

TRUSTMARK CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 04, 2006

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

Form 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_  
to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission file number 0-3683**

**Trustmark Corporation**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Mississippi**

*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)*

**64-0471500**

*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*

**248 East Capitol Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39201**

*(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)*

**(601) 208-5111**

*(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common stock outstanding as of July 31, 2006: 55,233,057 shares



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income	4
	Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7-18
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis	19-36
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	36

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	37
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	37
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	38
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	38
Item 6.	Exhibits	38

SIGNATURES		39
------------	--	----

EXHIBIT INDEX		40
---------------	--	----

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.		41
---	--	----

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.		42
---	--	----

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SS. 1350.		43
--	--	----

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SS. 1350.		44
--	--	----

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
**(\$ in thousands)**

	(Unaudited)		December 31,
	June 30,		2005
	2006		
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash and due from banks (noninterest-bearing)	\$ 353,888	\$	387,930
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	33,420		130,115
Securities available for sale (at fair value)	905,573		1,041,754
Securities held to maturity (fair value: \$295,627-2006; \$295,521-2005)	296,246		294,902
Loans held for sale	127,107		146,936
Loans	6,005,936		5,893,439
Less allowance for loan losses	71,846		76,691
Net loans	5,934,090		5,816,748
Premises and equipment	119,150		115,115
Mortgage servicing rights	68,981		58,424
Goodwill	137,368		137,368
Identifiable intangible assets	26,706		28,703
Other assets	232,059		231,755
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 8,234,588</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>8,389,750</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Deposits:			
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 1,453,178	\$	1,556,142
Interest-bearing	4,910,135		4,726,672
Total deposits	6,363,313		6,282,814
Federal funds purchased	292,152		178,789
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	194,858		314,064
Short-term borrowings	532,418		775,402
Long-term FHLB advances	-		5,726
Other liabilities	90,572		91,492
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>7,473,313</b>		<b>7,648,287</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies</b>			
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>			
Common stock, no par value:			
Authorized: 250,000,000 shares			
Issued and outstanding: 55,262,232 shares - 2006;			
55,771,459 shares - 2005	11,514		11,620
Capital surplus	51,511		65,374
Retained earnings	715,345		677,781
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(17,095)		(13,312)

<b>Total Shareholders' Equity</b>		761,275		741,463
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	\$	8,234,588	\$	8,389,750

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 3 -

---

**Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Statements of Income**  
(\$ in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 102,637	\$ 84,589	\$ 199,552	\$ 162,623
Interest on securities:				
Taxable	11,310	13,993	22,879	29,727
Tax exempt	1,793	1,896	3,615	3,757
Interest on federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	365	143	672	416
Other interest income	31	22	51	42
<b>Total Interest Income</b>	<b>116,136</b>	<b>100,643</b>	<b>226,769</b>	<b>196,565</b>
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Interest on deposits	33,469	18,326	63,444	34,694
Interest on federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	5,748	4,995	10,804	8,643
Other interest expense	7,301	9,413	14,662	16,910
<b>Total Interest Expense</b>	<b>46,518</b>	<b>32,734</b>	<b>88,910</b>	<b>60,247</b>
<b>Net Interest Income</b>	<b>69,618</b>	<b>67,909</b>	<b>137,859</b>	<b>136,318</b>
Provision for loan losses	(1,964)	1,429	(4,948)	4,225
<b>Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses</b>				
	<b>71,582</b>	<b>66,480</b>	<b>142,807</b>	<b>132,093</b>
<b>Noninterest Income</b>				
Service charges on deposit accounts	13,308	13,541	24,997	25,925
Insurance commissions	8,718	8,370	17,067	16,232
Wealth management	5,865	5,414	11,476	10,657
General banking - other	5,470	5,284	10,665	10,036
Mortgage banking, net	2,898	(3,246)	6,350	605
Other, net	2,740	2,644	4,268	5,097
Securities gains (losses)	384	(4,057)	1,250	(4,054)
<b>Total Noninterest Income</b>	<b>39,383</b>	<b>27,950</b>	<b>76,073</b>	<b>64,498</b>
<b>Noninterest Expense</b>				
Salaries and employee benefits	39,567	37,245	78,944	74,604
Services and fees	8,979	8,104	17,743	17,062
Net occupancy - premises	4,070	3,661	7,954	7,352

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Equipment expense	3,589	3,855	7,232	7,808
Other expense	7,547	7,396	15,391	14,577
<b>Total Noninterest Expense</b>	<b>63,752</b>	<b>60,261</b>	<b>127,264</b>	<b>121,403</b>
<b>Income Before Income Taxes</b>	<b>47,213</b>	<b>34,169</b>	<b>91,616</b>	<b>75,188</b>
Income taxes	16,439	11,963	31,523	26,201
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 30,774</b>	<b>\$ 22,206</b>	<b>\$ 60,093</b>	<b>\$ 48,987</b>

**Earnings Per Share**

<b>Basic</b>	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.08	\$ 0.86
<b>Diluted</b>	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.08	\$ 0.86

<b>Dividends Per Share</b>	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.40
----------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 4 -

**Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity**  
(\$ in thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	2006	2005
<b>Balance, January 1,</b>	\$ 741,463	\$ 750,396
Cumulative effect adjustment due to change in accounting for mortgage servicing rights	848	-
Comprehensive income:		
Net income per consolidated statements of income	60,093	48,987
Net change in fair value of securities available for sale, net of tax	(3,783)	(1,635)
Comprehensive income	56,310	47,352
Cash dividends paid	(23,377)	(22,793)
Common stock issued, long-term incentive plan	1,779	1,315
Compensation expense, long-term incentive plan	1,786	864
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(17,534)	(32,496)
<b>Balance, June 30,</b>	<b>\$ 761,275</b>	<b>\$ 744,638</b>

- 5 -



**Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(\$ in thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 60,093	\$ 48,987
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	(4,948)	4,225
Depreciation and amortization/impairment	12,546	15,170
Net amortization of securities	2,417	4,472
Securities (gains) losses	(1,250)	4,054
Gains on sales of loans	(2,900)	(1,985)
Deferred income tax provision (benefit)	5,903	(1,523)
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(239)	(184)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	535,455	415,767
Purchases and originations of loans held for sale	(512,972)	(449,297)
Net increase in mortgage servicing rights	(8,506)	(6,427)
Net increase in other assets	(6,886)	(4,002)
Net (decrease) increase in other liabilities	(681)	5,307
Other operating activities, net	(3,842)	1,048
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>74,190</b>	<b>35,612</b>
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities held to maturity	4,856	7,861
Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities available for sale	168,083	114,154
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	23,891	269,668
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(6,199)	(175,714)
Purchases of securities available for sale	(63,296)	(27,649)
Net decrease in federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	96,695	62,166
Net increase in loans	(89,232)	(317,560)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(10,816)	(5,368)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	1,728	1,701
Proceeds from sales of other real estate	1,921	3,691
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</b>	<b>127,631</b>	<b>(67,050)</b>
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Net increase in deposits	80,499	70,631
Net (decrease) increase in federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	(5,843)	109,300
Net decrease in other borrowings	(271,626)	(237,243)
Proceeds from long-term FHLB advances	-	100,000
Cash dividends	(23,377)	(22,793)

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,779	1,315
Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options	239	184
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(17,534)	(32,496)
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(235,863)</b>	<b>(11,102)</b>
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(34,042)	(42,540)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	387,930	343,125
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 353,888</b>	<b>\$ 300,585</b>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

- 6 -

**TRUSTMARK CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 - BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION AND PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. Management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In the opinion of Management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for the fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006. The notes included herein should be read in conjunction with the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in Trustmark Corporation's (Trustmark) 2005 annual report on Form 10-K.

The consolidated financial statements include Trustmark and its wholly-owned bank subsidiaries, Trustmark National Bank (TNB) and Somerville Bank & Trust Company (Somerville). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform with the current period presentation.

**NOTE 2 - BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

On April 13, 2006, Trustmark and Republic Bancshares of Texas, Inc. (Republic), headquartered in Houston, Texas, announced the signing of a definitive agreement in which Republic will merge into Trustmark. Republic has six banking centers with \$474 million in loans and \$543 million in deposits as of June 30, 2006. Including Trustmark's Houston market presence at June 30, 2006, the combined company would have \$710 million in loans and \$705 million in deposits in the Houston market. Under the terms of the definitive agreement, the transaction is valued at approximately \$210 million based upon a price of \$31.50 per share of Trustmark common stock. Republic shareholders have the right to elect to receive either cash, or Trustmark common stock, or a combination of cash and Trustmark common stock provided that 51% of the total consideration is paid in Trustmark stock. The consideration to be paid in Trustmark common stock is based upon a fixed exchange ratio. Elections will be subject to standard proration procedures. The proposed transaction, which is subject to approval by Republic's shareholders and regulatory authorities, is expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2006.

- 7 -

---

**NOTE 3 - LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES**

For the periods presented, loans consisted of the following (\$ in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Real estate loans:		
Construction and land development	\$ 812,748	\$ 715,174
Secured by 1-4 family residential properties	1,837,392	1,901,196
Secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties	1,110,566	1,061,669
Other	107,517	166,685
Loans to finance agricultural production	27,230	40,162
Commercial and industrial	948,647	861,167
Consumer	912,718	886,072
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	192,463	210,310
Other loans	56,655	51,004
Loans	6,005,936	5,893,439
Less allowance for loan losses	71,846	76,691
Net loans	\$ 5,934,090	\$ 5,816,748

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented (\$ in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 76,691	\$ 64,757
Provision charged to expense	(4,948)	4,225
Loans charged off	(5,818)	(7,625)
Recoveries	5,921	4,545
Net charge-offs	(4,845)	1,145
Balance at end of period	\$ 71,846	\$ 65,902

On August 29, 2005, Hurricane Katrina struck the Mississippi Gulf Coast and Central and Eastern Mississippi causing significant damages. Immediately following the storm, Trustmark initiated a process to assess the storm's impact on its customers and on Trustmark's consolidated financial statements. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," Trustmark determined, through reasonable estimates, that specific losses were probable and initially increased its allowance for loan losses by \$9.8 million, on a pretax basis.

Trustmark continually reevaluates its estimates for probable losses resulting from Hurricane Katrina. As a result, during 2006, Trustmark has released allowance for loan losses of \$4.8 million on a pretax basis. At June 30, 2006, the allowance for loan losses included specific Katrina reserves totaling \$4.9 million, comprised of \$2.9 million for mortgage loans, \$0.5 million for commercial loans and \$1.5 million for consumer loans. Management's estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as the information changes, actual results could differ from those estimates.

The allowance for loans losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by Management, based on estimated probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. Trustmark's allowance for loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues," as well as other regulatory guidance. Accordingly, Trustmark's methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of loan and internal risk ratings, homogeneous risk pools, and specific loss allocations, with adjustments considering current economic events and conditions. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to nonaccrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries and other factors.

At June 30, 2006 and 2005, the carrying amounts of nonaccrual loans were \$25.1 million and \$32.7 million, respectively. Included in these nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2006 and 2005, are loans that are considered to be impaired, which totaled \$20.5 million and \$26.3 million, respectively. At June 30, 2006, the total allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans was \$3.7 million compared with \$8.0 million at June 30, 2005. The average carrying amounts of impaired loans during the second quarter of 2006 and 2005 were \$20.8 million and \$26.2 million, respectively. No material amounts of interest income were recognized on impaired loans or nonaccrual loans for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

#### **NOTE 4 - MORTGAGE BANKING**

On March 17, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) released SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing Financial Assets, an amendment of SFAS No. 140." This statement amends SFAS No. 140 to require that all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practical. The effective date of this statement is as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006; however, early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of any fiscal year, provided the entity has not issued financial statements for the interim period. The initial recognition and measurement of servicing assets and servicing liabilities are required to be applied prospectively to transactions occurring after the effective date.

On January 1, 2006, Trustmark elected to early adopt SFAS No. 156 and recorded all of its Mortgage Servicing Rights (MSR) at fair value. Upon adoption, MSR were increased by \$1.4 million while retained earnings were also increased by \$0.8 million, net of taxes. During the first quarter of 2006, a strategy was implemented which utilized a portfolio of derivative instruments such as interest rate futures contracts and exchange-traded option contracts to achieve a return that would substantially offset the changes in fair value of MSR attributable to interest rates. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in noninterest income in mortgage banking, net and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, mortgage banking, net increased by \$6.0 million from the change in the fair value of MSR due to interest rates, decreased by \$4.5 million from the change in fair value of MSR due to runoff and decreased by \$4.4 million from the costs of derivatives used in hedging MSR. Net fees related to the servicing of MSR totaled \$6.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared with \$6.2 million for the same period of 2005 and is also included in noninterest income in mortgage banking, net.

The MSR valuation process includes the use of highly capable and experienced third parties providing valuation expertise and valuation advisory services. The fair value of MSR is determined using discounted cash flow techniques benchmarked against third party opinions of value. Estimates of fair value involve several assumptions, including the key valuation assumptions about market expectations of future prepayment rates, interest rates and discount rates. At June 30, 2006, the valuation of MSR included an assumed average prepayment speed of 7.66 CPR and an average discount rate of 10.14%. Prepayment rates are projected using an industry standard prepayment model. The model considers other key factors, such as a wide range of standard industry assumptions tied to specific portfolio characteristics such as remittance cycles, escrow payment requirements, geographic factors, foreclosure loss exposure, VA no-bid exposure, delinquency rates and cost of servicing including base cost and cost to service delinquent mortgages. Prevailing market conditions at the time of analysis are factored into the accumulation of assumptions and determination of servicing value.



Prior to January 1, 2006, Trustmark's purchased servicing rights were capitalized at cost. For loans originated and sold where the servicing rights had been retained, Trustmark allocated the cost of the loan and servicing right based on their relative fair values. MSR were amortized over the estimated period of the related net servicing income and were evaluated quarterly for impairment. Impairment occurred when the estimated fair value of the MSR fell below its carrying value.

The activity in mortgage servicing rights is detailed in the table below (\$ in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 58,424	\$ 52,463
Cumulative-effect adjustment - change in accounting for MSR	1,373	-
Additions		
Purchase of servicing assets	6,267	4,768
Servicing obligations that resulted from transfers of financial assets	3,148	2,098
Disposals	(1,367)	(439)
Change in fair value:		
Due to market changes	6,014	-
Due to runoff	(4,452)	-
Due to other	(426)	-
Amortization	-	(5,240)
Impairment	-	(2,089)
Balance at end of period	\$ 68,981	\$ 51,561

#### NOTE 5 - DEPOSITS

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, deposits consisted of the following (\$ in thousands):

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 1,453,178	\$ 1,556,142
Interest-bearing demand	1,054,693	846,754
Savings	1,633,972	1,608,334
Time	2,221,470	2,271,584
Total deposits	\$ 6,363,313	\$ 6,282,814

#### NOTE 6 - STOCK AND INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS

Effective January 1, 2006, Trustmark adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123r, a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Trustmark implemented the provisions of this statement using the modified prospective approach, which applies to new awards, as well as, any previously granted awards outstanding on January 1, 2006. Compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service had not been rendered as of the date of adoption, is being recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes previously under SFAS No. 123. Prior period amounts have not been restated to reflect the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123r.

- 10 -

During the fourth quarter of 2005, with the approval of Trustmark's Board of Directors and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the 1997 Long Term Incentive Plan, Trustmark accelerated the vesting of unvested stock options, which were awarded on April 9, 2002, to directors and executive officers. The decision to accelerate the vesting was made primarily to reduce non-cash compensation expense of approximately \$145 thousand that would have been recorded during the first quarter of 2006 following the application of SFAS No. 123r. As a result of this action, options to purchase approximately 70 thousand shares of common stock became fully vested. No additional compensation expense was recognized as the exercise price exceeded Trustmark's market price on the acceleration date.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Trustmark accounted for stock-based compensation awards under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" prospectively for all awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2003. Under the provisions of this statement, compensation expense was recognized over the vesting period for stock option awards and was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, while compensation expense for restricted performance awards is recognized over the service period based on the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant based on the number of restricted shares expected to vest.

Prior to January 1, 2003, Trustmark accounted for stock-based compensation awards under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Under APB No. 25, because the exercise price of Trustmark's stock options equaled the market price for the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense was recognized. The following table reflects pro forma net income and earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, had Trustmark elected to adopt the fair value approach for all outstanding options prior to January 1, 2003 (\$ in thousands except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
Net income, as reported	\$ 22,206	\$ 48,987
Add: Total stock-based compensation expense reported in net income, net of related tax effects	232	421
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based methods for all all awards, net of related tax effects	(385)	(795)
Pro forma net income	\$ 22,053	\$ 48,613
Earnings per share:		
As reported		
Basic	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.86
Diluted	0.39	0.86
Pro forma		
Basic	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.85
Diluted	0.39	0.85



On May 10, 2005, the shareholders of Trustmark, upon the recommendation of Trustmark's Board of Directors, approved the Trustmark Corporation 2005 Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2005 Plan), which was adopted by the Board of Directors, and replaced the Trustmark Corporation 1997 Long Term Incentive Plan (the 1997 Plan). The 2005 Plan became effective May 10, 2005, and subject to earlier termination by the Board of Directors, terminates on May 9, 2015. The purpose of the 2005 Plan is to promote the success of Trustmark and its subsidiaries by providing incentives to key associates and directors that will promote the identification of their personal interest with the long term financial success of Trustmark and with growth in shareholder value. The 2005 Plan is designed to provide flexibility to Trustmark in its ability to motivate, attract, and retain the services of key associates and directors upon whose judgment, interest, and special effort the successful conduct of its operation is largely dependent. The 2005 Plan allows Trustmark to make grants of non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units to key associates and directors. The maximum number of shares of Trustmark's common stock available for issuance under the 2005 Plan is the sum of (1) 6,000,000 common shares plus (2) the number of outstanding options under the 1997 Plan, which expire or are otherwise terminated or forfeited after May 10, 2005.

**Stock Option Grants**

On May 9, 2006, Trustmark awarded 271,200 options to key executives under the 2005 plan. Stock option awards under the 2005 Plan are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of Trustmark's stock on the date of grant. Stock options granted under the 2005 Plan vest 20% per year and have a term of seven years. Stock option awards, which were granted under the 1997 Plan had an exercise price equal to the market price of Trustmark's stock on the date of grant, vested equally over four years with a 10-year term. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, compensation expense for these plans totaled \$474 thousand and \$329 thousand, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, compensation expense for these plans totaled \$892 thousand and \$634 thousand, respectively. The following table presents a summary of Trustmark's option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2006:

Options	Shares	2006	
		Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding, beginning of period	2,016,930	\$ 24.44	
Granted	271,200	31.55	
Exercised	(78,625)	22.63	
Forfeited	(28,300)	28.89	
Expired	-	-	
Outstanding, end of period	2,181,205	25.33	\$ 12,169,901
Exercisable, end of period	1,390,153	23.40	\$ 10,434,586

The following table presents information on stock option by ranges of exercises at June 30, 2006:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Outstanding June 30, 2006	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Exercisable June 30, 2006	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life
	211,370	\$ 17.80	3.7	211,370	\$ 17.80	3.7

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

\$13.53 -						
\$19.60						
\$19.61 -						
\$25.67	1,006,385	23.58	5.1	922,868	23.53	5.1
\$25.68 -						
\$31.74	963,450	28.81	6.9	255,915	27.57	6.9
	2,181,205	25.33	5.7	1,390,153	23.40	5.7
- 12 -						

For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, cash received from the exercise of share options was \$1.8 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, while tax benefits realized from options exercised were \$239 thousand and \$184 thousand, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$634 thousand during the first six months of 2006 and \$407 thousand during the same time period in 2005.

At June 30, 2006, unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to nonvested stock option awards totaled \$4.6 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 6.8 years.

### ***Restricted Stock Grants***

On February 1, 2006, Trustmark awarded 67,000 shares of restricted stock to key executives. These awards are restricted until December 31, 2009, and vest based on performance goals of return on average equity and total shareholder return compared to a defined peer group. The restricted share agreement entitles the executives to vote their restricted shares and earn dividends. Compensation expense is recorded utilizing the fair value of Trustmark's stock at the grant date based on the estimated number of shares expected to vest. For the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, Trustmark recorded compensation expense for restricted stock awards of \$456 thousand and \$47 thousand, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, Trustmark recorded compensation expense for restricted stock awards of \$655 thousand and \$47 thousand, respectively. No restricted stock grants were made prior to the second quarter of 2005; therefore, no compensation expense was recognized during the first three months of 2005. The following table summarizes Trustmark's restricted stock activity during the first six months of 2006:

Nonvested Shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested shares, beginning of period	26,325	\$ 28.28
Granted	67,000	28.25
Vested	-	
Forfeited	-	
Nonvested shares, end of period	93,325	28.26

### **NOTE 7 - BENEFIT PLANS**

#### ***Pension Plan***

Trustmark maintains a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan, which covers substantially all associates with more than one year of service. The plan provides pension benefits that are based on the length of credited service and final average compensation as defined in the plan. Trustmark's policy is to fund amounts allowable as deductions for federal income tax purposes.

The following table presents information regarding the plan's net periodic pension costs for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (\$ in thousands):

	2006	2005
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 1,308	\$ 1,090
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	2,196	2,135
Expected return on plan assets	(2,615)	(2,704)
Amortization of prior service cost	(175)	(45)
Recognized net actuarial loss	1,227	959
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,941	\$ 1,435

**Supplemental Retirement Plan**

Trustmark maintains a non-qualified supplemental retirement plan covering directors that elect to defer fees, key executive officers and senior officers. The plan provides for defined death benefits and/or retirement benefits based on a participant's covered salary. Trustmark has acquired life insurance contracts on the participants covered under the plan, which may be used to fund future payments.

The following table presents information regarding the plan's net periodic benefit costs for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (\$ in thousands):

	2006	2005
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 805	\$ 723
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	826	783
Amortization of prior service cost	69	101
Recognized net actuarial loss	74	52
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,774	\$ 1,659

**NOTE 8 - CONTINGENCIES****Letters of Credit**

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Trustmark to insure the performance of a customer to a third party. In the normal course of business, in order to fulfill the financing needs of its customers, Trustmark issues financial and performance standby letters of credit. A financial standby letter of credit irrevocably obligates Trustmark to pay a third-party beneficiary when a customer fails to repay an outstanding loan or debt instrument. A performance standby letter of credit irrevocably obligates Trustmark to pay a third-party beneficiary when a customer fails to perform some contractual, nonfinancial obligation. When issuing letters of credit, Trustmark uses essentially the same policies regarding credit risk and collateral which are followed in the lending process.

At June 30, 2006 and 2005, Trustmark's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for standby and commercial letters of credit was \$114.1 million and \$114.5 million, respectively. These amounts consist primarily of commitments with maturities of less than three years, which have an immaterial carrying value. Trustmark holds collateral to support standby letters of credit when deemed necessary. As of June 30, 2006, the fair value of collateral held was \$21.6 million.

**Legal Proceedings**

Trustmark and its subsidiaries are parties to lawsuits and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Some of the lawsuits assert claims related to the lending, collection, servicing, investment, trust and other business activities, and some of the lawsuits allege substantial claims for damages. The cases are being vigorously contested. In the regular course of business, Management evaluates estimated losses or costs related to litigation, and provision is made for anticipated losses whenever Management believes that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, Management believes, based on the advice of legal counsel and Management's evaluation, that the final resolution of pending legal proceedings will not have a material impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial position or results of operations; however, Management is unable to estimate a range of potential loss on these matters because of the nature of the legal environment in states where Trustmark conducts business.

- 14 -

**NOTE 9 - EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the effect of potentially dilutive stock options outstanding during the period. The following table reflects weighted-average shares used to calculate basic and diluted EPS for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Basic shares	55,565	56,829	55,630	57,112
Dilutive shares (related to stock options)	269	139	176	139
Diluted shares	55,834	56,968	55,806	57,251

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of SFAS No. 123 (SFAS No. 123r), "Share-Based Payment." This statement revises SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Trustmark adopted SFAS 123r, "Share Based Payment" effective January 1, 2006. Prior period dilutive shares consisted of potentially dilutive stock options outstanding accounted for under APB Opinion No. 25.

**NOTE 10 - STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

Trustmark paid income taxes of \$25.5 million and \$22.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Interest paid on deposit liabilities and other borrowings approximated \$86.2 million in the first six months of 2006 and \$45.8 million in the first six months of 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, noncash transfers from loans to foreclosed properties were \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. During the first six months of 2006, no long-term FHLB advances were converted to short-term borrowings compared with conversions of \$75.0 million in the first six months of 2005.

**NOTE 11 - SEGMENT INFORMATION**

Trustmark's management reporting structure includes four segments: general banking, wealth management, insurance and administration. General banking is responsible for all traditional banking products and services, including loans and deposits. Wealth management provides customized solutions for affluent customers by integrating financial services with traditional banking products and services such as private banking, money management, full-service brokerage, financial planning, personal and institutional trust, and retirement services, as well as life insurance and risk management services provided by TRMK Risk Management, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of TNB. Insurance includes two wholly-owned subsidiaries of TNB: The Bottrell Insurance Agency and Fisher-Brown, Incorporated. Through Bottrell and Fisher-Brown, Trustmark provides a full range of retail insurance products, including commercial risk management products, bonding, group benefits and personal lines coverages. Administration includes all other activities that are not directly attributable to one of the major lines of business. Administration consists of internal operations such as Human Resources, Executive Administration, Treasury (Funds Management) and Corporate Finance.

The accounting policies of each reportable segment are the same as those of the Corporation except for its internal allocations. Trustmark uses a match-funded transfer pricing process to assess operating segment performance. Noninterest expenses for back-office operations support are allocated to segments based on estimated uses of those services. In the first six months of 2006, Trustmark updated its estimates for probable losses resulting from Hurricane Katrina and released allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses by \$4.8 million on a pretax basis. As was the case in 2005, Management has determined that this item should be included in the Administrative division due to

its unusual nature.

- 15 -

---

Edgar Filing: TRUSTMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table discloses financial information by reportable segment for the periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

**Trustmark Corporation**

**Segment Information**

(\$ in thousands)

<b>For the three months ended</b>	<b>General Banking Division</b>	<b>Wealth Management Division</b>	<b>Insurance Division</b>	<b>Administration Division</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>June 30, 2006</b>					
Net interest income from external customers	\$ 67,822	\$ 1,248	\$ (2)	\$ 550	\$ 69,618
Internal funding	(1,459)	(195)	-	1,654	-
Net interest income	66,363	1,053	(2)	2,204	69,618
Provision for loan losses	(151)	(6)	-	(1,807)	(1,964)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	66,514	1,059	(2)	4,011	71,582
Noninterest income	23,663	5,948	8,934	838	39,383
Noninterest expense	44,930	4,785	5,750	8,287	63,752
Income before income taxes	45,247	2,222	3,182	(3,438)	47,213
Income taxes	15,603	804	1,241	(1,209)	16,439
Segment net income	\$ 29,644	\$ 1,418	\$ 1,941	\$ (2,229)	\$ 30,774

**Selected Financial Information**

Average assets	\$ 6,584,833	\$ 87,638	\$ 24,647	\$ 1,484,534	\$ 8,181,652
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 5,458	\$ 113	\$ 99	\$ 938	\$ 6,608

**For the three months ended**

**June 30, 2005**

Net interest income from external customers	\$ 65,838	\$ 1,257	\$ (3)	\$ 817	\$ 67,909
Internal funding	(4,312)	(348)	-	4,660	-
Net interest income	61,526	909	(3)	5,477	67,909
Provision for loan losses	3,416	-	-	(1,987)	1,429
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	58,110	909	(3)	7,464	66,480
Noninterest income	18,441	5,562	8,348	(4,401)	27,950
Noninterest expense	42,826	4,547	5,631	7,257	60,261
Income before income taxes	33,725	1,924	2,714	(4,194)	34,169
Income taxes	11,629	715	1,120	(1,501)	11,963
Segment net income	\$ 22,096	\$ 1,209	\$ 1,594	\$ (2,693)	\$ 22,206

**Selected Financial Information**

Average assets	\$ 6,156,930	\$ 98,349	\$ 17,897	\$ 1,981,421	\$ 8,254,597
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 10,225	\$ 134	\$ 93	\$ 960	\$ 11,412

- 16 -

**Trustmark Corporation**  
**Segment Information**  
(\$ in thousands)

<b>For the six months ended</b>	<b>General Banking Division</b>	<b>Wealth Management Division</b>	<b>Insurance Division</b>	<b>Administration Division</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>June 30, 2006</b>					
Net interest income from external customers	\$ 133,922	\$ 2,057	\$ (4)	\$ 1,884	\$ 137,859
Internal funding	(2,643)	59	-	2,584	-
Net interest income	131,279	2,116	(4)	4,468	137,859
Provision for loan losses	204	(8)	-	(5,144)	(4,948)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	131,075	2,124	(4)	9,612	142,807
Noninterest income	45,518	11,777	17,239	1,539	76,073
Noninterest expense	90,188	9,467	11,468	16,141	127,264
Income before income taxes	86,405	4,434	5,767	(4,990)	91,616
Income taxes	29,786	1,613	2,245	(2,121)	31,523
Segment net income	\$ 56,619	\$ 2,821	\$ 3,522	\$ (2,869)	\$ 60,093

**Selected Financial Information**

Average assets	\$ 6,568,600	\$ 87,481	\$ 24,250	\$ 1,522,339	\$ 8,202,670
Depreciation and amortization/impairment	\$ 10,257	\$ 212	\$ 199	\$ 1,878	\$ 12,546

**For the six months ended**

**June 30, 2005**

Net interest income from external customers	\$ 126,446	\$ 2,413	\$ (5)	\$ 7,464	\$ 136,318
Internal funding	(6,014)	(575)	-	6,589	-
Net interest income	120,432	1,838	(5)	14,053	136,318
Provision for loan losses	4,186	(7)	-	46	4,225
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	116,246	1,845	(5)	14,007	132,093
Noninterest income	40,858	10,939	16,193	(3,492)	64,498
Noninterest expense	86,976	9,354	11,027	14,046	121,403
Income before income taxes	70,128	3,430	5,161	(3,531)	75,188
Income taxes	24,160	1,268	2,126	(1,353)	26,201
Segment net income	\$ 45,968	\$ 2,162	\$ 3,035	\$ (2,178)	\$ 48,987

**Selected Financial Information**

Average assets	\$ 6,077,468	\$ 98,748	\$ 16,639	\$ 2,004,164	\$ 8,197,019
Depreciation and amortization/impairment	\$ 12,802	\$ 267	\$ 182	\$ 1,919	\$ 15,170

- 17 -



## NOTE 12 - RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The effects of this interpretation are not expected to have a material impact on Trustmark's statement of position or results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of SFAS No. 133 and 140," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The statement was issued to clarify the application of SFAS No. 133 to beneficial interests in securitized financial assets and to improve the consistency of accounting for similar financial instruments, regardless of the form of the instruments. The effects of this statement are not expected to have a material impact on Trustmark's statement of position or results of operations.

FASB Staff Position (the FSP) No. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," was issued in November 2005 and addresses the determination of when an investment is considered impaired, whether the impairment is other than temporary and how to measure an impairment loss. The FSP also addresses accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment on a debt security and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The FSP replaces the impairment guidance in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-1 with references to existing authoritative literature concerning other-than-temporary determinations. Under the FSP, losses arising from impairments deemed to be other-than-temporary must be recognized in net income equal to the entire difference between the security's cost and its fair value at the financial statement date, without considering partial recoveries subsequent to that date. The FSP also requires that an investor recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss when a decision to sell a security has been made, and the investor does not expect the fair value of the security to fully recover prior to the expected time of sale. The FSP is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of this FSP on January 1, 2006 did not have a significant effect on Trustmark's financial condition or results of operation.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections." SFAS No. 154 is a replacement of APB No. 20, "Accounting Changes" and FASB Statement No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements." SFAS No. 154 establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to the newly adopted accounting principle. This statement applies to voluntary changes in accounting principles as well as changes required by an accounting pronouncement that provides no specific transition provisions. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of this statement on January 1, 2006 did not have a significant effect on Trustmark's financial condition or results of operation.

- 18 -

---

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following provides a narrative discussion and analysis of Trustmark Corporation's (Trustmark) financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the supplemental financial data included elsewhere in this report.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements relating to anticipated future operating and financial performance measures, including net interest margin, credit quality, business initiatives, growth opportunities and growth rates, among other things and encompass any estimate, prediction, expectation, projection, opinion, anticipation, outlook or statement of belief included therein as well as the management assumptions underlying these forward-looking statements. Should one or more of these risks materialize, or should any such underlying assumptions prove to be significantly different, actual results may vary significantly from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected.

These risks could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations of Management and include, but are not limited to, changes in the level of nonperforming assets and charge offs, local, state and national economic and market conditions, material changes in market interest rates, the costs and effects of litigation and of unexpected or adverse outcomes in such litigation, competition in loan and deposit pricing, as well as the entry of new competitors into our markets through de novo expansion and acquisitions, changes in existing regulations or the adoption of new regulations, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits, technological changes, changes in the financial performance or condition of Trustmark's borrowers, the ability to control expenses, changes in Trustmark's compensation and benefit plans, greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of, or a material delay in closing of, the Republic Bancshares of Texas merger, greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new products and lines of business and other risks described in Trustmark's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although Management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Trustmark undertakes no obligation to update or revise any of this information, whether as the result of new information, future events or developments or otherwise.

### OVERVIEW

#### *Business*

Trustmark is a multi-bank holding company headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi, incorporated under the Mississippi Business Corporation Act on August 5, 1968. Trustmark commenced doing business in November 1968. Through its subsidiaries, Trustmark operates as a financial services organization providing banking and financial solutions through over 145 offices and 2,600 associates in Florida, Mississippi, Tennessee and Texas.

Trustmark National Bank (TNB), Trustmark's wholly-owned subsidiary, accounts for substantially all of the assets and revenues of Trustmark. Initially chartered by the state of Mississippi in 1889, TNB is also headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi. In addition to banking activities, TNB provides investment and insurance products and services to its customers through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Trustmark Investment Advisors, Inc., The Bottrell Insurance Agency, Inc., TRMK Risk Management, Inc. and Fisher-Brown, Incorporated.

- 19 -

---

Trustmark also engages in banking activities through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Somerville Bank & Trust Company (Somerville), headquartered in Somerville, Tennessee. Somerville was acquired in a business combination during 2001 and presently has five locations in Somerville, Hickory Withe and Rossville, Tennessee. In addition to its banking subsidiaries, Trustmark also owns all of the stock of Trustmark Securities, Inc., F. S. Corporation and First Building Corporation, all of which are inactive nonbank Mississippi corporations. Neither Trustmark nor its subsidiaries have any foreign activities.

### ***Financial Highlights***

Trustmark's net income totaled \$30.8 million in the second quarter of 2006, which represented basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.55. Net income for the second quarter of 2005 totaled \$22.2 million yielding basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.39. Trustmark's second quarter net income produced returns on average shareholders' equity and average assets of 15.78% and 1.51%, respectively, compared with second quarter 2005 returns of 11.84% and 1.08%. During the first six months of 2006, Trustmark's net income totaled \$60.1 million, which represented basic and diluted earnings per share of \$1.08. Trustmark's performance during the first half of 2006 resulted in returns on average shareholders' equity and average assets of 15.66% and 1.48%, respectively, compared with the first half of 2005 returns of 13.13% and 1.21%, respectively. Highlights of the first half of 2006 included:

- Expected net interest income and net interest margin
- Solid growth in Wealth Management and Insurance revenues
  - Outstanding credit quality
- Preparation for merger with Houston's Republic Bancshares of Texas, Inc.
  - Continued investment in banking center expansion program

### ***Business Combinations***

As previously announced on April 13, 2006, Trustmark signed a definitive agreement in which Republic Bancshares of Texas, Inc. (Republic), will merge into Trustmark. Republic has six banking centers in the Houston, Texas market with \$474 million in loans and \$543 million in deposits as of June 30, 2006. Including Trustmark's Houston market presence at June 30, 2006, the combined company would have \$710 million in loans and \$705 million in deposits. Under the terms of the definitive agreement, the transaction is valued at approximately \$210 million based upon a price of \$31.50 per share of Trustmark common stock. Republic shareholders have the right to elect to receive either cash, or Trustmark common stock, or a combination of cash and Trustmark common stock provided that 51% of the total consideration is paid in Trustmark stock. The consideration to be paid in Trustmark common stock is based upon a fixed exchange ratio. Elections will be subject to standard proration procedures. The proposed transaction, which is subject to approval by Republic's shareholders and regulatory authorities, is expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2006.

### ***Significant Accounting Transactions for 2006***

On August 29, 2005, Hurricane Katrina struck the Mississippi Gulf Coast and Central and Eastern Mississippi causing significant damages. Immediately following the storm, Trustmark initiated a process to assess the impact on its customers and on Trustmark's consolidated financial statements. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," Trustmark determined, through reasonable estimates, that specific losses were probable and initially increased its allowance for loan losses by \$9.8 million and established other reserves totaling \$2.1 million, on a pretax basis.

- 20 -

---

Trustmark continually reevaluates its estimates for probable losses resulting from Hurricane Katrina. As a result, during 2006, Trustmark has released allowance for loan losses of \$4.8 million and other reserves by \$1.1 million on a pretax basis. At June 30, 2006, the allowance for loan losses included specific Katrina reserves totaling \$4.9 million, comprised of \$2.9 million for mortgage loans, \$0.5 million for commercial loans and \$1.5 million for consumer loans. Management's estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as the information changes, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management is presenting in the accompanying table adjustments to net income as reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for significant items resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Management believes this information will help users compare Trustmark's current results to prior periods.

## Financial Performance

### Net Income Adjusted for Specific Items (Non-GAAP)

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2006		Year-to-Date June 30, 2006	
	\$	Basic EPS	\$	Basic EPS
<b>Net Income as reported--GAAP</b>	\$ 30,774	\$ 0.554	\$ 60,093	\$ 1.080
<b>Adjustments (net of taxes):</b>				
<b>Deduct recovery of charges related to Hurricane Katrina</b>				
Provision for loan losses	(1,047)	(0.019)	(2,991)	(0.054)
Mortgage related charges	(164)	(0.003)	(680)	(0.012)
	(1,211)	(0.022)	(3,671)	(0.066)
<b>Net Income adjusted for specific items (Non-GAAP)</b>	\$ 29,563	\$ 0.532	\$ 56,422	\$ 1.014

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Preparation of Trustmark's financial statements requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses shown in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, actual financial results could differ from those estimates. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting estimates during the first half of 2006 except those associated with Mortgage Servicing Rights.

### *Mortgage Servicing Rights*

On March 17, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) released SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing Financial Assets, an amendment of SFAS No. 140." This statement amends SFAS No. 140 to require that all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practical. The effective date of this statement is as of the beginning of its first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006; however, early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of any fiscal year, provided the entity has not issued financial statements for the interim period. The initial recognition and measurement of servicing assets and servicing liabilities are required to be applied prospectively to transaction occurring after the effective date.



Trustmark elected to early adopt SFAS No. 156 in the first quarter of 2006 and has recorded its Mortgage Servicing Rights (MSR) at fair value. This election, effective January 1, 2006, increased MSR by \$1.4 million while also increasing retained earning by \$0.8 million, net of taxes.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Trustmark purchased servicing rights were capitalized at cost. For loans originated and sold where the servicing rights had been retained, Trustmark allocated the cost of the loan and servicing right based on their relative fair values. MSR were amortized over the estimated period of the related net servicing income. MSR were evaluated quarterly for impairment and recorded as a valuation allowance. Impairment occurred when the estimated fair value of the MSR fell below its carrying value.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Net interest income is the principal component of Trustmark's income stream and represents the difference, or spread, between interest and fee income generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates, as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, can materially impact net interest income. The net interest margin (NIM) is computed by dividing fully taxable equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets and measures how effectively Trustmark utilizes its interest-earning assets in relationship to the interest cost of funding them. The accompanying Yield/Rate Analysis Table shows the average balances for all assets and liabilities of Trustmark and the interest income or expense associated with earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The yields and rates have been computed based upon interest income and expense adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis using a 35% federal marginal tax rate for all periods shown. Nonaccruing loans have been included in the average loan balances, and interest collected prior to these loans having been placed on nonaccrual has been included in interest income. Loan fees included in interest associated with the average loan balances are immaterial.

Net interest income-FTE for the first half of 2006 increased \$1.8 million when compared with the first half of 2005. Increases in the target fed funds rate of 300 basis points since the beginning of 2005 resulted in increased interest income-FTE and interest expense. While interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, primarily federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and borrowings, increased substantially, the increase in interest income on earning assets was sufficient to offset the additional interest expense. The combination of these factors resulted in an increase in the NIM of five basis points, to 3.88%, when the first half of 2006 is compared with the same time period in 2005. In addition, the NIM for the quarter ending June 30, 2006, increased four basis points to 3.90% when compared to the first quarter of 2006. For additional discussion, see Market/Interest Rate Risk Management included later in the Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Average interest-earning assets for the first half of 2006 were \$7.388 billion, compared with \$7.385 billion for the first half of 2005, an increase of \$2.8 million. Although showing only a minimal increase, the mix of average earning assets has changed dramatically when comparing the first half of 2006 to the same time period in 2005. Average total loans during the first half of 2006 increased \$483.6 million, or 8.7%, relative to the comparable period one year earlier while average securities decreased by \$475.9 million during the same time period. Trustmark has utilized the liquidity created by maturing securities and core deposits to fund growth in higher yielding loans. This change in product mix combined with a rising interest rate environment has resulted in an increase in interest income-FTE of \$30.4 million, or 15.2%, when the first half of 2006 is compared with the same time period in 2005. This impact is illustrated by the yield on total earning assets increasing from 5.48% for the six months ended June 30, 2005 to 6.31% for the first half of 2006, an increase of 83 basis points.

Average interest-bearing liabilities for the first half of 2006 totaled \$5.950 billion compared with \$6.081 billion for the same time period in 2005, a decrease of \$130.5 million, or 2.1%. Trustmark's ability to attract core deposits has also resulted in a major change in the mix of interest-bearing liabilities. This change is illustrated by the increase in average interest-bearing deposits of \$522.7 million, or 12.2%, compared with a decrease of \$653.2 million from wholesale funding sources such as federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and FHLB advances. Yields on interest-bearing liabilities were also impacted by the rising interest rate environment as seen in the increase in rates on interest-bearing deposits (103 basis points), federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements (171 basis points) and borrowings (158 basis points) when the first half of 2006 and the same time period in 2005 are compared. As a result of these factors, total interest expense for the first half of 2006 increased \$28.7 million, or 47.6%, relative to the comparable period one year earlier. Management has made a concerted effort to promote funding from growth in core deposits, rather than other higher-cost funding sources, as a major component in improving the net interest margin and, ultimately, profitability.

- 23 -

---

**Yield/Rate Analysis Table**

(\$ in thousands)

**For the Three Months Ended June 30,**  
**2006** **2005**

	<b>Average Balance</b>	<b>Interest</b>	<b>Yield/ Rate</b>	<b>Average Balance</b>	<b>Interest</b>	<b>Yield/ Rate</b>
<b>Assets</b>						
Interest-earning assets:						
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements						
	\$ 28,513	\$ 365	5.13%	\$ 18,308	\$ 143	3.13%
Securities - taxable	1,112,008	11,310	4.08%	1,600,322	13,993	3.51%
Securities - nontaxable	151,212	2,759	7.32%	157,178	2,917	7.44%
Loans (including loans held for sale)	6,078,847	103,809	6.85%	5,669,110	85,663	6.06%
Total interest-earning assets	7,370,580	118,243	6.43%	7,444,918	102,716	5.53%
Cash and due from banks	315,722			343,117		
Other assets	569,029			532,805		
Allowance for loan losses	(73,679)			(66,243)		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 8,181,652</b>			<b>\$ 8,254,597</b>		
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 4,785,575	33,469	2.81%	\$ 4,277,848	18,326	1.72%
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements						
	522,632	5,748	4.41%	745,858	4,995	2.69%
Borrowings	614,787	7,301	4.76%	1,138,709	9,413	3.32%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	5,922,994	46,518	3.15%	6,162,415	32,734	2.13%
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits						
	1,374,068			1,261,788		
Other liabilities	102,611			78,121		
Shareholders' equity	781,979			752,273		
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 8,181,652</b>			<b>\$ 8,254,597</b>		
<b>Net Interest Margin</b>						
		71,725	3.90%		69,982	3.77%
Less tax equivalent adjustments						
		2,107			2,073	
<b>Net Interest Margin per Consolidated Statements of Income</b>						
		\$ 69,618			\$ 67,909	

- 24 -



**Yield/Rate Analysis Table**

(\$ in thousands)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2006			2005		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Rate
<b>Assets</b>						
Interest-earning assets:						
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements						
	\$ 28,160	\$ 672	4.81%	\$ 33,087	\$ 416	2.54%
Securities - taxable	1,144,235	22,879	4.03%	1,617,348	29,727	3.71%
Securities - nontaxable	151,979	5,562	7.38%	154,744	5,780	7.53%
Loans (including loans held for sale)	6,063,141	201,977	6.72%	5,579,561	164,727	5.95%
Total interest-earning assets	7,387,515	231,090	6.31%	7,384,740	200,650	5.48%
Cash and due from banks	324,685			345,944		
Other assets	565,738			531,903		
Allowance for loan losses	(75,268)			(65,568)		
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 8,202,670</b>			<b>\$ 8,197,019</b>		
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 4,796,292	63,444	2.67%	\$ 4,273,634	34,694	1.64%
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements						
	526,398	10,804	4.14%	717,198	8,643	2.43%
Borrowings	627,407	14,662	4.71%	1,089,795	16,910	3.13%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	5,950,097	88,910	3.01%	6,080,627	60,247	2.00%
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	1,375,713			1,289,311		
Other liabilities	102,990			74,461		
Shareholders' equity	773,870			752,620		
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 8,202,670</b>			<b>\$ 8,197,019</b>		
<b>Net Interest Margin</b>		142,180	3.88%		140,403	3.83%
Less tax equivalent adjustments		4,321			4,085	
<b>Net Interest Margin per Consolidated Statements of Income</b>		<b>\$ 137,859</b>			<b>\$ 136,318</b>	

**Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses is determined by Management as the amount necessary to adjust the allowance for loan losses to a level that, in Management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan

portfolio. The provision for loan losses totaled a benefit of \$4.9 million during the first six months of 2006 compared with an expense of \$4.2 million during the same time period of 2005. During 2006, Trustmark continually reevaluated its estimates of probable loan losses resulting from Hurricane Katrina. As a result, Trustmark reduced its allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses by \$4.8 million based on information available as of June 30, 2006. During the first half of 2006, the provision for loan losses as a percentage of average loans was -0.16% compared with 0.15% for the first half of 2005. See the section captioned "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

- 25 -

---

**Noninterest Income**

(\$ in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006	2005	\$ Change	2006	2005	\$ Change
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 13,308	\$ 13,541	\$ (233)	\$ 24,997	\$ 25,925	\$ (928)
Insurance commissions	8,718	8,370	348	17,067	16,232	835
Wealth management	5,865	5,414	451	11,476	10,657	819
General banking - other	5,470	5,284	186	10,665	10,036	629
Mortgage banking, net	2,898	(3,246)	6,144	6,350	605	5,745
Other, net	2,740	2,644	96	4,268	5,097	(829)
Securities gains (losses)	384	(4,057)	4,441	1,250	(4,054)	5,304
Total Noninterest Income	\$ 39,383	\$ 27,950	\$ 11,433	\$ 76,073	\$ 64,498	\$ 11,575

**Noninterest Income**

Noninterest income (NII) consists of revenues generated from a broad range of banking and financial services. NII for the first six months of 2006 totaled \$76.1 million, an increase of \$11.6 million, or 17.9%, when compared to the first half of 2005. Without considering securities gains or losses, the increase totaled \$6.3 million, or 9.1%, for the same periods illustrated above. The comparative components of NII for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 are shown in the accompanying table.

Service charges for deposit products and services continued to be the single largest component of noninterest income and totaled \$25.0 million for the first half of 2006 compared with \$25.9 million for same time period in 2005, a decrease of \$928 thousand, or 3.6%. On a linked quarter basis, service charges increased from \$11.7 million for the first quarter of 2006 to \$13.3 million for the second quarter of 2006. Revenues from service charges have continued to be negatively impacted by the increased usage of accounts that do not charge a monthly fee, increases in earnings credits earned by commercial customers and increased usage of electronic transactions. However, these factors have been more than offset by revenues generated by an increase in fees charged on NSF items, changes to Trustmark's overdraft policies and an increase in the number of retail demand deposit accounts.

Insurance commissions were \$17.1 million during the first half of 2006, an increase of \$835 thousand, or 5.1%, when compared with \$16.2 million in the first half of 2005. Trustmark's insurance division consists of two wholly-owned subsidiaries, Bottrell and Fisher-Brown. Fisher-Brown has experienced increased revenues during 2006 resulting from an environment of increasing rates and a more strategic marketing plan.

Wealth management income totaled \$11.5 million for the first half of 2006, compared with \$10.7 million during the same time period in 2005, an increase of \$819 thousand, or 7.7%. Wealth management consists of income related to investment management, trust and brokerage services. The growth in wealth management income during 2006 is largely attributed to a 12.2% increase in trust and investment management fee income resulting mostly from new account growth. In addition, the increased presence of wealth management teams in Florida, Houston and Memphis have begun to have a positive impact on revenues. Offsetting the increase in trust and investment management revenue was lower levels of fixed annuity sales in retail brokerage. At June 30, 2006, Trustmark held assets under management and administration of \$6.669 billion and brokerage assets of \$1.136 billion.

- 26 -

**Mortgage Banking Income**

(\$ in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006	2005	\$ Change	2006	2005	\$ Change
Mortgage servicing income, net	\$ 3,239	\$ 3,088	\$ 151	\$ 6,574	\$ 6,184	\$ 390
Change in fair value MSR from market changes	2,202	-	2,202	6,014	-	6,014
Change in fair value MSR from runoff	(2,400)	-	(2,400)	(4,452)	-	(4,452)
Change in fair value of derivatives	(1,881)	-	(1,881)	(4,437)	-	(4,437)
Amortization of MSR	-	(2,620)	2,620	-	(5,240)	5,240
MSR impairment	-	(4,821)	4,821	-	(2,089)	2,089
Gain on sale of loans	1,613	374	1,239	2,654	708	1,946
Other, net	125	733	(608)	(3)	1,042	(1,045)
Mortgage Banking, net	\$ 2,898	\$ (3,246)	\$ 6,144	\$ 6,350	\$ 605	\$ 5,745

General banking - other totaled \$10.7 million during the first six months of 2006, compared with \$10.0 million in the same time period in 2005. General banking - other income consists primarily of fees on various bank products and services as well as bankcard fees and safe deposit box fees. Revenues from bankcard fees continued to increase as interchange income earned on electronic transactions related to debit cards and ATMs grew \$801 thousand, or 16.6%, when comparing the first half of 2006 to the same time period in 2005 primarily from growth in the volume of Express Check cards outstanding and the number of transactions processed.

Net revenues from mortgage banking were \$6.4 million during the first six months of 2006, compared with \$605 thousand in the first half of 2005. As shown in the accompanying table, net mortgage servicing income has increased 6.3% when the first half of 2006 is compared with the same time period in 2005. This increase coincides with growth in the balance of the mortgage servicing portfolio. Loans serviced for others totaled \$3.9 billion at June 30, 2006 compared with \$3.5 billion at June 30, 2005.

Several changes in the components of mortgage banking income, net have taken place during the first half of 2006. As was previously discussed, Trustmark elected to early adopt SFAS No. 156 in the first quarter of 2006 and has recorded its MSR at fair value. In addition, related derivative financial instruments have also been booked at fair value and are being used to mitigate the risks inherent in MSR. Trustmark has also chosen to subsequently measure MSR at fair value at each future reporting date instead of amortizing MSR over the period of estimated net servicing income and assessing impairment at each reporting date. As a result of these elections, Trustmark has recognized the change in fair values of MSR due to market changes and runoff and the related derivative financial instruments in mortgage banking, net, as shown in the accompanying table.

Gains on sales of loans increased from \$708 thousand during the first half of 2005 to \$2.7 million during the same time period in 2006. This coincides with an increase in loan sales from secondary marketing activities of approximately \$117.7 million when the first half of 2006 is compared with the same time period in 2005.

Securities losses totaled \$4.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to securities gains of \$1.3 million during the same time period of 2006. The securities gains for 2006 come primarily from a voluntary redemption of an investment in one of the family of Performance mutual funds that was originally funded by Trustmark. The losses during 2005 primarily resulted from the sale of \$256 million in U.S. Government Agency and U.S. Treasury securities during the second quarter. The sale of these securities resulted from an intentional reduction in the investment portfolio due to declining profitability of holding longer-tem investment securities.

***Noninterest Expense***

Trustmark's noninterest expense for the first six months of 2006 totaled \$127.3 million compared with \$121.4 million recorded during the same time period in 2005. The comparative components of noninterest expense for the first half of 2006 and 2005 are shown in the accompanying table.

- 27 -

---

**Noninterest Expense**

(\$ in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2006	2005	\$ Change	2006	2005	\$ Change
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 39,567	\$ 37,245	\$ 2,322	\$ 78,944	\$ 74,604	\$ 4,340
Services and fees	8,979	8,104	875	17,743	17,062	681
Net occupancy - premises	4,070	3,661	409	7,954	7,352	602
Equipment expense	3,589	3,855	(266)	7,232	7,808	(576)
Other expense	7,547	7,396	151	15,391	14,577	814
<b>Total Noninterest Expense</b>	<b>\$ 63,752</b>	<b>\$ 60,261</b>	<b>\$ 3,491</b>	<b>\$ 127,264</b>	<b>\$ 121,403</b>	<b>\$ 5,861</b>

Salaries and employee benefits, the largest category of noninterest expense, were \$78.9 million in the first six months of 2006 compared with \$74.6 million in the first six months of 2005. The increase of 5.8% in 2006 reflects general merit increases, the higher cost of performance-based incentive payments and additional costs of pension and other postretirement benefit plans. Partially offsetting increases in personnel costs was a net decrease in the number of full-time equivalent employees, from 2,616 at June 30, 2005, to 2,585 at June 30, 2006. This decrease in FTE employees has been accomplished during a period of solid growth in loans and deposits when compared to June 30, 2005.

All other categories of expenses remained well controlled and showed a net increase of \$1.5 million, or 1.3%, when the first half of 2006 is compared with the same time period in 2005.

**Income Taxes**

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Trustmark's combined effective tax rate was 34.4%, compared with 34.8% for the same time period in 2005. The slight decrease in Trustmark's effective tax rate for 2006 is due to immaterial changes in permanent items as a percentage of pretax income.

**LIQUIDITY**

Liquidity is the ability to meet asset funding requirements and operational cash outflows in a timely manner, in sufficient amount and without excess cost. Consistent cash flows from operations and adequate capital provide internally generated liquidity. Furthermore, Management maintains funding capacity from a variety of external sources to meet daily funding needs, such as those required to meet deposit withdrawals, loan disbursements and security settlements. Liquidity strategy also includes the use of wholesale funding sources to provide for the seasonal fluctuations of deposit and loan demand and the cyclical fluctuations of the economy that impact the availability of funds. Management keeps excess funding capacity available to meet potential demands associated with adverse circumstances.

The primary source of liquidity on the asset side of the balance sheet are maturities and cash flows from both loans and securities, as well as the ability to sell certain loans and securities. Liquidity on the liability side of the balance sheet is generated primarily through growth in core deposits. To provide additional liquidity, Trustmark utilizes economical short-term wholesale funding arrangements for federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements in both regional and national markets. At June 30, 2006, Trustmark estimated gross fed funds borrowing capacity at \$1.413 billion, compared to \$1.392 billion at December 31, 2005. In addition, Trustmark maintains a borrowing relationship with the FHLB, which provided \$250.0 million in short-term advances at June 30, 2006, compared with \$475.0 million in short-term advances at December 31, 2005. These advances are collateralized by a blanket lien on Trustmark's single-family, multi-family, home equity and commercial mortgage loans. Under the existing borrowing agreement, Trustmark has \$1.361 billion available in unused FHLB advances. Another borrowing

source is the Federal Reserve Discount Window (Discount Window). At June 30, 2006, Trustmark had approximately \$576.3 million available in collateral capacity at the Discount Window from pledges of auto loans and securities, compared with \$558.4 million available at December 31, 2005. In September 2004, Trustmark entered into a two-year revolving credit arrangement enabling borrowings of up to \$50.0 million, subject to certain financial covenants. At June 30, 2006, Trustmark was in compliance with all financial covenants and had borrowings on this line of credit that totaled \$11.0 million.

- 28 -

---

During 2003, Trustmark filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) utilizing a "shelf" registration process. Under this shelf process, Trustmark may offer from time to time any combination of securities described in the prospectus in one or more offerings up to a total amount of \$200 million. The securities described in the prospectus include common and preferred stock, depository shares, debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities and trust preferred securities. Net proceeds from the sales of the offered securities may be used to redeem or repurchase outstanding securities, repay outstanding debt, finance acquisitions of companies and other assets and provide working capital.

The Board of Directors currently has the authority to issue up to 20 million preferred shares with no par value. The ability to issue preferred shares in the future will provide Trustmark with additional financial and management flexibility for general corporate and acquisition purposes. At June 30, 2006, no such shares have been issued.

Liquidity position and strategy are reviewed regularly by the Asset/Liability Committee and continuously adjusted in relationship to Trustmark's overall strategy. Management believes that Trustmark has sufficient liquidity and capital resources to meet presently known cash flow requirements arising from ongoing business transactions.

## **CAPITAL RESOURCES**

At June 30, 2006, Trustmark's shareholders' equity was \$761.3 million, an increase of \$19.8 million, or 2.7%, from its level at December 31, 2005. This increase is primarily related to net income of \$60.1 million being offset by shares repurchased at a cost of \$17.5 million and dividends paid of \$23.4 million. Management will continue to hold sufficient capital to provide for growth opportunities, protect the balance sheet against sudden adverse market conditions and maintain an attractive return on equity to shareholders.

### ***Common Stock Repurchase Program***

At June 30, 2006, Trustmark had remaining authorization for the repurchase of up to 3.0 million shares of its common stock. Collectively, the capital management plans adopted by Trustmark since 1998 have authorized the repurchase of 24.3 million shares of common stock. Pursuant to these plans, Trustmark has repurchased approximately 21.3 million shares for \$478.0 million, including 588 thousand shares during the first half of 2006.

### ***Dividends***

Dividends for the six months ended June 30, 2006, were \$0.42 per share, increasing 5.0% when compared with dividends of \$0.40 per share for the same time period in 2005. Trustmark's indicated annual dividend rate for 2006 is currently \$0.84 per share, up from \$0.80 per share for 2005.

### ***Regulatory Capital***

Trustmark and TNB are subject to minimum capital requirements, which are administered by various federal regulatory agencies. These capital requirements, as defined by federal guidelines, involve quantitative and qualitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet instruments. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements of both Trustmark and TNB. Trustmark aims not only to exceed the minimum capital standards but also the well-capitalized guidelines for regulatory capital. Management believes, as of June 30, 2006, that Trustmark and TNB have met or exceeded all of the minimum capital standards for the parent company and its primary banking subsidiary as established by regulatory requirements. At June 30, 2006, the most recent notification from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), TNB's primary federal banking regulator, categorized TNB as well capitalized. To be categorized in this manner, TNB must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios (defined in applicable regulations) as set forth in the accompanying table. There are no significant conditions or events that have occurred since the OCC's notification that Management believes have affected TNB's present classification.



**Regulatory Capital Table**

(\$ in thousands)

	June 30, 2006					
	Actual Regulatory Capital		Minimum Regulatory Capital Required		Minimum Regulatory Provision to be Well Capitalized	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Trustmark Corporation	\$ 676,065	10.87%	\$ 497,428	8.00%	n/a	n/a
Trustmark National Bank	\$ 652,943	10.65%	\$ 490,335	8.00%	\$ 612,919	10.00%
<b>Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Trustmark Corporation	\$ 604,219	9.72%	\$ 248,714	4.00%	n/a	n/a
Trustmark National Bank	\$ 584,013	9.53%	\$ 245,167	4.00%	\$ 367,751	6.00%
<b>Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)</b>						
Trustmark Corporation	\$ 604,219	7.54%	\$ 240,320	3.00%	n/a	n/a
Trustmark National Bank	\$ 584,013	7.42%	\$ 236,273	3.00%	\$ 393,789	5.00%

**EARNING ASSETS**

Earning assets serve as the primary revenue streams for Trustmark and are comprised of securities, loans, federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. At June 30, 2006, earning assets were \$7.368 billion, or 89.5% of total assets, compared with \$7.507 billion, or 89.5% of total assets at December 31, 2005.

**Securities**

Interest-bearing investment securities are held to provide a stable alternative source of interest income and to collateralize public deposits and repurchase agreements. Trustmark's portfolio of investment securities also supports liquidity and profitability strategies and may be used to offset potential market risks in the various financial reporting segments. The primary objective of the investment portfolio is to make an adequate contribution to net interest income. Management evaluates this contribution in relation to potential adverse market value risk that may impact strategic flexibility, liquidity or future earnings. During 2005 and through the second quarter of 2006, Management continued a strategy that began in 2004 of exiting certain assets and reducing balances of funding sources that would bear the highest costs in a rising interest rate environment. Proceeds from sales of securities have been used to reduce balances of higher-cost funding sources and as a funding source for loan growth. During the first six months of 2006, Trustmark continued to deemphasize the holding of investment securities as seen by the decrease in overall balance of \$134.8 million, or 10.1%, when compared to December 31, 2005. Trustmark intends to maintain lower balances in investment securities and reduce dependency on wholesale funding until market conditions provide more attractive opportunities.

The securities portfolio can serve as a powerful tool that Management uses to control exposure to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be adjusted by altering both the duration of the portfolio and the balance of the portfolio. Trustmark has maintained a strategy of offsetting potential exposure to higher interest rates by keeping both the duration and the balances of investment securities at relatively low levels. The estimated duration of the portfolio was 2.4 years at June 30, 2006, as compared to 2.5 years at December 31, 2005.

AFS securities are carried at their estimated fair value with unrealized gains or losses recognized, net of taxes, in accumulated other comprehensive loss, a separate component of shareholders' equity. At June 30, 2006, AFS securities totaled \$905.6 million, which represented 75.4% of the securities portfolio, compared to \$1.042 billion, or 77.9%, at December 31, 2005. At June 30, 2006, unrealized losses on AFS securities of \$22.5 million, net of \$8.6 million of

deferred income taxes, were included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, compared with unrealized losses of \$16.4 million, net of \$6.3 million in deferred income taxes, at December 31, 2005. At June 30, 2006, AFS securities consisted of U.S. Treasury securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, mortgage related securities, corporate securities and other securities, primarily Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock.

- 30 -

---

Held to maturity (HTM) securities are carried at amortized cost and represent those securities that Trustmark both intends and has the ability to hold to maturity. At June 30, 2006, HTM securities totaled \$296.2 million and represented 24.6% of the total portfolio, compared with \$294.9 million, or 22.1%, at the end of 2005.

Management continues to focus on asset quality as one of the strategic goals of the securities portfolio, which is evidenced by the investment of approximately 83% of the portfolio in U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government agencies obligations and other AAA rated securities.

#### ***Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses***

Loans and loans held for sale represented 83.2% of earning assets at June 30, 2006, compared with 80.5% at December 31, 2005. Average loans (including loans held for sale) were \$6.063 billion for the first six months of 2006, an increase of \$483.6 million, or 8.7%, when compared with the first six months of 2005. Growth in the loan portfolio continues to be diversified geographically across Trustmark's four-state franchise as well as by loan type as seen by increases in loans from Trustmark's Florida Gulf Coast, Houston offices and Consumer Services Division.

Trustmark makes loans in the normal course of business to certain directors, including their immediate families and companies in which they are principal owners. Such loans are made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility at the time of the transaction.

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for estimated loan losses charged against earnings. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by Management, based on estimated probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. Trustmark's allowance for loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided by the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues," as well as other regulatory guidance. Accordingly, Trustmark's methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of loan and internal risk rating, homogeneous risk pools and specific allocations, with adjustments considering current economic events and conditions.

The allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (i) specific valuation allowances established for probable losses on specific loans; (ii) historical valuation allowances calculated based on historical loan experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends and (iii) unallocated general valuation allowances determined based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors, both internal and external, to Trustmark.

Following Hurricane Katrina, Trustmark identified customers specifically impacted by the storm in an effort to estimate the loss of collateral value and customer payment abilities. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," Trustmark determined, through reasonable estimates, that specific losses were probable and initially increased its allowance for loan losses by \$9.8 million, on a pretax basis, during the third quarter of 2005. Trustmark continually reevaluates its estimates for probable losses resulting from Hurricane Katrina. As a result, during 2006, Trustmark has released allowance for loan losses by \$4.8 million and other reserves by \$1.1 million on a pretax basis. At June 30, 2006, the allowance for loan losses included specific Katrina reserves totaling \$4.9 million, comprised of \$2.9 million for mortgage loans, \$0.5 million for commercial loans and \$1.5 million for consumer loans. Management's estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as the information changes, actual results could differ from those estimates.

At June 30, 2006, the allowance for loan losses was \$71.8 million compared with \$76.7 million at December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$4.9 million, primarily resulting from a release of \$4.8 million in reserves held for customers impacted by Hurricane Katrina. The allowance represented 1.20% of total loans outstanding at June 30, 2006, compared to 1.30% at December 31, 2005. As of June 30, 2006, Management believes that the allowance for loan losses provides adequate protection for probable losses in the loan portfolio.



**Nonperforming Assets**

(\$ in thousands)

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Nonaccrual and restructured loans	\$ 25,119	\$ 28,914
Other real estate (ORE)	3,107	4,107
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 28,226	\$ 33,021
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 6,578	\$ 2,719
Serviced GNMA loans eligible for repurchase	15,957	22,769
	\$ 22,535	\$ 25,488
Nonperforming assets/total loans and ORE	0.47%	0.56%

Credit quality indicators remained extremely strong during the first six months of 2006. Total nonperforming assets decreased \$4.8 million, or 14.5%, during the first six months of 2006 with the allowance coverage of nonperforming loans reaching 286.02% at June 30, 2006. The improvements in credit quality shown above can be attributed to Trustmark's commitment to lending policies that produce consistently sound asset quality. The details of Trustmark's nonperforming assets at June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, are shown in the accompanying table.

For the second quarter of 2006, Trustmark's recoveries exceeded charge-offs by \$268 thousand creating a ratio of net charge-off to average loans of -0.02%, compared to net charge-offs of \$2.3 million, or 0.16% of average loans, during the second quarter of 2005. Net recoveries during the first six months of 2006 were \$103 thousand, or less than -0.01% of average loans compared to net charge-offs of \$3.1 million, or 0.11% of average loans, in the same period of 2005.

Trustmark's loan policy dictates the guidelines to be followed in determining when a loan is charged-off. Commercial purpose loans are charged-off when a determination is made that the loan is uncollectible and continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. Consumer loans secured by residential real estate are generally charged-off when the credit becomes severely delinquent, and the balance exceeds the fair value of the property less costs to sell. Other consumer purpose loans, including both secured and unsecured, are generally charged-off in full no later than when the loan becomes 120 days past due. Credit card loans are generally charged-off in full when the loan becomes 180 days past due.

**Other Earning Assets**

Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements were \$33.4 million at June 30, 2006, a decrease of \$96.7 million when compared with December 31, 2005. Trustmark utilizes these products as a short-term investment alternative whenever it has excess liquidity.

**DEPOSITS AND OTHER INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES**

Trustmark's deposit base is its primary source of funding and consists of core deposits from the communities served by Trustmark. Average total deposits in the first six months of 2006 increased \$609.1 million, or 10.9%, when compared to the same time period in 2005. Management has continued to focus on increasing core deposit relationships under attractive terms as a tool to fuel growth throughout Trustmark's four-state banking franchise. At June 30, 2006, brokered CDs totaled \$34.3 million, a decrease of \$147.6 million when compared to December 31, 2005, as Trustmark utilized growth in its core deposit base to replace funding previously provided by brokered CDs.

- 32 -

Trustmark's commitment to increasing its presence in higher-growth markets is illustrated by its strategic initiative to build additional banking centers within its four state banking franchise. This commitment will also benefit Trustmark's continued focus on increasing core deposit relationships. Thus far in 2006, Trustmark has opened a total of five new banking centers in the Jackson, Memphis, and Houston suburban markets as well as the Mississippi Gulf Coast market. Trustmark anticipates opening two additional banking centers during the remainder of 2006 as well as eight banking centers during 2007.

Trustmark uses short-term borrowings and long-term FHLB advances to fund growth of earning assets in excess of deposit growth. Short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, short-term FHLB advances and the treasury tax and loan note option account. Short-term borrowings totaled \$1.019 billion at June 30, 2006, a decrease of \$248.8 million, compared with \$1.268 billion at December 31, 2005. Trustmark had no long-term FHLB advances at June 30, 2006 as compared to \$5.7 million on December 31, 2005. On a consolidated basis, total borrowings have decreased \$254.6 million when compared to December 31, 2005. Additional liquidity produced by core deposits allowed Trustmark to reduce its dependency on wholesale funding products during the first six months of 2006.

## **LEGAL ENVIRONMENT**

Trustmark and its subsidiaries are parties to lawsuits and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Some of the lawsuits assert claims related to the lending, collection, servicing, investment, trust and other business activities, and some of the lawsuits allege substantial claims for damages. The cases are being vigorously contested. In the regular course of business, Management evaluates estimated losses or costs related to litigation, and provision is made for anticipated losses whenever Management believes that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. In recent years, the legal environment in Mississippi has been considered by many to be adverse to business interests, with regards to the overall treatment of tort and contract litigation as well as the award of punitive damages. However, tort reform legislation that became effective during recent years may reduce the likelihood of unexpected, sizable awards. At the present time, Management believes, based on the advice of legal counsel and Management's evaluation, that the final resolution of pending legal proceedings will not have a material impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial position or results of operations; however, Management is unable to estimate a range of potential loss on these matters because of the nature of the legal environment in states where Trustmark conducts business.

## **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

Trustmark makes commitments to extend credit and issues standby and commercial letters of credit in the normal course of business in order to fulfill the financing needs of its customers. These loan commitments and letters of credit are off-balance sheet arrangements.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend money to customers pursuant to certain specified conditions. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Trustmark applies the same credit policies and standards as it does in the lending process when making these commitments. The collateral obtained is based upon the assessed creditworthiness of the borrower. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, Trustmark had commitments to extend credit of \$1.7 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Trustmark to insure the performance of a customer to a third party. When issuing letters of credit, Trustmark uses essentially the same policies regarding credit risk and collateral which are followed in the lending process. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, Trustmark's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for letters of credit was \$114.1 million and \$114.5 million, respectively. These amounts consist primarily of commitments with maturities of less than three years. Trustmark holds collateral to support certain letters of credit when deemed necessary.



## ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

### *Overview*

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market prices and rates. Trustmark has risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk. Trustmark's market risk is comprised primarily of interest rate risk created by core banking activities. Interest rate risk is the risk to net interest income represented by the impact of higher or lower interest rates. Management continually develops and applies cost-effective strategies to manage these risks. The Asset/Liability Committee sets the day-to-day operating guidelines, approves strategies affecting net interest income and coordinates activities within policy limits established by the Board of Directors. A key objective of the asset/liability management program is to quantify, monitor and manage interest rate risk and to assist Management in maintaining stability in the net interest margin under varying interest rate environments.

### *Market/Interest Rate Risk Management*

The primary purpose in managing interest rate risk is to invest capital effectively and preserve the value created by the core banking business. This is accomplished through the development and implementation of lending, funding, pricing and hedging strategies designed to maximize net interest income performance under varying interest rate environments subject to specific liquidity and interest rate risk guidelines.

The primary tool utilized by the Asset/Liability Committee is a third-party modeling system, which is widely accepted in the financial institutions industry. This system provides information used to evaluate exposure to interest rate risk, project earnings and manage balance sheet growth. This modeling system utilizes the following scenarios in order to give Management a method of evaluating Trustmark's interest rate, basis and prepayment risk under different conditions:

- v Rate shocked scenarios of up-and-down 100, 200 and 300 basis points.
- v Yield curve twist of +/- two standard deviations of the change in spread of the three-month Treasury bill and the 10-year Treasury note yields.
- v Basis risk scenarios where federal funds/LIBOR spread widens and tightens to the high and low spread determined by using two standard deviations.
- v Prepayment risk scenarios where projected prepayment speeds in up-and-down 200 basis point rate scenarios are compared to current projected prepayment speeds.

Based on the results of the simulation models using static balances at June 30, 2006, it is estimated that net interest income may increase 2.3% in a one-year, shocked, up 200 basis point rate shift scenario, compared to a base case, flat rate scenario for the same time period. This minor change in forecasted net interest income illustrates Management's strategy to mitigate Trustmark's exposure to cyclical increases in rates by maintaining a neutral position in its interest rate risk profile. This projection does not contemplate any additional actions Trustmark could undertake in response to changes in interest rates. In the event of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates, it is estimated net interest income may decrease by 1.3% while a 200 basis point decline in interest rates would yield an estimated decrease in net interest income of 4.8%. Management cannot provide any assurance about the actual effect of changes in interest rates on net interest income. The estimates provided do not include the effects of possible strategic changes in the balances of various assets and liabilities throughout 2006. Management will continue to prudently manage the balance sheet in an effort to control interest rate risk and maintain profitability over the long term.



Another component of interest rate risk management is measuring the economic value-at-risk for a given change in market interest rates. The economic value-at-risk may indicate risks associated with longer term balance sheet items that may not effect earnings at risk over shorter time periods. Trustmark also uses computer-modeling techniques to determine the present value of all asset and liability cash flows (both on- and off-balance sheet), adjusted for prepayment expectations, using a market discount rate. The net change in the present value of the asset and liability cash flows in the different market rate environments is the amount of economic value at risk from those rate movements. As of June 30, 2006, the economic value of equity at risk for an instantaneous 100 basis point shift in rates was less than 3% of the market value of Trustmark's equity.

As part of Trustmark's risk management strategy in the mortgage banking area, various derivative instruments such as interest rate lock commitments and forward sales contracts are utilized. Rate lock commitments are residential mortgage loan commitments with customers, which guarantee a specified interest rate for a specified period of time. Forward contracts are agreements to purchase or sell securities or other money market instruments at a future specified date at a specified price or yield. Trustmark's obligations under forward contracts consist of commitments to deliver mortgage loans, originated and/or purchased, in the secondary market at a future date. These derivative instruments are designated as fair value hedges. Trustmark's off balance sheet obligations under these derivative instruments totaled \$273.6 million at June 30, 2006, with a valuation adjustment of \$437 thousand.

During the first quarter of 2006, a strategy was implemented which utilized a portfolio of derivative instruments, such as interest rate future contracts and exchange-traded option contracts, to achieve a fair value return that would substantially offset the changes in fair value of MSR attributable to interest rates. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in noninterest income in mortgage banking, net and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR. During the first six months of 2006, gross MSR values increased \$6.0 million due to market changes, of which \$5.0 million occurred during hedging activities, while hedge cost totaled \$4.4 million.

Trustmark utilizes an interest rate risk strategy that includes caps and floors. The intent of utilizing these financial instruments is to reduce the risk associated with the effects of significant movements in interest rates. Caps and floors, which are not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes, are options linked to a notional principal amount and an underlying indexed interest rate. Exposure to loss on these options will increase or decrease as interest rates fluctuate. At June 30, 2006, Trustmark had interest rate caps with notional amounts totaling \$300.0 million which are past their final reset and have no value at this point. These caps mature in September 2006 and are linked to the three-month LIBOR with a strike rate of 6%.

Another tool used for interest rate risk management is interest rate swaps. Interest rate swaps are derivative contracts under which two parties agree to make interest payments on a notional principal amount. In a generic swap, one party pays a fixed interest rate and receives a floating interest rate, while the other party receives a fixed interest rate and pays a floating interest rate. At June 30, 2006, Trustmark had no outstanding interest rate swaps.

## **RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109." The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The effects of this interpretation are not expected to have a material impact on Trustmark's statement of position or results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of SFAS No. 133 and 140," which is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The statement was issued to clarify the application of SFAS No. 133 to beneficial interests in securitized financial assets and to improve the consistency of accounting for similar financial instruments, regardless of the form of the instruments. The effects of this statement are not expected to have a material impact on Trustmark's statement of

position or results of operations.

- 35 -

---

FASB Staff Position (the FSP) No. FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," was issued in November 2005 and addresses the determination of when an investment is considered impaired, whether the impairment is other than temporary and how to measure an impairment loss. The FSP also addresses accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment on a debt security and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The FSP replaces the impairment guidance in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 03-1 with references to existing authoritative literature concerning other-than-temporary determinations. Under the FSP, losses arising from impairments deemed to be other-than-temporary must be recognized in net income equal to the entire difference between the security's cost and its fair value at the financial statement date, without considering partial recoveries subsequent to that date. The FSP also requires that an investor recognize an other-than-temporary impairment loss when a decision to sell a security has been made, and the investor does not expect the fair value of the security to fully recover prior to the expected time of sale. The FSP is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of this FSP on January 1, 2006 did not have a significant effect on Trustmark's financial condition or results of operation.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections." SFAS No. 154 is a replacement of APB No. 20, "Accounting Changes" and FASB Statement No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements." SFAS No. 154 establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to the newly adopted accounting principle. This statement applies to voluntary changes in accounting principles as well as changes required by an accounting pronouncement that provides no specific transition provisions. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Adoption of this statement on January 1, 2006 did not have a significant effect on Trustmark's financial condition or results of operation.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The information required by this item is included in the discussion of Market/Interest Rate Risk Management found in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### ***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out by Trustmark's Management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of Trustmark's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, Trustmark's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports Trustmark files and submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

#### ***Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

There has been no change in Trustmark's internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Trustmark's internal control over financial reporting.

- 36 -

---

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There were no material developments for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, other than those disclosed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of this Form 10-Q.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of Trustmark's 2005 Form 10-K includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors. The information presented below updates, and should be read in conjunction with, the risk factors and information disclosed in Trustmark's 2005 Form 10-K.

**Acquisition of Republic Bancshares** — On April 13, 2006, Trustmark and Republic Bancshares of Texas, Inc. (Republic), headquartered in Houston, Texas, announced the signing of a definitive agreement in which Republic will merge into Trustmark. The proposed transaction, which is subject to approval by Republic's shareholders and regulatory authorities, is expected to be completed during the third quarter of 2006. In spite of the due diligence activities conducted by Trustmark in the negotiations with Republic, there are significant risks involved that, if realized, may have an adverse effect on Trustmark's financial condition and results of operations. Risks associated with the acquisition of Republic include:

- the risk that Republic will not be integrated successfully or such integration may be more difficult, time-consuming or costly than expected;
- the risk that Trustmark is not able to combine the businesses of Trustmark and Republic in a manner that permits the anticipated costs savings to be realized or;
- the risk that Trustmark and Republic do not perform as anticipated after the merger; and
- the risk that deposit attrition, operating costs, customer loss and business disruption following the acquisition of Republic, including, without limitation, difficulties in maintaining relationships with employees, may be greater than expected.

**Risks Related to Trustmark's Interest Rate Hedging Strategies** — Trustmark has entered into derivative contracts to hedge our MSR in order to offset changes in fair value resulting from rapidly changing interest rate environments. In spite of Trustmark's due diligence in regards to these hedging strategies, significant risk are involved that, if realized, may prove our strategies to be ineffective and our results of operations adversely impacted. Risks associated with this strategy include:

- the risk that our hedging strategies are susceptible to prepayment risk, basis risk, market volatility and changes in the shape of the yield curve;
- the risk that our hedging strategies rely on our assumptions and projections regarding these assets and general market factors and that assumptions may prove to be incorrect;
- the risk that our hedging strategies do not adequately mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates or prepayment speeds;
- the risk that the valuation of MSR based on certain circumstances and assumptions will not be realized due to differences in forecasted inputs within the model and the actual results and
- the risk that the models used to forecast hedge instruments may project expectations that differ from actual results.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

The following table shows information relating to the repurchase of common shares by Trustmark Corporation during the three months ended June 30, 2006:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1, 2006 through April 30, 2006	-	\$ -	-	3,455,473
May 1, 2006 through May 31, 2006	259,314	\$ 30.40	259,314	3,196,159
June 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006	214,438	\$ 29.90	214,438	2,981,721
<b>Total</b>	<b>473,752</b>		<b>473,752</b>	

The repurchase program is subject to Management's discretion and will continue to be implemented through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The annual meeting of Trustmark's shareholders was held on May 9, 2006. At this meeting, the following individuals were elected to serve as Directors of Trustmark until the annual meeting of shareholders in 2007 or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. The vote was cast as follows:

	Votes Cast in Favor		Votes Cast Against/Withheld	
	Number	%	Number	%
J. Kelly Allgood	41,459,333	97.13%	1,225,958	2.87%
Reuben V. Anderson	41,758,409	97.83%	926,882	2.17%
William C. Deviney, Jr.	41,786,475	97.90%	898,817	2.10%
C. Gerald Garnett	41,785,016	97.90%	900,276	2.10%
Richard G. Hickson	41,764,025	97.85%	921,267	2.15%
Matthew L. Holleman III	41,782,394	97.89%	902,898	2.11%
John M. McCullouch	41,321,157	96.81%	1,364,134	3.19%
Richard H. Puckett	41,620,579	97.51%	1,064,713	2.49%
R. Michael Summerford	40,877,749	95.77%	1,807,543	4.23%
Kenneth W. Williams	41,621,945	97.51%	1,063,346	2.49%
William G. Yates, Jr.	42,045,063	98.51%	640,229	1.49%

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed herewith or are incorporated herein by reference.

- 38 -

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

**TRUSTMARK CORPORATION**

BY: /s/ Richard G. Hickson  
Richard G. Hickson  
Chairman of the Board, President  
& Chief Executive Officer

BY: /s/ Zach L. Wasson  
Zach L. Wasson  
Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer

DATE: August 4, 2006

DATE: August 4, 2006

- 39 -

---

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

- 31-a Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31-b Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32-a Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350.
- 32-b Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss. 1350.

All other exhibits are omitted, as they are inapplicable or not required by the related instructions.

- 40 -

---