CLECO CORP Form 424B5 August 16, 2006

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(5) Registration No. 333-109506

Prospectus Supplement to Prospectus dated June 14, 2005.

6,000,000 Shares

Cleco Corporation

Common Stock

Cleco Corporation is offering 6,000,000 shares to be sold in the offering.

The common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CNL . The last reported sale price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on August 14, 2006 was \$24.30 per share.

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors beginning on page S-12 of this prospectus supplement to read about some of the factors you should consider before buying shares of the common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Per Share	Total
Initial price to public Underwriting discount Proceeds, before expenses, to Cleco	\$23.75 \$ 0.89 \$22.86	\$142,500,000.00 \$ 5,340,000.00 \$137,160,000.00

The underwriters have the option to purchase up to an additional 900,000 shares from Cleco at the initial price to public less the underwriting discount.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares of common stock against payment in New York, New York on August 18, 2006.

Sole Book Running Lead Manager

Goldman, Sachs & Co. A.G. Edwards

KeyBanc Capital Markets Howard Weil Incorporated

Prospectus Supplement dated August 14, 2006.

Table of Contents

You should rely only on the information contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. We have not authorized anyone else to provide you with any additional or different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not making an offer to sell securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. The information contained in this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus is current only as of the date of this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus, as the case may be, and any information incorporated by reference is current only as of the date of the document incorporated by reference. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

This document is in two parts. The first part is this prospectus supplement, which describes the specific terms of the securities we are offering and certain other matters relating to us and our financial condition. The second part, the accompanying prospectus, gives more general information about securities we may offer from time to time, some of which may not apply to the securities we are offering. You should read this prospectus supplement along with the accompanying prospectus. If the description of the offering varies between this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, you should rely on the information in this prospectus supplement.

Unless we have indicated otherwise, or the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus to Cleco, we, us and our or similar terms are to Cleco Corporation, its predecessors and its subsidiaries.

S-2

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus. This summary is not complete and does not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should carefully read the entire prospectus supplement, including the risk factors, the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein. Unless otherwise indicated, this prospectus supplement assumes no exercise of the underwriters—option to purchase additional shares.

CLECO CORPORATION

We are a regional energy services company operating principally through Cleco Power LLC (Cleco Power), our subsidiary that conducts our traditional electric utility business, and Cleco Midstream Resources LLC (Cleco Midstream), our subsidiary that conducts our merchant energy business.

Cleco Power

Cleco Power provides integrated electric utility services, including generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity, to approximately 267,000 retail and wholesale customers in 104 communities in central and southeastern Louisiana. Cleco Power is an electric utility regulated by the Louisiana Public Service Commission (LPSC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), among other regulators. As of June 30, 2006, Cleco Power s aggregate net electric generating capacity was 1,359 megawatts (MW), of which approximately 65% was fueled by natural gas and oil and approximately 35% was fueled by coal and lignite.

Cleco Power sold 8.8 billion kilowatt hours of power to its retail customers during 2005. Approximately 40% of this power was sold to residential customers, 21% to commercial customers, 32% to industrial customers and 7% to other customers.

In September 2005, Cleco Power entered into an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) contract with Shaw Constructors, Inc. (Shaw) to construct a new 600 MW solid-fuel power plant (Rodemacher Unit 3) at its existing Rodemacher facility. The total project cost, including carrying costs during construction, is estimated at \$1.0 billion. On February 22, 2006, the LPSC approved Cleco Power s plans to build Rodemacher Unit 3. Terms of the LPSC approval included provisions authorizing Cleco Power to collect from customers 75% of the carrying cost of capital during the construction phase of Rodemacher Unit 3. On February 23, 2006, the final air permit was issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ); on March 15, 2006, the final water discharge permit was issued by the LDEQ; and on May 4, 2006, the solid waste permit was issued by the LDEQ. On May 12, 2006, Cleco Power executed an Amended EPC Contract with Shaw (Amended EPC Contract). Construction of Rodemacher Unit 3 began in the first quarter of 2006. We anticipate the plant will be operational in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Cleco Power continues to evaluate a range of power supply options for 2007 and beyond. As such, Cleco Power is continuing to update its Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) to look at future sources of supply and transmission to meet its needs. In February 2006, Cleco Power issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a minimum of 250 MW up to 450 MW to meet its 2007 capacity and energy requirements. Cleco Power anticipates that the options selected from this RFP will be filed for certification with the LPSC during the third quarter of 2006, with the contracts commencing on January 1, 2007.

On August 29, 2005, Hurricane Katrina hit the coast of Louisiana and Mississippi, causing catastrophic damage to the Gulf Coast region, including areas within Cleco Power s service territory. On September 24, 2005, Hurricane Rita made landfall and hit all of Cleco Power s service territory, including the area north of Lake Pontchartrain, which was devastated by Hurricane Katrina 27 days

Table of Contents

earlier. Cleco Power s storm restoration costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita currently are estimated to total \$157.4 million, a decrease from the original estimate of \$161.8 million filed with the LPSC. On February 22, 2006, the LPSC approved an interim rate increase for Cleco Power of \$23.4 million annually for a ten-year period to recover approximately \$161.8 million of storm restoration costs. Cleco Power is exploring the potential reimbursement of storm restoration costs from the U.S. government, as well as the possibility of securitizing these storm restoration costs. In addition, Cleco Power is exploring the possibility of financing the storm restoration costs with tax-exempt bonds through the Gulf Opportunities Zone Act of 2005 (Act). The Louisiana State Bond Commission has granted preliminary approval to Cleco Power for the issuance of up to \$160.0 million of tax-exempt bonds under the Act. Cleco Power cannot predict the likelihood that any reimbursement from the U.S. government, securitization of costs, or any other financing will be given final approval, and if approved, the likelihood that any such financing can be consummated.

Cleco Power currently operates under a rate stabilization plan (RSP) scheduled to expire in September 2006. The plan, which was approved by the LPSC, allows Cleco Power to retain all earnings equating to a regulatory return on equity up to and including 12.25% on its regulated utility operations. Any regulated earnings that result in a return on equity over 12.25% and up to and including 13% must be shared equally between Cleco Power and its customers. This effectively allows Cleco Power the opportunity to realize a regulatory return on equity of up to 12.625%. Any regulated earnings above 13% must be fully refunded to Cleco Power s customers. On December 19, 2005, Cleco Power filed a request with the LPSC to extend the RSP to the in-service date of Rodemacher Unit 3, targeted for completion in the fourth quarter of 2009. On July 28, 2006, the LPSC issued an order approving the application extending the RSP to the in-service date of Rodemacher Unit 3 with several modifications to the terms of the current RSP. The terms of the approved plan allow Cleco Power to earn a maximum regulated return on equity of 11.65% beginning on October 1, 2006. This return is based on a return on equity of 11.25%, with any earnings between 11.25% and 12.25% shared between shareholders and customers in a 40/60 ratio. All earnings over 12.25% will be returned to customers. See Risk Factors Cleco Power s rate plan extension is not final.

On February 22, 2006, the LPSC approved Cleco Power's request to recover storm restoration costs incurred for Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. As part of this approval, the LPSC required that effective during the interim recovery period (Phase I), which began with the May 2006 billing cycle, any earnings above the current 12.25% allowed return on equity be credited against outstanding Hurricanes Katrina and Rita storm restoration costs, rather than being shared 50/50 between shareholders and customers. The credits against storm restoration costs will continue as long as interim relief for storm costs is in place and until the actual amount of storm costs is verified and approved by the LPSC (Phase II), which is expected in early 2007. As of June 30, 2006, Cleco Power had not credited any over-earnings against storm restoration costs.

Cleco Midstream

Cleco Midstream is a subsidiary with operations in Louisiana and Mississippi that are not subject to regulation by each state spublic utility commission. Cleco Midstream owns and operates one wholesale electric generation station, invests in a joint venture that owns and operates a single wholesale electric generation station and owns certain transmission interconnection facilities. As of June 30, 2006, Cleco Midstream owned approximately 1,355 MW of net electric generating capacity. Cleco Midstream sprincipal businesses are:

Cleco Evangeline LLC (Evangeline), which owns and operates a 775-MW, combined-cycle, natural gas-fired electric generation facility in St. Landry, Louisiana, which commenced commercial operations in July 2000. Cleco Evangeline has entered into a 20-year tolling agreement (Evangeline Tolling Agreement) with Williams Power Company (Williams Power) with respect to 100% of the output from the facility.

S-4

Table of Contents

Perryville Energy Holdings LLC (PEH), which owns transmission interconnection facilities that allow Entergy Louisiana, Inc. to deliver the output of its 718 MW, natural gas-fired electric generation facility near Perryville, Louisiana (Perryville Power Station) to the transmission grid. In June 2005, PEH sold the Perryville Power Station to Entergy Louisiana, while retaining ownership of the plant s transmission assets. PEH began providing transmission services to the Perryville Power Station under a FERC-approved cost-of-service tariff in July 2005.

Acadia Power Holdings LLC (APH), which owns 50% of Acadia Power Partners LLC (APP). APP is a ioint venture with Calpine Corporation that constructed a 1.160-MW, combined-cycle, natural gas-fired electric generation facility near Eunice, Louisiana. Power Blocks 1 and 2 (580-MW each) of the facility commenced commercial operations in July 2002 and August 2002, respectively. APP has entered into two 20-year tolling agreements (Calpine Tolling Agreements) with Calpine Energy Services, L.P. (CES); one with respect to 100% of the output from Power Block 1 and the other with respect to 100% of the output from Power Block 2. On December 20, 2005, Calpine Corporation, CES and other Calpine subsidiaries (Calpine Debtors) filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court). On December 21, 2005, the Calpine Debtors filed a motion (Rejection Motion) with the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court seeking to reject the Calpine Tolling Agreements in addition to six other power supply contracts with other entities. The issue was referred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (District Court), where on January 27, 2006, a federal judge dismissed the Rejection Motion ruling that the FERC, not the bankruptcy court, has exclusive jurisdiction over the disposition of the energy contracts. The Calpine Debtors have appealed the ruling of the District Court to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. As of the date hereof, no decision has been rendered by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

In March 2006, APP filed a motion (Motion to Compel) with the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court to, among other things, compel CES to perform under the Calpine Tolling Agreements, and to pay amounts due under such agreements since the commencement of the Calpine Debtors bankruptcy cases. On March 15, 2006, APP and CES executed an amendment to each of the Calpine Tolling Agreements, which permitted APP to suspend its obligations under the Calpine Tolling Agreements. The amendments were approved by the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court on March 22, 2006, and APP s obligations under the Calpine Tolling Agreements were suspended as of that date. APP s request for payment of post-petition amounts owed under the Calpine Tolling Agreements, as set forth in the Motion to Compel, is scheduled to be heard by the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court on September 13, 2006.

APP has invoiced CES for obligations performed under the Calpine Tolling Agreements totaling \$3.5 million related to pre-petition bankruptcy claims, \$2.0 million for post-petition claims through December 31, 2005, and \$16.1 million and \$32.4 million respectively, for post-petition claims for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. APP has recorded a reserve for uncollectible accounts of \$35.0 million at June 30, 2006, net of a \$2.8 million draw made by APH in February 2006 against a \$15.0 million letter of credit issued by Calpine. CES made a \$0.2 million payment in May 2006 for amounts related to post-petition billings. CES has failed to make any other payments on amounts invoiced by APP since Calpine filed for bankruptcy protection. In June 2006, APH recognized equity earnings of \$12.2 million related to draws to be made against the remaining amount available under Calpine s \$15.0 million letter of credit. On August 2, 2006, APH drew the remaining \$12.2 million against this letter of credit. On June 30, 2006, APP signed an amendment to its energy management services agreement with Tenaska Power Services Co. to continue to sell APP s output through the end of 2006. See Risk Factors CES s bankruptcy and failure to

S-5

Table of Contents

perform its obligations under the Calpine Tolling Agreements will likely have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and cash flows.

The tolling agreements discussed above are sale agreements for resale of electric power, which are subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC and make the subsidiaries engaging in such sales, public utilities subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC. The tolling agreements generally give the tolling counterparty the right to own, dispatch and market all of the electric generation capacity of the facility. The tolling counterparty is responsible for providing its own natural gas to the facility and the tolling counterparty pays the owner of the facility a fixed and variable fee for operating and maintaining the facility.

FERC Investigation

In November 2005, after a review of our October 2005 quarterly compliance report, the FERC Division of Enforcement (FERC Staff) initiated a preliminary, non-public investigation into certain representations made by us in the course of FERC Staff s investigation underlying our July 2003 Stipulation and Consent Agreement. In response to data requests from FERC Staff, we have provided information regarding those representations as well as compliance with the Code of Conduct and Compliance Plan contained in the July 2003 Stipulation and Consent Agreement (collectively, the Consent Agreement). The information primarily concerns the possible sharing of employees and information among our subsidiaries, as well as the accuracy of information furnished to FERC Staff in connection with reporting on compliance with the Consent Agreement. As of the date hereof, the investigation is ongoing. Until the issues raised in the current informal investigation are resolved, we will voluntarily operate pursuant to the current Consent Agreement. It is possible that the investigation could reveal violations of the Consent Agreement. Management is unable to predict the results of the outcome of the investigation, the timing of completion of the investigation or the remedial actions, if any, that FERC may take. The remedial actions that FERC ultimately may take if they so choose with respect to the results of the investigation could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. See Risk Factors remedial actions that FERC ultimately may take with respect to the results of the current FERC Staff investigation could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

Extension of Credit Facilities

We recently extended the term of our revolving credit facility and Cleco Power s revolving credit facility by one year to 2011 and increased the size of Cleco Power s revolving credit facility from \$125.0 million to \$275.0 million. In addition, we were able to reduce our and Cleco Power s cost of borrowing under the respective facilities to LIBOR + 0.525% and LIBOR + 0.32%, respectively.

Miscellaneous

Our principal executive offices are located at 2030 Donahue Ferry Road, Pineville, Louisiana 71360-5226, and our telephone number at that location is (318) 484-7400.

Our Business Strategy

We are striving to be the premier supplier of electricity and related services to business, residential and municipal customers located within our service territory. We expect our business strategy, which includes the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3, to significantly increase the rate base of our regulated electric utility, stabilize fuel costs by diversifying our fuel mix, and reduce our customers costs.

Focus on our core regulated utility operations. We intend for Cleco Power to continue as an integrated utility engaged in the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity and to

S-6

Table of Contents

represent an increasingly large portion of our consolidated assets over time with the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3. At June 30, 2006, Cleco Power represented approximately 83% of our assets. The remainder of our assets were primarily in our Cleco Midstream business.

Improve our competitive position through the construction of new generation. The construction of Rodemacher Unit 3 is our primary strategy for creating long-term, stable fuel pricing for our customers. We anticipate that the facility, which is expected to nearly double Cleco Power s rate base, will stabilize fuel costs by diversifying our fuel mix. During 2005, approximately 75% of Cleco Power s capacity mix (purchased power plus Cleco Power s own generation) was exposed to the price of natural gas. Upon the completion of Rodemacher Unit 3, we expect that Cleco Power s capacity mix will be approximately 50% solid fuel and approximately 50% natural gas. One of the advantages of the circulating fluidized-bed technology incorporated in Rodemacher Unit 3 s design is its ability to burn a variety of solid-fuels such as petroleum coke, Louisiana lignite, Powder River Basin coal, and Appalachian coal, providing greater flexibility and fuel diversification. Additionally, Rodemacher Unit 3 will be uniquely located in a region that has access to a large supply of petroleum coke, thereby reducing transportation costs for that fuel.

The Rodemacher Unit 3 project has earned support from a variety of community and political leaders. Construction of Rodemacher Unit 3 began in the first quarter of 2006, and we anticipate the plant will be operational in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Continue to deliver superior customer service. We were recently honored for the second year in a row by a number of the nation s retail chains, including Wal-Mart and Lowes, comprising the Edison Electric Institute s Customer Service Advisory Group, for our outstanding customer service. In addition, our customer satisfaction ratings indicated that approximately 62% of our customers were very satisfied with our service in 2005. This compares favorably to the Louisiana average of 46%. We are working to enhance our existing ties to the communities we serve by emphasizing how we add to their quality of life through our commitment to service, accountability and reliability.

Expand Cleco Power through economic development. Through long-standing partnerships with public and private entities, we are working to help develop a strong, diverse economy for our Louisiana service territory. We have been active in persuading a number of local businesses to expand and others to locate in Cleco Power's service territory. With the economic development and industrial marketing work that we have done over the last two years, we expect to add approximately 55 MW of new demand to Cleco Power's system by 2007.

Maintain our financial strength and flexibility. Over the last few years, we have worked steadily to reduce debt, strengthen our balance sheet and improve our credit profile. We retired \$160.0 million of recourse debt in 2005. We have also reduced refinancing risk through the issuance of \$150.0 million of 30-year, unsecured Cleco Power bonds in November 2005. In addition, the LPSC has approved the collection from customers of 75% of the carrying cost of capital during the construction phase of Rodemacher Unit 3. Cleco Power plans to fund the construction costs of Rodemacher Unit 3 in a manner consistent with its current credit ratings by utilizing cash on hand, cash from operations, available funds from its credit facility, the issuance of long-term debt, and equity contributions from Cleco Corporation, including a portion of the net proceeds from this offering. We currently expect that this offering will be the only offering of shares of our common stock that we will effect to fund the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3.

Competitive Strengths

Balanced portfolio of assets. Our business model features the stability of regulated earnings and cash flow from our electric utility business complemented by returns on our wholesale generation assets. We intend to maintain flexibility in our business model to enable us to take advantage of opportunities as the power industry s landscape continues to evolve.

S-7

Table of Contents

Strong core utility. Electric sales volumes at Cleco Power have historically been both stable and predictable, with approximately 60% of volumes coming from residential and small commercial customers and approximately 70% of non-fuel recovery revenue derived from that same group. Furthermore, we have been active in persuading a number of local businesses to expand and others to locate in our service territory. Our biggest achievement in this regard was helping bring Union Tank Car Co. and its 850 jobs to central Louisiana. In the May 2005 edition of *Site Selection* magazine, the Union Tank Car plant was listed as one of the top 10 economic development deals in the nation in 2004. In its September 2005 edition, *Site Selection* ranked us one of the top 10 utilities in the country because of our 2004 success in attracting investment and new jobs on a per capita basis.

Stable Louisiana regulatory environment. Cleco Power s rate structure as authorized by the LPSC consists of a base rate and a fuel rate. Base rates are designed to allow recovery of the cost of providing service and a return on utility assets. Fuel rates have a cost adjustment mechanism in place which allows recovery, on a monthly basis, of the majority of the costs of purchased power and fuel used to generate electricity. We currently enjoy a maximum regulated rate of return of 12.25% through September 2006, thereafter the maximum allowed return on equity that can be realized by Cleco Power will be reduced to 11.65%. We continue to work closely with regulatory agencies in order to create constructive relationships. See Risk Factors Cleco Power s rate plan extension is not final. Illustrative of our constructive relationship with the LPSC is the recent approval by the LPSC of Cleco Power s plans to build Rodemacher Unit 3. Terms of the LPSC approval included provisions authorizing Cleco Power to collect from customers 75% of the carrying costs of capital during the construction phase of the unit. Furthermore, on February 22, 2006, the LPSC approved an interim rate increase of \$23.4 million annually for a ten-year period to recover approximately \$161.8 million of storm restoration costs. However, we recently reduced our estimate of storm restoration costs to \$157.4 million and the actual amount we recover in respect of storm restoration costs is subject to review and approval by the LPSC. The interim rate increase became effective upon the beginning of actual construction of Rodemacher Unit 3 (Phase I) and will remain in effect until the LPSC completes a review to verify and approve the total amount of storm restoration costs to be recovered (Phase II). The review is expected to be completed in early 2007.

Improving liquidity position. Our liquidity position has improved during 2005 and the first six months of 2006. At June 30, 2006, our total liquidity was \$489.0 million which included \$82.0 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$407.0 million available under our credit facilities. In addition, the LPSC has approved the collection from customers of 75% of the carrying cost of capital during the construction phase of Rodemacher Unit 3.

Experienced leadership and award-winning employees. We have a strong and experienced senior management team with an average of nearly 25 years of experience in the electric utility industry. Furthermore, our employees have won four awards from the Edison Electric Institute for our hurricane response. Hundreds of our employees joined outside contractors to restore power to more than 220,000 customers affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. We received an award in 2005 for our response to these, the most devastating storms to ever hit our system back to back, and for Hurricane Lili in 2002.

S-8

The Offering

Common stock offered 6,000,000 shares. The underwriters have the option to purchase up to an

additional 900,000 shares.

Shares of common stock outstanding after the offering

56,518,476 shares. If the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional shares, we will issue an additional 900,000 shares, which will result in 57,418,476 shares of common stock outstanding after

the offering.

NYSE symbol CNL

Use of proceeds The proceeds from the sale of the shares of our common stock in this

offering are expected to be approximately \$136.9 million or approximately \$157.5 million if the underwriters option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full, after deducting the underwriting discount and other estimated expenses of this offering. We intend to use the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including financing a portion of the construction

of Rodemacher Unit 3. See Use of Proceeds.

Risk Factors See Risk Factors beginning on page S-12 of this prospectus supplement

and the other information included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in shares

of our common stock.

Dividends The payment of dividends on our common stock is subject to certain

restrictions. Please read Price Range of Common Stock and Dividends on

page S-22 of this prospectus supplement.

S-9

Summary Consolidated Financial Data

We have provided in the table below summary consolidated financial data. We have derived the statement of operations data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2004 and 2005 from our audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. We have derived the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2003 from audited consolidated financial statements not incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. We have derived the statement of operations data for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2006 and the balance sheet data as of June 30, 2006 from our unaudited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. The data set forth below should be read together with our historical consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements.

			Year Ended December 31,				Six Months Ended June 30,				
(\$ in thousands, except per											
share data)		2005		2004		2003		2006		2005	
Statement of Operations Data:											
Total operating revenue(1)	\$	920,154	\$	745,817	\$	803,452	\$	474,370	\$	366,224	
Operating income (loss)	\$	111,734	\$	101,138	\$	(11,547)	\$	55,391	\$	46,740	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income	\$	(224)	\$	(1.615)	ф	(F.161)	Φ	(100)	\$	(205)	
taxes(2) Net income (loss)	Φ	(334)	Φ	(1,615)	\$	(5,161)	\$	(190)	Φ	(205)	
applicable to common											
stock	\$	180,779	\$	63,973	\$	(36,790)	\$	34,478	\$	29,145	
Fully diluted common	Ψ	100,770	Ψ	00,070	Ψ	(88,788)	Ψ	01,170	Ψ	20,110	
shares outstanding	5	1,760,220	4	7,528,886	4	6,820,058	5	2,095,625	5	1,558,920	
Diluted earnings (loss) per share		, , -		,,		-,,		, ,		, , -	
From continuing											
operations	\$	3.53	\$	1.32	\$	(0.68)	\$	0.68	\$	0.59	
From discontinued operations(2)						(0.11)				(0.01)	
Net income (loss) applicable to common											
stock	\$	3.53	\$	1.32	\$	(0.79)	\$	0.68	\$	0.58	
Cash dividends paid per share of common stock	\$	0.900	\$	0.900	\$	0.900	\$	0.450	\$	0.450	

		At December 31,						At June 30,		
(\$ in thousands)	2005		2004		4 2003		2006			
Balance Sheet Data:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	219,153	\$	123,787	\$	95,381	\$	81,962		

Edgar Filing: CLECO CORP - Form 424B5

Total property, plant and equipment, net	\$1,188,703	\$1,060,045	\$1,408,784	\$ 1,164,513
Assets held for sale(2)	\$	\$	\$ 8,282	\$
Total assets	\$2,149,488	\$1,837,063	\$2,159,426	\$ 2,195,090
Total current liabilities(3)	\$ 294,104	\$ 337,677	\$ 346,156	\$ 346,197
Debt due within one year	\$ 40,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 205,705	\$ 55,000
Long-term debt	\$ 609,643	\$ 450,552	\$ 907,058	\$ 584,521
Total preferred stock not subject to				
mandatory redemption	\$ 20,034	\$ 19,226	\$ 18,717	\$ 20,139
Total common shareholders equity	\$ 686,229	\$ 541,838	\$ 482,751	\$ 706,582

(1) In 2004, we began recording the results of operations from Evangeline as equity income in accordance with FIN 46R. We presented the net assets of Perryville Energy Partners, L.L.C.

S-10

Table of Contents

(PEP) and PEH at January 24, 2004, as a cost investment and did not recognize any income or loss from PEP and PEH in our results of operations during the bankruptcy reorganization period. Upon their emergence from bankruptcy in October 2005, we began recording PEP and PEH financial results under the equity method of accounting in accordance with FIN 46R.

- (2) In 2004, we sold substantially all of Cleco Energy LLC s (Cleco Energy) assets. Prior to the sale of Cleco Energy s assets and in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets, the property, plant and equipment of Cleco Energy was classified as held for sale on our balance sheet for 2003 and the related operations were classified as discontinued in our statements of operations for 2004 and 2003. Consequently, the net operating results for Cleco Energy for 2004 and 2003 are reported as discontinued operations in our statements of operations for 2004 and 2003.
- (3) Amount includes debt due within one year.

S-11

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock will provide you with an equity ownership in Cleco. As one of our shareholders, your shares will be subject to risks inherent in our business. The trading price of your shares will be affected by the performance of our business relative to, among other things, competition, market conditions and general economic and industry conditions. The value of your investment may decrease, resulting in a loss. You should carefully consider the following factors as well as other information contained in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus and the documents we have incorporated by reference before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock.

Cleco Power is exposed to certain risks related to the design, construction and operation of Rodemacher Unit 3. This project has technology risk, fuel supply risk and general contractor and certain material subcontractor performance risk, each of which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Rodemacher Unit 3 is designed to utilize circulating fluidized-bed (CFB) generating technology and will be 10% larger than any other CFB unit in operation today. Cleco Power engaged Shaw under an Amended EPC contract. Shaw will be liable for liquidated damages in the event of non-performance under the terms of the Amended EPC contract; however, Cleco Power s ability to collect these damages for breach is contingent on the demonstration of such damages and on Shaw s financial abilities. Failure by Shaw to perform its obligations under the Amended EPC contract could have a material adverse impact on the plant s efficiency, in-service date, and final cost. The Amended EPC contract does not protect Cleco Power against potential force majeure events or design/specification oversight which may result in increased and potentially unrecoverable costs to Cleco Power. Although Cleco Power currently delivers coal via rail to the Rodemacher facility, plans are for Rodemacher Unit 3 to primarily use petroleum coke, which can be most economically delivered via barges on the Mississippi and Red Rivers, requiring a conveyor system which has to cross a major interstate highway. Cleco Power does not have experience transporting fuel by barge.

CES s bankruptcy and failure to perform its obligations under the Calpine Tolling Agreements will likely have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and cash flows.

A substantial portion of Cleco Midstream s earnings and cash flow was historically derived from the Calpine Tolling Agreements with CES. On December 20, 2005, the Calpine Debtors, including CES, filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court, and on December 21, 2005, the Calpine Debtors filed a motion with the court seeking to reject the Calpine Tolling Agreements, among other energy contracts. The U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York dismissed this motion and ruled that FERC, not the bankruptcy court, has exclusive jurisdiction over the disposition of the energy contracts, including the Calpine Tolling Agreements. Calpine has appealed this ruling to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. In March 2006, APP and CES amended the Calpine Tolling Agreements to suspend APP s obligations under the tolling agreements, which amendments were approved by the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court. In April 2006, APP signed a short-term agreement with a third-party power marketer to sell APP s output while continuing to explore its long-term options for the facility. CES has failed to pay pre-petition (\$3.5 million) and post-petition (\$2.0 million and \$32.4 million as of December 31, 2005, and June 30, 2006, respectively) amounts under the Calpine Tolling Agreements. Since current market conditions are not as favorable as the terms of the Calpine Tolling Agreements, APP s results of operations and cash flows likely will be significantly reduced as compared to its results and cash flows if CES was performing under the Calpine Tolling Agreements.

Although we have not been required to record an impairment with respect to APP as a result of the Calpine bankruptcy proceedings, future events such as a decline in the anticipated market value of energy in relation to natural gas values could cause APP s carrying value to exceed its market

S-12

Table of Contents

value, requiring an impairment charge. Such a charge could adversely affect our financial condition by reducing consolidated common shareholders equity, could cause us to incur increased interest cost on future debt issuances, and could cause an adverse change in our credit ratings.

The LPSC may reduce the amount recoverable by Cleco Power in respect of storm restoration costs.

The LPSC has approved interim revenue relief associated with the recovery of storm restoration costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The interim rate increase became effective with the beginning of physical construction of Rodemacher Unit 3 (Phase I) and remains in effect until the LPSC completes a review to verify and approve the total amount of storm restoration costs to be recovered (Phase II). Based upon the results of the Phase II review of storm restoration costs, expected to be completed in late 2006, the LPSC could decrease the amount Cleco Power could recover.

In addition, someone could request a rehearing of or appeal the interim relief or the final relief approved by the LPSC. The time period for appealing the LPSC s implementing order, once the order is issued, expires 45 days after its issuance.

A change made in Phase II by the LPSC resulting in a delay in receipt of or timing of any revenue relief associated with the recovery of the storm restoration costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita or any request for rehearing or appeal of any revenue relief could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows compared to the recovery amounts authorized by the LPSC in Phase I.

The recovery of costs incurred to construct Rodemacher Unit 3 is subject to LPSC review and approval.

Costs incurred in the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3 are subject to a prudency review by the LPSC. One year prior to the in-service date of Rodemacher Unit 3, Cleco Power will file a rate case with the LPSC seeking to recover the construction costs in its base rates. Cleco Power will be required to demonstrate that the costs incurred to construct Rodemacher Unit 3 were prudently incurred and demonstrate the impact of the operation of the facility on its customers. Accordingly, Cleco Power may not be able to recover some of the costs incurred to construct Rodemacher Unit 3, which could be substantial.

Furthermore, although the Amended EPC Contract is generally a fixed price agreement, unforeseen events could result in changes in the scope of the project that may result in additional costs. It may be more difficult to obtain LPSC approval to recover any such additional costs. If the LPSC were to deny Cleco Power's request to recover substantial costs incurred in the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3, such an adverse decision could have a material impact on Cleco Power's results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The abandonment of the Rodemacher Unit 3 Project or the termination of the Amended EPC Contract could result in unrecoverable costs.

Cleco Power may determine that its decision to construct, own and operate Rodemacher Unit 3 is no longer justified due to changes in circumstances or for other reasons. If Cleco Power decided to abandon the project, the LPSC may not allow Cleco Power to recover some or all of its incurred costs. While the Amended EPC Contract allows Cleco Power to terminate the agreement at its sole discretion, exercise of this termination right would require Cleco Power to pay termination costs to Shaw, subject to specified maximum levels, which significantly increase as the project progresses.

S-13

A judicial appeal has been filed of the LPSC s CCN for Rodemacher Unit 3.

On May 12, 2006, the LPSC issued its implementing order granting Cleco Power a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CCN) to construct, own and operate Rodemacher Unit 3. On May 24, 2006, an intervenor filed an application for a rehearing, which was rejected as untimely by the LPSC on May 25, 2006. The intervenor filed a petition for review in the Louisiana District Court for the East Baton Rouge Parish requesting the reversal of the LPSC s order granting the CCN and the LPSC order denying the rehearing application. Reversal of the CCN could disrupt, delay or halt the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3, which would have a material adverse impact on Cleco Power s financial position.

In 2005, FERC s authority was expanded to include the establishment and enforcement of mandatory reliability standards on the transmission system as well as the capacity to impose fines and civil penalties on those who fail to comply with those standards.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 authorizes the creation of an Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) with authority to establish and enforce mandatory reliability standards, subject to FERC approval, for users of the nation s transmission system. On July 20, 2006, the FERC named the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) as the ERO. NERC s current system of reliability standards is based upon voluntary compliance. It is expected that FERC will adopt some of NERC s existing standards while modifying others. Nonetheless, these new ERO standards may impose additional operating requirements on Cleco Power, APP, Attala Transmission LLC, Evangeline, and Perryville which may result in an increase in capital expenditures or operating expenses. FERC has stated its intent to begin enforcing compliance with these standards on June 1, 2007. Failure to comply with the reliability standards approved by FERC can result in the imposition of fines and civil penalties. At this time, we are unable to determine the impact the ERO standards will have on its results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

Cleco Power s rate plan extension is not final.

Cleco Power s retail rates for residential, commercial, and industrial customers and other retail sales are regulated by the LPSC. On December 19, 2005, Cleco Power filed an application with the LPSC to extend the current RSP through the expected fourth quarter of 2009 in-service date of the proposed Rodemacher Unit 3 power plant. On July 28, 2006, the LPSC issued an order approving the application with several modifications to the terms of the current RSP. The terms of the approved plan provide that, beginning on October 1, 2006, the maximum allowed return on equity that can be realized by Cleco Power will be decreased to 11.65%. This return is based on a return on equity of 11.25%, with any earnings between 11.25% and 12.25% shared between shareholders and customers in a 40/60 ratio, respectively, and all earnings over 12.25% returned to customers.

The LPSC s implementing order may be appealed for 45 days after its issuance. There is no assurance that the order will not be appealed or that a lower rate of return would not be implemented upon appeal. A lower rate of return would reduce Cleco Power s base revenue and profitability and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

The remedial actions that FERC ultimately may take with respect to the results of the current FERC Staff investigation could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

In July 2003, FERC issued an order approving the Consent Agreement which settled the FERC investigation following our disclosure in November 2002 of certain of our energy marketing and trading practices. There were numerous elements to the Consent Agreement, including (but not limited to): (i) a filing by our public utility subsidiaries with FERC of revised codes of conduct that impose more stringent restrictions on affiliate relations; and (ii) implementation of a Compliance Plan (the FERC Compliance Plan) for FERC regulatory compliance for our public utility subsidiaries. The FERC Compliance Plan has a three-year term, which began on August 24, 2003 and requires

S-14

periodic reporting to FERC regarding the implementation of, and continued compliance with, the FERC Compliance Plan.

In November 2005, after a review of our October 2005 quarterly compliance report, FERC Staff initiated a preliminary, non-public investigation into certain representations made by us in the course of FERC Staff s investigation underlying the Consent Agreement. In response to data requests from FERC Staff, we have provided information regarding those representations as well as compliance with the Code of Conduct and the FERC Compliance Plan contained in the Consent Agreement. The information primarily concerns the possible sharing of employees and information among our subsidiaries, as well as the accuracy of information furnished to FERC Staff in connection with reporting on compliance with the Consent Agreement. As of the date hereof, the investigation is ongoing. However, until the issues raised in the current informal investigation are resolved, we will voluntarily operate pursuant to the current FERC Compliance Plan.

It is possible that the investigation could reveal violations of the Consent Agreement. Our management is unable to predict the results of the outcome of the investigation, the timing of completion of the investigation or the remedial actions, if any, that FERC may take. The remedial actions that FERC ultimately may take if they so choose with respect to the results of the investigation could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

The LPSC conducts fuel audits that could result in Cleco Power making substantial refunds of previously recorded revenue.

Generally, fuel and purchased power expenses are recovered through the LPSC-established fuel adjustment clause, which enables Cleco Power to pass on to its customers substantially all such charges. Recovery of fuel adjustment clause costs is subject to refund until monthly approval is received from the LPSC; however, all amounts are subject to a periodic fuel audit by the LPSC. The most recent audit completed by the LPSC covered 2001 and 2002 and resulted in a refund of \$16.0 million to Cleco Power s retail customers.

On July 14, 2006, the LPSC informed Cleco Power that it was planning to conduct a periodic fuel audit. The audit commenced on July 26, 2006, and included fuel adjustment clause filings for the period January 2003 through December 2004. Cleco Power could be required to make a substantial refund of previously recorded revenue as a result of this audit and such refund could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

Nonperformance of Cleco Power s power purchase agreements and transmission constraints could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Cleco Power does not supply all of its customers power requirements from the generation facilities it owns and must purchase additional energy and capacity from the wholesale power market. During 2005, Cleco Power met approximately 49% of its energy needs with purchased power. Two power purchase agreements with Williams and CES provided approximately 29.6% of Cleco Power s capacity needs in 2005. In January 2006, Cleco Power began a new four-year, 500-MW contract with Williams and a new one-year, 200-MW contract with CES. In March 2006, the Calpine Debtors Bankruptcy Court approved the mutual termination of the agreement with CES in connection with its bankruptcy proceedings. Cleco Power has replaced the CES contract with other energy contracts for 2006. If these providers of additional energy or capacity do not perform under their respective contracts for any reason, including disruptions at their power generation facilities due to fuel shortages, operation breakdowns or labor disputes, Cleco Power would have to replace these supply sources with alternate market options, which may not be on as favorable terms and conditions and could increase the ultimate cost of power to its customers.

S-15

Table of Contents

Because of Cleco Power s location on the transmission grid, Cleco Power relies on two main suppliers of electric transmission when accessing external power markets. At times, constraints limit the amount of purchased power these transmission providers can deliver into Cleco Power s service territory. These capacity and/or power purchase contracts, as well as spot market power purchases, may be affected by these transmission constraints. If the amount of purchased power actually delivered into Cleco Power s system were less than the amount of power contracted for delivery, then Cleco Power might rely on its own generation facilities to meet customer demand. Cleco Power s incremental generation cost, at that time, could be higher than the cost to purchase power from the wholesale power market, therefore increasing its customers ultimate cost. In addition, the LPSC may not allow Cleco Power to recover its incremental generation cost. These unrecovered costs could be substantial.

A downgrade in our credit rating could result in an increase in our borrowing costs and a reduced pool of potential investors and funding sources.

While the senior unsecured debt ratings of Cleco Corporation and Cleco Power are investment grade, in recent years such ratings have been downgraded or put on negative watch by Standard & Poor s, a division of the McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (Standard & Poor s) and Moody s Investors Service (Moody s). We cannot assure you that our debt ratings will remain in effect for any given period of time or that one or more of our debt ratings will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency. Credit ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell, or hold securities. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. If Moody s or Standard & Poor s was to downgrade Cleco Corporation s long-term rating or Cleco Power s long-term rating, particularly below investment grade, the value of any of its debt securities would likely be adversely affected, and the borrowing cost of Cleco Corporation or Cleco Power would increase. In addition, Cleco Corporation or Cleco Power would likely be required to pay higher interest rates in future debt financings, and its pool of potential investors and funding sources could decrease.

Our costs of compliance with environmental laws, regulations and permits are significant, and the costs of compliance with new environmental laws, regulations and permits could be significant and reduce our profitability.

We are subject to extensive environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities and are required to comply with numerous environmental laws and regulations. We are also required to obtain and to comply with numerous governmental permits in operating our facilities. Existing environmental laws, regulations and permits could be revised or reinterpreted, new laws and regulations could be adopted or become applicable to us, and future changes in environmental laws and regulations could occur. We may incur significant additional costs to comply with these revisions, reinterpretations and requirements. If we fail to comply with these revisions, reinterpretations and requirements, we could be subject to civil or criminal liabilities and fines.

Evangeline has certain plant performance obligations under its tolling agreement. Failure to perform these obligations could expose Evangeline to adverse financial penalties.

Performance requirements include, but are not limited to, maintaining plant performance characteristics such as heat rate and demonstrated generation capacity and maintaining specified availability levels with a combination of plant availability and replacement power. Obligations under the Evangeline Tolling Agreement include, but are not limited to, maintaining various types of insurance, maintaining power and natural gas metering equipment, and paying scheduled interest and principal payments on debt. In addition to the performance obligations by Evangeline, there are various guarantees and commitments required by us.

S-16

Table of Contents

If Evangeline fails to operate within specified requirements, its facilities may purchase replacement power on the open market and provide it to the tolling counterparty in order to meet contractual performance specifications. Providing replacement power maintains availability levels, but exposes Evangeline to power commodity price volatility and transmission constraints. If availability targets under the Evangeline Tolling Agreement are not met and economical purchased power and transmission are not available, Evangeline s financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Failure by Williams to perform its obligations under the Evangeline Tolling Agreement would likely have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The credit ratings of the senior unsecured debt of The Williams Companies, Inc. (Moody s Ba2; Standard & Poor s BB-), the parent company of our counterparty under the Evangeline Tolling Agreement, remain below investment grade. If Williams were to fail to perform its obligations under the Evangeline Tolling Agreement, such failure would have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow for the following reasons, among others:

If Williams failure to perform constituted a default under the tolling agreement, the holders of the Evangeline bonds would have the right to declare the entire outstanding principal amount (\$188.3 million at June 30, 2006) and interest to be immediately due and payable, which could result in:

us seeking to refinance the bonds, the terms of which may be less favorable than existing terms;

us causing Evangeline to seek protection under federal bankruptcy laws; or

the trustee of the bonds foreclosing on the mortgage and assuming ownership of the Evangeline plant; We may not be able to enter into agreements in replacement of the Evangeline Tolling Agreement on terms as favorable as that agreement or at all;

Our equity investment in Evangeline may be impaired, requiring a write-down to its fair market value, which could be substantial; and

Our credit ratings could be downgraded, which would increase borrowing costs and limit sources of financing.

Cleco Power s future electricity sales could be adversely impacted by high energy prices and other economic factors affecting its customers.

Within the past several years, Cleco Power s customers have experienced a substantial increase in their utility bills, largely as a result of substantial increases in the cost of natural gas. These increases may also cause Cleco Power s customers to more aggressively pursue energy conservation efforts or could result in increased bad debt expense due to the non-payment of bills. In addition, the high cost of energy, in general, has become problematic in many industries and has increased interest by industrial customers in on-site generation of their own power. Recently, four of Cleco Power s largest customers who manufacture paper products have experienced a downturn in their markets, and decreased crop yields from hurricane damage in 2005 have resulted in economic difficulties for customers in the agricultural industry. The four manufacturers of paper products generated base revenues for Cleco Power of approximately \$21.0 million during 2005. Developments in conservation efforts or on-site generation could have a further negative impact on Cleco Power s long-term electricity sales and base revenue.

S-17

Cleco Power s generation facilities are subject to unplanned outages and significant maintenance requirements.

The operation of power generation facilities involves many risks, including the risk of breakdown or failure of equipment, fuel interruption and performance below expected levels of output or efficiency. Some of Cleco Power s facilities were originally constructed many years ago. Older equipment, even if maintained in accordance with good engineering practices, may require significant expenditures to operate at peak efficiency or availability. If Cleco Power fails to make adequate expenditures for equipment maintenance, we risk incurring more frequent unplanned outages, higher than anticipated operating and maintenance expenditures, increased fuel or power purchase costs and potentially the loss of revenues related to competitive opportunities.

We are a holding company, and our ability to meet our debt obligations and pay dividends on our common stock is dependent on the cash generated by our subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and conduct our operations primarily through our subsidiaries. Substantially all of our consolidated assets are held by our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our ability to meet our debt obligations and pay dividends on our common stock is largely dependent upon the cash generated by these subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct entities and have no obligation to pay any amounts due on our debt or to make any funds available for such payment. In addition, our subsidiaries ability to make dividend payments or other distributions to us may be restricted by their obligations to holders of their outstanding securities and to other general business creditors. Moreover, Cleco Power, our principal subsidiary, is subject to regulation by the LPSC, which may impose limits on the amount of dividends that Cleco Power may pay us.

Cleco Power s wholesale electric business practices and electric rates are regulated by the FERC.

In September 2005, the FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry inviting comments on reforming FERC s pro forma tariff (a FERC-approved document outlining rates, charges, rules and conditions under which a utility provides wholesale electric service) to ensure the provision of transmission service is reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential. In May 2006, the FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) with the intention of amending its regulations and the pro-forma tariff adopted in FERC Order Nos. 888 and 889 to address deficiencies that have become apparent since its adoption in 1996. The comment period on the NOPR closes on September 20, 2006. FERC s final order, due in late 2006, will likely change the price, terms and conditions under which Cleco Power provides transmission service under the tariff and could have a material adverse impact on Cleco Power.

You assume the risk that the market value of our common stock may decline.

The stock market has experienced significant price and trading volume fluctuations, and the market prices of companies in our industry have been particularly volatile. It is impossible to predict whether the price of our common stock will rise or fall. Trading prices of our common stock will be influenced by our operating results and prospects and by economic, financial and other factors. In addition, general market conditions, including the level of, and fluctuations in, the trading prices of stocks generally, and sales of substantial amounts of common stock by us in the market after any offering of common stock offered by this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, or the perception that such sales could occur, could affect the price of our common stock.

S-18

Table of Contents

Provisions of Louisiana law and of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and bylaws could restrict the acquisition of us, the acquisition of control or the removal of our incumbent officers and directors and could affect the market price of our common stock.

Some provisions of Louisiana law and our amended and restated articles of incorporation and bylaws could make an acquisition of us by means of a tender offer, an acquisition of control of us by means of a proxy contest or otherwise or removal of our incumbent officers and directors more difficult. In addition, we have a classified board of directors, our articles of incorporation require a supermajority vote for the sale, lease or disposition of all or any of our assets and Louisiana law and our bylaws require board and supermajority shareholder approval of mergers, consolidations or share exchanges with an interested shareholder. These provisions could delay or prevent an acquisition of us that an investor might consider to be in his or her best interest, including attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for our common stock. Please read Description of Capital Stock Anti-Takeover Provisions in the accompanying prospectus for a more detailed discussion of these provisions.

S-19

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, including the information we incorporate by reference, contains statements that are forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus are forward-looking statements. Generally, you can identify our forward-looking statements by the words anticipate, estimate, expect, objective, projection, forecast, other similar words.

We have based our forward-looking statements on our management s beliefs and assumptions based on information available to our management at the time the statements are made. We caution you that assumptions, beliefs, expectations, intentions and projections about future events may and often do vary materially from actual results. Therefore, we cannot assure you that actual results will not differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements.

The following list identifies some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements:

Factors affecting utility operations, such as unusual weather conditions or other natural phenomena; catastrophic weather-related damage (such as hurricanes and tropical storms); unscheduled generation outages; unusual maintenance or repairs; unanticipated changes to fuel costs, cost of and reliance on natural gas as a component of our generation fuel mix and their impact on competition and franchises, fuel supply costs or availability constraints due to higher demand, shortages, transportation problems or other developments; environmental incidents; or power transmission system constraints;

Our holding company structure and our dependence on the earnings, dividends or distributions from our subsidiaries to meet our debt obligations and pay dividends on our common stock;

Cleco Power s ability to construct, operate, and maintain, within its projected costs (including financing) and timeframe, Rodemacher Unit 3, in addition to any other self-build projects identified in future IRP and RFP processes;

Dependence of Cleco Power for energy from sources other than its facilities and the uncertainty of future long-term sources of such additional energy;

Nonperformance by and creditworthiness of counterparties under tolling, power purchase, and energy service agreements, or the restructuring of those agreements, including possible termination;

Outcome of the Calpine Debtors bankruptcy proceeding and its effect on agreements with APP;

The final amount of storm restoration costs approved by the LPSC that ultimately can be recovered from Cleco Power s customers;

Regulatory factors such as changes in rate-setting policies, recovery of investments made under traditional regulation, the frequency and timing of rate increases or decreases, the results of periodic fuel audits, the results of IRP and RFP processes, the formation of Regional Transmission Organizations and Independent Coordinators of Transmission, and the establishment by Electric Reliability Organizations of reliability standards for bulk power systems and compliance with these standards by Cleco Power;

Financial or regulatory accounting principles or policies imposed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), the FERC, the LPSC or similar entities with regulatory or accounting oversight;

S-20

Table of Contents

Economic conditions, including the ability of customers to continue paying for high energy costs, related growth and/or down-sizing of businesses in our service area, monetary fluctuations, increase in commodity prices, and inflation rates;

Credit ratings of Cleco Corporation, Cleco Power and Evangeline;

Changing market conditions and a variety of other factors associated with physical energy, financial transactions, and energy service activities, including, but not limited to, price, basis, credit, liquidity, volatility, capacity, transmission, interest rates, and warranty risks;

Acts of terrorism;

Availability or cost of capital resulting from changes in our business or financial condition, interest rates, or market perceptions of the electric utility industry and energy-related industries;

Employee work force factors, including work stoppages and changes in key executives;

Legal, environmental, and regulatory delays and other obstacles associated with mergers, acquisitions, capital projects, reorganizations, or investments in joint ventures;

Costs and other effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations, claims and other matters;

Changes in federal, state, or local legislative requirements, such as the adoption of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and changes in tax laws or rates, regulating policies or environmental laws and regulations; and

Other factors we discuss in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus and our other filings with the SEC.

We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of changes in actual results, changes in assumptions or other factors affecting such statements.

S-21

USE OF PROCEEDS

The proceeds to us from the sale of the shares of our common stock in this offering are expected to be approximately \$136.9 million (approximately \$157.5 million if the underwriters option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full), after deducting the underwriting discount and other estimated expenses of this offering. We intend to use the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including financing a portion of the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3. We currently expect that this offering will be the only offering of shares of our common stock that we will effect to fund the construction of Rodemacher Unit 3.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DIVIDENDS

Our common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CNL. The following table provides, for the calendar quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite transactions reporting system and the dividends paid per share of our common stock.

	Price I	Pa	ridends aid per ommon	
	High	Low		Share
2004				
First Quarter	\$19.75	\$17.72	\$	0.225
Second Quarter	\$19.18	\$16.19	\$	0.225
Third Quarter	\$18.26	\$16.45	\$	0.225
Fourth Quarter	\$20.75	\$17.22	\$	0.225
2005				
First Quarter	\$22.00	\$18.93	\$	0.225
Second Quarter	\$21.81	\$ 19.75	\$	0.225
Third Quarter	\$23.96	\$21.00	\$	0.225
Fourth Quarter	\$24.36	\$19.00	\$	0.225
2006				
First Quarter	\$22.72	\$20.81	\$	0.225
Second Quarter	\$23.69	\$21.23	\$	0.225
Third Quarter (through August 14, 2006)	\$25.10	\$22.86	\$	0.225(1)

(1) Payable on August 15, 2006 to stockholders of record on July 31, 2006. Purchasers of shares sold in this offering will not be entitled to receive this dividend.

Subject to the rights of holders of our preferred stock, dividends may be declared by our board of directors and paid on shares of our common stock from time to time out of legally available funds. Under specified circumstances, provisions in our amended and restated articles of incorporation relating to our preferred stock and provisions in our debt instruments restrict the amount of retained earnings available for the payment of dividends by us to holders of our common stock. As of June 30, 2006, the most restrictive covenant required that our total indebtedness be less than or equal to 65% of total capitalization. Approximately \$270.3 million of retained earnings were not restricted as of June 30, 2006.

As of August 14, 2006, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$24.30 per share.

S-22

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and capitalization on a consolidated basis, as of June 30, 2006, on an historical basis and as adjusted to reflect the issuance and sale of the common stock in this offering and the application of the net proceeds therefrom as described under. Use of Proceeds, assuming that the underwriters do not exercise any portion of their option to purchase additional shares. You should read this table in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information we have incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.

June 30, 2006

	(Unaudited) Actual As Adjusted				
	(In thousands)				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	81,962	\$	218,897	
Debt due within one year	\$	55,000	\$	55,000	
Long-term debt:					
Cleco Corporation	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	
Cleco Power		484,521		484,521	
Total long-term debt, net	\$	584,521	\$	584,521	
Shareholders equity:					
Preferred stock	\$	20,139	\$	20,139	
Common stock, \$1.00 par value per share; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 50,536,779 shares issued, actual; 56,536,779 shares issued, as adjusted	\$	50,453	\$	56,453	
Premium on common stock	Ψ	203,089	Ψ	334,024	
Retained earnings		457,851		457,851	
Treasury stock, at cost, 34,189 shares		(662)		(662)	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss and unearned compensation		(4,149)		(4,149)	
Total common shareholders equity	\$	706,582	\$	843,517	
Total shareholders equity	\$	726,721	\$	863,656	
Total capitalization	\$ 1	1,366,242	\$	1,503,177	

⁽¹⁾ If the underwriters option to purchase additional shares of common stock is exercised in full, the number of shares of common stock issued and outstanding will be 57,436,779, common stock will be \$57.4 million, premium on common stock will be \$353.7 million, total common shareholders equity will be \$864.1 million, total shareholders

equity will be \$884.2 million and total capitalization will be \$1,523.8 million. S-23

UNDERWRITING

Cleco and the underwriters named below have entered into an underwriting agreement with respect to the shares of common stock being offered. Subject to certain conditions, each underwriter has severally agreed to purchase the number of shares indicated in the following table. Goldman, Sachs & Co. is the representative of the underwriters.

Underwriters	Number of Shares
Goldman, Sachs & Co.	3,600,000
KeyBanc Capital Markets, a division of McDonald Investments Inc.	1,800,000
A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc.	300,000
Howard Weil Incorporated	300,000
Total	6,000,000

The underwriters are committed to take and pay for all of the shares being offered, if any are taken, other than the shares covered by the option described below unless and until this option is exercised.

The underwriters have an option to buy up to an additional 900,000 shares from Cleco. They may exercise that option for 30 days from the date of the underwriting agreement. If any shares are purchased pursuant to this option, the underwriters will severally purchase shares in approximately the same proportion as set forth in the table above.

The following table shows the per share and total underwriting discounts and commissions to be paid to the underwriters by Cleco. Such amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters option to purchase 900,000 additional shares.

Paid by Cleco		No E	Full Exercise		
Per Share	\$	0.89	\$	0.89	
Total		\$ 5,34	40,000.00	\$ 6,14	41,000.00

Shares sold by the underwriters to the public will initially be offered at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover of this prospectus supplement. Any shares sold by the underwriters to securities dealers may be sold at a discount of up to \$0.53 per share from the initial public offering price. If all the shares are not sold at the initial public offering price, the underwriters may change the offering price and the other selling terms.

Cleco and its executive officers and directors have agreed with the underwriters not to dispose of or hedge any of their common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock during the period from the date of this prospectus supplement continuing through the date 90 days after the date of this prospectus supplement, except with the prior written consent of Goldman, Sachs & Co. on behalf of the underwriters. This agreement does not apply to any existing stock option plans or with respect to conversions or exchanges of any convertible or exchangeable securities that Cleco has outstanding on the date of this prospectus supplement. In addition, Cleco s executive officers and directors may sell up to an aggregate of 100,000 shares of their common stock in one or more transactions during the period beginning on the date that is 60 days after the date of this prospectus supplement continuing through the date 90 days after the date of this prospectus supplement.

In connection with the offering, the underwriters may purchase and sell shares of common stock in the open market. These transactions may include short sales, stabilizing transactions and purchases to cover positions created by short sales. Short sales involve the sale by the underwriters of a greater number of

shares than they are required to purchase in the offering. Covered short

S-24

Table of Contents

sales are sales made in an amount not greater than the underwriters option to purchase additional shares from Cleco in the offering. The underwriters may close out any covered short position by either exercising their option to purchase additional shares or purchasing shares in the open market. In determining the source of shares to close out the covered short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase additional shares pursuant to the option granted to them. Naked short sales are any sales in excess of such option. The underwriters must close out any naked short position by purchasing shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common stock in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase shares in the offering. Stabilizing transactions consist of various bids for or purchases of common stock made by the underwriters in the open market prior to the completion of the offering.

The underwriters may also impose a penalty bid. This occurs when a particular underwriter repays to the underwriters a portion of the underwriting discount received by it because the representative has repurchased shares sold by or for the account of such underwriter in stabilizing or short covering transactions.

Purchases to cover a short position and stabilizing transactions, as well as other purchases by the underwriters for their accounts, may have the effect of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of Cleco s common stock and may stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the market price of the common stock. As a result, the price of the common stock may be higher than the price that otherwise might exist in the open market. If these activities are commenced, they may be discontinued at any time. These transactions may be effected on the New York Stock Exchange, in the over-the-counter market or otherwise.

Each of the underwriters has represented and agreed that:

- (a) it has not made or will not make an offer of shares to the public in the United Kingdom within the meaning of section 102B of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (as amended) (FSMA) except to legal entities which are authorised or regulated to operate in the financial markets or, if not so authorised or regulated, whose corporate purpose is solely to invest in securities or otherwise in circumstances which do not require the publication by the company of a prospectus pursuant to the Prospectus Rules of the Financial Services Authority (FSA);
- (b) it has only communicated or caused to be communicated and will only communicate or cause to be communicated an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of section 21 of FSMA) to persons who have professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 or in circumstances in which section 21 of FSMA does not apply to the company; and
- (c) it has complied with, and will comply with all applicable provisions of FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to the shares in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

In relation to each Member State of the European Economic Area which has implemented the Prospectus Directive (each, a Relevant Member State), each Underwriter has represented and agreed that with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus Directive is implemented in that Relevant Member State (the Relevant Implementation Date) it has not made and will not make an offer of shares to the public in that Relevant Member State prior to the publication of a prospectus in relation to the shares which has been approved by the competent authority in that Relevant Member State or, where appropriate, approved in another Relevant Member State and notified to the competent authority in that Relevant Member State, all in accordance with the

S-25

Table of Contents

Prospectus Directive, except that it may, with effect from and including the Relevant Implementation Date, make an offer of shares to the public in that Relevant Member State at any time:

- (a) to legal entities which are authorised or regulated to operate in the financial markets or, if not so authorised or regulated, whose corporate purpose is solely to invest in securities;
- (b) to any legal entity which has two or more of (1) an average of at least 250 employees during the last financial year; (2) a total balance sheet of more than 43,000,000 and (3) an annual net turnover of more than 50,000,000, as shown in its last annual or consolidated accounts; or
- (c) in any other circumstances which do not require the publication by the Issuer of a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive.

For the purposes of this provision, the expression an offer of shares to the public in relation to any shares in any Relevant Member State means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the shares to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe the shares, as the same may be varied in that Relevant Member State by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that Relevant Member State and the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/ EC and includes any relevant implementing measure in each Relevant Member State.

The shares have not been and will not be registered under the Securities and Exchange Law of Japan (the Securities and Exchange Law) and each underwriter has agreed that it will not offer or sell any shares, directly or indirectly, in Japan or to, or for the benefit of, any resident of Japan (which term as used herein means any person resident in Japan, including any corporation or other entity organized under the laws of Japan), or to others for re-offering or resale, directly or indirectly, in Japan or to a resident of Japan, except pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of, and otherwise in compliance with, the Securities and Exchange Law and any other applicable laws, regulations and ministerial guidelines of Japan.

The shares may not be offered or sold by means of any document other than to persons whose ordinary business is to buy or sell shares or debentures, whether as principal or agent, or in circumstances which do not constitute an offer to the public within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32) of Hong Kong, and no advertisement, invitation or document relating to the shares may be issued, whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere, which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public in Hong Kong (except if permitted to do so under the securities laws of Hong Kong) other than with respect to shares which are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong or only to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571) of Hong Kong and any rules made thereunder.

This prospectus supplement has not been registered as a prospectus with the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Accordingly, this prospectus supplement and any other document or material in connection with the offer or sale, or invitation for subscription or purchase, of the shares may not be circulated or distributed, nor may the shares be offered or sold, or be made the subject of an invitation for subscription or purchase, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore (the SFA), (ii) to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the SFA or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA.

Where the shares are subscribed or purchased under Section 275 by a relevant person which is: (a) a corporation (which is not an accredited investor) the sole business of which is to hold investments and the entire share capital of which is owned by one or more individuals, each of whom is an accredited investor; or (b) a trust (where the trustee is not an accredited investor) whose sole purpose is to hold investments and each beneficiary is an accredited investor, shares.

S-26

Table of Contents

debentures and units of shares and debentures of that corporation or the beneficiaries rights and interest in that trust shall not be transferable for 6 months after that corporation or that trust has acquired the shares under Section 275 except: (1) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the SFA or to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the SFA; (2) where no consideration is given for the transfer; or (3) by operation of law.

Cleco estimates that its total expenses in connection with the offering, excluding underwriting discounts and commissions, will be approximately \$225,000.

Cleco has agreed to indemnify the underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933.

Certain of the underwriters and their affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various financial advisory and investment banking services for Cleco, for which it received or will receive customary fees and expenses. Both KeyBanc Capital Markets and Goldman, Sachs & Co. have affiliates that are lenders under Cleco s revolving credit facility and Cleco Power s revolving credit facility.

S-27

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain further information regarding the operation of the SEC s Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Our filings are also available to the public over the Internet at the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov and on our website located at http://www.cleco.com. In addition, you may inspect our reports at the offices of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. at 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

The SEC allows us to incorporate by reference into this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus information we file with the SEC. This means we can disclose important information to you by referring you to the documents containing the information. The information we incorporate by reference is considered to be part of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, unless we update or supersede that information by the information contained in this prospectus supplement or information that we file subsequently that is incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. We are incorporating by reference into this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus the following documents that we have filed with the SEC, and our future filings with the SEC under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (excluding information deemed to be furnished and not filed with the SEC) until the offering of the common stock is completed:

our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2006 (File No. 1-15759), as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto on Form 10-K/A, filed with the SEC on March 30, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006, filed with the SEC on August 3, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006, filed with the SEC on May 4, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Proxy Statement and Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on March 8, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 29, 2006, filed with the SEC on July 6, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 21, 2006, filed with the SEC on April 27, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 28, 2006, filed with the SEC on March 2, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

our Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 27, 2006, filed with the SEC on February 2, 2006 (File No. 1-15759), as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto on Form 8-K/A, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2006 (File No. 1-15759),

the description of our common stock contained in our registration statement on Form 8-A, filed with the SEC on March 22, 2000 (File No. 1-15759), as may be amended from time to time to update that description, and

the description of the rights associated with our common stock contained in our registration statement on Form 8-A, filed with the SEC on August 8, 2000 (File No. 1-15759), as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto

on Form 8-A/A, filed with the SEC on March 22, 2006 (File No. 1-15759), as may be further amended from time to time to update that description.

S-28

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the common stock offered by this prospectus supplement will be passed upon for us by Mark D. Pearce, our Acting General Counsel, Director Regulatory Compliance and Assistant Corporate Secretary. At June 30, 2006, Mr. Pearce beneficially owned 3,624 shares of our common stock (including shares held under employee benefit plans) and held no options under our incentive compensation plans, as of June 30, 2006, to purchase additional shares of our common stock. None of such shares were issued or granted in connection with the offering of the securities being offered by this prospectus supplement. Baker Botts L.L.P., Houston, Texas, will pass on other legal matters for us. Sidley Austin Ilp , New York, New York, will act as counsel for the underwriters.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements and management is assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting (which is included in Management is Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting) of Cleco Corporation incorporated in this prospectus supplement by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Cleco Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The consolidated financial statements of Acadia Power Partners, LLC and subsidiary (APP) incorporated in this prospectus supplement by reference to Amendment No. 1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/ A of Cleco Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report (which contains an explanatory paragraph related to APP s ability to continue as a going concern as described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, and an explanatory paragraph relating to transactions with various related parties as described in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements) of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The financial statements of Cleco Evangeline LLC incorporated in this prospectus supplement by reference to Amendment No. 1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/ A of Cleco Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

The financial statements of Perryville Energy Partners, L.L.C. incorporated in this prospectus supplement by reference to Amendment No. 1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/ A of Cleco Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005 have been so incorporated in reliance on the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

Earlier this year, one of our employees made allegations that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, was not independent. In response to these allegations, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP each conducted an investigation into these allegations. At the completion of these investigations, both our Audit Committee and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP concluded that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are independent accountants with respect to us, within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 and the requirements of Rule 3600T of the PCAOB. Our counsel, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and counsel to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP have discussed the allegations and the investigations with the staff of the SEC.

S-29

Table of Contents

Prospectus

\$200,000,000
CLECO CORPORATION
Senior Debt Securities
Subordinated Debt Securities
Common Stock
Preferred Stock

Cleco Corporation 2030 Donahue Ferry Road Pineville, Louisiana 71360-5226 (318) 484-7400

We will provide the specific terms of the securities in one or more supplements to this prospectus. You should read this prospectus and the related prospectus supplement carefully before you invest in our securities. This prospectus may not be used to offer and sell our securities unless accompanied by a prospectus supplement.

The Offering

We may offer from time to time: senior debt securities; subordinated debt securities; common stock; and preferred stock.

We will provide the specific terms of the offered securities in supplements to this prospectus. Our debt securities may be convertible into or exchangeable for shares of our common stock or preferred stock. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CNL.

Consider carefully the Risk Factors beginning on page 4.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is June 14, 2005.

Table of Contents

About This Prospectus	1
Cleco Corporation	2
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	3
Risk Factors	4
Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information	12
Use of Proceeds	14
Description of Our Debt Securities	14
Description of Capital Stock	29
Plan of Distribution	34
Where You Can Find More Information	36
Validity of Securities	37
Experts	37

About This Prospectus

This prospectus is part of a registration statement we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission using a shelf registration process. By using this process, we may offer up to \$200,000,000 of our securities in one or more offerings. This prospectus provides you with a description of the securities we may offer. Each time we offer securities, we will provide a supplement to this prospectus. The prospectus supplement will describe the specific terms of the offering. The prospectus supplement may also add, update or change the information contained in this prospectus. Please carefully read this prospectus, the applicable prospectus supplement and the information contained in the documents we refer to in the Where You Can Find More Information section of this prospectus.

Unless we have indicated otherwise, or the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus and the applicable prospectus supplement to our company include our subsidiaries.

You should rely only on the information contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement. We have not authorized anyone else to provide you with any additional or different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not making an offer to sell securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. The information contained in this prospectus is current only as of the date of this prospectus, and any information incorporated by reference is current only as of the date of the document incorporated by reference. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

Table of Contents

Cleco Corporation

We are a regional energy services company operating principally through Cleco Power LLC, our subsidiary that conducts our traditional electric utility business, and Cleco Midstream Resources LLC, our subsidiary that conducts our merchant energy business.

Cleco Power

Cleco Power is an electric utility regulated by the Louisiana Public Service Commission and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, among other regulators. Cleco Power provides electric utility services, including generation, transmission and distribution, to approximately 265,000 retail and wholesale customers in 103 communities in central and southeastern Louisiana.

Cleco Midstream

Cleco Midstream is a subsidiary with operations in Louisiana and Texas that are not regulated by the Louisiana Public Service Commission or the Public Utility Commission of Texas. Cleco Midstream owns and operates two wholesale electric generation stations and invests in joint ventures that own and operate merchant generation stations. As of March 31, 2005, Cleco Midstream owned approximately 2,100 megawatts, or MW of electric generating capacity, including a 718-MW plant the sale of which is pending.

On January 28, 2004, Perryville Energy Partners, L.L.C., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Cleco Midstream, entered into an agreement to sell its 718 MW power plant located near Perryville, Louisiana, which we refer to as the Perryville power station, to Entergy Louisiana, Inc., a subsidiary of Entergy Corp., for \$170.0 million, subject to certain adjustments. The sale agreement was amended by Perryville Energy Partners and Entergy Louisiana in October 2004 to exclude certain jurisdictional assets in order to eliminate the need to obtain Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approval of the transaction under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and to extend the closing date to a date no later than December 31, 2005. As part of the transaction, Perryville Energy Partners and Perryville Energy Holdings LLC, a subsidiary of Cleco Midstream and the parent company of Perryville Energy Partners, filed voluntary petitions in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Louisiana in Alexandria for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The bankruptcy court approved the amended sale agreement utilizing the alternative structure on December 8, 2004. On April 20, 2005, the Louisiana Public Service Commission approved the amended sale agreement and issued a final order on May 3, 2005. The sale of the Perryville power station pursuant to the amended sale agreement is subject to various approvals and conditions, including regulatory approvals and conditions and approvals by Entergy Louisiana in its sole discretion, and is expected to be completed by the third quarter of 2005.

In July 2001, Perryville Energy Partners and Mirant Americas Energy Marketing, LP, a subsidiary of Mirant Corporation, entered into a 21-year capacity and energy sale agreement providing for Mirant Americas Energy Marketing s use of the entire capacity of the Perryville power station. In July 2003, Mirant, Mirant Americas Energy Marketing and other subsidiaries of Mirant filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and subsequently rejected the Mirant Americas Energy Marketing tolling agreement. In May 2005, Perryville Energy Partners and the Mirant companies who filed for bankruptcy protection entered into an agreement to settle all claims against each other. The settlement is subject to, and conditioned upon approval of the settlement by the bankruptcy courts which are presiding over the Mirant companies and Perryville Energy Partners Chapter 11 cases and the approval orders becoming final.

Miscellaneous

Subject to certain limited exceptions, we are exempt from regulation as a public utility holding company pursuant to Section 3(a)(1) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 and Rule 2 thereunder. Our principal executive offices are located at 2030 Donahue Ferry Road, Pineville, Louisiana 71360-5226, and our telephone number at that location is (318) 484-7400. Our homepage on the Internet is located at http://www.cleco.com. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission are available, free of charge,

Table of Contents

39

Table of Contents

through our website, as soon as reasonably practicable after those reports or filings are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Information on our website or any other website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus or the accompanying prospectus supplement and does not constitute a part of this prospectus or the accompanying prospectus supplement. For additional information regarding reports and other information we file with or furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission and obtaining other information about us, please read Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 36 of this prospectus.

Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

The following table sets forth, in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission requirements, our ratios of earnings from continuing operations to fixed charges and earnings from continuing operations to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends for each of the periods indicated:

	Three N End Marc	led		Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
Ratio of earnings from continuing operations to fixed charges(1) Ratio of earnings from continuing operations to combined fixed charges and preferred stock	2.28x	2.06x	2.91x	(2)	2.71x	2.78x	2.75x	
dividends(1)	2.19x	2.00x	2.77x	(3)	2.62x	2.68x	2.64x	

- (1) We do not believe that the ratios for the three-month periods are necessarily indicative of the ratios for the twelve-month periods due to the seasonal nature of our business. The ratios were calculated pursuant to applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (2) For the year ended December 31, 2003, earnings were insufficient to cover fixed charges by \$50.5 million.
- (3) For the year ended December 31, 2003, earnings were insufficient to cover combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends by \$52.6 million.

-3-

Table of Contents

Risk Factors

There are many risks that may affect your investment in our securities. You should carefully consider these risks as well as the other information we have provided in this prospectus, the accompanying prospectus supplement and the documents we incorporate by reference, before reaching a decision regarding an investment in our securities.

If the pending sale of the Perryville power station to Entergy Louisiana were not to be consummated, we would likely seek another purchaser of the facility or its generation or Perryville Energy Partners obligations would be resolved in its ongoing bankruptcy proceedings, any of which could result in Perryville Energy Partners receiving significantly less value than anticipated for the facility and additional losses.

On January 28, 2004, Perryville Energy Partners reached an agreement to sell the Perryville power station to Entergy Louisiana for \$170.0 million, subject to certain adjustments. The sale agreement was amended by Perryville Energy Partners and Entergy Louisiana in October 2004 to exclude certain jurisdictional assets in order to eliminate the need to obtain Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approval of the transaction under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and to extend the closing date to no later than December 31, 2005. As part of the transaction, Perryville Energy Partners entered into a power purchase agreement with Entergy Services, Inc., which has since been amended and approved by the Louisiana Public Service Commission, under which Entergy Services makes certain payments to Perryville Energy Partners and supplies natural gas to the Perryville power station in exchange for which Entergy Services is exclusively entitled to all of the electric generation capacity of the facility until the earlier of the closing or termination of the sale of the facility or December 31, 2005. Also on January 28, 2004 and in connection with the sale, Perryville Energy Partners and Perryville Energy Holdings filed voluntary petitions for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The bankruptcy court approved the amended sale agreement utilizing the alternative structure that excludes certain jurisdictional assets on December 8, 2004. On April 20, 2005, the Louisiana Public Service Commission approved the amended sale agreement and issued a final order on May 3, 2005. Consummation of the sale utilizing the alternative structure is contingent upon Entergy Louisiana confirming to its satisfaction its ability to recover through regulatory mechanisms all of its costs in acquiring the Perryville power station, obtaining necessary approvals from the Louisiana Public Service Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission, final inspection by Entergy Louisiana and other customary closing conditions. The sale is expected to be completed by the third quarter of 2005.

The outstanding amounts due under the Construction and Term Loan Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2001, between Perryville Energy Partners and KBC Bank N.V., as Agent Bank, which we refer to as the senior loan agreement, were deemed accelerated upon the bankruptcy filings of Perryville Energy Partners and Perryville Energy Holdings. As of March 31, 2005, there was \$126.2 million of outstanding principal and accrued interest payable under the senior loan agreement. Cleco Corporation has provided a guarantee to pay interest and principal under the senior loan agreement should Perryville Energy Partners be unable to pay its debt service for amounts up to \$0.5 million (as of March 31, 2005). As a result of the commencement of such bankruptcy cases and by virtue of the automatic stay under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, the lenders ability to exercise their remedies under the senior loan agreement, including, but not limited to, their ability to foreclose on the mortgage or assume ownership of the Perryville power station, are significantly limited and would require approval of the bankruptcy court.

In July 2003, Mirant Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and subsequently rejected the Mirant Americas Energy Marketing tolling agreement. In May 2005, Perryville Energy Partners and the Mirant companies entered into an agreement to settle all claims against each other in their respective Chapter 11 cases. The settlement is subject to, and conditioned upon approval of the settlement by the bankruptcy courts which are presiding over the Mirant companies and Perryville Energy Partners Chapter 11 cases and the approval orders becoming final.

During 2003, the carrying value of the Perryville power station was reduced resulting in Cleco recording impairment charges of \$148.0 million (\$91.0 million after tax).

-4-

Table of Contents

If the sale of the Perryville power station to Entergy Louisiana were not to be consummated, the power purchase agreement with Entergy Services would terminate automatically. If this were to occur, we would need to seek an alternative purchaser of the Perryville power station or its generation, or allow Perryville Energy Partners—senior loan agreement and other obligations to be resolved in Perryville Energy Partners—and Perryville Energy Holdings bankruptcy proceedings. Any of these alternatives could result in us receiving significantly less value for the Perryville power station and its generation than anticipated, as well as possibly causing us to record additional losses on our investment and under certain circumstances requiring us to pay \$10.0 million in liquidated damages to Entergy Louisiana if the sale is not consummated.

The ability of our counterparties to satisfy their payment obligations under key agreements relating to our merchant power plant operations has become less certain, placing a significant source of our revenue and other income at risk; failure by our counterparties to perform their obligations under these key agreements would likely have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

Our Cleco Midstream energy business derives a substantial portion of its earnings from tolling agreements relating to its power plants. These tolling agreements give the counterparties the right to own, dispatch and market all of the electric generation capacity of the respective facility in exchange for fixed and variable fees. Currently, Cleco Midstream s equity earnings from investees are derived primarily from a tolling agreement with Williams Power Company, Inc. (formerly Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company), a subsidiary of The Williams Companies, Inc., and from its 50% interest in Acadia Power Partners LLC, which derives its revenues from two tolling agreements with Calpine Energy Services, L.P., one of which relates to Power Block 1 of the Acadia Power Partner