ABLEST INC
Form 10-K/A
April 27, 2007

Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K/A
Amendment No. 2

þ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

Commission File Number 1-10893
Ablest Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	**65-0978462**
(State of Incorporation)	*(I.R.S.Identification No.)*

1511 N. Westshore Boulevard, Suite 900
Tampa, Florida 33607
(813) 830-7700
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of principal executive offices)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class	**Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered**
Common Stock, par value $.05 per share	American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non- accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated Filer o Non-accelerated filer þ

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

The aggregate market value of the Registrant s common shares held by non-affiliates as of the last day of the Registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter was approximately $4,289,000. Shares of common stock held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

The number of common shares of the Registrant outstanding at March 12, 2007 was 2,927,424.

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

The purpose of this Amendment No. 2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A ("Amendment No. 2") is to revise the following items in Parts III and IV of Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K: summary descriptions of employment agreements with Named Executive Officers, the name of a beneficial owner in the table illustrating the security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management, and the exhibit index. In addition, the Registrant will file certain exhibits with this Amendment No. 2, as identified in the exhibit index hereto. This Amendment No. 2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A does not attempt to modify or update any other disclosures set forth in Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I
ITEM 1. Business
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors
ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments
ITEM 2. Properties
ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
PART II
ITEM 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data
ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk
ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data
ITEM 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures
ITEM 9B. Other Information
PART III
ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant
ITEM 11. Executive Compensation
ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence
ITEM 14. Principal Accountants Fees and Services
PART IV
ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
SIGNATURES
EX-10.10 EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WITH NOLAN GARDNER
EX-10.12 EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WITH KURT R. MOORE
EX-10.13 EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WITH CHARLES B. HEIST III
EX-23.1 CONSENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP
EX-31.1 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO
EX-31.2 SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO
EX 32.1 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CEO
EX-32.2 SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION OF THE CFO

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. *Business*

References in this document to the Registrant, Company , Ablest , we , our , or us refer to Ablest Inc., except wh context otherwise requires.

General

Ablest Inc. (Company) offers staffing services in the United States. Staffing services are principally provided through 61 company-owned service locations in the Eastern United States and selected Southwestern markets with the capability to supply staffing services for the clerical, industrial, information technology, and finance and accounting needs of their customers. Positions often filled include, but are not limited to, data entry, office administration, telemarketing, light industrial assembly, order picking and shipping, network administration, database administration, program analyst (both mainframe and client server), web development, project management, technical writing, accounting, financial analysis, and internal auditing. The Company does not service any specific industry or field; instead, its services are provided to a broad-based customer list. The Company was founded in 1978 and is headquartered in Tampa, Florida. The state of incorporation is the State of Delaware.

Availability of Reports and Other Information

Copies of the Company s annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) are available, free of charge, on our website, www.ablest.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The information contained on the website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K and should not be considered part of this report.

The Staffing Industry

The staffing services business is highly competitive with few barriers to entry. There are numerous local, regional and national firms principally engaged in offering such services. The primary competitive factors in the staffing services field are quality of service, reliability of personnel and price. Historically, the temporary staffing industry has experienced its greatest growth during economic recoveries.

The staffing services industry was once used predominately as a short-term solution for greater workforce needs during peak production periods and to replace workers who were abruptly terminated or who were absent due to illness or vacation. For several decades, the use of temporary services has evolved into a permanent and significant component of staffing plans of many employers. Corporate restructuring, government regulations, advances in technology and the desire by many business entities to shift employee costs from a fixed to a variable expense have resulted in the use of a wide range of staffing alternatives by businesses. Flexible staffing alternatives allow businesses to respond quickly and aggressively to changing market conditions which many economists and analysts believe is critical to future economical growth.

Additionally, it is widely accepted by economists that temporary staffing also encourages greater work force participation, which is critical as the United States faces a labor shortage. Temporary staffing provides employment flexibility and options to people who might otherwise choose not to work. Flexible work arrangements offer choices that fit the diverse needs and preferences of potential employees thereby contributing to increased participation and enhanced productivity. The clerical, light industrial, information technology and financial sectors represent the four largest sectors of the temporary staffing industry.

Table of Contents

Operations

The table below is a summary of information relating to the Company s operations for each of the last three fiscal years.

	For the fiscal year ended		
(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005	December 26, 2004
Net Serivce Revenues Staffing Services	$140,764	$ 137,457	$ 116,353
Operating Income Staffing Services	2,436	3,087	1,372
Total Assets Staffing Services	27,564	26,455	24,743

Working Capital. By virtue of the nature of the Company s business, the attainment and maintenance of high levels of working capital is not required.

Backlog. In view of the fact that the Company s services are primarily furnished pursuant to purchase orders or on a call basis, backlog is not material.

Employees. We employ approximately 200 staff members in our corporate headquarters and company-owned branch offices. In 2006, we assigned more than 35,000 temporary employees with a variety of customers around the United States. At any given time of the year, only a portion of these employees were placed on temporary assignments. The Company considers its employee relations to be good.

Branch Offices. All offices are company-owned and provide clerical, light industrial, information technology and financial staffing, primarily concentrated in 12 states. These offices are organized into regions and managed by regional managers and other area staff who provide operation support for the offices in their regions. These offices are organized based upon geographic location and/or service offerings. Each office has a manager who is accountable for the day-to-day operations and profitability. The table below sets forth the geographic distribution of the offices as of December 31, 2006.

	Company-Owned Offices
Midsouth (1)	9
Midwest (2)	11
Northeast (3)	24
Southeast (4)	17
Total	61

(1) The Midsouth includes the states of Mississippi and Tennessee

(2) The Midwest includes the states of Illinois, Texas and Arizona

(3) The Northeast includes the

states of Maryland, New York, North Carolina, and Virginia

(4) The Southeast includes the states of Florida, Georgia and South Carolina

Managers report to their regional managers and together they are responsible for sales, client development and retention, recruitment, placement and retention of associates and general administration for their respective offices and regions. The Company believes that this decentralization contributes to the initiation and commitment of its management team and that its incentive compensation approach motivates managers to increase profits.

Table of Contents

The Company continually reassesses its current operations based on its strategic initiatives and may consolidate or close these offices if they do not meet management s expectations.

Seasonality

The Company s quarterly operating results are affected by the number of billing days in the quarter and the seasonality of its clients businesses. Historically, revenue growth has accelerated in each of the fiscal quarters as manufacturers, retailers and service businesses increase their level of business activity. In the first fiscal quarter, gross margins have historically been lower with the affect of state unemployment insurance taxes resetting with the start of the new calendar year. The fourth fiscal quarter has historically been strong as a result of manufacturing and retail emphasis on holiday sales.

Cyclical Nature of the Business

The staffing industry has historically been considered to be cyclical, often acting as an indicator of both economic downswings and upswings. Staffing customers tend to use temporary staffing to supplement their existing workforces and generally hire permanent workers when long-term demand is expected to increase. As a consequence of this, our revenues tend to increase quickly when the economy begins to grow. Conversely, revenues also tend to decrease quickly when the economy begins to weaken as the customers reduce temporary workers before terminating their own employees.

Clients

The Company serves the needs of small, mid-size and Fortune 500 businesses in a variety of industries. During fiscal years 2006 and 2005, the Company serviced over 2000 clients nationwide. The Company s ten highest volume clients in fiscal years 2006 and 2005 accounted for 30.0% and 27.3%, respectively, of the Company s total revenues. One client, ModusLink Corporation, accounted for 12.1 % of the Company s total revenues for fiscal year 2006. No single client accounted for more than 9.3% of the Company s total revenues for fiscal year 2005.

Competition

The temporary services industry is highly competitive with limited barriers to entry. The Company believes that its largest competitors in the clerical and light industrial sectors include Adecco S.A., Kelly Services, Inc., Manpower Inc., Spherion Corporation, Barrett Business Services, Inc., SelectRemedy, Inc. and Westaff Inc.. These and other large competitors have nationwide operations with greater resources than the Company, which among other things could enable them to attempt to maintain or increase their market share by reducing prices. In addition, there are a number of other mid-sized firms that are regional or emphasize specialized niches and compete with the Company in certain markets where they have a stronger presence. Numerous small or single-office firms compete effectively with the Company s offices in their limited areas. In the information technology and financial sectors, the Company believes that its competitors include MPS Group, Inc., Robert Half International, Inc., Adecco S.A., Alternative Resources Corporation, On Assignment, Inc., KForce, Comsys, and CDI Corporation.

Although the Company believes that it competes favorably with respect to the forgoing factors, the Company s management believes that the most important competitive factors in obtaining and retaining its targeted clients is understanding the customer specific job requirements, the ability to provide qualified temporary personnel in a timely manner and the quality of services. The primary competitive factors in obtaining qualified candidates for temporary employment assignments are wages, benefits and responsiveness to work schedules.

The Company expects ongoing vigorous competition and pricing pressure from national, regional and local providers, and there is no assurance that the Company will be able to maintain or increase its market share or profitability.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics applicable to our principal executive officer and senior financial officer, in accordance with Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the rules of the SEC promulgated there under and the American Stock Exchange rules. In the event that we make any changes to, or provide any waivers from, the provisions of our code of ethics, we intend to disclose these events on our website or in a report on Form 8-K within four business days of such event.

Recent Developments

As previously reported, on January 18, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors received a proposal from certain existing investors, including Charles H. Heist, III, the Company s Chairman of the Board, Kurt R. Moore, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, The Burton Partnership (QP), Limited Partnership and The Burton Partnership, Limited Partnership, to acquire all of the Company s publicly held common stock for $7.50 per share in cash.

The Company s Board of Directors formed a Special Committee of four independent directors to review and evaluate the foregoing proposal and any strategic alternatives to the proposal that may be available to the Company. Subsequent to the Company s public announcement of receipt of the foregoing buyout proposal, the Special Committee received an indication of interest from a potential third-party acquirer. The Special Committee has not made a determination whether either proposed transaction is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders or whether the Company should pursue any other available strategic alternatives. The Special Committee continues to evaluate the foregoing proposals, as well as other strategic alternatives for the Company. Accordingly, no assurances can be given as to whether any particular strategic alternative will be pursued or implemented. The Special Committee currently does not intend to make any further public announcements regarding its review of possible strategic alternatives until its evaluation process has been completed. See also Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

ITEM 1A. ***Risk Factors***

In evaluating the Company s business, one should carefully consider the following risk factors in addition to information contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Any significant economic downturn could result in our clients using fewer temporary employees, which could materially adversely affect the Company.

Demand for temporary services is significantly affected by the general level of economic activity. As economic activity slows, businesses may reduce their use of temporary employees before undertaking layoffs of their full-time employees, resulting in decreased demand for the Company s temporary personnel. Further, in an economic downturn, the Company may face pricing pressure from its clients and increased competition from other staffing companies, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business.

The Company operates in highly competitive markets with low barriers to entry, potentially limiting its ability to maintain or increase its market share or profit margins.

The temporary services industry is highly competitive with limited barriers to entry and in recent years has been undergoing significant consolidation. The Company competes in national, regional and local markets with full service agencies and with specialized temporary service agencies. Many competitors are smaller than the Company, but have an advantage over the Company in discrete geographic markets because of their stronger local presence. Other competitors are more well-known and have greater marketing and financial resources than the Company, which among other things could enable them to maintain or increase their market share by reducing prices. The Company expects the level of competition to remain high in the future and competitive pricing pressures may have an adverse effect on the Company s operating margins.

Table of Contents

The Company s success depends upon its ability to attract and retain qualified temporary personnel.

The Company depends upon its ability to attract qualified temporary personnel who possess the skills and experience necessary to meet the staffing requirements of its clients. It must continually evaluate and upgrade its base of available qualified personnel to keep pace with changing client needs and emerging technologies. Competition for individuals with proven skills is intense and demand for these individuals is expected to remain very strong for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurance that qualified personnel will continue to be available to the Company in sufficient numbers and on terms of employment acceptable to management. The success will depend on the Company s ability to recruit qualified temporary personnel and retain them.

The Company s business may suffer if it loses its key personnel.

The Company s operations are dependent on the continued efforts of its executive officers and senior management. Additionally, it is dependent on the performance and productivity of its local managers and field personnel. The ability to attract and retain business is significantly affected by local relationships and the quality of service rendered. The loss of those key executive officers and senior management who have acquired experience in operating a staffing service company may cause a significant disruption to the business. Moreover, the loss of key local managers or field personnel may jeopardize existing customer relationships with businesses that continue to use the Company s staffing services based upon past direct relationships with these local managers and field personnel. Either of these types of losses could adversely affect the Company s operations, including its ability to establish and maintain customer relationships.

The Company may be exposed to employment-related claims and costs that could materially adversely affect its business.

The Company is in the business of employing people and placing them in the workplace of other businesses. Attendant risks of these activities include possible claims by clients of employee misconduct or negligence, claims by employees of discrimination or harassment (including claims relating to actions of its clients), claims related to the inadvertent employment of illegal aliens or unlicensed personnel, payment of workers compensation claims and other similar claims. The Company has policies and guidelines in place to help reduce its exposure to these risks and has purchased insurance policies against certain risks in amounts that it believes to be adequate. However, there can be no assurances that it will not experience these problems in the future or that it may not incur fines or other losses or negative publicity with respect to these problems that could have a material adverse effect on its business.

The cost of unemployment insurance premiums and workers compensation costs for temporary employees may rise and reduce the Company s profit margins.

Businesses use temporary staffing in part to shift certain employment costs and risks to personnel services companies. For example, the Company is responsible for, and pays unemployment insurance premiums and workers compensation for, its temporary employees. These costs have generally risen as a result of increased claims, general economic conditions and governmental regulation. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to increase the fees charged to its clients in the future to keep pace with increased costs. Price competition in the personnel services industry is intense. If the Company is unable to maintain its margins, it expects that it may choose to stop servicing certain clients. Further, there can be no assurance that certain clients will continue to use the Company at increased cost. There can be no assurance that it will maintain its margins, and if it does not, its results of operations, financial condition and liquidity could be adversely affected.

The Company retains a portion of the risk under its workers compensation program. The estimated remaining deductible liability for all existing and incurred but not reported claims is accrued based upon actuarial methods using current claims information, as well as prior experience, and may be subsequently revised based on new developments related to such claims. Changes in the estimates underlying the claims reserve are charged or credited to earnings in the period determined, and therefore large fluctuations in any given quarter could materially adversely affect earnings in that period.

Table of Contents

The Company is continually subject to the risk of new regulations, which could harm its business.

The Company is subject to bills introduced in Congress and various state legislatures, which, if enacted, could impose conditions that could have a negative financial impact on the Company and harm its business operations. The Company takes an active role (through its affiliations with, and participation in, various staffing industry organizations) in opposing proposed legislation adverse to its business and in informing policy makers as to the social and economic benefits of its business. However, there can be no assurance or guarantees that any of these bills (or future bills) will not be enacted, in which case, demand for the Company s services or its financial condition, or both, may suffer.

The Company faces litigation that could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

In the ordinary conduct of business, the Company is subject to various lawsuits, investigations and claims, covering a wide range of matters, including, but not limited to, employment matters. It is possible that the Company may be required to pay substantial damages or settlement costs in excess of its insurance coverage, which could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. The Company could also incur substantial legal costs, and management s attention and resources could be diverted from the business.

The Company s business may be at risk if there is failure of implementing a new information system.

In 2006, the Company implemented new front-office and back-office systems to be utilized in connection with the commercial and professional staffing operations. This implementation of new management information systems required a significant investment in software, hardware, outside consultant assistance and internal personnel resources. Although it is believed that this technology initiative will increase productivity, improve operating efficiencies and lower long-term operating costs, there is no assurance that such an initiative will yield its intended results.

The Company is dependent on the proper functioning of information systems in operating its business, particularly with respect to monitoring client assignments and billing. If our critical information systems fail or are otherwise unavailable, we would have to accomplish these functions manually, which would temporarily impact our client records and billing capabilities, The Company s information systems are protected through physical and software safeguards including the use of a third party processing center. However, the Company s corporate headquarters and a number of our customers are located in Florida, which is a hurricane-sensitive area. During fiscal 2005, four hurricanes made land-fall in Florida, with Hurricane Wilma moving directly through South Florida and causing significant infrastructure damage and disruption to the area. Our revenues from offices in South Florida were adversely affected by these hurricanes during fiscal 2005. Similar disruptions to our offices and the business of our customers from natural disasters, terrorist acts, power loss, telecommunications failures, physical or software break-ins, computer viruses and similar events any adversely impact our revenues.

The Company s business may be at risk if there is any negative development with significant customers.

Of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006, approximately 12.1% came from one major customer and 30.0% came from our top ten customers.

The addition of offices and entry into new geographic markets may not occur on a timely basis or achieve anticipated financial results.

A key element of our growth strategy includes entering new geographic markets. Our success in entering new markets depends on a number of factors including our ability to:

Table of Contents

develop, recruit and maintain qualified personnel in the new geographic market;

develop and sustain customer relationships in each new market;

accurately assess the demand for our services in a new geographic market.

The addition of offices and new geographic areas typically result in an increase in our operating expenses, primarily due to increased employee headcount. Because there is typically a delay between a new office opening and reaching full productivity, new office openings may adversely affect our results of operations for a period of time. In addition, we may not accurately forecast the demand for our services in new markets that we enter. If we do not adequately manage for foregoing factors, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Significant increases in payroll-related costs could adversely affect the Company s business.

We are required to pay a number of federal, state and local payroll and related costs, including unemployment taxes, workers compensation and insurance, FICA, and Medicare, among others, for our employees. Significant increases in the effective rates of any payroll-related costs likely would have a material adverse effect upon our results of operations. Costs could also increase as a result of health care reforms or the possible imposition of additional requirements and restrictions related to the placement of personnel. Recent federal and state legislative proposals have included provisions extending health insurance benefits to personnel who currently do not receive such benefits. We may not be able to increase the fees charged to our clients in a timely manner and in a sufficient amount to cover increased costs, if any such proposals are adopted.

ITEM 1B. ***Unresolved Staff Comments***

None.

ITEM 2. ***Properties***

The Company currently leases 14,336 square feet of office space in Tampa, Florida that serves as its corporate headquarters. The Company leases 55 additional facilities under rental agreements and under terms and conditions prevailing in the various service locations. The remaining six branches are located at customer sites. The Company considers all of its offices and facilities suitable and adequate for servicing its customers and evaluating proximity to available temporary personnel. The inability to renew all or a majority of the leases on similar or favorable terms to the Company could have a material impact in the financial condition of the Company.

ITEM 3. ***Legal Proceedings***

The Company is subject, from time to time, to claims encountered in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of all pending matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or liquidity. The Company maintains reasonable and prudent insurance coverages and deductibles. The principal risks insured against are workers compensation, personal injury, bodily injury, property damage, professional malpractice, errors and omissions, employment practices and fidelity losses. Management does not expect that the outcome of any pending lawsuits relating to such matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company understands that on March 5, 2007, David Ryman, an alleged shareholder of the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company, certain Company directors and officers, and other parties, on behalf of a putative class of the Company s public shareholders. The litigation is pending in the Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit in and for Hillsborough County, Florida. The Company has not been advised that any defendant has been served with the Complaint. The litigation relates to the Company s January 23, 2007 announcement that: (i) certain existing investors, including Charles H. Heist, III, the Company s Chairman of the Board, Kurt R. Moore, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, and two partnerships that

Table of Contents

own Company shares, have presented the Company with a proposal to acquire all of the Company s publicly held common stock; and (ii) the Company s Board of Directors has formed a Special Committee of four independent directors to review and evaluate the proposal, as well as other strategic alternatives for the Company . In his Complaint, the plaintiff seeks: (i) an order enjoining the defendants from proceeding with, consummating or closing the proposed transaction; (ii) in the event that the transaction is closed, an order rescinding the transaction or awarding damages; and (iii) an award of attorney s fees, expert s fees and costs. The Company believes that the claims being made in the foregoing litigation are without merit and, if such litigation proceeds, it intends to vigorously defend against such claims.

ITEM 4. ***Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders of the Company during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

PART II

ITEM 5. ***Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

Market for Registrant s Common Stock

The Company s common stock trades on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol AIH .

Price Range of Common Stock

The following table presents the quarterly high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by the American Stock Exchange during each quarter of our fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005:

	2006		2005	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st Quarter	$9.95	$8.11	$ 8.00	$7.00
2nd Quarter	9.65	8.33	7.70	6.63
3rd Quarter	8.75	5.92	12.75	6.51
4th Quarter	7.15	6.17	10.85	7.50

Number of Common Stockholders

On February 26, 2007, there were 453 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

Our current policy is to retain all of our earnings to finance the growth and development of our business. Consequently, we do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common shares in the foreseeable future.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Information regarding our equity compensation plans can be found in Item 12 of Part III of this Annual Report.

Performance Graph

The following stock performance graph presented on the following page compares the common stock of the company to the Standard and Poors 500 Index (a broad market index) and a peer group index for the five years ended December 31, 2006. The graph assumed an investment of $100 on December 31, 2001 in stock or index, including any dividends were reinvested.

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Ablest Inc., The S & P 500 Index
And A Peer Group

* $ 100 invested on 12/31/01 in stock or index-including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright © 2007, Standard & Poor s, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.
www.researchdatagroup.com/S&P.htm

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. ***Selected Financial Data***

The selected financial data with respect to the Company set forth below should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Company s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. The fiscal year of 2006 of the Company is a 53-week period and the fiscal years 2002 through 2005 of the Company are 52-week periods all ending the Sunday closest to December 31.

Fiscal Year Ended December	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)					
Net service revenues	$140,764	$137,457	$116,353	$104,048	$101,193
Operating income	2,436	3,087	1,372	1,061	566
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,148	2,542	515	(1,769)	218
Net income from continuing operations	1,339	536	883	2,883	608
Income per common share from continuing operations: basic	0.47	0.19	0.31	1.01	0.21
diluted	0.45	0.18	0.30	0.99	0.21
Total assets	27,564	26,455	24,743	22,579	19,216
Long-term debt					

The following summarizes quarterly operating results:

2006 Quarters	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)				
Net service revenues	$35,864	$34,340	$34,672	$35,888
Gross profit	6,111	5,942	6,181	6,204
Operating income	561	505	666	704
Net income from continuing operations	348	285	396	310
Income per common share, basic	$ 0.12	$ 0.10	$ 0.14	$ 0.11
Income per common share, diluted	$ 0.12	$ 0.10	$ 0.13	$ 0.10

2005 Quarters	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)				
Net service revenues	$30,835	$32,751	$34,873	$38,998
Gross profit	4,977	5,742	5,766	6,501
Operating income	428	927	589	1,143
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	264	574	367	(669)
Income (loss) per common share, basic	$ 0.09	$ 0.20	$ 0.13	$ (0.23)
Income (loss) per common share, diluted	$ 0.09	$ 0.20	$ 0.13	$ (0.23)

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-looking Statements

Statements made in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than those concerning historical information, should be considered forward-looking and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. This notice is intended to take advantage of the safe harbor provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements (such as when we describe what will , may or should occur, what we plan , intend , estimate , belie or anticipate will occur, and other similar statements) include, but are not limited to, statements regarding future revenues and operating results; future prospects; anticipated benefits of proposed (or future) new branches, products or services; growth; the capabilities and capacities of our business operations and information systems; financing needs or plans; any financial or other guidance and all statements that are not based on historical fact, but rather reflect our current expectations concerning future results and events. We make certain assumptions when making forward-looking statements, any of which could prove inaccurate, including, but not limited to, statements about our business plans. The ultimate correctness of these forward-looking statements is dependent upon a number of known and unknown risks and events, and is subject to various uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these statements. The following important factors, among others, could affect future results and events, causing those results and events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements: business conditions and competitive factors in our customers industries, our ability to successfully expand into new markets and offer new service lines, the availability of qualified personnel, the non-exclusive, short-term nature of our customers commitments, economic and political conditions and unemployment levels in the United States and other countries, increases in payroll related costs, including state unemployment insurance and workers compensation insurance, obsolescence or impairment of our information systems, our ability to successfully invest in and implement information systems, the cost of and our ability to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, material liabilities under our self-insurance program, and other factors that we may not have currently identified or quantified.

All forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are made only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and we do not undertake any obligation to publicly update or correct any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that subsequently occur or which we hereafter become aware of. You should read this document and the documents that we incorporate by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We may not update these forward-looking statements, even if our situation changes in the future. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

History of the Company

On March 13, 2000, C. H. Heist Corp. sold substantially all of the assets of its United States industrial maintenance business and the stock of C. H. Heist Corp. s wholly owned Canadian subsidiary, C. H. Heist, Ltd., to Onyx Industrial Services, Inc. Taken together, these operations comprised substantially all of the assets of C. H. Heist Corp. s industrial maintenance operations. Included in the sale was C. H. Heist Corp. s administrative and warehousing facility in Buffalo, New York. Also on March 13, 2000, C. H. Heist Corp. merged into a newly formed company, Ablest Inc., and reincorporated in the State of Delaware.

On January 1, 2001, the Company s subsidiaries Ablest Service Corp. (a Delaware corporation), Milestone Technologies, Inc. (an Arizona corporation) and PLP Corp. (an Alabama corporation) were formally merged

Table of Contents

into Ablest Inc. (a Delaware corporation), to form a single operating company under the Ablest Inc. name. The outstanding shares of the merging corporations were cancelled and no shares of Ablest Inc. were issued in exchange. The outstanding shares of Ablest Inc. remain outstanding and were not affected by the merger.

The following discussions and analysis of operations and financial condition pertain to the Company s staffing services business, which constitutes its continuing operations.

Overview

We derive our revenues by providing clerical, industrial, technical, and finance and accounting staffing services in the United States. We currently provide our staffing services principally through 61 Company-owned service locations in the Eastern United States and selected Southwestern markets. We fill positions in data entry, office administration, telemarketing, light industrial assembly, order picking and shipping, network administration, database administration, program analyst (both mainframe and client server), web development, project management, technical writing, accounting, financial analysis, and internal auditing, among others. We do not service any specific industry or field; instead, our services are provided to a broad-based customer list.

During the 53 week period ended December 31, 2006, the Company placed approximately 35,000 workers and provided approximately 11 million hours of staffing services to over 2000 clients.

Substantially all of our revenues are driven by hours billed and billing rates. Our billing rates are generally negotiated and invoiced on a per-hour basis. Accordingly, as we place temporary employees, we record revenue based on their hours worked. Our gross margins are determined by deducting temporary employee pay, related taxes, benefits and other direct placement costs such as drug screens, background and reference checks. Piecework contracts are billed to the customer on a cost per unit basis versus an hourly basis. Revenue from piecework contracts is recognized at the time service is performed. Permanent placement services are fee-based services to recruit and fill regular staff positions for customers. Revenue from permanent placement services is recognized when a candidate begins full-time employment.

Customer demand for our employment services depends significantly on the overall strength of the labor market. Improving economic growth typically results in increased demand for labor, resulting in greater demand for our services. Correspondingly, during periods of weak economic growth or economic contraction, the demand for our services typically declines.

Due to the dependence of the staffing industry growth on economic factors, the inherent difficulty in forecasting the direction and strength of the economy and the short-term nature of staffing assignments, it is difficult to forecast future demand for our services with any certainty. As a result, we monitor a number of economic indicators, as well as recent business trends, in an effort to predict demand for temporary staffing services. Based upon these anticipated trends, we determine whether changes in personnel or other adjustments to our business are appropriate.

Historically, our business has expanded through both internal growth of existing branch offices and opening new branch offices. During 2006 and 2005, we opened new branch office locations and focused on increasing revenues of existing branch offices. During 2007, we intend to open strategically located branches that we believe will enhance our current customer relationships as well as facilitate establishing new ones. We believe that the amount of capital required to open new branches is less than the amount of capital required to consummate acquisitions. We cannot assure you that we will successfully open new branches or that, if opened, such branches will result in any material revenues or contribute positively to our earnings.

We continue to try to improve our gross margins by working closely with our customers to minimize costs associated with workers compensation claims. We have implemented safety training and education programs at all branch locations and key customer locations. We also review the risk profiles of certain clients to assess the potential workers compensation claims liabilities as compared to their potential profitability level. In addition, we expanded our return-to-work program closely monitoring each employee s injury status to enable

Table of Contents

the employees to return to work as soon as medically advisable. We attempt to manage unemployment insurance costs through aggressive claim adjudication and actively offering displaced employee s alternative job assignments. We cannot assure you that our continued efforts to manage workers compensation claims and unemployment insurance costs or our new compensation plan will result in increased operating margins.

In 2005, we entered into a Services Agreement and License & Support Agreement with VCG, Inc. for the installation, maintenance and support of a new front-office system, StaffSuite®, to be utilized in connection with our commercial and professional staffing operations. In addition, we entered into a Professional Services Agreement (including Proposal for Implementation) with IDEAL Consulting, Inc. for the installation of Great Plains® software for use in connection with our back-office systems, including our corporate accounting, finance, human resources and customer service processes. These two systems replaced our existing management information systems to support future growth of the Company. This implementation of a new management information system has required a significant investment in software, hardware, outside consultant assistance and internal personnel resources. Although we believe this technology initiative will increase productivity, improve operating efficiencies and lower long-term operating costs, there is no assurance that such an initiative will yield its intended results.

The following table sets forth for the last five fiscal years, the total number of offices and their associated revenues. Average revenues per office are computed by dividing the relevant revenues by the number of offices. Our long-term revenue growth depends in part upon our ability to continue to attract new clients, retain existing clients, open new offices, as well as our ability to enhance the sales of existing offices beyond historical levels.

	For the Fiscal Years Ended				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Number of offices	61	56	49	45	47
Total hours billed	10,927,323	11,121,141	9,683,921	8,611,203	8,333,481
Average hours billed per office	179,136	198,592	197,631	191,360	177,308
Total revenue	$ 140,827	$ 137,457	$ 116,353	$ 104,048	$ 101,193
Average revenue per office	$ 2,309	$ 2,455	$ 2,375	$ 2,312	$ 2,153

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, compared to December 25, 2005.

Fiscal Year 2006 was comprised of 53 weeks, as opposed to fiscal 2005, which was comprised of 52 weeks.

Results of Operations:

Net service revenues totaled $140.8 million for fiscal 2006 as compared to $137.5 million for fiscal 2005. Net service revenue increased $3.3 million, or 2.4%, the increase is primarily due to the additional week of operations.

Gross profit was $24.4 million for fiscal 2006 and $23.0 million for fiscal 2005. Gross profit increased $1.5 million, or 6.3% over prior year. Gross profit for fiscal year 2006 as a percent of revenue was 17.4% as compared to 16.7% for fiscal year 2005. This improvement is due to management s decision to eliminate higher risk and lower margin business and the increase in clerical, direct hire and temporary-to-permanent business.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by $2.1 million or 10.6%, to $22.0 million for fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005. These expenses were 15.6% in fiscal year 2006 as compared to 14.5% in fiscal year 2005 as a percentage of revenue. Approximately $1.4 million of this increase was attributable to our new branch openings. The remaining variance in these expenses is due to increased workers compensation costs and hiring additional workforce.

Table of Contents

Other income (expense), net, increased to $51,000 in income for fiscal 2006 from $9,000 in expense for fiscal 2005. This increase is materially due to interest income earned as the Company invested excess cash in short-term bonds. As of December 31, 2006, there was no excess cash invested.

For the fiscal year ended December 25, 2005, compared to December 26, 2004.

Fiscal Year 2005 was comprised of 52 weeks, as was fiscal 2004.

Results of Operations:

Net service revenues totaled $137.5 million for fiscal 2005 as compared to $116.4 million for fiscal 2004. Net service revenue increased $21.1 million, or 18.1%, due to the expansion of our customer base through the opening of new offices as well as the focus of the existing branches to increase account penetration and improve pricing. The opening of 10 new branches added $9.2 million in revenues.

Gross profit was $23.0 million for fiscal 2005 and $18.4 million for fiscal 2004. Gross profit increased $4.6 million, or 24.8% over prior year. Gross profit for fiscal year 2005 as a percent of revenue was 16.7% as compared to 15.8% for fiscal year 2004. This improvement is due to increased revenue levels, management s decision to eliminate higher risk and lower margin business and the increase in direct hire and temporary-to-permanent business.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by $2.9 million or 16.8%, to $19.9 million for fiscal 2005 as compared to fiscal 2004. These expenses were 14.5% in fiscal year 2005 as compared to 14.7% in fiscal year 2004 as a percent of revenue. During the 2005 fiscal year, the Company and its former Chief Financial Officer entered into a Settlement Agreement and Complete and Permanent Release, dated September 22, 2005, pursuant to which, the Company agreed to pay severance of $290,000. In addition, $817,000 of this increase was attributable to our new branch openings. The remaining variance in these expenses is due to increased compensation costs and hiring additional workforce for the higher sales volume. The Company continues to closely manage expense levels to leverage its cost structure as business volume increases.

Other income (expense), net, increased to $9,000 in expense for fiscal 2005 from $26,000 in income for fiscal 2004. This increase is materially due to $7,000 interest expense paid as the Company had borrowings against its revolving credit facility in only the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. Fiscal year 2004 included a $15,000 state incentive payment to the Company.

Our fiscal 2006 tax expense was $1,148,000 and the effective tax rate for 2006 was 46.2%. The Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) has completed and closed its examinations of the Company s tax returns for all years through 2003. In connection with these examinations, in January 2006, the IRS issued the Company an assessment related to the previously filed 2001 tax return, which disallowed $3,114,000 of net operating loss (NOL) carryfowards. These NOLs represented $1,182,000 of the Company s deferred tax assets, and were derived from the 2000 sale of the industrial maintenance business. Therefore, the effective tax rate for fiscal 2005 was 82.6%, which resulted in tax expense of $2,542,000. This is compared to our fiscal 2004 tax expense of $515,000. The effective tax rate for fiscal 2004 was 36.8%. The Company has notified the IRS of their acceptance of the IRS findings and we have received the final IRS ruling letter. As a result of this ruling, the Company has reduced the deferred tax asset to reflect this settlement and has exhausted the deferred tax asset related to the sale. However, this adjustment did not impact revenues or operating expenses for 2005 and resulted in no cash impact for the 2005 fiscal year. Accordingly, for future periods, the Company anticipates that cash outlays will occur for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates:

The discussion and analyses of the Company s financial condition and results of operations were based on the Company s financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company has identified the policies below as critical to the Company s business operations and the understanding of its results of operations. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see the notes to the Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Note that the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, the Company s management reviews and evaluates these estimates and assumptions, including those that relate to revenue recognition, accounts receivable, workers compensation costs, goodwill, other long-lived assets, income taxes including the deferred tax assets, contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies are those most significantly affected by the judgment, estimates and/or assumptions used in the preparation of the Company s financial statements.

(a) Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company must make estimates of the collectibility of accounts receivable. Management analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in the customers payment tendencies when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts.

(b) Self-Insurance Reserves

The Company is self-insured for the deductible amount of its general liability and workers compensation coverages. To derive an estimate of the Company s ultimate claims liability, established loss development factors are applied to current claims information. The Company maintains reserves for its workers compensation using actuarial methods to estimate the remaining undiscounted liability for the deductible portion of these claims, including those incurred but not reported. An annual, independent actuarial study calculates an estimated ultimate liability and determines loss development factors for future periods. The calculated ultimate liability is then reduced by cumulative claims payments to determine the required reserve. Management evaluates the accrual on a quarterly basis and adjusts as needed to reflect the required reserve calculation. Whereas management believes the recorded liabilities are adequate, there are inherent limitations in the estimation process whereby future actual losses may differ from projected loss rates, which could materially affect the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. While management believes that the recorded amounts are adequate, there can be no assurance that changes to management s estimates will not occur due to limitations inherent in the estimation process.

(c) Goodwill

In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 (SFAS No. 142), Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets are no longer amortized but are reviewed at least annually for impairment. At December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005, the Company did not have indefinite lived intangible assets other than goodwill and did not have any intangible assets with definite lives. Factors included in the impairment analysis include expected revenue and EBITDA growth rates, working capital needs, discount rates and earnings multiples. These assumptions are based on management s best estimate of the current and expected economic environment. As prescribed, the Company screened for impairment of goodwill during the fourth fiscal quarters of 2006 and 2005 and found no instances of impairment of its recorded goodwill.

Table of Contents

(d) Deferred Tax Assets

Income taxes are accounted for by the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to operating loss and credit carryforwards and differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers, within each taxing jurisdiction, whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the years in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, the Company has determined that the tax asset will be realizable.

For more information, see 2006 Results of Operations.

(e) Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue from the sale of temporary staffing and direct-hire services by its operations. Temporary staffing revenues and the related labor costs and payroll taxes are recorded in the period in which the services are performed. Direct hire revenues are recognized when the direct hire candidate begins full-time employment.

Liquidity and Capital Resources:

Historically, we have financed our operations through existing cash balances, operating cash flows and a revolving line of credit. The principal uses of cash are capital expenditures and working capital needs. The nature of the staffing business requires payment of wages to temporary employees and consultants on a weekly basis, while payments from customers are generally received 30-60 days after billing.

The quick ratio was 3.3 to 1 and 3.1 to 1 at December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005, respectively, and the current ratio was 3.6 to 1 and 3.4 to1 for the same respective periods. The primary source of funding is generated from results of operations. Net working capital increased by $282 thousand during fiscal 2006 as a result of operations. Contributing to this was a decrease in accounts receivable of approximately $1.2 million offset by an increase in cash of approximately $1.1 million during this period due to collection efforts. This was offset by an increase in net accrued expenses of $432,000.

Cash Flows

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had total cash resources available of $3.0 million all held in the United States. On August 2, 2005, the Company entered into a Modification Agreement with Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (M&T) that extends for three years the $7,500,000 Committed Revolving Credit Facility (Facility) originally signed on August 13, 2003. The Company elects the interest rate on borrowings under the Facility at the time of borrowing at either the bank s prime rate or the thirty, sixty or ninety day LIBOR (as defined in the agreement) plus 125 basis points, a reduction of 75 basis points from the expiring agreement. The Facility expires on August 12, 2008 and is renewable with the consent of both parties. The Facility requires the Company to maintain certain financial covenants including a tangible net worth ratio among other restrictions. The most restrictive covenant is the limitation of total indebtedness which caps total funded indebtedness to 3.5 times the four most recent quarter s EBITDA, as defined in the agreement. Throughout 2006 and 2005, the Company was in compliance with all covenants. It is anticipated

Table of Contents

that existing funds, cash flows from operations and available borrowings will be sufficient to cover working capital requirements, organic growth and capital expenditure requirements.

Operating cash flows

Operating cash flows for 2006 of approximately $3.1 million are primarily comprised of earnings, $615 thousand of non-cash expenses for depreciation and amortization, and a non-cash deferred income tax benefit of 195 thousand offset by working capital usage of $916 thousand. Days sales outstanding (DSO) for 2006 increased to 47 days from 50 days in the prior year. Each one-day increase in DSO approximates a source of $379,000 of working capital.

Operating cash flows for 2005 of $2.1 million are primarily comprised of earnings, $336,000 of non-cash expenses for depreciation and amortization, stock compensation of $461,000 and a non-cash deferred income tax benefit of $2.4 million offset by working capital usage of $1.8 million. Days sales outstanding (DSO) for 2005 increased to 50 days from 53 days in the prior year. Each one-day increase in DSO approximates a source of $377,000 of working capital.

Operating cash flows for 2004 of $113,000 are primarily comprised of earnings, $461,000 of non-cash expenses for depreciation and amortization, stock compensation of $273,000 and a non-cash deferred income tax benefit of $428,000 offset by the working capital usage of $1.9 millions. Working capital for 2004 was used primarily to fund accounts receivable as DSO decreased to 53 days from 48 days in the prior year.

Investing cash flows

Investing cash flows for 2006 of approximately $2.0 million are related to continuing capital expenditures associated with the implementations of both StaffSuite® and Great Plains® as described in the 2005 section immediately below and the move of the corporate headquarters office and its build-out.

Investing cash flows for 2005 of approximately $1.5 million are related to capital expenditures. The reason for the increase is due to the Company entering into a Services Agreement and License & Support Agreement with VCG, Inc. for the installation, maintenance and support of a new front-office system, StaffSuite®, which is utilized in connection with the Company s commercial and professional staffing operations. In addition, the Company entered into a Professional Services Agreement (including Proposal for Implementation) with IDEAL Consulting, Inc. for the installation of Great Plains® software for use in connection with the Company s back-office systems, including its corporate accounting, finance, human resources and customer service processes. These two systems replaced its existing management information systems to support future growth of the Company. This implementation of a new management information system has required a significant investment in software, hardware, outside consultant assistance and internal personnel resources.

Investing cash flows for 2004 of $370,000 are related to capital expenditures.

The Company expects the total 2007 capital expenditures to be between $300,000 and $500,000 and to be funded through operating cash flows or through borrowings under the existing revolving line of credit.

Financing cash flows

The net financing cash outflows for 2006, 2005 and 2004 are zero. In 2005, the Company borrowed against the existing line of credit and repaid the line back within the same fiscal year.

The Company believes that a combination of our existing cash balances, other liquid assets, operating cash flows, and existing revolving line of credit, taken together, provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating requirements, however, our operating cash flow could be impacted by factors outside of our control.

Table of Contents

Material Commitments:

The Company s contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2006 are summarized in the table below:

	Payable during 2007	Payable 2008 - 2010	Payable 2011 - 2013	Payable after 2013	Total
		(Amounts in thousands)			
Operating leases (1)	$1,511	$2,898	$1,042	$	$5,451
Total	$1,511	$2,898	$1,042	$	$5,451

(1) Includes minimum lease payment obligations for equipment and real property leases in effect as of December 31, 2006.

ITEM 7A. ***Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk***

The Company does not believe that its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates is material.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. ***Financial Statements and Supplemental Data***

Index to Financial Statements

	Page Reference
The financial statements of the registrant required to be included in Item 8 are listed below:	
Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm	22
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005	23
Statements of Income for the periods ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005 and December 26, 2004	24
Statements of Stockholders Equity for the periods ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005 and December 26, 2004	25
Statements of Cash Flows for the periods ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005 and December 26, 2004	26
Notes to Financial Statements	28

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
of Ablest Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheets and the related statements of income, of stockholders equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ablest Inc. at December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for share-based compensation in 2006.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Tampa, Florida
March 9, 2007

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Balance Sheets
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	$ 3,040	$ 1,931
Accounts receivable, net	17,564	18,760
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	439	469
Current deferred tax asset	1,323	1,246
Total current assets	22,366	22,406
Property, plant and equipment, net	3,259	1,732
Deferred tax asset	592	863
Goodwill	1,283	1,283
Other assets	64	171
Total assets	$ 27,564	$ 26,455
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	$ 375	$ 790
Income taxes payable	296	51
Accrued insurance	2,965	2,536
Accrued wages	1,995	2,738
Other current liabilities	566	514
Total current liabilities	6,197	6,629
Other liabilities	261	432
Total liabilities	6,458	7,061
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock of $.05 par value; 500,000 shares authorized , none issued or outstanding at December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005		
Common stock of $.05 par value; 7,500,000 shares authorized, 3,385,153 and 3,346,877 shares issued and outstanding including shares held in treasury at December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005, respectively	169	167
Additional paid-in capital	5,636	5,265

Retained earnings		17,411		16,072
Treasury stock at cost; 457,729 shares held at both December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005		(2,110)		(2,110)
Total stockholders equity		21,106		19,394
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	$	27,564	$	26,455

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Statements of Income
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	For the Fifty-Three Week Period Ended	For the Fifty-Two Week Period Ended	
	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005	December 26, 2004
Net service revenues	$ 140,764	$ 137,457	$ 116,353
Cost of services	116,326	114,471	97,938
Gross profit	24,438	22,986	18,415
Selling, general and administrative expenses	22,002	19,899	17,043
Operating income	2,436	3,087	1,372
Other:			
Interest income (expense), net	29	(7)	1
Miscellaneous income (expense), net	22	(2)	25
Other income (expense)	51	(9)	26
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	2,487	3,078	1,398
Income tax expense	1,148	2,542	515
Net income	$ 1,339	$ 536	$ 883
Basic net income per common share:			
Basic net income per common share	$ 0.47	$ 0.19	$ 0.31
Diluted net income per common share:			
Diluted net income per common share	$ 0.45	$ 0.18	$ 0.30
Weighted average number of common shares in computing net income per common share			
Basic	2,877,546	2,861,097	2,839,272

Diluted	2,959,968	2,922,981	2,921,853

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Statements of Stockholders Equity
(Amounts in thousands)

	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Treasury stock	Total stockholders equity
Balance at December 28, 2003	$ 165	$ 5,018	$ 14,653	$ (2,110)	$ 17,726
Net income			883		883
Restricted stock plan	1	86			87
Executive stock awards plan	1	68			69
Balance at December 26, 2004	$ 167	$ 5,172	$ 15,536	$ (2,110)	$ 18,765
Net income			536		536
Restricted stock plan		93			93
Balance at December 25, 2005	$ 167	$ 5,265	$ 16,072	$ (2,110)	$ 19,394
Net income			1,339		1,339
Restricted stock plan	1	244			245
Executive stock awards plan	1	115			116
Director s restricted stock plan		12			12
Balance at December 31, 2006	$ 169	$ 5,636	$ 17,411	$ (2,110)	$ 21,106

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Statements of Cash Flows
(Amounts in thousands)

	For the Fifty-Three Week Periods Ended	For the Fifty-Two Week Periods Ended	
	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005	December 26, 2004
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	$ 1,339	$ 536	$ 883
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation	615	336	461
Stock compensation		461	273
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	10	2	13
Deferred income taxes	194	2,467	428
Change in income taxes payable	245	8	43
Changes in assets and liabilities (next page)	858	(1,709)	(1,988)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,261	2,101	113
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Additions to property and equipment	(2,152)	(1,527)	(370)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from short-term borrowings		1,000	
Repayment of short-term borrowings		(1,000)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities			
Net increase (decrease) in cash	1,109	574	(257)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	1,109	574	(257)
CASH BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1,931	1,357	1,614
CASH END OF PERIOD	$ 3,040	$ 1,931	$ 1,357

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Statements of Cash Flows, continued
(Amounts in thousands)

	For the Fifty-Three Week Periods Ended	For the Fifty-Two Week Periods Ended	
	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005	December 26, 2004
Changes in assets and liabilities providing (using) cash:			
Accounts receivable, net	$ 1,196	$ (1,977)	$ (3,005)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	30	(309)	53
Other assets	107	(131)	(1)
Accounts payable	(415)	455	9
Accrued insurance	429	(533)	
Accrued wages	(743)	749	
Other current liabilities	52	89	928
Other liabilities	202	(52)	28
Total change in assets and liabilities providing (using) cash	$ 858	$ (1,709)	$ (1,988)
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during year for:			
Interest	$ 1	$ 1	$ 1
Income Taxes	508	28	28
	$ 509	$ 29	$ 29

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements

1. Description of Business

Ablest Inc. (Company) offers staffing services in the United States. Staffing services are principally provided through 61 company-owned service locations in the Eastern United States and selected Southwestern markets with the capability to supply staffing services for the clerical, light industrial, information technology, and finance and accounting needs of their customers. Positions often filled include, but are not limited to, data entry, office administration, telemarketing, light industrial assembly, order picking and shipping, network administration, database administration, program analyst (both mainframe and client server), web development, project management, technical writing, accounting, financial analysis and internal auditing. The Company does not service any specific industry or field; instead, its services are provided to a broad-based customer list.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Fiscal Years

The Company s fiscal year ends on the last Sunday of December. The financial statements include 53 weeks for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 52 weeks for the years ended December 25, 2005 and December 26, 2004.

Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered cash equivalents. There were no cash equivalents at December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005.

Revenue Recognition

The Company s revenues are derived from providing staffing services to its customers. Substantially all revenue is billed on a direct cost plus markup basis. Revenue is recognized at the time the service is performed. In addition, the Company bills revenues under piecework contracts and permanent placement services. Piecework contracts are billed to the customer on a cost per unit basis versus an hourly basis. Revenue from piecework contracts is recognized at the time service is performed. Permanent placement services are fee-based services to recruit and fill regular staff positions for customers. Revenue from permanent placement services is recognized when a candidate begins full-time employment

Property and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization and are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets on the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are amortized on the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Estimated useful lives generally range from three to seven years.

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Additions and major replacements or betterments that increase capacity or extend useful lives are added to the cost of the asset. Upon sale or retirement of the asset, the cost and accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the respective accounts and the resulting gain or loss is included in other income (expense), net in the

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

accompanying statements of income. During the fiscal years 2006 and 2005, the Company did not write off any material assets that were no longer utilized.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company must make estimates of the collectibility of accounts receivables. Management analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in the customer s payment tendencies when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company maintains an allowance for probable losses based upon management s analysis of historical write-off levels, current economic trends, routine assessments of its clients financial strength and any other known factors impacting collectibility. Recoveries are recognized in the period in which they are received. The ultimate amount of accounts receivable that become uncollectible could differ from those estimated; however, such losses have been generally within management s expectations.

Self-Insurance Reserves

The Company is self-insured for the deductible amount of its general liability and workers compensation coverages. To derive an estimate of the Company s ultimate claims liability, established loss development factors are applied to current claims information. The Company maintains reserves for its workers compensation using actuarial methods to estimate the remaining undiscounted liability for the deductible portion of these claims, including those incurred but not reported. An annual, independent actuarial study calculates an estimated ultimate liability and determines loss development factors for future periods. The calculated ultimate liability is then reduced by cumulative claims payments to determine the required reserve. Management evaluates the accrual on a quarterly basis and adjusts as needed to reflect the required reserve calculation. Whereas management believes the recorded liabilities are adequate, there are inherent limitations in the estimation process whereby future actual losses may differ from projected loss rates, which could materially affect the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. There can be no assurance that changes to management s estimates will not occur due to limitations inherent in the estimation process.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company follows Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 (SFAS No. 142), Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets are no longer amortized but are reviewed at least annually for impairment. SFAS No. 142 prescribes a two-phase process for impairment testing of goodwill. The first phase screens for impairment; while the second phase (if necessary), measures the impairment. The Company screened for impairment during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2006, 2005 and 2004 and found no instances of impairment of its recorded goodwill.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company is subject to credit risk primarily due to a concentration of revenue derived from its relationship with one major customer, which contributed 12.1%, 9.3%, and 6.2% of total revenue for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005, and December 26, 2004, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company follows Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, (SFAS No. 144), Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS No. 144 establishes a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and also requires a Company to review long-

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. No impairment losses were recognized by the Company for the fiscal years ended 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expenses were $257,000, $192,000, and $155,000 for the fiscal years 2006, 2005, 2004, respectively.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for by the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to operating loss and credit carryforwards and differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers, within each taxing jurisdiction, whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the years in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management provided valuation allowances as needed for those deferred tax assets that were not expected to be realized.

Income per Common Share

Basic income per common share is computed by using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted income per share is computed by using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect, if any, of stock options.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made in the preparation of the financial statements include revenue recognition, the allowance for doubtful accounts, deferred tax assets, goodwill impairment and workers compensation reserves.

Stock Option Plans

The Company applies the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations, in accounting for its fixed plan stock options. As such, compensation expense would be recorded on the date of the grant if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeded the exercise price. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS No. 123) Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, established accounting and disclosure requirements using a fair value-based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation plans. As allowed by

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

SFAS No. 123, the Company has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting described above, and has adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and supersedes Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and its related implementation guidance. The Statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. SFAS No. 123R requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). The cost is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective method. Under this method, results of prior periods are not restated. There was no share-based compensation expense recorded in fiscal year 2006.

The Company did not recognize share-based compensation related to options for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. The exercise price of the Company s employee stock awards equaled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant per APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company followed SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No.148 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, through disclosure only. The Company accounted for share-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in APB Opinion No. 25, and related interpretations. The fair value for each option grant was estimated under SFAS No. 123 using the Black-Scholes pricing model.

Segment Reporting

At December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005, the Company has one reportable segment.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of the Company s financial instruments, including cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate the fair value because of their short maturities.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154 Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements and changes the requirements of the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 also provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of error corrections. The provisions of this statement are applicable for accounting changes and error corrections made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company does not believe that adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have a material impact.

In June 2005, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 05-6, Determining the Amortization Period for Leasehold Improvements, which requires that leasehold improvements acquired in a business combination or purchased subsequent to the inception of a lease be amortized over the lesser of the useful life of the assets or a term that includes renewals that are reasonably assured at the date of the business combination or purchase. EITF No. 05-6 is effective for periods beginning after June 29, 2005. The Company does not expect the provisions of this consensus to have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

In July 2006, FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for recording in the financial statements certain tax provisions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return in accordance with SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes. Tax positions must meet a more-than-likely-than-not threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon adoption on FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. The accounting provisions of FIN 48 will be effective for the Company beginning in fiscal year 2007. The Company has completed its evaluation and does not expect the provisions to have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, FASB issued FAS 157 Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). FAS 157 established a standard definition for fair value, establishes a framework under generally accepted accounting principles for measuring fair value and expands disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The accounting provisions of FAS 157 will be effective for the Company beginning in fiscal year 2008. The Company has not yet completed its evaluation of the impact of adoption on the Company s financial position or results of operations but does not expect the provision to have a material impact.

In September 2006, FASB issued SAB 108 Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides interpretative guidance on how the effects of the carryover of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. SAB 108 will be effective for the Company beginning in fiscal year 2007. The Company has not yet completed its evaluation of the impact of adoption on the Company s financial position or results of operations but does not expect the provision to have a material impact.

In order to maintain consistency and comparability between periods presented, certain amounts may have been reclassified from the previously reported financial statements to conform to the financial statement presentation of the current period.

3. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The following table sets forth the allowance for doubtful accounts roll-forward for the past two fiscal years.

(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005
Balance, beginning of year	$ 275	$ 285
Additions charged to cost and expense	266	97
Accounts receivable written-off	(266)	(107)
Balance, end of year	$ 275	$ 275

4. Property and Equipment

The following is a summary of property and equipment:

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005
Office furniture and equipment	$ 7,620	$ 6,535
Leasehold improvements	945	402
	$ 8,565	$ 6,937
less: Accumulated Depreciation	(5,306)	(5,205)
	$ 3,259	$ 1,732

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005, and December 26, 2004 was approximately $615,000, $336,000, and $461,000 respectively.

5. Goodwill

Effective in 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No.142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . SFAS No. 142 provides that goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized, but rather are evaluated for impairment by applying a fair-value based test. During fiscal years 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company performed tests for impairment, in accordance with the standard, and found no instance of such.

6. Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

A summary of accrued expenses and other current liabilities follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005
Payroll and other compensation	$ 1,995	$ 2,738
Insurance	2,965	2,536
Other	566	514
Accured expenses and other current liabilities	$ 5,526	$ 5,788

The Company provides workers compensation insurance and contracts its claims administration with an independent third-party carrier. Each annual contract covers all workers compensation claim costs greater than a specified deductible amount on a per occurrence basis. The Company is self-insured for its deductible liability ($250,000 per individual claim for fiscal years 2005 and 2004 and $500,000 per incident for all subsequent periods). The carrier is responsible for incremental losses in excess of the applicable deductible amount.

The Company establishes a reserve for the estimated remaining deductible portion of its workers compensation claims, representing the estimated ultimate cost of claims and related expenses that have been reported but not settled, and that have been incurred but not reported. The estimated ultimate cost of a claim is determined based upon a detailed actuarial analysis of historical claims experience of both the Company and the staffing industry. The Company periodically updates the actuarial analysis supporting the development factors utilized and revises those development factors, as necessary. Adjustments to the claims reserve are recorded to expense in the years which they occur.

7. Indebtedness

On August 2, 2005, the Company entered into a Modification Agreement with Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (M&T) that extends for three years the $7,500,000 Committed Revolving Credit Facility

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

(Facility) originally signed on August 13, 2003. The Company elects the interest rate on borrowings under the Facility at the time of borrowing at either the bank s prime rate or the thirty, sixty or ninety day LIBOR (as defined in the agreement) plus 125 basis points, a reduction of 75 basis points from the expiring agreement. The Facility expires on August 12, 2008 and is renewable with the consent of both parties. The Company believes that the Facility will be sufficient to cover foreseeable operational funding requirements until expiration of the Facility. The Facility requires the Company to maintain certain financial covenants including a tangible net worth ratio among other restrictions. The most restrictive covenant is the limitation of total indebtedness which caps total funded indebtedness to 3.5 times the four most recent quarter s EBITDA, as defined in the agreement. During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company had $1,000,000 in borrowings against the Facility and subsequently paid all of the borrowings back within this same quarter. During this time and throughout 2006 and 2005, the Company was in compliance with all covenants. It is anticipated that existing funds, cash flows from operations and available borrowings will be sufficient to cover working capital requirements, organic growth and capital expenditure requirements.

8. Stock Option Plans

The Company had reserved 100,000 common shares for issuance in conjunction with its 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan, (the Directors Plan). The purpose of the Directors Plan was to strengthen the alignment of interest between the independent directors and the stockholders of Ablest Inc. through increased ownership by the independent directors of the Company s common stock. The Directors Plan provided for the granting of options to purchase 6,000 shares of common stock on the date of the respective directors election to the Board of Directors (the Board) and for the granting of options to purchase 1,500 common shares each time director was re-elected to the Board. The price per share deliverable upon exercise was equal to 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the date the option was granted. The initial grant of options to purchase 6,000 common shares was exercisable in three equal, annual installments on the first, second and third anniversary of the grant thereof. All subsequent grants were exercisable on the first anniversary of the grant thereof. The term of each grant was 10 years from the date it was granted.

A summary of stock option activity for the Director s Plan follows:

Outstanding at December 26, 2004	48,000	$ 5.16
Granted	6,000	6.88
Outstanding at December 25, 2005	54,000	$ 5.38
Granted		
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	54,000	$ 5.38

At December 31, 2006, the range of exercise prices for options issued under the Director s Plan was $4.10 to $8.00, and the weighted average contractual life of the options was 5.04 years. In 2006, this Plan was terminated and replaced with the 2006 Directors Plan (see footnote 9). This termination did not effect any outstanding options under the 2000 Directors Plan and all such options will continue to remain outstanding and be governed by the 2000 Directors Plan. All options under the 2000 Directors Plan are fully vested.

In August 2000, the Board approved the Ablest Inc. Option to Ownership Program, (the Program). The Program provides for the surrendering of stock options issued under the Company s 1991 Stock Option Plan and the Company s 1996 Leveraged Stock Option Plan and the purchase of restricted common stock of the

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

Company through delivery of a full recourse promissory note in an amount equal to the aggregate purchase price of the common stock issued. The per share purchase price of the common stock issued was equal to the fair market value of the common stock on October 9, 2000 (the effective date of the Program). The number of common shares issued to each Program participant was based on a conversion factor determined by calculating the fair value of the various option grants previously issued, using the Black-Scholes Method, divided by the fair market price of the common stock available to purchase. A total of 234,716 option shares were surrendered and 55,313 common shares issued. The shares issued under the Program are accounted for under variable plan accounting, as defined in SFAS No. 123. As such, additional compensation expense of $22,000 was recorded in fiscal 2001 to reflect increase in the fair value of the common shares issued.

The Company had reserved 375,000 common shares for issuance in conjunction with its 1991 Stock Option Plan (the Plan). The Plan provided for the granting of incentive stock options and/or non-qualified options to officers and key employees to purchase shares of common stock at a price not less than the fair market value of the stock on the dates options were granted. Such options were exercisable at such time or times as may be determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board and generally expired no more than ten years after grant. Options vest and became fully exercisable six months after the grant date. In the year ended December 31, 2000, 58,336 options were converted to common shares under the Option to Ownership Program. As of December 31, 2006, all remaining options had expired and under a 2006 amendment, no future options will be granted or issued under the plan.

	Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 28, 2003	24,000	$ 7.48
Canceled or expired	(18,000)	7.78
Outstanding at December 26, 2004	6,000	$ 6.94
Canceled or expired	6,000	6.94
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005		$
Options exercisable at December 31, 2006		$

9. Incentive Plans

In 2002, the Company implemented a Restricted Stock Plan (the Plan), the purpose of the Plan is to promote the long-term growth and profitability of the Company by providing executive officers and certain other key employees of the Company with incentive to improve stockholder values, contribute to the success of the Company and enabling the Company to attract, retain and reward the best available persons for positions of substantial responsibility. An aggregate of 250,000 shares of common stock of the Company (hereinafter the shares) may be issued pursuant to the Plan. The maximum number of restricted shares that may be granted to any single individual in any one calendar year shall not exceed 25,000 shares. With respect to each grant of restricted shares under the Plan, one-third of the subject shares will become fully vested on the first anniversary of the date of grant, another one-third of the subject shares will become vested on the second anniversary of the date of grant, and the final one-third of the subject shares will become vested on the

35

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

third anniversary of the date of grant. The Plan commenced effective the first day of fiscal 2002, and received approval by the holders of a majority of the Company s outstanding common stock in fiscal 2002. Unless previously terminated, the Plan shall terminate at the close of business on the last day of fiscal year 2006. In fiscal 2004, the Company expensed $117,400 for approximately 16,000 shares. In 2005, the Company expensed $245,000 for approximately 27,000 shares. In 2006, the Company recorded no expense as there were no restricted stock plan shares earned.

In 2004, the Company implemented an Executive Stock Awards Plan. The purpose of which is to promote the long-term growth and profitability of the Company by providing executive officers with incentives to improve stockholder value and contribute to the success of the Company. An aggregate of 135,000 shares of common stock of the Company may be issued, with the maximum number issued to any single individual in a calendar year capped at 30,000 shares. The Executive Stock Awards Plan provides for an initial grant of shares, effective as of January 1, 2004 and subsequent grants for 2004, 2005 and 2006 subject to performance targets set for each year by the Compensation Committee. Shares will vest on January 1 of the year following the grant. During 2004, the Company expensed $69,000 for 13,500 restricted shares earned by executive officers pursuant to initial granting of shares. No subsequent grants were awarded for fiscal 2004. During 2005, the Company expensed $121,500 for approximately 13,500 restricted shares earned by executive officers. During 2006, the Company recorded no expense as there were no executive stock awards.

In 2006, the Company approved and implemented the 2006 Director s Plan. The purpose of the 2006 Directors Plan is to strengthen the alignment of interests between the members of the Board of Directors who are not employees or officers of the Company (each, a non-employee Director) and the stockholders of the company through the increased ownership by the company s non-employee Directors of the Company s common stock. An aggregate of 46,000 shares of common stock are reserved for this Plan. Under this new plan, initial awards to Independent Directors upon first election to the Board of Directors will be grants of 1,000 restricted shares of the Company s common stock and re-election awards will be grants of 250 restricted shares of the Company s common stock under the 2006 Director s Plan. Under the current 2006 Director s Plan, any non-employee Director shall be a participant in the 2006 Director s Plan.

10. Income Taxes

The components and allocation of the total provision for income tax (benefit) expense are as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005	December 26, 2004
Income tax expense:			
Federal, current	$ 756	$ 2,271	$ 459
Federal, deferred	178		
State, current	198	7	(30)
State, deferred	16	264	86
Total tax expense	$ 1,148	$ 2,542	$ 515

Actual income taxes differ from the expected taxes (computed by applying the U.S. Federal corporate tax rate of 34% to (loss) earnings before income taxes) as follows:

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005	December 26, 2004
Computed expected tax expense:	$ 851	$ 1,047	$ 475
Adjustments resulting from:			
State tax, net of Federal tax benefit	$ 99	$ 122	$ 55
Meals and entertainment	31	45	32
Change in estimate for tax benefit, other	168	179	(563)
Change in estimate for tax benefit (IRS Audit)		1,149	
Valuation allowance			
Total expected tax expense	$ 1,148	$ 2,542	$ (0)
Effective tax rate	46.2%	82.6%	36.8%

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to the aggregate deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2006	December 25, 2005
Deferred tax assets and liabilities:		
Allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 104	$ 104
Accrued insurance expense	1,108	919
Accumulated depreciation of property and equipment	(42)	63
Accumulated amortization of other assets	566	625
AMT Tax Paid		142
Other	179	256
Net deferred tax assets	$ 1,915	$ 2,109

The effective tax rate for fiscal 2006 is 46.2%. The Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) has completed and closed its examinations of the Company s tax returns for all years through 2003. In connection with these examinations, in January 2006, the IRS issued the Company an assessment related to the previously filed 2001 tax return, which disallowed $3,114,000 of net operating loss (NOL) carryfowards. These NOLs represented $1,182,000 of the Company s deferred tax assets, and were derived from the 2000 sale of the industrial maintenance business. Therefore, the effective tax rate for fiscal 2005 was 82.6%, which resulted in tax expense of $2,542,000. The Company has notified the IRS of their acceptance of the IRS findings and received the final IRS ruling letter. As a result of this ruling, the Company has reduced the deferred tax asset to reflect this settlement and has exhausted the deferred tax asset related to the sale. However, this adjustment did not impact revenues or operating expenses for 2005 and resulted in no cash impact for the 2005 fiscal year. Accordingly, for future periods, the Company anticipates that cash outlays will occur for income tax purposes.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers, within each taxing jurisdiction, whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the years in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, the Company has determined that the tax asset will be

realizable.

Table of Contents

ABLEST INC.
Notes to Financial Statements, continued

11. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company maintains a qualified defined contribution plan covering all employees in the United States. The Company matches the contributions of participating employees, with a maximum contribution limit, on the basis of the percentages specified in the plan. The matching contributions were approximately $245,000, $207,000 and $183,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005, and December 26, 2004, respectively.

12. Lease Commitments

The Company occupies certain facilities under non-cancelable operating lease arrangements. Expenses under such arrangements amounted to approximately $1,469,000, $1,370,000, and $1,288,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005, and December 26, 2004, respectively.

In addition, the Company leases certain automotive and office equipment under non-cancelable operating lease arrangements, which provide for minimum monthly rental payments. Expenses under such arrangements amounted to approximately $211,000, $137,000, and $133,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005, and December 26, 2004, respectively.

Management expects that in the normal course of its continuing operations, new leases will replace leases that expire. Real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses are obligations of the Company. A summary of future minimum operating lease payments for continuing operations at December 31, 2006 follows:

	(Amounts in thousands)		
Year	Real Property	Equipment	Total
2007	$ 969	$ 59	$ 1,028
2008	701	13	714
2009	565		565
2010	485		485
2011	323		323
Thereafter	406		406
Total	$ 3,449	$ 72	$ 3,521

13. Contingencies

The Company is subject, from time to time, to claims encountered in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of all pending matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or liquidity.

The Company carries a broad range of insurance coverage, including general and business auto liability, commercial property, workers compensation and a general umbrella policy. The Company is self-insured for general liability and workers compensation. Accruals for losses are made based on the Company s claims experience and actuarial assumptions followed in the insurance industry. Management believes that the amount accrued is adequate to cover all known and unreported claims at December 31, 2006. Actual losses could differ from accrued amounts.

Table of Contents

14. Subsequent Events

As previously reported, on January 18, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors received a proposal from certain existing investors, including Charles H. Heist, III, the Company s Chairman of the Board, Kurt R. Moore, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, The Burton Partnership (QP), Limited Partnership and The Burton Partnership, Limited Partnership, to acquire all of the Company s publicly held common stock for $7.50 per share in cash.

The Company s Board of Directors formed a Special Committee of four independent directors to review and evaluate the foregoing proposal and any strategic alternatives to the proposal that may be available to the Company. Subsequent to the Company s public announcement of receipt of the foregoing buyout proposal, the Special Committee received an indication of interest from a potential third-party acquirer. The Special Committee has not made a determination whether either proposed transaction is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders or whether the Company should pursue any other available strategic alternatives. The Special Committee continues to evaluate the foregoing proposals, as well as other strategic alternatives for the Company. Accordingly, no assurances can be given as to whether any particular strategic alternative will be pursued or implemented. The Special Committee currently does not intend to make any further public announcements regarding its review of possible strategic alternatives until its evaluation process has been completed. See also Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The Company understands that on March 5, 2007, David Ryman, an alleged shareholder of the Company, filed a lawsuit against the Company, certain Company directors and officers, and other parties, on behalf of a putative class of the Company s public shareholders. The litigation is pending in the Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit in and for Hillsborough County, Florida. The Company has not been advised that any defendant has been served with the Complaint. The litigation relates to the Company s January 23, 2007 announcement that: (i) certain existing investors, including Charles H. Heist, III, the Company s Chairman of the Board, Kurt R. Moore, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, and two partnerships that own Company shares, have presented the Company with a proposal to acquire all of the Company s publicly held common stock; and (ii) the Company s Board of Directors has formed a Special Committee of four independent directors to review and evaluate the proposal, as well as other strategic alternatives for the Company . In his Complaint, the plaintiff seeks: (i) an order enjoining the defendants from proceeding with, consummating or closing the proposed transaction; (ii) in the event that the transaction is closed, an order rescinding the transaction or awarding damages; and (iii) an award of attorney s fees, expert s fees and costs. The Company believes that the claims being made in the foregoing litigation are without merit and, if such litigation proceeds, it intends to vigorously defend against such claims.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. ***Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

None.

ITEM 9A. ***Controls and Procedures***

We carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by the annual report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the period covered by this annual report filed under the Securities Exchange Act within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms.

During the last fiscal year, there have not been any changes in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors to the Company s knowledge that could materially affect, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the internal control over financial reporting, including any corrective action with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses. The design of any system of controls and procedures is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events.

ITEM 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. ***Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of the Registrant***

Directors and Executive Officers of the Company

The table below sets forth certain information about each of the Company s directors and executive officers.

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	First Became a Director
Charles H. Heist	Chairman of the Board of Directors	56	1978
Kurt R. Moore	President and Chief Executive Officer	47	2003
W. David Foster	Former Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors	72	1997
Charles E. Scharlau	Attorney and Consultant	79	1980
Ronald K. Leirvik	Chairman of RKL Enterprises	69	1996
Donna R. Moore	Chief Executive Officer of Fitniks International, Inc.	67	1996
Richard W. Roberson	President of Sand Dollar Partners, Inc.	60	1997
John Horan	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	45	
Nolan B. Gardner	Vice President Human Resource	37	

Table of Contents

Mr. Heist is Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company and has been since 1988. From 1983 until 1997, he served as President, and from 1988 until March 2000, he was also Chief Executive Officer of the Company. In over 30 years with the Company, he has held a variety of other management positions.

Mr. Moore is President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and has been since January 1, 2004. From 2000 to 2003, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, from 1996 to 2000, he was Executive Vice President of the Company, and from 1991 to 1996, he was Vice President of the Company.

Mr. Foster had been Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors since 2004. Until his retirement on March 31, 2006, he also served as the Company s Secretary. From 2000 to 2003, he served as the Company s Chief Executive Officer, and from 1997 to 2000, he was the Company s President and Chief Operating Officer. In over 30 years with the Company, he has held a variety of other management positions.

Mr. Scharlau is of counsel with the law firm of Connor and Winters, PLLC, a position he has held since 1999. He is the former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Southwestern Energy Company and Arkansas Western Gas Company. He serves on the Board of Directors of Southwestern Energy Company and is past Chairman and past member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Arkansas System. He is also a member of the Board and Executive Committee of the University of Arkansas Foundation.

Mr. Leirvik is Founder and Chairman of RKL Enterprises, a position he has held since 1995, which invests in and manages manufacturing and distribution companies. He is the former President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of RB&W Corporation and Executive Vice President and General Manager of Moen, Inc. Mr. Leirvik is also Chairman of the Boards of Directors of Willow Hill Industries, Inc., C.E. White Corporation, and Conneaut Leather LLC.

Ms. Moore is Founder and CEO of Fitniks International, Inc., a franchisor of children s fitness clubs. She was an independent consultant from 2001 to 2004 and was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Hit or Miss, Inc. from 1999 to 2001. Ms, Moore was previously Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Discovery Zone, Inc., President and Chief Executive Officer of Motherhood Maternity, and President North American Division of Laura Ashley, Inc. and Senior Vice-President of Walt Disney Company The Disney Stores.

Mr. Roberson is President of Sand Dollar Partners, Inc., an investment firm, a position he has held since 1998.

Mr. Horan joined the Company as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on May 15, 2006. Mr. Horan was previously with Certegy (formerly Equifax) from 1998 to 2006 as Vice President. From 1986 to 1998 he was with Salomon Brothers Inc., where he was Vice President Emerging Markets.

Mr. Gardner is Vice President Human Resources and has been since November 5, 2004. Mr. Gardner served as Director of Human Resources from 2000-2004. Since joining the Company in 1994, his positions have included Human Resources Manager and Corporate Trainer.

Audit Committee

The Company has a separately-designated standing Audit Committee, which consists of Messrs. Roberson, Leirvik and Scharlau. The Board has determined that Richard W. Roberson qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Mr. Roberson is also independent under Section 121A of the American Stock Exchange (AmEx) Company Guide, and meets the additional independence standards set forth by Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 121B of the AmEx Company Guide.

Table of Contents

Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its principal executive officer and its principal financial and accounting officer, in accordance with Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the rules of the SEC promulgated thereunder, and the American Stock Exchange rules. The code of ethics is available on the Company s website at www.ablest.com. In the event that the Company makes any changes to, or provides any waivers from, the provisions of its code of ethics, the Company intends to disclose these events on its website or in a report on Form 8-K within four business days of such event.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Under the securities laws of the United States, the Company s Directors, its executive officers, and any persons holding more than 10% of its common stock are required to report their ownership of the Company s common stock and any changes in that ownership to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Specific due dates for these reports have been established, and the Company is required to report in this Annual Report any failure to file by these dates during 2006. Based solely on the Company s review of the copies of such reports received by it from certain reporting persons, the Company believes that, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and ten percent stockholders were met, except as follows: Donald W. Burton, a 10% stockholder of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 4 reporting two transactions, which was reported late on Form 4; Victoria Hall, a 10% stockholder of the Company, failed to timely file 4 reports on Form 4 reporting 14 transactions, which were reported late on Form 4; W. David Foster, a Director of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 4 reporting one transaction, which was reported late on Form 4; Ronald Leirvik, a Director of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 4 reporting one transaction, which was reported late on Form 4; Richard W. Roberson, a Director of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 4 reporting one transaction, which was reported late on Form 4; Charles E. Scharlau, a Director of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 4 reporting one transaction, which was reported late on Form 4; Donna R. Moore, a Director of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 4 reporting one transaction, which was reported late on Form 4; and John Horan, a Vice President of the Company, failed to timely file one report on Form 3, which was reported late on Form 3.

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. ***Executive Compensation***

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Executive Compensation Philosophy

The primary objectives of the Compensation Committee of our board of directors with respect to executive compensation are to attract, motivate and retain the best executive talent available to us and to align our executive compensation structure with shareholder value creation. To achieve these objectives, the Compensation Committee has established an incentive system based on performance and benchmarked against an established peer group in which long-term continued improvement in pre-tax profit is the goal. More specifically, the Compensation Committee believes that executive compensation should:

- help attract and retain the most qualified individuals by being competitive with compensation paid to persons having similar responsibilities and duties in other companies in the same and closely related businesses;
- relate to the value created for shareholders by being directly tied to financial performance and condition of the Company and the particular executive officers contribution thereto;
- motivate and reward individuals who help the Company achieve its short-term and long-term objectives and thereby contribute significantly to the success of the Company; and
- reflect the qualifications, skills, experience, and responsibilities of the particular executive officer.

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for:

- Determining, approving and recommending to the Board the compensation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer (the CEO);
- making recommendations to the board with respect to executive compensation for non-CEO executive officers, incentive compensation for executives and equity-based plans that are subject to board approval.

Through this process, the Committee looks at all aspect of compensation including a detailed analysis of a tally sheet, resulting in a thorough accumulated wealth analysis for the Named Executive Officers (as defined below) of the Company. The Named Executive Officers of the Company are Mr. Kurt R. Moore (President and Chief Executive Officer), Mr. John Horan (Vice President Chief Financial Officer), Mr. Charles H. Heist (Chairman of the Board), Mr. Nolan B. Gardner (Vice President Human Resources), and Mr. W. David Foster (former Vice-Chairman of the Board).

Benchmarking

For fiscal 2006, the Compensation Committee retained Hay Consulting Group, a compensation consulting firm, to establish a competitive peer group and perform analyses of competitive performance and compensation levels. The Hay Consulting Group developed recommendations that were reviewed by the Compensation Committee and the board of directors in connection with approving executive compensation for fiscal 2006.

Table of Contents

The current peer group is based on Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code as well as North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), revenue size, and information from industry publications. Our peer group is composed of the 25th percentile of public companies in our industry and includes Barrett Business Services, Inc.; Butler International Inc.; Comforce Corp; KFORCE Inc.; Medical Staffing Network Holdings; On Assignment Inc.; RCM Technologies Inc.; Stratus Services Group. Inc.; TeamStaff, Inc.; and Westaff Inc. We targeted the aggregate value of our total rewards at approximately the median level of our peer group for most positions. However, we strongly believe in engaging the best talent in critical functions, and this may entail negotiations with individual executives who have significant retention packages in place with other employers. In order to make such individuals whole for the compensation that they would forfeit by terminating their previous employment, the Compensation Committee may determine that it is in the best interests of our shareholders to negotiate packages that deviate from the general principle of targeting total rewards at the median of our peers.

Role of Management in Awarding Executive Compensation

Our Chief Executive Officer currently initiates the compensation discussions with the Compensation Committee, providing requests and seeking approval from the Committee and the board of directors before finalizing any employment contracts or bonus plans for Named Executive Officers. Comparable positions for companies in our peer group are taken into consideration in determining executive pay, as well as the level of inherent risk associated with the position, and the specific circumstances of the executive. The Compensation Committee approves the annual incentive award for the Chief Executive Officer and for each officer below the Chief Executive Officer level, based on the Chief Executive Officer s recommendations.

Compensation Committee Process

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the aggregate amounts and mix of all components of the CEO s and the other Named Executive Officers compensation, including base salary, annual incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation, accumulated (realized and unrealized) stock option and restricted stock gains, the value to the executive and cost to the Company of all perquisites and other personal benefits and the actual projected payout obligations for severance and change-in-control scenarios. A tally sheet setting forth all the above components was prepared and reviewed affixing dollar amounts under the various payout scenarios for the CEO and the other Named Executive Officers.

Compensation Components

The Company s executive compensation program currently consists of three key elements: base salary, annual incentive bonus and long-term equity compensation.

Base Salary. Base salaries for our executives are established based on the scope of their responsibilities, taking into account competitive market compensation paid by other companies for similar positions, which is discussed under Benchmarking above. Generally, we believe that executive base salaries should be targeted near the median of the range of salaries for executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities at comparable companies in line with our compensation philosophy.

Base salaries are reviewed annually, and adjusted from time to time to realign salaries with market levels after taking into account individual responsibilities, performance and experience. It is currently our intention that base salary increases for executives will be limited to no more than five percent per individual. In 2007 Mr. Moore received a salary increase of $10,000, or 3.5%, Mr. Horan received a salary increase of $5,600, or 3.5%, Mr. Heist received a salary increase of $7,800, or 3.5%, and Mr. Gardner had a salary increase of $5,000 or 4.8%.

Annual Incentive Bonus. Our annual incentive bonuses are intended to compensate officers for achieving our annual financial goals at corporate and business unit levels and for achieving measurable individual annual performance objectives. Our annual incentive bonus plan provides for a cash bonus, dependent upon the level of achievement of the stated corporate goals and personal performance goals, calculated as a

Table of Contents

percentage of the officer s base salary, with higher ranked executive officers being compensated at a higher percentage of base salary.

The annual incentive bonus ties incentive compensation to earning before taxes (EBT) as reported in the Company s audited financial statements. Under this plan, the Compensation Committee establishes an EBT target that must be reached before any bonuses are earned. The target EBT is based upon the annually established financial growth plan and goal. The Compensation Committee also establishes for each participant in the Plan, including executive officers, individual incentive amounts (TIA) that may be earned, in whole or in part, depending upon whether the EBT target is reached and by how much it is exceeded during the fiscal year. At the target EBT level, a bonus equal to 100% of the TIA will be earned by the plan participants.

For the fiscal year ended 2005, the target annual incentive bonus (as a percentage of base salary) was as follows: Chief Executive Officer, 45%; Chairman, 20%; Vice-Chairman, 20% and Vice President Human Resource, 20%. The EBT target of $1,724,000 was exceeded and incentive bonuses were paid in 2006 to the Named Executive Officers. Based on EBT of $3,078,000 for fiscal 2005, bonuses earned were $265,000 for Mr. Moore, $88,800 for Messrs. Heist and Foster, and $20,800 for Mr. Gardner.

For 2006, the target annual incentive bonus (as a percentage of base salary) was as follows: Chief Executive Officer, 45%; Chief Financial Officer, 30%; Chairman, 20% and Vice President Human Resource, 20%. Maximum payout for officers was 122 % above the TIA of the target bonus. The EBT target for 2006 was $3,324,240. The target was not met and as such, no bonus was earned for fiscal 2006.

For 2007, the target annual incentive bonus (as a percentage of base salary) will be as follows: Chief Executive Officer, 45%; Chief Financial Officer, 30%; Chairman, 20% and Vice President Human Resource, 20%. Maximum payout for officers is 122 % above the TIA of the target bonus. Payout levels above the target are based on rates of return above the target and capped at a level determined by the Committee when the plan is initially approved.

Long-Term Equity Compensation. We believe that stock-based awards promote the long-term growth and profitability of the Company by providing executive officers of the Company with incentives to improve stockholder values and contribute to the success of the Company and by enabling the Company to attract, retain and reward the best available persons for executive officer positions. The Company currently maintains two long-term equity incentive programs a Restricted Stock Plan and an Executive Stock Awards Plan.

Restricted Stock Plan. Participation in the Company s Restricted Stock Plan is limited to executive officers of the Company, regional managers, division managers and the Director of Major Account Development of the Company. During each fiscal year that the plan is in effect, the Compensation Committee will set a target EBT for the Company as calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. If the actual earnings before taxes for a particular fiscal year meet or exceed the target, as determined by the Committee after consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors, the Committee shall authorize the Company to issue each participant who is then employed by the Company, as soon as practicable after the release of the Company s earnings for such fiscal year, restricted shares equal to the number of shares in the pool multiplied by a fraction the numerator of which is the cash bonus paid to the participant for the year in question and the denominator of which is the total of cash bonuses paid to all participants for the year in question. The Committee reserves the right to adjust the final calculation of earnings before taxes if an unusual event occurs during the fiscal year in question that has a material impact on the Company s earnings.

In fiscal 2005, shares were granted when the Company s earnings before taxes met or exceeded the target of $1,724,000. The Company s earnings before taxes for fiscal 2005 exceeded this target. Shares granted under this plan for fiscal 2005 were 8,116 shares for Mr. Moore, 2,722 shares for Mr. Heist, 2,722 shares for Mr. Foster, and 1,252 for Mr. Gardner.

Table of Contents

The EBT target for 2006 was $3,324,240. The target was not met and as such, no equity compensation was earned for 2006.

Commencing in fiscal 2007, a pool of shares for grants under the Plan will be created by dividing eight percent (8%) of the previous fiscal year s pre-tax income (as determined by the Committee in consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors) by the average of the fair market value per share of the common stock of the Company during a 30-day trading day period commencing fifteen (15) trading days before and ending fifteen (15) trading days after the release of the Company s earnings for such previous fiscal year. See Summary of Plan Restricted Stock Plan, beginning on page 63 for a more detailed description.

Executive Stock Awards Plan. Participation in the Executive Stock Awards Plan is limited to the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and one additional executive officer that the Compensation Committee may select.

Subject to an event of reorganization, recapitalization, stock split, stock dividend, combination of shares, merger, consolidation, distribution of assets or other change in corporate structure or shares, 135,000 shares of common stock of the Company may be issued pursuant to the Executive Stock Awards Plan. The maximum number of shares that may be issued to any single individual in any one year may not exceed 30,000 shares.

The Compensation Committee establishes applicable performance targets based on the Company s pre-tax earnings and determines the number of restricted shares that may be earned by participants in the plan if the applicable performance targets are met or exceeded.

At the end of a fiscal year, if the Compensation Committee determines, after consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors, that the Company s pre-tax earnings for the fiscal year met or exceeded one or more of the performance targets, each participant will receive, with respect to such fiscal year and the targets met or exceeded, the number of restricted shares provided for by the Compensation Committee when it established the targets for the year. The Compensation Committee, after appropriate consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors, may adjust the final calculation of pre-tax earnings if an unusual event occurs during the fiscal year in question that has more than a minimal impact on the Company s earnings. No later than December 15th of the year in which any restricted shares awarded under the plan vest, the Company will credit to the participant who received such shares an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares times the highest marginal tax rate applicable to such executive for federal tax purposes. Unless previously terminated, the plan shall terminate at the close of business on the last day of fiscal year 2008.

For the fiscal 2005 year, shares were granted when the Company s earnings before taxes exceeded the target amount of $1,850,000. Shares granted under this plan for fiscal 2005 were 13,500 for Mr. Moore.

Information regarding the range of restricted shares that could have been earned in fiscal 2006 by current participants in the plan is included in the table shown below. No shares were issued under the plan for 2006.

Executive Officer	Range of Number of Shares 2006	Range of Percent of EBT Target Achieved in 2006
Kurt R. Moore	9,000-27,400	126%-251%

Information regarding the range of restricted shares that may be earned in fiscal 2007 by current participants in the Plan is included in the table shown below.

Table of Contents

Executive Officer	Range of Number of Shares 2007	Range of Percent of EBT Target Achieved in 2007
Kurt R. Moore	9,000-18,200	119%-273%

Selection and Weighting of Components of Compensation

The Compensation Committee determines the mix and weightings of each of our compensation elements by considering data from our peer group. The allocation of the main components of total compensation is directly dependent on Company performance. Base salary is the only portion of compensation that is assured. In 2006, the allocation of total compensation to our Named Executive Officers at target performance levels was, on average, approximately 60% to base salary, 26 % to cash incentive, and 14% for equity awards. The allocation of total compensation at the maximum performance levels was, on average, approximately 37% base salary, 37% cash incentive and 26% for equity awards. While the Compensation Committee has established a framework to provide the Named Executive Officers with solid incentives to encourage the best performance, actual amounts paid or forfeited depend on Company and individual performance. No cash incentives or equity awards were granted to Named Executive Officers for performance in the 2006 fiscal year.

The Compensation Committee uses restricted stock to motivate executives to focus on the long-term performance of the business. All restricted stock grants are performance-based and payout is entirely determined by Company performance. The Company s equity compensation plans are designed to make executives sensitive to shareholder interests, as a decrease in the stock price affects overall compensation.

The Compensation Committee reviews tally sheets prepared by our Human Resources department in order to determine whether the total compensation for our CEO and the other Named Executive Officers is reasonable and not excessive. The tally sheet sets forth the amounts and mix of all components including base salary, annual incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation, the value to the executive and cost to the company of all perquisites and other personal benefits.

Change in Control

The Company has change in control provisions in its employment agreements with Named Executive Officers, Restricted Stock Plan and Executive Stock Awards Plan. The Company has no additional change in control contracts or arrangements with any of the Named Executive Officers.

The change in control provisions in the plans and employment agreements were designed to make a change in control transaction neutral to the economic interests of employees that might be involved in considering such a transaction. The employees subject to these provisions would likely not be in a position to influence the Company s performance after a change in control and may not be in a position to earn their incentive awards or vest in their equity awards. Thus, the provisions are meant to encourage employees that may be involved in considering a change in control transaction to act in the interests of shareholders rather than their own interests.

The change in control provisions in employment agreements with Named Executive Officers are described starting on page 56 under Potential Payments Upon Termination or a Change-In-Control. Generally, our equity compensation plans provide that restricted stock will vest in full upon a change in control. The Compensation Committee believes that the provisions provided for under both our employment agreements and equity compensation plans are appropriate since an employee s position could be adversely affected by a change in control even if he or she is not terminated. These plans provide, however, that the Compensation Committee may determine in advance of the change in control event that the provisions would not apply and therefore no accelerated vesting would occur.

Table of Contents

Other Compensation

Consistent with our pay-for-performance compensation philosophy, we intend to continue to maintain modest executive benefits and perquisites for officers; however, the Compensation Committee in its discretion may revise, amend or add to the officer s executive benefits and perquisites if it deems it advisable. We believe these benefits and perquisites are currently at median competitive levels for companies in our peer group. We have no current plans to make changes to either the employment agreements (except as required by law or as required to clarify the benefits to which our executive officers are entitled as set forth herein) or levels of benefits and perquisites provided thereunder. In this regard it should be noted that we do not provide pension arrangements, post-retirement health coverage, or similar benefits for our executives or employees.

The following table generally illustrates the benefit plans and perquisites we do and do not provide and identifies those employees who may be eligible to receive them. Perquisites for all executive officers are detailed within the footnotes of the summary compensation table.

Perquisites and Employee Benefits	Executive Officers	Certain Managers	Full Time Employees
401(k) Plan	ü	ü	ü
Medical/Dental Plans	ü	ü	ü
Life Insurance (1)	ü	ü	ü
Long Term Disability Plan (2)	ü	ü	ü
Short Term Disability Plan (3)	ü	ü	ü
Company Paid Trips	ü	ü	ü
Company Leased Automobile	ü	ü	
Change in Control and Severance Plan	ü		
Deferred Compensation Plan	Not Offered	Not Offered	Not Offered
Supplemental Early Retirement Plan	Not Offered	Not Offered	Not Offered
Employee Stock Ownership Plan	Not Offered	Not Offered	Not Offered
Defined Benefit Pension Plan	Not Offered	Not Offered	Not Offered

(1) Ablest provides life insurance to eligible full-time employees with a benefit equal to one times their annual salary up to a maximum of $50,000. Executive officers and certain manager s benefit are equal to two times their annual salary up to maximum of $150,000.

(2) Ablest provides company-paid Long-Term Disability insurance to full-time eligible employees with a monthly benefit in the amount of 60% of monthly salary to a maximum of $7,000 per month. Executive officers and certain manager s maximum monthly benefit is $10,000.

(3) Ablest provides company-paid Short-term Disability insurance to full-time eligible employees with a weekly maximum of $500. The weekly maximum is $2,000 for executive officers and $1,200 for certain managers.

(4) The Company provides certain managers and certain NEO s with a company vehicle. The

actual cost of the vehicle is limited based on the employee s position in the company. The maximum actual cost of the automobile for the managers is $30,000. The limit for the total actual cost for Messrs Horan and Gardner is $40,000. The limit for the total actual cost for Messrs Moore and Heist is $55,000. The NEO s who receive company cars track business usage. At the end of each fiscal year the executive is charged for the personal use of the automobile using the IRS annual lease value method. The executive is reimbursed for any gasoline expenses for the business related mileage. The personal use charges appear in a footnote of the 2006 Summary Compensation Table. The annual cost of

the lease for Mr. Moore in 2006 was $9,445. The annual cost of the lease for Mr. Heist in 2006 was $10,188. The annual cost of the lease for Mr. Horan in 2006 was $7,181. The annual cost of the lease for Mr. Gardner was $8,013.

Tax, Accounting and Other Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Code limits to $1 million per employee the deductibility of compensation paid to the executive officers required to be listed in the Company s proxy statement unless the compensation meets certain specific requirements. The Compensation Committee has considered the Company s ability to deduct from taxable income certain performance based compensation under section 162(m) of the Code. At the Company s current compensation levels, tax deductibility under Section 162(m) was not a determinative factor in the design of the Company s compensation program.

Table of Contents

Code Section 409A generally modified the tax rules that affect most forms of deferred compensation that were not earned and vested prior to 2005. Although complete guidance regarding Code Section 409A has not been issued, the Compensation Committee considers the implications under Code Section 409A in determining the design, including the form and timing of deferred compensation, paid to the Company s executives, including Named Executive Officers. The Company s non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements are administered in accordance with a reasonable good faith interpretation of the rules and guidance published under Code Section 409A.

Section 280G of the Code limits the Company s ability to take a tax deduction for certain excess parachute payments (as defined in Code Section 280G), and Section 4999 of the Code imposes excise taxes on certain executives who receive excess parachute payments. The Compensation Committee considers the adverse tax liabilities imposed by Code Sections 280G and 4999, as well as other competitive factors, when it designs and implements arrangements that may be triggered upon a change in control for all potentially affected employees, including the Company s Named Executive Officers.

Various rules under generally accepted accounting practices determine the extent to which and the manner in which the Company accounts for grants under its long term equity compensation plans in its financial statements. The Compensation Committee takes into consideration the accounting treatment under FAS 123(R) when determining the types of and value of grants under its long term equity incentive plans for all employees, including the Company s Named Executive Officers. The accounting treatment of such grants, however, is not determinative of the type, timing, or amount of any particular grant of equity-based compensation to the Company s employees.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based upon such review and discussion, has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company s Annual Report.

The Compensation Committee:

Charles E. Scharlau, Chairman Donna R. Moore Ronald K. Leirvik

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Compensation Committee during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 were Mr. Charles Scharlau, Ms. Donna Moore, and Mr. Ronald Leirvik. No member of the Compensation Committee is currently or was formerly an officer or an employee of the Company. The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors. None of the Company s executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as members of the Company s Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth for each of the Named Executive Officers: (i) the dollar value of base salary and bonus earned during the year ended December 31, 2006; (ii) the aggregate grant date fair value of stock and option awards granted during the year, computed in accordance with FAS 123(R); (iii) the dollar value of earnings for services pursuant to awards granted during the year under non-equity incentive plans; (iv) the change in pension value and non-qualified deferred compensation earnings during the year; (v) all other compensation for the year; and, finally (vi) the dollar value of total compensation for the year.

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (c)	Bonus (d)	Stock Awards (e)	Option Awards (f)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($) (g)	Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ($) (h)	All Other Compensation ($) (i) (9)	Total ($) (j)
Kurt R. Moore, President & CEO	2006	$290,000		$115,425(1) $ 74,343(2)				$ 25,081(3)	$504,849
John Horan, V. P.-CFO	2006	$ 95,385(4)	$30,000(4)						$125,385
Charles H. Heist, Chairman of the Board (5)	2006	$225,000		$ 24,934(2)				$ 21,789(6)	$271,723
Nolan B. Gardner, V. P. Human Resources	2006	$105,000		$ 11,468(2)					$116,468
W. David Foster, former Vice-Chairman of the Board (7)	2006	$ 73,077		$ 24,934(2)				$ 38,450(8)	$136,461

(1) Value of shares of common stock received pursuant to the Company s Executive Stock Awards Plan. Mr. Moore received 13,500 shares of common stock in 2006 for the

2005 plan year. These shares are valued at $8.55 per share the share price at the date of grant, March 2, 2006.

(2) Value of shares of common stock received pursuant to the Company s Restricted Stock Plan: These shares were awarded on March 24, 2006 for the 2005 plan year. The price per share is the average price per share in the 30 day trading period commencing 15 days before and ending 15 days after the release of the Company s earnings on March 2, 2006 for the 2006 fiscal year

Kurt R. Moore received 8,116 shares at $9.16/share.

Charles H. Heist received 2,722 shares at $9.16/share.

Nolan Gardner received 1,252

shares at $9.16/share.

W. David Foster received 2,722 shares at $9.16/share.

(3) Includes matching contributions to 401(k) Plan ($8,800), personal use of company car ($9,781), sales incentive trip ($4,559), excess group term life benefit ($180) and tax gross ups to cover taxes on excess group term life and sales incentive trip ($1,761).

(4) John Horan was hired as Chief Financial Officer on May 15, 2006. Mr. Horan was paid a bonus for 2006 in 2007 pursuant to his employment agreement.

(5) Mr. Heist served as Acting Chief Financial officer until May 15, 2006.

(6) Includes matching contributions to 401(k) Plan ($8,800),

personal use of company car ($6,185), sales incentive trip ($4,559), excess group term life benefit ($516) and tax-gross ups to cover taxes on excess group term life and sales incentive trip ($1,729).

(7) W. David Foster retired as Vice Chairman on March 31, 2006. Mr. Foster retained his seat on the Board of Directors.

(8) Includes matching contributions to 401(k) Plan ($5,709), personal use of company car ($4,238), sales incentive trip ($4,559), excess group term life benefit ($155), tax-gross ups to cover taxes on excess group term life and sales incentive trip ($2,277) and Directors fees ($19,100). Mr. Foster also received 250 shares of common stock on May 16, 2006 pursuant to the Directors

Stock Plan. These shares are valued at $9.65 per share (the share price on the date of annual meeting) or $2,413 and are also included in the Other Compensation amount.

(9) Personal use of company vehicles is calculated using the IRS Annual Lease Value method.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding all incentive plan awards that were made to the Named Executive Officers during 2006, including incentive plan awards (equity-based and non-equity based) and other plan-based awards. Disclosure on a separate line item is provided for each grant of an award made to a Named Executive Officer during the year. The information supplements the dollar value disclosure of stock, option and non-stock awards in the Summary Compensation Table by providing additional details about such awards. Equity incentive-based awards are subject to a performance condition or a market condition as those terms are defined by FAS 123(R). Non-equity incentive plan awards that are not subject to FAS 123(R) and are intended to serve as an incentive for performance to occur over a specified period.

		Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards
Name	Grant Date	Threshold ($)	Target ($)	Maximum (#)	Threshold ($)	Target ($)	Maximum (#)	(#)	(#)	($/Sh)	($/Sh)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(k)
Kurt R. Moore, President & CEO	03/02/06							13,500(1)			$ 115,425(2)
	03/24/06							8,116(2)			$ 74,343(2)
John Horan, V. P.-CFO											
Charles H. Heist, Chairman of the Board	03/24/06							2,722(2)			$ 24,934(2)
Nolan B. Gardner, V. P.-Human Resources	03/24/06							1,252(2)			$ 11,468(2)
W. David Foster, former Vice-Chairman of the Board	03/24/06							2,722(2)			$ 24,934(2)

(1) Value of shares of common stock received pursuant to the Company s Executive Stock Awards Plan. Mr. Moore received 13,500 shares of common stock in 2006 for the 2005 plan year. These shares are valued at $8.55 per share the share price at the date of grant, March 2, 2006

(2) Value of shares of common stock received pursuant to the Company s Restricted Stock Plan: These shares were awarded on March 24, 2006 for the 2005 plan year. The price per share is the average price per share in the 30 day trading period commencing 15 days before and ending 15 days after the release of the Company s earnings on March 2, 2006 for the 2006 fiscal year.

Kurt R. Moore received 8,116 shares at $9.16/share.
Charles H. Heist received 2,722 shares at $9.16/share.
Nolan Gardner received 1,252 shares at $9.16/share.

W. David Foster received 2,722 shares at $9.16/share.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information on outstanding option and stock awards held by the Named Executive Officers at December 31, 2006, including the number of shares underlying both exercisable and unexercisable portions of each stock option as well as the exercise price and expiration date of each outstanding option.

	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
Name (a)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#) (d)	Exercise Price ($) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (g)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ($) (h)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ($) (j)(1)
Kurt R. Moore, President & CEO						11,679(2) 13,500(3)	$ 75,797 $ 87,615		
John Horan, V. P.-CFO									
Charles H. Heist, Chairman of the Board						4,541(4)	$ 29,471		
Nolan B. Gardner, V. P.-Human Resources						1,798(5)	$ 11,669		
W. David Foster, former Vice-Chairman of the Board						250(6)	$ 2,413		

(1) Shares valued at closing market price on December 31, 2006 of $6.49.
(2) Unvested shares granted pursuant to the Restricted Stock Plan for Mr. Moore consist of 731 shares that vested n March 12, 2007, 1,416 shares that vested on March 16, 2007, 2,706 shares that vested on March 24, 2007, 1,416 hares that will vest on March 16, 2008, 2,705 shares that will vest on March 24, 2008 and 2,705 shares that will est on March 24, 2009.

(3) Unvested shares granted pursuant the Executive Stock Awards Plan consist of 13,500 shares that vested on January 1, 2007.

Table of Contents

(4) Unvested shares granted pursuant to the Restricted Stock Plan for Mr. Heist consist of 813 shares that vested on March 12, 2007, 503 shares that vested on March 16, 2007, 908 shares that vested on March 24, 2007, 503 shares that will vest on March 16, 2008, 907 shares that will vest on March 24, 2008 and 907 shares that will vest on March 24, 2009.

(5) Unvested shares granted pursuant to the Restricted Stock Plan for Mr. Gardner consist of 128 shares that vested on March 12, 2007, 209 shares that vested on March 16, 2007, 418 shares that vested on March 24, 2007, 209 shares that will vest on March 16, 2008, 417 shares that will vest on March 24, 2008 and 417 shares that will vest on March 24, 2009.

(6) Unvested shares granted pursuant to the Directors Restricted Stock Plan consist of 250 shares that will vest on May 16, 2007.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth information regarding each exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock during 2006 for each of the Named Executive Officers on an aggregated basis:

	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
Name (a)	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) (b)	Value Realized on Exercise ($) (c)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) (d)	Value Realized on Vesting ($) (e)
Kurt R. Moore, President & CEO			2,713(1)	$ 25,054
John Horan, V. P.-CFO				
Charles H. Heist, Chairman of the Board			1,978(2)	$ 18,419
Nolan B. Gardner, V. P.-Human Resources			438(3)	$ 4,059
W. David Foster, former Vice-Chairman of the Board			4,715(4)	$ 65,761

(1) On April 2, 2006, the third installment of a share award granted on April 2, 2003 vested. On March 12, 2006, the second installment of a share award granted on March 12, 2004 vested. On March 16, 2006, the first installment of a

share award granted on March 16, 2006 vested. No amounts were deferred upon vesting.

(2) On April 2, 2006, the third installment of a share award granted on April 2, 2003 vested. On March 12, 2006, the second installment of a share award granted on March 12, 2004 vested. On March 16, 2006, the first installment of a share award granted on March 16, 2006 vested. No amounts were deferred upon vesting.

(3) On April 2, 2006, the third installment of a share award granted on April 2, 2003 vested. On March 12, 2006, the second installment of a share award granted on March 12, 2004 vested. On March 16, 2006, the first installment of a share award

granted on March 16, 2006 vested. No amounts were deferred upon vesting.

(4) On April 2, 2006, the third installment of a share award granted on April 2, 2003 vested. On March 12, 2006, the second installment of a share award granted on March 12, 2004 vested. On March 16, 2006, the first installment of a share award granted on March 16, 2006 vested. On the date of his retirement, the remaining installments of the shares awarded to Mr. Foster under the Restricted Stock Plan vested. No amounts were deferred upon vesting.

Pension Benefits

The Company does not provide pension arrangements or post-retirement health coverage for its executives or employees.

Table of Contents

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The Company does not provide any nonqualified defined contribution or other nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or a Change-in-Control

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our executive officers, including the following Named Executive Officers: Kurt R. Moore, John Horan, Charles H. Heist and Nolan B. Gardner. The payments to be made to Named Executive Officers pursuant to the employment agreements in the event of disability or death, involuntary termination without cause and termination following a change in control are described below. These employment agreements are described in greater detail beginning on page 59.

Payments Made Upon Death or Disability

In the event of death or disability, the Named Executive Officer will receive the following for a period equal to the lesser of (i) twelve (12) months following the date of such death or disability or (ii) the balance of the remaining term of the employment agreement. In no event will the period be less than six (6) months.:

Payments to the executive (or his estate) of the executive s then effective per annum rate of salary.

Continuation of the same family medical coverage as provided to the executive on the date of such death or disability.

Payments Made Upon Involuntary Termination Without Cause

In the event of an involuntary termination without cause other than within two years following a change in control, the Named Executive Officer will receive the following for a period equal to the lesser of (i) twelve (12) months following the date of such termination or (ii) the balance of the remaining term of the employment agreement:

Payments to the executive of the then effective per annum rate of salary.

Continuation of the same family medical and dental coverage as provided to the executive on the date of termination.

Continuation of any long-term disability, short-term disability or life insurance, for the same coverage, as provided on the date of termination.

Payment of an amount equal to the target bonus incentive for the year in which such termination occurs.

Payments Made Upon a Change in Control

Change in control in our employment agreements with Named Executive Officers is defined as follows:

any Person, other than the C. H. Heist Intervivos Trust, the lineal descendants of Charles H. and Clydis D. Heist, and any trust for the benefit of their lineal descendants (collectively, the Heist Family), and other than any trustee or fiduciary on behalf of any Company benefit plan, becomes the Beneficial Owner of securities of the Company having at least 30% of the voting power of the Company s then outstanding securities (unless the event causing the

Table of Contents

30% threshold to be crossed is an acquisition of securities directly from the Company) but only if at the time of such person s becoming the beneficial owner of the requisite voting power, the Heist Family (or any trust or Person included therein) no longer holds a majority of the outstanding shares; or

the stockholders of the Company approve any merger or other business combination of the Company, or any going private transaction subject to Rule 13e-3 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any sale of all or substantially all of the Company s assets in one or a series of related transactions, or any combination of the foregoing transactions (the Transactions), other than a Transaction in which the Heist Family or any trust or Person included within the Heist Family is the Beneficial Owner of 40% or more of the voting securities of the surviving company (or its parent)(and, in a sale of assets, of the purchases of the assets) immediately following the Transaction; or

within any 24 month period, the persons who were directors immediately before the beginning of such period (the Disinterested Directors) cease (for any reason other than death) to constitute at least a majority of the Board or the board of directors of a successor to the Company, with, for this purpose, any director who was not a director at the beginning of such period deemed to be a Disinterested Director if such director was elected to the Board by, or on the recommendation of or with the approval of, at least two-thirds of the directors who then qualified as Disinterested Directors, so long as such director was not nominated by a person who has entered into an agreement to effect, or threatened to effect, a Change in Control.

If within twenty-four (24) months following a change in control, the executive officer s employment is terminated for reasons other than for cause (as defined in the employment agreement) the Named Executive Officer will receive:

A cash amount equal to two times the executive s annual base salary in effect on the date of termination.

Executive benefits substantially similar to those which the executive was receiving immediately prior to the date of termination until the earlier of the executive s death or the end of the twelve (12) month period following the date of termination.

The Company shall vest and accelerate the exercise date of all stock options granted to the executive, to the end that the options shall be immediately exercisable for the duration of their respective terms.

Long Term Equity Compensation

Change in control as related to the two stock plans below, is defined as follows:

any Person, other than the C. H. Heist Intervivos Trust, the lineal descendants of Charles H. and Clydis D. Heist, and any trust for the benefit of their lineal descendants (collectively, the Heist Family), and other than any trustee or fiduciary on behalf of any Company benefit plan, becomes the Beneficial Owner of securities of the Company having at least 25% of the voting power of the Company s then outstanding securities (unless the event causing the 25% threshold to be crossed is an acquisition of securities directly from the Company) but only if at the time of such person s becoming the beneficial owner of the requisite voting power, the Heist Family (or any trust or Person included therein) no longer holds a majority of the outstanding shares; or

Table of Contents

the stockholders of the Company approve any merger or other business combination of the Company, or any going private transaction subject to Rule 13e-3 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any sale of all or substantially all of the Company s assets in one or a series of related transactions, or any combination of the foregoing transactions (the Transactions), other than a Transaction in which the Heist Family or any trust or Person included within the Heist Family is the Beneficial Owner of 50% or more of the voting securities of the surviving company (or its parent)(and, in a sale of assets, of the purchases of the assets) immediately following the Transaction; or

within any 24 month period, the persons who were directors immediately before the beginning of such period (the Disinterested Directors) cease (for any reason other than death) to constitute at least a majority of the Board or the board of directors of a successor to the Company, with, for this purpose, any director who was not a director at the beginning of such period deemed to be a Disinterested Director if such director was elected to the Board by, or on the recommendation of or with the approval of, at least two-thirds of the directors who then qualified as Disinterested Directors, so long as such director was not nominated by a person who has entered into an agreement to effect, or threatened to effect, a Change in Control.

Restricted Stock Plan

In the event of a Change in Control or termination of a participant s employment due to death, disability, retirement with the consent of the committee, or termination without cause by the Company, all restrictions on shares granted to such participant shall lapse.

A more detailed description of the Restricted Stock Plan can be found below under the heading Summary of Plans.

Executive Stock Awards Plan

In the event of a Change in Control or termination of a participant s employment due to death, disability, retirement, or termination without cause by the Company, all restrictions on shares granted to such participant shall lapse.

A more detailed description of the Executive Stock Awards Plan can be found below under the heading Summary of Plans.

Quantification of Termination/Change in Control Payments

The table below reflects the amount of compensation to be paid to each of the Named Executive Officers of the Company in the event of his disability or death, involuntary termination without cause and termination following a change in control. Except with respect to Mr. Foster, the amounts assume that such termination was effective as of December 31, 2006, and thus includes amounts earned through such time and are estimates of the amounts that would be paid out upon termination. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of separation from the Company.

	2006 Termination Payments								
	Death or Disability			Involuntary Termination Without Cause			Termination within Two Years of a Change in Control		
Name	Salary & Bonus $	Benefits $(1) (4)	Total $	Salary & Bonus $	Benefits $(2) (4)	Total $	Salary & Bonus $	Benefits $(3)(4)	Total $
Kurt R. Moore, President & CEO	$300,000	$175,330	$475,330	$435,000	$176,820	$611,820	$600,000	$191,070	$791,070

Table of Contents

	2006 Termination Payments								
	Death or Disability			Involuntary Termination Without Cause			Termination within Two Years of a Change in Control		
Name	Salary & Bonus $	Benefits $(1) (4)	Total $	Salary & Bonus $	Benefits $(2) (4)	Total $	Salary & Bonus $	Benefits $(3)(4)	Total $
John Horan, V. P.-CFO	$165,600	$11,915	$177,515	$223,560	$13,410	$236,970	$331,200	$27,655	$358,855
Charles H. Heist, Chairman of the Board	$232,800	$39,365	$272,165	$279,360	$41,190	$320,550	$465,600	$54,940	$520,540
Nolan B. Gardner, V. P.-Human Resources	$110,000	$23,440	$133,440	$132,000	$24,930	$156,930	$220,000	$35,150	$255,150
W. David Foster, former Vice-Chairman of the Board (5)		$30,600							

(1) Consists of health, dental and vision insurance coverage. The value is based on the type of insurance coverage we carried for each executive officer as of December 31, 2006 and is valued at the premiums then in effect.

(2) Consists of health, dental, vision, life, long and short term disability insurance coverage. The value is based on the type of

insurance coverage we carried for each executive officer as of December 31, 2006 and is valued at the premiums then in effect.

(3) Consists of health, dental, vision, life, long and short term disability insurance coverage. The value is based on the type of insurance coverage we carried for each executive officer as of December 31, 2006 and is valued at the premiums then in effect. Also included is the annual lease value of company automobiles currently provided to the Named Executive Officers.

(4) These columns also include the value of the accelerated vesting of outstanding unvested restricted stock. For Mr. Moore this represents

$163,410, Mr. Horan had no unvested restricted stock at the end of fiscal 2006, for Mr. Heist this represents $29,470 and for Mr. Gardner this represents $11,670. The value of the accelerated vesting of unvested restricted stock was determined by multiplying the fair market value of our common stock on December 31, 2006 by the number of shares of restricted stock that where subject to accelerated vesting. The amounts reflected in the benefits column would also be paid upon retirement, if determined by the compensation committee, pursuant to the Restricted Stock or Executive Stock Award Plans.

(5) W. David Foster retired as Vice Chairman on

March 31, 2006. Mr. Foster retained his seat on the Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Restricted Stock Plan, the committee accelerated the vesting of 4,715 shares of restricted stock on March 31, 2006 in connection with his retirement, which is reflected in the benefits column under the section entitled Death or Disability in the table above.

Summary of Employment Agreements With Named Executive Officers

The following section provides information on employment agreements with our Named Executive Officers noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis or in the tables. For the convenience of the reader, we are putting the descriptions of these employment agreements in one location.

Kurt R. Moore, 47, serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company pursuant to an employment agreement entered into on January 1, 2007, that provides for his employment through January 1, 2009. If a change in control of the Company occurs prior to January 2, 2009, then his employment term is extended until the second anniversary of the date of the change in control.

Under the agreement, Mr. Moore is compensated at a base salary rate of $300,000 for 2007. For each calendar year thereafter, his salary will be determined by the Compensation Committee, but in no event shall it be less than the annual salary that was payable to him for the preceding calendar year. Mr. Moore is eligible to participate in any bonus program implemented for senior executives of the Company, with pertinent terms and goals to be established by the Compensation Committee.

The Company may terminate Mr. Moore s employment with cause immediately or without cause with 30 days advance notice. Mr. Moore may terminate his employment with the Company at any time with 30 days advance notice.

Table of Contents

If Mr. Moore s employment is terminated as a result of his death or disability, the Company is obligated to continue to pay his salary and provide him with medical benefits for the lesser of twelve months or the balance of the term remaining under the employment agreement (but for a minimum of six months). If his employment is terminated by the Company without cause other than within two years following a change in control of the Company, or by him due to a material breach of the agreement by the Company or because he is required, following a change in control of the Company, to relocate more than 40 miles from the Company s headquarters, then the Company is obligated to continue to pay Mr. Moore his salary and provide him with certain benefits for a period equal to the lesser of the remainder of the term of the agreement or twelve months, and to pay him within 30 days of the date of termination an amount equal to his target bonus opportunity for the year in which termination occurs. If required by Code Section 409A, payment of the severance may be delayed for a period of six months after his termination of employment.

If within two years after a change in control of the Company, Mr. Moore s employment is terminated by the Company without cause, or by Mr. Moore due to a material reduction in his duties or responsibilities within 12 months following the change in control, he will be entitled to an amount equal to two times his annual salary in effect on the date of termination.

Upon any such termination within two years after a change in control, the Company will provide Mr. Moore with a package of benefits for a period of 12 months following his termination substantially similar to those he was receiving prior to the date of termination (or prior to the change in control, if greater). The Company will also vest and accelerate the exercise date of all unvested stock options, if any, that are outstanding on the date of such termination, and such options shall remain exercisable for the duration of their original terms. Finally, if the change in control would constitute an excess parachute payment as defined in Section 280G of the Code, such compensation will be reduced to the largest amount that will result in no portion of the termination payments under the employment agreement being subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code or being disallowed as deductions to the Company under Section 280G of the Code.

No change in control compensation will be paid if Mr. Moore is terminated from employment upon the sale of the Company s assets, if either the employment agreement is assumed by the purchaser or Mr. Moore is offered employment by the purchaser on terms substantially the same as those under the employment agreement, including change in control compensation. However, the asset sale may constitute a change in control resulting in the two-year extended employment period and potential change in control severance payments, if applicable. No change in control compensation is due upon Mr. Moore s voluntary termination without good reason, retirement, death or disability.

Mr. Moore s agreement contains provisions relating to noncompetition and nonsolicitation of the Company s employees during the term of the agreement and for two years following termination of employment, except where the termination is by the Company without cause or by Mr. Moore as a result of a material breach of the agreement by the Company.

John Horan, 45, serves as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to an employment agreement entered into on April 17, 2006, that provides for his employment from May 15, 2006 through April 17, 2008. If a change in control of the Company occurs prior to April 17, 2008, then his employment term is extended until the second anniversary of the date of the change in control.

Under the agreement, Mr. Horan is compensated at a base salary of $165,600 for 2007. For each year thereafter, his salary will be determined by the Compensation Committee, but in no event will it be less than the annual salary that was payable to him for the preceding calendar year. Mr. Horan is eligible to participate in any bonus program implemented for senior executives of the Company, with pertinent terms and goals to be established by the Compensation Committee.

The Company may terminate Mr. Horan s employment with cause immediately or without cause with 30 days advance notice. Mr. Horan may terminate his employment with the Company at any time with 30 days advance notice.

If Mr. Horan s employment is terminated as a result of his death or disability, the Company is obligated to continue to pay his salary and provide him with medical benefits for the lesser of twelve months or the balance of the term remaining under the employment agreement (but for a minimum of six months). If his employment is terminated by the Company without cause other than within two years following a change in control of the Company, or by him due to a material breach of the agreement by the Company, the Company is obligated to continue to pay Mr. Horan his

salary and provide him with certain benefits for a period equal to the lesser of

the remainder of the term of the agreement or twelve months, and to pay him within 30 days of the date of termination an amount equal to his target bonus opportunity for the year in which termination occurs. If required by Code Section 409A, payment of the severance may be delayed for a period of six months after his termination of employment.

If within two years after a change in control of the Company, Mr. Horan s employment is terminated by the Company without cause, he will be entitled to an amount equal to two times his annual base salary in effect on the date of termination.

Upon any such termination within two years after a change in control, the Company will provide Mr. Horan with a package of benefits for a period of 12 months following his termination substantially similar to those he was receiving prior to the date of termination (or prior to the change in control, if greater). The Company will also vest and accelerate the exercise date of all unvested stock options, if any, that are outstanding on the date of such termination, and such options shall remain exercisable for the duration of their original terms. If the change in control compensation would constitute an excess parachute payment as defined in Section 280G of the Code, such compensation will be reduced to the largest amount that will result in no portion of the termination payments under the employment agreement being subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code or being disallowed as deductions to the Company under Section 280G of the Code.

No change in control compensation will be paid if Mr. Horan is terminated from employment upon the sale of the Company s assets, if either the employment agreement is assumed by the purchaser or Mr. Horan is offered employment by the purchaser on terms substantially the same as those under the employment agreement, including change in control compensation. However, the asset sale may constitute a change in control resulting in the two-year extended employment period and potential change in control severance payments, if applicable. No change in control compensation is due upon Mr. Horan s voluntary termination without good reason, retirement, death or disability.

Mr. Horan s agreement contains provisions relating to noncompetition and nonsolicitation of the Company s employees during the term of the agreement and for two years following termination of employment except where the termination is by the Company without cause or by Mr. Horan as a result of a material breach of the agreement by the Company.

Charles H. Heist, 56, serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors pursuant to an employment agreement entered into on January 1, 2007, that provides for his employment through January 1, 2009. If a change in control of the Company occurs prior to January 2, 2009, then his employment term is extended until the second anniversary of the date of the change in control.

Under the agreement, Mr. Heist is compensated at a base salary of $232,800 for 2007. For each year thereafter, his salary will be determined by the Compensation Committee, but in no event will it be less than the annual salary that was payable to him for the preceding calendar year. Mr. Heist is eligible to participate in any bonus program implemented for senior executives of the Company, with pertinent terms and goals to be established by the Compensation Committee.

The Company may terminate Mr. Heist s employment with cause immediately or without cause with 30 days advance notice. Mr. Heist may terminate his employment with the Company at any time with 30 days advance notice.

If Mr. Heist s employment is terminated as a result of his death or disability, the Company is obligated to continue to pay his salary and provide him with medical benefits for the lesser of twelve months or the balance of the term remaining under the employment agreement (but for a minimum of six months). If his employment is terminated by the Company without cause other than within two years following a change in control of the Company, or by him due to a material breach of the agreement by the Company or because he is required, following a change in control of the Company, to relocate more than 40 miles from the Company s headquarters, then the Company is obligated to continue to pay Mr. Heist his salary and provide him with certain benefits for a period equal to the lesser of remainder of the term of the agreement or twelve months, and to pay him within 30 days of the date of termination an amount equal to his target bonus opportunity for the year in which termination occurs. If required by Code Section 409A, payment of the severance may be delayed for a period of six months after his termination of employment.

If within two years after a change in control of the Company, Mr. Heist s employment is terminated by the

Company without cause or by Mr. Heist due to a material reduction in his duties or responsibilities within 12 months following the change in control, he will be entitled to an amount equal to two times his annual base salary in effect on the date of termination.

Upon any such termination within two years after a change in control, the Company will provide Mr. Heist with a package of benefits for a period of 12 months following his termination substantially similar to those he was receiving prior to the date of termination (or prior to the change in control, if greater). The Company will also vest and accelerate the exercise date of all unvested stock options, if any, that are outstanding on the date of such termination, and such options shall remain exercisable for the duration of their original terms. If the change in control compensation would constitute an excess parachute payment as defined in Section 280G of the Code, such compensation will be reduced to the largest amount that will result in no portion of the termination payments under the employment agreement being subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code or being disallowed as deductions to the Company under Section 280G of the Code.

No change in control compensation will be paid if Mr. Heist is terminated from employment upon the sale of the Company s assets, if either the employment agreement is assumed by the purchaser or Mr. Heist is offered employment by the purchaser on terms substantially the same as those under the employment agreement, including change in control compensation. However, the asset sale may constitute a change in control resulting in the two-year extended employment period and potential change in control severance payments, if applicable. No change in control compensation is due upon Mr. Heist s voluntary termination without good reason, retirement, death or disability.

Mr. Heist s agreement contains provisions relating to noncompetition and nonsolicitation of the Company s employees during the term of the agreement and for two years following termination of employment except where the termination is by the Company without cause or by Mr. Heist as a result of a material breach of the agreement by the Company.

Nolan B. Gardner, 37, serves as Vice President of Human Resources pursuant to an employment agreement entered into on January 1, 2006, that provides for his employment through January 1, 2008. If a change in control of the Company occurs prior to January 2, 2008, then his employment term is extended until the second anniversary of the date of the change in control.

Under the agreement, Mr. Gardner is compensated at a base salary of $110,000 for 2007. For each year thereafter, his salary will be determined by the Compensation Committee, but in no event will it be less than the annual salary that was payable to him for the preceding calendar year. Mr. Gardner is eligible to participate in any bonus program implemented for senior executives of the Company, with pertinent terms and goals to be established by the Compensation Committee.

The Company may terminate Mr. Gardner s employment with cause immediately or without cause with 30 days advance notice. Mr. Gardner may terminate his employment with the Company at any time with 30 days advance notice.

If Mr. Gardner s employment is terminated as a result of his death or disability, the Company is obligated to continue to pay his salary and provide him with medical benefits for the lesser of twelve months or the balance of the term remaining under the employment agreement (but for a minimum of six months). If his employment is terminated by the Company without cause other than within two years following a change in control of the Company, or by him due to a material breach of the agreement by the Company, then the Company is obligated to continue to pay Mr. Gardner his salary and provide him with certain benefits for a period equal to lesser of the remainder of the term of the agreement or twelve months, and to pay him within 30 days of the date of termination an amount equal to his target bonus opportunity for the year in which termination. If required by Code Section 409A, payment of the severance may be delayed for a period of six months after his termination of employment.

If within two years after a change in control of the Company, Mr. Gardner s employment is terminated by the Company without cause, he will be entitled to an amount equal to two times his annual base salary in effect on the date of termination.

Upon any such termination within two years of a change in control, the Company will provide Mr. Gardner with a package of benefits for a period of 12 months following his termination substantially similar to those he was receiving prior to the date of termination (or prior to the change in control, if greater). The Company will also vest and

accelerate the exercise date of all

Table of Contents

unvested stock options, if any, that are outstanding on the date of such termination, and such options shall remain exercisable for the duration of their original terms. If the change in control compensation would constitute an excess parachute payment as defined in Section 280G of the Code, such compensation will be reduced to the largest amount that will result in no portion of the termination payments under the employment agreement being subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code or being disallowed as deductions to the Company under Section 280G of the Code.

No change in control compensation will be paid if Mr. Gardner is terminated from employment upon the sale of the Company s assets, if either the employment agreement is assumed by the purchaser or Mr. Gardner is offered employment by the purchaser on terms substantially the same as those under the employment agreement, including change in control compensation. However, the asset sale may constitute a change in control resulting in the two-year extended employment period and potential change in control severance payments, if applicable. No change in control compensation is due upon Mr. Gardner s voluntary termination without good reason, retirement, death or disability.

Mr. Gardner s agreement contains provisions relating to noncompetition and nonsolicitation of the Company s employees during the term of the agreement and for two years following termination of employment except where the termination is by the Company without cause or by Mr. Gardner as a result of a material breach of the agreement by the Company.

Summary of Plans

The following section provides information on Company-sponsored plans noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis or in the tables. For the convenience of the reader, we are putting the descriptions of the plans in one location.

Restricted Stock Plan

The Restricted Stock Plan (the Restricted Stock Plan) was approved by the stockholders of the Company on May 23, 2002, and is designed to promote the long-term growth and profitability of the Company by (i) providing executive officers and certain other key employees of the Company with incentives to improve stockholder values and contribute to the success of the Company and (ii) enabling the Company to attract, retain and reward the best available persons for positions of substantial responsibility.

The Restricted Stock Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. This committee interprets the Restricted Stock Plan and may adopt, amend, or rescind such rules and regulations for carrying out the Restricted Stock Plan as it deems appropriate.

Subject to an event of reorganization, recapitalization, stock split, stock dividend, combination of shares, merger, consolidation, distribution of assets or other change in corporate structure or shares, 250,000 shares of common stock of the Company may be issued pursuant to the Restricted Stock Plan. Such shares may be unissued or treasury. The maximum number of shares that may be issued to any single individual in any one year shall not exceed 25,000 shares.

Participation in the Restricted Stock Plan is limited to executive officers, regional managers, division managers, the Vice President of Human Resources and the Director of Sales and Marketing of the Company.

A pool of shares for grants under the Restricted Stock Plan is created by dividing eight percent (8%) of the previous fiscal year s pre-tax income (as determined by the Committee in consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors) by the average of the Fair Market Values of the common stock of the Company during a 30 trading day period commencing fifteen (15) trading days before and ending fifteen (15) trading days after the release of the Company s earnings for such previous fiscal year.

During each fiscal year that the Restricted Stock Plan is in effect, the Committee approves a target for earnings before taxes as calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. If the actual earnings before taxes for a particular fiscal year meets or exceeds the target, as determined by the Committee after consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors, the Committee issues to each

Table of Contents

participant restricted shares equal to the number of shares in the pool multiplied by a fraction the numerator of which is the cash bonus paid to the participant and the denominator of which is the total of cash bonuses paid to all participants for the year in question.

With respect to each grant of restricted shares under the Restricted Stock Plan, one-third of the subject shares will become fully vested on the first anniversary of the date of grant, another one-third of the subject shares will become vested on the second anniversary of the date of grant, and the final one-third of the subject shares will become vested on the third anniversary of the date of grant.

Except as otherwise provided by the Committee, in the event of a change in control or the termination of a grantee s employment due to death, disability, retirement with the consent of the Committee, or termination without cause by the Company, all restrictions on shares granted to such grantee shall lapse. On termination of a grantee s employment for any other reason, including, without limitation, termination for cause, all restricted shares subject to grants made to such grantee shall be forfeited to the Company.

The Restricted Stock Plan terminated on the last day of fiscal year 2006.

Executive Stock Awards Plan

The Executive Stock Awards Plan (the Stock Plan) was approved by the stockholders of the Company on May 6, 2004, and is designed to promote the long-term growth and profitability of the Company by providing executive officers of the Company with incentives to improve stockholder values and contribute to the success of the Company and by enabling the Company to attract, retain and reward the best available persons for executive officer positions.

The Stock Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. The Committee interprets the Stock Plan and may adopt, amend, or rescind such rules and regulations for carrying out the Stock Plan as it deems appropriate.

Subject to an event of reorganization, recapitalization, stock split, stock dividend, combination of shares, merger, consolidation, distribution of assets or other change in corporate structure or shares, 135,000 shares of common stock of the Company may be issued pursuant to the Stock Plan. Such shares may be unissued or treasury shares. The maximum number of shares that may be issued to any single individual in any one year may not exceed 30,000 shares.

Participation in the Stock Plan is limited to the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and one additional executive officer that the Compensation Committee may select.

Pursuant to the Stock Plan, the Compensation Committee granted 9,000 restricted shares to the President and Chief Executive Officer and 4,500 restricted shares to the previous Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. These grants were made effective January 1, 2004, and vested on January 1, 2005. The initial grants of restricted shares are not tied to any performance target. Each initial grant of restricted shares is subject to approval of the Stock Plan by the Company s stockholders at the annual meeting, and the subject shares will be forfeited if such approval is not obtained.

For fiscal 2004 through 2008, the Compensation Committee may establish applicable performance targets based on earnings before taxes (EBT) of the Company and determine the number of restricted shares that may be earned by participants in the Plan if the applicable performance targets are met or exceeded. The number of shares to be awarded is determined by using the market price of the stock as compared to the participants cash target incentive award to reach a ratio of approximately 60% stock compensation to cash incentive compensation.

At the end of a fiscal year, if the Compensation Committee determines, after consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors, that EBT for a particular fiscal year meets or exceeds one or more of the performance targets, each participant will receive, with respect to such fiscal year and the targets met or exceeded, the number of restricted shares provided for by the Compensation Committee when it established the targets for the year. Each such grant of restricted shares awarded for a particular fiscal year shall vest on January 1 of the second fiscal year following the fiscal year for which such award was made. Accordingly,

Table of Contents

grants awarded for fiscal 2004 will vest on January 1, 2006; grants awarded for fiscal 2005 will vest on January 1, 2007; and grants awarded for fiscal 2006 will vest on January 1, 2008. The Compensation Committee, after appropriate consultation with management and the Company s independent auditors, may adjust the final calculation of EBT if an unusual event occurs during the fiscal year in question that has more than a minimal impact on the Company s earnings.

No later than December 15 of the year in which any restricted shares awarded under the Plan vest, the Company will credit to the participant who received such shares an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares times the highest marginal tax rate applicable to such executive for federal tax purposes.

Except as otherwise provided by the Compensation Committee, in the event of a change in control or the termination of a participant s employment due to death, disability, retirement, or termination without cause by the Company, all restrictions under the terms of the Stock Plan on shares granted to such participant shall lapse. On termination of a participant s employment for any other reason, including, without limitation, termination for cause, all restricted shares subject to grants made to such participant shall be forfeited to the Company.

Each participant who receives restricted shares will have the rights of a stockholder with respect thereto from and after the grant thereof, in accordance with and subject to the risks of forfeiture set forth in the Plan. No participant may transfer, assign or encumber any restricted shares granted to him until such shares have vested in accordance with the Plan.

Unless previously terminated, the Plan shall terminate at the close of business on the last day of fiscal year 2008.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation received by each of the company s directors during the year ended December 31, 2006:

Name (a)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ($) (b)	Stock Awards ($) (c)	Option Awards ($) (d)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($) (e)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (f)	All Other Compensation ($) (g)	Total ($) (h)
Richard W. Roberson (1)	$32,300	$2,413					$34,713
Charles E. Scharlau (1)	$32,800	$2,413					$35,213
Ronald K. Leirvik (1)	$28,800	$2,413					$31,213
Donna R. Moore (1)	$28,300	$2,413					$30,713
W. David Foster (2)	$19,100	$2,413					$21,513

(1) Under the 2006 Directors Plan, receive a grant upon the date of such director s initial election to the Board of Directors of

1,000 restricted shares of the Company s common stock. Each time a non-employee Director is re-elected to the Board of Directors on or after the Effective Date and following the completion of such non-employee Director s current term as a director, he or she shall receive a grant of 250 restricted shares of the Company s common stock. Each Director was granted 250 shares under this plan and the 2006 Annual Meeting held on May 16, 2006 and are valued at $9.65 per share (the share price on the date of annual meeting). These shares will vest on May 16, 2007.

(2) The amounts reported in columns (b) and (c) for Mr. Foster were also reported in the other compensation column of the

Summary
Compensation
Table above.

Director Fees

Table of Contents

Directors who are full-time employees of the Company receive no extra compensation for their services as Directors. During fiscal 2006, non-employee Directors received an annual retainer of $20,000, a $1,200 fee per regular meeting, and a $750 fee per committee meeting. Non-employee Directors may only receive one meeting fee per day paid at the highest rate. Fees for telephonic meetings are $500 per meeting. The Chairmen of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee each receive an additional annual retainer of $4,000.

Under the Independent Directors Stock Option Plan (the Directors Plan), each non-employee Director elected to the Board was granted an option to purchase 1,500 shares of common stock, exercisable on the one year anniversary of the grant. The options expire on the 10th anniversary of the grant date. The 2000 Directors Plan was terminated upon stockholder approval of a new Non-Employee Directors Equity Rights Plan (the 2006 Directors Plan). This termination will not affect any outstanding options under the 2000 Directors Plan, and all such options will continue to remain outstanding and be governed by the 2000 Directors Plan. Under the 2006 Directors Plan, upon re-election, each non-employee Director will receive a grant of 250 restricted shares of the Company s common stock. Vesting of the restricted shares occurs on the first anniversary of the grant date.

The Company entered into an indemnification agreement with each of each of Charles H. Heist, Ronald K. Leirvik, W. David Foster, Donna R. Moore, Richard W. Roberson and Charles E. Scharlau, as members of the board of directors of the Company, and Kurt R. Moore, as a member of the board of directors of the Company and as an executive officer. In general, the indemnification agreements provide the directors and executive officers listed above with contractual rights to indemnification and advancement or reimbursement of expenses to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law in connection with any and all expenses, judgments, fines, ERISA excise taxes, penalties, and amounts paid in settlement incurred by the directors or executive officers as a result of their service to, and actions on behalf of, the Company. The Company s Certificate of Incorporation currently provides that the Company is required to indemnify its officers and directors to the fullest extent allowable under applicable law.

Table of Contents

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth as of April 19, 2007, information concerning the beneficial ownership of shares of the Company s common stock by (i) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company s common stock, (ii) each Director and Director Nominee, (iii) each Named Executive Officer identified in the Summary Compensation Table and (iv) all Directors and executive officers as a group. For the purpose of this Annual Report, beneficial ownership has the meaning given under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to annual reports and does not necessarily indicate pecuniary interest. The beneficial ownership information presented herein is based upon information furnished by each person or contained in filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Name and Address (1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
C.H. Heist Intervivos Trust c/o Charles H. Heist III and Rebecca L. Heist, Trustees	454,645(2)	15.5%
Charles H. Heist III	329,163(3)	11.3%
Victoria Hall	91,941(4)	3.1%
Dixie Lea Clark	126,014(4)	4.3%
Heist Grandchildren Trusts c/o Charles H. Heist III	451,093(5)	15.4%
The Burton Partnership, Limited Partnership	126,725(6)	4.3%
The Burton Partnership (QP), Limited Partnership PO Box 4643 Jackson,Wyoming 83001	380,175(6)	13.0%
W. David Foster	51,006	1.7%
Kurt R. Moore	79,032	2.7%
Nolan B. Gardner	2,808	*
Charles E. Scharlau	14,255(7)	*
Ronald K. Leirvik	13,750(7)	*
Richard W. Roberson	14,250(7)	*
Donna R. Moore	13,750(7)	*
All officers and Directors as a group (9 persons)	1,423,752	48.7%

* Less than 1%

Notes to Beneficial Ownership table:

(1) Except as otherwise indicated, all addresses are c/o

Ablest Inc., 1511 N. Westshore Blvd., Suite 900, Tampa, Florida 33607.

(2) The shares indicated are held of record in a trust created by the founder of the Company, C.H. Heist, for the benefit of his family prior to his death in February 1983. The two trustees of the trust are Rebecca L. Heist and Charles H. Heist. Each of the trustees may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares held in the trust, and each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the trust. The trust will continue until the death of the children of Mr. and Mrs. C.H. Heist.

(3) The shares indicated are owned directly by Mr. Heist, except for 127,248 shares owned by his wife. Mr. Heist disclaims beneficial ownership of the above-referenced shares owned by

his wife. The shares owned do not include the shares owned by the C. H. Heist Trust or the shares of the trusts for the grandchildren mentioned in footnote 5 below. Mr. Heist disclaims

Table of Contents

any beneficial ownership of the shares held in such trust.

(4) Ms. Hall and Ms. Clark are daughters of C.H. Heist (deceased) and Clydis D. Heist (deceased) and sisters of Charles H. Heist. The shares owned by each of them do not include the shares owned by the C.H. Heist Trust or the shares of the trusts for the grandchildren mentioned in footnote 5 below. Ms. Hall and Ms. Clark disclaim any beneficial ownership of the shares held in such trusts.

(5) The trusts indicated were created for the benefit of the children of Charles H. Heist and his sisters, Victoria Hall and Dixie Lea Clark. Mr. Heist, Ms. Hall and Ms. Clark are trustees of the trusts. Each of

the trustees disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held in these trusts.

(6) The Burton Partnership, Limited Partnership and The Burton Partnership (QP), Limited Partnership are limited partnerships controlled by Donald W. Burton, who is deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares held by these partnerships.

(7) Includes 13,500 shares subject to stock options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of April 3, 2006 and 250 shares of restricted stock which will vest on May 16th 2007.

(8) Includes the 454,645 shares and 451,093 shares described in footnotes (2) and (5), under Security Ownership of Certain

Beneficial Owners. Also includes 54,000 shares subject to stock options that are currently exercisable.

Changes in Control

On April 4, 2007, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Koosharem Corporation (Parent) and Select Acquisition, Inc. (Merger Sub). Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub will merge with and into the Company, with the Company as the surviving corporation of the merger (the Merger). In the Merger, each share of common stock (including shares of restricted stock) of the Company, other than those held by the Company, Parent or Merger Sub, or any of their respective subsidiaries, and other than those shares with respect to which appraisal rights are properly exercised, will be converted into the right to receive $11.00 per share in cash (the Merger Consideration). In addition, all outstanding options to acquire shares of Company common stock will vest at the effective time of the Merger and holders of such options will receive an amount in cash equal to the excess, if any, of the Merger Consideration over the exercise price per share subject to the option for each share subject to the option. Completion of the Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including approval by the Company s shareholders. Pursuant, and subject to, the terms of a voting agreement entered into with Parent and Merger Sub, members of the Heist family (including Charles H. Heist, III, the Company s Chairman of the Board) have agreed to vote the approximately 50.4% of the Company s outstanding common stock beneficially owned thereby in favor of the Merger. The parties currently expect that the Merger will be completed by June 30, 2007. If the Merger is completed, there will be a change in control of the Company.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information about the Company s common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants, rights and restricted stock under all existing equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2006, including the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan, the Executive Stock Awards Plan and the 2006 Directors Plan. The Company had 386,309 securities available for issuance under its equity compensation plans at December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

	Equity Compensation Plan Information		
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation Plans approved by Security holders	54,000	$ 5.38	386,309
Total	54,000	$ 5.38	386,309

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Transactions with Related Persons

There were no transactions with related persons during the Company s 2006 fiscal year required by applicable SEC rules and regulations to be disclosed hereunder.

Review, Approval, and/or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

The Company recognizes that transactions involving related persons can present potential or actual conflicts of interest and create the appearance that the Company s business decisions are based on considerations other than the best interests of its shareholders. Therefore, in accordance with the terms of its charter, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors adopted a written policy and procedures for review, approval and monitoring of transactions involving related persons. The policy covers any transaction involving the Company and a related person, and is not limited solely to those transactions involving related persons that meet the minimum threshold for disclosure in the proxy statement under the relevant SEC rules (i.e., transactions involving amounts exceeding $120,000 in which a related person has a direct or indirect material interest).

General Policy

Transactions involving related persons must be approved, or ratified if pre-approval is not feasible, by the Audit Committee of the Board consisting solely of independent directors, who will approve or ratify the transaction only if they determine that it is in the best interests of the Company s shareholders. In considering the transaction, the Audit Committee will consider all relevant factors, including, as applicable (i) the business rationale for entering into the transaction; (ii) available alternatives to the transaction; (iii); whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unrelated third-party under the same or similar circumstances; (iv) the potential for the transaction to lead to an actual or apparent conflict of interest and any safeguards imposed to prevent such actual or apparent conflicts; and (v) the overall fairness of the transaction. The Audit Committee will also periodically monitor ongoing transactions involving related persons to ensure that there are no changed circumstances that would render it

advisable for the Company to amend or terminate the transaction.

Table of Contents

Procedures

It is the responsibility of management or the affected director or executive officer to bring the matter to the attention of the Audit Committee.

Any transaction involving a related person should be presented to the Audit Committee at the next regularly scheduled meeting.

All transactions should be pre-approved by the Audit Committee, and if not feasible, ratified by the Audit Committee as promptly as practicable.

If a member of the Audit Committee is involved in the transaction, except for purposes of providing material information about the transaction to the Audit Committee, he must be recused from all discussions and decisions about the transaction.

Ongoing transactions involving related persons shall be reviewed by the Audit Committee on an annual basis at the first regularly scheduled meeting of the fiscal year.

Since the beginning of the Company s last fiscal year, there have been no transactions required to be reported under the applicable SEC rules where such policies and procedures did not require review, approval or ratification or where such policies and procedures were not followed.

Director Independence

The Company s Board of Directors is made up of the following members: Mr. Charles Heist, Mr. W. David Foster, Mr. Kurt Moore, Mr. Charles Scharlau, Mr. Ronald Leirvik, Ms. Donna Moore, and Mr. Richard Roberson. The Board has determined that a majority of the Company s directors and all current members of the Audit, Compensation, and Nominating Committees are independent under Section 121A of the American Stock Exchange (AmEx) Company Guide. The Board has also determined that the members of the Audit Committee meet the additional independence standards set forth by Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 121B of the AmEx Company Guide. The independent directors are Mr. Scharlau, Mr. Leirvik, Ms. Moore, and Mr. Roberson. There were no undisclosed transactions, relationships or arrangements pursuant to Item 404(a) (related-party transactions) that were considered by the Board under the applicable independence definitions in determining that the director is independent.

ITEM 14. Principal Accountants Fees and Services

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP audited the Company s financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and December 25, 2005 and has been selected by the Board of Directors to audit the Company s financial statements for the current fiscal year.

Fiscal 2002 was the first year that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP audited the Company s financial statements.

Audit Fees

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding fees paid by the Company during 2006 and 2005:

	2006	2005
Audit Fees(1)	$150,000	$105,000
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees(2)	28,100	28,000
All Other Fees		
Total	$178,100	$133,000

(1) Audit of annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

(2) Tax consultations and tax return preparation.

The Audit Committee has concluded that PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s provision of the services described above is compatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s independence. The Audit Committee pre-approved all of such services. The Audit Committee has established pre-approval policies and procedures with respect to audit and permitted non-audit services to be provided by its independent auditors. Pursuant to these policies and procedures, the Audit Committee may form, and delegate authority to, subcommittees consisting of one or more members when appropriate to grant such pre-approvals, provided that decisions of such subcommittee to grant pre-approvals are presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee s pre-approval policies do not permit the delegation of the Audit Committee s responsibilities to management.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

(1) Financial Statements

See Index to Financial Statements on page 21.

(2) Supplemental Schedules

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between C. H. Heist Corp. and Ablest Inc. dated February 4, 2000. (1)
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger between Ablest Service Corp., PLP Corp., Milestone Technologies, Inc. and Ablest Inc., dated January 1, 2001. (2)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (2)
3.2	By-laws of the Registrant. (2)
10.1	Asset Sale and Purchase Agreement between C. H. Heist Corp. and Onyx Industrial Services Inc. (1)
10.2	Promissory Note dated August 13, 2003 between the Company and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company. (3)
10.3	Modification Agreement dated August 2, 2005 between Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and Ablest Inc. (10)
10.4	Independent Directors Stock Option Plan adopted May 14, 2000.*(2)
10.5	Option to Ownership Plan adopted October 9, 2000.*(2)
10.6	Executive Stock Awards Plan.*(4)
10.7	2002 Restricted Stock Plan* (8)
10.8	Employment Agreement with W. David Foster, Vice Chairman, dated January 1, 2004.*(5)
10.9	Employment Agreement with Vincent J. Lombardo, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, dated January 1, 2004.*(5)
10.10	Employment Agreement with Nolan B. Gardner, Vice President, dated January 1, 2006.*
10.11	Employment Agreement with John Horan, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, dated April 17, 2006.* (13)
10.12	Employment Agreement with Kurt R. Moore, President and Chief Executive Officer, dated January 1, 2007.*
10.13	Employment Agreement with Charles H. Heist III, Chairman, dated January 1, 2007.*
10.14	Settlement Agreement and Complete and Permanent Release, dated September 22, 2005, between Vincent J. Lombardo and Ablest Inc.* (6)
10.15	VCG Services Agreement, dated September 29, 2005, between VCG, Inc. and Ablest Inc. (7)
10.16	VCG License and Support Agreement, dated September 29, 2005, between VCG, Inc. and Ablest Inc. (7)
10.17	Professional Services Agreement (including Proposal for Great Plains Implementation), dated September 30, 2005, between IDEAL Consulting, Inc. and Ablest Inc. (7)
10.18	Summary of Compensation Payable to Non-Employee Directors * (9)

10.19 Form of Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and its Directors. (12)

21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant. (11)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Management contracts and compensatory plans and arrangements required to be filed as exhibits pursuant to item 15(b) of this report.

(1) Filed as Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated March 22, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-K Report for the year ended December 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

(3) Filed as an Exhibit to the

Registrant s Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended September 28, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

(4) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed January 12, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

(5) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-K Report for the year ended December 28, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

(6) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated September 26, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(7) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated October 5, 2005 and incorporated

herein by reference.

(8) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form DEF 14A dated April 28, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

(9) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated November 9, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(10) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 26, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(11) Filed previously.

(12) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated February 17, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(13)

Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended October 1, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.	

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ABLEST INC.

By: /s/ Kurt R. Moore
Kurt R. Moore
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 25, 2007

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedule

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
of Ablest Inc.:

Our audits of the financial statements referred to in our report dated March 9, 2007 appearing in the December 31, 2006 Annual Report to Stockholders of Ablest Inc. (which report and financial statements are included in this Amendment No. 2 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A) also included an audit of the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K/A. In our opinion, this financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related financial statements.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Tampa, Florida
April 25, 2007

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the Periods Ended December 31, 2006, December 25, 2005 and December 26, 2004

(Amounts in thousands)	Balance at beginning of the period	Additions charged to costs and expense	Additions charged to other accounts	Deductions	Balance at end of the period
Period Ended December 31, 2006					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 275	266		266	275
Period Ended December 25, 2005					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 285	97		107	275
Period Ended December 26, 2004					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	$ 246	257		218	285

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (2)
3.2	By-laws of the Registrant. (2)
10.1	Asset Sale and Purchase Agreement between C. H. Heist Corp. and Onyx Industrial Services Inc. (1)
10.2	Promissory Note dated August 13, 2003 between the Company and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company. (3)
10.3	Modification Agreement dated August 2, 2005 between Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and Ablest Inc. (10)
10.4	Independent Directors Stock Option Plan adopted May 14, 2000.*(2)
10.5	Option to Ownership Plan adopted October 9, 2000.*(2)
10.6	Executive Stock Awards Plan.*(4)
10.7	2002 Restricted Stock Plan* (8)
10.8	Employment Agreement with W. David Foster, Vice Chairman, dated January 1, 2004.*(5)
10.9	Employment Agreement with Vincent J. Lombardo, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, dated January 1, 2004.*(5)
10.10	Employment Agreement with Nolan B. Gardner, Vice President, dated January 1, 2006.*
10.11	Employment Agreement with John Horan, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, dated April 17, 2006.* (13)
10.12	Employment Agreement with Kurt R. Moore, President and Chief Executive Officer, dated January 1, 2007.*
10.13	Employment Agreement with Charles H. Heist III, Chairman, dated January 1, 2007.*
10.14	Settlement Agreement and Complete and Permanent Release, dated September 22, 2005, between Vincent J. Lombardo and Ablest Inc.* (6)
10.15	VCG Services Agreement, dated September 29, 2005, between VCG, Inc. and Ablest Inc. (7)
10.16	VCG License and Support Agreement, dated September 29, 2005, between VCG, Inc. and Ablest Inc. (7)
10.17	Professional Services Agreement (including Proposal for Great Plains Implementation), dated September 30, 2005, between IDEAL Consulting, Inc. and Ablest Inc. (7)

10.18	Summary of Compensation Payable to Non-Employee Directors * (9)
10.19	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and its Directors. (12)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant. (11)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Management contracts and compensatory plans and arrangements required to be filed as exhibits pursuant to item 15(b) of this report.

(1) Filed as Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated March 22, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-K Report for the year ended December 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

(3) Filed as an Exhibit to the

Registrant s Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended September 28, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

(4) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed January 12, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

(5) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-K Report for the year ended December 28, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

(6) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated September 26, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(7) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated October 5, 2005 and incorporated

herein by reference.

(8) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form DEF 14A dated April 28, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.

(9) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated November 9, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(10) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 26, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(11) Filed previously.

(12) Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 8-K Report dated February 17, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.

(13)

Filed as an Exhibit to the Registrant s Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended October 1, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.