

LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND
Form N-CSR
March 05, 2019

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act File No.: 811-04809

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, Colorado 80203

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Sareena Khwaja-Dixon, Esq.

ALPS Fund Services, Inc.

1290 Broadway, Suite 1100

Denver, Colorado 80203

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 303-623-2577

Date of fiscal year end: December 31

Date of reporting period: December 31, 2018

Item 1. Report of Shareholders.

Contents

1	President's Letter
5	Unique Fund Attributes
7	Investment Managers/ Portfolio Characteristics
8	Investment Growth
9	Table of Distributions and Rights Offerings
10	Major Stock Changes in the Quarter and Distribution Policy
11	Top 20 Holdings and Economic Sectors
12	Manager Roundtable
20	Schedule of Investments
27	Statement of Assets and Liabilities
28	Statement of Operations
29	Statements of Changes in Net Assets
30	Financial Highlights
32	Notes to Financial Statements
40	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
41	Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Purchase Plan
43	Additional Information
44	Trustees and Officers
51	Board Consideration of the Renewal of the Fund Management and Portfolio Management Agreements
56	Privacy Policy
58	Description of Lipper Benchmark and Market Indices
	Inside Back Cover: Fund Information

A SINGLE INVESTMENT...

A DIVERSIFIED CORE PORTFOLIO

A single fund that offers:

A diversified, multi-managed portfolio of growth and value stocks
Exposure to many of the industries that make the U.S. economy one of the world's most dynamic
Access to institutional quality investment managers
Objective and ongoing manager evaluation
Active portfolio rebalancing
A quarterly fixed distribution policy
Actively managed, exchange-traded, closed-end fund listed on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: USA)

LIBERTY ALL-STAR® EQUITY FUND

Beginning on January 1, 2021, as permitted by regulations adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, paper copies of the Fund's annual and semi-annual shareholder reports will no longer be sent by mail, unless you specifically request paper copies of the reports. Instead, the reports will be made available on the Fund's website at www.all-starfunds.com, and you will be notified by mail each time a report is posted and provided with a website link to access the report.

Beginning on January 1, 2019, you may, notwithstanding the availability of shareholder reports online, elect to receive all future shareholder reports in paper free of charge. If you invest through a financial intermediary, you can contact your financial intermediary to request that you continue to receive paper copies of your shareholder reports. If you invest directly with the Fund and your shares are held with the Fund's transfer agent, Computershare, you can call 1-800-542-3863 to let the Fund know you wish to continue receiving paper copies of your shareholder reports.

If you already elected to receive shareholder reports electronically, you will not be affected by this change and you need not take any action. You may elect to receive shareholder reports and other communications from a Fund electronically anytime by contacting your financial intermediary (such as a broker-dealer or bank) or, if you are a direct investor you may log into your Investor Center account at www.computershare.com/investor and go to "Communication Preferences".

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund President's Letter

(Unaudited)

Fellow Shareholders: *February 2019*

After reaching record-high ground in September, the U.S. equity market as measured by the S&P 500® Index encountered a turbulent fourth quarter and closed the year with its first decline since 2008. The long-running bull market was tested as losses nearly reached the bear market threshold of a 20 percent retreat from previous highs. For the year the S&P 500® Index returned -4.38 percent, the widely-followed Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) returned -3.48 percent and the NASDAQ Composite Index returned -2.84 percent.

While the underlying U.S. economy exhibited strength during the year, the forces that ultimately reintroduced extreme volatility to the equity markets were rising interest rates and their potential impact on consumer spending and corporate profitability; uncertainty surrounding the ultimate severity of the trade dispute between the U.S. and China; and slowing global growth, particularly among emerging market countries, chiefly China, where economic growth was the slowest in a decade. Even in the U.S. economy—buoyed by a favorable employment market and strong corporate profits—cracks began to emerge in the form of a slowing housing market and declining consumer confidence. The energy sector exhibited weakness as the year progressed, with West Texas Intermediate crude closing 2018 at \$45 per barrel—\$15 per barrel lower than it began the year and \$30/barrel lower than its October high.

The stock market shot out of the gate in January but faded in February with the DJIA experiencing its worst single-day decline since 2008 on February 2. Despite periodic rallies, the S&P 500® and the DJIA finished the first quarter with losses while the NASDAQ Composite posted a modest gain. Technology stocks led a market rally in the second quarter, as the NASDAQ Composite advanced almost 7 percent for the period. A strong labor market helped, as well, as the unemployment rate declined to 3.8 percent, the lowest since April 2000. The third quarter was by far 2018's best, with the DJIA gaining nearly 10 percent. Most sectors of the economy were strong, as the Department of Commerce reported that second quarter GDP grew at a 4.1 percent annual rate, its best quarter since 2014.

As noted earlier, however, signals that clouded the outlook eventually caught up to the equity market and the fourth quarter produced negative returns for all three indices: -13.52 percent for the S&P 500®, -11.31 percent for the DJIA and -17.29 percent for the NASDAQ Composite. Interest rates were a significant factor: In October, the yield on 10-year Treasury notes hit a seven-year high, and in December the Federal Reserve raised the fed funds rate for the fourth time in the year. An impending shutdown of the government also agitated investors as did unsettling geopolitical developments in global hot spots. The whiplash that equity investors experienced was highlighted by the worst-ever loss for a Christmas Eve only to be followed by the best-ever gain for the day after Christmas. Heightened volatility continued into the new year, as investors digested a mix of news and economic data that held both positive and negative portents for the future.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund

For the full year, Liberty All-Star Equity Fund performed in line with major stock market indices and outperformed the Fund's primary benchmark. For 2018, the Fund returned -4.48 percent with shares valued at net asset value (NAV) with dividends reinvested and -4.85 percent with shares valued at market price with dividends reinvested. (Fund returns are net of expenses.) On a relative basis, the return on Fund shares valued at NAV and valued by market price both exceeded that of the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average, which returned -5.64 percent for the year. The Fund's returns were in line with that of its secondary benchmark, the S&P 500® Index, which, as noted earlier, returned -4.38 percent.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund President's Letter

(Unaudited)

For the fourth quarter, the Fund returned -13.43 percent with shares valued at NAV with dividends reinvested and -18.72 percent with shares valued at market price with dividends reinvested. For the same period, the Lipper benchmark returned -13.45 percent. While the Fund's fourth quarter return measured by NAV was in line with relevant indices, the market price return lagged owing to market turbulence that widened the discount at which Fund shares trade relative to their underlying NAV. During the quarter the discount widened to a range of -2.7 to -12.1 percent from -2.3 to -5.8 percent in the third quarter.

Despite a challenging fourth quarter, the Fund's investment results place it in the top one-third of peer funds in the Lipper Large-Cap Core universe for both the full year of 2018 and the trailing three years.

As they have in most years over the past decade, growth style stocks once again outperformed their value counterparts. For 2018, the broad market Russell 3000® Growth Index returned -2.12 percent while the Russell 3000® Value Index returned -8.58 percent. This was not true of the fourth quarter, however, as the Russell 3000® Growth Index returned -16.33 percent compared to -12.24 percent for its value counterpart.

In accordance with the Fund's distribution policy, the Fund paid a distribution of \$0.16 per share in the fourth quarter. The Fund's distribution policy has been in place since 1988 and is a major component of the Fund's total return. These distributions add up to \$26.89 per share for a total of \$2.9 billion since 1987 (the Fund's first full calendar year of operations). We continue to emphasize that shareholders should include these distributions when determining the total return on their investment in the Fund.

One of the central principles on which the Fund was founded is multi-management, or the practice of allocating the Fund's assets to carefully selected investment managers representing both value and growth styles of investing. Thus, we are once again offering insights into the managers' thinking through our annual roundtable question-and-answer exchange. As more uncertainty than usual prevails in the equity markets entering 2019, we invite shareholders to read the managers' comments.

The fourth quarter was difficult for investors, but in the larger context of the period that began in March 2009, patient, long-term investors have been well-rewarded. The current outlook may be clouded, but those very same characteristics of patience and persistence should once again prove their worth. Indeed, the Fund's multi-manager structure, blending both value and growth styles, is particularly well adapted to the shifting sentiments of the financial

markets. We at ALPS Advisors will continue to keep Fund shareholders' interests first and foremost and maintain a steady focus on the principles that make the Fund an attractive core holding for equity investors.

Sincerely,

William R. Parmentier, Jr.

President and Chief Executive Officer

Liberty All-Star[®] Equity Fund

² www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star[®] Equity Fund President's Letter*(Unaudited)***FUND STATISTICS AND SHORT-TERM PERFORMANCE
PERIODS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018****FUND STATISTICS:**

Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$5.89
Market Price	\$5.38
Discount	-8.7 %

	Quarter		2018	
Distributions*	\$ 0.16		\$ 0.68	
Market Price Trading Range	\$4.90 to \$6.87		\$4.90 to \$6.87	
Discount Range	-2.7% to -12.1%		-2.3% to -12.1%	
PERFORMANCE:				
Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested	-13.43	%	-4.48	%
Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested	-18.72	%	-4.85	%
Dow Jones Industrial Average	-11.31	%	-3.48	%
Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average	-13.45	%	-5.64	%
NASDAQ Composite Index	-17.29	%	-2.84	%
S&P 500 [®] Index	-13.52	%	-4.38	%

* All 2018 distributions consist of ordinary dividends, long-term capital gains and return of capital. A breakdown of each 2018 distribution for federal income tax purposes can be found in the table on page 43.

The views expressed in the President's Letter, Unique Fund Attributes and Manager Roundtable reflect the current views of the respective parties. These views are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict, so actual outcomes and results may differ significantly from the views expressed. These views are subject to change at any time based upon economic, market or other conditions, and the respective parties disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for the Fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent. References to specific company securities should not be construed as a recommendation or investment advice.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund President's Letter

(Unaudited)

LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE SUMMARY AND DISTRIBUTIONS PERIODS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018 LIBERTY ALL-STAR® EQUITY FUND	ANNUALIZED RATES OF RETURN		
	3 YEARS	5 YEARS	10 YEARS
Distributions	\$ 1.72	\$ 2.62	\$ 4.25
Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested	8.76 %	6.75 %	12.20 %
Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested	10.72 %	7.32 %	13.26 %
Dow Jones Industrial Average	12.94 %	9.70 %	13.16 %
Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average	7.91 %	6.93 %	11.97 %
NASDAQ Composite Index	11.10 %	10.97 %	16.77 %
S&P 500® Index	9.26 %	8.49 %	13.12 %

Performance returns for the Fund are total returns, which include dividends. Returns are net of management fees and other Fund expenses.

The returns shown for the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average are based on open-end mutual funds' total returns, which include dividends, and are net of fund expenses. Returns for the unmanaged Dow Jones Industrial Average, NASDAQ Composite Index and the S&P 500® Index are total returns, including dividends. A description of the Lipper benchmark and the market indices can be found on page 58.

Past performance cannot predict future results. Performance will fluctuate with market conditions. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data shown. Performance information does not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders would pay on Fund distributions or the sale of Fund shares. An investment in the Fund involves risk, including loss of principal.

Closed-end funds raise money in an initial public offering and shares are listed and traded on an exchange. Open-end mutual funds continuously issue and redeem shares at net asset value. Shares of closed-end funds frequently trade at a discount to net asset value. The price of the Fund's shares is determined by a number of factors, several of which are beyond the control of the Fund. Therefore, the Fund cannot predict whether its shares will trade at, below or above net asset value.

4 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Unique Fund Attributes

(Unaudited)

UNIQUE ATTRIBUTES OF Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund

Several attributes help to make the Fund a core equity holding for investors seeking diversification, income and the potential for long-term appreciation.

MULTI-MANAGEMENT FOR INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund is multi-managed, an investment discipline that is followed by large institutional investors to diversify their portfolios. In 1986, Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund became the first closed-end fund to bring multi-management to individual investors.

REAL-TIME TRADING AND LIQUIDITY

The Fund has a fixed number of shares that trade on the New York Stock Exchange and other exchanges. Share pricing is continuous—not just end-of-day, as it is with open-end mutual funds. In addition, Fund shares offer immediate liquidity and there are no annual sales fees.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Unique Fund Attributes

(Unaudited)

ACCESS TO INSTITUTIONAL MANAGERS

The Fund's investment managers invest primarily for pension funds, endowments, foundations and other institutions. Because institutional managers are closely monitored by their clients, they tend to be more disciplined and consistent in their investment process.

MONITORING AND REBALANCING

ALPS Advisors continuously monitors these investment managers to ensure that they are performing as expected and adhering to their style and strategy, and will replace managers when warranted. Periodic rebalancing maintains the Fund's structural integrity and is a well-recognized investment discipline.

ALIGNMENT AND OBJECTIVITY

Alignment with shareholders' best interests and objective decision-making help to ensure that the Fund is managed openly and equitably. In addition, the Fund is governed by a Board of Trustees that is elected by and responsible to shareholders.

DISTRIBUTION POLICY

Since 1988, the Fund has followed a policy of paying annual distributions on its shares at a rate that approximates historical equity market returns. The current annual distribution rate is 10 percent of the Fund's net asset value (paid quarterly at 2.5 percent per quarter), providing a systematic mechanism for distributing funds to shareholders.

6 www.all-starfunds.com

Investment Managers/
Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Portfolio Characteristics

(Unaudited)

THE FUND'S ASSETS ARE APPROXIMATELY EQUALLY DISTRIBUTED AMONG THREE VALUE MANAGERS AND TWO GROWTH MANAGERS:

ALPS Advisors, Inc., the investment advisor to the Fund, has the ultimate authority (subject to oversight by the Board of Trustees) to oversee the investment managers and recommend their hiring, termination and replacement.

MANAGERS' DIFFERING INVESTMENT STRATEGIES ARE REFLECTED IN PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS

The portfolio characteristics table below is a regular feature of the Fund's shareholder reports. It serves as a useful tool for understanding the value of a multi-managed portfolio. The characteristics are different for each of the Fund's five investment managers. These differences are a reflection of the fact that each pursues a different investment style. The shaded column highlights the characteristics of the Fund as a whole, while the final column shows portfolio characteristics for the S&P 500® Index.

INVESTMENT STYLE SPECTRUM

PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2018	VALUE					GROWTH			S&P 500® Index
	Pzena	Macquarie	Aristotle	Sustainable	TCW	Total Fund			
Number of Holdings	41	32	43	28	33	148 *			505
Percent of Holdings in Top 10	34 %	34 %	34 %	43 %	52 %	19 %			21 %
Weighted Average Market Capitalization (billions)	\$74	\$ 96	\$ 103	\$ 175	\$ 179	\$ 127			\$ 201

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

Average Five-Year Earnings Per Share Growth	0 %	7 %	11 %	13 %	27 %	11 %	9 %
Dividend Yield	3.0%	2.8 %	2.1 %	1.1 %	0.6 %	1.9 %	2.2 %
Price/Earnings Ratio**	13 x	17 x	16 x	27 x	33 x	19 x	18 x
Price/Book Value Ratio	1.2x	2.1 x	2.2 x	7.1 x	6.3 x	2.5 x	3.0 x

**Certain holdings are held by more than one manager.*

***Excludes negative earnings.*

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 7

Liberty All-Star[®] Equity Fund Investment Growth

(Unaudited)

GROWTH OF A HYPOTHETICAL \$10,000 INVESTMENT

The graph below illustrates the growth of a hypothetical \$10,000 investment assuming the purchase of shares of beneficial interest at the closing market price (NYSE: USA) of \$6.00 on December 31, 1987, and tracking its progress through December 31, 2018. For certain information, it also assumes that a shareholder exercised all primary rights in the Fund's rights offerings (see below). This graph covers the period since the Fund commenced its distribution policy in 1988.

The growth of the investment assuming all distributions were received in cash and not reinvested back into the Fund. The value of the investment under this scenario grew to \$52,933 (including the December 31, 2018 value of the original investment of \$8,967 plus distributions during the period of \$42,849 and tax credits on retained capital gains of \$1,117).

The additional value realized through reinvestment of all distributions and tax credits. The value of the investment under this scenario grew to \$194,192.

The additional value realized through full participation in all the rights offerings under the terms of each offering. The value of the investment under this scenario grew to \$296,281 excluding the cost to fully participate in all the rights offerings under the terms of each offering which was \$49,966.

Past performance cannot predict future results. Performance will fluctuate with changes in market conditions. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data shown. Performance information does not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders would pay on Fund distributions or the sale of Fund shares. An investment in the Fund involves risk, including loss of principal.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund
 Table of Distributions and
 Rights Offerings

(Unaudited)

YEAR	PER SHARE DISTRIBUTIONS	RIGHTS OFFERINGS SHARES NEEDED TO			TAX CREDITS ¹
		MONTH COMPLETED	PURCHASE ONE ADDITIONAL	SUBSCRIPTION PRICE	
1988	\$ 0.64				
1989	0.95				
1990	0.90				
1991	1.02				
1992	1.07	April	10	\$ 10.05	
1993	1.07	October	15	10.41	\$ 0.18
1994	1.00	September	15	9.14	
1995	1.04				
1996	1.18				0.13
1997	1.33				0.36
1998	1.40	April	20	12.83	
1999	1.39				
2000	1.42				
2001	1.20				
2002	0.88	May	10	8.99	
2003	0.78				
2004	0.89	July	10	² 8.34	
2005	0.87				
2006	0.88				
2007	0.90	December	10	6.51	
2008	0.65				
2009 ³	0.31				
2010	0.31				
2011	0.34				
2012	0.32				
2013	0.35				
2014	0.39				
2015 ⁴	0.51				

2016	0.48
2017 ⁵	0.56
2018	0.68
Total	\$ 25.71

The Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains exceeded the amount to be distributed under the Fund's distribution policy. In each case, the Fund elected to pay taxes on the undistributed income and passed through a proportionate tax credit to shareholders.

²The number of shares offered was increased by an additional 25 percent to cover a portion of the over-subscription requests.

³Effective with the second quarter distribution, the annual distribution rate was changed from 10 percent to 6 percent.

⁴Effective with the second quarter distribution, the annual distribution rate was changed from 6 percent to 8 percent.

⁵Effective with the fourth quarter distribution, the annual distribution rate was changed from 8 percent to 10 percent.

Major Stock Changes in the Quarter
Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund
and Distribution Policy

December 31, 2018 (Unaudited)

The following are the major (\$5 million or more) stock changes - both purchases and sales - that were made in the Fund's portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2018.

SECURITY NAME	SHARES	
	PURCHASES	SALES
	AS OF	
	(SALES)	12/31/18
PURCHASES		
Facebook, Inc., Class A	46,547	127,597
Intuit, Inc.	39,223	39,223
Lear Corp.	53,027	53,027
SALES		
JB Hunt Transport Services, Inc.	(45,700)	0
Red Hat, Inc.	(76,632)	0
Schlumberger, Ltd.	(169,673)	0

DISTRIBUTION POLICY

The current policy is to pay distributions on its shares totaling approximately 10 percent of its net asset value per year, payable in four quarterly installments of 2.5 percent of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. Sources of distributions to shareholders may include ordinary dividends, long-term capital gains and return of capital. The actual amounts and sources of the amounts for tax reporting purposes will depend upon the Fund's investment experience during its fiscal year and may be subject to changes based on tax regulations. If a distribution includes anything other than net investment income, the Fund provides a Section 19(a) notice of the best estimate of its distribution sources at that time. These estimates may not match the final tax characterization (for the full year's distributions) contained in shareholder 1099-DIV forms after the end of the year. If the Fund's ordinary dividends and long-term capital gains for any year exceed the amount distributed under the distribution policy, the Fund may, in its discretion, retain and not distribute capital gains and pay income tax thereon to the extent of such excess.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Top 20 Holdings and Economic Sectors

December 31, 2018 (Unaudited)

	PERCENT	
TOP 20 HOLDINGS*	OF	NET ASSETS
Visa, Inc., Class A	2.22	%
Amazon.com, Inc.	2.17	
Adobe, Inc.	2.10	
Alphabet, Inc., Class C	2.05	
Salesforce.com, Inc.	1.85	
Mondelez International, Inc., Class A	1.68	
Microsoft Corp.	1.66	
Abbott Laboratories	1.51	
Facebook, Inc., Class A	1.41	
PayPal Holdings, Inc.	1.41	
Oracle Corp.	1.30	
Equinix, Inc.	1.29	
American International Group, Inc.	1.23	
Lowe's Cos., Inc.	1.22	
Bank of America Corp.	1.19	
Home Depot, Inc.	1.17	
Halliburton Co.	1.15	
Amgen, Inc.	1.12	
Booking Holdings, Inc.	1.12	
Edison International	1.11	
	29.96	%

	PERCENT	
ECONOMIC SECTORS*	OF	NET ASSETS
Information Technology	19.75	%
Health Care	16.55	
Financials	15.52	
Consumer Discretionary	12.03	
Communication Services	7.28	
Industrials	6.48	
Consumer Staples	5.91	

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

Energy	5.63	
Real Estate	3.57	
Materials	3.11	
Utilities	1.53	
Other Net Assets	2.64	
	100.00	%

*Because the Fund is actively managed, there can be no guarantee that the Fund will continue to hold securities of the indicated issuers and sectors in the future.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 11

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

A stock market that reached record highs in September reversed course in the fourth quarter to close the year lower. Now, bulls and bears alike can make a case for what 2019 may hold. In the midst of the uncertainty, the Fund's value and growth style managers share their thoughts for the year ahead as well as perspective on the longer term.

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund's five investment managers represent long experience, deep knowledge, a proven track record and, given that they represent both growth and value styles of investing, a broad point of view on the stock market and equity investing generally. Thus, once again, we are grateful to be able to call upon this resource to provide Fund shareholders with commentary and insight. The Fund's Investment Advisor, ALPS Advisors, serves as moderator of the roundtable. Participating investment management firms, the portfolio manager for each, and their respective styles and strategies are:

ARISTOTLE CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

Portfolio Manager/Howard Gleicher, CFA

CEO and Chief Investment Officer

Investment Style/Value – Aristotle seeks to invest in high quality companies that it believes are selling at a significant discount to their intrinsic value and where catalysts exist that will lead to a realization by the market of this true value. Aristotle practices a fundamental, bottom-up research-driven process and invests with a long-term perspective.

MACQUARIE INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Portfolio Manager/Nik Lalvani, CFA

Senior Portfolio Manager, Team Leader

Investment Style/Value – Macquarie uses a research-intensive approach to identify companies it believes are undervalued as indicated by multiple factors, including the earnings and cash flow potential or the assets of the company. Macquarie seeks to buy companies at times of excessive pessimism and sell at times of undue optimism.

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

Portfolio Managers/Richard S. Pzena, Founder, Managing Principal and Co-Chief Investment Officer

John J. Flynn, Principal and Portfolio Manager

Benjamin S. Silver, CFA, CPA, Principal and Portfolio Manger

Investment Style/Value – Pzena uses fundamental research and a disciplined process to identify good companies with a sustainable business advantage that the firm believes are undervalued on the basis of current price to an estimated normal level of earnings.

SUSTAINABLE GROWTH ADVISERS, LP

Portfolio Manager/Rob Rohn

Co-Founder and Portfolio Manager

Investment Style/Growth—Sustainable Growth Advisers (SGA) focuses on companies that have unique characteristics that lead to a high degree of predictability, strong profitability and above-average earnings and cash flow growth over the long term.

TCW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY

Portfolio Manager/Craig C. Blum, CFA

Group Managing Director

Investment Style/Growth – TCW invests in companies that have superior sales growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit margins. TCW's concentrated growth equity strategy seeks companies with distinct advantages in their business model.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

Going into the new year, the environment is much different than it was a year ago. As 2018 dawned, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act had been signed into law, global economic growth had momentum and the domestic economy was accelerating. Uncertainty is the watchword heading into 2019: global growth has stalled, the outcome of tariff disputes is an unknown, the yield curve is flashing warning signals and rate-sensitive sectors of the domestic economy may be vulnerable.

In the context of your style and strategy, how are you thinking about 2019? How are you positioning your portfolio? Are you making accommodations for a less certain environment, or taking a fully bottom-up approach and investing in companies you expect to prosper regardless of the environment?

Growth, or the potential lack of it, seems to be on everyone’s mind so let’s ask the growth style managers to open the discussion.

“We intend to maintain our unique portfolio construction process—about two-thirds 'offensive' growth and about one-third 'defensive' growth.”

—Craig Blum

(TCW – Growth)

Blum (TCW – Growth): We believe the volatility that commenced in 4Q18 likely continues throughout 2019. And, while this may be naturally uncomfortable in the near term, as active stock pickers we believe it affords us the unique opportunity to increase our weightings in high-conviction holdings at potentially lower prices. We intend to maintain our unique portfolio construction process—about two-thirds “offensive” growth and about one-third “defensive” growth—and we believe our investment process remains actionable and repeatable throughout any volatile period. Indeed, it is purpose-built to exploit the confusion and fear that can grip markets. While we are always mindful of our exposures, we believe many of the broad secular themes to which we are exposed (e.g. cloud computing, digital transformation, mobile, e-commerce and digital payments) should continue to grow despite the trickiness of this market cycle set-up. Secular growth, which is our bailiwick, becomes increasingly valuable as global growth decelerates—not uncommon at this stage of the business cycle.

“SGA remains focused on identifying the most attractively valued high quality secular growth businesses regardless of the macroeconomic sentiments buffeting the markets.”

—Rob Rohn

(Sustainable – Growth)

Rohn (Sustainable – Growth): SGA remains focused on identifying the most attractively valued high quality secular growth businesses regardless of the macroeconomic sentiments buffeting the markets. As such, we do not attempt to position the portfolio toward more defensive companies in periods of market stress or more aggressive positions in times of market strength. In fact, there is often a contrarian aspect to our approach as we conduct our due diligence on businesses to ensure they meet our business quality and growth criteria and then wait until they become more attractively priced using our cash flow-based valuation process. Our strength is in understanding businesses, including their pricing power, the nature of their revenue drivers, the duration of their growth opportunities, their ability to generate free cash flow and management’s abilities and incentives. Over time, we have found that greater volatility in the markets typically benefits our approach as highly attractive growth businesses that had previously been deemed too expensive become buyable given our valuation work and three-to five-year time horizon. Today is no different. Over the last year we anticipated a return to higher volatility and a deceleration in corporate growth rates, and actively trimmed some of our higher momentum beneficiaries consistent with our valuation discipline.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

Great start, thank you. Value managers, what's your thinking? Howard Gleicher, start us off, please.

Gleicher (Aristotle – Value): As you've likely heard us say before, our investment style relies little on macro forecasts and is almost entirely based on individual security analysis. Rather than investing in a company based on one's view of a trend, our views of trends are formed based on the companies we study.

Companies, for the most part, are telling us that the economy, particularly in the U.S., is doing quite well. Yes, inflationary pressures are increasing (e.g. labor, transportation, raw materials, etc.); however, most of the companies we are invested in command pricing power, enabling them to pass this through.

Rich and Nik, how do Pzena and Macquarie view 2019?

“Uncertainties that impacted broad market performance in 2018 have created opportunities across a wide range of industries that are well represented in our portfolio.”

—Rich Pzena

(Pzena – Value)

Pzena (Pzena – Value): Pzena's value investment discipline has always been based on a bottom-up, research-driven process to construct a portfolio of 30-40 deeply undervalued businesses. We pay close attention to buying good businesses with an identifiable path to earnings normalization, in many cases driven by specific self-help initiatives. Downside protection is also critical and includes our assessment of a company's financial strength and flexibility of its cost structure. Uncertainties that impacted broad market performance in 2018 have created opportunities across a wide range of industries that are well represented in our portfolio where valuations have been punished and are already discounting negative outcomes, whereas we are finding few investments in areas considered “safe” (i.e., utilities, REITs) preferred by investors during times of uncertainty. Valuation spreads between the cheapest and most expensive stocks in our investment universe continue to be wide, providing a rich opportunity set for the value investor. Historically, these valuation levels have led to periods of future outperformance.

Lalvani (Macquarie – Value): As patient, long-term investors, our thinking is based on a horizon of at least three to five years. Over this timeframe, we are cautious about the prospects for U.S. equities because of elevated stock market

valuations, the potential for slower economic growth and the extended length of the current expansion. Recently, the U.S. economy has benefited from several key supports, including low unemployment, strong consumer sentiment, a boost in corporate earnings and rising business investment. We think some of these have been helped by the recent tax law changes and wonder to what extent the effects will be temporary. Looking ahead, it's our view that the rate of economic growth will return to below-trend levels owing to high debt, a growing budget deficit, aging demographics and the removal of Federal Reserve stimulus. Our concerns about equity valuations and potential market risks mean that we remain defensively oriented with a focus on higher quality businesses that offer attractive relative value.

14 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

The reverse of uncertainty: What sectors or industries are you enthusiastic about going into 2019? Technology has led the market; is there a new leader poised to emerge?

Pzena (Pzena – Value): We are very enthusiastic about our portfolio given both the level of valuations and the quality of the business franchises. These businesses tend to be in more economically-sensitive sectors such as financials, energy, industrials and consumer discretionary. Fears of disruption have also created opportunities in industries such as pharmaceutical distribution where we believe there are good businesses with sustainable franchises that are defensible against the “Amazon effect.” Our positioning in financials, the portfolio’s largest exposure, includes banks where we are finding both attractive valuations and the potential for continued earnings improvement against a backdrop of vastly improved financial strength and stability.

Good thoughts. Nik, what does Macquarie see at this point?

“In our strategy, we maintain exposure to all economic sectors. Currently, our largest allocation is in health care... based on our view of the sector’s long-term attractiveness.”

—Nik Lalvani

(Macquarie – Value)

Lalvani (Macquarie – Value): In our strategy, we maintain exposure to all economic sectors. Currently, our largest allocation is in health care. This is based on our view of the sector’s long-term attractiveness. Health care has historically had a more defensive orientation and good long-term total return potential. There tends to be steady demand for health care products and services, and aging populations (and an expanding middle class) around the world should support utilization and spending. Balance sheet strength tends to be good as does cash flow generation and a good number of companies offer attractive dividend yields. Importantly, from our view, valuation levels are generally attractive. New competitive pressures are emerging in different parts of the sector and business models are changing in response as companies seek to add scale and purchasing power. Cost containment is becoming an increasingly important issue across the health care complex. Another attractive feature, in our view, is that health care companies aren’t generally dependent on the credit cycle.

How about Aristotle?

“We make no attempts at ‘playing the cycle’ or timing the markets...we are focused on high quality companies trading at attractive valuations with identifiable catalysts that can unlock their potential.”

—Howard Gleicher

(Aristotle – Value)

Gleicher (Aristotle – Value): As you point out, the broader markets have been driven by a handful of companies. We see much value under the surface. As an example, the leading homebuilder in the U.S. can be purchased for roughly 7x earnings. Some may point to cyclical concerns; however, at 1.2 million housing starts we do not believe we are near late cycle, as 2006 saw 2 million starts or nearly 70 percent higher from current levels.

To be clear, we make no attempts at “playing the cycle” or timing the markets. Instead, we are focused on our universe of what we believe to be high quality companies trading at attractive valuations with identifiable catalysts under management’s control that can unlock the potential we see in these businesses.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

Growth managers, where do you see market leadership coming from?

Rohn (Sustainable – Growth): Consistent with the bottom-up process described, we do not set about evaluating sector attractiveness. From time to time, we do find that there are more attractive candidates for purchase in a specific sector, but that is only determined through our bottom-up research process. SGA's portfolios reflect the most attractive individual business opportunities we can identify, regardless of the sectors they fall within or how our portfolio might look relative to an index or benchmark. While the technology sector has led the market in recent years, the companies in the sector we have been invested in encompass a wide variety of businesses ranging from Visa, a credit and debit card processor, to FleetCor, a company that builds closed networks of expense management services, to Red Hat, a leader in open source software development that recently agreed to be acquired by IBM. The strength in a narrow set of stocks that resided in the technology, consumer discretionary and communication services sectors reflected a market where returns were heavily bifurcated, with wide swaths of quality growth businesses being rewarded to a much lesser extent. With today's increased market volatility, we are seeing attractive opportunities across a wide array of sectors and have been taking advantage of large price swings across our portfolio.

Blum (TCW – Growth): A convenient market narrative over the past several years has been that given technology's outperformance relative to the broader market, mean reversion is inevitable and technology stocks must therefore be due for a pullback. We disagree. Tech profits in the current cycle have demonstrated the power of idiosyncratic growth even as profits in many other sectors have struggled. Might another sector provide better stock returns in 2019? Possibly. Over the long term, however, we believe investors are best suited being exposed to secular themes with irrefutable growth profiles. Many of those happen to be in the technology sector. In our opinion, the key to long-term performance is owning only a select group of companies with strong business models, pricing power and growing end markets. This has been our focus for many years.

Share with us, please, two examples of stocks in the portfolio—whether new or long-time holdings—that reflect your outlook for the coming year or year-plus and why you like them. Craig Blum, we'll stay with you.

Blum (TCW – Growth): We have owned Visa for over a decade. The company acts as a tollbooth on financial transactions, generating a small amount of revenue from every transaction that goes through its network. Its immense scale in the secularly growing end market of electronic payments allows earnings per share (EPS) to flourish when volume growth accelerates but EPS has also remained resilient even when volume growth stalls, i.e., Visa was still able to generate north of 25 percent earnings growth from 1Q08 through 2Q09.

ServiceNow, which we have owned for over five years, is a leading provider of IT service management solutions within the cloud. The company acts as a “virtual help desk” for accessing IT-related help. We believe the digital transformation is ongoing as corporations look to improve productivity, and should the economy head into a recession, demand for its products could increase as corporations look to cut costs.

16 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

Rob, finish it up for the growth managers.

Rohn (Sustainable – Large-Cap Growth): Financial management and tax preparation software provider Intuit serves a broad, interconnected ecosystem of customers, accountants, financial institutions and government entities that trust Intuit’s products to manage highly sensitive financial information. The company has earned the ability to increase the price of its products by maintaining this trust and gradually adding additional functionality to its offerings. This trust and added functionality, together with the interrelated parties it serves, make switching from Intuit to a competitor costlier and riskier for current clients. We expect Intuit’s strong referral network within the accounting market and its significant scale advantages to enable the company to use machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) to even more effectively automate workflows relative to peers. As a result of this—as well as its strong reputation, high switching costs and risks, and its efforts to shift a majority of its small to mid-sized business accounting clients to recurring online subscriptions—Intuit enjoys a highly recurring revenue stream. We expect Intuit to be able to sustain organic double-digit revenue growth and faster operating profit growth over our three- to five-year investment horizon while enjoying high cash flow generation.

Abbott Laboratories is a diversified global health care company with four major operating segments: nutritionals, branded generics in emerging markets, diagnostics and medical devices. The company operates in 150-plus countries, with 40 percent of its sales coming from emerging markets. Under new CEO Miles White, the company has executed a transition whereby it has broadened the number of sustainable high growth franchises within its diversified global portfolio of drugs. Abbott’s businesses in international nutrition, global diagnostics, emerging market branded pharmaceuticals, continuous glucose monitoring, and some of the other medtech businesses are faster growing. These are driven by secular trends such as demographics, pressures to reduce labor costs, the growth of emerging market middle classes and rising consumer demand. Abbott’s other businesses are lower growth franchises that provide steady cash flow and have high barriers to entry. We are impressed by Abbott’s sustainable growth opportunities and expect management to continue repositioning the company toward higher growth markets, with a rising emphasis on emerging markets and innovation-driven growth. In addition, we see meaningful room for margin expansion as the company benefits from cost savings from its recent acquisitions of St. Jude Medical and Alere.

Value managers, what are two holdings in the portion of the Fund’s portfolio that you manage? Rich, we’ll start with you.

Pzena (Pzena – Value): Lear Corporation, a global leader in automotive seating and electronics, and American International Group (AIG), the multi-line global insurer, typify investments in our portfolio.

Lear, a new addition in 2018, has a dominant market share in both seating and electronics and we believe should continue to be a trusted supplier through possible industry upheaval due to electrification and autonomous driving. The company trades at a discount to our estimate of fair value because the market is concerned that auto volumes have peaked. We believe that Lear's strong balance sheet and free cash flow generation should enable the company to weather a cyclical downturn as the company gains share from its largest competitor Adient, which is having operational and financial issues.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 17

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

We bought AIG several years ago after it had been restructured and streamlined in the wake of the financial crisis. The stock has recently suffered due to outsized catastrophe losses and now trades at just 0.6x total book value and 5.9x our estimate of normalized earnings. We believe that AIG's notable scale, its global footprint, and its strong market share in a range of insurance lines should allow it to unlock significant value as the management team implements self-help initiatives that include cost rationalizations and tighter underwriting standards in its property & casualty business.

Gleicher (Aristotle – Value): We desire businesses in control of their own destiny. This may allow a company to thrive regardless of the external environment and perhaps even increase the odds of success during tougher macroeconomic conditions. Two examples of companies currently in the portfolio that we believe have control over their own destiny are ANSYS, a 2016 purchase, and Sony, a recent addition.

Based in Pennsylvania, ANSYS provides engineering simulation software that enables customers to test products before the manufacturing or design process is complete. The company's solutions study all aspects of a product, such as structural mechanics, fluid dynamics, electromagnetics and more. ANSYS currently serves over 45,000 customers and its software can be found in a wide range of industries, including automotive, aerospace, consumer goods, energy and health care. Customers are often delighted by simulation software's ability to save money by developing products better and faster. In addition, as of the third quarter of 2018 seventy-five percent of the company's revenues are recurring and switching costs are high, providing it with sustainable competitive advantages.

With over \$75 billion in annual sales in its 2018 fiscal year and an iconic brand, Sony is a global household name. After pruning business lines and refocusing various segments, Sony's main earnings drivers today are the game & network services, financial services, semiconductors and music segments. In addition to commanding leading market share positions, these businesses enable consumers to create, communicate and entertain across multiple platforms in the digital and physical worlds. Led by CEO Kenichiro Yoshida, Sony is undergoing a transformation from a slow-moving, and at times, loss-making entity to a product-focused, cost-conscious and, in our view, more consistently profitable global enterprise.

Nik, wrap it up for us, please.

Lalvani (Macquarie – Value): Since our outlook reflects our long-time horizon, I'll simply provide some thoughts on two of our more recent purchases.

Dollar Tree is the largest operator of discount variety stores in North America. The business has been counter-cyclical in prior economic downturns and is defined by value and convenience, making it somewhat insulated from the threat of online retailing. In 2015, Dollar Tree acquired the underperforming Family Dollar chain. If the company can execute a turnaround at Family Dollar, we believe it will drive significant earnings and cash flow in addition to higher valuation levels as investor concerns abate.

18 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Manager Roundtable

(Unaudited)

Oracle is a leading enterprise software company. In recent years, the enterprise IT market has been undergoing fundamental change because of the migration to cloud computing. Investors have been skeptical about Oracle's ability to effectively compete against more established cloud competitors—perceptions that appear overly pessimistic to us. Overall, we view Oracle as offering an attractive trade-off between risk and reward because of its valuation, balance sheet strength, cash flow generation and leadership position.

Many thanks to all for sharing your thinking, both about 2019 and how your style and strategy adapt to both the long and short term.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 19

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (97.36%)		
COMMUNICATION SERVICES (7.28%)		
Diversified Telecommunication Services (1.25%)		
AT&T, Inc.	238,600	\$ 6,809,644
Verizon Communications, Inc.	141,100	7,932,642
		14,742,286
Entertainment (0.85%)		
Walt Disney Co.	91,582	10,041,966
Interactive Media & Services (3.87%)		
Alphabet, Inc., Class C ^(a)	23,385	24,217,740
Facebook, Inc., Class A ^(a)	127,597	16,726,690
Twitter, Inc. ^(a)	170,200	4,891,548
		45,835,978
Media (1.31%)		
Interpublic Group of Cos., Inc.	329,201	6,791,417
News Corp., Class A	305,600	3,468,560
Omnicom Group, Inc.	71,400	5,229,336
		15,489,313
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY (12.03%)		
Auto Components (0.55%)		
Lear Corp.	53,027	6,514,897
Automobiles (0.57%)		
Ford Motor Co.	885,981	6,777,755
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure (0.93%)		
Yum! Brands, Inc.	119,201	10,956,956
Household Durables (1.34%)		
Lennar Corp., Class A	117,000	4,580,550
Lennar Corp., Class B	2,500	78,325
Newell Brands, Inc.	273,445	5,083,342
Sony Corp. ^{(b)(c)}	126,400	6,102,592
		15,844,809
Internet & Direct Marketing Retail (3.29%)		
Amazon.com, Inc. ^(a)	17,125	25,721,236
Booking Holdings, Inc. ^(a)	7,656	13,186,848
		38,908,084
Multiline Retail (0.67%)		
Dollar Tree, Inc. ^(a)	87,200	7,875,904

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Specialty Retail (3.86%)		
Home Depot, Inc.	80,743	\$ 13,873,262
Lowe's Cos., Inc.	155,726	14,382,854
TJX Cos., Inc.	167,018	7,472,385
Ulta Beauty, Inc. ^(a)	40,695	9,963,764
		45,692,265
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods (0.82%)		
Gildan Activewear, Inc. ^(b)	38,124	1,157,444
NIKE, Inc., Class B	115,934	8,595,347
		9,752,791
CONSUMER STAPLES (5.91%)		
Beverages (1.05%)		
Coca-Cola Co.	148,000	7,007,800
Monster Beverage Corp. ^(a)	109,200	5,374,824
		12,382,624
Food & Staples Retailing (1.36%)		
Costco Wholesale Corp.	29,200	5,948,332
Kroger Co.	178,000	4,895,000
Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc.	77,000	5,261,410
		16,104,742
Food Products (2.29%)		
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co.	177,300	7,263,981
Mondelez International, Inc., Class A	496,279	19,866,048
		27,130,029
Personal Products (1.21%)		
Estee Lauder Cos., Inc., Class A	63,204	8,222,841
Unilever NV	114,000	6,133,200
		14,356,041
ENERGY (5.63%)		
Energy Equipment & Services (1.61%)		
Halliburton Co.	512,476	13,621,612
National Oilwell Varco, Inc.	209,310	5,379,267
		19,000,879
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels (4.02%)		
BP PLC ^(c)	56,262	2,133,455
Cenovus Energy, Inc.	528,447	3,714,983
Concho Resources, Inc. ^(a)	23,500	2,415,565
ConocoPhillips	118,600	7,394,710
Exxon Mobil Corp.	66,865	4,559,524
Marathon Oil Corp.	425,210	6,097,511

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

Occidental Petroleum Corp.	102,900	6,316,002
Phillips 66	70,000	6,030,500

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 21

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels (continued)		
Pioneer Natural Resources Co.	25,500	\$ 3,353,760
Royal Dutch Shell PLC, Class A ^(c)	96,237	5,607,730
		47,623,740
FINANCIALS (15.52%)		
Banks (5.34%)		
Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria SA ^{(b)(c)}	810,000	4,284,900
Bank of America Corp.	572,857	14,115,196
BB&T Corp.	159,300	6,900,876
BOK Financial Corp.	42,000	3,079,860
Citigroup, Inc.	140,297	7,303,862
Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.	38,000	3,341,720
East West Bancorp, Inc.	94,300	4,104,879
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	108,487	10,590,501
Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. ^(c)	650,000	3,165,500
Wells Fargo & Co.	137,987	6,358,441
		63,245,735
Capital Markets (3.81%)		
Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	48,500	5,061,945
Bank of New York Mellon Corp.	152,200	7,164,054
Charles Schwab Corp.	138,200	5,739,446
Franklin Resources, Inc.	168,889	5,009,247
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	27,035	4,516,197
KKR & Co., Inc., Class A	140,872	2,765,317
Morgan Stanley	166,052	6,583,962
S&P Global, Inc.	24,804	4,215,192
UBS Group AG	325,600	4,030,928
		45,086,288
Consumer Finance (1.08%)		
Capital One Financial Corp.	168,578	12,742,811
Diversified Financial Services (0.86%)		
AXA Equitable Holdings, Inc.	248,482	4,132,256
Voya Financial, Inc.	150,003	6,021,120
		10,153,376
Insurance (4.43%)		
Allstate Corp.	83,300	6,883,079
American International Group, Inc.	369,904	14,577,917
Axis Capital Holdings, Ltd.	89,225	4,607,579
Chubb, Ltd.	93,600	12,091,248

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

Marsh & McLennan Cos., Inc.	93,200	7,432,700
MetLife, Inc.	166,053	6,818,136
		52,410,659

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
HEALTH CARE (16.55%)		
Biotechnology (3.03%)		
AbbVie, Inc.	48,600	\$ 4,480,434
Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ^(a)	34,200	3,329,712
Amgen, Inc.	68,005	13,238,533
BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc. ^(a)	52,100	4,436,315
Celgene Corp. ^(a)	26,200	1,679,158
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ^(a)	23,273	8,692,466
		35,856,618
Health Care Equipment & Supplies (4.25%)		
Abbott Laboratories	247,449	17,897,986
Align Technology, Inc. ^(a)	24,078	5,042,655
Becton Dickinson and Co.	39,530	8,906,900
Danaher Corp.	74,000	7,630,880
Medtronic PLC	76,500	6,958,440
West Pharmaceutical Services, Inc.	38,700	3,793,761
		50,230,622
Health Care Providers & Services (4.30%)		
Acadia Healthcare Co., Inc. ^(a)	112,000	2,879,520
Cardinal Health, Inc.	192,857	8,601,422
Cigna Corp.	48,145	9,143,699
CVS Health Corp.	116,900	7,659,288
McKesson Corp.	48,431	5,350,173
Quest Diagnostics, Inc.	74,500	6,203,615
UnitedHealth Group, Inc.	44,494	11,084,345
		50,922,062
Life Sciences Tools & Services (0.46%)		
Illumina, Inc. ^(a)	18,145	5,442,230
Pharmaceuticals (4.51%)		
Johnson & Johnson	60,600	7,820,430
Merck & Co., Inc.	170,093	12,996,806
Mylan NV ^(a)	205,038	5,618,041
Novartis AG ^(c)	60,500	5,191,505
Novo Nordisk A/S ^(c)	160,301	7,385,067
Pfizer, Inc.	182,000	7,944,300
Zoetis, Inc.	74,400	6,364,176
		53,320,325
INDUSTRIALS (6.48%)		
Aerospace & Defense (1.55%)		

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

General Dynamics Corp.	36,000	5,659,560
Northrop Grumman Corp.	26,700	6,538,830

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 23

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Aerospace & Defense (continued)		
Raytheon Co.	39,800	\$ 6,103,330 18,301,720
Building Products (0.44%)		
Johnson Controls International PLC	174,000	5,159,100
Commercial Services & Supplies (1.10%)		
Waste Connections, Inc.	70,200	5,212,350
Waste Management, Inc.	88,300	7,857,817 13,070,167
Industrial Conglomerates (0.58%)		
General Electric Co.	914,524	6,922,947
Machinery (1.99%)		
Dover Corp.	69,669	4,943,015
Oshkosh Corp.	90,500	5,548,555
Parker-Hannifin Corp.	39,000	5,816,460
Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.	20,066	2,402,703
Xylem, Inc.	71,700	4,783,824 23,494,557
Professional Services (0.82%)		
IHS Markit, Ltd. ^(a)	89,200	4,278,924
TransUnion	96,600	5,486,880 9,765,804
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (19.75%)		
Communications Equipment (0.66%)		
Cisco Systems, Inc.	180,800	7,834,064
IT Services (6.69%)		
Alliance Data Systems Corp.	32,276	4,843,982
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	57,500	7,539,400
Cognizant Technology Solutions Corp., Class A	95,884	6,086,716
FleetCor Technologies, Inc. ^(a)	53,574	9,949,763
Mastercard, Inc., Class A	40,855	7,707,296
PayPal Holdings, Inc. ^(a)	198,400	16,683,456
Visa, Inc., Class A	199,356	26,303,031 79,113,644
Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment (1.59%)		
Intel Corp.	168,600	7,912,398
Microchip Technology, Inc. ^(b)	89,000	6,400,880

NVIDIA Corp.

33,943

4,531,391

18,844,669

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMMON STOCKS (continued)		
Software (10.31%)		
Adobe, Inc. ^(a)	109,745	\$ 24,828,709
ANSYS, Inc. ^(a)	45,000	6,432,300
Autodesk, Inc. ^(a)	79,682	10,247,902
Intuit, Inc.	39,223	7,721,047
Microsoft Corp.	192,875	19,590,314
Oracle Corp.	339,700	15,337,455
salesforce.com, Inc. ^(a)	159,807	21,888,765
ServiceNow, Inc. ^(a)	57,897	10,308,561
Splunk, Inc. ^(a)	53,700	5,630,445
		121,985,498
Technology Hardware, Storage & Peripherals (0.50%)		
Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co.	446,563	5,899,097
MATERIALS (3.11%)		
Chemicals (2.61%)		
DowDuPont, Inc.	131,331	7,023,582
Ecolab, Inc.	73,157	10,779,684
Linde PLC	46,429	7,244,781
PPG Industries, Inc.	57,000	5,827,110
		30,875,157
Construction Materials (0.50%)		
Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.	34,100	5,860,767
REAL ESTATE (3.57%)		
Equity Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) (3.57%)		
American Tower Corp.	76,600	12,117,354
Equinix, Inc.	43,155	15,214,727
Equity LifeStyle Properties, Inc.	36,800	3,574,384
Equity Residential	117,400	7,749,574
Sun Communities, Inc.	35,100	3,570,021
		42,226,060
UTILITIES (1.53%)		
Electric Utilities (1.11%)		
Edison International	231,988	13,169,959
Gas Utilities (0.42%)		
National Fuel Gas Co.	97,000	4,964,460
TOTAL COMMON STOCKS		

(COST OF \$1,028,217,334)

1,151,929,455

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 25

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2018

	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS (3.85%)		
MONEY MARKET FUND (3.59%)		
State Street Institutional US Government Money Market Fund, 2.263% ^(d) (COST OF \$42,426,142)	42,426,142	\$ 42,426,142
INVESTMENTS PURCHASED WITH COLLATERAL FROM SECURITIES LOANED (0.26%)		
State Street Navigator Securities Lending Government Money Market Portfolio, 2.39% (COST OF \$2,996,563)	2,996,563	2,996,563
TOTAL SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS (COST OF \$45,422,705)		45,422,705
TOTAL INVESTMENTS (101.21%) (COST OF \$1,073,640,039)		1,197,352,160
LIABILITIES IN EXCESS OF OTHER ASSETS (-1.21%)		(14,276,626)
NET ASSETS (100.00%)		\$ 1,183,075,534
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE (200,811,904 SHARES OUTSTANDING)		\$ 5.89

(a) Non-income producing security.

(b) Security, or a portion of the security position, is currently on loan. The total market value of securities on loan is \$6,923,569.

(c) American Depositary Receipt.

(d) Rate reflects seven-day effective yield on December 31, 2018.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Statement of Assets and Liabilities

December 31, 2018

ASSETS:

Investments at market value (Cost \$1,073,640,039)	\$1,197,352,160
Receivable for investment securities sold	9,365,883
Dividends and interest receivable	1,538,810
Tax reclaim receivable	119,979
Prepaid and other assets	1,592
TOTAL ASSETS	1,208,378,424

LIABILITIES:

Payable for investments purchased	933,765
Distributions payable to shareholders	20,228,447
Investment advisory fee payable	736,983
Payable for administration, pricing and bookkeeping fees	188,593
Payable for collateral upon return of securities loaned	2,996,563
Accrued expenses	218,539
TOTAL LIABILITIES	25,302,890
NET ASSETS	\$1,183,075,534

NET ASSETS REPRESENTED BY:

Paid-in capital	\$1,091,609,296
Total distributable earnings	\$91,466,238
NET ASSETS	\$1,183,075,534

Shares of common stock outstanding (unlimited number of shares of beneficial interest without par value authorized)	200,811,904
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE	\$5.89

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Statement of Operations

For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

INVESTMENT INCOME:	
Dividends (Net of foreign taxes withheld at source which amounted to \$370,837)	\$22,796,588
Securities lending income	50,415
TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME	22,847,003
EXPENSES:	
Investment advisory fee	9,414,733
Administration fee	2,353,674
Pricing and bookkeeping fees	144,758
Audit fee	49,091
Custodian fee	111,597
Insurance expense	54,699
Legal fees	312,626
NYSE fee	200,640
Shareholder communication expenses	136,624
Transfer agent fees	114,896
Trustees' fees and expenses	223,862
Miscellaneous expenses	160,742
TOTAL EXPENSES	13,277,942
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	9,569,061
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN ON INVESTMENTS:	
Net realized gain on investment transactions	100,668,585
Net change in unrealized depreciation on investments	(168,327,936)
NET REALIZED AND UNREALIZED LOSS ON INVESTMENTS	(67,659,351)
NET DECREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	\$(58,090,290)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

28www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2018	For the Year Ended December 31, 2017
FROM OPERATIONS:		
Net investment income	\$9,569,061	\$8,005,064
Net realized gain on investment transactions	100,668,585	86,742,442
Net change in unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments	(168,327,936)	156,516,993
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Net Assets From Operations	(58,090,290)	251,264,499
DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS:		
From distributable earnings	(109,670,517)	(94,291,584)
Return of capital	(23,661,265)	(12,389,406)
Total Distributions	(133,331,782)	(106,680,990) ^(a)
CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS:		
Dividend reinvestments	44,719,226	24,205,291
Net increase resulting from Capital Share Transactions	44,719,226	24,205,291
Total Increase/(Decrease) in Net Assets	(146,702,846)	168,788,800
NET ASSETS:		
Beginning of period	1,329,778,380	1,160,989,580
End of period	\$1,183,075,534	\$1,329,778,380 ^(b)

^(a) For the year ended December 31, 2017, total distributions from distributable earnings consisted of distributions from net investment income of \$7,944,350 and net realized gains of \$86,347,234.

^(b) For the year ended December 31, 2017, net assets included distributions in excess of net investment income of \$23,578,731.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Liberty All-Star[®] Equity Fund

Financial Highlights

PER SHARE OPERATING PERFORMANCE:

Net asset value at beginning of period

INCOME FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS:

Net investment income^(a)

Net realized and unrealized gain/(loss) on investments

Total from Investment Operations

LESS DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS:

Net investment income

Net realized gain on investments

Return of capital

Total Distributions

Net asset value at end of period

Market price at end of period

TOTAL INVESTMENT RETURN FOR SHAREHOLDERS:^(b)

Based on net asset value

Based on market price

RATIOS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

Net assets at end of period (millions)

Ratio of expenses to average net assets

Ratio of net investment income to average net assets

Portfolio turnover rate

^(a) Calculated using average shares outstanding during the period.

^(b) Calculated assuming all distributions are reinvested at actual reinvestment prices and all primary rights in the Fund's rights offering were exercised. The net asset value and market price returns will differ depending upon the level of any discount from or premium to net asset value at which the Fund's shares traded during the period. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

30 www.all-starfunds.com

Financial Highlights

For the Year Ended December 31,

2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
\$6.87	\$6.13	\$6.18	\$6.84	\$6.71
0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02
(0.35)	1.26	0.39	(0.19)	0.50
(0.30)	1.30	0.43	(0.15)	0.52
(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.05)	–	(0.08)
(0.51)	(0.45)	(0.38)	(0.51)	(0.30)
(0.12)	(0.07)	(0.05)	–	(0.01)
(0.68)	(0.56)	(0.48)	(0.51)	(0.39)
\$5.89	\$6.87	\$6.13	\$6.18	\$6.84
\$5.38	\$6.30	\$5.16	\$5.35	\$5.98
(4.5 %)	23.4 %	9.1 %	(1.0 %)	8.9 %
(4.9 %)	34.4 %	6.1 %	(2.0 %)	7.0 %
\$1,183	\$1,330	\$1,161	\$1,137	\$1,225
1.00 %	1.01 %	1.07 %	1.04 %	1.03 %
0.72 %	0.64 %	0.76 %	0.60 %	0.32 %
22 %	21 %	46 %	76 %	36 %

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 31

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund (the “Fund”) is a Massachusetts business trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the “1940 Act”), as amended, as a diversified, closed-end management investment company.

Investment Goal

The Fund seeks total investment return comprised of long-term capital appreciation and current income through investing primarily in a diversified portfolio of equity securities.

Fund Shares

The Fund may issue an unlimited number of shares of beneficial interest.

NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. The Fund is considered an investment company under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and follows the accounting and reporting guidance applicable to investment companies in the Financial Accounting Standards Board *Accounting Standards Codification* Topic 946.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Security Valuation

Equity securities are valued at the last sale price at the close of the principal exchange on which they trade, except for securities listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ”), which are valued at the NASDAQ official closing price. Unlisted securities or listed securities for which there were no sales during the day are valued at the closing bid price on such exchanges or over-the-counter markets.

Cash collateral from securities lending activity is reinvested in the State Street Navigator Securities Lending Government Money Market Portfolio, a registered investment company under the 1940 Act, which operates as a money market fund in compliance with Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act. Shares of registered investment companies are valued daily at that investment company’s net asset value per share.

The Fund’s investments are valued at market value or, in the absence of market value with respect to any portfolio securities, at fair value according to procedures adopted by the Fund’s Board of Trustees (the “Board”). When market quotations are not readily available, or in management’s judgment they do not accurately reflect fair value of a security, or an event occurs after the market close but before the Fund is priced that materially affects the value of a security, the securities will be valued by the Fund’s Fair Valuation Committee using fair valuation procedures established by the Board. Examples of potentially significant events that could materially impact the value of a security include, but are not limited to: single issuer events such as corporate actions, reorganizations, mergers, spin-offs, liquidations, acquisitions and buyouts; corporate announcements on earnings or product offerings; regulatory news; and litigation and multiple issuer events such as governmental actions; natural disasters or armed conflicts that affect a country or a region; or significant market fluctuations. Potential significant events are monitored by the Advisor ALPS Advisors Inc. (the “Advisor” and “AAI”), Sub-Advisers and/or the Valuation Committee through independent reviews of market indicators, general news sources and communications from the Fund’s custodian. As of December 31, 2018, the Fund held no securities that were fair valued.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

Security Transactions

Security transactions are recorded on trade date. Cost is determined and gains/(losses) are based upon the specific identification method for both financial statement and federal income tax purposes.

Income Recognition

Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Corporate actions and dividend income are recorded on the ex-date.

The Fund estimates components of distributions from real estate investment trusts (“REITs”). Distributions received in excess of income are recorded as a reduction of the cost of the related investments. Once the REIT reports annually the tax character of its distributions, the Fund revises its estimates. If the Fund no longer owns the applicable securities, any distributions received in excess of income are recorded as realized gains.

Lending of Portfolio Securities

The Fund may lend its portfolio securities only to borrowers that are approved by the Fund’s securities lending agent, State Street Bank & Trust Co. (“SSB”). The Fund will limit such lending to not more than 30% of the value of its total assets. The borrower pledges and maintains with the Fund collateral consisting of cash (U.S. Dollar only), securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government or its agencies or instrumentalities, or by irrevocable bank letters of credit issued by a person other than the borrower or an affiliate of the borrower. The initial collateral received by the Fund is required to have a value of no less than 102% of the market value of the loaned securities for securities traded on U.S. exchanges and a value of no less than 105% of the market value for all other securities. The collateral is maintained thereafter, at a market value equal to no less than 100% of the current value of the securities on loan. The market value of the loaned securities is determined at the close of each business day and any additional required collateral is delivered to the Fund on the next business day. During the term of the loan, the Fund is entitled to all distributions made on or in respect of the loaned securities. Loans of securities are terminable at any time and the borrower, after notice, is required to return borrowed securities within the standard time period for settlement of securities transactions.

Any cash collateral received is reinvested in a money market fund managed by SSB as disclosed in the Fund’s Schedule of Investments and is reflected in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as a payable for collateral upon return of securities loaned. Non-cash collateral, in the form of securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government or its agencies or instrumentalities, is not disclosed in the Fund’s Statements of Assets and Liabilities as it is held by

the lending agent on behalf of the Fund and the Fund does not have the ability to re-hypothecate these securities. Income earned by the Fund from securities lending activity is disclosed in the Statement of Operations.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

The following is a summary of the Fund's securities lending positions and related cash and non-cash collateral received as of December 31, 2018:

Market Value of	Cash	Non-Cash	Total
Securities on Loan	Collateral Received	Collateral Received	Collateral Received
\$6,923,569	\$2,996,563	\$4,061,812	\$7,058,375

The risks of securities lending include the risk that the borrower may not provide additional collateral when required or may not return the securities when due. To mitigate these risks, the Fund benefits from a borrower default indemnity provided by SSB. SSB's indemnity allows for full replacement of securities lent wherein SSB will purchase the unreturned loaned securities on the open market by applying the proceeds of the collateral, or to the extent such proceeds are insufficient or the collateral is unavailable, SSB will purchase the unreturned loan securities at SSB's expense. However, the Fund could suffer a loss if the value of the investments purchased with cash collateral falls below the value of the cash collateral received.

The following table reflects a breakdown of transactions accounted for as secured borrowings, the gross obligation by the type of collateral pledged or securities loaned, and the remaining contractual maturity of those transactions as of December 31, 2018:

Remaining contractual maturity of the agreement

Securities Lending Transactions	Overnight & Continuous	Up to 30 days	30-90 days	Greater than 90 days	Total
Common Stocks	\$2,996,563	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$2,996,563
Total Borrowings					2,996,563
Gross amount of recognized liabilities for securities lending (collateral received)					\$2,996,563

Fair Value Measurements

The Fund discloses the classification of its fair value measurements following a three-tier hierarchy based on the inputs used to measure fair value. Inputs refer broadly to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk. Inputs may be observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability that are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability that are developed based on the best information available.

Valuation techniques used to value the Fund's investments by major category are as follows:

Equity securities that are valued based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets are categorized as Level 1 in the hierarchy. In the event there were no sales during the day or closing prices are not available, securities are valued at the mean of the most recent quoted bid and ask prices on such day and are generally categorized as Level 2 in the hierarchy. Investments in open-end mutual funds are valued at their closing NAV each business day and are categorized as Level 1 in the hierarchy.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments as of the end of the reporting period. When inputs used fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the hierarchy within which the fair value measurement falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The designated input levels are not necessarily an indication of the risk or liquidity associated with these investments.

These inputs are categorized in the following hierarchy under applicable financial accounting standards:

Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical investments, unrestricted assets or liabilities that a Fund has the ability to access at the measurement date;

Level 2 – Quoted prices which are not active, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable (either directly or indirectly) for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and

Level 3 – Significant unobservable prices or inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments) where there is little or no market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The following is a summary of the inputs used to value the Fund's investments as of December 31, 2018:

	Valuation Inputs			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Investments in Securities at Value*				
Common Stocks	\$ 1,151,929,455	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 1,151,929,455
Short Term Investments	45,422,705	–	–	45,422,705
Total	\$ 1,197,352,160	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 1,197,352,160

*See Schedule of Investments for industry classifications.

The Fund did not have any securities that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) in determining fair value during the period.

Distributions to Shareholders

The Fund currently has a policy of paying distributions on its shares of beneficial interest totaling approximately 10% of its net asset value per year. The distributions are payable in four quarterly distributions of 2.5% of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. Distributions to shareholders are recorded on ex-date.

NOTE 3. FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION AND TAX BASIS INFORMATION

The timing and character of income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. Reclassifications are made to the Fund's capital accounts for permanent tax differences to reflect income and gains available for distribution (or available capital loss carryforwards) under income tax regulations. If, for any calendar year, the total distributions made under the distribution policy exceed the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains, the excess will generally be treated as a non-taxable return of capital, reducing the shareholder's adjusted basis in his or her shares. If the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains for any year exceed the amount distributed under the distribution policy, the Fund may, in its discretion, retain and not distribute net realized capital gains and pay income tax thereon to the extent of such excess.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

*December 31, 2018***Classification of Distributions to Shareholders**

Net investment income/(loss) and net realized gain/(loss) may differ for financial statement and tax purposes. The character of distributions made during the year from net investment income or net realized gains may differ from its ultimate characterization for federal income tax purposes. Due to the timing of dividend distributions, the fiscal year in which amounts are distributed may differ from the fiscal year in which the income or realized gain was recorded by the Fund. The amounts and characteristics of tax basis distributions and composition of distributable earnings/(accumulated losses) are determined at the time in which distributions are paid, which may occur after the fiscal year end. Accordingly, tax basis balances have not been determined as of December 31, 2018.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, permanent book and tax basis differences resulting primarily from excess distributions were identified and reclassified among the components of the Fund's net assets as follows:

Distributable earnings	Paid-In Capital
\$(8,119,294)	\$8,119,294

The tax character of distributions paid during the years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 were as follows:

Distributions Paid From:	12/31/2018	12/31/2017
Ordinary Income	\$ 11,589,164	\$ 18,658,161
Long-term capital gains	98,081,353	75,633,423
Return of Capital	23,661,265	12,389,406
Total	\$ 133,331,782	\$ 106,680,990

As of December 31, 2018, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed	Accumulated	Net	Other	Total
		Unrealized	Cumulative	
Ordinary	Capital			
Income	Gains	Appreciation		

Effect of
Timing

Differences

\$ - \$ - \$123,133,432 \$(31,667,194) \$91,466,238

The other cumulative effect of timing differences in the components of distributable earnings is related to the difference in timing of the distributions payable for financial statement and tax purposes.

36 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

As of December 31, 2018, the cost of investments for federal income tax purposes and accumulated net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) on investments was as follows:

Cost of Investments	Gross unrealized Appreciation (excess of value over tax cost)	Gross unrealized Depreciation (excess of tax cost over value)	Net Unrealized Appreciation
\$1,074,218,728	\$216,418,045	\$(93,284,613)	\$123,133,432

The differences between book-basis and tax-basis are primarily due to deferral of losses from wash sales and the differing treatment of certain other investments.

Federal Income Tax Status

For federal income tax purposes, the Fund currently qualifies, and intends to remain qualified, as a regulated investment company under the provisions of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, by distributing substantially all of its investment company taxable net income including realized gain, not offset by capital loss carryforwards, if any, to its shareholders. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise taxes has been made.

As of and during the year ended December 31, 2018, the Fund did not have a liability for any unrecognized tax benefits. The Fund files U.S. federal, state, and local tax returns as required. The Fund's tax returns are subject to examination by the relevant tax authorities until expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, which is generally three years after the filing of the tax return. Tax returns for open years have incorporated no uncertain tax positions that require a provision for income taxes.

NOTE 4. FEES AND COMPENSATION PAID TO AFFILIATES

Investment Advisory Fee

AAI serves as the investment advisor to the Fund. AAI receives a monthly investment advisory fee based on the Fund's average daily net assets at the following annual rates:

Average Daily Net Assets	Annual Fee Rate
First \$400 million	0.800%
Next \$400 million	0.720%
Next \$400 million	0.648%
Over \$1.2 billion	0.584%

Investment Advisory Fees for the year ended December 31, 2018 are reported on the Statement of Operations.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

AAI retains multiple Portfolio Managers to manage the Fund's investments in various asset classes. AAI pays each Portfolio Manager a portfolio management fee based on the assets of the investment portfolio that they manage. The portfolio management fee is paid from the investment advisory fees collected by AAI and is based on the Fund's average daily net assets at the following annual rates:

Average Daily Net Assets	Annual Fee Rate
First \$400 million	0.400%
Next \$400 million	0.360%
Next \$400 million	0.324%
Over \$1.2 billion	0.292%

Administration, Bookkeeping and Pricing Services

ALPS Fund Services, Inc. ("ALPS") serves as the administrator to the Fund and the Fund has agreed to pay expenses incurred in connection with this service. Pursuant to an Administrative, Bookkeeping and Pricing Services Agreement, ALPS provides operational services to the Fund including, but not limited to, fund accounting and fund administration and generally assists in the Fund's operations. Officers of the Trust are employees of ALPS. The Fund's administration fee is accrued on a daily basis and paid monthly. Administration, Pricing and Bookkeeping fees paid by the Fund for the year ended December 31, 2018 are disclosed in the Statement of Operations.

The Fund also reimburses ALPS for out-of-pocket expenses and charges, including fees payable to third parties for pricing the Fund's portfolio securities and direct internal costs incurred by ALPS in connection with providing fund accounting oversight and monitoring and certain other services.

Fees Paid to Officers

All officers of the Fund, including the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer, are employees of AAI or its affiliates, and receive no compensation from the Fund. The Board of Trustees has appointed a Chief Compliance Officer to the Fund in accordance with federal securities regulations.

NOTE 5. PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Purchases and Sales of Securities

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of securities, excluding short-term obligations, were \$289,286,400 and \$373,647,420, respectively.

NOTE 6. CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2018 and year ended December 31, 2017, distributions in the amounts of \$44,719,226 and \$24,205,291, respectively, were paid in newly issued shares valued at market value or net asset value, but not less than 95% of market value. Such distributions resulted in the issuance of 7,228,907 and of 4,143,441 shares, respectively.

NOTE 7. INDEMNIFICATION

In the normal course of business, the Fund enters into contracts that contain a variety of representations and warranties and which provide general indemnities. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown, as this would involve future claims against the Fund. Also, under the Fund's organizational documents and by contract, the Trustees and Officers of the Fund are indemnified against certain liabilities that may arise out of their duties to the Fund. However, based on experience, the Fund expects the risk of loss due to these warranties and indemnities to be minimal.

38 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2018

NOTE 8. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENT

In August 2018, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2018-13, which changes the fair value measurement disclosure requirements of FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement. The update to Topic 820 includes new, eliminated, and modified disclosure requirements. ASU 2018-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods. Early adoption is permitted for any eliminated or modified disclosures. Eliminated and modified disclosures have been adopted effective with the financial statements prepared as of December 31, 2018.

NOTE 9. SEC REGULATIONS

On October 4, 2018, the SEC amended Regulation S-X to require certain financial statement disclosure requirements to conform them to GAAP for investment companies. Effective November 4, 2018, the Fund adopted disclosure requirement changes for Regulation S-X. These changes are reflected throughout this report. The Fund’s adoption of the amendments, effective with the financial statements prepared as of December 31, 2018, had no effect on the Fund’s net assets or results of operations.

Report of Independent Registered
Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and the Board of Trustees of Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund:

Opinion on the Financial Statements and Financial Highlights

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund (the “Fund”), including the schedule of investments, as of December 31, 2018, the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, and the related notes. In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Fund as of December 31, 2018, and the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Fund's financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Fund in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Fund is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements and financial highlights, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and financial highlights. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of December 31, 2018, by correspondence

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

with the custodian and brokers; when replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Deloitte & Touche LLP
Denver, Colorado
February 22, 2019

We have served as the auditor of one or more investment companies advised by ALPS Advisors, Inc. since 2007.

40 www.all-starfunds.com

Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and
Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Direct Purchase Plan

(Unaudited)

Under the Fund's Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Purchase Plan (the "Plan"), shareholders automatically participate and have all their Fund dividends and distributions reinvested by Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as agent for participants in the Plan (the "Plan Agent"), in additional shares of the Fund. For further information, call Investor Assistance at 1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863) weekdays between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time.

Shareholders whose shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank or other nominee can participate in the Plan only if their brokerage firm, bank or nominee is able to do so on their behalf. Shareholders participating in the Plan through a brokerage firm may not be able to transfer their shares to another brokerage firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

Under the Plan, all dividends and distributions will be reinvested in additional shares of the Fund. Distributions declared payable in cash will be reinvested for the accounts of participants in the Plan in additional shares purchased by the Plan Agent on the open market at prevailing market prices. If, prior to the Plan Agent's completion of such open market purchases, the market price of a share plus estimated brokerage commissions exceeds the net asset value, the remainder of the distribution will be paid in newly issued shares valued at net asset value (but not at a discount of more than 5% from market price). Distributions declared payable in shares (or cash at the option of shareholders) are paid to participants in the Plan entirely in newly issued full and fractional shares valued at the lower of market value or net asset value per share on the valuation date for the distribution (but not at a discount of more than 5 percent from market price). Dividends and distributions are subject to taxation, whether received in cash or in shares.

Plan participants have the option of making additional investments of \$100 or more on a monthly basis up to a maximum of \$120,000 in a calendar year. These direct purchases will be invested on or shortly after the 15th of each month and direct purchases should be sent so as to be received by the Plan Agent at least two business days prior to the next investment date. Barring suspension of trading, direct purchases will be invested within 35 days after such date. Alternatively, participants can authorize an automatic monthly deduction from a checking or savings account at a U.S. bank or other financial institution. A participant may withdraw a direct purchase by written notice received by the Plan Agent at least two business days before such payment is to be invested.

The Plan Agent maintains all shareholder accounts in the Plan and furnishes confirmations of all transactions in the account, including information needed by shareholders for tax records. Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Plan Agent in book-entry or noncertificated form in the name of the participant, and each shareholder's proxy will include those shares purchased or received pursuant to the Plan.

There is no charge to participants for reinvesting distributions pursuant to the Plan. The Plan Agent's fees are paid by the Fund, therefore indirectly by shareholders. There are no brokerage charges with respect to shares issued directly by the Fund as a result of dividends or distributions declared payable in shares. However, each participant bears a per share fee (which includes any brokerage commissions the Plan Agent is required to pay) incurred with respect to the Plan Agent's open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of distributions declared payable in cash.

Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and
Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Direct Purchase Plan

(Unaudited)

With respect to direct purchases, the Plan Agent will charge \$1.25 for purchase by check and \$2.00 for automatic investment transactions, plus a per share fee (which includes any brokerage commissions the Plan Agent is required to pay). Sales of shares held in the Plan will also be subject to a service fee of \$2.50 and a per share fee currently \$0.10. All fees described in this summary are subject to change. Please contact the Plan Agent for the current fees.

Shareholders may terminate their participation in the Plan by notifying the Plan Agent by telephone, through the Internet or in writing. Such termination will be effective immediately if notice is received by The Plan Agent prior to any dividend record date and all subsequent dividends and distributions will be paid in cash instead of shares.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan.

The full text of the Plan may be found on the Fund's website at www.all-starfunds.com.

42 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Additional Information

*(Unaudited)***TAX INFORMATION**

All 2018 distributions whether received in cash or shares of the Fund consist of the following:

- (1) ordinary dividends
- (2) long-term capital gains
- (3) return of capital

The table below details the breakdown of each 2018 distribution for federal income tax purposes.

Record Date	Payable Date	Amount per Share	Total Ordinary Dividends		Long-Term Capital Gains	Return of Capital
			Qualified	Non-Qualified		
11/17/17*	01/02/18	\$0.123316	9.26%	-	78.33%	12.41%
01/26/18	03/12/18	\$0.18	9.26%	-	78.33%	12.41%
04/27/18	06/11/18	\$0.17	9.26%	-	78.33%	12.41%
07/27/18	09/10/18	\$0.17	9.26%	-	78.33%	12.41%
11/16/18**	01/02/19	\$0.16	-	-	-	-

*Pursuant to Section 852 of the Internal Revenue Code, the taxability of this distribution will be reported in the Form 1099-DIV for 2018.

**Pursuant to Section 852 of the Internal Revenue Code, the taxability of this distribution will be reported in the Form 1099-DIV for 2019.

Tax Designations

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

The Fund designates the following as a percentage of taxable ordinary income distributions for the calendar year ended December 31, 2018:

Qualified Dividend Income	100.00%
Dividend Received Deduction	100.00%

Pursuant to Section 852(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, Liberty All-Star Equity Fund designated \$98,081,353 as long-term capital gain dividends.

SHAREHOLDER MEETING RESULTS

On August 23, 2018, the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Fund was held to elect three Trustees to the Board. On June 11, 2018, the record date for the meeting, the Fund had outstanding 197,002,326 shares of beneficial interest. The votes cast at the meeting were as follows:

Proposal 1 – To elect three Trustees:

Nominee	For	Against/Withheld
John A. Benning	175,641,422.730	4,434,184.267
Maureen Usifer	175,749,624.137	4,325,982.860
Edmund J. Burke	175,764,331.637	4,311,275.360

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and
Officers

(Unaudited)

The Fund's Statement of Additional Information includes additional information about the Fund's Trustees and is available, without charge, by contacting the Fund at 1-800-542-3863.

INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Equity Fund, Term of Office and Length of Service	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of	
			Fund Complex Overseen By Trustee**	Other Directorships Held
Thomas W. Brock Year of Birth: 1947	Trustee since 2005; Chairman since 2015; Term expires 2020	Chief Executive Officer, Silver Bay Realty (June 2016-May 2017); Acting Chief Executive Officer, Silver Bay Realty (January 2016-June 2016); Director, Silver Bay Realty (December 2012-May 2017); Adjunct Professor, Columbia University Graduate School of Business (since 1998)	2	Director, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc. (since 2005), Trustee, Equitable AXA Annuity Trust (since January 2016) and 1290 Funds (since January 2016).
George R. Gaspari Year of Birth: 1940	Trustee since 2006; Term expires 2020	Financial Services Consultant (1996-2012)	2	Director, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc. (since 2006), Trustee (since 1999) and Chairman – Audit Committee (since January 2015), The Select Sector SPDR Trust

*The address for all Trustees is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203.

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

*The Fund Complex for the Fund includes the Fund, and any other investment companies for which any Trustee serves as trustee for and for which ALPS Advisors, Inc., Pzena Investment Management, LLC, Macquarie **Investment Management, Aristotle Capital Management, LLC, TCW Investment Management Company, Sustainable Growth Advisers, LP, Congress Asset Management Company, LLP and Weatherbie Capital, LLC provides investment advisory services.*

44 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and
Officers

(Unaudited)

INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES (continued)

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Equity Fund, Term of Office and Length of Service	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of	
			Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen By Trustee**	Other Directorships Held
Milton M. Irvin Year of Birth: 1949	Trustee since 2018; Term Expires 2019	Retired (2012); Chair, Advisory Board Member Castle Oak Securities (2012-present); Chair, Investment Committee Member Executive Leadership Counsel (2006-present); Chair, Board Member South Carolina State University (2015-present); Graduate Executive Board Member Wharton School (2009-2016)	2	Director, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc. (since 2018).
John J. Neuhauser Year of Birth: 1943	Trustee since 1998; Term expires 2019	President, St. Michael's College (2007-2018); University Professor December 2005-2007, Boston College (formerly Academic Vice President and Dean of Faculties, from August 1999 to December 2005, Boston College)	2	Director, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc. (since 1998), Trustee, Columbia Funds Series Trust I (since 1985)

*The address for all Trustees is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203.
The Fund Complex for the Fund includes the Fund, and any other investment companies for which any Trustee
serves as trustee for and for which ALPS Advisors, Inc., Pzena Investment Management, LLC, Macquarie

**Investment Management, Aristotle Capital Management, LLC, TCW Investment Management Company,
Sustainable Growth Advisers, LP, Congress Asset Management Company, LLP and Weatherbie Capital, LLC
provides investment advisory services.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and Officers

(Unaudited)

INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES (continued)

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Equity Fund, Term of Office and Length of Service	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of	
			Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen By Trustee**	Other Directorships Held
Maureen Usifer Year of Birth: 1960	Trustee since 2018; Term Expires 2021	Board Member Green Mountain Care Board (2017-Present), Board Advisor, Healthy Living Market (2017-Present), Board of Trustees, Saint Michael's College (2015-Present), and Chief Financial Officer, Seventh Generation, Inc. (2012-2016)	2	Director, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc. (since 2018), Director and Audit Committee Chair, BlackRock Capital Investment Corporation (2005-Present)

*The address for all Trustees is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203.
The Fund Complex for the Fund includes the Fund, and any other investment companies for which any Trustee serves as trustee for and for which ALPS Advisors, Inc., Pzena Investment Management, LLC, Macquarie

**Investment Management, Aristotle Capital Management, LLC, TCW Investment Management Company, Sustainable Growth Advisers, LP, Congress Asset Management Company, LLP and Weatherbie Capital, LLC provides investment advisory services.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and Officers

(Unaudited)

INTERESTED TRUSTEE

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Equity Fund, Term of Office and Length of Service	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen By Trustee**	Other
				Directorships Held
Edmund J. Burke*** Year of Birth: 1961	Trustee since 2006; Term expires 2021	Chief Executive Officer and President and Director of ALPS Holdings, Inc., and ALPS Advisors, Inc., and Director of ALPS Distributors, Inc., ALPS Fund Services, Inc., and ALPS Portfolio Solutions Distributor, Inc. Mr. Burke is also a Director of Boston Financial Data Services.	34	Director, Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc., Trustee, Clough Global Dividend and Income Fund, Trustee, Clough Global Equity Fund, Trustee, Clough Global Opportunities Fund, Trustee, Clough Funds Trust, Trustee, Financial Investors Trust, and Trustee, ALPS ETF Trust. Mr. Burke is deemed an affiliate of the Funds as defined under the 1940 Act.

*The address for all Trustees is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203. The Fund Complex for the Fund includes the Fund, and any other investment companies for which any Trustee serves as trustee for and for which ALPS Advisors, Inc., Pzena Investment Management, LLC, Macquarie

**Investment Management, Aristotle Capital Management, LLC, TCW Investment Management Company, Sustainable Growth Advisers, LP, Congress Asset Management Company, LLP and Weatherbie Capital, LLC provides investment advisory services.

***Mr. Burke is an “interested person” of the Fund, as defined in the 1940 Act, because of his affiliation with ALPS.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and
Officers

(Unaudited)

OFFICERS

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Fund**	Year First		Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years
		Elected or Appointed to Office		
William R. Parmentier, Jr. Year of Birth: 1952	President	1999		Chief Investment Officer, ALPS Advisors, Inc. (since 2006); President of the Liberty All-Star Funds (since April 1999); Senior Vice President, Banc of America Investment Advisors, Inc. (2005-2006). Mr. Parmentier is deemed an affiliate of the Fund as defined under the 1940 Act.
Mark T. Haley, CFA Year of Birth: 1964	Senior Vice President	1999		Senior Vice President of the Liberty All-Star Funds (since January 1999); Vice President, ALPS Advisors, Inc. (since 2006); Vice President, Banc of America Investment Advisors (1999-2006). Mr. Haley is deemed an affiliate of the Fund as defined under the 1940 Act.
Edmund J. Burke Year of Birth: 1961	Vice President	2006		Vice President of the Liberty All-Star Funds, Chief Executive Officer and President and Director of ALPS Holdings, Inc., and ALPS Advisors, Inc., and Director of ALPS Distributors, Inc., ALPS Fund Services, Inc., and ALPS Portfolio Solutions Distributor, Inc. Mr. Burke is also a Director of Boston Financial Data Services. Mr. Burke is deemed an affiliate of the Fund as defined under the 1940 Act.

The address of each officer, other than Messrs. Parmentier and Haley is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290

**Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203. The address of Messrs. Parmentier and Haley is c/o ALPS Advisors, Inc., One Financial Center, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02111.*

***Officers are elected annually and each officer will hold such office until a successor has been elected by the Board.*

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and Officers

(Unaudited)

OFFICERS (continued)

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Fund**	Year First	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years
		Elected or Appointed to Office	
Kimberly R. Storms Year of Birth: 1972	Treasurer	2013	Director of Fund Administration and Senior Vice President of ALPS Fund Services, Inc. Ms. Storms is currently Treasurer of Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc., Financial Investors Trust, ALPS Series Trust, and Elevation ETF Trust. Ms. Storms is also on the Board of Directors of the Denver Center for Crime Victims. Ms. Storms is deemed an affiliate of the Fund as defined under the 1940 Act.
Erin D. Nelson Year of Birth: 1977	Chief Compliance Officer	2015	Ms. Nelson is Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer of ALPS Advisors, Inc. Prior to 2015, Ms. Nelson was Vice-President and Assistant General Counsel of ALPS. Ms. Nelson is also Chief Compliance Officer of Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc., Principal Real Estate Income Fund, ALPS Variable Investment Trust, ALPS ETF Trust and the RiverNorth Opportunities Fund, Inc. Ms. Nelson is deemed an affiliate of the Fund as defined under the 1940 Act.

*The address of each officer, other than Messrs. Parmentier and Haley is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290 *Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203. The address of Messrs. Parmentier and Haley is c/o ALPS Advisors, Inc., One Financial Center, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02111.*
***Officers are elected annually and each officer will hold such office until a successor has been elected by the Board.*

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Trustees and Officers

(Unaudited)

OFFICERS (continued)

Name (Year of Birth) and Address*	Position with Fund**	Year First	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years
		Elected or Appointed to Office	
Sareena Khwaja-Dixon Year of Birth: 1980	Secretary	2016	Ms. Khwaja-Dixon joined ALPS in August 2015 and is currently Senior Counsel and Vice President of ALPS Fund Services, Inc. Prior to joining ALPS, Ms. Khwaja-Dixon served as a Senior Paralegal/Paralegal for Russell Investments (2011-2015). Ms. Khwaja-Dixon is also Secretary of Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc., and Assistant Secretary of Clough Dividend and Income Fund, Clough Global Opportunities Fund, Clough Global Equity Fund, Clough Funds Trust and Financial Investors Trust. Ms. Khwaja-Dixon is deemed an affiliate of the Fund as defined under the 1940 Act.
Jennifer A. Craig Year of Birth: 1973	Assistant Secretary	2017	Ms. Craig joined ALPS in 2007 and is currently Assistant Vice President and Paralegal Manager of ALPS. Ms. Craig is also Assistant Secretary of Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc., Financial Investors Trust, ALPS Series Trust, Clough Dividend and Income Fund, Clough Global Opportunities Fund and Clough Global Equity Fund.

*The address of each officer, other than Messrs. Parmentier and Haley is: c/o ALPS Fund Services, Inc., 1290 *Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, CO 80203. The address of Messrs. Parmentier and Haley is c/o ALPS Advisors, Inc., One Financial Center, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02111.*
***Officers are elected annually and each officer will hold such office until a successor has been elected by the Board.*

Board Consideration of the Renewal of the Fund
Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund
Management & Portfolio Management Agreements

(Unaudited)

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires that the Board of Trustees (“Board”) of the Liberty All-Star Equity Fund (“Fund”), including all of the Trustees who are not “interested persons” of the Fund (“Independent Trustees”), annually review the Fund’s investment advisory agreements and consider whether to renew them for an additional year. At its meeting on September 6, 2018, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, conducted such a review and approved the continuation of the Fund Management Agreement between the Fund and ALPS Advisors, Inc. (“AAI”) and each separate Portfolio Management Agreement among the Fund and the following independent investment management firms: Aristotle Capital Management LLC (“Aristotle”), Macquarie Investment Management (“Macquarie”), Pzena Investment Management, LLC (“Pzena”), Sustainable Growth Advisers, LP (“Sustainable”), and TCW Investment Management Company (“TCW”). Aristotle, Macquarie, Pzena, Sustainable and TCW are collectively referred to as “Portfolio Managers” and each as a “Portfolio Manager.”

Prior to the Board’s action, the Independent Trustees met to consider management's recommendations with respect to the renewal of the Fund Management Agreement and the Portfolio Management Agreements (each, an "Agreement" and, collectively, the "Agreements"). In reaching its decision to renew each Agreement, the Board considered the overall fairness of each Agreement and whether each Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund. The Board further considered factors it deemed relevant with respect to the Fund, including (1) the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund by AAI, its affiliates, and each Portfolio Manager; (2) the performance of the Fund and the Portfolio Managers; (3) the level of the Fund's management and portfolio management fees and expense ratios; (4) the costs of the services provided and profits realized by AAI and its affiliates from their relationship with the Fund; (5) the extent to which economies of scale would be realized as the Fund grows and whether fee levels will reflect economies of scale for the benefit of shareholders; (6) the "fall-out" benefits to AAI, each Portfolio Manager and their respective affiliates (i.e., any direct or indirect benefits to be derived by AAI, each Portfolio Manager and their respective affiliates from their relationships with the Fund); and (7) other general information about AAI and each Portfolio Manager. In considering each Agreement, the Board did not identify any single factor or information as all-important or controlling and each Independent Trustee may have attributed different weight to each factor.

The Board considered these factors in the context of the Fund's multi-manager methodology, which seeks to achieve more consistent and less volatile performance over the long term than if a single Portfolio Manager was employed. The Fund allocates its portfolio assets among Portfolio Managers recommended by AAI and approved by the Board, currently five for the Fund. The Board considered that each Portfolio Manager employs a different investment style and/or strategy, and from time to time AAI rebalances the Fund's portfolio assets among the Portfolio Managers. The Board also took into account that AAI continuously analyzes and evaluates each Portfolio Manager's investment performance and portfolio composition and, from time to time, recommends changes in the Portfolio Managers.

In connection with its deliberations, the Board considered information furnished throughout the year at regular Board meetings, as well as information prepared specifically in connection with the annual renewal and approval process. Information furnished and discussed throughout the year included AAI's analysis of the Fund's investment performance and related financial information for the Fund, presentations given by the Fund's Portfolio Managers, as well as periodic reports on legal, compliance, brokerage commissions and execution and other services provided by AAI, the Portfolio Managers and their affiliates. Information furnished specifically in connection with the renewal process included, among other things, a report of the Fund's investment performance over various time periods as compared to a peer universe and a market index and the Fund's fees and expenses as compared to comparable groups of closed-end funds and open-end multi-managed funds based, in part, on information prepared by AAI and Broadridge Financial Solutions regarding review of the Lipper peer groups. The information provided by AAI generally included information reflecting the Fund's management fees, expense ratios, investment performance and profitability, including AAI's profitability with respect to the Fund.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Board Consideration of the Renewal of the Fund
Management & Portfolio Management Agreements

(Unaudited)

As part of the process to consider the Agreements, legal counsel to the Independent Trustees requested information from AAI and each Portfolio Manager. In response to these requests, the Board received reports from AAI and each Portfolio Manager that addressed specific factors designed to inform the Independent Trustees consideration of each Agreement. Counsel also provided the Independent Trustees and the Board with a memorandum discussing the legal standards applicable to their consideration of the Agreements. Based on its evaluation of all material factors, the Board unanimously concluded that the terms of each Agreement were reasonable and fair and that the renewal of each Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders. The following is a summary of the Board's considerations.

Nature, Extent and Quality of the Services Provided

The Board considered the nature, extent and quality of the portfolio manager selection, evaluation, monitoring, and rebalancing services provided by AAI, and the portfolio management services provided by each Portfolio Manager, in light of the investment objective of the Fund. The Board also considered the nature, extent and quality of the administrative services provided to the Fund by ALPS Fund Services, Inc., an affiliate of AAI. The Board considered the steps that AAI has taken to encourage strong performance, including AAI's willingness to recommend Portfolio Manager changes when necessary to address performance issues. The Board also took into consideration the changes in Portfolio Managers that occurred in 2015 and 2016.

In addition, the Board considered the demonstrated consistency in investment approach of each Portfolio Manager. The Board considered that Sustainable and TCW manage sleeves of the growth portions of the Fund's portfolio and that Aristotle, Macquarie, and Pzena manage sleeves of the value portion of the Fund's portfolio.

The Board considered the background and experience of the personnel at AAI responsible for Portfolio Manager selection, evaluation and monitoring for the Fund and the personnel at each Portfolio Manager responsible for managing the Fund's portfolio. The Board also considered the overall financial strength of AAI and each Portfolio Manager, the effect on the Fund of any turnover in personnel at each Portfolio Manager, the insurance maintained by AAI and each Portfolio Manager and the compliance records of AAI and each Portfolio Manager. The Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by AAI and each Portfolio Manager was appropriate and consistent with the terms of the Agreements and that the Fund was likely to continue to benefit from services provided under the Agreements.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Board Consideration of the Renewal of the Fund
Management & Portfolio Management Agreements

(Unaudited)

Investment Performance

The Board considered the long-term and short-term investment performance of the Fund over multiple periods, which generally included annual total returns both on an absolute basis and relative to an appropriate benchmark and/or Lipper peer group. The Board considered the Fund's performance based on both net asset value ("NAV") and market price and, in general, considered long-term performance to be more important in its evaluation than short-term performance. In addition, the Board considered the performance of the Fund's Portfolio Managers, including the performance of other investment companies and accounts managed by the Portfolio Managers and the performance of the allocated portions of the Fund in the context of the Portfolio Manager's different investment strategies and styles and the contribution of each Portfolio Manager to the Fund's overall strategy and performance.

Among other information, the Board received information regarding the Fund's return on an absolute and a relative basis, based on NAV and market price, for various periods. Among other things, the Board received information which indicated that, based on NAV and for periods ending June 30, 2018, the Fund outperformed the Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average ("Lipper Average") for the six-month, one-, three-, five-, and thirty-year periods, and was near the Lipper Average for the ten- and twenty-year periods. The Fund also outperformed the S&P 500 Index® for the six-month and one-year periods ended June 30, 2018.

In addition to the performance of the Fund and each Portfolio Manager's sleeve of the Fund, the Board considered management's and the Portfolio Managers' explanations for the Fund's performance and the relevant benchmarks and peer groups. The Board accepted the explanations and determined that the performance information and explanations supported the renewal of the Agreements.

Costs of the Services Provided to the Fund

The Board considered the fees paid by the Fund to AAI and the fees paid by AAI to the Portfolio Managers as well as information provided by AAI about the management fees, overall expense ratio and expense reimbursement for selected closed-end funds and multi-manager open-end equity funds. The Independent Trustees considered that the Fund's total expenses were lower than the median of a representative group of closed-end funds selected by AAI. The Board also considered that the Fund's management and administrative fees were higher than the median for the multi-manager open-end equity funds selected by AAI, but the total expense ratio was lower.

The Board considered that AAI currently does not have any institutional clients with investment objectives and strategies comparable to those of the Fund. The Board considered the breakpoint schedule that lowers the management fee rate paid by the Fund as the Fund's assets increase. The Board also considered the management fees paid to the Portfolio Managers and the fee rates charged by the Portfolio Managers to their other accounts, including institutional accounts. The Board considered that the Portfolio Managers were paid by AAI, not the Fund. The Board also considered the differences in the level of services provided by and the differences in responsibility of AAI and the Portfolio Managers to the Fund and to other accounts. The Board concluded that the management fees payable by the Fund to AAI and the fees payable by AAI to the Portfolio Managers were reasonable in relation to the nature and quality of the services provided, taking into account the management fees paid by comparable closed-end funds and open-end equity funds.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Board Consideration of the Renewal of the Fund
Management & Portfolio Management Agreements

(Unaudited)

Profitability and Costs of Services to AAI

The Board considered the Fund's fees and expenses, and the level of profits realized by AAI in connection with the operation of the Fund. The Board considered the profitability information setting forth recent overall profitability of the Fund to AAI, as well as overall profitability information relating to certain prior calendar years. In reviewing the information, attention was given to the methodology followed in allocating costs to the Fund, it being recognized that allocation methodologies are inherently subjective and various allocation methodologies may be reasonable while producing different results. The Board considered management's ongoing costs and expenditures in providing and improving services for the Fund as well as the ongoing need to meet regulatory and compliance requirements. In addition, the Board considered information prepared by management comparing the profitability of AAI on an overall basis to other investment company managers. The Board also considered the extent to which AAI and its affiliates might derive ancillary benefits from the Fund, noting that an affiliate of AAI serves as the Fund's administrator and receives compensation for acting in this capacity,

The Board considered that AAI has advised the Board that it does not regard Portfolio Manager profitability as meaningful to an evaluation of the Portfolio Manager Agreements because the willingness of the Portfolio Managers to serve in such capacity depends primarily upon arm's-length negotiations with AAI. In addition, AAI has advised the Board that AAI generally is aware of the fees charged by the Portfolio Managers to other clients, and AAI believes that the fees agreed upon with the Portfolio Managers are reasonable in light of the quality of investment advisory services rendered. The Board accepted AAI's explanations in light of the Board's findings as to the reasonableness of the aggregate management fees paid by the Fund and the fact that each Portfolio Manager's fee is paid by AAI and not the Fund. The Board understood that, as a business matter, AAI was entitled to earn reasonable profits for its services to the Fund. The Board determined that AAI's profitability was reasonable in relation to the services provided and to the costs of providing management services to the Fund and supported the renewal of the Agreements.

Extent of Economies of Scale as the Fund Grows and Whether Fee Levels Reflect Economies of Scale

The Board considered whether economies of scale are realized by AAI as the Fund grows larger and the extent to which this is reflected in the level of management fees charged. The Board took into consideration the fee breakpoint schedules under the Agreements and concluded that the schedules reflect economies of scale with respect to the selection, evaluation and monitoring of Portfolio Managers and other services performed by AAI and the management of Fund assets by each Portfolio Manager. In this regard, the Board considered that the Fund has reached an asset size at which the Fund and its shareholders are benefiting from reduced management fee rates due to breakpoints in the management fees.

Based on the foregoing, the Board concluded that the Fund was realizing economies of scale under the Agreements and management fee schedule, which supports the renewal of the Agreements.

54 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Board Consideration of the Renewal of the Fund
Management & Portfolio Management Agreements

(Unaudited)

Benefits to be Derived from the Relationship with the Fund

The Boards also considered the potential ancillary, or "fall-out" benefits, that AAI, the Portfolio Managers or any of their affiliates might receive in connection with their association with the Fund. In its consideration of the Agreements, the Board considered, among other things, that AAI and the Portfolio Managers may derive ancillary benefits from the Fund's operations. For example, under the Agreements, although AAI is not currently doing so, it is authorized to request that transactions giving rise to brokerage commissions be executed through brokers and dealers that provide brokerage or research services to the Fund or AAI. Each Portfolio Manager, through its position as a Portfolio Manager to the Fund, also may engage in soft dollar transactions. In advance of the meeting, the Board received information regarding each Portfolio Manager's procedures for executing portfolio transactions for the allocated portion of the Fund and each Portfolio Manager's soft dollar policies and procedures. In addition, the Board considered that a Portfolio Manager may be affiliated with registered broker-dealers who may, from time to time, receive brokerage commissions from the Fund in connection with the purchase and sale of portfolio securities; provided, however, that those transactions, among other things, must be consistent with seeking best execution. The Board determined that the foregoing ancillary benefits were consistent with the renewal of the Agreements.

Conclusions

Based on its evaluation of all material factors, the Board unanimously concluded that the terms of each Agreement were reasonable and fair and that the renewal of each Agreement was in the best interests of the Fund and its shareholders.

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Privacy Policy

(Unaudited)

This Privacy Policy Notice discloses the privacy policies of the Liberty All-Star® Funds, which are advised by ALPS Advisors, Inc. and serviced by ALPS Fund Services, Inc. (the “Companies”). The Companies and the Funds are referred to herein collectively as “we” or “us.”

Protecting Your Privacy is a Top Priority

We realize that our ability to offer superior products and services depends on the personal and financial information we collect from you. We value your business and are committed to maintaining your trust. That is why we have made your privacy a top priority.

The Information We Have and Where We Get It

We collect information about you from a variety of sources, including:

Information we receive from you on applications or other forms, such as your name, address and phone number; your social security number; and your assets, income and other household information;

Information about your other transactions with us, our affiliates or others, such as your account balances and transactions history; and

Information from visitors to our websites provided through online forms, site visitorship data and online information-collecting devices known as “cookies.”

We do not solicit personal or financial information from minors without written parental consent, nor do we knowingly market products and services to minors.

How We Use This Information

We may share all of the information we collect with the Companies as part of the ordinary course of providing financial products and services to you, for the purpose of offering you new products and services to address your financial needs, for product development purposes and as otherwise required or permitted by law.

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

To assist in our business dealings with you, we may also share this information with companies (other than the Companies) that perform services, including marketing services, on our behalf (such as vendors that package and mail our investor statements and marketing research firms that enhance our ability to market our products and services). We do not share your information with mailing list or direct marketing companies. Thus, the information you provide to us will not result in unwanted solicitations from third-party marketers.

Finally, we may share this information with other entities outside of the Companies for the following purposes, including among others:

- To respond to a subpoena or court order, judicial process or regulatory inquiry;
- To report suspicious transactions to government agencies and law enforcement officials;
- To protect against fraud;
- To provide products and services with the consent or the direction of a customer; or
- In connection with the proposed or actual sale or merger of all or a portion of a business or operating unit.

56 www.all-starfunds.com

Liberty All-Star® Equity Fund Privacy Policy

(Unaudited)

Except as described above, and except for information we provide to nonaffiliated third parties as otherwise required or permitted by law, we do not share information about you with nonaffiliated third parties.

Security of Personal Financial Information

We restrict access to information about you to those employees we determine need to know that information to provide products and services to you. We maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards to protect this information.

If you provide information to us via our websites in order to view your account activity or conduct transactions, we use 128-bit SSL encryption security with passwords to ensure a safe transmission of data between you and us. Information you provide is stored and transmitted in a secure environment, accessible only by a select group of people who are given a secure passcode to access the information.

We continuously assess new technology for protecting information and upgrade our systems where appropriate.

**If You Have Any Questions or Concerns About This Privacy Policy Notice,
Please Write to Us at:**

ALPS Advisors, Inc.

Attn: Compliance Department
1290 Broadway, Suite 1100
Denver, CO 80203

Former Customers

If, for whatever reason, our customer relationship with you ends, we will preserve your information as necessary to comply with applicable laws. The measures we take to protect the privacy of customer information, as described in this Privacy Policy Notice, will continue to apply to you. We also will comply with more restrictive state laws to the

extent they apply.

We reserve the right to change this Privacy Policy Notice, and any of the policies described herein, at any time. The examples contained in this Privacy Policy Notice are illustrations; they are not intended to be exclusive.

Annual Report | December 31, 2018 57

Liberty All-Star[®] Equity Fund Description of Lipper
Benchmark and Market Indices

(Unaudited)

Dow Jones Industrial Average

A price-weighted measure of 30 U.S. blue-chip companies.

Lipper Large-Cap Core Mutual Fund Average

The average of funds that, by portfolio practice, invest at least 75% of their equity assets in companies with market capitalizations (on a three-year weighted basis) above Lipper's U.S. domestic equity large-cap floor. These funds typically have average characteristics compared to the S&P 500[®] Index.

NASDAQ Composite Index

Measures all NASDAQ domestic and international based common type stocks listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market.

Russell 1000[®] Growth Index

Measures the performance of those Russell 1000[®] companies with higher price-to-book ratios and higher forecasted growth values. The Russell 1000[®] Index measures the performance of the 1,000 largest companies in the Russell 3000[®] Index.

Russell 1000[®] Value Index

Measures the performance of those Russell 1000[®] companies with lower price-to-book ratios and lower forecasted growth values. The Russell 1000[®] Index measures the performance of the 1,000 largest companies in the Russell 3000[®] Index.

Russell 3000[®] Index

Measures the performance of the 3,000 largest U.S. companies based on total market capitalization, which represents approximately 98% of the investable U.S. equity market.

Russell 3000® Growth Index

Measures the performance of those Russell 3000® companies with higher price-to-book-ratios and higher forecasted growth values.

Russell 3000® Value Index

Measures the performance of those Russell 3000® companies with lower price-to-book-ratios and lower forecasted growth values.

S&P 500® Index

A large-cap U.S. equities index that includes 500 leading companies and captures approximately 80% coverage of available market capitalization.

An investor cannot invest directly in an index.

58 www.all-starfunds.com

Intentionally Left Blank

INVESTMENT ADVISOR

ALPS Advisors, Inc.
1290 Broadway, Suite 1100
Denver, Colorado 80203
303-623-2577
www.all-starfunds.com

**INDEPENDENT REGISTERED
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Deloitte & Touche LLP
1601 Wewatta Street, Suite 400
Denver, Colorado 80202

CUSTODIAN

State Street Bank & Trust Company
One Lincoln Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02111

**INVESTOR ASSISTANCE,
TRANSFER & DIVIDEND
DISBURSING AGENT & REGISTRAR**

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
P.O. Box 505000
Louisville, Kentucky 40233
1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863)
www.computershare.com

LEGAL COUNSEL

K&L Gates LLP
1601 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

TRUSTEES

Thomas W. Brock*, Chairman
Edmund J. Burke
George R. Gaspari*
Milton M. Irvin*
Dr. John J. Neuhauser*
Maureen K. Usifer*

OFFICERS

William R. Parmentier, Jr., President
Mark T. Haley, CFA, Senior Vice President
Edmund J. Burke, Vice President
Kimberly R. Storms, Treasurer
Sareena Khwaja-Dixon, Secretary
Jennifer A. Craig, Assistant Secretary
Erin D. Nelson, Chief Compliance Officer

* Member of Audit Committee

Annual Certifications — As required, on September 20, 2018, the Fund submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) the annual certification of the Fund’s Chief Executive Officer certifying that, as of such date, he was not aware of any violation of the NYSE’s Corporate Governance listing standards. The Fund also has included the certifications of the Fund’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as exhibits to reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on a quarterly basis on Form N-CSR and Form N-Q.

A description of the Fund’s proxy voting policies and procedures is available (i) on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov, and (ii) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-542-3863. Information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the 12-month period ended June 30th is available from the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov.

The Fund files a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund’s Form N-Qs are available on

the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that the Fund may purchase at market prices from time to time shares of its own common stock in the open market.

This report is transmitted to shareholders of Liberty All-Star[®]Equity Fund for their information. It is not a prospectus or other document intended for use in the purchase of Fund shares.

LAS000910

Item 2. Code of Ethics.

(a) The registrant has, as of the end of the period covered by this report, adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party.

(b) Not Applicable.

(c) During the period covered by this report, there were not any amendments to a provision of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above.

(d) During the period covered by this report, there were not any waivers or implicit waivers to a provision of the code of ethics adopted in 2(a) above.

(e) Not Applicable.

(f) The registrant's Board adopted, effective October 1, 2013, a revised code of ethics described in 2(a) above. The revised code of ethics is attached hereto as Exhibit 13(a)(1).

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

(a) (1)(i) The registrant's Board of Trustees has determined that there is one audit committee financial expert serving on its audit committee.

(a) (2) The registrant's Board of Trustees has determined that Ms. Maureen K. Usifer is an "audit committee financial expert" and is "independent" for purposes of this Item.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

(a) *Audit Fees.* Aggregate Audit Fees billed by the principal accountant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 and are \$43,400 and \$42,200, respectively. Audit Fees include amounts related to the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years.

(b) *Audit-Related Fees.* Aggregate Audit-Related Fees billed to the registrant by the principal accountant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 were approximately \$0 and \$0, respectively. Audit-Related Fees include amounts for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported in Audit Fees above.

(c) *Tax Fees.* Aggregate Tax Fees billed by the principal accountant to the registrant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are approximately \$4,035 and \$3,940, respectively. Tax Fees in both fiscal years 2018 and 2017 consist primarily of the review of annual tax returns and include amounts for professional services by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

(d) *All Other Fees.* Aggregate All Other Fees billed by the principal accountant to the registrant for professional services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 and were \$0 and \$0, respectively.

All Other Fees include amounts for products and services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) above.

None of the amounts described in paragraphs (a) through (d) above were approved pursuant to the “de minimis” exception under paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X. During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, there were no Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees that were approved for services related directly to the operations and financial reporting of the registrant to the investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant under paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

(e)(1) Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The registrant’s Audit Committee is required to pre-approve the engagement of the registrant’s independent accountants to provide audit and non-audit services to the registrant and non-audit services to its investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) or any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with such investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant (“Advisor Affiliates”), if the engagement relates directly to the operations or financial reporting of the registrant, including the fees and other compensation to be paid to the independent accountants.

The Audit Committee has adopted a Policy for Engagement of Independent Accountants for Audit and Non-Audit Services (“Policy”). The Policy sets forth the understanding of the Audit Committees regarding the engagement of the registrant’s independent accountants to provide (i) audit and permissible audit-related, tax and other services to the registrant; (ii) non-audit services to the registrant’s investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and Advisor Affiliates, if the engagement relates directly to the operations or financial reporting of a Fund; and (iii) other audit and non-audit services to the registrant’s investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and Advisor Affiliates. Unless a type of service receives general pre-approval under the Policy, it requires specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee if it is to be provided by the independent accountants. Pre-approval of non-audit services to the registrant, the registrant’s investment advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor) and Advisor Affiliates may be waived provided that the “de minimis” requirements set forth in the SEC’s rules relating to pre-approval of non-audit services are met.

Under the Policy, the Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to any pre-designated member or members who are Independent Trustees/Directors. The member(s) to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next regular meeting. The Audit Committee’s responsibilities with respect to the pre-approval of services performed by the independent accountants may not be delegated to management.

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

The Policy requires the Fund Treasurer and/or Director of Board Administration to submit to the Audit Committee, on an annual basis, a schedule of the types of services that are subject to general pre-approval. The schedule(s) provide a description of each type of service that is subject to general pre-approval and, where possible, will provide estimated fee caps for each instance of providing each service. The Audit Committees will review and approve the types of services and review the projected fees for the next fiscal year and may add to, or subtract from, the list of general pre-approved services from time to time based on subsequent determinations. That approval acknowledges that each Audit Committee is in agreement with the specific types of services that the independent accountants will be permitted to perform.

(e)(2) The percentage of services described in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this Item approved pursuant to the “de minimis” exception under paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X during both fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 was zero, respectively.

(f) Not applicable.

(g) The aggregate non-audit fees billed by the registrant’s accountant in each of the last two fiscal years of the Registrant were \$329,435 in 2018 and \$308,840 in 2017. These fees consisted of non-audit fees billed to (i) the Registrant of \$4,035 in 2018 and \$3,940 in 2017, respectively, as described in response to paragraph (c) above and (ii) to ALPS Fund Services, Inc., (“AFS”), an entity under common control with the ALPS Advisors, Inc., the Registrant’s investment advisor, of \$325,400 in 2018 and \$304,900 in 2017, respectively. The non-audit fees billed to AFS related to SSAE 18 services and other compliance related matters.

(h) The registrant's Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's advisor (not including any sub-advisor whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment advisor), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment advisor that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence. The Audit Committee determined that the provision of such services is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). As of December 31, 2018, Thomas W. Brock, George R. Gaspari, Milton M. Irvin, John J. Neuhauser and Maureen K. Usifer are each independent trustees and collectively constitute the entire Audit Committee.

Item 6. Schedule.

- (a) The registrant's "Schedule I – Investments in securities of unaffiliated issuers" (as set forth in 17 CFR 210.12-12) is included in Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

(b) Not Applicable.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

Attached, as Exhibit Item 7, is a copy of the registrant's policies and procedures.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

As of March 5, 2019 unless otherwise noted

Aristotle Capital Management, LLC (“Aristotle”)

(a)(1) MANAGEMENT. The portion of the Fund allocated to Aristotle is managed by Howard Gleicher, CFA and Gregory Padilla, CFA. Mr. Gleicher is CEO and Chief Investment Officer of Aristotle. Having over 30 years of investment experience, Howard heads the firm and leads the investment effort. Prior to founding Aristotle, Howard was co-founder, CEO and Chief Investment Officer at Metropolitan West Capital Management, LLC. Howard’s prior investment-related experience includes serving as a Principal, Portfolio Manager and Investment Policy Committee member at Palley-Needelman Asset Management, Inc., and an Equity Portfolio Manager at Pacific Investment Management Company (PIMCO). Howard earned his Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Electrical Engineering from Stanford University, and his MBA from Harvard University. He is a CFA® charterholder. Greg has 13 years of investment experience and is a member of the Aristotle Capital investment team. Prior to joining Aristotle, Greg was a Portfolio Manager, Equity Analyst at Vinik Asset Management, LP, Managing director, Portfolio Manager and Equity Analyst at Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC, and an Equity Analyst at Engerman Asst Management. Greg earned his Bachelor of Science in Finance from Arizona State University and an MBA from the University of Southern California. He is a CFA® charterholder.

(a)(2) OTHER ACCOUNTS. The table below provides information regarding the other accounts managed by Howard Gleicher and Greg Padilla as of December 31, 2018:

Type of Account	Number of Accounts Managed	Total Assets Managed (in millions)	Number of Accounts Managed for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based	Assets Managed
				for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based (in millions)
Howard Gleicher				
Registered Investment Companies	10	\$2,059	0	N/A
Other pooled investment vehicles	12	\$3,704	0	N/A
Other accounts	1,885	\$9,025	2	\$407
Gregory Padilla				
Registered Investment Companies	8	\$1,984	0	N/A
Other pooled investment vehicles	11	\$3,640	0	N/A
Other accounts	1,695	\$8,723	2	\$407

MATERIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Potential conflicts of interest could arise when there is side-by-side management of private funds, separately managed accounts and mutual funds. These conflicts may arise through trade allocation and through selections of portfolio securities.

Aristotle seeks to mitigate conflict related to trade allocation through its trade rotation procedures. With regard to portfolio selections and the different positions that Aristotle’s portfolio managers may take related to different strategies, a potential conflict could arise when different classes of a security are purchased for different portfolios in the same strategy or one strategy is long in a position and another is short in the same security. When different classes of a security are purchased across several portfolios, this often due to the availability of the security and not due a preference for one class over another among client portfolios and often a portfolio could end up with both classes. Aristotle manages strategies that include a long/short component. In this case, the long/short component would be in line with hedge on the position. However, it is acknowledged, that a separate strategy could be long only in the same security which could pose a conflict.

Aristotle acknowledges its responsibility for identifying material conflicts of interest related to voting proxies. In order to ensure that Aristotle is aware of the facts necessary to identify conflicts, management of Aristotle must disclose to the CCO any personal conflicts such as officer or director positions held by them, their spouses or close relatives, in any portfolio company. Conflicts based on business relationships with Aristotle or any affiliate of Aristotle will be considered only to the extent that Aristotle has actual knowledge of such relationships. If a conflict may exist which cannot be otherwise addressed by the Chief Investment Officer or his designee, Aristotle may choose one of several options including: (1) “echo” or “mirror” voting the proxies in the same proportion as the votes of other proxy holders that are not Aristotle clients; (2) if possible, erecting information barriers around the person or persons making the voting decision sufficient to insulate the decision from the conflict; or (3) if agreed upon in writing with the client, forwarding the proxies to affected clients and allowing them to vote their own proxies.

(a)(3) **COMPENSATION STRUCTURE.** All investment professionals are compensated by competitive base salaries and are eligible to receive an annual bonus that reflects an individual’s team contribution to company objectives. (Market indices are not used in determining an employee’s annual bonus.) Each portfolio manager at Aristotle is an equity partner of the firm and receives a portion of the overall profits of Aristotle as part of his ownership interest. Aristotle’s culture is driven by a collegial and collaborative atmosphere that inspires teamwork and does not foster a “zero sum” environment where individual analysts are perceived to be in competition with one another.

(a)(4) **OWNERSHIP BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS.**

	Dollar Range of the Registrant’s Securities Owned
Portfolio Managers	by the Portfolio Managers
Howard Gleicher, CFA	\$10,001 - \$50,000

Gregory Padilla, CFA none

Pzena Investment Management, LLC (“Pzena”)

(a)(1) MANAGEMENT.

The portion of the Fund allocated to Pzena is managed by a team of portfolio managers. Individual portfolio managers on the team do not have any latitude to make independent portfolio decisions. All decisions require unanimous consent of a three-person portfolio management team, with each of the three portfolio managers having joint decision-making responsibility. As of December 31, 2018, Richard Pzena, Benjamin Silver and John Flynn were co-portfolio managers for the Fund.

Richard S. Pzena –Along with being the Founder of the firm, Mr. Pzena is a Managing Principal, Co-Chief Investment Officer, Portfolio Manager, and member of the firm’s Executive Committee. Mr. Pzena is the architect of the firm’s investment strategy and conceived and developed our proprietary screening model. He serves as co-portfolio manager for the U.S. Large Cap and Mid Cap strategies, Focused Value, and U.S. Best Ideas. Prior to forming Pzena Investment Management, Mr. Pzena was the director of U.S. Equity Investments and Chief Research officer for Sanford C. Bernstein & Company. He joined Bernstein as an oil industry analyst and was named to the Institutional Investor All America Research Team for three years running. Mr. Pzena also served as Chief Investment Officer, Small Cap Equities. Prior to joining Bernstein, Mr. Pzena worked for the Amoco Corporation in various financial and planning roles. He earned a B.S. summa cum laude and an M.B.A. from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

Benjamin S. Silver, CFA, CPA – Mr. Silver is a Principal and Portfolio Manager. Mr. Silver serves as co-portfolio manager for the U.S. Mid Cap, Large Cap and Global strategies, along with the Focused Value and Small Cap Focused Value services. Prior to joining Pzena Investment Management, Mr. Silver was a research analyst at Levitas & Company, a value based equity hedge fund and a manager for Ernst & Young LLP in their Financial Services Group. He earned a B.S. magna cum laude in Accounting from Sy Syms School of Business at Yeshiva University. Mr. Silver is a Certified Public Accountant and holds the Chartered Financial Analyst designation.

John J. Flynn – Mr. Flynn is a Principal and Portfolio Manager. Mr. Flynn is a co-portfolio manager for the U.S. Mid Cap and Large Cap strategies, along with the Focused Value and Small Cap Focused Value services. Prior to joining Pzena Investment Management, Mr. Flynn was an associate at Weston Presidio, a middle-market private equity investment firm. He earned a B.A. in Music from Yale University and an M.B.A. with distinction from the Harvard Business School.

(a)(2)OTHER ACCOUNTS. The table below provides information regarding the other accounts managed by Messrs. Pzena, Silver and Flynn, as of December 31, 2018.

Type of Account	Number of Accounts Managed	Total Assets Managed (in millions)	Number of Accounts Managed for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based	Assets Managed
				for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based (in millions)
<i>Richard S. Pzena</i>				
Registered Investment Companies	9	\$9,778	2	\$6,864
Other pooled investment vehicles	22	\$1,064	2	\$113

Edgar Filing: LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND - Form N-CSR

Other accounts	73	\$2,259	1	\$818
<i>Benjamin Silver</i>				
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$9,871	2	\$6,864
Other pooled investment vehicles	34	\$3,714	3	\$423
Other accounts	132	\$6,501	1	\$818
<i>John Flynn</i>				
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$9,871	2	\$6,864
Other pooled investment vehicles	19	\$983	1	\$2
Other accounts	116	\$3,173	1	\$818

(a)(3) COMPENSATION STRUCTURE. Pzena portfolio managers, including Messrs. Pzena, Silver and Flynn, and other investment professionals at Pzena are compensated through a combination of a fixed base salary, annual discretionary bonus and equity ownership, if appropriate due to superior performance. Pzena avoids a compensation model that is driven by individual security performance, as this can lead to short-term thinking which is contrary to the firm's value investment philosophy. Pzena considers both quantitative and qualitative factors when determining performance bonuses; however, performance bonuses are not based directly on the performance of the Fund or other clients. For investment professionals, Pzena examines such things as effort, efficiency, ability to focus on the correct issues, stock modeling ability, and ability to successfully interact with company management; however, Pzena always considers all of the contributions that the person has made and is likely to make in the future. Ultimately, equity ownership is the primary tool used by Pzena for attracting and retaining the best people.

MATERIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in managing the Fund's portfolio investments, on the one hand, and the portfolios of Pzena's other clients and/or accounts (together "Accounts"), on the other. Set forth below is a brief description of some of the material conflicts which may arise and Pzena's policy or procedure for handling them.

Although Pzena has designed such procedures to prevent and address conflicts, there is no guarantee that such procedures will detect every situation in which a conflict arises.

The management of multiple Accounts inherently means there may be competing interests for the portfolio management team's time and attention. Pzena seeks to minimize this by utilizing one investment approach (i.e., "classic" value investing), and by managing all Accounts on a product specific basis. Thus, all large cap value Accounts, whether they are mutual fund accounts, institutional accounts or individual accounts, are managed using the same investment discipline, strategy and proprietary investment model as the Fund.

If the portfolio management team identifies a limited investment opportunity which may be suitable for more than one Account, the Fund may not be able to take full advantage of that opportunity. However, Pzena has adopted procedures for allocating portfolio transactions across Accounts so that each Account is treated fairly.

With respect to securities transactions for the Accounts, Pzena determines which broker to use to execute each order, consistent with its duty to seek best execution. Pzena aggregates like orders where it believes doing so is beneficial to the Accounts. However, with respect to certain Accounts, Pzena may be limited by clients with respect to the selection of brokers or it may be instructed to direct trades through particular brokers. In these cases, Pzena may place separate, non-simultaneous transactions for the Fund and another Account which may temporarily affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction to the detriment of one or the other.

Conflicts of interest may arise when members of the portfolio management team transact personally in securities investments made or to be made for the Fund or other Accounts. To address this, Pzena has adopted a written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics designed to prevent and detect personal trading activities that may interfere or conflict with client interests (including Fund shareholders' interests) or its current investment strategy.

Pzena manages some Accounts under performance-based fee arrangements. Pzena recognizes that this type of incentive compensation creates the risk for potential conflicts of interest. The structure may create inherent pressure to allocate investments having a greater potential for higher returns to those Accounts with higher performance fees. To prevent conflicts of interest associated with managing accounts with different fee structures, Pzena generally requires portfolio decisions to be made on a product specific basis (e.g., for all large cap value Accounts). Pzena also requires pre-allocation of all client orders based on specific fee-neutral criteria set forth above. Additionally, Pzena requires average pricing of all aggregated orders. Finally, Pzena has adopted a policy prohibiting portfolio managers (and all

employees) from placing the investment interests of one client or a group of clients with the same investment objectives above the investment interests of any other client or group of clients with the same or similar investment objectives.

(a)(4) OWNERSHIP BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS: Messrs. Pzena, and Silver do not own shares of the Fund. Mr. Flynn owns between \$50,000 and \$100,000 of the Fund.

Delaware Investments Fund Advisers (“DIFA”)

(a)(1) MANAGEMENT. The portion of the Fund allocated to DIFA is managed by the Macquarie Investment Management Large-Cap Value team:

D. Tysen Nutt Jr.

Senior Vice President, Senior Portfolio Manager

D. Tysen Nutt Jr. is a senior portfolio manager for the firm’s Large-Cap Value team. Before joining Macquarie Investment Management (MIM) in 2004 as senior vice president and senior portfolio manager, Nutt led the US Active Large-Cap Value team within Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, where he managed mutual funds and separate accounts for institutions and private clients. Nutt earned his bachelor’s degree from Dartmouth College, and he is a member of the CFA Society New York and the CFA Institute.

Kristen E. Bartholdson

Vice President, Senior Portfolio Manager

Kristen E. Bartholdson is a senior portfolio manager for the firm’s Large-Cap Value team. Prior to joining Macquarie Investment Management (MIM) in 2006 as an associate portfolio manager, she worked at Susquehanna International Group from 2004 to 2006, where she was an equity research salesperson. From 2000 to 2004, she worked in equity research at Credit Suisse, most recently as an associate analyst in investment strategy. Bartholdson earned her bachelor’s degree in economics from Princeton University.

Nikhil G. Lalvani, CFA

Vice President, Senior Portfolio Manager, Team Leader

Nikhil G. Lalvani is a senior portfolio manager for the firm’s Large-Cap Value team and assumed the role of team leader in October 2018. At Macquarie Investment Management (MIM), Lalvani has worked as both a fundamental and quantitative analyst. Prior to joining the firm in 1997 as an account analyst, he was a research associate with Bloomberg. Lalvani holds a bachelor’s degree in finance from The Pennsylvania State University. He is a member of the CFA Institute and the CFA Society of Philadelphia.

Robert A. Vogel Jr., CFA

Vice President, Senior Portfolio Manager

Robert A. Vogel Jr. is a senior portfolio manager for the firm's Large-Cap Value team. Prior to joining Macquarie Investment Management (MIM) in 2004 as vice president and senior portfolio manager, he worked at Merrill Lynch Investment Managers for more than seven years, where he rose to the position of director and portfolio manager within the US Active Large-Cap Value team. He began his career in 1992 as a financial consultant at Merrill Lynch. Vogel graduated from Loyola University Maryland, earning both bachelor's and master's degrees in finance. He also earned an MBA with a concentration in finance from The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. Vogel is a member of the CFA Society New York, the CFA Institute, and the CFA Society of Philadelphia.

(a)(2) OTHER ACCOUNTS. The table below provides information regarding the other accounts managed by the Large-Cap Value team as of December 31, 2018:

Type of Account	Number of Accounts Managed	Total Assets Managed (in millions)	Number of Accounts Managed for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based	Assets Managed
				for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based (in millions)
Kristen E. Bartholdson				
Registered Investment Companies	9	\$15,339	0	N/A
Other pooled investment vehicles	4	\$1,013	0	N/A
Other accounts	26	\$5,540	1	\$1,421
Nikhil G. Lalvani, CFA				
Registered Investment Companies	9	\$15,339	0	N/A
Other pooled investment vehicles	4	\$1,013	0	N/A
Other accounts	26	\$5,540	1	\$1,421
D. Tysen Nutt Jr.				
Registered Investment Companies	10	\$15,528	0	N/A
Other pooled investment vehicles	4	\$1,013	0	N/A
Other accounts	26	\$5,540	1	\$1,421
Robert A. Vogel Jr., CFA				
Registered Investment Companies	9	\$15,339	0	N/A
Other pooled investment vehicles	4	\$1,013	0	N/A
Other accounts	26	\$5,540	1	\$1,421

MATERIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:

Individual portfolio managers may perform investment management services for other funds or accounts similar to those provided to the Fund and the investment action for such other fund or account and the Fund may differ. For example, an account or fund may be selling a security, while another account or the Fund may be purchasing or holding the same security. As a result, transactions executed for one fund or account may adversely affect the value of securities held by another fund or account. Additionally, the management of multiple other funds or accounts and the Fund may give rise to potential conflicts of interest, as a portfolio manager must allocate time and effort to multiple funds or accounts and the Fund. A portfolio manager may discover an investment opportunity that may be suitable for more than one account or fund. The investment opportunity may be limited, however, so that all funds or accounts for which the investment would be suitable may not be able to participate. DIFA has adopted procedures designed to allocate investments fairly across multiple funds or accounts.

One of the accounts managed by the portfolio managers have performance-based fees. This compensation structure presents a potential conflict of interest. The portfolio manager has an incentive to manage this account so as to enhance its performance, to the possible detriment of other accounts for which the Manager does not receive a performance-based fee.

A portfolio manager's management of personal accounts also may present certain conflicts of interest. While DIFA's code of ethics is designed to address these potential conflicts, there is no guarantee that it will do so.

(a)(3) COMPENSATION STRUCTURE. The Large-Cap Value team's compensation consists of the below structure:

Compensation Structure

Each portfolio's manager's compensation consists of the following:

Base Salary - Each named portfolio manager receives a fixed base salary. Salaries are determined by a comparison to industry data prepared by third parties to ensure that portfolio manager salaries are in line with salaries paid at peer investment advisory firms.

Bonus - Each named portfolio manager is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus. The bonus pool is determined by the revenues associated with the products a portfolio manager manages. Macquarie Investment Management keeps a

percentage of the revenues and the remaining percentage of revenues (minus appropriate expenses associated with relevant product and the investment management team) creates the “bonus pool” for the product. Various members of the team have the ability to earn a percentage of the bonus pool. The pool is allotted based on subjective factors and objective factors. The primary objective factor is the one-, three-, and five-year performance of the funds managed relative to the performance of the appropriate Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (formerly, Lipper, Inc.) peer groups and the performance of institutional composites relative to the appropriate indices. Three- and five-year performance is weighted more heavily and there is no objective award for a fund whose performance falls below the 50th percentile for a given time period.

Individual allocations of the bonus pool are based on individual performance measurements, both objective and subjective, as determined by senior management.

Portfolio managers participate in retention programs, including the Macquarie Investment Management Notional Investment Plan and the Macquarie Group Employee Retained Equity Plan, for alignment of interest purposes.

Macquarie Investment Management Notional Investment Plan – A portion of a portfolio manager’s retained profit share may be notionally exposed to the return of certain funds within the MIM Funds pursuant to the terms of the Macquarie Investment Management Notional Investment Plan. The retained amount will vest in equal tranches over a period ranging from four to five years after the date of investment (depending on the level of the employee).

Macquarie Group Employee Retained Equity Plan – A portion of a portfolio manager’s retained profit share may be invested in the Macquarie Group Employee Retained Equity Plan (“MEREP”), which is used to deliver remuneration in the form of Macquarie equity. The main type of award currently being offered under the MEREP is units comprising a beneficial interest in a Macquarie share held in a trust for the employee, subject to the vesting and forfeiture provisions of the MEREP. Subject to vesting conditions, vesting and release of the shares occurs in a period ranging from four to five years after the date of investment (depending on the level of the employee).

Other Compensation - Portfolio managers may also participate in benefit plans and programs available generally to all similarly situated employees.

(a)(4) OWNERSHIP BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS.

	Dollar Range of the Registrant’s Securities Owned
Portfolio Managers	by the Portfolio Managers
Kristen E. Bartholdson	None
Nikhil G. Lalvani	None
D. Tysen Nutt Jr.	None
Robert A. Vogel Jr.	None

TCW Investment Management Company LLC (“TCW”)

MANAGEMENT. The portion of the Fund allocated to TCW is managed by Craig C. Blum, CFA, Portfolio Manager, Managing Director and US Equities – Mr. Blum is the Portfolio Manager of the TCW Concentrated Core strategy and the TCW Select Equities Fund. He joined TCW in 1999 as a Research Analyst in the Equity Research group covering

data networking, communications equipment, and enterprise technology companies. In 2002, Mr. Blum became a member of the Concentrated Core/Select Equities group and was subsequently named Portfolio Manager in 2004. Prior to TCW, Mr. Blum held various positions with FMAC Capital Markets, PaineWebber and Merrill Lynch. He received his BS in Applied Mathematics and Computer Science from the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), and his MBA from the UCLA Anderson School of Management. Mr. Blum is a CFA charterholder.

OTHER ACCOUNTS. The table below provides information about the other accounts managed by Mr. Blum as of December 31, 2018:

Type of Account	Number of Accounts Managed	Total Assets Managed (in millions)	Number of Accounts Managed for which Advisory Fee is Performance-Based	Assets Managed for which Advisory
				Fee is Performance-Based (in millions)
<i>Craig C. Blum</i>				
Registered Investment Companies	1	\$782	0	\$0
Other pooled investment vehicles	3	\$107	0	\$0
Other accounts	31	\$3,552	1	\$270

COMPENSATION STRUCTURE.

The overall objective of TCW's compensation program for portfolio managers is to attract experienced and expert investment professionals and to retain them over the long-term. Compensation is comprised of several components which, in the aggregate, are designed to achieve these objectives and to reward the portfolio managers for their contributions to the successful performance of the accounts they manage. Portfolio managers are compensated through a combination of base salary, fee sharing based compensation ("fee sharing"), bonus and equity incentive participation in TCW's parent company ("equity incentives"). Fee sharing and equity incentives generally represent most of the portfolio managers' compensation. In some cases, portfolio managers are eligible for discretionary bonuses.

Salary. Salary is agreed to with portfolio managers at the time of employment and is reviewed from time to time. It does not change significantly and often does not constitute a significant part of a portfolio manager's compensation.

Fee sharing. Fee sharing for investment professionals is based on revenues generated by accounts in the investment strategy area for which the investment professionals are responsible. In most cases, revenues are allocated to a pool and fee sharing compensation is allocated among members of the investment team after the deduction of certain expenses (including compensation over a threshold level) related to the strategy group. The allocations are based on the investment professionals' contribution to TCW and its clients, including qualitative and quantitative contributions.

In general, the same fee sharing percentage is used to compensate a portfolio manager for investment services related to a Fund is generally the same as that used to compensate portfolio managers for other client accounts in the same strategy managed by TCW or an affiliate of TCW (collectively, the "TCW Group"). In some cases, the fee sharing pool includes revenues related to more than one product, in which case each participant in the pool is entitled to fee sharing derived from his or her contributions to all the included products.

Investment professionals are not directly compensated for generating performance fees. In some cases, the fee sharing pool is subject to fluctuation based on the relative pre-tax performance of the investment strategy composite returns, net of fees and expenses, to that of the benchmark. The measurement of performance relative to the benchmark can be based on single year or multiple year metrics, or a combination thereof. The benchmark used is the one associated with the Fund managed by the portfolio manager as disclosed in the prospectus. Benchmarks vary from strategy to strategy but, within a given strategy, the same benchmark applies to all accounts, including the Funds.

Discretionary Bonus/Guaranteed Minimums. Discretionary bonuses may be paid out of an investment team's fee sharing pool, as determined by the supervisor(s) in the department. In other cases where portfolio managers do not receive fee sharing or where it is determined that the combination of salary and fee sharing does not adequately compensate the portfolio manager, discretionary bonuses may be paid by the applicable TCW entity. Also, pursuant to contractual arrangements, some portfolio managers received minimum bonuses.

Equity Incentives. Management believes that equity ownership aligns the interests of portfolio managers with the interests of the firm and its clients. Accordingly, TCW Group's key investment professionals participate in equity incentives through ownership or participation in restricted unit plans that vest over time or unit appreciation plans of TCW's parent company. The plans include the Fixed Income Retention Plan, Restricted Unit Plan and 2013 Equity Unit Incentive Plan.

Under the Fixed Income Retention Plan, certain portfolio managers in the fixed income area were awarded cash and/or partnership units in TCW's parent company, either on a contractually-determined basis or on a discretionary basis. Awards under this plan were made in 2010 that vest over time.

Under the Restricted Unit Plan, certain portfolio managers in the fixed income and equity areas may be awarded partnership units in TCW's parent company. Awards under this plan have vested over time, subject to satisfaction of performance criteria.

Under the 2013 Equity Unit Incentive Plan, certain portfolio managers in the fixed income and equity areas may be awarded options to acquire partnership units in TCW's parent company with a strike price equal to the fair market value of the option at the date of grant. The options granted under this plan are subject to vesting and other conditions.

Other Plans and Compensation Vehicles. Portfolio managers may also elect to participate in the applicable TCW Group's 401(k) plan, to which they may contribute a portion of their pre- and post-tax compensation to the plan for investment on a tax-deferred basis.

Potential conflicts of interest in managing multiple accounts

TCW has policies and controls to avoid and/or mitigate conflicts of interest across its businesses. The policies and procedures in TCW's Code of Ethics (the "Code") serve to address or mitigate both conflicts of interest and the appearance of any conflict of interest. The Code contains several restrictions and procedures designed to eliminate conflicts of interest relating to personal investment transactions, including (i) reporting account openings, changes, or closings (including accounts in which an Access Person has a "beneficial interest"), (ii) pre-clearance of non-exempt personal investment transactions (make a personal trade request for Securities) and (iii) the completion of timely required reporting (Initial Holdings Report, Quarterly Transactions Report, Annual Holdings Report and Annual Certificate of Compliance).

In addition, the Code addresses potential conflicts of interest through its policies on insider trading, anti-corruption, an employee's outside business activities, political activities and contributions, confidentiality and whistleblower provisions.

Conflicts of interest may also arise in the management of accounts and investment vehicles. These conflicts may raise questions that would allow TCW to allocate investment opportunities in a way that favors certain accounts or investment vehicles over other accounts or investment vehicles, or incentivize a TCW portfolio manager to receive greater compensation with regard to the management of certain account or investment vehicles. TCW may give advice or take action with certain accounts or investment vehicles that could differ from the advice given or action taken on other accounts or investment vehicles.

When an investment opportunity is suitable for more than one account or investment vehicle, such investments will be allocated in a manner that is fair and equitable under the circumstances to all TCW clients. As such, TCW has adopted compliance policies and procedures in its Portfolio Management Policy that helps to identify a conflict of interest and then specifies how a conflict of interest is managed. TCW's Trading and Brokerage Policy also discusses the process of timing and method of allocations, and addresses how the firm handles affiliate transactions.

The respective Equity and Fixed Income Trading and Allocation Committees review trading activities on behalf of client accounts, including the allocation of investment opportunities and address any issues with regard to side-by-side management in order to ensure that all of TCW's clients are treated on a fair and equitable basis. Further, the Portfolio Analytics Committee reviews TCW's investment strategies, evaluates various analytics to facilitate risk assessment, changes to performance composites and benchmarks and monitors the implementation and maintenance of the Global

Investment Performance Standards or GIPS® compliance.

TCW's approach to handling conflicts of interest is multi-layered starting with its policies and procedures, reporting and pre-clearance processes and oversight by various committees.

OWNERSHIP BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS. None of the individuals at the firm responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund owns any shares of the Fund.

Sustainable Growth Advisers, LP (“SGA”)

MANAGEMENT.

George P. Fraise - Principal, co-founder, portfolio manager and a member of the Investment Committee. He is also a member of the Firm’s Advisory Board. Prior to founding Sustainable Growth Advisers, George was Executive Vice President, a member of the Board of Directors and a member of the Investment Policy Committee of Yeager, Wood & Marshall, Inc. George began his investment career in 1987 as an equity analyst at Drexel Burnham Lambert. In 1990 he joined Smith Barney as a senior analyst responsible for the coverage of electrical equipment companies. He also held a senior analyst position at Chancellor Capital Management, a private large cap growth money manager. In 1997 George joined Scudder Kemper Investments as a portfolio manager for two separate large cap growth funds.

Education:

Trinity College – BA in History - 1986

Stern School of Business at New York University – MBA in Finance and International Business – 1990

Gordon M. Marchand, CFA, CIC, CPA - Principal, co-founder, portfolio manager and a member of the Investment Committee. He is also a member of the Firm's Advisory Board and serves as the firm's Chief Financial Officer. Prior to founding Sustainable Growth Advisers, Gordon was an executive officer, a member of the Board of Directors and Investment Policy Committee of Yeager, Wood & Marshall, Inc. which he joined in 1984. He also served as the firm's Chief Financial and Operating Officer. Gordon began his career as a CPA for Grant Thornton Int'l and a management consultant for Price Waterhouse.

Education:

Georgetown University – BS; University of Massachusetts/Amherst – MBA

Oxford University Management Center – Graduate Study

Robert L. Rohn – Principal, co-founder, portfolio manager and chairs the firm's Investment Committee. He is also a member of the Firm's Advisory Board. Prior to joining Sustainable Growth Advisers in November 2003, Rob managed over \$1 billion of large capitalization, high quality growth stock portfolios at W.P Stewart & Co. During Rob's twelve-year tenure with W.P. Stewart, he was an analyst and portfolio Manager, held the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of W.P. Stewart Inc., the company's core U.S. investment business, and served as Chairman of the firm's Management Committee. From 1988 through 1991, he was with Yeager, Wood & Marshall, a growth-oriented investment counseling firm, where he served as Vice President and a member of the Investment Policy Committee with responsibilities in equity analysis and portfolio management. Rob began his career in 1983 at JP Morgan, where he was an officer of the bank in Corporate Finance.

Education:

Dartmouth College – BA (Cum Laude); Harvard Business School – MBA

OTHER ACCOUNTS. The table below provides information about the other accounts managed by Messrs. Fraise, Rohn and Marchand, as of December 31, 2018:

Type of Account	Number of Accounts Managed	Total Assets Managed (in millions)	Number of Accounts Managed for which Advisory Fee is Performance Based	Assets Managed for
				which Advisory Fee is Performance Based (in millions)
George P. Fraise	6	\$3,905	0	n/a

Registered Investment
Companies

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	16	\$2,609	0	n/a
-------------------------------------	----	---------	---	-----

Other Accounts	64	\$3,754	1	\$54
----------------	----	---------	---	------

Robert L. Rohn

Registered Investment Companies	6	\$3,905	0	n/a
------------------------------------	---	---------	---	-----

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	16	\$2,609	0	n/a
-------------------------------------	----	---------	---	-----

Other Accounts	65	\$3,754	1	\$54
----------------	----	---------	---	------

**Gordon M.
Marchand**

Registered Investment Companies	6	\$3,905	0	n/a
------------------------------------	---	---------	---	-----

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	17	\$2,698	0	n/a
-------------------------------------	----	---------	---	-----

Other Accounts	64	\$3,754	1	\$54
----------------	----	---------	---	------

Compensation Structure

SGA has adopted a system of compensation for portfolio managers that seeks to align the financial interests of the investment professionals with those of SGA. The compensation of SGA's three principals/portfolio managers is based upon (i) a fixed base compensation and (ii) SGA's financial performance. SGA's compensation arrangements with its investment professionals are not determined on the basis of specific funds or accounts managed by the investment professional. All investment professionals receive customary benefits that are offered generally to all salaried employees of SGA.

OWNERSHIP BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS. None of the individuals at the firm responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund owns any shares of the Fund.

Potential conflicts of interest in managing multiple accounts

Like other investment professionals with multiple clients, a portfolio manager for a Fund may face certain potential conflicts of interest in connection with managing both the Fund and other accounts at the same time. The paragraphs below describe some of these potential conflicts, which may be faced by investment professionals at most major financial firms. ALPS Advisors, Inc. and the Fund have adopted compliance policies and procedures that attempt to address certain of these potential conflicts.

The management of accounts with different advisory fee rates and/or fee structures, including accounts that pay advisory fees based on account performance (“performance fee accounts”), may raise potential conflicts of interest by creating an incentive to favor higher-fee accounts. These potential conflicts may include, among others:

The most attractive investments could be allocated to higher-fee accounts or performance fee accounts.

The trading of higher-fee accounts could be favored as to timing and/or execution price. For example, higher-fee accounts could be permitted to sell securities earlier than other accounts when a prompt sale is desirable or to buy securities at an earlier and more opportune time.

The trading of other accounts could be used to benefit higher-fee accounts (front-running).

The investment management team could focus their time and efforts primarily on higher-fee accounts due to a personal stake in compensation.

Potential conflicts of interest may also arise when the portfolio managers have personal investments in other accounts that may create an incentive to favor those accounts.

A potential conflict of interest may arise when a Fund and other accounts purchase or sell the same securities. On occasions when a portfolio manager considers the purchase or sale of a security to be in the best interests of a Fund as well as other accounts, the adviser’s trading desk may, to the extent permitted by applicable laws and regulations, aggregate the securities to be sold or purchased in order to obtain the best execution and lower brokerage commissions, if any. Aggregation of trades may create the potential for unfairness to a Fund or another account if one account is favored over another in allocating the securities purchased or sold – for example, by allocating a disproportionate amount of a security that is likely to increase in value to a favored account.

“Cross trades,” in which one account sells a particular security to another account (potentially saving transaction costs for both accounts), may also pose a potential conflict of interest. Cross trades may be seen to involve a potential conflict of interest if, for example, one account is permitted to sell a security to another account at a higher price than an independent third party would pay. The Fund has adopted compliance procedures that provide that any transactions between a Fund and another advised account are to be made at an independent current market price, as required by law.

Another potential conflict of interest may arise based on the different investment objectives and strategies of a Fund and other accounts. For example, another account may have a shorter-term investment horizon or different investment objectives, policies or restrictions than a Fund. Depending on another account’s objectives or other factors, a portfolio manager may give advice and make decisions that may differ from advice given, or the timing or nature of decisions made, with respect to a Fund. In addition, investment decisions are the product of many factors in addition to basic suitability for the particular account involved. Thus, a particular security may be bought or sold for certain accounts even though it could have been bought or sold for other accounts at the same time. More rarely, a particular security may be bought for one or more accounts managed by a portfolio manager when one or more other accounts are selling the security (including short sales). There may be circumstances when purchases or sales of portfolio securities for one or more accounts may have an adverse effect on other accounts.

A Fund’s portfolio manager who is responsible for managing multiple funds and/or accounts may devote unequal time and attention to the management of those funds and/or accounts. As a result, the portfolio manager may not be able to formulate as complete a strategy or identify equally attractive investment opportunities for each of those accounts as might be the case if he or she were to devote substantially more attention to the management of a single fund. The effects of this potential conflict may be more pronounced where funds and/or accounts overseen by a particular portfolio manager have different investment strategies.

A Fund's portfolio managers may be able to select or influence the selection of the brokers and dealers that are used to execute securities transactions for the Fund. In addition to executing trades, some brokers and dealers provide portfolio managers with brokerage and research services (as those terms are defined in Section 28(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), which may result in the payment of higher brokerage fees than might have otherwise be available. These services may be more beneficial to certain funds or accounts than to others. Although the payment of brokerage commissions is subject to the requirement that the portfolio manager determine in good faith that the commissions are reasonable in relation to the value of the brokerage and research services provided to the fund, a portfolio manager's decision as to the selection of brokers and dealers could yield disproportionate costs and benefits among the funds and/or accounts that he or she manages.

The adviser or an affiliate may provide more services (such as distribution or recordkeeping) for some types of funds or accounts than for others. In such cases, a portfolio manager may benefit, either directly or indirectly, by devoting disproportionate attention to the management of fund and/or accounts that provide greater overall returns to the investment manager and its affiliates.

A Fund's portfolio manager(s) may also face other potential conflicts of interest in managing the Fund, and the description above is not a complete description of every conflict that could be deemed to exist in managing both a Fund and other accounts. In addition, a Fund's portfolio manager may also manage other accounts (including their personal assets or the assets of family members) in their personal capacity. The management of these accounts may also involve certain of the potential conflicts described above. Investment personnel at the advisers, including each Fund's portfolio manager, are subject to restrictions on engaging in personal securities transactions pursuant to Codes of Ethics adopted by the adviser.

The adviser has trade allocation and other policies and procedures that it believes are reasonably designed to address these and other potential conflicts of interest.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, there were no purchases made by or on behalf of the registrant or any "affiliated purchaser", as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), of shares or other units of any class of the registrant's equity securities that are registered by the registrant pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There have not been any material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of directors, since those procedures were last disclosed in response to the requirements of Item 407(c)(2)(iv) of Regulation S-K or this Item.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures.

(a) The Registrant's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) are effective based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this document.

(b) There was no change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Disclosure of Securities Lending Activities for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

(a) For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, the registrant had the following dollar amounts of income and fees/compensation related to its securities lending activities to report:

	Total
Gross Income from securities lending activity ¹	\$100,553
Fees and/or compensation for securities lending activities and related services	
Fees paid to securities lending agent from revenue split ²	\$12,678
Fees paid for any cash collateral management service (including fees deducted from a pooled cash collateral reinvestment vehicle) that are not included in revenue split ³	\$1,326
Administrative fees not included in revenue split ⁴	\$-
Indemnification fee not included in revenue split ⁵	\$-
Rebate (paid to borrowers) ⁶	\$36,134
Other fees not included in revenue split (specify)	\$-
Aggregate fees/comp for securities lending activities	\$50,138
Net income from securities lending activities	\$50,415

¹ Gross income from securities lending activities represents the total revenue generated from securities lending activities prior to the application of any fees (revenue split, management fee, or otherwise) and/or rebates on cash collateral negotiated with borrowers.

² Fees paid to securities lending agent from a revenue split is the agent lender's income from the lending activities exclusive of any fees or rebates.

³ Fees paid for cash collateral management service (including fees deducted from a pooled cash collateral reinvestment vehicle) that are not included in the revenue split as calculated: Average monthly cash collateral balance for the reporting period multiplied by the most recently reported expense ratio.

⁴ Administrative fees not included in revenue split are fees for other administrative activities associated with client's participation in securities lending activities.

⁵ Indemnification fee not included in revenue split is the fee for indemnifying the client for their participation in securities lending activities. There is currently no fee associated with indemnification.

⁶ Rebate (paid to borrowers) is the fee paid by the lender to the borrower for loans collateralized with cash.

(b) The Fund only lends its portfolio securities to borrowers that are approved by the Fund's securities lending agent. The agent monitors loans for compliance with certain policies of the Fund, including: (1) securities lending may not exceed 30% of the value of the Fund's total assets; (2) the initial collateral received by the Fund must have a value of no less than 102% of the market value of the loaned securities for securities traded on U.S. exchanges and a value of no less than 105% of the market value of the loaned securities for all other securities; and, (3) thereafter the market value of the collateral must be no less than 100% of the current value of the securities on loan. The securities lending agent will obtain additional collateral in the event the market value of the collateral does not comply with these policies. The securities lending agent will recall securities on loan in the event it is determined that they need to be recalled for any reason, including for the Fund to cast a vote on a matter at a shareholders meeting. The securities lending agent collects distributions on loaned securities. The securities lending agent invests cash collateral received in a money market fund approved by the Fund's board.

Item 13. Exhibits.

(a)(1) The registrant's Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial officer and as described in Item 2 hereof is attached hereto as Exhibit 13.A.1.

(a)(2) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) attached hereto as Exhibit 99.CERT.

(a)(3) Not applicable.

(a)(4) Not applicable.

(b) Certification pursuant to Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)) attached hereto as Exhibit 99.906CERT.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY
FUND

By: /s/ William R. Parmentier, Jr.
William R. Parmentier, Jr.

(Principal Executive Officer)

President

Date: March 5, 2019

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY
FUND

By: /s/ William R. Parmentier, Jr.
William R. Parmentier, Jr.

(Principal Executive Officer)

President

Date: March 5, 2019

By: /s/ Kimberly R. Storms
Kimberly R. Storms
(Principal Financial Officer)

Treasurer

Date: March 5, 2019