

EMCORE CORP
Form DEFA14A
January 24, 2019

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

EMCORE CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: EMCORE CORP - Form DEFA14A

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

- (3) Filing Party:

- (4) Date Filed:

authority to vote on at least one matter at the meeting but does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that proposal and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

What is a quorum?

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock constitutes a quorum at the Meeting for the election of directors and for the other proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for the purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Meeting.

How many votes are required to approve the proposals?

Election of Directors – The election of directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote for the election of directors. This means that the nominees receiving the most votes from those eligible to vote will be elected. You may vote “FOR” all of the nominees or your vote may be “WITHHELD” with respect to one or more of the nominees; however, a “withheld” vote or a broker non-vote (defined above) will have no effect on the outcome of the election.

Ratification of auditors, advisory vote on executive compensation, and other matters – The number of votes cast in favor of the proposal at the Meeting must exceed the number of votes cast against the proposal for the approval of Proposals 2, 3 and other matters. For Proposals 2, 3 and any other business matters to be voted on, you may vote “FOR,”

“AGAINST,” or you may “ABSTAIN.” Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes for or against a proposal and, therefore, have no effect on the vote. Because your vote on executive compensation is advisory, it will not be binding on the board of directors or the Company. However, the board of directors will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

If no specification is made, then the shares will be voted “FOR” the election as directors of the persons nominated by the board of directors, “FOR” Proposal 2, “FOR” Proposal 3, and otherwise, in accordance with the recommendations of the board of directors.

Does the Company expect there to be any additional matters presented at the Meeting?

Other than the items of business described in this proxy statement, the Company is not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the Meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy holders, Mark W. Harding and Harrison H. Augur, have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matter properly presented for a vote at the Meeting. If for any unforeseen reason any of the director nominees are not available for election at the date of the Meeting, the named proxy holders will vote your shares for such other candidates as may be nominated by the board.

When will the results of the voting being announced?

The Company will announce preliminary results at the Meeting and will publish final results in a current report on Form 8-K to be filed within four business days of the date of the Meeting.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

Voting Securities and Principal Holders Thereof

The following table sets forth information as of November 17, 2017, as to the beneficial ownership of shares of the Company’s common stock by (i) each person (or group of affiliated persons) known to the Company to own beneficially 5% or more of the common stock, (ii) each director of the Company and each nominee for director, (iii) each executive officer and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. All information is based on information filed by such persons with the SEC and other information provided by such persons to the Company. Except as otherwise indicated, the Company believes that each of the beneficial owners listed has sole investment and voting power with respect to such shares. On November 17, 2017, there were 23,754,098 common shares outstanding. Shares not outstanding but deemed beneficially owned by virtue of the right of a person to acquire shares within 60 days of November 17, 2017, are included as outstanding and beneficially owned for that person, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

Name and address of beneficial owner	Amount and nature of beneficial ownership	Percent of class
Mark W. Harding **	843,910	(1) 3.54%
Harrison H. Augur **	153,281	(2) *
Patrick J. Beirne **	16,500	(3) *
Arthur G. Epker, III 200 Clarendon Street, 48th Floor, Boston, MA 02116	45,000	(4) *
Richard L. Guido **	45,000	(5) *
Peter C. Howell **	45,500	(6) *
All officers and directors as a group (6 persons)	1,149,191	(7) 4.77%
PAR Capital Management, Inc. / PAR Investment Partners, L.P. / PAR Group, L.P. 200 Clarendon Street, 48th Floor, Boston, MA 02116	5,982,970	(8) 25.19%

Edgar Filing: EMCORE CORP - Form DEFA14A

Trigran Investments, Inc.
630 Dundee Road, Suite 230, Northbrook, IL 60062

2,180,074

(9) 9.18%

* Less than 1%

** Address is the Company's address: 34501 E. Quincy Avenue, Bldg. 34, Box 10, Watkins, CO 80137

1.

Includes 116,667 shares purchasable by Mr. Harding under options exercisable within 60 days. Includes 210,000 shares of common stock held by SMA Investments, LLLP, a limited liability limited partnership controlled by Mr. Harding.

3

2.

Includes 45,000 shares purchasable by Mr. Augur under options exercisable within 60 days. Includes 10,000 shares of common stock held by Patience Partners, LLC, a limited liability company in which a foundation controlled by Mr. Augur is a 60% member and Mr. Augur is a 20% managing member. Includes 46,111 shares of common stock held in a margin account owned by Auginco, a Colorado general partnership, which is owned 50% by Mr. Augur and 50% by his wife.

3.

Includes 16,500 shares purchasable by Mr. Beirne under options exercisable within 60 days.

4.

Includes 45,000 shares purchasable by Mr. Epker under options exercisable within 60 days. Excludes all shares of common stock held directly by PAR Investment Partners, L.P. ("PIP"). PAR Capital Management, Inc. ("PCM"), as the general partner of PAR Group, L.P. ("PGL"), which is the general partner of PIP, has investment discretion and voting control over shares held by PIP. No shareholder, director, officer or employee of PCM has beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) of any shares held by PIP. Mr. Epker is an officer of PCM and has been a director of the Company since 2007. In his capacity as an officer of PCM, Mr. Epker has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares of common stock held by PIP; however, Mr. Epker disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by PIP.

5.

Includes 45,000 shares purchasable by Mr. Guido under options exercisable within 60 days.

6.

Includes 45,000 shares purchasable by Mr. Howell under options exercisable within 60 days.

7.

Includes the following shares:

a.

210,000 shares held by SMA Investments, LLLP as described in number 1 above,

b.

313,167 shares purchasable by directors and officers under options exercisable within 60 days, and

c.

10,000 shares of common stock held by Patience Partners, LLC, and 46,111 shares of common stock held by Auginco, as described in number 2 above.

8.

PIP owns directly 5,982,970 shares. PGL, through its control of PIP as general partner, has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all 5,982,970 shares owned beneficially by PIP. PCM, through its control of PGL as general partner, has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all 5,982,970 shares owned beneficially by PIP. Excludes 45,000 shares purchasable by Mr. Epker under options exercisable within 60 days. PIP, PGL and PCM disclaim beneficial ownership of such option shares.

9.

This disclosure is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Trigran Investments, Inc. ("TII"), Douglas Granat, Lawrence A. Oberman, Steven G. Simon and Bradley F. Simon on February 13, 2017. It includes 2,582,741 shares of common

stock owned by TII. By reason of their role as controlling shareholders and/or sole director of TII, each of Douglas Granat, Lawrence A. Oberman, Steven G. Simon and Bradley F. Simon may be considered the beneficial owners of shares beneficially owned by TII.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans as of August 31, 2017. All securities outstanding represent options to purchase common stock.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans:			
Approved by security holders	465,500	\$4.88	1,395,500
Not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	465,500	\$4.88	1,395,500

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the Company's directors, director nominees, and executive officer and their positions currently held with the Company.

Name	Age	Position
Harrison H. Augur	75	Chairman of the Board*
Patrick J. Beirne	54	Director*
Arthur G. Epker, III	55	Director*
Richard L. Guido	73	Director*
Mark W. Harding	54	Director, President, CEO and CFO*
Peter C. Howell	68	Director*

* Director nominee

The principal occupation and other information about each of the individuals listed above, including the period during which each has served as director or officer, can be found beginning on page 16.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Board Leadership Structure

The Company's board of directors has chosen to separate the positions of Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chairman of the Board. Keeping these positions separate allows the Company's CEO to focus on developing and implementing the Company's business plans and supervising the Company's day-to-day operations and allows the Company's Chairman to lead the board of directors in its oversight and advisory roles. Because of the many responsibilities of the board of directors and the significant time and effort required by each of the Chairman and the CEO to perform their respective duties, the Company believes that having separate persons in these roles enhances the ability of each to discharge those duties effectively and, as a corollary, enhances the Company's prospects for success. The board of directors also believes that having separate positions provides a clear delineation of responsibilities for each position and fosters greater accountability of management.

Board Risk and Oversight

Our board of directors, as a whole and through its committees, has responsibility for the oversight of risk management. With the oversight of the Company's full board of directors, the Company's CEO is responsible for the day-to-day management of the material risks the Company faces. In its oversight role, the board of directors has the responsibility to satisfy itself that the risk management processes designed and implemented by management are adequate and functioning as designed. At least annually, the board of directors holds a strategic planning session with management to discuss strategies, key challenges, risks and opportunities for the Company. This involvement of the board of directors in setting the Company's business strategy is a key part of its oversight of risk management, its assessment of management's appetite for risk, and its determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk for the Company. Additionally, the board of directors regularly receives updates from management regarding certain risks that the Company faces, including various operating risks. Management attends meetings of the board of directors and its committees on a regular basis, and as is otherwise needed, and is available to address any questions or concerns raised by the board on risk management and any other matters.

The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing risk management of financial matters, financial reporting, the adequacy of the Company's risk-related internal controls, internal investigations, and enterprise risks, generally. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the "Nominating Committee") oversees the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and governance-related risks, such as board independence, as well as management and director succession planning. The Compensation Committee oversees risks related to compensation policies and practices and is responsible for establishing and maintaining compensation policies and programs designed to create incentives consistent with the Company's business strategy that do not encourage excessive risk-taking.

Board Membership and Director Independence

Director Independence – At least a majority of the members of the board and all members of the board’s Audit, Compensation, and Nominating Committees must be independent in accordance with the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. The board has determined that four of the six current members, Messrs. Augur, Epker, Guido, and Howell, are independent pursuant to the standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market.

Terms of Directors and Officers – All directors are elected for one-year terms which expire at the annual meeting of shareholders or when their successors are duly elected and qualified. The Company’s officers are elected annually by the board of directors and hold office until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Family Relationships of Directors and Officers – None of the current directors or officers, or nominees for director, is related to any other officer or director of the Company or to any nominee for director.

Board Meetings Held – The board of directors and each of the standing committees described below meet throughout the fiscal year on a set schedule. They also hold special meetings and act by written consent from time to time as appropriate. The Company’s independent directors meet regularly in executive sessions without management present. The executive sessions of independent directors are held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled board meeting.

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, the board of directors held three (3) meetings. All board members attended 75% or more of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the board of directors and the total number of meetings held by all committees of the board on which the director served during the periods that the director served on the board and committees, as applicable. All of the Company’s board members are expected to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. All of the Company’s board members attended the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders.

Committees

The Board has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating Committee. Each of the committees regularly reports on its activities and actions to the full board of directors.

Membership in the standing committees for 2017 is set forth below:

Fiscal 2017 Committee Membership

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating Committee
H. Augur	X	X	X (1)
P. Beirne	—	X (1)	X (1)
A. Epker	—	Chair	X
R. Guido	X	X (1)	Chair
M. Harding	—	—	—
P. Howell	Chair	—	—

(1)

Indicates service on a committee for a portion of the fiscal year. Committee assignments were revised on January 18, 2017, following the annual meeting of shareholders.

Audit Committee – The Audit Committee consists of Mr. Howell (Chairman) and Messrs. Augur and Guido. The board of directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are “independent” within the meaning of the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market and the SEC rules governing audit committees. In addition, the board has determined that Mr. Howell meets the SEC criteria of an “audit committee financial expert” by reason of his understanding of Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and the application of GAAP, his education, his experiences as an auditor and chief financial officer, and his understanding of financial statements. See Mr. Howell’s biography under Election of Directors (Proposal No. 1) for additional information. The functions to be performed by the Audit Committee include the appointment, retention, compensation and oversight of the Company’s independent auditors, including pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be performed by such auditors. The Audit Committee Charter is available on the Company’s website at www.purecyclewater.com. The Audit Committee held eight (8) meetings during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017.

Compensation Committee – The Compensation Committee consists of Mr. Epker (Chairman) and Messrs. Augur and Guido. The board of directors determined that all members of the Compensation Committee were “independent” within the meaning of the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. The functions to be performed by the Compensation Committee include establishing the compensation of officers, evaluating the performance of officers and key employees, and administering employee incentive compensation plans. The Compensation Committee typically meets with the CEO to obtain information about employee performance and compensation recommendations. It also has the authority to engage outside advisors to assist the committee with its functions. The Compensation Committee has the power to delegate authority to the CEO or a subcommittee to make certain determinations with respect to compensation for employees who are not executive officers. The Company’s Compensation Committee Charter is available on the Company’s website at www.purecyclewater.com. The Compensation Committee held three (3) meetings during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee – The Nominating Committee consists of Messrs. Guido (Chairman), Augur and Epker. The board of directors determined that all members of the Nominating Committee were “independent” within the meaning of the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. The principal responsibilities of the Nominating Committee are to identify and nominate qualified individuals to serve as members of the board and to make recommendations to the board with respect to director compensation. In addition, the Nominating Committee is responsible for establishing the Company’s Corporate Governance Guidelines and evaluating the board and its processes. In selecting nominees for the board, the Nominating Committee is seeking a board with a variety of experience and expertise, and in selecting nominees it will consider business experience in the industry in which the Company operates, financial expertise, independence from the Company, experience with publicly traded companies, experience with relevant regulatory matters in which the Company is involved, and a reputation for integrity and professionalism. The Company does not have a formal policy with respect to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, but it considers diversity as part of its overall assessment of the board’s functions and needs. Nominees must be at least 21 years of age and less than 75 on the date of the annual meeting of shareholders, unless the Nominating Committee waives such requirements. Identification of prospective board members is done by a combination of methods, including word-of-mouth in industry circles, inquiries of outside professionals and recommendations made to the Company. The Nominating Committee Charter is available on the Company’s website at www.purecyclewater.com. The Nominating Committee held three (3) meetings during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017.

The Nominating Committee will consider nominations for director made by shareholders of record entitled to vote. In order to make a nomination for election at the January 2019 annual meeting, a shareholder must provide notice, along with supporting information (discussed below) regarding such nominee, to the Company’s Secretary by August 10, 2018, but not before June 11, 2018, in accordance with the Company’s bylaws. The Nominating Committee evaluates nominees recommended by shareholders utilizing the same criteria it uses for other nominees. Each shareholder recommendation should be accompanied by the following:

The full name, address, and telephone number of the person making the recommendation, and a statement that the person making the recommendation is a shareholder of record (or, if the person is a beneficial owner of the Company’s shares but not a record holder, a statement from the record holder of the shares verifying the number of shares beneficially owned), and a statement as to whether the person making the recommendation has a good faith intention to continue to hold those shares through the date of the Company’s next annual meeting of shareholders;

The full name, address, and telephone number of the candidate being recommended, information regarding the candidate’s beneficial ownership of the Company’s equity securities, any business or personal relationship between the candidate and the person making the recommendation, and an explanation of the value or benefit the person making

the recommendation believes the candidate would provide as a director;

A statement signed by the candidate that he or she is aware of and consents to being recommended to the Nominating Committee and will provide such information as the Nominating Committee may request for its evaluation of candidates;

A description of the candidate's current principal occupation, business or professional experience, previous employment history, educational background, and any areas of particular expertise;

7

Information about any business or personal relationships between the candidate and any of the Company's customers, suppliers, vendors, competitors, directors or officers, or other persons with any special interest regarding any transactions between the candidate and the Company; and

Any information in addition to the above about the candidate that would be required to be included in the Company's proxy statement (including without limitation information about legal proceedings in which the candidate has been involved within the past ten years).

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation – No interlocking relationship exists between any member of the board of directors or the Compensation Committee and any other company's board of directors or compensation committee.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for its directors, officers and employees, which is available on the Company's website at www.purecyclewater.com.

Shareholder Communications with the Board

The board of directors has adopted a policy for shareholders to send communications to the board. The policy is available on the Company's website. Shareholders wishing to send communications to the board may contact the Chairman of the board at the Company's principal place of business or email chairman@purecyclewater.com. All such communications shall be shared with the members of the board, or if applicable, a specified committee or director.

Director Compensation

Directors who are employees of the Company receive no fees for board service. Currently, Mr. Harding is the only director who is also an employee. Each non-employee director receives a payment of \$10,000 for each full year in which he or she serves as a director, with an additional payment of \$2,500 for serving as chairman of a committee, \$1,000 for each committee on which he or she serves as a member but not as chairman, and \$1,000 for serving as chairman of the board. Directors receive \$500 for attendance at each board meeting and, if committee meetings are held separately from board meetings, each director receives \$500 for attendance at such committee meetings.

The following table sets forth summary information concerning the compensation paid to the Company's non-employee directors in fiscal 2017 for services to the Company:

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards (1) (\$)	Total (\$)

H. Augur (2)	16,000	22,540	38,540
P. Beirne (3)	13,500	22,540	36,040
A. Epker (4)	15,000	22,540	37,540
R. Guido (5)	16,500	22,540	39,040
P. Howell (6)	16,000	22,540	38,540

(1)

In addition to cash compensation, pursuant to the Pure Cycle Corporation 2004 Incentive Plan, as amended (the “2004 Plan”), each non-employee director received an option to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock upon initial election or appointment to the board (which vested one-half on each of the first two anniversary dates of the grant) and an option to purchase 6,500 shares of common stock (2,500 shares prior to January 2013) at each subsequent annual meeting of shareholders at which the non-employee director was re-elected to the board (which vested on the first anniversary of the date of the grant). The 2004 Plan was replaced by the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2014 Plan”) effective as of April 12, 2014. Pursuant to the 2014 Plan, each non-employee director may receive an option to purchase shares of common stock at the discretion of the board, and the terms of such awards granted to non-employee directors, including the discretion to adopt one or more formulas for the determination of non-employee director awards, are at the discretion of the board. On January 14, 2015, the board adopted a formula under the 2014 Plan for grants to non-employee directors re-elected to the board. Like the 2004 Plan, the formula adopted pursuant to the 2014 Plan provides for an option grant to each non-employee director to purchase 6,500 shares of common stock at each annual meeting of shareholders at which the non-employee director is re-elected to the board. The options vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant. On January 27, 2016, the board adopted a formula under the 2014 Plan providing for an option grant to each non-employee director to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock upon initial election or appointment to the board, which vests one-half on each of the first two anniversary dates of the grant. The option exercise price for all non-employee director grants is set at the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant. The amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of options granted during the Company’s fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For more information about how the Company values and accounts for share-based compensation see Note 8 – Shareholders’ Equity to the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended August 31, 2017, which are included in the Company’s 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(2)

The \$16,000 earned by Mr. Augur is comprised of \$10,000 for serving on the board, \$1,000 for being chairman of the board, \$3,000 for serving on three committees, and \$2,000 for attendance at board and committee meetings (\$500 per meeting). Mr. Augur had options outstanding to purchase 45,000 shares of common stock as of August 31, 2017, all of which are exercisable within 60 days of the filing of this proxy statement.

(3)

The \$13,500 earned by Mr. Beirne is comprised of \$10,000 for serving on the board, \$2,000 for serving on two committees, and \$1,500 for attendance at board and committee meetings (\$500 per meeting). Mr. Beirne had options outstanding to purchase 16,500 shares of common stock as of August 31, 2017, all of which are exercisable within 60 days of the filing of this proxy statement.

(4)

The \$15,000 earned by Mr. Epker is comprised of \$10,000 for serving on the board, \$2,500 for serving as chairman of the Compensation Committee, \$1,000 for serving on one additional committee, and \$1,500 for attendance at board and committee meetings (\$500 per meeting). Mr. Epker had options outstanding to purchase 45,000 shares of common stock as of August 31, 2017, all of which are exercisable within 60 days of the filing of this proxy statement.

(5)

The \$16,500 earned by Mr. Guido is comprised of \$10,000 for serving on the board, \$2,500 for serving as chairman of the Nominating Committee, \$2,000 for serving on two additional committees, and \$2,000 for attendance at board and committee meetings (\$500 per meeting). Mr. Guido had options outstanding to purchase 45,000 shares of common stock as of August 31, 2017, all of which are exercisable within 60 days of the filing of this proxy statement.

(6)

The \$16,000 earned by Mr. Howell is comprised of \$10,000 for serving on the board, \$2,500 for serving as chairman of the Audit Committee, and \$3,500 for attendance at board and committee meetings (\$500 per meeting). Mr. Howell had options outstanding to purchase 45,000 shares of common stock as of August 31, 2017, all of which are exercisable within 60 days of the filing of this proxy statement.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Person Covered

This compensation discussion and analysis addresses compensation for fiscal 2017 for Mark W. Harding, the Company's President, CEO and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and its only executive officer.

Summary

The Company's compensation plan is designed to attract, retain and motivate quality executive talent critical to the Company's growth and success. The compensation plan is structured to reward the executive officer of the Company with competitive total pay opportunities through a compensation mix that emphasizes cash and non-cash incentives and merit-based salary increases, while de-emphasizing entitlements and perquisites.

In September 2016, the Compensation Committee determined that it would be appropriate to focus less on bonus compensation and more on rewarding Mr. Harding for his attention to long-term goals of the Company and ensuring that Mr. Harding is receiving an appropriate salary for a chief executive officer with his experience and capabilities. As a result, the Compensation Committee recommended, and the board approved, a salary increase for Mr. Harding

from \$285,000 to \$375,000 for fiscal 2017, a \$125,000 cash bonus award, and a stock option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock. In September 2017, in recognition of the many positive achievements in fiscal 2017, the Compensation Committee recommended, and the board approved, a salary increase for Mr. Harding to \$400,000 for fiscal 2018, a \$200,000 cash bonus award, and a stock option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock.

2017 Achievements

Due in large part to the efforts and leadership of Mr. Harding, the Company achieved certain key strategic objectives during fiscal 2017, including:

Submitting applications for final plats and a wastewater treatment plant at Sky Ranch in preparation for the marketing and sale of finished lots;

Entering into purchase and sale contracts pursuant to which three national home builders will purchase platted single-family, detached residential lots at the Sky Ranch property;

Completing construction of a 6.5-mile pipeline from Lowry Range to the Company's Sky Ranch property;

Acquiring the exclusive right to provide water services to residential and commercial customers in Wild Pointe Ranch;

Completing an interconnect with the WISE (as defined below) system for WISE water deliveries; and

Recruiting personnel to improve budgeting systems to better meet the needs of managing the Sky Ranch development and water service projects being pursued by the Company.

Through an agreement with the Rangeview Metropolitan District, the Company has worked with regional water suppliers, including Denver Water and Aurora Water, to participate in a cooperative water project known as the Water Infrastructure Supply Efficiency partnership ("WISE") to develop regional infrastructure and supplies.

Compensation Philosophy

The Company's executive compensation program is administered by the Compensation Committee of the board of directors. The Compensation Committee is composed of Messrs. Epker, Augur and Guido, three independent, non-employee directors. The Compensation Committee reviews the performance and compensation level for the CEO and makes recommendations to the board of directors for final approval. The Compensation Committee also determines equity grants under the 2014 Plan, if any. The CEO may provide information to the Compensation Committee regarding his compensation; however, the Compensation Committee makes the final determination on the executive compensation recommendation to the board. Final compensation determinations are generally made in September immediately following the end of the Company's fiscal year. The following outlines the philosophy and objectives of the Company's compensation plan.

The objectives of the Company's compensation plan are to correlate executive compensation with the Company's objectives and overall performance and to enable the Company to attract, retain and reward executive officers who contribute to its long-term growth and success. The compensation plan is designed to create a mutuality of interest between executive and shareholders through equity ownership programs and to focus the executive's attention on overall corporate objectives, in addition to the executive's personal objectives.

The goal of the Compensation Committee is to provide a compensation package that is competitive with compensation practices of companies with which the Company competes, provides variable compensation that is linked to achievement of the Company's operational performance goals, and aligns the interests of the executive officer and employees with those of the shareholders of the Company. Additionally, the Compensation Committee's goal is to design a compensation package that falls within the mid-range of the packages provided to executives of similarly sized corporations in like industries.

Generally, the executive officer receives a base salary and an opportunity to earn a cash bonus based on attainment of predetermined objectives at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Long-term equity incentives are also considered. The mixture of cash and non-cash compensation items is designed to provide the executive with a competitive total compensation package while not using an excessive amount of the Company's cash or overly diluting the equity positions of its shareholders. The Company's executive officer does not receive any perquisites or personal benefits. The executive officer is eligible for the same benefits available to all Company employees. Currently, this includes participation in a tax-qualified 401(k) plan and health and dental plans.

Compensation Consultants

The Compensation Committee charter authorizes the Compensation Committee to engage compensation consultants and other advisors to assist it with its duties. No compensation consultants were engaged by either management or the Compensation Committee during fiscal 2017.

Shareholder Feedback and Say-On-Pay Results

The Compensation Committee considers the outcome of shareholder advisory votes on executive compensation when making future decisions relating to the compensation of the CEO and the Company's executive compensation program. At the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, approximately 99.8% of the votes cast were for approval of the "say-on-pay" proposal. The Compensation Committee believes the results conveyed support for continuing with the philosophy, strategy and objectives of the Company's executive compensation program.

Compensation of the Company's CEO

The current compensation program for the Company's CEO consists of the following:

Base Salary – Base salary is intended to provide the CEO with basic non-variable compensation that is competitive considering the CEO's responsibilities, experience and performance and the Company's financial resources. In September 2016, the Compensation Committee reviewed and recommended a salary for the CEO for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017. Mr. Harding's base salary was established by the Compensation Committee based upon publicly available compensation data for executive officers in comparable companies in the water development industry, job responsibilities, level of experience, individual performance and contributions to the business throughout his career with the Company, and Mr. Harding's achievements in fiscal 2016.

In making the base salary decision, the Compensation Committee exercised its discretion and judgment based upon these factors. No specific formula was applied to determine the weight of each factor. While the Compensation Committee reviewed competitive compensation data, it did not benchmark Mr. Harding's compensation to that of any other company. In September 2016, the Compensation Committee recommended and the board of directors approved a salary increase for Mr. Harding from \$285,000 for fiscal 2016 to \$375,000 for fiscal 2017, in order to make Mr. Harding's overall compensation less dependent on short-term achievements and to reward his focus on the long-term goals of the Company. With regard to Mr. Harding's base salary for fiscal 2018, the Compensation Committee continued its emphasis upon making the CEO's compensation package more dependent upon long-term goal achievement and less dependent upon short-term achievements. Therefore, taking into account Mr. Harding's continuing contributions in positioning the Company to realize its long-term objectives and the sizeable base salary increase approved for Mr. Harding for fiscal 2017, the Compensation Committee recommended, and the board approved, raising Mr. Harding's base salary to \$400,000 for fiscal 2018. At the same time, Mr. Harding was awarded the incentive bonus discussed below in recognition of the significant accomplishments of Mr. Harding and the Company in achieving the objectives set forth in the Company's 2017 performance plan.

Incentive Bonus – The Compensation Committee's goal in granting incentive bonuses is to tie a portion of the CEO's compensation to the operating performance of the Company and to the CEO's individual contribution to the Company. The Compensation Committee did not benchmark the CEO's bonus to that of executive officers at other companies. In formulating recommendations for bonus compensation for Mr. Harding, the Compensation Committee considered a number of factors, including, among other things, (i) the efforts of Mr. Harding in pursuing projects for the Company and negotiating with various governmental entities to achieve long-term goals of the Company; (ii) the progress made by Mr. Harding and the Company in achieving the objectives established by the Compensation Committee for fiscal 2017 (as discussed below); (iii) Mr. Harding's experience, talents and skills, and the importance thereof to the

Company; and (iv) the potential availability of better paying positions for officers with Mr. Harding's experience and skills.

Development and operation of water and wastewater systems requires long-term planning to meet anticipated future needs of customers, balancing concerns of constructing expensive infrastructure in advance of customer demand with concerns of not being prepared for increased customer demands. Additionally, development of the areas to be served by the Company's water systems is a process that is anticipated to take many years and involves many factors which are not within the Company's control, including, but not limited to, the decisions of the State Land Board of Commissioners with respect to development of the Lowry Range; housing markets; and competing agendas of governmental entities, developers, environmental groups, conservation groups and agricultural interests. Therefore, performance plan objectives established by the Compensation Committee for the CEO and other key personnel tend to include long-range objectives which cannot reasonably be expected to be completed during a single year as well as short-term objectives. Additionally, the Compensation Committee designs the plan to award performance without encouraging inappropriate risk taking.

In October 2016, the Compensation Committee recommended and the board approved a performance plan for fiscal 2017.

The 2017 performance plan was comprised of a number of financial and nonfinancial objectives, both short-term and long-term in nature, including the following objectives: (i) obtaining the required approvals from Arapahoe County for preliminary plats at Sky Ranch, permitting a wastewater treatment plant at Sky Ranch, and engaging in other activities to prepare Sky Ranch for development; (ii) developing a financial and strategic plan for the Sky Ranch development; (iii) entering into contracts for selected developers or home builders to purchase platted residential lots at Sky Ranch; (iv) finalizing the interconnect with the WISE system for WISE water deliveries; (v) constructing a pipeline from Lowry to Sky Ranch; (vi) monitoring opportunities for mineral right monetization; (vii) recruiting additional personnel; and (viii) controlling general and administrative expenses. The plan also included corporate strategic objectives, the disclosure of which the Company believes would cause competitive harm. The Compensation Committee believed that the achievement of certain performance objectives, including the undisclosed objectives, would be extraordinarily difficult and that it was unlikely that the CEO and key employees would be able to fully achieve them.

In September 2017, the Compensation Committee reviewed the Company's operating results for fiscal 2017 and evaluated the Company's success in achieving the performance plan objectives. The Compensation Committee determined that a bonus was warranted in recognition of Mr. Harding's success in achieving or making progress toward achieving certain key objectives established in the 2017 performance plan, including those described above under Compensation Discussion and Analysis – 2017 Achievements. The Compensation Committee recommended awarding, and the board authorized awarding, Mr. Harding a discretionary bonus of \$200,000 in fiscal 2017, as well as a stock option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock, as described below.

Long-Term Equity Incentives – The goal of long-term equity incentive compensation is to align the interests of the CEO with those of the Company's shareholders and to provide the CEO with a long-term incentive to manage the Company from the perspective of an owner with an equity stake in the business. It is the belief of the Compensation Committee that stock options and other equity based awards directly motivate an executive to maximize long-term shareholder value. The philosophy of the Compensation Committee in administering the Company's 2014 Plan is to tie the number of stock options and shares of stock awarded to each employee to the performance of the Company and to the individual contribution of each employee to the Company. The Compensation Committee recommended awarding, and the board authorized awarding, Mr. Harding a non-statutory stock option to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock in recognition of his performance during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, and to motivate future performance, determining that it would be preferable to recognize his achievements with a mix of cash and long-term incentives.

Discussion with Respect to Qualifying Compensation for Deductibility

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a limit on tax deductions for annual compensation (other than performance-based compensation) in excess of one million dollars paid by a corporation to its CEO and its other four most highly compensated executive officers. The Company has not established a policy with regard to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code because the Company does not currently anticipate paying cash compensation in excess of one million dollars per annum to any employee. The Compensation Committee will continue to assess the impact of Section 162(m) on its compensation practices and determine what further action, if any, is appropriate.

Stock Ownership Requirements for Executive Officers

While the Company has not established stock ownership guidelines for its executive officer, at August 31, 2017, the Company's CEO owned stock with a market value of approximately of fourteen times his base salary, which is in

excess of the six times base salary multiple that is the median multiple for CEOs of the Top 100 of S&P 500 companies and in excess of the ten times base salary that the Institutional Shareholder Services (“ISS”) recommends for a “rigorous” stock ownership guideline.

Hedging Policy

Company policy prohibits directors, officers and employees from engaging in short sales of Company securities, buying or selling put or call options of Company securities, buying financial instruments designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of the Company securities, or engaging in frequent trading (for example, daily or weekly) to take advantage of fluctuations in share price.

Executive Compensation Tables

The Company's CEO, Mr. Harding, is the Principal Executive Officer and the Principal Financial Officer of the Company and its only executive officer. Therefore, all tables contained in this section relate solely to Mr. Harding.

Summary Compensation Table

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Base Salary	Bonus	Option Awards (1)	Total
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mark W. Harding President, CEO and CFO	2017	375,000	200,000	259,025	834,025
	2016	285,000	125,000	188,300	598,300
	2015	275,000	350,000	—	625,000

(1)
The amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock options awarded in fiscal 2018 and 2017(for performance in fiscal 2017 and 2016, respectively) as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 8 – Shareholders' Equity to the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended August 31, 2017, which are included in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of the assumptions used to value option awards and the manner in which the Company recognizes the related expense pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.

Grants of Plan Based Awards – The following table sets forth certain information regarding option awards granted to the named executive officer pursuant to the 2014 Plan during the year ended August 31, 2017:

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Grant Date	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (1)	Exercise or	Grant Date
			Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (2)
Mark W. Harding	10/12/16	50,000	\$ 5.61	\$ 188,300

(1)

The option award was granted and approved on the same date with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The option award vests in three equal installments on each of the first, second and third anniversary dates of the grant and will expire ten years from date of grant.

(2)

Reflects the grant date fair value estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as computed in accordance with FASB ASC 718.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End – The following table summarizes certain information regarding outstanding option awards held by the named executive officer at August 31, 2017. There are no other types of equity awards outstanding.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
Mark W. Harding	100,000	0	\$5.88	8/14/2023
Mark W. Harding	0	33,333	\$5.61	10/12/2026

Option Exercises and Stock Vested – Mr. Harding did not exercise any options or have any stock vest during the year ended August 31, 2017. Therefore, the Company has omitted the Option Exercise and Stock Vested table.

Pension Benefits – The Company does not offer pension benefits. Therefore, the Company has omitted the Pension Benefits Table.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation – The Company does not have any non-qualified deferred compensation plans. Therefore, the Company has omitted the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table.

Termination or Change-in-Control Payments – The Company does not have any plan or arrangement that provides for payments to the executive officer in connection with a termination or change of control.

Compensation Committee Report¹

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management, and based on the Committee's review and discussion with management, has recommended to the full board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement for the annual meeting of shareholders.

Respectfully submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors

/s/ Arthur G. Epker, III (Chairman)

/s/ Harrison H. Augur

/s/ Richard L. Guido

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE¹

The Audit Committee of the board of directors is comprised of independent directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors. The Audit Committee Charter is reassessed and updated as needed in accordance with applicable rules of the SEC and The NASDAQ Stock Market.

The Audit Committee serves in an oversight capacity. Management is responsible for the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") and issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee's primary responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes and to select and retain the Company's independent auditors. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed with management the Company's audited financial statements and discussed not only the acceptability but also the quality of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of the significant judgments and estimates, critical accounting policies and the clarity of disclosures in the audited financial statements prior to issuance.

¹ These reports are not "soliciting material," are not deemed "filed" with the SEC and are not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing, except to the extent the Company specifically references one of these reports.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended August 31, 2017 with the Company's independent auditors, Crowe Horwath LLP ("Crowe"), and discussed not only the acceptability but also the quality of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of the significant judgments and estimates, critical accounting policies and the clarity of disclosures in the audited financial statements prior to issuance. The Audit Committee meets with Crowe, with and without management present, to discuss the results of its examination and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting. The Audit Committee discussed and reviewed with Crowe all communications required by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the PCAOB in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Crowe required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding independent auditor communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed Crowe's independence with Crowe.

Based on the foregoing, the Audit Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Company's audited financial statements be included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017.

/s/ Peter C. Howell (Chairman)

/s/ Harrison H. Augur

/s/ Richard L. Guido

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Agreements with Related Parties

On October 12, 2016, the Audit Committee approved accepting a bid submitted by Nelson Pipeline Constructors LLC to construct the Sky Ranch pipeline for approximately \$4.1 million (the "Nelson Bid"). Nelson Pipeline Constructors LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Nelson Infrastructure Services LLC, a company in which Patrick J. Beirne owns a 50% interest. In addition, Mr. Beirne, a director of Pure Cycle, is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of each of Nelson Pipeline Constructors LLC and Nelson Infrastructure Services LLC. Since Mr. Beirne is the 50% owner of the parent company of Nelson Pipeline Constructors LLC, Mr. Beirne's interest in the transaction is approximately \$2.05 million without taking into account any profit or loss from the Nelson Bid. Pursuant to the Company's policies for review and approval of related party transactions (discussed below), the Nelson Bid was reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee and by the board of directors, with Mr. Beirne abstaining. The Nelson Bid was the lowest bid received by the Company in connection with the Sky Ranch pipeline project and was lower than the Company's estimated cost to construct the pipeline on its own.

Review and Approval of Related Party Transactions

It is the Company's policy as set forth in its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that actual or apparent conflicts of interest are to be avoided if possible and must be disclosed to the board of directors. Pursuant to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the Audit Committee Charter, any transaction involving a related party must be reviewed and approved or disapproved by the Audit Committee. Additionally, the Audit Committee Charter requires the Audit Committee to review any transaction involving the Company and a related party at least once a year or upon any significant change in the transaction or relationship. The Code also provides non-exclusive examples of conduct which would involve a potential conflict of interest and requires any material transaction involving a potential conflict of interest to be approved in advance by the board. If a waiver from the Code is granted to an executive officer or director, the nature of the waiver will be disclosed on the Company's website, in a press release, or on a current report on Form 8-K.

The Company annually requires each of its directors and executive officers to complete a directors' and officers' questionnaire that solicits information about related party transactions. The Company's board of directors and outside legal counsel review all transactions and relationships disclosed in the directors' and officers' questionnaire, and the board makes a formal determination regarding each director's independence. If a director is determined to be no longer independent, such director, if he or she serves on any of the Audit Committee, the Nominating Committee, or the Compensation Committee, will be removed from such committee prior to (or otherwise will not participate in) any future meeting of the committee. If the transaction presents a conflict of interest, the board of directors will determine the appropriate response.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(Proposal No. 1)

As of the time of the Meeting, the number of members of the board of directors will be fixed at six. The board of directors nominates the following persons currently serving on the board for reelection to the board: Mark W. Harding, Harrison H. Augur, Patrick J. Beirne, Arthur G. Epker, III, Richard L. Guido, and Peter C. Howell.

Set forth below are the names of all nominees for director, all positions and offices with the Company held by each such person, the period during which each has served as such, and the principal occupations and employment of and public company directorships held by such persons during at least the last five years, as well as additional information regarding the skills, knowledge and experience with respect to each nominee which has led the board of directors to conclude that each such nominee should be elected or re-elected as a director of the Company.

Mark W. Harding. Mr. Harding joined the Company in April 1990 as Corporate Secretary and Chief Financial Officer. He was appointed President of the Company in April 2001, CEO in April 2005, and a member of the board of directors in February 2004. Mr. Harding brings a background in investment banking and public finance, having worked from 1988 to 1990 for Price Waterhouse's management consulting services where he assisted clients in public finance and other investment banking related services. Mr. Harding is the President and a board member of both the Rangeview Metropolitan District and the Sky Ranch Metropolitan District #5 and Vice President of the South Metro WISE Authority. Mr. Harding also serves on the board of directors of Royal Hawaiian Orchards, L.P., a publicly traded limited partnership. In determining Mr. Harding's qualifications to be on the board of directors, the board of directors considered, among other things, that Mr. Harding serves on a number of advisory boards relating to water and wastewater issues in the Denver region, including a statewide roundtable created by the Colorado legislature charged with identifying ways in which Colorado can address the water shortages facing Front Range cities including Denver and Colorado Springs. Mr. Harding earned a B.S. Degree in Computer Science and a Masters in Business Administration in Finance from the University of Denver.

Harrison H. Augur. Mr. Augur joined the board and was elected Chairman in April 2001. For more than 20 years, Mr. Augur has been involved with investment management and venture capital investment groups. Mr. Augur has been a managing member of Patience Partners, LLC since 1999. Mr. Augur received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Yale University, an LLB degree from Columbia University School of Law, and an LLM degree from New York University School of Law. In determining Mr. Augur's qualifications to serve on the board of directors, the board of directors has considered, among other things, his extensive experience and expertise in finance and law.

Patrick J. Beirne. Mr. Beirne was appointed to the board in January 2016. Since April 2015, Mr. Beirne has been the Chairman and CEO of Nelson Infrastructure Services LLC, a private company 50% owned by Mr. Beirne ("Nelson Infrastructure"), and Nelson Pipeline Constructors LLC ("Nelson Pipeline"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nelson Infrastructure. In addition, he has been Chairman and CEO of Nelson Civil Construction Services LLC, a 90% subsidiary of Nelson Infrastructure, since it was founded in December 2015. Nelson Pipeline is a utility contractor specializing in the construction of underground sewer, water and storm sewer pipelines. Prior to working at Nelson Pipeline, Mr. Beirne worked at Pulte Group, Inc. for 29 years in various management roles, where he gained extensive experience in the home building industry. In his last position with Pulte Group, Inc., from January 2008 to September 2014, he served as Central Area President where he helped create the strategy for the firm's long-term vision and oversaw operations in 10 states. Mr. Beirne earned a B.S. degree from Michigan State University, is a Licensed General Contractor (Florida), and is active in many community and charitable organizations. In determining Mr. Beirne's qualifications to serve on the board of directors, the board has considered, among other things, his extensive experience and expertise in the home building industry and in construction of water and sewer pipelines.

Arthur G. Epker, III. Mr. Epker was appointed to the board in August 2007. Mr. Epker has been a Vice President of PAR Capital Management, Inc., the investment advisor to PAR Investment Partners, L.P., since 1992 and a director of PAR Capital Management, Inc., since 2007. In that capacity, Mr. Epker manages a portion of the assets of PAR Investment Partners, L.P., a private investment fund and shareholder of the Company. Mr. Epker received his undergraduate degree in computer science and economics with highest distinction from the University of Michigan and received a Master of Business Administration from Harvard Business School. In determining Mr. Epker's qualifications to serve on the board of directors, the board of directors has considered, among other things, his extensive experience and expertise in finance and investment management.

Richard L. Guido. Mr. Guido served as a member of the Company's board from July 1996 through August 31, 2003, and rejoined the board in 2004. Mr. Guido was Associate General Counsel of DeltaCom, Inc., a telecommunications company, from March 2006 to March 2007. From 1980 through 2004, Mr. Guido was an employee of Inco Limited, a Canadian mining company listed on the NYSE (now known as Vale). While at Inco Mr. Guido served as Associate General Counsel of Inco Limited and served as President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Inco United States, Inc., now known as Vale Americas, Inc. Mr. Guido received a Bachelor of Science degree from the United States Air Force Academy, a Master of Arts degree from Georgetown University, and a Juris Doctor degree from the Catholic University of America. In determining Mr. Guido's qualifications to serve on the board of directors, the board of directors has considered, among other things, his extensive experience and expertise in finance, law and natural resource development.

Peter C. Howell. Mr. Howell was appointed to fill a vacancy on the board in February 2005. From 1997 to present, Mr. Howell has served as an officer, director or advisor to various business enterprises in the area of acquisitions, marketing and financial reporting. From August 1994 to August 1997, Mr. Howell served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Signature Brands USA, Inc. (formerly known as Health-O-Meter), and from 1989 to 1994 Mr. Howell served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of Mr. Coffee, Inc. Mr. Howell is a member of the board of directors of Great Lakes Cheese, Inc., a privately held company. Mr. Howell served as a member of the board of directors of Libbey Inc. (NYSE:LBY) for over 20 years before resigning in 2016. Mr. Howell also spent 10 years as an auditor for Arthur Young & Co. (now Ernst & Young). Mr. Howell received a Master of Arts degree in Economics from Cambridge University. In determining Mr. Howell's qualifications to serve on the board of directors, the board of directors has considered, among other things, his extensive experience and expertise in finance and financial reporting qualifying him as an audit committee financial expert as well as his general business expertise.

The proxy cannot be voted for more than the six nominees named. Directors are elected for one-year terms or until the next annual meeting of the shareholders and until their successors are elected and qualified. All of the nominees have expressed their willingness to serve, but if because of circumstances not contemplated, one or more nominees is not available for election, the proxy holders named in the enclosed proxy card intend to vote for such other person or persons as the Nominating Committee may nominate.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION AS DIRECTORS OF THE PERSONS NOMINATED.

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
(Proposal No. 2)

Action is to be taken by the shareholders at the Meeting with respect to the ratification and approval of the selection by the Audit Committee of the Company's board of directors of EKS&H LLLP ("EKS&H") to be the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2018. In the event of a negative vote on such ratification, the Audit Committee of the board of directors will reconsider its selection. A representative of EKS&H is expected to be present at the Meeting. The EKS&H representative will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions. A representative of the Company's previous auditors, Crowe Horwath LLP ("Crowe"), is not expected to be present at the meeting.

The Audit Committee reviews and approves in advance the audit scope, the types of non-audit services, if any, and the estimated fees for each category for the coming year. For each category of proposed service, EKS&H is required to confirm that the provision of such services does not impair the auditors' independence. Before selecting EKS&H, the Audit Committee carefully considered that firm's qualifications as an independent registered public accounting firm for the Company. This included a review of its reputation for integrity and competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. The Audit Committee has expressed its satisfaction with EKS&H in all of these respects. The Audit Committee's review included inquiry concerning any litigation involving EKS&H and any proceedings by the SEC against the firm.

EKS&H has no direct or indirect financial interest in the Company and does not have any connection with the Company in the capacity of promoter, underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer or employee. Neither the Company, nor any officer, director nor associate of the Company has any interest in EKS&H.

Change in Auditors for Fiscal 2018 – On December 4, 2017, the Audit Committee engaged EKS&H to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company beginning with the fiscal year ending August 31, 2018. EKS&H replaces Crowe as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Crowe, which served as the independent auditors for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, was dismissed on December 4, 2017.

The report of Crowe on the Company's financial statements for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and such report was not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, and the subsequent interim period through December 4, 2017 (the date of the change in auditors), the Company did not have any disagreements with Crowe on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to Crowe's satisfaction, would have caused it to make reference thereto in its reports on the Company's financial statements for the relevant periods. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017 and through December 4, 2017, there were no reportable events, as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

During the fiscal years ended August 31, 2017 and 2016, and through December 4, 2017, the Company did not consult with EKS&H regarding (a) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, (b) the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's financial statements by EKS&H, in either case where written or oral advice provided by EKS&H would be an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing or financial reporting issues or (c) any other matter that was the subject of a disagreement between the Company and its former auditors or was a reportable event (as described in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) or Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K, respectively).

Change in Auditors for Fiscal 2017 – As discussed in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 17, 2017, the Audit Committee engaged Crowe to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company as of January 16, 2017. Previously, GHP Horwath, P.C. (“GHP”) had served as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company since December 15, 2006. On January 13, 2017, GHP notified the Company that it was resigning as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm because the partners and employees of GHP had joined Crowe.

The reports of GHP on the Company's financial statements for the fiscal years ended August 31, 2015 and 2016 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, and such reports were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

During the fiscal years ended August 31, 2015 and 2016, and the subsequent interim period through January 13, 2017, the Company did not have any disagreements with GHP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to GHP's satisfaction, would have caused it to make reference thereto in its reports on the Company's financial statements for the relevant periods. During the fiscal years ended August 31, 2015 and 2016, and through January 13, 2017, there were no reportable events, as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

During the fiscal years ended August 31, 2015 and 2016, and through January 16, 2017, the Company did not consult with Crowe regarding (a) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, (b) the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's financial statements by Crowe, in either case where written or oral advice provided by Crowe would be an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing or financial reporting issues or (c) any other matter that was the subject of a disagreement between the Company and its former auditor or was a reportable event (as described in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) or Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K, respectively).

Crowe has no direct or indirect financial interest in the Company and does not have any connection with the Company in the capacity of promoter, underwriter, voting trustee, director, officer or employee. Neither the Company, nor any officer, director nor associate of the Company has any interest in Crowe.

Fees – For the fiscal years ended August 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company was billed the following audit, audit-related, tax and other fees by GHP and Crowe. The tax fees consist entirely of fees for the preparation of the federal and state corporate tax returns. The Audit Committee approved 100% of these fees in accordance with the Audit Committee Charter.

	For the Fiscal Years Ended	
	August 31, 2017	August 31, 2016
Audit Fees	\$100,000 (1)	\$95,000(1)
Audit Related Fees	\$—	\$—
Tax	\$5,500	\$1,300
All Other Fees	\$—	\$—
Total	105,500	\$96,300

(1)
Fees are based on actual billings through August 31.

Pre-Approval Policy – The Audit Committee has established a pre-approval policy in its charter. In accordance with the policy, the Audit Committee pre-approves all audit, non-audit and internal control related services provided by the independent auditors prior to the engagement of the independent auditors with respect to such services.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITORS.

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(Proposal No. 3)

The following proposal provides our shareholders with the opportunity to vote to approve or not approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officer as disclosed in the proxy statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC.

We urge shareholders to read the “Executive Compensation” section beginning on page 10 of this proxy statement, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables and narrative, beginning on page 13 of the proxy statement, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officer. The Company’s compensation programs are designed to support its business goals and promote short- and long-term profitable growth of the Company.

We are asking shareholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s named executive officer, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the disclosure under the heading “Executive Compensation” and in the compensation tables and accompanying narrative discussion in the Company’s Definitive Proxy Statement.

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a “say-on-pay” resolution, is not binding on the Company or the board of directors. The say-on-pay proposal is not intended to address any specific item of compensation but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officer and the executive compensation policies, practices, and plans described in this proxy statement. Although this proposal is non-binding, the board of directors will carefully review and consider the voting results when making future decisions regarding the Company’s executive compensation program. Based on the advisory vote of the shareholders at the annual meeting of shareholders held in January 2014, the board of directors determined that it would conduct an advisory vote on executive compensation on an annual basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the board of directors may decide to conduct advisory votes on a more or less frequent basis and may vary its practice based on factors such as discussions with shareholders and the adoption of material changes to compensation programs.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY’S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

ACTION TO BE TAKEN UNDER THE PROXY

The proxy will be voted “FOR” the individuals nominated by the board and “FOR” approval of Proposals 2 and 3, unless the proxy is marked in such a manner as to withhold authority to so vote. The proxy will also be voted in connection with the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. Management knows of no other matters, other than the matters set forth above, to be considered at the Meeting. If, however, any other matters properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof, the persons named in the accompanying proxy will vote such proxy in accordance with their best judgment on any such matter. The persons named in the accompanying proxy will also, if in their judgment it is deemed to be advisable, vote to adjourn the Meeting from time to time.

OTHER INFORMATION

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The Company’s directors and executive officers and persons who are beneficial owners of more than 10% of common stock are required to file reports of their holdings and transactions in common stock with the SEC and furnish the Company with such reports. Based solely upon the review of the copies of the Section 16(a) reports received by the Company and written representations from these persons, the Company believes that during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, all the directors, executive officers and 10% beneficial owners complied with the applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements, except one independent director. Due to issues with Mr. Guido’s filing codes, he filed one late Form 4 reporting one transaction – namely, the annual stock option grant by the Company to the independent director for service on the board. There were no matching purchases and sales reported. The Company files the Form 4s with respect to stock option grants to directors.

Shareholder Proposals

Shareholder proposals for inclusion in the proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders in 2019 must be received at the principal executive offices of the Company by August 10, 2018, but not before June 11, 2018. For more information refer to the Company's bylaws which were filed as Appendix C to the Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on December 14, 2007. The Company is not required to include proposals received outside of these dates in the proxy materials for the annual meeting of shareholders in 2019, and any such proposals shall be considered untimely. The persons named in the proxy will have discretionary authority to vote all proxies with respect to any untimely proposals.

Delivery of Materials to Shareholders with Shared Addresses

The Company utilizes a procedure approved by the SEC called "householding," which reduces printing and postage costs. Shareholders who have the same address and last name will receive one copy of the Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials or one set of printed proxy materials unless one or more of these shareholders has provided contrary instructions.

If you wish to receive a separate copy of the proxy statement, the Notice, or the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive a single copy, please contact the Company's transfer agent at 1-800-579-1639, or write to or call the Company's Secretary at the Company's address or phone number set forth above. If your shares are owned through a bank, broker or other nominee, you may request householding by contacting the nominee.

Form 10-K and Related Exhibits

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K is available, free of charge, at the Company's website, www.purecyclewater.com, or at the SEC's website, www.sec.gov. In addition, the Company will furnish a copy of its Form 10-K to any shareholder free of charge and a copy of any exhibit to the Form 10-K upon payment of the Company's reasonable expenses incurred in furnishing such exhibit(s). You may request a copy of the Form 10-K or any exhibit thereto by writing the Company's Secretary at Pure Cycle Corporation, 34501 E. Quincy Avenue, Bldg. 34, Box 10, Watkins, CO 80137, or by sending an email to info@purecyclewater.com. The information on the Company's website is not part of this proxy statement.

