ALKERMES INC
Form 10-K/A
August 14, 2006

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K/A
(Amendment No. 1)

þ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-14131
ALKERMES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania	**23-2472830**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*
88 Sidney Street, Cambridge, MA	**02139-4234**
(Address of principal executive offices)	*(Zip Code)*

(617) 494-0171
(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
None
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
Common Stock, par value $0.01 per share
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock Purchase Rights
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K/A or any amendment to this Form 10-K/A. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer þ Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

As of September 30, 2005 (the last business day of the second fiscal quarter) the aggregate market value of the 88,390,866 outstanding shares of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was $1,484,966,549. Such aggregate value was computed by reference to the closing price of the common stock reported on the NASDAQ National Market on September 30, 2005.

As of May 31, 2006, 91,894,457 shares of the Registrant s common stock were issued and outstanding, and 382,632 shares of the Registrant s non-voting common stock were issued and outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after March 31, 2006 for the Registrant s Annual Shareholders Meeting are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report on Form 10-K/A.

ALKERMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K/A
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2006
INDEX

EXPLANATORY NOTE		3
PART I		4
Item 1.	Business	4
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	14
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	28
Item 2.	Properties	28
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	28
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	29
PART II		29
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	29
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	30
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	46
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	48
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	48
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	48
Item 9B.	Other Information	51
PART III		51
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	51
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	51
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	51
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	51
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	51
PART IV		51
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	51
SIGNATURES		57

EX-23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
EX-31.1 Section 302 Certification of C.E.O.
EX-31.2 Section 302 Certification of C.F.O.
EX-32.1 Section 906 Certification of C.E.O. & C.F.O.

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Alkermes, Inc. (the Company) is filing this Amendment No. 1 to its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 (the 2006 10-K), originally filed on June 14, 2006, to restate its consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, its consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, its consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, its consolidated statements of changes in stockholders equity for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, and the related disclosures. Please refer to Note 19 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information.

In May 2006, we were mentioned in an analyst s report suggesting that the Company was at moderate risk for options backdating (the Report) with respect to its annual grants of options to all employees of the Company dated October 28, 1999 and November 20, 2000. Shortly after the Report appeared, we were contacted by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) with respect to our option practices for the years mentioned in the Report. We have cooperated fully with the SEC s informal inquiry, which is ongoing. As a result of the publication of the Report, and concurrent with the SEC s informal inquiry, the audit committee of the Board of Directors undertook an investigation into our option practices for the period 1999 to 2002. The review was conducted with the assistance of outside legal counsel and outside accounting consultants. The audit committee has completed its investigation and has concluded that nothing has come to its attention that would cause it to believe that there are any instances where management of the Company or the compensation committee of the Company retroactively selected a date for the grant of stock options during the 1999 through 2002 period. Also, management has reviewed its option grant practices for the period from 1999 through the first quarter of fiscal 2007. In the course of management s inquiry, we identified certain issues with respect to the measurement date for one grant in each of 2000 and 2005 as a result of changes that may have been made to option grants for a limited number of non-executive employees subsequent to the grant date and have determined that the accounting for the 2000 and 2005 grants needs to be adjusted. In both instances, the aggregate amount of options granted decreased after the grant date. No options from either the 2000 or 2005 grants have been exercised.

This Amendment No. 1 does not result in a change in the Company s previously reported revenues, cash flow from operations, total assets or total cash and cash equivalents shown in its consolidated financial statements. Further, except as discussed above, the Company has not modified or updated disclosures presented in the 2006 10-K in this Form 10-K/A, except as required to reflect the effects of the items discussed above. Accordingly, this Form 10-K/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the 2006 10-K or modify or update those disclosures affected by subsequent events or discoveries. Information not affected by these restatements is unchanged and reflects the disclosures made at the time of the original filing of the 2006 10-K on June 14, 2006. Events occurring after the filing of the 2006 10-K or other disclosures necessary to reflect subsequent events will be addressed in the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ending June 30, 2006 which is filed concurrently with the filing of this Form 10-K/A, and any reports filed with the SEC subsequent to the date of this filing.

In connection with the above inquiry, we reassessed our evaluation of our internal controls over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006 and have concluded that a material weakness existed in our internal controls over financial reporting relating to our application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States to measurement dates for stock options. We have adopted new stock option granting procedures to correct this deficiency and, after consultation with our outside legal counsel, believe that such procedures will correct this weakness.

This Form 10-K/A should be read in conjunction with the Company s filings made with the SEC subsequent to the filing of the 2006 10-K. The following items have been amended as a result of the restatements described above:

Part II Item 6 Selected Financial Data

Part II Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part II Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Part II Item 9A Controls and Procedures

Part IV Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

The following business section contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors. See Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward-Looking Statements.

General

Alkermes, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, referred to as we , us , our or the Registrant), a Pennsylvania corporation organized in 1987, is a biotechnology company that develops products based on sophisticated drug delivery technologies to enhance therapeutic outcomes in major diseases. We have two commercial products. RISPERDAL® CONSTA® [(risperidone) long-acting injection] is the first and only long-acting atypical antipsychotic medication approved for use in schizophrenia and is marketed worldwide by Janssen-Cilag (Janssen), a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson. VIVITROL® (naltrexone for extended-release injectable suspension) is the first and only once-monthly injection approved for the treatment of alcohol dependence and is marketed in the United States (U.S.) primarily by Cephalon, Inc. (Cephalon). We have a pipeline of extended-release injectable products and pulmonary products based on our proprietary technologies and expertise. Our product development strategy is twofold: we partner our proprietary technology systems and drug delivery expertise with several of the world s finest pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies; and we also develop novel, proprietary drug candidates for our own account. Our headquarters are located in Cambridge, Massachusetts, and we operate research and manufacturing facilities in Massachusetts and Ohio.

Our Strategy

We are leveraging our unique drug delivery capabilities and technologies to become a profitable growth company by developing, both with partners and on our own, novel and important drug products that enhance patient outcomes in major therapeutic areas.

We have entered into select collaborations with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to develop significant new product candidates, based on existing drugs and incorporating our technologies. Our partner, Janssen, currently markets RISPERDAL CONSTA, a formulation developed and manufactured by us that utilizes our proprietary Medisorb® drug delivery technology and Janssen s atypical antipsychotic drug RISPERDAL® (risperidone). RISPERDAL CONSTA is the only long-acting atypical antipsychotic drug on the market today. We also have two important initiatives in diabetes with corporate partners. The first is an inhaled formulation of insulin (AIR® insulin), based on our AIR pulmonary drug delivery technology, which is being developed with Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly). The second is exenatide LAR, a long-acting formulation of the diabetes drug BYETTA® (exenatide), which is being developed with our partners Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Amylin) and Lilly, using our Medisorb drug delivery technology.

In addition, we develop our own proprietary therapeutics by applying our innovative drug delivery technologies to certain pharmaceuticals. Our drug VIVITROL, which was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in April 2006, is the first and only once-monthly injectable medication for the treatment of alcohol dependence. It is an extended-release formulation of the oral medication, naltrexone, based on our proprietary Medisorb drug delivery technology.

We are also working to create value by establishing our own specialized sales and marketing capabilities. Under our VIVITROL collaboration with Cephalon we support the product commercialization effort with a team of managers of market development. They work with the Cephalon field sales team to facilitate local and health care system level approaches to marketplace education and awareness and program support. Under our agreement, we have the option to develop our own field sales force, in addition to the managers of market development, at the time of the first sales force expansion, which has not yet occurred. If we elect to develop our own sales force, we may seek to expand our commercial presence by developing or acquiring additional products to market.

Products and Development Programs

The following discusses the primary indications, development stage and collaborative partner, if any, for our products and certain of our product candidates. We are developing other product candidates that are in preclinical development for various other indications that are not discussed below. The results from preclinical testing and early

clinical trials may not be predictive of results obtained in subsequent clinical trials and there can be no assurance that our, or our collaborators , clinical trials will demonstrate the safety and efficacy of any product candidates necessary to obtain regulatory approval.

Table of Contents

RISPERDAL CONSTA. We have developed a long-acting formulation of Janssen s antipsychotic drug RISPERDAL, called RISPERDAL CONSTA, using our Medisorb drug delivery technology for the treatment of schizophrenia, a brain disorder, characterized by disorganized thinking, delusions and hallucinations. RISPERDAL CONSTA is administered via intramuscular injection every two weeks, as opposed to RISPERDAL tablets, which must be taken daily. RISPERDAL CONSTA is marketed in more than 55 countries around the world including the U.S., United Kingdom, Spain, France and Germany. The product has been approved in more than 75 countries, and Janssen continues to launch the product around the world. RISPERDAL is the most commonly prescribed drug for the treatment of schizophrenia and, along with RISPERDAL CONSTA, had sales of over $3.6 billion worldwide in calendar year 2005. In December 2005, Janssen presented data at the American Psychiatric Association meeting which demonstrated that stable patients treated with RISPERDAL CONSTA showed low rates of relapse and rehospitalization.

In January 2005, Johnson & Johnson initiated a Phase III clinical trial with RISPERDAL CONSTA, with the goal of expanding the label to include an indication for maintenance therapy for bipolar disorder. In May 2006, Janssen presented additional data supporting the use of RISPERDAL CONSTA in schizophrenia and bipolar maintenance.

We are the exclusive manufacturer of RISPERDAL CONSTA for Janssen, and we earn both manufacturing fees and royalties from Janssen. See Collaborative Arrangements Janssen for more information about manufacturing fees and royalties received from Janssen. Our non-recourse RISPERDAL CONSTA secured 7% notes (the 7% Notes) are secured by RISPERDAL CONSTA cash flows. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K/A.

VIVITROL. VIVITROL, our first FDA-approved proprietary product, is an injectable, extended-release Medisorb formulation of naltrexone. Naltrexone, an FDA-approved drug indicated for the treatment of alcohol dependence and for the blockade of effects of exogenously administered opioids, is currently available in daily oral dosage form. VIVITROL, the first and only once-monthly injectable medication for alcohol dependence, is indicated for the treatment of alcohol dependence in patients who are able to abstain from drinking in an outpatient setting and are not actively drinking prior to treatment initiation. Treatment with VIVITROL should be used in combination with psychosocial support, such as counseling or group therapy. VIVITROL was available to physicians and patients in the U.S. beginning on June 13, 2006. VIVITROL is available as a single dose 380mg intramuscular injection.

Alcohol dependence is a serious and chronic disease that affects multiple regions of the brain, providing rationale for the use of medication with psychosocial support as part of an integrated treatment plan. Of the more than approximately 7.8 million Americans who are dependent on alcohol, approximately 2.2 million seek treatment for their alcohol problems. Approximately 75% of these patients relapse within the first year of beginning treatment using currently available treatment options. VIVITROL development has been funded in part with federal funds from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, and the National Institutes of Health.

We and Cephalon are discussing the development and implementation of a clinical program for VIVITROL in opioid dependence.

In June 2005, we partnered with Cephalon to commercialize VIVITROL in the U.S. We are the exclusive manufacturer of VIVITROL, and we earn manufacturing revenue from Cephalon and share net collaborative profits and losses with Cephalon. See Collaborative Arrangements Cephalon for more information about manufacturing revenues and the profit and loss sharing arrangement with Cephalon.

AIR insulin. We are collaborating with Lilly to develop inhaled formulations of insulin and other potential products for the treatment of diabetes based on our AIR pulmonary drug delivery technology. We believe that our AIR insulin product candidate, currently in Phase III clinical development, may improve the treatment of diabetes by providing a simpler dosing regimen and thereby potentially increasing medication adherence and leading to better health outcomes for patients over time. As part of the comprehensive Phase III pivotal program that began in July 2005, we are currently conducting two long-term safety and efficacy studies: a 24-month study in 400 type-one diabetes patients; and a 12-month study in 600 type-one and type-two diabetes patients with mild to moderate asthma or mild to moderate chronic obstructive lung disease. In addition, in April 2006, we and Lilly announced the initiation of a Phase III clinical trial required for registration for AIR insulin. This study in type-two diabetes patients is designed to compare A1C, an average measure of blood sugar (glucose) over a three-month period, between AIR insulin and

injectable pre-meal insulin. Additional studies are planned to commence in calendar year 2006. Lilly is responsible for designing and conducting clinical trials.

In June and September 2005, we and Lilly presented detailed results from a Phase II clinical study of inhaled insulin in people with type-one diabetes, showing that patients using AIR insulin achieved blood sugar levels similar to patients treated with injected insulin. The Phase II trial was a multi-center, cross-over design study with 120 patients with type-one diabetes receiving an inhaled formulation of insulin using AIR technology for a three-month period. Eighty percent (80%) of patients in this study expressed a preference for AIR insulin at mealtime over injected insulin. In addition, results from a Phase I dose response and equivalence study were presented, which showed that AIR insulin

Table of Contents

and injected insulin lispro were generally well-tolerated and that the overall effect on blood sugar was similar, illustrating that doses could be reliably correlated.

We manufacture AIR insulin for clinical trials. Under our current agreement, we and Lilly will manufacture AIR insulin products for commercial sale, if any.

Exenatide LAR. We are developing a long-acting release (LAR) Medisorb formulation of Amylin s exenatide (exenatide). Exenatide injection (trade name BYETTA) was approved by the FDA in April 2005 as adjunctive therapy to improve blood sugar control in patients with type-two diabetes who have not achieved adequate control on metformin and/or a sulfonylurea, two commonly used oral diabetes medications. BYETTA is a twice-daily injection. Exenatide LAR is being developed as a once-weekly formulation. Amylin entered into a collaboration agreement with Lilly for the development and commercialization of exenatide, including exenatide LAR.

In March 2006, we, Amylin and Lilly announced that, following discussions with the FDA, a long-term comparator clinical study of once-weekly exenatide LAR and twice-daily BYETTA in patients with type-two diabetes had been initiated. This study is designed to generate the type of safety and efficacy data that could form the basis of a new drug application (NDA).

This trial follows the completion of a randomized, placebo-controlled, multi-dose study in patients with type-two diabetes that was designed to assess the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of exenatide LAR given once a week. In August 2005, we, Amylin and Lilly announced the preliminary results of this study, which found that after 15 weeks, both doses of exenatide LAR were well tolerated and expected therapeutic blood levels of exenatide were achieved. Dose-dependent improvements in hemoglobin A1C and reductions in weight were observed. This multiple-dose study included approximately 45 subjects with type-two diabetes who were failing to achieve adequate glucose control using diet and exercise with or without metformin.

In parallel with clinical activities, manufacturing process development and scale-up activities are underway. The material for this trial is being manufactured at development scale, and the companies are working to determine the overall manufacturing strategy.

In October 2005, we amended our existing development and license agreement with Amylin, and reached agreement regarding the construction of a manufacturing facility for exenatide LAR and certain technology transfer related thereto. See Collaborative Arrangements Amylin for more information relating to the manufacture of exenatide LAR.

AIR® parathyroid hormone. In January 2006, we and Lilly announced an agreement to develop and commercialize inhaled formulations of parathyroid hormone (PTH) utilizing our AIR pulmonary drug delivery system. The initial development program will utilize our AIR pulmonary drug delivery system in combination with Lilly s recombinant PTH, FORTEO® (teriparatide (rDNA origin) injection). FORTEO was approved in 2002 by the FDA to treat osteoporosis in men and postmenopausal women who are at high risk for bone fracture.

Under the terms of the agreement, we receive funding for product and process development activities and upfront and milestone payments. Lilly will have exclusive worldwide rights to products resulting from the collaboration and will pay us royalties based on product sales, if any.

We are responsible for manufacturing AIR PTH for preclinical studies, and Phase I and Phase II clinical trials, if any.

Collaborative Arrangements

Our business strategy includes forming collaborations to develop and commercialize our products, and to access technological, financial, marketing, manufacturing and other resources. We have entered into several collaborative arrangements, as described below.

Janssen

Pursuant to a development agreement, we collaborated with Janssen on the development of RISPERDAL CONSTA. Under the development agreement, Janssen provided funding to us for the development of RISPERDAL CONSTA, and Janssen is responsible for securing all necessary regulatory approvals for the product. RISPERDAL CONSTA has been approved in more than 75 countries. RISPERDAL CONSTA has been launched in more than 55 countries, including the U.S. and several major international markets. We exclusively manufacture RISPERDAL CONSTA for commercial sale and receive manufacturing revenues when product is shipped to Janssen and royalty

revenues upon the final sale of the product.

Under product license agreements, Janssen and an affiliate of Janssen have exclusive worldwide licenses from us to use and sell RISPERDAL CONSTA. Under the license agreements, Janssen is required to pay us certain royalties on all RISPERDAL CONSTA sold to customers. Janssen can terminate the license agreements upon 30 days prior written notice to us.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement, Janssen has appointed us as the exclusive supplier of RISPERDAL CONSTA for commercial sales. Under our manufacturing and supply agreement with Janssen, we record manufacturing revenues upon shipment of product by us to Janssen, based on a percentage of Janssen s net selling price. This percentage of net selling price varies based upon the volume of units shipped to Janssen in any given calendar year, with a minimum manufacturing fee of 7.5%. Under our license agreements with Janssen, we also record royalty revenues equal to 2.5% of Janssen s net sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA in the quarter when the product is sold by Janssen.

Under our manufacturing and supply agreement, Janssen is required to pay us certain annual minimum manufacturing revenues relating to our sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA to Janssen. The annual minimum manufacturing revenues from sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA are determined by a formula and, in the aggregate, are currently estimated to be approximately $184.5 million. This amount was automatically increased from $150.0 million as a result of additional investment by us in the RISPERDAL CONSTA manufacturing infrastructure. As of March 31, 2006, we had recognized approximately $143.4 million of cumulative manufacturing revenues against the estimated $184.5 million minimum.

The manufacturing and supply agreement terminates on expiration of the license agreements. In addition, either party may terminate the manufacturing and supply agreement upon a material breach by the other party which is not resolved within 60 days written notice or upon written notice in the event of the other party s insolvency or bankruptcy. Janssen may terminate the agreement upon six months written notice to us. In the event that Janssen terminates the manufacturing and supply agreement without terminating the product license agreements, the royalty rate payable to us on Janssen s net sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA will increase from 2.5% to 5.0%.

Cephalon

In June 2005, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement and supply agreement with Cephalon to jointly develop, manufacture and commercialize extended-release forms of naltrexone, including VIVITROL (the Products), in the U.S. (the Agreements). We have formed a joint development team with Cephalon, and the companies share responsibility for additional development of the Products. We have primary responsibility for conducting such development and were responsible for obtaining marketing approval for VIVITROL in the U.S. for the treatment of alcohol dependence, which we received from the FDA in April 2006. We have formed a joint commercialization team with Cephalon, and the companies share responsibility for developing the commercial strategy for the Products. Cephalon has primary responsibility for the commercialization, including distribution and marketing, of the Products in the U.S., and we support this effort with a team of managers of market development. We have the option to staff our own field sales force in addition to our managers of market development at the time of the first sales force expansion, should one occur. We have also formed a joint supply team with Cephalon, and we have primary responsibility for the manufacture of the Products.

In June 2005, Cephalon made a nonrefundable payment of $160.0 million to us upon signing the Agreements. In April 2006, Cephalon made a second nonrefundable payment of $110.0 million to us upon FDA approval of VIVITROL. Cephalon will make additional nonrefundable milestone payments to us of up to $220.0 million if calendar year net sales of the Products exceed certain agreed-upon sales levels. Cephalon will record net sales from the Products in the U.S. Under the terms of the Agreements, we are responsible for the first $120.0 million of net losses incurred on VIVITROL (Product Losses) through December 31, 2007. The Product Losses specifically exclude development costs incurred by us to obtain FDA approval of VIVITROL and costs to complete the first manufacturing line, both of which we are solely responsible for. If Product Losses exceed $120.0 million through December 31, 2007, Cephalon is responsible for paying all Product Losses in excess of $120.0 million during this period. If VIVITROL is profitable through December 31, 2007, net profits will be shared equally between us and Cephalon. After December 31, 2007, all profits and losses earned on VIVITROL will be shared equally between us and Cephalon.

The Agreements are in effect until the later of: (i) the expiration of certain patent rights; or (ii) fifteen (15) years from the date of the first commercial sale of the Products in the U.S.

Table of Contents

Cephalon has the right to terminate the Agreements at any time by providing 180 days prior written notice to us, subject to certain continuing rights and obligations between the parties. The supply agreement terminates upon termination or expiration of the license and collaboration agreement or the later expiration of our obligations pursuant to the Agreements to continue to supply Products to Cephalon. In addition, either party may terminate the license and collaboration agreement upon a material breach by the other party which is not cured within 90 days written notice of material breach or, in certain circumstances, a 30 day extension of that period, and either party may terminate the supply agreement upon a material breach by the other party which is not cured within 180 days written notice of material breach or, in certain circumstances, a 30 day extension of that period.

Lilly

AIR insulin

In April 2001, we entered into a development and license agreement with Lilly for the development of inhaled formulations of insulin and other compounds potentially useful for the treatment of diabetes, based on our AIR pulmonary drug delivery technology. Pursuant to the agreement, we are responsible for formulation and preclinical testing as well as the development of a device to use in connection with any products developed. Lilly has paid or will pay to us certain initial fees, research funding and milestones payable upon achieving certain development and commercialization goals. Lilly has exclusive worldwide rights to make, use and sell pulmonary formulations of such compounds. Lilly will be responsible for clinical trials, obtaining all regulatory approvals and marketing any AIR insulin products. We will manufacture such product candidates for clinical trials and both we and Lilly will manufacture such products for commercial sales, if any. We will receive certain royalties and commercial manufacturing fees based upon such product sales, if any.

Lilly has the right to terminate the agreement upon 90 days written notice to us at any time prior to the first commercial launch of a product or upon 180 days written notice at any time after such first commercial launch. In addition, either party may terminate the agreement upon a material breach or default by the other party which is not cured within 90 days of written notice of material breach or default.

In February 2002, we entered into an agreement with Lilly that provided for an investment by them in our production facility for inhaled products based on our AIR pulmonary drug delivery technology. This facility, located in Chelsea, Massachusetts, is designed to accommodate the manufacturing of multiple products. Lilly s investment was used to fund a portion of AIR insulin production and packaging capabilities. This funding is secured by Lilly s ownership of specific equipment located and used in the facility. We have the right to purchase the equipment from Lilly, at any time, at the then-current net book value.

In December 2002, we expanded our collaboration with Lilly following the achievement of development milestones relating to clinical progress and manufacturing activities for our insulin dry powder aerosols and inhalers. In connection with the expansion, Lilly purchased $30.0 million of our newly issued 2002 redeemable convertible preferred stock, $0.01 par value per share (the Preferred Stock) in accordance with the December 2002 preferred stock agreement. Under the expanded collaboration, the royalties payable to us on sales of the AIR insulin product were increased. We agreed to use the proceeds from issuance of the Preferred Stock primarily to fund the AIR insulin development program and to use a portion of the proceeds to fund the AIR hGH development program. We did not record research and development revenue on these programs while the proceeds of the Preferred Stock funded this development. The $30.0 million of research and development expended by us was recognized as research and development expense as incurred. All of the proceeds from the issuance of the Preferred Stock had been spent through fiscal year 2005.

In September 2005, we received a milestone payment of $9.0 million from Lilly upon the initiation of the Phase III clinical program for AIR insulin.

On October 4, 2005, we converted 1,500 shares of the Preferred Stock with a carrying value of $15.0 million into 823,677 shares of our common stock. The conversion secured a proportional increase in the minimum royalty rate payable to us on sales of the AIR insulin product, if approved.

AIR PTH

In December 2005, we entered into an agreement with Lilly to develop and commercialize AIR PTH utilizing our AIR pulmonary drug delivery system. The initial development program will utilize our AIR pulmonary drug delivery

system in combination with Lilly s recombinant PTH, FORTEO® (teriparatide (rDNA origin) injection). Forteo was approved by the FDA in 2002 for the treatment of osteoporosis in men and postmenopausal women who are at high risk of bone fracture.

Table of Contents

Under the terms of the agreement, we will receive funding for product development activities and upfront and milestone payments. We will have principal responsibility for the formulation and nonclinical development and testing of the compound for use in the product device including device development. Lilly will have principal responsibility for toxicological and clinical development of the product and sole responsibility for the achievement of regulatory approval and commercialization of the product. Lilly will have exclusive worldwide rights to products resulting from the collaboration and will pay us royalties based on product sales, if any, beginning on the date of product launch in the relevant country and ending on the later of either the expiration of AIR patent rights or ten years from product launch in that particular country. We are responsible for the manufacture of PTH for preclinical, Phase I and Phase II clinical trials. Not later than the completion of Phase II clinical trials for the product, the parties will negotiate a manufacturing agreement for Phase III clinical trial and commercial supply. Under this manufacturing agreement, Lilly would be obligated to purchase from us an agreed to minimum supply of the product each calendar year.

Lilly may terminate the development and license agreement for any reason at any time, with or without cause, by providing us with 90 days prior written notice prior to product launch or upon 180 days prior written notice after product launch. In addition, either party may terminate the agreement upon a material breach or default by the other party which is not cured within 90 days written notice of material breach or default or, in certain cases, a 90 day extension of this period.

Amylin

In May 2000, we entered into a development and license agreement with Amylin for the development of exenatide LAR, which is under development for the treatment of type-two diabetes. Pursuant to the development and license agreement, Amylin has an exclusive, worldwide license to the Medisorb technology for the development and commercialization of injectable extended-release formulations of exendins and other related compounds that Amylin may develop. Amylin has entered into a collaboration agreement with Lilly for the development and commercialization of exenatide, including exenatide LAR. We receive funding for research and development and milestone payments consisting of cash and warrants for Amylin common stock upon achieving certain development and commercialization goals and will also receive royalty payments based on future product sales, if any. We are responsible for formulation and non clinical development of any products that may be developed pursuant to the agreement and for manufacturing these products for use in clinical trials. Subject to its arrangement with Lilly, Amylin is responsible for conducting clinical trials, securing regulatory approvals and marketing any products resulting from the collaboration on a worldwide basis. We have the option of becoming the commercial manufacturer of certain additional products developed under the development and license agreement.

Amylin may terminate the development and license agreement for any reason upon 90 days written notice to us if such termination occurs before filing an NDA with the FDA or 180 days written notice after such event. In addition, either party may terminate the development and license agreement upon a material default or breach by the other party that is not cured within 60 days written notice.

In October 2005, we amended our existing development and license agreement with Amylin, and reached agreement regarding the construction of a manufacturing facility for exenatide LAR and certain technology transfer related thereto. In December 2005, Amylin purchased a facility for the manufacture of exenatide LAR and began construction in early calendar year 2006. Amylin is responsible for all costs and expenses associated with the design and validation of the facility. The parties have agreed that we will transfer our technology for the manufacture of exenatide LAR to Amylin. Following the completion of the technology transfer, Amylin will be responsible for the manufacture of the once-weekly formulation of exenatide LAR and will operate the facility. Amylin will pay us royalties for commercial sales of this product, if approved, in accordance with the development and license agreement.

Drug Delivery Technology

Our proprietary drug delivery technologies address several important drug delivery opportunities, including injectable extended-release of proteins, peptides and small molecule pharmaceutical compounds and the pulmonary delivery of small molecules, proteins and peptides. We have used these technologies as a platform to establish drug development and regulatory expertise.

Injectable Extended-Release Drug Delivery

Our proprietary technology allows us to encapsulate traditional small molecule pharmaceuticals, peptides and proteins, in microspheres made of common medical polymers. The technology is designed to enable novel formulations of pharmaceuticals by providing controlled, extended-release of drugs over time. Drug release from the microsphere is controlled by diffusion of the drug through the microsphere and by biodegradation of the polymer. These processes can be modulated through a number of formulation and fabrication variables, including drug substance and microsphere particle sizing and choice of polymers and excipients.

Table of Contents

Pulmonary Drug Delivery

The AIR technology is our proprietary pulmonary delivery technology that enables the delivery of both small molecules and macromolecules to the lungs. Our proprietary technology allows us to formulate drugs into dry powders made up of highly porous particles with low mass density. These particles can be efficiently delivered to the deep lung by a small, simple inhaler. The AIR technology is useful for small molecules, proteins or peptides and allows for both local delivery to the lungs and systemic delivery via the lungs.

AIR particles can be aerosolized and inhaled efficiently with simple inhaler devices because low forces of cohesion allow the particles to disaggregate easily. We are developing a family of relatively inexpensive, compact, easy-to-use inhalers. The AIR devices are breath activated and made from injection molded plastic. The powders are designed to quickly discharge from the device over a range of inhalation flow rates, which may lead to low patient-to-patient variability and high lung deposition of the inhaled dose. By varying the ratio and type of excipients used in the formulation, we believe we can deliver a range of drugs from the device that may provide both immediate and extended release.

Manufacturing

We currently maintain manufacturing facilities in Massachusetts and Ohio. We either purchase active drug product from third parties or receive it from our third party collaborators to formulate product using our technologies. The manufacture of our product for clinical trials and commercial use is subject to current good manufacturing practices (cGMP) and other regulatory agency regulations. We have been producing commercial product since 1999 and have limited experience in operating multi-state, multi-line FDA-approved commercial manufacturing sites.

Injectable Extended-Release Drug Delivery

We own and occupy a manufacturing, office and laboratory site in Wilmington, Ohio. We manufacture RISPERDAL CONSTA, VIVITROL and development-scale products at this facility. The facility has been inspected by U.S. and European regulatory authorities, and they have concluded that the facility meets required cGMP standards for continued commercial manufacturing. The facility is undergoing a significant expansion (See Item 2. *Properties* for details of the facility expansion). The expansion of this facility is intended to increase supply of RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL.

We have established and are operating clinical facilities, with the capability to produce clinical supplies of our injectable extended-release drug delivery products, within our headquarters facility in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Pulmonary Drug Delivery

We lease a 90,000 square foot facility located in Chelsea, Massachusetts that is designed to accommodate manufacturing of multiple products and contains a 40,000 square foot facility used for clinical manufacturing of our AIR products. Our inhalation devices are produced by a contract manufacturer in the U.S under cGMP standards.

Marketing

Under our collaboration agreements with Janssen, Lilly and Amylin, these companies are responsible for the commercialization of the products developed thereunder if, and when, regulatory approval is obtained. Cephalon is primarily responsible for VIVITROL commercialization, however, we support the product commercialization effort with a team of managers of market development, whose responsibility it is to work in collaboration with the Cephalon field sales team to facilitate local and health care system level approaches to marketplace education and awareness and program support. Together with Cephalon, our goal is to establish a steady increase in sales over time and our marketing strategy will initially focus on a core group of receptive, influential and high volume prescribers of medication to treat alcohol dependence, establishing a solid foundation for further expansion. Under the collaboration, we have the option to establish our own field sales force, in addition to the managers of market development, at the time of the first sales force expansion, which has not yet occurred.

Table of Contents

Competition

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are subject to rapid and substantial technological change. We face intense competition in the development, manufacturing, marketing and commercialization of our products and product candidates from academic institutions, government agencies, research institutions, biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, including our collaborators, and other drug delivery companies. Our success in the marketplace depends largely on our ability to identify and successfully commercialize products developed from our research activities and to access financial resources to fund our clinical trials, manufacturing, and commercialization activities. Competition for our marketed products and product candidates may be based on product efficacy, safety, convenience, reliability, availability and price, among other factors. The timing of entry of new pharmaceutical products in the market can be a significant factor in product success, and the speed with which we receive approval for products, bring them to market and produce commercial supplies may impact the competitive position of our products in the marketplace.

Many of our competitors and potential competitors have substantially more capital resources, manufacturing and marketing experience, research and development resources and production facilities than we do. Many of these competitors have significantly more experience than we do in undertaking preclinical testing and clinical trials of new pharmaceutical products and obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals. There can be no assurance that developments by our competitors will not render our products, product candidates or our technologies obsolete or noncompetitive, or that our collaborators will not choose to use competing drug delivery methods.

With respect to our injectable drug delivery technologies, we are aware that there are other companies developing extended-release delivery systems for pharmaceutical products. For example, a number of products are being developed which may compete with RISPERDAL CONSTA, including a number of new oral compounds for the treatment of schizophrenia, and paliperidone palmitate, an injectable, four week long-acting product being developed by Johnson & Johnson.

VIVITROL may compete with CAMPRAL® by Forest Laboratories, Inc. and ANTABUSE® by Odyssey Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as well as currently marketed drugs also formulated from naltrexone, such as REVIA® by Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc., NALOREX® by Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. and DEPADE® by Mallinckrodt. Other pharmaceutical companies are investigating product candidates that have shown some promise in treating alcohol dependence and that, if approved by the FDA, would compete with VIVITROL.

With respect to our AIR drug delivery technology, we are aware that there are other companies marketing or developing pulmonary delivery systems for pharmaceutical products. If approved, our AIR insulin product candidate would compete with EXUBERA®, marketed by Pfizer, Inc. in collaboration with Nektar Therapeutics, Inc., which received FDA and EMEA approval for marketing in January 2006. There are a number of large companies currently developing inhaled insulin product candidates that are in late stage clinical trials that would compete with our AIR insulin product, if approved.

Other companies are developing new chemical entities or improved formulations of existing products which, if developed successfully, could compete against our formulations of any products we develop or those of our collaborators. These chemical entities are being designed to have different mechanisms of action or improved safety and efficacy. In addition, our collaborators may develop, either alone or with others, products that compete with the development and marketing of our product candidates.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our success will be dependent, in part, on our ability to obtain patent protection for our product candidates and those of our collaborators, maintaining trade secret protection and operating without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others.

We have a proprietary portfolio of patent rights and exclusive licenses to patents and patent applications. We have filed numerous U.S. and international patent applications directed to compositions of matter as well as processes of preparation and methods of use, including applications relating to each of our delivery technologies. We own approximately 120 issued U.S. patents. No U.S. patent issued to us that is currently material to our business will expire prior to 2013. In the future, we plan to file further U.S. and foreign patent applications directed to new or improved products and processes. We intend to file additional patent applications when appropriate and defend our

patent position aggressively.

We have exclusive rights through licensing agreements with third parties to approximately 35 issued U.S. patents, a number of U.S. patent applications and corresponding foreign patents and patent applications in many countries, subject in certain instances to the rights of the U.S. government to use the technology covered by such patents and patent applications. No issued U.S. patent to which we have licensed rights and which is currently material to our business will expire prior to 2016. Under certain licensing agreements, we currently pay annual license fees and/or minimum annual royalties. During the year ended March 31, 2006, these fees totaled approximately $0.3 million. In

Table of Contents

addition, under these licensing agreements, we are obligated to pay royalties on future sales of products, if any, covered by the licensed patents.

We know of several U.S. patents issued to other parties that may relate to our products and product candidates. One party has asked us to compare our Medisorb drug delivery technology to that party s patented technology. Another party has asked a collaborative partner to substantiate how our ProLease microspheres are different from that party s patented technology. The manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale or import of some of our product candidates might be found to infringe on the claims of these patents. A party might file an infringement action against us. Our cost of defending such an action is likely to be high and we might not receive a favorable ruling.

We also know of patent applications filed by other parties in the U.S. and various foreign countries that may relate to some of our product candidates if issued in their present form. If patents are issued to any of these applicants, we or our collaborators may not be able to manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sell some of our product candidates without first getting a license from the patent holder. The patent holder may not grant us a license on reasonable terms or it may refuse to grant us a license at all. This could delay or prevent us from developing, manufacturing or selling those of our product candidates that would require the license.

We try to protect our proprietary position by filing U.S. and foreign patent applications related to our proprietary technology, inventions and improvements that are important to the development of our business. Because the patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies involves complex legal and factual questions, enforceability of patents cannot be predicted with certainty. Patents, if issued, may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Thus, any patents that we own or license from others may not provide any protection against competitors. Our pending patent applications, those we may file in the future, or those we may license from third parties, may not result in patents being issued. If issued, they may not provide us with proprietary protection or competitive advantages against competitors with similar technology. Furthermore, others may independently develop similar technologies or duplicate any technology that we have developed outside the scope of our patents. The laws of certain foreign countries do not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S.

We also rely on trade secrets, know-how and technology, which are not protected by patents, to maintain our competitive position. We try to protect this information by entering into confidentiality agreements with parties that have access to it, such as our corporate partners, collaborators, employees and consultants. Any of these parties may breach the agreements and disclose our confidential information or our competitors might learn of the information in some other way. If any trade secret, know-how or other technology not protected by a patent were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Government Regulation

Before new pharmaceutical products may be sold in the U.S. and other countries, clinical trials of the products must be conducted and the results submitted to appropriate regulatory agencies for approval. The regulatory approval process requires a demonstration of product safety and efficacy and the ability to effectively manufacture such product. Generally, such demonstration of safety and efficacy includes preclinical testing and clinical trials of such product candidates. The manufacture and marketing of pharmaceutical products in the U.S. requires the approval of the FDA. The FDA has established mandatory procedures and safety standards which apply to the preclinical testing and clinical trials, manufacture and marketing of these products. Similar standards are established by non-U.S. regulatory bodies for marketing approval of such products. Pharmaceutical marketing and manufacturing activities are also regulated by state, local and other authorities. The regulatory approval process in the U.S. is described in brief below.

As an initial step in the FDA regulatory approval process, preclinical studies are typically conducted in animal models to assess the drug s efficacy, identify potential safety problems and evaluate potential for harm to humans. The results of these studies must be submitted to the FDA as part of an investigational new drug application (IND), which must be reviewed by the FDA within 30 days of submission and before proposed clinical (human) testing can begin. If the FDA is not convinced of the product candidate s safety, it has the authority to place the program on hold at any time during the investigational stage and request additional animal data or changes to the study design. Studies supporting approval of products in the U.S. are typically accomplished under an IND.

Typically, clinical testing involves a three-phase process: Phase I trials are conducted with a small number of healthy subjects and are designed to determine the early side effect profile and, perhaps, the pattern of drug distribution and metabolism; Phase II trials are conducted on patients with a specific disease in order to determine appropriate dosages, expand evidence of the safety profile and perhaps provide preliminary evidence of product efficacy; and Phase III trials are large-scale, comparative studies conducted on patients with a target disease in order to generate enough data to provide statistical evidence of efficacy and safety required by national regulatory agencies. The results of the preclinical testing and clinical trials of a pharmaceutical product, as well as the information on the manufacturing of the product and

Table of Contents

proposed labeling, are then submitted to the FDA in the form of a new drug application (NDA) or, for a biological product, a product license application (PLA), for approval to commence commercial sales. Preparing such applications involves considerable data collection, verification, analysis and expense. In responding to an NDA or PLA, the FDA may grant marketing approval, request additional information or deny the application if it determines that the application does not satisfy its regulatory approval criteria. Submission of the application(s) for marketing authorization does not guarantee approval. At the same time, an FDA request for additional information does not mean the product may not be approved or will significantly delay approval. On occasion, regulatory authorities may require larger or additional studies, leading to unanticipated delay or expense. Even after initial FDA approval has been obtained, further clinical trials may be required to provide additional data on safety and effectiveness and are required to gain clearance for the use of a product as a treatment for indications other than those initially approved. It is also possible that the labeling may be more limited than what was originally projected. Each marketing authorization application is unique and should be considered as such.

The receipt of regulatory approval often takes a number of years, involving the expenditure of substantial resources and depends on a number of factors, including the severity of the disease in question, the availability of alternative treatments and the risks and benefits demonstrated in clinical trials. Data obtained from preclinical testing and clinical trials are subject to varying interpretations, which can delay, limit or prevent FDA approval. In addition, changes in FDA approval policies or requirements may occur, or new regulations may be promulgated, which may result in delay or failure to receive FDA approval. Similar delays or failures may be encountered in foreign countries. Delays, increased costs and failures in obtaining regulatory approvals could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Regulatory authorities track information on side effects and adverse events reported during clinical studies and after marketing approval. Non-compliance with FDA safety reporting requirements may result in FDA regulatory action that may include civil action or criminal penalties. Side effects or adverse events that are reported during clinical trials can delay, impede, or prevent marketing approval. Similarly, adverse events that are reported after marketing approval can result in additional limitations being placed on the product s use and, potentially, withdrawal or suspension of the product from the market.

Among the conditions for a NDA or PLA approval is the requirement that the prospective manufacturer s quality control and manufacturing procedures conform with cGMP on an ongoing basis. Before approval of an NDA or PLA, the FDA may perform a pre-approval inspection of a facility to determine its compliance with cGMP and other rules and regulations. In complying with cGMP, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production and quality control to ensure full technical compliance. After a facility is licensed, it is subject to periodic inspections by the FDA. Facilities are also subjected to the requirements of other government bodies, such as the U.S. Occupational Safety & Health Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency.

Similarly, NDA or PLA approval may be delayed or denied due to cGMP non-compliance or other issues at contract sites or suppliers included in the NDA or PLA, and the correction of these shortcomings may be beyond our control.

The requirements which we must satisfy to obtain regulatory approval by governmental agencies in other countries prior to commercialization of our product candidates in such countries can be as rigorous and costly as those described above.

We are also subject to various laws and regulations relating to safe working conditions, laboratory and manufacturing practices, experimental use of animals and use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances, including radioactive compounds and infectious disease agents, used in connection with our research. Compliance with laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment has not had a material effect on capital expenditures, earnings or our competitive position. However, the extent of government regulation which might result from any legislative or administrative action cannot be accurately predicted.

Employees

As of May 31, 2006 we had approximately 760 full-time employees. A significant number of our management and professional employees have prior experience with pharmaceutical, biotechnology or medical product companies. We believe that we have been successful in attracting skilled and experienced scientific and senior management personnel,

however, competition for such personnel is intense. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We consider our relations with employees to be good.

Available Information

Our internet address is www.alkermes.com, at which you can find, free of charge, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments thereto, and all other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All such filings are available on the website as soon as reasonably practicable after filing.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. ***Risk Factors***

If any of the following risks actually occur, they could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

RISPERDAL CONSTA, VIVITROL and our product candidates may not generate significant revenues.

Even if a product candidate receives regulatory approval for commercial sale, the revenues received or to be received from the sale of the product may not be significant and will depend on numerous factors, many of which are outside of our control, including but not limited to, those factors set forth below.

RISPERDAL CONSTA

We are not involved in the marketing or sales efforts for RISPERDAL CONSTA. For reasons outside of our control, including those mentioned below, revenues received from the sale of RISPERDAL CONSTA may not meet our partner s expectations. Our revenues also depend heavily on manufacturing fees we receive from our partner for RISPERDAL CONSTA.

VIVITROL

In April 2006, the FDA approved VIVITROL for the treatment of alcohol dependence in patients able to refrain from drinking, and not actively drinking prior to treatment initiation. In June 2006, we entered into an agreement with Cephalon to develop and commercialize VIVITROL for the treatment of alcohol dependence in the U.S. and its territories. Under this agreement, Cephalon is primarily responsible for the marketing and sale of VIVITROL, and we support their efforts with a team of managers of market development. We currently have no sales and marketing experience and a very small team of managers of market development. We expect VIVITROL to become available to physicians and patients in the U.S. by the end of June 2006. If and when VIVITROL is available for sale, the revenues received or to be received from the sale of the product may not be significant and will depend on numerous factors, many of which are outside of our control, including but not limited to those specified below.

There can be no assurance that the Phase III clinical trial results and other clinical and preclinical data will be sufficient to obtain regulatory approvals for VIVITROL elsewhere in the world. Even if regulatory approvals are received in other countries, we will have to market VIVITROL ourselves outside of the U.S. or enter into co-promotion or sales and marketing arrangements with other companies for VIVITROL sales and marketing activities outside of the U.S.

In addition, there is no existing data regarding the size of the market for VIVITROL, and it is therefore inherently difficult to assess whether sufficient capacity exists to meet market demand. If demand is higher than our estimates or we are not able to bring online addition capacity, the market for VIVITROL may be materially adversely affected.

We cannot be assured that RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL will be, or will continue to be, accepted in the U.S. or in any foreign markets or that sales of either of these products will not decline in the future or end. A number of factors may affect revenues from RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL (and any of our product candidates that we develop, if and when approved) including:

- perception of physicians and other members of the health care community of their safety and efficacy relative to that of competing products;
- their cost-effectiveness;
- patient and physician satisfaction with these products;
- the ability to manufacture commercial products successfully and on a timely basis;
- the cost and availability of raw materials;
- the size of the markets for these products;
- reimbursement policies of government and third-party payors;

Table of Contents

unfavorable publicity concerning these products or similar drugs;

the introduction, availability and acceptance of competing treatments, including those of our collaborators;

the reaction of companies that market competitive products;

adverse event information relating to these products;

changes to product labels to add significant warnings or restrictions on use;

the continued accessibility of third parties to vial, label and distribute these products on acceptable terms;

the unfavorable outcome of patent litigation related to any of these products;

regulatory developments related to the manufacture or continued use of these products;

the extent and effectiveness of the sales and marketing and distribution support these products receive;

our collaborators decisions as to the timing of product launches, pricing and discounting; and

any material adverse developments with respect to the commercialization of these products may cause our revenue to grow at a slower than expected rate, or even to decrease or end.

Our revenues will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on a number of factors, including the acceptance of RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL in the marketplace, our partner s orders, the timing of shipments, our ability to manufacture successfully, our yield and our production schedule. In order to meet our financial plans, we will need to bring additional manufacturing capacity on line in a timeframe adequate to meet demand and prevent shortfalls in supply. In addition, the costs to manufacture RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL may be higher than anticipated if certain volume levels are not achieved. In addition, we may not be able to supply the products in a timely manner. If RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL do not produce significant revenues, if we are unable to supply our partner s requirements, our business, results of operations and financial condition would be materially adversely affected.

We are subject to risks related to the manufacture of our products.

We currently manufacture RISPERDAL CONSTA, VIVITROL and most of our other product candidates. The manufacture of drugs for clinical trials and for commercial sale is subject to regulation by the FDA under cGMP regulations and by other regulators under other laws and regulations. We have manufactured product candidates for use in clinical trials and have limited experience in manufacturing products for commercial sale. We cannot assure you that we can successfully manufacture our products under cGMP regulations or other laws and regulations in sufficient quantities for commercial sale, or in a timely or economical manner.

Our manufacturing facilities in Massachusetts and Ohio require specialized personnel and are expensive to operate and maintain. Any delay in the regulatory approval or market launch of product candidates to be manufactured in these facilities will require us to continue to operate these expensive facilities and retain specialized personnel, which may cause operating losses.

The manufacture of pharmaceutical products is a highly complex process in which a variety of difficulties may arise from time to time, including but not limited to product loss due to material equipment failure, or vendor or operator error. Problems with manufacturing processes could result in product defects or manufacturing failures, which could require us to delay shipment of products or recall products previously shipped, or could impair our ability to expand into new markets or supply products in existing markets. Any such problem would be exacerbated by unexpected demand for our products. We may not be able to resolve any such problems in a timely fashion, if at all. We are presently the sole manufacturer of RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL and are currently working to increase capacity for RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL. Also, our manufacturing facility in Ohio is the sole source of supply for all of our injectable product candidates and products, including RISPERDAL CONSTA and

VIVITROL. If we are not able to add additional capacity or if anything were to interfere with our continuing manufacturing operations in any of our facilities, it would materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

If we cannot produce sufficient commercial quantities of our products to meet demand, we would need to rely on third-party manufacturers, of which there are currently very few, if any, capable of manufacturing our products as contract suppliers. We cannot be certain that we could reach agreement on reasonable terms, if at all, with those manufacturers. Even if we were to reach agreement, the transition of the manufacturing process to a third party to enable commercial supplies could take a significant amount of time. Our ability to supply products in sufficient capacity to meet demand is also dependent upon third party contractors to provide components and bulk drug, and package, store and distribute such finished products.

If more of our product candidates progress to mid- to late-stage development, we may incur significant expenses in the expansion and/or construction of manufacturing facilities and increases in personnel in order to manufacture product candidates. The development of a commercial-scale manufacturing process is complex and expensive. We cannot assure you that we have the necessary funds or that we will be able to develop this manufacturing infrastructure in a timely or economical manner, or at all.

Currently, several of our product candidates, including exenatide LAR, are manufactured in small quantities for use in clinical trials. We cannot be assured that we will be able to successfully manufacture each of our product candidates at a commercial scale in a timely or economical manner, or at all. If any of these product candidates are approved by the FDA or other drug regulatory authorities for commercial sale, we will need to manufacture them in larger quantities. If we are unable to successfully increase our manufacturing scale or capacity, the regulatory approval or commercial launch of such product candidate may be delayed, there may be a shortage in supply of such product candidate or our margins may become uneconomical.

If we fail to develop manufacturing capacity and experience, fail to continue to contract for manufacturing on acceptable terms, or fail to manufacture our commercial products and/or product candidates economically on a commercial scale or in commercial volumes, or in accordance with cGMP regulations, our development programs and commercialization of any approved products will be materially adversely affected. This may result in delays in receiving FDA or foreign regulatory approval for one or more of our product candidates or delays in the commercial production of a product that has already been approved. Any such delays could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We rely to a large extent on third parties in the manufacturing of our products.

We are responsible for the entire supply chain for VIVITROL, up to manufacture of final product for sale, including the sourcing of raw materials and active pharmaceutical agents from third parties. We have no previous experience in managing a complex, cGMP supply chain and issues with our supply sources may have a materially adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The manufacture of products and product components, bulk drug product, packaging, storage and distribution of our products require successful coordination among ourselves and multiple third-party providers. Our inability to coordinate these efforts, the lack of capacity available at the third party contractor or any other problems with the operations of these third party contractors could require us to delay shipment of saleable products, recall products previously shipped or could impair our ability to supply products at all. This could increase our costs, cause us to lose revenue or market share and damage our reputation. Any third party we use to manufacture bulk drug product, package, store or distribute our products to be sold in the U.S. must be licensed by the FDA. As a result, alternative third party providers may not be readily available on a timely basis.

None of our drug delivery systems can be commercialized as stand-alone products but must be combined with a drug. To develop any new proprietary product candidate using one of these drug delivery systems, we must obtain the drug substance from another party. We cannot be assured that we will be able to obtain any such drug substance on reasonable terms, if at all.

Due to the unique nature of the production of our products, there are several single source providers of our raw materials. We endeavor to qualify new vendors and to develop contingency plans so that production is not impacted by issues associated with single source providers. Nonetheless, our business could be materially impacted by issues associated with single source providers.

Table of Contents

The manufacture of our products is subject to government regulation.

We and our third party providers are generally required to maintain compliance with cGMP, and are subject to inspections by the FDA or comparable agencies in other jurisdictions to confirm such compliance. Any changes of suppliers or modifications of methods of manufacturing require amending our application to the FDA and ultimate amendment acceptance by the FDA prior to release of product to the marketplace. Our inability or the inability of our third party service providers to demonstrate ongoing cGMP compliance could require us to withdraw or recall product and interrupt commercial supply of our products. Any delay, interruption or other issues that arise in the manufacture, formulation, packaging, or storage of our products as a result of a failure of our facilities or the facilities or operations of third parties to pass any regulatory agency inspection could significantly impair our ability to develop and commercialize our products. This could increase our costs, cause us to lose revenue or market share and damage our reputation.

The FDA and a European regulatory authority have inspected and approved our manufacturing facility for RISPERDAL CONSTA, and the FDA has inspected and approved the same manufacturing facility for VIVITROL. We cannot guarantee that the FDA or any foreign regulatory agencies will approve our other facilities or, once approved, that any of our facilities will remain in compliance with cGMP regulations. If we fail to gain or maintain FDA and foreign regulatory compliance, our business results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our business involves environmental risks.

Our business involves the controlled use of hazardous materials and chemicals. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply with state and federal standards, there will always be the risk of accidental contamination or injury. If we were to become liable for an accident, or if we were to suffer an extended facility shutdown, we could incur significant costs, damages and penalties that could materially harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We rely heavily on collaborative partners.

Our arrangements with collaborative partners are critical to our success in bringing our products and product candidates to the market and promoting such marketed products profitably. We rely on these parties in various respects, including to conduct preclinical testing and clinical trials, to provide funding for product candidate development programs, raw materials, product forecasts, and sales and marketing services, to create and manage the distribution model for our commercial products, to commercialize our products, or to participate actively in or to manage the regulatory approval process. Most of our collaborative partners can terminate their agreements with us for no reason and on limited notice. We cannot guarantee that any of these relationships will continue. Failure to make or maintain these arrangements or a delay in a collaborative partner s performance or factors that may affect our partner s sales may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We cannot control our collaborative partners performance or the resources they devote to our programs. Consequently, programs may be delayed or terminated or we may have to use funds, personnel, laboratories and other resources that we have not budgeted. A program delay or termination or unbudgeted use of our resources may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Disputes may arise between us and a collaborative partner and may involve the issue of which of us owns the technology that is developed during a collaboration or other issues arising out of the collaborative agreements. Such a dispute could delay the program on which the collaborative partner or we are working. It could also result in expensive arbitration or litigation, which may not be resolved in our favor.

A collaborative partner may choose to use its own or other technology to develop a way to deliver its drug and withdraw its support of our product candidate, or compete with our jointly developed product.

Our collaborative partners could merge with or be acquired by another company or experience financial or other setbacks unrelated to our collaboration that could, nevertheless, materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have no sales and marketing experience and limited sales capabilities, which may make commercializing our products difficult.

We currently have no marketing or distribution experience and limited sales capabilities. Therefore, in order to commercialize our product candidates, we must either develop our own marketing and distribution sales capabilities or collaborate with a third party to perform these functions. We may, in some instances, rely significantly on sales, marketing and distribution arrangements with our collaborative partners and other third parties. For example, we rely completely on Janssen to market, sell and distribute RISPERDAL CONSTA, and will rely primarily upon Cephalon to market and distribute VIVITROL. In these instances, our future revenues will be materially dependent upon the success of the efforts of these third parties.

Under our agreement, Cephalon is primarily responsible for the marketing and sale of VIVITROL. We support Cephalon in its commercialization efforts with a small team of managers of market development. We have limited experience in the commercialization of

Table of Contents

pharmaceutical products. Therefore, the successful commercial launch of VIVITROL and our future profitability will depend in large part on the success of our collaborative partner in its sales and marketing efforts. We may not be able to attract and retain qualified personnel to serve as managers of market development, or to effectively support those commercialization activities services provided by our collaborative partner. The cost of establishing and maintaining managers of market development may exceed its cost effectiveness. If we fail to develop sales and marketing capabilities, if our collaborative partners sales efforts are not effective or if costs of developing sales and marketing capabilities exceed their cost effectiveness, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Our delivery technologies or product development efforts may not produce safe, efficacious or commercially viable products.

Many of our product candidates require significant additional research and development, as well as regulatory approval. To be profitable, we must develop, manufacture and market our products, either alone or by collaborating with others. It can take several years for a product candidate to be approved and we may not be successful in bringing additional product candidates to the market. A product candidate may appear promising at an early stage of development or after clinical trials and never reach the market, or it may reach the market and not sell, for a variety of reasons. The product candidate may:

be shown to be ineffective or to cause harmful side effects during preclinical testing or clinical trials;

fail to receive regulatory approval on a timely basis or at all;

be difficult to manufacture on a large scale;

be uneconomical; or

infringe on proprietary rights of another party.

For factors that may affect the market acceptance of our products approved for sale, see We face competition in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, and others. If our delivery technologies or product development efforts fail to generate product candidates that lead to the successful development and commercialization of products, if our collaborative partners decide not to pursue our product candidates or if new products do not perform as anticipated, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

Clinical trials for our product candidates are expensive and their outcome is uncertain.

Conducting clinical trials is a lengthy, time-consuming and expensive process. Before obtaining regulatory approvals for the commercial sale of any products, we or our partners must demonstrate through preclinical testing and clinical trials that our product candidates are safe and effective for use in humans. We have incurred, and we will continue to incur, substantial expense for, and devote a significant amount of time to, preclinical testing and clinical trials.

Historically, the results from preclinical testing and early clinical trials often have not predicted results of later clinical trials. A number of new drugs have shown promising results in clinical trials, but subsequently failed to establish sufficient safety and efficacy data to obtain necessary regulatory approvals. Clinical trials conducted by us, by our collaborative partners or by third parties on our behalf may not demonstrate sufficient safety and efficacy to obtain the requisite regulatory approvals for our product candidates. Regulatory authorities may not permit us to undertake any additional clinical trials for our product candidates, and it may be difficult to design efficacy studies for product candidates in new indications.

Clinical trials of each of our product candidates involve a drug delivery technology and a drug. This makes testing more complex because the outcome of the trials depends on the performance of technology in combination with a drug.

We have other product candidates in preclinical development. We or our collaborative partners have not submitted INDs or begun clinical trials for these product candidates. Preclinical and clinical development efforts performed by us may not be successfully completed. We may not file further INDs. We or our collaborative partners may not begin

clinical trials as planned. Completion of clinical trials may take several years or more. The length of time can vary substantially with the type, complexity, novelty and intended use of the product candidate. The commencement and rate of completion of clinical trials may be delayed by many factors, including:

the potential delay by a collaborative partner in beginning the clinical trial;

the inability to recruit clinical trial participants at the expected rate;

Table of Contents

the failure of clinical trials to demonstrate a product candidate s safety or efficacy;

the inability to follow patients adequately after treatment;

unforeseen safety issues;

the inability to manufacture sufficient quantities of materials used for clinical trials; or

unforeseen governmental or regulatory delays.

If a product candidate fails to demonstrate safety and efficacy in clinical trials, this failure may delay development of other product candidates and hinder our ability to conduct related preclinical testing and clinical trials. As a result of these failures, we may also be unable to find additional collaborative partners or to obtain additional financing. Our business, results of operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected by any delays in, or termination of, our clinical trials.

We depend on third parties in the conduct of our clinical trials for our product candidates and any failure of those parties to fulfill their obligations could adversely affect our development and commercialization plans.

We depend on independent clinical investigators, contract research organizations and other third party service providers and our collaborators in the conduct of our clinical trials for our product candidates. We rely heavily on these parties for successful execution of our clinical trials but do not control many aspects of their activities. For example, the investigators are not our employees. However, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the general investigational plan and protocols for the trial. Third parties may not complete activities on schedule or may not conduct our clinical trials in accordance with regulatory requirements or our stated protocols. The failure of these third parties to carry out their obligations could delay or prevent the development, approval and commercialization of our product candidates.

We may not become profitable on a sustained basis.

With the exception of fiscal year 2006, we have had net operating losses since being founded in 1987. At March 31, 2006, our accumulated deficit was $633.0 million. There can be no assurance we will achieve sustained profitability.

Beginning April 1, 2006, we are required to recognize all share-based payments, including grants of stock options and stock awards, in our financial statements based on the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R). We estimate that the effect on our results of operations and comprehensive income (loss) will range between $30.0 million and $35.0 million for the year ended March 31, 2007. As a result, we may not be profitable during the fiscal year 2007 or thereafter.

A major component of our revenue is dependent on our partners ability to sell, and our ability to manufacture economically, our marketed products RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL. In addition, if VIVITROL sales are not significant, we could have significant losses in the future due to ongoing expenses to develop and commercialize VIVITROL.

In addition, our ability to achieve sustained profitability in the future depends, in part, on our ability to:

obtain and maintain regulatory approval for our products and product candidates in the U.S. and in foreign countries;

efficiently manufacture our commercial products;

support the marketing and sale of RISPERDAL CONSTA by our partner Janssen;

support the commercial launch of, and ongoing sales and marketing efforts related to, VIVITROL by our partner Cephalon;

enter into agreements to develop and commercialize our products and product candidates;

develop and expand our capacity to manufacture and market our products and product candidates;

obtain adequate reimbursement coverage for our products from insurance companies, government programs and other third party payors;

Table of Contents

obtain additional research and development funding from collaborative partners or funding for our proprietary product candidates; and

achieve certain product development milestones.

In addition, the amount we spend will impact our profitability. Our spending will depend, in part, on:

the progress of our research and development programs for proprietary and collaborative product candidates, including clinical trials;

the time and expense that will be required to pursue FDA and/or foreign regulatory approvals for our product candidates and whether such approvals are obtained;

the time and expense required to prosecute, enforce and/or challenge patent and other intellectual property rights;

the cost of building, operating and maintaining manufacturing and research facilities;

the number of product candidates we pursue, particularly proprietary product candidates;

how competing technological and market developments affect our product candidates;

the cost of possible acquisitions of drug delivery technologies, compounds, product rights or companies; and

the cost of obtaining licenses to use technology owned by others for proprietary products and otherwise.

We may not achieve any or all of these goals and, thus, we cannot provide assurances that we will ever be profitable on a sustained basis or achieve significant revenues. Even if we do achieve some or all of these goals, we may not achieve significant commercial success.

We may require additional funds to complete our programs and such funding may not be available on commercially favorable terms and may cause dilution to our existing shareholders.

We may require additional funds to complete any of our programs, and may seek funds through various sources, including debt and equity offerings, corporate collaborations, bank borrowings, arrangements relating to assets or other financing methods or structures. The source, timing and availability of any financings will depend on market conditions, interest rates and other factors. If we are unable to raise additional funds on terms that are favorable to us, we may have to cut back significantly on one or more of our programs, give up some of our rights to our technologies, product candidates or licensed products or agree to reduced royalty rates from collaborative partners. If we issue additional equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities to raise funds, our shareholders will suffer dilution of their investment and it may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

The FDA or foreign regulatory agencies may not approve our product candidates.

Approval from the FDA is required to manufacture and market pharmaceutical products in the U.S. regulatory agencies in foreign countries have similar requirements. The process that pharmaceutical products must undergo to obtain this approval is extensive and includes preclinical testing and clinical trials to demonstrate safety and efficacy and a review of the manufacturing process to ensure compliance with cGMP regulations. The FDA may choose not to communicate with or update us during clinical testing and regulatory review periods. The ultimate decision by the FDA regarding drug approval may not be consistent with prior communications. See RISPERDAL CONSTA, VIVITROL and our product candidates may not generate significant revenues.

This process can last many years, be very costly and still be unsuccessful. FDA or foreign regulatory approval can be delayed, limited or not granted at all for many reasons, including:

a product candidate may not be safe or effective;

data from preclinical testing and clinical trials may be interpreted by the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies in different ways than we or our partners interpret it;

the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies might not approve our manufacturing processes or facilities;

Table of Contents

the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies may change their approval policies or adopt new regulations;

a product candidate may not be approved for all the indications we or our partners request; or

the FDA may not agree with our or our partners regulatory approval strategies or components of our or our partners filings, such as clinical trial designs.

For some product candidates, the drug used has not been approved at all or has not been approved for every indication for which it is being tested. Any delay in the approval process for any of our product candidates will result in increased costs that could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Regulatory approval of a product candidate generally is limited to specific therapeutic uses for which the product has demonstrated safety and efficacy in clinical testing. Approval of a product candidate could also be contingent on post-marketing studies. In addition, any marketed drug and its manufacturer continue to be subject to strict regulation after approval. Any unforeseen problems with an approved drug or any violation of regulations could result in restrictions on the drug, including its withdrawal from the market.

Legislative or regulatory changes could harm our business.

Our business is subject to extensive government regulation and oversight. As a result, we may become subject to governmental actions which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, including:

new laws, regulations or judicial decisions, or new interpretations of existing laws, regulations or decisions, related to patent protection and enforcement, health care availability, method of delivery and payment for health care products and services or our business operations generally;

changes in the FDA and foreign regulatory approval processes that may delay or prevent the approval of new products and result in lost market opportunity;

new laws, regulations and judicial decisions affecting pricing or marketing; and

changes in the tax laws relating to our operations.

Our revenues depend on payment and reimbursement from third-party payors, and a reduction in payment rate or reimbursement could result in decreased use or sales of our products.

In both domestic and foreign markets, sales of our products are dependent, in part, on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors such as state and federal governments, under programs such as Medicare and Medicaid in the U.S., and private insurance plans. In certain foreign markets, the pricing and profitability of our products, such as RISPERDAL CONSTA, generally are subject to government controls. In the U.S., there have been, there are, and we expect there will continue to be, a number of state and federal proposals that could limit the amount that state or federal governments will pay to reimburse the cost of pharmaceutical products. Legislation or regulatory action that reduces reimbursement for our products could materially adversely impact our business. In addition, we believe that private insurers, such as managed care organizations, may adopt their own reimbursement reductions unilaterally, or in response to any such federal legislation. Reduction in reimbursement for our products could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Also, we believe the increasing emphasis on management of the utilization and cost of health care in the U.S. has and will continue to put pressure on the price and usage of our products, which may materially adversely impact product sales. Further, when a new therapeutic product is approved, the availability of governmental and/or private reimbursement for that product is uncertain, as is the amount for which that product will be reimbursed. We cannot predict the availability or amount of reimbursement for our approved products or product candidates, including those at any stage of development, and current reimbursement policies for marketed products may change at any time.

Private insurers and government agencies continue to seek price discounts. In addition, certain states have proposed, and certain other states have adopted various programs for their seniors and low income individuals where a condition of coverage is that the manufacturer provides a discounted price, as well as programs involving restrictions

on access to certain products, and bulk purchasing of drugs.

If reimbursement for our products changes adversely or if we fail to obtain adequate reimbursement for our other current or future products, health care providers may limit how much or under what circumstances they will prescribe or administer them, which could reduce the use of our products or cause us to reduce the price of our products.

Table of Contents

Failure to comply with government regulations regarding our products could harm our business.

Our activities, including the sale and marketing of our products, are subject to extensive government regulation and oversight, including regulation under the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and other federal and state statutes. We are also subject to the provisions of a federal law commonly known as the Medicare/Medicaid anti-kickback law, and several similar state laws, which prohibit payments intended to induce physicians or others either to purchase or arrange for or recommend the purchase of healthcare products or services. While the federal law applies only to products or services for which payment may be made by a federal healthcare program, state laws may apply regardless of whether federal funds may be involved. These laws constrain the sales, marketing and other promotional activities of manufacturers of drugs and biologicals, such as us, by limiting the kinds of financial arrangements, including sales programs, with hospitals, physicians, and other potential purchasers of drugs and biologicals. Other federal and state laws generally prohibit individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third party payors that are false or fraudulent, or are for items or services that were not provided as claimed. Anti-kickback and false claims laws prescribe civil and criminal penalties for noncompliance that can be substantial, including the possibility of exclusion from federal healthcare programs (including Medicare and Medicaid).

Pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies have been the target of lawsuits and investigations alleging violations of government regulation, including claims asserting antitrust violations, violations of the Federal False Claim Act, Anti-Kickback Act, the Prescription Drug Marketing Act and other violations in connection with off-label promotion of products and Medicare and/or Medicaid reimbursement or related to environmental matters and claims under state laws, including state anti-kickback and fraud laws.

While we continually strive to comply with these complex requirements, interpretations of the applicability of these laws to marketing practices are ever evolving. If any such actions are instituted against us or our collaboration partners, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant and material impact on our business, including the imposition of significant fines or other sanctions. Even an unsuccessful challenge could cause adverse publicity and be costly to respond to, and thus could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If and when approved, the commercial use of our products may cause unintended side effects or adverse reactions or incidence of misuse may appear.

We cannot predict whether the commercial use of products (or product candidates in development, if and when they are approved for commercial use) will produce undesirable or unintended side effects that have not been evident in the use of, or in clinical trials conducted for, such products (and product candidates) to date. Additionally, incidents of product misuse may occur. These events, among others, could result in product recalls, product liability actions or withdrawals or additional regulatory controls, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Patent protection for our products is important and uncertain.

The following factors are important to our success:

- receiving and maintaining patent protection for our products and product candidates and for those of our collaborative partners;
- maintaining our trade secrets;
- not infringing the proprietary rights of others; and
- preventing others from infringing our proprietary rights.

Patent protection only provides rights of exclusivity for the term of the patent. We will be able to protect our proprietary rights from unauthorized use by third parties only to the extent that our proprietary rights are covered by valid and enforceable patents or are effectively maintained as trade secrets.

We know of several U.S. patents issued to third parties that may relate to our product candidates. One of those third parties has asked us to compare our Medisorb technology to that third party s patented technology. Another such

third party has asked a collaborative partner to substantiate how our ProLease microspheres are different from that third party s patented technology. The manufacture, use, offer for sale, sale or importing of any of these product candidates might be found to infringe the claims of these third party patents. A third party might file an infringement action against us. Our cost of defending such an action is likely to be high and we might not receive a favorable ruling.

Table of Contents

We also know of patent applications filed by other parties in the U.S. and various foreign countries that may relate to some of our product candidates if such patents are issued in their present form. If patents are issued that cover our commercial products, we may not be able to manufacture, use, offer for sale or sell some of our product candidates without first getting a license from the patent holder. The patent holder may not grant us a license on reasonable terms or it may refuse to grant us a license at all. This could delay or prevent us from developing, manufacturing or selling those of our product candidates that would require the license.

We try to protect our proprietary position by filing U.S. and foreign patent applications related to our proprietary technology, inventions and improvements that are important to the development of our business. Because the patent position of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies involves complex legal and factual questions, enforceability of patents cannot be predicted with certainty. Patents, if issued, may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Thus, any patents that we own or license from others may not provide any protection against competitors. Our pending patent applications, together with those we may file in the future, or those we may license from third parties, may not result in patents being issued. Even if issued, such patents may not provide us with sufficient proprietary protection or competitive advantages against competitors with similar technology. Furthermore, others may independently develop similar technologies or duplicate any technology that we have developed. The laws of certain foreign countries do not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S.

We also rely on trade secrets, know-how and technology, which are not protected by patents, to maintain our competitive position. We try to protect this information by entering into confidentiality agreements with parties that have access to it, such as our collaborative partners, licensors, employees and consultants. Any of these parties may breach the agreements and disclose our confidential information or our competitors might learn of the information in some other way. If any trade secret, know-how or other technology not protected by a patent were to be disclosed to, or independently developed by, a competitor, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

As more products are commercialized using our technologies, or as any product achieves greater commercial success, our patents become more likely to be subject to challenge by potential competitors.

We may be exposed to product liability claims and recalls.

We may be exposed to liability claims arising from the commercial sale of RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL, or the use of our product candidates in clinical trials or commercially, once approved. These claims may be brought by consumers, clinical trial participants, our collaborative partners or third parties selling the products. We currently carry product liability insurance coverage in such amounts as we believe is sufficient for our business. However, we cannot provide any assurance that this coverage will be sufficient to satisfy any liabilities that may arise. As our development activities progress and we continue to have commercial sales, this coverage may be inadequate, we may be unable to obtain adequate coverage at an acceptable cost or we may be unable to get adequate coverage at all or our insurer may disclaim coverage as to a future claim. This could prevent or limit our commercialization of our product candidates or commercial sales of our products. Even if we are able to maintain insurance that we believe is adequate, our financial condition may be materially adversely affected by a product liability claim.

Additionally, product recalls may be issued at our discretion or at the direction of the FDA, other government agencies or other companies having regulatory control for pharmaceutical product sales. We cannot assure you that product recalls will not occur in the future or that, if such recalls occur, such recalls will not adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition or reputation.

We may not be successful in the development of products for our own account.

In addition to our development work with collaborative partners, we are developing proprietary product candidates for our own account by applying drug delivery technologies to off-patent drugs. Because we will be funding the development of such programs, there is a risk that we may not be able to continue to fund all such programs to completion or to provide the support necessary to perform the clinical trials, obtain regulatory approvals or market any approved products on a worldwide basis. We expect the development of products for our own account to consume substantial resources. If we are able to develop commercial products on our own, the risks associated with these programs may be greater than those associated with our programs with collaborative partners.

If we are not able to develop new products, our business may suffer.

We compete with other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies with financial resources and capabilities substantially greater than our resources and capabilities, in the development of new products. We cannot assure you that we will be able to:

Table of Contents

develop or successfully commercialize new products on a timely basis or at all; or

develop new products in a cost effective manner.

Further, other companies may develop products or may acquire technology for the development of products that are the same as or similar to our platform technologies or the product candidates we have in development. Because there is rapid technological change in the industry and because other companies have more resources than we do, other companies may:

develop their products more rapidly than we can;

complete any applicable regulatory approval process sooner than we can; or

offer their newly developed products at prices lower than our prices.

Any of the foregoing may negatively impact our sales of newly developed products. Technological developments or the FDA s approval of new therapeutic indications for existing products may make our existing products, or those product candidates we are developing, obsolete or may make them more difficult to market successfully, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Foreign currency exchange rates may affect revenue.

We derive more than fifty percent (50%) of our RISPERDAL CONSTA revenues from sales in foreign countries. Such revenues may fluctuate when translated to U.S. dollars as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We currently do not hedge this exposure. A decrease in the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies in which we have revenues will cause our revenues to be lower than a stable exchange rate. A large decrease in the U.S. dollar relative to such foreign currencies could have a material adverse affect on our revenues, results of operations and financial condition.

We face competition in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, and others.

We can provide no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully in developing our products and product candidates.

We face intense competition from academic institutions, government agencies, research institutions and biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, including other drug delivery companies. Some of these competitors are also our collaborative partners. These competitors are working to develop and market other drug delivery systems, products, vaccines and other methods of preventing or reducing disease, and new small-molecule and other classes of drugs that can be used without a drug delivery system.

There are other companies developing extended-release drug delivery systems and pulmonary delivery systems. In many cases, there are products on the market or in development that may be in direct competition with our products or product candidates. In addition, we know of new chemical entities that are being developed that, if successful, could compete against our product candidates. These chemical entities are being designed to work differently than our product candidates and may turn out to be safer or to be more effective than our product candidates. Among the many experimental therapies being tested in the U.S. and Europe, there may be some that we do not now know of that may compete with our drug delivery systems or product candidates. Our collaborative partners could choose a competing drug delivery system to use with their drugs instead of one of our drug delivery systems and could develop products that compete with our products.

With respect to our injectable drug delivery technologies, we are aware that there are other companies developing extended-release delivery systems for pharmaceutical products. For example, a number of products are being developed which may compete with RISPERDAL CONSTA, including a number of new oral compounds for the treatment of schizophrenia, and paliperidone palmitate, an injectable, four week long-acting product being developed by Johnson & Johnson.

VIVITROL may compete with CAMPRAL by Forest Laboratories, Inc. and ANTABUSE by Odyssey Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as well as currently marketed drugs also formulated from naltrexone, such as REVIA by Duramed Pharmaceuticals, Inc., NALOREX by Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. and DEPADE by Mallinckrodt. Other pharmaceutical companies are investigating product candidates that have shown some promise in treating alcohol

dependence and that, if approved by the FDA, would compete with VIVITROL.

With respect to our AIR drug delivery technology, we are aware that there are other companies marketing or developing pulmonary delivery systems for pharmaceutical products. If approved, our AIR insulin product candidate would compete with EXUBERA, marketed by

Table of Contents

Pfizer, Inc. in collaboration with Nektar Therapeutics, Inc., which received FDA and EMEA approval for marketing in January 2006. There are a number of large companies currently developing inhaled insulin product candidates that are in late stage clinical trials that would compete with our AIR insulin product, if approved.

Many of our competitors have much greater capital resources, manufacturing, research and development resources and production facilities than we do. Many of them also have much more experience than we do in preclinical testing and clinical trials of new drugs and in obtaining FDA and foreign regulatory approvals.

Major technological changes can happen quickly in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, and the development of technologically improved or different products or drug delivery technologies may make our product candidates or platform technologies obsolete or noncompetitive.

Our product candidates, if successfully developed and approved for commercial sale, will compete with a number of drugs and therapies currently manufactured and marketed by major pharmaceutical and other biotechnology companies. Our product candidates may also compete with new products currently under development by others or with products which may cost less than our product candidates. Physicians, patients, third-party payors and the medical community may not accept or utilize any of our product candidates that may be approved. If our products do not achieve significant market acceptance, our business, results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected. For more information on other factors that would impact the market acceptance of our product candidates, if and when approved, see the risk factor RISPERDAL CONSTA, VIVITROL and our product candidates may not generate significant revenues.

RISPERDAL CONSTA revenues may not be sufficient to repay RC Royalty Sub, LLC s obligations for the non-recourse RISPERDAL CONSTA secured 7% notes (the 7% Notes).

Pursuant to the terms of a purchase and sales agreement between Alkermes and its consolidated subsidiary, RC Royalty Sub, LLC (Royalty Sub), Royalty Sub is obligated to repay certain obligations to holders of the 7% Notes. There can be no assurance that Royalty Sub will have sufficient funds to satisfy these obligations. If revenues from RISPERDAL CONSTA are not sufficient to repay Royalty Sub s obligations on the 7% notes at maturity, then the note holders may have the right to take control of Royalty Sub and all of its assets. If Janssen terminates the manufacturing and supply agreement and the license agreements with us, whether or not due to a lack of revenues, and revenues on RISPERDAL CONSTA are not sufficient to repay Royalty Sub s obligations on the 7% Notes, then the note holders may also be entitled to certain of our rights to RISPERDAL CONSTA.

We may not be able to retain our key personnel.

Our success depends largely upon the continued service of our management and scientific staff and our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled technical, scientific, management, regulatory compliance and marketing personnel. The loss of key personnel or our inability to hire and retain personnel who have technical, scientific or regulatory compliance backgrounds could materially adversely affect our research and development efforts and our business.

Table of Contents

Future transactions may harm our business or the market price of our stock.

We regularly review potential transactions related to technologies, products or product rights and businesses complementary to our business. These transactions could include:

mergers;

acquisitions;

strategic alliances;

licensing agreements; and

co-promotion agreements.

We may choose to enter into one or more of these transactions at any time, which may cause substantial fluctuations in the market price of our stock. Moreover, depending upon the nature of any transaction, we may experience a charge to earnings, which could also materially adversely affect our results of operations and could harm the market price of our stock.

If we issue additional common stock, shareholders may suffer dilution of their investment and a decline in stock price.

As discussed above under We may require additional funds to complete our programs and such funding may not be available on commercially favorable terms and may cause dilution to our existing shareholders, we may issue additional equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities to raise funds, thus reducing the ownership share of the current holders of our common stock, which may adversely affect the market price of the common stock. In addition, we were obligated, at March 31, 2006, to issue 18,824,823 shares of common stock upon the vesting and exercise of stock options and vesting of stock awards, 9,978 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the 3.75% convertible subordinated notes, 1,417,367 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the redeemable convertible preferred stock and 9,025,271 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the 2.5% convertible subordinated notes (2.5% Subordinated Notes). On May 22, 2006, we announced that we had exercised our right to automatically convert all of our outstanding 2.5% Subordinated Notes into approximately 9,025,271 shares of our common stock. In addition, any of our shareholders could sell all or a large number of their shares, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our common stock price is highly volatile.

The realization of any of the risks described in these risk factors (Risk Factors) or other unforeseen risks could have a dramatic and adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. Additionally, market prices for securities of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, including ours, have historically been very volatile. The market for these securities has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations for reasons that were unrelated to the operating performance of any one company. In particular, and in addition to circumstances described elsewhere under these Risk Factors, the following Risk Factors can adversely affect the market price of our common stock:

non-approval, set-backs or delays in the development or manufacture of our product candidates and success of our research and development programs;

public concern as to the safety of drugs developed by us or others;

announcements of issuances of common stock or acquisitions by us;

the announcement and timing of new product introductions by us or others;

material public announcements;

events related to our products or those of our competitors, including the withdrawal or suspension of products from the market;

availability and level of third party reimbursement;

Table of Contents

political developments or proposed legislation in the pharmaceutical or healthcare industry;

economic or other external factors, disaster or crisis;

developments of our corporate partners;

announcements of technological innovations or new therapeutic products or drug delivery methods by us or others;

changes in government regulations or policies or patent decisions;

failure to meet our financial expectations or changes in opinions of analysts who follow our stock; or

general market conditions.

We may undertake additional strategic acquisitions in the future, and difficulties integrating such acquisitions could damage our ability to sustain profitability.

Although we have limited experience in acquiring businesses, we may acquire additional businesses that complement or augment our existing business. If we acquire businesses with promising drug candidates or technologies, we may not be able to realize the benefit of acquiring such businesses if we are unable to move one or more drug candidates through preclinical and/or clinical development to regulatory approval and commercialization. Integrating any newly acquired businesses or technologies could be expensive and time-consuming, resulting in the diversion of resources from our current business. We may not be able to integrate any acquired business successfully. We cannot assure you that, following an acquisition, we will achieve revenues, specific net income or loss levels that justify the acquisition or that the acquisition will result in increased earnings, or reduced losses, for the combined company in any future period. Moreover, we may need to raise additional funds through public or private debt or equity financing to acquire any businesses, which would result in dilution for shareholders or the incurrence of indebtedness. We may not be able to operate acquired businesses profitably or otherwise implement our growth strategy successfully.

Anti-takeover provisions may not benefit shareholders.

We are a Pennsylvania corporation and Pennsylvania law contains strong anti-takeover provisions. In February 2003, our board of directors adopted a shareholder rights plan. The shareholder rights plan provides for a dividend of one preferred share purchase right on each outstanding share of our common stock. Each right entitles shareholders to buy 1/1000th of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of $80.00. Each right will become exercisable following the tenth day after a person or group announces an acquisition of or commences a tender offer to purchase 15% or more of our common stock. We will be entitled to redeem the rights at $0.001 per right at any time on or before the close of business on the tenth day following acquisition by a person or group of 15% or more of our common stock. The shareholder rights plan and Pennsylvania law could make it more difficult for a person or group to, or discourage a person or group from attempting to, acquire control of us, even if the change in control would be beneficial to shareholders. Our articles of incorporation and bylaws also contain certain provisions that could have a similar effect. The articles provide that our board of directors may issue, without shareholder approval, preferred stock having such voting rights, preferences and special rights as the board of directors may determine. The issuance of such preferred stock could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us.

We may not recoup any of our $100 million investment in Reliant.

In December 2001, we made a $100.0 million investment in Series C convertible, redeemable preferred units of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC (Reliant) and we own approximately 12% of Reliant. Through March 31, 2004, the investment had been accounted for under the equity method of accounting because Reliant was organized as a limited liability company, which is treated in a manner similar to a partnership. Our $100.0 million investment was reduced to $0 in the year ended March 31, 2003 based upon our equity losses in Reliant. Effective April 1, 2004, Reliant

converted from a limited liability company to a corporation under Delaware state law. Due to this change, and because Reliant is a privately held company over which Alkermes does not exercise control, our investment in Reliant has been accounted for under the cost method beginning April 1, 2004. Accordingly, we do not record any share of Reliant s net income or losses, but would record dividends, if received. Our investment remains at $0 as of March 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Litigation may result in financial losses or harm our reputation and may divert management resources.

Public companies may be the subject of certain claims, including those asserting violations of securities laws and derivative actions.

We cannot predict with certainty the eventual outcome of any future litigation or third-party inquiry. We may not be successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights in new lawsuits, investigations or claims that may be brought against us, and, as a result, our business could be materially harmed. These lawsuits, investigations or claims may result in large judgments or settlements against us, any of which could have a negative effect on our financial performance and business. Additionally, lawsuits and investigations can be expensive to defend, whether or not the lawsuit or investigation has merit, and the defense of these actions may divert the attention of our management and other resources that would otherwise be engaged in running our business.

Item 1B. ***Unresolved Staff Comments***

On September 22, 2005, in connection with the SEC s periodic review of our reports filed with the SEC, we received a comment letter from the staff (the Staff) with respect to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2005 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005. We have cooperated fully with the Staff in connection with their review in order to resolve all outstanding comments. As of the date of this Report, we have resolved all comments of the Staff with the exception of one comment related to our accounting for the Preferred Stock we issued and sold to Lilly, and the related amendment to our existing development and license agreement with Lilly for the development of inhaled formulations of insulin and other compounds potentially useful for the treatment of diabetes. The Staff has requested an analysis of various alternatives for the accounting for such security and the provisions of the related agreements. Please see Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements contained in this Form 10-K/A for an explanation of our accounting relating to our Preferred Stock and the provisions of the related agreements with Lilly. We have provided our analysis to the Staff and have had several conference calls and follow up correspondence with the Staff related to this issue. We have been advised by the Staff that they have not reached a position on the preferred accounting and that they are considering various alternatives, including the accounting which the Company has applied to this security. We believe that our accounting for this transaction was, and remains, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (commonly referred to as GAAP).

Item 2. ***Properties***

We lease space in Cambridge, Massachusetts under several leases expiring through the calendar year 2012. These leases contain provisions permitting us to extend their terms for up to two ten-year periods. Our corporate headquarters, administration areas and laboratories are located in this space. We also perform clinical manufacturing at this location.

We lease a building in Chelsea, Massachusetts for clinical and commercial manufacturing. The lease term is for fifteen years, expiring in 2015, with an option to extend the term for up to two five-year periods. The facility is designed to accommodate the manufacture of multiple products and contains a facility currently used to manufacture clinical supplies of AIR insulin.

We own a 15-acre manufacturing, office and laboratory site in Wilmington, Ohio. The site produces RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL. The site is undergoing a significant expansion which is expected to be substantially completed in calendar year 2008. A significant portion of our capital expenditures will support such expansion. We are currently operating two RISPERDAL CONSTA lines and one VIVITROL line at commercial scale, and three additional lines are under construction for RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL.

We lease a commercial manufacturing facility in Cambridge, Massachusetts that we are not currently utilizing. The lease term is for fifteen years, expiring in August 2008, with an option to extend the term for one five year period. We exited this facility in connection with the restructuring of operations in June 2004 and have marketed it for sublet. We have no plans to extend the lease beyond its expiration date.

We believe that our current and our planned facilities are adequate for our current and near-term preclinical, clinical and commercial manufacturing requirements.

Item 3. ***Legal Proceedings***

On October 27, 2005, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts entered an order dismissing, in its entirety and with prejudice, a purported securities class action lawsuit against us and certain of our current and former officers and directors.

Beginning in October 2003, we and certain of our current and former officers and directors were named as defendants in six purported securities class action lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The cases were captioned: Bennett v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12091 (D. Mass.); Ragosta v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12184 (D. Mass.); Barry Family LP v.

Table of Contents

Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12243 (D. Mass.); Waltzer v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12277 (D. Mass.); Folkerts v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12386 (D. Mass.); and Slavas v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12471 (D. Mass.). On May 14, 2004, the six actions were consolidated into a single action captioned: In re Alkermes Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 03-CV-12091-RCL (D. Mass.). On July 12, 2004, a single consolidated amended complaint was filed on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during the period April 22, 1999 to July 1, 2002. The consolidated amended complaint generally alleged, among other things, that, during such period, the defendants made misstatements to the investing public relating to the manufacture and FDA approval of our RISPERDAL CONSTA product. The consolidated amended complaint sought unspecified damages. On September 10, 2004, we and the individual defendants filed a motion seeking dismissal of the litigation on numerous legal grounds, and the Court referred that motion to a federal magistrate judge of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts for issuance of a report and recommendation as to disposition of the motion to dismiss. The Court heard oral argument on the motion on January 12, 2005. On October 6, 2005, the federal magistrate judge issued a report and recommendation for dismissal, in its entirety, of the above-captioned purported securities class action litigation. After issuance of this ruling, on October 21, 2005, we, the individual defendants and the lead plaintiff filed a stipulation with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts providing for dismissal of this action, in its entirety and with prejudice.

From time to time, we may be subject to other legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently aware of any such proceedings or claims that we believe will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Item 4. ***Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the last quarter of the year ended March 31, 2006.

PART II

Item 5. ***Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

(a) Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol ALKS. We have 382,632 shares of our non-voting common stock issued and outstanding. There is no established public trading market for our non-voting common stock. Set forth below for the indicated periods are the high and low bid prices for our common stock.

	Fiscal 2006		Fiscal 2005	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st Quarter	$14.09	$ 9.68	$16.93	$12.06
2nd Quarter	19.87	12.76	13.73	8.48
3rd Quarter	19.87	14.69	15.61	11.16
4th Quarter	$26.81	$18.96	$14.34	$10.08

The last reported sale price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ National Market on May 31, 2006 was $19.82.

(b) Stockholders

There were 394 shareholders of record for our common stock and one shareholder of record for our non-voting common stock on May 31, 2006.

(c) Dividends

No dividends have been paid on the common stock or non-voting common stock to date, and we do not expect to pay cash dividends thereon in the foreseeable future. We anticipate that we will retain all earnings, if any, to support our operations and our proprietary drug development programs. Any future determination as to the payment of dividends will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors our Board of Directors deems relevant.

(d) Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

See Part III, Item 12 for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans.

Table of Contents

(e) Repurchase of equity securities

On September 23, 2005, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to $15.0 million dollars of common stock in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. We expect to make the repurchases at the discretion of management from time to time depending on market conditions. The repurchase program has no set expiration date and may be suspended or discontinued at any time. During the period covered by this report, we have not made any repurchases. As of the close of trading on the NASDAQ National Market on June 12, 2006, we had repurchased 134,630 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $19.52.

Item 6. ***Selected Financial Data***

See the Explanatory Note to this Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A and Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements for more detailed information regarding the restatement of our consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2006 and 2005 and for each of the three fiscal years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. We have also revised the selected financial data provided for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 to reflect the correction of the error described in those sections of this report.

Alkermes, Inc. and Subsidiaries

	Year Ended March 31,				
	2006	**2005**	**2004**	**2003**	**2002**
		(In thousands, except per share data)			
		Restated (1)	**Restated (1)**	**Restated (1)**	**Restated (1)**
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
REVENUES:					
Manufacturing revenues	$ 64,901	$ 40,488	$ 25,736	$ 14,317	$
Royalty revenues	16,532	9,636	3,790	1,165	
Research and development revenue under collaborative agreements	45,883	26,002	9,528	31,784	54,102
Net collaborative profit	39,285				
Total revenues	166,601	76,126	39,054	47,266	54,102
EXPENSES:					
Cost of goods manufactured	23,489	16,834	19,037	10,910	
Research and development	88,865	90,927	90,406	84,431	91,198
Selling, general and administrative	40,144	28,662	25,217	25,570	23,338
Restructuring(2)		11,527	(208)	6,497	
Stock-based compensation	442	1,551	3,684	4,550	4,840
Total expenses	152,940	149,501	138,136	131,958	119,376
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	13,661	(73,375)	(99,082)	(84,692)	(65,274)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):					
Interest income	11,569	3,005	3,409	3,776	15,302
Interest expense	(20,661)	(7,394)	(6,497)	(10,403)	(8,876)
Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated	(1,084)	4,385	(4,514)	(4,300)	

notes(3)					
Gain on exchange of notes(4)				80,849	
Other income (expense), net(5)(6)	333	(1,789)	2,118		
Total other income (expense)	(9,843)	(1,793)	(5,484)	69,922	6,426
Equity in losses of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC(7)				(94,597)	(5,404)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	$ 3,818	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)	$ (109,367)	$ (64,252)
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:					
BASIC	$ 0.04	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)	$ (1.70)	$ (1.01)
DILUTED	$ 0.04	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)	$ (1.70)	$ (1.01)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:					
BASIC	91,022	90,094	82,083	64,368	63,669
DILUTED	97,377	90,094	82,083	64,368	63,669

Table of Contents

	March 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	$297,967	$202,567	$143,936	$136,094	$152,347
Total assets	477,163	338,874	270,030	255,699	350,350
Long-term debt	279,518	276,485	122,584	166,586	207,800
Unearned milestone revenue current and long-term portions	99,536				
Redeemable convertible preferred stock	15,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	
Shareholders equity (deficit)	33,216	4,112	75,930	(5,046)	99,664

(1) See Note 19, RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Represents charges (recoveries) in connection with our June 2004 and August 2002 restructurings of operations. The June 2004 and August 2002 restructuring programs were substantially completed during fiscal 2005 and 2003, respectively. However, certain closure costs related to the exited leased facilities will continue to be paid through August 2008.

(3) Represents noncash income (loss) in

connection with derivative liabilities associated with the two-year interest make-whole (Two-Year Interest Make-Whole) payment provision of our 6.52% convertible senior subordinated notes (6.52% Senior Notes) and the three-year interest make-whole (Three-Year Interest Make-Whole) payment provision of our 2.5% convertible subordinated notes (2.5% Subordinated Notes). The derivative liability is recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets.

(4) Represents an $80.8 million nonrecurring gain related to the exchange of our 3.75% convertible subordinated notes (3.75% Subordinated Notes) for our 6.52% Senior Notes.

(5) Primarily represents income (expense) recognized on the changes in the fair value of warrants of public companies held by us in connection with collaboration and licensing arrangements, which are recorded as derivatives under the caption Other assets

in the consolidated balance sheets. The recorded value of such warrants can fluctuate significantly based on fluctuations in the market value of the underlying securities of the issuer of the warrants.

(6) Includes a charge of approximately $0.3 million in 2006 for recognizing the cumulative effect of initially applying Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations* (FIN 47).

(7) Represents our share of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC s (Reliant) losses recorded under the equity method of accounting. Since we have no further funding commitments to Reliant and the investment is accounted for under the cost method effective April 1, 2004, we will not record any further share of the losses of Reliant in our consolidated statements of operations.

Item 7. ***Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

Introduction

The discussion and analysis set forth below in this Item 7 has been amended to reflect the restatement as described in the Explanatory Note to this amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A and in Note 19 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. For this reason, the data set forth in this section may not be comparable to discussions and data

in our previously filed Annual Reports.

Alkermes, Inc. (as used in this section, together with our subsidiaries, us , we or our), a Pennsylvania corporation organized in 1987, is a biotechnology company that develops products based on sophisticated drug delivery technologies to enhance therapeutic outcomes in major diseases. We have two commercial products. RISPERDAL® CONSTA® [(risperidone) long-acting injection] is the first and only long-acting atypical antipsychotic medication approved for use in schizophrenia, and is marketed worldwide by Janssen-Cilag, a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, together with other affiliates (Janssen). VIVITROL® (naltrexone for extended-release injectable suspension) is the first and only once-monthly injection approved for the treatment of alcohol dependence, and is marketed in the United States (U.S.) primarily by Cephalon, Inc. (Cephalon). We have a pipeline of extended-release injectable products and pulmonary products based on our proprietary technology and expertise. Our product development strategy is twofold: we partner our proprietary technology systems and drug delivery expertise with several of the world s finest pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies; and we also develop novel, proprietary drug candidates for our own account. Our headquarters are located in Cambridge, Massachusetts, and we operate research and manufacturing facilities in Massachusetts and Ohio. Since our inception in 1987, we have devoted a significant portion of our resources to research and

Table of Contents

development programs and the purchase of property, plant and equipment. At March 31, 2006, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately $633.0 million.

We have funded our operations primarily through public offerings and private placements of debt and equity securities, bank loans, term loans, equipment financing arrangements and payments under research and development agreements with collaborators. We have historically developed our product candidates in collaboration with others on whom we rely for funding, development, manufacturing and/or marketing. While we continue to develop product candidates in collaboration with others, we also develop proprietary product candidates for our own account that we fund on our own.

Forward-Looking Statements

Any statements herein or otherwise made in writing or orally by us with regard to our expectations as to financial results and other aspects of our business may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including, but not limited to, statements concerning future operating results, the achievement of certain business and operating goals, manufacturing revenues, research and development spending, plans for clinical trials and regulatory approvals, financial goals and projections of capital expenditures, recognition of revenues, and future financings. These statements relate to our future plans, objectives, expectations and intentions and may be identified by words like believe, expect, designed, may, will, should, seek, or anticipate, an expressions.

Although we believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions within the bounds of our knowledge of our business and operations, the forward-looking statements contained in this document, including but not limited to statements concerning: the achievement of certain business and operating milestones and future operating results and profitability; continued revenue growth from RISPERDAL CONSTA; the successful launch and commercialization of VIVITROL; recognition of milestone payments from our partner Cephalon related to the future sales of VIVITROL; the successful continuation of development activities for our programs, including long-acting release (LAR) formulation of exenatide (exenatide LAR), Inhaled Insulin (AIR® Insulin) and AIR® Parathyroid hormone (AIR PTH); the successful manufacture of our products and product candidates, including RISPERDAL CONSTA and VIVITROL, and the successful manufacture of exenatide LAR by Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Amylin); the building of a selling and marketing infrastructure for VIVITROL by ourselves or our partner Cephalon; whether we can successfully manufacture VIVITROL at a commercial scale; and the successful scale-up, establishment and expansion of manufacturing capacity, are neither promises nor guarantees; and our business is subject to significant risk and uncertainties and there can be no assurance that our actual results will not differ materially from our expectations. Factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations set forth in our forward-looking statements include, among others: (i) manufacturing and royalty revenues for RISPERDAL CONSTA may not continue to grow, particularly because we rely on our partner, Janssen, to forecast and market this product; (ii) we may be unable to manufacture RISPERDAL CONSTA in sufficient quantities and with sufficient yields to meet Janssen s requirements or to add additional production capacity for RISPERDAL CONSTA, or unexpected events could interrupt manufacturing operations at our RISPERDAL CONSTA facility, which is the sole source of supply for that product; (iii) we may be unable to manufacture VIVITROL economically or in sufficient quantities and with sufficient yields to meet our own or our partner Cephalon s requirements or add additional production capacity for VIVITROL, or unexpected events could interrupt manufacturing operations at our VIVITROL facility, which is the sole source of supply for that product; (iv) we and our partner Cephalon may be unable to develop the selling and marketing capabilities, and/or infrastructure necessary to jointly support the commercialization of VIVITROL; (v) we and our partner Cephalon may be unable to launch VIVITROL successfully; (vi) VIVITROL may not produce significant revenues; (vii) because we have limited selling, marketing and distribution experience, we depend significantly on our partner Cephalon to successfully commercialize VIVITROL; (viii) third party payors may not cover or reimburse VIVITROL; (ix) we may be unable to scale-up and manufacture our other product candidates, including exenatide LAR and AIR Insulin and AIR PTH, commercially or economically; (x) we may not be able to source raw materials for our production processes from third parties; (xi) we may not be able to successfully transfer manufacturing technology for exenatide LAR to Amylin and Amylin may not be able to successfully operate the manufacturing facility for exenatide LAR; (xii) our other product candidates, if approved for

marketing, may not be launched successfully in one or all indications for which marketing is approved and, if launched, may not produce significant revenues; (xiii) we rely on our partners to determine the regulatory and marketing strategies for RISPERDAL CONSTA and our other partnered, non-proprietary programs; (xiv) we rely on our partner Cephalon to commercialize VIVITROL in the U.S.; (xv) RISPERDAL CONSTA,VIVITROL and our product candidates in commercial use may have unintended side effects, adverse reactions or incidents of misuse and the U.S. Food and Drug Association (FDA) or other health authorities could require post approval studies or require removal of our products from the market; (xvi) our collaborators could elect to terminate or delay programs at any time and disputes with collaborators or failure to negotiate acceptable new collaborative arrangements for our technologies could occur; (xvii) clinical trials may take more time or consume more resources than initially envisioned; (xviii) results of earlier clinical trials are not necessarily predictive of the safety and efficacy results in larger clinical trials; (xix) our product candidates could be ineffective or unsafe during preclinical studies and clinical trials, and we and our collaborators may not be permitted by regulatory authorities to undertake new or additional clinical trials for product candidates incorporating our technologies, or clinical trials could be

Table of Contents

delayed; (xx) after the completion of clinical trials for our product candidates and the submission for marketing approval, the FDA or other health authorities could refuse to accept such filings or could request additional preclinical or clinical studies be conducted, each of which could result in significant delays or the failure of such product to receive marketing approval; (xxi) even if our product candidates appear promising at an early stage of development, product candidates could fail to receive necessary regulatory approvals, be difficult to manufacture on a large scale, be uneconomical, fail to achieve market acceptance, be precluded from commercialization by proprietary rights of third parties or experience substantial competition in the marketplace; (xxii) technological change in the biotechnology or pharmaceutical industries could render our product candidates obsolete or non-competitive; (xxiii) difficulties or set-backs in obtaining and enforcing our patents and difficulties with the patent rights of others could occur; (xxiv) we may continue to incur losses in the future; (xxv) the effect of our adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R) on our results of operations depends on a number of factors, many of which are out of our control, including estimates of stock price volatility, option terms, interest rates, the number and type of stock options and stock awards granted during the reporting period, as well as other factors; (xxvi) we face potential liabilities and diversion of management s attention as a result of a pending informal SEC investigation and any private litigation regarding our past practices with respect to equity incentives; (xxvii) we may not recoup any of our $100.0 million investment in Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC (Reliant); and (xxviii) we may need to raise substantial additional funding to continue research and development programs and clinical trials and could incur difficulties or setbacks in raising such funds.

The forward-looking statements made in this document are made only as of the date hereof and we do not intend to update any of these factors or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of our forward-looking statements other than as required under the federal securities laws.

Critical Accounting Policies

While our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2006, we believe the following accounting policies are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and can require estimates from time to time.

Revenue Recognition

Multiple Element Arrangements

When a collaborative arrangement contains more than one revenue generating element, we allocate revenue between the elements based on each element s relative fair value, provided that each element meets the criteria for treatment as a separate unit of accounting. An item is considered a separate unit of accounting if it has value on a stand-alone basis and there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items. Fair value is determined based upon objective and reliable evidence, which includes terms negotiated between us and our collaborative partners.

Revenue Recognition Related to the License and Collaboration Agreement and Supply Agreement (together, the Agreements) with Cephalon

Our revenue recognition policy related to the Agreements complies with the SEC s Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements,* and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21) for multiple element revenue arrangements entered into or materially amended after June 30, 2003. For purposes of revenue recognition, the deliverables under these Agreements are generally separated into three units of accounting: (i) shared profits and losses on the sustained-release forms of naltrexone, including VIVITROL (the Products); (ii) manufacturing of the Products; and (iii) development and licenses for the Products.

Under the terms of the Agreements, we are responsible for the first $120.0 million of net losses incurred on VIVITROL (Product Losses) through December 31, 2007. If cumulative Product Losses exceed $120.0 million through December 31, 2007, Cephalon will be responsible for paying all Product Losses in excess of $120.0 million during this period. If VIVITROL is profitable through December 31, 2007, net profits will be shared equally between us and Cephalon. After December 31, 2007, net profits and losses earned on VIVITROL will be shared equally between us and Cephalon.

We and Cephalon reconcile the costs incurred by each party to develop, commercialize and manufacture the Products, excluding certain development and registration costs for VIVITROL for the initial indication of alcohol dependence (the Initial Indication) and the completion of the first manufacturing line, to be paid solely by us, against revenues earned on the Products, to determine net profits or losses on VIVITROL. Our share of net profits and losses is recognized in the period earned or incurred by the collaboration and is recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Cumulative Product Losses since inception of the Agreements through March 31, 2006 were $41.0 million.

Table of Contents

The nonrefundable payment of $160.0 million we received from Cephalon in June 2005, and the nonrefundable milestone payment of $110.0 million we received from Cephalon in April 2006 upon FDA approval of VIVITROL, have been deemed to be arrangement consideration in accordance with EITF 00-21. This arrangement consideration is recognized as milestone revenue across the three accounting units referred to above. The allocation of the arrangement consideration to each of the accounting units was based initially on the fair value of each unit as determined at the date of the Agreements, however, the fair values are reviewed periodically and adjusted, as appropriate. The above nonrefundable payments are, and will be, recorded in the consolidated balance sheets under the captions Unearned milestone revenue current portion and Unearned milestone revenue long-term portion prior to being earned. The classification between the current and long-term portions is based on our best estimate of whether the milestone revenue will be recognized during or after the 12-month period following the reporting period, respectively.

Manufacturing Revenues Related to the Cephalon Agreements

Under the terms of the Agreements, we are responsible for the manufacture of clinical and commercial supplies of sustained-release forms of naltrexone, including VIVITROL, for sale in the U.S. Under the terms of the Agreements, we will bill Cephalon at cost for finished commercial product shipped to them. We will record this manufacturing revenue under the caption Manufacturing revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). An amount equal to this manufacturing revenue will be recorded as cost of goods manufactured in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). No manufacturing revenue or cost of goods manufactured related to VIVITROL was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) in the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

The amount of the arrangement consideration allocated to the accounting unit manufacturing of the Products is based on the estimated fair value of manufacturing profit to be earned over the expected life of the Products, not to exceed the total arrangement consideration we receive from Cephalon, less the amount first allocated to the accounting unit shared profits and losses on the Products . Manufacturing profit is initially estimated at 10% of cost of goods manufactured. We will recognize the earned portion of the arrangement consideration allocated to this accounting unit in proportion to the units of finished product shipped during the reporting period, to the total expected units of finished product to be shipped over the expected life of the Products. The estimate of expected units shipped will be adjusted periodically, as necessary, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that supply assumptions have changed significantly. Adjustments to the accrual schedule for this milestone revenue that result from changed supply assumptions are recognized prospectively over the remaining expected life of the Products. This milestone revenue will be recorded under the caption Manufacturing revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). No milestone revenue was recorded for this accounting unit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) during the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Net Collaborative Profit Related to the Agreements with Cephalon

The amount of the arrangement consideration allocated to the accounting unit shared profits and losses on the Products represents our best estimate of the Product Losses that we are responsible for through December 31, 2007, plus an estimate of those development costs to be incurred by us in the period preceding FDA approval of VIVITROL and to complete the first manufacturing line, for which we are solely responsible. We estimate this loss to be approximately $137.0 million. We recognize the earned portion of the arrangement consideration allocated to this accounting unit through the period that we are responsible for Product Losses, being the period ending December 31, 2007. This milestone revenue directly offsets our expenses incurred on VIVITROL and Cephalon s net losses on VIVITROL. This milestone revenue is recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we recorded $60.5 million, $0 and $0, respectively, for this accounting unit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Under the terms of the Agreements, we granted Cephalon a co-exclusive license to our patents and know-how necessary to use, sell, offer for sale and import the Products for all current and future indications in the U.S. On a combined basis, the development and license deliverables under the Agreements have value to us on a stand-alone basis. That is, under the terms of the Agreements, the additional development activities that we perform for the Initial

Indication of VIVITROL will result in a marketable product that has value in the market place. Accordingly, the amount of the arrangement consideration allocated to the accounting unit development and licenses for the Products is based on the residual method of allocation as outlined in EITF 00-21, because fair value evidence exists separately for the other two units of accounting under the Agreements but not on a combined basis with this accounting unit. Consequently, arrangement consideration allocated to this accounting unit will equal the total arrangement consideration received from Cephalon less the amounts allocated to the other two accounting units. We recognize the earned portion of this arrangement consideration on a straight-line basis over the expected life of VIVITROL, being ten years. This milestone revenue will be recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated

Table of Contents

statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). No milestone revenue was recorded for this accounting unit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) during the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Under the terms of the Agreements, we reimburse Cephalon for the net losses they incur on VIVITROL, provided these net losses, together with our VIVITROL-related collaboration expenses, do not exceed $120.0 million through December 31, 2007. This reimbursement is recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Once VIVITROL becomes profitable, Cephalon will reimburse us for our product-related expenses together with our share of the net profits, and this reimbursement will be recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we paid Cephalon $21.2 million, $0 and $0, respectively, as reimbursement for the net losses they incurred on VIVITROL.

If there are significant changes in the estimates of the fair value of an accounting unit, we will reallocate the arrangement consideration to the accounting units based on the revised fair values. This revision will be recognized prospectively in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) over the remaining terms of the affected accounting units.

Under the terms of the Agreements, Cephalon will pay us up to $220 million in nonrefundable milestone payments if calendar year net sales of the Products exceed certain agreed-upon sales levels. Under current accounting guidance, we expect to recognize these milestone payments in the period earned, under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Other Manufacturing Revenues Other manufacturing revenues consist of revenues earned under certain manufacturing and supply agreements with Janssen for RISPERDAL CONSTA. Manufacturing revenues are earned when product is shipped to our collaborative partner. Manufacturing revenues recognized by us for RISPERDAL CONSTA are based on information supplied to us by Janssen and require estimates to be made. In June 2004, we announced a decision to discontinue commercialization of NUTROPIN DEPOT® with Genentech, Inc. (Genentech). Manufacturing revenues for NUTROPIN DEPOT ceased in the year ended March 31, 2004.

Royalty Revenues Royalty revenues consist of revenues earned under certain license agreements for RISPERDAL CONSTA. Royalty revenues are earned on sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA made by our collaborative partner and are recorded in the period the product is sold by our collaborative partner. Royalty revenues recognized by us for RISPERDAL CONSTA are based on information supplied to us by our collaborative partner. Royalty revenues for NUTROPIN DEPOT ceased in the year ended March 31, 2005.

Research and Development Revenue Under Collaborative Arrangements Research and development revenue consists of nonrefundable research and development funding under collaborative arrangements with various collaborative partners. Research and development funding generally compensates us for formulation, preclinical and clinical testing related to the collaborative research programs, and is recognized as revenue at the time the research and development activities are performed under the terms of the related agreements, when the collaborative partner is obligated to pay and when no future performance obligations exist.

Fees for the licensing of technology or intellectual property rights on initiation of collaborative arrangements are recorded as deferred revenue upon receipt and recognized as income on a systematic basis, based upon the timing and level of work performed, or on a straight-line basis if not otherwise determinable, over the period that the related products or services are delivered or obligations, as defined in the relevant agreement, are performed. Revenue from milestone or other upfront payments is recognized as earned in accordance with the terms of the related agreements. Accounting guidance may require deferral of such revenue to future periods.

Derivatives Embedded in Certain Debt Securities In June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released DIG Issue B39 *Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Call Options That Are Exercisable Only by the Debtor* (DIG Issue B39) which modified accounting guidance for determining whether an embedded call option held by the issuer of a debt contract would require separate accounting recognition. We adopted the provisions of DIG Issue B39 in the reporting period beginning January 1, 2006, at which time the carrying value of the embedded derivative contained in our 2.5% Subordinated Notes was combined with the carrying value of the host contract. Beginning January 1, 2006, we no longer record changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded

derivative in the consolidated results of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Certain of our debt securities have contained features providing for cash payments to be made in the event of our stock price exceeding certain levels and triggering conversions of the debt to common stock. In general, these features call for make-whole payments equal to two or three years of interest on the debt less any amounts paid or accrued prior to the date conversion is triggered. These features expire once the holder has received a defined number of interest payments. These features represent embedded derivatives which are required to be accounted for separately from the related debt securities through the reporting period ended December 31, 2005. The estimated fair value of these features had been valued using a simulation model that incorporates factors such as the current price of our common stock, its volatility, and

Table of Contents

time to expiration. Changes in the estimated fair value of the liability represented by these factors had been charged to the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) under the caption Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated notes through the reporting period ended December 31, 2005. These adjustments were required until the features were either triggered or expired as of the reporting period ended December 31, 2005.

Warrant Valuation We hold warrants to purchase securities of certain publicly held companies, received in connection with our collaboration and licensing activities. The warrants are valued using a Black-Scholes pricing model and changes in value are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) under the caption Other income (expense), net. The recorded value of the warrants can fluctuate significantly based on changes in the value of the underlying securities of the issuer of the warrants.

Cost of Goods Manufactured Our cost of goods manufactured includes estimates made in allocating employee compensation and related benefits, occupancy costs, depreciation expense and other allocable costs directly related to our manufacturing activities. Cost of goods manufactured is incurred related to the manufacture of RISPERDAL CONSTA and NUTROPIN DEPOT, until the termination of the NUTROPIN DEPOT manufacturing and supply and license agreements with Genentech in June 2004.

Research and Development Expenses Our research and development expenses include employee compensation and related benefits, laboratory supplies, temporary help costs, external research costs, consulting costs, occupancy costs, depreciation expense and other allocable costs directly related to our research and development activities. Research and development expenses are incurred in conjunction with the development of our technologies, proprietary product candidates, collaborators product candidates and in-licensing arrangements. External research costs relate to toxicology studies, pharmacokinetic studies and clinical trials that are performed for us under contract by external companies, hospitals or medical centers. All such costs are expensed as incurred.

Restructuring Charges We have, at times, announced restructuring programs and, accordingly, recorded certain charges in connection with implementing such programs. These charges generally include employee separation costs, including severance and related benefits, as well as facility consolidation and closure costs, the timing of facility subleases and sublease rates we may negotiate with third parties. Actual costs may differ from those estimates, and in the event that we under- or over-estimate the restructuring charges and related accruals, our reported expenses for a reporting period may be overstated or understated and may require adjustment in the future.

Accrued Expenses As part of the process of preparing our financial statements, we are required to estimate certain accrued expenses. This process involves identifying services that third parties have performed on our behalf and estimating the level of service performed and the associated cost incurred for these services as of the balance sheet date in our financial statements. Examples of estimated accrued expenses are contract service fees, such as amounts due to clinical research organizations, professional service fees, such as attorneys and accountants, and investigators in conjunction with clinical trials. Accruals are based on significant estimates. In connection with these service fees, our estimates are most affected by our understanding of the status and timing of services provided relative to the actual level of services incurred by the service providers. In the event that we do not identify certain costs that have been incurred or we under- or over-estimate the level of services or the costs of such services, our reported expenses for a reporting period could be overstated or understated. The date on which certain services commence, the level of services performed on or before a given date, and the cost of services is sometimes subject to our judgment.

Income Taxes Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for net operating loss and credit carryforwards. Deferred income taxes are recognized at enacted rates expected to be in effect when temporary differences reverse. Valuation allowances are provided to the extent that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be recoverable.

Stock Options and Awards We use the intrinsic value method to measure compensation expense associated with the grants of stock options and awards to employees. We account for stock options and awards to non-employees using the fair-value method. Under the intrinsic value method, compensation associated with stock options and awards to employees is determined as the difference, if any, between the current fair value of the underlying common stock on the measurement date and the price an employee must pay to exercise the award. Under the fair-value method, compensation associated with stock awards is determined based on the estimated fair value of the award itself, measured using either current market data or an established option-pricing model. The measurement date for

employee awards is generally the grant date, and the measurement date for non-employee awards is generally the date performance of certain services is complete.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, which is a revision of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options and stock awards, to be recognized in the financial statements based generally on their grant date

Table of Contents

fair values. SFAS 123R is effective for us in the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006. We estimate that the effect on the results of operations and comprehensive income (loss) will range between $30.0 million and $35.0 million for the year ended March 31, 2007.

Results of Operations

Net income in accordance with GAAP for the year ended March 31, 2006 was $3.8 million or $0.04 per basic and diluted share, as compared to a net loss of $75.2 million or a net loss of $0.83 per basic and diluted share for the year ended March 31, 2005 and a net loss of $104.6 million or a net loss of $1.27 per basic and diluted share for the year ended March 31, 2004.

Total revenues were $166.6 million for the year ended March 31, 2006 compared to $76.1 million and $39.1 million for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Total manufacturing and royalty revenues were $81.4 million for the year ended March 31, 2006 compared to $50.1 million and $29.5 million for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Total manufacturing revenues were $64.9 million and $40.5 million for the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in manufacturing revenues for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was due to increased shipments of RISPERDAL CONSTA to Janssen. In the year ended March 31, 2006, our manufacturing revenues were based on an average of 7.5% of Janssen s net sales price for RISPERDAL CONSTA compared to 8.1% in the year ended March 31, 2005. For the year ended March 31, 2004, total manufacturing revenues were $25.7 million. The increase in manufacturing revenues for the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was due to increased shipments of RISPERDAL CONSTA to Janssen. In the year ended March 31, 2004, our manufacturing revenues were based on an average of 9.8% of Janssen s net sales price for RISPERDAL CONSTA. Under our manufacturing and supply agreement with Janssen, we record manufacturing revenues upon shipment of product by us to Janssen based on a percentage of Janssen s net selling price. These percentages are based on the volume of units shipped to Janssen in any given calendar year, with a minimum manufacturing fee of 7.5%.

Total royalty revenues were $16.5 million and $9.6 million for the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, including $16.5 million and $9.5 million, respectively, of royalty revenues from sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA. The increase in royalty revenues for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was due to an increase in global sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA by Janssen. For the year ended March 31, 2004, total royalty revenues were $3.8 million, including $3.1 million of royalty revenues from sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA. The increase in royalty revenues for the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was due to an increase in global sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA by Janssen. Under our license agreements with Janssen, we record royalty revenues equal to 2.5% of Janssen s net sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA in the quarter when the product is sold by Janssen.

Research and development revenue under collaborative arrangements was $45.9 million, $26.0 million and $9.5 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in this revenue for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was primarily the result of a $17.3 million increase in revenues related to our AIR insulin program with Lilly, which includes a $9.0 million milestone payment we received from Lilly in September 2005 upon the initiation of the Phase III clinical program, as well as an increase in revenues related to the exenatide LAR program. For the year ended March 31, 2004, research and development revenue under collaborative arrangements was $9.5 million. The increase in this revenue for the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was primarily the result of an increase in revenues related to our AIR insulin and AIR hGH programs with Lilly, as well as changes in the stage of development of several other collaborative programs.

Net collaborative profit was $39.3 million for the year ended March 31, 2006. This represents a new source of revenue for us in fiscal 2006. The three components to net collaborative profit are: the recognition of milestone revenue to offset net losses incurred by both us and Cephalon on VIVITROL; the recognition of milestone revenue related to the license for VIVITROL; and the flow of funds between the two companies with respect to our share of VIVITROL net profits or losses. During the year ended March 31, 2006, we recognized $60.5 of milestone revenue to offset losses incurred on VIVITROL by both us and Cephalon. This consists of $19.8 million that we incurred on

behalf of the collaboration, $19.5 million that we incurred with respect to our ongoing efforts to obtain approval of VIVITROL and to complete validation of the manufacturing line, for which we are solely responsible, and $21.2 million of expenses incurred by Cephalon on behalf of the collaboration. We did not recognize any milestone revenue related to the license during the year ended March 31, 2006 because VIVITROL had not yet been approved by the FDA. During the year ended March 31, 2006, we made payments of $21.2 million to Cephalon as reimbursement for losses they incurred on VIVITROL. In the aggregate, net collaborative profit of $39.3 million for the year ended March 31, 2006 consists of $60.5 million of milestone revenue recognized to offset losses incurred by us and Cephalon on VIVITROL, partially offset by the $21.2 million of payments we made to Cephalon as reimbursement for losses they incurred on VIVITROL.

Table of Contents

We are responsible for the first $120.0 million of net losses incurred on VIVITROL (Product Losses) through the period ending December 31, 2007. If the Product Losses exceed $120.0 million during this period, Cephalon is responsible for all Product Losses in excess of $120.0 million and would reimburse us for all our VIVITROL-related expenses. Through March 31, 2006, the cumulative losses incurred by us and Cephalon on VIVITROL, against this $120.0 million, were $41.0 million, of which $19.8 million was incurred by us on behalf of the collaboration and $21.2 million was incurred by Cephalon on behalf of the collaboration.

Net collaborative profit for the year ended March 31, 2006 was as follows:

Net Collaborative Profit Summary	**(In thousands)**
Milestone revenue cost recovery:	
Alkermes expenses incurred on behalf of the collaboration	$ 19,790
Cephalon net losses incurred on behalf of the collaboration	21,179
Alkermes expenses related to VIVITROL for which Alkermes was solely responsible	19,495
Total milestone revenue cost recovery	60,464
Milestone revenue license	
Payments made to Cephalon to reimburse their net losses	(21,179)
Net collaborative profit	$ 39,285

Cost of goods manufactured was $23.5 million in the year ended March 31, 2006, related entirely to RISPERDAL CONSTA. Cost of goods manufactured was $16.8 million in the year ended March 31, 2005, consisting of $14.5 million related to RISPERDAL CONSTA and $2.3 million related to NUTROPIN DEPOT. The increase in cost of goods manufactured in the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was due to increased shipments of RISPERDAL CONSTA to meet increased demand for the product, offset by the impact of discontinuing the manufacture of NUTROPIN DEPOT under the termination of a license agreement and manufacturing and supply agreement with Genentech in June 2004. Cost of goods manufactured in the year ended March 31, 2005 included a one-time write-off of NUTROPIN DEPOT inventory of $1.3 million following the decision to discontinue manufacture of the product. For the year ended March 31, 2004, cost of goods manufactured was $19.0 million, consisting of approximately $13.0 million related to RISPERDAL CONSTA and $6.0 million related to NUTROPIN DEPOT. The decrease in cost of goods manufactured in the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was primarily due to the impact of discontinuing the manufacture of NUTROPIN DEPOT under the termination of a license agreement and manufacturing and supply agreement with Genentech in June 2004.

Research and development expenses were $88.9 million for the year ended March 31, 2006 compared to $90.9 million and $90.4 million for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Research and development expenses for the year ended March 31, 2006 were lower than the year ended March 31, 2005 primarily due to reductions in external research expenses related to the completion of certain clinical trial programs for VIVITROL, partially offset by an increase in personnel-related costs, an increase in utility costs and a one-time lease charge in the amount of approximately $1.5 million, of which $1.2 million was recorded as research and development expense. In November 2005, we entered into a sublease agreement in which the total sublease income over the sublease period was less than our lease expense, resulting in a loss on the sublease. In addition, during the year ended March 31, 2006, we capitalized into inventory certain raw materials costs to be used in the manufacture of VIVITROL, which in previous years had been recorded in research and development expenses. In total, research and development expenses in the year ended March 31, 2005 were consistent with the year ended March 31, 2004. This reflects a decrease in external research expenses due to the completion of certain clinical trials related to VIVITROL, in addition to the termination of a development agreement with Serono in October 2004, offset by an increase in personnel costs, an increase in occupancy costs related to the expansion of our facilities in both Massachusetts and

Ohio, and costs incurred in the completion and filing of the VIVITROL NDA. In addition, in the year ended March, 31 2005, we conformed our accounting for lease expenses to the views of the SEC whereby lease expenses must be recognized on a straight-line basis, rather than as incurred. This resulted in a cumulative one-time, non-cash charge of $2.5 million, related to the previous five years since lease inception. Of this amount, $2.3 million was reported within research and development expenses. The amount was not material to our reported results in any one quarter or any one year. The remaining $0.2 million of this amount was reported in selling, general and administrative expenses.

A significant portion of our research and development expenses (including laboratory supplies, travel, dues and subscriptions, recruiting costs, temporary help costs, consulting costs and allocable costs such as occupancy and depreciation) are not tracked by project as they benefit multiple projects or our drug delivery technologies in general. Expenses incurred to purchase specific services from third parties to support our collaborative research and development activities are tracked by project and are reimbursed to us by our partners. We generally bill our partners under collaborative arrangements using a single full-time equivalent or hourly rate. This rate has been established by us based on our annual budget of employee compensation, employee benefits and the billable non-project-specific costs mentioned above and is generally increased annually based on increases in the consumer price index. Each collaborative partner is billed using a full-time equivalent or hourly rate for the hours worked by our employees on a particular project, plus any direct external research costs, if any. We account for our research and development expenses on a departmental and functional basis in accordance with our budget and management practices.

Table of Contents

Selling, general and administrative expenses were $40.1 million, $28.7 million and $25.2 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs within the commercial organization as we continued to prepare for the commercialization of VIVITROL, an increase in utility costs and a one-time lease charge in the amount of approximately $1.5 million, of which $0.3 million was recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses. In November 2005, we entered into a sublease agreement in which the total sublease income over the sublease period was less than our lease expense, resulting in a loss on the sublease. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was primarily due to increases in sales and marketing costs as we prepared for the potential future commercialization of VIVITROL, higher personnel costs and an increase in legal fees related to the securities litigation, and accountant fees related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance.

In June 2004, Alkermes and Genentech announced the decision to discontinue commercialization of NUTROPIN DEPOT (the 2004 Restructuring). The decision was based on the significant resources required by both companies to continue manufacturing and commercializing the product. In connection with this decision, we ceased commercial manufacturing of NUTROPIN DEPOT and recorded restructuring charges of approximately $11.9 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2004. The restructuring charges consisted of a write-off of equipment and leasehold improvements related to the manufacture of NUTROPIN DEPOT, as well as employee separation costs, including severance and related benefits. The restructuring charges also included lease costs and significant estimates related to the costs to maintain the facility in which NUTROPIN DEPOT was produced through the end of its lease term, August 2008. In addition to the restructuring charges, we recorded a one-time write-off of NUTROPIN DEPOT inventory of approximately $1.3 million which was recorded under the caption Cost of goods manufactured in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive loss. In the quarter ended March 31, 2005, we reversed a reserve, through restructuring, that we had been carrying related to a yield loss penalty originally due under the manufacturing and supply agreement for NUTROPIN DEPOT. This penalty was forgiven and the reserve was reversed. The final net restructuring charge for the year ended March 31, 2005 was approximately $11.5 million. As of March 31, 2006, we had paid in cash or written off an aggregate of approximately $9.0 million in facility closure costs and $0.1 million in employee separation costs in connection with the 2004 Restructuring. The amounts remaining in the 2004 Restructuring accrual at March 31, 2006 relate primarily to estimates of lease costs associated with the exited facility and are expected to be paid through the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009.

In August 2002, we announced a restructuring program (the 2002 Restructuring) to reduce our cost structure as a result of our expectations regarding the financial impact of a delay in the U.S. launch of RISPERDAL CONSTA by our collaborative partner, Janssen. In connection with the 2002 Restructuring, we recorded charges of approximately $6.5 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive loss for the year ended March 31, 2003. As of March 31, 2005, we had paid and recovered an aggregate of approximately $1.5 million in employee separation costs and approximately $5.0 million in facility closure costs. There are no remaining liabilities associated with the 2002 restructuring program as of March 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the restructuring plans, the following charges and payments have been recorded during the year ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	Fiscal 2004				Fiscal 2005			Fiscal 2006		
Type of Liability	Balance March 31, 2003	Recoveries	Payments	Balance March 31, 2004	Charges	Non-Cash Write-Downs and Payments	Balance March 31, 2005	Adjustments	Payments	Balance March 31, 2006
2004 Restructuring:										
Employee separation	$	$	$	$	$ 146	$ (137)	$ 9	$	$	$ 9
Facility closure(1)					11,381	(8,416)	2,965		(606)	2,359
					11,527	(8,553)	2,974		(606)	2,368
2002 Restructuring:										
Employee separation	17		(17)							
Facility closure	3,520	(208)	(2,174)	1,138		(749)	389	(34)	(355)	
	3,537	(208)	(2,191)	1,138		(749)	389	(34)	(355)	
Total	$ 3,537	$ (208)	$ (2,191)	$ 1,138	$ 11,527	$ (9,302)	$ 3,363	$ (34)	(961)	$ 2,368

(1) Fiscal 2005 non-cash write-downs and payments consist of $7.7 million of non-cash write-downs and $0.7 million of payments.

We have substantially completed our restructuring programs. However, the remaining restructuring accrual as of March 31, 2006 is an estimate of costs associated with leases or closed facilities and may require adjustment in the future.

Stock-based compensation expense was $0.4 million, $1.6 million and $3.7 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Of the amounts included in stock-based compensation, $0.4 million, $0.3 million and $1.5 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, relate to restricted stock awards; $0, $1.3 million and $2.2 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, relate to the stock options granted in 2000 for which we are restating the financial statements (see Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements). The decrease for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was due to the fact that stock options from the 2000 stock option grant were fully vested in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and consequently no expense for these awards was included in 2006. The decrease for the year ended March 31, 2005 as

compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was due to the fact that stock options from the 2000 stock option grant were fully vested in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and consequently 2005 only includes a partial year of expense related to these awards, whereas 2004 includes a full year.

Interest income was $11.6 million, $3.0 million and $3.4 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was primarily due to higher average cash and investment balances held and higher interest rates earned during the respective periods. The decrease for the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was primarily due to lower average cash and investment balances held.

Interest expense was $20.6 million for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to $7.4 million and $6.5 million for the year ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase for the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2005 was primarily due to a full year of interest on our 7% Notes. The increase for the year ended March 31, 2005 as compared to the year ended March 31, 2004 was primarily due to interest on our 7% Notes incurred since inception of the 7% Notes in February 2005.

Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated notes was a loss of $1.1 million for the year ended March 31, 2006, as compared to an income of $4.4 million and a loss of $4.5 million for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

We recorded a derivative liability related to the 6.52% Senior Notes. The Two-Year Interest Make-Whole provision, included in the note indenture and described in Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K/A, represented an embedded derivative which was required to be accounted for apart from the underlying 6.52% Senior Notes. At issuance of the 6.52% Senior Notes, the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was estimated to have a fair value of $9.0 million and the initial recorded value of the 6.52% Senior Notes was reduced by this allocation. The estimated value of the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was carried in the consolidated balance sheets under the caption Derivative liability related to convertible subordinated notes and was adjusted quarterly through Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated notes in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for changes in the estimated market value of the feature. During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we recorded charges of $0, $0 and $3.8 million, respectively, in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for changes in the estimated value of the feature after issuance. In June 2003, we announced that we had exercised our automatic conversion right for the 6.52% Senior Notes. The embedded derivative was adjusted to the value of the remaining balance of the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole payment, or approximately $17.1 million, at June 30, 2003 and was accounted for as a liability in the consolidated balance sheets. In July 2003, upon conversion of the then outstanding 6.52% Senior Notes and payment of the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole, the embedded derivative was settled in full and the balance was reduced to zero.

We recorded a derivative liability related to the 2.5% Subordinated Notes. The Three-Year Interest Make-Whole represented an embedded derivative which was required to be accounted for apart from the underlying 2.5% Subordinated Notes. At issuance of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes, the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole feature had an estimated initial aggregate fair value of $3.9 million, which reduced the amount of the outstanding debt and was recorded as a derivative liability in the consolidated balance sheets. The $3.9 million initially allocated to the

Table of Contents

Three-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was treated as a discount on the 2.5% Subordinated Notes and was being accreted to interest expense over five years through September 1, 2008, the first date on which holders of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes have the right to require us to repurchase the 2.5% Subordinated Notes. The estimated value of the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was carried in the consolidated balance sheets under the caption Derivative liability related to convertible notes and was being adjusted to its fair value on a quarterly basis until it expires or is paid. Quarterly adjustments to the fair value of the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole were being charged to Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated notes in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) until it is paid out or expires. During the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, we recorded a loss of $1.1 million and income of $4.4 million, respectively, in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for changes in the estimated value of the feature after issuance. The recorded value of the derivative liability related to the 2.5% Subordinated Notes, approximately $0 and $0.3 million at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, fluctuated significantly based on fluctuations in the market value of our common stock. See Note 9 for our modified accounting for embedded derivatives, effective January 1, 2006.

Other income (expense), net was an income of $0.3 million in the year ended March 31, 2006 as compared to an expense of $1.8 million and an income of $2.1 million in the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Other income (expense), net primarily consists of income or expense recognized on the changes in the fair value of warrants of public companies held by us in connection with collaboration and licensing arrangements, which are recorded under the caption Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. The recorded value of such warrants can fluctuate significantly based on fluctuations in the market value of the underlying securities of the issuer of the warrants. In the year ended March 31, 2006, other income (expense) included, amongst other things: an income of $1.4 million on changes in the fair value of warrants held; an expense of $0.6 million for a loss related to other than temporary impairment on certain equity securities held; and an expense of $0.3 million related to the initial application of FIN 47 *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations.*

We do not believe that inflation and changing prices have had a material impact on our results of operations.

Reliant

In December 2001, we made a $100.0 million investment in Series C convertible, redeemable preferred units of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC (Reliant) and we currently own approximately 12% of Reliant. Through March 31, 2004, the investment had been accounted for under the equity method of accounting because Reliant was organized as a limited liability company, which is treated in a manner similar to a partnership. Our $100.0 million investment was reduced to $0 in the year ended March 31, 2003 based upon our equity losses in Reliant. Effective April 1, 2004, Reliant converted from a limited liability company to a corporation under Delaware state law. Due to this change, and because Reliant is a privately held company over which Alkermes does not exercise control, our investment in Reliant has been accounted for under the cost method beginning April 1, 2004. Accordingly, we do not record any share of Reliant s net income or losses, but would record dividends, if received. Our investment remains at $0 as of March 31, 2006.

Financial Condition

Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments were $298.0 million and $202.6 million as of March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively. Short-term investments were $264.4 million and $155.1 million as of March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively. During the year ended March 31, 2006, combined cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments increased by $95.4 million, primarily due to a $160.0 million nonrefundable payment we received from Cephalon in June 2005, in connection with the signing of our Agreements, and a $9.0 million nonrefundable milestone payment we received from Lilly in September 2005, partially offset by net cash used to fund our operations, to acquire fixed assets and to service our debt.

We invest in cash equivalents, U.S. government obligations, high-grade corporate notes and commercial paper, with the exception of our $100.0 million investment in Reliant, and warrants we receive in connection with our collaborations and licensing activities. Our investment objectives, other than our investment in Reliant and our warrants, are, first, to assure liquidity and conservation of capital and, second, to obtain investment income. We held approximately $5.1 million and $4.9 million of U.S. government obligations classified as restricted long-term investments as of March 31, 2006, and March 31, 2005, respectively, which are pledged as collateral under certain

letters of credit and lease agreements.

All of our investments in debt securities are classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value. Fair value is determined based on quoted market prices.

Receivables were $39.8 million and $18.8 million as of March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively. The increase of $21.0 million during the year ended March 31, 2006 was primarily due to increased manufacturing and royalty revenues due from Janssen for both RISPERDAL CONSTA shipments and capital expenditure reimbursements due under our manufacturing and supply agreements, in addition to the timing of payments received from Lilly and Amylin with respect to our collaborative programs. All of our receivables are current.

Table of Contents

Inventory, net was $7.3 million and $3.8 million as of March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively. The increase of $3.5 million during the year ended March 31, 2006 was due to a $2.5 million increase in VIVITROL raw materials and work in process inventories related to the start of commercial manufacturing. In previous years, we expensed VIVITROL raw materials to research and development expenses because they were used to manufacture clinical supplies. The remaining increase relates to RISPERDAL CONSTA raw materials and finished goods inventory increases due to production volumes and the timing of shipments of the product to Janssen.

Accounts payable and accrued expenses were $36.1 million and $18.8 million as of March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively. The increase of $17.3 million during the year ended March 31, 2006 was primarily due to an increase in accounts payable due to the timing of vendor payments, increases in compensation accruals due to the timing of normal payroll and bonus payments, and accruals of $9.0 million for amounts due to Cephalon under our Agreements.

Unearned milestone revenue current portion and long-term portion combined, was $99.5 million and $0 as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase during the year ended March 31, 2006 was due to the receipt of a $160.0 million nonrefundable payment from Cephalon in June 2005 in connection with the signing of our Agreements, reduced by $60.5 million of milestone revenue we recognized under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) during the year ended March 31, 2006.

In October 2005, we converted 1,500 shares of our Preferred Stock with a carrying value of $15.0 million into 823,677 shares of common stock. The conversion was made in accordance with the stock purchase agreement with Lilly dated December 13, 2002. The conversion secured a proportional increase in the minimum royalty rate payable to us on sales of the AIR insulin product by Lilly, if approved. The carrying amount of the Preferred Stock on the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and 2005 was $15.0 million and $30.0 million, respectively.

As of March 31, 2006, we had approximately $575.0 million of federal net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards, $371.0 million of state operating loss carryforwards, and $25.0 million of foreign net operating loss and foreign capital loss carryforwards, which expire on various dates through 2026 or can be carried forward indefinitely. These loss carryforwards are available to reduce future federal and foreign taxable income, if any. These loss carryforwards are subject to review and possible adjustment by the applicable taxing authorities. The available loss carryforwards that may be utilized in any future period may be subject to limitation based upon historical changes in the ownership of our stock. We are presently analyzing historical ownership changes to determine whether the losses are limited under Sec. 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The valuation allowance of $266.8 million relates to our U.S. net operating losses and deferred tax assets and certain other foreign deferred tax assets and is recorded based upon the uncertainty surrounding future utilization.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have funded our operations primarily through public offerings and private placements of debt and equity securities, bank loans, term loans, equipment financing arrangements and payments received under research and development agreements and other agreements with collaborators. We expect to incur significant additional research and development and other costs in connection with collaborative arrangements and as we expand the development of our proprietary product candidates, including costs related to preclinical studies, clinical trials and facilities expansion. Our costs, including research and development costs for our product candidates and sales, marketing and promotion expenses for any future products to be marketed by us or our collaborators, if any, may exceed revenues in the future, which may result in losses from operations.

We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments, combined with our unused equipment lease line, anticipated interest income, anticipated manufacturing and royalty revenues, and anticipated research and development revenue under collaborative arrangements, and anticipated net collaborative profit from our collaboration with Cephalon, will generate sufficient cash flows to meet our anticipated liquidity and capital requirements through at least March 31, 2008.

We may continue to pursue opportunities to obtain additional financing in the future. Such financing may be sought through various sources, including debt and equity offerings, corporate collaborations, bank borrowings, arrangements relating to assets or other financing methods or structures. The source, timing and availability of any financings will depend on market conditions, interest rates and other factors. Our future capital requirements will also

depend on many factors, including continued scientific progress in our research and development programs (including our proprietary product candidates), the magnitude of these programs, progress with preclinical testing and clinical trials, the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals, the costs involved in filing, prosecuting and enforcing patent claims, competing technological and market developments, the establishment of additional collaborative arrangements, the cost of manufacturing facilities and of commercialization activities and arrangements and the cost of product in-licensing and any possible acquisitions and, for any future proprietary products, the sales, marketing and promotion expenses associated with marketing such products.

Table of Contents

We may need to raise substantial additional funds for longer-term product development, including development of our proprietary product candidates, regulatory approvals and manufacturing and sales and marketing activities that we might undertake in the future. There can be no assurance that additional funds will be available on favorable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to curtail significantly one or more of our research and development programs and/or obtain funds through arrangements with collaborative partners or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain of our technologies, product candidates or future products.

Capital expenditures were approximately $28.7 million for the year ended March 31, 2006, net of $6.0 million in reimbursements from Janssen under our RISPERDAL CONSTA manufacturing and supply agreement for costs related to the construction of a third bulk manufacturing line for RISPERDAL CONSTA. Our capital expenditures were primarily related to the purchase of equipment to make improvements to and expand our manufacturing facility in Ohio. Our capital expenditures for equipment, facilities and building improvements have been financed to-date primarily with proceeds from bank loans and the sales of debt and equity securities. Under the provisions of our existing loans, General Electric Capital Corporation (GE) and Johnson & Johnson Finance Corporation have security interests in certain of our capital assets.

Our manufacturing site in Wilmington, Ohio is undergoing a significant expansion which is expected to be substantially completed in calendar year 2008. Our capital expenditures in FY 2007 are expected to be approximately $40.0 million. The majority of these expenditures are related to our manufacturing site in Wilmington, Ohio. The expansion will add three additional manufacturing lines for RISPERDAL CONSTA AND VIVITROL. In addition to our spending, Janssen is funding the cost related to one of the new lines for RISPERDAL CONSTA in the amount of approximately $11.0 million, of which approximately $5.0 million in funding has been received by us through March 31, 2006.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2006, we were not a party to any off-balance sheet financing arrangements, other than operating leases.

Contractual Obligations

We have summarized below our material contractual cash obligations as of March 31, 2006:

Contractual Cash Obligations	Total	Less Than One Year (Fiscal 2007)	Two to Three Years (Fiscal 2008-2009)	Four to Five Years (Fiscal 2010-2011)	After Five Years (After Fiscal 2011)
			(In thousands)		
7% Notes principal(1)	$ 170,000	$	$	$ 113,333	$ 56,667
7% Notes interest	55,037	11,900	23,800	16,858	2,479
Convertible subordinated notes principal(2)	125,676	676			125,000
Convertible subordinated notes interest(2)	53,150	3,150	6,250	6,250	37,500
Term loan principal	2,484	1,118	1,366		
Term loan interest	212	153	59		
Capital lease obligations	276	114	162		
Operating lease obligations	184,901	9,976	20,732	20,486	133,707
Purchase obligations	4,898	4,898			
Capital expansion programs	10,837	10,837			
Total contractual cash obligations	$ 607,471	$ 42,822	$ 52,369	$ 156,927	$ 355,353

(1) The 7% Notes were issued by RC Royalty Sub LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Alkermes, Inc. The 7% Notes are non-recourse to Alkermes, Inc. (see Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K/A).

(2) Subsequent to March 31, 2006, we announced that we had exercised our right to automatically convert all of our outstanding 2.5% Subordinated Notes into approximately 9,025,271 shares of common stock, pursuant to the terms of the notes. The conversion date is June 15, 2006.

Table of Contents

Quarterly Financial Data	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2005	March 31, 2006
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
REVENUES:				
Manufacturing revenues	$ 13,983	$ 13,526	$ 14,715	$ 22,677
Royalty revenues	3,604	4,035	4,228	4,665
Research and development revenue under collaborative arrangements	7,251	16,733	9,951	11,948
Net collaborative profit		12,394	12,524	14,367
Total revenues	24,838	46,688	41,418	53,657
EXPENSES:				
Cost of goods manufactured	4,517	4,360	6,077	8,535
Research and development	21,595	19,344	22,479	25,447
Selling, general and administrative	8,921	9,078	9,307	12,838
Stock-based compensation	58	57	47	280
Total expenses	35,091	32,839	37,910	47,100
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(10,253)	13,849	3,508	6,557
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income	1,631	3,019	3,278	3,641
Interest expense	(5,169)	(5,212)	(5,177)	(5,103)
Derivative loss related to convertible subordinated notes	(266)	(503)	(315)	
Other income (expense), net(1)	320	599	113	(699)
Total other income (expense)	(3,484)	(2,097)	(2,101)	(2,161)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	$ (13,737)	$ 11,752	$ 1,407	$ 4,396
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:				
BASIC	$ (0.15)	$ 0.13	$ 0.02	$ 0.05
DILUTED	$ (0.15)	$ 0.12	$ 0.01	$ 0.04
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
BASIC	90,410	90,558	91,505	91,802
DILUTED	90,410	96,599	96,720	99,754

(1)

Includes a charge of approximately $0.3 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2006 for recognizing the cumulative effect of initially applying FIN 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations.*

Table of Contents

Quarterly Financial Data	Three Months Ended June 30, 2004	September 30, 2004	December 31, 2004	March 31, 2005
		(In thousands, except per share data)		
	Restated(1)	Restated(1)	Restated(1)	
REVENUES:				
Manufacturing revenues	$ 6,155	$ 7,753	$ 13,922	$ 12,658
Royalty revenues	1,810	2,185	2,652	2,989
Research and development revenue under collaborative arrangements	3,509	8,097	7,011	7,385
Total revenues	11,474	18,035	23,585	23,032
EXPENSES(2):				
Cost of goods manufactured	5,241	2,390	4,930	4,273
Research and development	24,096	22,552	20,024	24,255
Selling, general and administrative	6,997	7,334	6,828	7,503
Restructuring	11,896			(369)
Stock-based compensation	562	542	383	64
Total expenses	48,792	32,818	32,165	35,726
OPERATING LOSS	(37,318)	(14,783)	(8,580)	(12,694)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income	630	660	646	1,069
Interest expense	(1,188)	(1,187)	(1,158)	(3,861)
Derivative income (loss) related to convertible subordinated notes	1,518	1,172	(347)	2,042
Other income (expense), net	(274)	(585)	131	(1,061)
Total other income (expense)	686	60	(728)	(1,811)
NET LOSS	$ (36,632)	$ (14,723)	$ (9,308)	$ (14,505)
LOSS PER COMMON SHARE: BASIC AND DILUTED	$ (0.41)	$ (0.16)	$ (0.10)	$ (0.16)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING: BASIC AND DILUTED	89,409	90,067	90,176	90,345

(1) See Note 19, RESTATEMENT OF

PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The impact of the restatement was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		
	June 30, 2004	September 30, 2004	December 31, 2004
Net loss as previously reported	$ (36,148)	$ (14,264)	$ (8,999)
Net loss as restated	$ (36,632)	$ (14,723)	$ (9,308)
Loss per common share as previously reported	$ (0.40)	$ (0.16)	$ 0.10)
Loss per common share as restated	$ (0.41)	$ (0.16)	$ 0.10)

(2) Operating expenses in the quarter ended March 31, 2005 include a cumulative charge of approximately $2.5 million to record lease costs on a straight-line basis from their inception through March 31, 2005.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs* , which amends accounting research bulletin (ARB) No. 43, Chapter 4, *Inventory Pricing,* to clarify the accounting for idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and waste (spoilage). This new standard is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005, and, thus, will be effective for us for the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006. We believe our current accounting policies closely align to the new rules. Accordingly, we do not believe this new standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, *Share Based Payment,* which is a revision of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* , and supersedes accounting principles board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* . SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options and stock awards, to be recognized in the financial statements based generally on their grant date fair values. SFAS 123R is effective for us in the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006. We have adopted as of April 1, 2006 the provisions of SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method, and will recognize share-based compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of awards. We will recognize share-based compensation cost for

45

Table of Contents

awards that have graded vesting on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion. Under the modified prospective method, share-based compensation expense will be recognized for the portion of outstanding stock options and stock awards granted prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R for which service has not been rendered, and for any future stock options and stock awards. Although the adoption of SFAS 123R is not expected to have a material effect on our cash flows, we expect to record substantial non-cash compensation expense that will have a significant, adverse effect on our results of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The impact of adoption of SFAS 123R depends on estimates of stock price volatility, option terms, interest rates, the number and type of stock options and stock awards granted during the reporting period, as well as other factors. We estimate that the effect on our results of operations and comprehensive income (loss) will range between $30.0 million and $35.0 million for the year ended March 31, 2007.

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations* (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term conditional asset retirement obligation, as used in SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations* (SFAS 143) refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 also clarifies that an entity is required to recognize a liability for such an obligation when incurred if the liability s fair value can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 is required to become effective no later than the end of the first fiscal year ending after December 15, 2005 and, thus, is effective for us for the year ended March 31, 2006. We recorded a charge of $0.3 million in the year ended March 31, 2006 for recognizing the cumulative effect of initially applying FIN 47 under the caption, Other income (expense), net in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss). We believe that this amount was immaterial for separate presentation in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

In June 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* (APB 20), and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements* . SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effects of the change. APB 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income in the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. This standard generally will not apply with respect to the adoption of new accounting standards, as new accounting standards usually include specific transition provisions, and will not override transition provisions contained in new or existing accounting literature. SFAS 154 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005, and, thus, will be effective for us in the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006.

In June 2005, the FASB released Derivatives Implementation Group Issue B39, *Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Call Options That are Exercisable Only by the Debtor* (DIG Issue B39). DIG Issue B39 modifies current accounting guidance for determining whether an embedded call option in a debt contract that could potentially accelerate the settlement of that instrument would require separate accounting under the provisions of SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* . Essentially, DIG Issue B39 concluded that options exercisable only by the issuer of such a contract will no longer require separate accounting recognition, as long as they satisfy all other criteria in SFAS 133. We adopted the provisions of DIG Issue B39 in the reporting period beginning January 1, 2006, at which time the carrying value of the embedded derivative contained in our convertible subordinated notes (described in more detail in note 9 to the consolidated financial statements) was combined with the carrying value of the host contracts and will no longer require separate recognition or accounting. Implementation of DIG Issue B39 had no impact on our operating cash flows, and we will no longer be required to record changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded derivatives in the results of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Item 7A. ***Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk***

We hold financial instruments in our investment portfolio that are sensitive to market risks. Our investment portfolio, excluding our investment in Reliant, and warrants we receive in connection with our collaborations and licencing activities, is used to preserve capital until it is required to fund operations. Our short-term and restricted long-term investments consist of U.S. government obligations, high-grade corporate notes and commercial paper.

These debt securities are: (i) classified as available-for-sale; (ii) are recorded at fair value; and (iii) are subject to interest rate risk, and could decline in value if interest rates increase. Due to the conservative nature of our short-term and long-term investments and our investment policy, we do not believe that we have a material exposure to interest rate risk. Although our investments, excluding our investment in Reliant, are subject to credit risk, our investment policies specify credit quality standards for our investments and limit the amount of credit exposure from any single issue, issuer or type of investment.

We also hold certain marketable equity securities, including warrants to purchase the securities of publicly traded companies we collaborate with, that are classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value under the caption Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. These marketable equity securities are sensitive to changes in interest rates. Interest rate changes would result in a change in the fair value of these financial instruments due to the difference between the market interest rate and the rate at the date of purchase of the financial instrument. A 10% increase or decrease in market interest rates would not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2006, the fair value of our 7% Notes, our 2.5% Subordinated Notes, and our 3.75% Subordinated Notes approximate the carrying values. The interest rates on these notes, and our capital lease obligations, are fixed and therefore not subject to interest rate risk. A 10% increase or decrease in market interest rates would not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

As of March 31, 2006, we have a term loan that bears a floating interest rate equal to the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 5.45%. A 10% increase or decrease in market interest rates would not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The royalty revenues we receive on RISPERDAL CONSTA are a percentage of the net sales made by our collaborative partner. Some of these sales are made in foreign countries and are denominated in foreign currencies. The royalty payment on these foreign sales is calculated initially in the foreign currency in which the sale is made and is then converted into U.S. dollars to determine the amount that our collaborative partner pays us for royalty revenues. Fluctuations in the exchange ratio of the U.S. dollar and these foreign currencies will have the effect of increasing or decreasing our royalty revenues even if there is a constant amount of sales in foreign currencies. For example, if the U.S. dollar strengthens against a foreign currency, then our royalty revenues will decrease given a constant amount of sales in such foreign currency.

The impact on our royalty revenues from foreign currency exchange rate risk is based on a number of factors, including the exchange rate (and the change in the exchange rate from the prior period) between a foreign currency and the U.S. dollar, and the amount of sales by our collaborative partner that are denominated in foreign currencies. We do not currently hedge our foreign currency exchange rate risk.

Table of Contents

Item 8. ***Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

All financial statements required to be filed hereunder are filed as an exhibit hereto, are listed under Item 15 (a) (1) and (2) and are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. ***Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

There have been no changes in and no disagreements with our independent registered public accounting firm on accounting and financial disclosure matters.

Item 9A. ***Controls and Procedures***

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Background of Restatement

On August 10, 2006, the Company concluded that the Company s consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001 should be restated to record additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options granted during fiscal year 2000 that were incorrectly accounted for under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The Company s decision to restate its financial statements was based on the facts obtained by management and an investigation into the Company s stock option accounting that was conducted under the direction of the audit committee of the Board of Directors. In May 2006, the Company was mentioned in an analyst s report suggesting that the Company was at moderate risk for options backdating (the Report) with respect to its annual grants of options to all employees of the Company dated October 28, 1999 and November 20, 2000. Shortly after the Report appeared, the Company was contacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) with respect to the Company s option practices for the years mentioned in the Report. As a result of the publication of the Report, and concurrent with the SEC s informal inquiry, the audit committee of the Board of Directors undertook an investigation into our option practices for the period 1999 to 2002. The review was conducted with the assistance of outside legal counsel and outside accounting consultants. Separately, the Company s management has reviewed stock option grants from 1999 through the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

The Company has concluded that there were errors with respect to the measurement date for one grant in each of 2000 and 2005 as a result of changes that were or may have been made to option grants for a limited number of non-executive employees subsequent to the grant date which resulted in different measurement dates for accounting purposes, and has determined that the accounting for the 2000 and 2005 grants needs to be adjusted. In both instances, the aggregate amount of options granted decreased after the grant date. No options from either the 2000 or 2005 grants have been exercised. The Company has determined that the aggregate non-cash, stock-based compensation expenses related to the 2000 grant of 2.4 million shares is approximately $9.7 million, which would have been recorded as an operating expense for the years ended March 31, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005. With respect to the 2005 grant, since the new measurement date for the 2005 grant had a lower stock price than that used in its original accounting, the Company concluded that although an error had occurred, no adjustment to its financial statements is required with respect to this grant.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In August 2006, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, re-evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2006. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and our management necessarily applied its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Due to the identification of a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting related to the Company s accounting for stock-based compensation, as described below, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2006, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

(b) Evaluation of internal control over financial reporting

Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised)

Table of Contents

The management of Alkermes, Inc. (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, and for performing an assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

In our 2006 Annual Report of Form 10-K, filed on June 14, 2006, our management included Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting therein, which expressed a conclusion by management that management believed that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of March 31, 2006. As a result of the restatement of our consolidated financial statements, as describe in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements, we have concluded that a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting existed as of March 31, 2006 and, accordingly, have revised our assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and have now concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of March 31, 2006.

The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board s Auditing Standard No. 2 defines a material weakness as a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in there being a more than remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting in connection with this revised assessment. Specifically, the Company did not design and implement controls necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the measurement date for stock option grants was appropriately determined. As a result the measurement date used for certain option grants was not appropriate resulting in those grants not being accounted for in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. This material weakness led to errors in the application of GAAP and resulted in the restatement of the Company s previously issued financial statements as described in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements. This control deficiency was determined to be a material weakness due to the actual misstatements identified, the potential for additional material misstatements to have occurred as a result of the deficiency, and the lack of other mitigating controls.

In making this revised assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on our revised assessment, we determined that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective.

Management s revised assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included following Item 9A.

(c) Changes in internal controls

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Regarding the material weakness described above, the Company has adopted new stock option granting procedures to remediate this deficiency and, after consultation with our outside legal counsel, believes that such procedures will remediate this material weakness.

(d) Inherent Limitations of Disclosure Controls and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Alkermes, Inc.
Cambridge, Massachusetts

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised), that Alkermes, Inc. & Subsidiaries (the Company) did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006, because of the effect of the material weakness identified in management s assessment based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our report dated June 14, 2006, we expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006. As described in the following paragraph, the Company subsequently identified a material misstatement in its annual financial statements, which caused such annual financial statements to be restated. Management subsequently revised its assessment due to the identification of a material weakness, described in the following paragraph, in connection with the financial statement restatement, Accordingly, our opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006 expressed herein is different from that expressed in our previous report.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management s assessment: The Company did not design and implement controls necessary to provide reasonable assurance that the measurement date for stock option grants was appropriately determined. As a result the measurement date used for certain option grants

was not appropriate resulting in those grants not being accounted for in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. This material weakness resulted in the restatement of the Company s previously issued financial statements as described in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements. This deficiency was determined to be a material weakness due to the actual misstatements identified, the potential for additional material misstatements to have occurred as a result of the deficiency, and the lack of other mitigating controls. This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of

Table of Contents

the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended March 31, 2006 (as restated), of the Company and this report does not affect our report on such restated financial statements.

In our opinion, management s revised assessment that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, the Company has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended March, 31 2006 (as restated), of the Company and our report dated June 14, 2006 (August 14, 2006 as to the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 19) expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ *DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP*

Boston, Massachusetts

June 14, 2006 (August 14, 2006 as to the effect of the material weakness described in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised))

Item 9B. ***Other Information***

The Company s policy governing transactions in its securities by its directors, officers and employees permits its officers, directors and employees to enter into trading plans in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act. During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, subsequent to FDA approval of VIVITROL and the Company s announcement of its financial results for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, Mr. Richard F. Pops, Mr. David A. Broecker, Mr. James M. Frates and Mr. Michael J. Landine, executive officers of the Company, entered into trading plans in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 and the Company s policy governing transactions in its securities by its directors, officers and employees. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise the information provided herein, including for revision or termination of an established trading plan.

PART III

Item 10. ***Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant***

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement for our annual shareholders meeting (the 2006 Proxy Statement).

Item 11. ***Executive Compensation***

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2006 Proxy Statement.

Item 12. ***Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2006 Proxy Statement.

Item 13. ***Certain Relationships and Related Transactions***

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2006 Proxy Statement.

Item 14. ***Principal Accounting Fees and Services***

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2006 Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. ***Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules***

Table of Contents

(a) (1) *Financial Statements* The Consolidated Financial Statements of Alkermes, Inc. required by this item are submitted in a separate section beginning on page F-1 of this Report.

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules* All schedules have been omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

(3) *Exhibits*

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State on June 7, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001.)
3.1(a)	Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State on December 16, 2002 (2002 Preferred Stock Terms). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 16, 2002.)
3.1(b)	Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State on May 14, 2003 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 8-A filed on May 2, 2003.)
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 28, 2005.)
4.1	Specimen of Common Stock Certificate of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 33-40250).)
4.2	Specimen of Non-Voting Common Stock Certificate of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)
4.3	Specimen of 2002 Preferred Stock Certificate of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2002.)
4.4	Indenture, dated as of February 18, 2000, between Alkermes, Inc. and State Street Bank and Trust Company, as Trustee. (3.75% Subordinated Notes) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-3, as amended filed on February 29, 2000 (File No. 333-31354).)
4.5	Form of 3.75% Subordinated Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-3, as amended filed on February 29, 2000 (File No. 333-31354).)
4.6	Rights Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2003, as amended, between Alkermes, Inc. and EquiServe Trust Co., N.A., as Rights Agent. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 8-A filed on May 2, 2003.)
4.7	Indenture, dated August 22, 2003, between Alkermes, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (2.5% Subordinated Notes.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended filed on September 3, 2003 (File No. 333-108483).)
4.8	Form of 2 1/2% Subordinated Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended filed on September 3, 2003 (File No. 333-108483).)
4.9	Indenture, dated as of February 1, 2005, between RC Royalty Sub LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2005.)

4.10	Form of Risperdal Consta® PhaRMA(sm)Secured 7% Notes due 2018. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2005.)
10.1	Amended and Restated 1990 Omnibus Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1998 (File No. 001-14131).)+
10.2	Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on October, 1, 2003 (File No. 333-109376).)+
10.3	Alkermes, Inc. 1998 Equity Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)+
10.4	1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.)+
10.5	2002 Restricted Stock Award Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002.)+

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	
10.6	Lease, dated as of October 26, 2000, between FC88 Sidney, Inc. and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)
10.7	Lease, dated as of October 26, 2000, between Forest City 64 Sidney Street, Inc. and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)
10.8	Lease, dated July 26, 1993, between the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1997 (File No. 000-19267).)
10.8(a)	First Amendment of Lease, dated June 9, 1997, between the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8(a) to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1997 (File No. 000-19267).)
10.9	License Agreement, dated as of April 14, 1999, by and between Genentech, Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)*
10.10	Manufacture and Supply Agreement, entered into April 5, 2001, by and between Alkermes, Inc. and Genentech, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001.)**
10.11	License Agreement, dated as of February 13, 1996, between Medisorb Technologies International L.P. and Janssen Pharmaceutica International (U.S.) (assigned to Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II in March 1996). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 000-19267).)***
10.12	License Agreement, dated as of February 21, 1996, between Medisorb Technologies International L.P. and Janssen Pharmaceutica International (worldwide except U.S.) (assigned to Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II in March 1996). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 000-19267).)***
10.13	Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated August 6, 1997, by and among Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, Janssen Pharmaceutica International and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.)§
10.13(a)	Letter Agreement and Exhibits to Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated February 1, 2002, by and among Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, Janssen Pharmaceutica International and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19(a) to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.)§
10.13(b)	Addendum to Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated August 2001, by and among Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, Janssen Pharmaceutica International and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19(b) to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal

year ended March 31, 2002.)§

10.14 Fourth Amendment To Development Agreement and First Amendment To Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 20, 2000 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.).****

10.15 Third Amendment To Development Agreement, Second Amendment To Manufacturing and Supply Agreement and First Amendment To License Agreements by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated April 1, 2000 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****

10.16 Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 21, 2002 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****

10.17 Amendment to Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 16, 2003 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	
10.18	Amendment to Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 22, 2003 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****
10.19	Fourth Amendment To Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated January 10, 2005 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****
10.20	Patent License Agreement, dated as of August 11, 1997, between Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Advanced Inhalation Research, Inc., as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)*
10.21	Promissory Note by and between Alkermes, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation, dated December 22, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)
10.22	Master Security Agreement by and between Alkermes, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated December 22, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)
10.23	Addendum No. 001 To Master Security Agreement by and between Alkermes, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation, dated December 22, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)
10.24	Employment Agreement, entered into as of February 7, 1991, between Richard F. Pops and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 33-40250).)+
10.25	Change in Control Employment Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2000, between Alkermes, Inc. and Richard F. Pops. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)+
10.26	Change in Control Employment Agreement, of various dates, between Alkermes, Inc. and each of James M. Frates, Michael J. Landine, David A. Broecker and Kathryn Biberstein. (Form of agreement incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)+
10.27	Employment Agreement, dated December 22, 2000 by and between David A. Broecker and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001.)+
10.28	Employment Agreement, dated January 8, 2003, by and between Kathryn L. Biberstein and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003.)+

10.29	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated December 13, 2002, between Alkermes and Eli Lilly and Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 16, 2002.)
10.30	Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 19, 2003, between Alkermes, Inc. and U.S. Bancorp. Piper Jaffray Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended filed on September 3, 2003 (File No. 333-108483).)
10.31	License and Collaboration Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of June 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.)*****
10.32	Supply Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of June 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.)*****
10.33	Amended and Restated January 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006 Named Executive Bonus Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.)+
10.34	Amendment to 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.)+
10.35	Form of Incentive Stock Option Certificate pursuant to the 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.) +
10.36	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Certificate pursuant to the 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.) +

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	
10.37	Form of Stock Option Certificate pursuant to Alkermes, Inc. 1998 Equity Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.) +
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP#
24.1	Power of Attorney (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 24.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification#
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification#
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.#

* Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted August 19, 1999. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

** Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 27, 2001. Such provisions have been filed

separately with the Commission.

*** Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 3, 1996. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

**** Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 26, 2005. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

***** Confidential status has been requested for certain portions of this document. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

§ Confidential status has been granted for

certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 16, 2002. Such provisions have been separately filed with the Commission.

+ Indicates a management contract or any compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALKERMES, INC.

By: /s/ Richard F. Pops
Richard F. Pops
Chief Executive Officer

August 14, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
*	Director and Chairman of the Board	August 14, 2006
Michael A. Wall		
/s/ Richard F. Pops	Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	August 14, 2006
Richard F. Pops		
/s/ James M. Frates	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	August 14, 2006
James M. Frates	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
*	Director	August 14, 2006
Floyd E. Bloom		
*	Director	August 14, 2006
Robert A. Breyer		
*	Director	August 14, 2006
Gerri Henwood		
*	Director	August 14, 2006
Paul J. Mitchell		
*	Director	August 14, 2006
Alexander Rich		
*	Director	August 14, 2006

Paul Schimmel		
*	Director	August 14, 2006
Mark B. Skaletsky		

*By: /s/ James M. Frates
James M. Frates
Attorney-in-fact

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Alkermes, Inc.
Cambridge, Massachusetts

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Alkermes, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Alkermes, Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of Derivatives Implementation Group Issue B-39, Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Options that are Exercisable only by the Debtor. As discussed in Note 19 the consolidated financial statements have been restated.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated June 14, 2006 (August 14, 2006 as to the effect of the material weakness described in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised)) expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOTTE & TOUCHE LLP
Boston, Massachusetts
June 14, 2006 (August 14, 2006 as to the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 19)

Table of Contents

ALKERMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
March 31, 2006 and 2005

	2006 Restated	2005 Restated
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)	
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 33,578	$ 47,485
Investments short-term	264,389	155,082
Receivables	39,802	18,815
Inventory, net	7,341	3,766
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,782	2,580
Total current assets	347,892	227,728
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:		
Land	301	269
Building and improvements	20,966	19,150
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	61,086	66,805
Equipment under capital lease	464	464
Leasehold improvements	45,842	45,991
Construction in progress	23,555	11,307
	152,214	143,986
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(39,297)	(48,798)
Property, plant and equipment net	112,917	95,188
RESTRICTED INVESTMENTS long-term	5,145	4,903
OTHER ASSETS	11,209	11,055
TOTAL ASSETS	$ 477,163	$ 338,874
LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	$ 36,141	$ 18,803
Accrued interest	3,239	2,248
Accrued restructuring costs	852	1,228
Unearned milestone revenue current portion	83,338	
Derivative liability related to convertible subordinated notes		265
Deferred revenue current portion	200	
Convertible subordinated notes current portion	676	
Long-term debt current portion	1,214	1,124

Total current liabilities	125,660	23,668
NON-RECOURSE RISPERDAL CONSTA SECURED 7% NOTES	153,653	150,730
CONVERTIBLE SUBORDINATED NOTES LONG-TERM PORTION	124,346	123,022
LONG-TERM DEBT	1,519	2,733
UNEARNED MILESTONE REVENUE LONG-TERM PORTION	16,198	
DEFERRED REVENUE LONG-TERM PORTION	750	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ALKERMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
Years Ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

	2006	2005 Restated	2004 Restated
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)		
REVENUES:			
Manufacturing revenues	$ 64,901	$ 40,488	$ 25,736
Royalty revenues	16,532	9,636	3,790
Research and development revenue under collaborative arrangements	45,883	26,002	9,528
Net collaborative profit	39,285		
Total revenues	166,601	76,126	39,054
EXPENSES:			
Cost of goods manufactured	23,489	16,834	19,037
Research and development	88,865	90,927	90,406
Selling, general and administrative	40,144	28,662	25,217
Restructuring		11,527	(208)
Stock-based compensation	442	1,551	3,684
Total expenses	152,940	149,501	138,136
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	13,661	(73,375)	(99,082)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):			
Interest income	11,569	3,005	3,409
Interest expense	(20,661)	(7,394)	(6,497)
Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated notes	(1,084)	4,385	(4,514)
Other income (expense), net	333	(1,789)	2,118
Total other income (expense)	(9,843)	(1,793)	(5,484)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	$ 3,818	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:			
BASIC	$ 0.04	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)
DILUTED	$ 0.04	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:			
BASIC	91,022	90,094	82,083
DILUTED	97,377	90,094	82,083

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):			
Net income (loss)	$ 3,818	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)
Foreign currency translation adjustments			(31)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities	1,285	(1,231)	1,215
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	$ 5,103	$ (76,399)	$ (103,382)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ALKERMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY
Years Ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Nonvoting Common Stock Shares	Nonvoting Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital Restated	Deferred Compensation	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities	Accumulated Deficit Restated	Total
					(In thousands, except share amounts)					
BALANCE April 1, 2003, as previously reported	64,692,848	$ 647	382,632	$ 4	$ 447,104	$ (1,864)	$ (111)	$ (63)	$ (450,762)	$ (5,045)
Prior period adjustments					6,313				(6,313)	
BALANCE April 1, 2003, as restated	64,692,848	647	382,632	4	453,417	(1,864)	111	(63)	(457,075)	(5,045)
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock awards	569,084	6			3,404					3,410
Conversion of 6.52% convertible senior subordinated notes and interest into common stock	24,043,329	240			177,024					177,264
Restricted stock awards canceled					(220)	220				
Noncash compensation					134	(51)				83
Amortization of noncash compensation					2,181	1,419				3,600
Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments							(31)			(31)
Unrealized gain on marketable securities								1,215		1,215
Net loss									(104,566)	(104,566)
BALANCE March 31, 2004, as restated, see	89,305,261	893	382,632	4	635,940	(276)	(142)	1,152	(561,641)	75,930

Note 19										
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock awards	694,265	7			3,023					3,030
Restricted stock awards canceled					(25)	25				
Noncash compensation					48					48
Amortization of noncash compensation					1,252	251				1,503
Unrealized loss on marketable securities								(1,231)		(1,231)
Net loss									(75,168)	(75,168)
BALANCE March 31, 2005, as restated, see Note 19	89,999,526	900	382,632	4	640,238		(142)	(79)	(636,809)	4,112
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options or vesting of restricted stock awards	921,477	9			8,550					8,559
Conversion of redeemable convertible preferred stock into common stock	823,677	8			14,992					15,000
Restricted stock awards canceled					(89)	89				
Noncash compensation					905	(664)				241
Amortization of noncash compensation						201				201
Unrealized gain on marketable securities								1,285		1,285
Net income									3,818	3,818
BALANCE March 31, 2006, as restated, see Note 19	91,744,680	$ 917	382,632	$ 4	$ 664,596	$ (374)	$ (142)	$ 1,206	$ (632,991)	$ 33,216

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ALKERMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Years Ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

	2006	2005 Restated (In thousands)	2004 Restated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	$ 3,818	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	10,879	10,618	10,915
Restructuring charges		11,527	(208)
Stock-based compensation	442	1,551	3,684
Other non-cash charges	5,251	3,922	2,337
Derivative loss (income) related to convertible subordinated notes	1,084	(4,385)	4,514
(Gain) loss on investments	(761)	1,961	(2,118)
Gain on sale of equipment	(70)	(172)	(182)
Cash paid on interest make-whole provision			(2,325)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Receivables	(20,987)	(7,289)	(4,225)
Inventory, prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,777)	(3,071)	(20)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and accrued interest	18,329	2,578	1,343
Accrued restructuring costs	(995)	(1,628)	(2,191)
Unearned milestone revenue	99,536		
Deferred revenue	950	(17,173)	(5,207)
Other long-term liabilities	2,831	2,474	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	116,530	(74,255)	(98,249)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(28,660)	(17,817)	(15,101)
Proceeds from the sale of equipment	122	252	321
Proceeds from equipment sale-leaseback			464
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(661,671)	(178,925)	(220,062)
Sales of available-for-sale investments	552,161	157,682	153,598
Decrease (increase) in other assets	176	30	(99)
Net cash used in investing activities	(137,872)	(38,778)	(80,879)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	8,559	3,030	3,410
Proceeds from issuance of Non-Recourse RISPERDAL CONSTA Secured 7% Notes, net of issuance discount		150,271	
Borrowings under term loan		3,676	
Proceeds from issuance of convertible subordinated notes			125,000
Payment of debt	(1,124)	(239)	(7,845)

Payment of financing costs in connection with issuance of notes			(6,119)	(3,963)
Net cash provided by financing activities		7,435	150,619	116,602
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH				(54)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(13,907)	37,586	(62,580)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	Beginning of year	47,485	9,899	72,479
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	End of year	$ 33,578	$ 47,485	$ 9,899
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:				
Cash paid for interest		$ 14,319	$ 3,238	$ 9,547
Noncash activities:				
Conversion of 6.52% convertible senior subordinated notes and interest into common stock				177,264
Equipment acquired under capital lease				464
Conversion of redeemable convertible preferred stock into common stock		15,000		

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ALKERMES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years Ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

1. THE COMPANY

Alkermes, Inc. (the Company or Alkermes) is a biotechnology company that develops products based on sophisticated drug delivery technologies to enhance therapeutic outcomes in major diseases. The Company has two commercial products. RISPERDAL® CONSTA® [(risperidone) long-acting injection] is the first and only long-acting atypical antipsychotic medication approved for use in schizophrenia and is marketed worldwide by Janssen-Cilag (Janssen), a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson. VIVITROL® (naltrexone for extended-release injectable suspension) is the first and only once-monthly injection approved for the treatment of alcohol dependence and is marketed in the United States (U.S.) primarily by Cephalon, Inc. (Cephalon). The Company has a pipeline of extended-release injectable products and pulmonary products based on its proprietary technologies and expertise. Alkermes product development strategy is twofold: the Company partners its proprietary technology systems and drug delivery expertise with several of the world s finest pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies; and it also develops novel, proprietary drug candidates for its own account. The Company s headquarters are located in Cambridge, Massachusetts, and it operates research and manufacturing facilities in Massachusetts and Ohio.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Alkermes, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics, Inc. (ACT I); Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II (ACT II); Alkermes Acquisition Corp.; Alkermes Europe, Ltd.; Advanced Inhalation Research, Inc. (AIR); and RC Royalty Sub LLC (Royalty Sub). Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The assets of Royalty Sub are not available to satisfy obligations of Alkermes and its subsidiaries, other than the obligations of Royalty Sub, including Royalty Sub s non-recourse RISPERDAL CONSTA secured 7% notes (the 7% Notes). Alkermes Investments, Inc. was dissolved effective March 31, 2006.

Use of Estimates The preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) necessarily requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the following: (1) reported amounts of assets and liabilities; (2) disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements; and (3) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value because of their short-term nature. Marketable equity securities have been designated as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value with any unrealized gains or losses included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), included in shareholders equity in the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table sets forth the carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company s debt instruments and redeemable convertible preferred stock at March 31:

	2006		2005	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
2.5% convertible subordinated notes, including embedded derivative liability	$124,346	$200,313	$122,611	$120,000
3.75% convertible subordinated notes	676	663	676	608
7% Notes	153,653	158,100	150,730	153,000
Term loan	2,484	2,484	3,519	3,519
Obligation under capital lease	249	249	338	338
Redeemable convertible preferred stock	15,000	15,000	30,000	30,000

The estimated fair values of the 2.5% convertible subordinated notes (2.5% Subordinated Notes), the 3.75% convertible subordinated notes (3.75% Subordinated Notes) and the 7% Notes were based on quoted market prices. The estimated fair values of the term loan, obligation under capital lease and redeemable convertible preferred stock (the Preferred Stock) were based on prevailing interest rates or rates of return on similar instruments and other factors. The increase in the fair value of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes during the year ended March 31, 2006 was primarily due to increases in the Company s stock price, credit quality, credit spreads in the market and treasury rates.

Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share Basic earnings (loss) per common share is calculated based upon net income (loss) available to holders of common shares divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. For the calculation of diluted earnings per common

Table of Contents

share, the Company uses the weighted average number of shares outstanding, as adjusted for the effect of potential outstanding shares, including stock options, stock awards, convertible preferred stock and convertible debt. For periods during which the Company reports a net loss from operations, basic and diluted net loss per common share are equal since the impact of potential common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect.

The average share price of Company common stock during the year ended March 31, 2006, used for the calculation of stock option equivalent shares, was $17.39.

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the years ended March 31 were calculated as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
Numerator:			
Net income (loss)	$ 3,818	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)
Denominator: (in thousands)			
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic	91,022	90,094	82,083
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	4,132		
Stock awards	84		
Redeemable convertible preferred stock	2,139		
Dilutive potential common share equivalents	6,355		
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, diluted	97,377	90,094	82,083

The following amounts were not included in the calculation of earnings (loss) per common share because their effects were anti-dilutive for the years ended March 31:

	2006	2005	2004
Numerator:			
Adjustment for interest, net of tax	$ 3,150	$ 3,150	$ 1,796
Adjustment for derivative loss (income)	1,084	(4,385)	4,514
Total	$ 4,234	$ (1,235)	$ 6,310
Denominator: (in thousands)			
Stock options		17,761	15,349
Stock awards		51	168
Redeemable convertible preferred stock		2,021	3,467
2.5% convertible subordinated notes	9,025	9,025	9,025
3.75% convertible subordinated notes	10	10	10
Total	9,035	28,868	28,019

Revenue Recognition

Multiple Element Arrangements

When a collaborative arrangement contains more than one revenue generating element, the Company allocates revenue between the elements based on each element s relative fair value, provided that each element meets the criteria for treatment as a separate unit of accounting. Revenue is then recognized when an agreement exists, delivery has occurred and collection is probable. An item is considered a separate unit of accounting if it has value on a standalone basis and there is a fair value for the undelivered items. Fair value is determined based upon objective and reliable

evidence, which includes terms negotiated between the Company and its collaborative partners.

Revenue Recognition Related to the License and Collaboration Agreement and Supply Agreement (together, the Agreements) with Cephalon.

The Company s revenue recognition policy related to the Agreements complies with the Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC) Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements,* and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21) for multiple element revenue arrangements entered into or materially amended

Table of Contents

after June 30, 2003. For purposes of revenue recognition, the deliverables under these Agreements are generally separated into three units of accounting: (i) shared profits and losses on the sustained-release forms of naltrexone, including VIVITROL (the Products); (ii) manufacturing of the Products; and (iii) development and licenses for the Products.

Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company is responsible for the first $120.0 million of net losses incurred on VIVITROL (Product Losses) through December 31, 2007. If cumulative Product Losses exceed $120.0 million through December 31, 2007, Cephalon will be responsible for paying all Product Losses in excess of $120.0 million during this period. If VIVITROL is profitable through December 31, 2007, net profits will be shared equally between the Company and Cephalon. After December 31, 2007, net profits and losses earned on VIVITROL will be shared equally between the Company and Cephalon.

The Company and Cephalon reconcile the costs incurred by each party to develop, commercialize and manufacture the Products, excluding certain development and registration costs for VIVITROL for the initial indication of alcohol dependence (the Initial Indication) and the completion of the first manufacturing line, to be paid solely by the Company, against revenues earned on the Products, to determine net profits or losses on VIVITROL. The Company s share of net profits and losses is recognized in the period earned or incurred by the collaboration and is recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the Company s consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Cumulative Product Losses since inception of the Agreements through March 31, 2006 were $41.0 million.

The nonrefundable payment of $160.0 million the Company received from Cephalon in June 2005, and the nonrefundable milestone payment of $110.0 million the Company received from Cephalon in April 2006 upon approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the new drug application (NDA) for VIVITROL, have been deemed to be arrangement consideration in accordance with EITF 00-21. This arrangement consideration is recognized as milestone revenue across the three accounting units referred to above. The allocation of the arrangement consideration to each of the accounting units was based initially on the fair value of each unit as determined at the date of the Agreements, however, the fair values are reviewed periodically and adjusted, as appropriate. The above nonrefundable payments are, and will be, recorded in the consolidated balance sheets under the captions Unearned milestone revenue current portion and Unearned milestone revenue long-term portion prior to being earned. The classification between the current and long-term portions is based on the Company s best estimate of whether the milestone revenue will be recognized during or after the 12-month period following the reporting period, respectively.

Manufacturing Revenues Related to the Agreements with Cephalon

Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company is responsible for the manufacture of clinical and commercial supplies of sustained-release forms of naltrexone, including VIVITROL, for sale in the U.S. Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company will bill Cephalon at cost for finished commercial product shipped to them. The Company will record this manufacturing revenue under the caption Manufacturing revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). An amount equal to this manufacturing revenue will be recorded as cost of goods manufactured in the Company s consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). No manufacturing revenue or cost of goods manufactured related to VIVITROL was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) in the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

The amount of the arrangement consideration allocated to the accounting unit manufacturing of the Products is based on the estimated fair value of manufacturing profit to be earned over the expected life of the Products, not to exceed the total arrangement consideration the Company receives from Cephalon, less the amount first allocated to the accounting unit shared profits and losses on the Products . Manufacturing profit is initially estimated at 10% of cost of goods manufactured. The Company will recognize the earned portion of the arrangement consideration allocated to this accounting unit in proportion to the units of finished product shipped during the reporting period, to the total expected units of finished product to be shipped over the expected life of the Products. The estimate of expected units shipped will be adjusted periodically, as necessary, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that supply assumptions have changed significantly. Adjustments to the accrual schedule for this milestone revenue that result from changed supply assumptions are recognized prospectively over the remaining expected life of the Products. This milestone revenue will be recorded under the caption Manufacturing revenues in the consolidated statements of

operations and comprehensive income (loss). No milestone revenue was recorded for this accounting unit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) during the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Table of Contents

Net Collaborative Profit Related to the Agreements with Cephalon

The amount of the arrangement consideration allocated to the accounting unit shared profits and losses on the Products represents the Company s best estimate of the Product Losses that the Company is responsible for through December 31, 2007, plus an estimate of those development costs to be incurred by the Company in the period preceding FDA approval of VIVITROL and to complete the first manufacturing line, for which the Company is solely responsible. The Company estimates this loss to be approximately $137.0 million. The Company recognizes the earned portion of the arrangement consideration allocated to this accounting unit through the period that the Company is responsible for Product Losses, being the period ending December 31, 2007. This milestone revenue directly offsets the Company s expenses incurred on VIVITROL and Cephalon s net losses on VIVITROL. This milestone revenue is recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded $60.5 million, $0 and $0, respectively, for this accounting unit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company granted Cephalon a co-exclusive license to the Company s patents and know-how necessary to use, sell, offer for sale and import the Products for all current and future indications in the U.S. On a combined basis, the development and license deliverables under the Agreements have value to the Company on a stand-alone basis. That is, under the terms of the Agreements, the additional development activities that the Company performs for the Initial Indication of VIVITROL will result in a marketable product that has value in the market place. Accordingly, the amount of the arrangement consideration allocated to the accounting unit development and licenses for the Products is based on the residual method of allocation as outlined in EITF 00-21, because fair value evidence exists separately for the other two units of accounting under the Agreements but not on a combined basis with this accounting unit. Consequently, arrangement consideration allocated to this accounting unit will equal the total arrangement consideration received from Cephalon less the amounts allocated to the other two accounting units. The Company will recognize the earned portion of this arrangement consideration on a straight-line basis over the expected life of VIVITROL, being ten years. This milestone revenue will be recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). No milestone revenue was recorded for this accounting unit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) during the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company reimburses Cephalon for the net losses they incur on VIVITROL, provided these net losses, together with the Company s VIVITROL-related collaboration expenses, do not exceed $120.0 million through December 31, 2007. This reimbursement is recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Once VIVITROL becomes profitable, Cephalon will reimburse the Company for its product-related expenses together with the Company s share of the net profits, and this reimbursement will be recorded under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company paid Cephalon $21.2 million, $0 and $0, respectively, as reimbursement for the net losses they incurred on VIVITROL.

If there are significant changes in the estimates of the fair value of an accounting unit, the Company will reallocate the arrangement consideration to the accounting units based on the revised fair values. This revision will be recognized prospectively in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) over the remaining terms of the affected accounting units.

Under the terms of the Agreements, Cephalon will pay the Company up to $220.0 million in nonrefundable milestone payments if calendar year net sales of the Products exceed certain agreed-upon sales levels. Under current accounting guidance, we expect to recognize these milestone payments in the period earned, under the caption Net collaborative profit in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Other Manufacturing Revenues Other manufacturing revenues consist of revenues earned under certain manufacturing and supply agreements with Janssen for RISPERDAL CONSTA. Manufacturing revenues are earned when product is shipped to the Company s collaborative partner. Manufacturing revenues recognized by the Company for RISPERDAL CONSTA are based on information supplied to the Company by Janssen and require estimates to be

made. In June 2004, the Company announced a decision to discontinue commercialization of NUTROPIN DEPOT® with Genentech, Inc. (Genentech). Manufacturing revenues for NUTROPIN DEPOT ceased in the year ended March 31, 2004.

Royalty Revenues Royalty revenues consist of revenues earned under certain license agreements for RISPERDAL CONSTA. Royalty revenues are earned on sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA made by Janssen and are recorded in the period the product is sold by Janssen. Royalty revenues recognized by the Company for RISPERDAL CONSTA are based on information supplied to the Company by Janssen and may require estimates to be made. Royalty revenues for NUTROPIN DEPOT ceased in the year ended March 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

Research and Development Revenue Under Collaborative Arrangements Research and development revenue consists of nonrefundable research and development funding under collaborative arrangements with various collaborative partners. Research and development funding generally compensates the Company for formulation, preclinical and clinical testing related to the collaborative research programs, and is recognized as revenue at the time the research and development activities are performed under the terms of the related agreements, when the collaborative partner is obligated to pay and when no future performance obligations exist.

Fees for the licensing of technology or intellectual property rights on initiation of collaborative arrangements are recorded as deferred revenue upon receipt and recognized as income on a systematic basis based upon the timing and level of work performed or on a straight-line basis if not otherwise determinable, over the period that the related products or services are delivered or obligations, as defined in the related agreement, are performed. Revenue from milestone or other upfront payments is recognized as earned in accordance with the terms of the related agreement. These agreements may require deferral of revenue recognition to future periods.

Research and Development Expenses The Company s research and development expenses include employee compensation and related benefits, laboratory supplies, temporary help costs, external research costs, consulting costs, occupancy costs, depreciation expense and other allocable costs directly related to the Company s research and development activities. Research and development expenses are incurred in conjunction with the development of the Company s technologies, proprietary product candidates, collaborators product candidates and in-licensing arrangements. External research costs relate to toxicology studies, pharmacokinetic studies and clinical trials that are performed for the Company under contract by external companies, hospitals or medical centers. All such costs are expensed as incurred.

Stock Options and Awards The Company uses the intrinsic value method to measure compensation expense associated with the grants of stock options and awards to employees. The Company accounts for stock options and awards to non-employees using the fair-value method. Under the intrinsic value method, compensation associated with stock options and awards to employees is determined as the difference, if any, between the current fair value of the underlying common stock on the measurement date and the price an employee must pay to exercise the award. Under the fair-value method, compensation associated with stock awards is determined based on the estimated fair value of the award itself, measured using either current market data or an established option-pricing model. The measurement date for employee awards is generally the grant date, and the measurement date for non-employee awards is generally the date performance of certain services is complete. For the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, stock-based compensation expense of $0.4 million, $1.6 million and $3.7 million, respectively, was primarily related to the amortization of stock options and stock awards.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting f Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS 123), and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* . SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options and stock awards, to be recognized in the financial statements based generally on their grant date fair values. SFAS 123R is effective for the Company in the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006.

In accordance with SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* , pro-forma information regarding net earnings (loss) and basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per common share for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 has been presented as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair-value method. For purposes of pro-forma disclosure, the estimated fair value of stock options is amortized to pro-forma expense over the vesting periods of the stock options.

Pro-forma information for the years ended March 31 was as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
Net income (loss) as reported	$ 3,818	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)
Add employee stock-based compensation expense as reported in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss)	442	1,551	3,684

Deduct employee stock-based compensation expense determined under the fair-value method for all options and awards	(22,472)	(22,072)	(22,556)
Net loss pro-forma	$ (18,212)	$ (95,689)	$ (123,438)
Reported earnings (loss) per common share:			
Basic	$ 0.04	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)
Diluted	$ 0.04	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)
Pro forma loss per common share:			
Basic	$ (0.20)	$ (1.05)	$ (1.48)
Diluted	$ (0.20)	$ (1.05)	$ (1.48)

Table of Contents

The fair value of the options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the year ended March 31:

	2006	2005	2004
Expected life (years)	4.8	4.0	4.0
Interest rate	4.27%	3.75%	2.89%
Volatility	50%	67%	73%
Dividends	None	None	None

Using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, the weighted-average fair value of options granted in the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was $8.41, $7.59 and $6.45, respectively.

Income Taxes Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for net loss and credit carryforwards. Deferred income taxes are recognized at enacted rates expected to be in effect when temporary differences reverse. Valuation allowances are provided to the extent that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be recoverable.

Cash Equivalents Cash equivalents, with remaining maturities of three months or less when purchased, consist of money market accounts, mutual funds and an overnight repurchase agreement. The repurchase agreement is fully collateralized by U.S. government securities.

Investments At March 31, 2006 and 2005, all short-term and long-term investments consist of debt securities (U.S. Treasury and other government securities, commercial paper and corporate notes) that are classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value. Fair value was determined based on quoted market prices.

Investments classified as long-term are restricted and held as collateral under certain letters of credit related to the Company s lease agreements.

Investments consist of the following at March 31:

	Cost			Gross Unrealized		Aggregate Fair Value
	Due Under One Year	Due After One Year	Total	Gains	Losses	
2006						
Available-for-sale securities:						
Investments short-term:						
U.S. government obligations	$ 20,000	$	$ 20,000	$	$ (110)	$ 19,890
Corporate debt securities	10,917	233,269	244,186	368	(55)	244,499
	30,917	233,269	264,186	368	(165)	264,389
Investments long-term:						
U.S. government obligations	405		405			405
Corporate debt securities	4,740		4,740			4,740
	5,145		5,145			5,145
Total	$ 36,062	$ 233,269	$ 269,331	$ 368	$ (165)	$ 269,534
2005						
Available-for-sale securities:						
Investments short-term:						

Corporate debt securities	$ 2,048	$ 152,856	$ 154,904	$ 178	$	$ 155,082
Investments long-term:						
U.S. government obligations	4,900		4,900	3		4,903
Total	$ 6,948	$ 152,856	$ 159,804	$ 181	$	$ 159,985

The Company also has investments in certain marketable equity securities with a fair value of approximately $1.5 million and $0.8 million as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which are currently classified as available-for-sale securities and are recorded under the caption

Table of Contents

Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. The cost of such securities, net of write-downs for other-than-temporary impairment, was $1.0 million and $1.6 million as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Inventory, net Inventory, net is stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined in a manner that approximates the first-in, first-out method. Inventory, net consists of the following at March 31:

	2006	2005
Raw materials	$ 3,757	$ 1,667
Work in process	2,083	992
Finished goods	1,501	1,107
Total(1)	$ 7,341	$ 3,766

(1) Net of allowance for inventory losses of $0.8 million and $0.3 million as of March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005, respectively.

Property, Plant and Equipment Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization are recorded using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives of the assets: building 25 years; furniture, fixtures and equipment 3 to 7 years; and, leasehold improvements, over the shorter of the useful life of the assets and the lease terms 1 to 20 years.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company wrote down approximately $18.5 million of fully depreciated furniture, fixtures and equipment in accordance with its capital assets accounting policy.

Amounts recorded as construction in progress in the consolidated balance sheets consist primarily of costs incurred for the expansion of the Company s manufacturing and research and development facilities in Massachusetts and Ohio.

Property, plant and equipment acquired under capital leases totaled $0.5 million as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, and accumulated amortization of such assets totaled $0.2 million and $0.1 million as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Other Assets Other assets consist primarily of unamortized debt offering costs which are being amortized over the lives of the expected principal repayment periods of the related notes (5 to 7 years), and certain marketable equity securities and warrants to purchase stock in certain publicly traded companies (see Note 9).

Accrued Expenses As part of the process of preparing the financial statements, the Company is required to estimate certain accrued expenses. This process involves identifying services that third parties have performed on the Company s behalf and estimating the level of service performed and the associated cost incurred for these services as of the balance sheet date. Examples of accrued expenses are contract service fees, such as amounts due to clinical research organizations, professional service fees, such as attorneys and accountants, and investigators fees in conjunction with clinical trials. Accruals may be based on significant estimates. In connection with these service fees, the Company s estimates are most affected by its understanding of the status and timing of services provided relative to the actual level of services incurred by the service providers.

Unearned Milestone Revenue In June 2005, the Company received from Cephalon a nonrefundable payment of $160.0 million under the terms of the Agreements. The payment was recorded in the consolidated balance sheet for the year ended March 31, 2006 under the caption Unearned milestone revenue current portion and Unearned milestone revenue long-term portion prior to being earned and is recognized as milestone revenue across the three accounting units related to the Agreements. The allocation of the arrangement consideration to each of the accounting

units under the Agreements was based initially on the fair value of each unit as determined at the date of the Agreements.

Deferred Revenue During the year ended March 31, 2003, the Company received an up-front payment of approximately $23.9 million from Janssen as an advance of the first two years of minimum manufacturing revenue amounts due under a manufacturing agreement based on the approval and launch of RISPERDAL CONSTA in Germany and the United Kingdom (see Note 13). As of March 31, 2006 and 2005, the entire $23.9 million has been recognized as manufacturing revenue.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, Eli Lilly and Company (Lilly) made payments to the Company totaling approximately $7.0 million to fund an increase in the scope of the Company and Lilly s pulmonary insulin and pulmonary human growth hormone development programs, which was recorded as deferred revenue as of March 31, 2004. During the year ended March 31, 2005, these amounts

Table of Contents

were expended and recorded as research and development revenue under collaborative agreements in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive loss.

The Company has also received prepayments for research and development costs under collaborative research projects with other collaborative partners that are being amortized over the estimated term of the agreements based upon services performed or on a straight-line basis.

401(k) Plan The Company s 401(k) retirement savings plan (the 401(k) Plan) covers substantially all of its employees. Eligible employees may contribute up to 100% of their eligible compensation, subject to certain Internal Revenue Service limitations. The Company matches a portion of employee contributions. The match is equal to 50% of the first 6% of deferrals and is fully vested when made. During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company contributed approximately $1.1 million, $0.9 million and $0.9 million, respectively, to match employee deferrals under the 401(k) Plan.

Segments The Company s operations consist of one operating segment, based on how the business is evaluated by the Company s chief decision maker, the Chief Executive Officer.

Reclassifications Manufacturing and royalty revenues in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for fiscal 2005 and 2004 have been reclassified to conform to the fiscal 2006 presentation, which separately presents manufacturing revenues and royalty revenues. For comparability purposes, stock-based compensation historically recorded related to periodic restricted stock awards and included in research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses has been reclassified into stock-based compensation in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

New Accounting Pronouncements In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs* (SFAS 151), which amends accounting research bulletin (ARB) No. 43, Chapter 4, *Inventory Pricing,* to clarify the accounting for idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and waste (spoilage). SFAS 151 is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005, and, thus, will be effective for the Company for the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006. The Company believes its current accounting policies closely align to the new rules. Accordingly, the Company does not believe this new standard will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, which is a revision of SFAS 123 and supersedes accounting principles board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* . SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments, including grants of stock options and stock awards, to be recognized in the financial statements based generally on their grant date fair values. SFAS 123R is effective for the Company in the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006. The Company will adopt the provisions of SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method, and will recognize share-based compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of awards. The Company will recognize share-based compensation cost for awards that have graded vesting on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion. Under the modified prospective method, share-based compensation expense will be recognized for the portion of outstanding stock options and stock awards granted prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R for which service has not been rendered, and for any future stock options and stock awards. Although the adoption of SFAS 123R is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company s cash flows, the Company expects to record substantial non-cash compensation expense that will have a significant, adverse effect on its results of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The impact of adoption of SFAS 123R depends on estimates of stock price volatility, option terms, interest rates, the number and type of stock options and stock awards granted during the reporting period, as well as other factors. The Company estimates that the effect on its results of operations and comprehensive income (loss) will range from $30.0 million to $35.0 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007.

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47 *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations* (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations* (SFAS 143) refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 also clarifies that an entity is required to recognize a liability for such an obligation when incurred if the liability s fair value can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 is required to become effective no later than

the end of the first fiscal year ending after December 15, 2005 and, thus, is effective for the Company for the year ended March 31, 2006. The Company recorded a charge of $0.3 million in the year ended March 31, 2006 for recognizing the cumulative effect of initially applying FIN 47 under the caption, Other income (expense), net in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The Company believes that this amount was immaterial for separate presentation in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

In June 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* (APB 20), and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements* . SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial

Table of Contents

statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effects of the change. APB 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income in the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. This standard generally will not apply with respect to the adoption of new accounting standards, as new accounting standards usually include specific transition provisions, and will not override transition provisions contained in new or existing accounting literature. SFAS 154 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and, thus, will be effective for the Company in the reporting period beginning April 1, 2006.

In June 2005, the FASB released Derivatives Implementation Group Issue B39, *Embedded Derivatives: Application of Paragraph 13(b) to Call Options That are Exercisable Only by the Debtor* (DIG Issue B39). DIG Issue B39 modifies current accounting guidance for determining whether an embedded call option in a debt contract that could potentially accelerate the settlement of that instrument would require separate accounting under the provisions of SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* . Essentially, DIG Issue B39 concluded that options exercisable only by the issuer of such a contract will no longer require separate accounting recognition, as long as they satisfy all other criteria in SFAS 133. The Company adopted the provisions of DIG Issue B39 in the reporting period beginning January 1, 2006, at which time the carrying value of the embedded derivative, of $1.3 million, contained in the Company s convertible subordinated notes (described in more detail in Note 9) was combined with the carrying value of the host contracts and will no longer require separate recognition or accounting. Implementation of DIG Issue B39 had no impact on the Company s operating cash flows, and the Company will no longer be required to record changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded derivatives in the results of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

3. INVESTMENT IN RELIANT PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC

In December 2001, the Company made a $100.0 million investment in Series C convertible, redeemable preferred units of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC (Reliant), and the Company owns approximately 12% of Reliant. Through March 31, 2004, the investment had been accounted for under the equity method of accounting because Reliant was organized as a limited liability company, which is treated in a manner similar to a partnership. The Company s $100.0 million investment was reduced to $0 in the year ended March 31, 2003 based upon the Company s equity losses in Reliant.

Effective April 1, 2004, Reliant converted from a limited liability company to a corporation under Delaware state law. Due to this change, and because Reliant is a privately held company over which Alkermes does not exercise control, the Company s investment in Reliant has been accounted for under the cost method beginning April 1, 2004. Accordingly, the Company does not record any share of Reliant s net income or losses, but would record dividends, if received. Our investment remains at $0 as of March 31, 2006.

4. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following at March 31:

	2006	2005
Accounts payable	$ 11,512	$ 6,686
Accrued expenses related to collaborative arrangements	9,019	
Accrued compensation	7,790	3,791
Accrued other	7,820	8,326
Total	$ 36,141	$ 18,803

5. RESTRUCTURING OF OPERATIONS

In June 2004, the Company announced a restructuring program in connection with the decision by the Company and Genentech to discontinue commercialization of NUTROPIN DEPOT (the 2004 Restructuring). The decision was based on the significant resources required by both companies to continue manufacturing and commercializing the product. In connection with this decision, the Company ceased commercial manufacturing of NUTROPIN DEPOT in

June 2004, reduced the Company s workforce by 17 employees, representing approximately 3% of the Company s total workforce, and recorded net restructuring charges of approximately $11.5 million in the year ended March 31, 2005 under the caption Restructuring in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The restructuring charges consisted of approximately $0.1 million in employee separation costs, including severance and related benefits, and approximately $11.4 million in facility closure costs, including fixed asset write-offs and estimates of future lease costs relating to the Company s ability to sublease the exited facility through the end of its lease term in August 2008. In addition to the restructuring, the Company also recorded a one-time write-off of NUTROPIN DEPOT inventory of approximately $1.3 million, which was recorded under the caption Cost of goods manufactured in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) in the year ended March 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2006, the Company had paid in cash or written off an aggregate of approximately $9.0 million in facility closure costs and $0.1 million in employee separation costs in connection with the 2004 Restructuring. The amounts remaining in the 2004 Restructuring accrual as of March 31, 2006 relate primarily to estimates of lease costs associated with the exited facility and are expected to be paid through the reporting period ending March 31, 2009.

In August 2002, the Company announced a restructuring program (the 2002 Restructuring) to reduce the Company s cost structure as a result of the Company s expectations regarding the financial impact of a delay in the U.S. launch of RISPERDAL CONSTA by the Company s collaborative partner, Janssen. In connection with the 2002 Restructuring, the Company recorded restructuring charges of approximately $6.5 million in the year ended March 31, 2003. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had paid and recovered an aggregate of approximately $1.5 million in employee separation costs and approximately $5.0 million in facility closure costs. There are no remaining liabilities associated with the 2002 Restructuring as of March 31, 2006.

Pursuant to the 2004 and 2002 Restructuring plans, the following table displays the charges, recoveries and payments that have been recorded during the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

		Fiscal 2004			Fiscal 2005			Fiscal 2006		
Type of Liability	Balance March 31, 2003	Recoveries	Payments	Balance March 31, 2004	Charges	Non-Cash Write-Downs and Payments	Balance March 31, 2005	Adjustments	Payments	Balance March 31, 2006
2004 Restructuring:										
Employee separation	$	$	$	$	$ 146	$ (137)	$ 9	$	$	$ 9
Facility closure(1)					11,381	(8,416)	2,965		(606)	2,359
					11,527	(8,553)	2,974		(606)	2,368
2002 Restructuring:										
Employee separation	17		(17)							
Facility closure	3,520	(208)	(2,174)	1,138		(749)	389	(34)	(355)	
	3,537	(208)	(2,191)	1,138		(749)	389	(34)	(355)	
Total	$ 3,537	$ (208)	$ (2,191)	$ 1,138	$ 11,527	$ (9,302)	$ 3,363	$ (34)	(961)	$ 2,368

(1) Fiscal 2005 non-cash write-downs and payments consist of $7.7 million of non-cash write-downs and $0.7 million of

payments.

The remaining restructuring accrual as of March 31, 2006 represents an estimate of costs associated with leases of exited facilities and may require adjustment in the future. Approximately $1.5 million of the restructuring accrual is included under the caption other long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2006.

6. NON-RECOURSE RISPERDAL CONSTA SECURED 7% NOTES

On February 1, 2005, ACT II, pursuant to the terms of a purchase and sale agreement, sold, assigned and contributed to Royalty Sub the rights of ACT II to collect certain royalty payments and manufacturing fees (the Royalty Payments) earned under the Janssen Agreements (defined below) and certain agreements that may arise in the future, in exchange for approximately $144.2 million in cash. The Royalty Payments arise under: (i) the license agreements dated February 13, 1996 for the U.S. and its territories and February 21, 1996 for all countries other than the U.S. and its territories, by and between ACT II and Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and certain of its affiliated entities (JP); and (ii) the manufacturing and supply agreement dated August 6, 1997 by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International (JPI and together with JP, Janssen), JP and ACT II (collectively, the Janssen Agreements). The assets of Royalty Sub consist principally of the rights to the Royalty Payments described above.

Concurrently with the purchase and sale agreement, on February 1, 2005, Royalty Sub issued an aggregate principal amount of $170.0 million of its 7% Notes to certain institutional investors in a private placement, for net proceeds of approximately $144.2 million, after original issue discount and offering costs of approximately $19.7 million and $6.1 million, respectively. The yield to maturity at the time of the offer was 9.75%. The annual cash coupon rate is 7% and is payable quarterly, beginning on April 1, 2005, however, portions of the principal amount that are not paid off in accordance with the expected principal repayment profile will accrue interest at 9.75%. Through January 1, 2009, the holders will receive only the quarterly cash interest payments. Beginning on April 1, 2009, principal payments will be made to the holders, subject to certain conditions. Timing of the principal repayment will be based on the revenues received by Royalty Sub but will occur no earlier than equally over the twelve quarters between April 1, 2009 and January 1, 2012, subject to certain conditions. Non-

Table of Contents

payment of principal will not be an event of default prior to the legal maturity date of January 1, 2018. The 7% Notes, however, may be redeemed at Royalty Sub s option, subject, in certain circumstances, to the payment of a redemption premium. The 7% Notes are secured by: (i) all of Royalty Sub s property and rights, including the Royalty Rights; and (ii) ACT II s ownership interests in Royalty Sub. Accordingly, the assets of Royalty Sub will not be available to satisfy other obligations of Alkermes.

The Royalty Payments received by Royalty Sub under the Janssen Agreements are the sole source of payment of the interest, principal and redemption premium, if any, for the 7% Notes. The Company will receive all of the RISPERDAL CONSTA revenues in excess of interest, principal and redemption premium, if any. The Company s rights to receive such excess revenues will be subject to certain restrictions while the 7% Notes remain outstanding.

The offering costs were recorded under the caption Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and 2005. The Company amortizes the original issue discount and the offering costs over the expected principal repayment period ending January 1, 2012 as additional interest expense. During the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, amortization of the original issue discount and the offering costs on the 7% Notes totaled $3.8 million and $0.6 million, respectively.

7. CONVERTIBLE SUBORDINATED NOTES

Convertible subordinated notes consist of the following at March 31:

	2006	2005
2.5% convertible subordinated notes	$ 124,346	$ 122,346
3.75% convertible subordinated notes	676	676
Total	125,022	123,022
Less: current portion	676	
Convertible subordinated notes long-term portion	$ 124,346	$ 123,022

2.5% Subordinated Notes In August and September 2003, the Company issued an aggregate of $100.0 million and $25.0 million, respectively, principal amount of 2.5% convertible subordinated notes due 2023 (the 2.5% Subordinated Notes). The 2.5% Subordinated Notes are convertible into shares of the Company s common stock at a conversion price of $13.85 per share, subject to adjustment in certain events. The 2.5% Subordinated Notes bear interest at 2.5% per year, payable semiannually on March 1 and September 1, commencing on March 1, 2004 and are subordinated to existing and future senior indebtedness of the Company.

The Company may elect to automatically convert the notes anytime the closing price of its common stock has exceeded 150% of the conversion price ($20.78), for at least 20 trading days during any 30-day trading period. On May 22, 2006, the Company announced that it had exercised its right to automatically convert all of its outstanding 2.5% Subordinated Notes into approximately 9,025,271 shares of Company common stock.

If an automatic conversion occurs on or prior to September 1, 2006, the Company will pay additional interest in cash or, at the Company s option, in common stock, equal to three full years of interest on the converted notes (the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole), less any interest actually paid or provided for on the notes prior to automatic conversion.

As a part of the sale of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes, the Company incurred approximately $4.0 million of offering costs which were recorded under the caption Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets and are being amortized to interest expense over the estimated term of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes.

The Company adopted the provisions of DIG Issue B39 in the reporting period beginning January 1, 2006, at which time the carrying value of the embedded derivative, of $1.3 million, contained in the 2.5% Subordinated Notes was combined with the carrying value of the host contracts and will no longer require separate recognition or accounting. The carrying value of the embedded derivative at the time it was combined with the host contracts was $1.3 million. See discussion of the embedded derivative related to the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole in Note 9.

3.75% Subordinated Notes In February 2000, the Company issued $200.0 million principal amount of 3.75% convertible subordinated notes due 2007 (the 3.75% Subordinated Notes). The outstanding 3.75% Subordinated Notes are convertible into the Company s common stock, at the option of the holder, at a price of $67.75 per share, subject to adjustment upon certain events. The 3.75% Subordinated Notes bear interest at 3.75% payable semiannually, which commenced on August 15, 2000. The 3.75% Subordinated Notes were redeemable by the Company in cash at any time prior to February 19, 2003 if the Company s stock price exceeded $135.50 per share for at least 20 of the 30

Table of Contents

trading days immediately prior to the Company s delivery of the redemption notice. The 3.75% Subordinated Notes are also redeemable at any time on or after February 19, 2003 at certain declining redemption prices. In certain circumstances, at the option of the holders, the Company may be required to repurchase the 3.75% Subordinated Notes. The required repurchase may be in cash or, at the option of the Company, in common stock, at 105% of the principal amount of the 3.75% Subordinated Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In December 2002, $199.3 million principal amount of the Company s 3.75% Subordinated Notes were exchanged for $114.6 million of 6.52% Senior Notes (defined below).

6.52% Senior Notes In December 2002, Alkermes consummated an exchange offer with participating holders of its 3.75% Subordinated Notes. The Company issued approximately $174.6 million aggregate principal amount of its new 6.52% convertible senior subordinated notes due December 31, 2009 (the 6.52% Senior Notes), including approximately $114.6 million of 6.52% Senior Notes issued in exchange for 3.75% Subordinated Notes tendered in the exchange offer, and $60.0 million of 6.52% Senior Notes sold for cash to holders of the 3.75% Subordinated Notes who participated in the exchange offer. In June 2003 the Company announced that it had exercised its right to automatically convert all of its outstanding 6.52% Senior Notes into shares of the Company s common stock. The 6.52% Senior Notes were convertible into the Company s common stock, at the option of the holder, at a price of $7.682 per share, subject to adjustment upon certain events. During June and July 2003, $150.7 million principal amount of 6.52% Senior Notes were exchanged for, and $23.9 million principal amount of such notes were converted into, approximately 24.0 million shares of common stock. The Company paid approximately $2.3 million in cash to satisfy a two-year interest make-whole (the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole) provision in the bond indenture. None of the 6.52% Senior Notes are outstanding at March 31, 2006 and 2005, and no gain or loss was recorded on the conversion of the 6.52% Senior Notes, which was made in accordance with the underlying indenture.

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following at March 31:

	2006	2005
Term loan and equipment financing arrangement	$ 2,484	$ 3,519
Obligation under capital lease	249	338
Total	2,733	3,857
Less: current portion	(1,214)	(1,124)
Other long-term debt	$ 1,519	$ 2,733

Term Loan and Equipment Financing Arrangement On December 22, 2004, the Company entered into a term loan in the principal amount of approximately $3.7 million with General Electric Capital Corporation (GE). The term loan is secured by certain of the Company s equipment pursuant to a security agreement and is subject to an ongoing financial covenant related to the Company s available cash position. The loan is payable in 36 monthly installments with the final installment due on December 27, 2007 and bears a floating interest rate equal to the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (4.83% at March 31, 2006) plus 5.45%.

The Company may prepay the term loan without penalty, contingent on GE s approval, and further use the $3.7 million of available credit to finance new equipment purchases with the same terms and conditions as the equipment lease line noted below. If the Company fails to pay any amounts when due, or is in default under or fails to perform any term or condition of the security agreement, then GE may elect to accelerate the entire outstanding principal amount of the loan with accrued interest such that the amounts are immediately due and payable from the Company to GE. In addition, all amounts accelerated shall bear interest at 18% until such amounts are paid in full. As of March 31, 2006, approximately $2.4 million was outstanding under the term loan.

In addition, in December 2004, the Company entered into a commitment for equipment financing with GE. The equipment financing, in the form of an equipment lease line, provides the Company with the ability to finance up to $18.3 million in new equipment purchases. The equipment financing would be secured by the purchased equipment

and will be subject to a financial covenant. The lease terms provide the Company with the option at the end of the lease to: (a) purchase the equipment from GE at the then prevailing market value; (b) renew the lease at a fair market rental value, subject to remaining economic useful life requirements; or (c) return the equipment to GE, subject to certain conditions. As of March 31, 2006, there were no amounts outstanding under this commitment.

Table of Contents

Scheduled maturities with respect to long-term obligations, excluding capital leases, for the next five fiscal years are as follows:

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
7% Notes(1)	$	$	$	$ 56,667	$ 56,667
Convertible subordinated notes	676				
Term loan	1,118	1,366			
Total	$ 1,794	$ 1,366	$	$ 56,667	$ 56,667

(1) The 7% Notes were issued by Royalty Sub. The 7% Notes are non-recourse to Alkermes (see Note 6).

Obligation Under Capital Lease In September 2003, Alkermes and Johnson & Johnson Finance Corporation (J&J Finance) entered into a 60-month sale-leaseback agreement to provide the Company with equipment financing under which the Company received approximately $0.5 million in proceeds from J&J Finance.

Total annual future minimum lease payments under the capital lease are as follows:

Fiscal Years Ending 2007	$ 114
2008	114
2009	48
Total	276
Less: amount representing interest	(27)
Present value of future lease payments	249
Less: current portion	(97)
Noncurrent obligation under capital lease	$ 152

9. DERIVATIVES

In June 2005, the FASB released DIG Issue B39, which modified accounting guidance for determining whether an embedded call option held by the issuer of a debt contract would require separate accounting recognition. The Company adopted the provisions of DIG Issue B39 in the reporting period beginning January 1, 2006, at which time the carrying value of the embedded derivative contained in the Company s 2.5% Subordinated Notes was combined with the carrying value of the host contract. Beginning January 1, 2006, the Company no longer records changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded derivatives in its results of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

6.52% Senior Notes The Company recorded a derivative liability related to the 6.52% Senior Notes. The Two-Year Interest Make-Whole provision, included in the note indenture and described in Note 7 above, represented an embedded derivative which was required to be accounted for apart from the underlying 6.52% Senior Notes. At issuance of the 6.52% Senior Notes, the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was estimated to have a fair value of $9.0 million and the initial recorded value of the 6.52% Senior Notes was reduced by this allocation. The estimated value of the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was adjusted quarterly through Derivative (loss) income related to convertible subordinated notes in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), for

changes in the estimated market value of the feature. During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded charges of $0, $0 and $3.8 million, respectively, in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), for changes in the estimated market value of the feature after issuance. In June 2003, the Company announced that it exercised its automatic conversion right for the 6.52% Senior Notes. The embedded derivative was adjusted to the value of the remaining balance of the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole payment, approximately $17.1 million, and upon conversion of the then outstanding 6.52% Senior Notes, and payment of the Two-Year Interest Make-Whole, the embedded derivative was settled in full and the balance was reduced to zero.

2.5% Subordinated Notes The Company recorded a derivative liability related to the 2.5% Subordinated Notes. The Three-Year Interest Make-Whole provision, included in the note indenture and described in Note 7 above, represents an embedded derivative which was required to be accounted for apart from the underlying 2.5% Subordinated Notes through the reporting period ended December 31, 2005. At issuance of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes, the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole had an estimated initial aggregate fair value of $3.9 million, which reduced the amount of the outstanding debt and was recorded under the caption Derivative liability related to convertible subordinated notes in the consolidated balance sheets. The $3.9 million initially allocated to the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was treated as a discount on the 2.5% Subordinated Notes and was being accreted to interest expense over five years through September 1, 2008, the first date on which holders of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes have the right to require the Company to repurchase the 2.5% Subordinated Notes. The estimated value of the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole feature was carried in the consolidated balance sheets under the caption Derivative liability related to convertible subordinated notes through the reporting period ended December 31, 2005 and was adjusted to its fair value on a quarterly basis. Quarterly adjustments to the fair value of the Three-Year Interest Make-Whole were charged to Derivative (loss) income

Table of Contents

related to convertible subordinated notes in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded a charge of $1.1 million, income of $4.4 million and a charge of $4.5 million, respectively, in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for changes in the estimated market value of the feature after issuance. The recorded value of the derivative liability related to the 2.5% Subordinated Notes was $1.3 million and $0.3 million at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Warrants The Company has received certain warrants to purchase securities of certain publicly held companies, received in connection with its collaboration and licensing activities. The warrants are valued using an established option pricing model and changes in value are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) under the caption Other income (expense), net. At March 31, 2006 and 2005, the warrants had estimated fair values of approximately $2.3 million and $0.9 million, respectively. During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded income of approximately $1.4 million, charges of approximately $2.0 million and income of approximately $2.1 million, respectively. The recorded value of the warrants can fluctuate significantly based on fluctuations in the market value of the underlying securities of the issuer of the warrants.

10. REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

In December 2002, the Company and Lilly expanded the collaboration for the development of inhaled formulations of insulin and hGH based on the Company s AIR® pulmonary drug delivery technology. In connection with the expansion, Lilly purchased $30.0 million of the Company s newly issued 2002 redeemable convertible preferred stock, $0.01 par value per share (the Preferred Stock), in accordance with a stock purchase agreement dated December 13, 2002 (the Stock Purchase Agreement). The Preferred Stock has a liquidation preference of $10,000 per share and no dividends are payable by the Company on these securities. Lilly has the right to return the Preferred Stock in exchange for a reduction in the royalties payable to the Company on sales of the AIR insulin product, if approved. The Preferred Stock is convertible into the Company s common stock at market price under certain conditions at the Company s option, and automatically upon the filing of a NDA with the FDA for an AIR insulin product.

Under the expanded collaboration, the royalties payable to the Company on sales of the AIR insulin product were increased. The Company agreed to use the proceeds from the issuance of the Preferred Stock primarily to fund the AIR insulin development program and to use a portion of the proceeds to fund the AIR hGH development program. The Company did not record research and development revenue on these programs while the proceeds from the Preferred Stock funded this development. The $30.0 million of research and development expended by the Company was recognized as research and development expense as incurred. All of the proceeds from the sale of the Preferred Stock had been spent through fiscal year 2005.

The Preferred Stock is carried on the consolidated balance sheets at its estimated fair value in the amount of $15.0 million and $30.0 million as of March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In October 2005, the Company converted 1,500 shares of the Preferred Stock with a carrying value of $15.0 million into 823,677 shares of Company common stock. The conversion secured a proportionate increase in the minimum royalty rate payable to the Company on sales by Lilly of the AIR insulin product, if approved.

Because Lilly has a put right and the Preferred Stock can be returned in circumstances outside of the Company s control, the Company accounted for the initial issuance of the Preferred Stock as an equity instrument in temporary equity at its initial issuance and conversion value. The Preferred Stock remains in temporary equity until such time as the put right is exercised, no longer has economic effect or becomes unexercisable as a result of a conversion or redemption. The Company re-evaluates the carrying value of the Preferred Stock on an ongoing basis to determine if the fair value is different than its current carrying value. As of March 31, 2006, the Company has determined that the fair value of the Preferred Stock has not changed from its initial issuance amount, other than the change due to the exercise of its conversion right in October 2005, which converted $15.0 million of the Preferred Stock into an equivalent amount of Company common stock.

The Company considers its agreements with Lilly for the development of inhaled formulations of insulin and the stock purchase agreement dated December 13, 2002 a single arrangement (the Arrangement). As the Arrangement contains elements of funded research and development activities, the Company determined that the Arrangement

should be accounted for as a financing arrangement under SFAS No. 68, *Research and Development Arrangements* (SFAS 68).

Under the Arrangement, the Company reserves the right to call, subject to Lilly s approval, the Preferred Stock and Lilly reserves the right to put the Preferred Stock. In both instances, the Preferred Stock would be returned to the Company and Lilly s rights would be limited to the services required to be performed by the Company under the Arrangement. Accordingly, if and when either the call or put are exercised and the Preferred Stock is returned to the Company, or Lilly s put rights expire in December 2008, at that time the Preferred Stock will be reclassified to deferred revenue at its carrying value and will be recognized as revenue in accordance with accounting guidance in effect at that time.

Table of Contents

11. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Conversion of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock into Common Stock On October 4, 2005, the Company converted 1,500 shares of its Preferred Stock with a carrying value of $15.0 million into 823,677 shares of Company common stock. The conversion was made in accordance with the Stock Purchase Agreement with Lilly.

Share Repurchase Program On September 23, 2005, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to $15.0 million dollars of common stock in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions to offset any dilutive impact of the Preferred Stock conversion on October 4, 2005. The Company expects to make the repurchases at the discretion of management from time to time depending on market conditions. The repurchase program has no set expiration date and may be suspended or discontinued at any time. As of March 31, 2006, no shares had been repurchased under the program. As of the close of trading on the NASDAQ National Market on June 12, 2006, the Company had repurchased 134,630 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $19.52.

Conversion of 6.52% Senior Notes into Common Stock In June 2003, the Company announced that it had exercised its right to automatically convert all of its outstanding 6.52% Senior Notes into shares of the Company s common stock. During June and July 2003, the Company issued an aggregate amount of approximately 24.0 million shares of common stock in connection with the exchanges and conversions (see Note 7).

Shareholder Rights Plan In February 2003, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted a shareholder rights plan (the Rights Plan) under which all common shareholders of record as of February 20, 2003 received rights to purchase shares of a new series of preferred stock. The Rights Plan is designed to enable all Alkermes shareholders to realize the full value of their investment and to provide for fair and equal treatment for all shareholders in the event that an unsolicited attempt is made to acquire the Company. The adoption of the Rights Plan is intended as a means to guard against coercive takeover tactics and is not in response to any particular proposal. The rights will be distributed as a nontaxable dividend and will expire ten years from the record date. Each right will initially entitle common shareholders to purchase a fractional share of the preferred stock for $80. Subject to certain exceptions, the rights will be exercisable only if a person or group acquires 15% or more of the Company s common stock or announces a tender or exchange offer upon the consummation of which such person or group would own 15% or more of the Company s common stock. Subject to certain exceptions, if any person or group acquires 15% or more of the Company s common stock, all rights holders, except the acquiring person or group, will be entitled to acquire the Company s common stock (and in certain instances, the stock of the acquirer) at a discount. The rights will trade with the Company s common stock, unless and until they are separated upon the occurrence of certain future events. Generally, the Company s Board of Directors may amend the Rights Plan or redeem the rights prior to ten days (subject to extension) following a public announcement that a person or group has acquired 15% or more of the Company s common stock.

12. STOCK OPTIONS AND AWARDS

The Company s stock option plans (the Plans) provide for issuance of nonqualified and incentive stock options to employees, officers and directors of, and consultants to, the Company. Stock options generally expire ten years from the grant date and generally vest ratably over a four-year period, except for grants to the non-employee directors, which vest over six months. The exercise price of stock options granted under the Plans may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The measurement date for accounting purposes is generally the date of the grant. Under the terms of one plan, the option exercise price may be below the fair market value, but not below par value, of the underlying stock at the time the option is granted. The Company has reserved a total of 21,735,331 shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise of options that have been or may be granted under the Plans.

The compensation committee of the Board of Directors administers the Plans and determines who is to receive options, the exercise price and terms of such options. The compensation committee has delegated its authority to the compensation sub-committee to make grants and awards under the Plans to officers and has delegated its authority to the compensation sub-committee to make grants under the Plans up to 5,000 shares per individual grantee. The Board of Directors administers the stock option plan for Directors.

Under the 1990 Omnibus Stock Option Plan, (the 1990 Plan) limited stock appreciation rights (LSARs) may be granted to all or any portion of shares covered by stock options granted to directors and executive officers. LSARs

may be granted with the grant of a nonqualified stock option or at any time during the term of such option but could only be granted at the time of the grant in the case of an incentive stock option. The grants of LSARs are not effective until six months after their date of grant. Upon the occurrence of certain triggering events, including a change of control, the options with respect to which LSARs have been granted shall become immediately exercisable and the persons who have received LSARs will automatically receive a cash payment in lieu of shares. As of March 31, 2006, there were no LSARs outstanding under the 1990 Plan. No LSARs were granted during the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Table of Contents

The Company has also adopted restricted stock award plans (the Award Plans) which provide for awards to certain eligible employees, officers and directors of, and consultants to, the Company of up to a maximum of 1,000,000 shares of common stock. Awards generally vest over two years or less. During the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, 47,600, 0 and 0 shares of common stock, respectively, were awarded under the Award Plans and 0, 112,752 and 54,799 shares, respectively, ceased to be subject to forfeiture and were issued. At March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, there were awards for 91,000, 51,200 and 167,702 shares outstanding under the Award Plans, respectively.

At March 31, 2006, the Company has reserved a total of 446,150 shares of common stock for issuance upon release of awards that have been or may be granted under the Award Plans. Noncash compensation expense of approximately $0.4 million, $0.3 million and $1.5 million in the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, resulted from the award of restricted stock to certain employees and has been recorded under the captions Research and development and Selling, general and administrative , as appropriate, in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Included in the consolidated statements of shareholders equity is deferred compensation of approximately $0.4 million and $0 related to option grants and restricted stock awards granted in the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

A combined summary of option activity under the Plans is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Exercise Price per Share	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Balance April 1, 2003	13,637,174	$ 0.30-$96.88	$ 16.49
Granted	3,692,660	9.25-15.48	12.22
Exercised	(514,285)	0.30-12.16	6.63
Canceled	(1,466,149)	4.02-48.03	17.48
Balance March 31, 2004	15,349,400	0.30-96.88	15.70
Granted	4,632,534	8.78-16.69	14.05
Exercised	(581,513)	0.30-12.16	5.21
Canceled	(1,639,353)	4.77-44.33	17.40
Balance March 31, 2005	17,761,068	0.30-96.88	15.45
Granted	2,526,873	9.93-25.93	18.29
Exercised	(921,477)	1.66-24.04	9.29
Canceled	(632,641)	4.77-44.33	16.65
Balance March 31, 2006	18,733,823	$ 0.30-$96.88	$ 16.09

Outstanding and exercisable options under the Plans at March 31, 2006 are summarized below:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (In years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
$0.30 - $ 7.36	2,484,240	5.80	$ 6.45	1,941,028	$ 6.45
7.42 - 11.63	2,079,364	5.91	9.51	1,311,880	9.17

11.66 - 13.84	2,481,259	7.95	12.35	1,106,229	12.38
13.85 - 14.90	3,309,317	8.40	14.81	1,038,686	14.76
14.91 - 18.44	2,362,086	5.32	16.64	1,838,542	16.67
18.58 - 19.40	2,966,607	7.92	18.94	1,251,922	19.39
19.89 - 96.88	3,050,950	5.05	29.68	2,872,138	30.02
$0.30 - $96.88	18,733,823	6.71	$ 16.09	11,360,425	$ 17.14

At March 31, 2005 and 2004, options to purchase 9,361,409 and 8,068,774 shares were exercisable at weighted average exercise prices of $17.86 and $17.93, respectively.

13. COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has entered into several arrangements with partners to provide research and development on partners products and develop, manufacture and commercialize the Company s or partner s products. In connection with these agreements, the Company has granted certain licenses or the right to obtain certain licenses to technology developed by the Company. In return for such grants, the Company receives a

Table of Contents

share of certain product profits, if any, funding for its research and development expenses on the projects, payments upon the achievement of certain milestones, and royalties on sales of certain products developed, if any. The Company has, or may obtain, the right to manufacture and supply products developed under certain of these arrangements.

Janssen

Pursuant to a development agreement, the Company collaborated with Janssen on the development of RISPERDAL CONSTA. Under the development agreement, Janssen provided funding to the Company for the development of RISPERDAL CONSTA, and Janssen is responsible for securing all necessary regulatory approvals for the product. RISPERDAL CONSTA has been approved in more than 75 countries. RISPERDAL CONSTA has been launched in more than 55 countries, including the U.S. and several major international markets. The Company exclusively manufactures RISPERDAL CONSTA for commercial sale and receives manufacturing revenues when product is shipped to Janssen and royalty revenues upon the final sale of the product.

Under product license agreements, Janssen and an affiliate of Janssen have exclusive worldwide licenses from the Company to use and sell RISPERDAL CONSTA. Under the license agreements, Janssen is required to pay the Company certain royalties on all RISPERDAL CONSTA sold to customers. Janssen can terminate the license agreements upon 30 days prior written notice to the Company.

Pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement, Janssen has appointed the Company as the exclusive supplier of RISPERDAL CONSTA for commercial sales. Under our manufacturing and supply agreement with Janssen, the Company records manufacturing revenues upon shipment of product by the Company to Janssen based on a percentage of Janssen s net selling price. This percentage of net selling price varies based upon the volume of units shipped to Janssen in any given calendar year, with a minimum manufacturing fee of 7.5%. Under the Company s license agreements with Janssen, the Company also records royalty revenues equal to 2.5% of Janssen s net sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA in the quarter when the product is sold by Janssen.

Under the manufacturing and supply agreement, Janssen is required to pay the Company certain annual minimum manufacturing revenues relating to the Company s sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA to Janssen. The annual minimum manufacturing revenues from sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA are determined by a formula and, in the aggregate, are currently estimated to be approximately $184.5 million. This amount was automatically increased from $150.0 million as a result of additional investment by the Company in the RISPERDAL CONSTA manufacturing infrastructure. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had recognized approximately $143.4 million of cumulative manufacturing revenues against the estimated $184.5 million minimum.

The manufacturing and supply agreement terminates on expiration of the license agreements. In addition, either party may terminate the manufacturing and supply agreement upon a material breach by the other party which is not resolved within 60 days written notice or upon written notice in the event of the other party s insolvency or bankruptcy. Janssen may terminate the agreement upon six months written notice to the Company. In the event that Janssen terminates the manufacturing and supply agreement without terminating the product license agreements, the royalty rate payable to the Company on Janssen s net sales of RISPERDAL CONSTA will increase from 2.5% to 5.0%.

Cephalon

In June 2005, the Company entered into a license and collaboration agreement and supply agreement with Cephalon to jointly develop, manufacture and commercialize extended-release forms of naltrexone, including VIVITROL, in the U.S. The Company formed a joint development team with Cephalon, and the companies share responsibility for additional development of the Products. The Company has primary responsibility for conducting such development and is responsible for obtaining marketing approval for VIVITROL in the U.S. for the treatment of alcohol dependence, which the Company received from the FDA in April 2006. The Company formed a joint commercialization team with Cephalon, and the companies share responsibility for developing the commercial strategy for the Products. Cephalon has primary responsibility for the commercialization, including distribution and marketing, of the Products in the U.S., and the Company supports this effort with a team of managers of market development. The Company has the option to staff its own field sales force in addition to its managers of market development at the time of the first sales force expansion, should one occur. The Company has also formed a joint

supply team with Cephalon, and the Company has primary responsibility for the manufacture of the Products.

The Agreements are in effect until the later of: (i) the expiration of certain patent rights; or (ii) fifteen (15) years from the date of the first commercial sale of the Products in the U.S.

Cephalon has the right to terminate the Agreements at any time by providing 180 days prior written notice to the Company, subject to certain continuing rights and obligations between the parties. The supply agreement terminates upon termination or expiration of the license and collaboration agreement or the later expiration of our obligations pursuant to the Agreements to continue to supply Products to Cephalon.

Table of Contents

In addition, either party may terminate the license and collaboration agreement upon a material breach by the other party which is not cured within 90 days written notice of material breach or, in certain circumstances, a 30 day extension of that period, and either party may terminate the supply agreement upon a material breach by the other party which is not cured within 180 days written notice of material breach or, in certain circumstances, a 30 day extension of that period.

Lilly

AIR insulin

In April 2001, the Company entered into a development and license agreement with Lilly for the development of inhaled formulations of insulin and other compounds potentially useful for the treatment of diabetes, based on the Company s AIR pulmonary drug delivery technology. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company is responsible for formulation and preclinical testing as well as the development of a device to use in connection with any products developed. Lilly has paid or will pay to the Company certain initial fees, research funding and milestones payable upon achieving certain development and commercialization goals. Lilly has exclusive worldwide rights to make, use and sell pulmonary formulations of such compounds. Lilly will be responsible for clinical trials, obtaining all regulatory approvals and marketing any AIR insulin products. The Company will manufacture such product candidates for clinical trials and both the Company and Lilly will manufacture such products for commercial sales, if any. The Company will receive certain royalties and commercial manufacturing fees based upon such product sales, if any.

Lilly has the right to terminate the agreement upon 90 days written notice to the Company at any time prior to the first commercial launch of a product or upon 180 days written notice at any time after such first commercial launch. In addition, either party may terminate the agreement upon a material breach or default by the other party which is not cured within 90 days written notice of material breach or default.

In February 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Lilly that provided for an investment by them in the Company s production facility for inhaled pharmaceutical products based on the Company s AIR pulmonary drug delivery technology. This facility, located in Chelsea, Massachusetts, is designed to accommodate the manufacturing of multiple products. Lilly s investment was used to fund a portion of AIR insulin production and packaging capabilities. This funding is secured by Lilly s ownership of specific equipment located and used in the facility. The Company has the right to purchase the equipment from Lilly, at any time, at the then-current net book value.

AIR parathyroid hormone

In December 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Lilly to develop and commercialize inhaled formulations of parathyroid hormone (PTH) utilizing our AIR pulmonary drug delivery system. The initial development program will utilize the Company s AIR pulmonary drug delivery system in combination with Lilly s recombinant PTH, FORTEO® (teriparatide (rDNA origin) injection). FORTEO was approved by the FDA in 2002 for the treatment of osteoporosis in men and postmenopausal women who are at high risk of bone fracture.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will receive funding for product and process development activities and upfront and milestone payments. The Company will have principal responsibility for the formulation and non clinical development and testing of the compound for use in the product device including device development. Lilly will have principal responsibility for toxicological and clinical development of the product and sole responsibility for the achievement of regulatory approval and commercialization of the product. Lilly will have exclusive worldwide rights to products resulting from the collaboration and will pay the Company royalties based on product sales, if any, beginning on the date of product launch in the relevant country and ending on the later of either the expiration of AIR patent rights or ten years from product launch in that particular country. The Company will manufacture the product for preclinical, Phase I and Phase II clinical trials. Not later than the completion of Phase II clinical trials for the product, the parties will negotiate a manufacturing agreement for Phase III clinical trial and commercial supply. Under this manufacturing agreement, Lilly would be obligated to purchase from the Company an agreed to minimum supply of the product each calendar year.

Lilly may terminate the development and license agreement for any reason at any time, with or without cause, by providing the Company with 90 days prior written notice prior to product launch or upon 180 days prior written notice after product launch. In addition, either party may terminate the agreement upon a material breach or default by the

other party which is not cured within 90 days written notice of material breach or default or, in certain cases, a 90 day extension of this period.

Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Amylin)

In May 2000, the Company entered into a development and license agreement with Amylin for the development of a long-acting release (LAR) formulation of exenatide (exenatide LAR), which is under development for the treatment of type-two diabetes. Pursuant to the

Table of Contents

development and license agreement, Amylin has an exclusive, worldwide license to the Medisorb technology for the development and commercialization of injectable extended-release formulations of exendins and other related compounds that Amylin may develop. Amylin has entered into a collaboration agreement with Lilly for the development and commercialization of exenatide, including exenatide LAR. The Company receives funding for research and development and milestone payments consisting of cash and warrants for Amylin common stock upon achieving certain development and commercialization goals and will also receive royalty payments based on future product sales, if any. The Company is responsible for formulation and non clinical development of any products that may be developed pursuant to the agreement and for manufacturing these products for use in clinical trials. Subject to its arrangement with Lilly, Amylin is responsible for conducting clinical trials, securing regulatory approvals and marketing any products resulting from the collaboration on a worldwide basis. The Company has the option of becoming the commercial manufacturer of certain additional products developed under the agreement.

Amylin may terminate the development and license agreement for any reason upon 90 days written notice to the Company if such termination occurs before filing an NDA with the FDA or 180 days written notice after such event. In addition, either party may terminate the development and license agreement upon a material default or breach by the other party that is not cured within 60 days written notice.

In October 2005, the Company amended its existing development and license agreement with Amylin, and reached agreement regarding the construction of a manufacturing facility for exenatide LAR and certain technology transfer related thereto. In December 2005, Amylin purchased a facility for the manufacture of exenatide LAR and began construction in early calendar year 2006. Amylin is responsible for all costs and expenses associated with the design and validation of the facility. The parties have agreed that the Company will transfer its technology for the manufacture of exenatide LAR to Amylin. Following the completion of the technology transfer, Amylin will be responsible for the manufacture of the once-weekly formulation of exenatide LAR and will operate the facility. Amylin will pay the Company royalties for commercial sales of this product, if approved, in accordance with the development and license agreement.

Revenues from partners consist of the following for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	2006		2005		2004	
Partner	**Revenue**	**% of Total Revenues**	**Revenue**	**% of Total Revenues**	**Revenue**	**% of Total Revenues**
Janssen	$ 82,798	49%	$ 50,446	66%	$ 28,488	73%
Cephalon	39,285	24%				
Lilly	34,946	21%	16,833	22%	333	1%
Amylin	7,882	5%	5,156	7%	3,797	10%
Genentech	19		526	1%	4,495	12%
All Other	1,671	1%	3,165	4%	1,941	4%
Total	$ 166,601	100%	$ 76,126	100%	$ 39,054	100%

Table of Contents

Receivables due from partners consist of the following as of March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	2006		2005	
Partner	Receivables	% of Total Receivables	Receivables	% of Total Receivables
Janssen	$ 27,258	69%	$ 11,360	60%
Lilly	8,497	21%	5,432	29%
Amylin	2,953	7%	1,110	6%
Genentech			594	3%
All Other	1,094	3%	319	2%
Total	$ 39,802	100%	$ 18,815	100%

14. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

ADC II served as the 1% general partner of Alkermes Clinical Partners, L.P. (Clinical Partners), a limited partnership engaged in a research and development project with the Company.

On December 17, 2003, the limited partners of Clinical Partners approved the termination of the partnership, and the partnership was subsequently dissolved. As a result of this termination, the development program and obligations ceased, the purchase option terminated and the Cereport and RMP technology reverted to Clinical Partners in the U.S. and Canada.

Amounts expended to, or on behalf of, Clinical Partners by the Company in the year ended March 31, 2004 were $0.2 million.

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lease Commitments The Company leases certain of its offices, research laboratories and manufacturing facilities under operating leases with initial terms of one to twenty years, expiring through 2012. Several of the leases contain provisions for extensions of up to 10 years. These lease commitments are primarily related to the Company s corporate headquarters and manufacturing facilities in Massachusetts.

In November 2002, the Company and GE entered into a 36-month sale-leaseback agreement to provide the Company with equipment financing, under which the Company received proceeds of approximately $6.0 million. The sale-leaseback resulted in a loss of approximately $1.3 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized as an adjustment to rent expense over the term of the lease agreement. The sale-leaseback agreement terminated in November 2005, and in February 2006 the Company purchased the leased equipment for the amount of $0.8 million from GE under the terms of the agreement.

At March 31, 2006, the total future annual minimum lease payments under the Company s non-cancelable operating leases are as follows:

Fiscal Years:	
2007	$ 9,976
2008	10,423
2009	10,309
2010	10,232
2011	10,254
Thereafter	133,707
	184,901
Less: estimated sublease income	(3,204)
Total	$ 181,697

Rent expense related to operating leases charged to operations was approximately $16.3 million, $18.4 million and $16.2 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

License and Royalty Commitments The Company has entered into license agreements with certain corporations and universities that require the Company to pay annual license fees and royalties based on a percentage of revenues from sales of certain products and royalties from sublicenses granted by the Company. Amounts paid under these agreements were approximately $0.3 million, $0.3 million and $0.2 million for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are recorded under the caption Research and development in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Commitments are expected to approximate $0.2 million annually for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

16. INCOME TAXES

The Company s primary temporary differences that give rise to the deferred tax asset and liability include, but are not limited to, net operating losses (NOLs) and tax credit carryforwards. The Company s tax attributes as of March 31 were as follows:

	2006	2005
NOL carryforwards federal and state	$ 218,370	$ 221,560
Tax credit carryforwards	38,688	35,770
Capitalized research and development expenses net of amortization	275	620
Alkermes Europe, Ltd. NOL carryforward	8,987	8,990
Other	469	417
Less: valuation allowance	(266,789)	(267,357)
	$	$

As of March 31, 2006, the Company had approximately $575.0 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards, $371.0 million of state net operating loss carryforwards, and $25.0 million of foreign net operating loss and foreign loss carryforwards, which expire on various dates through 2026 or can be carried forward indefinitely. These loss carryforwards are available to reduce future federal, state and foreign taxable income, if any. These loss carryforwards are subject to review and possible adjustment by the applicable taxing authorities. The available loss carryforwards that may be utilized in any future period may be subject to limitation based upon historical changes in the ownership of the Company s stock. The Company is presently analyzing historical ownership changes to determine whether the losses are limited under Sec. 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The valuation allowance relates to the Company s net operating losses and deferred tax assets and is recorded based upon the uncertainty surrounding future utilization.

Included in the valuation allowance for the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 is approximately $39.0 million and $37.0 million, respectively, of benefit related to certain net operating loss carryforwards resulting from the exercise of employee stock options, the tax benefit of which, when recognized, will be accounted for as a credit to additional paid-in capital rather than a reduction of income tax.

The following table presents reconciliation from the U.S. statutory tax rate to the Company s effective tax rate from continuing operations:

	2006	2005	2004
Statutory rate	34.0%	34.0%	34.0%
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	4.4%	(4.2)%	0.9%
Research and development benefit	(67.1)%	4.2%	3.1%
Amortization of deferred compensation	3.9%	(0.1)%	(0.5)%
Non deductible meals and entertainment	1.5%	(0.1)%	0.0%
Non deductible interest	33.8%	(1.8)%	(0.3)%
Change in valuation allowance	(10.5)%	(32.0)%	(37.2)%
Effective rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

17. LITIGATION

On October 27, 2005, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts entered an order dismissing, in its entirety and with prejudice, a purported securities class action lawsuit against Alkermes and certain of its current and former officers and directors.

Beginning in October 2003, the Company and certain of its current and former officers and directors were named as defendants in six purported securities class action lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The cases were captioned: Bennett v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12091 (D. Mass.); Ragosta v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12184 (D. Mass.); Barry Family LP v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12243 (D. Mass.); Waltzer v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12277 (D. Mass.); Folkerts v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al.,

1:03-CV-12386 (D. Mass.); and Slavas v. Alkermes, Inc., et. al., 1:03-CV-12471 (D. Mass.). On May 14, 2004, the six actions were consolidated into a single action captioned: In re Alkermes Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 03-CV-12091-RCL (D. Mass.). On July 12, 2004, a single consolidated amended complaint was filed on behalf of purchasers of the Company s common stock during the period April 22, 1999 to July 1, 2002. The consolidated amended complaint generally alleged, among other things, that, during such period, the defendants made misstatements to the investing public relating to the manufacture and FDA approval of the Company s RISPERDAL CONSTA product. The consolidated amended complaint sought unspecified damages. On September 10, 2004, the Company and the individual defendants filed a motion seeking dismissal of the litigation on numerous legal grounds, and the Court referred that motion to a federal magistrate judge of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts for issuance of a report and recommendation as to disposition of the motion to dismiss. The Court heard oral argument on the motion on January 12, 2005. On October 6, 2005, the federal magistrate judge issued a report and recommendation for dismissal, in its entirety, of the above-captioned purported securities class action

Table of Contents

litigation. After issuance of this ruling, on October 21, 2005, the lead plaintiff and the Company and the individual defendants filed a stipulation with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts providing for dismissal of this action, in its entirety and with prejudice. On October 27, 2005, the Court entered an order dismissing the action with prejudice as provided in such stipulation and terminating the case on the Court s docket.

From time to time, the Company may be subject to other legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. The Company is not currently aware of any such proceedings or claims that it believes will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 27, 2006, the Company received a nonrefundable milestone payment of $110.0 million from Cephalon following FDA approval of VIVITROL. The payment was received pursuant to the existing Agreements with Cephalon to jointly develop, manufacture and commercialize VIVITROL.

On May 22, 2006, the Company announced that it had exercised its right to automatically convert all of its outstanding 2.5% Subordinated Notes into approximately 9,025,271 shares of common stock, pursuant to the terms of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes issued in August and September 2003. Under the terms of the 2.5% Subordinated Notes, the Company has the right to elect to automatically convert the 2.5% Subordinated Notes when the closing price of the Company s common stock exceeds $20.78 for 20 trading days during any 30-day trading period. The conversion date is June 15, 2006.

19. RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Subsequent to the filing of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2006, management identified errors with respect to the measurement date for one stock option grant in each of 2000 and 2005. The errors are a result of changes that were or may have been made to option grants for a limited number of non-executive employees subsequent to the grant date, which resulted in different measurement dates for accounting purposes. In both instances, the aggregate amount of options granted decreased after the grant date. No options from either the 2000 or 2005 grants have been exercised. The Company has determined that the aggregate non-cash, stock-based compensation expenses related to the 2000 grant of 2.4 million shares is approximately $9.7 million, which would have been recorded as an operating expense for the years ended March 31, 2001 through 2005. With respect to the 2005 grant, although an error had occurred, since the new measurement date for the 2005 grant had a lower stock price than that used in its original accounting, the Company concluded that no adjustment to its financial statements is required with respect to this grant.

The following tables set forth the effects of the restatement on certain line items within the Company s consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended March 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 and consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

Income statement changes:	Year ended March 31, 2005	2004
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Stock-based compensation		
As previously reported	$	$
As restated	$ 1,551	$ 3,684
Total expenses		
As previously reported	$ 148,249	$ 135,955
As restated	$ 149,501	$ 138,136
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		
As previously reported	$ (72,123)	$ (96,901)
As restated	$ (73,375)	$ (99,082)
NET INCOME (LOSS)		
As previously reported	$ (73,916)	$ (102,385)
As restated	$ (75,168)	$ (104,566)
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE: BASIC		
As previously reported	$ (0.82)	$ (1.25)
As restated	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE: DILUTED		
As previously reported	$ (0.82)	$ (1.25)
As restated	$ (0.83)	$ (1.27)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	$ (75,147)	$ (101,201)
As previously reported	$ (76,399)	$ (103,382)
As restated		

Balance Sheet changes:	As of March 31, 2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Additional paid-in capital		
As previously reported	$ 654,850	$ 630,492
As restated	$ 664,596	$ 640,238
Accumulated deficit		
As previously reported	$(623,245)	$(627,063)
As restated	$(632,991)	$(636,809)

The Company recorded a prior period adjustment to increase additional paid-in capital and accumulated deficit as of the beginning of the year ended March 31, 2003 by $6,313 for the cumulative effect of the error.

For comparability purposes, stock-based compensation historically recorded related to periodic restricted stock awards and included in research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses has been reclassified into stock-based compensation. Of the amounts now reflected as stock-based compensation, $0.4 million, $0.3 million and $1.5 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, relate to restricted stock awards and $1.3 million and $2.2 million

in 2005 and 2004, respectively, relate to the stock option grant in 2000 for which we are restating the financial statements.

Stock-based compensation has been allocated to expense categories based on where the employees who received the award are classified. The amounts reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) as stock-based compensation are attributable to research and development and selling, general and administrative as follows: 2006 - $0.2 million and $0.2 million, 2005 - $0.7 million and $0.9 million, 2004 - $1.7 million and $2.0 million, respectively.

* * * * *

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State on June 7, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001.)
3.1(a)	Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State on December 16, 2002 (2002 Preferred Stock Terms). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 16, 2002.)
3.1(b)	Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State on May 14, 2003 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 8-A filed on May 2, 2003.)
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 28, 2005.)
4.1	Specimen of Common Stock Certificate of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 33-40250).)
4.2	Specimen of Non-Voting Common Stock Certificate of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)
4.3	Specimen of 2002 Preferred Stock Certificate of Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2002.)
4.4	Indenture, dated as of February 18, 2000, between Alkermes, Inc. and State Street Bank and Trust Company, as Trustee. (3.75% Subordinated Notes) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-3, as amended filed on February 29, 2000 (File No. 333-31354).)
4.5	Form of 3.75% Subordinated Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-3, as amended filed on February 29, 2000 (File No. 333-31354).)
4.6	Rights Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2003, as amended, between Alkermes, Inc. and EquiServe Trust Co., N.A., as Rights Agent. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 8-A filed on May 2, 2003.)
4.7	Indenture, dated August 22, 2003, between Alkermes, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (2.5% Subordinated Notes.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended filed on September 3, 2003 (File No. 333-108483).)
4.8	Form of 2 1/2% Subordinated Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended filed on September 3, 2003 (File No. 333-108483).)
4.9	Indenture, dated as of February 1, 2005, between RC Royalty Sub LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on

Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2005.)

4.10 Form of Risperdal Consta® PhaRMA(sm)Secured 7% Notes due 2018. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2005.)

10.1 Amended and Restated 1990 Omnibus Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1998 (File No. 001-14131).)+

10.2 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on October, 1, 2003 (File No. 333-109376).)+

10.3 Alkermes, Inc. 1998 Equity Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)+

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	
10.4	1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.)+
10.5	2002 Restricted Stock Award Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002.)+
10.6	Lease, dated as of October 26, 2000, between FC88 Sidney, Inc. and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)
10.7	Lease, dated as of October 26, 2000, between Forest City 64 Sidney Street, Inc. and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)
10.8	Lease, dated July 26, 1993, between the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1997 (File No. 000-19267).)
10.8(a)	First Amendment of Lease, dated June 9, 1997, between the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Alkermes, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8(a) to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1997 (File No. 000-19267).)
10.9	License Agreement, dated as of April 14, 1999, by and between Genentech, Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)*
10.10	Manufacture and Supply Agreement, entered into April 5, 2001, by and between Alkermes, Inc. and Genentech, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001.)**
10.11	License Agreement, dated as of February 13, 1996, between Medisorb Technologies International L.P. and Janssen Pharmaceutica International (U.S.) (assigned to Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II in March 1996). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 000-19267).)***
10.12	License Agreement, dated as of February 21, 1996, between Medisorb Technologies International L.P. and Janssen Pharmaceutica International (worldwide except U.S.) (assigned to Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II in March 1996). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 000-19267).)***
10.13	Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated August 6, 1997, by and among Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, Janssen Pharmaceutica International and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.)§
10.13(a)	Letter Agreement and Exhibits to Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated February 1, 2002, by and among Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, Janssen Pharmaceutica International and Janssen

	Pharmaceutica, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19(a) to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.)§
10.13(b)	Addendum to Manufacturing and Supply Agreement, dated August 2001, by and among Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, Janssen Pharmaceutica International and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19(b) to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.)§
10.14	Fourth Amendment To Development Agreement and First Amendment To Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 20, 2000 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.).****
10.15	Third Amendment To Development Agreement, Second Amendment To Manufacturing and Supply Agreement and First Amendment To License Agreements by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated April 1, 2000 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.

10.16	Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 21, 2002 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****
10.17	Amendment to Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 16, 2003 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****
10.18	Amendment to Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated December 22, 2003 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****
10.19	Fourth Amendment To Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between JPI Pharmaceutica International, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics Inc. II, dated January 10, 2005 (with certain confidential information deleted) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)****
10.20	Patent License Agreement, dated as of August 11, 1997, between Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Advanced Inhalation Research, Inc., as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1999 (File No. 001-14131).)*
10.21	Promissory Note by and between Alkermes, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation, dated December 22, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)
10.22	Master Security Agreement by and between Alkermes, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated December 22, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)
10.23	Addendum No. 001 To Master Security Agreement by and between Alkermes, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation, dated December 22, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.)
10.24	Employment Agreement, entered into as of February 7, 1991, between Richard F. Pops and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 33-40250).)+
10.25	Change in Control Employment Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2000, between Alkermes, Inc. and Richard F. Pops. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000.)+
10.26	Change in Control Employment Agreement, of various dates, between Alkermes, Inc. and each of James M. Frates, Michael J. Landine, David A. Broecker and Kathryn Biberstein. (Form of agreement incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended

December 31, 2000.)+

10.27 Employment Agreement, dated December 22, 2000 by and between David A. Broecker and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001.)+

10.28 Employment Agreement, dated January 8, 2003, by and between Kathryn L. Biberstein and the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003.)+

10.29 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated December 13, 2002, between Alkermes and Eli Lilly and Company. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 16, 2002.)

10.30 Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 19, 2003, between Alkermes, Inc. and U.S. Bancorp. Piper Jaffray Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended filed on September 3, 2003 (File No. 333-108483).)

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.

10.31	License and Collaboration Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of June 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.)*****
10.32	Supply Agreement between Alkermes, Inc. and Cephalon, Inc. dated as of June 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.)*****
10.33	Amended and Restated January 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006 Named Executive Bonus Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.)+
10.34	Amendment to 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.)+
10.35	Form of Incentive Stock Option Certificate pursuant to the 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.) +
10.36	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Certificate pursuant to the 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.) +
10.37	Form of Stock Option Certificate pursuant to Alkermes, Inc. 1998 Equity Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.) +
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP.#
24.1	Power of Attorney (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 24.1 to the Registrant s Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2006 and filed on June 14, 2006.)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.#
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.#
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.#

* Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant

to a Commission Order granted August 19, 1999. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

** Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 27, 2001. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

*** Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 3, 1996. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

**** Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted

September 26, 2005. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

***** Confidential status has been requested for certain portions of this document. Such provisions have been filed separately with the Commission.

§ Confidential status has been granted for certain portions thereof pursuant to a Commission Order granted September 16, 2002. Such provisions have been separately filed with the Commission.

+ Indicates a management contract or any compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Filed herewith.