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Part I. Financial Information
Item 1. Financial Statements
COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION (Unaudited)
(In Thousands, Except Share Data)


| Shareholders' equity: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock $\$ 1.00$ par value, 500,000 shares authorized, 0 shares issued | - |  |
| Common stock, $\$ 1.00$ par value, $75,000,000$ shares authorized; 40,881,188 and |  |  |
| 40,421,493 shares issued, respectively | 40,881 | 40,421 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 387,133 | 378,413 |
| Retained earnings | 466,848 | 447,018 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | $(27,716)$ | 54,334 |
| Treasury stock, at cost ( 782,173 and 795,560 shares, respectively) | $(17,115)$ | $(17,408)$ |
| Total shareholders' equity | 850,031 | 902,778 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$7,020,716 | ,496,800 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)
(In Thousands, Except Per-Share Data)

|  | Three Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, $2013 \quad 2012$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Six Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2013 \quad 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income: |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$46,412\$47,077 | \$93,530\$94,715 |
| Interest and dividends on taxable investments | 12,566 17,450 | 27,782 31,725 |
| Interest and dividends on nontaxable investments | 5,162 6,018 | 10,753 11,616 |
| Total interest income | 64,140 70,545 | 132,065 138,056 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |
| Interest on deposits | 2,703 4,380 | 5,845 9,889 |
| Interest on borrowings | 2,375 7,713 | 8,105 15,113 |
| Interest on subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts | $630 \quad 681$ | 1,258 1,374 |
| Total interest expense | 5,708 12,774 | 15,208 26,376 |
| Net interest income | 58,432 57,771 | 116,857 111,680 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,321 2,155 | 2,714 3,799 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 57,111 55,616 | 114,143 107,881 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |
| Deposit service fees | 12,345 11,035 | 23,940 21,404 |
| Other banking services | 1,020 896 | 2,058 1,890 |
| Benefit trust, administration, consulting and actuarial fees | 9,397 8,664 | 19,167 17,637 |
| Wealth management services | 4,045 3,101 | 7,743 6,233 |
| Gain on sales of investment securities | 16,008 0 | 63,799 0 |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | $(15,717) \quad 0$ | $(63,500) \quad 0$ |
| Total noninterest income | 27,098 23,696 | 53,207 47,164 |
| Noninterest expenses: |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 30,286 26,844 | 60,769 54,269 |


| Occupancy and equipment | 6,750 | 6,130 | 13,815 | 12,593 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data processing and communications | 6,600 | 5,817 | 13,336 | 11,417 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,140 | 1,045 | 2,319 | 2,131 |
| Legal and professional fees | 1,526 | 1,755 | 3,466 | 3,946 |
| Office supplies and postage | 1,518 | 1,382 | 3,013 | 2,850 |
| Business development and marketing | 1,804 | 1,876 | 3,283 | 3,048 |
| FDIC insurance premiums | 945 | 903 | 2,000 | 1,809 |
| Acquisition expenses | 0 | 164 | 0 | 424 |
| Other | 3,807 | 3,454 | 6,927 | 6,286 |
| Total noninterest expenses | 54,376 | 49,370 | 108,928 | 98,773 |
| Income before income taxes | 29,833 | 29,942 | 58,422 | 56,272 |
| Income taxes | 8,711 | 8,871 | 17,059 | 16,375 |
| Net income | \$21,122 \$ | 21,071 | \$41,363\$ | \$39,897 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.53 | \$0.53 | \$1.03 | \$1.02 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.52 | \$0.53 | \$1.02 | \$1.01 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) (Unaudited) (In Thousands)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pension and other post retirement obligations: |  |  |  |
| Amortization of actuarial losses included in net periodic pension cost, gross | \$1,012 | \$925\$2,021 | \$1,849 |
| Tax effect | (394) | (359) (784) | (717) |
| Amortization of actuarial losses included in net periodic pension cost, net | 618 | 566 1,237 | 1,132 |
| Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic pension cost, gross | (30) | (243) (61) | (485) |
| Tax effect | 12 | $94 \quad 24$ | 188 |
| Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic pension cost, net | (18) | (149) (37) | (297) |
| Other comprehensive income related to pension and other post retirement obligations, net of taxes | 600 | 417 1,200 | 835 |
| Unrealized gains on securities: |  |  |  |
| Net unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during period, gross | $(50,777)$ | 51,39(69,649) | 44,388 |
| Tax effect | 19,081 | $(19,644) 26,179$ (17 | $(17,221)$ |
| Net unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during period, net | $(31,696)$ | $31,75343,470)$ | 27,167 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income, gross | $(16,007)$ | $(63,799)$ | 0 |
| Tax effect | 6,175 | 024,019 | 0 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains, net included in net income, net | $(9,832)$ | $(39,780)$ | 0 |
| Other comprehensive loss related to unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes | $(41,528)$ | 31,75(83,250) | 27,167 |
| Other comprehensive (loss)/income, net of tax | $(40,928)$ | 32,17(82,050) | 28,002 |
| Net income | 21,122 | 21,07141,363 | 39,897 |
| Comprehensive (loss)/income | $(\$ 19,806)$ | \$53,2(\$40,687) \$ | \$67,899 |
|  | As |  |  |
|  | June 30, | December 31, |  |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |  |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income By Component:

| Unrealized loss for pension and other postretirement o | $(\$ 43,272)$ | 32) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax effect | 16,687 | 17,447 |
| Net unrealized loss for pension and other postretirement obligations | $(26,585)$ | $(27,785)$ |
| Unrealized (loss)/gain on available-for-sale securities | $(1,992)$ | 131,456 |
| Tax effect | 861 | $(49,337)$ |
| Net unrealized (loss)/gain on available-for-sale securities | $(1,131)$ | 82,119 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (\$27,716) | \$54,334 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)
Six months ended June 30, 2013
(In Thousands, Except Share Data)

Accumulated
Common Stock Additional
Other
Shares Amount Paid-In Retained Comprehensive Treasury Outstanding Issued Capital Earnings Income (Loss) Stock Total

Balance at
December 31, $201239,625,933 \$ 40,421 \quad \$ 378,413 \$ 447,018 \quad \$ 54,334(\$ 17,408) \$ 902,778$
Net income 41,363 41,363

Other
comprehensive
loss, net of tax
$(82,050)$
$(82,050)$
Cash dividends declared:
Common,
$\$ 0.54$ per share
$(21,533)$
$(21,533)$
Common stock
issued under employee
stock plan,
including tax
benefits of


Stock-based
compensation 2,107 2,107
Balance at June
30, $2013 \quad 40,099,015 \$ 40,881 \quad \$ 387,133 \$ 466,848 \quad(\$ 27,716)(\$ 17,115) \$ 850,031$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (In Thousands)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$41,363 | \$39,897 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation | 5,947 | 5,551 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 2,319 | 2,131 |
| Net accretion of premiums and discounts on securities, loans and borrowings | $(2,359)$ | $(2,584)$ |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,107 | 2,036 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,714 | 3,799 |
| Amortization of mortgage servicing rights | 276 | 365 |
| Income from bank-owned life insurance policies | (523) | (536) |
| Gain on sales of investment securities | $(63,799)$ | 0 |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | 63,500 | 0 |
| Net loss/(gain) from sale of loans and other |  |  |
| assets | 187 | (82) |
| Net change in loans held for sale | $(1,136)$ | 576 |
| Change in other assets and liabilities | 5,134 | 789 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 55,730 | 51,942 |
| Investing activities: |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale investment securities | 672,750 | 0 |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale investment securities | 113,335 | 103,709 |
| Proceeds from maturities of held-to-maturity investment securities | 24,015 | 12,602 |
| Proceeds from sale of other investment securities | 18,240 | 1 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale investment securities | $(439,448)($ | $(724,530)$ |
| Purchases of held-to-maturity investment securities | $(4,153)(1$ | $(106,226)$ |
| Purchases of other securities |  | $(19,123)$ |
| Net increase in loans | $(72,551)$ | $(94,829)$ |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | $(7,355)$ | $(4,132)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 304,831 (832 | $(832,528)$ |
| Financing activities: |  |  |
| Net increase in deposits | 42,091 | 115,107 |
| Net change in borrowings, net of payments of \$565,142 and \$110 | $(469,242)$ | 429,591 |
| Issuance of common stock | 7,366 | 61,017 |
| Cash dividends paid | $(21,427)$ | $(19,825)$ |



The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)
June 30, 2013

## NOTE A: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim financial data as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is unaudited; however, in the opinion of Community Bank System, Inc. (the "Company"), the interim data includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year or any other interim period.

## NOTE B: ACQUISITIONS

On September 7, 2012, Community Bank, N.A. (the "Bank") completed its acquisition of three branches in Western New York from First Niagara Bank, N.A. ("First Niagara"), acquiring approximately $\$ 54$ million of loans and $\$ 101$ million of deposits. The assumed deposits consisted primarily of core deposits (checking, savings and money market accounts) and the purchased loans consisted of in-market performing loans, primarily residential real estate loans. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, the Bank paid a blended deposit premium of $3.1 \%$, or approximately $\$ 3$ million. The effects of the acquired assets and liabilities have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date.

On July 20, 2012, the Bank completed its acquisition of 16 retail branches in Central, Northern and Western New York from HSBC Bank USA, N.A. ("HSBC"), acquiring approximately $\$ 106$ million in loans and $\$ 697$ million of deposits. The assumed deposits consisted primarily of core deposits (checking, savings and money markets accounts) and the purchased loans consisted of in-market performing loans, primarily residential real estate loans. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, the Bank paid First Niagara (who acquired HSBC's Upstate New York banking business and assigned its right to purchase the 16 branches to the Bank) a blended deposit premium of $3.4 \%$, or approximately $\$ 24$ million. The effects of the acquired assets and liabilities have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date.

The assets and liabilities assumed in the acquisitions were recorded at their estimated fair values based on management's best estimates using information available at the dates of the acquisition, and are subject to adjustment based on updated information not available at the time of acquisition. The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed during 2012.

```
(000s omitted)
Consideration
received:
    Cash/Total
net
consideration
received ($595,462)
Recognized
amounts of
identifiable
assets
acquired and
```

| liabilities assumed: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash |  |
| Loans | 160,116 |
| Premises and equipment | 4,941 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 588 |
| Other assets and liabilities, net | 171 |
| Core deposit intangibles | 6,521 |
| Deposits | $(797,962)$ |
| Total identifiable |  |
| liabilities, net | $(620,115)$ |
| Goodwill | \$24,653 |

The following is a summary of the loans acquired from HSBC and First Niagara at the date of acquisition:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Acquired } \\ \text { Impaired } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Acquired } \\ \text { Non-Impaired } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Acquired }\end{array} \\ \text { Loans }\end{array}\right\}$

The fair value of checking, savings and money market deposit accounts acquired were assumed to approximate the carrying value as these accounts have no stated maturity and are payable on demand. Certificate of deposit accounts were valued as the present value of the certificates' expected contractual payments discounted at market rates for similar certificates.

The core deposit intangible related to the HSBC acquisition is being amortized using an accelerated method over the estimated useful life of eight years. The goodwill associated with the First Niagara and HSBC acquisitions, which is not amortized for book purposes, was assigned to the Banking segment. The goodwill arising from the HSBC branch and First Niagara branch acquisitions is deductible for tax purposes.

Direct costs related to the acquisitions were expensed as incurred. Merger and acquisition integration-related expenses amount to $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and have been separately stated in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Supplemental pro forma financial information related to the HSBC and First Niagara acquisitions has not been provided as it would be impracticable to do so. Historical financial information regarding the acquired branches is not accessible and thus the amounts would require estimates so significant as to render the disclosure irrelevant.

## NOTE C: ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Company, as applied in the consolidated interim financial statements presented herein, are substantially the same as those followed on an annual basis as presented on pages 55 through 60 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on March 1, 2013.

Critical Accounting Policies
Acquired loans
Acquired loans are initially recorded at their acquisition date fair values. The carryover of allowance for loan losses is prohibited as any credit losses in the loans are included in the determination of the fair value of the loans at the acquisition date. Fair values for acquired loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves assumptions and judgments as to credit risk, prepayment risk, liquidity risk, default rates, loss severity, payment speeds, collateral values and discount rate.

For acquired loans that are not deemed impaired at acquisition, credit discounts representing principal losses expected over the life of the loan are a component of the initial fair value. Subsequent to the purchase date, the methods used to estimate the required allowance for loan losses for these loans is similar to originated loans. However, the Company records a provision for loan losses only when the required allowance exceeds any remaining credit discount. The remaining differences between the purchase price and the unpaid principal balance at the date of acquisition are recorded in interest income over the life of the loan.

Acquired loans that have evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments are accounted for as impaired loans under ASC 310-30. The excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable discount and is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the non-accretable discount. The non-accretable discount represents estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows require the Company to evaluate the need for an allowance for loan losses on these loans. Subsequent improvements in expected cash flows result in the reversal of a corresponding amount of the non-accretable discount which the Company then reclassifies as an accretable discount that is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans using the interest method.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for non-accrual of interest prior to acquisition may be considered performing upon acquisition, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if the Company can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and if the Company expects to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. As such, the Company may no longer consider the loan to be non-accrual or non-performing and may accrue interest on these loans, including the impact of any accretable discount. For acquired loans that are not deemed impaired at acquisition, purchase discounts representing the principal losses expected over the life of the loan are a component of the initial fair value and amortized over the life of the asset. Subsequent to the purchase date, the methods utilized to estimate the required allowance for loan losses for these loans is similar to originated loans, however, the Company records a provision for loan losses only when the required allowance exceeds any remaining purchase discounts for loans evaluated collectively for impairment.

Allowance for Loan Losses
Management continually evaluates the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, and performs a formal review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis. The allowance reflects management's best estimate of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance is subjective in nature and requires significant estimates. The Company's allowance methodology consists of two broad components - general and specific loan loss allocations.

The general loan loss allocation is composed of two calculations that are computed on five main loan segments: business lending, consumer installment - direct, consumer installment - indirect, home equity and consumer mortgage. The first calculation is quantitative and determines an allowance level based on the latest 36 months of historical net charge-off data for each loan class (commercial loans exclude balances with specific loan loss allocations). The second calculation is qualitative and takes into consideration eight qualitative environmental factors: levels and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards, and other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations. A component of the qualitative calculation is the unallocated allowance for loan loss. The qualitative and quantitative calculations are added together to determine the general loan loss allocation. The specific loan loss allocation relates to individual commercial loans that are both greater than $\$ 0.5$ million and in a nonaccruing status with respect to interest. Specific loan losses are based on discounted estimated cash flows, including any cash flows resulting from the conversion of collateral or collateral shortfalls. The allowance levels computed from the specific and general loan loss allocation methods are combined with unallocated allowances and allowances needed for acquired loans, if any, to derive the total required allowance for loan losses to be reflected on the Consolidated Statement of Condition.

Loan losses are charged off against the allowance, while recoveries of amounts previously charged off are credited to the allowance. A provision for loan losses is charged to operations based on management's periodic evaluation of factors previously mentioned.

## Investment Securities

The Company has classified its investments in debt and equity securities as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale. Held-to-maturity securities are those for which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold until maturity, and are reported at cost, which is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Securities not classified as held-to-maturity are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses reflected as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of applicable income taxes. None of the Company's investment securities have been classified as trading securities at June 30, 2013. Certain equity securities are stated at cost and include restricted stock of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York ("Federal Reserve") and Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB").

Fair values for investment securities are based upon quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based upon quoted market prices of comparable instruments, or a discounted cash flow model using market estimates of interest rates and volatility.

The Company conducts an assessment of all securities in an unrealized loss position to determine if other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") exists on a quarterly basis. An unrealized loss exists when the current fair value of an individual security is less than its amortized cost basis. The OTTI assessment considers the security structure, recent security collateral performance metrics, if applicable, external credit ratings, failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments, judgment about and expectations of future performance, and relevant independent industry research, analysis and forecasts. The severity of the impairment and the length of time the security has been impaired is also considered in the assessment. The assessment of whether an OTTI decline exists is performed on each security, regardless of the classification of the security as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity and involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment that is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

An OTTI loss must be recognized for a debt security in an unrealized loss position if there is intent to sell the security or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. In this situation, the amount of loss recognized in income is equal to the difference between the fair value and the amortized cost basis of the security. Even if management does not have the intent, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities, an evaluation of the expected cash flows to be received is performed to determine if a credit loss has occurred. For debt securities, a critical component of the evaluation for OTTI is the identification of credit-impaired securities, where the Company does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. In the event of a credit loss, only the amount of impairment associated with the credit loss would be recognized in income. The portion of the unrealized loss relating to other factors, such as liquidity conditions in the market or changes in market interest rates, is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Equity securities are also evaluated to determine whether the unrealized loss is expected to be recoverable based on whether evidence exists to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the amortized cost basis. If it is probable that the amortized cost basis will not be recovered, taking into consideration the estimated recovery period and the ability to hold the equity security until recovery, OTTI is recognized in earnings equal to the difference between the fair value and the amortized cost basis of the security.

The specific identification method is used in determining the realized gains and losses on sales of investment securities and OTTI charges. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized and accreted, respectively, on the interest method basis over the period to maturity or estimated life of the related security. Purchases and sales of securities are recognized on a trade date basis.

## Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. Provisions for income taxes are based on taxes currently payable or refundable as well as deferred taxes that are based on temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reported in the financial statements at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized or settled.

Benefits from tax positions should be recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. A tax position meeting the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be measured at the largest amount of benefit for which the likelihood of realization upon ultimate settlement exceeds 50 percent.

## Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include core deposit intangibles, customer relationship intangibles and goodwill arising from acquisitions. Core deposit intangibles and customer relationship intangibles are amortized on either an accelerated or straight-line basis over periods ranging from 7 to 20 years. The initial and ongoing carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets is based upon discounted cash flow modeling techniques that require management to make estimates regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows. It also requires use of a discount rate that reflects the current return requirements of the market in relation to present risk-free interest rates, required equity market premiums, peer volatility indicators, and company-specific risk indicators.

The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. The implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill is compared to its carrying amount and the impairment loss is measured by the excess of the carrying value over fair value. The fair value of each reporting unit is compared to the carrying amount of that reporting unit in order to determine if impairment is indicated.

## Retirement Benefits

The Company provides defined benefit pension benefits to eligible employees and post-retirement health and life insurance benefits to certain eligible retirees. The Company also provides deferred compensation and supplemental executive retirement plans for selected current and former employees, officers, and directors. Expense under these plans is charged to current operations and consists of several components of net periodic benefit cost based on various actuarial assumptions regarding future experience under the plans, including discount rate, rate of future compensation increases and expected return on plan assets.

## NOTE D: INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| (000's omitted) | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Gross | Fair <br> Value |  | Gross | Gross | Fair <br> Value |
|  | Amortized Cost | UnrealizedUnrealized |  |  | Amortized Cost | UnrealizedUnrealized <br> Gains Losses |  |  |
|  |  | Gains | Losses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portfolio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agency securities | \$539,979 | \$39,627 | \$1,786 | \$577,820 | \$548,634 | \$59,081 | \$0 | \$607,715 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 61,826 | 3,196 | 0 | 65,022 | 65,742 | 5,850 | 0 | 71,592 |
| Government agency mortgage-backed | 14,475 | 148 | 0 | 14.623 | 20.578 | 1,079 | 0 | 21,657 |
| Corporate debt securities | 2,914 | 23 | 0 | 2,937 | 2,924 | 53 | 0 | 2,977 |

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC. - Form 10-Q

| Other securities | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total <br> held-to-maturity <br> portfolio | $\$ 619,207$ | $\$ 42,994$ | $\$ 1,786$ | $\$ 660,415$ | $\$ 637,894$ | $\$ 66,063$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 703,957$ |

Available-for-Sale
Portfolio:
U.S. Treasury and
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { agency securities } & \$ 764,446 & \$ 2,553 & \$ 9,129 & \$ 757,870 & \$ 988,217 & \$ 91,040\end{array} \$ \mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 7 9 , 2 5 7}$
Obligations of state and political $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { subdivisions } & 604,692 & 16,634 & 10,483 & 610,843 & 629,883 & 33,070 & 61 & 662,892\end{array}$
Government agency
mortgage-backed

| securities | 232,707 | 8,697 | 2,717 | 238,687 | 253,013 | 16,989 | 51 | 269,951 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Pooled trust preferred

| securities | 56,796 | 0 | 9,506 | 47,290 | 61,979 | 0 | 12,379 | 49,600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Government agency
collateralized
mortgage

| obligations | 25,222 | 1,116 | 0 | 26,338 | 32,359 | 1,579 | 3 | 33,935 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Corporate debt <br> securities | 24,082 | 942 | 226 | 24,798 | 24,136 | 1,265 | 44 | 25,357 |
| Marketable equity <br> securities | 351 | 142 | 15 | 478 | 351 | 94 | 43 | 402 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| available-for-sale <br> portfolio | $\$ 1,708,296$ | $\$ 30,084$ | $\$ 32,076 \$ 1,706,304$ | $\$ 1,989,938$ | $\$ 144,037$ | $\$ 12,581 \$ 2,121,394$ |  |  |

Other Securities:
Federal Home
Loan Bank

| common stock | $\$ 20,171$ | $\$ 20,171$ | $\$ 38,111$ | $\$ 38,111$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Reserve <br> Bank common <br> stock | 16,050 | 16,050 | 16,050 | 16,050 |
| Other equity <br> securities | 4,780 | 4,780 | 5,078 | 5,078 |
| Total other <br> securities | $\$ 41,001$ | $\$ 41,001$ | $\$ 59,239$ | $\$ 59,239$ |

A summary of investment securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than, or greater, than twelve months is as follows:

As of June 30, 2013

| (000's omitted) |  | than 12 <br> Fair <br> Value | Months <br> Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | 12 Mo <br> Fair Value | onths or nger <br> Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | \# | Tota <br> Fair <br> Value | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Held-to-Maturity Portfolio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agency securities/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total held-to-maturity portfolio | 5 | \$99,373 | \$1,786 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 5 | \$99,373 | \$1,786 |
| Available-for-Sale Portfolio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agency securities |  | \$352,566 | \$9,129 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 15 | 352,566 | \$9,129 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 314 | 202,253 | 10,449 | 2 | 908 | 34 |  | 203,161 | 10,483 |
| Government agency mortgage-backed securities | 46 | 57,788 | 2,717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 57,788 | 2,717 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 47,290 | 9,506 | 3 | 47,290 | 9,506 |
| Corporate debt securities | 1 | 3,012 | 54 | 1 | 2,747 | 172 | 2 | 5,759 | 226 |
| Government agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 4 | 335 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 343 | 0 |
| Marketable equity securities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 186 | 15 | 1 | 186 | 15 |
| Total available-for-sale portfolio | 380 | 615,954 | 22,349 | 8 | 51,139 | 9,727 | 388 | 667,093 | 32,076 |
| Total investment portfolio | 385 | \$715,327 | \$24,135 |  | \$51,139 | \$9,727 |  | 766,466 | \$33,862 |

As of December 31, 2012
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| (000's omitted) | \# | Value | Losses | \# | Value | Losses | \# | Value | Losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-Sale Portfolio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions |  | \$11,503 | \$61 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  | \$11,503 | \$61 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 49,600 | 12,379 | 3 | 49,600 | 12,379 |
| Government agency mortgage-backed securities | 8 | 14,354 | 51 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14,354 | 51 |
| Corporate debt securities | 1 | 2,905 | 44 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,905 | 44 |
| Government agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 4 | 426 | 2 | 2 | 1,041 | 1 | 6 | 1,467 | 3 |
| Marketable equity securities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 158 | 43 | 1 | 158 | 43 |
| Total available-for-sale/investment portfolio |  | \$29,188 | \$158 |  | \$50,799 | \$12,423 | 38\$ | \$79,987 | \$12,581 |

Included in the available-for-sale portfolio are pooled trust preferred, class A-1 securities with a current total par value of $\$ 58.0$ million and unrealized losses of $\$ 9.5$ million at June 30, 2013. The underlying collateral of these assets is principally trust preferred securities of smaller regional banks and insurance companies. The Company's securities are in the super-senior cash flow tranche of the investment pools. All other tranches in these pools will incur losses before the super senior tranche is impacted. As of June 30, 2013, an additional $41 \%-44 \%$ of the underlying collateral in these securities would have to be in deferral or default concurrently to result in an expectation of non-receipt of contractual cash flows.

A detailed review of the pooled trust preferred securities was completed as of June 30, 2013 and management concluded that it does not believe any individual unrealized loss represents an other-than-temporary impairment. This review included an analysis of collateral reports, a cash flow analysis, including varying degrees of projected deferral/default scenarios, and a review of various financial ratios of the underlying issuers. Based on the analysis performed, significant further deferral/defaults and further erosion in other underlying performance conditions would have to exist before the Company would incur a loss. To date, the Company has received all scheduled principal and interest payments and expects to fully collect all future contractual principal and interest payments. The Company does not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the underlying securities. Subsequent changes in market or credit conditions could change those evaluations.

Management believes the unrealized losses in the portfolios are primarily attributable to changes in interest rates. The unrealized losses reported pertaining to government guaranteed mortgage-backed securities relate primarily to securities issued by GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC, which are currently rated AAA by Moody's Investor Services, AA+ by Standard \& Poor's and are guaranteed by the U.S. government. The obligations of state and political subdivisions are typically general purpose debt obligations of various states and political subdivisions. The majority of the obligations of state and political subdivisions carry a credit rating of A or better, as well as a secondary level of credit enhancement. The Company does not intend to sell these securities, nor is it more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities, prior to recovery of the amortized cost. Thus, management does not believe any individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2013 represents OTTI.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities not due at a single maturity date are shown separately.

| (000's omitted) | Held-to-Maturity |  | Available-for-Sale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$8,786 | \$8,860 | \$35,212 | \$35,723 |
| Due after one through five years | 360,724 | 390,838 | 146,830 | 151,709 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 186,760 | 194,038 | 769,425 | 772,445 |
| Due after ten years | 48,462 | 52,056 | 498,549 | 480,924 |
| Subtotal | 604,732 | 645,792 | 1,450,016 | 1,440,801 |
| Government agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 0 | 0 | 25,222 |  |
| Government agency mortgage-backed |  |  |  |  |
| securities | 14,475 | 14,623 | 232,707 | 238,687 |
| Total | \$619,207 | \$660,415 | 1,707,945 | 1,705,826 |

During the first quarter of 2013 the Company initiated a balance sheet restructuring program through the sale of certain longer duration investment securities and retirement of a portion of the company's existing FHLB borrowings. During the six month period ending June 30, 2013 the Company sold $\$ 648.8$ million of U.S. Treasury and agency securities, realizing $\$ 63.8$ million of gains. The proceeds from those sales were utilized to retire $\$ 501.6$ million of FHLB borrowings with $\$ 63.5$ million of associated early extinguishments costs.

## NOTE E: LOANS

The segments of the Company's loan portfolio are disaggregated into the following classes that allow management to monitor risk and performance:

- Consumer mortgages - consist primarily of fixed rate residential instruments, typically 15 - 30 years in contractual term, secured by first liens on real property.
- Business lending - is comprised of general purpose commercial and industrial loans including, but not limited to agricultural-related and dealer floor plans, as well as mortgages on commercial property.
- Consumer indirect - consists primarily of installment loans originated through selected dealerships and are secured by automobiles, marine and other recreational vehicles.
- Consumer direct - all other loans to consumers such as personal installment loans and lines of credit.
- Home equity products - are consumer purpose installment loans or lines of credit most often secured by a first or second lien position on residential real estate with terms typically of 15 years or less.

The balance of these classes are summarized as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \text { December } \\
\text { June 30, } & 31,
\end{array}
$$



The outstanding balance related to credit impaired acquired loans was $\$ 20.2$ million and $\$ 22.4$ million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The changes in the accretable discount related to the credit impaired acquired loans are as follows:

| (000's omitted) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$1,770 |
| Accretion recognized, year-to-date | (538) |
| Net reclassification to accretable from nonaccretable | 29 |
| Balance at June |  |
| 30, 2013 | \$1,261 |

## Credit Quality

Management monitors the credit quality of its loan portfolio on an ongoing basis. Measurement of delinquency and past due status are based on the contractual terms of each loan. Past due loans are reviewed on a monthly basis to identify loans for non-accrual status. The following is an aged analysis of the Company's past due loans, by class as of June 30, 2013:

Legacy Loans (excludes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)


Acquired Loans (includes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)

(1) Acquired impaired loans were not classified as nonperforming assets as the loans are considered to be performing under ASC 310-30. As a result interest income, through the accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cashflows, is being recognized on all acquired impaired
loans.

The following is an aged analysis of the Company's past due loans by class as of December 31, 2012:
Legacy Loans (excludes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)


Acquired Loans (includes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)

(1) Acquired impaired loans were not classified as nonperforming assets as the loans are considered to be performing under ASC 310-30. As a result interest income, through the accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cashflows, is being recognized on all acquired impaired loans.

The Company uses several credit quality indicators to assess credit risk in an ongoing manner. The Company's primary credit quality indicator for its business lending portfolio is an internal credit risk rating system that categorizes loans as "pass", "special mention", or "classified". Credit risk ratings are applied individually to those classes of loans that have significant or unique credit characteristics that benefit from a case-by-case evaluation. In general, the following are the definitions of the Company's credit quality indicators:

Pass The condition of the borrower and the performance of the loans are satisfactory or better.

Special Mention

Classified
The condition of the borrower has significantly deteriorated and the performance of the loan could further deteriorate, if deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful
The condition of the borrower has deteriorated although the loan performs as agreed.

The condition of the borrower has deteriorated to the point that collection of the balance is improbable based on currently facts and conditions.

The following table shows the amount of business lending loans by credit quality category:
June 30, 2013 December 31, 2012

| (000's |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| omitted) | Legacy | Acquired | Total | Legacy | Acquired | Total |
| Pass | $\$ 825,147 \$ 127,461$ | $\$ 952,608$ | $\$ 818,469 \$ 144,869$ | $\$ 963,338$ |  |  |
| Special |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mention | 108,281 | 31,246 | 139,527 | 92,739 | 32,328 | 125,067 |
| Classified | 81,625 | 38,516 | 120,141 | 90,035 | 40,132 | 130,167 |
| Doubtful | 1,287 | 0 | 1,287 | 1,611 | 0 | 1,611 |
| Acquired |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| impaired | 0 | 12,108 | 12,108 | 0 | 13,761 | 13,761 |
| Total | $\$ 1,016,340 \$ 209,331 \$ 1,225,671$ | $\$ 1,002,854 \$ 231,090 \$ 1,233,944$ |  |  |  |  |

All other loans are underwritten and structured using standardized criteria and characteristics, primarily payment performance, and are normally risk rated and monitored collectively on a monthly basis. These are typically loans to individuals in the consumer categories and are delineated as either performing or nonperforming. Performing loans include current, $30-89$ days past due and acquired impaired loans. Nonperforming loans include $90+$ days past due and still accruing and nonaccrual loans.

The following table details the balances in all other loan categories at June 30, 2013:
Legacy loans (excludes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)

| Consumer ConsumerConsumer |  |  |  |  | Home |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (000's omitted) | Mortgage | Indirect | Direct | Equity | Total |
| Performing | $\$ 1,424,515$ | $\$ 657,486$ | $\$ 160,559 \$ 267,383$ | $\$ 2,509,943$ |  |
| Nonperforming | 10,655 | 181 | 83 | 2,216 | 13,135 |
| Total | $\$ 1,435,170$ | $\$ 657,667$ | $\$ 160,642$ | $\$ 269,599$ | $\$ 2,523,078$ |

Acquired loans (includes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)

| Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC. - Form 10-Q |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ConsumerConsumerConsumer Home |  |  |  |  |
| (000's omitted) |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage |  |  |  |  |
| Performing |  |  |  |  | | Indirect | Direct | Equity | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nonperforming | 2,231 | $\$ 6,257$ | $\$ 11,085 \$ 77,282 \$ 184,564$ |
| Total | $\$ 92,171$ | $\$ 6,257$ | $\$ 11,085 \$ 77,736 \$ 187,249$ |

The following table details the balances in all other loan categories at December 31, 2012:
Legacy loans (excludes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)
Consumer ConsumerConsumer Home
(000's omitted) Mortgage Indirect Direct Equity Total Performing $\quad \$ 1,334,868$ \$637,284 \$156,187\$274,922\$2,403,261

| Nonperforming | 10,419 | 73 | 79 | 1,535 | 12,106 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total $\quad \$ 1,345,287 \$ 637,357 \$ 156,266 \$ 276,457 \$ 2,415,367$
Acquired loans (includes loans acquired after January 1, 2009)

| ConsumerConsumerConsumer Home |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (000's omitted) | Mortgage | Indirect | Direct | Equity | Total |
| Performing | $\$ 100,443$ | $\$ 10,161$ | $\$ 15,208 \$ 87,389 \$ 213,201$ |  |  |
| Nonperforming | 2,685 | 0 | 0 | 379 | 3,064 |
| Total | $\$ 103,128$ | $\$ 10,161$ | $\$ 15,208 \$ 87,768 \$ 216,265$ |  |  |

All loan classes are collectively evaluated for impairment except business lending, as described in Note B. A summary of individually evaluated impaired loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 follows:

June 30,December
31,
(000's $2013 \quad 2012$
omitted)
Loans with $\$ 1,990 \quad \$ 1,611$
allowance
allocation
Loans 1,005 7,798
without
allowance
allocation

| Carrying <br> balance | 2,995 | 9,409 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Contractual | 4,374 | 12,804 |

balance
Specifically $980 \quad 800$
allocated
allowance

In the course of working with borrowers, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans. In this scenario, the Company attempts to work-out an alternative payment schedule with the borrower in order to optimize collectability of the loan. Any loans that are modified are reviewed by the Company to identify if a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") has occurred, which is when, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, the Company grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Terms may be modified to fit the ability of the borrower to repay in line with its current financial standing and the restructuring of the loan may include the transfer of assets from the borrower to satisfy the debt, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of the two. With regard to determination of the amount of the allowance for loan losses, troubled debt restructured loans are considered to be impaired. As a result, the determination of the amount of allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans for each portfolio segment within troubled debt restructurings is the same as detailed previously.

Loans are considered modified in a TDR when, due to a borrower's financial difficulties, the Company makes a concession(s) to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. These modifications primarily include, among others, an extension of the term of the loan or granting a period with reduced or no principal and/or interest payments that can be caught up with payments made over the remaining term of the loan or at maturity. During 2012, clarified guidance was issued by the OCC addressing the accounting for certain loans that have been discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. In accordance with this clarified guidance, loans that have been discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy, but not reaffirmed by the borrower, are classified as TDRs, irrespective of payment history or delinquency status, even if the repayment terms for the loan have not been otherwise modified. The Company's lien position against the underlying collateral remains unchanged. Pursuant to that guidance, the Company records a charge-off equal to any portion of the carrying value that exceeds the net realizable value of the collateral. The amount of loss incurred in 2012 and 2013 was immaterial. In reporting periods prior to December 31, 2012, such loans were classified as TDRs only if there had been a change in contractual payment terms that represented a concession to the borrower. The impact on prior periods was determined to be immaterial and therefore, prior period disclosure has not been made.

Commercial loans greater than $\$ 0.5$ million are individually evaluated for impairment, and if necessary, a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses is provided. Included in the impaired loan balances above was one TDR totaling $\$ 1.6$ million with a specific reserve of $\$ 0.4$ million. TDRs less than $\$ 0.5$ million are collectively included in the general loan loss allocation and the qualitative review if necessary.

Information regarding troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is as follows:
June 30, 2013
December 31, 2012

| omitted) | Nonaccrual <br> \# Amount |  | Accruing <br> \# Amount |  | Total <br> \# Amount |  | Nonaccrual <br> \# Amount |  | Accruing <br> \# Amount |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \# \text { Amount } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer mortgage | 6 | \$336 | 53 | \$2,541 | 59 | \$2,877 | 3 | \$160 | 45 | \$2,074 | 48 | \$2,234 |
| Business <br> lending |  | 2,687 | 1 | 50 | 11 | 2,737 |  | 3,046 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3,046 |
| Consumer indirect | 2 |  | 114 | 740 | 116 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 718 | 106 | 71 |
| Consumer direct | 0 | 0 | 36 | 155 | 36 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 116 | 19 | 116 |
| Home equity | 5 | 39 | 22 | 322 | 27 | 361 | 5 | 70 | 19 | 266 | 24 | 336 |
| Total | 23 | \$3,072 | 226 | \$3,808 | 249 | \$6,880 | 18 | \$3,276 | 189 | \$3,174 | 207 | \$6,450 |

The following table presents information related to loans modified in a TDR during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Of the loans noted in the table below, all but two loans for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2013 were modified due to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy as described previously. The others were a business loan restructured via an extension of term and a consumer mortgage restructured via an extension of term and a rate concession. The financial effects of these restructurings were immaterial.


Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is general in nature and is available to absorb losses from any loan type despite the analysis below. The following presents by class the activity in the allowance for loan losses:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013
ConsumerBusinessConsumerConsumer Home Acquired
(000's

| omitted) | Mortgage Lending | Indirect | Direct | Equity Unallocated Impaired | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beginning |  |  |  |  |  |

Ending
balance $\quad \$ 7,373 \$ 18,283 \quad \$ 9,369 \quad \$ 3,054 \$ 1,674 \quad \$ 2,745 \quad \$ 975 \$ 43,473$

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012
ConsumerBusinessConsumerConsumer Home Acquired

| $\begin{aligned} & (000 \text { 's } \\ & \text { omitted }) \end{aligned}$ | Mortgage | Lending | Indirect | Direct | Equity | ocated | aired | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | \$4,885 | \$21,413 | \$7,938 | \$3,066\$ | 1,281 | \$2,770 | \$456 | 09 |
| Charge-offs | (150) | $(1,662)$ | $(1,134)$ | (273) | (65) | 0 | 0 | $(3,284)$ |
| Recoveries | 4 | 178 | 782 | 182 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1,148 |
| Provision | 1,574 | $(1,458)$ | 1,084 | 248 | 174 | 342 | 191 | 2,155 |
| Ending balance | \$6,313 | \$18,471 | \$8,670 | \$3,223\$ | 1,392 | \$3,112 | \$647 | 41,828 |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
ConsumerBusinessConsumerConsumer Home Acquired

| (000's omitted) | Mortgage Lending |  | Indirect | Direct | Equity Unallocated Impaired |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | \$7,070 | \$18,013 | \$9,606 | \$3,303 | \$1,451 | \$2,666 | \$779 \$ | 42,888 |
| Charge-offs | (600) | $(1,064)$ | $(1,888)$ | (952) | (320) | 0 |  | $(4,824)$ |
| Recoveries | 13 | 244 | 1,871 | 555 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2,695 |
| Provision | 890 | 1,090 | (220) | 148 | 531 | 79 | 196 | 2,714 |
| Ending balance | \$7,373 | \$18,283 | \$9,369 | \$3,054 | \$1,674 | \$2,745 | \$975 | 43,473 |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
ConsumerBusinessConsumerConsumer Home Acquired
(000's
omitted) Mortgage Lending Indirect Direct Equity Unallocated Impaired Total Beginning balance $\quad \$ 4,651 \$ 20,574 \quad \$ 8,960 \quad \$ 3,290 \$ 1,130 \quad \$ 3,222 \quad \$ 386 \$ 42,213$

| Charge-offs | $(419)$ | $(3,227)$ | $(2,173)$ | $(730)$ | $(181)$ | 0 | 0 | $(6,730)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recoveries | 17 | 333 | 1,824 | 354 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2,546 |


| Provision | 2,064 | 791 | 59 | 309 | 425 | $(110)$ | 261 | 3,799 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Ending
balance $\quad \$ 6,313 \$ 18,471 \quad \$ 8,670 \quad \$ 3,223 \$ 1,392 \quad \$ 3,112 \quad \$ 647 \$ 41,828$

## NOTE F: GOODWILL AND IDENTIFIABLE INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for each type of identifiable intangible asset are as follows:

|  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GrossCarrying Accumulated Carrying |  |  |  |  | Net |
|  |  |  |  | Carrying A | umulated | arrying |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (000's } \\ & \text { omitted) } \end{aligned}$ | Amount |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortizing intangible assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core deposit intangibles | \$38,185 | $(\$ 25,538)$ | \$12,647 | \$38,958 | $(\$ 24,466)$ | \$14,492 |
| Other intangibles | 9,432 | $(6,967)$ | 2,465 | 9,432 | $(6,493)$ | 2,939 |
| Total amortizing intangibles | \$47,617 | (\$32,505) | \$15,112 | \$48,390 | $(\$ 30,959)$ | \$17,431 |

The estimated aggregate amortization expense for each of the five succeeding fiscal years ended December 31 is as follows:

| Jul - Dec |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2013 | $\$ 2,122$ |
| 2014 | 3,597 |
| 2015 | 2,804 |
| 2016 | 2,094 |
| 2017 | 1,478 |
| Thereafter | 3,017 |
| Total $\$ 15,112$ |  |

Shown below are the components of the Company's goodwill at June 30, 2013:

| (000's | DecemberActivity June 30, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| omitted) | 31,2012 | 2013 |
| Goodwill $\$ 374,527$ $\$ 0 \$ 374,527$ <br> Accumulated $(4,824)$ 0 <br> impairment   <br> Goodwill, $\$ 3694)$  <br> net   |  | $\$ 0 \$ 369,703$ |

## NOTE G: MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED SECURITIES

The Company sponsors two business trusts, Community Statutory Trust III and Community Capital Trust IV, of which $100 \%$ of the common stock is owned by the Company. The trusts were formed for the purpose of issuing company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities to third-party investors and investing the proceeds from the sale of such preferred securities solely in junior subordinated debt securities of the Company. The
debentures held by each trust are the sole assets of that trust. Distributions on the preferred securities issued by each trust are payable quarterly at a rate per annum equal to the interest rate being earned by the trust on the debentures held by that trust and are recorded as interest expense in the consolidated financial statements. The preferred securities are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the debentures. The Company has entered into agreements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the preferred securities subject to the terms of each of the guarantees. The terms of the preferred securities of each trust are as follows:


## NOTE H: BENEFIT PLANS

The Company provides a qualified defined benefit pension to eligible employees and retirees, other post-retirement health and life insurance benefits to certain retirees, an unfunded supplemental pension plan for certain key executives, and an unfunded stock balance plan for certain of its nonemployee directors. The Company accrues for the estimated cost of these benefits through charges to expense during the years that employees earn these benefits. The net periodic benefit cost for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  |  | Post-retirement Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months <br> Ended June 30, |  | Months |  | Six Months |  |
|  |  |  | Ended | Ended |  |
|  |  |  | June | 30, | June | 30, |
| (000's omitted) | 2013 | 2012 |  |  | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | \$985 | \$848 |  |  | \$1,970 | \$1,696 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Interest cost | 1,028 | 1,098 | 2,057 | 2,197 | 22 | 29 | 44 | 57 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(2,451)$ | $(2,299)$ | $(4,902)$ | $(4,598)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amortization of unrecognized net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loss | 1,008 | 922 | 2,015 | 1,844 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 14 |  | 29 | (74) |  | (206) |  | (411) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$584 | \$532 | \$1,169 | \$1,065 | (\$20)( | (\$174) | (\$40)( | \$348) |

During July 2013 the Company made a contribution to its defined benefit pension plan of $\$ 10.0$ million. No other contributions are required in 2013.

## NOTE I: EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted-average of the common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted-average of the shares outstanding adjusted for the dilutive effect of restricted stock and the assumed exercise of stock options during the year. The dilutive effect of options is calculated using the treasury stock method of accounting. The treasury stock method determines the number of common shares that would be outstanding if all the dilutive options (those where the average market price is greater than the exercise price) were exercised and the proceeds were used to repurchase common shares in the open market at the average market price for the applicable time period. There were approximately 0.7 million weighted-average anti-dilutive stock options outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to approximately 0.6 million weighted-average anti-dilutive stock options outstanding for the three months June 30 , 2012 and approximately 0.4 million weighted-average anti-dilutive stock options outstanding for the six months June 30, 2012 that were not included in the computation below.

The following is a reconciliation of basic to diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Six Months
Ended
June 30,

| (000's omitted, except per share data) | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | $\$ 21,122 \$ 21,071$ | $\$ 41,363 \$ 39,897$ |  |  |
| Income attributable to unvested <br> stock-based compensation awards | $(114)$ | $(144)$ | $(189)$ | $(247)$ |
| Income available to common <br> shareholders | $\$ 21,008 \$ 20,927$ | $\$ 41,174 \$ 39,650$ |  |  |


| Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic | 39,914 39,324 | 39,809 | 38,948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.53 \$0.53 | \$1.03 | \$1.02 |
| Net income | \$21,122 \$21,071 | \$41,363 | 897 |
| Income attributable to unvested stock-based compensation awards | (114) (144) | (189) | (247) |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$21,008\$20,927 | \$41,174 | 39,650 |


| Weighted-average common shares |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| outstanding - basic | 39,914 | 39,324 | 39,809 | 38,948 |
| Assumed exercise of stock options | 427 | 463 | 445 | 501 |
| Weighted-average common shares <br> outstanding - diluted | 40,341 | 39,787 | 40,254 | 39,449 |
| Diluted earnings per share | $\$ 0.52$ | $\$ 0.53$ | $\$ 1.02$ | $\$ 1.01$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends declared per share | $\$ 0.27$ | $\$ 0.26$ | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 0.52$ |

## Stock Repurchase Program

At its December 2012 meeting, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to $2,000,000$ of its outstanding shares in open market transactions or privately negotiated transactions in accordance with securities laws and regulations, through December 31, 2013. Any repurchased shares will be used for general corporate purposes, including those related to stock plan activities. The timing and extent of repurchases will depend on market conditions and other corporate considerations as determined at the Company's discretion. There were no open market treasury stock purchases in 2012 or the first six months of 2013. There were approximately 22,000 common shares repurchased during the six months ended June 30, 2013 that were acquired by the Company in connection with satisfaction of tax withholding obligations on vested restricted stock.

## NOTE J: COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND RESTRICTIONS

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments consist primarily of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, generally having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. These commitments consist principally of unused commercial and consumer credit lines. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of an underlying contract with a third party. The credit risks associated with commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are essentially the same as that involved with extending loans to customers and are subject to the Company's normal credit policies. Collateral may be obtained based on management's assessment of the customer's creditworthiness. The fair value of the standby letters of credit is immaterial for disclosure.

The contract amount of commitments and contingencies are as follows:

|  | December |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (000's | June 30, | 31, |
| omitted) | 2013 | 2012 |
| Commitments <br> to extend |  |  |
| credit | $\$ 638,774$ | $\$ 750,178$ |
| Standby <br> letters of |  |  |
| credit | 23,314 | 24,168 |
| Total | $\$ 662,088$ | $\$ 774,346$ |

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject in the normal course of business to various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages are asserted. As of June 30, 2013, management, after consultation with legal counsel, does not anticipate that the aggregate ultimate liability arising out of litigation pending or threatened against the Company or its subsidiaries will be material to the Company's consolidated financial position. On at least a quarterly basis the Company assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with such legal proceedings. For those matters where it is probable that the Company will incur losses and the amounts of the losses can be reasonably estimated, the Company records an expense and corresponding liability in its consolidated financial statements. To the extent the pending or threatened litigation could result in exposure in excess of that liability, the amount of such excess is not currently estimable. Although the Company does not believe that the outcome of pending litigation will be material to the Company's consolidated financial position, it cannot rule out the possibility that such outcomes will be material to the consolidated results of operations for a particular reporting period in the future.

## NOTE K: FAIR VALUE

Accounting standards allow entities an irrevocable option to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. The Company has elected to value loans held for sale at fair value in order to more closely match the gains and losses associated with loans held for sale with the gains and losses on forward sales contracts. Accordingly, the impact on the valuation will be recognized in the Company's consolidated statement of income. All mortgage loans held for sale are current and in performing status.

Accounting standards establish a framework for measuring fair value and require certain disclosures about such fair value instruments. It defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (i.e. exit price). Inputs used to measure fair value are classified into the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or quoted prices for identical or similar assets or
liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

- Level 3 - Significant valuation assumptions not readily observable in a market.
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A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following tables set forth the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis. There were no transfers between any of the levels for the periods presented.

June 30, 2013
Total Fair

| (000's omitted) | otal Fair |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agency securities | \$676,865 | \$81,005 | \$0 | \$757,870 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | 610,843 | 0 | 610,843 |
| Government agency mortgage-backed securities | 0 | 238,687 | 0 | 238,687 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 0 | 0 | 47,290 | 47,290 |
| Government agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 0 | 26,338 | 0 | 26,338 |
| Corporate debt securities | 0 | 24,798 | 0 | 24,798 |
| Marketable equity securities | 478 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| Total available-for-sale investment securities | 677,343 | 981,671 | 47,290 | 1,706,304 |
| Forward sales commitments | 0 | 141 | 0 | 141 |
| Commitments to originate real estate loans for sale | 0 | 0 | (19) | (19) |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 0 | 1,153 | 0 | 1,153 |
| Total | \$677,343 \$ | \$982,965 | \$47,271 | 1,707,579 |

December 31, 2012
Total Fair
$\left.\begin{array}{lcrrr}\text { (000's omitted) } & \text { Level 1 } & \text { Level 2 Level 3 } & \text { Value } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Available-for-sale investment } \\ \text { securities: }\end{array} & & & & \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { U.S. Treasury and agency } \\ \text { securities }\end{array} & \$ 891,803 & \$ 187,454 & \$ 0 \$ 1,079,257 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Obligations of state and } \\ \text { political subdivisions }\end{array} & 0 & 662,892 & 0 & 662,892 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Government agency } \\ \text { mortgage-backed securities }\end{array} & 0 & 269,951 & 0 & 269,951 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Pooled trust preferred } \\ \text { securities }\end{array} & 0 & 0 & 49,600 & 49,600 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Government agency } \\ \text { collateralized mortgage } \\ \text { obligations }\end{array} & & & & \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Corporate debt securities } \\ \text { Marketable equity securities }\end{array} & 402 & 0 & 33,935 & 0\end{array}\right) 33,935$

# Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC. - Form 10-Q <br> Total available-for-sale <br> investment securities/Total $\$ 892,205 \$ 1,179,589 \$ 49,600 \$ 2,121,394$ 

The valuation techniques used to measure fair value for the items in the table above are as follows:

- Available for sale investment securities - The fair value of available-for-sale investment securities is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using quoted market prices for similar securities or model-based valuation techniques. Level 1 securities include U.S. Treasury obligations and marketable equity securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets. Level 2 securities include U.S. agency securities, mortgage-backed securities issued by government-sponsored entities, municipal securities and corporate debt securities that are valued by reference to prices for similar securities or through model-based techniques in which all significant inputs, such as reported trades, trade execution data, LIBOR swap yield curve, market prepayment speeds, credit information, market spreads, and security's terms and conditions, are observable. Securities classified as Level 3 include pooled trust preferred securities in less liquid markets. The value of these instruments is determined using multiple pricing models or similar techniques from third party sources as well as significant unobservable inputs such as judgment or estimation by the Company in the weighting of the models. See Note D for further discussion of the fair value of investment securities.
- Mortgage loans held for sale - Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at fair value, which is determined using quoted secondary-market prices of loans with similar characteristics and, as such, have been classified as a Level 2 valuation. The unpaid principal value of mortgage loans held for sale at June 30, 2013 is approximately $\$ 1,167,000$. The unrealized loss on mortgage loans held for sale of approximately $\$ 14,000$ was recognized in mortgage banking and other income in the consolidated statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.
- Forward sales contracts - The Company enters into forward sales contracts to sell certain residential real estate loans. Such commitments are considered to be derivative financial instruments and, therefore, are carried at estimated fair value in the other asset or other liability section of the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of these forward sales contracts is primarily measured by obtaining pricing from certain government-sponsored entities and reflects the underlying price the entity would pay the Company for an immediate sale on these mortgages. These instruments are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.
- Commitments to originate real estate loans for sale - The Company enters into various commitments to originate residential real estate loans for sale. Such commitments are considered to be derivative financial instruments and, therefore, are carried at estimated fair value in the other asset or other liability section of the consolidated balance sheet. The estimated fair value of these commitments is determined using quoted secondary market prices obtained from certain government-sponsored entities. Additionally, accounting guidance requires the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of the loan to be included in the fair value measurement of the derivative. The expected net future cash flows are based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income. Such assumptions include estimates of the cost of servicing loans, appropriate discount rate and prepayment speeds. The determination of expected net cash flows is considered a significant unobservable input contributing to the Level 3 classification of commitments to originate real estate loans for sale.

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized in the following tables:

| (000's omitted) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pooled <br> Trust <br> Preferred <br> Securities | Commitments to Originate Real Estate Loans for Sale | Total | Pooled <br> Trust <br> Preferred Securities |
| Beginning balance | \$47,486 | \$0 | \$47,486 | \$47,385 |
| Total gains (losses) included in earnings (1) | 47 | 0 | 47 | 96 |
| Total gains included in other comprehensive income(2) | 981 | 0 | 981 | 3,800 |
| Principal reductions | $(1,224)$ | 0 | $(1,224)$ | $(2,495)$ |
| Commitments to originate real estate loans held for sale, net | 0 | (19) | (19) | 0 |
| Ending balance | \$47,290 | (\$19) | \$47,271 | \$48,786 |

Amounts included in earnings associated with the pooled trust preferred securities relate to accretion of related discount and are reported in interest and dividends on taxable investments.
(2) Amounts included in other comprehensive
in unrealized loss and are reported as a component of unrealized gains on securities in the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

|  |  | Six Months En $2013$ | June 30, | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Commitments |  |  |
|  | Pooled | to Originate |  | Pooled |
|  | Trust | Real Estate |  | Trust |
|  | Preferred | Loans for |  | Preferred |
| (000's omitted) | Securities | Sale | Total | Securities |

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANK SYSTEM, INC. - Form 10-Q

| Beginning balance | \$49,600 | \$0 | \$49,600 | \$43,846 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total gains (losses) included in earnings (1) | 150 | 0 | 150 | 144 |
| Total gains included in other comprehensive income(2) | 2,873 | 0 | 2,873 | 8,367 |
| Principal reductions | $(5,333)$ | 0 | $(5,333)$ | $(3,571)$ |
| Commitments to originate real estate loans held for sale, net | 0 | (19) | (19) | 0 |
| Ending balance | \$47,290 | (\$19) | \$47,271 | \$48,786 |

Amounts included in earnings associated with the pooled trust preferred securities relate to accretion of related discount and are reported in interest and dividends on taxable investments.
(2) Amounts included in other comprehensive income associated with the pooled
(2) trust preferred securities relate to changes
in unrealized loss and are reported as a component of unrealized gains on securities in the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

Assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis:

June 30, 2013 December 31, 2012
Total
Total
(000's Level Level Level Fair Level Level Level Fair $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { omitted) } & 1 & 2 & 3 & \text { Value } & 1 & 2 & 3 & \text { Value }\end{array}$ Impaired loans $\$ 0 \quad \$ 0 \$ 1,990 \$ 1,990 \quad \$ 0 \quad \$ 0 \$ 1,186 \$ 1,186$
Other real

| estate owned 0 | 0 | 5,066 | 5,066 | 0 | 0 | 4,788 | 4,788 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Mortgage

| servicing <br> rights | 0 | 0 | 858 | 858 | 0 | 0 | 1,028 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Loans are generally not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Periodically, the Company records nonrecurring adjustments to the carrying value of loans based on fair value measurements for partial charge-offs of the uncollectible portions of those loans. Nonrecurring adjustments also include certain impairment amounts for collateral-dependent loans calculated when establishing the allowance for credit losses. Such amounts are generally based on the fair value of the underlying collateral supporting the loan and, as a result, the carrying value of the loan less the calculated valuation amount does not necessarily represent the fair value of the loan. Real estate collateral is typically valued using independent appraisals or other indications of value based on recent comparable sales of similar properties or assumptions generally observable in the marketplace, adjusted for non-observable inputs. Thus, the resulting nonrecurring fair value measurements are generally classified as Level 3 . Estimates of fair value used for other collateral supporting commercial loans generally are based on assumptions not observable in the marketplace and, therefore, such valuations classify as Level 3.

Other real estate owned is valued at the time the loan is foreclosed upon and the asset is transferred to other real estate owned. The value is based primarily on third party appraisals, less costs to sell. The appraisals are sometimes further discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation, and/or management's expertise and knowledge of the customer and customer's business. Such discounts are significant, ranging from $4 \%$ to $53 \%$ at June 30, 2013 and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Other real estate owned is reviewed and evaluated on at least an annual basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly, based on the same factors identified above. The Company recovers the carrying value of other real estate owned through the sale of the property. The ability to affect future sales prices is subject to market conditions and factors beyond our control and may impact the estimated fair value of a property.

Originated mortgage servicing rights are recorded at their fair value at the time of sale of the underlying loan, and are amortized in proportion to and over the estimated period of net servicing income. In accordance with GAAP, the Company must record impairment charges, on a nonrecurring basis, when the carrying value of a stratum exceeds its estimated fair value. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on a valuation model incorporating inputs that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income. Such inputs include estimates of the cost of servicing loans, appropriate discount rate and prepayment speeds and are considered to be unobservable and contribute to the Level 3 classification of mortgage servicing rights. The amount of impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying value of the capitalized servicing rights for a stratum exceeds estimated fair value. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance. There is a valuation allowance of approximately $\$ 0.4$ million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. The fair value of each reporting unit is compared to the carrying amount of that reporting unit in order to determine if impairment is indicated. If so, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is compared to its carrying amount and the impairment loss is measured by the excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over fair value of the goodwill. In such situations, the Company performs a discounted cash flow modeling technique that requires management to make estimates regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit that enable the Company to calculate the implied fair value of the goodwill. It also requires use of a discount rate that reflects the current return expectation of the market in relation to present risk-free interest rates, expected equity market premiums, peer volatility indicators and company-specific risk indicators. The Company did not recognize an impairment charge during 2012 or the six months ended June 30, 2013.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the determination of fair value of assets classified as Level 3 on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2013 are as follows:
(000's omitted)
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Fair Valuation Significant Unobservable Significant Value at Technique Inputs Unobservable June 30, 2013 Input Range
(Weighted Average)

| Pooled trust |  |  | $74.8 \%-$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| preferred |  | Consensus | Weighting of offered | $85.5 \%$ |
| securities | $\$ 47,290$ | pricing |  | quotes |
|  |  | $(81.6 \%)$ |  |  |


|  | Fair Value of | Estimated cost of disposal/market $11.0 \%$-50.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans | 1,990 Collateral | adjustment (39.0\%) |


| Other real estate owned | $5,066$ | Fair Value of Collateral | Estimated cost of disposal/market 4.0\%-53.0\% adjustment <br> (29.7\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 858 | Discounted cash flow | Weighted average constant prepayment rate | $\begin{array}{r} 13.6 \% \\ 41.4 \% \\ (37.2 \%) \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.27 \% \\ 3.97 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | Discount rate | (3.80\%) |
|  |  |  | quate compensation | \$7/loan |


| Commitments to originate real estate loans for sale | (19) | Discounted cash flow | Embedded servicing value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The significant unobservable inputs used in the determination of fair value of assets classified as Level 3 on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

|  |  |  | Significant |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value |  |  | Unobservable |
| at |  |  | Input Range |  |
| (Weighted |  |  |  |  |
| (000's omitted) | December | Valuation | Significant Unobservable | Average) |


| Pooled trust <br> preferred <br> securities | $\$ 49,600$ | Consensus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pricing |  |  |$\quad$| Weighting of offered $65.3 \%-85.1 \%$ |
| :---: |
| quotes |


|  |  |  |  | Estimated cost of <br> disposal/market $25.0 \%-50.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans | 1,186 | Fair value of <br> collateral | adjustment | $(27.5 \%)$ |


| Other real estate owned | 4,788 | Fair value of collateral | Estimated cost of disposal/market adjustment | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \%-60.2 \% \\ (19.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,028 | Discounted cash flow | Weighted average constant prepayment rate | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \%-39.6 \% \\ (34.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Discount rate | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \%-3.3 \% \\ (3.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Adequate compensation | \$7/loan |

The Company determines fair values based on quoted market values, where available, estimates of present values, or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including, but not limited to, the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. Certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from fair value disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's other financial instruments that are not accounted for at fair value at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:


The following is a further description of the principal valuation methods used by the Company to estimate the fair values of its financial instruments.

Loans have been classified as a Level 3 valuation. Fair values for variable rate loans that reprice frequently are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed rate loans are estimated using discounted cash flows and interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

Deposits have been classified as a Level 2 valuation. The fair value of demand deposits, interest-bearing checking deposits, savings accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of time deposit obligations are based on current market rates for similar products.

Borrowings have been classified as a Level 2 valuation. Fair values for long-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flows and interest rates currently being offered on similar borrowings.

Subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts have been classified as a Level 2 valuation. The fair value of subordinated debt held by unconsolidated subsidiary trusts are estimated using discounted cash flows and interest rates currently being offered on similar securities.

Other financial assets and liabilities - Cash and cash equivalents have been classified as a Level 1 valuation, while accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable have been classified as a Level 2 valuation. The fair values of each approximate the respective carrying values because the instruments are payable on demand or have short-term maturities and present relatively low credit risk and interest rate risk.

## NOTE L: DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company is party to derivative financial instruments in the normal course of its business to meet the financing needs of its customers and to manage its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments have been limited to commitments to originate real estate loans held for sale and forward sales commitments. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

The Company enters into forward sales commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans, and interest rate lock commitments to fund loans at a specified interest rate. The forward sales commitments are utilized to reduce interest rate risk associated with interest rate lock commitments and loans held for sale. Changes in the estimated fair value of the forward sales commitments and interest rate lock commitments subsequent to inception are based on changes in the fair value of the underlying loan resulting from the fulfillment of the commitment and changes in the probability that the loan will fund within the terms of the commitment, which is affected primarily by changes in interest rates and the passage of time. At inception and during the life of the interest rate lock commitment, the Company includes the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of the loan as part of the fair value measurement of the interest rate lock commitments. These derivatives are recorded at fair value.

The following table presents the Company's derivative financial instruments, their estimated fair values, and balance sheet location as of June 30, 2013:

## Asset Derivatives

Fair

| (000's omitted) <br> Derivatives not <br> designated as <br> hedging instruments: |  | LocationNotional Value |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Forward sales <br> commitments | Other |  |  |
| Commitments to <br> originate real estate | Other |  |  |
| loans for sale | assets | $\$ 10,874$ | $\$ 141$ |
| Total derivatives |  |  | $\$ 122$ |

The following table presents the Company's derivative financial instruments and the location of the net gain or loss recognized in the statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013:

|  | Gain (Loss) recognized in the <br> Statement of Income |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months <br> Ending | Six Months <br> Ending June |  |
| (000's omitted) | Location <br> Mortgage banking <br> and other services | June 30, 2013 | 30, 2013 |

## NOTE M: SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are components of an enterprise, which are evaluated regularly by the "chief operating decision maker" in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The Company has identified Banking as its reportable operating business segment. Community Bank, N.A. operates the banking segment that provides full-service banking to consumers, businesses and governmental units in northern, central and western New York as well as Northern Pennsylvania.

Other operating segments of the Company's operations, which do not have similar characteristics to the banking segment and do not meet the quantitative thresholds requiring disclosure, are included in the "Other" category. Revenues derived from these segments include administration, consulting and actuarial services to sponsors of employee benefit plans, investment advisory services, asset management services to individuals, corporate pension and profit sharing plans, trust services and insurance commissions from various insurance related products and services. The accounting policies used in the disclosure of business segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies (See Note A, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the most recent Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013).

Information about reportable segments and reconciliation of the information to the consolidated financial statements follows:

| (000's omitted) | Consolidated |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking | Other | Eliminations | Total |
| Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$58,379 | \$53 | \$0 | \$58,432 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,321 | 0 | 0 | 1,321 |
| Noninterest income | 13,654 | 14,028 | (584) | 27,098 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 908 | 232 | 0 | 1,140 |
| Other operating expenses | 42,946 | 10,874 | (584) | 53,236 |
| Income before income taxes | \$26,858 | \$2,975 | \$0 | \$29,833 |
| Assets | \$6,995,501 | \$37,864 | $(\$ 12,649)$ | \$7,020,716 |
| Goodwill | \$359,207 | \$10,496 | 0 | \$369,703 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$57,730 | \$41 | \$0 | \$57,771 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,155 | 0 | 0 | 2,155 |
| Noninterest income | 11,929 | 12,281 | (514) | 23,696 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 774 | 271 | 0 | 1,045 |
| Other operating expenses | 38,588 | 10,251 | (514) | 48,325 |
| Income before income taxes | \$28,142 | \$1,800 | \$0 | \$29,942 |
| Assets | \$7,141,681 | \$39,632 | (\$14,982) | \$7,166,331 |
| Goodwill | \$334,554 | \$10,496 | \$0 | \$345,050 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$116,753 | \$104 | \$0 | \$116,857 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,714 | 0 | 0 | 2,714 |
| Noninterest income | 26,295 | 28,102 | $(1,190)$ | 53,207 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,846 | 473 | 0 | 2,319 |
| Other operating expenses | 86,177 | 21,622 | $(1,190)$ | 106,609 |
| Income before income taxes | \$52,311 | \$6,111 | 0 | \$58,422 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$111,599 | \$81 | \$0 | \$111,680 |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,799 | 0 | 0 | 3,799 |
| Noninterest income | 23,292 | 24,961 | $(1,089)$ | 47,164 |
|  | 1,578 | 553 | 0 | 2,131 |


| Amortization of <br> intangible assets |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other operating <br> expenses | 77,106 | 20,625 | $(1,089)$ | 96,642 |
| Income before income <br> taxes | $\$ 52,408$ | $\$ 3,864$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 56,272$ |

## NOTE N: SUBSEQUENT EVENT

In July 2013, the Company entered into a purchase and assumption agreement to acquire eight branch-banking locations across its Northeast Pennsylvania markets from Bank of America, N.A. Under the terms of the agreement, Community Bank will acquire approximately $\$ 369$ million in deposits at a deposit premium of approximately $2.4 \%$ and approximately $\$ 1$ million of loans. The transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2013, subject to regulatory review and approval.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Introduction

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD\&A") primarily reviews the financial condition and results of operations of Community Bank System, Inc. (the "Company" or "CBSI") as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, although in some circumstances the first quarter of 2013 is also discussed in order to more fully explain recent trends. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes that appear on pages 3 through 26. All references in the discussion to the financial condition and results of operations are to those of the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole. Unless otherwise noted, the term "this year" refers to results in calendar year 2013, "second quarter" refers to the quarter ended June 30, 2013, and earnings per share ("EPS") figures refer to diluted EPS.

This MD\&A contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and business of the Company. These forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those proposed by such forward-looking statements are set herein under the caption, "Forward-Looking Statements," on page 43.

## Critical Accounting Policies

As a result of the complex and dynamic nature of the Company's business, management must exercise judgment in selecting and applying the most appropriate accounting policies for its various areas of operations. The policy decision process not only ensures compliance with the latest generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), but also reflects management's discretion with regard to choosing the most suitable methodology for reporting the Company's financial performance. It is management's opinion that the accounting estimates covering certain aspects of the business have more significance than others due to the relative importance of those areas to overall performance, or the level of subjectivity in the selection process. These estimates affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity and disclosures of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Management believes that critical accounting estimates include:

- Acquired loans - Acquired loans are initially recorded at their acquisition date fair values. The carryover of allowance for loan losses is prohibited as any credit losses in the loans are included in the determination of the fair value of the loans at the acquisition date. Fair values for acquired loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves assumptions and judgments as to credit risk, prepayment risk, liquidity risk, default rates, loss severity, payment speeds, collateral values and discount rate. Subsequent to the acquisition of acquired impaired loans, GAAP requires the continued estimation of expected cash flows to be received. This estimation requires numerous assumptions and judgments using internal and third-party credit quality information. Changes in expected cash flows could result in the recognition of impairment through provision for credit losses.

For acquired loans that are not deemed impaired at acquisition, purchase discounts representing the principal losses expected over the life of the loan are a component of the initial fair value. Subsequent to the purchase date, the methods utilized to estimate the required allowance for loan losses for the non-impaired acquired loans is similar to originated loans, however, the Company records a provision for loan losses only when the required allowance exceeds any remaining purchase discounts for loans evaluated collectively for impairment. For loans individually evaluated for impairment, a provision is recorded when the required allowance exceeds any remaining discount on the loan.

- Allowance for loan losses - The allowance for loan losses reflects management's best estimate of probable loan losses in the Company's loan portfolio. Determination of the allowance for loan losses is inherently subjective. It requires significant estimates including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows and evaluation of collateral values on impaired loans and the amount of estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans which is based on historical loss experience and consideration of current economic trends, all of which may be susceptible to significant change.
- Investment securities - Investment securities are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading. The appropriate classification is based partially on the Company's ability to hold the securities to maturity and largely on management's intentions with respect to either holding or selling the securities. The classification of investment securities is significant since it directly impacts the accounting for unrealized gains and losses on securities. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, as a separate component of shareholders' equity and do not affect earnings until realized. The fair values of investment securities are generally determined by reference to quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments, or a discounted cash flow model using market estimates of the amount and timing of future cash flows, prepayment speed assumptions, expected interest rate curve, and the selection of discount rates that appropriately reflect market and credit risks. Investment securities with significant declines in fair value are evaluated to determine whether they should be considered other-than-temporarily impaired ("OTTI"). An unrealized loss is generally deemed to be other-than-temporary and a credit loss is deemed to exist if the present value of the expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security. The credit loss component of an OTTI write-down is recorded in earnings, while the remaining portion of the impairment loss is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss), provided the Company does not intend to sell the underlying debt security, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security prior to recovery of the full value of its amortized cost basis.
- Retirement benefits - The Company provides defined benefit pension benefits to eligible employees and post-retirement health and life insurance benefits to certain eligible retirees. The Company also provides deferred compensation and supplemental executive retirement plans for selected current and former employees and officers. Expense under these plans is charged to current operations and consists of several components of net periodic benefit cost based on various actuarial assumptions regarding future experience under the plans, including, but not limited to, discount rate, rate of future compensation increases, mortality rates, future health care costs and expected return on plan assets.
- Provision for income taxes - The Company is subject to examinations from various taxing authorities. Such examinations may result in challenges to the tax return treatment applied by the Company to specific transactions. Management believes that the assumptions and judgments used to record tax related assets or liabilities have been appropriate. Should tax laws change or the taxing authorities determine that management's assumptions were inappropriate, an adjustment may be required which could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations.
- Intangible assets - As a result of acquisitions, the Company has acquired goodwill and identifiable intangible assets. Goodwill represents the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair value of net assets at the acquisition date. Goodwill is evaluated at least annually, or when business conditions suggest impairment may have occurred and will be reduced to its carrying value through a charge to earnings if impairment exists. Core deposits and other identifiable intangible assets are amortized to expense over their estimated useful lives. The determination of whether or not impairment exists is based upon discounted cash flow modeling techniques that require management to make estimates regarding the amount and timing of expected future cash flows. It also requires them to select a discount rate that reflects the current return requirements of the market in relation to present risk-free interest rates, expected equity market premiums, peer volatility indicators and company-specific market and performance metrics, all of which are susceptible to change based on changes in economic conditions and other factors. Future events or changes in the estimates used to determine the carrying value of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

A summary of the accounting policies used by management is disclosed in Note A, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" on pages 55-60 of the most recent Form 10-K (fiscal year ended December 31, 2012) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2013.

## Executive Summary

The Company's business philosophy is to operate as a community bank with local decision-making, principally in non-metropolitan markets, providing a broad array of banking and financial services to retail, commercial and municipal customers. The Company's banking subsidiary is Community Bank, N.A. (the "Bank" or "CBNA"), which currently operates in Pennsylvania under the name First Liberty Bank and Trust. The Company announced in early June that it will rebrand its First Liberty Bank and Trust operations in Pennsylvania to Community Bank, N.A. in the third quarter. This will provide a uniform brand identification to customers across the Bank's footprint and achieve greater efficiency in marketing efforts at a time when the Bank has announced the acquisition of eight new branch-banking locations in Northeast Pennsylvania from Bank of America, N.A.

The Company's core operating objectives are: (i) grow the branch network, primarily through a disciplined acquisition strategy, and certain selective de novo expansions, (ii) build profitable loan and deposit volume using both organic and acquisition strategies, (iii) increase the noninterest income component of total revenues through development of banking-related fee income, growth in existing financial services business units, and the acquisition of additional financial services and banking businesses, and (iv) utilize technology to deliver customer-responsive products and services and to improve efficiencies.

Significant factors management reviews to evaluate achievement of the Company's operating objectives and its operating results and financial condition include, but are not limited to: net income and earnings per share; return on assets and equity; net interest margins; noninterest income; operating expenses; asset quality; loan and deposit growth; capital management; performance of individual banking and financial services units; liquidity and interest rate sensitivity; enhancements to customer products and services; technology advancements; market share; peer comparisons; and the performance of acquisition activities.

On July 20, 2012, Community Bank, N.A. (the" Bank"), the wholly-owned banking subsidiary of the Company, completed its acquisition of 16 retail branches in central, northern and western New York from HSBC Bank USA, N.A. ("HSBC"), acquiring approximately $\$ 106$ million in loans and $\$ 697$ million of deposits. The assumed deposits consisted primarily of core deposits (checking, savings and money market accounts) and the purchased loans consisted of in-market performing loans, primarily residential real estate loans. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, the Bank paid First Niagara Bank, N.A. ("First Niagara") (who acquired HSBC's Upstate New York banking business and assigned its right to purchase the 16 branches to the Bank) a blended deposit premium of $3.4 \%$, or approximately $\$ 24$ million.

On September 7, 2012, the Bank completed its acquisition of three branches in central New York from First Niagara, acquiring approximately $\$ 54$ million of loans and $\$ 101$ million of deposits. The assumed deposits consisted primarily of core deposits (checking, savings and money market accounts) and the purchased loans consisted of in-market performing loans, primarily residential real estate loans. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, the Bank paid a blended deposit premium of $3.1 \%$, or approximately $\$ 3$ million.

In support of the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions, the Company completed a public common stock offering in late January 2012 and raised $\$ 57.5$ million through the issuance of 2.13 million shares. The net proceeds of the offering were approximately $\$ 54.9$ million.

Second quarter and June year-to-date net income of $\$ 21.1$ million and $\$ 41.4$ million, respectively, was $\$ 0.1$ million or $0.2 \%$ and $\$ 1.5$ million or $3.7 \%$ higher than the respective prior year periods. Earnings per share were $\$ 0.52$ and $\$ 1.02$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, a $\$ 0.01$ decrease from the second quarter of 2012 and $\$ 0.01$ higher than the first six months of 2012. The higher YTD net income was due in large part to higher net interest income that resulted from earning asset growth, generated organically and from the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions, partially offset by a lower net interest margin. Also contributing to higher net income was a lower provision for loan losses and growth of noninterest income. Noninterest income was up due to incremental deposit service fees, including those from the HSBC and First Niagara acquisitions, higher debit card-related revenue, higher employee benefits administration and consulting revenues, and solid revenue growth from the wealth management businesses, which benefitted from favorable market conditions and organic growth. These were partially offset by higher operating expenses due in large part to the additional operating costs from the HSBC and First Niagara acquisitions, as well as a slightly higher effective income tax rate.

Asset quality in the second quarter of 2013 remained stable and favorable in comparison to averages for peer financial organizations. Second quarter loan net charge-off and nonperforming loan ratios were lower than those experienced in the last six quarters. The current quarter provision for loan losses was lower than the second quarter of 2012 as a result of these favorable asset quality metrics. Delinquency ratios for the second quarter of 2013 were lower than the first quarter of 2013 and the four quarters of 2012. The Company generated year-over-year average loan growth due to the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions and organic loan growth. During the first half of 2013 the Company initiated a balance sheet restructuring program through the sale of certain longer duration investment securities and the retirement of a portion of the Company's existing FHLB borrowings. Average deposits in the second quarter of 2013 were higher than the second quarter of 2012 and the fourth quarter of 2012, driven by the HSBC and First Niagara acquisitions as well as organic deposit growth.

In July 2013, the Company entered into a purchase and assumption agreement to acquire eight branch-banking locations across its Northeast Pennsylvania markets from Bank of America, N.A. Under the terms of the agreement, Community Bank will acquire approximately $\$ 369$ million in deposits at a deposit premium of approximately $2.4 \%$. The transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2013, subject to regulatory review and approval.

## Net Income and Profitability

As shown in Table 1, net income for the second quarter and June YTD of $\$ 21.1$ million and $\$ 41.4$ million, respectively, was consistent with the second quarter of 2012 and increased $3.7 \%$ compared to the first six months of 2012. Earnings per share for the second quarter of $\$ 0.52$ was $\$ 0.01$ lower than the EPS generated in the second quarter of 2012 and earnings per share for the first six months of 2013 increased $\$ 0.01$ from the amount earned in the first half of 2012.

As reflected in Table 1, second quarter net interest income of $\$ 58.4$ million was up $\$ 0.7$ million or $1.1 \%$ from the comparable prior year period and net interest income for the first six months of 2013 increased $\$ 5.2$ million or $4.6 \%$ over the first half of 2012. The improvement resulted from a YTD increase in interest-earning assets, primarily due to the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions in the third quarter of 2012 and organic loan growth, as well as the balance sheet restructuring activities completed in the first six months of 2013, partially offset by a lower net interest margin in the first six months of 2013. The provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 1.1$ million as compared to the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, reflective of the lower net charge-offs and
the continuation of generally stable and favorable asset quality metrics.
Second quarter and year-to-date noninterest income was $\$ 26.8$ million and $\$ 52.9$ million, respectively, up $\$ 3.1$ million or $13.1 \%$ from the second quarter of 2012 and up $\$ 5.7$ million or $12.2 \%$ from the first six months of 2012, primarily due to the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions and organic growth across the franchise. Contributing to the increase was a $\$ 3.0$ million increase in revenue generated during the first six months of 2013 from the Company's benefit trust administration and wealth management groups, principally from new customer additions and favorable market conditions. During the first half of 2013 the Company sold $\$ 648.7$ million of investment securities, realizing $\$ 63.8$ million of gains and utilized the proceeds to retire FHLB borrowings of $\$ 501.6$ million with $\$ 63.5$ million of early extinguishment costs.

Operating expenses of $\$ 54.4$ million and $\$ 108.9$ million for the second quarter and June YTD periods increased $\$ 5.0$ million or $10.1 \%$ and $\$ 10.2$ million or $10.3 \%$ from the comparable prior year periods, respectively, reflective of additional operating costs associated with the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions completed in the third quarter of 2012.

A condensed income statement is as follows:
Table 1: Condensed Income Statements

|  | Three Months <br> Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, |  | June 30, |  |  |

Net Interest Income
Net interest income is the amount by which interest and fees on earning assets (loans, investments and cash equivalents) exceed the cost of funds, primarily interest paid to the Company's depositors and interest on external borrowings. Net interest margin is the difference between the gross yield on earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing funds as a percentage of earning assets.

As shown in Table 2a, net interest income (with nontaxable income converted to a fully tax-equivalent basis) for the second quarter of 2013 was $\$ 62.1$ million, consistent with the same period last year, resulting from a $\$ 246.1$ million decrease in average interest-bearing liabilities and a two-basis point increase in the net interest margin, offsetting the $\$ 50.7$ million decrease in second quarter interest-earning assets versus the prior year. As reflected in Table 3, the second quarter volume decrease from interest-bearing assets combined with the rate decrease on interest-bearing assets had a $\$ 7.1$ million unfavorable impact on net interest income, while the rate decrease on interest bearing liabilities and the volume decrease on interest bearing liabilities had a $\$ 7.1$ million favorable impact on net interest income. June YTD net interest income, as reflected in table 2 b , of $\$ 124.5$ million increased $\$ 4.5$ million or $3.7 \%$ from the year-earlier period. A $\$ 313.8$ million increase in interest-earning assets had a greater impact than the $\$ 97.9$ million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities and a four-basis point decrease in net interest margin. The increase in interest-earning assets and the lower rate on interest-bearing liabilities had a $\$ 19.0$ million favorable impact that was partially offset by a $\$ 14.5$ million unfavorable impact from the decrease in the yield on interest-bearing assets and the increase in interest-bearing liability balances.

Average investments, including cash equivalents, for the second quarter and YTD periods were $\$ 438.1$ million and $\$ 83.2$ million, respectively, lower than the comparable periods of 2012 , reflective of the balance sheet restructuring program initiated in the first quarter of 2013. In April 2013, the Company sold an additional $\$ 250.1$ million of U.S.

Treasury and agency securities, realizing $\$ 16.1$ million of gains in the second quarter of 2013 and extinguished an additional $\$ 135.0$ million of FHLB borrowings incurring $\$ 15.7$ million of early extinguishment costs in the second quarter of 2013. For the first six months of 2013, the Company sold $\$ 648.7$ million of U.S. Treasury and agency securities, realizing $\$ 63.8$ million of gains. The proceeds were utilized to retire $\$ 501.6$ million of FHLB borrowings with $\$ 63.5$ million of associated early extinguishments costs. These actions enhanced the Company's regulatory capital position and reduced the expected duration of the investment portfolio, while positively impacting expected future net interest income generation.

Second quarter and June YTD average loan balances increased $\$ 387.3$ million and $\$ 397.0$ million, respectively, as compared to the same periods of 2012, due to the approximately $\$ 123$ million of acquired HSBC and First Niagara loans, and approximately $\$ 251$ million of organic growth, principally in the consumer mortgage and indirect portfolios. In comparison to the prior year, total average interest-bearing deposits were up $\$ 578.0$ million or $14 \%$ and $\$ 597.5$ million or $15 \%$ for the quarter and YTD periods, respectively, as a result of the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions and organic growth. Quarterly and YTD average borrowings decreased $\$ 824.0$ million and $\$ 499.6$ million, respectively, reflective of the initiative in the first quarter of 2012 to use short-term borrowings to pre-invest a portion of the liquidity expected from the branch acquisitions which were completed in the third quarter of 2012 , as well as the restructuring program in the first half of 2013 which retired $\$ 501.6$ million of FHLB borrowings.

The net interest margin of $3.98 \%$ for the second quarter increased two basis points as compared to the second quarter of 2012. The rates on interest-bearing liabilities decreased 53 basis points, due primarily to a continued decline in rates on interest-bearing deposits. This was partially offset by a 43 -basis point reduction in interest-earning asset yields, reflective of lower yields on loans and investment securities versus last year's second quarter. The net interest margin of $3.92 \%$ for the first six months of 2013 decreased four basis points from the comparable period of 2012. The yield on interest-earning assets declined 43 basis points, and the rates on interest-bearing liabilities declined 46 basis points for the first six months of 2013 as compared to the first six months of 2012. Both the first quarter and YTD net interest margins were positively impacted by the balance sheet restructuring actions previously discussed.

The decrease in the earning-asset yield was attributable to a 36 -basis point and a 29 -basis point decrease in investment yield, including cash equivalents, for the second quarter and YTD periods, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods partially due to the sale and maturing of higher rate investments being replaced with lower rate investments and cash equivalents. Additionally, contributing to the decrease in earning-asset yield for the quarter was a 63 -basis point and 61-basis point decline in the loan yield as compared to the second quarter and YTD periods of 2012, a result of lower rates on fixed-rate new loan volume due to the decline in interest rates to levels below those prevalent in prior periods and certain existing adjustable and fixed-rate loans repricing downward.

The second quarter cost of funds decreased versus the prior year quarter due to a 20 -basis point decrease in interest-bearing deposit rates, and a higher proportion of funding being supplied from low and noninterest bearing deposits, partially offset by a 51-basis point increase in the average interest rate paid on external borrowings. The cost of funds for the first six months of 2013 decreased versus the prior year period due to a 24 -basis point decrease in interest-bearing deposit rates, and a higher proportion of funding being supplied from low and noninterest bearing deposits, partially offset by a 37 -basis point increase in the average interest rate paid on external borrowings. The decreases in the cost of funds were reflective of disciplined deposit pricing, whereby interest rates on selected categories of deposit accounts were lowered throughout 2012 and the first six months of 2013 in response to market conditions. Additionally, the proportion of customer deposits held in higher cost time deposits has continued to decline over the last twelve months. The decrease in deposit rates more than offset higher external borrowing costs that were temporarily reduced in the first half of 2012 by low-rate overnight funding that was replaced with acquired deposits in the third quarter of 2012.

Table 2 below sets forth information related to average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and their associated yields and rates for the periods indicated. Interest income and yields are on a fully tax-equivalent basis ("FTE") using a marginal income tax rate of $38.79 \%$ in both 2013 and 2012. Average balances are computed by accumulating the daily ending balances in a period and dividing by the number of days in that period. Loan yields and amounts earned include loan fees, deferred loan costs and accretion of acquired loan marks. Average loan balances include nonaccrual loans and loans held for sale.

Table 2a: Quarterly Average Balance Sheet
Three Months Ended Three Months Ended
June 30, 2013
June 30, 2012
Avg.
Average $\quad$ Yield/Rate $\quad$ Average $\quad$ Yield/Rate
(000's omitted
except yields and

| rates) | Balance | Interest | Paid | Balance | Interest | Paid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest-earning <br> assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash equivalents | $\$ 148,188$ | $\$ 96$ | $0.26 \%$ | $\$ 10,017$ | $\$ 8$ | $0.34 \%$ |

Taxable
investment

| securities (1) | $1,565,756$ | 12,892 | $3.30 \%$ | $2,091,575$ | 17,977 | $3.46 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Nontaxable
investment

| securities (1) | 642,424 | 8,183 | $5.11 \%$ | 692,839 | 9,540 | $5.54 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loans (net of <br> unearned |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| discount)(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total
interest-earning

| assets | $6,256,112$ | 67,784 | $4.35 \%$ | $6,306,858$ | 74,880 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 4.78 \%$

Noninterest-earning
assets 747,711 751,615
Total assets \$7,003,823 \$7,058,473

## Interest-bearing

liabilities:
Interest checking,
savings and money

| market deposits | $\$ 3,622,221$ | 899 | $0.10 \%$ | $\$ 2,957,483$ | 1,561 | $0.21 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time deposits | 958,985 | 1,804 | $0.75 \%$ | $1,045,730$ | 2,819 | $1.08 \%$ |
| Borrowings | 358,627 | 3,005 | $3.36 \%$ | $1,182,707$ | 8,394 | $2.85 \%$ |

Total
interest-bearing
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { liabilities } & 4,939,833 & 5,708 & 0.46 \% & 5,185,920 & 12,774 & 0.99 \%\end{array}$
Noninterest-bearing
liabilities:

| Noninterest <br> checking deposits |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Other liabilities | 95,108 | 102,653 |
| Shareholders' <br> equity | 873,108 | 862,747 |
| Total liabilities <br> and shareholders' <br> equity | $\$ 7,003,823$ | $\$ 7,058,473$ |


| Net interest earnings | \$62,076 |  | \$62,106 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest spread |  | 3.89\% |  | 3.79\% |
| Net interest margin on interest-earning assets |  | 3.98\% |  | 3.96\% |

Fully

| tax-equivalent |
| :--- | :--- |
| adjustment |$\$ 3,644 \quad \$ 4,335$

(1) Averages for investment securities are based on historical cost basis and the yields do not give effect to changes in fair value that is reflected as a component of shareholders' equity and deferred taxes.
(2) The impact of interest and fees not recognized on nonaccrual loans was immaterial.

Table 2b: Year-to-Date Average Balance Sheet

Six Months Ended
June 30, 2013
Avg.
Average Yield/Rate Average

Six Months Ended
June 30, 2012
Avg.
Yield/Rate
(000's omitted except yields and rates) Balance Interest Paid Balance Interest Paid Interest-earning assets:
Cash equivalents $\begin{array}{lllllll}\$ 116,178 & \$ 148 & 0.26 \% & \$ 130,923 & \$ 169 & 0.26 \%\end{array}$
Taxable
investment

| securities (1) | $1,764,311$ | 28,530 | $3.26 \%$ | $1,828,396$ | 32,584 | $3.58 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Nontaxable
investment

| securities (1) | 649,022 | 17,044 | $5.30 \%$ | 653,393 | 18,410 | $5.67 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loans (net of <br> unearned <br> discount)(2) | $3,880,341$ | 94,009 | $4.89 \%$ | $3,483,333$ | 95,259 | $5.50 \%$ |

Total
interest-earning

| assets | $6,409,852$ | 139,731 | $4.40 \%$ | $6,096,045$ | 146,422 | $4.83 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Noninterest-earning
assets 775,504 742,598

Total assets \$7,185,356 \$6,838,643
Interest-bearing
liabilities:
Interest checking,
savings and money

| market deposits | $\$ 3,601,246$ | 1,992 | $0.11 \%$ | $\$ 2,910,151$ | 3,579 | $0.25 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time deposits | 979,922 | 3,853 | $0.79 \%$ | $1,073,486$ | 6,310 | $1.18 \%$ |
| Borrowings | 521,649 | 9,363 | $3.62 \%$ | $1,021,241$ | 16,487 | $3.25 \%$ |

Total
interest-bearing

| liabilities | $5,102,817$ | 15,208 | $0.60 \%$ | $5,004,878$ | 26,376 | $1.06 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Noninterest-bearing
liabilities:
Noninterest

| checking deposits | $1,095,517$ | 895,802 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Other liabilities | 103,652 | 96,068 |
| Shareholders' <br> equity | 883,370 | 841,895 |
| Total liabilities |  |  |
| and shareholders' |  |  |
| equity | $\$ 7,185,356$ | $\$ 6,838,643$ |


| Net interest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| earnings | $\$ 124,523$ |  | $\$ 120,046$ |  |
| Net interest spread |  | $3.80 \%$ |  | $3.77 \%$ |
| Net interest margin <br> on interest-earning <br> assets |  | $3.92 \%$ |  | $3.96 \%$ |
| Fully <br> tax-equivalent <br> adjustment | $\$ 7,666$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\$ 8,366$ |  |  |

(1) Averages for investment securities are based on historical cost basis and the yields do not give effect to changes in fair value that is reflected as a component of shareholders' equity and deferred taxes.
(2) The impact of interest and fees not recognized on nonaccrual loans was immaterial.

As discussed above and disclosed in Table 3 below, the quarterly change in net interest income (fully tax-equivalent basis) may be analyzed by segregating the volume and rate components of the changes in interest income and interest expense for each underlying category.

Table 3: Rate/Volume

|  | Three months ended June 30, 2013 <br> versus June 30, 2012 <br> Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in (1) |  |  | Six months ended June 30, 2013 <br> versus June 30, 2012 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in (1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (000's omitted) |  |  | Net Change | Volume |  | Net Change |
| Interest earned on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash equivalents | \$90 | (\$2) | \$88 | (\$19) | (\$2) | (\$21) |
| Taxable investment securities | $(4,358)$ | (727) | $(5,085)$ | $(1,113)$ | $(2,941)$ | $(4,054)$ |
| Nontaxable investment securities | (669) | (688) | $(1,357)$ | (122) | $(1,244)$ | $(1,366)$ |
| Loans (net of unearned discount) | 4,930 | $(5,672)$ | (742) | 10,237 | $(11,487)$ | $(1,250)$ |
| Total interest-earning assets (2) | (598) | $(6,498)$ | $(7,096)$ | 7,288 | $(13,979)$ | $(6,691)$ |
| Interest paid on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking, savings and money market deposits | 296 | (958) | (662) |  | $(2,296)$ | $(1,587)$ |
| Time deposits | (219) | (796) | $(1,015)$ | (512) | $(1,945)$ | $(2,457)$ |
| Borrowings | $(6,688)$ | 1,299 | $(5,389)$ | $(8,799)$ | 1,675 | (7,124) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities (2) | (580) | $(6,486)$ | $(7,066)$ | 506 | $(11,674)$ | $(11,168)$ |
| Net interest earnings (2) | (503) | 473 | (30) | 6,110 | $(1,633)$ | 4,477 |

(1) The change in interest due to both rate and volume has been allocated to volume and rate changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of such change in each component.
(2) Changes due to volume and rate are computed from the respective changes in average balances and rates of the totals; they are not a summation of the changes of the components.

Noninterest Income
The Company's sources of noninterest income are of three primary types: 1) general banking services related to loans, deposits and other core customer activities typically provided through the branch network and electronic banking channels (performed by CBNA and First Liberty Bank and Trust); 2) employee benefit trust, administration, actuarial and consulting services (performed by Benefit Plans Administrative Services, Inc. ("BPAS")); and 3) wealth management services, comprised of trust services (performed by the trust unit within CBNA), investment and insurance products and services (performed by Community Investment Services, Inc. and CBNA Insurance Agency, Inc.) and asset management (performed by Nottingham Advisors, Inc. or "Nottingham"). Additionally, the Company
has periodic transactions, most often net gains or losses from the sale of investment securities and prepayment of debt instruments.

Table 4: Noninterest Income

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (000's omitted) | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Deposit service fees | \$12,345 | \$11,035 | \$23,940 | \$21,404 |
| Benefit trust, administration, consulting and actuarial fees | 9,397 | 8,664 | 19,167 | 17,637 |
| Wealth management services | 4,045 | 3,101 | 7,743 | 6,233 |
| Other banking services | 679 | 662 | 1,546 | 1,336 |
| Mortgage banking | 341 | 234 | 512 | 554 |
| Subtotal | 26,807 | 23,696 | 52,908 | 47,164 |
| Gain on sales of investment securities | 16,008 | 0 | 63,799 | 0 |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | $(15,717)$ | 0 | $(63,500)$ | 0 |
| Total noninterest income | \$27,098 | \$23,696 | \$53,207 | \$47,164 |
| Noninterest income/operating income (FTE basis) (1) | 30.2\% | 27.6\% | 29.8\% | 28.2\% |

(1) For purposes of this ratio noninterest income excludes gains and losses on investment securities and debt extinguishments. Operating income is defined as net interest income on a fully-tax equivalent basis plus noninterest income, excluding gains and losses on investment securities and debt extinguishments.

As displayed in Table 4, noninterest income was $\$ 27.1$ million in the second quarter of 2013 and $\$ 53.2$ million for the first half of 2013. This represents an increase of $\$ 3.4$ million or $14.4 \%$ for the quarter and $\$ 6.0$ million or $12.8 \%$ for the YTD period in comparison to 2012. General recurring banking fees of $\$ 13.4$ million for the second quarter and $\$ 26.0$ million for the first six months of 2013 were up $\$ 1.4$ million or $12.0 \%$ and $\$ 2.7$ million or $11.6 \%$, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. The addition of new deposit relationships from both acquired and organic sources, as well as solid growth in debit card-related revenue more than offset the continuing trend of lower utilization of overdraft protection programs and other deposit-related services.

Residential mortgage banking income totaled $\$ 0.3$ million for the second quarter of 2013 and $\$ 0.5$ million for the first six months of 2013, comprised primarily of servicing fees, reflective of the decision to hold a majority of secondary market eligible mortgages in portfolio during 2012 and the first quarter of 2013. Residential mortgage banking income consists of realized gains or losses from the sale of residential mortgage loans and the origination of mortgage loan servicing rights, unrealized gains and losses on residential mortgage loans held for sale and related commitments, mortgage loan servicing fees and other mortgage loan-related fee income. Residential mortgage loans sold to investors, primarily Fannie Mae, totaled $\$ 4.6$ million in the second quarter of 2013. Residential mortgage loans held for sale at June 30, 2013 totaled $\$ 1.2$ million. Realization of the unrealized gains on mortgage loans held for sale and the related commitments, as well as future revenue generation from mortgage banking activities, will be dependent on market conditions and long-term interest rate trends.

Benefit trust, administration, consulting and actuarial fees increased $\$ 0.7$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the prior year periods from new customer additions, favorable market conditions and growth from the Company's metro-New York actuarial and consulting business acquired at the end of 2011. Wealth management services revenue increased $\$ 0.9$ million or $30 \%$ and $\$ 1.5$ million or $24 \%$ for the second quarter and YTD period as compared to the comparable periods of 2012, driven by solid organic growth in trust and asset advisory services, as well as favorable market conditions.

In April 2013, the Company sold an additional $\$ 250.1$ million of investment securities related to its balance sheet restructuring program initiated in the first quarter of 2013, and extinguished an additional $\$ 135.0$ million of FHLB borrowings. The Company realized $\$ 16.1$ million of gains, and incurred $\$ 15.7$ million of early extinguishment costs in the second quarter. For the first six months of 2013, the Company sold $\$ 648.7$ million of investments securities, realizing $\$ 63.8$ million of gains, and utilized the proceeds to retire FHLB borrowings of $\$ 501.6$ million with $\$ 63.5$ million of early extinguishment costs. As a result of this initiative, the Company's balance sheet was reduced by approximately $6 \%$ during the first half of the year. Although these transactions were essentially neutral to both year-to-date earnings and total capital, they effectively created over $\$ 35$ million of incremental regulatory (Tier 1) capital, and are expected to be modestly additive to future net interest income generation.

The ratio of noninterest income to total income (FTE basis) was $30.2 \%$ for the quarter and $29.8 \%$ for the year-to-date period, versus $27.6 \%$ and $28.2 \%$ for the comparable periods of 2012. The increase for the year-to-date period is a function of an 13.1 \% increase in non-interest income, primarily from the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions as well as strong organic growth at the Company's benefit administration and wealth management businesses, while net interest income increased at a lesser $3.6 \%$ rate due to the declining net interest margin, partially offsetting strong loan growth.

## Operating Expenses

Table 5 below sets forth the quarterly results of the major operating expense categories for the current and prior year, as well as efficiency ratios (defined below), a standard measure of expense utilization effectiveness commonly used in the banking industry.
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Table 5: Noninterest Expenses

| (000's omitted) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$30,286 | \$26,844 | \$60,769 | \$54,269 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 6,750 | 6,130 | 13,815 | 12,593 |
| Data processing and communications | 6,600 | 5,817 | 13,336 | 11,417 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,140 | 1,045 | 2,319 | 2,131 |
| Legal and professional fees | 1,526 | 1,755 | 3,466 | 3,946 |
| Office supplies and postage | 1,518 | 1,382 | 3,013 | 2,850 |
| Business development and marketing | 1,804 | 1,876 | 3,283 | 3,048 |
| FDIC insurance premiums | 945 | 903 | 2,000 | 1,809 |
| Acquisition expenses | 0 | 164 | 0 | 424 |
| Other | 3,807 | 3,454 | 6,927 | 6,286 |
| Total noninterest expenses | \$54,376 | \$49,370 | \$108,928 | \$98,773 |
| Operating expenses(1)/average assets | 3.05\% | 2.74\% | 2.99\% | 2.83\% |
| Efficiency ratio(2) | 59.9\% | 56.1\% | 60.1\% | 57.5\% |

(1) Operating expenses is calculated as total noninterest expenses less acquisition expenses and amortization of intangibles.
(2) Efficiency ratio is calculated as operating expenses as defined in (1) divided by net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis plus noninterest income less gain on sales of investment securities and loss on debt extinguishments, net.

As shown in Table 5, operating expenses were $\$ 54.4$ million and $\$ 108.9$ million for the second quarter and YTD periods of 2013, respectively, an increase of $\$ 5.0$ million or $10.1 \%$ and $\$ 10.2$ million or $10.3 \%$ from the prior year periods, primarily reflective of additional operating costs associated with the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions completed in the third quarter of 2012. Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 3.4$ million or $12.8 \%$, and $\$ 6.5$ million or $12.0 \%$ for the second quarter and YTD periods, primarily due to the addition of approximately 145 employees from the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions, as well as the impact of annual merit increases. Additional changes to operating expenses can be attributable to higher data processing and communications (up $\$ 0.8$ million for the quarter and up $\$ 1.9$ million YTD), occupancy and equipment (up $\$ 0.6$ million for the quarter and up $\$ 1.2$ million YTD), business development and marketing (down $\$ 0.1$ million for the quarter and up $\$ 0.2$ million YTD), and FDIC insurance premiums (consistent for the quarter and up $\$ 0.2$ million YTD). The aforementioned acquisitions had a significant impact on the increases of each of these expense categories, reflecting the additional cost of operating an expanded franchise, including customer service and account processing.

The Company's efficiency ratio (total operating expenses excluding intangible amortization and acquisition expenses divided by the sum of net interest income (FTE) and noninterest income excluding gain/(loss) on investment securities and debt extinguishment costs) was $59.9 \%$ for the second quarter, 3.8 percentage points unfavorable to the comparable quarter of 2012. This resulted from operating expenses (as described above) increasing $10.5 \%$ driven by higher costs associated with the new acquired branches, while recurring operating income increased at a lower $3.6 \%$ rate, impacted by the declining net interest margin. The efficiency ratio of $60.1 \%$ for the first half of 2013 increased 2.6 percentage points from a year earlier due to core operating expenses increasing $10.8 \%$ while recurring operating income increased at $5.9 \%$ rate. Operating expenses, excluding intangible amortization and acquisition expenses, as a percentage of average assets increased 31 basis points and 16 basis points for the quarter and year-to-date periods, respectively. Operating expenses (as defined above) increased $10.5 \%$ for the quarter and $10.8 \%$ for the year-to-date period, while average assets decreased $0.8 \%$ for the quarter and increased $5.1 \%$ for the year-to-date period impacted by the balance sheet restructuring.

## Income Taxes

The second quarter and YTD effective income tax rate for 2013 was $29.2 \%$, as compared to $29.6 \%$ and $29.1 \%$ effective tax rates for the comparable periods of 2012, reflective of a consistent level of non-taxable income to total income in the two six month periods.

## Investments

As reflected in Table 6 below, the carrying value of investments (including unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities) was $\$ 2.37$ billion at the end of the second quarter, a decrease of $\$ 452.0$ million from December 31, 2012 and a decrease of $\$ 565.4$ million from June 30, 2012. The book value (excluding unrealized gains) of investments decreased $\$ 318.6$ million from December 31, 2012 and $\$ 433.5$ million from June 30, 2012. In March 2012, the Company purchased approximately $\$ 600$ million of U.S. Treasury securities utilizing cash flows from deposit growth, maturing loans and investments and short-term borrowings. After the closing of the branch purchases in the third quarter of 2012, all short-term borrowings were extinguished. As part of the ongoing asset liability management process, the Company had been evaluating the opportunity to restructure certain portions of the balance sheet. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company initiated a balance sheet restructuring program through the sale of certain longer duration investment securities and retired a portion of the Company's existing FHLB borrowings. The Company sold $\$ 398.7$ million of U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, realizing $\$ 47.8$ million of gains. In April, the Company sold an additional $\$ 250.1$ million of investment securities, realizing approximately $\$ 16.1$ million of gains and retired $\$ 135.0$ million of FHLB borrowings. These actions allowed for enhanced regulatory capital position and reduced the expected duration of the investment portfolio, while positively impacting expected future net interest income generation.

With these sales, the overall mix of securities within the portfolio over the last six months has changed, with a decrease in the proportion of US Treasury and Agency securities and an increase in the proportion of obligations of state and political subdivisions. The change in the carrying value of investments is also impacted by the amount of net unrealized gains in the available-for-sale portfolio at a point in time. At June 30, 2013, the portfolio had a $\$ 2.0$ million net unrealized loss, a decrease of $\$ 131.9$ million from the unrealized gain at June 30, 2012 and a $\$ 133.4$ million decline from the unrealized gain at December 31, 2012. These changes in the unrealized gain are indicative of the recent sales of securities as well as the recent increase in longer-term interest rates. Although not reflected in the financial results of the Company, the held-to-maturity portfolio had $\$ 41.2$ million of net unrealized gains as of June 30, 2013.

Table 6: Investment Securities

| (000's omitted) | June 30 <br> Amortized Cost/Book Value | 2013 <br> Fair <br> Value | December Amortized Cost/Book Value | $31,2012$ <br> Fair Value | June 30 <br> Amortized <br> Cost/Book <br> Value | 2012 <br> Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Held-to-Maturity Portfolio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agency securities | \$539,979 | \$577,820 | \$548,634 | \$607,715 | \$547,294 | \$609,347 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 61,826 | 65,022 | 65,742 | 71,592 | 69,669 | 75,376 |
| Government agency mortgage-backed securities | 14,475 | 14,623 | 20,578 | 21,657 | 27,822 | 29,491 |
| Corporate debt securities | 2,914 | 2,937 | 2,924 | 2,977 | 2,935 | 2,938 |
| Other securities | 13 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 27 | 27 |
| Total held-to-maturity portfolio | 619,207 | 660,415 | 637,894 | 703,957 | 647,747 | 717,179 |
| Available-for-Sale Portfolio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and agency securities | 764,446 | 757,870 | 988,217 | 1,079,257 | 994,415 | 1,089,292 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 604,692 | 610,843 | 629,883 | 662,892 | 685,086 | 713,232 |
| Government agency mortgage-backed securities | 232,707 | 238,687 | 253,013 | 269,951 | 277,971 | 298,314 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 56,796 | 47,290 | 61,979 | 49,600 | 64,688 | 48,786 |
| Government agency collateralized mortgage obligations | 25,222 | 26,338 | 32,359 | 33,935 | 38,713 | 39,910 |
| Corporate debt securities | 24,082 | 24,798 | 24,136 | 25,357 | 14,995 | 16,231 |
| Marketable equity securities | 351 | 478 | 351 | 402 | 381 | 382 |


| $\quad$ Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| available-for-sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| portfolio | $1,708,296$ | $1,706,304$ | $1,989,938$ | $2,121,394$ | $2,076,249$ | $2,206,147$ |


| Other Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Home <br> Loan Bank <br> common stock | 20,171 | 20,171 | 38,111 | 38,111 | 57,452 | 57,452 |
| Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank common <br> stock | 16,050 | 16,050 | 16,050 | 16,050 | 15,451 | 15,451 |
| Other equity <br> securities | 4,780 | 4,780 | 5,078 | 5,078 | 5,121 | 5,121 |
| Total other | 41,001 | 41,001 | 59,239 | 59,239 | 78,024 | 78,024 |
| securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\text { Total investments } \$ 2,368,504 \$ 2,407,720 \$ 2,687,071 \$ 2,884,590 \$ 2,802,020 \$ 3,001,350
$$

Included in the available-for-sale portfolio, as detailed in Table 7, are pooled trust preferred, class A-1 securities with a current par value of $\$ 58.0$ million and unrealized losses of $\$ 9.5$ million at June 30, 2013. The underlying collateral of these assets is principally trust preferred securities of smaller regional banks and insurance companies. The Company's securities are in the super-senior cash flow tranche of the investment pools. All other tranches in these pools will incur losses before this tranche is impacted. As of June 30, 2013, an additional 41\%-44\% of the underlying collateral would have to be in deferral or default concurrently to result in the potential non-receipt of contractual cash flows. The market for these securities at June 30, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which these securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels.

The fair value of these securities was determined by external pricing sources using a discounted cash flow model that incorporated market estimates of interest rates and volatility, as well as observable quoted prices for similar assets in markets that have not been active. These assumptions may have a significant effect on the reported fair values. The use of different assumptions, as well as changes in market conditions, could result in materially different fair values.

A detailed review of the pooled trust preferred securities was completed for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. This review included an analysis of collateral reports, a cash flow analysis, including varying degrees of projected deferral/default scenarios, and a review of various financial ratios of the underlying issuers. Based on the analysis performed, significant further deferral/defaults and further erosion in other underlying performance conditions would have to exist before the Company would incur a loss. Based on the analysis performed, the Company determined an OTTI did not exist at June 30, 2013. To date, the Company has received all scheduled principal and interest payments and expects to fully collect all future contractual principal and interest payments. The Company does not intend to, nor is it likely that it will be required to, sell the underlying securities. These securities represent less than $1 \%$ of the Company's earning-assets as of June 30, 2013 and thus are not relied upon for meeting the daily liquidity needs of the Company. Subsequent changes in market or credit conditions could change these evaluations.

Table 7: Pooled Trust Preferred Securities as of June 30, 2013

| (000's omitted) | PreTSL XXVI | PreTSL XXVII | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PreTSL } \\ & \text { XXVIII } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single issuer or pooled | Pooled | Pooled | Pooled |
| Class | A-1 | A-1 | A-1 |
| Book value at 6/30/13 | \$16,108 | \$20,112 | \$20,576 |
| Fair value at 6/30/13 | \$12,452 | \$17,238 | \$17,600 |
| Unrealized loss at 6/30/13 | \$3,656 | \$2,874 | \$2,976 |
| Rating (Moody's/Fitch/S\&P) | ( $\mathrm{A} 3 / \mathrm{BBB} / \mathrm{BB}-)$ | (A2/BBB/BB-) | ( $\mathrm{A} 3 / \mathrm{BBB} / \mathrm{B}$ ) |
| Number of depository institutions/companies in issuance | 61/68 | 40/47 | 43/52 |
| Deferrals and defaults as a percentage of collateral | 30.2\% | 26.1\% | 25.2\% |
| Excess subordination | 41.4\% | 38.9\% | 38.6\% |

Loans

As shown in Table 8, loans ended the second quarter at $\$ 3.94$ billion, up $\$ 374.3$ million or $10.5 \%$ from one year earlier and up $\$ 70.4$ million or $1.8 \%$ from the end of 2012. The growth during the last twelve months was attributable the acquisition of 16 HSBC branches and three First Niagara branches in the third quarter of 2012, as well as strong organic growth in the consumer mortgage and the consumer indirect installment portfolios, partially offset by the continued soft demand in a very competitive business lending market and declining demand for home equity loans.

Table 8: Loans

| (000's omitted) | June 30, 2013 December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer mortgage | \$1 | 38. | \$1,448,415 | 37.5\% | \$1,289,155 | 36.2\% |
| Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lending | 1,225,671 | 31.1\% | 1,233,944 | 31.9\% | 1,216,309 | 34.2\% |
| Consumer indirect | 663,924 | 16.9\% | 647,518 | 16.8\% | 591,249 | 16.6\% |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| direct | 171,727 | 4.4\% | 171,474 | 4.4\% | 154,402 | 4.3\% |
| Home equity | 347,335 | 8.8\% | 364,225 | 9.4\% | 310,555 | 8.7\% |
| Total loans | \$3,935,998 1 | 8.0\% | \$3,865,576 | 0.0\% | \$3,561,67 | 0.0 |

Consumer mortgages increased $\$ 238.2$ million from one year ago and increased $\$ 78.9$ million from December 31, 2012. Excluding the consumer mortgages acquired from the HSBC and First Niagara acquisitions, consumer mortgages increased $\$ 199.9$ million from June 30, 2012. Consumer mortgage volume has been strong over the last few years due to historically low long-term rates and comparatively stable real estate valuations in the Company's primary markets. The consumer real estate portfolio does not include exposure to subprime or other higher-risk mortgage products. The Company chose to retain in portfolio almost all of mortgage production in the first quarter of 2013 and sold $\$ 4.6$ million in the secondary market during the second quarter of 2013. Interest rate levels and expected duration continue to be the most significant factors in determining whether the Company chooses to retain,
versus sell and service, portions of its new mortgage production.
The combined total of general-purpose business lending to commercial and industrial customers, mortgages on commercial property and dealer floor plan financing is characterized as the Company's business lending activity. The business lending portfolio increased $\$ 9.4$ million from June 30, 2012 and decreased $\$ 8.3$ million from December 31, 2012, as contractual and other unscheduled principal reductions continued to offset new loan generation. Excluding the business loans acquired from the HSBC and First Niagara branches, business loans decreased $\$ 15.2$ million from June 30, 2012. Customer demand has remained soft and competition high in the small and middle market segments the Company operates in due primarily to general economic conditions. The Company maintains its commitment to generating growth in its business portfolio in a manner that adheres to its twin goals of maintaining strong asset quality and producing profitable margins. The Company continues to invest in additional personnel, technology and business development resources to further strengthen its capabilities in this important product category.

Consumer installment loans, both those originated directly (such as personal installment and lines of credit), and indirectly (originated predominantly in automobile, marine and recreational vehicle dealerships), increased \$90.0 million on a year-over-year basis. Excluding the consumer installment loans acquired from the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions, the consumer installment loans increased $\$ 82.2$ million or $11.0 \%$ from one year ago. During the first quarter of 2013 the consumer installment portfolio declined $\$ 13.8$ million, consistent with seasonal expectations and regional demand characteristics, then increased $\$ 30.4$ million in the second quarter of 2013. The volume of new and used vehicle sales to upper tier credit profile customers in the Company's primary markets remains stable and favorable. The Company is focused on maintaining the solid profitability produced by its in-market and contiguous market indirect portfolio, while continuing to pursue its disciplined, long-term approach to expanding its dealer network. It is expected that continued improvement in the automotive market will enable the Company to maintain its ability to produce solid long-term indirect loan growth.

Home equity loans increased $\$ 36.8$ million or $11.8 \%$ from one year ago. Excluding the home equity loans acquired from HSBC and First Niagara, the home equity loans decreased $\$ 15.8$ million from one year ago, in part due to home equity loans being paid off or down as part of the high level of mortgage refinancing activity that occurred over the past 12 months in the low rate environment. In addition, home equity utilization has been adversely impacted by the heightened level of consumer deleveraging that is occurring in response to continued low growth economic conditions.

## Asset Quality

Table 9 below exhibits the major components of nonperforming loans and assets and key asset quality metrics for the periods ending June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012.

Table 9: Nonperforming Assets

| (000's omitted) | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans |  |  |  |
| Consumer mortgage | \$11,892 | \$11,286 | \$7,481 |
| Business lending | 8,550 | 13,691 | 19,746 |
| Consumer indirect | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Consumer direct | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| Home equity | 2,550 | 1,375 | 1,343 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 22,997 | 26,360 | 28,571 |
| Accruing loans 90+ days delinquent |  |  |  |
| Consumer mortgage | 994 | 1,818 | 2,883 |
| Business lending | 66 | 247 | 138 |
| Consumer indirect | 181 | 73 | 38 |
| Consumer direct | 78 | 71 | 36 |
| Home equity | 120 | 539 | 342 |
| Total accruing loans 90+ days delinquent | 1,439 | 2,748 | 3,437 |
| Nonperforming loans |  |  |  |
| Consumer mortgage | 12,886 | 13,104 | 10,364 |
| Business lending | 8,616 | 13,938 | 19,884 |
| Consumer indirect | 181 | 73 | 39 |
| Consumer direct | 83 | 79 | 36 |
| Home equity | 2,670 | 1,914 | 1,685 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 24,436 | 29,108 | 32,008 |
| Other real estate owned (OREO) | 5,066 | 4,788 | 2,899 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$29,502 | \$33,896 | \$34,907 |
| Nonperforming loans / total |  |  |  |
| loans | 0.62\% | 0.75\% | 0.90\% |
| Nonperforming assets / total loans and other real estate | 0.75\% | 0.88\% | 0.98\% |
| Delinquent loans ( 30 days old to nonaccruing) to total loans | 1.50\% | 1.92\% | 1.71\% |
| Net charge-offs to average |  |  |  |
| loans outstanding (quarterly) | 0.08\% | 0.23\% | 0.24\% |
| Net charge-offs to average | 0.07\% | 0.19\% | 0.16\% |
| legacy loans outstanding |  |  |  |
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| (quarterly) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loan loss provision to net <br> charge-offs (quarterly) | $173 \%$ | $108 \%$ | $101 \%$ |
| Legacy loan loss provision to <br> net charge-offs (quarterly) (1) | $210 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $180 \%$ |

(1)Legacy loans exclude loans acquired after January 1, 2009. These ratios are included for comparative purposes to prior periods.

As displayed in Table 9, nonperforming assets at June 30, 2013 were $\$ 29.5$ million, a $\$ 4.4$ million decrease versus the level at the end of 2012 and a $\$ 5.4$ million decrease as compared to one year earlier. Nonperforming loans decreased $\$ 4.7$ million from year-end 2012 and were down $\$ 7.6$ million from June 30, 2012, primarily due to certain large nonperforming loans being classified as other real estate owned ("OREO") at June 30, 2013. OREO of $\$ 5.1$ million increased $\$ 0.3$ million and $\$ 2.2$ million from December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The Company is managing 33 OREO properties at June 30, 2013 as compared to 26 OREO properties at December 31, 2012 and 22 OREO properties at June 30, 2012. Nonperforming loans were $0.62 \%$ of total loans outstanding at the end of the second quarter, 13 and 28 basis points lower than the levels at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively.

Approximately $35 \%$ of the nonperforming loans at June 30, 2013 are related to the business lending portfolio, which is comprised of business loans broadly diversified by industry type. With the economic slowdown, certain businesses' financial performance and position deteriorated, and in certain cases have not yet fully recovered, and consequently the level of nonperforming business loans remains higher than historical levels. Approximately $53 \%$ of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2013 are related to the consumer mortgage portfolio. Collateral values of residential properties within the Company's market area have generally trended lower over the past few years, although they did not experience the significant decline in values that other parts of the country encountered. However, the continued soft economic conditions and comparatively high unemployment levels have adversely impacted consumers and businesses alike, and have resulted in higher mortgage nonperforming levels. Additionally, contributing to the increased level of nonperforming consumer mortgages is the increased length of time to complete the consumer foreclosure process. The remaining 12 percent of nonperforming loans relate to consumer installment and home equity loans. The allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans ratio, a general measure of coverage adequacy, was $178 \%$ at the end of the second quarter, as compared to $147 \%$ at year-end 2012 and $131 \%$ at June 30, 2012.

Members of senior management, special asset officers and lenders review all delinquent and nonaccrual loans and OREO regularly, in order to identify deteriorating situations, monitor known problem credits and discuss any needed changes to collection efforts, if warranted. Based on the group's consensus, a relationship may be assigned a special assets officer or other senior lending officer to review the loan, meet with the borrowers, assess the collateral and recommend an action plan. This plan could include foreclosure, restructuring loans, issuing demand letters or other actions. The Company's larger criticized credits are also reviewed on a quarterly basis by senior credit administration, special assets and commercial lending management to monitor their status and discuss relationship management plans. Commercial lending management reviews the criticized loan portfolio on a monthly basis.

Delinquent loans ( 30 days past due through nonaccruing) as a percent of total loans was $1.50 \%$ at the end of the second quarter, 42 basis points below the $1.92 \%$ at year-end 2012 and 21 basis points lower than the $1.71 \%$ at June 30 , 2012. The delinquency rate for business lending decreased as compared to both December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012. The consumer installment, consumer mortgage and home equity loan delinquency ratios at the end of the second quarter decreased in comparison to December 31, 2012 but were higher than the delinquency ratios at June 30, 2012. The Company's success at keeping the non-performing and delinquency ratios at manageable levels despite soft economic conditions has been the result of its continued focus on maintaining strict underwriting standards, as well as the effective utilization of its collection and recovery capabilities.

Table 10: Allowance for Loan Losses Activity


Allowance for loan losses /
nonperforming loans $\quad 178 \% \quad 131 \% \quad 178 \% \quad 131 \%$

Allowance for legacy loan
losses / nonperforming loans
(1)

$$
215 \% \quad 161 \% \quad 215 \% \quad 161 \%
$$

Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans outstanding:

| Consumer mortgage | $0.06 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Business lending | $0.06 \%$ | $0.49 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ |
| Consumer indirect | $0.05 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| Consumer direct | $0.35 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $0.47 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| Home equity | $0.14 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| Total loans | $0.08 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ |

(1) Legacy loans exclude loans acquired after January 1, 2009. These ratios are included for comparative purposes to prior periods.

As displayed in Table 10, net charge-offs during the second quarter of 2013 were $\$ 0.8$ million, $\$ 1.4$ million lower than the equivalent 2012 period. Net charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were $\$ 2.1$ million, $\$ 2.1$ million lower than the first six months of 2012. Second quarter net charge-offs for the business lending and the consumer indirect installment portfolio decreased as compared to the equivalent prior year period. Net charge-offs for the consumer mortgage, consumer direct installment and home equity portfolios experienced higher levels of net charge-offs in the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2012. The net charge-off ratio (net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans outstanding) for the second quarter was $0.08 \%, 19$ basis points and 16 basis points lower than the fourth quarter and second quarter of 2012, respectively. Net charge-offs and the corresponding net charge-off ratios continue to be below average long-term historical levels.

Provision for loan losses was $\$ 1.3$ million in the second quarter and was $\$ 0.8$ million below the equivalent prior year period. The second quarter 2013 loan loss provision was $\$ 0.6$ million higher than the level of net charge-offs for the quarter, reflective of the growth in the portfolio and the continuation of generally stable and favorable asset quality metrics. The $\$ 2.7$ million provision for loan losses for the first half of 2013 was comprised of a $\$ 2.4$ million provision for legacy loans, a $\$ 0.1$ million provision for acquired non-impaired loans and a $\$ 0.2$ million provision for acquired impaired loans. The combined YTD provision was $\$ 1.1$ million lower than the equivalent prior year period. The allowance for loan losses of $\$ 43.5$ million as of June 30, 2013 increased $\$ 1.6$ million from the level one year ago. The increased proportion of lower-risk consumer mortgages and home equity loans in the portfolio have a major influence on the determination of reserve levels, resulting in an allowance for loan loss to total legacy loans ratio of $1.19 \%$ at June 30, 2013, nine basis points lower than June 30, 2012 and two basis points lower than year-end 2012.

As of June 30, 2013, the purchase discount related to the $\$ 399$ million of remaining non-impaired loan balances acquired from HSBC, First Niagara and Wilber National Bank approximated $\$ 14.3$ million or $3.6 \%$ of that portfolio, with an additional $\$ 1.0$ million included in the allowance for loan losses for acquired loans where the carrying value exceeded the estimated net recoverable value.

## Deposits

As shown in Table 11, average deposits of $\$ 5.68$ billion in the second quarter were up $\$ 766.6$ million compared to the second quarter of 2012 and increased $\$ 33.4$ million versus the fourth quarter of last year. Excluding the impact of the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions, average quarterly deposits at June 30, 2013 increased $\$ 81.7$ million or $1.7 \%$ from the second quarter of 2012. The mix of average deposits has been changing throughout the last several years. The weightings of core deposits (noninterest checking, interest checking, savings and money markets accounts) have increased from their year-ago levels, while the proportion of time deposits decreased. This change in deposit mix reflects the Company's goal of expanding core account relationships and reducing higher cost time deposit balances, as well as the preference of certain customers to hold more funds in liquid accounts in the low interest rate environment. This shift in mix, combined with the Company's ability to reduce rates due to market conditions, resulted in the quarterly cost of interest-bearing deposits to decline from $0.44 \%$ in the second quarter of 2012 to $0.24 \%$ in the most recent quarter. The Company continues to focus heavily on growing its core deposit relationships through its proactive marketing efforts, competitive product offerings and high quality customer service.

Average second quarter non-public fund deposits decreased slightly versus the fourth quarter of 2012 and increased $\$ 740.5$ million or $17 \%$ compared to the year earlier period. Excluding the impact of the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisition, average non-public fund deposits increased $\$ 79.8$ million or $1.8 \%$ from the second quarter of 2012. Average public fund deposits in the second quarter increased $\$ 37.5$ million, or $7.3 \%$, from the fourth quarter 2012 and $\$ 26.1$ million, or $5.0 \%$, from the second quarter of 2012, primarily due to the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions and normal seasonal fluctuations. Excluding the impact of the HSBC and First Niagara branch acquisitions, average public fund deposits increased $\$ 1.8$ million or $0.4 \%$ from the second quarter of 2012. Public fund deposits as a percentage of total deposits decreased from $10.7 \%$ in the second quarter 2012 to $9.7 \%$ in the current
quarter.
Table 11: Quarterly Average Deposits

| (000's omitted) | December |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |
| Noninterest checking deposits | \$1,095,774 | \$1,098,193 | \$907,153 |
| Interest checking deposits | 1,211,417 | 1,130,859 | 988,993 |
| Regular savings deposits | 978,815 | 940,180 | 707,127 |
| Money market deposits | 1,431,989 | 1,438,139 | 1,261,363 |
| Time deposits | 958,985 | 1,036,169 | 1,045,730 |
| Total deposits | \$5,676,980 | \$5,643,540 | \$4,910,366 |
| Nonpublic fund deposits | \$5,127,693 | \$5,131,777 | \$4,387,175 |
| Public fund deposits | 549,287 | 511,763 | 523,191 |
| Total deposits | \$5,676,980 | \$5,643,540 | \$4,910,366 |
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## Borrowings

At the end of the second quarter external borrowings of $\$ 424.4$ million were $\$ 405.7$ million or $49 \%$ lower than borrowings at December 31, 2012, and were $\$ 835.5$ million lower than the end of the second quarter of 2012. Short term borrowings from the FHLB were used to fund the purchase of investment securities during the first half of 2012 as part of the pre-investment of the anticipated liquidity coming from the pending branch acquisitions. Upon the completion of the HSBC branch acquisition in July of 2012, these additional borrowings were repaid. As part of the ongoing asset liability management process, the Company had been evaluating the opportunity to restructure certain portions of the balance sheet. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company initiated a balance sheet restructuring program through the sale of certain longer duration investment securities and retired $\$ 366.6$ million of the Company's existing FHLB borrowings with $\$ 47.8$ million of early extinguishment costs in the first quarter of 2013. In April 2013, the Company sold additional investment securities and retired $\$ 135.0$ million of FHLB borrowings with $\$ 15.7$ million of early extinguishment costs. These actions enhanced the Company's regulatory capital position and reduced the expected duration of the investment portfolio, while positively impacting expected future net interest income generation. The cost of funds on total borrowings in the second quarter of $3.36 \%$ was 51 basis points higher than the year-earlier period due to the utilization of very low rate overnight borrowings in the second quarter of 2012.

## Shareholders' Equity

Total shareholders' equity of $\$ 850.0$ million at the end of the second quarter declined $\$ 52.7$ million from the balance at December 31, 2012. This decrease consisted of an $\$ 82.1$ million decrease in other comprehensive income, primarily due to the sale of investment securities and an increase in long-term interest rates, and dividends declared of \$21.5 million, partially offset by net income of $\$ 41.4$ million, $\$ 7.4$ million from shares issued under the employee stock plan and $\$ 2.1$ million from employee stock options earned. The change in other comprehensive income/(loss) was comprised of a $\$ 83.2$ million decrease in the after-tax market value adjustment on the available for sale investment portfolio due mostly to the sale of investment securities during the first quarter and higher long-term interest rates, partially offset by a positive $\$ 1.2$ million adjustment to the funded status of the Company's retirement plans. Over the past 12 months, total shareholders' equity decreased by $\$ 35.0$ million, as a lower market value adjustment on investments and dividends declared more than offset the issuance of common stock, net income, the change in the funded status of the Company's defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans.

The Company's Tier I leverage ratio, a primary measure of regulatory capital for which $5 \%$ is the requirement to be "well-capitalized", was $9.43 \%$ at the end of the second quarter, up 103 basis points from year-end 2012 and 45 basis points higher than its level one year ago. The increase in the Tier I leverage ratio compared to December 31, 2012 is the result of shareholders' equity excluding intangibles and other comprehensive income items increasing $4.6 \%$ while average assets excluding intangibles and the market value adjustment on investments declined $0.3 \%$. A significant portion of these changes were attributable to the sale of investment securities in the first half of 2013 which lowered average assets. The Tier I leverage ratio increased as compared to the prior year's second quarter as shareholders' equity, excluding intangibles and other comprehensive income increased $5.7 \%$, while average assets excluding intangibles and the market value adjustment decreased $5.8 \%$, due to the sale of investment securities in the first half of 2013. The net tangible equity-to-assets ratio (a non-GAAP measure) of $7.43 \%$ decreased 19 basis points from December 31, 2012 and 66 basis points versus June 30, 2012. The decrease in the tangible equity ratio from the prior year was mostly attributable to a proportionally larger decrease in tangible equity than the decline in asset levels due to a significant reduction of investment market value adjustment as a result of the sale of investment securities and higher interest rates.

The dividend payout ratio (dividends declared divided by net income) for the first six months of 2013 was $52.1 \%$, up from $51.3 \%$ for the first six months of 2012 . Net income increased $3.7 \%$ over the prior year period, while dividends declared increased 5.2\%. The Company's quarterly dividend per share was raised from $\$ 0.26$ to $\$ 0.27$ in July 2012
and the number of common shares outstanding increased $1.6 \%$ over the last twelve months.

## Liquidity

Liquidity risk is a measure of the Company's ability to raise cash when needed at a reasonable cost and minimize any loss. The Bank maintains appropriate liquidity levels in both normal operating environments as well as stressed environments. The Company must be capable of meeting all obligations to its customers at any time and, therefore, the active management of its liquidity position remains an important management role. The Bank has appointed the Asset Liability Committee to manage liquidity risk using policy guidelines and limits on indicators of potential liquidity risk. The indicators are monitored using a scorecard with three risk level limits. These risk indicators measure core liquidity and funding needs, capital at risk and change in available funding sources. The risk indicators are monitored using such statistics as the core basic surplus ratio, unencumbered securities to average assets, free loan collateral to average assets, loans to deposits, deposits to total funding and borrowings to total funding ratios.

Given the uncertain nature of our customers' demands as well as the Company's desire to take advantage of earnings enhancement opportunities, the Company must have available adequate sources of on and off-balance sheet funds that can be acquired in time of need. Accordingly, in addition to the liquidity provided by balance sheet cash flows, liquidity must be supplemented with additional sources such as credit lines from correspondent banks, borrowings from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Other funding alternatives may also be appropriate from time to time, including wholesale and retail repurchase agreements, large certificates of deposit and the brokered CD market. The primary source of non-deposit funds are FHLB advances, of which $\$ 322$ million are outstanding.

The Bank's primary sources of liquidity are its liquid assets, as well as unencumbered securities that can be used to collateralize additional funding. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had $\$ 149$ million of cash and cash equivalents of which $\$ 7$ million are interest earning deposits held at the Federal Reserve, FHLB and other correspondent banks. The Bank also had $\$ 918$ million in unused FHLB borrowing capacity based on the Company's quarter-end collateral levels. Additionally, the Company has $\$ 1.3$ billion of unencumbered securities that could be pledged at the FHLB or Federal Reserve to obtain additional funding. There is $\$ 65$ million available in unsecured lines of credit with other correspondent banks.

The Company's primary approach to measuring short-term liquidity is known as the Basic Surplus/Deficit model. It is used to calculate liquidity over two time periods: first, the amount of cash that could be made available within 30 days (calculated as liquid assets less short-term liabilities as a percentage of average assets); and second, a projection of subsequent cash availability over an additional 60 days. As of June 30, 2013, this ratio was $18.0 \%$ for 30 -days and $18.0 \%$ for 90 -days, excluding the Company's capacity to borrow additional funds from the FHLB and other sources. There is a sufficient amount of liquidity given the Company's internal policy requirement of $7.5 \%$.

A sources and uses statement is used by the Company to measure intermediate liquidity risk over the next twelve months. As of June 30, 2013, there is more than enough liquidity available during the next year to cover projected cash outflows. In addition, stress tests on the cash flows are performed in various scenarios ranging from high probability events with a low impact on the liquidity position to low probability events with a high impact on the liquidity position. The results of the stress tests as of June 30, 2013 indicate the Bank has sufficient sources of funds for the next year in all stressed scenarios.

To measure longer-term liquidity, a baseline projection of loan and deposit growth for five years is made to reflect how liquidity levels could change over time. This five-year measure reflects ample liquidity for loan and other asset growth over the next five years.

Though remote, the possibility of a funding crisis exists at all financial institutions. Accordingly, management has addressed this issue by formulating a Liquidity Contingency Plan, which has been reviewed and approved by both the Board of Directors and the Company's Asset Liability Management Committee. The plan addresses the actions that the Company would take in response to both a short-term and long-term funding crisis.

A short-term funding crisis would most likely result from a shock to the financial system, either internal or external, which disrupts orderly short-term funding operations. Such a crisis should be temporary in nature and would not involve a change in credit ratings. A long-term funding crisis would most likely be the result of drastic credit deterioration at the Company. Management believes that both potential circumstances have been fully addressed through detailed action plans and the establishment of trigger points for monitoring such events.

## Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains comments or information that constitute forward-looking statements (within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995), which involve significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Moreover, the Company's plans, objectives and intentions are subject to change based on various factors (some of which are beyond the Company's control). Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include: (1) risks related to credit quality, interest rate sensitivity and liquidity; (2) the strength of the U.S. economy in general and the strength of the local economies where the Company conducts its business; (3) the effect of, and changes in, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; (4) inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations; (5) the timely development of new products and services and customer perception of the overall value thereof (including, but not limited to, features,
pricing and quality) compared to competing products and services; (6) changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; (7) technological changes and implementation and cost/financial risks with respect to transitioning to new computer and technology based systems involving large multi-year contracts; (8) the ability of the Company to maintain the security of its financial, accounting, technology, data processing and other operating systems and facilities; (9) any acquisitions or mergers that might be considered or consummated by the Company and the costs and factors associated therewith, including differences in the actual financial results of the acquisition or merger compared to expectations and the realization of anticipated cost savings and revenue enhancements; (10) the ability to maintain and increase market share and control expenses; (11) the nature, timing and effect of changes in banking regulations or other regulatory or legislative requirements affecting the respective businesses of the Company and its subsidiaries, including changes in laws and regulations concerning taxes, accounting, banking, securities and other aspects of the financial services industry, specifically the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010; (12) changes in the Company's organization, compensation and benefit plans and in the availability of, and compensation levels for, employees in its geographic markets; (13) the outcome of pending or future litigation and government proceedings; (14) other risk factors outlined in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time; and (15) the success of the Company at managing the risks of the foregoing.

The foregoing list of important factors is not all-inclusive. Such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made and the Company does not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made. If the Company does update or correct one or more forward-looking statements, investors and others should not conclude that the Company would make additional updates or corrections with respect thereto or with respect to other forward-looking statements.
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Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market rates, prices or credit risk. Credit risk associated with the Company's loan portfolio has been previously discussed in the asset quality section of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Management believes that the tax risk of the Company's municipal investments associated with potential future changes in statutory, judicial and regulatory actions is minimal. Other than the pooled trust preferred securities discussed beginning on page 37, the Company has a minimal amount of credit risk in the remainder of its investment portfolio. Treasury, agency, mortgage-backed and CMO securities issued by government agencies comprise $68 \%$ of the total portfolio and are currently rated AAA by Moody's Investor Services and AA+ by Standard \& Poor's. Municipal and corporate bonds (excluding the pooled trust preferred securities) account for $30 \%$ of the total portfolio, of which, $98 \%$ carry a minimum rating of A . The remaining $2 \%$ of the portfolio is comprised of other investment grade securities and pooled trust preferred securities. The Company does not have material foreign currency exchange rate risk exposure. Therefore, almost all the market risk in the investment portfolio is related to interest rates.

The ongoing monitoring and management of both interest rate risk and liquidity, in the short and long term time horizons is an important component of the Company's asset/liability management process, which is governed by limits established in the policies reviewed and approved annually by the Company's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors delegates responsibility for carrying out the policies to the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO"), which meets each month. The committee is made up of the Company's senior management as well as regional and line-of-business managers who oversee specific earning asset classes and various funding sources. As the Company does not believe it is possible to reliably predict future interest rate movements, it has maintained an appropriate process and set of measurement tools, which enables it to identify and quantify sources of interest rate risk in varying rate environments. The primary tool used by the Company in managing interest rate risk is income simulation.

While a wide variety of strategic balance sheet and treasury yield curve scenarios are tested on an ongoing basis, the following reflects the Company's projected net interest income sensitivity over the subsequent twelve months based on:

- Asset and liability levels using June 30, 2013 as a starting point.
- There are assumed to be conservative levels of balance sheet growth, low to mid single digit growth in loans and deposits, while using the cash flows from investment contractual maturities and prepayments to repay short-term capital market borrowings or reinvest into securities or cash equivalents.
- The prime rate and federal funds rates are assumed to move up 200 basis points over a 12 -month period while moving the long end of the treasury curve to spreads over federal funds that are more consistent with historical norms (normalized yield curve). In the 0 basis point model, the prime and federal funds rates remain at current levels while moving the long end of the curve to levels over federal funds using spreads at a time when the yield curve was flat. Deposit rates are assumed to move in a manner that reflects the historical relationship between deposit rate movement and changes in the federal funds rate.
- Cash flows are based on contractual maturity, optionality, and amortization schedules along with applicable prepayments derived from internal historical data and external sources.


## Net Interest Income Sensitivity Model

Change in Calculated interest rates annualized
$\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { increase } \\ \text { (decrease) in } \\ \text { projected net } \\ \text { interest } \\ \text { income } \\ \text { at June 30, } \\ 2013\end{array}\right\}$

The modeled net interest income (NII) decreases in a rising rate environment from a flat rate scenario. The decrease is largely a result of assumed deposit and funding costs increasing faster than the repricing of corresponding of assets. In the short term (year 1) the assumed increase of deposit rates in the rising rate environment temporarily outweighs the benefit of earning asset yields increasing to higher levels. However, over a longer time period (year 2-5), the growth in NII improves in a rising rate environment as lower yielding assets mature and are replaced at higher rates.

In the 0 basis point model, the Bank shows interest rate risk exposure if the yield curve continues to flatten. Net interest income declines during the first twelve months as investment cash flows increase, partially offset by the slight increase of short term asset rates, as the intermediate points of the curve move to higher levels as the yield curve flattens. Corresponding deposit rates are assumed to remain constant. Despite Fed Funds trading near 0\%, the Company believes long-term treasury rates could potentially fall further in this scenario, and thus, the model tests the impact of this lower treasury rate scenario.

The analysis does not represent a Company forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions: the nature and timing of interest rate levels (including yield curve shape), prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, reinvestment/replacement of asset and liability cash flows, and other factors. While the assumptions are developed based upon current economic and local market conditions, the Company cannot make any assurances as to the predictive nature of these assumptions, including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change. Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis does not reflect actions that ALCO might take in responding to or anticipating changes in interest rates.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d - 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the "Exchange Act"), designed to ensure information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is: (i) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on management's evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, it has concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting
The Company regularly assesses the adequacy of its internal controls over financial reporting. There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting in connection with the evaluation referenced in the paragraph above that occurred during the Company's quarter ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. Other Information
Item 1. Legal Proceedings
The Company and its subsidiaries are subject in the normal course of business to various pending and threatened legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages are asserted. As of June 30, 2013, management, after consultation with legal counsel, does not anticipate that the aggregate ultimate liability arising out of litigation pending or threatened against the Company or its subsidiaries will be material to the Company's consolidated financial position. On at least a quarterly basis the Company assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with such legal proceedings. For those matters where it is probable that the Company will incur losses and the amounts of the losses can be reasonably estimated, the Company records an expense and corresponding liability in its consolidated financial statements. To the extent the pending or threatened litigation could result in exposure in excess of that liability, the amount of such excess is not currently estimable. Although the Company does not believe that the outcome of pending litigation will be material to the Company's consolidated financial position, it cannot rule out the possibility that such outcomes will be material to the consolidated results of operations for a particular reporting period in the future.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There has not been any material change in the risk factors disclosure from that contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
a) Not applicable.
b) Not applicable.
c) At its December 2012 meeting, the Board approved a new repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to $2,000,000$ of its outstanding shares in open market or privately negotiated transactions in accordance with securities laws and regulations, through December 31, 2013. Any repurchased shares will be used for general corporate purposes, including those related to stock plan activities. The timing and extent of repurchases will depend on market conditions and other corporate considerations as determined at the Company's discretion.

The following table presents stock purchases made during the second quarter of 2013: Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

(1) The common shares repurchased were acquired by the Company in connection with satisfaction of tax withholding obligations on vested restricted stock issued pursuant to an employee benefit plan. These shares were not repurchased as part of the publicly announced repurchase plan described above.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
Not applicable.
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.
Item 5. Other Information
Not applicable.
Item $6 . \quad$ Exhibits
Exhibit No.
Description
3.1 Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation, filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 14, 2013.
31.1 Certification of Mark E. Tryniski, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (1)

Certification of Scott Kingsley, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (1)
32.1 Certification of Mark E. Tryniski, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley

Act of 2002. (2)
32.2 Certification of Scott Kingsley, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley

Act of 2002. (2)
101.INS XBRL Instance Document. (3)
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. (3)
101.CALXBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. (3)
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. (3)
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. (3)
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. (3)
(1) Filed herewith.
(2) Furnished herewith.
(3) XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

## Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Community Bank System, Inc.

Date: August 9, 2013
/s/ Mark E. Tryniski
Mark E. Tryniski, President and Chief
Executive Officer

Date: August 9, 2013
/s/ Scott Kingsley
Scott Kingsley, Treasurer and Chief
Financial Officer
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