

HealthSpring, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 11, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period From _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-32739

HealthSpring, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

20-1821898

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

9009 Carothers Parkway, Suite 501

Franklin, Tennessee

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

37067

(Zip Code)

(615) 291-7000

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share
(Title of Class)

New York Stock Exchange
(Name of Each Exchange on which
Registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: HealthSpring, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2009, was approximately \$535.5 million. For the purposes of this disclosure only, the registrant has included shares beneficially owned by its directors, executive officers, and beneficial owners of 10% or more of the registrant's common stock, as of such date, as stock held by affiliates of the registrant, notwithstanding that such persons may disclaim affiliate status.

As of February 8, 2010 there were 57,571,089 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	2
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	2
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	19
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	34
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	34
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	34
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	34
<u>PART II</u>	35
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	35
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	37
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	39
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	61
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	63
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	102
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	102
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	102
<u>PART III</u>	103
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	103
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	103
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	103
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	103
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	103

PART IV

104

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

104

Exhibit 10.13

Exhibit 10.24

Exhibit 10.25

Exhibit 10.33

Exhibit 21.1

Exhibit 23.1

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.2

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not historical fact are forward-looking statements that the company intends to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend on or refer to future events or conditions, or that include words such as anticipates, believes, could, estimates, expects, intentions, plans, potential, predicts, projects, should, will, would, and similar expressions concerning our prospects, plans, or intentions are forward-looking statements. All statements made related to our estimated or projected members, revenues, medical loss ratios, medical expenses, profitability, cash flows, access to capital, compliance with statutory capital or net worth requirements, payments from or to The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, litigation settlements, expansion and growth plans, sales and marketing strategies, new products or initiatives, information technology solutions, and the impact of existing or proposed laws or regulations described herein are forward-looking statements. The company cautions that forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors, including those described in Item 1A. Risk Factors, that may cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation beyond that required by law to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future. You should read this report and the documents that we reference in this report and have filed as exhibits to this report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect.

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1. Business****Overview**

HealthSpring, Inc., incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware in 2004, is a managed care organization operating in the United States whose primary focus is Medicare, the federal government-sponsored health insurance program for United States citizens aged 65 and older, qualifying disabled persons, and persons suffering from end-stage renal disease. Pursuant to the Medicare program, Medicare-eligible beneficiaries may receive healthcare benefits, including prescription drugs, through a managed care health plan. Medicare premiums, including premiums paid pursuant to our stand-alone prescription drug plan, account for substantially all of our revenue. Our concentration on Medicare, and the Medicare Advantage program in particular, provides us with opportunities to understand the complexities of the Medicare program, design competitive products, better manage medical costs, and offer high quality healthcare benefits to Medicare beneficiaries in our service areas. Our Medicare Advantage experience also allows us to create coordinated care structures of comprehensive networks of local hospitals and physicians. We attempt to center our networks on a primary care physician who is experienced and effective in managing the healthcare needs of Medicare populations and align our incentives with those of the primary care physician through a payment structure that rewards cost-effective care and improved outcomes.

As of December 31, 2009, we operated coordinated care Medicare Advantage plans in Alabama, Florida, Illinois, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. Effective as of January 1, 2010, we also commenced operations of Medicare Advantage plans in three counties in Northern Georgia. As of December 31, 2009, our Medicare Advantage plans had over 189,000 members.

We offer prescription drug benefits in accordance with Medicare Part D to our Medicare Advantage plan members, in addition to providing other medical benefits, which we refer to as our MA-PD plans. We also offer prescription drug benefits nationally on a stand-alone basis in accordance with Medicare Part D, which we refer to as PDP. As of December 31, 2009, our PDP had over 313,000 members, substantially all of which had been automatically assigned to us by The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, in connection with the CMS annual premium bid process.

Our corporate headquarters are located at 9009 Carothers Parkway, Suite 501, Franklin, Tennessee 37067, and our telephone number is (615) 291-7000. Our corporate website address is www.healthspring.com. Information contained or accessible on our website is not incorporated by reference into this report, and we do not intend for the information on or linked to our website to constitute part of this report. We make available our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports on our website, free of charge, to individuals interested in obtaining such reports. The reports can be accessed at our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. The public may also read and copy these materials at the SEC's public reference room located at 100 F. Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 or on their website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Questions regarding the operation of the public reference room may be directed to the SEC at 1-800-732-0330. References to HealthSpring, the company, we, our, and us refer to HealthSpring, Inc. together with our subsidiaries and our predecessor entities unless the context suggests otherwise.

The Medicare Program and Medicare Advantage

Medicare is the health insurance program for United States citizens aged 65 and older, qualifying disabled persons, and persons suffering from end-stage renal disease. Medicare is funded by the federal government and administered by CMS.

The Medicare program, created in 1965, offers both hospital insurance, known as Medicare Part A, and medical insurance, known as Medicare Part B. In general, Medicare Part A covers hospital care and some nursing home, hospice, and home care. Although there is no monthly premium for Medicare Part A, beneficiaries are responsible for paying deductibles and co-payments. All United States citizens eligible for Medicare are automatically enrolled in Medicare Part A when they turn 65. Enrollment in Medicare Part B is voluntary. In general, Medicare Part B covers outpatient hospital care, physician services, laboratory services, durable medical equipment, and certain other preventive tests and services. Beneficiaries that enroll in Medicare Part B pay a monthly premium, which was \$96.40

for most beneficiaries in 2009, that is usually withheld from their Social Security checks. Medicare Part B generally pays 80% of the cost of services and beneficiaries pay the remaining 20% after the beneficiary has satisfied a deductible, which was \$135.00 in 2009. To fill the gaps in traditional fee-for-service Medicare coverage, individuals may purchase Medicare supplement products, commonly known as Medigap, to cover deductibles, copayments, and coinsurance.

Table of Contents

Initially, Medicare was offered only on a fee-for-service basis, which continues as an option for Medicare beneficiaries today. According to CMS data, there were approximately 46.3 million people eligible for Medicare in December 2009. Under the Medicare fee-for-service payment system, an individual can choose any licensed physician accepting Medicare patients and use the services of any hospital, healthcare provider, or facility certified by Medicare. CMS reimburses providers if Medicare covers the service and CMS considers it medically necessary. Subject to limited exceptions, Medicare fee-for-service does not cover transportation, eyeglasses, hearing aids, and certain preventive services, such as annual physicals and wellness visits, although recent legislation permits the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to extend fee-for-service coverage to certain additional preventive services that are reasonable and necessary for the prevention or early detection of an illness or disability.

As an alternative to the traditional fee-for-service Medicare program, in geographic areas where a managed care plan has contracted with CMS pursuant to the Medicare Advantage program, Medicare beneficiaries may choose to receive benefits from a private fee-for-service, or PFFS, plan, a preferred provider organization, or PPO, or coordinated care plan such as our Medicare Advantage plans. The current Medicare managed care program was established in 1997 when Congress created Medicare Part C. Pursuant to Medicare Part C (and, as of January 1, 2006, Medicare Part D), Medicare Advantage plans contract with CMS to provide benefits at least comparable to those offered under the traditional Medicare fee-for-service program in exchange for a fixed monthly premium payment per member from CMS. CMS reimburses health plans participating in the Medicare Advantage program pursuant to a risk adjustment payment methodology based on various clinical and demographic factors, including hospital inpatient diagnoses, additional diagnosis data from hospital outpatient services and physician visits, gender, age, and eligibility status. All Medicare Advantage plans are required to capture, collect, and report the necessary diagnosis code information to CMS on a regular basis, which information is subject to review and audit for accuracy by CMS. The monthly premium varies based on the county in which the member resides, as adjusted to reflect the plan members demographics and the members risk scores. Individuals who elect to participate in the Medicare Advantage program typically receive greater benefits than traditional fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries, including as in our Medicare Advantage plans, additional preventive services and vision benefits. Medicare Advantage plans typically have lower deductibles and co-payments than traditional fee-for-service Medicare, and plan members generally do not need to purchase supplemental Medigap policies. In exchange for these enhanced benefits in coordinated care plans such as ours, members are generally required to use only the services and provider network provided by the Medicare Advantage plan. Many Medicare Advantage plans have no additional monthly premiums. In some geographic areas, however, and for plans with greater benefits or more open access to providers, members may be required to pay a monthly premium. PFFS plans and PPOs allow their members more flexibility in selecting providers outside of a designated network than coordinated care Medicare Advantage plans such as ours allow, which typically requires members to coordinate care through a primary care physician. PFFS plans and PPOs may, however, require higher co-payments than coordinated care Medicare Advantage plans.

The 2003 Medicare Modernization Act

Overview. In December 2003, Congress passed the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act, which is known as the Medicare Modernization Act, or MMA. MMA increased the amounts payable to Medicare Advantage plans such as ours, expanded Medicare beneficiary healthcare options by, among other things, creating a transitional temporary prescription drug discount card program for 2004 and 2005, and added a Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit that began in 2006, as further described below. In addition, MMA allowed various new Medicare Advantage products, including PFFS plans and regional PPOs, that allowed enrollees increased flexibility in selecting providers outside a designated network.

One of the goals of MMA was to reduce the costs of the Medicare program by increasing participation in the Medicare Advantage program. According to CMS data, enrollment in Medicare Advantage plans has increased from 5.3 million in December 2003 (pre-MMA) to approximately 11.3 million members in December 2009. Under MMA, Medicare Advantage plans are required to use increased payments to improve the healthcare benefits that are offered, to reduce premiums, or to strengthen provider networks.

Table of Contents

Prescription Drug Benefit. As part of MMA, effective January 1, 2006, every Medicare recipient was able to select a prescription drug plan through Medicare Part D. According to CMS reports, as of December 31, 2009, approximately 27.2 million senior citizens were receiving their prescription drugs under the Medicare program, 17.6 million of which were in stand-alone prescription drug plans. The Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit is subsidized by the federal government and is additionally supported by risk-sharing with the federal government through risk corridors designed to limit the losses and any gains of the drug plans and by reinsurance for catastrophic drug costs. The government subsidy is based on the national weighted average monthly bid for this coverage, adjusted for risk factor payments. Additional subsidies are provided for dual-eligible beneficiaries and specified low-income beneficiaries.

The Medicare Part D benefits are available to Medicare Advantage plan enrollees as well as Medicare fee-for-service enrollees. Medicare Advantage plan enrollees can elect to participate in either our combined medical and drug products, or MA-PD, or our stand alone prescription drug plan, or PDP, while fee-for-service beneficiaries are able to purchase a PDP from a list of CMS-approved PDPs available in their area, including our PDP. Our Medicare Advantage members were automatically enrolled in our MA-PD plans as of January 1, 2006 unless they chose another provider's prescription drug coverage or one of our other plan options without drug coverage. Any Medicare Advantage member enrolling in a stand-alone PDP, however, is automatically disenrolled from the Medicare Advantage plan altogether, thereby resuming traditional fee-for-service Medicare. Certain dual-eligible beneficiaries are automatically enrolled with approved PDPs in their region, including our PDP, as described below.

Under the standard Part D drug coverage for 2010, beneficiaries who are not eligible for low income subsidies pay a \$310 annual deductible, co-insurance payments equal to 25% of the drug costs between \$310 and the annual coverage limit of \$2,830, and all drug costs between \$2,830 and \$6,440, which is commonly referred to as the Part D gap. After the beneficiary incurs \$4,550 in out-of-pocket drug expenses, 95% of the beneficiary's remaining out-of-pocket drug costs for that year are covered by the plan or the federal government. MA-PDs are not required to mirror these limits, but are required to provide, at a minimum, coverage that is actuarially equivalent to the standard drug coverage prescribed by law. The deductible, co-pay, and coverage amounts are adjusted by CMS on an annual basis. As an additional incentive to enroll in a Part D prescription drug plan, CMS imposes a cumulative penalty added to a beneficiary's monthly Part D plan premium in an amount equal to 1% of the applicable premium for each month between the date of a beneficiary's enrollment deadline and the beneficiary's actual enrollment. This penalty amount is passed through the plan to the government. Each Medicare Advantage organization is required to offer at least one Part D prescription drug plan as part of its benefits. We currently offer prescription drug benefits through our national PDP and through our MA-PD plans in each of our markets.

Dual-Eligible Beneficiaries. A dual-eligible beneficiary is a person who is eligible for both Medicare, because of age or other qualifying status, and Medicaid, because of economic status. Health plans that serve dual-eligible beneficiaries generally receive higher premiums from CMS for dual-eligible members, primarily because a dual-eligible member tends to have a higher risk score corresponding to his or her higher medical costs. Pursuant to MMA, dual-eligible individuals receive their drug coverage from the Medicare program rather than the Medicaid program. MMA provides Part D subsidies and reduced or eliminated deductibles for certain low-income beneficiaries, including dual-eligible individuals. Companies offering stand-alone PDPs with bids at or below the CMS low income subsidy premium benchmark receive a pro-rata allocation and auto-enrollment of the dual-eligible beneficiaries within the applicable region. Substantially all of our PDP members result from CMS's auto-enrollment of dual-eligibles. For 2009, our PDP bid was below the relevant benchmarks in 24 of the 34 CMS regions. For 2010, our PDP bid was again below the relevant benchmarks in 24 of the 34 CMS regions, although the regions changed from the prior year.

Medicare Premium Rates. Since January 1, 2006, CMS has used a rate calculation system for Medicare Advantage plans based on a competitive bidding process that allows the federal government to share in any cost savings achieved by Medicare Advantage plans. In general, the statutory payment rate for each county, which is a calculation derived from CMS's estimated fee-for-service expenses and adjusted annually for medical inflation and other adjustment factors, is known as the benchmark amount. In average many counties, including some in which our members reside, the benchmark amount is substantially higher than CMS's current estimate of per beneficiary fee-for-service expenses. Local Medicare Advantage plans annually submit bids that reflect the costs they expect to incur in providing the base Medicare Part A and Part B benefits in their applicable service areas. If the bid is less than the benchmark for that

year, Medicare will pay the plan its bid amount, adjusted based on county of residence and members' risk scores, plus a rebate equal to 75% of the amount by which the benchmark exceeds the bid, resulting in an annual adjustment in reimbursement rates. Plans are required to use the rebate to provide beneficiaries with extra benefits, reduced cost sharing, or reduced premiums, including premiums for MA-PD and other supplemental benefits and CMS has the right to audit the use of these proceeds. The remaining 25% of the excess amount is retained in the statutory Medicare trust fund. If a Medicare Advantage plan's bid is greater than the benchmark, the plan is required to charge a premium to enrollees equal to the difference between the bid amount and the benchmark, which has made such plans charging premiums less attractive to potential members.

Table of Contents

Annual Enrollment and Lock-in. Prior to MMA, Medicare beneficiaries were permitted to enroll in a Medicare managed care plan or change plans at any point during the year. As a result of MMA, Medicare beneficiaries now have defined enrollment periods, similar to commercial plans, in which they can select a Medicare Advantage plan, stand-alone PDP, or traditional fee-for-service Medicare. The annual enrollment period is from November 15 through December 31 each year. Medicare Advantage beneficiaries have an additional election period that runs from January 1 to March 31 of each year to make one equivalent election. Generally, only persons turning 65 during the year, Medicare beneficiaries who permanently relocate to another service area, dual-eligible and institutional beneficiaries and others who qualify as disabled or for special needs plans, and employer group retirees are permitted to enroll in or change health plans outside of the defined enrollment period for that plan year.

The Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008

In July 2008, Congress passed the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008, commonly referred to as MIPPA. With respect to Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D plans, MIPPA increased restrictions on marketing and sales activities, including limitations on compensation systems for agents and brokers, limitations on solicitation of beneficiaries, and prohibitions regarding many sales activities. MIPPA also imposed restrictions on special needs plans, increased penalties for reimbursement delays by Medicare Part D plans, required weekly reporting of pricing standards by Medicare Part D plans, and implemented focused cuts to certain Medicare Advantage programs. The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that the Medicare Advantage provisions of MIPPA will reduce federal spending on Medicare Advantage by \$48.7 billion over the 2008-2018 period.

Products and Services

As of December 31, 2009, we offered Medicare Advantage health plans, including MA-PD, in local service areas in six states and a national stand-alone PDP plan. Effective as of January 1, 2010, we also began operating Medicare Advantage health plans in three counties in Northern Georgia. We also offer management services to independent physician associations in our Alabama, Tennessee, and Texas markets, including claims processing, provider relations, credentialing, reporting, and other general business office services.

Medicare Advantage Plans. Our Medicare Advantage plans cover Medicare eligible members with benefits that are at least comparable to those offered under traditional Medicare fee-for-service plans. Through our plans, we have the flexibility to offer benefits not covered under traditional fee-for-service Medicare. Our plans are designed to be attractive to seniors and offer a broad range of benefits that vary across our markets and service areas but may include mental health benefits, vision and hearing benefits, transportation services, preventive health services such as health and fitness programs, routine physicals, various health screenings, immunizations, chiropractic services, and mammograms. We offer prescription drug benefits in accordance with Medicare Part D to our Medicare Advantage plan members, in addition to providing other medical benefits.

Most of our Medicare Advantage members pay no monthly premium but are subject in some cases to co-payments and deductibles, depending upon the market and benefit. Our Medicare Advantage members are required to use a primary care physician within our network of providers, except in limited cases, including emergencies, and generally must receive referrals from their primary care physician in order to see a specialist or other ancillary provider. In addition to our typical Medicare Advantage benefits, we offer several different types of special needs zero premium, zero co-payment plans, or SNPs, to dual-eligible individuals and to institutions and chronic care plans targeting individuals with chronic conditions such as diabetes in certain of our markets.

The amount of premiums we receive for each Medicare Advantage member is established by contract, although the rates vary according to a combination of factors, including upper payment limits established by CMS, a member's location, age, gender, and eligibility status, and is further adjusted based on the member's risk score. During 2009, our Medicare Advantage (including MA-PD) per member per month, or PMPM, premiums across our service areas ranged from approximately \$847 to approximately \$1,367. In addition to the premiums payable to us, our contracts with CMS regulate, among other matters, benefits provided, quality assurance procedures, and marketing and advertising for our Medicare Advantage and PDP products.

Table of Contents

National Part D Plan. On January 1, 2006, we began offering prescription drug benefits on a stand-alone basis in accordance with Medicare Part D in each of our markets, which we expanded nationally in 2007. Under our national PDP program, members pay a monthly premium depending upon their residence in the relevant CMS region. The plan offers national in-network prescription drug coverage that is subject to limitations in certain circumstances. Our PDP uses a specific prescription drug formulary. Different out-of-pocket costs, in the form of federal subsidies, may apply for specified low income beneficiaries. For PDP members who do not qualify for a federal subsidy, the PDP has a \$310 in-network deductible, after which the member pays 25% of the costs of prescription drugs until total drug costs reach \$2,830. After exceeding this amount, the member must pay 100% of the cost of prescription drugs until out-of-pocket costs reach \$4,550, at which point benefits resume and the member must make copayments per prescription (which vary based upon the type of drug prescribed). For 2010, our national PDP bid was below the benchmark in 24 of the 34 CMS regions. Of our December 31, 2009 PDP membership of 313,000, approximately 38% reside in the six states where we offered Medicare Advantage plans. Substantially all of our stand-alone PDP members result from CMS's assignment of dual-eligibles.

Our Medicare Plans

We operate our health plans primarily through our health maintenance organization, or HMO, subsidiaries. Each of the HMO subsidiaries is regulated by the department of insurance, and in some cases the department of health, in each state in which it operates. We have transitioned some of our health plan operations, including our PDP, to an accident and health insurance subsidiary, which is also regulated by state insurance departments. In addition, we own and operate non-regulated management company subsidiaries that provide administrative and management services to our HMO and regulated insurance subsidiaries in exchange for a percentage of the regulated subsidiaries' revenue pursuant to management agreements and administrative services agreements. Management services provided to the regulated subsidiaries include:

negotiation, monitoring, and quality assurance of contracts with third party healthcare providers;

medical management, credentialing, marketing, and product promotion;

support services and administration;

financial services; and

claims processing and other general business office services.

The following table summarizes our Medicare Advantage (including MA-PD), PDP, and commercial plan membership as of the dates indicated:

	2009	December 31, 2008	2007
<i>Medicare Advantage Membership</i>			
Alabama	31,330	29,022	30,600
Florida	32,606	27,568	25,946(1)
Illinois	11,261	9,245	8,639
Mississippi	4,591	2,425	841
Tennessee	58,252	49,933	50,510
Texas	51,201	43,889(2)	36,661
Total	189,241	162,082	153,197
<i>Medicare Stand-Alone PDP Membership</i>	313,045	282,429	139,212

Commercial Membership(3)

Alabama	722	895	755
Tennessee (4)			11,046
Total	722	895	11,801

(1) The company acquired Leon Medical Centers Health Plans, Inc., or LMC Health Plans, on October 1, 2007. As of the acquisition date, the health plan had approximately 25,800 members.

(2) The company acquired Valley Baptist Health Plans on October 1, 2008. As of the acquisition date, the health plan had approximately 2,700 members.

(3) Does not include a health plan maintained by the company for company employees.

(4) As of January 1, 2009, the company ceased operations in its commercial business in Tennessee.

Table of Contents

Tennessee

We began operations in Tennessee in September 2000 when we purchased a 50% interest in an HMO in the Nashville, Tennessee area that offered commercial and Medicare products. When we purchased the plan, it had approximately 8,000 Medicare Advantage members in five counties and 22,000 commercial members in 27 counties. We purchased the balance of the interests in the HMO in 2003 and 2005. Our Tennessee market is primarily divided into three major service areas including Nashville/Middle Tennessee, Memphis/West Tennessee, and Chattanooga/East Tennessee. As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 58,300 Medicare Advantage members in 32 Tennessee counties. In 2008, in selected middle-Tennessee counties, we began offering tiered network products providing Medicare Advantage members the option of joining a preferred network of highly organized primary care physicians offering enhanced benefits or a separate network with reduced benefits and a monthly premium. This method of network tiering was expanded to include East and West Tennessee for the 2010 benefit year. As of January 1, 2010, we also commenced operations of Medicare Advantage plans in three counties in Northern Georgia. We currently consider these three counties to be part of the greater service area of Chattanooga.

Based upon the number of members, we believe we are the largest Medicare Advantage provider in the State of Tennessee. We believe the primary competing Medicare Advantage plans in our service areas in Tennessee are UnitedHealth Group, Windsor Health Group, Inc., Humana, Inc., and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Tennessee.

Texas

We began operations in Texas in November 2000 as an independent physician association management company. We began operating an HMO in Texas in November 2002 when we acquired approximately 7,800 Medicare members from a managed care plan in state receivership.

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 51,200 Medicare Advantage members in 38 Texas counties. Our Texas market is primarily divided into distinct major service areas, including the 14-county greater Houston area, an eight-county area northeast of Houston, and a three-county area in the Rio Grande Valley. In 2009, we expanded to 13 counties in and around Dallas Fort Worth and the county around Lubbock. Effective October 1, 2008, the company acquired the Medicare Advantage contract from Valley Baptist Health Plan operating in three counties in the Rio Grande Valley and consisting of approximately 2,700 members and entered into a contract with Valley Baptist Health System to provide services to the members.

We believe our primary competitors in our Texas service areas include traditional Medicare Advantage and PFFS plans operated by Universal American Corporation, Humana, Inc., UnitedHealth Group, Bravo Health, Inc., and KelseyCare Advantage.

Alabama

We began operations in Alabama in November 2002 when we purchased an HMO with approximately 23,000 commercial members and approximately 2,800 Medicare members in two counties. In 2005, we expanded our Alabama service area to substantially all of the state. In 2008, we reduced our Medicare Advantage service areas in Alabama from 33 to 21 counties. As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 32,000 members in Alabama, including approximately 31,300 Medicare Advantage members.

Table of Contents

In 2006, we discontinued offering commercial benefits to new individuals and small group employers in Alabama. Pursuant to Alabama and federal law, as a result of our decision to exit the individual and small group commercial markets, we may not reenter the individual and small group employer commercial markets in Alabama until late 2010. As of December 31, 2009, there were 722 commercial members participating in our large group employer plan in Alabama.

Based upon the number of members, we believe we are the second largest Medicare Advantage provider in Alabama. Our primary competitors are UnitedHealth Group, Viva Health, a member of the University of Alabama at Birmingham Health System, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Alabama, and Humana, Inc.

Florida

On October 1, 2007, we completed our acquisition of LMC Health Plans, which had approximately 25,800 members as of that date. As of December 31, 2009, LMC Health Plans had approximately 32,600 members. As part of the acquisition, we entered into an exclusive long-term provider contract with Leon Medical Centers, Inc. (LMC), which currently operates seven Medicare-only medical clinics located in Miami-Dade County and has over a 13-year history of providing medical care and customer service to the Hispanic community of South Florida. Services offered in the medical clinics include primary care, specialty-care, dental, vision, radiology, and pharmacy services as well as transportation for members to and from the clinics. In 2009, we expanded our South Florida dental benefit to cover restorative and replacement dentistry as well as preventive services. In 2009, we also began offering Medicare Advantage plans in two counties in the Florida panhandle.

We believe LMC Health Plans primary competitors in Miami-Dade County are Humana, Inc., Care Plus, Inc. (an affiliate of Humana), Preferred Care Partners, Inc., Medica Health Plans, Inc., and Avmed, Inc.

Illinois

We began operations in Illinois in December 2004 and, as of December 31, 2009, our Medical Advantage plans served approximately 11,300 Medicare Advantage members in five counties comprising the greater Chicago area. We believe our primary competitors in this market are Humana, Inc., Wellcare Health Plans, Inc., Aetna, Inc., and UnitedHealth Group.

Mississippi

We commenced our enrollment efforts in 2005 for Medicare Advantage plans in two counties in Northern Mississippi located near Memphis, Tennessee, consistent with our growth strategy to leverage existing operations to expand to new service areas located near or contiguous to our existing service areas. In 2006, we expanded in Southern Mississippi near Mobile, Alabama, and, as of December 31, 2009, we were operating in a total of 11 counties in Mississippi, serving approximately 4,600 members. Currently, we believe Humana, Inc. is the only other managed care company offering a competing Medicare Advantage plan in our service areas in Mississippi.

Medical Health Services Management and Provider Networks

To achieve our goal of ensuring high quality, cost-effective healthcare, we have established various quality management programs. Our quality initiatives focus on key quality areas to enhance the delivery and quality of care within our networks and to our members. We gauge our progress on these initiatives by reference, in part, to Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) and National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) quality standards. Our health services quality management programs integrate comprehensive case management and utilization management programs into one overall program to better coordinate the care of the Medicare population. We have implemented case management programs that provide more efficient and effective use of healthcare resources by our members. These programs are designed to improve outcomes for members with chronic conditions through use of evidence-based guidelines, coordinating fragmented healthcare systems to reduce healthcare duplication, providing gate-keeping services, and improving collaboration with physicians. A key focus of these programs is the coordination of care transitions among care settings and targeted reduction in readmissions. We utilize on-site critical care intensivists, hospitalists, and concurrent review nurses, who manage the transitions to and from outpatient care, hospitalization, rehabilitation, or home care. Our chronic care program focuses on care management, both telephonic and in-person, and treatment of our members with specific high risk or co-morbid chronic conditions such as coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, end stage renal disease, diabetes, asthma-related conditions, and certain other conditions.

Table of Contents

We have initiated a program designed to provide comprehensive examinations for our members by medical providers within the community. This process allows the member to be evaluated for care and case management concerns and be referred for further care within the community. This program has been active in our markets for almost a year. In 2009, we developed internal behavioral health services to better coordinate the care for our population. By bringing this service within the company, we believe we are better able to address behavioral and medical concerns in a coordinated manner. With respect to such services, we have contracted with an extensive network of providers, developed a centralized telephonic case management unit, and placed community-based case managers within key areas of our markets to provide face-to-face service with good results.

We have information technology systems that support our quality improvement and management activities by allowing us to identify opportunities to improve care and track the outcomes of the services provided to achieve those improvements. We utilize this information as part of our monthly analytical reviews and to enhance our preventive care and case management programs where appropriate.

Additionally, we internally monitor and evaluate, and seek to enhance, the performance of our providers. Our related programs include:

review of utilization of preventive measures and case management resources and related outcomes;

member satisfaction surveys;

patient safety initiatives;

integration of pharmacy services;

review of grievances and appeals by members and providers;

orientation visits to, and site audits of, select providers;

ongoing provider and member education programs; and

medical record reviews.

As more fully described below under **Provider Arrangements and Payment Methods**, our reimbursement methods are also designed to encourage providers to utilize preventive care and our other disease and case management services in an effort to improve clinical outcomes.

The following table shows the number of primary care physicians, hospitals, and specialists and other providers participating in our Medicare Advantage networks as of December 31, 2009:

Market	Primary Care Physicians	Hospitals	Specialists and Other Providers
Alabama	723	53	3,192
Florida	133	19	891
Illinois	705	36	2,768
Mississippi	239	7	645
Tennessee	1,255	56	4,048
Texas	1,665	107	3,821
Total	4,720	278	15,365

We maintain a **partnership-for-quality** program that offers financial incentives to medical practices that meet clinical care improvement goals, along with onsite resources and support that typically includes IT support and an in-office

practice coordinator, usually a nurse, that is dedicated to serving our members. We believe this initiative is leading to significant, broad based improvement in the quality and consistency of care provided to our members, along with increases in key preventive measures (including mammograms, diabetic exams, and vaccinations) and decreases in members' emergency room visits, hospitalizations, and total medical expenses. As of December 2009, the program included approximately 90 offices, 730 physicians and 73,600 members.

Table of Contents

HealthSpring currently operates three LivingWell Health Centers. The first center was opened in middle Tennessee in December 2006. A second center was opened in Mobile, Alabama in October 2007. The third center was opened in August 2008 in Houston, Texas. The centers are designed with the Medicare member in mind, and the physical space is easily accessible to patients, with wide corridors and doors, adjacent parking or valet service, and open reception areas. Members receive care from an expanded team, which includes their physician, nurse, a pharmacist, and nurse educator. An electronic medical record ensures that information is shared among all the care providers. The centers also offer a range of social and community events tailored to meet the needs of our Medicare members. We believe the centers improve member satisfaction, service levels, and clinical outcomes and provide for a more satisfying and cost-efficient manner for the physician to deliver care. We continue to believe and see evidence that the unique solution and experience created through LivingWell Health Centers will give us an advantage over our competitors not offering clinics, creating a more attractive network and healthcare delivery for our members.

Generally, we contract for pharmacy services through an unrelated pharmacy benefits manager, or PBM, who is reimbursed at a discount to the average wholesale price or maximum allowable cost for the provision of covered outpatient drugs. We also pay our PBM claims processing, administrative, and other program-related fees. Pursuant to contracts between the company and pharmaceutical companies, we are entitled to share in drug manufacturers' rebates based on pharmacy utilization relating to certain qualifying medications.

Physician Engagement Strategy

We believe strong provider relationships are essential to increasing our membership and improving the quality of care to our members on a cost-efficient basis. We have established comprehensive networks of providers in each of our markets. We seek providers who have experience in managing the Medicare population, including through a risk-sharing or other relationship with a Medicare Advantage plan. Our goal is to create mutually beneficial and collaborative arrangements with our providers. We believe provider incentive arrangements should not only help us attract providers, but also help align their interests with our objective of providing high-quality, cost-effective healthcare and ultimately encourage providers to deliver a level of care that promotes member wellness, reduces avoidable catastrophic outcomes, and improves clinical results.

In some markets, we have entered into semi-exclusive arrangements with provider organizations or networks. For example, in Texas we have partnered with Renaissance Physician Organization, or RPO, a large group of 13 independent physician associations with over 1,300 physicians, including approximately 500 primary care physicians, and approximately 32,000 enrolled members located primarily in and around the Houston, Texas metropolitan area. In Florida, pursuant to our exclusive arrangement with LMC, LMC provides services to our members at its seven medical clinics, including primary care, specialty care, dental, vision, radiology, and pharmacy services, as well as transportation for our members to and from the clinics. These arrangements increase our level of engagement with our providers and allow us to offer a high quality of care to our members while more effectively managing our medical expense.

We strive to be the preferred Medicare Advantage partner for providers in each market we serve. In addition to risk-sharing and other incentive-based financial arrangements, we seek to address administrative and resource issues commonly experienced by physicians, particularly PCPs, and to promote a provider-friendly relationship by paying claims promptly, providing periodic performance and efficiency evaluations, providing convenient, web-based access to eligibility data and other information, together with additional IT support, and offering additional clinical and point-of-care support. By fostering a collaborative, interactive relationship with our providers, we are better able to gather data relevant to improving the level of preventive healthcare available under our plans, monitor the utilization of medical treatment and the accuracy of patient encounter data, risk coding and the risk scores of our plans, and otherwise ensure our contracted providers are providing high-quality and timely medical care.

Table of Contents

Quality Assurance

As part of our quality assurance program, we have implemented processes designed to ensure compliance with regulatory and accreditation standards. Our quality assurance program also consists of internal programs that credential providers and programs designed to help ensure we meet the audit standards of federal and state agencies, including CMS and the state departments of insurance, as well as applicable external accreditation standards. For example, we monitor and educate, in accordance with audit tools developed by CMS, our claims, credentialing, customer service, enrollment, health services, provider relations, contracting, and marketing departments with respect to compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and other requirements.

Our providers must satisfy specific criteria, such as licensing, credentialing, patient access, office standards, after-hours coverage, and other factors. Our participating hospitals must also meet specific criteria, including accreditation criteria established by CMS.

Provider Arrangements and Payment Methods

We attempt to structure our provider arrangements and payment methods in a manner that encourages the medical provider to deliver high quality medical care to our members. We also attempt to structure our provider contracts in a way that mitigates some or all of our medical risk either through capitation or other risk-sharing arrangements. In general, there are two types of medical risk – professional and institutional. Professional risk primarily relates to physician and other outpatient services. Institutional risk primarily relates to hospitalization and other inpatient or institutionally-based services. We believe our incentive and risk-sharing arrangements help to align the interests of the physician with us and our members and improve both clinical and financial outcomes.

We generally pay our providers under one of three payment methods:

- fee-for-service, based on a negotiated fixed-fee schedule where we are fully responsible for managing institutional and professional risk;

- capitation, based on a PMPM payment, where physicians generally assume the professional risk, or on a case-rate or per diem basis, where a hospital or health system generally assumes the institutional or professional risk, or both; and

- risk-sharing arrangements, typically with a physician group, where we advance, on a PMPM basis, amounts designed to cover the anticipated professional risk and then adjust payments, on a monthly basis, between us and the physician group based on actual experience measured against pre-determined sharing ratios.

We also have a risk-sharing arrangement with LMC, our exclusive clinic model provider in South Florida, whereby we annually adjust such advance amounts based on our annual institutional and professional medical loss ratio, or MLR, for LMC Health Plan members.

Under any of these payment methods, we may also supplement provider payments with incentive arrangements based, in general, on the quality of healthcare delivery. For example, as an incentive to encourage our providers to deliver high quality care for their patients and assist us with our quality assurance and medical management programs, we often seek to implement incentive arrangements whereby we compensate our providers for quality performance, including increased fee-for-service rates for specified preventive health services and additional payments for providing specified encounter data on a timely basis. We also seek to implement financial incentives relating to quality of care measures or other operational matters where appropriate.

In a limited number of cases, we may be at risk for medical expenses above and beyond a negotiated amount (a so-called stop-loss provision), which amount is typically calculated by reference to a percentage of billed charges, in some cases back to the first dollar of medical expense. When our members receive services for which we are responsible from a provider with whom we have not contracted, such as in the case of emergency room services from non-contracted hospitals, we generally attempt to negotiate a rate with that provider. In the case of a Medicare patient who is admitted to a non-contracting hospital, we are obligated to pay the amount that the hospital would have received from CMS under traditional fee-for-service Medicare. In non-Medicare cases, we may be obligated to pay the full rate billed by the provider.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing Programs

Medicare Advantage enrollment is generally a decision made individually by the member. Accordingly, our sales agents and representatives focus their efforts on in-person contacts with potential enrollees as well as telephonic and group selling venues. To date, we have not actively marketed our PDP and have relied primarily on auto-assignments of dual-eligibles by CMS. As of December 31, 2009, our sales force consisted of approximately 1,430 appointed third party agents and 108 internal licensed sales employees (including in-house telemarketing personnel). For most of our markets, our third party agents are not exclusive to our plans. All of our third party sales agents are compensated on a commission basis in accordance with MIPPA and related regulations.

In addition to traditional marketing methods including direct mail, radio, television, internet and other mass media, and cooperative advertising with participating hospitals and medical groups to generate leads, we also hold educational meetings in churches and community centers and in coordination with government agencies. We regularly participate in local community health fairs and events, and seek to become involved with local senior citizen organizations to promote our products and the benefits of preventive care. Recently enacted MIPPA-related regulations affect where and how our marketing activities are conducted. For example, we cannot engage in marketing activities in health care settings or at educational events.

Our sales and marketing programs include an integrated multimedia advertising campaign. Major League Baseball Hall of Fame member Willie Mays, is our national spokesperson. Campaigns are tailored to each of our local service areas and are designed with the goal of educating, attracting, and retaining members and providers. In addition, we seek to create ethnically and culturally competent marketing programs, where appropriate, that reflect the diversity of the areas that we serve.

Our marketing and sales activities are regulated by CMS and other governmental agencies. CMS has oversight over all, and has imposed advance approval requirements with respect to, marketing materials used by our Medicare Advantage plans, and our sales activities are limited to activities such as conveying information regarding benefits, describing the operations of managed care plans, and providing information about eligibility requirements. MIPPA expanded the list of prohibited activities beginning in 2009 to include providing meals, cash, gifts or monetary rebates, marketing in health care settings or at educational events, unsolicited methods of direct contact, and cross-selling. Under MIPPA, the scope of all marketing appointments with potential beneficiaries and products to be discussed must be agreed to by the beneficiary in advance of the meeting. Further, all Medicare Advantage plans are required to have the plan type included in the plan name.

The activities of our third-party brokers and agents are also heavily regulated. MIPPA requires all agents, brokers and other third parties to be trained annually and to complete annual testing regarding Medicare Advantage marketing rules. We require background checks and maintain active and ongoing training and oversight of all employed and contracted sales representatives, agents, and brokers.

Medicare beneficiaries have a limited annual enrollment period during which they can choose between a Medicare Advantage plan and traditional fee-for-service Medicare. After this annual enrollment period ends, generally only seniors turning 65 during the year, dual-eligible and institutional beneficiaries and others who qualify as disabled or for special needs plans, Medicare beneficiaries permanently relocating to another service area, and employer group retirees will be permitted to enroll in or change health plans. The annual enrollment period is from November 15 through December 31 each year. Medicare Advantage beneficiaries have an additional election period that runs from January 1 to March 31 of each year to make one equivalent election. Since the implementation of MMA, we have significantly adjusted the timing and intensity of our marketing efforts to align with the limited open enrollment period.

Table of Contents

Competition

Our principal competitors for contracts, members, and providers vary by local service area and are generally national, regional, and local commercial managed care organizations, including PDPs, targeting Medicare recipients including, among others, UnitedHealth Group, Humana, Inc., and Universal American Corporation. In addition, MMA caused a number of other managed care organizations, some of which were already in our service areas, to decide to enter the Medicare Advantage market. Moreover, the implementation of Medicare Part D prescription drug benefits caused national and regional pharmaceutical distributors and retailers, pharmacy benefit managers, and managed care organizations to enter our markets and provide services and benefits to the Medicare-eligible population.

We believe the primary factors influencing a Medicare recipient's choice among health plan options are:

additional premiums, if any, payable by the beneficiary;

benefits offered;

location and choice of healthcare providers, including specific referral requirements for specialist care;

quality of customer service and administrative efficiency;

reputation for quality care;

financial stability of the plan; and

accreditation results.

A number of these competitive elements are partially dependent upon and can be positively affected by financial resources available to a health plan. We face competition from other managed care companies that have greater financial and other resources, larger enrollments, broader ranges of products and benefits, broader geographical coverage, more established reputations in the national market and in our markets, greater market share, larger contracting scale, and lower costs.

Regulation

Overview

As a managed care organization, our operations are and will continue to be subject to pervasive federal, state, and local government regulation, which will have a material impact on the operation of our health plans. The laws and regulations affecting our industry generally give state and federal regulatory authorities broad discretion in their exercise of supervisory, regulatory, and administrative powers. These laws and regulations are intended primarily for the benefit of the members and providers of the health plans.

Our right to obtain payment from Medicare is subject to compliance with numerous and complex regulations and requirements that are frequently modified and subject to administrative discretion. Moreover, since we are contracting only with the Medicare program to provide coverage for beneficiaries of our Medicare Advantage and PDP plans, our Medicare revenues are completely dependent upon the premium rates and coverage determinations in effect from time to time in the Medicare program.

In addition, in order to operate our Medicare Advantage plans and PDP, we must obtain and maintain certificates of authority or licenses from each state in which we operate. In order to remain certified we generally must demonstrate, among other things that we have the financial resources necessary to pay our anticipated medical care expenses and the infrastructure needed to account for our costs and otherwise meet applicable licensing requirements. Each of our health plans is also required to report quarterly on its financial performance to the appropriate regulatory agency in the state in which the health plan is licensed. Each plan also undergoes periodic reviews of quality of care and financial status by the applicable state agencies. Accordingly, in order to remain qualified for the Medicare program, it may be necessary for our Medicare plans to make changes from time to time in their operations, personnel, and services.

Although we intend for our Medicare plans to maintain certification and to continue to participate in those reimbursement programs, there can be no assurance that our Medicare plans will continue to qualify for participation.

Table of Contents

PDP sponsors are required to be licensed under state law as risk-bearing entities eligible to offer health insurance or health benefits coverage in each state in which a PDP is offered. In connection with the implementation of MMA, CMS implemented waiver processes to allow PDP sponsors to begin operations prior to obtaining state licensure or certification in all states in which they did business, even if the state already had in place a licensing process for PDP sponsors, by submitting a single state waiver in such states. As of January 1, 2010, we had obtained licenses to operate as a risk-bearing entity in 41 states, and single state waivers for 9 states that will expire on December 31, 2010. Although the company believes it will be able to obtain licenses or additional waivers in each jurisdiction in which the PDP operates, there can be no assurance that the company will be successful in doing so.

Federal Regulation

Medicare. We contract with CMS to provide services to Medicare beneficiaries pursuant to the Medicare program. As a result, we are subject to extensive federal regulations. CMS may, and does, audit any health plan operating under a Medicare contract to determine the plan's compliance with federal regulations and contractual obligations.

Additionally, the marketing activities of Medicare plans are strictly regulated by CMS. For example, CMS has oversight over all, and in some cases has imposed advance approval requirements with respect to, marketing materials used by our Medicare plans, and our sales activities are limited to activities such as conveying information regarding benefits, describing the operations of managed care plans, and providing information about eligibility requirements. Failure to comply with these marketing regulations could result in the imposition of sanctions by CMS, such as prohibitions from marketing a Medicare Advantage plan during the annual enrollment period, restrictions on a Medicare Advantage plan's enrollment of new members for a specified period, fines, and civil monetary penalties.

Fraud and Abuse Laws. The federal anti-kickback statute imposes criminal and civil penalties for paying or receiving remuneration (which includes kickbacks, bribes, and rebates) in connection with any federal healthcare program, including the Medicare program. The law and related regulations have been interpreted to prohibit the payment, solicitation, offering, or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for the referral of federal healthcare program patients or any item or service that is reimbursed, in whole or in part, by any federal healthcare program. In some of our markets, states have adopted similar anti-kickback provisions, which apply regardless of the source of reimbursement.

The federal anti-kickback statute contains two statutory safe harbors addressing certain risk-sharing arrangements. In addition, the Office of Inspector General has adopted regulatory safe harbors related to managed care arrangements. These safe harbors describe relationships and activities that are deemed not to violate the federal anti-kickback statute. Failure to satisfy each criterion of an applicable safe harbor does not mean that an arrangement constitutes a violation of the law; rather, the arrangement must be analyzed on the basis of its specific facts and circumstances. Business arrangements that do not fall within a safe harbor create a risk of increased scrutiny by government enforcement authorities. We have attempted to structure our risk-sharing arrangements with providers, the incentives offered by our health plans to Medicare beneficiaries, and the discounts our plans receive from contracting healthcare providers to satisfy the requirements of these safe harbors. There can be no assurance, however, that upon review regulatory authorities will determine that our arrangements satisfy the requirements of the safe harbors and do not violate the federal anti-kickback statute.

CMS has promulgated regulations that prohibit health plans with Medicare contracts from including any direct or indirect payment to physicians or other providers as an inducement to reduce or limit medically necessary services to a Medicare beneficiary. These regulations impose disclosure and other requirements relating to physician incentive plans including bonuses or withholdings that could result in a physician being at substantial financial risk as defined in Medicare regulations. Our ability to maintain compliance with these regulations depends, in part, on our receipt of timely and accurate information from our providers. Although we strive to conduct our operations in compliance with these regulations, we are subject to audit and review. It is possible that regulatory authorities may challenge our provider arrangements and operations, and there can be no assurance that we would prevail if challenged.

Table of Contents

Federal False Claims Act. We are subject to a number of laws that regulate the presentation of false claims or the submission of false information to the federal government. For example, the federal False Claims Act prohibits a person or entity from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false or fraudulent request for payment from the federal government, or making a false statement or using a false record to get a claim approved. The Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 expanded the scope of the False Claims Act by, among other things, creating liability for knowingly and improperly avoiding repayment of an overpayment received from the government and broadening protections for whistleblowers. The False Claims Act defines the term knowingly broadly. The federal government has taken the position, and some courts have held, that claims presented in violation of the federal anti-kickback statute may be considered a violation of the federal False Claims Act. Violations of the False Claims Act are punishable by treble damages and penalties of up to \$11,000 per false claim. In addition to suits filed by the government, the qui tam provisions of the False Claims Act allow a private person (for example, a whistleblower such as a former employee, competitor, or patient) to bring an action under the False Claims Act on behalf of the government alleging that an entity has defrauded the federal government. The private person may share in any settlement or judgment that may result from that lawsuit. When a private person brings a qui tam action under the False Claims Act, the defendant often will not be made aware of the lawsuit until the government commences its own investigation or makes a determination whether it will intervene. We may be subject to investigations and lawsuits under the False Claims Act that may be initiated either by the government or a whistleblower. It is not possible to predict the impact such actions may have on our business.

Federal law provides an incentive to states to enact false claims laws that are comparable to the False Claims Act. A number of states, including states in which we operate, have adopted false claims acts as well as other laws whereby a private party may file a civil lawsuit on behalf of the government in state court.

HIPAA Administrative Simplification and Privacy and Security Requirements. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, imposes requirements relating to a variety of issues that affect our business, including the privacy and security of medical information. The privacy and security regulations promulgated pursuant to HIPAA extensively regulate the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information and require covered entities, including health plans, to implement administrative, physical, and technical safeguards to protect the security of such information. Recently, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) broadened the scope of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations. In addition, ARRA extends the application of certain provisions of the security and privacy regulations to business associates (entities that handle identifiable health information on behalf of covered entities) and subjects business associates to civil and criminal penalties for violation of the regulations.

As required by ARRA, the Department of Health & Human Services, or DHHS published an interim final rule on August 24, 2009 that requires covered entities to report breaches of unsecured protected health information to affected individuals without unreasonable delay, but not to exceed 60 days of discovery of the breach by a covered entity or its agents. Notification must also be made to DHHS and, in certain situations involving large breaches, to the media. Various state laws and regulations may also require us to notify affected individuals in the event of a data breach involving individually identifiable information.

Violations of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations may result in civil and criminal penalties, and ARRA has strengthened the enforcement provisions of HIPAA, which may result in increased enforcement activity. Under ARRA, DHHS is required to conduct periodic compliance audits of covered entities and their business associates. ARRA broadens the applicability of the criminal penalty provisions to employees of covered entities and requires DHHS to impose penalties for violations resulting from willful neglect. ARRA also significantly increases the amount of the civil penalties, with penalties of up to \$50,000 per violation for a maximum civil penalty of \$1,500,000 in a calendar year for violations of the same requirement. In addition, ARRA authorizes state attorneys general to bring civil actions seeking either injunction or damages in response to violations of HIPAA privacy and security regulations that threaten the privacy of state residents. We remain subject to any federal or state privacy-related laws that are more restrictive than the privacy regulations issued under HIPAA. These laws vary and could impose additional penalties.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to HIPAA, DHHS has adopted regulations establishing electronic data transmission standards that all healthcare providers must use when submitting or receiving certain healthcare transactions electronically and that health plans must support. In addition, HIPAA requires that each provider use and plans support a National Provider Identifier. In January 2009, CMS published a final rule regarding updated standard code sets for certain diagnoses and procedures known as ICD-10 code sets and related changes to the formats used for certain electronic transactions. Use of the ICD-10 code sets is not mandatory until October 1, 2013. We believe that use of the ICD-10 code sets will require significant administrative changes to our operations.

On January 8, 2001, the U.S. Department of Labor's Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, and DHHS adopted two regulations that provide guidance on the nondiscrimination provisions under HIPAA as they relate to health factors and wellness programs. These provisions prohibit a group health plan or group health insurance issuer from denying an individual eligibility for benefits or charging an individual a higher premium based on a health factor. These regulations have not had a material adverse effect on our business.

There are numerous other laws and legislative and regulatory initiatives at the federal and state levels addressing privacy and security concerns. For example, the Federal Trade Commission issued a final rule in October 2007 requiring financial institutions and creditors, which may include health providers and health plans, to implement written identity theft prevention programs to detect, prevent, and mitigate identity theft in connection with certain accounts. The enforcement date for this rule has been postponed multiple times, most recently until June 1, 2010. We conduct our operations in an attempt to comply with the HIPAA privacy and security regulations and other applicable privacy and security requirements. There can be no assurance, however, that, upon review, regulatory authorities will find that we are in compliance with these requirements.

Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The provision of services to certain employee health benefit plans is subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA. ERISA regulates certain aspects of the relationships between plans and employers who maintain employee benefit plans subject to ERISA. Some of our administrative services and other activities may also be subject to regulation under ERISA.

The U.S. Department of Labor adopted federal regulations that establish claims procedures for employee benefit plans under ERISA. The regulations shorten the time allowed for health and disability plans to respond to claims and appeals, establish requirements for plan responses to appeals, and expand required disclosures to participants and beneficiaries. These regulations have not had a material adverse effect on our business.

State Regulation

Each of our HMO and regulated insurance subsidiaries is licensed in the markets in which it operates and is subject to the rules, regulations, and oversight by the applicable state department of insurance in the areas of licensing and solvency. Our HMO and regulated insurance subsidiaries file reports with these state agencies describing their capital structure, ownership, financial condition, certain inter-company transactions, and business operations. Our HMO and regulated insurance subsidiaries are also generally required to demonstrate, among other things, that we have an adequate provider network, that our systems are capable of processing providers' claims in a timely fashion and collecting and analyzing the information needed to manage their business. State regulations also require the prior approval or notice of acquisitions or similar transactions involving our regulated subsidiaries and of certain transactions between the regulated subsidiaries and affiliated entities or persons, such as the payment of dividends. Our HMO and regulated insurance subsidiaries are required to maintain minimum levels of statutory capital. The minimum statutory capital requirements differ by state and are generally based on a percentage of annualized premium revenue, a percentage of annualized healthcare costs, or risk-based capital, or RBC, requirements. The RBC requirements are based on guidelines established by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, and are administered by the states. If adopted, the RBC requirements may be modified as each state legislature deems appropriate for that state. Currently, only our Texas HMO and accident and health insurance subsidiaries are subject to statutory RBC requirements. Our other HMO subsidiaries are subject to other minimum statutory capital requirements mandated by the states in which they are licensed. These requirements assess the capital adequacy of the regulated subsidiary based upon investment asset risks, insurance risks, interest rate risks and other risks associated with its business to determine the amount of statutory capital believed to be required to support the HMO's business. If a

regulated insurance subsidiary's statutory capital level falls below certain required capital levels, the subsidiary may be required to submit a capital corrective plan to the state department of insurance, and at certain levels may be subjected to regulatory orders, including regulatory control through rehabilitation, or liquidation proceedings.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2009, MIPPA required that all Medicare Advantage and PDP agents and brokers be licensed by their respective states. In addition, where applicable, Medicare Advantage and PDP organizations must also comply with state appointment laws. MIPPA further requires that Medicare Advantage and PDP organizations report to the applicable state, as required by state law, the termination of any agent or broker, including the reasons for such termination. Medicare Advantage and PDP organizations must also timely comply with a state's request for information regarding the performance of a licensed agent, broker, or other third party representing the organization pursuant to a state's investigation.

Technology

We have developed and implemented information technology solutions that we believe are critical to providing accurate data for and about our members and for complying with governmental and contractual requirements. Our systems collect and process information centrally and support our core administrative functions, including premium billing, claims processing, utilization management, reporting, medical cost trending, and planning and analysis. These systems also support various member and provider service functions, including enrollment, member eligibility verification, claims status inquiries, and referrals and authorizations. We continue to enhance our in-house case management software functionality and expand electronic medical records to improve the quality of care.

We have recently completed an enterprise-wide migration to a new voice over IP telephony and call center platform, increasing call center functionality and allowing for virtualized enterprise capability and redundancy. We have also enhanced our data security and compliance through, among other things encrypting all information on laptop, desktop, and personal devices. We continue our custom development of an internal data warehouse, which enhances our ability to analyze data as well as rapidly respond to changing market, regulatory, and operational requirements.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 1,800 employees, substantially all of whom were full-time. None of our employees are presently covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We consider relations with our employees to be good.

Table of Contents**Service Marks**

The name HealthSpring is a registered service mark with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. We also have other registered service marks. Prior use of our service marks by third parties may prevent us from using our service marks in certain geographic areas. We intend to protect our service marks by appropriate legal action whenever necessary.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

The following are our executive officers and their biographies and ages as of February 4, 2010:

Herbert A. Fritch, age 59, has served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the company and its predecessor, NewQuest, LLC, since the commencement of operations in September 2000. He also served as our President from commencement of operations until October 2008. Beginning his career in 1973 as an actuary, Mr. Fritch has over 35 years of experience in the managed healthcare business. Prior to founding NewQuest, LLC, Mr. Fritch founded and served as president of North American Medical Management, Inc., or NAMM, an independent physician association management company, from 1991 to 1999. NAMM was acquired by PhyCor, Inc., a physician practice management company, in 1995. Mr. Fritch also served as vice president of managed care for PhyCor following PhyCor's acquisition of NAMM. Prior to founding NAMM, Mr. Fritch served as a regional vice president for Partners National Healthplans from 1988 to 1991, where he was responsible for the oversight of seven HMOs in the southern region. Mr. Fritch holds a B.A. in Mathematics from Carleton College. Mr. Fritch is a fellow of the Society of Actuaries and a member of the Academy of Actuaries.

Michael G. Mirt, age 58, has served as President of the company since November 2008. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Mirt served as executive vice president and chief operating officer of AmeriChoice, a UnitedHealth Group company and public-sector-focused managed care organization, from May 2005 to August 2007. Prior to his service with AmeriChoice, Mr. Mirt worked as a private consultant in the healthcare industry from 2004 through May 2005 after serving as a regional president for Cigna Healthcare from 1999 to 2003. Mr. Mirt holds Bachelor of Science and Master of Health Sciences degrees from Wichita State University.

Karey L. Witty, age 45, has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the company since July 2009. Mr. Witty has over 15 years of experience in financial management positions in the healthcare industry, including most recently as executive vice president and chief financial officer of Valitès Health Services Inc., a clinical contract and healthcare management services company, from March 2007 to July 2009. Prior to that, beginning in 1999, Mr. Witty served in various capacities for Centene Corporation, a Medicaid-focused, multi-line managed care organization, including as chief financial officer of the parent company for approximately six years, including during its 2001 initial public offering, and as chief executive of the health plan business unit overseeing Medicaid operations in eight states. Mr. Witty holds a B.B.A. in Accounting from Middle Tennessee State University and is a certified public accountant.

Sharad Mansukani, age 40, has served as one of the company's directors since June 2007 and has served as the company's Executive Vice President - Chief Strategy Officer since November 2008. Dr. Mansukani also serves as a senior advisor of Texas Pacific Group, a private equity investment firm (TPG), and serves on the faculties at University of Pennsylvania and Temple University schools of medicine. Dr. Mansukani previously served as senior advisor to the administrator of CMS from 2003 to 2005, and as senior vice president and chief medical officer of Health Partners, a non-profit Medicaid and Medicare health plan owned at the time by certain Philadelphia-area hospitals, from 1999 to 2003. Dr. Mansukani completed a residency and fellowship in ophthalmology at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine and a fellowship in quality management and managed care at the Wharton School of Business. Dr. Mansukani serves as a director of IASIS Healthcare, LLC, an owner and operator of acute care hospitals, Moksha8 Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a pharmaceutical company specializing in emerging markets, and Surgical Care Affiliates, an operator of ambulatory surgery centers, all of which are TPG portfolio companies.

Table of Contents

Scott C. Huebner, age 37, has served as Executive Vice President since March 2009 and as the President of the HealthSpring's Texas market and of HealthSpring's GulfQuest management operations since January 2006. Prior to that, he served as a Senior Vice President of the company and Vice President of Network Operations of Texas HealthSpring. Prior to joining HealthSpring in 2000, Mr. Huebner served as senior administrator for NAMM. Mr. Huebner holds a B.A. in Marketing from Texas A&M University.

M. Shawn Morris, age 46, has served as Executive Vice President since March 2009 and as the President of HealthSpring's Tennessee market since January 2007. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President of the company and as the Vice President of Operations. Before joining HealthSpring in 2005, Mr. Morris served as a regional manager for Manheim, a provider of automotive remarketing services, from 2003 to 2005. Mr. Morris also served as the executive chief financial officer and executive vice president of operations at Digital Connections Inc. from 1999 to 2003, and as the vice president of managed care operations for NAMM from 1995 to 1999. Mr. Morris holds a B.S. in Accounting from Western Kentucky University.

Mark A. Tulloch, age 47, has served as Executive Vice President-Enterprise Operations since March 2009. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President of Managed Care Operations from January 2007 to March 2009 and Senior Vice President of Pharmacy Operations from July through December 2006. Prior to joining the company, he served from March 2003 to July 2006 as senior vice president of operations for United Surgical Partners International, Inc. (USPI), an owner and operator of short-stay surgical facilities. Prior to March 2003, Mr. Tulloch spent seven years with OrthoLink Physicians Corporation, a subsidiary of USPI specializing in orthopaedic practice management and ancillary development. Mr. Tulloch served in various capacities for OrthoLink, including as president and chief operating officer. Mr. Tulloch holds an M.B.A. from the Massey School at Belmont University, a M.Ed. from Vanderbilt University, and a B.S. from Middle Tennessee State University.

J. Gentry Barden, age 48, has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary of the company since July 2005. From September 2003 to July 2005, Mr. Barden was a member of Brentwood Capital Advisors LLC, an investment banking firm based in Nashville, Tennessee. From December 1998 to February 2003, Mr. Barden was a managing director of two different investment banking firms. For over 12 years prior to December 1998, Mr. Barden was a corporate and securities lawyer, including with Bass, Berry & Sims PLC. Mr. Barden graduated with a B.A. from The University of the South (Sewanee) and with a J.D. from the University of Texas.

David L. Terry, Jr., age 58, has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Actuary of the company since March 2005, and served in various capacities, including Chief Actuary, for the company's predecessor since July 2003. Prior to that, Mr. Terry served as senior consultant for Reden & Anders, Ltd., a healthcare consulting firm, from July 2000 to July 2003. Mr. Terry holds a B.S. in Statistics from Colorado State University and an M.S. in actuarial science from the University of Nebraska.

Dirk O. Wales, M.D., age 52, has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer of the company since February 2008. Dr. Wales has also served as Chief Clinical Officer of the company since July 2007 and as Senior Medical Director of the company's Texas health plan since February 2003. For over four years prior to joining the company, Dr. Wales served as chief medical officer of NAMM. Dr. Wales obtained an M.D. and a Psy.D. from Wright State University and a B.S. from Emory University.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

You should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described below, and all information contained in this report, in evaluating our company and our business. The occurrence of any of the following risks or uncertainties described below could significantly and adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition, and operating results.

Risks Related to Our Industry***Reductions or Less Than Expected Increases in Funding for Medicare Programs Could Significantly Reduce Our Profitability.***

Medicare premiums, including premiums paid to our PDP, account for substantially all of our revenue. As a consequence, our profitability is dependent on government funding levels for Medicare programs. As currently structured, the premium rates paid to Medicare health plans like ours are established by contract, although the rates differ depending on a combination of factors, including upper payment limits established by CMS, a member's health profile and status, age, gender, county or region, benefit mix, member eligibility categories, and a member's risk score. MIPPA provides for reduced federal spending on the Medicare Advantage program by a total of \$48.7 billion over the 2008 to 2018 period. MIPPA also requires the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, or MedPac, to report on both the quality of care provided under Medicare Advantage plans and the cost to the Medicare program of such plans. In June 2009, MedPac released its report concluding that, in 2009, the Medicare program will pay substantially more for Medicare Advantage enrollees than if such enrollees were in traditional fee-for-service Medicare and recommending lower payments to, and quality performance standards for, Medicare Advantage plans. In November 2009, MedPac approved an eight part methodology for comparing performance measures among Medicare Advantage plans and between the Medicare Advantage and traditional Medicare fee-for-service programs. There can be no assurance whether Congress will adopt into law some or all of MedPac's recommendations, which, if so adopted, could adversely affect plan revenues.

Medicare currently compensates teaching hospitals for the graduate medical education costs incurred when treating Medicare beneficiaries by providing such hospitals with indirect medical education (IME) payments. Under the Medicare fee-for-service program, IME is paid directly to a teaching hospital; however, under Part C, CMS also provides IME payments to Medicare Advantage organizations as part of the overall Medicare Advantage plan payment rate. MIPPA requires CMS to phase out IME payments to Medicare Advantage organizations beginning in 2010. The phase out of IME payments to Medicare Advantage organizations is limited to no more than 0.6% per county in 2010. Because of the gradual nature of the phase-out, we do not expect a material reduction in our PMPM premiums; it will, however, result in a decrease in our revenues derived from IME payments and may negatively impact our future profitability.

In April 2009, CMS published its 2010 Medicare Advantage plan capitation rates, which included a risk scoring coding intensity adjustment, applicable to all Medicare Advantage members that substantially reduced previously-anticipated 2010 Medicare Advantage premium rates. Taking into account premium changes relating to changes in our plan members' specific risk scores, CMS's plan-wide reduction in members' risk scores, and other rate changes, we estimate that 2010 premium rates payable to our health plans have decreased by approximately 2.5% as compared to 2009 premium rates. Notwithstanding the reduction in premium rates, we believe our 2010 plans' benefit designs will allow us to operate at levels near our historical MLR targets and profit margins. There can be no assurance, however, that the reduction in government capitation rates and our plans' responses, including changes in benefit design, will not have a material adverse impact on our member growth expectations and profitability.

Currently Pending Healthcare Reform Proposals, if Passed into Law, Would Reduce our Revenue and Profitability.

Both houses of the U.S. Congress have passed bills that would reform the structure and funding of the U.S. healthcare system, including the Medicare program. Both bills include provisions that would adjust payments to Medicare Advantage plans in an effort to achieve budgetary neutrality, or parity, between traditional fee-for-service Medicare and Medicare Advantage. Both bills also contain other items that, if adopted as law, we expect would have a material adverse impact on Medicare Advantage plans, including our plans. These items include, without limitation, provisions requiring competitive bidding against a reduced plan benefit design, legally-imposed minimum medical loss ratios,

premium excise taxes, and additional limitations on Medicare Advantage marketing and enrollment periods. Given the inherent uncertainty in the legislative process, we are not able to predict if and how these bills will be reconciled and what provisions will become law, if any, or the actual impact on the profitability or viability of any of our Medicare Advantage plans.

Table of Contents***CMS's Risk Adjustment Payment System Makes Our Revenue and Profitability Difficult to Predict and Could Result In Material Retroactive Adjustments to Our Results of Operations.***

CMS has implemented a risk adjustment payment system for Medicare health plans to improve the accuracy of payments and establish appropriate compensation for Medicare plans that enroll and treat less healthy Medicare beneficiaries. CMS's risk adjustment model bases a portion of the total CMS reimbursement payments on various clinical and demographic factors including hospital inpatient diagnoses, diagnosis data from hospital outpatient facilities and physician visits, gender, age, and Medicaid eligibility. CMS requires that all managed care companies capture, collect, and report the necessary diagnosis code information to CMS, which information is subject to review and audit for accuracy by CMS. Because Medicare Advantage premiums are risk-based, it is difficult to predict with certainty our future revenue or profitability.

CMS establishes premium payments to Medicare plans based on the plans' approved bids at the beginning of the calendar year. Based on the members' known demographic and risk information, CMS then adjusts premium levels on two separate occasions during the year on a retroactive basis to take into account additional member risk data. The first such adjustment updates the risk scores for the current year based on prior year's dates of service. The second such adjustment is a final retroactive risk premium settlement for the prior year. Beginning in January 2008, the Company accounts for estimates of such adjustments on a monthly basis. As a result of the variability of factors increasing plan risk scores that determine such estimations, the actual amount of CMS's retroactive payment could be materially more or less than our estimates. Consequently, our estimate of our plans' aggregate member risk scores for any period, and our accrual of premiums related thereto, may result in favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our Medicare premium revenue and, accordingly, our profitability.

Our Records and Submissions to CMS May Contain Inaccurate or Unsupportable Information Regarding the Risk Adjustment Scores of Our Members, Which Could Cause Us to Overstate or Understate Our Revenue.

We maintain claims and encounter data that support the risk adjustment scores of our members, which determine, in part, the revenue to which we are entitled for these members. This data is submitted to CMS by us based on medical charts and diagnosis codes prepared and submitted to us by providers of medical care. We generally rely on providers to appropriately document and support such risk-adjustment data in their medical records and appropriately code their claims. We sometimes experience errors in information and data reporting systems relating to claims, encounters, and diagnoses. Inaccurate or unsupported coding by medical providers, inaccurate records for new members in our plans, and erroneous claims and encounter recording and submissions could result in inaccurate premium revenue and risk adjustment payments, which are subject to correction or retroactive adjustment in later periods. Payments that we receive in connection with this corrected or adjusted information may be reflected in financial statements for periods subsequent to the period in which the revenue was earned. We, or CMS through a medical records review and risk adjustment validation, may also find that data regarding our members' risk scores, when reconciled, requires that we refund a portion of the revenue that we received, which refund, depending on its magnitude, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In connection with CMS's continuing statutory obligation to review risk score coding practices by Medicare Advantage plans, CMS announced that it would regularly audit Medicare Advantage plans, primarily targeted based on risk score growth, for compliance by the plans and their providers with proper coding practices (sometimes referred to as RADV Audits). The Company's Tennessee Medicare Advantage plan has been selected by CMS for a RADV Audit of the 2006 risk adjustment data used to determine 2007 premium rates. In late 2009, the Company's Tennessee plan received from CMS the RADV Audit member sample, which CMS will use to calculate a payment error rate for 2007 Tennessee plan premiums. The Company is in the process of responding to the RADV Audit request, including retrieving and providing medical records supporting diagnoses codes and risk scores and, where appropriate, provider attestations, all of which are due to CMS on February 18, 2010. CMS has indicated that payment adjustments resulting from its RADV Audits will not be limited to risk scores for the specific beneficiaries for which errors are found but will be extrapolated to the relevant plan population. CMS's methodology for extrapolation remains unclear, however. The Company is in the process of gathering records responsive to the RADV Audit and is currently unable to calculate a payment error rate or predict the impact of extrapolating that error rate to 2007 Tennessee plan premiums. There can be no assurance, however, that the conclusion of the Tennessee RADV Audit will not result in a material adverse

impact to the Company's results of operations or cash flows, or that the Company's other plans will not be randomly selected or targeted for a RADV Audit by CMS or, in the event that another plan is so selected, that the outcome of such RADV Audit will not result in a material adverse impact to the Company's results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents***Statutory Authority for SNPs Could Expire and Federal Limitations on SNP Expansion and Other Recent Limitations on SNP Activities Could Adversely Impact our Growth Plans.***

Under current law, CMS's authority to designate SNPs expires on December 31, 2010. Unless this law is changed, CMS may not be able to renew our SNP contracts after December 31, 2010. Additionally, federal law prohibits CMS from designating additional disproportionate share SNPs. Failure to renew our SNP contracts could adversely impact our operating results. In addition, effective for plan year 2010, SNPs are required to meet additional CMS requirements, including requirements relating to model of care, cost-sharing, disclosure of information, and reporting of quality measures.

Legislative Changes to the Medicare Program Have Materially Impacted Our Operations and Increased Competition for Members.

MMA substantially changed the Medicare program and modified how we operate our Medicare Advantage business. Many of these changes became effective in 2006. Although many of these changes are designed to benefit Medicare Advantage plans generally, certain provisions of the MMA increased competition and created challenges for us with respect to educating our existing and potential members about the changes. MIPPA, enacted in July 2008, added, among other things, restrictions on Medicare Advantage sales and marketing activities. MMA and MIPPA may create other substantial and potentially adverse risks including the following:

Increased competition has and may continue to adversely affect our enrollment and results of operations.

MMA generally increased reimbursement rates for Medicare Advantage plans, which we believe resulted in an increase in the number of plans that participate in the Medicare program and created additional competition. In addition, as a result of Medicare Part D, a number of new competitors, such as pharmacy benefits managers and prescription drug retailers and wholesalers, established PDPs that compete with some of our Medicare programs.

Managed care companies began offering various new products beginning in 2006 pursuant to MMA, including PFFS plans and regional PPOs. Medicare PFFS plans and PPOs allow their members more flexibility in selecting providers outside of a designated network than Medicare Advantage HMOs such as ours allow, which typically require members to coordinate care through a primary care physician. MMA has encouraged the creation of regional PPOs through various incentives, including certain risk corridors, or cost-reimbursement provisions, a stabilization fund for incentive payments, and special payments to hospitals not otherwise contracted with a Medicare Advantage plan that treat regional plan enrollees. Although recent legislation limits the continuing viability of PFFS plans, particularly beginning in 2011, there can be no assurance that PFFS plans and regional Medicare PPOs in our service areas will not continue to adversely affect our Medicare Advantage plans' relative attractiveness to existing and potential Medicare members.

The limited annual enrollment process and additional marketing restrictions have limited our ability to market our products.

Medicare beneficiaries generally have a limited annual enrollment period during which they can choose to participate in a Medicare Advantage plan rather than receive benefits under the traditional fee-for-service Medicare program. After the annual enrollment period, most Medicare beneficiaries are not permitted to change their Medicare benefits. The annual enrollment process and subsequent lock-in provisions of MMA have restricted our growth as they have limited our ability to enter new service areas and market to or enroll new members in our established service areas outside of the annual enrollment periods. MIPPA further restricted where and how our marketing activities may be conducted. For example, the list of prohibited marketing activities was expanded by MIPPA to include providing meals, cash, gifts or monetary rebates, marketing in health care settings or at educational events, unsolicited methods of direct contact, and cross-selling. Currently proposed legislation further restricts the annual marketing and enrollment periods.

Table of Contents

The competitive bidding process may adversely affect our profitability.

Payments for local and regional Medicare Advantage plans are based on a competitive bidding process that may decrease the amount of premiums paid to us or cause us to increase the benefits we offer without a corresponding increase in premiums. As a result of the competitive bidding process, in order to maintain our current level of profitability we may be, and in some limited cases have been, required to reduce benefits or charge our members an additional premium, either of which could make our health plans less attractive to members and adversely affect our membership.

We derive a significant portion of our Medicare revenue from our PDP operations, and legislative or regulatory actions, economic conditions, or other factors that adversely affect those operations could materially reduce our revenue and profits.

In 2009, approximately 20.6% of our revenue was attributable to Medicare Part D premiums (MA-PD and PDP), up from 14.7% in 2006, the first year of Part D's implementation. Failure to sustain our PDP operations' profitability could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Factors that could adversely affect our PDP operations include:

Congress may make changes to the Medicare program, including changes to the Part D benefit. We cannot predict what these changes might include or what effect they might have on our revenue or medical expense or plans for growth.

We are making actuarial assumptions about the utilization of prescription drug benefits in our MA-PD plans and our PDP and about member turnover and the timing of member enrollment into our PDP during the year. We cannot assure you that these assumptions will prove to be correct or that premiums will be sufficient to cover the benefits provided.

Substantially all of our PDP membership is the result of CMS's auto-assignment of dual-eligible beneficiaries in regions where our Part D premium bids are below CMS benchmarks. In general, our premium bids are based on assumptions regarding total PDP enrollment and the timing during the year thereof, utilization, drug costs, and other factors. For 2010, our bid was below the benchmark in 24 of the 34 CMS regions. Our continued participation in the Part D program is conditional on our meeting certain contractual performance standards and otherwise complying with CMS regulations governing our operating compliance. If our future Part D premium bid is not below CMS's thresholds, or if CMS determines we have not met contractual or regulatory performance standards, we risk losing PDP members who were previously assigned to us and we may not have additional PDP members auto-assigned to us.

Medicare beneficiaries who are dual-eligibles generally are able to disenroll and choose another PDP at any time, and certain Medicare beneficiaries also have a limited ability to disenroll from the plan they initially select and choose a different PDP. Medicare beneficiaries who are not dually eligible will be able to change PDPs during the annual open enrollment period. We may not be able to retain the auto-assigned members or those members who affirmatively choose our PDP, and we may not be able to attract new PDP members.

Table of Contents

Financial accounting for the Medicare Part D benefits requires difficult estimates and assumptions.

MMA provides for risk corridors that are designed to limit to some extent the gains or losses MA-PDs or PDPs would incur if their costs were lower or higher than those in the plans bids submitted to CMS. Currently, health plans bear all gains and losses of up to 5% of their expected costs and retain 50% of the gains or are reimbursed 50% of the loss between 5% and 10% and retain 20% of the gain or are reimbursed for 80% of the loss in excess of 10%.

The accounting and regulatory guidance regarding the proper method of accounting for Medicare Part D, particularly as it relates to the timing of revenue and expense recognition, taken together with the complexity of the Part D product and the estimates related thereto, may lead to variability in our reporting of quarter-to-quarter earnings and to uncertainty among investors and research analysts following the company as to the impacts of our Medicare Part D plans on our full year results.

Our Business Activities Are Highly Regulated and New and Proposed Government Regulation or Legislative Reforms Could Increase Our Cost of Doing Business, and Reduce Our Membership, Profitability, and Liquidity.

Our health plans are subject to substantial federal and state regulation. These laws and regulations, along with the terms of our contracts and licenses, regulate how we do business, what services we offer, and how we interact with our members, providers, and the public. Healthcare laws and regulations are subject to frequent change and varying interpretations. Changes in existing laws or regulations, or their interpretations, or the enactment of new laws or the issuance of new regulations could adversely affect our business by, among other things:

imposing additional license, registration, or capital reserve requirements;

increasing our administrative and other costs;

reducing the premiums we receive from CMS;

forcing us to undergo a corporate restructuring;

increasing mandated benefits without corresponding premium increases;

limiting our ability to engage in inter-company transactions with our affiliates and subsidiaries;

forcing us to restructure our relationships with providers; and

requiring us to implement additional or different programs and systems.

For example, in October 2009 CMS proposed regulations that would, among other things, require Medicare Advantage plans to use standardized marketing materials without modification, increase reporting obligations, and require hiring an independent auditor to conduct annual data validations. The proposed regulations would also allow CMS to find a Medicare Advantage plan noncompliant based on a determination that such plan is an outlier compared to other plans. It is possible that future legislation and regulation and the interpretation of existing and future laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our ability to operate under the Medicare program and to continue to serve our members and attract new members.

If We Are Required to Maintain Higher Statutory Capital Levels for Our Existing Operations or if We Are Subject to Additional Capital Reserve Requirements as We Pursue New Business Opportunities, Our Cash Flows and Liquidity May Be Adversely Affected.

Our health plans are operated through regulated insurance subsidiaries in various states. These subsidiaries are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, or net worth, as defined by each state. One or more of these states may raise the statutory capital level from time to time. Other states have adopted risk-based capital requirements based on guidelines adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, which tend to be, although are not necessarily, higher than existing statutory capital requirements. Currently, Texas is the only jurisdiction in which we have a regulated subsidiary that has adopted risk-based capital requirements. A Texas accident and health insurance subsidiary, to which we transferred substantially all of our PDP operations in 2009, is subject to risk-based capital requirements in certain other

jurisdictions in which it does business. Regardless whether the other states in which we operate adopt risk-based capital requirements, the state departments of insurance can require our regulated insurance and HMO

Table of Contents

subsidiaries to maintain minimum levels of statutory capital in excess of amounts required under the applicable state laws if they determine that maintaining additional statutory capital is in the best interests of our members. Any other changes in these requirements could materially increase our statutory capital requirements. In addition, as we continue to expand our plan offerings in new states or pursue new business opportunities, we may be required to maintain additional statutory capital. For example, in connection with its order approving our acquisition of LMC Health Plans, the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation has required LMC Health Plans to maintain until September 2010 at least 115% of the statutory surplus otherwise required by Florida law. In any case, our available funds could be materially reduced, which could harm our ability to implement our business strategy.

If State Regulators Do Not Approve Payments, Including Dividends and Other Distributions, by Our Health Plans to Us, Our Business and Growth Strategy Could Be Materially Impaired or We Could Be Required to Incur Additional Indebtedness to Fund These Strategies.

Our health plan subsidiaries are subject to laws and regulations that limit the amount of dividends and distributions they can pay to us for purposes other than to pay income taxes related to the earnings of the health plans. These laws and regulations also limit the amount of management fees our health plan subsidiaries may pay to affiliates of our health plans, including our management subsidiaries, without prior approval of, or notification to, state regulators. The pre-approval and notice requirements vary from state to state with some states, such as Alabama and Texas, generally allowing, subject to advance notice requirements, dividends to be declared, provided the regulated insurance or HMO subsidiary meets or exceeds the applicable deposit, net worth, and risk-based capital requirements. The discretion of the state regulators, if any, in approving a dividend is not always clearly defined. Historically, we have not relied on dividends or other distributions from our health plans to fund a material amount of our operating cash or debt service requirements. If the regulators were to deny or significantly restrict our subsidiaries' requests to pay dividends to us or to pay management and other fees to the affiliates of our health plan subsidiaries, however, the funds available to us would be limited, which could impair our ability to implement our business and growth strategy or service our indebtedness. Alternatively, we could be required to incur additional indebtedness to fund these strategies.

Corporate Practice of Medicine and Fee-Splitting Laws May Govern Our Business Operations, and Violation of Such Laws Could Result in Penalties and Adversely Affect Our Arrangements With Contractors and Our Profitability.

In several states, we must comply with corporate practice of medicine laws that prohibit a business corporation from practicing medicine, employing physicians to practice medicine, or exercising control over medical treatment decisions by physicians. In these states, typically only medical professionals or a professional corporation in which the shares are held by licensed physicians or other medical professionals may provide medical care to patients. In general, health maintenance organizations are exempt from laws prohibiting the corporate practice of medicine in many states due to the integrated nature of the delivery system. Many states also have some form of fee-splitting law, prohibiting certain business arrangements that involve the splitting or sharing of medical professional fees earned by a physician or another medical professional for the delivery of healthcare services.

In general, we arrange for the provision of covered medical services in accordance with our benefit plans through a contracted health care delivery network. We also perform non-medical administrative and business services for physicians and physician groups. We do not represent that we provide medical services, and we do not exercise control over the practice of medical care by providers with whom we contract. We do, however, monitor medical services for clinical appropriateness to ensure they are provided in a high quality cost effective manner and reimbursed within the appropriate scope of licensure. In addition, we have developed close relationships with our network providers that include our review and monitoring of the coding of medical services provided by those providers. We also have compensation arrangements with providers that may be based on a percentage of certain provider fees and in certain cases our network providers have agreed to exclusivity arrangements. In each case, we believe we have structured these and other arrangements on a basis that complies with applicable state law, including the corporate practice of medicine and fee-splitting laws.

Despite structuring these arrangements in ways that we believe comply with applicable law, regulatory authorities may assert that we are engaged in the corporate practice of medicine or that our contractual arrangements with providers constitute unlawful fee-splitting. Moreover, we cannot predict whether changes will be made to existing

laws or whether new ones will be enacted, which could cause us to be out of compliance with these requirements. If our arrangements are found to violate corporate practice of medicine or fee-splitting laws, our provider or independent physician association management contracts could be found legally invalid and unenforceable, which could adversely affect our operations and profitability, and we could be subject to civil or, in some cases, criminal, penalties.

Table of Contents***We Are Required to Comply With Laws Governing the Transmission, Security, and Privacy of Health Information That Require Significant Compliance Costs, and Any Failure to Comply With These Laws Could Result in Material Criminal and Civil Penalties.***

Regulations under HIPAA require us to comply with standards regarding the exchange of health information within our company and with third parties, including healthcare providers, business associates, and our members. These regulations include standards for common healthcare transactions, including claims information, plan eligibility, and payment information; unique identifiers for providers and employers; security; privacy; and enforcement. Recently, ARRA broadened the scope of the HIPAA privacy and security regulations. In addition, ARRA increased the penalties for violations of HIPAA. Pursuant to ARRA, DHHS has published an interim final rule requiring covered entities to report breaches of unsecured protected health information to affected individuals following discovery of the breach by a covered entity or its agents. Notification must also be made to DHHS and, in certain situations involving large breaches, to the media. Various state laws and regulations may also require us to notify affected individuals in the event of a data breach involving individually identifiable information. HIPAA also provides that, to the extent that state laws impose stricter privacy standards than HIPAA privacy regulations, such standards and laws are not preempted.

We conduct our operations in an attempt to comply with all applicable privacy and security requirements. Given the recent changes to HIPAA, the complexity of the HIPAA regulations, and the fact that the regulations are subject to changing and, at times, conflicting interpretation, our ongoing ability to comply with the HIPAA requirements cannot be guaranteed. Furthermore, a state's ability to promulgate stricter laws, and uncertainty regarding many aspects of such state requirements, make compliance more difficult. In addition, other government agencies may from time to time promulgate rules relating to privacy and security with which we may be required to comply. To the extent that we are unable to support unique identifiers and electronic healthcare claims and payment transactions that comply with the electronic data transmission standards established under HIPAA, we may be subject to penalties and operations may be adversely impacted. Additionally, the costs of complying with any changes to the HIPAA regulations may have a negative impact on our operations. Sanctions for failing to comply with the HIPAA health information provisions include criminal penalties and civil sanctions, including significant monetary penalties. In addition, our failure to comply with state health information laws that may be more restrictive than the regulations issued under HIPAA could result in additional penalties.

Risks Related to Our Business***If Our Medicare Contracts Are Not Renewed or Are Terminated, Our Business Would Be Substantially Impaired.***

We provide services to our Medicare eligible members through our Medicare Advantage health plans and PDP pursuant to a limited number of contracts with CMS. These contracts generally have terms of one year and must be renewed each year. Each of our contracts with CMS is terminable for cause if we breach a material provision of the contract or violate relevant laws or regulations. If we are unable to renew, or to successfully rebid or compete for any of these contracts, or if any of these contracts are terminated, our business would be materially impaired.

Because Our Premiums, Which Generate Most of Our Revenue, Are Established Primarily by Bid and Cannot Be Modified During the CMS Plan Year, Our Profitability Will Likely Be Reduced or We Could Cease to Be Profitable if We Are Unable to Manage Our Medical Expenses Effectively.

Substantially all of our revenue is generated by premiums consisting of monthly payments per member that are established by CMS for our Medicare Advantage plans and PDP. If our medical expenses exceed our estimates, except in very limited circumstances or as a result of risk score adjustments for Medicare member health acuity, we will be unable to increase the premiums we receive under CMS's annual contracts during the then-current terms. Relatively small changes in our medical loss ratio, or MLR, will create significant changes in our financial results. Accordingly, the failure to adequately predict and control medical expenses and to make reasonable estimates and maintain adequate accruals for incurred but not reported, or IBNR, claims, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Historically, our medical expenses as a percentage of premium revenue have fluctuated. Factors that may cause medical expenses to exceed our estimates include:

an increase in the cost of healthcare services and supplies, including prescription drugs, whether as a result of inflation or otherwise;

higher than expected utilization of healthcare services, particularly in-patient hospital services and out-patient professional settings, or unexpected utilization patterns and member turnover in our PDP operations;

periodic renegotiation of hospital, physician, and other provider contracts;

changes in the demographics of our members and medical trends affecting them;

new mandated benefits or other changes in healthcare laws, regulations, and practices;

new treatments and technologies;

consolidation of physician, hospital, and other provider groups;

contractual disputes with providers, hospitals, or other service providers; and

the occurrence of catastrophes, major epidemics, or acts of terrorism.

Because of the relatively high average age of the Medicare population, medical expenses for our Medicare Advantage plans may be particularly difficult to control. We attempt to control these costs through a variety of techniques, including capitation and other risk-sharing payment methods, collaborative relationships with primary care physicians and other providers, advance approval for hospital services and referral requirements, case and disease management and quality assurance programs, preventive and wellness visits for members, information systems, and reinsurance. Despite our efforts and programs to manage our medical expenses, we may not be able to continue to manage these expenses effectively in the future. If our medical expenses increase, our profits could be reduced or we may not remain profitable.

Our Failure to Estimate IBNR Claims Accurately Would Affect Our Reported Financial Results.

Our medical care costs include estimates of our IBNR claims. We estimate our medical expense liabilities using actuarial methods based on historical data adjusted for payment patterns, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, utilization of healthcare services, and other relevant factors. Actual conditions, however, could differ from those we assume in our estimation process. We continually review and update our estimation methods and the resulting accruals and make adjustments, if necessary, to medical expense when the criteria used to determine IBNR change and when actual claim costs are ultimately determined. As a result of the uncertainties associated with the factors used in these assumptions, the actual amount of medical expense that we incur may be materially more or less than the amount of IBNR originally estimated. If our estimates of IBNR are inadequate in the future, our reported results of operations would be negatively impacted. Further, our inability to estimate IBNR accurately may also affect our ability to take timely corrective actions, further exacerbating the extent of any adverse effect on our results.

Table of Contents***A Disruption in Our Healthcare Provider Networks Could Have an Adverse Effect on Our Operations and Profitability.***

Our operations and profitability are dependent, in large part, upon our ability to contract with healthcare providers and provider networks on favorable terms. In any particular service area, healthcare providers or provider networks could refuse to contract with us, demand higher payments, or take other actions that could result in higher healthcare costs, disruption of benefits to our members, or difficulty in meeting our regulatory or accreditation requirements. In some service areas, healthcare providers may have significant market positions. If healthcare providers refuse to contract with us, use their market position to negotiate favorable contracts, or place us at a competitive disadvantage, then our ability to market products or to be profitable in those service areas could be adversely affected. Our provider networks could also be disrupted by the financial insolvency of a large provider group. In addition, a prolonged economic downturn or recession could negatively impact the financial condition of our providers, which could adversely affect our medical costs. Any disruption in our provider network could result in a loss of membership and management fee revenue and in higher healthcare costs.

Our Texas operations comprised 27.1% of our Medicare Advantage members as of December 31, 2009 and 25.4% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009. A significant proportion of our providers in our Texas market are affiliated with RPO, a large group of independent physician associations. As of December 31, 2009, physicians associated with RPO served as the primary care physicians for approximately 63% of our members in our Texas market. Our agreements with RPO generally have terms expiring December 31, 2014, but may be terminated sooner by RPO for cause or in connection with a change in control of the company that results in the termination of senior management and otherwise raises a reasonable doubt as to our successor's ability to perform under the agreements. If our Texas HMO subsidiary's agreement with RPO were terminated, we would be required to sign direct contracts with the RPO physicians or additional physicians in order to avoid a material disruption in care for our Houston-area members. It could take significant time to negotiate and execute direct contracts, and we would be forced to reassign members to new primary care physicians if all of the current primary care physicians did not sign direct contracts. This could result in loss of membership. Accordingly, any significant disruption in, or termination of, our relationship with RPO could materially and adversely impact our results of operations. Moreover, RPO's ability to terminate its agreements with us in connection with certain changes in control of the company could have the effect of delaying or frustrating a potential acquisition or other change in control of the company.

As of December 31, 2009, our LMC Health Plans subsidiary comprised 16.9% of our Medicare Advantage membership and 18.2% of our total revenue for the year then ended. A substantial portion of the medical services provided to our LMC Health Plans members is provided by LMC pursuant to a long-term medical services agreement. Any material breach or other material non-performance by LMC of its obligations to us under the medical services agreement could result in a significant disruption in the medical services provided to our Florida plan members, for which we would have no immediately acceptable alternative service provider, and would adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, the medical services agreement could be terminated by LMC for cause or in connection with certain changes in control of the Florida plan.

Competition in Our Industry May Limit Our Ability to Attract or Retain Members, Which Could Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations.

We operate in a highly competitive environment subject to significant changes as a result of business consolidations, evolving Medicare products (including PPOs and PFFS plans), new strategic alliances, and aggressive marketing practices by other managed care organizations that compete with us for members. Our principal competitors for contracts, members, and providers vary by local service area and have traditionally been comprised of national, regional, and local managed care organizations that serve Medicare recipients, including, among others, UnitedHealth Group, Humana, Inc., and Universal American Corporation. In addition, we have experienced significant competition from new competitors, including pharmacy benefit managers and prescription drug retailers and wholesalers, and our traditional managed care organization competitors whose PFFS plans and stand-alone PDPs have been attracting our Medicare Advantage and PDP members. Many managed care companies and other new Part D plan participants have greater financial and other resources, larger enrollments, broader ranges of products and benefits, broader geographical coverage, more established reputations in the national market and our markets, greater market share,

larger contracting scale, and lower costs than us. Our failure to attract and retain members in our health plans as a result of such competition could adversely affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our Inability to Maintain Our Medicare Advantage and PDP Members or Increase Our Membership Could Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations.

A reduction in the number of members in our Medicare Advantage and PDP plans, or the failure to increase our membership, could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition to competition, factors that could contribute to the loss of, or failure to attract or retain, members include:

- negative accreditation results or loss of licenses or contracts to offer Medicare Advantage plans;
- negative publicity and news coverage relating to us or the managed healthcare industry generally;
- litigation or threats of litigation against us; and
- our inability to market to and re-enroll members who enroll with our competitors because of annual enrollment and lock-in provisions.

Delegated and Outsourced Service Providers May Make Mistakes and Subject Us to Financial Loss or Legal Liability.

We delegate or outsource certain of the functions associated with the provision of managed care and management services, including claims processing related to the provision of Medicare Part D prescription drug benefits. The service providers to whom we delegate or outsource these functions could inadvertently or incorrectly adjust, revise, omit, or transmit the data that we provide them in a manner that could create inaccuracies in our risk adjustment data, cause us to overstate or understate our revenue, cause us to authorize incorrect payment levels to providers and violate certain laws and regulations, such as HIPAA.

We May Be Unsuccessful in Implementing Our Growth Strategy If We Are Unable to Complete Acquisitions on Favorable Terms or Integrate the Businesses We Acquire into Our Existing Operations.

Opportunistic acquisitions of contract rights and other health plans are an important element of our growth strategy. We may be unable to identify and complete appropriate acquisitions in a timely manner and in accordance with our or our investors' expectations for future growth. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources than we have and may be willing to pay more for these businesses. In addition, we are generally required to obtain regulatory approval from one or more state agencies when making acquisitions, which may require a public hearing, regardless of whether we already operate a plan in the state in which the business to be acquired is located. We may be unable to comply with these regulatory requirements for an acquisition in a timely manner, or at all. Moreover, some sellers may insist on selling assets that we may not want or transferring their liabilities to us as part of the sale of their companies or assets. Even if we identify suitable acquisition targets, we may be unable to complete acquisitions or obtain the necessary financing for these acquisitions on terms favorable to us, or at all.

To the extent we complete acquisitions, we may be unable to realize the anticipated benefits from acquisitions because of operational factors or difficulties in integrating the acquisitions with our existing businesses. This may include the integration of:

- additional employees who are not familiar with our operations;
- new provider networks, which may operate on terms different from our existing networks;
- additional members, who may decide to transfer to other healthcare providers or health plans;
- disparate information technology, claims processing, and record-keeping systems; and
- accounting policies, including those that require a high degree of judgment or complex estimation processes, including estimates of IBNR claims, estimates of risk adjustment payments, accounting for goodwill, intangible assets, stock-based compensation, and income tax matters.

Table of Contents

For all of the above reasons, we may not be able to successfully implement our acquisition strategy. Furthermore, in the event of an acquisition or investment, we may issue stock that would dilute existing stock ownership and incur additional debt that would restrict our cash flow, as we did in the acquisition of LMC Health Plans. We may also assume known and unknown liabilities, not (or only partially) covered by acquisition agreement indemnification provisions, incur large and immediate write-offs, incur unanticipated costs, divert management's attention from our existing business, experience risks associated with entering markets in which we have no or limited prior experience, or lose key employees from the acquired entities.

Our Substantial Debt Obligations Pursuant to Our Credit Agreement Could Restrict Our Operations.

In connection with the acquisition of LMC Health Plans on October 1, 2007, we entered into a credit agreement (the 2007 Credit Agreement) providing for a \$300.0 million term facility and a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility. Borrowings of \$300.0 million under the term facility, together with our available cash on hand, were used to fund the acquisition and expenses related thereto. As of December 31, 2009, \$237.0 million of debt was outstanding under the term loan facility of the 2007 Credit Agreement, and no amounts were outstanding under the revolver.

On February 11, 2010, the company entered into a new credit agreement (the New Credit Agreement) providing for a five-year, \$175.0 million term loan credit facility, and a four-year, \$175.0 million revolving credit facility. Upon closing of the New Credit Agreement and repayment of amounts owing under the 2007 Credit Agreement, the company had approximately \$200.0 million of indebtedness outstanding. Loans under the New Credit Agreement are secured by a first priority lien on substantially all assets of the company and its non-HMO subsidiaries, including a pledge by the company and its non-HMO subsidiaries of all of the equity interests in each of their domestic subsidiaries (including HMO subsidiaries).

The New Credit Agreement contains conditions precedent to extensions of credit and representations, warranties, and covenants, including financial covenants, customary for transactions of this type. Financial covenants include (i) a maximum leverage ratio comparing total indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA, (ii) minimum net worth requirements for each HMO subsidiary calculated by reference to applicable regulatory requirements, and (iii) maximum capital expenditures, in each case as more specifically provided in the New Credit Agreement.

This indebtedness could have adverse consequences on us, including:

- limiting our ability to compete and our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and industry;
- increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions; and
- requiring a substantial portion of cash flows from operating activities to be dedicated to debt repayment, reducing our ability to use such cash flow to fund our operations, expenditures, and future business or acquisition opportunities.

The New Credit Agreement contains customary events of default and, if we fail to comply with specified financial and operating ratios, we could be in breach of the New Credit Agreement. Any breach or default could allow our lenders to accelerate our indebtedness, charge a default interest rate, and terminate all commitments to extend additional credit.

Our ability to maintain specified financial and operating ratios and operate within the contractual limitations can be affected by a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to satisfy them.

Adverse credit market conditions may have a material adverse affect on our liquidity or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms.

In some cases, the credit markets have exerted downward pressure on the availability of liquidity and credit capacity. Although we do not currently anticipate needing financing in excess of amounts available to us under the New Credit Agreement in the event we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay capital expenditures, or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to such additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, and our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that lenders could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or

rating agencies take negative actions against us.

Table of Contents

The value of our investments is influenced by economic and market conditions, and a decrease in value could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity, and financial condition.

Our investment portfolio is comprised of investments, consisting primarily of highly-liquid government and corporate debt securities, that are classified as held-to-maturity and available-for-sale. Available-for-sale investments are carried at fair value, and the unrealized gains or losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of stockholders' equity, unless the decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary and we intend to sell the securities or determine it is more-likely-than-not we will be required to sell the securities prior to their recovery. For both available-for-sale investments and held-to-maturity investments, if a decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary and we intend to sell the securities or determine it is more-likely-than-not we will be required to sell the securities prior to their recovery, the security is deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired and it is written down to fair value.

In accordance with applicable accounting standards, we review our investment securities to determine if declines in fair value below cost are other-than-temporary. This review is subjective and requires judgment. We conduct this review on a quarterly basis using both quantitative and qualitative factors to determine whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary. Such factors considered include, the length of time and the extent to which market value has been less than cost, financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, changes in credit issuer ratings by ratings agencies, recommendations of investment advisors, and forecasts of economic, market, or industry trends. We also regularly evaluate our intent to sell, or requirement to sell individual securities prior to maturity or before the full cost can be recovered.

Negative Publicity Regarding the Managed Healthcare Industry Generally or Us in Particular Could Adversely Affect Our Results of Operations or Business.

Negative publicity regarding the managed healthcare industry generally or us in particular may result in increased regulation and legislative review of industry practices that further increase our costs of doing business and adversely affect our results of operations by:

requiring us to change our products and services;

increasing the regulatory burdens under which we operate;

adversely affecting our ability to market our products or services; or

adversely affecting our ability to attract and retain members.

We Are Dependent Upon Our Executive Officers and the Loss of Any One or More of These Officers and Their Managed Care Expertise Could Adversely Affect Our Business.

Our operations are highly dependent on the efforts of Herbert A. Fritch, our Chief Executive Officer, and certain other senior executives who have been instrumental in developing our business strategy and forging our business relationships. Although we believe we could replace any executive we lose, the loss of the leadership, knowledge, and experience of Mr. Fritch and our other executive officers could adversely affect our business. Moreover, replacing one or more of our executives may be difficult or may require an extended period of time. We do not currently maintain key man insurance on any of our executive officers.

Table of Contents

Noncompliance with the Laws and Regulations Applicable to Us Could Expose Us to Liability, Reduce Our Revenue and Profitability, or Otherwise Adversely Affect Our Operations and Operating Results.

The federal and state agencies administering the laws and regulations applicable to us have broad discretion to enforce them. We are subject, on an ongoing basis, to various governmental reviews, audits, and investigations to verify our compliance with our contracts, licenses, and applicable laws and regulations. In addition, private citizens, acting as whistleblowers, are entitled to initiate enforcement actions under the federal False Claims Act. An adverse review, audit, or investigation could result in any of the following:

loss of our right to participate in the Medicare program;

loss of one or more of our licenses to act as an HMO or accident and health insurance company or to otherwise provide a service;

forfeiture or recoupment of amounts we have been paid pursuant to our contracts;

imposition of significant civil or criminal penalties, fines, or other sanctions on us and our key employees;

damage to our reputation in existing and potential markets;

increased restrictions on marketing our products and services; and

inability to obtain approval for future products and services, geographic expansions, or acquisitions.

From time to time, our health plans are subject to corrective action plans implemented by CMS to resolve identified compliance deficiencies. We take CMS compliance matters very seriously and work diligently to implement corrective action plans and resolve deficiencies effectively and timely. We cannot assure you that any CMS-imposed corrective action plans currently existing or in the future will be resolved satisfactorily or that any such corrective action plan will not have a materially adverse impact on the conduct of our business or the results of our operations. The DHHS Office of the Inspector General, Office of Audit Services, or OIG, is conducting a national review of Medicare Advantage plans to determine whether they used payment increases consistent with the requirements of MMA. Under MMA, the bidding process requires that payment increases be used to cover increased medical costs, reduce beneficiary premiums or cost sharing, enhance benefits, put additional payment amounts in a benefit stabilization fund, or stabilize or enhance access. We cannot assure you that the findings of an audit or investigation of our business would not have an adverse effect on us or require substantial modifications to our operations.

Claims Relating to Medical Malpractice and Other Litigation Could Cause Us to Incur Significant Expenses.

From time to time, we are party to various litigation matters, some of which seek monetary damages. Managed care organizations may be sued directly for alleged negligence, including in connection with the credentialing of network providers or for alleged improper denials or delay of care. In addition, Congress and several states have considered or are considering legislation that would expressly permit managed care organizations to be held liable for negligent treatment decisions or benefits coverage determinations. Of the states in which we currently operate, only Texas has enacted legislation relating to health plan liability for negligent treatment decisions and benefits coverage determinations. In addition, our providers involved in medical care decisions may be exposed to the risk of medical malpractice claims. Some of these providers may not have sufficient malpractice insurance. Although our network providers are independent contractors, claimants sometimes allege that a managed care organization should be held responsible for alleged provider malpractice, particularly where the provider does not have malpractice insurance, and some courts have permitted that theory of liability.

Similar to other managed care companies, we may also be subject to other claims of our members in the ordinary course of business, including claims of improper marketing practices by our independent and employee sales agents and claims arising out of decisions to deny or restrict reimbursement for services.

We cannot predict with certainty the eventual outcome of any pending litigation or potential future litigation, and we cannot assure you that we will not incur substantial expense in defending future lawsuits or indemnifying third parties

with respect to the results of such litigation. The loss of even one of these claims, if it results in a significant damage award, could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, our exposure to potential liability under punitive damage or other theories may significantly decrease our ability to settle these claims on reasonable terms.

Table of Contents

We maintain errors and omissions insurance and other insurance coverage that we believe are adequate based on industry standards. Potential liabilities may not be covered by insurance, our insurers may dispute coverage or may be unable to meet their obligations, or the amount of our insurance coverage and related reserves may be inadequate. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain insurance coverage in the future, or that insurance will continue to be available on a cost-effective basis, if at all. Moreover, even if claims brought against us are unsuccessful or without merit, we would have to defend ourselves against such claims. The defense of any such actions may be time-consuming and costly and may distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant expenses and may be unable to effectively operate our business.

The Inability or Failure to Properly Maintain Effective and Secure Management Information Systems, Successfully Update or Expand Processing Capability, or Develop New Capabilities to Meet Our Business Needs Could Result in Operational Disruptions and Other Adverse Consequences.

Our business depends significantly on effective and secure information systems. The information gathered and processed by our management information systems assists us in, among other things, marketing and sales tracking, underwriting, billing, claims processing, diagnosis capture and risk score submissions, medical management, medical care cost and utilization trending, financial and management accounting, reporting, and planning and analysis. These systems also support on-line customer service functions, provider and member administrative functions and support tracking and extensive analyses of medical expenses and outcome data. These information systems and applications require continual maintenance, upgrading, and enhancement to meet our operational needs and handle our expansion and growth. Any inability or failure to properly maintain management information systems or related disaster recovery programs, successfully update or expand processing capability or develop new capabilities to meet our business needs in a timely manner, could result in operational disruptions, loss of existing customers, difficulty in attracting new customers or in implementing our growth strategies, disputes with customers and providers, civil or criminal penalties, regulatory problems, increases in administrative expenses, loss of our ability to produce timely and accurate reports, and other adverse consequences. To the extent a failure in maintaining effective information systems occurs, we may need to contract for these services with third-party management companies, which may be on less favorable terms to us and significantly disrupt our operations and information flow.

Furthermore, our business requires the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Because of the confidential health information we store and transmit, security breaches could expose us to a risk of regulatory action, requirements to notify individuals, regulators and the public affected by the breach, litigation, possible liability, and loss. Our security measures may be inadequate to prevent security breaches, and our business operations and profitability would be adversely affected by cancellation of contracts, loss of members, and potential criminal and civil sanctions if they are not prevented.

Anti-takeover Provisions in Our Organizational Documents and State Insurance Laws Could Make an Acquisition of Us More Difficult and May Prevent Attempts by Our Stockholders to Replace or Remove Our Current Management.

Provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our second amended and restated bylaws may delay or prevent an acquisition of us or a change in our management or similar change in control transaction, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current prices or that stockholders may deem to be in their best interests. In addition, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors. Because our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions could in turn affect any attempt by our stockholders to replace current members of our management team. These provisions provide, among other things, that:

- special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by the chairman of the board of directors, by our chief executive officer, or by the board of directors pursuant to a resolution adopted by a majority of the directors;
- any stockholder wishing to properly bring a matter before a meeting of stockholders must comply with specified procedural and advance notice requirements;

Table of Contents

actions taken by the written consent of our stockholders require the consent of the holders of at least 66²/₃% of our outstanding shares;

our board of directors is classified into three classes, with each class serving a staggered three-year term;

the authorized number of directors may be changed only by resolution of the board of directors;

our second amended and restated bylaws and certain sections of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation relating to anti-takeover provisions may generally only be amended with the consent of the holders of at least 66²/₃ % of our outstanding shares;

directors may be removed other than at an annual meeting only for cause;

any vacancy on the board of directors, however the vacancy occurs, may only be filled by the directors; and

our board of directors has the ability to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval.

Additionally, the insurance company laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which we operate restrict the ability of any person to acquire control of an insurance company, including an HMO, without prior regulatory approval. Under certain of those statutes and regulations, without such approval or an exemption therefrom, no person may acquire any voting security of a domestic insurance company, including an HMO, or an insurance holding company that controls a domestic insurance company or HMO, if as a result of such transaction such person would own more than a specified percentage, such as 5% or 10%, of the total stock issued and outstanding of such insurance company or HMO, or, in some cases, more than a specified percentage of the issued and outstanding shares of an insurance holding company. HealthSpring is an insurance holding company for purposes of these statutes and regulations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We lease office space in a number of locations for our business operations. The following are our significant leased offices.

Location	Primary Use	Square footage	Expiration Date
Nashville, Tennessee	Tennessee Plan Headquarters	78,155	September 2011
Birmingham, Alabama	Alabama Plan Headquarters	71,923	April 2016
Nashville, Tennessee	Enterprise-wide Operations Center	54,000	May 2014
Houston, Texas	Texas Plan Headquarters	53,985	May 2018
Franklin, Tennessee	Corporate Headquarters	23,654	December 2014
Miami, Florida	Florida Plan Headquarters	15,925	February 2013

We believe our facilities are adequate for our present and currently anticipated needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not currently involved in any pending legal proceedings that we believe are material to our financial condition or results of operations. We are, however, involved from time to time in routine legal matters and other claims incidental to our business, including employment-related claims, claims relating to our health plans contractual relationships with providers and members and claims relating to marketing practices of sales agents and agencies that are employed by, or independent contractors to, our health plans. The Company believes that the resolution of existing routine matters and other incidental claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market for Common Stock**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the trading symbol HS.

The following table sets forth the quarterly ranges of the high and low sales prices of the common stock on the NYSE during the calendar periods indicated.

	2009	
	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 20.36	\$ 4.27
Second Quarter	11.91	7.91
Third Quarter	14.80	10.12
Fourth Quarter	18.38	11.83

	2008	
	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 22.93	\$ 13.39
Second Quarter	19.44	13.73
Third Quarter	22.63	15.35
Fourth Quarter	22.50	12.18

The last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on February 8, 2010 was \$17.18 and we had approximately 133 holders of record of our common stock on such date.

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock since our organization in March 2005. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund the operation, development, and expansion of our business, and therefore we do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our New Credit Agreement restricts our ability to declare cash dividends on our common stock. Our ability to pay dividends is also dependent on the availability of cash dividends from our regulated HMO subsidiaries, which dividends are subject to limitations under the laws of the states in which we operate and the requirements of CMS relating to the operations of our Medicare health plans. Any future determination to declare and pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors, subject to compliance with applicable law and the other limitations described above.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, the Company repurchased the following shares of its common stock:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (\$)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
10/01/09 - 10/31/09				
11/01/09 - 11/30/09	2,099	0.31		
12/01/09 - 12/31/09				

Edgar Filing: HealthSpring, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total	2,099	0.31
-------	-------	------

The number of shares purchased includes 2,084 shares repurchased from an employee at a price of \$0.20 per share following his termination in accordance with the terms of a restricted stock purchase agreement and 15 shares withheld by the Company to satisfy the payment of tax obligations related to the vesting of shares of restricted stock.

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the change in the cumulative total return (including the reinvestment of dividends) on the Company's common stock for the period from February 3, 2006, the date our shares of common stock began trading on the NYSE, to the change in the cumulative total return on the stocks included in the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and to a Company-selected Peer Group Index over the same period. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 made in our common stock at a price of \$21.98 per share, the closing sale price on February 3, 2006, our first day of trading following our IPO (at \$19.50 per share), and an investment in each of the other indices on February 3, 2006. We did not pay any dividends during the period reflected in the graph.

The Peer Group Index consists of the following companies, which is a group of companies in the healthcare services industry of comparable market capitalization that we have used to assist in evaluating the competitiveness of our executive compensation plans and policies: AMERIGROUP Corporation, AmSurg Corp., Centene Corporation, Emergency Medical Services Corporation, Healthways, Inc., Lifepoint Hospitals, Inc., Magellan Health Services, Inc., MEDNAX, Inc. (formerly known as Pediatrix Medical Group, Inc.), Psychiatric Solutions, Inc., Universal American Corp., and WellCare Health Plans, Inc.

	2/06	3/06	6/06	9/06	12/06	3/07	6/07	9/07	12/07
HealthSpring, Inc	100.00	84.67	85.30	87.58	92.58	107.14	86.72	88.72	86.67
S&P 500	100.00	101.52	100.06	105.73	112.81	113.53	120.66	123.11	119.01
Peer Group	100.00	106.63	107.03	108.57	121.01	128.82	128.77	137.90	125.30

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following tables present selected historical financial data and other information for the company and its predecessor, NewQuest, LLC. We derived the selected historical statement of income, cash flow, and balance sheet data for each of the four years ended December 31, 2009 and as of and for the period from March 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 from the audited consolidated financial statements of the company and for the period from January 1, 2005 to February 28, 2005 from the audited consolidated financial statements of NewQuest, LLC. We derived the selected balance sheet data as of February 28, 2005 from the unaudited consolidated financial statements of NewQuest, LLC.

The selected consolidated financial data and other information set forth below should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in this report and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	HealthSpring, Inc.				HealthSpring Inc.	Predecessor Period	
	Year Ended December 31,				Combined Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2005 (2)	Period from March 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005 (3)	from January 1, 2005 to February 28, 2005 (3)
	2009	2008	2007 (1)	2006			
	(dollars in thousands, except share and unit data)						
Statement of Income Data:							
Revenue:							
Premium:							
Medicare	\$ 2,616,529	\$ 2,135,548	\$ 1,479,576	\$ 1,149,844	\$ 705,677	\$ 610,913	\$ 94,764
Commercial	2,976	5,144	46,648	120,504	126,872	106,168	20,704
Total premiums	2,619,505	2,140,692	1,526,224	1,270,348	832,549	717,081	115,468
Management and other fees	42,250	32,602	24,958	26,997	20,698	17,237	3,461
Investment income	4,290	15,026	23,943	11,920	3,798	3,337	461
Total revenue	2,666,045	2,188,320	1,575,125	1,309,265	857,045	737,655	119,390
Operating expenses:							
Medical expense:							
Medicare	2,126,760	1,702,745	1,187,331	900,358	553,084	478,553	74,531
Commercial	3,186	5,146	38,662	108,168	107,095	90,783	16,312
Total medical expense	2,129,946	1,707,891	1,225,993	1,008,526	660,179	569,336	90,843

Edgar Filing: HealthSpring, Inc. - Form 10-K

Selling, general and administrative	279,822	246,294	186,154	156,940	111,854	97,187	14,667
Transaction expense					10,941	4,000	6,941
Depreciation and amortization	30,726	28,547	16,220	10,154	7,305	6,990	315
Impairment of intangible assets			4,537				
Interest expense	15,614	19,124	7,466	8,695	14,511	14,469	42
Total operating expenses	2,456,108	2,001,856	1,440,370	1,184,315	804,790	691,982	112,808
Income before minority interest and income taxes	209,937	186,464	134,755	124,950	52,255	45,673	6,582
Minority interest				(303)	(3,227)	(1,979)	(1,248)
Income before income taxes	209,937	186,464	134,755	124,647	49,028	43,694	5,334
Income tax expense	(76,342)	(67,512)	(48,295)	(43,811)	(19,772)	(17,144)	(2,628)
Net income	133,595	118,952	86,460	80,836	29,256	26,550	2,706
Preferred dividends				(2,021)	(15,607)	(15,607)	
Net income available to common stockholders and members	\$ 133,595	\$ 118,952	\$ 86,460	\$ 78,815	\$ 13,649	\$ 10,943	\$ 2,706
Net income per share available to common stockholders:							
Basic	\$ 2.43	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.44	\$	\$ 0.34	\$
Diluted	\$ 2.41	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.44	\$	\$ 0.34	\$
Weighted average common shares outstanding:							
Basic	54,973,690	55,904,246	57,249,252	54,617,744		32,173,707	

Edgar Filing: HealthSpring, Inc. - Form 10-K

Diluted	55,426,929	56,005,102	57,348,196	54,720,373	32,215,288
Net income per unit:					
Basic					\$ 0.55
Diluted					\$ 0.55
Weighted average units outstanding:					
Basic					4,884,176
Diluted					4,884,176

Table of Contents

	HealthSpring, Inc.				Combined Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2005	HealthSpring Inc. Period from March 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005	Predecessor Period from January 1, 2005 to February 28, 2005
	2009	2008	2007 (1)	2006	(2)	(3)	(3)
	(dollars in thousands, except share and unit data)						
Cash Flow Data:							
Capital expenditures	\$ 15,828	\$ 11,657	\$ 15,886	\$ 7,063	\$ 2,802	\$ 2,653	\$ 149
Cash provided by (used in):							
Operating activities	169,959	161,985	72,752	167,621	72,103	57,139	14,964
Investing activities	(17,951)	(7,035)	(389,195)	(336)	(276,346)	(270,877)	(5,469)
Financing activities	5,175	(196,800)	302,090	61,073	322,935	323,823	(888)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 439,423	\$ 282,240	\$ 324,090	\$ 338,443	\$ 110,085	\$ 110,085	\$ 76,441
Total assets	1,508,267	1,344,777	1,351,073	842,645	591,838	591,838	157,350
Total long-term debt, including current maturities	236,973	268,013	296,250		188,526	188,526	5,358
Stockholders /members equity	929,456	750,878	671,355	575,282	260,544	260,544	58,131
Operating Statistics:							
Medical loss ratio Medicare Advantage (4)	81.0%	78.3%	79.7%	78.8%	78.4%	78.3%	78.7%
Medical loss ratio PDP (4)	83.3%	89.6%	86.3%	73.4%			
Selling, general and administrative expense ratio(5)	10.5%	11.3%	11.8%	12.0%	13.1%	13.2%	12.3%
Members Medicare Advantage (6)	189,241	162,082	153,197	115,132	101,281	101,281	69,236
Members Commercial (6)	722	895	11,801	31,970	41,769	41,769	40,523
Members PDP (6)	313,045	282,429	139,212	88,753			

(1) The financial and statistical information for the year ended December 31,

2007 includes the results of the Leon Medical Centers Health Plans, Inc. from October 1, 2007, the date acquired by the company and the effect of the company's recording final retroactive rate settlement premiums and related risk sharing medical expenses for both the 2006 and 2007 plan years.

- (2) The combined financial information for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 includes the results of operations of NewQuest, LLC, for the period from January 1, 2005 through February 28, 2005 and the results of operations of the company for the period from March 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005. The combined financial information is for illustrative purposes only,

reflects the combination of the two-month period and the ten month period to provide a comparison with the twelve month periods, and is not presented in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

- (3) On November 10, 2004, NewQuest, LLC and its members entered into a purchase and exchange agreement with the company as part of a recapitalization. Pursuant to this agreement and a related stock purchase agreement, on March 1, 2005, the GTCR Funds and certain other persons contributed \$139.7 million of cash to the company and the members of NewQuest, LLC contributed a portion of their membership units in

exchange for preferred and common stock of the company. Additionally, we entered into a \$165.0 million term loan, with an additional \$15.0 million available pursuant to a revolving loan facility, and issued \$35.0 million of subordinated notes. We used the cash contribution and borrowings to acquire the members remaining membership units in NewQuest, LLC for approximately \$295.4 million in cash. The aggregate transaction value for the recapitalization was \$438.6 million, which included \$5.3 million of capitalized acquisition related costs. Additionally, the company incurred \$6.3 million of deferred financing costs. In addition, NewQuest, LLC incurred

\$6.9 million of transaction costs which were expensed during the two-month period ended February 28, 2005 and the company incurred \$4.0 million of transaction costs that were expensed during the ten-month period ended December 31, 2005. The transactions resulted in the company recording \$315.0 million in goodwill and \$91.2 million in identifiable intangible assets.

- (4) The medical loss ratio represents medical expense incurred for plan participants as a percentage of premium revenue for plan participants.
- (5) The selling, general and administrative expense ratio represents selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue.

- (6) As of the end of each period presented.

Table of Contents**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements, the notes to our audited consolidated financial statements, and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report. We intend for this discussion to provide you with information that will assist you in understanding our financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes as well as certain material trends or uncertainties we have observed. It includes the following sections:

Overview;

Results of Operations;

Segment Information;

Liquidity and Capital Resources;

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements;

Commitments and Contingencies;

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates; and

Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

This discussion contains forward-looking statements based on our current expectations that by their nature involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and the timing of selected events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements. Moreover, past financial and operating performance are not necessarily reliable indicators of future performance and you are cautioned in using our historical results to anticipate future results or to predict future trends. In evaluating any forward-looking statement, you should specifically consider the information set forth under the caption "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" and in Item 1A. Risk Factors, as well as other cautionary statements contained elsewhere in this report, including the matters discussed in "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" below.

Overview

HealthSpring, Inc. (the company or HealthSpring) is a managed care organization whose primary focus is Medicare, the federal government-sponsored health insurance program for U.S. citizens aged 65 and older, qualifying disabled persons, and persons suffering from end-stage renal disease. As of December 31, 2009, we operated Medicare Advantage plans in Alabama, Florida, Illinois, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. As of January 1, 2010, we also commenced operations of Medicare Advantage plans in three counties in Northern Georgia. We also offer a national stand-alone Medicare prescription drug plan. We refer to our Medicare Advantage plans, including plans providing prescription drug benefits, or MA-PD, as Medicare Advantage plans and our stand-alone prescription drug plan as our PDP. For purposes of additional analysis, the company provides membership and certain financial information, including premium revenue and medical expense, for our Medicare Advantage (including MA-PD) and PDP plans. We report our business in four segments: Medicare Advantage; PDP; Commercial; and Corporate. The following discussion of our results of operations includes a discussion of revenue and certain expenses by reportable segment. See Segment Information below for additional information related thereto.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) is the federal agency responsible for overseeing the Medicare program. The company's Tennessee Medicare Advantage plan is currently undergoing an audit by CMS relating to compliance with CMS's risk score coding requirements. The company is in the process of responding to CMS's information request, including retrieving and providing medical records that support diagnosis codes and risk scores relating to 2006 dates of service and 2007 plan premiums. The company is currently unable to predict the outcome of CMS's audit, calculate a payment error rate, or predict the amount of premiums, if any, that may be subject to

repayment by the Tennessee plan to CMS. No assurance can be provided at this time as to the outcome of the current CMS audit or whether other company plans will be selected or targeted for such audits.

Table of Contents**2009 Highlights**

Net income increased \$14.6 million, or 12.3%, in 2009 to \$133.6 million compared to 2008.

Our diluted earnings per share, or EPS, was \$2.41 for 2009 compared with \$2.12 for 2008.

Medicare Advantage membership at 2009 year-end increased 16.8% over the prior year. PDP membership at 2009 year-end increased 10.8% over the prior year.

Medicare (including Medicare Advantage and PDP) premium revenue for 2009 was approximately \$2.6 billion; an increase of 22.5% over 2008 results.

Medicare Advantage (including MA-PD) premiums were \$2.3 billion for 2009, reflecting an increase of 22.5% over the prior year. Stand-alone PDP premiums increased \$59.9 million, or 22.6%, to \$325.4 million in 2009.

Total cash flow from operations was \$170.0 million, or 1.3 times net income for 2009, compared with \$162.0 million, or 1.4 times net income for 2008.

Total cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2009 was \$439.4 million, including cash of \$106.4 million held at unregulated entities.

Revenue

General. Our revenue consists primarily of (i) premium revenue we generate from our Medicare line of business, (ii) fee revenue we receive for management and administrative services provided to independent physician associations, health plans, and self-insured employers, and (iii) investment income.

Premium Revenue. Our Medicare contracts entitle us to premium payments from CMS on behalf of each Medicare beneficiary enrolled in our plans, generally on a per member per month, or PMPM, basis. For our Medicare plans, we recognize premium revenue during the month in which the company is obligated to provide services to an enrolled member. Premiums we receive in advance of that date are recorded as deferred revenue.

Premiums for our Medicare and commercial products are generally fixed by contract in advance of the period during which health care is covered. Each of our Medicare plans submits rate proposals to CMS, generally by county or service area, in June for each Medicare product that will be offered beginning January 1 of the subsequent year. Retroactive rate adjustments are made periodically with respect to each of our Medicare plans based on the aggregate health status and risk scores of our plan populations. For a further explanation of the company's accounting for retroactive risk payments, see [Results of Operations Risk Adjustment Payments](#) below.

As with our traditional Medicare Advantage plans, we provide written bids to CMS for our Part D plans, which include the estimated costs of providing prescription drug benefits over the plan year. Premium payments from CMS are based on these estimated costs. The amount of CMS payments relating to the Part D standard coverage for our MA-PD plans and PDP is subject to adjustment, positive or negative, based upon the application of risk corridors that compare our prescription drug costs in our bids to CMS to our actual prescription drug costs. Variances exceeding certain thresholds may result in CMS making additional payments to us or our refunding to CMS a portion of the premium payments we previously received. We estimate and recognize adjustments to premium revenue related to estimated risk corridor payments as of each quarter end based upon our actual prescription drug costs for each reporting period as if the annual contract were to end at the end of each reporting period. We account for estimated risk corridor settlements with CMS on our consolidated balance sheet and as an operating activity in our consolidated statement of cash flows. Actual risk corridor payments upon final settlement with CMS could differ materially, favorably or unfavorably, from our estimates.

Because of the Part D product benefit design, the company incurs prescription drug costs unevenly throughout the year, resulting in fluctuations in quarterly MA-PD and PDP earnings. As a result of product features such as co-payments and deductibles, the coverage gap, risk corridors, and reinsurance, we generally expect to incur a disproportionate amount of prescription drug costs in the first half of the year. As a result, our Part D-related earnings are generally expected to increase in the second half of the year as compared to the first half of the year.

Table of Contents

Certain Part D-related payments we receive from CMS, primarily relating to low income and reinsurance subsidies for qualifying members of our plans, represent payments for claims that we administer on behalf of CMS and for which we assume no risk. We account for these payments as funds held for (or due for) the benefit of members on our consolidated balance sheet and as a financing activity in our consolidated statement of cash flows. We do not recognize premium revenue or claims expense for these payments as these amounts represent pass-through payments from CMS to fund deductibles, co-payments, and other member benefits.

Fee Revenue. Fee revenue primarily includes amounts paid to us for management services provided to independent physician associations and health plans. Our management subsidiaries typically generate fee revenue on one of two bases: (1) as a percentage of revenue collected by the relevant health plan; or (2) as a fixed PMPM payment or percentage of revenue for members serviced by the relevant independent physician association, or IPA. Fee revenue is recognized in the month in which services are provided. In addition, pursuant to certain of our management agreements with IPAs, we receive fees based on a share of the profits of the IPAs. To the extent these fees relate to members of our HMO subsidiaries, the fees are recognized as a credit to medical expense. Management fees calculated based on profits are recognized, as fee revenue or as a credit to medical expenses, if applicable, when we can readily determine that such fees have been earned, which determination is typically made on a monthly basis.

Investment Income. Investment income consists primarily of interest income and gross realized gains and losses from sales of available-for-sale investments and discount amortization and interest on held-to-maturity securities.

Medical Expense

Our largest expense is the cost of medical services we arrange for our members, or medical expense. Medical expense for our Medicare plans primarily consists of payments to physicians, hospitals, pharmacies, and other health care providers for services and products provided to our Medicare members. We generally pay our providers on one of three bases: (1) risk-sharing arrangements, whereby we advance a capitated PMPM amount and share the risk of the medical costs of our members with the provider based on actual experience as measured against pre-determined sharing ratios; (2) capitated arrangements, generally on a fixed PMPM payment basis, whereby the provider generally assumes some or all of the medical expense risk; and (3) fee-for-service contracts based on negotiated fee schedules. Pharmacy costs are recognized as incurred and represent payments for members prescription drug benefits, net of rebates from drug manufacturers, if any. Rebates are recognized when earned, according to the contractual arrangements with the respective manufacturers.

One of our primary tools for managing our business and measuring our profitability is our medical loss ratio, or MLR, the ratio of our medical expenses to the premiums we receive. Small changes in the ratio of our medical expenses relative to the premium we receive can result in significant changes in our financial results. Changes in the MLR from period to period result from, among other things, changes in Medicare funding, changes in benefits offered by our plans, our ability to manage medical expense, changes in accounting estimates related to incurred but not reported claims, or IBNR, and our Part-D-related earnings relative to CMS risk corridors. We use MLRs both to monitor our management of medical expenses and to make various business decisions, including what plans or benefits to offer, what geographic areas to enter or exit, and our selection of healthcare providers. We analyze and evaluate our Medicare Advantage and PDP MLRs separately.

Acquisition of Leon Medical Centers Health Plans

On October 1, 2007, we completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding capital stock of Leon Medical Centers Health Plans, Inc. (LMC Health Plans) pursuant to the terms of a Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 9, 2007 (the Stock Purchase Agreement). The results of LMC Health Plans are included in our results from the date of acquisition. LMC Health Plans is a Miami, Florida-based Medicare Advantage HMO with approximately 32,050 members at December 31, 2009 (up from approximately 25,800 members at the date of acquisition). Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, we acquired LMC Health Plans for \$355.0 million in cash and contingent consideration of 2,666,667 shares of HealthSpring common stock, which share consideration was released in November 2009 to the former stockholders of LMC Health Plans as a result of Leon Medical Centers, Inc. (LMC) completing the construction of two additional medical centers in accordance with the timetable set forth in the purchase agreement. The released shares are included in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the fourth quarter of 2009 and as issued and outstanding on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009. We also recorded an

additional purchase price of \$34.7 million in the fourth quarter associated with the release of such shares, which was recorded as goodwill.

Table of Contents

As part of the transaction, we entered into an exclusive long-term provider contract (the Leon Medical Services Agreement) with LMC. LMC operates seven Medicare-only medical clinics located in Miami-Dade County and has over a 13-year history of providing medical care and customer service to the Hispanic Medicare-eligible community of South Florida. The Leon Medical Services Agreement is for an initial term of approximately 10 years with an additional five-year renewal term at our option.

Results of Operations

Percentage Comparisons

The following table sets forth the consolidated statements of income data expressed in dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of revenues for each period indicated.