

LINDSAY CORP  
Form 10-Q  
April 07, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q**

**(MARK ONE)**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the quarterly period ended February 28, 2011**  
**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**Commission File Number 1-13419**  
**Lindsay Corporation**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

**47-0554096**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**2222 N. 111th Street, Omaha, Nebraska**

**68164**

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

**402-829-6800**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of April 1, 2011, 12,558,701 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.



**Lindsay Corporation**  
**INDEX FORM 10-Q**

Page No.

**Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1 Financial Statements**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010 3

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010 4

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010 5

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 6-17

**ITEM 2 *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*** 18-25

**ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** 26

**ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures** 26

**Part II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1 *Legal Proceedings*** 27

**ITEM 1A Risk Factors** 27

**ITEM 2 *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*** 27

**ITEM 6 *Exhibits*** 28

**SIGNATURE** 29

Exhibit 31.1  
Exhibit 31.2  
Exhibit 32.1

**Table of Contents****Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1 Financial Statements**

**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(unaudited)**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three months ended February 28,		Six months ended February 28,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Operating revenues	\$ 120,168	\$ 85,196	\$ 209,334	\$ 171,166
Cost of operating revenues	86,159	63,067	151,102	123,233
Gross profit	34,009	22,129	58,232	47,933
Operating expenses:				
Selling expense	6,911	5,251	13,929	10,774
General and administrative expense	7,265	8,279	15,296	15,615
Engineering and research expense	2,772	1,685	5,336	3,469
Total operating expenses	16,948	15,215	34,561	29,858
Operating income	17,061	6,914	23,671	18,075
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense	(213)	(356)	(399)	(817)
Interest income	37	83	79	166
Other income (expense), net	116	(85)	227	60
Earnings before income taxes	17,001	6,556	23,578	17,484
Income tax provision	5,676	578	7,967	4,829
Net earnings	\$ 11,325	\$ 5,978	\$ 15,611	\$ 12,655
Basic net earnings per share	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.02
Diluted net earnings per share	\$ 0.89	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.01
Weighted average shares outstanding	12,548	12,452	12,525	12,415
Diluted effect of stock equivalents	137	127	139	145

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	12,685	12,579	12,664	12,560
Cash dividends per share	\$ 0.085	\$ 0.080	\$ 0.170	\$ 0.160

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(\$ in thousands, except par values)	(Unaudited) February 28, 2011	(Unaudited) February 28, 2010	August 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 78,448	\$ 91,635	\$ 83,418
Receivables, net of allowance of \$2,331, \$2,100 and \$2,244, respectively	75,096	53,297	63,629
Inventories, net	54,876	47,197	45,296
Deferred income taxes	5,457	6,645	6,722
Other current assets	10,035	7,629	8,946
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>223,912</b>	<b>206,403</b>	<b>208,011</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net	58,141	57,414	57,646
Other intangible assets, net	27,807	27,842	27,715
Goodwill, net	28,528	23,867	27,395
Other noncurrent assets	4,869	5,640	4,714
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 343,257</b>	<b>\$ 321,166</b>	<b>\$ 325,481</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>			
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 38,261	\$ 30,514	\$ 26,501
Current portion of long-term debt	4,286	6,171	4,286
Other current liabilities	27,049	29,631	36,295
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>69,596</b>	<b>66,316</b>	<b>67,082</b>
Pension benefits liabilities	6,289	6,407	6,400
Long-term debt	6,428	16,369	8,571
Deferred income taxes	10,746	8,916	10,816
Other noncurrent liabilities	1,798	3,101	3,005
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>94,857</b>	<b>101,109</b>	<b>95,874</b>
Shareholders equity:			
Preferred stock, (\$1 par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding)			
Common stock, (\$1 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, 18,257,149, 18,184,620 and 18,184,820 shares issued at February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010, respectively)	18,257	18,185	18,185
Capital in excess of stated value	32,954	29,972	30,756

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Retained earnings	283,751	260,126	270,272
Less treasury stock (at cost, 5,698,448 shares at February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010, respectively)	(90,961)	(90,961)	(90,961)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	4,399	2,735	1,355
Total shareholders' equity	248,400	220,057	229,607
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 343,257	\$ 321,166	\$ 325,481

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Unaudited)**

(\$ in thousands)	Six Months Ended February 28,	
	2011	2010
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net earnings	\$ 15,611	\$ 12,655
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	5,880	5,350
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable	188	267
Deferred income taxes	(575)	(1,768)
Stock-based compensation expense	1,586	1,182
Gain on disposal of fixed assets	(37)	(520)
Other, net	(336)	(85)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	(10,137)	(11,025)
Inventories	(8,003)	(1,940)
Other current assets	(762)	(1,755)
Accounts payable	11,245	10,747
Other current liabilities	(7,877)	(3,645)
Current taxes payable	(1,525)	2,554
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities	(1,343)	(954)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,915	11,063
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(4,402)	(1,985)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	53	547
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	(1,279)	(132)
Payment for settlement of net investment hedge	(734)	565
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,362)	(1,005)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Issuance of common stock under stock compensation plans	(34)	544
Principal payments on long-term debt	(2,143)	(3,086)
Net borrowing on revolving line of credit	389	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	877	368
Dividends paid	(2,133)	(1,990)
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,044)	(4,164)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	521	(188)

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(4,970)	5,706
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	83,418	85,929
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 78,448	\$ 91,635

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Unaudited)

**(1) Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

The condensed consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and do not include all of the disclosures normally required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for financial statements contained in Lindsay Corporation's (the Company) annual Form 10-K filing. Accordingly, these condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary to present a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations and cash flows for the respective interim periods. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of trends or results expected by the Company for a full year.

Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements describe various elements of the financial statements and the accounting policies, estimates, and assumptions applied by management. While actual results could differ from those estimated by management in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements, management believes that the accounting policies, assumptions, and estimates applied promote the representational faithfulness, verifiability, neutrality, and transparency of the accounting information included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior financial statements and notes to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications were not material to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

On November 3, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of certain assets of WMC Technology Limited based in Feilding, New Zealand. The assets acquired primarily relate to technology that will enhance the Company's irrigation product offerings. Total consideration paid was \$1.3 million which was financed with cash on hand. The total purchase price has been allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired based on fair value assessments. The resulting goodwill and intangible assets have been accounted for under FASB ASC 805, *Business Combinations*. The fair value assigned to the assets was finalized in the second quarter of the Company's 2011 fiscal year.

**(2) Net Earnings per Share**

Basic net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of stock options and restricted stock units to the extent they are not anti-dilutive. Performance stock units are excluded from the calculation of dilutive potential common shares until the threshold performance conditions have been satisfied. At February 28, 2011, the threshold performance conditions for the Company's outstanding performance stock units that were granted on November 3, 2008, November 12, 2009 and November 1, 2010 had not been satisfied, resulting in the exclusion of 98,625 performance stock units from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share.

Employee stock options, nonvested shares and similar equity instruments granted by the Company are treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing diluted net earnings per share. The Company's diluted common shares outstanding reported in each period include the dilutive effect of restricted stock units, in-the-money options, and performance stock units for which threshold performance conditions have been satisfied and is calculated based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized on share based awards, and the amount of excess tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when shares are issued and assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

There were no restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the three months ended February 28, 2011. There were 4,125 restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the six months ended February 28, 2011, since their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. There were 1,333 and 952 restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the

three and six months ended February 28, 2010, since their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

**Table of Contents****(3) Comprehensive Income**

The accumulated other comprehensive income, net, shown in the Company's consolidated balance sheets includes the unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, changes in the transition obligation and net actuarial losses from the defined benefit pension plan, and the accumulated foreign currency translation adjustment, net of hedging activities. The following table shows the difference between the Company's reported net earnings and its comprehensive income:

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Six months ended</b>	
	<b>February 28,</b>		<b>February 28,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Comprehensive income:				
Net earnings	\$ 11,325	\$ 5,978	\$ 15,611	\$ 12,655
Other comprehensive income <sup>(1)</sup> :				
Defined benefit pension plan, net of tax	25	28	50	56
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges, net of tax	79	723	181	556
Foreign currency translation, net of hedging activities	2,069	(3,816)	2,813	(872)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 13,498	\$ 2,913	\$ 18,655	\$ 12,395

(1) Net of tax expense of \$256 and \$179 for the three months and six months ended February 28, 2011, respectively. Net of tax expense of \$527 and \$493 for the three months and six months ended February 28, 2010, respectively.

**(4) Income Taxes**

It is the Company's policy to report income tax expense for interim periods using an estimated annual effective income tax rate. However, the tax effects of significant or unusual items are not considered in the estimated annual effective tax rate. The tax effects of such discrete events are recognized in the interim period in which the events occur.

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$5.7 million and \$8.0 million for the three and six months ended February 28, 2011, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$0.6 million and \$4.8 million for the three and six months ended February 28, 2010, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate used to calculate income tax expense before discrete items was 34.0% and 35.5% for the periods ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate from February 2010 to February 2011 primarily relates to an increase in the manufacturing deduction under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

For the three and six months ended February 28, 2011, the Company recorded a discrete benefit of \$0.1 million related to uncertain tax positions. For the three months ended February 28, 2010, the Company recorded two discrete items that reduced income tax expense. The first item was a benefit of \$1.4 million related to Nebraska Advantage Act Credits. The second item related to the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions relating to taxation of certain of the Company's international subsidiaries. This reversal was recorded due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in the respective tax jurisdictions without any actual tax liability being assessed. The benefit recorded was \$0.4 million. For the six months ended February 28, 2010, the Company recorded the two discrete items discussed above as well as a discrete item resulting in \$0.4 million of additional tax expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 relating to a tax ruling impacting the Company's French subsidiary.

**Table of Contents****(5) Inventories**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the last-in, first-out ( LIFO ) method for the Company's Lindsay, Nebraska inventory and two warehouses in Idaho and Texas. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out ( FIFO ) method for inventory at the Company's Omaha, Nebraska location, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Barrier Systems, Inc. ( BSI ) and Watertronics, LLC, China and other non-U.S. warehouse locations. Cost is determined by the weighted average cost method for inventory at the Company's other operating locations in Washington State, France, Brazil, Italy and South Africa. At all locations, the Company reserves for obsolete, slow moving, and excess inventory by estimating the net realizable value based on the potential future use of such inventory.

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>February 28, 2011</b>	<b>February 28, 2010</b>	<b>August 31, 2010</b>
Inventories:			
FIFO inventory	\$ 25,626	\$ 20,298	\$ 19,218
LIFO reserves	(6,305)	(6,927)	(6,263)
LIFO inventory	19,321	13,371	12,955
Weighted average inventory	21,977	18,867	15,854
Other FIFO inventory	15,806	17,200	18,532
Obsolescence reserve	(2,228)	(2,241)	(2,045)
Total inventories	\$ 54,876	\$ 47,197	\$ 45,296

The estimated percentage distribution between major classes of inventory before reserves is as follows:

	<b>February 28, 2011</b>	<b>February 28, 2010</b>	<b>August 31, 2010</b>
Raw materials	14%	13%	12%
Work in process	10%	7%	8%
Finished goods and purchased parts	76%	80%	80%

**(6) Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, as follows:

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>February 28, 2011</b>	<b>February 28, 2010</b>	<b>August 31, 2010</b>
Operating property, plant and equipment:			
Land	\$ 2,830	\$ 2,244	\$ 2,757
Buildings	28,962	28,983	28,294
Equipment	68,305	62,299	66,754
Other	6,573	4,524	3,830
Total operating property, plant and equipment	106,670	98,050	101,635
Accumulated depreciation	(62,363)	(56,077)	(58,429)
Total operating property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 44,307	\$ 41,973	\$ 43,206

Property held for lease:

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Machines		3,890		4,216		4,360
Barriers		17,123		16,436		16,215
Total property held for lease	\$	21,013	\$	20,652	\$	20,575
Accumulated depreciation		(7,179)		(5,211)		(6,135)
Total property held for lease, net	\$	13,834	\$	15,441	\$	14,440
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	58,141	\$	57,414	\$	57,646

Depreciation expense was \$2.3 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, and \$4.5 million and \$4.1 million for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**Table of Contents****(7) Credit Arrangements***Euro Line of Credit*

The Company's wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately \$3.2 million as of February 28, 2011, for working capital purposes (the Euro Line of Credit). At February 28, 2011, there was \$0.4 million outstanding on the Euro Line of Credit. At February 28, 2010 and August 31, 2010, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Euro Line of Credit. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 110 basis points (all inclusive, 2.19% at February 28, 2011). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2012, which is the termination date of the Euro Line of Credit.

*BSI Term Note*

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, effective June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of BSI. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. The Company has fixed the rate at 6.05% through an interest rate swap as described in Note 8, *Financial Derivatives*. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven-year period that began in September of 2006. The BSI Term Note is due June 10, 2013.

*Snoline Term Note*

The Company's wholly-owned Italian subsidiary, Snoline S.P.A. (Snoline) had an unsecured \$13.2 million seven-year Term Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that was effective on December 27, 2006 (the Snoline Term Note). On May 17, 2010, the Company repaid the \$7.1 million outstanding balance on the Snoline Term Note in its entirety.

*Revolving Credit Agreement*

The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the Revolving Credit Agreement). The Company entered into the Second Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement, effective January 23, 2011 in order to extend the Revolving Credit Agreement's termination date from January 23, 2012 to January 23, 2014. The Revolving Credit Agreement, as amended, is hereinafter referred to as the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. The borrowings from the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement will primarily be used for working capital purposes and funding acquisitions. At February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010, there was no outstanding balance on the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 105 basis points, subject to adjustment as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is paid on a monthly to quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 23, 2014.

The BSI Term Note and the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement (collectively, the Notes) each contain the same covenants, including certain covenants relating to the Company's financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, a current ratio and a tangible net worth requirement (all as defined in the Notes) at specified levels. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants specified in the Notes, including a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Notes), all amounts due thereunder may be declared to be immediately due and payable. At February 28, 2011 and 2010, the Company was in compliance with these financial covenants.



**Table of Contents**

Outstanding long-term debt consists of the following:

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>February 28, 2011</b>	<b>February 28, 2010</b>	<b>August 31, 2010</b>
BSI Term Note	\$ 10,714	\$ 15,000	\$ 12,857
Snoline Term Note		7,540	
Less current portion	(4,286)	(6,171)	(4,286)
Total long-term debt	\$ 6,428	\$ 16,369	\$ 8,571

Interest expense was \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, and \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Principal payments due on long-term debt are as follows:

**Due within:**

1 year	\$ 4,286
2 years	4,286
3 years	2,142
	\$ 10,714

**(8) Financial Derivatives**

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Each derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, a hedge of a net investment, or remains undesignated. The Company records the fair value of these derivative instruments on the balance sheet. For those instruments that are designated as a cash flow hedge and meet certain documentary and analytical requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment, changes in the fair value for the effective portion are reported in other comprehensive income ( OCI ), net of related income tax effects, and are reclassified to the income statement when the effects of the item being hedged are recognized in the income statement. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that qualify as hedges of a net investment in foreign operations are recorded as a component of accumulated currency translation adjustment in accumulated other comprehensive income ( AOCI ), net of related income tax effects. Changes in the fair value of undesignated hedges are recognized currently in the income statement as other income (expense). All changes in derivative fair values due to ineffectiveness are recognized currently in income.

The Company attempts to manage market and credit risks associated with its derivative instruments by establishing and monitoring limits as to the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken, and by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties. As of February 28, 2011, the Company's derivative counterparty had investment grade credit ratings.

**Table of Contents**

Financial derivatives consist of the following:

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Values of Derivative Instruments</b>		
		<b>Asset (Liability) Derivatives</b>		
		<b>February 28, 2011</b>	<b>February 28, 2010</b>	<b>August 31, 2010</b>
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other current liabilities	\$ (388)	\$	\$ (66)
Interest rate swap	Other current liabilities	(354)	(530)	(437)
Interest rate swap	Other noncurrent liabilities	(271)	(599)	(484)
Cross currency swap	Other current liabilities		(291)	
Cross currency swap	Other noncurrent liabilities		(434)	
<b>Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$ (1,013)</b>	<b>\$ (1,854)</b>	<b>\$ (987)</b>
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Foreign currency option contract	Other current assets	\$	\$	\$ 16
<b>Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 16</b>

In addition, accumulated other comprehensive income included gains, net of related income tax effects, of \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million at February 28, 2011 and 2010, and August 31, 2010, respectively, related to derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments.

**Cash Flow Hedging Relationships**

In order to reduce interest rate risk on the BSI Term Note, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that is designed to convert the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to a fixed rate of 6.05% per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company receives variable interest rate payments and makes fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt (see Note 7, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap designated as a hedging instrument that effectively offset the variability of cash flows associated with variable-rate, long-term debt obligations are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects.

Similarly, the Company entered into a cross currency swap transaction with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. fixing the conversion rate of Euro to U.S. dollars for the Snoline Term Note at 1.3195 and obligating the Company to make quarterly payments of 0.4 million Euros per quarter over the same seven-year period as the Snoline Term Note and to receive payments of \$0.5 million per quarter. In addition, the variable interest rate was converted to a fixed rate of 4.7%. This was approximately equivalent to converting the \$13.2 million seven-year Snoline Term Note into a 10.0 million Euro seven-year term note at a fixed rate of 4.7%. Under the terms of the cross currency swap, the Company received variable interest rate payments and made fixed interest rate payments, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt (see Note 7, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the cross currency swap designated as a hedging instrument that effectively offset the hedged risks were reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects. On May 17, 2010, in conjunction with repaying the Snoline Term Note, the Company exited the cross currency swap transaction with a zero fair value.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of its operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. Changes in the fair value of the forward exchange contracts or option contracts designated as hedging instruments that effectively offset the hedged risks are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects. The Company had forward exchange contracts and option contracts with cash flow hedging relationships totaling less than \$0.1 million included in other current liabilities at February 28, 2011 and August 31, 2010. The Company had no forward exchange contracts or option contracts with cash flow hedging relationships outstanding at February 28, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives</b>			
	<b>Three months ended February 28,</b>		<b>Six months ended February 28,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Interest rate swap	\$ 96	\$ 95	\$ 180	\$ 159
Cross currency swap		628		397
Foreign currency forward contracts	(17)		1	
Total <sup>1</sup>	\$ 79	\$ 723	\$ 181	\$ 556

(1) Net of tax expense of \$48 and \$296 for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010 respectively. Net of tax expense of \$109 and \$246 for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010 respectively.

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCI into Income</b>	<b>Amount of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income</b>			
		<b>Three months ended February 28,</b>		<b>Six months ended February 28,</b>	
		<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Interest rate swap	Interest Expense	\$ (144)	\$ (220)	\$ (304)	\$ (456)
Cross currency swap	Interest Expense		(131)		(280)
Foreign currency forward contracts	Revenue	(18)		(57)	
		\$ (162)	\$ (351)	\$ (361)	\$ (736)

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffectiveness)</b>	<b>Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffectiveness)</b>			
		<b>Three months ended February 28,</b>		<b>Six months ended February 28,</b>	
		<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Interest rate swap	Other income (expense)	\$ (4)	\$ 7	\$ 7	\$ (50)

**Table of Contents***Net Investment Hedging Relationships*

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. These foreign currency forward contracts qualify as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations. Changes in fair value of the net investment hedge contracts are reported in OCI as part of the currency translation adjustment, net of tax.

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives</b>			
	<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Six months ended</b>	
	<b>February 28,</b>		<b>February 28,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Foreign currency forward contracts <sup>1</sup>	\$ (219)	\$ 351	\$ (649)	\$ 351

<sup>(1)</sup> Net of tax benefit of \$134 and \$397 for the three and six months ended February 28, 2011. Net of tax expense of \$214 for the three and six months ended February 28, 2010.

At February 28, 2011, the Company had one outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contract to sell 5.0 million Euro on March 28, 2011 at a fixed price of \$1.3091 per Euro. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company settled a Euro foreign currency forward contract resulting in after-tax net losses of \$0.4 million which were included in OCI as part of a currency translation adjustment. During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company entered into and settled a Euro foreign currency forward contract resulting in an after-tax net gain of \$0.4 million which was included in OCI as part of a currency translation adjustment.

For the three and six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, there were no amounts recorded in the consolidated statement of operations related to ineffectiveness of Euro foreign currency forward contracts. Accumulated currency translation adjustment in AOCI at February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010 reflected after-tax realized gains of \$1.1 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million, net of related income tax effects of \$0.7 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to settled foreign currency forward contracts.

*Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments*

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of the Company's operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. The Company may choose whether or not to designate these contracts as hedges. For those contracts not designated, changes in fair value are recognized currently in the income statement. At February 28, 2011, the Company had one option contract outstanding that was not designated as a hedging instrument. At February 28, 2010, the Company had no undesignated hedges outstanding.

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income</b>	<b>Amount Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives</b>			
		<b>Three months ended</b>		<b>Six months ended</b>	
		<b>February 28,</b>		<b>February 28,</b>	
		<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Foreign currency forward contracts	Operating revenues	\$ (7)	\$	\$ (16)	\$

**Table of Contents****(9) Fair Value Measurements**

The Company follows the Financial Accounting Standards Board's guidance on fair value measurements which establishes the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques based on observable and unobservable data and categorizes the inputs into three levels, with the highest priority given to Level 1 and the lowest priority given to Level 3. The levels are described below.

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Significant observable pricing inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. Essentially, this represents inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Generally unobservable inputs, which are developed based on the best information available and may include the Company's own internal data.

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value based upon the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall, as of February 28, 2011:

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 78,448	\$	\$	\$ 78,448
Derivative liabilities		(1,013)		(1,013)

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value based upon the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall, as of February 28, 2010:

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 91,635	\$	\$	\$ 91,635
Derivative liabilities		(1,854)		(1,854)

The carrying amount of long-term debt (including current portion) was \$10.7 million as of February 28, 2011. The fair value of this debt at February 28, 2011 was estimated at \$10.5 million. Fair value of long-term debt (including current portion) is estimated by discounting the future estimated cash flows of each instrument at current market interest rates for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities and credit quality.

The Company also measures the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually, or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include fixed assets, goodwill, and other intangible assets. There were no required fair value adjustments for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis for the three and six months ended February 28, 2011 or 2010.

**(10) Commitments and Contingencies**

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States Government (the EPA) in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site). The site was added to the EPA's list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the groundwater. The current remediation process consists of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration. In 2008, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. In response to the review, the Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan that will allow the Company and the EPA to better identify the boundaries of the contaminated groundwater and determine whether the contaminated groundwater is being contained by current and planned remediation methods. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of remediation where the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Amounts



**Table of Contents**

accrued in balance sheet liabilities related to the remediation actions were \$1.2 million, \$1.3 million, and \$0.9 million at February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010, respectively. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs of completing the actions defined in the current ongoing work plan agreed to between the Company and the EPA, it is possible that additional testing and additional environmental monitoring and remediation will be required in the near future as part of the Company's ongoing discussions with the EPA regarding the development and implementation of the remedial action work plan, which could result in the recognition of additional related expenses. While these additional expenses could significantly exceed the current accrued amount and could be material to the operating results of any fiscal quarter or fiscal year, the Company does not expect that such additional expenses would have a material adverse effect on the liquidity or financial condition of the Company.

**(11) Retirement Plan**

The Company has a supplemental non-qualified, unfunded retirement plan for six former employees. Plan benefits are based on the participant's average total compensation during the three highest compensation years of employment during the ten years immediately preceding the participant's retirement or termination. This unfunded supplemental retirement plan is not subject to the minimum funding requirements of ERISA. The Company has purchased life insurance policies on four of the participants named in this supplemental retirement plan to provide partial funding for this liability. Components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company's supplemental retirement plan include:

\$ in thousands	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	February 28,		February 28,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net periodic benefit cost:				
Interest cost	84	88	167	175
Net amortization and deferral	41	45	82	90
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 125	\$ 133	\$ 249	\$ 265

**(12) Warranties**

The Company generally warrants its products against certain manufacturing and other defects. These product warranties are provided for specific periods and/or usage of the product. The accrued product warranty costs are for a combination of specifically identified items and other incurred, but not identified, items based primarily on historical experience of actual warranty claims. This reserve is classified within other current liabilities. The following tables provide the changes in the Company's product warranties:

\$ in thousands	Three months ended	
	February 28,	
	2011	2010
Warranties:		
Product warranty accrual balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,796	\$ 1,477
Liabilities accrued for warranties during the period	843	674
Warranty claims paid during the period	(723)	(746)
Product warranty accrual balance, end of period	\$ 1,916	\$ 1,405

  

\$ in thousands	Six months ended	
	February 28,	
	2011	2010
Warranties:		
Product warranty accrual balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,862	\$ 1,736



Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Liabilities accrued for warranties during the period	1,685	1,421
Warranty claims paid during the period	(1,631)	(1,752)
Product warranty accrual balance, end of period	\$ 1,916	\$ 1,405

**Table of Contents****(13) Industry Segment Information**

The Company manages its business activities in two reportable segments:

**Irrigation:** This segment includes the manufacture and marketing of center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems as well as various water pumping stations and controls. The irrigation segment consists of seven operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria, including similar products, production processes, type or class of customer and methods for distribution.

**Infrastructure:** This segment includes the manufacture and marketing of Quickchange Moveable Barriers® ( QMB® ), specialty barriers and crash cushions, providing outsource manufacturing services and the manufacturing and selling of large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures. The infrastructure segment consists of three operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria.

The accounting policies of the two reportable segments are described in the Accounting Policies section of Note A to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010. The Company evaluates the performance of its reportable segments based on segment sales, gross profit, and operating income, with operating income for segment purposes excluding unallocated corporate general and administrative expenses, interest income, interest expense, other income and expenses, and income taxes. Operating income for segment purposes does include general and administrative expenses, selling expenses, engineering and research expenses and other overhead charges directly attributable to the segment. There are no inter-segment sales.

The Company had no single customer representing 10% or more of its total revenues during the three and six months ended February 28, 2011 and the three months ended February 28, 2010. For the six months ended February 28, 2010, more than 10% of the total revenues generated by the Company were realized from the Mexico City barrier project.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table:

\$ in thousands	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	February 28,		February 28,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Operating revenues:				
Irrigation	\$ 91,658	\$ 67,895	\$ 151,667	\$ 121,161
Infrastructure	28,510	17,301	57,667	50,005
Total operating revenues	\$ 120,168	\$ 85,196	\$ 209,334	\$ 171,166
Operating income:				
Irrigation	\$ 16,005	\$ 12,028	\$ 21,906	\$ 18,772
Infrastructure	4,261	(1,154)	8,286	6,531
Segment operating income	20,266	10,874	30,192	25,303
Unallocated general and administrative expenses	(3,205)	(3,960)	(6,521)	(7,228)
Interest and other income, net	(60)	(358)	(93)	(591)
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 17,001	\$ 6,556	\$ 23,578	\$ 17,484
Total Capital Expenditures:				
Irrigation	\$ 1,182	\$ 21	\$ 2,038	\$ 542
Infrastructure	1,736	528	2,364	1,443
	\$ 2,918	\$ 549	\$ 4,402	\$ 1,985

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

Total Depreciation and Amortization:

Irrigation	\$ 1,388	\$ 1,112	\$ 2,740	\$ 2,221
Infrastructure	1,566	1,557	3,140	3,129
	\$ 2,954	\$ 2,669	\$ 5,880	\$ 5,350

**Table of Contents**

	<b>February 28, 2011</b>	<b>February 28, 2010</b>	<b>August 31, 2010</b>
Total Assets:			
Irrigation	\$ 227,341	\$ 217,096	\$ 206,885
Infrastructure	115,916	104,070	118,596
	\$ 343,257	\$ 321,166	\$ 325,481

**(14) Share Based Compensation**

The Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. The Company's current share-based compensation plan, approved by the stockholders of the Company, provides for awards of stock options, restricted shares, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance shares and performance stock units to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. In connection with the restricted stock units and performance stock units, the Company is accruing compensation expense based on the estimated number of shares expected to be issued utilizing the most current information available to the Company at the date of the financial statements. Share-based compensation expense was \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Share-based compensation expense was \$1.6 million and \$1.2 million for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company awarded its annual grant of restricted stock units to its independent members of the Board of Directors at a grant date fair value of \$64.83 per share. Total units granted were 3,759 restricted stock units. These restricted stock units were issued from the 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2 *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*  
Concerning Forward-Looking Statements**

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains not only historical information, but also forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are not historical are forward-looking and reflect expectations for future Company conditions or performance. In addition, forward-looking statements may be made orally or in press releases, conferences, reports, on the Company's worldwide web site, or otherwise, in the future by or on behalf of the Company. When used by or on behalf of the Company, the words expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend, will, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The entire section entitled Market Conditions and Fiscal 2011 Outlook should be considered forward-looking statements. For these statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those discussed in the Risk Factors section in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2010. Readers should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should recognize that the statements are predictions of future results or conditions, which may not occur as anticipated. Actual results or conditions could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements and from historical results, due to the risks and uncertainties described herein, as well as others not now anticipated. The risks and uncertainties described herein are not exclusive and further information concerning the Company and its businesses, including factors that potentially could materially affect the Company's financial results, may emerge from time to time. Except as required by law, the Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

**Accounting Policies**

In preparing the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, management must make a variety of decisions which impact the reported amounts and the related disclosures. These decisions include the selection of the appropriate accounting principles to be applied and the assumptions on which to base accounting estimates. In making these decisions, management applies its judgment based on its understanding and analysis of the relevant circumstances and the Company's historical experience.

The Company's accounting policies that are most important to the presentation of its results of operations and financial condition, and which require the greatest use of judgments and estimates by management, are designated as its critical accounting policies. See further discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies under Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Company's year ended August 31, 2010. Management periodically re-evaluates and adjusts its critical accounting policies as circumstances change. There were no changes in the Company's critical accounting policies during the six months ended February 28, 2011.

**Overview**

Lindsay Corporation (Lindsay or the Company) is a leading designer and manufacturer of self-propelled center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems that are used principally in the agricultural industry to increase or stabilize crop production while conserving water, energy, and labor. The Company has been in continuous operation since 1955 and is one of the pioneers in the automated irrigation industry. In 2008, the Company entered the market for water pumping stations and controls which provides further opportunities for integration with irrigation control systems. The Company also manufactures and markets various infrastructure products, including moveable barriers for traffic lane management, crash cushions, road marking and other road safety devices. In addition, the Company's infrastructure segment produces large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures, and provides outsourced manufacturing and production services for other companies. Industry segment information about Lindsay is included in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

Lindsay, a Delaware corporation, maintains its corporate offices in Omaha, Nebraska, USA. The Company's principal irrigation manufacturing facility is located in Lindsay, Nebraska, USA. The Company also has international sales and irrigation production facilities in France, Brazil, South Africa and China which provide it with important bases of operations in key international markets. Lindsay Europe SAS, located in La Chapelle, France, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the European market. Lindsay America do Sul Ltda., located in Mogi Mirim, Brazil, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the South American market. Lindsay Manufacturing Africa, (PTY) Ltd., located in Paarl, South Africa, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the sub-Saharan Africa market. Lindsay (Tianjin) Industry Co., Ltd., located in Tianjin, China, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the Chinese market. Lindsay International (ANZ) PTY Ltd, located in Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia, markets irrigation equipment for the Australian and New Zealand markets.

Watertronics, located in Hartland, Wisconsin, designs, manufactures, and services water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets.

Lindsay has three additional irrigation operating subsidiaries. Irrigation Specialists, Inc. ( Irrigation Specialists ) is a retail irrigation dealership based in Washington State that operates at three locations. Irrigation Specialists provides a strategic distribution channel in a key regional irrigation market. Lindsay Transportation, Inc. ( LTI ), located in Lindsay, Nebraska, primarily brokers delivery of irrigation equipment in the U.S. Digitec, Inc. ( Digitec ), located in Milford, Nebraska and Sioux Falls, South Dakota is an electronics research, development and manufacturing company. Digitec has been in business since 1987 and was acquired by the Company in August 2010.

Barrier Systems, Inc. ( BSI ), located in Vacaville, California, manufactures moveable barrier products, specialty barriers and crash cushions.

Snoline S.P.A. ( Snoline ), located in Milan, Italy, is engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of road marking and safety equipment.

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations****For the Three Months ended February 28, 2011 compared to the Three Months ended February 28, 2010**

The following section presents an analysis of the Company's operating results displayed in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010. It should be read together with the industry segment information in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements:

\$ in thousands	Three months ended February 28,		Percent Increase (Decrease)
	2011	2010	
Consolidated			
Operating revenues	\$ 120,168	\$ 85,196	41.0%
Cost of operating revenues	\$ 86,159	\$ 63,067	36.6%
Gross profit	\$ 34,009	\$ 22,129	53.7%
Gross margin	28.3%	26.0%	
Operating expenses (1)	\$ 16,948	\$ 15,215	11.4%
Operating income	\$ 17,061	\$ 6,914	146.8%
Operating margin	14.2%	8.1%	
Interest expense	\$ (213)	\$ (356)	(40.2)%
Interest income	\$ 37	\$ 83	(55.4)%
Other income (expense), net	\$ 116	\$ (85)	236.5%
Income tax provision	\$ 5,676	\$ 578	882.0%
Effective income tax rate	33.4%	8.8%	
Net earnings	\$ 11,325	\$ 5,978	89.4%
Irrigation Equipment Segment			
Segment operating revenues	\$ 91,658	\$ 67,895	35.0%
Segment operating income (2)	\$ 16,005	\$ 12,028	33.1%
Segment operating margin (2)	17.5%	17.7%	
Infrastructure Products Segment			
Segment operating revenues	\$ 28,510	\$ 17,301	64.8%
Segment operating income (loss) (2)	\$ 4,261	\$ (1,154)	469.2%
Segment operating margin (2)	14.9%	(6.7)%	

(1) Includes \$3.2 million and \$4.0 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Excludes unallocated general & administrative expenses.

**Revenues**

Operating revenues for the three months ended February 28, 2011 increased by 41% to \$120.2 million compared with \$85.2 million for the three months ended February 28, 2010. The increase is attributable to a \$23.8 million increase in irrigation equipment revenues and an \$11.2 million increase in infrastructure revenues.

U.S. irrigation revenues for the three months ended February 28, 2011 of \$66.5 million increased 72% compared to the same period last year. The increase in U.S. irrigation revenues is primarily due to an increase in the number of irrigation systems sold as compared to the prior year's second fiscal quarter. At the end of the second fiscal quarter of 2011, agricultural commodity prices remained strong with corn increasing 86%, soybeans increasing 43% and wheat up over 45% compared to the same time last year. The February 2011 update for USDA projections for 2011 Net Farm Income project it to be the highest on record and shows a 20% increase over 2010. That, coupled with the rise in commodity prices, has created positive economic conditions for U.S. farmers. The Company is in the midst of its primary irrigation selling season and quote and order activities are much improved compared to the same time last year. International irrigation equipment revenues for the three months ended February 28, 2011 of \$25.2 million

decreased 14% from \$29.2 million compared to the same prior year period. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to lower export volume in Latin America primarily due to a return to more normalized sales levels in Mexico as compared to unusually high sales in the same fiscal quarter last year. The increased volume in the prior year was driven primarily by changes in the requirements for government subsidies available to growers. Middle East sales were also somewhat lower for the three months ended February 28, 2011, likely due to the recent turmoil in the region.



**Table of Contents**

Infrastructure products segment revenues for the three months ended February 28, 2011 of \$28.5 million increased 65% from the same prior year period. The increase in revenue was driven by the completion of a Quickchange Moveable Barrier® ( QMB® ) project on the east coast of the U.S., in addition to a new QMB® project in Puerto Rico. The Company also realized increased revenues from its railroad signals and structures and contract manufacturing businesses.

**Gross Margin**

Gross profit was \$34.0 million for the three months ended February 28, 2011 an increase of \$11.9 million compared to the three months ended February 28, 2010. Gross margin was 28.3% for the three months ended February 28, 2011 compared to 26.0% for the same prior year period. The increase in gross margins was primarily due to increased revenues of higher margin QMB® product. Irrigation margins were comparable to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 after eliminating the benefit realized during the prior year second fiscal quarter from Nebraska's state economic development incentive wage and investment credits. During the second fiscal quarter of 2011, irrigation margins benefited somewhat from the leveraging of fixed factory expenses and from the acquisition of Digitec, offset by the adverse effect of rising steel prices in a competitive market environment for irrigation equipment. Steel costs in the second fiscal quarter were approximately 12% higher than during the same prior year period.

**Operating Expenses**

The Company's operating expenses of \$16.9 million for the three months ended February 28, 2011 were \$1.7 million higher than the same prior year period. The increase in operating expenses was due to higher research and development expenses, sales commissions for QMB® projects, personnel expenses related to growth and incremental operating expenses related to the Digitec and WMC businesses acquired during the past year. These increases were partially offset by lower expenses for environmental monitoring and remediation as a \$0.7 million expense was recorded in the second fiscal quarter of 2010. Operating expenses were 14.1% of sales for the three months ended February 28, 2011 compared to 17.9% of sales for the three months ended February 28, 2010.

**Interest, Other Income (Expense), net**

Interest expense for the three months ended February 28, 2011 decreased by \$0.1 million compared to the same prior year period. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to principal reductions on the Company's outstanding term debt.

Other income (expense), net for the three months ended February 28, 2011 increased by \$0.2 million compared to the same prior year period. The increase in other income (expense), net is primarily due to foreign currency transaction gains.

**Income Taxes**

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$5.7 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the three months ended February 28, 2011, the Company recorded a discrete benefit of \$0.1 million related to uncertain tax positions. For the three months ended February 28, 2010, the Company recorded two discrete items that reduced income tax expense. The first item was a benefit of \$1.4 million related to the Nebraska Advantage Act Credits. The second item relates to the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions relating to taxation of certain of the Company's international subsidiaries. This reversal was recorded due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in the respective tax jurisdictions without any actual tax liability being assessed. The benefit recorded was \$0.4 million.

**Net Earnings**

Net earnings were \$11.3 million or \$0.89 per diluted share for the three months ended February 28, 2011 compared with \$6.0 million or \$0.48 per diluted share for the same prior year period.

**Table of Contents****For the Six Months ended February 28, 2011 compared to the Six Months ended February 28, 2010**

<b>\$ in thousands</b>	<b>Six months ended February 28,</b>		<b>Percent Increase (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Consolidated			
Operating revenues	\$ 209,334	\$ 171,166	22.3%
Cost of operating revenues	\$ 151,102	\$ 123,233	22.6%
Gross profit	\$ 58,232	\$ 47,933	21.5%
Gross margin	27.8%	28.0%	
Operating expenses (1)	\$ 34,561	\$ 29,858	15.8%
Operating income	\$ 23,671	\$ 18,075	31.0%
Operating margin	11.3%	10.6%	
Interest expense	\$ (399)	\$ (817)	(51.2)%
Interest income	\$ 79	\$ 166	(52.4)%
Other income (expense), net	\$ 227	\$ 60	278.3%
Income tax provision	\$ 7,967	\$ 4,829	65.0%
Effective income tax rate	33.8%	27.6%	
Net earnings	\$ 15,611	\$ 12,655	23.4%
Irrigation Equipment Segment			
Segment operating revenues	\$ 151,667	\$ 121,161	25.2%
Segment operating income (2)	\$ 21,906	\$ 18,772	16.7%
Segment operating margin (2)	14.4%	15.5%	
Infrastructure Products Segment			
Segment operating revenues	\$ 57,667	\$ 50,005	15.3%
Segment operating income (2)	\$ 8,286	\$ 6,531	26.9%
Segment operating margin (2)	14.4%	13.1%	

(1) Includes \$6.5 million and \$7.2 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Excludes unallocated general and administrative expenses.

**Revenues**

Operating revenues for the six months ended February 28, 2011 increased by \$38.1 million to \$209.3 million compared with \$171.2 million for the six months ended February 28, 2010. The increase is attributable to a \$30.5 million increase in irrigation revenues and an increase of \$7.6 million in infrastructure segment revenues.

U.S. irrigation equipment revenues for the six months ended February 28, 2011 of \$103.1 million increased \$32.1 million compared to the same period last year. Management believes that the combination of factors described above in the discussion of the three months ended February 28, 2011 also contributed to the increase in U.S. irrigation revenues for the six-month period. International irrigation equipment revenues for the six months ended February 28, 2011 decreased \$1.6 million as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to lower export volume in Latin America, Canada and the Middle East, partially offset by higher revenues in China and Western Europe.

Infrastructure products segment revenues of \$57.7 million for the six months ended February 28, 2011 represented an increase of \$7.7 million from the same prior year period. The increase in revenue was driven by the completion of a QMB<sup>®</sup> project on the east coast of the U.S., in addition to a new QMB<sup>®</sup> project in Puerto Rico. The Company also realized increased revenues from its railroad signals and structures and contract manufacturing businesses. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in revenues from the Company's international business unit in Italy.



**Table of Contents****Gross Margin**

Gross profit for the six months ended February 28, 2011 was \$58.2 million, an increase of \$10.3 million compared to the same prior year period. Gross margin percentage for the six months ended February 28, 2011 decreased 0.2% to 27.8% from the 28.0% achieved during the same prior year period. The slight decrease in gross margin was primarily the result of decreased irrigation gross margins partially offset by increased revenues of higher margin QMB<sup>®</sup> product. Irrigation margins were comparable to the prior year after eliminating the benefit realized during the prior year second fiscal quarter from Nebraska's state economic development incentive wage and investment credits. During the six months ended February 28, 2011, irrigation margins benefited somewhat from the leveraging of fixed factory expenses and from the acquisition of Digitec, offset by the adverse effect of rising steel prices in a competitive market environment for irrigation equipment.

**Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses during the first half of fiscal 2011 increased by \$4.7 million to \$34.6 million compared to the same prior year period. Management believes that the combination of factors described above in the discussion of the three months ended February 28, 2011 also contributed to the increase in operating expenses for the six-month period. Operating expenses were 16.5% of sales for the six months ended February 28, 2011 compared to 17.4% of sales for the six months ended February 28, 2010.

**Interest, Other Income (Expense), net**

Interest expense during the six months ended February 28, 2011 of \$0.4 million decreased \$0.4 million from the same prior year period for fiscal 2010. The decrease in interest expense was due to lower interest expense payments resulting from principal reductions on the Company's outstanding term debt.

Interest income during the six months ended February 28, 2011 decreased by \$0.1 million compared to the same prior year period. The decrease in interest income is primarily due to a lower balance of investments of the Company's cash balances.

Other income (expense), net during the six months ended February 28, 2011 increased \$0.2 million compared with the same prior year period. The increase in other income (expense), net is primarily due to foreign currency transaction gains.

**Income Taxes**

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$8.0 million and \$4.8 million for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The effective tax rate used to calculate income tax expense before discrete items was 34.0% and 35.5% for the six months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate from February 2010 to February 2011 primarily relates to an increase in the manufacturing deduction under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Company recorded a discrete benefit of \$0.1 million related to uncertain tax positions. For the six months ended February 28, 2010, the Company recorded three discrete items that reduced income tax expense. The first item was a benefit of \$1.4 million related to the Nebraska Advantage Act Credits. The next item relates to the reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions relating to taxation of the Company's international subsidiaries. This reversal was recorded due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in the respective tax jurisdictions without any actual tax liability being assessed. The benefit recorded was \$0.4 million. Lastly, the Company recorded a discrete item resulting in \$0.4 million of additional tax expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 related to a tax ruling impacting the Company's French subsidiary.

**Net Earnings**

Net earnings were \$15.6 million or \$1.23 per diluted share for the six months ended February 28, 2011 compared with \$12.7 million or \$1.01 per diluted share for the same prior year period.

**Table of Contents****Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company requires cash for financing its receivables and inventories, paying operating costs and capital expenditures, and for dividends. The Company meets its liquidity needs and finances its capital expenditures from its available cash and funds provided by operations along with borrowings under three credit arrangements that are described below. The Company believes that these resources are sufficient to meet its reasonably foreseeable cash requirements.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents totaled \$78.4 million at February 28, 2011 compared with \$91.6 million at February 28, 2010 and \$83.4 million at August 31, 2010.

The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement, as amended on January 23, 2011, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement) which has a termination date of January 23, 2014. As of February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010, there were no outstanding balances on the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 105 basis points, subject to adjustment as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is paid on a monthly or quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 23, 2014.

The Company's wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately \$3.2 million as of February 28, 2011, for working capital purposes (the Euro Line of Credit). At February 28, 2011, there was \$0.4 million outstanding on the Euro Line of Credit. At February 28, 2010 and August 31, 2010, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Euro Line of Credit. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 110 basis points (all inclusive, 2.19% at February 28, 2011). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2012, which is the termination date of the Euro Line of Credit.

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, each effective as of June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (collectively, the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of BSI. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. However, this variable interest rate has been converted to a fixed rate of 6.05% through an interest rate swap agreement with the lender. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven-year period that commenced in September, 2006. The BSI Term Note is due in June of 2013.

The BSI Term Note and the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement (collectively, the Notes) each contain the same covenants, including certain covenants relating to Lindsay's financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, a current ratio and a tangible net worth requirement (all as defined in the Notes) at specified levels. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants specified in the Notes, including a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Notes), all amounts due under the Notes may be declared to be immediately due and payable. At February 28, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all loan covenants.

Cash flows provided by operations totaled \$3.9 million during the six months ended February 28, 2011 compared to \$11.1 million provided by operations during the same prior year period. Cash provided by operations decreased \$7.1 million primarily due to an increase in cash used for working capital items partially offset by an increase in net earnings.

Cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$6.4 million during the six months ended February 28, 2011 compared to cash flows used in investing activities of \$1.0 million during the same prior year period. The increase in the cash used was primarily due to higher purchases of property, plant and equipment, the acquisition of the assets of WMC Technology Limited in November 2010 and the settlement of a net investment hedge.

Cash flows used in financing activities totaled \$3.0 million during the six months ended February 28, 2011 compared to cash flows used in financing activities of \$4.2 million during the same prior year period. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a decrease of \$0.9 million of principal payments on long-term debt, a \$0.5 million increase in excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation and an increase in net borrowings on the

Amended Revolving Credit Agreement of \$0.4 million. This was partially offset by a decrease in cash proceeds from the issuance of common stock under stock compensation plans.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

There have been no material changes in the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

### **Market Conditions and Fiscal 2011 Outlook**

Agricultural commodity prices at the end of the second fiscal quarter have increased compared to the same time last year, with corn increasing 86%, soybeans increasing 43% and wheat up over 45%. The February 2011 update for USDA projections for 2011 Net Farm Income indicates a 20% increase compared to 2010 estimates, which puts the projected 2011 Net Farm Income as the highest on record. That, coupled with the rise in commodity prices, has created positive economic conditions for U.S. farmers, enhancing their investment perspective. Conditions in the global agriculture markets also point to continued strong demand for irrigation equipment.

In the infrastructure segment the Company continues to experience strong interest in its QMB<sup>®</sup> systems which provide a cost effective method for managing traffic congestion by safely adding lane capacity. Opportunities for selling and/or leasing the Company's moveable barrier products remain excellent. While the outlook for general, government funded infrastructure spending remains challenging due to global governmental budget constraints, interest in the QMB<sup>®</sup> solution remains strong, particularly with toll supported roads and bridges. The active near-term QMB<sup>®</sup> project list has decreased somewhat during recent months as the Company has completed projects; however, the Company adds new potential projects to the list as developed. In addition, the outlook for infrastructure spending remains unclear with uncertain timing on a multi-year U.S. highway bill and global governmental budget constraints.

As of February 28, 2011, the Company had an order backlog of \$64.3 million compared with \$33.6 million at February 28, 2010 and \$59.7 million at November 30, 2010. Backlog is higher in February, compared to last year, in both irrigation and infrastructure. The irrigation equipment backlog approximately doubled over the same time last year and lead times on delivering equipment to dealers is relatively unchanged. While the irrigation backlog was relatively high on February 28, 2011, it was still significantly below the highest second fiscal quarter backlog, which occurred in fiscal 2008, due in part to improved throughput in the Nebraska factory. The Company's backlog can fluctuate from period to period due to the seasonality, cyclicity, timing and execution of contracts. Typically, the Company's backlog at any point in time usually represents only a portion of the revenue it expects to realize during the following three month period.

In the long term, the global drivers of increasing food production, improving water-use efficiency, expanding bio-fuel production, expanding interest in reducing environmental impacts and improving transportation infrastructure, continue to be positive drivers of demand for the Company's products. The Company's strong balance sheet has well-positioned the Company to invest in growth initiatives both organically and through acquisitions.

### **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2010, the FASB issued a standard pertaining to business combinations (ASU No. 2010-29) that requires a public entity presenting comparative financial statements to disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination occurring during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. Additionally, the standard expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The standard is effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to impact the consolidated financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued a standard pertaining to stock compensation (ASU No. 2010-13) which clarifies that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity shares trade should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. The standard is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010, and the impact is to be applied by recording a cumulative effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to impact the consolidated financial statements.





**Table of Contents****ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. The credit risk under these interest rate and foreign currency agreements is not considered to be significant.

The Company has its primary manufacturing operations in the United States, France, Brazil, Italy, South Africa and China. The Company has sold products throughout the world and purchases certain of its components from third-party international suppliers. Export sales made from the United States are principally U.S. dollar denominated. At times, export sales may be denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar. A majority of the Company's revenue generated from operations outside the United States is denominated in local currency. Accordingly, these sales are not typically subject to significant foreign currency transaction risk. The Company's most significant transactional foreign currency exposures are the Euro, the Brazilian real, the South African rand and the Chinese renminbi in relation to the U.S. dollar. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies create exposures, which can adversely affect the Company's results of operations.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain operations of the Company. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. At February 28, 2011, the Company had outstanding forward exchange contracts with cash flow hedging relationships totaling less than \$0.1 million included in other current liabilities.

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. At February 28, 2011, the Company had one outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contract to sell 5.0 million Euro on March 28, 2011 at a fixed price of \$1.3091 per Euro. The forward spot rate at February 28, 2011 was 1.3834 per Euro. The Company's foreign currency forward contracts qualified as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations.

In order to reduce interest rate risk on the \$30 million BSI Term Note, the Company has entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that is designed to convert the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to a fixed rate of 6.05% per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company receives variable interest rate payments and makes fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt.

The Company attempts to manage market and credit risks associated with its derivative instruments by establishing and monitoring limits as to the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken, and by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties. As of February 28, 2011, the Company's derivative counterparty had investment grade credit ratings.

**ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures**

The Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of February 28, 2011.

Additionally, the CEO and CFO determined that there has not been any change to the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****Part II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1 *Legal Proceedings***

In the ordinary course of its business operations, the Company is involved, from time to time, in commercial litigation, employment disputes, administrative proceedings, and other legal proceedings. None of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, is expected to have a material effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

***Environmental Matters***

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States Government (the EPA) in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site). The site was added to the EPA's list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the groundwater. The current remediation process consists of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration. In 2008, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. In response to the review, the Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan that will allow the Company and the EPA to better identify the boundaries of the contaminated groundwater and determine whether the contaminated groundwater is being contained by current and planned remediation methods. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Amounts accrued in balance sheet liabilities related to the remediation actions were \$1.2 million, \$1.3 million and \$0.9 million at February 28, 2011 and 2010 and August 31, 2010, respectively. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs of completing the actions defined in the current ongoing work plan agreed to between the Company and the EPA, it is possible that additional testing and additional environmental monitoring and remediation will be required in the near future as part of the Company's ongoing discussions with the EPA regarding the development and implementation of the remedial action work plan, which could result in the recognition of additional related expenses. While these additional expenses could significantly exceed the current accrued amount and could be material to the operating results of any fiscal quarter or fiscal year, the Company does not expect that such additional expenses would have a material adverse effect on the liquidity or financial condition of the Company.

**ITEM 1A *Risk Factors***

There have been no material changes in our risk factors as described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

**ITEM 2 *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds***

The Company made no repurchases of its common stock under the Company's stock repurchase plan during the quarter ended February 28, 2011; therefore, tabular disclosure is not presented. From time to time, the Company's Board of Directors has authorized the Company to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock. Under this share repurchase plan, the Company has existing authorization to purchase, without further announcement, up to 881,139 shares of the Company's common stock in the open market or otherwise.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 6**    *Exhibits*

- 3.1        Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2006.
- 3.2        Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2011.
- 4.1        Specimen Form of Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2006.
- 10.1       Second Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated January 23, 2011, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2011.
- 10.2       Seventh Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated January 31, 2011, between the Company and Richard W. Parod, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2011.
- 31.1\*      Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 31.2\*      Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.1\*      Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

\*        filed herein

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 7th day of April 2011.

LINDSAY CORPORATION

By: /s/ DAVID B. DOWNING

Name: David B. Downing

Title: *Chief Financial Officer and  
President International Operations*