm, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Preferred Series A	97,701	74	154
Intelliject, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Preferred Series B	82,500	594	1,115
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Common Stock	106,631	139	136
Revance Therapeutics, Inc. (12)		Warrant	Preferred Series			
	Drug Delivery		E-5	802,675	557	330
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Warrant	Common Stock	61,452	87	3
_						
Subtotal: Drug Delivery (0.50%)*					3,476	3,243
Subtotal. Ding Delivery (0.30 %)					3,470	3,243
Drug Discovery & Development						
Acceleron Pharma, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery					
	&					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	11,611	39	294
ADMA Biologics, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery					
	&					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	31,750	129	73
Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery					
	&					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	40,178	984	9
Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery					
	&					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	679,040	405	601
Cempra, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery			,		
<u>.</u> .	&					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	138,797	458	728
	,			,		3

F-18

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. (5)(10)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Warrant	Preferred Series D	325,261	\$ 490	\$ 500
Cleveland BioLabs, Inc(3)	Drug Discovery &			156050	407	
C (D) (1 1 (12)	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	156,250	105	66
Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (12)	Drug Discovery &	W/	Preferred Series C	400,000	367	577
Coronado Biosciences, Inc.(3)	Development Drug Discovery &	Warrant	Preferred Series C	400,000	307	577
Colonado Biosciences, inc.	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	73,009	142	41
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (12)	Drug Discovery &	w aran	Common Stock	73,007	172	71
Dicerna i narmaccaticais, me.	Development Development	Warrant	Common Stock	200	28	
	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Warrant	Preferred Series A	21,000	237	38
	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Warrant	Preferred Series B	26,400	310	48
	_					
Total Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.				47,600	575	86
Horizon Pharma, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &			.,,000	0,0	00
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	22,408	231	5
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	302,143	155	488
Neuralstem, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	648,798	295	1,045
Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)	Drug Discovery &					
(7) (10) (10)	Development	Warrant	Common Stock	68,702	153	683
uniQure B.V. ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹²⁾	Drug Discovery &		D 0 10 1	105.050	210	242
	Development	Warrant	Preferred Series A	185,873	218	313
Subtotal: Drug Discovery & Developme	ent (0.85%)*				4,746	5,509
Electronics & Computer Hardware						
Clustrix, Inc.	Electronics &					
Clubiani, mer	Computer Hardware	Warrant	Common Stock	50,000	12	16
Identive Group, Inc.(3)	Electronics &					
• '	Computer Hardware	Warrant	Common Stock	992,084	247	136
Plures Technologies, Inc.(3)	Electronics &					
	Computer Hardware	Warrant	Preferred Series A	552,467	124	100
Subtotal: Electronics & Computer Hard	dware (0.04%)*				383	252
•						
Healthcare Services, Other	II 14 C '					
MDEverywhere, Inc.	Healthcare Services,	Wannant	C C41	120	0.4	F 5
	Other	Warrant	Common Stock	129	94	55
Subtotal: Healthcare Services, Other (0	.01%)*				94	55

Buzznet, Inc.	Information Services	Warrant	Preferred Series B	19,962	9	
Cha Cha Search, Inc.	Information Services	Warrant	Preferred Series G	48,232	57	10
InXpo, Inc.	Information Services	Warrant	Preferred Series C	648,400	98	45
			Preferred Series			
	Information Services	Warrant	C-1	582,015	49	40
Total InXpo, Inc.				1,230,415	147	85
Jab Wireless, Inc.	Information Services	Warrant	Preferred Series A	266,567	265	330
RichRelevance, Inc.	Information Services	Warrant	Preferred Series E	112,612	98	
Subtotal: Information Services (0.07%)*					576	425
Internet Consumer & Business Services						
	I					
Blurb, Inc.	Internet Consumer &	W/	Df 1 C D	210 604	200	160
	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series B	218,684	299	169
	Internet Consumer &	***	D 4 14 1 4	221200		2.40
	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series C	234,280	636	248
Total Blurb, Inc.				452,964	935	417

F-19

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
CashStar, Inc.	Internet Consumer		5 6 16 1			
	& Di	Wannant	Preferred Series	151515	¢ 102	¢ 47
Gazelle, Inc.	Business Services Internet Consumer	Warrant	C-2	454,545	\$ 102	\$ 47
Gazene, mc.	&					
	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series D	151,827	165	62
Invoke Solutions, Inc.	Internet Consumer					
	&					
	Business Services	Warrant	Common Stock	53,084	39	
Just Fabulous, Inc.	Internet Consumer					
	& B : 6 :	W.	D C 1C ' D	127.456	500	1.057
Driam Education Crown Inc	Business Services Internet Consumer	Warrant	Preferred Series B	137,456	589	1,057
Prism Education Group, Inc.	&					
	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series B	200,000	43	
Progress Financial	Internet Consumer	The state of the s	Treferred Series B	200,000		
	&					
	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series G	174,562	78	76
Reply! Inc.	Internet Consumer					
	&					
Character's Inc.	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series B	137,225	320	93
ShareThis, Inc.	Internet Consumer &					
	Business Services	Warrant	Preferred Series C	493,502	546	241
Tectura Corporation	Internet Consumer	** difuit	Tiereried Beries C	475,502	540	271
	&		Preferred Series			
	Business Services	Warrant	B-1	253,378	51	
WaveMarket, Inc.	Internet Consumer					
	&		Preferred Series			
	Business Services	Warrant	B-1	1,083,779	105	85
Subtotal: Internet Consumer & Busin	ess Services (0.32%)*				2,973	2,078
N. V. (G.). (T. 6)						
Media/Content/Info						
Everyday Health, Inc. (pka Waterfront Media, Inc.)	Media/Content/Info	Warrant	Preferred Series C	110,018	60	50
Glam Media, Inc.	Media/Content/Info	Warrant	Preferred Series D	407,457	482	30
Zoom Media Group, Inc.	Media/Content/Info	Warrant	Preferred Series A	1,204	348	275
1,				•		
Subtotal: Media/Content/Info (0.05%))*				890	325
Medical Devices & Equipment						
Baxano Surgical, Inc.(3)	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Common Stock	882,353	439	344
Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾	• •	Warrant	LLC Interest	263,688	78	7
Colosio, mo.	Medical Devices	·······································	DLC Interest	203,000	70	,

	& Equipment					
Home Dialysis Plus, Inc.	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series A	300,000	245	297
InspireMD, Inc.(3)(5)(10)	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Common Stock	168,351	242	167
Medrobotics Corporation	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series D	424,008	343	184
	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series E	34,199	27	23
	1 1					
Total Medrobotics Corporation				458,207	370	207
MELA Sciences, Inc.(3)	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Common Stock	693,202	401	94
NetBio, Inc.	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Common Stock	2,568	408	398
NinePoint Medical, Inc.	Medical Devices					
			Preferred Series			
	& Equipment	Warrant	A-1	587,840	170	288
Novasys Medical, Inc.	Medical Devices					
	& Equipment	Warrant	Common Stock	109,449	2	
	Medical Devices &					
	Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series D	526,840	125	
	Medical Devices & Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series D-1	53,607	6	
	2 quipment	** a.1 a.1 t	D-1	55,007	U	
Total Novasys Medical, Inc.				689,896	133	
roun roungs medical, me.				307,070	155	

F-20

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp. (6)	Medical Devices	• •				
•	&					
	Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series D	10,535,275	\$ 1,252	\$ 232
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.	Medical Devices					
	&					
	Equipment	Warrant	Common Stock	95,498	66	23
	Medical Devices					
	&					
	Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series C	716,948	677	134
Total Owaya Themanautics Inc				812,446	743	157
Total Oraya Therapeutics, Inc. SonaCare Medical, LLC (pka US HIFU,	Medical Devices			612,440	743	137
LLC)	&					
LLC)	Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series A	409,704	188	201
United Orthopedic Group, Inc.	Medical Devices	rr arrailt	1 Teleffed Series A	402,704	100	201
Cinica Granopeane Group, inc.	&					
	Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series A	423,076	608	785
ViewRay, Inc.	Medical Devices	· · · · · · · ·	110101104 501105 11	.22,070	000	, 00
	&					
	Equipment	Warrant	Preferred Series C	312,500	333	331
	• •					
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipmen	t (0 54%)*				5.610	3,508
Subtotal: Medical Devices & Equipmen	t (0.54 /e)				3,010	3,300
Semiconductors						
Achronix Semiconductor Corporation	Semiconductors	Warrant	Preferred Series C	360,000	160	194
SiTime Corporation	Semiconductors	Warrant	Preferred Series G	195,683	24	12
1				ĺ		
Subtotal: Semiconductors (0.03%)*					184	206
Subtotal. Selliconductors (0.05 %)					104	200
Software	C - ft	Wannana	Durfama I Caria D	202 (70	101	220
Atrenta, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series D	392,670	121	330
Box, Inc.	Software Software	Warrant Warrant	Preferred Series B Preferred Series C	271,070 199,219	72 117	4,701
	Software	vv arraiit	Preferred Series C	199,219	11/	3,331
	Software	Warrant	D-1	62,255	194	625
	Soliware	vv artalit	D-1	02,233	174	023
Total Box, Inc.				532,544	383	8,657
Braxton Technologies, LLC	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series A	168,750	187	0,057
Central Desktop, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series B	522,769	108	187
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series B	1,038,563	330	495
Chemon, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series C	592,019	730	363
	Softmare	·· carteire	1 Totalied Belles C	372,017	750	303
Total Clickfox, Inc.				1,630,582	1,060	858
Daegis Inc. (pka Unify Corporation)(3)	Software	Warrant	Common Stock	718,860	1,433	83
ForeScout Technologies, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series E	80,587	41	82
Hillcrest Laboratories, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series E	1,865,650	55	139
Mobile Posse, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series C	396,430	130	129

Neos Geosolutions, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series 3	221,150	22			
Sonian, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series C	185,949	106	105		
SugarSync, Inc.			Preferred Series					
	Software	Warrant	CC	332,726	78	48		
			Preferred Series					
	Software	Warrant	DD	107,526	34	16		
Total Sugarsync, Inc.				440,252	112	64		
Touchcommerce, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series E	992,595	251	248		
White Sky, Inc.			Preferred Series					
•	Software	Warrant	B-2	124,295	54	4		
WildTangent, Inc.	Software	Warrant	Preferred Series 3	100,000	238	123		
Subtotal: Software (1.69%)*					4,301	11,009		
Subtotal: Software (1.05 %)					4,501	11,007		
Specialty Pharmaceuticals								
QuatRx Pharmaceuticals Company	Specialty							
	~F							
	DI (* 1	W	D C 10 ' F	155 224	207			
	Pharmaceuticals	Warrant	Preferred Series E	155,324	307			
					307			
Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (0.0	Subtotal: Specialty Pharmaceuticals (0.00%)*							

F-21

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2013

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Surgical Devices						
Gynesonics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Warrant	Preferred Series C	180,480	\$ 74	\$ 27
	Surgical Devices	Warrant	Preferred Series D	1,575,965	320	383
Total Gynesonics, Inc.				1,756,445	394	410
Transmedics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Warrant	Preferred Series B	40,436	225	9
	Surgical Devices	Warrant	Preferred Series D	175,000	100	335
	-					
Total Transmedics, Inc.				215,436	325	344
Subtotal: Surgical Devices (0.12%)*					719	754
Total Warrants (5.48%)*					33,606	35,637
Total Investments (140.04%)*					\$ 906,297	\$ 910,295

- Value as a percent of net assets
- (1) Preferred and common stock, warrants, and equity interests are generally non-income producing.
- (2) Gross unrealized appreciation, gross unrealized depreciation, and net depreciation for federal income tax purposes totaled \$48.8 million, \$44.5 million and \$4.3 million respectively. The tax cost of investments is \$906.2 million
- (3) Except for warrants in twenty-five publicly traded companies and common stock in nine publicly traded companies, all investments are restricted at December 31, 2013 and were valued at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. No unrestricted securities of the same issuer are outstanding. The Company uses the Standard Industrial Code for classifying the industry grouping of its portfolio companies.
- (4) Debt investments of this portfolio company have been pledged as collateral under the Wells Facility.
- (5) Non-U.S. company or the company s principal place of business is outside the United States.
- (6) Affiliate investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 5% but not more than 25% of the voting securities of the company.
- (7) Control investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owners at least 25% but not more than 50% of the voting securities of the company
- (8) Debt is on non-accrual status at December 31, 2013, and is therefore considered non-income producing.
- (9) Convertible Senior Debt
- (10) Indicates assets that the Company deems not qualifying assets under section 55(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Qualifying assets must represent at least 70% of the Company s total assets at the time of acquisition of any additional non-qualifying assets.
- (11) Denotes that all or a portion of the loan secures the notes offered in the Debt Securitization (as defined in Note 4).
- (12) Subsequent to December 31, 2013, this company completed an initial public offering. Note that the December 31, 2013 fair value does not reflect any potential impact of the conversion of our preferred shares to common shares which may include reverse split associated with the offering.
- (13) In our quarterly and annual reports filed with the commission prior to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we referred to this industry sector as Clean Tech.

F-22

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Debt					
Anthera Pharmaceuticals Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.30% or Floor rate of 10.55%	\$ 20,532	\$ 20,745	\$ 21,007
Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures September 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.15% or Floor rate of 11.90%	\$ 26,500	26,500	27,030
Cempra, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures December 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.30% or Floor rate of 9.55%	\$ 10,000	9,862	9,902
Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. (5)(10)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt Matures November 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or Floor rate of 12.00%	\$ 4,111	4,718	4,759
Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt Matures October 2015 Interest rate Prime + 3.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 20,000	19,633	18,983
Coronado BioSciences, Inc. (3)	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures March 2016 Interest rate Prime + 6.00% or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$ 15,000	14,761	14,761
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 4.40% or Floor rate of 10.15%	\$ 9,166	8,996	8,929
Insmed, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures January 2016 Interest rate Prime + 4.75% or Floor rate of 9.25%	\$ 20,000	19,305	19,674
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt Matures May 2016 Interest rate Prime + 5.30% or Floor rate of 10.55%	\$ 40,000	39,670	39,670
NeurogesX, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 13,662	13,645	13,884
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery & Development	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures upon liqudation Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$ 45	45	45
		Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures upon liqudation Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$ 36	31	31

Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 76 76

Total Debt Drug Discovery & Development (34.63%)*

177,911

178,675

F-23

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Bridgewave Communications	Communications & Networking	Senior Debt Matures March 2016 Interest rate Prime + 8.75% or			
OpenPeak, Inc.	Communications & Networking	Floor rate of 12.00% Senior Debt (11) Matures July 2015 Interest rate Prime + 8.75% or Floor rate of 12.00%	\$ 7,500 \$ 15,000	\$ 7,003 15,008	\$ 4,896 15,158
PeerApp, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Communications & Networking	Senior Debt Matures April 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.50% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 501	588	588
PointOne, Inc.	Communications & Networking	Senior Debt Matures April 2015 Interest rate Libor + 11.00% or Floor rate of 13.50%	\$ 7,000	6,880	6,772
		Senior Debt Matures September 2015 Interest rate Libor + 11.00% or Floor rate of 13.50%	\$ 347	343	333
		Senior Debt Matures December 2016 Interest rate Libor + 11.00% or Floor rate of 13.50%	\$ 3,594	3,594	3,400
Total PointOne, Inc.				10,817	10,505
Total Debt Communications & Networking (6.04	%)*			33,416	31,147
Clustrix, Inc.	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Debt Matures December 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$ 235	227	227
Identive Group, Inc.	Electronics & Computer Hardware	Senior Debt Matures November 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or			
		Floor rate 11.00%	\$ 7,500	7,447	7,447
Total Debt Electronics & Computer Hardware (1	1.49%)			7,674	7,674
Box, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Software	Senior Debt Matures March 2016 Interest rate Prime + 3.75% or Floor rate of 7.50%	\$ 10,000	9,910	9,353
		Senior Debt Matures July 2014 Interest rate Prime + 5.25% or	\$ 1,018	1,075	1,060

	Floor rate of 8.50%			
	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾			
	Matures July 2016			
	Interest rate Prime + 5.13% or			
	Floor rate of 8.88%	\$ 20,000	20,138	19,274
T . I D I			21 122	20.607
Total Box, Inc.			31,123	29,687

F-24

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Senior Debt Matures November 2015 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 8,000	\$ 7,318	\$ 7,558
EndPlay,Inc.	Software	Senior Debt Matures August 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.35% or Floor rate 10.6%	\$ 2,000	1,930	1,930
Hillcrest Laboratories, Inc	Software	Senior Debt Matures July 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 4,000	3,923	3,860
JackBe Corporation	Software	Senior Debt Matures January 2016 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 3,000	2,900	2,900
Kxen, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Software	Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.08% or Floor rate of 8.33%	\$ 2,337	2,371	2,192
Tada Innovations, Inc.	Software	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures November 2012 Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$ 100	100	2,00
Total Debt Software (9.33%)*				49,665	48,127
Althea Technologies, Inc.	Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.70% or Floor rate of 10.95%	\$ 7,659	7.927	7,927
Quatrx Pharmaceuticals Company	Specialty Pharmaceuticals	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures March 2014 Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$ 1,888	1,888	2,394
Total Debt Specialty Pharmaceuticals (2.00%)*				9,815	10,321
Achronix Semiconductor Corporation	Semiconductors	Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 10.60% or Floor rate of 13.85%	\$ 1,847	1,803	1,783
Total Debt Semiconductors (0.34%)*				1,803	1,783
AcelRX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 3.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 16,345	16,222	15,983

ADMA Biologics, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Senior Debt			
		Matures Febuary 2016			
		Interest rate Prime + 2.75% or			
		Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 4,000	3,857	3,857
Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾			
		Matures October 2013			
		Interest rate Prime + 6.50% or			
		Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 5.052	5.410	5,410

F-25

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾		rincipal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
BIND Biosciences, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Senior Debt Matures July 2014				
Intelliject, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Interest rate Prime + 7.45% or Floor rate of 10.70% Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures June 2016	\$	3,326	\$ 3,320	\$ 3,387
		Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	15,000	14,615	15,065
Nupathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Senior Debt Matures May 2016 Interest rate Prime - 3.25% or				
Revance Therapeutics, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Floor rate of 9.85% Senior Debt	\$	8,500	8,166	8,166
To talloo Thotapeatos, Tho	Drug Denvery	Matures March 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.60% or Floor rate of 9.85%	s	18.446	18,330	18.263
		110011410 01 7.00 //	Ψ	10,110	10,550	10,203
Total Debt Drug Delivery (13.59%)*					69,920	70,131
Ahhha, Inc.(8)	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Fixed 12.00%	\$	350	347	
Blurb, Inc.	Internet	Senior Debt				
	Consumer & Business Services	Matures December 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.25% or Floor rate 8.50%	\$	8,000	7,708	7,429
Education Dynamics, LLC	Internet Consumer & Business	Senior Debt Matures March 2016 Interest rate Fixed 12.50%,				
Just.Me, Inc.	Services Internet	PIK Interest 1.50% Senior Debt	\$	27,500	26,976	26,976
	Consumer & Business Services	Matures June 2015 Interest rate Prime + 2.50% or Floor rate 5.75%	\$	750	732	680
	Services	Senior Debt Matures June 2015	Ą	730	132	080
		Interest rate Prime + 5.00% or Floor rate 8.25%	\$	750	727	704
Total Just.Me, Inc.	•	0.1.7.1.(0)			1,459	1,384
Loku, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures June 2013 Interest rate Fixed 6.00%	\$	100	100	100
NetPlenish, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business	Senior Debt Matures April 2015 Interest rate Fixed 10.00%	\$	500	490	452

	Services					
Reply! Inc.	Internet	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾				
	Consumer &	Matures September 2015				
	Business	Interest rate Prime + 6.875% or				
	Services	Floor rate of 10.125%	\$ 1	11,749	11,624	11,337
		Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾				
		Matures September 2015				
		Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or				
		Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	2,000	1,946	1,971
Total Reply! Inc.					13,570	13,308

F-26

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Second Rotation, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Debt Matures August 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%,			
		PIK Interest 2.50% Senior Debt Matures August 2015	\$ 5,843	\$ 5,860	\$ 5,880
		Interest rate Prime + 6.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%, PIK Interest 1.50% Revolving Line of Credit	\$ 1,947	1,888	1,909
		Matures January 2013 Interest rate Fixed 10.50%, PIK Interest 0.25%	\$ 327	313	313
Total Second Rotation, Inc.			, , , , , ,	8,061	8,102
ShareThis, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business	Senior Debt Matures June 2016 Interest rate Prime + 7.50% or		0,001	0,102
Tectura Corporation	Services Internet Consumer & Business	Floor rate of 10.75% Revolving Line of Credit Matures July 2013 Interest rate Libor + 8.00% or	\$ 15,000	14,268	14,268
	Services	Floor rate of 11.00% Senior Debt Matures December 2014	\$ 16,340	17,850	17,797
		Interest rate Libor + 10.00% or Floor rate of 13.00% Senior Debt	\$ 6,978	6,908	6,827
		Matures April 2013 Interest rate Libor + 10.00% or Floor rate of 13.00%	\$ 1,390	1,325	1,325
Total Tectura Corporation				26,083	25,949
Trulia, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Internet Consumer & Business	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures September 2015 Interest rate Prime + 2.75% or			
	Services	Floor rate of 6.00% Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures September 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.50% or	\$ 5,000	4,921	4,729
		Floor rate of 8.75%	\$ 5,000	4,920	4,547
Total Trulia, Inc.				9,841	9,276
Vaultlogix, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Debt Matures September 2016 Interest rate LIBOR + 8.50% or Floor rate of 10.00%,	\$ 7,500	7,681	7,721

	PIK interest 2.50% Senior Debt Matures September 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 7.00% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 10,253	10,190	9,854
Total Vaultlogix, Inc.			17,871	17,575

F-27

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Votizen, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures February 2013 Interest rate Fixed 5.00%	\$ 100	\$ 100	\$ 6
Wavemarket, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures September 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 9.50%	\$ 10,000	9,840	9,444
Total Debt Internet Consumer & Business Ser	vices (26.02%)*			136,714	134,269
Cha Cha Search, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.25% or Floor rate of 9.50%	\$ 2,641	2.604	2,522
Eccentex Corporation	Information Services	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures May 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.00% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$ 1,000	977	965
InXpo, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Debt Matures March 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.75%	\$ 2,550	2,466	2,434
Jab Wireless, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Debt Matures November 2017 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 8.00%	\$ 30,000	29.852	29.850
RichRelevance, Inc.	Information Services	Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate Prime + 3.25% or	,	.,	
Womensforum.com, Inc.	Information Services	Floor rate of 7.50% Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures October 2016 Interest rate LIBOR + 6.50% or	\$ 4,245	4,210	4,068
		Floor rate of 9.25% Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures October 2016 Interest rate LIBOR + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$ 8,000 \$ 4,500	7,838	7,838
		F1001 Tate 01 10.23%	\$ 4,500	ŕ	ŕ
Total Womensforum.com, Inc.				12,260	12,260
Total Debt Information Services (10.10%)*				52,369	52,099
Gynesonics, Inc.	Medical Device & Equipment	Senior Debt Matures October 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or	\$ 3,912	3,975	4,014

	Floor rate of 11.50%			
	Senior Debt			
	Matures February 2013			
	Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$ 253	247	247
	Senior Debt			
	Matures September 2013			
	Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$ 36	30	30
Total Gynesonics, Inc.			4,252	4,291

F-28

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

				incipal	- (2)	(2)
Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	A	mount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Lanx, Inc.	Medical Device & Equipment	Senior Debt Matures October 2016 Interest rate Prime + 6.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	15,000	\$ 14,428	\$ 14,428
		Revolving Line of Credit Matures October 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.25% or Floor rate of 9.00%	\$	5,500	5,300	5,300
m . 1 * *					10.720	10.500
Total Lanx, Inc.	3.6 11 1	G : D 1.(0)			19,728	19,728
Novasys Medical, Inc.	Medical Device & Equipment	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures January 2013 Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$	65	65	65
		Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾				
		Matures August 2013	ф	22	20	20
		Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$	22	20	20
Total Novasys Medical, Inc.					85	85
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp. ⁽⁶⁾	Medical Device & Equipment	Senior Debt Matures December 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.20% or				
		Floor rate of 11.45%	\$	8,260	8,915	9,080
		Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾ Matures April 2013				
		Interest rate Fixed 8.00% Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾	\$	288	288	288
		Matures September 2013				
		Interest rate Fixed 8.00%	\$	123	123	123
Total Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.					9,326	9,491
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.	Medical	Senior Debt ⁽⁹⁾				
	Device	Matures December 2013 Interest rate Fixed 7.00%	\$	500	500	500
	& Equipment	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures September 2015	ф	300	300	300
		Interest rate Prime + 5.50% or Floor rate of 10.25%	\$	10,000	9,798	10,079
Total Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.					10,298	10,579
USHIFU, LLC	Medical Device & Equipment	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures April 2016 Interest rate Prime + 7.75% or				
	a Equipment	Floor rate of 11.00%	\$	6,000	5,856	5,856
Total Debt Medical Device & Equipment (9.69%)	k				49,545	50,030

Navidea Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. (pka Neoprobe) ⁽³⁾	Diagnostic	Senior Debt Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 5.741	5,691	5,752
Tethys Bioscience Inc.	Diagnostic	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures December 2015 Interest rate Prime + 8.40% or Floor rate of 11.65%	\$ 10.000	9,940	10,026
Total Debt Diagnostic (3 06%)*		1303.14.6 01.11.00 //	Ψ 10,000	15 631	15 778

F-29

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Labcyte, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Senior Debt Matures May 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.60% or Floor rate of 11.85%	\$ 761	\$ 834	\$ 834
		Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures June 2016 Interest rate Prime + 6.70% or Floor rate of 9.95%	\$ 5,000	4,890	4,995
Total Labcyte, Inc.				5,724	5,829
Total Debt Biotechnology Tools (1.13%)*				5,724	5,829
MedCall, LLC	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Debt Matures January 2016 Interest rate 7.79% or			
		Floor rate of 9.50% Senior Debt Matures January 2016 Interest rate LIBOR +8.00% or	\$ 4,908	4,844	4,695
		Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 4,037	3,972	3,871
Total MedCall, LLC				8,816	8,566
Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 9.00% or Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 3,661	3,713	3,713
		Revolving Line of Credit Matures January 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 1,500	1,490	1,490
		Senior Debt Matures January 2015 Interest rate LIBOR + 11.50% or Floor rate of 14.00%,	.		
		PIK interest 3.75%	\$ 5,900	6,562	6,562
Total Pacific Child & Family Associates, LLC	TT 1d			11,765	11,765
ScriptSave (Medical Security Card Company, LLC)	Healthcare Services, Other	Senior Debt Matures Febuary 2016 Interest rate LIBOR + 8.75% or Floor rate of 11.25%	\$ 16,375	16,168	16,150
Total Debt Health Services, Other (7.07%)*				36,749	36,481
Entrigue Surgical, Inc.			\$ 2,463	2,431	2,427

	Surgical Devices	Senior Debt Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 5.90% or Floor rate of 9.65%			
Transmedics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures November 2015 Interest rate Fixed 12.95%	\$ 7,250	7,464	7,464
Total Debt Surgical Devices (1.92%)*				9 895	9 891

F-30

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Westwood One Communications	Media/ Content/ Info	Senior Debt Matures October 2016 Interest rate LIBOR + 6.50% or Floor rate of 8.00%	\$ 20,475	\$ 18.994	\$ 17.575
Women s Marketing, Inc.	Media/Content/ Info	Senior Debt Matures May 2016 Interest rate Libor + 9.50% or	φ 20,473	\$ 10,774	\$ 17,575
		Floor rate of 12.00%, PIK interest 3.00% Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾	\$ 9,681	10,002	10,002
		Matures November 2015 Interest rate Libor + 7.50% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 16,362	16,105	15,787
		14001 fate 01 10.00 /6	\$ 10,302	10,103	13,767
Total Women s Marketing, Inc.				26,107	25,789
Zoom Media Corporation	Media/Content/ Info	Senior Debt Matures December 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50%, PIK 3.75%	\$ 5,000	4.657	4,657
	Media/Content/ Info	Revolving Line of Credit Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 5.25% or Floor rate of 8.50%	\$ 3,000	2,700	2,700
Tetal Zeen Media Companyian				7 257	7 257
Total Zoom Media Corporation				7,357	7,357
Total Debt Media/Content/Info (9.83%)*				52,458	50,721
Alphabet Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or Floor rate of 9.00%	\$ 1,614	1,531	1,531
American Supercondutor Corporation ⁽³⁾	Energy Technology	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures December 2014 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 11.00%	\$ 9,231	9,161	9,438
BrightSource Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Revolving Line of Credit Matures January 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 35,000	34,870	34,870
Comverge, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Debt Matures November 2017 Interest rate LIBOR + 8.00% or	. ,	ŕ	·
	Energy Technology	Floor rate of 9.50% Senior Debt Matures November 2017	\$ 20,000 \$ 14,000	19,577 13,704	19,577 13,704

		Interest rate LIBOR + 9.50% or Floor rate of 11.00%			
Total Comverge, Inc.				33,281	33,281
Enphase Energy, Inc.(3)	Energy	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾			
	Technology	Matures June 2014			
		Interest rate Prime + 5.75% or			
		Floor rate of 9.00%	\$ 3,758	3,739	3,716
	Energy	Senior Debt			
	Technology	Matures August 2016			
		Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or			
		Floor rate of 11.50%	\$ 7,400	7,321	7,321
Total Enphase Energy, Inc.				11,060	11,037

F-31

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment ⁽¹⁾	Principal Amount	Cost ⁽²⁾	Value ⁽³⁾
Glori Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Debt ⁽¹¹⁾ Matures June 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 8,000	\$ 7,832	\$ 7,988
Integrated Photovoltaics, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Debt Matures February 2015 Interest rate Prime + 7.38% or Floor rate of 10.63%	\$ 2,572	2,494	2,508
Polyera Corporation	Energy Technology	Senior Debt Matures June 2016 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 3,000	2.952	2,952
Redwood Systems, Inc.	Energy Technology	Senior Debt Matures February 2016	ψ 2,000	2,702	2,702
		Interest rate Prime + 6.50% or Floor rate of 9.75%	\$ 5,000	4,965	4,965
SCIenergy, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Energy Technology	Senior Debt Matures September 2015 Interest rate Prime + 8.75% or			
Solexel, Inc.	Energy Technology	Floor rate 12.00% Senior Debt Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 8.25% or	\$ 5,296	5,103	5,262
		Floor rate of 11.50% Senior Debt Matures June 2013 Interest rate Prime + 7.25% or	\$ 2,884	2,877	2,877
		Floor rate of 10.50%	\$ 331	330	330
Total Solexel, Inc. Stion Corporation ⁽⁴⁾	Energy	Senior Debt		3,207	3,207
Suon Corporation /	Technology	Matures February 2015 Interest rate Prime + 6.75% or Floor rate of 10.00%	\$ 7,519	7,483	7,545
Total Debt Energy Technology (24.14%)*(12)				123,938	124,584
Total Debt (160.38%)				833,228	827,540

F-32

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Warrant						
Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &	G		12.112		
	Development	Common Stock Warrants	G : .	46,446	\$ 39	\$ 53
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	426,000	69	345
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	110,270	35	64
Total Warrants Acceleron Pharmace	uticals, Inc.			582,716	143	462
Anthera Pharmaceuticals Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &			,		
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		321,429	984	66
Cempra, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery &			, ,		
1 ,	Development	Common Stock Warrants		39,038	187	46
Chroma Therapeutics, Ltd. (5)(10)	Drug Discovery &			,		
1	Development	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	325,261	490	500
Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (4)	Drug Discovery &					
·	Development	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	400,000	367	126
Coronado Biosciences, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &			,		
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		73,009	142	81
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		50,000	28	16
	1	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	525,000	236	173
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	660,000	311	217
Total Warrants Dicerna Pharmaceuti	cals, Inc.			1,235,000	575	406
EpiCept Corporation ⁽³⁾	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		325,204	4	
Horizon Pharma, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		22,408	231	
Insmed, Incorporated(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		329,931	570	1,316
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		302,143	155	641
NeurogesX, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock Warrants		3,421,500	503	400
PolyMedix, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
•	Development	Common Stock Warrants		627,586	480	9
Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	687,023	152	298
Total Warrants Drug Discovery & De	evelopment (0.84%)*				4,983	4,351
Bridgewave Communications	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series 5	2,942,618	753	
Intelepeer, Inc.	Communications &					
<u>.</u> ,	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	117,958	101	190
Neonova Holding Company	Communications &			. ,		
2 1 7	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	450,000	94	23

OpenPeak, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series E	25,646	149	9
PeerApp, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	298,779	61	47
Peerless Network, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	135,000	95	352

F-33

Index to Financial Statements

Total Warrants Software (1.51%)*

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value(3)
Ping Identity Corporation	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	1,136,277	\$ 52	\$ 112
UPH Holdings, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Common Stock Warrants		145,877	131	52
Purcell Systems, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	110,000	123	62
Stoke, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	158,536	53	135
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	72,727	65	57
m . 10 1 T				224.262	440	100
Total Stoke, Inc.				231,263	118	192
Table of Carrier Carrier Carrier	4 1 (0.2007)*				1 (77	1.020
Total Warrants Communications & No	etworking (0.20%)*				1,677	1,039
Atrenta, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	392,670	121	322
Box, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	271,070	117	2,235
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	199,219	73	3,242
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D-1	62,255	194	566
Total Box, Inc.				532,544	384	6,043
Braxton Technologies, LLC.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	168,750	188	
Central Desktop, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	522,823	108	166
Clickfox, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	1,038,563	329	332
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	592,019	730	213
Total Clickfox, Inc.				1,630,582	1,059	545
Daegis Inc. (pka Unify Corporation) ⁽³⁾	Software	Common Stock Warrants		718,860	1,434	75
Endplay, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	180,000	67	39
Forescout Technologies, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	399,687	99	202
HighRoads, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	190,176	44	9
Hillcrest Laboratories, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series E	1,865,650	55	70
JackBe Corporation	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	180,000	73	54
Kxen, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	184,614	47	13
Rockyou, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	41,266	117	
SugarSync Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series CC	332,726	78	123
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series DD	107,526	34	30
Total SugarSync Inc.				440,252	112	153
Tada Innovations, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	20,833	25	
White Sky, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B-2	124,295	54	3
WildTangent, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series 3A	100,000	238	82

Table of Contents 370

4,225

7,776

F-34

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Clustrix, Inc.	Electronics &					
	Computer Hardware	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	49,732	\$ 12	\$ 13
Luminus Devices, Inc.	Electronics &					
	Computer Hardware	Common Stock Warrants		26,386	600	
Shocking Technologies, Inc.	Electronics &	D C 10, 1 W	0 . 4 1	101 010	62	106
	Computer Hardware	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A-1	181,818	63	106
Total Warrant Electronics & Compute	er Hardware (0.02%)*				675	119
Althea Technologies, Inc.	Specialty					
	Pharmaceuticals	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	502,273	309	889
Pacira Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Specialty					
	Pharmaceuticals	Common Stock Warrants		178,987	1,086	1,263
Quatrx Pharmaceuticals Company	Specialty					
	Pharmaceuticals	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series E	340,534	528	
Total Warrants Specialty Pharmaceut	icals (0.42%)*				1,923	2,152
IPA Holdings, LLC	Consumer & Business					
	Products	Common Stock Warrants		650,000	275	485
Market Force Information, Inc.	Consumer & Business	D C 10: 1 W	G : .	00.206	2.1	0.4
Cayan Matayanla Ina	Products Consumer & Business	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	99,286	24	84
Seven Networks, Inc.	Products	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	1,821,429	174	130
ShareThis, Inc.	Consumer & Business	Freiened Stock Warrants	Series C	1,021,429	1/4	130
Share This, the.	Products	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	535,905	547	543
Wageworks, Inc. (3)	Consumer & Business	Treferred Stock Warrants	Series B	333,703	317	515
wage wortes, river	Products	Common Stock Warrants		211,765	252	2,023
Wavemarket, Inc.	Consumer & Business			222,700		_,,,
	Products	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series E	1,083,333	106	61
Total Warrant Consumer & Business	Products (0.64%)*				1,378	3,326
Achronix Semiconductor Corporation	Semiconductors	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	360,000	160	84
Enpirion, Inc.	Semiconductors	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	239,872	157	0.1
iWatt, Inc.	Semiconductors	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	558,748	45	14
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	1,954,762	583	289
Total iWatt, Inc.				2,513,510	628	303
Kovio Inc.	Semiconductors	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	319,352	92	
Quartics, Inc.	Semiconductors	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	69,139	53	
Total Wannanta Comissandustons (0.00)	07- *				1.090	207
Total Warrants Semiconductors (0.08)	70)				1,090	387

AcelRX Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Common Stock Warrants		274,508	356	406
ADMA Biologics, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Common Stock Warrants		25,000	129	128
Alexza Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Common Stock Warrants		37,639	645	8
BIND Biosciences, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C-1	150,000	291	446
Intelliject, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	82,500	594	574
NuPathe, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Drug Delivery	Common Stock Warrants		106,631	139	165
Revance Therapeutics, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	269,663	557	618
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Common Stock Warrants		61,452	87	44
•	•					

Total Warrant Drug Delivery (0.46%)*

2,798 2,389

F-35

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value(3)
Blurb, Inc.	Internet Consumer &					
	Business Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	439,336	\$ 323	\$ 347
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	234,280	636	218
Total Blurb, Inc.				673,616	959	565
Invoke Solutions, Inc.	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Common Stock Warrants		53,084	38	
Just.Me	Internet Consumer &		G : A	,		20
Prism Education Group, Inc.	Business Services Internet Consumer &	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	102,299	20	20
Reply! Inc.	Business Services Internet Consumer &	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	200,000	43	
Second Rotation	Business Services Internet Consumer &	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	137,225	320	802
	Business Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	105,819	105	113
Tectura Corporation	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B-1	253,378	51	12
Trulia, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Internet Consumer & Business Services	Common Stock Warrants		56,053	188	368
Total Warrants Internet Consume	er & Business Services (0.379	%)*			1,724	1,880
Buzznet, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	19,962	9	
Cha Cha Search, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series F	48,232	58	5
Eccentex Corporation	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	408,719	31	3
Intelligent Beauty, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	190,234	230	579
InXpo, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	648,400	98	43
1	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C-1	267,049	25	24
Total InXpo, Inc.				915,449	123	67
Jab Wireless, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	266,567	265	420
RichRelevance, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	112,749	98	28
Solutionary, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A-2	111,311	96	5
Total Warrants Information Servi	ices (0.22%)*				910	1,107
EKOS Corporation	Medical Device &					
Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾	Equipment Medical Device &	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	4,448,135	327	
Lony Inc	Equipment Medical Device &		LLC Interest	263,688	78	95
Lanx, Inc.	Equipment	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	1,203,369	441	445
Novasys Medical, Inc.	Medical Device & Equipment	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	580,447	131	
	• •	Common Stock Warrants		109,449	2	

Total Novasys Medial, Inc.				689,896	133	
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.(6)	Medical Device &					
	Equipment	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	6,206,187	1,069	151
Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.	Medical Device &					
	Equipment	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	716,948	676	314
	• •	Common Stock Warrants		95,498	66	62
Total Oraya Therapeutics, Inc.				812,446	742	376

F-36

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
USHIFU, LLC	Medical Device &					
	Equipment	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series G	141,388	\$ 188	\$ 188
Total Warrants Medical Device & Equi	pment (0.24%)*				2,978	1,255
Navidea Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. (pka						
Neoprobe)(3)	Diagnostic	Common Stock Warrants		333,333	244	360
Tethys Bioscience, Inc.	Diagnostic	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series E	617,683	148	169
Fotal Warrants Diagnostic (0.10%)*					392	529
Labcyte, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	1,127,624	323	247
NuGEN Technologies, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	204,545	45	161
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	30,114	33	8
Total NuGEN Technologies, Inc.				234,659	78	169
Total Warrants Biotechnology Tools (0.	.08%)*				401	416
Entrigue Surgical, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	62,500	87	2
Γransmedics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	40,436	225	
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	175,000	100	100
Total Transmedics, Inc.					325	100
Gynesonics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	123,457	18	•
		Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	1,474,261	387	298
Total Gynesonics, Inc.				1,597,718	405	305
Total Warrants Surgical Devices (0.08%	6)*				817	40′
Everyday Health Inc (pka Waterfront						
	Media/Content/ Info	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	110.018	60	5:
Media, Inc.)	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info	Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C Series D	110,018 407,457	60 482	55
Everyday Health, Inc. (pka Waterfront Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc. Zoom Media Group, Inc.				- /		55 340
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc.	Media/Content/ Info	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	407,457	482	
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc. Zoom Media Group, Inc.	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	407,457	482	346
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc. Zoom Media Group, Inc. Fotal Warrants Media/Content/Info (0.0	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info 08%)*	Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D n/a	407,457 1,204	482 348 890	340
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc. Zoom Media Group, Inc. Fotal Warrants Media/Content/Info (0.) Alphabet Energy, Inc.	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info 08%)* Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	407,457 1,204 79,083	482 348 890	340 40 143
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc. Zoom Media Group, Inc. Fotal Warrants Media/Content/Info (0.4) Alphabet Energy, Inc. American Supercondutor Corporation (3)	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info 08%)* Energy Technology Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Common Stock Warrants	Series D n/a Series A	407,457 1,204 79,083 139,275	482 348 890 68 244	340 40 144 122
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc. Zoom Media Group, Inc. Total Warrants Media/Content/Info (0.4) Alphabet Energy, Inc. American Supercondutor Corporation (3) BrightSource Energy, Inc.	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info 08%)* Energy Technology Energy Technology Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Common Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D n/a Series A Series D	407,457 1,204 79,083 139,275 58,333	482 348 890 68 244 675	340 40 144 122
Media, Inc.) Glam Media, Inc.	Media/Content/ Info Media/Content/ Info 08%)* Energy Technology Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Preferred Stock Warrants Common Stock Warrants	Series D n/a Series A	407,457 1,204 79,083 139,275	482 348 890 68 244	

Fulcrum Bioenergy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C-1	187,265	211	104
Glori Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	145,932	165	62
GreatPoint Energy, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D-1	393,212	548	1
Integrated Photovoltaics, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A-1	390,000	82	119
Polyera Corporation	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	161,575	69	68
Propel Biofuels, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	3,200,000	211	317
Redwood Systems, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series C	331,250	3	2
SCIenergy, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series D	1,061,168	361	145
Solexel, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series B	245,682	1,161	7

F-37

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value ⁽³⁾
Stion Corporation ⁽⁴⁾	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series E	110,226	\$ 317	\$ 167
Trilliant, Inc.	Energy Technology	Preferred Stock Warrants	Series A	320,000	161	54
Total Warrants Energy Technology (0.	39%)*(12)				5,199	2,016
Total Warrants (5.73%)					32,060	29,550
Equity						
Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock		167,864	842	1,351
Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Preferred Stock	Series B	502,684	502	488
Inotek Pharmaceuticals Corp.	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Preferred Stock	Series C	15,334	1,500	
Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Common Stock		546,448	2,000	3,328
Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Discovery &					
	Development	Preferred Stock	Series H	244,158	1,000	283
		Common Stock		47,471	5	3
Total Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc.				291,629	1,005	286
T (IT ' ' D D' OD I	. (1 0 CM) #				5.040	5 450
Total Equity Drug Discovery & Develo	pment (1.06%)*				5,849	5,453
Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Preferred Stock	Series B	600,601	1,000	915
		Preferred Stock	Series C	93,456	242	205
		Preferred Stock	Series E	43,488	98	174
		Preferred Stock	Series F	19,268	61	77
Total Acceleron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.				756,813	1,401	1,371
Merrion Pharma, Plc. ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	Drug Delivery	Common Stock		20,000	9	
Nupathe, Inc.	Drug Delivery	Common Stock		50,000	146	142
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3)	Drug Delivery	Common Stock		41,570	500	185
	Drug Delivery	Common Stock		41,570	500	185
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery	Common Stock		41,570		
	Drug Delivery	Common Stock		41,570	2,056	1,698
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc.(3)	Drug Delivery Communications &	Common Stock		41,570		
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ Total Equity Drug Delivery (0.33%)*		Common Stock Preferred Stock	Series B	41,570 564,972		
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ Total Equity Drug Delivery (0.33%)*	Communications &		Series B Series C	ŕ	2,056	
Transcept Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ⁽³⁾ Total Equity Drug Delivery (0.33%)*	Communications &	Preferred Stock		564,972	2,056	

Total E-band Communications, Corp.				4,050,119	3,254	
Glowpoint, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Communications &					
	Networking	Common Stock		114,192	101	227
Neonova Holding Company	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock	Series A	500,000	250	200
Peerless Network, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock	Series A	1,000,000	1,000	3,692
Stoke, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Preferred Stock	Series E	152,905	500	631
UPH Holdings, Inc.	Communications &					
	Networking	Common Stock		742,887		624
Total Equity Communications & Netw	orking (1.04%)*				5,105	5,374

F-38

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value(3)
Atrenta, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock	Series C	1,196,845	\$ 508	\$ 1,042
		Preferred Stock	Series D	635,513	986	1,604
Total Atrenta, Inc.				1,832,358	1,494	2,646
Box, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	Software	Preferred Stock	Series C	390,625	500	5,117
Box, Inc.	Boitware	Preferred Stock	Series D	158,127	500	2,071
		Preferred Stock	Series D-1	124,511	1,000	1,632
		Preferred Stock	Series D-2	220,751	2,001	2,892
		Preferred Stock	Series E	38,183	500	500
Total Box, Inc.				932,197	4,501	12,212
Caplinked, Inc.	Software	Preferred Stock	Series A-3	53,614	52	77
•						
Total Equity Software (2.89%)*					6,047	14,935
Spatial Photonics, Inc.	Electronics &					
Spatial Flotomes, Inc.	Computer Hardware	Preferred Stock	Series D	4,717,813	268	
Virident Systems	Electronics &	Treferred Stock	Series 2	.,,,,,,,,,	200	
	Computer Hardware	Preferred Stock	Series D	6,546,217	5,000	4,922
	Company company			0,0 10,211	2,000	1,2
Total Equity Electronics & Comput	er Hardware (0.95%)*				5,268	4,922
Quatrx Pharmaceuticals Company	Specialty					
Quality I harmaceuticals Company						
	1 2	Preferred Stock	Series E	166 419	750	
	Pharmaceuticals	Preferred Stock	Series E	166,419	750	
	1 2	Preferred Stock	Series E	166,419	750	
Total Equity Specialty Pharmaceuti	Pharmaceuticals	Preferred Stock	Series E	166,419		
Total Equity Specialty Pharmaceuti	Pharmaceuticals	Preferred Stock	Series E	166,419	750 750	
	Pharmaceuticals icals (0.00%)*	Preferred Stock	Series E	166,419		
Total Equity Specialty Pharmaceuti Caivis Acquisition Corporation	Pharmaceuticals icals (0.00%)* Consumer &			·	750	507
Caivis Acquisition Corporation	Pharmaceuticals icals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products	Preferred Stock Common Stock	Series E	295,861		597
	Pharmaceuticals icals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer &	Common Stock	Series A	295,861	750 819	
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. (3)	Pharmaceuticals icals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products			·	750	597 8,089
Caivis Acquisition Corporation	Pharmaceuticals icals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Consumer	Common Stock Common Stock	Series A Series B	295,861 307,500	750 819 9,558	8,089
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products	Common Stock	Series A	295,861	750 819	
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. (3)	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Co	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest	295,861 307,500 500,000	750 819 9,558 500	8,089 711
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC Market Force Information, Inc.	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products	Common Stock Common Stock	Series A Series B	295,861 307,500	750 819 9,558	8,089
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Consum	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest Series B	295,861 307,500 500,000 187,970	750 819 9,558 500 500	8,089 711 657
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC Market Force Information, Inc.	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest	295,861 307,500 500,000	750 819 9,558 500	8,089 711
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC Market Force Information, Inc.	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Business Products Consumer & Consum	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest Series B	295,861 307,500 500,000 187,970	750 819 9,558 500 500	8,089 711 657
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC Market Force Information, Inc. Wageworks, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest Series B	295,861 307,500 500,000 187,970	750 819 9,558 500 500 250	8,089 711 657 343
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC Market Force Information, Inc.	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest Series B	295,861 307,500 500,000 187,970	750 819 9,558 500 500	8,089 711 657
Caivis Acquisition Corporation Facebook, Inc. ⁽³⁾ IPA Holdings, LLC Market Force Information, Inc. Wageworks, Inc. ⁽³⁾	Pharmaceuticals cals (0.00%)* Consumer & Business Products	Common Stock Common Stock Preferred Stock Preferred Stock	Series A Series B LLC interest Series B	295,861 307,500 500,000 187,970	750 819 9,558 500 500 250	8,089 711 657 343

Total Equity Semiconductors (0.15%)	(o)*				490	752
Buzznet, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock	Series C	263,158	250	
Good Technologies, Inc. (pka Visto						
Corporation)	Information Services	Common Stock		500,000	603	
Solutionary, Inc.	Information Services	Preferred Stock	Series A-1	189,495	18	235
		Preferred Stock	Series A-2	65,834	325	82
Total Solutionary, Inc.				255,329	343	317
Total Equity Information Services (0.06%)*				1,196	317

F-39

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Sub-Industry	Type of Investment(1)	Series	Shares	Cost(2)	Value(3)
Gelesis, Inc. ⁽⁶⁾	Medical Device &					
	Equipment		LLC Interest	674,208	\$	\$ 435
			LLC Interest	674,208	425	610
			LLC Interest	675,676	500	525
Total Gelesis, Inc.				2,024,092	925	1,570
Lanx, Inc.	Medical Device &			, , , , , ,		,
	Equipment	Preferred Stock	Series C	1,203,369	1,000	1,155
Novasys Medical, Inc.	Medical Device &					
	Equipment	Preferred Stock	Series D-1	4,118,444	1,000	
Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.(6)	Medical Device &					
	Equipment	Preferred Stock	Series B	6,185,567	3,000	314
		Preferred Stock	Series C-2	1,927,309	655	251
Total Optiscan Biomedical, Corp.				8,112,876	3,655	565
Total Equity Medical Device & Equipmo	ent (0.64%)*				6,580	3,290
NuGEN Technologies, Inc.	Biotechnology Tools	Preferred Stock	Series C	189,394	500	600
Total Equity Biotechnology Tools (0.129	%)*				500	600
Transmedics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Preferred Stock	Series B	88,961	1,100	
		Preferred Stock	Series C	119,999	300	
		Preferred Stock	Series D	260,000	650	650
Total Transmedics, Inc.				468,960	2,050	650
Gynesonics, Inc.	Surgical Devices	Preferred Stock	Series B	219,298	250	159
		Preferred Stock	Series C	656,512	282	251
Total Gynesonics, Inc.				875,810	532	410
roun cynosomos, mei				070,010	332	.10
Total Equity Surgical Devices (0.20%)*					2,582	1,060
Everyday Health, Inc. (pka Waterfront Media, Inc.)	Media/Content/ Info	Preferred Stock	Series D	145,590	1,000	412
ricola, life.)	media content into	Treferred Glock	Selies D	173,370	1,000	712
Total Equity Media/Content/Info (0.08%	<i>(o</i>)*				1,000	412
Total Equity (9.54%)					49,050	49,210
Tom Equity (2007 /0)					77,030	77,210

Total Investments (175.65%) \$ 914,338 \$ 906,300

- * Value as a percent of net assets
- (1) Preferred and common stock, warrants, and equity interests are generally non-income producing.
- (2) Gross unrealized appreciation, gross unrealized depreciation, and net depreciation for federal income tax purposes totaled \$19.9 million, \$27.6 million and \$7.8 million respectively. The tax cost of investments is \$916.9 million
- (3) Except for warrants in twenty publicly traded companies and common stock in eight publicly traded companies, all investments are restricted at December 31, 2012 and were valued at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. No unrestricted securities of the same issuer are outstanding. The Company uses the Standard Industrial Code for classifying the industry grouping of its portfolio companies.

F-40

Index to Financial Statements

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

December 31, 2012

(dollars in thousands)

- (4) Debt investments of this portfolio company have been pledged as collateral under the Wells Facility.
- (5) Non-U.S. company or the company s principal place of business is outside the United States.
- (6) Affiliate investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owns at least 5% but not more than 25% of the voting securities of the company.
- (7) Control investment that is defined under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as companies in which HTGC owners at least 25% but not more than 50% of the voting securities of the company
- (8) Debt is on non-accrual status at December 31, 2012, and is therefore considered non-income producing.
- (9) Convertible Senior Debt
- (10) Indicates assets that the Company deems not qualifying assets under section 55(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Qualifying assets must represent at least 70% of the Company s total assets at the time of acquisition of any additional non-qualifying assets.
- (11) Denotes that all or a portion of the loan secures the notes offered in the Debt Securitization (as defined in Note 4).
- (12) In our quarterly and annual reports filed with the commission prior to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we referred to this industry sector as Clean Tech.

F-41

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Index to Financial Statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (the Company) is a specialty finance company focused on providing senior secured loans to venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science, and energy and renewables technology industries at all stages of development. The Company sources its investments through its principal office located in Palo Alto, CA, as well as through its additional offices in Boston, MA, New York, NY, Boulder, CO and McLean, VA. The Company was incorporated under the General Corporation Law of the State of Maryland in December 2003.

The Company is an internally managed, non-diversified closed-end investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). Effective January 1, 2006, the Company has elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company, or RIC, under the Code (see Note 5).

Hercules Technology II, L.P. (HT II), Hercules Technology III, L.P. (HT III), and Hercules Technology IV, L.P. (HT IV), are Delaware limited partnerships that were formed in January 2005, September 2009 and December 2010, respectively. HT II and HT III were licensed to operate as small business investment companies (SBICs) under the authority of the Small Business Administration (SBA) on September 27, 2006 and May 26, 2010, respectively. As SBICs, HT II and HT III are subject to a variety of regulations concerning, among other things, the size and nature of the companies in which they may invest and the structure of those investments. HT IV was formed in anticipation of receiving an additional SBIC license; however, the Company has not yet applied for such license, and HT IV currently has no material assets or liabilities. The Company also formed Hercules Technology SBIC Management, LLC, or (HTM), a limited liability company in November 2003. HTM is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and serves as the limited partner and general partner of HT II and HT III (see Note 4 to the Company s consolidated financial statements).

HT II and HT III hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and they accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of our total assets, respectively, prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013.

The Company also established wholly owned subsidiaries, all of which are structured as Delaware corporations and limited liability companies, to hold portfolio companies organized as limited liability companies, or LLCs (or other forms of pass-through entities). By investing through these wholly owned subsidiaries, the Company is able to benefit from the tax treatment of these entities and create a tax structure that is more advantageous with respect to the Company s RIC status.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its subsidiaries and its consolidated securitization VIE. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In accordance with Article 6 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Company does not consolidate portfolio company investments.

Financial statements prepared on a U.S. GAAP basis require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Such estimates and assumptions could change in the future as more information becomes known, which could impact the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries and all VIEs of which the Company is the primary beneficiary. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Index to Financial Statements

A VIE is an entity that either (i) has insufficient equity to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or (ii) has equity investors who lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is the party with both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and the obligation to absorb the losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

To assess whether the Company has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact its economic performance, the Company considers all the facts and circumstances including its role in establishing the VIE and its ongoing rights and responsibilities. This assessment includes identifying the activities that most significantly impact the VIE is economic performance and identifying which party, if any, has power over those activities. In general, the party that makes the most significant decisions affecting the VIE is determined to have the power to direct the activities of a VIE. To assess whether the Company has the obligation to absorb the losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE, the Company considers all of its economic interests, including debt and equity interests, servicing rights and fee arrangements, and any other variable interests in the VIE. If the Company determines that it is the party with the power to make the most significant decisions affecting the VIE, and the Company has a potentially significant interest in the VIE, then it consolidates the VIE.

The Company performs ongoing reassessments, usually quarterly, of whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. The reassessment process considers whether the Company has acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances. The Company also reconsiders whether entities previously determined not to be VIEs have become VIEs, based on certain events, and therefore are subject to the VIE consolidation framework.

As of the date of this report, the only VIE consolidated by the Company is its securitization VIE formed in conjunction with the issuance of the Asset-Backed Notes (See Note 4).

Valuation of Investments

At December 31, 2013, 74.5% of the Company s total assets represented investments in portfolio companies that are valued at fair value by the Board of Directors. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. The Company s investments are carried at fair value in accordance with the 1940 Act and Accounting Standards Codification topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). The Company s debt securities are primarily invested in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, substantially all of the Company s investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged. As such, the Company values substantially all of its investments at fair value as determined in good faith pursuant to a consistent valuation policy and the Company s Board of Directors in accordance with the provisions of ASC 820 and the 1940 Act. Due to the inherent uncertainty in determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of the Company s investments determined in good faith by its Board may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a readily available market existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

The Company may from time to time engage an independent valuation firm to provide the Company with valuation assistance with respect to certain portfolio investments on a quarterly basis. The Company intends to continue to engage an independent valuation firm to provide management with assistance regarding the Company s determination of the fair value of selected portfolio investments each quarter unless directed by the Board of Directors to cancel such valuation services. The scope of services rendered by an independent valuation

Index to Financial Statements

firm is at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Company s Board of Directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of the Company s investments in good faith.

With respect to investments for which market quotations are not readily available or when such market quotations are deemed not to represent fair value, the Company s Board of Directors has approved a multi-step valuation process each quarter, as described below:

- (1) the Company s quarterly valuation process begins with each portfolio company being initially valued by the investment professionals responsible for the portfolio investment;
- (2) preliminary valuation conclusions are then documented and business based assumptions are discussed with the Company s investment committee;
- (3) the Valuation Committee of the Board of Directors reviews the preliminary valuation of the investments in the portfolio as provided by the investment committee which incorporates the results of the independent valuation firm as appropriate;
- (4) the Valuation Committee discusses valuations and determines the fair value of each investment in our portfolio in good faith based on the input of, where applicable, the respective independent valuation firm and the investment committee.

ASC 820 establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of the assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. ASC 820 also enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements based on the level within the hierarchy of the information used in the valuation. ASC 820 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The Company has categorized all investments recorded at fair value in accordance with ASC 820 based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by ASC 820 and directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities, are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the measurement date. The types of assets carried at Level 1 fair value generally are equities listed in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset in connection with market data at the measurement date and for the extent of the instrument s anticipated life. Fair valued assets that are generally included in this category are warrants held in a public company.

Level 3 Inputs reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset at the measurement date. It includes prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. Generally, assets carried at fair value and included in this category are the debt investments and warrants and equities held in a private company.

Index to Financial Statements

In accordance with ASU 2011-04, the following table provides quantitative information about the Company s Level 3 fair value measurements of the Company s investments as of December 31, 2013. In addition to the techniques and inputs noted in the table below, according to the Company s valuation policy the Company may also use other valuation techniques and methodologies when determining the Company s fair value measurements. The below table is not intended to be all-inclusive, but rather provides information on the significant Level 3 inputs as they relate to the Company s fair value measurements.

Investment Type - Level T	hree Fair				
Debt Investments	Value at December 31, 20	Valuation Techniques/ 013 Methodologies	Unobservable Input ^(a)	Range	Weighted Average ^(c)
Pharmaceuticals Debt	(in thousands) 25,811 250,607) Originated Within 6 Months	Origination Yield	12.56% - 14.53%	13.36%
	230,007	Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield	13.83% - 15.47%	14.13%
			Premium/(Discount)	(1.00%) - 0.00%	
Medical Devices Debt	46,900 34,723	Originated Within 6 Months	Origination Yield	13.54% - 17.37%	14.87%
		Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield	14.32% - 17.37%	15.23%
			Premium/(Discount)	(1.00%) - 1.00%	
Technology Debt	18,796 98,290	Originated Within 6 Months	Origination Yield	10.62% - 15.97%	14.26%
		Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield	14.72% - 21.08%	15.48%
Energy Technology Debt	1,643 32,597	Liquidation	Premium/(Discount)	0.00% - 1.00%	15.17%
	108,238	Originated Within 6 Months	Probability weighting of alternative outcomes	30.00% - 70.00%	15.37%
		Market Comparable Companies	Origination Yield	14.68% - 15.87%	
			Hypothetical Market Yield	15.37%	
			Premium/(Discount)	(0.50%) - 1.50%	
Lower Middle Market Debt	121,347 31,818	Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield	14.83% - 19.73%	16.12%
	12,576	Broker Quote(b)	Premium/(Discount)	0.00% - 1.00%	
		Liquidation	Price Quotes	99.50% - 100.25% of par	
			Par Value	\$2.0 - \$22.5 million	
			Probability weighting of alternative outcomes	20.00% - 80.00%	
			Value Approximates Amortized Cost		
	15,906 22,236 500	Imminent Payoffs Debt Investments Maturing in L Convertible Debt at Par	ess than One Year		
	\$821,988	Total Level Three Debt Investm	ents		

(a)	The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our debt securities are hypothetical market yields and premiums/(discounts). The hypothetical market yield is defined as the exit price of an investment in a hypothetical market to hypothetical market participants where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The premiums (discounts) relate to company specific characteristics such as underlying investment performance, security liens, and other characteristics of the investment. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. Debt investments in the industries noted in our Consolidated Schedule of Investments are included in the industries note above as follows:
	Pharmaceuticals, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Therapeutic, Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Drug Discovery and Development, Drug Delivery, and Diagnostics and Biotechnology industries in the Schedule of Investments.
	Medical Devices, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Therapeutic, Surgical Devices, Medical Devices and Equipment and Biotechnology Tools industries in the Schedule of Investments.
	Technology, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Software, Semiconductors, Internet Consumer and Business Services, Information Services, and Communications and Networking industries in the Schedule of Investments.
	Lower Middle Market, above, is comprised of debt investments in the Communications and Networking, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Healthcare Services Other, Information Services, Internet Consumer and Business Services, Media/Content/Info, and Specialty Pharmaceuticals industries in the Schedule of Investments.
	Energy Technology, above, aligns with the Energy Technology Industry in the Schedule of Investments.
(b)	A broker quote valuation technique was used to derive the fair value of loans which are part of a syndicated facility.
(c)	The weighted averages are calculated based on the fair market value of each investment.
	F-45

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

	Valuation 12Techniques/Methodologies	Unobservable Input (a)
thousands) \$266,978	Market Comparable Companies Option Pricing Model (b)	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount) Average Industry Volatility (c) Risk Free Interest Rate Estimated Time to Exit (in months
46,022	Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium
159,341	Market Comparable Companies Liquidation	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium/(Discount) Investment Collateral
91,305	Market Comparable Companies	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium
263,894	Market Comparable Companies Broker Quote (d)	Hypothetical Market Yield Premium Price Quotes Market Comparable Index Yield Spreads Par Value
\$827,540		
(a	premiums/(discounts). The hyl where buyers and sellers are w performance, security liens, an significantly lower (higher) fai	nputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company s debt securities are hypothetical market yields and pothetical market yield is defined as the exit price of an investment in a hypothetical market to hypothetical market participants villing participants. The premiums (discounts) relate to company specific characteristics such as underlying investment and other characteristics of the investment. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a ir value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. Debt investments in the industries noted in the Company succluded in the industries note above as follows:
		mprised of debt investments in the Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Drug Discovery and Development, Drug Delivery, and y industries in the Schedule of Investments.
	Medical Devices, above, is con industries in the Schedule of In	mprised of debt investments in the Therapeutic, Surgical Devices, Medical Devices and Equipment and Biotechnology Tools investments.
		sed of debt investments in the Software, Semiconductors, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Internet Consumer and Business s, Media/Content/Info and Communications and Networking industries in the Schedule of Investments.
		is comprised of debt investments in the Communications and Networking, Software, Electronics and Computer Hardware, Consumer and Business Services, Media/Content/Info, and Specialty Pharmaceuticals industries in the Schedule of

Energy Technology, above, aligns with the Energy Technology industry in the Schedule of Investments.

							convertible notes.

(c) Represents the range of industry volatility used by market participants when pricing the investment.

(d) A broker quote valuation technique was used to derive the fair value of loans which are part of a syndicated facility.

F-46

Index to Financial Statements

Valuation	Techn	iques/
-----------	-------	--------

		valuation Techniques/	ques/				
Investment Type -	Fair Value at December 31, 2013 (in thousands)	Methodologies	Unobservable Input ^(a)	Range			
Level Three Equity Investments	\$10,244	Market Comparable	EBITDA Multiple(b)	8.6x - 17.7x			
		Companies	Revenue Multiple(b)	0.7x - 13.8x			
			Discount for Lack of	9.1% - 23.6%			
			Marketability ^(c)	43.4% - 110.7%			
			Average Industry Volatility(d)	0.1% - 0.4%			
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	6 - 30			
			Estimated Time to Exit				
			(in months)				
	9,289	Market Adjusted OPM Backsolve	Average Industry Volatility(d)	45.6% - 109.7%			
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	0.1% - 0.9%			
			Estimated Time to Exit	6 - 42			
			(in months)				
	18,127	Other	Average Industry Volatility(d)	44.0%			
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	0.1%			
			Estimated Time to Exit	12			
			(in months)				
Level Three Warrant Investments	\$10,200	Market Comparable Companies	EBITDA Multiple ^(b)				
			Revenue Multiple(b)				
			Discount for Lack of	5.0x - 51.4x			
			Marketability ^(c)	0.5x - 13.8x			
			Average Industry Volatility(d)	6.4% - 36.0%			
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	21.3% - 110.7%			
			Estimated Time to Exit	0.1% - 1.0%			
			(in months)	6 - 48			
	8,913	Market Adjusted OPM Backsolve	Average Industry Volatility(d)	35.7% - 109.9%			
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	0.1% - 2.7%			

Estimated Time to Exit 3 - 48

(in months)

9,595 Other Average Industry Volatility^(d)

Risk-Free Interest Rate 44.0% - 56.9%

Estimated Time to Exit 0.1% - 1.0%

(in months) 12 - 48

Total Level Three Warrant and Equity Investments

\$66,368

- (a) The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company s warrant and equity-related securities are revenue and/or EBITDA multiples and discounts for lack of marketability. Additional inputs used in the Black Scholes option pricing model include industry volatility, risk free interest rate and estimated time to exit. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. For some investments, additional consideration may be given to data from the last round of financing or merger/acquisition events near the measurement date.
- (b) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined that market participants would use such multiples when pricing the investments.
- (c) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined market participants would take into account these discounts when pricing the investments.
- (d) Represents the range of average industry volatility used by market participants when pricing the investment.

F-47

Index to Financial Statements

	Fair Value at	Valuation Techniques/			
Investment Type-	December 31, 2012	Methodologies	Unobservable Input(a)	Range	
	(in thousands)				
Level Three Warrant and Equity	\$57,685	Market Comparable Companies	EBITDA Multiple(b)	1.43x -20.68x	
			Revenue Multiple(b)	0.42x - 16.98x	
Investments			Discount for Lack of	10.4% - 25.2%	
m vestments			Marketability(c)		
Warrant positions additionally subject to:		Option Pricing Model	Average Industry Volatility(d)	46.49% - 141.2%	
			Risk-Free Interest Rate	0.17% - 0.46%	
			Estimated Time to Exit	12 - 48	
			(in months)		
Total Level Three Warrant and Equity					
Investments	\$57,685				

- (a) The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company s warrant and equity-related securities are revenue and/or EBITDA multiples and discounts for lack of marketability. Additional inputs used in the Black Scholes option pricing model include industry volatility, risk free interest rate and estimated time to exit. Significant increases (decreases) in the inputs in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement, depending on the materiality of the investment. For some investments, additional consideration may be given to data from the last round of financing or merger/acquisition events near the measurement date.
- (b) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined that market participants would use such multiples when pricing the investments.
- (c) Represents amounts used when the Company has determined market participants would take into account these discounts when pricing the investments.
- (d) Represents the range of industry volatility used by market participants when pricing the investment. Debt Investments

The Company follows the guidance set forth in ASC 820 which establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities and outlines a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. The Company s debt securities are primarily invested in venture capital-backed companies in technology-related markets, including technology, biotechnology, life science and energy and renewables technology industries. Given the nature of lending to these types of businesses, the Company s investments in these portfolio companies are considered Level 3 assets under ASC 820 because there is no known or accessible market or market indexes for debt instruments for these investment securities to be traded or exchanged.

In making a good faith determination of the value of our investments, the Company generally starts with the cost basis of the investment, which includes the value attributed to the OID, if any, and PIK interest or other receivables which have been accrued to principal as earned. The Company then applies the valuation methods as set forth below.

The Company applies a procedure that assumes a sale of investment in a hypothetical market to a hypothetical market participant where buyers and sellers are willing participants. The hypothetical market does not include scenarios where the underlying security was simply repaid or extinguished, but includes an exit concept. Under this process, the Company also evaluates the collateral for recoverability of the debt investments as well as applies all of its historical fair value analysis. The Company uses pricing on recently issued comparable debt securities to determine the baseline hypothetical market yields as of the measurement date.

The Company considers each portfolio company scredit rating, security liens and other characteristics of the investment to adjust the baseline yield to derive a hypothetical yield for each investment as of the measurement date. The anticipated future cash flows from each investment are then discounted at the hypothetical yield to estimate each investment s fair value as of the measurement date.

The Company s process includes, among other things, the underlying investment performance, the current portfolio company s financial condition and market changing events that impact valuation, estimated remaining life, current market yield and interest rate spreads of similar securities as of the measurement date. The Company values its syndicated loans, which represent less than 4.0% of the Company s debt investment portfolio, using

Index to Financial Statements

broker quotes and bond indices amongst other factors. If there is a significant deterioration of the credit quality of a debt investment, the Company may consider other factors to estimate fair value, including the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

The Company records unrealized depreciation on investments when it believes that an investment has decreased in value, including where collection of a loan is doubtful or, if under the in-exchange premise, when the value of a debt security was to be less than amortized cost of the investment. Conversely, where appropriate, the Company records unrealized appreciation if it believes that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and, therefore, that its investment has also appreciated in value or, if under the in-exchange premise, the value of a debt security were to be greater than amortized cost.

When originating a debt instrument, the Company generally receives warrants or other equity-related securities from the borrower. The Company determines the cost basis of the warrants or other equity-related securities received based upon their respective fair values on the date of receipt in proportion to the total fair value of the debt and warrants or other equity-related securities received. Any resulting discount on the debt investments from recordation of the warrant or other equity instruments is accreted into interest income over the life of the debt investments.

Equity-Related Securities and Warrants

Securities that are traded in the over-the-counter markets or on a stock exchange will be valued at the prevailing bid price at period end. The Company has a limited number of equity securities in public companies. In accordance with the 1940 Act, unrestricted publicly traded securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the closing market quote on the measurement date.

The Company estimates the fair value of warrants using a Black Scholes pricing model. At each reporting date, privately held warrant and equity-related securities are valued based on an analysis of various factors including, but not limited to, the portfolio company s operating performance and financial condition and general market conditions, price to enterprise value or price to equity ratios, discounted cash flow, valuation comparisons to comparable public companies or other industry benchmarks. When an external event occurs, such as a purchase transaction, public offering, or subsequent equity sale, the pricing indicated by that external event is utilized to corroborate the Company s valuation of the warrant and equity-related securities. The Company periodically reviews the valuation of its portfolio companies that have not been involved in a qualifying external event to determine if the enterprise value of the portfolio company may have increased or decreased since the last valuation measurement date.

Investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis are categorized in the tables below based upon the lowest level of significant input to the valuations as of December 31, 2013 and as of December 31, 2012. The Company transfers investments in and out of Level 1, 2 and 3 securities as of the beginning balance sheet date, based on changes in the use of observable and unobservable inputs utilized to perform the valuation for the period. During the year ended December 31, 2013, there were no transfers between Levels 1 or 2.

Ouoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Significant Other (in thousands) Assets Observable Significant Inputs (Level **Unobservable Inputs** (Level Description 12/31/2013 2) (Level 3) 1) Senior secured debt \$ 821,988 821,988 Preferred stock 35 554 35,554 Common stock 17,116 15,009 2,107 Warrants 35,637 6,930 28,707 \$ 910,295 \$ 15,009 6.930 888.356

Investments at Fair Value as of December 31, 2013

Index to Financial Statements

Investments at Fair Value as of December 31, 2012

		Active Markets For	•			
(in thousands)		Identical	8	cant Other		
(iii tiiousuiius)		Assets		servable		gnificant
		(Level	Inpu	its (Level		rvable Inputs
Description	12/31/2012	1)		2)	(1	Level 3)
Senior secured debt	\$ 827,540	\$	\$		\$	827,540
Preferred stock	33,178					33,178
Common stock	16,032	13,665				2,367
Warrants	29,550			7,410		22,140
	\$ 906,300	\$ 13,665	\$	7,410	\$	885,225

Quoted Prices In

The table below presents reconciliation for all financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding accrued interest components, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	Balance, January 1, 2013		un appro	change in realized eciation or eciation ⁽²⁾	Purchases	Sales	Repayments	Tra L	Fross ansfers into evel 3(1)	Gross Transfers out of Level 3 ⁽¹⁾	salances, cember 31, 2013
Senior Debt	\$ 827,540	\$ (9,536)	\$	(8,208)	\$ 484,367	\$ (8)	\$ (469,780)	\$	769	\$ (3,156)	\$ 821,988
Preferred Stock	33,178	7,968		7,682	6,198	(18,572)	, , ,		776	(1,676)	35,554
Common Stock	2,367			(1,103)	750				93		2,107
Warrants	22,140	5,257		6,173	6,524	(10,350)				(1,037)	28,707
Total	\$ 885,225	\$ 3,689	\$	4,544	\$ 497,839	\$ (28,930)	\$ (469,780)	\$	1,638	\$ (5,869)	\$ 888,356

(in thousands)	Balance, January 1, 2012	(Realized Gains osses) ⁽¹⁾	appi	t change in nrealized reciation or oreciation ⁽²⁾	Purchases	Sales	Repayments	Gross Transfers into Level 3	Gross Transfers out of Level 3	Balances, cember 31, 2012
Senior Debt	\$ 585,767	\$	(5,178)	\$	(2,262)	\$ 545,913	\$ (2,000)	\$ (294,294)	\$	\$ (406)	\$ 827,540
Preferred Stock	29,929	\$	(733)		3,761	10,562	(6,553)		356	(4,144)	33,178
Common Stock	450	\$	(16)		5,873	9,558	(45)			(13,453)	2,367
Warrants	26,284	\$	4,413		(2,452)	7,362	(9,211)			(4,256)	22,140
Total	\$ 642,430	\$	(1,514)	\$	4,920	\$ 573,395	\$ (17,809)	\$ (294,294)	\$ 356	\$ (22,259)	\$ 885,225

For the year ended December 31, 2013, approximately \$4.4 million and \$4.1 million in net unrealized appreciation was recorded for preferred stock and warrant Level 3 investments, respectively, relating to assets still held at the reporting date. For the same period, approximately \$8.2 million and \$1.1 million in net unrealized depreciation was recorded for debt and common stock Level 3 investments, respectively, relating to assets still held at the reporting date.

⁽¹⁾ Includes net realized gains (losses) recorded as realized gains or losses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

⁽²⁾ Included in change in net unrealized appreciation or depreciation in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

⁽³⁾ Transfers in/out of Level 3 relate to the conversion of Optiscan Biomedical, Inc., Gynesonics, Inc., Philotic, Inc., and Tethys Bioscience, Inc. debt to equity, the conversion of OCZ Technology warrants to principal and the initial public offerings of Portola Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Acceleron Pharma, Inc., Bind, Inc, and ADMA Biologics, Inc.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, approximately \$3.8 million in unrealized appreciation and \$2.2 million in unrealized depreciation was recorded for equity and warrant Level 3 investments, respectively, relating to assets still held at the reporting date. For the same period, approximately \$2.3 million in unrealized depreciation was recorded for Level 3 debt investments relating to assets still held at the reporting date.

As required by the 1940 Act, the Company classifies its investments by level of control. Control investments are defined in the 1940 Act as investments in those companies that the Company is deemed to control. Generally, under the 1940 Act, the Company is deemed to control a company in which it has invested if it owns 25% or more of the voting securities of such company or has greater than 50% representation on its board. Affiliate investments are investments in those companies that are affiliated companies of the Company, as defined in the 1940 Act, which are not control investments. The Company is deemed to be an affiliate of a company in which it has invested if it owns 5% or more but less than 25% of the voting securities of such company. Non-control/non-affiliate investments are investments that are neither control investments nor affiliate investments.

(in thousands)

Index to Financial Statements

The following table summarizes our realized and unrealized gain and loss and changes in our unrealized appreciation and depreciation on control and affiliate investments for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company did not hold any Control Investments.

Year ended

December 31, 2012

(in thousands)					December 31, 2013 Reversal of Unrealized Unrealized				
		Fair	r Value at	Investment	_	reciation)/	Unrealized (Depreciation)/	Realized	
Portfolio Company	Type	Decem	ber 31, 2013	Income	App	reciation	Appreciation	Gain/(Loss)	
Gelesis, Inc.	Affiliate	\$	473	\$	\$	(1,193)	\$	\$	
Optiscan BioMedical, Corp.	Affiliate		4,784	1,933		(225)			
Stion Corporation	Affiliate		5,724	462		593			
Total		\$	10,981	\$ 2,395	\$	(825)	\$	\$	
						Year	ended		

					Reversal of			
					Unr	ealized	Unrealized	
Portfolio Company	Туре	alue at er 31, 2012	Investi		` •	eciation)/ reciation	(Depreciation)/ Appreciation	Realized Gain/(Loss)
E-Band Communications, Corp.	Affiliate	\$	\$	4	\$	(18)	\$	\$
Gelesis, Inc.	Affiliate	1,665	7	12		672		
Optiscan BioMedical, Corp.	Affiliate	10,207	1,6	49		(2,722)		
Total		\$ 11,872	\$ 2,3	65	\$	(2,068)	\$	\$

(in thousands)							
				_	realized	Unrealized	
Portfolio Company	Type	Value at per 31, 2011	Investment Income	` •	reciation)/ reciation	(Depreciation)/ Appreciation	Realized Gain/(Loss)
MaxVision Holdings, LLC.	Control	\$ 1,027	\$ 889	\$	5,158	\$	\$
E-Band Communications, Corp.	Affiliate		14		3,425		
Total		\$ 1,027	\$ 903	\$	8,583	\$	\$

During the year ended December 31, 2013, Stion Corporation became classified as an affiliate. The Company s investment in E-Band Communications, Corp., a company that was an affiliate investment as of December 31, 2012, was liquidated during the year ended December 31, 2013. Approximately \$3.3 million of realized losses and a reversal of \$3.3 million of previously recorded unrealized depreciation was recognized on this affiliate equity investment during the year ended December 31, 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, Optiscan BioMedical, Corp. became classified as an affiliate. The Company s investment in MaxVision Holding, L.L.C., a company that was a control investment as of December 31, 2011, was liquidated during the year ended

December 31, 2012. On July 31, 2012, the Company received payment of \$2.0 million for its total debt investments in Maxvision Holding, L.L.C. Approximately \$8.7 million of realized losses and a reversal of \$10.5 million of previously recorded unrealized depreciation was recognized on this control debt and equity investment during the year ended December 31, 2012.

A summary of the composition of the Company s investment portfolio as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 at fair value is shown as follows:

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	December 31, 2012				
	Investments at Fair	Percentage of Total	Investments at Fair	Percentage of Total			
(in thousands)	Value	Portfolio	Value	Portfolio			
Senior secured debt with warrants	\$ 634,820	69.7%	\$ 652,041	72.0%			
Senior secured debt	222,805	24.5%	205,049	22.6%			
Preferred stock	35,554	3.9%	33,178	3.7%			
Common Stock	17,116	1.9%	16,032	1.7%			
	\$ 910,295	100.0%	\$ 906,300	100.0%			

Index to Financial Statements

A summary of the Company s investment portfolio, at value, by geographic location as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is shown as follows:

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	December 31, 2012				
	Investments at Fair	Percentage of Total	Investments at Fair	Percentage of Total			
(in thousands)	Value	Portfolio	Value	Portfolio			
United States	\$ 864,003	94.9%	\$ 901,041	99.4%			
Canada	25,798	2.8%					
Netherlands	10,131	1.1%					
Israel	9,863	1.1%					
England	500	0.1%	5,259	0.6%			
-							
	\$ 910,295	100.0%	\$ 906,300	100.0%			

The following table shows the fair value the Company s portfolio by industry sector at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Decemb	per 31, 2013	Decemb	er 31, 2012
(in thousands)	Investments at Fair Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio	Investments at Fair Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio
Drug Discovery & Development	\$ 219,169	24.1%	\$ 188,479	20.8%
Energy Technology	164,466	18.1%	126,600	14.0%
Internet Consumer & Business Services	122,073	13.4%	136,149	15.0%
Medical Devices & Equipment	103,614	11.4%	54,575	6.0%
Software	65,218	7.2%	70,838	7.8%
Drug Delivery	62,022	6.8%	74,218	8.2%
Information Services	46,565	5.1%	53,523	5.9%
Communications & Networking	35,979	4.0%	37,560	4.1%
Healthcare Services, Other	29,080	3.2%	36,481	4.0%
Specialty Pharmaceuticals	20,055	2.2%	12,473	1.4%
Surgical Devices	10,307	1.0%	11,358	1.3%
Electronics & Computer Hardware	9,211	1.0%	12,715	1.4%
Media/Content/Info	8,679	1.0%	51,534	5.7%
Biotechnology Tools	5,275	0.6%	6,845	0.8%
Semiconductors	4,685	0.5%	2,922	0.3%
Consumer & Business Products	2,995	0.3%	13,723	1.5%
Diagnostic	902	0.1%	16,307	1.8%
	\$ 910,295	100.0%	\$ 906,300	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ In our quarterly and annual reports filed with the Commission prior to this Annual Report of Form 10-K, we referred to this industry sector as Clean Tech . During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company funded investments in debt securities and equity investments totaling approximately \$491.1 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. The Company converted approximately \$3.2 million of debt to equity in four portfolio companies in the year ended December 31, 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company funded investments in debt securities and equity investments, totaling approximately \$486.8 million and \$9.7 million, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company converted approximately \$356,000 of debt to equity in one portfolio company.

No single portfolio investment represents more than 10% of the fair value of the investments as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized net realized gains of approximately \$14.8 million on the portfolio. These net realized gains included gross realized gains of approximately \$32.6 million primarily from the sale of investments in nine portfolio companies, partially offset by gross realized losses of approximately \$17.8 million primarily from the liquidation of the Company s investments in five portfolio companies.

Index to Financial Statements

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized net realized gains of approximately \$3.2 million on the portfolio. These net realized gains included \$17.5 million of gross realized gains offset by \$14.3 million of gross realized losses. The Company recorded gross realized gains of approximately \$17.5 million primarily from the sale of investments in five portfolio companies. These gains were offset by gross realized losses of approximately \$14.3 million primarily from the liquidation of the Company s investments in four portfolio companies.

Loan origination and commitment fees received in full at the inception of a loan are deferred and amortized into fee income as an enhancement to the related loan s yield over the contractual life of the loan. Loan exit fees to be paid at the termination of the loan are accreted into interest income over the contractual life of the loan. The Company had approximately \$4.0 million and \$2.0 million of unamortized fees at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and approximately \$14.4 million and \$6.8 million in exit fees receivable at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company has loans in its portfolio that contain a payment-in-kind (PIK) provision. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain the Company s status as a RIC, this non-cash source of income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though the Company has not yet collected the cash. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments. The Company recorded approximately \$3.5 million and \$1.5 million in PIK income in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In certain investment transactions, the Company may provide advisory services. For services that are separately identifiable and external evidence exists to substantiate fair value, income is recognized as earned, which is generally when the investment transaction closes. The Company had no income from advisory services in the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

In the majority of cases, the Company collateralizes its investments by obtaining a first priority security interest in a portfolio company s assets, which may include its intellectual property. In other cases, the Company may obtain a negative pledge covering a company s intellectual property. At December 31, 2013, approximately 62.8% of the Company s portfolio company loans were secured by a first priority security in all of the assets of the portfolio company (including their intellectual property), 37.1% of portfolio company loans were to portfolio companies that were prohibited from pledging or encumbering their intellectual property and 0.1% of portfolio company loans had an equipment only lien.

Income Recognition

The Company records interest income on the accrual basis and recognizes it as earned in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. Original Issue Discount (OID) initially represents the value of detachable equity warrants obtained in conjunction with the acquisition of debt securities and is accreted into interest income over the term of the loan as a yield enhancement. When a loan becomes 90 days or more past due, or if management otherwise does not expect the portfolio company to be able to service its debt and other obligations, we will generally place the loan on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan until all principal has been paid. Any uncollected interest related to prior periods is reversed from income in the period that collection of the interest receivable is determined to be doubtful. However, the Company may make exceptions to this policy if the investment has sufficient collateral value and is in the process of collection. At December 31, 2013, the Company had two loans on non-accrual with a cumulative investment cost and fair value of approximately \$23.3 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, compared to one loan on non-accrual at December 31, 2012 with an approximate investment cost of \$347,000 and no fair market value. During the fourth quarter of 2013 we recognized a realized loss of approximately \$350,000 of principal on our debt investments in this company.

F-53

Index to Financial Statements

Paid-In-Kind and End of Term Income

Contractual paid-in-kind (PIK) interest, which represents contractually deferred interest added to the loan balance that is generally due at the end of the loan term, is generally recorded on the accrual basis to the extent such amounts are expected to be collected. The Company will generally cease accruing PIK interest if there is insufficient value to support the accrual or management does not expect the portfolio company to be able to pay all principal and interest due. In addition, the Company may also be entitled to an end-of-term payment that is amortized into income over the life of the loan. To maintain the Company s status as a RIC, PIK and end-of-term income must be paid out to stockholders in the form of dividends even though the cash has not yet been collected. Amounts necessary to pay these dividends may come from available cash or the liquidation of certain investments.

Fee Income

Fee income, generally collected in advance, includes loan commitment and facility fees for due diligence and structuring, as well as fees for transaction services and management services rendered by us to portfolio companies and other third parties. Loan and commitment fees are amortized into income over the contractual life of the loan. Management fees are generally recognized as income when the services are rendered. Loan origination fees are capitalized and then amortized into interest income using the effective interest rate method. In certain loan arrangements, warrants or other equity interests are received from the borrower as additional origination fees.

The Company recognizes nonrecurring fees amortized over the remaining term of the loan commencing in the quarter relating to specific loan modifications. Certain fees may still be recognized as one-time fees, including prepayment penalties, fees related to select covenant default waiver fees and acceleration of previously deferred loan fees and original issue discount (OID) related to early loan pay-off or material modification of the specific debt outstanding.

Equity Offering Expenses

Our offering costs are charged against the proceeds from equity offerings when received.

Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are fees and other direct incremental costs incurred by the Company in obtaining debt financing. Debt issuance costs are recognized as prepaid expenses and amortized over the life of the related debt instrument using the straight line method, which closely approximates the effective yield method. Prepaid financing costs, net of accumulated amortization, were as follows as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	As of Dec	ember 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Wells Facility	\$ 398	\$ 867
Convertible Debt	1,323	1,900
Asset Backed Notes	2,686	4,074
2019 Notes	5,319	6,287
SBA Debenture	5,074	5,877
	\$ 14.800	\$ 19.005

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers money market funds and other highly liquid short-term investments with a maturity of less than 90 days to be cash equivalents.

Index to Financial Statements

Stock Based Compensation

The Company has issued and may, from time to time, issue additional stock options and restricted stock to employees under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and Board members under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. Management follows ASC 718, formally known as FAS 123R Share-Based Payments to account for stock options granted. Under ASC 718, compensation expense associated with stock based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rate and expected option life.

Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Basic EPS is calculated by dividing net earnings applicable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Common shares outstanding includes common stock and restricted stock for which no future service is required as a condition to the delivery of the underlying common stock. Diluted EPS includes the determinants of basic EPS and, in addition, reflects the dilutive effect of the common stock deliverable pursuant to stock options and to restricted stock for which future service is required as a condition to the delivery of the underlying common stock.

Income Taxes

The Company operates to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under the Code. Generally, a RIC is entitled to deduct dividends it pays to its shareholders from its income to determine taxable income. Taxable income includes our taxable interest, dividend and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual payment-in-kind interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

As a RIC, the Company will be subject to a 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income unless the we distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the 1-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income realized, but not distributed, in the preceding year (the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements). The Company will not be subject to excise taxes on amounts on which we are required to pay corporate income tax (such as retained net capital gains). Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that may be carried over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. To the extent we choose to carry over taxable income into the next tax year, dividends declared and paid by us in a year may differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital.

At December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, no excise tax was recorded. We intend to distribute approximately \$3.8 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2013 to our shareholders in 2014. We

Index to Financial Statements

distributed approximately \$1.5 million of spillover earnings from the year ended December 31, 2012 to our shareholders in 2013.

Because federal income tax regulations differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, distributions in accordance with tax regulations may differ from net investment income and realized gains recognized for financial reporting purposes. Differences may be permanent or temporary. Permanent differences are reclassified among capital accounts in the financial statement to reflect their tax character. Temporary differences arise when certain items of income, expense, gain or loss are recognized at some time in the future. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes.

Comprehensive Income

The Company reports all changes in comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Comprehensive income is equal to net increase in net assets resulting from operations.

Dividends

Dividends and distributions to common stockholders are approved by the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis and the dividend payable is recorded on the ex-dividend date.

We maintain an opt out dividend reinvestment plan that provides for reinvestment of our distribution on behalf of our stockholders, unless a stockholder elects to receive cash. As a result, if our Board of Directors authorizes, and we declare a cash dividend, then our stockholders who have not opted out of our dividend reinvestment plan will have their cash dividend automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock, rather than receiving the cash dividends. During 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company issued approximately 159,000, 219,000, and 167,000 shares, respectively, of common stock to shareholders in connection with the dividend reinvestment plan.

Segments

The Company lends to and invests in portfolio companies in various technology-related companies, including energy technology, life science, and special opportunity lower middle market companies. The Company separately evaluates the performance of each of its lending and investment relationships. However, because each of these loan and investment relationships has similar business and economic characteristics, they have been aggregated into a single lending and investment segment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-08, Financial Services Investment Companies (Topic 946): Amendments to the Scope, Measurement, and Disclosure Requirements, which amends the criteria that define an investment company and clarifies the measurement guidance and requires new disclosures for investment companies. Under ASU 2013-08, an entity already regulated under the 1940 Act is automatically an investment company under the new GAAP definition, so we anticipate no impacts from adopting this standard on our statement of assets and liabilities or results of operations. We are currently assessing the additional disclosure requirements. ASU 2013-08 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013.

3. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates are made at discrete points in time based on relevant information. These estimates may be subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. The Company believes that the carrying amounts of its financial instruments, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate the

Index to Financial Statements

fair values of such items due to the short maturity of such instruments. The Convertible Senior Notes, 2019 Notes payable (the April 2019 Notes and the September 2019 Notes , together the 2019 Notes), the Asset-Backed Notes and the SBA debentures as sources of liquidity remain a strategic advantage due to their flexible structure, long-term duration, and low fixed interest rates. At December 31, 2013, the April 2019 Notes were trading on the New York Stock Exchange for \$1.021 per dollar at par value and the September 2019 Notes were trading on the New York Stock Exchange for \$1.016 per dollar at par value. Based on market quotations on or around December 31, 2013, the Convertible Senior Notes were trading for \$1.403 per dollar at par value and the Asset-Backed Notes were trading for \$1.004 per dollar at par value. Calculated based on the net present value of payments over the term of the notes using estimated market rates for similar notes and remaining terms, the fair value of the SBA debentures would be approximately \$222.7 million, compared to the carrying amount of \$225.0 million as of December 31, 2013.

See the accompanying Consolidated Schedule of Investments for the fair value of the Company s investments. The methodology for the determination of the fair value of the Company s investments is discussed in Note 2.

The liabilities of the Company below are recorded at amortized cost and not at fair value on the Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The following table provides additional information about the level in the fair value hierarchy of the Company s liabilities at December 31, 2013.

(in thousands)

			Identical Assets	Obser	vable Inputs	Unobse	rvable Inputs
Description	Decem	ber 31, 2013	(Level 1)	(1	Level 2)	(I	Level 3)
Convertible Senior Notes	\$	105,206	\$	\$	105,206	\$	
Asset Backed Notes	\$	89,893	\$	\$		\$	89,893
April 2019 Notes	\$	86,281	\$	\$	86,281	\$	
September 2019 Notes	\$	87,248	\$	\$	87,248	\$	
SBA Debentures	\$	222,742	\$	\$		\$	222,742

The following table provides information about the level in the fair value hierarchy of the Company s liabilities at December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)

Description	Decem	ber 31, 2012	Identical Assets (Level 1)	vable Inputs Level 2)	rvable Inputs Level 3)
Convertible Senior Notes	\$	77,813	\$	\$ 77,813	\$
Asset Backed Notes	\$	129,300	\$	\$	\$ 129,300
April 2019 Notes	\$	83,307	\$	\$ 83,307	\$
September 2019 Notes	\$	86,150	\$	\$ 86,150	\$
SBA Debentures	\$	242,300	\$	\$	\$ 242,300

4. Borrowings

Outstanding Borrowings

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had the following borrowing capacity and outstanding borrowings:

	Decembe	December 31, 2013		r 31, 2012
	Total	Carrying	Total	Carrying
(in thousands)	Available	Value ⁽¹⁾	Available	Value ⁽¹⁾
SBA Debentures ⁽²⁾ .	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000
2019 Notes .	170,364	170,364	170,364	170,364
Asset-Backed Notes	89,557	89,557	129,300	129,300
Convertible Senior Notes ⁽³⁾	75,000	72,519	75,000	71,436
Wells Facility	75,000		75,000	
Union Bank Facility	30,000		30,000	

Total \$ 664,921 \$ 557,440 \$ 704,664 \$ 596,100

(1) Except for the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below), all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding.

Index to Financial Statements

- (2) At December 31, 2013 and at December 31, 2012, the total available borrowings under the SBA was \$225.0 million, of which \$76.0 million was available in HT II and \$149.0 million was available in HT III.
- (3) Represents the aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes (as defined below) less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$2.5 million at December 31, 2013 and \$3.6 million at December 31, 2012.

Long-term SBA Debentures

On September 27, 2006, HT II received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. With the Company s net investment of \$38.0 million in HT II as of December 31, 2013, HT II has the capacity to issue a total of \$76.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$76.0 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, HT II has paid commitment fees and facility fees of approximately \$1.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the Company held investments in HT II in 42 companies with a fair value of approximately \$102.5 million, accounting for approximately 11.3% of the Company s total portfolio.

On May 26, 2010, HT III received a license to operate as a SBIC under the SBIC program and is able to borrow funds from the SBA against eligible investments and additional contributions to regulatory capital. With the Company s net investment of \$74.5 million in HT III as of December 31, 2013, HT III has the capacity to issue a total of \$149.0 million of SBA guaranteed debentures, subject to SBA approval, of which \$149.0 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, HT III has paid commitment fees and facility fees of approximately \$1.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the Company held investments in HT III in 29 companies with a fair value of approximately \$171.6 million, accounting for approximately 18.9% of the Company s total portfolio.

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private equity capital to eligible small businesses. Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses include businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$18.0 million and have average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$6.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. In addition, SBICs must devote 25.0% of its investment activity to smaller enterprises as defined by the SBA.

A smaller enterprise is one that has a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million and has average annual fully taxed net income not exceeding \$2.0 million for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility, which depend on the industry in which the business is engaged and are based on such factors as the number of employees and gross sales. According to SBA regulations, SBICs may make long-term loans to small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries HT II and HT III, the Company plans to provide long-term loans to qualifying small businesses, and in connection therewith, make equity investments.

HT II and HT III are periodically examined and audited by the SBA s staff to determine their compliance with SBA regulations. If HT II or HT III fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit HT II s or HT III s use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit HT II or HT III from making new investments. In addition, HT II or HT III may also be limited in their ability to make distributions to the Company if they do not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect the Company because HT II and III are the Company s wholly owned subsidiaries. HT II and HT III were in compliance with the terms of the SBIC s leverage as of December 31, 2013 as a result of having sufficient capital as defined under the SBA regulations.

The rates of borrowings under various draws from the SBA beginning in April 2007 are set semiannually in March and September and range from 2.25% to 5.73%. Interest payments on SBA debentures are payable semiannually. There are no principal payments required on these issues prior to maturity and no prepayment penalties.

F-58

Index to Financial Statements

Debentures under the SBA generally mature ten years after being borrowed. Based on the initial draw down date of April 2007, the initial maturity of SBA debentures will occur in April 2017. In addition, the SBA charges a fee that is set annually, depending on the Federal fiscal year the leverage commitment was delegated by the SBA, regardless of the date that the leverage was drawn by the SBIC. The annual fees related to HT II debentures that pooled on September 22, 2010 were 0.406% and 0.285%, depending upon the year in which the underlying commitment was closed. The annual fees related to HT III debentures that pooled on March 27, 2013, were 0.804%. The annual fees on other debentures have been set at 0.906%. The average amount of debentures outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2013 for HT III was approximately \$76.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately \$149.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately \$149.0 million with an average interest rate of approximately 3.41%.

HT II and HT III hold approximately \$174.1 million and \$285.1 million in assets, respectively, and accounted for approximately 11.1% and 18.2% of the Company s total assets prior to consolidation at December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of outstanding SBA guaranteed debentures issued by a single SBIC is \$150.0 million, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA, and a maximum amount of \$225.0 million for funds under common control, subject to periodic adjustments by the SBA. In the aggregate, at December 31, 2013 there was \$225.0 million principal amount of indebtedness outstanding incurred by our SBIC subsidiaries, the maximum statutory limit on the dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debentures under the SBIC program.

The Company reported the following SBA debentures outstanding on its Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		*	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands) Issuance/Pooling Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	2013	2012
SBA Debentures:				
March 26, 2008	March 1, 2018	6.38%	\$ 34,800	\$ 34,800
March 25, 2009	March 1, 2019	5.53%	18,400	18,400
September 23, 2009	September 1, 2019	4.64%	3,400	3,400
September 22, 2010	September 1, 2020	3.62%	6,500	6,500
September 22, 2010	September 1, 2020	3.50%	22,900	22,900
March 29, 2011	March 1, 2021	4.37%	28,750	28,750
September 21, 2011	September 1, 2021	3.16%	25,000	25,000
March 21, 2012	March 1, 2022	3.28%	25,000	11,250
March 21, 2012	March 1, 2022	3.05%	11,250	25,000
September 19, 2012	September 1, 2022	3.05%	24,250	24,250
March 27, 2013	March 1, 2023	3.16%	24,750	24,750
Total SBA Debentures			\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000

(1) Interest rate includes annual charge 2019 Notes

On March 6, 2012, the Company and U.S. Bank National Association (the Trustee) entered into an indenture (the Base Indenture). On April 17, 2012, the Company and the Trustee entered into the First Supplemental Indenture to the Base Indenture, dated April 17, 2012, relating to the Company s issuance, offer and sale of \$43.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.00% senior notes due 2019 (the April 2019 Notes). The sale of the April 2019 Notes generated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$41.7 million.

On September 24, 2012, the Company and the Trustee, entered into the Second Supplemental Indenture to the Base Indenture, dated as of September 24, 2012, relating to the Company s issuance, offer and sale of \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.00% senior notes due 2019 (the September 2019 Notes). The sale of the September 2019 Notes generated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$72.75 million.

Index to Financial Statements

2019 Notes payable is compromised of:

(in thousands)	Decem	ber 31, 2013	Decemb	er 31, 2012
April 2019 Notes	\$	84,490	\$	84,490
September 2019 Notes		85,874		85,874
Carrying Value of Debt	\$	170,364	\$	170,364

April 2019 Notes

The April 2019 Notes will mature on April 30, 2019 and may be redeemed in whole or in part at the Company s option at any time or from time to time on or after April 30, 2015, upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days written notice by mail prior to the date fixed for redemption thereof, at a redemption price of 100% of the outstanding principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest payments otherwise payable for the then-current quarterly interest period accrued to but not including the date fixed for redemption. The April 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.00% per year payable quarterly on January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year, commencing on July 30, 2012, and trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol HTGZ.

The April 2019 Notes are the Company s direct unsecured obligations and rank: (i) *pari passu* with our other outstanding and future senior unsecured indebtedness, including without limitation, the \$75 million in aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes; (ii) senior to any of the Company s future indebtedness that expressly provides it is subordinated to the April 2019 Notes; (iii) effectively subordinated to all the Company s existing and future secured indebtedness (including indebtedness that is initially unsecured to which the Company subsequently grants security), to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, including without limitation, borrowings under the Company s credit facilities; (iv) structurally subordinated to all existing and future indebtedness and other obligations of any of the Company s subsidiaries, including without limitation, the indebtedness of Hercules Technology II, L.P. and Hercules Technology III, L.P. and borrowings under the Company s revolving senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance.

The Base Indenture, as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture, contains certain covenants including covenants requiring the Company to comply with (regardless of whether it is subject to) the asset coverage requirements set forth in Section 18 (a)(1)(A) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act to comply with the restrictions on dividends, distributions and purchase of capital stock set forth in Section 18(a)(1)(B) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act and to provide financial information to the holders of the April 2019 Notes and the Trustee if the Company should no longer be subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These covenants are subject to important limitations and exceptions that are described in the Indenture, as supplemented by the First Supplemental Indenture. The Indenture provides for customary events of default and further provides that the Trustee or the holders of 25% in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding April 2019 Notes in a series may declare such April 2019 Notes immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of any event of default after expiration of any applicable grace period.

The April 2019 Notes were sold pursuant to an underwriting agreement dated April 11, 2012 among the Company and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representative of the several underwriters named in the underwriting agreement. In July 2012, the Company re-opened our April 2019 Notes and issued an additional amount of approximately \$41.5 million in aggregate principal amount of April 2019 Notes, which includes exercise of an over-allotment option, bringing the total amount of the April 2019 Notes issued to approximately \$84.5 million in aggregate principal amount.

September 2019 Notes

The September 2019 Notes will mature on September 30, 2019 and may be redeemed in whole or in part at the Company s option at any time or from time to time on or after September 30, 2015, upon not less than 30

F-60

Index to Financial Statements

days nor more than 60 days written notice by mail prior to the date fixed for redemption thereof, at a redemption price of 100% of the outstanding principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest payments otherwise payable for the then-current quarterly interest period accrued to but not including the date fixed for redemption. The September 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.00% per year payable quarterly on March 30, June 30, September 30 and December 30 of each year, commencing on December 30, 2012, and trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol HTGY.

The September 2019 Notes are the Company s direct unsecured obligations and rank: (i) *pari passu* with our other outstanding and future senior unsecured indebtedness, including without limitation, the \$75 million in aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes; (ii) senior to any of the Company s future indebtedness that expressly provides it is subordinated to the September 2019 Notes; (iii) effectively subordinated to all the Company s existing and future secured indebtedness (including indebtedness that is initially unsecured to which the Company subsequently grants security), to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, including without limitation, borrowings under the Company s credit facilities; (iv) structurally subordinated to all existing and future indebtedness and other obligations of any of our subsidiaries, including without limitation, the indebtedness of Hercules Technology II, L.P. and Hercules Technology III, L.P. and borrowings under the Company s revolving senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance.

The Base Indenture, as supplemented by the Second Supplemental Indenture, contains certain covenants including covenants requiring the Company to comply with (regardless of whether it is subject to) the asset coverage requirements set forth in Section 18 (a) (1)(A) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act to comply with the restrictions on dividends, distributions and purchase of capital stock set forth in Section 18(a)(1)(B) as modified by Section 61(a)(1) of the 1940 Act and to provide financial information to the holders of the September 2019 Notes and the Trustee if the Company should no longer be subject to the reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These covenants are subject to important limitations and exceptions that are described in the Indenture, as supplemented by the Second Supplemental Indenture. The Indenture provides for customary events of default and further provides that the Trustee or the holders of 25% in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding September 2019 Notes in a series may declare such September 2019 Notes immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of any event of default after expiration of any applicable grace period.

The September 2019 Notes were sold pursuant to an underwriting agreement dated September 19, 2012 among the Company and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representative of the several underwriters named in the underwriting agreement. In October 2012, the underwriters exercised their over-allotment option for an additional \$10.9 million of the September 2019 Notes, bringing the total amount of the September 2019 Notes issued to approximately \$85.9 million in aggregate principal amount.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the components of interest expense and related fees and cash paid for interest expense and fees for the April 2019 and September 2019 Notes are as follows:

	Year l	Ended
	Decem	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Stated interest expense	\$ 11,926	\$ 5,139
Amortization of debt issuance cost	967	423
Total interest expense and fees	\$ 12,893	\$ 5,562

As of December 31, 2013, the Company is in compliance with the terms of the indenture, and respective supplemental indenture, governing the April 2019 Notes and September 2019 Notes.

Cash paid for interest expense and fees

Index to Financial Statements

Asset-Backed Notes

On December 19, 2012, the Company completed a \$230.7 million term debt securitization in connection with which an affiliate of the Company made an offer of \$129.3 million in aggregate principal amount of fixed-rate asset-backed notes (the Asset-Backed Notes), which Asset-Backed Notes were rated A2(sf) by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. The Asset-Backed Notes were issued by Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1 pursuant to a note purchase agreement, dated as of December 12, 2012, by and among the Company, Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC, as Trust Depositor (the Trust Depositor), Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1, as Issuer (the Issuer), and Guggenheim Securities, LLC, as Initial Purchaser, and are backed by a pool of senior loans made to certain of our portfolio companies and secured by certain assets of those portfolio companies and are to be serviced by the Company. Interest on the Asset-Backed Notes will be paid, to the extent of funds available, at a fixed rate of 3.32% per annum. The Asset-Backed Notes have a stated maturity of December 16, 2017.

As part of this transaction, the Company entered into a sale and contribution agreement with the Trust Depositor under which the Company has agreed to sell or have contributed to the Trust Depositor certain senior loans made to certain of our portfolio companies (the Loans). The Company has made customary representations, warranties and covenants in the sale and contribution agreement with respect to the Loans as of the date of their transfer to the Trust Depositor.

In connection with the issuance and sale of the Asset-Backed Notes, the Company has made customary representations, warranties and covenants in the note purchase agreement. The Asset-Backed Notes are secured obligations of the Issuer and are non-recourse to the Company. The Issuer also entered into an indenture governing the Asset-Backed Notes, which indenture includes customary representations, warranties and covenants. The Asset-Backed Notes were sold without being registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), to qualified institutional buyers in compliance with the exemption from registration provided by Rule 144A under the Securities Act and to institutional accredited investors (as defined in Rule 501(a)(1), (2), (3) or (7) under the Securities Act) who in each case, are qualified purchasers for purposes of Section 3(c)(7) under the 1940 Act. In addition, the Trust Depositor entered into an amended and restated trust agreement, which includes customary representation, warranties and covenants.

The Loans are serviced by the Company pursuant to a sale and servicing agreement, which contains customary representations, warranties and covenants. The Company performs certain servicing and administrative functions with respect to the Loans. The Company is entitled to receive a monthly fee from the Issuer for servicing the Loans. This servicing fee is equal to the product of one-twelfth (or in the case of the first payment date, a fraction equal to the number of days from and including December 5, 2012 through and including January 15, 2013 over 360) of 2.00% and the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the Loans, excluding all defaulted Loans and all purchased Loans, as of the first day of the related collection period (the period from the 5th day of the immediately preceding calendar month through the 4th day of the calendar month in which a payment date occurs, and for the first payment date, the period from and including December 5, 2012, to the close of business on January 4, 2013).

The Company also serves as administrator to the Issuer under an administration agreement, which includes customary representations, warranties and covenants.

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Asset Backed Notes had an outstanding principal balance of \$89.6 million and \$129.3 million, respectively.

Under the terms of the Asset Backed Notes, the Company is required to maintain a reserve cash balance, funded through interest and principal collections from the underlying securitized debt portfolio, which may be used to pay monthly interest and principal payments on the Asset-Backed Notes. The Company has segregated these funds and classified them as Restricted Cash. There was approximately \$6.3 million of Restricted Cash as of December 31, 2013 funded through interest collections. There was no cash segregated at December 31, 2012 due to immaterial monthly interest collections for the period ended December 31, 2012.

Index to Financial Statements

Convertible Senior Notes

In April 2011, the Company issued \$75.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 6.00% convertible senior notes (the Convertible Senior Notes) due in 2016. As of December 31, 2013, the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes, comprised of the aggregate principal amount outstanding less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, is approximately \$72.5 million.

The Convertible Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2016 (the Maturity Date), unless previously converted or repurchased in accordance with their terms. The Convertible Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.00% per year payable semiannually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2011. The Convertible Senior Notes are the Company s senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to the Company s existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Convertible Senior Notes; equal in right of payment to the Company s existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of the Company s secured indebtedness (including unsecured indebtedness that the Company later secures) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by the Company s subsidiaries, financing vehicles or similar facilities.

Prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding October 15, 2015, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes only under certain circumstances set forth in the Indenture. On or after October 15, 2015 until the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the Maturity Date, holders may convert their Convertible Senior Notes at any time. Upon conversion, the Company will pay or deliver, as the case may be, at its election, cash, shares of its common stock or a combination of cash and shares of its common stock. The conversion rate will initially be 84.0972 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$11.89 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, if certain corporate events occur prior to the Maturity Date, the conversion rate will be increased for converting holders. As of December 31, 2013, the conversion rate is 85.9941 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes.

The Company may not redeem the Convertible Senior Notes prior to maturity. No sinking fund is provided for the Convertible Senior Notes. In addition, if certain corporate events occur, holders of the Convertible Senior Notes may require the Company to repurchase for cash all or part of their Convertible Senior Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest through, but excluding, the required repurchase date.

The Convertible Senior Notes are accounted for in accordance with ASC 470-20 (previously FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)). In accounting for the Convertible Senior Notes, the Company estimated at the time of issuance that the values of the debt and the embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes were approximately 92.8% and 7.2%, respectively. The original issue discount of 7.2% attributable to the conversion feature of the Convertible Senior Notes was recorded in capital in excess of par value in the accompanying consolidated statement of assets and liabilities. As a result, the Company records interest expense comprised of both stated interest expense as well as accretion of the original issue discount. Additionally, the issuance costs associated with the Convertible Senior Notes were allocated to the debt and equity components in proportion to the allocation of the proceeds and accounted for as debt issuance costs and equity issuance costs, respectively. At the time of issuance, the debt issuance costs and equity issuance costs were approximately \$2.9 million and \$224,000, respectively. At the time of issuance and as of December 31, 2013, the equity component, net of issuance costs, as recorded in the capital in excess of par value in the balance sheet was approximately \$5.2 million.

Index to Financial Statements

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the components of the carrying value of the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2013	Decemi	ber 31, 2012
Principal amount of debt	\$ 75,000	\$	75,000
Original issue discount, net of accretion	(2,481)		(3,564)
Carrying value of debt	\$ 72,519	\$	71,436

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the components of interest expense, fees and cash paid for interest expense for the Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

	Year	Year Ended	
	Decer	nber 31,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	
Stated interest expense	\$ 4,500	\$ 4,500	
Accretion of original issue discount	1,083	1,083	
Amortization of debt issuance cost	577	577	
Total interest expense	\$ 6,160	\$ 6,160	
Cash paid for interest expense	\$ 4,500	\$ 4,500	

The estimated effective interest rate of the debt component of the Convertible Senior Notes, equal to the stated interest of 6.0% plus the accretion of the original issue discount, was approximately 8.1% for both the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013, the Company is in compliance with the terms of the indentures governing the Convertible Senior Notes.

Wells Facility

In August 2008, the Company entered into a \$50.0 million two-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Wells Fargo Capital Finance (the Wells Facility). On June 20, 2011, the Company renewed the Wells Facility. Under this three-year senior secured facility, Wells Fargo Capital Finance has made commitments of \$75.0 million. The facility contains an accordion feature, in which the Company can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Wells Fargo Capital Finance and subject to other customary conditions. The Company expects to continue discussions with various other potential lenders to join the new facility; however, there can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the Wells Facility.

On August 1, 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to the Wells Facility. The amendment reduces the interest rate floor by 75 basis points to 4.25% and extends the maturity date by one year to August 2015. Additionally, an amortization period of 12 months was added to pay down the principal balance as of the maturity date, and the unused line fee was reduced.

Borrowings under the Wells Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 3.50%, with a floor of 4.25% and an advance rate of 50% against eligible loans. The Wells Facility is secured by loans in the borrowing base. The Wells Facility requires payment of a non-use fee on a scale of 0.0% to 0.50% of the average monthly outstanding balance. The monthly payment of a non-use fee thereafter shall depend on the average balance that was outstanding on a scale between 0.0% and 0.50%. For the year ended December 31, 2013, this non-use fee was approximately \$380,000. On June 20, 2011 the Company paid an additional \$1.1 million in structuring fees in connection with the Wells Facility which is being amortized through the end of the term.

The Wells Facility includes various financial and operating covenants applicable to the Company and its subsidiaries, in addition to those applicable to Hercules Funding II, LLC. These covenants require the Company to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding subordinated indebtedness, that is in excess of \$362.0 million plus 90% of the cumulative amount of equity

Index to Financial Statements

raised after June 30, 2012. In addition, the tangible net worth covenant will increase by 90 cents on the dollar for every dollar of equity capital that the Company subsequently raises. As of December 31, 2013, the minimum tangible net worth covenant has increased to \$478.5 million as a result of the Company s follow-on public offerings. The Wells Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2013, there were no borrowings outstanding on this facility.

Union Bank Facility

On February 10, 2010, the Company entered a \$20.0 million one-year revolving senior secured credit facility with Union Bank (the Union Bank Facility). On November 2, 2011, the Company renewed and amended the Union Bank Facility and added a new lender under the Union Bank Facility. Union Bank and RBC Capital Markets (RBC) have made commitments of \$30.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which the Company can increase the credit line up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million, funded by additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions. The Company expects to continue discussions with various other potential lenders to join the new facility; however, there can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the Union Bank Facility.

On March 30, 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility which permitted the Company to issue additional senior notes relating to the offer and sale of our 2019 Notes. On September 17, 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to the Union Bank Facility. Pursuant to the terms of the amendment, the Company is permitted to increase its unsecured indebtedness by an aggregate original principal amount not to exceed \$200.0 million incurred after March 30, 2012 in one or more issuances, provided certain conditions are satisfied for each issuance.

On December 17, 2012, the Company further amended the Union Bank Facility to remove RBC from the Union Bank Facility. Following the removal of RBC, the Union Bank Facility consists solely of Union Bank s commitment of \$30.0 million. In connection with the amendment, the maximum availability under the Union Bank Facility, subject to a borrowing base, was reduced from \$55.0 million to \$30.0 million. The Union Bank Facility contains an accordion feature, in which the Company could increase the credit line by up to \$95.0 million in the aggregate, funded by commitments from additional lenders and with the agreement of Union Bank and subject to other customary conditions. There can be no assurances that additional lenders will join the Union Bank Facility.

Borrowings under the Union Bank Facility will generally bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 2.25% with a floor of 4.0%. The Union Bank Facility requires the payment of a non-use fee of 0.50% annually. For the year ended December 31, 2013, this nonuse fee was approximately \$152,000. The Union Bank Facility is collateralized by debt investments in our portfolio companies, and includes an advance rate equal to 50.0% of eligible loans placed in the collateral pool. The Union Bank Facility generally requires payment of interest on a monthly basis. All outstanding principal is due upon maturity.

The Union Bank Facility requires various financial and operating covenants. These covenants require the Company to maintain certain financial ratios and a minimum tangible net worth in an amount, when added to outstanding subordinated indebtedness, that is in excess of \$314.0 million plus 90% of the amount of net cash proceeds received from the sale of common stock after March 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2013, the minimum tangible net worth covenant has increased to \$472.8 million as a result of the Company s follow-on public offerings. As amended, the Union Bank Facility will mature on May 1, 2015, with a borrowing termination date as of May 2, 2014 and a term out provision for the remaining 12 months. Union Bank Facility also provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2013.

F-65

Index to Financial Statements

At December 31, 2013, there were no borrowings outstanding on this facility.

Citibank Credit Facility

The Company, through Hercules Funding Trust I, an affiliated statutory trust, had a securitized credit facility (the Citibank Credit Facility) with Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. which expired under normal terms. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company paid off all principal and interest owed under the Citibank Credit Facility. Citigroup has an equity participation right through a warrant participation agreement on the pool of loans and warrants collateralized under the Citibank Credit Facility. Pursuant to the warrant participation agreement, the Company granted to Citigroup a 10% participation in all warrants held as collateral. However, no additional warrants were included in collateral subsequent to the facility amendment on May 2, 2007. As a result, Citigroup is entitled to 10% of the realized gains on the warrants until the realized gains paid to Citigroup pursuant to the agreement equal \$3,750,000 (the Maximum Participation Limit). The obligations under the warrant participation agreement continue even after the Citibank Credit Facility is terminated until the Maximum Participation Limit has been reached.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company reduced its realized gain by approximately \$249,000 for Citigroup's participation in the gain on sale of equity securities which were obtained from exercising portfolio company warrants which were included in the collateral pool. The Company recorded an increase on participation liability and a decrease on unrealized appreciation by a net amount of approximately \$57,000 as a result of appreciation of fair value on the pool of warrants collateralized under the warrant participation agreement. The value of their participation right on unrealized gains in the related equity investments was approximately \$370,000 as of December 31, 2013 and is included in accrued liabilities. There can be no assurances that the unrealized appreciation of the warrants will not be higher or lower in future periods due to fluctuations in the value of the warrants, thereby increasing or reducing the effect on the cost of borrowing. Since inception of the agreement, the Company has paid Citigroup approximately \$1.6 million under the warrant participation agreement thereby reducing realized gains by this amount. The Company will continue to pay Citigroup under the warrant participation agreement until the Maximum Participation Limit is reached or the warrants expire. Warrants subject to the Citigroup participation agreement are set to expire between March 2014 and March 2018.

5. Income Taxes

The Company intends to operate so as to qualify to be taxed as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code and, as such, will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of taxable income and gains distributed to stockholders.

To qualify as a RIC, the Company is required to meet certain income and asset diversification tests in addition to distributing at least 90% of its investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code. Because federal income tax regulations differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, distributions in accordance with tax regulations may differ from net investment income and realized gains recognized for financial reporting purposes. Differences may be permanent or temporary in nature. Permanent differences are reclassified among capital accounts in the financial statements to reflect their tax character. Differences in classification may also result from the treatment of short-term gains as ordinary income for tax purposes. During the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company reclassified for book purposes amounts arising from permanent book/tax differences primarily related to accelerated revenue recognition for income tax purposes, respectively, as follows:

	Decemb	ber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Distributions in excess of investment income	\$ 2,112	\$ 2,920
Accumulated realized gains (losses)	6,840	2,958
Additional paid-in capital	(8,952)	(5,878)

Index to Financial Statements

For income tax purposes, distributions paid to shareholders are reported as ordinary income, return of capital, long term capital gains or a combination thereof. The tax character of distributions paid for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was ordinary income in the amounts of \$66.5 million and \$48.0 million, respectively.

The aggregate gross unrealized appreciation of our investments over cost for federal income tax purposes was \$48.8 million and \$19.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The aggregate gross unrealized depreciation of our investments under cost for federal income tax purposes was \$44.5 million and \$27.6 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The net unrealized appreciation over cost for federal income tax purposes was \$4.3 million as of December 31, 2013 and net unrealized depreciation over cost for federal income tax purposes was \$7.8 million as of December 31, 2012. The aggregate cost of securities for federal income tax purposes was \$906.2 million and \$916.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis detailed below differ from the amounts reflected in the Company s Statement of Net Assets and Liabilities by temporary book/ tax differences primarily arising from the treatment of loan related yield enhancements.

	Decen	ıber 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Accumulated Capital Gains (Losses)	\$ (6,417)	\$ (35,940)
Other Temporary Differences	1,134	(3,726)
Undistributed Ordinary Income	3,764	1,552
Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	(5,132)	(10,480)
Components of Distributable Earnings	\$ (6,651)	\$ (48,594)

The Company will classify interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of provision for income taxes.

Based on an analysis of our tax position, there are no uncertain tax positions that met the recognition or measurement criteria. The Company is currently not undergoing any tax examinations. The Company does not anticipate any significant increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits for the next twelve months. The 2010-2012 federal tax years for the Company remain subject to examination by the IRS. The 2009-2012 state tax years for the Company remain subject to examination by the California Franchise Tax Board.

6. Shareholders Equity

On July 25, 2012, our Board of Directors approved an extension of the stock repurchase plan under the same terms and conditions that allowed the Company to repurchase up to \$35.0 million of our common stock. The stock repurchase plan expired on February 26, 2013 and no shares were repurchased for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

On March 13, 2013, the Company raised approximately \$95.8 million, before deducting offering expenses, in a public offering of 8,050,000 shares of its common stock.

On August 16, 2013, the Company entered into an At-The-Market (ATM) equity distribution agreement with JMP Securities LLC (JMP). The equity distribution agreement provides that the Company may offer and sell up to 8,000,000 shares of its common stock from time to time through JMP, as its sales agent. Sales of the Company s common stock, if any, may be made in negotiated transactions or transactions that are deemed to be at the market, as defined in Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including sales made directly on the NYSE or similar securities exchange or sales made to or through a market maker other than on an exchange, at prices related to the prevailing market prices or at negotiated prices. There were no sales under the ATM Program for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Company has issued stock options for common stock subject to future issuance, of which 833,923 and 2,574,749 were outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Index to Financial Statements

7. Equity Incentive Plan

Outstanding at December 31, 2013

The Company and its stockholders have authorized and adopted the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan) for purposes of attracting and retaining the services of its executive officers and key employees. Under the 2004 Plan, the Company is authorized to issue 7,000,000 shares of common stock. On June 1, 2011, stockholders approved an amended and restated plan and provided an increase of 1,000,000 shares, authorizing the Company to issue 8,000,000 shares of common stock under the 2004 Plan.

The Company and its stockholders have authorized and adopted the 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan (the 2006 Plan and, together with the 2004 Plan, the Plans) for purposes of attracting and retaining the services of its Board of Directors. Under the 2006 Plan, the Company is authorized to issue 1,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company filed an exemptive relief request with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to allow options to be issued under the 2006 Plan which was approved on October 10, 2007.

On June 21, 2007, the stockholders approved amendments to the 2004 Plan and the 2006 Plan allowing for the grant of restricted stock. The amended Plans limit the combined maximum amount of restricted stock that may be issued under both Plans to 10% of the outstanding shares of the Company s stock on the effective date of the Plans plus 10% of the number of shares of stock issued or delivered by the Company during the terms of the Plans. The amendments further specify that no one person shall be granted awards of restricted stock relating to more than 25% of the shares available for issuance under the 2004 Plan. Further, the amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all of the Company s outstanding warrants, options and rights, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the Plans, at the time of issuance shall not exceed 25% of its outstanding warrants, options and rights issued to the Company s directors, officers and employees, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the Plans, would exceed 15% of the Company s outstanding voting securities, then the total amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options and rights, together with any restricted stock issued pursuant to the Plans, at the time of issuance shall not exceed 20% of our outstanding voting securities.

A summary of the restricted stock activity under the Company s 2006 and 2004 Plans for each of the three periods ended December 31 2013, 2012, and 2011 is as follows:

	2006 Plan	2004 Plan
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	21,668	1,018,103
Granted	10,000	296,600
Cancelled		(123,502)
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	31,668	1,191,201
Granted	5,000	686,859
Cancelled		(59,019)
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	36,668	1,819,041
Granted		607,001
Cancelled		(30,264)

In 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company granted approximately 607,001, 691,859 and 306,600 shares, respectively, of restricted stock pursuant to the Plans. All restricted stock grants under the 2004 Plan made prior to March 4, 2013 will continue to vest on a monthly basis following their one year anniversary over the succeeding 36 months. During 2012, the Compensation Committee adopted a policy that provided for awards with different vesting schedules for short and long-term awards. Under the 2004 Plan, restricted stock awarded subsequent to March 3, 2013 will vest subject to continued employment based on two vesting schedules: short-term awards vest one-half on the one year anniversary of the date of the grant and quarterly over the succeeding 12 months, and long-term awards vest one-fourth on the one year anniversary of the date of grant and quarterly over the succeeding 36 months. No restricted stock was granted pursuant to the 2004 Plan prior to 2009.

2,395,778

Index to Financial Statements

The Company determined that the fair value of restricted stock granted under the 2006 and 2004 Plans during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was approximately \$7.7 million, \$7.5 million, and \$3.4 million, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 the Company expensed approximately \$5.6 million, \$3.9 million, and \$2.6 million of compensation expense related to restricted stock, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, there was approximately \$10.0 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.25 years.

The following table summarizes the activities for our unvested restricted stock for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

	Unvested Restricted Stock Unit		
		W	eighted
		A	verage
	Restricted Stock Units		suance Price
Unvested at December 31, 2010	778,664	\$	9.27
Granted	306,600	\$	11.14
Vested	(340,253)	\$	9.38
Forfeited	(123,502)	\$	9.63
Unvested at December 31, 2011	621,509	\$	10.06
Granted	691,859	\$	10.83
Vested	(354,560)	\$	9.88
Forfeited	(59,019)	\$	9.95
Unvested at December 31, 2012	899,789	\$	10.73
Granted	607,001	\$	12.72
Vested	(440,629)	\$	10.59
Forfeited	(30,264)	\$	11.24
Unvested at December 31, 2013	1,035,897	\$	11.94

The SEC, through an exemptive order granted on June 22, 2010, approved amendments to the Plans which allow participants to elect to have the Company withhold shares of the Company s common stock to pay for the exercise price and applicable taxes with respect to an option exercise (net issuance exercise). The exemptive order also permits the holders of restricted stock to elect to have the Company withhold shares of Hercules stock to pay the applicable taxes due on restricted stock at the time of vesting. Each individual can make, and does not preclude the participant from electing to make, a cash payment at the time of option exercise or to pay taxes on restricted stock.

The following table summarizes the common stock options activities under the Company s 2006 and 2004 Plans for each of the three periods ended December 31 2013, 2012, and 2011:

	Common	eighted verage
	Stock Options	xercise Price
Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2010	4,729,849	\$ 11.33
Granted	599,860	\$ 10.59
Exercised	(178,101)	\$ 4.93
Forfeited	(474,410)	\$ 10.21
Expired	(463,594)	\$ 13.28
Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2011	4,213,604	\$ 11.40

Granted	189,000	\$ 10.71
Exercised	(564,196)	\$ 5.56
Forfeited	(57,229)	\$ 9.69
Expired	(1,206,430)	\$ 12.84
Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2012	2,574,749	\$ 12.00
Granted	443,500	\$ 14.51
Exercised	(2,003,988)	\$ 12.38
Forfeited	(115,338)	\$ 10.38
Expired	(65,000)	\$ 13.30
Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2013	833,923	\$ 12.53
-		
Shares Expected to Vest at December 31, 2013	571,153	\$ 12.53
•		

Index to Financial Statements

Options generally vest 33% one year after the date of grant and ratably over the succeeding 24 months. All options may be exercised for a period ending seven years after the date of grant. At December 31, 2013, options for approximately 263,000 shares were exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of approximately \$10.13 per share with weighted average of remaining contractual term of 2.91 years.

The Company determined that the fair value of options granted under the 2006 and 2004 Plans during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was approximately \$1.1 million, \$326,000, and \$1.3 million, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, approximately \$422,000, \$416,000, and \$557,000 of share-based cost due to stock option grants was expensed, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$1.1 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.47 years.

The fair value of options granted is based upon a Black Scholes option pricing model using the assumptions in the following table for each of the three periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Expected Volatility	46.90%	46.39%	46.39%
Expected Dividends	10%	10%	10%
Expected term (in years)	4.5	4.5	4.5
Risk-free rate	0.56%-1.63%	0.49%-1.07%	0.68%-2.15%

The following table summarizes stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands, except

exercise price)	Number	Options o Weighted average remaining contractual	utstanding Aggregate intrinsic	Weighted average exercise	remaining Aggregate			Weighted a average rei		Weighted average exercise
Range of exercise prices	of shares	life	value	price	of shares	life	value	price		
\$4.21-\$9.25	71,638	3.73	\$ 669,702	\$ 7.05	53,883	3.34	\$ 542,753	\$ 6.33		
\$9.90-\$14.86	643,785	5.20	2,450,744	\$ 12.59	208,887	2.80	1,105,338	\$ 11.11		
\$15.44-\$16.13	118,500	6.84	111,000	\$ 15.46				\$		
\$4.21-\$16.13	833,923	5.31	\$ 3,231,446	\$ 12.53	262,770	2.91	\$ 1,648,091	\$ 10.13		

8. Earnings per Share

Shares used in the computation of the Company s basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
(in thousands, except per share data)	2013	2012	2011
Numerator			
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 99,446	\$ 46,759	\$ 46,936
Less: Dividends declared-common and restricted shares	(66,454)	(47,983)	(38,490)
Undistributed earnings	32,992	(1,224)	8,446
Undistributed earnings-common shares	32,992	(1,224)	8,446
Add: Dividend declared-common shares	65,123	46,967	37,826

Numerator for basic and diluted change in net assets per common share	\$ 9	98,115	\$ 4	15,743	\$ 4	16,272
Denominator						
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	5	8,838	4	19,068	2	12,988
Common shares issuable		1,454		88		311
Weighted average common shares outstanding assuming dilution	ϵ	60,292	4	19,156	2	13,299
Change in net assets per common share						
Basic	\$	1.67	\$	0.93	\$	1.08
Diluted	\$	1.63	\$	0.93	\$	1.07

Index to Financial Statements

For the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share for year ended December 31, 2013, the dilutive effect of the Convertible Senior Notes under the treasury stock method is included in this calculation because the Company s share price was greater than the conversion price in effect (\$11.63) for the Convertible Senior Notes for such period.

The calculation of change in net assets resulting from operations per common share assuming dilution, excludes all anti-dilutive shares. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the number of anti-dilutive shares, as calculated based on the weighted average closing price of the Company s common stock for the periods, was approximately 1,835,880, 2,574,749, and 2,583,707 shares, respectively.

At December 31, 2013, the Company was authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001. Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote.

9. Financial Highlights

Following is a schedule of financial highlights for the three years ended December 31, 2013.

	Year Ended December				r 31,		
		2013		2012		2011	
Per share data:							
Net asset value at beginning of period	\$	9.75	\$	9.83	\$	9.50	
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾		1.24		0.98		0.92	
Net realized gain (loss) on investments		0.25		0.06		0.06	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments		0.20		(0.09)		0.11	
Total from investment operations		1.69		0.95		1.09	
Net increase/(decrease) in net assets from capital share transactions		0.10		(0.14)		0.07	
Distributions		(1.13)		(0.14)		(0.90)	
Stock-based compensation expense included in investment income ⁽²⁾		0.10		0.09		0.07	
Net asset value at end of period	\$	10.51	\$	9.75	\$	9.83	
Ratios and supplemental data:							
Per share market value at end of period	\$	16.40	\$	11.13	\$	9.44	
Total return ⁽³⁾		58.49%		28.28%		-0.83	
Shares outstanding at end of period		61,837		52,925		43,853	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding		58,838		49,068		42,988	
Net assets at end of period	\$	650,007	\$	515,968	\$	431,041	
Ratio of operating expense to average net assets		11.06%		10.28%		9.61	
Ratio of net investment income before provision for income tax expense and investment gains and							
losses to average net assets		12.12%		10.01%		9.45	
Average debt outstanding	\$	580,053	\$	360,857	\$	238,873	
Weighted average debt per common share	\$	9.86	\$	7.35	\$	5.56	

- (1) Net investment income per share is calculated as net investment income divided by the weighted average shares outstanding.
- (2) Stock option expense is a non-cash expense that has no effect on net asset value. Pursuant to ASC 718, net investment loss includes the expense associated with the granting of stock options which is offset by a corresponding increase in paid-in capital.
- (3) The total return for the period ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 equals the change in the ending market value over the beginning of the period price per share plus dividends paid per share during the period, divided by the beginning price assuming the dividend is reinvested on the date of the distribution.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company s commitments and contingencies consist primarily of unused commitments to extend credit in the form of loans to the Company s portfolio companies. The balance of unfunded contractual commitments to extend credit at December 31, 2013 totaled approximately \$151.0 million. Approximately \$77.4 million of these unfunded origination activity commitments as of December 31, 2013 are dependent upon the

portfolio company reaching certain milestones before the debt commitment becomes available. Since a portion of these commitments may expire without being drawn, unfunded contractual commitments do not necessarily represent

Index to Financial Statements

future cash requirements. In addition, the Company had approximately \$38.0 million of non-binding term sheets outstanding at December 31, 2013. Non-binding outstanding term sheets are subject to completion of the Company s due diligence and final approval process, as well as the negotiation of definitive documentation with the prospective portfolio companies. Not all non-binding term sheets are expected to close and do not necessarily represent the Company s future cash requirements.

Certain premises are leased under agreements which expire at various dates through March 2020. Total rent expense amounted to approximately \$1.1 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Future commitments under the credit facility and operating leases were as follows at December 31, 2013:

		Payments due by period (in thousands)						
Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Total	Less than 1 year	3 - 5 years	After 5 years				
Borrowings ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$ 557,440	\$	\$ 89,557	\$ 72,519	\$ 395,364			
Operating Lease Obligations ⁽⁵⁾	7,640	1,484	2,965	1,774	1,417			
Total	\$ 565,080	\$ 1,484	\$ 92,522	\$ 74,293	\$ 396,781			

- (1) Excludes commitments to extend credit to our portfolio companies.
- (2) The Company also has a warrant participation agreement with Citigroup. See Note 4 to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Includes \$225.0 million in borrowings under the SBA debentures, \$170.4 million of the 2019 Notes, \$89.6 million in aggregate principal amount of the Asset-Backed Notes and \$72.5 million of the Convertible Senior Notes.
- (4) Except for the Convertible Senior Notes, all carrying values are the same as the principal amount outstanding. The aggregate principal amount outstanding of the Convertible Senior Notes is \$75.0 million less the unaccreted discount initially recorded upon issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes. The total unaccreted discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was \$2.5 million at December 31, 2013.
- (5) Long-term facility leases.

The Company may, from time to time, be involved in litigation arising out of its operations in the normal course of business or otherwise. Furthermore, third parties may try to seek to impose liability on the Company in connection with the activities of its portfolio companies. While the outcome of any current legal proceedings cannot at this time be predicted with certainty, the Company does not expect any current matters will materially affect the Company s financial condition or results of operations; however, there can be no assurance whether any pending legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or results of operations in any future reporting period.

11. Indemnification

The Company and its executives are covered by Directors and Officers Insurance, with the directors and officers being indemnified by the Company to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law subject to the restrictions in the 1940 Act.

12. Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company s customers are primarily privately held companies and public companies which are active in the drug discovery and development, energy technology, internet consumer and business services, medical devices and equipment, software, drug delivery, information services, communications and networking, healthcare services, specialty pharmaceuticals, surgical devices, electronics and computer hardware, media/content/info, biotechnology tools, semiconductors, consumer and business products and diagnostic industry sectors. These sectors are characterized by high margins, high growth rates, consolidation and product and market extension opportunities. Value for companies in these sectors is often vested in intangible assets and intellectual property.

Industry and sector concentrations vary as new loans are recorded and loans pay off. Loan revenue, consisting of interest, fees, and recognition of gains on equity and equity-related interests, can fluctuate

Index to Financial Statements

materially when a loan is paid off or a related warrant or equity interest is sold. Revenue recognition in any given year can be highly concentrated among several portfolio companies.

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our ten largest portfolio companies represented approximately 29.3% and 35.2% of the total fair value of our investments in portfolio companies, respectively. At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had one and eight investments, respectively, that represented 5% or more of our net assets. At December 31, 2013, we had six equity investments representing approximately 75.7% of the total fair value of our equity investments, and each represented 5% or more of the total fair value of our equity investments. At December 31, 2012, we had six equity investments which represented approximately 70.9% of the total fair value of our equity investments, and each represented 5% or more of the total fair value of such investments.

13. Selected Quarterly Data (Unaudited)

The following tables set forth certain quarterly financial information for each of the last eight quarters ended December 31, 2013. This information was derived from the Company sunaudited consolidated financial statements. Results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or for any further quarter.

	Quarter Ended			
(in thousands, except per share data)	3/31/2013	6/30/2013	9/30/2013	12/31/2013
Total investment income	\$ 30,957	\$ 34,525	\$ 41,021	\$ 33,210
Net investment income before investment gains and losses	15,032	17,610	21,560	18,864
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations	16,689	20,879	36,981	24,897
Change in net assets per common share (basic)	0.30	0.34	0.61	0.40

		Quarter Ended					
	3/31/2012	6/30/2012	9/30/2012	12/31/2012			
Total investment income	\$ 22,367	\$ 23,858	\$ 23,901	\$ 27,395			
Net investment income before investment gains and losses	11,375	12,310	11,351	13,071			
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations	17,105	48	4,745	24,861			
Change in net assets per common share (basic)	0.36		0.09	0.47			

14. Subsequent Events

Dividend Declaration

On February 24, 2014 the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.31 per share to be paid on March 17, 2014 to shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. This dividend would represent our thirty-fourth consecutive dividend declaration since our initial public offering, bringing the total cumulative dividend declared to date to \$9.06 per share.

Portfolio Company Developments

As of December 31, 2013, we held warrants or equity positions in five companies that have filed registration statements on Form S-1 with the SEC in contemplation of potential initial public offerings, including Everyday Health, Inc. and four companies which filed confidentially under the JOBS Act. In addition, subsequent to December 31, 2013 the following portfolio companies in which we held investments as of December 31, 2013 completed initial public offerings or were acquired:

1. In January 2014, Toshiba Corporation completed its acquisition of Hercules portfolio company OCZ Technology. The acquisition resulted in full repayment of the Hercules debt investment in OCZ Technology.

2.

In January 2014, Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (NASDAQ: DRNA) completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock at \$15.00 per share.

Index to Financial Statements

- 3. In February 2014, Revance Therapeutics, Inc. (NASDAQ:RVNC) completed its initial public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock at \$16.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in April 2013.
- 4. In February 2014, Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (NASDAQ:CNCE) completed its initial public offering of 6,000,000 shares of its common stock at \$14.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in December 2013.
- 5. In February 2014, Uniqure B.V. (NASDAQ:QURE) completed its initial public offering of 5,400,000 shares of its common stock at \$17.00 per share. The company had initially filed confidentially in November 2013.
- 6. In February 2014, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (NYSE:TEVA) completed its acquisition of Hercules portfolio company NuPathe Inc. (NASDAQ:PATH) at a price of \$3.65 per share in cash and the right to receive contingent cash consideration payments of up to \$3.15 per share, net to the seller in cash without interest.

F-74

Index to Financial Statements

Schedule 12-14

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO AFFILIATES

As of and for the year ended December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

Portfolio Company	Investment(1)	In Cre	nount of terest dited to come ⁽²⁾	Dece	As of ember 31, 2012 ir Value	Gross litions ⁽³⁾	Gross luctions ⁽⁴⁾	Dece	As of ember 31, 2013 r Value
Affiliate Investments									
Gelesis, Inc.	Preferred Stock Preferred Warrants	\$		\$	1,570 95	\$	\$ (1,104) (88)	\$	466 7
Optiscan BioMedical, Corp.	Senior Debt Preferred Stock Preferred Warrants		1,933		9,491 564 151	3,988 81	(9,491)		4,552 232
Stion Corporation	Senior Debt Preferred Warrants		462		7,547 167	1,461	(3,451)		4,096 1,628
Total Control and Affliate Investments		\$	2,395	\$	19,585	\$ 5,530	\$ (14,134)	\$	10,981

⁽¹⁾ Stock and warrants are generally non-income producing and restricted. The principal amount for debt is shown in the Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2013.

⁽²⁾ Represents the total amount of interest or dividends credited to income for the year an investment was an affiliate or control investment.

⁽³⁾ Gross additions include increases in the cost basis of investments resulting from new portfolio investments, paid-in-kind interest or dividends, the amortization of discounts and closing fees and the exchange of one or more existing securities for one or more new securities. Gross additions also include net increase in unrealized appreciation or net decreases in unrealized depreciation.

⁽⁴⁾ Gross reductions include decreases in the cost basis of investments resulting from principal repayments or sales and the exchange of one or more existing for one or more new securities. Gross reductions also include net increase in unrealized depreciation or net decreases in unrealized appreciation.

Index to Financial Statements

PART C OTHER INFORMATION

Item 25. Financial Statements and Exhibits

AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements of Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (the Company or the Registrant) are included in this registration statement in Part A Information Required in a Prospectus:

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting FirmF-2Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and 2012F-3Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, 2013F-5Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the three years ended December 31, 2013F-6Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2013F-7Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2013F-8Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2012F-23

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Schedule 12-14 Investments In and Advances to Affiliates
F-75

2. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
a.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement. (2)
a.2	Articles of Amendment, dated March 6, 2007. (12)
a.3	Articles of Amendment, dated April 5, 2011. (23)
b.1	Amended and Restated Bylaws. (2)
b.2	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Bylaws. (41)
b.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto. (42)
d.1	Specimen certificate of the Company s common stock, par value \$.001 per share?
d.2	Form of Indenture and related exhibits ⁽²⁷⁾
d.3	Form of Warrant Agreement ⁽²⁷⁾
d.4	Form of Subscription Agent Agreement ⁽²⁷⁾
d.5	Form of Subscription Certificate ⁽²⁷⁾
d.6*	Statement of Eligibility of Trustee on Form T-1

C-1

Exhibit Number	Description
d.7	Indenture, dated March 6, 2012 between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association. (28)
d.8	First Supplemental Indenture, dated April 17, 2012 between the Registrant and U.S. Bank, National Association. (28)
d.9	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 24, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank, National Association. (31)
d.10	Form of 7.00% Senior Note due 2019, dated as of April 17, 2012 (Existing April 2019 Note) (included as part of Exhibit (d)(8)). (28)
d.11	Form of 7.00% Senior Note due 2019, dated as of July 6, 2012 (Additional April 2019 Note). (29)
d.12	Form of 7.00% Senior Note due 2019, dated as of July 12, 2012 (Over-Allotment April 2019 Note). (30)
d.13	Form of 7.00% Senior Note due 2019, dated as of September 24, 2012 (September 2019 Note) (included as part of Exhibit (d)(9)). ⁽³¹⁾
d.14	Form of 7.00% Senior Note due 2019, dated as of October 2, 2012 (Over-Allotment September 2019 Note). (32)
d.15	Form of 7.00% Senior Note due 2019, dated as of October 17, 2012 (Over-Allotment II September 2019 Note). (36)
e	Form of Dividend Reinvestment Plan. (4)
f.1	Credit Agreement dated as of April 12, 2005 between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Alcmene Funding, L.L.C.(2)
f.2	Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of April 12, 2005 between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Alcmene Funding, L.L.C. (2)
f.3	First Amendment to Credit and Pledge Security Agreement dated August 1, 2005 between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Alcmene Funding $L.L.C.^{(5)}$
f.4	Loan Sale Agreement between Hercules Funding LLC and Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. dated as of August 1, 2005. (5)
f.5	Sale and Servicing Agreement among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association and Lyon Financial Services, Inc. dated as of August 1, 2005. (5)
f.6	Indenture between Hercules Funding Trust I and U.S. Bank National Association dated as of August 1, 2005. (5)
f.7	Note Purchase Agreement among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated as of August 1, 2005. ⁽⁵⁾
f.8	Second Amendment to Credit and Pledge Security Agreement by and among Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Alcmene Funding, L.L.C., as lender and administrative agent for the lenders, dated March 6, 2006. (6)
f.9	First Omnibus Amendment by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I, LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association, Lyon Financial Services, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated March 6, 2006. ⁽⁶⁾
f.10	Intercreditor Agreement among Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., Alcmene Funding, L.L.C. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated as of March 6, 2006. (6)

Exhibit Number f.11	Description Warrant Participation Agreement between the Company and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated as of August 1, 2005. (7)
f.12	Second Amendment to Warrant Participation Agreement dated as of October 16, 2006. ⁽⁷⁾
f.13	Third Amendment to Sale and Servicing Agreement among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association and Lyon Financial Services, Inc., dated as of July 28, 2006. ⁽⁸⁾
f.14	Second Omnibus Amendment by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I, LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association, Lyon Financial Services, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated December 6, 2006. ⁽⁹⁾
f.15	Fifth Amendment to Sale and Servicing Agreement by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I, LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association, Lyon Financial Services, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp. dated March 30, 2007. ⁽¹³⁾
f.16	Amended and Restated Sale and Servicing Agreement by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I LLC, the Company, U.S. Bank National Association, Lyon Financial Services, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., and Deutsche Bank AG dated as of May 2, 2007. (14)
f.17	Fourth Amendment to the Warrant Participation Agreement by and among Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., dated as of May 2, 2007. (15)
f.18	Amended and Restated Note Purchase Agreement by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. dated as of May 2, 2007. (15)
f.19	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Note Purchase Agreement by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. dated as of May 7, 2008. (17)
f.20	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Sale and Servicing Agreement by and among Hercules Funding Trust I, Hercules Funding I LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., U.S. Bank National Association, Lyon Financial Services, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., and Deutsche Bank AG dated as of May 7, 2008. ⁽¹⁷⁾
f.21	Form of SBA Debenture. (18)
f.22	Loan and Security Agreement by and among Hercules Funding II, LLC and Wells Fargo Foothill, LLC, dated as of August 25, 2008. ⁽¹⁹⁾
f.23	Sales and Servicing Agreement among Hercules Funding II, LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., Lyon Financial Services, Inc. and Wells Fargo Foothill, LLC, dated as of August 25, 2008. ⁽¹⁹⁾
f.24	First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement by and among Hercules Funding II, LLC and Wells Fargo Foothill, LLC, dated as of April 30, 2009. (20)
f.25	Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Union Bank, N.A. dated November 2, 2011. (22)
f.26	Indenture between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of April 15, 2011. (24)
f.27	Form of Note under the Indenture dated as of April 15, 2011. (24)

Exhibit Number	Description
f.28	Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement by and among Hercules Funding II LLC and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC (f/k/a Wells Fargo Foothill, LLC), dated as of June 20, 2011. (26)
f.29	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Union Bank, N.A., dated as of March 30, 2012. (33)
f.30	Third Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement by and among Hercules Funding II LLC and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC (f/k/a Wells Fargo Foothill, LLC), dated as of August 1, 2012. (34)
f.31	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Union Bank, N.A., dated as of September 17, 2012. (35)
f.32	Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Union Bank, N.A., dated as of December 19, 2013. (27)
f.33	Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Union Bank, N.A., dated as of December 2, 2013. (42)
f.34	Fifth Amendment to Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Union Bank, N.A., dated as of January 31, 2014. (42)
f.35	Indenture by and between Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1 and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of December 19, 2012. (37)
f.36	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement by and between Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC and Wilmington Trust, National Association, dated as of December 19, 2012. (37)
f.37	Sale and Servicing Agreement by and between Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC, Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1 LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of December 19, 2012. (37)
f.38	Sale and Contribution Agreement by and between Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. and Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC, dated as of December 19, 2012. (37)
f.39	Note Purchase Agreement by and between the Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC, as Trust Depositor, Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1, as Issuer, and Guggenheim Securities, LLC, as Initial Purchaser, dated as of December 12, 2012. ⁽³⁷⁾
f.40	Administration Agreement by and between Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1LLC, Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc, Wilmington Trust, National Association, and U.S. Bank National Association, dated as of December 19, 2012. (37)
h.1	Form of Equity Underwriting Agreement. (38)
h.2	Form of Debt Underwriting Agreement. (38)
h.3	Equity Distribution Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2013, by and among the Registrant and JMP Securities LLC. (40)
i.1	Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (2011 Amendment and Restatement). (39)
i.2	Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. 2006 Non-Employee Director Plan (2007 Amendment and Restatement). (16)
i.3	Form of Incentive Stock Option Award under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. (2)
i.4	Form of Nonstatutory Stock Option Award under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. (2)
i.5	Form of Restricted Stock Award under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. (18)
j	Form of Custody Agreement between the Company and Union Bank of California. (2)
k.1	Form of Registrar Transfer Agency and Service Agreement between the Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company. (2)

Exhibit Number	Description
k.2	Warrant Agreement dated June 22, 2004 between the Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as warrant agent. ⁽¹⁾
k.3	Lease Agreement dated June 13, 2006 between the Company and 400 Hamilton Associates. (10)
1.1	Opinion of Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP. (38)
n.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP.
n.2*	Consent of Ernst & Young, LLP.
n.3	Consent of Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP (included in Exhibit 1). (38)
n.4*	Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP.
n.5*	Report of Ernst & Young, LLP.
p	Subscription Agreement dated February 2, 2004 between the Company and the subscribers named therein. (2)
r	Code of Ethics. ⁽²⁾
s.1	Form of Prospectus Supplement For Common Stock Offerings. (27)
s.2	Form of Prospectus Supplement For Preferred Stock Offerings. (27)
s.3	Form of Prospectus Supplement For Debt Offerings. (27)
s.4	Form of Prospectus Supplement For Rights Offerings. (27)
s.5	Form of Prospectus Supplement For Warrant Offerings. (27)
s.6*	Form of Prospectus for At-the-Market Offerings.
99.1	Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings of Fixed Charges ⁽³⁸⁾

^{*} Filed herewith.

Index to Financial Statements

- (1) Previously filed as part of the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company, as filed on February 22, 2005.
- (2) Previously filed as part of Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on May 17, 2005 (File No. 333-122950) to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (3) Previously filed as part of Pre-Effective Amendment No. 2, as filed on June 8, 2005 (File No. 333-122950) to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (4) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on June 10, 2005 (File No. 333-122950) to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (5) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on August 5, 2005.
- (6) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 3, as filed on March 9, 2006 (File No. 333-126604) to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (7) Previously filed as part of the Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on October 17, 2006 (File No. 333-136918) to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (8) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on July 28, 2006.
- (9) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on December 6, 2006.
- (10) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on August 1, 2006.
- (11) Previously filed as part of the Securities to be Offered to Employees in Employee Benefit Plans on Form S-8, as filed June 22, 2007.
- (12) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed March 9, 2007.
- (13) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed April 3, 2007.
- (14) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed May 5, 2007.
- (15) Previously filed as part of the Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed May 15, 2007 (File No. 333-141828), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (16) Previously filed as part of the Securities to be Offered to Employees in Employee Benefit Plans on Form S-8, as filed October, 10, 2007.
- (17) Previously filed as part of the Pre-Effective Amendment No. 2, as filed June 5, 2008 (File No. 333-150403), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (18) Previously filed as part of the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company, as filed on March 16, 2009.
- (19) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on August 27, 2008.
- (20) Previously filed as part of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company, as filed on May 11, 2009.
- (21) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on February 17, 2010.
- (22) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on November 4, 2011.
- (23) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on April 11, 2011.
- (24) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on April 18, 2011.
- (25) Previously filed as part of the Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on May 2, 2011 (File No. 333-171368) to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- $(26)\ Previously\ filed\ as\ part\ of\ the\ Current\ Report\ on\ Form\ 8-K\ of\ the\ Company,\ as\ filed\ on\ June\ 24,\ 2011.$
- (27) Previously filed as part of the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company, as filed on February 8, 2012 (File No. 333-179431).
- (28) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on April 17, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (29) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 2, as filed on July 6, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (30) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 3, as filed on July 12, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (31) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 5, as filed on September 24, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (32) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 7, as filed on October 2, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (33) Previously filed as part of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company, as filed on May 8, 2012.
- (34) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on August 2, 2012.
- (35) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 4, as filed on September 17, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (36) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 8, as filed on October 17, 2012 (File No. 333-179431), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (37) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on December 17, 2012.
- (38) Previously filed as part of Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on May 22, 2013 (File No. 333-187447), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (39) Previously filed as part of the Securities to be Offered to Employees in Employee Benefit Plans on Form S-8, as filed on June 20, 2013.
- (40) Previously filed as part of Post-Effective Amendment No. 1, as filed on August 16, 2013 (File No. 333-187447), to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 of the Company.
- (41) Previously filed as part of the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, as filed on December 10, 2013.
- (42) Previously filed as part of the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company, as filed on February 27, 2014.

Item 26. Marketing Arrangements

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

The information contained under the heading Plan of Distribution of the prospectus is incorporated herein by reference, and any information concerning any underwriters will be contained in any prospectus supplement if any, accompanying this prospectus.

Index to Financial Statements

Item 27. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution

The following table sets forth the estimated expenses payable by us in connection with the offering (excluding placement fees):

	Amount
SEC registration fee	\$ 13,067
FINRA filing fee	\$ 14,870
NYSE listing fee	\$ 192,000
Accounting fees and expenses	\$ 108,000
Legal fees and expenses	\$ 310,000
Printing expenses	\$ 65,000
Miscellaneous	\$ 2,063
Total	\$ 705,000

Item 28. Persons Controlled by or Under Common Control

Hercules Technology SBIC Management, LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Hercules Technology SBIC Management, LLC is the general partner of Hercules Technology II, L.P., Hercules Technology III, L.P and Hercules Technology IV, LP and the Company owns substantially all of the limited partnership interests in Hercules Technology II, L.P. Hercules Technology III, L.P. and Hercules Funding II LLC, Hercules Technology Management Co. II, Inc., Hercules Technology Management Co. V, Inc., Hercules Technology I, LLC, Hercules Technology II, LLC, Hydra Ventures LLC, and Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1 and Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC are wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. Accordingly, the Company may be deemed to control, directly or indirectly, the following entities:

Name	Jurisdiction of Organization
Hercules Technology II, L.P.	Delaware
Hercules Technology III, L.P.	Delaware
Hercules Technology IV, L.P.	Delaware
Hercules Technology SBIC Management, LLC	Delaware
Hercules Funding II, LLC	Delaware
Hercules Technology Management Co II, Inc.	Delaware
Hercules Technology Management Co III, Inc.	Delaware
Hercules Technology Management Co V, Inc.	Delaware
Hercules Technology I, LLC	Delaware
Hercules Technology II LLC	Delaware
Hydra Ventures LLC	Delaware
Hydra Ventures II LLC	Delaware
Hydra Management LLC	Delaware
Hercules Capital Funding Trust 2012-1	Delaware
Hercules Capital Funding 2012-1 LLC	Delaware

Item 29. Number of Holder of Securities

The following table sets forth the approximate number of shareholders of the Company s common stock as of February 11, 2014:

Number of Title of Class Record Holders

43,400

Index to Financial Statements

Item 30. Indemnification

Maryland law permits a Maryland corporation to include in its charter a provision limiting the liability of its directors and officers to the corporation and its stockholders for money damages except for liability resulting from (a) actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or (b) active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action. The Registrant's charter contains such a provision which eliminates directors and officers liability to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act.

The Registrant s charter authorizes the Registrant, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law and subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act, to obligate itself to indemnify any present or former director or officer or any individual who, while a director or officer of the Registrant and at its request, serves or has served another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise as a director, officer, partner or trustee, from and against any claim or liability to which that person may become subject or which that person may incur by reason of his or her service in any such capacity and, under certain circumstances and provided certain conditions have been met, to pay or reimburse their reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding. The Registrant s bylaws obligate the Registrant, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law and subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act, to indemnify any present or former director or officer or any individual who, while a director or officer of the Registrant and at its request, serves or has served another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise as a director, officer, partner or trustee and who is made, or threatened to be made, a party to the proceeding by reason of his or her service in any such capacity from and against any claim or liability to which that person may become subject or which that person may incur by reason of his or her service in any such capacity and, under certain circumstances and provided certain conditions have been met, to pay or reimburse their reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding. The charter and bylaws also permit the Registrant to indemnify and, under certain circumstances and provided certain conditions have been met, advance expenses to any person who served a predecessor of the Registrant in any of the capacities described above and any of the Registrant s employees or agents or any employees or agents of its predecessor. In accordance with the 1940 Act, the Registrant will not indemnify any person for any liability to which such person would be subject by reason of such person s willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his office. Additionally, the Registrant will not indemnify any person with respect to any matter as to which such person shall have been finally adjudicated in any proceeding not to have acted in good faith in the reasonable belief that their action was in the best interests of the Registrant.

Maryland law requires a corporation (unless its charter provides otherwise, which the Registrant's charter does not) to indemnify a director or officer who has been successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service in that capacity. Maryland law permits a corporation to indemnify its present and former directors and officers, among others, against judgments, penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by them in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of their service in those or other capacities unless it is established that (a) the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and (1) was committed in bad faith or (2) was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (b) the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or (c) in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. However, under Maryland law, a Maryland corporation may not indemnify for an adverse judgment in a suit by or in the right of the corporation or for a judgment of liability on the basis that a personal benefit was improperly received, unless in either case a court orders indemnification, and then only for expenses. In addition, Maryland law permits a corporation to advance reasonable expenses to a director or officer upon the corporation s receipt of (a) a written affirmation by the director or officer of his or her good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for

C-8

Index to Financial Statements

indemnification by the corporation and (b) a written undertaking by him or her or on his or her behalf to repay the amount paid or reimbursed by the corporation if it is ultimately determined that the standard of conduct was not met.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the Company pursuant to the provisions described above, or otherwise, the Company has been advised that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the Company of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person in the successful defense of an action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by a director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the Company will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

The Company carries liability insurance for the benefit of its directors and officers (other than with respect to claims resulting from the willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his or her office) on a claims-made basis of up to \$3,000,000, subject to a \$250,000 retention and the other terms thereof.

The Company has agreed to indemnify the underwriters against specified liabilities for actions taken in their capacities as such, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 31. Business and Other Connections of Investment Advisor

Not applicable.

Item 32. Location of Accounts and Records

The Company maintains at its principal office physical possession of each account, book or other document required to be maintained by Section 31(a) of the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder.

Item 33. Management Services

Not applicable.

Item 34. Undertakings

The Registrant undertakes:

- 1. to suspend the offering of shares until the prospectus is amended if (a) subsequent to the effective date of its registration statement, the net asset value declines more than ten percent from its net asset value as of the effective date of the registration statement or (b) the net asset value increases to an amount greater than the net proceeds (if applicable) as stated in the prospectus.
- Not applicable.
- 3. Not applicable.

4.

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

- a. to file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:
 - i. to include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act;

C-9

Index to Financial Statements

- ii. to reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement; and
- iii. to include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement.
- b. that, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of the securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof;
- c. to remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering;
- d. that, for the purpose of determining liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser, if the Registrant is subject to Rule 430C, each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 497(b), (c), (d) or (e) under the Securities Act as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than prospectus filed in reliance on Rule 430A under the Securities Act, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness, *provided*, *however*, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supercede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use;
- e. that for the purpose of determining liability of the Registrant under the Securities Act to any purchaser in the initial distribution of securities, the undersigned Registrant undertakes that in a primary offering of securities of the undersigned Registrant pursuant to this registration statement, regardless of the underwriting method used to sell the securities to the purchaser, if the securities are offered or sold to such purchaser by means of any of the following communications, the undersigned Registrant will be a seller to the purchaser and will be considered to offer or sell such securities to the purchaser:
 - i. any preliminary prospectus or prospectus of the undersigned Registrant relating to the offering required to be filed pursuant to Rule 497 under the Securities Act;
 - ii. the portion of any advertisement pursuant to Rule 482 under the Securities Act relating to the offering containing material information about the undersigned Registrant or its securities provided by or on behalf of the undersigned Registrant; and
 - iii. any other communication that is an offer in the offering made by the undersigned Registrant to the purchaser.
- f. to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement, and to suspend any offers or sales pursuant the registration statement until such post-effective amendment has been declared effective under the Securities Act, in the event the shares of the Registrant are trading below its net asset value per share and either (a) the Registrant receives, or has been advised by its independent registered accounting firm that it will receive, an audit report reflecting substantial doubt regarding the

Edgar Filing: HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL INC - Form POS 8C

Registrant s ability to continue as a going concern or (b) the Registrant has concluded that a fundamental change has occurred in its financial position or results of operations; and

C-10

Index to Financial Statements

- 5. Not applicable.
- 6. Not applicable.
- 7. to not seek to sell shares under a prospectus supplement to the registration statement, or a post-effective amendment to the registration statement, of which the prospectus forms a part (the current registration statement) if the cumulative dilution to the Registrant s net asset value (NAV) per share arising from offerings from the effective date of the current registration statement through and including any follow-on offering would exceed 15% based on the anticipated pricing of such follow-on offering. This limit would be measured separately for each offering pursuant to the current registration statement by calculating the percentage dilution or accretion to aggregate NAV from that offering and then summing the anticipated percentage dilution from each subsequent offering. If the Registrant files a new post-effective amendment, the threshold would reset.

C-11

Index to Financial Statements

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant has duly caused this Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Palo Alto, and State of California, on the 21st day of March, 2014.

HERCULES TECHNOLOGY GROWTH CAPITAL, INC.

/s/ Manuel A. Henriquez
Manuel A. Henriquez

Chairman of the Board, President and

Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this registration statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Manuel A. Henriquez	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	March 21, 2014
Manuel A. Henriquez		
/s/ Jessica Baron	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)	March 21, 2014
Jessica Baron	-	
*	Director	March 21, 2014
Allyn C. Woodward, Jr.		
*	Director	March 21, 2014
Joseph W. Chow		
*	Director	March 21, 2014
Robert P. Badavas		

^{*} Signed by Manuel A. Henriquez pursuant to a power of attorney signed by each individual and filed with this Registration Statement on March 22, 2013.

Index to Financial Statements

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number		Description
d.6*	Statement of Eligibility of Trustee on Form T-1.	
n.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP.	
n.2*	Consent of Ernst & Young, LLP.	
n.4*	Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP.	
n.5*	Report of Ernst & Young, LLP.	
s.6*	Form of Prospectus for At-the-Market Offerings.	

^{*} Filed herewith.