NEW GERMANY FUND INC Form DEF 14A May 26, 2004

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

S&C

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed	by the Registrant [X]					
Filed	by a party other than the Registrant []					
Check	the appropriate box:					
[] [X] [] []	Preliminary proxy statement. Definitive proxy statement. Definitive additional materials. Soliciting material under Rule 14a-12. Confidential, for use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).					
	THE NEW GERMANY FUND, INC.					
	(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)					
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)					
Payme	nt of filing fee (check the appropriate box):					
[X]	No fee required.					
[]	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.					
(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:					
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:					
(3)	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):					
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:					
(5)	Total fee paid:					
[]	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.					
[] Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule $0-11(a)(2)$ and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.						

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

THE NEW GERMANY FUND, INC. 345 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10154

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS June 22, 2004

To our Stockholders:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of The New Germany Fund, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the "Fund"), will be held at 3:30 P.M., New York time, on June 22, 2004 at 280 Park Avenue, 40th Floor West, New York, New York 10017 for the following purposes:

- To elect five (5) Directors, four to serve for a term of three years and one to serve for a term of one year and until their successors are elected and qualify.
- 2. To ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004.
- 3. To act upon, if presented, certain stockholder proposals.
- 4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Only holders of record of Common Stock at the close of business on April 30, 2004 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, this Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Morrow & Co., Inc., the Fund's proxy solicitors, at 445 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022, or 1-800-654-2468.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Bruce A. Rosenblum Secretary

Dated: May 26, 2004

Whether or not you expect to attend the meeting, please sign the enclosed proxy and promptly return it to the Fund. We ask your cooperation in mailing in your proxy promptly, so that the Fund does not incur any additional expenses of solicitation of proxies.

THE NEW GERMANY FUND, INC. 345 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10154

Annual Meeting of Stockholders June 22, 2004

PROXY STATEMENT

This Proxy Statement is furnished by the Board of Directors of The New Germany Fund, Inc. (the "Board of Directors" or "Board"), a Maryland corporation (the "Fund"), in connection with the solicitation of proxies for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") to be held at 3:30 P.M., New York time, on June 22, 2004 at 280 Park Avenue, 40th Floor West, New York, New York 10017. The purpose of the Meeting and the matters to be considered are set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

If the accompanying form of proxy is executed properly and returned, shares represented by it will be voted at the Meeting in accordance with the instructions on the proxy. However, if no instructions are specified, shares will be voted FOR the election of five (5) directors of the Fund ("Directors") (Proposal 1), FOR the ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee and the Board of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors for the Fund (Proposal 2) and AGAINST each of the stockholder proposals (Proposals 3 and 4). A proxy may be revoked at any time prior to the time it is voted by written notice to the Secretary of the Fund, by submitting a subsequently executed proxy or by attendance at the Meeting and voting in person.

The close of business on April 30, 2004 has been fixed as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Meeting. On that date, the Fund had 25,613,263 shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Each share will be entitled to one vote on each matter that comes before the Meeting. It is expected that the Notice of Annual Meeting, this Proxy Statement and the form of proxy will first be mailed to stockholders on or about May 26, 2004.

A quorum is necessary to hold a valid meeting. If stockholders entitled to cast one-third of all votes entitled to be cast at the Meeting are present in person or by proxy, a quorum will be established. The Fund intends to treat properly executed proxies that are marked "abstain" and broker non-votes (defined below) as present for the purposes of determining whether a quorum has been achieved at the Meeting. Under Maryland law, abstentions do not constitute a vote "for" or "against" a matter and will be disregarded in determining the "votes cast" on an issue. A "broker non-vote" occurs when a broker holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular matter because the broker does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that matter and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

1

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Fund's charter (the "Charter") provides that the Board of Directors be divided into three classes of Directors serving staggered three-year terms and until their successors are elected and qualify. The term of office for Directors in Class I expires at the 2004 Annual Meeting, Class II at the next succeeding annual meeting and Class III at the following succeeding annual meeting. Four

Class I nominees and one Class II nominee are $% \left(1\right) =1$ proposed in this Proxy Statement for election.

Should any vacancy occur on the Board of Directors, the remaining Directors would be able to fill such vacancy by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining Directors in office, even if the remaining Directors do not constitute a quorum. Any Director elected by the Board to fill a vacancy would hold office until the remainder of the full term of the class of Directors in which the vacancy occurred and until a successor is elected and qualifies. If the size of the Board is increased, additional Directors will be apportioned among the three classes to make all classes as nearly equal as possible.

Unless authority is withheld, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to vote each proxy for the election of the nominees listed below. Each nominee has indicated that he will serve as a Director if elected, but if any nominee should be unable to serve, proxies will be voted for any other person determined by the persons named in the form of proxy in accordance with their discretion.

Information Regarding Directors and Officers

The following table shows certain information about the nominees for election as Directors and about Directors whose terms will continue, including beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Fund. Each has served as a Director of the Fund since the Fund's inception in 1990, except for Mr. Wadsworth, Dr. Hopp, Mr. Matz, Mr. Zuhlsdorff, Ambassador Burt and Mr. Walbrol who were elected to the Board on June 19, 1992, June 18, 1993, June 29, 1995, June 20, 1997, April 23, 2004 and April 23, 2004, respectively.

Nominees Proposed for Election:

Ambassador Director Since

2004

Richard R.

Burt, 57

							Cla	ss I Dire	ctors				
			(Term	will	Expire	in	2004;	Nominees	for Term	n Ex	piring	in 2	2007)
									Number	of			
									Portfol	ios			
									in Fu	nd			
		Term of							Complex	(2)			
		Office and							Oversee				
Name,		Length							Directo	r or			
Address(1)	Position(s)	of Time		P	rincipa	1 00	ccupat	ion(s)	Nominee	for	0	ther	Dire
& Age	with Fund	Served		D	uring P	ast	Five	Years	Direct	or	Dir	ectoi	r or
				Non-Interested Directors									

Chairman, Diligence LLC, formerly IEP Advisors, Inc. (information collection, analysis, consulting and intelligence) (since 1998).
Chairman of the Board, Weirton Steel Corp. (since 1996).
Partner, McKinsey & Company (1991-1994). U.S. Ambassador to the Federal Republic of Germany (1985-1989). Chairman, IEP Advisor, LLP (international consulting).

Director of T and Russia Fu 2000) and The (since 2000), funds in the indicated.(6) Inc. (gaming 1995). Board International publishing) (Member, HCL T (information product engin 1999). Member

69

Corporation I Advisory Coun automotive, i and finance) Director, UBS of Mutual Fun

2

Class I Divisions

		(Class I Director Term will Expire in 2004; Nominees		iring in 2007
	Position(s) with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex(2) Overseen by Director or Nominee for Director	Other Dir Director or
			Non-Interested [)irectors	
Richard Karl Goeltz, 61	Director	Since 1990	Consultant. Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of American Express Co. (1996-2000); Group Chief Financial Officer and Member of the Board of Directors of National Westminster Bank Plc. (1992-1996).	1	Independent I of Aviva plc Director of I Mortgage Corp Warnaco Group Court of Gove of the London and Political
Robert H. Wadsworth, 64	Director	Since 1992	President, Robert H. Wadsworth Associates, Inc. (consulting firm) (May 1983 to present). Formerly, President and Trustee, Trust for Investment Managers (registered investment companies) (April 1999-June 2002). President, Investment Company Administration, L.L.C. (January 1992(4)-July 2001). President, Treasurer and Director, First Fund Distributors, Inc. (mutual fund distribution) (June 1990-January 2002). Vice President, Professionally Managed Portfolios (May 1991-January 2002) and Advisors Series Trust (registered investment companies) (October 1996-January 2002).	69	Director of (since 1986) Europe and Ro (since 1990) funds in the indicated. (6)

	- 3	9			
			Class I Dire		
)	Term will Expire in 2004; Nominees	s for Term Exp 	oiring in 2007)
	Position(s) with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex(2) Overseen by Director or Nominee for Director	Other Dire Director or
			Interested Dire	ector(5)	
Christian H. Strenger, 60	Director	Since 1990	Director (since 1999) and Managing Director (1991-1999) of DWS Investment GmbH (investment management).	3	Director of T (since 1986) Europe and Ru (since 1990). Supervisory B (international Board member, and advertisi
			3		
			Class II Dire (Term will Expire		
Name, Address(1) & Age	Position(s) with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex(2) Overseen by Director or Nominee for Director	Other Dire Director or
			Non-Interested	Director	
Werner Walbrol, 66	Director	Since 2004	President and Chief Executive Officer, The European American Chamber of Commerce, Inc. Senior Adviser, Coudert Brothers LLP. Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, The German American Chamber of Commerce, Inc.	3	Director of T Russia Fund, The Germany F 1986); (6) Dir of North Amer (independent assessment se and Director,

Directors whose terms will continue:

Partnership P exchange prog Art Insurance art and colle

Class II Directors
(Term will Expire in 2005)

			(Term will Expire	e in 2005)	
, ,	Position(s) with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	During Past Five Years		Other Dire Director or
			Non-Interested D	lrectors	
John H. Cannon, 62	Director	Since 1990	Consultant (since 2002); Vice President and Treasurer Venator Group/ Footlocker Inc. (footwear retailer) (until 2001).	3	Director of T (since 2004) Europe and Ru (since 2004).
Peter Zuhlsdorff, 63	Director	Since 1997	Managing Director of Tengelmann Unternehmensgruppe (since 1998); Deutsche Industrie Holding (holding company) (since 1997), Bewerbungskomitee Leipzig 2012 GmbH, and PZ Sportpark GmbH (since 1996).	1	Chairman of t GfK AG, Merck Member of the Deutz AG, Kai and TV Loonla Advisory Boar Verwaltungs-u Beteiligungsg

4

			Class II Din (Term will Expir		
	Position(s) with Fund		Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years		
			Interested Di	rector(5)	
John Bult,	Director	Since 1990	Chairman, PaineWebber International (since 1985).	3	Director of T

Europe and Ru (since 1990). France Growth (closed-end f Greater China (closed-end f

			Class III Dir (Term will Expire		
, ,	Position(s) with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex(2) Overseen by Director or Nominee for Director	Other Dir Director or
			Non-Interested D	irectors	
Dr. Franz Wilhelm Hopp, 61	Director	Since 1993	Member of the Boards of Management of ERGO Versicherungsgruppe AG; ERGO Europa Beteiligungsgesellschaft AG; ERGO International AG (insurance) (over five years). Former Member of the Boards of Management of VICTORIA Holding; VICTORIA Lebensversicherung AG; VICTORIA Versicherung AG; VICTORIA International; VICTORIA Ruckversicherung AG and D.A.S. Versicherungs-AG. (insurance).	1	Chairman of of Iddenkapi Member of the of Jenoptik, AG; Oesterre KarastadtQue Financial Se ERGO Kapital mbH; Internation Immobilienin Estate Group Volksbanken, of the Admin Frankfurter Trinkaus & Bthe Advisory Bank AG; EnB Baden-Wuerter AG; Landeskra Baden-Wuerter Entertainmen MPE Hotel, Landeskra MPE
			5		
			Class III Dir (Term will Expire		
		Term of		Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex(2)	

Office and

Overseen by

	Eugar F	lling: NEW G	ERMANY FUND ING - FORM DEF 14A		
Ernst- Ulrich Matz, 70	Director	Since 1995	Consultant. Vice Chairman of the Supervisory Boards of Bopp & Reuther AG (valve, control, measurement and safety technology) (until 2001). Chief Financial Officer and member of the Board of Directors of IKWA Aktiengesellschaft (production and manufacturing technology) (1978 until 2000). Member of the Supervisory Boards of Ex-Cell-O AG (machine tool and system manufacturer) (until 2001) and ARO SA (until 2000) (resistance welding).	1	Member of the Board of Gerl 2002); Chairm Group in the Trade Committ Member of Adv Peters Associ Advisory Coun Co. KG; Membe Board of Phot District Advi Deutsche Bank 1999).
Dr. Frank Tromel, 68(7)	Director	Since 1990	Deputy Chairman of the Supervisory Board of DELTON AG (strategic management holding company operation in the pharmaceutical, household products, logistics and power supply sectors) (since 2000). Member (since 2000) and Vice-President (since 2002) of the German Accounting Standards Board; Chairman of the Board of Managing Directors of DELTON AG (1990-1999); Chairman of the Board of Managing Directors of AL TANA AG (management holding company for the pharmaceutical and chemical operation) (1987-1990) and Member of the Board (1977-1987).	1	None

6

Executive Officers(8)

Name, Address(1) & Age	Position(s) with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years
Richard T. Hale, 58(9)	President and Chief Executive Officer	Year to year since 2001	Managing Director, Deutsche Investment Manageme (2003-present); Managing Director, Deutsche Ban (formerly Deutsche Banc Alex. Brown Inc.) and D Management (1999 to present); Director and Pres Company Capital Corp. (registered investment ad present); Director, Deutsche Global Funds, Ltd.

present); Director, Scudder Global Opportunities 2003); Director/Officer, Deutsche/Scudder Mutual dates); President, Montgomery Street Income Sec (2002 to present) (registered investment company President, Deutsche Asset Management, Inc. (2007) Formerly, Director, ISI Family of Funds (regist companies; 4 funds overseen) (1992-1999); CABET 2004), North American Income Fund (2000 to 2004) investment companies).

Vincent J. Esposito, 47(10)	Vice President	Year to year since 2003	Managing Director, Deutsche Asset Management (2 Formerly, Managing Director and Head of Relatio Putnam Investments (March 1999-2003) and Managi National Sales Manager, Putnam Investments (Mar 1999).
Bruce A. Rosenblum, 43	Secretary	Year to year since 2003	Director, Deutsche Asset Management (2002 to pr thereto, Vice President of Deutsche Asset Manag (2000-2002); and partner with the law firm of F Kroll & Simonds (1997-2000).
Charles A. Rizzo, 46(10)	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	Year to year since 2003	Director, Deutsche Asset Management (April 2000 Formerly, Vice President and Department Head, B Incorporated (now Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. Senior Manager, Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) (1993-1998).
Kathleen Sullivan D'Eramo, 47(10)	Assistant Treasurer	Year to year since 2003	Director, Deutsche Asset Management (2002 to pr Senior Vice President, Zurich Scudder Investmen Vice President, Zurich Scudder Investments and companies (1995-2000).

- (1) The mailing address of all directors and officers with respect to Fund operations is c/o Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10154.
- (2) Includes The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc., which are the other closed-end registered investment companies for which Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. acts as manager. It also includes 201 other open- and closed-end funds advised by wholly-owned entities of the Deutsche Bank Group in the United States.
- (3) All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (16 persons) owned 21,576 shares which constitutes less than 1% of the outstanding Common Stock of the Fund. Share numbers in this Proxy Statement have been rounded to the nearest whole share.
- (4) Inception date of the corporation which was the predecessor to the LLC.
- (5) Indicates "Interested Person", as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). Mr. Bult is an "interested" Director because of his affiliation with PaineWebber International, an affiliate of UBS Securities L.L.C., a registered broker-dealer; and Mr. Strenger is an "interested" Director because of his affiliation with DWS-Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Wertpapiersparen mbH ("DWS"), a majority-owned subsidiary of Deutsche Bank AG, and because of his ownership of Deutsche Bank AG shares.

The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc. are (6) the other closed-end registered investment companies for which Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. acts as manager. Messrs. Burt and Wadsworth also serve as Directors/Trustees of the following open-end investment companies: Scudder Advisor Funds, Scudder Advisor Funds II, Scudder Advisor Funds III, Scudder Institutional Funds, Scudder Investment Portfolios, Scudder Cash Management Portfolio, Scudder Treasury Money Portfolio, Scudder International Equity Portfolio, Scudder Equity 500 Index Portfolio, Scudder Asset Management Portfolio, Scudder Investments VIT Funds, Scudder

(footnotes continued on next page)

7

MG Investments Trust, Scudder Investors Portfolios Trust, Scudder Investors Funds, Inc., Scudder Flag Investors Value Builder Fund, Inc., Scudder Flag Investors Equity Partners Fund, Inc., Scudder Flag Investors Communications Fund, Inc., Cash Reserve Fund, Inc. and Scudder RREEF Securities Trust. They also serve as Directors of Scudder RREEF Real Estate Fund, Inc. and Scudder RREEF Real Estate Fund II, Inc., closed-end investment companies. These Funds are advised by either Deutsche Asset Management, Inc., Deutsche Asset Management Investment Services Limited, or Investment Company Capital Corp., each an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Deutsche Bank AG.

- Mr. Tromel's son has been employed since March 1, 2002 by an indirect (7) subsidiary of Deutsche Bank AG.
- Each also serving as an officer of The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central (8) Europe and Russia Fund, Inc. The officers of the Fund are elected annually by the Board of Directors at its meeting following the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- Mr. Hale announced his intent to retire effective June 18, 2004. In connection with his retirement, we anticipate that Mr. Hale will resign as President and CEO of the Fund as of that date. The Board of Directors will consider a replacement for Mr. Hale at its Board Meeting on July 12, 2004.
- (10) Indicates ownership of securities of Deutsche Bank either directly or through Deutsche Bank's deferred compensation plan.

The following table contains additional information with respect to the beneficial ownership of equity securities by each Director or Nominee in the Fund and, on an aggregated basis, in any registered investment companies overseen by the Director or Nominee within the same Family of Investment Companies as the Fund:

Name of Director or Nominee _____

Dollar Range of Equity Director or Nominee in Securities in the Fund(1) Investment Companies _____

Aggregate Dollar Range Securities in All Funds

Ambassador Richard R. Burt

\$10,001 - \$50,000 None

Over \$100,000 \$50,001 - \$100,0

John H. Cannon Richard Karl Goeltz Dr. Franz Wilhelm Hopp Ernst-Ulrich Matz Christian H. Strenger Dr. Frank Tromel Robert H. Wadsworth Werner Walbrol Peter Zuhlsdorff

\$10,001 - \$50,000 \$50,001 - \$100,000 \$50,001 - \$100,00 None None None None \$10,001 - \$50,000 Over \$100,000 \$50,001 - \$100,0 None None

None None \$10,001 - \$50,0 None None

- (1) Valuation date is April 2, 2004.
- The Family of Investment Companies consists of the Fund, The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc., which are closed-end funds and share the same investment adviser and manager and hold themselves out as related companies.

The Board of Directors presently has four standing committees including an audit committee (the "Audit Committee"), an advisory committee (the "Advisory Committee"), an executive committee (the "Executive Committee") and a nominating committee (the "Nominating Committee").

The Audit Committee, comprising Messrs. Burt, Cannon, Wadsworth and Walbrol, operates pursuant to a written charter which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Audit Committee's organization and responsibilities are contained in the Audit Committee Report, which is included in this proxy statement, and in its written charter. The members of the Audit Committee are "independent" as required by the independence standards of Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate and has determined that each of Messrs. Cannon and Wadsworth meets the requirements for an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission

8

("SEC"). Although the Board has determined that these individuals meet the requirements for an audit committee financial expert, their responsibilities are the same as those of the other audit committee members. They are not auditors or accountants, do not perform "field work" and are not full-time employees. The SEC has determined that an audit committee member who is designated as an audit committee financial expert will not be deemed to be an "expert" for any purpose as a result of being identified as an audit committee financial expert. The Audit Committee met five times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

The Advisory Committee, comprising Messrs. Cannon, Goeltz, Matz, Tromel and Wadsworth, makes recommendations to the full Board with respect to the Management Agreement between the Fund and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. ("DBSI") and the Investment Advisory Agreement between the Fund and Deutsche Asset Management International GmbH ("DeAM"). The Advisory Committee met once during the past fiscal year.

The Executive Committee, comprising Messrs. Cannon, Goeltz, Strenger and Wadsworth, has the authority to act for the Board on all matters between meetings of the Board subject to any limitations under applicable state law. During the past fiscal year the Executive Committee did not meet.

The Nominating Committee, comprising Messrs. Cannon, Goeltz, Tromel and Wadsworth, operates pursuant to a written charter which is attached hereto as

Exhibit B. The Board has determined that each of the members is not an "interested person" as the term is defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. The Nominating Committee's organization and responsibilities are set forth in the Nominating Committee Charter. Generally, the Nominating Committee identifies, evaluates and selects and nominates, or recommends to the Board of Directors, candidates for the Board or any committee of the Board. To be eligible for nomination as a Director a person must, at the time of such person's nomination, have Relevant Experience and Country Knowledge and must not have any Conflict of Interest, as those terms are defined in the Fund's By-laws. The relevant portions of the Fund's By-laws describing these requirements are included as Exhibit C. The Nominating Committee may also take into account additional factors listed in the Nominating Committee Charter, which generally relate to the nominee's industry knowledge, business experience, education, ethical reputation, special skills, ability to work well in group settings and the ability to qualify as an "independent director."

The Nominating Committee will consider nominee candidates properly submitted by stockholders in accordance with applicable law, the Fund's Articles of Incorporation or By-laws, resolutions of the Board and the qualifications and procedures set forth in the Nominating Committee Charter and this proxy statement. A stockholder or group of stockholders seeking to submit a nominee candidate (i) must have beneficially owned at least 5% of the Fund's common stock for at least two years, (ii) may submit only one nominee candidate for any particular meeting of stockholders, and (iii) may submit a nominee candidate for only an annual meeting or other meeting of stockholders at which directors will be elected. The stockholder or group of stockholders must provide notice of the proposed nominee pursuant to the requirements found in the Fund's By-laws. Generally, this notice must be received not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of mailing of the notice for the preceding year's annual meeting. Such notice shall include the specific information required by the Fund's By-laws. The relevant portions describing these requirements are included as Exhibit C. The Nominating Committee will evaluate nominee candidates properly submitted by stockholders on the same basis as it considers and evaluates candidates recommended by other sources. The Nominating Committee met once during the past fiscal year.

All members on each of the four committees of the Board are non-interested persons (except that Mr. Strenger, an interested person, is a member of the Executive Committee).

9

During the past fiscal year, the Board of Directors had four regular meetings, and each incumbent Director that served as a Director during the past fiscal year attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and meetings of Board Committees on which that Director served. The Board has a policy that encourages Directors to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to the extent travel to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders is reasonable for that Director. Two Directors attended the 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

To communicate with the Board of Directors or an individual Director of the Fund, a stockholder must send a written communication to the Fund's principal office at the address listed in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders accompanying this Proxy Statement, addressed to the Board of Directors of the Fund or an individual Director and the Secretary of the Fund. The Secretary of the Fund will direct the correspondence to the appropriate parties.

The Fund pays each of its Directors who is not an interested person of the

Fund, the investment adviser or the manager an annual fee of \$7,500 plus \$750 for each Board and Committee meeting attended. Each such Director who is also a Director of The Germany Fund, Inc. or The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc. also receives the same annual and per-meeting fees for services as a Director of each such fund. Effective as of April 24, 2002, no Director of all three funds is paid for attending more than two funds' board and committee meetings when meetings of the three funds are held concurrently, and effective, as of January 1, 2002, no such Director receives more than the annual fee of two funds. Each of the Fund, The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc. reimburses the Directors (except for those employed by the Deutsche Bank Group) for travel expenses in connection with Board meetings. These three funds, together with 201 other open- and closed-end funds advised by wholly-owned entities of the Deutsche Bank Group in the United States, represent the entire Fund Complex within the meaning of the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC. The following table sets forth (a) the aggregate compensation from the Fund for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, and (b) the total compensation from the Fund Complex that includes the Fund for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, and for those funds whose fiscal year ended on October 31, 2003, for each Director who is not an interested person of the Fund, and for all such Directors as a group:

Name of Director	Aggregate Compensation From Fund	Total Compensation From Fund Complex
Ambassador Richard R. Burt	\$ 0	\$168,640
John H. Cannon	\$16,500	\$ 16,500
Richard Karl Goeltz	\$15,000	\$ 15,000
Dr. Franz Wilhelm Hopp	\$10,500	\$ 10,500
Ernst-Ulrich Matz	\$10,500	\$ 10,500
Dr. Frank Tromel	\$11,250	\$ 11,250
Robert H. Wadsworth	\$10,250	\$170,000
Werner Walbrol	\$ 0	\$ 34,500
Peter Zuhlsdorff	\$10,500	\$ 10,500
Total	\$84,500	\$447,390

No compensation is paid by the Fund to Directors or officers who are interested persons of the Fund or of any entity of the Deutsche Bank Group.

The Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR Proposal 1.

10

Required Vote. Provided a quorum has been established, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the Meeting is required for the election of each Director. For purposes of the election of Directors, abstentions will have no effect on the result of the vote.

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Audit Committee has approved PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (the "Firm" or "PwC") as independent auditors for the Fund for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004. A majority of members of the Board of Directors, including a majority of the members of the Board of Directors who are not "interested" Directors (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Fund, have ratified the appointment of PwC as the Fund's independent auditors for that fiscal year. Based principally on representations from the Firm, the Fund knows of no direct financial or material indirect financial interest of such Firm in the Fund. That Firm, or a predecessor firm, has served as the independent auditors for the Fund since

inception.

Neither our charter nor By-laws requires that the stockholders ratify the appointment of PwC as our independent auditors. We are doing so because we believe it is a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors will reconsider whether or not to retain PwC, but may retain such independent auditors. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors in their discretion may change the appointment at any time during the year if they determine that such change would be in the best interests of the Fund and its stockholders. It is intended that the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy will vote for PwC. A representative of PwC will be present at the Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement and is expected to be available to answer appropriate questions concerning the Fund's financial statements.

The Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR Proposal 2.

Required Vote. Provided a quorum has been established, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Meeting is required for the ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors of PwC as independent auditors for the Fund for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004. For purposes of Proposal 2, abstentions will have no effect on the result of the vote.

INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO THE FUND'S INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The following table shows fees paid to PwC by the Fund during the Fund's two most recent fiscal years: (i) for audit and non-audit services provided to the Fund, and (ii) for engagements for non-audit services pre-approved by the Audit Committee for the Fund's manager and investment adviser and certain entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the manager and investment adviser that provide ongoing services to the Fund (collectively, the "Adviser Entities"), which engagements relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund. The Audit Committee of each Board will review, at least annually, whether PwC's receipt of non-audit fees from the Fund, the Fund's manager, the Fund's investment adviser and all Adviser Entities is compatible with maintaining PwC's independence.

		Audit Related Fees (2)		Tax Fees(3)		All Otl
			Adviser		Adviser	
	Fund	Fund	Entities	Fund	Entities	Fund
2003	\$51 , 164			\$ 9,267		
2002	\$45,500			\$12,034		

(footnotes on next page)

11

^{(1) &}quot;Audit Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for the audit of the Fund's annual financial statements and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

- (2) "Audit Related Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees."
- (3) "Tax Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance and tax planning.
- (4) "All Other Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for products and services other than "Audit Fees," "Audit Related Fees" and "Tax Fees."

Audit Committee Pre Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the Audit Committee must pre-approve (i) all services to be performed for the Fund by the Fund's independent auditors and (ii) all non-audit services to be performed by the Fund's independent auditors for the Fund's investment adviser or any Adviser Entities with respect to operations and financial reporting of the Fund. The Chair of the Audit Committee may approve or deny the request to engage the auditors to provide any fund services or fund-related services that are not listed on the pre-approved list if the cost associated with the request is \$50,000 or less, or at the Chair's discretion, determine to call a special meeting of the Audit Committee for the purpose of considering the proposal. Should the Chair of the Audit Committee be unavailable, any other member of the Audit Committee may serve as an alternate for the purpose of approving or denying the request. The auditors shall report to the Audit Committee at each of its regular meetings all audit or non-audit services to the Fund and all non-audit services to the Adviser Entities that relate directly to the Fund's operations and financial reporting initiated since the last such report was rendered, including a general description of the services and projected fees and the means by which such services were approved by the Audit Committee. The engagement of the auditors to provide certain services customarily required by a Fund in the ordinary course of its operation or by an Adviser Entity in the ordinary course of its operation is approved by the Audit Committee subject to pre-determined dollar limits. In all cases where an Adviser Entity engages the auditors to provide audit or non-audit services not previously described here and the projected fees for such engagement exceed \$25,000, the auditors will notify the Audit Committee not later than their next meeting.

All Non-Audit Fees. The table below shows the aggregate non-audit fees billed by PwC for services rendered to the Fund and to the Advisor Entities that provide ongoing services to the Fund, whether or not such engagements relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund, for the two most recent fiscal years for the Fund.

Fiscal Year	Aggregate Non-Audit Fees
2003	\$1,880,929
2002	\$ 468 , 792

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The purposes of the Audit Committee are to 1) assist the Board of Directors in its oversight of (i) the integrity of the Fund's financial statements; (ii) the Fund's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (iii) the independent auditors' qualifications and independence, and (iv) the performance of the independent auditors; and 2) to prepare this report. Each Member of the Audit Committee is "independent," as required by the independence standards of Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to this Proxy Statement. As set forth in the Audit Committee Charter, management of the Fund and applicable service providers are responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Fund's financial statements and for the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Management

and applicable service providers are responsible for maintaining appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles and policies and internal control over financial reporting and other procedures that provide for compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent auditors are responsible for planning and carrying out a proper audit of the Fund's annual financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

12

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee has considered and discussed the audited financial statements with Management and the independent auditors of the Fund. The Audit Committee has also discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, as currently in effect. The Audit Committee has also considered whether the provision of any non-audit services not pre-approved by the Audit Committee provided by the Fund's independent auditors to the Fund's investment adviser, manager or to any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Fund's investment adviser or manager that provides ongoing services to the Fund is compatible with maintaining the auditors' independence. Finally, the Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent auditors required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees, as currently in effect, and has discussed with the auditors their independence.

The members of the Audit Committee are not full-time employees of the Fund and are not performing the functions of auditors or accountants. As such, it is not the duty or responsibility of the Audit Committee or its members to conduct "field work" or other types of auditing or accounting reviews or procedures or to set auditor independence standards. The Audit Committee's considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of the Fund's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, that the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or that the Fund's auditors are in fact "independent."

Based upon the reports and discussions described in this report, and subject to the limitations on the role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee referred to above and in the Charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors of the Fund that the audited financial statements of the Fund be included in the Fund's annual report to stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Fund's Board of Directors

Ambassador Richard R. Burt John H. Cannon Robert H. Wadsworth Werner Walbrol

13

INFORMATION ABOUT THE INVESTMENT ADVISER AND MANAGER

Adviser

The Fund entered into an investment advisory agreement with Deutsche Asset Management International GmbH ("DeAM"), with principal offices located at Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190, 60327 Frankfurt am Main, Germany (at the time of execution of the agreement, DB Capital Management International GmbH) on March 6, 1990. This agreement continues in effect for successive twelve-month periods from its initial term, but only if the agreement is approved for continuance annually by the Fund's board of directors in accordance with the requirements of the Investment Company Act. Pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement, DeAM, in accordance with the Fund's stated investment objectives, policies and restrictions, makes recommendations to the Fund's manager, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., ("DBSI"), with respect to the Fund's investments and, upon instructions given by DBSI as to suitable securities for investment by the Fund, transmits purchase and sale orders and selects brokers and dealers to execute portfolio transactions on behalf of the Fund. The Investment Advisory Agreement was last submitted to a vote of the Fund's stockholders for approval on June 21, 1991. The Board of Directors, at its meeting on April 23, 2004, resolved to continue the Investment Advisory Agreement. Since neither DeAM nor DBSI is willing to provide services separately, each agreement provides that it shall automatically terminate upon assignment or upon termination of the other agreement.

DeAM, a corporation organized under the laws of the Federal Republic of Germany ("Germany"), is a wholly-owned subsidiary of DB Financial Services Holding GmbH ("DBFSH"), DBFSH is a wholly-owned subsidiary of DB Capital Markets (Deutschland) GmbH ("DBCM"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Deutsche Bank A.G. The principal corporate offices of DBFSH and DBCM are Mainzer Landstrasse 12 D 60325, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. DeAM provides international portfolio management services to institutional investors worldwide. As of December 31, 2003, funds worth \$8.7 billion were managed by DeAM for institutional accounts in more than ten countries, including the United States. DeAM also serves as investment adviser for The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc., which are closed-end registered investment companies, whose shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE").

With total assets of approximately \$1.0 trillion, Deutsche Bank AG is the largest commercial and investment bank in Germany and a leading European financial institution, and is ranked among the world's largest banks in terms of total assets as of December 31, 2003. Its principal corporate offices are located at Taunusanlage 12, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Deutsche Bank AG and certain of its affiliates are engaged in the management of client funds as well as investment advisory activities. The total amount of funds under management by Deutsche Bank AG and its affiliates was approximately \$714 billion as of December 31, 2003. Its shares trade on exchanges including the Frankfurt Stock Exchange, NYSE and Xetra (German Stock Exchange).

14

Certain information regarding the principal executive officers of DeAM is set forth below. DeAM does not have a supervisory board of directors.

Name and Address
----Hans-Joerg Baumann
Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190
60327 Frankfurt am Main
Germany

Principal Occupation
----Managing Director,
DeAM

Herbert Michel
Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190
60327 Frankfurt am Main

Managing Director, DeAM

Germany

Dr. Klaus Moessle

Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190 60327 Frankfurt am Main

Germany

Christophe Bernard

Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190 60327 Frankfurt am Main

Germany

Ralf Ring

Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190 60327 Frankfurt am Main

Germany

Marcus Goering

Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190 60327 Frankfurt am Main

Germany

Frank Scheidig

Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190 60327 Frankfurt am Main

Germany

Markus Oliver Behrens Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190

60327 Frankfurt am Main

Germany

Managing Director,

DeAM

Managing Director, Chief

Investment Officer

DeAM

Head of Compliance

DeAM

Managing Director, Chief Operating

Officer, Head of Legal

DeAM

Managing Director

DeAM

Managing Director

DeAM

Manager

The Fund entered into a management agreement with DBSI, with principal offices located at 60 Wall Street, New York, New York (at the time of execution of the agreement, Deutsche Bank Capital Corporation) on March 6, 1990. This agreement continues in effect for successive twelve-month periods from its initial term, but only if the agreement is approved for continuance annually by the Fund's Board of Directors in accordance with the

15

requirements of the Investment Company Act. Pursuant to the Management Agreement, DBSI is the corporate manager and administrator of the Fund and, subject to the supervision of the Board of Directors and pursuant to recommendations made by DeAM, determines suitable securities for investment by the Fund. It (i) handles the Fund's relationships with its stockholders, including stockholder inquiries relating to the Fund, (ii) is responsible for, arranges and monitors compliance with regulatory requirements and NYSE listing requirements and (iii) negotiates contractual arrangements with third-party service providers, including, but not limited to, custodians, transfer agents, auditors and printers. DBSI provides office facilities and personnel to carry out these services, together with clerical and bookkeeping services which are not being furnished by the Fund's custodian or transfer and dividend-paying agent. In addition, DBSI (i) determines and publishes the Fund's net asset value in accordance with its policy as adopted from time to time by the Board of Directors, (ii) establishes the Fund's operating expense budgets and authorizes the payment of actual operating expenses incurred, (iii) calculates the amounts of dividends and distributions to be declared and paid to the Fund's

stockholders, (iv) provides the board of directors with financial analyses and reports necessary for the board to fulfill its fiduciary responsibilities, (v) maintains the books and records of the Fund required by the Investment Company Act (other than those being maintained by the Fund's custodian and transfer and dividend-paying agent and registrar, as to which DBSI oversees such maintenance), (vi) prepares the Fund's United States federal, state and local income tax returns, (vii) prepares financial information for the Fund's proxy statements and quarterly and annual reports to stockholders and (viii) prepares the Fund's reports to the SEC. The Management Agreement was last submitted to a vote of the Fund's stockholders for approval on June 21, 1991. The Board of Directors, at its meeting on April 23, 2004, resolved to continue the Management Agreement.

DBSI is a wholly-owned subsidiary of DB U.S. Financial Markets Holding Corporation ("DBUSH"). DBUSH is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Taunus Corporation ("Taunus"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Deutsche Bank AG. DBUSH and Taunus each have principal corporate offices at 60 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005. DBSI is engaged in the securities underwriting, investment advisory and securities brokerage business, and is a member of the NYSE and other principal United States stock exchanges. DBSI also serves as manager for The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc., which are closed-end registered investment companies.

16

Certain information regarding the directors and principal executive officers of DBSI is set forth below.

Name and Address	Principal Occupation

Seth H. Waugh Chairman, Board of Directors 60 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

New York, New York 10005

New York, New York 10005

Michael Colon Member, Board of Directors 60 Wall Street

Marc Pfeffer Member, Board of Directors 60 Wall Street

Charles von Arentschildt Member, Board of Directors; President and Chief Executive Officer
New York, New York 10005

Richard W. Ferguson Managing Director and Treasurer 60 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005

Michael Kiernan Managing Director and Chief 60 Wall Street Operations Officer New York, New York 10005

Eric Gallinek Managing Director and Chief 60 Wall Street Compliance Officer New York, New York 10005

Christopher J. Mahon 60 Wall Street New York, New York 10005 Managing Director and Co-Chief Compliance Officer

John J. Rioux 60 Wall Street New York, New York 10005 Managing Director and Co-Chief Compliance Officer

Robert M. Broughton 60 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Director and Chief Financial Officer

Greg Eickbush 60 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Director and Chief Operating Officer

James T. Byrne, Jr.

Jr. Secretary

60 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Sonja K. Olsen Assistant Secretary

60 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

17

Investment Advisory Agreement, Management Agreement and Fees

Each year, the Board of Directors calls and holds a meeting to decide whether to renew the Investment Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreement (copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits D and E, respectively). In preparation for the meeting, the Advisory Committee of the Board (consisting exclusively of non-interested directors) requests and reviews a wide variety of materials provided by DeAM and DBSI, as well as extensive data provided by third parties, and the Advisory Committee receives advice from counsel to the non-interested directors. Upon completion of its review, the Advisory Committee makes a recommendation to the Board of Directors regarding continuation of the Investment Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreement. At its meeting on April 22, 2004, the Advisory Committee recommended continuation of these Agreements. At its meeting on April 23, 2004, the Board of Directors, including all non-interested members, approved the continuation of the Investment Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreement. The Advisory Committee's recommendation and the Board's approval were made after careful consideration of many different factors including: (i) the performance of the Fund versus the performance of other funds in the Fund's peer group and in comparison to relevant market indices, (ii) the investment objective and policies of the Fund, (iii) the nature, extent and quality of the service provided by DeAM and DBSI, (iv) the qualifications and experience of investment personnel of DeAM and DBSI, (v) the excellent reputation and overall financial condition of DeAM and DBSI, (vi) the access to the extensive research and other resources of Deutsche Bank Group, (vii) the investment advisory fee and management fee and the fees paid to other advisors and managers, (viii) other expenses of the Fund and the comparative expense ratios of other similar closed-end funds, (ix) the profitability of DeAM and DBSI with respect to the Fund, (x) indirect benefits to affiliates of DeAM and DBSI, such as brokerage, (xi) the extent to which DeAM and DBSI have realized or will realize economies of scale as the Fund grows, and (xii) the benefits of continuity in services to be provided by DeAM and DBSI. After evaluating the investment advisory relationship and management relationship under such factors, the Advisory Committee recommended, and the Board, including the non-interested members, determined that it was satisfied with the nature and

quality of services provided by DBSI and DeAM, $\,$ and that the fees $\,$ charged for these services were reasonable. Accordingly, the Advisory Committee voted unanimously to recommend continuation of, and the Board voted unanimously to continue, the Investment Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreement.

18

Summarized below is information about the advisory fees of DeAM and the management fees of DBSI with respect to the Fund, The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc.:

The Fund

month.

_____ Net assets at April 2, 2004 \$238,790,051.38 \$124,314,263.13 \$286, Rate of advisory fees Annual rate of 0.35% of the Fund's average weekly net assets up to ofDeAM(1) and 0.25% of such assets in excess of \$100 million, computed on the asset value at the end of each week and payable at the end of ea

Rate of management fees ofDBSI(1)

Annual rate of 0.65% of the Annual rate of 0.65% of the of such assets in excess of basis of net asset value at \$500 million, computed in each case on the basis of payable at the end of each net asset value at the end calendar month. of each week and payable at the end of each calendar month.

Fund's average weekly net assets up to \$100 million, assets up to \$50 million and asset of \$100 million and excess of \$100 million and excess of \$50 million, excess up to \$500 million and 0.50% computed in each case on the

The Germany Fund, Inc.

Annua compu basis the e payab caler

The C

and R

(1) Neither DeAM nor DBSI waived, reduced, or otherwise agreed to reduce its compensation under any applicable contract.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Fund paid aggregate amounts of \$524,870 and \$1,034,385 to DeAM and DBSI, respectively, in respect of fees. In addition, during such period, the Fund paid an aggregate amount of \$132,320 in brokerage commissions to Deutsche Bank AG or its affiliates, which constituted approximately 23.79% of the Fund's aggregate brokerage commissions of \$556,156.

Subject to best price together with efficient execution, orders are placed with brokers and dealers who supply research, market and statistical information ("research" as defined in Section 28(e) of the Exchange Act) to the Fund, its manager and investment adviser. The Fund's commissions to such brokers may not represent the lowest obtainable commission rates, although they must be reasonable in relation to the benefits received. The research may be used by the Fund's manager and investment adviser in advising other clients. Conversely, the information provided to our manager and investment adviser by brokers and dealers through whom their other clients effect securities transactions may be useful to them in providing investment advice to the Fund. Although research

from brokers and dealers may be useful to the Fund's manager and investment adviser, it is only supplementary to their own efforts. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, transactions in the Fund's portfolio securities with associated brokerage commissions of approximately \$556,156 were allocated to persons or firms supplying research to the Fund, its manager or its investment adviser.

Neither DeAM nor DBSI is liable for any error of judgment or for any loss suffered by the Fund in connection with the matters to which the Investment Advisory Agreement or the Management Agreement, respectively, relates, except for any loss resulting from willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence in the performance of, or from reckless disregard of, its obligations and duties under the Investment Advisory Agreement or the

19

Management Agreement, respectively, or a loss resulting from a breach of fiduciary duty with respect to receipt of compensation for services (in which case any award of damages shall be limited to the period and the amount set forth in Section 36(b)(3) of the 1940 Act).

The Investment Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreement provide that DeAM and DBSI, respectively, each bears all expenses of all employees and overhead incurred by it in connection with its duties thereunder. DBSI pays all salaries and fees of the Fund's directors and officers who are "interested persons" (as such term is defined in the 1940 Act) of DBSI. The Fund bears all of its own expenses, including, but not limited to, the following: expenses of organizing the Fund, fees and out-of-pocket travel expenses of the Fund's directors who are not "interested persons" (as such term is defined in the 1940 Act) of any other party and other expenses incurred by the Fund in connection with directors' meeting, interest expense, taxes and governmental fees, brokerage commissions incurred in acquiring or disposing of the Fund's portfolio securities, membership dues to professional organizations, premiums allocable to fidelity bond insurance coverage, expenses of preparing stock certificates, expenses of registering and qualifying the Fund's shares for sale with the SEC and in various states and foreign jurisdictions, charges and expenses of the Fund's legal counsel and independent auditors, Custodian and Transfer and Dividend-Paying Agent, expenses of obtaining and maintaining stock exchange listings of the Fund's shares, and the expenses of shareholders' meetings and preparing and distributing proxies and reports to shareholders.

The services of DeAM and DBSI under the Investment Advisory Agreement and Management Agreement, respectively, are not deemed to be exclusive, and nothing in the Investment Advisory Agreement or the Management Agreement prevents any party, or any affiliate thereof, from providing similar services to other investment companies and other clients (whether or not their investment objectives and policies are similar to those of the Fund) or from engaging in other activities. When other clients of DeAM or DBSI desire to purchase or sell a security at the same time the security is purchased for or sold by the Fund, such purchases and sales will, to the extent feasible, be allocated among such clients and the Fund in a manner believed by DeAM or DBSI, respectively, to be equitable to such clients. The allocation of securities may adversely affect the price and quantity of purchases and sales of securities by the Fund.

PROPOSAL 3: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

A beneficial owner (the "proponent") of Common Stock of the Fund has informed the Fund that she intends to present a proposal for action at the Meeting. The proponent's name and address and the number of shares owned by her will be furnished by the Secretary of the Fund upon request.

RESOLVED: The investment advisory agreement between Deutsche Asset Management International GmbH and the Fund shall be terminated.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

My goal is to enable all stockholders of the Fund to realize net asset value ("NAV") for their shares. The surest way to achieve this is to convert the Fund to an open-end fund (or to merge it into an existing open-end fund). If the Fund is open-ended, every shareholder will benefit. For example, if you own 1,000 shares of the Fund, they will be worth about \$1,570 more if it open-ends than if it remains a closed-end fund.

I believe that the current investment advisor, Deutsche Asset Management International GmbH, is the main impediment to open-ending because of a conflict of interest. The Deutsche Bank Group is selling many competing mutual funds in Europe and especially in Germany but they do not show any interest in offering The New

20

Germany Fund to their numerous customers as an alternative. Deutsche Bank did not undertake the few necessary steps to get rid of the tax disadvantage this fund has to domestic funds in Germany. We need to remove this impediment to increase the value of our shares. Passage of this proposal would not result in the immediate open-ending of the fund but would give the Board of Directors the chance to quickly hire a new investment advisor who is amendable to do whatever is necessary to enhance shareholder value. The Germans would be eager to buy a well managed fund with a discount and within a few months the fund should trade around NAV.

The meager measures like buy-backs meant to narrow the Fund's persistent discount have not accomplished much. The Fund has still one of the highest discounts among World Equity Funds. We deserve an investment advisor who is more concerned with increasing shareholder value than with promoting competing funds.

OPPOSING STATEMENT OF YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

For the reasons discussed below, your Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote AGAINST this stockholder proposal.

Your Board of Directors believes that this proposal is misleading. The "main impediment" to open-ending the Fund is not its investment adviser. That decision rests entirely with your independent Directors, subject to approval by stockholders owning a majority of the outstanding shares. By law, your independent Directors must apply their business judgment to look solely after your interests as stockholders. Your Directors believe the Fund is most effectively continued in its original, closed-end format. It is improper to suggest the investment adviser controls the decision. We urge you to keep this important fact in mind in considering our reasons for voting against the proposal below.

Wrong Means to the Wrong End. This proposal wrongly states that terminating the investment adviser will lead to open-ending the Fund. It will not do that, but it could harm your investment. Here are the facts.

If approved by stockholders, this proposal would directly terminate the investment advisory agreement with your Fund's investment adviser. Your Fund's investment process would therefore be disrupted since a new adviser would have to be hired and may have a different investment approach. The Fund would incur

expenses because under the Investment Company Act, a new agreement would require another stockholder approval.

Furthermore, open-ending the Fund could frustrate its basic investment objective. Open-end funds often must make involuntary portfolio sales to meet redemption requests. Not having to worry about raising cash on a moment's notice allows the Fund's manager to keep the Fund fully invested and search out the medium- and smaller-sized German companies that are the Fund's mandate. Your Fund is currently over 80% invested in small- and mid-cap companies. This portion of the portfolio is less liquid than securities with larger capitalizations; consequently, divesting quickly the holdings of your Fund will likely depress prices. The Fund's current closed-end format gives stockholders their liquidity through the ability to sell their shares on the NYSE rather than through redemptions, and is better suited to investing in less liquid markets. The Fund can invest for the long term and not worry about raising short-term cash to meet redemptions. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Fund's total return based on net asset value rose 93.1% and its total return based on its share price rose by 102.4%. The Fund's benchmark, the Midcap Market Performance Index, rose only 78.6% during the same period.

In addition, the Board of Directors and the investment adviser are very interested in attracting German investors to the Fund. Recent changes to the laws in Germany have eliminated the tax disadvantage the Fund has had to domestic funds in Germany, so long as the Fund complies with certain reporting and publication requirements. The Fund is currently investigating the reporting and publication requirements under the new German laws.

21

The person behind this proposal is seeking to make use of a stockholder right under the Investment Company Act of 1940 - the right to terminate an investment advisory agreement - to achieve the completely unrelated goal of open-ending your Fund for short-term gain at the expense of remaining stockholders.

The proposal is the wrong means to the wrong end. It will not cause open-ending, but it could disrupt your Fund's investment program.

Your Board unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST Proposal 3.

Required Vote. Adoption of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the Fund which, as defined by the 1940 Act, means the vote of (1) 67% or more of the shares present at the Meeting, if the holders of more than 50% of the outstanding shares are present and represented by proxy, or (2) more than 50% of the outstanding shares of the Fund, whichever is less. Abstentions and broker-non votes will have the same effect as votes against the proposal.

PROPOSAL 4: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

A beneficial owner (the "proponent") of Common Stock of the Fund has informed the Fund that it intends to present a proposal for action at the Meeting. The proponent's name and address and the number of shares owned by it will be furnished by the Secretary of the Fund upon request.

RESOLVED: The shareholders of The New Germany Fund (the "Fund") request the Board of Directors to promptly take the steps necessary to open end the Fund or otherwise enable shareholders to realize the net asset value ("NAV") for their shares.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

The Fund has traded at double-digit discount to NAV for a long time. On October 3, 2003, the Fund's NAV was \$7.36 per share but its shares were trading at only \$5.99, a discount of 18.6%.

Since \$7.36 is so much higher than \$5.99, some stockholders might wonder why management would not want to open-end the Fund. A Dow Jones news story a few years back revealed what we think is the reason: "It gives shareholders a way to get out at a profit, and so threatens the company's management fees." But what manager is going to admit that?

Instead, our manager may borrow a trick from Tom Sawyer. Do you remember how Tom got his friends to whitewash Aunt Polly's fence? He tricked them into believing that it was fun, not a chore. Our managers and our Board may try to use a similar strategy to confuse shareholders. Think about it this way. If somebody offered to buy your shares at NAV today, would you like that? Of course you would! Well, if the Fund open-ends, you can sell your shares at NAV at any time. Our managers can't deny that open-ending will increase the value of your shares so they need to convince you that you are better off owning a stock worth \$5.99 than one worth \$7.36. We are betting that you are not as gullible as Tom Sawyer's friends.

If we are right and if you would like to see the Fund's discount eliminated, we urge you to vote in favor of this proposal.

OPPOSING STATEMENT OF YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

For the reasons discussed below, your Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote AGAINST this stockholder proposal.

22

Your Board of Directors believes your Fund's closed-end format is one of its essential features. Not having to worry about raising cash on a moment's notice allows the Fund's manager to keep the Fund fully invested and to search out the medium- and smaller-sized German companies that are the Fund's mandate. In contrast, open-end funds must be prepared to liquidate securities regardless of market conditions in order to satisfy stockholder redemption requests. Your Fund is currently over 80% invested in small- and mid-cap companies. This portion of the portfolio is less liquid than securities with larger capitalizations; consequently, divesting quickly the holding of your Fund will likely depress prices.

The closed-end structure also allows managers to preserve their investment decisions during fluctuating markets. Due to the lack of mandatory redemptions the manager can stay focused on long-term goals rather than being forced to respond to large sentiment swings. Money tends to come into open-end funds during periods of good market performance and out of the same funds during market lows. This forces managers in open-end funds to buy at high prices when new money comes in and sell at low prices to cover redemptions during market bottoms. The closed-end format protects managers from this pressure to focus trading in a poorly timed fashion. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Fund's total return based on net asset value rose 93.1% and its total return based on its share price rose by 102.4%. The Fund's benchmark, the Midcap Market Performance Index, rose only 78.6% during the same period.

Your Fund's shares have traded at a discount to their net asset value in recent years. Your Board of Directors believes there may be some misunderstandings about the market discount of your Fund and other closed-end

funds. Market discounts (and premiums) are an inherent consequence of the closed-end format. They are affected by supply and demand factors for Fund shares, but their exact cause has not been adequately explained by financial analysts or academic studies. In any event, discounts can vary widely over time.

Recognizing that some stockholders nevertheless are troubled when the discount appears to be "too high," your Board of Directors has for a number of years conducted a share repurchase program aimed at reducing the discount. This repurchase program continues, along with efforts to increase market awareness of your Fund.

The Board of Directors certainly understands that if the Fund were open-ended, certain stockholders would benefit as a result of the ability to redeem their shares at net asset value. Nevertheless, your Board of Directors believes that open-ending the Fund would not benefit stockholders generally, particularly longer-term stockholders. Based on the experience of other closed-end funds that have open-ended in recent years, open-ending would most likely result in the redemption within a relatively short period of a large percentage of the Fund's outstanding shares and require liquidation of a corresponding portion of the Fund's portfolio. Because of the limited liquidity of the markets in which the Fund invests, this could be accomplished only at a loss in the value of the Fund's shares held by remaining stockholders that would result from the portfolio liquidations. Moreover, the increased redemption requests would incur additional transaction costs and leave a smaller amount of assets over which to spread the fixed costs of operation. Both of these factors would increase fees for the remaining stockholders which would further erode their returns.

Your Board unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST Proposal 4.

23

Voting Information

As the proposal is presented as a request for the Board of Directors of the Fund to act, there is no law or regulation that specifies the vote required to pass the proposal. Rather, in considering whether or not to take any action to open-end the Fund or otherwise enable stockholders to realize net asset value for their shares, the Board of Directors will give the request set forth in the proposal such weight as it believes appropriate based on the voting of stockholders for the proposal.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

As of May 24, 2004 no person, to the knowledge of management, owned of record or beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock of the Fund, other than as set forth below: (1)

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Outstanding Common Stock
SG Cowan Securities Corp.(2) 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020	2,009,881	7.02%
Wachovia Corp.(3) One Wachovia Center Charlotte, NC 28288	2,025,592	7.62%

- (1) Karpus Management, Inc. d/b/a Karpus Investment Management ("Karpus"), with principal corporate offices at 183 Sullys Trail, Pittsford, New York 14534, filed Schedules 13D with respect to the Fund on April 9, 2004 and May 7, 2004 indicating that it held 834,093 shares of the Fund, which represented 5.99% of the outstanding shares of the Fund. The filings also indicate that certain principals of Karpus hold 11,870 additional shares of the Fund. Based on the calculation by management, Karpus' holdings, including the holdings by certain principals, represent 3.3% of the outstanding shares of the Fund. To the knowledge of management, no other Schedule 13D or 13G had been filed by such entity with respect to the Fund as of May 24, 2004.
- (2) This information is based exclusively on information provided by such entity on Schedule 13G filed with respect to the Fund on February 14, 2002. To the knowledge of management, no other Schedule 13D or 13G had been filed by such entity with respect to the Fund as of May 24, 2004.
- (3) This information is based exclusively on information provided by such entity on Schedule 13G filed with respect to the Fund on February 11, 2004. To the knowledge of management, no other Schedule 13D or 13G had been filed by such entity with respect to the Fund as of May 24, 2004.

ADDRESS OF INVESTMENT ADVISER AND MANAGER

The principal office of Deutsche Asset Management International GmbH, the Fund's investment adviser, is located at Mainzer Landstrasse 178-190, D-60327 Frankfurt am Main, Federal Republic of Germany. The corporate office of Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., the Fund's manager, is located at 60 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, the Fund filed on a timely basis Forms 4 (Statement of Changes of Beneficial Ownership of Securities) for all Directors and Officers.

24

OTHER MATTERS

No business other than as set forth herein is expected to come before the Meeting, but should any other matter requiring a vote of stockholders properly come before the meeting, including any question as to an adjournment of the Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed Proxy will vote thereon according to their discretion. Abstentions and broker non-votes shall have no effect on the outcome of a vote to adjourn the meeting.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

In order for stockholder proposals otherwise satisfying the eligibility requirements of SEC Rule 14a-8 to be considered for inclusion in the Fund's proxy statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting, the proposals must be received at The New Germany Fund, Inc., c/o Deutsche Asset Management, 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10154, Attention: Secretary, on or before January 26, 2005.

In addition, the Fund's By-laws currently provide that if a stockholder desires to bring business (including director nominations) before the 2005 Annual Meeting that is or is not the subject of a proposal timely submitted for inclusion in the Fund's proxy statement, written notice of such business as

prescribed in the By-laws must be delivered to the Fund's Secretary, at the principal executive offices of the Fund, between January 26, 2005 and February 25, 2005. For additional requirements, the stockholder may refer to the By-laws, a current copy of which may be obtained without charge upon request from the Fund's Secretary. If the Fund does not receive timely notice pursuant to the By-laws, the proposal may be excluded from consideration at the meeting, regardless, of any earlier notice provided in accordance with SEC Rule 14a-8.

EXPENSES OF PROXY SOLICITATION

The cost of preparing, assembling and mailing material in connection with this solicitation will be borne by the Fund. In addition to the use of mails, proxies may be solicited personally by regular employees of the Fund or the manager or by telephone or telegraph. Brokerage houses, banks and other fiduciaries may be requested to forward proxy solicitation materials to their principals to obtain authorization for the execution of proxies, and they will be reimbursed by the Fund for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in this connection. The Fund has also made arrangements with Morrow & Co., Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies, if called upon by the Fund, at an estimated fee of \$7,500 plus reimbursement of normal expenses.

ANNUAL REPORT DELIVERY

The Fund will furnish, without charge, a copy of its annual report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 and the most recent semi-annual report, if any, to any stockholder upon request. Such requests should be directed by mail to The New Germany Fund, Inc., c/o Deutsche Asset Management, 345 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10154 or by telephone to 1-800-437-6269. Annual reports are also available on the Fund's web site: www.newgermanyfund.com.

Bruce A. Rosenblum Secretary

Dated: May 26, 2004

Stockholders who do not expect to be present at the Meeting and who wish to have their shares voted are requested to date and sign the enclosed Proxy and return it to the Fund.

25

EXHIBIT A

THE NEW GERMANY FUND, INC. (the "Company")

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER (Amended and Restated as of October 31, 2003; and further amended on April 23, 2004)

I. Composition of the Audit Committee: The Audit Committee shall be comprised of at least three directors, each of whom shall satisfy the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.(1) The Board of Directors (the "Board") shall determine that each member is "financially literate," and that at least one member of the Audit Committee has "accounting or related financial management expertise," as such qualifications are interpreted by the Board in its business judgment, and whether any member of the Audit Committee is an "audit committee financial expert," as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). If the Board has determined that a member of the Audit

Committee is an audit committee financial expert, it may presume that such member has accounting or related financial management expertise.

No director may serve as a member of the Audit Committee if such director serves on the audit committees of more than two other public companies unless the Board determines that such simultaneous service would not impair the ability of such director to effectively serve on the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that simultaneous service on the audit committees of The Germany Fund, Inc. and The Central Europe and Russia Fund, Inc. (the "Related Funds") would not impair a director's ability to effectively serve on the Audit Committee because, among other reasons, the boards of directors and audit committees of all three closed-end funds generally meet concurrently, have substantial director overlaps, have common service providers, and have many operating, financial reporting and accounting issues in common. The Board has further determined that service on the Audit Committee of the Company and the audit committees of the Related Funds should be considered service on a single public company audit committee for purposes of the three-audit committee limitation.

Members shall be appointed by the Board, and shall serve at the pleasure of the Board and for such term or terms as the Board may determine.

The Board shall designate one member of the Audit Committee as its chairperson.

- II. Purposes of the Audit Committee: The purposes of the Audit Committee are to:
 - assist Board oversight of (i) the integrity of the Company's financial statements, (ii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the independent auditors' qualifications and independence, and (iv) the performance of the independent auditors; and
 - 2. prepare the report required to be prepared by the Audit Committee pursuant to the rules of the SEC for inclusion in the Company's annual proxy statement.

(1) In order to satisfy Rule 10A-3 of the 1934 Act, a member of the Audit Committee may not (1) accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the Company other than (a) director's fees and (b) any other regular benefits that other directors receive, or (2) be an "interested person" of the Company as such term is defined in Section 2(a) (19) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

A-1

The function of the Audit Committee is oversight. The management of the Company, including the service providers so contractually obligated, is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements and for the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Management and applicable service providers are responsible for maintaining appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles and policies and internal control over financial reporting and other procedures that provide for compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent auditors are responsible for planning and carrying out a proper audit of the Company's annual financial statements and other procedures. In

fulfilling their responsibilities hereunder, it is recognized that members of the Audit Committee are not full-time employees of the Company and are not, and do not represent themselves to be, performing the functions of auditors or accountants. As such, it is not the duty or responsibility of the Audit Committee or its members to conduct "field work" or other types of auditing or accounting reviews or procedures or to set auditor independence standards, and each member of the Audit Committee shall be entitled to rely on (i) the integrity of those persons and organizations within and outside the Company from which it receives information, (ii) the accuracy of the financial and other information provided to the Audit Committee by such persons or organizations absent actual knowledge to the contrary (which shall be promptly reported to the Board of Directors), and (iii) representations made by management as to any non-audit services provided by the auditors to the Company, to the Company's investment manager or investment adviser or any entity in a control relationship with the investment manager or investment adviser, or to the Company's custodian (including sub-custodians).

The independent auditors shall submit to the Audit Committee annually a formal written statement (the "Auditors' Statement") describing: the auditors' internal quality-control procedures; any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review or peer review of the auditors, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, respecting one or more independent audits carried out by the auditors, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues; and (to assess the auditors' independence) all relationships between the independent auditors and the (a) Company, (b) the Company's investment manager or investment adviser, and (c) any entity in a control relationship with the investment manager or investment adviser, whether or not it provides services to the Company, and including at least the matters set forth in Independence Standards Board No. 1. The description of relationships should include a description of the non-audit services, including the fees associated therewith, that were not pre-approved by the Company's Audit Committee.

The independent auditors shall submit to the Audit Committee annually a formal written statement of the fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for each of the following categories of services rendered by the independent auditors: (i) the audit of the Company's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements; (ii) assurance and related services not included in clause (i) that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements, in the aggregate and by each service; (iii) tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning services, in the aggregate and by each service; and (iv) all other products and services $\$ rendered by the $\$ independent $\$ auditors, $\$ in the aggregate and by each service. The statement as to (ii), (iii) and (iv) should include (and separately disclose) fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for the indicated services to (a) the Company, (b) the Company's investment manager and investment adviser, and (c) any entity in a control relationship with the investment manager or investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the Company.

A-2

III. Meetings of the Audit Committee: The Audit Committee shall meet semi-annually or more frequently if circumstances dictate, to discuss with management and the independent auditor the annual audited financial statements and to address the matters set forth in Article IV. The Audit

Committee should meet separately at least annually with each of management and the independent auditors to discuss any matters that the Audit Committee or any of these persons or firms believe should be discussed privately. The Audit Committee may request any officer or employee of the Company or any service provider, outside counsel to the Company or the independent directors or the Company's independent auditors to attend a meeting of the Audit Committee or to meet with any members of, or consultants to, the Audit Committee. Members of the Audit Committee may participate in a meeting of the Audit Committee by means of conference call or similar communications equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other.

- IV. Duties and Powers of the Audit Committee: To carry out its purposes, the Audit Committee shall have the following duties and powers:
 - with respect to the independent auditors,
 - (i) to be directly responsible for the appointment, retention, compensation, and oversight of the work of the independent auditors (including the resolution of disagreements between management and the independent auditors regarding financial reporting), who shall report directly to the Audit Committee; provided that the auditor appointment shall be subject to ratification by the Board;
 - (ii) to be directly responsible for the appointment, retention, compensation and oversight of the work of any registered public accounting firm, other than the independent auditors, engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or to perform audit, review or attestation services, which firm shall report directly to the Audit Committee:
 - (iii) to pre-approve, or to adopt appropriate procedures to pre-approve, all audit and non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditors, including applicable non-audit services provided to the Company's investment manager and investment adviser and any entity in a control relationship with the investment manager or investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the Company;
 - (iv) to ensure that the independent auditors prepare and deliver annually an Auditors' Statement (it being understood that the independent auditors are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of this Statement), and to discuss with the independent auditors any relationships or services disclosed in this Statement that may impact the quality of audit services or the objectivity and independence of the Company's independent auditors;
 - (v) to obtain from the independent auditors in connection with any audit a timely report relating to the Company's annual audited financial statements describing all critical accounting policies and practices used, all alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles that have been discussed with management, ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred by the independent auditors, and any material written communications between the independent auditors and management, such as any "management" letter or schedule of unadjusted differences;
 - (vi) to review and evaluate the qualifications, performance and

independence of the independent auditors, as well as the lead partner of the independent auditors;

A-3

- (vii) to discuss with management the timing and process for implementing the rotation of the lead audit partner, the concurring partner and any other active audit engagement team partner and consider whether there should be a regular rotation of the audit firm itself; and
- (viii) to take into account the opinions of management in assessing the independent auditors' qualifications, performance and independence;
- with respect to financial reporting principles and policies and internal audit controls and procedures,
 - (i) to advise management, relevant service providers and the independent auditors that they are expected to provide or cause to be provided to the Audit Committee a timely analysis of significant financial reporting issues and practices;
 - (ii) to consider any reports or communications (and management's and/or applicable service providers' responses thereto) submitted to the Audit Committee by the independent auditors required by or referred to in SAS 61 (as codified by AU Section 380), as may be modified or supplemented, including reports and communications related to:
 - o deficiencies noted in the audit in the design or operation of internal controls;
 - o consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit;
 - o detection of illegal acts;
 - o the independent auditors' responsibility under generally accepted auditing standards;
 - o any restriction on audit scope;
 - o significant accounting policies;
 - o significant issues discussed with the national office respecting auditing or accounting issues presented by the engagement;
 - o management judgments and accounting estimates;
 - o any accounting adjustments arising from the audit that were noted or proposed by the auditors but were passed (as immaterial or otherwise);
 - o the responsibility of the independent auditor for other information in documents containing audited financial statements;
 - o disagreements with management;

- o consultation by management with other accountants;
- o major issues discussed with management prior to