WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST Form SC 13G/A February 04, 2019

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

# **SCHEDULE 13G**

# **Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

(Amendment No. 5)\*

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust					
(Name of Issuer)					
Real Estate Investment Trust					
(Title of Class of Securities)					
939653101					
(CUSIP Number)					
December 31, 2018					
(Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement)					

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

[X] Rule 13d-1(b)

[] Rule 13d-1(c)

[] Rule 13d-1(d)

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act, but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes.)

<sup>\*</sup> The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

CUSIP 939653101 No.

1. NAMES OF REPORTING PERSONS
I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NO. OF ABOVE PERSONS
(ENTITIES ONLY)

Invesco Ltd. 98-0557567

- 2. CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP\*
  - (a) []
  - (b) []
- 3. SEC USE ONLY
- 4. CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION

Bermuda

NUMBER OF 5. SOLE VOTING POWER 3,412,038 SHARES
BENEFICIALLY 6. SHARED VOTING POWER 0
OWNED BY EACH
REPORTING 7. SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 6,127,399
PERSON WITH

8. SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 0

9. AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON

6,127,399

10. CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES

[]

11. PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9)

7.7%

12. TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON

HC, IA

#### Item 1.

(a) Name of Issuer

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust

(b) Address of Issuer's Principal Executive Offices

1775 Eye Street Northwest, Suite 1000 Washington DC 20006

#### Item 2.

(a) Name of Person Filing

Invesco Ltd. ("Invesco Ltd.")

(b) Address of Principal Business Office or, if None, Residence

1555 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 1800, Atlanta GA 30309

(c) Citizenship

Bermuda

(d) Title of Class of Securities

Real Estate Investment Trust

(e) CUSIP Number

939653101

# Item 3. If This Statement is Filed Pursuant to Rule 13d-1(b), or 13d-2(b) or (c), Check Whether the Person Filing is a:

(a)	[]	Broker or dealer registered under Section 15 of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78o).
(b)	[]	Bank as defined in Section 3(a)(6) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78c).
(c)	[]	Insurance Company as defined in Section 3(a)(19) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78c).
(d)	[]	Investment Company registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-8).
(e)	[X]	An investment adviser in accordance with Rule 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E);
(f)	[]	An employee benefit plan or endowment fund in accordance with Rule 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(F);
(g)	[X]	A parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G);
(h)	[]	A savings association as defined in Section 3(b) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1813);
(i)	[]	A church plan that is excluded from the definition of an investment company under Section 3(c)(14) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-3);
(j)	[]	Group, in accordance with Rule 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(J).

#### Item 4. Ownership.

Provide the following information regarding the aggregate number and percentage of the class of securities of the issuer identified in Item 1.

(a) Amount Beneficially Owned:

Invesco Ltd., in its capacity as a parent holding company to its investment advisers, may be deemed to beneficially own 6,127,399 shares of the Issuer which are held of record by clients of Invesco Ltd...

(b) Percent of Class:

7.7%

(c) Number of shares as to which such person has:

(i)	sole power to vote or to direct the vote	3,412,038
(ii)	shared power to vote or to direct the vote	0
(iii)	sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of	6,127,399
(iv)	shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of	0

# Item 5. Ownership of Five Percent or Less of Class.

If this statement is being filed to report the fact that as of the date hereof the reporting person has ceased to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of the class of securities, check the following: []

#### Item 6. Ownership of More than Five Percent on Behalf of Another Person.

However, no one individual has greater than 5% economic ownership. The shareholders of the Fund have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends and proceeds from the sale of securities listed above.

# Item 7. Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary Which Acquired the Security Being Reported on by the Parent Holding Company.

Invesco Advisers, Inc. Invesco Investment Advisers, LLC Invesco Capital Management LLC

### Item 8. Identification and Classification of Members of the Group.

Not Applicable. This schedule is not being filed pursuant to Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(J) or Rule 13d-1(d).

#### Item 9. Notice of Dissolution of Group.

Not Applicable

Item Certification.

10.

By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect.

#### **SIGNATURE**

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Invesco Ltd.

By: <u>/s/ Nancy L. Tomassone</u>
Date: February 04, 2019
Name: Nancy L. Tomassone
Title: Global Assurance Officer

pt solid"> 44 45

Nonaccrual troubled debt restructured loans

3,416 609

Total troubled debt restructured loans

\$14,466 \$10,309

As a result of weakened economic conditions, the Corporation continues to experience an increase in troubled debt restructuring events involving commercial and residential borrowers. The increase in troubled debt restructured loans in the first quarter of 2010 included a \$2.2 million commercial mortgage loan secured by affordable housing condominium units. The loan restructuring included a modification in the amount and timing of loan payments and a reduction in the stated interest rate. This loan was classified as nonaccrual in the fourth quarter of 2009 and remains in nonaccrual status as of March 31, 2010.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

Establishing an appropriate level of allowance for loan losses necessarily involves a high degree of judgment. The Corporation uses a methodology to systematically measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio for purposes of establishing a sufficient allowance for loan losses. For a more detailed discussion on the allowance for loan losses, see additional information in Item 7 under the caption "Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" of Washington Trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

The allowance for loan losses is management's best estimate of the probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to earnings and by recoveries of amounts previously charged off, and is reduced by charge-offs on loans.

The Bank's general practice is to identify problem credits early and recognize full or partial charge-offs as promptly as practicable when it is determined that the collection of loan principal is unlikely. The Bank recognizes full or partial charge-offs on collateral dependent impaired loans when the collateral is deemed to be insufficient to support the carrying value of the loan. The Bank does not recognize a recovery when an updated appraisal indicates a subsequent increase in value.

At March 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses was \$27.7 million, or 1.43% of total loans, compared to an allowance of \$27.4 million, or 1.43% of total loans at December 31, 2009. The status of nonaccrual loans, delinquent loans and performing loans were all taken into consideration in the assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for

loans losses. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate and consistent with asset quality and delinquency indicators.

Various loan loss allowance coverage ratios are affected by the timing and extent of charge-offs, particularly with respect to impaired collateral dependent loans. For such loans the Bank generally recognizes a partial charge-off equal

-45-

#### **Table of Contents**

to the identified loss exposure, therefore the remaining allocation of loss is minimal. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans was 113.66% at March 31, 2010. The \$24.4 million balance of total nonaccrual loans at that date was net of charge-offs amounting to \$5.5 million.

The estimation of loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio includes, among other procedures, (1) identification of loss allocations for individual loans deemed to be impaired in accordance with GAAP, (2) loss allocation factors for non-impaired loans based on credit grade, loss experience, delinquency factors and other similar economic indicators, and (3) general loss allocations for other environmental factors, which is classified as "unallocated". We periodically reassess and revise the loss allocation factors used in the assignment of loss exposure to appropriately reflect our analysis of migrational loss experience. We analyze historical loss experience in the various portfolios over periods deemed to be relevant for each portfolio. Revisions to loss allocation factors are not retroactively applied.

The methodology to measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure includes an analysis of individual loans deemed to be impaired. Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that the Bank will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements and loans restructured in a troubled debt restructuring. Impaired loans do not include large groups of smaller-balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, which consist of most residential mortgage loans and consumer loans. Impairment is measured on a discounted cash flow method based upon the loan's contractual effective interest rate, or at the loan's observable market price, or at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell if it is determined that foreclosure is probable. For collateral dependent loans, management may adjust appraised values to reflect estimated market value declines or apply other discounts to appraised values for unobservable factors resulting from its knowledge of circumstances associated with the property.

Other individual commercial loans and commercial mortgage loans not deemed to be impaired are evaluated using an internal rating system and the application of loss allocation factors. The loan rating system and the related loss allocation factors take into consideration parameters including the borrower's financial condition, the borrower's performance with respect to loan terms, and the adequacy of collateral. During the first quarter of 2010 we have continued to periodically reassess and revise the loss allocation factors used in the assignment of loss exposure to appropriately reflect our analysis of migrational loss experience. We have continued to adjust loss allocations for various factors including declining trends in real estate values, continued weakness in general economic conditions and our assessment of credit risk associated with an ongoing trend toward larger credit relationships. The loss allocation factor associated with this latter item was classified in the unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses maintained for general loss allocations for environmental factors in financial reporting periods prior to the quarter ended March 31, 2010. We believe that the periodic reassessment and revision of the loss allocation factors during the first quarter of 2010 have not resulted in a material impact on the allocation of total loan loss exposure.

Appraisals are generally obtained with values determined on an "as is" basis from independent appraisal firms for real estate collateral dependent commercial loans in the process of collection or when warranted by other deterioration in the borrower's credit status. Updates to appraisals are obtained when management believes it is warranted. The Corporation has continued to maintain appropriate professional standards regarding the professional qualifications of appraisers and has an internal review process to monitor the quality of appraisals.

Portfolios of more homogenous populations of loans including residential mortgages and consumer loans are analyzed as groups taking into account delinquency ratios and other indicators and our historical loss experience for each type of credit product. During the first quarter of 2010, the Corporation has continued to update these analyses and has continued to adjust its loss allocations for various factors that it believes are not adequately presented in historical loss experience including declining trends in real estate values, changes in unemployment levels and increases in

delinquency levels. These factors are also evaluated taking into account the geographic location of the underlying loans. We believe that the updated analyses and related adjustments to loss factors during the first quarter of 2010 have not resulted in a material impact on the allocation of loan loss exposure.

For residential mortgages and real estate collateral dependent consumer loans that are in the process of collection, valuations are obtained from independent appraisal firms with values determined on an "as is" basis or, in some cases, broker price opinions.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, the loan loss provision totaled \$1.5 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. The provision for loan losses was based on management's assessment of economic and credit conditions,

-46-

#### **Table of Contents**

with particular emphasis on commercial and commercial real estate categories, as well as growth in the loan portfolio. For the first quarter 2010 and 2009, net charge-offs totaled \$1.2 million and \$927 thousand, respectively. Commercial and commercial real estate loan net charge-offs amounted to 84% of total net charge-offs in the first quarter 2010 and 87% in the first quarter 2009.

Management believes that overall credit quality continues to be affected by weaknesses in national and regional economic conditions. These conditions, including high unemployment levels, may continue through 2010 and possibly into 2011. Rhode Island's economy may also be adversely affected by recent weather-related flooding events. While management believes that the level of allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2010 is appropriate, management will continue to assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses in accordance with its established policies.

The following table presents the allocation of the allowance for loan losses as of the periods indicated:

#### (Dollars in thousands)

	Mar. 31 2010		Dec. 20			
Commercial:						
Mortgages	\$	8,022		\$	7,360	
% of these loans to all loans		25.5	%		25.9	%
Construction and development		1,066			874	
% of these loans to all loans		4.0	%		3.8	%
Other		6,366			6,423	
% of these loans to all loans		22.1	%		21.6	%
Residential:						
Mortgages		3,388			3,638	
% of these loans to all loans		30.8	%		30.9	%
Homeowner construction		43			43	
% of these loans to all loans		0.6	%		0.6	%
Consumer		1,698			1,346	
% of these loans to all loans		17.0	%		17.2	%
Unallocated		7,128			7,716	
Balance at end of year	\$	27,71	1	\$	27,40	
		100.0		·	100.0	

#### Sources of Funds

Our sources of funds include deposits, brokered certificates of deposit, FHLBB borrowings, other borrowings and proceeds from the sales, maturities and payments of loans and investment securities. Washington Trust uses funds to originate and purchase loans, purchase investment securities, conduct operations, expand the branch network and pay dividends to shareholders.

# Deposits

Washington Trust offers a wide variety of deposit products to consumer and business customers. Deposits provide an important source of funding for the Bank as well as an ongoing stream of fee revenue.

Deposits totaled \$2.0 billion at March 31, 2010, up by \$38 million, or 2%, from the balance at December 31, 2009. Excluding out-of-market brokered certificates of deposit, in-market deposits grew by \$43 million, or 2%, from the balance at the end of 2009.

Demand deposits amounted to \$204 million at March 31, 2010, up by \$10 million, or 5%, from December 31, 2009.

NOW account balances decreased by \$5 million, or 3%, in the first three months of 2010 and totaled \$197 million at March 31, 2010.

Money market account balances amounted to \$398 million at March 31, 2010, down by \$5 million, or 1%, from the balance at December 31, 2009.

-47-

#### **Table of Contents**

During the first three months of 2010, savings deposits increased by \$11 million, or 6%, and totaled \$202 million at March 31, 2010.

Time deposits (including brokered certificates of deposit) amounted to \$960 million at March 31, 2010, up by \$28 million from the balance at December 31, 2009. Washington Trust is a member of the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") network. Washington Trust uses CDARS to place customer funds into certificates of deposit issued by other banks that are members of the CDARS network. This occurs in increments less than FDIC insurance limits to ensure that customers are eligible for full FDIC insurance. We receive a reciprocal amount of deposits from other network members who do the same with their customer deposits. CDARS deposits are considered to be brokered deposits for banking regulatory purposes. We consider these reciprocal CDARS deposit balances to be in-market deposits as distinguished from traditional out-of-market brokered deposits. Excluding out of market brokered certificates of deposit, in-market time deposits grew by \$33 million, or 4%, in the first three months of 2010. Included in in-market time deposits at March 31, 2010 are CDARS reciprocal time deposits of \$225 million, which were up by \$49 million from December 31, 2009. In addition, the Corporation utilizes out-of-market brokered time deposits as part of its overall funding program along with other sources. Out of market brokered time deposits amounted to \$89 million at March 31, 2010, down by \$5 million, or 5%, from December 31, 2009.

# Borrowings

#### FHLB Advances

The Corporation utilizes advances from the FHLB as well as other borrowings as part of its overall funding strategy. FHLB advances are used to meet short-term liquidity needs, to purchase securities and to purchase loans from other institutions. FHLB advances decreased by \$29 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

In connection with the Corporation's ongoing interest rate risk management efforts, in January and April 2010, the Corporation modified the terms to extend the maturity dates of certain FHLBB advances totaling \$88.5 million with original maturity dates in 2010, 2011 and 2012. As a result, the Corporation expects total interest expense savings of approximately \$364 thousand in the year 2010.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on borrowings.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability of a financial institution to meet maturing liability obligations and customer loan demand. Washington Trust's primary source of liquidity is deposits, which funded approximately 67% of total average assets in the first three months of 2010. While the generally preferred funding strategy is to attract and retain low cost deposits, the ability to do so is affected by competitive interest rates and terms in the marketplace. Other sources of funding include discretionary use of purchased liabilities (e.g., FHLB term advances and other borrowings), cash flows from the Corporation's securities portfolios and loan repayments. Securities designated as available for sale may also be sold in response to short-term or long-term liquidity needs although management has no intention to do so at this time. For a more detailed discussion on Washington Trust's detailed liquidity funding policy and contingency funding plan, see additional information in Item 7 under the caption "Liquidity and Capital Resources" of Washington Trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

The Corporation's Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") establishes and monitors internal liquidity measures to manage liquidity exposure. Liquidity remained well within target ranges established by the ALCO during the first quarter of 2010. Based on its assessment of the liquidity considerations described above, management believes the Corporation's sources of funding will meet anticipated funding needs.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, net cash provided by financing activities amounted to \$5 million. A \$38 million net increase in deposits in the quarter was partially offset by a \$29 million net decrease in FHLBB advances and \$3 million of cash dividends paid. Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$42 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and was used to purchase debt securities and fund loan growth. Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$14 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, most of which was generated by proceeds on sales of residential mortgage loans and net income. See the Corporation's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for further information about sources and uses of cash.

Total shareholders' equity amounted to \$260 million at March 31, 2010, compared to \$255 million at December 31, 2009.

-48-

#### **Table of Contents**

The Corporation's 2006 Stock Repurchase Plan authorizes the repurchase of up to 400,000 shares. No shares were repurchased in the first quarter of 2010. As of March 31, 2010, a cumulative total of 185,400 shares have been repurchased under this plan at a total cost of \$4.8 million.

The ratio of total equity to total assets amounted to 8.96% at March 31, 2010. This compares to a ratio of 8.84% at December 31, 2009. Book value per share as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 amounted to \$16.14 and \$15.89, respectively.

The Bancorp and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements. As of March 31, 2010, the Bancorp and the Bank is categorized as "well-capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of capital requirements.

#### **Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

The Corporation has entered into numerous contractual obligations and commitments. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations and other commitments at March 31, 2010.

(Dollars in thousands)			Payments Due by Period						
				Less Than					After
		Total		1 Year (1)		1-3 Years		4-5 Years	5 Years
Contractual Obligations:									
FHLB advances (2)	\$	577,965	\$	124,924	\$	207,249	\$	174,528	\$ 71,264
Junior subordinated debentures		32,991		_		_		_	32,991
Operating lease obligations		6,080		1,385		1,785		1,132	1,778
Software licensing arrangements		1,261		1,179		82		_	_
Treasury, tax and loan demand note		878		878		_		_	_
Other borrowed funds		19,925		140		19,575		88	122
Total contractual obligations	\$	639,100	\$	128,506	\$	228,691	\$	175,748	\$ 106,155

- (1) Maturities or contractual obligations are considered by management in the administration of liquidity and are routinely refinanced in the ordinary course of business.
- (2) All FHLB advances are shown in the period corresponding to their scheduled maturity. Some FHLB advances are callable at earlier dates.

(Dollars in thousands)	Amount of Commitment Expiration – Per Period									
				Less Than						After
		Total		1 Year		1-3 Years		4-5 Years		5 Years
Other Commitments:										
Commercial loans	\$	153,147	\$	102,706	\$	26,794	\$	3,398	\$	20,249
Home equity lines		185,458		670		95		_		184,693
Other loans		22,670		19,048		50		3,572		_
Standby letters of credit		9,194		2,555		6,639		_		_
Forward loan commitments to:										
Originate loans		12,533		12,533		_		_		_
Sell loans		17,188		17,188		_		_		_
Customer related derivative										
contracts:										

Interest rate swaps with customers	60,656	_	6,687	44,113	9,856
Mirror swaps with counterparties	60,656	_	6,687	44,113	9,856
Equity commitment to affordable					
housing limited partnership (1)	690	690	_	_	_
Total commitments	\$ 522,192	\$ 155,390	\$ 46,952	\$ 95,196	\$ 224,654

<sup>(1)</sup> The funding of this commitment is generally contingent upon substantial completion of the project. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

-49-

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

For information on financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk and derivative financial instruments see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

#### Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the primary market risk category associated with the Corporation's operations. The ALCO is responsible for establishing policy guidelines on liquidity and acceptable exposure to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the risk of loss to future earnings due to changes in interest rates. The objective of the ALCO is to manage assets and funding sources to produce results that are consistent with Washington Trust's liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk and profitability goals.

The ALCO manages the Corporation's interest rate risk using income simulation to measure interest rate risk inherent in the Corporation's on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet financial instruments at a given point in time by showing the effect of interest rate shifts on net interest income over a 12-month horizon, the month 13 to month 24 horizon and a 60-month horizon. The simulations assume that the size and general composition of the Corporation's balance sheet remain static over the simulation horizons, with the exception of certain deposit mix shifts from low-cost core savings to higher-cost time deposits in selected interest rate scenarios. Additionally, the simulations take into account the specific repricing, maturity, call options, and prepayment characteristics of differing financial instruments that may vary under different interest rate scenarios. The characteristics of financial instrument classes are reviewed periodically by the ALCO to ensure their accuracy and consistency.

The ALCO reviews simulation results to determine whether the Corporation's exposure to a decline in net interest income remains within established tolerance levels over the simulation horizons and to develop appropriate strategies to manage this exposure. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, net interest income simulations indicated that exposure to changing interest rates over the simulation horizons remained within tolerance levels established by the Corporation. The Corporation defines maximum unfavorable net interest income exposure to be a change of no more than 5% in net interest income over the first 12 months, no more than 10% over the second 12 months, and no more than 10% over the full 60-month simulation horizon. All changes are measured in comparison to the projected net interest income that would result from an "unchanged" rate scenario where both interest rates and the composition of the Corporation's balance sheet remain stable for a 60-month period. In addition to measuring the change in net interest income as compared to an unchanged interest rate scenario, the ALCO also measures the trend of both net interest income and net interest margin over a 60-month horizon to ensure the stability and adequacy of this source of earnings in different interest rate scenarios.

The ALCO regularly reviews a wide variety of interest rate shift scenario results to evaluate interest risk exposure, including scenarios showing the effect of steepening or flattening changes in the yield curve of up to 500 basis points as well as parallel changes in interest rates of up to 400 basis points. Because income simulations assume that the Corporation's balance sheet will remain static over the simulation horizon, the results do not reflect adjustments in strategy that the ALCO could implement in response to rate shifts.

The following table sets forth the estimated change in net interest income from an unchanged interest rate scenario over the periods indicated for parallel changes in market interest rates using the Corporation's on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Interest rates are assumed to shift by a parallel 100, 200 or 300 basis points upward or 100 basis points downward over a 12-month period, except for core

savings deposits, which are assumed to shift by lesser amounts due to their relative historical insensitivity to market interest rate movements. Further, deposits are assumed to have certain minimum rate levels below which they will not fall. It should be noted that the rate scenarios shown do not necessarily reflect the ALCO's view of the "most likely" change in interest rates over the periods indicated.

-50-

#### **Table of Contents**

	March 31, 20	010	December 31, 2009			
	Months 1 - 12Mon	ths 13 - 24	Months 1 - 12Mo	onths 13 - 24		
100 basis point rate decrease	-3.12%	-9.56%	-2.09%	-7.08%		
100 basis point rate increase	3.22%	4.60%	1.85%	2.89%		
200 basis point rate increase	6.62%	8.82%	4.11%	6.45%		
300 basis point rate increase	11.20%	12.45%	9.14%	11.12%		

The ALCO estimates that the negative exposure of net interest income to falling rates as compared to an unchanged rate scenario results from a more rapid decline in earning asset yields compared to rates paid in deposits. If market interest rates were to fall from their already low levels and remain lower for a sustained period, certain core savings and time deposit rates could decline more slowly and by a lesser amount than other market rates. Asset yields would likely decline more rapidly than deposit costs as current asset holdings mature or reprice, since cash flow from mortgage-related prepayments and redemption of callable securities would increase as market rates fall.

The positive exposure of net interest income to rising rates as compared to an unchanged rate scenario results from a more rapid projected relative rate of increase in asset yields than funding costs over the near term. For simulation purposes, deposit rate changes are anticipated to lag other market rates in both timing and magnitude. The ALCO's estimate of interest rate risk exposure to rising rate environments, including those involving changes to the shape of the yield curve, incorporates certain assumptions regarding the shift in deposit balances from low-cost core savings categories to higher-cost deposit categories, which has characterized a shift in funding mix during the past rising interest rate cycles.

While the ALCO reviews simulation assumptions and periodically back-tests the simulation results to ensure that they are reasonable and current, income simulation may not always prove to be an accurate indicator of interest rate risk or future net interest margin. Over time, the repricing, maturity and prepayment characteristics of financial instruments and the composition of the Corporation's balance sheet may change to a different degree than estimated. Simulation modeling assumes a static balance sheet, with the exception of certain modeled deposit mix shifts from low-cost core savings deposits to higher-cost money market and time deposits in rising rate scenarios as noted above. Due to the low current level of market interest rates, the banking industry has experienced relatively strong growth in low-cost FDIC-insured core savings deposits over the past several quarters. The ALCO recognizes that a portion of these increased levels of low-cost balances could shift into higher yielding alternatives in the future, particularly if interest rates rise and as confidence in financial markets strengthens, and has modeled increased amounts of deposit shifts out of these low-cost categories into higher-cost alternatives in the rising rate simulation scenarios presented above. It should be noted that the static balance sheet assumption does not necessarily reflect the Corporation's expectation for future balance sheet growth, which is a function of the business environment and customer behavior. Another significant simulation assumption is the sensitivity of core savings deposits to fluctuations in interest rates. Income simulation results assume that changes in both core savings deposit rates and balances are related to changes in short-term interest rates. The assumed relationship between short-term interest rate changes and core deposit rate and balance changes used in income simulation may differ from the ALCO's estimates. Lastly, mortgage-backed securities and mortgage loans involve a level of risk that unforeseen changes in prepayment speeds may cause related cash flows to vary significantly in differing rate environments. Such changes could affect the level of reinvestment risk associated with cash flow from these instruments, as well as their market value. Changes in prepayment speeds could also increase or decrease the amortization of premium or accretion of discounts related to such instruments, thereby affecting interest income.

-51-

#### **Table of Contents**

The Corporation also monitors the potential change in market value of its available for sale debt securities in changing interest rate environments. The purpose is to determine market value exposure that may not be captured by income simulation, but which might result in changes to the Corporation's capital position. Results are calculated using industry-standard analytical techniques and securities data. Available for sale equity securities are excluded from this analysis because the market value of such securities cannot be directly correlated with changes in interest rates. The following table summarizes the potential change in market value of the Corporation's available for sale debt securities as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 resulting from immediate parallel rate shifts:

(Dollars in thousands)	Ι	Oown 100	Up 200
		Basis	Basis
Security Type		Points	Points
U.S. government-sponsored enterprise securities (noncallable)	\$	1,298	\$ (2,432)
U.S. government-sponsored enterprise securities (callable)		128	(629)
States and political subdivision		3,668	(9,554)
Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies			
and U.S. government-sponsored enterprises		5,819	(21,005)
Trust preferred debt and other corporate debt securities		391	931
Total change in market value as of March 31, 2010	\$	11,304	\$ (32,689)
Total change in market value as of December 31, 2009	\$	12,251	\$ (33,802)

See additional discussion in Note 9 to the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding the nature and business purpose of financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk and derivative financial instruments.

#### ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information regarding quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk appears under Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," under the caption "Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Risk."

#### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

#### Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), the Corporation carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation's management, including the Corporation's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective and designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. The Corporation will continue to review and document its disclosure controls and procedures and consider such changes in future evaluations of the effectiveness of such controls and procedures, as it deems appropriate.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the period ended March 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II

#### Other Information

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Corporation is involved in various claims and legal proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of business. Management is of the opinion, based on its review with counsel of the development of such matters to date, that the ultimate disposition of such matters will not materially affect the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Corporation.

-52-

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors described in Item 1A of Washington Trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information as of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 regarding shares of common stock of the Corporation that were repurchased under the 2006 Stock Repurchase Plan, the Bancorp's 1997 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, and the Bancorp's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.

	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan(s)	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan(s)
2006 Stock Repurchase Plan (1)	_			
Balance at beginning of period				214,600
1/1/2010 to 1/31/2010	_	_	_	214,600
2/1/2010 to 2/28/2010	_	_	_	214,600
3/1/2010 to 3/31/2010	_	_	_	214,600
Total 2006 Stock Repurchase Plan	_	_	_	214,600
Other (2)				
Balance at beginning of period				N/A
1/1/2010 to 1/31/2010	_	_	_	N/A
2/1/2010 to 2/28/2010	32,716	\$ 17.01	32,716	N/A
3/1/2010 to 3/31/2010	4,296	18.69	4,296	N/A
Total Other	37,012	17.21	37,012	N/A
Total Purchases of Equity Securities	37,012	\$ 17.21	37,012	

- (1) The 2006 Stock Repurchase Plan was established in December 2006. A maximum of 400,000 shares were authorized under the plan. The Bancorp plans to hold the repurchased shares as treasury stock for general corporate purposes.
- (2) Pursuant to the Corporation's share-based compensation plans, employees may deliver back shares of stock previously issued in payment of the exercise price of stock options. While required to be reported in this table, such transactions are not reported as share repurchases in the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements. The Corporation's share-based compensation plans (the 1997 Plan and the 2003 Plan) have expiration dates of April 29, 2017 and February 19, 2029, respectively.

#### Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits. The following exhibits are included as part of this Form 10-Q:

#### Exhibit

#### Number

10.1 Amendment to Wealth Management Business Building Incentive Plan – Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the

Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 20, 2010. (1)

10.2 Terms of Form of Deferred Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated January 20, 2010 – Filed herewith. (1)

31.1

- Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. (2)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. (2)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
   as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Filed herewith. (2)
- (1) Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
- (2) These certifications are not "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

-53-

# **Table of Contents**

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

WASHINGTON TRUST BANCORP, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: May 6, 2010 By: /s/ Joseph J. MarcAurele

Joseph J. MarcAurele

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

(principal executive officer)

Date: May 6, 2010 By: /s/ David V. Devault

David V. Devault

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and

Secretary

(principal financial and accounting officer)

-54-

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Exhibit Index**

#### Exhibit

#### Number

- 10.1 Amendment to Wealth Management Business Building Incentive Plan Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 20, 2010. (1)
- 10.2 Terms of Form of Deferred Stock Unit Award Agreement, dated January 20, 2010 Filed herewith. (1)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. (2)
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. (2)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Filed herewith. (2)
- (1) Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
- (2) These certifications are not "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

-55-