

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP
Form 424B3
August 09, 2011

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
Registration Statement No. 333-172480

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT
(To Prospectus dated June 16, 2011)

Up to 15,612,715 Shares of Common Stock

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

We have attached to this prospectus supplement, and incorporated by reference into it, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on August 8, 2011.

August 8, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-10777

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Hawaii
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

99-0212597
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

220 South King Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(808) 544-0500
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock, no par value, on August 1, 2011 was 41,738,830 shares.

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Table of Contents

Part I.	Financial Information
Item I.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)
	Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010
	Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
Part II.	Other Information
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings
Item 1A.	Risk Factors
Item 6.	Exhibits
Signatures	
Exhibit Index	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Forward-Looking Statements

This document may contain forward-looking statements concerning projections of revenues, income, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure, or other financial items, concerning plans and objectives of management for future operations, concerning future economic performance, or concerning any of the assumptions underlying or relating to any of the foregoing. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts, and may include the words “believes”, “plans”, “intends”, “expects”, “anticipate”, “forecasts” or words of similar meaning. While we believe that our forward-looking statements and the assumptions underlying them are reasonably based, such statements and assumptions are by their nature subject to risks and uncertainties, and thus could later prove to be inaccurate or incorrect. Accordingly, actual results could materially differ from projections for a variety of reasons, to include, but not limited to: the impact of local, national, and international economies and events (including natural disasters such as wildfires, tsunamis and earthquakes) on the Company’s business and operations and on tourism, the military, and other major industries operating within the Hawaii market and any other markets in which the Company does business; the impact of regulatory actions on the Company including the Bank MOU (as defined below) which replaced the Consent Order (as defined below) by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Hawaii Division of Financial Institutions and the BSA MOU (as defined below); the impact of legislation affecting the banking industry (including the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act); the impact of competitive products, services, pricing, and other competitive forces; movements in interest rates; loan delinquency rates and changes in asset quality; volatility in the financial markets and uncertainties concerning the availability of debt or equity financing; and a general deterioration or malaise in economic conditions, including the continued destabilizing factors in the financial industry and continued deterioration of the real estate market, as well as the impact of levels of consumer and business confidence in the state of the economy and in financial institutions in general and in particular our bank. For further information on factors that could cause actual results to materially differ from projections, please see the Company’s publicly available Securities and Exchange Commission filings, including the Company’s Form 10-K for the last fiscal year and the Company’s Form 10-Q for the last fiscal quarter. The Company does not update any of its forward-looking statements.

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
(Dollars in thousands)		
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 68,986	\$ 61,725
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	384,477	729,014
Investment securities:		
Available for sale, at fair value	1,400,380	702,517
Held to maturity (fair value of \$1,631 at June 30, 2011 and \$2,913 at December 31, 2010)	1,578	2,828
Total investment securities	1,401,958	705,345
Loans held for sale	22,290	69,748
Loans and leases	2,046,747	2,169,444
Less allowance for loan and lease losses	166,934	192,854
Net loans and leases	1,879,813	1,976,590
Premises and equipment, net	54,702	57,390
Accrued interest receivable	11,711	11,279
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries	13,477	14,856
Other real estate	42,863	57,507
Other intangible assets	43,526	44,639
Bank-owned life insurance	142,980	142,296
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	48,797	48,797
Income tax receivable	2,400	2,223
Other assets	13,753	16,642
Total assets	\$ 4,131,733	\$ 3,938,051
Liabilities and Equity		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 687,468	\$ 611,744
Interest-bearing demand	521,047	639,548
Savings and money market	1,115,339	1,089,813
Time	906,466	791,842
Total deposits	3,230,320	3,132,947
Short-term borrowings	1,385	202,480
Long-term debt	409,076	459,803
Other liabilities	57,178	66,766
Total liabilities	3,697,959	3,861,996
Equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding	-	130,458

none at June 30, 2011 and 135,000 shares at
December 31, 2010

Common stock, no par value, authorized 185,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 41,738,830 shares at June 30, 2011 and 1,527,000 shares at December 31, 2010	784,207	404,167
Surplus	64,350	63,308
Accumulated deficit	(420,569)	(517,316)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,206)	(14,565)
Total shareholders' equity	423,782	66,052
Non-controlling interest	9,992	10,003
Total equity	433,774	76,055
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 4,131,733	\$ 3,938,051

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest income:				
Interest and fees on loans and leases	\$ 26,464	\$ 35,788	\$ 55,030	\$ 73,100
Interest and dividends on investment securities:				
Taxable interest	7,241	3,653	12,462	11,754
Tax-exempt interest	179	190	363	705
Dividends	-	2	3	5
Interest on deposits in other banks	300	467	689	797
Total interest income	34,184	40,100	68,547	86,361
Interest expense:				
Interest on deposits:				
Demand	161	250	293	508
Savings and money market	500	1,487	1,232	3,136
Time	1,902	3,808	4,279	7,789
Interest on short-term borrowings	-	306	204	495
Interest on long-term debt	2,642	5,053	5,359	10,168
Total interest expense	5,205	10,904	11,367	22,096
Net interest income	28,979	29,196	57,180	64,265
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	(8,784)	20,412	(10,359)	79,249
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan and lease losses	37,763	8,784	67,539	(14,984)
Other operating income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,449	2,982	5,063	6,189
Other service charges and fees	4,444	3,850	8,502	7,335
Income from fiduciary activities	739	811	1,500	1,622
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	38	102	165	131
Fees on foreign exchange	149	175	286	331
Investment securities gains	261	-	261	831
Loan placement fees	82	92	184	177
Net gain on sales of residential loans	1,005	1,332	3,203	3,277

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Income from bank-owned life insurance	980	1,890	2,170	3,074
Other	790	1,503	2,103	2,534
Total other operating income	10,937	12,737	23,437	25,501
Other operating expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	15,442	14,408	30,475	29,244
Net occupancy	3,410	3,310	6,768	6,607
Equipment	1,154	1,305	2,284	2,782
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,629	1,581	3,176	2,989
Communication expense	922	846	1,803	2,058
Legal and professional services	3,592	5,416	6,052	11,066
Computer software expense	929	873	1,812	1,776
Advertising expense	830	764	1,666	1,603
Goodwill impairment	-	-	-	102,689
Foreclosed asset expense	(791)	403	1,451	5,935
Write down of assets	3,090	166	4,655	940
Other	10,282	8,554	17,984	19,152
Total other operating expense	40,489	37,626	78,126	186,841
Income (loss) before income taxes	8,211	(16,105)	12,850	(176,324)
Income tax expense	-	-	-	-
Net income (loss)	8,211	(16,105)	12,850	(176,324)
Preferred stock dividends, accretion of discount and conversion of preferred stock to common stock	-	2,096	(83,897)	4,170
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 8,211	\$ (18,201)	\$ 96,747	\$ (180,494)
Per common share data:				
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.20	\$ (12.01)	\$ 3.22	\$ (119.18)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	0.20	(12.01)	3.15	(119.18)
Shares used in computation:				
Basic shares	40,700	1,515	30,059	1,514
Diluted shares	41,078	1,515	30,733	1,514

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,850	\$ (176,324)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	(10,359)	79,249
Depreciation and amortization	3,472	3,933
Goodwill impairment	-	102,689
Write down of assets	4,655	940
Write down of other real estate, net of gain on sale	(1,599)	5,935
Amortization of other intangible assets	3,176	2,989
Net amortization of investment securities	3,137	1,001
Share-based compensation	1,042	(232)
Net gain on investment securities	(261)	(831)
Net change in trading securities	-	25,217
Deferred income tax expense	-	2,439
Net gain on sales of residential loans	(3,203)	(3,277)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	307,958	481,093
Originations of loans held for sale	(292,597)	(440,278)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	(165)	(131)
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance	(842)	(2,784)
Decrease (increase) in income tax receivable	(177)	862
Net change in other assets and liabilities	644	889
Net cash provided by operating activities	27,731	83,379
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturities of and calls on investment securities available for sale	182,915	203,337
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale	5,324	439,435
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(877,800)	(173,558)
Proceeds from maturities of and calls on investment securities held to maturity	1,240	954
Net loan principal repayments	102,598	218,976
Proceeds from sales of loans originated for investment	26,721	56,605
Proceeds from sale of other real estate	24,724	14,040
Proceeds from bank-owned life insurance	158	2,069

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Purchases of premises and equipment	(784)	(856)
Distributions from unconsolidated subsidiaries	523	714
Contributions to unconsolidated subsidiaries	-	(227)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(534,381)	761,489
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	97,373	(360,342)
Proceeds from long-term debt	-	50,000
Repayments of long-term debt	(50,441)	(65,572)
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(201,095)	(40,721)
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock and stock option exercises	323,537	-
Other, net	-	73
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	169,374	(416,562)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(337,276)	428,306
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	790,739	488,367
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$	453,463	\$ 916,673
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 11,543	\$ 21,921
Income taxes	8	-
Cash received during the period for:		
Income taxes	-	1,068
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:		
Net change in common stock held by directors' deferred compensation plan	\$ 16	\$ 6
Net reclassification of loans to other real estate	8,481	26,788
Net transfer of loans to loans held for sale	1,256	26,434
Net transfer of investment securities available for sale to trading	-	49,126
Dividends accrued on preferred stock	969	3,504
Accretion of preferred stock discount	204	666
Preferred stock and accrued unpaid dividends converted to common stock	142,988	-
Common stock received in exchange for preferred stock and accrued unpaid dividends	56,201	-

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Central Pacific Financial Corp. and Subsidiaries (herein referred to as the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our”) have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the Company’s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto filed on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation have been made and include all normal recurring adjustments. Interim results of operations are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year.

As discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K and our independent auditor’s report dated February 9, 2011, at the time of the filing of our 2010 Form 10-K, there was substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Since the filing of our 2010 Form 10-K, we have completed a number of significant milestones as part of our recovery plan, including the completion of a \$325 million capital raise in February 2011 (the “Private Placement”) and a \$20 million common stock rights offering. Upon completion of these milestones, which are described more fully in Note 11, there is no longer substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

2. REGULATORY MATTERS

In May 2011, the regulatory Consent Order (the “Consent Order”) that Central Pacific Bank (“the bank” or “our bank”) entered into with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”) and the Hawaii Division of Financial Institutions (the “DFI”) on December 9, 2009 was lifted. In place of the Consent Order, the Board of Directors of the bank entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (the “Bank MOU”) with the FDIC and DFI effective May 5, 2011. The Bank MOU continues a number of the same requirements previously required by the Consent Order, including the maintenance of an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses, improvement of our asset quality, limitations on credit extensions, maintenance of qualified management and the prohibition on cash dividends to Central Pacific Financial Corp. (“CPF”), among other matters. In addition, the Bank MOU requires the bank to further reduce classified assets below the level previously required by the Consent Order. The Bank MOU lowers the minimum leverage capital ratio that the bank is required to maintain from 10% in the Consent Order to 8% and does not mandate a minimum total risk-based capital ratio.

In addition to the Bank MOU, the Company continues to be subject to a Written Agreement (the “Agreement”) with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (the “FRBSF”) and DFI dated July 2, 2010, which superseded in its entirety the Memorandum of Understanding that the Company entered into on April 1, 2009 with the FRBSF and DFI. Among other matters, the Agreement provides that unless we receive the consent of the FRBSF and DFI, we cannot: (i) pay dividends; (ii) receive dividends or payments representing a reduction in capital from Central Pacific Bank; (iii) directly or through any non-bank subsidiaries make any payments on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities; (iv) directly or through any non-bank subsidiaries incur, increase or guarantee any debt; or (v) purchase or redeem any shares of our stock. The Agreement also requires that our Board of Directors fully utilize the Company’s financial and managerial resources to ensure that the bank complies with the Bank MOU and any other supervisory action taken by the bank’s regulators. We were also required to submit to the FRBSF an acceptable capital plan and cash flow projection.

On February 9, 2011, the bank entered into a separate Memorandum of Understanding (the “BSA MOU”) with the FDIC and DFI relating to compliance with the Bank Secrecy Act (the “BSA”). Under the BSA MOU, we are required to (i) fully comply with the BSA and anti-money laundering requirements, (ii) implement a plan to ensure such compliance, including improving and maintaining an adequate system of internal controls, bolstering policies on customer due diligence, providing for comprehensive independent testing to validate compliance and maintaining an adequate compliance staff, (iii) correct all deficiencies identified by our regulators and (iv) provide them with progress reports.

Even though the Consent Order has been replaced by the Bank MOU, the bank remains subject to a number of requirements as described above. We cannot assure you whether or when the Company and the bank will be in full compliance with the agreements with the regulators or whether or when the Bank MOU, the Agreement or the BSA MOU will be terminated. Even if terminated, we may still be subject to other agreements with regulators that restrict our activities and may also continue to impose capital ratios requirements. The requirements and restrictions of the Bank MOU, the Agreement and the BSA MOU are judicially enforceable and the Company or the bank's failure to comply with such requirements and restrictions may subject the Company and the bank to additional regulatory restrictions including: the imposition of a new consent order, the imposition of civil monetary penalties; the termination of insurance of deposits; the issuance of removal and prohibition orders against institution-affiliated parties; the appointment of a conservator or receiver for the bank; the issuance of directives to increase capital or enter into a strategic transaction, whether by merger or otherwise, with a third party, if we again fall below the capital ratio requirement; and the enforcement of such actions through injunctions or restraining orders.

3. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310), Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. This ASU requires a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of loan and leases and the allowance for loan and lease losses. This ASU also requires additional disclosures related to past due information, credit quality indicators and information related to loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring. We adopted this ASU effective January 1, 2011 and the adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

A summary of available for sale and held to maturity investment securities are as follows:

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains (Dollars in thousands)	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
June 30, 2011				
Available for Sale				
U.S. Government sponsored entities debt securities	\$ 382,777	\$ 2,033	\$ (30)	\$ 384,780
States and political subdivisions	12,443	-	-	12,443
U.S. Government sponsored entities mortgage-backed securities	990,018	13,344	(1,216)	1,002,146
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	17	-	-	17
Other	972	22	-	994
Total	\$ 1,386,227	\$ 15,399	\$ (1,246)	\$ 1,400,380
Held to Maturity				
U.S. Government sponsored entities mortgage-backed securities	\$ 1,578	\$ 53	\$ -	\$ 1,631
December 31, 2010				
Available for Sale				
	\$ 202,192	\$ 306	\$ (643)	\$ 201,855

U.S. Government sponsored entities debt securities				
States and political subdivisions	12,619	-	-	12,619
U.S. Government sponsored entities mortgage-backed securities				
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	483,647	6,653	(3,336)	486,964
Other	17	-	-	17
Other	1,057	5	-	1,062
Total	\$ 699,532	\$ 6,964	\$ (3,979)	\$ 702,517
Held to Maturity				
States and political subdivisions	\$ 500	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ 504
U.S. Government sponsored entities mortgage-backed securities				
Total	2,328	81	-	2,409
Total	\$ 2,828	\$ 85	\$ -	\$ 2,913

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at June 30, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	June 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Available for Sale		
Due in one year or less	\$ 15,197	\$ 15,213
Due after one year through five years	373,315	375,302
Due after five years through ten years	1,467	1,467
Due after ten years	5,241	5,241
Mortgage-backed securities	990,018	1,002,146
Other	989	1,011
Total	\$ 1,386,227	\$ 1,400,380
Held to Maturity		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 1,578	\$ 1,631

We sold certain available for sale investment securities during the three months ended June 30, 2011 for gross proceeds of \$5.3 million. We did not sell any available for sale securities during the first quarter of 2011. Gross realized gains and losses on the sales of the available for sale investment securities during the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$0.3 million and nil, respectively. The specific identification method was used as the basis for determining the cost of all securities sold.

As part of our recovery plan, we sold certain available for sale investment securities during the first half of 2010 for gross proceeds of \$439.4 million. We did not sell any available for sale investment securities during the second quarter of 2010. Gross realized gains and losses on the sales of the available for sale investment securities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$9.6 million and \$8.8 million, respectively.

Investment securities of \$861.1 million and \$613.5 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure public funds on deposit, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other long-term and short-term borrowings. None of these securities were pledged to a secured party that has the right to sell or repledge the collateral as of the same periods.

Provided below is a summary of the 11 and 18 investment securities which were in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Description of Securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
(Dollars in thousands)						
At June 30, 2011:						
U.S. Government sponsored entities						
debt securities	\$ 29,812	\$ (30)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,812	\$ (30)

U.S. Government sponsored entities						
mortgage-backed securities	167,111	(1,216)	-	-	167,111	(1,216)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 196,923	\$ (1,246)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 196,923	\$ (1,246)

At December 31, 2010:

U.S. Government sponsored entities						
debt securities	\$ 83,973	\$ (643)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 83,973	\$ (643)

U.S. Government sponsored entities						
mortgage-backed securities	194,756	(3,336)	-	-	194,756	(3,336)

Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	17	-	-	-	17	-
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 278,746	\$ (3,979)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 278,746	\$ (3,979)

Unrealized losses for all investment securities are reviewed to determine whether the losses are deemed “other-than-temporary impairment” (“OTTI”). Investment securities are evaluated for OTTI on at least a quarterly basis and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation to determine whether a decline in their value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary. In conducting this assessment, we evaluate a number of factors including, but not limited to:

- The length of time and the extent to which fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis;
 - Adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area;
 - The historical and implied volatility of the fair value of the security;
- The payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments;
 - Failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments;
 - Any rating changes by a rating agency; and
- Recoveries or additional decline in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

The term “other-than-temporary” is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a general lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. Once a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized for anticipated credit losses.

The declines in market value were primarily attributable to changes in interest rates and disruptions in the credit and financial markets. Because we have no intent to sell securities in an unrealized loss position and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we do not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

5. LOANS AND LEASES

Loans and leases, excluding loans held for sale, consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
(Dollars in thousands)		
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 198,007	\$ 207,900
Real estate:		
Construction	241,753	314,530
Mortgage - residential	761,998	747,870
Mortgage - commercial	714,306	761,710
Consumer	110,946	112,950
Leases	22,535	28,163
	2,049,545	2,173,123
Unearned income	(2,798)	(3,679)
Total loans and leases	\$ 2,046,747	\$ 2,169,444

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we transferred one loan, which was non-performing, with a carrying value of \$1.3 million, to the held-for-sale category. No loans were purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we transferred loans with a carrying value of \$26.4 million, to the held-for-sale category and sold portfolio loans with a carrying value of \$49.3 million. No loans were purchased during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following table presents by class, the balance in the allowance for loan and lease losses and the recorded investment in loans and leases based on the Company's impairment measurement method as of June 30, 2011:

	Commercial, financial & agricultural	Construction	Real estate Mortgage - residential	Mortgage - commercial	Consumer	Leases	Total
(Dollars in thousands)							
Allowance for loan and lease losses:							
Ending balance attributable to loans:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 105	\$ 5,926	\$ 5	\$ 596	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,632
Collectively evaluated for impairment	12,522	46,564	28,421	65,037	2,971	787	156,302
	12,627	52,490	28,426	65,633	2,971	787	162,934
Unallocated							4,000
Total ending balance	\$ 12,627	\$ 52,490	\$ 28,426	\$ 65,633	\$ 2,971	\$ 787	\$ 166,934
Loans and leases:							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 356	\$ 129,269	\$ 59,289	\$ 18,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 207,051
Collectively evaluated for impairment	197,651	112,484	702,709	696,169	110,946	22,535	1,842,494
	198,007	241,753	761,998	714,306	110,946	22,535	2,049,545
Unearned income	96	(286)	(1,249)	(1,359)	-	-	(2,798)
Total ending balance	\$ 198,103	\$ 241,467	\$ 760,749	\$ 712,947	\$ 110,946	\$ 22,535	\$ 2,046,747

The following table presents by class, loans individually evaluated for impairment as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance Allocated
(Dollars in thousands)			
June 30, 2011			
With no related allowance recorded:			
Real estate:			
Construction	\$ 105,063	\$ 81,076	\$ -
Mortgage - residential	67,419	59,208	-
Mortgage - commercial	8,640	8,640	-
Total impaired loans with no related allowance recorded	181,122	148,924	-
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial, financial & agricultural	1,055	356	105
Real estate:			
Construction	92,854	48,193	5,926
Mortgage - residential	81	81	5
Mortgage - commercial	11,223	9,497	596
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	105,213	58,127	6,632
Total	\$ 286,335	\$ 207,051	\$ 6,632
December 31, 2010			
With no related allowance recorded:			
Real estate:			
Construction	\$ 112,675	\$ 85,571	\$ -
Mortgage - residential	66,203	58,333	-
Mortgage - commercial	10,917	10,917	-
Total impaired loans with no related allowance recorded	189,795	154,821	-
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial, financial & agricultural	1,184	485	81
Real estate:			
Construction	104,429	59,384	18,197
Mortgage - residential	3,681	3,256	89
Mortgage - commercial	7,746	7,088	1,158
Total impaired loans with an allowance recorded	117,040	70,213	19,525
Total	\$ 306,835	\$ 225,034	\$ 19,525

The average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$206.6 million and \$214.2 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Interest income recognized on impaired loans was \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

The following table presents by class, the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and accruing loans delinquent for 90 days or more as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Nonaccrual	Accruing loans delinquent for 90 days or more
	(Dollars in thousands)	
June 30, 2011		
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 578	\$ -
Real estate:		
Construction	129,275	-
Mortgage - residential	58,204	-
Mortgage - commercial	18,428	-
Consumer	-	4
Total	\$ 206,485	\$ 4
December 31, 2010		
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 982	\$ -
Real estate:		
Construction	182,073	6,550
Mortgage - residential	47,560	1,800
Mortgage - commercial	14,464	-
Consumer	225	181
Total	\$ 245,304	\$ 8,531

For all loan types, the Company determines delinquency status by considering the number of days full payments required by the contractual terms of the loan are past due. The following table presents by class, the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans and leases as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	30 - 59 Days Past Due	60 - 89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual Loans	Total Past Due	Loans & Leases Not Past Due	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)						
June 30, 2011							
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 197	\$ 274	\$ -	\$ 578	\$ 1,049	\$ 197,054	\$ 198,103
Real estate:							
Construction	-	127	-	129,275	129,402	112,065	241,467
Mortgage - residential	181	1,424	-	58,204	59,809	700,940	760,749
Mortgage - commercial	-	719	-	18,428	19,147	693,800	712,947
Consumer	429	109	4	-	542	110,404	110,946
Leases	2	10	-	-	12	22,523	22,535
Total	\$ 809	\$ 2,663	\$ 4	\$ 206,485	\$ 209,961	\$ 1,836,786	\$ 2,046,747

December 31, 2010							
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 495	\$ 252	\$ -	\$ 982	\$ 1,729	\$ 206,251	\$ 207,980
Real estate:							
Construction	12,551	118	6,550	182,073	201,292	112,493	313,785
Mortgage - residential	4,183	7,494	1,800	47,560	61,037	685,224	746,261
Mortgage - commercial	273	3,169	-	14,464	17,906	742,400	760,306
Consumer	620	444	181	225	1,470	111,479	112,949
Leases	100	-	-	-	100	28,063	28,163
Total	\$ 18,222	\$ 11,477	\$ 8,531	\$ 245,304	\$ 283,534	\$ 1,885,910	\$ 2,169,444

Restructured loans included in nonperforming assets at June 30, 2011 consisted of 116 Hawaii residential mortgage loans with a combined principal balance of \$47.6 million, seven Hawaii construction and development loans with a combined principal balance of \$37.2 million, and one Hawaii commercial loan with a principal balance of \$0.4 million. Concessions made to the original contractual terms of these loans consisted primarily of the deferral of interest and/or principal payments due to deterioration in the borrowers' financial condition. The principal balances on these restructured loans were matured and/or in default at the time of restructure and we have no commitments to lend additional funds to any of these borrowers. There were \$1.8 million of restructured loans still accruing interest at June 30, 2011, none of which were more than 90 days delinquent. At December 31, 2010, there were \$14.2 million of restructured loans still accruing interest, including two residential mortgage loans totaling \$0.8 million that were more than 90 days delinquent.

The Company categorizes loans and leases into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans and leases individually by classifying the loans and leases as to credit risk. This analysis includes loans and leases with an outstanding balance greater than \$0.5 million or \$1.0 million, depending on loan type, and non-homogeneous loans and leases, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans and leases classified as special mention, while still adequately protected by the borrower's capital adequacy and payment capability, exhibit distinct weakening trends and/or elevated levels of exposure to external conditions. If left unchecked or uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deteriorated prospects of repayment. These exposures require management's close attention so as to avoid becoming undue or unwarranted credit exposures.

Substandard. Loans and leases classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the borrower's current financial condition and payment capability or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans and leases so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the orderly repayment of debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans and leases classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or orderly repayment in full, on the basis of current existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonably specific factors that may work to the advantage and strengthening of the exposure, its classification as an estimate loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined.

Loss. Loans and leases classified as loss are considered to be non-collectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This does not mean the loan has absolutely no recovery value, but rather it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off the loan, even though partial recovery may be obtained in the future. Losses are taken in the period in which they surface as uncollectible.

Loans and leases not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the process described above are considered to be pass rated loans and leases. Loans and leases listed as not rated are either less than \$0.5 million or are included in groups of homogeneous loan pools. The following table presents by class and credit indicator, the recorded investment in the Company's loans and leases as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Not Rated	Less: Unearned Income	Total
(Dollars in thousands)								
June 30, 2011								
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 113,027	\$ 8,987	\$ 16,876	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,117	\$ (96)	\$ 198,103
Real estate:								
Construction	55,501	14,085	166,080	-	-	6,087	286	241,467
Mortgage - residential	67,987	11,705	64,016	-	-	618,290	1,249	760,749
Mortgage - commercial	536,163	84,146	58,634	-	-	35,363	1,359	712,947
Consumer	4,310	214	69	-	-	106,353	-	110,946
Leases	20,142	575	1,818	-	-	-	-	22,535
Total	\$ 797,130	\$ 119,712	\$ 307,493	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 825,210	\$ 2,798	\$ 2,046,747
December 31, 2010								
Commercial, financial & agricultural	\$ 109,619	\$ 22,529	\$ 19,370	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 56,382	\$ (80)	\$ 207,980
Real estate:								
Construction	44,488	41,330	215,187	5,789	-	7,736	745	313,785
Mortgage - residential	70,747	17,475	55,533	-	-	604,115	1,609	746,261
Mortgage - commercial	557,511	67,639	97,871	2,883	-	35,806	1,404	760,306
Consumer	5,778	307	769	-	14	106,082	1	112,949
Leases	21,761	4,039	2,363	-	-	-	-	28,163
Total	\$ 809,904	\$ 153,319	\$ 391,093	\$ 8,672	\$ 14	\$ 810,121	\$ 3,679	\$ 2,169,444

In accordance with applicable Interagency Guidance issued by our primary bank regulators, we define subprime borrowers as typically having weakened credit histories that include payment delinquencies and possibly more severe problems such as charge-offs, judgments, and bankruptcies. They may also display reduced repayment capacity as measured by credit scores, debt-to-income ratios, or other criteria that may encompass borrowers with incomplete credit histories. Subprime loans are loans to borrowers displaying one or more of these characteristics at the time of origination or purchase. Such loans have a higher risk of default than loans to prime borrowers. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we did not have any loans that we considered to be subprime.

6. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The following table presents the changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses (the "Allowance") for the periods indicated:

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 178,010	\$ 211,646	\$ 192,854	\$ 205,279
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	(8,784)	20,412	(10,359)	79,249
	169,226	232,058	182,495	284,528
Charge-offs	(6,194)	(30,742)	(24,325)	(90,710)
Recoveries	3,902	643	8,764	8,141
Net charge-offs	(2,292)	(30,099)	(15,561)	(82,569)
Balance, end of period	\$ 166,934	\$ 201,959	\$ 166,934	\$ 201,959

Our provision for loan and lease losses (the "Provision") was a credit of \$8.8 million and \$10.4 million in the second quarter and first half of 2011, respectively, compared to a charge of \$20.4 million and \$79.2 million in the second quarter and first half of 2010, respectively. The decrease in both our Provision and Allowance is directly attributable to continued improvement in our credit risk profile as evidenced by declines in both nonperforming assets and net charge-offs.

The following table presents by class, the activity in the Allowance for the periods indicated:

	Commercial, financial & agricultural	Construction	Real estate Mortgage residential	Mortgage commercial	Consumer	Leases	Unallocated	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Three Months Ended								
June 30, 2011								
Beginning balance	\$ 11,134	\$ 59,078	\$ 30,823	\$ 68,991	\$ 2,451	\$ 1,533	\$ 4,000	\$ 178,010
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	1,094	(6,137)	(1,365)	(2,482)	852	(746)	-	(8,784)
	12,228	52,941	29,458	66,509	3,303	787	4,000	169,226
Charge-offs	(455)	(3,000)	(1,263)	(879)	(597)	-	-	(6,194)
Recoveries	854	2,549	231	3	265	-	-	3,902
Net charge-offs	399	(451)	(1,032)	(876)	(332)	-	-	(2,292)
Ending balance	\$ 12,627	\$ 52,490	\$ 28,426	\$ 65,633	\$ 2,971	\$ 787	\$ 4,000	\$ 166,934
Six Months Ended								
June 30, 2011								
Beginning balance	\$ 13,426	\$ 76,556	\$ 31,830	\$ 64,308	\$ 3,155	\$ 1,579	\$ 2,000	\$ 192,854
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	(224)	(13,123)	(1,036)	2,388	428	(792)	2,000	(10,359)
	13,202	63,433	30,794	66,696	3,583	787	4,000	182,495
Charge-offs	(1,861)	(16,858)	(3,299)	(1,105)	(1,202)	-	-	(24,325)
Recoveries	1,286	5,915	931	42	590	-	-	8,764
Net charge-offs	(575)	(10,943)	(2,368)	(1,063)	(612)	-	-	(15,561)
Ending balance	\$ 12,627	\$ 52,490	\$ 28,426	\$ 65,633	\$ 2,971	\$ 787	\$ 4,000	\$ 166,934

In determining the amount of our Allowance, we rely on an analysis of our loan portfolio, our experience and our evaluation of general economic conditions, as well as regulatory requirements and input. If our assumptions prove to be incorrect, our current Allowance may not be sufficient to cover future loan losses and we may experience increases to our Provision.

7. SECURITIZATIONS

In prior years, we securitized certain residential mortgage loans with a U.S. Government sponsored entity and continue to service the residential mortgage loans. The servicing assets were recorded at their respective fair values at the time of securitization. The fair value of the servicing assets was determined using a discounted cash flow model based on market value assumptions at the time of securitization and is amortized in proportion to and over the period of net servicing income.

All unsold mortgage-backed securities were categorized as available for sale securities and were therefore recorded at their fair value of \$9.8 million and \$10.0 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The fair values of these mortgage-backed securities were based on quoted prices of similar instruments in active markets. Unrealized gains of \$0.3 million and \$34 thousand on unsold mortgage-backed securities were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss ("AOCL") at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

8. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

During the first quarter of 2010, we determined that an impairment test on our remaining goodwill was required because of the uncertainty regarding our ability to continue as a going concern at that time combined with the fact that our market capitalization remained depressed. As a result of that impairment test, we determined that the remaining goodwill associated with our Hawaii Market reporting unit was impaired and we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$102.7 million. Since that time, we had no goodwill remaining on our consolidated balance sheet.

Prior to the first quarter of 2010, we reviewed the carrying amount of goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and performed additional assessments on a quarterly basis whenever indicators of impairment were evident. Goodwill attributable to each of our reporting units was tested for impairment by comparing their respective fair values to their carrying values. When determining fair value, we utilized a discounted cash flow methodology for our Commercial Real Estate reporting unit and versions of the guideline company, guideline transaction and discounted cash flow methodologies for our Hawaii Market reporting unit. Absent any impairment indicators, we performed our annual goodwill impairment tests during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year.

Similar to our process for evaluating our goodwill for impairment, we also perform an impairment assessment of our other intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate that the carrying value of those assets may not be recoverable.

Our impairment assessment of goodwill and other intangible assets involve, among other valuation methods, the estimation of future cash flows and other methods of determining fair value. Estimating future cash flows and determining fair values is subject to judgments and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including assumptions about the future growth and potential volatility in revenues and costs, capital expenditures, industry economic factors and future business strategy. The variability of the factors we use to perform the goodwill impairment test depends on a number of conditions, including uncertainty about future events and cash flows. All such factors are interdependent and, therefore, do not change in isolation. Accordingly, our accounting estimates may materially change from period to period due to changing market factors. If we had used other assumptions and estimates or if different conditions occur in future periods, including, but not limited to, changes in other reporting units or operating segments, future operating results could be materially impacted.

Other intangible assets include a core deposit premium, mortgage servicing rights, customer relationships and non-compete agreements. The following table presents changes in other intangible assets for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Core Deposit Premium	Mortgage Servicing Rights	Customer Relationships	Non-Compete Agreements	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 20,727	\$ 22,712	\$ 1,050	\$ 150	\$ 44,639
Additions	-	2,063	-	-	2,063
Amortization	(1,337)	(1,739)	(70)	(30)	(3,176)
Balance, end of period	\$ 19,390	\$ 23,036	\$ 980	\$ 120	\$ 43,526

Income generated as the result of new mortgage servicing rights is reported as gains on sales of loans and totaled \$0.7 million and \$2.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$1.4 million and \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Amortization of mortgage servicing rights was \$0.9 million and \$1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$0.9 million and \$1.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

The following table presents the fair market value and key assumptions used in determining the fair market value of our mortgage servicing rights:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)	

Fair market value, beginning of period	\$ 23,709	\$ 23,019
Fair market value, end of period	23,190	22,144
Weighted average discount rate	8.5 %	8.5 %
Weighted average prepayment speed assumption	14.5	14.1

The gross carrying value and accumulated amortization related to our intangible assets are presented below:

	June 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Core deposit premium	\$ 44,642	\$ (25,252)	\$ 19,390	\$ 44,642	\$ (23,915)	\$ 20,727
Mortgage servicing rights	43,730	(20,694)	23,036	41,667	(18,955)	22,712
Customer relationships	1,400	(420)	980	1,400	(350)	1,050
Non-compete agreements	300	(180)	120	300	(150)	150
	\$ 90,072	\$ (46,546)	\$ 43,526	\$ 88,009	\$ (43,370)	\$ 44,639

Based on the core deposit premium, mortgage servicing rights, customer relationships and non-compete agreements held as of June 30, 2011, estimated amortization expense for the remainder of fiscal 2011, the next five succeeding fiscal years and all years thereafter are as follows:

	Estimated Amortization Expense				
	Core Deposit Premium	Mortgage Servicing Rights	Customer Relationships	Non-Compete Agreements	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)				
2011 (remainder)	\$ 1,337	\$ 1,081	\$ 70	\$ 30	\$ 2,518
2012	2,674	2,777	140	60	5,651
2013	2,674	2,283	140	30	5,127
2014	2,674	1,913	140	-	4,727
2015	2,674	1,594	140	-	4,408
2016	2,674	1,323	140	-	4,137
Thereafter	4,683	12,065	210	-	16,958
	\$ 19,390	\$ 23,036	\$ 980	\$ 120	\$ 43,526

9. DERIVATIVES

We utilize various designated and undesignated derivative financial instruments to reduce our exposure to movements in interest rates including interest rate swaps, interest rate lock commitments and forward sale commitments. We measure all derivatives at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. In each reporting period, we record the derivative instruments in other assets or other liabilities depending on whether the derivatives are in an asset or liability position. For derivative instruments that are designated as hedging instruments, we record the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the derivative in AOCL, net of tax, until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows of the hedged transaction. We immediately recognize the portion of the gain or loss in the fair value of the derivative that represents hedge ineffectiveness in current period earnings. For derivative instruments that are not designated as hedging instruments, changes in the fair value of the derivative are included in current period

earnings.

Interest Rate Swap

In January 2008, we entered into a derivative transaction to hedge future cash flows from a portion of our then existing variable rate loan portfolio. Under the terms of the arrangement, we would receive payments equal to a fixed interest rate of 6.25% from January 2008 through January 2013 from the counterparty on a notional amount of \$400 million. In return, we would pay the counterparty a floating rate, namely our prime rate, on the same notional amount. The purpose of the derivative transaction was to minimize the risk of fluctuations in interest payments received on our variable rate loan portfolio. The derivative transaction was designated as a cash flow hedge.

On September 1, 2009, we terminated the derivative transaction with the counterparty at its then fair market value of \$18.0 million. As a result of the termination, we recorded an unrealized gain related to hedge effectiveness of \$12.5 million as a component of AOCL and \$5.5 million of hedge ineffectiveness as other operating income. The unrealized gain is being recognized into income over the original contract period through January 2013 using the effective yield method and we expect to reclassify \$2.2 million of this gain into earnings within the next 12 months.

18

Interest Rate Lock and Forward Sale Commitments

We enter into interest rate lock commitments on certain mortgage loans that are intended to be sold. To manage interest rate risk on interest rate lock commitments, we also enter into forward loan sale commitments. The interest rate lock and forward loan sale commitments are accounted for as undesignated derivatives and are recorded at their respective fair values in other assets or other liabilities, with changes in fair value recorded in current period earnings. These instruments serve to reduce our exposure to movements in interest rates. At June 30, 2011, we were a party to interest rate lock and forward sale commitments on \$33.4 million and \$17.8 million of mortgage loans, respectively.

The following table presents the location of all assets and liabilities associated with our derivative instruments within the consolidated balance sheet:

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
		Fair Value at June 30, 2011	Fair Value at December 31, 2010	Fair Value at June 30, 2011	Fair Value at December 31, 2010
(Dollars in thousands)					
Interest rate contracts	Other assets / other liabilities	\$ 160	\$ 1,035	\$ 285	\$ 523

The following table presents the impact of derivative instruments and their location within the consolidated statements of operations:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain Reclassified from AOCL into Earnings (Effective Portion) (Dollars in thousands)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	
Interest rate contracts	\$ 801
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	
Interest rate contracts	1,721
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
Interest rate contracts	1,917

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
Interest rate contracts	3,611

Amounts recognized in AOCL are net of income taxes. Amounts reclassified from AOCL into income are included in interest income in the consolidated statements of operations. The ineffective portion has been recognized as other operating income in the consolidated statements of operations.

Derivatives not in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivatives	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivatives (Dollars in thousands)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
Interest rate contracts	Other operating income	\$ (106)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010		
Interest rate contracts	Other operating income	873
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
Interest rate contracts	Other operating income	173
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010		
Interest rate contracts	Other operating income	1,092

10. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND LONG-TERM DEBT

At June 30, 2011, our bank maintained a \$30.9 million line of credit with the Federal Reserve discount window, of which there were no advances outstanding. As of June 30, 2011, certain commercial real estate loans totaling \$123.8 million have been pledged as collateral on our line of credit with the Federal Reserve discount window. The Federal Reserve does not have the right to sell or repledge these loans. Future advances under this arrangement are subject to approval of the Federal Reserve. Furthermore, all terms and maturities of advances under this arrangement are at the discretion of the Federal Reserve and are generally limited to overnight borrowings. Since September 2009, our bank was no longer eligible to access the Federal Reserve's primary credit facility but maintained access to its secondary facility. There was no change in the level of credit available to the bank; however, future advances will have higher borrowing costs under the secondary facility.

The bank is a member of and maintained a \$646.7 million line of credit with the FHLB as of June 30, 2011. Long-term borrowings under this arrangement totaled \$300.8 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$200.0 million and \$351.3 million of short-term and long-term borrowings, respectively, at December 31, 2010. There were no short-term borrowings under this arrangement at June 30, 2011. FHLB advances outstanding at June 30, 2011 were secured by investment securities with a fair value of \$320.6 million and certain real estate loans totaling \$576.3 million in accordance with the collateral provisions of the Advances, Security and Deposit Agreement with the FHLB. Approximately \$345.9 million was undrawn under this arrangement at June 30, 2011. The FHLB has no obligation to make future advances to the bank.

On August 20, 2009, we began deferring regularly scheduled interest payments on our outstanding junior subordinated debentures relating to our trust preferred securities. The terms of the junior subordinated debentures and the trust documents allow us to defer payments of interest for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods without default or penalty. During the deferral period, which currently stands at eight consecutive quarters, the respective trusts have suspended the declaration and payment of dividends on the trust preferred securities. Also during the deferral period, we may not, among other things and with limited exceptions, pay cash dividends on or repurchase our common stock or make any payment on outstanding debt obligations that rank equally with or junior to the junior subordinated debentures. During the deferral period, we will continue to accrue, and reflect in our consolidated financial statements, the deferred interest payments on our junior subordinated debentures. Accrued interest on our outstanding junior subordinated debentures relating to our trust preferred securities was \$6.7 million and \$5.1 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. With the recent completion of our recapitalization, we may seek regulatory approval to pay all deferred payments under our trust preferred securities.

11. EQUITY

As previously announced, we completed a number of significant transactions as part of our recapitalization during the first half of 2011, including:

- on February 2, 2011, we effected a one-for-twenty reverse stock split of our common stock (the "Reverse Stock Split"). Except as otherwise specified, the share and per share amounts for historical periods have been restated to give the effect to the Reverse Stock Split;
- on February 18, 2011, we completed the Private Placement with investments from (1) affiliates of each of The Carlyle Group ("Carlyle") and Anchorage Capital Group, L.L.C. (together with Carlyle, the "Lead Investors") pursuant to investment agreements with each of the Lead Investors and (2) various other investors, including certain of our directors and officers, pursuant to subscription agreements with each of such investors;
 - concurrently with the closing of the Private Placement, we completed the TARP Exchange of 135,000 shares of our Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, no par value per share and liquidation preference

\$1,000 per share, held by the United States Department of the Treasury (the “Treasury”), and accrued and unpaid dividends thereon for 5,620,117 common shares. We also amended the warrant held by the Treasury (the “Amended TARP Warrant”) to, among other things, reduce the exercise price from \$255.40 per share to \$10 per share. The warrant grants the Treasury the right to purchase 79,288 common shares, subject to adjustment; and

- on May 6, 2011, we completed a \$20 million common stock rights offering which allowed shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 17, 2011 or their transferees to purchase newly issued common shares at \$10.00 per share.

The TARP Exchange resulted in a non-cash increase in net income available to common shareholders of \$85.1 million as the book value of the preferred stock plus accrued and unpaid dividends was greater than the estimated fair value of the common stock issued to the Treasury of \$56.2 million and the fair value of the Amended TARP Warrant at the time of the TARP Exchange. This accounting treatment had no effect on our total shareholders’ equity or our regulatory capital position.

In addition to adjusting the exercise price of the Amended TARP Warrant, its terms were revised to include a “down-round” provision allowing for the future adjustment to the exercise price for any subsequent issuances of common stock by the Company. Subject to certain exceptions, if the Company subsequently issues common stock, or rights or shares convertible into common stock, at a per share price lower than the \$10 exercise price of the warrant, the exercise price of the warrant will be reduced to the per share common stock amount received in connection with the issuance and the number of shares of common stock subject to the warrant will be increased. This provision resulted in the warrant being carried as a derivative liability as compared to a common stock equivalent for balance sheet purposes as it possesses the characteristics of a freestanding derivative financial instrument as defined by Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 815-10-15-83, Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging, and similar to the example illustrated in ASC 815-40-55-33 and -34. As a derivative liability, the warrant is carried at fair value, with subsequent remeasurements recorded through the current period's earnings. The initial value attributed to the warrant was \$1.7 million, with the fair value estimated using the Black-Scholes options pricing model, with the following assumptions: 67% volatility, a risk-free rate of 3.59%, a yield of 1.45% and an estimated life of 10 years. From February 18, 2011 through March 31, 2011, this instrument's estimated fair value decreased, which resulted in the recognition of \$0.5 million recorded in other noninterest income during the first quarter of 2011. From March 31, 2011 through June 30, 2011, this instrument's estimated fair value decreased further, which resulted in the recognition of an additional \$0.5 million recorded in other noninterest income during the second quarter of 2011.

On June 22, 2011, the Treasury completed a public underwritten offering of 2,850,000 shares of our common stock it received in the TARP Exchange. The Company did not receive any proceeds from this offering. The Treasury continues to hold 2,770,117 shares of our common stock and a warrant to purchase 79,288 shares of our common stock.

In 2009, our Board of Directors suspended the payment of all cash dividends on our common stock. Our ability to pay dividends with respect to common stock is subject to obtaining approval from the FRBSF, DFI and Treasury, and is restricted until our obligations under our trust preferred securities are brought current. Additionally, our ability to pay dividends depends on our ability to obtain dividends from our bank. In addition to obtaining approval from the FDIC and DFI, Hawaii law only permits Central Pacific Bank to pay dividends out of retained earnings. Given that the bank had an accumulated deficit of \$468.0 million at June 30, 2011, the bank is prohibited from paying any dividends until this deficit is eliminated. Accordingly, we do not anticipate that the bank will be permitted to pay dividends for the foreseeable future.

12. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Option Activity

The following is a summary of stock option activity for the Company's stock option plans for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	41,934	\$ 432.17
Changes during the period:		
Forfeited	(113)	79.00
	41,821	433.12

Outstanding at June 30,
2011

Restricted Stock Awards and Units

The table below presents the activity of restricted stock awards and units for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2011	300	\$ 718.00
Changes during the period:		
Granted	1,022,341	14.70
Vested	(21,128)	14.27
Forfeited	(4,050)	14.71
Nonvested at June 30, 2011	997,463	14.92

Performance Shares and Stock Appreciation Rights

No performance shares or SARs were granted under the 2005 LTIP and 2008 LTIP during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The table below presents activity of performance shares under both the 2005 LTIP and 2008 LTIP for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	2,442	\$ 377.60
Changes during the period:		
Vested	(531)	377.60
Forfeited	(1,911)	377.60
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	-	

The table below presents activity of SARs under both the 2005 LTIP and 2008 LTIP for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	4,608	\$ 377.60
Changes during the period:		
Forfeited	(4,608)	377.60
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	-	

13. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes, were as follows:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Unrealized gain on available for sale investment securities	\$ 14,153	\$ 2,985
Unrealized loss on derivatives	(9,242)	(7,324)

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Pension adjustments	(9,117)	(10,226)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$ (4,206)	\$ (14,565)

Components of comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, for the periods indicated were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 8,211	\$ (16,105)	\$ 12,850	\$ (176,324)
Unrealized gain on investment securities	10,177	3,975	11,168	1,978
Unrealized loss on derivatives	(802)	(1,721)	(1,918)	(3,611)
Pension adjustments	554	516	1,109	960
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 18,140	\$ (13,335)	\$ 23,209	\$ (176,997)

14. PENSION PLANS

Central Pacific Bank has a defined benefit retirement plan (the "Pension Plan") which covers certain eligible employees. The plan was curtailed effective December 31, 2002, and accordingly, plan benefits were fixed as of that date. The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost for the Pension Plan:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Interest cost	\$ 417	\$ 437	\$ 834	\$ 874
Expected return on assets	(457)	(428)	(914)	(856)
Amortization of unrecognized loss	550	514	1,100	1,028
Net periodic cost	\$ 510	\$ 523	\$ 1,020	\$ 1,046

The fair values of the defined benefit retirement plan as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by asset category were as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)			
June 30, 2011				
Money market accounts	\$ 627	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 627
Mutual funds	7,493	-	-	7,493
Government obligations	-	4,211	-	4,211
Common stocks	5,724	-	-	5,724
Preferred stocks	589	-	-	589
Corporate bonds and debentures	-	4,154	-	4,154
Limited partnerships	-	1,170	-	1,170
	\$ 14,433	\$ 9,535	\$ -	\$ 23,968
December 31, 2010				
Money market accounts	\$ 724	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 724
Mutual funds	7,425	-	-	7,425
Government obligations	-	3,535	-	3,535
Common stocks	5,317	-	-	5,317
Preferred stocks	554	-	-	554
Corporate bonds and debentures	-	3,482	-	3,482
Limited partnerships	-	2,183	-	2,183
	\$ 14,020	\$ 9,200	\$ -	\$ 23,220

Our bank also established Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans ("SERPs"), which provide certain officers of our bank with supplemental retirement benefits. The following table sets forth the components of net periodic benefit cost for the SERPs:

	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
	June 30,	June 30,

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Service cost	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ 18
Interest cost	103	108	206	216
Amortization of unrecognized transition obligation	4	4	8	8
Amortization of prior service cost	5	(7)	10	(14)
Amortization of unrecognized (gain) loss	(4)	5	(8)	10
Net periodic cost	\$ 108	\$ 117	\$ 216	\$ 238

15. INCOME TAXES

The valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$172.4 million and \$178.8 million, respectively. The \$6.4 million decrease in our valuation allowance during the first half of 2011 was attributable to a decrease in our net deferred tax assets resulting from the net operating income recognized in the first half of 2011. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the reversal of deferred tax liabilities (including the impact of available carryback and carryforward periods), projected future taxable income and tax-planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the Company's cumulative three year loss position and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will be unable to realize the benefits of these deductible differences. The amount of the net deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could change if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period change.

16. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table presents the information used to compute basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	June 30,			
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 8,211	\$ (16,105)	\$ 12,850	\$ (176,324)
Preferred stock dividends, accretion of discount and conversion of preferred stock to common stock	-	2,096	(83,897)	4,170
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 8,211	\$ (18,201)	\$ 96,747	\$ (180,494)
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	40,700	1,515	30,059	1,514
Dilutive effect of employee stock options and awards	349	-	648	-
Dilutive effect of deferred salary restricted stock units	3	-	2	-
Dilutive effect of Treasury warrants	26	-	24	-
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	41,078	1,515	30,733	1,514
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.20	\$ (12.01)	\$ 3.22	\$ (119.18)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.20	\$ (12.01)	\$ 3.15	\$ (119.18)

A total of 41,821 potentially dilutive securities have been excluded from the dilutive share calculation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, as their effect was antidilutive, compared to 142,382 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

17. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for our financial instruments.

Short-Term Financial Instruments

The carrying values of short-term financial instruments are deemed to approximate fair values. Such instruments are considered readily convertible to cash and include cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in other banks, accrued interest receivable, the majority of short-term borrowings and accrued interest payable.

Investment Securities

The fair value of investment securities is based on market price quotations received from securities dealers. Where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities.

Loans

Fair values of loans are estimated based on discounted cash flows of portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics including the type of loan, interest terms and repayment history. Fair values are calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through estimated maturities using estimated market discount rates. Estimated market discount rates are reflective of credit and interest rate risks inherent in the Company's various loan types and are derived from available market information, as well as specific borrower information. The fair value of loans are not based on the notion of exit price.

Other Interest Earning Assets

The equity investment in common stock of the FHLB, which is redeemable for cash at par value, is reported at its par value.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair values of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing demand deposits and interest-bearing demand and savings accounts, are equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of time deposits is based on the higher of the discounted value of contractual cash flows or its carrying value. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

The fair value for a portion of our short-term borrowings is estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows using rates currently offered for securities of similar remaining maturities. The fair value of our long-term debt, primarily FHLB advances, is estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows over the contractual borrowing period at the estimated market rate for similar borrowing arrangements.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The fair values of off-balance sheet financial instruments are estimated based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties, current settlement values or quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

For derivative financial instruments, the fair values are based upon current settlement values, if available. If there are no relevant comparables, fair values are based on pricing models using current assumptions for interest rate swaps and options.

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time our entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of our financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial

instruments. For example, significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial assets or liabilities include deferred tax assets, premises and equipment and intangible assets. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in many of the estimates.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying/ notional amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying/ notional amount	Estimated fair value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Financial assets				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 68,986	\$ 68,986	\$ 61,725	\$ 61,725
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	384,477	384,477	729,014	729,014
Investment securities	1,401,958	1,402,011	705,345	705,430
Net loans and leases, including loans held for sale	1,902,103	1,825,194	2,046,338	1,985,261
Accrued interest receivable	11,711	11,711	11,279	11,279
Financial liabilities				
Deposits:				
Noninterest-bearing deposits	687,468	687,468	611,744	611,744
Interest-bearing demand and savings deposits	1,636,386	1,636,386	1,729,361	1,729,361
Time deposits	906,466	906,708	791,842	793,333
Total deposits	3,230,320	3,230,562	3,132,947	3,134,438
Short-term borrowings	1,385	1,385	202,480	202,351
Long-term debt	409,076	332,619	459,803	407,175
Accrued interest payable (included in other liabilities)	9,352	9,352	9,528	9,528
Off-balance sheet financial instruments				
Commitments to extend credit	441,800	2,209	415,005	2,075
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written	12,863	96	11,056	83
Interest rate options	33,428	(114)	63,994	(170)
Forward interest rate contracts	17,820	(12)	40,658	682
Forward foreign exchange contracts	-	-	1,889	1,891

Fair Value Measurements

We group our financial assets and liabilities at fair value into three levels based on the markets in which the financial assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value as follows:

- Level 1 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets. A quoted price in an active market provides the most reliable evidence of fair value and shall be used to measure fair value whenever available.
- Level 2 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.
- Level 3 – Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of discounted cash flow models and similar

techniques that requires the use of significant judgment or estimation.

We base our fair values on the price that we would expect to receive if an asset were sold or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We also maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements.

We use fair value measurements to record adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Available for sale securities and derivatives are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. From time to time, we may be required to record other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis such as loans held for sale, impaired loans and mortgage servicing rights. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of the lower of cost or fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

The following table presents the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	Fair Value	Fair Value at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (Dollars in thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2011				
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Government sponsored entities debt securities	\$ 384,780	\$ -	\$ 384,780	\$ -
States and political subdivisions	12,443	-	-	12,443
U.S. Government sponsored entities mortgage-backed securities	1,002,146	-	1,002,146	-
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	17	-	-	17
Other	994	994	-	-
Derivatives:				
Interest rate contracts	(126)	-	(126)	-
Amended TARP Warrant	(753)	-	(753)	-
Total	\$ 1,399,501	\$ 994	\$ 1,386,047	\$ 12,460
December 31, 2010				
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Government sponsored entities debt securities	\$ 201,855	\$ -	\$ 201,855	\$ -
States and political subdivisions	12,619	-	-	12,619
U.S. Government sponsored entities mortgage-backed securities	486,964	-	486,964	-
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	17	-	-	17
Other	1,062	1,062	-	-
Derivatives:				
Interest rate contracts	512	-	512	-
Total	\$ 703,029	\$ 1,062	\$ 689,331	\$ 12,636

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

	Available for sale securities	Available for sale non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations (1)
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 12,619	\$ 17
Principal payments received	(176)	-

Edgar Filing: CENTRAL PACIFIC FINANCIAL CORP - Form 424B3

Balance at June 30, 2011	\$	12,443	\$	17
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	13,778	\$	46,469
Principal payments received		(195)		(1,052)
Realized net losses included in net loss		-		(7,275)
Unrealized net gains included in other comprehensive loss		-		6,222
Sales		-		(44,347)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$	13,583	\$	17

(1) Represents available for sale non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations previously classified as

Level 2 for which the market became inactive during 2008; therefore the fair value measurement was

derived from discounted cash flow models using unobservable inputs and assumptions.

For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis that were recorded at fair value on our balance sheet at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the following table provides the level of valuation assumptions used to determine the respective fair values:

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(Dollars in thousands)				
June 30, 2011				
Impaired loans (1)	\$ 200,419	\$ -	\$ 200,419	\$ -
Other real estate (2)	42,863	-	42,863	-
December 31, 2010				
Loans held for sale (1)	\$ 35,300	\$ -	\$ 35,300	\$ -
Impaired loans (1)	205,509	-	205,509	-
Other real estate (2)	57,507	-	57,507	-

(1) Represents carrying value and related write-downs of loans for which adjustments are based on agreed

upon purchase prices for the loans or the appraised value of the collateral.

(2) Represents other real estate that is carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell.

Fair value is generally based upon independent market prices or appraised values of the collateral.

18. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have three reportable segments: Commercial Real Estate, Hawaii Market and Treasury. The segments reported are consistent with internal functional reporting lines. They are managed separately because each unit has different target markets, technological requirements, marketing strategies and specialized skills.

The Commercial Real Estate segment includes construction and real estate development lending in Hawaii, California and Washington. The Hawaii Market segment includes retail branch offices, commercial lending, residential mortgage lending and servicing, indirect auto lending, trust services and retail brokerage services. A full range of deposit and loan products and various other banking services are offered. The Treasury segment is responsible for managing the Company's investment securities portfolio and wholesale funding activities. The All Others category includes activities such as electronic banking, data processing and management of bank owned properties.

The accounting policies of the segments are consistent with the Company's accounting policies that are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC. The majority of the Company's net income is derived from net interest income. Accordingly, management focuses primarily on net interest income, rather than gross interest income and expense amounts, in evaluating segment profitability.

Intersegment net interest income (expense) was allocated to each segment based upon a funds transfer pricing process that assigns costs of funds to assets and earnings credits to liabilities based on market interest rates that reflect interest rate sensitivity and maturity characteristics. All administrative and overhead expenses are allocated to the segments at cost. Cash, investment securities, loans and leases and their related balances are allocated to the segment responsible for acquisition and maintenance of those assets. Segment assets also include all premises and equipment used directly in segment operations.

Segment profits (losses) and assets are provided in the following table for the periods indicated.

	Commercial Real Estate	Hawaii Market	Treasury	All Others	Total
(Dollars in thousands)					
Three months ended June 30, 2011:					
Net interest income	\$ 6,578	\$ 16,727	\$ 5,674	\$ -	\$ 28,979
Intersegment net interest income (expense)	(4,339)	15,423	(5,074)	(6,010)	-
Credit (provision) for loan and lease losses	20,411	(11,627)	-	-	8,784
Other operating income	224	8,668	1,704	341	10,937
Other operating expense	(4,073)	(22,387)	(138)	(13,891)	(40,489)
Administrative and overhead expense allocation	(984)	(12,066)	(127)	13,177	-
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,817	\$ (5,262)	\$ 2,039	\$ (6,383)	\$ 8,211
Three months ended June 30, 2010:					
Net interest income	\$ 12,279	\$ 16,491	\$ 426	\$ -	\$ 29,196
Intersegment net interest income (expense)	(8,271)	8,158	1,276	(1,163)	-
Provision for loan and lease losses	(1,800)	(18,612)	-	-	(20,412)
Other operating income	254	9,838	2,662	(17)	12,737
Other operating expense	(4,371)	(20,565)	(352)	(12,338)	(37,626)
Administrative and overhead expense allocation	(1,195)	(10,178)	(114)	11,487	-
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,104)	\$ (14,868)	\$ 3,898	\$ (2,031)	\$ (16,105)
Six months ended June 30, 2011:					
Net interest income	\$ 14,319	\$ 33,410	\$ 9,451	\$ -	\$ 57,180
Intersegment net interest income (expense)	(9,140)				