

FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC /NV/

Form 10-Q/A

August 16, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q/A
(Amendment No. 1)**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarter ended June 30, 2009
Commission file number 000-19297
FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Nevada

55-0694814

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**P.O. Box 989
Bluefield, Virginia**

24605-0989

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(276) 326-9000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value; 17,698,892 shares outstanding as of July 31, 2009

FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
FORM 10-Q/A
For the quarter ended June 30, 2009
INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2008 4

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three- and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) 5

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) 6

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) 7

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 8

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 30

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 42

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 43

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 44

Item 1A. Risk Factors 44

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 51

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities 51

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders 51

Item 5. Other Information 52

Item 6. Exhibits 52

SIGNATURES 55

EXHIBIT INDEX 56

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32

Table of Contents

Explanatory Note

Overview

First Community Bancshares, Inc. (the Company) is filing this amendment to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 (the Original Filing) to amend and restate financial statements and other financial information in the Original Filing as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to reflect the correction of a computational error in its model used to calculate its allowance for loan losses. For the same reason, Company also is restating the financial statements and other financial information filed with the SEC for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and each of the quarters ended March 31, 2009, September 30, 2009 and March 31, 2010.

Background

The reason for the restatement is to correct a computational error in the model that the Company used to calculate the quantitative basis for its allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated. The Company identified the computational error as a result of one of its routine internal audits. In connection with its determination of the appropriate loan loss reserves at December 31, 2008, the Company made certain modifications to its loan loss reserves model with respect to a \$130.76 million pool of loans. However, in calculating the loan loss reserves for this pool of loans, the computational error resulted in the Company s historical quarterly net charge-off rates not being annualized. The Company has corrected the computational error in its model for calculating the allowance for loan losses. Based on the Company s modeling using the corrected computations, the Company, in consultation with the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors, determined that the amount of the allowance for loan losses should be increased by an aggregate of \$2.55 million for the period beginning December 31, 2008 and ending March 31, 2010.

Amendments to the Original Filing

The following sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A have been revised to reflect the restatement: Part I Item 1 Financial Statements, Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Item 4 Controls and Procedures, and Part II Item 6 Exhibits. Except to the extent relating to the restatement of the Company s financial statements and other financial information described above, the financial statements and other disclosures in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A do not reflect any events that have occurred after the Original Filing was filed with the SEC on August 13, 2009. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A does not modify or update those disclosures affected by subsequent events. Information not affected by the restatement is unchanged and reflects the disclosures made at the time of the Original Filing. Although the Company is amending only certain portions of its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, for convenience and ease of reference, it is filing the entire Quarterly Report on this Form 10-Q/A.

- 3 -

Table of Contents**PART I. ITEM 1. Financial Statements****FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | June 30, 2009 (Unaudited) (Restated) | December 31, 2008* (Restated) |
|---|---|--|
| <i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i> | | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 116,095 | \$ 39,310 |
| Interest-bearing balances with banks | 28,354 | 7,129 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 144,449 | 46,439 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 521,879 | 520,723 |
| Securities held-to-maturity | 7,725 | 8,670 |
| Loans held for sale | 802 | 1,024 |
| Loans held for investment, net of unearned income | 1,269,443 | 1,298,159 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | 18,543 | 17,782 |
| Net loans held for investment | 1,250,900 | 1,280,377 |
| Premises and equipment | 55,193 | 55,024 |
| Other real estate owned | 3,615 | 1,326 |
| Interest receivable | 8,935 | 10,084 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 89,534 | 89,612 |
| Other assets | 119,013 | 118,908 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,202,045 | \$ 2,132,187 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 202,543 | \$ 199,712 |
| Interest-bearing | 1,344,815 | 1,304,046 |
| Total Deposits | 1,547,358 | 1,503,758 |
| Interest, taxes and other liabilities | 27,630 | 27,423 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 153,804 | 165,914 |
| FHLB borrowings and other indebtedness | 190,863 | 215,877 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,919,655 | 1,912,972 |
| Stockholders Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, par value undesignated; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 41,500 issued at June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008. | 40,525 | 40,419 |
| Common stock, \$1 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 17,341,234 shares issued at June 30, 2009, and 12,051,234 issued December 31, 2008, including 431,642 and 483,785 shares in treasury, respectively | 17,341 | 12,051 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC /NV/ - Form 10-Q/A

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 183,955 | 128,526 |
| Retained earnings | 115,832 | 106,104 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (13,712) | (15,368) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (61,551) | (52,517) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 282,390 | 219,215 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 2,202,045 | \$ 2,132,187 |

* Derived from
audited financial
statements.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 4 -

Table of Contents

FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

| <i>(Dollars In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Restated) | | | |
| Interest Income | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans held for investment | \$ 19,571 | \$ 19,891 | \$ 39,555 | \$ 41,128 |
| Interest on securities-taxable | 5,177 | 5,467 | 10,341 | 11,534 |
| Interest on securities-nontaxable | 1,402 | 2,004 | 3,078 | 4,067 |
| Interest on federal funds sold and deposits | 39 | 71 | 78 | 251 |
| Total interest income | 26,189 | 27,433 | 53,052 | 56,980 |
| Interest Expense | | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 7,076 | 7,118 | 14,643 | 15,859 |
| Interest on borrowings | 2,792 | 3,690 | 5,655 | 8,136 |
| Total interest expense | 9,868 | 10,808 | 20,298 | 23,995 |
| Net interest income | 16,321 | 16,625 | 32,754 | 32,985 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,552 | 937 | 4,700 | 1,260 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 13,769 | 15,688 | 28,054 | 31,725 |
| Noninterest Income | | | | |
| Wealth management income | 1,133 | 1,098 | 2,117 | 1,997 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 3,491 | 3,463 | 6,648 | 6,562 |
| Other service charges and fees | 1,133 | 1,064 | 2,311 | 2,185 |
| Insurance commissions | 1,639 | 1,146 | 3,956 | 2,490 |
| Total impairment losses on securities | (25,169) | | (25,378) | |
| Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income | 21,393 | | 21,393 | |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | (3,776) | | (3,985) | |
| Security gains | 1,653 | 150 | 2,064 | 1,970 |
| Other operating income | 349 | 803 | 935 | 1,661 |
| Total non-interest income | 5,622 | 7,724 | 14,046 | 16,865 |
| Noninterest Expense | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 7,405 | 7,580 | 15,271 | 15,370 |
| Occupancy expense of bank premises | 1,333 | 1,256 | 2,936 | 2,420 |
| Furniture and equipment expense | 892 | 973 | 1,830 | 1,874 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 244 | 158 | 489 | 318 |
| FHLB debt prepayment fees | 88 | | 88 | 1,647 |
| FDIC premiums and assessments | 1,287 | 39 | 1,475 | 79 |
| Other operating expense | 4,894 | 4,753 | 9,248 | 9,334 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC /NV/ - Form 10-Q/A

| | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total noninterest expense | 16,143 | 14,759 | 31,337 | 31,042 |
| Income before income taxes | 3,248 | 8,653 | 10,763 | 17,548 |
| Income tax expense | 843 | 2,415 | 3,166 | 4,998 |
| Net income | 2,405 | 6,238 | 7,597 | 12,550 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | 578 | | 1,149 | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,827 | \$ 6,238 | \$ 6,448 | \$ 12,550 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 1.14 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 1.13 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.56 |
| Weighted average basic shares outstanding | 12,696,202 | 10,992,301 | 12,135,103 | 11,011,116 |
| Weighted average diluted shares outstanding | 12,741,080 | 11,073,440 | 12,181,843 | 11,091,714 |
| <i>See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</i> | | | | |

- 5 -

Table of Contents

FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 (Restated) | 2008 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 7,597 | \$ 12,550 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,700 | 1,260 |
| Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment | 2,189 | 1,855 |
| Intangible amortization | 489 | 318 |
| Net investment amortization and accretion | 768 | (526) |
| Net gain on the sale of assets | (2,154) | (1,929) |
| Mortgage loans originated for sale | (18,422) | (22,964) |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans | 18,685 | 22,376 |
| Gain on sales of loans | (41) | (123) |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (611) | (330) |
| Decrease in interest receivable | 1,148 | 2,473 |
| Non-cash other-than-temporary impairment charge | 3,985 | |
| Other operating activities, net | (25) | (118) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 18,308 | 14,842 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale | 89,827 | 73,731 |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities available-for-sale | 28,051 | 54,521 |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities held-to-maturity | 946 | 1,578 |
| Purchase of securities available-for-sale | (125,496) | (97,491) |
| Net decrease in loans held for investment | 22,375 | 43,740 |
| Proceeds from the redemption of FHLB stock | 351 | |
| Proceeds from sales of equipment | 188 | |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (2,393) | (3,549) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 13,849 | 72,530 |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in demand and savings deposits | 15,477 | 4,139 |
| Net increase (decrease) in time deposits | 28,123 | (58,175) |
| Net decrease in federal funds purchased | | 48,000 |
| Net (decrease) increase in securities sold under agreement to repurchase | (12,110) | 8,183 |
| Net decrease in FHLB and other borrowings | (25,014) | (75,054) |
| FHLB debt prepayment fees | (88) | (1,647) |
| Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock | 61,668 | |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | | 97 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | | 22 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC /NV/ - Form 10-Q/A

| | | |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Acquisition of treasury stock | | (4,112) |
| Preferred dividends paid | (1,043) | |
| Common dividends paid | (1,160) | (6,154) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 65,853 | (84,701) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 98,010 | 2,671 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 46,439 | 52,746 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 144,449 | \$ 55,417 |
| Supplemental information Noncash items | | |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate | \$ 2,485 | \$ 637 |
| Cumulative effect adjustment of FAS 115-2, net of tax | \$ 6,131 | \$ |
| <i>See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.</i> | | |

- 6 -

Table of Contents

FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

| | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Total |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|------------|
| <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Balance January 1, 2008 | \$ | \$ 11,499 | \$ 108,825 | \$ 117,670 | \$ (13,613) | \$ (7,283) | \$ 217,098 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 12,550 | | | 12,550 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale | | | | | | (21,631) | (21,631) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income | | | | | | (810) | (810) |
| Unrealized gain on derivative securities | | | | | | (13) | (13) |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | 12,550 | | (22,454) | (9,904) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | | | | (813) | | | (813) |
| Common dividends declared | | | | (6,154) | | | (6,154) |
| Acquisition of 128,100 treasury shares | | | | | (4,112) | | (4,112) |
| Acquisition of GreenPoint Insurance Group - 7,728 shares issued | | | 22 | | 245 | | 267 |
| Equity-based compensation expense | | | 107 | | | | 107 |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options | | | 29 | | | | 29 |
| Option exercises - 4,804 shares | | | (57) | | 152 | | 95 |
| Balance June 30, 2008 | \$ | \$ 11,499 | \$ 108,926 | \$ 123,253 | \$ (17,328) | \$ (29,737) | \$ 196,613 |
| Balance January 1, 2009 (restated) | \$ 40,419 | \$ 12,051 | \$ 128,526 | \$ 106,104 | \$ (15,368) | \$ (52,517) | \$ 219,215 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | | | 6,131 | | (6,131) | | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income (restated) | | | 7,597 | | | | 7,597 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale | | | | | (2,890) | | (2,890) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income | | | | | (363) | | (363) |
| Unrealized gain on derivative securities | | | | | 350 | | 350 |
| Comprehensive loss | | | 7,597 | | (2,903) | | 4,694 |
| Preferred dividend, net | 106 | | (37) | (1,149) | | | (1,080) |
| Common dividends declared | | | | (2,851) | | | (2,851) |
| Issuance of vested shares Equity-based | | | (22) | | 22 | | |
| compensation expense | | | 72 | | | | 72 |
| Common stock issuance - 5,290,000 shares issued | 5,290 | | 56,378 | | | | 61,668 |
| Retirement plan contribution - 51,443 shares issued | | | (962) | | 1,634 | | 672 |
| Balance June 30, 2009 (restated) | \$ 40,525 | \$ 17,341 | \$ 183,955 | \$ 115,832 | \$ (13,712) | \$ (61,551) | \$ 282,390 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Note 1. General***Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements*

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of First Community Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries (First Community or the Company) have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation have been made. These results are not necessarily indicative of the results of consolidated operations that might be expected for the full calendar year.

The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, has been derived from the restated audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company s 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (the 2008 Form 10-K/A). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted in accordance with standards for the preparation of interim consolidated financial statements. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s 2008 Form 10-K/A.

A more complete and detailed description of First Community s significant accounting policies is included within Footnote 1 of Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in the Company s 2008 Form 10-K/A. Further discussion of the Company s application of critical accounting policies is included within the Application of Critical Accounting Policies section of Part I, Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included herein.

The Company operates within two business segments, banking and insurance services. Insurance services are comprised of agencies which sell property and casualty and life and health insurance policies and arrangements. All other operations, including commercial and consumer banking, lending activities, and wealth management are included within the banking segment.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is determined by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is determined by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average shares outstanding, which includes the dilutive effect of stock options, warrants and contingently issuable shares. Basic and diluted net income per common share calculations follow:

| <i>(Amounts in Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)</i> | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | | (Restated) | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,827 | \$ 6,238 | \$ 6,448 | \$ 12,550 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 12,696,202 | 10,992,301 | 12,135,103 | 11,011,116 |
| Dilutive shares for stock options | 2,411 | 58,134 | 4,274 | 57,593 |
| Contingently issuable shares | 42,467 | 23,005 | 42,467 | 23,005 |
| Common stock warrants | | | | |
| Weighted average dilutive shares outstanding | 12,741,080 | 11,073,440 | 12,181,843 | 11,091,714 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 1.14 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 1.13 |

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009, options and warrants to purchase 399,156 and 400,156 shares, respectively, of common stock were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because the exercise price was greater than the market price of our common stock and, accordingly,

they would have an anti-dilutive effect. This compares to options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock outstanding, but not included in the

- 8 -

Table of Contents

computation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect would be anti-dilutive for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2008.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS 168). The Codification will become the source of authoritative US GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities and will supersede all non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual financial statements ending after September 15, 2009, and is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167). This statement, which is a revision to FIN 46(R), contains new criteria for determining the primary beneficiary, eliminates the exception to consolidating QSPEs, requires continual reconsideration of conclusions reached in determining the primary beneficiary, and requires additional disclosures. SFAS 167 is effective as of the beginning of fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and is applied using a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings for any carrying amount adjustments (e.g., for newly-consolidated VIEs). The Company does not expect SFAS 167 to have a material effect on its financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets (SFAS 166). This statement, which is a revision to SFAS No. 140, eliminates the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE), changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures, including information about continuing exposure to risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 is effective for financial asset transfers occurring after the beginning of fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The disclosure requirements must be applied to transfers that occurred before and after the effective date, and are not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB Statement No. 165, Subsequent Events (SFAS 165). This statement establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. SFAS 165 defines (i) the period after the balance sheet date during which a reporting entity's management should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, (ii) the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements and (iii) the disclosures an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. The Company adopted SFAS 165 effective April 1, 2009 and provided related disclosure in Note 15.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The FSP requires a public entity to provide disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial information. The FSP will be effective for interim and annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 effective January 1, 2009 and provided related disclosure in Note 13.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2, FAS 124-2 and EITF 99-20-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment. The FSP (i) changes existing guidance for determining whether an impairment is other than temporary to debt securities and (ii) replaces the existing requirement that the entity's management assert it has both the intent and ability to hold an impaired debt security until recovery with a requirement that management assert: (a) it does not have the intent to sell the debt security; and (b) it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the debt security before recovery of its cost basis. Under the FSP, declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses to the extent the impairment is related to credit losses. The amount of impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP FAS 115-2, FAS 124-2 and EITF 99-20-2-1 effective January 1, 2009, which resulted in a cumulative effect credit adjustment in retained earnings of approximately \$6.13 million and provided related disclosure in Note 4 to the Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly. The FSP affirms the objective of fair value when a market is not active, clarifies and includes additional factors for determining whether there has been a significant decrease in market activity, eliminates the presumption that all transactions are distressed unless proven

Table of Contents

otherwise, and requires an entity to disclose a change in valuation technique. The Company adopted the provisions of FSP FAS 157-4 effective January 1, 2009, which did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations and provided related disclosure in Note 12.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). This statement establishes a framework for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS 162 was effective 60 days following the approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). This statement requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities in order to improve the transparency of financial reporting. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The Company adopted SFAS 161 effective January 1, 2009, and the enhanced disclosures are included in Note 12 *Derivatives and Hedging Activities*.

In December 2007, the FASB revised Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141R). This statement requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. This statement recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase. This statement also defines the acquirer as the entity that obtains control of one or more businesses in the business combination and establishes the acquisition date as the date that the acquiree achieves control. Additionally this statement determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. In connection with its January 1, 2009, adoption of SFAS 141R, the Company has expensed costs associated with recently announced transactions. This standard will impact the accounting of the transaction related to the acquisition of TriStone Community Bank that closed on July 30, 2009.

Note 2. Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

As a result of a routine internal audit, the Company determined there was a computational error in the model that it uses to calculate the quantitative basis for its allowance for loan losses. In connection with its determination of the appropriate loan loss reserve at December 31, 2008, the Company made certain modifications to its loan loss reserve model with respect to a \$130.76 million pool of loans. However, in calculating the loan loss reserves for this pool of loans, the historical quarterly net charge-off rates were not annualized as was the case with all other quarterly loss rates in the model. The Company has corrected the computational error in its model for calculating the allowance for loan losses. Based on the Company's modeling using the corrected computations, the Company, in consultation with the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors, determined that the amount of the allowance for loan losses should be increased by an aggregate of \$2.55 million for the period beginning December 31, 2008 and ending March 31, 2010. In this Form 10-Q/A, the Company is restating its unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2009. The consolidated statement of income and statement of cash flows for the three months ended June 30, 2009, were not affected by the restatement. The Company is also restating its unaudited consolidated statement of income, statement of cash flows, and statement of changes in stockholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In its 2008 Form 10-K/A, which has been filed with the SEC, the Company restated its consolidated balance sheet, statements of income, statements of cash flows and statement of changes in stockholders' equity at and for the year ended December 31, 2008. For ease of reference, the effects of the restatement on the consolidated balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2008 is provided below in this Note 2.

The effects of the restatement by line item for the periods presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A follow.

Impact on Consolidated

Balance Sheets

| <i>(Amounts in Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | As | As Restated | Effect of | As | As Restated | Effect of |
| | Previously | | | Previously | | |
| | Reported | | Change | Reported | | Change |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 16,678 | \$ 18,543 | \$ 1,865 | \$ 15,978 | \$ 17,782 | \$ 1,804 |
| Net loans held for investment | 1,252,765 | 1,250,900 | (1,865) | 1,282,181 | 1,280,377 | (1,804) |
| Other assets | 118,313 | 119,013 | 700 | 118,231 | 118,908 | 677 |
| Total assets | 2,203,210 | 2,202,045 | (1,165) | 2,133,314 | 2,132,187 | (1,127) |
| Retained earnings | 116,997 | 115,832 | (1,165) | 107,231 | 106,104 | (1,127) |
| Total stockholders equity | 283,555 | 282,390 | (1,165) | 220,342 | 219,215 | (1,127) |

- 10 -

Table of Contents

| Impact on Consolidated Statements of Income Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| As | | | |
| <i>(Amounts in Thousands, except per share data)</i> | Previously Reported | As Restated | Effect of Change |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ 4,639 | \$ 4,700 | \$ 61 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 28,115 | 28,054 | (61) |
| Income before income taxes | 10,824 | 10,763 | (61) |
| Income tax expense | 3,189 | 3,166 | (23) |
| Net income | 7,635 | 7,597 | (38) |
| Net income to common shareholders | 6,486 | 6,448 | (38) |
| Net income per share | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.53 | \$ |
| Diluted | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.53 | \$ |

| Impact on Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| As | | | |
| <i>(Amounts in Thousands)</i> | Previously Reported | As Restated | Effect of Change |
| Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$7,635 | \$7,597 | \$(38) |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,639 | 4,700 | 61 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (588) | (611) | (23) |

| Impact on Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| As | | | |
| <i>(Amounts in Thousands)</i> | Previously Reported | As Restated | Effect of Change |
| Total retained earnings, January 1 | \$107,231 | \$106,104 | \$(1,127) |
| Net income | 7,635 | 7,597 | (38) |
| Total retained earnings, June 30 | 116,997 | 115,832 | (1,165) |

Note 3. Mergers, Acquisitions, and Branching Activity

On April 2, 2009, the Company signed a definitive agreement providing for the acquisition of TriStone Community Bank (TriStone), a \$152.42 million state-chartered commercial bank headquartered in Winston-Salem, North Carolina. Under the terms of the merger agreement, subject to dissenter's rights, shares of TriStone were exchanged for .5262 shares of the Company's common stock, resulting in a purchase price of approximately \$10.73 million. TriStone was merged with and into the Company's wholly-owned national bank subsidiary, First Community Bank, N. A., on July 31, 2009, and at acquisition, had total assets of approximately \$165.53 million, loans of approximately

\$132.23 million, and deposits of approximately \$142.22 million.

On November 14, 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of Coddle Creek Financial Corp. (Coddle Creek), based in Mooresville, North Carolina. Coddle Creek had three full service locations in Mooresville, Cornelius, and Huntersville, North Carolina. At acquisition, Coddle Creek had total assets of approximately \$158.66 million, loans of approximately \$136.99 million, and deposits of approximately \$137.06 million. Under the terms of the merger agreement, shares of Coddle Creek were exchanged for .9046 shares of the Company s common stock and \$19.60 in cash, for a total purchase price of approximately \$32.29 million. As a result of the acquisition and purchase price allocation, approximately \$14.41 million in goodwill was recorded, which represents the excess purchase price over the fair market value of the net assets acquired and identified intangibles.

Since January 1, 2008, GreenPoint Insurance Group, Inc., the Company s wholly-owned insurance agency subsidiary, has acquired a total of five agencies, issuing cash consideration of approximately \$2.04 million. Acquisition terms in all instances call for additional cash consideration if certain operating performance targets are met. If those targets are met, the value of the consideration ultimately paid will be added to the cost of the acquisitions. Goodwill and other intangibles associated with those acquisitions total approximately \$2.04 million.

The Company opened a new branch location in Grafton, West Virginia, in June 2009.

- 11 -

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the amortized cost and estimated fair value of held-to-maturity securities were as follows:

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| States and political subdivisions | \$ 7,725 | \$ 121 | \$ | \$ 7,846 |
| Total | \$ 7,725 | \$ 121 | \$ | \$ 7,846 |

| | December 31, 2008 | | | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| States and political subdivisions | \$ 8,670 | \$ 133 | \$ (1) | \$ 8,802 |
| Total | \$ 8,670 | \$ 133 | \$ (1) | \$ 8,802 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities by contractual maturity, at June 30, 2009, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Amortized | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Cost | Fair Value |
| | <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | |
| Due within one year | \$ 1,329 | \$ 1,340 |
| Due after one year but within five years | 4,680 | 4,763 |
| Due after five years but within ten years | 71,416 | 71,751 |
| Due after ten years | 258,181 | 170,603 |
| | 335,606 | 248,457 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 277,843 | 268,263 |
| Equity securities | 5,476 | 5,159 |
| Total | \$ 618,925 | \$ 521,879 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of held-to-maturity securities by contractual maturity, at June 30, 2009, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Amortized | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Cost | Fair Value |
| | <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | |
| Due within one year | \$ 1,091 | \$ 1,105 |
| Due after one year but within five years | 3,988 | 4,053 |
| Due after five years but within ten years | 2,646 | 2,688 |
| Due after ten years | 2,646 | 2,688 |
| Total | \$ 7,725 | \$ 7,846 |

The carrying value of securities pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required by law were \$355.27 million and \$377.56 million at June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, net gains on the sale of securities were \$1.65 million. Gross gains were \$1.67 million while gross losses were \$16 thousand. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, net gains on the sale of securities were \$2.06 million. Gross gains were \$2.87 million while gross losses were \$805 thousand.

- 13 -

Table of Contents

The following table reflects those investments in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008.

| Description of Securities <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U. S. Government agency securities | \$ 9,411 | \$ (24) | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,411 | \$ (24) |
| States and political subdivisions | 47,796 | (1,757) | 16,844 | (1,778) | 64,640 | (3,535) |
| Trust preferred securities: | | | | | | |
| Single Issue | | | 32,511 | (23,041) | 32,511 | (23,041) |
| Pooled | | | 26,906 | (62,120) | 26,906 | (62,120) |
| Total trust preferred securities | | | 59,417 | (85,162) | 59,417 | (85,162) |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Agency | 73,616 | (1,599) | 42 | (1) | 73,658 | (1,600) |
| Prime residential | | | 5,258 | (1,338) | 5,258 | (1,338) |
| Alt-A residential | | | 10,503 | (10,465) | 10,503 | (10,465) |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | 73,616 | (1,599) | 15,803 | (11,804) | 89,419 | (13,403) |
| Equity securities | 565 | (164) | 1,229 | (553) | 1,794 | (717) |
| Total | \$ 131,388 | \$ (3,544) | \$ 93,293 | \$ (99,296) | \$ 234,681 | \$ (102,840) |

| Description of Securities | December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U. S. Government agency securities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| States and political subdivisions | 85,374 | (2,948) | 16,413 | (1,539) | 101,787 | (4,487) |
| Trust preferred securities: | | | | | | |
| Single Issue | | | 30,693 | (21,950) | 30,693 | (21,950) |
| Pooled | | | 29,567 | (60,757) | 29,567 | (60,757) |
| Total trust preferred securities | | | 60,260 | (82,707) | 60,260 | (82,707) |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Agency | 42,674 | (1) | 43 | (1) | 42,717 | (2) |
| Prime residential | 5,766 | (1,657) | | | 5,766 | (1,657) |
| Alt-A residential | | | | | | |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | 48,440 | (1,658) | 43 | (1) | 48,483 | (1,659) |
| Equity securities | 2,167 | (1,161) | 2,201 | (220) | 4,368 | (1,381) |
| Total | \$ 135,981 | \$ (5,767) | \$ 78,917 | \$ (84,467) | \$ 214,898 | \$ (90,234) |

At June 30, 2009, the combined depreciation in value of the 207 individual securities in an unrealized loss position was approximately 19.42% of the combined reported value of the aggregate securities portfolio. At December 31, 2008, the combined depreciation in value of the 310 individual securities in an unrealized loss position was approximately 17.04% of the combined reported value of the aggregate securities portfolio.

The Company reviews its investment portfolio on a quarterly basis for indications of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). The analysis differs depending upon the type of investment security is being analyzed. First, for all debt securities we have determined that we do not intend to sell securities that are impaired and have asserted that it is not more likely than not that we will have to sell impaired securities before recovery of the impairment occurs. Our assertion is based upon our investment strategy for the particular type of security, the Company's cash flow needs, liquidity position, capital adequacy and interest rate risk position.

For analysis of non-beneficial interest debt securities, we analyze several qualitative factors such as the severity and duration of the impairment, adverse conditions within the issuing industry, prospects for the issuer, performance of the security, changes in rating by rating agencies and other qualitative factors to determine if the impairment will be recovered. If it is

- 14 -

Table of Contents

determined that there is evidence that the impairment will not be recovered, we perform a present value calculation to determine the amount of credit related impairment and record any credit related OTTI through earnings and the non-credit related OTTI through other comprehensive income (OCI). During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, we incurred no OTTI charges related to non-beneficial interest debt securities. The impairment on these securities is primarily related to changes in interest rates, certain disruptions in the credit markets, and other current economic factors that did not lead to OTTI.

For analysis of beneficial interest debt securities, we prepare cash flow analyses on each applicable security to determine if an adverse change in cash flows expected to be collected has occurred. An adverse change in cash flows expected to be collected has occurred if the present value of cash flows previously projected is greater than the present value of cash flows projected at the current reporting date and less than the current book value. If an adverse change in cash flows is deemed to have occurred, then OTTI has occurred. We then compare the present value of cash flows using the current yield for the current reporting period and compare it to the reference amount, or current net book value, to determine the credit-related OTTI. The credit-related OTTI is then recorded through earnings and the non-credit related OTTI is accounted for in OCI.

During the three- and six- month periods ended June 30, 2009, we incurred credit-related OTTI charges of \$3.37 million and non-credit related impairment of \$22.85 million for beneficial interest debt securities. For the beneficial interest debt securities not deemed to have incurred OTTI, we have concluded that the primary difference in the fair value of the securities and our cash flow model is the significantly higher rate of return currently demanded by market participants in this illiquid and inactive market as compared to the rate of return that we received when we purchased the security in a normally functioning market.

The cash flow projections for the pooled trust preferred securities beneficial interest debt securities utilize a discounted cash flow test that uses variables such as the estimate of future cash flows, creditworthiness of the underlying banks and determination of probability of default of the underlying collateral. Cash flows are constructed in an INTEX cash flow model. INTEX is a proprietary cash flow model recognized as the industry standard for analyzing all types of collateralized debt obligations. It includes each individual deal s structural features updated with trustee information, including asset-by-asset detail, as it becomes available. The modeled cash flows are then used to determine if all the scheduled principal and interest payments of our investments will be returned.

The expected future default assumptions for the pooled trust preferred securities are based upon the approximate level of depository institution failures experienced during the savings and loan crisis in the late 1980 s and early 1990 s. Those default rates equate to 3% constant default in 2009 and 2010, 2.5% in 2011, 2% in 2012, 1% in 2013, and 0.25% in 2014 and beyond. This is an increase from the 0.75% constant default rate used in prior projections. Banks currently in default or deferring interest payments are assigned a 100% probability of default. In all cases, a 15% projected recovery rate is applied to current deferrals and projected defaults.

In addition, the risk of future OTTI may be influenced by additional bank failures, prolonged recession in the U.S. economy, changes in real estate values, interest deferrals, and whether the federal government provides assistance to certain financial institutions.

For the non-Agency Alt-A MBS, we model cash flows using the following assumptions: constant prepayment speed of 5, a customized constant default rate scenario starting at 15 for the first six quarters declining to 3 beginning with the fourth year, and a default severity of 45.

Table of Contents

The following table presents in more detail the Company's single-issue and pooled trust preferred security holdings as of June 30, 2009. These details are listed separately due to the inherent level of risk for OTTI within these portfolios.

*(Dollars In Thousands)***June 30, 2009**

| Deal Name | Class/ Tranche | Credit Rating | Credit Rating at issuing | Number of Banks | Book Value | Fair Value | Deferrals/Defaults Amount | Percent of Deal | Excess Subordination | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Amount | Percent of Deal |
| Single-issuer | | | | | | | | | | |
| BankAmerica Cap | n/a | BBB | A+ | 1 | \$ 3,079 | \$ 2,329 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| BankBoston Cap | n/a | AA | A+ | 1 | 4,901 | 2,956 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Chase Capital II | n/a | A | A | 1 | 3,618 | 2,128 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| CoreStates Capital I | n/a | A | A+ | 1 | 2,933 | 1,498 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| First Chicago NDB CA | n/a | A | A | 1 | 1,435 | 840 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| JPMorgan Chase Cap X | n/a | A | A | 1 | 5,013 | 2,818 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| NB-Global | n/a | BBB | A+ | 1 | 20,751 | 12,486 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| NTC Capital I Float | n/a | A | A2 | 1 | 4,006 | 2,119 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| SunTrust Banks | n/a | BBB | A | 1 | 4,940 | 2,625 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Wachovia Cap II | n/a | A | A+ | 1 | 4,876 | 2,712 | None | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | | | | | \$ 55,552 | \$ 32,511 | | | | |
| Pooled | | | | | | | | | | |
| PreTSL X | B1 | CC | A | 58 | \$ 8,779 | \$ 4,436 | \$ 126,625 | 32.5% | \$ 14,000 | 4.0% |
| PreTSL XII | B1 | CC | A | 79 | 19,551 | 10,006 | 142,600 | 22.4% | 48,500 | 8.0% |
| PreTSL XIV | B1 | CC | A | 64 | 9,000 | 6,439 | 51,000 | 11.9% | 77,000 | 18.0% |
| PreTSL XVI | C | CC | A | 50 | 4,024 | 914 | 111,910 | 22.5% | 57,500 | 12.0% |
| PreTSL XXII | C1 | CC | A | 82 | 12,631 | 1,293 | 231,500 | 20.0% | 182,500 | 16.0% |
| PreTSL XXIII | C1 | CCC | A | 70 | 7,934 | 2,480 | 191,500 | 16.0% | 250,000 | 21.0% |
| PreTSL XXVI | C1 | CC | A | 64 | 6,984 | 395 | 159,000 | 19.7% | 125,000 | 16.0% |
| SLOSO 2007 1A | A3L | CC | A | 56 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 52,500 | 11.1% | 140 | 0.0% |
| TRAPEZA SER 13A | D | C | A | 63 | 20,123 | 943 | 66,000 | 9.7% | 1,263 | 0.2% |
| | | | | | \$ 90,270 | \$ 28,150 | | | | |

The collateral underlying the pooled trust preferred securities is comprised of 86% of bank trust preferred securities and subordinated debt issuances of over 580 banks nationwide. The remaining collateral is from insurance companies and real estate investment trusts.

The table below provides a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized in earnings for debt securities held and not intended to be sold:

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 |
|---|---|---|
| | Estimated credit losses, beginning balance* | 19,707 |
| Additions for credit losses not previously recognized | 3,370 | 3,370 |
| Reduction for increases in cash flows | | |
| Reduction for realized losses | | |

| | | | | |
|---|----|--------|----|--------|
| Estimated credit losses as of June 30, 2009 | \$ | 23,077 | \$ | 23,077 |
|---|----|--------|----|--------|

* The beginning balance includes credit related losses included in other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized on debt securities in prior periods.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company adopted FSP FAS 115-2, FAS 124-2 and EITF 99-20-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment which amended the assessment criteria for recognizing and measuring OTTI related to debt securities. It also amends the presentation requirements for OTTI and significantly impacted disclosures of all investment securities. In 2008, \$14.47 million in pre-tax other-than-temporary impairment charges related to a non-Agency Alt-A mortgage-backed security were recognized, of which \$4.25 million was credit related. As a result of the adoption in the first quarter of 2009, the Company made a cumulative effect adjustment to increase retained earnings and decrease AOCI by

- 16 -

Table of Contents

approximately \$6.13 million, net of tax. The cumulative effect adjustment represented the non-credit related portion of OTTI losses recognized in prior year earnings, net of tax. In 2008, the Company also recognized impairment charges of \$15.46 million on a 2007 pooled trust preferred security. The Company determined that it was appropriate not to make a cumulative effect adjustment for that security.

For equity securities, the Company reviews for OTTI based upon the prospects of the underlying companies, analyst expectations, and certain other qualitative factors. During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized OTTI charges on certain of its equity positions of \$406 thousand and \$615 thousand, respectively.

As a condition to membership in the FHLB system, the Company is required to subscribe to a minimum level of stock in the FHLB of Atlanta (FHLBA). The Company feels this ownership position provides access to relatively inexpensive wholesale and overnight funding. As per AICPA guidance, the Company accounts for FHLBA and Federal Reserve Bank stock as a long-term investment in other assets. At June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company owned approximately \$12.81 million and \$13.17 million in FHLBA stock, respectively, which is classified as other assets. The Company's policy is to review for impairment at each reporting period, similar to our policy for other cost method investments under SOP 01-6 and FSP FAS 115-1. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the FHLB repurchased excess activity-based stock from the Company and has recently reinstated quarterly dividends. At June 30, 2009, FHLBA was in compliance with all of its regulatory capital requirements. Based on our review, we believe that, as of June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, our FHLBA stock was not impaired.

Note 5. Loans

Loans, net of unearned income, consist of the following:

| <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|---|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural | \$ 83,873 | 6.61% | \$ 85,034 | 6.55% |
| Real estate commercial | 410,473 | 32.33% | 407,638 | 31.40% |
| Real estate construction | 112,361 | 8.85% | 130,610 | 10.06% |
| Real estate residential | 594,646 | 46.85% | 602,573 | 46.42% |
| Consumer | 62,077 | 4.89% | 66,258 | 5.10% |
| Other | 6,014 | 0.47% | 6,046 | 0.47% |
| Total | \$ 1,269,443 | 100.00% | \$ 1,298,159 | 100.00% |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 802 | | \$ 1,024 | |

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk beyond the amount recognized on the balance sheet. The contractual amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments. The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is not a violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's

creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparties. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit and written financial guarantees are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. To the extent deemed necessary, collateral of varying types and amounts is held to secure customer performance under certain of those letters of credit outstanding.

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk are commitments to extend credit (including availability of lines of credit) of \$209.60 million and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written of \$2.14 million at June 30, 2009. Additionally, the Company had gross notional amount of outstanding commitments to lend related to secondary market mortgage loans of \$7.31 million at June 30, 2009.

- 17 -

Table of Contents**Note 6. Allowance for Loan Losses**

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level sufficient to absorb probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by charges to earnings in the form of provision for loan losses and recoveries of prior loan charge-offs, and decreased by loans charged off. The provision is calculated to bring the allowance to a level which, according to a systematic process of measurement, reflects the amount management estimates is needed to absorb probable losses within the portfolio.

Management performs periodic assessments to determine the appropriate level of allowance. Differences between actual loan loss experience and estimates are reflected through adjustments that are made by either increasing or decreasing the loss provision based upon current measurement criteria. Commercial, consumer and mortgage loan portfolios are evaluated separately for purposes of determining the allowance. The specific components of the allowance include allocations to individual commercial credits and allocations to the remaining non-homogeneous and homogeneous pools of loans. Management's allocations are based on judgment of qualitative and quantitative factors about both macro and micro economic conditions reflected within the portfolio of loans and the economy as a whole. Factors considered in this evaluation include, but are not necessarily limited to, probable losses from loan and other credit arrangements, general economic conditions, changes in credit concentrations or pledged collateral, historical loan loss experience, and trends in portfolio volume, maturities, composition, delinquencies, and non-accruals. While management has allocated the allowance for loan losses to various portfolio segments, the entire allowance is available for use against any type of loan loss deemed appropriate by management.

The following table details the Company's allowance for loan loss activity for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 (Restated) | 2008 | 2009 (Restated) | 2008 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 18,420 | \$ 12,862 | \$ 17,782 | \$ 12,833 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,552 | 937 | 4,700 | 1,260 |
| Charge-offs | (2,681) | (1,198) | (4,411) | (2,164) |
| Recoveries | 252 | 832 | 472 | 1,504 |
| Ending balance | \$ 18,543 | \$ 13,433 | \$ 18,543 | \$ 13,433 |

Note 7. Deposits

The following is a summary of interest-bearing deposits by type as of June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | \$ 195,905 | \$ 185,117 |
| Savings and money market deposits | 311,435 | 309,577 |
| Certificates of deposit | 837,475 | 809,352 |
| Total | \$ 1,344,815 | \$ 1,304,046 |

Table of Contents**Note 8. Borrowings**

The following schedule details the Company's Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings and other indebtedness at June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| FHLB borrowings | \$ 175,000 | \$ 200,000 |
| Subordinated debt | 15,464 | 15,464 |
| Other long-term debt | 399 | 413 |
| Total | \$ 190,863 | \$ 215,877 |

FHLB borrowings included \$175.00 million in convertible and callable advances at June 30, 2009. The weighted average interest rate of advances was 2.70% and 3.70% at June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively. The Company has entered into a derivative interest rate swap instrument where it receives LIBOR-based variable interest payments and pays fixed interest payments. The notional amount of the derivative swap is \$50.00 million and effectively fixes a portion of the FHLB borrowings at approximately 4.34%. After considering the effect of the interest rate swap, the effective weighted average interest rate of all FHLB borrowings was 3.73% at June 30, 2009. The fair value of the interest rate swap was a liability of \$2.75 million at June 30, 2009.

At June 30, 2009, the FHLB advances have approximate contractual maturities between seven and twelve years. The scheduled maturities of the advances are as follows:

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | Amount |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 2009 | \$ |
| 2010 | |
| 2011 | |
| 2012 | |
| 2013 | |
| 2014 and thereafter | 175,000 |
| Total | \$ 175,000 |

The callable advances may be redeemed at quarterly intervals after various lockout periods. These call options may substantially shorten the lives of these instruments. If these advances are called, the debt may be paid in full, converted to another FHLB credit product, or converted to a fixed or adjustable rate advance. Prepayment of the advances may result in substantial penalties based upon the differential between contractual note rates and current advance rates for similar maturities. Advances from the FHLB are secured by stock in the FHLB of Atlanta, qualifying loans, mortgage-backed securities, and certain other securities.

Also included in other indebtedness is \$15.46 million of junior subordinated debentures (the Debentures) issued by the Company in October 2003 to an unconsolidated trust subsidiary, FCBI Capital Trust (the Trust), with an interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.95%. The Trust was able to purchase the Debentures through the issuance of trust preferred securities which had substantially identical terms as the Debentures. The Debentures mature on October 8, 2033, and are currently callable.

The Company has committed to irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee the following payments or distributions with respect to the preferred securities to the holders thereof to the extent that the Trust has not made such payments or distributions: (i) accrued and unpaid distributions, (ii) the redemption price, and (iii) upon a dissolution or termination of the trust, the lesser of the liquidation amount and all accrued and unpaid distributions and the amount of assets of the trust remaining available for distribution, in each case to the extent the Trust has funds available.

Table of Contents**Note 9. Net Periodic Benefit Cost-Defined Benefit Plans**

The following sets forth the components of the net periodic benefit cost of the domestic non-contributory defined benefit plan for the three- and six- month periods ended June 30, 2009.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| Service cost | \$ 53 | \$ 106 |
| Interest cost | 47 | 94 |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 100 | \$ 200 |

Note 10. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is the total of net income and other comprehensive income and loss. The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive income and loss.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 (Restated) | 2008 |
| Net income | \$ 2,405 | \$ 6,238 | \$ 7,597 | \$ 12,550 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | |
| Unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale with other-than-temporary impairment | (25,169) | | (25,378) | |
| Unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale without other-than-temporary impairment | 32,979 | | 20,716 | |
| Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale prior to adoption of FSP115-2 | | (22,138) | | (36,052) |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) realized in net income | (360) | (460) | (585) | (1,350) |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on derivative securities | 326 | 1,562 | 565 | (22) |
| Income tax effect | (2,955) | 8,415 | 1,779 | 14,969 |
| Total other comprehensive income/(loss) | 4,821 | (12,622) | (2,903) | (22,454) |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | \$ 7,226 | \$ (6,384) | \$ 4,694 | \$ (9,904) |

Included in accumulated other comprehensive income at June 30, 2009 was \$26.05 million related to non-credit impairment in available-for-sale securities with other-than-temporary impairment.

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is a defendant in various legal actions and asserted claims. While the Company and its legal counsel are unable to assess the ultimate outcome of each of these matters with certainty, the resolution of these actions, singly or in the aggregate, should not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

Note 12. Segment Information

The Company operates in two segments: Community Banking and Insurance Services. The Community Banking segment includes both commercial and consumer lending and deposit services. This segment provides customers with

such products as commercial loans, real estate loans, business financing and consumer loans. This segment also provides customers with several choices of deposit products including demand deposit accounts, savings accounts and certificates of deposit. In addition, the Community Banking segment provides wealth management services to a broad range of customers. The Insurance Services segment is a full-service insurance agency providing commercial and personal lines of insurance.

- 20 -

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information about the reportable operating segments and reconciliation of this information to the consolidated financial statements at and for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | Total |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | Community Banking | Insurance Services | Parent/ Elimination | |
| Net interest income | \$ 16,343 | \$ (16) | \$ (6) | \$ 16,321 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,552 | | | 2,552 |
| Noninterest income | 6,613 | 1,665 | (2,656) | 5,622 |
| Noninterest expense | 17,595 | 1,474 | (2,926) | 16,143 |
| Income before income taxes | 2,809 | 175 | 264 | 3,248 |
| Provision for income taxes | 429 | 51 | 363 | 843 |
| Net income | \$ 2,380 | \$ 124 | \$ (99) | \$ 2,405 |
| End of period goodwill and other intangibles | \$ 78,506 | \$ 11,028 | \$ | 89,534 |
| End of period assets (restated) | \$ 2,174,946 | \$ 11,252 | \$ 15,847 | 2,202,045 |

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | Total (Restated) |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Community Banking (Restated) | Insurance Services | Parent/ Elimination | |
| Net interest income | \$ 32,835 | \$ (34) | \$ (47) | \$ 32,754 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,700 | | | 4,700 |
| Noninterest income | 12,737 | 4,009 | (415) | 16,331 |
| Noninterest expense | 31,177 | 3,112 | (667) | 33,622 |
| Income before income taxes | 9,695 | 863 | 205 | 10,763 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,338 | 254 | 574 | 3,166 |
| Net income | \$ 7,357 | \$ 609 | \$ (369) | \$ 7,597 |
| End of period goodwill and other intangibles | \$ 78,506 | \$ 11,028 | \$ | 89,534 |
| End of period assets | \$ 2,174,946 | \$ 11,252 | \$ 15,847 | 2,202,045 |

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | Total |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | Community Banking | Insurance Services | Parent/ Elimination | |
| Net interest income | \$ 16,840 | \$ (5) | \$ (210) | \$ 16,625 |
| Provision for loan losses | 937 | | | 937 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC /NV/ - Form 10-Q/A

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Noninterest income | 6,644 | 1,146 | (66) | 7,724 |
| Noninterest expense | 14,046 | 991 | (278) | 14,759 |
| Income before income taxes | 8,501 | 150 | 2 | 8,653 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,369 | 44 | 2 | 2,415 |
| Net income | \$ 6,132 | \$ 106 | \$ | \$ 6,238 |
| End of period goodwill and other intangibles | \$ 62,247 | \$ 8,934 | \$ | 71,181 |
| End of period assets | \$ 2,034,670 | \$ 9,067 | \$ 9,950 | 2,053,687 |

- 21 -

Table of Contents

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | Community Banking | Insurance Services | Parent/ Elimination | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 33,475 | \$ (11) | \$ (479) | \$ 32,985 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,260 | | | 1,260 |
| Noninterest income | 14,638 | 2,490 | (263) | 16,865 |
| Noninterest expense | 29,838 | 2,037 | (833) | 31,042 |
| Income before income taxes | 17,015 | 442 | 91 | 17,548 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4,801 | 130 | 67 | 4,998 |
| Net income | \$ 12,214 | \$ 312 | \$ 24 | \$ 12,550 |
| End of period goodwill and other intangibles | \$ 62,247 | \$ 8,934 | \$ | 71,181 |
| End of period assets | \$ 2,034,670 | \$ 9,067 | \$ 9,950 | 2,053,687 |

Note 13. Fair Value Disclosures

SFAS 157, as amended, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal, or most advantageous, market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are (i) independent, (ii) knowledgeable, (iii) able to transact, and (iv) willing to transact.

SFAS 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- Level 1 Inputs Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Inputs Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, and credit risks, or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3 Inputs Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below. These valuation methodologies were applied to all of the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities carried at fair value. In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available,

fair value is based upon third party models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, the Company's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Company's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Company's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different

- 22 -

Table of Contents

methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Securities Available-for-Sale: Securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 inputs. Securities are classified as Level 1 within the valuation hierarchy when quoted prices are available in an active market. This includes securities whose value is based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets. The Company also uses Level 1 inputs for the valuation of equity securities traded in active markets.

Securities are classified as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy when the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information, and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things. Level 2 inputs are used to value U.S. Agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, municipal securities, single-issue trust preferred securities, and certain equity securities that are not actively traded.

Securities are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy in certain cases when there is limited activity or less transparency to the valuation inputs. These securities include pooled trust preferred securities. In the absence of observable or corroborated market data, internally developed estimates that incorporate market-based assumptions are used when such information is available. The Level 3 inputs used to value our pooled trust preferred security holdings are weighted between discounted cash flow model results and actual trades of the same and similar securities in the inactive trust preferred market. The cash flow modeling uses discount rates based upon observable market expectations, known defaults and deferrals, projected future defaults and deferrals, and projected prepayments to arrive at fair value.

Fair value models may be required when trading activity has declined significantly or does not exist, prices are not current or pricing variations are significant. The Company's fair value from third party models utilize modeling software that uses market participant data and knowledge of the structures of each individual security to develop cash flows specific to each security. The fair values of the securities are determined by using the cash flows developed by the fair value model and applying appropriate market observable discount rates. The discount rates are developed by determining credit spreads above a benchmark rate, such as LIBOR, and adding premiums for illiquidity developed based on a comparison of initial issuance spread to LIBOR versus a financial sector curve for recently issued debt to LIBOR. Specific securities that have increased uncertainty regarding the receipt of cash flows are discounted at higher rates due to the addition of a deal specific credit premium. Finally, internal fair value model pricing and external pricing observations are combined by assigning weights to each pricing observation. Pricing is reviewed for reasonableness based on the direction of the specific markets and the general economic indicators.

Subsequent to our earnings release issued on July 23, 2009, management revised certain assumptions regarding the discount rate used in valuing cash flows projected to be received from a pooled trust preferred security. Based on the revised cash flow results, net credit-related impairment reported in earnings increased from the amount reported in the earnings release by \$1.70 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009. The numbers presented in this Form 10-Q/A reflect the revised cash flow results.

Other Assets and Associated Liabilities: Securities held for trading purposes are recorded at fair value and included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Securities held for trading purposes include assets related to employee deferred compensation plans. The assets associated with these plans are generally invested in equities and classified as Level 1. Deferred compensation liabilities, also classified as Level 1, are carried at the fair value of the obligation to the employee, which corresponds to the fair value of the invested assets.

Derivatives: Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The Company obtains dealer quotations based on observable data to value its derivatives.

Impaired Loans: Certain impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on appraisals adjusted for customized discounting criteria.

Other Real Estate Owned. The fair value of the Company's other real estate owned is determined using current and prior appraisals, estimates of costs to sell, and proprietary qualitative adjustments. Accordingly, other real estate

owned is stated at a Level 3 fair value.

- 23 -

Table of Contents

The following table shows a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs.

| | Available-for-Sale Securities | |
|---|---|---|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 |
| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 22,705 | \$ 28,067 |
| Total gains or loss (realized/unrealized) | | |
| Included in earnings | (3,370) | (3,370) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 8,815 | 1,307 |
| Paydowns and maturities | | (33) |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | 2,179 |
| Ending balance June 30, 2009 | \$ 28,150 | \$ 28,150 |

Certain financial and non-financial assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, for example, when there is evidence of impairment. Items subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments at June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, are as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | | | Total Fair Value |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | |
| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$3,379 | \$3,379 |
| Other real estate owned | | | 3,615 | 3,615 |

| | December 31, 2008 | | | Total Fair Value |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | |
| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$5,980 | \$5,980 |

- 25 -

Table of Contents**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate the value is based upon the characteristics of the instruments and relevant market information. Financial instruments include cash, evidence of ownership in an entity, or contracts that convey or impose on an entity that contractual right or obligation to either receive or deliver cash for another financial instrument. Fair value is the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced sale or liquidation, and is best evidenced by a quoted market price if one exists.

| | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 144,449 | \$ 144,449 | \$ 46,439 | \$ 46,439 |
| Investment Securities | 529,604 | 529,725 | 529,393 | 529,525 |
| Loans held for sale | 802 | 802 | 1,024 | 1,026 |
| Loans held for investment (restated) | 1,250,900 | 1,248,044 | 1,280,377 | 1,274,675 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 8,934 | 8,934 | 10,084 | 10,084 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 40,272 | 40,272 | 40,784 | 40,784 |
| Derivative financial assets | 23 | 23 | 39 | 39 |
| Deferred compensation assets | 2,509 | 2,509 | 2,637 | 2,637 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$ 202,543 | \$ 202,543 | \$ 199,712 | \$ 199,712 |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 195,905 | 195,905 | 185,117 | 185,117 |
| Savings deposits | 311,435 | 311,435 | 309,577 | 309,577 |
| Time deposits | 837,475 | 851,933 | 809,352 | 824,068 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 153,804 | 161,317 | 165,914 | 177,454 |
| Accrued interest payable | 4,659 | 4,659 | 5,326 | 5,326 |
| FHLB and other indebtedness | 190,863 | 203,351 | 215,877 | 242,223 |
| Derivative financial liabilities | 2,792 | 2,792 | 3,343 | 3,343 |
| Deferred compensation liabilities | 2,509 | 2,509 | 2,637 | 2,637 |

The following summary presents the methodologies and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Company's financial instruments presented below. The information used to determine fair value is highly subjective and judgmental in nature and, therefore, the results may not be precise. Subjective factors include, among other things, estimates of cash flows, risk characteristics, credit quality, and interest rates, all of which are subject to change. Since the fair value is estimated as of the balance sheet date, the amounts that will actually be realized or paid upon settlement or maturity on these various instruments could be significantly different.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: The book values of cash and due from banks and federal funds sold and purchased are considered to be equal to fair value as a result of the short-term nature of these items.

Investment Securities and Deferred Compensation Assets and Liabilities: Fair values are determined in the same manner as previously disclosed.

Loans: The estimated fair value of loans held for investment is measured based upon discounted future cash flows using current rates for similar loans. Loans held for sale are recorded at lower of cost or estimated fair value. The fair value of loans held for sale is determined based upon the market sales price of similar loans.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable: The book value is considered to be equal to the fair value due to the short-term nature of instrument.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance: Fair value is determined by stated contract values.

Derivative Financial Instruments: The estimated fair value of derivative financial instruments is based upon the current market price for similar instruments.

Deposits and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase: Deposits without a stated maturity, including demand, interest-bearing demand, and savings accounts, are reported at their carrying value in accordance with SFAS 107. No value has

- 26 -

Table of Contents

been assigned to the franchise value of these deposits. For other types of deposits and repurchase agreements with fixed maturities and rates, fair value has been estimated by discounting future cash flows based on interest rates currently being offered on instruments with similar characteristics and maturities.

FHLB and Other Indebtedness: Fair value has been estimated based on interest rates currently available to the Company for borrowings with similar characteristics and maturities.

Commitments to Extend Credit, Standby Letters of Credit, and Financial Guarantees: The amount of off-balance sheet commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees is considered equal to fair value. Because of the uncertainty involved in attempting to assess the likelihood and timing of commitments being drawn upon, coupled with the lack of an established market and the wide diversity of fee structures, the Company does not believe it is meaningful to provide an estimate of fair value that differs from the given value of the commitment.

Note 14. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company, through its mortgage banking and risk management operations, is party to various derivative instruments that are used for asset and liability management and customers' financing needs. Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet.

The primary derivatives that the Company uses are interest rate swaps and interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs). Generally, these instruments help the Company manage exposure to market risk and meet customer financing needs. Market risk represents the possibility that economic value or net interest income will be adversely affected by fluctuations in external factors, such as interest rates, market-driven loan rates and prices or other economic factors. The following table presents the aggregate contractual, or notional, amounts of derivative financial instruments as of the dates indicated:

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | June 30, 2008 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Interest rate swap | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 50,000 |
| IRLCs | 7,314 | 10,500 | 7,201 |

As of June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, the fair values of the Company's derivatives were as follows:

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | Asset Derivatives December 31, 2008 | | June 30, 2008 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedges | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | Other assets | \$ | Other assets | \$ | Other assets | \$ |
| Total | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| Derivatives not designated as hedges | | | | | | |
| IRLCs | Other assets | \$ 23 | Other assets | \$ 39 | Other assets | \$ 19 |
| Total | | \$ 23 | | \$ 39 | | \$ 19 |

| | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Total derivatives | \$ 23 | \$ 39 | \$ 19 |
| | - 27 - | | |

Table of Contents

| | June 30, 2009 | | Liability Derivatives December 31, 2008 | | June 30, 2008 | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | | | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedges | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | Other liabilities | \$ 2,745 | Other liabilities | \$ 3,327 | Other liabilities | \$ 1,342 |
| Total | | \$ 2,745 | | \$ 3,327 | | \$ 1,342 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedges | | | | | | |
| IRLC s | Other liabilities | \$ 47 | Other liabilities | \$ 16 | Other liabilities | \$ 46 |
| Total | | \$ 47 | | \$ 16 | | \$ 46 |
| Total derivatives | | \$ 2,792 | | \$ 3,343 | | \$ 1,388 |

Interest Rate Swaps. The Company uses interest rate swap contracts to modify its exposure to interest rate risk. The Company currently employs a cash flow hedging strategy to effectively convert certain floating-rate liabilities into fixed-rate instruments. The interest rate swap is accounted for under the short-cut method in SFAS 133. Changes in fair value of the interest rate swap are reported as a component of other comprehensive income. The Company does not currently employ fair value hedging strategies.

Interest Rate Lock Commitments. In the normal course of business, the Company sells originated mortgage loans into the secondary mortgage loan market. During the period of loan origination and prior to the sale of the loans in the secondary market, the Company has exposure to movements in interest rates associated with mortgage loans that are in the mortgage pipeline. A pipeline loan is one on which the potential borrower has set the interest rate for the loan by entering into an IRLC. Once a mortgage loan is closed and funded, it is included within loans held for sale and awaits sale and delivery into the secondary market. During the term of an IRLC, the Company has the risk that interest rates will change from the rate quoted to the borrower.

The Company's balance of mortgage loans held for sale is subject to changes in fair value, due to fluctuations in interest rates from the loan closing date through the date of sale of the loan into the secondary market. Typically, the fair value of the warehouse declines in value when interest rates increase and rises in value when interest rates decrease.

Effect of Derivatives and Hedging Activities on the Income Statement

For the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company has determined there was no amount of ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges. The following table details gains and losses recognized in income on non-designated hedging instruments under SFAS 133 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under | Location of Gain/(Loss) | Amount of Gain/(Loss) |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | Recognized in Income on Derivative |
| | | |

| SFAS 133 IRLC s | Recognized in Income on Derivative Other income | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|--------|
| | | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | \$ (107) | \$ (33) | \$ (77) | \$ (3) |
| Total | | \$ (107) | \$ (33) | \$ (77) | \$ (3) |

Counterparty Credit Risk. Like other financial instruments, derivatives contain an element of credit risk. Credit risk is the possibility that the Company will incur a loss because a counterparty, which may be a bank, a broker-dealer or a customer, fails to meet its contractual obligations. This risk is measured as the expected positive replacement value of contracts. All derivative contracts may be executed only with exchanges or counterparties approved by the Company's Asset/Liability Management Committee. The Company reviews its counterparty risk regularly and has determined that, as of June 30, 2009, there is no significant counterparty credit risk.

- 28 -

Table of Contents

Note 15. Subsequent Events

Subsequent events have been evaluated through August 13, 2009, the date of financial statement issuance.

On July 8, 2009, the Company redeemed all 41,500 outstanding shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, par value \$1 and liquidation preference \$1,000 per share, that were issued to the United States Department of the Treasury pursuant to the Troubled Asset Relief Program. The aggregate purchase price paid by the Company to the Treasury for the preferred stock was approximately \$41.81 million, including approximately \$305 thousand of accrued and unpaid dividends. The Company expects to recognize a deemed dividend of approximately \$972 thousand associated with the discount in the third quarter of 2009.

- 29 -

Table of Contents

PART I. ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis is provided to address information about the Company's financial condition and results of operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2008 Form 10-K/A and the other financial information included in this report.

The following information has been adjusted to reflect the restatement of our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2008, and the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009, which is more fully described in the

Explanatory Note immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and in Note 2. Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A.

The Company is a multi-state financial holding company headquartered in Bluefield, Virginia, with total assets of \$2.20 billion at June 30, 2009. Through its community bank subsidiary, First Community Bank, N. A. (the Bank), the Company provides financial, trust and investment advisory services to individuals and commercial customers through more than fifty locations in Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee. The Company is also the parent of GreenPoint Insurance Group, Inc., a North Carolina-based full-service insurance agency offering commercial and personal lines (GreenPoint). The Bank is the parent of Investment Planning Consultants, Inc. (IPC), a registered investment advisory firm that offers wealth management and investment advice. The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol, FCBC.

Restatement

As a result of a routine internal audit, the Company determined there was a computational error in the model that it uses to calculate the quantitative basis for its allowance for loan losses. In connection with its determination of the appropriate loan loss reserve at December 31, 2008, the Company made certain modifications to its loan loss reserve model with respect to a \$130.76 million pool of loans. However, in calculating the loan loss reserves for this pool of loans, the historical quarterly net charge-off rates were not annualized as was the case with all other quarterly loss rates in the model. The Company has corrected the computational error in its model for calculating the allowance for loan losses. The financial information for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 provided in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations has been restated to reflect the correction of the computational error. For additional information, see the Explanatory Note immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and Note 2. Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in its filings with the SEC (including this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A and the Exhibits hereto and thereto), in its reports to stockholders and in other communications which are made in good faith by the Company pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements with respect to the Company's beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, guidelines, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors (many of which are beyond the Company's control). The words may, could, should, would, believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, intend, plan, expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We caution that the forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations and are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that are subject to change based on factors which are, in many instances, beyond our control. Actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, or implied by the forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, could cause our financial performance to differ materially from that expressed in such forward-looking statements:

The strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct operations;

Geopolitical conditions, including acts or threats of terrorism, actions taken by the United States or other governments in response to acts or threats of terrorism and/or military conflicts, which could impact business and economic conditions in the United States and abroad;

The effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board);

Inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations;

The timely development of competitive new products and services and the acceptance of these products and services by new and existing customers;

The willingness of users to substitute competitors products and services for our products and services;

The impact of changes in financial services policies, laws and regulations, including laws, regulations and policies concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance, and the application thereof by regulatory bodies;

Technological changes;

The effect of acquisitions we may make, including, without limitation, the failure to achieve the expected revenue growth and/or expense savings from such acquisitions;

The growth and profitability of non-interest or fee income being less than expected;

Changes in the level of our non-performing assets and charge-offs;

The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted from time-to-time by bank regulatory agencies, the SEC, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the FASB or other accounting standards setters;

Possible other-than-temporary impairments of securities held by us;

The impact of current governmental efforts to restructure the U.S. financial regulatory system;

Changes in consumer spending and savings habits; and

Unanticipated regulatory or judicial proceedings.

Table of Contents

If one or more of the factors affecting our forward-looking information and statements proves incorrect, then our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, forward-looking information and statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A and other reports filed by us with the SEC. Therefore, we caution you not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking information and statements.

The Company cautions that the foregoing list of important factors is not exclusive. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company. These factors and other risks and uncertainties are discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, which revise certain risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's 2008 Form 10-K/A, and add certain new risk factors.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The Company's financial position and results of operations are affected by management's application of accounting policies, including judgments made to arrive at the carrying value of assets and liabilities and amounts reported for revenues, expenses and related disclosures. Different assumptions in the application of these policies could result in material changes in the Company's consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations.

Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary principally when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at estimated fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded based upon the probability of occurrence of a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based either on quoted market prices or are provided by third party sources, when available. When third party information is not available, valuation adjustments are estimated by management primarily through the use of internal modeling techniques and appraisal estimates.

The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation. The disclosures presented in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and in Management's Discussion and Analysis provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has identified the accounting for and valuation of investment securities, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, accounting for acquisitions and intangible assets, and accounting for income taxes as the four accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments. The identified critical accounting policies are described in detail in the Company's 2008 Form 10-K/A.

COMPANY OVERVIEW

The Company is a financial holding company which operates within the five-state region of Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee. The Company operates through the Bank, IPC, and GreenPoint to offer a wide range of financial services. The Company reported total assets of \$2.20 billion at June 30, 2009.

The Company funds its lending activities primarily through the retail deposit operations of its branch banking network. Retail and wholesale repurchase agreements and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) provide additional funding as needed. The Company invests its funds primarily in loans to retail and commercial customers. In addition to loans, the Company invests a portion of its funds in various debt securities, including those of United States agencies, state and political subdivisions, and certain corporate notes and debt instruments. The Company also maintains overnight interest-bearing balances with the FHLB and correspondent banks. The difference between interest earned on assets and interest paid on liabilities is the Company's primary source of earnings. Net interest income is supplemented by fees for services, commissions on sales, and various deposit service charges. The Company also conducts asset management activities through the Bank's Trust and Financial Services Division (Trust Division) and its registered investment advisory firm, IPC. The Bank's Trust Division and IPC manage assets with an aggregate market value of \$811 million, as of June 30, 2009. These assets are not assets of the Company, but

are managed under various fee-based arrangements as fiduciary or agent.

- 31 -

Table of Contents

RECENT MARKET DEVELOPMENTS

The global and U.S. economies continue to experience significantly reduced business activity as a result of recessionary economic conditions and disruptions in the financial system. Dramatic declines in home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities and major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities but spreading to credit default swaps, other derivative securities, and to loan portfolios, have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail. Further adverse effects could have an adverse impact on the Company and its business.

MERGERS, ACQUISITIONS AND BRANCHING ACTIVITY

On April 2, 2009, the Company signed a definitive agreement providing for the acquisition of TriStone Community Bank (TriStone), a \$152.42 million state-chartered commercial bank headquartered in Winston-Salem, North Carolina. Under the terms of this merger agreement, subject to dissenter's rights, shares of TriStone were exchanged for .5262 shares of the company's common stock, resulting in a purchase price of approximately \$10.73 million. TriStone was merged with and into the Company's wholly-owned national bank subsidiary, First Community Bank, N.A., on July 31, 2009, and at acquisition, had total assets of approximately \$165.53 million, loans of approximately \$132.23 million, and deposits of approximately \$142.22 million.

On November 14, 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of Coddle Creek Financial Corp. (Coddle Creek), based in Mooresville, North Carolina. Coddle Creek had three full service locations in Mooresville, Cornelius, and Huntersville, North Carolina. At acquisition, Coddle Creek had total assets of approximately \$158.66 million, loans of approximately \$136.99 million, and deposits of approximately \$137.06 million. Under the terms of the merger agreement, shares of Coddle Creek were exchanged for .9046 shares of the Company's common stock and \$19.60 in cash, for a total purchase price of approximately \$32.29 million. As a result of the acquisition and purchase price allocation, approximately \$14.41 million in goodwill was recorded, which represents the excess purchase price over the fair market value of the net assets acquired and identified intangibles.

Since January 1, 2008, GreenPoint has acquired a total of five agencies, issuing cash consideration of approximately \$2.04 million. Acquisition terms in all instances call for additional cash consideration if certain operating performance targets are met. If those targets are met, the value of the consideration ultimately paid will be added to the cost of the acquisitions. Goodwill and other intangibles associated with GreenPoint's acquisitions total approximately \$2.04 million.

The Company opened a new branch location in Grafton, West Virginia, in June 2009 and in Richmond, Virginia, in July 2009.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Net income available to common shareholders for the three months ended June 30, 2009, was \$1.83 million, or \$0.14 per basic and diluted share, compared with \$6.24 million, or \$0.57 per basic and \$0.56 per diluted share, for the three months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of \$4.41 million, or 70.71%. Return on average assets was 0.34% for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared with 1.23% for the same period in 2008. Return on average common equity for the three months ended June 30, 2009, was 3.85% compared with 12.08% for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The main reasons for the decrease in net income between the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, were increased provisions for loan losses, net securities impairments, and a special assessment by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) of \$988 thousand.

Net income available to common shareholders for the six months ended June 30, 2009, was \$6.45 million, or \$0.53 per basic and diluted share, compared with \$12.55 million, or \$1.14 per basic and \$1.13 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of \$6.10 million, or 48.62%. Return on average assets was 0.60% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with 1.22% for the same period in 2008. Return on average common equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009, was 7.08% compared with 11.87% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The main reasons for the decrease in net income between the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, were increased provisions for loan losses, net securities impairments, and a special assessment by the FDIC.

Net Interest Income Quarterly Comparison (See Table I)

Net interest income, the largest contributor to earnings, was \$16.32 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared with \$16.63 million for the corresponding period in 2008, a decrease of \$304 thousand, or 1.83%.

Tax-equivalent net interest income totaled \$17.09 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$633 thousand, or 3.57%, from \$17.73 million for the second quarter of 2008. The decrease in tax-equivalent net interest income was due primarily to

- 32 -

Table of Contents

decreases in loan and investment yields as a result of the precipitous declines in benchmark interest rates, including the Prime Rate, since late 2007.

Compared with the second quarter of 2008, average earning assets increased \$75.08 million while interest-bearing liabilities increased \$120.56 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009. The changes include the impact of the Coddle Creek acquisition in November 2008. The yield on average earning assets decreased 60 basis points to 5.71% from 6.31% between the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Total cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 42 basis points between the second quarters of 2008 and 2009, which resulted in a net interest rate spread that was 17 basis points lower at 3.42% for the second quarter of 2009 compared with 3.59% for the same period last year. The Company's tax-equivalent net interest margin of 3.62% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 decreased 30 basis points from 3.92% for the same period of 2008.

The rate earned on loans decreased 59 basis points to 6.19% from 6.78% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The effect of the cuts in the target federal funds rate by the Federal Open Market Committee and the associated decline in the Prime rate had a profound impact on loan yields throughout 2008 and 2009 and when combined with the addition of Coddle Creek resulted in a net decrease of \$324 thousand, or 1.63%, in tax-equivalent loan interest income for the second quarter of 2009 compared with the second quarter of 2008.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the tax-equivalent yield on available-for-sale securities decreased 28 basis points to 5.16%, while the average balance decreased by \$55.3 million, or 9.03%, compared with the same period in 2008. The decline in average balance was due largely to declines in the fair value of available-for-sale securities. The average balance of the held-to-maturity securities portfolio continued to decline as securities matured or were called and were not replaced.

Compared with the second quarter of 2008, average interest-bearing balances with banks increased to \$57.89 million during the second quarter of 2009, as the yield decreased 190 basis points to 0.27% during the same period.

Interest-bearing balances with banks are comprised largely of excess liquidity bearing overnight market rates. The rate earned on these overnight balances during the second quarter of 2009 decreased along with decreases in short-term benchmark interest rates. The Company maintained a strong liquidity position in the second quarter to balance the risks associated with the fed funds market and general economic conditions.

Compared with the same period in 2008, the average balances of interest-bearing demand deposits increased \$23.61 million, or 13.56%, while the average rate paid during the second quarter of 2009 increased by two basis points. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the average balances of savings deposits increased \$9.36 million, or 3.03%, while the average rate paid decreased 87 basis points compared to the same period in 2008. Average time deposits increased \$206.32 million, or 32.23%, while the average rate paid on time deposits decreased 63 basis points from 3.69% in the second quarter of 2008 to 3.06% in the second quarter of 2009. The level of average non-interest-bearing demand deposits decreased \$16.03 million, or 7.37%, to \$201.67 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared with the corresponding period of the prior year. The overall increase in the level of average deposits reflects the addition of Coddle Creek. Movements within the deposit types reflect customers seeking yield enhancement and safety within FDIC-insured products.

Retail repurchase agreements, which consist of collateralized retail deposits and commercial treasury accounts, decreased \$52.24 million, or 33.98%, to \$101.53 million for the second quarter of 2009 while the rate decreased 74 basis points to 1.32% during the same period. The decrease in average balance can be largely attributed to the customers converting retail repurchase agreements to certificates of deposit and businesses using cash during difficult economic times. There were no fed funds purchased on average during the second quarter of 2009, compared with \$9.93 million in the same period in 2008. Wholesale repurchase agreements remained unchanged at \$50.00 million, while the rate increased 201 basis points between the two periods due to structure within those borrowings. The average balance of FHLB borrowings and other long-term debt decreased by \$56.56 million, or 21.37%, in the second quarter of 2009 to \$208.10 million, while the rate paid on those borrowings decreased 15 basis points.

Net Interest Income Year-to-Date Comparison (See Table II)

Net interest income was \$32.75 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with \$32.99 million for the corresponding period in 2008, a decrease of \$231 thousand, or 0.70%. Tax-equivalent net interest income totaled \$34.44 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$774 thousand, or 2.20%, from \$35.22 million

for the first half of 2008. The decrease in tax-equivalent net interest income was due primarily to decreases in loan and investment yields as a result of the precipitous declines in benchmark interest rates, including the Prime Rate, since late 2007.

Compared with the first half of 2008, average earning assets increased \$49.20 million while interest-bearing liabilities increased \$106.47 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The changes include the impact of the Coddle Creek

Table of Contents

acquisition in November 2008. The yield on average earning assets decreased 63 basis points to 5.84% from 6.47% between the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Total cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased 61 basis points between the second quarters of 2008 and 2009, which resulted in a net interest rate spread that was two basis points lower at 3.47% for the first half of 2009 compared with 3.49% for the same period last year. The Company's tax-equivalent net interest margin of 3.68% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 decreased 17 basis points from 3.85% for the same period of 2008.

The rate earned on loans decreased 71 basis points to 6.23% from 6.94% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The effect of the cuts in the target federal funds rate by the Federal Open Market Committee and the associated decline in the Prime rate had a profound impact on loan yields throughout 2008 and 2009, resulting in a net decrease of \$1.59 million, or 3.85%, in tax-equivalent loan interest income for the first half of 2009 compared with the first half of 2008.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the tax-equivalent yield on available-for-sale securities decreased 7 basis points to 5.55%, while the average balance decreased by \$82.52 million, or 13.36%, compared with the same period in 2008. The decline in average balance was due to declines in the fair value of available-for-sale securities. The average balance of the held-to-maturity securities portfolio continued to decline as securities matured or were called and were not replaced.

Compared with the first half of 2008, average interest-bearing balances with banks increased to \$65.71 million during the first half of 2009, as the yield decreased 258 basis points to 0.24% during the same period. Interest-bearing balances with banks is comprised largely of excess liquidity bearing overnight market rates. The rate earned on these overnight balances during the first half of 2009 decreased along with decreases in short-term benchmark interest rates. Compared with the same period in 2008, the average balances of interest-bearing demand deposits increased \$25.85 million, or 15.37%, while the average rate paid during the first half of 2009 remained constant with the same period of 2008 at 0.17%. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the average balances of savings deposits decreased \$2.56 million, or 0.80%, while the average rate paid decreased 92 basis points compared to the same period in 2008. Average time deposits increased \$195.82 million, or 29.83%, while the average rate paid on time deposits decreased 86 basis points from 4.00% in the first half of 2008 to 3.14% in the first half of 2009. The level of average non-interest-bearing demand deposits decreased \$14.84 million, or 6.89%, to \$200.50 million during the half ended June 30, 2009, compared with the corresponding period of the prior year. The overall increase in the level of average deposits reflects the addition of Coddle Creek. Movements within the deposit types reflect customers seeking yield enhancement within FDIC insured products.

Retail repurchase agreements, which consist of collateralized retail deposits and commercial treasury accounts, decreased \$47.69 million, or 31.44%, to \$103.98 million for the first half of 2009, while the rate decreased 100 basis points to 1.40% during the same period. The decrease in average balance can be largely attributed to the customers converting retail repurchase agreements to certificates of deposit and businesses using cash during difficult economic times. There were no fed funds purchased on average during the first half of 2009, compared with \$5.88 million in the same period in 2008. Wholesale repurchase agreements remained unchanged at \$50.00 million, while the rate increased 117 basis points between the two periods. The average balance of FHLB borrowings and other long-term debt decreased by \$59.07 million, or 21.83%, in the first half of 2009 to \$211.51 million, while the rate paid on those borrowings decreased 36 basis points.

Table of Contents**Table I****AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS AND NET INTEREST INCOME ANALYSIS**

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | Average Balance (Restated) | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate (1) | Average Balance | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate (1) |
| <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Earning Assets | | | | | | |
| Loans (2) | \$ 1,269,584 | \$ 19,588 | 6.19% | \$ 1,180,813 | \$ 19,912 | 6.78% |
| Securities available for sale | 557,110 | 7,169 | 5.16% | 612,411 | 8,278 | 5.44% |
| Securities held to maturity | 7,824 | 164 | 8.40% | 10,927 | 273 | 10.05% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 57,885 | 39 | 0.27% | 13,171 | 71 | 2.17% |
| Total Earning Assets | 1,892,403 | 26,960 | 5.71% | 1,817,322 | 28,534 | 6.31% |
| Other assets | 287,211 | | | 228,451 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 2,179,614 | | | \$ 2,045,773 | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$ 197,710 | \$ 81 | 0.17% | \$ 174,100 | \$ 65 | 0.15% |
| Savings deposits | 317,700 | 540 | 0.68% | 308,344 | 1,188 | 1.55% |
| Time deposits | 846,560 | 6,454 | 3.06% | 640,236 | 5,865 | 3.68% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,361,970 | 7,076 | 2.08% | 1,122,680 | 7,118 | 2.55% |
| Borrowings | | | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | | | | 9,932 | 60 | 2.43% |
| Retail repurchase agreements | 101,525 | 333 | 1.32% | 153,768 | 789 | 2.06% |
| Wholesale repurchase agreements | 50,000 | 465 | 3.73% | 50,000 | 214 | 1.72% |
| FHLB borrowings and other indebtedness | 208,103 | 1,994 | 3.84% | 264,661 | 2,627 | 3.99% |
| Total borrowings | 359,627 | 2,792 | 3.11% | 478,361 | 3,690 | 3.10% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,721,597 | 9,868 | 2.30% | 1,601,041 | 10,808 | 2.72% |
| Non-interestbearing demand deposits | 201,670 | | | 217,704 | | |
| Other liabilities | 24,419 | | | 19,368 | | |
| Stockholders Equity | 231,928 | | | 207,660 | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 2,179,614 | | | \$ 2,045,773 | | |
| Net Interest Income, Tax Equivalent | | \$ 17,093 | | | \$ 17,726 | |
| Net Interest Rate Spread (3) | | | 3.42% | | | 3.59% |

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Net Interest Margin (4) | 3.62% | 3.92% |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|

(1) Fully Taxable Equivalent (FTE) at the rate of 35%. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefits of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory rate of 35% for each period presented. The Company believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

(2) Non-accrual loans are included in average balances outstanding but with no related interest income during the period of non-accrual.

(3) Represents the difference between the

yield on earning
assets and cost
of funds.

- (4) Represents tax
equivalent net
interest income
divided by
average
interest-earning
assets.

- 35 -

Table of Contents**Table II****AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS AND NET INTEREST INCOME ANALYSIS**

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | Average Balance (Restated) | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate (1) | Average Balance | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate (1) |
| <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | | | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Earning Assets | | | | | | |
| Loans (2) | \$ 1,280,394 | \$ 39,584 | 6.23% | \$ 1,193,147 | \$ 41,169 | 6.94% |
| Securities available for sale | 535,326 | 14,741 | 5.55% | 617,843 | 17,276 | 5.62% |
| Securities held to maturity | 8,147 | 336 | 8.31% | 11,501 | 515 | 9.00% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 65,713 | 78 | 0.24% | 17,887 | 251 | 2.82% |
| Total Earning Assets | 1,889,580 | 54,739 | 5.84% | 1,840,378 | 59,211 | 6.47% |
| Other assets | 288,115 | | | 228,202 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 2,177,695 | | | \$ 2,068,580 | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | \$ 193,983 | \$ 160 | 0.17% | \$ 168,138 | \$ 140 | 0.17% |
| Savings deposits | 315,146 | 1,196 | 0.77% | 317,702 | 2,675 | 1.69% |
| Time deposits | 852,258 | 13,287 | 3.14% | 656,440 | 13,044 | 4.00% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,361,387 | 14,643 | 2.17% | 1,142,280 | 15,859 | 2.79% |
| Borrowings | | | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | | | | 5,875 | 79 | 2.70% |
| Retail repurchase agreements | 103,983 | 723 | 1.40% | 151,675 | 1,809 | 2.40% |
| Wholesale repurchase agreements | 50,000 | 975 | 3.93% | 50,000 | 687 | 2.76% |
| FHLB borrowings and other indebtedness | 211,511 | 3,956 | 3.77% | 270,583 | 5,561 | 4.13% |
| Total borrowings | 365,494 | 5,655 | 3.12% | 478,133 | 8,136 | 3.42% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,726,881 | 20,298 | 2.37% | 1,620,413 | 23,995 | 2.98% |
| Non-interestbearing demand deposits | 200,497 | | | 215,338 | | |
| Other liabilities | 25,064 | | | 20,159 | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | 225,253 | | | 212,670 | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 2,177,695 | | | \$ 2,068,580 | | |
| Net Interest Income, Tax Equivalent | | \$ 34,442 | | | \$ 35,216 | |
| Net Interest Rate Spread (3) | | | 3.47% | | | 3.49% |

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Net Interest Margin (4) | 3.68% | 3.85% |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|

(1) Fully Taxable Equivalent (FTE) at the rate of 35%. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefits of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory rate of 35% for each period presented. The Company believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

(2) Non-accrual loans are included in average balances outstanding but with no related interest income during the period of non-accrual.

(3) Represents the difference between the

yield on earning
assets and cost
of funds.

- (4) Represents tax
equivalent net
interest income
divided by
average
interest-earning
assets.

- 36 -

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes in tax-equivalent interest earned and paid detailing the amounts attributable to (i) changes in volume (change in the average volume times the prior year's average rate), (ii) changes in rate (changes in the average rate times the prior year's average volume), and (iii) changes in rate/volume (change in the average column times the change in average rate)

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to 2008 \$ Increase/(Decrease) due to | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to 2008 \$ Increase/(Decrease) due to | | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | Volume | Rate | Rate/ Volume | Total | Volume | Rate | Rate/ Volume | Total |
| Interest Earned On: | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | \$ 1,501 | \$ (1,748) | \$ (77) | \$ (324) | \$ 3,002 | \$ (4,168) | \$ (419) | \$ (1,585) |
| Securities available-for-sale (1) | (750) | (420) | 61 | (1,109) | (2,301) | (215) | (19) | (2,535) |
| Securities held-to-maturity (1) | (78) | (45) | 13 | (109) | (150) | (39) | 10 | (179) |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks | 242 | (62) | (211) | (32) | 669 | (229) | (613) | (173) |
| Total interest-earning assets | 915 | (2,275) | (214) | (1,574) | 1,221 | (4,651) | (1,041) | (4,472) |
| Interest Paid On: | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 9 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 21 | (1) | (1) | 20 |
| Savings deposits | 36 | (667) | (17) | (648) | (21) | (1,462) | 4 | (1,479) |
| Time deposits | 1,895 | (1,000) | (306) | 589 | 3,880 | (2,774) | (864) | 243 |
| Fed funds purchased | (60) | | 0 | (60) | (79) | | (0) | (79) |
| Retail repurchase agreements | (269) | (287) | 100 | (456) | (567) | (749) | 231 | (1,086) |
| Wholesale repurchase agreement | | 250 | 1 | 251 | | 290 | (2) | 288 |
| FHLB borrowings and other long-term debt | (563) | (98) | 28 | (633) | (1,211) | (485) | 90 | (1,605) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,048 | (1,796) | (194) | (941) | 2,024 | (5,181) | (541) | (3,698) |
| Change in net interest income, tax-equivalent | \$ (133) | \$ (480) | \$ (21) | \$ (633) | \$ (803) | \$ 529 | \$ (500) | \$ (774) |

(1) Fully taxable equivalent using a rate of 35%.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

There was significant disruption and volatility in the financial and capital markets during 2008 and the first six months of 2009. Turmoil in the mortgage market adversely impacted both domestic and global markets, resulting in a credit and liquidity crisis. The disruption has been exacerbated by significant declines in valuations within the real estate and housing markets. Decreases in real estate values could adversely affect the value of property used as collateral for loans, including loans originated by the Company. In addition, adverse changes in the economy, particularly continued high rates of unemployment, may have a negative effect on the ability of the Company's borrowers to make timely loan payments, which would have an adverse impact on the Company's earnings. A further increase in loan delinquencies could adversely impact loan loss experience, causing potential increases in the provision and allowance for loan losses.

- 37 -

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan losses was \$18.54 million at June 30, 2009, \$17.78 million at December 31, 2008 and \$13.43 million at June 30, 2008. The Company's allowance for loan loss activity for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, is as follows:

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 (Restated) | 2008 | 2009 (Restated) | 2008 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 18,420 | \$ 12,862 | \$ 17,782 | \$ 12,833 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,552 | 937 | 4,700 | 1,260 |
| Charge-offs | (2,681) | (1,198) | (4,411) | (2,164) |
| Recoveries | 252 | 832 | 472 | 1,504 |
| Net charge-offs | (2,429) | (366) | (3,939) | (660) |
| Ending balance | \$ 18,543 | \$ 13,433 | \$ 18,543 | \$ 13,433 |

The total allowance for loan losses to loans held for investment ratio was 1.46% at June 30, 2009, compared with 1.37% at December 31, 2008, and 1.14% at June 30, 2008. Management considers the allowance adequate based upon its analysis of the portfolio as of June 30, 2009. Management believes that it uses relevant information available to make determinations about the allowance. If circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making determinations, adjustments to the allowance may be necessary and results of operations could be affected. Because events affecting borrowers and collateral charge-offs cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that increases to the allowance will not be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate.

Throughout the second quarter and first half of 2009, the Company incurred net charge-offs of \$2.43 million and \$3.94 million, respectively, compared with \$366 thousand and \$660 thousand in the respective periods of 2008.

Annualized net charge-offs for the second quarter and first half of 2009 were 0.77% and 0.62%, respectively. The Company made provisions for loan losses of \$2.55 million and \$4.70 million for the second quarter and first half of 2009, respectively, compared to \$937 thousand and \$1.26 million in the respective periods of 2008. The increase in loan loss provision is primarily attributable to rising loss factors as net charge-offs were higher than in 2008.

Qualitative risk factors were also higher, reflective of the higher risk of inherent loan losses due to rising unemployment, recessionary pressures, and devaluation of various categories of collateral.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income consists of all revenues that are not included in interest and fee income related to earning assets. Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2009 was \$5.62 million compared with \$7.72 million in the same period of 2008, a decrease of \$2.10 million, or 27.21%. Wealth management revenues increased \$35 thousand, or 3.19%, to \$1.13 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. IPC added several large accounts during 2008 and 2009, which offset revenue decline caused by asset devaluation. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$28 thousand, or 0.81%, to \$3.49 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. The increase is smaller than recent quarters' increases due to lower consumer spending and a generally higher rate of savings. Other service charges, commissions, and fees increased \$69 thousand, or 6.48%, to \$1.13 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. Insurance commissions for the second quarter of 2009 were \$1.64 million, an increase of \$493 thousand, or 43.02%, over 2008. Increased insurance commissions reflect revenue increases associated with agency acquisitions made by GreenPoint throughout 2008. Other operating income was \$349 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$454 thousand, or 56.54%, compared with the same period in 2008. Other operating income was down due largely to decreases in dividends on FHLB stock. At June 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$3.78 million of

other-than-temporary impairment on three pooled trust preferred securities and several smaller equity security holdings. During the second quarter of 2009, securities gains of \$1.65 million were realized, compared with a gain of \$150 thousand in the comparable period in 2008.

Noninterest income for the first half of 2009 was \$14.05 million compared with \$16.87 million in the same period of 2008, a decrease of \$2.82 million, or 16.72%. Wealth management revenues increased \$120 thousand, or 6.01%, to \$2.12 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. IPC added several large accounts during 2008 and 2009, which offset revenue decline caused by asset devaluation. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$86 thousand, or 1.31%, to \$6.65 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. The increase is smaller than recent increases due to lower consumer spending and a generally higher rate of savings. Other service charges, commissions, and fees increased \$126 thousand, or 5.77%, to \$2.31 million for the six months ended

- 38 -

Table of Contents

June 30, 2009, compared with the same period in 2008. Insurance commissions for the first half of 2009 were \$3.96 million, an increase of \$1.47 million, or 58.88%, over 2008. Increased insurance commissions reflect revenue increases associated with agency acquisitions made by GreenPoint throughout 2008 including its largest acquisition to date in the fourth quarter of 2008. Other operating income was \$935 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$726 thousand, or 43.71%, compared with the same period in 2008. Other operating income was down due largely to decreases in dividends on FHLB stock. Through June 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$2.29 million of other-than-temporary impairment on three pooled trust preferred securities and several smaller equity security holdings. During the first half of 2009, securities gains of \$2.05 million were realized, compared with a gain of \$1.97 million in the comparable period in 2008.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense totaled \$16.14 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.38 million, or 9.38%, from the same period in 2008. Salaries and benefits for the second quarter of 2009 decreased \$175 thousand, or 2.31%, compared to the same period in 2008. Salaries and benefits at GreenPoint increased \$360 thousand over the prior second quarter, a result of new agency acquisitions; salaries and benefits at the new branches from Coddle Creek were \$291 thousand. Decreases in general bank staffing levels and benefits largely offset the increases. Occupancy and furniture and fixtures expenses increased between the comparable periods with the addition of GreenPoint and the Coddle Creek branches. Other non-interest expense totaled \$4.89 million for the second quarter of 2009, an increase of \$141 thousand, or 2.97%, from \$4.75 million for the second quarter of 2008.

Over the course of the last three quarters, the FDIC has announced increases in deposit insurance premiums, as well as proposals to levy special assessments. Deposit insurance premiums and assessments were \$1.29 million and \$1.48 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009. The company expects that quarterly premiums for the remainder of 2009 could approximate \$400 thousand. The FDIC special assessment for the period ended June 30, 2009 totaled \$988 thousand. The FDIC is considering another special assessment; however, the Company is unable to estimate the level of any additional assessments at this time.

Noninterest expense totaled \$31.34 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$295 thousand, or 0.95%, from the same period in 2008. Salaries and benefits for the first half of 2009 decreased \$99 thousand, or 0.64%, compared to the same period in 2008. Salaries and benefits at GreenPoint increased \$798 thousand over the prior first half, a result of new agency acquisitions; salaries and benefits at the new branches from Coddle Creek were \$632 thousand. Decreases in general bank staffing levels and benefits largely offset the increases. Occupancy and furniture and fixtures expenses increased between the comparable periods with the addition of GreenPoint and the Coddle Creek branches. Other non-interest expense totaled \$9.25 million for the first half of 2009, a decrease of \$86 thousand, or 0.92%, from \$9.33 million for the first half of 2008 despite the addition of insurance agencies and Coddle Creek, primarily through cost reduction programs implemented throughout the first half of 2009.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense is comprised of federal and state current and deferred income taxes on pre-tax earnings of the Company. Income taxes as a percentage of pre-tax income may vary significantly from statutory rates due to items of income and expense which are excluded, by law, from the calculation of taxable income. These items are commonly referred to as permanent differences. The most significant permanent differences for the Company include income on state and municipal securities which are exempt from federal income tax, certain dividend payments which are deductible by the Company, and tax credits generated by investments in low income housing and historic building rehabilitations.

For the second quarter of 2009, income taxes were \$843 thousand compared with \$2.42 million for the second quarter of 2008. For the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the effective tax rates were 25.95% and 27.91%, respectively. The decrease in the effective rate is due largely to the reduction in taxable income from the impairment charges. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, income taxes were \$3.17 million compared with \$5.00 million for the same period in 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the effective tax rates were 29.42% and 28.48%, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate is due largely to decreases in tax-free municipal security income and income from bank-owned life insurance.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets at June 30, 2009, increased \$69.86 million, or 3.28%, to \$2.20 billion from December 31, 2008. The increase reflects net increases in the customer deposits as a result of deposit campaigns and a general movement of funds into FDIC-insured products and continued loan payoffs.

- 39 -

Table of Contents**Securities**

Available-for-sale securities were \$521.88 million at June 30, 2009, compared with \$520.72 million at December 31, 2008, an increase of \$1.16 million, or 0.22%. Held-to-maturity securities declined to \$7.73 million at June 30, 2009, compared with \$8.67 million at December 31, 2008.

During the second quarter, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges in earnings related to three pooled trust preferred securities of \$3.37 million. During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized impairment charges on equity securities of \$406 thousand and \$615 thousand, respectively. For a more detailed discussion of activities regarding investment securities, please see Note 4 to the Financial Statements.

Loan Portfolio

Loans Held for Sale: The \$802 thousand balance of loans held for sale at June 30, 2009, represents mortgage loans that are sold to investors on a best efforts basis. Accordingly, the Company does not retain the interest rate risk involved in the commitment. The gross notional amount of outstanding commitments on loans committed but not closed at June 30, 2009, was \$7.31 million on 53 loans.

Loans Held for Investment: Total loans held for investment were \$1.27 billion at June 30, 2009, representing a decline of \$28.72 million from December 31, 2008, and an increase of \$88.34 million from June 30, 2008. The average loan to deposit ratio decreased to 81.19% for the second quarter of 2009, compared with 86.01% for the fourth quarter of 2008 and 88.10% for the second quarter of 2008. Year-to-date average loans of \$1.28 billion increased \$87.25 million when compared to 2008.

Over the course of the last four years, the Company has taken measures to enhance its commercial underwriting standards. The more stringent underwriting has sustained credit quality; however, these measures, coupled with a reduced complement of commercial loan officers, have resulted in decreases in the loan portfolio. The Company also continues to realize net payoffs in the area of consumer finance, as it competes with credit card lenders and captive automobile finance companies.

The held for investment loan portfolio continues to be diversified among loan types and industry segments. The following table presents the various loan categories and changes in composition as of June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008.

| <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | | June 30, 2008 | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Loans Held for Investment | | | | | | |
| Commercial and agricultural | \$ 83,873 | 6.61% | \$ 85,034 | 6.55% | \$ 85,833 | 7.27% |
| Commercial real estate | 410,473 | 32.33% | 407,638 | 31.40% | 385,870 | 32.67% |
| Residential real estate | 594,646 | 46.85% | 602,573 | 46.42% | 490,519 | 41.53% |
| Construction | 112,361 | 8.85% | 130,610 | 10.06% | 144,094 | 12.20% |
| Consumer | 62,077 | 4.89% | 66,258 | 5.10% | 67,572 | 5.72% |
| Other | 6,014 | 0.47% | 6,046 | 0.47% | 7,219 | 0.61% |
| Total | \$ 1,269,443 | 100.00% | \$ 1,298,159 | 100.00% | \$ 1,181,107 | 100.00% |
| Loans Held for Sale | \$ 802 | | \$ 1,024 | | \$ 1,522 | |

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets include loans on non-accrual status, loans contractually past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, and other real estate owned (OREO). Non-performing assets were \$15.26 million at June 30, 2009, \$14.09 million at December 31, 2008, and \$4.63 million at June 30, 2008. The percentage of non-performing assets to total loans and OREO was 1.20% at June 30, 2009, 1.08% at December 31, 2008, and 0.39% at June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents

The following schedule details non-performing assets by category at the close of each of the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and December 31, 2008.

| <i>(In Thousands)</i> | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | June 30, 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Non-accrual | \$ 11,645 | \$ 12,763 | \$ 4,126 |
| Ninety days past due and accruing | | | |
| Other real estate owned | 3,615 | 1,326 | 500 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 15,260 | \$ 14,089 | \$ 4,626 |

Ongoing activity within the classification and categories of non-performing loans includes collections on delinquencies, foreclosures and movements into or out of the non-performing classification as a result of changing customer business conditions. OREO was \$3.62 million at June 30, 2009, and is carried at the lesser of estimated net realizable value or cost. OREO increased from December 31, 2008 as non-performing loans were converted to foreclosed real estate.

Deposits and Other Borrowings

Total deposits increased by \$43.60 million, or 2.90%, during the first six months of 2009. Non interest-bearing demand deposits increased \$2.83 million to \$202.54 million at June 30, 2009, compared with \$199.71 million at December 31, 2008. Interest-bearing demand deposits increased \$10.79 million to \$195.91 million at June 30, 2009. Savings increased \$1.86 million, or 0.60%, and time deposits increased \$28.12 million, or 3.47%, during the first half of 2009. The Company's increase in deposits is likely due to increasing customer household savings, a desire for FDIC insured deposit products, and a flow of funds out of equity markets.

Securities sold under repurchase agreements decreased \$12.11 million, or 7.30%, in the first six months of 2009 to \$153.80 million. There were no federal funds purchased outstanding at June 30, 2009, as the Company maintained strong liquidity through the second quarter.

Stockholders Equity

Total stockholders' equity increased \$63.18 million, or 28.82%, from \$219.22 million at December 31, 2008, to \$282.39 million at June 30, 2009. In June 2009, we completed the sale of 5.29 million shares of our common stock in a public offering. The purchase price was \$12.50 per share, and net proceeds from the sale totaled approximately \$61.67 million. Other changes in equity were the result of net earnings of \$7.60 million, less preferred dividends of \$1.15 million, common dividends declared of \$2.85 million, the cumulative effect adjustment of \$6.13 million, and other comprehensive loss of \$2.90 million.

On November 21, 2008, we completed the issuance of \$41.5 million of Series A perpetual preferred stock and a related warrant under the U.S. Department of the Treasury's (Treasury) voluntary TARP Capital Purchase Program (CPP). The warrant represents the right to purchase 176,546 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$35.26 per share. On July 8, 2009, we repurchased the \$41.5 million in preferred stock from the Treasury. We did not repurchase the warrants, so the Treasury has the option to sell the warrants in the open market to a third party.

Risk-Based Capital

Risk-based capital guidelines promulgated by federal banking agencies weight balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet commitments based on inherent risks associated with the respective asset types. At June 30, 2009, the Company's total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio was 14.61% compared with 12.94% at December 31, 2008. The Company's Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio was 13.65% at June 30, 2009, compared with 11.84% at December 31, 2008. The Company's Tier 1 leverage ratio at June 30, 2009, was 12.49% compared with 9.70% at December 31, 2008. All of the Company's regulatory capital ratios exceed the current well-capitalized levels. Regulatory capital ratios increased from December 31, 2008, primarily because of the common stock offering, offset by the treatment of certain debt securities when rated below investment grade.

Table of Contents

PART I. ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2009, the Company maintained liquidity in the form of cash and cash equivalent balances of \$116.10 million, unpledged securities available-for-sale of \$166.61 million, and total FHLB credit availability of approximately \$91.05 million. Cash and cash equivalents as well as advances from the FHLB are immediately available for satisfaction of deposit withdrawals, customer credit needs and operations of the Company. Investment securities available-for-sale represents a secondary level of liquidity available for conversion to liquid funds in the event of extraordinary needs. The Company also maintains approved lines of credit with correspondent banks as backup liquidity sources.

The Company maintains a liquidity policy as a means to manage liquidity and the associated risk. The policy includes a Liquidity Contingency Plan (the Liquidity Plan) that is designed as a tool for the Company to detect liquidity issues promptly in order to protect depositors, creditors and shareholders. The Liquidity Plan includes monitoring various internal and external indicators such as changes in core deposits and changes in market conditions. It provides for timely responses to a wide variety of funding scenarios ranging from changes in loan demand to a decline in the Company's quarterly earnings to a decline in the market price of the Company's stock. The Liquidity Plan calls for specific responses designed to meet a wide range of liquidity needs based upon assessments on a recurring basis by the Company and its Board of Directors.

Interest Rate Risk and Asset/Liability Management

The Company's profitability is dependent to a large extent upon its net interest income, which is the difference between its interest income on interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and its interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. The Company, like other financial institutions, is subject to interest rate risk to the degree that interest-earning assets reprice differently than interest-bearing liabilities. The Company manages its mix of assets and liabilities with the goals of limiting its exposure to interest rate risk, ensuring adequate liquidity, and coordinating its sources and uses of funds while maintaining an acceptable level of net interest income given the current interest rate environment.

The Company's primary component of operational revenue, net interest income, is subject to variation as a result of changes in interest rate environments in conjunction with unbalanced repricing opportunities on earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Interest rate risk has four primary components: repricing risk, basis risk, yield curve risk and option risk. Repricing risk occurs when earning assets and paying liabilities reprice at differing times as interest rates change. Basis risk occurs when the underlying rates on the assets and liabilities the institution holds change at different levels or in varying degrees. Yield curve risk is the risk of adverse consequences as a result of unequal changes in the spread between two or more rates for different maturities for the same instrument. Lastly, option risk is due to embedded options, often put or call options, given or sold to holders of financial instruments.

In order to mitigate the effect of changes in the general level of interest rates, the Company manages repricing opportunities and thus, its interest rate sensitivity. The Company seeks to control its interest rate risk exposure to insulate net interest income and net earnings from fluctuations in the general level of interest rates. To measure its exposure to interest rate risk, quarterly simulations of net interest income are performed using financial models that project net interest income through a range of possible interest rate environments including rising, declining, most likely and flat rate scenarios. The simulation model used by the Company captures all earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities and off-balance sheet financial instruments and combines the various factors affecting rate sensitivity into an earnings outlook. The results of these simulations indicate the existence and severity of interest rate risk in each of those rate environments based upon the current balance sheet position, assumptions as to changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-paying liabilities and the Company's estimate of yields to be attained in those future rate environments and rates that will be paid on various deposit instruments and borrowings. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the model cannot precisely predict the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes, as well as changes in market conditions and the Company's strategies. However, the earnings simulation model is currently the best tool available to the Company for managing interest rate risk.

Specific strategies for management of interest rate risk have included shortening the amortized maturity of new fixed-rate loans, increasing the volume of adjustable-rate loans to reduce the average maturity of the Company's interest-earning assets, and monitoring the term and structure of liabilities to maintain a balanced mix of maturity and repricing structures to mitigate potential exposure. At June 30, 2009, net interest income modeling shows the Company to be in a relatively neutral position.

- 42 -

Table of Contents

The Company has established policy limits for tolerance of interest rate risk that allow for no more than a 10% reduction in projected net interest income for the next twelve months based on a comparison of net interest income simulations in various interest rate scenarios. In addition, the policy addresses exposure limits to changes in the economic value of equity according to predefined policy guidelines. The most recent simulation indicates that current exposure to interest rate risk is within the Company's defined policy limits.

The following table summarizes the projected impact on the next twelve months' net interest income and the economic value of equity as of June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, of immediate and sustained rate shocks in the interest rate environments of plus and minus 100 and 200 basis points from the base simulation, assuming no remedial measures are affected. As of June 30, 2009, the Federal Open Market Committee maintains a target range for federal funds of 0 to 25 basis points, rendering a complete downward shock of 200 basis points unrealistic and not meaningful. In the downward rate shocks presented, benchmark interest rates are dropped with floors near 0%.

The economic value of equity is a measure which reflects the impact of changing rates on the underlying values of the Company's assets and liabilities in various rate scenarios. The scenarios illustrate the potential estimated impact of instantaneous rate shocks on the underlying value of equity. The economic value of the equity is based on the present value of all the future cash flows under the different rate scenarios.

Rate Sensitivity Analysis*(Dollars in Thousands)*

| Increase (Decrease) in Interest Rates (Basis Points) | June 30, 2009 | | June 30, 2009 | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| | Change in Net Interest Income | % Change | Change in Economic Value of Equity | % Change |
| 200 | \$3,260 | 4.8 | \$ 11,848 | 4.9 |
| 100 | 2,178 | 3.2 | 16,734 | 6.9 |
| (100) | 221 | 0.3 | (12,078) | (5.0) |

| Increase (Decrease) in Interest Rates (Basis Points) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-------------|
| | Change in Net Interest Income | % Change | Change in Economic Value of Equity | % Change |
| 200 | \$1,479 | 2.3 | \$ (8,040) | (3.7) |
| 100 | 1,493 | 2.3 | 719 | 0.3 |
| (100) | 1,874 | 2.9 | (21,443) | (9.9) |

PART I. ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) along with the Company's Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) Rule 13a-15(b). As a result of the restatement described in Note 2. Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, management concluded that, as of June 30, 2009, the Company did not maintain effective controls to ensure the appropriate calculation of its allowance for loan losses. Specifically, during a process enhancement to the model that calculates the allowance for loan losses, the quarterly average loss rate was not annualized. Control procedures in place for reviewing the quantitative model for calculating the allowance for loan losses did not timely identify this error. Solely because of this material weakness, management has concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2009. As of July 27, 2010, the Company has corrected the computational error in its model for calculating the allowance for loan losses.

The Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake.

- 43 -

Table of Contents

Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company assesses the adequacy of its internal control over financial reporting quarterly and enhances its controls in response to internal control assessments and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. Except for the foregoing, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is currently a defendant in various legal actions and asserted claims in the normal course of business. Although the Company and legal counsel are unable to assess the ultimate outcome of each of these matters with certainty, they are of the belief that the resolution of these actions should not have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

The below risk factors revise certain risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008, and add certain new risk factors.

RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for you to resell shares of common stock owned by you at times or at prices you find attractive.

Stock price volatility may make it difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. Our stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations;

Recommendations by securities analysts;

Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to us;

News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry, including the failures of other financial institutions in the current economic downturn;

Perceptions in the marketplace regarding us and/or our competitors;

New technology used, or services offered, by competitors;

Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving us or our competitors;

Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions;

Changes in government regulations; and

Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause our stock price to decrease regardless of operating results as evidenced by the current volatility and disruption of capital and credit markets.

The trading volume in our common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies which may adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Although our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market, the trading volume in our common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of our common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which we have no control. Given the lower trading volume of our common stock, significant sales of our common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause the our stock price to fall.

- 44 -

Table of Contents

An investment in our common stock is not an insured deposit.

Our common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in our common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this Risk Factors section and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, if you acquire our common stock, you may lose some or all of your investment.

There may be future sales or other dilutions of our equity which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We generally are not restricted from issuing additional shares of common stock, including securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of any future offerings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of any future stock issuances reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting their stock holdings in us. The exercise of any options granted to directors, executive officers and other employees under our stock compensation plans, the issuance of shares of common stock in acquisitions and other issuances of our common stock could have an adverse effect on the market price of the shares of our common stock, and the existence of options, or shares of our common stock reserved for issuance as restricted shares of our common stock, may materially adversely affect the terms upon which we may be able to obtain additional capital in the future through the sale of equity securities. In addition, future issuances of shares of our common stock will be dilutive to existing stockholders.

In connection with our TARP CPP financing, the Treasury received a warrant to purchase 176,546 shares of our common stock at an initial per share exercise price of \$35.26, subject to adjustment, which expires ten years from the issuance date. The issuance of any additional shares of common stock as a result of exercise of the warrant held by the Treasury or the issuance of any other common stock or convertible securities could dilute the ownership interest of our existing common stockholders. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of future sales of our common stock as well as other sales of a large block of shares of our common stock in the market, or the perception that such sales could occur.

Future offerings of debt, which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation, and/or preferred equity securities which may be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend distributions or upon liquidation, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources or, if the Bank's capital ratios fall below the required minimums, we could be forced to raise additional capital by making additional offerings of debt or preferred equity securities, including medium-term notes, trust preferred securities, senior or subordinated notes or preferred stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive distributions of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Additional equity offerings may dilute the holdings of our existing stockholders or reduce the market price of our common stock, or both. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution.

The Bank's ability to pay dividends is subject to regulatory limitations which, to the extent we require such dividends in the future, may affect our ability to pay our obligations and pay dividends.

We are a separate legal entity from the Bank and our other subsidiaries, and we do not have significant operations of our own. We have historically depended on the Bank's cash and liquidity as well as dividends to pay our operating expenses and dividends to stockholders. Recently, we announced a reduction of the quarterly dividend paid on our common stock to \$0.10 per share. The reduction in the dividend rate was made primarily to enhance the Company's capital position, and we expect such reduction to be temporary.

The availability of dividends from the Bank is limited by various statutes and regulations. Under the National Bank Act, without prior approval from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or OCC, the Bank's primary regulator, a national bank such as the Bank may not declare and pay dividends in any year in excess of an amount equal to the sum of the total of the net income of the bank for that year and the retained net income of the bank for the preceding two years. As a result of reduced net income during 2008 due to impairment charges on certain of our investment securities and increased provisions for loan losses, as well as the payment of a special dividend by the Bank to permit us to purchase certain trust preferred securities from the Bank in order to reduce the Bank's holdings of the securities

of certain issuers in order to comply with regulatory limits on investment concentrations, we currently need to receive permission of the OCC for the Bank to pay dividends to us. We believe that our cash and liquid securities are sufficient to pay our expenses and dividend obligations to

- 45 -

Table of Contents

our stockholders for 2009 without the need for any dividend from the Bank. However, there can be no assurance that the Bank's future earnings will be sufficient to permit it to pay dividends to us without the approval of the OCC, or that we will have the capacity to pay dividends on our common stock without dividends from the Bank. In addition, it is possible, depending upon the financial condition of the Bank and other factors, that the OCC could assert that payment of dividends or other payments by the Bank are an unsafe or unsound practice. In the event the Bank is unable to pay dividends sufficient to satisfy our obligations or is otherwise unable to pay dividends to us, we may not be able to service our obligations as they become due, including payments required to be made to the FCBI Capital Trust, our business trust subsidiary, or to pay dividends on our common stock. Consequently, the inability to receive dividends from the Bank could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects.

Potential acquisitions may disrupt our business and dilute stockholder value.

In recent years we have been an active acquirer of other entities, both in the banking and insurance sectors. We have sought merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale or expanded services. Acquiring other banks, businesses, or branches involves various risks commonly associated with acquisitions, including, among other things:

Potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of the target company;

Exposure to potential asset quality issues of the target company;

Difficulty and expense of integrating the operations and personnel of the target company;

Potential disruption to our business;

Potential diversion of our management's time and attention;

The possible loss of key employees and customers of the target company;

Difficulty in estimating the value of the target company; and

Potential changes in banking or tax laws or regulations that may affect the target company.

We regularly evaluate merger and acquisition opportunities and conduct due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur at any time. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of our tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. Furthermore, failure to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/or other projected benefits from an acquisition could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

Changes in the fair value of our securities may reduce our stockholders' equity and net income.

At June 30, 2009, \$521.88 million of our securities were classified as available-for-sale. At such date, the aggregate unrealized losses on our available-for-sale securities was \$102.84 million. We increase or decrease stockholders' equity by the amount of the change in the unrealized gain or loss (the difference between the estimated fair value and the amortized cost) of our available-for-sale securities portfolio, net of the related tax benefit, under the category of accumulated other comprehensive income/loss. Therefore, a decline in the estimated fair value of this portfolio will result in a decline in reported stockholders' equity, as well as book value per common share and tangible book value per common share. This decrease will occur even though the securities are not sold. In the case of debt securities, if these securities are never sold and there are no further credit impairments, the decrease will be recovered over the life

of the securities. In the case of equity securities which have no stated maturity, the declines in fair value may or may not be recovered over time.

We conduct a periodic review and evaluation of the entire securities portfolio to determine if the decline in the fair value of any security below its cost basis is other-than-temporary. Factors which we consider in our analysis of debt securities include, but are not limited to, intent to sell the security, evidence available to determine if it is more-likely-than not that the Company will have to sell the securities before recovery of the amortized cost, and probable credit losses. Probable credit losses are evaluated based upon, but are not limited to: the present value of future cash flows, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the security below its amortized cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, whether the decline appears to be related to issuer conditions or general market or industry conditions, the payment structure of the security, failure of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments, and changes to the rating of the security by rating agencies. We generally view changes in fair value for debt securities caused by changes in interest rates as temporary,

- 46 -

Table of Contents

which is consistent with our experience. If we deem such decline to be other-than-temporary, the security is written down to a new cost basis and the resulting loss is charged to earnings as a component of non-interest income. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we reported a non-cash other-than-temporary impaired, or OTTI, charge of \$29.9 million on our debt securities portfolio. Upon adoption of a new accounting principle, we recaptured \$10.2 of the December 31, 2008 impairment charge as a non-credit related impairment.

Factors that we consider in our analysis of equity securities include, but are not limited to: intent to sell the security before recovery of the cost, the severity and duration of the decline in fair value of the security below its cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and whether the decline appears to be related to issuer conditions or general market or industry conditions.

We continue to monitor the fair value of our entire securities portfolio as part of our ongoing OTTI evaluation process. No assurance can be given that we will not need to recognize OTTI charges related to securities in the future. ***If dividends paid on our investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta continue to be suspended, or if our investment is classified as OTTI or as permanently impaired, our earnings and/or stockholders equity could decrease.***

We own common stock of the FHLB-Atlanta, to qualify for membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank system and to be eligible to borrow funds under the FHLB's advance program. There is no market for our FHLB common stock. The FHLB has reported losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to an OTTI charge on its private-label mortgage backed securities portfolio. As a result of the losses, the FHLB also suspended the dividend paid on its common stock. The continued suspension of the dividend will decrease our income. In an extreme situation, it is possible that the capitalization of the FHLB could be substantially diminished or reduced to zero. Consequently, we believe that there is a risk that our investment in FHLB common stock could be deemed OTTI at some time in the future, and if this occurs, it would cause our earnings and stockholders' equity to decrease by the after-tax amount of the impairment charge.

The current economic environment poses significant challenges for us and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are operating in a challenging and uncertain economic environment, including generally uncertain national and local conditions. Financial institutions continue to be affected by sharp declines in the real estate market and constrained financial markets. Dramatic declines in the housing market over the past year, with falling home prices and increasing foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions. Continued declines in real estate values, home sales volumes, and financial stress on borrowers as a result of the uncertain economic environment could have an adverse effect on our borrowers or their customers, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. A worsening of these conditions would likely exacerbate the adverse effects on us and others in the financial institutions industry. For example, further deterioration in local economic conditions in our markets could drive losses beyond that which is provided for in our allowance for loan losses. We may also face the following risks in connection with these events:

Economic conditions that negatively affect housing prices and the job market have resulted, and may continue to result, in a deterioration in credit quality of our loan portfolios, and such deterioration in credit quality has had, and could continue to have, a negative impact on our business;

Market developments may affect consumer confidence levels and may cause adverse changes in payment patterns, causing increases in delinquencies and default rates on loans and other credit facilities;

The processes we use to estimate our allowance for loan losses and reserves may no longer be reliable because they rely on complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions, which may no longer be capable of accurate estimation;

Our ability to assess the creditworthiness of our customers may be impaired if the models and approaches we use to select, manage, and underwrite our customers become less predictive of future charge-offs; and

We expect to face increased regulation of our industry, and compliance with such regulation may increase our costs, limit our ability to pursue business opportunities, and increase compliance challenges.

As these conditions or similar ones continue to exist or worsen, we could experience continuing or increased adverse effects on our financial condition.

- 47 -

Table of Contents

Our business is subject to interest rate risk and variations in interest rates may negatively affect our financial performance.

Our earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Federal Reserve Board. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest we receive on loans and securities and the amount of interest we pay on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) our ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, and (ii) the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, our net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings. Based on net interest income simulations conducted as of June 30, 2009, the Company is in a relatively neutral position with respect to interest rate shocks that simulate decreases in interest rates of 1.0% and increases in interest rates of 1.0% and 2.0%.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

Like all financial institutions, the Bank maintains an allowance for loan losses to provide for probable losses. The Bank's allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual loan losses, and future provisions for loan losses could materially and adversely affect the Bank's operating results. The Bank's allowance for loan losses is determined by analyzing historical loan losses, current trends in delinquencies and charge-offs, plans for problem loan resolution, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, and industry information. Also included in management's estimates for loan losses are considerations with respect to the impact of economic events, the outcome of which are uncertain. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates that may be beyond the Bank's control, and these losses may exceed current estimates. Federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, review the Bank's loans and allowance for loan losses. Although we believe that the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate to provide for probable losses, we cannot assure you that we will not need to increase the Bank's allowance for loan losses or that regulators will not require us to increase this allowance. Either of these occurrences could materially and adversely affect our earnings and profitability.

Our business is subject to various lending and other economic risks that could adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

There was significant disruption and volatility in the financial and capital markets during 2008 and the first six months of 2009. The financial markets and the financial services industry in particular suffered unprecedented disruption, causing a number of institutions to fail or require government intervention to avoid failure. These conditions were largely the result of the erosion of the U.S. and global credit markets, including a significant and rapid deterioration in the mortgage lending and related real estate markets. As a consequence of the difficult economic environment, we experienced losses, resulting primarily from significant provisions for loan losses and substantial impairment charges on our investment securities. There can be no assurance that the economic conditions that have adversely affected the financial services industry, and the capital, credit and real estate markets generally, will improve in the near term, in which case we could continue to experience losses and write-downs of assets, and could face capital and liquidity constraints or other business challenges. A further deterioration in economic conditions, particularly within our geographic region, could result in the following consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business:

Loan delinquencies may further increase causing additional increases in our provision and allowance for loan losses;

Problem assets and foreclosures may continue to increase;

Demand for our products and services may further decline; and

Collateral for loans made by the Bank, especially real estate, may continue to decline in value, in turn reducing a client's borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our loans held for investment.

The declining real estate market could impact our business.

Our business activities are conducted in Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and the surrounding region. During 2008 and the first six months of 2009, the real estate market in these regions experienced

- 48 -

Table of Contents

declines with falling home prices and increased foreclosures. As our net charge-offs increased during this period and in recognition of the continued deterioration in the real estate market and corresponding expected further increases in non-performing assets, we increased our provision for loan losses during 2008 and the first six months of 2009. A continued downturn in this regional real estate market could hurt our business because of the geographic concentration within this regional area and because the vast majority of our loans are secured by real estate. If there is a further decline in real estate values, the collateral for our loans will provide less security. As a result, our ability to recover on defaulted loans by selling the underlying real estate will be diminished, and we will be more likely to suffer losses on defaulted loans.

Our level of credit risk is increasing due to our focus on commercial and construction lending, and the concentration on small businesses and middle market customers with heightened vulnerability to economic conditions.

As of June 30, 2009, our largest outstanding commercial business loan and largest outstanding commercial real estate loan amounted to \$3.24 million (\$3.24 million is committed as of such date) and \$12.98 million, respectively. At such date, our commercial business loans amounted to \$89.42 million, or 7.03% of our total loan portfolio, and our commercial real estate loans amounted to \$410.36 million, or 32.26% of our total loan portfolio. Commercial business and commercial real estate loans generally are considered riskier than single-family residential loans because they have larger balances to a single borrower or group of related borrowers. Commercial business and commercial real estate loans involve risks because the borrowers' ability to repay the loans typically depends primarily on the successful operation of the businesses or the properties securing the loans. Most of the Bank's commercial business loans are made to small business or middle market customers who may have a heightened vulnerability to economic conditions. Moreover, a portion of these loans have been made or acquired by us in recent years and the borrowers may not have experienced a complete business or economic cycle.

In addition to commercial real estate and commercial business loans, we hold a portfolio of construction loans. At June 30, 2009, our construction loans amounted to \$112.59 million, or 8.85% of our total loan portfolio. Construction loans generally have a higher risk of loss than single-family residential mortgage loans due primarily to the critical nature of the initial estimates of a property's value upon completion of construction compared to the estimated costs, including interest, of construction as well as other assumptions. If the estimates upon which construction loans are made prove to be inaccurate, we may be confronted with projects that, upon completion, have values which are below the loan amounts. The nature of the allowance for loan losses requires that we must use assumptions regarding, among other factors, individual loans and the economy. While we are not aware of any specific, material impediments impacting any of our builder/developer borrowers at this time, there continues to be nationwide reports of significant problems which have adversely affected many property developers and builders as well as the institutions that have provided them loans. If any of the builder/developers to which we have extended construction loans experience the type of difficulties that are being reported, it could have adverse consequences upon our future results of operations.

The Bank may suffer losses in its loan portfolio despite its underwriting practices.

The Bank seeks to mitigate the risks inherent in the Bank's loan portfolio by adhering to specific underwriting practices. These practices include analysis of a borrower's prior credit history, financial statements, tax returns and cash flow projections, valuation of collateral based on reports of independent appraisers and verification of liquid assets. Although the Bank believes that its underwriting criteria are appropriate for the various kinds of loans it makes, the Bank may incur losses on loans that meet its underwriting criteria, and these losses may exceed the amounts set aside as reserves in the Bank's allowance for loan losses.

We have experienced increases in the levels of our non-performing assets and loan charge-offs in recent periods. Our total non-performing assets amounted to \$15.26 million at June 30, 2009, \$14.1 million at December 31, 2008, and \$3.5 million at December 31, 2007. We had \$6.37 million of net loan charge-offs for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$5.4 million and \$2.4 million in net loan charge-offs for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our provision for loan losses was \$2.55 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, \$9.23 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$717 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2009, the ratios of our allowance for loan losses to non-accrual loans and to total loans outstanding was 159.24% and 1.46%, respectively. Additional increases in our non-performing assets or loan charge-offs may require us to increase our

allowance for loan losses, which would have an adverse effect upon our future results of operations.

We and our subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation which could adversely affect us.

Our and our subsidiaries' operations are subject to extensive regulation and supervision by federal and state governmental authorities and are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on part or all of our operations. Banking regulations governing our operations are primarily intended to protect depositors

- 49 -

Table of Contents

funds, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, not security holders. Congress and federal regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. Changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations or policies, could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject us to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products we may offer and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations or policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputation damage, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. While we have policies and procedures designed to prevent any such violations, there can be no assurance that such violations will not occur. These laws, rules and regulations, or any other laws, rules or regulations, that may be adopted in the future, could make compliance more difficult or expensive, restrict our ability to originate, broker or sell loans, further limit or restrict the amount of commissions, interest or other charges earned on loans originated or sold by the Bank and otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition or prospects.

On October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, or the EESA, was signed into law. Pursuant to the EESA, the Treasury was granted the authority to take a range of actions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets and has proposed several programs, including the purchase by the Treasury of certain troubled assets from financial institutions and the direct purchase by the Treasury of equity of financial institutions. There can be no assurance, however, as to the actual impact that the foregoing or any other governmental program will have on the financial markets. The failure of the financial markets to stabilize and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock. In addition, current initiatives of President Obama's Administration and Congress may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The financial services industry could face increased regulation and supervision as a result of the existing financial crisis. Such additional regulation and supervision may increase our costs and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities. The affects of such recently enacted, and proposed, legislation and regulatory programs on us cannot reliably be determined at this time.

We face strong competition from other financial institutions, financial service companies and other organizations offering services similar to those offered by us and our subsidiaries, which could hurt our business.

Our business operations are conducted in Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and the surrounding region. Increased competition within this region may result in reduced loan originations and deposits. Ultimately, we may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors. Many competitors offer the types of loans and banking services that we offer. These competitors include other savings associations, national banks, regional banks and other community banks. We also face competition from many other types of financial institutions, including finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies, credit unions, mortgage banks and other financial intermediaries. In particular, the Bank's competitors include other state and national banks and major financial companies whose greater resources may afford them a marketplace advantage by enabling them to maintain numerous banking locations and mount extensive promotional and advertising campaigns.

Additionally, banks and other financial institutions with larger capitalization and financial intermediaries not subject to bank regulatory restrictions have larger lending limits and are thereby able to serve the credit needs of larger clients. These institutions, particularly to the extent they are more diversified than us, may be able to offer the same loan products and services that we offer at more competitive rates and prices. If we are unable to attract and retain banking clients, we may be unable to continue the Bank's loan and deposit growth and our business, financial condition and prospects may be negatively affected.

The FDIC is imposing an emergency assessment on financial institutions, which will decrease our earnings in 2009.

On May 22, 2009, the FDIC announced a five basis point special assessment on each insured depository institution's assets minus its Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009. The amount of the special assessment for any institution will not exceed ten basis points times the institution's domestic deposit assessment base for the second quarter 2009 risk-based

assessment. The FDIC will collect the special assessment on September 30, 2009. Based on our assets and Tier 1 capital at June 30, 2009, we estimate the impact of the special assessment to be approximately \$988 thousand. An additional special assessment later in 2009 is probable, but the amount is uncertain at this time.

- 50 -

Table of Contents

We may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of the TriStone Acquisition.

On July 31, 2009, we completed our acquisition of TriStone. However, we will face certain risks in integrating TriStone's business with ours. Among other things, we may be assuming greater risks in the loans to be acquired from TriStone as their loan underwriting standards are not the same as ours. The success of the merger with TriStone will depend on, among other things, our ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to combine the businesses of TriStone and the Bank in a manner that permits growth opportunities and does not materially disrupt the existing customer relationships of the Bank nor result in decreased revenues resulting from any loss of customers. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the merger acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected. Additionally, we will make fair value estimates of certain assets and liabilities in recording the merger. Actual values of these assets and liabilities could differ from our estimates, which could result in our not achieving the anticipated benefits of the merger.

Our goodwill may be determined to be impaired.

As of June 30, 2009, the carrying amount of our goodwill and other intangible assets was \$89.53 million. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or more frequently if necessary. According to SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and are to be used as the basis for measuring impairment, when available. Other acceptable valuation methods include present-value measurements based on multiples of earnings or revenues, or similar performance measures. If we were to determine that the carrying amount of our goodwill exceeded its implied fair value, we would be required to write down the value of the goodwill on our balance sheet. This, in turn, would result in a charge against earnings and, thus, a reduction in our stockholders' equity and certain related capital measures.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not Applicable

(b) Not Applicable

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

There were no open market purchases by the Company of its equity securities during the three months ended June 30, 2009. The maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under a publicly announced plan at June 30, 2009, was 668,358 shares. The Company's stock repurchase plan allows for the purchase and retention of up to 1,100,000 shares. The plan has no expiration date and remains open. The Company held 431,642 shares in treasury at June 30, 2009.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

(a) The Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on April 28, 2009.

(b) The following directors were elected to serve a three-year term through the date of the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

I. Norris Kantor, A. A. Modena, William P. Stafford, II

The following directors' terms continued after the Annual Meeting:

Franklin P. Hall, Allen T. Hamner, John M. Mendez, Robert E. Perkinson, and William P. Stafford

Table of Contents

- (c) Three proposals were voted upon at the annual meeting, which included: 1) the election of the aforementioned directors as the Class of 2012; 2) ratification of the selection of Dixon Hughes PLLC, Asheville, North Carolina, as independent registered public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2008; and 3) approval, on a non-binding advisory basis, the Company's named executive officer compensation. The results of the proposals and voting are as follows:

Proposal 1. Election of Directors:

| | Votes For | Votes Withheld | For All Except |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| I. Norris Kantor | 8,956,476 | 384,048 | 91,947 |
| A. A. Modena | 8,929,404 | 384,048 | 119,019 |
| William P. Stafford, II | 8,670,847 | 384,048 | 377,576 |

Proposal 2. Ratification of the selection of Dixon Hughes PLLC as independent registered public accountants:

| | |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Votes For | 9,095,202 |
| Votes Against | 303,512 |
| Votes Abstained | 33,756 |

Proposal 3. Approval, on a non-binding advisory basis, the Company's named executive officer compensation:

| | |
|-----------------|-----------|
| Votes For | 7,901,769 |
| Votes Against | 1,466,195 |
| Votes Abstained | 64,505 |

ITEM 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

ITEM 6. Exhibits

- (a) Exhibits

Exhibit

| No. | Exhibit |
|------------|---|
| 2.1 | Reserved. |
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated April 2, 2009, among First Community Bancshares, Inc. and TriStone Community Bank (25) |
| 3(i) | Articles of Incorporation of First Community Bancshares, Inc., as amended. (1) |
| 3(ii) | Certificate of Designation Series A Preferred Stock (22) |
| 3(iii) | Bylaws of First Community Bancshares, Inc., as amended. (17) |
| 4.1 | Specimen stock certificate of First Community Bancshares, Inc. (3) |
| 4.2 | Indenture Agreement dated September 25, 2003. (11) |
| 4.3 | Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of FCBI Capital Trust dated September 25, 2003. (11) |
| 4.4 | Preferred Securities Guarantee Agreement dated September 25, 2003. (11) |

- 4.5 Form of Certificate for the Series A Preferred Stock (22)
- 4.6 Warrant to purchase 176,546 shares of common stock of First Community Bancshares, Inc (22)
- 10.1** First Community Bancshares, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Contracts (2) and Plan. (4)
- 10.1.1** Amendment to First Community Bancshares, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan. (11)
- 10.2** First Community Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Non-Qualified Directors Stock Option Plan. (5)
- 10.3** Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bancshares, Inc. and John M. Mendez. (6)
- 10.4** First Community Bancshares, Inc. 2000 Executive Retention Plan, as amended. (24)
- 10.5** First Community Bancshares, Inc. Split Dollar Plan and Agreement. (2)
- 10.6** First Community Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Directors Supplemental Retirement Plan. (2)
- 10.6.1** First Community Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Directors Supplemental Retirement Plan. Second Amendment (B.W. Harvey, Sr. October 19, 2004). (14)

- 52 -

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|------------------------|--|
| 10.7** | First Community Bancshares, Inc. Wrap Plan. (7) |
| 10.8 | Reserved. |
| 10.9** | Form of Indemnification Agreement between First Community Bancshares, Inc., its Directors and Certain Executive Officers. (9) |
| 10.10** | Form of Indemnification Agreement between First Community Bank, N. A, its Directors and Certain Executive Officers. (9) |
| 10.11 | Reserved. |
| 10.12** | First Community Bancshares, Inc. 2004 Omnibus Stock Option Plan (10) and Award Agreement. (13) |
| 10.13 | Reserved. |
| 10.14** | First Community Bancshares, Inc. Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. (7) |
| 10.15** | First Community Bancshares, Inc. Deferred Compensation and Supplemental Bonus Plan For Key Employees. (15) |
| 10.16** | Employment Agreement dated November 30, 2006, between First Community Bank, N. A. and Ronald L. Campbell. (19) |
| 10.17** | Employment Agreement dated September 28, 2007, between GreenPoint Insurance Group, Inc. and Shawn C. Cummings. (20) |
| 10.18 | Securities Purchase Agreement by and between the United States Department of the Treasury and First Community Bancshares, Inc. dated November 21, 2008. (22) |
| 10.19** | Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bancshares, Inc. and David D. Brown. (23) |
| 10.20** | Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bancshares, Inc. and Robert L. Buzzo (26) |
| 10.21** | Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bancshares, Inc. and E. Stephen Lilly (26) |
| 10.22** | Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bank, N. A. and Gary R. Mills (26) |
| 10.23** | Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bank, N. A. and Martyn A. Pell (26) |

- 10.24** Employment Agreement dated December 16, 2008, between First Community Bank, N. A. and Robert L. Schumacher (26)
- 10.25** Employment Agreement dated July 31, 2009, between First Community Bank, N. A. and Simpson O. Brown (25)
- 10.26** Employment Agreement dated July 31, 2009, between First Community Bank, N. A. and Mark R. Evans (25)
- 31.1* Rule 13a-14(a)/a5d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2* Rule 13a-14(a)/a5d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32* Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Section 1350.

* Furnished
herewith.

** Indicates a
management
contract or
compensation
plan.

(1) Incorporated by
reference from
the Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q for the
period ended
June 30, 2005,
filed on
August 5, 2005.

(2) Incorporated by
reference from
the Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q for the
period ended
June 30, 2002,
filed on
August 14,
2002.

(3) Incorporated by
reference from
the Annual
Report on Form
10-K for the
period ended

December 31,
2002, filed on
March 25, 2003,
as amended on
March 31, 2003.

(4) Incorporated by
reference from
the Annual
Report on Form
10-K for the
period ended
December 31,
1999, filed on
March 30, 2000,
as amended
April 13, 2000.

(5) The option
agreements
entered into
pursuant to the
1999 Stock
Option Plan and
the 2001
Non-Qualified
Directors Stock
Option Plan are
incorporated by
reference from
the Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q for the
period ended
June 30, 2002,
filed on
August 14,
2002.

(6) Incorporated by
reference from
Exhibit 10.1 of
the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated and
filed
December 16,
2008.

(7) Incorporated by
reference from

the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated
August 22,
2006, and filed
August 23,
2006.

- (8) Reserved.
- (9) Form of
indemnification
agreement
entered into by
the Company
and by First
Community
Bank, N. A.
with their
respective
directors and
certain officers
of each
including, for
the Registrant
and Bank: John
M. Mendez,
Robert L.
Schumacher,
Robert L.
Buzzo, E.
Stephen Lilly,
David D.
Brown, and
Gary R. Mills.
Incorporated

Table of Contents

by reference
from the Annual
Report on Form
10-K for the
period ended
December 31,
2003, filed on
March 15, 2004,
and amended on
May 19, 2004.

(10) Incorporated by
reference from
the 2004 First
Community
Bancshares, Inc.
Definitive Proxy
filed on
March 19, 2004.

(11) Incorporated by
reference from
the Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q for the
period ended
September 30,
2003, filed on
November 10,
2003.

(12) Incorporated by
reference from
the Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q for the
period ended
March 31, 2004,
filed on May 7,
2004.

(13) Incorporated by
reference from
the Quarterly
Report on Form
10-Q for the
period ended
June 30, 2004,
filed on

August 6, 2004.

- (14) Incorporated by reference from the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004, and filed on March 16, 2005. Amendments in substantially similar form were executed for Directors Clark, Kantor, Hamner, Modena, Perkinson, Stafford, and Stafford II.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 24, 2006, and filed October 25, 2006.
- (16) Reserved.
- (17) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 14, 2008, filed on February 20, 2008.
- (18) Reserved
- (19) Incorporated by reference from

Exhibit 2.1 of
the Form S-3
registration
statement filed
May 2, 2007.

- (20) Incorporated by
reference from
the Annual
Report on Form
10-K for the
period ended
December 31,
2007, filed on
March 13, 2008.
- (21) Reserved.
- (22) Incorporated by
reference from
the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated
November 21,
2008, and filed
November 24,
2008.
- (23) Incorporated by
reference from
Exhibit 10.2 of
the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated and
filed
December 16,
2008.
- (24) Incorporated by
reference from
Exhibit 10.1 of
the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated
December 30,
2008, and filed
January 5, 2009.
- (25) Incorporated by
reference from
Exhibit 2.2 of

the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated
April 2, 2009
and filed
April 3, 2009.

(26) Incorporated by
reference from
the Current
Report on Form
8-K dated and
filed July 6,
2009.

- 54 -

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

First Community Bancshares, Inc.

DATE: August 16, 2010

/s/ John M. Mendez

John M. Mendez

President & Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

DATE: August 16, 2010

/s/ David D. Brown

David D. Brown

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

- 55 -

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|--|
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 USC Section 1350 |

- 56 -